# $1R - \frac{167}{167}$

# REPORTS

# DATE: 10/04/2005

100 Glenborough Suite 100 Houston, TX 77067-3299

Tel: 281.874.6781 Fax: 281.872.2555 www.nobleenergyinc.com

**Domestic Division** 

**CERTIFIED MAIL** RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

October 4, 2005

RECEIVED OCT 1 7 2005 OIL CONSERVATION **Bill Olson** New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department **Oil Conservation Division** P. O. Box 6429 Sante Fe, NM 87504-6429

RE: Case No. 1R0167 Remediation Closure Documentation Gary Johnson Property Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Olson

Enclosed please find the Remediation Closure Documentation for the property currently owned by Gary Johnson and formerly known as the Moon A Tank Battery ("Moon Tank Batterv"). Noble Energy, Inc. ("Noble") constructed the Remediation Closure Documentation.

IR (9167

As discussed in the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) report dated March 26, 2004, confirmation sample results of delineation of the extent of petroleum contamination in the near surface soils in certain areas of the former Moon A Tank Battery location exceeded the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division ("NMOCD") 100 mg/kg remediation guidelines for total petroleum hydrocarbons ("TPH").

As stated in the NMOCD letter dated August 13, 2004, the NMOCD accepted Noble's proposal to conduct further vertical delineation of contamination during remedial activities.

As requested by the NMOCD, Noble respectfully submits the attached Remediation Closure Documentation for the Moon Tank Battery. This Remediation Closure Document was based on the following:

Noble conducted further vertical and horizontal delineation during remediation activities, confirmation sampling was conducted to insure that complete horizontal and vertical delineation and the removal of the hydrocarbonimpacted soils was accomplished.

- A total of six (6) hydrocarbon impacted spill areas on the Moon Tank Battery were targeted to conduct remedial activities.
- All measured BTEX and TPH constituents were found to be below NMOCD remediation guidelines.
- Complete horizontal and vertical delineation with removal of the hydrocarboncontaminated soils at each impacted spill area were remediated as per NMOCD remediation guidelines.
- Confirmation samples were obtained and analyzed using EPA approved methods and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures.
- All wastes and contaminated soils were disposed of at an NMOCD approved facility.

No further soil remediation is required for the impacted spill areas that were outlined in the approved Remediation Work Plan and the impacted spill area is in compliance with NMOCD guidelines for remediation of leaks, spills and releases at this property.

There is no evidence that an ongoing source of contamination exists.

If you have any questions about this remediation closure document, please don't hesitate to give me a call at (281) 874-6781.

Sincerely,

1 the

Terry Webster Environmental Coordinator

cc: with enclosure

Aaron Carlson Noble Energy, Inc. 100 Glenborough Houston, TX 77067

Chris Williams New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1625 North French Drive Hobbs, NM 88240

Mr. Gary Johnson 1500 Tasker Hobbs, NM 88240

## Me noble energy

## **Remediation Closure Documentation**

### **Gary Johnson Property**

Former Moon A Tank Battery Lease

Lea County, New Mexico

September 2005

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
1.0	OVERVIEW1
2.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS2
3.0	SITE CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

#### TABLES

Table 1: Analyses Results for Gary Johnson Water Well Sampled January 27, 2000
Table 2: Analyses Results for Soil Samples from Gary Johnson Property , Sampled June 25, 20025
Table 3: Summary of Laboratory Analysis for Confirmation Soil Samples from Gary Johnson         Property.

#### **FIGURES**

Figure 1:	Area Topographic Map	7
Figure 2:	Extent of Excavation Activities and Confirmation Soil Sample Locations	8

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A: Site Photo Log	9
------------------------------	---

1

1.

i i

1 1

i

#### 1.0 OVERVIEW

In response to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Environmental Bureau, Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD), Noble Energy Inc (NEI) is submitting this Remediation Closure Documentation report to address the concerns identified at the property currently owned by Mr. Gary Johnson and formerly known as the Moon A Tank Battery Lease. This property is identified as being located at 1831 Mobile Street, Hobbs, NM, Sec 28, T18S R38E, Lea County, GPS coordinates North 32° 43' 13.6'', West 103° 9' 2.0''. A topographic map of the location is shown as **Figure 1**.

The issues of concern, as identified by the NMOCD, include the following:

- weathered asphaltic-type oil
- highly viscous oil identified approximately 6" 1' below grade surface
- an abandoned flowline located under Mr. Johnson's mobile home

On January 25, 2000 Mr. Bill Olson of the NMOCD collected a water well sample from the subject site. Analyses of the well water sample included cations, anions, metals, and BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene and xylenes). All parameters tested were measured below the primary and secondary drinking water and irrigation water standards, as specified in 20.6.2.3103 NMAC, Section A, B, and C, and depicted in **Table 1**.

On June 25, 2002, Mr. Olson collected five shallow soil samples from the subject property. Sample 0206251150 (BH-1-6") and 0206251210 (BH-1-1ft) were collected in an area reported to be heavily contaminated with hydrocarbons near the fence, on the west side of the Mr. Johnson's mobile home. Samples 020625 1240 (SS-W-1), 020625 1250 (SS-E-1), and 020625 1305 (SS-N-1) were collected at a depth of 1-2' below grade surface (bgs) to the west, east, and north of the mobile home, respectively depicted in **Table 2**.

On February 17, 2004, Noble Energy, Inc. conducted a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment of the parcel of property owned by Mr. Johnson. This parcel is known as the historical location of the Moon A Tank Battery Lease. The primary purpose of this assessment was to determine, to the degree practical, the horizontal and vertical extent of hydrocarbon impact remaining on said property from historical oil and gas operations at this site.

Using the NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases, the subject location has been determined to have a sensitivity ranking of 20, as the area of impact is located within 200' of a private domestic water source. Petroleum hydrocarbon levels for all samples were measured above the NMOCD guidelines of 100 mg/Kg, (the threshold for sites with a ranking score of >19). Benzene and Total BTEX concentrations in all samples were measured below NMOCD remediation guidelines for this sensitivity ranking.

All soil samples collected during the Site Investigation were analyzed for BTEX, Diesel Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons (DRO), Gasoline Range Petroleum Hydrocarbons (GRO), and metals.

Remediation guidelines for soils at sites in this sensitivity ranking are as follows:

- TPH (total petroleum hydrocarbon) concentrations...... 100 mg/kg
- Total BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes) <50 mg/kg

Based upon the findings of the Phase II ESA, the NMOCD requested a Remediation Work Plan be developed by NEI. After approval of the Remediation Work Plan, dated September, 2004, by the NMOCD and permission from the land owner, Mr. Gary Johnson, the remediation of the property was initiated on August 15, 2005.

#### 2.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Discrete field headspace soil sampling and confirmation soil sample collection for laboratory analysis was conducted during remediation activities to demonstrate to the NMOCD that complete horizontal and vertical delineation and removal of hydrocarbon impacted soil was completed at each impacted area.

A total of six (6) hydrocarbon impacted spill areas on the property were identified during the Phase II ESA and required remediation as outlined in the NEI approved Remediation Work Plan. Complete horizontal and vertical delineation with removal of hydrocarbon contaminated soils at each impacted spill area was completed as per NMOCD remediation guidelines and the approved Remediation Work Plan. A plot plan depicting impacted remedial areas is depicted in **Figure 2**.

All samples were obtained and analyzed using EPA approved methods and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures. All confirmation soil samples were placed in pre-cleaned laboratory glass jars with Teflon<sup>TM</sup> lined lids. Sample jars were placed in an ice cooler and transported with a Chain of Custody to Environmental Labs of Texas in Odessa, TX. Each confirmation soil sample was analyzed for TPH by EPA Method 8015. Per the remediation work plan, 10% of all confirmation soil samples collected were analyzed for BTEX by EPA Method SW8021B/5030.

During remediation activities, samples were collected from the center point of each impacted area. Samples were collected using a hand auger, advancing the auger to a targeted depth of 1' below bottom grade of removed soils impacted area. Soil samples were screened for hydrocarbons using a field PID (photo ionization detector). Field headspace readings were performed on each sample collected, with a threshold value of 100 ppm used to substitute for BTEX analyses.

When the field headspace measurements for a sample exceeded the 100 ppm threshold, or hydrocarbons were detected by visual or olfactory methods, additional horizontal and vertical excavation was performed and samples were collected at horizontal and vertical 5-10 foot intervals from the center point. These additional field screening samples were collected from each of the four cardinal directions and at depth. The headspace of each sample was screened as described above, with the frequency of horizontal advancement repeated until a headspace reading of <100 ppm was achieved.

Confirmation soil samples from locations where field headspace readings were below 100 ppm were collected for DRO and GRO analyses to demonstrate delineation of the impact by confirming that the measured constituents are within targeted parameters. Select sidewall and floor samples (Example: North and West Sidewall and South and East Sidewall at each impact area) were composited for analyses based on proximity to other samples in a particular area with field headspace readings below 100 ppm.

A total of 20 confirmation soil samples were collected from the floor and side wall slope of each excavation area. The confirmation soil sample locations are presented on **Figure 2** and the analytical results are included on **Table 3**.

#### **3.0 SITE CLOSURE ACTIVITIES**

Approximately 4,400 cubic yards of hydrocarbon-contaminated soil was excavated and removed for offsite disposal at a NMOCD approved facility from the 6 impacted spill areas. All measured constituents were found to be below the contaminant specific remediation levels as outlined in the approved Remediation Work Plan for each confirmation soil sample collected as provided in **Table 3** and illustrated on **Figure 1**. No further soil remediation is required for the impacted spill areas outlined in the approved Remediation Work Plan to be found in compliance with NMOCD guidelines for remediation of leaks, spills and releases at this property.

A photo log of the site cleanup activities is presented in Attachment A.

Parameter	G. Johnson Water Well, Sampled 1/27/00	NMED Drinking/Irrigation Water Standards
Metals		mg/L
Δσ	<0.05	0.051
A1	<0.50	$\frac{0.05}{50^3}$
<u>As</u>	<0.50	0.11
B	<0.05	0.75 <sup>3</sup>
B Ba	<0.05	1.01
Cd	0.03	0.01 <sup>1</sup>
	<0.05	0.05 <sup>3</sup>
Cr.		0.051
	<0.10	$\frac{1.0^2}{1.0^2}$
Fe	<0.50	$10^{2}$
Mn		$0.2^2$
Mo	<0.01	1.03
Ni	<0.01	0.23
Ph	<0.05	0.05 <sup>1</sup>
Se	<0.05	0.051
Si	28	
Na	47	
K	4	
Mg	18	
Ca	108	
Zn	<0.10	10.02
Не	<0.0002	0.0021
BTEX. mg/L		
Benzene	<0.005	0.011
Toluene	<0.005	0.751
Ethyl benzene	<0.005	0.751
M,P,O-Xylenes	<0.005	0.621
Total BTEX	<0.005	
lon Chromatography, mg/L		
Chloride	70	250 <sup>2</sup>
Fluoride	1.5	1.6 <sup>1</sup>
Nitrate-N	3.8	10.01
Sulfate	110	600 <sup>2</sup>
Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )		
Hydroxide Alkalinity	<1.0	
Carbonate Alkalinity	<1.0	
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	182	
Total Alkalinity	182	
pH	7.2	6-9
Specific conductance, uMHOS/cm	820	ang mananang sa
Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L	510	1000.0 <sup>2</sup>

#### Table 1 Analyses Results for Gary Johnson Water Well Sampled January 27, 2000

<sup>1</sup>20.6.2.3103 NMAC, Section A. Human Health Standards
 <sup>2</sup>20.6.2.3103 NMAC, Section B. Other Standards for Domestic Water Supply
 <sup>3</sup>20.6.2.3103 NMAC, Section C. Standards for Irrigation Use (Includes section A and B requirements)

· Parameter	0206251150 BH-1-6	0206251150 BH-1	0206251150 SS-W-1	0206251150 SS-E-1	0206251150 SS-N-1	NMOCD Spill Remediation Guidance <sup>1</sup>
		BTEX,	mg/Kg	·····		
Benzene	<0.050	<0.1	<0.1	<0.010	0.0234	10
Toluene	<0.050	<0.1	<0.1	<0.010	<0.020	
Ethyl benzene	0.0806	1.16	<0.1	<0.010	0.0474	
Xylenes	0.328	4.23	0.352	<0.010	0.183	÷
Total BTEX	0.409	5.39	0.352	<0.010	0.254	50
<u></u>	Petroleu	m Hydrocar	bon Analyse	s, mg/Kg		
Diesel Range Organics	11,600	<250	10,200	4,600	16,500	100 (4-4-1)
Gasoline Range Organics	32.5	352	<10	<1	20.5	100 (total)
	To	tal Metals A	nalyses, mg/	'Kg	<b>/</b>	
Hg	<0.19	<0.19	<0.19	<0.19	<0.19	
Al	9140	11100	7710	9490	10300	
As	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	
Ba	100	78.1	86.5	124	121	
В	36.0	38.4	30.1	35.2	40.6	
Cd	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Cr	6.92	8.31	5.92	6.84	7.37	*=
Со	2.78	4.32	<2.50	<2.50	2.54	
Cu	6.80	8.61	6.33	7.80	6.82	
Fe	7250	8200	6450	7150	8250	
Pb	7.27	4.44	6.32	156	14.9	
Mn	111	117	115	110	142	
Мо	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	=_
Ni	8.32	9.00	7.32	7.22	7.82	
Se	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
Si	224	214	220	194	208	
Ag	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Zn	35.8	47.5	25.1	55.7	49.0	

#### Table 2. Analyses Results for Soil Samples from Gary Johnson Property, Sampled June 25, 2002.

	NMOCD	Sample ID:	A1-CS01	A1-CS01	A1-CS02	A1-CS03	A2-CS01	A2-C501	A2-C502	A2-C503	A3-CS01	A3-GS02	A3-CS03
	Regulatory	Sample Depth:	(9.0 - 10.0')	(11.0 - 12.0')	(.0.2 - 0.9)	[6.0 - 7.0]	(.0.6 - 0.8)	(3.0 - 3.0')	(.0.4 - 0.6)	(.0'+-0'E)	(19.0 - 20.0')	(.0.7 - 0.9)	(8.0 - 7.0')
Analyte		Date:	19-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	01-8ep-2005	01-8ep-2005	01-Sep-2005	01-Sep-2005	28-Aug-2005	29-Aug-2005	29-Åug-2005
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)													
Benzene, (mg/kg)	Q		0.025 U	I	ł	ł	I	I	ł	1	I	1	I
Toluene, (mg/kg)			0.025 U	ł	•	;	1	ł	1	;	I	1	ł
Ethylbenzene, (mg/kg)			0.025 U	1	1	ł	ł	ł	1	I	I	ł	ł
Xylene, Total, (mgłkg)			0.025 U	•	1	1	!	***	1	:	i	•	1
Total BTEX, (mg/kg)	20		0.025 U	I	ł	ł	ł	1	I	ł	1	ł	ł
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Compounds													
Gasoline Range Organics > C6-C12, [mg/kg]			12	∩ 01	n 0	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	7.5 J	10 N	10 U
Diesel Range Organics > C12-C35, (mgłkg)		L	126	65.2	ດ ຊ	⊃ ₽	5 U	10 U	∩ ₽	5 C	28.7	10 U	10 C
Total Hydrocarbons > C6-C35. (mg/kg)	100		138	85.2	5 9	∩ ₽	10 U	9 C	0 Q	0 0	28.7	ο	ð
Field Screening						i							
vCC Headspace, (ppm)	100		58.3	Q N	0 X	Z	2	1	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q
	NMOCH	Samula IC.	A1/A3-CS01	A4-C801	A4.CS01	AL.Cont	A4.Cent	A4 C 803	44.0902	AK. 1 801	05.000	45,0202	A8_CR01
	Regulators	Samole Denth:	(2.0-3.07	rta.o - 20.01	19.0.20 C	(210 - 22 01)	(230.24.07)	110-1201	110.45.01	106-06)			100-000
	Threshold"				REPLICATE	( o	[ 0113 - 0103]	( 0774 - 0711)	( mm - mi)	( n:c - n:z)	[ mo - ma]	[ 10 - 17 ]	[ n:n - n:=]
Analyte		Date:	29-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	24-Aug-2005	29-Aug-2005	01-Sep-2005	18-Aug-2005	18-Aug-2006	18-Aug-2005	18-Aug-2005
Volutia Orania Communda (VOC)										¢			
Benzene, (marka)	2	·	ł	]	ł	1	I	0.025 11	1	I	I	ł	0.025.11
Toluene, (mgłkg)			1	ł	ł	ł	1	0.025 U	I	I	ł	:	0.025 U
Ethylbenzene, (mg/kg)				1	ł	1	:	0.025 U	1	:	I	ţ	0.025 U
Xylene, Total, (mg/kg)				1	1	ł		0.025 U		ł	ł	ł	0.025 U
Total BTEX, (mg/kg)	50		1	ł	ł	ł	ł	0.026 U	1	1	ł	-	0.025 U
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Compounds													
Gasoline Range Organios > C6-C12, (mgłkg)	-		10 U	1640	2040	16.6	10.5 U	đ U	0 C	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Diesel Range Organios > C12-C35, (mg/kg)			10 U	4050	5080	173	0 F.4	10 U	10 U	53.4	49.1	ti U	39.1
Total Hydrooarbons > C6-C35, (mg/kg)	100		10 U	5690	7120	190	27.6 U	₽ U	10 U	53.4	1-84	р С	39.1
Field Screening													
VOC Headspace, (ppm)	100		ÛN	1.66	1	33.5	QN	QN	Q	Ņ	Q	QN	Q
Boxed concentrations indicate result that exceeds re-	equiatory screeni	ng oriteria listed belo	.mo										
Notes :	·	L											
÷	l- Analyte analyz	ed for but undetect	ed at the corresp	onding quantitati	ion limit								
	- Not Detected -	P(D Reading equal	to background o	oncentration									
- Guide	- Ivingrams per	Kiogram											
	i. Analysis not of												
· ·	<ul> <li>Guidelines for</li> </ul>	Remediation of Lea	ks, Spills and Re	leases, NM OCI	D, August 13, 199	5							

Gary Johnson Property Moon A Lease

11

6

Remediation Closure Documentation September, 2005

Table 3





Attachment A

### Site Photo Log



Impact Area 1 Facing Mobile Street (East) - Excavation Progress



Impacted Area 1 Facing West-Southwest - Excavation in Progress



Impact Area 1 - Impacted soil around 2" flow line to be removed



Impacted Area 1 Facing West-Southwest - Impacted soil and old flow line removal



Impact Area 2 Facing Mobile Street (East) – Hydrocarbon contaminated soil



Impacted Area 4 - Excavation in Progress



Impact Area 3 Facing West - Excavation Progress



Impacted Area 4, 1 & 3 Facing West - Excavation on Area 4



Impact Area 4 - Hydrocarbon contaminated soil excavated from 19 feet below land surface



Excavated Redwood timbers and flow line from Impact Area 1



Clean Backfill staging area East of Impacted Area



Impacted Area 1 hydrocarbon contaminated soil sidewall - Excavation in Progress



Impact Area 2 Facing Mobile Street (East) - Excavation Progress



Impacted Area 4 - Excavation in Progress



Backfill complete for all Impacted Areas



Top soil placement over backfill





Site restoration complete