1R- 187

REPORTS

DATE: 3/9/1999

OCEAN ENERGY, INC.

1670 BROADWAY
SUITE 2800
DENVER, COLORADO 80202

"Request for Information" Matrix in response to the W. Price, NMOCD, letter dated 11-24-98

Assessments of the

LANDFARM/SOIL STORAGE AREAS &

PERIPHERAL OVER SPRAY AREA

for the

CARLISLE STATE COM #1 WELL

Legal Description
Unit K-Sec10 T16S R35E
1650fsl 1980 fwl
Lea County, New Mexico



March 9, 1999

CALLAWAY SAFETY EQUIPMENT CO., INC.

3311 NORTH GRIMES •• HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

TELEPHONE 505.392.0659 .. FAX 505.392.4547

March 9, 1999

Mr. Scott Webb Ocean Energy 1670 Broadway Suite 2800 Denver, Colorado 80202

Subject: NMOCD Request for Information letter dated 11-24-98

Mr. Webb,

Attached is the response to the "Request for Information" submitted by Mr. Wayne Price of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division in his letter dated 11-24-98.

Reference	NMOCD Request for Information	Response or Explanation
B.1.	Provide Unit Letter	Unit K
B.2.	Specific location of the reference point,	Legal description: Carlisle State Com #1
	i.e., wellhead	"Unit K-Sec10 T16S R35E 1650FSL 1980FWL
		Lea County, New Mexico"
B.3.	The pit closure reports show bottom	The pits were very shallow, i.e.; <24" and sampling the
	hole results but do not include sidewall	sidewalls of the pits would not be at a significant
	information. Please provide to	interval from the bottom hole samples. Moreover, the
	demonstrate horizontal extent or	pits were excavated in the existing drilling pad of a PA
	provide rationale.	well that was, in all likelihood, already contaminated.
B.4.	Attachment C in each report is titled,	The attachment C title page should read, "Soil Data:
	"Ground Water Data Original	Original Laboratory Reports." This was an
	Laboratory Reports," but the analysis	administrative oversight on the part of the preparer.
	included is for soils, please explain.	
B.5. Part 1	Please demonstrate what the	The North and South Halliburton pits were excavated at
	background levels of chlorides would be	a PA well location which, in all likelihood, was
	outside of the impact areas.	contaminated during the drilling activities prior to
		being permanently abandoned. Determining the
		background chloride concentration "outside of the
		impact area" would not provide viable requisite data by
		which an environmental management decision could be made.
		Rationale: The area "outside of the impact area" would
:		be on the existing, previously contaminated, drilling
		pad of the PA well and would be biased.
B.5. Part 2	Please investigate the vertical extent of	The response to B.5. Part 1 would, likewise, apply to
	chlorides.	this request.

Reference	NMOCD Request for Information	Response or Explanation
B.6.	Please explain the results for the	The analytical report submitted by Cardinal
	chlorides, 11,300 mg/Kg, found in the	Laboratories on 5-2-98 provides chloride results for two
	North Pit Report Lab results sampling	samples, i.e., S42998HNP (2800 mg/Kg) and
	date 04/29/98 lab # H3615-1	S42998HSP (11,300 mg/Kg).
ļ	S42998HSP	S42998HNP (2800 mg/Kg) is the Halliburton North Pit
		Bottom hole sample.
		S42998HSP (11,300 mg/Kg) was an investigative
		sample of the Halliburton South Pit which indicated
		that further excavation would be required. The final
		bottom hole result for the Halliburton South Pit was
		reported as 1778 mg/Kg on 5/11/98. The original
		analytical report is attached.
B.7.	Please provide a Table of Site	3-31-98: Sample area water wells
	Chronology of significant events.	4-20-98: Non-drilling pit liquids disposed of.
		4-20-98: Sampled baseline locations for Landfarm.
		4-27-98: Begin excavating contaminated pit soil and
		placing in the landfarm area.
		5-1-98: Sample peripheral location 600' north of
		wellbore for VOC using the PID. (4-6 ppm)
		6-8-98: Pit boulders blasted to allow continued removal
		of contaminated soil media.
Í		6-9-98: Cease excavation
		7-18-98: Submit Final Remediation Reports for the
		Halliburton North Pit, Halliburton South pit, and the
		East Flare Pit.
Pg. 3	4-18-98 NMOCD Approval Letter	The Assessment is enclosed.
pl-l.	Item 2: Please provide information	
l	concerning the large over spray area.	
Pg. 4	4-18-98 NMOCD Approval Letter	The Assessment is enclosed.
p1-3.	Item 4: All areas where soils were	
	stockpiled or temporarily landfarmed	
	shall be tested, please provide.	

If you have any questions please call me at $.505 \square 392 \square 0659$.

Sincerely,

Pat McCasland Callaway Safety & Environmental Director

cc: Sam Callaway

OCEAN ENERGY, INC.

Assessment of the

LANDFARM/SOIL STORAGE AREAS

&

PERIPHERAL OVER SPRAY AREA

for the

CARLISLE STATE COM #1 WELL

Legal Description
Unit K-Sec10 T16S R35E
1650fsl 1980 fwl
Lea County, New Mexico

March 9, 1999

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. La	andfarm / Soil Storage Area Assessment	3
1.1	Sampling and Analysis Plan	
1.2	Discussion of Results	3
1.3 Ot	ConclusionDriginal Laboratory Analytical Reports	
	Over Spray Area Peripheral Survey	
2.1	Discussion of Results	5
2.2		
Oı	Original Laboratory Analytical Reports	6
Si	ite Map	7

1. LANDFARM / SOIL STORAGE AREA ASSESSMENT

The "Site Assessment Work Plan, April 1998," commits to assessing the impact on the soil from the effects of temporary storage or landfarming of contaminated soil excavated from the pits. A liner was not used because the soil being stored was not saturated and did not pose a risk to ground water. The excavations were subsequently lined and the contaminated soil used as backfill.

1.1 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

Baseline samples at the 24-36" interval were obtained in April and May 1998, sampling succeeding areas as the excavation progressed and the soil storage area grew in size. Post Remediation samples were taken at the original Baseline sample locations in January 1999. The data is presented in Table 1 followed by the original third party laboratory analytical reports.

1.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

For the Post Remediation samples:

- BTEX at all four locations were below detection limits.
- TPH and, coincidentally, the Chloride concentration, increased at the East and Northwest locations but decreased at the Northeast and West sample sites.
- The General Chemistry parameters show some variability but nothing significant.

1.3 CONCLUSION

Chloride concentrations are not high enough to impact re-vegetation activity and will dissipate with time due to infiltration of periodic rain. The TPH concentrations at the East and Northwest locations are elevated but not above the 1000 ppm threshold and should enhance the natural reclamation processes. It is concluded that the surface will recover rapidly and there is not a threat to ground water.

Table 1 Ocean Energy Carlisle State Com #1

				37					
				Ĭ	Landfarm Sample Locations	nple Location	Suc		
	,	East L	East Landfarm	Northeas	Northeast Landfarm	Northwes	Northwest Landfarm	West L	West Landfarm
		600 feet due east of the #1 well	of the #1 well bore	-	400 feet due northeast of the #1 well bore	300 feet northwes	300 feet northwest of the #1 well bors		600 feet west northwest of the #1 well bore
Parameter	Units	Baseline 4/28/98	Post Remediation 1/13/99	Baseline 5/5/98	Post Remediation 1/13/99	Baseline 5/5/98	Post Remediation 1/13/99	Baseline 5/29/98	Post Remediation 1/13/99
Benzene	mg/Kg	0.057	<0.002	<.001	<0.002	<.001	<0.002	<.002	<0.002
Bicarbonates	mg/Kg	234.000	429.000	176.000	273.000	254.000	332.000	1132.000	234.000
Carbonates	mg/Kg	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Chloride	mg/Kg	36.000	107.000	107.000	96.000	47.000	184.000	166.000	80.000
Chloride	mg/Kg		160.000						
Conductivity	nmhos/cm	88.000	361.000	117.000	262.000	139.000	341.000	5390.000	185.000
Ethyl Benzene	mg/Kg	0.207	<0.002	<.001	<0.002	<.001	<0.002	0.005	<0.002
Hardness	mg/Kg	128.000	400.000	176.000	368.000	208.000	464.000	4240.000	256.000
Hydroxides	mg/Kg	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
P-Alkalinity	mg/Kg	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
ЬН	S.u.	7.820	7.470	7.680	7.360	8.190	7.530	7.230	7.300
Sulfates	mg/Kg	56.000	44.900	12.000	6.430	40.000	5.950	122.000	1.740
T-Alkalinity	mg/Kg	191.000	351.000	144.000	224.000	208.000	272.000	928.000	192.000
Toluene	mg/Kg	0.577	<0.002	<.001	<0.002	<.001	<0.002	0.004	<0.002
ТРН	mg/Kg	<10.0	802.000	747.000	<10	<10	266.000	15.900	<10
Xylenes - Total	mg/Kg	2.380	<0.006	<.003	<0.006	<.003	<0.006	0.070	<0.006

Original Laboratory Analytical Reports



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY/UMC ATTN: PAT McCASLAND 3229 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE HOBBS, NM 88240 FAX TO: 505-392-4990

Receiving Date: 04/28/98 Reporting Date: 04/30/98

Project Owner: UMC

Project Name: CARLISLE STATE COM #1

Project Location: 4 MI WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 04/28/98 Sample Type: SOIL

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT

Sample Received By: GP Analyzed By: BC/AH

LAB NUMBER	SAMPLE ID	TPH (mg/Kg)	Cl (mg/Kg)	BENZENE (mg/Kg)	TOLUENE (mg/Kg)	ETHYL BENZENE (mg/Kg)	TOTAL XYLENES (mg/Kg)
ANALYSIS DA	TE:	04/29/98	04/30/98	04/28/98	04/28/98	04/28/98	04/28/98
H3612-1	S42898DRP	28200	38800	21.3	396	95	990
H3612-2	S42898LFBL	<10	107	0.057	0.577	0.207	2.38
Quality Control		211	476	0.106	0.104	0.103	0.313
True Value QC		200	500	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.300
% Accuracy		106	95	104	104	103	104
Relative Percer	nt Difference	1.7	0.8	4.0	0.1	0.7	0.3

METHODS:

TRPHC-EPA 600/4-79-020, 418.1;CI-EPA 600/4-79-020 325.3 BTEX-EPA SW-846-8260

Burgess J. K. Cooke, Ph. D.

Date





ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY/UMC ATTN:PAT McCASLAND 3229 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE **HOBBS, NM 88240** FAX TO: (505) 392-4990

Receiving Date: 05/05/98

Reporting Date: 05/07/98

Project Number: NOT GIVEN

Project Location: 4 MILES WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT Project Name: UMC CARLISLE STATE COM #1

Analyzed By: BC

Sample Received By: JS

Sample Type: SOIL

Sampling Date: 05/05/98

ETHYL TOTAL XYLENES LAB NO. SAMPLE ID TPH BENZENE TOLUENE BENZENE (mg/kg) (mg/kg) (mg/kg) (mg/kg) (mg/kg)

ANALYSIS	DATE:	05/06/98	05/05/98	05/05/98	05/05/98	05/05/98
H3626-1	S5598CLFNEBL	747	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003
H3626-2	S5598CLFNWBL	<10	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.003
Quality Con	trol	212	0.096	0.092	0.091	0.275
True Value		200	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.300
% Recovery		106	96.4	91.6	91.0	91.7
Relative Pe	rcent Difference	1.3	9.4	8.4	3.7	3.1

METHODS: TRPHC - EPA 600/7-79-020, 418.1; BTEX - EPA SW846-8020, 8260

H3626-1.XLS



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY /UMC ATTN: PAT McCASLAND 3229 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE HOBBS, NM 88240 FAX TO: (505) 392-4990

Receiving Date: 05/05/98 Reporting Date: 05/07/98

Project Number: NOT GIVEN

Project Name: UMC CARLISLE STATE COM #1

Project Location: 4 MILES WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 05/05/98 Sample Type: SOIL*

Sample Condition: COOL AND INTACT

Sample Received By: JS

Analyzed By:

	Standard Method	2320 B	2320 B	-	-	-
METHODS:	EPA 600/4-79-020	-		130.2	325.3	375.4
Relative Perc	ent Difference	NR	NR	NR	1.6	2.7
% Accuracy		NR	NR	NR	98.4	97.8
True Value Q	C	NR	NR	NR	1355	50.0
Quality Contro	ol	NR	NR	NR	1334	48.9
H3626-3**	S42498LFBL	0	191	128	36	56
H3626-2	S5598CLFNWBL	0	208	208	47	40
H3626-1	S5598CLFNEBL	0	144	176	107	12
ANALYSIS D	ATE	05/06/98	05/06/98	05/06/98	05/06/98	05/06/98
LAB NUMBER	R SAMPLE ID	P-Alkalinity (mg/L)	T-Alkalinity (mg/L)	Hardness (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Sulfates (mg/L)

LAB NUMBER	SAMPLE ID	Hydroxides (mg/L)	Carbonate: (mg/L)	Bicarbonates (mg/L)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	pH (s.u.)
ANALYSIS DA	TE	05/06/98	05/06/98	05/06/98	05/06/98	05/06/98
H3626-1	S5598CLFNEBL	0	0	176	117	7.68
H3626-2	S5598CLFNWBL	0	0	254	139	8.19
H3626-3**	S42498LFBL	0	0	234	88	7.82
Quality Control		NR	124	221	1445	7.01
True Value QC		NR	112	259	1413	7.00
% Accuracy		NR	110	85.4	102	100
Relative Percer	nt Difference	NR	- 1	-	0.3	0.1
METHODS:	EPA 600/4-79-020	<u>-</u> - T	<u>-</u>	-	120.1	150.1
	Standard Method	2320 B	2320 B	2320 B	-	-

*Analyses performed on 1:4 w:v aqueous extracts.

**Sampled on 04/24/98.

Gayle Pøtter, Chemist

H3626-3, XLS

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries. affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above-stated reasons or otherwise.



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY/UMC ATTN: PAT McCASLAND 3229 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE HOBBS, NM 88240 FAX TO: 505-392-4990

Receiving Date: 05/29/98 Reporting Date: 06/02/98 Project Number: NOT GIVEN

Project Name: UMC CARLISLE STATE COM #1

Project Location: 4 MILES WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 05/29/98 Sample Type: SOIL

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT

Sample Received By: GP

Analyzed By: AH

LAB NUMBER SAMPLE ID	P-Alkalinity (mg/Kg)	T-Alkalinity (mg/Kg)	Hardness (mg/Kg)	Chloride (mg/Kg)	Sulfates (mg/Kg)
ANALYSIS DATE	06/01/98	06/01/98	06/01/98	06/01/98	06/01/98
H3667-2 S52998CLFW1BL	0	928	4240	166	122
Quality Control	NR	NR	NR	1334	48.9
True Value QC	NR	NR	NR	1355	50.0
% Accuracy	NR	NR	NR	98.4	97.8
Relative Percent Difference	NR	NR	NR	1.6	2.2
METHODS: EPA 600/4-79-020,	· ·	-	130.2	325.3	375.4
Standard Method	2320 B	2320 B			-
LAB NUMBER SAMPLE ID	Hydroxides (mg/Kg)	Carbonates (mg/Kg)	Bicarbonates (mg/Kg)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)	pH (s.u.)
ANALYSIS DATE	06/01/98	06/01/98	06/01/98	06/02/98	06/02/98
H3667-2 S52998CLFW1BL	0	0	1132	5390	7.23
Quality Control	NR	124	221	1445	7.05
True Value QC	NR NR	124 112	221 259	1445 1413	7.05 7.00
True Value QC % Accuracy					
True Value QC	NR	112	259	1413	7.00
True Value QC % Accuracy	NR NR	112	259	1413 102	7.00 101

Chemist OHAD Cooks

Date

PLEASE NOTE: Listing and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including mose for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above-stated reasons or otherwise.





ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY/UMC ATTN: PAT McCASLAND 3229 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE HOBBS, NM 88240 FAX TO: 505-392-4990

Receiving Date: 05/29/98 Reporting Date: 06/01/98

Project Number: NOT GIVEN

Project Name: UMC CARLISLE STATE COM #1

Project Location: 4 MILES WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 05/29/98

Sample Type: SOIL

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT

Sample Received By: GP

Analyzed By: BC

LAB NUMBER	SAMPLE ID	TPH (mg/Kg)	ALKANE RANGE	BENZENE (mg/Kg)	TOLUENE (mg/Kg)	ETHYL BENZENE (mg/Kg)	TOTAL XYLENES (mg/Kg)
ANALYSIS DA	ГЕ:	05/29/98	05/29/98	05/29/98	05/29/98	05/29/98	05/29/98
H3667-1	S52998HSP	282	C12-C28	<0.002	0.003	<0.002	<0.006
H3667-2	S52998CLFW1BL	15.9	C14-C26	<0.002	0.004	0.005	0.070
Quality Control		2862	-	0.102	0.102	0.101	0.306
True Value QC		3000	-	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.300
% Accuracy		95.4	-	102	102	101	102
Relative Percer	nt Difference	3.5	<u> </u>	2.1	7.4	9.2	4.9

METHODS:

TPH - EPA SW-846 8015M; BTEX - EPA SW-846-8020

Burgess J. A. Cooke. Ph. D.

Date





ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY ATTN: PAT MCCASLAND 3311 N. GRIMES HOBBS,NM 88240 FAX TO: (505) 392-4547

Receiving Date: 01/14/99

Reporting Date: 01/18/99

Project Owner: OCEAN ENERGY

Project Name: UMC CARLISLE ST. COM. #1

Project Location: 4 MI. WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 01/13/99

Sample Type: SOIL

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT

Sample Received By: BC

Analyzed By: BC

LAB NO.	SAMPLE ID	TPH (mg/kg)	BENZENE (mg/kg)	TOLUENE (mg/kg)	ETHYL BENZENE (mg/kg)	TOTAL XYLENES (mg/kg)
ANALYSIS	DATE:	01/14/99	01/14/99	01/14/99	01/14/99	01/14/99
H3992-1	S11399LFE	802	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006
H3992-2	S11399LFNE	<10	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006
H3992-3	S11399LFNW	266	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006
H3992-4	S11399LFW	<10	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006
Quality Co	ntrol	244	0.088	0.094	0.099	0.296
True Value	QC	240	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.300
% Recover	гу	102	88	94	99	99
Relative Po	ercent Difference	0.8	1.6	6.3	1.5	1.4

METHODS: TRPHC - EPA 600/7-79-020, 418.1; BTEX - EPA SW846-8020, 8260

st Cook

Data



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY ATTN: PAT MCCASLAND 3311 N. GRIMES HOBBS,NM 88240 FAX TO: (505) 392-4547

Receiving Date: 01/14/99 Reporting Date: 01/18/99

Project Owner: OCEAN ENERGY

Project Name: UMC CARLISLE ST. COM. #1

Project Location: 4 MI. WEST OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 01/13/99 Sample Type: SOIL

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT

Sample Received By: BC

Analyzed By: AH

LAB NUMBE	R SAMPLE ID	P-Alkalinity (mg/L)	T-Alkalinity (mg/L)	Hardness (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Sulfates (mg/L)	p∺ (s.u.)
ANALYSIS D	ATE	01/15/99	01/15/99	01/15/99	01/15/99	01/18/99	01/15/99
H3992-1	S11399LFE	0	351	400	160	44.9	7.47
H3992-2	S11399LFNE	0	224	368	96	6.43	7.36
H3992-3	S11399LFNW	0	272	464	184	5.95	7.53
H3992-4	S11399LFW	0	192	256	80	1.74	7.30
Quality Contr	ol	NR	NR	46	1257	49.66	7.07
True Value Q	C	NR	NR	50	1319	50	7.00
% Recovery		NR	NR	92	95	99	101
Relative Perc	ent Difference	NR	NR	12	3.5	2.1	7.1
METHODS:	EPA 600/4-79-02	-	-	130.2	325.3	375.4	150.1
	Standard Method	2320 B	2320 B	-	-		-

LAB NUMBER	SAMPLE ID	Hydroxides (mg/L)	Carbonates (mg/L)	Bicarbonates (mg/L)	Conductivity (umhos/cm)
ANALYSIS DA	TE	01/15/99	01/15/99	01/15/99	01/15/99
H3992-1	S11399LFE	0	0	429	361
H3992-2	S11399LFNE	0	0	273	262
H3992-3	S11399LFNW	0	0	332	341
H3992-4	S11399LFW	0	0	234	185
Quality Control		NR	112	221	1402
True Value QC		NR	124	259	1413
% Recovery		NR	90	85	99
Relative Percer	nt Difference	NR	<u> </u>	-	0.1
METHODS:	EPA 600/4-79-02	-	T -	-	120.1
	Standard Method	2320 B	2320 B	2320 B	-

Buy of A Coope

1/18/97 Date

2. OVER SPRAY AREA PERIPHERAL SURVEY

In accordance with the "Site Assessment Work Plan, April 1998," surface samples along the Cardinal Radians were analyzed for headspace VOC using a Rae Systems handheld PID. None was detected. Peripheral samples were taken at the surface and 18-36" interval from undisturbed areas beyond the usage area. Refer to attached map.

2.1 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Chloride concentrations are at background levels, i.e., 32-47 mg/Kg with the exception of the East location which is only nominally higher. The surface samples show there to be moderate TPH contamination at the East and North sample sites considerably higher at the South location. Refer to Data Table 2

2.2 CONCLUSION

There will not be an off-site impact due to Chloride. The South TPH surface sample result is 2030 mg/Kg but rapidly diminishes to 377 mg/Kg at the 36" interval. The initial and most likely heaviest flow from the well bore was carried south and explains the elevated concentrations. Based on these data, there will not be a long-term impact to the area soil and vegetation and no credible threat to ground water.

Page 1

Table 2 Ocean Energy Carlisle State Com #1

	!		_	Overspray	Area Perip	Overspray Area Peripheral Survey Locations	ey Location	S	
		Ea	East	Š	North	West	st	South	uth
		800 feet due east of the #1 well bore	east of the #1 well bore	600 feet due No bc	600 feet due North of the #1 well bore	600 feet due west of the #1 well bore	st of the #1 well re	600 feet due south of the #1 well bore	ith of the #1 well
		Surface	18" Interval	Surface	24" Interval	Surface	18" Interval	Surface	36" Interval
Parameter	Units	2/15/99	2/15/99	2/15/99	2/15/99	2/15/99	2/15/99	2/15/99	2/15/99
Chloride	ppm	111.000	47.000	47.000	000.62	32.000	47.000	47.000	63.000
TPH	mdd	108.000	<50	316.000	<50	<50	<50	2030.000	377.000
voc*	ppm	*QN	QN	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

*VOC - These data were obtained using the Rae Systems Portable Handheld PID. Field data obtained during May 1998 indicated concentrations between 3 and 8 ppm VOC at the Surface and at 14" interval.

ND* - None detected

Original Laboratory Analytical Reports



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR CALLAWAY SAFETY ATTN: PAT McCASLAND

3311 N. GRIMES HOBBS, NM 88240

FAX TO: (505) 392-4547

Receiving Date: 02/16/99

Reporting Date: 02/17/99
Project Owner: OCEAN ENERGY

Project Name: CARLISLE #1

Project Location: 4 MILES W. OF LOVINGTON, NM

Sampling Date: 02/15/99

Sample Type: SOIL

Sample Condition: COOL & INTACT

Sample Received By: AH

Analyzed By: BC/AH

		TPH	CI
LAB NUMBER	SAMPLE ID	(ppm)	(ppm)

ANALYSIS [DATE:	02/16/99	02/17/99
H4028-1	S99215N600S	316	47 v
H4028-2	S99215N60024 ~	<50	79 /
H4028-3	S99215S600S 🗸	2030 /	47 /
H4028-4	S99215S60036 /	377 /	63
H4028-5	S99215W600S /	<50	32
H4028-6	S99215W60018~	<50	47
H4028-7	S99215E800S	108	111
H4028-8	S99215E80018	<50	47
Quality Cont	rol	2316	1335
True Value (SC	3000	1319
% Recovery		77.2	101
Relative Per	cent Difference	1.3	1.5

METHODS: EPA SW-846	8015 M	4500-Cl ⁻ B*
+0.4 10.44		

*Std. Methods

Burgosth Coshi Chemist

Date

H4028.XLS

SITE MAP

