STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 24123 ORDER No. R-22869-A

APPLICATIONS OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELLS LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NOS. 23614-23617

APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN LLC TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-22026/SWD-2403 TO INCREASE THE APPROVED INJECTION RATE IN ITS ANDRE DAWSON SWD #1, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 23775

APPLICATIONS OF EMPIRE NEW MEXICO LLC TO REVOKE INJECTION AUTHORITY, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NOS. 24018-24020, 24025

EMPIRE NEW MEXICO LLC'S MOTION FOR FOUR-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REQUESTS FOR SUBPOENAS

Pursuant to Rule 19.15.4.16(C) NMAC, Empire New Mexico, LLC ("Empire") requests that the

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission ("Commission") extend the December 16, 2024 deadline to

file requests for subpoenas by four days, until December 20, 2024.¹ In support of this request, Empire

states as follows.

¹ See December 5, 2024 Amended Prehearing Order at ¶ 3.

1. As explained in Empire's previous filings, this case involves unusually complex technical and geological issues that require substantial pre-hearing discovery.

2. These issues include: (1) whether an economically viable ROZ exists in the portion of the San Andres formation that lies within the EMSU; and (2) whether injection of produced water into that formation will cause waste, impair correlative rights, or otherwise interfere with the operations in the EMSU.

3. Over the past 45 days, the parties have conducted nine depositions of their respective witnesses.

4. As is relevant here, Empire deposed four Goodnight witnesses, three of whom rely on information provided or testimony offered by Goodnight witness Preston McGuire. *See, e.g.*, Davidson Tr. at 35:17-25 (11/22/24); McBeath Tr. at 32:20-23 (11/25/24); Lake Tr. at 26:22-27:1 (11/12/24), attached as **Exhibit A**. In short, Mr. McGuire's testimony is the basis of certain assumptions made by Goodnight's other witnesses who are testifying about the key issues.

5. Because none of the written testimony filed by Goodnight expressly stated that any of these experts were relying on information or testimony provided by Mr. McGuire, however, Empire did not understand, until recently, the extent to which Goodnight's other experts were relying on Mr. McGuire. As a result, Empire was unable to discern before the recent depositions that Empire would need to depose Mr. McGuire.

6. On December 5, 2024, Hearing Officer Harwood issued an Amended Pre-Hearing Order setting a December 16, 2024, deadline for the parties to submit requests for subpoenas, including deposition subpoenas.

Released to Imaging: 12/24/2024 9:49:23 AM

STF 2813933.1

7. On Monday, December 16, 2024, which was the deadline to request subpoenas under the scheduling order, counsel for Goodnight, Mr. Rankin, contacted Empire's counsel to request an extension of time to request subpoenas, until Friday, December 20, 2024.

8. In the email, Mr. Rankin stated that he "might not" need additional time but was not sure and needed to complete a deposition scheduled on December 17, 2024, to make that determination.

9. In response, counsel for Empire advised Mr. Rankin that Empire agreed to a mutual extension of time until December 20th.

10. At 2:51 pm on December 16, 2024, Mr. Rankin wrote to counsel for the Oil Conservation Division (the "Division"), Mr. Moander, to request the Division's consent to Goodnight's proposed extension. Division counsel also agreed to the extension, "so long as the extension applies to all parties."

11. Mr. Rankin responded: "Agree; extension applies across the board. I will send a request to the hearing officer." A copy of the December 16, 2024, email correspondence is attached as Exhibit B.

12. Relying in good faith on counsel for Goodnight's written agreement to extend the deadline by four days, Empire filed its request to subpoena Mr. McGuire for deposition on December 20, 2024.

13. Despite having already agreed to the extension, counsel for Goodnight sent an email to the Hearing Examiner, stating: "Empire's subpoena request is untimely and opposed by Goodnight. The amended prehearing order sets a deadline of 12/16 to submit requests for subpoenas. The subpoena deadline has not been extended and the deadline for discovery has lapsed. We oppose extending the deadline, especially for purposes of deposing additional witnesses

3

at this late date." *See* December 20, 2024 email correspondence, attached as **Exhibit C**. Notably, Goodnight failed to inform the Hearing Examiner that it had proposed and agreed to the extension.

14. It is Empire's understanding that Goodnight intends to argue that Empire's subpoena request is untimely because Goodnight's counsel never followed through to request the agreed upon extension. At this point, it is unclear whether Goodnight ever actually intended to extend the subpoena request deadline, or whether Goodnight deliberately proposed the extension so that Empire would not seek additional subpoenas on December 16, 2024, to Empire's detriment.

15. Goodnight's counsel did not advise counsel for Empire at any time that he had determined the extension was unnecessary and would not request it, and certainly never indicated that Goodnight would, in fact, *oppose it*. This "bait and switch" or "gotcha game" controverts principles of fundamental fairness and should not be permitted.

16. It is well established in New Mexico that litigants must participate in discovery in good faith, to preserve the integrity of the judicial process and the due process rights of other litigants. *Weiss v. THI of New Mexico at Valle Norte, LLC*, 2013-NMCA-054, ¶ 16, 301 P.3d 875 (discovery sanctions may be imposed when a failure to comply is due to willfulness or bad faith).

17. Moreover, the doctrine of judicial estoppel prevents a party from assuming a position in a legal proceeding that is contrary to a position previously taken, especially if the change would result in an unfair advantage or detriment to the opposing party. *Keith v. ManorCare, Inc.*, 2009-NMCA-119, ¶ 37, 147 N.M. 209 (noting that judicial estoppel is "especially" applicable when the party's change of position prejudices a party who had acquiesced in the former position).

18. Goodnight's agreement to request a mutual extension, and subsequent withdrawal of that agreement without any notice to Empire, constitutes a bad-faith abuse of the discovery

4

process and is barred by judicial estoppel. Goodnight should not be permitted to renege on its agreement to the detriment of Empire.

19. The requested four-day extension will not result in undue delay or prejudice any party. In fact, the extension is needed to avoid prejudice to Empire as a result of Goodnight's actions.

20. Based on the above, good cause exists for the Commission to extend the deadline to file requests for deposition subpoenas until December 20, 2024, and Empire's subpoena request should be considered timely.

21. It is Empire's understanding that the parties' positions on this motion are as follows. Goodnight opposes the motion. Counsel for the Division previously agreed to the requested extension. Counsel for Pilot Water Solutions SWD, LLC and *Rice Operating Company and Permian Line Service, LLC* does not oppose the extension.

For the foregoing reasons, Empire requests that the Commission extend the deadline to request deposition subpoenas until December 20, 2024 and deem Empire's subpoena request should timely.

Respectfully submitted,

HINKLE SHANOR LLP

By: <u>/s/ Dana S. Hardy</u> Dana S. Hardy Jaclyn M. McLean Timothy B. Rode P.O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068 (505) 982-4554 <u>dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u> <u>jmclean@hinklelawfirm.com</u> trode@hinklelawifrm.com

Ernest L. Padilla **PADILLA LAW FIRM, P.A.** P.O. Box 2523 Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 988-7577 <u>padillalawnm@outlook.com</u>

Sharon T. Shaheen **SPENCER FANE, LLP** P.O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307 (505) 986-2678 <u>sshaheen@spencerfane.com</u>

Attorneys for Empire New Mexico, LLC

.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following counsel of record by electronic mail on this 23rd day of December, 2024.

Michael H. Feldewert Adam G. Rankin Nathan R. Jurgensen Julia Broggi Paula M. Vance Holland & Hart LLP P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208 Telephone: (505) 986-2678 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com agrankin@hollandhart.com nrjurgensen@hollandhart.com jbroggi@hollandhart.com pmvance@hollandhart.com <i>Attorneys for Goodnight Midstream</i> <i>Permian, LLC</i>	Jesse K. Tremaine Christopher L. Moander New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 Tel (505) 709-5687 Jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov chris.moander@emnrd.nm.gov Attorneys for New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
Matthew M. Beck PEIFER, HANSON, MULLINS & BAKER, P.A. P.O. Box 25245 Albuquerque, NM 87125-5245 Tel: (505) 247-4800 mbeck@peiferlaw.com <i>Attorneys for Rice Operating Company and</i> <i>Permian Line Service, LLC</i>	Miguel A. Suazo BEATTY & WOZNIAK, P.C. 500 Don Gaspar Ave. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Tel: (505) 946-2090 msuazo@bwenergylaw.com <i>Attorneys for Pilot Water Solutions SWD</i> , <i>LLC</i>

/s/ Dana S. Hardy

.

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
2 3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
3	APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT
4	MIDSTREAM PERMIAN LLC FOR
4	APPROVAL OF A SALTWATER
_	DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY,
5	NEW MEXICO. COMM. CASE NO. 24123
6	APPLICATIONS OF GOODNIGHT
-	MIDSTREAM PERMIAL LLC FOR
7	APPROVAL OF SALTWATER
0	DISPOSAL WELLS, LEA
8	COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. DIV. CASE NOS. 23614-23617
9	APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT
1.0	MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC TO
10	AMEND ORDER NO.
	4-22026/SWD-2403 TO
11	INCREASE THE APPROVED
10	INJECTION RATE IN ITS
12	ANDRE DAWSON SWD #1, LEA
1 2	COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. DIV. CASE NO. 23775
13	ADDITANTANA AR RWATDE NEW
14	APPLICATIONS OF EMPIRE NEW MEXICO LLC TO REVOKE
14	INJECTION AUTHORITY, LEA DIV. CASE NOS. 24018-2420,
15	COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 24025
10	
16	
	REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION OF
17	
	JAMES A. DAVIDSON
18	
	November 22, 2024
19	
20	
	REMOTE ORAL DEPOSITION OF JAMES A. DAVIDSON,
21	located in Dallas, Texas, produced as a witness at the
	instance of Empire New Mexico LLC, and duly sworn,
22	taken in the above-styled and numbered cases on
	November 22, 2024, from 10:03 a.m. to 3:26 p.m., before
23	Joseph D. Hendrick, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and
	for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand,
24	pursuant to subpoena issued by the New Mexico Oil
	Conservation Commission, and any provisions stated on
25	the record or attached hereto.
	Page 1

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 EXHIBIT A

1	A. Mm-hmm.
2	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet
3	three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A
4	residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced
5	oil recovery operations have been employed exists only
6	in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU."
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Is that correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Would you agree that this opinion is
11	dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked?
12	A. Would be.
13	Q. And how was the top defined?
14	A. I was given the tops. I don't make any
15	effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by
16	Goodnight.
17	Q. And do you know who picked the tops that
18	were provided?
19	A. I do not. I suspect the geologist from
20	Goodnight is Preston McGuire. I assume that Preston
21	picked them, but I don't know that to be the case.
22	Just an assumption on my part.
23	Q. So, you didn't make any effort to verify
24	the tops that you were provided?
25	A. No. That's I don't know that anybody
	Page 35

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION 3 4 APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN LLC FOR APPROVAL 5 OF A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. COMM. CASE NO. 24123 6 APPLICATIONS OF GOODNIGHT 7 MIDSTREAM PERMIAN LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELLS 8 LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, DIV. CASE NOS. 23614-23617 9 APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-22026/SWD-2403 TO INCREASE 10 THE APPROVED INJECTION RATE IN ITS 11 ANDRE DAWSON SWD #1, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. DIV. CASE NO. 23775 12 APPLICATIONS OF EMPIRE NEW MEXICO LLC 13 TO REVOKE INJECTION AUTHORITY LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 14 DIV. CASE NOS. 24018-24020 24025 15 16 DEPOSITION OF JOHN MCBEATH November 25, 2024 17 9:01 a.m. 18 Via Zoom 19 PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, this deposition was: 20 TAKEN BY: DANA SIMMONS HARDY, ESQ. 21 ATTORNEY FOR EMPIRE 22 REPORTED BY: KENDRA D. TELLEZ, RMR-CRR-RPR Kendra Tellez Court Reporting, Inc. 23 A Veritext Company Suite 105 24 500 4th Street, Northwest Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 25 Page 1

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

Г

John McBeath - November 25, 2024

	Examination by Ms. Hardy 32
1	Q. We spoke about the testimony you reviewed
2	from the other witnesses. Who, if any, of
3	Goodnight's other witnesses are you relying on for
4	your testimony?
5	Oh, you're cutting out.
6	Can't hear you.
7	A. Can you hear me now?
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. It's worse when I brought it closer to me,
10	so
11	Do you think it's worth trying to reboot
12	or change something? Because, I mean, we are going
13	to go crazy with this today.
14	MS. HARDY: I think that's good a
15	good idea. Should we take a ten-minute break?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	MR. RANKIN: No objection.
18	MR. MOANDER: No objection.
19	(Off the Record.)
20	Q. So I think my last question was whether
21	you're which other Goodnight witnesses' testimony
<mark>22</mark>	you are relying on for your testimony?
<mark>23</mark>	A. Preston McGuire for sure, Jim Davidson,
24	Mr. Knights, and I think the other ones are more
25	tangential. Those would be the three principal.
	Page 32

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION 3 4 APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN LLC FOR APPROVAL 5 OF A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. COMM. CASE NO. 24123 6 APPLICATIONS OF GOODNIGHT 7 MIDSTREAM PERMIAN LLC FOR APPROVAL OF SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELLS LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, DIV. CASE NOS. 23614-23617 8 9 APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC TO AMEND 10 ORDER NO. R-22026/SWD-2403 TO INCREASE THE APPROVED INJECTION RATE IN ITS 11 ANDRE DAWSON SWD #1, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. DIV. CASE NO. 23775 12 APPLICATIONS OF EMPIRE NEW MEXICO LLC 13 TO REVOKE INJECTION AUTHORITY LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 14 DIV. CASE NOS. 24018-24020 24025 15 16 DEPOSITION OF DR. LARRY LAKE 17 November 12, 2024 9:00 a.m. 18 Via Zoom 19 PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, this deposition was: 20 SHARON T. SHAHEEN, ESQ. TAKEN BY: 21 ATTORNEY FOR EMPIRE 22 REPORTED BY: KENDRA D. TELLEZ, RMR-CRR-RPR Kendra Tellez Court Reporting, Inc. 23 A Veritext Company Suite 105 24 500 4th Street, Northwest Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 25 Page 1

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

	Examination by Ms. Shaheen 26
1	McBeath's work; is that right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And that you spoke with McBeath in
4	preparation?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what was the topic of your
7	conversation with McBeath?
8	A. Well, let's see. It was all about this
9	case. Basically, it was about the behavior of
10	pressures in reservoirs. Basically, it was about
11	the interpretation of logs. Other things would have
12	been what else would we have talked about?
13	Briefly about ROZ zones and things like that.
14	Q. And did you rely on his work in your
15	report?
16	A. Well, that's a hard question to answer,
17	because what I tried I'm sorry. I'll give you an
18	"I don't know" on that because it's more
19	complicated. I would try to form an opinion myself
20	and then discuss it with him back and forth to where
21	we came to an agreement.
22	Q. And I believe you were you were talking
23	about some exhibits from Preston McGuire that you
24	identify in your report. And did you rely on some
25	of his work in your opinions?
	Page 26

Dr. Larry Lake, PE - November 12, 2024

Examination by Ms. Shaheen 27 1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. Anyone else that you can recall that you 3 relied on their work in your opinions? 4 Α. Maybe. I don't recall beyond that. 5 Okay. Well, as we go through your Ο. 6 statement, your opinions, if you -- if something 7 comes to mind about "Oh, yes, I remember now. Ι 8 relied on this," feel free to speak up and let me 9 know. 10 And now turning back to your Exhibit 1, 11 did you personally prepare your report for this 12 matter? 13 The testimony, yes, I did. Α. 14 Ο. Okay. And did you have any assistance in 15 preparing that report? 16 Α. Do you mean did I ask somebody to read it and give me comments? Yes, I did do that. 17 18 0. Okay. And other than your attorneys -- or 19 Goodnight's attorneys, who did you ask to review it for you? 20 21 Α. McBeath and Kim Gordon. 22 (Exhibit 3 Referred to in Deposition.) 23 Okay. I want to turn briefly now to a Ο. 24 scheduling order that was entered in this case. And 25 I will try to share my screen once again here. Page 27

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

From: To: Subject: Date: Attachments: Dana Hardy Dana Hardy FW: [EXTERNAL] RE: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas Saturday, December 21, 2024 6:45:36 AM image001.png image002.png



Dana S. Hardy Partner Hinkle Shanor LLP 218 Montezuma Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982-4554 telephone (505) 930-5702 direct (505) 982-8623 facsimile dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com

This message (including attachments) constitutes a confidential attorney-client or is otherwise a confidential communication from the law firm, Hinkle Shanor LLP, that is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521, and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity to whom it is addressed. It is not intended for transmission to. or receipt by, any unauthorized person. If you are not the intended recipient or received these documents by mistake or error, please do not read it and immediately notify us by collect telephone call to (505) 982-4554 for instructions on its destruction or return. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, action or reliance upon the contents of the documents is strictly prohibited.

From: Adam Rankin <AGRankin@hollandhart.com>
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 3:22 PM
To: Moander, Chris, EMNRD <Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov>; Dana Hardy
<DHardy@hinklelawfirm.com>; Shaheen, Sharon <sshaheen@spencerfane.com>; Ernest Padilla
<PadillaLawNM@outlook.com>
Cc: Tremaine, Jesse, EMNRD <JesseK.Tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov>; Matthew M. Beck
<mbeck@peiferlaw.com>; Miguel Suazo <msuazo@bwenergylaw.com>; Jacqueline F. Hyatt
<JFHyatt@hollandhart.com>; Jaclyn McLean <JMcLean@hinklelawfirm.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas

We did just get it, relatively speaking.

Agree; extension applies across the board. I will send a request to the hearing officer.

EXHIBIT B

Adam Rankin Partner, Holland & Hart LLP

agrankin@hollandhart.com | T: (505) 954-7294 | M: (505) 570-0377 CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this email.

From: Moander, Chris, EMNRD <<u>Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov</u>>
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 3:21 PM
To: Adam Rankin <<u>AGRankin@hollandhart.com</u>>; Dana Hardy <<u>DHardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>; Shaheen,
Sharon <<u>sshaheen@spencerfane.com</u>>; Ernest Padilla <<u>PadillaLawNM@outlook.com</u>>
Cc: Tremaine, Jesse, EMNRD <<u>JesseK.Tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov</u>>; Matthew M. Beck
<<u>mbeck@peiferlaw.com</u>>; Miguel Suazo <<u>msuazo@bwenergylaw.com</u>>; Jacqueline F. Hyatt
<<u>JFHyatt@hollandhart.com</u>>; Jaclyn McLean <<u>JMcLean@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas

External Email

Didn't we just get that order?

OCD doesn't object so long as the extension applies to all parties.

Chris

From: Adam Rankin <<u>AGRankin@hollandhart.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 2:51 PM

To: Dana Hardy <<u>DHardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>; Shaheen, Sharon <<u>sshaheen@spencerfane.com</u>>; Ernest Padilla <<u>PadillaLawNM@outlook.com</u>>

Cc: Moander, Chris, EMNRD <<u>Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov</u>>; Tremaine, Jesse, EMNRD <<u>JesseK.Tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov</u>>; Matthew M. Beck <<u>mbeck@peiferlaw.com</u>>; Miguel Suazo <<u>msuazo@bwenergylaw.com</u>>; Jacqueline F. Hyatt <<u>JFHyatt@hollandhart.com</u>>; Jaclyn McLean <<u>JMcLean@hinklelawfirm.com></u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas

CAUTION: This email originated outside of our organization. Exercise caution prior to clicking on links or opening attachments.

Actually,

Chris, any concerns from OCD on extending the deadline until the end of this week?

Adam Rankin Partner, Holland & Hart LLP

agrankin@hollandhart.com | T: (505) 954-7294 | M: (505) 570-0377 CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this email. From: Adam Rankin

Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 2:50 PM

To: 'Dana Hardy' <<u>DHardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>; Shaheen, Sharon <<u>sshaheen@spencerfane.com</u>>; Ernest Padilla <<u>PadillaLawNM@outlook.com</u>>

Cc: Moander, Chris, EMNRD (Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov) < Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov>;

jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov; Matthew M. Beck <<u>mbeck@peiferlaw.com</u>>; Miguel Suazo

<<u>msuazo@bwenergylaw.com</u>>; Jacqueline F. Hyatt <<u>JFHyatt@hollandhart.com</u>>; Jaclyn McLean <<u>JMcLean@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas

Agreed. I will send an email to Mr. Harwood.

Adam Rankin

Partner, Holland & Hart LLP

agrankin@hollandhart.com | T: (505) 954-7294 | M: (505) 570-0377 CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this email.

From: Dana Hardy <<u>DHardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 11:39 AM

To: Adam Rankin <<u>AGRankin@hollandhart.com</u>>; Shaheen, Sharon <<u>sshaheen@spencerfane.com</u>>; Ernest Padilla <<u>PadillaLawNM@outlook.com</u>>

Cc: Moander, Chris, EMNRD (Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov) < Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov>;

jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov; Matthew M. Beck < <u>mbeck@peiferlaw.com</u>>; Miguel Suazo

<<u>msuazo@bwenergylaw.com</u>>; Jacqueline F. Hyatt <<u>JFHyatt@hollandhart.com</u>>; Jaclyn McLean <<u>JMcLean@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas

External Email

Adam,

Empire does not oppose a mutual extension until Friday, 12/20.

Thanks,

Dana



Dana S. Hardy Partner Hinkle Shanor LLP 218 Montezuma Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982-4554 telephone (505) 930-5702 direct

This message (including attachments) constitutes a confidential attorney-client or is otherwise a confidential communication from the law firm, Hinkle Shanor LLP, that is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. (505) 982-8623 facsimile <u>dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u>

Sections 2510-2521, and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity to whom it is addressed. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized person. If you are not the intended recipient or received these documents by mistake or error, please do not read it and immediately notify us by collect telephone call to (505) 982-4554 for instructions on its destruction or return. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, action or reliance upon the contents of the documents is strictly prohibited.

From: Adam Rankin <<u>AGRankin@hollandhart.com</u>>

Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 10:41 AM

To: Shaheen, Sharon <<u>sshaheen@spencerfane.com</u>>; Dana Hardy <<u>DHardy@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>; Ernest Padilla <<u>PadillaLawNM@outlook.com</u>>

Cc: Moander, Chris, EMNRD (<u>Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov</u>) <<u>Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov</u>; jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov; Matthew M. Beck <<u>mbeck@peiferlaw.com</u>>; Miguel Suazo <<u>msuazo@bwenergylaw.com</u>>; Jacqueline F. Hyatt <<u>JFHyatt@hollandhart.com</u>>; Jaclyn McLean <<u>JMcLean@hinklelawfirm.com</u>>

Subject: Goodnight / Empire - 12/16 deadline to submit discovery subpoenas

Counsel,

I would like to request a short extension to submit requests for subpoenas from today (12/16) until Friday 12/20. I may not need it—much of the material I have noted we need likely falls under the terms of the prehearing order or our existing subpoenas—but I haven't had time to figure it out just yet and we have one more deposition to get through tomorrow.

Please let me know if you will agree to the proposed short extension. If not, I will submit the request to the Hearing Officer directly.

Many thanks.



agrankin@hollandhart.com | T: (505) 954-7294 | M: (505) 570-0377

•

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this email.

From:	Adam Rankin
То:	Ortiz, David; mbeck@peiferlaw.com; msuazo@bwenergylaw.com; jparrot@bwenergylaw.com; Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov; Jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov; Paula M. Vance; Dana Hardy; Jaclyn McLean; Tim Rode; padillalawnm@outlook.com; sheila.apodaca@emnrd.nm.gov; drubin@nmag.gov; ripharwoodrbhpc@gmail.com
Cc:	Shaheen, Sharon; Sandoval, Yolanda; Jacqueline F. Hyatt
Subject:	RE: Goodnight/Empire - Case Nos. 24123, 23614-23617, 23755, 24018-24020, 24025 (Empire New Mexico, LLC's Request for Subpoenas) Goodnight objection and response
Date:	Friday, December 20, 2024 8:03:34 PM
Attachments:	image001.png image002.png image003.png

Mr. Hearing Officer,

Empire's subpoena request is untimely and opposed by Goodnight. The amended prehearing order sets a deadline of 12/16 to submit requests for subpoenas. The subpoena deadline has not been extended and the deadline for discovery has lapsed. We oppose extending the deadline, especially for purposes of deposing additional witnesses at this late date.

If the Hearing Officer is inclined to consider Empire's request, we ask for an opportunity to respond and, if Goodnight's objections are overruled, ask that Goodnight be permitted to depose an additional critical Empire witness—Dr. Robert Lindsay.

Empire has 9 witnesses. Goodnight has 7 witnesses. Both sides have had a fair and equal opportunity to depose the other's witnesses. Both parties have now taken 5 witness depositions. We have a little more than a month before written rebuttal statements and exhibits are due on 2/6/25, along with prehearing statements, and dispositive motions.

Separately, the basis for Empire's request is not supported.

Empire's request states that Empire had no knowledge until the depositions that Mr. McBeath, Dr. Lake, and Dr. Davidson had considered or relied on the testimony of Goodnight's witness, Preston McGuire, in preparation of their own testimony. In fact, both Dr. Lake and Mr. McBeath made clear in their written statements that they did. <u>See</u> <u>below snippets from their written testimony</u>. Moreover, Dr. Lake's deposition was on 11/12, Dr. Davidson's was on 11/22, and Mr. McBeath's was on 12/6, which provided Empire more than enough time to meet the 12/16 deadline.

In his written testimony submitted on 8/26, Dr. Lake stated he considered the testimony of Goodnight's witnesses, discussions with Goodnight personnel, and Mr. McGuire's final testimony was provided among the documents Dr. Lake reviewed and relied on. Similarly, Mr. McBeath also stated in his written testimony that he considered the testimony of Goodnight's witnesses (Mr. McGuire's testimony was not re-produced among his documents to avoid duplication) and discussions with Goodnight personnel. As noted in his deposition testimony, Dr. Davidson's exhibits relied on the geologic tops picked by Mr. McGuire (see deposition snippet below). That information was all provided on 9/17 to Empire among the other documents and information Dr. Davidson reviewed and relied on. Moreover, the basis for Mr. McGuire's geologic picks is spelled out in his written testimony.

Dr. Lake's Written Testimony:

EXHIBIT C

5. Data and information considered:

I have considered the following data and information in forming my opinions:

- a. Data and information produced by Goodnight Midstream ("GM") in this matter.
- b. Data and information produced by Empire in this matter.
- c. Well data obtained from subscription service IHS
- d. Discussions with GM personnel.
- e. Discussions with Netherland Sewell & Associates ("NSAI").
- f. Testimony of GM's witnesses.
- g. SPE papers SPE 129921

2

Mr. McBeath's Written Testimony:

Empire's proposed ROZ project in the San Andres; and (4) to evaluate and assess potential impacts from injection of produced water in the San Andres on EMSU operations.

- I have considered the following data and information in forming my opinions:
 - a. Data and information produced by Goodnight Midstream ("GM") in this matter.
 - b. Data and information produced by Empire in this matter.
 - c. Well data obtained from the NMOCD website.
 - d. Well logs obtained from the NMOCD website.
 - e. Well data obtained from subscription service Enverus.
 - f. Previously filed testimony of both Empire's and Goodnight's witnesses provided by Attorneys.
 - g. Discussions with Goodnight Midstream personnel.
 - h. Discussions with Netherland Sewell & Associates ("NSAI").
 - i. Testimony of Goodnight Midstream's witnesses.

Dr. Davidson stated in his deposition that he relied on the tops picked for the San Andres formation—which information was provided on 9/17 among the documents he reviewed or relied on.

	in the Seminole San Andres unit wells, we ran the	1	can to be honest with you, I don't know that anybody
2	model and then compared it to some of the core work	2	can reliably pick that unless we get paleontological
3	that Hess had published and see if we were coming up	3	data of some type.
4	with reasonable matches to the core measurements.	4	Q. I'm sorry, you said what kind of data?
5	Q. I think we're about ready to jump to your	5	A. Like paleo data, critters, bugs, you know,
6	report. And I am going to share my screen again.	6	fossils. That sort of thing maybe could be used. I
7	MS. SHAHEEN: Does everyone see		don't know. Again, I'm not a geologist. I don't make
8	Dr. Davidson's statement here? I'm on page 3.	8	zone picks.
9	MR. MOANDER: Yes.	9	Q. Did you see any distinct demarker on logs
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can see it.	10	indicating that the top of the San Andres?
11	Q. (BY MS. SHAHEEN) And, Dr. Davidson, can	11	A. No.
12	you read it?	12	Q. Turning now to bullet four. And we're
13	A. I can read a copy of my hard copy. I can't		going to actually switch back and forth between bullet
14	see it on the screen, but I can probably get it on the		four and figure 4.
	hard copy I have.	15	A. Okay.
16	Q. Okay. Well, if you'll just let me know if	16	Q. At the top of page 4, "The intervals of
	- if you need Jonathan's assistance, we'd like to have		residual oil in the San Andres aquifer are too thin,
	him on camera when he's helping you. And we can take		too widely spaced, and are not likely areally
	some time to make that happen.		continuous enough to support efficient enhanced
20	A. I can move over and he can help. Not		recovery operations." Is that correct?
	quite. You can almost see him. Let me move over more.	21	A. Yes.
	And now I'm not in. All right. Now we're both in.	22	Q. Can you provide some clarification on why
23	Q. Thank you so much.		you are stating that the potential San Andres ROZ is
24	So, here on pages 3 and 4, you have		too thin and too widely spaced, when you are showing
	provided a summary of your opinions.		continuous oil saturation on the EMSU 746 log
	Page 34		Page 3
1	A. Mm-hmm.		interpretation, which is figure 4? And I can jump to
2	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet	2	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page.
2 3	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A	2 3	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this
2 3 4	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced	2 3 4	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing.
2 3 4 5	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only	2 3 4 5	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU well, actually, this might be
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU."	2 3 4 5 6	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked?	2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that optimion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. 	2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure -, yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Mould be. Q. And how was the top defined? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU – well, actually, this might be figure – yeah, this is it – the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zoone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to I'm just trying to find the figure she's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure - yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernable discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to - I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And do you know who picked the tops that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure -, yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscemible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to - I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is - the figure you're pointing to is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure - yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernable discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to - I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And do you know who picked the tops that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure -, yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscentible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to - I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is - the figure you're pointing to is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zoone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And do you know who picked the tops that were provided? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE WEPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is the figure you're pointing to is just a gamma ray log, not an interpretation not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And ho you know who picked the tops that were provided? A. I do not. I suspect – the geologist from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is the figure you're pointing to is just a gamma ray log, not an interpretation not the interpreted response. What you see in the left-hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And do you know who picked the tops that were provided? A. I to not. I suspect – the geologist from Goodnight is Preston McGuire. I assume that Preston 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure - yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: Th sorry, Tm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to - Tm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is - the figure you're pointing to is just a gamma ray log, not an interpretation not the interpreted response. What you see in the left-hand track is just a gamma ray readings. The actual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodmight. Q. And do you know who picked the tops that were provided? A. I do not. I suspect – the geologist from Goodmight is Preston McGuire. I assume that Preston picked them, but I don't know that to be the case. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. It's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure - yeah, this is it - the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernable discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: Trn sorry, Trn not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to - Tm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is the figure you're pointing to is just a gamma ray log, not an interpretation not the interpreted response. What you see in the left-hand track is just a gamma ray readings. The actual interpretation of this well is in the appendix, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zoone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And do you know who picked the tops that were provided? A. I do not. I suspect – the geologist from Goodnight is Preston McGuire. I assume that Preston picked them, but I don't know that to be the case. Just an assumption on my part. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I'm not hearing what Dr. Davidson is saying. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is the figure you're pointing to is just a gamma ray log, not an interpretation not the interpreted response. What you see in the left-hand track is just a gamma ray readings. The actual interpretation of this well is in the appendix, I believe. THE WITNESS: Actually, does this one not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And taking a look first at this bullet three here where my hand is on the screen, it says, "A residual oil zone analogous to those where CO2 enhanced oil recovery operations have been employed exists only in the Grayburg formation in the EMSU." A. Okay. Q. Is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that this opinion is dependent on where the top of the San Andres is picked? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. Would be. Q. And how was the top defined? A. I was given the tops. I don't make any effort to pick the tops. Those were provided by Goodnight. Q. And to you know who picked the tops that were provided? A. I do not. I suspect – the geologist from Goodnight is Preston McGruire. I assume that Preston picked them, but I don't know that to be the case. Just an assumption on my part. Q. So, you didn't make any effort to verify 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that now. Let me see if I can get the right page. So, this is figure 4, and I believe this relates to that opinion that we just were reviewing. If's the EMSU - well, actually, this might be figure yeah, this is it the EMSU 746 interpretation? THE WITNESS: Is that in the appendix? That doesn't look like a complete figure (Indiscernible discussion between Dr. Davidson and Jonathan.) THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, we're just trying to I'm just trying to find the figure she's pointing to. A. This is the figure you're pointing to is just a gamma ray log, not an interpretation not the interpreted response. What you see in the left-hand track is just a gamma ray readings. The actual interpretation of this well is in the appendix, I believe.

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

www.veritext.com

If Empire is going to insist on pursuing an additional witness deposition at this late date, <u>Goodnight must be afforded</u> the opportunity to do the same and asks for the opportunity depose Empire's witness Dr. Lindsay.

Adam Rankin

Partner, Holland & Hart LLP

agrankin@hollandhart.com | T: (505) 954-7294 | M: (505) 570-0377 CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this email.

From: Ortiz, David <dortiz@spencerfane.com>

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2024 5:16 PM

To: mbeck@peiferlaw.com; msuazo@bwenergylaw.com; sgraham@bwenergylaw.com; kluck@bwenergylaw.com; jparrot@bwenergylaw.com; Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov; Jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov; Michael Feldewert <MFeldewert@hollandhart.com>; Adam Rankin <AGRankin@hollandhart.com>; Paula M. Vance <PMVance@hollandhart.com>; Nathan R. Jurgensen <NRJurgensen@hollandhart.com>; dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com; jmclean@hinklelawfirm.com; trode@hinklelawfirm.com; padillalawnm@outlook.com; drubin@nmag.gov; sheila.apodaca@emnrd.nm.gov; ripharwoodrbhpc@gmail.com

Cc: Shaheen, Sharon <sshaheen@spencerfane.com>; Sandoval, Yolanda <ysandoval@spencerfane.com> Subject: Goodnight/Empire - Case Nos. 24123, 23614-23617, 23755, 24018-24020, 24025 (Empire New Mexico, LLC's Request for Subpoenas)

External Email

All,

Attached is *Empire New Mexico*, *LLC's Request for Subpoenas for (1) Deposition of Preston McGuire and (2) Production of Documents* which was submitted for filing today.

David Ortiz Legal Administrative Assistant Spencer Fane LLP

325 Paseo De Peralta | Santa Fe, NM 87501-1860 D 505.986.2641 O 505.982.3873 F 505.982.4289 dortiz@spencerfane.com | spencerfane.com