

[illegible]

July 24, 1956

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.
Secretary-Director
Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:-

Reference is made to your letter of July 17th, 1956 to John Wright, my attorney at Raton, New Mexico, where you state you have not released my bond.

I believe you are right if there is any doubt in your mind of the way I handled the well at Raton, it should be investigated. You will find upon talking to the Moore Ranch Company that it was handled with their full knowledge of procedure. In fact, it was handled after a conference to be sure it was handled right.

I will call to your attention again the last water sand, in fact, any kind of sand was 1500 feet deep. The four sands above that are fresh water sands. I believe anyone will make a nice well.

All cement used was pure cement without any gravel and should drill out of surface casing easily. I think you will find on checking with the ranch that it is probably a water well now.

I certainly have appreciated the fine way you boys have handled my work in New Mexico and right now my plans are to drill again on the Moore Ranch. This program is for this fall. I would appreciate one of you fellows calling up there then while I am drilling, as I like to work closely with the State Commissions on my drilling programs. I realize it is a long way from Santa Fe to Raton.

I am sending a copy of this letter to the Moore Ranch Company of Raton, so they will expect you, or you can call them for information if you care to.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,

MELVIN CONDRON
1723 East Pershing
Cheyenne, Wyoming

MC/bb

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG).

•

[illegible]