<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico

ARTESIA DISTRICT **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources**

NM OIL CONSERVATION

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

DEC 2 2 2016

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

RECEIVED

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe NM 87505

Salita Fe, Nivi 6/303													
Release Notification and Corrective Action													
DABI	436	4 5 629	OPERA	ГOR	[
			Contact Danny Velo, Production Foreman										
							Telephone No. 575-703-3360						
Facility Name Watkins 32 State #1							Facility Type Oil						
Surface Owner State Mineral Owner						State			API No 30-025-31735				
I Init I attan	Continu	T	D			OF RE		E4/1V	T :	C			
Unit Letter F	Jnit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the N F 32 18S 32E 1980								West Line County West Lea				
		100						Lu					
Latitude: 32.7058411 Longitude: -103.7917099													
NATURE OF RELEASE													
Type of Release Produced Water							Release 50 BBL	Volume Recovered 40 BBLS PW					
Source of Release							Date and Hour of Occurrence			Date and Hour of Discovery			
Produced water tank Was Immediate Notice Given?							12/16/2016 @ 11:45pm						
Yes No Not Required							OCD-Kristen Lynch						
·						BLM-Jim Amos							
By Whom? Hub Perry, Night Production Foreman						Date and Hour OCD- 12/17/2016 @ 1:01am							
						BLM- 12/17/2016 @ 12:59am							
Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☑ No							If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse						
							N/A						
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A													
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*													
Due to frigid weather the Back pressure valve on the gas sales line became plugged causing the gas to vent into the Produced water tank resulting in 50 BBLS produced water to be released into dirt containment. All wells on this lease were shut in to prevent further release.													
BBLS produc	ced water to	be released i	nto dirt co	ntainment. All we	lls on th	iis lease were	shut in to preven	it further i	release.				
Describe Ar	ea Affected	and Cleanu	p Action 7	Taken.*									
Approximate	Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* Approximately 50 BBLS produced water was released from the Produced water tank due to the Back pressure valve on the gas sales line being plugged.												
All the 50 BBLS produced water went into dirt containment and 50 BBLS produced water remained inside the dirt containment. Vacuum truck recovered approximately 40 BBLS of the released produced water. Environmental agency will be contacted for remediation.													
approximate	y 40 DDLS	of the release	d produce	d water. Environi	iiciiiai aş	gency will be	contacted for fen	ilediation	•				
				is true and comp									
				nd/or file certain re ce of a C-141 repo									
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other													
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION													
Signature: Sarah Gallegos-Troublefield							OIL CON	<u>SERV</u>	ATION	DIVISIO	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$		
Dignature. Of	001 001 0 90	occogo s 1	Car of La \ /a										
Printed Name	e: Sarah Ga	llegos-Troubl	efield			Approved by Environmental Specialist							
Title: Field Admin Support							Approval Date: 12/29/16 Expiration Date: N/A						
									-			-	
E-mail Address: Sarah.Gallegos-Troublefield@dvn.com						Conditions of Approval: Attached							
Date:12/21/2016 Phone: 575.748.1864							see attached						

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 12/22/16 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 120-4534 has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District II office in Artesia on or before 2/1/1/1, If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us