Submit 1 Copy To Appropriate Distric Office <u>District I</u> – (575) 393-6161 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 8824 <u>District II</u> – (575) 748-1283 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 <u>District III</u> – (505) 334-6178 1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 874 <u>District IV</u> – (505) 476-3460 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505	Energy, Minerals and Natu Energy, Minerals and Natu OIL CONSERVATION MAR 19 201820 South St. Frar Santa Fe. NM 87	nal Resources DIVISION acis Dr.	Form C-103 Revised August 1, 2011 WELL API NO. 30-025-38576 AND 30-025-42139 5. Indicate Type of Lease STATE FEE 6. State Oil & Gas Lease No. V07530-0001				
SUNDRY N (DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR PR	OTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS OPOSALS TO DRILL OR TO DEEPEN OR PLU	JG BACK TO A	7. Lease Name or Linam AGI	Unit Agreement Name			
PROPOSALS.) 1. Type of Well: Oil Well	PPLICATION FOR PERMIT" (FORM C-101) FC Gas Well Other	JK SUCH	8. Wells Number 1 and 2				
2. Name of Operator DCP Midstream LP			9. OGRID Number 36785				
3. Address of Operator 370 17 <sup>th</sup> Street , Suite 2500, D	enver CO 80202		10. Pool name or Wildcat Wildcat				
4. Well Location							
Unit Letter K; 1980 f	feet from the South line and 1980 feet fro	om the West line					
Section 30	Township 18S	Range 37E	NMPM	County Lea			
	11. Elevation <i>(Show whether DR,</i> 3736 GR	RKB, RT, GR, etc.)					
2. Check Appropriate Box	x to Indicate Nature of Notice, Re	port or Other Da	ata				
NOTICE OF PERFORM REMEDIAL WORK TEMPORARILY ABANDON PULL OR ALTER CASING DOWNHOLE COMMINGLE	INTENTION TO:	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF:         REMEDIAL WORK       ALTERING CASING         COMMENCE DRILLING OPNS.       P AND A         CASING/CEMENT JOB       I					
OTHER:			Summary Report and oursuant to NMOCC	d Notification parameter R12546-K			
13. Describe proposed or co	mpleted operations. (Clearly state all pe	rtinent details, and	give pertinent dates.	including estimated date			

3. Describe proposed or completed operations. (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work). SEE RULE 19.15.7.14 NMAC. For Multiple Completions: Attach wellbore diagram of proposed completion or recompletion.

## Annual Summary for 2017 Pursuant to NMOCC R-12546-K and ACO-275 C-103 for Linam AGI#1 and AGI#2 and Request to Continue with Approved Immediate Notification Parameters for Operation of Both Wells

This is annual summary submittal of data as agreed to between DCP and OCD relative to injection pressure, TAG temperature and casing annulus pressure for Linam AGI#1 until the well is worked over, which occurred in June 2017 and for Linam AGI#2 (API #30-025-42139) which was brought online in October 2015.

The analyses of data from both wells has been submitted monthly as required until the workover of the AGI#1 well and has also been submitted monthly for the AGI#2 well. The AGI#1 well was successfully worked over as planned in June 2017 we will request that the reporting be changed to quarterly for both wells as detailed in NMOCC R-12546-K once independent volume measurement for each well is available. The summary of data for the 2017 calendar year for the operation of the Linam Ranch AGI Facility and request to keep the approved immediate notification parameters for both wells is the purpose of this submittal.

The summary of the data and supporting tables and figures are attached.

SIGNATURE

Type or print name Alberto A. Gutierrez, RG

 Consultant to DCP Midstream/ Geolex, Inc.
 DATE
 2/27/2017

 E-mail address:
 aag@geolex.com
 PHONE:
 505-842-8000

For State Use Only		
APPROVED BY:	Accepted for Record Only	DATE
Conditions of Approval (if any):	Sull in Stateoro	
	Milliown 3/19/2018	





## ANALYSIS OF ANNUAL TRENDS AND REQUEST TO CONTINUE WITH APPROVED IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION PARAMETERS FOR OPERATION OF LINAM AGI #1 AND LINAM AGI #2 (API #s 30-025-38576 AND 30-025-42139) UNDER R-12546-all

This document presents the results from the analyses of the injection parameter data collected from the Linam AGI #1 and #2 Wells which serve the Linam Ranch Gas Processing Facility near Hobbs, NM. Data from the Linam AGI #1 have been collected continuously and have been analyzed on a monthly basis by Geolex and transmitted to DCP for reporting to NMOCD as required by ACO 275 and the approved post-workover C-103. In addition, the Linam AGI #2 well was completed and brought on line in October 2015. The AGI #2 well was completed in the same injection zone as the AGI#1 approximately 450 feet to the southwest of AGI #1. From the time that AGI #2 was brought on line, injection has sometimes been solely into one of the two wells or into both wells simultaneously. AGI#2 was operated in conjunction with AGI #1 from October 2015 to January 2016 when a switch was made to operate only AGI#1 for the remainder of 2016. In May 2017, DCP switched over to injecting into AGI#2 to allow for the workover of AGI#1. The workover was completed on June 8, 2017 and AGI #1 was brought back on line in July 2017 with bottom hole sensors installed. These sensors are now serving to monitor downhole and reservoir conditions since the downhole sensors in AGI #2 failed due to a lightning strike shortly after installation 2015 and will not be able to be repaired until sometime in the future when AGI #2 is worked over. The system has been operating with only AGI #1 active while waiting for independent flow meters to be installed/repaired in both wells so that reliable flow information will be available for each well independently. This operational mode (utilizing only AGI #1) will continue until the volume meters are installed/repaired. In the meantime, in order to obtain reservoir data which would have been provided by the downhole PT sensors in AGI #2, a slick line with a pressure recorder was placed into AGI #2 and downhole pressure data were collected under both injection and non-injection conditions for the AGI #2 and AGI #1. Presently surface data from both wells is being collected relative to the following parameters:

- Treated Acid Gas (TAG) surface injection pressure (both wells),
- TAG injection temperature (both wells),
- Annular pressure (both wells)
- Bottom Hole pressure and temperature (AGI#1 beginning 7/2017)
- Overall total TAG flow rate from compressors

These above are the key parameters which are currently being measured in both wells in order to monitor the operations of the wells, prevent hydrate formation, reduce corrosion potential following the workover of AGI#1. While improvements have been implemented in the placement of temperature controls, dehydration of TAG during compression and other systems improvements at the AGI facility, there continue to be variations in the desired and normal operating levels of the above-referenced parameters. Since these parameters are useful indicators and predictors of potential operational or mechanical problems in the well, various levels of alarms have been established for each of these parameters. These parameters include three which are measured directly (TAG injection pressure, TAG injection





temperature and annular pressure) and one (differential pressure) which is a calculated value (the difference between the two measured parameters of injection and annular pressure). The analyses of the long-term trends in these values have been useful in smoothing out shorter-term variations which can be observed from detailed inspection of hourly data and in the development of appropriate alarm bands for each parameter.

The Linam AGI #1 experienced a tubing leak in late 2011 which was partially addressed in a workover conducted in April/May 2012. The leak was detected in the end of 2011 and beginning of 2012, until the workover, the injection parameters were reviewed, analyzed and reported weekly to the NMOCD. Following the workover in which the tubing leak was repaired, some compromised production casing was detected immediately above the packer depth. At that time, we recommended keeping only approximately 250 psig on the annular space between the tubing and casing in AGI #1 since with annular pressure at this level, under normal operating conditions, this parameter can serve as a useful indicator of when activity should be initiated to prevent damage to the well or trigger a NMOCD shutdown and/or immediate notification requirements. Concern about this compromised casing was eliminated by stacking packers when the well was worked over again in June 2017. All of the data from January 2012 through December 2015 are included in our analysis, but only the post-workover data have been used to develop the recommended alarm and emergency shutdown (ESD) levels in conjunction with the requirements of NMOCD Order 12546-all, ACO-275 and the post-workover C-103.

Furthermore, a similar process has been employed on the Linam AGI#2 since it was brought online in October 2015. As described above, this well is equipped with bottom hole (just at top of packer) P/T measurement capability both inside and outside the tubing. When the current sensor/communication issues are resolved in AGI #2, the monitoring of these four additional parameters will also aid significantly in determining the appropriate immediate notification parameters which are required by the NMOCC order for AGI #2. In general, the immediate notification parameters for both wells were developed from this long-term analysis of the injection data. Initial testing of the Linam AGI#2 indicates that the pressure variations induced by flow rate and temperature fluctuations in the Linam AGI#1 are influencing the reservoir as measured in the AGI #2 location. This is to be expected as the new well is completed in the same zone at a distance away from the initial well which we predicted would see the edge of the plume in about 7 years. The Linam AGI #1 has been injecting for approximately 12 years.

Data from AGI #1 were continuously collected and analyzed weekly prior to the original workover in April/May 2012 and then monthly after the workover from June 2012 through December 2017 (see Figure 1). These data collection, analysis and reporting functions continue as required by NMOCD on a monthly basis. Furthermore, since it is necessary to evaluate the data from both wells to know how the system is operating overall, the surface data from AGI #2 area also being collected, analyzed and reported monthly. The reporting requirement for the AGI #2 is only quarterly and now that AGI #1 has been successfully worked over, the reporting for both wells will shift to quarterly as soon as independent reliable volume measurement is available for each well.

The NMOCD also requires that immediate notification parameters and levels be discussed and agreed upon with the agency, and that these be periodically reviewed and updated as needed based on operational





or regulatory changes. The immediate notification parameters for both wells have been approved by NMOCD and DCP requests no changes in these approved values. With this requirement in mind and for the purpose of protecting the mechanical integrity and safety of both wells and the overall AGI facility, Geolex monitors these data under contract to DCP to prevent damage to the wells or violation of regulatory requirements or permit constraints.

After 5.5 years (65 months) of carefully analyzing the performance of AGI #1 on a continuous basis, Geolex has assembled the data and has analyzed observed trends for the post-workover period of June 2012 – December 2017 as can be seen on Figure 2. Several important observations can be made from analyzing these data and taking into consideration important system modifications that have occurred during this time period. These include the following:

- 1. AGI #1Post-Workover MIT completed in May 2012
- 2. AGI #1MIT test completed November 14, 2012
- 3. Bleeding of diesel from casing annular space immediately after the November 2012 AGI #1 MIT test.
- 4. AGI #1MIT test completed April 30, 2013
- 5. Addition of diesel in annular space after April 2013 AGI #1MIT and May 2013 plant shutdown
- 6. AGI #1MIT test completed October 30, 2013
- 7. Failure of the VFD for the cooler on the AGI compressor from February 4 through 9, 2014.
- 8. AGI #1MIT test completed April 30, 2014
- 9. Addition of diesel in annular space after April 2014 AGI#1MIT
- 10. AGI #1MIT test completed September 19, 2014
- 11. AGI #1MIT test completed March 19, 2015
- 12. AGI #1MIT test completed September 15, 2015
- 13. AGI #2 brought online with startup in October-November 2015 and operated until January 2016
- 14. AGI #1MIT test completed March 22, 2016
- 15. AGI #2 MIT test completed April 1, 2016
- 16. AGI #2 TAG lines bled to flare on June 13, 2016 to remove static TAG in line when well is not operating.
- 17. AGI #1 MIT test completed September 14, 2016
- 18. AGI #1 Workover completed June 8, 2017 including stacked packer, bottom hole PT gauges
- 19. AGI #1 MIT test completed June 7, 2017 after workover completion
- 20. AGI #2 MIT test completed February 16, 2017
- 21. AGI #2 MIT test completed February 15, 2018

The following trends have been observed in the AGI #1 data and are reflected on Figure 2:

1. TAG injection pressure which was on a slight increasing trend due to slightly increasing average temperature of injected TAG has leveled off due to temperature decreases in 2017. The TAG injection pressure and rate was more variable since AGI #2 was also operated part of the time but AGI#1 has been used exclusively since the workover in June 2017 through end of 2017.





- 2. The TAG injection temperature decreased slightly from 2016 with an arithmetic mean of 106°F, in 2017 from 113°F in 2016.
- 3. The TAG injection temperature is significantly lower during periods of low flow into AGI#1 when AGI #2 was used for a short time and similarly for AGI #2 when AGI#1 is used primarily or exclusively.
- 4. Pressure in the casing annulus has been consistently tracked the correlative nature of variable injection temperature, pressure and flowrate, and its arithmetic mean for the period has been approximately 348 psig.
- 5. The pressure differential between the casing annulus and the TAG injection pressure clearly indicates that no communication currently exists between the tubing and casing annulus.
- 6. The generally low annular pressures observed indicate that the production casing/cement still has good integrity.
- 7. TAG injection temperatures can now be lower due to the improvement of water reduction in compression which reduces the potential for hydrate formation at lower temperatures

Given the observations of the trends in the graphs and the competing influence of average injection temperature decrease and injection volumes increased over the 2017 period, the observed TAG injection pressure increase is predictable and normal. There is no current indication of the reservoir being pressured up to any significant degree by the injection from Linam AGI #1. This was confirmed during the drilling and testing of AGI #2. Upon startup from any shutdown that lasts more than 6-8 hours it is critical to inject methanol along with the TAG for the initial startup period to prevent the formation of hydrates. While this may no longer be necessary due to the changes which were made in the water removal efficiency of the AGI compressor system, it is a good preventative measure. Prior to the increased water removal efficiency, this effect was observed in the period of March 2013 when hydrate formation during one of these events caused a spike in TAG injection pressure of approximately 35% over normal pressures due to partial blockage of the injection line and tubing created by the hydrate formation. This persisted for several hours until the situation was alleviated by the stabilization of the compressor and the simultaneous injection of methanol to cause the hydrates to be reabsorbed into the TAG. Injection pressures and temperatures then returned to normal.

It is also critical to maintain the temperature control on the injected TAG and to avoid rapid temperature or pressure fluctuations during periods when power failures or other mechanical failures may occur. The extensive and wide variation in TAG injection temperatures observed prior to the failure of the tubing in late 2011, resulted in the formation of free water within the tubing and corrosion resulting in a tubing leak which had to be repaired in April/May 2012. Temperature control changes were implemented and helped to significantly control downward swings in temperature and prevent the formation of hydrates. However, in February 2014, there was a failure in the VFD for the cooler on the AGI compressor which persisted for five days. During this period of time, the TAG temperature increased to at least 150 °F, and the annular pressure increased dramatically due to the heating of the diesel fluid in the annular space as a result of the elevated TAG injection temperature. TAG temperature as well as annular pressure returned to the normal range once the VFD on the cooler was repaired. The significant spread between TAG injection pressure maintained even during this heating episode proves the continued integrity of the well, packer, casing and tubing. However, the rise in annular pressure has a





potential to damage the integrity of the compromised casing in the well and should be avoided during all subsequent operations. In response to these issues, DCP undertook and successfully completed a project in 2015 to address the temperature fluctuations resulting from compression controls and to increase the efficiency of water removal to the point where all free water is removed from the TAG prior to injection. This significantly reduces hydrate formation potential in the entire system regardless of temperature variations.

In October 2015, AGI #2 was started up and operated in a startup mode switching back and forth from AGI #1. This effect is reflected in the trend data shown in Figure 2. Due to a volume meter sensor failure and configuration issues which are currently being addressed, only total flow to the AGI system can be reliably measured through 2017. For this reason, only the total flow rate is plotted on Figure 3. Once flow metering for each well is engineered and constructed in 2018 only total flow is being reported and wells are being used only one at a time to allow for accurate measurement of flow into each well.

## REVIEW OF STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF INJECTION PARAMETERS, DEVELOPMENT OF AND REQUEST TO CONTINUE WITH APPROVED IMMEDIATE NOTIFICATION PARAMETERS (API #s 30-025-38576 AND 30-025-42139) UNDER R-12546-all

The statistical analyses of the injection parameter data were initially conducted for the purpose of establishing normal operating levels for these parameters which are automatically monitored. Several data filtering steps were accomplished to take the hourly data which forms the basis of the analysis in order to smooth out variability and to account for the physical changes in the well and its operation after the repair of the tubing leak in the workover completed in May 2012. Because the configuration of the well changed dramatically after the workover, only data after the well had stabilized post-workover were used in this analysis. Furthermore, the subsequent stacked packer workover of the AGI#1 in June 2017 was completed and essentially only the AGI #1 has been used since then. The bottom hole PT sensors installed during the 2017 workover of AGI #1 have been providing excellent data and these data are shown on the graph attached here as Figure 4.

All the data are summarized in Table 1, and the calculated statistical parameters of arithmetic mean and standard deviation were used to establish base levels and variability for each parameter. The results of these analyses resulted in the immediate notification parameters which were approved both for AGI #2 and the parameters required under ACO 275 for AGI#1. These approved parameters are presented at the bottom of Table 1 and DCP requests that these parameters remain the same until the workover for the AGI#1 is completed in 2017. At this time the parameters will be reevaluated and operations and reporting requirements should be as they currently are for AGI #2.

ABLE 1 SL	UMMAR	Y DATA AN	ALYSIS OF	LINAM A	GI #1 TRENDS FOR J	ANUARY 2012 TH	ROUGH DECEMBER	2017 (2 PAGES)											
	[																	]	
									1		REAM	INAM	RANCH	AGI #1 AND	#2 CUMUI	ATIVE INIEC	TION DATA		
					AGI #1 TAG	AGI #1 Surface	AGI #1 Surface	Injection/Casing Annular				AGI #1				AGI #2 Surface Casing			1
					Injection		Casing Annulus	Pressure Differential	Bottom Hole	Bottom Hole			AGI #2 Flowrate	Injection	Injection Pressure	Annulus Pressure	Annular Pressure		
Mon	th Ender	d			Temperature ( <sup>*</sup> F)	Pressure (psig)	Pressure (psig)	(psig)	Pressure (psig)	Temperature (*F)	(MSCFPH)	(MSCFPH)	(MSCFPH)	Temperature ( <sup>°</sup> F)	(psig)	(psig)	Differential (psig)	-	
_	-																	Notes	
nuary	2012	Jan-12	Jan-12	Jan-12	114	1385	989	393											
oruary	2012	Feb-12	Feb-12	Feb-12	114			393											
arch	2012	Mar-12	Mar-12	Mar-12	118			429											
ell	2012	Apr-12	Apr-12																
ry .	2012	May-12	May-12	May-12	122			864			120	120						Plant Workover and Shutdown	
ne	2012	Jun-12	Jun-12	/un-12	118		368	1025			113	113						Plant Workover and Shutdown	
ly .	2012	Jul-12	Jul-12	Jul-12	121	1450	420	1030			148	148							
igust	2012	Aug-12	Aug-12	Aug-12	122	1449	401	1048			137	137							
ptember	2012	Sep-12	Sep-12	Sep-12	122			995			152	157							
tober	2012	Oct-12	Oct-12		118			1035			167	167	-						
wember	2012	Nov-12	Nov-12	Nov-12	121			1163			191	191						November 14, 2012 MIT Test	
cember	2012	Dec-12	Dec-12	Dec-12	117			1398			155	155							
nuary	2013	Jan-13	Jan-13		120			1397			151	151							
bruary	2013	Feb-13 Mar-13	Feb-13 Mar-13	Feb-13 Mar-13	121			1311 1340			174	174							
arch								1340			179	175						A	
en .	2013	Apr-13 May-13	Apr-13 May-13		121			1904			178	178						April 30, 2013 MIT Test	
ey Ca	2013	Jun-13	/un-13	Jun-13	120			1001			154	154							
ve	2013		Jul-13	Jul-13	120						177	177							
igust	2013		Aug-13		120			1972			171	171							
ptember	2013	Sep-13	Sep-13	Sep-13	121			1500			179	179							
ctober	2013	Oct-13	Oct-13		123			1503			174	174						October 30, 2013 MIT Test	
overnber	2013		Nov-13				5 70	1506			171	171							
cember	2013	Dec-13	Dec-13	Dec-13	124	1607	69	1538			175	175							
nuary	2014	Jan-14	Jan-14	Jan-14	121	1574	8	1566			166	166							
bruary	2014	Feb-14	Feb-14	Feb-14			111	1528			182	182							
arch	2014	Mar-14	Mar-14	Mar-14	121			1568			162	162							
ril	2014	Apr-14	Apr-14	Apr-14	123			1547			175	175						April 30, 2014 MIT Test	
ay	2014										170								
ne	2014	Jun-14	Jun-14	/un-14	121			1277			162	163							
NY	2014		/ul-14	Jul-14	123						167	167							
ptember	2014		Aug-14 Sep-14	Aug-14 Sep-14	122			1275			161	161						Forsteenhou 10 2014 Add Tool	
tober	2014	Sep-14 Oct-14	Sep-14 Oct-14	Sep-14 Oct-14	122			1327			158	158						September 19, 2014 MIT Test	
overnber	2014										158	150							
ecember	2014	Dec-14	Dec-14	Dec-14	124			1450			168	168							
nuary	2015	Jan-15	Jan-15	Jan-15	125					_	151	151							
bruary	2015	Feb-15	Feb-15	Feb-15	123						161	161							
arch	2015		Mar-15	Mar-15	124						161	161						March 19, 2015 MIT Test	
ril	2015	Apr-15	Apr-15	Apr-15	124	1647	7 393	1254			163	163							
ау	2015	May-15	May-15		122		358	1287			159	159							
se	2015	Jun-15	Jun-15	Jun-15	121						152	152							
Y	2015	Jul-15	Jul-15	Jul-15	120			1378			154	154	1						
gust	2015	Aug-15	Aug-15	Aug-15	123			1327			131	131							
ptember	2015		Sep-15		124						163	163						September 15, 2015 MIT Test	
tober	2015	Oct-15	Oct-15	Oct-15	124						160	160						AGI #2 Operations Began October 2015	
vember	2015	Nov-15	Nov-15	Nov-15	73	1280		1273			164			105	1430	394		AGI #1 & #2 both in use	
cember	2015		Dec-15		102			1425			151			111				AGI #1 & #2 both in use	
uary	2016	Jan-16	Jan-16	Jan-16	121						117	117		77	1094			AGI #2 not in use	
ruary	2016		Feb-16	Feb-16	121			1444			191	191		49	1603			AGI #2 not in use	
arch	2016		Mar-16		118			1411			158	158		58	1679			AGI #2 not in use	
-	2016	Apr-16 May-16	Apr-16 May-16	Apr-16 May-16	116			1400			144	144		63	1688			AGI #2 not in use	

ust	Ended			1	AGI #1 TAG		AGI #1 Surface Casing Annulus	Injection/Casing Annular Pressure Differential	AGI #1 Average Bottom Hole		Total Flowrate	AGI #1 Flowrate	AGI #2 Flowrate	AGI #2 Surface TAG Injection		AGI #2 Surface Casing Annulus Pressure	Injection/Casing Annular Pressure		
ust		ŀ			Temperature (F)					Temperature (*F)				Temperature ( <sup>*</sup> F)		(psig)	Differential (psig)	-	
ust			-															Notes	
ust	-	-	_																
ust	2016	Jun-16	Jun-16	Jun-16	108	1624	70	1554			191	191		81	2		1	AGI #2 not in use. TAG trapped in blocked 1 off section of AGI #2 pipe blown down	
ust	2016	Jul-16	Jul-16	Jul-16	114	1693	226	1467			196	196		88	2		1	1 AGI #2 not in use	
	2016	Aug-16	Aug-16	Aug-16	111	1715	168	1547			213	213		78	3		1	2 AGI #2 not in use	
tember	2016	Sep-16	Sep-16	Sep-16	101	1657	337				188	188		73			1	2 AGI #2 not in use	
	2016	Oct-16	Oct-16	Oct-16	101	1666	400	1266			228	223		63			0	2 AGI #2 not in use	
	2016	Nov-16	Nov-16	Nov-16	117	1743	862	881			185	185		5	1		0	1 AGI #2 not in use	
	2016	Dec-16	Dec-16	Dec-16	117	1698					153	153		43	1		0	1 AGI #2 not in use	
	2017	Jan-17	Jan-17	Jan-17	118	1730	934	796			179	179		45			0	8 AGI #2 not in use	
	2017	Feb-17	Feb-17	Feb-17	119	1750	958	791			186	186			10	27		7 AGI #2 not in use	
uary	2017	PED:1/	Pep-1/	Feb-17	119	1/50	738	/91			100	1.00		24	10	20	-20	Both wells used; #2 flow meter not	
rch	2017	Mar-17	Mar-17	Mar-17	114	1708	782	927			186			104	1701	37	3 132	functioning. AGI #1 for entire month and AGI #2 only from 3-13 to 3-16 and 3-21 to 3 7 31	
																		Both wells used. Flow meter for #2 not working. TAG routed to #1 well exclusively,	
																		both wells simultaneously and #2 well	
																		exclusively. All TAG routed to #2 from 4-26	
	2017	Apr-17	Apr-17	Apr-17	105	1651	418	1234			194			100	1862	23	6 156	onward in anticipation of workover of #1 6 well.	
																		Both wells used. #2 Flow Meter not working, TAG Routed to AGI #2 save for 19	
																		hour period from 5-17 to 5-18 when it was	
																		routed to AGI #1. AGI #1 workover 5-22 thru 6-8. AGI #2 experienced mechanical	
																		blockage resulting in both wells being shut	
	2017	May-17	May-17	May-17	103	1596	203	1390			155			104	1842		6 177	2 down from 5-25 to 5-31.	
																		Both wells used. #2 used from 6-2 through	
																		6-15. Workover of #1 completed 6-8 and sucessful MIT performed 6-8; #1 back	
																		online 6-15. #1 and #2 used	
			1															simultaneously from 6-15 to 6-30. Mechanical Problem with flow meter for #2	
																		well. Only total flow can be measured; no	
	2017	Jun-17	Jun-17	Jun-17	99	1439	475	1010			147			113	1838		1 183	way to differentiate between #1 and #2 7 when they are used together.	
	2011	1411 27	1011 27	1411 21							-							Both wells used. Annular Pressure Meter	
																		for AGI #2 maifunctioning for month of July, Mechanical problem with flow meter	
																		for #2 well persists. Bottomhole sensors	
																		added to #1 Well as part of workover completed in June and began recording	
· · · · ·	2017	Jul-17	Jul-17	Jul-17	91	1409	302	1108	4392	137	171			102	1810	sensor error	n/a	data on 7-20-17	
																		Both wells used. Mechanical problem with flow meter for #2 well persists. Only total	
																		flow data available. Annular Pressure	
																		meter for AGI #2 back in service 8-11-17. Annular Pressure and differential pressure	
				1.14			621											readings are for period 8-11-17 through 8-	
teu	2017	Aug-17	Aug-17	Aug-17	99	1572	621	950	4514	134	187			63	1400	15	100	4 31-17. Only AGI R1 used. Entire plant shut down	
																		from Sept 19 to Sept 30th for a scheduled turnaround. Data available only for first 19	
tember	2017	Sep-17	Sep-17	Sep-17	109	1685	482	1203	4578	135	197	197	r	77	1267	11	4 113	2 days of September	
																		Plant shutdown 9-19 through 10-3 for a turnaround. Only AGI #1 used during	
															1			remainder of month. Major software	
ober	2017	Oct-17	Oct-17	Oct-17	102	1531	211	1321	4250	136	152	152			872		7 77	upgrade in DCS. BH sensors not yet 6 integrated into DCS.	
																		Only AGI #1 in use in November, BH	
ember	2017	Nov-17	Nov-17	Nov-17	101	1589	428	1161	4080	136	189	189	,	54	1013		12 93	sensors not reconnected to DCS until 11- 1 29.	
	2017	Dec-17			107	1707	456		4080		191	197		44	1102			7 Only AGI #1 in use in December.	
			I		107	107	436	1152	200	110	191	271			1101				
rage for 2017	200	1000	100	S MARTIN	106	1614	519	1095	4316	134	178	187			1227	1	101	s designed and the second second	
ndard Deviation 2		and a lite	Carl -	122	-	107	245	191	196	1	17	15	5	24	6.19		8 63		AND AND SAME AND A
irage for Entire P	2.000	11111	C. Street	1022	116.4	1583.3	348.3	1235.0	4315.7	135.6	167.3	167.0		75.3	977.5		9 838	a second s	NAME AND ADDRESS OF
nderd Deviation 8		eriod	02.14	200	9.3	and the second se			195.5	Concession of the second se	20.2	20.4	0.0	21		And the second se	In the second seco		New York Research
			BASED	N NMO	CORDER AND A			Carlor States and and	-	States and states									
		-	a state		Contract of Contractor	THE OWNER AND	ST THE ST	W WILLIAM CONT											
		2.3. 4	and the	1200	STOCK STOCK	A COLOR	Contraction of the	CONTRACTOR OF THE											
OF IN NUMBER OF	Circles In Co.	ALL OF IL		and the second	STRUCTURE OF	(Paulines) on	ALL PARTY AND	Contraction of the local distances											
OP In NMOCC On		100	and and	1	CALLS AND AND	State State of the	Provide State	CALL STATE OF THE OWNER											
and the second	and the second			hanner a	Contraction of the second	W. Kinder Ala	a sala and the same	And the second second											
mediate Notificati					and the second	S. 19 1-19 14	1.000	Contraction of the local division of the loc											
njection/Annulus	us Offerer		the store party	1		A COLUMN ADDRESS	-												







