3R - <u>39/</u>

REPORTS

DATE: Dec. 2002

ENVIROTECH INC.

CHEVRONTEXACO INC.

GALLEGOS GALLUP SAND UNIT ADDITIONAL PIT ASSESSMENT

SAN JUAN COUNTY NEW MEXICO

PROJECT # 01079-002

DECEMBER 2002

5796 U.S. HWY64 · FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 · (505)632-0615

CHEVRONTEXACO INC.

GALLEGOS GALLUP SAND UNIT ADDITIONAL PIT ASSESSMENT SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	
Scope of Wor	k1
Description of	f Work1
Groundwater	Impact2
Soil Impact	2
Water Quality	
Recommendat	tions4
Statement of I	_imitations
Figures	Figure 1, Vicinity Map Figure 2, Site Map Figure 3, Water Level Map Figure 4, BTEX and TPH Soil Concentrations Figure 5, Water Quality
Tables	Table 1, Laboratory Results of Water Sample Analyses: EPA Method 8021B Table 2, Laboratory Results of Soil Sample Analyses Table 3, Laboratory Results of Water Sample Analyses: Cation/Anion
Appendices	Appendix A, Above Grade Well Completion Diagram/Lithology Log Appendix B, Letter from Lab Manager Appendix C, Laboratory Analysis Appendix D, Field Notes

INTRODUCTION

Envirotech Inc. of Farmington, New Mexico, was contracted by ChevronTexaco Inc. to provide drilling, sampling, analytical, and environmental services at the Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit disposal pit. It appears that this unlined pit has been out of service for some time but could have been utilized during the 1950s and 1960s. This pit is located near Gallegos Canyon in Section 7, Township 26N, Range 11W, San Juan County, New Mexico. This pit is located on the Navajo Indian Reservation and is adjacent to the NAPI irrigation project. It is accessible by the El Paso Gas Chaco Plant blacktop approximately 5 miles west from State Highway 550 south of Bloomfield and an unimproved dirt road that is part of the oil and gas fields in the area. The location of the pit is shown on *Figure 1, Vicinity Map*.

Previous work at this location included installation of five (5) monitor wells, soil and groundwater sampling, and reporting. This work was conducted during September 2001 and documented in the report "Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit" dated September 20, 2001.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work included constructing an additional deep groundwater monitor well, soil and water sampling, geologic services, and reporting. The purpose of these activities was to assess if water in the bedrock unit had been impacted by hydrocarbons and based on the findings, establish a reasonable cleanup level for the hydrocarbon soil in the pit that will adequately protect the environment. Representatives of the Region IX USEPA and Navajo Nation EPA had previously approved the monitor well location presented in a workplan dated September 4, 2002.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK

Drilling of monitor well MW-6 was conducted on November 25 & 26, 2002. Present during the drilling and completion of this well were: Bob Sterrett, Principal Hydrogeologist of Engineering Management Support, Inc. of Arvada, Colorado; Jack Collins, Chief Geologist of Envirotech; Jim Walker Region IX USEPA; and Bill Freeman of the Navajo Nation EPA.

The drilling was conducted using a CME Model 75 truck mounted portable hollow stem auger rig equipped with split spoon samplers. The sediments encountered are believed to be Holocene Age dune sands consisting of mainly fine-medium grained unconsolidated sands. Bedrock was encountered at a depth of 58-feet below ground surface (bgs). The bedrock lithology consisted of well consolidated gray to green siltstones and shale. The total depth of the well was 72-feet bgs. The well was constructed using 2" threaded PVC with 10-feet of gravel packed screen. The screen was placed between a depth interval of 62-feet and 72-feet and gravel packed with # 10-12 Colorado Silica sand. The gravel pack was sealed with approximately 30 pounds of bentonite pellets and then grouted to the surface with cement-bentonite slurry. The cement was allowed to set up overnight, then the well was purged by hand bailing. Approximately 10-gallons of water were bailed, which de-watered the well. The new monitor well was surveyed in with reference to the pit and existing monitor wells. Following the development of the new well, it was sampled for benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes (BTEX) using USEPA Method 8021b, as well as for standard ground water quality Cation/Anion analysis. Due to slow recover of the well, MW-6 was not sampled until December of 2002, whereas the other monitoring wells were sampled

in November of 2002. A site map was constructed from the surveyed data and is included as *Figure 2*, *Site Map*.

The lithology log showing the sediments encountered, organic vapor meter readings taken during the drilling, and the monitor well construction log is included as *Appendix A*, *Above Grade Well Completion Diagram/Lithology Log*.

GROUNDWATER IMPACT

Water levels were measured in each of the five (5) existing monitor wells on November 23, 2002. Water level measurements indicate that groundwater is moving to the northwest at a gradient of 0.0096 ft/ft, which is consistent with previous measurements. Water levels are shown on *Figure 3, Water Level Map*.

Water samples were collected from MW-6 on December 2 and 16, 2002. Samples were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) per USEPA Method 8021B. All BTEX components were below the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) recommended action levels. *Appendix B, Letter from Lab Manager*, is a letter from Envirotech's Senior Chemist/Lab Manager clarifying the impact of pH on BTEX analysis of aqueous samples.

The BTEX water analyses are listed in Table 1, Laboratory Results of Water Sample Analyses: EPA Method 8021B.

SOIL IMPACT

Monitor well MW-6 was drilled on November 25, 2002, and completed on November 26, 2002. No soil samples were collected from MW-6 as the unconsolidated sediments that were encountered had been tested, characterized, and sampled during the previous work of September 2001.

Within the pit, soil samples were collected at 3-foot intervals from a hand augured soil boring (SB-3). The location of this soil boring is shown on *Figure 2*. Soil samples were collected at 3-feet, 6-feet, and 9-feet and were analyzed for BTEX per USEPA Method 8021B and for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) per USEPA Method 8015B and USEPA Method 418.1. Total BTEX varied from 3.8 ppm at 9 feet to 4.3 ppm at 6 feet. TPH varied from 2,580 ppm at 6 feet to 6,270 ppm at 9 feet using USEPA Method 8015B. TPH varied from 7,850 ppm at 6 feet to 14,640 ppm at 9 feet using USEPA Method 418.1. These results show the lighter ends of the hydrocarbons are probably gone and the remaining hydrocarbons are the heavier fractions, which are less soluble and less mobile and do not readily go into solution.

The soil sample analyses are summarized in *Table 2, Laboratory Results of Soil Sample Analyses*, and are shown as *Figure 4, BTEX and TPH Soil Concentrations*. Laboratory Certificates are included in *Appendix C, Laboratory Analysis*. Field notes are included in *Appendix D, Field Notes*.

WATER QUALITY

The water quality analysis consisted of major cation/anion, total dissolved solids, pH, and conductivity. Water samples were collected from MW-6 on December 2 and 16, 2002, for water quality analysis.

Nitrate nitrogen values varied in MW-6 from 1.0 mg/L on December 2, 2002, to 0.7 mg/L on December 16, 2002. A normal value of nitrate nitrogen for groundwater in this area should be in the range of 0.5 to 3 mg/L, so the groundwater present in MW-6 appears to be un-impacted by nitrate nitrogen.

Nitrite nitrogen values varied in MW-6 from 0.047 to 0.163 mg/L on December 16, 2002. A normal value for nitrite nitrogen should be in the range of 0.001 to 0.2 mg/L.

Waters from MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, and MW-5 would be formally classified as sodium sulfate type waters; see *Table 3, Laboratory Results of Water Sample Analyses: Cation/Anion. Figure 5, Water Quality,* shows the relationship of each water quality sample using Piper MEQ diagrams. Waters from MW-4 would be classed as calcium sulfate water. The water samples from MW-6 do not fall into either of the two (2) categories stated previously. It is believed that the unusual water analysis (high pH) found in MW-6 can be attributed to a reaction with the cement grouting used for surface completion of the well. It is believed that the water in MW-6 is a mixture of waters from the bedrock and surficial materials. Monitor well MW-6 takes approximately 3-5 days to recover after bailing dry. Water levels are currently several feet lower in MW-6 than in the other shallow wells.

Several differences are apparent in the water quality analysis between the two sampling events of 12/02/03 and 12/16/02 for monitor well MW-6. Specifically, TDS went from 2,300 ppm during the first sampling event to 1,070 ppm in the second event. Calcium went from 216 ppm to 34 ppm. Magnesium dropped from 537 ppm to < 0.01 ppm, nitrate and nitrite remained about the same with only slight changes in either and sulfate decreased from 685 ppm to 67 ppm. Sodium and Potassium increased from 175 ppm to 531 ppm. The pH changed from 11.85 to 10.76, a decrease of one order of magnitude. These changes indicate the water has still not reached an equilibrium state even after 14 days. Therefore, the dissolved solids are still coming out of solution as the pH changes to an equilibrium state. As the water continues to stabilize, the pH should stabilize around 7.5 to 8.0 and the other major cations and anions should continue to decrease, similar to those previously noted. Further analysis would confirm this theory. At this time however, it is presumably unnecessary to perform additional analyses since they are beyond the scope of work for this project.

Bedrock has not been impacted by hydrocarbons. Based on a geologic description of the lithology present within the screened interval, the bedrock in this area is a low permeability confining bed that could be classed as an Aquifuge such as an unfractured siltstone or shale. This interpretation is consistent with observed characteristics at the site. Approximately 1,000 feet to the southwest is a spring near the base of the sand dunes that is exposed in a narrow gully that is part of Gallegos Canyon. This spring is at approximately the same stratigraphic horizon as the bedrock encountered in monitor well MW-6.

There appears to be no impact on the shallow groundwater from hydrocarbons in the pit.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Results from the soil and groundwater investigations at the Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit indicate groundwater has not been impacted. While the pit has not impacted groundwater, to insure the future protection of the existing shallow groundwater, it is recommended that the contaminated soil in the pit be excavated and landfarmed off-site. Excavation should be done vertically and horizontally until field and/or lab analysis TPH values meet the OCD guidelines. We recommend an OCD cutoff level of 5,000 ppm, as this value has been shown to be an appropriate cleanup level that would be protective of the existing groundwater.

STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

Envirotech performed delineation drilling, soil sampling, analysis, and reporting at the ChevronTexaco Inc. Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit near Gallegos Canyon, New Mexico. The work and services provided by Envirotech were under the guidelines of the USEPA, NNEPA, and BIA. All observations and conclusions provided here are based on the information and current site conditions found during this investigation.

The undersigned has conducted this service at the above referenced site. This work has been conducted and reported in accordance with generally accepted professional practices in geology, engineering, environmental chemistry, and hydrogeology.

Respectfully Submitted, ENVIROTECH INC.

C. Kack Collins, P.G. #1822 NM Certified Scientist #038 jcollins@envirotech-inc.com



Reviewed by:

Morris D Young

President myoung@envirotech-inc.com

FIGURES

· _ · · ···

· ---

Figure 1, Vicinity Map

Figure 2, Site Map

Figure 3, Water Level Map

Figure 4, BTEX and TPH Soil Concentrations

Figure 5, Water Quality









Envirotech Inc. Farmington, NM

FIGURE 5 WATER QUALITY

ChevronTexaco Inc. Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit Assessment 01/15/03









Envirotech Inc. Farmington, NM

FIGURE 5 WATER QUALITY

ChevronTexaco Inc.

Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit Assessment 01/15/03







TABLES

- Table 1,Laboratory Results of WaterSample Analyses: EPA Method8021B
- Table 2, Laboratory Results of Soil Sample

 Analyses
- Table 3, Laboratory Results of Water

 Sample Analyses: Cation/Anion

Site	ChevronTexaco Inc.
Location	Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit
Date	December 16, 2002
Project	# 01079-002

Table 1

Laboratory Results of Water Sample Analyses: BTEX by U.S. EPA Method 8021B

NNEPA/EP	NNEPA/EPA Action Levels								
Well #	Sample	5 ppb	2000 ppb	680 ppb	440 ppb	NA			
	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total	Total			
		(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	Xylenes (ppb)	BTEX			
MW-1	09/20/01	0.6	<0.2	<0.2	2.6	3.2			
	11/26/02	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2			
MW-2	09/20/01	<0.2	3.6	3.7	66.0	73.3			
	11/26/02	<0.2	<0.2	0.4	<0.2	0.4			
	00/20/01	<1.8	25	<u> </u>	20.2	20.6			
WIW-3	09/20/01	<1.8	2.5	0.0	20.3	29.0			
	11/26/02	<0.2	<0.2	0.2	0./	0.9			
MW-4	09/20/01	1.0	<0.2	0.9	5.8	77			
	11/26/02	0.3	<0.2	< 0.2	<0.2	0.3			
Dup	11/26/02	<0.2	<0.2	< 0.2	<0.2	<0.2			
·									
MW-5	09/20/01	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.4	<0.2			
	11/26/02	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2			
MW-6	12/02/02	0.8	0.5	5.2	0.6	7.1			
	12/16/02	<0.2	<0.2	5.8	<0.2	5.8			
<u> </u>									
Trip Blank	12/16/02	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2			

Table 2 I aborato

			TPH U.S. EPA	Method 418.1	(undd)	4															11.890		7.850	14,640	
			TPH U.S. EPA	Method 8015B	(mdd)	9		m	<0.2		0		<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	116		901			3.300		2,580	6,270	
				Total	BTEX (ppm)	0.3		0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	<0.0032	<0.0018		5.8			3.9		4.3	3.8	
	021b			Total	Xylenes (ppm)	0.2160		0.0280	0.0285	0.1290	0.1896	0.4460	0.0507	0.0077	<0.0032	<0.0018		3.4800			2.8400		3.0950	2.8430	
	3PA Method 8			Ethylbenzene	(uudd)	0.014		<0.0015	<0.0015	0.0162	0.0167	0.0261	0.0058	0.0113	<0.0015	<0.0018		1.03			0.589		0.674	0.613	<u>rodre</u>
	BTEX	-		Tohuene	(mdd)	0.02		<0.0017	<0.0017	0.0248	0.0297	0.0675	0.0044	0.0062	<0.0017	<0.0018		1.17			0.438		0.486	0.357	
Analyses				Benzene	(mqq)	0.026		<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018	<0.0018		0.118			0.0701		<0.0018	0.0154	
oil Sample .		Sample		Depth	(f f)	35'		26'	30'	25'	35'	25'	30'	25'	31'	9'		.6			3'		6'	.6	
Results of Sc		Sample		Date		09/18/01		09/18/01		09/19/01		09/19/01		09/19/01		9/19/2001		9/19/2001			11/26/2002		11/26/2002	11/26/2002	_
Laboratory		Sample #		-		MW-1		MW-2		MW-3		MW-4		MW-5		N.Side	(hand auger)	S.Side	(hand	auger)	SB-3	(hand	auger)		

ChevronTexaco Inc. Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit December 16, 2002 # 01079-002

Site Location Date Project

-- ---

.

Site ChevronTexaco Inc. Location Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit Pit Date December 16, 2002 Project # 01079-002

Table 3

Laboratory Results of Water Sample Analyses: Cation/Anion

and the second distance of the second distanc	Y-2.2.2	ابتد الباب الجارف بأراجه مستناط المستحجب ت	1	 1	T T		1	- 1	T T		T	1		T	-
	mg/L	Bicarbonate	160	150		245		255		195		<0.1	<0.1		
	mg/L	Sulfate	795	325		273		239		266		685	67		
	mg/L	Nitrite	1.040	0.020		1.980		0.001		0.031		0.047	0.163		
Units	mg/L	Nitrate	26.0	15.5		8.3		14.5		13.5		1.0	0.7		
	mg/L	Chloride	304	218		138		168		150		226	192		
	mg/L	Sodium + Potassium	402	178		201		81		190		175	531		
	mg/L	Magnesium	21.50	8.79		5.86		3.91		6.84		537.00	<0.01		
	mg/L	Calcium	184	146		94		206		90		216	34		
	mg/L	Total Dissolved Solids @ 180C	1,770	1,000		872		904		1,550		2,300	1,070		
	umhos/cm	Conductivity @ 25 C	3,550	2,020		1,750		1,800		1,550		4,600	2,150		
	S.U.	Hd	7.79	7.66		7.80		7.50		7.90		11.85	10.76		
1	Sample	Date	09/20/01	09/20/01		09/20/01		09/20/01		09/20/01		12/02/02	12/16/02		
	Well #		I-WM	MW-2		MW-3		MW-4		MW-5		MW-6			

APPENDIX A

Above Grade Well Completion Diagram/Lithology Log



APPENDIX B

Letter from Lab Manager

January 20, 2003

Re: Impact of pH on BTEX analysis of aqueous samples.

BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene and Xylene) are analyzed using USEPA Method 5030B – Purge-and-Trap for Aqueous Samples. This method is predicated on the very slightly or non-solubility of the Volatile compounds in an aqueous media. The volatile compounds are physically purged from the sample in a closed system and contained on an appropriate trap for introduction into the Gas Chromatograph for separation and analysis. The pH of the sample has no effect on the efficiency of the purging of the volatile compounds in this method.

Dennis Ajeman V Laboratory Manager/Senior Chemist

APPENDIX C

_

1

Laboratory Analysis

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client: Sample ID: Chain of Custody: Laboratory Number Sample Matrix: Preservative: Condition:		Chevron Texace MW - 1 09550 24333 Water Cool Cool & Intact	0	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled: Date Received: Date Analyzed: Analysis Requeste	ed:	01079-002 11-27-02 11-26-02 11-26-02 11-27-02 BTEX
Parameter			Concentratior (ug/L)	n Di Fa	lution actor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene			ND ND ND ND		1 1 1 1	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1
Total BTEX			ND			
ND - Parameter not	detected at the	stated detection I	imit.			
Surrogate Reco	veries:	Parameter fluorobenzer 1,4-difluorob 4-bromochlo	ne benzene brobenzene		Percent Reco 97 97 97	very % %
References:	Method 5030E December 199	8, Purge-and-Trap 96.	, Test Methods for	Evaluating Solid V	Waste, SW-846, L	ISEPA,
	Method 8021E Photoionizatio	8, Aromatic and Hanna and Hanna and/or Electroly	alogenated Volatili tic Conductivity De	es by Gas Chroma etectors, SW-846, I	tography Using USEPA Decembe	r 1996.
Comments:	Gallegos G	allup Sand Un	iit.			

Analyst

Anisten my Walters

SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW RACTICAL

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 2	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Chain of Custody:	09550	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Laboratory Number:	24334	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	ND	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	0.4	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	ND	1	0.1

Total BTEX

0.4

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Rec	overies:	Parameter		Percent Recovery
		fluorobenzene		97 %
		1,4-difluorobenze	ene	97 %
		4-bromochlorobe	enzene	97 %
References:	Method 50 December	30B, Purge-and-Trap, Test 1996.	Methods for Evaluating S	olid Waste, SW-846, USEPA,
	Method 80 Photoioniza	21B, Aromatic and Haloger ation and/or Electrolytic Co	nated Volatiles by Gas Ch nductivity Detectors, SW-	romatography Using 846, USEPA December 1996.
Comments:	Gallegos	Gallup Sand Unit.		
\wedge				
der	<u> </u>	y man	Christe	n m Walles

Ånalyst

Review

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 3	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Chain of Custody:	09550	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Laboratory Number:	24335	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	ND	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	0.2	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2

Total BTEX

0.9

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	fluorobenzene	97 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	97 %
	4-bromochlorobenzene	97 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

Analyst

Preview Review

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW.

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 4	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Chain of Custody:	09550	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Laboratory Number:	24336	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

. . . .

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	0.3	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	ND	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	ND	1	0.1

Total BTEX

0.3

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:		Parameter	Percent Recovery
		fluorobenzene	98 %
		1,4-difluorobenzene	98 %
		4-bromochlorobenzene	98 %
References:	Method 50 December	30B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evalua 1996.	ting Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA,
	Method 80 Photoioniza	21B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gration and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors	as Chromatography Using , SW-846, USEPA December 1996.
Comments:	Gallegos	Gallup Sand Unit.	
\cap		N N	

P. Cofun Analyst

Mister Marters

5796 U.S. Highway 64 • Farmington, NM 87401 • Tel 505 • 632 • 0615 • Fax 505 • 632 • 1865

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

	• -		
Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 4D	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Chain of Custody:	09550	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Laboratory Number:	24337	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
l oluene Ethylbenzene		1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	ND	1	0.1

Total BTEX

ND

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	fluorobenzene	98 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	98 %
	4-bromochlorobenzene	98 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

Analyst

Mister Maeters Review

VIROTEC FORA BETTER SOLUTIONS TOMORROW AC TICAL

EPA METHOD 8021 **AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS**

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 5	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Chain of Custody:	09550	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Laboratory Number:	24338	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	ND	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	ND	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	ND	1	0.1

Total BTEX

ND

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	····	Percent Recovery
	fluorobenzene		98 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene		98 %
	4-bromochlorobenzene		98 %

Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, References: December 1996.

> Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

 \sim Ånalyst

"haisten mildeten Beview

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Client: Sample ID: Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix: Preservative: Condition:	N/A 11-27-BTEX QA/Q 24333 Water N/A N/A	с	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled: Date Received: Date Analyzed: Analysis:		N/A 11-27-02 N/A N/A 11-27-02 BTEX
Calibration and Detection Limits (ug/L)	I-Cal RF	C-Cal RF: Accept, Rar	%Diff. nge 0 - 15%	Blank Conc	Detect. Limit
Benzene	2.6914E-002	2.6968E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
Toluene	3.3709E-002	3.3716E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
Ethylbenzene	5.8262E-002	5.8379E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
p,m-Xylene	7.1891E-002	7.1905E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
o-Xylene	5.4522E-002	5.4686E-002	0.30%	ND	0.1
Duplicate Conc. (ug/L)	Sample	Duplicate	%Diff.	Accept Limit	
Benzene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Toluene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
p,m-Xylene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
o-Xylene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Spike Conc. (ug/L)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Áccept Limits
Benzene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	39 - 150
Toluene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	ND	50.0	50.0	100.0%	32 - 160
p,m-Xylene	ND	100	99.9	99.8%	46 - 148
o-Xvlene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	46 - 148
,					VTI V

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.
Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments: QA/QC for samples 24333 - 24341. Analyst

m Walters //Mistin Review

09550	ARAMETERS	Remarks									Date Time			Sample Receipt	Beceived Intert	Cool - Ice/Blue Ice
CUSTODY RECORD	Sandunit ANALYSISIP	N ainers 5 80 2 1 X	Sample Kont Matrix A		0 2 1	<i>C C</i>	0	0 2 1	0		Time Received by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature)	IROTECH INC.	5796 U.S. Highway 64	ngton, New Mexico 87401 (505) 632-0615
CHAIN OF	Project Location Galkgoes Gallup	Client No.	ple Lab Number e	v z_{4333} H_2	0 21331 Ha	0 24235 17	6 24334 Hz	50 24337 H.	35 24335 H2		Date []-26-02			Р С Ш		Farm
	ect Name y B n JEXACO	ack Collins	ole No./ Sample Samp fication Date Time	1 11-26-02 0900	2 0 530	3 0500	1760 h	4D 065	5 033		 d by: (Signature)	d by: (Signature)	d by: (Signature)			

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

CATION / ANION ANALYSIS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW 6	Date Reported:	12-03-02
Laboratory Number:	24349	Date Sampled:	12-02-02
Chain of Custody:	10445	Date Received:	12-02-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Extracted:	N/A
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	12-03-02
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

	Analytical			
Parameter	Result	Units		Units
рН	11.85	. s.u.		
Conductivity @ 25º C	4,600	umhos/cm		
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180C	2,300	mg/L		
Total Dissolved Solids (Calc)	2,270	mg/L		
SAR	1.2	ratio		
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	704	mg/L		
Total Hardness as CaCO3	2,740	mg/L		
Bicarbonate as HCO3	<0.1	mg/L	0.00	meq/L
Carbonate as CO3	<0.1	mg/L	0.00	meq/L
Hydroxide as OH	704	mg/L	41.40	meq/L
Nitrate Nitrogen	1.0	mg/L	0.02	meq/L
Nitrite Nitrogen	0.047	mg/L	0.00	meq/L
Chloride	226	mg/L	6.38	meq/L
Fluoride	0.83	mg/L	0.04	meq/L
Phosphate	1.7	mg/L	0.05	meq/L
Sulfate	685	mg/L	14.26	meq/L
Iron	0.069	mg/L	0.00	meq/L
Calcium	216	mg/L	10.78	meq/L
Magnesium	537	mg/L	44.19	meq/L
Potassium	25.0	mg/L	0.64	meq/L
Sodium	150	mg/L	6.53	meq/L
Cations			62.14	mea/L
Anions			62.15	meq/L

Reference: U.S.E.P.A., 600/4-79-020, "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1983. Water And Waste Water", 18th ed., 1992.

Comments: Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit.

Water Analyst

Cation/Anion Difference

Review

0.02%

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW 6	Date Reported:	12-03-02
Chain of Custody:	10445	Date Sampled:	12-02-02
Laboratory Number:	24349	Date Received:	12-02-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	12-03-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	0.8	1	0.2
Toluene	0.5	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene	5.2	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	0.6	1	0.1
о-хуіепе	0.6	1	0.1

Total BTEX

7.1

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:		Parameter	Percent Recovery				
		fluorobenzene	100 %				
		1,4-difluorobenzene	100 %				
		4-bromochlorobenzene	100 %				
References:	Method 50 December	Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.					
	Method 80 Photoioniz	21B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by G ation and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors	as Chromatography Using , SW-846, USEPA December 1996.				
Comments:	Gallego	s Gallup Sand Unit.					
\cap	_						

Analyst

Mistin M Walters Review

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

· · · ·					
Client:	N/A		Project #:		N/A
Sample ID:	12-03-BTEX QA/0	C	Date Reported:		12-03-02
Laboratory Number:	24349		Date Sampled:		N/A
Sample Matrix:	Water		Date Received:		N/A
Preservative:	N/A		Date Analyzed:		12-03-02
Condition:	N/A		Analysis:		BTEX
Calibration and Detection Limits (ug	I-Cal RF	C-Cal RF Accept. Ran	%Diff. ge 0 - 15%	Blank Conc	Detect Limit
Benzene	2.6914E-002	2.6968E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
Toluene	3.3709E-002	3.3716E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
Ethylbenzene	5.8262E-002	5.8379E-002	0.20%	NÐ	0.2
p,m-Xylene	7.1891E-002	7.1905E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
o-Xylene	5.4522E-002	5.4686E-002	0.30%	ND	0.1
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene	0.8 0.5 5.2 ND 0.6	0.8 0.5 5.3 ND 0.6	0.0% 0.0% 1.9% 0.0% 0.0%	0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30%	
Spike Conc. (ug/L)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Accept Limits
Benzene	0.8	50.0	50.8	100.0%	39 - 150
Toluene	0.5	50.0	50.6	100.2%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	5.2	50.0	55.3	100.2%	32 - 160
p,m-Xylene	ND	100	99.9	99.8%	46 - 148
o-Xylene	0.6	50.0	50.5	99.8%	46 - 148
ND - Parameter not detecte	ed at the stated detection limit.				
References: Met	hod 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Me	thods for Evaluating	Solid Waste, SW-846	6, USEPA,	

December 1996. Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments: QA/QC for samples 24349, 24355 - 24356. Misten of Walter, Beview Analyst

10445	AMETERS	Remarks						Date Time $Date Time$			Sample Receipt	Y N N/A	Received Intact	Cool - Ice/Blue Ice
TODY RECORD	ANALYSIS / PAR	ainers Z=X	La Canton Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno	2 7 7				leceived by: (Signature)	received by: (Signature)	leceived by: (Signature)	FCH INC		Highway 64 w Mevico 87401	32-0615
CHAIN OF CUS	Project Location Bragoes Gallep Sand Unit	Client No. 1079 - 00ر	Lab Number Matrix	24349 Hro				 Date Time Re	Here to u		FOVIROTI		5796 U.S. F Farmination New	(505) 63
	Client / Project Name Ckey Tanto	Sampler: Jack Collin,	Sample No./ Sample Sample Identification Date Time	MW6 12-02-01 1410				Relinquished by: (Signature)	Relinduished by: (Signature)	Relinquished by: (Signature)				

222.2.2.2.2

CATION / ANION ANALYSIS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 6	Date Reported:	12-18-02
Laboratory Number:	24421	Date Sampled:	12-16-02
Chain of Custody:	10469	Date Received:	12-16-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Extracted:	N/A
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	12-17-02 12-18-02
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

	Analytical			
Parameter	Result	Units		Units
рН	10.76	s.u.		
Conductivity @ 25° C	2,150	umhos/cm		
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180C	1,070	mg/L		
Total Dissolved Solids (Calc)	1,030	mg/L		
SAR	24.3	ratio		
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	328	mg/L		
Total Hardness as CaCO3	86.0	mg/L		
Bicarbonate as HCO3	<0.1	mg/L	0.00	meq/L
Carbonate as CO3	64.0	mg/L	2.13	meq/L
Hydroxide as OH	264	mg/L	15.52	meq/L
Nitrate Nitrogen	0.7	mg/L	0.01	meq/L
Nitrite Nitrogen	0.163	mg/L	0.00	meq/L
Chloride	192	mg/L	5.42	meq/L
Fluoride	1.88	mg/L	0.10	meq/L
Phosphate	<0.1	mg/L	0.00	meg/L
Sulfate	67.2	mg/L	1.40	meg/L
Iron	0.037	mg/L	0.00	meg/L
Calcium	34.4	mg/L	1.72	meg/L
Magnesium	<0.01	mg/L	0.00	meg/L
Potassium	12.5	mg/L	0.32	meg/L
Sodium	518	mg/L	22.53	meq/L
Cations			24 57	meall
Anions			24.57	meq/L
			24.00	meq/L
Cation/Anion Difference			0.06%	

Reference: U.S.E.P.A., 600/4-79-020, "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1983. Water And Waste Water", 18th ed., 1992.

> Gallegos Wash Gallup Sand Unit. Comments:

Walters Motin \sim Analyst

كسب Review

0.06%

RACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	MW - 6	Date Reported:	12-17-02
Chain of Custody:	10469	Date Sampled:	12-16-02
Laboratory Number:	24421	Date Received:	12-16-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	12-17-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND	1	0.2
Toluene	ND		0.2
Ethylbenzene	5.8	1	0.2
p,m-Xylene	ND	1	0.2
o-Xylene	ND	1	0.1

Total BTEX

5.8

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:		Parameter	Percent Recovery			
		fluorobenzene	96	%		
		1,4-difluorobenzene	96	%		
		4-bromochlorobenzene	96	%		
References:	Method 50 December	Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.				
	Method 80	21B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by G	as Chromatography Using			

Comments:

: Gallegos Wash Gallup Sand Unit.

Lu Analyst

Mistin on Walter

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	Trip Blank	Date Reported:	12-17-02
Chain of Custody:	10469	Date Sampled:	12-16-02
Laboratory Number:	24422	Date Received:	12-16-02
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Analyzed:	12-17-02
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Condition:	Cool & Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (ug/L)	Dilution Factor	Det. Limit (ug/L)
Benzene	ND ND	1	0.2
Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene	ND ND ND	1 1 1	0.2 0.2 0.1

Total BTEX

ND

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:		Parameter	Percent Recovery
		fluorobenzene	96 %
		1,4-difluorobenzene	96 %
		4-bromochlorobenzene	96 %
References:	Method 503 December	30B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evalu 1996.	ating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA,
	Mothod 80	218 Aromatic and Halaganated Valatiles by	Cas Chromatography Lising

Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

Ánalyst

Review _____

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Client:	N/A	Project #:	N/A
Sample ID:	12-17-BTEX QA/QC	Date Reported:	12-17-02
Laboratory Number:	24421	Date Sampled:	N/A
Sample Matrix:	Water	Date Received:	N/A
Preservative:	N/A	Date Analyzed:	12-17-02
Condition:	N/A	Analysis:	BTEX

Detection Limits (ug/L) Accept. Range 0 - 15% Conc Limit

Benzene 2.6914E-002 Toluene 3.3709E-002 Ethylbenzene 5.8262E-002 p,m-Xylene 7.1891E-002 o-Xylene 5.4522E-002	2.6968E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
	3.3716E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
	5.8379E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
	7.1905E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
	5.4686E-002	0.30%	ND	0.1

Duplicate Conc. (ug/L) Sample Duplicate %Diff. Accept Limit

Benzene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%
Toluene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%
Ethylbenzene	5.8	5.7	1.7%	0 - 30%
p,m-Xylene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%
o-Xylene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%

Sample Amount Spiked Spiked Sample % Recovery Accept Limits

Benzene	ND	50.0	50.0	100.0%	39 - 150
Toluene	ND	50.0	50.0	100.0%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	5.8	50.0	55.7	99.8%	32 - 160
p,m-Xylene	ND	100	99.9	99.8%	46 - 148
o-Xylene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	46 - 148

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996. Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments: QA/QC for samples 24221 - 24222. Analyst

Anistere og Walten

	HAIN	OF CUS	STODY RECORD	104(69		
ient / Project Name P	roject Location	had Lelly L	and Rink ANALYSIS / PARAN	AETERS			
ampler: JZS	Client No. C/079	- 002	01 ainers 7.7 7.21 7.27 7.27 7.27	Ren	narks		
Sample No./ Sample Sample Identification Date Time	Lab Number	Sample Matrix	NC CON				
1-12-12-02 1202 1202 1	164421	2 atr	4 3 / K				
Tiis Black 12-16-02	62442	2 Latu	>				
slipquished by: (Signature)		Date Time 2-16-02 15/10	Received by: (Sighature)		ate 4 02 1 5	t/C)	
ethquished by: (Signature)			Received by: (Signature)				
elinquished by: (Signature)			Received by: (Signature)				
			FCH IOC	Sample Re	sceipt		
					z ≻	N/A	
		5796 U.S Earminuton N	. Highway 64	Received Intact			
		(505)	EW INEXICO 07 401 632-0615	Cool - Ice/Blue Ice	\mathbf{i}		

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROY

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 3'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24339	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Requested:	BTEX

Parameter	Concentration (ug/Kg)	Det. Limit (ug/Kg)	
Benzene	70.1	1.8	
Toluene	438	1.7	
Ethylbenzene	589	1.5	
p,m-Xylene	2,080	2.2	
o-Xylene	760	1.0	
Total BTEX	3,940		

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	Fluorobenzene	97 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	97 %
	Bromochlorobenzene	97 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Analyst

Mistin Mileters Review

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 6'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24340	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Requested:	BTEX

-

	Concentration	Det.	
Parameter	(ug/Kg)	(ug/Kg)	
Benzene	ND	1.8	
Toluene	486	1.7	
Ethylbenzene	674	1.5	
p,m-Xylene	2,180	2.2	
o-Xylene	915	1.0	
Total BTEX	4,260		

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	Fluorobenzene	97 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	97 %
	Bromochlorobenzene	97 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Analyst

Misteri n Walters Review

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Parameter	(ug/	Kg)	(ug/Kg)
	Conc	antration	Det.
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
Preservative:	Cool	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Chain of Custody:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Laboratory Number:	24341	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 9'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002

Benzene	15.4	1.8
Toluene	357	1.7
Ethylbenzene	613	1.5
p,m-Xylene	2,000	2.2
o-Xylene	843	1.0
Total BTEX	3,830	

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	Fluorobenzene	97 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	97 %
	Bromochlorobenzene	97 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments: Gallegos Gallup Sand Unit.

Analyst

Mistin Malters Review

5796 U.S. Highway 64 • Farmington, NM 87401 • Tel 505 • 632 • 0615 • Fax 505 • 632 • 1865

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Client: Sample ID: Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix: Preservative: Condition: Calibration and Detection Limits (ug/L)	N/A 11-27-BTEX QA/Q 24333 Water N/A N/A I-Cal RF;	C C-Cal RF Accept. Ra	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled: Date Received: Date Analyzed: Analysis: %Diff. nge:0 - 15%	Blank Conç	N/A 11-27-02 N/A N/A 11-27-02 BTEX Detect Limit
Benzene	2.6914E-002	2.6968E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
Toluene	3.3709E-002	3.3716E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
Ethylbenzene	5.8262E-002	5.8379E-002	0.20%	ND	0.2
p,m-Xylene	7.1891E-002	7.1905E-002	0.02%	ND	0.2
Duplicate Conc. (ug/L) Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p.m-Xylene o-Xylene	Sample ND ND ND ND ND ND	Duplicate ND ND ND ND ND	%Diff 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Accept Limit. 0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30%	
Spike Conc, (ug/L)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Accept Limits
Benzene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	39 - 150
Toluene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	ND	50.0	50.0	100.0%	32 - 160
p.m-Xylene	ND	100	99.9	99.8%	46 - 148
o-Xylene	ND	50.0	49.9	99.8%	46 - 148

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996. Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments: QA/QC for samples 24333 - 24341. Analyst

Aristin Review m Welters

·· · · · · · · · · · ·

EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 3'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24339	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody No:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool and Intact	Analysis Needed:	TPH-418.1

		Det.
	Concentration	Limit
Parameter	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	11,890	50
------------------------------	--------	----

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA Storet No. 4551, 1978.

Comments:

m l. africa Analyst

Mistini of Walters Review

.

EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 6'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24340	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody No:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool and Intact	Analysis Needed:	TPH-418.1
······································			Det.

- 223-1-2-1

	C	oncentration	Limit	
Parameter		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	7,850	50
------------------------------	-------	----

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA Storet No. 4551, 1978.

Comments:

- C. aprica

Mister Malters Review

Client:

<u></u> .

EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

01079-002

Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 9'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24341	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody No:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Extracted:	11-27 - 02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool and Intact	Analysis Needed:	TPH-418.1
Parameter	Conc (m	entration ng/kg)	Det. Limit (mg/kg)
Total Petroleum Hydro	carbons 14	4,640	50
ND = Parameter not detected	d at the stated detection limit.		

Project #:

1.2121.12.20

Chevron Texaco

References: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA Storet No. 4551, 1978.

Comments:

un P. apum

Mister Mulaters Review

EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYROCARBONS QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

Client: Sample ID: Laboratory Number:		QA/QC QA/QC 11-27-TPH.QA/Q0	C 24339	Project #: Date Reported Date Sampled	N/A 11-27-02 N/A	
Sample Matrix:		Freon-113		Date Analyzed	:	11-27-02
Preservative:		N/A N/A		Date Extracted	l: od:	11-27-02 Трн
Condition.				Analysis Neeu	eu.	1711
Calibration	I-Cal Date 06-04-02	C-Cal Date 11-27-02	I-Cal RF: 1,228	C-Cal RF: 1,235	% Difference 0.5%	Accept. Range +/- 10%
Blank Conc. (mg TPH	/Kg)		Concentration ND	a ang aga aga aga aga aga aga aga aga ag	Detection Lim 5.0	it
Duplicate Conc. TPH	(mg/Kg)		Sample 11,890	Duplicate 11,790	% Difference 0.8%	Accept. Range +/- 30%
Spike Conc. (mg TPH	/Kg)	Sample 11,890	Spike Added 2,000	Spike Result 13,850	% Recovery 99.7%	Accept Range 80 - 120%

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis os Water and Waste, USEPA Storet No. 4551, 1978.

Comments: QA/QC for samples 24339 - 24341.

in P. afrecan

Mistin Malters_

EPA METHOD 8015 Modified Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 3'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24339	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody No:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool and Intact	Analysis Requested:	8015 TPH
	· · <u>-</u> ·······		Det.
Parameter		Concentration (mg/Kg)	Limit (ma/Ka)
		((ing/itg/

Gasoline Range (C5 - C10)	452	0.2
Diesel Range (C10 - C18)	1,743	0.1
Diesel Range (C18 - C28)	1,108	0.2
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	3,300	0.2

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 8015B, Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Analyst

Mistin Malters Review

EPA METHOD 8015 Modified Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 6'	Date Reported:	11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24340	Date Sampled:	11-26-02
Chain of Custody No:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Extracted:	11-27-02
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02
Condition:	Cool and Intact	Analysis Requested:	8015 TPH

			Det.
		Concentration	Limit
Parameter	 	 (mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)

Gasoline Range (C5 - C10)	376	0.2
Diesel Range (C10 - C18)	1,530	0.1
Diesel Range (C18 - C28)	670	0.2
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	2,580	0.2

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 8015B, Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Analyst

Mistin my Walters

EPA METHOD 8015 Modified Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Client:	Chevron Texaco	Project #:	01079-002		
Sample ID:	SB - 3 @ 9'	Date Reported:	11-27-02		
Laboratory Number:	24341	Date Sampled:	11-26-02		
Chain of Custody No:	09551	Date Received:	11-26-02		
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Extracted:	11-27-02		
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	11-27-02		
Condition:	Cool and Intact	Analysis Requested:	8015 TPH		
		Concentration	Det. Limit		
Parameter		(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)		
Gasoline Range (C5	- C10)	787	0.2		
Diesel Range (C10 -	C18)	3,776	0.1		
Diesel Range (C18 -	C28)	1,706	0.2		

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 8015B, Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

6,270

0.2

Analyst

Mistin milbeters Review

PRACTICAL SOLUTIONS FOR A BETTER TOMORROW

EPA Method 8015 Modified Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Quality Assurance Report

Client:	QA/QC		Project #:		N/A
Sample ID:	11-27-TPH QA	/QC	Date Reported:		11-27-02
Laboratory Number:	24330		Date Sampled:	N/A	
Sample Matrix:	Methylene Chlori	ide	Date Received:	N/A	
Preservative:	N/A		Date Analyzed:		11-27-02
Condition:	N/A		Analysis Reques	ted:	TPH
			1779 N. A. 2000	29 TH C. P. L. 12-1000 CONTRACTOR	
	l-Cal Date	I-Cal RF:	C-Cal RF:	% Difference	Accept Range
Gasoline Range C5 - C10	04-25-02	2.7355E-002	2.7328E-002	0.10%	0 - 15%
Diesel Range C10 - C28	04-25-02	2.4557E-002	2.4508E-002	0.20%	0 - 15%
u nu wa wuto nu un mwa ngana ginta miningini wigangi miningu un na	n an ang sa an		CARDS ACCOR SPACEMENT (S.D. MARKENSKI)		805
Blank Conc. (mg/L - mg/Kg)		Concentration		Detection Limi	t
Gasoline Range C5 - C10		ND		0.2	
Diesel Range C10 - C28		ND		0.1	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		ND		0.2	
	an a		0		
Duplicate Conc. (mg/kg)	Sample	Duplicate	% Difference	Accept, Range	
Gasoline Range C5 - C10	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Diesel Range C10 - C28	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	
Spike Conc. (ma/Ka)	Sample	Spike Added	Spike Result	% Recoverv	Accept Range
Gasoline Range C5 - C10	ND	250	250	100.0%	75 - 125%
Diesel Range C10 - C28	ND	250	250	100.0%	75 - 125%
Spike Conc. (mg/Kg) Gasoline Range C5 - C10 Diesel Range C10 - C28	Sample ND ND	Spike Added 250 250	Spike Result 250 250	% Recovery 100.0% 100.0%	Accept. Range 75 - 125% 75 - 125%

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 8015B, Nonhalogenated Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments:

QA/QC for samples 24330 - 24332, 24339 - 24341.

um

Anistin mulleters

09551	AMETERS	Remarks								Date Time	1.2602 1 450		Sample Receipt	Y N NIA	Received Intact	Cool - Ice/Blue Ice
ODY RECORD	という いていてい と ARN ARNALYSIS / PARN	to to stanie X H Z H Z H Z H	S108 314 314 314 314 314 314 314 314 314 314	7		 <				ived by: (Signature)	ived by: (Signature)	eived by: (Signature)	CH INC		hway 64 Mexico 87401	0615
CHAIN OF CUST	Project Location CALLEGOS CALLUP SAUD UNIT	Client No. <i> ひび</i> 9 - 00 2	Lab Number Matrix	2439 Soll	24840 Sol	24341 Soll				Date Time Rece		Hece	FUNROTE		5796 U.S. Hig Farmington New I	(505) 632-
0	Client / Project Name	Sampler: JACK COLLINS	Sample No./ Sample Sample Identification Date Time	SB-303' 11/2/02 1143	SB-3 @ 6' 1155	*SB-3@9' 1215				Relinquished by: (Signature)	Relinquished by: (Signature)	Relinquished by: (Signature)				

APPENDIX D

Field Notes

ENVIROTECH INC. FARMINGTON, NM 5796 HIGHWAY 64 MONITOR WELL DATA

MONITOR WELL DATA

Date: 11/25/02

Project No: 0/079-002

Sampler: <u>CJC/Bob</u>Stervet

Project Name: CHEVRON-TEXALO GALLEGOS SANO UNIT Location: _____

Chain of Custody No: _____

Project Manager: JACK Cours

	WELL #	TIME	олм БЪш	рН	COND. µS	TEMP.	DEPTH TO WATER FT.	TOTAL DEPTH FT.	WATER COLUMN FT.	BAILED Water Gal.	PRODUCT Ft.	WATER LEVEL FT.	AMOON BAILED
	1	0809		1			37.55	40.0	2.45	1.7	60.	57. 83	3
	2	0811					33.38	34.5	1.1	0.10	60	57.01	5
	3	0820					30.14	34.5	4.3	2.6	6	0 56.36	4GAL
	4	0815		<u> </u>			31.69	35,5	3.2	1,9	60	56.73	
	5	8133		 	ļ	L	32.31	39.5	7.9	3,6	60	57,25	5GAL
11-26-02	6	1104		ļ			30.92						Ligel
					<u> </u>	ļ							
12-02-02	4	1320		<u> </u>			32,17	75.00	2,83	9.0	605	3.68	
12-15 00	6	1.545	JUS		<u> </u>		4106						
12 -05-01	6	1/00	JLS	11.5	2.67	57.0	31.82	75.10		8,5	B	cled	
12-0100	(e	1120	JUS	10.7	2,92	57,4	34.60			8.25		den	
12 -00-													
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ļ 		 								
													1
				 									
	latagi		n of Cr		L	l							
E	Bailed	= 3 well	volum	nes: 25" .,	oll - 0	19 021	/ = +						
		: Ne	2. 4. ote wel	.25 w .00" w .00" w l diam	ell = 0 ell = 0 ell = 1 meter if	.19 gal .49 gal .96 gal not or	/ft. /ft. he of the	e above.					
													<u> </u>

ENVIROTECH INC. FARMINGTON, NM 5796 HIGHWAY 64 MONITOR WELL DATA

Date: <u>/2_09_03</u>		Project No: 0/079-002
Project Name: <u>Chevion Turaca</u>		Chain of Custody No:
Location: Sallyon		·
Project Manager:	ł	sampler: <u>JLS</u>

MONITOR WELL DATA

ŕ

WELL #	TIME	bbw ovw	ЪH	сонд. µS	TEMP. °E	DEPTH TO WATER FT.	TOTAL DEPTH FT.	WATER COLUNN FT.	BAILED Water Gal.	PRODUCT Ft.	WATER LEVEL FT.
MW-E	1000					31.81	76.50	43.69	3.2 y. 1	ins	
	1005		11,8	4,22-	59,0				Lalle	ر ا	
	1015		11.5	4.101	57.0				Syal	und .	
	1030	ļ	11.5	4.54	58.8				2 gall	no)	
	1045	ļ	11.5	3.16	57.6				Lycl	na	
	1100_	ļ	11.5	2.67	<u>57,0</u>				0 50	allind	
		 	<u> </u>			•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		<u> </u>					10-19	7	8,5	gallon	Ē
	<u> </u>										
			1								
			1								
		<u> </u>						ļ			
ļ		ļ	<u> </u>								
			1	}	<u> </u>			}		L	

Notes: TOC = Top of Casing Bailed = 3 well volummes: 1.25" well = 0.19 gal/ft. 2.00" well = 0.49 gal/ft. 4.00" well = 1.96 gal/ft. Note well diameter if not one of the above.

ENVIROTECH INC. FARMINGTON, NM 5796 HIGHWAY 64 MONITOR WELL DATA

Date: $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$	Project No:	01079-002
Project Name: <u>Cluston</u>	Chain of Custody	No:
Location: Sulliger Wash Sally	Sand Unit	
Project Manager: <u>C.JC</u>	Sampler:	ά <u>σ</u>

MONITOR WELL DATA

WELL #	TIME	OVM ppm	рн	COND. µS	TEMP.	Depth To Water FT.	total Depth Ft.	WATER COLUMN FT.	BAILED Water Gal.	PRODUCT Ft.	WATER LEVEL FT.
MW-le	1120					34.10					
	1127	<u> </u>	11.5	4.05	56.8				= 2.5 sa		
	11.34	L	10.4	3.94	55.4				2 cel		
	1142		10.10	3.70	57.7				Sed		
				3.71	57.8				7.		
	12.02		<u>je.</u> 7		57.4				2. A	Le mo	led
		L							<u> </u>	/	
		[
		•									
											[
	<u></u>	ļ									
				····]
											{
											{

Notes: TOC = Top of Casing Bailed = 3 well volummes: 1.25" well = 0.19 gal/ft. 2.00" well = 0.49 gal/ft. 4.00" well = 1.96 gal/ft. Note well diameter if not one of the above.