3R - 137

REPORTS

DATE: 10/19/2006

October 19, 2006

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER

Mr. Glen von Gonten NMOCD 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

3120141 ろれのつす

Project No. 05161-003

2003 OCT 20 PM 3 35

Phone (505) 476-3440

RE: SECOND QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT

Dear Mr. von Gonten:

Enclosed please find one (1) copy of the report entitled, *Second Quarterly Monitoring Report*. This report details the second quarterly monitoring for the North Hogback 12-1, North Hogback 12-4, and North Hogback 12-9 locations on the Navajo Nation in San Juan County, New Mexico.

TOMORRO

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at (505) 632-0615.

Respectfully Submitted, ENVIROTECH, INC.

29

Greg W. Crabtree, EIT Environmental Engineer gcrabtree@envirotech-inc.com

Enclosure: One (1) copy

DUNCAN OIL SECOND QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT NORTH HOGBACK 12-1, 12-4, AND 12-9 NAVAJO NATION SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	.1
Groundwater Sampling and Analysis	.1
Summary and Conclusions	.3

Figures:	Figure 1, Vicinity Map
-	Figure 2, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Site Map
	Figure 3, North Hogback 12-4 Site Map
	Figure 4, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Water Level Map
	Figure 5, North Hogback 12-4 Water Level Map

Appendices: Appendix A, Laboratory Water Sample Results Appendix B, Field Notes and Inspection Forms

INTRODUCTION

Envirotech, Inc. has completed the second quarterly monitoring of seven (7) monitor wells at the Duncan Oil North Hogback 12-1, 12-4, and 12-9 well sites. Contaminated soil was previously excavated from the sites in September and October of 2005 and monitor wells were installed. The contaminated soil was transported to Envirotech's NMOCD permitted landfarm at Hilltop, NM, for remediation. Water samples collected at the time of excavation indicated that the three (3) sites previously referenced had residual contaminants in the groundwater above the guidelines set forth by the USEPA and adopted by the NNEPA.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Groundwater sampling was performed on seven (7) monitor wells on October 2, 2006. A representative was present from the NNEPA to inspect the sampling. Previously water was not present in either monitor well at the North Hogback 12-1 well site; however, during this sampling event sufficient water was present in both monitor wells to obtain a sample. Prior to sampling a minimum of three (3) well volumes of water was bailed out of each well with a new disposable bailer.

Water levels were calculated from the surveying data to draw a water level map. Water levels and groundwater gradient for the North Hogback 12-4 and 12-9 are shown on *Figure 4*. A water level map with the water gradient indicated is shown in *Figure 1* for the North Hogback 12-4 location. It appears that the groundwater is moving from east-northeast to west-southwest across the 12-4 site. Water levels for the individual wells are tabulated in **Table 1** below.

Name	Casing Elevation	Water Level	Water Elevation
N. Hogback 12-1 MW-1	5025.84	17.00	5008.84
N. Hogback 12-1 MW-2	5027.47	18.06	5009.41
N. Hogback 12-9 MW-1	5026.12	14.94	5018.25
N. Hogback 12-9 MW-2	5025.61	10.97	5017.48
N. Hogback 12-4 MW-1	4966.45	6.57	4960.20
N. Hogback 12-4 MW-2	4966.60	6.94	4959.98
N. Hogback 12-4 MW-3	4967.44	8.8	4959.39

Table 1: Water Levels

North Hogback 12-9

Samples were collected from the two (2) monitor wells at the North Hogback 12-9 and analyzed for lead, manganese, and iron via USEPA Method 6010B. Results from this analysis are summarized in **Table 2** below and laboratory certificates are presented in *Appendix C*, *Laboratory Water Sample Results*.

Table 2: Summar	v of Laboratory	/ Metals Analysis f	for North Hogback 12-9

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Regulated Level
Iron (ppm)	0.306	0.219	1.0
Manganese (ppm)	0.504	0.541	0.2
Lead (ppm)	ND	ND	0.050

Values in bold exceed the USEPA and NNEPA regulated level

Manganese concentrations increased by 1.8-2.4 times the values reported in the first quarter sampling event.

North Hogback 12-1

Samples were collected from the two (2) monitor wells at the North Hogback 12-1 and analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene (BTEX) via USEPA method 8021. Results from this analysis are summarized in **Table 3** below and laboratory certificates are presented in *Appendix A, Laboratory Water Sample Results*.

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Regulated Level
Benzene (ppb)	4.3	5.9	5.0
Toluene (ppb)	2.4	3.0	1,000
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	3.9	7.1	700
Total Xylenes (ppb)	12.2	15.8	10,000

|--|

Values in bold exceed the USEPA and NNEPA regulated level

Benzene was the only analyte of concern above the NNEPA regulated level at 5.9 ppb.

North Hogback 12-4

All three (3) monitor wells at this location were sampled for BTEX via USEPA method 8021B. Prior to sampling three (3) well volumes were bailed from each well. The contaminants of concern analyzed for in Method 8021B are all below the regulated levels. A summary of the laboratory results is presented in **Table 4** below. All the contaminants of concern are below the USEPA's regulated level for groundwater at the 12-4 site.

Tuble it building of Lub of atory DILAT THAT, SID TOTAL TO GOUGHT I						
Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Monitor Well #3	Regulated Level		
Benzene (ppb)	ND	3.1	ND	5.0		
Toluene (ppb)	1.9	1.6	ND	1,000		
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	1.3	2.8	0.7	700		
Total Xylenes (ppb)	1.9	6.7	ND	10,000		

ND - indicates analyte is below the method detection limit

None of the analytes of concern analyzed for at the North Hogback 12-4 are above the regulated levels.

Durean O¹¹ Second Quarterly Monitoring October 13, 2006 Project #05161-003 Page 3

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Envirotech has completed the second quarterly monitoring of seven (7) monitor wells at the North Hogback 12-1, 12-4, and 12-9 well sites. All of the contaminants of concern analyzed for are below the USEPA's regulated level at the North Hogback 12-4. Envirotech recommends one (1) additional quarter of sampling at this location. At the North Hogback 12-9 location, manganese was slightly higher than the regulated level in both monitor wells at 0.504 and 0.541 ppm respectively. Envirotech recommends an additional three (3) quarters of sampling at this location. At the North Hogback 12-1 location, benzene was slightly higher than the regulated level in monitor well #2 at 5.9 ppb Envirotech recommends an additional three (3) quarters of sampling at this location.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at (505) 632-0615.

Respectfully submitted, ENVIROTECH, INC. Reviewed By:

Greg Crabtree, EIT Environmental Engineer gcrabtree@envirotech-inc.com

C/Jack Collins Chief Environmental Scientist/Hydrogeologist NMCES #098 jcollins@envirotech-inc.com



Morris **D**. Young

President NMCES #038 myoung@envirotech-inc.com



FIGURES

Figure 1, Vicinity Map

Figure 2, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Site Map

Figure 3, North Hogback 12-4 Site Map

Figure 4, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Water Level Map

Figure 5, North Hogback 12-4 Water Level Map











5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64, FARMINGTO

APPENDIX A

Laboratory Water Sample Results

VIR LUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS

Manganese	0.504	0.001	
Iron	0.306	0.001	
Parameter	Concentration (mg/L)	Det. Limit (mg/L)	
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Needed:	Fe, Mn, Pb
Preservative:	Cool	Date Digested:	10-03-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample ID:	N: HOYDACK 12-9 MW - 1 38687	Date Sampled	10-04-00
Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-003

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 3050B, Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 6010B, Analysis of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmision Spectorscopy, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

Analyst

hauter Review

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS

Oliverty	Dursen Oil	Project #:	05161-003
	Nullashadi 42.0 MM/ 2	Poto Poportod:	10 04 06
Sample ID:	N. HOGDACK 12-9 MVV - 2	Date Reported.	10-04-00
Laboratory Number:	38688	Date Sampled:	10-02-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Preservative:	Cool	Date Digested:	10-03-06
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Needed:	Fe, Mn, Pb
		Det.	
Parameter	Concentration (mg/L)	Limit (mg/L)	
La			
Iron	0.219	0.001	
lron Manganese	0.219 0.541	0.001 0.001	

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 3050B, Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 6010B, Analysis of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmision Spectorscopy, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

Analyst

Review

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS Quality Control / Quality Assurance Report

and the second second

Client:		QA/QC	Proiect #:			N/A
Sample ID:		10-04-TM QA/QC	Date Repor	ted:		10-04-06
Laboratory Number:		38687	Date Samp	led:		N/A
Sample Matrix:		Water	Date Recei	ved:		N/A
Analysis Requested:		Fe, Mn, Pb	Date Analyz	zed:		10-04-06
Condition:		N/A	Date Diges	ted:		10-03-06
Blank & Duplicate Conc. (mg/L)	Instrument Blank (mg/L)	Detection Limit	Sample (mg/L)	Duplicate (mg/L)	% Diff.	Acceptance Range
Iron	ND	0.001	0.306	0.303	1.0%	0% - 30%
Manganese	ND	0.001	0.504	0.508	0.8%	0% - 30%
Lead	ND	0.001	ND	ND	0.0%	0% - 30%

Spike Conc. (mg/L)	Spike Added	Sample (mg/L)	Spiked Sample	Percent Recovery	Acceptance Range
Iron	0.500	0.306	0.808	100.2%	80% - 120%
Manganese	0.500	0.504	1.00	99.6%	80% - 120%
Lead	0.500	ND	0.501	100.2%	80% - 120%

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 3050B, Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 6010B, Analysis of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmision Spectorscopy, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

QA/QC for samples 38687 - 38688

Analyst

Aprilia Miaite

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

pristine Mildeles

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-003
Sample ID:	N. Hogback 12-1 MW-1	Date Reported:	10-04-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Sampled:	10-02-06
Laboratory Number:	38689	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	4.3	1	0.2
Toluene	2.4	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	3.9	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	8.4	1	0.2
o-Xylene	3.8	1	0.1

Total BTEX

Analyst

22.8

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:		Parameter	Percent Recovery	
		fluorobenzene	99.8 %	
		1,4-difluorobenzene	99.8 %	
		4-bromochlorobenzene	99.8 %	
References:	Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.			
	Method 802 Photoioniza	1B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by G tion and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors	as Chromatography Using , SW-846, USEPA December 1996.	
Comments:				
•	_			

Review

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

-

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-003
Sample ID:	N. Hogback 12-1 MW-2	Date Reported:	10-04-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Sampled:	10-02-06
Laboratory Number:	38690	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	5.9	1	0.2
Toluene	3.0	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	7.1	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	10.7	1	0.2
o-Xylene	5.1	1	0.1

Total BTEX

31.8

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:		Parameter	Percent F	Recovery
·····		fluorobenzene	99.8	%
		1,4-difluorobenzene	99.8	%
		4-bromochlorobenzene	99.8	%
References:	Method 50 December	Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.		
	Method 80	21B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by G	as Chromatography Us	ing
Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA De		, SW-846, USEPA Dec	ember 1996.	
Comments:				

Analyst

Jaclos Review

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

			<u></u>
Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-003
Sample ID:	H, Hogback 12-4 MW-2	Date Reported:	10-04-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Sampled:	10-02-06
Laboratory Number:	38691	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

	Concentration	Dilution	Det. Limit
Parameter	(ug/L)	Factor	(ug/L)
Benzene	3.1	1	0.2
Toluene	1.6	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	2.8	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	4.4	1	0.2
o-Xylene	2.3	1	0.1

Total BTEX

14.2

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recov	eries: Parameter	Percent Recovery
	fluorobenzene	99.8 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	99.8 %
	4-bromochlorobenzene	99.8 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

Analyst

Mialle. Review



EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-003
Sample ID:	H, Hogback 12-4 MW-3	Date Reported:	10-04-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Sampled:	10-02-06
Laboratory Number:	38692	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	ND	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	0.7	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	ND	1	0.1

Total BTEX

0.7

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Rec	overies:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	-	fluorobenzene	99.8 %
		1,4-difluorobenzene	99.8 %
		4-bromochlorobenzene	99.8 %
References:	Method 50 December	30B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evalua 1996.	ting Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA,
	Method 80 Photoioniz	21B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by G ation and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors	as Chromatography Using , SW-846, USEPA December 1996.
Comments:			

Ånalyst

actes Review

ABS OLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 **AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS**

and the second s

··	e na alexande e la companya de companya en entre en el companya en el companya en el companya en el companya e		
Client:	Duncan Oi!	Project #:	05161-003
Sample ID:	H, Hogback 12-4 MW-1	Date Reported:	10-04-06
Chain of Custody:	1555	Date Sampled:	10-02-06
Laboratory Number:	38693	Date Received:	10-02-06
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	10-04-06
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	1.9	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	1.3	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	0.9	1	0.2
o-Xylene	1.0	1	0.1

Total BTEX

5.1

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recov	eries: Parameter	Percent Recovery
	fluorobenzene	99.8 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	99.8 %
	4-bromochlorobenzene	99.8 %

-040, US December 1996.

> Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

Analyst

hristise milacter Review

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

1.12.1

46 - 148

la recordo completive constant constant

Client: Sample ID: Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix: Preservative: Condition:	N/A 10-04-BTEX QA/Q 38689 Water N/A N/A	IC	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled: Date Received: Date Analyzed: Analysis:		N/A 10-04-06 N/A N/A 10-04-06 BTEX
Calibration and	I-Cal RF:	C-Cal RF:	%Diff.	Blank	Detect.
Detection Limits (ug/L)		Accept. Rar	nge 0 - 15%	Conc	Limit
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene	5.0672E+007 6.5864E+007 2.5131E+007 1.1679E+008 5.7293E+007	5.0825E+007 6.6062E+007 2.5206E+007 1.1714E+008 5.7466E+007	0.30% 0.30% 0.30% 0.30% 0.30%	ND ND ND ND	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1
Duplicate Conc. (ug/L)	Sample	Duplicate	%Diff.	Accept Limit	
Benzene	4.3	4.3	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Toluene	2.4	2.4	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Ethylbenzene	3.9	3.9	0.0%	0 - 30%	
p,m-Xylene	8.4	8.3	0.5%	0 - 30%	
o-Xylene	3.8	3.8	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Spike Conc. (ug/L)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Accept Limits
Benzene	4.3	50.0	54.2	99.8%	39 - 150
Toluene	2.4	50.0	52.3	99.8%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	3.9	50.0	53.8	99.8%	32 - 160
p,m-Xylene	8.4	100	108	99.8%	46 - 148

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

o-Xylene

Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996. Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

50.0

53.7

Comments:

QA/QC for sample 38689 - 38693, 38700

3.8

Analyst

Review

99.8%

the second s									:
lient / Project Name			Project Location	(6)		ANALY	SIS / PARAMETERS		
ampler:			Client No.		of iners 8 t	8		Remarks	
Sample No./ identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Lab Number	Sample Matrix	.oN stnoD	1203			
「「「「」」の「「」」の「「」」の「「」」の「「」」の「「」」の「」」の「」」	intere	134%	38687	wateve					-gen met s
2.0. 1.0 is 1.2 'E	er telei	13.25	39688		7				
	al alon	Ean	38689			>			
So perior 12-1	colsto.	Sehi	38690			7	-		
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	06/2/0	1505	38691			7			
1	20/2/06	152-7	38692			7			
re respected for the	10/2/06	1545	386 4 3	>		7			
alinquished by: (Signatu			~	Pate Time R V2/04 1725	ecoimed by: (Sign	apre) Mull	- 	Date T	ime
slinquished by: (Signatu	re)			<u></u>	eceived by: (Sign	lature)			· · · · · · · ·
elinquished by: (Signatu	re)				eceived by: (Sign	lature)			
х 1				CVIROT	ECH IC	2	San	npie Receipt	,
								× 	
				5796 U.S. Farmington, Nev	Highway 64 w Mexico 874	101	Received in	lact	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				(505) 63	32-0615		Cool - Ice/Blu	e loe	· · .

APPENDIX B

Field Notes and Inspection Forms

Į.

· · · · · · · · · · - 小学校 - All (1997年) - All (1997年) - 小学校 (1997年) 第1986年 - 万字の (1987年) - の次の注

manus 10/2/06

State 1 05161-003

Project Name Dunca 0:1 Chain of Custod; Ho:

Location: N. HogbAck

Project Manager: <u>Gwc</u>

Sampler: GWC

WELL #	TIME	D.O. mg/ц	РĦ	COND. µS	TEMP. °C	DEPTH IO WATER FT.	TOTAL DEPTH FT.	WATER COLUMN FT.	BAILED Water Gal.	PPODICT Ft.	WATTER LEVEL FT
12-9 MW-1	1236		7.22	1.96	22.3	7.8.1	21.26	!3	6.5		
12-9 Mw-2	1317		7,43	1.78	21.8	8.13	15.34	7.21	3,5		
12-4 MW-1	1402		0.60	3.21	22.2	1700	21.14	4,14	Z.0		
2-1 MWE	1430		6.78	3.98	23.1	18.06	20.9p	29	1,4		
;4 Mw-2	1505		691	3.59	23,4	6.62	11.95	5.33	2.6		
12-4 MW-3	1527		7.09	3.27	24.1	8.05	11.50	3,45	1.7		
1-44 MW-1	1545		10,99	2.70	23.5	6.25	9.98	3.73	1.8		
					<u> </u>	 	L				
			ļ			 	L			L	
		ļ	ļ								
				ļ		ļ				ļ	
		Į	ļ		L		<u> </u>				
	l	ļ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	ļ	Į	ļ		ļ	
<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>L</u>	<u> </u>			[ļ
Notes:	TOC ≠ T	on ot Ca	asına								

MONITOR WELL DATA

Bailed = 3 well volummes:

1.25" well = 0.19 gal/ft. 2.00" well = 0.49 gal/ft. 4.00" well = 1.96 gal/ft. Note well diameter if not one of the above.

,	NOTICE OI	F INSPECTION	
Address (EPA Regional Office) Region 9 Environmental Inspection Agen 75 Hawthorne Street (W San Francisco, CA 94105	Inspecti USEFFRCTURE (MMEPA-Surfessor TR-9)Protection Tec 56. Joz (99) Shiprock, 32	on Contractor 1. (1.1.1.1.03) CONTROL 2. Collandweller 2 5. 7420-1999	Firm To Be Inspected
ate No	otice of inspection is he	ereby given according t	o Section 1445(b) of the
eason For Inspection			
and obtaining sampl underground injection the Safe Drinking W	es to determine whether on control program has ater Act and any applic	acted or is acting in co able permit or rule.	an applicable ompliance with
An he plan			
	$\phi = (f^{-1})_{\rm eff}$	te to in	
t a const 11 and 11		a ta ta constante da la constan La constante da la constante da	· · ·
111 × 1	1 - the second second) F	
N ¹			
Section 1445(b) of the SDWA (42 U.S.C	§300 j-4 (b) is quoted on th	e reverse of this form.	
Receipt of this Notice of Inspec	tion is hereby acknowle	edged.	

۰.
