1		STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
2	ENERGY, MIN	IERALS AND NATURAL RESOURC	ES DEPARTMENT
3		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISIO	N
4			
5	APPLICATIONS C	OF WPX ENERGY	
6	PERMIAN, LLC F	FOR COMPULSORY	
7	POOLING, EDDY	COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	Case Nos.
8			25204 & 25205
9	APPLICATIONS C	OF 3R OPERATING,	
10	LLC FOR COMPUI	SORY POOLING, EDDY	
11	COUNTY, NEW ME	EXICO.	Case Nos.
12			25123 & 25124
13			
14		HEARING	
15		DAY 2	
16	DATE:	Wednesday, April 30, 202	5
17	TIME:	8:53 a.m.	
18	BEFORE:	Hearing Examiner Gregory	A. Chakalian
19	LOCATION:	Remote Proceeding	
20		Pecos Hall	
21		Wendell Chino Building	
22		1220 South Saint Francis	Drive
23		Santa Fe, NM 87505	
24	REPORTED BY:	James Cogswell	
25	JOB NO.:	7132911	
			Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF WPX ENERGY PERMIAN LLC:
3	DARIN C. SAVAGE, ESQUIRE
4	Abadie & Schill PC
5	555 Rivergate Lane, Suite B4-180
6	Durango, CO 81301
7	darin@abadieschill.com
8	(970) 385-4401
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF 3R OPERATING LLC:
11	JAMES P. PARROT, ESQUIRE
12	JACOB LEVI EVERHART, ESQUIRE
13	Beatty & Wozniak PC
14	1675 Broadway, Suite 600
15	Denver, CO 80202
16	jparrot@bwenergylaw.com
17	jeverhart@bwenergylaw.com
18	(303) 917-2261
19	(303) 407-4492
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 2

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF 3R OPERATING LLC:
3	MIGUEL A. SUAZO, ESQUIRE
4	Beatty & Wozniak PC
5	500 Don Gaspar Avenue
6	Santa Fe, NM 87505
7	msuazo@bwenergylaw.com
8	(202) 251-7768
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	Andrew Fordyce, Technical Examiner
12	(by videoconference)
13	Freya Tschantz, Law Clerk
14	John Garcia, Technical Examiner
15	(by videoconference)
16	Jon Slagle, Witness
17	Brian Atwell, Witness
18	Brian van Staveren, Witness
19	Tyler Lane, Witness
20	Michael Womack, Witness (by videoconference)
21	Andy Bennett, Witness
22	Joe Dixon, Witness
23	Kevin Barnes, Witness
24	
25	
	Da ~ 2
	Page 3

1		INDEX				
2	WITNESS(ES):		DX	CX	RDX	RCX
3	PAUL MELLAND					
4	By Mr. Savage		7			
5	By Mr. Parrot			14		
6	MICHAEL WOMACK					
7	By Mr. Savage		22		66	
8	By Mr. Parrot			37		
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
					Page	4

1	EXHIBITS	
2	NO. DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	(None marked.)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		_
		Page 5

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We left
3	off yesterday where WPX was presenting its witnesses
4	and its case in chief. We had heard from Mr. Bennett,
5	Dixon, and Barnes. And today, we're going to hear
6	from Mr. Melland and Mr. Womack.
7	Are there any preliminary matters that
8	we need to deal with before we get into the witness
9	testimony, Mr. Savage?
10	MR. SAVAGE: Not from us.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Parrot?
12	MR. PARROT: No. Thank you.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
14	Thank you.
15	Okay. Mr. Savage?
16	MR. SAVAGE: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
17	I call Paul Melland.
18	Mr. Melland, are you available?
19	MR. MELLAND: I am here.
20	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Can you state
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Hold on,
22	Mr. Savage.
23	Mr. Melland, I remind you that you are
24	under oath, and you are not yet admitted as an expert
25	before this Division.

1	So go ahead, Mr. Savage.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. SAVAGE:
4	MR. SAVAGE: Can you state your full
5	name for the record?
6	MR. MELLAND: It's Paul Melland.
7	MR. SAVAGE: And is it correct you have
8	not testified previously before the New Mexico Oil
9	Conservation Oil Division?
10	MR. MELLAND: That is correct.
11	MR. SAVAGE: Are you familiar with the
12	subject lands and the surface facilities in these
13	cases?
14	MR. MELLAND: I am.
15	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And have you
16	prepared, or prepared under your supervision, and
17	reviewed the exhibits you submitted in these
18	proceedings, including your self-affirmed statement?
19	MR. MELLAND: I have.
20	MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
21	make Mr. Melland available for review.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
23	Mr. Melland, what field of expertise
24	are you seeking to be qualified as an expert before
25	this Division?

1	MR. MELLAND: Facilities and
2	construction engineer.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
4	Perfect. What education do you have toward that
5	expertise?
6	MR. MELLAND: I have my my
7	bachelor's degree in chemical engineering.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And when did you
9	achieve it?
10	MR. MELLAND: In 2011.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And
12	what work experience do you have toward that
13	experience?
14	MR. MELLAND: I'm currently a
15	facilities and construction engineer for WPX. I've
16	been with them for in this role for about
17	two-and-a-half years. My other industry experience,
18	starting from internships throughout my college years,
19	includes a year and a half as a field rotational
20	field engineer, four-and-a-half years as a production
21	engineer. That was with with Chesapeake Energy in
22	the Gulf Coast region. Three-and-a-half years as a
23	reservoir engineer in the Midcontinent. And and
24	then my experience here with Devon and WPX as a
25	facilities engineer.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what does a
2	facilities and construction engineer do?
3	MR. MELLAND: I I'm involved with
4	well, I oversee the planning and the construction of
5	facilities, well pads, flowlines, and artificial lift
6	equipment associated with new wells being drilled.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay.
8	And what is your current title?
9	MR. MELLAND: Facilities and
10	construction engineer. I think I think there's a
11	"senior staff" in there. I'd have to look it up
12	though, honestly.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is this
14	the is this, that you're bringing up, the
15	self-affirmed statement?
16	MR. MELLAND: I am.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is there
18	also a resume here as well?
19	MR. MELLAND: Yes.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's perfect.
21	Thank you. Okay. Mr. Melland, from here on, you're
22	recognized as an expert in facilities and construction
23	engineering before this Division.
24	Mr. Savage?
25	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
	Page 9

1	Examiner.
2	BY MR. SAVAGE:
3	MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Melland, have you
4	prepared I've already asked that.
5	Do you have do you see your
6	self-affirmed statement?
7	MR. MELLAND: Yes, I do.
8	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Do you have any
9	clarifications or corrections or modifications that
LO	you'd like to address?
L1	MR. MELLAND: I do. I have I'd like
L2	to clarify in my statement, specifically paragraph
L3	nine, where I briefly describe some emissions
L 4	reduction measures included in the standard design
L5	that WPX will be deploying here.
L6	I would just like to plainly state that
L7	this standard design is fully compliant with all
L8	applicable state and federal rules, since I did not
L9	specifically state that. And that's my only
20	clarification.
21	MR. SAVAGE: Let's move down to your
22	original exhibit, B2 [ph].
23	Can you explain and discuss for the
24	benefit of the Division this particular exhibit and
25	how it plays into the overall development plan?

1	MR. MELLAND: Yes. This this
2	exhibit shows WPX's facility development plan.
3	Included in this plan, as you can see, is a single
4	CTB, two well pads, leased roads, and flowline
5	corridors.
6	I want to highlight the efficiencies
7	gained with this plan with respect to full development
8	of the unit. I want our facility is designed to be
9	capable of handling 14 wells, so he'll be capable of
10	servicing four Bone Springs wells, four Wolfcamp XY,
11	and four Wolfcamp B wells.
12	So with WPX as the operator of both
13	Wolfcamp and Bone Springs formations, the total
14	disturbance for development of both formations would
15	be largely limited to the disturbance shown in this
16	exhibit.
17	In a similar way, this plan is
18	"capally" efficient since the CTB equipment costs will
19	be shared across all wells. If if 3R is granted
20	operatorship of Wolfcamp, these efficiencies will be
21	lost.
22	Since 3R only has ownership in the
23	Wolfcamp and not in the Bone Springs, WPX is uniquely
24	positioned to realize these efficiencies as operator
25	of Wolfcamp.

1	With both 3R and WPX developing the
2	unit as operators, there will be at least two
3	facilities, more pads, more leased roads, and more
4	pipeline disturbance.
5	3R did not provide an overview, that
6	that I could see, of their planned surface
7	disturbance, so I cannot quantify what the what the
8	total would be with 3R as operator of the Wolfcamp.
9	But given that WPX's disturbance under this scenario
10	would be largely unchanged, the the total
11	disturbance is sure to increase. Likewise, capital
12	required for surface facilities is sure to increase.
13	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Thank you,
14	Mr. Melland. And you also provided two rebuttal
15	exhibits; is that correct?
16	MR. MELLAND: I I just provided one
17	rebuttal exhibit.
18	MR. SAVAGE: Just one
19	MR. MELLAND: R5.
20	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. I'm going to move
21	to those.
22	Okay. Mr. Melland, is this your
23	rebuttal exhibit?
24	MR. MELLAND: Yes, sir.
25	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And you prepared
	Da 10
	Page 12

1	this under your supervision?
2	MR. MELLAND: Yes, sir.
3	MR. SAVAGE: Can you explain what
4	evidence of 3R that 3R presented that it rebuts,
5	and how it rebuts that evidence?
6	MR. MELLAND: In in 3R or in
7	Rebuttal Exhibit 3R 129, 3R postulates that WPX's
8	facility estimate is low due to the omission of
9	pipeline costs associated with transport from the well
10	pads to the CTB. I just wanted to state that this is
11	incorrect. WPX's development plan is simply cost
12	efficient.
13	And the facility's cost estimate in the
14	AFEs provided are consistent with the cost of a single
15	CTB with eight wells flowing to it.
16	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Does that conclude
17	your overview of this slide?
18	MR. MELLAND: It does.
19	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
20	Mr. Melland's available for
21	cross-examination.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So
23	there's only one rebuttal slide from this witness?
24	MR. SAVAGE: That's correct.
25	MR. MELLAND: Yes.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
2	Thank you.
3	Okay. Mr. Parrot?
4	MR. PARROT: Thank you very much.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. PARROT:
7	MR. PARROT: Mr. Melland, thank you
8	very much for your time this morning. If you have any
9	trouble hearing me, please just interrupt me and let
10	me know.
11	MR. MELLAND: Yes, sir.
12	MR. PARROT: As WPX's facilities design
13	engineer, do you design your facilities to comply with
14	federal regulations pertaining to measurement and
15	takeaway of gas, such as 43 CFR 3162?
16	MR. MELLAND: I am the the
17	construction engineer. We have a separate design
18	engineer that that handles much of that.
19	So I do while I do handle design
20	considerations and and certain things that
21	regarding compliance, I'm I'm not considered the
22	design engineer who who designs the standard
23	itself.
24	MR. PARROT: Okay. Well, correct me if
25	I'm wrong, you did on your Exhibit D2, you

1	testified as to the anticipated design of your
2	facilities for the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp
3	formations; correct?
4	MR. MELLAND: Yes, sir. That would be
5	our our rev revision three of our standard
6	design.
7	MR. PARROT: Do you anticipate that
8	those will comply with beyond federal regulations
9	pertaining to measurement comingling?
10	MR. MELLAND: Yes, sir.
11	MR. PARROT: Do you always have your
12	facilities comply with those regulations when there
13	are federal leases involved, to your knowledge?
14	MR. MELLAND: I believe so, sir.
15	MR. PARROT: Do you know if you'll be
16	allowed to flow Wolfcamp wells that are producing from
17	two 640s to your Bone Spring to the same central
18	tank battery to which your Bone Spring wells are going
19	to be flowing?
20	MR. MELLAND: Yes. We we review
21	comingling on all of our batteries, and we have
22	we've made that review and have a plan to handle what
23	wells and what formations can be comingled.
24	MR. PARROT: Are you aware whether your
25	Bone Spring wells are going to be spaced on different

1	spacing than your Wolfcamp wells?
2	MR. MELLAND: I I'm not I don't
3	really handle spacing or or any of the details
4	regarding, you know, what goes into what can be can
5	or can't be comingled. That's that's not in my
6	area of expertise.
7	I am I'm solely relying on other
8	professionals to provide me that information, and
9	and I design my facility based on their
10	recommendations.
11	MR. PARROT: Okay. Would it surprise
12	you to learn that given the disparate spacing
13	between Bone Spring and Wolfcamp, that a comingling
14	approval will be necessary for these proposed
15	facilities?
16	MR. SAVAGE: Objection.
17	MR. MELLAND: We
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Hold on,
19	Mr. Melland.
20	What's the objection?
21	MR. SAVAGE: I believe the witness has
22	already explained that he that this is outside of
23	his purview the regulatory details. And that he
24	relies on other authority to provide those.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Melland? I
	Page 16

1	mean, Mr. Parrot?
2	MR. PARROT: Presumably, the other
3	authority will have informed him whether he's going to
4	need to obtain a comingling approval in order for
5	these facilities that he is discussing the design
6	of which he is discussing will be required.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Parrot,
8	are you asking the witness if he has been informed
9	then?
10	MR. PARROT: Yes.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You are
12	asking
13	MR. PARROT: Yes.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you
15	rephrase your question
16	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: to make that
18	clear?
19	So I'll sustain the objection, but just
20	rephrase your question.
21	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
23	BY MR. PARROT:
24	MR. PARROT: Mr. Melland, have you been
25	informed whether or not these facilities that
	Page 17

1	you're for which you're discussing the design will
2	require a comingling approval?
3	MR. MELLAND: Yes.
4	MR. PARROT: You have been informed?
5	MR. MELLAND: Yes.
6	MR. PARROT: Okay. And what was that
7	information?
8	MR. MELLAND: The information I
9	received is that we'll have to apply to comingle our
10	north half wells. And I apologize I apologize if
11	I'm not explaining this correctly because it it
12	again, it's not exactly within my purview.
13	But my understanding is that we will
14	have to apply to comingle all of the north half wells
15	in one what we call a "comingle group." And then
16	we will apply to comingle our south half wells into
17	what we call a "comingle group."
18	And the how our how our facility
19	is designed, we are in compliance in terms of how we
20	measure, how we keep oil segregated between comingle
21	groups. And as far as as far as I know, we have
22	two comingle groups in this facility.
23	MR. PARROT: Understood.
24	Okay. Thank you. No more questions.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

1	Mr. Fordyce, any questions for this
2	witness?
3	MR. FORDYCE: No questions, Mr. Hearing
4	Examiner.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	Is there any redirect, Mr
7	MR. SAVAGE: No questions.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. May this
9	witness be excused?
10	MR. SAVAGE: He may.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	Mr. Melland, thank you for your
13	testimony.
14	MR. MELLAND: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now I think
16	we're calling Mr. Womack; is that correct?
17	MR. SAVAGE: That is correct,
18	Mr. Hearing Examiner.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Womack, once
20	we get you on the screen there you are we're
21	going to go through the same things we did with
22	Mr. Melland.
23	You're still under oath from yesterday.
24	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
	Page 19

1	Let's deal with your field of expertise.
2	What field do you wish to be qualified
3	as an expert before this Division?
4	MR. WOMACK: Completions engineering.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Completions.
6	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What does
8	a completions engineer do?
9	MR. WOMACK: That's a good question. I
10	would I would say a completions engineer, in the
11	general sense, designs and executes operations related
12	to making contact with the reservoir. But, you know,
13	more specific to Delaware Basin, completions engineer
14	has a pretty large focus on hydraulic fracturing.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. Okay.
16	All right. Let's talk about your education and
17	your first, we'll deal with your education.
18	Tell me what education you have that
19	goes toward this field of expertise.
20	MR. WOMACK: I hold a bachelor of
21	science degree in petroleum petroleum engineering
22	from the University of Missouri-Rolla.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there a date
24	to that?
25	MR. WOMACK: I graduated in December
	Page 20
	rage 20

1	2005.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And then
3	what?
4	MR. WOMACK: And then I sorry about
5	that. I started work for Devon Energy. I have over
6	17 years of industry experience, and 12 years of
7	direct involvement with completions.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
9	MR. WOMACK: And then in, you know,
10	July of 2022, I hired on with WPX Devon, and I've been
11	working in the Delaware Delaware Basin for that
12	whole time.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And
14	during those 17 years of experience, what did you do?
15	MR. WOMACK: During those I had a
16	multitude of roles. Pretty much every aspect of
17	petroleum engineering. But but in general, I was
18	an operations engineer dealing with you know, back
19	then, everything was combined where you would have
20	completions, production, facilities, everything
21	combined into one group.
22	And then as the industry became more
23	specialized, I had roles both in the completions on
24	the design aspect, including a few a few times
25	where I was on teams that were reservoir reservoir

1	characterization teams, where we were solely focused
2	on the reservoir. And my role was the understanding
3	of the completions planning, and conducting
4	diagnostics, and modeling, and that sorts of things.
5	I've also been a production engineer
6	and a reservoir engineer.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
8	you. What's your title now, sir?
9	MR. WOMACK: My title is senior staff
10	completions engineer.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
12	you. So from here on in, you are recognized as a
13	completions engineer before this Division.
14	Mr. Savage?
15	MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. SAVAGE:
18	MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Womack, can you state
19	your full name for the record?
20	MR. WOMACK: My full name is Michael
21	Tanner Womack.
22	MR. SAVAGE: Are you familiar with the
23	subject lands and the wells in these cases?
24	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
25	MR. SAVAGE: And are you appearing as a
	D = 00
	Page 22

1	rebuttal witness?
2	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
3	MR. SAVAGE: And have you prepared, or
4	prepared under your supervision, and reviewed the
5	rebuttal exhibits you submitted in these proceedings,
6	including your statement?
7	MR. WOMACK: That is correct. Yes,
8	sir.
9	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. I have the
10	statement pulled up. Are there any clarifications or
11	any matters you want to address in this statement
12	before we go to the rebuttal exhibits?
13	MR. WOMACK: I would like to clarify
14	paragraph seven. In there, I I stated that the
15	the WPX Rebuttal Exhibit R3 shows that the average
16	proppant of 499 WPX/Devon wells drilled and completed
17	since 2016 in Eddy and Lea Counties is 2,474 pounds
18	per foot. That I would like to clarify that as
19	Devon wells, which includes Devon wells after the
20	merger.
21	And during my exhibit, I'll kind of
22	I'll I'll explain where the data comes from.
23	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Thank you. I'm
24	going to move to the rebuttal exhibits at this time.
25	Okay, Mr. Womack. This is Rebuttal

1	Exhibit R3. Is this one of your rebuttal exhibits?
2	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
3	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And you prepared
4	this yourself on
5	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
6	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And can you explain
7	what in 3R's evidence it rebuts, and how it rebuts
8	that evidence?
9	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. It it rebuts
10	3R's Exhibits 127, 128, and 129, in which 3R implies
11	that Devon does not have a modern completion design.
12	And it also rebuts 3R's suggestions that the
13	completion portion of the AFE proposals are
14	underestimated.
15	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And then how does
16	it do this?
17	MR. WOMACK: So I I guess to start,
18	I believe I believe that 3R was was correct in
19	the first standpoint in questioning the completion
20	size. We did revise the completion size, which I'll
21	go into the into on the next page.
22	But their method to demonstrate it was
23	very misleading, and 3R introduced incorrect
24	information on historic WPX Devon completion sizes.
25	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Do you want me to
	Page 24

1	morro to the next alide?
Т	move to the next slide?
2	MR. WOMACK: No, sir. Do I
3	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
4	MR. WOMACK: Am I able to go through
5	these?
6	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Yes, please.
7	Just
8	MR. WOMACK: Okay.
9	MR. SAVAGE: explain, you know, each
10	item in this you know, you have it looks like
11	you have several bullet points. If you would explain
12	each point in this in the graphs of the exhibit.
13	MR. WOMACK: Yes. So I guess I kind of
14	want to start with Exhibit 127. If you look at the
15	the table that's presented there showing historic WPX
16	Devon designs, if you look at the red box on the
17	bottom, you can see that this is supposed to be from
18	IHS. It's filtered to Eddy and Lea Counties, and it's
19	filtered to a Wolfcamp interval.
20	And they also I mean, I I believe
21	it was stated yesterday as well that that was correct.
22	And so if you go and you look at the
23	the proppant pounds-per-foot column, and then the
24	fluids barrels-per or gallons-per-foot column, you
25	see a huge discrepancy in what WPX Devon presented and

1	what I've got presented on the left.
2	For instance, just taking in 2022,
3	their value is 2,097 pounds per foot, versus a real
4	value or more accurate value I should say of
5	2,080 2,482 pounds per foot.
6	Yeah. This is like, the whole
7	dataset's a pretty big misrepresentation. And I I
8	think that there are probably some filtering issues
9	going on there.
LO	To kind of make it a little bit easier
L1	to to see the difference, I would like to say
L2	that well, maybe I should start with the data in
L3	our table.
L 4	So the data in my table is from from
L5	our what we call "Well View" database. And it
L6	contains all Devon wells, and then all WPX wells after
L7	our merger. It this table doesn't have WPX wells
L8	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it
L8 L9 20	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it
L9	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it here, and the data trends remain the same even with
L9 20 21	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it here, and the data trends remain the same even with the WP well WPX wells added.
L9 20 21 22	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it here, and the data trends remain the same even with the WP well WPX wells added. And just for further clarification,
L9 20	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it here, and the data trends remain the same even with the WP well WPX wells added. And just for further clarification, years going back in time, years 2025, the data is
19 20 21 22 23	prior to the merger in it, but I took a look at it here, and the data trends remain the same even with the WP well WPX wells added. And just for further clarification, years going back in time, years 2025, the data is the same. Years 2024, the data is the same. Years

1	2020 was the same. And 2019, I think that I think
2	2019 I can't remember the number, but I think there
3	was about a 40 total, so about eight wells. But don't
4	quote me on that.
5	But that's just to illustrate, you
6	know, 2020 through 2025. This is a very
7	representative dataset of both WPX and Devon wells.
8	If you're able to move up to the scatter plot in the
9	upper right corner? And this is a scatter plot of
10	that the data in that table, with the proppant
11	pounds per foot, on the the vertical axis, and then
12	the first production date along the X-axis there.
13	And it's pretty clear to see from the
14	data that the majority of WPX Devon's completions have
15	been at that 2,500-pound-per-foot mark.
16	So the table in 127, if you can scroll
17	back to it, it's pretty misleading showing that the
18	average, you know, completion size is twenty 2,043
19	foot. And then, also, the statement was made on
20	there on 127 that we only Devon WPX only started
21	converting to modern design in the last three years,
22	which based on the highlights on their graph would be
23	year 2023, 2024, and 2025.
24	And I believe that that is I believe
25	that you would I believe, especially with their

1	definition of a "modern completion design," that you
2	would be able to say that we were there at 2019, not
3	2023.
4	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So that's really
5	one of the main significance of this slide, this
6	comparison between 2,043 and 2,474?
7	MR. WOMACK: That is correct, yes.
8	MR. SAVAGE: And can you just generally
9	describe what this means in terms of the differences
10	between what 3R's asserting and what you're showing?
11	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. 3R is asserting
12	that Devon's been slow to upgrade update to a
13	modern frac design, and only got there three years
14	ago. And that we are still slightly undersized.
15	You can see there, their proppant per
16	foot there for 2025 of 2,313 pounds per foot, but in
17	reality, we are that is incorrect. They've made
18	a a misstatement there.
19	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Thank you.
20	Anything else you want to say about this slide before
21	we move on to the next one?
22	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. Their bullet
23	point on 127 that you have right there on the screen,
24	they also call out the Mimosa and Prairie Fire wells
25	as recent wells that were completed at 2,000 pounds

1	per foot. These wells are in a different area with
2	differing geology. They're not you know, Devon has
3	a reason for for pumping them at those sizes, and
4	we do not think that they are not modern.
5	And that's all I've got.
6	MR. SAVAGE: So is it possible to talk
7	about some of the reasons of for that difference?
8	MR. WOMACK: I would rather not.
9	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
10	MR. WOMACK: I just just due
11	to just due yeah. I don't want to give away too
12	much information.
13	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Okay. But based on
14	the information that you have and what you've done
15	in-house, there is a clear and justified reason for
16	that?
17	MR. WOMACK: Oh, yes.
18	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Mr. Melland,
19	anything else you want to point at this? I'm sorry.
20	I'm sorry. Mr. Womack.
21	MR. WOMACK: No, sir. The you know,
22	I'll I'll cover the the like I said, they
23	they did identify that it was probably going to be a
24	2,000-pound-per-foot job. And I just want to state
25	here that we are planning a 2,500-pound-per-foot job,
	Page 29

1	a 1,900 gallons per foot, for the Frontier wells. But
2	I'll discuss that on the next on R4.
3	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So is it okay if I
4	move to the next slide?
5	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
6	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
7	MR. WOMACK: So we did
8	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. So you prepared
9	this slide yourself?
10	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
11	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And can you explain
12	the significance of this slide in relation to 3R's
13	evidence?
14	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. You know,
15	it's they they claimed that we were
16	understating well, they claimed that our AFEs
17	were are much too low, and that we were
18	understating costs. And I'd like to this this
19	is a rebutting that. And I'll talk through the
20	you know, how those costs were accurate for the
21	2,000-pound-per-foot job that we were planning on at
22	the time that the AFE proposal was sent out.
23	MR. SAVAGE: Please proceed.
24	MR. WOMACK: Okay. So as I said, the
25	AFEs, they were generated in early December 2024. And

1	after that time, we made a design change from a
2	2,000-pound-per-foot job to a 2,500-pound-per-foot
3	job.
4	The oh, I guess I also I think
5	it's important to note that footnote number two. Our
6	WPX Devon completions group, we cover operations for
7	when a drilling rig is off location through plug
8	drill-out.
9	So, you know, what that does include
10	for for us, what we would call it would be prep,
11	stim, or fracturing. And then the plug-out plug
12	drill-out process. Our group doesn't cover flowback,
13	tube-up, artificial lift, or facilities. Which, you
14	know, the completions cost on the AFE on the AFE
15	proposals contain all of those those values.
16	So when I when I talk completions
17	costs on I'll be talking about prep, stim, and
18	drill-out costs only.
19	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So the excerpt with
20	the fuel under fuel costs and water costs, those
21	are excerpts from the AFEs; is that correct?
22	MR. WOMACK: That's correct. But do
23	you mind if I cover the
24	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
25	MR. WOMACK: AF yeah.

1	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. Please
2	MR. WOMACK: Okay.
3	MR. SAVAGE: go into what you think
4	is most important.
5	MR. WOMACK: All right. So after
6	the you know, we we made that design change.
7	The updated and I do have updated completions costs
8	on here for the prep, stim, and drill-out proportions.
9	And they they increased by about \$420,000. You can
10	see on there that you got to go from about a
11	\$2.8-million cost to about \$3.2 million. So with
12	that, that would bring the total well AFE estimates to
13	about \$8 million, which is still a very competitive
14	cost.
15	On 3R's Exhibit 128, which I don't have
16	on here, but I'll talk through it, they they show
17	that their lowest cost AFE was was \$8.3 million.
18	Which both both the Devon's 2,000 and our, you
19	know, revised 2,500-pound-per-foot designs are below.
20	So 3R that that exhibit 3R
21	Exhibit 128, it also showed if you take a look at
22	it a flat AFE cost from January 1, 2023, to now.
23	And they were demonstrating there that, you know,
24	Devon's AFEs were just out of you know, out of
25	range completely.

1	The graph on the right, it
2	demonstrates, you know, our WPX Devon's
3	commitment to continual improvements in our operations
4	in a mostly deflationary environment. So it shows
5	right there, if you look at the red line, you can see
6	the so those the red line is cost proposals. So
7	those are modeled proposals for this area over time.
8	And the red line is the 2,500-pound-per-foot job that
9	we are planning to pump on the Frontiers. And the
LO	black one is the 2,000 that was proposed.
L1	But you can see, clearly, in that same
L2	time period as Exhibit 128, that just on the
L3	completions side which is just prep, stim, and
L4	drill-out that Devon's costs have gone down by over
L5	a million dollars in that same time period. So I
L6	you know, I don't you know, I didn't see any any
L7	decreases over time in Exhibit 128.
L8	MR. SAVAGE: So you're saying that you
L9	accounted for these decreases in costs, and it looks
20	like 3R did not?
21	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. We are we
22	are seeing those decreases in costs. I'm surprised
23	that 3R was showing you know, they were showing an
24	exhibit with flat costs over over that time period.
25	MR. SAVAGE: And what is the

1	significance of the flat costs?
2	MR. WOMACK: You know, over over
3	time, especially during this time period from from
4	January well, I guess I don't have January 2023 on
5	there. I guess that's December 2023, we were saw a
6	million-dollar reduction.
7	But over time, you would expect your
8	AFEs to fluctuate based on based on improvements in
9	your operations, and then also market you know,
10	changes in in prices. So
11	MR. SAVAGE: And WPX was able to take
12	advantage of that those changes?
13	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. We're we're
14	always looking to improve.
15	MR. SAVAGE: Any other item on here
16	that you want to point out or discuss as
17	MR. WOMACK: Yes. The those cost
18	calculations. And, again, I think that they were on
19	the right track in what they were were trying to
20	show, but it it felt a little bit you know,
21	like, the the way it was presented, I don't I
22	just want to clarify here.
23	So the fuel cost, 3R used the when
24	they calculated fuel cost, they used the cost code
25	"Dyed Liquid Fuels" is the only one that they used.

1	And they calculated it at about 50,000 gallons of
2	diesel. And that that is a correct that is a
3	fairly correct estimate.
4	Devon but to get you know, to get
5	the real fuel usage, you do have to add the the
6	cost cost codes for both the dyed liquid fuels and
7	the gaseous fuels. So Devon utilizes tier four DGB,
8	which is direct gas direct gas blend horsepower or
9	frac pumps, which replaces diesel diesel usage
10	with with natural gas usage.
11	The you know, currently, we're
12	you know, I've got it on here saying that it replaces
13	50 to 70 percent. Current currently, we're we
14	are seeing up to 70 percent or higher displacements,
15	and it's it is always improving. And our current
16	model is is representing them at about a 65-percent
17	displacement.
18	The and then also on water cost, 3R
19	used the recycled, treated, and produced water line
20	item to calculate water cost. And water line then,
21	you know, specified water volumes. But the the
22	correct method would've been to take both the
23	recycled, treated, and produced value and add it to
24	the fluids water value, which represents fresh water.
25	And then you can see your your costs there for the

1	two combined. So
2	MR. SAVAGE: And this is is this
3	what WPX did? Can
4	MR. WOMACK: This is these are so
5	these are the the costs on the proposals the AFE
6	proposals that WPX submitted, or whatever the correct
7	terminology is for these Frontier wells. And yeah.
8	That would be the the correct way to interpret
9	this.
10	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
11	MR. WOMACK: Because 3R was making
12	volume references based off of diesel usage and water
13	usage. And they they used one cost category on
14	each of those instead of using both of them.
15	MS. TSCHANTZ: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
16	we've exceeded the 15-minute limit by several minutes.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
18	you, Ms. Tschantz.
19	Mr. Savage, are you about finished?
20	MR. SAVAGE: I believe so.
21	Mr. Womack, I believe we're concluded
22	on this.
23	MR. WOMACK: All right. Thank you.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
25	Mr. Parrot?
	Page 36

1	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. PARROT:
4	MR. PARROT: Thank you for your time
5	this morning, Mr. Womack.
6	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
7	MR. PARROT: If there's any issues with
8	the audio, please just interrupt me or raise your hand
9	or something so I know to stop talking.
10	MR. WOMACK: Okay.
11	MR. PARROT: I'm just going to refer
12	you to your Exhibit R4. I think we're going to just
13	need a quick sec for the screenshare changeover.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, you want to
15	switch over, Mr. Parrot?
16	MR. PARROT: Oh, yes, please.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
18	MR. PARROT: Okay. Mr. Womack, do you
19	see your Exhibit R4 on the screen?
20	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
21	MR. PARROT: Okay. You're stating that
22	you revised your AFE costs upward by about \$420,000
23	from the AFEs that were originally provided to 3R;
24	correct?
25	MR. WOMACK: I'm stating that our model
	D 27
	Page 37

1	of costs for those designs are are at that cost.
2	MR. PARROT: Okay. So you're not
3	revising your AFEs. You're just simply stating that
4	your costs are going to be higher than what was stated
5	in the AFEs that were provided?
6	MR. WOMACK: That is what I'm stating.
7	You would have to ask land about AFE revisals
8	MR. PARROT: Okay.
9	MR. WOMACK: anything of that
10	nature.
11	MR. PARROT: And is the bulk of that
12	increase for additional proppant?
13	MR. WOMACK: The the so the
14	design modifications was from a 2,000-pound-per-foot
15	job, and about 1,500 barrels per foot. And those were
16	increased on the design to 2,500 pounds per foot, and
17	about 1,900 gallons per foot.
18	MR. PARROT: Okay.
19	MR. WOMACK: And so, like, you asked
20	what those costs are, you know, due to. So, no.
21	There there is there is a holistic increase
22	in in a bunch of categories.
23	MR. PARROT: Okay. So you're
24	increasing the proppant by about 20 percent, and
25	you're increasing the water by about 25 maybe a

1	little over 25 percent? Does that sound about right?
2	MR. WOMACK: I would I've been told
3	never to to calculate in my head like this, so I'd
4	have to get a calculator or get a piece of paper. But
5	it sounds
6	MR. PARROT: Okay.
7	MR. WOMACK: directionally correct,
8	yeah.
9	MR. PARROT: Okay. So 2,000 pounds per
10	foot of proppant, and up to 2,500 pounds per foot of
11	proppant. That's one change that caused the increase
12	in the AFE?
13	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
14	MR. PARROT: Okay.
15	MR. WOMACK: Well, it's a design
16	change. So a lot of people a lot of people will
17	you know, you you hear 2,500 pound per foot, which
18	is, like, the public available data. But a lot of
19	you can have a very different different completion
20	designs with 2,500 pounds per foot.
21	MR. PARROT: Understood.
22	MR. WOMACK: Yeah.
23	MR. PARROT: So the increase in the
24	AFE, one of the costs one of the reasons for the
25	increase is the addition of 500 pounds of proppant per

1	foot.
2	MR. WOMACK: That is correct. That's a
3	correct statement.
4	MR. PARROT: And then
5	MR. WOMACK: I'm I'm sorry.
6	MR. PARROT: another
7	MR. WOMACK: It's not an increase in
8	AFE. It's right? Because we
9	MR. PARROT: Understood. Okay. An
10	increase in the costs.
11	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
12	MR. PARROT: In the anticipated costs.
13	And then another reason for the
14	increase in anticipated costs would be the addition of
15	400 pounds per foot of water?
16	MR. WOMACK: Yes. Increased water
17	volumes, yes.
18	MR. PARROT: Okay. To your
19	knowledge, have the remainder of your AFEs been fully
20	audited for any other errors like this?
21	MR. WOMACK: What are you which AFEs
22	are you referring to?
23	MR. PARROT: The AFEs that were
24	provided to 3R for purposes of WPX's applications in
25	this in these two cases.

1	MR. WOMACK: I you know, I'm not a
2	lawyer, but they weren't provided in error. At the
3	time of proposal, we were planning a
4	2,000-pound-per-foot job.
5	MR. PARROT: Okay. Have the remainder
6	of the two AFEs sorry. Not two AFEs four AFEs
7	that were provided to 3R for purposes of this hearing,
8	been audited to ensure there are no other updates
9	necessary?
10	MR. WOMACK: Updates on are you
11	asking for clarification, are you asking if
12	those if we're just making a change into that one
13	cost category?
14	MR. PARROT: No. I'm
15	MR. WOMACK: Or two?
16	MR. PARROT: I'm asking if the
17	remainder of the AFE has the AFEs the four
18	AFEs have been audited to ensure that no other
19	updates are necessary
20	MR. WOMACK: Oh, okay.
21	MR. PARROT: similar to the updates
22	that you've done here for increased proppant and
23	water.
24	MR. WOMACK: Okay.
25	MR. PARROT: To your knowledge, have
	Page 41

1	those AFEs been audited?
2	MR. WOMACK: I I'm not sure I'm
3	not sure that I don't know that's a valid question.
4	MR. PARROT: Just do you know if the
5	AFEs have been reviewed by anybody to
6	MR. WOMACK: I'm sorry, sir. They
7	you're you know, you you I thought that we
8	had established that these are cost estimates? I
9	don't know if we've sent out revised AFEs.
10	MR. PARROT: Okay. Would you say that
11	your current cost estimates are consistent or
12	inconsistent with the AFEs that were provided to 3R?
13	MR. WOMACK: So I can say I don't
14	know. I can say things. I don't quite understand
15	what you're asking. But our our current cost
16	estimates are you know, they're they're you
17	know, we model them. We we update them. We have
18	an engineer that looks through them and updates them.
19	For the years you know, we're
20	talking about modeled costs for the completions here.
21	And for 2023, 2024, and 2025, our our CapEx
22	estimates, these model ones, we call them a WCDM.
23	They were you know, when we execute, we always
24	review them. And we we for those three years,
25	we've came in three percent under our WCDM model. If

1	that helps.
2	MR. PARROT: I'm not really sure that
3	answers my question.
4	My question is in your opinion, or by
5	your judgment, are your updated costs consistent or
6	inconsistent with the AFEs that were provided for
7	purposes of this hearing?
8	MR. WOMACK: Okay. I see what you're
9	asking now.
10	The I think it it shows right
11	there that the updated cost would be about \$420,000
12	more than the AFEs that were provided. Is that what
13	you were asking?
14	MR. PARROT: I'm asking if they're
15	consistent or inconsistent? I mean, it's sort of a
16	yes or no question. It's inconsistent or consistent.
17	MR. SAVAGE: Objection.
18	MR. WOMACK: Okay.
19	MR. SAVAGE: I believe that he answered
20	the question, and I believe that the counsel can
21	determine for himself whether it's consistent or not
22	consistent based on the answer that he provided.
23	MR. PARROT: Mr. Hearing Examiner, with
24	all due respect to Mr. Womack, I believe he's being
25	evasive. I believe he's not answering a very simple

1	and direct question that could be answered.
2	He's provided a lot of information that
3	is not relevant to the question.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is the
5	question?
6	MR. PARROT: The question is are the
7	updated cost estimates for completions shown on
8	Exhibit R4 consistent with or inconsistent with the
9	AFEs that were provided to 3R for purposes of these
10	cases.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I think
12	correct me if I'm wrong, but I think the answer
13	previous to that question, he said that the revised
14	AFEs were about half a million dollars more than the
15	AFEs provided to 3R. Did you hear that?
16	MR. PARROT: I did not. And he
17	specifically said there are no revised AFEs.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage
19	MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: did you hear
21	what I heard?
22	MR. SAVAGE: I did. He's pointing out
23	a \$420,000
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
25	MR. SAVAGE: cost. WPX can, and
	Page 44

1	will, provide he also said that AFEs were
2	estimates. And WPX can, and will, provide updated
3	AFEs
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: the
5	objection.
6	MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now let's be
8	very specific instead of getting into
9	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: new topics
11	here.
12	So I heard what Mr. Savage heard.
13	Maybe you didn't hear the answer. I can have the
14	court reporter read back the previous question and the
15	previous answer. Why don't we do that.
16	Mr. Cogswell?
17	THE REPORTER: Yes. I'll just cue that
18	up.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, sir.
20	MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, in the
21	interest of time, I think I can withdraw the question.
22	MR. HEARING EXAMINER: No thanks.
23	MR. PARROT: Okay.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We're just going
25	to deal with this.

1	MR. PARROT: Sure.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I want you to
3	hear the previous question and the previous answer,
4	and then you can withdraw this question if you want,
5	but we can also proceed from the basis of what he
6	said.
7	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I want to make
9	sure you heard the answer.
10	(The reporter repeated the record as
11	requested.)
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you,
13	Mr. Cogswell.
14	Okay. Now based on that question, why
15	don't you proceed to your next question.
16	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I sustain the
18	objection, Mr. Savage. And we're going to keep going.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
21	BY MR. PARROT:
22	MR. PARROT: Mr. Womack, based on the
23	\$420,000 difference to which you just referred, do you
24	know if there is a plan to repropose these wells?
25	MR. WOMACK: I am sorry. I I don't
	Page 46

1	know if that's the case or not. And I apologize for
2	not knowing what "inconsistent" what you were
3	referring to with "inconsistent."
4	MR. PARROT: No apologies necessary.
5	Would you say that the updated costs
6	and the updated design will have a significant impact
7	on the performance of the wells?
8	MR. WOMACK: Yes. That's why we you
9	know, when we look at it and look at design, yes.
10	That's why you know, that's why we've got the
11	the design changes.
12	MR. PARROT: Understood. Let's go
13	ahead and turn to Exhibit 3R 128. Since we're talking
14	about AFEs, we'll talk about the AFE data that you
15	testified to that was presented by 3R.
16	And I believe you testified that the
17	AFEs shown on this graph were 3R's AFEs; is that
18	correct?
19	MR. WOMACK: I'm not sure what I said,
20	but I I my interpretation was just like what is
21	stated there on that first bullet point. That
22	they're they were reviewed by 3R from other
23	operators.
24	MR. PARROT: Okay. So you're aware
25	that these data points on the graph represent

1	industrywide AFEs as opposed to just 3R's AFEs?
2	MR. WOMACK: Yes. But yes.
3	MR. PARROT: Okay. And you're aware
4	that the AFEs that were provided to 3R for purposes of
5	this hearing are more than \$2 1/2 million below the
6	average as shown on this graph?
7	MR. WOMACK: That is correct, yes.
8	MR. PARROT: All right. I'll stop
9	bothering you about AFEs now.
10	Do you mind flipping back to your I
11	believe it's your Exhibit R4? And you discussed a
12	decrease in CapEx expenses as shown on the graph;
13	correct?
14	MR. WOMACK: That is correct.
15	MR. PARROT: On this slide?
16	MR. WOMACK: Yes.
17	MR. PARROT: And you're attributing
18	that decrease entirely to WPX's efforts to improve
19	capital efficiencies?
20	MR. WOMACK: No. It's a combination of
21	being in a deflationary environment and our continued
22	efforts to increase operational efficiencies.
23	MR. PARROT: Can you tell me how much
24	is attributed to which?
25	MR. WOMACK: Not right off the top of
	Page 48

1	my head for this model.
2	MR. PARROT: Would it surprise you to
3	learn that this graph closely mirrors the price of oil
4	over the past two years?
5	MR. WOMACK: No.
6	MR. PARROT: And are you aware that
7	costs for oilfield services, completion rates, fuel,
8	proppant, et cetera, tend to decline approximately
9	proportional to oil prices?
10	MR. WOMACK: I I'm not aware what
11	the percent is or how proportional they are.
12	MR. PARROT: Okay.
13	MR. WOMACK: But I I did state
14	deflationary environment.
15	MR. PARROT: Okay. Do you recall
16	Mr. Barnes' and Mr. Melland's prior testimony about
17	possible plans to infill wells in these two units in
18	the Wolfcamp B formation at a later date?
19	MR. WOMACK: A little bit. Yes, sir.
20	MR. PARROT: Okay. Did you coordinate
21	with Mr. Barnes and Mr. Melland regarding development
22	of this unit in the XY formation, or XY bench?
23	MR. WOMACK: Yes.
24	MR. PARROT: Okay. Can we turn to
25	this is going to be the WPX primary exhibit packet,
	Page 49

1	page 80 of 31. And if you could scroll down to
2	paragraph ten, please.
3	So toward the bottom of this page, just
4	to refresh your memory, Mr. Barnes provided written
5	testimony about potential infill drilling at a later
6	date. And I'm just providing you this exhibit in case
7	you wanted to refresh your memory.
8	MR. WOMACK: Okay.
9	MR. PARROT: Would you like a little
10	time to read this paragraph?
11	MR. WOMACK: Yes, I would.
12	Okay. Yes, sir.
13	MR. PARROT: Okay. Thank you. Let's
14	go ahead and turn to the rebuttal exhibit packet that
15	was submitted by WPX. Exhibit R5.
16	And, Mr. Womack, I'm providing you this
17	exhibit, again, just to refresh your memory about
18	Mr. Melland's testimony regarding future Wolfcamp B
19	infill wells. That would be the third bullet point
20	down. Would you like a moment to read that?
21	MR. WOMACK: The third one? "WPX CTBs
22	can accommodate 14 wells"?
23	MR. PARROT: Correct.
24	MR. WOMACK: Okay. Yes, sir.
25	MR. PARROT: So just kind of returning
	Page 50

1	to my previous question. Are you familiar with WPX's
2	plans to potentially do infill drilling in the
3	Wolfcamp B bench at a later date?
4	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir. Yes.
5	MR. PARROT: Okay. Would you be
6	involved with completions designs for those wells?
7	MR. WOMACK: Yes.
8	MR. PARROT: And as a completions
9	engineer, can you tell me a little bit about what
10	happens when a set of wells is produced in a
11	particular bench for a period of time regarding the
12	pressure in the reservoir? Do those wells cause a
13	depressurization or a pressurization of the reservoir?
14	MR. WOMACK: Depressurization.
15	MR. PARROT: So would it be fair to say
16	that WPX's plans to develop the XY bench now and
17	produce complete and produce those wells will cause
18	a depressurization in a fully expected and normal
19	depressurization in the XY bench?
20	MR. WOMACK: Yes.
21	MR. PARROT: And so let me refer to
22	that.
23	MR. WOMACK: In the in the XY bench.
24	MR. SAVAGE: I'm going to object to
25	this line of questioning. And the reason being is
	Page 51

1	that he his rebuttal exhibits are R3 and R4.
2	Now he set up the this line of
3	questioning by going to reservoir engineer, and looked
4	at the paragraph. And then he went to Melland's,
5	which he could've asked these questions with Paul, and
6	looked at R5. And then he made the bridge by saying,
7	"Were you involved in the design?" which really was
8	outside the scope of his rebuttal testimony in his
9	statement. And then they proceeded down this line of
10	questioning.
11	So I think this is outside the scope
12	and inappropriate.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. The
14	objection's outside the scope.
15	MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, Mr. Womack
16	testified that he is responsible for designing the
17	completions of the wells that WPX is drilling in these
18	lands. So all of his rebuttal exhibits go toward the
19	completion design of the wells in this spacing unit.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what is the
21	question?
22	MR. PARROT: The question is will
23	Mr. Womack be involved in the completions designs of
24	Wolfcamp actually, I think that was the prior
25	question.

1	The most recent question was regarding
2	the creation of a pressure sink, basically, in the
3	Wolfcamp XY. And this goes directly to designing the
4	completions for later wells in the spacing unit.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage,
6	yesterday, you referred me to Rule 11-703. And you
7	pointed out correctly that experts may rely on
8	evidence that is typically relied on by those in the
9	field to come up with their expert opinions.
10	By showing him these other exhibits
11	that are outside of his submissions, I don't
12	necessarily find that to be a problem. The question
13	seems to be within his wheelhouse.
14	Can you explain why that is outside his
15	field of expertise?
16	MR. SAVAGE: I'll give you an
17	explanation on that.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
19	MR. SAVAGE: So this whole issue of
20	pressure sink, that was all litigated previously with
21	Mr. Barnes. That was fully explored, fully addressed.
22	Mr. Womack came in for a very specific
23	and limited discussion based on to rebut certain
24	claims made on that 3R made based on AFEs; okay?
25	We did not provide a full-blown

1	statement on completion engineering. We provided a
2	very targeted and limited statement based on to
3	address that rebuttal issue. And then we provided two
4	rebuttal exhibits that are targeted that are
5	crafted and targeted specifically for the rebuttal.
6	Now 3R had counsel has expanded
7	this. They have, like and intentionally. They did
8	it intentionally. They went to the previously
9	litigated issues of pressure sinks. Nothing to do
10	nothing to do with adjustments in AFEs the
11	additional \$420,000 that's referenced.
12	So I feel like this is out you know,
13	significantly outside the scope of what he was brought
14	in to what he was defined to testify to, and you
15	know, and his expertise pertains to.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Parrot,
17	the objection is that it's outside the scope of his
18	testimony. Not necessarily his field of expertise,
19	but his testimony.
20	Can you show me somewhere in his
21	testimony, either his sworn testimony today or his
22	exhibits that he has crafted and adopted under oath,
23	how this issue is within that scope?
24	MR. PARROT: Certainly, Mr. Examiner.
25	First, I'd like to address the point that I have not

1	dealt with the issue of reservoir depressurization or
2	how completions at a later date are affected by
3	depressurization in the reservoir pressure sink. I
4	did not address that issue with Mr. Barnes. I did not
5	feel that that was a proper issue for a reservoir
6	engineer, especially when WPX clearly planned to
7	present a witness who is specifically an expert in how
8	fractures propagate within the reservoir. And how the
9	completions for a well should be best designed to
10	ensure the best fracture propagation.
11	Mr. Womack provided ample testimony
12	about the completions designs for the wells in the XY
13	bench. That goes directly to how those XY wells are
14	going to depressurize the reservoir.
15	So there's a direct correlation between
16	the completions design and the XY wells today, and the
17	potential completion design in the B bench at a later
18	date.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Savage,
20	while I understand your objection thoroughly now, I've
21	heard from both sides exhaustively, I believe the
22	objection will be overruled.
23	And please answer the question,
24	Mr. Womack.
25	MR. WOMACK: Would you remind repeating
	Page 55

1	it, sir?
2	BY MR. PARROT:
3	MR. PARROT: Certainly. Mr. Womack,
4	completing and producing, for a period of time,
5	Wolfcamp XY bench wells will create a low-pressure
6	area in the XY bench, or a pressure sink, above the
7	Wolfcamp B; is that correct?
8	MR. WOMACK: Yes.
9	MR. PARROT: Thank you. And to the
10	extent that WPX comes back at a later point in time
11	and hydraulically stimulates the Wolfcamp B bench, the
12	stimulation and fractures will preferentially migrate
13	towards that pressure sink; correct?
14	MR. WOMACK: Generally, we see we
15	see that that usually affects more on, like, a
16	horizontal bench-to-bench level. I I doubt, at the
17	750-foot vertical distance, that it would alter the
18	frac growth very much.
19	MR. PARROT: And are you familiar with
20	the concept of zipper fracking?
21	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
22	MR. PARROT: As briefly as you possibly
23	can, for us lay folks, can you explain that a little
24	bit for us?
25	MR. WOMACK: Zipper generally,
	Page 56

1	zipper fracking is when when you have two wells
2	that you're you're well, two plus wells that
3	you're fracs
4	So start off with you've got, like, a
5	single well ops, where you just have one well, and
6	you've got a frac crew on it, and you're completing
7	that one well at a time. So you're you know,
8	you you run in with your wireline, you perforate,
9	then you stim, and then you're sitting there, waiting
10	on your wireline.
11	A zipper operation can be multiple
12	wells, but you're utilizing the downtime from the
13	pump or you're you're shooting your perforations
14	during for your other wells while your pumps are
15	pumping. So you're basically so yeah. You're
16	basically saving time.
17	MR. PARROT: Okay.
18	MR. WOMACK: If that
19	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
20	MR. WOMACK: If that's what you're
21	asking.
22	MR. PARROT: Does it enable a
23	completions crew to preferentially direct the
24	reservoir stimulation using the high-pressure zone
25	from a prior or adjacent stimulation?

1	MR. WOMACK: Can does it allow?
2	MR. PARROT: Is that
3	MR. WOMACK: I'm not
4	MR. SAVAGE: I object to that question.
5	Again, we're getting even further further from
6	this from his purpose on this, in this testimony.
7	Now we're into the zipper techniques, which nobody has
8	talked about zipper techniques, in the reservoir
9	engineer's testimony or during this case.
10	It seems like we keep going farther and
11	further afield.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So the objection
13	is outside the scope?
14	MR. SAVAGE: Beyond what you have
15	authorized.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, but the
17	objection still is
18	MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: outside the
20	scope; right?
21	MR. SAVAGE: Beyond what you
22	authorized, yes.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Parrot?
24	MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, it's
25	granted, I am not a completions engineer, and I don't
	Page 58

1	work for an oil and gas company within the engineering
2	division, but it is my understanding that, typically,
3	the completions engineer has the role not only the
4	expertise, but the specific role of dealing with
5	completions designs for the company.
б	And whether a completions design will
7	be utilizing a zipper frac or not would be solely
8	within the decision of primarily within the
9	decision of the completions engineer.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And why
11	is that relevant to your case?
12	MR. PARROT: Because this particular
13	witness, Mr. Womack, will be responsible for
14	determining whether zipper fracking is appropriate or
15	inappropriate for the overall development plan that
16	WPX has proposed, which is four wells currently in the
17	XY bench, with the potential of additional wells in
18	the B bench at a later date.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And why
20	is that relevant to your case?
21	MR. PARROT: It goes to waste. It goes
22	to whether the reservoir can be more efficiently
23	developed by concurrent development of the XY bench
24	and B bench, or whether it is sorry. Let me back
25	up for a second.

1	Whether it is less wasteful to
2	concurrently develop the XY and B benches, or as
3	opposed to developing the XY benches and then, at a
4	later date years later the B bench.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And where
6	in the scope of his direct or his exhibits did he
7	discuss either the efficiency of that maneuver or the
8	waste of that maneuver?
9	MR. PARROT: The exhibits that he
10	presented are pertaining to the completions design of
11	these wells. Exhibit R4 specifically mentions that
12	the completion design of the wells has been updated.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is this R4 now?
14	Are we looking at R4?
15	MR. PARROT: Yes.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Can
17	you show me where just point to me where we're
18	talking about waste or efficiency here.
19	MR. PARROT: So let's go down to the
20	fifth bullet point.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: How about we
22	take a five-minute break while you find it, and I'll
23	be back.
24	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
	Page 60

1	(Off the record.)
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: It is 10:13 a.m.
3	and we're in the middle of an objection. I had asked
4	where in that testimony or exhibits we're looking
5	at R4 right now they talk about waste or
6	efficiency.
7	Mr. Parrot?
8	MR. PARROT: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Thank
9	you. I was asking about zipper fracking and
10	completion design. On the fifth bullet point down,
11	you'll notice that WPX is planning to use a "modern
12	design." On the graph, you'll notice that they are
13	referring to their zipper costs.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I see it.
15	Mr. Savage?
16	MR. SAVAGE: So
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Your microphone,
18	sir?
19	MR. SAVAGE: We believe that this is
20	appropriate for the reservoir engineer, and that
21	this they had an opportunity to fully address this
22	at that time. The reservoir engineer talked about
23	pressure sinks regarding the plumbing wells. They
24	talked about that there's no interference between the
25	XY and B.

1	We believe that 3R realized that they
2	forgot to address this reservoir engineering issue
3	previously, and that they're using this opportunity to
4	make up for certain deficiencies.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well,
6	Mr. Savage, that being said, I can't ignore the fact
7	that this witness has addressed this subject in this
8	Exhibit R4. If this witness doesn't know the answer
9	to a question, he's more than welcome to answer it in
10	that way, but I am giving Mr. Parrot the latitude to
11	ask about this.
12	So the objection's overruled. Please
13	proceed.
14	MR. PARROT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
15	BY MR. PARROT:
16	MR. PARROT: Mr. Womack, would you like
17	me to re-ask the question?
18	MR. WOMACK: Yes, please.
19	MR. PARROT: Okay. So the question was
20	can completions be designed in such a way as between
21	the Wolfcamp XY bench, Wolfcamp B bench to
22	preferentially direct fractures between those two
23	benches, to use the pressure from one well to
24	preferentially direct the fractures from the next
25	well, and the next well, and the next well $a/k/a$
	Page 62

1	zipper fracking?
2	MR. WOMACK: From a simplistic
3	standpoint, yes. I I will go along.
4	MR. PARROT: And in so far as you're
5	talking about the completions design for the Frontier
6	DSU, is that this DSU in your bullet point three on
7	R4?
8	MR. WOMACK: Bullet point three?
9	MR. PARROT: Yes, sir.
10	MR. WOMACK: Oh. Yes, sir. That is
11	correct.
12	MR. PARROT: That would be both the
13	north half and south or the northern DSU and the
14	southern DSU, sort of combining that as one?
15	MR. WOMACK: That's correct. Yes, sir.
16	MR. PARROT: Okay. So this zipper
17	fracking technique, this happens elsewhere around in
18	the basin
19	MR. WOMACK: I
20	MR. PARROT: as demonstrated on your
21	CapEx?
22	MR. WOMACK: That that's correct,
23	yes. I would say most operators are utilizing zipper
24	for operations.
25	MR. PARROT: Understood. Thank you.
	Page 63

1	And just one last question. Regarding the AFE, bullet
2	point major bullet point four, sub bullet point one
3	and two, were those costs updated in response to 3R's
4	comments about WPX's AFEs being too low?
5	MR. WOMACK: Oh, absolutely not.
6	The your the design change happened quite a
7	while ago.
8	MR. PARROT: And when was that first
9	communicated to 3R?
10	MR. WOMACK: I think that's a land
11	question.
12	MR. PARROT: Understood.
13	I have no more questions for this
14	witness. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fordyce?
16	MR. FORDYCE: Yes. I have one quick
17	inquiry, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
18	Mr. Womack, can you hear me okay?
19	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
20	MR. FORDYCE: In your Rebuttal Exhibit
21	R4, you mention replacing 50 to 70 percent of diesel
22	cost, or diesel supply, with natural gas; correct?
23	MR. WOMACK: That is correct. Yes,
24	sir.
25	MR. FORDYCE: I wondered if you could
	Page 64

1	just briefly describe what the source of that gas is?
2	Like, is that pipeline gas that comes through a
3	buyback meter or some other source?
4	MR. WOMACK: Generally, for our
5	operations in Delaware, we we use compressed
6	natural gas, liquified natural gas, and then we have a
7	few a few places where we we do lease or I'm
8	not sure what you'd call it, but field gas.
9	MR. FORDYCE: Okay.
10	MR. WOMACK: Yeah. But for this area,
11	it would be either compressed natural gas or LNG.
12	MR. FORDYCE: What percentage of your
13	completions would you say you're using that technology
14	or methodology?
15	MR. WOMACK: We're we've got all
16	three are we currently, we have three frac crews
17	running, and all three are are using the tier four
18	DGBs.
19	MR. FORDYCE: Okay. No other
20	questions. Thank you.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
22	MR. SAVAGE: Just a couple.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.
24	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
25	//
	Page 65
	raye 05

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SAVAGE:
3	MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Womack, do you recall
4	you stating that AFEs are estimates?
5	MR. WOMACK: Yes, sir.
6	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. And is your
7	understanding that WPX will be providing updated AFEs?
8	MR. PARROT: Objection. I asked that
9	question to the witness. The witness answered, he
10	does not know. That's a land question.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So what's
12	the objection?
13	MR. PARROT: Asked and answered.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage?
15	MR. SAVAGE: I'll withdraw the
16	question.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18	BY MR. SAVAGE:
19	MR. SAVAGE: Is WPX able to provide
20	updated AFEs?
21	MR. WOMACK: I I would say
22	certainly, but I think that yeah. I think that's a
23	land question.
24	MR. SAVAGE: That's all I have.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any
	Page 66

1	cross on redirect?
2	MR. PARROT: No, Mr. Examiner. Thank
3	you.
4	
	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
5	Thank you.
6	Mr. Fordyce, anything further for this
7	witness?
8	MR. FORDYCE: No further questions.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right,
10	Mr. Womack. Thank you for your testimony.
11	MR. WOMACK: Yes. Thank y'all.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
13	Mr. Savage, does that conclude your case?
14	MR. SAVAGE: That does.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
16	good.
17	Now since 3R went first, and you had a
18	chance to rebut anything that came up during their
19	case that you were not prepared for, you've had your
20	chance for rebuttal. They've also rebutted what they
	-
21	saw in your exhibits. Is there any further rebuttal
22	in your case? And if so, you have to explain to me
23	how you were surprised.
24	MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, may I have
25	one minute to confer with my client?

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. Go ahead.
2	Yeah.
3	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
4	(Off the record.)
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: It is 10:27 a.m.
6	We're back on the record.
7	Mr. Parrot?
8	MR. PARROT: We do not have any
9	additional rebuttal.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect.
11	Okay. So I believe that concludes the
12	evidentiary hearing for this contested hearing. Let's
13	deal with post-hearing submissions, et cetera. We
14	broached the subject yesterday.
15	Mr. Savage, do you have any thoughts
16	about post-hearing submissions?
17	MR. SAVAGE: Well, I think a closing
18	statement would be beneficial to the technical
19	examiner and the Division.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What did
21	you think about my ten-page limit?
22	MR. SAVAGE: It sounds good.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
24	Mr. Parrot?
25	MR. PARROT: Agreed.
	D
	Page 68

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ten-page
2	limit closing statement. We talked a little bit about
3	when that would be due. We talked about two weeks
4	after the transcript was available.
5	Mr. Savage?
6	MR. SAVAGE: Two weeks after the
7	transcript's available sounds appropriate.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Parrot?
9	MR. PARROT: If there is an option to
10	have it a little sooner, 3R is on a tight deadline.
11	We'd appreciate any additional time available once the
12	order is issued to get the rig out there. You know,
13	we'd certainly do ours in a week, if that's
14	non-objectionable, but leave it to your discretion.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it's not
16	just a closing statement because the a technical
17	examiner and I feel there would be a benefit to
18	proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. So
19	it's going to take a little bit more time, especially
20	since we want citations to the record for any
21	statement of facts that you propose, or else we will
22	not consider it.
23	So that being said, I think two weeks
24	is a good timeframe. Knowing that you're under
25	pressure. I understand. But I still we want a

1	complete post-hearing submission packet from the
2	parties.
3	So, Mr. Parrot, I'm going to stick with
4	my two weeks after the now, look, the parties can
5	come together and I believe pay for a quicker
6	transcript. I believe that's an option.
7	Mr. Cogswell, am I correct about that?
8	THE REPORTER: That's correct. The
9	transcript could be turned around within three or four
10	days. I'd need to loop you in with Veritext just to
11	confirm the timeframe and the costs associated.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Yeah. I
13	thought so.
14	So just so you know, there are options
15	to speed this up. And if you want if any party
16	or the parties together want to do that option, you
17	should communicate with Freya, and Freya will
18	communicate with Mr. Cogswell.
19	MR. PARROT: Understood.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right?
21	Unless you know now.
22	MR. PARROT: I do know now.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, you do know
24	now?
25	MR. PARROT: Certainly.
	Page 70
	rage /u

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Cogswell, I
2	think we have some answer on that.
3	MR. PARROT: Yeah. 3R would like to
4	unliterally offer to pay for an expedited transcript.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
6	Mr. Cogswell, did you hear that?
7	THE REPORTER: Yes, I did. I'll line
8	that up with Veritext.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
10	And, Mr. Parrot, would you give
11	Mr. Cogswell your email address?
12	MR. PARROT: I will.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right now?
14	MR. PARROT: Yes.
15	Mr. Cogswell, it is J-P-A-R-R-O-T at
16	this is going to be all one word bwenergy that's
17	B-W-E-N-E-R-G-Y law L-A-W dot com.
18	THE REPORTER: Got it. Thanks,
19	Mr. Parrot.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
21	good.
22	MR. PARROT: So I hope that all came
23	out. Jparrot@bwenergylaw.com.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So
25	once the
	Page 71

1	So, Mr. Cogswell, you're still going to
2	submit the expedited transcript to the Division for
3	publication, or are you going to be sending it to
4	Mr. Parrot?
5	THE REPORTER: I think the best idea
6	would be to send it via the Division.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's
8	what I thought. Okay.
9	So, Mr. Parrot, as soon as we get it
10	MR. PARROT: Understood.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: it'll be
12	you'll receive it and so will Mr. Savage at the same
13	time.
14	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay?
16	All right. So that being said, I heard
17	three or four days. That, of course, speeds things up
18	greatly.
19	So we'll just say two weeks. So
20	generally, when you count legally, you don't count the
21	day of the transcript being received. So it'll be 14
22	calendar days and I'm saying "calendar days"
23	because, you know, some rules count differently when
24	you're less than 15 days out.
25	So, Mr. Savage, I'm saying 14 calendar
	Page 72

1	days the day after the transcript is received and
2	distributed to the parties.
3	MR. SAVAGE: Understood.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So
5	what we're going to have is proposed findings of fact.
6	Each one cited to the record. Whether it be the
7	verbatim transcript, or whether it be an exhibit. Be
8	specific. If it's an exhibit, tell us what paragraph
9	of the exhibit or what diagram in the exhibit that you
10	are citing to.
11	And then we're having conclusions of
12	law. Make sure you cite to statute and rule or
13	case. Whatever case you cite to. And then, finally,
14	we have a ten-page limit for a closing argument from
15	each party.
16	Is there anything else that the parties
17	want to discuss?
18	MR. SAVAGE: This may be obvious, but
19	if I could just ask an obvious question for
20	clarification.
21	So when we cite to a fact
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
23	MR. SAVAGE: once we site initially,
24	let's say in the
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.

1	MR. SAVAGE: earlier paragraph
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
3	MR. SAVAGE: we do not have to cite
4	it every time?
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Just do the
6	typical I forgot what it was. What is the
7	ibid ID
8	MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: IB? Sorry.
10	It's been awhile. ID. It's ID.
11	MR. SAVAGE: Well, so, like, if you do
12	a cite if you do a cite to a fact
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
14	MR. SAVAGE: and then you cite to
15	let's say a statute, then when you have to go back to
16	the fact
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, if you do
18	that, then you have to cite to the fact again.
19	MR. SAVAGE: I know, but could we,
20	like, do the cites all up front for facts, and then if
21	we mention a fact
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No.
23	MR. SAVAGE: No? Okay.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I want each
25	one
	Page 74

1		MR. SAVAGE: But every discrete
2		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Every fact needs
3	to be cited to	the record.
4		MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. Thank you.
5		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
6		MR. SAVAGE: That's great.
7		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Be very clear.
8		MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
9		MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner
10		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
11		MR. PARROT: I also have what
12	probably is an	obvious question.
13		THE HEARING EXAMINER: It wasn't that
14	obvious, so let	t's hear it.
15		MR. PARROT: When you say "findings of
16	fact"	
17		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
18		MR. PARROT: "conclusions of law,"
19	each party did	submit its own
20		THE HEARING EXAMINER: Of course.
21		MR. PARROT: Thank you. So we're
22	not	
23		THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. Oh, no.
24		MR. PARROT: required to
25	coordinate	
		Page 75

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No.
2	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't assume
4	that you're going to be able to agree on the facts, or
5	the law. No.
6	Okay. Mr. Fordyce, is there anything
7	that would help the technical team that I haven't
8	outlined yet?
9	MR. FORDYCE: I would just like to
10	point out a couple of clerical corrections
11	MR. HEARING EXAMINER: Ah, thank you.
12	MR. FORDYCE: maybe to the
13	compulsory pooling application checklist for both
14	parties.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please.
16	MR. FORDYCE: Mr. Parrot, Mr. Suazo, on
17	your checklist, I'd like to point out at the top of
18	the section where you see "entries of appearance and
19	intervenors," the response is "N/A." And, also, for
20	the formation pool, it is "Wolfcamp Gas." That's
21	correct. You've got the right pool code.
22	For the well location setback rules,
23	you have responded "statewide." However, this Purple
24	Sage-Wolfcamp pool is under special pool rules by
25	order R14262? And in the spacing unit section, for
	Page 76

1	the building blocks under those pool rules, it is
2	160-acre building blocks.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fordyce,
4	before you go on, let me make sure. Does
5	Mr. Parrot, you got all three of
6	those
7	MR. PARROT: Understood.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: corrections?
9	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
11	Yes, Mr. Fordyce?
12	MR. PARROT: May I ask Mr. Fordyce
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Of course.
14	MR. PARROT: a clarifying question?
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's your
16	option. Yeah.
17	MR. PARROT: Mr. Fordyce, I've been
18	informed by some of OCD staff that within the Purple
19	Sage-Wolfcamp, it's 320-acre building blocks for gas
20	wells for the Wolfcamp. Did you want us to put I
21	don't I have no preference. Would you like us to
22	put 160 or 320?
23	MR. FORDYCE: My understanding is that
24	it's an optional 160-acre or 320-acre building block.
25	The stipulation is if the operator chooses 320-acre

1	building blocks, the wheel board must penetrate the
2	entire 320-acre blocks.
3	MR. PARROT: Thank you.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fordyce, do
5	you have corrections now for WPX?
6	MR. FORDYCE: Yes.
7	MR. SAVAGE: May I ask one more
8	question on the ten pages real quick?
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We have
10	another question
11	MR. SAVAGE: I'm sorry.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fordyce.
13	MR. SAVAGE: So finding the facts can
14	take up a lot of space.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, they do.
16	MR. SAVAGE: So it's ten pages
17	inclusive of the findings of facts and conclusions of
18	law. So there's no
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. It's
20	exclusive of. Not inclusive of.
21	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Oh, it's finding of
22	facts and conclusions of law are separate from the
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Of course.
24	MR. SAVAGE: ten pages. Okay.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Your
	Page 78

1	closing argument is independent.
2	MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Thank you. Thank
3	you. Yeah.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: If you want, you
5	can
6	MR. SAVAGE: No. That's great.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: attach it.
8	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But please
10	make I'm glad you brought that up
11	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage,
13	because we want the proposed findings of fact and
14	conclusions of law in Word format; okay? You can
15	submit a PDF for posterity if you want, but also
16	submit a Word document of your proposed findings and
17	conclusions. We do not need a Word format of the
18	closing statement.
19	Okay. So, Mr. Fordyce, you were now
20	going to address I think Mr. Savage.
21	MR. FORDYCE: Yes. Just one correction
22	for Mr. Savage. On page 15 of 131, in the exhibits,
23	looking at that CPAC, again, under the spacing unit
24	for building blocks, they have references
25	references quarter quarter sections. Again, it was

1	correct on page 12 earlier.
2	MR. SAVAGE: Yes. That was an
3	oversight and we'll correct that.
4	MR. FORDYCE: Yeah. Just a clerical
5	error.
6	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
7	MR. FORDYCE: And that's it.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Fordyce, is
9	that it for you?
10	MR. FORDYCE: That is it. Nothing
11	further.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
13	Fine.
14	Is there anything further before we go
15	off the record?
16	MR. SAVAGE: There is some corrections
17	that we had talked about during the
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
19	MR. SAVAGE: proceeding. Like,
20	striking
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
22	MR. SAVAGE: and that we'll go
23	through our notes and address all those. We don't
24	need to address them at this point.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. We don't
	Page 80

1	have to address them. I anticipate that the parties
2	were diligent in taking down notes. What I didn't do
3	was set a deadline for the exhibit packets for
4	revised exhibit packets. So I'm glad you brought that
5	up, Mr. Savage.
6	I'm going to ask the parties, because
7	you do need to exchange your revised exhibits for each
8	other to review and basically provide your position
9	on.
10	I'll start with you, Mr. Savage, since
11	you brought it up. How much time do you want to
12	submit a revised exhibit packet?
13	MR. SAVAGE: Two weeks.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Two weeks
15	seems fair to me.
16	Mr. Parrot, how do you feel?
17	MR. PARROT: That's acceptable.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
19	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So let's get a
21	date. I want to write this down, so hold on a second.
22	Those findings, conclusions, two weeks after
23	transcript. We talked about Word format, revised
24	exhibits.
25	All right. So two weeks, let's say
	Page 81

1	starting tomorrow, the 5th. And 14 is the 19th. What
2	day of the week does the 19th fall on?
3	MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, I believe
4	tomorrow's the 1st.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. My
6	watch has a funny calendar.
7	MR. PARROT: That would be Wednesday,
8	the 14th, I believe.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wednesday the
10	14th?
11	MR. PARROT: Is that acceptable to
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. That
13	makes sense.
14	How do you feel about that, Mr. Savage?
15	Wednesday the 14th.
16	MR. SAVAGE: I think I have a contested
17	hearing on the 15th.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you want to
19	set it after?
20	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: After?
22	MR. SAVAGE: Just a couple days
23	after
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: How about that
25	Friday? Does that work for you? You want to go
	Page 82

1	Monday after that?
2	MR. SAVAGE: Monday would be
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What day is
4	May what?
5	MR. SAVAGE: That's the 19th; is that
6	right? May 19th?
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: May 19th?
8	How do you feel about May 19th?
9	MR. SAVAGE: That would work.
10	MR. PARROT: That's fine with us.
11	Thank you.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Both parties?
13	Okay. And that'll give you plenty of opportunity to
14	exchange and review each other's revised exhibit
15	packets. May 19th. Got it.
16	MR. SAVAGE: Is that right? That's a
17	Monday; right?
18	MR. PARROT: Yeah, that's a Monday.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anything
20	further, Mr. Parrot?
21	MR. PARROT: No, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
22	Thank you.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wonderful.
24	Mr. Savage?
25	MR. SAVAGE: No. Thank you.
	Page 83

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. I want to
2	thank both parties. This has been, by far, I think
3	the best presentation that the Division has had in a
4	contested hearing from any two parties in the past.
5	The witnesses were all very well prepared. Very well
6	spoken. The exhibits were excellent. There was
7	nothing that was blurry or too small. That happens
8	frequently. So I want to thank all the witnesses for
9	their participation. It really made this and the
10	online witnesses as well. It made this a very
11	pleasant and meaningful presentation.
12	So safe trip home to everyone.
13	MR. PARROT: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
14	Examiner.
15	(Whereupon, at 10:41 a.m., the
16	proceeding was concluded.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 84

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, JAMES COGSWELL, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby 3 certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing 4 5 proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; 6 that the proceedings were recorded by me and 7 thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of 8 9 said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the 10 best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any 11 12 of the parties to the action in which this was taken; 13 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of 14 any counsel or attorney employed by the parties 15 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 16 17 JAMES COGSWELL 18 May 7, 2025 19 Notary Public in and for the 2.0 State of New Mexico 21 22 23 24 2.5

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, JACOBEY RADTKE, do hereby certify that 3 this transcript was prepared from the digital audio 4 recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the 5 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and 6 7 ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, 8 nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a 9 relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 10 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or 12 otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 13 May 7, 2025 14 JACOBEY RADTKE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 2.5

	I	ı	I
&	1st 82:4	32:22 34:4,5	35:18 36:11
& 1:8,12 2:4,13	2	42:21	37:23 40:24
3:4	2 1:15 48:5	2024 26:23	41:7 42:12
1	2,000 28:25	27:23 30:25	44:9,15 47:13
	29:24 30:21	42:21	47:15,22 48:4
1 32:22	31:2 32:18	2025 1:16	53:24 54:6
1,500 38:15	33:10 38:14	26:22 27:6,23	62:1 64:9
1,900 30:1	39:9 41:4	28:16 42:21	67:17 69:10
38:17	2,043 27:18	85:18 86:13	71:3
1/2 48:5	28:6	22 4:7	3r's 24:7,10,12
10:13 61:2	2,080 26:5	25 38:25 39:1	28:10 30:12
10:27 68:5	2,097 26:3	251-7768 3:8	32:15 47:17
10:41 84:15	2,313 28:16	25123 1:12	48:1 64:3
11-703 53:6	2,474 23:17	25124 1:12	4
12 21:6 80:1	28:6	25204 1:8	40 27:3
1220 1:22	2,482 26:5	25205 1:8	400 40:15
127 24:10	2,462 20.3 2,500 27:15	26323 86:14	407-4492 2:19
25:14 27:16,20	29:25 31:2	3	420,000 32:9
28:23	32:19 33:8	3.2 32:11	37:22 43:11
128 24:10	38:16 39:10,17	30 1:16	44:23 46:23
32:15,21 33:12	39:20	303 2:18,19	54:11
33:17 47:13	2.8 32:11	31 50:1	43 14:15
129 13:7 24:10			
131 79:22	20 38:24	3162 14:15	499 23:16
14 4:5 11:9	2005 21:1	320 77:19,22,24	5
50:22 72:21,25	2011 8:10	77:25 78:2	50 35:13 64:21
82:1	2016 23:17	32311 85:17	50,000 35:1
14th 82:8,10,15	2019 27:1,2	37 4:8	500 3:5 39:25
15 36:16 72:24	28:2	385-4401 2:8	555 2:5
79:22	202 3:8	3r 1:9 2:10 3:2	5th 82:1
15th 82:17	2020 27:1,6	11:19,22 12:1	6
160 77:2,22,24	2021 26:25	12:5,8 13:4,4,6	600 2:14
1675 2:14	2022 21:10	13:7,7 24:10	640s 15:17
17 21:6,14	26:2,24	24:18,23 28:11	65 35:16
19th 82:1,2	2023 26:24	32:20,20 33:20	US 33.10
83:5,6,7,8,15	27:23 28:3	33:23 34:23	

[66 - applications]

66 4:7	accommodate	advantage	65:23 68:1
7	50:22	34:12	allow 58:1
7 4:4 85:18	accounted	af 31:25	allowed 15:16
86:13	33:19	afe 24:13 30:22	alter 56:17
70 35:13,14	accurate 26:4	31:14,14 32:12	ample 55:11
64:21	30:20 85:9	32:17,22 36:5	andrew 3:11
7132911 1:25	86:5	37:22 38:7	andy 3:21
750 56:17	achieve 8:9	39:12,24 40:8	answer 43:22
	acre 77:2,19,24	41:17 47:14	44:12 45:13,15
8	77:24,25 78:2	64:1	46:3,9 55:23
8 32:13	action 85:12,16	afes 13:14	62:8,9 71:2
8.3 32:17	86:8,12	30:16,25 31:21	answered
80 50:1	actually 52:24	32:24 34:8	43:19 44:1
80202 2:15	add 35:5,23	37:23 38:3,5	66:9,13
81301 2:6	added 26:20	40:19,21,23	answering
87505 1:23 3:6	addition 39:25	41:6,6,6,17,18	43:25
8:53 1:17	40:14	42:1,5,9,12	answers 43:3
9	additional	43:6,12 44:9	anticipate 15:7
917-2261 2:18	26:25 38:12	44:14,15,17	81:1
970 2:8	54:11 59:17	45:1,3 47:14	anticipated
a	68:9 69:11	47:17,17 48:1	15:1 40:12,14
	address 10:10	48:1,4,9 53:24	anybody 42:5
a.m. 1:17 61:2	23:11 54:3,25	54:10 64:4	apologies 47:4
68:5 84:15	55:4 61:21	66:4,7,20	apologize 18:10
abadie 2:4	62:2 71:11	affected 55:2	18:10 47:1
abadieschill.c	79:20 80:23,24	affects 56:15	appearance
2:7	81:1	affirmed 7:18	76:18
ability 85:10	addressed	9:15 10:6	appearing
86:7	53:21 62:7	afield 58:11	22:25
able 25:4 27:8	adjacent 57:25	ago 28:14 64:7	applicable
28:2 34:11	adjustments	agree 76:4	10:18
66:19 76:4	54:10	agreed 68:25	application
above 56:6	admitted 6:24	ah 76:11	76:13
absolutely 64:5	adopted 54:22	ahead 7:1	applications
acceptable		47:13 50:14	1:5,9 40:24
81:17 82:11			

[apply - blown]

apply 18:9,14	attorney 85:14	b2 10:22	47:16 48:11
18:16	86:10	b4-180 2:5	55:21 61:19
appreciate	attributed	bachelor 20:20	62:1 68:11
69:11	48:24	bachelor's 8:7	70:5,6 82:3,8
appropriate	attributing	back 21:18	bench 49:22
59:14 61:20	48:17	26:22 27:17	51:3,11,16,19
69:7	atwell 3:17	45:14 48:10	51:23 55:13,17
approval 16:14	audio 37:8 85:8	56:10 59:24	56:5,6,11,16,16
17:4 18:2	86:3	60:23 68:6	59:17,18,23,24
approximately	audited 40:20	74:15	60:4 62:21,21
49:8	41:8,18 42:1	barnes 3:23 6:5	benches 60:2,3
april 1:16	authority 16:24	49:16,21 50:4	62:23
area 16:6 29:1	17:3	53:21 55:4	beneficial
33:7 56:6	authorized	barrels 25:24	68:18
65:10	58:15,22	38:15	benefit 10:24
argument	available 6:18	based 16:9	69:17
73:14 79:1	7:21 13:20	27:22 29:13	bennett 3:21
artificial 9:5	39:18 69:4,7	34:8,8 36:12	6:4
31:13	69:11	43:22 46:14,22	best 55:9,10
asked 10:4	avenue 3:5	53:23,24 54:2	72:5 84:3
38:19 52:5	average 23:15	basically 53:2	85:10 86:6
61:3 66:8,13	27:18 48:6	57:15,16 81:8	beyond 15:8
asking 17:8,12	aware 15:24	basin 20:13	58:14,21
41:11,11,16	47:24 48:3	21:11 63:18	big 26:7
42:15 43:9,13	49:6,10	basis 46:5	bit 26:10 34:20
43:14 57:21	awhile 74:10	batteries 15:21	49:19 51:9
61:9	axis 27:11,12	battery 15:18	56:24 69:2,19
aspect 21:16,24	b	beatty 2:13 3:4	black 33:10
asserting 28:10	b 5:1 11:11	behalf 2:2,10	blend 35:8
28:11	49:18 50:18	3:2	block 77:24
associated 9:6	51:3 55:17	believe 15:14	blocks 77:1,2
13:9 70:11	56:7,11 59:18	16:21 24:18,18	77:19 78:1,2
assume 76:3	59:24 60:2,4	25:20 27:24,24	79:24
attach 79:7	61:25 62:21	27:25 36:20,21	blown 53:25
	71:17	43:19,20,24,25	

[blurry - client]

blurry 84:7	bwenergylaw	category 36:13	chemical 8:7
board 78:1	71:23	41:13	chesapeake
bone 11:10,13	c	cause 51:12,17	8:21
11:23 15:2,17		caused 39:11	chief 6:4
15:18,25 16:13	c 2:1,3 3:1 6:1 calculate 35:20	central 15:17	chino 1:21
bothering 48:9	39:3	certain 14:20	chooses 77:25
bottom 25:17	calculated	53:23 62:4	citations 69:20
50:3	34:24 35:1	certainly 17:16	cite 73:12,13,21
box 25:16	calculations	17:21 46:7,16	74:3,12,12,14
break 60:22	34:18	46:20 54:24	74:18
brian 3:17,18	calculator 39:4	56:3 60:24	cited 73:6 75:3
bridge 52:6	calculator 39.4 calendar 72:22	66:22 69:13	cites 74:20
briefly 10:13	72:22,25 82:6	70:25	citing 73:10
56:22 65:1	call 6:17 18:15	certificate 85:1	claimed 30:15
bring 32:12	18:17 26:15	86:1	30:16
bringing 9:14	28:24 31:10	certify 85:4	claims 53:24
broached 68:14	42:22 65:8	86:2	clarification
broadway 2:14	calling 19:16	cetera 49:8	10:20 26:21
brought 54:13	capable 11:9,9	68:13	41:11 73:20
79:10 81:4,11	capally 11:18	cfr 14:15	clarifications
building 1:21	capex 42:21	chakalian 1:18	10:9 23:10
77:1,2,19,24	48:12 63:21	chance 67:18	clarify 10:12
78:1 79:24	capital 12:11	67:20	23:13,18 34:22
bulk 38:11	48:19	change 31:1	clarifying
bullet 25:11	case 1:7,11 6:4	32:6 39:11,16	77:14
28:22 47:21	47:1 50:6 58:9	41:12 64:6	clear 17:18
50:19 60:20	59:11,20 67:13	changeover	27:13 29:15
61:10 63:6,8	67:19,22 73:13	37:13	75:7
64:1,2,2	73:13	changes 34:10	clearly 33:11
bunch 38:22	cases 7:13	34:12 47:11	55:6
buyback 65:3	22:23 40:25	characterizati	clerical 76:10
bwenergy	44:10	22:1	80:4
71:16	categories	checklist 76:13	clerk 3:13
bwenergylaw	38:22	76:17	client 67:25
2:16,17 3:7			

[closely - correct]

closely 49:3	comments 64:4	44:7 51:6,8	confer 67:25
closing 68:17	commitment	52:17,23 53:4	confirm 70:11
69:2,16 73:14	33:3	55:2,9,12,16	conservation
<u>'</u>	communicate	57:23 58:25	1:3 7:9
79:1,18			
coast 8:22	70:17,18	59:3,5,6,9	consider 69:22
code 34:24	communicated	60:10 62:20	considerations
76:21	64:9	63:5 65:13	14:20
codes 35:6	company 59:1	compliance	considered
cogswell 1:24	59:5	14:21 18:19	14:21
45:16 46:13	comparison	compliant	consistent
70:7,18 71:1,6	28:6	10:17	13:14 42:11
71:11,15 72:1	competitive	comply 14:13	43:5,15,16,21
85:2,18	32:13	15:8,12	43:22 44:8
college 8:18	complete 51:17	compressed	construction
column 25:23	70:1	65:5,11	8:2,15 9:2,4,10
25:24	completed	compulsory 1:6	9:22 14:17
com 71:17	23:16 28:25	1:10 76:13	cont'd 3:1
combination	completely	concept 56:20	contact 20:12
48:20	32:25	conclude 13:16	contain 31:15
combined	completing	67:13	contains 26:16
21:19,21 36:1	56:4 57:6	concluded	contested 68:12
combining	completion	36:21 84:16	82:16 84:4
63:14	24:11,13,19,20	concludes	continual 33:3
come 53:9 70:5	24:24 27:18	68:11	continued
comes 23:22	28:1 39:19	conclusions	48:21
56:10 65:2	49:7 52:19	69:18 73:11	converting
comingle 18:9	54:1 55:17	75:18 78:17,22	27:21
18:14,15,16,17	60:12 61:10	79:14,17 81:22	coordinate
18:20,22	completions	concurrent	49:20 75:25
comingled	20:4,5,8,10,13	59:23	corner 27:9
15:23 16:5	21:7,20,23	concurrently	correct 7:7,10
comingling	22:3,10,13	60:2	12:15 13:24
15:9,21 16:13	27:14 31:6,14	conducting	14:24 15:3
17:4 18:2	31:16 32:7	22:3	19:16,17 23:7
	33:13 42:20		24:18 25:21

[correct - demonstrating]

28:7 31:21,22	32:7 33:14,19	ctb 11:4,18	deal 6:8 20:1
35:2,3,22 36:6	33:22,24 34:1	13:10,15	20:17 45:25
36:8 37:24	35:25 36:5	ctbs 50:21	68:13
39:7 40:2,3	37:22 38:1,4	cue 45:17	dealing 21:18
44:12 47:18	38:20 39:24	current 9:8	59:4
48:7,13,14	40:10,12,14	35:13,15 42:11	dealt 55:1
50:23 56:7,13	42:20 43:5	42:15	december
63:11,15,22	47:5 49:7	currently 8:14	20:25 30:25
64:22,23 70:7	61:13 64:3	35:11,13 59:16	34:5
70:8 76:21	70:11	65:16	decision 59:8,9
80:1,3	could've 52:5	cx 4:2	decline 49:8
correction	counsel 43:20	d	decrease 48:12
79:21	54:6 85:11,14	d 4:1 6:1	48:18
corrections	86:7,10	d 4.1 0.1 d2 14:25	decreases
10:9 76:10	count 72:20,20	darin 2:3,7	33:17,19,22
77:8 78:5	72:23	data 23:22	deficiencies
80:16	counties 23:17	26:12,14,19,22	62:4
correctly 18:11	25:18	26:23 27:10,14	defined 54:14
53:7	county 1:7,11	39:18 47:14,25	definition 28:1
correlation	couple 65:22	data's 26:24,24	deflationary
55:15	76:10 82:22	data s 20.24,24 database 26:15	33:4 48:21
corridors 11:5	course 72:17	dataset 27:7	49:14
cost 13:11,13	75:20 77:13	dataset's 26:7	degree 8:7
13:14 31:14	78:23	date 1:16 20:23	20:21
32:11,14,17,22	court 45:14	27:12 49:18	delaware 20:13
33:6 34:17,23	cover 29:22	50:6 51:3 55:2	21:11,11 65:5
34:24,24 35:6	31:6,12,23	55:18 59:18	demonstrate
35:6,18,20	cpac 79:23	60:4 81:21	24:22
36:13 38:1	crafted 54:5,22	day 1:15 72:21	demonstrated
41:13 42:8,11	create 56:5	73:1 82:2 83:3	63:20
42:15 43:11	creation 53:2	days 70:10	demonstrates
44:7,25 64:22	crew 57:6,23	72:17,22,22,24	33:2
costs 11:18	crews 65:16	73:1 82:22	demonstrating
13:9 30:18,20	cross 13:21	deadline 69:10	32:23
31:17,18,20,20	14:5 37:2 67:1	81:3	
		01.5	

[denver - drilling]

denver 2:15	59:5	46:23	displacement
department 1:2	details 16:3,23	differences	35:17
deploying	determine	28:9	displacements
10:15	43:21	different 15:25	35:14
depressurizat	determining	29:1 39:19,19	distance 56:17
51:13,14,18,19	59:14	differently	distributed
55:1,3	develop 51:16	72:23	73:2
depressurize	60:2	differing 29:2	disturbance
55:14	developed	digital 85:8	11:14,15 12:4
describe 10:13	59:23	86:3	12:7,9,11
28:9 65:1	developing	diligent 81:2	division 1:3
description 5:2	12:1 60:3	direct 7:2 21:7	6:25 7:9,25
design 10:14,17	development	22:16 35:8,8	9:23 10:24
14:12,13,17,19	10:25 11:2,7	44:1 55:15	20:3 22:13
14:22 15:1,6	11:14 13:11	57:23 60:6	59:2 68:19
16:9 17:5 18:1	49:21 59:15,23	62:22,24	72:2,6 84:3
21:24 24:11	devon 8:24	directionally	dixon 3:22 6:5
27:21 28:1,13	21:5,10 23:16	39:7	document
31:1 32:6	23:19,19 24:11	directly 53:3	79:16
38:14,16 39:15	24:24 25:16,25	55:13	dollar 34:6
47:6,9,11 52:7	26:16 27:7,20	discrepancy	dollars 33:15
52:19 55:16,17	29:2 31:6 35:4	25:25	44:14
59:6 60:10,12	35:7	discrete 75:1	don 3:5
61:10,12 63:5	devon's 27:14	discretion	dot 71:17
64:6	28:12 32:18,24	69:14	doubt 56:16
designed 11:8	33:2,14	discuss 10:23	downtime
18:19 55:9	dgb 35:7	30:2 34:16	57:12
62:20	dgbs 65:18	60:7 73:17	drill 31:8,12,18
designing	diagnostics	discussed 48:11	32:8 33:14
52:16 53:3	22:4	discussing 17:5	drilled 9:6
designs 14:22	diagram 73:9	17:6 18:1	23:16
20:11 25:16	diesel 35:2,9,9	discussion	drilling 31:7
32:19 38:1	36:12 64:21,22	53:23	50:5 51:2
39:20 51:6	difference	disparate 16:12	52:17
52:23 55:12	26:11 29:7		

[drive - examiner]

drive 1:22	either 54:21	49:14	7:20,22 8:3,8
dsu 63:6,6,13	60:7 65:11	equipment 9:6	8:11 9:1,7,13
63:14	email 71:11	11:18	9:17,20 10:1
due 13:8 29:10	emissions	error 41:2 80:5	13:22 14:1
29:11 38:20	10:13	errors 40:20	16:18,25 17:7
43:24 69:3	employed	es 4:2 85:4	17:11,14,17,22
duly 85:5	85:11,14 86:8	especially	18:25 19:4,5,8
durango 2:6	86:11	27:25 34:3	19:11,15,18,19
dx 4:2	employee 85:13	55:6 69:19	19:25 20:5,7
dyed 34:25	86:10	esquire 2:3,11	20:15,23 21:2
35:6	enable 57:22	2:12 3:3	21:8,13 22:7
e	energy 1:2,5	established	22:11 36:15,17
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	2:2 8:21 21:5	42:8	36:24 43:23
5:1 6:1,1 71:17	engineer 8:2,15	estimate 13:8	44:4,11,18,20
71:17	8:20,21,23,25	13:13 35:3	44:24 45:4,7
earlier 74:1	9:2,10 14:13	estimates 32:12	45:10,19,20,22
80:1	14:17,18,22	42:8,11,16,22	45:24 46:2,8
early 30:25	20:8,10,13	44:7 45:2 66:4	46:12,17 52:13
easier 26:10	21:18 22:5,6	et 49:8 68:13	52:15,20 53:5
eddy 1:7,10	22:10,13 42:18	evasive 43:25	53:18 54:16,24
23:17 25:18	51:9 52:3 55:6	evd 5:2	55:19 58:12,16
education 8:4	58:25 59:3,9	everhart 2:12	58:19,23,24
20:16,17,18	61:20,22	evidence 13:4,5	59:10,19 60:5
efficiencies	engineer's 58:9	24:7,8 30:13	60:13,16,21,25
11:6,20,24	engineering 8:7	53:8	61:2,8,14,17
48:19,22	9:23 20:4,21	evidentiary	62:5,14 64:14
efficiency 60:7	21:17 54:1	68:12	64:15,17 65:21
60:18 61:6	59:1 62:2	exactly 18:12	65:23 66:11,14
efficient 11:18	ensure 41:8,18	examination	66:17,25 67:2
13:12	55:10	7:2 13:21 14:5	67:4,9,12,15,24
efficiently	entire 78:2	22:16 37:2	68:1,5,10,19,20
59:22	entirely 48:18	66:1	68:23 69:1,8
efforts 48:18,22	entries 76:18	examiner 1:18	69:15,17 70:12
eight 13:15	environment	3:11,14 6:2,11	70:20,23 71:1
27:3	33:4 48:21	6:13,16,21	71:5,9,13,20,24

[examiner - fine]

	I		I
72:7,11,15	33:12,17,24	24:6 25:9,11	familiar 7:11
73:4,22,25	37:12,19 44:8	30:11 53:14	22:22 51:1
74:2,5,9,13,17	47:13 48:11	56:23 67:22	56:19
74:22,24 75:2	49:25 50:6,14	explained	far 18:21,21
75:5,7,9,10,13	50:15,17 60:11	16:22	63:4 84:2
75:17,20,23	62:8 64:20	explaining	farther 58:10
76:1,3,11,15	73:7,8,9,9 81:3	18:11	fe 1:23 3:6
77:3,8,10,13,15	81:4,12 83:14	explanation	federal 10:18
78:4,9,12,15,19	exhibits 7:17	53:17	14:14 15:8,13
78:23,25 79:4	12:15 23:5,12	explored 53:21	feel 54:12 55:5
79:7,9,12 80:8	23:24 24:1,10	extent 56:10	69:17 81:16
80:12,18,21,25	52:1,18 53:10	f	82:14 83:8
81:14,18,20	54:4,22 60:6,9	facilities 7:12	felt 34:20
82:3,5,9,12,18	61:4 67:21	8:1,15,25 9:2,5	field 7:23 8:19
82:21,24 83:3	79:22 81:7,24	9:9,22 12:3,12	8:20 20:1,2,19
83:7,12,19,21	84:6	14:12,13 15:2	53:9,15 54:18
83:23 84:1,14	expanded 54:6	15:12 16:15	65:8
exceeded 36:16	expect 34:7	17:5,25 21:20	fifth 60:20
excellent 84:6	expected 51:18	31:13	61:10
excerpt 31:19	expedited 71:4	facility 11:2,8	filtered 25:18
excerpts 31:21	72:2	13:8 16:9	25:19
exchange 81:7	expenses 48:12	18:18,22	filtering 26:8
83:14	experience 8:12	facility's 13:13	finally 73:13
exclusive 78:20	8:13,17,24	fact 62:6 69:18	financially
excused 19:9	21:6,14	73:5,21 74:12	85:15 86:11
execute 42:23	expert 6:24	74:16,18,21	find 53:12
executes 20:11	7:24 9:22 20:3	75:2,16 79:13	60:22
exhaustively	53:9 55:7	facts 69:21	finding 78:13
55:21	expertise 7:23	74:20 76:4	78:21
exhibit 10:22	8:5 16:6 20:1	78:13,17,22	findings 69:18
10:24 11:2,16	20:19 53:15	fair 51:15	73:5 75:15
12:17,23 13:7	54:15,18 59:4	81:15	78:17 79:13,16
14:25 23:15,21	experts 53:7	fairly 35:3	81:22
24:1 25:12,14	explain 10:23	fall 82:2	fine 80:13
32:15,20,21	13:3 23:22	1011 02.2	83:10

[finished - go]

finished 36:19	fordyce 3:11	fracture 55:10	σ
fire 28:24	19:1,3 64:15	fractures 55:8	g 6 1 71 17
first 20:17	64:16,20,25	56:12 62:22,24	g 6:1 71:17
24:19 27:12	65:9,12,19	fracturing	gained 11:7
47:21 54:25	67:6,8 76:6,9	20:14 31:11	gallons 25:24
64:8 67:17	76:12,16 77:3	francis 1:22	30:1 35:1
five 60:22	77:11,12,17,23	frequently 84:8	38:17
flat 32:22 33:24	78:4,6,12	fresh 35:24	garcia 3:14
34:1	79:19,21 80:4	freya 3:13	gas 14:15 35:8
flipping 48:10	80:7,8,10	70:17,17	35:8,10 59:1
flow 15:16	foregoing 85:3	friday 82:25	64:22 65:1,2,6
flowback 31:12	85:4 86:4	front 74:20	65:6,8,11
flowing 13:15	forgot 62:2	frontier 30:1	76:20 77:19
15:19	74:6	36:7 63:5	gaseous 35:7
flowline 11:4	format 79:14	frontiers 33:9	gaspar 3:5
flowlines 9:5	79:17 81:23	fuel 31:20,20	general 20:11
fluctuate 34:8	formation	34:23,24 35:5	21:17
		49:7	generally 28:8
fluids 25:24 35:24	49:18,22 76:20 formations	fuels 34:25	56:14,25 65:4
focus 20:14			72:20
	11:13,14 15:3	35:6,7 full 7:4 11:7	generated
focused 22:1 folks 56:23	15:23 four 8:20 11:10	22:19,20 53:25	30:25
		′	geology 29:2
foot 23:18	11:10,11 26:25	fully 10:17	getting 45:8
25:23,24 26:3	35:7 41:6,17	40:19 51:18	58:5
26:5 27:11,15	59:16 64:2	53:21,21 61:21	give 29:11
27:19 28:16,16	65:17 70:9	funny 82:6	53:16 71:10
29:1,24,25	72:17	further 26:21	83:13
30:1,21 31:2,2	frac 28:13 35:9	58:5,5,11 67:6	given 12:9
32:19 33:8	56:18 57:6	67:8,21 80:11	16:12
38:14,15,16,17	59:7 65:16	80:14 83:20	giving 62:10
39:10,10,17,20	fracking 56:20	85:13 86:9	glad 79:10 81:4
40:1,15 41:4	57:1 59:14	future 50:18	go 7:1 19:21
56:17	61:9 63:1,17		23:12 24:21
footnote 31:5	fracs 57:3		25:4,22 32:3
			32:10 47:12

[go - hold]

50:14 52:18	greatly 72:18	hearing 1:14,18	71:1,5,9,13,20
60:19 63:3	gregory 1:18	6:2,11,13,16,21	71:24 72:7,11
65:23 68:1	group 18:15,17	7:20,22 8:3,8	72:15 73:4,22
74:15 77:4	21:21 31:6,12	8:11 9:1,7,13	73:25 74:2,5,9
80:14,22 82:25	groups 18:21	9:17,20,25	74:13,17,22,24
goes 16:4 20:19	18:22	13:22 14:1,9	75:2,5,7,10,13
53:3 55:13	growth 56:18	16:18,25 17:7	75:17,20,23
59:21,21	guess 24:17	17:11,14,17,22	76:1,3,11,15
going 6:5 12:20	25:13 31:4	18:25 19:3,5,8	77:3,8,10,13,15
15:18,25 17:3	34:4,5	19:11,15,18,19	78:4,9,12,15,19
19:21 23:24	gulf 8:22	19:25 20:5,7	78:23,25 79:4
26:9,22 29:23	h	20:15,23 21:2	79:7,9,12 80:8
37:11,12 38:4	h 5:1	21:8,13 22:7	80:12,18,21,25
45:24 46:18,18	half 8:17,19,20	22:11 36:15,17	81:14,18,20
49:25 51:24	8:22 18:10,14	36:24 41:7	82:5,9,12,17,18
52:3 55:14	18:16 44:14	43:7,23 44:4	82:21,24 83:3
58:10 69:19	63:13	44:11,18,20,24	83:7,12,19,21
70:3 71:16	hall 1:20	45:4,7,10,19,22	83:23 84:1,4
72:1,3 73:5	hand 37:8	45:24 46:2,8	84:13
76:4 79:20	handle 14:19	46:12,17 48:5	help 76:7
81:6	15:22 16:3	52:13,20 53:5	helps 43:1
good 20:9	handles 14:18	53:18 54:16	hereto 85:15
67:16 68:22	handling 11:9	55:19 58:12,16	86:11
69:24 71:21	happened 64:6	58:19,23 59:10	high 57:24
graduated	happens 51:10	59:19 60:5,13	higher 35:14
20:25	63:17 84:7	60:16,21,25	38:4
granted 11:19	he'll 11:9	61:2,14,17	highlight 11:6
58:25	head 39:3 49:1	62:5 64:15,17	highlights
graph 27:22	hear 6:5 39:17	65:21,23 66:11	27:22
33:1 47:17,25	44:15,20 45:13	66:14,17,25	hired 21:10
48:6,12 49:3	46:3 64:18	67:4,9,12,15	historic 24:24
61:12	71:6 75:14	68:1,5,10,12,12	25:15
graphs 25:12	heard 6:4 44:21	68:13,16,20,23	hold 6:21 16:18
great 75:6 79:6	45:12,12 46:9	69:1,8,15 70:1	20:20 81:21
	55:21 72:16	70:12,20,23	
	55.21 /2.10		

[holistic - kind]

1 1 4 20 21	• 1 1 210	24 24 20 12 14	• 1 (0.10
holistic 38:21	include 31:9	24:24 29:12,14	issued 69:12
home 84:12	included 10:14	44:2	issues 26:8 37:7
honestly 9:12	11:3	informed 17:3	54:9
hope 71:22	includes 8:19	17:8,25 18:4	it'll 72:11,21
horizontal	23:19	77:18	item 25:10
56:16	including 7:18	initially 73:23	34:15 35:20
horsepower	21:24 23:6	inquiry 64:17	\mathbf{j}
35:8	inclusive 78:17	instance 26:2	j 71:15
house 29:15	78:20	intentionally	jacob 2:12
huge 25:25	inconsistent	54:7,8	jacobey 86:2,15
hydraulic	42:12 43:6,15	interest 45:21	
20:14	43:16 44:8	interested	james 1:24 2:11
hydraulically	47:2,3	85:15 86:12	85:2,18
56:11	incorrect 13:11	interference	january 32:22
i	24:23 28:17	61:24	34:4,4
_	increase 12:11	internships	jeverhart 2:17
ib 74:9	12:12 38:12,21	8:18	job 1:25 29:24
ibid 74:7	39:11,23,25	interpret 36:8	29:25 30:21
idea 72:5	40:7,10,14	interpretation	31:2,3 33:8
identify 29:23	48:22	47:20	38:15 41:4
ignore 62:6	increased 32:9	interrupt 14:9	joe 3:22
ihs 25:18	38:16 40:16	37:8	john 3:14
illustrate 27:5	41:22	interval 25:19	jon 3:16
impact 47:6	increasing	intervenors	jparrot 2:16
implies 24:10	38:24,25	76:19	71:23
important 31:5	independent	introduced	judgment 43:5
32:4	79:1	24:23	july 21:10
improve 34:14	industry 8:17	involved 9:3	justified 29:15
48:18	21:6,22	15:13 51:6	k
improvements	<u>'</u>		k 62:25
33:3 34:8	industrywide	52:7,23	keep 18:20
improving	48:1	involvement	46:18 58:10
35:15	infill 49:17	21:7	kevin 3:23
inappropriate	50:5,19 51:2	issue 53:19	kind 23:21
52:12 59:15	information	54:3,23 55:1,4	25:13 26:10
	16:8 18:7,8	55:5 62:2	23.13 20.10

[kind - melland]

50:25	lane 2:5 3:19	liquified 65:6	84:10
know 14:10	large 20:14	litigated 53:20	main 28:5
15:15 16:4	largely 11:15	54:9	major 64:2
18:21 20:12	12:10	little 26:10	majority 27:14
	latitude 62:10	34:20 39:1	make 7:21
21:9,18 25:9	law 3:13 69:18	49:19 50:9	17:17 26:10
25:10 27:6,18 29:2,21 30:14	71:17 73:12		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	75:18 76:5	51:9 56:23	46:8 62:4 73:12 77:4
30:20 31:9,14		69:2,10,19	
32:6,19,23,24	78:18,22 79:14	llc 1:6,10 2:2,10	79:10
33:2,16,16,23	lawyer 41:2	3:2	makes 82:13
34:2,9,20 35:4	lay 56:23	lng 65:11	making 20:12
35:11,12,21	lea 23:17 25:18	location 1:19	36:11 41:12
37:9 38:20	learn 16:12	31:7 76:22	maneuver 60:7
39:17 41:1	49:3	look 9:11 25:14	60:8
42:3,4,7,9,14	lease 65:7	25:16,22 26:18	mark 27:15
42:16,17,19,23	leased 11:4	32:21 33:5	marked 5:3
46:24 47:1,9	12:3	47:9,9 70:4	market 34:9
47:10 54:12,15	leases 15:13	looked 52:3,6	matters 6:7
57:7 62:8	leave 69:14	looking 34:14	23:11
66:10 69:12	left 6:2 26:1	60:14 61:4	mean 17:1
70:14,21,22,23	legally 72:20	79:23	25:20 43:15
72:23 74:19	level 56:16	looks 25:10	meaningful
knowing 47:2	levi 2:12	33:19 42:18	84:11
69:24	lift 9:5 31:13	loop 70:10	means 28:9
knowledge	likewise 12:11	lost 11:21	measure 18:20
15:13 40:19	limit 36:16	lot 39:16,16,18	measurement
41:25 85:10	68:21 69:2	44:2 78:14	14:14 15:9
86:6	73:14	low 13:8 30:17	measures 10:14
l	limited 11:15	56:5 64:4	melland 4:3 6:6
1 71:17	53:23 54:2	lowest 32:17	6:17,18,19,23
land 38:7 64:10	line 33:5,6,8	m	7:6,6,10,14,19
66:10,23	35:19,20 51:25	made 15:22	7:21,23 8:1,6
lands 7:12	52:2,9 71:7	27:19 28:17	8:10,14 9:3,9
22:23 52:18	liquid 34:25	31:1 32:6 52:6	9:16,19,21
	35:6	53:24,24 84:9	10:3,7,11 11:1

[melland - obtain]

12:14,16,19,22	miguel 3:3	morning 14:8	85:20
12:24 13:2,6	million 32:11	37:5	nine 10:13
13:18,25 14:7	32:11,13,17	move 10:21	nm 1:23 3:6
14:11,16 15:4	33:15 34:6	12:20 23:24	non 69:14
15:10,14,20	44:14 48:5	25:1 27:8	normal 51:18
16:2,17,19,25	mimosa 28:24	28:21 30:4	north 18:10,14
17:24 18:3,5,8	mind 31:23	msuazo 3:7	63:13
19:12,14,22	48:10	multiple 57:11	northern 63:13
29:18 49:21	minerals 1:2	multitude	nos 1:7,11
melland's	minute 36:16	21:16	notary 85:19
13:20 49:16	60:22 67:25	n	note 31:5
50:18 52:4	minutes 36:16		notes 80:23
memory 50:4,7	mirrors 49:3	n 2:1 3:1 4:1	81:2
50:17	misleading	6:1 71:17	notice 61:11,12
mention 64:21	24:23 27:17	76:19	number 27:2
74:21	misrepresent	name 7:5 22:19	31:5
mentions 60:11	26:7	22:20 natural 1:2	0
merger 23:20	missouri 20:22	35:10 64:22	o 6:1 71:15
26:17,18	misstatement	65:6,6,11	oath 6:24 19:23
meter 65:3	28:18	nature 38:10	54:22
method 24:22	model 35:16	necessarily	object 51:24
35:22	37:25 42:17,22	53:12 54:18	58:4
methodology	42:25 49:1	necessary	objection 16:16
65:14	modeled 33:7	16:14 41:9,19	16:20 17:19
mexico 1:1,7,11	42:20	47:4	43:17 45:5
7:8 85:20	modeling 22:4	need 6:8 17:4	46:18 54:17
michael 3:20	modern 24:11	37:13 70:10	55:20,22 58:12
4:6 22:20	27:21 28:1,13	79:17 80:24	58:17 61:3
microphone	29:4 61:11	81:7	66:8,12
61:17	modifications	needs 75:2	objection's
midcontinent	10:9 38:14	neither 85:11	52:14 62:12
8:23	moment 50:20	86:7	objectionable
middle 61:3	monday 83:1,2	never 39:3	69:14
migrate 56:12	83:17,18	new 1:1,7,11	obtain 17:4
		7:8 9:6 45:10	

[obvious - parrot]

1 . 72.10	40 10 10 41 7	1.	II 10.07
obvious 73:18	40:18,18 41:5	operations	overall 10:25
73:19 75:12,14	41:20,24 42:10	20:11 21:18	59:15
ocd 77:18	43:8,18 45:9	31:6 33:3 34:9	overruled
offer 71:4	45:23 46:14	63:24 65:5	55:22 62:12
officer 85:2	47:24 48:3	operator 11:12	oversee 9:4
oh 29:17 31:4	49:12,15,20,24	11:24 12:8	oversight 80:3
37:14,16 41:20	50:8,12,13,24	77:25	overview 12:5
63:10 64:5	51:5 52:13	operators 12:2	13:17
70:23 75:23	53:18,24 57:17	47:23 63:23	own 75:19
78:21	59:10,19 60:5	operatorship	ownership
oil 1:3 7:8,9	61:14 62:19	11:20	11:22
18:20 49:3,9	63:16 64:18	opinion 43:4	p
59:1	65:9,19,21,24	opinions 53:9	p 2:1,1,11 3:1,1
oilfield 49:7	66:6,11,17	opportunity	6:1 71:15
okay 6:2,15,20	67:15 68:11,20	61:21 62:3	packet 49:25
7:15 9:7,13,17	68:23 69:1	83:13	50:14 70:1
9:21 10:8	70:12 71:20	opposed 48:1	81:12
12:13,20,22,25	72:7,8,15 74:8	60:3	packets 81:3,4
13:16,19,22	74:23 76:6	ops 57:5	83:15
14:3,24 16:11	78:9,21,24	option 69:9	pads 9:5 11:4
18:6,24,25	79:14,19 81:14	70:6,16 77:16	12:3 13:10
19:5,8,11 20:7	83:13,19	optional 77:24	page 24:21
20:15 21:2,8	omission 13:8	options 70:14	50:1,3 68:21
21:13 22:7,11	once 19:19	order 17:4	69:1 73:14
23:9,23,25	69:11 71:25	69:12 76:25	79:22 80:1
24:3,6,15,25	73:23	original 10:22	pages 78:8,16
25:3,6,8 28:4	ones 42:22	originally	78:24
28:19 29:9,13	online 84:10	37:23	
29:13,18 30:3	operating 1:9	outcome 85:16	paper 39:4
30:3,6,11,24	2:10 3:2	86:12	paragraph 10:12 23:14
31:19 32:2	operation	outlined 76:8	
36:10,17,24	57:11	outside 16:22	50:2,10 52:4 73:8 74:1
37:10,17,18,21	operational	52:8,11,14	
38:2,8,18,23	48:22	53:11,14 54:13	parrot 2:11 4:5
39:6,9,14 40:9		54:17 58:13,19	4:8 6:11,12
			14:3,4,6,7,12

[parrot - point]

		T	
14:24 15:7,11	66:8,13 67:2	pdf 79:15	plan 10:25 11:2
15:15,24 16:11	67:24 68:3,7,8	pecos 1:20	11:3,7,17
17:1,2,7,10,13	68:24,25 69:8	penetrate 78:1	13:11 15:22
17:16,21,23,24	69:9 70:3,19	people 39:16,16	46:24 59:15
18:4,6,23	70:22,25 71:3	percent 35:13	planned 12:6
36:25 37:1,3,4	71:10,12,14,19	35:14,16 38:24	55:6
37:7,11,15,16	71:22 72:4,9	39:1 42:25	planning 9:4
37:18,21 38:2	72:10,14 75:9	49:11 64:21	22:3 29:25
38:8,11,18,23	75:11,15,18,21	percentage	30:21 33:9
39:6,9,14,21,23	75:24 76:2,16	65:12	41:3 61:11
40:4,6,9,12,18	77:5,7,9,12,14	perfect 8:4 9:20	plans 49:17
40:23 41:5,14	77:17 78:3	68:10	51:2,16
41:16,21,25	81:16,17 82:3	perforate 57:8	plays 10:25
42:4,10 43:2	82:7,11 83:10	perforations	pleasant 84:11
43:14,23 44:6	83:18,20,21	57:13	please 14:9
44:16 45:20,23	84:13	performance	25:6 30:23
46:1,7,16,20,21	participation	47:7	32:1 37:8,16
46:22 47:4,12	84:9	period 33:12,15	50:2 55:23
47:24 48:3,8	particular	33:24 34:3	62:12,18 76:15
48:15,17,23	10:24 51:11	51:11 56:4	79:9
49:2,6,12,15,20	59:12	permian 1:6	plenty 83:13
49:24 50:9,13	parties 70:2,4	2:2	plot 27:8,9
50:23,25 51:5	70:16 73:2,16	pertaining	plug 31:7,11,11
51:8,15,21	76:14 81:1,6	14:14 15:9	plumbing
52:15,22 54:16	83:12 84:2,4	60:10	61:23
54:24 56:2,3,9	85:12,14 86:8	pertains 54:15	plus 57:2
56:19,22 57:17	86:11	petroleum	point 25:12
57:19,22 58:2	party 70:15	20:21,21 21:17	28:23 29:19
58:23,24 59:12	73:15 75:19	ph 10:22	34:16 47:21
59:21 60:9,15	past 49:4 84:4	piece 39:4	50:19 54:25
60:19,24 61:7	paul 4:3 6:17	pipeline 12:4	56:10 60:17,20
61:8 62:10,14	7:6 52:5	13:9 65:2	61:10 63:6,8
62:15,16,19	pay 70:5 71:4	places 65:7	64:2,2,2 76:10
63:4,9,12,16,20	pc 2:4,13 3:4	plainly 10:16	76:17 80:24
63:25 64:8,12			

[pointed - provided]

pointed 53:7	preference	27:13,17	production
pointing 44:22	77:21	previous 44:13	8:20 21:20
points 25:11	preferentially	45:14,15 46:3	22:5 27:12
47:25	56:12 57:23	46:3 51:1	professionals
pool 76:20,21	62:22,24	previously 7:8	16:8
76:24,24 77:1	preliminary	53:20 54:8	propagate 55:8
pooling 1:7,10	6:7	62:3	propagation
76:13	prep 31:10,17	price 49:3	55:10
portion 24:13	32:8 33:13	prices 34:10	proper 55:5
position 81:8	prepared 7:16	49:9	proportional
positioned	7:16 10:4	primarily 59:8	49:9,11
11:24	12:25 23:3,4	primary 49:25	proportions
possible 29:6	24:3 30:8	prior 26:18	32:8
49:17	67:19 84:5	49:16 52:24	proposal 30:22
possibly 56:22	86:3	57:25 85:5	41:3
post 68:13,16	present 3:10	probably 26:8	proposals
70:1	55:7	29:23 75:12	24:13 31:15
posterity 79:15	presentation	problem 53:12	33:6,7 36:5,6
postulates 13:7	84:3,11	proceed 30:23	propose 69:21
potential 50:5	presented 13:4	46:5,15 62:13	proposed 16:14
55:17 59:17	25:15,25 26:1	proceeded 52:9	33:10 59:16
potentially	34:21 47:15	proceeding	69:18 73:5
51:2	60:10	1:19 80:19	79:13,16
pound 27:15	presenting 6:3	84:16 86:4	proppant 23:16
29:24,25 30:21	pressure 51:12	proceedings	25:23 27:10
31:2,2 32:19	53:2,20 54:9	7:18 23:5 85:3	28:15 38:12,24
33:8 38:14	55:3 56:5,6,13	85:5,6,9 86:6	39:10,11,25
39:17 41:4	57:24 61:23	process 31:12	41:22 49:8
pounds 23:17	62:23 69:25	produce 51:17	provide 12:5
25:23 26:3,5	pressurization	51:17	16:8,24 45:1,2
27:11 28:16,25	51:13	produced	53:25 66:19
38:16 39:9,10	presumably	35:19,23 51:10	81:8
39:20,25 40:15	17:2	producing	provided 12:14
prairie 28:24	pretty 20:14	15:16 56:4	12:16 13:14
	21:16 26:7		37:23 38:5

[provided - reduction]

40:24 41:2,7 42:12 43:6,12	question 17:15 17:20 20:9	48:11 52:1	52:1,8,18 54:3
· / /	17:20 20:9		
		60:11,13,14	54:4,5 64:20
43:22 44:2,9	42:3 43:3,4,16	61:5 62:8 63:7	67:20,21 68:9
44:15 48:4	43:20 44:1,3,5	64:21	rebutted 67:20
50:4 54:1,3	44:6,13 45:14	r5 12:19 50:15	rebutting 30:19
55:11	45:21 46:3,4	52:6	recall 49:15
providing 50:6	46:14,15 51:1	radtke 86:2,15	66:3
50:16 66:7	52:21,22,25	raise 37:8	receive 72:12
public 39:18	53:1,12 55:23	range 32:25	received 18:9
85:19	58:4 62:9,17	rates 49:7	72:21 73:1
publication	62:19 64:1,11	rather 29:8	recent 28:25
72:3	66:9,10,16,23	rex 4:2	53:1
pulled 23:10	73:19 75:12	rdx 4:2	recognized
pump 33:9	77:14 78:8,10	read 45:14	9:22 22:12
57:13	questioning	50:10,20	recommendat
pumping 29:3	24:19 51:25	real 26:3 35:5	16:10
57:15	52:3,10	78:8	record 7:5
pumps 35:9	questions 18:24	reality 28:17	22:19 46:10
57:14	19:1,3,7 52:5	realize 11:24	61:1 68:4,6
purple 76:23	64:13 65:20	realized 62:1	69:20 73:6
77:18	67:8	really 16:3 28:4	75:3 80:15
purpose 58:6	quick 37:13	43:2 52:7 84:9	85:9 86:5
purposes 40:24	64:16 78:8	reason 29:3,15	recorded 85:6
41:7 43:7 44:9	quicker 70:5	40:13 51:25	recording 85:8
48:4	quite 42:14	reasons 29:7	86:4
purview 16:23	64:6	39:24	recycled 35:19
18:12	quote 27:4	rebut 53:23	35:23
put 77:20,22	r	67:18	red 25:16 33:5
q	r 2:1 3:1 6:1	rebuts 13:4,5	33:6,8
qualified 7:24	71:15,15,17	24:7,7,9,12	redirect 19:6
20:2 85:7	r14262 76:25	rebuttal 12:14	66:1 67:1
quantify 12:7	r3 23:15 24:1	12:17,23 13:7	reduced 85:7
quantity 12.7 quarter 79:25	52:1	13:23 23:1,5	reduction
79:25	r4 30:2 37:12	23:12,15,24,25	10:14 34:6
19.23	37:19 44:8	24:1 50:14	

[refer - running]

refer 37:11	remainder	reservoir 8:23	revising 38:3
51:21	40:19 41:5,17	20:12 21:25,25	revision 15:5
referenced	remember 27:2	22:2,6 51:12	rig 31:7 69:12
54:11	remind 6:23	51:13 52:3	right 6:13 7:22
references	55:25	55:1,3,5,8,14	8:3,11 14:1
36:12 79:24,25	remote 1:19	57:24 58:8	19:25 20:16
referred 46:23	repeated 46:10	59:22 61:20,22	27:9 28:23
53:6	repeating	62:2	32:5 33:1,5
referring 40:22	55:25	resources 1:2	34:19 36:23
47:3 61:13	rephrase 17:15	respect 11:7	39:1 40:8
refresh 50:4,7	17:20	43:24	43:10 44:24
50:17	replaces 35:9	responded	48:8,25 58:20
regarding	35:12	76:23	61:5 66:25
14:21 16:4	replacing 64:21	response 64:3	67:4,9,12
49:21 50:18	reported 1:24	76:19	70:20 71:5,9
51:11 53:1	reported 1.24 reporter 45:14	responsible	71:13,24 72:16
61:23 64:1	45:17 46:10	52:16 59:13	73:4 76:21
region 8:22	70:8 71:7,18	resume 9:18	77:10 80:12
regulations	72:5	returning	81:18,25 83:6
14:14 15:8,12	represent	50:25	83:16,17
regulatory	47:25	rev 15:5	rivergate 2:5
16:23	representative	review 7:21	roads 11:4 12:3
related 20:11	27:7	15:20,22 42:24	role 8:16 22:2
85:11 86:7	representing	81:8 83:14	59:3,4
relation 30:12	35:16	reviewed 7:17	roles 21:16,23
relative 85:13	represents	23:4 42:5	rolla 20:22
86:10	35:24	47:22	rotational 8:19
relevant 44:3	repropose	revisals 38:7	rule 53:6 73:12
59:11,20	46:24	revise 24:20	rules 10:18
relied 53:8	requested	revised 32:19	72:23 76:22,24
relies 16:24	46:11	37:22 42:9	77:1
rely 53:7	require 18:2	44:13,17 81:4	run 57:8
relying 16:7	required 12:12	81:7,12,23	running 65:17
remain 26:19	17:6 75:24	83:14	8 3312,

[s - significant]

		_	
S	58:18,21 61:15	screenshare	sent 30:22 42:9
s 2:1 3:1 5:1 6:1	61:16,19 62:6	37:13	separate 14:17
safe 84:12	65:22,24 66:2	scroll 27:16	78:22
sage 76:24	66:3,6,14,15,18	50:1	services 49:7
77:19	66:19,24 67:13	sec 37:13	servicing 11:10
saint 1:22	67:14 68:15,17	second 59:25	set 51:10 52:2
santa 1:23 3:6	68:22 69:5,6	81:21	81:3 82:19
savage 2:3 4:4	72:12,25 73:3	section 76:18	setback 76:22
4:7 6:9,10,15	73:18,23 74:1	76:25	seven 23:14
6:16,20,22 7:1	74:3,8,11,14,19	sections 79:25	several 25:11
7:3,4,7,11,15	74:23 75:1,4,6	see 9:7 10:5	36:16
7:20 9:24,25	75:8 78:7,11	11:3 12:6	shared 11:19
10:2,3,8,21	78:13,16,21,24	20:15 25:17,25	shooting 57:13
12:13,18,20,25	79:2,6,8,11,12	26:11 27:13	show 32:16
13:3,16,19,24	79:20,22 80:2	28:15 32:10	34:20 54:20
16:16,21 19:7	80:6,16,19,22	33:5,11,16	60:17
19:10,17 22:14	81:5,10,13,19	35:25 37:19	showed 32:21
22:15,17,18,22	82:14,16,20,22	43:8 56:14,15	showing 25:15
22:25 23:3,9	83:2,5,9,16,24	61:14 76:18	27:17 28:10
23:23 24:3,6	83:25	seeing 33:22	33:23,23 53:10
24:15,25 25:3	saving 57:16	35:14	shown 11:15
25:6,9 28:4,8	saw 34:5 67:21	seeking 7:24	44:7 47:17
28:19 29:6,9	saying 33:18	seems 53:13	48:6,12
29:13,18 30:3	35:12 52:6	58:10 81:15	shows 11:2
30:6,8,11,23	72:22,25	segregated	23:15 33:4
31:19,24 32:1	scatter 27:8,9	18:20	43:10
32:3 33:18,25	scenario 12:9	self 7:18 9:15	side 33:13
34:11,15 36:2	schill 2:4	10:6	sides 55:21
36:10,19,20	science 20:21	send 72:6	signature 85:17
43:17,19 44:18	scope 52:8,11	sending 72:3	86:14
44:19,22,25	52:14 54:13,17	senior 9:11	significance
45:6,9,12	54:23 58:13,20	22:9	28:5 30:12
46:18 51:24	60:6	sense 20:11	34:1
53:5,16,19	screen 19:20	82:13	significant 47:6
55:19 58:4,14	28:23 37:19		
33.17 30.7,17			

[significantly - stipulation]

significantly	sizes 24:24 29:3	53:4 76:25	starting 8:18
54:13	skills 85:10	79:23	82:1
similar 11:17	86:6	speaker 37:14	state 1:1 6:20
41:21	slagle 3:16	37:17	7:4 10:16,18
simple 43:25	slide 13:17,23	special 76:24	10:19 13:10
simplistic 63:2	25:1 28:5,20	specialized	22:18 29:24
simply 13:11	30:4,9,12	21:23	49:13 85:20
38:3	48:15	specific 20:13	stated 23:14
single 11:3	slightly 28:14	45:8 53:22	25:21 38:4
13:14 57:5	slow 28:12	59:4 73:8	47:21
sink 53:2,20	small 84:7	specifically	statement 7:18
55:3 56:6,13	solely 16:7 22:1	10:12,19 44:17	9:15 10:6,12
sinks 54:9	59:7	54:5 55:7	23:6,10,11
61:23	soon 72:9	60:11	27:19 40:3
sir 12:24 13:2	sooner 69:10	specified 35:21	52:9 54:1,2
14:11 15:4,10	sorry 21:4	speed 70:15	68:18 69:2,16
15:14 19:24	29:19,20 40:5	speeds 72:17	69:21 79:18
20:6 22:8,24	41:6 42:6	spoken 84:6	statewide 76:23
23:2,8 24:2,5,9	46:25 59:24	spring 15:2,17	stating 37:21
25:2 28:11,22	74:9 78:11	15:18,25 16:13	37:25 38:3,6
29:21 30:5,10	82:5	springs 11:10	66:4
30:14 33:21	sort 43:15	11:13,23	statute 73:12
34:13 37:6,20	63:14	staff 9:11 22:9	74:15
39:13 40:11	sorts 22:4	77:18	staveren 3:18
42:6 44:19	sound 39:1	standard 10:14	stick 70:3
45:19 49:19	sounds 39:5	10:17 14:22	stim 31:11,17
50:12,24 51:4	68:22 69:7	15:5	32:8 33:13
56:1,21 61:18	source 65:1,3	standpoint	57:9
63:9,10,15	south 1:22	24:19 63:3	stimulates
64:19,24 66:5	18:16 63:13	start 24:17	56:11
site 73:23	southern 63:14	25:14 26:12	stimulation
sitting 57:9	space 78:14	57:4 81:10	56:12 57:24,25
size 24:20,20	spaced 15:25	started 21:5	stipulation
27:18	spacing 16:1,3	27:20	77:25
	16:12 52:19		

[stop - think]

stop 37:9 48:8	surprised	targeted 54:2,4	thank 6:12,14
striking 80:20	33:22 67:23	54:5	9:21,25 12:13
suazo 3:3 76:16	sustain 17:19	team 76:7	14:2,4,7 17:22
sub 64:2	46:17	teams 21:25	18:24 19:12,14
subject 7:12	switch 37:15	22:1	22:7,11 23:23
22:23 62:7	sworn 54:21	technical 3:11	28:19 36:17,23
68:14	85:5	3:14 68:18	37:1,4 45:19
submission		69:16 76:7	46:12,19 50:13
70:1	t	technique	56:9 57:19
submissions	t 5:1 71:15	63:17	60:16,25 61:8
53:11 68:13,16	table 25:15	techniques	62:14 63:25
submit 72:2	26:13,14,17	58:7,8	64:14 65:20
75:19 79:15,16	27:10,16	technology	67:2,5,10,11
81:12	take 32:21	65:13	68:3 72:14
submitted 7:17	34:11 35:22	tell 20:18 48:23	75:4,21 76:2
23:5 36:6	60:22 69:19	51:9 73:8	76:11 77:9
50:15	78:14	ten 50:2 68:21	78:3 79:2,2
suggestions	takeaway	69:1 73:14	81:19 83:11,22
24:12	14:15	78:8,16,24	83:25 84:2,8
suite 2:5,14	taken 85:3,12	tend 49:8	84:13
supervision	86:9	terminology	thanks 45:22
7:16 13:1 23:4	talk 20:16 29:6	36:7	71:18
supply 64:22	30:19 31:16	terms 18:19	things 14:20
supposed 25:17	32:16 47:14	28:9	19:21 22:4
sure 12:11,12	61:5	testified 7:8	42:14 72:17
42:2,3 43:2	talked 58:8	15:1 47:15,16	think 9:10,10
46:1,9 47:19	61:22,24 69:2	52:16	19:15 26:8
65:8 68:1	69:3 80:17	testify 54:14	27:1,1,2 29:4
73:12 74:2	81:23	testifying 85:5	31:4 32:3
77:4	talking 31:17	testimony 6:9	34:18 37:12
surface 7:12	37:9 42:20	19:13 49:16	43:10 44:11,12
12:6,12	47:13 60:18	50:5,18 52:8	45:21 52:11,24
surprise 16:11	63:5	54:18,19,21,21	64:10 66:22,22
49:2	tank 15:18	55:11 58:6,9	68:17,21 69:23
	tanner 22:21	61:4 67:10	71:2 72:5

[think - updated]

79:20 82:16	together 70:5	trying 34:19	underestimated
84:2	70:16	tschantz 3:13	24:14
third 50:19,21	told 39:2	36:15,18	undersized
thoroughly	tomorrow 82:1	tube 31:13	28:14
55:20	tomorrow's	turn 47:13	understand
thought 42:7	82:4	49:24 50:14	42:14 55:20
70:13 72:8	took 26:18	turned 70:9	69:25
thoughts 68:15	top 48:25 76:17	twenty 27:18	understanding
three 8:22 15:5	topics 45:10	two 8:17 11:4	18:13 22:2
27:21 28:13	total 11:13 12:8	12:2,14 15:17	59:2 66:7
42:24,25 63:6	12:10 27:3	18:22 31:5	77:23
63:8 65:16,16	32:12	36:1 40:25	understating
65:17 70:9	toward 8:4,12	41:6,6,15 49:4	30:16,18
72:17 77:5	20:19 50:3	49:17 54:3	understood
tier 35:7 65:17	52:18	57:1,2 62:22	18:23 39:21
tight 69:10	towards 56:13	64:3 69:3,6,23	40:9 47:12
time 1:17 14:8	track 34:19	70:4 72:19	63:25 64:12
21:12 23:24	transcriber	81:13,14,22,25	70:19 72:10
26:22 30:22	86:1	84:4	73:3 77:7
31:1 33:7,12	transcript 69:4	tyler 3:19	unidentified
33:15,17,24	70:6,9 71:4	typewriting	37:14,17
34:3,3,7 37:4	72:2,21 73:1,7	85:7	uniquely 11:23
41:3 45:21	81:23 86:3,5	typical 74:6	unit 11:8 12:2
50:10 51:11	transcript's	typically 53:8	49:22 52:19
56:4,10 57:7	69:7	59:2	53:4 76:25
57:16 61:22	transcriptionist	u	79:23
69:11,19 72:13	85:8	unchanged	units 49:17
74:4 81:11	transport 13:9	12:10	university
timeframe	treated 35:19	under 6:24	20:22
69:24 70:11	35:23	7:16 12:9 13:1	unliterally 71:4
times 21:24	trends 26:19	19:23 23:4	update 28:12
title 9:8 22:8,9	trip 84:12	31:20 42:25	42:17
today 6:5 54:21	trouble 14:9	54:22 69:24	updated 32:7,7
55:16	true 85:9 86:5	76:24 77:1	43:5,11 44:7
		79:23	45:2 47:5,6

[updated - womack]

60:12 64:3	videoconfere	wcdm 42:22,25	went 52:4 54:8
66:7,20	3:12,15,20	we've 15:22	67:17
updates 41:8	view 26:15	36:16 42:9,25	wheel 78:1
41:10,19,21	volume 36:12	47:10 65:15	wheelhouse
42:18	volumes 35:21	wednesday	53:13
upgrade 28:12	40:17	1:16 82:7,9,15	wireline 57:8
upper 27:9		week 69:13	57:10
upward 37:22	W	82:2	wish 20:2
usage 35:5,9,10	w 71:17,17	weeks 69:3,6	withdraw
36:12,13	waiting 57:9	69:23 70:4	45:21 46:4
use 61:11 62:23	want 11:6,8	72:19 81:13,14	66:15
65:5	23:11 24:25	81:22,25	witness 3:16,17
used 34:23,24	25:14 28:20	welcome 62:9	3:18,19,20,21
34:25 35:19	29:11,19,24	wells 9:6 11:9	3:22,23 4:2 6:8
36:13	34:16,22 37:14	11:10,11,19	13:23 16:21
using 36:14	46:2,4,8 69:20	13:15 15:16,18	17:8 19:2,9
57:24 62:3	69:25 70:15,16	15:23,25 16:1	23:1 55:7
65:13,17	73:17 74:24	18:10,14,16	59:13 62:7,8
usually 56:15	77:20 79:4,13	22:23 23:16,19	64:14 66:9,9
utilizes 35:7	79:15 81:11,21	23:19 26:16,16	67:7 85:4
utilizing 57:12	82:18,25 84:1	26:17,20,25	witnesses 6:3
59:7 63:23	84:8	27:3,7 28:24	84:5,8,10
v	wanted 13:10 50:7	28:25 29:1	wolfcamp
valid 42:3	waste 59:21	30:1 36:7	11:10,11,13,20
value 26:3,4,4	60:8,18 61:5	46:24 47:7	11:23,25 12:8
35:23,24	wasteful 60:1	49:17 50:19,22	15:2,16 16:1
values 31:15	watch 82:6	51:6,10,12,17	16:13 25:19
van 3:18	water 31:20	52:17,19 53:4	49:18 50:18
verbatim 73:7	35:18,19,20,20	55:12,13,16	51:3 52:24
veritext 70:10	35:21,24,24	56:5 57:1,2,12	53:3 56:5,7,11
71:8	36:12 38:25	57:14 59:16,17	62:21,21 76:20
versus 26:3	40:15,16 41:23	60:11,12 61:23	76:24 77:19,20
vertical 27:11	way 11:17	77:20	womack 3:20
56:17	34:21 36:8	wendell 1:21	4:6 6:6 19:16
50.17	62:10,20		19:19,24 20:4

[womack - zone]

20:6,9,20,25	62:16,18 63:2	61:11 66:7,19	year 8:19 27:23
21:4,9,15 22:9	63:8,10,15,19	78:5	years 8:17,18
22:18,20,21,24	63:22 64:5,10	wpx's 11:2 12:9	8:20,22 21:6,6
23:2,7,13,25	64:18,19,23	13:7,11 14:12	21:14 26:22,22
24:2,5,9,17	65:4,10,15	40:24 48:18	26:23,23 27:21
25:2,4,8,13	66:3,5,21	51:1,16 64:4	28:13 42:19,24
28:7,11,22	67:10,11	write 81:21	49:4 60:4
29:8,10,17,20	wondered	written 50:4	yesterday 6:3
29:21 30:5,7	64:25	wrong 14:25	19:23 25:21
30:10,14,24	wonderful	44:12	53:6 68:14
31:22,25 32:2	83:23	X	Z
32:5 33:21	word 71:16	x 4:1 5:1 27:12	zipper 56:20,25
34:2,13,17	79:14,16,17	xy 11:10 49:22	57:1,11 58:7,8
36:4,11,21,23	81:23	49:22 51:16,19	59:7,14 61:9
37:5,6,10,18,20	work 8:12 21:5	51:23 53:3	61:13 63:1,16
37:25 38:6,9	59:1 82:25	55:12,13,16	63:23
38:13,19 39:2	83:9	56:5,6 59:17	zone 57:24
39:7,13,15,22	working 21:11	59:23 60:2,3	ZOIIC 37.27
40:2,5,7,11,16	would've 35:22	61:25 62:21	
40:21 41:1,10	wozniak 2:13		
41:15,20,24	3:4	y	
42:2,6,13 43:8	wp 26:20	y 71:17	
43:18,24 46:22	wpx 1:5 2:2 6:3	y'all 67:11	
46:25 47:8,19	8:15,24 10:15	yeah 26:6	
48:2,7,14,16,20	11:12,23 12:1	29:11 30:8	
48:25 49:5,10	21:10 23:15,16	31:24,25 32:1	
49:13,19,23	24:24 25:15,25	36:7 39:8,22	
50:8,11,16,21	26:16,17,20,25	57:15 65:10	
50:24 51:4,7	27:7,14,20	66:22 68:2	
51:14,20,23	31:6 33:2	70:12 71:3	
52:15,23 53:22	34:11 36:3,6	75:4,8 77:16	
55:11,24,25	44:25 45:2	78:25 79:3,8	
56:3,8,14,21,25	49:25 50:15,21	79:11 80:4,6	
57:18,20 58:1	52:17 55:6	82:12,20 83:18	
58:3 59:13	56:10 59:16	84:1	