### GW - 113

### REPORTS

### YEAR(S): 1994



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION NORTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY EUNICE COMPRESSOR STATION

### RECEIVED

MAR 3 1 1995

Environmental Bureau Oil Conservation Division

Prepared for ENRON Operations Corp. Environmental Affairs Department Houston, Texas

**December 19, 1994** 

6020 ACADEMY NE • SUITE 100 • ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87109 • (505) 822-9400



,

- -- -

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

----

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section Pa	age
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION	3
<ul> <li>2. SITE BACKGROUND</li> <li>2.1 Facility Description and Operational History</li> <li>2.2 Physical Setting</li> <li>2.3 Regional Hydrogeology</li> <li>2.4 Previous Hydrogeologic Investigations</li> <li>2.5 Corrective Actions</li> </ul>	6 9 9 10 12
<ul> <li>3. SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS</li> <li>3.1 Drilling and Sampling Procedures</li> <li>3.1.1 Soil and Soil Vapor Sampling</li> <li>3.1.2 Monitor Well Installation</li> <li>3.1.3 Ground-Water Sampling</li> <li>3.2 Site Hydrogeology</li> <li>3.2.1 Aquifer Hydraulic Tests and Data Analysis</li> <li>3.2.2 Rate of Ground-Water Movement</li> <li>3.3 Delineation of Subsurface Impacts</li> <li>3.3.1 Soil Impacts</li> <li>3.3.2 Ground-Water Impacts</li> </ul>	14 14 15 16 18 22 22 26
4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	33
REFERENCES	35

+



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page	)
1	Location Map	4	ţ
2	Site Map	. 7	7
3	Regional Water Table Elevations	. 11	Í
4	Geologic Cross Section A-A'	. 19	)
5	Water Table Elevations, October 7, 1994	. 20	)
6	Estimated Extent of Actionable BTEX in Ground Water, October 1994	. 30	)
7	Total Dissolved Solids in Ground Water, October 1994	. 32	•

### LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e Pa	age
1	Monitor Well/Soil Boring Locations and Water Table Elevation Data, October 1994	17
2	Results of Slug Tests NNG Eunice Compressor Station	21
3	Summary of Vapor Analyses in Soil Gas Recovered from Above the Water Table NNG Eunice Compressor Station	23
4	a Summary of Soil Analyses for Organic Constituents, Monitor Well Borings	24
4	Summary of Soil Analyses for Organic Constituents, Soil Boring SB-1	25
5	Summary of Ground-Water Analyses for Organic Constituents	27
6	Summary of Ground-Water Analyses for Inorganic Constituents	2 <del>9</del>

### LIST OF APPENDICES

### Appendix

- Boring Logs and Survey Data Α
- Analytical Laboratory Reports В
- **Results of Slug Tests** С



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. was retained by ENRON Operations Corp. to conduct an environmental investigation at Northern Natural Gas Company's Eunice Compressor Station, located in southeastern New Mexico. The compressor station transmits dry natural gas originating from the adjoining gas plant (to the south) currently operated by Texaco Corporation. This environmental investigation supplements the previous work performed by Metric Corporation in 1991 and Brown & Root Environmental in 1993.

The objective of this investigation was to characterize the distribution of organic and inorganic constituents in underlying soils and ground water and, if possible, identify potential on- and off-site sources responsible for the subsurface contamination detected during previous site investigations. The scope of work included a background data review, completion of four additional monitor wells and one soil boring, soil and ground-water quality sampling, and in-situ tests of aquifer hydraulic properties.

The site is underlain by semiconsolidated sandy caliche and unconsolidated silty sands which regionally comprise the Pliocene-age Ogallala Formation. The Triassic-age Dockum Group, consisting primarily of siltstone and claystone, is present at approximately 130 feet below ground surface. Regionally, ground-water flow in the Ogallala aquifer is to the southeast. Ground water at the site is present approximately 52 feet below ground surface and flows generally to the south. In-situ hydraulic tests indicate that the aquifer is moderately permeable with an average hydraulic conductivity of 6.3 feet per day.

During the investigation, soil samples were analyzed using field and laboratory techniques to determine if volatile organic compounds were present. Based on these analyses, the extent of actionable soil contamination appears to be limited to the southwestern comer of the site. In addition, the minimal hydrocarbon mass is limited to the soil column immediately above the water table. To date, the soil contaminant data collected from borings and monitor wells have not substantiated any on-site releases that could have impacted ground water beneath the site.



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

Actionable ground-water contamination has been identified along the entire southern fence line joining the Northern Natural Gas compressor station and the Texaco gas plant. Ground-water impacts extend approximately 75 to 140 feet north of this property boundary. The New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission numerical standards for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, naphthalene, total dissolved solids, chloride, manganese, iron, and barium were exceeded in at least one of the samples collected from the seven site monitor wells. In addition, phase-separated hydrocarbons were measured in one site monitor well located in the southwestern corner of the facility.



### 1. INTRODUCTION

ENRON Operations Corp. (EOC) retained Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. (DBS&A) to conduct a supplemental environmental investigation of soils and ground water underlying Northern Natural Gas Company's (NNG) Eunice Compressor Station, located approximately 5 miles south of Eunice, New Mexico (Figure 1). The NNG compressor station is currently operated by Transwestern Pipeline Company (TPC). Both NNG and TPC are subsidiaries of EOC.

The compressor station boosts the pressure of the natural gas stream originating from the adjoining Texaco gas plant (Figure 1). Routine operation of the two facilities has resulted in the release of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastewaters to the subsurface, primarily through the use of disposal pits, underground storage tanks (USTs), and aboveground storage tanks (ASTs). The objectives of this investigation were to determine the extent of on-site subsurface impacts and to assess the contributions from potential on-site sources.

The supplemental environmental investigation was conducted October 3 through 7, 1994. In order to evaluate areas of potential hydrocarbon releases, DBS&A analyzed soils for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) using field and laboratory techniques and submitted ground-water samples for analyses of organic and inorganic constituents to determine if water quality standards set by the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) were exceeded. Specifically, the DBS&A investigation included the following work:

- Three existing monitor wells were sampled.
- Four monitor wells and one soil boring were drilled.
- · Soil samples were collected from each boring.
- The newly installed monitor wells were sampled.
- Two soil vapor samples were collected.





ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

- Three aquifer hydraulic tests were conducted.
- The locations of all monitor wells were surveyed.

This report presents the methods and results of the investigation. Section 2 provides background information on the NNG and the adjoining Texaco facilities, including a summary of previously completed environmental work at the compressor station. Section 3 describes the field procedures used during the investigation and the findings of the subsurface investigation. Finally, Section 4 provides a summary of and the conclusions derived from the investigation.



### 2. SITE BACKGROUND

This section provides background information relevant to DBS&A's supplemental environmental investigation. Section 2.1 describes the NNG and adjoining Texaco facilities in detail. Sections 2.2 and 2.3 discuss the physical setting and regional hydrogeology of the area. Sections 2.4 and 2.5 provide a summary of previous environmental investigations and corrective actions undertaken at the NNG compressor station.

### 2.1 Facility Description and Operational History

The compressor station is situated on approximately 3 acres of land within township 22 S, range 37 E, section 27 of Lea County, New Mexico. The compressor station was built in 1962 to facilitate transport of dry natural gas originating from the adjoining gas plant. The gas plant, which is currently operated by Texaco, was built in the late 1940s to extract the primary distillates (natural gasoline, butane, and propane) from the raw natural gas stream obtained from local gas production wells. The general layout of both facilities is shown in Figure 1; Figure 2 provides a more detailed layout of the NNG compressor station, including the locations of on-site monitor wells.

The pits and tanks shown on Figures 1 and 2 have been used for the management of waste liquids generated during routine facility operations, as described below:

- At the compressor station, waste liquids are generated by the removal of pipeline condensate and used engine lubricating oils. The waste liquids are stored in ASTs near the compressor building prior to off-site disposal.
- The gas plant generates an assortment of natural gasolines, waste oils, and wastewaters that may have contributed to the subsurface impacts detected at the compressor station. In the past, gas plant operations included the use of several unlined liquid pits near the compressor station.





ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

In order to clarify the number and location of the former and present impoundments, DBS&A obtained historical aerial photographs showing the compressor station and adjoining gas plant. The following sources were contacted during this effort: the Earth Data Analysis Center (EDAC) in Albuquerque, the New Mexico State Highway and Transportation Department (NMSHTD) in Santa Fe, and Koogle & Pouls, an engineering firm in Albuquerque. Four aerial photographs showing the two facilities were located, and the following contact prints were obtained:

Photograph Date	Approximate Scale	Source
04/28/54	1:63,000	EDAC Albuquerque
02/04/68	1:19,000	EDAC Albuquerque
01/16/84	1:5,000	NMSHTD Santa Fe
05/12/92	1:3,000	Koogle & Pouls

The 1954 aerial photograph shows the gas plant prior to construction of the NNG compressor station. In 1954, two unlined wastewater disposal pits were in operation near the current location of the evaporation ponds (former wastewater pits A and B on Figure 1). An additional unlined pit was present southwest of the wastewater disposal pits. A former gas plant drain pit near the present location of the NNG compressor building (Figure 2) is not distinguishable on this photograph, but is shown on NNG site diagrams prior to construction of the facility in 1962.

The 1968 photograph reveals a gas plant waste pit near the southwestern corner of the compressor station (Figure 1). The photograph also shows the first lined evaporation pond. Excess wastewaters continued to be held in the unlined pits east and southeast of the gas processing area (former pits A and B on Figure 1). No impoundments or pits were distinguishable within the compressor station boundaries in this photograph.

The 1984 photograph indicates that the disposal pit near the southwestern NNG property boundary (Figure 1) had been decommissioned. According to documents on file at the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD), the former waste pits and former wastewater pits A and B were backfilled in 1980 (York, 1980). The photograph shows that NNG was using a



pipeline condensate AST near the southwest corner of the property (Figure 2) and the gas plant was using three lined evaporation ponds (Figure 1) by this time.

The 1992 aerial photograph shows that an additional lined evaporation pond was added to the wastewater storage system. The most noticeable feature on the 1992 photograph is the dark staining near the gasoline storage tank area directly south of the NNG property line (Figure 1). The dark staining is not present on earlier aerial photographs. The photograph also shows several concrete containment structures built for the new ASTs used by NNG (Figure 2).

### 2.2 Physical Setting

The site is located within the Pecos Valley section of the Great Plains physiographic province. The land near the site is comprised of a relatively flat surface that gently slopes toward the south. The surface is underlain by a hard sandy caliche that is often covered by a 5- to 10-foot thick veneer of dune sand and alluvial sediments. The area is sparsely vegetated with native grasses and mesquite.

The majority of the surface runoff is retained in shallow depressions until it seeps into the ground or evaporates. Monument Draw, located east of the site, is the only significant water course in the region (Nicholson and Clebsch, 1961). The climate is semi-arid, with a mean annual precipitation of about 13 inches (Nicholson and Clebsch, 1961). The bulk of the annual precipitation occurs during intense summer thunderstorms.

### 2.3 Regional Hydrogeology

The NNG compressor station site is covered with a thin veneer of Quaternary sands below which lies unconsolidated sandy caliche and silty sand, which regionally comprise the Pliocene-age Ogallala Formation. The Ogallala Formation unconformably overlies the Triassic Dockum Group. Near the site, the Dockum Group is encountered at approximately 130 feet below ground surface (bgs). The uppermost formation of the Dockum Group is the Chinle Formation, which consists of reddish siltstone and claystone units ranging in thickness from 0 to 1,270 feet in southern Lea County (Nicholson and Clebsch, 1961). The Chinle Formation thickens toward the east.



The Ogallala Formation is the major freshwater-bearing formation in southeastern New Mexico. Recharge to the unconfined aquifer occurs primarily by infiltration of precipitation within shallow surface depressions. Regional water level data obtained from the New Mexico State Engineer Office (SEO) indicates that ground-water flow is toward the southeast (Figure 3). Locally, however, ground-water flow appears to be due south. Local recharge of wastewaters from the evaporation ponds and discharge of ground-water from pumping may have altered the flow field near the site.

Nativ (1988) reported hydraulic conductivity (K) values ranging between 3 and 262 ft/day and specific yields  $(S_y)$  of 0.07 to 0.20 for the Ogallala aquifer with average K and  $S_y$  values of 42 ft/day and 0.16, respectively. The hydraulic gradient is estimated to be approximately 0.0035 ft/ft based on the regional water level data obtained from the SEO.

### 2.4 Previous Hydrogeologic Investigations

Two previous hydrogeologic investigations have identified impacts to the soil and ground water underlying both the NNG and Texaco properties. The first hydrogeologic investigation was performed by Metric Corporation in 1991. Metric advanced a total of 21 borings (BH-1 through BH-21) and installed 2 monitor wells (MW-1 and MW-2) in order to investigate subsurface conditions throughout the site. Of the 21 borings, 12 were drilled to a total depth of 4 feet or less in order to evaluate shallow impacts. The remaining 9 borings were advanced to the water table (approximately 52 feet bgs) to characterize soil contamination throughout the vertical extent of the vadose zone. These borings, two of which were completed as monitor wells MW-1 and MW-2, are shown on Figure 2.

Soil samples collected by Metric during drilling were analyzed for total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons (TRPH) using EPA method 418.1 and for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) using EPA method 8020. Samples recovered from the shallow borings contained high levels of petroleum hydrocarbons. Below the 4-foot depth, however, the regulatory guidelines set by the OCD (1993) for TPH and BTEX were exceeded only in soil boring BH-14, located near the former pipeline condensate AST (Figure 2). Further, total BTEX concentrations





ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

exceeded the OCD 50-ppm criterion in only one of the samples collected from soil boring BH-14: the one collected at the water table.

Ground-water samples collected by Metric were analyzed for purgeable halocarbons and metals; soil samples were analyzed for organics and metals using the toxic characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP). The purgeable halocarbon and TCLP analyses yielded concentrations below regulatory standards. However, ground-water samples contained concentrations of barium, iron, lead, and manganese that exceeded NMWQCC standards. Further details regarding this investigation may be found in Metric Corporation's report (1991).

During the spring of 1993, Brown & Root Environmental installed a third monitor well (MW-3 on Figure 2) and sampled each site monitor well for VOCs and semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) using gas chromatography/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) methods (EPA methods 8240 and 8270, respectively). Samples were also collected for total dissolved solids (TDS) and metals analyses. NMWQCC standards exceeded in each well are outlined below:

- TDS and barium in monitor well MW-1
- Benzene, ethylbenzene, TDS, and barium in monitor well MW-2
- Benzene, toluene, naphthalene, TDS, and barium in monitor well MW-3

Further details regarding this investigation may be found in Brown & Root Environmental's report (1993).

### 2.5 Corrective Actions

The shallow soils that contained high levels of TRPH (as identified by the 4-foot borings) were excavated by TPC during the summer of 1993. Soils were excavated near the southern and eastern sides of the compressor building, the former pipeline condensate AST, and the former fiberglass used filter sumps (Figure 2). In general, these soils were excavated to a caliche layer present at approximately 4 feet bgs. TPC has also removed all below-grade sumps and drain tanks and replaced them with the new liquid waste ASTs depicted on Figure 2. Approximately



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

2,000 cubic yards of soils were excavated during the corrective action undertaken by TPC. These soils were stockpiled within the northeastern portion of the site to await final disposition.

During October 1994, Benge Construction Company of Lovington, New Mexico provided hauling services for the disposal of the stockpiled hydrocarbon-contaminated soils. The soils were delivered to C&C Landfarm, Inc. (C&C), located near Monument, New Mexico. C&C is a commercial surface waste disposal facility permitted by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

### 3. SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS

The following sections describe the subsurface investigation conducted by DBS&A in order to define the on-site extent of impacts identified by previous investigators. The general field procedures followed during this investigation are outlined in Section 3.1. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 describe site-specific procedures and the outcome of the investigation at the site. All field work was conducted in accordance with DBS&A standard operating procedures and a site-specific health and safety plan developed for the field program.

### 3.1 Drilling and Sampling Procedures

Four monitor wells and one soil boring were installed to establish the distribution of soil and ground-water impacts, the direction of ground-water flow, and aquifer hydraulic characteristics. Drilling at the site was completed by Eades Drilling Company of Hobbs, New Mexico, using an Ingersoll Rand TH-75W air-rotary drilling rig. Drilling equipment and sampling devices were steam-cleaned and inspected by DBS&A personnel prior to beginning each boring. In addition, all sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to each use by washing with Liquinox<sup>®</sup> detergent followed by a deionized water rinse.

### 3.1.1 Soil and Soil Vapor Sampling

As each borehole was advanced, split-spoon samples were collected at 5-foot intervals for geologic logging. In addition, cuttings were inspected to aid in logging. Lithologic descriptions were produced using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and the Munsell color system. Appendix A contains the lithologic logs produced for each boring and, where applicable, the corresponding well construction diagrams.

Soil samples collected during drilling were tested for the presence of VOCs using an organic vapor meter equipped with a photoionization detector (PID). Field PID measurements were used to determine the presence of contaminated soils above guidelines (those with PID readings greater than 100 parts per million volume [ppmv]) as described by OCD (1993). Where contaminated soils were detected using the PID, soil and soil vapor samples were collected for



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

laboratory analyses. Soil samples were analyzed to evaluate sorbed phase concentrations of selected organic constituents. Soil vapor samples were analyzed for BTEX and extended refinery gases to determine the chemical composition and the potential source(s) of VOCs detected in vapor phase.

Soil vapor samples were collected through a sampling port, constructed of ¼-inch copper tubing, which was set directly above the water table. The annular space surrounding the open portion of the sampling device was backfilled with 10-20 silica sand followed by a 50/50 (by weight) mixture of dry bentonite powder and 10-20 silica sand to seal the sampling zone. Prior to sample collection, an air vacuum pump was used to purge soil gas from the monitored zone until vapor concentrations stabilized, as determined by PID readings. Soil gas samples were collected in stainless steel canisters and analyzed by Core Laboratories of Houston, Texas. The analytical report for soil vapor analyses is provided in Appendix B.

At the predetermined monitor well locations, the soil sample yielding the highest PID reading above background measurements and the soil sample collected from immediately above the water table were retained for laboratory analysis of TPH (EPA method 8015 modified) and BTEX. In order to determine if the pipeline condensate AST was a contributing source, soil samples collected from boring SB-1 at 5-foot intervals (from approximately 5 feet bgs to the water table [approximately 55 feet]) were also retained for analyses of TPH and BTEX. Drill cuttings generated during the investigation were stockpiled on clean plastic; one composite sample was collected to determine proper disposal. All samples were collected in 250-ml glass jars and placed in an ice-filled cooler for shipment to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (HEAL) in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

### 3.1.2 Monitor Well Installation

Borings were drilled to a depth of 10 feet below the water table, whereupon a 2-inch-diameter monitor well was constructed in order to evaluate ground-water quality. The monitor wells were constructed with 15 feet of 2-inch 0.010-inch machine-slotted polyvinyl chloride (PVC) screen, approximately 50 feet of flush-threaded 2-inch PVC blank casing, and 17 feet of 10-20 silica sand filter pack. A bentonite seal was emplaced on top of the filter pack, followed by a cement-

15



bentonite grout to the ground surface. The surface completion consisted of 12-inch-diameter flush-grade vaults set within concrete.

Following monitor well installation, all borings and monitor wells were surveyed relative to the plant grid system and mean sea level by John W. West Engineering Co. of Hobbs, New Mexico. Additionally, monitor wells installed by previous investigators were surveyed to the same reference so that accurate determination of ground-water flow directions could be made. A summary of monitor well completion information is provided in Table 1.

### 3.1.3 Ground-Water Sampling

During the environmental investigation, ground-water samples were collected from each monitor well at the site. Prior to sampling, the depth to water was measured. The presence of phase-separated hydrocarbons was checked using product-finding paste, and the well was bailed until approximately three casing volumes were purged. During purging, field parameters (pH, temperature, and electrical conductivity) were measured and recorded every half casing volume. Purged ground water was contained in 55-gallon drums to be disposed of by TPC upon receipt of analytical results. Ground-water samples were collected using dedicated, disposable polyethylene bailers. In addition to the above procedures, each newly installed monitor well was developed (prior to the sampling procedure outlined above) by the surge and bail method until the well yielded relatively sediment-free ground water.

Ground-water samples were analyzed for halogenated and aromatic VOCs (EPA method 8010/8020), TPH (EPA method 8015 modified), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (EPA method 8100), major ions, TDS, and metals regulated by the NMWQCC. Samples were shipped in ice-filled chests to HEAL for the analysis of organic compounds and to Analytical Technologies, Inc. (ATI) for the analysis of inorganic compounds. Both laboratories are located in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

During the field program quality assurance/quality control samples, consisting of trip blanks and sample replicates, comprised approximately 5 percent of the water samples in order to check intralaboratory precision during the field program. Appendix B contains the HEAL and ATI reports

4215\SUPP-INV.D94\INV-RPT.D13

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

# Table 1. Monitor Well/Soil Boring Locations and Water Table Elevation Data, October 1994 NNG Eunice Compressor Station

	Loci	ation		Measuring Point <sup>3</sup>	Depth to Water from	Water Table	Total	Screened	Top of
- - - - -	North <sup>2</sup>	East <sup>2</sup>	Date of	Elevation	Measuring Point <sup>3</sup>	Elevation	Boring Depth	Interval	Silica Sand
Well/Boring <sup>1</sup>	(feet)	(feet)	Completion	(feet above msl)	(feet)	(feet above msl)	(feet bgs)	(feet bgs)	(feet bgs)
MW-1	267.9	-197.7	09/27/91	3337.94	55.64	3282.30	60.09	50.0-60.0	48.0
MW-2	2.1	-157.3	09/30/91	3336.75	54.45	3282.30	59.0	49.0-59.0	45.0
MW-3	81.0	-442.2	04/13/93	3337.72	55.47 <sup>4</sup>	3282.25	65.0	50.0-60.0	47.0
MW-4	250.7	-430.3	10/04/94	3335.93	53.60	3282.33	67.0	49.0-64.0	46.0
MW-5	47.4	-10.6	10/05/94	3334.17	51.80	3282.37	67.0	48.0-63.0	45.0
9-WW	88.9	-82.8	10/05/94	3334.20	51.86	3282.34	70.0	45.0-60.0	41.5
MW-7	94.2	-294.4	10/06/94	3334.73	52.45	3282.28	67.0	48.0-63.0	43.0
SB-1	16.0	-429.9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Survey conducted by John W. West Engineering, Hobbs, NM; all measurements were made on October 7, 1994.

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Figure 2 for locations
 <sup>2</sup> North and east coordinates relative to plant survey grid
 <sup>3</sup> Measuring point is top of PVC casing
 <sup>4</sup> Corrected for PSH: DTW = 55.92 - (0.56 x 0.8)

NA = Not applicable

4215/SUPP-INV.D94WW&SBLOC.D94

:

į.



with the supporting quality assurance and chain-of-custody documents for all water samples submitted for analysis.

### 3.2 Site Hydrogeology

The lithology of soils directly underlying the site, as determined from the borings advanced during DBS&A's investigation, consists generally of sandy caliche and calcareous sandstone that are moderately to well cemented from roughly 4 feet bgs to approximately 15 feet bgs. Above the caliche, which is locally referred to as the *caprock*, is approximately 4 feet of fine- to medium-grained silty sand. Below the 15-foot depth the soils consist primarily of fine- to medium-grained, well sorted, poorly cemented sands. As mentioned in Section 2.3, the base of the Ogallala deposits at the station are approximately 130 feet bgs. A geologic cross section developed from lithologic descriptions is provided as Figure 4; Figure 2 shows the cross section location.

Ground water beneath the site is unconfined and occurs approximately 52 feet bgs based on October 7, 1994 measurements (Table 1). A water table elevation map generated from depth to water measurements is shown in Figure 5. This map indicates that ground water generally flows toward the south-southwest, a finding that generally agrees with the regional flow direction shown on Figure 3. However, accurate determination of ground-water flow directions may be complicated by the low hydraulic gradient present at the site. Based on the October 7, 1994 measurements, the local hydraulic gradient is approximately 0.0003 ft/ft or approximately an order of magnitude less than the regional gradient (Section 2.3). Factors such as ground-water pumping and seepage from the wastewater disposal cells have likely altered the local flow direction significantly during the operation of the two facilities.

### 3.2.1 Aquifer Hydraulic Tests and Data Analysis

Following well completion, DBS&A used slug withdrawal (rising head) tests on monitor wells MW-4, MW-5, and MW-7 to evaluate the in-situ hydraulic conductivity of the upper portion of the Ogallala aquifer in the vicinity of these wells. The three tests provided an expedient means of estimating local hydraulic conductivity (K); however, estimates of specific yield (S<sub>v</sub>) cannot be



1

ļ





ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

obtained by this method. Instead,  $S_y$  estimates were made based on the observed grain size distributions and published values.

The slug test procedure consisted of submerging a sealed bailer of a known volume below the water table, allowing the water level to equilibrate, and then quickly removing the bailer, thereby creating a slug withdrawal. Recovery of the water level to initial static conditions was recorded at frequent intervals using a pressure transducer and a datalogger. In addition, an electrical sounder was used to verify the water level data recorded by the datalogger.

The slug test data were analyzed by the method developed by Bouwer and Rice (1976) for water table aquifers. The Bouwer-Rice procedure requires a graphical plot of residual head or recovery (logarithmic scale) versus time (arithmetic scale) resulting from the "instantaneous" removal of water from a well within an unconfined aquifer. The straight line fitted to the data set and factors determined from the well geometry are used to estimate values of K. Data plots resulting from the slug withdrawal test analyses are included in Appendix C.

The estimated values of K for the three tests ranged from 5.6 ft/day to 7.4 ft/day with a geometric mean of 6.3 ft/day (Table 2). These values are in close agreement with values report by Nativ (1988) for the Ogallala aquifer. Based on the observed grain size distribution and values published by Nativ (1988),  $S_v$  values are probably on the order of 0.15 to 0.25 for the aquifer.

Monitor Well	Hydraulic Conductivity <sup>1</sup> (ft/day)
MW-4	7.4
MW-5	5.9
MW-7	5.6
Geometric mean	6.3

### Table 2. Results of Slug TestsNNG Eunice Compressor Station

<sup>1</sup> Calculated using the Bouwer-Rice (1976) method



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### 3.2.2 Rate of Ground-Water Movement

Average ground-water flow velocities can be estimated from the following equation:

$$\prime = \frac{Ki}{n_{\theta}}$$

where

v = average pore velocity

K = hydraulic conductivity

i = hydraulic gradient

 $n_e = effective porosity$ 

Assuming an effective porosity of 0.20, a hydraulic gradient of 0.0003, and a geometric mean hydraulic conductivity of 6.3 ft/day ( $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/sec), the ground-water velocity is approximately 3.4 ft/yr. This equation provides a higher estimate of contaminant transport rates since it does not take into account retardation effects nor varying flow directions, which inhibit contaminant migration.

### 3.3 Delineation of Subsurface Impacts

The results of DBS&A's supplemental environmental investigation were consistent with those of previous environmental investigations. The extents of soil and ground-water impacts, as delineated by the October 1994 investigation, are discussed in Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2, respectively.

### 3.3.1 Soil Impacts

Headspace analysis of soil samples collected during the drilling program revealed that soil vapor concentrations are below the 100-ppmv guideline described by OCD. The highest PID readings were recorded during the drilling of monitor well MW-5, which is located near the southeastern



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

corner of the site. The highest PID readings were recorded near the top of the caliche layer (approximately 4 feet bgs) and near the water table (approximately 45 to 50 feet bgs). Appendix A contains the results of the headspace analysis for each boring advanced during the investigation.

Analyses of soil vapor samples collected from soil boring SB-1 and MW-5 confirmed that aromatic VOC concentrations above the water table are below the 50-ppmv BTEX criterion set by OCD; however, significant concentrations of  $C_1$  through  $C_9$  aliphatic hydrocarbons are present. Table 3 summarizes the results of vapor analyses performed on the soil gas samples collected from SB-1 and MW-5.

	Loca (Sampl	ation e Date)
Constituent	MW-5 (10/06/94)	SB-1 (10/07/94)
Benzene	19	8
Toluene	10	9
Ethylbenzene	1	3
Xylene (total)	<1	1
Non-methane hydrocarbon	605	476
Methane	1095	404

### Table 3. Summary of Vapor Analyses in Soil GasRecovered from Above the Water TableNNG Eunice Compressor Station

All analyses performed by Core Laboratories, Houston, TX All concentrations in ppmv

Soil samples collected from each boring were submitted to HEAL and analyzed for TPH and BTEX. Tables 4a and 4b provide a summary of the TPH and BTEX concentrations measured in soils sampled by DBS&A. Based on the data collected during this investigation and others, it appears that subsurface soil impacts are limited to the southwestern corner of the site. The only sample containing detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons was the one collected from soil boring SB-1 at the water table interface. To date, the soil contaminant data collected

DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

-

 $\langle\!\langle$ 

# Table 4a. Summary of Soils Analyses for Organic Constituents Monitor Well Borings NNG Eunice Compressor Station

					Sample No. (Sample Date)			
Constituent	Detection Limit	MW-4 @ 9' (10/04/94)	MW-4 @ 52' (10/04/94)	MW-5 @ 52' (10/06/94)	MW-6 @ 12' (10/04/94)	MW-6 @ 52' (10/04/94)	MW-7 @ 17' (10/06/94)	MW-7 @ 52' (10/06/94)
Total petroleum hydroc	arbons by t	EPA method &	3015 modified	(mg/kg)				
Gasoline range (C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>16</sub> )	10	ND	DN	DN	DN	QN	QN	QN
Diesel range (C <sub>16</sub> -C <sub>36</sub> )	10	QN	QN	QN	DN	QN	ΟN	QN
Aromatic VOCs by EPA	I method 80	20 (mg/kg)						
Benzene	0.05	ND	ΟN	DN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Toluene	0.05	ND	DN	ΟN	ΟN	QN	DN	QN
Ethylbenzene	0.05	ND	ND	ND	DN	QN	DN	DN
Total xylenes	0.05	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	DN

Note: All analyses performed by Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Albuquerque, NM

ND = Not detected VOCs = Volatile organic compounds

4215/SUPP-INV.D94/SOIL-MW.D94

Ì

. |

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

 $\langle\!\langle$ 

# Table 4b.Summary of Soils Analyses for Organic ConstituentsSoil Boring SB-1NNG Eunice Compressor Station

						Sample (Sample	le No. ∍ Date)				
		SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1	SB-1
Constituent	Detection	@ 7' (10/07/94)	@ 10-12' (10/07/94)	@ 15-17' (10/07/94)	@ 20-22' (10/07/94)	@ 27' (10/07/94)	@ 32' (10/07/94)	@ 37' (10/07/94)	@ 42' (10/07/94)	@ 47' (10/07/94)	@ 52' (10/07/94)
Total petroleum hydroc	arbons by I	EPA method	1 8015 modil	fied (mg/kg)	-						
Gasoline range (C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>16</sub> )	10	QN	QN	Q	QN	QN	QN	Q	QN	QN	110
Diesel range (C <sub>16</sub> -C <sub>36</sub> )	10	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	570
Aromatic VOCs by EPA	method 80	20 (mg/kg)									
Benzene	0.05	Q	DN	ND	DN	QN	QN	Q	QN	QN	QN
Toluene	0.05	g	QN	DN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Ethylbenzene	0.05	Q	QN	ND	QN	ΟN	Q	Q	QN	QN	0.17
Total xylenes	0.05	QN	QN	DN	QN	Q	QN	Q	DN	QN	0.84

Note: All analyses performed by Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Albuquerque, NM

ND = Not detected VOCs = Volatile organic compounds

4215/SUPP-INV.D94/SOIL-SB.D94

1

1

.....

l



from borings and monitor wells have not substantiated any on-site releases that could have impacted ground-water beneath the site. Further, the analytical data suggest that minimal hydrocarbon mass is contained within the soil column above the water table in the areas of investigation.

### 3.3.2 Ground-Water Impacts

As mentioned in Section 3.1, ground-water samples were collected from each monitor well and analyzed for organic and inorganic constituents. Tables 5 and 6 summarize the constituents detected in the ground water beneath the site. The NMWQCC standard is also given in each table for comparison. Based on analytical results, it appears that the ground water underlying the southern portion of the site has been significantly impacted by a release of petroleum hydrocarbons. Potential on-site sources have been characterized and apparently are not contributing to ground-water impacts. The potential off-site source(s) for the organic compounds detected in the ground water beneath the site remain to be characterized.

As shown in Table 5, ground-water samples from three of the seven monitor wells contained concentrations exceeding NMWQCC standards of several organic constituents. Ground water containing the highest concentrations of organic constituents is next to the southern and western property boundaries, as represented by the analytical results from monitor wells MW-2 and MW-3. In addition, approximately 0.8 foot of phase-separated hydrocarbons (PSH) were measured in monitor well MW-3.

Based on the analysis of aromatic and halogenated VOCs and PAHs, the compounds that exceed the NMWQCC standards are BTEX and total naphthalenes (naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2-methylnaphthalene). Figure 6 shows the estimated on-site extent of actionable ground water (i.e., water containing one or more regulated constituent at a concentration that exceeds the NMWQCC standards for that constituent) based on the BTEX concentrations. The areal extent of total naphthalenes exceeding the NMWQCC standard of  $30 \mu g/L$  appears to be limited to the southwestern portion of the site.

4215\SUPP-INV.D94\INV-RPT.D13

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

 $\langle\!\langle\!\langle$ 

# Table 5. Summary of Ground-Water Analyses for Organic Constituents NNG Eunice Compressor Station Page 1 of 2

				;)	Well No. Sample Date	(6			
Constituent	Detection Limit	MW-1 (10/03/94)	MW-2 (10/03/94)	MW-3 (10/03/94)	MW-4 (10/04/94)	MW-5 (10/06/94)	MW-6 (10/05/94)	MW-7 (10/07/94)	NMWQCC Standard
Total petroleum hydrocar	bons by EF	A method 6	1015 modifie	id (mg/L)					
Gasoline range (C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>16</sub> )	0.05	0.08	15 <sup>a</sup>	19 <sup>b</sup>	QN	0.27	QN	1.2	None
Diesel range (C <sub>16</sub> -C <sub>36</sub> )	1.0	QN	QN	12	QN	QN	QN	QN	None
Aromatic VOCs by EPA n	nethod 8020	(µg/L)							
Benzene	0.5	1.6	6300 <sup>a</sup>	3000 <sup>b</sup>	QN	70	0.7	8.1	10
Toluene	0.5	0.6	ND <sup>a</sup>	1000 <sup>b</sup>	QN	QN	QN	QN	750
Ethylbenzene	0.5	1.1	1300 <sup>a</sup>	1200 <sup>b</sup>	QN	44	QN	42	750
Total xylenes	0.5	0.9	ND <sup>a</sup>	2600 <sup>b</sup>	DN	0.9	QN	66	620
Halogenated VOCs by EP	A method 6	1010 (µg/L)							
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	0.8	ND <sup>a</sup>	ND <sup>b</sup>	QN	QN	QN	Q	None
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	0.9	ND <sup>a</sup>	ND <sup>b</sup>	0.5	QN	Q	QN	None
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.2	QN	ND <sup>a</sup>	ND <sup>b</sup>	0.4	QN	QN	QN	25
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.2	QN	ND <sup>a</sup>	ND <sup>b</sup>	0.4	QN	QN	QN	10
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.2	0.3	ND <sup>a</sup>	ND <sup>b</sup>	ΠN	QN	QN	Q	None
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.2	0.3	ND <sup>a</sup>	νD <sup>b</sup>	0.7	QN	QN	QN	None
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.2	Q	ND <sup>a</sup>	ND <sup>b</sup>	0.4	QN	QN	QN	10
	L				- - -				

Notes: All analyses performed by Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Albuquerque, NM Bold values indicate concentration exceeds NMWQCC ground-water standard

NMWQCC = New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission ND = Not detected VOCs = Volatile organic compounds

4215\SUPP-INV.D94\GW-ORG.D94

<sup>a</sup> Sample analyzed at 40x dilution; accordingly, detection limits were 40x the value listed <sup>b</sup> Sample analyzed at 20x dilution; accordingly, detection limits were 40x the value listed

•

i

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

# Table 5. Summary of Ground-Water Analyses for Organic Constituents NNG Eunice Compressor Station Page 2 of 2

				5)	Well No. Sample Date	(1			=
Constituent	Detection Limit	MW-1 (10/03/94)	MW-2 (10/03/94)	MW-3 (10/03/94)	MW-4 (10/04/94)	MW-5 (10/06/94)	MW-6 (10/05/94)	MW-7 (10/07/94)	NMWQCC Standard
Polynuclear aromatic hyd	rocarbons	by EPA met	t) 0018 pou	ug/L)					
Naphthalene	0.5	QN	6.3	95	QN	0.5	QN	0.7	
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.5	0.9	1.7	200	QN	ΟN	QN	0.9	30°
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.5	ΟN	2.3	88	0.5	DN	DN	1.9	
Acenaphthene	0.5	ΟN	QN	17 <sup>d</sup>	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.6	None
Fluorene	0.5	ΟN	0.9	15 <sup>d</sup>	QN	ND	ΠN	DN	None
Pyrene	0.5	QN	ND	130 <sup>d</sup>	QN	QN	QN	QN	None

Notes: All analyses performed by Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Albuquerque, NM Bold values indicate concentration exceeds NMWQCC ground-water standard

NMWQCC = New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission ND = Not detected VOCs = Volatile organic compounds

 $^{\rm c}$  NMWQCC standard is for total naphthalene, which includes naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2-methylnaphthalene  $^{\rm d}$  Sample analyzed at 2x dilution; accordingly, detection limit was 1.0  $\mu g/L$ 

4215/SUPP-INV.094/GW-ORG.N94

| | |

|

I

ļ

L

DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

(((

# Table 6. Summary of Ground-Water Analyses for Inorganic Constituents NNG Eunice Compressor Station

				3	Well No. Sample Date	(			
Constituent	Detection Limit	MW-1 (10/03/94)	MW-2 (10/03/94)	MW-3 (10/03/94)	MW-4 (10/04/94)	MW-5 (10/06/94)	MW-6 (10/05/94)	MW-7 (10/07/94)	NMWQCC Standard
Major ions (mg/L)									
Calcium	0.1	133	96.2	77.2	89.9	16.1	54.6	129	None
Potassium	1.0	3.1	5.8	4.8	6.5	20.1	12.2	8.5	None
Magnesium	0.1	119	98.2	42.1	68.8	29.7	59.8	162	None
Sodium	0.1	346	2120	100	626	1840	1560	1130	None
Total alkalinity (as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	1.0	582	1110	794	510	803	576	433	None
Chloride	0.5	750	3000	620	940	2400	2100	2100	250
NO <sub>2</sub> /NO <sub>3</sub> - N, total	0.06	DN	DN	QN	QN	0.08	Q	QN	10.0
Sulfate	5	DN	20	20	QN	6	QN	QN	600
Total dissolved solids	10	1700	5900	2800	2000	4700	4000	4000	1000
Metals (mg/L)									
Silver	0.010	Q	ND	DN	ND	QN	QN	QN	0.05
Arsenic	0.005	0.039	0.029	0.027	0.015	0.027	0.017	0.012	0.1
Barium	0.01	1.52	1.33	5.01	0.445	0.934	0.997	9.72	1.0
Cadmium	0.0005	QN	0.0011	DN	DN	QN	0.0012	QN	0.01
Chromium	0.010	Q	Q	DN	QN	DN	Q	QN	0.05
Copper	0.010	QN	QN	DN	DN	DN	Q	QN	1.0
Iron	0.020	2.26	0.345	16.9	DN	0.047	Q	QN	1.0
Mercury	0.0002	Q	Q	QN	DN	DN	QN	QN	0.002
Manganese	0.010	0.058	0.262	1.48	0.206	0.020	0.065	0.100	0.2
Lead	0.002	QN	DN	0.003	QN	QN	QN	QN	0.05
Selenium	0.005	QN	QN	QN	DN	DN	QN	DN	0.05
Zinc	0.020	Q	QN	DN	DN	QN	g	Q	10

Notes: All analyses performed by Analytical Technologies, Inc., Albuquerque, NM Bold values indicate concentration exceeds NMWQCC ground-water standard. Metals samples were field filtered.

NMWQCC = New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission ND = Not detected

i

İ

| ; ;

:

i

İ

4215/SUPP-INV.D94/GW-INORG.D94





ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

The TPH analyses indicate that the petroleum hydrocarbons detected in ground water are primarily low-molecular-weight (gasoline range) hydrocarbons, with the exception of samples collected from monitor well MW-3, which contains a mixture of low- and high-molecular-weight (diesel range) hydrocarbons (Table 5). The compositional difference between samples collected from monitor wells MW-2 and MW-3 suggests that separate sources may be responsible for ground-water contamination identified at these two locations.

The inorganic chemical analyses indicate that ground-water samples from all monitor wells (including upgradient monitor wells) exceed NMWQCC standards for TDS and chloride (Table 6). Figure 7 shows the distribution of TDS in ground water underlying the site.

Several samples also exceeded NMWQCC standards for manganese, iron, and barium. The elevated concentrations may result from a combination of depleted dissolved oxygen in the aquifer near the hydrocarbon source areas, and a resultant mobilization of metals under reducing conditions, and/or recharge of process water containing elevated concentrations of these constituents.




ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

#### 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report summarizes the October 1994 supplemental environmental investigation undertaken by Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. at Northern Natural Gas Company's Eunice compressor station. The purpose of the investigation was to evaluate the extent of subsurface impacts related to the possible release of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastewaters from the Northern Natural Gas compressor station. During the course of the investigation, background information was reviewed, one soil boring and four monitor wells were installed, three aquifer hydraulic tests (rising head slug tests) were conducted, fluid levels were measured, all seven site wells were surveyed to a common datum, and all site monitor wells were sampled.

Based on the data gathered to date, the following conclusions can be made regarding the site hydrogeologic properties and the extent of subsurface contamination:

- Ground water beneath the site is unconfined and is present at approximately 52 feet below ground surface. Ground-water flow is generally to the south; however, local flow directions appear to vary, perhaps in response to local recharge and/or ground-water pumping.
- Slug tests indicate that the average hydraulic conductivity of the upper portion of the Ogallala aquifer is approximately 6.3 feet per day. The local ground-water velocity is estimated to be 3.5 feet per year.
- Field headspace and laboratory analyses indicate that the extent of actionable soil contamination is limited to the water table interface in the southwestern portion of the site.
- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons are present in ground water beneath the site in concentrations exceeding the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) standards. The total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in ground water are composed of both gasoline- and diesel-range constituents near monitor well MW-3, whereas TPH consists primarily of gasoline-range constituents near monitor well MW-2.



DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

- Phase-separated hydrocarbons (PSH) are present in the southwestern portion of the site, as evidenced by the 0.8 foot of PSH measured in monitor well MW-3.
- Inorganic chemical analyses of water samples indicate that NMWQCC standards were exceeded for total dissolved solids (TDS), chloride, barium, iron, and manganese. However, TDS and chloride concentrations were also exceeded in samples collected from upgradient wells.
- Actionable ground water is present along the entire southern fence line, which is shared with the Texaco gas plant, and extends 75 to 140 feet north of the fence.
- No significant source areas for contamination were identified within the boundary of the NNG facility.

To date, the soil contaminant data collected from borings and monitor wells have not substantiated any on-site releases that could have impacted ground water beneath the site. Further, the analytical data suggest that minimal hydrocarbon mass is contained within the soil column above the water table in the areas of investigation.

However, the results of this investigation do indicate that the ground water underlying the southern portion of the site has been significantly impacted by a release of petroleum hydrocarbons. Although the source(s) of this contamination have not been identified, the compositional difference between samples collected from monitor wells MW-2 and MW-3 suggests that separate sources may be responsible for the ground-water contamination identified at these two locations. Further investigation that addresses potential off-site sources would be necessary to characterize the source area(s) for the organic compounds detected in the ground water beneath the site.

34



DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

#### REFERENCES

- Bouwer, H. and R.C. Rice. 1976. A Slug Test for Determining Hydraulic Conductivity of Unconfined Aquifers With Completely or Partially Penetrating Wells. Water Resources Research 12(3):423-428.
- Brown & Root Environmental. 1993. Final Report: Installation of Monitoring Wells, Eunice Compressor Station. Prepared for Transwestern Pipeline Company, August 1993.

İ

- Metric Corporation. 1991. Shallow Subsurface Investigation at the Eunice Compressor Station, Lea County, New Mexico. Prepared for Transwestern Pipeline Company, Roswell, New Mexico, December 1991.
- Nativ, R. 1988. Hydrogeology and Hydrochemistry of the Ogallala Aquifer, Southern High Plains, Texas Panhandle and Eastern New Mexico. Report of Investigations No. 177, Bureau of Economic Geology, The University of Texas at Austin.
- New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD). 1993. Unlined Surface Impoundment Closure Guidelines (February 1993). Tab 7b. *In* Environmental Regulations, State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- Nicholson, A., Jr. and A. Clebsch, Jr. 1961. Geology and Ground Water Conditions in Southern Lea County, New Mexico. U.S. Geological Survey Ground-Water Report 6. New Mexico Bureau of Mines & Mineral Resources, Socorro, New Mexico, 123 p.
- York, C.R. 1980. Letter from Charles R. York, Environmental Coordinator, Getty Oil Company, to State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, Water Quality Control Commission, Oil Conservation Division, Attention Mr. Joe D. Ramey, Director, regarding Water Discharge Plan, Getty Oil Company, Eunice No. 1 and No. 2 Gas Plants. November 18, 1980.

# **APPENDIX A**

# BORING LOGS AND SURVEY DATA



. . . .

----





-





AREA 505 393-3942



412 North Dal Paso Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

2

October 10, 1994

Bob Morley Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. 6020 Academy NE, Suite 100 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109

Dear Bob:

Here are the results of the Survey performed at the Enron Eunice Plant in Sec.27, T22S, R37E, Lea County, New Mexico on October 07,1994.

WELL NO	NORTH (Y)	EAST (X)	ELEV. GROUND	ELEV. TOP/PVC
MW-1	2+67.9	-1+97.7	3335.83	3337.94
MW-2	0+02.1	-1+57.3	3334.74	3336.75
MW-3	0+81.0	-4+42.2	3335.61	3337.72
MW-4	2+50.7	-4+30.3	3336.11	3335.93
MW-5	0+47.4	-0+10.6	3334.36	3334.17
MW-6	0+88 <b>.9</b>	-0+82.8	3334.33	3334.20
MW-7	0+94.2	-2+94.4	3334.83	3334.73
SB-1	0+16.0	-4+29.9	3335.45	N/A

NOTE: North (Y) 0+00 East (X) 0+00 is a rebar with Aluminum cap marked "N 0+00 E 0+00" at the southeast corner of the plant. Elev.-3333.96

Please feel free to contact me if we can be of any further assistance. Sincerely,

bay & Ela

Gary Eidson

GE/ca

# **APPENDIX B**

# ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORTS

Soil Vapor Analyses

		ANALYSIS REQUEST	549	€ (N 10 K)	) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	3 [ 4 bc bittoloV bo HA9 ro HA9 ro Y <b>O</b> ¥ Y	Айг Вирріез 610 (PNA 607/602 EDB (Meth 607/602								to make the test the test test test test test	
			()	20) (Gas/Diesel) (Soline Only) (Soline Only)	bH (CC 2 WOD 2 WOD 15\80	L + 38 L + 38 LW + F G L 08 P O9) 38	BTEX + MT BTEX + MT BTEX + TP BTEX + MT BTEX + MT		. 7					imorks:	Received Sampl	
	Project Name: ENRON-ENNICLS	Project #:	4215	Project Manager: BOB MARLETY	Sampler: CM P / B M	Samples Cold?  Tres No No No No No No No No No No No No No	Number/Volume HgCl2 HCI Other	X Survey	X MM F?					Received By: (Signature)	Bun adott () - Jun -	Received By: (Signature)
-OF-CUSTODY RECORD	VIEL B, STEPHENS ALGO C	020 ALADENY Not 100	3UQUERQUE NON 87109		505-822-9400	05-822-88477	Time Matrix Sample I.D. No.	55 WARR MW-5	2.35 NAPOR 5 B-1					Time: Rejtypuished By: (Signature)	130 Chreve Pryry	Time: Relinquished By: (Signature)
CHAIN	Client: DPA	Address: $\mathcal{S}_{\iota}$	AL		Phone # : J	Fux #: S.	Date	16/6/94 11	1 hb/L/91					Date:	h1/4/2/01	Date:

i



**Core Laboratories** 

# LABORATORY TESTS RESULTS 11/07/94

#### CUSTOMER: DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES ATTN: BOB MARLEY

CLIENT I.D...... Enron-Eunice #4215 DATE SAMPLED...... 10/06/94 TIME SAMPLED...... 11:55 WORK DESCRIPTION...: MW-5

JOB NUMBER: 945277

LABORATORY I.D:	945277-0001
DATE RECEIVED:	10/11/94
TIME RECEIVED:	12:24
REMARKS	Cylinder# 1545

TEST DESCRIPTION	FINAL RESULT	LIMITS/*DILUTION	UNITS OF MEASURE	TEST METHOD	DATE	TECHN
Benzene, Toluene, Xylenes in Gas		*1			10/20/94	MJM
Benzene	19	1	pom v/v			
Toluene	10	1 1	pom v/v	l.		
Ethyl Benzene	1	1	DDM V/V			
meta-Xylene	<1	1	ppm v/v			
para-Xylene	<1	1	ppm v/v			
ortho-Xylene	<1	1	ppm v/v			
Refinery Gas Analysis, Extended		*1			10/20/94	MIM
Hydrogen	<0.01	0.01	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Oxygen	17.78	0.01	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Nitrogen	82.05	0.01	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Carbon Monoxide	<0.01	0.01	Mol %	ASTM D-1946		
Carbon Dioxide	<0.01	0.01	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Hydrogen Sulfide	<0.01	0.01	Mol %			
Methane	0.1095	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Ethylene	<0.0001	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-1946		
Ethane	0.0116	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Propylene	<0.0001	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
Propane	0.0143	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Isobutane	0.0034	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
Isobutylene	<0.0001	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
1-Butene	<0.0001	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
n-Butane	0.0201	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-1945		
trans-2-Butene	<0.0001	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
cis-2-Butene	<0.0001	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
Isopentane	0.0033	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
n-Pentane	0.0011	0.0001	Mol %	ASTM D-2163		
Hexanes	0.0017	0.0001	Mol 7			
neptanes October	0.0055	0.0001	MOL %			
Uctanes	0.0015	0.0001	MOL %			
Nonaries	0.0002	0.0001	Mol %			
Updeepee		0.0001	MOL %			
Dedeeanes Dive	<0.0001	0.0001	MOL %			
Total		0.0001	Mol %			
Totat	100.00	0.01	MOL &			
Ĩ						
		1			1	
<b>P</b>						
			Р	O BOX 34766		
1			HC (7	USTON, TX 77234-4 113) 943-9776	282	

PAGE:1

The analyses, comions or interpretations contained in this report are based upon observations and material supplied by the client for whose exclusive and confidential use this report has been made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgment of Core Laboratories. Core Laboratories, however, assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty or representations, express or implied, as to the productivity, proper operations, or prolitableness of any oil, gas, coal or other mineral, property, well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon for any reason whatsoever. This report shall not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the written approval of Core Laboratories.



**Core Laboratories** 

# LABORATORY TESTS RESULTS 11/07/94

#### JOB NUMBER: 945277

CUSTOMER: DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES

### SSOCIATES ATTN: BOB MARLEY

CLIENT I.D.....: Enron-Eunice #4215 DATE SAMPLED.....: 10/07/94 TIME SAMPLED.....: 12:35 WORK DESCRIPTION...: SB-1

LABORATORY I.D:	945277-0002
DATE RECEIVED:	10/11/94
TIME RECEIVED:	12:24
REMARKS	Cylinder# 1548

Benzene, Toluene, Xylenes in Gas       *1         Benzene       8       1       ppm v/v         Toluene       9       1       ppm v/v         Ethyl Benzene       3       1       ppm v/v         meta-Xylene       1       1       ppm v/v         para-Xylene       <1       1       ppm v/v         ortho-Xylene       <1       1       ppm v/v         ortho-Xylene       <1       1       ppm v/v         Refinery Gas Analysis, Extended       *1       *1         Hydrogen       <0.01       0.01       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Oxygen       19.06       0.01       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Nitrogen       <0.01       0.01       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Carbon Monoxide       <0.01       0.01       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Hydrogen Sulfide       <0.01       0.01       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Hydrogen Sulfide       <0.01       0.001       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Ethylene       <0.0001       0.0001       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Ethylene       <0.0001       0.0001       Mol %       ASTM D-1945         Ethane	1	
Benzene81ppm v/vToluene91ppm v/vEthyl Benzene31ppm v/vmeta-Xylene11ppm v/vpara-Xylene<1	10/20/94	MJM
Toluene91ppm v/vEthyl Benzene31ppm v/vmeta-Xylene11ppm v/vpara-Xylene<1		
Ethyl Benzene meta-Xylene31ppm v/vpara-Xylene11ppm v/vortho-Xylene<1		
meta-Xytene11ppm v/vpara-Xytene<1		
para-Xylene<11ppm v/vortho-Xylene<1		
ortho-Xylene       <1		
Refinery Gas Analysis, Extended       *1         Hydrogen       <0.01		
Hydrogen       <0.01	10/20/94	MUM
Oxygen         19.06         0.01         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Nitrogen         80.86         0.01         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Carbon Monoxide         <0.01		
Nitrogen         80.86         0.01         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Carbon Monoxide         <0.01	1	
Carbon Monoxide         <0.01         0.01         Mol %         ASTM D-1946           Carbon Dioxide         <0.01		
Carbon Dioxide         <0.01         0.01         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Hydrogen Sulfide         <0.01		
Hydrogen Sulfide         <0.01         0.01         Mol %           Methane         0.0404         0.0001         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Ethylene         <0.0001	1	
Methane         0.0404         0.0001         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Ethylene         <0.0001		
Ethylene         <0.0001         0.0001         Mol %         ASTM D-1946           Ethane         0.0054         0.0001         Mol %         ASTM D-1945           Propylene         <0.0001		
Ethane 0.0054 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-1945 Propylene <0.0001 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-2163		
Propylene <0.0001 0.0001 Mol % ASTM 0.2163	1	
Propane 0.0057 0.0001 Nol % ASTM D-1945		
Isobutane 0.0034 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-1945		
Isobutylene <0.0001 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-2163		
1-Butene <0.0001 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-2163		
n-Butane 0.0076 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-1945		
trans-2-Butene <0.0001 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-2163		
cis-2-Butene      <0.0001		
Isopentane 0.0034 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-2163	1	
n-Pentane 0.0024 0.0001 Mol % ASTM D-2163		
Kexanes 0.0051 0.0001 Mol %	ļ.	
Heptanes 0.0069 0.0001 Mol %		
Octanes 0.0045 0.0001 Nol %	Į	
Nonanes 0.0009 0.0001 Mol %		
Decanes 0.0008 0.0001 Mol %		
Undecanes 0.0008 0.0001 Not %		
Dodecanes Plus 0.0007 0.0001 Mol %		
Total 100.00 0.01 Mol %	}	
	ļ	
	1	
	ļ	
	1	
	1	
	1	
	<u> </u>	
r υ ουλ 34/00 μαιστοι τν 7737/-/392		

#### PAGE:2

The analyses, opinions or interpretations contained in this report are based upon observations and material supplied by the client for whose exclusive and confidential use this report has been made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the cest ludgment of Core Laboratories. Core Laboratories, however, assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty or representations, express or implied, as to the productivity, proper operations, or prolitableness of any oil, gas, coal or other mineral, property, well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon for any reason whatsoever. This report shall not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the written approval of Core Laboratories.

REDEVED HOV . 304



**Core Laboratories** 

CORE LABORATORIES ANALYTICAL REPORT Job Number: 945277 Prepared For: DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES BOB MARLEY 6020 ACADEMY NE ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87109 Date: 11/07/94

Aits Signature

Name: M. Jean Waits

Title: Supervising Chemist

Date:

CORE LABORATORIES P O BOX 34766 HOUSTON, TX 77234-4282

The analyses, opinions or interpretations contained in this report are based upon observations and material supplied by the client for whose exclusive and confidential use this report has been made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgment of Core Laboratones. Core Laboratones, however, assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty or representations, express or implied, as to the productivity, proper operations, or protitableness of any oil, gas, coal or other mineral, property, well or sand in connection with which such report is used or relied upon for any reason whatsoever. This report shall not be reproduced except in its entirely, without the written approval of Core Laboratones.

Organic Analyses

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 2403 San Mateo NE, Suite P-13 Albuquerque, NM 87110

Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc. 6020 Academy NE, Suite 100 Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear Mr. Bob Marley,

Enclosed are the results for the analyses that were requested. These were done according to EPA procedures or the equivalent.

Detection limits are determined by EPA methodology. Unless noted on sample page, all criteria for QA/QC acceptance levels fall within established parameters. These parameters are modeled from the EPA-600 14-79 019, March 1979, "Handbook for Analytical Quality Control in Water and Waste Water."

Please don't hesitate to contact me for any additional information or clarifications

Sincerely,

10/21/94

10/17/94

Scott Hallenbeck, Lab Manager

**Project: ENRON - Eunice** 

Date collected: 10/6/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-1
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	70	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis )	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	44	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	0.9	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 100 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 90 % Dilution Factor = 1

Date collected:10/6/94Date received:10/10/94Date extracted:10/12/94Date analyzed:10/15/94Client:Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name:ENRON - EuniceHeal #:9410026-1Project Manager:Bob MarleySampled by:BM/CPMatrix:Aqueous

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	0.5	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	0.8	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 80 %

Date collected: 10/6/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/12,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410026-1
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	-

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	0.27	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 118 %

Dilution Factor = 1

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 96 %

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-2
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	8.1	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Cis )	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	42	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1, 1. 1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	99	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 86 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 101 % Dilution Factor = 1

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/12,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410026-2
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	1.2	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 107 %

Dilution Factor = 1

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 129

T

Date collected: NA	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-3
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

1

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	71	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Cis )	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	43	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1,1,2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	1.6	0.5	PPB (UC/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 105 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 98 % Dilution Factor = 1

Date collected: NA	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410026-3
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	0.7	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 89 %

Date collected: NA	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/12,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410026-3
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	-

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	0.26	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 110 %

Dilution Factor = 1

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 112 %

# **Results for sample: Trip Blank**

Date collected: NA	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-4
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: NA
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.2,2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1.1,1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 81 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 89 % Dilution Factor = 1

# Results for sample: Trip Blank

Date collected: NA	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410026-4
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: NA
Matrix: Aqueous	

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 96 %

#### Results for sample: MW-5 @ 52'

Date collected: 10/6/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-5
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 72 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 82 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 87 %

### Results for sample: MW-7 @ 17'

Date collected: 10/6/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-6
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 78 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 88 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 84 %

## Results for sample: MW-7 @ 52'

Date collected: 10/6/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-7
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 80 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 89 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 86 %

### Results for sample: SB-1 @ 7'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-8
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 85 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 97 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 102 %

Dilution Factor = 1

١,

#### Results for sample: SB-1 @ 10-12'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associ	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-9
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 81 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 92 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 72 %

### Results for sample: SB-1 @ 15-17'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-10
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 90 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 100 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 94 %

### Results for sample: SB-1 @ 20-22'

Date received: 10/10/94
Date analyzed: 10/12/94
ates Inc
HEAL # 9410026-11
Sampled by: BM/CP
Sampled by. Divi/Ci

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 83 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 96 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 76 %

## Results for sample: SB-1 @ 27'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-12
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 82 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 97 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 81 %
# Results for sample: SB-1 @ 32'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-13
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 80 %

Dilution Factor = 1

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 96 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 87 %

#### Results for sample: SB-1 @ 37'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-14
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 81 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 88 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 101 %

#### Results for sample: SB-1 @ 42'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-15
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 88 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 100 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 91 %

# Results for sample: SB-1 @ 47'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-16
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 84 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 96 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 75 %

## Results for sample: SB-1 @ 52'

Date collected: 10/7/94	Date received: 10/10/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/12/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410026-17
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	0.17	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	0.84	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 107 %

Dilution Factor = 1

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	110	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = \*\* %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	570	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 72 %

Dilution Factor = 1

\*\* Surrogate non-recoverable due to matrix interference

Date extracted: NADate analyzed: 10/15/94Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name: ENRON - EuniceHEAL #: RB 10/15Project Manager: Bob MarleyMatrix: Aqueous

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Cis )	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1, 1, 1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 97 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 85 % Dilution Factor = 1

Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: RB 10/12
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 76 %

Date extracted:10/12/94Date analyzed:10/12,16/94Client:Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name:ENRON - EuniceHeal #:RB 10/12,16Project Manager:Bob MarleyMatrix:Aqueous

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 93 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 110 %

Date extracted:10/11/94Date analyzed:10/12/94Client:Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name:ENRON - EuniceHeal #:RB 10/11Project Manager:Bob MarleyMatrix:Non-Aqueous

#### Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 97 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 105 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 72 %

Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associ	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410022-1 MS/MSD
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	Units: PPB (UG/L)

# Results for QC: Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Dup

## Test: EPA 8010/8020

Compound	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
_	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Chlorobenzene	<0.2	20.0	18.1	91	18.0	90	1
Ethylbenzene	<0.5	20.0	17.6	88	17.7	89	1
1,1 <b>-</b> DCE	<0.2	20.0	16.6	83	16.9	85	2
Trans-1,2-DCE	<0.2	20.0	16.6	83	16.4	82	1
Carbon							
Tetrachloride	<0.2	20.0	18.7	94	17.9	90	4
1,2-DCA	< 0.2	20.0	18.5	93	16.8	84	10
1,2-Dichloro-							
propane	< 0.2	20.0	18.4	92	17.6	88	4
1,1,2 <b>-</b> TCA	< 0.2	20.0	17.9	90	16.3	82	9
PCE	<0.2	20.0	17.8	89	16.6	83	7
1,3-Dichloro-							
benzene	< 0.2	20.0	18.4	92	16.5	83	11
1,3-Dichloro-							
benzene	<0.2	20.0	19.3	97	17.7	89	9

# Results for QC: Blank Spike/Blank Spike Dup

Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: BS/BSD 10/12
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	Units: PPB (UG/L)

# Test: EPA 8100

	Sample	Amount	Blank	A-4494-194-19-949-	BSD	BSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	BS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Naphthalene	<0.5	10.0	7.5	75	8.5	85	13
Acenaphthylene	< 0.5	10.0	7.9	79	8.5	85	7
Acenaphthene	<0.5	10.0	7.9	79	8.5	85	7
Flourene	<0.5	10.0	9.2	92	9.1	91	1
Phenanthrene	< 0.5	10.0	10.2	102	9.8	98	4
Anthracene	<0.5	10.0	10.7	107	9.5	95	12
Pyrene	< 0.5	10.0	9.6	96	9.7	97	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.5	10.0	9.4	94	11.4	114	19
Benzo(g,h,i)-							
perylene	<1.0	10.0	10.0	100	11.1	111	11

# Results for QC: Blank Spike / Blank Spike Dup

Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: BS/BSD 10/11,13
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	Units: PPM (MG/L)

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Blank		BSD	BSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	BS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Gasoline	< 0.05	0.50	0.54	108	0.51	102	6

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Blank		BSD	BSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	BS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Diesel	<1.0	5.4	6.3	117	5.6	104	12

# Results for QC: Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Dup

Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/11/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-8 MS/MSD
Project Manager: Bob Marley	9410026-12 MS/MSD
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	Units: PPM (MG/KG)

## Test: EPA 8020

	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Benzene	< 0.05	1.00	0.96	96	0.84	84	13
Toluene	< 0.05	1.00	1.05	105	0.93	93	12
Ethylbenzene	< 0.05	1.00	0.97	97	0.87	87	11
Total Xylenes	< 0.05	3.00	3.09	103	2.79	93	10

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Gasoline	<10	50	36	72	38	76	5

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Diesel	<10	54	49	91	54	100	10

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 2403 San Mateo NE, Suite P-13 Albuquerque, NM 87110 10/21/94

Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc. 6020 Academy NE, Suite 100 Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear Mr. Bob Marley,

Enclosed are the results for the analyses that were requested. These were done according to EPA procedures or the equivalent.

Detection limits are determined by EPA methodology. Unless noted on sample page, all criteria for QA/QC acceptance levels fall within established parameters. These parameters are modeled from the EPA-600 14-79 019, March 1979, "Handbook for Analytical Quality Control in Water and Waste Water."

Please don't hesitate to contact me for any additional information or clarifications.

Sincerely,

10/21/94

Scott Hallenbeck, Lab Manager

**Project: ENRON - Eunice** 

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-1
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	1.6	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	0.8	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0.9	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	0.3	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	0.3	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	0.6	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1,2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	0.9	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 91 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 92 % Dilution Factor = 1

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-1
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	0.9	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 94 %

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-1
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	0.08	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

ļ.

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 100 %

Dilution Factor = 1

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 108 %

Dilution Factor = 1

4

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-2
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	3,000	10	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	1,200	10	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	1,000	10	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	2,600	10	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	4.0	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	50	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 89 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 80 % Dilution Factor = 20

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-2
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	95	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	88	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	200	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	17	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	15	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	130	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = \*\* %

Dilution Factor = 1

\*\* Surrogate non-recoverable due to matrix interference

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-2
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	19	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 118 %

Dilution Factor = 20

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	12	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 111 %

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-3
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	6,300	20	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	1,300	20	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
1.1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	20	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	8.0	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	100	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 93 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 89 % Dilution Factor = 40

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-3
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	6.3	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	2.3	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	1.7	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	0.9	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

9

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 101

Date collected: 10/3/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-3
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	15	2.0	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 103 %

Dilution Factor = 40

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 110 %

Date collected: 10/4/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-4
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.4	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.4	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.7	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1,1,2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1, 1. 1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	0.4	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 88 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 83 % Dilution Factor = 1

Date collected: 10/4/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-4
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	0.5	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	1.1	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 96 %

Date collected: 10/4/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associ	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-4
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 99 %

Dilution Factor = 1

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 103 %

Date collected: 10/5/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-5
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

## Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	0.7	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (U(;/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 88 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 95 % Dilution Factor = 1

14

Date collected: 10/5/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associ	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-5
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	:1	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	1 1	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	0.7	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 83 %

Date collected: 10/5/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: 9410019-5
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Aqueous	-

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 99 %

Dilution Factor = 1

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 73 %

#### Results for sample: MW-4 @ 9'

Date collected: 10/4/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/11/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-6
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 82 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 91 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 81 %

## Results for sample: MW-4 @ 52'

Date collected: 10/4/94	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/11/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-7
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: BM/CP
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 84 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 92 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 99 %

#### Results for sample: MW-6 @ 52'

Date collected:10/4/94Date received:10/6/94Date extracted:10/10/94Date analyzed:10/11/94Client:Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name:ENRON - EuniceHEAL #:9410019-8Project Manager:Bob MarleySampled by:BM/CPMatrix:Non-AqueousNon-AqueousNon-AqueousNon-Aqueous

**Test: EPA 8020** 

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 83 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 92 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 102 %

#### Results for sample: MW-6 @ 12'

Date collected:10/4/94Date received:10/6/94Date extracted:10/10/94Date analyzed:10/11/94Client:Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name:ENRON - EuniceHEAL #:9410019-9Project Manager:Bob MarleySampled by:BM/CPMatrix:Non-AqueousNon-AqueousNon-Aqueous

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 84 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 94 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 76 %

## **Results for sample: Trip Blank**

Date collected: NA	Date received: 10/6/94
Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-10
Project Manager: Bob Marley	Sampled by: NA
Matrix: Aqueous	

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1,1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis )	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1,1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
МТВЕ	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 90 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 89 % Dilution Factor = 1

#### **Results for sample: Trip Blank**

Date collected: NADate received: 10/6/94Date extracted: NADate analyzed: 10/16/94Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name: ENRON - EuniceHEAL #: 9410019-10Project Manager: Bob MarleySampled by: NAMatrix: Aqueous

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 98 %

Date extracted: NADate analyzed: 10/13/94Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name: ENRON - EuniceHEAL #: RB 10/13Project Manager: Bob MarleyMatrix: Aqueous

#### Test: EPA 8010/8020

Analyte:	Results	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Bromodichloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Bromoform	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Bromomethane	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Carbon Tetrachloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloroform	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Chloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibromochloromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Dichlorodifluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1-Dichloroethene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Cis)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloroethene (Trans)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.2-Dichloropropane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Dichloromethane	nd	2.0	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Toluene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Trichloroethene (TCE)	nd	0.2	PPB (UC/L)
Vinyl Chloride	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
Xylenes (Total)	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Trichlorofluoromethane	nd	0.2	PPB (UG/L)
MTBE	nd	2.5	PPB (UG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 97 % BCM (Surrogate) Recovery = 80 % Dilution Factor = 1
Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: RB 10/10
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	

# **Results for QC: Reagent Blank**

Test: EPA 8100

Compound	Result	Detection limit	Units
Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
2-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
1-Methyl Naphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthalene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Acenaphthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluorene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Phenanthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Fluoranthrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) anthracene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Chrysene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (a) pyrene	nd	0.5	PPB (UG/L)
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	nd	1.0	PPB (UG/L)

Hexadecane (Surrogate) Recovery = 98 %

Dilution Factor = 1

# **Results for QC: Reagent Blank**

Date extracted: 10/11/94Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associates, Inc.Project Name: ENRON - EuniceHeal #: RB 10/11,16Project Manager: Bob MarleyMatrix: Aqueous

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Gasoline	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/L)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 93 %

Dilution Factor = 1

#### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	1.0	PPM (MG/L)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 94 %

Dilution Factor = 1

# **Results for QC: Reagent Blank**

Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,16/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associa	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	Heal #: RB 10/11,16
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	

Test: EPA 8020

Compound	Result	Detection Limit	Units
Benzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Toluene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Ethylbenzene	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)
Total Xylenes	nd	0.05	PPM (MG/KG)

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 85 %

Dilution Factor = 1

## Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units	
Gasoline	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)	

BFB (Surrogate) Recovery = 100 %

Dilution Factor = 1

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

Compound	Result	<b>Detection Limit</b>	Units
Diesel	nd	10	PPM (MG/KG)

DNOP (Surrogate) Recovery = 72 %

Dilution Factor = 1

Date extracted: NA	Date analyzed: 10/13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associ	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410022-1 MS/MSD
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	Units: PPB (UG/L)

# Results for QC: Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Dup

# Test: EPA 8010/8020

Compound	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Chlorobenzene	< 0.2	20.0	18.1	91	18.0	90	1
Ethylbenzene	< 0.5	20.0	17.6	88	17.7	89	1
1.1-DCE	<0.2	20.0	16.6	83	16.9	85	2
Trans-1,2-DCE	< 0.2	20.0	16.6	83	16.4	82	1
Carbon							
Tetrachloride	<0.2	20.0	18.7	94	17.9	90	4
1,2-DCA	<0.2	20.0	18.5	93	16.8	84	10
1,2-Dichloro-							
propane	<0.2	20.0	18.4	92	17.6	88	4
1,1,2 <b>-</b> TCA	<0.2	20.0	17.9	90	16.3	82	9
PCE	<0.2	20.0	17.8	89	16.6	83	7
1,3-Dichloro-							
benzene	<0.2	20.0	18.4	92	16.5	83	11
1,3-Dichloro-							
benzene	<0.2	20.0	19.3	97	17.7	89	9

Date extracted: 10/12/94	Date analyzed: 10/15/94	
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Asso	ciates, Inc.	
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: BS/BSD 10/12	
Project Manager: Bob Marley		,
Matrix: Aqueous	Units: PPB (UG/L)	

# Results for QC: Blank Spike / Blank Spike Dup

- ----

# Test: EPA 8100

..

	Sample	Amount	Blank		BSD	BSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	BS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Naphthalene	< 0.5	10.0	7.5	75	8.5	85	13
Acenaphthylene	<0.5	10.0	7.9	79	8.5	85	7
Acenaphthene	<0.5	10.0	7.9	79	8.5	85	7
Flourene	< 0.5	10.0	9.2	92	9.1	91	1
Phenanthrene	< 0.5	10.0	10.2	102	9.8	98	4
Anthracene	< 0.5	10.0	10.7	107	9.5	95	12
Pyrene	< 0.5	10.0	9.6	96	9.7	97	1
Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.5	10.0	9.4	94	11.4	114	19
Benzo(g,h,i)-							
perylene	<1.0	10.0	10.0	100	11.1	111	11

# Results for QC: Blank Spike / Blank Spike Dup

Date extracted: 10/11/94	Date analyzed: 10/11,13/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Associ	ates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: BS/BSD 10/11,13
Project Manager: Bob Marley	
Matrix: Aqueous	Units: PPM (MG/L)

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Blank		BSD	BSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	BS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Gasoline	< 0.05	0.50	0.54	108	0.51	102	6

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Blank		BSD	BSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	BS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Diesel	<1.0	5.4	6.3	117	5.6	104	12

Date extracted: 10/10/94	Date analyzed: 10/11/94
Client: Daniel B. Stephens and Ass	sociates, Inc.
Project Name: ENRON - Eunice	HEAL #: 9410019-8 MS/MSD
Project Manager: Bob Marley	9410026-12 MS/MSD
Matrix: Non-Aqueous	Units: PPM (MG/KG)

# Results for QC: Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Dup

# Test: EPA 8020

	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Benzene	< 0.05	1.00	0.96	96	0.84	84	13
Toluene	< 0.05	1.00	1.05	105	0.93	93	12
Ethylbenzene	< 0.05	1.00	0.97	97	0.87	87	11
Total Xylenes	< 0.05	3.00	3.09	103	2.79	93	10

### Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Gasoline	<10	50	36	72	38	76	5

# Test: EPA 8015 Modified

	Sample	Amount	Matrix		MSD	MSD	
Compound	Result	Added	Recov.	MS %	Recov.	%	RPD
Diesel	<10	54	49	91	54	100	10

Inorganic Analyses



2709-D Pan American Freeway, NE Albuquerque, NM 87107 Phone (505) 344-3777 FAX (505) 344-4413

#### ATI I.D. 410353

November 2, 1994

Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc. 6020 Academy NE, Suite 100 Albuquerque, NM 87109

Project Name/Number: ENRON-EUNICE 4215

Attention: Bob Marley

On 10/10/94, Analytical Technologies, Inc., (ADHS License No. AZ0015), received a request to analyze **aqueous** samples. The samples were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

Low matrix spike recovery by EPA Method for mercury (sample MW-7) was confirmed by re-digestion and analysis.

Due to matrix interferences, cadmium spike analysis was performed using the Method of Standard Additions (MSA). The spike result given is the correlation coefficient (CC), which is  $\geq 0.995$ .

Due to matrix interferences, mercury analysis of sample MW-7 and cadmium analysis of sample MW-5 were performed at a dilution. The reporting limits have been raised accordingly.

The sample used for cadmium QC analyses was analyzed at a 5X dilution. The relative percent difference (RPD) for quality control duplicate analyses meets ATI acceptance criteria; the results are <5X the reporting limit.

All analyses were performed by Analytical Technologies, Inc., 9830 S. 51st Street, Suite B-113, Phoenix, AZ.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (505) 344-3777.

Letitia Krakowski, Ph.D. Project Manager

N Witch

H. Mitchell Rubenstein, Ph.D. Laboratory Manager

MR:jt

Enclosure

Corporate Offices: 5550 Morehouse Drive San Diego, CA 92121 (619) 458-9141

Analytical <b>Technologies</b> , Inc.	
Analynca lechnologies, inc.	

CLIENT	:	D.B.	STEPHENS	5 &	ASSOCI	ATES		DATE RI	ECEIVED	: ]	L0/10/94
PROJECT	NAME :	ENROI	N-EUNICE	ATI	[ I.D.	: 410353		REPORT	DATE	:	11/02/94
ATI #	CLI	ENT I	DESCRIPTI	ON			MATRI	 K	DATI	E CC	DLLECTED
01 02 03	MW- MW- MW-	-5 -7 -99		, ,			AQUEOU AQUEOU AQUEOU	JS JS JS		1	LO/06/94 LO/07/94 LO/06/94
											·
	·										
			*******				-	*******			
	MATRIX		# SAM	IPLE	es						
	AQUEOUS	5		3							
			ATI S	TAN	IDARD D	ISPOSAL I	PRACTIC	5			
The samp date of our samp	oles fro this re ole cont	om thi port. rol c	is projec If an e lepartmen	t w xte t b	vill be ended st before t	disposed torage pe the sched	d of in eriod is duled di	thirty requir sposal	(30) da red, ple date.	ays ease	from the contact

# GENERAL CHEMISTRY RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 410353

t

CLIENT : D.B. STEPHENS PROJECT # : 4215 PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE	& ASSOCI.	ATES		DATE RECEIVED REPORT DATE	:	10/10/94 11/01/94
PARAMETER	UNITS	01	02	03		
CARBONATE (CACO3) BICARBONATE (CACO3) HYDROXIDE (CACO3) TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3) CHLORIDE (EPA 325.2) NO2/NO3-N, TOTAL (353.2) SULFATE (EPA 375.2) T. DISSOLVED SOLIDS (160.1)	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	36 767 <1 803 2400 0.08 9 4700	<1 433 <1 433 2100 <0.05 <5 4000	- - 2400 0.08 8 4600		



#### GENERAL CHEMISTRY - QUALITY CONTROL

CLIENT		:	D.B.	STEPHENS	&	ASSOCIATES	
PROJECT	#	:	4215				
PROJECT	NAME	:	ENRON	-EUNICE			

ATI I.D. : 410353

1

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	% REC
CARBONATE BICARBONATE HYDROXIDE TOTAL ALKALINITY CHLORIDE NITRITE/NITRATE-N (TOT SULFATE TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	41064202 41034541 41049910 41033501 41035303	<1 183 <1 183 4.2 <0.06 <5 4600	<1 185 <1 185 4.3 <0.06 <5 4700	NA 1 NA 2 NA NA 2	NA NA NA 14.5 2.01 18 NA	NA NA NA 10.0 2.00 20 NA	NA NA NA 103 100 90 NA

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) ----- X 100 Spike Concentration RPD (Relative Percent Difference) = (Sample Result - Duplicate Result) ----- X 100 Average Result

METALS RESULTS

CLIENT : D.B. STEPHENS PROJECT # : 4215 PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE	& ASSOCI	ATES		DATE RECEIVED	:	10/10/94
ARAMETER	UNITS	01	02	03		
SILVER (EPA 200.7/6010) ARSENIC (EPA 206.2/7060) BARIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) CALCIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) CADMIUM (EPA 213.2/7131) HROMIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) IOPPER (EPA 200.7/6010) IBON (EPA 200.7/6010)	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	<0.010 0.027 0.934 16.1 <0.0025 <0.010 <0.010 0.047	<0.010 0.012 9.72 129 <0.0005 <0.010 <0.010 <0.020	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
MERCURY (EPA 245.1/7470) POTASSIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) MAGNESIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) MANGANESE (EPA 200.7/6010) BODIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) EEAD (EPA 239.2/7421) SELENIUM (EPA 270.2/7740) ZINC (EPA 200.7/6010)	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	<pre>&lt;0.047 &lt;0.0002 20.1 29.7 0.020 1840 &lt;0.002 &lt;0.005 &lt;0.020</pre>	<0.020 <0.0010 8.5 162 0.100 1130 <0.002 <0.005 <0.020	0.048		

ATI I.D. : 410353

2

#### METALS - QUALITY CONTROL

LIENT : D.B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES PROJECT # : 4215 PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE

ATI I.D. : 410353

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT H	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	۶ REC
SILVER ARSENIC ARIUM ALCIUM CADMIUM CHROMIUM OPPER TRON MERCURY DERCURY OTASSIUM	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	41063402 41063402 41058801 41058801 41058801 41058801 41058801 41058801 41035301 41049905 41035302 41058801	<0.010 <0.005 0.157 29.0 0.0069 <0.010 <0.010 0.047 <0.0002 <0.0010 12.8	<0.010 <0.005 0.148 28.8 0.0056 <0.010 <0.010 0.038 <0.0002 <0.0010 12.6	NA NA 6 0.7 21 NA NA 21 NA NA 21 NA	0.399 0.047 1.19 78.5 MSA 1.07 0.534 0.913 0.0047 0.0205 58.8	0.500 0.050 1.00 50.0 CC = 1.00 0.500 1.00 0.0050 0.0250 50.0	80 94 103 99 .9978 107 107 87 94 82* 92
MAGNESIUM MANGANESE ODIUM LEAD SELENIUM AINC	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	41058801 41058801 41058801 41058801 41063402 41063402 41063402	12.8 17.0 0.021 64.0 <0.002 <0.005 1.54	12.6 16.8 0.019 63.3 <0.002 <0.005 1.64	2 1 10 1 NA NA 6	40.6 1.09 107 0.035 0.025 11.3	25.0 1.00 50.0 0.050 0.050 10.0	92 94 107 85 70 50 98

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) Spike Concentration RPD (Relative Percent Difference) = (Sample Result - Duplicate Result) Average Result

Result out of limits due to sample matrix interference



2709-D Pan American Freeway, NE Albuquerque. NM 87107 Phone (505) 344-3777 FAX (505) 344-4413

ATI I.D. 410335

October 28, 1994

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates 6020 Academy NE Suite 100 Albuquerque, NM 87109

Project Name/Number: ENRON-EUNICE 4215

Attention: Bob Marley

On 10/06/94, Analytical Technologies, Inc., (ADHS License No. AZ0015), received a request to analyze aqueous samples. The samples were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

Low matrix spike recovery for mercury (sample 410335-03) was confirmed by re-digestion and analysis.

All analyses were performed by Analytical Technologies, Inc., 9830 S. 51st Street, Suite B-113, Phoenix, AZ.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (505) 344-3777.

Letitia Krakowski, Ph.D. Project Manager

H. Mitchell Rubenstein, Ph.D. Laboratory Manager

MR:jt

Enclosure

CLIENT	: D.B.	STEPHENS	& ASSOCIA	TES	DATE	RECEIVED	: 10/06/94
PROJECT PROJECT	# : 4215 NAME : ENRC	)N-EUNICE	ATI I.D. :	410335	REPOR	T DATE	: 10/28/94
ATI #	CLIENT	DESCRIPTI	ON		MATRIX	DATE	COLLECTED
01 02 03 04 05	MW-1 MW-3 MW-2 MW-4 MW-6				AQUEOUS AQUEOUS AQUEOUS AQUEOUS AQUEOUS		10/03/94 10/03/94 10/03/94 10/04/94 10/05/94
	I						
[ ]					•.		
l.							
<b>.</b>				=======================================			*
	I		TOTA	LS			
<b>.</b>	MATRIX	# SAM	PLES				
	AQUEOUS		5				
		ATI S	TANDARD DI	SPOSAL PI	RACTICE		
The sam date of our sam	ples from th this report ple control	nis projec . If an e departmen	t will be extended st t before t	disposed orage per he sched	of in thirt riod is requ uled disposa	y (30) da ired, ple l date.	ys from the ase contact

•

GENERAL CHEMISTRY RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 410335

CLIENT : D.B. STEPHENS	& ASSOCIA	ATES		DATE REC	EIVED :	10/06/94
PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE				REPORT D	ATE :	10/28/94
PARAMETER	UNITS	01	02	03	04	05
CARBONATE (CACO3) BICARBONATE (CACO3) HYDROXIDE (CACO3) TOTAL ALKALINITY (AS CACO3) CHLORIDE (EPA 325.2) NO2/NO3-N, TOTAL (353.2) SULFATE (EPA 375.2) T. DISSOLVED SOLIDS (160.1)	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	<1 582 <1 582 750 <0.06 <5 1700	<1 794 <1 794 620 <0.06 20 2800	<1 1110 <1 1110 3000 <0.06 20 5900	<1 510 <1 510 940 <0.06 <5 2000	<1 576 <1 576 2100 <0.06 <5 4000

GENERAL CHEMISTRY - QUALITY CONTROL Analytical Technologies, Inc.

CLIENT

: D.B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES

PROJECT #

: 4215 PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE

#### ATI I.D. : 410335

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	<del>ع</del> REC
CARBONATE	MG/L	41053215	<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
BICARBONATE	MG/L		350	350	0	NA	NA	NA
HYDROXIDE	MG/L		<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL ALKALINITY	MG/L		350	350	0	NA	NA	NA
CARBONATE	MG/L	41056703	<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
BICARBONATE	MG/L		<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
HYDROXIDE	MG/L		<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
FOTAL ALKALINITY	MG/L		<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
CARBONATE	MG/L	41063907	<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
BICARBONATE	MG/L		585	584	0.2	NA	NA	NA
IYDROXIDE	MG/L		<1	<1	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL ALKALINITY	MG/L		585	584	0.2	NA	NA	NA
CHLORIDE	MG/L	41057701	119	121	2	222	100	103
CHLORIDE	MG/L	41034541	4.2	4.3	2	14.5	10.0	103
NITRITE/NITRATE-N (TOT	MG/L	41033501	<0.06	<0.06	NA	2.00	2.00	100
SULFATE	MG/L	41033501	<5	<5	NA	18	20	90
SULFATE	MG/L	41063903	10	9	11	29	20	95
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	MG/L	41049905	10000	10000	0	NĀ	NA	NA
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS	MG/L	41074501	5600	5500	2	NA	NA	NA

Recovery = '(Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) \_\_\_\_\_ X 100 Spike Concentration PD (Relative Percent Difference) = (Sample Result - Duplicate Result) X 100 ---------

Average Result

METALS RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 410335

FLIENT : D.B. STEPHENS	& ASSOCI	ATES		DATE RECI	EIVED :	10/06/94
PROJECT # . 4215 PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE				REPORT D	ATE :	10/28/94
PARAMETER	UNITS	01	02	03	04	05
SILVER (EPA 200.7/6010) ARSENIC (EPA 206.2/7060) BARIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) LALCIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) CADMIUM (EPA 213.2/7131) CHROMIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) COPPER (EPA 200.7/6010) IRON (EPA 200.7/6010) MERCURY (EPA 245.1/7470)	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	<0.010 0.039 1.52 133 <0.0005 <0.010 <0.010 2.26 <0.0002	<0.010 0.027 5.01 77.2 <0.0005 <0.010 <0.010 16.9 <0.0002	<0.010 0.029 1.33 96.2 0.0011 <0.010 <0.010 0.345 <0.0002	<0.010 0.015 0.445 89.9 <0.0005 <0.010 <0.010 <0.020 <0.0002	<0.010 0.017 0.997 54.6 0.0012 <0.010 <0.010 <0.020 <0.0002
POTASSIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) MAGNESIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) MANGANESE (EPA 200.7/6010) SODIUM (EPA 200.7/6010) LEAD (EPA 239.2/7421) SELENIUM (EPA 270.2/7740) ZINC (EPA 200.7/6010)	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	3.1 119 0.058 346 <0.002 <0.005 <0.020	4.8 42.1 1.48 100 0.003 <0.005 <0.020	5.8 98.2 0.262 2120 <0.002 <0.005 <0.020	6.5 68.8 0.206 626 <0.002 <0.005 <0.020	12.2 59.8 0.065 1560 <0.002 <0.005 <0.020

METALS - QUALITY CONTROL

Analytical **Technologies**, Inc. CLIENT PROJECT #

: D.B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES : 4215

PROJECT NAME : ENRON-EUNICE

i

#### ATI I.D. : 410335

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	۶ REC
SILVER ARSENIC BARIUM CALCIUM CADMIUM CHROMIUM COPPER IRON MERCURY POTASSIUM MAGNESIUM MAGNESIUM MANGANESE SODIUM LEAD SELENIUM ZINC	MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L MG/L	$\begin{array}{c} 41033504\\ 41057701\\ 41033504\\ 41057701\\ 41057701\\ 41033504\\ 41033504\\ 41033504\\ 41033504\\ 41049901\\ 41033503\\ 41057701\\ 41057701\\ 41057701\\ 41057701\\ 41057701\\ 41057701\\ 41057601\\ 41033504\end{array}$	<0.010 0.006 0.445 70.3 <0.0005 <0.010 <0.020 <0.0002 <0.0002 <0.0002 4.6 9.2 0.206 108 0.007 <0.005 <0.020	<0.010 0.007 0.445 68.7 <0.0005 <0.010 <0.020 <0.0002 <0.0002 4.6 8.9 0.206 104 0.008 <0.005 <0.020	NA 15 0 2 NA NA NA NA 0 3 0 4 13 NA NA	$\begin{array}{c} 0.433\\ 0.056\\ 1.34\\ 117\\ 0.0048\\ 0.905\\ 0.463\\ 0.980\\ 0.0049\\ 0.0032\\ 50.7\\ 32.6\\ 1.13\\ 156\\ 0.059\\ 0.030\\ 0.476\end{array}$	0.500 0.050 1.00 50.0 0.0050 1.00 0.500 1.00 0.0050 0.0050 50.0 25.0 1.00 50.0 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.0500 0.05000 0.05000 0.0500 0.0500	87 100 90 93 96 93 98 98 92 94 92 94 92 94 92 94 92 94 92 94 95

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) ---- X 100 Spike Concentration RPD (Relative Percent Difference) = (Sample Result - Duplicate Result) ----------Х 100 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Average Result

Result out of limits due to sample matrix interference

ABID. 41:0335	QUEST CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR	Poyndread Aromades (610/6510) SDWA Primary Standards - Arizona SDWA Pecondary Standards - Arizona SDWA Pecondary Standards - Federal Co, K, Mg, Mg, Cu, Fe, MA, ZA T-AJK, CI, SOG, T-DS The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals ACRA Metals by Total Digestion ACRA Metals by TOTAL Digestion								2. RELINQUISHED BY: 3.	le: Signature: Time:	e: Printed Name: Date:	Company.	2 RECEIVED BY:(LAB) 3.	Winter 450	C. Brild Name Delle 10/6/64	Analytical Technologies, Inc.	DISTRIBUTION: White, Canary - ATI • Pink - ORIGINATOR
	ANALYSIS RE	Pesticides/PCB (608/8080) Herbicides (615/8150) Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (625/8270) Volatile Organics GC/MS (624/8240)								RELINQUISHED BY:	Signature: Tir	Printed Name: Da	Company.	Signature		Printed Name: Da	Company.	Albuquerque (505) 344-3777
CHAIN OF CUSI DATE: Jo/6/94 PAGE		Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1) (MOD 8015) Gas/Diese! Diese!/Gasoline/BTXE/MTBE (MOD 8015/8020) BTXE/MTBE (8020) Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010) Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020) SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1), 502.2 Reg. & Unreg. SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1), 502.2 Reg. & Unreg.								SAMPLED & RELINQUISHED BY: 1.	Signature: Nurley 0700	Printed Name: Date: Bob Bob Mar Jey	Company: <sup>J</sup> Phone: DRS; A 822-9400	RECEIVED BY: 2014 Street Street Stores		Printed Name: Date:	Company:	ia (904) 474-1001 • Portland (503) 684-0447 • .
<b>Iogies,</b> Inc., Albuquerque, NM Pensacola - Ft. Collins - Portland - Albuquerque	ueb Murkey	S. Stephen S & Azee Academy NE Surfe 100 6 MM 87109 5900 2877 201 Jn ST Jen J X Jen J X DATE TIME MATRIX LABID	10/3/34 1100 1476 0	10/344 1400 H, 0 02	10/3/94 1550 Hr. 0 03	10/4/94 1615 H20 DU	1015/94 1535 HzV 05			DN SAMPLE RECEIPT			RECEIVED COLD	NIS AECUIAEU FOR AUSA PROAECLS CERTINA 11 WEEK (NORMAL) 12 WEEK	terel and prosived w/ nitre	book and preserved when there	why he until the unpreserved	hoenix (602) 496-4400 • Seattle (206) 228-8335 • Ponsaco
Analytical Techno san Diego • Phoenix • Seattle	PROJECT MANAGER: /≤	EAS ARPE FOR LAB USE ONLY: ADDRESS: COMPANY: Deniel- ANhu PHONE: AVILIA AVILIA PHONE: 82 AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA AVILIA BILL TO: 82 AVILIA	AMW-	MW-3	Z-WM Z-WM	AW-4	× NW - 6	/         		Z PROJECT INFORMATI	PROJ. NO.: 215	L PHU. NAME: EJ/EON - E.	THIS SHIPPED VIA:		U Comments: Me tool G	SA	· Nor/Nor ···	ATI Labs: San Diego (619) 458-9/41 • I

of Custody	ANALYSIS REQUEST	OS, METHANE PLORM PLO	SULFIDE SULFIDE 619/619 MOI 619/619 MOI 619/619 MOI 619/6310 MK/ M 8240 (TCLP 8240 (TCLP 8240 (TCLP 10182 MOI 10182 MOI 8240 (COL 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 70								A SAMPLES SENT TO. P. HELINOUISHED BY, SPACE 1, 2014 SELINOUISHED BY: 32	SAN DIEGO Signature: Time: Signature: Time	A FILCOLLINS PLANT OF LAND AND DAILY Printed Name: Da	PERSAGOLA MUNINE (LHE/7/94	PORTLAND Addytical Technologies, Inc. Company:	PHOENIX A RECEIVED BY: (LAB) 1. RECEIVED BY: (LAB)	FIBEROUMT Signature: Time: Signature: 0 11m	Printed Name: Date: Printed Name: Date: Da	Company: Company:	1 • Portland (503) 684-0447 • Albuquerque (505) 344-3777   DISTRIBUTION: White, Canary - ATI • Pink - O
Analytical Technologies, Inc. Albuquerque, NM Chain	NETWORK PROJECT MANAGER: LETITIA KRAKOWSKI	COMPANY: Analytical Technologies, Inc. ADDRESS: 2709-D Pan American Freeway, NE Albuquerque, NM 87107 Albuquerque, NM 87107	CLIENT PROJECT MANAGER: SAMPLE ID DATE TIME MATRIX LABID TOC OF CENCE	1 1 0V WI 2-01 10- 52COIN	-02 10-3 14n0 2	-03 h-3 1550 3	-DU 10-U 1015	-0.5 10-5 1535 V 5				PROLECT NUMBER: LINGTO TOTAL NUMBER OF CONTAINERS 15	PROJECT NAME: SDB CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS NZ	DC LEVEL: STD IV INTACT?	CC REQUIRED MS MSD BLANK RECEIVED GOOD COND.COLD 7	TAT: (STANDARD) RUSHI LABNUMBER LA 10 335		DUE DATE: IU/OU RUSH SURCHARGE: U/OU RUSH SURCHARGE: U/OU IVOT# LK 1033	CLIENT DISCOUNT: 10 %	471 Labs: San Diego (619) 458-9141 • Phoenik (602) 496-4400 • Seattle (206) 228-8335 • Pensacola (904) 474-1001

Analytical Technologies, Inc. Alt	Ibuquerque	¥Z		<b>"</b> <u>ä</u>		∎ō		<b>-</b> <u>3</u>										DATE	ত্র		다. 이	¶GE			<b>-</b>
TWORK PROJECT MANAGER: LETITIA KRAK	KOWSKI			9. 10	Ċ							A		SIS	Ē	ES	_ }	ļ	ľ						
MPANY: Analytical Technologies, Ir DRESS: 2709-D Pan American Free Albuquerque, NM 87107 Albuquerque, NM 87107	nc. ∋way, NE				DA		(CHAM) CI			SIL that 1	m'uz'uu'ay 'by'b	SUL has	Ie/BTXÉ/MTBE/ (MOD 8015/8020)	CC CC/W2 (PS4/8540)		<u> </u>	5	MROF	HORM PORM		/528 ////0517		asoline/BTXE/ (MOD 8015/8020)	SPE CONTAINERS	
YENT PROJECT MANAGER:					SANC LE	LIDE		632 MOD	8310 836 WOL	uut	u' <u>4</u> '		illoss ð\lei		3	SOTES	าฟาน		אר כסרו		DIUM 226	<u>, 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 </u>	Diesei/G	WBEB (	
Sample ID	TIME	ATRIX	LAB ID	XOT	080			(229	1019	म	55	1070	Dies	PICA		BSA	101 77	101	FEC		JAR	317	RIA	nn	_
410353-01 1010	1 1 1	40		+	_			1		Ē	┢	$\succeq$		ř				<u> </u>		┼─			_	M	_
101 20-	1050		3		-			<u> </u>	-	Ĺ				$\succeq$		$\square$	ł	<u> </u>		┢				N	_
-03			3		<u> </u>		ļ		-	$\ge$	$\square$	<u> </u>		1				ļ					<u> </u>	m	
							ļ							$\vdash$		†	-								
				$\vdash$										-				<u> </u>					<u> </u>		_
				$\vdash$	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		┢─						<u> </u>	<b> </b>				-	<b> </b>		
				┼──	╞				<u> </u>			<u> </u>		┝	ļ		┢	ļ					<u> </u>		
				+			<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		+-	<u> </u>		┼─	<b> </b>		+	<u> </u>		ļ		
											$\square$														
	1.1.2.2.2.3.3.	GMD					SAMP	LES SE	NT TO		Ē	ELIX	rs S	EDB				38 19	BELI	Sing	Ð	BY:	Ĩ	. V. 201	_
	TOTAL NI		CONTAIN		┝	b	SAND	EG0			Signa	:017			Ľ ٿا			ŝ	nature:			Ē	ie 2		
DIEUT NUMBER:		CLISTON			+		Б В	NLLINS			4		d		H	ام		-				ſ			
ULEU INAME: JUU	INTACT?						RENT	N					0	X	<u><u></u> 2</u>	0	94	2		arne:		2	310		
C REQUIRED MS MSD BLANK	RECEIVEL	0 GOOD C	DND.ACOL		+		POR	ILAND		$\downarrow$	Analy	tical 1	echo -	logies	SE SE	Ī	Ť	Ŝ	mpany						_
T: (STANDARD) RUSHI	LAB NUM	BER 4	035	3			Ê	XIN		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Ē	ECEN	ED 6	Y:LL	(8)				<b>HECE</b>	INED	BY: (	AB)			
<u></u>							FIBER	INVIO			Signa	ibre:			Tim	. <del>.</del>		ŝ	e se	<i>B</i>	$\geq$	i g	ie –	203	
USH SURCHARGE:		1 #US	N N	L'o	~	i					Printe	od Nar			a			ΈT	N N	in G	3	la l	10/0/	1/9/	
LIENT DISCOUNT:		-				2					Com	pany:						ပိ	mpan)		Æ	E			
- Diego (619) 458-9141 • Phoenix (602) 496-4400 ·	• Seattle (206)	228-8335	Pensacol	(904)	474-10	01 • Po	rtland (	503) 61	34-044	7 • A	anhnq	) enbu	05) 34	4-377		ISTRI		N NC	hite, C	anary -	Ę	Pink - (	UNIG	ATOR	

Î

			INN M	M	M								<del>с</del> і			
P				14	$ \times$									13	<u>⊐}~</u> ≌	E
					<u> </u>				-					ピー	کر ies,	ANE
$\Box$				17	<b> </b>					lime:	Date:		B) Fime:	Ĩ	S C C	Ë
5		201, 402, 30 × 14						+ +	- 80				(LA	1	<u>भ</u> ु हु	Fink
		L' KI MIN , MA, MA, K. MA, Z. LU		1					- HS				λei	ちょ	হা ভ	Ė
<b>L</b> (		AV Secondary Standards - Federal			<u> </u>			++	- Ē		lame	Ŀ	INE		K IN Nalyte	
$\mathbb{S}$			ADS	+				┼╌┼╸		lature	N Pel	luedi	IEO5 vature		A	S
1		AV Seondary Standard - sbisbinate	NOS						-  "	Sigr	Prin	Col	Sion	TE	1	Vhite,
7	-	BrioshA - sbisbrist Yisming AV	Nas										ci.			NO.
Bi	) 	SQL' #85'8	フ	<u> </u>	X								л÷,			1Ē
	JES	E, Mr	7	†	ÍΧ				-				-			STRI
LA	В Ш	0168/013) മുബേറ് മാലംബം	hoq							шө:	ale:			ate:		ā
Ę	E	atile Organica GC/MS (624/8240)	sloV	1					       	4	a					E
	γSI	e/Neutal/Acid Compounds GC/MS (625/8270)	se8										BΥ:			E E
<pre>////////////////////////////////////</pre>	AL	biades (615/8150)	heH						Ĩ		arne:		VED	ame:		505)
<b>_</b>	AN	tiddes/PCB (608/8080)	səq							ature:	N Pe	pany:	<b>ICE</b>	N pe	bany:	enb.
									Ē	Signa	Printe	Comp	. <b>RE</b> Signa	Printe	Com	Jenbn
F_										1	4	0				- Ab
		VA Volatiles (502.1/503.1), 502.2 Reg. & Unreg.	NOS						_ ×	X	19	240				847
<b>P</b> AG		natic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)	Aroi		ļ					1	110	1				684
5		0108/109 support (01/8010)	CPI	ļ				+	HSI	ie Ie	le: / 0	2 2 9 6 9 6	ne:	ie:		(203)
0	0			ļ						Ľ,	Ğ	モの	Tin Tin	Da		tland
		(0700) 70 111/7)		ļ					BEL	L.	7 3	*	¥.			- Poi
0			219						- 0	Jer .	ie Ae	.2	80	:9 U		<u>8</u>
				<u> </u>					- H	L M	Na Na Na		JEIV ture:	d Na	Suny:	474
		oieum Hydrocarbons (418.1)	n94					+	SAI	μ β β	Printe B	ğΩ	RE( Signa	Printe	Com	(904)
Ð		<i>j</i>		19952	1 1	8785 <b>1</b> 8-32	2.0-2.020								33	acola
MN		) 원		2	3						N X		VEEK	¥	é vy.	Pens
Je, J		$\mathcal{L}$ $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ $ $ $\mathcal{S}$ $ $ $ $	20	0	3				PT [	06	E)		5 V	2 3		SS
erq.			ХH С	0	ର				CE		<u> </u>			2.	3 . 5	28.8
uqu tland		Ka Ka	1. 1/-2-	Fr	Ŧ				E E	ERS	ti lis	9	RMA	13	ž Z	(90)
Alb	5	5500 2							MPL	TAN	SE SE	No C		5	i v	attle ()
	J.	242	کل و کل	250	1				ls.	8		ENE C	Ē	É	3 7	\$ •
	4	X I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I								õ	REC CUS	REC		2	ŚŚ	<b>4</b> <b>6</b> <b>4</b>
S. II	R	N 2 0H 27	11E	6/4	1					A_\$^	<u> </u>			Y	9 1 1	) 496-
E ala		1 2 2 8 8 4 SE	10/	10									E N	9	$\frac{1}{2}$	(602
<b>O</b>	2	R 22									9	Ż	2	X	3 Z	ioeni
<b>P</b> :	M	3 7 1 1 8 3 5							ATIO		ę	7	Zhr Z	EL	5 2	Ē
Ē	ĺ. ľ	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		H	6				WHO		5	J.		Ž	ζ°	-914
a s	GER	A 9 7 1 1 2 1 7 1	ш V	11	$\sigma$				INFO	15	Ś	Ž	48hr	L A	M Z	94
C L D	INA		/	<u>r</u>	$\frac{1}{2}$				ECT	N	N N	181		y is	2 1	0 (61
С Т Т С Т	Ĭ Ŵ	ANY: SS: SS: SS:	A V A	4	$\frac{1}{5}$				Rol		الت	Ň	]24h	t,	્ર ટ્રે	Die
	Ц Ц Ц	MPJ DRE MPJ DRE DRE	<	$ \langle$	<					NO	NAM 0.:	ED		And the second s	5 3	s: Sar
	PRO	AC CC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC AC A								RO.	N.O.	HPP	RUSI	om	• •	
				L							<u> </u>	100				」록 
		YS ARE FOR LAB USE ONLY.	АВЕА	ED	a۸	HS .Y	JETE	ЭМРЕ	N CC	I MA	O H S	SIHT	ורר	I ISI	PLE/	

# **APPENDIX C**

ļ

# RESULTS OF SLUG TESTS













DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### Monitor Well MW-4 Slug Test

Hermit Environmental Logger SE1000C Date: 10/05/94 Start Time: 10:05:00

Elapsed Time	Displacement	Elapsed Time	Displacement	Elapsed Time	Displacement
	(1001)	(minutes)		(minutes)	(ieet)
0.0766	2.023	0.2333	0.834	0.6166	0.279
0.0800	1.979	0.2366	0.821	0.6333	0.269
0.0833	1.842	0.2400	0.805	0.6500	0.263
0.0866	1.757	0.2433	0.793	0.6666	0.257
0.0900	1.795	0.2466	0.777	0.6833	0.247
0.0933	1.785	0.2500	0.767	0.7000	0.241
0.0966	1.700	0.2533	0.761	0.7166	0.234
0.1000	1.627	0.2566	0.742	0.7333	0.231
0.1033	1.636	0.2600	0.758	0.7500	0.225
0.1066	1.614	0.2633	0.742	0.7666	0.218
0.1100	1.557	0.2666	0.723	0.7833	0.212
0.1133	1.490	0.2700	0.710	0.8000	0.209
0.1166	1.503	0.2733	0.701	0.8166	0.203
0.1200	1.500	0.2766	0.694	0.8333	0.199
0.1233	1.443	0.2800	0.685	0.8500	0.193
0.1266	1.395	0.2833	0.678	0.8666	0.190
0.1300	1.360	0.2866	0.666	0.8833	0.184
0.1333	1.364	0.2900	0.653	0.9000	0.180
0.1366	1.332	0.2933	0.647	0.9166	0.177
0.1400	1.316	0.2966	0.644	0.9333	0.174
0.1433	1.278	0.3000	0.634	0.9500	0.126
0.1466	1.249	0.3033	0.624	0.9666	0.123
0.1500	1.240	0.3066	0.618	0.9833	0.174
0.1533	1.205	0.3100	0.609	1.0000	0.180
0.1566	1.202	0.3133	0.602	1.2000	0.123
0.1600	1.176	0.3166	0.596	1.4000	0.092
0.1633	1.164	0.3200	0.593	1.6000	0.082
0.1666	1.138	0.3233	0.586	1.8000	0.069
0.1700	1.107	0.3266	0.577	2.0000	0.060
0.1733	1.091	0.3300	0.574	2.2000	0.050
0.1766	1.075	0.3333	0.564	2.4000	0.044
0.1800	1.062	0.3500	0.526	2.6000	0.044
0.1833	1.053	0.3666	0.501	2.8000	0.034
0.1866	1.034	0.3833	0.479	3.0000	0.031
0.1900	1.008	0.4000	0.453	3.2000	0.028
0.1933	0.989	0.4166	0.431	3,4000	0.022
0.1966	0.973	0.4333	0.412	3,6000	0.022
0.2000	0.958	0.4500	0.396	3.8000	0.019
0.2033	0.945	0.4666	0.377	4.0000	0.019
0.2066	0.935	0.4833	0.364	4.2000	0.015
0.2100	0.926	0.5000	0.349	4,4000	0.012
0.2133	0.907	0.5166	0.336	4,6000	0.012
0.2166	0.891	0.5333	0.323	4.8000	0.009
0.2200	0.872	0.5500	0.314	5.0000	0.009
0.2233	0.862	0.5666	0.304	5.2000	0.006
0.2266	0.850	0.5833	0.295	5.4000	0.006
0.2300	0.834	0.6000	0.285		

4215\SUPP-INV.D94\MW-4.APX



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### Monitor Well MW-5 Slug Test

Hermit Environmental Logger SE1000C Date: 10/06/94 Start Time: 17:22:00

Elapsed Time	Displacement	Elapsed Time	Displacement	Elapsed Time	Displacement
(minutes)	(feet)	(minutes)	(feet)	(minutes)	(feet)
0.0600	1.690	0.2133	0.517	0.5000	0.231
0.0633	1.528	0.2166	0.510	0.5166	0.225
0.0666	1.332	0.2200	0.494	0.5333	0.218
0.0700	1.249	0.2233	0.482	0.5500	0.215
0.0733	1.237	0.2266	0.469	0.5666	0.209
0.0766	1.237	0.2300	0.466	0.5833	0.203
0.0800	1.243	0.2333	0.453	0.6000	0.196
0.0833	1.199	0.2366	0.460	0.6166	0.190
0.0866	1.138	0.2400	0.456	0.6333	0.187
0.0900	1.062	0.2433	0.453	0.6500	0.180
0.0933	1.040	0.2466	0.491	0.6666	0.177
0.0966	1.059	0.2500	0.434	0.6833	0.171
0.1000	1.043	0.2533	0.428	0.7000	0.171 🚳
0.1033	1.011	0.2566	0.415	0.7166	0.165
0.1066	0.961	0.2600	0.412	0.7333	0.161
0.1100	0.945	0.2633	0.399	0.7500	0.158
0.1133	0.938	0.2666	0.412	0.7666	0.155
0.1166	0.900	0.2700	0.406	0.7833	0.155
0.1200	0.847	0.2733	0.412	0.8000	0.152
0.1233	0.843	0.2766	0.402	0.8166	0.149
0.1266	0.853	0.2800	0.390	0.8333	0.145
0.1300	0.834	0.2833	0.387	0.8500	0.142
0.1333	0.802	0.2866	0.377	0.8666	0.139
0.1366	0.767	0.2900	0.377	0.8833	0.139
0.1400	0.767	0.2933	0.374	0.9000	0.136
0.1433	0.751	0.2966	0.377	0.9166	0.133
0.1466	0.732	0.3000	0.380	0.9333	0.130
0.1500	0.707	0.3033	0.371	0.9500	0.126
0.1533	0.682	0.3066	0.355	0.9666	0.126
0.1566	0.675	0.3100	0.336	0.9833	0.123
0.1600	0.669	0.3133	0.399	1.0000	0.120
0.1633	0.659	0.3166	0.339	1.2000	0.098
0.1666	0.650	0.3200	0.352	1.4000	0.082
0.1700	0.637	0.3233	0.345	1.6000	0.073
0.1733	0.618	0.3266	0.339	1.8000	0.060
0.1766	0.602	0.3300	0.336	2.0000	0.054
0.1800	0.590	0.3333	0.333	2.2000	0.044
0.1833	0.586	0.3500	0.320	2.4000	0.050
0.1866	0.586	0.3666	0.307	2.6000	0.038
0.1900	0.580	0.3833	0.295	2.8000	0.034
0.1933	0.561	0.4000	0.285	3.0000	0.034
0.1966	0.539	0.4166	0.272	3.2000	0.031
0.2000	0.529	0.4333	0.263	3.4000	0.028
0.2033	0.517	0.4500	0.253	3.6000	0.028
0.2066	0.513	0.4666	0.244	3.8000	0.025
0.2100	0.517	0.4833	0.237		

4215\SUPP-INV.D94\MW-5.APX



DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

### Monitor Well MW-7 Slug Test

Hermit Environmental Logger SE1000C Date: 10/07/94 Start Time: 12:55:00

Elapsed Time	Displacement	Elapsed Time	Displacement	Elapsed Time	Displacement
(minutes)	(feet)	(minutes)	(feet)	(minutes)	(feet)
0.0533	4.245	0.2166	0.440	0.5666	0.263
0.0566	2.879	0.2200	0.431	0.5833	0.256
0.0600	2.565	0.2233	0.425	0.6000	0.250
0.0633	2.879	0.2266	0.418	0.6166	0.244
0.0666	2.793	0.2300	0.412	0.6333	0.237
0.0700	2.543	0.2333	0.409	0.6500	0.234
0.0733	2.054	0.2366	0.406	0.6666	0.231
0.0766	1.855	0.2400	0.402	0.6833	0.225
0.0800	1,788	0.2433	0.402	0.7000	0.225
0.0833	1.712	0.2466	0.399	0.7166	0.218
0.0866	1.636	0.2500	0.402	0.7333	0.215
0.0900	1 569	0.2533	0.396	0.7500	0.212
0.0933	1.496	0.2566	0.393	0.7666	0.209
0.0966	1.427	0.2600	0.393	0.7833	0.209
0.1000	1.370	0.2633	0.386	0.8000	0.206
0.1033	1.319	0.2666	0.390	0.8166	0.202
0.1066	1 278	0.2700	0.393	0.8333	0 199
0.1100	1 240	0.2733	0.386	0.8500	0.196
0.1133	1 233	0.2766	0.371	0.8666	0 193
0.1166	1 208	0.2800	0.380	0.8833	0 193
0.1100	1 170	0.2833	0.380	0.0000	0 190
0.1233	1 119	0.2866	0.377	0.9166	0.187
0.1266	1.037	0.2000	0.380	0.0100	0.190
0.1200	0.089	0.2000	0.380	0.0000	0.183
0.1000	0.970	0.2000	0.380	0.0000	0.180
0.1366	0.932	0.2000	0.374	0.9833	0.180
0.1000	0.891	0.3033	0.374	1 0000	0.100
0.1433	0.853	0.3066	0.371	1 2000	0 152
0.1466	0.824	0.3100	0.364	1.2000	0.139
0.1400	0.024	0.3133	0.374	1 6000	0.105
0.1500	0.770	0.3166	0.374	1.8000	0.120
0.1566	0.745	0.3200	0.371	2 0000	0 111
0.1500	0.745	0.3233	0.367	2 2000	0.104
0.1633	0.691	0.3266	0.364	2.2000	0.104
0.1666	0.659	0.3200	0.361	2,4000	0.101
0.1000	0.643	0.3333	0.361	2,0000	0.030
0.1700	0.040	0.3500	0.352	2.0000	0.031
0.1766	0.024	0.3500	0.358	3 2000	0.000
0.1700	0.580	0.3000	0.355	3,2000	0.000
0.1000	0.564	0.3003	0.358	3 6000	0.005
0.1866	0.504	0.4000	0.338	3,8000	0.005
0.1000	0.540	0.4100	0.340	4,0000	0.005
0.1000	0.520	0.4500	0.000	4 2000	0.000
0.1966	0.507	0.4500	0.320	4.2000	0.002
0.1300	0.301	0.4833	0.010	4 6000	0.002
0 2033	0 472	0.5000	0 291	4 8000	0.082
0.2066	0.463	0.5166	0.282	5 0000	0.082
0 2100	0.456	0.5333	0.275	5,2000	0.082
0.2133	0.447	0.5500	0.269	5.4000	0.082

4215\SUPP-INV.D94\MW-7.APX



DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS AND ENGINEERS

#### April 17, 1995

0437-4215-95

Mr. William C. Olson Environmental Bureau New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 2040 S. Pacheco St. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Dear Bill:

Enclosed please find copies of the aerial photographs described in the report entitled *Supplemental Environmental Investigation, Northern Natural Gas Company, Eunice Compressor Station.* Please feel free to contact me with any questions or comments at (505) 822-9400.

Sincerely,

DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Bob Marley

Bob Marley Project Manager

Enclosure

SOIL AND GROUND-WATER INVESTIGATIONS • REMEDIAL ACTION • LITIGATION SUPPORT • VADOSE ZONE HYDROLOGY 6020 ACADEMY NE • SUITE 100 • ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87109 • (505) 822-9400 • FAX (505) 822-8877 ALBUQUERQUE • SANTA FE





![](_page_142_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_143_Picture_0.jpeg)