

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in
accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☒ Initial Report ☐ Final Report

| | |
|--|---|
| Name of Company HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS | Contact MELANIE ISENBURG |
| Address 1602 W. MAIN, ARTESIA NM 88210 | Telephone No. 214-605-8303 |
| Facility Name LOVINGTON REFINERY- | Facility Type HEP HOBBS RECEIVING LINE SUMP |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Surface Owner CITY OF LOVINGTON | Mineral Owner | API No. |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|

LOCATION OF RELEASE

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Unit Letter G | Section 36 | Township 16 S | Range 36 E | Feet from the | North/South Line | Feet from the | East/West Line | County LEA |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|

Latitude 32.880277 Longitude -103.304544

NATURE OF RELEASE

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Type of Release Crude Oil | Volume of Release 7 Barrels | Volume Recovered 5 Barrels |
| Source of Release Overfill of Sump | Date and Hour of Occurrence 3/23/17 1500 | Date and Hour of Discovery 3/23/17 1546 |
| Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Required | If YES, To Whom? Courtesy Called NMOCD District I Office on 3/24/17. A message was left with Olivia Yu (Spelling). | |
| By Whom? Melanie Isenberg | Date and Hour 3/24/17 Approximately 0930. | |
| Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A | |
| If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A | | |

RECEIVED

By Olivia Yu at 9:30 am, Mar 31, 2017

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*

At this time it appears that the spill was caused by debris causing either a drain valve or check valve on facility piping to remain open causing the sump for the location to begin to fill. Power supply to the sump had been cut off due to an issue that was being repaired. Therefore allowing the crude oil to continuously flow into the sump without pumping out causing an overflow. The spill was contained within the perimeter of the facility. Crews were on site to contain the spill and start clean-up. Approximately 7 barrels of crude was released with 5 barrels being vacuumed up.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* A detailed work plan will be submitted once site assessment, delineation and sampling are completed.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

| | | |
|--|---|------------------|
| Signature: <i>Melanie Isenberg</i> | Approved by Environmental Specialist: <i>oy</i> | |
| Printed Name: MELANIE ISENBURG | Approval Date: 3/31/2017 | Expiration Date: |
| Title: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATE | Conditions of Approval: see attached directive | |
| E-mail Address: MELANIE.ISENBURG@HOLLYENERGY.COM | Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Date: 3/28/17 Phone: 575-748-8972 | | |

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

1RP-4663

fOY1709034993

nOY1709036161

pOY1709037107

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 3/29/2017 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 1R-4663 has been assigned. **Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.**

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete division-approved corrective action for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. **As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District 1 office in Hobbs on or before 3/31/2017. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.**

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
505-476-3465
jim.griswold@state.nm.us