R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

August 11, 2015

Ms. Kellie Jones NMOCD District 1 1625 French Drive Hobbs, NM 88240 Via E-Mail **RECEIVED**

By OCD District 1 at 8:03 am, Aug 12, 2015

RE: Temporary Pit Closure Report

Bettis 20 State Com #5H, API #30-025-41439, Pit Permit #P1-06549

Unit M, Section 20, T24S, R33E, Lea County

Dear Ms. Jones:

On behalf of Murchison Oil and Gas, R.T. Hicks Consultants submits this closure report for the above-referenced temporary pit in accordance with the approved C-144 closure plan. This report includes the following information listed in Part 21 of the C-144 form:

Requirements	Location in this Submission
Proof of Closure Notice (to surface owner and	Attachment 1
Division)	
Proof of Deed Notice (on-site closure on private	Not applicable; State Land (no deed)
land only)	
Plot Plan, C-105 form (for on-site closures and	Attachment 2
temporary pits)	
Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results	Not applicable
Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results	Attachment 3
(required for on-site closure)	
Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number	Not applicable; on-site closure
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation	Attachment 4
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding	Attachment 5
Technique	
Site Reclamation (photo documentation)	To follow
Updated C-144 form	Attachment 6

R.T. Hicks Consultants will notify NMOCD and provide photo-documentation when re-vegetation obligations described in subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC are met.

Sincerely,

R.T. Hicks Consultants

Kristin Pope Project Geologist

Copy: Murchison Oil and Gas

NM State Land Office, Ed Martin



R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuguergue, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

February 20, 2015

Dr. Tomáš Oberding NMOCD District 1 1625 French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240 VIA EMAIL

RE: Bettis 20 State Com #5H Temporary Pit, In-place Burial Notice


API #30-025-41439, Pit Permit #P1-06549 Unit M, Section 20, T24S, R33E, Lea County

Dr. Oberding:

On behalf of Murchison Oil and Gas, Inc., R. T. Hicks Consultants provides this notice to NMOCD with a copy to the State Land Office (email return receipt in lieu of US Mail per approved variance request) that closure operations at the above-referenced pit is scheduled to begin on **Tuesday, February 24, 2015**. Please note that we enclose a previously-approved variance to substitute TPH via 8015 method (GRO+DRO+MRO) in lieu of method 418.1. The closure process should require about two weeks, depending on the weather and the availability of machinery.

The "In-place Burial" closure plan for the pit was approved by NMOCD on June 6, 2014 with the C-144 temporary pit application. The rig was released August 21, 2014 and the pit was utilized during fracturing and flowback. The well was completed on September 12, 2014. In an effort to mitigate a potential for elevated hydrocarbon concentrations, Micro-Blaze microbial product was applied to the surface of the pit cuttings on October 22, 2014.

On December 4, 2014, 4-point composite samples were collected from the inner horseshoe cell, outer horseshoe cell, and from the clean soil of the berms (beneath the liner) of the pit for laboratory analyses. The calculated concentration for "3:1 stabilized" material that results when the pit contents are combined with available mixing soil during the closure process did not meet in-place closure target concentrations found in Table II of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. The day after sampling, additional Micro-Blaze® was applied to the pit as recommended by the product representative, this time using an air sparge system to inject a mixture of water/air/product into the cuttings.



Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

On January 29, 2015, composites from the inner and outer cells were collected again for laboratory analyses. Using the analysis of the mixing dirt from December 2014, the stabilized

cuttings were calculated by mathematically mixing 3 parts clean soil (mixing dirt) with 1 part of the weighted pit composite calculation, as depicted in the pie chart on page 1 of this notice. The pit composite consists of approximately 21% solids from the inner cell of the drilling pit and 79% of the solids from the outer cell (1:3.8 ratio), representative of the volume of cuttings in each cell. As shown in the table below, concentration limits for all Table II constituents will be met when pit contents are mechanically mixed with approximately 3 parts of clean mixing dirt from the pit during the closure process.

Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit Sample Name	Sample Type	Sample Date	Chloride 80,000	Benzene	BTEX 50	GRO+ DRO 1,000	TPH 8015D 2,500
Inner Composite	4-pt field comp.	1/29/2015	170,000	ND	ND	67.2	122.2
Outer Composite	4-pt field comp.	1/29/2015	8,100	0.25	5.2	2,683	3,623
Mixing Dirt	5-pt field comp.	12/3/2014	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3:1 Stabilized CA (3 parts mixing dirt, 1 part v		gs)	10,455.94	0.05	1.03	534.53	723.45

TPH 8015D = GRO+DRO+MRO

ND = Not detected at the laboratory's reporting limit

All values are mg/kg

The formula used in the table to calculate the 3:1 Stabilized Cuttings is:

Thank you for your consideration of this notice of in-place closure. I will follow-up this notice to you with a phone call today as required by the Pit Rule.

Sincerely,

R.T. Hicks Consultants

Kristin Pope

Enclosure: variance approval for email to SLO, variance approval for TPH substitution

Copy: Murchison Oil and Gas, Ed Martin via email

New Mexico State Land Office

From: Oberding, Tomas, EMNRD

To: Kristin Pope

Cc: ccottrell@jdmii.com; Randy Hicks; gboans@jdmii.com; Chace Walls; Martin, Ed

Subject: RE: VARIANCE REQUEST: Email substitution for pit closure notices

Date: Wednesday, January 07, 2015 10:13:08 AM

Ms. Pope,

This email is fine for OCD documentation, for the current site closure.

Mahalo

-Doc

Tomáš 'Doc' Oberding, PhD Senior Environmental Specialist New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, District 1 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (575) 393-6161 ext 111

E-Mail: tomas.oberding@state.nm.us

OCD approval does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations fail to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that may pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD approval does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, local laws and/or regulations.

If you have any questions or concerns, and for notification, please contact me.

From: Kristin Pope [mailto:kristin@rthicksconsult.com] Sent: Wednesday, December 31, 2014 1:35 PM

To: Oberding, Tomas, EMNRD

Cc: ccottrell@jdmii.com; Randy Hicks; gboans@jdmii.com; Chace Walls; Martin, Ed

Subject: VARIANCE REQUEST: Email substitution for pit closure notices

Dr. Oberding:

Please find the attached variance request for a substitution of email to SLO in lieu of temporary pit closure notices submitted via US Mail, return receipt requested. It is referenced for the Murchison – Jackson Unit #14H but I also submitted a closure report for the Jackson Unit #16H.

Please contact me with any questions about this upon your return to work. Thank you.

Kristin Pope R.T. Hicks Consultants Carlsbad Field Office 575.302.6755 From: Oberding, Tomas, EMNRD

To: Kristin Pope

Cc: ccottrell@jdmii.com; Chace Walls; gboans@jdmii.com; Randy Hicks; Griswold, Jim, EMNRD

Subject: RE: VARIANCE REQUEST: Murchison - Jackson Unit #17H

Date: Thursday, December 18, 2014 8:16:05 AM

Aloha Ms. Pope et al,

Thank you for sending in this variance request.

After discussions, OCD approves the substitution of 8015 B, C, or D for 418.1. Hydrocarbons between C6 and C36 must be included in the results.

As 8015M appears to cover GRO+DRO+MRO- this too is an appropriate alternate methodology.

Thank you for continuing to work with the OCD.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

-Doc

Tomáš 'Doc' Oberding, PhD Senior Environmental Specialist New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, District 1 Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (575) 393-6161 ext 111

E-Mail: tomas.oberding@state.nm.us

OCD approval does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations fail to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that may pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD approval does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, local laws and/or regulations.

If you have any questions or concerns, and for notification, please contact me.

From: Kristin Pope [mailto:kristin@rthicksconsult.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 16, 2014 7:51 AM

To: Oberding, Tomas, EMNRD

Cc: ccottrell@jdmii.com; Chace Walls; gboans@jdmii.com; Randy Hicks; Griswold, Jim, EMNRD

Subject: VARIANCE REQUEST: Murchison - Jackson Unit #17H

Dr. Oberding:

Please find the attached variance request we discussed over the phone last week. During our phone call, I was mistaken on the closure deadline for this site; the closure deadline for this is January 14, 2015. Per our discussion, note that I've copied Jim Griswold on this submission.

Please let me know if we can assist NMOCD's review in any way. Thank you.

Kristin Pope R.T. Hicks Consultants From: Martin, Ed To: Kristin Pope

Subject: Read: CLOSURE NOTICE: Murchison - Bettis 20 St Com #5H temporary pit

Date: Friday, February 20, 2015 1:50:14 PM


Your message To: Martin, Ed

Subject: CLOSURE NOTICE: Murchison - Bettis 20 St Com #5H temporary pit Sent: Friday, February 20, 2015 10:31:36 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada) was read on Friday, February 20, 2015 11:44:15 AM (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada).

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com



Two Copies	iate Distri	ct Office	;				State of Ne												rm C-105
District I 1625 N. French Dr.,	Hobbs, N	IM 88240	0		Ene	ergy, l	Minerals and	d Na	tural F	Res	ources	-	1. WELL A	A DI 1	NO		Rev	ised Au	igust 1, 2011
District II 811 S. First St., Arto						Ωil	Conservat	ion	Divic	ion			30-025-414	39	NO.				
District III 1000 Rio Brazos Ro	l., Aztec, l	NM 8741	10				20 South St						2. Type of Le		∏FE	nc.		D/INDI	ANI
District IV 1220 S. St. Francis							Santa Fe, N				•	ŀ	3. State Oil &					D/INDI	AIN
				R R	ECO		ETION REI				LOG	\dashv							
4. Reason for fili			0.10					<u> </u>					5. Lease Name	e or U	Jnit Agr	eeme	ent Nar	ne	
☐ COMPLETI	ON REF	PORT (Fill in bo	oxes#	1 throu	gh #31 f	or State and Fee	wells	s only)			-	Bettis 20 State 6. Well Numb						
C-144 CLOS												or/	#5H						
#33; attach this ar		t to the	C-144 cl	losure	report	in accor	dance with 19.1	5.17.1	13.K NM	IAC))								
⊠ NEW V	VELL [WOR	RKOVER	R 🔲 1	DEEPE	NING	□PLUGBACk		DIFFER	ENT	RESERV	OIR							
8. Name of Opera MURCHISON O		S, INC.											9. OGRID 15363						
10. Address of Op	erator												11. Pool name	or W	ildcat				
12.Location	Unit Ltr	Se	ection		Towns	hip	Range	Lot		I	Feet from t	he	N/S Line	Feet	from th	ne I	E/W Li	ine	County
Surface:																			
BH:																			
13. Date Spudded	14. D	ate T.D	. Reache	d	15. D		Released /2014		1	6. D	ate Compl	eted	(Ready to Prod	uce)			Elevation		and RKB,
18. Total Measure	ed Depth	of Well	1		19. P		k Measured Dep	oth	2	20. V	Vas Direct	iona	l Survey Made?)					her Logs Run
22. Producing Into	erval(s),	of this c	completio	on - To	op, Bot	tom, Na	me]				
23.						CAS	ING REC	ORI	D (Re	n01	rt all str	ring	s set in w	ell)					
CASING SIZ	ZE	W	EIGHT I	LB./F			DEPTH SET				E SIZE	3111	CEMENTIN		CORD		AM	OUNT I	PULLED
24.						LINI	ER RECORD		1			25.			NG RE				
SIZE	TOP			BOT	ТОМ		SACKS CEMI	ENT	SCRE	EN		SIZ	Œ	DI	EPTH S	ET		PACKE	ER SET
26. Perforation	record (i	nterval,	size, and	l num	iber)		•						ACTURE, CE						
									DEPT	H IN	ITERVAL		AMOUNT A	ND K	KIND M	IATE	ERIAL	USED	
28.			1						ODU										
Date First Produc	tion		Pro	ductio	on Meth	od (Flo	wing, gas lift, pi	umpin	g - Size d	and i	type pump))	Well Status	(Proc	d. or Shi	ut-in))		
Date of Test	Hours	s Tested	i	Chok	ke Size		Prod'n For		Oil - B	Bbl		Gas	s - MCF	W	ater - Bl	bl.		Gas - O	il Ratio
							Test Period												
Flow Tubing Press.	Casin	g Pressi	ure		ulated 2 r Rate	24-	Oil - Bbl.		Ga	as - N	MCF		Water - Bbl.		Oil G	iravit	ty - AP	I - (Corr	·.)
29. Disposition of	Gas (So	ld, used	l for fuel,	vente	ed, etc.)									30. T	Test Wit	nesse	ed By		
31. List Attachme	nts																		
32. If a temporary PLATE 1 ATTAC	pit was	used at	the well,	attacl	h a plat	with the	e location of the	tempo	orary pit.										
33. If an on-site b	urial was	used at	t the well	l, repo	ort the e	xact loc													
I hereby certif	y that t	he info	ormatio	on sh	own o	n both			1 32.1968 1 is true		ıd compl	ete	Longitu to the best o	de V f mv	W 103.6 knowl	0178 ledge	3° e and		AD 1927 1983
Signature			Pope			P	rinted		N POP		Title	PΙ	ROJECT GË GENT FOR	OLC	OGIST	,		a say	Date
E-mail Addres				<u>on</u> su	ılt.com	1													8/11/2015





Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results

After the rig was released and the well was completed, Micro-Blaze® microbial product was applied to the cuttings in October 2014 in anticipation of elevated hydrocarbons. On December 3, 2014, a 5-point composite sample was collected from the clean soil of the berms beneath the liner (mixing dirt) and 4-point composite samples were collected from the contents of the outer and inner cells of the temporary pit on December 4. The samples were submitted to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque for BTEX (8260B), GRO+DRO (8015D), TPH (8015D), and Chloride (SM4500) analyses. These component



samples were used to determine a calculated concentration for the "3:1 stabilized cuttings" by mathematically combining 1 part pit contents and 3 parts clean soil (mixing dirt). The weighted pit composite calculation consisted of 21% solids from the inner cell of the drilling pit and 79% of the solids from the outer cell, representative of the volume of cuttings in each cell. These samples did not meet Table II concentrations for in-place closure and the pit was treated with additional Micro-Blaze®.

On January 29, 2015, composite samples from the inner and outer cells were collected again. This time, as shown in the table below, laboratory analyses of the component samples and the calculation of the "3:1 Stabilized Cuttings" concentration "demonstrate that, after the waste is solidified or stabilized with soil or other non-waste material at a ratio of no more than 3:1 soil or other non-waste material to waste, the concentration of any contaminant in the stabilized waste is not higher than the parameters listed in Table II of 19.15.17.13 NMAC."

Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit Sample Name	Sample Type	Sample Date	Chloride 80,000	Benzene 10	BTEX 50	GRO+ DRO 1,000	TPH 8015D 2,500
Inner Composite	4-pt field comp.	1/29/2015	170,000	ND	ND	67.2	122.2
Outer Composite	4-pt field comp.	1/29/2015	8,100	0.25	5.2	2,683	3,623
Mixing Dirt	5-pt field comp.	12/3/2014	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3:1 Stabilized CA (3 parts mixing dirt, 1 part v		gs)	10,455.94	0.05	1.03	534.53	723.45

TPH 8015D = GRO+DRO+MRO

ND = Not detected at the laboratory's reporting limit

All values are mg/kg

The formula used in the table to calculate the 3:1 Stabilized Cuttings is:

3:1 Stabilized Cuttings = $\frac{[(Outer\ Composite*0.7917) + (0.2083*Inner\ Composite) + (Mixing\ Dirt*3)]}{[(Outer\ Composite*0.7917) + (0.2083*Inner\ Composite*)]}$

4



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

January 02, 2015

Kristin Pope

R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD 901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW Suite F-142

Albuquerque, NM 87104 TEL: (575) 302-6755 FAX (505) 266-0745

RE: Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit OrderNo.: 1412B10

Dear Kristin Pope:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 12/9/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

andel

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical ReportLab Order **1412B10**

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 1/2/2015

CLIENT:R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTDClient Sample ID: Mixing Dirt Comp.Project:Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pitCollection Date: 12/3/2014 3:07:00 PMLab ID:1412B10-001Matrix: SOILReceived Date: 12/9/2014 9:45:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS					Analy	st: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/30/2014 4:35:06 P	M 16977
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/30/2014 4:35:06 P	M 16977
Surr: DNOP	75.1	63.5-128	Н	%REC	1	12/30/2014 4:35:06 P	M 16977
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	GE					Analy	st: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
Surr: BFB	96.6	80-120	Н	%REC	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analy	st: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.050	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
Toluene	ND	0.050	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.099	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	80-120	Н	%REC	1	12/29/2014 12:28:51	PM 16995
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analy	st: Igp
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	12/29/2014 11:23:21	AM 17012
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analy	st: WL
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	Н	mg/Kg	1	12/30/2014 12:00:00	PM 16976

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.

Page 1 of 6

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1412B10**

02-Jan-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17012 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: **17012** RunNo: **23405**

Prep Date: 12/29/2014 Analysis Date: 12/29/2014 SeqNo: 691517 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Chloride ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-17012 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17012 RunNo: 23405

Prep Date: 12/29/2014 Analysis Date: 12/29/2014 SeqNo: 691518 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Chloride 14 1.5 15.00 0 93.6 90 110

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1412B10**

02-Jan-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-16976 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 16976 RunNo: 23342

Prep Date: 12/23/2014 Analysis Date: 12/24/2014 SeqNo: 689793 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR ND 20

Sample ID LCS-16976 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 16976 RunNo: 23342

Prep Date: 12/23/2014 Analysis Date: 12/24/2014 SeqNo: 689952 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 110 20 100.0 0 107 80 120

Sample ID LCSD-16976 SampType: LCSD TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS02 Batch ID: 16976 RunNo: 23342

Prep Date: 12/23/2014 Analysis Date: 12/24/2014 SeqNo: 689953 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 110 20 100.0 0 113 80 120 5.49 20

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Batch ID: 16977

Analysis Date: 12/24/2014

Result

8.0

4.1

WO#: **1412B10**

02-Jan-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Client ID: LCSS

Analyte

Surr: DNOP

Surr: DNOP

Prep Date: 12/23/2014

Project: Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-16977	SampT	уре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Diese	el Range (Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 16	977	R	RunNo: 2	3339				
Prep Date: 12/23/2014	Analysis D	Date: 12	2/24/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	89714	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	6.6		10.00		65.9	63.5	128			
Sample ID LCS-16977	SampT	ype: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						

RunNo: 23339

SeqNo: 689827

79.9

81.8

LowLimit

63.5

63.5

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

128

128

%RPD

RPDLimit

Qual

Analyte	Result	PQL S	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	39	10	50.00	0	78.2	67.8	130			
Surr: DNOP	3.6		5.000		72.6	63.5	128			
Sample ID MB-17041	SampTy	ype: MBL	_K	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range C	Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 170 4	41	R	tunNo: 2	3412				
Prep Date: 12/31/2014	Analysis Da	ate: 12/ 3	31/2014	S	eaNo: 6	92482	Units: %RE	С		

Sample ID LCS-17041	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 17041	RunNo: 23412
Prep Date: 12/31/2014	Analysis Date: 12/31/2014	SeqNo: 692503 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SI	PK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

10.00

5.000

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1412B10**

02-Jan-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-16995 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 16995 RunNo: 23399

Prep Date: 12/24/2014 Analysis Date: 12/29/2014 SeqNo: 691302 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5.0

Surr: BFB 970 1000 97.3 80 120

Sample ID LCS-16995 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 16995 RunNo: 23399

Prep Date: 12/24/2014 Analysis Date: 12/29/2014 SeqNo: 691304 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)
 22
 5.0
 25.00
 0
 89.4
 65.8
 139

 Surr: BFB
 1000
 1000
 105
 80
 120

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1412B10**

02-Jan-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison - Bettis 20 St. Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-16995 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles **PBS** Client ID: Batch ID: 16995 RunNo: 23399 Prep Date: 12/24/2014 Analysis Date: 12/29/2014 SeqNo: 691332 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Benzene ND 0.050 Toluene ND 0.050 Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 Xylenes, Total ND 0.10 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.1 1.000 106 80 120

Sample ID LCS-16995	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: 16	995	R	RunNo: 2	3399				
Prep Date: 12/24/2014	Analysis D	oate: 12	2/29/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	91333	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.94	0.050	1.000	0	93.7	80	120			
Toluene	0.93	0.050	1.000	0	92.9	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.95	0.050	1.000	0	94.5	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.7	0.10	3.000	0	91.4	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		111	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Sample Log-In Check List

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Client Name:	RT HICKS	Work Order Number:	1412B	10		RcptNo: 1	
Received by/da	te:	5 120914					
Logged By:	Celina Sessa	12/9/2014 9:45:00 AM			Celin So Celin So	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	į
Completed By:	Celina Sessa	12/24/2014 9:41:02 _, AM			Celin S	·	
Reviewed By:		12/24/14					
Chain of Cus	stody					_	
	als intact on san	nple bottles?	Yes		No 🗆	Not Present 🗹	
2. Is Chain of	Custody comple	te?	Yes	✓	No 🗆	Not Present 🗔	
3. How was th	ne sample delive	red?	Cour	<u>er</u>			
<u>Log In</u>						_	
4. Was an att	tempt made to c	ool the samples?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗆	na 🗆	
5. Were all sa	amples received	at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes	✓	No 🗌	NA \square	
6. Sample(s)	in proper contai	ner(s)?	Yes	~	No 🗌		
7 Sufficient s	sample volume fo	or indicated test(s)?	Yes	✓	No 🗆		
		and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes	y	No 🗆		
	rvative added to		Yes		No 🗹	NA 🗆	
10 VOA vials	have zero heads	space?	Yes		No 🗆	No VOA Vials 🗹	
		ers received broken?	Yes		No 🗹	# of preserved	
	•			_		bottles checked	
	erwork match bot		Yes	\	No 🗀	for pH: (<2 or	r >12 unless noted)
•	repancies on cha es correctly iden	itified on Chain of Custody?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗆	Adjusted?	_
	what analyses w		Yes	\checkmark	No 🗆		
15.Were all h	olding times able	e to be met?	Yes	✓	No 🗌	Checked by:	
(If no, noti	fy customer for a	authorization.)					
Onnaial Har	adlina lif ann	vlicable)					
	ndling (if app	iscrepancies with this order?	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗹	
		And the second state of th					
	son Notified:	Date: Via:	eM	iail □	Phone Fax	☐ In Person	
	Nhom:	via.		(a)			
ļ.	parding: ent Instructions:		- ::-		and the second section of the second	1	
17. Additiona		and the second s			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_
18. <u>Cooler II</u> Coole 1	nformation r No │ Temp ºC 5.2	Condition Seal Intact Seal No Good Yes	Seal I	Date	Signed By		

Mailing Address: 90 Phone #: (50 email or Fax#: R@ QA/QC Package: X Standard Accreditation: D NELAP D SDD (Type) Date Time M	901 Rio Grande Albuquerque, N (505) 266-5004 R@rthickscons									100000000000000000000000000000000000000				
ess:	buquer 05) 286 Ørthick				Murchison -			WWW	www.hallenvironmental.com	INCIDE	nental	moo.		
.: 93 e.: 196 e.:	buquer 05) 266 Ørthick	SOT KIO GRANDE BIVD NAV	14	30 St. Co	Com #5H put	45	4901 Hawkins NE -	vkins h		Albuquerque, NM 87109	erque,	NW 8	7109	
#: age:	05) 286 Ørthick	Albuquerque, NM 87104	Project #:			-	Tel. 505-345-3975	345-3	975	Fax	505-345-4107	45-410	20	
# 3ge:	@rthick	5-5004				†			Ana	Analysis Request	Reque	st		
age: De)		R@rthicksconsult.com	Project Manager:	ger				Ü.		(PO				
- e		ull Validation)		Kristin Pope						s,,09,	S PCB's			
SDD (Type) Date Time		53	Sampler.	Kristin Pope	nike Stutterield	-	5) 8	ti	(1	NOS	2808			(V
EDD (Type) Date Time	□ Other		On Ice:	X Yes	□ No		910	010		-	/ S	(A(10
Time			Sample Temperature:		5.2°C		08 b	t DO	_	\rightarrow	_			V)
	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservativ c Type	HEAL NO.	8TEX + MT	ortieM H9T	TPH (Metho	AN9) 01:88	RCRA 8 Me Anions (氏区	oitseq 1808	OV) 80828 im92) 0728	100 dea	Air Bubbles
3/14 3:02 50	1105	Mixing Diet Como.	/ glass	ice	100-	×	X	×		\times				
												-		1
								-	1		+	+		
						-	1	-		-	1	+		-
										-				1
												-		
Date: Time: Rel	Refinquished by:	Miks Stubblefied	Received by:	Pro.	Date Time	Remarks:		imail n	esults	R K	nistin@	rthick	Email results to R, kristin@rthicksconsult.com	
Time:	Reinquished by:		Received by:	Smy	Dett Time									



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

February 04, 2015

Kristin Pope R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD 901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW Suite F-142

Albuquerque, NM 87104 TEL: (575) 302-6755 FAX (505) 266-0745

RE: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit OrderNo.: 1501A76

Dear Kristin Pope:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 1/30/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

andel

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical ReportLab Order **1501A76**

Date Reported: 2/4/2015

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD
Client Sample ID: Outer Composite

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit
Collection Date: 1/29/2015 2:00:00 PM

Lab ID: 1501A76-001
Matrix: SOIL
Received Date: 1/30/2015 11:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS					Analys	t: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	2600	100		mg/Kg	10	2/2/2015 9:00:23 PM	17490
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	940	500		mg/Kg	10	2/2/2015 9:00:23 PM	17490
Surr: DNOP	0	63.5-128	S	%REC	10	2/2/2015 9:00:23 PM	17490
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE					Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	83	5.0		mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
Surr: BFB	288	80-120	S	%REC	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analys	t: NSB
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.099		mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
Benzene	0.25	0.050		mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
Toluene	1.1	0.050		mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
Ethylbenzene	0.65	0.050		mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.099		mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	140	80-120	S	%REC	1	2/2/2015 3:33:01 PM	17479
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analys	t: Igp
Chloride	8100	750		mg/Kg	500	2/3/2015 12:03:42 PM	17532

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 5

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A76**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17532 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: **17532** RunNo: **24080**

Prep Date: 2/3/2015 Analysis Date: 2/3/2015 SeqNo: 709800 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Chloride ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-17532 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17532 RunNo: 24080

Prep Date: 2/3/2015 Analysis Date: 2/3/2015 SeqNo: 709801 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Chloride 14 1.5 15.00 0 92.5 90 110

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A76**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17503 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: 17503 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: 2/2/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708561 Units: %REC Analyte Result SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

 Surr: DNOP
 7.8
 10.00
 77.7
 63.5
 128

Sample ID LCS-17503 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17503 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: 2/2/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708584 Units: %REC SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC %RPD **RPDLimit** Analyte Result LowLimit HighLimit Qual Surr: DNOP 4.5 5.000 90.2 63.5 128

Sample ID MB-17490 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: 17490 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 709142 Units: mg/Kg 1/30/2015 Result **PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 10 ND Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 50 Surr: DNOP 7.5 10.00 75.2 63.5 128

Sample ID LCS-17490 TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics SampType: LCS Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17490 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 709143 Units: mg/Kg Result SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 63 10 50.00 0 126 67.8 130 Surr: DNOP 5.9 5.000 63.5 128 118

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A76**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17479 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 17479 RunNo: 24052

Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708902 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5.0

Surr: BFB 970 1000 97.0 80 120

Sample ID LCS-17479 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17479 RunNo: 24052

1000

Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708903 Units: mg/Kg

1000

Analyte Result **PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 27 5.0 25.00 107 65.8 139

105

80

120

Qualifiers:

Surr: BFB

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A76**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17479 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles PBS Client ID: Batch ID: 17479 RunNo: 24052 SeqNo: 708914 Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) ND 0.10 Benzene ND 0.050 Toluene ND 0.050 Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 Xylenes, Total ND 0.10 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.1 1.000 111 80 120

Sample ID LCS-17479 Client ID: LCSS	·	ype: LC			tCode: E		8021B: Vola	tiles		
Prep Date: 1/30/2015	Analysis D	Date: 2/	2/2015	8	SeqNo: 7	08915	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	1.3	0.10	1.000	0	130	80	120			S
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	80	120			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	108	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		120	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 5



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: RT HICKS	Work Order Numb	er: 1501A76		RcptNo: 1	
Received by/date: (5	013015				
Logged By: Celina Sessa	1/30/2015 11:20:00	AM	alin S	men	
Completed By Celina Sessa	1/30/2015 11:37:55	AM	Celin S	d.	
Reviewed By: ACX (15	01/30/15		and ,		
Chain of Custody	01 00 13				
1 Custody seals intact on sample bottle	19	Yes 🗌	Na 🗌	Not Present 🗹	
2 Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗸	No.	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?		Client			
Log In					
4. Was an attempt made to cool the sar	nples?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	na \square	
5. Were all samples received at a temper	rature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸	No 🗍	NA 🗔	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗸	No 🗆		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated	test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG)	properly preserved?	Yes V	No 🗆		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗸	NA L	
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗌	No 🗆	No VOA Vials 🗹	
11. Were any sample containers received	I broken?	Yes	No V	# of preserved	
No. Commercial Commerc		F70		bottles checked	
12. Does paperwork malch bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custo	dv)	Yes 🗸	No 🗔	for pH. (<2 or	>12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Ch	. 5.7	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Adjusted?	7. 2.22
14. Is it clear what analyses were request	ed?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆		
 Were all holding times able to be met (If no, notify customer for authorization) 		Yes 🗸	No 🗔	Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)					
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies	with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	NA V	
Person Notified:	Date				
By Whom:	Via:	eMail	Phone Fax	☐ In Person	
Regarding:					
Client Instructions:					
17. Additional remarks					
18. Cooler Information					
Cooler No Temp °C Condition	Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By		
1 5.7 Good	Not Present			l	

Client: R. T. Hi	R. T. Hicks Consultants	: R. T. Hicks Consultants	X Change	40.0		1		È						HALL ENVIRONMENTAL	1
			Project Name		Murchison -	1		4	3	YS.	S	4	Ö i	ANALYSIS LABORATOR	K
Mailing Address:	1	901 Rio Grande Blvd NW		Bettis 20 St	Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit	4	4901 Hawkins NE	awkin	NE NE	ns NE - Albuquerque, NM	Albuqueraue, NM 87109	lie N	M 87	109	
	Albuque	Albuquerque, NM 87104	Project #:				Tel. 505-345-3975	5-345			× 50	505-345-4107	410		
Phone #:	(505) 266-5004	56-5004					18		٥	Analysis Request	s Rec	quest			
email or Fax#:	R@rthic	R@rthicksconsult.com	Project Manager:	iger.		_	(jəs	0	L		170				
QA/QC Package:		ull Validation)		Kristin Pope	4		said\zs	dW+)3 Va	20 577-1			8/	
Accreditation:			Sampler:	Kristin Pope						OIN				du	
NELAP	□ Other		On Ice:	X Yes	ON [(A	109	4
☐ EDD (Type)			Sample Tem		Joh S		_				_	(O٨		^ ^
Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID		Preservativ e Type	HEAL NO.	3TM + X∃T8 3TM + X∃T8	TPH Method	odieM) H9T	EDB (Metho	RCRA 8 Met	8081 Pesticio	AOV) 80828	/-imə8) 0728	6001	Air Bubbles (
1729/15 1900	Soil	Outer Composits	1 glass	ice	100-	1	×			-	-			×	
Time.	Relinquished by	Pape	Received by.	MILITA	Date Time	Remarks:		iii iii iii iii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii	results	to to the second of the second	Orthic	Scon	lsuit.	Email results to R@rthicksconsult.com, kristin@	
2012 1120	X	1120 Karloll 14 Clevin Sum 0/20/15 1120	alin	Sum	01/20/15 1120										



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

February 04, 2015

Kristin Pope R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD 901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW Suite F-142

Albuquerque, NM 87104 TEL: (575) 302-6755 FAX (505) 266-0745

RE: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit OrderNo.: 1501A75

Dear Kristin Pope:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 1/30/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

andel

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order **1501A75**

Date Reported: 2/4/2015

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Client Sample ID: Inner Composite

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit **Collection Date:** 1/29/2015 2:10:00 PM

Lab ID: 1501A75-001 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 1/30/2015 11:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analys	t: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	61	10	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 8:07:50 PM	17490
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	55	50	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 8:07:50 PM	17490
Surr: DNOP	73.5	63.5-128	%REC	1	2/2/2015 8:07:50 PM	17490
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	6.2	5.0	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
Surr: BFB	115	80-120	%REC	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: NSB
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.099	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
Benzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
Toluene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.099	mg/Kg	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	115	80-120	%REC	1	2/2/2015 3:04:23 PM	17479
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: Igp
Chloride	170000	7500	mg/Kg	5E	2/3/2015 7:30:24 PM	17532

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.

Page 1 of 5

- RL
- Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A75**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17532 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: **17532** RunNo: **24080**

Prep Date: 2/3/2015 Analysis Date: 2/3/2015 SeqNo: 709800 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Chloride ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-17532 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17532 RunNo: 24080

Prep Date: 2/3/2015 Analysis Date: 2/3/2015 SeqNo: 709801 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Chloride 14 1.5 15.00 0 92.5 90 110

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A75**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17503 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: 17503 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: 2/2/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708561 Units: %REC Analyte Result SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Surr: DNOP 7.8 10.00 63.5 77.7 128

Sample ID LCS-17503 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17503 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: 2/2/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708584 Units: %REC SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC %RPD **RPDLimit** Analyte Result LowLimit HighLimit Qual Surr: DNOP 4.5 5.000 90.2 63.5 128

Sample ID MB-17490 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: 17490 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 709142 Units: mg/Kg 1/30/2015 Result **PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 10 ND Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 50 Surr: DNOP 7.5 10.00 75.2 63.5 128

Sample ID LCS-17490 TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics SampType: LCS Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17490 RunNo: 24025 Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 709143 Units: mg/Kg Result SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 63 10 50.00 0 126 67.8 130 Surr: DNOP 5.9 5.000 63.5 118 128

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A75**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17479 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 17479 RunNo: 24052

Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708902 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5.0

Surr: BFB 970 1000 97.0 80 120

Sample ID LCS-17479 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 17479 RunNo: 24052

Prep Date: 1/30/2015 Analysis Date: 2/2/2015 SeqNo: 708903 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)
 27
 5.0
 25.00
 0
 107
 65.8
 139

 Surr: BFB
 1000
 1000
 105
 80
 120

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1501A75**

04-Feb-15

Client: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison-Bettis 20 St Com #5H pit

Sample ID MB-17479	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El					
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 17	479	R	tunNo: 2	4052				
Prep Date: 1/30/2015	Analysis D	ate: 2/	2/2015	SeqNo: 708914			Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.10								
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		111	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-17479	SampT	Гуре: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: 17	479	F	RunNo: 2	4052				
Prep Date: 1/30/2015	Analysis D	Date: 2/	2/2015	S	SeqNo: 7	708915 Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	1.3	0.10	1.000	0	130	80	120			S
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	80	120			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	108	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		120	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 5



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NIA 87104 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website, www.halienvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

RcptNo: 1 RT HICKS Work Order Number: 1501A75 Client Name: 01/30/15 Received by/date: Celin Som Logged By: Cellna Sessa 1/30/2015 11:20:00 AM Celin Sine 1/30/2015 11:34:04 AM Completed By: Celina Sessa 01/30/15 Reviewed By Chain of Custod Not Present No _ 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? No. Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Client Log In NA . No 🗌 Yes V 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? NA | No . 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0" C to 6.0°C Yes 🗸 No \square Sample(s) in proper container(s)? No Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? No. 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes. No V NA . 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No VOA Vials Yes | No _ 10. VOA visis have zero headspace? No V Yes 11 Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved bottles checked for pH: No 🗆 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Adjusted? No 13 Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? No | 14, is it clear what analyses were requested? Checked by: No | 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) Yes No L NA V 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: Date By Whom: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seel Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By 5.7 Good Not Present

Client: R. T. Hicks Consultants N Standard	4901 Hawki	Phone #: (505) 266-5004	sel)	III Validation) Kristin Pope s (688 0	Sampler: Kristin Pope MB PH G	4 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	ype) Sample Temperature: 5.7°C	Matrix Sample Request ID Type and #	×		Date: Time Reimquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: Email restricted by: Associated by: A
ANALYSIS LABORATOR	ns NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109	ınal		bc8.a	RCRA 8 Metals Anions (F,Q)VO3,VO2, 8081 Pesticides / 8082 8260B (VOA) 8270 (Semi-VOA)				×		Email results to R@rthicksconsult.com, kristin@
, ≿					(1	<i>N</i> 10) (), ()	Rir Bubbles		F	



SOIL BACKFILLING & COVER INSTALLATION

In accordance with the requirements listed in paragraph D of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, the operator employed the following steps for in-place burial of the waste material from the temporary pit:

- 1. Siting criteria and operations of the pit complied with the C-144 application and the Pit Rule under which it was submitted to the NMOCD on March 14, 2014 and approved on June 6, 2014. After the rig was released on August 21, 2014, fluid contents in the pit were removed to be recycled for the drilling of other wells while the cuttings were allowed to dry.
- 2. Micro-Blaze® microbial product was applied to the cuttings in October and December 2014.
- 3. On January 29, 2015, prior to the initiation of closure activities, samples of the inner and outer cells were recovered from the pit. These were mixed in a ratio of 3 parts clean soil to 1 part cuttings and were analyzed for Chloride, TPH, GRO, DRO, MRO, Benzene, and BTEX at Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory of Albuquerque, New Mexico. The results, as noted in the subsequent closure notice and Attachment 3 of this report, demonstrated that the stabilized pit contents would not exceed the parameter limits listed in Table II of the Pit Rule.
- 4. A closure notice was submitted to the NMOCD, District 1 office in Hobbs and to the State Land Office on February 20, 2015. Verbal notice in the form of a phone call to NMOCD was placed on the same day.
- 5. On February 24, 2015, closure activities commenced and stabilization of the pit contents was achieved by mixing the pit contents with the dry soil beneath the liner of the pit and the some soil from the dividing berms. On May 6, 2015, a paint filter test was performed by R.T. Hicks Consultants that confirmed that the process was complete and that the stabilized cuttings were located at least 4 feet below grade.
- 6. Following the May 6, 2015 inspection, having achieved all applicable stabilization requirements associated with in-place burial, a geomembrane liner was installed to completely cover the stabilized cuttings on May 8, 2015. The pit contents and liner were shaped to shed infiltrating water.
- 7. Once the geomembrane cover was in place, approximately 4 feet or more of non-waste

containing, uncontaminated, earthen material and the reserved topsoil were replaced to their relative positions in accordance with Subsection (3) of Paragraph H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. The soil cover consists of at least four feet of compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material. The uppermost topsoil is equal to the background thickness at least one foot. The surface was contoured to blend with the surrounding topography and to prevent erosion and the ponding of water over the on-site closure. This work was completed on May 11, 2015.



Beginning closure mixing

2/24/2015



Paint filter test on stabilized cuttings 5/6/2015



Backfilling over geomembrane cover 5/8/2015



RE-VEGETATION PROCEDURES

There were no roads or surface drainage features nearby that required restoration or preservation.

- 1. On June 20, 2015, Storm Construction seeded the topsoil of the on-site burial area using a seed drill pulled by a tractor that prepared the seedbed in the same pass using discs. The seed furrows were oriented perpendicular to the prevailing western wind to minimize erosion.
- 2. Approximately 48 pounds of a seed mixture consisting of 50% BLM #2 seed blend and 50% Homesteader's Choice blend was applied to approximately 1 acre of disturbance in accordance with the supplier's instructions to the former temporary pit area. Species constituents of each blend are listed below and are appropriate for the soil type and conditions at this site.

BLM #2Homesteader's ChoiceSideoats GramaBlue GramaPlains BristlegrassBuffalograss


Sand Dropseed

Little Bluestem


Plains Bristlegrass
Sideoats Grama
Western Wheatgrass
Plains Coreopsis

Sand Dropseed

- 3. A steel plate marking the site as an in-place pit closure has been placed on the surface at the center of the former pit location in accordance with Subsection (3) of Paragraph F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
- 4. The seeded area will be monitored for growth and the operator will repeat seeding until a successful vegetative cover is achieved as outlined in Subsection (5) of Paragraph H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
- 5. If conditions are not favorable for the establishment of vegetation, such as periods of drought, the operator may request that the division allow a delay in additional seeding until soil moisture conditions become favorable. The operator will notify the division and provide photo-documentation when it successful re-vegetation is achieved.



Homesteader's Choice seed mix 6/20/2015



BLM #2 seed mix 6/20/2015



District I. 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 MAR 17 2014
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV District II District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 CEVED

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Please be advised that approval of this re-	native method se submit one application (Form C- quest does not relieve the operator of li	e tank, or proposed alternative permit/or registration for an existing permitted or r (144) per individual pit, below-g (ability should operations result in	non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
1.	the operator of its responsibility to con	ipry with any other applicable gov	erninental authority's tures, regulations of ordinances.
Operator: Murchison Oil &	Gas, Inc.	OGRID #:	15363
Address:1100 Mira Vista	Blvd., Plano, TX 75093-4698		
Facility or well name: Bettis 2			
API Number: 30-025	-41439 O	CD Permit Number: PI- O	6549
U/L or Qtr/QtrMSection			
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude Surface Owner: ☐ Federal ☒ State			"W NAD: □1927 🖾 1983
3.	Other	Volume: <u>23.712</u> bbl D	imensions: L <u>150</u> x W <u>170</u> x D <u>6-10 ft</u>
String-Reinforced Liner Seams:	Other	Volume: _23,712bbl D	vimensions: L <u>150</u> x W <u>170</u> x D <u>6-10 ft</u>
String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factor 3.	Other	Volume: _23,712bbl D	vimensions: L <u>150</u> x W <u>170</u> x D <u>6-10 ft</u>
String-Reinforced Liner Seams:	Other	Volume: _23,712bbl D	olimensions: L <u>150</u> x W <u>170</u> x D <u>6-10 ft</u>
String-Reinforced Liner Seams:	Other	Volume: 23.712 bbl D	rimensions: L 150 x W 170 x D 6-10 ft
String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factor Below-grade tank: Subsection Volume: bl Tank Construction material: Secondary containment with leak Visible sidewalls and liner Visible Sidewalls and liner	Other	Volume: _23,712_bbl D	rimensions: L 150 x W 170 x D 6-10 ft
String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factor Below-grade tank: Subsection Volume: bl Tank Construction material: Secondary containment with leak	Other	Volume: _23,712_bbl D	rimensions: L 150 x W 170 x D 6-10 ft
String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factor 3. Below-grade tank: Subsection Volume: bl Tank Construction material: Secondary containment with leak Visible sidewalls and liner V Liner type: Thickness 4. Alternative Method:	Other I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC of Type of fluid: detection Visible sidewalls, lin /isible sidewalls only Other mil HDPE PVC	Volume: _23.712_bbl D	rimensions: L 150 x W 170 x D 6-10 ft

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Note that the second consideration of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variances and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
	- 12
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells See Figures 1 & 2	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 5 - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ⊠ No.
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 7 - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Within an unstable area, (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 8 - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 9 - FEMA map	Yes No.
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid					
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). See Figure 3 - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site					
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image. See Figure 4					
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site See Figures 1 & 2	☐ Yes ☑ No				
Within 300 feet of a wetland. See Figure 6 - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No				
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit					
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No				
Within 500 feet-of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No				
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC					
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:					
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:					

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are			
### authorized and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Inspection Plan Operating and Inspection Plan				
B				
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.				
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fl Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit			
closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC				
15.				
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15,17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.				
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ NA			
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells □ Yes □ NA				
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA				
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☑ No			
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image				
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☑ No			
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☑ No			
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site				
Yes ⊠ No				

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality.	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map □ Yes ☑ No						
Within a 100-year floodplain. FEMA map						
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved) Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC						
17. Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and be	lief.					
Name (Print): Greg Boans Title: Production Superintende						
Signature:						
e-mail address:gboans@jdmii.com Telephone:(575) 361-4962						
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including elds a plan) Class Directly OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Environmental Specialist OCD Permit Number: P1 - 06549	06/14					
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date: May 11, 2015						
Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed- If different from approved plan, please explain.	loop systems only)					
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please it mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) n/a (State Land) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) n/a (on-site closure) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number n/a (on-site closure) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) to follow On-site Closure Location: Latitude N 32 19683° Longitude W 103 60178° NAD: 1192						

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure to belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements.	
Name (Print): Kristin Pope	Title: Agent for Murchison Oil and Gas, Inc.
Signature: Knistin Pope -	Date: August 11, 2015
e-mail address: kristin@rthicksconsult.com	Telephone: (575) 302-6755