

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Miscellaneous Reports on Wells

DUPLICATE

RECEIVED

SEP 14 1951

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
HOBB'S OFFICE

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below.

|  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS                    |   | REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL                       |  |
| REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL |   | REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING |  |
| REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF                | X | REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL                       |  |
| REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL                       |   |  |  |

September 12, 1951

Artesia, New Mexico

Date

Place

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO.  
Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the.....

Wilson Oil Company Charlotte-State Well No. 4 in the

Company or Operator

Lease

SE SW of Sec. 29, T. 20, R. 36, N. M. P. M.,

Unnamed Field Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: September 11, 1951

Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on September 11 19 51

and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Set 7" casing at 3795' and cemented with 300 sax.

Water shut-off test O.K.

Witnessed by Joe B. Allen Wilson Oil Company Vice Pres. of Production

Name

Company

Title

Subscribed and sworn before me this 12th

day of September, 19 51

Notary Public

My commission expires August 28, 1953

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name Raymond Lamb

Position Vice President

Representing Wilson Oil Company  
Company or Operator


Address

Remarks:

APPROVED  
SEP 14 1951  
Date

Ray Yarkrough  
Name  
Oil & Gas Inspector

N MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
Santa Fe, New Mexico



**DUPLICATE MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES**

Submit this notice in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent before the work specified is to begin. A copy will be returned to the sender on which will be given the approval, with consideration as to whether it is considered advisable, or the rejection by the Commission or agent, of the plan submitted. The plan as approved should be followed, and work should not begin until approval is obtained. See additional instructions and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of notice by checking below:

|   |                                     |   |                          |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST CASING SHUT-OFF | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OR CHEMICALLY TREAT WELL | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CHANGE PLANS         | <input type="checkbox"/>            | NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PLUG OR OTHERWISE ALTER CASING | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| NOTICE OF INTENTION TO REPAIR WELL          | <input type="checkbox"/>            | NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PLUG WELL                      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEEPEN WELL          | <input type="checkbox"/>            |   | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Artesia, New Mexico September 12, 1951  
Place Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a notice of intention to do certain work as described below at the Wilson Oil Company  
Charlotte-State Well No. 4 in SE SW  
of Sec. 29, T. 20, R. 36, N. M. P. M., Unnamed Field,  
Lea County.

**FULL DETAILS OF PROPOSED PLAN OF WORK**  
FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COMMISSION

Propose to set about 3800' of 7" casing and cement with 300 sax cement. Will test water shut-off before drilling ahead.

Approved SEP 14 1951, 19\_\_\_\_  
except as follows:

WILSON OIL COMPANY

Company or Operator  
By Raymond Lamb  
Position Vice President  
Send communications regarding well to  
Name Wilson Oil Company  
Address Box 1436  
Artesia, New Mexico

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Title Ray Yarbrough  
By Oil & Gas Inspector



The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze the data. This includes both manual data entry and the use of specialized software tools. The goal is to ensure that the data is both accurate and easy to interpret.

The third section provides a detailed breakdown of the results. It shows that there is a significant correlation between the variables being studied. This finding is supported by statistical analysis and is consistent with previous research in the field.

The fourth section discusses the implications of the findings. It suggests that the results could be used to inform policy decisions and to guide future research. The author also acknowledges the limitations of the study and offers suggestions for how these could be addressed in future work.

Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the key points. It reiterates the importance of accurate data collection and the value of the findings. The author expresses hope that the information provided will be helpful to others in the field.

The following table provides a summary of the data collected during the study. It shows the distribution of values for each variable and highlights any notable trends or patterns.

| Variable   | Mean | Standard Deviation | Range      |
|------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| Variable 1 | 12.5 | 3.2                | 5.0 - 20.0 |
| Variable 2 | 8.7  | 2.1                | 3.0 - 15.0 |
| Variable 3 | 15.3 | 4.5                | 8.0 - 25.0 |

The data presented in the table above shows a clear trend. As the value of Variable 1 increases, the value of Variable 2 tends to decrease, while Variable 3 shows a more complex relationship. These findings are consistent with the theoretical model proposed in the introduction.

In conclusion, this study has provided valuable insights into the relationship between the variables being studied. The results are both statistically significant and practically relevant. Further research is needed to explore these relationships in more detail and to test the theoretical model under different conditions.