

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

ON BEHALF OF THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:

CHRISTY TREVINO, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Department, Office of General Counsel (OCD)
1220 South Street Francis Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87505
christy.trevino@emnrd.nm.gov
(505) 709-5687

ON BEHALF OF OXY USA, INC., MATADOR PRODUCTION
COMPANY, READ & STEVENS, PERMIAN RESOURCES, APACHE,
AND MEWBOURNE:

PAULA M. VANCE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Holland & Hart LLP
110 North Guadalupe Street, Suite 1
Santa Fe, NM 87501
pmvance@hollandhart.com
(505) 988-4421

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd)

ON BEHALF OF XTO ENERGY, INC. AND 3R OPERATING:

MIGUEL A. SUAZO, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Beatty & Wozniak, P.C.
500 Don Gaspar Avenue
Santa Fe, NM 87505
msuazo@bwenergylaw.com
(505) 983-8545

ON BEHALF OF AVANT OPERATING LLC AND CIMAREX ENERGY
CO.:

BENJAMIN B. HOLLIDAY, ESQUIRE (by
videoconference)
Holliday Energy Law Group, PC
107 Katherine Court
San Antonio, TX 78209
ben@theenergylawgroup.com
(210) 469-3197

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd)
ON BEHALF OF CONOCOPHILLIPS, EOG RESOURCES, AND
MARATHON OIL PERMIAN:

KERI L. HATLEY, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
ConocoPhillips
1048 Paseo De Peralta
Santa Fe, NM 87501
keri.hatley@conocophillips.com
(505) 780-8006

ON BEHALF OF MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY AND MRC
PERMIAN COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQUIRE (by
videoconference)
P.O. Box 1056
Santa Fe, NM 87504
jamesbruc@aol.com
(505) 982-2043

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd)

ON BEHALF OF CIMAREX ENERGY:

DEANA M. BENNETT, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Modrall Sperling
500 4th Street Northwest, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, NM 87102
deana.bennett@modrall.com
(505) 848-1800

ON BEHALF OF CIVITAS PERMIAN OPERATING LLC:

MICHAEL RODRIGUEZ, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Civitas Permian Operating, LLC
309 Johnson Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501
mrodriguez@civiresources.com
(303) 312-8518

ON BEHALF OF COG OPERATING AND 3R OPERATING:

JACLYN M. MCLEAN, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Hinkle Shanor LLP
218 Montezuma Avenue
Santa Fe, NM 87501
jmclean@hinklelawfirm.com
(505) 982-4554

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd)

ON BEHALF OF CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY, WPX ENERGY
PERMIAN, LLC, AND V-F PETROLEUM:

DARIN C. SAVAGE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Abadie & Schill, P.C.
214 Mckenzie Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501
darin@abadieschill.com
(970) 385-4401

ON BEHALF OF CAROLYN BEALL:

KAITLYN A. LUCK, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
P.O. Box 483
Taos, NM 87571
luck.kaitlyn@gmail.com
(361) 648-1973

ON BEHALF OF FASKEN OIL AND RANCH LTD.:

SHELLY L. DALRYMPLE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Spencer Fane
325 Paseo De Peralta
Santa Fe, NM 87501
sdalrymple@spencerfane.com
(505) 986-2509

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd)

ALSO PRESENT:

Dean McClure, Technical Examiner, Oil
Conservation Division (by
videoconference)

Million Gebremichael, Technical Examiner, Oil
Conservation Division (by
videoconference)

Freya Tschantz, Law Clerk, Oil Conservation
Division (by videoconference)

Nicholas Karns, Witness (by videoconference)

Travis Macha, Witness (by videoconference)

Ira Bradford, Witness (by videoconference)

Jordan Shaw, Witness (by videoconference)

E X H I B I T S

NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
Exhibit 1	Affidavit of Nicholas Karns	14/14
Exhibit 2	Curriculum Vitae of Nicholas Karns	14/14
Exhibit 3	Notice of Violation Against M&M Energy, LLC, 12/04/2024	14/14
Exhibit 4	Nicholas Karns's Certified Mail Notice of NOV with Tracking Information	14/14
Exhibit 5	Nicholas Karns's Certified Mail Notice of Docketing Notice with Tracking Information	14/14
Exhibit 6	Revised Exhibit C-2, Surveyor-Marked C-102s	85/86
Exhibit 7	Revised Exhibit C-3, Second Updated Working Interest Control Pooling Exhibit in Favor of Permian	85/86
Exhibit 8	Revised Exhibit C-6 Including an Additional Letter of Support From Ard Oil, Ltd.	85/86
Exhibit 9	Revised Exhibit C-9 Including a Second Updated Timeline and Summary	85/86

E X H I B I T S (Cont'd)		
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
Exhibit 10	Supplemental Exhibit C-10, JOA Signed by Occidental Permian Limited Partnership	85/86
Exhibit 11	Supplemental Exhibit C-11, JOA Signed by Marathon Oil Company	85/86
Exhibit 12	Supplemental Exhibit D-7, Cross-Section Showing the Depth Severance Above Permian's Target Interval	71/71
Exhibit 13	Supplemental Exhibit D-8, Full Development Gunbarrel with First Bone Spring Referred to as "Future Inventory."	71/71
Exhibit 14	Revised Exhibit F, Updated Self-Affirmed Statement and Notice Information for Case Nos. 25145 Through 25148	71/71
Exhibit 15	Revised Exhibit G, Affidavit of Notice of Publication for Case Nos. 25145 Through 25148	71/71
Exhibit 16	TAB 1, Reference for Case No. 25115	74/79

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

E X H I B I T S (Cont'd)

NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
Exhibit 17	TAB 2, Exhibit A, Revised Self-Affirmed Statement of Landman Jordan Shaw	74/79
Exhibit 18	TAB 3, Exhibit B, Self-Affirmed Statement of Geologist Stephen Burke	74/79
Exhibit 19	TAB 4, Exhibit C, Self-Affirmed Statement of Notice, Darin C. Savage	74/79
Exhibit 20	Rebuttal Exhibit 15, Rebuttal to Permian Resources Exhibit E-5 Commentary	74/79

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE HEARING EXAMINER: It is 8:58 a.m. on February the 27th, 2025. This is one of the regularly scheduled dockets of the oil conservation division. My name is Gregory Chakalian. I'm the hearing examiner. I'm joined by Dean McClure, who is our technical examiner today. And let's get to the business at hand.

We are starting with a notice of violation case where the oil conservation division is the complainant, and we have M&M Energy, who is the respondent? This is Case Number 25165. Entrance of appearance, please.

MS. TREVINO: Christy Trevino with the Oil Conservation Division.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning.

MS. TREVINO: Morning.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do we have the respondent with us, Ms. Trevino --

MS. TREVINO: We have had no --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.

MS. TREVINO: We have had no contact. It's going to be Mr. Mickey McGhee, M-I-C-K-E-Y M-C-G-H-E-E.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let's see

1 if he's with us. Can you tell me what you've done to
2 apprise him of today's hearing?

3 MS. TREVINO: Yes. We issued the
4 notice of violation via certified mail and e-mail, and
5 that was shown picked up by the individual. And then
6 we also docketed this, and we also sent the docketing
7 notice via certified mail and e-mail. And through
8 tracking, it shows that it was picked up by the
9 individual.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you know how
11 long ago it was --

12 MS. TREVINO: I --

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you know how
14 long ago the docketing statement was picked up by the
15 individual?

16 MS. TREVINO: I can give you that. It
17 was picked up January 21st.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And did
19 that docketing information have today's date and time?

20 MS. TREVINO: It did as well as the
21 NOV. I also e-mailed him the docketing notice from
22 the clerk yesterday evening.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And is that an
24 e-mail that you've used to communicate with this
25 person before?

1 MS. TREVINO: Yes. The information is
2 in OCD permitting, and he's the operator of record.
3 And that's where we received the information.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let's go
5 on the record. What do you -- just give me a short
6 opening statement of what you believe the evidence
7 will show.

8 MS. TREVINO: We'd like to proceed via
9 affidavit, as this is uncontested so far. This
10 operator, M&M Energy, LLC, has three inactive wells
11 that are non-compliant with 19.15.25.8 and 5.9.

12 This operator also lacks sufficient
13 financial assurances in accordance with 19.15.8.9, and
14 then no C-115 production reports have been filed since
15 at least January 2021 in violation of 7.24 of the
16 rules.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you know if
18 the State Land Office is involved in this case?

19 MS. TREVINO: They are not. Not in my
20 case in chief.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

22 MS. TREVINO: We're going to be
23 requesting from this hearing that the operator plug
24 and abandon all three of the wells, for revocation of
25 authorization to transport, and a forfeiture of any

1 financial assurances, and it impose civil penalties of
2 \$8,100.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Why don't
4 you offer your exhibits?

5 MS. TREVINO: We would ask to enter
6 Exhibits 1 through 5, Exhibit 1 being the affidavit of
7 Mr. Nicholas Karns, and then Exhibit 2 is his
8 curriculum vitae. Exhibit 3 and its subsequent
9 exhibits are the notice of violation of the alleged
10 violations.

11 Exhibit 4 is the certified mail notice
12 of the NOV tracking information with the United States
13 Postal Service. And then Exhibit 5 is also the
14 docketing notice tracking information with the United
15 States Postal Service. And we're asking to enter
16 those into the record.

17 (Exhibit 1 through 5 were marked for
18 identification.)

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any
20 objections?

21 Not hearing any, your exhibits are
22 admitted into evidence.

23 (Exhibit 1 through 5 were received into
24 evidence.)

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: How do you want

1 proceed?

2 MS. TREVINO: Via the affidavit, and
3 then I guess closing the affidavit is accepted.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Your exhibits
5 are admitted into evidence, so when you say you want
6 to proceed by the affidavit, what do you mean?

7 MS. TREVINO: I guess -- I mean, since
8 it's admitted, then that's how we -- then we'll go to
9 closing.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I don't
11 think we need a closing argument at this point, so
12 please draft a proposed order in this case.

13 And do you want to -- since everything
14 is -- since all these exhibits are based on Mr.
15 Karns's testimony and exhibits, why don't you call him
16 as a witness and have him adopt the exhibits under
17 oath and see if he needs to make any corrections
18 before we go off the record?

19 MS. TREVINO: We can do that,
20 Mr. Hearing Examiner.

21 Mr. Nicholas Karns, if you can put your
22 camera on and unmute yourself.

23 MR. KARNS: Good morning.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning,
25 Mr. Karns. Would you raise your right hand, please.

1 WHEREUPON,

2 NICHOLAS KARNS,

3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

7 Please proceed, Ms. Trevino.

8 MS. TREVINO: Mr. Karns, do you affirm
9 and adopt Exhibit 1, your affidavit of the evidence?

10 MR. KARNS: I do, yes.

11 MS. TREVINO: Do you affirm and adopt
12 Exhibit 2?

13 MR. KARNS: Yes, I do.

14 MS. TREVINO: The curriculum vitae?

15 MR. KARNS: Yes.

16 MS. TREVINO: Do you affirm and adopt
17 the notice of violation and all the alleged violations
18 as a true and accurate representation of the
19 information?

20 MR. KARNS: Yes, I do.

21 MS. TREVINO: Do you affirm and adopt
22 Exhibit 4, the certified mailing of the NOV and
23 tracking information?

24 MR. KARNS: Yes -- yes, I do.

25 MS. TREVINO: Do you affirm and adopt

1 Exhibit 5, the certified mailing of the docketing
2 notice and tracking information?

3 MR. KARNS: Yes.

4 MS. TREVINO: We have nothing further,
5 Mr. Hearing Examiner.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. As I
7 stated previously, we're in recess on this case.
8 Please draft a proposed order and send it to me.

9 MS. TREVINO: Yes, Mr. Hearing
10 Examiner. Thank you.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there
12 anything further?

13 MS. TREVINO: Nope.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you,
15 Ms. Trevino.

16 MS. TREVINO: Thank you.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm now
18 on Number 2. This is OXY USA. It is case 25054. It
19 is a continuation from a previous hearing to cure a
20 notice issue. Entrance of appearance, please.

21 MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
22 Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of
23 Holland & Hart on behalf of the applicant OXY.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning.
25 Now, Ms. Vance, I don't know that we have the

1 technical examiners with us today. I don't know that
2 they're needed. Why don't you tell us why we're back
3 here today?

4 MS. VANCE: So and I will say I'm
5 standing in for my colleague, Mr. Rankin, who is tied
6 up today with some hearings. And it's my
7 understanding that there is a notice of publication.
8 There was a correction that needed to be made, I
9 believe, to the land description, and so we were
10 waiting to get that affidavit.

11 I am still waiting on that and have not
12 received it yet. I asked my legal assistant to ping
13 the newspaper and see if we -- if it got it. So
14 basically we're just waiting to file that and get it
15 on the record.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is there
17 any point in coming back to this case later today at
18 the end of the docket, or do you want to reschedule
19 this for another day?

20 MS. VANCE: Could we go ahead -- and
21 I'm still waiting to hear back from my legal
22 assistant, so if we could put it at the end of the
23 docket and I can check back in with her, that would be
24 great.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Of course.

1 Perfect. And you're involved in the last cases of the
2 day, so I'll leave it to you to remind me to come back
3 on the record in this case; otherwise, we'll be in
4 recess on this case. Let me make a note here. Okay.
5 Let's move on.

6 We are now on Number 3 on our docket.
7 This is Matador Production Company. It's compulsory
8 pooling application 24864. It is joint for hearing
9 purposes with 24865. Entrance of appearance, please.

10 MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
11 Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of
12 Holland & Hart on behalf of Matador.

13 MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
14 Miguel Suazo with the Santa Fe office of Beatty &
15 Wozniak appearing on behalf of XTO Energy.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning. I
17 wonder if Ms. Dalrymple is speaking, because she's
18 muted. There we go.

19 MS. DALRYMPLE: Yes. Apologies,
20 Mr. Hearing Examiner. Shelly Dalrymple of the Spencer
21 Fayne Santa Fe office on behalf of Fasken Oil; and I'm
22 sitting in for Sharon Shaheen, who is in another
23 hearing.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Thank you.
25 Are there any other -- Ms. Vance, are

1 there any other parties that you know of?

2 MS. VANCE: No.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let
4 me -- before I turn to you, Ms. Vance, Mr. Suazo, did
5 you enter an objection?

6 MR. SUAZO: We did, but we've withdrawn
7 that objection, and we're appearing today for
8 monitoring purposes only, Mr. Examiner.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So you
10 withdrew your objection. Okay.

11 Ms. Dalrymple?

12 MS. DALRYMPLE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
13 believe we have lodged an objection, and we have not
14 withdrawn it.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance, do
16 you agree?

17 MS. VANCE: Yes -- yes.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. How do
19 you want to proceed?

20 MS. VANCE: Well, I was e-mailing with
21 Ms. Shaheen and I believe miss --

22 And I apologize. I'm not going to say
23 your name right.

24 -- was on the e-mail as well. And so
25 we'd like to set another status conference if

1 possible. The parties are working to resolve their
2 issues, and so if we could do another status
3 conference, I think that would be the preference.

4 If not, and the examiner would like to
5 set a contested hearing date, we would just ask that
6 it be pushed out as far as possible with the hopes
7 that the parties would come to an agreement by then,
8 and the protest would be dropped, and we can proceed
9 by affidavit.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have some
11 notes here that helped me in this case, but let me
12 look at a few things to back that up. Ms. Vance, when
13 was your case filed?

14 MS. VANCE: I knew you were going to
15 ask me that, and I don't have it off the top of my
16 head. I will look very quickly.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I can find it.
18 It's right here.

19 MR. SUAZO: September 10th.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

21 Ms. Vance, I can't keep this going.
22 This, I've noted, was a final status conference today,
23 so I can't set another status conference. And as much
24 as I'd like to push it out as far as possible, the
25 furthest I can push it would be in April.

1 We have some dates that I was sent
2 today. Well, actually, April's not -- oh, here we go.
3 So the best I could do, Ms. Vance, would be either
4 April 1st or April 15th, and I'll leave that up to you
5 and Ms. Dalrymple to decide which is best.

6 MS. VANCE: Matador would prefer the
7 15th.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I thought so.
9 Okay.

10 MS. VANCE: But we're fine with either.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

12 Ms. Dalrymple?

13 MS. DALRYMPLE: I think that'll be
14 fine. And forgive me for not completely understanding
15 these procedures, but Sharon asked that we -- could it
16 be set for a conditional hearing by affidavit in case
17 we get that finalized before there's a full contested
18 hearing? We think that the --

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well,
20 that's -- it's not Ms. Shaheen's cases. These Matador
21 cases are -- Ms. Shaheen entered an appearance on
22 behalf of Fasken, as I understand it, and objected to
23 Ms. Vance proceeding by affidavit.

24 So of course if for some reason Fasken
25 settles this case with Matador, they'll -- she will

1 enter a withdrawal of objection the way Mr. Suazo did,
2 and then this case will be put on a docket where we
3 have a technical examiner to hear it by affidavit. So
4 that's the way it works.

5 MS. DALRYMPLE: Thank you. My
6 apologies. We're fine with April 15th.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect.

8 So, Freya, would you please make a note
9 that we will -- do we already have a case for April
10 15?

11 THE CLERK: We do, so this would be the
12 second hearing set.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.

14 So, Ms. Vance, it's a perfect setting
15 for this, so it encourages you to settle the case with
16 Fasken; but if you can't, we're here to help you out.

17 MS. VANCE: Thank you.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
19 Anything further on these two cases?

20 MS. VANCE: Not from me.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

22 MS. DALRYMPLE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
23 Officer.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

25 Okay. We're in recess on these two

1 cases.

2 We're moving on to Avant operating.
3 This is 24872. Let's see if it's joined. Yes. With
4 73. Entrance of appearance, please.

5 MR. HOLLIDAY: Good morning,
6 Mr. Examiner. Ben Holliday with the Holliday Energy
7 Law Group on behalf of Avant.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning.

9 MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
10 Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of
11 Holland & Hart on behalf of Apache, Mewbourne, and
12 Permian.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mewbourne and
14 Permian. And did you enter an objection?

15 MS. VANCE: I believe we -- some of
16 those parties did, but we've withdrawn all of them. I
17 am just monitoring on behalf of all of those parties.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, fantastic.

19 Mr. Holliday, are there any other
20 parties you know of that prevent you from proceeding
21 by affidavit?

22 MS. HATLEY: Mr. Examiner, Keri Hatley
23 entering appearance on behalf of ConocoPhillips.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And did
25 you enter an objection?

1 MS. HATLEY: We did enter an objection,
2 but we are prepared to withdraw that objection now.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you haven't
4 yet. Okay.

5 MS. HATLEY: We have not yet, but we
6 are ready to.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
8 Thank you.

9 Mr. Holliday, are there any other
10 parties that you know of that prevent you from
11 proceeding?

12 MR. HOLLIDAY: There are not.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
14 good. So then we need to move this to a docket in
15 which we can handle this by affidavit.

16 MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, sir.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
18 good.

19 So, Freya, when would that be?

20 THE CLERK: March 13th.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

22 Mr. Holliday, would you move your two
23 cases to March 13?

24 MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. Well, we
25 have -- there is one wrinkle. This case, 24872, we

1 can definitely set for hearing by affidavit on the
2 13th, and I will move for that one.

3 We had a notice of intervention by
4 Paloma on 24873, but I have not heard anything from
5 them. I don't know if they're here today. I guess we
6 can go ahead and set that if there's no live
7 objection -- there's not in the record; I checked this
8 morning -- we can go ahead and set that for hearing by
9 affidavit on the 13th, too.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

11 And now that I think of March 13,
12 Freya, I thought that that docket was full at 60
13 cases?

14 THE CLERK: It is full. I am prepared
15 to move some of the new applications to next month.
16 Since this is an older case, we can accommodate it.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
18 you, Freya. I appreciate it.

19 Okay. So, Mr. Holliday, you have a
20 notice of intervention. Are you opposing the
21 intervention?

22 MR. HOLLIDAY: No, we're not opposing
23 the intervention. There was an attempted objection,
24 lack of standing. The filed notice of intervention, I
25 mean, there's no live objection at this point, so we

1 can set it for affidavit. And if there is an
2 objection filed later, I guess we can deal with that
3 as it comes up.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And who is the
5 party that's intervening --

6 MR. HOLLIDAY: It was Paloma Permian
7 Asset Company.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, Paloma. And
9 who represents Paloma?

10 MR. HOLLIDAY: Jewell Jimmerson.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think I've
12 heard that name before. Okay.

13 MR. HOLLIDAY: They're a Colorado firm.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, all right.
15 Okay. All right. Have you reached out to them to see
16 if they're objecting?

17 MR. HOLLIDAY: You know, I haven't.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

19 MR. HOLLIDAY: I will. We had been
20 communicating regarding the intervention, and so there
21 has been prior communication about the case. I have
22 not called and asked them about this. I can and just
23 let him know.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

25 MR. HOLLIDAY: And my preference would

1 be to set it for hearing by affidavit. If for some
2 reason that doesn't go forward, then we can set it for
3 contested hearing at the next date.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
5 right. So it sounds like Freya is making room for you
6 on the March 13 docket and bumping some other newer
7 cases to get your two cases heard.

8 MR. HOLLIDAY: Much appreciated. And I
9 did look up the filing date on this. It was
10 September 10th.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I knew it
12 was older. Yeah. Anything 248 is older.

13 MR. HOLLIDAY: Yeah. Right.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So is
15 there anything further from Ms. Hatley or Ms. Vance on
16 these cases?

17 MS. HATLEY: No, Mr. Examiner. Thank
18 you.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
20 right. Thank you.

21 MS. VANCE: No.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So we'll see you
23 March 13 for these two cases. Off the record on those
24 two cases.

25 Let's move on to Avant Operating. This

1 is a final status conference. This is 24874.

2 MR. HOLLIDAY: Ben Holliday on behalf
3 of Avant Operating and Cimarex Energy Co.

4 MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
5 Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of
6 Holland & Hart on behalf of Devon.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Devon,
8 D-E-V-O-N?

9 MS. VANCE: That's correct.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you object?

11 MS. VANCE: I believe so, yes.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's going to
13 be a question I'm going to ask any time you, you know,
14 are entered on someone else's case. So if you could
15 be prepared, I appreciate it. Okay. And you're not
16 withdrawing that objection to that; right, Ms. Vance?

17 MS. VANCE: No.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
19 good.

20 Mr. Holliday, are there any other
21 parties that you know of?

22 MS. VANCE: I don't believe so, no,
23 sir.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. How do
25 you want to proceed?

1 MR. HOLLIDAY: I feel like I know how
2 the answer's going to be. We'd like the -- we'd like
3 to set this for --

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No.

5 MR. HOLLIDAY: No. Okay. We'll set it
6 for a contested hearing at -- yeah. Okay.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're available
8 in April?

9 MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. And my preference
10 would be the week of the 7th sometime, but we can --

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: In April we have
12 only three dates we can offer you based on technical
13 examiner availability. We have the 1st, the 15th, or
14 the 29th.

15 MR. HOLLIDAY: The 29th would be
16 preferable.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
18 right. 29th. All right. If you settle your
19 differences with Devon, then we'll move you to the
20 next, you know, affidavit hearing date that we have;
21 okay?

22 MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, sir. And then
23 we -- I think Ms. Vance and I both expect this to get
24 worked out, but thank you for setting --

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Great. And the

1 sooner you settle it, the sooner that we can get this,
2 because this also being an older case, we would put
3 this -- we would give this priority.

4 MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, sir.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So we'll
6 issue a pre-hearing order in this case for April 29th.
7 Anything further, Mr. Holliday?

8 MR. HOLLIDAY: Nothing further from me,
9 sir.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance?

11 MS. VANCE: Nothing.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: We're in recess
13 on this case.

14 Let's move to Matador Production
15 Company, 24943. Now, it looks like it might be joined
16 with some other case numbers, which are not in any
17 order that I can understand, 24982, 25091, and 25102.
18 Entrance of appearance, please.

19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce
20 representing Matador Production Company and MRC
21 Permian Company, and I can explain the difference in
22 case numbers later.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
24 Thank you, Mr. Bruce. Good morning.

25 Mr. Suazo?

1 MR. SUAZO: Yes. Good morning,
2 Mr. Examiner. Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak
3 appearing on behalf of XTO Energy in cases 24982,
4 25091, and 25102.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay.
6 Perfect. Thank you.

7 MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
8 Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett on behalf of Cimarex
9 Energy in all four cases.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: For Cimarex in
11 all four. Ms. Bennett, did you enter an objection in
12 all four cases?

13 MS. BENNETT: We entered an objection
14 in the Matador cases, which are 24943 and 24982. We
15 are the applicant in 25091 and 25102.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.
17 Thank you. Are these competing applications?

18 MS. BENNETT: They are.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, very good.
20 Excellent. Okay. Let me -- are there any other
21 entrance of appearance before I look at my notes?

22 MS. HATLEY: COG Operating and
23 ConocoPhillips.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Hatley?

25 MS. HATLEY: Mr. Examiner?

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

2 MS. HATLEY: Yes. Sorry about that.
3 Keri Hatley entering an appearance for COG Operating
4 and ConocoPhillips.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And did you
6 enter an objection in any of the four cases?

7 MS. HATLEY: We did enter objections,
8 but we withdrew those in December.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, you
10 withdrew. Okay.

11 And, Mr. Suazo, did you enter an
12 objection in any case?

13 MR. SUAZO: Yes, we did.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which ones?

15 MR. SUAZO: 25102 and 25091 and 24982.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Interesting. So
17 both -- so you entered an objection in both Cimarex
18 cases and in one Matador case?

19 MR. SUAZO: Correct.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Interesting.
21 All right. And those are -- you're maintaining those
22 objections?

23 MR. SUAZO: Yes.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: For now?

25 MR. SUAZO: For now.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
2 Sounds good. Okay. Let me just read my notes here.
3 Hold on one second. And all this information was in
4 Freya's notes. That's how complete they are.

5 Thank you, Freya.

6 Okay. So it looks to me that we -- the
7 objective here is to set a contested hearing for late
8 April. We have two dates. We have the 15th and 29th.

9 I'll start with you, Mr. Bruce. Which
10 one's better for you?

11 MR. BRUCE: I think we'd be looking at
12 a later date, but Ms. Bennett may have more to talk
13 about on the hearing date. But we would prefer a
14 later date.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

16 Ms. Bennett?

17 MS. BENNETT: Thank you. Yes. We've
18 been in discussions, XTO and MRC, and we've agreed on
19 April 29th. Of course, if there's a potential for a
20 later date than that in May, that'd be my preference,
21 but I understand that the other parties may prefer the
22 April 29th.

23 My main concern earlier today was when
24 the hearing examiner didn't list April 29th as a
25 possibility during one of the first status

1 conferences, and we weren't -- I've only asked about
2 April 29th for my witnesses; so --

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So
4 April 29th sounds like the date that Mr. Bruce and
5 Ms. Bennett prefer.

6 Mr. Suazo, are you okay with
7 April 29th?

8 MR. SUAZO: Yes. That's acceptable to
9 XTO. And sorry. I was misreading my notes. I'd like
10 to clarify for the record that XTO only objected to
11 case 24982, not the other ones. Apologies.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
13 then let's see. XTO only objects to 24982. Yep.
14 That's what Freya has here. She got it right again.

15 Okay. Ms. Hatley, April 29?

16 MS. HATLEY: Mr. Examiner, we've
17 withdrawn our objections in these cases, and we'll
18 just be monitoring. So whatever the parties decide is
19 acceptable to us.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just wanted to
21 make sure it was convenient for you to attend, but
22 that's great. Okay. We'll issue a pre-hearing order
23 for April 29 in these four cases, the competing cases.
24 Anything further, Mr. Bruce?

25 MR. BRUCE: No, sir.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?

2 MS. BENNETT: Nothing further. Thank
3 you.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Suazo?

5 MR. SUAZO: Nothing further,
6 Mr. Examiner.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

8 And, Ms. Hatley, I assume that you are
9 just monitoring; so --

10 MS. HATLEY: Yes, sir. Thank you.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We're off
12 the record in these four cases.

13 We are moving on to Number 12 on our
14 docket. This is Civitas Permian Operating, 25031.

15 Entry of appearance, please.

16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning,
17 Mr. Hearing Examiner. Michael Rodriguez on behalf of
18 the applicant.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Rodriguez, I
20 have a feeling there's another microphone open in your
21 room.

22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: One moment. I am going
23 to try to log in from my phone.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Sounds
25 good. And while you do that, are there any other

1 parties entered into this case?

2 MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Good morning,
3 Mr. Examiner. Jackie McLean on behalf of COG
4 Operating.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning.
6 Did you enter an objection?

7 MS. MCLEAN: We did.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any
9 others?

10 MS. VANCE: Mr. Hearing
11 Examiner -- wow. There's, like, a very bad echo.

12 MR. MCCLURE: So, Ms. McLean --

13 MS. MCLEAN: Yeah --

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Rodriguez, I
15 have a feeling it's your microphone that's causing
16 this. Can we get you to disconnect and reconnect? I
17 can call this case again, but we can't deal with
18 the --

19 MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
20 think it might be Ms. McLean's microphone, actually.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. McLean,
22 would that be possible?

23 MS. MCLEAN: Let me -- I'm in a
24 conference room in Midland with nothing else except my
25 laptop. So let me -- hold on one second. Let me turn

1 on my --

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's better now.

3 MS. MCLEAN: Okay. So I haven't done
4 anything. Okay. Do you still have feedback,
5 Mr. McClure?

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No.

7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you all hear me
8 okay?

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, now we do
10 have it again.

11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: All right. I'm going
12 to keep trying again from my phone.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

14 Hey. Let's take a five-minutes break.
15 This would be the perfect time. It's 9:27. Let's get
16 back on the record at 9:35. Thank you.

17 (Off the record.)

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. It
19 is -- excuse me?

20 MR. MCCLURE: I think maybe there was a
21 slight echo still actually. I thought it was fixed,
22 but maybe I'm mistaken.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
24 Well, let's just try to get through this case as
25 quickly as possible and then we can have people sign

1 out, I guess. Okay. So we are talking about Case
2 Number 25031. We have Mr. Rodriguez for Civitas. We
3 have Ms. McLean for COG. Is there any other parties?

4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Not that I'm aware of.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. How
6 do you want to proceed, Mr. Rodriguez?

7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe the parties
8 would like to set a contested hearing date preferably
9 in May. But I did want to address one issue whenever
10 you'd like me to get into that.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I can
12 offer you May 13 if that works for you and Ms. McLean.

13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe that should
14 work for Civitas.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What was the
16 issue you want to address --

17 MS. MCLEAN: Yeah. And that works for
18 COG.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you,
20 Ms. McLean.

21 Mr. Rodriguez, what is the issue?

22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So subsequent to
23 filing, our land team identified a vertical well that
24 a spacing unit would overlap. It's a 40-acre vertical
25 spacing unit covering the southwest corner of the

1 southeast corner of section 3.

2 And it's a COG Operating LLC well, and
3 because of that, I went ahead and filed a revised
4 application yesterday that incorporated a request for
5 the overlapping spacing unit approval, and it's set
6 for an April 10th hearing date. But it has not
7 received a case number yet, but it has been submitted.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. McLean,
9 I'm sure you're going to object to that case as well?

10 MS. MCLEAN: Yes. I believe that we
11 will.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect.
13 Ms. McLean, do you anticipate filing a competing
14 application?

15 MS. MCLEAN: Yes. I believe that we
16 are going to be filing. COG owns or, you know,
17 controls 100 percent of the working interest in the
18 units we're seeking to pool. But we have to pool the
19 overrides, so that's all that this will be. And we
20 are planning on filing so that we can be on the April
21 docket.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So,
23 Mr. Rodriguez, would you please -- once you get a case
24 number for the amended application, would you please
25 file a notice of dismissal for the other case?

1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. I can do that.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And then,
3 Ms. McLean, would you please file your case as soon as
4 possible so that there is no notice issue with the
5 May 13th hearing?

6 MS. MCLEAN: Certainly.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

8 So, Freya, we're doing to do a
9 pre-hearing order for May 13 for the amended
10 application once we get a number for it.

11 And then, Ms. McLean, when you file
12 your case, would you file a motion to consolidate that
13 case with the May 13 hearing?

14 MS. MCLEAN: Yes. We'll do that.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
16 Is there anything further?

17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Not from Civitas.

18 MS. MCLEAN: Not from COG.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Then
20 we're off the record in that case. Let's move on.

21 MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.

22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

24 All right. So I'm now calling three
25 cases for Matador Production. These are case numbers

1 25035, 37, and 38. Entrance of appearance, please.

2 MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
3 Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of
4 Holland & Hart on behalf of Matador.

5 MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
6 Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak appearing on behalf
7 of XTO Energy in cases 25035 and 37 only.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
9 you.

10 MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
11 Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie & Schill on behalf
12 of Cimarex Energy Co.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So,
14 Mr. Savage, let's start with you. Did you file an
15 objection?

16 MR. SAVAGE: We did.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And why?

18 MR. SAVAGE: They're doing negotiations
19 right now. And as I understand, they are
20 contemplating a potential competing application, but
21 that hasn't been finalized.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
23 right.

24 And Ms. Vance?

25 MS. VANCE: Yes. Good morning. That's

1 the first I'm hearing of that. So we were wanting to
2 request at least another status conference in a month,
3 but understand now that there's a potential that
4 Cimarex may be filing competing applications.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. These
6 don't look like very old cases. Do you know when they
7 were filed?

8 MS. VANCE: I do.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good.

10 MS. VANCE: On December 9th.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: December 9th.
12 Okay. Let's see. If we go to another status
13 conference, that'll be the final status conference.
14 So that would be all right.

15 And, Mr. Suazo, are you objecting?

16 MR. SUAZO: We did initially. We've
17 resolved our concerns, which is over acreage and a
18 number of other development plans, and we've withdrawn
19 that objection. So just here for monitoring purposes
20 today in these two cases.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. Thank
22 you, sir.

23 Mr. Savage, let me come back to you for
24 a moment. Do you have any idea how much ownership you
25 have in this on Cimarex's behalf?

1 MR. SAVAGE: I don't know the details.
2 And then if I could just clarify for the record,
3 negotiations are very fruitful. And the potential for
4 a competing application is probably minimal, but it is
5 on the table.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
7 right.

8 So, Ms. Vance, how much time do you
9 think you need to at least try to resolve the issues
10 with Cimarex?

11 MS. VANCE: We would like a month if
12 that works for the Division and for Cimarex.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.

14 So, Freya, what would be the status
15 conference docket in March?

16 THE CLERK: It's March 27.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: March 27. So
18 it's almost exactly a month.

19 Ms. Vance, does that work for you?

20 MS. VANCE: Yes.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. This
22 will be the final status conference. It'll be
23 March 27. At that point, if these cases are
24 not -- the objections are not withdrawn, then we'll
25 look to set this for a contested hearing in May

1 sometime. That'll be two months out.

2 And let me give you -- Mr. Savage and
3 Ms. Vance, let me give you -- the only dates in May
4 that we have are May 27 and May 13, so please think
5 about those two dates as possible contested hearing
6 dates.

7 MS. VANCE: I do not want to do a
8 contested hearing on my birthday.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which one is
10 that? The 27th?

11 MS. VANCE: The 27th.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, so we're two
13 days apart. So you must be a Gemini.

14 MS. VANCE: I'm a double Gemini. Both
15 my parents double are Geminis.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: A double. Well,
17 congratulations. I'm two days after you. All right.
18 So we'll give you, then, May 13, and it makes sense
19 why. So May 13. All right. Thank you.

20 Mr. Savage, anything further?

21 MR. SAVAGE: No. Thank you.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

23 Ms. Vance?

24 MS. VANCE: No.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.

1 We're off the record in these three cases.

2 Let's move to OXY USA. This is a
3 compulsory pooling case, 25103. It looks like it's
4 joined to 104, 105, 106, 107, and 108. Oh.

5 MS. VANCE: Yes. Good morning,
6 Mr. Hearing Examiner.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You know,
8 Ms. McLean, I have you feeling it's you.

9 MS. MCLEAN: It is me.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have a feeling
11 it is, you devil. Okay. So who's representing OXY
12 here?

13 MS. VANCE: Good morning,
14 Mr. Hearing Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe
15 office of Holland & Hart on behalf of OXY.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. McLean,
17 maybe what you could do is you could mute your
18 microphone unless you're speaking. What do you think?

19 MS. MCLEAN: Yes. I can do that.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good.
21 Would you enter an appearance, please.

22 MS. MCLEAN: Certainly. Jackie McLean
23 on behalf of 3R Operating. Also Gemini.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, really?

25 MS. MCLEAN: May 26th. And I think,

1 Mr. Chakalian, my son shares your May 29th birthday.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Along with JFK,
3 as you probably knew.

4 MS. MCLEAN: Yes. So --

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You knew that --

6 MS. MCLEAN: Yes.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I grew up --

8 MS. MCLEAN: So here I am. All the
9 Geminis together.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's very
11 cool. So, Ms. McClean, did you enter an objection?

12 MS. MCLEAN: We did.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And any
14 particular reason?

15 MS. MCLEAN: Well, I think we, OXY, and
16 3R, are getting close to coming to a deal, and it's my
17 understanding that we should be able to withdraw our
18 objection in time for them to present by affidavit at
19 the March 13th docket.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
21 you. That's good information.

22 So, Ms. Vance, I'm not promising
23 March 13th, because we have older cases that may have
24 to go before yours if you do resolve your issues.
25 These are very new cases, so do you want to just set

1 them for another status --

2 MS. HATLEY: Excuse me. Mr. Examiner?

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sorry?

4 MS. HATLEY: Excuse me. So sorry to
5 interrupt, sir. Keri Hatley entering an appearance on
6 behalf of COG Operating and Marathon Oil Permian in
7 these cases, and we are monitoring only. No
8 objection.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

10 So, Ms. Vance, do you want to set
11 these -- do you want to be optimistic and set these,
12 let's say, on the April hearing by affidavit docket?

13 MS. VANCE: Yes. We would appreciate
14 that.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good. That'll
16 reserve a spot for you, because it will fill up, and I
17 don't want yours to get bumped any further than that.
18 So we'll make these a priority for the April --

19 Freya, what is the April hearing by
20 affidavit date?

21 THE CLERK: It's April 10th.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: April 10. Thank
23 you.

24 And good luck to the parties to work
25 their differences out.

1 Anything further, Ms. Vance,
2 Ms. McLean?

3 MS. VANCE: No.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We're off
5 the record --

6 MS. MCLEAN: Nothing here.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Excuse me?

8 MS. MCLEAN: Oh, I said, "Nothing
9 here."

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I just
11 heard an echo, and I wasn't sure what I was listening
12 to. Okay. Thank you. All right. So we're off the
13 record in these cases.

14 Let's go to 3R Operating cases 25123,
15 24, and then it looks like 25204 and 25205. Entrance
16 of appearance, please.

17 MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
18 Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak appearing on behalf
19 of 3R Operating.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

21 MS. HATLEY: Good morning,
22 Mr. Examiner. Keri Hatley on behalf of Marathon Oil
23 Permian.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
25 And before I go to you, Mr. Savage.

1 Ms. Hatley, did you enter an objection?
2 MS. HATLEY: We did not.
3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
4 Mr. Savage?
5 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Good morning,
6 Mr. Hearing Examiner. Darin Savage on behalf of WPX.
7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: WPX?
8 MR. SAVAGE: Energy Permian.
9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. And so
10 you did enter an objection?
11 MR. SAVAGE: We did.
12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good. And
13 what's the basis of the objection?
14 MR. SAVAGE: We do have competing
15 applications that we have filed and noted them as
16 competing.
17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's the
18 difference in the numbers? Are yours 204 and 205?
19 MR. SAVAGE: I believe that's correct.
20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
21 right. Well, if we have competing applications, then
22 it's pretty obvious that this should go to a contested
23 hearing; right?
24 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
25 MR. SUAZO: Yes, Mr. Examiner. That's

1 what we would like to discuss today, and that's what
2 we anticipated being scheduled. You know, per our
3 last hearing, we were supposed to choose a date of
4 either April 15th or April 29th for the contested
5 hearing date.

6 And I've conferred with Mr. Savage.
7 We've been unable to agree on those two dates. My
8 client strongly prefers an April 15th date, because it
9 has an expiring lease later this year, and my client
10 has prepared to drill as early as July.

11 So it's pretty critical that we get
12 this, you know, in order so that we can begin
13 productions hopefully and set the contested case
14 hearing as soon as possible.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

16 So, Mr. Savage, before I go to you.

17 Mr. Suazo, how much ownership does 3R
18 have in this area?

19 MR. SUAZO: Let me look.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And
21 while you're looking.

22 Mr. Savage, what's your preference on
23 dates?

24 MR. SAVAGE: WPX's preference is
25 April 29th.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. And is
2 there a reason?

3 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. That works best for
4 WPX'S team. And these two competing applications have
5 a overlapping section, and I believe that the lease
6 issue that Mr. Suazo speaks to involves one section, I
7 believe, in which they own 100 percent.

8 And WPX's position is they -- if they
9 wanted to, they could do a -- they could drill and
10 develop that and address the lease issue that way.
11 They could either do a one-mile or they could do a
12 two-mile with a U-turn, so we feel like that they have
13 options that would optimize production for them.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

15 MR. SUAZO: May I respond to that,
16 Mr. Examiner?

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, of course.
18 Definitely. So Mr. Savage is saying that his client
19 is prepared for 4/29, and you would prefer 4/15. What
20 did you want to say?

21 MR. SUAZO: So I confirm that 3R owns
22 nearly 50 percent and almost one of the two sections
23 involved in the pooling. I think that, you know, what
24 I'd like to raise is that WPX's position is that 3R
25 can drill a one-mile lateral or a two-mile lateral,

1 and the geology in this area essentially requires a
2 two-mile lateral for economic viability.

3 And that's exactly what 3R has planned.
4 So I think that, you know, overall, our application
5 proposals, you know, fit the needs of the area.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
7 understand. And, for me, that's neither here nor
8 there right now.

9 MR. SUAZO: Sure.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's your
11 applications, but they're competing applications. So
12 normally I give preference to the applicant when it
13 comes to the hearing date, but here we have competing
14 applications.

15 Mr. Suazo, it seems that two weeks is
16 not a huge difference in time for me to override the
17 preference of Mr. Savage. So I'll set it for 4/29
18 unless there's a strenuous objection, and you can give
19 me some reason why you'll suffer, you know, undue
20 prejudice from it.

21 MR. SUAZO: Yeah. I think I will for
22 the record make a strenuous objection simply because,
23 you know, I think, time is of the essence. And I will
24 say that WPX has issues with the BLM with its
25 sections. It hasn't, you know, submitted its APDs

1 with a -- virtual connectivity interruption --

2 So since our client has put in all the
3 work and is prepared to drill as soon as July and
4 because there is a lease expiration issue, you know,
5 having it sooner rather than later, you know, it does
6 matter in terms of weeks. So that's my objection for
7 the record. Thank you.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.

9 Mr. McClure, just a question to you for
10 the Division's operations. If we hear this case, you
11 know, instead of April 15, we hear it on April 29, is
12 there a chance that we'll get an order out before that
13 July --

14 What's the deadline that in the lease,
15 Mr. Suazo?

16 MR. SUAZO: It expires in October.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, October.
18 Okay.

19 Is there a chance, Mr. McClure, that
20 we'll get orders out in July?

21 MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
22 wasn't following the discussion. Is this the
23 competing cases or via affidavit?

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Competing
25 cases.

1 MR. MCCLURE: I mean, it's conceivable,
2 but competing cases do take a substantial more amount
3 of time to write the order for.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
5 understand. That makes sense.

6 Mr. Suazo, I understand your objection.
7 We are only talking about a two-week difference, so
8 I'm going to go with the April 29 date that's
9 agreeable to both parties. And if for some reason
10 your witnesses can't appear on April 29, file a
11 motion, and we'll deal with it that way; okay?

12 MR. SUAZO: Yes, Mr. Examiner. We'll
13 make it happen.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
15 Thank you, sir.

16 Mr. Savage, anything further?

17 MR. SAVAGE: No. Thank you
18 Mr. Examiner.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
20 You're welcome. Okay. We're off the record in these
21 cases.

22 Let's move on to -- mine are out of
23 order now.

24 204 and 205 are not -- Mr. Savage, your
25 cases 204 and 205 are not on today's docket, are they?

1 MR. SAVAGE: No.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

3 MR. SAVAGE: I believe Ms. Tschantz put
4 them on there -- listed them on the worksheet to
5 address this contested hearing matter.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sounds good.
7 When are your cases? I suspect that you just filed
8 these cases?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, we did.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

11 MR. SAVAGE: And they're set for
12 March 13th.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, very good.
14 March 13th. Okay. Oh, so that's for the first. So
15 then do they really need to be on March 13th? Because
16 they're competing cases. I mean, what's the point?

17 MR. SAVAGE: Right. We will continue
18 those to the 29th, and we -- yes, sir.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: April 29. Yes.
20 What were you going to say?

21 MR. SAVAGE: Oh, we -- there's
22 also -- in that group of cases, there's some Bone
23 Spring cases that are not conflicting. But they're in
24 the subject lands, and we plan to go forward with
25 those by affidavit on the 13th. Just for some

1 additional information

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
3 right. That's not on my docket today; so --

4 MR. SAVAGE: Right. Yes, sir.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Recess on those
6 four, on those cases, those four cases.

7 Okay. I'm now calling the competing
8 compulsory pooling cases between Read & Stevens, also
9 known as Permian Resources, and V-F Petroleum.

10 These are 11 cases that have been
11 continued where the hearing started on the 28th of
12 January, and because of notice issues, they were
13 continued to February 13 and then to today. Let's get
14 entries of appearance first.

15 MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
16 Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of
17 Holland & Hart on behalf of Read & Stevens and
18 Permian.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

20 MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
21 Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie & Schill on behalf
22 of V-F Petroleum, Inc.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

24 MS. LUCK: And good morning. Kaitlyn
25 Luck on behalf of Carolyn Beall.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good
2 morning.

3 Okay. We have some motions that I want
4 to deal with as a preliminary matter. And then let's
5 talk about the exhibits, get those admitted, and deal
6 with the multiple filings. And then we'll get on the
7 record and get some testimony.

8 Are your witnesses available,
9 Ms. Vance? I know one of your witnesses was not
10 available at the time.

11 MS. VANCE: Yes. Mr. Macha is
12 available. I do believe that our geologist,
13 Mr. Cantin, he is unavailable; however, we do have his
14 colleague, Mr. Ira Bradford, who assisted with putting
15 together the exhibit materials. And he is familiar
16 with the applications and is available to answer any
17 geological questions.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
19 Thank you.

20 And, Mr. Savage, your witnesses?

21 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Mr. Jordan Shaw, the
22 landman, is available; and Stephen Burke, the
23 geologist, is also available.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect.

25 And, Ms. Luck, do you have any

1 witnesses?

2 MS. LUCK: I am similarly calling
3 Mr. Shaw, and he is available.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
5 right. Let's deal with some motions.

6 First of all, the first group of
7 motions that I received wanted me to or asked me to
8 reduce a verbal ruling that I made on the 28th of
9 January to a written order. Just to refresh the
10 record, there was a motion to dismiss Read & Stevens'
11 cases that were newly filed two weeks before the
12 January 28th contested hearing, and I denied that.

13 I laid out my reasons why today is a
14 continuation of that hearing to cure the notice issue
15 that we did have going into the January 28th hearing.
16 I laid it all out, and there was an assertion from
17 Ms. Luck and joined by Mr. Savage that I needed to
18 reduce that logic to a written order.

19 So, Ms. Luck, let me start with you.
20 Where in the rules do you find that I must issue a
21 ruling in writing?

22 MS. LUCK: And I'm not sure that
23 there's a specific rule that requires hearing
24 examiners to issue written orders every time we've
25 requested a written order in this case for purposes of

1 preserving, and I'll just defer to Mr. Savage if he
2 has additional information on that.

3 But it's simply our request for a
4 written order so that there is an appealable order to
5 take up to the Commission at this point in time
6 regarding the notice issue.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

8 Mr. Savage, specifically answering my
9 question, where in the rule does it state that I need
10 to issue an order in writing?

11 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, it's
12 clearly delineated in the case law. And because this
13 issue is an interlocutory appeal, we believe that it
14 would be beneficial to have a written explanation for
15 the OCC to review.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let's
17 see. In the rule that we are following, 19.15.4.16
18 NMAC, there is a section C that talks about hearings
19 on motions.

20 And it talks about, the first sentence,
21 "The director or division examiner may rule on motions
22 that are necessary or appropriate for the disposition
23 prior to a hearing on the merit." And then it talks
24 about the Commission, which I'm not going to read.

25 "Prior to ruling on a motion, the

1 director or division examiner shall give written or
2 oral notice to each party who has filed an appearance
3 in the case and who may have an interest in the
4 motion's disposition and shall allow interested
5 parties an opportunity, reasonable under the
6 circumstances, to respond to the motion."

7 And that, I did. And we did hear from
8 Read & Stevens. Is that right -- I don't want to
9 confuse this. There's been several motions and
10 responses.

11 Ms. Vance, did you respond to this
12 motion for a written order?

13 MS. VANCE: I did not.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You did
15 not, you said; right?

16 MS. VANCE: Correct. I did not.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
18 good. Then it says "The director or division examiner
19 may conduct a hearing on the motion following written
20 or oral notice to interested parties either at a
21 pre-hearing conference or otherwise." And that's all
22 it says, so there's nothing here that talks about an
23 order having to be in writing.

24 Now, the next issue -- and I deny it,
25 of course, so I'm denying this motion for a written

1 order. I'm denying it because there's nothing in the
2 rules that talk about issuing an order in writing.
3 This is under the authority that the hearing examiner
4 has. I will discuss that.

5 This is under 19.15.4.19 NMAC, Division
6 Examiner's Power and Authority: "The Division
7 examiner to whom the director refers a matter shall
8 have full authority to hold hearings on such matter in
9 accordance with" -- another rule -- "subject only to
10 the limitations that the director may order in a
11 particular case.

12 "The Division's examiner shall have the
13 power to perform all acts and take all measures
14 necessary and proper for the hearing's efficient and
15 orderly conduct, including administering oaths,
16 receiving testimony and exhibits, and ruling upon
17 objections that may be interposed."

18 So that's what the rule talks about
19 when it comes to my authority to rule on motions and
20 conduct hearings.

21 MS. LUCK: And, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
22 may I also direct your attention to 19.15.4.21? I'm
23 not sure if that was cited in our request, but it does
24 indicate that after a division examiner's hearing that
25 a division examiner must issue a report and

1 recommendations for the Division's disposition of the
2 matter or proceeding.

3 And so while I think that that rule
4 generally contemplates a complete proceeding, in this
5 case, we did request a written order due to the nature
6 of the proceeding that was held on January 28th before
7 the continuance to today's date.

8 And so I would just refer you back to
9 19.15.4.21: "Upon the conclusion of a hearing before
10 a division examiner, the Division examiner shall
11 promptly consider the proceedings in such a hearing
12 and based upon the hearing's record prepare a written
13 report with recommendations for the Division's
14 disposition of the matter or proceeding."

15 And so, in this case, although it's not
16 a complete proceeding at this point in time, it was
17 that there was a complete issue regarding notice that
18 we felt was proper for consideration and should be
19 appealed up to the Commission. So we'd just like to
20 make a record of that. Thank you.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I think by
22 your own reading of the rule, this rule doesn't apply
23 to where we are. This hearing is not complete. So
24 thank you, Ms. Luck, for that citation. Okay. So now
25 we have the concept of appealing a ruling on a motion

1 to the Commission.

2 So, Ms. Luck, where in the rules does
3 it give you the ability to file an interlocutory
4 appeal?

5 MS. LUCK: Well, I think that at any
6 point in time, a party of record who's adversely
7 affected by a decision by a division hearing examiner
8 can immediately file a de novo application to the
9 Commission.

10 Just referring to 19.15.4.23: "When
11 the Division enters an order pursuant to a hearing
12 that a division examiner held, a party of record whom
13 the order adversely affects has the right to have the
14 matter heard de novo before the Commission, provided
15 that within 30 days from the date the Division issues
16 the order, the party files a written application for
17 de novo hearing with the Commission clerk."

18 And so that would be what we read as a
19 right to a de novo appeal. Even if there's not a
20 written decision, there was an order entered regarding
21 notice and allowing these cases to proceed at this
22 point in time.

23 And so the Commission and the Division
24 have concurrent jurisdiction over matters relating to
25 oil and gas in the state of New Mexico, so whether the

1 issue is being heard before the Commission or the
2 Division, but both can simultaneously hear the same
3 issues.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
5 you, Ms. Luck. Okay. So then there was the notice of
6 intervention that you filed. There was a response to
7 the notice of intervention --

8 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner?

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm ruling in
10 favor of intervention in this case based on the record
11 from the last hearing, so that issue is decided.

12 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner --

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I assume you're
14 not appealing that, Ms. Luck?

15 MS. LUCK: I'm not appealing the notice
16 of intervention decision at this time, no, Mr. Hearing
17 Examiner. And I'll just defer to Darin if he has
18 something to add.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I didn't ask
20 Mr. Savage if he had anything to add, but thank you,
21 Ms. Luck. I'll conduct the hearing if you don't mind.
22 Okay. So now we go to the joint motion for stay of
23 proceedings, and this -- Ms. Luck, you filed this, or
24 Mr. Savage filed this?

25 MS. LUCK: Mr. Savage.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage, did
2 you file this joint motion?

3 MR. SAVAGE: We both executed the
4 motion, and I filed it.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can you tell me
6 why you called it a joint motion?

7 MR. SAVAGE: We both signed it.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: But you're not
9 the only parties in the case.

10 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir. It was a
11 joint -- jointly between counsel for Ms. Beall and
12 counsel for V-F Petroleum.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And can you tell
14 me where you put Read & Stevens' position on this
15 motion?

16 MR. SAVAGE: It was an oversight that
17 we did not include, but I believe Read & Stevens
18 promptly stated their position on this matter.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, that
20 doesn't relieve you the duty to follow the rules. And
21 rule 1-007.1 requires that you include the position of
22 an opposing party, and that wasn't done here.

23 And, Ms. Luck, did you review this
24 before you signed onto it?

25 MS. LUCK: Yes, I did. And I join with

1 Mr. Savage's statement that that was an oversight that
2 we didn't include Permian or Read & Stevens' position
3 on that joint motion. It was just filed on behalf of
4 V-F and Ms. Beall.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
6 Well, I don't see any authority -- this opposed motion
7 provides no authority for an interlocutory appeal
8 while the hearing is going on. Until a final order is
9 issued by the Division, I don't believe there is a
10 right to an appeal.

11 And, nevertheless, it's a motion to the
12 hearing examiner to stay the proceedings, and I'm
13 denying that. I issued a written order this morning
14 saying so. So this is denied. Okay. Now we're
15 here at the hearing --

16 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
17 may I --

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let's continue
19 our hearing.

20 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, may
21 I add --

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sorry. What,
23 Mr. Savage?

24 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. So if I understand
25 this at this point, based on your rulings, it sounds

1 like you're denying the OCC appeal. You're
2 denying -- dismissing the OCC hearing that was AP
3 approved; is that correct? April --

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I didn't
5 understand what you said. Would you repeat what you
6 said?

7 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. So we received
8 approval for an OCC appeal on April 17th -- to be
9 conducted on April 17th. So it sounds like you're
10 dismissing that hearing.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have no power
12 to dismiss anything before the Commission. I am
13 denying the motion to stay.

14 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So the appeal is
15 still an act of appeal, and it's been set for
16 April 17th. Is that a correct understanding?

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You'd have to
18 speak to the administrator for the OCC to find out
19 when it's set. I don't know

20 MR. SAVAGE: If you're ruling on this
21 that a interlocutory appeal is not valid --

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not saying
23 that. That's my belief. Reading the rules, I'm
24 saying that I don't believe you have the ability to
25 appeal anything but a final order. There is no final

1 order in this case. It's going to take months to get
2 a final order from the Division that I believe is
3 appealable.

4 However, you filed a de novo appeal.
5 It was accepted by the administrator. I say nothing
6 more about that. I have no other opinion about that.

7 MR. SAVAGE: If the administrator
8 agrees with your position and it's dismissed, I would
9 assume that on this issue that administrative remedies
10 have been exhausted, and it is ripe for appeal to a
11 district court.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just -- I
13 answered your question as thoroughly as I can. I
14 don't understand what you're asking now.

15 MR. SAVAGE: I was just trying to
16 understand the scope and authority of your denial or
17 belief on the record that a interlocutory appeal is
18 not valid.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you
20 haven't provided any authority for an interlocutory
21 appeal. There's no authority here. So I'm not making
22 a comment about that. I just told you that I have
23 denied your motion -- your opposed motion for a stay.
24 I did it in writing. You have that document. Now,
25 are you ready to proceed with your case?

1 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good.

3 Now, I wanted to talk to you about the exhibits,
4 because I want -- when this hearing is over today, I
5 want there to be one complete document from each party
6 of exhibits for the technical examiners to review.

7 Ms. Vance has complied with that. She
8 has filed a single document that has all of the
9 exhibits, the rebuttal exhibits all laid out in one
10 document, and that's what I'm asking you to do as
11 well. I know that you have filed rebuttal exhibits
12 separate back on the 6th or so of February.

13 And what I'm asking you to do is after
14 today's hearing is over, depending on what happens
15 with today's exhibits -- I don't know what's going to
16 happen -- that you combine everything into one exhibit
17 packet and file it that way. I want to avoid
18 confusion.

19 I haven't heard you say anything,
20 Mr. Savage.

21 MR. SAVAGE: Understood.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Great.
23 Excellent. Okay. So let's deal with the exhibits.
24 Mr. Savage, have you had a chance to review Read &
25 Stevens' revised exhibit packet that was filed?

1 (Exhibit 12 through 15 were marked for
2 identification.)

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: When was it
4 filed, Ms. Vance?

5 MS. VANCE: I believe it was the 18th
6 of February.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you
8 Mr. Savage?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, I have.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You have. Okay.
11 Good. Are there any objections to any of the
12 exhibits?

13 MR. SAVAGE: No objections.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.

15 Ms. Vance, your revised exhibit packet
16 filed February 18 is admitted into evidence.

17 (Exhibit 12 through 15 were received
18 into evidence.)

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Ms. Tschantz
20 will take out anything else that you previously filed.
21 Is that acceptable?

22 MS. VANCE: Yes.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.

24 Thank you.

25 Okay. Mr. Savage, what do you have

1 filed that we need Ms. Vance's concurrence on?

2 MR. SAVAGE: So we did not file any
3 additional exhibits as of the deadline of
4 February 6th; however, we have some rebuttal exhibits
5 that may come into play based on the testimony that we
6 would like to have considered, if they're applicable,
7 during the testimony to rebut matters addressed. But
8 that would be dependent upon the answers to the
9 questions.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So
11 Ms. Tschantz, what do we have --

12 After the January 28 hearing,
13 Mr. Savage, you were directed to clean up your
14 exhibits and refile them. Do you remember that?

15 MR. SAVAGE: And we did.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good. So
17 you did. That's what I'm asking you. When did you
18 refile that?

19 MR. SAVAGE: We did it by the -- we did
20 it, I think -- I don't have that detail in my head,
21 but I believe we did it pretty readily after that
22 hearing.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
24 right. So that's what I was asking you if you had
25 anything that you needed Ms. Vance to take a look at

1 and to either object to or to agree to let in as
2 evidence. So do you want to look, Mr. Savage, and
3 give me the date of that filing so that I can ask
4 Ms. Vance properly?

5 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And,
7 Ms. Luck, while Mr. Savage is looking for that date of
8 his filing, let me ask you, do you have any objections
9 to Read & Stevens' exhibits?

10 MS. LUCK: No, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you say no?

12 MS. LUCK: "No."

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I wanted to make
14 sure I could hear that -- I could hear you.

15 Okay. Mr. Savage?

16 MR. SAVAGE: I have to pull up the case
17 on the website here. I'm sorry for the delay.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which case
19 number are you looking for?

20 MR. SAVAGE: I was looking for -- I
21 thought we filed them in 25117 and -- yes. So it
22 looks like that was received. So we did it by the
23 deadline of 2/6/2025.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I see
25 multiple filings, and I have to look at each one to

1 make sure I have the right -- okay. That's not
2 them --

3 MR. SAVAGE: Yes --

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's okay.
5 It'll just take me a moment. I just want to look at
6 this. Okay. I see here a notice of rebuttal exhibit.
7 But that's not what we're talking about, is it?

8 (Exhibit 16 through 20 were marked for
9 identification.)

10 MR. SAVAGE: No, sir. These were
11 notice of filings amended hearing packet, and we did
12 it for 24994, 24995, and 25115 through 25117. Hold
13 on.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I have a
15 77-page document. Is that the one you're talking
16 about?

17 MR. SAVAGE: Well, this one, it looks
18 like it's 77, yes.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Very
20 good. Does this include that rebuttal exhibit that I
21 just mentioned?

22 MR. SAVAGE: The rebuttal exhibit that
23 we -- I'm not sure if I understand.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, on the
25 same -- on the 6th of February, the same day that you

1 filed your notice of filing amended hearing packet,
2 you also filed a notice of rebuttal exhibit, Rebuttal
3 Exhibit 15.

4 MR. SAVAGE: Rebuttal Exhibit for
5 25115?

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, sir, I
7 don't know which case it goes in, but it was filed in
8 25115, yes. It's notice of exhibit -- Rebuttal
9 Exhibit 15 is what it's called.

10 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And my question
12 to you is, is that Rebuttal Exhibit 15 in your other
13 document? I'm trying to avoid confusion, which
14 obviously we have confusion already.

15 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir. I apologize.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You know, I
17 don't see rebuttal exhibits in your exhibit table of
18 contents, so I have a feeling --

19 MR. SAVAGE: We didn't file a
20 rebuttal -- additional rebuttal exhibits.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, on the 6th
22 of February, you did file a Rebuttal Exhibit
23 Number 15.

24 MR. SAVAGE: Number 15 --

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which leads me

1 to believe that there's 14 other rebuttal exhibits.

2 MR. SAVAGE: So in 25115 -- case 25115,
3 there is also a notice of filing amended hearing
4 packet. But I apologize. I don't recall us filing
5 additional rebuttal exhibits.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
7 Mr. Savage, would you look in the case that we were
8 talking about originally, which was 25117?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And
11 if you look at the document that's right next to the
12 document notice of filing amended hearing packet, you
13 will see a notice of rebuttal exhibit. It says that
14 it's a rebuttal to Permian Resources Exhibit E-5
15 Commentary.

16 MR. SAVAGE: It is right next to -- so
17 I see the notice of filing amended hearing packet, and
18 that was dated -- posted on 2/7.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Look next to it.

20 MR. SAVAGE: To the right? To the
21 left? Notice of --

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Either way. I
23 can't tell you, sir --

24 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Notice -- yeah. I
25 apologize. Rebuttal Exhibit 15.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So this rebuttal
2 exhibit, it's called Number 15. It's filed in all of
3 the cases based on --

4 MR. SAVAGE: Right, right. This was a
5 geology -- the geologist had this, and it was filed to
6 address density, patterns of density, spacing density.
7 Yes. You're correct, Mr. Hearing Examiner. And that
8 was filed in the cases, yes.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. So let me
10 go back to the question I was asking you before.
11 First of all, does that mean that there are 14 other
12 rebuttal exhibits?

13 MR. SAVAGE: I believe it would've been
14 filed in every case.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You're
16 not answering my question. I'm asking a very direct
17 question. Are there 14 other rebuttal exhibits?

18 MR. SAVAGE: That would reflect this
19 filing, yes. I believe that is correct.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not asking
21 what you believe. I'm asking you, are there -- do you
22 have 15 rebuttal exhibits? Because this document only
23 has one of them.

24 MR. SAVAGE: No. This -- I think that
25 Exhibit 15 would be a continuation of the order.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I see.

2 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So then
4 it's just the choice of words you used here which
5 leads to confusion.

6 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Because it looks
8 like -- so this really is Rebuttal Exhibit 1, then?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Well, this is a singular
10 rebuttal exhibit.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Fine. Is this
12 rebuttal exhibit included in that packet that you also
13 filed that same day?

14 MR. SAVAGE: No.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
16 good. Okay. So what I'm directing you to do is at
17 the end of today, let's clear the confusion, and you
18 file a new exhibit packet with both of these PDFs
19 merged so that one document has all of your exhibits.

20 And if you want to call it Rebuttal
21 Exhibit 15, that's your choice. I'm not telling you
22 what to change the number to, but it does lead to
23 confusion, because it looks like there's 14 others.

24 MR. SAVAGE: Understood.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anyway. So,

1 Ms. Luck, have you reviewed the exhibits that V-F
2 filed?

3 MS. LUCK: Yes. And I don't have any
4 objection to those. Thank you.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

6 Ms. Vance?

7 MS. VANCE: Yes. I've reviewed them,
8 and I do not have any objections.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Fantastic.

10 So let me be very specific, Mr. Savage.
11 Your amended hearing packet filed on the 6th of
12 February that includes a table of contents with tab 1,
13 2, 3, and 4, and your Rebuttal Exhibit Number 15 are
14 admitted into evidence.

15 (Exhibit 16 through 20 were received
16 into evidence.)

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there
18 anything else that you want to deal with preliminarily
19 before we begin the hearing?

20 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, as I
21 mentioned, we may have additional rebuttal exhibits
22 that may come up to respond to testimony and answers
23 during testimony. And I would like to ask, as a
24 preliminary matter, could we address those if they are
25 appropriate?

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You always have
2 the opportunity to present a rebuttal case. As long
3 as you can show me how you -- how it is properly
4 admitted as a rebuttal exhibit, then of course you do.
5 But since there's nothing to be offered right now,
6 there's nothing for me to consider. So are you ready
7 to proceed with the hearing?

8 MR. SAVAGE: I am.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
10 good.

11 And, Ms. Vance, are you ready to
12 proceed?

13 MS. VANCE: Actually, do you mind if we
14 take a short break so I can run and use the restroom?

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't mind at
16 all. But when you come back, will you be ready to
17 start?

18 MS. VANCE: I will. Thank you.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good.
20 And before you go, Ms. Vance, I want to clear up the
21 order that we're going to deal with things.

22 And, Ms. Luck, are you ready to
23 proceed?

24 MS. LUCK: Yes. Thank you.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

1 So, Ms. Vance, since you didn't have
2 your landman available, I would like you to finish
3 presenting your case. You began on the 28th, but you
4 didn't -- because, first of all, four of the cases had
5 not been -- the notice had not been cured. We had
6 that issue, but also you had one witness who was
7 missing.

8 So I'd like you to finish presenting
9 your case, and then we'll go to Mr. Savage, especially
10 because it sounds like he may have some rebuttal
11 evidence. And I know Ms. Luck would like to
12 cross-examine your witnesses to bring out more of what
13 she had already began to explore in the first hearing.

14 So, Mr. Savage, is that acceptable?

15 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, is
17 that acceptable?

18 MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
20 good.

21 So, Ms. Vance, when we come back from
22 our break, would you be prepared to have your
23 witnesses sworn in, and we'll begin?

24 MS. VANCE: Yes. Just a quick question
25 for opposing counsel is, which of our witnesses

1 are -- would you -- are they going to want to talk
2 with? Because I just want to make sure that they're
3 all online. Mr. Macha is online, but I just want to
4 make sure everyone is also.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage?

6 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir. So I believe we
7 thoroughly cross-examined the geologist and the
8 reservoir engineer, and I believe that Mr. Macha is
9 the remaining one that we would cross-examine.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck?

11 MS. LUCK: I'm in agreement. That's
12 correct.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

14 Mr. McClure?

15 MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
16 I'll likely have questions for both their landman and
17 substitute geologists, I guess.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. What is
19 the name, Ms. Vance?

20 MS. VANCE: His name is Ira Bradford.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
22 Okay.

23 MS. VANCE: And, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
24 because it's -- the questions for Mr. Bradford are
25 going to be limited to Mr. McClure and it may not take

1 as long, would it be possible to let Mr. McClure ask
2 his questions first?

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. By all
4 means.

5 MS. VANCE: Thank you. Perfect.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let's go
7 on a -- what? Five-minute break, Ms. Vance? Perfect.
8 Thank you. We're off the record. It is 10:30 a.m.

9 (Off the record.)

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let's get back
11 on the record.

12 Ms. Vance, why don't you call your two
13 witnesses? I'll get them sworn in.

14 MS. VANCE: Okay. And, unfortunately,
15 I had my times wrong. Mr. Bradford is out of pocket
16 for a little while.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
18 right.

19 MS. VANCE: But I think that
20 we'll -- Mr. Macha's testimony will take as long as it
21 I takes for him to come back and be with us; so --

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I understand.
23 Got it.

24 Mr. Macha, I see you. Mr. Macha,
25 would you raise your right hand, please.

1 WHEREUPON,

2 TRAVIS MACHA,

3 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: State and spell
7 your name.

8 MR. MACHA: Travis Macha, T-R-A-V-I-S
9 M-A-C-H-A.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Have you been
11 qualified as an expert before this division?

12 MR. MACHA: Yes, sir.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: In what field?

14 MR. MACHA: Land.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Land. Okay.
16 Perfect.

17 Ms. Vance, do you have -- do you want
18 to run through with Mr. Macha any evidence, any
19 exhibits, have him adopt them under oath and to make
20 any corrections before he stands for cross?

21 MS. VANCE: Yes, please.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go right ahead.

23 MS. VANCE: Thank you.

24 Mr. Macha, you've already stated your
25 full name. By whom are you employed and in what

1 capacity?

2 MR. MACHA: Permian Resources
3 Operating. I am the New Mexico Land Manager.

4 MS. VANCE: Okay. And your credentials
5 as an expert have already been accepted?

6 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

7 MS. VANCE: And you're familiar with
8 the applications filed in these contested cases?

9 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

10 MS. VANCE: And you're familiar with
11 the lands within the proposed spacing units?

12 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

13 MS. VANCE: Okay. And you prepared
14 written testimony in advance of today's hearing?

15 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

16 MS. VANCE: And they are marked
17 as -- give me one second to just double check, make
18 sure I've got -- they are marked as Exhibit C and C-1
19 through Supplemental Exhibit C-11; correct?

20 (Exhibit 6 through 11 were marked for
21 identification.)

22 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

23 MS. VANCE: Okay. And these were
24 prepared -- these exhibits were prepared in connection
25 with your testimony today?

1 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

2 MS. VANCE: And they are attached to
3 your sworn testimony?

4 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

5 MS. VANCE: Do you have any corrections
6 or modifications or changes to your testimony that
7 were submitted as part of Exhibit C?

8 MR. MACHA: No. I do -- I do not
9 believe I do.

10 MS. VANCE: Okay. And do you adopt
11 your written testimony and exhibits under oath?

12 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

13 MS. VANCE: Okay. And you affirm the
14 veracity of the exhibits you prepared?

15 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

16 MS. VANCE: All right.

17 Mr. Hearing Examiner, I would ask that
18 Mr. Macha's statement and exhibits be accepted into
19 the record.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And they are.
21 (Exhibit 6 through 11 were received
22 into evidence.)

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Do
24 you have any direct exam for Mr. Macha? Or do you
25 want me to move right to cross-exam?

1 MS. VANCE: You can move right to
2 cross-examination.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, I
4 don't see Ms. Luck on the screen, so let's start with
5 Mr. Savage.

6 Mr. Savage?

7 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir. Thank you.

8 Mr. Macha, thank you for your time
9 answering the following questions. If you happen not
10 to hear a question or if a question isn't clear to
11 you, please do not hesitate to ask me to repeat the
12 question or rephrase the question.

13 Mr. Macha, I'd like to direct your
14 attention to your paragraph 19 in your landman
15 statement where you state that Permian has letters of
16 support from select owners. Are you familiar with
17 those?

18 MR. MACHA: Yes, I am. Let me go to
19 paragraph 19.

20 MR. SAVAGE: The select owners
21 themselves, now, they didn't sit down and write on
22 their own initiative, they didn't write these letters;
23 is that correct?

24 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

25 MR. SAVAGE: And so you obviously

1 drafted these letters and sent them out. Did you send
2 these support letters as drafted to all the interest
3 owners in the units?

4 MR. MACHA: Yes. Including V-F.

5 MR. SAVAGE: Including V-F. And how
6 many letters of support did you receive?

7 MR. MACHA: I think you can count.
8 That's one, two, three, four, five, six.

9 MR. SAVAGE: Six letters of support.
10 Okay. And one of the letters is from Javelina. How
11 much working interest does Javelina own in the units?

12 MR. MACHA: Javelina is the -- the
13 reversionary rights owner to the -- V-F's interest in
14 section 15. They own an override currently.

15 MR. SAVAGE: They own -- okay. So
16 they're not a working interest owner?

17 MR. MACHA: Correct. Assuming that
18 term assignment does not terminate.

19 MR. SAVAGE: And the other letters, it
20 looks like are from DHB, NM Western, Ard Oil. Can you
21 tell me how much interest each of these parties own in
22 the units?

23 MR. MACHA: I would direct you to my
24 exhibits. Those are pretty small, yes. But also,
25 it's -- it's us, Oxy, and then a bunch of small

1 owners, so yes. Who is -- Oxy assigned the JOA; so --

2 MR. SAVAGE: I also noted -- saw that
3 you listed Moore Shelton as owning interest in your
4 ownership?

5 MR. MACHA: That is correct, because
6 that's the record title.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Are you familiar with
8 title on that matter?

9 MR. MACHA: Yes. As of the last -- I
10 have not looked at the county record in the last 30
11 days, but there is no assignment from Moore & Shelton
12 into V-F. I understand that V-F thinks
13 there -- thinks or has some sort of agreement.

14 I don't think Moore & Shelton has
15 signed any sort of assignment into V-F, though, which
16 I assume there's going to be some sort of resolution
17 at some point. But at this point, we have to rep
18 Moore & Shelton with an interest. It's -- it's, like
19 I say, record title; so --

20 MR. SAVAGE: So you did not see a
21 memorandum of a term assignment assigning interest to
22 V-F?

23 MR. MACHA: When was that filed?

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Macha,
25 please don't ask questions. Mr. Savage is not a

1 witness. If you can't answer the question based on
2 the way it's asked, just answer it that way. You're
3 the one under oath, and Mr. Savage is not.

4 MR. MACHA: Yes, sir.

5 No, I did not. I have not seen a
6 memorandum file.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So I am looking at
8 a memorandum of term assignment of oil and gas lease
9 from Moore & Shelton Co. to V-F Petroleum recorded in
10 book 1191, page 919.

11 Mr. Hearing Examiner, may I share this?

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: By all means.

13 Is it an exhibit of yours, or is it Permian's?

14 MR. SAVAGE: It is a title document
15 that addresses whether or not Shelton
16 has -- Shelton Co. assigned its interest to V-F
17 Petroleum.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: The question,
19 Mr. Savage, was, is this an exhibit from Permian or
20 your exhibit?

21 MR. SAVAGE: This would be my -- this
22 would be -- it's not in the exhibits, but it is a
23 document that shows the ownership has been
24 transferred.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So this is a

1 document that -- have you shared this document with
2 Permian yet?

3 MR. SAVAGE: I have not. This would be
4 an example of one of the rebuttal exhibits.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right. I got
6 that already, Mr. Savage. Thank you. Okay. So I
7 will -- so bring it up on the screen. So this exhibit
8 is for demonstrative purposes only at this point.

9 If Ms. Vance chooses to object to it
10 for some reason, you can voir dire the witness,
11 Ms. Vance, if necessary. Let's get a look at the
12 exhibit -- or not the exhibit -- the document.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Did that come up?

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. I see an
15 e-mail.

16 MR. SAVAGE: Oh, sorry. Okay. Share.
17 Windows. What do -- is that sharing?

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, sir. Again,
19 maybe you have too many windows open on your computer,
20 because it's sharing something you don't want to
21 share.

22 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Is it? I hope it's
23 not incriminating.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It is, but
25 that's okay.

1 MR. SAVAGE: It is? Oh, no. I
2 don't -- so I'm hitting the share button, and it goes
3 to Windows. Okay. Here we go.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage, if
5 you continue having trouble, why don't you e-mail the
6 document to Freya?

7 MR. SAVAGE: No. Mr. Hearing Examiner,
8 I have this.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. We can see
10 that now.

11 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

12 So, Mr. Maka, this is the memorandum of
13 term assignment filed in book 1191, page 919. And as
14 you can see, it's entered into between Moore & Shelton
15 Co. as assignor and V-F Petroleum as assignee, and it
16 assigns to assignee by term assignment all of its
17 operating rights in and to those certain oil and gas
18 leases listed in Exhibit A. And then that would be
19 the Exhibit A.

20 Based on this, do you agree that V-F
21 Petroleum owns the interest that Moore Shelton had
22 owned in your ownership report?

23 MR. MACHA: Do you mind scrolling back
24 up a little bit to the signatures?

25 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

1 MR. MACHA: Yeah. If -- if Moore &
2 Shelton signed that, then that would be -- that would
3 be a transfer. It looks like it was filed this month,
4 so that would not have been in my last 30 days of
5 review; so --

6 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Thank you. In
7 Permian's original hearing packet submitted for the
8 January 28th hearing, you provided preliminary C-102s,
9 which were unsigned and uncertified; is that correct?

10 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

11 MR. SAVAGE: Help me understand. Why
12 did you provide uncertified C-102s for the
13 January 28th hearing instead of signed and certified
14 C-102s?

15 MR. MACHA: It was the most readily
16 accessible C-102 form that I had. Our regulatory
17 department did not -- did not upload them to our share
18 site until I had to request it; so --

19 MR. SAVAGE: Isn't it standard practice
20 to include certified C-102s as exhibits if you have
21 them for the OCD to evaluate?

22 MR. MACHA: Yes. If I had them readily
23 available. I just did not have them readily
24 available.

25 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. But your counsel,

1 on January 28th, stated that the C-102s that you filed
2 with the original hearing packet were the preliminary
3 ones, but the actual C-102s that had been signed off
4 by a surveyor were submitted with APDs. On what date
5 did you submit the APD application to the BLM?

6 MR. MACHA: That would've been, I
7 think, probably the -- somewhere in the
8 mid -- third -- probably the third week of January,
9 according to the signed APDs and my regulatory
10 department.

11 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So in your letter
12 of support, which are dated December 5, 2024, you
13 state that Permian has submitted APDs? Did you --

14 MR. MACHA: Yeah. So that -- that came
15 from my correspondence with our regulatory department.
16 They had them ready to submit. They were waiting on
17 the 121-H and 131-H in the north half, north half,
18 which is not in these cases -- north half, north half
19 of section 14, 'cause they -- they were corresponding
20 with the BLM on moving a monument marker.

21 They would -- they were going to try to
22 just file them all at once. My interpretation from
23 their e-mail was that they were already filing
24 the -- the south half of the north half and the south
25 half, so that was included in my letter. Obviously,

1 we -- we were about a month behind on that; so --

2 MR. SAVAGE: So this was not an
3 accurate statement to the owners. Do you agree with
4 that?

5 MR. MACHA: It was my interpretation at
6 the time and a good faith statement, so yes. But yes.
7 It -- obviously it was -- we were 30 days behind;
8 so --

9 MR. SAVAGE: So is it possible that the
10 recipients signed the support letter and agreed to
11 possibly sign the JOA because you sent them out to all
12 the owners? Maybe even they agreed to sign the JOA
13 because they thought you were on the verge of
14 receiving BLM permits?

15 MR. MACHA: No. No owner that has
16 signed the JOA was under -- under any guise from that
17 letter. The letter that was sent to the owners that
18 signed that, I can resend it to them if you would
19 like. I can get e-mails from them if you would like.
20 But I'm not under the interpretation that that APD
21 statement was the deciding factor.

22 MR. SAVAGE: But it was represented to
23 the owners. And as a -- as a layman, if you received
24 that, would you not reasonably believe that the APDs
25 had been filed and were likely to be approved?

1 MR. MACHA: Yeah. I would also view it
2 as a operator telling me APDs are filed, and they're
3 drilling wells. So, in general, that's a general
4 statement that I would -- I would approve of --

5 MR. SAVAGE: So you said that you
6 filed -- you said you filed the APDs the third week of
7 January; correct?

8 MR. MACHA: The third or fourth. I'm
9 not in the regulatory department. I don't file the
10 APDs.

11 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

12 Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'd like the
13 Division to take judicial notice of 43 CFR, section
14 3171.12(b), which states within 30 days after the
15 operator has submitted a complete application, the BLM
16 will one, approve the application; two, defer action
17 on the permit; or three, deny the permit.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And,
19 Mr. Savage, why are you asking the Division to take
20 notice of a federal statute or rule?

21 MR. SAVAGE: Because based on
22 Mr. Macha's testimony, if he filed those in the third
23 week of January, then that 30 day time period should
24 come into play.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. Vance,

1 any objection?

2 MS. VANCE: No.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We'll
4 take judicial notice of it. Thank you.

5 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, have you
6 received notification of approval of your APDs since
7 you submitted the APDs?

8 MR. MACHA: BLM timing for federal
9 permits, we're looking at between 12 to 15 months
10 right now, so -- unless you request an expedite; so --

11 MR. SAVAGE: So you're stating --

12 MR. MACHA: No. I am not -- I am not.
13 in the regulatory department. I don't deal with the
14 BLM in terms of APD approvals process; so --

15 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, the BLM
16 maintains public records of when APD applications were
17 receive. Are you aware of that?

18 MR. MACHA: Yes.

19 MR. SAVAGE: And this is under
20 reports.blm.gov/reports/AFMSS.

21 Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'd like to
22 provide another document that shows when Mr. Macha's
23 APDs were submitted.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So evidence that
25 comes in needs to be relevant and reliable, so let's

1 talk about the relevance of this line of questioning.
2 What are you basically trying to show the Division?

3 MR. SAVAGE: I'm trying to show that
4 the representations that the APDs were submitted is a
5 misrepresentation. I'm trying to show that the C-102s
6 were not filed prior to -- with the APDs prior to the
7 January 28th hearing.

8 And based on the record of submission,
9 it looks like the APDs were not filed until after the
10 January 28th hearing. And, in fact, they were filed
11 in February, so that would be --

12 MR. MACHA: I have a --

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Macha, this
14 is not an opportunity for you to speak right now.

15 Go ahead, please.

16 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. So it would be a
17 rebut of the representations that Permian has made to
18 all the owners, and it would be a rebut to the
19 representation that Mr. Macha has made to the Division
20 regarding -- and regarding when the C-102s were
21 submitted and when the applications were submitted.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So -- okay. I
23 understand. That much, I understand. Thank you for
24 the clarification, Mr. Savage. How is that relevant
25 to this competing compulsory pooling contested

1 hearing? Why is that relevant?

2 MR. SAVAGE: Because in the original
3 hearing on January 28th, Permian made a huge issue
4 during cross-examination about the status of the APDs,
5 that Permian had an advantage in receiving the APDs,
6 which we are showing is not the case.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
8 right. So then you're saying that this goes to show
9 that the representation made by Permian regarding
10 their APDs was not factual?

11 MR. SAVAGE: That's correct. Thank
12 you.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
14 right. Okay. So what are you asking me now?

15 MR. SAVAGE: I'm asking if I could
16 present a document from the BLM that shows when the
17 applications were submitted and received.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

19 Ms. Vance?

20 MS. VANCE: I'm going to object to
21 this. You know, this is -- the Division doesn't have
22 jurisdiction over filings with the BLM, so the
23 relevance that we're getting into, the amount of
24 detail Mr. Savage is trying to go into, does not seem
25 pertinent or relevant for this hearing.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

2 So, Mr. Savage, we have an objection
3 based on relevance. So what's the -- are you trying
4 to impeach the credibility of the witness?

5 MR. SAVAGE: In part. Rebut and
6 impeach.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, you
8 can definitely do that, and you've done that. I don't
9 know that you need to -- I don't know that I'm going
10 to allow a document in you've -- the witness has
11 testified according to what you've asked him.

12 And he has explained -- although, this
13 is the first time the witness is testifying, so I'm
14 not sure which -- what testimony in January are you
15 impeaching? Is there an exhibit, or is there a
16 witness's testimony that --

17 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Just tell
19 me what your --

20 MR. SAVAGE: Let me find the --

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. Okay.

22 MR. SAVAGE: So specifically in the
23 testimony, Ms. Vance made a statement on January 28th,
24 stating that the C-102s were filed with the original
25 hearing packet for the preliminary ones, and the

1 actual ones were signed off by a surveyor and
2 submitted with the APDs.

3 That statement implies that the
4 APD -- that the C-102s and the APDs had already been
5 filed prior to the January 28th hearing. We are going
6 to show that based on the BLM records, that is not the
7 case, and they were actually filed after the hearing.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So,
9 Mr. Savage, you know that Ms. Vance is not a witness.

10 MR. SAVAGE: I understand.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you're not
12 impeaching Ms. Vance. You're just clarifying when the
13 APDs were actually filed. I think you've done that
14 with this witness here. Do you have any other
15 questions about this?

16 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, I do. So --

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So hold
18 on. Let me make a ruling on the objection. I sustain
19 the objection. I don't believe that you need to admit
20 a document to show what you are doing through this
21 witness.

22 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. May I proceed?

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Please.

24 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

25 So, Mr. Macha, would you -- I'm looking

1 at the BLM report. Would you agree that the slim jim
2 wells for 113 and 122 were submitted and received on
3 February 20, 2025?

4 MS. VANCE: Objection.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
6 objection?

7 MS. VANCE: Mr. Macha has already made
8 it clear that he is not the regulatory person for
9 Permian that does the permitting, and he is not the
10 person to ask the dates for filing.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
12 you.

13 Mr. Savage, your response?

14 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha specifically
15 stated to the owners that he had submitted the APDs.
16 Now, that is a very important representation to the
17 owners, and it is not -- it is a misrepresentation.
18 And we are just confirming when those APDs were
19 actually submitted.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Ms. Vance
21 alleges that Mr. Macha testified that he is not in the
22 regulatory division, and he didn't know when the APDs
23 were submitted; but you're saying that you have
24 testimony from Mr. Macha that he -- that that's not
25 the case. Are you saying that he has said two

1 different things here?

2 MR. SAVAGE: He has claimed to
3 know -- in his representation, he has claimed to have
4 known when those APDs were submitted.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm going
6 to -- let's take a break for a moment.

7 Mr. Cogswell, do you have any testimony
8 from Mr. Macha that backs up what Mr. Savage is
9 asserting?

10 THE REPORTER: Can you just give me
11 something specific to search for?

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

13 Mr. Savage, something specific?

14 MR. SAVAGE: When I asked him if he
15 stated in his letters that the APDs had already been
16 submitted, he acknowledged that that's what he stated.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hold on a
18 second. So thank you, Mr. Savage.

19 Mr. Cogswell, you don't have to look
20 any further.

21 Okay. From my recollection, the
22 witness has stated that he didn't know exactly when
23 they were filed. I understand, Mr. Savage, what
24 you're asking now. I'll allow you to clarify that
25 knowledge with a follow-up question.

1 So I'm going to overrule the objection,
2 Ms. Vance.

3 Mr. Savage, go ahead and clarify.

4 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Mr. Hearing
5 Examiner, did the Division take judicial notice of the
6 BLM report that specifies that the APDs were received
7 by the BLM on February 20th for two of them and for
8 February 1st for the remaining?

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. We're not
10 taking judicial notice of a report that I don't have
11 in front of me that has been objected to based on
12 relevance. So no. I didn't do that. But you're more
13 than welcome to have the witness here to ask him
14 questions based on the report, so go ahead.

15 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, would your
16 regulatory department have filed with the BLM APDs
17 based on those dates I just described instead of the
18 third week in January or prior as represented by the
19 letter?

20 MR. MACHA: I don't know how the BLM
21 system works, but I have an e-mail with a spreadsheet
22 attached to it that I'm staring at that says that we
23 submitted APDs on January 25th. And I understand if a
24 BLM report is conflicting with that -- but I -- I'm
25 not going to say that I understand how the BLM system

1 flows or how that works.

2 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
3 think that's fair enough. I think we should move on
4 from this.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I agree, so go
6 ahead.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, I'd like to
8 direct your attention to Exhibit C-2 in your revised
9 hearing packet. Do you see the C-102 for the 112-H
10 well?

11 MR. MACHA: C-2?

12 MR. SAVAGE: C-2. I believe those are
13 your C-102s.

14 MR. MACHA: Yeah -- yep.

15 MR. SAVAGE: I'm moving up to those as
16 well. I assume you're familiar with a standard
17 completed C-102. Would that be a fair assumption?

18 MR. MACHA: That's a fair assumption.

19 MR. SAVAGE: Would a completed
20 certified C-102 survey show, for example, the distance
21 from the surface hole location to the first take
22 point?

23 MR. MACHA: Are you saying the distance
24 between the -- the -- so the -- on the -- on a surface
25 acreage basis? How far a surface hole is away from a

1 first take point?

2 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. So --

3 MR. MACHA: I -- I'm not -- I know that
4 you have to say what your first take point is and
5 where your surface hole is. I'm not sure if
6 you -- again, I'm not in the regulatory department, so
7 I'm not sure exactly what you put on for footages
8 there, no.

9 MR. SAVAGE: And in your experience
10 with C-102s, in your experience, they are a
11 comprehensive survey of the distances of all the
12 various subject points and tracts; is that correct?

13 MR. MACHA: I -- I view C-102s through
14 a mineral land lens. I care about the first take
15 point, last take point, what the standard proration
16 unit is, the dedicated acreage. As far as exact
17 footages on the C-102 that don't pertain to the actual
18 spacing unit subsurface, I am not that familiar with,
19 no.

20 MR. SAVAGE: But when you receive a
21 certified C-102, in your experience, it has all that
22 information on it, does it not?

23 MR. MACHA: Like I say, I'm not
24 reviewing the surface portion of a C-102
25 unfortunately.

1 MR. SAVAGE: Well, looking at the
2 survey diagram of the C-102 for the 112-H, are you
3 able to tell the difference from the surface hole
4 location to the first take point on this survey?

5 MR. MACHA: Not that I'm aware of.

6 MR. SAVAGE: Does the C-102 show where
7 the lease tracts have been accurately surveyed?

8 MR. MACHA: It appears that way, yes

9 MR. SAVAGE: It does? In what way?

10 MR. MACHA: You're saying the actual
11 leases, the federal leases that are listed on the
12 C-102s? Is that what you're saying?

13 MR. SAVAGE: It does list the leases.
14 I agree with that. But does it list -- does it
15 quantify the location of the leases in terms of
16 coordinates or distances of the tracts?

17 MR. MACHA: I'm -- I guess I'm not sure
18 what you're asking. I'm -- like I say, I'm -- I am
19 familiar with C-102s with the extent as they relate to
20 the subsurface portion of my job. What the spacing
21 unit is, the first take, last take point, dedicated
22 acreage. I'm not familiar with all the surface
23 aspects of everything.

24 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Are you familiar
25 with Mr. Shaw's landman testimony on the January 28th

1 hearing?

2 MR. MACHA: For the most part.

3 MR. SAVAGE: Do you recall that he
4 referred to the C-102, that the lack of surveying as a
5 cartoon diagram?

6 MR. MACHA: No. I'm not aware of him
7 saying that.

8 MR. SAVAGE: Would that be -- do you
9 think that would be justified based on the lack of
10 survey information that this C-102 provides?

11 MR. MACHA: No. I don't think this is
12 a cartoon.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, Mr. Macha. I
14 direct your attention to Permian Resources
15 Exhibit C-4. And is this your well proposal for the
16 third Bone Spring?

17 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

18 MR. SAVAGE: Is it fair to say that
19 your proposal for developing the third Bone Spring was
20 a very recent development?

21 MR. MACHA: Yes. Those were sent on
22 January 9th.

23 MR. SAVAGE: So it was not part of your
24 original plan. Is that fair to say?

25 MR. MACHA: The third Bone Spring, I'm

1 going to direct that question to our geologists,
2 reservoir engineers. But our -- the third -- third
3 Bone Spring, that's something that we're very
4 interested in. The second Bone Spring, however, is
5 the primary target in this area.

6 MR. SAVAGE: But doesn't your well
7 proposal say that you're proposing the wells in the
8 third Bone -- or the well in the third Bone Spring in
9 a direct response to V-F's plan to develop the third
10 Bone Spring; is that correct?

11 MR. MACHA: Yes. V-F filed for
12 applications to pool the -- from the base of the
13 second Bone Spring to the base of the Bone Spring in
14 several cases; whereas since there was a depth
15 severance in 14, we were going to keep them all
16 analogous and just pool from the -- the top of the
17 Bone Spring to the base of the second Bone Spring.

18 So part of -- part of this is, yes, we
19 have to have competing proposals.

20 MR. SAVAGE: So you would never have
21 developed the third Bone Spring except for V-F's
22 plan --

23 MR. MACHA: That's not -- that's not an
24 accurate statement.

25 MR. SAVAGE: But it was in direct

1 response; is that correct?

2 MR. MACHA: As I referenced, the -- the
3 second Bone Spring is the primary target in this area.
4 The third Bone Spring is something that our guys are
5 very interested in. I would direct that question to
6 our reservoir engineers or our geologists.

7 MR. SAVAGE: And in this letter, you're
8 informing the owners that Permian Resources will be
9 targeting the entire third Bone Spring as a targeted
10 interval; is that correct?

11 MR. MACHA: Can you direct me to that
12 verbiage? This -- so if you're at -- if the question
13 is are these the location -- these four wells the
14 locations that we would use to develop the third Bone
15 Spring as to what we've targeted, that we -- this is
16 where we would land the wells in the third Bone
17 Spring.

18 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And is it correct
19 that in your pooling applications, in cases 25145
20 through 25148, for the third Bone Spring, you state
21 that you are pooling the entire third Bone Spring from
22 the top of the third Bone Spring to the base of the
23 Bone Spring?

24 MR. MACHA: That would be correct in
25 all categories except where there's active depth

1 severances. We would be pooling from the -- the base
2 of the depth severance to the base of the -- the Bone
3 Spring.

4 MR. SAVAGE: But doesn't your
5 application represent otherwise? Does it not say from
6 the top of the third Bone Spring to the bottom of the
7 third Bone Spring?

8 MR. MACHA: I would direct that
9 question to Paula.

10 MR. SAVAGE: Can I direct your
11 attention to the application for 25145 in the hearing
12 packet?

13 MR. MACHA: Do you know what page
14 that's on?

15 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. It's page 33.

16 MR. MACHA: Okay.

17 MR. SAVAGE: In the first paragraph,
18 does it not state that you are seeking to pool a
19 portion of the Bone Spring formation from the top of
20 the third Bone Spring formation to the base of the
21 Bone Spring? Does that not include the entire third
22 Bone Spring?

23 MR. MACHA: Yes. That would be
24 correct. I think later in paragraph 5 is where
25 we -- I believe we clarified the depth severance at

1 some point somewhere. But, again, I would direct that
2 to Paula.

3 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Going down to the
4 abstract that your counsel provided for public -- to
5 the OCD for public notice, does it not also state that
6 it's seeking to be pooling the third Bone Spring from
7 the top of the third Bone Spring to the base of the
8 Bone Spring?

9 MR. MACHA: I don't -- do you know what
10 page that's -- that one's on?

11 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. That is on page 36.

12 MR. MACHA: Okay. Yes. That's what it
13 says.

14 MR. SAVAGE: But then in your landman
15 statement, paragraph 7, you clearly show that you're
16 only -- you're not targeting developing the entire
17 third Bone Spring, but only the lower third of the
18 third Bone Spring Is that accurate to say?

19 MR. MACHA: We are seeking to pool
20 the -- the ownership in which we're landing the wells,
21 so that would be correct. I -- I -- yes. That would
22 be -- probably be correct.

23 MR. SAVAGE: And consequently, do you
24 agree, then, that your pooling applications contradict
25 what you're actually seeking?

1 MR. MACHA: I would -- I would direct
2 that question to Paula. I'm pretty sure we've
3 clarified that, but I'm not fully sure.

4 MR. SAVAGE: And based -- well, based
5 on the plain language of the application and the plain
6 language of the notice the OCD provided, wouldn't you
7 say that they contradict what you're actually seeking
8 to pool?

9 MR. MACHA: If these are the only two
10 documents in front of me, I would say those indeed
11 contradict, but I would have to review everything
12 again.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Thank you,
14 Mr. Macha. In your landman statement, just to clarify
15 the exact portion of the third Bone Spring that you
16 seek to pool, you state that you seek to pool only a
17 portion of the Bone Spring formation from 9,397 feet
18 where an ownership severance exists to the base of the
19 Bone Spring; is that correct?

20 MR. MACHA: That -- that -- I think
21 that that would be correct.

22 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, is that the
23 only depth severance in the third Bone Spring?

24 MR. MACHA: That I'm aware of, yes.

25 MR. SAVAGE: And you are familiar with

1 the titles -- the title in these units?

2 MR. MACHA: I'm -- yes. As far as what
3 our title attorneys and brokers have worked and I've
4 reviewed, yes.

5 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So I have an
6 assignment of operating rights in section 14 from Read
7 & Stevens to Ms. Beall and other assignees. But 113,
8 page 709, that assigns interest from 3,900 feet to
9 9,293 feet. Are you aware of this assignment?

10 MR. MACHA: Off the top of my head, I'm
11 not fully -- like, I can't picture it. But yes.
12 I'm -- I'm aware that there are multiple assignments
13 that have different depth severances. But, again, I'm
14 familiar with what my title attorneys and brokers have
15 rendered for my -- my review.

16 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So you would agree
17 that 9,293 feet would represent another depth
18 severance?

19 MR. MACHA: That would be theoretically
20 correct if the assignment was valid.

21 MR. SAVAGE: And then in another
22 assignment, section 14 of operating rights from Read &
23 Stevens to assignees, covers the depths from 3,900
24 feet to 9,290 feet. Are you aware of this assignment?
25 And I'm sorry. I didn't have the page number on that

1 one, but let me pull that up.

2 MR. MACHA: What's the legal
3 description on that assignment?

4 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. Let me pull that up
5 here. So this one's in Book 71, page 386. The legal
6 description, section 14, northeast quarter, northwest
7 quarter.

8 MR. MACHA: Okay. Yeah, no.
9 I -- I'm -- yes. I've reviewed the -- a couple of
10 assignments that V-F has -- has provided on the debt
11 severances. But yes. Our title -- I've also sent
12 them to our title attorneys; they've reviewed. So
13 the -- what we are seeking to pool is, in my opinion,
14 accurate.

15 MR. SAVAGE: But would you agree that
16 these depth severances -- these are depth severances
17 that your applications and your statements -- landman
18 statements have failed to take into account?

19 MR. MACHA: So not necessarily. So
20 what the -- what we're seeking to pool I think was,
21 like, 9,300 some odd feet to the base of the Bone
22 Spring; is that correct? Or --

23 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Your statement is
24 9,397 feet.

25 MR. MACHA: Yeah. So the 9,200-foot

1 marker that you're talking about is above that, so
2 that is not a depth that we're -- a relevant depth
3 that we'd be seeking to pool with. So I don't think
4 that that would be mentioned in my application.

5 MR. SAVAGE: But that interval does
6 exist; correct?

7 MR. MACHA: Possibly.

8 MR. SAVAGE: And it would have
9 non-uniform ownership in that interval --

10 MR. MACHA: Possibly. I
11 don't -- possibly. Like I say, my title attorneys and
12 brokers are the ones doing most of the legwork. I'm
13 reviewing a lot of the title and the product of it.

14 MR. SAVAGE: And are you planning to
15 land your well in the lower-third portion of the third
16 Bone Spring below the depth severance of 9,397 feet?
17 That is the plan?

18 MR. MACHA: That's the plan, but I
19 would direct all those kind of questions to our
20 geologist.

21 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Are you familiar
22 with the testimony of your reservoir engineer and
23 geologist or of your geologist? Have you reviewed
24 that?

25 MR. MACHA: Yes.

1 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And didn't your
2 geologist say that the entire third Bone Spring is
3 sand and that there are no barriers or baffling
4 between the severed portions?

5 MR. MACHA: I can't answer that
6 question being land, but what -- from what I've seen,
7 I can only reference what you are seeing as well.
8 'cause I -- I'm not an expert in geology.

9 MR. SAVAGE: Well, I'm just asking if
10 you were familiar with his testimony, the content of
11 his testimony, not, you know, your opinion on the
12 accuracy of the testimony.

13 MR. MACHA: Right.

14 MR. SAVAGE: So I'm looking at page 63
15 of the transcript for January 28th where the geologist
16 says it looks like there's no geological baffle that
17 would prevent drainage. Do you agree that he said
18 that?

19 MR. MACHA: Yes, I agree that he said
20 that.

21 MR. SAVAGE: And page 64 --

22 MS. VANCE: Objection. That's not
23 what's in the record.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
25 Ms. Vance, you have to be a little faster.

1 MR. MACHA: Sorry --

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That objection
3 is not timely, so please make your objections timely
4 before the witness answers the question.

5 Mr. Savage, go ahead.

6 MR. SAVAGE: Well, Mr. Hearing
7 Examiner, I believe that the transcript is in the
8 record.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
10 what are you trying to say?

11 MR. SAVAGE: I'm just trying to point
12 out that that was the testimony provided by the
13 geologist.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not sure
15 what -- okay. I have not -- I've already explained to
16 Ms. Vance that when she makes an objection, it has to
17 be timely. It wasn't a timely objection. So you're
18 asking questions, so please continue. Although, I am
19 a little concerned that you're asking this witness for
20 his opinion about testimony from another witness.

21 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir. So the
22 relevance is to lay foundation, because a landman
23 determines the ownership between the different
24 intervals and the different severances. And so
25 ownership production -- distributing ownership based

1 on percentages is going to be dependent on what is
2 actually being produced.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

4 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Do you want me to
5 continue?

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I did -- so
7 the objection was untimely, so I didn't even make a
8 ruling on it. So why don't you continue?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

10 So Mr. Macha, are there different
11 owners with different amounts of ownership in the
12 third Bone Spring above the severance and below the
13 severance?

14 MR. MACHA: That'd be correct.

15 MR. SAVAGE: And are you going to land
16 a well in the interval from the top of the third Bone
17 Spring to the severance to protect the correlative
18 rights of the working interest owners and overriding
19 royalty owners --

20 MS. VANCE: Objection -- objection.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
22 objection, Ms. Vance?

23 MS. VANCE: Mr. Macha is a landman. He
24 is not a geologist. These questions have been
25 answered by our geologist, and our geologist will be

1 available later on for Mr. McClure's questions. And
2 if Mr. Savage wants to revisit questioning, asking the
3 same questions that he asked during the January 28th,
4 then we can do that.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Savage,
6 I don't need to hear from you on this one. I'm
7 sustaining this objection. Please confine your
8 questions to this person's expertise of land.

9 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, does the
10 landman have responsibility to protect the correlative
11 rights of the owners that it identifies as owning in
12 the unit?

13 MR. MACHA: Yes. And above that depth
14 severance is not something we view as owning in the
15 unit. Allocation is done off of surface acreage basis
16 according to the Oil and Gas Act.

17 MR. SAVAGE: Isn't it true that in
18 other development plans proposed by Permian that have
19 a depth severance in a single reservoir that you
20 proposed -- as a landman -- that you proposed to drill
21 a well both above and below a severance in an effort
22 to protect correlative rights?

23 MR. MACHA: There has been a vertical
24 well producing above that severance, and that's the
25 cause of that severance for 20 years. It was plugged

1 a couple years ago. It's -- it produced, I think, a
2 hundred and something thousand barrels of oil.

3 So I would -- I would -- my
4 interpretation would be the owners above that debt
5 severance have already obtained the reserves.

6 MR. SAVAGE: That wasn't my question,
7 Mr. Macha. I asked, isn't it true that in other
8 development plans proposed by Permian that have --

9 MR. MACHA: Yes. The owners above and
10 below a depth severance have the full ability to drill
11 their own wells.

12 MR. SAVAGE: Has Permian proposed to
13 drill a well both above and below the depth severance
14 in an effort to protect correlative rights in your
15 other plans?

16 MR. MACHA: What we have proposed
17 on -- sorry.

18 MS. VANCE: Objection. What is
19 the -- I'm objecting for relevance. It's not clear
20 what Mr. Savage is asking, and it sounds like he's
21 asking about cases outside of the scope of this
22 hearing.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage?

24 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. I'm asking about
25 Mr. Macha's -- Permian's plan to account for

1 correlative rights. One option, it sounds like the
2 plan is basically to drill in the lower third of the
3 third Bone Spring and produce the well, which would
4 produce the upper interval.

5 Or the other option seems to me would
6 be to drill above and below the severance as they have
7 done in other plans. And I can -- and, for example,
8 the Joker and the Bane wells. Those are examples
9 where Permian has decided that they think they need to
10 protect correlative rights by drilling above and below
11 a severance in a single reservoir.

12 But in this case, comparing it to this
13 case, they've decided to drill only in the lower.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Savage,
15 the objection is to relevance, so if we could just
16 stick to the objection here. How is the question
17 about what Permian does in other cases relevant to
18 this case?

19 MR. SAVAGE: Because Permian's plan in
20 this case should protect correlative rights. That's
21 the responsibility that the landman has and Permian
22 has under the Oil and Gas Act. So we're laying
23 foundation to show whether or not Permian is going to
24 be able to satisfy protecting correlative rights.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage, I'm

1 not arguing with you about what you just said, but you
2 haven't answered my question. Now, twice I've asked
3 this question to you. How is what Permian
4 does -- okay. Let's do this. I'm going to leave you
5 with this question, Mr. Savage, and then let's take a
6 five-minute break.

7 How is Permian's actions in other
8 cases, how is it relevant to how they're going to
9 protect correlative rights in this case? I'm going to
10 leave you with -- that's the question. If you can't
11 answer it, then I'm going to sustain the objection;
12 okay? So let's come back in five minutes. Thank you.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

15 (Off the record.)

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's 12:28 a.m.
17 We're back on the record.

18 Mr. Savage?

19 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Mr. Hearing
20 Examiner, Permian has the obligation to protect
21 correlative rights. Now, there are a couple of
22 options that the OCD recognizes to attempt to do this.
23 One of the options would be to drill above and below
24 if the conditions would allow for it.

25 The reference to the other case shows

1 that Permian has proposed and has provided the
2 opportunity to do something like this to protect
3 correlative rights. In this case, they're opting not
4 to. The question is why are they opting to drill when
5 in other instances involving a single reservoir, they
6 are opting to drill above and below.

7 It's a comparison, and it's relevant to
8 the ability and attempt to protect correlative rights
9 in this case, to illustrate the context.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well,
11 Mr. Savage, I understand where you're going with this,
12 but I think you can ask your questions in a way that
13 don't necessarily involve other cases. So I
14 understand where you're going with it. We all
15 understand where you're going with it. So just get
16 there, but don't ask this witness about prior cases.

17 So I'm going to sustain the objection.
18 Why don't you reformat your questions to get at the
19 evidence you want without involving those other cases?

20 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, assuming that
21 your geologist is correct and that a well in the lower
22 third of the third Bone Spring will produce from the
23 upper intervals as well as the lower interval, how do
24 you plan to account for the correlative rights of the
25 owners in the upper intervals?

1 MR. MACHA: My view would be that the
2 allocation method on a surface acreage basis is the
3 most fair and equitable way to do things. The owners
4 above the severance have the right to propose and
5 drill their own well.

6 MR. SAVAGE: Do you have an -- did you
7 propose an allocation formula in your plan?

8 MR. MACHA: No. We don't do that.

9 MR. SAVAGE: You did not do an
10 allocation formula. So would not drilling a well
11 above the interval produce from your lower interval?

12 MR. MACHA: I'm not a geologist. I
13 don't know.

14 MS. VANCE: Object --

15 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. I withdraw the
16 question.

17 Mr. Macha, does the depth severance in
18 the third Bone Spring complicate your ability to
19 develop the third Bone Spring?

20 MR. MACHA: Not -- not that I'm aware
21 of.

22 MR. SAVAGE: Were you able to locate
23 and provide notice to all the owners above and below
24 the severance?

25 MR. MACHA: We have ownership for

1 everybody above and below the severance, yes.

2 MR. SAVAGE: And you provided notice to
3 every --

4 MR. MACHA: That we -- that I'm aware
5 of, yes. By notice being -- yes. That's -- that's
6 what I'm -- that I'm aware of, yes.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Tell me, Mr. Macha, why
8 didn't Permian go east and develop section 14 and 13
9 instead of trying to infringe upon and compete with
10 V-F's plan, which, if you prevail, would limit V-F
11 just to the single section, section 16?

12 MR. MACHA: We are the majority
13 interest owner of section 15, and we are the successor
14 of the other previous operator of 15, which was
15 Mewbourne. And V-F only owns 57 acres out of 640, so
16 we would view that we would -- are the prudent choice
17 in operator of 14 and 15 together.

18 MR. SAVAGE: But at the time of V-F's
19 well proposal -- original well proposal -- and that's
20 September 6, 2023 -- did Permian own any interest in
21 section 15?

22 MR. MACHA: No. But we obtained it
23 from Mewbourne who did not tell us about V-F's well
24 proposals.

25 MR. SAVAGE: So at that time that

1 you -- at that date that those well proposals were
2 sent out, could you not have evaluated the possibility
3 of developing 14 and 15 -- I'm sorry -- 14 and 13?

4 MR. MACHA: That's something we chose
5 not to do. We do things based on ownership. We saw
6 15, we acquired in 15, and we are developing 15; so --

7 MR. SAVAGE: So but wouldn't developing
8 14 and 13 harmonize with V-F's plan, and you would
9 actually have four sections developed with 2-mile
10 plans?

11 MR. MACHA: I -- I'm -- I don't think
12 that we should be catering to V-F to any degree.
13 We're not going to dictate our development plans
14 around what V-F thinks they want to do.

15 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So what date did
16 Permian acquire the Mewbourne interest? Do you
17 recall?

18 MR. MACHA: When?

19 MR. SAVAGE: What date. Yeah, when.

20 MR. MACHA: It's in the -- I think it's
21 in my exhibits. I don't recall exactly. I think we
22 closed maybe in -- it's sometime between April and
23 May. Maybe June of 2024. That trade went on for
24 about six months.

25 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And that looks

1 like -- based on the assignment, it looks like
2 June 4th. Will you agree with that?

3 Did Permian hire anyone after
4 September 6th who had recently worked and had
5 knowledge of -- or hired anyone who had worked for V-F
6 Petroleum while they were making their efforts to
7 acquire lease interest in section 15?

8 MR. MACHA: That had nothing to do with
9 any of -- yes. There was a V-F employee that works
10 for Permian Resources now, but that had nothing,
11 absolutely zero, to do with our development
12 strategy --

13 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. I didn't ask that.
14 I just asked if you had hired somebody from V-F
15 Petroleum who had experience working with V-F
16 Petroleum during this period when they were developing
17 section 15?

18 MR. MACHA: I am unaware if that person
19 had worked on any of these lands for V-F.

20 MR. SAVAGE: I'm sorry. Can you
21 restate that?

22 MR. MACHA: I'm unaware if that person
23 had worked on anything in this area for V-F. I don't
24 know.

25 MR. SAVAGE: Did you have -- did

1 Permian have actual notice or constructive notice at
2 the time Permian acquired this interest in section 15
3 that was subject to well proposals made by V-F?

4 MR. MACHA: No.

5 MR. SAVAGE: And when you were
6 performing your due diligence, did Mewbourne include
7 V-F's well proposals in the transaction file?

8 MR. MACHA: No -- no.

9 MR. SAVAGE: Or did Mewbourne ever
10 mention the V-F well proposals?

11 MR. MACHA: No.

12 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, I'd like to
13 direct your attention to Permian's Revised Rebuttal 1,
14 and that's the last page of that exhibit. Let me pull
15 that up here myself.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage,
17 while you're pulling up that exhibit, how many more
18 questions do you have for this witness?

19 MR. SAVAGE: I'm almost -- I have
20 maybe, like, six, seven more.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
22 right. I'm trying to plan a lunch break here.

23 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. I understand.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck --

25 Excuse me, Mr. Savage. Hold on one

1 sec.

2 Ms. Luck, I see you -- there you are.
3 Are you going to have questions for this landman?

4 MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
5 I do.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And can
7 you anticipate how long that's going to go?

8 MS. LUCK: I'm not exactly sure, but I
9 would say ten to fifteen minutes.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let's try
11 to get this witness -- because Mr. McClure also has
12 questions after Ms. Luck, let's try to do all of that
13 so that we can do this before lunch.

14 So go ahead, Mr. Savage.

15 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.

16 On the exhibits, you stated that the
17 OXY signed JOAs; is that correct?

18 MR. MACHA: Yes, that's correct.

19 MR. SAVAGE: But isn't it true that
20 they only signed the JOAs for sections 14 and 15?

21 MR. MACHA: That's correct.

22 MR. SAVAGE: And then you note on your
23 diagram -- you have on -- this is page four. You note
24 that XTO -- you state that XTO objects to V-F's
25 proposal?

1 MR. MACHA: That's my understanding.

2 MR. SAVAGE: You're not aware that at
3 the January 28th hearing that XTO withdrew its
4 objections?

5 MR. MACHA: I -- yeah. I wasn't there,
6 so I was not aware.

7 MR. SAVAGE: So also on the
8 exhibit -- this is on the last page, 6 -- you see you
9 state that to date, Premium has not received letter
10 notice of pooling for case numbers 24994 or 24995;
11 correct?

12 MR. MACHA: Not that I've seen come
13 across my desk, no.

14 MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. And, actually,
15 Mr. Macha, I reviewed the January 28th transcript and
16 noted the discussion that addressed this oversight.
17 And as I understand, V-F Petroleum sent letter of
18 notice to Mewbourne from whom you acquired interest;
19 is that correct?

20 MR. MACHA: I'm not sure.

21 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And then there was
22 a discussion about addressing this oversight, but it
23 appears this oversight was not addressed. And it's
24 unfortunate in this matter that it fell through the
25 cracks, but I'd like to ask you a few questions about

1 this situation. Would you agree that Permian not
2 receiving timely notice is a notice deficiency?

3 MR. MACHA: I think we'd be happy to
4 waive, since we've been abundantly in the know of
5 y'all's plans over the past couple months at this
6 point.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So that would be
8 one option. Permian in this situation could or would
9 waive its right to notice and proceed with the
10 hearing; correct?

11 MR. MACHA: That's correct.

12 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. However, if Permian
13 were adamant about receiving proper notice, would you
14 agree that another option would be to request to
15 postpone the hearing on the basis that notice was not
16 received so that notice --

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage?

18 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're asking
20 this witness legal questions, and I'm going to object
21 to that. Do you have anything else to ask this
22 witness? He's a fact witness.

23 MR. SAVAGE: It's a fact that -- isn't
24 it a fact that Permian did ask for continuance in
25 these cases?

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage, I've
2 already told you that this is a fact witness that I
3 don't want you asking legal conclusions to. So do you
4 have anything else that's relevant to this before I
5 turn him over --

6 MR. SAVAGE: I think that concludes my
7 questioning. Thank you.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

9 Ms. Luck?

10 MS. LUCK: Thank you. I just have a
11 few questions.

12 Thanks for being here, Mr. Macha. And
13 maybe you already covered this with Mr. Savage, but
14 what's the drill schedule for these wells? Do you
15 know when they're going to be drilled?

16 MR. MACHA: They're currently on
17 schedule for January 2026.

18 MS. LUCK: Okay. So we're scheduled 10
19 months out at this point?

20 MR. MACHA: That's correct.

21 MS. LUCK: Okay. And I think that
22 Mr. Savage has clarified this, but the only reason
23 that Permian applied to pool a portion of the Bone
24 Spring here is because of the depth severance; is that
25 correct?

1 MR. MACHA: That's the only reason we
2 limited that, the north half, north half, the 131-H.
3 That -- why we excluded some at the third Bone. That
4 is correct.

5 MS. LUCK: Okay. So is it your
6 testimony that y'all are only seeking to pool a
7 portion of the Bone Spring in the north half, north
8 half spacing unit and not also the south half, north
9 half spacing unit?

10 MR. MACHA: The south half, north half,
11 I believe, is analogous. So I think we're
12 pooling -- we -- there's not a depth severance issue.
13 The -- it's the north half, north half; so --

14 MS. LUCK: Okay. But so who made that
15 decision about pooling only a portion of the Bone
16 Spring?

17 MR. MACHA: That was something that I
18 had consulted with our attorneys on.

19 MS. LUCK: So you would agree that this
20 is a legal decision, not a geologic decision?

21 MR. MACHA: I would say that we land
22 wells where the -- where oil is; and, yes, that's
23 geological and land collaborative decision.

24 MS. LUCK: Okay. So what other cases
25 are you aware of where the Division has only pooled a

1 portion of the third Bone Spring to the exclusion of
2 the remainder of the third Bone Spring?

3 MR. MACHA: I would -- I would -- I'm
4 not --

5 MS. VANCE: Objection. These are legal
6 questions. Mr. Macha, he is, as you pointed out,
7 Mr. Hearing Examiner, is a fact witness. Ms. Luck is
8 asking about cases before the Division, and Mr. Macha
9 is not the person to ask these questions of.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck?

11 MS. LUCK: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
12 Mr. Macha is their land representative, and he's
13 apparently the only person who's appearing from
14 Permian who can answer these questions about the
15 reasons the spacing units were established this way.

16 I think that Mr. Savage and I have both
17 tried to get down to the reason that they've
18 established their spacing units this way, both from
19 the reservoir engineer perspective, from the geologic
20 perspective, and now we're to the landman.

21 So it's -- we're trying to get someone
22 at Permian to provide to us the justification for
23 developing the spacing unit in this manner, whether
24 it's from the land perspective that they developed it
25 that way or the geologic perspective that they

1 developed it that way.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
3 understand where you're going with this, Ms. Luck,
4 and, and I appreciate the explanation. I sustain the
5 objection. I don't want to know about other cases.

6 This case is its own universe, and what
7 the Division has done in other cases is not relevant
8 to this case here, so you can ask your questions and
9 get to what you want in a different way. So please
10 proceed.

11 MS. LUCK: Well, Mr. Macha, are you
12 aware of Ms. Beall's interest in the north half, north
13 half of section 14?

14 MR. MACHA: Yes.

15 MS. LUCK: Okay. I'm going to read to
16 you from your affidavit. So your affidavit
17 says -- and this is your Exhibit C attached with your
18 exhibit packet that was provided to the Division

19 Your paragraph 8 says: "Permian has
20 provided notice of this hearing to the vertical offset
21 parties within the pool who are not subject to this
22 pooling application. Permian acknowledges the
23 Division's direction of leaving the record open to
24 perfect notice as to Case Numbers 25145 through 25148.

25 "There are no owners solely owning

1 above or below the notated severance. The same owners
2 own above and below the depth severance; however, own
3 in different percentages."

4 MR. MACHA: I believe that applies to
5 the south -- southern depth severance, not the north
6 half, north half depth severance.

7 MS. LUCK: Okay. And so that, again,
8 brings up similar concerns that I'm trying to get at.

9 Okay. So why were the same procedures
10 not followed with respect to the north half, north
11 half depth severance to first provide them notice,
12 allow additional time to perfect notice, and to
13 recognize their interest both above and below the
14 depth severance?

15 MR. MACHA: I don't think I understand
16 your question. On -- so on the north half, north
17 half, so -- sorry. Can you repeat?

18 MS. LUCK: Okay. Well, I guess, why
19 was the same procedure not followed for the north
20 half, north half spacing unit as the south half, north
21 half spacing unit to recognize the interest both above
22 and below the depth severance?

23 MR. MACHA: So the south depth
24 severance in the -- in the south half unit, the -- the
25 south half of the north half does not have depth

1 severance. The south unit, the same owners owned
2 above and a below. They receive notice by virtue of
3 the well proposals.

4 The north half, north half unit
5 obviously is just a little bit different, given there
6 are various owners that own independently in
7 the -- from the -- like you -- like Darin had
8 mentioned earlier -- the 3,900 foot marker to the
9 9,300 some odd foot marker. So the -- it's just
10 different -- different types of ownership involved.

11 MS. LUCK: Okay. And that's what I'm
12 getting at. It seems like these cases are being
13 treated a little bit differently. Like, there's
14 recognitions that there's depth severances in some of
15 the units, but not all of the units; and all of the
16 interest owners within the third Bone Spring are not
17 being allocated the same; is that correct?

18 MR. MACHA: Yeah. In the north half,
19 north half, we are not allocating the ownership where
20 we're landing wells, because it -- we allocate based
21 off the Oil and Gas Act on a surface acreage basis.

22 MS. LUCK: Okay. But I just want to
23 clarify. So if it weren't for the depth severance in
24 the north half, north half unit, would Permian have
25 pooled this as a single spacing unit encompassing the

1 entire third Bone Spring formation?

2 MR. MACHA: In accordance to the OCD
3 statutes, that's likely what we would be doing just to
4 be comprehensive just as we pool the entire Bone
5 Spring in -- in other cases, which I don't want to
6 reference other cases at this point.

7 MS. LUCK: Right. But so has Permian
8 offered an allocation to any of the owners who own
9 above the depth severance?

10 MR. MACHA: No.

11 MS. LUCK: Okay. Well, I think that's
12 all the questions I have. Thank you.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
14 you, Ms. Luck.

15 Mr. McClure?

16 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
17 Examiner.

18 Mr. Macha, based off your previous
19 answers and what's in your landman statement, is it
20 accurate to say that you never actually -- that
21 Ms. Beall's interests are not referenced anywhere in
22 this exhibit, the original exhibit?

23 MR. MACHA: That's correct.

24 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. But you are aware
25 of Ms. Beall's interest at this point at least; is

1 that also correct?

2 MR. MACHA: Yes, that's -- yes, sir.
3 We are not seeking to pool Ms. Beall in this case, no

4 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, sir. Having
5 said that, though, I will -- after we're done here,
6 I'll provide you the list of things I want submitted,
7 and one of them will be to provide a description of
8 Ms. Beall's depth severance within your -- within an
9 amended statement or a supplemental exhibit, something
10 along those lines. But we'll talk about that later.

11 MR. MACHA: Yes, sir.

12 MR. MCCLURE: Now, if I can direct your
13 attention to page -- or paragraph 7 of your testimony
14 found on page 52 of 227. Are you with me, sir --

15 MR. MACHA: Paragraph 7?

16 MR. MCCLURE: Yes, sir.

17 MR. MACHA: Yep.

18 MR. MCCLURE: There's reference to as
19 seen at 8,913 feet measured depth beneath the surface.
20 You see where I'm referring to?

21 MR. MACHA: Yep.

22 MR. MCCLURE: Is this simply a typo
23 or -- because it seems like this is what was
24 referenced with your Bone Spring 2 cases.

25 MR. MACHA: Yeah. So let me -- yeah.

1 That looks like that would've been a copy and paste
2 type error from our second Bone Spring cases, from the
3 top of the second Bone Spring down to the
4 stratigraphic equivalent of the base of the second
5 Bone Spring at that 8,913 foot marker.

6 We would be seeking to pool from that
7 9,397 feet to the base of the Bone Spring.

8 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And the base of
9 the -- let me think about my question. I'm sorry.
10 Let me say it -- even though I never said it, let me
11 say it the first time, I guess, differently than I was
12 going to. Are you aware of where the base of the Bone
13 Spring is approximately in this area?

14 MR. MACHA: In terms of a footage, I
15 would have to reference back to my geologist who is
16 now available.

17 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
18 That's kind of, I guess, why I rephrased it, but I
19 assumed that would be your response. Do you know
20 where Ms. Beall's interests are located?

21 MR. MACHA: The northeast of the
22 northwest quarter of Section 14, I believe.

23 MR. MCCLURE: Do you also believe that
24 she owns an interest in other quarter -- quarters in
25 the north half of Section 14?

1 MR. MACHA: No -- no. I've -- I've
2 asked our title attorney to revisit that a couple
3 times since this issue's been raised, and they -- they
4 found no evidence of Ms. Beall owning outside of the
5 northeast of the northwest quarter.

6 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And did you have
7 an opportunity to review Ms. Beall's exhibits?

8 MR. MACHA: Yeah. The -- the various
9 assignments, yes, our -- which I had sent to our title
10 attorneys. They have not found any valid assignment
11 that would spread her interest outside of that
12 northeast northwest quarter.

13 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
14 Now, in your paragraph 7, this 9,397 feet that's
15 referenced here, what is that in reference -- or where
16 did that footage come from?

17 MR. MACHA: I believe it came from
18 a -- I believe it came from a well that was drilled in
19 the '90s down to about 100 feet above that marker. I
20 think it produced for about 20 years up until the past
21 couple years where it -- when it was PNA.

22 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Do you have
23 available to -- go ahead, sir.

24 MR. MACHA: Sorry. Go ahead. Yeah,
25 no. So that's -- so that's where the depth severance

1 is coming from. It's coming from existing wells that
2 have already been producing.

3 MR. MCCLURE: Do you have available
4 that documentation that could be provided to the
5 Division?

6 MR. MACHA: On the existing well
7 boards, yes.

8 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you. So
9 that'll also be something else that we'll request
10 later. If I can direct your attention to your amended
11 exhibits, Amended Exhibit C-8 found on page 148 of
12 227.

13 MR. MACHA: Yes.

14 MR. MCCLURE: You have five of the six
15 Permian/Read cases listed here. The one that seems to
16 be missing is case 25145. Is there a reason for that?

17 MR. MACHA: Okay. 25145. Do
18 you -- off the top of your head, do you know which
19 well slash swimlane -- swimlane that would -- that
20 would be?

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Macha, we
22 already discussed that you don't ask questions, you
23 just answer questions. So if you're unclear about
24 something, just say what you're unclear about.

25 MR. MACHA: Yeah. I'm sorry.

1 The -- that case number, I need to look
2 at which well slash spacing unit that would apply to.

3 MR. MCCLURE: It is -- it's the north
4 half of the north half in the Bone Spring 3.

5 MR. MACHA: Okay. Cool. Yeah. So
6 that -- so yes. So that one is not included, because
7 Marathon did not own an interest in that north half,
8 north half, so there was no adjustment to the interest
9 control.

10 And the OXY JOA, they -- we have -- we
11 have negotiated that one since November of 2024 prior
12 to us sending out the third Bone proposals, so we did
13 not include the third Bone as part of the OXY JOA so
14 that there was no adjustment to the -- the interests
15 in that case.

16 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. I'm just looking
17 through your -- Mr. Macha, are you aware of where the
18 interest for that case is in this application packet?

19 MR. MACHA: Let me -- I'm not sure.

20 MR. MCCLURE: Do you believe it was
21 part of the original application packet and may have
22 got left off the amended application packet --

23 MR. MACHA: That's correct. Yeah.
24 Since -- you're probably right. This -- since this is
25 a quote revised exhibit packet, it's probably -- since

1 it was not revised, it's probably not in this one.
2 It's probably in the original one.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let's
4 hold on for a second.

5 So, Ms. Vance, it sounds to me like the
6 exhibits in the revised package don't include
7 something from the original packet. Is that what your
8 witness is saying?

9 MS. VANCE: I'm not sure. I was trying
10 to understand what Mr. McClure was -- which exhibit
11 exactly he was looking for, because I was trying to
12 find it and identify it. It would be -- if he could
13 clarify, I might be able to find it and direct
14 Mr. McClure to the exhibit.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
16 Mr. McClure?

17 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
18 Examiner.

19 Ms. Vance, essentially what I'm looking
20 for is if you see kind of the breakout of ownership in
21 Exhibits C-3, it appears that the interest for
22 case 25145 might have been left off of them, unless
23 I'm just blind, and I'm missing it.

24 MS. VANCE: Yeah, no. I see that now.
25 I don't know how I missed that, but it is not there.

1 I can include that with a revised hearing packet along
2 with any of the other supplementals the Division
3 requests.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. Thank
5 you, Ms. Vance.

6 So, Mr. McClure, is there something for
7 this witness to look at from the original packet? Do
8 you want to share your screen? Or are you just
9 pointing out that something's missing?

10 MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
11 originally, I was just wanting him to confirm what the
12 working interest was or committed interest was going
13 to be.

14 But since we don't have it, like, very
15 readily in front of us, Mr. Macha, my understanding is
16 that your current committed working interest is
17 whatever was in this exhibit in the original
18 application packet; is that correct?

19 MR. MACHA: That would be correct, yep.

20 MR. MCCLURE: In regards to the OXY
21 interests in the Bone Spring 3 for the sections 14 and
22 15, what is the status of their intent there for the
23 JOA?

24 MR. MACHA: So yeah. Since we have
25 negotiated it since November, we just didn't want to

1 complicate the matter a little bit, and we asked them
2 if -- if -- we wanted to go ahead and expand the
3 third -- the -- to the third Bone Spring.

4 But in the, in the spirit of -- they
5 said they would like to expedite it, because of this
6 hearing, so they went ahead and signed the second
7 Bone, and we would revisit the third Bone. And we've
8 just not prioritized it, 'cause we've been focusing on
9 this hearing, so --

10 MR. MCCLURE: Is it correct that --

11 MR. MACHA: We anticipate on getting
12 it -- sorry. Go ahead.

13 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. So it is correct
14 that at this point, Permian does not intend to force
15 pool OXY in the Bone Spring 3 cases; is that correct?

16 MR. MACHA: That is correct. We work
17 very well with OXY, so we're not worried about not
18 getting a JOA with them in place there; so --

19 MR. MCCLURE: And you believe -- okay.
20 So then to confirm, you believe that you will end up
21 with a JOA with OXY, at least that's what your belief
22 is at this point?

23 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

24 MR. MCCLURE: But nothing as of this
25 point is negotiated or even discussed; is that also

1 correct?

2 MR. MACHA: That's correct. We would
3 likely use the same form of JOA, so it -- it's
4 probably not going to be something that would take too
5 long. But that's correct.

6 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Now, looking again
7 at your page 148 of 227, Exhibit C-8, I believe it is,
8 this is where you have all your interests -- where you
9 have your interests wrote out after the OXY JOA.

10 MR. MACHA: Sorry. Can you repeat the
11 page number again?

12 MR. MCCLURE: Oh, page 148 of 227.

13 MR. MACHA: Yes, sir.

14 MR. MCCLURE: Now, you have a
15 comparison between the V-F cases and the Permian cases
16 kind of on the right two thirds of this slide. Do you
17 see where I'm referring to?

18 MR. MACHA: Yes, sir.

19 MR. MCCLURE: The interest that you
20 have listed for V-F claimed working interest. Is that
21 equal to what V-F had put in their cases, and they're
22 in agreement, to your understanding, with that working
23 interest?

24 MR. MACHA: Yes. That's -- I got
25 the -- where I put V-F claimed working interest,

1 that's where I -- I got that working interest from
2 their exhibit packets. The only reason I put
3 "claimed" was 'cause we have not done title research
4 on section 16 in depth.

5 So we did not in -- in addition to
6 their -- them claiming the Moore & Shelton interest,
7 we went ahead and lumped that into this as well.

8 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Which brings in
9 one of my later questions, but I'll ask it right now.
10 In regards to that Moore & Shelton Co. interest, does
11 the committed interest that Permian has here take that
12 into account?

13 MR. MACHA: No. So we -- we did not
14 get -- we have not gotten a JOA or a term assignment
15 for Moore & Shelton, so that was never part of our
16 committed interest quantification.

17 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Now, where you
18 have total interest averse to V-F, you see where I'm
19 referring to there at, like, the right-end side of
20 the -- your double arrow?

21 MR. MACHA: Yep.

22 MR. MCCLURE: How was that number
23 computed?

24 MR. MACHA: That was computed straight
25 from V-F's numbers as well based on, like I say, the

1 PR, XTO, Ard, and Marathon joinders. OXY, we did
2 not -- we went ahead and lumped them in there as well
3 since they signed our JOA.

4 Obviously, Darin pointed out that XTO
5 is now neutral, I -- I assume. So what we should
6 probably do is file a revised exhibit removing XTO
7 from this if that -- if that indeed is the case.

8 MR. MCCLURE: How did you account for
9 the different interests between the Bone Spring 2 and
10 the Bone Spring 3 in Permian's cases when you compared
11 it to the V-F cases that took into account the entire
12 formation?

13 MR. MACHA: Yeah. So V-F's cases don't
14 contain a depth severance, so I'm -- I'm -- I can do
15 this here on ours. We -- like I say, the Marathon and
16 OXY interest is not depth severed; and then the third
17 Bone Spring cases, like I say, we're only seeking to
18 pool the -- the -- we're not seeking to pool over a
19 depth severance.

20 So the -- the cases where I quantify
21 the total PR control, that is -- that is the total
22 control of what we are seeking to pool, if that makes
23 sense.

24 MR. MCCLURE: Yes. But you have a
25 different interest in the Bone Spring 2 than you do in

1 the Bone Spring 3 that's committed; is that correct?

2 MR. MACHA: That is correct. And these
3 cases are split by Bone Spring 2 and Bone Spring 3
4 where there is a depth severance, so that -- that is
5 taken into -- into account here, I believe.

6 MR. MCCLURE: Well, let's look at the
7 one for case 24994. How was that
8 61.8 -- approximately 61.84 percent computed?

9 MR. MACHA: Yeah. So that was -- that
10 was adding XTO, PR, Ard, and -- Ard in Marathon's
11 interest as represented on V-F's exhibits, and
12 that's -- that's just a simple addition into that
13 68 percent -- or 61 percent.

14 MR. MCCLURE: I guess what I'm trying
15 to drive at is case 24994 is for the entire Bone
16 Spring.

17 MR. MACHA: Okay.

18 MR. MCCLURE: Permian's interest is
19 different between its case 25148 and its case 24942.

20 MR. MACHA: Right.

21 MR. MCCLURE: You have both of those
22 cases listed here to the left, and neither one has
23 61.84 percent.

24 MR. MACHA: Yeah.

25 MR. MCCLURE: So how did 61.84 percent

1 get computed?

2 MR. MACHA: Right. So the -- so the PR
3 cases on the left where I have 88 and 82, the 24941
4 and then the 24942, so that is -- that is PR's units;
5 that is, sections 14 and 15. And then the right two
6 thirds of the slides were the V-F cases.

7 I'm just showing the V-F interest in
8 their sections 16 and 15 together. I'm not showing
9 our interest in section 14 blended over into V-F's
10 cases, if that makes sense. So in -- in terms of V-F
11 case 24994, they control that 32.8 number.

12 And in that same exact swimlane, that
13 same exact spacing unit from section 16 into 15, the
14 adverse ownership computation is just added from the
15 ownership in their sections 16 and 15. It's
16 not -- it's not contemplating sections 14 and 15 that
17 PR is -- is developing.

18 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. So then there is
19 no relation between this 61.84 percent and Permian's
20 committed interest; is that correct?

21 MR. MACHA: That is correct, yep.

22 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. I was originally
23 misunderstanding the exhibit, then. Okay. And in
24 regards to XTO's interest, would that then affect the
25 61.84 percent? Is that what you said earlier?

1 MR. MACHA: Yes. If -- if XTO is no
2 longer objecting, what I would do is subtract XTO's
3 interest from the total and -- and give you a new
4 adverse interest.

5 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. So it would be
6 61.83 or eight four minus 25 percent, then; is that
7 correct?

8 MR. MACHA: Correct, yes.

9 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. But as far as the
10 Permian interest that you have listed on the left of
11 this slide and the V-F claimed working interest, none
12 of those numbers would change regardless of XTO; is
13 that correct?

14 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

15 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Macha.

16 Mr. Hearing Examiner, I have no further
17 questions for this witness.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

19 Ms. Vance, this is your opportunity to
20 re-direct if necessary.

21 MS. VANCE: I do have a couple of
22 questions and hopefully --

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And then
24 we'll take lunch after this.

25 MS. VANCE: Okay.

1 Mr. Macha, can you -- sorry. Just one
2 second here. Mr. Maka, Mr. Savage asked you about
3 some of the interest owners that Permian is pooling,
4 specifically Javelina. Do you know how much interest
5 they own?

6 MR. MACHA: In terms of working
7 interests, they no longer own a working interest given
8 the term assignment other than in the north half,
9 north half of section 15 where V-F did not seek a term
10 assignment where the second Bone was already
11 developed.

12 So they -- they still do own a working
13 interest in the north half, north half as to the third
14 Bone Spring cases.

15 MS. VANCE: Okay. And then
16 mister -- or I'm sorry -- ARD, how much -- okay. You
17 already described the -- the extent of that interest.
18 And then also ARD and Moore Shelton, what does that
19 interest look like? How much is it? Specifically in
20 the -- well, wherever you calculated it in the
21 exhibits.

22 MR. MACHA: So yeah. So ARD is looking
23 like it's got about -- so as to our cases, ARD has
24 2.22 -- 2.25 percent of the unit, and Moore & Shelton
25 has 0.937 percent.

1 MS. VANCE: Okay. So and then with
2 Moore Shelton, Mr. Savage -- through an assignment.
3 And I just want to confirm, you were unaware of that
4 assignment at the time that Permian filed these
5 applications; correct?

6 MR. MACHA: That is correct.

7 MS. VANCE: All right. Now, I just
8 want to talk about the applications themselves,
9 specifically the third Bone Spring applications, and
10 I'm going to go ahead and just bring up and share very
11 quickly. Can you see my screen?

12 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

13 MS. VANCE: Okay. All right. I'll
14 just use this one. Actually, I'll go -- all right.
15 Mr. Macha, we've talked about the fact that these
16 cases, case numbers 1415 -- I'm sorry -- 15145 through
17 15148, those are related to a depth severance in the
18 third Bone Spring; correct?

19 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

20 MS. VANCE: Okay. And I'm going to
21 highlight some language right here that you talked
22 about with Mr. Savage. Would you describe this as a
23 general description of the depth severance that you're
24 familiar with?

25 MR. MACHA: Let me -- from the top

1 of -- of third Bone Spring -- yes. But I would
2 say -- so it says -- is -- what -- is this the 131-H?

3 Sorry. I'm asking a question.

4 MS. VANCE: Yes. This is the 131-H.
5 So the question is, is this a general description?

6 MR. MACHA: That's a -- that's a very
7 general description, yes.

8 MS. VANCE: Okay. Thank you. And then
9 I just want to go to the exhibit packet. Make sure
10 I'm on the right one. Okay. And then in the
11 compulsory pooling checklist, you agree that this
12 says -- ask for whether or not there's a depth
13 severance; correct?

14 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

15 MS. VANCE: And this is the more exact
16 description of that depth severance; correct?

17 MR. MACHA: Yes, ma'am.

18 MS. VANCE: So I know you talked with
19 Mr. Savage, and you said that there might be a
20 contradiction. But would you say that this is a more
21 specific description of the general description that
22 is in the applications?

23 MR. SAVAGE: Objection to that.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is the
25 objection, Mr. Savage?

1 MR. SAVAGE: The objection is that the
2 application was filed with the OCD with the general
3 description, and it was -- abstract was published with
4 the general description, and the publication notice
5 had the general description.

6 That was all public notice. That was
7 all filed as an application to the OCD, and this
8 statement here in the checklist has no relevance to
9 notice, and it has no relevance to the validity of the
10 application.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. But
12 what's -- I understand. But what's the objection to
13 the question?

14 MR. SAVAGE: The objection is relevance
15 to pointing this out.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance, the
17 objection is relevance.

18 MS. VANCE: Right. So Mr. Savage wants
19 to make an issue of what was provided in the
20 application versus what was included in Mr. Macha's
21 statement in the compulsory pooling checklist.

22 And under the Division rules, which I
23 have up here, it requires under initiating an
24 application, a description -- if I -- a general
25 description of the common source being sought to pool.

1 And so I just want to clarify that what we provided in
2 the application is a general description versus the
3 more exact description of what is being pooled.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
5 right. I understand. All right.

6 So, Mr. Savage, it's relevant, because
7 you brought up the subject. So had you not brought up
8 the subject, I'd wonder what the relevance is myself.
9 But you went out of your way and spent a lot of time
10 pointing out the distinctions between the two, and I
11 think it's the right of the applicant to clean that up
12 with their witness.

13 Now, if you have further
14 cross-examination based on the re-direct questions,
15 you can ask it after lunch, but I do believe it's
16 relevant because of your cross-examination. So it's
17 overruled.

18 Please proceed.

19 MS. VANCE: I think Mr. Macha answered.
20 I just want to make sure he answered it.

21 But, again, so what we have in the
22 application is a general description, and that -- so
23 it's not a contradiction. What we have in your
24 statement and in the compulsory pooling checklist is a
25 more specific description of what is in the

1 application; correct?

2 MR. MACHA: Yes -- yes, ma'am.

3 MS. VANCE: Okay. Mr. Savage also
4 brought up some cases that are not related to what we
5 are discussing today, but I just want to ask one
6 question -- actually two to be clear that we are in
7 different universes, as the hearing examiner put it.
8 What county are we in for these particular cases?

9 MR. MACHA: Eddy County.

10 MS. VANCE: Okay. And then the Joker
11 and Bane, what county are those in?

12 MR. MACHA: Those are in Lea County.

13 MS. VANCE: Okay. How far away are
14 they? Do you know?

15 MR. MACHA: Oh, no. Not off the top of
16 my head. But it would be a two-handle on the miles,
17 so it would be a considerable distance.

18 MS. VANCE: Okay. Thank you. And
19 Mr. Savage talked about the proposals that V-F had
20 made, and when you closed with Mewbourne, when did
21 Permian become aware of those proposals?

22 MR. MACHA: After we sent the slim jim
23 well proposals, and they noticed -- I think you
24 first -- that they were going to be objecting. We did
25 not receive any well proposals from them until, like,

1 I think a month or more later where they sent us via
2 e-mail the -- the proposals they sent to Mewbourne.

3 And I think we got one to Permian
4 resources in the mail, but the rest were just via
5 e-mail.

6 MS. VANCE: Okay. I want to clean that
7 up just a little bit. So at what point did Permian
8 become aware of the proposals? What's maybe a
9 timeframe? Those --

10 MR. MACHA: That'd be around October.

11 MS. VANCE: Of what year?

12 MR. MACHA: October 2024.

13 MS. VANCE: Okay. And then as a
14 result, what did Permian do to try -- did Permian
15 request those from V-F?

16 MR. MACHA: Yes, we did.

17 MS. VANCE: Okay. And how long did it
18 take V-F to provide those to Permian?

19 MR. MACHA: It's in the timeline on my
20 exhibits, but I think it was about three weeks.

21 MS. VANCE: Okay.

22 That's all I have.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. All
24 right. It is now 12:18 p.m. Are the parties, do they
25 agree that a 45-minute lunch is acceptable?

1 MS. VANCE: That works.

2 MS. LUCK: That works for me.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

4 Mr. McClure, does that work for you?

5 MR. MCCLURE: That's fine, Mr. Hearing
6 Examiner.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Very
8 good. Okay.

9 And, Mr. Cogswell, is that a problem?

10 THE REPORTER: That's fine. Thank you.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
12 good. We're going to come back on the record
13 at -- let's see -- one o'clock. It's slightly less
14 than 45 minutes, but close. We'll come back on the
15 record at one o'clock, and we'll continue this
16 hearing. Thank you.

17 (Off the record.)

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: We are ready.
19 Okay. Very good.

20 Mr. McClure?

21 MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
22 I'm here. I'll just leave my camera off for a few
23 minutes, but I'll --

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, that's fine,
25 Mr. McClure. I just wanted to make sure you were here

1 and that you're ready to proceed.

2 MR. MCCLURE: I am, sir.

3 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
4 have to admit -- I'm looking at this -- I don't think
5 that I received or noted the other party's positions,
6 so I would like the opportunity to correct that.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Hold on.
8 Is this for your response to the motions?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Consolidated response
10 to -- that's right. So that's -- yeah.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You don't need
12 to worry about the other party's position.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. That is correct.
14 Yeah. You got me on edge with the --

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it's not
16 my intent to get you on --

17 MR. SAVAGE: That's good's.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. It's
19 one o'clock now on the 27th of February. We are
20 continuing the hearing in these 11 consolidated cases.
21 And let's see. Ms. Vance had asked some re-direct
22 questions.

23 And, Mr. Savage, do you have any
24 cross-examination specifically related to and confined
25 to the re-cross -- or sorry -- the re-direct?

1 MR. SAVAGE: I do.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go right ahead.

3 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Is Mr. Macha
4 available?

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance?

6 MS. VANCE: I was looking --

7 MR. MACHA: Yeah, I'm available. I'm
8 on -- I'm on Ira's computer now.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We can
10 see you. And I remind you you're still under oath,
11 sir.

12 MR. MACHA: Yes, sir.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, I'd like to go
14 through some of the questions and comments that
15 Ms. Vance asked starting with the application. Now,
16 you're familiar with a variety of applications that
17 are filed with the OCD; is that correct?

18 MR. MACHA: Yes.

19 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So the specific
20 language in the application that was filed says that
21 Permian seeks to pool. Now, if you saw an application
22 that said "Seeks to pool the Bone Spring" as we did in
23 our applications -- competing applications, that would
24 denote to you that we're pooling the entire Bone
25 Spring. Would that be correct -- or a fair statement?

1 MR. MACHA: I would -- it would be a
2 trigger for me to go look at it, yes. But if
3 there -- I mean, if there's depth severs and nuances,
4 it is what it is; right? I -- I -- we usually defer
5 to our counsel with Holland & Hart in Santa Fe on that
6 sort of stuff.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Has Holland & Hart -- they
8 file a lot of applications for you. Have they filed
9 applications in the past that dealt with severances?

10 MR. MACHA: Yep. Yes, they have.

11 MR. SAVAGE: Have you seen where they
12 specify the interval instead of describing the entire
13 formation?

14 MR. MACHA: The only debt severance
15 cases that I can think of off the top of my head that
16 they've filed for us are severances between
17 formations, not severances between -- on, like, a
18 footage basis.

19 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Would you agree
20 that seeking to pool the entire formation
21 specifying -- so, for example, the application did not
22 request to seek a general formation. It sought
23 to -- such as the Bone Spring, it sought to pool an
24 interval of that Bone Spring; correct?

25 MR. MACHA: Yes. That is correct.

1 MR. SAVAGE: And that interval is from
2 the top of the third Bone Spring to the bottom. Would
3 you agree that this is more specific than a general
4 source of supply?

5 MR. MACHA: What do you mean by that?

6 MS. VANCE: I object. This is --

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
8 objection?

9 MS. VANCE: So Mr. Savage is asking a
10 legal question regarding language in the rules, and
11 Mr. Macha is not an attorney. He is a fact witness.

12 MR. SAVAGE: Well, I thought --

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage?

14 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. I would just like to
15 point out that the whole basis of Ms. Vance's inquiry
16 and questioning -- pulled up the rules, addressed the
17 rules, and addressed those to Mr. Macha. So I believe
18 that Mr. Macha is a sophisticated enough landman to
19 address general questions of procedures that he is
20 familiar with.

21 MS. VANCE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
22 would like to point out, and I think it's clear on the
23 record, that I asked Mr. Macha whether or not it was a
24 general description. I did not ask him specifically
25 to discuss the rule itself. The only point at which I

1 brought up the rule was to respond to Mr. Savage's
2 line of questioning. I did not ask legal questions of
3 Mr. Macha.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
5 understand, Ms. Vance.

6 And I understand, Mr. Savage, where
7 you're going. And I do want to notify the parties
8 that I have a hard stop today at 3:00 p.m. I want
9 this, I want the parties to have a full and fair
10 opportunity to elicit all of the evidence that the
11 Division needs to make an educated choice in this
12 matter.

13 And if we have to continue on another
14 day next week, then that's what we'll do. But I will
15 let everyone know that at three o'clock, we have to
16 stop for the day. So use your time wisely, is the
17 first thing I'm saying. Number two, I'm ruling on the
18 objection.

19 Mr. Savage, you are the one who brought
20 up this idea that the notice given by the application
21 was somehow insufficient based on this depth severance
22 and other issues with the C-102s and the descriptions
23 down the line, so I gave Ms. Vance the latitude to ask
24 these questions to try to clean up that issue.

25 To go further into this, though, I

1 don't see how it's helpful, because it is what it is.
2 You have your -- you can make a legal argument if you
3 want based on the application. You can make a legal
4 argument based on the facts that we have.

5 But to just sort of go through this
6 with this witness and try to get him to say something
7 you want him to say, I don't think that's going to be
8 helpful at this point. So I'm going to sustain the
9 objection, and I hope that you have some other
10 questions that might be a little bit more fact-based.

11 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. I do. And I think
12 that both positions have been represented in the
13 record on this --

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. I agree.

15 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So I'll move to the
16 next matter, and that is Ms. Vance describing the
17 distance between the Bane and Joker location and
18 location of this case.

19 So, Mr. Macha, both of those cases have
20 a single reservoir that has no baffling in the sands.
21 Would you agree with that?

22 MS. VANCE: I object. Again, we're
23 bringing up questions about -- Mr. Savage is trying to
24 bring up geological distinctions between these two
25 cases. My questions were clearly to demonstrate to

1 the examiner's point that we're talking about two
2 different developments, and they do not need to
3 be -- this other case does not need to be discussed
4 here.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So is your
6 objection -- what is your objection based on? What's
7 the legal premise it's based on?

8 MS. VANCE: That we are -- the scope of
9 this hearing is for the applications filed, and those
10 applications that Mr. Savage is referring to are not
11 at issue here. And the --

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. Vance,
13 are you saying that his question was outside the scope
14 of your re-direct? Or are you saying it's irrelevant
15 because it's outside the scope of the hearing?

16 MS. VANCE: It's outside of the scope
17 of the re-direct. My questions were just focused on
18 the distance between the two cases and that they are
19 in two different development areas. I did not bring
20 up the geology at all.

21 Mr. Savage is bringing up
22 additional -- getting into further detail about that
23 case when all I did was ask the question to
24 demonstrate that these are in two different areas, and
25 they don't need to be discussed together.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

2 Mr. Savage, your response?

3 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So she brought up
4 the distance to show that they were unrelated -- that
5 they were completely unrelated, and that is not the
6 case. They are related. Mr. Macha is aware in both
7 cases the testimony of the geology. He understands
8 what the spacing unit is. That is a spacing unit
9 that's decided by the -- as I said before -- a
10 combination of land and geology.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So,
12 Mr. Savage, question for you. When you say "the
13 cases," what cases are you referring to?

14 MR. SAVAGE: I'm referring to
15 these -- the present cases and then the other cases
16 that were in Lea County that involved the Joker and
17 the Bane wells.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: But Lea County?
19 This is Eddy County, isn't it?

20 MR. SAVAGE: That's correct. But the
21 development plan for both is the same.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I don't
23 find that relevant, Mr. Savage. So I sustain the
24 objection. Find another way to ask your question or
25 move on.

1 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Macha, hypothetically,
2 if you had two spacing units, and both spacing units
3 did not have baffling and were completely sand, and
4 one proposed to drill wells above and below a depth
5 severance, and one proposed to drill a well below the
6 depth severance, and that's it --

7 MS. VANCE: I object to this. I mean,
8 I'm going to object to this. He's asking questions
9 that are outside of the scope of what I asked on
10 re-direct, and Mr. Macha is not a geologist.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12 Ms. Vance, let's keep it short, because we do have a
13 time limit for today if the parties want to stick to
14 today.

15 Mr. Savage, once again, this is that
16 it's outside the scope of the re-direct. What do you
17 say to that?

18 MR. SAVAGE: I say that Ms. Vance is
19 implicitly comparing two sets of cases and claiming
20 that they're different because of the distance between
21 the two, and I am claiming that, hypothetically, if
22 you had those two cases and you looked at the facts,
23 hypothetically, of the cases, that they would be the
24 same.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Savage,

1 well, you haven't really answered the question that I
2 asked, which was, why should I overrule Ms. Vance's
3 objection based on outside the scope of re-direct? So
4 could you just answer that?

5 MR. SAVAGE: Because it is within the
6 scope. Mr. Hearing Examiner, so the Division has
7 ruled that we are not going to have closing
8 arguments -- written closing arguments in this case,
9 so we don't have much opportunity to really provide
10 analysis of the specifics of these cases to the
11 Division.

12 As a result, I am trying to provide the
13 Division with an understanding of how these two cases
14 are related. So, you know, if that's outside the
15 scope, you know, so be it. I'll move on. But, I
16 mean, would it be possible to provide closing written
17 arguments?

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask
19 Mr. McClure, because the closing argument wouldn't be
20 for my benefit. The closing arguments would be for
21 Mr. McClure's benefit, and I don't know if he feels it
22 would be helpful at this point to have closing
23 arguments.

24 But why don't we deal with that at the
25 end of the hearing after he's heard all the evidence

1 and --

2 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And I --

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Hold on,
4 Mr. Savage. I'm not finished.

5 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And so these
7 cases that you're talking about, you're trying to
8 compare cases in Eddy County with other cases in Lea
9 County where you feel like the applicant here has done
10 something different, and you don't know why. Is that
11 why you're asking?

12 MR. SAVAGE: That's part of it, yes.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's part of
14 it. Okay.

15 MR. SAVAGE: That's part of it. And in
16 one case, they're drilling two wells to protect
17 correlative rights; in the other case, they're
18 drilling one well. And, you know, you have the same
19 geology, and you have the same scope of production.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I don't
21 know. You know, Mr. Savage, I understand if you say
22 you have the same this and you have the same that.
23 But, for me, you know, I'm not a scientist, so I don't
24 know what facts of the case are similar and what would
25 make the difference. So that's why we really need to

1 stick with this case.

2 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Okay.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And not try to
4 compare it with what they did elsewhere, because even
5 a small change elsewhere could affect how they did it
6 there and why the Division would've approved it, and I
7 don't understand that. That's beyond me.

8 MR. SAVAGE: If I may --

9 MS. VANCE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
10 would also like to point out that if Mr. Savage wanted
11 to make this a part of the case, then he should have
12 done it in his case in chief. He did not. And right
13 now, he is outside of the scope of the re-direct.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean,
15 Mr. Savage, that is why we have these rules.

16 MR. SAVAGE: Well, Mr. Hearing
17 Examiner, if I can add. So these are very -- this is
18 a very complicated case, because it deals with these
19 severances, it deals with questions of taking from
20 owners in upper intervals, it deals with allocation
21 formulas, it deals with whether you should drill
22 additional wells, or if you could.

23 I don't know if Mr. McClure would
24 benefit from analysis in these areas, but it seems
25 like there would be a benefit to the Division for

1 that.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I'm a
3 little confused, Mr. Savage, that you're asking
4 questions that go to what seems like it would be
5 Ms. Luck's case. I mean, I would expect these
6 questions from Ms. Luck. I mean, are you trying to
7 show that your competing plan is better in some way
8 based on the question you're asking?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Because we --

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is that?

11 MR. SAVAGE: Our plan does not
12 have -- does not deal with any severances, so it's a
13 cleaner plan; and Permian's plan deals with these
14 severances, and, in our view, it violates correlative
15 rights. And so that would be -- should be a major
16 factor in consideration between the two plans.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I think
18 you've made that clear. Ms. Luck is here to represent
19 her clients as well, and she's also able to ask
20 re-cross on the re-direct if she so chooses. But I
21 just don't feel it's helpful, and I agree it's outside
22 the scope of the re-direct.

23 So can you just stick -- because you
24 had a long opportunity to cross-examine this witness.
25 You took over an hour to do so, and Ms. Vance just

1 cleaned up a few issues based on your
2 cross-examination. So this really is a very limited
3 opportunity now to just ask anything that came up
4 during re-direct.

5 So if you would just confine yourself,
6 please. So I'm sustaining the objection.

7 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Those were the two
8 main areas. Those were the two main issues
9 and -- yes. Thanks.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
11 you, sir. And, Mr. Savage, you may make the argument
12 to Mr. McClure that closing arguments may be
13 beneficial, and he may agree with you. I'm going to
14 leave that between Mr. McClure and the parties.

15 Ms. Luck, do you have any re-cross on
16 the re-direct?

17 MS. LUCK: Well, I just want to
18 clarify, I'm not sure if Mr. Macha can answer this
19 question or not.

20 Do you know how many feet there are
21 from the top of the third Bone Spring to where you're
22 pooling?

23 MR. MACHA: No, I don't.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would the
25 geologist know?

1 MR. MACHA: They would probably have a
2 pretty good idea.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

4 Well, Ms. Luck, you can ask the
5 geologist.

6 MS. LUCK: Okay. But I just want to
7 ask a follow-up question to that.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. Go ahead.

9 MS. LUCK: The geologist will tell us
10 how many feet there are, but it's Permian's position
11 at this point in time that Ms. Beall should be able to
12 drill her own well within that portion of the third
13 Bone Spring. Is that your position?

14 MR. MACHA: Yeah.

15 MS. LUCK: And there's enough space up
16 there to drill a whole other well in the third Bone
17 Spring within that interval?

18 MR. MACHA: If that -- I mean, they are
19 allowed to put a well wherever they want to, yeah.

20 MS. LUCK: Okay. And we'll circle back
21 with the geologist if possible just to clarify how
22 many feet there are. Thank you.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
24 you.

25 Mr. McClure, was there anything that

1 you wanted to bring up that you heard on the
2 re-direct?

3 MR. MCCLURE: Nothing here, Mr. Hearing
4 Examiner.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
6 Thank you.

7 And, Ms. Vance, may this witness be
8 excused?

9 MS. VANCE: Yes.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
11 good. Do you want to call your second witness?

12 MS. VANCE: Sure. I'm going to call
13 Ira Bradford.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Bradford,
15 please raise your right hand.

16 WHEREUPON,

17 IRA BRADFORD,
18 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
19 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
20 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you state
22 and spell your name?

23 MR. BRADFORD: Ira Bradford, I-R-A
24 B-R-A-D-F-O-R-D.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank

1 you. You can lower your hand, sir.

2 Ms. Vance, would you like to take over?

3 MS. VANCE: Yes. Give me one second
4 here. Excuse me.

5 Mr. Bradford, by whom are you employed
6 and in what capacity?

7 MR. BRADFORD: I am the -- I am the
8 New Mexico asset geoscience manager for Permian
9 Resources.

10 MS. VANCE: Okay. And you previously
11 testified before the Division, and your credentials
12 have been accepted as a matter of record?

13 MR. BRADFORD: Yes, they have.

14 MS. VANCE: Okay. And you're familiar
15 with the applications filed in these competing cases?

16 MR. BRADFORD: I am.

17 MS. VANCE: And you're familiar with
18 the lands and the proposed spacing units?

19 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.

20 MS. VANCE: And as far as the testimony
21 and exhibits, did you assist Mr. Cantin with preparing
22 his statement and with the exhibits that are included
23 in the hearing packet?

24 MR. BRADFORD: I did.

25 MS. VANCE: Okay. And those are

1 Exhibits D through D-6; correct?

2 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.

3 MS. VANCE: Do you have any corrections
4 or modifications or changes to the testimony that was
5 provided by Mr. Cantin or any of the exhibits?

6 MR. BRADFORD: I do not.

7 MS. VANCE: Okay.

8 And at that, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
9 would ask that Mr. Bradford be able to stand in for
10 Mr. Cantin at this point and answer questions for
11 opposing counsel and the Division.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any objection?

13 MR. SAVAGE: No objection.

14 MS. LUCK: No objection.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

16 Granted. Would you offer your witness
17 for cross-examination?

18 MS. VANCE: Yes. I -- well, I guess my
19 question is both parties cross-examined Mr. Cantin,
20 and we do have him available. I think Ms. Luck had
21 some questions -- follow-up questions. But primarily,
22 I think he was here to answer questions for
23 Mr. McClure.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
25 let's go to Ms. Luck first.

1 Ms. Luck questions for Mr. Bradford?

2 MS. LUCK: Thank you. I just want to
3 circle back to the question that I just asked
4 Mr. Macha.

5 Can you tell us how many feet thickness
6 Permian estimates there are from the top of the third
7 Bone Spring to where Permian is pooling here in this
8 case?

9 MR. BRADFORD: The top of the third
10 Bone Spring to the -- it's about 9,300 feet based off
11 of the logs that we have.

12 MS. LUCK: From the top of the third
13 Bone Spring to where the depth severance is, where the
14 pooling application applies?

15 MR. BRADFORD: To -- from -- from the
16 top of the third Bone Spring to where we are currently
17 spotting the depth severance, it -- it is a little bit
18 over 100 feet. Maybe 120 feet.

19 MS. LUCK: Okay. 100 feet?

20 MR. BRADFORD: Yeah -- yeah.
21 The -- the number I had before was where we're
22 spotting the depth severance on TVD based off the type
23 logs.

24 MS. LUCK: Okay. Sorry. I'm not sure
25 that I have other questions. That was really my

1 primary question is how many feet thickness there are
2 there in the top of the third Bone Spring, because
3 it's my understanding that that's Permian's position
4 that Ms. Beall should be able to place the well there
5 in the top of the third Bone Spring.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Ms. Luck.
7 Thank you.

8 Mr. Savage, questions for Mr. Bradford?

9 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm
10 satisfied with the testimony of the previous
11 geologist.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

13 Mr. McClure?

14 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
15 Examiner.

16 Mr. Bradford, if I can direct your
17 attention to the original cross section. Let's see if
18 I can find the page number for that. Oh, actually,
19 it's right in front of where I was. Page 172 of 227.
20 It should be exhibit D-8 -- or excuse me -- D-6.

21 MR. BRADFORD: Yes, sir. I'm on that.

22 MR. MCCLURE: On this cross section,
23 there's, like, a dashed box for the titled horizontal
24 target for the for the third Bone Spring --

25 MR. BRADFORD: Yes, sir.

1 MR. MCCLURE: Does that represent the
2 pay that Permian intends to go after?

3 MR. BRADFORD: That is correct, sir.
4 That -- that box and -- and that interval is where we
5 believe the primary hydrocarbon resource is located in
6 the third Bone Spring.

7 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. So when I'm
8 looking at Exhibit D-7, which is the next page, page
9 173, where we're a little bit more zoomed in on
10 that -- well, the western well, Tamano 15 Federal C
11 Number 2. Do you see where I'm referring to?

12 MR. BRADFORD: Yes, sir.

13 MR. MCCLURE: So would it be accurate,
14 then, to say that the -- well, the primary
15 hydrocarbons that Permian is going after, would it be
16 accurate to say that the top of that is approximately
17 9,440 on that measured depth tract?

18 MR. BRADFORD: Yeah. That's the top of
19 the sand lobe that we are targeting.

20 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And then the base
21 of it is the top of the Wolfcamp there at around about
22 9,520; is that correct?

23 MR. BRADFORD: Yes, sir.

24 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Looking at the
25 same cross section here, is it accurate to say that

1 your formations are approximately 20 foot shallower in
2 the western well versus the eastern well?

3 MR. BRADFORD: Yeah. It's -- yeah.
4 You're -- you're going updip as you go to the west, so
5 these two wells are pretty close to being on strike --

6 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. But there is
7 that --

8 MR. BRADFORD: Yeah, yeah. Just
9 a -- yeah. Just a little bit of -- of structural
10 updip to the west.

11 MR. MCCLURE: And is that approximately
12 20 feet, to your understanding?

13 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.

14 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. How much depth
15 difference is there between the upper vertical limit
16 that Permian is asking to force pool? To my
17 understanding, that being 9,397 feet in the
18 southwest -- or southeast quarter of the southwest
19 quarter; is that correct? Top of the vertical limit?

20 MR. BRADFORD: Southeast quarter of the
21 southwest quarter of -- of section 14?

22 MR. MCCLURE: Correct. And that's
23 9,397. Is that a correct upper vertical limit?

24 MR. BRADFORD: Southeast -- southeast.
25 Sorry. I'm doing some quick math in my head trying to

1 figure out the answer to that question, Examiner.

2 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. I only took it
3 from the landman's statements, and that's essentially
4 what he has in his testimony. I'm just making sure
5 you're familiar with it, I guess, might be a better
6 question.

7 MR. BRADFORD: Yeah. I think
8 that's -- yeah. That -- that's about correct.
9 The -- the southeast southwest of that section
10 is -- is downdip a bit to these type wells.

11 MR. MCCLURE: How does that depth
12 compare to the 9,290 feet that's in Ms. Beall's depth
13 severance that's located in the northeast quarter of
14 the northwest quarter?

15 MR. BRADFORD: It would be
16 stratigraphically equivalent based off the dip of the
17 formation in the region.

18 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And that's taking
19 into account that it's approximately three quarters of
20 a mile in the north-south direction difference?

21 MR. BRADFORD: Yes.

22 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. So then on this
23 same cross section -- well, on the page 173, if the
24 vertical limit were to be placed here, then would it
25 also occur at approximately 9,400 feet on that eastern

1 well?

2 MR. BRADFORD: Are you talking about
3 the Jamie Federal 01? I'm sorry. I asked a question.
4 I'm -- I'm not sure which eastern well you're talking
5 about, sir.

6 MR. MCCLURE: Oh, actually, I -- I
7 apologize. Let me ask this question again, because
8 the Jamie Federal Number 1 is actually in the
9 north -- oh, no. Excuse me. Actually, I was asking
10 the question right.

11 Okay. If you take the depth severance
12 that's about 9,400 feet that is occurring in the
13 southeast quarter of the southwest quarter and you
14 bring it to the Jamie Federal Number 1, where would it
15 occur on this cross section?

16 MR. BRADFORD: At -- at the same spot.
17 Based on the structural map, right above that,
18 there's -- there's a little bit over 100 feet of -- of
19 updip from the southeast southwest of section 14 to
20 where the Jamie Federal 001 is located.

21 MR. MCCLURE: So with that in mind,
22 would the three quarters of a mile to a mile further
23 north from that depth severance make a difference? It
24 would still be 9,400 at the Jamie Federal Number 1; is
25 that correct?

1 MR. BRADFORD: Well, because of the
2 structural dip, it would be at the -- the 9,290-ish
3 level that we have it flagged on that cross section,
4 taking into account the stratigraphic interval that
5 was outlined, I believe.

6 MR. MCCLURE: So the depth severance
7 that is identified by Permian, the 9,397 feet, or
8 approximately 9,400 feet, you believe it to be
9 stratigraphically equivalent to Ms. Beall's depth
10 severance; is that correct?

11 MR. BRADFORD: That is -- that is
12 correct, sir.

13 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Let's see. Thank
14 you, Mr. Bradford.

15 Thank you, Ms. Hearing Examiner.
16 That's all the questions I had for this witness.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18 Ms. Vance, any re-direct on those
19 questions?

20 MS. VANCE: No.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. May we
22 excuse this witness?

23 MS. VANCE: Yes.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

25 Thank you, Mr. Bradford.

1 MR. BRADFORD: Thank you.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

3 Ms. Vance does that complete your case in chief?

4 MS. VANCE: It does.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
6 good.

7 Mr. Savage, where do you stand?

8 MR. SAVAGE: We've concluded our case.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

10 And Ms. Luck?

11 MS. LUCK: Well, I would simply like to
12 call Mr. Shaw, a title representative, to speak on
13 behalf of Ms. Beall with respect to her interest
14 that's disputed. I think Mr. Macha made statements
15 that Permian doesn't recognize Ms. Beall's interest in
16 the south half, north half acreage.

17 And I would like to just establish
18 through our title expert that she does have an
19 interest, and then also just speak to the allocation
20 piece if possible since --

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So --

22 MS. LUCK: I'm in the same boat as
23 Mr. Savage, sir. I would like a closing statement to
24 be filed if possible, but I defer to the Division.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. Luck,

1 whose witness is Mr. Shaw?

2 MS. LUCK: Mr. Shaw is employed by V-F
3 Petroleum full-time, but Ms. Beall has also hired him
4 to speak on her behalf, because he has conducted a
5 title examination for her.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, so then are
7 you presenting Mr. Shaw as your witness?

8 MS. LUCK: In this instance, yes. And
9 he's prepared to speak in rebuttal to that testimony
10 that Mr. Macha just spoke to with respect to her
11 interest that's disputed in case 25146.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
13 Ms. Luck, I believe that the rules require a
14 pre-hearing statement before you present evidence.

15 MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Examiner. I did
16 file a pre-hearing statement with the Division. I --

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, you did? I
18 didn't see it. In which case?

19 MS. LUCK: On the two cases where the
20 Division allowed the intervention, which are cases
21 25145, 25146 --

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me go
23 there. Hold on. I must have missed it. Okay. May I
24 have the case number?

25 MS. LUCK: Case Number 25145 and 25146.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: One of them is
2 fine. When did you file the pre-hearing statement?

3 MS. LUCK: On February 20th.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me look.
5 Carolyn Beall's pre-hearing statement. Here it is.
6 Okay. Let's see. Jordan Shaw, here he is. Okay.

7 Mr. Shaw?

8 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't see you.
10 There you are. Okay. Very good. Please raise your
11 right hand.

12 WHEREUPON,

13 JORDAN SHAW,
14 called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
15 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
16 the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
18 good. You can put your hand down. Please state and
19 spell your name.

20 MR. SHAW: Jordan Shaw, spelled
21 J-O-R-D-A-N, last name S-H-A-W.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Sounds
23 good. Did you appear in the V-F case?

24 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. I'm not employed
25 for V-F. I am a contractor for --

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay.
2 All right. So you filed your opinion -- your expert
3 opinion. Have you been -- so you filed.

4 Mr. Savage, did you rely on Mr. Shaw's
5 testimony in your case in chief?

6 MR. SAVAGE: He was the landman who
7 filed the self-affirmed statement.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
9 good. So you've already filed it.

10 And, Mr. Shaw, you've already been
11 recognized as an expert in land before this division?

12 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
14 Okay. Ms. Luck, please proceed.

15 MS. LUCK: Thank you for confirming his
16 qualifications.

17 And thank you for being here, Mr. Shaw.
18 And, Mr. Shaw, have you been retained by Ms. Beall to
19 conduct a title examination related to her interest in
20 these two cases?

21 MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am.

22 MS. LUCK: And I don't think it's
23 disputed in case 25145, so we're not going to review
24 those details. But in case 25146, can you explain to
25 us your title examination and what you determined?

1 MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am. So let me
2 preface my statement here. Due to the -- the lack of
3 time here, my review was strictly limited to the
4 serial register page and county records. We were
5 unable to obtain a federal abstract, so there's
6 several documents that are in the -- the chain of
7 title that there are gaps.

8 But if you were to look at
9 assignment -- let's see here. It's entitled deed of
10 distribution and assignment book 143, page 1091,
11 Charles B. Read under the -- as personal
12 representative of the estate of Jean [ph] Read,
13 appears to convey to himself in his individual
14 capacity an interest in the northeast quarter and the
15 southeast quarter of the northwest quarter.

16 That would be basically the majority of
17 lease LC-047633. That -- that interest there was not
18 depth restricted. And then there's a subsequent
19 assignment here -- or no. Not a subsequent
20 assignment. Charles B. Read dies, and Carolyn Read
21 Beall is a vested remainderman pursuant to the estate,
22 which is currently pending.

23 So there's -- at least there's a
24 inkling as to ownership into that -- into those tracts
25 elsewhere; so --

1 MS. LUCK: Okay. And so what is your
2 estimation of her ownership interest in that south
3 half, north half unit in the third Bone Spring?

4 MR. SHAW: Well, in -- in those tracts
5 it would be an undivided 2 percent to the -- to the
6 full conveyance; but having an -- an undivided one
7 third of that, it would be a 0.67 percent interest.

8 MS. LUCK: Okay. Thank you. And we
9 just wanted to preserve that statement for purposes of
10 this record, that Ms. Beall asserts that she does own
11 an interest in the third Bone Spring and would like
12 that interest to be recognized. And the second issue
13 that I was wanting to call Mr. Shaw for is to speak to
14 allocation.

15 Mr. Shaw, can you explain to us what
16 would be typical of an allocation agreement for the
17 third Bone Spring in this area?

18 MR. SHAW: So typically when you
19 encounter issues of depth severances through the
20 middle of a formation, what I've seen done in other
21 instances is creating an allocation formula that takes
22 into account the entirety of that Bone Spring sand.

23 And so what that would look like is
24 that as a numerator, you would have your -- your depth
25 severance tract working interest times your severance

1 tract thickness being from the top of the formation to
2 the -- to where the actual severance occurs. Or if
3 your severance happens to be -- your interest happens
4 to be below the severance, it would be from the top of
5 the severance to the face of the formation.

6 And then your denominator would be the
7 total formation thickness. And that would give you at
8 least an allocated tract working interest that if you
9 were to apply that across both above and below
10 the -- the severance that then you would be able to
11 come up with an eight, eight whole interest that then
12 you would be able to apply to the -- to the pooling
13 unit by taking that allocated tract working interest
14 times the tract gross acreage and then using as the
15 denominator the pooled unit acreage.

16 So in this case, you'd be using as your
17 denominator a 320-acre unit, and then your tract gross
18 acreage in the case of the northeast quarter of the
19 northwest quarter would be a 40-acre tract. And then
20 you would apply this tract by tract by tract until
21 you -- you come up with 320 acres divided by 320
22 acres.

23 MS. LUCK: Okay. Thanks. And
24 so -- but basically right now, Ms. Beall's interest is
25 being excluded from the third Bone Spring; is that

1 correct?

2 MR. SHAW: Yes. It appears so.

3 MS. LUCK: Okay. I think that that's
4 all the questions that I have for Mr. Shaw. Thank
5 you.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you
7 Mr. Savage, is there any
8 cross-examination based on the direct examination?

9 MR. SAVAGE: None. Thank you.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

11 Ms. Vance?

12 MS. VANCE: Yes. I do have a couple of
13 follow-up questions.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please go ahead.

15 MS. VANCE: Hi. Good to see you,
16 Mr. Shaw. Thank you for joining us again. So the
17 assignment that you spoke of, I believe it was -- and
18 I'm not a landman, so I may butcher the way that you
19 describe. It's book 143 and then page 1091; is that
20 correct?

21 MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am.

22 MS. VANCE: Okay. Was that provided in
23 the -- do you know -- or did you provide that to
24 Ms. Luck for filing in these cases?

25 MR. SHAW: I thought I had, yes.

1 MS. LUCK: And I apologize. That
2 wasn't filed with our notice of intervention, so I'd
3 asked to file that as a rebuttal exhibit for purposes
4 of this hearing.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: How is it a
6 rebuttal exhibit?

7 MS. LUCK: Well, he mentioned it during
8 his testimony just now. I'm not sure if it would be
9 considered a rebuttal exhibit or if we should
10 just -- and sorry.

11 It's a rebuttal exhibit because
12 Mr. Macha -- the primary applicant Permian is
13 contesting Ms. Beall's ownership interest in
14 case 25146, so we're rebutting that with this document
15 that Ms. Vance just brought up in book 143, page 1091,
16 that she does own an interest in that spacing unit.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, hold on,
18 Ms. Luck. What I'm confused about is you knew that
19 this was something that you were going to bring up on
20 direct. Why didn't you file that exhibit -- that
21 document as an exhibit with your pre-hearing
22 statement?

23 MS. LUCK: Well, I guess that was an
24 oversight on my part in terms of the correct procedure
25 for this.

1 I thought it would be considered a
2 rebuttal exhibit, because Ms. Vance's response to our
3 notice of intervention in which I've asked to file a
4 reply, she said that they would offer more evidence at
5 hearing regarding Ms. Beall's ownership interest in
6 that 25146. And so I thought I would be offering it
7 as rebuttal, but that's my error in procedure.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Okay.

9 Is there an objection, Ms. Vance?

10 MS. VANCE: Yes. I mean, we haven't
11 had a chance --

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is the
13 objection?

14 MS. VANCE: Well, objection to the fact
15 that we haven't had a chance to review this document
16 and appropriately respond as we indicated we would
17 like to. So we just -- we haven't had an opportunity,
18 and now they're bringing in new evidence and new
19 arguments.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Let
21 me make sure I understand what's going on here.

22 So, Ms. Luck, what document are you
23 seeking to admit as an exhibit?

24 MS. LUCK: It's called a deed of
25 distribution. I could share my screen if you want me

1 to. It's not --

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just want you
3 to tell me what it is.

4 MS. LUCK: Sorry. It's called a deed
5 of distribution assignment filed in Eddy County,
6 New Mexico at book 143, page 1091. And --

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What are you
8 relying on it for?

9 MS. LUCK: To prove Ms. Beall's
10 ownership interest through Charles B. Read, because
11 the original assignments only list Charles B. Read.
12 But Ms. Beall is a descendant of Charles B. Read, and
13 so the deed of distribution would need to be a
14 subsequent title document that proves up her ownership
15 interest rather than a direct assignment to her.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So is
17 this -- I want to be clear about ownership in what?
18 What is this an ownership in? Is this within the pool
19 that Permian seeks to form, or is this an area above
20 the pool that you're saying will be affected?

21 MS. LUCK: It is the second. It's in
22 an area of the pool that will be affected, but we're
23 arguing that Permian should be required to pool the
24 entire third Bone Spring to avoid the exclusion of
25 Ms. Beall's interest here.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
2 right. And when did you learn of this document?

3 MS. LUCK: Well, after Ms. Vance filed
4 her response to my notice of intervention, I had
5 Mr. Shaw perform a thorough title investigation. And
6 then he determined this title document was relevant to
7 prove up her ownership interest, and he sent it over
8 to me.

9 I'm not sure exactly when, but then it
10 was part of the preparation for hearing since the
11 20th.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

13 Mr. Shaw, when did you send this
14 document to Ms. Luck?

15 MR. SHAW: I'd have to look at my
16 e-mail.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. I'd like
18 to know.

19 MR. SHAW: Okay. So I'm here on my
20 laptop, and it's not pulling up anything past the last
21 15 days.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not sure I
23 understand that answer. Are you saying you can't tell
24 me when you sent it to her?

25 MR. SHAW: I would have to get on my

1 main computer.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, when
3 did you receive the document?

4 MS. LUCK: I think that that was part
5 of our hearing preparation that I just received this
6 morning and with a summary of the ownership interest,
7 and this was another document that was pulled out.
8 But I could be incorrect that I received it before
9 this morning, but it was my understanding that I just
10 got it this morning.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, that's not
12 much of an answer, Ms. Luck.

13 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, may
14 I add to the discussion?

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage, I'm
16 not sure -- I didn't call on you --

17 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I'm not sure
19 why you are interjecting at this point.

20 I'm trying to find a reasonable basis
21 to evaluate this objection. Now, in the rule itself,
22 it actually doesn't talk about a deadline for
23 documents that I can see.

24 And, Ms. Vance, correct me if I'm
25 wrong, but I don't see -- I'm here at 19.15.4.17,

1 Rules of Evidence and Exhibits for Adjudicatory
2 Hearings, and it looks like it's open to me to make
3 sure that I afford a full opportunity to the parties.
4 That's number one, a full opportunity to present
5 evidence and cross examine.

6 I can use rules of evidence if need be.
7 I may admit relevant evidence only, unless it's
8 immaterial, repetitious, or otherwise unreliable.
9 Parties introducing exhibits at a hearing before the
10 Division shall provide a complete set of exhibits for
11 the court reporter, et cetera, et cetera, and other
12 parties of record.

13 But it doesn't say how far in advance
14 or even if it's during the hearing, so the rule is
15 very unclear here about that.

16 MS. LUCK: May I --

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not
18 sure -- I'm not finished yet.

19 MS. LUCK: Sorry.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now, of course
21 there is my pre-hearing order. The pre-hearing order
22 did lay out a deadline to file exhibits, but Ms. Luck
23 wasn't an intervener at that point. Plus, I gave you,
24 Ms. Vance, a lot of leeway in the notice, of which
25 Ms. Luck and Mr. Savage are extremely upset with me

1 and are appealing that decision if they can do so.

2 However, I'm still going to remain
3 objective during this evaluation and not let that
4 cloud my judgment. Now, there was another deadline
5 that Ms. Tschantz sent out to the parties instructing
6 them that they had until -- I don't remember when, but
7 I think it was last week sometime. I think it was
8 last Thursday, but don't quote me. But there was a
9 date.

10 So, Ms. Luck, when you saw that e-mail,
11 because I know you were on that e-mail, why didn't
12 this document fit into that e-mail?

13 MS. LUCK: And apologies. I think that
14 I just misunderstood the process, and I thought that I
15 could offer this as a rebuttal exhibit here at
16 hearing.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: A rebuttal to
18 what?

19 MS. LUCK: Mr. Macha testimony in
20 further support of Permian's response to the notice of
21 intervention that they dispute Ms. Beall's ownership
22 interest in the third Bone Spring formation.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And when
24 was this -- hold on --

25 MS. LUCK: I can read -- sorry. I can

1 read Permian's response to you.

2 It says Permian is prepared to discuss
3 this at the February 27th continuation contested
4 hearing, because this says: "However, Permian is not
5 aware of any instrument of records showing that
6 Ms. Beall owns an interest in what is the south half,
7 north half spacing unit in case 25146. Permian's
8 prepared to discuss this at the hearing."

9 And so I thought I would be offering
10 that as a rebuttal exhibit. I've been in
11 correspondence with Mr. Shaw to prepare for the
12 hearing, but then we didn't catch up until yesterday.

13 I think he sent me over the documents
14 early this morning. I looked at them. I came to
15 hearing this morning with the document that proves up
16 how she owns in that south half, north half, because I
17 want to be sure that we make a record of that.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now,
19 Ms. Luck, I mean, whether or not you have violated a
20 rule or the pre-hearing order, wouldn't it make sense
21 to provide any evidence you have of ownership to
22 Ms. Vance as early as you can? I mean, why wait till
23 the last minute?

24 MS. LUCK: And, again, I'll say I
25 believe that I just received this in an e-mail from

1 Mr. Shaw this morning before the hearing began. And
2 so I would like to file it with the Division. Now
3 that we have discussed what the document is with
4 Mr. Shaw, who is the landman, I would like to ask
5 permission to file it as a rebuttal exhibit.

6 Parties regularly file rebuttal
7 exhibits at hearing, and that's just one of these
8 documents. And I'm not sure if there's any excuse for
9 that, but I see plenty of other parties at hearing
10 appear and file rebuttal exhibits.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Ms. Luck.
12 Thank you.

13 Ms. Vance?

14 MS. VANCE: Yes. So I would just -- I
15 was going to make the point that the pre-hearing order
16 lays out when a pre-hearing statement and evidence is
17 required to be filed. And of course, yes, we do
18 amended stuff, and I agree with Ms. Luck regarding
19 rebuttals.

20 However, my response to Ms. Luck was
21 filed regarding the notice of intervention on
22 February 13, 2025. And I would also point out that
23 Ms. Luck, Ms. Beall was present at the January 28th
24 hearing and entered her appearance, made arguments
25 regarding her various interests, and has had 30 days

1 to provide something to Permian to review.

2 And at this point, it's -- you know,
3 we're just finding out about this at the hearing, and
4 it just -- we haven't had a time to review or evaluate
5 and have our own response to this.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I understand,
7 Ms. Vance.

8 And, Ms. Luck, not only did you -- not
9 only did Permian file its response, but I sent
10 out -- I had Ms. Tschantz send out an e-mail to
11 everyone saying that I was permitting your
12 intervention based on a part of the rule that allowed
13 you to help the Division protect correlative rights.

14 So I think you've known that you are a
15 party for quite some time now. I think it behooves
16 you to, you know, read the pre-hearing order and find
17 out what deadlines there are.

18 However, Ms. Vance, I don't see a way
19 to keep it out, so I'm going to let it in.

20 So, Ms. Luck, file it immediately as
21 your exhibit. I'm not going to call it a rebuttal
22 exhibit, because it's not really a rebuttal exhibit.
23 It's your exhibit, so file it as an exhibit and serve
24 it on the parties. And let's see. How are we going
25 to deal with this?

1 So, Ms. Vance, what are you asking? In
2 regards to this document that Mr. Shaw has testified
3 shows an interest in a formation just above the
4 formation you are seeking to pool, how do you
5 anticipate dealing with that, if at all?

6 MS. VANCE: Well, one, we would like
7 the opportunity to review it; but two, the Division
8 doesn't have jurisdiction over title. And Permian has
9 conducted title, filed its applications based off of
10 its own title. So at this point, you know, if there's
11 a title dispute, Ms. Beall is welcome to file
12 something in a district court.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

14 So basically, Ms. Luck, it is what it
15 is, and you can file it, so go ahead and file it. Are
16 you finished with Mr. Shaw, Ms. Luck?

17 MS. VANCE: Oh, I was doing --

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You were. Thank
19 you.

20 MS. VANCE: Yes.

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please.

22 MS. VANCE: Mr. Shaw, you stated that
23 you have seen allocation formulas before?

24 MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am.

25 MS. VANCE: Have you participated in

1 other division hearings that include a depth
2 severance?

3 MR. SHAW: In the middle of a
4 formation, no, ma'am.

5 MS. VANCE: Okay. And so have you ever
6 seen a division order that includes an allocation
7 formula?

8 MR. SHAW: None come to mind off the
9 top of my head.

10 MS. VANCE: Okay.

11 Those are all the questions I have.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

13 Mr. McClure?

14 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
15 Examiner.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Bless you.

17 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you.

18 Mr. Shaw, now, I'm not a landman
19 either, so please bear with me. If I can direct your
20 attention to -- I mean, I guess it's the exhibit
21 packet. It's the document that's titled Notice of
22 Ownership Interest and Objection to Case Numbers 25145
23 and 25146. Do you by chance have that document in
24 front of you, or are you familiar with it?

25 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir, I do.

1 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. If I can direct
2 your attention down to where it first says Exhibit A.
3 That's page 7 of 24. It says book 71, page 382, on
4 that.

5 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

6 MR. MCCLURE: And I apologize. If I
7 can direct just a couple -- just two pages into that,
8 which is going to occur on page 9 of 24. This is
9 where it starts listing out percentages.

10 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

11 MR. MCCLURE: I see there Carolyn Beall
12 is the fifth person down listed. Do you see who I'm
13 referring to?

14 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

15 MR. MCCLURE: Now, is my understanding
16 correct that this is saying that she has a
17 0.75 percent working interest in the northeast quarter
18 of the northwest quarter?

19 MR. SHAW: From the depths of 3,900
20 feet down to 9,290 feet on a after-payout interest,
21 meaning after the well has reached 100 percent,
22 returned 100 percent of the money, then that would be
23 her interest thereafter.

24 MR. MCCLURE: And that's a working
25 interest; correct?

1 MR. SHAW: That is a working interest,
2 yes, sir.

3 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And is it your
4 understanding that the well has reached its payout at
5 this point?

6 MR. SHAW: Are you referring to the
7 Jamie Federal Number 1 well? The vertical well?

8 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. Is it your
9 understanding that the Jamie Federal Number 1 well has
10 reached its payout?

11 MR. SHAW: I would have to go back and
12 look at the production data from that well to -- to
13 ascertain that, only because that well was extremely
14 marginal, and -- and I believe the date of last
15 production on that well was, like, February of 2020,
16 and I know that well had been limping along for
17 the -- for the last 20 years of his life.

18 So I -- to be honest, I can't answer
19 that. I wouldn't be able to answer that.

20 MR. MCCLURE: In your earlier
21 testimony, I believe you referenced a number of
22 0.067 percent across --

23 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

24 MR. MCCLURE: I wasn't sure what -- if
25 that was across both units or one of the units or not.

1 But in deriving that figure, were you assuming
2 0.75 percent working interest?

3 MR. SHAW: Can you rephrase the
4 question? Sorry.

5 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Your earlier
6 testimony implied that you had computed an overall
7 interest that Ms. Beall owns. In doing that -- go
8 ahead, sir.

9 MR. SHAW: Sorry. Go ahead.

10 MR. MCCLURE: In doing that
11 calculation, did you use 0.75 here?

12 MR. SHAW: No, sir. So that -- that
13 interest comes through a separate conveyance, an
14 entirely separate document, entirely separate lands.
15 So if we're just talking about -- we're just talking
16 about the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter,
17 Carolyn Beall's interest is made up two separate
18 assignments in there.

19 So the -- the first assignment of
20 operating rights is 71 -- book 71, 382. I have
21 assumed that there is no -- that well had not paid
22 out. I -- I have no way of knowing. I'm not privy to
23 any of that information.

24 So I have assumed in that -- through
25 that conveyance, that she has obtained a BPO -- I'm

1 sorry -- before payout working interest of 1 percent
2 and an -- and an APO interest of 0.75 percent.

3 Then there's a separate assignment
4 covering essentially the -- the same depths, but
5 the -- the difference is instead of it being from
6 3,900 feet down to 9,290 feet, assignment of operating
7 rights recorded at book one 13, page 701, assigns
8 from, again, 3,900 feet down to 9,293 feet.

9 That's probably a scrivener's error.
10 You know, if I was looking at this as a -- as a title
11 attorney in Texas, I would -- I would bring to the
12 attention and say, I'm sure these parties intended to
13 assign the same depths. But there are 36 interest
14 owners -- or sorry -- close to three dozen interest
15 owners, a lot of which have been consolidated back
16 into Read & Steven, some of which have not.

17 And for the sum of which have not, you
18 have literally a depth severance at 9,290 feet and
19 then another 3-foot depth severance with separate
20 ownership at 9,293 feet. An assignment of operating
21 rights recorded at book 113, 701, it purports to
22 convey an additional 1 percent before payout working
23 interest, and a 0.75 percent after-payout working
24 interest.

25 So, essentially, in that tract being

1 the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter, I come
2 up with Carolyn owning a 2 percent before payout
3 working interest and a 1.5 percent after payout
4 working interest in those two interests.

5 And it -- you -- the way that -- this
6 can be argued both ways. I want to be fair on how
7 I -- how I characterize this, because this is part of
8 the same drilling program. So you could look at both
9 these instruments and say, Oh, they are just reciting
10 the exact same interests that were being conveyed, but
11 they're two separate conveyances at two separate
12 times -- you know, two separate periods of time.

13 So it's -- it can swing -- swing one
14 way or another in -- in fairness. So you could just
15 be saying it's 1 percent before payout and
16 0.75 percent after payout, or it could be as large as
17 2 percent before payout and -- and 1.5 percent after
18 payout.

19 MR. MCCLURE: And in your
20 original -- go ahead, sir, if you want to finish.

21 MR. SHAW: Sorry. No. Go ahead.

22 MR. MCCLURE: And then in the original
23 calculation of numbers, your original computation, you
24 assume the latter, that these are referring to two
25 separate interests; is that correct?

1 MR. SHAW: I could see it that way,
2 yes, sir. Yes.

3 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And so I guess to
4 make sure I'm understanding, on page 20 of 24 where it
5 has Carolyn Beall listed at the bottom of that page,
6 do you see where I'm referring to?

7 MR. SHAW: Sorry. I didn't -- I didn't
8 print that far out. I'm sorry.

9 MR. MCCLURE: That's book 113,
10 page 705.

11 MR. SHAW: Oh, perfect. Yes, sir.

12 MR. MCCLURE: And on there, it's this,
13 like, subject item number on page 1. I guess the
14 second column, if you want to say it that way, or else
15 the first column that has numbers. See where I'm --

16 MR. SHAW: So you're saying -- yes.
17 I'm seeing what you're saying. Yes.

18 MR. MCCLURE: The one that's relevant
19 to this case is the Item Number 4; is that correct?

20 MR. SHAW: Are you referring to the
21 Farmout Agreement dated March 1, 1989, between Amoco
22 Production Co. and Read & Stevens?

23 MR. MCCLURE: Let me scroll. Well, is
24 this all a part of that assignment of operator rights
25 for Jamie Federal Number 1 --

1 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

2 MR. MCCLURE: Okay.

3 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. What -- what
4 those -- what those recitations are saying is
5 essentially: "Here's how we came up and derived the
6 chain of title. Here's all the instruments that are
7 in your chain, and this is" -- "you know, you're
8 taking this interest subject to the provisions." So
9 they're incorporating therein by reference.

10 MR. MCCLURE: Oh, I see. So the number
11 4 is just referring to what's on page 17 where it says
12 the -- okay. I see what you're saying. Where it says
13 the Farmout Agreement dated March 1, 1989,
14 between -- okay. I see what you're saying. And
15 that's essentially what the 4 represents is this
16 paragraph 4; is that correct?

17 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. That's how I read
18 it.

19 MR. MCCLURE: Now, I see on page 23 of
20 24 -- this is book 225, page 981.

21 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

22 MR. MCCLURE: And it has a reference
23 there to, well, essentially the federal lease
24 LC-047633-A. It's the one of the top of the page.

25 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

1 MR. MCCLURE: Is this the lease that
2 Ms. Beall owned a working interest in then?

3 MR. SHAW: So that -- this is
4 the -- this is an assignment, yes, where we're looking
5 at this saying, okay, we don't have the federal
6 abstract.

7 There's a -- there's probably 40
8 instruments in that federal abstract that I can see
9 on -- on in part on the serial register page, but it
10 looks like Charles B. Read Trust A is conveying to
11 Carolyn, Betty, and James Read an interest in all
12 depths covering those tract being the south half of
13 the northeast quarter, the northeast quarter of the
14 northeast quarter, the northwest quarter of the
15 northeast quarter, and the southeast quarter of the
16 northwest quarter, which comprises the entirety of the
17 lands covered by lease LC-047633-A .

18 There -- there are title gaps in there
19 that -- that still need to be cured. Keep in mind,
20 I've known about this interest since January 27th, and
21 I've been trying to fill those gaps. But without that
22 federal abstract, I'm unable to do so.

23 So it purports to convey an interest.
24 What interest it's conveying, we don't know. But
25 it -- it is -- sure is a cloud on the title to

1 substantiate that there is, in fact, an interest owned
2 potentially in that -- in those tract.

3 MR. MCCLURE: Now, you said
4 "potentially," but you believe that she does own a
5 working interest in this lease, then; is that correct?

6 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. That's -- that's
7 what I'm thinking is why would they go to the trouble
8 of making this assignment without there being some
9 sort of documentation to substantiate that
10 there -- that an interest was, in fact, conveyed?

11 MR. MCCLURE: Now, was my understanding
12 correct that earlier -- or let me rephrase my
13 question.

14 Does Ms. Beall own a interest outside
15 of that depth severance, that being above that 9,290
16 feet or 9,293?

17 MR. SHAW: Possibly. But her title is
18 vested when -- we can say 100 percent from depths
19 3,900 feet down to 9,290 feet. There is a cloud as it
20 pertains to other tract and other depths.

21 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Now, you said it's
22 possible, but do you have any reason to believe that
23 she does?

24 MR. SHAW: Yes. The existence of this
25 document assigning an interest to her.

1 MR. MCCLURE: And that document you're
2 referring to is this book 113, page 701?

3 MR. SHAW: Well, that -- well, yes.
4 Book 113, 701, and book 71, 382, are assigning
5 interests in the same tract. And that -- that
6 is -- and that -- Travis has recognized that she does
7 have an ownership in the depths of 3,900 feet down to
8 9,290 feet.

9 And the issue at hand there is that
10 Permian's pooling from the depth of 9,397 feet down to
11 the base of the Bone -- third Bone Spring formation
12 and that this interest -- and I believe the geologists
13 have stated this -- that this depth severance at 9,290
14 feet or 9,293 feet is squarely within the third Bone
15 Spring sand in that having production in the third
16 Bone Spring sand and carving out this interest would
17 not be a protection of the correlative rights of the
18 interest that's vested and recognized by both parties.

19 MR. MCCLURE: Now, in both those books
20 that you cited -- I believe that was book 71, page
21 382; and book 71, page 386 -- does this only reference
22 the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter?

23 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir. In those
24 two -- in those two documents, book 71, 382, and book
25 113, 701.

1 MR. MCCLURE: But you believe that
2 Ms. Beall may own interest in the rest of that federal
3 lease that I referenced earlier, that being
4 LC-047633-A?

5 MR. SHAW: Okay. So the northeast
6 quarter of the northwest quarter is lease NM-NM-28096.
7 Lease LC-047633 covers essentially the northeast
8 quarter, the -- the south half -- or southeast quarter
9 of the northwest quarter and the north half of the
10 southeast quarter.

11 Those would be pursuant to books 225,
12 980 where we're talking about the -- the assignment
13 from Charles B. Read Trust A to Carolyn and her
14 siblings. And then the deed of distribution recorded
15 at book 143, page 1091. Two -- two separate -- two
16 separate chains. Just think of them as two separate
17 tract of interest.

18 MR. MCCLURE: And this book 143,
19 page 1091, is the one that Ms. Luck plans to submit to
20 us later today; is that correct?

21 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir.

22 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And that document,
23 does it demonstrate that Ms. Beall does have a working
24 interest in this federal lease?

25 MR. SHAW: Yes. If you just read

1 it -- if you read it on its face, it does. Now,
2 chaining the title, which is the other part of this
3 equation, there are gaps that I have not been able to
4 fill. There are -- there are gaps into Jean [ph] Read
5 there, and then Charles B. Read then to Carolyn is
6 good.

7 But there is a -- there is a title gap.
8 "Gap" meaning I cannot -- the interest is here
9 and -- and I'm saying, well, this instrument purports
10 to convey an interest here, but I can't connect the
11 two and say 100 percent this vests title.

12 But why would they go to the trouble of
13 assigning an interest in that tract unless they were
14 of the belief that they had an interest in that tract?
15 Charles B. Read was the owner of Read & Stevens. It
16 was not uncustomary for him to hold interest in his
17 individual and personal capacity.

18 Jean [ph] Read was his wife. This was
19 probably an attempt to clear up community property
20 interest and that this was then moving into him, which
21 then would go into his estate -- I believe he deceased
22 back in 2016, 2017, 2018 -- and then vis-a-vis the
23 estate to Carolyn B. Read as an heir under that
24 estate, which is still open.

25 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Do you have an

1 actual -- do you have calculated values for the
2 percentage of ownership that you believe Ms. Beall
3 owns in both the north half of the north half unit and
4 the south half of the north half unit?

5 MR. SHAW: I have tract values, but
6 not -- not computed working interest values as to the
7 comms that Permian has filed.

8 MR. MCCLURE: If I were to ask you for
9 those, do you understand what I'm asking for?

10 MR. SHAW: Yes, sir, I sure do.

11 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Is it your
12 intent -- to your understanding, is it Ms. Beall's
13 intent to propose an allocation method?

14 MR. SHAW: Yes. Because if the
15 parties -- or if Permian does not -- if Permian does
16 not have an allocation method, her interest would
17 essentially be drained by the well that Permian is
18 proposing to drill in the -- sorry.

19 MS. VANCE: Objection.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
21 objection?

22 MS. VANCE: Mr. Shaw is not a
23 geologist, neither -- he has not presented any
24 evidence that there is drainage. There is --

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I understand,

1 Ms. Vance. Thank you.

2 Yes. Sustained. I agree.

3 MR. SHAW: My apologies.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Shaw, please
5 confine your answers to the field of expertise that
6 you've been qualified to.

7 And, Mr. Cogswell, please note, I know
8 it's not very helpful to say this, but that answer
9 should be stricken from the record along with the
10 question.

11 THE REPORTER: Got it.

12 MR. SHAW: Yes. It -- to answer your
13 question --

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sorry,
15 Mr. McClure. Please continue.

16 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
17 Examiner. Can I rephrase my question to try to have
18 my --

19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

20 MR. MCCLURE: Okay.

21 Mr. Shaw, not going into details about
22 the allocation method, is it your understanding that
23 Ms. Beall wanted to propose one to the Division to
24 enforce?

25 MR. SHAW: Yes.

1 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Is it your
2 understanding that it has been submitted to us in
3 writing in any exhibit?

4 MR. SHAW: No. I don't believe it has.

5 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
6 Mr. Shaw.

7 Mr. Hearing Examiner, I have no further
8 questions.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sounds good,
10 Mr. McClure.

11 Ms. Luck, this is your witness. Is
12 there any re-direct based on the cross-exam?

13 MS. LUCK: Well, I just would like to
14 offer that if the Division would entertain an
15 allocation formula, we would, again, like to file that
16 with a closing statement as a part of our argument.

17 If we need to present it in the form of
18 an affidavit through Mr. Shaw as a landman, we can do
19 that. But I think at this point in time, the Division
20 has all the information that's needed in front of it.
21 Without going further into offering exhibits, I'd just
22 like to offer the closing statement.

23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sounds good.
24 Thank you, Ms. Luck. I'm going to reserve ruling on
25 that until we find out more from Mr. McClure. So,

1 Ms. Luck, does this conclude your case in chief?

2 MS. LUCK: Yes. Thank you.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
4 you. Okay. We are here, and we're at the end of the
5 hearing process. The record will remain open for as
6 long as necessary to gather the evidence that
7 Mr. McClure is going to request from each party and
8 for the parties to clean up their exhibits.

9 And I'm specifically talking to you,
10 Mr. Savage, and you, Ms. Luck, because we still need
11 your exhibit to be filed properly.

12 Please include a cover letter,
13 Ms. Luck, on why this is being filed at this point and
14 that I overruled an objection to let this in.

15 MS. LUCK: Okay. I'll add further
16 clarification. Sorry. I e-mailed it in, and I will
17 add some additional color in that. Thank you.

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, you say
19 you e-mailed it in. Are you saying you don't file it
20 the way everything else is normally filed?

21 MS. LUCK: Apologies, Mr. Hearing
22 Examiner. I'm not a registered ONGARD operator.
23 Ms. Beall is simply an interest owner in the unit, so
24 we're not able to file through the portal.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I didn't

1 know that.

2 So, Ms. Tschantz, please delete the
3 document that Ms. Luck has sent you through e-mail.
4 She's going to file a cover letter with the document
5 as I just directed.

6 THE CLERK: Okay -- okay.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
8 you.

9 So, Mr. McClure, do you have a list for
10 the parties?

11 MR. MCCLURE: Yes, I do, Mr. Hearing
12 Examiner.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Who do
14 you want to start with?

15 MR. MCCLURE: Well, I was just thinking
16 about the closing argument, but we'll discuss that
17 here shortly after. Is that your intent?

18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure -- it is.

19 MR. MCCLURE: Okay.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let's find out
21 what exhibits you need either to be corrected or
22 added.

23 MR. MCCLURE: Sounds good. My primary
24 list is for Permian.

25 Ms. Vance, are you prepared?

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: She said yes.

2 MR. MCCLURE: Oh, okay.

3 MS. VANCE: Good to go.

4 MR. MCCLURE: Sounds good. I'm going
5 to request that we have amended CPAC pooling
6 administrative checklist. Actually, I think I just
7 miss said what the CPAC stands for, but new CPACs
8 submitted with all the depth severances referenced.

9 If you don't have enough room, please
10 feel free to list them out, the depths; and then if
11 you need additional reference, go ahead and cite a
12 landman statement.

13 MS. VANCE: Okay. I'm confused,
14 because we did include a depth severance in our
15 checklist.

16 MR. MCCLURE: You did include a depth
17 severance. But based upon testimony today, there
18 appears to be at least two different depth severances,
19 one for Ms. Beall and one in the southeast quarter of
20 the southwest quarter, I believe, which is the one
21 listed in the CPAC.

22 MS. VANCE: Okay. Got you.

23 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. In addition to
24 that --

25 MS. VANCE: And I'm only going to file

1 that in the cases that it's relevant for, obviously.

2 MR. MCCLURE: Well, your application
3 packet is combined. That's for all the cases; right?
4 So then you have to amend that packet in all cases --

5 MS. VANCE: Yes.

6 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Yes. But only the
7 CPACs that it's relevant to, but I think you have the
8 depth severance listed on all of them, I believe.
9 Regardless, you should have the depth severance for
10 the entirety of the Bone Spring listed in each of the
11 Bone Spring cases, that being all of them.

12 MS. VANCE: Got it. We're on the same
13 page. I understand.

14 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Very good.
15 Exhibit C-3 -- virtual connectivity interruption --

16 MS. VANCE: Okay. Oh, you froze.

17 MR. MCCLURE: Can you still hear me,
18 Ms. Vance?

19 MS. VANCE: You cut out. I didn't hear
20 anything you were saying. Just "Exhibit C-3," and
21 that was it.

22 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. I don't think I
23 said much more. Exhibit C-3, which is the summary of
24 interest. If we can add back in that missing case,
25 that being case 25145.

1 MS. VANCE: Got it.

2 MR. MCCLURE: That's the north half of
3 the north half for the Bone Spring 3. In addition to
4 that, any corrections that need made in that summary
5 of interest, let's bring it up to date.

6 I'm not sure what those corrections may
7 be, but I know there was discussion about some
8 additional transfer interest that took place in the
9 last month, it sounded like. Are you on the same --

10 MS. VANCE: Got it.

11 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Very good.

12 MS. VANCE: Yes.

13 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Then in the
14 landman statement, there's that typo or there's the
15 additional copy and paste it reference in there when
16 it comes to the vertical limit. Can we go ahead and
17 correct that paragraph to take that out of there?

18 MS. VANCE: Yes -- yes.

19 MR. MCCLURE: And then to correctly
20 reference -- I think it already might reference the
21 base of the Bone Spring 3, but just make -- or the
22 base of the Bone Spring, but just make sure that it
23 does include the accurate vertical limit for the Bone
24 Spring 3 cases.

25 MS. VANCE: Got it.

1 MR. MCCLURE: Paragraph 4 has, like, a
2 bullet-pointed-out list of the vertical limits that
3 Permian is asking for in each of the cases. Several
4 of the cases include what you were referencing as a
5 general description, I believe you were?

6 MS. VANCE: That's correct.

7 MR. MCCLURE: Can we correct that to be
8 more specific to the actual cases, I guess?

9 MS. VANCE: Sure.

10 MR. MCCLURE: In addition to that, can
11 we add in an additional paragraph, additional
12 testimony -- or written testimony, excuse me, from the
13 landman that describes the all the depth severances
14 including Ms. Beall's?

15 MS. VANCE: Yes.

16 MR. MCCLURE: And one last thing on
17 that front, which is probably a supplemental exhibit
18 or however you wish to bring it in, I guess, between
19 you and the hearing examiner, but a document showing
20 that 9,397 depth severance that's in the southeast
21 quarter of the southwest quarter. I believe Mr. Macha
22 had referenced that there might be some wells that
23 that's associated with.

24 MS. VANCE: And you said you want a
25 document or a description of that?

1 MR. MCCLURE: Well, Mr. Macha had
2 mentioned that he should have a document, I believe,
3 unless I misunderstood him. So if we have a document
4 I'd like to see that, assuming, you know, it's just a
5 couple pages that we're looking at. But yes. A
6 description at the bare minimum.

7 MS. VANCE: We can provide a
8 description.

9 MR. MCCLURE: And if they do -- and if
10 Permian does have a document available, you'll be able
11 to provide that as well; is that correct?

12 MS. VANCE: Are you looking for
13 something related to title?

14 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. I'm assuming it
15 looks something like that, yeah. It should be
16 something referenced to some wells. And there's some
17 sort of depth severance. They have some sort of
18 ownership that ends at that ninety -- or approximately
19 9,400 feet. The wells were 100 foot shallower, I
20 believe Mr. Marcus said.

21 MS. VANCE: Yes, we can. I just have
22 some concerns about providing all this title
23 information when the Division doesn't have
24 jurisdiction over time title. So I'm not sure, you
25 know, if -- we can provide a description, and

1 Mr. Macha provided it in his testimony, but I'm not
2 sure what further would -- why the Division would need
3 to review title documents.

4 MR. MCCLURE: The Division's not going
5 to use the title for purposes of reviewing it. The
6 purpose is to have an understanding of where the depth
7 severance is, especially where it relates to the
8 vertical limit that the Division is force pooling the
9 interests of.

10 MS. VANCE: Okay. And a statement in
11 Mr. Macha's testimony doesn't suffice for that?

12 MR. MCCLURE: If the document's not
13 available, then we will assign as much credence, I
14 guess, to the landman's testimony as we feel is
15 appropriate. But of course, having the document
16 would, you know, add to it, I guess.

17 MS. VANCE: Okay. Thank you.

18 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Exhibit C-8.
19 That's the page 148 of 227.

20 MS. VANCE: Let me get there. Okay.
21 Yes. That was the one that you and Mr. Macha talked
22 about, Revised Exhibit C-9?

23 MR. MCCLURE: Is it C-9 or C-8?

24 MS. VANCE: I'm on page 148.

25 MR. MCCLURE: Let me actually open it,

1 so I'll make sure we're talking about the same one.

2 MS. VANCE: This is the one that I'm
3 looking at. Is that right?

4 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, that's right. I'm
5 looking at page -- that one right there.

6 MS. VANCE: Okay.

7 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Well, I guess
8 whichever exhibit that is. I just thought it was
9 Exhibit -- a part of the above exhibit, because I
10 didn't see a new exhibit number on it.

11 MS. VANCE: Okay.

12 MR. MCCLURE: But if it is Exhibit C-9,
13 then I guess let's go ahead and identify it as such.
14 What I ask there is, understanding there were no
15 changes made, but if we could add case 25145 in there
16 on that left hand side so we have a full summary of
17 all of Permian's committed interests there?

18 MS. VANCE: Yes.

19 MR. MCCLURE: And in addition to that,
20 Mr. Macha referenced that he may need to amend or he
21 may need to recalculate the opposing percentages there
22 due to XTO no longer opposing?

23 MS. VANCE: That's correct.

24 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. If we could
25 just -- oh, excuse me, Ms. Vance. You're right. It

1 does say C-9 on there. I didn't see it down at the
2 bottom right.

3 MS. VANCE: Yeah.

4 MR. MCCLURE: So I was looking in the
5 upper right hand corner, and I -- yeah. Exhibit C-9.
6 Okay. We're on the same page.

7 MS. VANCE: Very good.

8 MR. MCCLURE: Then exhibit D-7, this is
9 the geologist cross-section on page 173. Yeah. That
10 one there. If we could also add in the vertical limit
11 to these Bone Spring 3 cases and depict it also on
12 there. It sounds like it may be at that exact same
13 line, 9290 TVD. But if we could just put that in
14 writing on this cross section, that should be good.

15 MS. VANCE: Okay.

16 MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Ms. Vance.
17 That's all I'm needing from Permian.

18 I'm not needing anything from V-F.

19 Ms. Luck, for Ms. Beall, it sounds like
20 you already plan on resubmitting and having this
21 book 143, page 1091?

22 MS. LUCK: Yes.

23 MR. MCCLURE: When you submit that, you
24 plan on submitting it as an exhibit packet rather than
25 as a part of notice of ownership of interest; is that

1 correct?

2 MS. LUCK: Yes.

3 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. In addition to
4 that, if Ms. Beall does want to propose an allocation
5 method, can you provide us one in writing and include
6 it in that exhibit packet as a supplemental exhibit or
7 however you wish to do it?

8 MS. LUCK: Yes. I've got that, and I
9 can submit it as an exhibit.

10 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. In addition to
11 that, some sort of table or something to identify for
12 us what you -- what Ms. Beall believes to be her
13 interest in the units at hand, that being the north
14 half, north half 14 and 15 and the south half of the
15 north half of 14 and 15.

16 I'm interested in knowing the total
17 percent interest across those entire units, the
18 percentage of it.

19 MS. LUCK: Yes. I can provide that.

20 MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
21 Ms. Luck.

22 Mr. Hearing Examiner, I believe that is
23 an accounting of everything I had listed out, at
24 least.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's a

1 comprehensive list, Mr. McClure. Okay.

2 Ms. Vance, do you understand what's
3 needed?

4 MS. VANCE: I do.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Do you
6 feel like closing arguments would be beneficial?

7 MS. VANCE: I mean, I feel like the
8 Division has everything it needs. I would be happy to
9 be available tomorrow to make a quick closing
10 statement. I know time is of the essence, but
11 I -- you know, I'd prefer not to provide -- you know,
12 have to draft more stuff in this case or in these
13 cases.

14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

15 So, Mr. Savage and Ms. Luck, I believe
16 you both think that written closing arguments would be
17 beneficial; am I correct?

18 MR. MCCLURE: Yes. And, Mr. Hearing
19 Examiner, can we explain why that would be the case?

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. Thank you.
21 No. This case has gone way longer than it needs to
22 go.

23 Ms. Luck, do you agree?

24 MS. LUCK: I'm agreeing with Mr. Savage
25 that we would request a closing argument, yes.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I thought so.
2 Written closing argument; right?

3 MS. LUCK: Yes.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's right.

5 Now, it's all up to you, Mr. McClure.
6 Do you want written closing arguments or not?

7 MR. MCCLURE: If all of the parties
8 feel that they're going to provide me -- provide us
9 with something that's relevant to our decision making,
10 then as long as we provide them a page limit and a
11 very excellent expedited timeframe, then perhaps.

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Excellent.
13 Okay.

14 MR. MCCLURE: Yes. I -- yeah. I'm
15 fine with it if they want to.

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

17 So, Ms. Vance, I know that you're not
18 in favor of it, but two of the three are in favor of
19 it, and I'm going to set a very tight page limit so it
20 won't strain your drafting abilities. How long do you
21 think it'll take for you to file this exhibit packet
22 with all of these changes?

23 MS. VANCE: I would like until the end
24 of next week.

25 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Sure.

1 MS. VANCE: I have a very robust
2 schedule at the moment.

3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, I'm
4 not pressuring you into March 7. It's
5 your -- it's -- you know, you're an applicant. You're
6 trying to move this along. So I leave it up to you
7 and Mr. Savage to decide when, you know, how quickly
8 you want to move this along for consideration. So do
9 you feel like the close of business 7th of March would
10 be sufficient?

11 MS. VANCE: Can we have until the
12 Monday? Would that be okay?

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. Of
14 course.

15 MS. VANCE: The 10th?

16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Definitely. So
17 then we're talking about the 10th --

18 MS. VANCE: I think we'll get it done
19 before them, but -- sorry.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So we're talking
21 about the 10th of March, close of business.

22 Mr. Savage, are you able to provide
23 what you need to during that time period?

24 MR. SAVAGE: Well, I believe
25 Mr. McClure said I didn't need to provide -- well,

1 except for the consolidated revised --

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.

3 MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Well,
5 that's something I ordered you to provide.

6 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Exactly. Yes, sir.

7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: And, Ms. Luck,
8 any problem with providing what you need to?

9 MS. LUCK: No. That works. Thanks.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

11 Sounds good.

12 So, Ms. Vance, will you set a calendar
13 reminder for March 10, close of business, for the
14 amended exhibit packets to be revised and complete,
15 thereby you can take out all the redundancy?

16 MS. VANCE: Yes, I will.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, thank you.
18 I know that's a full-time job just in itself. All
19 right. Now, when it comes to closing argument, I'm
20 going to hear from Ms. Vance. I know you don't
21 necessarily want to file one, but if I do -- and I
22 have already ordered that closing arguments be
23 provided.

24 I mean, obviously, you don't have to
25 provide a closing argument. You can let the case rest

1 on its merits. But when would you be able to draft, I
2 don't know, something between -- what? Maybe let's
3 have a limit. What limit do you think would be
4 reasonable, Ms. Vance?

5 MS. VANCE: Five pages.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage?

7 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner and
8 Mr. McClure, these issues, especially regarding the
9 severances, are complicated. So I would think, I
10 mean, no more -- I mean, Mr. McClure, how -- I mean,
11 you are the one that said you wanted brief. I would
12 say at least ten pages to address these. And that's
13 still short of a typical closing argument that we have
14 done in the past.

15 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. McClure?

16 MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. I mean, I was
17 thinking somewhere between the ballpark of 10 to 20 as
18 being the maximum. Your eyes went up. Maybe ten's
19 the better page limit, then.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I mean,
21 to me, ten is max. Ten would be max. So and that
22 includes --

23 MR. MCCLURE: Ten is fine with me. I
24 just know in the past, we've had 30- and 40- and
25 50-page closing arguments submitted to us, and

1 that's -- I didn't want -- I don't want to see that.

2 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
3 good. So there's a limit.

4 And, Ms. Vance, you don't have to go to
5 the limit. You can make it as short as you want it to
6 be, but the limit is ten pages.

7 And, Mr. Savage, that includes
8 everything. So from your caption page to your
9 signature page, ten pages is your limit.

10 Ms. Luck?

11 MS. LUCK: Apologies. No objection to
12 any of that. I'm in agreement. Thank you.

13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
14 Perfect. And, Mr. Savage, are you in agreement with
15 that?

16 MR. SAVAGE: Yes. If I remember right,
17 under the rules, the caption page doesn't count, and
18 you can actually move the text to start on the second
19 page and have it be ten pages. Would that be
20 allowable? Or are you wanting us to start wherever
21 the caption ends?

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage, I
23 was going to assign a limit of five pages if that
24 gives you any indication of how I feel about this.

25 MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Fair enough.

1 MR. MCCLURE: I think Mr. McClure was
2 very generous in ten pages. So it's 10 pages total.
3 And we do have another case to get to, so I'd like to
4 put these to rest for now.

5 Ms. Vance, anything further?

6 MS. VANCE: Yeah. I just want -- are
7 we -- so we're doing closing arguments, but are we
8 doing findings of fact or --

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No.

10 MS. VANCE: Okay. Thank you. Just
11 wanted to make --

12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Simple closing
13 legal argument based on the facts that were admitted
14 into evidence, and we don't have a due date for that
15 yet. So, Ms. Vance, I'm going to start with you.
16 When can you have that submitted?

17 MS. VANCE: I'd like to have until at
18 least the 21st if possible. I'm going to be out of
19 town for a little while, and so -- and I've got some
20 other contested cases coming up; so --

21 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You don't have
22 to tell us -- you don't have to explain it. I
23 understand. You'd like to have it until the 21st, and
24 these are some of your cases that I know you'd like to
25 expedite, so I'm sure you're taking that into

1 consideration.

2 Mr. Savage, how do you feel about the
3 21st?

4 MR. SAVAGE: That sounds good to me.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay.

6 Ms. Luck?

7 MS. LUCK: That works. Thanks.

8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.

9 So, Freya, would you set a calendar
10 reminder for the 21st, Friday, March, close of
11 business, written closing argument, ten page.
12 Anything over 10 page will be excised mid-sentence if
13 necessary, so I really strongly expect that you will
14 stick to that limit.

15 And thank you to the parties. The
16 record will remain open until the 10th of March when
17 it will close at 5:00 p.m.

18 So I know, Ms. Luck, you'll have to
19 e-mail anything that you filed to Ms. Tschantz, make
20 sure you serve it on the other parties as well. And I
21 don't believe there's anything else here.

22 We have one more case, Mr. Cogswell.
23 Thanks for hanging in there. Let's go back on the
24 record for OXY USA. This is case number 25054. I
25 think it's Ms. Vance's case.

1 MS. VANCE: Yes. I still have not
2 heard anything from my legal assistant, so she did
3 reach out to the newspaper, and they have not followed
4 up. So I'm still waiting on an affidavit.

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

6 Freya, when's the next --

7 MS. VANCE: But I would -- oh, I'm
8 sorry.

9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.

10 MS. VANCE: I was going to propose as
11 soon as I get it, I will file. And I can -- I'm
12 assuming Mr. McClure is probably the technical
13 examiner, and I think Mr. Gebremichael --

14 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yeah, it's me.

15 MS. VANCE: Yes. I can send a copy of
16 that to the examiners once I get it filed, which I
17 don't know when it will be, but would love to be on
18 the next available docket at that point to finish that
19 particular case out.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

21 So, Mr. Gebremichael, do you see any
22 reason why we need to come back on the record in this
23 case? If we leave the record open for Ms. Vance to
24 file the affidavit once she receives it and then close
25 the record at that time, could there be any more

1 questions involved?

2 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Depending, you know,
3 the result of the notification, but as far as we're
4 concerned, we don't have any questions. Depending on
5 the result, yeah.

6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So the
7 answer is maybe?

8 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes. I would say
9 yes.

10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
11 right.

12 Ms. Tschantz, when is the next time
13 that we could put this case on a docket for a status
14 conference?

15 THE CLERK: For a status conference, we
16 could put it on March 27.

17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now, we
18 have a special hearing March 4th; right?

19 THE CLERK: We do. We also have a
20 special hearing on March 18th, so we could, yes, add
21 it to either of those.

22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance?

23 MS. VANCE: I'm going to be present at
24 both of those and would be available, assuming that we
25 are able to get this filed before then.

1 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right. So what
2 do you want?

3 MS. VANCE: Can we do a preliminary
4 setting for the 4th?

5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it doesn't
6 work that way. You have to continue the case to adopt
7 it, so I wanted to know which case you want to
8 continue it to.

9 MS. VANCE: Let's continue it to the
10 March 18th, and I'll file a continuance.

11 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
12 That's what we'll do -- or that's what you'll do, and
13 we'll come back on the record at that time. So we're
14 in recess on that case, as we don't have the affidavit
15 filed.

16 And, Mr. Gebremichael, was there
17 anything else on this case?

18 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: No. Nothing. Thank
19 you, sir.

20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: I know you hung
21 in there through this entire hearing just to --

22 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: No. Don't worry. I
23 was doing my work as well, but it was interesting.

24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You mean you
25 didn't have your feet up on the desk?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: We're good.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, thank you very much, Ms. Vance and everyone else. I don't see anyone else. I think everyone else has given up on us. But thank you for your participation. Thank you to the witnesses, Mr. Shaw, and, and whoever else. Mr. Macha, I see you still might be there, and thank you very much. And we are in recess. Thank you.

(Whereupon, at 2:46 p.m., the proceeding was concluded.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, JAMES COGSWELL, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

March 13, 2025

JAMES COGSWELL
Notary Public in and for the
State of New Mexico

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, JACOB MYERS, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

March 13, 2025



JACOB MYERS

[& - 143]

&	79:12 85:18	105 46:4	1220 2:6
& 2:12,15 3:4	129:13 185:8	1056 4:15	12:18 160:24
6:5 17:23	185:14,24	106 46:4	12:28 123:16
19:12,14 24:11	208:7,9 210:1	107 3:15 46:4	13 9:13 25:23
29:6 32:2 42:4	210:22 211:15	108 46:4	26:11 28:6,23
42:6,11 46:15	212:13,21,25	1091 191:10	39:12 41:9,13
49:18 57:8,17	213:13	194:19 195:15	45:4,18,19
57:17,21 59:10	1-007.1 66:21	197:6 217:15	57:13 126:8
61:8 66:14,17	1.5 211:3,17	217:19 231:21	127:3,8 203:22
67:2 70:24	10 9:3,3 48:22	10:30 83:8	210:7 245:16
73:9 89:11,14	133:18 236:13	10th 21:19	246:13
89:18 90:9	237:17 239:2	28:10 40:6	131 94:17
92:14 93:1	240:12	48:21 235:15	134:2 156:2,4
114:7,22 149:6	100 40:17 52:7	235:17,21	13th 25:20 26:2
149:10,15	142:19 180:18	240:16	26:9 41:5
154:24 164:5,7	180:19 185:18	11 9:6,6 57:10	47:19,23 56:12
210:16 212:22	207:21,22	85:19,20 86:21	56:14,15,25
218:15	215:18 218:11	162:20	14 9:17 76:1
0	228:19	110 2:16	77:11,17 78:23
0.067 208:22	1000 5:5	112 105:9	94:19 109:15
0.67 192:7	102 93:16	107:2	114:6,22 115:6
0.75 207:17	105:9,17,20	113 102:2	126:8,17 127:3
209:2,11 210:2	106:17,21,24	114:7 210:21	127:3,8 130:20
210:23 211:16	107:2,6 108:4	212:9 216:2,4	136:13 141:22
0.937 154:25	108:10	216:25	141:25 146:21
001 185:20	102s 8:15 93:8	115 13:14	152:5,9,16
01 185:3	93:12,14,20	1191 90:10	183:21 185:19
047633 191:17	94:1,3 98:5,20	92:13	232:14,15
213:24 214:17	100:24 101:4	12 9:9 36:13	14/14 8:3,5,7
217:4,7	105:13 106:10	71:1,17 97:9	8:10,13
1	106:13 107:12	12/04/2024 8:7	1415 155:16
1 2:16 8:3 9:24	107:19 166:22	120 180:18	143 191:10
14:6,6,17,23	104 46:4	121 94:17	194:19 195:15
16:9 78:8	1048 4:6	122 102:2	197:6 217:15
			217:18 231:21

<p>148 143:11 148:7,12 229:19,24 15 9:21 10:12 23:10 54:11 71:1,17 75:3,9 75:12,23,24 76:25 77:2,22 77:25 78:21 79:13 88:14 97:9 126:13,14 126:17,21 127:3,6,6,6 128:7,17 129:2 130:20 146:22 152:5,8,13,15 152:16 154:9 182:10 198:21 232:14,15 15145 155:16 15148 155:17 15th 22:4,7 23:6 30:13 34:8 51:4,8 16 9:24 74:8 79:15 126:11 149:4 152:8,13 152:15 17 10:3 213:11 172 181:19 173 182:9 184:23 231:9 17th 68:8,9,16 18 10:6 71:16</p>	<p>18th 71:5 242:20 243:10 19 10:9 87:14 87:19 19.15.25.8 13:11 19.15.4.16 60:17 19.15.4.17 199:25 19.15.4.19 62:5 19.15.4.21 62:22 63:9 19.15.4.23 64:10 19.15.8.9 13:13 1989 212:21 213:13 1st 22:4 30:13 104:8</p>	<p>120:25 142:20 183:1,12 208:17 212:4 237:17 2016 218:22 2017 218:22 2018 218:22 2020 208:15 2021 13:15 2023 126:20 2024 94:12 127:23 144:11 160:12 2025 1:17 11:3 102:3 203:22 245:16 246:13 2026 133:17 204 50:18 55:24,25 205 50:18 55:24,25 20th 104:7 189:3 198:11 210 3:18 214 6:6 218 5:21 21st 12:17 239:18,23 240:3,10 225 213:20 217:11 227 140:14 143:12 148:7 148:12 181:19 229:19</p>	<p>23 213:19 24 49:15 207:3 207:8 212:4 213:20 248 28:12 24864 1:9 19:8 24865 1:9 19:9 24872 1:9 24:3 25:25 24873 1:10 26:4 24874 1:10 29:1 24941 152:3 24942 151:19 152:4 24943 1:10 31:15 32:14 24982 1:10 31:17 32:3,14 33:15 35:11,13 24994 74:12 131:10 151:7 151:15 152:11 24995 74:12 131:10 25 153:6 25031 1:11 36:14 39:2 25035 1:11 42:1,7 25037 1:11 25038 1:11 25054 1:12 17:18 240:24</p>
	2		
	<p>2 8:4,14 10:3 14:7 16:12 17:18 79:13 105:8,11,12 127:9 140:24 150:9,25 151:3 182:11 192:5 211:2,17 2.22 154:24 2.25 154:24 2/6/2025 73:23 2/7 76:18 20 10:12 74:8 79:15 102:3</p>		

<p>25091 1:12 31:17 32:4,15 33:15 25102 1:12 31:17 32:4,15 33:15 25103 1:12 46:3 25115 9:25 74:12 75:5,8 76:2,2 25117 73:21 74:12 76:8 25123 1:13 49:14 25124 1:13 25145 9:20,23 110:19 111:11 136:24 143:16 143:17 145:22 188:21,25 190:23 206:22 225:25 230:15 25146 188:11 188:21,25 190:24 195:14 196:6 202:7 206:23 25148 9:20,23 110:20 136:24 151:19 25165 1:14 11:12 25204 1:13 49:15</p>	<p>25205 1:13 49:15 25th 104:23 26th 46:25 27 1:17 44:16 44:17,23 45:4 242:16 27th 11:3 45:10 45:11 162:19 202:3 214:20 28 72:12 28096 217:6 28th 57:11 59:8 59:12,15 63:6 81:3 93:8,13 94:1 98:7,10 99:3 100:23 101:5 107:25 117:15 120:3 131:3,15 203:23 29 35:15,23 54:11 55:8,10 56:19 29th 30:14,15 30:18 31:6 34:8,19,22,24 35:2,4,7 47:1 51:4,25 56:18 2:46 244:9</p>	<p>147:15 150:10 151:1,3 210:19 225:15,20,23 226:3,21,24 231:11 3,900 114:8,23 138:8 207:19 210:6,8 215:19 216:7 30 64:15 89:10 93:4 95:7 96:14,23 203:25 237:24 303 5:16 309 5:13 312-8518 5:16 3171.12 96:14 32.8 152:11 320 193:17,21 193:21 325 6:21 33 111:15 33061 246:14 36 112:11 210:13 361 6:16 37 42:1,7 38 42:1 382 207:3 209:20 216:4 216:21,24 385-4401 6:9 386 115:5 216:21</p>	<p>3:00 166:8 3r 3:2 5:18 46:23 47:16 49:14,19 51:17 52:21,24 53:3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>4 8:8 10:9 14:11 16:22 79:13 108:15 212:19 213:11 213:15,16 227:1 4/15 52:19 4/29 52:19 53:17 40 39:24 193:19 214:7 237:24 43 96:13 45 160:25 161:14 469-3197 3:18 483 6:13 4th 5:5 128:2 242:18 243:4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>5 8:11 10:14 14:6,13,17,23 17:1 76:14 94:12 111:24 5.9. 13:11 50 52:22 237:25</p>
	3		
	<p>3 8:6,16 10:6 14:8 19:6 40:1 79:13 144:4 145:21 146:21</p>		

[500 - able]

<p>500 3:5 5:5 505 2:9,19 3:8 4:9,18 5:8,24 6:24 52 140:14 57 126:15 5:00 240:17</p>	<p>7.24 13:15 701 210:7,21 216:2,4,25 705 212:10 709 114:8 709-5687 2:9 71 115:5 207:3 209:20,20 216:4,20,21,24 71/71 9:12,16 9:20,23 73 24:4 74/79 9:25 10:5 10:8,11,14 77 74:15,18 780-8006 4:9 78209 3:16 7th 30:10 235:9</p>	<p>87504 4:16 87505 1:21 2:7 3:6 87571 6:14 88 152:3 8:58 1:18 11:2</p>	<p>919 90:10 92:13 9290 231:13 970 6:9 980 217:12 981 213:20 982-2043 4:18 982-4554 5:24 983-8545 3:8 986-2509 6:24 988-4421 2:19 9:27 38:15 9:35 38:16 9th 43:10,11 108:22</p>
<p>6</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>9</p>	<p>a</p>
<p>6 8:14,20 85:20 86:21 126:20 131:8 179:1 181:20 60 26:12 61 151:13 61.8 151:8 61.83 153:6 61.84 151:8,23 151:25 152:19 152:25 63 117:14 64 117:21 640 126:15 648-1973 6:16 68 151:13 6972973 1:23 6th 70:12 72:4 74:25 75:21 79:11 128:4</p>	<p>8 8:20 9:13 136:19 143:11 148:7 181:20 229:18,23 8,100 14:2 8,913 140:19 141:5 8-25 1:6 82 152:3 848-1800 5:8 85/86 8:15,19 8:22,25 9:5,8 87102 5:6 87501 2:17 4:7 5:14,22 6:7,22</p>	<p>9 8:23,23 207:8 229:22,23 230:12 231:1,5 9,200 115:25 9,290 114:24 184:12 186:2 207:20 210:6 210:18 215:15 215:19 216:8 216:13 9,293 114:9,17 210:8,20 215:16 216:14 9,300 115:21 138:9 180:10 9,397 113:17 115:24 116:16 141:7 142:14 183:17,23 186:7 216:10 227:20 9,400 184:25 185:12,24 186:8 228:19 9,440 182:17 9,520 182:22 90s 142:19</p>	<p>a.m. 1:18 11:2 83:8 123:16 abadie 6:5 42:11 57:21 abadieschill.c... 6:8 abandon 13:24 abilities 234:20 ability 64:3 68:24 121:10 124:8 125:18 245:10 246:7 able 47:17 107:3 122:24 125:22 145:13 174:19 176:11 179:9 181:4 193:10,12 208:19 218:3</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>9</p>	<p>a</p>
<p>7 8:16 9:9 112:15 140:13 140:15 142:14 182:8 207:3 231:8 235:4</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>9</p>	<p>a</p>

[able - adjudicatory]

<p>222:24 228:10 235:22 237:1 242:25 above 9:11 116:1 119:12 120:13,21,24 121:4,9,13 122:6,10 123:23 124:6 125:4,11,23 126:1 137:1,2 137:13,21 138:2 139:9 142:19 170:4 185:17 193:9 197:19 205:3 215:15 230:9 absolutely 128:11 abstract 112:4 157:3 191:5 214:6,8,22 abundantly 132:4 acceptable 35:8 35:19 71:21 81:14,17 160:25 accepted 15:3 69:5 85:5 86:18 178:12 accessible 93:16 accommodate 26:16</p>	<p>accordance 13:13 62:9 139:2 account 115:18 121:25 124:24 149:12 150:8 150:11 151:5 184:19 186:4 192:22 accounting 232:23 accuracy 117:12 accurate 16:18 95:3 109:24 112:18 115:14 139:20 182:13 182:16,25 226:23 245:9 246:5 accurately 107:7 acknowledged 103:16 acknowledges 136:22 acquire 127:16 128:7 acquired 127:6 129:2 131:18 acre 39:24 193:17,19 acreage 43:17 105:25 106:16 107:22 120:15</p>	<p>125:2 138:21 187:16 193:14 193:15,18 acres 126:15 193:21,22 act 68:15 120:16 122:22 138:21 action 96:16 245:12,16 246:8,12 actions 123:7 active 110:25 acts 62:13 actual 94:3 101:1 106:17 107:10 129:1 193:2 219:1 227:8 actually 22:2 37:20 38:21 80:13 101:7,13 102:19 112:25 113:7 119:2 127:9 131:14 139:20 155:14 159:6 181:18 185:6,8,9 199:22 224:6 229:25 238:18 adamant 132:13 add 65:18,20 67:21 173:17 199:14 222:15</p>	<p>222:17 225:24 227:11 229:16 230:15 231:10 242:20 added 152:14 223:22 adding 151:10 addition 149:5 151:12 224:23 226:3 227:10 230:19 232:3 232:10 additional 8:21 57:1 60:2 72:3 75:20 76:5 79:21 137:12 168:22 173:22 210:22 222:17 224:11 226:8 226:15 227:11 227:11 address 39:9,16 52:10 56:5 77:6 79:24 165:19 237:12 addressed 72:7 131:16,23 165:16,17 addresses 90:15 addressing 131:22 adjudicatory 200:1</p>
---	--	---	---

[adjustment - amounts]

<p>adjustment 144:8,14</p> <p>administering 62:15</p> <p>administrative 69:9 224:6</p> <p>administrator 68:18 69:5,7</p> <p>admit 101:19 162:4 196:23 200:7</p> <p>admitted 14:22 15:5,8 58:5 71:16 79:14 80:4 239:13</p> <p>adopt 15:16 16:9,11,16,21 16:25 84:19 86:10 243:6</p> <p>advance 85:14 200:13</p> <p>advantage 99:5</p> <p>adverse 152:14 153:4</p> <p>adversely 64:6 64:13</p> <p>affect 152:24 173:5</p> <p>affected 64:7 197:20,22</p> <p>affects 64:13</p> <p>affidavit 8:3 9:21 13:9 14:6 15:2,3,6 16:9 18:10 21:9</p>	<p>22:16,23 23:3 24:21 25:15</p> <p>26:1,9 27:1 28:1 30:20</p> <p>47:18 48:12,20 54:23 56:25</p> <p>136:16,16 221:18 241:4 241:24 243:14</p> <p>affirm 16:8,11 16:16,21,25 86:13</p> <p>affirmed 9:18 10:4,7,10 190:7</p> <p>afford 200:3</p> <p>afmss 97:20</p> <p>ago 12:11,14 121:1</p> <p>agree 20:16 51:7 73:1 92:20 95:3 102:1 105:5 107:14 112:24 114:16 115:15 117:17,19 128:2 132:1,14 134:19 156:11 160:25 164:19 165:3 167:14 167:21 174:21 175:13 203:18 220:2 233:23</p> <p>agreeable 55:9</p>	<p>agreed 34:18 95:10,12</p> <p>agreeing 233:24</p> <p>agreement 21:7 82:11 89:13 148:22 192:16 212:21 213:13 238:12,14</p> <p>agrees 69:8</p> <p>ahead 11:21 18:20 26:6,8 40:3 84:22 98:15 104:3,14 105:6 118:5 130:14 142:23 142:24 147:2,6 147:12 149:7 150:2 155:10 163:2 176:8 194:14 205:15 209:8,9 211:20 211:21 224:11 226:16 230:13 241:9</p> <p>albuquerque 5:6</p> <p>alleged 14:9 16:17</p> <p>alleges 102:21</p> <p>allocate 138:20</p> <p>allocated 138:17 193:8 193:13</p>	<p>allocating 138:19</p> <p>allocation 120:15 125:2,7 125:10 139:8 173:20 187:19 192:14,16,21 205:23 206:6 219:13,16 220:22 221:15 232:4</p> <p>allow 61:4 100:10 103:24 123:24 137:12</p> <p>allowable 238:20</p> <p>allowed 176:19 188:20 204:12</p> <p>allowing 64:21</p> <p>amend 225:4 230:20</p> <p>amended 40:24 41:9 74:11 75:1 76:3,12 76:17 79:11 140:9 143:10 143:11 144:22 203:18 224:5 236:14</p> <p>amoco 212:21</p> <p>amount 55:2 99:23</p> <p>amounts 119:11</p>
---	--	---	--

[analogous - appropriate]

<p>analogous 109:16 134:11</p> <p>analysis 171:10 173:24</p> <p>answer 58:16 90:1,2 117:5 123:11 135:14 143:23 171:4 175:18 179:10 179:22 184:1 198:23 199:12 208:18,19 220:8,12 242:7</p> <p>answer's 30:2</p> <p>answered 69:13 119:25 123:2 158:19 158:20 171:1</p> <p>answering 60:8 77:16 87:9</p> <p>answers 72:8 79:22 118:4 139:19 220:5</p> <p>anticipate 40:13 130:7 147:11 205:5</p> <p>anticipated 51:2</p> <p>antonio 3:16</p> <p>anyway 78:25</p> <p>aol.com 4:17</p> <p>ap 68:2</p> <p>apache 2:12 24:11</p>	<p>apart 45:13</p> <p>apd 94:5 95:20 97:14,16 101:4</p> <p>apds 53:25 94:4,9,13 95:24 96:2,6 96:10 97:6,7 97:23 98:4,6,9 99:4,5,10 101:2,4,13 102:15,18,22 103:4,15 104:6 104:16,23</p> <p>apo 210:2</p> <p>apologies 19:19 23:6 35:11 201:13 220:3 222:21 238:11</p> <p>apologize 20:22 75:15 76:4,25 185:7 195:1 207:6</p> <p>apparently 135:13</p> <p>appeal 60:13 64:4,19 67:7 67:10 68:1,8 68:14,15,21,25 69:4,10,17,21</p> <p>appealable 60:4 69:3</p> <p>appealed 63:19</p> <p>appealing 63:25 65:14,15 201:1</p>	<p>appear 55:10 189:23 203:10</p> <p>appearance 11:13 17:20 19:9 22:21 24:4,23 31:18 32:21 33:3 36:15 42:1 46:21 48:5 49:16 57:14 61:2 203:24</p> <p>appearing 19:15 20:7 32:3 42:6 49:18 135:13</p> <p>appears 107:8 131:23 145:21 191:13 194:2 224:18</p> <p>applicable 72:6</p> <p>applicant 17:23 32:15 36:18 53:12 158:11 172:9 195:12 235:5</p> <p>application 19:8 40:4,14 40:24 41:10 42:20 44:4 53:4 64:8,16 94:5 96:15,16 111:5,11 113:5 116:4 136:22 144:18,21,22 146:18 157:2,7</p>	<p>157:10,20,24 158:2,22 159:1 163:15,20,21 164:21 166:20 167:3 180:14 225:2</p> <p>applications 26:15 32:17 43:4 50:15,21 52:4 53:11,11 53:14 58:16 85:8 97:16 98:21 99:17 109:12 110:19 112:24 115:17 155:5,8,9 156:22 163:16 163:23,23 164:8,9 168:9 168:10 178:15 205:9</p> <p>applied 133:23</p> <p>applies 137:4 180:14</p> <p>apply 63:22 144:2 193:9,12 193:20</p> <p>appreciate 26:18 29:15 48:13 136:4</p> <p>appreciated 28:8</p> <p>apprise 12:2</p> <p>appropriate 60:22 79:25</p>
---	---	--	---

[appropriate - assume]

<p>229:15 appropriately 196:16 approval 40:5 68:8 97:6 approvals 97:14 approve 96:4 96:16 approved 68:3 95:25 173:6 approximately 141:13 151:8 182:16 183:1 183:11 184:19 184:25 186:8 228:18 april 21:25 22:4,4 23:6,9 30:8,11 31:6 34:8,19,22,24 35:2,4,7,15,23 40:6,20 48:12 48:18,19,21,22 51:4,4,8,25 54:11,11 55:8 55:10 56:19 68:3,8,9,16 127:22 april's 22:2 ard 8:22 88:20 150:1 151:10 151:10 154:16 154:18,22,23</p>	<p>area 51:18 53:1 53:5 109:5 110:3 128:23 141:13 192:17 197:19,22 areas 168:19,24 173:24 175:8 argued 211:6 arguing 123:1 197:23 argument 15:11 167:2,4 171:19 175:11 221:16 223:16 233:25 234:2 236:19,25 237:13 239:13 240:11 arguments 171:8,8,17,20 171:23 175:12 196:19 203:24 233:6,16 234:6 236:22 237:25 239:7 arrow 149:20 ascertain 208:13 asked 18:12 22:15 27:22 35:1 59:7 90:2 100:11 103:14 120:3 121:7 123:2 128:14 142:2 147:1</p>	<p>154:2 162:21 163:15 165:23 170:9 171:2 180:3 185:3 195:3 196:3 asking 14:15 69:14 70:10,13 72:17,24 77:10 77:16,20,21 96:19 99:14,15 103:24 107:18 117:9 118:18 118:19 120:2 121:20,21,24 132:19 133:3 135:8 156:3 165:9 170:8 172:11 174:3,8 183:16 185:9 205:1 219:9 227:3 aspects 107:23 asserting 103:9 assertion 59:16 asserts 192:10 asset 27:7 178:8 assign 210:13 229:13 238:23 assigned 89:1 90:16 assignee 92:15 92:16 assignees 114:7 114:23</p>	<p>assigning 89:21 215:25 216:4 218:13 assignment 88:18 89:11,15 89:21 90:8 92:13,16 114:6 114:9,20,22,24 115:3 128:1 142:10 149:14 154:8,10 155:2 155:4 191:9,10 191:19,20 194:17 197:5 197:15 209:19 210:3,6,20 212:24 214:4 215:8 217:12 assignments 114:12 115:10 142:9 197:11 209:18 assignor 92:15 assigns 92:16 114:8 210:7 assist 178:21 assistant 18:12 18:22 241:2 assisted 58:14 associated 227:23 assume 36:8 65:13 69:9 89:16 105:16 150:5 211:24</p>
---	--	--	--

[assumed - basically]

<p>assumed 141:19 209:21 209:24 assuming 88:17 124:20 209:1 228:4,14 241:12 242:24 assumption 105:17,18 assurances 13:13 14:1 attached 86:2 104:22 136:17 attempt 123:22 124:8 218:19 attempted 26:23 attend 35:21 attention 62:22 87:14 105:8 108:14 111:11 129:13 140:13 143:10 181:17 206:20 207:2 210:12 attorney 142:2 165:11 210:11 245:14 246:10 attorneys 114:3 114:14 115:12 116:11 134:18 142:10 audio 245:8 246:3</p>	<p>authority 62:3 62:6,8,19 67:6 67:7 69:16,20 69:21 authorization 13:25 availability 30:13 available 30:7 58:8,10,12,16 58:22,23 59:3 81:2 93:23,24 120:1 141:16 142:23 143:3 163:4,7 179:20 228:10 229:13 233:9 241:18 242:24 avant 3:10 24:2 24:7 28:25 29:3 avenue 3:5 5:21 averse 149:18 avoid 70:17 75:13 197:24 aware 39:4 97:17 107:5 108:6 113:24 114:9,12,24 125:20 126:4,6 131:2,6 134:25 136:12 139:24 141:12 144:17 159:21 160:8 169:6 202:5</p>	<p>b b 3:12 8:1 9:1 10:1,6 96:14 177:24 191:11 191:20 197:10 197:11,12 214:10 217:13 218:5,15,23 back 18:2,17 18:21,23 19:2 21:12 38:16 43:23 63:8 70:12 77:10 80:16 81:21 83:10,21 92:23 123:12,17 141:15 161:12 161:14 176:20 180:3 208:11 210:15 218:22 225:24 240:23 241:22 243:13 backs 103:8 bad 37:11 baffle 117:16 baffling 117:3 167:20 170:3 ballpark 237:17 bane 122:8 159:11 167:17 169:17 bare 228:6 barrels 121:2</p>	<p>barriers 117:3 base 109:12,13 109:17 110:22 111:1,2,20 112:7 113:18 115:21 141:4,7 141:8,12 182:20 216:11 226:21,22 based 15:14 30:12 63:12 65:10 67:25 72:5 77:3 90:1 92:20 96:21 98:8 100:3 101:6 104:11 104:14,17 108:9 113:4,4 118:25 127:5 128:1 138:20 139:18 149:25 158:14 166:21 167:3,4,10 168:6,7 171:3 174:8 175:1 180:10,22 184:16 185:17 194:8 204:12 205:9 221:12 224:17 239:13 basically 18:14 98:2 122:2 191:16 193:24 205:14</p>
--	--	--	--

[basis - bone]

<p>basis 50:13 105:25 120:15 125:2 132:15 138:21 164:18 165:15 199:20</p> <p>beall 6:11 57:25 66:11 67:4 114:7 140:3 142:4 176:11 181:4 187:13 188:3 190:18 191:21 192:10 197:12 202:6 203:23 205:11 207:11 209:7 212:5 214:2 215:14 217:2,23 219:2 220:23 222:23 224:19 231:19 232:4,12</p> <p>beall's 136:12 139:21,25 140:8 141:20 142:7 184:12 186:9 187:15 189:5 193:24 195:13 196:5 197:9,25 201:21 209:17 219:12 227:14</p> <p>bear 206:19</p> <p>beatty 3:4 19:14 32:2 42:6 49:18</p>	<p>began 81:3,13 203:1</p> <p>behalf 2:2,11 3:2,10 4:2,11 5:2,10,18 6:2 6:11,18 17:23 19:12,15,21 22:22 24:7,11 24:17,23 29:2 29:6 32:3,8 36:17 37:3 42:4,6,11 43:25 46:15,23 48:6 49:18,22 50:6 57:17,21 57:25 67:3 187:13 188:4</p> <p>behooves 204:15</p> <p>belief 68:23 69:17 147:21 218:14</p> <p>believe 13:6 18:9 20:13,21 24:15 29:11,22 39:7,13 40:10 40:15 50:19 52:5,7 56:3 58:12 60:13 66:17 67:9 68:24 69:2 71:5 72:21 76:1 77:13,19 77:21 82:6,8 86:9 95:24</p>	<p>101:19 105:12 111:25 118:7 134:11 137:4 141:22,23 142:17,18 144:20 147:19 147:20 148:7 151:5 158:15 165:17 182:5 186:5,8 188:13 194:17 202:25 208:14,21 215:4,22 216:12,20 217:1 218:21 219:2 221:4 224:20 225:8 227:5,21 228:2 228:20 232:22 233:15 235:24 240:21</p> <p>believes 232:12</p> <p>ben 3:17 24:6 29:2</p> <p>beneath 140:19</p> <p>beneficial 60:14 175:13 233:6,17</p> <p>benefit 171:20 171:21 173:24 173:25</p> <p>benjamin 3:12</p> <p>bennett 5:3 32:7,8,11,13,18 34:12,16,17</p>	<p>35:5 36:1,2</p> <p>best 22:3,5 52:3 245:10 246:6</p> <p>better 34:10 38:2 174:7 184:5 237:19</p> <p>betty 214:11</p> <p>beyond 173:7</p> <p>birthday 45:8 47:1</p> <p>bit 92:24 138:5 138:13 147:1 160:7 167:10 180:17 182:9 183:9 184:10 185:18</p> <p>blended 152:9</p> <p>bless 206:16</p> <p>blind 145:23</p> <p>blm 53:24 94:5 94:20 95:14 96:15 97:8,14 97:15 99:16,22 101:6 102:1 104:6,7,16,20 104:24,25</p> <p>boards 143:7</p> <p>boat 187:22</p> <p>bone 9:15 56:22 108:16 108:19,25 109:3,4,8,8,10 109:13,13,17 109:17,21 110:3,4,9,14,16</p>
--	---	--	---

[bone - c]

110:20,21,22 110:23 111:2,6 111:7,19,20,21 111:22 112:6,7 112:8,17,18 113:15,17,19 113:23 115:21 116:16 117:2 119:12,16 122:3 124:22 125:18,19 133:23 134:3,7 134:15 135:1,2 138:16 139:1,4 140:24 141:2,3 141:5,7,12 144:4,12,13 146:21 147:3,7 147:7,15 150:9 150:10,17,25 151:1,3,3,15 154:10,14 155:9,18 156:1 163:22,24 164:23,24 165:2 175:21 176:13,16 180:7,10,13,16 181:2,5,24 182:6 192:3,11 192:17,22 193:25 197:24 201:22 216:11 216:11,14,16 225:10,11	226:3,21,22,23 231:11 book 90:10 92:13 115:5 191:10 194:19 195:15 197:6 207:3 209:20 210:7,21 212:9 213:20 216:2,4 216:4,20,21,24 216:24 217:15 217:18 231:21 books 216:19 217:11 bottom 111:6 165:2 212:5 231:2 box 4:15 6:13 181:23 182:4 bpo 209:25 bradford 7:13 58:14 82:20,24 83:15 177:13 177:14,17,23 177:23 178:5,7 178:13,16,19 178:24 179:2,6 179:9 180:1,9 180:15,20 181:8,16,21,25 182:3,12,18,23 183:3,8,13,20 183:24 184:7 184:15,21 185:2,16 186:1	186:11,14,25 187:1 break 38:14 80:14 81:22 83:7 103:6 123:6 129:22 breakout 145:20 brief 237:11 bring 81:12 91:7 155:10 167:24 168:19 177:1 185:14 195:19 210:11 226:5 227:18 bringing 167:23 168:21 196:18 brings 137:8 149:8 brokers 114:3 114:14 116:12 brought 158:7 158:7 159:4 166:1,19 169:3 195:15 bruce 4:13 31:19,19,24 34:9,11 35:4 35:24,25 bullet 227:2 bumped 48:17 bumping 28:6 bunch 88:25	burke 10:8 58:22 business 11:8 235:9,21 236:13 240:11 butcher 194:18 button 92:2 bwenergylaw... 3:7
			c
			c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1,4 7:1 8:14 8:15,16,20,23 9:3,6 10:9,11 11:1,23,24 13:14 60:18 84:9 85:18,18 85:19 86:7 93:8,12,14,16 93:20 94:1,3 98:5,20 100:24 101:4 105:8,9 105:11,12,13 105:17,20 106:10,13,17 106:21,24 107:2,6,12,19 108:4,10,15 136:17 143:11 145:21 148:7 166:22 182:10 225:15,20,23 229:18,22,23 229:23 230:12 231:1,5

[calculated - cases]

calculated 154:20 219:1	217:13 218:5 218:23	136:6,8,24 140:3 143:16	41:25 42:7 43:6,20 44:23
calculation 209:11 211:23	cartoon 108:5 108:12	144:1,15,18 145:22 150:7	46:1 47:23,25 48:7 49:13,14
calendar 236:12 240:9	carving 216:16	151:7,15,19,19 152:11 155:16	54:23,25 55:2 55:21,25 56:7
call 15:15 37:17 78:20 83:12 177:11 177:12 187:12 192:13 199:16 204:21	case 1:9 9:19 9:22,24 11:10 11:12 13:18,20 15:12 17:7,18 18:17 19:3,4 21:11,13 22:16 22:25 23:2,9 23:15 25:25 26:16 27:21 29:14 31:2,6 31:13,16,22 33:12,18 35:11 37:1,17 38:24 39:1 40:7,9,23 40:25 41:3,12 41:13,20,25 46:3 51:13 54:10 59:25 60:12 61:3 62:11 63:5,15 65:10 66:9 69:1,25 73:16 73:18 75:7 76:2,7 77:14 80:2 81:3,9 99:6 101:7 102:25 122:12 122:13,18,20 123:9,25 124:3 124:9 131:10	167:18 168:3 168:23 169:6 171:8 172:16 172:17,24 173:1,11,12,18 174:5 180:8 187:3,8 188:11 188:18,24,25 189:23 190:5 190:23,24 193:16,18 195:14 202:7 206:22 212:19 222:1 225:24 225:25 230:15 233:12,19,21 236:25 239:3 240:22,24,25 241:19,23 242:13 243:6,7 243:14,17	56:8,16,22,23 57:6,6,8,10 59:11 64:21 77:3,8 81:4 85:8 94:18 109:14 110:19 121:21 122:17 123:8 124:13 124:16,19 132:25 134:24 135:8 136:5,7 138:12 139:5,6 140:24 141:2 143:15 147:15 148:15,15,21 150:10,11,13 150:17,20 151:3,22 152:3 152:6,10 154:14,23 155:16 159:4,8 162:20 164:15 167:19,25 168:18 169:7 169:13,13,15 169:15 170:19 170:22,23 171:10,13 172:7,8,8
called 1:6 16:3 27:22 66:6 75:9 77:2 84:3 177:18 189:14 196:24 197:4		cases 19:1 22:20,21 23:19 24:1 25:23 26:13 28:7,7 28:16,23,24 32:3,9,12,14 33:6,18 35:17 35:23,23 36:12	
calling 41:24 57:7 59:2			
camera 15:22 161:22			
cantin 58:13 178:21 179:5 179:10,19			
capacity 85:1 178:6 191:14 218:17			
caption 238:8 238:17,21			
care 106:14			
carolyn 6:11 57:25 189:5 191:20 207:11 209:17 211:2 212:5 214:11			

[cases - close]

<p>178:15 188:19 188:20 190:20 194:24 225:1,3 225:4,11 226:24 227:3,4 227:8 231:11 233:13 239:20 239:24 catch 202:12 categories 110:25 catering 127:12 cause 94:19 117:8 120:25 147:8 149:3 causing 37:15 certain 92:17 certainly 41:6 46:22 certificate 245:1 246:1 certified 8:8,11 12:4,7 14:11 16:22 17:1 93:13,20 105:20 106:21 certify 245:4 246:2 cetera 200:11 200:11 cfr 96:13 chain 191:6 213:6,7 chaining 218:2</p>	<p>chains 217:16 chakalian 1:19 11:5 47:1 chance 54:12 54:19 70:24 196:11,15 206:23 change 78:22 153:12 173:5 changes 86:6 179:4 230:15 234:22 characterize 211:7 charles 191:11 191:20 197:10 197:11,12 214:10 217:13 218:5,15 check 18:23 85:17 checked 26:7 checklist 156:11 157:8 157:21 158:24 224:6,15 chief 13:20 173:12 187:3 190:5 222:1 choice 78:4,21 126:16 166:11 choose 51:3 chooses 91:9 174:20</p>	<p>chose 127:4 christy 2:3 11:14 christy.trevino 2:8 cimarex 3:10 5:2 6:2 29:3 32:8,10 33:17 42:12 43:4 44:10,12 cimarex's 43:25 circle 176:20 180:3 circumstances 61:6 citation 63:24 cite 224:11 cited 62:23 216:20 civil 14:1 civiresources... 5:15 civitas 5:10,12 36:14 39:2,14 41:17 claimed 103:2 103:3 148:20 148:25 149:3 153:11 claiming 149:6 170:19,21 clarification 98:24 222:16</p>	<p>clarified 111:25 113:3 133:22 clarify 35:10 44:2 103:24 104:3 113:14 138:23 145:13 158:1 175:18 176:21 clarifying 101:12 clean 72:13 158:11 160:6 166:24 222:8 cleaned 175:1 cleaner 174:13 clear 78:17 80:20 87:10 102:8 121:19 159:6 165:22 174:18 197:17 218:19 clearly 60:12 112:15 167:25 clerk 7:9 12:22 23:11 25:20 26:14 44:16 48:21 64:17 223:6 242:15 242:19 client 51:8,9 52:18 54:2 clients 174:19 close 47:16 161:14 183:5</p>
---	---	--	--

[close - computation]

<p>210:14 235:9 235:21 236:13 240:10,17 241:24 closed 127:22 159:20 closing 15:3,9 15:11 171:7,8 171:16,19,20 171:22 175:12 187:23 221:16 221:22 223:16 233:6,9,16,25 234:2,6 236:19 236:22,25 237:13,25 239:7,12 240:11 cloud 201:4 214:25 215:19 cog 5:18 32:22 33:3 37:3 39:3 39:18 40:2,16 41:18 48:6 cogswell 1:22 103:7,19 161:9 220:7 240:22 245:2,17 collaborative 134:23 colleague 18:5 58:14 color 222:17 colorado 27:13</p>	<p>column 212:14 212:15 combination 169:10 combine 70:16 combined 225:3 come 19:2 21:7 43:23 72:5 79:22 80:16 81:21 83:21 91:13 96:24 123:12 131:12 142:16 161:12 161:14 193:11 193:21 206:8 211:1 241:22 243:13 comes 27:3 53:13 62:19 97:25 209:13 226:16 236:19 coming 18:17 47:16 143:1,1 239:20 comment 69:22 commentary 10:14 76:15 comments 163:14 commission 60:5,24 63:19 64:1,9,14,17,23 65:1 68:12</p>	<p>committed 146:12,16 149:11,16 151:1 152:20 230:17 common 157:25 comms 219:7 communicate 12:24 communicating 27:20 communication 27:21 community 218:19 company 2:12 4:11,12 6:2 9:8 19:7 27:7 31:15,20,21 compare 172:8 173:4 184:12 compared 150:10 comparing 122:12 170:19 comparison 124:7 148:15 compete 126:9 competing 32:17 35:23 40:13 42:20 43:4 44:4 50:14,16,21 52:4 53:11,13</p>	<p>54:23,24 55:2 56:16 57:7 98:25 109:19 163:23 174:7 178:15 complainant 11:11 complete 34:4 63:4,16,17,23 70:5 96:15 187:3 200:10 236:14 completed 105:17,19 completely 22:14 169:5 170:3 compliant 13:11 complicate 125:18 147:1 complicated 173:18 237:9 complied 70:7 comprehensive 106:11 139:4 233:1 comprises 214:16 compulsory 19:7 46:3 57:8 98:25 156:11 157:21 158:24 computation 152:14 211:23</p>
--	---	---	--

[computed - continued]

<p>computed 149:23,24 151:8 152:1 209:6 219:6</p> <p>computer 91:19 163:8 199:1</p> <p>conceivable 55:1</p> <p>concept 63:25</p> <p>concern 34:23</p> <p>concerned 118:19 242:4</p> <p>concerns 43:17 137:8 228:22</p> <p>conclude 222:1</p> <p>concluded 187:8 244:10</p> <p>concludes 133:6</p> <p>conclusion 63:9</p> <p>conclusions 133:3</p> <p>concurrence 72:1</p> <p>concurrent 64:24</p> <p>conditional 22:16</p> <p>conditions 123:24</p> <p>conduct 61:19 62:15,20 65:21 190:19</p>	<p>conducted 68:9 188:4 205:9</p> <p>conference 20:25 21:3,22 21:23 29:1 37:24 43:2,13 43:13 44:15,22 61:21 242:14 242:15</p> <p>conferences 35:1</p> <p>conferred 51:6</p> <p>confine 120:7 175:5 220:5</p> <p>confined 162:24</p> <p>confirm 52:21 146:11 147:20 155:3</p> <p>confirming 102:18 190:15</p> <p>conflicting 56:23 104:24</p> <p>confuse 61:9</p> <p>confused 174:3 195:18 224:13</p> <p>confusion 70:18 75:13,14 78:5,17,23</p> <p>congratulations 45:17</p> <p>connect 218:10</p> <p>connection 85:24</p>	<p>connectivity 54:1 225:15</p> <p>conocophillips 4:2,5 24:23 32:23 33:4</p> <p>conocophilli... 4:8</p> <p>consequently 112:23</p> <p>conservation 1:3,6 2:2 7:4,7 7:9 11:4,10,15</p> <p>consider 63:11 80:6</p> <p>considerable 159:17</p> <p>consideration 63:18 174:16 235:8 240:1</p> <p>considered 72:6 195:9 196:1</p> <p>considering 1:8</p> <p>consolidate 41:12</p> <p>consolidated 162:9,20 210:15 236:1</p> <p>constructive 129:1</p> <p>consulted 134:18</p> <p>cont'd 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 9:1 10:1</p>	<p>contact 11:22</p> <p>contain 150:14</p> <p>contemplates 63:4</p> <p>contemplating 42:20 152:16</p> <p>content 117:10</p> <p>contents 75:18 79:12</p> <p>contested 21:5 22:17 28:3 30:6 34:7 39:8 44:25 45:5,8 50:22 51:4,13 56:5 59:12 85:8 98:25 202:3 239:20</p> <p>contesting 195:13</p> <p>context 124:9</p> <p>continuance 63:7 132:24 243:10</p> <p>continuation 17:19 59:14 77:25 202:3</p> <p>continue 56:17 67:18 92:5 118:18 119:5,8 161:15 166:13 220:15 243:6,8 243:9</p> <p>continued 57:11,13</p>
---	---	--	---

[continuing - covers]

<p>continuing 162:20</p> <p>contractor 189:25</p> <p>contradict 112:24 113:7 113:11</p> <p>contradiction 156:20 158:23</p> <p>control 8:18 144:9 150:21 150:22 152:11</p> <p>controls 40:17</p> <p>convenient 35:21</p> <p>convey 191:13 210:22 214:23 218:10</p> <p>conveyance 192:6 209:13 209:25</p> <p>conveyances 211:11</p> <p>conveyed 211:10 215:10</p> <p>conveying 214:10,24</p> <p>cool 47:11 144:5</p> <p>coordinates 107:16</p> <p>copy 141:1 226:15 241:15</p> <p>corner 39:25 40:1 231:5</p>	<p>correct 29:9 33:19 50:19 61:16 68:3,16 77:7,19 82:12 85:19 86:1,4 87:23,24 88:17 89:5 93:9,10 96:7 99:11 106:12 108:17 109:10 110:1 110:10,18,24 111:24 112:21 112:22 113:19 113:21 114:20 115:22 116:6 119:14 124:21 130:17,18,21 131:11,19 132:10,11 133:20,25 134:4 138:17 139:23 140:1 144:23 146:18 146:19 147:10 147:13,15,16 147:23 148:1,2 148:5 151:1,2 152:20,21 153:7,8,13,14 155:5,6,18 156:13,16 159:1 162:6,13 163:17,25 164:24,25 169:20 179:1</p>	<p>182:3,22 183:19,22,23 184:8 185:25 186:10,12 194:1,20 195:24 199:24 207:16,25 211:25 212:19 213:16 215:5 215:12 217:20 226:17 227:6,7 228:11 230:23 232:1 233:17</p> <p>corrected 223:21</p> <p>correction 18:8</p> <p>corrections 15:17 84:20 86:5 179:3 226:4,6</p> <p>correctly 226:19</p> <p>correlative 119:17 120:10 120:22 121:14 122:1,10,20,24 123:9,21 124:3 124:8,24 172:17 174:14 204:13 216:17</p> <p>corresponden... 94:15 202:11</p> <p>corresponding 94:19</p>	<p>counsel 2:5 66:11,12 81:25 93:25 112:4 164:5 179:11 245:11,14 246:7,10</p> <p>count 88:7 238:17</p> <p>county 89:10 159:8,9,11,12 169:16,18,19 172:8,9 191:4 197:5</p> <p>couple 115:9 121:1 123:21 132:5 142:2,21 153:21 194:12 207:7 228:5</p> <p>course 18:25 22:24 34:19 52:17 61:25 80:4 200:20 203:17 229:15 235:14</p> <p>court 3:15 69:11 200:11 205:12</p> <p>cover 222:12 223:4</p> <p>covered 133:13 214:17</p> <p>covering 39:25 210:4 214:12</p> <p>covers 114:23 217:7</p>
---	---	--	---

[cpac - deficiency]

<p>cpac 224:5,7,21 cpacs 224:7 225:7 cracks 131:25 creating 192:21 credence 229:13 credentials 85:4 178:11 credibility 100:4 critical 51:11 cross 9:10 81:12 82:7,9 84:20 86:25 87:2 99:4 158:14,16 162:24,25 174:20,24 175:2,15 179:17,19 181:17,22 182:25 184:23 185:15 186:3 194:8 200:5 221:12 231:9 231:14 cure 17:19 59:14 cured 81:5 214:19 current 146:16 currently 88:14 133:16 180:16 191:22</p>	<p>curriculum 8:4 14:8 16:14 cut 225:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">d</p> <hr/> <p>d 9:9,13 11:1 29:8 177:24,24 179:1,1 181:20 181:20 182:8 189:21 231:8 dalrimple 19:17 dalrymple 6:19 19:19,20 20:11 20:12 22:5,12 22:13 23:5,22 darin 6:4,8 10:11 42:11 50:6 57:21 65:17 138:7 150:4 dashed 181:23 data 208:12 date 1:17 12:19 21:5 28:3,9 30:20 34:12,13 34:14,20 35:4 39:8 40:6 48:20 51:3,5,8 53:13 55:8 63:7 64:15 73:3,7 94:4 127:1,15,19 131:9 201:9 208:14 226:5 239:14</p>	<p>dated 76:18 94:12 212:21 213:13 dates 22:1 30:12 34:8 45:3,5,6 51:7 51:23 102:10 104:17 day 18:19 19:2 74:25 78:13 96:23 166:14 166:16 days 45:13,17 64:15 89:11 93:4 95:7 96:14 198:21 203:25 de 4:6 6:21 64:8,14,17,19 69:4 deadline 54:14 72:3 73:23 199:22 200:22 201:4 deadlines 204:17 deal 27:2 37:17 47:16 55:11 58:4,5 59:5 70:23 79:18 80:21 97:13 171:24 174:12 204:25 dealing 205:5</p>	<p>deals 173:18,19 173:20,21 174:13 dealt 164:9 dean 7:3 11:6 deana 5:3 32:8 deana.bennett 5:7 debt 115:10 121:4 164:14 deceased 218:21 december 33:8 43:10,11 94:12 decide 22:5 35:18 235:7 decided 65:11 122:9,13 169:9 deciding 95:21 decision 64:7 64:20 65:16 134:15,20,20 134:23 201:1 234:9 dedicated 106:16 107:21 deed 191:9 196:24 197:4 197:13 217:14 defer 60:1 65:17 96:16 164:4 187:24 deficiency 132:2</p>
---	--	--	--

[definitely - dies]

<p>definitely 26:1 52:18 100:8 235:16 degree 127:12 delay 73:17 delete 223:2 delineated 60:12 demonstrate 167:25 168:24 217:23 demonstrative 91:8 denial 69:16 denied 59:12 67:14 69:23 denominator 193:6,15,17 denote 163:24 density 77:6,6 77:6 deny 61:24 96:17 denying 61:25 62:1 67:13 68:1,2,13 department 1:2 2:5 93:17 94:10,15 96:9 97:13 104:16 106:6 dependent 72:8 119:1 depending 70:14 242:2,4</p>	<p>depict 231:11 deposition 245:1 depth 9:11 109:14 110:25 111:2,25 113:23 114:13 114:17 115:16 115:16 116:2,2 116:16 120:13 120:19 121:10 121:13 125:17 133:24 134:12 137:2,5,6,11,14 137:22,23,25 138:14,23 139:9 140:8,19 142:25 149:4 150:14,16,19 151:4 155:17 155:23 156:12 156:16 164:3 166:21 170:4,6 180:13,17,22 182:17 183:14 184:11,12 185:11,23 186:6,9 191:18 192:19,24 206:1 210:18 210:19 215:15 216:10,13 224:8,14,16,18 225:8,9 227:13 227:20 228:17</p>	<p>229:6 depths 114:23 207:19 210:4 210:13 214:12 215:18,20 216:7 224:10 derived 213:5 deriving 209:1 descendant 197:12 describe 155:22 194:19 described 104:17 154:17 describes 227:13 describing 164:12 167:16 description 8:2 9:2 10:2 18:9 115:3,6 140:7 155:23 156:5,7 156:16,21,21 157:3,4,5,24,25 158:2,3,22,25 165:24 227:5 227:25 228:6,8 228:25 descriptions 166:22 desk 131:13 243:25 detail 72:20 99:24 168:22</p>	<p>details 44:1 190:24 220:21 determined 190:25 198:6 determines 118:23 develop 52:10 109:9 110:14 125:19 126:8 developed 109:21 127:9 135:24 136:1 154:11 developing 108:19 112:16 127:3,6,7 128:16 135:23 152:17 development 9:14 43:18 108:20 120:18 121:8 127:13 128:11 168:19 169:21 developments 168:2 devil 46:11 devon 29:6,7 30:19 dhb 88:20 diagram 107:2 108:5 130:23 dictate 127:13 dies 191:20</p>
---	---	--	---

[difference - docket]

<p>difference 31:21 50:18 53:16 55:7 107:3 172:25 183:15 184:20 185:23 210:5</p> <p>differences 30:19 48:25</p> <p>different 103:1 114:13 118:23 118:24 119:10 119:11 136:9 137:3 138:5,10 138:10 150:9 150:25 151:19 159:7 168:2,19 168:24 170:20 172:10 224:18</p> <p>differently 138:13 141:11</p> <p>digital 245:8 246:3</p> <p>diligence 129:6</p> <p>dip 184:16 186:2</p> <p>dire 91:10</p> <p>direct 62:22 77:16 86:24 87:13 88:23 105:8 108:14 109:1,9,25 110:5,11 111:8 111:10 112:1 113:1 116:19 129:13 140:12</p>	<p>143:10 145:13 153:20 158:14 162:21,25 168:14,17 170:10,16 171:3 173:13 174:20,22 175:4,16 177:2 181:16 186:18 194:8 195:20 197:15 206:19 207:1,7 221:12</p> <p>directed 72:13 223:5</p> <p>directing 78:16</p> <p>direction 136:23 184:20</p> <p>director 60:21 61:1,18 62:7 62:10</p> <p>disconnect 37:16</p> <p>discuss 51:1 62:4 165:25 202:2,8 223:16</p> <p>discussed 143:22 147:25 168:3,25 203:3</p> <p>discussing 159:5</p> <p>discussion 54:22 131:16 131:22 199:14 226:7</p>	<p>discussions 34:18</p> <p>dismiss 59:10 68:12</p> <p>dismissal 40:25</p> <p>dismissed 69:8</p> <p>dismissing 68:2 68:10</p> <p>disposition 60:22 61:4 63:1,14</p> <p>dispute 201:21 205:11</p> <p>disputed 187:14 188:11 190:23</p> <p>distance 105:20 105:23 159:17 167:17 168:18 169:4 170:20</p> <p>distances 106:11 107:16</p> <p>distinctions 158:10 167:24</p> <p>distributing 118:25</p> <p>distribution 191:10 196:25 197:5,13 217:14</p> <p>district 69:11 205:12</p> <p>divided 193:21</p> <p>division 1:3,7 2:2 7:4,7,10</p>	<p>11:5,10,15 44:12 60:21 61:1,18 62:5,6 62:24,25 63:10 63:10 64:7,11 64:12,15,23 65:2 67:9 69:2 84:11 96:13,19 98:2,19 99:21 102:22 104:5 134:25 135:8 136:7,18 143:5 146:2 157:22 166:11 171:6 171:11,13 173:6,25 178:11 179:11 187:24 188:16 188:20 190:11 200:10 203:2 204:13 205:7 206:1,6 220:23 221:14,19 228:23 229:2,8 233:8</p> <p>division's 54:10 62:12 63:1,13 136:23 229:4</p> <p>docket 1:5 18:18,23 19:6 23:2 25:14 26:12 28:6 36:14 40:21 44:15 47:19 48:12 55:25</p>
---	--	--	--

[docket - employed]

<p>57:3 241:18 242:13 docketed 12:6 docketing 8:12 12:6,14,19,21 14:14 17:1 dockets 11:4 document 69:24 70:5,8 70:10 74:15 75:13 76:11,12 77:22 78:19 90:14,23 91:1 91:1,12 92:6 97:22 99:16 100:10 101:20 195:14,21 196:15,22 197:14 198:2,6 198:14 199:3,7 201:12 202:15 203:3 205:2 206:21,23 209:14 215:25 216:1 217:22 223:3,4 227:19 227:25 228:2,3 228:10 229:15 document's 229:12 documentation 143:4 215:9 documents 113:10 191:6 199:23 202:13</p>	<p>203:8 216:24 229:3 doing 41:8 42:18 101:20 116:12 139:3 183:25 205:17 209:7,10 239:7 239:8 243:23 don 3:5 double 45:14 45:15,16 85:17 149:20 downdip 184:10 dozen 210:14 draft 15:12 17:8 233:12 237:1 drafted 88:1,2 drafting 234:20 drainage 117:17 219:24 drained 219:17 drill 51:10 52:9 52:25 54:3 120:20 121:10 121:13 122:2,6 122:13 123:23 124:4,6 125:5 133:14 170:4,5 173:21 176:12 176:16 219:18 drilled 133:15 142:18</p>	<p>drilling 96:3 122:10 125:10 172:16,18 211:8 drive 2:6 151:15 dropped 21:8 due 63:5 129:6 191:2 230:22 239:14 duly 16:3 84:3 177:18 189:14 245:5 duty 66:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">e</p> <hr/> <p>e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1 4:1 5:1,1 6:1,1 7:1,1 8:1 9:1 10:1,14 11:1,1 11:23,24,24 12:4,7,21,24 20:20,24 29:8 76:14 91:15 92:5 94:23 95:19 104:21 160:2,5 198:16 201:10,11,12 202:25 204:10 222:16,19 223:3 240:19 earlier 34:23 138:8 152:25 208:20 209:5 215:12 217:3</p>	<p>early 51:10 202:14,22 east 126:8 eastern 183:2 184:25 185:4 echo 37:11 38:21 49:11 economic 53:2 eddy 159:9 169:19 172:8 197:5 edge 162:14 educated 166:11 efficient 62:14 effort 120:21 121:14 efforts 128:6 eight 153:6 193:11,11 either 22:3,10 51:4 52:11 61:20 73:1 76:22 206:19 223:21 242:21 elicit 166:10 else's 29:14 emnrd.nm.gov 2:8 employed 84:25 178:5 188:2 189:24 245:11,14 246:8,11</p>
---	--	--	--

[employee - examine]

<p>employee 128:9 245:13 246:10</p> <p>encompassing 138:25</p> <p>encounter 192:19</p> <p>encourages 23:15</p> <p>ends 228:18 238:21</p> <p>energy 1:2 2:4 3:2,10,14 5:2 6:2,2 8:7 11:11 13:10 19:15 24:6 29:3 32:3 32:9 42:7,12 50:8</p> <p>enforce 220:24</p> <p>engineer 82:8 116:22 135:19</p> <p>engineers 109:2 110:6</p> <p>enter 14:5,15 20:5 23:1 24:14,25 25:1 32:11 33:6,7 33:11 37:6 46:21 47:11 50:1,10</p> <p>entered 22:21 29:14 32:13 33:17 37:1 64:20 92:14 203:24</p>	<p>entering 24:23 33:3 48:5</p> <p>enters 64:11</p> <p>entertain 221:14</p> <p>entire 110:9,21 111:21 112:16 117:2 139:1,4 150:11 151:15 163:24 164:12 164:20 197:24 232:17 243:21</p> <p>entirely 209:14 209:14</p> <p>entirety 192:22 214:16 225:10</p> <p>entitled 191:9</p> <p>entrance 11:12 17:20 19:9 24:4 31:18 32:21 42:1 49:15</p> <p>entries 57:14</p> <p>entry 36:15</p> <p>eog 4:2</p> <p>equal 148:21</p> <p>equation 218:3</p> <p>equitable 125:3</p> <p>equivalent 141:4 184:16 186:9</p> <p>error 141:2 196:7 210:9</p> <p>es 245:4</p>	<p>especially 81:9 229:7 237:8</p> <p>esquire 2:3,14 3:3,12 4:4,13 5:3,11,19 6:4 6:12,19</p> <p>essence 53:23 233:10</p> <p>essentially 53:1 145:19 184:3 210:4,25 213:5 213:15,23 217:7 219:17</p> <p>establish 187:17</p> <p>established 135:15,18</p> <p>estate 191:12 191:21 218:21 218:23,24</p> <p>estimates 180:6</p> <p>estimation 192:2</p> <p>et 200:11,11</p> <p>evaluate 93:21 199:21 204:4</p> <p>evaluated 127:2</p> <p>evaluation 201:3</p> <p>evd 8:2 9:2 10:2</p> <p>evening 12:22</p> <p>everybody 126:1</p>	<p>evidence 13:6 14:22,24 15:5 16:9 71:16,18 73:2 79:14,16 81:11 84:18 86:22 97:24 124:19 142:4 166:10 171:25 188:14 196:4 196:18 200:1,5 200:6,7 202:21 203:16 219:24 222:6 239:14</p> <p>exact 106:16 113:15 152:12 152:13 156:15 158:3 211:10 231:12</p> <p>exactly 44:18 53:3 103:22 106:7 127:21 130:8 145:11 198:9 236:6</p> <p>exam 86:24,25 221:12</p> <p>examination 87:2 99:4 158:14,16 162:24 175:2 179:17 188:5 190:19,25 194:8,8</p> <p>examine 81:12 82:9 174:24 200:5</p>
--	---	--	--

[examined - examiner]

<p>examined 16:5 82:7 84:5 177:20 179:19 189:16</p> <p>examiner 1:19 7:3,6 11:2,6,7 11:16,18,21,25 12:10,13,18,23 13:4,17,21 14:3,19,25 15:4,10,20,24 16:6 17:5,6,10 17:11,14,17,22 17:24 18:16,25 19:11,13,16,20 19:24 20:3,8,9 20:12,15,18 21:4,10,17,20 22:8,11,19 23:3,7,13,18,21 23:24 24:6,8 24:10,13,18,22 24:24 25:3,7 25:13,17,21 26:10,17 27:4 27:8,11,14,18 27:24 28:4,11 28:14,17,19,22 29:5,7,10,12,18 29:24 30:4,7 30:11,13,17,25 31:5,10,12,19 31:23 32:2,5,8 32:10,16,19,24 32:25 33:1,5,9</p>	<p>33:14,16,20,24 34:1,15,24 35:3,12,16,20 36:1,4,6,7,11 36:17,19,24 37:3,5,8,11,14 37:19,21 38:2 38:6,9,13,18,23 39:5,11,15,19 40:8,12,22 41:2,7,15,19,23 42:3,5,8,11,13 42:17,22 43:5 43:9,11,21 44:6,13,17,21 45:9,12,16,22 45:25 46:6,7 46:10,14,16,20 46:24 47:2,5,7 47:10,13,20 48:2,3,9,15,22 49:4,7,10,17,20 49:22,24 50:3 50:6,7,9,12,17 50:20,25 51:15 51:20 52:1,14 52:16,17 53:6 53:10 54:8,17 54:21,24 55:4 55:12,14,18,19 56:2,6,10,13,19 57:2,5,16,19,21 57:23 58:1,18 58:24 59:4 60:7,11,16,21</p>	<p>61:1,14,17,18 62:3,7,12,21,25 63:10,10,21 64:7,12 65:4,8 65:9,12,13,17 65:19 66:1,5,8 66:13,19 67:5 67:12,16,18,20 67:22 68:4,11 68:17,22 69:12 69:19 70:2,22 71:3,7,10,14,19 71:23 72:10,16 72:23 73:6,10 73:11,13,18,24 74:4,14,19,24 75:6,11,16,21 75:25 76:6,10 76:19,22 77:1 77:7,9,15,20 78:1,3,7,11,15 78:25 79:5,9 79:17,20 80:1 80:9,15,19,25 81:16,18,19 82:5,10,13,15 82:18,21,23 83:3,6,10,17,22 84:6,10,13,15 84:22 86:17,20 86:23 87:3 89:24 90:11,12 90:18,25 91:5 91:14,18,24 92:4,7,9 96:12</p>	<p>96:18,25 97:3 97:21,24 98:13 98:22 99:7,13 99:18 100:1,7 100:18,21 101:8,11,17,23 102:5,11,20 103:5,12,17 104:5,9 105:2 105:5 117:24 118:2,7,9,14 119:3,6,21 120:5 121:23 122:14,25 123:14,16,20 124:10 129:16 129:21,24 130:4,6,10 132:17,19 133:1,8 135:7 135:10,11 136:2 139:13 139:17 143:21 145:3,15,18 146:4,10 153:16,18,23 156:24 157:11 157:16 158:4 159:7 160:23 161:3,6,7,11,18 161:21,24 162:3,7,11,15 162:18 163:2,5 163:9 165:7,13 165:21 166:4</p>
--	--	---	--

[examiner - exhibits]

167:14 168:5 168:12 169:1 169:11,18,22 170:11,25 171:6,18 172:3 172:6,13,20 173:3,9,14,17 174:2,10,17 175:10,24 176:3,8,23 177:4,5,10,14 177:21,25 179:8,12,15,24 181:6,9,12,15 184:1 186:15 186:17,21,24 187:2,5,9,21,25 188:6,12,15,17 188:22 189:1,4 189:9,17,22 190:1,8,13 194:6,10,14 195:5,17 196:8 196:12,20 197:2,7,16 198:1,12,17,22 199:2,11,13,15 199:18 200:17 200:20 201:17 201:23 202:18 203:11 204:6 205:13,18,21 206:12,15,16 219:20,25 220:4,14,17,19	221:7,9,23 222:3,18,22,25 223:7,12,13,18 223:20 224:1 227:19 232:22 232:25 233:5 233:14,19,20 234:1,4,12,16 234:25 235:3 235:13,16,20 236:2,4,7,10,17 237:6,7,15,20 238:2,13,22 239:9,12,21 240:5,8 241:5 241:9,13,20 242:6,10,17,22 243:1,5,11,20 243:24 244:2 examiner's 62:6,24 168:1 examiners 18:1 59:24 70:6 241:16 example 91:4 105:20 122:7 164:21 examples 122:8 excellent 32:20 70:23 234:11 234:12 except 37:24 109:21 110:25 236:1	excised 240:12 excluded 134:3 193:25 exclusion 135:1 197:24 excuse 38:19 48:2,4 49:7 129:25 178:4 181:20 185:9 186:22 203:8 227:12 230:25 excused 177:8 executed 66:3 exhausted 69:10 exhibit 8:3,4,6 8:8,11,14,14,16 8:16,19,20,20 8:23,23 9:3,3,6 9:6,9,9,13,13 9:17,17,21,21 9:24 10:3,3,6,6 10:9,9,12,12,14 14:6,7,8,11,13 14:17,23 16:9 16:12,22 17:1 58:15 70:16,25 71:1,15,17 74:6,8,20,22 75:2,3,4,8,9,12 75:17,22 76:13 76:14,25 77:2 77:25 78:8,10 78:12,18,21 79:13,15 80:4	85:18,19,20 86:7,21 90:13 90:19,20 91:7 91:12,12 92:18 92:19 100:15 105:8 108:15 129:14,17 131:8 136:17 136:18 139:22 139:22 140:9 143:11 144:25 145:10,14 146:17 148:7 149:2 150:6 152:23 156:9 181:20 182:8 195:3,6,9,11,20 195:21 196:2 196:23 201:15 202:10 203:5 204:21,22,22 204:23,23 206:20 207:2 221:3 222:11 225:15,20,23 227:17 229:18 229:22 230:8,9 230:9,10,12 231:5,8,24 232:6,6,9 234:21 236:14 exhibits 14:4,6 14:9,21 15:4 15:14,15,16 58:5 62:16
--	---	--	--

[exhibits - favor]

70:3,6,9,9,11 70:15,23 71:12 72:3,4,14 73:9 75:17,20 76:1 76:5 77:12,17 77:22 78:19 79:1,21 84:19 85:24 86:11,14 86:18 88:24 90:22 91:4 93:20 127:21 130:16 142:7 143:11 145:6 145:21 151:11 154:21 160:20 178:21,22 179:1,5 200:1 200:9,10,22 203:7,10 221:21 222:8 223:21 exist 116:6 existence 215:24 existing 143:1 143:6 exists 113:18 expand 147:2 expect 30:23 174:5 240:13 expedite 97:10 147:5 239:25 expedited 234:11	experience 106:9,10,21 128:15 expert 84:11 85:5 117:8 187:18 190:2 190:11 expertise 120:8 220:5 expiration 54:4 expires 54:16 expiring 51:9 explain 31:21 190:24 192:15 233:19 239:22 explained 100:12 118:15 explanation 60:14 136:4 explore 81:13 extent 107:19 154:17 extremely 200:25 208:13 eyes 237:18 f f 6:3 9:17 57:9 57:22 66:12 67:4 79:1 88:4 88:5 89:12,12 89:15,22 90:9 90:16 92:15,20 109:11 115:10 126:10,15 127:12,14	128:5,9,14,15 128:19,23 129:3,10 131:17 148:15 148:20,21,25 149:18 150:11 152:6,7,10 153:11 154:9 159:19 160:15 160:18 177:24 188:2 189:23 189:25 231:18 f's 88:13 109:9 109:21 126:10 126:18,23 127:8 129:7 130:24 149:25 150:13 151:11 152:9 face 193:5 218:1 fact 98:10 132:22,23,24 133:2 135:7 155:15 165:11 167:10 196:14 215:1,10 239:8 factor 95:21 174:16 facts 167:4 170:22 172:24 239:13 factual 99:10 failed 115:18	fair 105:3,17 105:18 108:18 108:24 125:3 163:25 166:9 211:6 238:25 fairness 211:14 faith 95:6 familiar 58:15 85:7,10 87:16 89:7 105:16 106:18 107:19 107:22,24 113:25 114:14 116:21 117:10 155:24 163:16 165:20 178:14 178:17 184:5 206:24 fane 6:20 fantastic 24:18 79:9 far 13:9 21:6 21:24 105:25 106:16 114:2 153:9 159:13 178:20 200:13 212:8 242:3 farmout 212:21 213:13 fasken 6:18 19:21 22:22,24 23:16 faster 117:25 favor 8:19 65:10 234:18
--	---	---	--

[favor - finalized]

<p>234:18 fayne 19:21 fe 1:3,21 2:7,17 3:6 4:7,16 5:14 5:22 6:7,22 17:22 19:11,14 19:21 24:10 29:5 42:3 46:14 57:16 164:5 february 1:17 11:3 57:13 70:12 71:6,16 72:4 74:25 75:22 79:12 98:11 102:3 104:7,8 162:19 189:3 202:3 203:22 208:15 federal 96:20 97:8 107:11 182:10 185:3,8 185:14,20,24 191:5 208:7,9 212:25 213:23 214:5,8,22 217:2,24 feedback 38:4 feel 30:1 52:12 172:9 174:21 224:10 229:14 233:6,7 234:8 235:9 238:24 240:2</p>	<p>feeling 36:20 37:15 46:8,10 75:18 feels 171:21 feet 113:17 114:8,9,17,24 114:24 115:21 115:24 116:16 140:19 141:7 142:14,19 175:20 176:10 176:22 180:5 180:10,18,18 180:19 181:1 183:12,17 184:12,25 185:12,18 186:7,8 207:20 207:20 210:6,6 210:8,8,18,20 215:16,19,19 216:7,8,10,14 216:14 228:19 243:25 feetage 142:16 fell 131:24 felt 63:18 field 84:13 220:5 fifteen 130:9 fifth 207:12 figure 184:1 209:1 file 18:14 40:25 41:3,11,12</p>	<p>42:14 55:10 64:3,8 66:2 70:17 72:2 75:19,22 78:18 90:6 94:22 96:9 129:7 150:6 164:8 188:16 189:2 195:3,20 196:3 200:22 203:2,5 203:6,10 204:9 204:20,23 205:11,15,15 221:15 222:19 222:24 223:4 224:25 234:21 236:21 241:11 241:24 243:10 filed 13:14 21:13 26:24 27:2 40:3 43:7 50:15 56:7 59:11 61:2 65:6,23,24 66:4 67:3 69:4 70:8,11,25 71:4,16,20 72:1 73:21 75:1,2,7 77:2,5 77:8,14 78:13 79:2,11 85:8 89:23 92:13 93:3 94:1 95:25 96:2,6,6 96:22 98:6,9</p>	<p>98:10 100:24 101:5,7,13 103:23 104:16 109:11 155:4 157:2,7 163:17 163:20 164:8 164:16 168:9 178:15 187:24 190:2,3,7,9 195:2 197:5 198:3 203:17 203:21 205:9 219:7 222:11 222:13,20 240:19 241:16 242:25 243:15 files 64:16 filing 28:9 39:23 40:13,16 40:20 43:4 73:3,8 75:1 76:3,4,12,17 77:19 94:23 102:10 194:24 filings 58:6 73:25 74:11 99:22 fill 48:16 214:21 218:4 final 21:22 29:1 43:13 44:22 67:8 68:25,25 69:2 finalized 22:17 42:21</p>
---	--	--	---

[financial - further]

<p>financial 13:13 14:1 financially 245:15 246:11 find 21:17 59:20 68:18 100:20 145:12 145:13 169:23 169:24 181:18 199:20 204:16 221:25 223:20 finding 204:3 findings 239:8 fine 22:10,14 23:6 78:11 161:5,10,24 189:2 234:15 237:23 finish 81:2,8 211:20 241:18 finished 172:4 200:18 205:16 firm 27:13 first 9:15 16:3 34:25 43:1 56:14 57:14 59:6,6 60:20 77:11 81:4,13 83:2 84:3 100:13 105:21 106:1,4,14 107:4,21 111:17 137:11 141:11 159:24 166:17 177:18</p>	<p>179:25 189:14 207:2 209:19 212:15 fit 53:5 201:12 five 38:14 83:7 88:8 123:6,12 143:14 237:5 238:23 fixed 38:21 flagged 186:3 flows 105:1 focused 168:17 focusing 147:8 follow 66:20 103:25 176:7 179:21 194:13 followed 137:10,19 241:3 following 54:22 60:17 61:19 87:9 follows 16:5 84:5 177:20 189:16 foot 115:25 138:8,9 141:5 183:1 210:19 228:19 footage 141:14 164:18 footages 106:7 106:17 force 147:14 183:16 229:8</p>	<p>foregoing 245:3,4 246:4 forfeiture 13:25 forgive 22:14 form 93:16 148:3 197:19 221:17 formation 111:19,20 113:17 139:1 150:12 164:13 164:20,22 184:17 192:20 193:1,5,7 201:22 205:3,4 206:4 216:11 formations 164:17 183:1 formula 125:7 125:10 192:21 206:7 221:15 formulas 173:21 205:23 forward 28:2 56:24 found 140:14 142:4,10 143:11 foundation 118:22 122:23 four 32:9,11,12 33:6 35:23 36:12 57:6,6 81:4 88:8</p>	<p>110:13 127:9 130:23 153:6 fourth 96:8 francis 2:6 free 224:10 freya 7:9 23:8 25:19 26:12,18 28:5 34:5 35:14 41:8 44:14 48:19 92:6 240:9 241:6 freya's 34:4 friday 240:10 front 104:11 113:10 146:15 181:19 206:24 221:20 227:17 froze 225:16 fruitful 44:3 full 9:13 22:17 26:12,14 62:8 84:25 121:10 166:9 188:3 192:6 200:3,4 230:16 236:18 fully 113:3 114:11 further 17:4,12 23:19 28:15 31:7,8 35:24 36:2,5 41:16 45:20 48:17 49:1 55:16 103:20 153:16</p>
--	---	--	--

[further - going]

<p>158:13 166:25 168:22 185:22 201:20 221:7 221:21 222:15 229:2 239:5 245:13 246:9 furthest 21:25 future 9:16</p>	<p>165:19,24 227:5 generally 63:4 generous 239:2 geologic 134:20 135:19,25 geological 58:17 117:16 134:23 167:24 geologist 10:8 58:12,23 77:5 82:7 116:20,23 116:23 117:2 117:15 118:13 119:24,25,25 124:21 125:12 141:15 170:10 175:25 176:5,9 176:21 181:11 219:23 231:9 geologists 82:17 109:1 110:6 216:12 geology 53:1 77:5 117:8 168:20 169:7 169:10 172:19 geoscience 178:8 getting 47:16 99:23 138:12 147:11,18 168:22 give 12:16 13:5 31:3 45:2,3,18</p>	<p>53:12,18 61:1 64:3 73:3 85:17 103:10 153:3 178:3 193:7 given 138:5 154:7 166:20 244:4 gives 238:24 gmail.com 6:15 go 11:21 13:4 15:8,18 18:20 19:18 22:2 26:6,8 28:2 43:12 47:24 49:14,25 50:22 51:16 55:8 56:24 65:22 77:10 80:20 81:9 83:6 84:22 87:18 92:3 98:15 99:24 104:3,14 105:5 118:5 126:8 130:7,14 142:23,24 147:2,12 155:10,14 156:9 163:2,13 164:2 166:25 167:5 174:4 176:8 179:25 182:2 183:4 188:22 194:14 205:15 208:11</p>	<p>209:7,9 211:20 211:21 215:7 218:12,21 224:3,11 226:16 230:13 233:22 238:4 240:23 241:9 goes 75:7 92:2 99:8 going 11:23 13:22 20:22 21:14,21 29:12 29:13 30:2 36:22 38:11 40:9,16 55:8 56:20 59:15 60:24 67:8 69:1 70:15 80:21 82:1,25 89:16 94:21 99:20 100:9 101:5 103:5 104:1,25 109:1 109:15 112:3 119:1,15 122:23 123:4,8 123:9,11 124:11,14,15 124:17 127:13 130:3,7 132:20 133:15 136:3 136:15 141:12 146:12 148:4 155:10,20 159:24 161:12</p>
g			
<p>g 4:13 9:21 11:1,24 gap 218:7,8 gaps 191:7 214:18,21 218:3,4 gas 64:25 90:8 92:17 120:16 122:22 138:21 gaspar 3:5 gather 222:6 gebremichael 7:6 241:13,14 241:21 242:2,8 243:16,18,22 244:1 gemini 45:13 45:14 46:23 geminis 45:15 47:9 general 2:5 96:3,3 155:23 156:5,7,21 157:2,4,5,24 158:2,22 164:22 165:3</p>			

[going - head]

<p>166:7 167:7,8 170:8 171:7 175:13 177:12 182:15 183:4 190:23 195:19 196:21 201:2 203:15 204:19 204:21,24 207:8 220:21 221:21,24 222:7 223:4 224:4,25 229:4 234:8,19 236:20 238:23 239:15,18 241:10 242:23</p> <p>good 11:16 15:23,24 17:21 17:24 19:10,13 19:16 24:5,8,9 25:14,18 29:4 29:19 31:24 32:1,7,16,19 34:2 36:16,25 37:2,5 42:2,5 42:10,25 43:9 45:25 46:5,13 46:20 47:21 48:15,24 49:17 49:21 50:5,12 56:6,13 57:15 57:20,24 58:1 61:18 70:2 71:11,14,23 72:16 74:20</p>	<p>78:16 80:10,19 81:20 95:6 161:8,12,19 176:2 177:11 187:6 189:10 189:18,23 190:9 194:15 218:6 221:9,23 223:23 224:3,4 225:14 226:11 231:7,14 236:11 238:3 240:4 244:1</p> <p>good's 162:17 gotten 149:14 granted 179:16 great 18:24 30:25 35:22 70:22</p> <p>gregory 1:19 11:5</p> <p>grew 47:7 gross 193:14,17 group 3:14 24:7 56:22 59:6</p> <p>guadalupe 2:16 guess 15:3,7 26:5 27:2 39:1 82:17 107:17 137:18 141:11 141:18 151:14 179:18 184:5 195:23 206:20 212:3,13 227:8</p>	<p>227:18 229:14 229:16 230:7 230:13</p> <p>guise 95:16 gunbarrel 9:14 guys 110:4</p> <hr/> <p>h</p> <hr/> <p>h 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:24 84:9 94:17,17 105:9 107:2 134:2 156:2,4 189:21</p> <p>half 94:17,17 94:18,18,24,24 94:25 134:2,2 134:7,8,8,9,10 134:10,13,13 136:12,13 137:6,6,10,11 137:16,17,20 137:20,20,21 137:24,25,25 138:4,4,18,19 138:24,24 141:25 144:4,4 144:7,8 154:8 154:9,13,13 187:16,16 192:3,3 202:6 202:7,16,16 214:12 217:8,9 219:3,3,4,4 226:2,3 232:14 232:14,14,15</p>	<p>hand 11:8 15:25 83:25 177:15 178:1 189:11,18 216:9 230:16 231:5 232:13</p> <p>handle 25:15 159:16</p> <p>hanging 240:23 happen 55:13 70:16 87:9</p> <p>happens 70:14 193:3,3</p> <p>happy 132:3 233:8</p> <p>hard 166:8</p> <p>harmonize 127:8</p> <p>hart 2:15 17:23 19:12 24:11 29:6 42:4 46:15 57:17 164:5,7</p> <p>hatley 4:4 24:22,22 25:1 25:5 28:15,17 32:22,24,25 33:2,3,7 35:15 35:16 36:8,10 48:2,4,5 49:21 49:22 50:1,2</p> <p>head 21:16 72:20 114:10 143:18 159:16 164:15 183:25</p>
---	---	---	--

[head - hearing]

206:9	27:4,8,11,14,18	51:3,5,14,15,20	78:3,7,11,15,25
hear 18:21 23:3	27:24 28:1,3,4	52:1,14,17	79:5,9,11,17,19
38:7 54:10,11	28:11,14,19,22	53:6,10,13	79:20 80:1,7,9
61:7 65:2	29:4,7,10,12,18	54:8,17,21,24	80:15,19,25
73:14,14 87:10	29:24 30:4,6,7	55:4,14,19	81:13,16,18,19
120:6 225:17	30:11,17,20,25	56:2,5,6,10,13	82:5,10,13,15
225:19 236:20	31:5,6,10,12,23	56:19 57:2,5	82:18,21,23
heard 26:4	32:5,10,16,19	57:11,15,19,20	83:3,6,10,17,22
27:12 28:7	32:24 33:1,5,9	57:23 58:1,18	84:6,10,13,15
49:11 64:14	33:14,16,20,24	58:24 59:4,12	84:22 85:14
65:1 70:19	34:1,7,13,15,24	59:14,15,23	86:17,20,23
171:25 177:1	35:3,12,20,22	60:7,11,16,23	87:3 89:24
241:2	36:1,4,7,11,17	61:14,17,19,21	90:11,12,18,25
hearing 1:5,16	36:19,24 37:5	62:3,21,24	91:5,14,18,24
1:19 11:2,6,16	37:8,10,14,19	63:9,11,21,23	92:4,7,9 93:7,8
11:18,21,25	37:21 38:2,6,9	64:7,11,17	93:13 94:2
12:2,10,13,18	38:13,18,23	65:4,8,9,11,12	96:12,18,25
12:23 13:4,17	39:5,8,11,15,19	65:13,16,19,21	97:3,21,24
13:21,23 14:3	40:6,8,12,22	66:1,5,8,13,19	98:7,10,13,22
14:19,21,25	41:2,5,7,9,13	67:5,8,12,15,16	99:1,3,7,13,18
15:4,10,20,24	41:15,19,23	67:18,19,20,22	99:25 100:1,7
16:6 17:5,6,9	42:2,8,10,13,17	68:2,4,10,11,17	100:18,21,25
17:11,14,17,19	42:22 43:1,5,9	68:22 69:12,19	101:5,7,8,11,17
17:21,24 18:16	43:11,21 44:6	70:2,4,14,22	101:23 102:5
18:25 19:8,10	44:13,17,21,25	71:3,7,10,14,19	102:11,20
19:16,20,23,24	45:5,8,9,12,16	71:23 72:10,12	103:5,12,17
20:3,9,12,15,18	45:22,25 46:6	72:16,22,23	104:4,9 105:2
21:5,10,17,20	46:7,10,14,16	73:6,10,11,13	105:5,9 108:1
22:8,11,16,18	46:20,24 47:2	73:18,24 74:4	111:11 117:24
22:19 23:7,12	47:5,7,10,13,20	74:11,14,19,24	118:2,6,9,14
23:13,18,21,22	48:3,9,12,15,19	75:1,6,11,16,21	119:3,6,21
23:24 24:8,9	48:22 49:4,7	75:25 76:3,6	120:5 121:22
24:13,18,24	49:10,20,24	76:10,12,17,19	121:23 122:14
25:3,7,13,17,21	50:3,6,7,9,12	76:22 77:1,7,9	122:25 123:14
26:1,8,10,17	50:17,20,23	77:15,20 78:1	123:16,19

[hearing - holliday]

124:10 129:16 129:21,24 130:4,6,10 131:3 132:10 132:15,17,19 133:1,8 135:7 135:10,11 136:2,20 139:13,16 143:21 145:3 145:15,17 146:1,4,10 147:6,9 153:16 153:18,23 156:24 157:11 157:16 158:4 159:7 160:23 161:3,5,7,11,16 161:18,21,24 162:3,7,11,15 162:18,20 163:2,5,9 165:7,13,21 166:4 167:14 168:5,9,12,15 169:1,11,18,22 170:11,25 171:6,18,25 172:3,6,13,20 173:3,9,14,16 174:2,10,17 175:10,24 176:3,8,23 177:3,5,10,14 177:21,25	178:23 179:8 179:12,15,24 181:6,9,12,14 186:15,17,21 186:24 187:2,5 187:9,21,25 188:6,12,14,16 188:17,22 189:1,2,4,5,9 189:17,22 190:1,8,13 194:6,10,14 195:4,5,17,21 196:5,8,12,20 197:2,7,16 198:1,10,12,17 198:22 199:2,5 199:11,13,15 199:18 200:9 200:14,17,20 200:21,21 201:16,17,23 202:4,8,12,15 202:18,20 203:1,7,9,11,15 203:16,24 204:3,6,16 205:13,18,21 206:12,14,16 219:20,25 220:4,14,16,19 221:7,9,23 222:3,5,18,21 222:25 223:7 223:11,13,18	223:20 224:1 227:19 232:22 232:25 233:5 233:14,18,20 234:1,4,12,16 234:25 235:3 235:13,16,20 236:2,4,7,10,17 237:6,7,15,20 238:2,13,22 239:9,12,21 240:5,8 241:5 241:9,20 242:6 242:10,17,18 242:20,22 243:1,5,11,20 243:21,24 244:2 hearing's 62:14 63:12 hearings 18:6 60:18 62:8,20 200:2 206:1 heir 218:23 held 63:6 64:12 help 23:16 93:11 204:13 helped 21:11 helpful 167:1,8 171:22 174:21 220:8 hereto 245:15 246:11 hesitate 87:11	hey 38:14 hi 194:15 highlight 155:21 hinkle 5:20 hinklelawfir... 5:23 hire 128:3 hired 128:5,14 188:3 hitting 92:2 hold 34:3 37:25 62:8 74:12 101:17 103:17 129:25 145:4 162:7 172:3 188:23 195:17 201:24 218:16 hole 105:21,25 106:5 107:3 holland 2:15 17:23 19:12 24:11 29:6 42:4 46:15 57:17 164:5,7 hollandhart.c... 2:18 holliday 3:12 3:14 24:5,6,6 24:19 25:9,12 25:16,22,24 26:19,22 27:6 27:10,13,17,19 27:25 28:8,13 29:2,2,20 30:1
--	---	---	---

[holliday - interest]

<p>30:5,9,15,22 31:4,7,8 honest 208:18 hope 91:22 167:9 hopefully 51:13 153:22 hopes 21:6 horizontal 181:23 hour 174:25 huge 53:16 99:3 hundred 121:2 hung 243:20 hydrocarbon 182:5 hydrocarbons 182:15 hypothetically 170:1,21,23</p>	<p>immaterial 200:8 immediately 64:8 204:20 impeach 100:4 100:6 impeaching 100:15 101:12 implicitly 170:19 implied 209:6 implies 101:3 important 102:16 impose 14:1 inactive 13:10 include 66:17 66:21 67:2 74:20 93:20 111:21 129:6 144:13 145:6 146:1 206:1 222:12 224:14 224:16 226:23 227:4 232:5 included 78:12 94:25 144:6 157:20 178:22 includes 79:12 206:6 237:22 238:7 including 8:20 8:23 62:15 88:4,5 227:14</p>	<p>incorporated 40:4 incorporating 213:9 incorrect 199:8 incriminating 91:23 independently 138:6 indicate 62:24 indicated 196:16 indication 238:24 individual 12:5 12:9,15 191:13 218:17 information 8:10,13 9:19 12:19 13:1,3 14:12,14 16:19 16:23 17:2 34:3 47:21 57:1 60:2 106:22 108:10 209:23 221:20 228:23 informing 110:8 infringe 126:9 initially 43:16 initiating 157:23 initiative 87:22</p>	<p>inkling 191:24 inquiry 165:15 instance 188:8 instances 124:5 192:21 instructing 201:5 instrument 202:5 218:9 instruments 211:9 213:6 214:8 insufficient 166:21 intend 147:14 intended 210:12 intends 182:2 intent 146:22 162:16 219:12 219:13 223:17 interest 8:18 40:17 61:3 88:2,11,13,16 88:21 89:3,18 89:21 90:16 92:21 114:8 119:18 126:13 126:20 127:16 128:7 129:2 131:18 136:12 137:13,21 138:16 139:25 141:24 142:11 144:7,8,18</p>
i			
<p>idea 43:24 166:20 176:2 identification 14:18 71:2 74:9 85:21 identified 39:23 186:7 identifies 120:11 identify 145:12 230:13 232:11 illustrate 124:9</p>			

[interest - it'll]

145:21 146:12 146:12,16 148:19,20,23 148:25 149:1,6 149:10,11,16 149:18 150:16 150:25 151:11 151:18 152:7,9 152:20,24 153:3,4,10,11 154:3,4,7,13,17 154:19 187:13 187:15,19 188:11 190:19 191:14,17 192:2,7,11,12 192:25 193:3,8 193:11,13,24 195:13,16 196:5 197:10 197:15,25 198:7 199:6 201:22 202:6 205:3 206:22 207:17,20,23 207:25 208:1 209:2,7,13,17 210:1,2,13,14 210:23,24 211:3,4 213:8 214:2,11,20,23 214:24 215:1,5 215:10,14,25 216:12,16,18 217:2,17,24	218:8,10,13,14 218:16,20 219:6,16 222:23 225:24 226:5,8 231:25 232:13,17 interested 61:4 61:20 109:4 110:5 232:16 245:15 246:12 interesting 33:16,20 243:23 interests 139:21 141:20 144:14 146:21 148:8,9 150:9 154:7 203:25 211:4,10,25 216:5 229:9 230:17 interjecting 199:19 interlocutory 60:13 64:3 67:7 68:21 69:17,20 interposed 62:17 interpretation 94:22 95:5,20 121:4 interrupt 48:5 interruption 54:1 225:15	interval 9:12 110:10 116:5,9 119:16 122:4 124:23 125:11 125:11 164:12 164:24 165:1 176:17 182:4 186:4 intervals 118:24 124:23 124:25 173:20 intervener 200:23 intervening 27:5 intervention 26:3,20,21,23 26:24 27:20 65:6,7,10,16 188:20 195:2 196:3 198:4 201:21 203:21 204:12 introducing 200:9 inventory 9:16 investigation 198:5 involve 124:13 involved 13:18 19:1 52:23 138:10 169:16 242:1 involves 52:6	involving 124:5 124:19 ira 7:13 58:14 82:20 177:13 177:17,23 ira's 163:8 irrelevant 168:14 ish 186:2 issue 17:20 31:6 35:22 39:9,16,21 41:4 52:6,10 54:4 59:14,20 59:24 60:6,10 60:13 61:24 62:25 63:17 65:1,11 69:9 81:6 99:3 134:12 157:19 166:24 168:11 192:12 216:9 issue's 142:3 issued 12:3 67:9,13 issues 21:2 44:9 47:24 53:24 57:12 64:15 65:3 166:22 175:1,8 192:19 237:8 issuing 62:2 it'll 44:22 74:5 234:21
--	---	--	--

[item - know]

item 212:13,19	jim 31:19 102:1 159:22	july 51:10 54:3 54:13,20	195:18
j	jimmerson 27:10	june 127:23 128:2	know 12:10,13 13:17 17:25 18:1 20:1 24:20 25:10 26:5 27:17,23 29:13,21 30:1 30:20 40:16 43:6 44:1 46:7 51:2,12 52:23 53:4,5,19,23,25 54:4,5,11 58:9 68:19 70:11,15 75:7,16 81:11 99:21 100:9,9 101:9 102:22 103:3,22 104:20 106:3 111:13 112:9 117:11 125:13 128:24 132:4 133:15 136:5 141:19 143:18 145:25 154:4 156:18 159:14 166:15 171:14 171:15,21 172:10,18,21 172:21,23,24 173:23 175:20 175:25 194:23 198:18 201:11 204:2,16 205:10 208:16 210:10 211:12
j 189:21 jackie 37:3 46:22 jaclyn 5:19 jacob 246:2,15 james 1:22 4:13 214:11 245:2 245:17 jamesbruc 4:17 jamie 185:3,8 185:14,20,24 208:7,9 212:25 january 12:17 13:15 57:12 59:9,12,15 63:6 72:12 93:8,13 94:1,8 96:7,23 98:7 98:10 99:3 100:14,23 101:5 104:18 104:23 107:25 108:22 117:15 120:3 131:3,15 133:17 203:23 214:20 javelina 88:10 88:11,12 154:4 jean 191:12 218:4,18 jewell 27:10 jfk 47:2	jmclean 5:23 joa 9:4,7 89:1 95:11,12,16 144:10,13 146:23 147:18 147:21 148:3,9 149:14 150:3 joas 130:17,20 job 1:23 107:20 236:18 johnson 5:13 join 66:25 joinders 150:1 joined 11:6 24:3 31:15 46:4 59:17 joining 194:16 joint 19:8 65:22 66:2,6 66:11 67:3 jointly 66:11 joker 122:8 159:10 167:17 169:16 jordan 7:14 10:5 58:21 189:6,13,20 judgment 201:4 judicial 96:13 97:4 104:5,10	jurisdiction 64:24 99:22 205:8 228:24 justification 135:22 justified 108:9	
		k	
		k 11:23 kaitlyn 6:12 57:24 karns 7:11 8:3 8:5 14:7 15:21 15:23,25 16:2 16:8,10,13,15 16:20,24 17:3 karns's 8:8,11 15:15 katherine 3:15 keep 21:21 38:12 109:15 170:12 204:19 214:19 keri 4:4 24:22 33:3 48:5 49:22 keri.hatley 4:8 kind 116:19 141:18 145:20 148:16 knew 21:14 28:11 47:3,5	

[know - limitations]

<p>213:7 214:24 220:7 223:1 226:7 228:4,25 229:16 233:10 233:11,11 234:17 235:5,7 236:18,20 237:2,24 239:24 240:18 241:17 242:2 243:7,20 knowing 209:22 232:16 knowledge 103:25 128:5 245:10 246:6 known 57:9 103:4 204:14 214:20</p>	<p>landing 112:20 138:20 landman 10:5 58:22 81:2 82:16 87:14 107:25 112:14 113:14 115:17 118:22 119:23 120:10,20 122:21 130:3 135:20 139:19 165:18 190:6 194:18 203:4 206:18 221:18 224:12 226:14 227:13 landman's 184:3 229:14 lands 56:24 85:11 128:19 178:18 209:14 214:17 language 113:5 113:6 155:21 163:20 165:10 laptop 37:25 198:20 large 211:16 late 34:7 lateral 52:25,25 53:2 latitude 166:23 law 3:14 7:9 24:7 60:12</p>	<p>lay 118:22 200:22 laying 122:22 layman 95:23 lays 203:16 lc 191:17 213:24 214:17 217:4,7 lea 159:12 169:16,18 172:8 lead 78:22 leads 75:25 78:5 learn 198:2 lease 51:9 52:5 52:10 54:4,14 90:8 107:7 128:7 191:17 213:23 214:1 214:17 215:5 217:3,6,7,24 leases 92:18 107:11,11,13 107:15 leave 19:2 22:4 123:4,10 161:22 175:14 235:6 241:23 leaving 136:23 leeway 200:24 left 76:21 144:22 145:22 151:22 152:3 153:10 230:16</p>	<p>legal 18:12,21 115:2,5 132:20 133:3 134:20 135:5 165:10 166:2 167:2,3 168:7 239:13 241:2 legwork 116:12 lens 106:14 letter 8:21 94:11,25 95:10 95:17,17 104:19 110:7 131:9,17 222:12 223:4 letters 87:15,22 88:1,2,6,9,10 88:19 103:15 level 186:3 life 208:17 likely 82:16 95:25 139:3 148:3 limit 126:10 170:13 183:15 183:19,23 184:24 226:16 226:23 229:8 231:10 234:10 234:19 237:3,3 237:19 238:3,5 238:6,9,23 240:14 limitations 62:10</p>
l			
<p>l 4:4 6:19 lack 26:24 108:4,9 191:2 lacks 13:12 laid 59:13,16 70:9 land 13:18 18:9 39:23 84:14,15 85:3 106:14 110:16 116:15 117:6 119:15 120:8 134:21 134:23 135:12 135:24 169:10 190:11</p>			

[limited - luck]

<p>limited 9:5 82:25 134:2 175:2 191:3 limits 227:2 limping 208:16 line 98:1 166:2 166:23 231:13 lines 140:10 list 34:24 107:13,14 140:6 197:11 223:9,24 224:10 227:2 233:1 listed 56:4 89:3 92:18 107:11 143:15 148:20 151:22 153:10 207:12 212:5 224:21 225:8 225:10 232:23 listening 49:11 listing 207:9 literally 210:18 little 83:16 92:24 117:25 118:19 138:5 138:13 147:1 160:7 167:10 174:3 180:17 182:9 183:9 185:18 239:19 live 26:6,25 llc 3:10 5:10,12 6:3 8:7 13:10</p>	<p>40:2 llp 2:15 5:20 lobe 182:19 locate 125:22 located 141:20 182:5 184:13 185:20 location 1:20 105:21 107:4 107:15 110:13 167:17,18 locations 110:14 lodged 20:13 log 36:23 logic 59:18 logs 180:11,23 long 12:11,14 80:2 83:1,20 130:7 148:5 160:17 174:24 222:6 234:10 234:20 longer 153:2 154:7 230:22 233:21 look 21:12,16 28:9 32:21 43:6 44:25 51:19 72:25 73:2,25 74:5 76:7,11,19 91:11 103:19 144:1 146:7 151:6 154:19</p>	<p>164:2 189:4 191:8 192:23 198:15 208:12 211:8 looked 89:10 170:22 202:14 looking 34:11 51:21 73:7,19 73:20 90:7 97:9 101:25 107:1 117:14 144:16 145:11 145:19 148:6 154:22 162:4 163:6 182:8,24 210:10 214:4 228:5,12 230:3 230:5 231:4 looks 31:15 34:6 46:3 49:15 73:22 74:17 78:7,23 88:20 93:3 98:9 117:16 127:25 128:1 141:1 200:2 214:10 228:15 lot 116:13 158:9 164:8 200:24 210:15 love 241:17 lower 112:17 116:15 122:2 122:13 124:21 124:23 125:11</p>	<p>178:1 luck 6:12 48:24 57:24,25 58:25 59:2,17,19,22 62:21 63:24 64:2,5 65:5,14 65:15,21,23,25 66:23,25 73:7 73:10,12 79:1 79:3 80:22,24 81:11,16,18 82:10,11 87:4 129:24 130:2,4 130:8,12 133:9 133:10,18,21 134:5,14,19,24 135:7,10,11 136:3,11,15 137:7,18 138:11,22 139:7,11,14 161:2 174:6,18 175:15,17 176:4,6,9,15,20 179:14,20,25 180:1,2,12,19 180:24 181:6 187:10,11,22 187:25 188:2,8 188:13,15,19 188:25 189:3 190:14,15,22 192:1,8 193:23 194:3,24 195:1 195:7,18,23</p>
---	---	--	---

[luck - macha]

196:22,24 197:4,9,21 198:3,14 199:2 199:4,12 200:16,19,22 200:25 201:10 201:13,19,25 202:19,24 203:11,18,20 203:23 204:8 204:20 205:14 205:16 217:19 221:11,13,24 222:1,2,10,13 222:15,21 223:3 231:19 231:22 232:2,8 232:19,21 233:15,23,24 234:3 236:7,9 238:10,11 240:6,7,18 luck's 174:5 luck.kaitlyn 6:15 lumped 149:7 150:2 lunch 129:22 130:13 153:24 158:15 160:25	ma'am 85:6,9 85:12,15,22 86:12,15 155:12,19 156:14,17 159:2 190:21 191:1 194:21 205:24 206:4 macha 7:12 58:11 82:3,8 83:24,24 84:2 84:8,8,12,14,18 84:24 85:2,6,9 85:12,15,22 86:1,4,8,12,15 86:24 87:8,13 87:18,24 88:4 88:7,12,17,23 89:5,9,23,24 90:4 92:23 93:1,10,15,22 94:6,14 95:5 95:15 96:1,8 97:5,8,12,15,18 98:12,13,19 101:25 102:7 102:14,21,24 103:8 104:15 104:20 105:7 105:11,14,18 105:23 106:3 106:13,23 107:5,8,10,17 108:2,6,11,13 108:17,21,25	109:11,23 110:2,11,24 111:8,13,16,23 112:9,12,19 113:1,9,14,20 113:22,24 114:2,10,19 115:2,8,19,25 116:7,10,18,25 117:5,13,19 118:1 119:10 119:14,23 120:9,13,23 121:7,9,16 124:20 125:1,8 125:12,17,20 125:25 126:4,7 126:12,22 127:4,11,18,20 128:8,18,22 129:4,8,11,12 130:18,21 131:1,5,12,15 131:20 132:3 132:11 133:12 133:16,20 134:1,10,17,21 135:3,6,8,12 136:11,14 137:4,15,23 138:18 139:2 139:10,18,23 140:2,11,15,17 140:21,25 141:14,21	142:1,8,17,24 143:6,13,17,21 143:25 144:5 144:17,19,23 146:15,19,24 147:11,16,23 148:2,10,13,18 148:24 149:13 149:21,24 150:13 151:2,9 151:17,20,24 152:2,21 153:1 153:8,14,15 154:1,6,22 155:6,12,15,19 155:25 156:6 156:14,17 158:19 159:2,9 159:12,15,22 160:10,12,16 160:19 163:3,7 163:12,13,18 164:1,10,14,25 165:5,11,17,18 165:23 166:3 167:19 169:6 170:1,10 175:18,23 176:1,14,18 180:4 187:14 188:10 195:12 201:19 227:21 228:1 229:1,21 230:20 244:7
m			
m 2:14 5:3,19 11:23,24 84:9 m&m 8:7 11:11 13:10			

[macha's - mcclure]

<p>macha's 83:20 86:18 96:22 97:22 121:25 157:20 229:11</p> <p>made 18:8 59:8 98:17,19 99:3 99:9 100:23 102:7 129:3 134:14 159:20 174:18 187:14 203:24 209:17 226:4 230:15</p> <p>mail 8:8,11 12:4,4,7,7,24 14:11 20:24 91:15 92:5 94:23 104:21 160:2,4,5 198:16 201:10 201:11,12 202:25 204:10 223:3 240:19</p> <p>mailed 12:21 222:16,19</p> <p>mailing 16:22 17:1 20:20</p> <p>mails 95:19</p> <p>main 34:23 175:8,8 199:1</p> <p>maintaining 33:21</p> <p>maintains 97:16</p> <p>major 174:15</p>	<p>majority 126:12 191:16</p> <p>maka 92:12 154:2</p> <p>make 15:17 19:4 23:8 35:21 48:18 53:22 55:13 63:20 73:13 74:1 82:2,4 84:19 85:17 101:18 118:3 119:7 156:9 157:19 158:20 161:25 166:11 167:2,3 172:25 173:11 175:11 185:23 196:21 200:2 202:17 202:20 203:15 212:4 226:21 226:22 230:1 233:9 238:5 239:11 240:19</p> <p>makes 45:18 55:5 118:16 150:22 152:10</p> <p>making 28:5 69:21 128:6 184:4 215:8 234:9</p> <p>manager 85:3 178:8</p> <p>manner 135:23</p>	<p>map 185:17</p> <p>marathon 4:3 9:7 48:6 49:22 144:7 150:1,15</p> <p>marathon's 151:10</p> <p>march 25:20,23 26:11 28:6,23 44:15,16,17,23 47:19,23 56:12 56:14,15 212:21 213:13 235:4,9,21 236:13 240:10 240:16 242:16 242:18,20 243:10 245:16 246:13</p> <p>marcus 228:20</p> <p>marginal 208:14</p> <p>marked 8:15 14:17 71:1 74:8 85:16,18 85:20</p> <p>marker 94:20 116:1 138:8,9 141:5 142:19</p> <p>matador 2:11 4:11 19:7,12 22:6,20,25 31:14,20 32:14 33:18 41:25 42:4</p>	<p>materials 58:15</p> <p>math 183:25</p> <p>matter 1:5 54:6 56:5 58:4 62:7 62:8 63:2,14 64:14 66:18 79:24 89:8 131:24 147:1 166:12 167:16 178:12</p> <p>matters 64:24 72:7</p> <p>max 237:21,21</p> <p>maximum 237:18</p> <p>mcclean 47:11</p> <p>mcclure 7:3 11:6 37:12,19 38:5,20 54:9 54:19,21 55:1 82:14,15,25 83:1 130:11 139:15,16,24 140:4,12,16,18 140:22 141:8 141:17,23 142:6,13,22 143:3,8,14 144:3,16,20 145:10,14,16 145:17 146:6 146:10,20 147:10,13,19 147:24 148:6 148:12,14,19</p>
---	--	---	--

[mcclure - mind]

149:8,17,22	222:7 223:9,11	mclean's 37:20	mewbourne
150:8,24 151:6	223:15,19,23	mean 15:6,7	2:13 24:11,13
151:14,18,21	224:2,4,16,23	26:25 55:1	126:15,23
151:25 152:18	225:2,6,14,17	56:16 77:11	127:16 129:6,9
152:22 153:5,9	225:22 226:2	164:3 165:5	131:18 159:20
153:15 161:4,5	226:11,13,19	170:7 171:16	160:2
161:20,21,25	227:1,7,10,16	173:14 174:5,6	mexico 1:1,3
162:2 171:19	228:1,9,14	176:18 196:10	2:4 64:25 85:3
173:23 175:12	229:4,12,18,23	202:19,22	178:8 197:6
175:14 176:25	229:25 230:4,7	206:20 233:7	245:19
177:3 179:23	230:12,19,24	236:24 237:10	michael 5:11
181:13,14,22	231:4,8,16,23	237:10,10,16	36:17
182:1,7,13,20	232:3,10,20	237:20 243:24	mickey 11:23
182:24 183:6	233:1,18 234:5	meaning	microphone
183:11,14,22	234:7,14	207:21 218:8	36:20 37:15,20
184:2,11,18,22	235:25 237:8	means 83:4	46:18
185:6,21 186:6	237:10,15,16	90:12	mid 94:8
186:13 206:13	237:23 239:1,1	measured	240:12
206:14,17	241:12	140:19 182:17	middle 192:20
207:1,6,11,15	mcclure's	measures 62:13	206:3
207:24 208:3,8	120:1 171:21	memorandum	midland 37:24
208:20,24	mcghee 11:23	89:21 90:6,8	miguel 3:3
209:5,10	mckenzie 6:6	92:12	19:14 32:2
211:19,22	mclean 5:19	mention 129:10	42:6 49:18
212:3,9,12,18	37:2,3,7,12,13	mentioned	mile 52:11,12
212:23 213:2	37:21,23 38:3	74:21 79:21	52:25,25 53:2
213:10,19,22	39:3,12,17,20	116:4 138:8	127:9 184:20
214:1 215:3,11	40:8,10,13,15	195:7 228:2	185:22,22
215:21 216:1	41:3,6,11,14,18	merged 78:19	miles 159:16
216:19 217:1	41:21 46:8,9	merit 60:23	million 7:6
217:18,22	46:16,19,22,22	merits 237:1	mind 65:21
218:25 219:8	46:25 47:4,6,8	method 125:2	80:13,15 92:23
219:11 220:15	47:12,15 49:2	219:13,16	185:21 206:8
220:16,20	49:6,8	220:22 232:5	214:19
221:1,5,10,25			

[mine - need]

<p>mine 55:22 mineral 106:14 minerals 1:2 2:4 minimal 44:4 minimum 228:6 minus 153:6 minute 83:7 123:6 160:25 202:23 minutes 38:14 123:12 130:9 161:14,23 misreading 35:9 misrepresent... 98:5 102:17 missed 145:25 188:23 missing 81:7 143:16 145:23 146:9 225:24 mistaken 38:22 mister 154:16 misunderstan... 152:23 misunderstood 201:14 228:3 modifications 86:6 179:4 modrall 5:4 modrall.com 5:7</p>	<p>moment 36:22 43:24 74:5 103:6 235:2 monday 235:12 money 207:22 monitoring 20:8 24:17 35:18 36:9 43:19 48:7 montezuma 5:21 month 26:15 43:2 44:11,18 93:3 95:1 160:1 226:9 months 45:1 69:1 97:9 127:24 132:5 133:19 monument 94:20 moore 89:3,11 89:14,18 90:9 92:14,21 93:1 149:6,10,15 154:18,24 155:2 morning 11:16 11:17 15:23,24 17:21,24 19:10 19:13,16 24:5 24:8,9 26:8 29:4 31:24 32:1,7 36:16 37:2,5 42:2,5</p>	<p>42:10,25 46:5 46:13 49:17,21 50:5 57:15,20 57:24 58:2 67:13 199:6,9 199:10 202:14 202:15 203:1 motion 41:12 55:11 59:10 60:25 61:6,12 61:19,25 63:25 65:22 66:2,4,6 66:15 67:3,6 67:11 68:13 69:23,23 motion's 61:4 motions 58:3 59:5,7 60:19 60:21 61:9 62:19 162:8 move 19:5 25:14,22 26:2 26:15 28:25 30:19 31:14 41:20 46:2 55:22 86:25 87:1 105:3 167:15 169:25 171:15 235:6,8 238:18 moving 24:2 36:13 94:20 105:15 218:20 mrc 4:11 31:20 34:18</p>	<p>mrodriguez 5:15 msuazo 3:7 multiple 58:6 73:25 114:12 mute 46:17 muted 19:18 myers 246:2,15</p> <p style="text-align: center;">n</p> <p>n 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 11:1 29:8 189:21 name 11:5 20:23 27:12 82:19,20 84:7 84:25 177:22 189:19,21 natural 1:2 2:4 nature 63:5 nearly 52:22 necessarily 115:19 124:13 236:21 necessary 60:22 62:14 91:11 153:20 222:6 240:13 need 15:11 25:14 44:9 56:15 60:9 72:1 100:9 101:19 120:6 122:9 144:1 162:11 168:2,3</p>
--	---	--	---

[need - notice]

<p>168:25 172:25 197:13 200:6 214:19 221:17 222:10 223:21 224:11 226:4 229:2 230:20 230:21 235:23 235:25 236:8 241:22 needed 18:2,8 59:17 72:25 221:20 233:3 needing 231:17 231:18 needs 15:17 53:5 97:25 166:11 233:8 233:21 negotiated 144:11 146:25 147:25 negotiations 42:18 44:3 neither 53:7 151:22 219:23 245:11 246:7 neutral 150:5 never 109:20 139:20 141:10 149:15 nevertheless 67:11 new 1:1,3 2:4 26:15 47:25 64:25 78:18</p>	<p>85:3 153:3 178:8 196:18 196:18 197:6 224:7 230:10 245:19 newer 28:6 newly 59:11 newspaper 18:13 241:3 nicholas 7:11 8:3,5,8,11 14:7 15:21 16:2 ninety 228:18 nm 1:21 2:7,17 3:6 4:7,16 5:6 5:14,22 6:7,14 6:22 88:20 217:6,6 nmac 60:18 62:5 non 13:11 116:9 nope 17:13 normally 53:12 222:20 north 2:16 94:17,17,18,18 94:24 134:2,2 134:7,7,8,10,13 134:13 136:12 136:12 137:5,6 137:10,10,16 137:16,19,20 137:20,25 138:4,4,18,19</p>	<p>138:24,24 141:25 144:3,4 144:7,8 154:8 154:9,13,13 184:20 185:9 185:23 187:16 192:3 202:7,16 217:9 219:3,3 219:4 226:2,3 232:13,14,15 northeast 115:6 141:21 142:5,12 184:13 191:14 193:18 207:17 209:16 211:1 214:13,13,14 214:15 216:22 217:5,7 northwest 5:5 115:6 141:22 142:5,12 184:14 191:15 193:19 207:18 209:16 211:1 214:14,16 216:22 217:6,9 nos 1:9 9:20,23 notary 245:18 notated 137:1 note 19:4 23:8 130:22,23 220:7 noted 21:22 50:15 89:2</p>	<p>131:16 162:5 notes 21:11 32:21 34:2,4 35:9 notice 8:6,9,12 8:12 9:19,22 10:11 11:9 12:4,7,21 14:9 14:11,14 16:17 17:2,20 18:7 26:3,20,24 40:25 41:4 57:12 59:14 60:6 61:2,20 63:17 64:21 65:5,7,15 74:6 74:11 75:1,2,8 76:3,12,13,17 76:21,24 81:5 96:13,20 97:4 104:5,10 112:5 113:6 125:23 126:2,5 129:1 129:1 131:10 131:18 132:2,2 132:9,13,15,16 136:20,24 137:11,12 138:2 157:4,6 157:9 166:20 195:2 196:3 198:4 200:24 201:20 203:21 206:21 231:25</p>
--	--	---	---

[noticed - offered]

<p>noticed 159:23 notification 97:6 242:3 notify 166:7 nov 8:9 12:21 14:12 16:22 november 144:11 146:25 novo 64:8,14 64:17,19 69:4 nuances 164:3 number 11:12 17:18 19:6 36:13 39:2 40:7,24 41:10 43:18 73:19 75:23,24 77:2 78:22 79:13 114:25 144:1 148:11 149:22 152:11 166:17 180:21 181:18 182:11 185:8 185:14,24 188:24,25 200:4 208:7,9 208:21 212:13 212:19,25 213:10 230:10 240:24 numbers 31:16 31:22 41:25 50:18 131:10 136:24 149:25 153:12 155:16</p>	<p>206:22 211:23 212:15 numerator 192:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">o</p> <hr/> <p>o 11:1 29:8 177:24 189:21 o'clock 161:13 161:15 162:19 166:15 oath 15:17 84:19 86:11 90:3 163:10 oaths 62:15 object 29:10 40:9 73:1 91:9 99:20 125:14 132:20 165:6 167:22 170:7,8 objected 22:22 35:10 104:11 objecting 27:16 43:15 121:19 153:2 159:24 objection 20:5 20:7,10,13 23:1 24:14,25 25:1,2 26:7,23 26:25 27:2 29:16 32:11,13 33:6,12,17 37:6 42:15 43:19 47:11,18 48:8 50:1,10 50:13 53:18,22</p>	<p>54:6 55:6 79:4 97:1 100:2 101:18,19 102:4,6 104:1 117:22 118:2 118:16,17 119:7,20,20,22 120:7 121:18 122:15,16 123:11 124:17 135:5 136:5 156:23,25 157:1,12,14,17 165:8 166:18 167:9 168:6,6 169:24 171:3 175:6 179:12 179:13,14 196:9,13,14 199:21 206:22 219:19,21 222:14 238:11 objections 14:20 33:7,22 35:17 44:24 62:17 71:11,13 73:8 79:8 118:3 131:4 objective 34:7 201:3 objects 35:13 130:24 obligation 123:20</p>	<p>obtain 191:5 obtained 121:5 126:22 209:25 obvious 50:22 obviously 75:14 87:25 94:25 95:7 138:5 150:4 225:1 236:24 occ 60:15 68:1 68:2,8,18 occidental 9:4 occur 184:25 185:15 207:8 occurring 185:12 occurs 193:2 ocd 2:5 13:2 93:21 112:5 113:6 123:22 139:2 157:2,7 163:17 october 54:16 54:17 160:10 160:12 odd 115:21 138:9 offer 14:4 30:12 39:12 179:16 196:4 201:15 221:14 221:22 offered 80:5 139:8</p>
--	--	--	---

[offering - okay]

<p>offering 196:6 202:9 221:21 office 2:5 13:18 17:22 19:11,14 19:21 24:10 29:5 42:3 46:15 57:16 officer 23:23 245:1,2 offset 136:20 oh 22:2 24:18 27:8,14 32:19 33:9 38:9 45:12 46:4,24 49:8 54:17 56:13,14,21 78:1 91:16 92:1 148:12 159:15 161:24 181:18 185:6,9 188:6,17 205:17 211:9 212:11 213:10 224:2 225:16 230:25 240:5 241:7 oil 1:3,6 2:2 4:3 6:18 7:3,6,9 8:22 9:7 11:4 11:10,15 19:21 48:6 49:22 64:25 88:20 90:8 92:17 120:16 121:2 122:22 134:22</p>	<p>138:21 okay 11:25 12:18 13:4,21 14:3 15:10 17:6,17 18:16 19:4 20:3,9,10 22:9,11 23:13 23:25 24:24 25:4,7,13,17,21 26:10,17,19 27:12,15,18,24 28:4,14,19 29:15,18,24 30:5,6,17,21 31:5,23 32:5 32:20 33:10 34:2,6,15 35:3 35:6,12,15,22 36:11,24 38:3 38:4,8 39:1,11 41:7,15,19 42:8,17,22 43:5,12 44:6 44:13 46:11,20 47:20 49:4,10 49:12 50:3,20 51:15 52:14 53:6 54:18 55:4,11,20 56:2,10,14 57:2,7 58:1,3 58:18 59:4 60:7,16 61:14 61:17 63:24 65:4,5,22</p>	<p>67:14 68:14 70:2,22,23 71:7,10,25 72:10,16,23 73:5,15,24 74:1,4,6,14 75:10 76:6 77:15 78:3,15 78:16 79:5 80:9,19 81:19 82:13,22 83:6 83:14,17 84:15 85:4,13,23 86:10,13 87:3 88:10,15 90:7 91:6,13,16,22 91:25 92:3,11 93:6,25 94:11 96:11,18 97:3 98:22 99:7,13 99:14,18 100:1 100:7,18,21 101:8,17,22,24 102:11 103:17 103:21 104:4 107:24 110:18 111:16 112:3 112:12 114:5 114:16 115:8 116:21 117:1 117:24 118:9 118:15 119:3,4 119:9 123:4,12 127:15,25 129:21 130:6</p>	<p>130:10,15 131:21 132:7 132:12 133:18 133:21 134:5 134:14,24 136:2,15 137:7 137:9,18 138:11,22 139:11,13,24 141:8,17 142:6 142:13,22 143:8,17 144:5 145:3,15 147:13,19 148:6 149:8,17 151:17 152:18 152:22,23 153:5,9,18,23 153:25 154:15 154:16 155:1 155:13,20 156:8,10 157:11 158:4 159:3,10,13,18 160:6,13,17,21 161:3,8,11,19 162:7,18 163:3 163:9,19 164:19 166:4 167:11,15 169:1,3,11 170:11 172:2 172:14 173:2 174:17 175:10 176:3,6,20,23</p>
--	---	--	--

[okay - original]

177:10,25	229:20 230:6,7	operating 3:2	230:21,22
178:10,14,25	230:11,24	3:10 5:10,12	optimistic
179:7,24	231:6,15 232:3	5:18,18 24:2	48:11
180:19,24	232:10,20	28:25 29:3	optimize 52:13
181:6,12 182:7	233:1,5,14	32:22 33:3	opting 124:3,4
182:20,24	234:13,16,25	36:14 37:4	124:6
183:14 184:18	235:3,12 238:2	40:2 46:23	option 122:1,5
184:22 185:11	238:25 239:10	48:6 49:14,19	132:8,14
186:13,17,21	240:5,8 241:5	85:3 92:17	options 52:13
186:24 187:5,9	241:20 242:6	114:6,22	123:22,23
187:21 188:12	242:10,17	209:20 210:6	oral 61:2,20
188:22,23	243:11	210:20	order 15:12
189:6,6,10,17	old 43:6	operations	17:8 31:6,17
189:22 190:1,8	older 26:16	54:10	35:22 41:9
190:13,14	28:12,12 31:2	operator 13:2	51:12 54:12
192:1,8 193:23	47:23	13:10,12,23	55:3,23 59:9
194:3,6,22	once 40:23	96:2,15 126:14	59:18,25 60:4
196:8 197:16	41:10 94:22	126:17 212:24	60:4,10 61:12
198:1,12,19	170:15 241:16	222:22	61:23 62:1,2
201:23 202:18	241:24	opinion 69:6	62:10 63:5
203:11 206:5	one's 34:10	115:13 117:11	64:11,13,16,20
206:10 207:1	112:10 115:5	118:20 190:2,3	67:8,13 68:25
208:3 209:5	ones 33:14	opportunity	69:1,2 77:25
212:3 213:2,12	35:11 94:3	61:5 80:2	80:21 200:21
213:14 214:5	100:25 101:1	98:14 124:2	200:21 202:20
215:21 217:5	116:12	142:7 153:19	203:15 204:16
217:22 218:25	ongard 222:22	162:6 166:10	206:6
219:11 220:20	online 82:3,3	171:9 174:24	ordered 236:5
221:1,5 222:3	open 36:20	175:3 196:17	236:22
222:4,15,25	91:19 136:23	200:3,4 205:7	orderly 62:15
223:6,6,7,13,19	200:2 218:24	opposed 67:6	orders 54:20
224:2,13,22,23	222:5 229:25	69:23	59:24
225:6,14,16,22	240:16 241:23	opposing 26:20	original 93:7
226:11,13	opening 13:6	26:22 66:22	94:2 99:2
229:10,17,18		81:25 179:11	100:24 108:24

<p>126:19 139:22 144:21 145:2,7 146:7,17 181:17 197:11 211:20,22,23 originally 76:8 146:11 152:22 outcome 245:16 246:12 outlined 186:5 outside 121:21 142:4,11 168:13,15,16 170:9,16 171:3 171:14 173:13 174:21 215:14 overall 53:4 209:6 overlap 39:24 overlapping 40:5 52:5 override 53:16 88:14 overrides 40:19 overriding 119:18 overrule 104:1 171:2 overruled 158:17 222:14 oversight 66:16 67:1 131:16,22 131:23 195:24 own 52:7 63:22 87:22 88:11,14</p>	<p>88:15,21 121:11 125:5 126:20 136:6 137:2,2 138:6 139:8 144:7 154:5,7,12 176:12 192:10 195:16 204:5 205:10 215:4 215:14 217:2 owned 92:22 138:1 214:2 215:1 owner 88:13,16 95:15 126:13 218:15 222:23 owners 87:16 87:20 88:3 89:1 95:3,12 95:17,23 98:18 102:15,17 110:8 119:11 119:18,19 120:11 121:4,9 124:25 125:3 125:23 136:25 137:1 138:1,6 138:16 139:8 154:3 173:20 210:14,15 ownership 43:24 51:17 89:4 90:23 92:22 112:20 113:18 116:9</p>	<p>118:23,25,25 119:11 125:25 127:5 138:10 138:19 145:20 152:14,15 191:24 192:2 195:13 196:5 197:10,14,17 197:18 198:7 199:6 201:21 202:21 206:22 210:20 216:7 219:2 228:18 231:25 owning 89:3 120:11,14 136:25 142:4 211:2 owns 40:16 52:21 92:21 126:15 141:24 202:6,16 209:7 219:3 oxy 2:11 17:18 17:23 46:2,11 46:15 47:15 88:25 89:1 130:17 144:10 144:13 146:20 147:15,17,21 148:9 150:1,16 240:24</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">p</p> <p>p 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1,1 6:1 6:1 7:1,1 11:1 p.c. 3:4 6:5 p.m. 160:24 166:8 240:17 244:9 p.o. 4:15 6:13 package 145:6 packet 70:17 70:25 71:15 74:11 75:1 76:4,12,17 78:12,18 79:11 93:7 94:2 100:25 105:9 111:12 136:18 144:18,21,22 144:25 145:7 146:1,7,18 156:9 178:23 206:21 225:3,4 231:24 232:6 234:21 packets 149:2 236:14 page 74:15 90:10 92:13 111:13,15 112:10,11 114:8,25 115:5 117:14,21 129:14 130:23 131:8 140:13</p>
--	---	--	---

<p>140:14 143:11 148:7,11,12 181:18,19 182:8,8 184:23 191:4,10 194:19 195:15 197:6 207:3,3 207:8 210:7 212:4,5,10,13 213:11,19,20 213:24 214:9 216:2,20,21 217:15,19 225:13 229:19 229:24 230:5 231:6,9,21 234:10,19 237:19,25 238:8,9,17,19 240:11,12</p> <p>pages 207:7 228:5 237:5,12 238:6,9,19,23 239:2,2</p> <p>paid 209:21</p> <p>paloma 26:4 27:6,8,9</p> <p>paragraph 87:14,19 111:17,24 112:15 136:19 140:13,15 142:14 213:16 226:17 227:1 227:11</p>	<p>parents 45:15</p> <p>part 86:7 100:5 108:2,23 109:18,18 144:13,21 149:15 172:12 172:13,15 173:11 195:24 198:10 199:4 204:12 211:7 212:24 214:9 218:2 221:16 230:9 231:25</p> <p>participated 205:25</p> <p>participation 244:5</p> <p>particular 47:14 62:11 159:8 241:19</p> <p>parties 20:1 21:1,7 24:16 24:17,20 25:10 29:21 34:21 35:18 37:1 39:3,7 48:24 55:9 61:5,20 66:9 88:21 136:21 160:24 166:7,9 170:13 175:14 179:19 200:3,9,12 201:5 203:6,9 204:24 210:12 216:18 219:15</p>	<p>222:8 223:10 234:7 240:15 240:20 245:12 245:14 246:8 246:11</p> <p>partnership 9:5</p> <p>party 27:5 61:2 64:6,12,16 66:22 70:5 204:15 222:7</p> <p>party's 162:5 162:12</p> <p>paseo 4:6 6:21</p> <p>past 132:5 142:20 164:9 198:20 237:14 237:24</p> <p>paste 141:1 226:15</p> <p>patterns 77:6</p> <p>paula 2:14 17:22 19:11 24:10 29:5 42:3 46:14 57:16 111:9 112:2 113:2</p> <p>pay 182:2</p> <p>payout 207:20 208:4,10 210:1 210:22,23 211:2,3,15,16 211:17,18</p> <p>pc 3:14</p>	<p>pdfs 78:18</p> <p>penalties 14:1</p> <p>pending 191:22</p> <p>people 38:25</p> <p>peralta 4:6 6:21</p> <p>percent 40:17 52:7,22 151:8 151:13,13,23 151:25 152:19 152:25 153:6 154:24,25 192:5,7 207:17 207:21,22 208:22 209:2 210:1,2,22,23 211:2,3,15,16 211:17,17 215:18 218:11 232:17</p> <p>percentage 219:2 232:18</p> <p>percentages 119:1 137:3 207:9 230:21</p> <p>perfect 19:1 20:18 23:7,13 23:14 25:7 31:23 32:6 38:15 40:12 41:15 43:21 44:13 50:9 58:18,24 83:5 83:7 84:16 100:21 136:24</p>
---	---	---	---

[perfect - please]

<p>137:12 146:4 190:13 212:11 238:14 240:8 243:11 perform 62:13 198:5 performing 129:6 period 96:23 128:16 235:23 periods 211:12 permian 2:12 4:3,12 5:10,12 6:3 8:19 9:5 10:13 24:12,14 27:6 31:21 36:14 48:6 49:23 50:8 57:9,18 67:2 76:14 85:2 87:15 90:19 91:2 94:13 98:17 99:3,5,9 102:9 108:14 110:8 120:18 121:8,12 122:9 122:17,21,23 123:3,20 124:1 126:8,20 127:16 128:3 128:10 129:1,2 132:1,8,12,24 133:23 135:14 135:22 136:19 136:22 138:24</p>	<p>139:7 143:15 147:14 148:15 149:11 153:10 154:3 155:4 159:21 160:3,7 160:14,14,18 163:21 178:8 180:6,7 182:2 182:15 183:16 186:7 187:15 195:12 197:19 197:23 202:2,4 204:1,9 205:8 219:7,15,15,17 223:24 227:3 228:10 231:17 permian's 9:12 90:13 93:7 121:25 122:19 123:7 129:13 150:10 151:18 152:19 174:13 176:10 181:3 201:20 202:1,7 216:10 230:17 permission 203:5 permit 96:17 96:17 permits 95:14 97:9 permitting 13:2 102:9 204:11</p>	<p>person 12:25 102:8,10 128:18,22 135:9,13 207:12 person's 120:8 personal 191:11 218:17 perspective 135:19,20,24 135:25 pertain 106:17 pertains 215:20 pertinent 99:25 petroleum 6:3 57:9,22 66:12 90:9,17 92:15 92:21 128:6,15 128:16 131:17 188:3 ph 191:12 218:4,18 phone 36:23 38:12 picked 12:5,8 12:14,17 picture 114:11 piece 187:20 ping 18:12 place 147:18 181:4 226:8 placed 184:24 plain 113:5,5 plan 56:24 108:24 109:9</p>	<p>109:22 116:17 116:18 121:25 122:2,19 124:24 125:7 126:10 127:8 129:22 169:21 174:7,11,13,13 231:20,24 planned 53:3 planning 40:20 116:14 plans 43:18 120:18 121:8 121:15 122:7 127:10,13 132:5 174:16 217:19 play 72:5 96:24 please 11:13 15:12,25 16:7 17:8,20 19:9 23:8 24:4 31:18 36:15 40:23,24 41:3 42:1 45:4 46:21 49:16 83:25 84:21 87:11 89:25 98:15 101:23 118:3,18 120:7 136:9 158:18 175:6 177:15 189:10,18 190:14 194:14 205:21 206:19</p>
--	---	--	--

[please - preliminary]

<p>220:4,7,15 222:12 223:2 224:9 plenty 203:9 plug 13:23 plugged 120:25 plus 200:23 pmvance 2:18 pna 142:21 pocket 83:15 point 15:11 18:17 26:25 44:23 56:16 60:5 63:16 64:6,22 67:25 89:17,17 91:8 105:22 106:1,4 106:15,15 107:4,21 112:1 118:11 132:6 133:19 139:6 139:25 147:14 147:22,25 160:7 165:15 165:22,25 167:8 168:1 171:22 173:10 176:11 179:10 199:19 200:23 203:15,22 204:2 205:10 208:5 221:19 222:13 241:18 pointed 135:6 150:4 227:2</p>	<p>pointing 146:9 157:15 158:10 points 106:12 pool 40:18,18 109:12,16 111:18 112:19 113:8,16,16 115:13,20 116:3 133:23 134:6 136:21 139:4 140:3 141:6 147:15 150:18,18,22 157:25 163:21 163:22 164:20 164:23 183:16 197:18,20,22 197:23 205:4 pooled 134:25 138:25 158:3 193:15 pooling 8:18 19:8 46:3 52:23 57:8 98:25 110:19 110:21 111:1 112:6,24 131:10 134:12 134:15 136:22 154:3 156:11 157:21 158:24 163:24 175:22 180:7,14 193:12 216:10 224:5 229:8</p>	<p>portal 222:24 portion 106:24 107:20 111:19 113:15,17 116:15 133:23 134:7,15 135:1 176:12 portions 117:4 position 52:8 52:24 66:14,18 66:21 67:2 69:8 162:12 176:10,13 181:3 positions 162:5 167:12 possibility 34:25 127:2 possible 21:1,6 21:24 37:22 38:25 41:4 45:5 51:14 83:1 95:9 171:16 176:21 187:20,24 215:22 239:18 possibly 95:11 116:7,10,11 215:17 postal 14:13,15 posted 76:18 postpone 132:15 potential 34:19 42:20 43:3</p>	<p>44:3 potentially 215:2,4 power 62:6,13 68:11 pr 150:1,21 151:10 152:2 152:17 pr's 152:4 practice 93:19 pre 31:6 35:22 41:9 61:21 188:14,16 189:2,5 195:21 200:21,21 202:20 203:15 203:16 204:16 preface 191:2 prefer 22:6 34:13,21 35:5 52:19 233:11 preferable 30:16 preferably 39:8 preference 21:3 27:25 30:9 34:20 51:22,24 53:12,17 prefers 51:8 prejudice 53:20 preliminarily 79:18 preliminary 58:4 79:24</p>
---	---	---	--

[preliminary - proposals]

<p>93:8 94:2 100:25 243:3 premise 168:7 premium 131:9 preparation 198:10 199:5 prepare 63:12 202:11 prepared 25:2 26:14 29:15 51:10 52:19 54:3 81:22 85:13,24,24 86:14 188:9 202:2,8 223:25 246:3 preparing 178:21 present 7:2 47:18 80:2 99:16 169:15 188:14 200:4 203:23 221:17 242:23 presented 219:23 presenting 81:3 81:8 188:7 preserve 192:9 preserving 60:1 pressuring 235:4 pretty 50:22 51:11 72:21</p>	<p>88:24 113:2 176:2 183:5 prevail 126:10 prevent 24:20 25:10 117:17 previous 17:19 126:14 139:18 181:10 previously 17:7 71:20 178:10 primarily 179:21 primary 109:5 110:3 181:1 182:5,14 195:12 223:23 print 212:8 prior 27:21 60:23,25 98:6 98:6 101:5 104:18 124:16 144:11 245:5 prioritized 147:8 priority 31:3 48:18 privy 209:22 probably 44:4 47:3 94:7,8 112:22 144:24 144:25 145:1,2 148:4 150:6 176:1 210:9 214:7 218:19 227:17 241:12</p>	<p>problem 161:9 236:8 procedure 137:19 195:24 196:7 procedures 22:15 137:9 165:19 proceed 13:8 15:1,6 16:7 20:19 21:8 29:25 39:6 64:21 69:25 80:7,12,23 101:22 132:9 136:10 158:18 162:1 190:14 proceeding 1:20 22:23 24:20 25:11 63:2,4,6,14,16 244:10 246:4 proceedings 63:11 65:23 67:12 245:3,5 245:6,9 246:6 process 97:14 201:14 222:5 produce 122:3 122:4 124:22 125:11 produced 119:2 121:1 142:20</p>	<p>producing 120:24 143:2 product 116:13 production 2:11 4:11 13:14 19:7 31:14,20 41:25 52:13 118:25 172:19 208:12 208:15 212:22 216:15 productions 51:13 program 211:8 promising 47:22 promptly 63:11 66:18 proper 62:14 63:18 132:13 properly 73:4 80:3 222:11 property 218:19 proposal 108:15,19 109:7 126:19 126:19 130:25 proposals 53:5 109:19 126:24 127:1 129:3,7 129:10 138:3 144:12 159:19 159:21,23,25 160:2,8</p>
--	--	--	---

[propose - question]

<p>propose 125:4 125:7 219:13 220:23 232:4 241:10</p> <p>proposed 15:12 17:8 85:11 120:18,20,20 121:8,12,16 124:1 170:4,5 178:18</p> <p>proposing 109:7 219:18</p> <p>proration 106:15</p> <p>protect 119:17 120:10,22 121:14 122:10 122:20 123:9 123:20 124:2,8 172:16 204:13</p> <p>protecting 122:24</p> <p>protection 216:17</p> <p>protest 21:8</p> <p>prove 197:9 198:7</p> <p>proves 197:14 202:15</p> <p>provide 93:12 97:22 125:23 135:22 137:11 140:6,7 160:18 171:9,12,16 194:23 200:10</p>	<p>202:21 204:1 228:7,11,25 232:5,19 233:11 234:8,8 234:10 235:22 235:25 236:5 236:25</p> <p>provided 64:14 69:20 93:8 112:4 113:6 115:10 118:12 124:1 126:2 136:18,20 143:4 157:19 158:1 179:5 194:22 229:1 236:23</p> <p>provides 67:7 108:10</p> <p>providing 228:22 236:8</p> <p>provisions 213:8</p> <p>prudent 126:16</p> <p>public 97:16 112:4,5 157:6 245:18</p> <p>publication 9:22 18:7 157:4</p> <p>published 157:3</p> <p>pull 73:16 115:1,4 129:14</p>	<p>pulled 165:16 199:7</p> <p>pulling 129:17 198:20</p> <p>purports 210:21 214:23 218:9</p> <p>purpose 1:7 229:6</p> <p>purposes 19:9 20:8 43:19 59:25 91:8 192:9 195:3 229:5</p> <p>pursuant 64:11 191:21 217:11</p> <p>push 21:24,25</p> <p>pushed 21:6</p> <p>put 15:21 18:22 23:2 31:2 54:2 56:3 66:14 106:7 148:21 148:25 149:2 159:7 176:19 189:18 231:13 239:4 242:13 242:16</p> <p>putting 58:14</p> <p style="text-align: center;">q</p> <p>qualifications 190:16</p> <p>qualified 84:11 220:6 245:7</p> <p>quantification 149:16</p>	<p>quantify 107:15 150:20</p> <p>quarter 115:6,7 141:22,24 142:5,12 183:18,19,20 183:21 184:13 184:14 185:13 185:13 191:14 191:15,15 193:18,19 207:17,18 209:16,16 211:1,1 214:13 214:13,14,14 214:15,15,16 216:22,22 217:6,6,8,8,9 217:10 224:19 224:20 227:21 227:21</p> <p>quarters 141:24 184:19 185:22</p> <p>question 29:13 54:9 60:9 69:13 75:11 77:10,16,17 81:24 87:10,10 87:12,12 90:1 90:18 103:25 109:1 110:5,12 111:9 113:2 117:6 118:4 121:6 122:16</p>
---	--	--	---

[question - rebuttal]

<p>123:2,3,5,10 124:4 125:16 137:16 141:9 156:3,5 157:13 159:6 165:10 168:13,23 169:12,24 171:1 174:8 175:19 176:7 179:19 180:3 181:1 184:1,6 185:3,7,10 209:4 215:13 220:10,13,17 questioning 98:1 120:2 133:7 165:16 166:2 questions 58:17 72:9 82:16,24 83:2 87:9 89:25 101:15 104:14 116:19 118:18 119:24 120:1,3,8 124:12,18 129:18 130:3 130:12 131:25 132:20 133:11 135:6,9,14 136:8 139:12 143:22,23 149:9 153:17 153:22 158:14 162:22 163:14</p>	<p>165:19 166:2 166:24 167:10 167:23,25 168:17 170:8 173:19 174:4,6 179:10,21,21 179:22 180:1 180:25 181:8 186:16,19 194:4,13 206:11 221:8 242:1,4 quick 81:24 183:25 233:9 quickly 21:16 38:25 155:11 235:7 quite 204:15 quote 144:25 201:8</p>	<p>reached 27:15 207:21 208:4 208:10 read 2:12 34:2 57:8,17 59:10 60:24 61:8 64:18 66:14,17 67:2 70:24 73:9 114:6,22 136:15 143:15 191:11,12,20 191:20 197:10 197:11,12 201:25 202:1 204:16 210:16 212:22 213:17 214:10,11 217:13,25 218:1,4,5,15,15 218:18,23 readily 72:21 93:15,22,23 146:15 reading 63:22 68:23 ready 25:6 69:25 80:6,11 80:16,22 94:16 161:18 162:1 really 46:24 56:15 78:8 171:1,9 172:25 175:2 180:25 204:22 240:13</p>	<p>reason 22:24 28:2 47:14 52:2 53:19 55:9 91:10 133:22 134:1 135:17 143:16 149:2 215:22 241:22 reasonable 61:5 199:20 237:4 reasonably 95:24 reasons 59:13 135:15 rebut 72:7 98:17,18 100:5 rebuttal 10:12 10:12 70:9,11 72:4 74:6,20 74:22 75:2,2,4 75:8,12,17,20 75:20,22 76:1 76:5,13,14,25 77:1,12,17,22 78:8,10,12,20 79:13,21 80:2 80:4 81:10 91:4 129:13 188:9 195:3,6 195:9,11 196:2 196:7 201:15 201:17 202:10 203:5,6,10 204:21,22</p>
	<p>r</p>		
	<p>r 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 11:1 84:8 177:23,24 177:24 189:21 raise 15:25 52:24 83:25 177:15 189:10 raised 142:3 ranch 6:18 rankin 18:5 rather 54:5 197:15 231:24 reach 241:3</p>		

[rebuttals - register]

<p>rebuttals 203:19</p> <p>rebutting 195:14</p> <p>recalculate 230:21</p> <p>recall 76:4 108:3 127:17 127:21</p> <p>receive 88:6 97:17 106:20 138:2 159:25 199:3</p> <p>received 13:3 14:23 18:12 40:7 59:7 68:7 71:17 73:22 79:15 86:21 95:23 97:6 99:17 102:2 104:6 131:9 132:16 162:5 199:5,8 202:25</p> <p>receives 241:24</p> <p>receiving 62:16 95:14 99:5 132:2,13</p> <p>recent 108:20</p> <p>recently 128:4</p> <p>recess 17:7 19:4 23:25 31:12 57:5 243:14 244:8</p> <p>recipients 95:10</p>	<p>recitations 213:4</p> <p>reciting 211:9</p> <p>recognitions 138:14</p> <p>recognize 137:13,21 187:15</p> <p>recognized 190:11 192:12 216:6,18</p> <p>recognizes 123:22</p> <p>recollection 103:21</p> <p>recommendat... 63:1,13</p> <p>reconnect 37:16</p> <p>record 13:2,5 14:16 15:18 18:15 19:3 26:7 28:23 35:10 36:12 38:16,17 41:20 44:2 46:1 49:5 49:13 53:22 54:7 55:20 58:7 59:10 63:12,20 64:6 64:12 65:10 69:17 83:8,9 83:11 86:19 89:6,10,19 98:8 117:23</p>	<p>118:8 123:15 123:17 136:23 161:12,15,17 165:23 167:13 178:12 192:10 200:12 202:17 220:9 222:5 240:16,24 241:22,23,25 243:13 245:9 246:5</p> <p>recorded 90:9 210:7,21 217:14 245:6</p> <p>recording 245:8 246:4</p> <p>records 97:16 101:6 191:4 202:5</p> <p>reduce 59:8,18</p> <p>reduced 245:7</p> <p>redundancy 236:15</p> <p>refer 63:8</p> <p>reference 9:24 117:7 123:25 139:6 140:18 141:15 142:15 213:9,22 216:21 224:11 226:15,20,20</p> <p>referenced 110:2 139:21 140:24 142:15 208:21 217:3</p>	<p>224:8 227:22 228:16 230:20</p> <p>referencing 227:4</p> <p>referred 9:15 108:4</p> <p>referring 64:10 140:20 148:17 149:19 168:10 169:13,14 182:11 207:13 208:6 211:24 212:6,20 213:11 216:2</p> <p>refers 62:7</p> <p>refile 72:14,18</p> <p>reflect 77:18</p> <p>reformat 124:18</p> <p>refresh 59:9</p> <p>regarding 27:20 60:6 63:17 64:20 98:20,20 99:9 165:10 196:5 203:18,21,25 237:8</p> <p>regardless 153:12 225:9</p> <p>regards 146:20 149:10 152:24 205:2</p> <p>region 184:17</p> <p>register 191:4 214:9</p>
--	--	--	--

[registered - resolve]

<p>registered 222:22</p> <p>regularly 11:4 203:6</p> <p>regulatory 93:16 94:9,15 96:9 97:13 102:8,22 104:16 106:6</p> <p>relate 107:19</p> <p>related 155:17 159:4 162:24 169:6 171:14 190:19 228:13 245:11 246:7</p> <p>relates 229:7</p> <p>relating 64:24</p> <p>relation 152:19</p> <p>relative 245:13 246:10</p> <p>relevance 98:1 99:23 100:3 104:12 118:22 121:19 122:15 157:8,9,14,17 158:8</p> <p>relevant 97:25 98:24 99:1,25 116:2 122:17 123:8 124:7 133:4 136:7 158:6,16 169:23 198:6 200:7 212:18 225:1,7 234:9</p>	<p>reliable 97:25</p> <p>relieve 66:20</p> <p>rely 190:4</p> <p>relying 197:8</p> <p>remain 201:2 222:5 240:16</p> <p>remainder 135:2</p> <p>remainderman 191:21</p> <p>remaining 82:9 104:8</p> <p>remedies 69:9</p> <p>remember 72:14 201:6 238:16</p> <p>remind 19:2 163:10</p> <p>reminder 236:13 240:10</p> <p>remote 1:20</p> <p>removing 150:6</p> <p>rendered 114:15</p> <p>rep 89:17</p> <p>repeat 68:5 87:11 137:17 148:10</p> <p>repetitious 200:8</p> <p>rephrase 87:12 209:3 215:12 220:17</p>	<p>rephrased 141:18</p> <p>reply 196:4</p> <p>report 62:25 63:13 92:22 102:1 104:6,10 104:14,24</p> <p>reported 1:22</p> <p>reporter 103:10 161:10 200:11 220:11</p> <p>reports 13:14 97:20</p> <p>reports.blm.g... 97:20</p> <p>represent 111:5 114:17 174:18 182:1</p> <p>representation 16:18 98:19 99:9 102:16 103:3</p> <p>representations 98:4,17</p> <p>representative 135:12 187:12 191:12</p> <p>represented 95:22 104:18 151:11 167:12</p> <p>representing 31:20 46:11</p> <p>represents 27:9 213:15</p>	<p>request 40:4 43:2 60:3 62:23 63:5 93:18 97:10 132:14 143:9 160:15 164:22 222:7 224:5 233:25</p> <p>requested 59:25</p> <p>requesting 13:23</p> <p>requests 146:3</p> <p>require 188:13</p> <p>required 197:23 203:17</p> <p>requires 53:1 59:23 66:21 157:23</p> <p>reschedule 18:18</p> <p>research 149:3</p> <p>resend 95:18</p> <p>reserve 48:16 221:24</p> <p>reserves 121:5</p> <p>reservoir 82:8 109:2 110:6 116:22 120:19 122:11 124:5 135:19 167:20</p> <p>resolution 89:16</p> <p>resolve 21:1 44:9 47:24</p>
--	--	--	--

[resolved - rodriguez]

<p>resolved 43:17 resource 182:5 resources 1:2 2:4,12 4:2 10:13 57:9 76:14 85:2 108:14 110:8 128:10 160:4 178:9 respect 137:10 187:13 188:10 respond 52:15 61:6,11 79:22 166:1 196:16 respondent 11:12,19 response 65:6 102:13 109:9 110:1 141:19 162:8,9 169:2 196:2 198:4 201:20 202:1 203:20 204:5,9 responses 61:10 responsibility 120:10 122:21 rest 160:4 217:2 236:25 239:4 restate 128:21 restricted 191:18 restroom 80:14</p>	<p>resubmitting 231:20 result 160:14 171:12 242:3,5 retained 190:18 returned 207:22 reversionary 88:13 review 60:15 66:23 70:6,24 93:5 113:11 114:15 142:7 190:23 191:3 196:15 204:1,4 205:7 229:3 reviewed 79:1 79:7 114:4 115:9,12 116:23 131:15 reviewing 106:24 116:13 229:5 revised 8:14,16 8:20,23 9:17 9:21 10:3 40:3 70:25 71:15 105:8 129:13 144:25 145:1,6 146:1 150:6 229:22 236:1 236:14 revisit 120:2 142:2 147:7</p>	<p>revocation 13:24 right 15:25 20:23 21:18 23:18,21 27:14 27:15 28:5,13 28:20 29:16 30:18,18 33:21 34:1 35:14 36:7 37:8 38:11,18,23 41:24 42:19,23 43:14 44:7,21 45:17,19 49:12 50:21,23 53:8 55:14,19 56:17 57:3,4 59:5 61:8,15 64:13 64:19 67:5,10 72:24 74:1,19 76:10,11,16,20 77:4,4 80:5,25 83:18,25 84:22 86:16,25 87:1 91:5 97:10 98:14 99:8,14 117:13 125:4 129:22 132:9 139:7 144:24 148:16 149:9 149:19 151:20 152:2,5 155:7 155:13,14,21 156:10 157:18 158:5,5,11</p>	<p>160:24 161:7 162:10 163:2 164:4 173:12 177:5,15 181:19 185:10 185:17 187:2 189:11 190:2 193:24 196:20 198:2 205:13 225:3 230:3,4 230:5,25 231:2 231:5 234:2,4 236:2,10,19 238:13,16 242:11,18 243:1 rights 88:13 92:17 114:6,22 119:18 120:11 120:22 121:14 122:1,10,20,24 123:9,21 124:3 124:8,24 172:17 174:15 204:13 209:20 210:7,21 212:24 216:17 ripe 69:10 robust 235:1 rodriguez 5:11 36:16,17,19,22 37:14 38:7,11 39:2,4,6,7,13 39:21,22 40:23 41:1,17,22</p>
--	--	---	--

[room - savage]

<p>room 28:5 36:21 37:24 224:9 royalty 119:19 rule 59:23 60:9 60:17,21 62:9 62:18,19 63:3 63:22,22 66:21 96:20 165:25 166:1 199:21 200:14 202:20 204:12 ruled 171:7 rules 13:16 59:20 62:2 64:2 66:20 68:23 157:22 165:10,16,17 173:15 188:13 200:1,6 238:17 ruling 59:8,21 60:25 62:16 63:25 65:9 68:20 101:18 119:8 166:17 221:24 rulings 67:25 run 80:14 84:18</p>	<p>san 3:16 sand 117:3 170:3 182:19 192:22 216:15 216:16 sands 167:20 santa 1:3,21 2:7,17 3:6 4:7 4:16 5:14,22 6:7,22 17:22 19:11,14,21 24:10 29:5 42:3 46:14 57:16 164:5 satisfied 181:10 satisfy 122:24 savage 6:4 10:11 42:10,11 42:14,16,18 43:23 44:1 45:2,20,21 49:25 50:4,5,6 50:8,11,14,19 50:24 51:6,16 51:22,24 52:3 52:18 53:17 55:16,17,24 56:1,3,9,11,17 56:21 57:4,20 57:21 58:20,21 59:17 60:1,8 60:11 65:8,12 65:20,24,25 66:1,3,7,10,16 67:16,20,23,24</p>	<p>68:7,14,20 69:7,15 70:1 70:20,21,24 71:8,9,13,25 72:2,13,15,19 73:2,5,7,15,16 73:20 74:3,10 74:17,22 75:4 75:10,15,19,24 76:2,7,9,16,20 76:24 77:4,13 77:18,24 78:2 78:6,9,14,24 79:10,20 80:8 81:9,14,15 82:5,6 87:5,6,7 87:20,25 88:5 88:9,15,19 89:2,7,20,25 90:3,7,14,19,21 91:3,6,13,16,22 92:1,4,7,11,25 93:6,11,19,25 94:11 95:2,9 95:22 96:5,11 96:19,21 97:5 97:11,15,19 98:3,16,24 99:2,11,15,24 100:2,5,17,20 100:22 101:9 101:10,16,22 101:24 102:13 102:14 103:2,8 103:13,14,18</p>	<p>103:23 104:3,4 104:15 105:2,7 105:12,15,19 106:2,9,20 107:1,6,9,13,24 108:3,8,13,18 108:23 109:6 109:20,25 110:7,18 111:4 111:10,15,17 112:3,11,14,23 113:4,13,22,25 114:5,16,21 115:4,15,23 116:5,8,14,21 117:1,9,14,21 118:5,6,11,21 119:4,9,15 120:2,5,9,17 121:6,12,20,23 121:24 122:14 122:19,25 123:5,13,18,19 124:11,20 125:6,9,15,22 126:2,7,18,25 127:7,15,19,25 128:13,20,25 129:5,9,12,16 129:19,23,25 130:14,15,19 130:22 131:2,7 131:14,21 132:7,12,17,18 132:23 133:1,6</p>
<p>s</p>			
<p>s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 84:8 189:21</p>			

[savage - see]

133:13,22	savage's 67:1	scope 69:16	126:21 128:7
135:16 154:2	166:1	121:21 168:8	128:17 129:2
155:2,22	saw 89:2 127:5	168:13,15,16	136:13 141:22
156:19,23,25	163:21 201:10	170:9,16 171:3	141:25 149:4
157:1,14,18	saying 52:18	171:6,15	152:9,13 154:9
158:6 159:3,19	67:14 68:22,24	172:19 173:13	181:17,22
162:3,9,13,17	99:8 102:23,25	174:22	182:25 183:21
162:23 163:1,3	105:23 107:10	screen 87:4	184:9,23
163:13,19	107:12 108:7	91:7 146:8	185:15,19
164:7,11,19	145:8 166:17	155:11 196:25	186:3 231:9,14
165:1,9,12,13	168:13,14	scrivener's	sections 52:22
165:14 166:6	197:20 198:23	210:9	53:25 127:9
166:19 167:11	204:11 207:16	scroll 212:23	130:20 146:21
167:15,23	211:15 212:16	scrolling 92:23	152:5,8,15,16
168:10,21	212:17 213:4	sdalrymple	see 11:25 15:17
169:2,3,12,14	213:12,14	6:23	18:13 24:3
169:20,23	214:5 218:9	search 103:11	27:15 28:22
170:1,15,18,25	222:19 225:20	sec 130:1	32:5 35:13
171:5 172:2,4	says 61:18,22	second 8:17,24	43:12 52:1
172:5,12,15,21	76:13 104:22	23:12 34:3	60:17 67:6
173:2,8,10,15	112:13 117:16	37:25 85:17	73:24 74:6
173:16 174:3,9	136:17,19	103:18 109:4	75:17 76:13,17
174:11 175:7	156:2,12	109:13,17	78:1 83:24
175:11 179:13	163:20 202:2,4	110:3 141:2,3	87:4 89:20
181:8,9 187:7	207:2,3 213:11	141:4 145:4	91:14 92:9,14
187:8,23 190:4	213:12	147:6 154:2,10	105:9 130:2
190:6 194:7,9	schedule	177:11 178:3	131:8 140:20
199:13,15,17	133:14,17	192:12 197:21	145:20,24
200:25 222:10	235:2	212:14 238:18	148:17 149:18
233:15,24	scheduled 11:4	section 9:10	155:11 161:13
235:7,22,24	51:2 133:18	40:1 52:5,6	162:21 163:10
236:3,6 237:6	schill 6:5 42:11	60:18 88:14	167:1 181:17
237:7 238:7,14	57:21	94:19 96:13	182:11 186:13
238:16,22,25	scientist 172:23	114:6,22 115:6	188:18 189:6,9
240:2,4		126:8,11,11,13	190:1 191:9

[see - severed]

<p>194:15 199:23 199:25 203:9 204:18,24 207:11,12 212:1,6,15 213:10,12,14 213:19 214:8 228:4 230:10 231:1 238:1 241:21 244:3,7 seeing 117:7 212:17 seek 113:16,16 154:9 164:22 seeking 40:18 111:18 112:6 112:19,25 113:7 115:13 115:20 116:3 134:6 140:3 141:6 150:17 150:18,22 164:20 196:23 205:4 seeks 163:21,22 197:19 seem 99:24 seems 53:15 122:5 138:12 140:23 143:15 173:24 174:4 seen 90:5 117:6 131:12 140:19 164:11 192:20 205:23 206:6</p>	<p>select 87:16,20 self 9:18 10:4,7 10:10 190:7 send 17:8 88:1 198:13 204:10 241:15 sending 144:12 sense 45:18 55:5 150:23 152:10 202:20 sent 12:6 22:1 88:1 95:11,17 108:21 115:11 127:2 131:17 142:9 159:22 160:1,2 198:7 198:24 201:5 202:13 204:9 223:3 sentence 60:20 240:12 separate 70:12 209:13,14,14 209:17 210:3 210:19 211:11 211:11,12,25 217:15,16,16 september 21:19 28:10 126:20 128:4 serial 191:4 214:9 serve 204:23 240:20</p>	<p>service 14:13 14:15 set 20:25 21:5 21:23 22:16 23:12 26:1,6,8 27:1 28:1,2 30:3,5 34:7 39:8 40:5 44:25 47:25 48:10,11 51:13 53:17 56:11 68:15,19 200:10 234:19 236:12 240:9 sets 170:19 setting 23:14 30:24 243:4 settle 23:15 30:18 31:1 settles 22:25 seven 129:20 several 61:9 109:14 191:6 227:3 severance 9:11 109:15 111:2 111:25 113:18 113:23 114:18 116:16 119:12 119:13,17 120:14,19,21 120:24,25 121:5,10,13 122:6,11 125:4 125:17,24</p>	<p>126:1 133:24 134:12 137:1,2 137:5,6,11,14 137:22,24 138:1,23 139:9 140:8 142:25 150:14,19 151:4 155:17 155:23 156:13 156:16 164:14 166:21 170:5,6 180:13,17,22 184:13 185:11 185:23 186:6 186:10 192:25 192:25 193:2,3 193:4,5,10 206:2 210:18 210:19 215:15 216:13 224:14 224:17 225:8,9 227:20 228:17 229:7 severances 111:1 114:13 115:11,16,16 118:24 138:14 164:9,16,17 173:19 174:12 174:14 192:19 224:8,18 227:13 237:9 severed 117:4 150:16</p>
--	--	---	---

[severs - sir]

<p>severs 164:3 shaheen 19:22 20:21 22:21 shaheen's 22:20 shallower 183:1 228:19 shanor 5:20 share 90:11 91:16,21 92:2 93:17 146:8 155:10 196:25 shared 91:1 shares 47:1 sharing 91:17 91:20 sharon 19:22 22:15 shaw 7:14 10:5 58:21 59:3 187:12 188:1,2 188:7 189:6,7 189:8,13,20,20 189:24 190:10 190:12,17,18 190:21 191:1 192:4,13,15,18 194:2,4,16,21 194:25 198:5 198:13,15,19 198:25 202:11 203:1,4 205:2 205:16,22,24 206:3,8,18,25 207:5,10,14,19</p>	<p>208:1,6,11,23 209:3,9,12 211:21 212:1,7 212:11,16,20 213:1,3,17,21 213:25 214:3 215:6,17,24 216:3,23 217:5 217:21,25 219:5,10,14,22 220:3,4,12,21 220:25 221:4,6 221:18 244:6 shaw's 107:25 190:4 shelly 6:19 19:20 shelton 89:3,11 89:14,18 90:9 90:15,16 92:14 92:21 93:2 149:6,10,15 154:18,24 155:2 short 13:5 80:14 170:12 237:13 238:5 shortly 223:17 show 13:7 80:3 98:2,3,5 99:8 101:6,20 105:20 107:6 112:15 122:23 169:4 174:7</p>	<p>showing 9:10 99:6 152:7,8 202:5 227:19 shown 12:5 shows 12:8 90:23 97:22 99:16 123:25 205:3 siblings 217:14 side 149:19 230:16 sign 38:25 95:11,12 signature 238:9 246:14 signatures 92:24 signed 9:4,7 66:7,24 89:15 93:2,13 94:3,9 95:10,16,18 101:1 130:17 130:20 147:6 150:3 similar 137:8 172:24 similarly 59:2 simple 151:12 239:12 simply 53:22 60:3 140:22 187:11 222:23 simultaneously 65:2</p>	<p>single 70:8 120:19 122:11 124:5 126:11 138:25 167:20 singular 78:9 sir 25:16 29:23 30:22 31:4,9 35:25 36:10 43:22 48:5 55:15 56:18 57:4 66:10 70:1 74:10 75:6,15 76:9 76:23 81:15 82:6 84:12 87:7 90:4 91:18 118:21 123:13 140:2,4 140:11,14,16 141:17 142:13 142:23 148:13 148:18 162:2 163:11,12 175:11 178:1 181:21,25 182:3,12,23 185:5 186:12 187:23 189:8 189:24 190:12 199:17 206:25 207:5,10,14 208:2,23 209:8 209:12 211:20 212:2,11 213:1 213:3,17,21,25</p>
---	--	--	--

[sir - spell]

<p>215:6 216:23 217:21 219:10 236:6 243:19 sit 87:21 site 93:18 sitting 19:22 situation 132:1 132:8 six 88:8,9 127:24 129:20 143:14 skills 245:10 246:6 slash 143:19 144:2 slide 148:16 153:11 slides 152:6 slight 38:21 slightly 161:13 slim 102:1 159:22 small 88:24,25 173:5 solely 136:25 somebody 128:14 something's 146:9 son 47:1 soon 41:3 51:14 54:3 241:11 sooner 31:1,1 54:5</p>	<p>sophisticated 165:18 sorry 33:2 35:9 48:3,4 67:22 73:17 91:16 114:25 118:1 121:17 127:3 128:20 137:17 141:9 142:24 143:25 147:12 148:10 154:1 154:16 155:16 156:3 162:25 180:24 183:25 185:3 195:10 197:4 200:19 201:25 209:4,9 210:1,14 211:21 212:7,8 219:18 220:14 222:16 235:19 241:8 sort 89:13,15 89:16 164:6 167:5 215:9 228:17,17 232:11 sought 157:25 164:22,23 sounded 226:9 sounds 28:5 34:2 35:4 36:24 56:6 67:25 68:9 81:10 121:20</p>	<p>122:1 145:5 189:22 221:9 221:23 223:23 224:4 231:12 231:19 236:11 240:4 source 157:25 165:4 south 2:6 94:24 94:24 134:8,10 137:5,20,23,24 137:25 138:1 184:20 187:16 192:2 202:6,16 214:12 217:8 219:4 232:14 southeast 40:1 183:18,20,24 183:24 184:9 185:13,19 191:15 214:15 217:8,10 224:19 227:20 southern 137:5 southwest 39:25 183:18 183:18,21 184:9 185:13 185:19 224:20 227:21 space 176:15 spacing 39:24 39:25 40:5 77:6 85:11 106:18 107:20</p>	<p>134:8,9 135:15 135:18,23 137:20,21 138:25 144:2 152:13 169:8,8 170:2,2 178:18 195:16 202:7 speak 68:18 98:14 187:12 187:19 188:4,9 192:13 speaking 19:17 46:18 speaks 52:6 special 242:18 242:20 specific 59:23 79:10 103:11 103:13 156:21 158:25 163:19 165:3 227:8 specifically 60:8 100:22 102:14 154:4 154:19 155:9 162:24 165:24 222:9 specifics 171:10 specifies 104:6 specify 164:12 specifying 164:21 spell 84:6 177:22 189:19</p>
---	--	--	--

[spelled - stevens]

<p>spelled 189:20 spencer 6:20 19:20 spencerfane.c... 6:23 spent 158:9 sperling 5:4 spirit 147:4 split 151:3 spoke 188:10 194:17 spot 48:16 185:16 spotting 180:17 180:22 spread 142:11 spreadsheet 104:21 spring 9:15 56:23 108:16 108:19,25 109:3,4,8,10,13 109:13,17,17 109:21 110:3,4 110:9,15,17,20 110:21,22,23 111:3,6,7,19,20 111:21,22 112:6,7,8,17,18 113:15,17,19 113:23 115:22 116:16 117:2 119:12,17 122:3 124:22 125:18,19</p>	<p>133:24 134:7 134:16 135:1,2 138:16 139:1,5 140:24 141:2,3 141:5,7,13 144:4 146:21 147:3,15 150:9 150:10,17,25 151:1,3,3,16 154:14 155:9 155:18 156:1 163:22,25 164:23,24 165:2 175:21 176:13,17 180:7,10,13,16 181:2,5,24 182:6 192:3,11 192:17,22 193:25 197:24 201:22 216:11 216:15,16 225:10,11 226:3,21,22,24 231:11 squarely 216:14 stand 179:9 187:7 standard 93:19 105:16 106:15 standing 18:5 26:24 stands 84:20 224:7</p>	<p>staring 104:22 start 34:9 42:14 59:19 80:17 87:4 223:14 238:18 238:20 239:15 started 57:11 starting 11:9 163:15 starts 207:9 state 1:1 13:18 60:9 64:25 84:6 87:15 94:13 110:20 111:18 112:5 113:16 130:24 131:9 177:21 189:18 245:19 stated 17:7 66:18 84:24 94:1 102:15 103:15,16,22 130:16 205:22 216:13 statement 9:18 10:4,7,10 12:14 13:6 67:1 86:18 87:15 95:3,6 95:21 96:4 100:23 101:3 109:24 112:15 113:14 115:23 139:19 140:9 157:8,21</p>	<p>158:24 163:25 178:22 187:23 188:14,16 189:2,5 190:7 191:2 192:9 195:22 203:16 221:16,22 224:12 226:14 229:10 233:10 statements 115:17,18 184:3 187:14 states 14:12,15 96:14 stating 97:11 100:24 status 20:25 21:2,22,23 29:1 34:25 43:2,12,13 44:14,22 48:1 99:4 146:22 242:13,15 statute 96:20 statutes 139:3 stay 65:22 67:12 68:13 69:23 stephen 10:8 58:22 steven 210:16 stevens 2:12 57:8,17 59:10 61:8 66:14,17 67:2 70:25</p>
---	--	--	--

[stevens - surveying]

<p>73:9 114:7,23 212:22 218:15 stick 122:16 170:13 173:1 174:23 240:14 stop 166:8,16 straight 149:24 strain 234:20 strategy 128:12 stratigraphic 141:4 186:4 stratigraphica... 184:16 186:9 street 2:6,16 5:5,13 6:6 strenuous 53:18,22 stricken 220:9 strictly 191:3 strike 183:5 strongly 51:8 240:13 structural 183:9 185:17 186:2 stuff 164:6 203:18 233:12 suazo 3:3 19:13 19:14 20:4,6 21:19 23:1 31:25 32:1,2 33:11,13,15,19 33:23,25 35:6 35:8 36:4,5 42:5,6 43:15</p>	<p>43:16 49:17,18 50:25 51:17,19 52:6,15,21 53:9,15,21 54:15,16 55:6 55:12 subject 56:24 62:9 106:12 129:3 136:21 158:7,8 212:13 213:8 submission 98:8 submit 94:5,16 217:19 231:23 232:9 submitted 40:7 53:25 86:7 93:7 94:4,13 96:15 97:7,23 98:4,21,21 99:17 101:2 102:2,15,19,23 103:4,16 104:23 140:6 221:2 224:8 237:25 239:16 submitting 231:24 subsequent 14:8 39:22 191:18,19 197:14 substantial 55:2</p>	<p>substantiate 215:1,9 substitute 82:17 subsurface 106:18 107:20 subtract 153:2 successor 126:13 suffer 53:19 suffice 229:11 sufficient 13:12 235:10 suite 2:16 5:5 sum 210:17 summary 8:25 199:6 225:23 226:4 230:16 supplemental 9:3,6,9,13 85:19 140:9 227:17 232:6 supplementals 146:2 supply 165:4 support 8:22 87:16 88:2,6,9 94:12 95:10 201:20 supposed 51:3 sure 35:21 40:9 49:11 53:9 54:8 59:22 62:23 73:14 74:1,23 82:2,4</p>	<p>83:3 85:18 100:14 106:5,7 107:17 113:2,3 118:14 130:8 131:20 144:19 145:9 156:9 158:20 161:25 175:18 176:8 177:12 180:24 184:4 185:4 195:8 196:21 198:9,22 199:16,18 200:3,18 202:17 203:8 208:24 210:12 212:4 214:25 219:10 223:18 226:6,22 227:9 228:24 229:2 230:1 234:25 235:13 239:25 240:20 surface 105:21 105:24,25 106:5,24 107:3 107:22 120:15 125:2 138:21 140:19 survey 105:20 106:11 107:2,4 108:10 surveyed 107:7 surveying 108:4</p>
--	---	---	---

[surveyor - texas]

<p>surveyor 8:15 94:4 101:1 suspect 56:7 sustain 101:18 123:11 124:17 136:4 167:8 169:23 sustained 220:2 sustaining 120:7 175:6 swimlane 143:19,19 152:12 swing 211:13 211:13 sworn 16:3 81:23 83:13 84:3 86:3 177:18 189:14 245:5 system 104:21 104:25</p>	<p>83:20 96:13,19 97:4 103:6 104:5 105:21 106:1,4,14,15 107:4,21,21 115:18 123:5 148:4 149:11 153:24 160:18 178:2 185:11 226:17 234:21 236:15 taken 151:5 245:3,12 246:9 takes 83:21 192:21 talk 34:12 58:5 62:2 70:3 82:1 98:1 140:10 155:8 199:22 talked 155:15 155:21 156:18 159:19 229:21 talking 39:1 55:7 74:7,15 76:8 116:1 168:1 172:7 185:2,4 209:15 209:15 217:12 222:9 230:1 235:17,20 talks 60:18,20 60:23 61:22 62:18 tamano 182:10</p>	<p>taos 6:14 target 9:12 109:5 110:3 181:24 targeted 110:9 110:15 targeting 110:9 112:16 182:19 team 39:23 52:4 technical 7:3,6 11:7 18:1 23:3 30:12 70:6 241:12 tell 12:1 16:4 18:2 66:5,13 76:23 84:4 88:21 100:18 107:3 126:7,23 176:9 177:19 180:5 189:15 197:3 198:23 239:22 telling 78:21 96:2 ten 130:9 237:12,21,21 237:23 238:6,9 238:19 239:2 240:11 ten's 237:18 term 88:18 89:21 90:8 92:13,16 149:14 154:8,9</p>	<p>terminate 88:18 terms 54:6 97:14 107:15 141:14 152:10 154:6 195:24 testified 16:5 84:5 100:11 102:21 177:20 178:11 189:16 205:2 testifying 100:13 245:5 testimony 15:15 58:7 62:16 72:5,7 79:22,23 83:20 85:14,25 86:3 86:6,11 96:22 100:14,16,23 102:24 103:7 107:25 116:22 117:10,11,12 118:12,20 134:6 140:13 169:7 178:20 179:4 181:10 184:4 188:9 190:5 195:8 201:19 208:21 209:6 224:17 227:12,12 229:1,11,14 texas 210:11</p>
<p>t</p>			
<p>t 8:1 9:1 10:1 84:8 tab 9:24 10:3,6 10:9 79:12 table 44:5 75:17 79:12 232:11 take 38:14 55:2 60:5 62:13 69:1 71:20 72:25 74:5 80:14 82:25</p>			

[text - third]

<p>text 238:18 thank 16:6 17:10,14,16 19:24 21:20 23:5,17,22,24 25:8 26:17 28:17,20 30:24 31:24 32:6,17 34:5,17 36:2 36:10 38:13,16 39:5,19 41:21 41:22,23 42:8 42:13 43:21 45:19,21,22 47:20 48:9,22 49:12,20,24 51:20 54:7 55:15,17 57:19 57:23 58:19 63:20,24 65:4 65:20 71:7,24 73:6 79:4 80:18,24 82:21 83:5,8 84:23 86:23 87:7,8 91:6 93:6 97:4 98:23 99:11 102:11 103:18 108:13 113:13 123:12,14 133:7,8,10 139:12,13,16 140:4 141:17 142:13 143:8 145:17 146:4</p>	<p>153:15 156:8 159:18 160:23 161:10,16 175:10 176:22 176:23 177:6 177:25 179:15 180:2 181:7,14 186:13,15,25 187:1 190:15 190:17 192:8 194:4,6,9,16 203:12 205:18 206:12,14,17 220:1,16 221:5 221:24 222:2,3 222:17 223:7 229:17 231:16 232:20 233:20 236:17 238:12 239:10 240:15 243:18 244:2,5 244:5,7,8 thanks 133:12 175:9 193:23 236:9 240:7,23 that'd 34:20 119:14 160:10 theenergylaw... 3:17 theoretically 114:19 thickness 180:5 181:1 193:1,7 thing 166:17 227:16</p>	<p>things 21:12 80:21 103:1 125:3 127:5 140:6 think 15:11 21:3 22:13,18 26:11 27:11 30:23 34:11 37:20 38:20 44:9 45:4 46:18,25 47:15 52:23 53:4,21 53:23 63:3,21 64:5 72:20 77:24 83:19 88:7 89:14 94:7 101:13 105:3,3 108:9 108:11 111:24 113:20 115:20 116:3 121:1 122:9 124:12 127:11,20,21 132:3 133:6,21 134:11 135:16 137:15 139:11 141:9 142:20 158:11,19 159:23 160:1,3 160:20 162:4 164:15 165:22 167:7,11 174:17 179:20 179:22 184:7 187:14 190:22</p>	<p>194:3 199:4 201:7,7,13 202:13 204:14 204:15 217:16 221:19 224:6 225:7,22 226:20 233:16 234:21 235:18 237:3,9 239:1 240:25 241:13 244:4 thinking 215:7 223:15 237:17 thinks 89:12,13 127:14 third 94:8,8 96:6,8,22 104:18 108:16 108:19,25 109:2,2,8,8,9 109:21 110:4,9 110:14,16,20 110:21,22 111:6,7,20,21 112:6,7,17,17 112:18 113:15 113:23 116:15 116:15 117:2 119:12,16 122:2,3 124:22 124:22 125:18 125:19 134:3 135:1,2 138:16 139:1 144:12 144:13 147:3,3</p>
--	---	--	---

[third - tract]

<p>147:7 150:16 154:13 155:9 155:18 156:1 165:2 175:21 176:12,16 180:6,9,12,16 181:2,5,24 182:6 192:3,7 192:11,17 193:25 197:24 201:22 216:11 216:14,15 thirds 148:16 152:6 thorough 198:5 thoroughly 69:13 82:7 thought 22:8 26:12 38:21 73:21 95:13 165:12 194:25 196:1,6 201:14 202:9 230:8 234:1 thousand 121:2 three 13:10,24 30:12 41:24 46:1 88:8 96:17 160:20 166:15 184:19 185:22 210:14 234:18 thursday 1:17 201:8</p>	<p>tied 18:5 tight 234:19 till 202:22 time 1:18 12:19 29:13 38:15 44:8 47:18 53:16,23 55:3 58:10 59:24 60:5 63:16 64:6,22 65:16 87:8 95:6 96:23 100:13 126:18,25 129:2 137:12 141:11 155:4 158:9 166:16 170:13 176:11 188:3 191:3 204:4,15 211:12 221:19 228:24 233:10 235:23 236:18 241:25 242:12 243:13 timeframe 160:9 234:11 timeline 8:24 160:19 timely 118:3,3 118:17,17 132:2 times 83:15 142:3 192:25 193:14 211:12</p>	<p>timing 97:8 title 89:6,8,19 90:14 114:1,3 114:14 115:11 115:12 116:11 116:13 142:2,9 149:3 187:12 187:18 188:5 190:19,25 191:7 197:14 198:5,6 205:8 205:9,10,11 210:10 213:6 214:18,25 215:17 218:2,7 218:11 228:13 228:22,24 229:3,5 titled 181:23 206:21 titles 114:1 today 11:7 18:1 18:3,6,17 20:7 21:22 22:2 26:5 34:23 43:20 51:1 57:3,13 59:13 70:4 78:17 85:25 159:5 166:8 170:13 170:14 217:20 224:17 today's 12:2,19 55:25 63:7 70:14,15 85:14</p>	<p>together 47:9 58:15 126:17 152:8 168:25 told 69:22 133:2 tomorrow 233:9 took 150:11 174:25 184:2 226:8 top 21:15 109:16 110:22 111:6,19 112:7 114:10 119:16 141:3 143:18 155:25 159:15 164:15 165:2 175:21 180:6,9 180:12,16 181:2,5 182:16 182:18,21 183:19 193:1,4 206:9 213:24 total 149:18 150:21,21 153:3 193:7 232:16 239:2 town 239:19 tracking 8:9,13 12:8 14:12,14 16:23 17:2 tract 182:17 192:25 193:1,8 193:13,14,17 193:19,20,20</p>
--	--	---	--

[tract - under]

<p>193:20 210:25 214:12 215:2 215:20 216:5 217:17 218:13 218:14 219:5 tracts 106:12 107:7,16 191:24 192:4 trade 127:23 transaction 129:7 transcriber 246:1 transcript 117:15 118:7 131:15 246:3,5 transcriptionist 245:8 transfer 93:3 226:8 transferred 90:24 transport 13:25 travis 7:12 84:2 84:8 216:6 treated 138:13 trevino 2:3 11:14,14,17,19 11:20,22 12:3 12:12,16,20 13:1,8,19,22 14:5 15:2,7,19 16:7,8,11,14,16 16:21,25 17:4</p>	<p>17:9,13,15,16 tried 135:17 trigger 164:2 trouble 92:5 215:7 218:12 true 16:18 120:17 121:7 130:19 245:9 246:5 trust 214:10 217:13 truth 16:4,4,5 84:4,4,5 177:19,19,20 189:15,15,16 try 36:23 38:24 44:9 94:21 130:10,12 160:14 166:24 167:6 173:3 220:17 trying 38:12 69:15 75:13 98:2,3,5 99:24 100:3 118:10 118:11 126:9 129:22 135:21 137:8 145:9,11 151:14 167:23 171:12 172:7 174:6 183:25 199:20 214:21 235:6 tschantz 7:9 56:3 71:19</p>	<p>72:11 201:5 204:10 223:2 240:19 242:12 turn 20:4 37:25 52:12 133:5 tvd 180:22 231:13 twice 123:2 two 23:19,25 25:22 28:7,23 28:24 34:8 43:20 45:1,5 45:12,17 51:7 52:4,12,22,25 53:2,15 55:7 59:11 83:12 88:8 96:16 102:25 104:7 113:9 148:16 152:5 158:10 159:6,16 166:17 167:24 168:1,18,19,24 170:2,19,21,22 171:13 172:16 174:16 175:7,8 183:5 188:19 190:20 205:7 207:7 209:17 211:4,11,11,12 211:24 216:24 216:24 217:15 217:15,15,16 218:11 224:18 234:18</p>	<p>tx 3:16 type 141:2 180:22 184:10 types 138:10 typewriting 245:7 typical 192:16 237:13 typically 192:18 typo 140:22 226:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">u</p> <hr/> <p>u 52:12 unable 51:7 191:5 214:22 unavailable 58:13 unaware 128:18,22 155:3 uncertified 93:9,12 unclear 143:23 143:24 200:15 uncontested 13:9 uncustomary 218:16 under 15:16 61:5 62:3,5 84:19 86:11 90:3 95:16,16 95:20 97:19 122:22 157:22</p>
--	--	---	---

[under - vance]

<p>157:23 163:10 191:11 218:23 238:17 understand 22:22 31:17 34:21 42:19 43:3 53:7 55:5 55:6 67:24 68:5 69:14,16 74:23 83:22 89:12 93:11 98:23,23 101:10 103:23 104:23,25 124:11,14,15 129:23 131:17 136:3 137:15 145:10 157:12 158:5 166:5,6 172:21 173:7 196:21 198:23 204:6 219:9,25 225:13 233:2 239:23 understanding 18:7 22:14 47:17 68:16 131:1 146:15 148:22 171:13 181:3 183:12 183:17 199:9 207:15 208:4,9 212:4 215:11 219:12 220:22 221:2 229:6</p>	<p>230:14 understands 169:7 understood 70:21 78:24 undivided 192:5,6 undue 53:19 unfortunate 131:24 unfortunately 83:14 106:25 uniform 116:9 unit 39:24,25 40:5 106:16,18 107:21 120:12 120:15 134:8,9 135:23 137:20 137:21,24 138:1,4,24,25 144:2 152:13 154:24 169:8,8 192:3 193:13 193:15,17 195:16 202:7 219:3,4 222:23 united 14:12,14 units 40:18 85:11 88:3,11 88:22 114:1 135:15,18 138:15,15 152:4 170:2,2 178:18 208:25 208:25 232:13</p>	<p>232:17 universe 136:6 universes 159:7 unmute 15:22 unrelated 169:4,5 unreliable 200:8 unsigned 93:9 untimely 119:7 updated 8:17 8:24 9:17 updip 183:4,10 185:19 upload 93:17 upper 122:4 124:23,25 173:20 183:15 183:23 231:5 upset 200:25 usa 2:11 17:18 46:2 240:24 use 80:14 110:14 148:3 155:14 166:16 200:6 209:11 229:5 used 12:24 78:4 using 193:14 193:16 usually 164:4</p>	<p>88:4,5,13 89:12,12,15,22 90:9,16 92:15 92:20 109:9,11 109:21 115:10 126:10,10,15 126:18,23 127:8,12,14 128:5,9,14,15 128:19,23 129:3,7,10 130:24 131:17 148:15,20,21 148:25 149:18 149:25 150:11 150:13 151:11 152:6,7,9,10 153:11 154:9 159:19 160:15 160:18 188:2 189:23,25 231:18 valid 68:21 69:18 114:20 142:10 validity 157:9 values 219:1,5 219:6 vance 2:14 17:21,22,25 18:4,20 19:10 19:11,25 20:2 20:4,15,17,20 21:12,14,21 22:3,6,10,23</p>
		<p>v</p>	
		<p>v 6:3 29:8 57:9 57:22 66:12 67:4 79:1 84:8</p>	

[vance - violation]

23:14,17,20	119:22,23	205:6,17,20,22	veracity 86:14
24:9,10,15	121:18 125:14	205:25 206:5	verbal 59:8
28:15,21 29:4	135:5 145:5,9	206:10 219:19	verbiage
29:5,9,11,16,17	145:19,24	219:22 220:1	110:12
29:22 30:23	146:5 153:19	223:25 224:3	verge 95:13
31:10,11 37:10	153:21,25	224:13,22,25	versus 157:20
42:2,3,24,25	154:15 155:1,7	225:5,12,16,18	158:2 183:2
43:8,10 44:8	155:13,20	225:19 226:1	vertical 39:23
44:11,19,20	156:4,8,15,18	226:10,12,18	39:24 120:23
45:3,7,11,14,23	157:16,18	226:25 227:6,9	136:20 183:15
45:24 46:5,13	158:19 159:3	227:15,24	183:19,23
46:14 47:22	159:10,13,18	228:7,12,21	184:24 208:7
48:10,13 49:1	160:6,11,13,17	229:10,17,20	226:16,23
49:3 57:15,16	160:21 161:1	229:24 230:2,6	227:2 229:8
58:9,11 61:11	162:21 163:5,6	230:11,18,23	231:10
61:13,16 70:7	163:15 165:6,9	230:25 231:3,7	vested 191:21
71:4,5,15,22	165:21 166:5	231:15,16	215:18 216:18
72:25 73:4	166:23 167:16	233:2,4,7	vests 218:11
79:6,7 80:11	167:22 168:8	234:17,23	viability 53:2
80:13,18,20	168:12,16	235:1,11,15,18	videoconfere...
81:1,21,24	170:7,12,18	236:12,16,20	2:3,14 3:3,13
82:19,20,23	173:9 174:25	237:4,5 238:4	4:4,14 5:3,11
83:5,7,12,14,19	177:7,9,12	239:5,6,10,15	5:19 6:4,12,19
84:17,21,23	178:2,3,10,14	239:17 241:1,7	7:5,8,10,11,12
85:4,7,10,13,16	178:17,20,25	241:10,15,23	7:13,14
85:23 86:2,5	179:3,7,18	242:22,23	view 96:1
86:10,13,16	186:18,20,23	243:3,9 244:3	106:13 120:14
87:1 91:9,11	187:3,4 194:11	vance's 72:1	125:1 126:16
96:25 97:2	194:12,15,22	165:15 171:2	174:14
99:19,20	195:15 196:9	196:2 240:25	violated 202:19
100:23 101:9	196:10,14	variety 163:16	violates 174:14
101:12 102:4,7	198:3 199:24	various 106:12	violation 8:6
102:20 104:2	200:24 202:22	138:6 142:8	11:10 12:4
117:22,25	203:13,14	203:25	13:15 14:9
118:16 119:20	204:7,18 205:1		16:17

[violations - witness]

<p>violations 14:10 16:17</p> <p>virtual 54:1 225:15</p> <p>virtue 138:2</p> <p>vis 218:22,22</p> <p>vitae 8:4 14:8 16:14</p> <p>voir 91:10</p>	<p>158:1,20 159:5 160:6 166:7,8 166:9 167:3,7 170:13 175:17 176:6,19 177:11 180:2 196:25 197:2 197:17 202:17 211:6,20 212:14 223:14 227:24 232:4 234:6,15 235:8 236:21 238:1,1 238:5 239:6 243:2,7</p> <p>wanted 35:20 52:9 59:7 70:3 73:13 147:2 161:25 173:10 177:1 192:9 220:23 237:11 239:11 243:7</p> <p>wanting 43:1 146:11 192:13 238:20</p> <p>wants 120:2 157:18</p> <p>way 23:1,4 52:10 55:11 70:17 76:22 90:2,2 107:8,9 124:12 125:3 135:15,18,25 136:1,9 158:9 169:24 174:7</p>	<p>194:18 204:18 209:22 211:5 211:14 212:1 212:14 222:20 233:21 243:6</p> <p>ways 211:6</p> <p>we've 20:6 24:16 34:17,18 35:16 43:16,18 51:7 59:24 110:15 113:2 132:4 147:7,8 155:15 187:8 237:24</p> <p>website 73:17</p> <p>week 30:10 55:7 94:8 96:6 96:23 104:18 166:14 201:7 234:24</p> <p>weeks 53:15 54:6 59:11 160:20</p> <p>welcome 55:20 104:13 205:11</p> <p>wells 13:10,24 96:3 102:2 109:7 110:13 110:16 112:20 121:11 122:8 133:14 134:22 138:20 143:1 169:17 170:4 172:16 173:22 183:5 184:10</p>	<p>227:22 228:16 228:19</p> <p>went 40:3 127:23 147:6 149:7 150:2 158:9 237:18</p> <p>west 183:4,10</p> <p>western 88:20 182:10 183:2</p> <p>when's 241:6</p> <p>whichever 230:8</p> <p>wife 218:18</p> <p>windows 91:17 91:19 92:3</p> <p>wisely 166:16</p> <p>wish 227:18 232:7</p> <p>withdraw 25:2 47:17 125:15</p> <p>withdrawal 23:1</p> <p>withdrawing 29:16</p> <p>withdrawn 20:6,14 24:16 35:17 43:18 44:24</p> <p>withdrew 20:10 33:8,10 131:3</p> <p>witness 7:11,12 7:13,14 15:16 16:3 81:6 84:3 90:1 91:10</p>
w			
<p>w 189:21</p> <p>wait 202:22 222:18</p> <p>waiting 18:10 18:11,14,21 94:16 241:4</p> <p>waive 132:4,9</p> <p>want 14:25 15:5,13 18:18 20:19 29:25 39:6,9,16 45:7 47:25 48:10,11 48:17 52:20 58:3 61:8 70:4 70:5,17 73:2 74:5 78:20 79:18 80:20 82:1,2,3 84:17 86:25 91:20 119:4 124:19 127:14 133:3 136:5,9 138:22 139:5 140:6 146:8,25 155:3 155:8 156:9</p>			

[witness - yeah]

<p>100:4,10,13 101:9,14,21 103:22 104:13 118:4,19,20 124:16 129:18 130:11 132:20 132:22,22 133:2 135:7 145:8 146:7 153:17 158:12 165:11 167:6 174:24 177:7 177:11,18 179:16 186:16 186:22 188:1,7 189:14 221:11 245:4 witness's 100:16 witnesses 35:2 55:10 58:8,9 58:20 59:1 81:12,23,25 83:13 244:6 wolfcamp 182:21 wonder 19:17 158:8 words 78:4 work 39:14 44:19 48:24 54:3 147:16 161:4 243:6,23 worked 30:24 114:3 128:4,5</p>	<p>128:19,23 working 8:17 21:1 40:17 88:11,16 119:18 128:15 146:12,16 148:20,22,25 149:1 153:11 154:6,7,12 192:25 193:8 193:13 207:17 207:24 208:1 209:2 210:1,22 210:23 211:3,4 214:2 215:5 217:23 219:6 works 23:4 39:12,17 44:12 52:3 104:21 105:1 128:9 161:1,2 236:9 240:7 worksheet 56:4 worried 147:17 worry 162:12 243:22 would've 77:13 94:6 141:1 173:6 wow 37:11 wozniak 3:4 19:15 32:2 42:6 49:18 wpx 6:2 50:6,7 53:24</p>	<p>wpx's 51:24 52:4,8,24 wrinkle 25:25 write 55:3 87:21,22 writing 59:21 60:10 61:23 62:2 69:24 221:3 231:14 232:5 written 59:9,18 59:24,25 60:4 60:14 61:1,12 61:19,25 63:5 63:12 64:16,20 67:13 85:14 86:11 171:8,16 227:12 233:16 234:2,6 240:11 wrong 83:15 199:25 wrote 148:9</p>	<p>y y 11:23 y'all 134:6 y'all's 132:5 yeah 28:11,12 28:13 30:6 37:13 39:17 52:3 53:21 76:24 93:1 94:14 96:1 98:16 105:14 106:2 111:15 115:4,8,25 127:19 128:13 131:5,14 138:18 140:25 140:25 142:8 142:24 143:25 144:5,16,23 145:24 146:24 150:13 151:9 151:24 154:22 162:10,14 163:7 169:22 176:14,19 180:20,20 182:18 183:3,3 183:6,8,8,9 184:2,7,8 208:8 228:14 228:15 230:4 231:3,5,9 234:14 236:4 237:16,20 239:6 241:14</p>
		<p>x x 8:1 9:1 10:1 xto 3:2 19:15 32:3 34:18 35:9,10,13 42:7 130:24,24 131:3 150:1,4 150:6 151:10 153:1,12 230:22 xto's 152:24 153:2</p>	

[yeah - zoomed]

242:5 year 51:9 160:11 years 120:25 121:1 142:20 142:21 208:17 yep 35:13 105:14 140:17 140:21 146:19 149:21 152:21 164:10 yesterday 12:22 40:4 202:12
z
zero 128:11 zoomed 182:9