3R- 141

REPORTS

DATE: 4/20/2007

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORHOW

320141

April 20, 2007

Project No. 05161-005

Mr. Glen von Gonten NMOCD 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Phone (505) 476-3440

RE: DUNCAN OIL FOURTH 2006-2007 QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT

Dear Mr. von Gonten:

Enclosed please find one (1) copy of the report entitled, *Duncan Oil Fourth 2006-2007 Quarterly Monitoring Report*. This report details the fourth quarterly monitoring for the North Hogback 12-1, North Hogback 12-4, and North Hogback 12-9 locations on the Navajo Nation in San Juan County, New Mexico.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. If you should have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at (505) 632-0615.

Respectfully Submitted, ENVIROTECH, INC.

Greg Crabtree Environmental Engineer gcrabtree@envirotech-inc.com

Enclosure: One (1) copy

DUNCAN OIL FOURTH 2006-2007 QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT NORTH HOGBACK 12-1, 12-4, AND 12-9 NAVAJO NATION SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	.1
Groundwater Sampling and Analysis	.1
Summary and Conclusions	.3

Sections:	Section 1:	Figure 1, Vicinity Map
		Figure 2, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Site Map
		Figure 3, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Water Level Map
		Figure 4, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Benzene
		Concentration Map
	Section 2:	Laboratory Water Sample Results
	Section 3:	Historical Data
	Section 4:	Field Notes

Appendix: Record of Communication

INTRODUCTION

Envirotech, Inc. has completed the fourth quarterly monitoring of seven (7) monitor wells at the Duncan Oil North Hogback 12-1, 12-4, and 12-9 well sites; see *Figure 1 Vicinity Map*. Contaminated soil was previously excavated from the sites in September and October of 2005 and monitor wells were installed. The contaminated soil was transported to Envirotech's NMOCD permitted landfarm at Hilltop, NM, for remediation. Water samples collected at the time of excavation indicated that the three (3) sites previously referenced had residual contaminants in the groundwater above the guidelines set forth by the USEPA and adopted by the NNEPA.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Groundwater sampling/monitoring was performed on four (4) monitor wells on April 02, 2007. Prior to sampling a minimum of three (3) well volumes of water were bailed out of each well with a new disposable bailer.

Water levels were calculated from the surveying data to draw a water level map. Water levels and groundwater gradient for the North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 are shown on *Figure 3, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Water Level Map.* It appears that the groundwater is moving from southeast to northwest across the 12-1 and 12-9 sites. Water levels for the individual wells are tabulated in *Table 1: Water Levels* below.

Name	Casing Elevation	Water Depth	Water Elevation
N. Hogback 12-1 MW-1	5025.84	20.28	5005.56
N. Hogback 12-1 MW-2	5027.47	19.34	5008.13
N. Hogback 12-9 MW-1	5026.12	9.52	5016.6
N. Hogback 12-9 MW-2	5025.61	9.68	5015.93
N. Hogback 12-4 MW-1	4966.45	4.76	4961.69
N. Hogback 12-4 MW-2	4966.60	5.11	4961.49
N. Hogback 12-4 MW-3	4967.44	5.89	4961.55

 Table 1: Water Levels

North Hogback 12-9

Samples were collected from the two (2) monitor wells at the North Hogback 12-9 and analyzed for lead, manganese, and iron via USEPA Method 6010B. Results from this analysis are summarized in *Table 2: Summary of Laboratory Metals Analysis of North Hogback 12-9* below and laboratory certificates are presented in *Section 2: Laboratory Water Sample Results*.

Table 2. Summar	v of Laborators	v Metals Analysi	s for North	Hoghack 12-0
Table 2: Summar	V OI L'ADOFATORY	V IVICIAIS ANALYSI	S 10F INOFUI	HUYDACK 12-9

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Regulated Level
Iron (ppm)	0.119	0.325	1.0
Manganese (ppm)	0.387	0.493	0.2
Lead (ppm)	0.004	0.003	0.050

Values in bold exceed the USEPA and NNEPA regulated level ND – indicates analyte is below the method detection limit

Manganese is above standards for both MW-1 and MW-2. Manganese concentrations decreased from the values reported in the third quarter sampling event in MW-1 and in MW-2; see *Section 3: Historical Data*.

North Hogback 12-1

Samples were collected from the two (2) monitor wells at the North Hogback 12-1 and analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene (BTEX) via USEPA method 8021B. Results from this analysis are summarized in *Table 3: Summary of Laboratory BTEX Analysis for North Hogback 12-1* below and laboratory certificates are presented in *Section 2: Laboratory Water Sample Results*. Both wells bailed dry after approximately 0.5 gallons of water was bailed out.

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Regulated Level
Benzene (ppb)	121	ND	5.0
Toluene (ppb)	301	ND	1,000
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	359	0.6	700
Total Xylenes (ppb)	1748	1.8	10,000

 Table 3: Summary of Laboratory BTEX Analysis for North Hogback 12-1

ND – indicates analyte is below the method detection limit

North Hogback 12-4

Three (3) consecutive quarters of contaminants of concern below the regulated limit has been achieved at the North Hogback 12-4 site as of the 2006-2007 Third Quarter sampling event. An Envirotech representative contacted the NNEPA on April 02, 2007 with regards to the closure of North Hogback 12-4; see *Appendix: Record of Communication*. Mr. Bill Freeman of the NNEPA informed Envirotech that closure of the site could occur after three (3) consecutive quarters of contaminants of concern below the regulated limit. Below are tables from all three (3) quarters showing contaminants of concern below the regulated limit; see *Tables 4, 5, & 6*.

Table 4: Summary	y of Laborato	y BTEX Ana	alysis for North	Hogback 12-4,	, First Quarter
	•	N	•		~~~

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Monitor Well #3	Regulated Level
Benzene	ND	3.7	ND	5.0
Toluene	27.1	4.6	2.0	1,000
Ethylbenzene	30.6	5.6	ND	700
Total Xylenes	140	50.4	9.7	10,000

ND - indicates analyte is below the method detection limit

Table 5: Summary of Laboratory BTEX Analysis for North Hogback 12-4, Second Quarter

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Monitor Well #3	Regulated Level
Benzene (ppb)	ND	3.1	ND	5.0
Toluene (ppb)	1.9	1.6	ND	1,000
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	1.3	2.8	0.7	700
Total Xylenes (ppb)	1.9	6.7	ND	10,000

ND – indicates analyte is below the method detection limit

Duncan Oil Fourth 2006-2007 Quarterly Monitoring April 02, 2007 Project No. 05161-005 Page 3

Analyte	Monitor Well #1	Monitor Well #2	Monitor Well #3	Regulated Level
Benzene (ppb)	ND	ND	ND	5.0
Toluene (ppb)	51.2	3.5	ND	1,000
Ethylbenzene (ppb)	26.6	0.7	ND	700
Total Xylenes (ppb)	118.5	8.4	1.1	10,000

Table 6: Summary of Laboratory BTEX Analysis for North Hogback 12-4, Third Quarter

ND – indicates analyte is below the method detection limit

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Envirotech has completed the fourth quarterly monitoring of four (4) monitor wells at the North Hogback 12-1, 12-4, and 12-9 well sites.

Three (3) consecutive quarters of contaminants of concern below the regulated limit has been achieved at the North Hogback 12-4 site as of the third quarter's sampling event in January 2007. An Envirotech representative contacted the NNEPA on April 02, 2007 with regards to the closure of North Hogback 12-4; see *Appendix: Record of Communication*. Mr. Bill Freeman of the NNEPA informed Envirotech that closure of the site could occur after three (3) consecutive quarters of contaminants of concern below the regulated limit. If written approval is received from the NNEPA and USEPA, the wells can be plugged and abandoned at the North Hogback 12-4 well site.

At the North Hogback 12-1 location, all contaminants of concern analyzed for are below the regulated limit except Benzene. BTEX levels increased significantly from the previous sampling event, this could be from re-entrainment of contamination from the vadose zone due to the fluctuation in water levels. Envirotech recommends a minimum of three (3) additional sampling events at this site.

At the North Hogback 12-9 location, manganese was slightly higher than the regulated level in both monitor wells at 0.387 and 0.493 ppm respectively. Envirotech recommends a minimum of three (3) additional sampling events at this site. Although manganese is above the regulated level it has shown a decrease from the last sampling event in January.

Duncan Oil Fourth 2006-2007 Quarterly Monitoring April 02, 2007 Project No. 05161-005 Page 4

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at (505) 632-0615.

Respectfully submitted, ENVIROTECH, INC.

Buglitte

Greg Crabtrée, EIT Environmental Scientist gcrabtree@envirotech-inc.com

Reviewed By:

Kyle P Kerr Chief Environmental Scientist NMCES #299 kpkerr@envirotech-inc.com

WOM AND D. YOUNG, N MEXIC \sim Morris D. Young 098 President CERTIFIED SCIENT NMCES #038 myoung@envirotech-inc.com

SECTION 1:

Figure 1, Vicinity Map

Figure 2, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Site Map

Figure 3, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Water Level Map

Figure 4, North Hogback 12-1 and 12-9 Benzene Concentration Map





1

1

1.1

j

]

4

1 ŀ

7

]

Ĵ

ŋ 2000







SECTION 2:

Laboratory Water Sample Results



TRACE METAL ANALYSIS

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-005
Sample ID:	MW #1 12-9	Date Reported:	04-05-07
Laboratory Number:	40677	Date Sampled:	04-02-07
Chain of Custody:	2404	Date Received:	04-02-07
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	04-05-07
Preservative:	Cool	Date Digested:	04-03-07
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Needed:	Fe, Mn, Pb
	Concentration	Det. Limit	
Parameter	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
Iron	0.119	0.001	
Manganese	0.387	0.001	
head	0.004	0.001	

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 3050B, Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 6010B, Analysis of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmision Spectorscopy, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

North Hogback

een C. Ceferra Analyst

Mister m Walter Review

ENVIROTECH LABS

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-005
Sample ID:	MW #2 12-9	Date Reported:	04-05-07
Laboratory Number:	40678	Date Sampled:	04-02-07
Chain of Custody:	2404	Date Received:	04-02-07
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	04-05-07
Preservative:	Cool	Date Digested:	04-03-07
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Needed:	Fe, Mn, Pb
		Det.	
Parameter	Concentration (mg/L)	Limit (mg/L)	
	0.005	0.004	
Iron	0.325	0.001	
Manganese	0.493	0.001	
Lead	0.003	0.001	

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

-

Method 3050B, Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 6010B, Analysis of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmision Spectorscopy, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

North Hogback

Analyst

-mWalles

ENVIROTECH LABS

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROM

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS Quality Control / Quality Assurance Report

Client:	QA/QC		Project #:			N/A	
Sample ID:	04-05-TN	I QA/QC	Date Rep	orted:		04-05-07	
Laboratory Number:	40677		Date Sam	npled:		N/A	
Sample Matrix:	Water		Date Rec	eived:		N/A	
Analysis Requested:	Fe, Mn, F	' b	Date Ana	lyzed:		04-05-07	
Condition:	N/A		Date Dige	ested:		04-03-07	
Blank & Duplicate Conc. (mg/L)	Instrument Blank (mg/L)	Detection Limit	Sample (mg/L)	Duplicate (mg/L)) % Diff.	Acceptance Range	
Iron	ND	0.001	0.119	0.116	2.5%	0% - 30%	
Manganese	ND	0.001	0.387	0.392	1.3%	0% - 30%	
Lead	ND	0.001	0.004	0.004	0.0%	0% - 30%	
Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix: Analysis Requested: Condition: Blank & Duplicate Conc: (mg/L) Iron Manganese Lead	40677 Water Fe, Mn, F N/A Instrument Blank (mg/L) ND ND ND	2b Detection Limit 0.001 0.001 0.001	Date Sam Date Rec Date Ana Date Dige Sample (mg/L) 0.119 0.387 0.004	npled: eived: lyzed: ested: Duplicate (mg/L) 0.116 0.392 0.004	Diff. 2.5% 1.3% 0.0%	N/A N/A 04-05-07 04-03-07 Acceptance Range 0% - 30% 0% - 30%	

Spike Conc. (mg/L)	Spike Added	Sampl (mg/L)	e Spiked Sample	Percent Recovery	Acceptance Range
Iron	0.500	0.119	0.616	99.5%	80% - 120%
Manganese	0.500	0.387	0.884	99.7%	80% - 120%
Lead	0.500	0.004	0.504	100.0%	80% - 120%

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 3050B, Acid Digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils. SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 6010B, Analysis of Metals by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmision Spectorscopy, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

QA/QC for samples 40677 - 40678

Analyst

Austre m Wallen Beview



PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A DETTREE TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-005	
Sample ID:	MW #1 12-1	Date Reported:	04-03-07	
Chain of Custody:	2404	Date Sampled:	04-02-07	
Laboratory Number:	40679	Date Received:	04-02-07	
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	04-03-07	
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX	
Condition:	Cool & Intact			

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Banzana	. 101	1	0.2
Toluene	301	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	359	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	937	1	0.2
o-Xylene	811	1	0.1

Total BTEX

2,530

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	fluorobenzene	99.8 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	99.8 %
	4-bromochlorobenzene	99.8 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments: North Hogback

un Analyst

Mustine m Walters Review



EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Duncan Oil	Project #:	05161-005
Sample ID:	MW #2 12-1	Date Reported:	04-03-07
Chain of Custody:	2404	Date Sampled:	04-02-07
Laboratory Number:	40680	Date Received:	04-02-07
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	04-03-07
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	ND	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	0.6	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	1.2	1	0.2
o-Xylene	0.6	1	0.1

Total BTEX

2.4

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Reco	overies: Paramete		Percent Recovery
	fluorobenze	ene	99.8 %
	1,4-difluoro	benzene	99.8 %
	4-bromochl	orobenzene	99.8 %
References:	Method 5030B, Purge-and-Tra December 1996.	p, Test Methods for Evaluating \$	Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA,
	Method 8021B, Aromatic and H Photoionization and/or Electro	Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Cl lytic Conductivity Detectors, SW	nromatography Using -846, USEPA December 1996.
Comments:	North Hogback		
Analyst	P. Cejum	Review	he m Walter

ENVIROTECH LABS

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Client:	N/A	I	Project #:	:	N/A
Sample ID:	04-03-BTEX QA/Q	IC I	Date Reported:		04-03-07
Laboratory Number:	40679	I	Date Sampled:		N/A
Sample Matrix:	Water	l	Date Received:		N/A
Preservative:	vative: N/A		Date Analyzed:	04-03-07	
Condition:	N/A	,	Analysis:		BTEX
Calibration and Detection Limits (u	I-Cal RF: Jg/L)	C-Cal RF: Accept. Rang	%Diff. je:0 - 15%	Blank Conc	Detect. Limit
Benzene	1.2341E+007	1.2378E+007	0.30%	ND	0.2
Toluene	2.9416E+007	2.9504E+007	0.30%	ND	0.2
Ethylbenzene	1.4182E+007	1.4224E+007	0.30%	ND	0.2
p,m-Xylene	6.9591E+007	6.9801E+007	0.30%	ND	0.2
o-Xylene	3.2069E+007	3.2166E+007	0.30%	ND	0.1
Duplicate Conc. (ug/	L) Sample	Duplicate	%Diff.	Accept Limit	
Benzene	121	121	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Toluene	301	299	0.5%	0 - 30%	
Ethylbenzene	359	357	0.5%	0 - 30%	
p,m-Xylene	937	933	0.5%	0 - 30%	
o-Xylene	811	807	0.5%	0 - 30%	
Spike Conc. (ug/L)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Accept Lim
Benzene	121	50.0	170	99.5%	39 - 150
Toluene	301	50.0	350	99.9%	46 - 148
	359	50.0	408	99.9%	32 - 160
Ethylbenzene				00.00/	46 149
Ethylbenzene p.m-Xylene	937	100	1.030	99.3%	40 - 140
Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene p-Xylene	937 811	100 50.0	1,030 860	99.3% 99.9%	46 - 146 46 - 148
Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene p-Xylene	937 811	100 50.0	1,030 860	99.3% 99.9%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene ND - Parameter not dete	937 811 cted at the stated detection limit.	100 50.0	1,030 860	99.3% 99.9%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene ND - Parameter not dete	937 811 acted at the stated detection limit.	100 50.0	1,030 860	99.9% 99.9%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene ND - Parameter not dete References:	937 811 ected at the stated detection limit. Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Me December 1996.	100 50.0	1,030 860 Solid Waste, SW-846	99.3% 99.9%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene o,m-Xylene o-Xylene ND - Parameter not dete References:	937 811 Ected at the stated detection limit. Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Me December 1996. Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenate	100 50.0 thods for Evaluating S d Volatiles by Gas Ch	1,030 860 Solid Waste, SW-846 romatography Using	99.3% 99.9% USEPA,	46 - 148

QA/QC for samples 40679 - 40680 **Comments:** Analyst

Mister Walles Review

		CHAIN	Ю	cus	TOD	× R	ECOF	Ď	2	404	
Client / Project Name		Project Location Nort Hog	back				AN	ALYSIS / PAF	AMETERS		
Sampler: G. Crabbree		Client No. OSIL-1-00	6		. of iners	BC				Remarks	
Sample No./ Samp Identification Date	ole Sample e Time	e Lab Number		Sample Matrix	oN sinoO	109)	12.08		N. Ho.	back	
MW# 1 12-9 4/2/0	- 1160	40677	N.	TEP-	-	7					
mw#2 12-9 /	0411	40678		,	-	7					
MW # 1 12-1	42 24	40679	<u> </u>		Ч		7				
MW #2 12-1	5+21	40680			4		7				
H + - 21 - 1 # MW	2a † 1	40681			61				Centre 1	-7	
4-5-12 H.m.	C1221	101.07			4		7				
		40001									
NW=3-12-4	246 	40683	>		4				1		
Relinquished by: (Signature)			4/Date	Time ISZS	Received by:	(Signature		00	-	Date 1/2/07	Time 1525
Relinquished by: (Signature)	1				Received by:	(Signature	0100				
Relinquished by: (Signature)					Received by:	(Signature	(6)			-	
			Z	ROT	FOT				Samp	e Receipt	
						-				>	N N/A
-			5. Farmir	796 U.S.	Highway (w Mexico	64 87401			Received Intac	\	
			5	(505) 6	32-0615				Cool - Ice/Blue I	8	
										san juan reprodu	ction 578-129

لمناسب

. .

]

1

المستحدث

1000

2

in the second

1

SECTION 3:

Historical Data

Historical Data

NMED Act	ion Levels	5.5	1000	700	10000	1	0.20	0.05
Well No.	Sample Date	Benzene (ppb)	Toluene (ppb)	Ethylbenzene (ppb)	Total Xylenes (ppb)	Iron (ppm)	Manganese (ppm)	Lead (ppm)
North Hogback								
12-1	07/20/06	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-1	10/13/06	4.30	2.40	3.90	12.20	NS	NS	NS
	01/11/07	ND	ND	0.20	1.50	NS	NS	NS
	04/02/07	121	301	359	1748	NS	NS	NS
North Hogback								
12-1	07/20/06	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-2	10/13/06	5.90	3.00	7.10	15.80	NS	NS	NS
	01/11/07	0.20	17.60	5.00	46.30	NS	NS	NS
	04/02/07	ND	ND	0.60	1.80	NS	NS	NS
North Hogback								
12-4	07/20/06	1.20	5.90	23.40	16.70	NS	NS	NS
MW-1	10/13/06	ND	1.90	1.30	1.90	NS	NS	NS
	01/11/07	ND	51.20	26.60	118.50	NS	NS	NS
North Hogback								
12-4	07/20/06	1.60	1.80	1.60	8.70	NS	NS	NS
MW-2	10/13/06	3.10	1.60	2.80	6.70	NS	NS	NS
	01/11/07	ND	3.50	0.70	8.40	NS	NS	NS
North Hogback								
12-4	07/20/06	1.30	0.40	0.80	2.80	NS	NS	NS
MW-3	10/13/06	ND	ND	0.70	ND	NS	NS	NS
	01/11/07	ND	ND	ND	1.10	NS	NS	NS
North Hogback								
12-9	07/20/06	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.54	0.28	ND
MW-1	10/13/06	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.31	0.50	ND
	01/11/07	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.74	0.40	ND
	04/02/07	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.119	0.387	0.004
					·			
North Hogback								
12-9	07/20/06	NS	NS	NS	NS	ND	0.22	ND
MW-2	10/13/06	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.22	0.54	ND
	01/11/07	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.46	0.55	ND
	04/02/07	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.325	0.493	0.003
								· · · ·

NS = Not Sampled

1

4

Ţ

ND = Not Detected

Values in bold exceed New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) standards

SECTION 4:

ł

Field Notes

ENVIROTECH INC. FARMINGTON, NM 5796 HIGHWAY 64 MONITOR WELL DATA

Date: 02-Apr-07

Project No: ____05161-005_____

Project Name: <u>Duncan Oil</u>

Chain of Custody No: 2404

Location: North Hogback

Project Manager: <u>KPK</u>

Sampler: <u>GWC</u>

MONITOR WELL DATA

WELL #	TIME	рН	COND :S	TEMP EF	DEPTH TO WATER FT	TOTAL DEPTH FT	WATER COLUMN FT	BAILED WATER GAL	PRODUCT FT
12-9 MW-1	1115	7.45	1.22	15.7	9.52	21.07	11.55	6	
12-9 MW-2	1200	7.62	1.12	15.7	9.68	15.15	5.47	3	
12-1 MW-1	1226	6.91	2.75	16.6	20.28	20.98	0.70	0.5	
12-1 MW-2	1245	7.18	2.68	16.4	19.34	20.81	1.47	1	
12-4 MW-1	1300	7.47	1.74	16.0	4.76	9.75	4.99	2.5	
12-4 MW-2	1320	6.98	2.54	15.3	5.11	11.65	6.54	3.2	
12-4 MW-3	1340	7.50	1.94	15.4	5.89	11.26	5.37	2.6	
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u> </u>						

Notes: TOC = Top of Casing Bailed = 3 well volummes:

1.25" well = 0.19 gal/ft. 2.00" well = 0.49 gal/ft. 4.00" well = 1.96 gal/ft. Note well diameter if not one of the above. APPENDIX

Record of Communication

Envirotech, Inc. <u>Record of Communication</u>

. . . .

ビッシー

1

-

Call Information:
Date: 4/2/07 Time: 1600 Employee Name: G. Crabtree
Client: Duncon O. 1 Job: N. Hogback Job No. 05161-005
Person Contacted: 13:11 Freeman Company: NNEPA
Contact Phone No.: <u>505 - 368 - 1041</u> Fax No.:
Reason For Call: Discuss mon. for mg
Brief Description of Conversation: CALLED to discuss the new for
SAmpling At the North Hayback 12:4 s.t. Mr. Freeman
states that there was no need to run samples if there
has been 3 consecutive quarters of sampling below the
water quality standards set by the EPH/NNEPH
Summary/Follow-Up:
Call Back?: YES NO Date:
Referral to: Name Company
Phone No : Fax No
Notes:
Copy To: Master Enviro Construction MDY VAY Office
5796 U.S. Highway 64 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 Phone (505) 632-0615 Fax (505) 362-1879