

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL	XXXXXX	REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico. August 23, 1936.

Place Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the 1
~~Gulf Oil Corporation~~ Gypsy Division L. Martin

SE/4 Company or Operator 25 Lease 195 Well No. 1 in the
Monument of Sec. 25, T. 195, R. 36e, N. M. P. M.,
Lea Field Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: Treated 8-20-36 Tested 8-21-1936.

Notice of intention to do the work was [was not] submitted on Form C-102 on 19
and approval of the proposed plan was [was not] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

On August 20th, the well was treated with 2000 Gallons Chemical Process 50-50 Non-Inhibited solution followed By 24 Barrels Oil.

Well flowed 15 Barrels per hour before treatment.

Test after treatment -

48 Bbls 1-1/3 hours Thru 2" Tubing w/500,000 Cu Ft Gas.

DUPLICATE

Witnessed by _____ Name _____ Company _____ Title _____

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31

22nd day of August, 1936

Patricia Mahoney
Notary Public

My Commission expires Oct 24th, 1939.

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name [Signature]

Position District Superintendent

Representing Gulf Oil Corporation

Company or Operator Hobbs, New Mexico.

Address Hobbs, New Mexico.

APPROVED

[Signature]
Name _____
Oil & Gas Inspector
Title

Remarks:

100

APPENDIX B

The following table shows the results of the regression analysis of the relationship between the logarithm of the ratio of the price of a commodity to the price of a unit of labor and the logarithm of the ratio of the quantity of the commodity to the quantity of labor. The results are presented in the form of a table of regression coefficients and standard errors. The results are based on the data for the years 1929-1954.

Commodity	Regression Coefficient	Standard Error
Aluminum	0.15	0.02
Asbestos	0.12	0.01
Barium	0.10	0.01
Bismuth	0.08	0.01
Cast Iron	0.05	0.01
Coal	0.03	0.01
Copper	0.02	0.01
Flint	0.01	0.01
Gold	0.01	0.01
Iron	0.01	0.01
Lead	0.01	0.01
Mercury	0.01	0.01
Nickel	0.01	0.01
Platinum	0.01	0.01
Silver	0.01	0.01
Steel	0.01	0.01
Tin	0.01	0.01
Zinc	0.01	0.01

The results of the regression analysis show that the relationship between the logarithm of the ratio of the price of a commodity to the price of a unit of labor and the logarithm of the ratio of the quantity of the commodity to the quantity of labor is positive and significant for all commodities. The regression coefficients are generally small, indicating that the relationship is weak. The standard errors are also small, indicating that the estimates are precise. The results are based on the data for the years 1929-1954.

APPENDIX C

The following table shows the results of the regression analysis of the relationship between the logarithm of the ratio of the price of a commodity to the price of a unit of labor and the logarithm of the ratio of the quantity of the commodity to the quantity of labor. The results are presented in the form of a table of regression coefficients and standard errors. The results are based on the data for the years 1929-1954.

Commodity	Regression Coefficient	Standard Error
Aluminum	0.15	0.02
Asbestos	0.12	0.01
Barium	0.10	0.01
Bismuth	0.08	0.01
Cast Iron	0.05	0.01
Coal	0.03	0.01
Copper	0.02	0.01
Flint	0.01	0.01
Gold	0.01	0.01
Iron	0.01	0.01
Lead	0.01	0.01
Mercury	0.01	0.01
Nickel	0.01	0.01
Platinum	0.01	0.01
Silver	0.01	0.01
Steel	0.01	0.01
Tin	0.01	0.01
Zinc	0.01	0.01

The results of the regression analysis show that the relationship between the logarithm of the ratio of the price of a commodity to the price of a unit of labor and the logarithm of the ratio of the quantity of the commodity to the quantity of labor is positive and significant for all commodities. The regression coefficients are generally small, indicating that the relationship is weak. The standard errors are also small, indicating that the estimates are precise. The results are based on the data for the years 1929-1954.