Form 3160-5 (June 2015)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MACADIENT FIELD Office

SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS AND DO not use this form for proposals o drill to be and the proposals of the proposals o

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 1004-0137 Expires: January 31, 2018

5. Lease Serial No. NMNM0245247

-				
6	If Indian	Allottee	or Tribe	Name

abandoned wei	. Use form 3160-3 (API	D) for such p		S. O.	,	_
SUBMIT IN T	RIPLICATE - Other inst	tructions on	page 2 0 3	2012	7. If Unit or CA/Agree	ment, Name and/or No.
Type of Well	er		RECEIV		8. Well Name and No. EK 29 BS2 FEDER	
Name of Operator MCELVAIN ENERGY INC.	Contact: E-Mail: tony.coope	TONY G COor@mcelvain.co		-0	9. API Well No. 30-025-42700	
3a. Address 1050 17TH ST STE. 2500 DENVER, CO 80265			. (include area code) 3-0933 Ext: 331		10. Field and Pool or E EK BONE SPRII	xploratory Area NG
4. Location of Well (Footage, Sec., T.	, R., M., or Survey Description)	27		11. County or Parish, S	State
Sec 30 T18S R34E Mer NMP	175FSL 100FEL				LEA COUNTY C	COUNTY, NM
12. CHECK THE AP	PROPRIATE BOX(ES)	TO INDICA	TE NATURE OI	F NOTICE,	REPORT, OR OTH	ER DATA
TYPE OF SUBMISSION			TYPE OF	ACTION		
Notice of Intent	☐ Acidize	☐ Dee	pen	☐ Product	ion (Start/Resume)	☐ Water Shut-Off
☑ Notice of Intent	☐ Alter Casing	☐ Hyd	raulic Fracturing	☐ Reclam	ation	■ Well Integrity
☐ Subsequent Report	☐ Casing Repair	New	Construction	□ Recomp	olete	Other
☐ Final Abandonment Notice	☐ Change Plans	☐ Plug	g and Abandon	☐ Tempor	arily Abandon	
	☐ Convert to Injection	Plug	Back	☐ Water I	Disposal	
determined that the site is ready for fi McElvain would like to set a 8' attached image for placement out of the heat.	x 30' temporary trailer at on well pad). We need t	o give our fiel	d personnel som	ewhere to g		
1 0 0		see at	tached (20/1/3		
tporoved. CCK. (9/11/2017.5	See COA	3 for DO	I-BLM	-NN-P000-2	105-962-EA.
14. I hereby certify that the foregoing is	Electronic Submission # For MCEL	VAIN ENERGY	INC., sent to the	Hobbs	,	
Name (Printed/Typed) CHRIS CA	DEBORAH MCKINNEY on 07/11/2017 () Title VP DRILLING					
			7, 2,			
Signature (Electronic S	ubmission)		Date 07/07/20	017		
	THIS SPACE FO	OR FEDERA	L OR STATE	OFFICE U	SE	
Approved By Conditions of approval, if any, are attached certify that the applicant holds legal or equivalent would entitle the applicant to conduct the second of the se	itable title to those rights in the ct operations thereon. J.S.C. Section 1212, make it a	e subject lease		willfully to m	Canal Jillin	Date 1911/17
States any false, fictitious or fraudulent s	tatements or representations as	to any matter w	ithin its jurisdiction.	-		

(Instructions on page 2)



NEPA Log No:

IT4RM-P020-2017-0818-DNA

Reference Number:

Colleen Cepero Rios

Recd Date:

Project Lead:

07-12-2017

Project Type: Project Title:

EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H

Applicant:

McElvain Energy Inc.

Routing Started: 07-12-2017

NEPA Checklist

Resource/Activity	Not Present	Not Impacted	**May be Impacted	Reviewer CO Reg	As/Stips	Sign Off Date
Wastes, Hazardous or Solid	•	0	0	Colleen Cepero Rios		09-12-2017
Public Health and Safety			<u> </u>			09-12-2017
Enivronmental Justice		0	•			
General Topography/Surface Geology	y 🔘	0	•	Colleen Cepero Rios	46.64	07-12-2017
Socio Economics •	0					
Lands/Realty, ROW	0	•	\bigcirc	Colleen Cepero Rios		07.10.2017
Access/Transportation		•			Ast.	07-12-2017
Vegetation/Forestry	Ö	•	0			
Livestock Grazing	0	•	0	Susan Britt	in the contract of	07-26-2017
Invasive, Non-Native Species	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Soils	\circ	•	0	Chalain D		00.00.00.00
Air Quality	0			Chelsie Dugan	and a	08-29-2017
Floodplains	®	0	0			
Water Quality Surface/Ground	\circ	\bigcirc	®	Chelsie Dugan	and the	08-29-2017
Watershed		0	<u> </u>			
Mineral Materials	<u> </u>	0	0	Colleen Cepero Rios	date	08-16-2017
Potash	•	0	0	James Rutley	da	07-10
Endangered Species	. (1)	0	9			
Wetlands/Riparian Zones	(a)	0	\bigcirc	Cassandra Brooks		07.40.0047
Special Status Species	0	0	(iii)	Cassandra Brooks	a.	07-12-2017
Wildlife Habitat		0				
Karst Resources	0	•	0	Colleen Cepero Rios	Notes	08-16-2017
ACECs		9	0	Cassandra Brooks	200	07-12-2017
Wild/Scenic Rivers	®	0	0			
Wilderness		0	0	Callean Carrara Pi		00.40.004=
Outdoor Recreation	\circ	0	(B)	Colleen Cepero Rios	475	08-16-2017
Visual Resources	0	0	®			
Native American Religious Concerns	• Unknown					
Cultural Resources	(ii)	0	\bigcirc	Stephanie Bergman	332	09-11-2017
Paleontology	Un	known			and the same of	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Pecos District Carlsbad Field Office 620 E Greene Street Carlsbad, NM 88220

DECISION RECORD

for the

EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H

NEPA No. DOI-BLM-NM- P020-2017-0392-DNA

I. Decision

I have decided to select the proposed action for implementation as described in the 7/12/2017, EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H DOI-BLM-NM-P020-2017-0392-DNA. Based on my review of the Environmental Assessment (EA) and project record, I have concluded that the proposed action was analyzed in sufficient detail to allow me to make an informed decision. I have selected this alternative because the proposed treatments will provide reasonable access to oil and gas development.

II. Finding of No Significant Impact

I have reviewed the direct, indirect and cumulative effects of the proposed activities documented in the EA for the DOI-BLM-NM-P020-2017-0392-DNA. I have also reviewed the project record for this analysis. The effects of the proposed action are disclosed in the Environmental Consequences sections of the EA. I have determined that the proposed action as described in the EA will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, I have determined that the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not necessary.

III. Other Alternatives Considered

No reasonable action alternative was substantially different in design or effects from the proposed action for this project. Therefore no other alternative was considered or analyzed.

Other action alternatives were substantially similar in design and had sustainably similar effects to the proposed action alternative analyzed in the EA. Therefore no other alternative was considered or analyzed.

IV. Public Involvement

The Carlsbad Field Office (CFO) publishes a NEPA log for public inspection. This log contains a list of proposed and approved actions in the field office. The log is located in the lobby of the CFO as well as on the BLM New Mexico website (https://eplanning.blm.gov/epl-front-office/eplanning/nepa/nepa register.do).

V. Appeals

This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals (IBLA), Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4. Any appeal must be filed within 30 days of this decision. Any notice of appeal must be filed with the, Carlsbad Field Manager, at 620 E. Greene St., Carlsbad, NM 88220. The appellant shall serve a copy of the notice of appeal and any statement of reasons, written arguments, or briefs on each adverse party named in the decision, not later than 15 days after filing such document (see 43 CFR 4.413(a)). Failure to serve within the time required will subject the appeal to summary dismissal (see 43 CFR 4.413(b)). If a statement of reasons for the appeal is not included with the notice, it must be filed with the IBLA, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U. S. Department of the Interior, 801 North Quincy St., Suite 300, Arlington, VA 22203 within 30 days after the notice of

appeal is filed with the IBLA, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U.S. Department of the Interior, 801 North Quincy St., Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22203 within 30 days after the notice of appeal is filed with the Carlsbad Field Manger.

Notwithstanding the provisions of 43 CFR 4.21(a)(1), filing a notice of appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 does not automatically suspend the effect of the decision. If you wish to file a petition for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by the Board, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal.

A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

In the event a request for stay or an appeal is filed, the person/party requesting the stay or filing the appeal must serve a copy of the appeal on the Office of the Field Solicitor, 1100 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, NM 87505.

Carlsbad Field Manager

Date

Worksheet Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA) U.S. Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

OFFICE:	Carlsbad Field Office
TRACKING NUMBER:	DOI-BLM-NM-P020-2017-0392-DNA
CASEFILE/PROJECT NUMBER:	NMNM0245247
PROPOSED ACTION TITLE/TYPE:	EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H Temporary Trailer
LOCATION/LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	Sec 30 T18S R34E
APPLICANT:	McElvain Energy Inc.

A. Description of the Proposed Action

McElvain Energy Inc. (McElvain) is proposing through sundry notice to set a temporary trailer. The trailer would be set up on an existing approved well pad for the EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H. The size of the trailer is 8' x 30' and it would be located on the southwest side of the location. The trailer is necessary for field personnel.

The project location is as follows:

EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H Section 30, T18S, R34E, Mer NMP, 175 FSL, 100 FEL

Figure 1 Proposed location for temporary trailer



Mitigation Measures: The previously-approved Pecos District Conditions of Approval would apply to this proposed project.

B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance

LUP Name*	Carlsbad Resource	Date Approved	September of 1988
	Management Plan (RMP)		
Other document	Carlsbad Approved	Date Approved	October 1997
	Resource Management Plan		
	Amendment (RMPA) and		
	Record of Decision		
Other document	Special Status Species	Date Approved	April 2008
	Record of Decision and		
	Approved Resource		
	Management Plan		
	Amendment		

* List applicable LUPs (for example, resource management plans; activity, project, management, or program plans; or applicable amendments thereto)

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decisions:

October 1997 Carlsbad Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment and Record of Decision, p. 4 which states:

Provide for the leasing, exploration and development of oil and gas resources within the Carlsbad Resource Area. Approximately 3,907,700 acres (95% of the oil and gas mineral estate) will be open to leasing and development under the BLM's standard terms and conditions, the Surface Use and Occupancy Requirements, the Roswell District Conditions of Approval, and the Practices for Oil and Gas Drilling and Operations in Cave and Karst Areas.

The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decisions (objectives, terms, and conditions):

Not Applicable

C. Identify the applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document(s) and other related documents that cover the proposed action.

This proposed action is covered by the original Environmental Assessment for EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H, **DOI-BLM-NM-P020-2015-962-EA**.

The project falls within the area covered by the Permian Basin Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). The Permian Basin MOA is an optional method of compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for energy related projects in a 28 quadrangle area of the Carlsbad Field Office. The MOA is a form of off-site mitigation which allows industry to design projects to avoid known NRHP eligible cultural resources and to contribute to a mitigation fund in lieu of paying for additional archaeological inventory in this area that has received adequate previous survey. Funds received from the Permian Basin MOA will be utilized to conduct archaeological research and outreach in Southeastern New Mexico. Research will include archaeological excavation of significant sites, predictive modeling, targeted research activities, as well as professional and public presentations on the results of the investigations.

The proponent chose to participate in the Permian Basin MOA by planning to avoid all known NRHP eligible and potentially eligible cultural resources. The proponent has contributed funds commensurate to the undertaking into an account for offsite mitigation. Participation in the MOA serves as mitigation for the effects of this project on cultural resources. If any skeletal remains that might be human or funerary objects are discovered by any activities, the project proponent will cease activities in the area of discovery and notify the BLM within 24 hours as required by the Permian Basin MOA.

D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

- 1. Is the new proposed action a feature of, or essentially similar to, an alternative analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Is the project within the same analysis area, or if the project location is different, are the geographic and resource conditions sufficiently similar to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? If there are differences, can you explain why they are not substantial?
- YES. The proposed action has similar impacts as the originally approved action that was analyzed in the existing NEPA document (Environmental Assessment) that considered the analysis area. Given that this proposal falls within the same area, and in adjacent areas with similar resource concerns, this action is essentially similar to what was analyzed in the original document.
- 2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, resource values, and circumstances?
- *YES*. The proposed action is consistent with the alternatives analyzed in the original Environmental Assessment. The current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values are still the same. There is nothing in the proposed action that would necessitate a change in the alternatives.
- 3. Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances (such as rangeland health standard assessment, recent endangered species listings, or updated lists of BLM-sensitive species)? Can you reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action?
- YES. The existing analysis is still valid in light of any new information or circumstances, because the analysis is the same and no changes have been made to health standard assessments, the endangered species listings or sensitive species listed by the BLM. I can reasonably conclude that new information and new circumstances would not substantially change the analysis of the new proposed action at this time.
- 4. Are the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects that would result from implementation of the new proposed action similar (both quantitatively and qualitatively) to those analyzed in the existing NEPA document?
- YES. The direct, indirect and cumulative effects that would result from implementation or the new proposed action; both quantitatively and qualitatively to those analyzed in the existing NEPA documents would remain the same or would result in negligible change.
- 5. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequate for the current proposed action?
- YES. The public involvement and interagency review associated with the existing NEPA documents still remains adequate for the current proposed action.

E. Persons/Agencies/BLM Staff Consulted

Name	Title	Resource/Agency Represented
Stephanie Bergman	Archaeologist	BLM
Cassandra Brooks	Wildlife Biologist	BLM
Jana Onsurez	NRS-Range	BLM

Note: Refer to the EA/EIS for a complete list of the team members participating in the preparation of the original environmental analysis or planning documents.

CONCLUSION (If you found that one or more of these criteria is not met, you will not be able to check this box.)

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes BLM's compliance with the requirements of the NEPA.

Colleen Cepero Rios	09/12/2017
Signature of Project Lead	Date
Signature of Responsible Official	Date

Note: The signed Conclusion on this Worksheet is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision. However, the lease, permit, or other authorization based on this DNA is subject to protest or appeal under 43 CFR Part 4 and the program-specific regulations.

BLM LEASE NUMBER: NMNM0245247 COMPANY NAME: McElvain Energy Inc.

ASSOCIATED WELL NAME: EK 29 BS2 Federal Com 4H

Timing Limitation Stipulation/Condition of Approval for Lesser Prairie-Chicken:

Timing Limitation Stipulation/Condition of Approval for Lesser Prairie-Chicken: Oil and gas activities including 3-D geophysical exploration, and drilling will not be allowed in lesser prairie-chicken habitat during the period from March 1st through June 15th annually. During that period, other activities that produce noise or involve human activity, such as the maintenance of oil and gas facilities, geophysical exploration other than 3-D operations, and pipeline, road, and well pad construction, will be allowed except between 3:00 am and 9:00 am. The 3:00 am to 9:00 am restriction will not apply to normal, around-the-clock operations, such as venting, flaring, or pumping, which do not require a human presence during this period. Additionally, no new drilling will be allowed within up to 200 meters of leks known at the time of permitting. Normal vehicle use on existing roads will not be restricted. Exhaust noise from pump jack engines must be muffled or otherwise controlled so as not to exceed 75 db measured at 30 ft. from the source of the noise.