1	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION PUBLIC MEETING
2	
3	
4	CONTENTS
5	OPENING STATEMENT PAGE
6	
7	(No Opening Statement.)
8	
9	WITNESS: DX CX RDX RCX
10	David White
11	By Mr. Rankin 94 152
12	By Mr. Tremaine 144
13	Million Gebermichael
14	By Mr. Tremaine 185
15	
16	EXHIBITS
17	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION ADMITTED
18	NORTHWIND'S
19	A The Application 141
20	B The C-108 Application 141
21	C A Copy of the Special Warranty Deed 142
22	D A Copy of Notice Letter 142
23	E A Copy of Notice of Affidavit and
24	Publication 142
25	
	Page 1

1	OCD	' S		
2	1	Summary of USC Class 2 Acid Gas		
3		Injection Well	-	194
4	2	Condition of Approval for AGI Wells	-	194
5	3	Mr. Gebermichael Curriculum	-	186
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
			Daga	2
			Page	2

1	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COURT REPORTER: Yes, we're ready.
3	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Awesome. Good
4	morning, everybody, happy Friday Eve. It's Thursday,
5	March the 20, 2025. This is the Oil Conservation
6	Commission Meeting. I'm Gerasmos Rozatos, I go by
7	Gerry, I'm the acting Director for the Oil
8	Conservation Division. I'm also the acting Chair for
9	the Oil Conservation Commission. We'll start our
10	meeting now. We'll do a roll call. As I mentioned, I
11	am Gerasimos Rozatos, and I'm the acting chair, and
12	I'm move it over to my right.
13	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Morning. I'm Greg
14	Bloom, I'm the designee of the Commissioner of Public
15	Lands.
16	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Good morning. I'm Dr.
17	William Ampomah, Professor at New Mexico Tech,
18	designee of the Energy secretary.
19	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, gentlemen.
20	So we got our roll call out of the way. Now we need
21	to approve our March 20th agenda. If I could get a
22	motion for the approval of the agenda.
23	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I so move.
24	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second.
25	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. So our
	Page 3

1 agenda is approved. Our agenda stated that our third 2 topic is the approval of the February 20th through the 28, 2025 and the March 11, 2025 meeting notes. We do 3 need to augment that a little bit. The March 11th 4 meeting notes are not complete yet, so we will move 5 6 those to our next meeting. So right now, if I could 7 get a motion to approve the February 20th through 28, 8 2025 meeting notes, please.

9 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: MR. Chair, I believe 10 those first minutes are the Empire Goodnight case.

COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Correct.

11

12 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: So I will set out the 13 vote on that as I'm not a commissioner there.

14 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you.
15 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I move and I will
16 second it, okay.

17 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: So our meeting notes 18 for February 2020 -- 20th through the 28th of 2025 are 19 approved. Okay. We'll move on to our pending cases. 20 Our first case is Case Number 25237. It's a de novo 21 appeal of an order of the Division Case 24517. Are 22 all parties present? This is a status conference. 23 Let's start with the OCD.

24 MR. TREMAINE: Good morning, Mr. Chair,
25 Commissioners. This is Jesse Tremain for the Oil

Page 4

1 Conservation Division. 2 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. 3 Tremaine. MR. HOLIDAY: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. 4 5 This is Ben Holiday (ph) on behalf of Silverback (ph) Operating Team. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr. Holiday, thank 8 you. Anybody else? 9 MR. SAMANIEGO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. This is Jonathan Samaniego, representing American 10 11 Energy -- representative of American Energy. 12 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you, 13 Mr. Samaniego. Appreciate it. So we've got all three 14 parties here. Mr. Samaniego, you are appealing the Division order, so have you start for us this morning 15 16 on our status. Just this -- as I've mentioned, this 17 is a status conference, so we're not hearing it de 18 novo right now. 19 MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay. Silverbacks claim of 20 ownership in the chapter of lease, American denies 21 that. Silverbacks claim that American interests were quiet titles, American denies that. Silverbacks claim 22 23 through the quiet title that interest in the chapter of lease were quiet titled, American denies that. 2.4 25 Silverbacks presented list of their notifications.

1 And then the list of the quiet title, American finds 2 that very -- that needs to be cautiously looked at by the Commission and the Division. I mean, American 3 denies the notifications that they submitted out. 4 5 It's very precarious that the claimants 6 through the quiet title, Silverback is claiming 7 through they didn't notify nobody there, which is --8 it's -- it just -- I mean, that really needs to be cautiously looked through. American denies all 9 Silverbacks claims. American should have or is 10 11 required to notice because American is an operator and 12 an interest holder in the chapter of lease. And 13 because notification was not proper, therefore the 14 applications of Silverback must be dismissed under 15 state law and statutes. 16 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. So Mr. 17 Samaniego are -- if -- would you -- are you ready to be able to schedule a setting on your side? 18 19 MR. SAMANIEGO: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you. I'll move over to Mr. Holiday. Mr. Holiday? 21 22 MR. HOLIDAY: Where to begin here? So Silverback and case number - gosh. I have to go back 23 and find the Case Number 24517, Silverback is the 24 operator under a two-section unit that was established 25

by order number R23045. All but 2.25 net mineral 1 acres in that entire two section area were subject to 2 a JOA. So it was a very, very small cleanup pooling. 3 We disagree with Mr. Samaniego's characterization of 4 5 the title. We also disagree that this is the proper forum to try anything. And then the NMOCD and the OCC 6 7 doesn't have jurisdiction. This is not the proper place to litigate a title dispute, if he has one. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. MR. HOLIDAY: And we're happy to set this for 10 11 a hearing as soon as it pleases the Commission. 12 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you, 13 Mr. Holiday. Mr. Tremain? 14 MR. TREMAINE: Good morning, Mr. Chair. There's -- two things I want to address here. First 15 16 is the OCD's entry of appearance and notice of 17 intervention --18 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr. Tremain, I'm going 19 to interrupt you. Can you bring the microphone a 20 little closer? 21 MR. TREMAINE: Sorry. 22 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you. 23 MR. TREMAINE: I expect OCD's involvement in this case to be likely minimal. The chief question 2.4 25 here is a title question as Mr. Holiday indicated. Page 7

1 And dispute between the parties as to whether Mr. 2 Samaniego and American Energy Resources was entitled 3 to notice, or in compulsory pooling claim. I will venture -- my suspicion is that the Commission will 4 5 and should rule based on pleadings associated with 6 those claims. The reason that OCD entered appearance here, based on the record, is that the leasehold 7 interest purported by Mr. Samaniego includes and is 8 9 arguably held by a particular well, the Rio Penasco.

That well is out of compliance and subject to a stipulated final order with another party who is a registered operator at the time of OCDs enforcement action. We're taking additional investigative steps and enforcement actions related to that, and other wells.

16 But to the extent that this Commission 17 considers any rights or permissions associated with 18 that well, OCD needs to reserve its ability to participate to the extent that the Commission is going 19 20 to consider the title claims, the quiet title 21 arguments, et cetera, between Mr. Samaniego and Silverback. We will abstain from that argument and 22 23 we're available to schedule either motions or hearing on this at the pleasure of the Commission. 2.4 25 The second issue is that Mr. Samaniego's

1 filed a motion to strike my appearance as Commission -2 - as a Division counsel. I sent a rather strongly 3 worded response to commission clerk regarding that. I don't think we're going to get into the merits of that 4 5 today, but I urge this Commission to deny that motion. 6 It is true that Mr. Samaniego's filed numerous 7 complaints to any -- essentially any email -- OCD 8 email that he can identify regarding me doing my job. 9 He's exceedingly unhappy with the enforcement practices regarding an act of wells. 10

11 They're frivolous and unsupported claims, no 12 actions have been taken. I haven't heard back from 13 the U.S. Department of Justice, for instance, about any concerns with my actions. And it's important that 14 15 the Division has the opportunity to address its 16 interest in its -- in enforcing its rules regarding 17 that well. Again, I don't think we're going to get to that issue in the case. 18 Thank you.

19 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you. Mr. 20 Tremaine. As far as the motions to strike Mr. Holiday and to strike Mr. Tremaine, the both motions have come 21 22 up. Commissioners, I motion that we do strike it. I think that both Mr. Holiday and Mr. Tremain have the 23 24 ability to be able to discuss on part of both 25 entities. And if you agree, I would need someone to

1 second that. 2 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I concurrent and I 3 second. 4 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I also agree to that. 5 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. So I think 6 unanimously we're going to agree to strike --7 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: To deny. COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: -- to deny the motion 8 9 to strike the two. So let's -- we'll let the record 10 reflect that for now. As far as being ready to take this -- to bring it in front of -- to the Commission, 11 12 as you all know, the Commission schedule is pretty 13 busy, we are pretty packed. So as far as 14 availabilities, I believe April is out because April has just a massive case going on, in two full weeks in 15 16 the month of April for that. Sheila (ph), what does 17 May look like right now? 18 MS. SHEILA: The May meeting is May 15th, and 19 there's room on that docket. 20 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: I'm sorry. can you 21 repeat that? 22 Sure. The meeting is set for MS. SHEILA: 23 May 15th, and there is room on that docket for a case. 2.4 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: You say there is or 25 there isn't?

1 MS. SHEILA: Oh, there is. We could schedule 2 it --3 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: (Crosstalk), okay. 4 MS. SHEILA: -- on May 15 there. I apologize. 5 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: I'm in a 6 weird echo in the room and I didn't hear it, so my 7 apologies. Okay. So as soon as we probably could get to this case is June. I know that that's almost three 8 9 months out, but as I said, the Commission's pretty packed and the calendars are getting pretty full. 10 11 Does that work for all three parties, Mr. Tremaine? 12 MR. TREMAINE: (crosstalk). 13 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: I'm sorry, Mr. 14 Tremaine? 15 Yes, for the Division. MR. TREMAINE: 16 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Samaniengo? 17 MR. SAMANTEGO: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Holiday? 19 MR. HOLIDAY: Yes, sir. 20 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. So 21 we can set this for the June hearing for that 22 instance. Anything else anybody would like to bring 23 up regarding the status conference? The -- yeah. the status conference for this particular case. 2.4 25 MR. SAMANIEGO: I would like to make on the Page 11

1	record that Jesse Tremaine's statements regarding
2	American, it was out of line. It was patently
3	incorrect.
4	MR. ROZATOS: Okay.
5	MR. SAMANIEGO: For the fact that the topics
б	that he brought up were irrelevant to the matter at
7	hand. The matters he brought forth, those are matters
8	to be brought forward for a matter for violation
9	hearing. This is a matter regarding notice of
10	pulling. For the fact that Tremaine brings up
11	violations whenever we're simply talking about proper
12	notification, is in plain sight of his maliciousness.
13	I reported that I told I reported him to the
14	Department of Justice the New Mexico Department of
15	Justice, and today here he is maliciously coming into
16	a hearing with irrelevant topics
17	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr
18	MR. SAMANIEGO: with assault
19	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr. Samaniego?
20	MR. SAMANIEGO: of hurting (inaudible)
21	him.
22	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr. Samaniego? Mr.
23	Samaniego, I'm going to interrupt you. One second.
24	We're not here to discuss the merits of Mr. Tremaine,
25	and I would appreciate it if we use some decorum and
	Page 12

1 we used respect when we're talking about individuals, 2 just like Mr. Tremaine calls you Mr. Samaniego, I 3 would appreciate it if you also use the same with Mr. Tremaine. Don't just say Tremaine. And second of 4 5 all, we will be hearing this case. So why don't we --I understand your frustration, and I note it, the 6 Commission definitely understands that there is 7 8 frustration here. We will -- are happy to discuss the 9 merits of that in June. But let's keep some respect and some decorum, please. 10

11 MR. SAMANIEGO: If I may just add one more 12 thing. There was two other qualified attorneys, 13 Christopher Moger (ph) and Christie Travo (ph). And 14 those are two qualified attorneys that can hear this 15 The fact that Jesse Tremaine feels it's under matter. 16 his sole obligation to hear American and be involved 17 in American's hearing is erroneous. And for the Commission to allow that, to proceed with him being 18 involved is negligent. 19

20COMMISSIONER ROZATOS:Mr. Samaniego?21MR. SAMANIEGO: (Crosstalk).

COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr. Samaniego, I appreciate it, and we definitely, as I stated, noted that you have your concerns, and that is on the record as well. In June, we will definitely hash all that

1 out, okay? 2 MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay. And can I just get the hearing date one more time? I can write it down. 3 4 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Yeah. It'll be June, 5 2025. And what's the exact date, Sheila, for June? 6 MS. SHEILA: It'll be June 15th. 7 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: June 15, 2025. MR. SAMANIEGO: Yes, sir. 8 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Or May 15th? 9 10 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Nope, not May. 11 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: It's Sunday. 12 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: June 15th is Sunday, 13 Sheila. 14 MS. SHEILA: Oh, is that a Sunday? Right now 15 it's scheduled for June 19th, but that is a federal 16 holiday, so I'm going have to move the hearing, and 17 I'm going to move it to the Monday of that week. And 18 I thought it was the 15th, but if it's the 16th --19 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: So the 16th. June 20 16th, Mr. Samaniego. MR. SAMANIEGO: Mr. Chair? 21 22 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Yes. MR. SAMANIEGO: I'm not available. 23 2.4 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: You are not available 25 Page 14

1 MR. SAMANIEGO: Yeah. 2 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: -- on the 16th. 3 MR. SAMANIEGO: Yeah. COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: It'll be that week of 4 June 16th, at some point, Mr. Samaniego. Will have a 5 date solidified and we'll get it to you, okay? 6 7 MR. SAMANIEGO: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you. Anything 8 9 else? Excellent. Thank you, everybody. We'll move 10 on to our next case. Our next case is case number 11 24683. It's the application for rulemaking to amend 12 19.15.2, 19.15.5, 19.15.8 19.15.9, and 19.15.25 of the New Mexico administrative code. This is the Status 13 14 Conference. Are all parties present? We'll start 15 with you. 16 MS. FOX: Thank you Mr. Chair. Tana Fox (ph) 17 representing applicants in this matter. And I'm here 18 today with Morgan O'Grady (ph) from our office and 19 online are Kyle Tisdale (ph) and Matt Niel (ph). 20 MR. ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you, Ms. Fox. MR. FELDERWORK: Good morning, Mr. Chair. 21 Members of the Commission, Michael Felderwork (ph) 22 23 with the Santa Fe, Office of Holland and Hart on behalf of (inaudible), USA. 2.4 25 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Page 15

1 Felderwork. 2 MR. TERMAIN: Jesse Tremain on behalf of the 3 Oil Conservation Division. COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. 4 5 Tremaine. Okay, Ms. Fox, we'll start with you. 6 MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Can you 7 hear me? 8 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: I apologize. Yes, Mr. 9 Suazo, my apologies. 10 MR. SUAZO: All right. Good morning Mr. 11 Chair and Commissioners, Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak, appearing on behalf of the New Mexico Oil and 12 13 Gas Association this morning. 14 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 15 I see Mr. Tisdale. Is there anybody else on the 16 platform? 17 MS. TRIPP: Yes, Commissioner. This is Ann 18 Tripp with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of the Independent Petroleum Association of New Mexico. 19 20 MR. ROZATOS: Thank you, Ms. Tripp. Anybody 21 else? 22 MR. SAYER: Yeah, Mr. Chairman. This is 23 Matthias Sayer on behalf of EOG. MR. ROZATOS: Mr. Sayer, thank you. Anybody 2.4 My apologies. As I always say on these 25 else? Page 16

1 meetings, I haven't had enough coffee by 9:00 a.m. so 2 I slip up sometimes. Ms. Fox, will now start with 3 you.

4 MS. FOX: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 5 You might recall at the last status conference last 6 month all the parties concurred that the April hearing that had been set by this Commission should be 7 8 vacated. And the reason for that was that the parties 9 met in October to discuss applicant's proposals. At that point in time, OCD stated that it would have a 10 11 red line that would have alternate proposals for the 12 parties. So we were all very interested to know what 13 those were.

Those proposals were anticipated to be submitted to the parties before the end of the year, but because of workload issues, they were not, and they were submitted, as you might recall, in the middle of February. And so the industry at that point in time asked for 30 days to try to figure out a new hearing date.

Last week, OCD circulated a proposed hearing schedule for the parties and the proposed hearing schedule had dates for applicant's filing a -- an amended petition for the filing of direct testimony and exhibits for the filing of rebuttal testimony and

1 the exhibits. And then a hearing date of about 2 approximately two weeks, maybe two plus weeks starting 3 October 14th. With flexibility within those dates we 4 wrote back to OCD, we concur with its proposed 5 schedule.

6 We haven't heard from industry parties, although I did have a conversation with Mr. Felderwork 7 8 and Mr. Rankin this morning. We're amenable to -- in 9 terms of the dates that UCD has proposed, were amenable to flexibility within the dates for filing 10 11 the amended petition, the direct testimony, the 12 rebuttal. The one, I guess, request we have is that 13 for the hearing, that it not extend beyond the first or second week of November that it not bleed over into 14 15 the holiday season. Because we've got a lot of 16 council, a lot of experts, many of whom are going to 17 be flying in from out of state.

We have Commission members, we have the hearing officer. It's a -- these hearings as you know, are a lot of work. And we would like to have this hearing ended before the holiday season get started, and without having the hearing hanging over us. That's our one request.

24COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay.25MS. FOX: Other than that, we're -- we have

flexibility between now and the hearing.

1

2 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. Mr.
3 Felderwork, we'll go to you next.

MR. FELDERWORK: Ms. Fox did a good job of 4 5 summarizing, I think where we are. The only thing is I am -- I don't see a date on here for filing a -- any 6 kind of an amended petition. But I'm -- I suppose 7 that's something that we can discuss and agree upon in 8 9 formulating any scheduling order. But we don't have a problem with the proposed October hearing date. I do 10 11 note that the filing of full testimony and exhibits is June 13th, which if we hold in October would be four 12 13 months before the hearing. Seems like an awfully long time, but --14

15 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Four months go fast. 16 MR. FELDERWORK: Yeah. I guess they do go fast, yeah. And then there's provision in here for 17 18 filing rebuttal testimony. In my experience, the only 19 thing I'd want to reserve is the ability to actually 20 challenge whether it's rebuttal testimony or whether 21 it's something that should have been filed initially. COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Tremaine? 22 23 MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Commission. I think we've summarized the situation 2.4

25 well waiting on feedback on specific dates from the

1 parties. I know Mr. Suazo is traveling, and so I'm 2 hopeful that we can get a joint stipulated order in. 3 I did not put in or propose yet a amended petition deadline, I think that's something we can and should 4 5 talk about with the parties. But we're discussing the 6 scheduling of additional stakeholder meetings in 7 April. So I was leaving that open-ended for now to 8 allow what we hope and expect will be feedback from 9 the Morgan and other industry partners.

But that's something that we can fill in in the next couple weeks. I do think that we want to take the time to hammer out any areas of necessary flexibility with the filing dates, but that the most important thing is the hearing date that we get that scheduled.

16 We share the concern that going into the 17 holidays, this is going to be difficult for all parties in the Commission. And I propose two plus 18 weeks at this point because I think if it's structured 19 20 that way, we can always pull that back rather than 21 extending it out as we've seen with some other cases 22 that have gone on beyond the current schedule period. 23 So if we could schedule 2 to 3 weeks and then 24 hopefully we can whittle it down from there. 25 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you, Mr.

Tremaine. Mr. Suazo?

1

2 MR. SUAZO: Sure. I think MR. Felderwork and Ms. Fox did a good job summarizing where we are. I 3 did confer with LA MOGA (ph) and I think, you know, 4 5 there's no issues with the October date per se. Ι 6 think the better question and maybe the place we should start is to learn whether or not the Commission 7 is available on those dates. And if not, hopefully we 8 9 can push it back a bit, but in such a way that it 10 won't interfere with the holidays.

11 With respect to the earlier dates I think LA 12 MOGA is still kind of waiting to see what results from 13 the legislative session is. You all can imagine 14 they've been pretty focused on that. There are some pieces of legislation that could impact this rule 15 16 making. We'll know the outcome of that, I think 17 fairly soon, but we don't know it yet. And so once we 18 have some clarity with respect to how the session ends and what impacts it might have on this rule making, I 19 20 think that LA MOGA will be prepared to sit down with 21 the parties and agree upon some firm dates. I just 22 don't think that we can do that today, but I do expect we'll be able to do that in the next few weeks. 23

24 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you.
25 Ms. Tripp?

1 MS. TRIPP: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner. IPNM is in much a similar situation LA MOGA. We don't 2 3 have a problem with the October 14, two plus week hearing dates as long as it does accord with the 4 5 Commission schedule, and we could push a week or two 6 beyond as well. And so I don't have anything 7 additional to add, I think we'll be available in a better position after the legislature close. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. MS TRIPP: And I'm aware that there's several 10 11 parties that may have amendments or revisions, and so I think those interim deadlines, which are discussed 12 13 right now, are open for conferral between the parties. 14 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 15 Mr. Sayer. 16 MR. SAYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Nothing 17 to add. We generally are supportive of the Division's 18 proposed schedule. 19 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Excellent. And 20 Ms. Fox, you were speaking on behalf of the other two 21 gentlemen on the platform, correct? 22 MS. FOX: (No audible response.) 23 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. The -- I believe that this is all fine and done with the 2.4 25 Commission as well. The only caveat to it is the Page 22

1	Commission Chair is going to be out of the country the
2	first two and a half weeks of October. So it would
3	have to be the week of the 20th instead of the week of
4	the 14th 13th. So it would just be moved by one
5	week, if at all possible. As I mentioned, I'll be out
6	of the country. So I just moved it by one week. It'd
7	still be for the holidays but it would just probably
8	be the week of the 20th of October. If that's okay
9	with all the parties. We could tentatively schedule
10	it for that.
11	MS. FOX: That's fine with the applicants.
12	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay.
13	MR. FELDERWORK: Fine with OXY.
14	MR. TREMAINE: Agreed.
15	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Ms I'm going to
16	just go Mr. Suazo.
17	MR. SUAZO: That's fine with LA MOGA, Mr.
18	Chair.
19	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Ms. Tripp?
20	MS. TRIPP: That's fine with IPNM.
21	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay, Mr. Sayer.
22	MR. SAYER: It's good.
23	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. So we can set
24	it for the week of starting Monday the 20th of
25	October. So Commission, does Commissioners still do
	Page 23
	raye 25

1 that work for you all? 2 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Let me check the third 3 week there. 4 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Works for me, Mr. Chair. 6 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. 7 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: How long will this go for? 8 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Third -- they just 9 said two weeks, possibly a little more. 10 11 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: So I ask that when it 12 getting in closer, because I do teach. 13 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Right. 14 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: You know, and I cannot 15 miss classes for two straight weeks. So -- but I 16 teach only one class so we can work it out in such a 17 way that I can be relieved to go teach at some point. 18 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Yeah. I think all parties could take that into account for a day during 19 20 the week so the Commission -- Commissioner can teach his class. We can work that schedule out and work 21 with that. But it -- does the 20th of October 22 23 starting this work for you, Commissioner? 24 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Then let's plan Page 24

1	on that. We'll get we'll set it on the books for
2	the week of the 20th of October. We just need another
3	status hearing here. When do you all when would
4	you all like another status hearing?
5	MS. FOX: Mr. Chair, I think next month would
6	be good because we should be able to have decided
7	among ourselves the you know, sort of these interim
8	dates between now and the hearing October 20th.
9	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: So the Commission
10	meeting date was canceled for next month because we've
11	got the two weeks for the Goodnight Empire case.
12	We're unhappy to hear it at the beginning of that, but
13	that's April 7th. That gives you less than two weeks
14	to meet.
15	MS. FOX: Whatever the pleasure of the
16	Commission.
17	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: I mean, even May.
18	MS. FOX: Two huh?
19	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Should we just do May?
20	Just Ms. Fox, I'm just going to interrupt. Being
21	that legislative session is ending this weekend, you
22	all may need a little extra time just to regroup with
23	what comes out of the legislature. So maybe the May
24	hearing would be best for everyone, because as I said,
25	the April one starts right off April 7th. So but I
	Page 25

Page 25

1	leave that up to you. That's just my suggestion.
2	MS. FOX: That's fine. May's fine.
3	COMMISSIONER. ROZATOS: The (inaudible)
4	MS. FOX: I would like I mean, I hope that
5	we can get agreement among the parties in the next,
6	say, two weeks. Because I heard session will end.
7	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Sure.
8	MS. FOX: Saturday at noon.
9	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Right.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Chair, I would echo that.
11	I think it would benefit all parties to have this
12	sorted out the schedule sorted out in the next
13	couple weeks. I know that session creates a lot of
14	open-ended questions, but tracking what's happening
15	there with the various bills that would potentially
16	impact this particular rule making. I think we're
17	looking at kind of a Hail Mary for any of that to pass
18	at this point.
19	So the particular bills are still on
20	committee, so the likelihood that the statutory
21	foundation upon which the this petition is based
22	substantially changes in the next week is exceedingly
23	low. And I think we don't want to push decisions on
24	these filing deadlines out all the way to May because
25	that's going to give all of the parties, as currently

proposed, only 30 days to turn around for direct testimony.

3 So we're all preparing for this based on the current red lines with that expectation that there's 4 going to be a direct testimony filing deadline 5 6 sometime in the middle of the summer and it's -- it 7 doesn't benefit any party to wait to make that decision until the middle of May. So I would say I 8 9 will encourage the parties to work with us and we'll 10 try to get something filed with the Commission in 11 advance for the next status conference and the Commission can schedule the next status at -- whenever 12 13 it chooses. But I think we need to get something 14 submitted as soon as possible. 15 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Felderwork, 16 you still sticking with me? 17 MR. FELDERWORK: It's not a strong opinion, 18 no. 19 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. You could go 20 either way. 21 MR FELDERWORK: I'd go either way. 22 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. I'll go onto 23 the platform. Mr. Suazo? Mr. Chair, I tend to agree 2.4 MR. SUAZO: Yeah. 25 with, you know, Mr. Tremaine's position. You know, Page 27

1 that being said, the chances of this legislation 2 passing, I think at this point are low, but they're also not zero. And so I would like to, you know, be 3 in the position to work with the parties and agree to 4 5 a procedural schedule before May, I am hopeful that we can do that. We'll definitely endeavor to do that, 6 7 but, you know, until we kind of have some clarity, we can't do that just yet. 8

9 But I agree, given the dates that the parties have proposed, that LA MOGA still needs to assess, you 10 11 know, we just need a little bit more time. But if we 12 stick to those dates, it's going to be kind of tight 13 in terms of time. You know, if we wait all the way 14 until May to agree to a schedule. So I propose that 15 we put a status conference for May on the schedule, 16 but also, you know, commit to the parties that we'll 17 do our utmost to work with them to try and pin down 18 the actual dates before the May status conference. 19 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Ms. Tripp.

20 MS. TRIPP: Commissioner, I agree that having 21 a -- that May status conference as a backstop, but 22 that IPNM is agreeable to working with everyone well 23 ahead of that.

24COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Thank you. Mr.25Sayer?

1	MR: SAYER: Yeah. We agree with them Mr.
2	Tremaine.
3	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. So let's do it
4	this way. We'll put a status conference for April the
	th
5	7 , we'll begin the day with the status conference,
6	April 7th. And if we need more time, we need more
7	time, we can regroup at that point. How does that
8	sound for everybody?
9	MS. FOX: Mr. Chair, that's perfect.
10	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Mr.
11	Felderwork, you're okay with that?
12	MR. FELDERWORK: That's fine. Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. Mr. Tremaine?
14	MR. TREMAINE: Excellent. Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Mr. Suazo?
16	MR. SUAZO: That's fine with LA MOGA.
17	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Ms. Tripp? Thank you,
18	MR. Suaza.
19	Ms. TRIPP: Yes, that's fine. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you.
21	Mr. Sayer?
22	MR. SAYER: Yep. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. We'll see you
24	all in two weeks. Appreciate it. Thank you.
25	MR. FELDERWORK: Thank you.
	Page 29

1 MS. TRIPP: Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Moving on to our next And actually, you know, what we'll do, let's 3 case. take a 10-minute break just so everybody can regroup, 4 5 get chairs, et cetera, and we'll meet back here at 9:42. 6 Thank you. 7 (Off the record.) COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Okay. We're back from 8 9 break. We'll go on to our next case for today. This 10 is an evidentiary hearing before the Oil Conservation 11 This is case number 24881, it's the Commission. 12 application of Northwind Midstream Partners, LLC, for 13 approval of an additional redundant acid gas injection oil. And to amend order number R20913 as amended and 14 15 SWD 2622 to authorize an increased shared maximum 16 daily injection rate in Lee County, New Mexico. Are 17 all parties present? I'll start from my right and 18 we'll move across. MR. Rankin? MR. RANKIN: Chair Rozatos, Commissioners, 19 20 may it please the Commission. Adam Rankin appearing 21 this morning on behalf of the applicant in this case 22 with Santa Fe, Office of Holland & Hart. 23 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Rankin. MR. MARBLE: Chairman and Commissioners on 2.4 25 behalf of Desert Ram South Ranch, Inc. and intervener. Page 30

r	
1	I'm Reagan Marble, along with my co-counsel and
2	colleague Ms. Caitlin Locke.
3	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Marble.
4	Appreciate it.
5	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you. Chair and
6	Commissioners Jesse Tremaine for the Oil Conservation
7	Division.
8	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you.
9	Okay. Are we ready to proceed? We'll start with you
10	Mr. Rankin. Okay. Yeah. I'm sorry. We'll do
11	preliminary matters and any motions. So Mr. Marble, I
12	believe you had a motion.
13	MR. MARBLE: We do have a motion to stay.
14	Mr. Chairman, if you'd like us to take that up at this
15	time.
16	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Yes, let's take it up
17	please.
18	MR. MARBLE: Good morning again. For the
19	record, my name is Reagan Marble and along with my
20	colleague, Ms. Caitlin Locke, I represent Desert Ram
21	South Ranch Inc. And adjoining surface owner to the
22	Titan facility that's at issue in this application
23	today. This motion to stay and this case from our
24	perspective, is about whether a company can succeed on
25	its application for a permit to inject acid gas
	Page 31

beneath land that it does not own an interest in.

1

2 We ask the Commission to stay the application presented today for a myriad of reasons, but three of 3 which I'll discuss with you this morning. The first 4 5 is that Northwind does not have a good faith basis as required by this Commission to drill and operate the 6 AGI well. The second is that Northwind's reliance in 7 their response to our motion to stay on the Snyder 8 9 Ranch's case is misplaced.

The third is that this issue is not an issue 10 11 new to this Commission. And then I'll finally address standing, which we should dispose of rather quickly. 12 13 Turning to my first point, Northwind does not have a 14 good faith basis to drill and operate the Titan for 15 AGI well. I believe Case Number 13492 by this 16 Commission in the early two thousands states the 17 principles that should form the basis of our analysis 18 and why this Commission should stay the application. 19 13492 involved Chesapeake. Chesapeake had applied for 20 a permit to drill an oil and gas well on the southwest 21 quarter of section 4. It had actually obtained the 22 permit.

After the permit was issued, Kaiser Francis (ph) Sampson (ph) and Newburn (ph) came back to the Commission and filed a motion to cancel the permit on

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

the basis that Chesapeake did not own any interest in the Southwest quarter of Section 4. The Commission ended up canceling Chesapeake's permit and they laid forth the analysis in that order, and I believe the analysis that this Commission should follow in the motion to stay today.

7 The first question the Commission presented 8 in its order, that should be part of the analysis that 9 they said the Commission should approach is does the applicant have a good faith claim to both drill and 10 11 operate the well they are applying for? Then this 12 Commission stated it another way. They said, "Do they 13 have a good faith claim to title for the property?" They then went on to discuss that title is simply a 14 15 person transferring its interest or a interest in real 16 property to another.

17 Then Chesapeake responded to both Kaiser, Francis and Sampson about this Commission's 18 jurisdiction on title disputes. And Commissioners and 19 20 Chairman, I understand, I don't want to create any 21 more work for you. I don't want to create any more 22 work for Mr. Tremaine. We should not have title 23 disputes in this tribunal. In Texas, my home state, 24 our neighbor to the east, we approach the title matters very similarly, so does the state of Oklahoma. 25

But there is some preliminary piece that an applicant must show in order to obtain a permit. And that's because both New Mexico, Texas, and Oklahoma have premised their jurisdiction that has been established in this Commission on the premise that an applicant has some scope within property rights to do the thing they are asking the Commission to give them.

So in this instance, the Chesapeake applicant 8 9 said the Commission has no jurisdiction to hear title 10 matters. Even if we don't own an interest in this 11 piece of property, the Commission can't cancel the 12 application on that basis. In its order. This 13 Commission said, "They're right and does not have jurisdiction to determine title or the right to 14 occupy." However, prudence dictates that the 15 16 Commission ought not to issue a permit where the party 17 applicant clearly does not have the right to conduct 18 the activity contemplated.

You will see without a doubt today from their application and from the testimony that will be presented, that Northwind does not have the right to conduct the contemplated activity. The activity contemplated by their application is the injection of acid gas being primarily carbon dioxide with 20 percent of the stream being H2S. That acid gas, under

any case model presented by Northwind occupies my
 client, Desert Ram South Ranch Inc's subsurface. It
 also occupies the State of New Mexico's subsurface
 under every model.

5 Turning to my next point, Commissioners and 6 Mr. Chairman, this is not a new concept for this 7 Commission. Last year, and actually the predecessor to Northwind, Salt Creek had filed an application for 8 9 another AGI well. The State of New Mexico, the 10 largest landowner in the state, intervened on the 11 basis that the plume would migrate under state owned 12 lands and there was no real property instrument or 13 deal in place between Salt Creek and the State of New 14 Mexico to compensate the State of New Mexico for that 15 subsurface.

16 They intervened on the same basis that Desert Ram North Ranch Inc. intervenes on today. 17 There was 18 an agreement struck between the applicant and the state of New Mexico at that time. That is one of the 19 20 reasons we are asking for the stay, to give Northwind 21 and Desert Ram a chance to negotiate an agreement for 22 occupation of pore space. But that has not happened 23 to date.

24 Turning to my next point. Northwind's25 reliance on the Snyder Ranch case is misplaced. If

Page 35

1 you read the response to our motion to stay, it is 2 primarily on two basis. One, that there is no standing and I'll address that here in just a moment. 3 The primary point though is that they wrap themselves 4 5 in the cloak of the Snyder Ranch's case. If the Commissioners and the Chairman recall the Snyder Ranch 6 7 case, in Snyder Ranch, the issue was a salt water disposal injection well. And the primary question 8 9 before this Commission was whether that salt water was 10 going to migrate underneath the ranch known as Snyder 11 Ranch.

12 And there was some discussion in that case 13 about a fault line that ran between the property owned 14 by the applicant and the property owned by Snyder 15 Ranch and whether it would actually migrate across. 16 The Commission and their findings of fact noted that 17 they did not believe the salt water would migrate across underneath Snyder Ranche's. That's important 18 19 and dispositive for the position that Mr. Rankin and 20 Northwind take today.

That is not the issue before this Commission today. There is a clear admittance by Northwind in their application under any plume model that they have submitted that the acid gas injected through the proposed well will cross over onto my client's

property and will occupy its pore space. That's important for a couple of reasons because that takes the application from the question of will the substance occupy the pore space of another to we are telling the Commission the substance will occupy the pore space of another.

And if this Commission's jurisdiction is premised on a good faith right to conduct the activity that they are asking to do in the application, and they admit that they don't have any property rights to do so, then the Snyder Ranch case doesn't apply because that was a case without the substance crossing over the property line.

The last issue that I'll address is part of 14 15 this motion to stay is the second part of Northwind's 16 response to our motion to stay, which was standing. 17 Northwind argues that Desert Ram does not have standing to intervene in this matter for two reasons. 18 19 Because one, it isn't the surface owner of the actual 20 tract where the well is drilled, and then two, that they don't fall under the definition of an affected 21 22 person. And they talk about the definition of the 23 affected person being an operator or working interest 24 owner or a mineral owner.

25

We disagree wholeheartedly and Northwind has

1 presented an argument that is in opposite of the 2 The rules in the State of New Mexico, rules. specifically 1915410A2 state that, "A party to an 3 adjudicatory proceeding -- " excuse me, and in 4 5 layman's terms, that is a party withstanding, "Shall 6 include a person to whom a statute, rule or order requires notice." 1915412A7 is the rule that required 7 notice to Northwind. And that is to notify a surface 8 9 owner within one half mile of the site.

10 You can see simply from the application that 11 the surface hole location, if that qualifies as the site or the bottom hole location, if that qualifies as 12 13 the site or the total track that's being injected 14 into, if that qualifies as a site that Desert Ram South Ranch Inc. is a surface owner within a mile. 15 In 16 fact, they surround the entire site that Northwind has 17 applied with.

18 And we have a witness today that will discuss some of those issues. So because Desert Ram is a 19 20 surface owner within a half mile of the site, they 21 undoubtedly have standing to intervene in this 22 proceeding. To quickly recap Mr. Commissioners and 23 Mr. Chairman, we ask that this Commission stay the application of Northwind because Northwind does not 2.4 25 have a good faith basis to conduct the activity which

1 they're seeking because they have no right to the pole 2 space. That their reliance on Snyder ranches is misplaced. That this is not a new issue for this 3 Commission, and in fact, it is an ever increasing and 4 5 more important one in the legislature pending right now is a pore space bill. And finally, that we have 6 standing under 1915412A7. I'm happy to answer any 7 questions that the Commission may have. Thank you. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Marble. 10 Commissioners, did you have any questions? 11 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Chair, I want to 12 hear from the other parties, you know, with regards to 13 whether there could be a trespass or not, yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I would like to hear 15 that as well, but anything specific from Mr. Marble? 16 MR. MARBLE: No, Mr. Chair. 17 MR. RANKIN: No, we'll stay. 18 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Excellent. Thank you, 19 Mr. Marble. Appreciate it. Mr. Rankin, we'll turn to 20 you. 21 MR. RANKIN: Thank you. Good morning, Chair Rozatos, Commissioners. May it please the Commission. 22 23 Desert Ram in this case moves the Commission to see its legislative -- the delegated authority to 2.4 25 determine whether or not Northwind's application meets Page 39

the technical qualifications for approval pending the outcome of what is purely a contractual dispute over private property interest in district court. The Commission should reject Deserts Ram's invitation to abdicate its authority as the Commission has repeatedly done in prior similar circumstances.

7 Desert Ram is already seeking to redress its 8 alleged injuries through a lawsuit filed in district 9 court. That is the form where its claims about 10 potential future subsurface pore-based trespass should 11 be adjudicated, not here. The Commission has long 12 made it clear that where parties have a dispute over 13 property rights or private interests, it's a matter for district court. This includes instances where 14 15 there may even be, "Some evidence that fluids injected 16 pursuant to the license granted by the Commission's 17 order might migrate beyond the lateral limits of the particular tract on which the injection facility will 18 be located." 19

The Commission nonetheless has concluded that, "It is unnecessary that the Commission make a finding with respect to that possibility." I'm quoting there from Commission order number R12546 at paragraph 26, it's cited in our papers. Now citing the Snyder Ranch's Supreme Court case, the Commission

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

1 explained in that order that I just cited to that if, 2 "Activity conducted within the scope of the permit exceeds those property rights, this would be a matter 3 for adjudication in the courts and not within the 4 5 jurisdiction or competence of the Commission". In 6 this case Commissioners, we have clear authority to 7 inject on the property where the well is located, where the bottom hole is located, Northwind owns a 8 9 hundred -- more than 200 acres where the well's is 10 located and where the bottom hole is located.

11 And so it has clear authority to inject on the location and in the bottom hole where its well is 12 13 located. And I'll get into the additional arguments 14 shortly. Now, in earlier cases -- in an earlier case, 15 the Commission, again citing Snyder Ranch, has made 16 clear that it does not determine whether an applicant 17 can validly claim property interests in the property 18 subject to the application. And therefore, whether 19 the applicant is duly authorized to manage the 20 operation of the -- injection or operations on that 21 property.

That issue is, "Exclusive jurisdiction of the courts". Again, I'm quoting here from another case before the Commission, R -- it's order number R11855B. Again, that's cited in our papers. Now here, Desert

1 Ram contends the Commission cannot issue injection 2 permits that would exceed the limits of the applicant's property rights. And they cite Snyder 3 Ranch's -- the Supreme Court case in support of their 4 5 position. But if that were a correct interpretation of the law, the Commission would necessarily be 6 required to determine whether the applicant can 7 8 validly claim the property rights.

9 They'd have to do an assessment and determination of whether or not those property rights 10 11 are valid. And the Commission has repeatedly said, 12 "That's not our -- it's not in our jurisdiction, it's 13 not within the scope of our authority." It would need to make that determination and it has repeatedly 14 15 indicated properly that it is not within its 16 legislative authority to do so.

17 Note, nothing the Commission would do here today within its proper authority to determine whether 18 19 the injection is appropriate or not based on the 20 technical merits of the case, would impede with Desert Ram's ability to seek relief or redress any purported 21 22 injury in district court. And Desert Ram makes 23 abundantly clear that its objections and claims are 24 entirely related solely to a potential future subsurface, pore spaces dispute, which claims belong 25

only in district court.

1

2 Now, Desert Ram owns no mineral rights that if only formation in this area has already been 3 determined to be non-hydrocarbon bearing. There's no 4 5 dispute over corral of rights, there's no dispute over Those are the jurisdiction and the authority 6 waste. over which the Commission has reign, not whether that 7 8 there's a subsurface trespass or there's impairment of 9 pore space rights. The dispute is that Desert Ram 10 raises here is simply over pore space, plain and 11 simple.

12 Desert Ram makes that unabashedly clear 13 through the evidence it seeks to admit and the permit 14 conditions it's improperly requesting the Commission 15 to impose. 2 of the 4 exhibits it's identified in its 16 pre-hearing statement are the purchase of sale 17 agreement, a contract between the parties and the first amendment to that agreement. They're asking the 18 19 Commission now to make interpretations of a private 20 contractual agreement between the parties. That's not 21 within the Commission's expertise, it's not within the 22 Commission's jurisdiction, it's something that belongs 23 before the district court, and they have already proceeded down that path. 24

25

Each permit condition that they've now

proposed, it's designed to use the Commission's statutory powers, which is intended to prevent waste and protect corral rights to instead monitor their private property interests. That is an improper use and application of the statutory authority of the Commission and it should be rejected.

7 Desert Ram's objections are based on alleged 8 subsurface pore space trespass that might arise 9 sometime in the future. Those claims implicate property rights that are not legally recognizable 10 11 before the Commission, as the Commission has long 12 recognized. Not only are Desert Rams claims not 13 proper for commit -- consideration before the 14 Commission, but because their objections are entirely 15 based on a property dispute over private interests, 16 they also cannot set out a proper procedural basis for 17 a -- to stay at this hearing.

18 There's simply no reason to stay when the 19 objections they've raised are not legally cognizable 20 before the Commission. But in support of their motion 21 to stay, they cite and rely on a procedure and 22 authority that governs stays of orders pending appeal 23 only. That procedure has no application here. Now is 24 important, the Commission should deny the motion 25 because Desert Ram is not even a proper party to this

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

proceeding as we -- as Mr. Marble has attempted to refute.

3 The Commission should deny the stay to avoid undermining the Commission's authority to function 4 5 within its administrative domain. Granting Desert Ram 6 stay would signal to other entities with private 7 contractual property right disputes that they can use 8 the Commission to leverage their position in their 9 private disputes that are unrelated to the Commission's authority. That's a terrible outcome and 10 11 should be rejected. The motion should be denied and 12 the hearing should be permitted to proceed. Now I 13 want to make a couple of points before I relinquish my 14 time.

15 Desert Ram is making the argument that 16 Northwind has no good faith basis to believe that it 17 has the right to inject on the location and in the property that is -- that has been currently -- that is 18 19 proposing to inject. As I mentioned, Northwind owns 20 approximately 210 acres of surface estate under two 21 separate warranty deeds that cover all of its surface 22 facilities and the bottom hole locations for all of its existing and proposed AGI wells. 23

We have submitted those as part of the evidence in this case, there are file deeds -- filed

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

of record with the county -- in Lea County, and we'll be presenting that as part of our case. Now, establish now -- Desert Ram and its motion for stay cites to a provision in the purchase and sale agreement between the parties, which is subject to a confidentiality agreement.

7 But they cite to this provision in their motion for stay that they -- that actually provides 8 9 that Northwind has the express agreement and consent 10 from Hydrosource to inject treated acid gas through 11 multiple AGI wells. Except for limited circumstances 12 that are not applicable here, okay, that provision 13 expressly grants Northwind the right and consents to Northwind's right to inject into the properties, 14 15 except for limited circumstances that are not 16 applicable here. Hydrosource, to be clear, okay, and 17 to demonstrate the good faith basis to inject Hydrosource and Desert Ram did not object to the most 18 19 recent Northwind application to amend the AGI number 20 two, which is a Devonian well as well, with a 21 projected plume extending out about two miles into 22 Hydrosource's properties.

That application was filed back in June of 24 2024 before Hydrosource sold 140 acres of property to 25 Northwind knowing -- Hydrosource got out -- got notice

Page 46

of that application, knowing that the plume was going
 to extend more than two miles out into Hydrosource's
 property.

Hydrosource nonetheless made the sale, did 4 5 not object to the application and conveyed its property interest to Northwind. Now that Northwind is 6 7 filing a second application with an -- slightly incremental increase in the extent of that plume, 8 9 Hydrosource is objecting claiming that they had no 10 notion or didn't understand that the plume has been 11 extend onto their property.

They're making a claim here that is a private 12 13 property interest claim, they're asking the Commission 14 to review and interpret private contractual rights and 15 interest between the party that the Commission has no 16 authority to do. All we need to do at this point, Mr. 17 Chair and Commissioners, is demonstrate that Northwind 18 has a good faith basis to make the injection that it 19 proposes to do. It has done that through the special 20 warranty deed and through the express provision that 21 Hydrosource itself relies on.

Which indicates clearly that they have the consent and agreement of Hydrosource to inject through multiple AGI wells, except for these limited circumstances that are not applicable here. With

Page 47

1 that, we ask that the Commission deny the motion for 2 stay, allow the parties to present their -- Northwind 3 to present its evidence and take the matter under 4 consideration.

5 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Rankin. 6 Appreciate it. Mr. Marble, I saw your finger over the 7 microphone. I'd like to hear from OCD and then we can 8 circle back.

9 MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Chair and Commissioners, thank you. I'd like to provide a couple observations 10 11 to hopefully help establish the lay of the land here. 12 The Division does agree that in various matters, OCD 13 and OCC need to rely upon and verify limited access --14 limited aspects of ownership, mineral rights, operating rights, et cetera. The way that happens is 15 16 typically in reliance on certification and 17 representation of the parties. Those are not mineral 18 rights and ownership are not things that OCD or OCC in 19 my knowledge have ever affirmatively adjudicated where 20 there's a dispute.

21 So these cases do involve aspects and 22 representations of ownership. However, where there 23 are property disputes, those properly belong in 24 district court or subsequent appeal. The second issue 25 in this case is the issue of pore space. And I will

note that this is not, in my opinion, definitively
 settled law.

There are indications in all New Mexico case 3 file that New Mexico is likely to adopt the American 4 5 rule, i.e. that pore space belongs to the surface 6 owner. OCD agrees with that interpretation and would 7 advocate for that interpretation as a general matter, 8 but I can't point to a case that says that. This --9 contrary to my comments in the last case, this is something that hopefully we may see some resolution on 10 11 in the legislative session and OCD has provided 12 comments consistent with my statements here today.

So issues of pore space ownership and severability are central to dispute between Northwind and Desert Ram. OCD has a position that we advocate for regarding who owns that and whether pore space is severable, but it's not entirely settled. So absent that clarity that -- may be an issue that is appropriate for this record as well.

I think it's -- there's clear Division and Commission authority to pool minerals, I don't believe that is disputed. I would argue that under existing authorities that it also extends to pooling of pore space. Again, we haven't tested that to my knowledge. So I think that when approving injection into pore

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

space such as salt water disposal wells, AGI wells, I believe that already exists under OCD Authority. Ultimately, where I land is that I have to agree in certain respects with Mr. Rankin that the issue here is a property and valuation dispute.

I think that the Division and the Commission 6 7 have the authority to essentially pool their space and 8 to authorize the injection of the AGI. That's been 9 the practice in the past for various different forms of injection. I think we -- what's important to the 10 11 Division in this matter is that we get to the 12 technical merits. And that the central question is, 13 let's examine this plume modeling in the various filings between the parties. There are discrepancies 14 15 between what the plume modeling says and what it 16 doesn't say. I think that technical question is 17 central to how their Commission should view aspects of the motions and we need to get to that through the 18 technical testimony. 19

So with those observations and statements regarding arguably unsettled law, I think that ultimately to the extent that the motions are related to a dispute between -- about property and valuations, OCD does not take a position. But we do take the position that the Commission has the authority to

authorize the AGI and that's regardless of its projected impact on pore space, and we need to examine the modeling relative to previous models submitted for the other AGI wells injecting into the same formation.

5 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Rankin.6 Mr. Marble.

7 MR. MARBLE: Thank you Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. Short rebuttal. First to answer Dr. 8 9 Ampomah's question earlier about whether this is a 10 trespass, it is undoubtedly a trespass is the 11 occupation of space not owned by a person. However, I want to be clear that this Commission does not have 12 13 jurisdiction over trespass. As much as I want you 14 guys to decide issues like that, that is exclusive 15 jurisdiction within the district court. But I think 16 the question is relevant for purposes of determining 17 whether someone has a good faith basis to conduct the 18 activity that they seek from this Commission.

Turning to a point that Mr. Rankin made, he stated that I and Desert Ram are requesting that this Commission interpret a contract. We are not. There is no way, shape, or form we are asking this Commission to interpret a contract or a deed. We have filed, confidentially, the purchase

24 We have filed, confidentially, the purchase 25 and sale agreement between my client and Northwind in

1 the event that Northwind raised the no interference 2 clause so that we could discuss why the no 3 interference clause does not apply. But we are not asking this Commission to interpret the status of an 4 5 oil and gas lease, right? You hear that all the time 6 in applications for permit to drill, just like Texas and Oklahoma do. That lease terminated, that's not 7 8 within the jurisdiction of this court. That's not the 9 property rights analysis we're asking this Commission 10 to do.

In fact, we're not asking this Commission to do any property rights analysis. The sole question we are asking this Commission to ask Northwind is the same question that this Commission asked Chesapeake in the 13,492 matter. And that question that the Commission asked Chesapeake was, do you have any evidence of a claim to title?

And here Northwind does not. They can't 18 19 point to a deed, they can't point to a lease, they 20 can't point to a license that gives them the right to 21 conduct the activity that they ask this Commission to 22 give them. And that activity Northwind is asking you to look just at a portion, the portion of pore space 23 24 that they own. But that's not the activity that they seek permission to do here today. They're asking you 25

for permission to inject a plume that over 30 years
 occupies multiple miles.

And whether it's a condition at the end of this proceeding that addresses that issue or a temporary stay, so the parties in the OCD can go work this out, I'm not sure what the answer is. But I can tell you that there's no good faith basis for that portion of the activity that they seek permission to do today. A few more brief points on rebuttal.

10 I appreciated Mr. Tremaine's OCD position 11 that pore space may not be a settled issue in the 12 state of New Mexico. I disagree slightly. I believe 13 that it's all but settled. And in fact, I rely on a 14 very important paper been written by a very smart lawyer named Mr. Rankin back in 2007 that says that 15 16 pore space should be an attribute of the surface that 17 he prepared with two other lawyers for the state of 18 New Mexico. I don't think there is any legal position in this room where any of us would disagree that pore 19 20 space would belong to the surface owner, which is what 21 Desert Ram is to today.

The point that I do want to raise in response to Mr. Tremaine's rebuttal, is he talks about authority that an applicant may have to force pool in the context of a disposal well. He says that that has

Page 53

1 not been done in this context yet, but that they may 2 have that authority. I want to be very clear that authority has not been exercised in this case. It has 3 not been exercised in this case, despite multiple case 4 models that talk about impacting property that is not 5 6 owned by Northwind. And a solution may be a temporary 7 stay and a request to file a force pooling application in the context of an AGI well. But that has not 8 9 happened today. It's for these reasons, Commissioners and Mr. Chairman, that we ask this Commission to stay 10 11 this application. Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Marble. 13 Commissioners? 14 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Jud (ph), this

14 COMMISSIONER AMPOMANT MIT Bud (ph), this 15 seems to be a matter of law in this argument, so I 16 want to hear from the Commission Council if he has any 17 advice.

COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Agreed.

18

19 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Mr. Chair, good 20 morning. This revolves around commission rule 21 1915423B, stays of commission orders. It has two 22 elements. First, a party, and then it's the stay is 23 necessary to prevent waste, protect qualitative 24 rights, protect public health or the environment, or 25 prevent gross negative consequences to an affected

1 party. So two elements, a party, and then the 2 ramifications. I think what you've heard today, and 3 from the papers, there is a dispute on the first element of whether Desert Ram is a party. I think 4 5 Desert Ram's argument is they are a party because they 6 are a successor and in interest or a business of Hydro soil (sic. I think the record reflects that Hydro 7 8 soil did receive notice from Northwind.

9 So the first question is, if Hydro Soil is a 10 party, then does that extend to Desert Ram? There's 11 dispute about that. And then the second element is, 12 is it necessary to prevent waste...? And that goes to 13 your question, professor. Again, there seems to be 14 dispute from the papers and from the argument that 15 Desert Ram says it's undisputed where Northwind says 16 issue of the plume is not -- well, he had some precise 17 language, but he disputed that it was going to go 18 across property.

So it's possible that you may want to hear more technical testimony. It may be, at this point you feel like there is enough information to prove that second element, that there are gross negative consequences to affected party. Or you may say, "I'm not ready to reach that conclusion. I'd like to hear from the experts, since there seems to be some

1 technical dispute about the plumes potential." So
2 reiterate two elements; a party, and then the negative
3 consequences.

You may reach those conclusions now or you 4 5 may say, "There's just not enough evidence right now 6 for me to make those determinations. I'll deny the motion, let's hear the technical evidence, see where 7 the facts take us." And then you may deny the permit, 8 9 grant the permit, grant the permit with conditions. 10 At that point you may say, "We're going to not make a 11 decision today and let the parties enter to negotiations." There's a universe of different 12 13 options, but I'm speaking too much. Again, the rule 14 has two elements of party and whether there's been 15 proof of negative consequence.

16 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Counsel, I thought Mr. 17 Rankin then made a statement that there is a 18 possibility of plume moving to, let's say, the 19 opposing party's property. But you said it is a 20 matter of dispute.

21 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Well, don't let me 22 answer the factual question. Why didn't you ask Mr. 23 Rankin if he disputes that?

24 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah. I want some 25 clarification on that.

Page 56

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Sure.

1

2 MR. RANKIN: Let me address that. And if I may, Mr. Chandler and Mr. Chair, I think there's a 3 4 little question around the party issue, and I can --5 if I may, I'd like to address that as well. Mr. 6 Clarity -- and Mr. Marble can respond as well. But on 7 the question that Mr. -- Dr. Ampomah is asking about whether or not there's a dispute over whether the 8 9 projected plume will extend onto Desert Ram or 10 Hydrosource's property. And I think one of the 11 questions I have as well is what is the relationship 12 between Hydrosource and Desert Ram?

13 I'll talk about that briefly when I address 14 the party status question. But in the plume modeling, Dr. Ampomah, that has been presented to the Commission 15 16 over time from the beginning, prior even to 17 Northwind's acquisition of these interests, its 18 predecessor and interest, has always demonstrated -has projected that based on the volumes expected to be 19 20 injected, that the plumes would extend anywhere from 21 2.6 miles to around two miles, in this case, about 1.8 miles from the Desert -- from the Titan treating 22 23 facility.

24 So the plume modeling has indicated, based on 25 -- as it's been refined over time, based on additional

1 data, 3D seismic information, additional information 2 on the porosity and permeability, the plume modeling has been -- has changed over time. But currently, 3 based on the best information we have today, as 4 5 presented in the testimony and evidence, the plume modeling is suggests that during maximum injection 6 7 rates over 30 years that the plume is likely to extend 8 or may extend out to about two miles, which would go 9 into offsetting property owned by Hydrosource and/or 10 Desert Ram.

11 Now, the point on that I made in the opening 12 -- in the legal argument is that Northwind believes 13 that it has the consent in agreement of Hydrosource to 14 inject through multiple AGIs into the pore space. And 15 that is a -- an issue of dispute. And that in our 16 view is a matter for the district court to determine. 17 But as for purposes of the Commission today, what we will show and what the evidence shows is that we have 18 19 over -- more than 200 acres of property rights that 20 include the bottom hole locations, the surface locations of the facilities, and the AGI wells. 21

And that Northwind has previously presented testimony and evidence showing that the plume is going to extend beyond that distance prior to acquiring additional property from Hydrosource. And Hydrosource

has never objected strongly indicating that they
 understood and had consented to and agreed to the
 injection as has been proposed for many years.

So that's the plume issue. The party issue, 4 5 just to clarify, is the following; under the Commission's rules, parties and division or commission 6 7 hearings are limited to those persons who are required 8 to receive notice under the Division's regulations. 9 In this instance, for purposes of injection, parties -10 - persons are required to receive notice if they are 11 an owner of the land surface on which the injection 12 well is to be located. In this instance, the location 13 of the surface where the injection well is to be 14 located is Northwind itself, not Hydrosource, not 15 Desert Ram, okay?

16 So the first group of part -- of parties who 17 are required to receive notice is the surface owner on the wells location. That is Northwind, it is not --18 and the bottom hole location as well. It is not 19 20 Hydrosource or Desert Ram. The next group of people who are required to receive notice for an application 21 22 to inject are other affected persons within any tract, wholly or partially contained within one half mile of 23 24 the well. Under the definition of affected persons is the following, a -- an operator as shown in division 25

records.

1

2 So a division designated operator, somebody who has a mineral right, or is an operator of a -- of 3 an -- of a well. In the absence of an operator, each 4 5 working interest owner who has a mineral interest, 6 whose interest is evidenced by a conveyance or a document record. And if there's no working interest 7 8 owner, then any lessee -- or a lease or any mineral 9 interest owner of a lease within one of those tracks. 10 Again, it's limited to the mineral interests or 11 mineral rights.

12 Under the Divisions and Commissions express 13 regulations, the only parties who are required to receive notice, therefore, are parties who have a 14 15 ownership interest at the location of the surface of 16 the well. And this case, that's Northwind, who also 17 owns at the bottom hole location or any other operator under the Division's records or owner over a mineral 18 19 interest right.

That excludes Hydrosource and Desert Ram, they inadvertently received notice in this case. They did -- weren't required to receive notice. And then may be an all fact that of their prior ownership of the surface where the well was located. But in this instance, they were not required to receive notice,

but they did. So under the Division's regulations,
 they're not a proper party to this proceeding.

The other alternative for them is to have 3 intervened in this matter and intervention requires 4 5 them to show standing, okay? Standing in this context requires them to show some injury that is legally 6 7 cognizable or relevant to the proceeding before the Commission. Here, the proceeding before the 8 9 Commission is the technical question of whether or not 10 Northwind's application for an AGI well should be 11 That -- the arguments -- the technical approved. merits around that case do not extend to or include or 12 13 implicate pores space rights concerns about subsurface 14 trespass.

15 Therefore, the alleged injuries, the gross 16 negative consequences that they're alleging, okay, are 17 not within or not relevant to the proceeding currently 18 before the Commission. And they do not confer on 19 Hydrosource or Desert Ram standing necessary to be a 20 proper intervener. Not only that, but Desert Ram and 21 Hydrosource did not properly intervene in the case. 22 They did not file a motion. They have not established 23 any basis for standing relevant to the claims before the Commission in this case. 2.4

25

So for that reason the first element that Mr.

Page 61

www.veritext.com

Chandler has articulated to you, they have not met --1 2 they're not a party, and so therefore, they have no basis to seek a stay. Second -- the second elements 3 is whether or not it's necessary to prevent waste or 4 5 protect for all of rights. Again, they have no mineral interests, there's no waste allegations 6 because there are no mineral interests or minerals at 7 risk here in the Devonian. The Commission and the 8 9 Division have already determined that there are no 10 mineral rights or minerals at risk in the Devonian 11 because they've already approved previously in the same exact location AGI disposal. 12

13 And then finally, on the last element about 14 gross negative consequences. Again, gross negative 15 consequences must be read to be understood in the 16 terms of whether there's some aspect implicated by the 17 Commission's authority, which relates solely to 18 protecting waste, corral rights, human health or the environment. None of those issues have been raised or 19 20 articulated as a basis for stay by Desert Ram. So for 21 that reason the motion for stay in our view should be 22 denied.

COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Mr. Marble, please.
 MR. MARBLE: Brief, sir, rebuttal. Mr. Chair
 and Commissioners. To address what council discussed

Page 62

1 earlier as the two elements being a party and then a 2 party who has suffered gross negative consequences. I'll admit, I'm happy to play dumbest guy in the room 3 very often, but I'm very confused by Mr. Rankin's 4 5 argument that we do not have standing. It is very 6 clear from the Commission's rules that a party to an adjudicatory proceeding shall include -- that is a 7 8 party with standing to the proceeding, shall include a 9 person to whom statute rule or order requires notice. 1915412A7 requires notice to any surface owner within 10 11 a half mile of the site.

12 There is zero factual question here that Desert Ram North Ranch Inc is a surface owner within a 13 half mile of the site. And under the rule that I 14 15 mentioned just a moment ago, that is a statute 16 requiring notice, thus they're a party. So we satisfy 17 the first element of what counsel mentioned a moment The second element is that if a stay is 18 aqo. 19 necessary to protect gross negative consequences. And 20 let me divert just for a moment about a question that Dr. Ampomah had a second ago about the deviations 21 22 between plume models.

We are not offering, as Desert Ram, any alternative plume model because every plume model offered by Northwind shows that it encroaches on the

1 property of Desert Ram. You'll see here in a moment 2 when we begin to present evidence, but the land that was sold by Desert Ram to Northwind has a U-shape 3 around it. That is land that was retained by Desert 4 5 Ram. And then there is more land to the northeast, 6 more land to the southeast, and then Desert Ram is also the lessor of -- excuse me, the lessee of state 7 8 lands on the other borders.

9 So almost the entire tract is surrounded by Desert Ram, either a surface owner or as the State's 10 11 Another question to address that I believe lessee. 12 Mr. Chairman, might have mentioned a moment ago, and 13 Mr. Rankin addressed was the relationship -- or excuse me, council addressed a moment ago, was the 14 15 relationship between Hydrosource and Desert Ram. 16 Hydrosource is merely an operating entity with common 17 ownership from Desert Ram. Desert Ram is actually the entity that owns the surface. 18

Now, I'll tell you that when you look at Northwind's application figure B1, page 81 of 88, you'll see that Northwind provided notice to Hydrosource, most likely because they read the requirement that they have to provide notice to a surface owner within a half mile. That was incorrect. We could have filed an objection in this on what might

1 ranch -- New Mexico ranching grandfather would've 2 called chicken scratch, that they didn't qualify properly under notice to any of the parties. 3 But we received notice Hydrosource and Desert 4 5 Ram South Ranch, Inc. office out of the same offices. 6 So we received notice of the application after we had 7 sold the property to Northwind and that's when we decided to properly intervene in this proceeding. 8 9 That's all I have on, sir, on rebuttal, Mr. Chair. 10 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you. 11 Commissioner Bloom? 12 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Tremaine, any input 13 on standing or a --14 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: I was going to ask 15 that too. If you lead (crosstalk). 16 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I guess part, yeah, 17 standing and topic of gross negative consequences or 18 things along those lines. 19 MR. TREMAINE: This -- Commissioner, I have 20 some questions about -- I think from a technical 21 basis, how that's being calculated, but it has been my 22 understanding that every plume model has indicated 23 encroachment on what's currently referenced as 2.4 affected pore space from the prior applications. But 25 again, whether Desert Ram or Hydrosource transacted Page 65

1 into the nuisance, so to speak, is a question for the 2 district court. And I'm not going -- I don't think 3 that the Division has any further position on 4 standing, I think the notice to affected interest 5 within a half surface mile may require some 6 clarification.

7 That's not an issue that I've looked into, but I don't know if they're calculating that from the 8 9 edge of the modeled plume or if they are calculating that from the well site. That's not at all clear to 10 11 me and I think that may be in dispute. So I'm 12 answering your question with the question. If they 13 are within a half mile of the affected, you know, the area as the Commission chooses to define it then I 14 15 would have to concede that they would have standing in the case. But I'm not clear on how that's being 16 17 calculated.

18 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: So Mr. Tremaine, 19 following-up a little bit. Northwind makes an 20 application to the OCD, correct?

21 MR. TREMAIM: Correct. Because it is in AGI, 22 those applications automatically come to the Oil 23 Conservation Commission, but yes.

24 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: And potential loss of 25 use of pore space or economic damages are not

Page 66

something the OCD looks at currently?

1

2 MR. TREMAINE: In the injection context, 3 there is no current rule establishing how pooling of those resources occur. And I have not done an 4 5 exhaustive analysis of whether any previous SWD or AGI 6 has affected a pore space of differing ownership. That would be something we would have to look into. 7 My concern here is that it has been the accepted 8 9 practice for both salt water injection and AGIs for 10 decades that the Division and the Commission have that 11 authority.

But I can't possibly today speak exhaustively as to whether or not there's differing ownership in those previous cases. We agree that pore space attaches to the surface and that is interest of some value to be determined by the parties or the courts. Did that answer your question, Commissioner?

18 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I think so. More 19 directly, do you believe that this potential threat to 20 pore space damages -- loss of pore space rises to the 21 occasion of gross negative consequences?

22 MR. TREMAINE: I would have to revisit case 23 law on that point, Commissioner, because I don't know 24 what economic value attaches to gross economic 25 consequences or -- yeah, gross negative consequences,

Page 67

1 pardon me. I think it's undisputed that if you inject 2 treated acid gas into a pore space that that will conflict with other alternative uses. I don't believe 3 that there's any technical basis to argue with that 4 5 unless there's an argument that you can combine 6 different forms of injection. I'm not aware of that argument. So I would say, "Yes," if you're filling 7 8 pore space that is going to affect a party's economic 9 interest in that pore space.

10 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Might have further 11 questions on some of this for everybody, but I'll 12 leave it there for now. Thank you.

13 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: So I have questions as well. I think the questions just can keep coming 14 15 with this. Because this is very gray area and as was 16 mentioned, there's certain parts that have to go in front of the district court and let the courts figure 17 that out. And then there's the questions that this 18 Commission is required to kind of fill out as well. I 19 20 think as was brought out by Commission Council there 21 are some aspects to 10 -- what was it 1915423B, especially with, you know, who is the actual party and 22 23 then the consequences that go therein.

And I think that potentially this Commission would want to potentially hear what's going on, at

1 least to see where this gross negative consequence 2 would be. My concern though is, that Desert Ram -and it's not necessarily concern it's a thought I'd 3 like everybody to think about. Desert Ram suggested 4 5 as of last night some conditions that they would like 6 to see in an eventual order at the end that they would 7 want this Commission to potentially add on to any 8 decision that they would make.

9 Now some of these, and I'm not going to go 10 into details you all -- everybody got it, you read it, 11 you know, what's going on with it. But it seems to 12 me, and Commissioners I'd like your position on this 13 as well, that some of these sound reasonable and maybe 14 it -- you go back to the drawing table outside of this 15 Commission and come to some conclusion with 16 potentially these in mind, they're an option. I don't 17 know if it's an option that has been considered as of such, they were submitted late yesterday afternoon, so 18 I don't know how much time Northwind had to review 19 20 them and I'm not going to try to force anything down 21 anybody's throat.

But my suggestion is potentially going back to the drawing table and seeing if there's something that could be written up between a consensus between the two parties -- three parties, including the OC D

and getting the OCDs opinion in on this as well, to
 see if there could be some kind of amicable conclusion
 that can come up between the parties.

Because either way -- anyway this Commission 4 5 goes, the parties will not be happy, though there is an option here to be able to provide a path. 6 7 Commissioners, I don't know how you feel about that, 8 but my suggestion is that the parties kind of go back 9 to the drawing table and see if they can find an amicable solution with the potential of these 10 11 conditions to be added. I'm not saying that they're 12 right, I'm not saying that they're wrong. I'm just 13 saying they provide a path that as of last night was 14 not possible. That's my decision -- not decision, 15 that's my opinion.

Commissioners, I'm happy to entertain questions and I will open it up as well, if you get --Commissioners, if you want a moment to think, I'll open it up to the other parties and we go from there.

20 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Chair. So, you 21 know, Mr. Rankin made some points, you know, with -- I 22 just want to know that, let's say, if we're injected 23 into your pore space and you move into someone's pore 24 space, is Mr. Rankin saying that -- or is it the 25 position of the petitioner that the -- let's say, if

you inject into someone's -- you know, more or less 1 2 move into someone's space, they do not have the right to, more or less question why you put in the -- that 3 gas into my space because I could use it for something 4 5 else. So Hydrosource did not oppose to your earlier 6 applications. They knew that there was more or less a 7 movement of the CO2 or let's say, attack moving into 8 their space, they decided not to oppose.

9 But now here comes another owner who says, 10 "No, I'll oppose to that." So I find it so confusing 11 that you say that they do not have a stay, like, they do not have any position on this with regards to 12 13 there's no oil and gas there, you know, to be 14 impacted, but it is in the pore space. So I do 15 support the Chair that I feel like they have to go 16 back and talk through this. I mean, can we -- I don't 17 think we should neglect the fact that, let's say tag 18 moving into someone's space, we should neglect that. 19 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I agree. Let's throw 20 it back as an option so that they can --21 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: But --22 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: -- give us their 23 ideas and then we go from there. But please, Commissioner. 2.4 25 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah. So you talked

Page 71

1 about if these conditions could be accepted, you know, 2 or let's say could be discussed and then come to a consensus. But when I look at these conditions, I 3 mean, why would you -- how would you say that, "Permit 4 5 limited to 50 years." I mean, Northwind is required 6 to report to OCD, the status of monitoring wells This should be OCDs conditions, not an 7 result. 8 opposing party's condition. So I do not -- I don't 9 know. I would not agree that, let's say, Northwind 10 should not more or less agree to these conditions 11 without evidential evidence to substantiate why these 12 conditions, you know, should even come into play.

13 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: And I'm -- and I just want to clarify, one more time. I'm not saying that 14 15 these conditions are right or wrong. I'm not saying 16 that we have to adopt these conditions or anybody has 17 to adopt the conditions. I'm saying it opened the means for some more dialogue outside of this 18 That's what I'm saying. And I think it 19 Commission. 20 would be more amicable to everybody if there was -not amicable, it would be more favorable to everybody 21 22 if there was an amicable consensus. That's what I am 23 throwing out.

I'm happy to hear this. I'm -- I get paid,
you know, either way. My job is to sit here and to do

Page 72

1 this, and so is the other two Commissioners. I just 2 think that you're not, "Oh, well, no you're on your 3 own, right?" We love you anyway. But I'm just saying 4 that it opens the door that was not open up until 5 yesterday afternoon, Mr. Marble.

MR. MARBLE: Understood, Mr. Chairman. 6 And 7 to make sure that we're all on the same page. There 8 has been no meaningful conferral on these conditions. 9 Partly because of time, partly because of what was 10 going on earlier this morning and other involvement of 11 counsel. I do think it's worth a shot for meaningful 12 conferral. I know in some other jurisdictions at this 13 point in the hearing, it would not be unorthodox to 14 say, "let's take a 30-minute break so that you can 15 confer."

16 I'm happy to state on the record that if we 17 could get these conditions or some version of these 18 conditions, we would be happy to withdraw our 19 intervention and protest subject to no prejudice of 20 our district court filing and you guys can go on with 21 your day as normal. So if it pleases the Commission, 22 I would love the opportunity for meaningful conferral with opposing counsel. 23

24COMMISSIONER ROZATOS:Mr. Rankin?25MR. RANKIN:Well, whether or not to even

Page 73

1 confer, I need to confer with my client. You know, my 2 position at the outset is that many of these are 3 problematic. Not least because it's in inappropriate to even concede that they're appropriate for inclusion 4 5 within a commission order. We're the motivation and the purpose behind them is to protect -- the 6 7 protection of private property interests that unrelated to mineral rights or corral rights or any of 8 9 the underlying authority of jurisdiction that underlies the Commission's powers. 10

11 So I have grave concerns about even agreeing 12 to allow these to be included in a Commission order 13 issued under the auspices or -- and premature of the Commission, okay, number one. To address Dr. Ampomah 14 15 concerns, I'm not saying -- we're not saying that they 16 don't have a right to make a claim, that the plume 17 intruding into their alleged property rights or pore space is something that they have a right to bring 18 forward, okay? 19

They absolutely do, but not here, not -- and not at the Division or Commission. They have a right to do it, and they've done so as they recognize before the district court, they filed a complaint, and they're pursuing that action in district court. And that's the venue through which they have the right and

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

the proper proceeding to pursue those claims.

1

2 As to the Commission, you know, whether or not this results in a gross negative consequence to 3 them to -- does Desert Ram or Hydrosource requires the 4 5 Commission to make a determination about ownership ultimately. They have to make some -- you'll have to 6 make some determination about whether there's a valid 7 claim as between Northwind or Desert Ram or 8 9 Hydrosource about whether or whose interests are being 10 impaired or not. That -- at some level, that's a 11 requirement that you're going to have to make based on 12 what Desert Ram or Hydrosource is asking you to do.

13 And our point simply is, all we need to do is 14 show that there's a good faith basis, and we have that 15 based on the deeds that are of record and we have that 16 based on the consent and acknowledgement of 17 Hydrosource from the prior applications and approvals 18 that have gone forward in the language that they put forward before the Commission and their motion to 19 20 So I've got -- I do have grave concerns about stav. 21 even acknowledging or putting these conditions in an 22 order.

You know, if -- at the Commission's pleasure,
I would happily take a, you know, 15-minute break to
confer with my clients to see if they would like to

1 say, (inaudible). Yeah. Whether they would like to, you know, engage on discussions about this. But I 2 3 think the answer is probably going to be no, just given the -- this -- the nature of the requests and 4 5 the venue here. Parties have been having some discussions, and that's haven't been fruitful, which 6 7 is why we're here, and which is why they've filed a 8 lawsuit.

9 And so I -- you know, I'd love to be able to 10 tell you that there's a way -- a path forward for 11 resolution but at this point in time there isn't. And 12 my sense is that I think some of these -- to Dr. 13 Ampomah's point, whether these conditions are 14 appropriate, should be heard. There's some 15 evidentiary issues that need to be addressed. Is it 16 appropriate to put additional penetrations into an 17 acid gas plume providing additional potential pathways 18 for acid gas for CO2 to escape into shallow 19 reformations? That's a concern. The expense of it is 20 a concern.

Drilling monitoring wells into the Devonian is an expensive undertaking and does to the costs outweigh the benefits. There's a number of issues I think that would need to be addressed, not least of, which is whether or not this even falls within the

1	
1	authority of the Commission to require.
2	COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Rankin.
3	I do want to hear from the OCD first, MR. Tremaine.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Chair, Commissioners on
5	the topic to limit my comments to the topic of the
6	additional conditions. I think that the
7	notwithstanding the relative position statements of
8	the other parties, I think getting an adequate,
9	factual basis and OCDs review of the additional
10	conditions requiring monitoring Devonian monitoring
11	wells, is going to take more than 15 to 30 minutes.
12	We're going to need some time to do that.
13	We saw these last night. There are some very
14	legitimate concerns with those conditions. I'm not
15	coming out with a strong position that they should or
16	should not be included in the Commission order.
17	Except for, I would note that, you know, in prior
18	monitoring, whether surface monitoring or wells
19	requested by the Division and imposed by this
20	Commission have always been, in our opinion, for the
21	purposes of alerting the Division and the Commission
22	if something went wrong right?
23	We have surface monitoring near potential
24	points of egress or migration of treated acid gas from
25	neighboring well bores, there's a concern related to
	Page 77
	rage //

previously plugged well bores, et cetera. So we ask for surface monitoring in those locations. I believe we have requested downhole monitoring or other surface monitoring at existing well bores at the perimeter of the projected plume.

6 So in every case that I can think of and that 7 we've discussed with the tech -- OCDs monitoring whether it's a well or surface location, have been for 8 9 the purposes of either verifying the accuracy of the plume modeling or early detection in the case there is 10 11 migration of treated acid gas, or CO2 as a precursor to the migration of treated acid gas. So those are 12 13 safety -- human health and safety environmental concerns that are addressed. 14

15 The wells that are proposed here, one, are a 16 set of wells that I believe will necessarily be placed 17 in the middle of the projected plume. They are not 18 for the purpose of determining whether the plume 19 modeling's accurate. They're not for the purpose of 20 determining whether treated acid gas is migrating out 21 of -- outside of the containing zone. So they're not 22 a typical monitoring purpose that OCD has requested. 23 With -- I do understand and respect that as part of the Commission's duties to protect relative rights. 2.4 25 So there may be some need to consider such

monitoring wells here, but the purpose seems to be for 1 2 identifying, for the neighboring property when, not if, their pore space is encroached, right? And that 3 ultimately comes down to a question of when can they 4 5 start billing the opposing party for that 6 encroachment. That financial consideration or that 7 dispute, I do agree is not necessarily for the Commission. 8

9 And so I think -- or not appropriately before the Commission. So there's that, there's also 10 11 additional technical basis. OCD does have a generalized concern that additional perforations from 12 13 surface to the Devonian cause potential migration 14 pathways for treated acid gas. Those wells as you can see with all the other wells that are referenced in 15 16 OCDs, conditions of approval, et cetera, we're asking 17 for corrosion resistant alloys, corrosion resistant 18 cement, addition -- surface monitoring, et cetera.

19 So I don't know how many wells they want, I 20 don't know where they're located, they're not pled in 21 the conditions with, I think, reasonable specificity. 22 So OCD would need to know how many they are, where 23 they are, what those well bore schematics are going to 24 look like. Are they using appropriate corrosion 25 resistant materials, et cetera. And, you know, again

pushing back on whether those financial considerations are appropriately before the Division, I would acknowledge Mr. Rankin's comment that those wells are expensive, and that is going to weigh into the consideration of the parties.

6 But if they are determined to be appropriate safequards, that question is really not before -- I 7 8 think that economic analysis is similar to my comments 9 related to Desert Ram. Those considerations by 10 Northwind are not before the Commission either. I 11 think the question is -- before the Commission is, are 12 these wells necessary for the protection of 13 correlative rights? And then are there appropriate safequards in place to protect those correlative 14 15 rights, public health, in the environment, underground 16 sources of drinking water, et cetera.

17 And because of the way that the plume is currently modeled, I think the area that we're talking 18 about for monitoring is known or expected to be 19 20 impacted by treated acid gas in terms of the pore space. So poking more holes there, it's hard to 21 22 justify from the Division's perspective as safe and 23 appropriate. It really gets to the question of the 24 gross negative consequences that may or may not be 25 experienced by Desert Ram.

1 So we need to know what they actually want 2 those to look like, where they are, how many they are, et cetera, before Mr. Gebremichael can actually 3 perform an analysis of whether those are one necessary 4 5 and appropriate, and two, have appropriate safeguards. 6 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Tremaine, you 7 know, to say that, let's say, even these monitor --8 requested monitoring wells are appropriate or not, I 9 mean, are these typical conditions that are ever, ever, you know, attached to any AGI, let's say, wells? 10 11 MR. TREMAINE: No. I believe I can try to 12 pull the order, Commissioner Ampomah, but I believe 13 that we have requested well bore monitoring at 14 neighboring existing wells. But again, those are 15 whether that's surface or down hole monitoring, those 16 were always requested for the purpose of verifying, 17 did the plume go farther than it was projected to go there? There we're going to say, "This is the 18 19 perimeter, if you get to this point, something's gone 20 wrong." If you trigger a surface monitor at a 21 neighboring well bore of a previously plugged well, for instance, like we're requesting, and I believe OCD 22 condition of approval, either 11 or 13, I can pull it 23 24 up. 25 But we are requesting surface monitoring and

1 neighboring plugged and abandoned well pores because 2 there's a potential that there could be corrosive reaction and CO2 or treated as gas migrate to the 3 surface at those locations. Again, public health 4 5 safety, verifying the science and the plume modeling 6 in place, we've never used or advocated for additional 7 perforations to see when treated acid gas gets to a certain point. And I do agree with Mr. Rankin that 8 9 the purpose of those monitoring wells is to alert 10 Desert Ram to -- when their pore space is impacted. 11 And that's a money dispute between the parties -- a 12 timing and a money dispute.

COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Chair, you know, I don't know, let's say, how you want us to proceed with this, but I will require that probably we go in a close session to just look at this quickly and probably return because I do have some questions for the council.

19 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Before we entertain 20 that Commissioner, Mr. Marble, you wanted to state 21 something?

22 MR. MARBLE: I do. A couple of small points 23 to the doctor's point about the appropriateness of 24 these conditions on the permit, I understand that they 25 are unique and different. Two things that I'd like to

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

1 say to that regard. One, my client does operate water 2 wells within the area that could theoretically be 3 impacted by this plume. In fact, in the application 4 from Northwind, you'll see that they got notice in the 5 context of being a water well owner that they could be 6 impacted by the application.

7 The second piece of that is I'd like to point you back to Snyder Ranch. Snyder Ranch is kind of 8 9 been the seminal case on describing the function of 10 this Commission. When it issues a permit, they go on 11 to describe it as a license. And then the Snyder 12 Ranch case goes on to say that license is limited to 13 bestow upon someone their right to engage in 14 activities within their property rights.

15 And here, I believe it would be appropriate 16 for the condition that the Commission places on the 17 permit in the context of that Snyder Ranch's dictate 18 on what the Commission's power is, to make sure that 19 the applicant is engaging in activities within the 20 scope of their property rights. And one thing I want 21 to say in response to something Mr. Rankin said in his 22 last statement. He mentioned that it is inappropriate for this Commission to make interpretations or to 23 determine who has a valid claim to property. I agree 2.4 25 with him. I agree that that's inappropriate for this

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

1 Commission, but the difference here is that there is 2 no claim to property that Northwind is making. There's not one document that they can put in front of 3 this Commission saying they have the right to do what 4 5 they want to do on Desert Ram's land. Those are the 6 only small points I'd like to make. 7 COMMISSIONER ROZATOS: Thank you, Mr. Marble. Mr. -- Commissioner Bloom, did you have any questions, 8 9 thoughts? 10 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: So I -- Mr. Chair, I 11 think we did hear from Mr. Rankin that he could use 15 minutes to confer with his client. If nothing else, 12 13 that'll give us a bathroom break. I was --14 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: I was coming up to 15 that one. You're just a step ahead of me today. 16 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Okay. Okay. And then, 17 you know, after that there might be some potential for 18 the parties to talk and then I guess, I'm trying to 19 think where we're at. I think my allergies are just 20 killing me today. My eyes are bothering me, I feel 21 sluggish. but the -- that's --22 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Yeah. 23 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: -- like a -- We need to figure out -- yeah. We need to figure out the ruling 2.4 25 on the -- potentially on the motion. And then I think

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 then potentially hear a permit discussion. Is that 2 right, evidentiary hearing?

COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: So correct. I think we do need to go to a break and allow for stuff, all of us to stand up and maybe get some fresh air. I please.

7 MR. CHANDLER: Hi, Mr. Chair. In the 8 consideration of the entire universe is Northwind have 9 they had a chance to review the OCD conditions? And 10 do we just -- can you proffer whether they're going to 11 be contested or not?

MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Mr. Chandler. No, no. The conditions that the Division has proposed, which were filed at the time of its pre-hearing statement, and its exhibits have been reviewed by Northwind. And as we will testify, those conditions are acceptable to Northwind.

COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: So I still throw it 18 19 out there to pose potentially for discussion. I'm not 20 saying and I don't think this Commission is saying 21 that what Desert Ram has proposed is what needs to be done by any means. I think it just, as I mentioned, 22 opens the door for conversation for them and for 23 24 Northwind to be able to potentially find some type of middle ground. I realize, Mr. Rankin, the 25

1 conversation has been going on and that's why we're 2 here, where we're at. But it did look like an 11th 3 hour effort to potentially open up the discussions. And so I would urge you and your client just 4 5 to look at it. I'm not saying be open to the thought, 6 I'm not saying that you have to, and I'm not saying 7 you don't have to. Be open to the thought and do that. So why don't we take -- let's take a good --8 9 what time is it? Would a half hour be good for you? Okay. Let's take a half-hour break and we'll 10 11 reconvene at 11:30. Thank you. 12 (Off the record.) 13 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Good morning to 14 everybody that's on the platform. The parties needed 15 more minutes, so we will reconvene at 11:45. 15 16 (Off the record.) 17 MR. TREMAINE: Now they have more votes. 18 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you for -19 - everybody for holding on the platform. We need 20 about five more minutes, they think they have a 21 decision, so we'll get back in five minutes. 22 (Off the record.) 23 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay, Sheila. On the platform, thank you. We're back on the record. 2.4 Tt. 25 was an extended period of time, the half hour took an Page 86

1	hour, but that's okay. Is there a resolution to what
2	we have? I'll start with you Mr. Marble, if you'll
3	turn on your microphone, please.
4	MR. MARBLE: There is Mr. Chairman, if
5	it's okay with Mr. Rankin, I will would you like me
6	to walk through it on the record? In exchange for
7	withdrawing our notice of intervention to the
8	application pending today, the applicant will accept
9	all the conditions imposed by the OCD.
10	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay.
11	MR. MARBLE: And specifically as to condition
12	18, which is relating to plume modeling. The
13	applicant has agreed that instead of every five years,
14	it will be every two years. And as it relates to
15	condition 13, there will be did you want to read
16	this one onto the record or did you want to address
17	it?
18	MR. RANKIN: Yeah. I guess one thing, just
19	to be clear. So in the Division's conditions, it's
20	condition number 13, the Division has proposed that
21	Northwind implement surface monitoring at certain
22	plugged in abandoned wells that penetrate the
23	Devonian. And what we've agreed to do is have a side
24	agreement between the parties, where Northwind will
25	put a surface monitoring device on one of hydrosources
	Page 87

1 water wells, in section 29. So we would do that 2 outside of the permit conditions, but have an 3 agreement separate between the parties to implement 4 additional monitoring on one of their water wells in 5 section 29.

6 MR. MARBLE: And then I think the only other 7 thing to be addressed in the site agreement was as 8 updated plume modeling information relating to the 9 permit condition on 13, all becomes available, that 10 would be simultaneously provided to Desert Ram at the 11 same time as provided to the Commission.

12COMMISSIONER RAZATOS:Okay.Mr. Stewart?13MR. STEWART:So thank you, Mr. Marble.Mr.14Rankin, you're good with what was stated?

15 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. So just to reiterate, 16 just for purposes of the record and to confirm my 17 understanding. That what we've agreed to do in 18 exchange for Hydrosource, Desert Rams withdrawal of their objection to the proceeding in this case is that 19 we would agree, as a condition of approval, to 20 21 increase the updating of the modeling and data 22 provision under condition 18 to two years instead of 23 five. And we would -- separately on a separate site 24 agreement, we would agree to give Desert Ram and 25 Hydrosource all the information that we provide to the

Division and Commission at the same time as part of
 the conditions of approval of the permit. That would
 be a separate site agreement.

And then the third item is also under 4 separate site agreement that we would agree to put a 5 6 monitoring device on one of their water wells in 7 section 29. And then we just would need to be authorized to get access to do it. Because I think we 8 9 have to check the equipment and update the data every 10 month or so, whatever the frequency is. But those 11 would be addressed through the site agreement. The only thing that would go into the conditions of 12 13 approval here would be to increase the cycling of the 14 modeling in the condition 18 to every two years. 15 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Thank you. 16 MR. RANKIN: Uh-huh. 17 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Appreciate it. Mr. Tremaine? 18 19 MR. TREMAINE: More plume modeling updates is 20 probably more better, no objections. 21 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: More better. Your 22 English grammar is awesome there, Mr. Tremaine. Okay. 23 Commissioners, any questions on what was stated? 2.4 COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Not from my side. Thank 25 you.

Page 89

1 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: No questions, Mr. 2 Chair. 3 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Mr. Marble, we'll ask if you could write up all of the 4 5 conditions and submit them for the record so we could 6 have it all ready to go and then get every party to 7 sign off on it and then submit it to the Commission. Absolutely, Mr. Chairman. 8 MR. MARBLE: 9 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Tremaine, did you have something? Oh, okay. Go ahead, Mr. Chandler. 10 11 MR. CHANDLER: So what needs to be memorialized? Are parties looking for a motion from 12 13 the Commission today? 14 MR. RANKIN: I'm not sure that anything needs to be memorialized other than, I quess, that the 15 16 Desert Ram will submit a -- maybe a formal withdrawal 17 of their objection at -- as and when we've -- when 18 they're satisfied that we have entered into the site 19 agreement. And then the only other element would be, 20 which I think is sufficient for the statement of the 21 record, maybe the Division can submit a revised 22 Exhibit 1, which would be simply just to update the 23 frequency of the flow modeling and data to two years instead of five. 2.4 25 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Tremaine?

1 MR. TREMAINE: I think at the close of the 2 factual record to come, it'll need to be memorialized that condition 18 is -- has been modified. But I 3 think we can do that either in writing, submit an 4 5 updated condition 18 or -- on the record. It's a 6 simple correction. I don't think any of the site 7 agreement settlement between the parties needs to be memorialized by motion or order of the Commission. 8 9 MR. CHANDLER: Okay. 10 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Chandler, did that 11 suffice for your question? 12 MR. CHANDLER: I beg your forgiveness and I'm 13 Did you say Mr. Counsel, that you needed some so new. 14 technical testimony put on the record? 15 MR. TREMAINE: Well, I think I was operating 16 counsel under the assumption that the Commission was 17 going to still want to hear the underlying application 18 by Northwind because there isn't yet a -- it wasn't submitted by affidavit, and so there is not a record 19 20 upon which the Commission may approve the -- I thought 21 we still had to have a hearing, yeah. 22 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Commissioners? 23 MR. TREMAINE: So we'll still have a quick hearing. 2.4 25 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: When you say a quick Page 91

1	hearing, I mean, there's a probably not quick, but
2	yes.
3	MR. TREMAINE: It won't be quick, but I don't
4	think it will be as long as it was slated to be.
5	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: When will we be doing
6	that, Mr. Chair?
7	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: How long do the
8	parties need?
9	MR. TREMAINE: I think we have lunch waiting
10	for us at our office, so probably just an hour. If we
11	can be back here at 1:00, maybe 1:15, that we can
12	start. I think probably we can walk through,
13	depending on Dr. Ampomah's questions or the
14	Commission's questions, I think it might be in maybe
15	just a little more than an hour, hour and a half maybe
16	to complete the record. And with Mr with the
17	Division's witness, maybe another 20 minutes, hour and
18	a half maybe to complete the presentation of the case.
19	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Commissioners?
20	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: That works for me.
21	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: That works for me too,
22	and I promise we'll get it done today.
23	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: You're limiting factor
24	with questions. Okay. So it is 12:03, we'll give
25	ourselves a little bit of a longer lunch. Let's come
	Page 92

1	back at about 1:15. We'll proceed with the case but
2	at least we won't have it as controversial. So thank
3	you all, we'll see you at 1:15. Thank you.
4	(Off the record.)
5	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. We'll get back
6	on record. This is the continuation of when we were
7	hearing Case Number 24881, regarding Midstream Wind
8	I'm sorry, Northwind Midstream Partners for approval
9	of an additional redundant acid gas injection well,
10	and to amend Order Number R20903 as amended and SWD
11	2622 to authorize an increased shared maximum daily
12	injection rate in Lea County, New Mexico. Mr. Rankin,
13	we turn it over to you.
14	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair and
15	Commissioners. May it please the Commission. We have
16	one witness today to present the testimony on the
17	request for approval of this additional redundant AGI
18	in the Devonian. So at this time unless the
19	Commission has any questions of me, I would ask that
20	Mr. David White take the stand and so we can present
21	our case on request for approval.
22	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Sure. Mr. White, if
23	you'll come have a seat here. And when you take a
24	seat, if you'll just make sure that the microphone is
25	on, it'll be the little person with a half circle
	Page 93

1	right up around them. There you go. And Mr.
2	Chandler, will you swear in the witness for us,
3	please?
4	MR. CHANDLER: Sure. Please raise your right
5	hand.
6	WHEREUPON,
7	DAVID ALLEN WHITE,
8	called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn
9	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
10	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
11	MR. CHANDLER: Please state your name for the
12	record.
13	MR. WHITE: David Allen White.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR NORTHWIND MIDSTREAM
15	PARTNERS
16	BY MR. RANKIN:
17	Q Good afternoon, Mr. White. Will you please
18	let us know by whom you're employed and in what
19	capacity?
20	A I'm employed by Geo-Lakes Incorporated and
21	I'm the vice president.
22	Q And have you previously testified before the
23	Commission?
24	A I have, yes.
25	Q And have you been recognized as an expert in
	Page 94

1	geology, hydrogeology, seismic interpretation and
2	Fault Slip modeling and acid-gas injection while
3	permitting and operations?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Are you familiar with the application that's
б	filed in this case?
7	A Yes, I am.
8	Q Did you also prepare or oversee the
9	preparation of the C-108 that was submitted as part of
10	this application, in fact, Exhibit A?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q And do your duties include project well,
13	I'll skip over all that. Now let me since we're
14	not no longer contesting it, I think that there's
15	no objection. Let me skip forward here. Rather than
16	reviewing your background and testimony background
17	and your history of education and experience, I'm just
18	going to ask you, have you conducted a study of the
19	geology and hydrogeology of the lands in the area of
20	the application?
21	A Yes, I have.
22	Q And have you conducted analysis and modeled
23	the potential plume that would result from injection
24	of the proposed treated acid gas?
25	A Yes, I have.
	Page 95

1	
1	Q And have you also prepared a model analyzing
2	the Fault Slip probability based on the proposed
3	injection?
4	A Yes, I have.
5	Q And were Exhibits A and B that were submitted
6	as part of the hearing packet, prepared by you or
7	compiled under your direction and supervision?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Any corrections or changes at this time to
10	Exhibits A or B?
11	A Not at this time, no.
12	Q Okay.
13	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Chair, I would tend
14	retend Mr. White as an expert witness in geology,
15	hydrogeology seismic interpretation and Fault Slip
16	modeling and acid gas injection and well permitting an
17	operation.
18	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Mr. Germaine?
19	MR. GERMAINE: No objection.
20	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. He shall be
21	entered.
22	MR. RANKIN: All right. Mr. Chair, at this
23	time, I'll share my screen.
24	BY MR. RANKIN:
25	Q And Mr. White, let me know when you can see
	Page 96
	Veritext Legal Solutions

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1	the images before you. This is a copy of the
2	application that was
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q or exhibit packet that was filed as part
5	of this case. On the table of contents, you'll see
6	that there's a the application with the C-108
7	exhibit A to the application was a C-108. Is that
8	correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And is all the information required for
11	approval of the proposed Titan AGI number 4, included
12	in the application and in the C-108?
13	A Yes, it is.
14	Q And the application for hearing was filed
15	back on September 17, 2024, correct?
16	A That's correct.
17	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Rankin, I'm just
18	going to stop you one second. Can we just move your
19	microphone a little closer to you just to make sure
20	that the Court reporters can hear?
21	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
22	BY MR. RANKIN:
23	Q And have you prepared a slide presentation
24	reviewing the details of the C-108 and the application
25	filed with the Commission and the basis for approval?
	Page 97

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com Yes, I have.

2 Q And that's marked as Exhibit B. Is that 3 correct?

A Yes.

Α

1

4

Q Here's the tick of contents. Let's go ahead and I'll skip down to your overview of the application. And if you would just give us a -- an overview of what's being requested in this application by Northwind.

10 Yeah. So it's been previously kind of А 11 mentioned Northwind is seeking approval of an 12 additional acid gas injection well at their Titan 13 treatment facility. That will serve both the purposes of improving the redundancy in their processes at that 14 15 facility, as well as allow for an incremental increase 16 -- or an increase in the total allowable action --17 injection volume shared between those wells.

Specifically, Northwind is requesting 18 approval of up to 28.8 million standard cubic feet per 19 20 day in preparation for their anticipated asset gas 21 disposal needs of the area. As you had previously 22 mentioned, the form C-108 does include all relevant 23 sections and supporting materials necessary to 24 substantiate that request and to allow for approval of 25 that application. And as many of you all are likely

aware, these types of wells are really becoming the preferred method for midstream operators in terms of sour gas handling and the Northwind facility itself, the Titan treatment facility has been designed such that it does rely and incorporate those preferred methods.

7 So in order to speed this along Mr. White, 0 I'm not going to ask you questions directly. You've 8 9 prepared this presentation, and if it's okay with the Commission and Mr. Chair, I'm just going to ask you to 10 11 go ahead and review each slide and go through the 12 supporting materials that support approval in this 13 And I may interject here, there for case. 14 clarification or to make sure something is clear for 15 the Commission.

But with that, I'll just go ahead and ask you to walk through your slides and then I may interject here and there until you're complete.

Sure. So in this first slide, as some 19 Α Okay. 20 of you may be aware, the history and the planning for 21 acid gas injection wells at this facility is -- has a 22 bit of a history when the original application and 23 project development began in 2018. So I think it's a good idea and what I wanted to show in this map is 2.4 25 give everyone kind of a general layout of what the

facility looks like in terms of its well placement
 proposed and active.

3 The facility itself is located approximately 7.5 miles Southwest of the City of Jail, New Mexico, 4 5 specifically in Section 21 of Township, 26 South, 6 range 36 East. The well as you can see and 7 unfortunately the ArcGIS base map layers show -- do 8 not show the absolute current configuration of the 9 surface facility. It's showing only the facility as it was prior or around the time of Northwind's 10 11 acquisition of it.

12 So currently, the surface layout and disturbance does extend to the south -- to the lease 13 14 road that's kind of slightly inclined northeast and to 15 the north of that facility across that east-west 16 pipeline disturbance that you see there. But on the 17 disturbance of the property that's shown here, the red injection well symbol was the originally permitted 18 Salt Creek AGI number 1 location permitted back in 19 20 2019. That well was ultimately plugged and abandoned due to some downhole difficulties. And as part of the 21 22 history of it, we came back seeking the approval to 23 relocate and redesign that well to accommodate for those downhole difficulties. 24

25

The new location is shown by the blue

1 injection well symbol to the southeast labeled as Salt 2 Creek AGI number 3. Now that change in the numeric 3 sequence is ultimately because at the time that that relocation request was being made, application for 4 5 Salt Creek AGI number 2, the Commissions required 6 redundant deep AGI well had already been submitted. 7 So that numeric sequence is a little bit abnormal 8 because of that.

9 So to the north of that pipeline track, the black injection well shown is Salt Creek AGI number 2, 10 11 which was approved administratively as the Commission 12 had remanded it back to the Division for decision and 13 subsequently amended from a vertical injection well to a deviated injection well. So that well was drilled 14 15 and recently completed from the surface location shown 16 to a bottom hole location to the Southeast.

The final marker on that map shows the proposed Titan AGI number 4, which is the well relating to the matter we're discussing today. So as I briefly mentioned, AGI wells in general, and what we'll talk about for a couple slides here, is just the key elements of Northwind's application and some of the benefits of AGI wells.

As I mentioned, AGI wells have become a preferred sour gas handling method for these types of

facilities, not only because it simplifies treatment processes a bit and reduces potential downtime associated with alternative sulfur control measures, but it has a strong environmental benefit that along with handling the more -- the dangerous hydrogen sulfide, it also results in the permanent sequestration of significant amounts of CO2.

8 With respect to Northwind's application, our 9 review of the project area has shown that oil and gas wells, water wells, and surface waters in the area of 10 11 the proposed AGI number 4, are all protected via 12 design considerations for the proposed well. Which 13 includes adequate surface casing installation to protect groundwater sources, as well as multiple 14 15 additional strings that provide physical barriers 16 between the injection string and adjacent geologic 17 strata.

Throughout the long history of the project, 18 the ability -- the access to data and the depth of our 19 20 geologic investigation, has also continued. And as a result of that, our geologic analysis, which most 21 22 recently incorporates utilization of 3D seismic data, 23 has really allowed for a good delineation and good 24 characterization of the injection reservoir in this 25 zone.

Furthermore, Fault Slip probability modeling based on those geologic analysis, shows that, as proposed and the volumes proposed and operating conditions, the wells, the series of wells in the Devonian do not produce any elevated risk for injection induced seismic events.

7 With respect to reservoir modeling and 8 characterization of the reservoir, as I mentioned, the 9 -- this project does have a bit of a long history and the product of reservoir modeling and simulation that 10 11 you're seeing today, and that has been provided in the 12 C-108 application and in the exhibits, is really a 13 refined version that has begun initially with well control in 2019 and 2020 to incorporating 3D seismic 14 15 data and derivative analyses of those data to really 16 generate a reservoir characterization model and plume 17 forecast that we think are adequately supportive of Northwind's operations and adequately prepares 18 19 Northwind to understand the impacts of their plume and 20 their injection activities.

In general, the C-108 application, includes all relevant subsections for approval as is required of these facilities and these types of operations. Northwind does have an existing hydrogen sulfide contingency plan, which upon approval of this well and

construction of this well, will of course be
 incorporated and submitted to an MED and relevant
 parties to ensure that all -- that plan reflects all
 of the proposed operations and as approved conditions.

5 All interested parties requiring notification 6 have been provided copies of Northwind's application 7 and have received notice. And as of today, no parties 8 are intervening on the matter.

9 And so just to kind of reiterate a little bit of the history. We'll talk mostly about the kind of 10 11 flow chart shown on the right here. But just to make 12 sure everyone has some perspective about the evolution 13 of this project and the current status of the 14 facility. The original application for a acid gas injection well at this facility was submitted in 2019, 15 16 with the approval of that application and the first 17 order being issued in January of 2020. Now that owing 18 to the sour gas disposal needs of that facility, that drilling activities for that well did not occur until 19 20 04 of 2022, when those sour gas disposal volumes were 21 -- became required at that facility.

So the drilling of that well ultimately began at the end of 2022. When drilling that well, as I mentioned, there were some down hole issues that prevented completion of that well. And so Salt Creek,

1 Northwind's predecessor -- operator prior to 2 Northwind's acquisition, sought amendment to relocate and redesign that well to address those issues. And 3 the NMOCC issued order 20913F approving that redesign 4 5 in June of 2023. After that Northwind did acquire the facility and proceeded to drill the Salt Creek AGI 6 number 3, in Q4 of 2023, after which the redundant 7 8 Salt Creek AGI number 2 was authorized via the 9 administrative process.

10 And I'm sorry. The associated order on this 11 is incorrect. Rather than being SWD 2622, it should 12 be SWD 2580 which was issued to Northwind. However, 13 they did not drill the well based on that order. That order in -- was planned for a vertical well, at that 14 15 time, however, upon acquisition of 3D seismic data and 16 additional geologic analysis, it was determined that a 17 deviated well to a more higher quality interval of Siluro-Devonian injection zone, would be more 18 19 preferable.

So there was an amendment application submitted requesting that change of plans, which was ultimately approved in August of 2024. And Salt Creek AGI number 2, was drilled and most recently completed in early 2025. And I recognize that's a very complicated history, so feel free to ask any

questions, if anything doesn't make sense.

1

2 So here we take -- in this slide, we're 3 taking a look at the general project area, both from a more regional perspective, where in the map shown to 4 5 the left, you see the city of Jal to the northeast, 6 and the position of the general position of the proposed Titan AGI number 4 and the Salt Creek 7 8 facility in the Southwest of Jal, New Mexico. And 9 again, in the panel to the right, we just see, again, 10 the kind of arrangement of AGI wells at the facility 11 currently.

12 In accordance with the normal C-108 13 development processes for determining a maximum allowable operating pressure, this slide includes the 14 15 calculations and the assumptions we made in 16 determining the requested maximum allowable operating 17 pressure. Which for acid gas wells, utilizes an NMOCD 18 approved method in which the specific gravity or 19 average density of the acid gas is utilized to 20 determine and calculate the maximum allowable 21 operating pressure. 22 Of course, with -- oh, sorry. Can you hold there one more --23 24 Yeah. 0 -- one second. Of course, with -- you know, 25 А Page 106

1 as is stipulated by, you know, most orders that are 2 issued with respect to AGI Wells and SWD Wells, the 3 intent will be that once the well is constructed step 4 rate injection testing will be completed to confirm 5 the adequacy of that requested allowable operating 6 pressure.

7 So in this slide, we're summarizing a little bit of the general design of the acid gas injection 8 9 well system in the proposed operations as in 10 accordance with Northwind's current gas inlet 11 compositions, the Titan AGI number 4 is anticipated to inject mixed acid gas stream, predominantly containing 12 13 carbon dioxide, H2S and trace amounts of nitrogen and hydrocarbons. 14

15 The tag is transmitted from the amine 16 treatment system at low pressure. And for this 17 description, we can begin looking at the kind of 18 schematic diagram on the right there. But tag is 19 transmitted from the amine system to a compression 20 process unit where it is, through successive stages of 21 compression, compressed and dehydrated through inner 22 stage cooling, and then transmitted to each of the AGI 23 wells via high pressure NACE compliant lines.

In the well profile shown to the right, we do have some annotations of key design standards that are

in characteristic of all of Northwind's well and most AGI wells currently. Specifically, in that the AGI wells are constructed with, not only surface safety valves that are automated and tied into plant control systems, but as well downhole subsurface safety valves that allow for integrated control through the plant control systems.

The AGI Wells in general are designed to be 8 9 robust in potentially corrosive conditions, where they 10 incorporate corrosion resistant alloy materials, 11 constructed with acid resistant cements and ultimately 12 are designed such that they are suitable for the dry 13 acid gas injection conditions proposed. In addition, 14 they -- Northwind's wells and many AGI wells, incorporate continuous bottom hole monitoring of 15 16 pressure and temperature. Which are important to 17 understanding reservoir pressure evolution, informing 18 geologic characterization models and injection 19 simulations. And these have been incorporated in all 20 of Northwind's AGI wells.

In this slide, we show the proposed design of the Titan AGI number 4, which is very consistent with AGI wells constructed in this area of New Mexico. And in accordance with the needs of the specific geology that you encounter in this area, just kind of at a

high-level summarizing that, you can see from the diagram that five casing strings are proposed for the design of this well. The first of which includes surface casing down to a depth of approximately 2,100 feet, with the ultimate purpose of isolating and protecting groundwater resources in the area.

Secondly, the first intermediate casing would be proposed to be installed down to an approximate depth of 3,660 feet, ultimately isolating intervals, which may contain salt and hydrides in this particular part of the basin that overly the Capitan Reef, which is expected in this area.

13 The second intermediate casing proposed would 14 be drill -- would be set at a depth of 5,590 feet, 15 utilizing DV tools to ensure adequate cementation, 16 isolating the Capitan, which in this area has been 17 observed as a potential loss circulation zone. 18 Following the second intermediate, the third intermediate casing interval would be proposed to be 19 20 drilled down to approximately 11,820 feet, ultimately 21 isolating an interval of potentially lower pressure 22 across the Delaware Mountain Group and Bone Springs interval. Because we do have an existing acid gas 23 injection well that injects into the shallow Delaware 2.4 25 Mountain Group.

1 Along this interval, we would incorporate and 2 utilize acid resistant cements to make sure that this 3 well is protected from any formation fluids containing acid gas that might be detrimental to the integrity of 4 5 Titan AGI number 4. The production casing would be 6 set at approximately 17,570 feet, ultimately isolating the high-pressure gas intervals of geologic formation 7 such as the stranatocamaro (ph). And within this 8 9 string, we would utilize corrosion resistant alloy and 10 cements, again, in the overlying caprock intervals to 11 ultimately protect downhole AGI well components.

12 The plan is that all strings will be cemented 13 to the surface, and those cement operations will be verified via wire line cement bond logging. In terms 14 15 of data acquisition, well logging and reservoir 16 testing and monitoring for this well, while drilling 17 activities are ongoing, mud logging is -- we would 18 propose to commence below the 24-inch surface casing and be continued throughout the total depth of the 19 20 In terms of wire line geophysical logs, we well. 21 would -- our intent is to collect, you know, normal routine gamma density resistivity, neutron porosity 22 and sonic tools. 23

And for the purposes of understanding
potential fracture, gradients and fracture pressures,

1 we would also incorporate dipole sonic logs, which 2 have been collected for the offsetting Salt Creek AGI number 2 well, but would continue to collect those 3 data in the Titan AGI number 4. In addition to this, 4 5 with respect to the caprock, the primary and secondary caprock intervals, as well as the injection zone, 6 those intervals would be imaged using Full Bore 7 8 Formation Micro Imaging tools or similar tools based 9 on the drilling fluids program for that particular 10 interval.

11 As is normal with AGI wells, we would also 12 collect rotary sidewall cores for analysis in the 13 caprock intervals, secondary caprock intervals, and as well as the injection zone proper. In addition to the 14 15 logging activities, the well would also be injection 16 tested via separate injection test and pressure 17 falloff tests, to inform model simulations, get a better understanding of the injectivity potential for 18 these wells or this well, and to ultimately confirm 19 20 any preliminary analysis with respect to fracture 21 pressures and things like that.

Once completed drilling the well, the well will be outfitted with all of its permanent downhole pressure and temperature sensors, corrosion resistant alloy tubulars and subsurface safety valves and other

AGI equipment.

1

2 Now in this slide, we overview the general geology of the project area. In the map shown to the 3 left, we have a map probably seen many times around 4 5 this -- in this setting with the proposed AGI well 6 denoted as the red square in Southeastern New Mexico. As we can see from this map, the proposed well 7 8 location is in the Eastern margin of the Delaware 9 Basin, where surface sediments are generally characterized by aeolian and fluvial units with local 10 11 exposures of red beds.

12 Approximately 11,000 feet of Permian strata, 13 which at -- in places, contain producing intervals overly the lower Paleozoic strata. Which are 14 15 intervals of gas, you know, the stranatocamaro, 16 Pennsylvanian section, being gas bearing intervals and 17 gas producing intervals. Overlying the proposed injection interval, the Woodford Shale, a well-known 18 and well demonstrated confining unit in this area, is 19 20 greater than 370 feet thick and makes up the primary 21 confining strata for this injection well.

In addition to this, the overlying Mississippian carbonates provide secondary caprock intervals and shales of the Barnett, that in this area are expected to be about 800 feet of secondary

1 confining strata. As we'll talk a little bit later, 2 we do have access to 3D seismic survey data, with 3 respect to this project location which has allowed us 4 to get a good characterization of the geology and 5 identify faults in the area such that they can 6 adequately be evaluated with respect to induced 7 seismicity risk.

In this slide, we're just putting into 8 9 perspective the project location with respect to the 10 producing intervals in the area and the geologic 11 strata that are -- that comprise the section. The highlighted section of this chart indicates or shows 12 13 the Delaware Basin units, with red stars showing commonly producing intervals, whether that's oil or 14 15 natural gas.

In this particular area, we are towards the Delaware Basin margin, so depending on where you at -where you're at, you may see some other productive intervals, which would be listed in the Central Basin Platform section, inclusive of things like the Tansill, Yates, Seven Rivers, depending on where you're at in the project area.

Again, with respect to what geology we expect to encounter, as I mentioned, the Salt Creek AGI number 2 well, which is of very similar design and

Page 113

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 1 deviates towards the southeast was just recently 2 completed. Not in operation, but was recently 3 completed, and so that has been able to give us a good sense of where and what formations we're going to see 4 5 drilling Titan AGI number 4. So as you can see in the 6 tabulated summary there, the geologic formations we 7 expect, and the current prognosis for measured depths that we find those formation tops. 8

9 In this slide, we can see in the map shown to 10 the right, a structure contour map, which was derived 11 from the seismic data that were acquired and reflect the top of the Siluro-Devonian. So the top of the 12 13 proposed injection zone. As we can see from this 14 structure, contour map, where cooler colors reflect increased depth to the top, and warmer colors would 15 16 reflect shallower depths to the top.

That in general, the top of the Siluro-Devonian section is dipping towards the southwest, in the -- kind of the center of the map where those well locations are annotated, we see the two locations of Salt Creek AGI number 2, the existing well, as well as the proposed Titan AGI number 4, existing down dip of a structural high to the approximate northeast.

In this slide, we're taking a look at a cross section of wells across that project area, which shows

1 a couple things -- a couple key things. The brown shaded interval that is shown in those wells from a 2 prime, show the continuous -- the continuity of the 3 Woodford Shale across the project area. In addition 4 5 to this, the highlighted sections that underlie that brown shaded area, show intervals of the Siluro-6 Devonian, the proposed injection zone, which we 7 8 identify porosity development within those zones.

9 And as I mentioned briefly, the thickness of 10 the Woodford Shale at the Titan AGI number 4 location, 11 is significant in the offset -- well, we see it as 12 approximately 370 feet thick and overlaying by tight 13 carbonates and shales of the Barnett and Osage 14 Formation. Next one -- next slide.

- 15 Q Yeah.
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17

Q Sorry.

That works. And so with this slide, what I 18 Α 19 wanted to provide the Commission with is, you know, 20 part of the -- this was not included in the original C-108 application, as it reflects well logs that were 21 22 collected from the recently completed Salt Creek AGI 23 number 2. And so these are -- in these slides and 24 what I wanted to highlight is the level of porosity 25 development that we are seeing in this well. You

1 know, we ultimately made requests prior to deviate the 2 well, based on concerns that the -- a current -- a 3 vertical well would not access quality reservoir. And 4 ultimately, I think that came down to be a good 5 decision.

And for comparison, you know, in the upper 6 7 Devonian section, we see porosity development that's 8 very similar to very to analog AGI wells in this area. 9 However, in the Fussman section, we do see porosity development being substantially better than we've seen 10 11 in some other analog wells. And so ultimately, we 12 just wanted to highlight that the methodology used to 13 determine a bottom hole location for AGI number 2, was a similar methodology for Titan AGI number 4. And so 14 15 we hope to -- hope that that methodology will produce 16 a similarly productive well.

17 As part of the supporting material requirements for the C-108 application, we've also 18 looked at groundwater conditions in the area and 19 20 reviewed the wells area of review for existing groundwater wells, points of diversion. And when we -21 - just to be clear, when we're -- when I'm referring 22 to the area of review for this project, because we are 23 proposing a deviated well, we do not -- we've kind of 24 modified the area of review to go beyond one mile. 25

So rather than just being a one-mile radius, it is the composite shape of a one-mile radius at the surface hole location, a one-mile radius at the bottom hole location, and a one-mile buffer along the deviated well path. So it actually is a larger area of review than just a standard one mile.

7 In this modified area of review, we find 14 8 water wells. The nearest of which being just over a half mile from the Titan AGI number 4 locations. And 9 in reviewing those well records, all of these wells 10 11 are shallow, not extending beyond a thousand feet in 12 total depth. And ultimately, the design of the Titan 13 AGI number 4, considers those wells in order to 14 provide adequate casing protection and cementing 15 protection.

16 As I mentioned in a previous slide, surface 17 casing for this well will extend down beyond 2,000 feet to ultimately isolate those intervals of 18 potential groundwater resources. In characterizing 19 20 oil and gas activities or injection activities within the area of review, our review of records and records 21 22 analysis, shows that there are 83 wells within the 23 total area of review which is the one-mile buffer 24 area. 22 of which are active with 32 permitted locations and 29 plugged wells. 25

1 Reviewing the records for those wells, many 2 of them are shallow wells, which would have total depths significantly overlying the proposed interval 3 of injection, commonly producing or previously 4 5 producing from the Tansill, Yates, Seven Rivers. And with some newer wells, producing from the Bone Springs 6 and Wolfcamp Formations. Again, overlying the --7 8 targets that overly the proposed injection zone by thousands of feet. 9

10 In the one-mile area of review, there is one 11 well that was drilled and penetrated, the Siluro-12 Devonian proposed injection zone, that being the South 13 Lea Federal number 1. And it is shown in the northwestern extent of that area of review circular 14 15 area, and it is 23197. The well was drilled to a 16 total depth within the injection zone, but was 17 ultimately found to be not productive and was isolated with cast iron bridge plugs and cement plugs and 18 recompleted shallow, and then ultimately, fully 19 20 plugged back to the surface and -- in 2015.

So in confirming a location to be suitable for acid gas injection, there's really some major components that we're looking to satisfy with any particular location. And I think for this location and our understanding of the subsurface, the Titan AGI

1 number 4 project does meet all of the requirements. 2 Which include very critical characteristics such as 3 geologic seals, that permanently contain the injected fluid, that pose no risk to fresh groundwater or 4 5 groundwater resources. They don't impact -- or the 6 project area doesn't have significant history of production. And the reservoir both is confined, 7 8 laterally, but also is characterized by porosity and 9 permeability attributes laterally.

10 And then ultimately, identifying reservoir 11 suitable for your proposed operations with respect to 12 capacity and with respect to formation fluid 13 chemistry.

Q Mr. White, does the C 1 -- you talk about fresh water and geologic seals. Does the C-108 also contain in affirmative statement by you that you've prepared confirming that there are no communication between the injection zone and any known sources of drinking water?

20

24

25

A Yes, it does.

21 Q Just to point out for the Commission, that's 22 on PDF page 40 of the exhibit packet. Is that 23 correct?

A Yes, it is.

Q Thank you. I know that Division cares to

make sure you've got the signed statement confirming that there are no communication pathways. I'll return you back to where you were, I believe it was -- you're going to get into your Fault Slip analysis. Is that right?

6 А Yeah. So as we mentioned at the beginning of my testimony or as it -- in accordance with Mr. 7 8 Rankin's introduction to the testimony, in evaluating 9 this location in the proposed well, we did evaluate the potential for Fault Slip or injection induced 10 11 seismic events, which I'm sure most are aware of is a 12 point of concern in many areas of the world right now.

13 The -- ultimately, for our analysis, the 14 major components included review and interpretation of 15 seismic survey data to under -- to make sure we have a 16 clear understanding as best we can of the subsurface 17 features in the area of our proposed well. And subsequent -- or additionally, that modeling and that 18 or that assessment, included modeling of injection 19 20 well scenarios. In this case, a five well injection scenario, in which acid gas injection is simulated and 21 22 in a model simulation capable in -- of considering 23 fault structures in the area and calculating or 24 projecting and associated risk with that scenario. 25 Specifically for this work, as most are

probably familiar with, we utilize the Stanford Center
 for Induced and Triggered Seismicity Fault Slip
 Potential model.

And in this slide, we show kind of the 4 5 general results of our analysis of seismic data where 6 we have plotted generally the faults that we see in the area of the proposed well. These faults were 7 8 utilized for the Fault Slip probability simulations. 9 And as you can see, in order to express those faults in the model, some of those were broken up into fault 10 11 segments in order to accurately characterize their 12 non-linear expression.

13 In general, looking at these, we see 13 faults in the area, which we've subdivided for 14 15 modeling purposes into 23 fault segments. Most of 16 these, you see a couple different populations of 17 either north, south to east -- or east-west trending In general, these faults in our analysis of 18 faults. seismic survey data, the offset along these faults or 19 20 the fault throw, does not exceed from what we've seen 21 the thickness of the Woodford Shale. So we don't see 22 any evidence that the primary confining layer of the 23 Woodford Shale would be offset in any way that 24 compromises its containment.

25

Now for this work, like I mentioned, we

1 included five injection wells in the Fault Slip 2 probability simulations. This particular part of Southeastern New Mexico, is not really inundated with 3 disposal activities at the moment. In the map shown, 4 5 you see the two Northwind AGI wells at the facility 6 Titan AGI 4, and the Salt Creek AGI number 2, ss well 7 as north of the facility, there are two existing AGI wells about six miles north. The independence AGI 8 9 number -- AGI wells, those were included in the slip 10 probability assessment. And to the northeast of the 11 facility, one salt water disposal well, the Kimberly 12 SWD Well.

13 So with modeling injection and the evaluating the risk for induced seismicity, this particular 14 Stanford model requires input parameters and some 15 16 assumptions with respect to fault orientations, local stress conditions in order to evaluate and determine 17 18 pressures required to induce slip in this simulation. And so the table here shows the modeling assumptions 19 20 or the stress conditions that were assumed for these 21 simulations.

And in this slide, we show the model's initial kind of assessment of what pressures are required to induce slip. So we see -- with a range of fault orientations, we see that the model predicts

Page 122

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 1 that -- of these 24 fault segments, the anticipated 2 pore-pressure increase to induce slip ranging between 3 approximately 1,080 to 6,930 PSI. In this area of the 4 world, maximum horizontal stress is oriented 5 approximately North 75 East.

6 And that's in accordance with stress 7 characterization of folks like Losni (ph) and Zobacka and utilizing their state of stress maps. 8 With 9 respect to this project area, there is some faults 10 segments that we identify as having the increased risk 11 of slip which are shown or annotated here as fault segments 1, 2, 9, 10, and 21. Which are those that 12 13 are identified as having less than 1,500 PSI to induce 14 slip.

15 In this slide, we summarize the injection 16 wells that are included in this simulation, the five 17 injection wells, two of which being AGI wells operated 18 and proposed by Northwind, as well as the reservoir barrel equivalent volumes that the simulation assumes, 19 20 as well as the total duration of the simulation 21 period. So the objective with this modeling and 22 simulation is to consider at least the proposed AGI 23 wells for a period of 30 years.

However, often there are wells that have been operating from -- before that time. And so for those

Page 123

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 1 wells, the simulation period would've been extended to 2 history match, and ultimately, include prior contributions of those wells. So you'll see some, 3 like the Kimberly SWD, where the simulation begins in 4 In accordance with the limitations of the 5 2019. 6 Stanford model, one conservative approach we take with these simulations is that that model can only account 7 8 for one fluid type at a time.

9 So for all of these wells, we do assume the 10 fluid characteristics of produced water rather than 11 acid gas. Which provides us a little bit more 12 conservative estimates with respect to result in 13 pressure increase, as the water is greater density and 14 greater viscosity than what would be seen in a pure 15 acid gas injection alone.

16 Here we see the hydrologic results of that 17 simulation. Where in panel A, we see a kind of 18 generalized map that shows, from cooler to warmer 19 colors, the model's prediction for pore-pressure 20 increase in association with that injection scenario. 21 So this would be the point 30 years or 30 plus years, depending on what the level of history match was and 22 the anticipated pore-pressure increase after the full 23 simulation. 24

25

So as you can see around the AGI wells,

which, you know, both the Northwind proposed and existing AGI wells, as well as the offsetting AGI wells to the north, the ultimate pressure impacts are a little bit -- are less than a higher volume SWD. Ultimately, owing to the smaller volumes that actually are placed in the reservoir in association with acid gas wells.

8 With the hydrologic simulation results, the 9 model also then calculates utilizing a range of uncertainty and various model parameters such as fault 10 11 orientation or density of fluids. It calculates 12 utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation, the ultimate Fault 13 Slip probability associated with this. So in panel A 14 to the left, we see a cross plot of time versus Fault 15 Slip potential.

16 And were there any potential for Fault Slip? 17 In this injection scenario, you would see a series of 18 trend lines that go up or move with time. However -for each fault segment, however, owing to the minimal 19 20 amount of injection that is actually going on in this 21 area and the geomechanical risk for slip, all fault 22 segments within this simulation are shown to be at 23 zero probability for slip.

In the panel to the right, we show a similar results where the Fault Slip probability is

graphically displayed in association with the various
 AGI or the injection wells in the project area.
 Again, all of those fault segments colored green, as
 the model predicts zero probability.

5 As I mentioned before, a critical point of 6 identifying a suitable location for acid gas injection well is confirmation that your confining strata are 7 8 adequate for containing injected acid gas. And as I 9 mentioned, in terms of subsurface review and fault identification, we don't see any offset and faults 10 11 that allow for the lateral continuity of the Woodford 12 Shale to be offset. But we also want to take that a 13 step further and look at pressure conditions as best 14 we can in the Siluro-Devonian versus overlying strata.

And so what we've -- what we commonly do is look at drilling fluid records and confirm that, you know, the pressure conditions we expect to anticipate are such that injected gas will remain in the reservoir. Could you move to the next slide. So this will make a little bit more sense now.

21 So in the interval overlying the proposed 22 injection zone, we see gas containing units of the 23 stranatocamaro, which have been studied, and an 24 interval of over pressure has been identified in the 25 Eastern Delaware Basin across this interval. And so

1 the maps shown in this slide, is from Rittenhouse et 2 al, who evaluated as you can see there, 23,000 mud 3 weight records and greater than 4,000 drill stem tests 4 to kind of characterize this interval of over 5 pressure.

6 And so this pore-pressure increase has been 7 identified, you know, beginning in the Bone Springs 8 down through the Woodford Shale. And commonly within 9 the proposed injection zone, we see relatively under 10 pressured conditions. So what we want to ultimately 11 confirm is that this same circumstance will be present 12 in our project area. Because ultimately, if you have 13 this pressure isolation, then you can assume you're not going to be losing acid gas out of the reservoir. 14

15 And so this is just another diagram that 16 shows the results of Rittenhouse work, where in the 17 tracks to the right, this diagram is showing essentially stratigraphy and log data from the 18 19 Delaware down through the Bone Springs, Wolfcamp, all 20 the way down to the injection zone, and then the Siluro-Devonian. And when you look at the tracks to 21 22 the right, you see the two red traces, where within the Bone Springs, Rittenhouse compilation of data 23 24 shows increases in pore-pressure all the way down through the Woodford, and then returning to normally 25

pressured conditions below that.

1

2 And so now we ultimately want to kind of take that high level interpretation of the Eastern Delaware 3 Basin and see if local drilling fluid records are 4 5 supportive of that. Because ultimately, we want to be 6 prepared to understand if while drilling the well, we 7 need to deal with high pressure gas from those intervals, construct the well in such a way that those 8 9 intervals can be isolated and understand what 10 conditions we're going to encounter.

11 And so what we see in the map shown to the 12 right here, is drilling fluid records, scout tickets 13 and things like that, for wells in the area. 14 Ultimately, see -- we see in those intervals 15 anticipated to contain higher pressures, we see 16 drilling mud densities that were utilized, ranging 17 from just under 12 pounds per gallon to up to 15 18 pounds per gallon in this project area. That 19 corresponds to an average density of 13.4 and those 20 drilling fluid records also do indicate and confirm 21 once moving into the Siluro-Devonian, we see more 22 normally pressured depth intervals.

And this is all consistent with what was observed in the recent drilling of Salt Creek AGI number 2. So in reviewing these data and, you know,

1 kind of premised on the work of more regional data and 2 identification of an over pressured Eastern Delaware 3 Basin, we don't expect that -- and confirming that 4 those over-pressured conditions are present within the 5 project area, we don't expect that there's going to be 6 any risk for vertical migration or any pressure drive 7 out of the intended reservoir.

This is supported by the drilling fluid 8 9 characteristics that we see in records, supported by 10 the -- our experiences drilling the Salt Creek AGI 11 number 2, and ultimately, we think maintaining this pressure differential in this project area will help 12 13 to contain fluids in the zone. And that's really only 14 complimenting the fact that we have physical competent 15 Woodford Shale caprock laterally extensive across the 16 area.

17 Now in these next few slides, we'll talk, I'm quessing, pretty extensively about the reservoir 18 characterization and injection simulations that were 19 20 completed to support this application. As AGI Well, 21 applications have evolved so has the kind of assessments and evaluations that are utilized to 22 23 forecast where these plumes are going and what the results and impacts to the subsurface are going to be. 2.4 25 As I mentioned previously, this particular

1 project has had all -- a good amount of history. And 2 what we will look at today are kind of the most recent evolution and refinement of those plume estimates. 3 Following the original AGI number 1 well, all of the 4 5 plume forecasts have been completed utilizing 6 Schlumberger's Petrel and eclipse platforms for 7 geologic characterization modeling and injection simulation respectively. However, they have been 8 9 refined over time in that -- and rebuilt completely to 10 incorporate things like the additional data provided 11 by 3D seismic survey.

12 And so in this slide, the figure to the right 13 shows just a three-dimensional render of the existing geologic characterization model. Which as I mentioned 14 15 was, you know, really leverages the recent acquisition 16 of 3D seismic survey data. Having those data 17 available to us, recently we were able to characterize 18 the reservoir utilizing seismic inversion analysis 19 methods. Ultimately, where you're able to transform 20 seismic data to rock properties, a method that's been 21 very well demonstrated and successful for identifying 22 quality reservoirs within carbonate injection zones.

These data were incorporated into this model, so porosity attributes within the model are derived from those inversion data. In evaluating the

potential impacts to the project area, we did conduct multiple simulations under conditions in which, you know, faults were transmissive to fluids, faults were non transmissive to fluids, to ultimately make sure all of the stones were turned over and ensure we understood where the potential directions of this plume could go.

8 Comprising the geologic characterization 9 model that was shown in the previous slide, the model 10 was built out to generally be subdivided into eight 11 zones, generally based on the porosity and 12 permeability characteristics observed. However, the 13 model itself does contain 151 kind of sliced 14 horizontal layers that are all informed through the 15 seismic impedance data. The model in total is three -16 - almost 3.4 million cells with dimensions of approximately 165 by 165 by 10 feet. 17

The table to the right shows kind of the 18 19 summary of characteristics of those zones, their 20 numeric sequence, their thickness, and then the average porosity and permeability within those zones. 21 22 The total model itself has an average permeability or an average porosity of 2.1 percent and average 23 permeability of 5.1 millidarcys. And ultimately, 24 these are based on both published literature with 25

respect to carbonate and dolomite permeability,
 available test data as well as sidewall core data and
 data -- history-match data from other analog AGI
 wells.

5 In this slide, we're communicating and 6 summarizing what the distribution of that porosity and 7 permeability looks like within the model. These two charts, panels A and B, are essentially bar charts 8 9 showing a range of porosity and the percentage of 10 cells within the model that see those porosity 11 The same thing with permeability shown in attributes. 12 panel B to the right, we see the permeability range --13 the permeability values within the model and the 14 percentage of sales that -- within the model that 15 attribute those permeability values.

16 And just to summarize, that was -- can you go 17 back up one more, Adam or Mr. Rankin? Up one more 18 slide. That -- just to reiterate, that would be 2.1 19 percent average porosity in the model. So as I 20 summarized, when in the earlier portions of the slide 21 presentation, in accordance with Northwinds anticipated operating conditions, the simulation does 22 23 consider a mixed acid gas stream of approximately 80 percent CO2 and 20 percent H2S. And the simulation 2.4 25 was completed for 30 years of the Northwind activities

for those wells -- I'm sorry. Excuse me.

1

2 The model leverages ultimately has to be a little bit informed by -- with respect to acid gas 3 density and fluid characteristics. And for 4 5 determining those for this mixed acid gas stream and anticipated reservoir conditions, we utilize the NIST 6 REFPROP software, which if you've seen other acid gas 7 8 injection applications would be comparable or similar 9 software to something like equilibrium.

For all of our simulations, wells were operated at the maximum allowable or the maximum proposed inject -- daily injection volume, ultimately, to make sure we have a most conservative estimate of the plume footprint such that we can identify all wells that may be in its path or potentially impacted by it.

17 This reservoir -- or this simulation also assumes the reservoir is fully saturated with brine, 18 it doesn't consider any prior production history in 19 accordance with the records and activities in this 20 21 area. And as I mentioned earlier, we do present 22 multiple case simulations in which faults are both 23 transmissive or alternatively non transmissive to 24 fluid. Ultimately, with the aims of identifying the maximum area that could be impacted. 25

1 In this slide, just summarizes those case studies where we have Titan AGI number 4 and Salt 2 Creek AGI number 2, splitting the proposed volume with 3 14.4 million standard cubic feet per day being 4 5 injected into each well and under fault flow 6 conditions of both transmissive and non-transmissive. And for perspective, you know, the 28.8 million 7 8 standard cubic feet, it may be a little bit difficult 9 to envision exactly what that is. So with respect to that under reservoir conditions, that would typically 10 11 equate to about 13,760 barrels per day.

12 So in the next two slides, we show the 13 results of those case simulations. And you'll have to forgive me, but I believe that panel B on this slide, 14 15 is switched with the panel B that should be on the 16 next slide. But we can talk about that as we work 17 through it. But in the panel shown to the left, the -- we show a contour map which is ultimately displaying 18 19 the resultant gas saturation that we anticipate at the 20 end of the 30-year injection scenario.

So under transmissive fault conditions, the outermost contour line would reflect the total footprint of the injection plume. And so -- and this is specifically showing concentration for the zone, the vertical interval of injection that has the

greatest aerial footprint. So these contours and concentrations of gas that we are showing do not necessarily mean that, you know, that's the concentration from top to bottom. It's just for this particular zone, which has the greatest aerial extent.

6 So with respect to the aerial footprint 7 across the project area, we see -- in this simulation, 8 we see gas extending approximately 1.8 miles from the 9 Titan facility. In the panel to the right, we are showing a cross-sectional view of the geologic model 10 11 where, again, colors from warm to cool, correspond to 12 higher to lower resultant gas saturations. So towards 13 looking at either map -- the map to the left or the 14 cross section to the right, we see the highest 15 concentrations of acid gas, of course, being towards 16 the point of injection at the well bores and becoming 17 more diffuse with respect to saturation as we move 18 outwards towards the plume.

Here we see the results from the case 2 simulation, in which faults are considered to be nontransmissive to flow. So ultimately, in the immediate project area, the Salt Creek wells are not bounded in close proximity by any faults that we've seen. And so we don't really see too much restriction or fault control on the ultimate expression of the plume. We

do see a little bit of the fault impact to the north with the kind of little knot shown in the contour map where acid gases not being able to cross -- flow across that boundary, but rather has to kind of move around it. But ultimately, we see a very similar footprint.

Now for -- just for reference, the contour intervals that are shown on both of these maps, correspond to about 4 to 5 percent gas saturation. Ultimately, the model -- the simulation, we kicks out 1 percent contour intervals, but then that makes for a quite a messy little diagram to look at, so we did simplify that with displaying these.

In addition to giving us some perspective on where the injection plumes might migrate or what the ultimate impact to the subsurface is, we also want to ensure with these simulations that the operations that we propose can be completed within reasonable surface operating pressures and make sure we have the consistent ability to inject as we propose.

So in these two cross plots, we show -- which are cross plots of pressure injection rate versus time, we see that for both scenarios, fault transmissive and non-transmissive, each case injection can be maintained at 28.8 million standard cubic feet

```
Veritext Legal Solutions
Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691
```

per day all within surface injection pressures, well
 below the proposed maximum allowable operating
 pressure.

4 So in summary, with respect to the reservoir 5 simulations as we -- as modeled, the proposed 6 injection reservoir is -- appears fully capable of receiving the quantities of treated acid gas that is 7 8 being proposed or specifically at a rate of up to 28.8 9 million standard cubic feet per day. This simulation did include a complete 30-year simulation period. 10 11 Ultimately, under conservative conditions where the 12 maximum injection volume is maintained day in and day 13 out for 30 years, ultimately, giving us a good conservative footprint that we can ultimately ensure 14 15 we know which wells these could potentially encounter.

16 In accordance with normal application 17 practices, we both -- we did multiple case studies under various fault flow conditions. And in all 18 19 cases, the proposed activities can be maintained 20 within the allowable operating pressures expected. In 21 general, the plume in both cases, because of a lack of particular restriction from any faults, we see the 22 23 plume extending approximately 1.8 miles from the 24 treatment facility.

25

Moving back to some of the more required

1 supporting materials for a C-108 application, this 2 application and complete copies of the C-108, and as well as a letter notifying the recipient of 3 Northwind's application, were sent via certified mail 4 5 for all interested parties per the normal scheduling 6 The public notice of this hearing was processes. issued by the Commission, as well as instructions on 7 8 how to participate.

9 In general, the AGI Well is supportive to 10 local oil and gas operations as it allows for 11 increased capacity of sour gas resources, ultimately 12 increasing royalties potentially paid to the State of 13 New Mexico. And the proposed design of the well is 14 fully protective of groundwater and the project's 15 protective of correlative rights.

16 Just to recap and summarize some of the 17 application. Northwind specifically requests approval to drill and operate an additional redundant Siluro-18 19 Devonian acid gas injection well as well as they seek 20 approval for an increase in the allowable injection 21 volume for those wells. The wells currently completed 22 and the surface facilities have all been designed such 23 that they can safely accommodate an injection system 24 of this type.

25

All relevant interested parties have been

properly notified, there is no production within the proposed injection zone, within at least two miles of this area. And in our analysis of the low -- the subsurface and greater project area we've confirmed that the well has sufficient caprock both primary through the Woodford Shale, which is extensive in this area, as well as secondary caprock, overlying that.

8 The well design is fully protective of 9 groundwater resources that are utilized in this area, 10 and in addition to that, the total well design, which 11 includes multiple telescoping strings of casing, 12 provides numerous redundant physical barriers of 13 cement and steel casing between any adjacent strata 14 and the injection stream

15 Within the one-mile area of review, we do 16 know there's one plugged and abandoned well that 17 penetrated the injection zone. We discussed that briefly, the South Lea Federal number 1. But we have 18 reviewed the records for that well. Seeing its kind 19 20 of completion and recompletion history and understand 21 that well to be properly plugged in a state -- in an 22 adequate state. Within -- and I'm sure we'll talk about this and we've talked about it already, but 23 24 within two miles and within the plume, there are additional penetrations that have been identified and 25

we have plans to monitor and ensure those wells are
 not risk.

Fault Slip probability, modeling and 3 injection modeling has been completed for the proposed 4 5 activities, ultimately resulting in a determination 6 that the proposed operations are not going to contribute to an elevated risk for induced seismicity. 7 And ultimately, our characterization and our 8 9 expectations about where this plume will go, show that we'll -- we anticipate the plume extending about 1.8 10 11 miles from the Titan facility.

12 So ultimately, in breaking it down, Northwind 13 seeks the Commission's approval to drill, test and 14 operate Titan AGI number 4, as specified in their C-108 application. Which will also include approval of 15 16 an increase to the combined daily injection volume for Siluro-Devonian Wells. The Silurian -- or the 17 18 proposed 28.8 million as I mentioned previously, 19 equates to approximately 13,760 barrels under 20 reservoir conditions. Which is commonly very much 21 lower than what we see in some salt water disposal 22 wells, just for kind of perspective. 23 Northway is requesting permission to operate

24 the well with a maximum allowable operating pressure 25 of 5,811 PSI, which is both determined utilizing OCDs

approved methods and in accordance with the MAOP that was approved for the offsetting Salt Creek AGI number 2. In general, approval of this well will increase overall treating capacity at this area, is preventative of waste and significantly reduces the potential for flaring both at this facility and in the field.

8 And ultimately, prevent any atmospheric 9 release or minimize the atmospheric release potential for any production related CO2. These wells can be 10 11 operated as proposed in accordance with the results of 12 geologic modeling and as well without producing 13 seismicity risk. And ultimately, we'll be fully 14 protective of groundwater and correlative rights. 15 Mr. White, just in summary in your opinion, 0 16 will the granting of this application prevent waste? 17 А Yes. And protect correlative rights? 18 0 19 Yes. А 20 And in your opinion, will it be protective of 0 human health and the environment and sources of fresh 21 22 water? 23 А Yes. 24 Thank you very much, Mr. White. 0 25 MR. RANKIN: At this time, I have no further Page 141

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 questions for Mr. White. It would move the admission 2 of Exhibits A and B, and I can come back at the end -actually, Mr. Chair, I think at this point I may just 3 go ahead and move the admission of all the exhibits 4 5 that we have -- that we've been intending to submit 6 with the -- to the Commission. If that's acceptable, I would move the admission of Exhibits A and B which 7 are the application and the C-108, and then the 8 9 presentation that Mr. White just presented. 10 (NORTHWIND'S Exhibit A and B was marked for 11 identification.) 12 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Exhibit C is a copy of 13 the special warranty deeds that represent Northwind's 14 -- at least part of Northwind's good faith basis for its rights to inject. 15 16 (NORTHWIND'S Exhibit C was marked for 17 identification.) 18 MR. RANKIN: Exhibit D is a copy of the notice letter that went out providing notice of the 19 20 application and hearing today. 21 (NORTHWIND'S Exhibit D was marked for 22 identification.) 23 MR. RANKIN: And then Exhibit E is a copy of the notice of our affidavit and publication reflecting 2.4 25 that we have provided notification of the hearing and Page 142

1 application in the newspaper, identifying each of the 2 parties required to receive notice by name. (NORTHWIND'S Exhibit E was marked for 3 identification.) 4 MR. RANKIN: So those are exhibits D and E as 5 6 well. So I would move the admission of Exhibits A, B, 7 C, D, and E to the record. COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Tremaine? 8 9 MR. TREMAINE: No objection. 10 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: They shall be entered. MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have no 11 12 further questions of the witness and make him 13 available for cross-examination. 14 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Tremaine, do you 15 have cross-examination? 16 MR. TREMAINE: I do have a few questions. 17 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Could we take a break 18 then? 19 MR. TREMAINE: Certainly. 20 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Let's take a 21 10-minute break --22 MR. TREMAINE: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: -- and then we'll be 2.4 back. Thank you. 25 (Off the record.) Page 143

1	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: On to track here. Mr.
2	Tremaine, you were at the cusp of cross-examining Mr.
3	White.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you Mr. Chair,
5	Commission.
б	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DIVISION
7	BY MR. TREMAINE:
8	Q Good afternoon, Mr. White. I just have a few
9	clarifying questions for you. So first, as a general
10	matter, I want to ask if you agree to adopt a position
11	stated earlier that Northwind stipulates to the
12	conditions of release outlined in OCD Exhibit Number 2
13	as amended by the agreement discussed on the record
14	earlier?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. Thank you. I want to ask you a couple
17	clarifying questions about the tag plume expansion.
18	OCD reviewed prior records and there was a reference
19	in Salt Creek AGI number 2 to a plume area of 138
20	acres. That reference we want to reconcile that
21	reference with later plume modeling because it didn't
22	appear to comport with the plume depiction. So I
23	think the best way to do that is to have you explain
24	to us and the Commission, exactly how and how much the
25	plume expansion or the modeling has changed from the

Salt Creek AGI number 2, to inclusion of the Salt
 Creek -- or sorry, the Titan AGI number 4. What was
 it before and how much bigger did it get? Does that
 make sense?

5 А Yeah, absolutely. And as I mentioned 6 previously, the history of this particular facility and acid gas injection is a long one. Going back to 7 8 2018 project development, 2019 application submitted, 9 and so there is a long history of evaluating this site. And at the time, the application that you're 10 11 referencing, was the original Salt Creek AGI number 2 12 application, which was originally filed on -- by Salt Creek Midstream in accordance with the Commission's 13 requirements for the redundant well. 14

15 That original order required an application 16 be submitted within 12 months of approval, and then 17 construction of that well along another commission 18 defined schedule. At that time the history of 19 characterizing acid gas plumes was a little diverse. The reference to 138 acres is based on simply a 20 21 volumetric determination where average anticipated reservoir porosity is utilized to calculate a 22 23 volumetric footprint. Ultimately a scenario in which 24 perfect displacement of water is occurring and is 25 ultimately just a one earlier method in describing

those plumes.

1

2 That being said and recognizing that there are more sophisticated tools for characterizing acid 3 gas plumes, that application, as well as all 4 5 subsequent applications, did include also reservoir 6 characterization and injection simulation modeling via 7 the patrell and eclipse platforms (ph). So while that reference was in there, a complete application section 8 9 also described the additional reservoir modeling and simulation. 10

And with respect to that, I can't recall -- I think Adam may -- Mr. Rankin may have those materials available. But the plume has increased in size from that initial as additional wells are being proposed up to the Titan AGI 4, and ultimately, the combined impacts of Salt Creek AGI number 2 and Titan AGI 4.

17 0 Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I 18 want to move on to kind of reiterate questions about 19 the additional wells. So we've heard today some 20 discussion and some testimony about the four plugged 21 and abandoned wells that are within the model plume. These are -- I want to clarify, these are the wells 22 23 that are referenced on page 38 of Northwind's prehearing statement exhibits, correct? And so I just 2.4 25 want to clarify that in discussion with the parties in

1 the Division that Northwind is going to comply with 2 condition of approval number 13 in placing surface CO2 and H2S monitors at each of those locations, not 3 inclusive of any that may be installed by agreement 4 5 with Desert Ram. 6 Α Yes, that's correct. 7 Okay. Thank you. I have one question on Q 8 So you testified a little while ago about the faults. 9 13 observed faults and subdivided into 24 fault portions. Do you recall that? 10 11 Yes, sir. Α 12 Okay. I'm referring if we need to reference 0 13 to pages 30 and 51 of the exhibits, and also to figure on page 113 that you referenced earlier. The question 14 15 I have is about fault number 9 listed on that sheet. 16 And if I can pull that up -- if I can share, if that's 17 helpful. Pardon. Oh, boy. Okay. 18 А (Inaudible). No, it's the -- sorry. Apologize. 19 0 The 20 banners get in my way. All right. So scrolling down 21 to the bottom here, do you see the fault listed as 22 number 9? 23 Uh-huh. А 24 Okay. That particular fault is the 0 orientation of that fault fail -- favorable for 25 Page 147

failure?

1

A So with respect to the group of faults that are north to south trending, it would be more likely to slip based on the assumption of maximum horizontal stress in a North 75 East orientation.

Q Okay. And what is the extent of the fault?
A The extent -- do you mean the offset or the
8 lateral extent?

9 Q Is it -- what is the length of the fault and 10 is it a closed or open fault?

A So the -- with the -- as shown in the scale here, I mean, the length of that particular fault segment would probably be about a 10th of a mile utilizing the scale on this particular map. And I'm sorry. What was the -- is it an open or closed?

16

Q Is it closed or open?

17 So in many cases, what we -- obviously, we А haven't drilled through it or seen this fault, but in 18 19 many cases where we have these deep faults, you know, these are often locations where oil and gas resources 20 21 are preserved in three-way closures and things like So while we don't have any direct evidence of 22 that. 23 whether this is transmissive or non-transmissive, if 24 it's isolating pressure across it or anything like 25 that, it -- a lot of these deeper faults are often

1 unhealed and non-transmissive. 2 And are you confident that it is as limited 0 3 laterally as is portrayed on the map? In accordance with our evaluation of the 4 А 5 seismic data and the ability to identify it across 6 those seismic volumes. 7 Okay. And apologies if you've already 0 8 answered this, but was that specific fault observed in 9 3D seismic data or gravity maps? The -- these traces are reflective of our 10 А 11 interpretation of the 3D seismic. 12 Okay. And for all of the questions that I 0 13 just asked you about that particular fault, did you -and did you include all -- consideration of all of 14 15 those factors in your assessment? 16 А Yes. 17 Q Okay. Thank you. I'm going to ask you 18 couple -- one question about the Delaware Mounting Group. So being as Salt Creek agent number 3 is 19 20 currently injecting into the Delaware Mountain Group 21 and the Titan number 4 extends through the Delaware 22 Mountain Group zones, is it Northwind's intention that 23 the portion of the subject well will be cemented and encased with H2S and CO2 resistant or otherwise 24 25 corrosive resistant cement and string? Page 149

A Yes. And that's included in your proposed -or the OCDs proposed conditions of approval. We have no objection to those conditions.

Okay. Condition number 11. Thank you. 4 0 I'm 5 going to ask you a question referring back to the Salt Creek AGI number 2. As I think you've outlined in 6 7 some detail during the drilling of Salt Creek AGI 8 number 2 well, there was a loss of circulation of 9 drilling mud and weak cement bonds encountered during cementation during the -- in the DMG Jones and 10 11 Pennsylvania Group including specific other 12 formations. But what is Northwind's strategy for 13 adjusting drilling mud density to avoid overbalanced drilling? 14

15 So with respect to the down hole issues that А 16 were difficult in cementing, are -- we are currently 17 evaluating the log data that (inaudible) sonic data to really get some well specific information about 18 potential breakdowns and see if we need to ultimately 19 20 isolate those problematic intervals with an extension of casing or whatnot. Additionally, we will plan to 21 22 work with cementers to ensure that an appropriate plan 23 is developed for that particular interval, the 24 majority of which was observed in the third 25 intermediate -- or the production casing interval from

the lower Wolfcamp and below.

2 Q Okay. Are you planning to employ managed 3 pressure drilling while drilling through the DMG and 4 Pennsylvanian Group sections?

5

1

A I think we would be receptive to that.

Okay. Thank you. All right. 6 I have a 0 question about Step Rate Test. So following Step Rate 7 8 Test, it's our understanding that Northwind intends to 9 stimulate reserve bound fractures, secondary porosity zones, and dissolve any natural carbonate cement. 10 11 What stimulation surface pressure do you plan to 12 utilize?

A I mean, I think it would be ultimately in
accordance with what the Step Rate Test could -- would
show as being within fracture pressure boundaries.

Q Thank you. One more question. Has Northwind observed any hydrate formation in the subsurface safety valves in Salt Creek AGI number 3 or any other AGI Wells operated by Northwind?

A Not that's observable. I mean, those components were installed and haven't been, you know, removed from the well. But any operating conditions that would be indicative of potential hydrate formation and perforation plugging has not been observed.

1 Okay. And -- okay. So just to clarify. 0 Do 2 you agree that hydrate formation can potentially occur in subsurface valves due to the (inaudible) effect? 3 4 А I haven't observed that happening. 5 0 Has not observed, okay. Thank you. No 6 further questions. 7 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Rankin, did you 8 have anything to redirect? 9 MR. RANKIN: Just a one small series. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE NORTHWIND 10 11 MIDSTREAM PARTNERS 12 BY MR. RANKIN: 13 Mr. White, Mr. Tremaine asked you a couple 0 questions about some of the earlier volumetric 14 15 simplified approaches that you undertook or GLX (ph) 16 undertook to evaluate the plume extent for the AGI 17 number 2. Do you recall those questions? 18 Α Yes, I do. I just want to understand the -- make sure 19 0 20 the record is clear about the timing of when that was last presented to the Commission and what the current 21 22 model for the AGI 2 is that was last presented to the 23 Commission, just so we're clear on the timeline. So 24 the last time that the GLX submitted that simplified 25 volumetric approach, that was part of the analysis --

1 or first pass analysis of the plume extent was -- was that -- that was back in October of 2023 under Case 2 Number 23943 involving the AGI number 2, correct? 3 That's correct. And ultimately as I А 4 5 mentioned, that was just another method in characterizing a plume. And at -- to be clear, at 6 that time in 2023, that characterization and that 7 8 description was no longer utilized. It was included 9 in that resubmittal of that application only because that application had previously been submitted to the 10 11 OCD for technical review by Salt Creek Midstream in 12 2020. So we ultimately, in resubmitting that on 13 behalf of Northwind Midstream at the NMOCD's request, we didn't want to muddy the waters by taking something 14 15 they'd seen, reviewed and start changing things, you 16 know, and ultimately muddy the water. 17 So that -- based on that application back in 0 October, 2023, the Commission remanded that 18 19 application to the Division and that was approved 20 under SWD 2580, correct? 21 Α That's correct. 22 But that -- but Northwind never drilled that 0 well under that approved order and instead submitted a 23 24 -- an application to amend 2580, correct?

A That is correct.

25

1 And in that application to amend 2580, did 0 2 GLX include the simplified volumetric analysis of the plume extent? 3 4 No, that description was not included. А 5 0 Okay. And so in that second application to amend the AGI number 2, only the more detailed, robust 6 7 plume modeling using the most up-to-date geologic data was submitted to the Division, correct? 8 9 Α That's correct. Okay. And then that was submitted to the 10 0 11 Division in June of 2024, correct? 12 Α That's correct. 13 0 And then it was approved by the Division under SWD 2622, correct? 14 15 Α That's correct. 16 Okay. And so the most -- the current ballot 0 17 order that that under which AGI number 2 operates has 18 no reference to -- or reliance on any plume model that 19 references 138 acres or anything on that order, 20 correct? 21 А That's correct. 22 Okay. Just want to make sure the record was Q 23 clear about that. No further questions. Thank you. Can this 2.4 MR. RANKIN: Excellent. 25 witness be excused unless the Commission has

Page 154

1 questions? 2 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Oh, sorry. Forgot. 3 Do you have -- I -- it was a part I was forgetting about, my apologies. All right. Thank you. 4 Commissioners. Sorry, Mr. White. Mr. Commissioner 5 6 Bloom, we'll start with you. 7 MR. BLOOM: We'll let Dr. Ampomah go first 8 here. 9 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. 10 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. Mr. White, 11 thanks so much for being here today. I do have a 12 couple of questions for you, I guess probably you 13 might have anticipated that, but hopefully we'll be quick. So I'm going to go through -- I do have 14 15 questions on some of your slides. So you mentioned 16 that the new well that has been proposed, that is AGI 17 number 4, is going to be a deviated well. Why a 18 deviated well? 19 MR. WHITE: So with Northwind's acquisition of the facility, they -- one of their ultimate goals 20 21 was to better understand the subsurface and make sure 22 prior to constructing any of these that they 23 understood that they had the best chance of a good 24 performing well. And so that ultimately led to their 25 acquisition of 3D seismic data. Which both improved

our characterization of the structure as well as
 allowed us the opportunity to better characterize
 porosity and porosity interconnectivity through
 seismic impedance analysis.

5 That -- the results of that analysis showed 6 very little porosity development directly underlying 7 the facility. So a vertical well was a greater risk to not being able to meet the injection volumes that 8 9 they desired. So Salt Creek AGI number 2, we 10 submitted that amendment application that Mr. Rankin 11 referenced to change from a vertical well to a deviated well. And the subsequent development of the 12 13 Titan AGI 4 application also proposed a deviated well 14 for the same anticipated problems of low porosity in a vertical well. However, another porosity anomaly to 15 the northwest was targeted ultimately to reduce any 16 17 potential interference between the two wells and 18 separate the points of injection.

19 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: So is the -- let's say 20 the bottom hole location, is it still on Northwind 21 facility or land?

MR. WHITE: Yes.

22

COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. Then why did -why you -- why was it not -- like, why was the well not proposed to be drilled at that location?

Page 156

1	MR. WHITE: At a as a vertical well?
2	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes.
3	MR. WHITE: Ultimately, because the design of
4	the Titan facility now, Northwind's facility, does
5	centralize acid gas injection and compression and
6	process units there. So we could that could be a
7	valid move, but it's it tips the scales a little
8	bit. Then you have to transmit treated acid gas at
9	the surface where personnel are and poses a little bit
10	more environmental risk.
11	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Oh, so that is mostly
12	to protect the environment and also the health of the
13	people
14	MR. WHITE: Correct.
15	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: that are working
16	okay. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER BROOM: Okay. So Mr. Tremaine
18	tried to discuss with you a little bit about some of
19	the lessons learned
20	MR. WHITE: Uh-huh.
21	COMMISSIONER BROOM: you know, with
22	regards to how are you going to be able to drill
23	through DMG Group that already do have, let's say,
24	acid gas already in there. Do you have based on
25	your responses back and forth, it sounds to me that
	Page 157

1 there's not more like a written documentation as to 2 the process as to how Northwind is going to -- the measures that you're going to take to make sure that 3 4 this world is not junk. Is there a documentation that 5 really lays that out? 6 MR. WHITE: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 7 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Is the documentation that lays out the procedure to be able to incorporate 8 9 all the lessons learned from the previous well to make 10 sure --11 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- that this well is not 12 13 junked? 14 MR. WHITE: So, so there's not any 15 documentation at the moment. In planning the drilling 16 activities for a Titan AGI 4, drilling plans will be 17 developed that are -- the vehicle for submitting those 18 to the agency would be the C-102, C-10 - C-101 application for permit to drill. So that will be a 19 20 document of how we plan to address those potential 21 hazard zones. 22 And as I mentioned, if we will be continuing 23 to review the recently collected log data from AGI number 2 to see if we can better suss out exactly 2.4 25 where those intervals of greater risk are. And if we

1 need to coordinate any slight change in casing setting 2 depths or anything that -- to be able to better account for that, then we would coordinate that with 3 the agencies and make sure that an appropriate plan is 4 5 determined. 6 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Is it your testimony 7 that analyst pressure is going to be utilized or considered? 8 9 MR. WHITE: In the form of MPD? 10 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Uh-huh. 11 MR. WHITE: I mean, I think I may not be the 12 one drilling the well. So I think my testimony as to what will be utilized, you know, I may not have the 13 14 ability to say, "Yes, we will utilize that." 15 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So would that be part of 16 the drilling prognosis that will be discussed with 17 NMOCD? Because there has to be a plan to make sure 18 that, you know, we are protecting the environment --19 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. 20 COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- the people that are 21 also drilling. And from the previous experience, I 22 mean, this could be very dangerous --23 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- right? So I want to 2.4 25 see that Northwind is committing, you know, to more or Page 159

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1 less document the approach that they are going to 2 utilize to make sure they minimize the risk and that 3 needs to be more or less discussed with the Division 4 for their approval. You know, I want to see something 5 like that.

6 MR. WHITE: Yeah. And my thoughts and my 7 testimony would be in my interactions with Northwind, 8 my suspicion is they probably would not have any 9 issues making that commitment and that planning and 10 drilling this next well. However, like I said, I may 11 not be the one involved with it, so.

12 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Okay. And then you 13 talked about the maximum allowable operating pressure, 14 just based on the information that is available today 15 and after the Step Rate Test, that number is going to 16 be more or less updated if necessary. Is that your 17 testimony?

MR. WHITE: Yeah, if necessary. Obviously, if we see the results of injection testing that make that proposed pressure not a feasible or realistic, then yes. And that's -- I think the -- that language and that expectation is also included in NMOCDs conditions of approval and guidance.

24 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So AGI number 2 and AGI 25 number 4, which one is the main well and which one

1	would be a redundant well or they are all main wells?
2	MR. WHITE: Well, so I think between AGI 2
3	and number 4, they're deep Siluro-Devonian wells, both
4	targeting similar porosity anomalies. So I think
5	ideally, I think they're run at the same time in order
6	to maintain their operational readiness. But I think
7	based on our results simulation and hopefully
8	supported by operating press operating conditions,
9	that either one of them could be the redundant.
10	COMMISSIONER BROOM: Okay. So what is the
11	distance between AGI number 2 and AGI number 4,
12	possibly on the bottom holes?
13	MR. WHITE: From the two bottom holes?
14	COMMISSIONER BROOM: Yeah. From the yeah.
15	MR. WHITE: This is purely from memory, but
16	the AGI number 2 was deviated approximately 1,200 feet
17	to the southeast. A similar deviation is anticipated,
18	I think it might be a little longer for the Titan AGI
19	4. So you're probably looking at, you know, 20 or
20	somewhere just shy of 3,000 feet.
21	COMMISSIONER BROOM: Oh, 3000 feet between
22	them?
23	MR. WHITE: Between the two. Or maybe a
24	little actually a little more because their surface
25	locations are separated as well, so greater than 3,000
	Page 161

1 feet. It's probably very comparable to the separation 2 distance between the independence AGI wells to the 3 north.

4 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So the reason why -- I'm 5 sure probably you know where I'm going with the 6 distance between them. So when those locations were 7 identified, was there discussion whether to more or less utilize these well separately or one is going to 8 9 be dependent or more or less one is going to be redundant? 10

11 MR. WHITE: At this time, I don't think any of those discussions have been had. I think it would 12 13 be, you know, ultimately based on the reservoir 14 characteristics and their ability to receive gas rather than having something preliminary as one versus 15 16 the other.

17 Yeah. So let's say --COMMISSIONER BROOM: and if you can specify in your application 18 19 specifically on the summary side -- specifically the 20 distance between them, I'm more concern about the 21 pressure interference, you know, in your simulation 22 models, you didn't show any pressure between the two wells --23 24

MR. WHITE: Uh-huh.

25

COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- from the two, let's

Page 162

say, scenarios that you provided. So I don't know how 1 2 that pressure is evolving, you only showed bottom low pressures, which gets to about, let's say, 9,000. But 3 if you do the calculation for what the fracture 4 5 pressure will be, you're probably around like, let's 6 say, 11,000 PSI, but I didn't see any pressure maps to 7 show the evolution of the pressure compared to, let's say, how you showed the CO2 or the tag gas. 8

9 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. Yeah. And those bottom 10 holes are reflective of, you know, point of injection. 11 You know, just like, you know, where we might expect 12 those pressures to be the highest at the end of the 13 30-year simulation.

14 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Let me run quickly to the simulation side, so I can at least check and go 15 16 home soon. You know, and you also showed the pressure 17 conditions using the resistivity logs and then also the sonic log. On your slide 32, you know, I really 18 19 do like that pressure profile -- pressure profiles 20 based on the logs. But my question is, which log was 21 that? Which log did you use for that?

22 MR. WHITE: Mr. Rankin, could you get me the 23 slide he's referencing? 24 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Slide 32.

25 MR. WHITE: So this -- these logs are -- this

Page 163

1 is an excerpt from that Rittenhouse publication where 2 he's summarizing pore-pressure and over-pressured conditions based on his analysis of those data. So 3 this is more generally representative of the Eastern 4 5 Delaware Basin. 6 COMMISSIONER BROOM: But not your, let's say, 7 information from the AGI number 2, or was it 3? Well, yeah. But AGI 2 at this 8 MR. WHITE: 9 time, the application was put together, was not 10 drilled. 11 COMMISSIONER BROOM: I got you. I got you. 12 MR. WHITE: We just recently drilled it. 13 COMMISSIONER BROOM: I got you. I got you. 14 MR. WHITE: Yeah. 15 COMMISSIONER BROOM: I got you. 16 MR. WHITE: But yes. And not part of this 17 application, so it would be something else brought in 18 in the 11th hour, but we did just file the reservoir evaluation and some of the final documents for that 19 20 well, that do have the preliminary fracture gradient 21 analysis and things like that. 22 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Okay. I got you. Now 23 on your slide number 37, you showed the averages of the porosity permeability that were utilized on the 2.4 eight layers. Is this a heterogeneous model or 25

1	homogeneous model?
2	MR. WHITE: With respect to I mean, it's
3	not homogeneous. I mean, it is the these are the
4	average values for those layers, but that is
5	heterogeneous in that it is guided by the impedance
6	attributes
7	COMMISSIONER BROOM: On slide 38, quick one.
8	So which formation are you representing here?
9	MR. WHITE: This is the model total model.
10	COMMISSIONER BROOM: It includes all the
11	injection zones?
12	MR. WHITE: That's correct.
13	COMMISSIONER BROOM: And which of these
14	target zones was taking most of the fluid most of
15	the tack?
16	MR. WHITE: The fussman.
17	COMMISSIONER BROOM: The fussman. Now on
18	slide 40, so you showed the two scenarios that you run
19	transmissive and non-transmissive faults. Now so
20	Mr. Tremaine asks about the faults that were shown,
21	whether these are transmissive or not. You know, you
22	present this scenario to more or less show either case
23	scenario, it either be the worst case scenario or more
24	promising scenario. But, you know, I know that
25	geologists do have another way to calculate the
	Page 165

1 transmissibility. So why did you not use that, 2 especially if you have wells within that area? Ultimately, it's in accordance 3 MR. WHITE: with the kind of end member conditions that are 4 conservative that these applications are commonly 5 presenting. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So you don't believe that we have to use more robust approach, like, let's 8 9 say using the shield guard ratio (ph), the shield stavic models (ph) to be able to get a more 10 11 representative for transmissibility? 12 MR. WHITE: No, I'm not saying I don't -- I'm 13 not on board with that. But it's ultimately, the 14 question of where do you want to be able to have --15 get to both end members versus are you trying to 16 history match and get to, you know, replicate the 17 exact, right? 18 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So let's use one of your 19 maps case -- so probably slide 41. You know, if we 20 could have superimposed the thoughts on this, you 21 know, could have been really great. Because your case 22 1 and case 2, you are predicting almost the same thing 23 \_ \_ 2.4 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. 25 COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- and I just want to Page 166

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

see that superimposed on the fault, so I can more or less visualize and be able to more or less ask more in-depth questions on that. But it's okay. How is this map that you're showing us, how is it going to change post injection?

MR. WHITE: So this would be speculative, but 6 7 I mean, I would think, you know, in terms of acid gas injecting into saline fluids, there will be a buoyancy 8 9 components. So structure will likely exert some 10 control on what this does. In this area where we do 11 see the injections are dipping to the southwest, we 12 might expect that as it kind of reaches a 13 stabilization point, that that does move slightly 14 towards the east -- northeast. But ultimately, I mean, that's just kind of speculating, you know, based 15 16 on the geometries of the reservoir. 17 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So is there no requirement with regards to post-injection monitoring 18 for AGI wells? 19 20 MR. WHITE: Not under the UIC program in 21 terms of --

22 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So once the injection is 23 done, no monitoring will take place after that? 24 MR. WHITE: I mean, I think it's in -- that's 25 going to be in accordance with the plugging and

Page 167

1 abandonment procedures when these things are ready.
2 Which I'm -- when ready to be brought offline. I
3 think realistically, during those activities and
4 appropriate monitoring plan will be in place for these
5 types of wells.

6 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Okay. I think probably 7 that would be a question for OCD, since you said it's not really a requirement. And then I like the 8 9 simulation that was done, but I read through the 10 document. So I do know that you initialized the model 11 with 0.433 PSI per foot as a normal pressure for the 12 reservoir pressure, right? But aside that, and then 13 also the policy permeability, you don't have any information with regards to the initial --14 initialization, how you initialize the model? 15 I did 16 not see any relative firm in your -- let's say, in 17 anywhere in your document, I didn't see any of that. 18 Okay. I mean, those materials MR. WHITE: 19 are available, just not included in the package of C-20 108 application and these presentation materials. Then let me ask you, 21 COMMISSIONER BROOM: 22 what was the residual water saturation? 23 MR. WHITE: I mean, I don't have those values handy at the moment. I think we could probably find 2.4 25 those, but it would be speculative for me to tell you

1 what the residual water saturation is. 2 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So on your slide 43, like I said earlier on, you showed the injection 3 profile, you showed the BHP, that is the bottom hole 4 5 pressure, you've shown the surface pressure, and then also you've shown the maximum allowable pressure. 6 But what I do not see here is the actual reservoir 7 8 pressure. 9 MR. WHITE: (Crosstalk). COMMISSIONER BROOM: So can you -- yeah. 10 Can 11 you superimpose the actual reservoir pressure on this 12 particular plan? 13 MR. WHITE: I mean, at what point? I mean, 14 we can provide ultimately the outputs of the model. I 15 mean, we can provide mapped reservoir pressure, but I 16 mean, where would be the appropriate place to report 17 reservoir pressure, upgradient (ph)? 18 COMMISSIONER BROOM: No, no, no. I mean, you 19 run Eccles (ph), Eccles can show you what is the 20 average of reservoir pressure. 21 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. 22 COMMISSIONER BROOM: That would be the 23 pressure for the entire model. 2.4 MR. WHITE: Okay. 25 Because, you know, as I COMMISSIONER BROOM: Page 169

1	did the calculation, your fracture pressure, and I
2	let me ask. What was the fracture pressure though?
3	What was the fracture pressure?
4	MR. WHITE: (Crosstalk).
5	COMMISSIONER BROOM: Yeah.
6	MR. WHITE: So in Salt Creek AGI number 2
7	injections testing, which went up to 10 barrels per
8	minute, we did not identify a clear fracture pressure.
9	And utilizing we didn't reach it in terms of those
10	data. And utilizing the dipole sonic, well, we could
11	show those curves or those curves are available in
12	the final reservoir evaluation. But if I'm recalling
13	correctly, for average fracture pressures in the
14	Devonian basin or Fussman, they were upwards of 0.65
15	PSI per foot. There was a minimal point observed
16	potentially in the top of the Devonian that went down
17	to 0.59 according to that fracture gradient analysis.
18	But for this particular well, that ended up behind
19	casing.
20	COMMISSIONER BROOM: Yeah. Then if we did
21	not reach the fracture pressure, what was the purpose
22	of the step test in the first place?
23	MR. WHITE: Well ultimately, I mean, the
24	purpose would be to see if we can flow volumes that we
25	think that the well is being designed for through
	Page 170

1 the well under what we have approved as a maximum 2 allowable operating pressure. COMMISSIONER BROOM: So then was it more like 3 -- you utilize that as more like as an injection test? 4 5 More than a Step Rate Test? MR. WHITE: Well, I mean, ultimately, we'd 6 7 like to do both --COMMISSIONER BROOM: Uh-huh. 8 9 MR. WHITE: -- but eventually, you know, within those limits of testing tubing, you know, we're 10 11 -- we end up getting a lot of friction for another 12 rate in the Step Rate Test when we start reaching 9, 10, 11 barrels per minute. And so ultimately, the 13 14 objective of the test would be to try and identify 15 exactly what that fracture pressure is, but it doesn't 16 always happen. 17 COMMISSIONER BROOM: You know, definitely, in the future when you are presenting this, definitely I 18 19 want to see what you are showing here, unless maybe 20 you labeled the pressure as a BHP, probably -- that 21 was a BHP at that particular well --22 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. 23 COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- that, let's say -but which of these wells are you showing for case 1 2.4 25 and case 2 though?

1 MR. WHITE: So this would be Titan, the well 2 (crosstalk) --COMMISSIONER BROOM: And that (crosstalk). 3 MR. WHITE: -- subject of today. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Okay. So definitely, a reservoir pressure, you know, if you go to your table, 6 7 you looked at -- you showed -- now on this particular model, did you also include any additional wells in 8 9 the area? 10 MR. WHITE: So we did not for this ultimately 11 because the nearest wells are AGI wells about seven 12 miles away. The one SWD well that was included in 13 Fault Slip probability simulations is even 14 significantly farther. So ultimately, we don't expect 15 to have much impact on dispersion directions and -- or 16 anything like that. 17 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So is it your testimony that after reviewing all the pressure profiles, the 18 19 pressure at the bottom hole -- the average of 20 reservoir pressure at the bottom hole did not exceed 21 the 0.65 PSI per (inaudible)? 22 MR. WHITE: That's correct. 23 COMMISSIONER BROOM: But it would be great if 2.4 you can show some evidence of that, you know, to, 25 let's say, the Commission. Like I said, I do Page 172

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1 appreciate the simulation that was done, but 2 certainly, giving more information about how the model 3 was set up, you know, help us to be able to fully 4 understand. But Chair, I yield to you. I don't want 5 to continue. I'm good.

6 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Thank you,7 Commissioner Broom.

MR. CHANDLER: Thank you very much for your 8 9 testimony today and your time in the chair there. 10 Just a couple quick questions. Looking at page 110 in 11 the presentation, you mentioned the South Lea Federal number 1, noting that it's about 1.1 miles from 12 13 proposed AGI well. It's the only one that goes down 14 to that same depth into the Siluro-Devonian, it was 15 plugged in 2015. You know, in many ways, and I think 16 this has been mentioned here before, that AGI is also 17 function as a carbon capture. And we've had some carbon capture legislation recently in the state, and 18 19 I know there's been at least one place in the U.S. 20 where there was communication between carbon capture 21 well and some water wells.

22 Clearly the water wells here are, you know, I
23 don't know, 16,000 feet higher or something like that.
24 But how do we know that -- I mean, I guess two
25 questions. One, do we anticipate, and I think we

Page 173

1 would, that the plume will reach the South Lea Federal 2 number 1? And then how do we know that the plugs are 3 sufficient to be protective?

MR. WHITE: Well, I think we ultimately want 4 5 to review those well documents to see what exactly was in place there. I don't think you're going to find a 6 7 lot of instances where a well is plugged with some 8 very modern acid resistance cement that's going to 9 make you very comfortable with it. But we ultimately 10 want to identify which wells are potentially in the 11 path of the plume and make sure we have a good 12 understanding of what's been put in there.

13 So we look for -- in evaluating those well 14 documents, we look to see what the production history 15 of the well was, what was done after that. In this 16 particular well, we know that it was perforated in the 17 Devonian, that those perforations were squeezed and that that interval was isolated via cement plugs in a 18 19 cast iron bridge plug, pretty much directly overlying 20 the Devonian. Where it was then recompleted to the Mississippian section, stranatocamaro, I think it was 21 22 the Toka and ultimately served its operational life 23 there.

From that point, we know that multiple plugs were placed in that well as it was fully completed.

1 So at least from that perspective, we know that, you 2 know, this didn't just get one, what plug in a cast 3 iron bridge plug and then it's put to bed. We know that the level of plugging was substantial. Outside 4 5 of that, I think identifying it as a potential well 6 with risk to encountering the plume, having that 7 understanding upfront and knowing it is something that 8 needs -- you know, we need to keep an eye on or is 9 potentially in that path, is also what we do.

And then most recently, you know, this particular well, as we've kind of discussed here and there throughout today, we'll have surface monitoring as well that kind of help to close the loop on that. This will be one of the four penetrating wells that is referenced by the Division's conditions regarding monitoring of that well site.

MR. CHANDLER: How -- and so this -- the South Lea Federal number 1, that will be part of the monitoring program?

20 MR. WHITE: Yes.

21

MR. CHANDLER: Okay.

22 MR. WHITE: The four wells included in it. 23 MR. CHANDLER: Okay. And can you say a 24 little bit more about what that monitoring program 25 looks like with respect to this well?

1 MR. WHITE: So the monitoring program, and 2 this is something that the Division has been helping 3 with lately, with respect to AGI wells, but it ultimately involves around a plugged well installing 4 5 soiled CO2 flux monitors. And those monitors are positioned around the wells, ultimately because 6 they're the direct conduit, should those cement plugs 7 8 fail to the zone that's being utilized for acid gas. 9 And so ultimately, if there is issues with those wells 10 from an integrity standpoint where they are 11 transmitting, pass acid gas to the surface, then it 12 would be detectable in those types of monitoring 13 programs or identifiable. MR. CHANDLER: So is it the hydrogen sulfide 14 15 that would trigger an alarm and then there's a 16 shutdown or how does that work? 17 MR. WHITE: So in this case, the monitoring that is proposed is -- would -- or those soil monitors 18 19 would be carbon dioxide. Those hydrogen sulfide 20 detectors are commonly utilized at gas facilities and 21 could also be a component of that monitoring system. 22 MR. CHANDLER: Okay. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: And that just leaves 24 I -- Commissioner Bloom actually kind of hit the me. questions that I had regarding the Lea Federal, so 25 Page 176

1 thank you for that also, and thank you for your 2 testimony today. Mr. Rankin, do you mind bringing up, I believe it was slide 40 and 41 or 41 and 42, which 3 were the plume models? Nope, that's okay. 4 Ι 5 apologize. I may have the wrong number. Perfect. So between these two case simulation results, 6 7 on case number 1, you stated that the approximate predicted plume would be about 1.8 miles from the 8 9 Titan treating a facility --10 MR. WHITE: Uh-huh. 11 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: -- and I understood 12 In number 2, the part I didn't quite catch that one. in the testimony is that case number 2 also 1.8 miles 13 from the treating facility as a plume? 14 15 MR. WHITE: Yeah, ultimately. And, you know, 16 measuring it more precisely, it may differ, it may not 17 be exact. But ultimately, there -- while there are 18 faults in the area, they're not, you know, 19 significantly continuous big walls that are going to 20 significantly deflect which way the plume is going. 21 So there are faults in the area, but none of them are 22 particularly restricted or restrictive of flow at the location of the well. 23 So we see the morphology or the shape of the 2.4 25 footprint of the plume looking very similar between

1 the two of them. Where it does encounter with what 2 Mr. Rankin has up showing right now, where this simulation, which is under faults being non 3 transmissive to fluids, we do see some deflection of 4 5 the plume a little bit --COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Uh-huh. 6 7 MR. WHITE: -- where it does get in in proximity to those faults. But in general, the 8 9 immediate area doesn't really have any big walls that would prevent, you know, normal kind of displacement 10 11 of those gases. 12 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you. 13 That's the only question I had. COMMISSIONER BROOM: Mr. Chair? 14 15 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Yes, Commissioner? 16 COMMISSIONER BROOM: I do have one. So 17 looking at this and then also the faults, can you tell 18 us, you know, how you set the fault in your model? 19 What was the vertical displacement of your fault in 20 your model? 21 MR. WHITE: So you can see, if you were to go 22 back -- Mr. Rankin, if you could go back to the 3D 23 render of the model. So the top layer is essentially informed by -- oh, can you keep going back? 2.4 So there 25 was one slide, I don't know what figure it is, but it Page 178

1 shows a screen capture of the model -- the 3D -- yeah. 2 So you can see how the seismic data you can see kind of faults in the area and how those seismic surfaces 3 are character -- showing those faults. 4 5 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Well, so what is the top layer though, the one blue? What is that? 6 7 MR. WHITE: So that would be the zone one of the model. So from the structural grid, the model was 8 9 just built down evenly based on those thicknesses. 10 COMMISSIONER BROOM: So the Devonian -- the 11 Woodford is now shown here. 12 MR. WHITE: Yeah. This shows the top -- this is an -- render showing the top of the Siluro-13 Devonian. So the Woodford Devonian interface. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BROOM: But I remember Mr. 16 Tremaine asked you about the lateral -- or let's say 17 the extent of the fault you -- and even in your testimony you're saying that the fault does not go 18 19 through the Devonian -- the Woodford, right? You said 20 it doesn't go to the top rock. So where does it get 21 to? 22 MR. WHITE: No, I said it doesn't displace 23 It's not offsetting strata greater than the it. thickness of the Woodford. So it's not --2.4 25 COMMISSIONER BROOM: I thought I heard that -Page 179

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1 - no even I read that -- and I don't want to delay, but essentially, based on the exchange between you and 2 Mr. Tremaine, it was more like the fault doesn't go 3 through the Devonian -- the Woodford. That was my 4 5 understanding. Because if you look at this, and then you're saying that that is the top of the Devonian, 6 7 then right there you can see the displacement right 8 So there's a high possibility that some of the there. 9 faults are cutting through the Woodford.

MR. WHITE: Yeah. And that's kind of 10 11 characteristic of many of these deep faults, often go 12 through the Woodford and die out in the Mississippian 13 or something like that. But what -- what's critical 14 is -- or one thing that we want to make sure of is 15 that the throw on that fault doesn't exceed the 16 thickness of the Woodford, so that over the project 17 area you're not in replacing, you know, porous 18 Devonian strata, you know, next to your -- offsetting 19 your caprock.

20 COMMISSIONER BROOM: But you didn't show any testimony to show us, in terms of vertical sense, 21 22 where there's the plume -- I think you had one plot that was showing the extent vertically of the plume. 23 24 Would that be correct? I think you had --25

MR. WHITE: Yeah. There's cross-section of

1 the (crosstalk).

2 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Yeah. There's crosssection. So let's go to one of the cross-sections. 3 So definitely, you'll see the CO2, right? Assuming 4 5 that is the top of the Devonian or which one? MR. WHITE: (Crosstalk) zone. Uh-huh. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BROOM: No, on the right. So the top will be what? 8 9 MR. WHITE: The top of the injection zone 10 (crosstalk). 11 COMMISSIONER BROOM: But you see right at the 12 top, so I wanted to see if you can superimpose the 13 Woodford right on there so we can see whether there is 14 any vertical migration of your plume into the Woodford 15 and at what point does it stop? Is it going above the 16 Woodford? 17 MR. WHITE: Well, I think for this particular 18 model, that wasn't incorporated into it. We -- you know, the Woodford itself is a, you know, well 19 20 demonstrated in terms of confinement. But this 21 particular model did not include the overlying 22 Woodford. 23 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Then you are making -so then that is where you're confusing me. Because 2.4 25 your model, you just built it right from the Devonian Page 181

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1 without any caprock on top of it. And then we say 2 that there is a fault that can probably penetrate through the Woodford, and we want to know whether 3 that's -- that tag is going to be contained or not. 4 5 But this model is not really showing. That right at 6 the top, you'll see that right at the Woodford 7 interface, assuming the Woodford is there, you just 8 build a model based on the injection zone without any 9 caprock in there. So you are not showing us the extent of the vertical migration of your plume. 10 So 11 how does this model help us with containment? 12 MR. WHITE: Well, I think the primary

objective of the model being to understand tag -- or tag displacement within the injection zone, I think it's not -- it -- in this form, it doesn't consider variabilities in the caprock, but rather relies on the demonstrated nature of -- or the demonstrated performance of the Woodford in the Permian.

19COMMISSIONER BROOM:So in this area, is20there any activity in the Woodford that you know of?21MR. WHITE:In terms of production?22COMMISSIONER BROOM:Yeah.23MR. WHITE:No.24COMMISSIONER BROOM:No.

24COMMISSIONER BROOM:No. But no horizontal25wells --

MR. WHITE: No.

1

2 COMMISSIONER BROOM: -- in this area? Well, 3 I don't know how an NMOCD looked at this, but I mean, 4 if you look at right on top, and it's good that the Chair brought it up, you see right top here you have 5 6 the tag saturation, and we do have faults that can 7 penetrate through that. And we are not seeing how 8 those faults in the -- on the Woodford, how is shaping 9 up the plume. So I don't know how this model shows us 10 the containment of the tag. 11 Especially right on top, you'll see that it 12 is of higher saturation, you know, above 30 percent, 13 so I'm not sure how this model helps us with 14 containment. But let me say that in subsequent 15 updates, definitely you need to add a caprock to it 16 and show us, you know, the faults, how the plume of 17 the tag, you know, is responding to that of the faults. 18 19 MR. WHITE: Yeah. 20 COMMISSIONER BROOM: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. It's been a long one. I have no other questions, I think from the 22 23 Commission for Mr. White. Can Mr. White be excused 24 now? 25 MR. RANKIN: No questions for me. Page 183

1	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay.					
2	MR. TREMAINE: Yes.					
3	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Mr. White,					
4	thank you. Appreciate it. Let's take a five-minute					
5	break and then we'll get with the OCD. We'll be back					
6	at 3:51.					
7	(Off the record.)					
8	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. We'll get back					
9	on the record. Mr. Rankin, were there any other					
10	witnesses that you had for today?					
11	MR. RANKIN: We had no other witnesses Mr.					
12	Chair. So we close our case and I'll allow for other					
13	parties to present their cases.					
14	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Thank you.					
15	Mr. Tremaine?					
16	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The					
17	Division would call Mr. Million Gebremichael.					
18	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Mr.					
19	Gebremichael, if you'll come up, please.					
20	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes, sir.					
21	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: You can take your					
22	(inaudible). Please don't forget to turn on the					
23	microphone. Excellent. And Mr. Chandler will swear					
24	you in.					
25	MR. CHANDLER: Please raise your right hand.					
	Page 184					

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1	WHEREUPON,
2	MILLION GEBREMICHAEL,
3	called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	MR. CHANDLER: Can you state your name and
7	spell your name?
8	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: My name is Million
9	Gebremichael. Spelled M-I-L-L-I-O-N. And last name
10	is G-E-B-R-E-M-I-C-H-A-E-L.
11	MR. CHANDLER: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Germaine, he's
13	been sworn in.
14	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
15	Commissioners.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DIVISION
17	BY MR. TREMAINE:
18	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Gebremichael. Mr.
19	Gebremichael, where do you work and what is your
20	position?
21	A I work for the Energy Minerals and the
22	Natural Resource Department, as part of the group
23	responsible oversight for the Underground Ejection
24	Control Program for Oil and Gas Conservation Division.
25	Q And did you prepare a curriculum vitae in
	Page 185

-						
1	preparation for this hearing?					
2	A Yes, I did.					
3	Q Is that OCD Exhibit Number 3?					
4	A Yes, sir.					
5	Q Have you testified before the Commission					
6	before?					
7	A Yes, I did.					
8	Q And have you been tendered and as and					
9	admitted as an expert in the areas of petroleum					
10	engineering previously by the Commission?					
11	A Yes.					
12	MR. TREMAINE: If there's no objection, I					
13	would move admission of OCD Exhibit Number 3 and					
14	tender Mr. Gebremichael as an expert in the area of					
15	petroleum engineering.					
16	MR. RANKIN: No objection.					
17	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. It now it					
18	shall be entered.					
19	(OCD'S Exhibit 3 was marked for					
20	identification.)					
21	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Gebremichael, can					
22	we just have your microphone just a little lower so					
23	it'll be closer to your mouth? Thank you.					
24	BY MR. TREMAINE:					
25	Q Mr. Gebremichael, have you reviewed the					
	Page 186					

application and submission by Northwind related to the
 Titan AGI number 4 application?

A Yes.

3

4 Q And what is your summary opinion of the 5 application?

6 Α The Northwind Midstream Partners application 7 to the injection -- the tag into the Siluro-Devonian 8 formation through Titan Number 4, is designed to 9 ensure safe and effective injection. The acquisition of well -- tailored 3D seismic data for the injection 10 11 project area, addresses concern regarding the presence 12 of faults that would be impacted by the injection 13 pressure and then lead to the induced seismicity.

Additionally, Northwind's proposed use of managed pressure drilling will significantly reduce the likelihood of the weather under balance or over balance conditions while drilling. Constructing the well with premium H2S, CO2 resistant cement and strings will ensure that the well will inject safe in an efficient manner.

21 Q Mr. Gebremichael, were there -- did OCD have 22 any concerns with the application and the proposed 23 location and depth of the proposed AGI well the Titan 24 number 4?

25

A Yes. Part of our concern was addressed

during the testimony, but the plume model constructed by Northwind for Salt Creek AGI number 2, covers an area of 138 acres, while the resultant plume expansion for both Salty Creek AGI number 2 and the Titan AGI number 4, is estimated to be 5.22 square miles, which is e equivalent to 3,328 acres.

7 The 24-fold expansion of the plume upon 8 adding the new subject well requires a detailed 9 explanation, but I am afraid it was explained adequately. Furthermore, there are four plaqued wells 10 11 that penetrate the Devonian or the injection zone of 12 the subject well. These wells are located between 1 13 to 2 miles from the bottom hole subject well were constructed and abandoned using non H2S and then non 14 15 CO2 resistant strings and cement. So OCD believes 16 that the tag eventually would migrate through those 17 So as a condition of approval, OCD will wells. require Northwind to regularly monitor those wells for 18 19 any presence of tag plume at the surface.

Also, the OCD would like them to integrate those into their H2S contingency plan. Both the Northwind, also the owners of the abandoned wells, it has to be integrated in their H2S contingency plan. Q Thank you. Mr. Gebremichael, did you prepare OCD Exhibit Number 1, a summary of USC Class II acid

Page 188

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 gas injection wells?

1 2

A Yes, I did.

3 Q And what was the purpose of preparing OCD's 4 Exhibit Number 1?

5 Α Well, the purpose is it reflects -- it 6 mirrors what the Northwind expert explained, the timeline between the wells to avoid any confusion with 7 the sequence of numerical numbers, AGI 1, AGI 2, AGI 8 9 3. Basically, AGI 3 is AGI -- the abandoned AGI number 1 once got abandoned. So they had to drill AGI 10 11 number 3, 120 feet away from the abandoned well, so 12 they have to change the name to AGI number 3.

13 So the reason we prepared that timeline is to clarify to the Commission, which well is plugged and 14 15 abandoned, which is AGI number 1, and then which well 16 is actively injecting, which is AGI number 3, which is 17 the Delaware Mountain Group 1, right. Which is the 18 shallowest one. And then AGI number 2, just got -- it went through the MIT and then it just got completed. 19 20 And then AGI Titan number 4, is the subject we're 21 discussing, and then the authority to inject hasn't 22 been granted yet. So the whole purpose is to clarify 23 to the Commission what those sequence numbers are. Mr. Gebremichael, you heard the testimony 24 0

25

earlier today of Mr. David White. Is there any part

of his testimony or explanation that needs to be clarified or -- in terms of what you just explained or any other content on that slide that you need to bring to the attention of the Commission?

5 Α Yeah. There are quite a few of them. For 6 instance, the one that was requested by the Commission Member, Dr. Ampomah, is the nature of the fault number 7 8 9 that we discussed. We -- OCD would like to know the 9 full nature of that fault in terms of its extent, in 10 terms of its orientation, to address the question, 11 whether it cuts through the Woodford or not. We 12 really need to know a full detail nature of that fault. 13

Okay. Thank you for that, Mr. Gebremichael. 14 0 15 I think I asked a poorly constructed question. In 16 terms of the timeline and understanding of the process 17 of the permitting of these wells, the content of OCD Exhibit Number 1, do you have any discrepancies 18 19 between your understanding and what was presented 20 earlier?

- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Do we need to go over that?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A Sorry.

1	Q Thank you. Did you also prepare for this
2	exhibit OCD or for this hearing OCD Exhibit Number
3	2?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And what is the content of OCD Exhibit Number
6	2?
7	A Well, the content number 2 is the condition
8	of approval for AGI wells. The Commission is aware of
9	our regular condition of approvals for AGI wells.
10	Except for this subject well, we added three new
11	conditions, which are condition number 3. Condition
12	number 3 is about the assignment of the maximum
13	surface injection pressure will be determined after
14	the operator conducts a Step Rate Test. And then we
15	also going to subtract at 10 percent of safety factor
16	as depicted in the Step Rate Test graph pressure
17	versus rate.
18	So the other also we added is also the
19	injection rate will be depending on the outcome of the
20	Step Rate pressure. So if you go to the graph, once
21	you locate the pressure, the corresponding rate is
22	going to be the maximum injection rate. If you would
23	like me to clarify this. What I meant is the operator
24	is requesting a 28.8 million per day, right? However,
25	it will be determined based on the Step Rate Test.

1 Once you find your fracture gradient determine the 2 maximum pressure, the corresponding rate is going to be the rate. 3 So, Mr. Gebremichael, let me clarify for a 4 0 5 second. So the Exhibit Number 2, in general, are conditions of approval that OCD has recommended before 6 and that the Commission has reviewed before in prior 7 8 AGI applications, correct? 9 Α Exactly. Okay. And condition number 3, as you just 10 0 11 outlined, that's a new condition, and you just 12 explained that, correct? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Okay. Are there -- is condition number 11 is 0 15 that a new condition? 16 А It is a new condition given the situation 17 that we have a shallower well, the AGI Number 3, which is injecting into a Delaware Mountain Group. And 18 also, we have a subject well, that goes through the 19 20 Delaware Mountain Group. So the subject well will be 21 subjected by that active injections zone at the 22 Delaware Mountain Group, and then OCD is recommending 23 that part of the well covered with corrosion 24 resistance alloys and then corrosion resistance 25 cement.

Q Okay. Thank you. Are there any other -- I believe you referenced three. What's the other new or modified condition?

A Well, there is condition number 13 as well. Q Could you please explain that one for the Commission?

A So the condition number 13 is given the
nature of the project area. As we mentioned earlier,
we have four plugged wells. And then the Commission the Division is requesting that a surface monitoring
of CO2, H2S being deployed, and then also this
procedure integrated in their H2S contingency
platform.

Q Okay. Mr. Gebremichael, I believe that you heard -- would've heard earlier today the discussion of the party's agreement to modify the monitoring plan and condition number 18. In terms of the rate actually, I should split those up. So I believe, did you hear the testimony or discussion about modifying the placement of additional surface monitoring?

A Yes, I did. And then we concur with that increasing the frequency of updating the plume model. Traditionally, we have it every five years, but given the situation in lieu of having a monitoring well by updating the plume model every two years, would help

1	us to monitor the plume gross.				
2	Q Great. Thank you. So that's in respect to				
3	condition number 18 modification?				
4	A Yes.				
5	Q And does OCD have any concerns with the				
6	placement of an any additional surface monitoring				
7	equipment at the water wells that were discussed				
8	earlier?				
9	A No, we don't. We don't. It an abundance of				
10	precaution and then we agree with that one.				
11	Q Okay. Is there anything else in OCDs				
12	conditions of approval, OCD Exhibit Number 2, that you				
13	need to bring to the attention of the Commission?				
14	A No.				
15	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. At this time, Mr.				
16	Chair, I would move admission of OCD Exhibit Number 1				
17	and OCD Exhibit Number 2.				
18	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Rankin?				
19	MR. RANKIN: No objection.				
20	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. It shall be				
21	admitted.				
22	(OCD'S Exhibits 1 and 2 was marked for				
23	identification.)				
24	MR. TREMAINE: One moment, Mr. Chair.				
25	BY MR. TREMAINE:				
	Page 194				

Q Mr. Gebremichael, what -- when you review an application such as the AGI application for the Titan AGI number 4, what standard of review do you apply and what -- in your role, what are you evaluating the application for?

6 A So we review the application that it 7 protects, you know, the correlative rights and then 8 protects, you know, health and property, and then the 9 natural resources. Those are the standards that we 10 put.

11 Okay. And we've talked through the exhibits 0 12 already. In OCD Exhibit Number 2., so you -- and 13 those have been admitted. Do you believe that the --14 after your review, the content of the application and 15 including the conditions of approval listed in OCD 16 Exhibit Number 2 that an order and permit will be 17 protective of correlative rights, public health in the 18 environment, including underground sources of drinking 19 water?

20 A Yes.

21 MR. TREMAINE: And those are my questions in 22 direct. Nothing further.

23 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Mr.
24 Rankin?
25 MR. RANKIN: I have no questions of Mr.

Page 195

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 1 Gebremichael.

2 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you. Commissioners? 3

COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Chair, I do have a 4 5 few. Thank you so much for your testimony. So I just 6 want to start with the condition number 3. And you've 7 explained to us with regards to after the Step Rate Test, you're going to make that is when the maximum 8 9 surface injection pressure, and even the rate is going to be more or less settled. Not necessarily what is 10 11 being proposed today. 12 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes, sir. 13 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Now based on Mr. 14 White's testimony, he talked about the -- just the well that they just drilled, right? When they did a 15 16 Step Rate Test, they did not get to the fracture 17 pressure. So when that instance happens --18 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: What we --19 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: -- what happens to 20 number 3? 21 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yeah. So what we did with 22 Salt Creek number 2 is it does happen sometimes. You 23 could reach a maximum pressure and then you don't notice the breakdown of the formation. So what we did 2.4 25 is we asked them to provide us with a poisons ratio.

Based on the poisons ratio, and then offsetting well fracture grading in the past. Actually, the Salt Creek AGI number 2, the fractured gradient was in par with the historical offsetting wells and then it agree also with the poisonous ratio. So 0.67 is an acceptable fracture gradient.

7 So what makes it different to this one is, so 8 now once you have that fracture gradient and then you 9 calculate your maximum pressure, the corresponding 10 rate on the X axis is going to be your rate. And then 11 our experience from AGI number 2 -- Salt Creek number 12 2, is that rate is actually, is even higher than what 13 they are requesting.

14 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: There's more room 15 there, okay.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: There's more room there to wiggle. That's why we take that 10 percent safety factor. You know we deducted 10 percent safety factor always.

20 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. So you -- I 21 believe you reviewed the model. Do you believe this 22 model is sufficient, you know, to be able to answer 23 questions like the faults --

24 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Faults.
 25 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: -- the plume movement,

Page 197

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 and more or less like the containment?

1

2 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: I do agree with your assessment, Mr. Commissioner, in terms of including 3 the Woodford or integrating in part of the model. The 4 5 reason why we ask that fault number 9 that we mentioned, is we really want to know the extent, you 6 7 know, its orientation, its lengths, whether it's 8 sealed fault or an open fault. If we know the extent 9 of that fault, we would have a good idea whether it's going to cut through the 370 feet of Woodford 10 11 confining or not. So that was the question that we 12 asked. So in the future, it would be prudent to add 13 the confining zone part of the modeling.

COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. So is your testimony that for today it is not a concern, but we can push it to next two years when they do the update on the model?

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes, I would. It's not a 18 concern because of what we have seen with Salt Creek 19 20 number 2, other AGI wells. We believe the Woodford provides sufficient, but that changes with your 3D 21 22 seismicity. When new 3D seismics come, and then they identify new faults, you really want to learn the 23 24 nature of those faults. And this scenario, the question that we posed, OCD would like to really know 25

the nature of that fault.

1

2	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. So I went back					
3	and forth with Mr. White about the plume extent, you					
4	know, that he showed with transmissive and the non-					
5	transmissive faults. Now this is at the end of 30					
6	years, but it's generally a concern, you know, like in					
7	terms of the monitoring. So as part of the AGIs,					
8	NMOCD doesn't require the monitoring post injection.					
9	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yeah. That basically,					
10	a post injection to us is when they cease injecting					
11	the well is being plugged and abandoned.					
12	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: But, you know, in the					
13	model, it's not shown when the tag is going to stop					
14	moving even after the injection.					
15	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: It doesn't. That is					
16	something we really need to implement in our future					
17	requirements, yeah.					
18	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: So would you is					
19	this something that you're more or less telling the					
20	Commission that you're going to look into it?					
21	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes. Our understanding in					
22	the past was the, you know, tagging and abandoning the					
23	well would sequester it and then stop it moving. But					
24	it's something that we can consider, yeah.					
25	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. And then I will					
	Page 199					

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691

1	also suggest that in future applications, at least,
2	they have let's say you plug the well at the end of
3	the injection, but the plume, they need to show us
4	when is the plume going to stop? You know, because if
5	the plume is still moving after, let's say, you've
6	shut the well and everything is all, let's say,
7	plugged, where is that plume going? Is it
8	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes. Even the nature of
9	the CO2 buoyancy nature, it's not going to be an easy
10	fit, but it's something that we could ask the
11	operators and then the Division to come up with some
12	kind of monitoring and post injection, yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. Thank you, sir.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yeah.
16	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Thank you,
17	Commissioner. Commissioner Bloom?
18	COMMISSIONER BROOM: No questions. Thank
19	you.
20	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you,
21	Commissioner. Mr. Gebremichael, just so, like, the
22	Commission understands, just to solidify it. With the
23	proposed injection rates and with the components of
24	that the OCD has put into place to make sure that this
25	works out, you think that public safety is maintained

1 -- correlative rights are maintained, that this model will suffice and provide what this Commission would be 2 3 concerned with from now and potentially into the future? 4 5 MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. That's my only 7 question as well. There's no other -- Mr. Tremaine, 8 no other questions. Mr. Rankin? 9 MR. RANKIN: No. I appreciate the 10 opportunity. 11 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. May Mr. 12 Gebremichael be excused? 13 MR. TREMAINE: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Excellent. So 15 now Commissioners, we just need to decide what we want 16 to do, correct? You may step down. Sorry, Mr. Gebremichael, my apologies. Okay. Commissioners, I 17 18 guess, do we -- the question is, is there a motion to 19 approve the way it was provided to us? And if so, can 20 I get a motion for it? COMMISSIONER BROOM: Mr. Chair, I move to 21 22 approve the permit in this case. 23 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Mr. Chair, I second. 24 COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: 25 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. So the permit Page 201

> Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1 will be approved with the conditions that were set out 2 by the OCD. Mr. Tremaine?

MR. TREMAINE: Point of information. I think 3 the Commission may want to consider a motion to 4 5 approve with the conditions, but as -- but with condition number eight, modified to reflect a two-year 6 modeling. We have not during the day modified 7 condition number 18 as far as the submission and 8 9 that's the five years. The agreement of the other parties was to modify condition number 18 to reflect 10 11 the two-year modeling period.

12 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: My apologies. Ι 13 thought we did that this morning. So okay. With 14 modified -- we can modify in number 8 or number 18? 15 MR. TREMAINE: Eighteen.

COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay.

16

17 MR. TREMAINE: I may have misunderstood. I just wanted to be clear for the record, Mr. Chair. 18 19 Because when you referenced the exhibit as submitted, 20 it still says five years.

21 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. So with the 22 modification for number 18, so we will do with -- what the OCD submitted with the modification for number 18 23 to two years instead of five years. Just to make sure 2.4 25 that we're all on the same page. Mr. Termaine, did I

1 say that correctly? 2 MR. TREMAINE: T believe so. 3 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Mr. Rankin, you 4 agree? 5 MR. RANKIN: I do. 6 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Commissioners? 7 MR. CHANDLER: Mr. Chair, I'm wondering if we want to see a draft order and then perhaps approve it 8 9 at the next meeting and sign after? Or perhaps trying to think of another way to do this -- to finish the 10 11 business today. Perhaps an order is drafted and we 12 review. Sheila could typically send it to us to sign, if we want to do it that way. I'm not quite sure what 13 14 your preference is. 15 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Suggestion from the 16 parties. 17 MR. RANKIN: Well, in the old days Mr. Chair, 18 we would draft a proposed order for the Commission to 19 consider after sharing it with the parties and getting 20 their input. And in order to facilitate the work of 21 the Commission and Commission Council, I'm more than 22 happy to work with Mr. Tremaine and get a draft 23 proposed order submitted in advance of the next commission meeting so that the Commission may consider 2.4 25 the proposed order and adopt -- or adopt with

1 modifications at the next regular scheduled commission 2 meeting. 3 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Thank you. Mr. 4 Tremaine? 5 MR. TREMAINE: We concur. 6 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Excellent. Mr. 7 Rankin, if you don't mind doing that, that would be great. Did you want us -- did you want the Commission 8 9 to hear it at the April 7th at the beginning of that or -- because then there is no other April ones, it 10 11 would go out to May, so it depends. I want to just 12 make sure we solidify that. 13 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. I know. I quess --14 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: And you're in the 15 April 7th one as well? 16 MR. RANKIN: I am. There's a lot going on 17 between now and then. 18 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: No stress. 19 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. I wonder, you know, there 20 is a second week in April. 21 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: I'm happy to tack it 22 onto the second week as well, if you want the 23 beginning of the second week in April. MR. RANKIN: And I'm sure the -- I'm sure 2.4 25 Northwind wouldn't mind have an order sooner than Page 204

1 later. And so I -- if possible, just to give a little bit of breathing room if we would be authorized or 2 permitted to submit a draft proposal order in advance 3 for consideration during one of the mornings of the 4 5 April 21st week of the Commission's meeting --COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: 6 Mr. --7 MR. RANKIN: -- (crosstalk) ideal. COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Mr. Tremaine? 8 9 MR. TREMAINE: No objection. 10 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: So let's do it for 11 that Monday the 21st. We can start it off -- we'll 12 consider this one right off the that. 13 MR. RANKIN: I appreciate the consideration. 14 Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: No problem. I realize 16 you have a lot on your plate right at the moment, so. 17 If there's nothing else for this particular case, Mr. 18 Tremaine, you had the finger on the button, so I'm 19 just looking. 20 Nothing else. MR. TREMAINE: 21 COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. Mr. Rankin? 22 MR. RANKIN: Appreciate the Commission's 23 attention and engagement in this case and consideration of the application, and we look forward 2.4 25 to getting you guys a draft proposed order.

Page 205

Veritext Legal Solutions Calendar-nm@veritext.com 505-243-5691 www.veritext.com

1	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Excellent. Thank you.			
2	Okay. So we're done with that part of this meeting.			
3	There's no Mr. Chandler, is there any pending			
4	litigation right at the moment?			
5	MR. CHANDLER: No.			
6	COMMISSIONER RAZATOS: Okay. There's no			
7	other business. Our next meeting is April the 7th			
8	through the 11th of 2025. So if there's nothing else			
9	to bring up, this meeting is adjourned for today.			
10	Thank you, everybody. We appreciate it. Have a good			
11	rest of the day. And those on the platform, we thank			
12	you as well.			
13	(Whereupon, the proceeding was concluded.)			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	Page 206			

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, LLOYD BASS, do hereby certify that this
3	transcript was prepared from the digital audio
4	recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said
5	transcript is a true and accurate record of the
6	proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and
7	ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a
10	relative or employee of any counsel or attorney
11	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
13	May 27, 2025
14	
15	LLOYD BASS
16	
16 17	
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
17 18 19 20 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	

[& - 2]

&	<b>101</b> 158:18	<b>13.4</b> 128:19	<b>19.15.2</b> 15:12
<b>&amp;</b> 16:11 30:22	<b>102</b> 158:18	<b>13492</b> 32:15,19	<b>19.15.25</b> 15:12
	<b>108</b> 1:20 95:9	<b>138</b> 144:19	<b>19.15.5</b> 15:12
0	97:6,7,12,24	145:20 154:19	<b>19.15.8</b> 15:12
<b>0.433</b> 168:11	98:22 103:12	188:3	<b>19.15.9</b> 15:12
<b>0.59</b> 170:17	103:21 106:12	<b>13th</b> 19:12 23:4	<b>1915410a2</b> 38:3
<b>0.65</b> 170:14	115:21 116:18	<b>14</b> 22:3 117:7	<b>1915412a7</b> 38:7
172:21	119:15 138:1,2	<b>14.4</b> 134:4	39:7 63:10
<b>0.67</b> 197:5	140:15 142:8	<b>140</b> 46:24	1915423b
1	168:20	<b>141</b> 1:19,20	54:21 68:21
1 2:2 90:22	<b>10th</b> 148:13	<b>142</b> 1:21,22,24	<b>194</b> 2:3,4
100:19 118:13	<b>11</b> 4:3 81:23	<b>144</b> 1:12	<b>19th</b> 14:15
119:14 123:12	150:4 171:13	<b>14th</b> 18:3 23:4	<b>1:00</b> 92:11
130:4 136:11	192:14	<b>15</b> 11:4 14:7	<b>1:15</b> 92:11 93:1
139:18 166:22	<b>11,000</b> 112:12	75:24 77:11	93:3
171:24 173:12	163:6	84:11 86:15	2
174:2 175:18	<b>11,820</b> 109:20	128:17	<b>2</b> 2:2,4 20:23
177:7 188:12	<b>110</b> 173:10	<b>151</b> 131:13	43:15 101:5,10
188:25 189:4,8	<b>113</b> 147:14	<b>152</b> 1:11	105:8,23 111:3
189:10,15,17	<b>11:30</b> 86:11	<b>15th</b> 10:18,23	113:25 114:21
190:18 194:16	<b>11:45</b> 86:15	14:6,9,12,18	115:23 116:13
194:22	<b>11th</b> 4:4 86:2	<b>16,000</b> 173:23	122:6 123:12
<b>1,080</b> 123:3	164:18 206:8	<b>165</b> 131:17,17	128:25 129:11
<b>1,200</b> 161:16	<b>12</b> 128:17	<b>16th</b> 14:18,19	134:3 135:19
<b>1,500</b> 123:13	145:16	14:20 15:2,5	141:3 144:12
<b>1.1</b> 173:12	<b>120</b> 189:11	<b>17</b> 97:15	144:19 145:1
<b>1.8</b> 57:21 135:8	<b>12:03</b> 92:24	<b>17,570</b> 110:6	145:11 146:16
137:23 140:10	<b>13</b> 81:23 87:15	<b>18</b> 87:12 88:22	150:6,8 152:17
177:8,13	87:20 88:9	89:14 91:3,5	152:22 153:3
<b>10</b> 30:4 68:21	121:13 147:2,9	193:17 194:3	154:6,17 156:9
123:12 131:17	193:4,7	202:8,10,14,22	158:24 160:24
143:21 158:18	<b>13,492</b> 52:15	202:23 <b>185</b> 1:14	161:2,11,16
170:7 171:13	<b>13,760</b> 134:11 140:19	<b>185</b> 1:14 <b>186</b> 2:5	164:7,8 166:22
191:15 197:17	140.17	100 2.3	170:6 171:25
197:18			177:12,13

[2 - 4]

188:2,4,13 189:8,18 191:3 191:6,7 192:5 194:12,17,22	105:24 206:8 207:13	<b>28</b> 4:3,7 <b>28.8</b> 98:19 134:7 136:25 137:8 140:18 101.24	<b>36</b> 100:6 <b>37</b> 164:23 <b>370</b> 112:20 115:12 198:10 <b>29</b> 146 22
194:12,17,22         195:12,16         196:22         197:11,12         198:20         2,000         117:17         2,100         109:4         2.1         131:23         132:18         2.25         7:1         2.6         57:21         20         3:5         34:24         92:17         132:24         161:19         200         41:9         2007         53:15         2015         118:20         173:15         2018         2020         103:14         104:15         124:5         145:8         2020         4:18         103:14         104:17         153:12         2022         104:20,23         2023         105:5,7         153:2,7,18         2024         46:24         97:15         105:22	<pre>20913f 105:4 20th 3:21 4:2,7 4:18 23:3,8,24 24:22 25:2,8 21 100:5 123:12 210 45:20 21st 205:5,11 22 117:24 23 121:15 23,000 127:2 23197 118:15 23943 153:3 24 110:18 123:1 147:9 188:7 24517 4:21 6:24 24683 15:11 24881 30:11 93:7 25237 4:20 2580 105:12 153:20,24 154:1</pre>	137:8 140:18         191:24 <b>28th 29</b> 88:1,5 89:7         117:25 <b>3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3</b>	$\begin{array}{c} 115.12 \ 198.10\\ \textbf{38} \ 146:23\\ 165:7\\ \textbf{3:51} \ 184:6\\ \textbf{3d} \ 58:1 \ 102:22\\ 103:14 \ 105:15\\ 113:2 \ 130:11\\ 130:16 \ 149:9\\ 149:11 \ 155:25\\ 178:22 \ 179:1\\ 187:10 \ 198:21\\ 198:22\\ \hline \textbf{4}\\ \textbf{4} \ 32:21 \ 33:2\\ 43:15 \ 97:11\\ 101:18 \ 102:11\\ 106:7 \ 107:11\\ 108:22 \ 110:5\\ 111:4 \ 114:5,22\\ 136:9 \ 140:14\\ 117:9,13 \ 119:1\\ 122:6 \ 134:2\\ 136:9 \ 140:14\\ 145:2 \ 146:15\\ 146:16 \ 149:21\\ 155:17 \ 156:13\\ 158:16 \ 160:25\\ 161:3,11,19\\ 187:2,8,24\\ 188:5 \ 189:20\\ 195:3\\ \end{array}$
154:11		103.10,24	173.3

<b>4,000</b> 127:3	9	157:22 158:8	132:21 133:20
<b>40</b> 119:22		159:2 166:10	137:16 141:1
165:18 177:3	9 123:12	166:14 167:2	141:11 145:13
<b>41</b> 166:19	147:15,22	173:3 197:22	149:4 151:14
177:3,3	171:12 190:8	abnormal	166:3 167:25
<b>42</b> 177:3	198:5	101:7	account 24:19
<b>43</b> 169:2	<b>9,000</b> 163:3	above 181:15	124:7 159:3
5	<b>94</b> 1:11	183:12	accuracy 78:9
	9:00 17:1	<b>absence</b> 60:4	accurate 78:19
5 136:9	<b>9:42</b> 30:6	<b>absent</b> 49:17	207:5
<b>5,590</b> 109:14	a	absolute 100:8	accurately
<b>5,811</b> 140:25	<b>a.m.</b> 17:1	absolutely	121:11
<b>5.1</b> 131:24	abandoned	74:20 90:8	acid 2:2 30:13
<b>5.22</b> 188:5	82:1 87:22	145:5	31:25 34:24,25
<b>50</b> 72:5	100:20 139:16	abstain 8:22	36:24 46:10
<b>51</b> 147:13	146:21 188:14	abundance	68:2 76:17,18
6	188:22 189:9	194:9	77:24 78:11,12
<b>6,930</b> 123:3	189:10,11,15	abundantly	78:20 79:14
7	199:11	42:23	80:20 82:7
7 29:5	abandoning	accept 87:8	93:9 95:2,24
<b>7.5</b> 100:4	199:22	acceptable	96:16 98:12
<b>75</b> 123:5 148:5	abandonment	85:17 142:6	99:21 104:14
<b>75</b> 125:13,25 <b>7th</b> 25:13,25	168:1	197:6	106:17,19
29:6 204:9,15	abdicate 40:5	accepted 67:8	107:8,12
206:7	ability 8:18	72:1	108:11,13
	9:24 19:19	access 48:13	109:23 110:2,4
8	42:21 102:19	89:8 102:19	118:22 120:21
<b>8</b> 202:14	136:20 149:5	113:2 116:3	124:11,15
80 132:23	159:14 162:14	accommodate	125:6 126:6,8
800 112:25	207:7	100:23 138:23	127:14 132:23
<b>81</b> 64:20	<b>able</b> 6:18 9:24	accord 22:4	133:3,5,7
<b>83</b> 117:22	21:23 25:6	accordance	135:15 136:3
<b>88</b> 64:20	70:6 76:9	106:12 107:10	137:7 138:19
	85:24 114:3	108:24 120:7	145:7,19 146:3
	130:17,19	123:6 124:5	157:5,8,24
	136:3 156:8		

# [acid - admission]

	1	1	1
167:7 174:8	<b>actively</b> 189:16	adding 188:8	187:25
176:8,11	activities 83:14	addition 79:18	addresses 53:4
188:25	83:19 103:20	108:13 111:4	187:11
acknowledge	104:19 110:17	111:14 112:22	adequacy
80:3	111:15 117:20	115:4 136:14	107:5
acknowledge	117:20 122:4	139:10	adequate 77:8
75:16	132:25 133:20	additional 8:13	102:13 109:15
acknowledging	137:19 140:5	20:6 22:7	117:14 126:8
75:21	158:16 168:3	30:13 41:13	139:22
acquire 105:5	activity 34:18	57:25 58:1,25	adequately
acquired	34:22,22 37:8	76:16,17 77:6	103:17,18
114:11	38:25 41:2	77:9 79:11,12	113:6 188:10
acquiring	51:18 52:21,22	82:6 88:4 93:9	adjacent
58:24	52:24 53:8	93:17 98:12	102:16 139:13
acquisition	182:20	102:15 105:16	adjoining
57:17 100:11	<b>actual</b> 28:18	130:10 138:18	31:21
105:2,15	37:19 68:22	139:25 146:9	adjourned
110:15 130:15	169:7,11	146:14,19	206:9
155:19,25	actually 19:19	172:8 193:20	adjudicated
187:9	30:3 32:21	194:6	40:11 48:19
acres 7:2 41:9	35:7 36:15	additionally	adjudication
45:20 46:24	46:8 64:17	120:18 150:21	41:4
58:19 144:20	81:1,3 117:5	187:14	adjudicatory
145:20 154:19	125:5,20 142:3	address 7:15	38:4 63:7
188:3,6	161:24 176:24	9:15 32:11	adjusting
<b>act</b> 9:10	193:18 197:2	36:3 37:14	150:13
acting 3:7,8,11	197:12	57:2,5,13	administrative
<b>action</b> 8:13	<b>adam</b> 30:20	62:25 64:11	15:13 45:5
74:24 98:16	132:17 146:12	74:14 87:16	105:9
207:8,12	add 13:11 22:7	105:3 158:20	administrativ
actions 8:14	22:17 69:7	190:10	101:11
9:12,14	183:15 198:12	addressed	admission
<b>active</b> 100:2	<b>added</b> 70:11	64:13,14 76:15	142:1,4,7
117:24 192:21	191:10,18	76:24 78:14	143:6 186:13
		88:7 89:11	194:16

# [admit - aims]

<b>admit</b> 37:10	185:18	129:10,20	21:21 27:24
43:13 63:3	agencies 159:4	130:4 132:3	28:4,9,14,20
admittance	agency 158:18	134:2,3 138:9	29:1 48:12
36:22	<b>agenda</b> 3:21,22	140:14 141:2	50:3 67:14
admitted 1:17	4:1,1	144:19 145:1,2	71:19 72:9,10
186:9 194:21	agent 149:19	145:11 146:15	79:7 82:8
195:13	<b>agi</b> 2:4 32:7,15	146:16,16	83:24,25 88:20
<b>adopt</b> 49:4	35:9 45:23	150:6,7 151:18	88:24 89:5
72:16,17	46:11,19 47:24	151:19 152:16	144:10 152:2
144:10 203:25	50:1,8 51:1,4	152:22 153:3	194:10 197:4
203:25	54:8 58:21	154:6,17	198:2 203:4
advance 27:11	61:10 62:12	155:16 156:9	agreeable
203:23 205:3	66:21 67:5	156:13 158:16	28:22
<b>advice</b> 54:17	81:10 93:17	158:23 160:24	agreed 23:14
advocate 49:7	97:11 100:19	160:24 161:2	54:18 59:2
49:15	101:2,5,6,10,18	161:11,11,16	87:13,23 88:17
advocated 82:6	101:20,23,24	161:18 162:2	agreeing 74:11
<b>aeolian</b> 112:10	102:11 105:6,8	164:7,8 167:19	agreement 26:5
<b>aerial</b> 135:1,5,6	105:23 106:7	170:6 172:11	35:18,21 43:17
<b>affect</b> 68:8	106:10 107:2	173:13,16	43:18,20 46:5
affected 37:21	107:11,22	176:3 187:2,23	46:6,9 47:23
37:23 54:25	108:2,2,8,14,20	188:2,4,4	51:25 58:13
55:23 59:22,24	108:22,23	189:8,8,8,9,9,9	87:24 88:3,7
65:24 66:4,13	110:5,11 111:2	189:10,12,15	88:24 89:3,5
67:6	111:4,11 112:1	189:16,18,20	89:11 90:19
affidavit 1:23	112:5 113:24	191:8,9 192:8	91:7 144:13
91:19 142:24	114:5,21,22	192:17 195:2,3	147:4 193:16
affirmative	115:10,22	197:3,11	202:9
119:16	116:8,13,14	198:20	agrees 49:6
affirmatively	117:9,13	<b>agis</b> 58:14 67:9	<b>ahead</b> 28:23
48:19	118:25 122:5,6	199:7	84:15 90:10
<b>afraid</b> 188:9	122:6,7,8,9	<b>ago</b> 63:15,18,21	98:5 99:11,16
afternoon	123:17,22	64:12,14 147:8	142:4
69:18 73:5	124:25 125:2,2	<b>agree</b> 9:25 10:4	<b>aims</b> 133:24
94:17 144:8	126:2 128:24	10:6 19:8	

# [air - anticipated]

	1	1	1
air 85:5	alternative	amounts 102:7	111:12,20
<b>al</b> 127:2	61:3 63:24	107:13	117:22 120:4
<b>alarm</b> 176:15	68:3 102:3	ampomah 3:16	120:13 121:5
alert 82:9	alternatively	3:17,24 4:15	121:18 130:18
alerting 77:21	133:23	10:4 14:11	139:3 152:25
allegations	amenable 18:8	24:7,11,14,24	153:1 154:2
62:6	18:10	39:11 54:14	156:4,5 164:3
alleged 40:8	<b>amend</b> 15:11	56:16,24 57:7	164:21 170:17
44:7 61:15	30:14 46:19	57:15 63:21	analyst 159:7
74:17	93:10 153:24	70:20 71:21,25	analyzing 96:1
alleging 61:16	154:1,6	74:14 81:6,12	<b>ann</b> 16:17
<b>allen</b> 94:7,13	amended 17:24	82:13 90:1	annotated
allergies 84:19	18:11 19:7	91:25 92:5,21	114:20 123:11
<b>allow</b> 13:18	20:3 30:14	155:7,10	annotations
20:8 48:2	93:10 101:13	156:19,23	107:25
74:12 85:4	144:13	157:2,11,15	anomalies
98:15,24 108:6	amendment	190:7 196:4,13	161:4
126:11 184:12	43:18 105:2,20	196:19 197:14	anomaly
allowable	156:10	197:20,25	156:15
98:16 106:14	amendments	198:14 199:2	answer 39:7
106:16,20	22:11	199:12,18,25	51:8 53:6
107:5 133:11	american 5:10	200:13 201:24	56:22 67:17
137:2,20	5:11,20,21,22	ampomah's	76:3 197:22
138:20 140:24	5:24 6:1,3,9,10	51:9 76:13	answered
160:13 169:6	6:11 8:2 12:2	92:13	149:8
171:2	13:16 49:4	<b>analog</b> 116:8	answering
<b>allowed</b> 102:23	american's	116:11 132:3	66:12
113:3 156:2	13:17	analyses	anticipate
<b>allows</b> 138:10	amicable 70:2	103:15	126:17 134:19
<b>alloy</b> 108:10	70:10 72:20,21	analysis 32:17	140:10 173:25
110:9 111:25	72:22	33:4,5,8 52:9	anticipated
<b>alloys</b> 79:17	<b>amine</b> 107:15	52:12 67:5	17:14 98:20
192:24	107:19	80:8 81:4	107:11 123:1
alternate 17:11	<b>amount</b> 125:20	95:22 102:21	124:23 128:15
	130:1	103:2 105:16	132:22 133:6

# [anticipated - approve]

145:21 155:13	applicants	164:9,17	74:4 76:14,16
156:14 161:17	15:17 23:11	168:20 187:1,2	79:24 80:6,13
anybody 5:8	application	187:5,6,22	80:23 81:5,5,8
11:22 16:15,20	1:19,20 15:11	195:2,2,5,6,14	83:15 150:22
16:24 72:16	30:12 31:22,25	205:24	159:4 168:4
anybody's	32:2,18 34:12	applications	169:16
69:21	34:20,23 35:8	6:14 52:6	appropriately
anyway 70:4	36:23 37:3,9	65:24 66:22	79:9 80:2
73:3	38:10,24 39:25	71:6 75:17	appropriaten
apologies 11:7	41:18 44:5,23	129:21 133:8	82:23
16:9,25 149:7	46:19,23 47:1	146:5 166:5	approval 2:4
155:4 201:17	47:5,7 54:7,11	192:8 200:1	3:22 4:2 30:13
202:12	59:21 61:10	applied 32:19	40:1 79:16
apologize 11:5	64:20 65:6	38:17	81:23 88:20
16:8 147:19	66:20 83:3,6	<b>apply</b> 37:11	89:2,13 93:8
177:5	87:8 91:17	52:3 195:3	93:17,21 97:11
appeal 4:21	95:5,10,20	applying 33:11	97:25 98:11,19
44:22 48:24	97:2,6,7,12,14	appreciate 5:13	98:24 99:12
appealing 5:14	97:24 98:7,8	12:25 13:3,23	100:22 103:22
<b>appear</b> 144:22	98:25 99:22	29:24 31:4	103:25 104:16
appearance	101:4,22 102:8	39:19 48:6	138:17,20
7:16 8:6 9:1	103:12,21	89:17 173:1	140:13,15
appearing	104:6,14,16	184:4 201:9	141:3 145:16
16:12 30:20	105:20 115:21	205:13,22	147:2 150:2
appears 137:6	116:18 129:20	206:10	160:4,23
applicable	137:16 138:1,2	appreciated	188:17 191:8
46:12,16 47:25	138:4,17	53:10	192:6 194:12
applicant 30:21	140:15 141:16	approach 33:9	195:15
33:10 34:2,6,8	142:8,20 143:1	33:24 124:6	approvals
34:17 35:18	145:8,10,12,15	152:25 160:1	75:17 191:9
36:14 41:16,19	146:4,8 153:9	166:8	approve 3:21
42:7 53:24	153:10,17,19	approaches	4:7 91:20
83:19 87:8,13	153:24 154:1,5	152:15	201:19,22
applicant's	156:10,13	appropriate	202:5 203:8
17:9,23 42:3	158:19 162:18	42:19 49:19	

# [approved - attempted]

approved 4:1	109:6,12,16	argument 8:22	149:15 198:3
4:19 61:11	112:3,19,24	38:1 45:15	assessments
62:11 101:11	113:5,10,16,22	54:15 55:5,14	129:22
104:4 105:22	114:25 115:4,6	58:12 63:5	<b>asset</b> 98:20
106:18 141:1,2	116:8,19,20,23	68:5,7	assignment
153:19,23	116:25 117:5,7	arguments	191:12
154:13 171:1	117:21,23,24	8:21 41:13	associated 8:5
202:1	118:10,14,15	61:11	8:17 102:3
approving	119:6 120:17	arrangement	105:10 120:24
49:25 105:4	120:23 121:7	106:10	125:13
approximate	121:14 123:3,9	articulated	association
109:8 114:23	125:21 126:2	62:1,20	16:13,19
177:7	127:12 128:13	<b>aside</b> 168:12	124:20 125:6
approximately	128:18 129:5	asked 17:19	126:1
18:2 45:20	129:12,16	52:14,16	assume 124:9
100:3 109:4,20	131:1 133:21	149:13 152:13	127:13
110:6 112:12	133:25 135:7	179:16 190:15	assumed
115:12 123:3,5	135:22 139:3,4	196:25 198:12	122:20
131:17 132:23	139:7,9,15	asking 34:7	<b>assumes</b> 123:19
135:8 137:23	141:4 144:19	35:20 37:9	133:18
140:19 161:16	166:2 167:10	43:18 47:13	assuming 181:4
<b>april</b> 10:14,14	172:9 177:18	51:22 52:4,9	182:7
10:16 17:6	177:21 178:9	52:11,13,22,25	assumption
20:7 25:13,25	179:3 180:17	57:7 75:12	91:16 148:4
25:25 29:4,6	182:19 183:2	79:16	assumptions
204:9,10,15,20	186:14 187:11	<b>asks</b> 165:20	106:15 122:16
204:23 205:5	188:3 193:8	<b>aspect</b> 62:16	122:19
206:7	<b>areas</b> 20:12	aspects 48:14	atmospheric
<b>arcgis</b> 100:7	120:12 186:9	48:21 50:17	141:8,9
<b>area</b> 7:2 43:3	arguably 8:9	68:21	attached 81:10
66:14 68:15	50:21	assault 12:18	attaches 67:15
80:18 83:2	<b>argue</b> 49:22	<b>assess</b> 28:10	67:24
95:19 98:21	68:4	assessment	attack 71:7
102:9,10 106:3	<b>argues</b> 37:17	42:9 120:19	attempted 45:1
108:23,25		122:10,23	

# [attention - basis]

	I	1	
attention 190:4	automated	142:2,7,10	171:13
194:13 205:23	108:4	143:6 185:10	<b>barriers</b> 102:15
attorney	automatically	<b>b1</b> 64:20	139:12
207:10	66:22	<b>back</b> 6:23 9:12	<b>base</b> 100:7
attorneys 13:12	availabilities	18:4 20:20	<b>based</b> 8:5,7
13:14	10:14	21:9 30:5,8	26:21 27:3
attribute 53:16	available 8:23	32:24 46:23	40:10 42:19
132:15	14:23,24 21:8	48:8 53:15	44:7,15 57:19
attributes	22:7 88:9	69:14,22 70:8	57:24,25 58:4
119:9 130:24	130:17 132:2	71:16,20 80:1	75:11,15,16
132:11 165:6	143:13 146:13	83:8 86:21,24	96:2 103:2
audible 22:22	160:14 168:19	92:11 93:1,5	105:13 111:8
<b>audio</b> 207:3	170:11	97:15 100:19	116:2 131:11
augment 4:4	<b>average</b> 106:19	100:22 101:12	131:25 145:20
august 105:22	128:19 131:21	118:20 120:3	148:4 153:17
auspices 74:13	131:22,23,23	132:17 137:25	157:24 160:14
authorities	132:19 145:21	142:2 143:24	161:7 162:13
49:23	165:4 169:20	145:7 150:5	163:20 164:3
authority 39:24	170:13 172:19	153:2,17	167:15 179:9
40:5 41:6,11	averages	157:25 178:22	180:2 182:8
42:13,16,18	164:23	178:22,24	191:25 196:13
43:6 44:5,22	avoid 45:3	184:5,8 199:2	197:1
45:4,10 47:16	150:13 189:7	background	basically 189:9
49:21 50:2,7	<b>aware</b> 22:10	95:16,16	199:9
50:25 53:24	68:6 99:1,20	backstop 28:21	<b>basin</b> 109:11
54:2,3 62:17	120:11 191:8	<b>balance</b> 187:16	112:9 113:13
67:11 74:9	awesome 3:3	187:17	113:17,19
77:1 189:21	89:22	<b>ballot</b> 154:16	126:25 128:4
authorize	<b>awfully</b> 19:13	<b>banners</b> 147:20	129:3 164:5
30:15 50:8	<b>axis</b> 197:10	<b>bar</b> 132:8	170:14
51:1 93:11	b	<b>barnett</b> 112:24	<b>basis</b> 32:5,14
authorized	<b>b</b> 1:16,20 96:5	115:13	32:17 33:1
41:19 89:8	96:10 98:2	<b>barrel</b> 123:19	34:12 35:11,16
105:8 205:2	132:8,12	<b>barrels</b> 134:11	36:2 38:25
	134:14,15	140:19 170:7	44:16 45:16
	134.14,13		

46:17 47:18	45:16 49:21	40:17 58:24	<b>blue</b> 100:25
51:17 53:7	50:2 53:12	116:25 117:11	179:6
61:23 62:3,20	64:11 67:19	117:17	<b>board</b> 166:13
65:21 68:4	68:3 78:2,16	<b>bhp</b> 169:4	<b>bond</b> 110:14
75:14 77:9	81:11,12,22	171:20,21	<b>bonds</b> 150:9
79:11 97:25	83:15 120:3	<b>big</b> 177:19	<b>bone</b> 109:22
142:14	134:14 166:7	178:9	118:6 127:7,19
<b>bass</b> 207:2,15	177:3 193:2,14	<b>bigger</b> 145:3	127:23
bathroom	193:18 195:13	<b>bill</b> 39:6	<b>books</b> 25:1
84:13	197:21,21	billing 79:5	borders 64:8
bearing 43:4	198:20 203:2	<b>bills</b> 26:15,19	bore 79:23
112:16	believes 58:12	<b>bit</b> 4:4 21:9	81:13,21 111:7
<b>beatty</b> 16:11	188:15	28:11 66:19	<b>bores</b> 77:25
becoming 99:1	<b>belong</b> 42:25	92:25 99:22	78:1,4 135:16
135:16	48:23 53:20	101:7 102:2	bothering
<b>bed</b> 175:3	belongs 43:22	103:9 104:9	84:20
<b>beds</b> 112:11	49:5	107:8 113:1	<b>bottom</b> 38:12
<b>beg</b> 91:12	<b>ben</b> 5:5	124:11 125:4	41:8,10,12
<b>began</b> 99:23	beneath 32:1	126:20 133:3	45:22 58:20
104:22	benefit 26:11	134:8 136:1	59:19 60:17
beginning	27:7 102:4	157:8,9,18	101:16 108:15
25:12 57:16	benefits 76:23	175:24 178:5	116:13 117:3
120:6 127:7	101:23	205:2	135:4 147:21
204:9,23	<b>best</b> 25:24 58:4	<b>black</b> 101:10	156:20 161:12
<b>begins</b> 124:4	120:16 126:13	<b>bleed</b> 18:14	161:13 163:2,9
<b>begun</b> 103:13	144:23 155:23	<b>bloom</b> 3:13,14	169:4 172:19
behalf 5:5	207:6	3:23 4:9,12	172:20 188:13
15:24 16:2,12	<b>bestow</b> 83:13	10:2,7 14:9	<b>bound</b> 151:9
16:18,23 22:20	better 21:6	24:2,5 39:14	boundaries
30:21,25	22:8 89:20,21	65:11,12,16	151:15
153:13	111:18 116:10	66:18,24 67:18	boundary
believe 4:9	155:21 156:2	68:10 84:8,10	136:4
10:14 22:24	158:24 159:2	84:16,23 89:24	bounded
31:12 32:15	<b>beyond</b> 18:13	92:20 155:6,7	135:22
33:4 36:17	20:22 22:6	176:24 200:17	

[boy - case]

[	1	1	1
<b>boy</b> 147:17	161:14,21	<b>busy</b> 10:13	<b>calls</b> 13:2
break 30:4,9	162:4,17,25	<b>button</b> 205:18	cancel 32:25
73:14 75:24	163:14,24	c	34:11
84:13 85:4	164:6,11,13,15	<b>c</b> 1:4,20,21 3:1	canceled 25:10
86:10 143:17	164:22 165:7	95:9 97:6,7,12	canceling 33:3
143:21 184:5	165:10,13,17	97:24 98:22	<b>capable</b> 120:22
breakdown	166:7,18,25	103:12,21	137:6
196:24	167:17,22	106:12 115:21	capacity 94:19
breakdowns	168:6,21 169:2	116:18 119:14	119:12 138:11
150:19	169:10,18,22	119:15 138:1,2	141:4
breaking	169:25 170:5	140:14 142:8	<b>capitan</b> 109:11
140:12	170:20 171:3,8	140.14 142.8	109:16
breathing	171:17,23	142:12,10	<b>caprock</b> 110:10
205:2	172:3,5,17,23	158:18,18	111:5,6,13,13
bridge 118:18	173:7 178:14	168:19 185:10	112:23 129:15
174:19 175:3	178:16 179:5	<b>caitlin</b> 31:2,20	139:5,7 180:19
<b>brief</b> 53:9	179:10,15,25	calculate	182:1,9,16
62:24	180:20 181:2,7	106:20 145:22	183:15
<b>briefly</b> 57:13	181:11,23	165:25 197:9	<b>capture</b> 173:17
101:20 115:9	182:19,22,24	calculated	173:18,20
139:18	183:2,20	65:21 66:17	179:1
<b>brine</b> 133:18	200:18 201:21	calculates	<b>carbon</b> 34:24
<b>bring</b> 7:19	<b>brought</b> 12:6,7	125:9,11	107:13 173:17
10:11 11:22	12:8 68:20	calculating	173:18,20
74:18 190:3	164:17 168:2	66:8,9 120:23	176:19
194:13 206:9	183:5	calculation	carbonate
bringing 177:2	<b>brown</b> 115:1,6	163:4 170:1	130:22 132:1
<b>brings</b> 12:10	<b>buffer</b> 117:4,23	calculations	151:10
<b>broken</b> 121:10	<b>build</b> 182:8	106:15	carbonates
<b>broom</b> 157:17	<b>built</b> 131:10	calendars	112:23 115:13
157:21 158:7	179:9 181:25	11:10	cares 119:25
158:12 159:6	buoyancy	<b>call</b> 3:10,20	<b>carlo</b> 125:12
159:10,15,20	167:8 200:9	184:17	<b>case</b> 4:10,20,20
159:24 160:12	business 55:6	<b>called</b> 65:2 94:8	4:21 6:23,24
160:24 161:10	203:11 206:7	185:3	7:24 9:18

10:15,23 11:8	137:19,21	cementing	31:5 39:11,16
11:24 13:5	148:17,19	117:14 150:16	39:21 47:17
15:10,10,10	184:13	<b>cements</b> 108:11	48:9 54:19
25:11 30:3,9	casing 102:13	110:2,10	57:3 62:24
30:11,21 31:23	109:2,4,7,13,19	<b>center</b> 114:19	65:9 70:20
32:9,15 35:1	110:5,18	121:1	71:15 77:4
35:25 36:5,7	117:14,17	<b>central</b> 49:14	82:13 84:10
36:12 37:11,12	139:11,13	50:12,17	85:7 90:2 92:6
39:23 40:25	150:21,25	113:19	93:14 96:13,22
41:6,14,23	159:1 170:19	centralize	99:10 142:3
42:4,20 45:25	<b>cast</b> 118:18	157:5	143:11 144:4
46:2 48:25	174:19 175:2	certain 50:4	173:4,9 178:14
49:3,8,9 54:3,4	<b>catch</b> 177:12	68:16 82:8	183:5 184:12
54:4 57:21	<b>cause</b> 79:13	87:21	184:16 185:14
60:16,21 61:12	cautiously 6:2	certainly	194:16,24
61:21,24 66:16	6:9	143:19 173:2	196:4 201:21
67:22 78:6,10	<b>caveat</b> 22:25	certificate	201:24 202:18
83:9,12 88:19	<b>cease</b> 199:10	207:1	203:7,17
92:18 93:1,7	<b>cells</b> 131:16	certification	chairman
93:21 95:6	132:10	48:16	16:22 22:16
97:5 99:13	<b>cement</b> 79:18	certified 138:4	29:22 30:24
120:20 133:22	110:13,14	<b>certify</b> 207:2	31:14 33:20
134:1,13	118:18 139:13	cetera 8:21	35:6 36:6
135:19 136:24	149:25 150:9	30:5 48:15	38:23 51:7
137:17 153:2	151:10 174:8	78:1 79:16,18	54:10 64:12
165:22,23	174:18 176:7	79:25 80:16	73:6 87:4 90:8
166:19,21,22	187:18 188:15	81:3	chairs 30:5
171:24,25	192:25	<b>chair</b> 3:8,11 4:9	challenge 19:20
176:17 177:6,7	cementation	4:24 7:14	<b>chance</b> 35:21
177:13 184:12	109:15 150:10	14:21 15:16,21	85:9 155:23
201:22 205:17	cemented	16:6,11 17:4	chances 28:1
205:23	110:12 149:23	19:23 23:1,18	chandler 54:19
<b>cases</b> 4:19	cementers	24:5 25:5	56:21 57:1,3
20:21 41:14	150:22	26:10 27:24	62:1 68:13
48:21 67:14		29:9 30:19	71:19,22 85:7

85:12 90:10,11	130:7,14 131:8	circulated	99:14 146:17
91:9,10,12	140:8 146:6	17:21	clarified 190:2
94:2,4,11	153:7 156:1	circulation	clarify 59:5
173:8 175:17	characterize	109:17 150:8	72:14 146:22
175:21,23	121:11 127:4	circumstance	146:25 152:1
176:14,22	130:17 156:2	127:11	189:14,22
184:23,25	characterized	circumstances	191:23 192:4
185:6,11 203:7	112:10 119:8	40:6 46:11,15	clarifying
206:3,5	characterizing	47:25	144:9,17
change 101:2	117:19 145:19	<b>cite</b> 42:3 44:21	clarity 21:18
105:21 156:11	146:3 153:6	46:7	28:7 49:18
159:1 167:5	<b>chart</b> 104:11	<b>cited</b> 40:24	57:6
189:12	113:12	41:1,25	<b>class</b> 2:2 24:16
changed 58:3	<b>charts</b> 132:8,8	<b>cites</b> 46:4	24:21 188:25
144:25	<b>check</b> 24:2 89:9	<b>citing</b> 40:24	classes 24:15
changes 26:22	163:15	41:15	<b>clause</b> 52:2,3
96:9 198:21	chemistry	<b>city</b> 100:4	cleanup 7:3
changing	119:13	106:5	<b>clear</b> 36:22
153:15	chesapeake	<b>claim</b> 5:19,21	40:12 41:6,11
<b>chapter</b> 5:20,23	32:19,19 33:1	5:22 8:3 33:10	41:16 42:23
6:12	33:17 34:8	33:13 41:17	43:12 46:16
character	52:14,16	42:8 47:12,13	49:20 51:12
179:4	chesapeake's	52:17 74:16	54:2 63:6
characteristic	33:3	75:8 83:24	66:10,16 87:19
108:1 180:11	chicken 65:2	84:2	99:14 116:22
characteristics	<b>chief</b> 7:24	claimants 6:5	120:16 152:20
119:2 124:10	chooses 27:13	claiming 6:6	152:23 153:6
129:9 131:12	66:14	47:9	154:23 170:8
131:19 133:4	christie 13:13	<b>claims</b> 6:10 8:6	202:18
162:14	christopher	8:20 9:11 40:9	clearly 34:17
characterizati	13:13	42:23,25 44:9	47:22 173:22
7:4 102:24	circle 48:8	44:12 61:23	clerk 9:3
103:8,16	93:25	75:1	client 35:2
108:18 113:4	circular 118:14	clarification	51:25 74:1
123:7 129:19		56:25 66:6	83:1 84:12

### [client - commissioner]

86:4	135:11	27:12 30:11,20	83:23 84:1,4
<b>client's</b> 36:25	combine 68:5	32:2,6,11,16,18	85:20 88:11
clients 75:25	combined	32:25 33:2,5,7	89:1 90:7,13
cloak 36:5	140:16 146:15	33:9,12 34:5,7	91:8,16,20
<b>close</b> 22:8	<b>come</b> 9:21	34:9,11,13,16	93:15,19 94:23
82:16 91:1	66:22 69:15	35:7 36:9,16	97:25 99:10,15
135:23 175:13	70:3 72:2,12	36:21 37:5	101:11 115:19
184:12	91:2 92:25	38:23 39:4,8	119:21 138:7
<b>closed</b> 148:10	93:23 142:2	39:22,23 40:4	142:6 144:5,24
148:15,16	184:19 198:22	40:5,11,20,21	145:17 152:21
closer 7:20	200:11	40:23,25 41:5	152:23 153:18
24:12 97:19	<b>comes</b> 25:23	41:15,24 42:1	154:25 172:25
186:23	71:9 79:4	42:6,11,17	183:23 186:5
<b>closures</b> 148:21	comfortable	43:7,14,19	186:10 189:14
<b>co2</b> 71:7 76:18	174:9	44:6,11,11,14	189:23 190:4,6
78:11 82:3	<b>coming</b> 12:15	44:20,24 45:3	191:8 192:7
102:7 132:24	68:14 77:15	45:8 47:13,15	193:6,9 194:13
141:10 147:2	84:14	48:1 49:21	199:20 200:22
149:24 163:8	commence	50:6,17,25	201:2 202:4
176:5 181:4	110:18	51:12,18,21,23	203:18,21,21
187:18 188:15	comment 80:3	52:4,9,11,13,14	203:24,24
193:11 200:9	comments 49:9	52:16,21 54:10	204:1,8
<b>code</b> 15:13	49:12 77:5	54:16,20,21	commission's
coffee 17:1	80:8	57:15 58:17	11:9 33:18
cognizable	commission 1:1	59:6 61:8,9,18	37:7 40:16
44:19 61:7	3:6,9 6:3 7:11	61:24 62:8	43:21,22 44:1
colleague 31:2	8:4,16,19,24	66:14,23 67:10	45:4,10 59:6
31:20	9:1,3,5 10:11	68:19,20,24	62:17 63:6
<b>collect</b> 110:21	10:12 13:7,18	69:7,15 70:4	74:10 75:23
111:3,12	15:22 17:7	72:19 73:21	78:24 83:18
collected 111:2	18:18 19:24	74:5,12,14,21	92:14 140:13
115:22 158:23	20:18 21:7	75:2,5,19 77:1	145:13 205:5
<b>colored</b> 126:3	22:5,25 23:1	77:16,20,21	205:22
<b>colors</b> 114:14	23:25 24:20	79:8,10 80:10	commissioner
114:15 124:19	25:9,16 27:10	80:11 83:10,16	3:3,13,14,16,19

### [commissioner - common]

3:23,24,25 4:9	62:23 65:10,11	163:14,24	202:12,16,21
4:11,12,13,14	65:12,14,16,19	164:6,11,13,15	203:3,6,15
4:15,17 5:2,4,7	66:18,24 67:17	164:22 165:7	204:3,6,14,18
5:12 6:16,20	67:18,23 68:10	165:10,13,17	204:21 205:6,8
7:9,12,18,22	68:13 70:20	166:7,18,25	205:10,15,21
9:19 10:2,4,5,7	71:19,21,22,24	167:17,22	206:1,6
10:8,20,24	71:25 72:13	168:6,21 169:2	commissioners
11:3,5,13,16,18	73:24 77:2	169:10,18,22	4:25 9:22
11:20 12:17,19	81:6,12 82:13	169:25 170:5	16:11 23:25
12:22 13:20,22	82:19,20 84:7	170:20 171:3,8	30:19,24 31:6
14:4,7,9,10,11	84:8,10,14,16	171:17,23	33:19 35:5
14:12,19,22,24	84:22,23 85:3	172:3,5,17,23	36:6 38:22
15:2,4,8,25	85:18 86:13,18	173:6,7 176:23	39:10,22 41:6
16:4,8,14,17	86:23 87:10	176:24 177:11	47:17 48:9
18:24 19:2,15	88:12 89:15,17	178:6,12,14,15	51:8 54:9,13
19:22 20:25	89:21,24 90:1	178:15,16	62:25 69:12
21:24 22:1,9	90:3,9,25	179:5,10,15,25	70:7,16,18
22:14,19,23	91:10,22,25	180:20 181:2,7	73:1 77:4
23:12,15,19,21	92:5,7,19,20,21	181:11,23	89:23 91:22
23:23 24:2,4,5	92:23 93:5,22	182:19,22,24	92:19 93:15
24:6,7,9,11,13	96:18,20 97:17	183:2,20,21	155:5 185:15
24:14,18,20,23	142:12 143:8	184:1,3,8,14,18	196:3 201:15
24:24,25 25:9	143:10,14,17	184:21 185:12	201:17 203:6
25:17,19 26:3	143:20,23	186:17,21	commissions
26:7,9 27:15	144:1 152:7	194:18,20	60:12 101:5
27:19,22 28:19	155:2,5,9,10	195:23 196:2,4	<b>commit</b> 28:16
28:20,24 29:3	156:19,23	196:13,19	44:13
29:10,13,15,17	157:2,11,15,17	197:14,20,25	commitment
29:20,23 30:2	157:21 158:7	198:3,14 199:2	160:9
30:8,23 31:3,8	158:12 159:6	199:12,18,25	committee
31:16 39:9,11	159:10,15,20	200:13,16,17	26:20
39:14,18 48:5	159:24 160:12	200:17,18,20	committing
51:5 54:12,14	160:24 161:10	200:21 201:6	159:25
54:18,19 56:16	161:14,21	201:11,14,21	<b>common</b> 64:16
56:21,24 57:1	162:4,17,25	201:23,24,25	

# [commonly - conditions]

	I	1	
commonly	107:4 111:22	157:5	conclusions
113:14 118:4	114:2,3 115:22	comprise	56:4
126:15 127:8	129:20 130:5	113:11	<b>concur</b> 18:4
140:20 166:5	132:25 136:18	comprising	193:21 204:5
176:20	138:21 140:4	131:8	concurred 17:6
communicating	174:25 189:19	compromises	concurrent
132:5	completely	121:24	10:2
communication	130:9	compulsory 8:3	condition 2:4
119:17 120:2	completion	<b>concede</b> 66:15	43:25 53:3
173:20	104:25 139:20	74:4	72:8 81:23
company 31:24	compliance	concentration	83:16 87:11,15
comparable	8:10	134:24 135:4	87:20 88:9,20
133:8 162:1	compliant	concentrations	88:22 89:14
compared	107:23	135:2,15	91:3,5 147:2
163:7	complicated	concept 35:6	150:4 188:17
comparison	105:25	<b>concern</b> 20:16	191:7,9,11,11
116:6	complimenting	67:8 69:2,3	192:10,11,14
compensate	129:14	76:19,20 77:25	192:15,16
35:14	<b>comply</b> 147:1	79:12 120:12	193:3,4,7,17
competence	component	162:20 187:11	194:3 196:6
41:5	176:21	187:25 198:15	202:6,8,10
competent	components	198:19 199:6	conditions
129:14	110:11 118:23	concerned	43:14 56:9
compilation	120:14 151:21	201:3	69:5 70:11
127:23	167:9 200:23	concerns 9:14	72:1,3,7,10,12
compiled 96:7	comport	13:24 61:13	72:15,16,17
complaint	144:22	74:11,15 75:20	73:8,17,18
74:23	composite	77:14 78:14	75:21 76:13
complaints 9:7	117:2	116:2 187:22	77:6,10,14
complete 4:5	compositions	194:5	79:16,21 81:9
92:16,18 99:18	107:11	concluded	82:24 85:9,13
137:10 138:2	compressed	40:20 206:13	85:16 87:9,19
146:8	107:21	conclusion	88:2 89:2,12
completed	compression	55:24 69:15	90:5 103:4
101:15 105:23	107:19,21	70:2	104:4 108:9,13

### [conditions - containment]

116:19 122:17	conferral 22:13	consensus	149:14 205:4
122:20 126:13	73:8,12,22	69:24 72:3,22	205:13,24
126:17 127:10	confident 149:2	consent 46:9	considerations
128:1,10 129:4	confidentiality	47:23 58:13	80:1,9 102:12
131:2 132:22	46:6	75:16	considered
133:6 134:6,10	confidentially	consented 59:2	69:17 135:20
134:21 137:11	51:24	consents 46:13	159:8
137:18 140:20	configuration	consequence	considering
144:12 150:2,3	100:8	56:15 69:1	120:22
151:22 160:23	confined 119:7	75:3	considers 8:17
161:8 163:17	confinement	consequences	117:13
164:3 166:4	181:20	54:25 55:23	consistent
175:15 187:17	confining	56:3 61:16	49:12 108:22
191:11 192:6	112:19,21	62:14,15 63:2	128:23 136:20
194:12 195:15	113:1 121:22	63:19 65:17	construct 128:8
202:1,5	126:7 198:11	67:21,25,25	constructed
<b>conduct</b> 34:17	198:13	68:23 80:24	107:3 108:3,11
34:22 37:8	<b>confirm</b> 88:16	conservation	108:23 188:1
38:25 51:17	107:4 111:19	1:1 3:5,8,9 5:1	188:14 190:15
52:21 131:1	126:16 127:11	16:3 30:10	constructing
conducted 41:2	128:20	31:6 66:23	155:22 187:17
95:18,22	confirmation	185:24	construction
conducts	126:7	conservative	104:1 145:17
191:14	confirmed	124:6,12	<b>contain</b> 109:10
<b>conduit</b> 176:7	139:4	133:13 137:11	112:13 119:3
confer 21:4	confirming	137:14 166:5	119:16 128:15
61:18 73:15	118:21 119:17	consider 8:20	129:13 131:13
74:1,1 75:25	120:1 129:3	78:25 123:22	contained
84:12	conflict 68:3	132:23 133:19	59:23 182:4
conference	confused 63:4	182:15 199:24	containing
4:22 5:17	confusing	202:4 203:19	78:21 107:12
11:23,24 15:14	71:10 181:24	203:24 205:12	110:3 126:8,22
17:5 27:11	confusion	consideration	containment
28:15,18,21	189:7	44:13 48:4	121:24 182:11
29:4,5		79:6 80:5 85:8	183:10,14

### [containment - course]

198:1	contours 135:1	<b>core</b> 132:2	corresponds
contemplated	contract 43:17	<b>cores</b> 111:12	128:19
34:18,22,23	51:21,23	corral 43:5	corrosion
contends 42:1	contractual	44:3 62:18	79:17,17,24
content 190:3	40:2 43:20	74:8	108:10 110:9
190:17 191:5,7	45:7 47:14	correct 4:11	111:24 192:23
195:14	contrary 49:9	22:21 42:5	192:24
contents 97:5	contribute	66:20,21 85:3	corrosive 82:2
98:5	140:7	97:8,9,15,16	108:9 149:25
contested 85:11	contributions	98:3 119:23	<b>costs</b> 76:22
contesting	124:3	146:24 147:6	<b>council</b> 18:16
95:14	<b>control</b> 102:3	153:3,4,20,21	54:16 62:25
context 53:25	103:14 108:4,6	153:24,25	64:14 68:20
54:1,8 61:5	108:7 135:25	154:8,9,11,12	82:18 203:21
67:2 83:5,17	167:10 185:24	154:14,15,20	counsel 9:2
contingency	controversial	154:21 157:14	31:1 56:16
103:25 188:21	93:2	165:12 172:22	63:17 73:11,23
188:23 193:12	conversation	180:24 192:8	91:13,16 94:14
continuation	18:7 85:23	192:12 201:16	144:6 152:10
93:6	86:1	correction 91:6	185:16 207:7
continue 111:3	conveyance	corrections	207:10
173:5	60:6	96:9	<b>country</b> 23:1,6
continued	conveyed 47:5	correctly	<b>county</b> 30:16
102:20 110:19	<b>cool</b> 135:11	170:13 203:1	46:1,1 93:12
continuing	<b>cooler</b> 114:14	correlative	<b>couple</b> 20:11
158:22	124:18	80:13,14	26:13 37:2
continuity	<b>cooling</b> 107:22	138:15 141:14	45:13 48:10
115:3 126:11	coordinate	141:18 195:7	82:22 101:21
continuous	159:1,3	195:17 201:1	115:1,1 121:16
108:15 115:3	<b>copies</b> 104:6	correspond	144:16 149:18
177:19	138:2	135:11 136:9	152:13 155:12
<b>contour</b> 114:10	<b>copy</b> 1:21,22,23	corresponding	173:10
114:14 134:18	97:1 142:12,18	191:21 192:2	<b>course</b> 104:1
134:22 136:2,7	142:23	197:9	106:22,25
136:11			135:15

	26.25	1 4 4 2	170 2 107 10
<b>court</b> 3:2 40:3	<b>cross</b> 36:25	<b>cusp</b> 144:2	179:2 187:10
40:9,14,25	114:24 125:14	<b>cut</b> 198:10	date 14:3,5
42:4,22 43:1	135:10,14	<b>cuts</b> 190:11	15:6 17:20
43:23 48:24	136:3,21,22	<b>cutting</b> 180:9	18:1 19:6,10
51:15 52:8	143:13,15	<b>cx</b> 1:9	20:14 21:5
58:16 66:2	144:2,6 180:25	<b>cycling</b> 89:13	25:10 35:23
68:17 73:20	181:2,3	d	154:7
74:23,24 97:20	crossing 37:12	<b>d</b> 1:22 3:1	<b>dates</b> 17:23
<b>courts</b> 41:4,23	crosstalk 11:3	69:25 142:18	18:3,9,10
67:16 68:17	11:12 13:21	142:21 143:5,7	19:25 20:13
<b>cover</b> 45:21	65:15 169:9	daily 30:16	21:8,11,21
<b>covered</b> 192:23	170:4 172:2,3	93:11 133:12	22:4 25:8 28:9
<b>covers</b> 188:2	181:1,6,10	140:16	28:12,18
<b>create</b> 33:20,21	205:7	damages 66:25	<b>david</b> 1:10
creates 26:13	<b>cubic</b> 98:19	67:20	93:20 94:7,13
<b>creek</b> 35:8,13	134:4,8 136:25	dangerous	189:25
100:19 101:2,5	137:9	102:5 159:22	<b>day</b> 24:19 29:5
101:10 104:25	current 20:22	data 58:1 88:21	73:21 98:20
105:6,8,22	27:4 67:3	89:9 90:23	134:4,11 137:1
106:7 111:2	100:8 104:13	102:19,22	137:9,12,12
113:24 114:21	107:10 114:7	102:19,22	191:24 202:7
115:22 122:6	116:2 152:21	105:15 110:15	206:11
128:24 129:10	154:16	111:4 113:2	days 17:19 27:1
134:3 135:22	currently 26:25	111.4 113.2	203:17
141:2 144:19	45:18 58:3	121:5,19	<b>de</b> 4:20 5:17
145:1,2,11,13	61:17 65:23	127:18,23	deadline 20:4
146:16 149:19	67:1 80:18	127.18,23	27:5
150:6,7 151:18	100:12 106:11	128.25 129.1	deadlines 22:12
153:11 156:9	108:2 138:21	130:20,23,25	26:24
170:6 188:2,4	149:20 150:16	130.20,23,25	<b>deal</b> 35:13
196:22 197:3	curriculum 2:5	131.13 132.2,2 132:3,3 149:5	128:7
197:11 198:19	185:25	132.3,5 149.5	<b>decades</b> 67:10
critical 119:2	<b>curves</b> 170:11	150:17 154:7	<b>decide</b> 51:14
126:5 180:13	170:11	155:25 158:23	201:15
		155:25 158:25	
		104.3 170.10	

decided 25:6	delaware	department	description
65:8 71:8	109:22,24	9:13 12:14,14	1:17 107:17
decision 27:8	112:8 113:13	185:22	153:8 154:4
56:11 69:8	113:17 126:25	dependent	<b>desert</b> 30:25
70:14,14 86:21	127:19 128:3	162:9	31:20 35:2,16
101:12 116:5	129:2 149:18	depending	35:21 37:17
decisions 26:23	149:20,21	92:13 113:17	38:14,19 39:23
decorum 12:25	164:5 189:17	113:21 124:22	40:7 41:25
13:10	192:18,20,22	191:19	42:20,22 43:2
deducted	<b>delay</b> 180:1	depends 204:11	43:9,12 44:7
197:18	delegated	depicted	44:12,25 45:5
<b>deed</b> 1:21 47:20	39:24	191:16	45:15 46:3,18
51:23 52:19	delineation	depiction	49:15 51:20
<b>deeds</b> 45:21,25	102:23	144:22	53:21 55:4,5
75:15 142:13	demonstrate	deployed	55:10,15 57:9
<b>deep</b> 101:6	46:17 47:17	193:11	57:12,22 58:10
148:19 161:3	demonstrated	<b>depth</b> 102:19	59:15,20 60:20
180:11	57:18 112:19	109:4,9,14	61:19,20 62:20
<b>deeper</b> 148:25	130:21 181:20	110:19 114:15	63:13,23 64:1
<b>define</b> 66:14	182:17,17	117:12 118:16	64:3,4,6,10,15
<b>defined</b> 145:18	<b>denied</b> 45:11	128:22 167:3	64:17,17 65:4
definitely 13:7	62:22	173:14 187:23	65:25 69:2,4
13:23,25 28:6	<b>denies</b> 5:20,22	<b>depths</b> 114:7	75:4,8,12 80:9
171:17,18	5:24 6:4,9	114:16 118:3	80:25 82:10
172:5 181:4	denoted 112:6	159:2	84:5 85:21
183:15	densities	derivative	88:10,18,24
definition	128:16	103:15	90:16 147:5
37:21,22 59:24	<b>density</b> 106:19	<b>derived</b> 114:10	deserts 40:4
definitively	110:22 124:13	130:24	<b>design</b> 102:12
49:1	125:11 128:19	describe 83:11	107:8,25
<b>deflect</b> 177:20	133:4 150:13	described	108:21 109:3
deflection	<b>deny</b> 9:5 10:7,8	146:9	113:25 117:12
178:4	44:24 45:3	describing 83:9	138:13 139:8
dehydrated	48:1 56:6,8	145:25	139:10 157:3
107:21			

# [designated - discuss]

designated	67:16 80:6	103:5 105:18	difficulties
60:2	105:16 140:25	114:12,18	100:21,24
designed 44:1	159:5 191:13	115:7 116:7	diffuse 135:17
99:4 108:8,12	191:25	118:12 126:14	digital 207:3
138:22 170:25	determining	127:21 128:21	dimensional
187:8	51:16 78:18,20	138:19 140:17	130:13
designee 3:14	106:13,16	161:3 170:14	dimensions
3:18	133:5	170:16 173:14	131:16
desired 156:9	detrimental	174:17,20	<b>dioxide</b> 34:24
despite 54:4	110:4	179:10,14,14	107:13 176:19
<b>detail</b> 150:7	developed	179:19 180:4,6	<b>dip</b> 114:22
190:12	150:23 158:17	180:18 181:5	<b>dipole</b> 111:1
detailed 154:6	development	181:25 187:7	170:10
188:8	99:23 106:13	188:11	<b>dipping</b> 114:18
<b>details</b> 69:10	115:8,25 116:7	diagram	167:11
97:24	116:10 145:8	107:18 109:2	<b>direct</b> 17:24
detectable	156:6,12	127:15,17	18:11 27:1,5
176:12	deviate 116:1	136:12	94:14 148:22
detection 78:10	deviated	dialogue 72:18	176:7 185:16
detectors	101:14 105:17	dictate 83:17	195:22
176:20	116:24 117:5	dictates 34:15	direction 96:7
determination	155:17,18	<b>die</b> 180:12	directions
42:10,14 75:5	156:12,13	<b>differ</b> 177:16	131:6 172:15
75:7 140:5	161:16	difference 84:1	directly 67:19
145:21	deviates 114:1	different 50:9	99:8 156:6
determinations	deviation	56:12 68:6	174:19
56:6	161:17	82:25 121:16	director 3:7
determine	deviations	197:7	disagree 7:4,5
34:14 39:25	63:21	differential	37:25 53:12,19
41:16 42:7,18	<b>device</b> 87:25	129:12	discrepancies
58:16 83:24	89:6	differing 67:6	50:14 190:18
106:20 116:13	devonian 46:20	67:13	discuss 9:24
122:17 192:1	62:8,10 76:21	difficult 20:17	12:24 13:8
determined	77:10 79:13	134:8 150:16	17:9 19:8 32:4
43:4 62:9	87:23 93:18		33:14 38:18

52:2 157:18	<b>dispose</b> 32:12	<b>divert</b> 63:20	168:17
discussed 22:12	dispositive	division 3:8	documentation
62:25 72:2	36:19	4:21 5:1,15 6:3	158:1,4,7,15
78:7 139:17	<b>dispute</b> 7:8 8:1	9:2,15 11:15	documents
144:13 159:16	40:2,12 42:25	16:3 31:7	164:19 174:5
160:3 175:11	43:5,5,9 44:15	48:12 49:20	174:14
190:8 194:7	48:20 49:14	50:6,11 59:6	doing 9:8 92:5
discussing 20:5	50:5,23 55:3	59:25 60:2	204:7
101:19 189:21	55:11,14 56:1	62:9 66:3	dolomite 132:1
discussion	56:20 57:8	67:10 74:21	domain 45:5
36:12 85:1,19	58:15 66:11	77:19,21 80:2	<b>door</b> 73:4
146:20,25	79:7 82:11,12	85:13 87:20	85:23
162:7 193:15	disputed 49:22	89:1 90:21	<b>doubt</b> 34:19
193:19	55:17	101:12 119:25	downhole 78:3
discussions	disputes 33:19	144:6 147:1	100:21,24
76:2,6 86:3	33:23 45:7,9	153:19 154:8	108:5 110:11
162:12	48:23 56:23	154:11,13	111:23
dismissed 6:14	<b>dissolve</b> 151:10	160:3 176:2	downtime
dispersion	distance 58:24	184:17 185:16	102:2
172:15	161:11 162:2,6	185:24 193:10	<b>dr</b> 3:16 51:8
<b>displace</b> 179:22	162:20	200:11	57:7,15 63:21
displacement	distribution	division's 22:17	74:14 76:12
145:24 178:10	132:6	59:8 60:18	92:13 155:7
178:19 180:7	<b>district</b> 40:3,8	61:1 80:22	190:7
182:14	40:14 42:22	87:19 92:17	draft 203:8,18
displayed	43:1,23 48:24	175:15	203:22 205:3
126:1	51:15 58:16	divisions 60:12	205:25
displaying	66:2 68:17	<b>dmg</b> 150:10	<b>drafted</b> 203:11
134:18 136:13	73:20 74:23,24	151:3 157:23	drawing 69:14
disposal 36:8	disturbance	<b>docket</b> 10:19	69:23 70:9
50:1 53:25	100:13,16,17	10:23	<b>drill</b> 32:6,14,20
62:12 98:21	<b>diverse</b> 145:19	<b>doctor's</b> 82:23	33:10 52:6
104:18,20	diversion	document 60:7	105:6,13
122:4,11	116:21	84:3 158:20	109:14 127:3
140:21		160:1 168:10	138:18 140:13

	1	1	<u>,                                    </u>
157:22 158:19	<b>duties</b> 78:24	<b>eclipse</b> 130:6	elevated 103:5
189:10	95:12	146:7	140:7
<b>drilled</b> 37:20	<b>dv</b> 109:15	economic 66:25	<b>email</b> 9:7,8
101:14 105:23	<b>dx</b> 1:9	67:24,24 68:8	<b>empire</b> 4:10
109:20 118:11	е	80:8	25:11
118:15 148:18	<b>e</b> 1:4,16,23 3:1	<b>edge</b> 66:9	<b>employ</b> 151:2
153:22 156:25	3:1 142:23	education	employed
164:10,12	143:3,5,7	95:17	94:18,20 207:8
196:15	185:10,10,10	<b>effect</b> 152:3	207:11
drilling 76:21	188:6	effective 187:9	employee
104:19,22,23	earlier 21:11	efficient 187:20	207:10
110:16 111:9	41:14,14 51:9	<b>effort</b> 86:3	encased 149:24
111:22 114:5	63:1 71:5	<b>egress</b> 77:24	encounter
126:16 128:4,6	73:10 132:20	<b>eight</b> 131:10	108:25 113:24
128:12,16,20	133:21 144:11	164:25 202:6	128:10 137:15
128:24 129:8	144:14 145:25	eighteen	178:1
129:10 150:7,9	147:14 152:14	202:15	encountered
150:13,14	169:3 189:25	either 8:23	150:9
151:3,3 158:15	190:20 193:8	27:20,21 64:10	encountering
158:16 159:12	193:15 194:8	70:4 72:25	175:6
159:16,21	early 32:16	78:9 80:10	encourage 27:9
160:10 187:15	78:10 105:24	81:23 91:4	encroached
187:17	east 33:24	121:17 135:13	79:3
<b>drinking</b> 80:16	100:6,15	161:9 165:22	encroaches
119:19 195:18	121:17,17	165:23	63:25
<b>drive</b> 129:6	123:5 148:5	<b>ejection</b> 185:23	encroachment
<b>dry</b> 108:12	167:14	element 55:4	65:23 79:6
<b>due</b> 100:21	eastern 112:8	55:11,22 61:25	endeavor 28:6
152:3	126:25 128:3	62:13 63:17,18	<b>ended</b> 18:21
<b>duly</b> 41:19 94:8	120:25 128:5	90:19	20:7 26:14
185:3	easy 200:9	elements 54:22	33:3 170:18
dumbest 63:3	eccles 169:19	55:1 56:2,14	<b>ends</b> 21:18
duration	169:19	62:3 63:1	energy 3:18
123:20	echo 11:6 26:10	101:22	5:11,11 8:2
			185:21

### [enforcement - examine]

	1	1	1
enforcement	157:12 159:18	estimate	68:11 69:4,10
8:12,14 9:9	195:18	133:13	72:20,21 86:14
enforcing 9:16	environmental	estimated	86:19 206:10
engage 76:2	78:13 102:4	188:5	<b>evidence</b> 40:15
83:13	157:10	estimates	43:13 45:25
engagement	envision 134:9	124:12 130:3	48:3 52:17
205:23	<b>eog</b> 16:23	<b>et</b> 8:21 30:5	56:5,7 58:5,18
engaging 83:19	<b>equate</b> 134:11	48:15 78:1	58:23 64:2
engineering	equates 140:19	79:16,18,25	72:11 121:22
186:10,15	equilibrium	80:16 81:3	148:22 172:24
english 89:22	133:9	127:1	evidenced 60:6
<b>ensure</b> 104:3	equipment	evaluate 120:9	evidential
109:15 131:5	89:9 112:1	122:17 152:16	72:11
136:17 137:14	194:7	evaluated	evidentiary
140:1 150:22	equivalent	113:6 127:2	30:10 76:15
187:9,19	123:19 188:6	evaluating	85:2
<b>enter</b> 56:11	erroneous	120:8 122:13	evolution
entered 8:6	13:17	130:25 145:9	104:12 108:17
90:18 96:21	<b>escape</b> 76:18	150:17 174:13	130:3 163:7
143:10 186:18	especially	195:4	<b>evolved</b> 129:21
entertain 70:16	68:22 166:2	evaluation	evolving 163:2
82:19	183:11	149:4 164:19	<b>exact</b> 14:5
entire 7:2 38:16	essentially 9:7	170:12	62:12 166:17
64:9 85:8	50:7 127:18	evaluations	177:17
169:23	132:8 178:23	129:22	exactly 134:9
entirely 42:24	180:2	<b>eve</b> 3:4	144:24 158:24
44:14 49:17	establish 46:3	<b>evenly</b> 179:9	171:15 174:5
entities 9:25	48:11	<b>event</b> 52:1	192:9
45:6	established	<b>events</b> 103:6	examination
entitled 8:2	6:25 34:5	120:11	94:14 143:13
<b>entity</b> 64:16,18	61:22	eventual 69:6	143:15 144:6
<b>entry</b> 7:16	establishing	eventually	152:10 185:16
environment	67:3	171:9 188:16	examine 50:13
54:24 62:19	estate 45:20	everybody 3:4	51:2
80:15 141:21		15:9 29:8 30:4	

# [examined - extensively]

[	1		1
examined	excused 154:25	122:7 125:2	<b>explain</b> 144:23
94:10 185:5	183:23 201:12	130:13	193:5
examiner 5:9	exercised 54:3	exists 50:2	explained 41:1
examining	54:4	expansion	188:9 189:6
144:2	<b>exert</b> 167:9	144:17,25	190:2 192:12
<b>exceed</b> 42:2	exhaustive 67:5	188:3,7	196:7
121:20 172:20	exhaustively	expect 7:23	explanation
180:15	67:12	20:8 21:22	188:9 190:1
exceedingly 9:9	exhibit 1:17	113:23 114:7	exposures
26:22	90:22 95:10	126:17 129:3,5	112:11
exceeds 41:3	97:4,7 98:2	163:11 167:12	express 46:9
excellent 3:25	119:22 142:10	172:14	47:20 60:12
5:12 7:12	142:12,16,18	expectation	121:9
11:20 15:9,20	142:21,23	27:4 160:22	expression
16:14 19:2	143:3 144:12	expectations	121:12 135:25
21:24 22:14,19	186:3,13,19	140:9	expressly 46:13
29:10,14,15,20	188:25 189:4	expected 57:19	<b>extend</b> 18:13
31:8 39:18	190:18 191:2,2	80:19 109:12	47:2,11 55:10
89:15 90:3	191:5 192:5	112:25 137:20	57:9,20 58:7,8
154:24 184:3	194:12,16,17	expense 76:19	58:24 61:12
184:14,18,23	195:12,16	expensive	100:13 117:17
195:23 201:11	202:19	76:22 80:4	extended 86:25
201:14 204:6	exhibits 17:25	experience	124:1
206:1	18:1 19:11	19:18 95:17	extending
<b>except</b> 46:11,15	43:15 85:15	159:21 197:11	20:21 46:21
47:24 77:17	96:5,10 103:12	experienced	117:11 135:8
191:10	142:2,4,7	80:25	137:23 140:10
<b>excerpt</b> 164:1	143:5,6 146:24	experiences	extends 49:23
exchange 87:6	147:13 194:22	129:10	149:21
88:18 180:2	195:11	<b>expert</b> 94:25	extension
excludes 60:20	existing 45:23	96:14 186:9,14	150:20
exclusive 41:22	49:22 78:4	189:6	extensive
51:14	81:14 103:24	expertise 43:21	129:15 139:6
excuse 38:4	109:23 114:21	<b>experts</b> 18:16	extensively
64:7,13 133:1	114:22 116:20	55:25	129:18

# [extent - felderwork]

<b>extent</b> 8:16,19	38:16 39:4	103:1 120:4,10	180:11 183:6,8
47:8 50:22	52:11 53:13	120:23 121:2,8	183:16,18
118:14 135:5	60:23 71:17	121:10,15,20	187:12 197:23
148:6,7,8	83:3 95:10	122:1,16,25	197:24 198:23
152:16 153:1	129:14	123:1,11	198:24 199:5
154:3 179:17	<b>factor</b> 92:23	125:10,12,14	favorable
180:23 182:10	191:15 197:18	125:16,19,21	72:21 147:25
190:9 198:6,8	197:18	125:25 126:3,9	<b>fe</b> 15:23 30:22
199:3	<b>factors</b> 149:15	134:5,21	<b>feasible</b> 160:20
<b>extra</b> 25:22	facts 56:8	135:24 136:1	<b>features</b> 120:17
<b>eye</b> 175:8	factual 56:22	136:23 137:18	february 4:2,7
<b>eyes</b> 84:20	63:12 77:9	140:3 147:9,15	4:18 17:18
f	91:2	147:21,24,25	<b>federal</b> 14:15
facilitate	<b>fail</b> 147:25	148:6,9,10,12	118:13 139:18
203:20	176:8	148:18 149:8	173:11 174:1
<b>facilities</b> 45:22	<b>failure</b> 148:1	149:13 167:1	175:18 176:25
58:21 102:1	<b>fairly</b> 21:17	172:13 178:18	feedback 19:25
103:23 138:22	faith 32:5,14	178:19 179:17	20:8
176:20	33:10,13 37:8	179:18 180:3	<b>feel</b> 55:21 70:7
<b>facility</b> 31:22	38:25 45:16	180:15 182:2	71:15 84:20
40:18 57:23	46:17 47:18	190:7,9,13	105:25
98:13,15 99:3	51:17 53:7	198:5,8,8,9	<b>feels</b> 13:15
99:4,21 100:1	75:14 142:14	199:1	<b>feet</b> 98:19
100:3,9,9,15	<b>fall</b> 37:21	<b>faults</b> 113:5	109:5,9,14,20
100.3,9,9,13	falloff 111:17	121:6,7,9,14,18	110:6 112:12
104:21 105:6	falls 76:25	121:18,19	112:20,25
106:8,10 122:5	familiar 95:5	123:9 126:10	115:12 117:11
122:7,11 135:9	121:1	131:3,3 133:22	117:18 118:9
137:24 140:11	<b>far</b> 9:20 10:10	135:20,23	131:17 134:4,8
141:6 145:6	10:13 202:8	137:22 147:8,9	136:25 137:9
155:20 156:7	<b>farther</b> 81:17	148:2,19,25	161:16,20,21
	172:14	165:19,20	162:1 173:23
156:21 157:4,4	<b>fast</b> 19:15,17	177:18,21	189:11 198:10
177:9,14 fact 12:5 10	fault 36:13	178:3,8,17	felderwork
<b>fact</b> 12:5,10	95:2 96:2,15	179:3,4 180:9	15:21,22 16:1
13:15 36:16			

# [felderwork - formation]

18:7 19:3,4,16	finally 32:11	<b>fit</b> 200:10	follow 33:5
21:2 23:13	39:6 62:13	<b>five</b> 86:20,21	following 59:5
27:15,17,21	financial 79:6	87:13 88:23	59:25 66:19
29:11,12,25	80:1	90:24 109:2	109:18 130:4
<b>field</b> 141:7	financially	120:20 122:1	151:7
<b>figure</b> 17:19	207:11	123:16 184:4	<b>follows</b> 94:10
64:20 68:17	<b>find</b> 6:24 70:9	193:23 202:9	185:5
84:24,24	71:10 85:24	202:20,24	<b>foot</b> 168:11
130:12 147:13	114:8 117:7	<b>flaring</b> 141:6	170:15
178:25	168:24 174:6	flexibility 18:3	footprint
<b>file</b> 45:25 49:4	192:1	18:10 19:1	133:14 134:23
54:7 61:22	<b>finding</b> 40:22	20:13	135:1,6 136:6
164:18	<b>findings</b> 36:16	<b>flow</b> 90:23	137:14 145:23
<b>filed</b> 9:1,6	<b>finds</b> 6:1	104:11 134:5	177:25
19:21 27:10	<b>fine</b> 22:24	135:21 136:3	<b>force</b> 53:24
32:25 35:8	23:11,13,17,20	137:18 170:24	54:7 69:20
40:8 45:25	26:2,2 29:12	177:22	<b>forecast</b> 103:17
46:23 51:24	29:16,19	<b>fluid</b> 119:4,12	129:23
64:25 74:23	<b>finger</b> 48:6	124:8,10	forecasts 130:5
76:7 85:14	205:18	126:16 128:4	foregoing
95:6 97:4,14	<b>finish</b> 203:10	128:12,20	207:4
97:25 145:12	<b>firm</b> 21:21	129:8 133:4,24	forget 184:22
<b>filing</b> 17:23,24	168:16	165:14	forgetting
17:25 18:10	<b>first</b> 4:10,20	<b>fluids</b> 40:15	155:3
19:6,11,18	7:15 18:13	110:3 111:9	<b>forgive</b> 134:14
20:13 26:24	23:2 32:4,13	125:11 129:13	forgiveness
27:5 47:7	33:7 43:18	131:3,4 167:8	91:12
73:20	51:8 54:22	178:4	<b>forgot</b> 155:2
<b>filings</b> 50:14	55:3,9 59:16	<b>fluvial</b> 112:10	<b>form</b> 32:17
<b>fill</b> 20:10 68:19	61:25 63:17	<b>flux</b> 176:5	40:9 51:22
filling 68:7	77:3 94:8	<b>flying</b> 18:17	98:22 159:9
<b>final</b> 8:11	99:19 104:16	focused 21:14	182:15
101:17 164:19	109:3,7 144:9	<b>fold</b> 188:7	<b>formal</b> 90:16
170:12	153:1 155:7	<b>folks</b> 123:7	formation 43:3
	170:22 185:3		51:4 110:3,7

# [formation - gebremichael]

	Γ		1
111:8 114:8	164:20 170:1,2	function 45:4	104:18,20
115:14 119:12	170:3,8,13,17	83:9 173:17	106:17,19
151:17,24	170:21 171:15	<b>further</b> 66:3	107:8,10,12
152:2 165:8	192:1 196:16	68:10 126:13	108:13 109:23
187:8 196:24	197:2,6,8	141:25 143:12	110:4,7 112:15
formations	fractured	152:6 154:23	112:16,17
114:4,6 118:7	197:3	195:22 207:9	113:15 117:20
150:12	fractures 151:9	furthermore	118:22 120:21
<b>forms</b> 50:9 68:6	francis 32:23	103:1 188:10	124:11,15
formulating	33:18	<b>fussman</b> 116:9	125:7 126:6,8
19:9	<b>free</b> 105:25	165:16,17	126:18,22
<b>forth</b> 12:7 33:4	frequency	170:14	127:14 128:7
157:25 199:3	89:10 90:23	<b>future</b> 40:10	132:23 133:3,5
<b>forum</b> 7:6	193:22	42:24 44:9	133:7 134:19
forward 12:8	<b>fresh</b> 85:5	171:18 198:12	135:2,8,12,15
74:19 75:18,19	119:4,15	199:16 200:1	136:9 137:7
76:10 95:15	141:21	201:4	138:10,11,19
205:24	<b>friction</b> 171:11	g	145:7,19 146:4
<b>found</b> 118:17	friday 3:4	<b>g</b> 3:1 185:10	148:20 157:5,8
foundation	frivolous 9:11	gallon 128:17	157:24 162:14
26:21	<b>front</b> 10:11	128:18	163:8 167:7
<b>four</b> 19:12,15	68:17 84:3	gamma 110:22	176:8,11,20
146:20 175:14	fruitful 76:6	gas 2:2 16:13	185:24 189:1
175:22 188:10	frustration	30:13 31:25	gases 136:3
193:9	13:6,8	32:20 34:24,25	178:11
<b>fox</b> 15:16,16,20	<b>full</b> 10:15 11:10	36:24 46:10	gebermichael
16:5 17:2,4	19:11 111:7	52:5 68:2 71:4	1:13 2:5
18:25 19:4	124:23 190:9	71:13 76:17,18	gebremichael
21:3 22:20,22	190:12	77:24 78:11,12	81:3 184:17,19
23:11 25:5,15	<b>fully</b> 118:19	78:20 79:14	184:20 185:2,8
25:18,20 26:2	133:18 137:6	80:20 82:3,7	185:9,18,19
26:4,8 29:9	138:14 139:8	93:9 95:2,24	186:14,21,25
<b>fracture</b> 110:25	141:13 173:3	96:16 98:12,20	187:21 188:24
110:25 111:20	174:25	99:3,21 101:25	189:24 190:14
151:15 163:4		102:9 104:14	192:4 193:14

# [gebremichael - good]

195:1 196:1,12	154:7	<b>glx</b> 152:15,24	26:25 27:5
196:18,21	geologists	154:2	28:12 36:10
197:16,24	165:25	<b>go</b> 3:6 6:23	47:1 55:17
198:2,18 199:9	<b>geology</b> 95:1,19	19:3,15,16	56:10 58:23
199:15,21	96:14 108:24	23:16 24:7,17	65:14 66:2
200:8,15,21	112:3 113:4,23	27:19,21,22	68:8,25 69:9
201:5,12,17	geomechanical	30:9 53:5	69:11,20,22
general 49:7	125:21	55:17 58:8	73:10 75:11
99:25 101:20	geometries	68:16,23 69:9	76:3 77:11,12
103:21 106:3,6	167:16	69:14 70:8,19	79:23 80:4
107:8 108:8	geophysical	71:15,23 73:20	81:18 85:10
112:2 114:17	110:20	81:17,17 82:15	86:1 91:17
121:5,13,18	gerasimos 3:11	83:10 85:4	95:18 97:18
137:21 138:9	gerasmos 3:6	89:12 90:6,10	99:8,10 114:4
141:3 144:9	germaine 96:18	94:1 98:5	120:4 125:20
178:8 192:5	96:19 185:12	99:11,11,16	127:14 128:10
generalized	gerry 3:7	116:25 125:18	129:5,23,24
79:12 124:18	<b>getting</b> 11:10	131:7 132:16	140:6 145:7
generally 22:17	24:12 70:1	140:9 142:4	147:1 149:17
112:9 121:6	77:8 171:11	155:7,14	150:5 155:14
131:10,11	203:19 205:25	163:15 172:6	155:17 157:22
164:4 199:6	<b>give</b> 26:25 34:7	178:21,22	158:2,3 159:7
generate	35:20 52:22	179:18,20	160:1,15 162:5
103:16	71:22 84:13	180:3,11 181:3	162:8,9 167:4
gentlemen 3:19	88:24 92:24	190:22 191:20	167:25 174:6,8
22:21	98:7 99:25	204:11	177:19,20
<b>geo</b> 94:20	114:3 205:1	goals 155:20	178:24 181:15
<b>geologic</b> 102:16	<b>given</b> 28:9 76:4	<b>goes</b> 55:12 70:5	182:4 191:15
102:20,21	192:16 193:7	83:12 173:13	191:22 192:2
103:2 105:16	193:23	192:19	196:8,9 197:10
108:18 110:7	<b>gives</b> 25:13	going 7:18 8:19	198:10 199:13
113:10 114:6	52:20	9:4,17 10:6,15	199:20 200:4,7
119:3,15 130:7	<b>giving</b> 136:14	12:23 14:16,17	200:9 204:16
130:14 131:8	137:13 173:2	18:16 20:16,17	<b>good</b> 3:3,16
135:10 141:12		23:1,15 25:20	4:24 5:4,9 7:14

			1
15:21 16:6,10	grant 56:9,9	<b>ground</b> 85:25	187:18 188:14
19:4 21:3	<b>granted</b> 40:16	groundwater	188:21,23
23:22 25:6	189:22	102:14 109:6	193:11,12
31:18 32:5,14	granting 45:5	116:19,21	hail 26:17
33:10,13 37:8	141:16	117:19 119:4,5	half 23:2 38:9
38:25 39:21	<b>grants</b> 46:13	138:14 139:9	38:20 59:23
45:16 46:17	graph 191:16	141:14	63:11,14 64:24
47:18 51:17	191:20	<b>group</b> 59:16,20	66:5,13 86:9
53:7 54:19	graphically	109:22,25	86:10,25 92:15
75:14 86:8,9	126:1	148:2 149:19	92:18 93:25
86:13 88:14	grave 74:11	149:20,22	117:9
94:17 99:24	75:20	150:11 151:4	<b>hammer</b> 20:12
102:23,23	gravity 106:18	157:23 185:22	<b>hand</b> 12:7 94:5
113:4 114:3	149:9	189:17 192:18	184:25
116:4 130:1	gray 68:15	192:20,22	handling 99:3
137:13 142:14	great 166:21	guard 166:9	101:25 102:5
144:8 155:23	172:23 194:2	guess 18:12	handy 168:24
173:5 174:11	204:8	19:16 65:16	hanging 18:22
183:4 185:18	greater 112:20	84:18 87:18	happen 171:16
198:9 206:10	124:13,14	90:15 155:12	196:22
goodnight 4:10	127:3 139:4	173:24 201:18	happened
25:11	156:7 158:25	204:13	35:22 54:9
<b>gosh</b> 6:23	161:25 179:23	guessing	happening
governs 44:22	greatest 135:1	129:18	26:14 152:4
gradient	135:5	guidance	happens 48:15
164:20 170:17	green 126:3	160:23	196:17,19
192:1 197:3,6	<b>greg</b> 3:13	guided 165:5	happily 75:24
197:8	grid 179:8	<b>guy</b> 63:3	<b>happy</b> 3:4 7:10
gradients	gross 54:25	<b>guys</b> 51:14	13:8 39:7 63:3
110:25	55:22 61:15	73:20 205:25	70:5,16 72:24
grading 197:2	62:14,14 63:2	h	73:16,18
grammar 89:22	63:19 65:17	<b>h</b> 1:16 185:10	203:22 204:21
grandfather	67:21,24,25	<b>h</b> 1.10 185.10 <b>h2s</b> 34:25	<b>hard</b> 80:21
65:1	69:1 75:3	107:13 132:24	hart 15:23
	80:24 194:1	147:3 149:24	30:22
		1+1.3 1+7.24	

[hash - huh]

hash 13:25	73:13 85:2,14	<b>hinkle</b> 16:18	18:15,21
hazard 158:21	91:21,24 92:1	historical 197:4	holidays 20:17
health 54:24	93:7 96:6	history 95:17	21:10 23:7
62:18 78:13	97:14 138:6	99:20,22	holland 15:23
80:15 82:4	142:20,25	100:22 102:18	30:22
141:21 157:12	146:24 186:1	103:9 104:10	home 33:23
195:8,17	191:2	105:25 119:6	163:16
<b>hear</b> 11:6 13:14	hearings 18:19	124:2,22 130:1	homogeneous
13:16 16:7	59:7	132:3 133:19	165:1,3
25:12 34:9	held 8:9	139:20 145:6,9	hope 20:8 26:4
39:12,14 48:7	help 48:11	145:18 166:16	116:15,15
52:5 54:16	129:12 173:3	174:14	hopeful 20:2
55:19,24 56:7	175:13 182:11	<b>hit</b> 176:24	28:5
68:25 72:24	193:25	<b>hold</b> 19:12	hopefully 20:24
77:3 84:11	<b>helpful</b> 147:17	106:22	21:8 48:11
85:1 91:17	helping 176:2	<b>holder</b> 6:12	49:10 155:13
97:20 193:19	helps 183:13	<b>holding</b> 86:19	161:7
204:9	<b>hereto</b> 207:11	hole 38:11,12	horizontal
heard 9:12 18:6	heterogeneous	41:8,10,12	123:4 131:14
26:6 55:2	164:25 165:5	45:22 58:20	148:4 182:24
76:14 146:19	hi 85:7	59:19 60:17	hour 86:3,9,10
179:25 189:24	high 107:23	81:15 101:16	86:25 87:1
193:15,15	109:1 110:7	104:24 108:15	92:10,15,15,17
hearing 5:17	114:23 128:3,7	116:13 117:3,4	164:18
7:11 8:23	180:8	150:15 156:20	<b>huh</b> 25:18
11:21 12:9,16	higher 105:17	169:4 172:19	89:16 97:3
13:5,17 14:3	125:4 128:15	172:20 188:13	147:23 157:20
14:16 17:6,20	135:12 173:23	holes 80:21	158:11 159:10
17:21,22 18:1	183:12 197:12	161:12,13	159:19,23
18:13,19,21,22	<b>highest</b> 135:14	163:10	162:24 163:9
19:1,10,13	163:12	<b>holiday</b> 5:4,5,7	166:24 169:21
20:14 22:4	highlight	6:21,21,22	171:8,22
25:3,4,8,24	115:24 116:12	7:10,13,25	177:10 178:6
30:10 43:16	highlighted	9:20,23 11:18	181:6
44:17 45:12	113:12 115:5	11:19 14:16	

### [human - included]

<b>human</b> 62:18	hydrosources	imagine 21:13	53:14 108:16
78:13 141:21	87:25	imaging 111:8	<b>impose</b> 43:15
hundred 41:9	i	immediate	imposed 77:19
hurting 12:20	<b>i.e.</b> 49:5	135:21 178:9	87:9
<b>hydrate</b> 151:17	idea 99:24	<b>impact</b> 21:15	improper 44:4
151:23 152:2	198:9	26:16 51:2	improperly
hydrides	ideal 205:7	119:5 136:1,16	43:14
109:10	ideally 161:5	172:15	improved
<b>hydro</b> 55:6,7,9	ideas 71:23	impacted 71:14	155:25
hydrocarbon	identifiable	80:20 82:10	improving
43:4	176:13	83:3,6 133:15	98:14
hydrocarbons	identification	133:25 187:12	inadvertently
107:14	126:10 129:2	impacting 54:5	60:21
hydrogen	142:11,17,22	impacts 21:19	inappropriate
102:5 103:24	143:4 186:20	103:19 125:3	74:3 83:22,25
176:14,19	194:23	129:24 131:1	inaudible 12:20
hydrogeology	identified	146:16	15:24 26:3
95:1,19 96:15	43:15 123:13	impaired 75:10	76:1 147:18
hydrologic	126:24 127:7	impairment	150:17 152:3
124:16 125:8	139:25 162:7	43:8	172:21 184:22
hydrosource	identify 9:8	impedance	<b>inc's</b> 35:2
46:10,16,18,24	113:5 115:8	131:15 156:4	<b>inch</b> 110:18
46:25 47:4,9	123:10 133:14	165:5	<b>inclined</b> 100:14
47:21,23 57:12	149:5 170:8	<b>impede</b> 42:20	include 38:6
58:9,13,25,25	171:14 174:10	implement	58:20 61:12
59:14,20 60:20	198:23	87:21 88:3	63:7,8 95:12
61:19,21 64:15	identifying	199:16	98:22 119:2
64:16,22 65:4	79:2 119:10	implicate 44:9	124:2 137:10
65:25 71:5	126:6 130:21	61:13	140:15 146:5
75:4,9,12,17	133:24 143:1	implicated	149:14 154:2
88:18,25	175:5	62:16	172:8 181:21
hydrosource's	ii 188:25	important 9:14	included 74:12
46:22 47:2	imaged 111:7	20:14 36:18	77:16 97:11
57:10	images 97:1	37:2 39:5	115:20 120:14
		44:24 50:10	120:19 122:1,9
	1		

# [included - injection]

123:16 150:1	140:16 141:3	industry 17:18	injected 36:24
153:8 154:4	increased	18:6 20:9	38:13 40:15
160:22 168:19	30:15 93:11	inform 111:17	57:20 70:22
172:12 175:22	114:15 123:10	information	119:3 126:8,18
includes 8:8	138:11 146:13	55:21 58:1,1,4	134:5
40:14 102:13	increases	88:8,25 97:10	injecting 51:4
103:21 106:14	127:24	150:18 160:14	149:20 167:8
109:3 139:11	increasing 39:4	164:7 168:14	189:16 192:18
165:10	138:12 193:22	173:2 202:3	199:10
including 69:25	incremental	informed	injection 2:3
150:11 195:15	47:8 98:15	131:14 133:3	30:13,16 34:23
195:18 198:3	independence	178:24	36:8 40:18
inclusion 74:4	122:8 162:2	informing	41:20 42:1,19
145:1	independent	108:17	47:18 49:25
inclusive	16:18	<b>initial</b> 122:23	50:8,10 58:6
113:20 147:4	<b>indicate</b> 128:20	146:14 168:14	59:3,9,11,13
incorporate	indicated 7:25	initialization	67:2,9 68:6
99:5 108:10,15	42:15 57:24	168:15	93:9,12 95:2
110:1 111:1	65:22	initialize	95:23 96:3,16
130:10 158:8	indicates 47:22	168:15	98:12,17 99:21
incorporated	113:12	initialized	100:18 101:1
94:20 104:2	indicating 59:1	168:10	101:10,13,14
108:19 130:23	indications	initially 19:21	102:16,24
181:18	49:3	103:13	103:6,20
incorporates	indicative	<b>inject</b> 31:25	104:15 105:18
102:22	151:23	41:7,11 45:17	107:4,8 108:13
incorporating	individuals	45:19 46:10,14	108:18 109:24
103:14	13:1	46:17 47:23	111:6,14,15,16
incorrect 12:3	<b>induce</b> 122:18	53:1 58:14	112:18,21
64:24 105:11	122:24 123:2	59:22 68:1	114:13 115:7
increase 47:8	123:13	71:1 107:12	117:20 118:4,8
88:21 89:13	<b>induced</b> 103:6	133:12 136:20	118:12,16,22
98:15,16 123:2	113:6 120:10	142:15 187:19	119:18 120:10
124:13,20,23	121:2 122:14	189:21	120:19,20,21
127:6 138:20	140:7 187:13		122:1,13

# [injection - intervals]

	1	1	
123:15,17	<b>injury</b> 42:22	intends 151:8	interim 22:12
124:15,20	61:6	<b>intent</b> 107:3	25:7
125:17,20	<b>inlet</b> 107:10	110:21	interject 99:13
126:2,6,22	<b>inner</b> 107:21	intention	99:17
127:9,20	<b>input</b> 65:12	149:22	intermediate
129:19 130:7	122:15 203:20	interactions	109:7,13,18,19
130:22 133:8	installation	160:7	150:25
133:12 134:20	102:13	interconnecti	interpret 47:14
134:23,25	installed 109:8	156:3	51:21,23 52:4
135:16 136:15	147:4 151:21	interest 5:23	interpretation
136:22,24	installing 176:4	6:12 8:8 9:16	42:5 49:6,7
137:1,6,12	instance 9:13	32:1 33:1,15	95:1 96:15
138:19,20,23	11:22 34:8	33:15 34:10	120:14 128:3
139:2,14,17	59:9,12 60:25	37:23 40:3	149:11
140:4,16 145:7	81:22 190:6	47:6,13,15	interpretations
146:6 156:8,18	196:17	55:6 57:18	43:19 83:23
157:5 160:19	instances 40:14	60:5,5,6,7,9,15	interrupt 7:19
163:10 165:11	174:7	60:19 66:4	12:23 25:20
167:5,18,22	instructions	67:15 68:9	<b>interval</b> 105:17
169:3 171:4	138:7	interested	109:19,21,23
181:9 182:8,14	instrument	17:12 104:5	110:1 111:10
187:7,9,10,12	35:12	138:5,25	112:18 115:2
188:11 189:1	integrate	207:12	118:3 126:21
191:13,19,22	188:20	interests 5:21	126:24,25
196:9 199:8,10	integrated	40:13 41:17	127:4 134:25
199:14 200:3	108:6 188:23	44:4,15 57:17	150:23,25
200:12,23	193:12	60:10 62:6,7	174:18
injections	integrating	74:7 75:9	intervals 109:9
167:11 170:7	198:4	interface	110:7,10 111:6
192:21	integrity 110:4	179:14 182:7	111:7,13,13
injectivity	176:10	interfere 21:10	112:13,15,16
111:18	intended 44:2	interference	112:17,24
<b>injects</b> 109:24	129:7	52:1,3 156:17	113:10,14,19
injuries 40:8	intending	162:21	115:6 117:18
61:15	142:5		128:8,9,14,22

136:8,11	involves 176:4	83:10 104:24	51:15 52:8
150:20 158:25	involving 153:3	105:3 150:15	74:9
intervene 37:18	<b>ipnm</b> 22:2	160:9 176:9	jurisdictions
38:21 61:21	23:20 28:22	<b>it'd</b> 23:6	73:12
65:8	<b>iron</b> 118:18	<b>it'll</b> 14:4,6 15:4	justice 9:13
intervened	174:19 175:3	91:2 93:25	12:14,15
35:10,16 61:4	irrelevant 12:6	186:23	justify 80:22
intervener	12:16	<b>item</b> 89:4	k
30:25 61:20	<b>isolate</b> 117:18	j	kaiser 32:23
intervenes	150:20	<b>jail</b> 100:4	33:17
35:17	isolated 118:17	jal 106:5,8	<b>keep</b> 13:9 68:14
intervening	128:9 174:18	<b>january</b> 104:17	175:8 178:24
104:8	isolating 109:5	<b>jesse</b> 4:25 12:1	key 101:22
intervention	109:9,16,21	13:15 16:2	107:25 115:1
7:17 61:4	110:6 148:24	31:6	<b>kicks</b> 136:10
73:19 87:7	isolation	<b>joa</b> 7:3	killing 84:20
introduction	127:13	job 9:8 19:4	kimberly
120:8	issue 8:25 9:18	21:3 72:25	122:11 124:4
intruding	31:22 32:10,10	<b>joint</b> 20:2	<b>kind</b> 19:7 21:12
74:17	34:16 36:7,21	jonathan 5:10	26:17 28:7,12
inundated	37:14 39:3	<b>jones</b> 150:10	68:19 70:2,8
122:3	41:22 42:1	<b>jud</b> 54:14	83:8 98:10
inversion	48:24,25 49:18	<b>june</b> 11:8,21	99:25 100:14
130:18,25	50:4 53:4,11	13:9,25 14:4,5	104:9,10
investigation	55:16 57:4	14:6,7,12,15,19	106:10 107:17
102:20	58:15 59:4,4	15:5 19:12	108:25 114:19
investigative	66:7	46:23 105:5	116:24 121:4
8:13	issued 32:23	154:11	122:23 124:17
invitation 40:4	74:13 104:17	<b>junk</b> 158:4	127:4 128:2
involve 48:21	105:4,12 107:2	<b>junked</b> 158:13	129:1,21 130:2
<b>involved</b> 13:16	138:7	jurisdiction 7:7	131:13,18
13:19 32:19	<b>issues</b> 17:16	33:19 34:4,9	136:2,4 139:19
160:11	21:5 38:19	34:14 37:7	140:22 146:18
involvement	49:13 51:14	41:5,22 42:12	166:4 167:12
7:23 73:10	62:19 76:15,23	43:6,22 51:13	167:15 175:11

### [kind - leaves]

	1	1	
175:13 176:24	151:21 153:16	<b>known</b> 36:10	<b>law</b> 6:15 42:6
178:10 179:2	157:21 159:13	80:19 112:18	49:2 50:21
180:10 200:12	159:18,25	119:18	54:15 67:23
<b>knew</b> 71:6	160:4 161:19	<b>kyle</b> 15:19	lawsuit 40:8
<b>knot</b> 136:2	162:5,13,21	1	76:8
<b>know</b> 10:12	163:1,10,11,11	<b>l</b> 185:9,9,10	<b>lawyer</b> 53:15
11:8 17:12	163:16,18	<b>la</b> 21:4,11,20	lawyers 53:17
18:20 20:1	165:21,24,24	22:2 23:17	<b>lay</b> 48:11
21:4,16,17	166:16,19,21	28:10 29:16	layer 121:22
24:14 25:7	167:7,15	labeled 101:1	178:23 179:6
26:13 27:25,25	168:10 169:25	171:20	layers 100:7
28:3,7,11,13,16	171:9,10,17	lack 137:21	131:14 164:25
30:3 39:12	172:6,24 173:3	laid 33:3	165:4
66:8,13 67:23	173:15,19,22	lakes 94:20	layman's 38:5
68:22 69:11,17	173:23,24	land 32:1 48:11	<b>layout</b> 99:25
69:19 70:7,21	174:2,16,24	50:3 59:11	100:12
70:21,22 71:1	175:1,2,3,8,10	64:2,4,5,6 84:5	<b>lays</b> 158:5,8
71:13 72:1,9	177:15,18	156:21	<b>lea</b> 46:1 93:12
72:12,25 73:12	178:10,18,25	landowner	118:13 139:18
74:1 75:2,23	180:17,18	35:10	173:11 174:1
75:24 76:2,9	181:19,19	lands 3:15	175:18 176:25
77:17 79:19,20	182:3,20 183:3	35:12 64:8	<b>lead</b> 65:15
79:22,25 81:1	183:9,12,16,17	95:19	187:13
81:7,10 82:13	190:8,12 195:7	language 55:17	<b>learn</b> 21:7
82:14 84:17	195:8 197:18	75:18 160:21	198:23
94:18 96:25	197:22 198:6,7	larger 117:5	<b>learned</b> 157:19
106:25 107:1	198:8,25 199:4	largest 35:10	158:9
110:21 112:15	199:6,12,22	late 69:18	lease 5:20,24
115:19 116:1,6	200:4 204:13	lately 176:3	6:12 52:5,7,19
119:25 125:1	204:19	lateral 40:17	60:8,9 100:13
126:17 127:7	knowing 46:25	126:11 148:8	leasehold 8:7
128:25 130:15	47:1 175:7	179:16	<b>leave</b> 26:1
131:3 134:7	knowledge	laterally 119:8	68:12
135:3 137:15	48:19 49:24	119:9 129:15	<b>leaves</b> 176:23
139:16 148:19	207:6	149:3	

leaving 20:7	leverage 45:8	literature	62:12 78:8
<b>led</b> 155:24	leverages	131:25	100:19,25
<b>lee</b> 30:16	130:15 133:2	litigate 7:8	101:15,16
<b>left</b> 106:5 112:4	<b>license</b> 40:16	litigation 206:4	112:8 113:3,9
125:14 134:17	52:20 83:11,12	<b>little</b> 4:4 7:20	115:10 116:13
135:13	<b>lieu</b> 193:24	24:10 25:22	117:3,4 118:21
<b>legal</b> 53:18	<b>life</b> 174:22	28:11 57:4	118:24,24
58:12	likelihood	66:19 92:15,25	120:9 126:6
legally 44:10	26:20 187:16	93:25 97:19	156:20,25
44:19 61:6	<b>likely</b> 7:24 49:4	101:7 104:9	177:23 187:23
legislation	58:7 64:22	107:7 113:1	locations 45:22
21:15 28:1	98:25 148:3	124:11 125:4	58:20,21 78:2
173:18	167:9	126:20 133:3	82:4 114:20,20
legislative	<b>limit</b> 77:5	134:8 136:1,2	117:9,25 147:3
21:13 25:21	limitations	136:12 145:19	148:20 161:25
39:24 42:16	124:5	147:8 156:6	162:6
49:11	<b>limited</b> 46:11	157:7,9,18	<b>locke</b> 31:2,20
legislature 22:8	46:15 47:24	161:18,24,24	log 127:18
25:23 39:5	48:13,14 59:7	175:24 178:5	150:17 158:23
legitimate	60:10 72:5	186:22 205:1	163:18,20,21
77:14	83:12 149:2	<b>llc</b> 30:12	logging 110:14
<b>length</b> 148:9,12	limiting 92:23	<b>lloyd</b> 207:2,15	110:15,17
lengths 198:7	<b>limits</b> 40:17	local 112:10	111:15
<b>lessee</b> 60:8 64:7	42:2 171:10	122:16 128:4	logs 110:20
64:11	<b>line</b> 12:2 17:11	138:10	111:1 115:21
<b>lessons</b> 157:19	36:13 37:13	locate 191:21	163:17,20,25
158:9	110:14,20	<b>located</b> 40:19	long 19:13 22:4
lessor 64:7	134:22	41:7,8,10,10,13	24:7 40:11
letter 1:22	<b>linear</b> 121:12	59:12,14 60:24	44:11 92:4,7
138:3 142:19	lines 27:4 65:18	79:20 100:3	102:18 103:9
<b>level</b> 75:10	107:23 125:18	188:12	145:7,9 183:22
109:1 115:24	<b>list</b> 5:25 6:1	<b>location</b> 38:11	<b>longer</b> 92:25
124:22 128:3	listed 113:19	38:12 41:12	95:14 153:8
175:4	147:15,21	45:17 59:12,18	161:18
	195:15	59:19 60:15,17	

[look - marked]

<b>look</b> 10:17	love 73:3,22	45:13 47:18	<b>manner</b> 187:20
52:23 64:19	76:9	56:6,10 69:8	<b>maop</b> 141:1
67:7 72:3	low 26:23 28:2	73:7 74:16	<b>map</b> 99:24
79:24 81:2	107:16 139:3	75:5,6,7,11	100:7 101:17
82:16 86:2,5	156:14 163:2	83:18,23 84:6	106:4 112:3,4
106:3 114:24	lower 109:21	93:24 97:19	112:7 114:9,10
126:13,16	112:14 135:12	99:14 104:11	114:14,19
127:21 130:2	140:21 151:1	106:1 110:2	122:4 124:18
136:12 174:13	186:22	120:1,15	128:11 134:18
174:14 180:5	lunch 92:9,25	126:20 131:4	135:13,13
183:4 199:20	m	133:13 136:19	136:2 148:14
205:24	<b>m</b> 185:9,10	143:12 145:4	149:3 167:4
<b>looked</b> 6:2,9	made 40:12	152:19 154:22	<b>mapped</b> 169:15
66:7 116:19	41:15 47:4	155:21 158:3,9	<b>maps</b> 123:8
172:7 183:3	51:19 56:17	159:4,17 160:2	127:1 136:8
<b>looking</b> 26:17	58:11 70:21	160:19 174:9	149:9 163:6
90:12 107:17	101:4 106:15	174:11 180:14	166:19
118:23 121:13	116:1	196:8 200:24	<b>marble</b> 30:24
135:13 161:19	mail 138:4	202:24 204:12	31:1,3,11,13,18
173:10 177:25	main 160:25	<b>makes</b> 42:22	31:19 39:9,15
178:17 205:19	161:1	43:12 66:19	39:16,19 45:1
looks 67:1	maintain 161:6	112:20 136:11	48:6 51:6,7
100:1 132:7	maintained	197:7	54:12 57:6
175:25	136:25 137:12	making 21:16	62:23,24 73:5
loop 175:13	137:19 200:25	21:19 26:16	73:6 82:20,22
losing 127:14	201:1	45:15 47:12	84:7 87:2,4,11
losni 123:7	maintaining	84:2 160:9	88:6,13 90:4,8
<b>loss</b> 66:24	129:11	181:23	<b>march</b> 3:5,21
67:20 109:17	<b>major</b> 118:22	maliciously	4:3,4
150:8	120:14	12:15	margin 112:8
lot 18:15,16,20	majority	maliciousness	113:17
26:13 148:25	150:24	12:12	marked 98:2
171:11 174:7	make 11:25	manage 41:19	142:10,16,21
204:16 205:16	27:7 40:21	managed 151:2	143:3 186:19
	42:14 43:19	187:15	194:22

# [marker - midstream]

<b>marker</b> 101:17	171:1 191:12	4:17 10:18,22	42:20 50:12
<b>mary</b> 26:17	191:22 192:2	25:10 203:9,24	61:12
<b>massive</b> 10:15	196:8,23 197:9	204:2 205:5	<b>messy</b> 136:12
<b>match</b> 124:2,22	<b>may's</b> 26:2	206:2,7,9	<b>met</b> 17:9 62:1
132:3 166:16	<b>mean</b> 6:3,8	meetings 17:1	method 99:2
material	25:17 26:4	20:6	101:25 106:18
116:17	71:16 72:4,5	meets 39:25	130:20 145:25
materials 79:25	81:9 92:1	<b>member</b> 166:4	153:5
98:23 99:12	135:3 148:7,12	190:7	methodology
108:10 138:1	151:13,20	members 15:22	116:12,14,15
146:12 168:18	159:11,22	18:18 166:15	methods 99:6
168:20	165:2,3 167:7	memorialized	130:19 141:1
<b>matt</b> 15:19	167:15,24	90:12,15 91:2	<b>mexico</b> 3:17
<b>matter</b> 12:6,8,9	168:18,23	91:8	12:14 15:13
13:15 15:17	169:13,13,15	<b>memory</b> 161:15	16:12,19 30:16
37:18 40:13	169:16,18	mentioned 3:10	34:3 35:9,14
41:3 48:3 49:7	170:23 171:6	5:16 23:5	35:14,19 38:2
50:11 52:15	173:24 183:3	45:19 63:15,17	49:3,4 53:12
54:15 56:20	meaningful	64:12 68:16	53:18 65:1
58:16 61:4	73:8,11,22	83:22 85:22	93:12 100:4
101:19 104:8	means 72:18	98:11,22	106:8 108:23
144:10	85:22	101:20,24	112:6 122:3
<b>matters</b> 12:7,7	meant 191:23	103:8 104:24	138:13
31:11 33:25	measured	113:24 115:9	<b>mexico's</b> 35:3
34:10 48:12	114:7	117:16 120:6	michael 15:22
matthias 16:23	measures 102:3	121:25 126:5,9	<b>micro</b> 111:8
maximum	158:3	129:25 130:14	microphone
30:15 58:6	measuring	133:21 140:18	7:19 48:7 87:3
93:11 106:13	177:16	145:5 153:5	93:24 97:19
106:16,20	<b>med</b> 104:2	155:15 158:22	184:23 186:22
123:4 133:11	<b>meet</b> 25:14	173:11,16	<b>middle</b> 17:18
133:11,25	30:5 119:1	193:8 198:6	27:6,8 78:17
137:2,12	156:8	<b>merely</b> 64:16	85:25
140:24 148:4	<b>meeting</b> 1:1 3:6	merits 9:4	midstream
160:13 169:6	3:10 4:3,5,6,8	12:24 13:9	30:12 93:7,8

### [midstream - modified]

94:14 99:2	million 1:13	<b>mit</b> 189:19	modeled 66:9
145:13 152:11	98:19 131:16	<b>mixed</b> 107:12	80:18 95:22
153:11,13	134:4,7 136:25	132:23 133:5	137:5
187:6	137:9 140:18	<b>model</b> 35:1,4	modeling 50:13
migrate 35:11	184:17 185:2,8	36:23 63:24,24	50:15 51:3
36:10,15,17	191:24	65:22 96:1	57:14,24 58:2
40:17 82:3	<b>mind</b> 69:16	103:16 111:17	58:6 78:10
136:15 188:16	177:2 204:7,25	120:22 121:3	82:5 87:12
migrating	mineral 7:1	121:10 122:15	88:8,21 89:14
78:20	37:24 43:2	122:25 124:6,7	89:19 90:23
migration	48:14,17 60:3	125:9,10 126:4	95:2 96:16
77:24 78:11,12	60:5,8,10,11,18	130:14,23,24	103:1,7,10
79:13 129:6	62:6,7,10 74:8	131:9,9,13,15	120:18,19
181:14 182:10	minerals 49:21	131:22 132:7	121:15 122:13
<b>miguel</b> 16:11	62:7,10 185:21	132:10,13,14	122:19 123:21
<b>mile</b> 38:9,15,20	minimal 7:24	132:19 133:2	130:7 140:3,4
59:23 63:11,14	125:19 170:15	135:10 136:10	141:12 144:21
64:24 66:5,13	minimize 141:9	146:21 152:22	144:25 146:6,9
116:25 117:1,2	160:2	154:18 164:25	154:7 198:13
117:3,4,6,9,23	minute 30:4	165:1,9,9	202:7,11
118:10 139:15	73:14 75:24	168:10,15	modeling's
148:13	143:21 170:8	169:14,23	78:19
<b>miles</b> 46:21	171:13 184:4	172:8 173:2	models 51:3
47:2 53:2	minutes 4:10	178:18,20,23	54:5 63:22
57:21,21,22	77:11 84:12	179:1,8,8	108:18 162:22
58:8 100:4	86:15,20,21	181:18,21,25	166:10 177:4
122:8 135:8	92:17	182:5,8,11,13	<b>modern</b> 174:8
137:23 139:2	<b>mirrors</b> 189:6	183:9,13 188:1	modification
139:24 140:11	misplaced 32:9	193:22,25	194:3 202:22
172:12 173:12	35:25 39:3	197:21,22	202:23
177:8,13 188:5	mississippian	198:4,17	modifications
188:13	112:23 174:21	199:13 201:1	204:1
millidarcys	180:12	<b>model's</b> 122:22	modified 91:3
131:24	misunderstood	124:19	116:25 117:7
	202:17		193:3 202:6,7

# [modified - nature]

-		1	
202:14	168:4 175:12	44:20,24 45:11	<b>moves</b> 39:23
<b>modify</b> 193:16	175:16,19,24	46:3,8 48:1	moving 30:2
202:10,14	176:1,12,17,21	56:7 61:22	56:18 71:7,18
modifying	193:10,16,20	62:21 75:19	128:21 137:25
193:19	193:24 194:6	84:25 90:12	199:14,23
<b>moga</b> 21:4,12	199:7,8 200:12	91:8 201:18,20	200:5
21:20 22:2	monitors 147:3	202:4	<b>mpd</b> 159:9
23:17 28:10	176:5,5,18	motions 8:23	<b>mud</b> 110:17
29:16	<b>monte</b> 125:12	9:20,21 31:11	127:2 128:16
<b>moger</b> 13:13	<b>month</b> 10:16	50:18,22	150:9,13
moment 36:3	17:6 25:5,10	motivation	<b>muddy</b> 153:14
63:15,17,20	89:10	74:5	153:16
64:1,12,14	months 11:9	mountain	multiple 46:11
70:18 122:4	19:13,15	109:22,25	47:24 53:2
158:15 168:24	145:16	149:20,22	54:4 58:14
194:24 205:16	<b>morgan</b> 15:18	189:17 192:18	102:14 131:2
206:4	20:9	192:20,22	133:22 137:17
<b>monday</b> 14:17	morning 3:4,13	mounting	139:11 174:24
monday 1111/	110111116 5.1,15	mounting	137.11 174.24
23:24 205:11	3:16 4:24 5:4,9	149:18	<b>myriad</b> 32:3
•	0	U	
23:24 205:11	3:16 4:24 5:4,9	149:18	myriad 32:3 n
23:24 205:11 money 82:11	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14	149:18 mouth 186:23	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3 81:7,20 140:1	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10	149:18 mouth 186:23 move 3:12,23	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1 185:9
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8	149:18 mouth 186:23 move 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1 185:9 <b>nace</b> 107:23
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3 81:7,20 140:1	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1 185:9 <b>nace</b> 107:23 <b>name</b> 31:19
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1 185:9 <b>nace</b> 107:23 <b>name</b> 31:19 94:11 143:2
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 monitoring	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1 185:9 <b>nace</b> 107:23 <b>name</b> 31:19 94:11 143:2 185:6,7,8,9
23:24 205:11 <b>money</b> 82:11 82:12 <b>monitor</b> 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 <b>monitoring</b> 72:6 76:21	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7	<b>myriad</b> 32:3 <b>n</b> <b>n</b> 1:4,4 3:1 185:9 <b>nace</b> 107:23 <b>name</b> 31:19 94:11 143:2 185:6,7,8,9 189:12
23:24 205:11 <b>money</b> 82:11 82:12 <b>monitor</b> 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 <b>monitoring</b> 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4 <b>morphology</b>	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4	<pre>myriad 32:3     n     n     1:4,4 3:1     185:9     nace 107:23     name 31:19     94:11 143:2     185:6,7,8,9     189:12     named 53:15</pre>
23:24 205:11 <b>money</b> 82:11 82:12 <b>monitor</b> 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 <b>monitoring</b> 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18 77:23 78:2,3,4 78:7,22 79:1 79:18 80:19	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7	<pre>myriad 32:3     n     n     1:4,4 3:1     185:9     nace 107:23     name 31:19     94:11 143:2     185:6,7,8,9     189:12     named 53:15     natural 113:15</pre>
23:24 205:11 <b>money</b> 82:11 82:12 <b>monitor</b> 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 <b>monitoring</b> 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18 77:23 78:2,3,4 78:7,22 79:1	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4 <b>morphology</b>	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7 143:6 146:18	<pre>myriad 32:3     n     n     1:4,4 3:1     185:9     nace 107:23     name 31:19     94:11 143:2     185:6,7,8,9     189:12     named 53:15     natural 113:15     151:10 185:22</pre>
23:24 205:11 <b>money</b> 82:11 82:12 <b>monitor</b> 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 <b>monitoring</b> 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18 77:23 78:2,3,4 78:7,22 79:1 79:18 80:19	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4 <b>morphology</b> 177:24	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7 143:6 146:18 157:7 167:13	<pre>myriad 32:3     n     n     1:4,4 3:1     185:9     nace 107:23     name 31:19     94:11 143:2     185:6,7,8,9     189:12     named 53:15     natural 113:15     151:10 185:22     195:9</pre>
23:24 205:11 <b>money</b> 82:11 82:12 <b>monitor</b> 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 <b>monitoring</b> 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18 77:23 78:2,3,4 78:7,22 79:1 79:18 80:19 81:8,13,15,25	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4 <b>morphology</b> 177:24 <b>motion</b> 3:22 4:7	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7 143:6 146:18 157:7 167:13 186:13 194:16	<pre>myriad 32:3     n     n     1:4,4 3:1     185:9     nace 107:23     name 31:19     94:11 143:2     185:6,7,8,9     189:12     named 53:15     natural 113:15     151:10 185:22     195:9     nature 76:4</pre>
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 monitoring 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18 77:23 78:2,3,4 78:7,22 79:1 79:18 80:19 81:8,13,15,25 82:9 87:21,25 88:4 89:6 108:15 110:16	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4 <b>morphology</b> 177:24 <b>motion</b> 3:22 4:7 9:1,5,22 10:8 31:12,13,23 32:8,25 33:6	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7 143:6 146:18 157:7 167:13 186:13 194:16 201:21	<pre>myriad 32:3</pre>
23:24 205:11 money 82:11 82:12 monitor 44:3 81:7,20 140:1 188:18 194:1 monitoring 72:6 76:21 77:10,10,18,18 77:23 78:2,3,4 78:7,22 79:1 79:18 80:19 81:8,13,15,25 82:9 87:21,25 88:4 89:6	3:16 4:24 5:4,9 5:15 7:14 15:21 16:6,10 16:13 18:8 30:21 31:18 32:4 39:21 54:20 73:10 86:13 202:13 <b>mornings</b> 205:4 <b>morphology</b> 177:24 <b>motion</b> 3:22 4:7 9:1,5,22 10:8 31:12,13,23	149:18 <b>mouth</b> 186:23 <b>move</b> 3:12,23 4:5,15,19 6:21 14:16,17 15:9 30:18 70:23 71:2 97:18 125:18 126:19 135:17 136:4 142:1,4,7 143:6 146:18 157:7 167:13 186:13 194:16 201:21 <b>moved</b> 23:4,6	<pre>myriad 32:3     n     n     1:4,4 3:1     185:9     nace 107:23     name 31:19     94:11 143:2     185:6,7,8,9     189:12     named 53:15     natural 113:15     151:10 185:22     195:9     nature 76:4</pre>

### [nature - northwind]

200:8,9	<b>needs</b> 6:2,8	53:12,18 65:1	<b>noon</b> 26:8
<b>near</b> 77:23	8:18 28:10	91:13 93:12	<b>nope</b> 14:10
nearest 117:8	85:21 90:11,14	100:4,25 106:8	177:4
172:11	91:7 98:21	108:23 112:6	normal 73:21
necessarily	104:18 108:24	122:3 138:13	106:12 110:21
42:6 69:3	160:3 175:8	155:16 188:8	111:11 137:16
78:16 79:7	190:1	191:10 192:11	138:5 168:11
135:3 196:10	negative 54:25	192:15,16	178:10
necessary	55:22 56:2,15	193:2 198:22	normally
20:12 54:23	61:16 62:14,14	198:23	127:25 128:22
55:12 61:19	63:2,19 65:17	newburn 32:24	<b>north</b> 35:17
62:4 63:19	67:21,25 69:1	<b>newer</b> 118:6	63:13 100:15
80:12 81:4	75:3 80:24	newspaper	101:9 121:17
98:23 160:16	<b>neglect</b> 71:17	143:1	122:7,8 123:5
160:18	71:18	<b>niel</b> 15:19	125:3 136:1
<b>need</b> 3:20 4:4	negligent 13:19	<b>night</b> 69:5	148:3,5 162:3
9:25 25:2,22	negotiate 35:21	70:13 77:13	northeast 64:5
27:13 28:11	negotiations	<b>nist</b> 133:6	100:14 106:5
29:6,6 42:13	56:12	nitrogen	114:23 122:10
47:16 48:13	neighbor 33:24	107:13	167:14
50:18 51:2	neighboring	<b>nmocc</b> 105:4	northway
74:1 75:13	77:25 79:2	<b>nmocd</b> 7:6	140:23
76:15,24 77:12	81:14,21 82:1	106:17 159:17	northwest
78:25 79:22	<b>neither</b> 207:7	183:3 199:8	156:16
81:1 84:23,24	<b>net</b> 7:1	nmocd's	northwestern
85:4 86:19	<b>neutron</b> 110:22	153:13	118:14
89:7 91:2 92:8	<b>never</b> 59:1 82:6	<b>nmocds</b> 160:22	northwind
128:7 147:12	153:22	<b>non</b> 43:4	30:12 32:5,13
150:19 159:1	<b>new</b> 3:17 12:14	121:12 131:4	34:21 35:1,8
175:8 183:15	15:13 16:12,19	133:23 134:6	35:20 36:20,22
190:3,12,22	17:19 30:16	135:20 136:24	37:17,25 38:8
194:13 199:16	32:11 34:3	148:23 149:1	38:16,24,24
200:3 201:15	35:3,6,9,13,14	165:19 178:3	41:8 45:16,19
<b>needed</b> 86:14	35:19 38:2	188:14,14	46:9,13,19,25
91:13	39:3 49:3,4	199:4	47:6,6,17 48:2

### [northwind - number]

49:14 51:25	107:10 108:1	notifications	140:14 141:2
52:1,13,18,22	108:20 138:4	5:25 6:4	144:12,19
54:6 55:8,15	142:10,13,14	notified 139:1	145:1,2,11
58:12,22 59:14	142:16,21	notify 6:7 38:8	146:16 147:2
59:18 60:16	143:3 146:23	notifying 138:3	147:15,22
63:25 64:3,21	149:22 150:12	noting 173:12	149:19,21
65:7 66:19	155:19 187:14	<b>notion</b> 47:10	150:4,6,8
69:19 72:5,9	northwinds	notwithstandi	151:18 152:17
75:8 80:10	132:21	77:7	153:3,3 154:6
83:4 84:2 85:8	northwind's	november	154:17 155:17
85:16,17,24	102:8 108:14	18:14	156:9 158:24
87:21,24 91:18	157:4	<b>novo</b> 4:20 5:18	160:15,24,25
93:8 94:14	<b>note</b> 13:6 19:11	nuisance 66:1	161:3,11,11,16
98:9,11,18	42:17 49:1	number 4:20	164:7,23 170:6
99:3 103:19,24	77:17	6:23,24 7:1	173:12 174:2
105:5,12 122:5	<b>noted</b> 13:23	15:10 30:11,14	175:18 177:5,7
123:18 125:1	36:16	32:15 40:23	177:12,13
132:25 138:17	<b>notes</b> 4:3,5,8,17	41:24 46:19	186:3,13 187:2
140:12 144:11	<b>notice</b> 1:22,23	74:14 76:23	187:8,24 188:2
147:1 151:8,16	6:11 7:16 8:3	87:20 93:7,10	188:4,5,25
151:19 152:10	12:9 38:7,8	97:11 100:19	189:4,10,11,12
153:13,22	46:25 55:8	101:2,5,10,18	189:15,16,18
156:20 158:2	59:8,10,17,21	102:11 105:7,8	189:20 190:7
159:25 160:7	60:14,21,22,25	105:23 106:7	190:18 191:2,5
187:1,6 188:2	63:9,10,16	107:11 108:22	191:7,11,12
188:18,22	64:21,23 65:3	110:5 111:3,4	192:5,10,14,17
189:6 204:25	65:4,6 66:4	113:25 114:5	193:4,7,17
northwind's	83:4 87:7	114:21,22	194:3,12,16,17
1:18 32:7	104:7 138:6	115:10,23	195:3,12,16
35:24 37:15	142:19,19,24	116:13,14	196:6,20,22
39:25 46:14	143:2 196:24	117:9,13	197:3,11,11
57:17 61:10	notification	118:13 119:1	198:5,20 202:6
64:20 100:10	6:13 12:12	122:6,9 128:25	202:8,10,14,14
101:22 103:18	104:5 142:25	129:11 130:4	202:22,23
104:6 105:1,2		134:2,3 139:18	

# [numbers - okay]

<b>numbers</b> 189:8	131:12 147:9	188:17,20,25	141:2 179:23
189:23	149:8 150:24	190:8,17 191:2	180:18 197:1,4
<b>numeric</b> 101:2	151:17,25	191:2,5 192:6	<b>oh</b> 11:1 14:14
101:7 131:20	152:4,5 170:15	192:22 194:5	73:2 90:10
numerical	obtain 34:2	194:12,16,17	106:22 147:17
189:8	obtained 32:21	195:12,15	155:2 157:11
numerous 9:6	obviously	198:25 200:24	161:21 178:24
139:12	148:17 160:18	202:2,23	<b>oil</b> 1:1 3:5,7,9
0	<b>oc</b> 69:25	ocd's 2:1 7:16	4:25 16:3,12
<b>o</b> 1:4 3:1 185:9	<b>occ</b> 7:6 48:13	7:23 186:19	30:10,14 31:6
o'grady 15:18	48:18	189:3 194:22	32:20 52:5
<b>object</b> 46:18	occasion 67:21	ocds 8:12 70:1	66:22 71:13
47:5	occupation	72:7 77:9 78:7	102:9 113:14
objected 59:1	35:22 51:11	79:16 140:25	117:20 138:10
objecting 47:9	<b>occupies</b> 35:1,3	150:2 194:11	148:20 185:24
objection 64:25	53:2	october 17:9	<b>okay</b> 4:14,16
88:19 90:17	<b>occupy</b> 34:15	18:3 19:10,12	4:19 5:19 6:16
95:15 96:19	37:1,4,5	21:5 22:3 23:2	6:20 7:9 10:5
143:9 150:3	<b>occur</b> 67:4	23:8,25 24:22	11:3,7,16,18,20
186:12,16	104:19 152:2	25:2,8 153:2	12:4 14:1,2
194:19 205:9	occurring	153:18	15:6 16:5
objections	145:24	offered 63:25	18:24 19:2,22
42:23 44:7,14	<b>ocd</b> 4:23 8:6,18	offering 63:23	20:25 22:9,19
44:19 89:20	9:7 17:10,21	office 15:18,23	22:23 23:8,12
objective	18:4 48:7,12	30:22 65:5	23:19,21,23
123:21 171:14	48:18 49:6,11	92:10	24:4,6,25
182:13	49:15 50:2,24	<b>officer</b> 18:19	27:15,19,22
obligation	53:5,10 66:20	offices 65:5	28:19,24 29:3
13:16	67:1 72:6 77:3	<b>offline</b> 168:2	29:11,13,23
observable	78:22 79:11,22	offset 115:11	30:8 31:9,10
151:20	81:22 85:9	121:19,23	46:12,16 59:15
observations	87:9 144:12,18	126:10,12	61:5,16 74:14
48:10 50:20	153:11 168:7	148:7	74:19 84:16,16
observed	184:5 186:3,13	offsetting 58:9	86:10,18,23
109:17 128:24	187:21 188:15	111:2 125:2	87:1,5,10
107.17 120.24			

88:12 89:22	203:3,6 204:6	operating 5:6	opportunity
90:10 91:9	205:21 206:2,6	48:15 64:16	9:15 73:22
92:24 93:5	oklahoma	91:15 103:3	156:2 201:10
96:12,18,20	33:25 34:3	106:14,16,21	<b>oppose</b> 71:5,8
99:9,19 143:20	52:7	107:5 123:25	71:10
143:22 144:16	<b>old</b> 203:17	132:22 136:19	opposing 56:19
146:17 147:7	<b>once</b> 21:17	137:2,20	72:8 73:23
147:12,17,24	107:3 111:22	140:24 151:22	79:5
148:6 149:7,12	128:21 167:22	160:13 161:8,8	opposite 38:1
149:17 150:4	189:10 191:20	171:2	<b>option</b> 69:16,17
151:2,6 152:1	192:1 197:8	operation	70:6 71:20
152:1,5 154:5	<b>ones</b> 204:10	41:20 96:17	<b>options</b> 56:13
154:10,16,22	<b>ongoing</b> 110:17	114:2	order 4:21 5:15
155:9,10	<b>online</b> 15:19	operational	7:1 8:11 19:9
156:23 157:16	<b>open</b> 20:7	161:6 174:22	20:2 30:14
157:17 160:12	22:13 26:14	operations	33:4,8 34:2,12
161:10 164:22	70:17,19 73:4	41:20 95:3	38:6 40:17,23
167:3 168:6,18	86:3,5,7	103:18,23	41:1,24 63:9
169:24 172:5	148:10,15,16	104:4 107:9	69:6 74:5,12
175:21,23	198:8	110:13 119:11	75:22 77:16
176:22 177:4	<b>opened</b> 72:17	136:17 138:10	81:12 91:8
178:12 183:21	opening 1:5,7	140:6	93:10 99:7
184:1,8 186:17	58:11	operator 6:11	104:17 105:4
190:14,24	opens 73:4	6:25 8:12	105:10,13,14
192:10,14	85:23	37:23 59:25	117:13 121:9
193:1,14	<b>operate</b> 32:6,14	60:2,3,4,17	121:11 122:17
194:11,15,20	33:11 83:1	105:1 191:14	145:15 153:23
195:11 196:2	138:18 140:14	191:23	154:17,19
197:15,20	140:23	operators 99:2	161:5 195:16
198:14 199:2	operated	200:11	203:8,11,18,20
199:25 200:13	123:17 133:11	opinion 27:17	203:23,25
200:20 201:6	141:11 151:19	49:1 70:1,15	204:25 205:3
201:14,17,23	operates	77:20 141:15	205:25
201:25 202:13	154:17	141:20 187:4	<b>orders</b> 44:22
202:16,21			54:21 107:1

# [orientation - particular]

orientation	overlaying	49:13 60:15,23	parameters
125:11 147:25	115:12	64:17 67:6,13	122:15 125:10
148:5 190:10	overly 109:11	75:5	<b>pardon</b> 68:1
198:7	112:14 118:8	owns 41:8 43:2	147:17
orientations	overlying	45:19 49:16	<b>part</b> 9:24 33:8
122:16,25	110:10 112:17	60:17 64:18	37:14,15 45:24
oriented 123:4	112:22 118:3,7	<b>oxy</b> 23:13	46:2 59:16
original 99:22	126:14,21	р	65:16 78:23
104:14 115:20	139:7 174:19	<b>p</b> 3:1	89:1 95:9 96:6
130:4 145:11	181:21	<b>p</b> 5.1 <b>package</b> 168:19	97:4 100:21
145:15	oversee 95:8	<b>packed</b> 10:13	109:11 115:20
originally	oversight	11:10	116:17 122:2
100:18 145:12	185:23	<b>packet</b> 96:6	142:14 152:25
<b>osage</b> 115:13	overview 98:6	97:4 119:22	155:3 159:15
<b>ought</b> 34:16	98:8 112:2	page 1:5 64:20	164:16 175:18
<b>outcome</b> 21:16	<b>owing</b> 104:17	73:7 119:22	177:12 185:22
40:2 45:10	125:5,19	146:23 147:14	187:25 189:25
191:19 207:12	own 32:1 33:1	173:10 202:25	192:23 198:4
outermost	34:10 52:24	pages 147:13	198:13 199:7
134:22	73:3	paid 72:24	206:2
outfitted	<b>owned</b> 35:11	138:12	partially 59:23
111:23	36:13,14 51:11	paleozoic	participate
<b>outlined</b> 144:12	54:6 58:9	112:14	8:19 138:8
150:6 192:11	owner 31:21	<b>panel</b> 106:9	particular 8:9
<b>outputs</b> 169:14	37:19,24,24	124:17 125:13	11:24 26:16,19
outset 74:2	38:9,15,20	125:24 132:12	40:18 109:10
<b>outside</b> 69:14	49:6 53:20	134:14,15,17	111:9 113:16
72:18 78:21	59:11,17 60:5	135:9	118:24 122:2
88:2 175:4	60:8,9,18	panels 132:8	122:14 129:25
outwards	63:10,13 64:10	paper 53:14	135:5 137:22
135:18	64:24 71:9	papers 40:24	145:6 147:24
outweigh 76:23	83:5	41:25 55:3,14	148:12,14
overall 141:4	owners 188:22	par 197:3	149:13 150:23
overbalanced	ownership 5:20	paragraph	169:12 170:18
150:13	48:14,18,22	40:24	171:21 172:7

# [particular - permit]

174:16 175:11	partly 73:9,9	<b>pdf</b> 119:22	perforations
181:17,21	partners 20:9	penasco 8:9	79:12 82:7
205:17	30:12 93:8	pending 4:19	174:17
particularly	94:15 152:11	39:5 40:1	perform 81:4
177:22	187:6	44:22 87:8	performance
parties 4:22	<b>parts</b> 68:16	206:3	182:18
5:14 8:1 11:11	party 8:11 27:7	penetrate	performing
15:14 17:6,8	34:16 38:3,5	87:22 182:2	155:24
17:12,15,22	44:25 47:15	183:7 188:11	perimeter 78:4
18:6 20:1,5,18	54:22 55:1,1,4	penetrated	81:19
21:21 22:11,13	55:5,10,23	118:11 139:17	period 20:22
23:9 24:19	56:2,14 57:4	penetrating	86:25 123:21
26:5,11,25	57:14 59:4	175:14	123:23 124:1
27:9 28:4,9,16	61:2 62:2 63:1	penetrations	137:10 202:11
30:17 39:12	63:2,6,8,16	76:16 139:25	permanent
40:12 43:17,20	68:22 79:5	pennsylvania	102:6 111:23
46:5 48:2,17	90:6	150:11	permanently
50:14 53:5	<b>party's</b> 56:19	pennsylvanian	119:3
56:11 59:6,9	68:8 72:8	112:16 151:4	permeability
59:16 60:13,14	193:16	<b>people</b> 59:20	58:2 119:9
65:3 67:16	<b>pass</b> 26:17	157:13 159:20	131:12,21,22
69:25,25 70:3	153:1 176:11	percent 34:25	131:24 132:1,7
70:5,8,19 76:5	passing 28:2	131:23 132:19	132:11,12,13
77:8 80:5	<b>past</b> 50:9 197:2	132:24,24	132:15 164:24
82:11 84:18	199:22	136:9,11	168:13
86:14 87:24	patently 12:2	183:12 191:15	permian
88:3 90:12	<b>path</b> 43:24 70:6	197:17,18	112:12 182:18
91:7 92:8	70:13 76:10	percentage	permission
104:3,5,7	117:5 133:15	132:9,14	52:25 53:1,8
138:5,25 143:2	174:11 175:9	perfect 29:9	140:23
146:25 184:13	pathways	145:24 177:5	permissions
202:10 203:16	76:17 79:14	perforated	8:17
203:19 207:8	120:2	174:16	<b>permit</b> 31:25
207:11	<b>patrell</b> 146:7	perforation	32:20,22,23,25
		151:24	33:3 34:2,16

41:2 43:13,25	<b>petrel</b> 130:6	<b>plagued</b> 188:10	184:19,22,25
52:6 56:8,9,9	petroleum	<b>plain</b> 12:12	193:5
72:4 82:24	16:19 186:9,15	43:10	pleases 7:11
83:10,17 85:1	<b>ph</b> 5:5,5 10:16	<b>plan</b> 24:25	73:21
88:2,9 89:2	13:13,13 15:16	103:25 104:3	pleasure 8:24
158:19 195:16	15:18,19,19,22	110:12 150:21	25:15 75:23
201:22,25	21:4 32:24,24	150:22 151:11	pled 79:20
permits 42:2	32:24 54:14	158:20 159:4	<b>plot</b> 125:14
permitted	110:8 123:7	159:17 168:4	180:22
45:12 100:18	146:7 152:15	169:12 188:21	<b>plots</b> 136:21,22
100:19 117:24	166:9,10	188:23 193:16	<b>plotted</b> 121:6
205:3	169:17,19	<b>planned</b> 105:14	<b>plug</b> 174:19
permitting	physical 102:15	planning 99:20	175:2,3 200:2
95:3 96:16	129:14 139:12	151:2 158:15	plugged 78:1
190:17	<b>piece</b> 34:1,11	160:9	81:21 82:1
<b>person</b> 33:15	83:7	plans 105:21	87:22 100:20
37:22,23 38:6	<b>pieces</b> 21:15	140:1 158:16	117:25 118:20
51:11 63:9	<b>pin</b> 28:17	<b>plant</b> 108:4,6	139:16,21
93:25	<b>pipeline</b> 100:16	<b>plate</b> 205:16	146:20 173:15
personnel	101:9	platform 16:16	174:7 176:4
157:9	place 7:8 21:6	22:21 27:23	189:14 193:9
<b>persons</b> 59:7,10	35:13 80:14	86:14,19,24	199:11 200:7
59:22,24	82:6 167:23	113:20 193:13	plugging
perspective	168:4 169:16	206:11	151:24 167:25
31:24 80:22	170:22 173:19	platforms	175:4
104:12 106:4	174:6 200:24	130:6 146:7	plugs 118:18
113:9 134:7	<b>placed</b> 78:16	<b>play</b> 63:3 72:12	118:18 174:2
136:14 140:22	125:6 174:25	pleadings 8:5	174:18,24
175:1	placement	please 4:8	176:7
petition 17:24	100:1 193:20	13:10 30:20	<b>plume</b> 35:11
18:11 19:7	194:6	31:17 39:22	36:23 46:21
20:3 26:21	<b>places</b> 83:16	62:23 71:23	47:1,8,10
petitioner	112:13	85:6 87:3	50:13,15 53:1
70:25	placing 147:2	93:15 94:3,4	55:16 56:18
		94:11,17	57:9,14,24

59.2 5 7 22	146.1 4	maliar 169.12	145.00 151.0
58:2,5,7,23	146:1,4	policy 168:13	145:22 151:9
59:4 63:22,24	plus 18:2 20:18	<b>pool</b> 49:21 50:7	156:3,3,6,14,15
63:24 65:22	22:3 124:21	53:24	161:4 164:24
66:9 74:16	<b>point</b> 15:5	<b>pooling</b> 7:3 8:3	<b>porous</b> 180:17
76:17 78:5,10	17:10,18 20:19	49:23 54:7	portion 52:23
78:17,18 80:17	24:17 26:18	67:3	52:23 53:8
81:17 82:5	28:2 29:7	<b>poorly</b> 190:15	149:23
83:3 87:12	32:13 35:5,24	populations	portions
88:8 89:19	36:4 47:16	121:16	132:20 147:10
95:23 103:16	49:8 51:19	<b>pore</b> 35:22 37:1	portrayed
103:19 130:3,5	52:19,19,20	37:4,6 39:6	149:3
131:7 133:14	53:22 55:20	40:10 42:25	<b>pose</b> 85:19
134:23 135:18	56:10 58:11	43:9,10 44:8	119:4
135:25 137:21	67:23 73:13	48:25 49:5,13	posed 198:25
137:23 139:24	75:13 76:11,13	49:16,23,25	<b>poses</b> 157:9
140:9,10	81:19 82:8,23	51:2 52:23	position 22:8
144:17,19,21	83:7 119:21	53:11,16,19	27:25 28:4
144:22,25	120:12 124:21	58:14 65:24	36:19 42:5
146:13,21	126:5 135:16	66:25 67:6,14	45:8 49:15
152:16 153:1,6	142:3 163:10	67:20,20 68:2	50:24,25 53:10
154:3,7,18	167:13 169:13	68:8,9 70:23	53:18 66:3
174:1,11 175:6	170:15 174:24	70:23 71:14	69:12 70:25
177:4,8,14,20	181:15 202:3	74:17 79:3	71:12 74:2
177:25 178:5	<b>points</b> 45:13	80:20 82:10	77:7,15 106:6
180:22,23	53:9 70:21	123:2 124:19	106:6 144:10
181:14 182:10	77:24 82:22	124:23 127:6	185:20
183:9,16 188:1	84:6 116:21	127:24 164:2	positioned
188:3,7,19	156:18	pores 61:13	176:6
193:22,25	poisonous	82:1	possibility
194:1 197:25	197:5	porosity 58:2	40:22 56:18
199:3 200:3,4	<b>poisons</b> 196:25	110:22 115:8	180:8
200:5,7	197:1	115:24 116:7,9	possible 23:5
plumes 56:1	<b>poking</b> 80:21	119:8 130:24	27:14 55:19
57:20 129:23	pole 39:1	131:11,21,23	70:14 205:1
136:15 145:19	-	132:6,9,10,19	

[possibly - pressure]

[	1		11
possibly 24:10	practice 50:9	preliminary	presentation
67:12 161:12	67:9	31:11 34:1	92:18 97:23
<b>post</b> 167:5,18	practices 9:10	111:20 162:15	99:9 132:21
199:8,10	137:17	164:20	142:9 168:20
200:12	<b>pre</b> 43:16 85:14	premature	173:11
potential 40:10	146:23	74:13	presented 5:25
42:24 56:1	precarious 6:5	premise 34:5	32:3 33:7
66:24 67:19	precaution	premised 34:4	34:21 35:1
70:10 76:17	194:10	37:8 129:1	38:1 57:15
77:23 79:13	precise 55:16	premium	58:5,22 142:9
82:2 84:17	precisely	187:18	152:21,22
95:23 102:2	177:16	preparation	190:19
109:17 110:25	precursor	95:9 98:20	presenting 46:2
111:18 117:19	78:11	186:1	166:6 171:18
120:10 121:3	predecessor	prepare 95:8	preserved
125:15,16	35:7 57:18	185:25 188:24	148:21
131:1,6 141:6	105:1	191:1	president 94:21
141:9 150:19	predicted	prepared 21:20	press 161:8
151:23 156:17	177:8	53:17 96:1,6	pressure
158:20 175:5	predicting	97:23 99:9	106:14,17,21
potentially	166:22	119:17 128:6	107:6,16,23
26:15 68:24,25	prediction	189:13 207:3	108:16,17
69:7,16,22	124:19	prepares	109:21 110:7
84:25 85:1,19	predicts 122:25	103:18	111:16,24
85:24 86:3	126:4	preparing 27:3	123:2 124:13
108:9 109:21	predominantly	189:3	124:19,23
133:15 137:15	107:12	presence	125:3 126:13
138:12 152:2	preferable	187:11 188:19	126:17,24
170:16 174:10	105:19	present 4:22	127:5,6,13,24
175:9 201:3	preference	15:14 30:17	128:7 129:6,12
<b>pounds</b> 128:17	203:14	48:2,3 64:2	136:22 137:3
128:18	preferred 99:2	93:16,20	140:24 148:24
<b>power</b> 83:18	99:5 101:25	127:11 129:4	151:3,11,15
powers 44:2	prejudice	133:21 165:22	159:7 160:13
74:10	73:19	184:13	160:20 162:21

162:22 163:2,5	prevented	probability	proceeding
163:6,7,16,19	104:25	96:2 103:1	38:4,22 45:1
163:19 164:2	previous 51:3	121:8 122:2,10	53:4 61:2,7,8
168:11,12	67:5,14 117:16	125:13,23,25	61:17 63:7,8
169:5,5,6,8,11	131:9 158:9	126:4 140:3	65:8 75:1
169:15,17,20	159:21	172:13	88:19 206:13
169:23 170:1,2	previously	probably 11:7	207:4
170:3,8,21	58:22 62:11	23:7 76:3	proceedings
171:2,15,20	78:1 81:21	82:15,17 89:20	207:6
172:6,18,19,20	94:22 98:10,21	92:1,10,12	<b>process</b> 105:9
187:13,15	118:4 129:25	112:4 121:1	107:20 157:6
191:13,16,20	140:18 145:6	148:13 155:12	158:2 190:16
191:21 192:2	153:10 186:10	160:8 161:19	processes 98:14
196:9,17,23	primarily	162:1,5 163:5	102:2 106:13
197:9	34:24 36:2	166:19 168:6	138:6
pressured	<b>primary</b> 36:4,8	168:24 171:20	<b>produce</b> 103:5
127:10 128:1	111:5 112:20	182:2	116:15
128:22 129:2,4	121:22 139:5	<b>problem</b> 19:10	produced
164:2	182:12	22:3 205:15	124:10
pressures	<b>prime</b> 115:3	problematic	producing
110:25 111:21	principles	74:3 150:20	112:13,17
122:18,23	32:17	problems	113:10,14
128:15 136:19	<b>prior</b> 40:6	156:14	118:4,5,6
137:1,20 163:3	57:16 58:24	procedural	141:12
163:12 170:13	60:23 65:24	28:5 44:16	<b>product</b> 103:10
<b>pretty</b> 10:12,13	75:17 77:17	procedure	production
11:9,10 21:14	100:10 105:1	44:21,23 158:8	110:5 119:7
129:18 174:19	116:1 124:2	193:12	133:19 139:1
prevent 44:2	133:19 144:18	procedures	141:10 150:25
54:23,25 55:12	155:22 192:7	168:1	174:14 182:21
62:4 141:8,16	<b>private</b> 40:3,13	<b>proceed</b> 13:18	productive
178:10	43:19 44:4,15	31:9 45:12	113:18 116:16
preventative	45:6,9 47:12	82:14 93:1	118:17
141:5	47:14 74:7	proceeded	professor 3:17
		43:24 105:6	55:13

# [proffer - prove]

<b>proffer</b> 85:10	promise 92:22	proposal 205:3	150:1,2 155:16
<b>profile</b> 107:24	promising	proposals 17:9	156:13,25
163:19 169:4	165:24	17:11,14	160:20 173:13
<b>profiles</b> 163:19	<b>proof</b> 56:15	propose 20:3	176:18 187:14
172:18	<b>proper</b> 6:13 7:5	20:18 28:14	187:22,23
prognosis	7:7 12:11	110:18 136:18	196:11 200:23
114:7 159:16	42:18 44:13,16	136:20	203:18,23,25
program 111:9	44:25 61:2,20	proposed 17:21	205:25
167:20 175:19	75:1 111:14	17:22 18:4,9	proposes 47:19
175:24 176:1	properly 42:15	19:10 22:18	proposing
185:24	48:23 61:21	27:1 28:10	45:19 116:24
programs	65:3,8 139:1	36:25 44:1	protect 44:3
176:13	139:21	45:23 59:3	54:23,24 62:5
project 95:12	properties	78:15 85:13,21	63:19 74:6
99:23 102:9,18	46:14,22	87:20 95:24	78:24 80:14
103:9 104:13	130:20	96:2 97:11	102:14 110:11
106:3 112:3	property 33:13	100:2 101:18	141:18 157:12
113:3,9,22	33:16 34:6,11	102:11,12	protected
114:25 115:4	35:12 36:13,14	103:3,3 104:4	102:11 110:3
116:23 119:1,6	37:1,10,13	106:7 107:9	protecting
123:9 126:2	40:3,13 41:3,7	108:13,21	62:18 109:6
127:12 128:18	41:17,17,21	109:2,8,13,19	159:18
129:5,12 130:1	42:3,8,10 44:4	112:5,7,17	protection 74:7
131:1 135:7,22	44:10,15 45:7	114:13,22	80:12 117:14
139:4 145:8	45:18 46:24	115:7 118:3,8	117:15
180:16 187:11	47:3,6,11,13	118:12 119:11	protective
193:8	48:23 50:5,23	120:9,17 121:7	138:14,15
project's	52:9,12 54:5	123:18,22	139:8 141:14
138:14	55:18 56:19	125:1 126:21	141:20 174:3
projected	57:10 58:9,19	127:9 133:12	195:17
46:21 51:2	58:25 64:1	134:3 137:2,5	protects 195:7
57:9,19 78:5	65:7 74:7,17	137:8,19	195:8
78:17 81:17	79:2 83:14,20	138:13 139:2	<b>protest</b> 73:19
projecting	83:24 84:2	140:4,6,18	<b>prove</b> 55:21
120:24	100:17 195:8	141:11 146:14	

## [provide - quickly]

	1-19-11	164.0 174.10	80.22.01.11
<b>provide</b> 48:10	published	164:9 174:12	80:23 91:11
64:23 70:6,13	131:25	175:3 195:10	147:7,14
88:25 102:15	<b>pull</b> 20:20	200:24	149:18 150:5
112:23 115:19	81:12,23	putting 75:21	151:7,16
117:14 169:14	147:16	113:8	163:20 166:14
169:15 196:25	<b>pulling</b> 12:10	q	168:7 178:13
201:2	purchase 43:16	<b>q4</b> 104:20	190:10,15
provided 49:11	46:4 51:24	105:7	198:11,25
64:21 88:10,11	<b>pure</b> 124:14	qualifications	201:7,18
103:11 104:6	purely 40:2	40:1	questions 26:14
130:10 142:25	161:15	qualified 13:12	39:8,10 57:11
163:1 201:19	purported 8:8	13:14	65:20 68:11,13
provides 46:8	42:21	<b>qualifies</b> 38:11	68:14,18 70:17
124:11 139:12	purpose 74:6	38:12,14	82:17 84:8
198:21	78:18,19,22	<b>qualify</b> 65:2	89:23 90:1
providing	79:1 81:16	qualitative	92:13,14,24
76:17 142:19	82:9 109:5	54:23	93:19 99:8
provision 19:17	170:21,24	<b>quality</b> 105:17	106:1 142:1
46:4,7,12	189:3,5,22	116:3 130:22	143:12,16
47:20 88:22	purposes 51:16		144:9,17
proximity	58:17 59:9	<b>quantities</b> 137:7	146:18 149:12
135:23 178:8	77:21 78:9		152:6,14,17
prudence 34:15	88:16 98:13	<b>quarter</b> 32:21 33:2	154:23 155:1
<b>prudent</b> 198:12	110:24 121:15		155:12,15
<b>psi</b> 123:3,13	pursuant 40:16	question 7:24	167:3 173:10
140:25 163:6	pursue 75:1	7:25 21:6 33:7	173:25 176:25
168:11 170:15	pursuing 74:24	36:8 37:3	183:22,25
172:21	push 21:9 22:5	50:12,16 51:9	195:21,25
<b>public</b> 1:1 3:14	26:23 198:16	51:16 52:12,14	197:23 200:18
54:24 80:15	pushing 80:1	52:15 55:9,13	201:8
82:4 138:6	<b>put</b> 20:3 28:15	56:22 57:4,7	quick 91:23,25
195:17 200:25	29:4 71:3	57:14 61:9	92:1,3 155:14
publication	75:18 76:16	63:12,20 64:11	165:7 173:10
1:24 142:24	84:3 87:25	66:1,12,12	quickly 32:12
164:1	89:5 91:14	67:17 71:3	38:22 82:16
		79:4 80:7,11	

1.60.1.4			005 10 01 00
163:14	61:19,20 62:20	ranging 123:2	205:13,21,22
quiet 5:22,23	63:13,23 64:1	128:16	rankin's 63:4
5:24 6:1,6 8:20	64:3,5,6,10,15	<b>rankin</b> 1:11	80:3 120:8
<b>quite</b> 136:12	64:17,17 65:5	18:8 30:18,19	<b>rate</b> 30:16
177:12 190:5	65:25 69:2,4	30:20,23 31:10	93:12 107:4
203:13	75:4,8,12 80:9	36:19 39:17,19	136:22 137:8
<b>quoting</b> 40:23	80:25 82:10	39:21 48:5	151:7,7,14
41:23	85:21 88:10,24	50:4 51:5,19	160:15 171:5
r	90:16 147:5	53:15 56:17,23	171:12,12
<b>r</b> 3:1 41:24	<b>ram's</b> 40:4	57:2 64:13	191:14,16,17
185:10	42:21 44:7	70:21,24 73:24	191:19,20,21
<b>r11855b</b> 41:24	55:5 84:5	73:25 77:2	191:22,25
<b>r12546</b> 40:23	ramifications	82:8 83:21	192:2,3 193:17
<b>r20903</b> 93:10	55:2	84:11 85:25	196:7,9,16
<b>r20913</b> 30:14	<b>rams</b> 44:12	87:5,18 88:14	197:10,10,12
r23045 7:1	88:18	88:15 89:16	<b>rates</b> 58:7
<b>radius</b> 117:1,2	<b>ran</b> 36:13	90:14 93:12,14	200:23
117:3	<b>ranch</b> 30:25	94:16 96:13,22	rather 9:2
raise 53:22	31:21 35:2,17	96:24 97:17,21	20:20 32:12
94:4 184:25	35:25 36:6,7	97:22 132:17	95:15 105:11
<b>raised</b> 44:19	36:10,11,15	141:25 142:18	117:1 124:10
52:1 62:19	37:11 38:15	142:23 143:5	136:4 162:15
raises 43:10	41:15 63:13	143:11 146:12	182:16
ram 30:25	65:1,5 83:8,8	152:7,9,12	ratio 166:9
31:20 35:2,17	83:12	154:24 156:10	196:25 197:1,5
35:21 37:17	<b>ranch's</b> 32:9	163:22 177:2	razatos 72:13
38:14,19 39:23	36:5 40:25	178:2,22	84:14,22 85:3
40:7 42:1,22	42:4 83:17	183:25 184:9	85:18 86:13,18
43:2,9,12	<b>ranche's</b> 36:18	184:11 186:16	86:23 87:10
44:25 45:5,15	ranches 39:2	194:18,19	88:12 89:15,17
46:3,18 49:15	ranching 65:1	195:24,25	89:21 90:3,9
51:20 53:21	<b>range</b> 100:6	201:8,9 203:3	90:25 91:10,22
55:4,10,15	122:24 125:9	203:5,17 204:7	92:7,19,23
57:9,12 58:10	132:9,12	204:13,16,19	93:5,22 96:18
59:15,20 60:20		204:24 205:7	96:20 97:17
59.15,20 00.20			

142:12 143:8	readiness 161:6	<b>rebuilt</b> 130:9	recognized
143:10,14,17	<b>ready</b> 3:2 6:17	rebuttal 17:25	44:12 94:25
143:20,23	10:10 31:9	18:12 19:18,20	recognizing
144:1 152:7	55:24 90:6	51:8 53:9,23	146:2
155:2,9 173:6	168:1,2	62:24 65:9	recommended
176:23 177:11	<b>reagan</b> 31:1,19	<b>recall</b> 17:5,17	192:6
178:6,12,15	<b>real</b> 33:15	36:6 146:11	recommending
183:21 184:1,3	35:12	147:10 152:17	192:22
184:8,14,18,21	realistic 160:20	recalling	recompleted
185:12 186:17	realistically	170:12	118:19 174:20
186:21 194:18	168:3	<b>recap</b> 38:22	recompletion
194:20 195:23	realize 85:25	138:16	139:20
196:2 200:16	205:15	receive 55:8	reconcile
200:20 201:6	really 6:8 80:7	59:8,10,17,21	144:20
201:11,14,23	80:23 99:1	60:14,22,25	reconvene
201:25 202:12	102:23 103:12	143:2 162:14	86:11,15
202:16,21	103:15 118:22	received 60:21	record 8:7 10:9
203:3,6,15	122:3 129:13	65:4,6 104:7	12:1 13:24
204:3,6,14,18	130:15 135:24	receiving 137:7	30:7 31:19
204:21 205:6,8	150:18 158:5	<b>recent</b> 46:19	46:1 49:19
205:10,15,21	163:18 166:21	128:24 130:2	55:7 60:7
206:1,6	168:8 178:9	130:15	73:16 75:15
<b>rcx</b> 1:9	182:5 190:12	<b>recently</b> 101:15	86:12,16,22,24
<b>rdx</b> 1:9	198:6,23,25	102:22 105:23	87:6,16 88:16
<b>reach</b> 55:24	199:16	114:1,2 115:22	90:5,21 91:2,5
56:4 170:9,21	reason 8:6 17:8	130:17 158:23	91:14,19 92:16
174:1 196:23	44:18 61:25	164:12 173:18	93:4,6 94:12
<b>reaches</b> 167:12	62:21 162:4	175:10	143:7,25
reaching	189:13 198:5	receptive 151:5	144:13 152:20
171:12	reasonable	recipient 138:3	154:22 184:7,9
reaction 82:3	69:13 79:21	recognizable	202:18 207:5
read 36:1 62:15	136:18	44:10	recording
64:22 69:10	reasons 32:3	recognize	207:4
87:15 168:9	35:20 37:2,18	74:22 105:24	<b>records</b> 60:1,18
180:1	54:9		117:10,21,21

118:1 126:16	156:11 175:15	regardless 51:1	relative 51:3
127:3 128:4,12	193:2 202:19	regards 39:12	77:7 78:24
128:20 129:9	references	71:12 157:22	168:16 207:10
133:20 139:19	154:19	167:18 168:14	relatively 127:9
144:18	referencing	196:7	<b>release</b> 141:9,9
<b>red</b> 17:11 27:4	145:11 163:23	regional 106:4	144:12
100:17 112:6	referring	129:1	relevant 51:16
112:11 113:13	116:22 147:12	registered 8:12	61:7,17,23
127:22	150:5	regroup 25:22	98:22 103:22
redesign	refined 57:25	29:7 30:4	104:2 138:25
100:23 105:3,4	103:13 130:9	regular 191:9	reliance 32:7
redirect 152:8	refinement	204:1	35:25 39:2
152:10	130:3	regularly	48:16 154:18
redress 40:7	<b>reflect</b> 10:10	188:18	<b>relief</b> 42:21
42:21	114:11,14,16	regulations	<b>relies</b> 47:21
<b>reduce</b> 156:16	134:22 202:6	59:8 60:13	182:16
187:15	202:10	61:1	relieved 24:17
<b>reduces</b> 102:2	reflecting	<b>reign</b> 43:7	relinquish
141:5	142:24	reiterate 56:2	45:13
redundancy	reflective	88:15 104:9	relocate 100:23
98:14	149:10 163:10	132:18 146:18	105:2
redundant	reflects 55:7	reject 40:4	relocation
30:13 93:9,17	104:3 115:21	rejected 44:6	101:4
101:6 105:7	189:5	45:11	<b>rely</b> 44:21
138:18 139:12	reformations	related 8:14	48:13 53:13
145:14 161:1,9	76:19	42:24 50:22	99:5
162:10	<b>refprop</b> 133:7	77:25 80:9	<b>remain</b> 126:18
<b>reef</b> 109:11	refute 45:2	141:10 187:1	remanded
reference 136:7	regard 83:1	207:7	101:12 153:18
144:18,20,21	regarding 9:3,8	relates 62:17	remember
145:20 146:8	9:10,16 11:23	87:14	179:15
147:12 154:18	12:1,9 49:16	relating 87:12	removed
referenced	50:21 93:7	88:8 101:19	151:22
65:23 79:15	175:15 176:25	relationship	<b>render</b> 130:13
146:23 147:14	187:11	57:11 64:13,15	178:23 179:13

## [repeat - response]

<b>repeat</b> 10:21	requesting	reserve 8:18	resolution
158:6	43:14 51:20	19:19 151:9	49:10 76:11
repeatedly 40:6	81:22,25 98:18	reservoir	87:1
42:11,14	105:21 140:23	102:24 103:7,8	resource
replacing	191:24 193:10	103:10,16	185:22
180:17	197:13	108:17 110:15	resources 8:2
replicate	requests 76:4	116:3 119:7,10	67:4 109:6
166:16	116:1 138:17	123:18 125:6	117:19 119:5
<b>report</b> 72:6	require 66:5	126:19 127:14	138:11 139:9
169:16	77:1 82:15	129:7,18	148:20 195:9
reported 12:13	188:18 199:8	130:18 133:6	<b>respect</b> 13:1,9
12:13	required 6:11	133:17,18	21:11,18 40:22
reporter 3:2	32:6 38:7 42:7	134:10 137:4,6	78:23 102:8
reporters 97:20	59:7,10,17,21	140:20 145:22	103:7 107:2
represent	60:13,22,25	146:5,9 162:13	111:5,20 113:3
31:20 142:13	68:19 72:5	164:18 167:16	113:6,9,23
representation	97:10 101:5	168:12 169:7	119:11,12
48:17	103:22 104:21	169:11,15,17	122:16 123:9
representations	122:18,24	169:20 170:12	124:12 132:1
48:22	137:25 143:2	172:6,20	133:3 134:9
representative	145:15	reservoirs	135:6,17 137:4
5:11 164:4	requirement	130:22	146:11 148:2
166:11	64:23 75:11	residual 168:22	150:15 165:2
representing	167:18 168:8	169:1	175:25 176:3
5:10 15:17	requirements	resistance	194:2
165:8	116:18 119:1	174:8 192:24	respectively
request 18:12	145:14 199:17	192:24	130:8
18:23 54:7	requires 38:7	resistant 79:17	respects 50:4
93:17,21 98:24	61:4,6 63:9,10	79:17,25	respond 57:6
101:4 153:13	75:4 122:15	108:10,11	responded
requested	188:8	110:2,9 111:24	33:17
77:19 78:3,22	requiring	149:24,25	responding
81:8,13,16	63:16 77:10	187:18 188:15	183:17
98:8 106:16	104:5	resistivity	response 9:3
107:5 190:6		110:22 163:17	22:22 32:8

36:1 37:16	<b>retend</b> 96:14	25:25 26:9	40:13 41:3
53:22 83:21	<b>return</b> 82:17	30:17 34:13,14	42:3,8,10 43:2
responses	120:2	34:17,21 37:8	43:5,9 44:3,10
157:25	returning	39:1,5 45:7,17	47:14 48:14,15
responsible	127:25	46:13,14 52:5	48:18 52:9,12
185:23	<b>review</b> 47:14	52:20 56:5	54:24 58:19
<b>rest</b> 206:11	69:19 77:9	60:3,19 70:12	60:11 61:13
restricted	85:9 99:11	71:2 72:15	62:5,10,18
177:22	102:9 116:20	73:3 74:16,18	74:8,8,17
restriction	116:23,25	74:21,25 77:22	78:24 80:13,15
135:24 137:22	117:6,7,21,21	79:3 83:13	83:14,20
restrictive	117:23 118:10	84:4 85:2 94:1	138:15 141:14
177:22	118:14 120:14	94:4 96:22	141:18 142:15
resubmittal	126:9 139:15	104:11 106:9	195:7,17 201:1
153:9	153:11 158:23	107:18,24	<b>rio</b> 8:9
resubmitting	174:5 195:1,3	114:10 120:5	<b>rises</b> 67:20
153:12	195:6,14	120:12 125:24	<b>risk</b> 62:8,10
result 72:7	203:12	127:17,22	103:5 113:7
95:23 102:21	reviewed 85:15	128:12 130:12	119:4 120:24
124:12	116:20 139:19	131:18 132:12	122:14 123:10
resultant	144:18 153:15	135:9,14	125:21 129:6
134:19 135:12	186:25 192:7	147:20 151:6	140:2,7 141:13
188:3	197:21	155:4 159:24	156:7 157:10
resulting 140:5	reviewing	166:17 168:12	158:25 160:2
results 21:12	95:16 97:24	178:2 179:19	175:6
75:3 102:6	117:10 118:1	180:7,7 181:4	rittenhouse
121:5 124:16	128:25 172:18	181:7,11,13,25	127:1,16,23
125:8,25	revised 90:21	182:5,6 183:4	164:1
127:16 129:24	revisions 22:11	183:5,11	<b>rivers</b> 113:21
134:13 135:19	revisit 67:22	184:25 189:17	118:5
141:11 156:5	revolves 54:20	191:24 196:15	<b>road</b> 100:14
160:19 161:7	<b>right</b> 3:12 4:6	205:12,16	<b>robust</b> 108:9
177:6	5:18 10:17	206:4	154:6 166:8
retained 64:4	14:14 16:10	<b>rights</b> 8:17	<b>rock</b> 130:20
	22:13 24:13	34:6 37:10	179:20

[role - says]

<b>role</b> 195:4	29:20,23 30:2	sales 132:14	samaniengo
<b>roll</b> 3:10,20	30:8,19,23	<b>saline</b> 167:8	11:16
<b>room</b> 10:19,23	31:3,8,16 39:9	salt 35:8,13	sampson 32:24
11:6 53:19	39:18,22 48:5	36:7,9,17 50:1	33:18
63:3 197:14,16	51:5 54:12,18	67:9 100:19	santa 15:23
205:2	62:23 65:10,14	101:1,5,10	30:22
<b>rotary</b> 111:12	73:24 77:2	104:25 105:6,8	satisfied 90:18
<b>routine</b> 110:22	82:19 84:7	105:22 106:7	satisfy 63:16
royalties	<b>rule</b> 8:5 21:15	109:10 111:2	118:23
138:12	21:19 26:16	113:24 114:21	saturated
<b>rozatos</b> 3:3,6	38:6,7 49:5	115:22 122:6	133:18
3:11,19,25	54:20 56:13	122:11 128:24	saturation
4:11,14,17 5:2	63:9,14 67:3	129:10 134:2	134:19 135:17
5:7,12 6:16,20	rulemaking	135:22 140:21	136:9 168:22
7:9,12,18,22	15:11	141:2 144:19	169:1 183:6,12
9:19 10:5,8,20	<b>rules</b> 9:16 38:2	145:1,1,11,12	saturations
10:24 11:3,5	38:2 59:6 63:6	146:16 149:19	135:12
11:13,16,18,20	<b>ruling</b> 84:24	150:5,7 151:18	saturday 26:8
12:4,17,19,22	<b>run</b> 161:5	153:11 156:9	<b>saw</b> 48:6 77:13
13:20,22 14:4	163:14 165:18	170:6 188:2	sayer 16:22,23
14:7,10,12,19	169:19	196:22 197:2	16:24 22:15,16
14:22,24 15:2	S	197:11 198:19	23:21,22 28:25
15:4,8,20,25	<b>s</b> 1:4,16 3:1	<b>salty</b> 188:4	29:1,21,22
16:4,8,14,20,24	safe 80:22	samaniego 5:9	saying 70:11,12
18:24 19:2,15	187:9,19	5:10,13,14,19	70:13,24 72:14
19:22 20:25	safeguards	6:17,19 8:2,8	72:15,17,19
21:24 22:9,14	80:7,14 81:5	8:21 11:17,25	73:3 74:15,15
22:19,23 23:12	safely 138:23	12:5,18,19,20	84:4 85:20,20
23:15,19,21,23	safety 78:13,13	12:22,23 13:2	86:5,6,6
24:4,6,9,13,18	82:5 108:3,5	13:11,20,21,22	166:12 179:18
24:25 25:9,17	111:25 151:18	14:2,8,20,21,23	180:6
25:19 26:3,7,9	191:15 197:17	15:1,3,5,7	says 49:8 50:15
27:15,19,22	197:18 200:25	samaniego's	53:15,25 55:15
28:19,24 29:3	sale 43:16 46:4	7:4 8:25 9:6	55:15 71:9
29:10,13,15,17	47:4 51:25		202:20

[scale - seen]

scale 148:11,14	<b>scout</b> 128:12	113:11,12,20	129:9 132:10
scales 157:7	scratch 65:2	114:18,25	132:12 135:7,8
scenario	<b>screen</b> 96:23	116:7,9 135:14	135:14,19,24
120:21,24	179:1	146:8 174:21	136:1,5,23
124:20 125:17	scrolling	180:25 181:3	137:22 140:21
134:20 145:23	147:20	sectional	147:21 150:19
165:22,23,23	<b>se</b> 21:5	135:10	158:24 159:25
165:24 198:24	<b>sealed</b> 198:8	sections 98:23	160:4,19 163:6
scenarios	seals 119:3,15	115:5 151:4	167:1,11
120:20 136:23	season 18:15	181:3	168:16,17
163:1 165:18	18:21	sediments	169:7 170:24
schedule 6:18	seat 93:23,24	112:9	171:19 174:5
8:23 10:12	second 3:24	see 16:15 19:6	174:14 177:24
11:1 17:22,23	4:16 8:25 10:1	21:12 29:23	178:4,21 179:2
18:5 20:22,23	10:3 12:23	34:19 38:10	179:2 180:7
22:5,18 23:9	13:4 18:14	39:23 49:10	181:4,11,12,13
24:21 26:12	32:7 37:15	56:7 64:1,21	182:6 183:5,11
27:12 28:5,14	47:7 48:24	69:1,6 70:2,9	203:8
28:15 145:18	55:11,22 62:3	75:25 79:15	seeing 69:23
scheduled	62:3 63:18,21	82:7 83:4 93:3	103:11 115:25
14:15 20:15	83:7 97:18	96:25 97:5	139:19 183:7
204:1	106:25 109:13	100:6,16 106:5	<b>seek</b> 42:21
scheduling	109:18 154:5	106:9 109:1	51:18 52:25
19:9 20:6	192:5 201:24	112:7 113:18	53:8 62:3
138:5	204:20,22,23	114:4,5,9,13,20	138:19
schematic	secondary	115:11 116:7,9	seeking 39:1
107:18	111:5,13	121:6,9,13,16	40:7 98:11
schematics	112:23,25	121:21 122:5	100:22
79:23	139:7 151:9	122:24,25	seeks 43:13
schlumberger's	secondly 109:7	124:3,16,17,25	140:13
130:6	secretary 3:18	125:14,17	seems 19:13
science 82:5	section 6:25 7:2	126:10,22	54:15 55:13,25
<b>scope</b> 34:6 41:2	32:21 33:2	127:2,9,22	69:11 79:1
42:13 83:20	88:1,5 89:7	128:4,11,14,14	<b>seen</b> 20:21
	100:5 112:16	128:15,21	112:4 116:10

121:20 124:14	sensors 111:24	setting 6:18	<b>shared</b> 30:15
133:7 135:23	<b>sent</b> 9:2 138:4	112:5 159:1	93:11 98:17
148:18 153:15	separate 45:21	<b>settled</b> 49:2,17	<b>sharing</b> 203:19
198:19	88:3,23 89:3,5	53:11,13	<b>sheet</b> 147:15
segment 125:19	111:16 156:18	196:10	<b>sheila</b> 10:16,18
148:13	separated	settlement 91:7	10:22 11:1,4
segments	161:25	<b>seven</b> 113:21	14:5,6,13,14
121:11,15	separately	118:5 172:11	86:23 203:12
123:1,10,12	88:23 162:8	severability	<b>shield</b> 166:9,9
125:22 126:3	separation	49:14	<b>short</b> 51:8
seismic 58:1	162:1	severable 49:17	<b>shortly</b> 41:14
95:1 96:15	september	several 22:10	<b>shot</b> 73:11
102:22 103:6	97:15	<b>shaded</b> 115:2,6	<b>show</b> 34:2
103:14 105:15	sequence 101:3	<b>shale</b> 112:18	58:18 61:5,6
113:2 114:11	101:7 131:20	115:4,10	75:14 99:24
120:11,15	189:8,23	121:21,23	100:7,8 108:21
121:5,19	sequester	126:12 127:8	115:3,6 121:4
130:11,16,18	199:23	129:15 139:6	122:22 125:24
130:20 131:15	sequestration	shales 112:24	134:12,18
149:5,6,9,11	102:7	115:13	136:21 140:9
155:25 156:4	<b>series</b> 103:4	<b>shallow</b> 76:18	151:15 162:22
179:2,3 187:10	125:17 152:9	109:24 117:11	163:7 165:22
seismicity	serve 98:13	118:2,19	169:19 170:11
113:7 121:2	<b>served</b> 174:22	shallower	172:24 180:20
122:14 140:7	session 21:13	114:16 192:17	180:21 183:16
141:13 187:13	21:18 25:21	shallowest	200:3
198:22	26:6,13 49:11	189:18	<b>showed</b> 156:5
<b>seismics</b> 198:22	82:16	<b>shanor</b> 16:18	163:2,8,16
seminal 83:9	<b>set</b> 4:12 7:10	<b>shape</b> 51:22	164:23 165:18
<b>send</b> 203:12	10:22 11:21	64:3 117:2	169:3,4 172:7
<b>sense</b> 76:12	17:7 23:23	177:24	199:4
106:1 114:4	25:1 44:16	shaping 183:8	showing 58:23
126:20 145:4	78:16 109:14	<b>share</b> 20:16	100:9 113:13
180:21	110:6 173:3	96:23 147:16	127:17 132:9
	178:18 202:1		134:24 135:2

	• 1 11 11 11 10	177.05	• • •
135:10 167:4	sidewall 111:12	177:25	simulations
171:19,24	132:2	similarly 33:25	108:19 111:17
178:2 179:4,13	<b>sight</b> 12:12	116:16	121:8 122:2,21
180:23 182:5,9	<b>sign</b> 90:7 203:9	<b>simple</b> 43:11	124:7 129:19
<b>shown</b> 59:25	203:12	91:6	131:2 133:10
100:17,25	signal 45:6	simplified	133:22 134:13
101:10,15	<b>signed</b> 120:1	152:15,24	136:17 137:5
102:9 104:11	significant	154:2	172:13
106:4 107:24	102:7 115:11	simplifies	simultaneously
112:3 114:9	119:6	102:1	88:10
115:2 118:13	significantly	<b>simplify</b> 136:13	sir 11:19 14:8
122:4 123:11	118:3 141:5	<b>simply</b> 12:11	15:7 62:24
125:22 127:1	172:14 177:19	33:14 38:10	65:9 147:11
128:11 131:9	177:20 187:15	43:10 44:18	184:20 186:4
132:11 134:17	<b>silurian</b> 140:17	75:13 90:22	192:13 196:12
136:2,8 148:11	siluro 105:18	145:20	200:13
165:20 169:5,6	114:12,17	simulated	sit 21:20 72:25
179:11 199:13	115:6 118:11	120:21	site 38:9,12,13
<b>shows</b> 58:18	126:14 127:21	simulation	38:14,16,20
63:25 101:17	128:21 138:18	103:10 120:22	63:11,14 66:10
103:2 113:12	140:17 161:3	122:18 123:16	88:7,23 89:3,5
114:25 117:22	173:14 179:13	123:19,20,22	89:11 90:18
122:19 124:18	187:7	124:1,4,17,24	91:6 145:10
127:16,24	silverback 5:5	125:8,12,22	175:16
130:13 131:18	6:6,14,23,24	130:8 132:22	situation 19:24
179:1,12 183:9	8:22	132:24 133:17	22:2 192:16
<b>shut</b> 200:6	silverbacks	135:7,20	193:24
shutdown	5:19,21,22,25	136:10 137:9	<b>six</b> 122:8
176:16	6:10	137:10 146:6	<b>size</b> 146:13
<b>shy</b> 161:20	similar 22:2	146:10 161:7	<b>skills</b> 207:6
<b>sic</b> 55:7	40:6 80:8	162:21 163:13	skip 95:13,15
<b>side</b> 6:18 87:23	111:8 113:25	163:15 168:9	98:6
89:24 162:19	116:8,14	173:1 177:6	slated 92:4
163:15	125:24 133:8	178:3	<b>sliced</b> 131:13
	136:5 161:4,17		

[slide - special]

	1	1	
<b>slide</b> 97:23	sluggish 84:21	<b>sonic</b> 110:23	139:18 148:3
99:11,19 106:2	<b>small</b> 7:3 82:22	111:1 150:17	173:11 174:1
106:14 107:7	84:6 152:9	163:18 170:10	175:18
108:21 112:2	smaller 125:5	<b>soon</b> 7:11 11:7	southeast 64:6
113:8 114:9,24	<b>smart</b> 53:14	21:17 27:14	101:1,16 114:1
115:14,18	snyder 32:8	163:16	161:17
117:16 121:4	35:25 36:5,6,7	<b>sooner</b> 204:25	southeastern
122:22 123:15	36:10,14,18	sophisticated	112:6 122:3
126:19 127:1	37:11 39:2	146:3	southwest
130:12 131:9	40:25 41:15	<b>sorry</b> 7:21	32:20 33:2
132:5,18,20	42:3 83:8,8,11	10:20 11:13	100:4 106:8
134:1,14,16	83:17	31:10 93:8	114:18 167:11
163:18,23,24	software 133:7	105:10 106:22	<b>space</b> 35:22
164:23 165:7	133:9	115:17 133:1	37:1,4,6 39:2,6
165:18 166:19	<b>soil</b> 55:7,8,9	145:2 147:19	43:9,10 44:8
169:2 177:3	176:18	148:15 155:2,5	48:25 49:5,13
178:25 190:3	<b>soiled</b> 176:5	158:6 190:25	49:16,24 50:1
<b>slides</b> 99:17	<b>sold</b> 46:24 64:3	201:16	50:7 51:2,11
101:21 115:23	65:7	<b>sort</b> 25:7	52:23 53:11,16
129:17 134:12	<b>sole</b> 13:16	<b>sorted</b> 26:12,12	53:20 58:14
155:15	52:12	<b>sought</b> 105:2	61:13 65:24
<b>slight</b> 159:1	<b>solely</b> 42:24	<b>sound</b> 29:8	66:25 67:6,14
slightly 47:7	62:17	69:13	67:20,20 68:2
53:12 100:14	solidified 15:6	<b>sounds</b> 157:25	68:8,9 70:23
167:13	<b>solidify</b> 200:22	<b>sour</b> 99:3	70:24 71:2,4,8
<b>slip</b> 17:2 95:2	204:12	101:25 104:18	71:14,18 74:18
96:2,15 103:1	solution 54:6	104:20 138:11	79:3 80:21
120:4,10 121:2	70:10	<b>sources</b> 80:16	82:10
121:8 122:1,9	somebody 60:2	102:14 119:18	<b>spaces</b> 42:25
122:18,24	someone's	141:21 195:18	<b>speak</b> 66:1
123:2,11,14	70:23 71:1,2	<b>south</b> 30:25	67:12
125:13,15,16	71:18	31:21 35:2	speaking 22:20
125:21,23,25	something's	38:15 65:5	56:13
140:3 148:4	81:19	100:5,13	special 1:21
172:13		118:12 121:17	47:19 142:13

	1	1	1
specific 19:25	stage 107:22	starts 25:25	statute 38:6
39:15 106:18	<b>stages</b> 107:20	<b>state</b> 6:15	63:9,15
108:24 149:8	stakeholder	18:17 33:23,25	statutes 6:15
150:11,18	20:6	35:3,9,10,11,13	statutory 26:20
specifically	<b>stand</b> 85:5	35:14,19 38:2	44:2,5
38:3 87:11	93:20	38:3 53:12,17	<b>stavic</b> 166:10
98:18 100:5	standard 98:19	64:7 73:16	<b>stay</b> 31:13,23
108:2 120:25	117:6 134:4,8	82:20 94:11	32:2,8,18 33:6
134:24 137:8	136:25 137:9	123:8 138:12	35:20 36:1
138:17 162:19	195:3	139:21,22	37:15,16 38:23
162:19	standards	173:18 185:6	39:17 44:17,18
specificity	107:25 195:9	<b>state's</b> 64:10	44:21 45:3,6
79:21	standing 32:12	stated 4:1	46:3,8 48:2
specified	36:3 37:16,18	13:23 17:10	53:5 54:7,10
140:14	38:21 39:7	33:12 51:20	54:22 62:3,20
<b>specify</b> 162:18	61:5,5,19,23	88:14 89:23	62:21 63:18
speculating	63:5,8 65:13	144:11 177:7	71:11 75:20
167:15	65:17 66:4,15	statement 1:5,7	<b>stays</b> 44:22
speculative	standpoint	43:16 56:17	54:21
167:6 168:25	176:10	83:22 85:15	<b>steel</b> 139:13
<b>speed</b> 99:7	stanford 121:1	90:20 119:16	<b>stem</b> 127:3
<b>spell</b> 185:7	122:15 124:6	120:1 146:24	<b>step</b> 84:15
<b>spelled</b> 185:9	stars 113:13	statements	107:3 126:13
<b>split</b> 193:18	start 3:9 4:23	12:1 49:12	151:7,7,14
splitting 134:3	5:15 15:14	50:20 77:7	160:15 170:22
<b>springs</b> 109:22	16:5 17:2 21:7	<b>states</b> 32:16	171:5,12
118:6 127:7,19	30:17 31:9	status 4:22	191:14,16,20
127:23	79:5 87:2	5:16,17 11:23	191:25 196:7
<b>square</b> 112:6	92:12 153:15	11:24 15:13	196:16 201:16
188:5	155:6 171:12	17:5 25:3,4	<b>steps</b> 8:13
squeezed	196:6 205:11	27:11,12 28:15	<b>stewart</b> 88:12
174:17	started 18:22	28:18,21 29:4	88:13
<b>ss</b> 122:6	starting 18:2	29:5 52:4	<b>stick</b> 28:12
stabilization	23:24 24:23	57:14 72:6	sticking 27:16
167:13		104:13	

## [stimulate - suggested]

stimulate 151:9	<b>strings</b> 102:15	149:23 172:4	substance 37:4
stimulation	109:2 110:12	188:8,12,13	37:5,12
151:11	139:11 187:19	189:20 191:10	substantial
stipulated 8:11	188:15	192:19,20	175:4
20:2 107:1	<b>strong</b> 27:17	subjected	substantially
stipulates	77:15 102:4	192:21	26:22 116:10
144:11	strongly 9:2	submission	substantiate
<b>stones</b> 131:5	59:1	187:1 202:8	72:11 98:24
<b>stop</b> 97:18	<b>struck</b> 35:18	<b>submit</b> 90:5,7	subsurface
181:15 199:13	structural	90:16,21 91:4	35:2,3,15
199:23 200:4	114:23 179:8	142:5 205:3	40:10 42:25
straight 24:15	structure	submitted 6:4	43:8 44:8
stranatocamaro	114:10,14	17:15,17 27:14	61:13 108:5
110:8 112:15	156:1 167:9	36:24 45:24	111:25 118:25
126:23 174:21	structured	51:3 69:18	120:16 126:9
strata 102:17	20:19	91:19 95:9	129:24 136:16
112:12,14,21	structures	96:5 101:6	139:4 151:17
113:1,11 126:7	120:23	104:2,15	152:3 155:21
126:14 139:13	<b>studied</b> 126:23	105:21 145:8	subtract
179:23 180:18	studies 134:2	145:16 152:24	191:15
strategy 150:12	137:17	153:10,23	<b>succeed</b> 31:24
stratigraphy	<b>study</b> 95:18	154:8,10	successful
127:18	<b>stuff</b> 85:4	156:10 202:19	130:21
<b>stream</b> 34:25	<b>suaza</b> 29:18	202:23 203:23	successive
107:12 132:23	<b>suazo</b> 16:6,9,10	submitting	107:20
133:5 139:14	16:11 20:1	158:17	successor 55:6
stress 122:17	21:1,2 23:16	subsections	suffered 63:2
122:20 123:4,6	23:17 27:23,24	103:22	<b>suffice</b> 91:11
123:8 148:5	29:15,16	subsequent	201:2
204:18	subdivided	48:24 120:18	sufficient 90:20
<b>strike</b> 9:1,20,21	121:14 131:10	146:5 156:12	139:5 174:3
9:22 10:6,9	147:9	183:14	197:22 198:21
string 102:16	subject 7:2	subsequently	suggest 200:1
110:9 149:25	8:10 41:18	101:13	suggested 69:4
	46:5 73:19		

# [suggestion - tag]

suggestion 26:1	supervision	surface 31:21	suspicion 8:4
69:22 70:8	96:7	37:19 38:8,11	160:8
203:15	support 42:4	38:15,20 45:20	suss 158:24
suggests 58:6	44:20 71:15	45:21 49:5	<b>swd</b> 30:15 67:5
<b>suitable</b> 108:12	99:12 129:20	53:16,20 58:20	93:10 105:11
118:21 119:11	supported	59:11,13,17	105:12 107:2
126:6	129:8,9 161:8	60:15,24 63:10	122:12 124:4
<b>sulfide</b> 102:6	supporting	63:13 64:10,18	125:4 153:20
103:24 176:14	98:23 99:12	64:24 66:5	154:14 172:12
176:19	116:17 138:1	67:15 77:18,23	swear 94:2
<b>sulfur</b> 102:3	supportive	78:2,3,8 79:13	184:23
summarize	22:17 103:17	79:18 81:15,20	switched
123:15 132:16	128:5 138:9	81:25 82:4	134:15
138:16	suppose 19:7	87:21,25 100:9	<b>sworn</b> 94:8
summarized	<b>supreme</b> 40:25	100:12 101:15	185:3,13
19:24 132:20	42:4	102:10,13	<b>symbol</b> 100:18
summarizes	<b>sure</b> 10:22 21:2	108:3 109:4	101:1
134:1	26:7 53:6 57:1	110:13,18	<b>system</b> 107:9
summarizing	73:7 83:18	112:9 117:3,16	107:16,19
19:5 21:3	90:14 93:22,24	118:20 136:18	138:23 176:21
107:7 109:1	94:4 97:19	137:1 138:22	systems 108:5,7
132:6 164:2	99:14,19	147:2 151:11	t
summary 2:2	104:12 110:2	157:9 161:24	<b>t</b> 1:4,4,16
114:6 131:19	120:1,11,15	169:5 175:12	table 69:14,23
137:4 141:15	131:4 133:13	176:11 188:19	70:9 97:5
162:19 187:4	136:19 139:22	191:13 193:10	122:19 131:18
188:25	152:19 154:22	193:20 194:6	172:6
<b>summer</b> 27:6	155:21 158:3	196:9	tabulated
<b>sunday</b> 14:11	158:10 159:4	surfaces 179:3	114:6
14:12,14	159:17 160:2	surround 38:16	tack 165:15
superimpose	162:5 174:11	surrounded	204:21
169:11 181:12	180:14 183:13	64:9	tag 71:17
superimposed	200:24 202:24	<b>survey</b> 113:2	107:15,18
166:20 167:1	203:13 204:12	120:15 121:19	144:17 163:8
	204:24,24	130:11,16	182:4,13,14

183:6,10,17	talks 53:23	<b>tender</b> 186:14	19:11,18,20
187:7 188:16	<b>tana</b> 15:16	tendered 186:8	27:2,5 34:20
188:19 199:13	tansill 113:21	tentatively 23:9	50:19 55:20
tagging 199:22	118:5	termain 16:2	58:5,23 91:14
<b>tailored</b> 187:10	target 165:14	termaine	93:16 95:16
<b>take</b> 10:10	targeted	202:25	120:7,8 146:20
20:12 24:19	156:16	terminated	159:6,12 160:7
30:4 31:14,16	targeting 161:4	52:7	160:17 172:17
36:20 48:3	targets 118:8	terms 18:9	173:9 177:2,13
50:24,24 56:8	teach 24:12,16	28:13 38:5	179:18 180:21
73:14 75:24	24:17,20	62:16 80:20	188:1 189:24
77:11 86:8,8	<b>team</b> 5:6	99:2 100:1	190:1 193:19
86:10 93:20,23	tech 3:17 78:7	110:14,20	196:5,14
106:2 124:6	technical 40:1	126:9 167:7,21	198:15
126:12 128:2	42:20 50:12,16	170:9 180:21	testing 107:4
143:17,20	50:19 55:20	181:20 182:21	110:16 160:19
158:3 167:23	56:1,7 61:9,11	190:2,9,10,16	170:7 171:10
184:4,21	65:20 68:4	193:17 198:3	tests 111:17
197:17	79:11 91:14	199:7	127:3
<b>taken</b> 9:12	153:11	<b>terrible</b> 45:10	texas 33:23
207:9	telescoping	<b>test</b> 111:16	34:3 52:6
<b>takes</b> 37:2	139:11	132:2 140:13	<b>th</b> 29:4
talk 20:5 37:22	tell 53:7 64:19	151:7,8,14	<b>thank</b> 3:19 4:14
54:5 57:13	76:10 94:9	160:15 170:22	5:2,7,12 6:20
71:16 84:18	168:25 178:17	171:4,5,12,14	7:12,22 9:18
101:21 104:10	185:4	191:14,16,25	9:19 15:8,9,16
113:1 119:14	telling 37:5	196:8,16	15:20,25 16:4
129:17 134:16	199:19	<b>tested</b> 49:24	16:14,20,24
139:22	temperature	111:16	17:4,4 19:23
<b>talked</b> 71:25	108:16 111:24	testified 94:10	20:25 21:24
139:23 160:13	temporary 53:5	94:22 147:8	22:1,14,16
195:11 196:14	54:6	185:5 186:5	28:24 29:12,14
talking 12:11	tend 27:24	<b>testify</b> 85:16	29:17,19,20,22
13:1 80:18	96:13	testimony	29:24,25 30:1
		17:24,25 18:11	30:6,23 31:3,5

31:8 39:8,9,18	<b>thick</b> 112:20	68:20,24 69:4	109:18 150:24
39:21 48:5,10	115:12	70:18 71:17	<b>thought</b> 14:18
51:5,7 54:11	thickness 115:9	72:19 73:2,11	56:16 69:3
54:12 65:10	121:21 131:20	76:3,12,24	86:5,7 91:20
68:12 77:2	179:24 180:16	77:6,8 78:6	179:25 202:13
84:7 85:12	thicknesses	79:9,21 80:8	thoughts 84:9
86:11,18,24	179:9	80:11,18 84:11	160:6 166:20
88:13 89:15,24	thing 13:12	84:19,19,25	thousand
93:2,3,14	19:5,19 20:14	85:3,20,22	117:11
97:21 119:25	34:7 83:20	86:20 88:6	thousands
141:24 143:11	87:18 88:7	89:8 90:20	32:16 118:9
143:24 144:4	89:12 132:11	91:1,4,6,15	<b>threat</b> 67:19
144:16 146:17	166:22 180:14	92:4,9,12,14	<b>three</b> 5:13 11:8
147:7 149:17	<b>things</b> 7:15	95:14 99:23	11:11 32:3
150:4 151:6,16	48:18 65:18	103:17 116:4	69:25 130:13
152:5 154:24	82:25 111:21	118:24 129:11	131:15 148:21
155:4 157:16	113:20 115:1,1	142:3 144:23	191:10 193:2
173:6,8 176:22	128:13 130:10	146:12 150:6	<b>throat</b> 69:21
177:1,1 178:12	148:21 153:15	151:5,13	<b>throw</b> 71:19
183:20 184:4	164:21 168:1	159:11,12	85:18 121:20
184:14,16	think 9:4,17,23	160:21 161:2,4	180:15
185:11,14	10:5 19:5,24	161:5,6,18	throwing 72:23
186:23 188:24	20:4,11,19	162:11,12	thursday 3:4
190:14 191:1	21:2,4,6,11,16	167:7,24 168:3	<b>tick</b> 98:5
193:1 194:2	21:20,22 22:7	168:6,24	tickets 128:12
196:2,5 200:13	22:12 24:18	170:25 173:15	<b>tied</b> 108:4
200:14,16,18	25:5 26:11,16	173:25 174:4,6	<b>tight</b> 28:12
200:20 204:3	26:23 27:13	174:21 175:5	115:12
205:14 206:1	28:2 49:20,25	180:22,24	<b>time</b> 8:12 14:3
206:10,11	50:6,10,16,21	181:17 182:12	17:10,19 19:14
thanks 155:11	51:15 53:18	182:14 183:22	20:12 25:22
that's 29:9	55:2,4,7 57:3	190:15 200:25	28:11,13 29:6
theoretically	57:10 65:20	202:3 203:10	29:7 31:15
83:2	66:2,4,11	<b>third</b> 4:1 24:2,9	35:19 45:14
	67:18 68:1,14	32:10 89:4	52:5 57:16,25

58:3 69:19	134:2 135:9	175:12 177:2	167:14
72:14 73:9	134.2 133.9	184:10 189:25	township 100:5
	140.11,14		-
76:11 77:12		193:15 196:11	trace 107:13
85:14 86:9,25	146:16 149:21	198:15 203:11	traces 127:22
88:11 89:1	156:13 157:4	206:9	149:10
93:18 96:9,11	158:16 161:18	<b>together</b> 164:9	track 38:13
96:23 100:10	172:1 177:9	toka 174:22	101:9 144:1
101:3 105:15	187:2,8,23	told 12:13	tracking 26:14
123:25 124:8	188:4 189:20	took 86:25	tracks 60:9
125:14,18	195:2	tools 109:15	127:17,21
130:9 136:23	<b>title</b> 5:23 6:1,6	110:23 111:8,8	tract 37:20
141:25 145:10	7:5,8,25 8:20	146:3	40:18 59:22
145:18 152:24	8:20 33:13,14	top 114:12,12	64:9
153:7 161:5	33:19,22,24	114:15,16,17	traditionally
162:11 164:9	34:9,14 52:17	135:4 170:16	193:23
173:9 194:15	titled 5:24	178:23 179:5	transacted
<b>timeline</b> 152:23	titles 5:22	179:12,13,20	65:25
189:7,13	<b>today</b> 9:5 12:15	180:6 181:5,8	transcriber
190:16	15:18 21:22	181:9,12 182:1	207:1
<b>times</b> 112:4	30:9 31:23	182:6 183:4,5	transcript
timing 82:12	32:3 33:6	183:11	207:3,5
152:20	34:19 35:17	<b>topic</b> 4:2 65:17	transferring
tips 157:7	36:20,22 38:18	77:5,5	33:15
<b>tisdale</b> 15:19	42:18 49:12	topics 12:5,16	transform
16:15	52:25 53:9,21	tops 114:8	130:19
<b>titan</b> 31:22	54:9 55:2	total 38:13	transmissibility
32:14 57:22	56:11 58:4,17	98:16 110:19	166:1,11
97:11 98:12	67:12 84:15,20	117:12,23	transmissive
99:4 101:18	87:8 90:13	118:2,16	131:3,4 133:23
106:7 107:11	92:22 93:16	123:20 131:15	133:23 134:6,6
108:22 110:5	101:19 103:11	131:22 134:22	134:21 135:21
111:4 114:5,22	104:7 130:2	139:10 165:9	136:24,24
115:10 116:14	142:20 146:19	<b>towards</b> 113:16	148:23,23
117:9,12	155:11 160:14	114:1,18	149:1 165:19
118:25 122:6	172:4 173:9	135:12,15,18	165:19,21
			, ,

178:4 199:4,5	48:9 65:12,19	trigger 81:20	45:20 46:20,21
transmit 157:8	66:18 67:2,22	176:15	47:2 53:17
transmitted	77:3,4 81:6,11	triggered 121:2	54:21 55:1
107:15,19,22	85:12 86:17	tripp 16:17,18	56:2,14 57:21
transmitting	89:18,19,22	16:20 21:25	58:8 63:1
176:11	90:9,25 91:1	22:1,10 23:19	69:25 73:1
traveling 20:1	91:15,23 92:3	23:20 28:19,20	81:5 82:25
<b>travo</b> 13:13	92:9 143:8,9	29:17,19 30:1	87:14 88:22
treated 46:10	143:14,16,19	<b>true</b> 9:6 207:5	89:14 90:23
68:2 77:24	143:22 144:2,4	<b>truth</b> 94:9,9,10	114:20 122:5,7
78:11,12,20	144:7 152:13	185:4,4,5	123:17 127:22
79:14 80:20	157:17 165:20	try 7:6 17:19	132:7 134:12
82:3,7 95:24	179:16 180:3	27:10 28:17	136:21 139:2
137:7 157:8	184:2,15,16	69:20 81:11	139:24 156:17
treating 57:22	185:14,17	171:14	161:13,23
141:4 177:9,14	186:12,24	trying 84:18	162:22,25
treatment	194:15,24,25	166:15 203:9	165:18 173:24
98:13 99:4	195:21 201:7	<b>tubing</b> 171:10	177:6 178:1
102:1 107:16	201:13 202:2,3	tubulars	193:25 198:16
137:24	202:15,17	111:25	202:6,11,24
<b>tremaim</b> 66:21	203:2,22 204:4	<b>turn</b> 27:1 39:19	type 85:24
tremain 4:25	204:5 205:8,9	87:3 93:13	124:8 138:24
7:13,18 9:23	205:18,20	184:22	<b>types</b> 99:1
16:2	tremaine's 12:1	turned 131:5	101:25 103:23
tremaine 1:12	27:25 53:10,23	turning 32:13	168:5 176:12
1:14 4:24 5:3	trend 125:18	35:5,24 51:19	typical 78:22
7:14,21,23	trending	<b>two</b> 6:25 7:2,15	81:9
9:20,21 11:11	121:17 148:3	10:9,15 13:12	typically 48:16
11:12,14,15	trespass 39:13	13:14 18:2,2	134:10 203:12
12:10,24 13:2	40:10 43:8	20:18 22:3,5	u
13:4,4,15 16:5	44:8 51:10,10	22:20 23:2	
19:22,23 21:1	51:13 61:14	24:10,15 25:11	<b>u</b> 64:3
23:14 26:10	tribunal 33:23	25:13,18 26:6	<b>u.s.</b> 9:13 173:19
29:2,13,14	tried 157:18	29:24 32:16	ucd 18:9 uh 89:16 97:3
31:5,6 33:22		36:2 37:18,20	147:23 157:20
			147.23 137.20

	1	1	1
158:11 159:10	145:25 146:15	153:2,20,23	73:6 131:6
159:19,23	150:19 151:13	154:14,17	155:23 177:11
162:24 163:9	153:4,12,16	167:20 171:1	undertaking
166:24 169:21	155:24 156:16	178:3 187:16	76:22
171:8,22	157:3 162:13	underground	undertook
177:10 178:6	166:3,13	80:15 185:23	152:15,16
181:6	167:14 169:14	195:18	undisputed
<b>uic</b> 167:20	170:23 171:6	underlie 115:5	55:15 68:1
ultimate 109:5	171:13 172:10	underlies 74:10	undoubtedly
125:3,12	172:14 174:4,9	underlying	38:21 51:10
135:25 136:16	174:22 176:4,6	74:9 91:17	unfortunately
155:20	176:9 177:15	156:6	100:7
ultimately 50:3	177:17	undermining	unhappy 9:9
50:22 75:6	unabashedly	45:4	25:12
79:4 100:20	43:12	underneath	unhealed 149:1
101:3 104:22	unanimously	36:10,18	<b>unique</b> 82:25
105:22 108:11	10:6	understand	<b>unit</b> 6:25
109:9,20 110:6	uncertainty	13:6 33:20	107:20 112:19
110:11 111:19	125:10	47:10 78:23	<b>units</b> 112:10
116:1,4,11	<b>under</b> 6:14,25	82:24 103:19	113:13 126:22
117:12,18	13:15 34:25	128:6,9 139:20	157:6
118:17,19	35:4,11 36:23	152:19 155:21	universe 56:12
119:10 120:13	37:21 39:7	173:4 182:13	85:8
124:2 125:5	45:20 48:3	understanding	unnecessary
127:10,12	49:22 50:2	65:22 88:17	40:21
128:2,5,14	59:5,8,24	108:17 110:24	unorthodox
129:11 130:19	60:12,18 61:1	111:18 118:25	73:13
131:4,24 133:2	63:14 65:3	120:16 151:8	unrelated 45:9
133:12,24	74:13 88:22	174:12 175:7	74:8
134:18 135:21	89:4 91:16	180:5 190:16	unsettled 50:21
136:5,10	96:7 120:15	190:19 199:21	unsupported
137:11,13,14	127:9 128:17	understands	9:11
138:11 140:5,8	131:2 134:5,10	13:7 200:22	update 89:9
140:12 141:8	134:21 137:11	understood	90:22 198:16
141:13 145:23	137:18 140:19	59:2 62:15	

updated88:8utilized106:19125:10126:1visualize191:5160:16121:8128:16137:18vitae185:2updates89:19129:22139:9vehicle158:17volume98:183:15145:22153:8venure8:4125:4133:updating88:21159:7,13venue74:25134:3137:193:22,25164:24176:876:5138:21146upfront175:7176:20verified110:14volumes57upgradientutilizes106:17verify48:13103:3104:169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19125:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25148:14103:13volumetricurge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25usc2:2188:25y162:15166:15154:2	5 17 12 12 0:16 7:19 20 5:5
updates89:19129:22 139:9vehicle158:17volume98:183:15145:22 153:8venture8:4125:4 133:updating88:21159:7,13venue74:25134:3 137:193:22,25164:24 176:876:5138:21 140upfront175:7176:20verified110:14volumesupgradientutilizes106:17verify48:13103:3 104:169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19 125upper116:6123:8 125:9,1281:16 82:5149:6 156:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25 148:14103:13volumetricurge9:5 86:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14 136:22152:14,25	17 12 12 0:16 7:19 20 5:5
183:15145:22 153:8venture8:4125:4 133:updating88:21159:7,13venue74:25134:3 137:193:22,25164:24 176:876:5138:21 140upfront175:7176:20verified110:14volumesupgradientutilizes106:17verify48:13103:3 104:169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19 125upper116:6123:8 125:9,1281:16 82:5149:6 156:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25 148:14103:13volumetricurge9:5 86:4170:9,10versus125:14usa15:24utmost28:17126:14 136:22152:14,25	12 12 0:16 7:19 20 5:5
updating88:21159:7,13venue74:25134:3137:193:22,25164:24176:876:5138:21140upfront175:7176:20verified110:14volumes57upgradientutilizes106:17verify48:13103:3104:169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19125upper116:6123:8125:9,1281:1682:5149:6156:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24volumetricurge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25	12 ):16 7:19 20 5:5
193:22,25164:24 176:876:5138:21 140upfront175:7176:20verified110:14volumesupgradientutilizes106:17verify48:13103:3 104:169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19 125upper116:6123:8 125:9,1281:16 82:5149:6 156:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25 148:14103:13volumetricurge9:5 86:4170:9,10versus125:14usa15:24utmost28:17126:14 136:22152:14,25	):16 7:19 20 5:5
upfront175:7176:20verified110:14volumes57upgradientutilizes106:17verify48:13103:3104:169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19125upper116:6123:8125:9,1281:1682:5149:6156:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25148:14103:13volumetricurge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25	7:19 20 5:5
upgradient 169:17utilizes106:17 utilizingverify48:13 verifying103:3104: 103:3upper116:6123:8125:9,1281:1682:5123:19125:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25148:14103:13volumetricurge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25	5:5
169:17utilizing109:15verifying78:9123:19125upper116:6123:8125:9,1281:1682:5149:6156:upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25148:14103:13volumetricurge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25	
upwards130:5,18version73:17170:24170:14140:25148:14103:13volumetricurge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25usa2:2188:25162:15166:15154:2	8
170:14140:25 148:14103:13volumetricurge9:5 86:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14 136:22152:14,25usa2:2 188:25162:15 166:15154:2	
urge9:586:4170:9,10versus125:14145:21,23usa15:24utmost28:17126:14136:22152:14,25usa2:2188:25162:15166:15154:2	
<b>usa</b> 15:24 <b>utmost</b> 28:17 126:14 136:22 152:14,25	
usc 2:2 188:25 v 162:15 166:15 154:2	
use 12:25 13:3 vacated 17:8 191:17 vote 4:13	
44:1,4 45:7       vacated       17.0       vertical       101:13       votes       86:17	1
66:25 71:4 75:7 83:24 105:14 116:3 w	
84:11 163:21 157:7 129:6 134:25 wait 27:7 2	8:13
166:1,8,18 validly $41:17$ $156:7,11,15$ waiting 19	
18/:14 42:8 15/:11/8:19 21:12 92:9	
<b>used</b> 13:1 82:6 <b>valuation</b> 50:5 $180:21 181:14$ <b>walk</b> 87:6	
<b>116:12 valuations 182:10 92:12 99:1</b>	7
uses 68:3 50.23 vertically walls 177.1	
using 79:24 value 67:16.24 180:23 178:9	
<b>vice</b> 94:21 <b>want</b> 7:15	
163:17     166:9     132:15     165:4     view     50:17     19:19     20:1	1
188:14     168:23     58:16 62:21     26:23 33:2	0,21
utilization         valves         108:4,5         135:10         39:11 45:1	3
102:22 111:25 151:18 <b>violation</b> 12:8 51:12,13 5	3:22
utilize         110:2,9         152:3         violations         54:2,16 55	:19
121:1     133:6     12:11     56:24     56:24     68:2	5
151:12 159:14 160:2 162:8 182:16 124:14 124:14	,22
160:2 162:8         various         26:15         124:14         72:14 77:3	
171:4     48:12 50:9,13     79:19 81:1	

82:14 83:20	102:10 117:8	139:4,23 142:5	83:2 87:22
84:5 87:15,16	119:15,19	146:19 173:17	88:1,4 89:6
91:17 126:12	122:11 124:10	175:11 195:11	98:17 99:1,21
127:10 128:2,5	124:13 140:21	weak 150:9	101:20,23,24
136:16 144:10	141:22 145:24	weather 187:16	102:10,10
144:16,20	153:16 168:22	<b>week</b> 14:17	103:4,4 106:10
146:18,22,25	169:1 173:21	15:4 17:21	106:17 107:2,2
152:19 153:14	173:22 194:7	18:14 22:3,5	107:23 108:2,3
154:22 159:24	195:19	23:3,3,5,6,8,24	108:8,14,14,20
160:4 166:14	waters 102:10	24:3,20 25:2	108:23 111:11
166:25 171:19	153:14	26:22 204:20	111:19 114:25
173:4 174:4,10	way 3:20 20:20	204:22,23	115:2 116:8,11
180:1,14 182:3	21:9 24:17	205:5	116:20,21
196:6 198:6,23	26:24 27:20,21	weekend 25:21	117:8,10,13,22
201:15 202:4	28:13 29:4	weeks 10:15	117:25 118:1,2
203:8,13 204:8	33:12 48:15	18:2,2 20:11	118:6 122:1,5
204:8,11,22	51:22 70:4	20:19,23 21:23	122:8,9 123:16
<b>wanted</b> 82:20	72:25 76:10	23:2 24:10,15	123:17,17,23
99:24 115:19	80:17 121:23	25:11,13 26:6	123:24 124:1,3
115:24 116:12	127:20,24	26:13 29:24	124:9,25 125:2
181:12 202:18	128:8 144:23	<b>weigh</b> 80:4	125:3,7 126:2
warm 135:11	147:20 148:21	<b>weight</b> 127:3	128:13 132:4
warmer 114:15	165:25 177:20	<b>weird</b> 11:6	133:1,10,15
124:18	201:19 203:10	<b>well's</b> 41:9	135:22 137:15
warranty 1:21	203:13	wells 2:4 8:15	138:21,21
45:21 47:20	ways 173:15	9:10 45:23	140:1,17,22
142:13	<b>we've</b> 5:13	46:11 47:24	141:10 146:14
<b>waste</b> 43:6 44:2	18:15 19:24	50:1,1 51:4	146:19,21,22
54:23 55:12	20:21 25:10	58:21 59:18	151:19 156:17
62:4,6,18	78:7 82:6	72:6 76:21	161:1,3 162:2
141:5,16	87:23 88:17	77:11,18 78:15	162:23 166:2
water 36:7,9,17	90:17 116:10	78:16 79:1,14	167:19 168:5
50:1 67:9	116:18,24	79:15,19 80:3	171:24 172:8
80:16 83:1,5	121:14,20	80:12 81:8,10	172:11,11
88:1,4 89:6	126:15 135:23	81:14 82:9	173:21,22

174:10 175:14	167:6,20,24	witness 1:9	134:16 150:22
175:22 176:3,6	168:18,23	38:18 92:17	176:16 185:19
176:9 182:25	169:9,13,21,24	93:16 94:2,8	185:21 203:20
188:10,12,17	170:4,6,23	96:14 143:12	203:22
188:18,22	171:6,9,22	154:25 185:3	working 28:22
189:1,7 190:17	172:1,4,10,22	witnesses	37:23 60:5,7
191:8,9 193:9	174:4 175:20	184:10,11	157:15
194:7 197:4	175:22 176:1	wolfcamp	workload
198:20	176:17 177:10	118:7 127:19	17:16
<b>went</b> 33:14	177:15 178:7	151:1	works 24:5
77:22 142:19	178:21 179:7	<b>wonder</b> 204:19	92:20,21
170:7,16	179:12,22	wondering	115:18 200:25
189:19 199:2	180:10,25	203:7	world 120:12
<b>west</b> 100:15	181:6,9,17	woodford	123:4 158:4
121:17	182:12,21,23	112:18 115:4	worst 165:23
<b>whatnot</b> 150:21	183:1,19,23,23	115:10 121:21	worth 73:11
<b>white</b> 1:10	184:3 189:25	121:23 126:11	would've 65:1
93:20,22 94:7	199:3	127:8,25	124:1 193:15
94:13,13,17	<b>white's</b> 196:14	129:15 139:6	wozniak 16:12
96:14,25 99:7	<b>whittle</b> 20:24	179:11,14,19	wrap 36:4
119:14 141:15	wholeheartedly	179:24 180:4,9	write 14:3 90:4
141:24 142:1,9	37:25	180:12,16	writing 91:4
144:3,8 152:13	wholly 59:23	181:13,14,16	<b>written</b> 53:14
155:5,10,19	wiggle 197:17	181:19,22	69:24 158:1
156:22 157:1,3	william 3:17	182:3,6,7,18,20	wrong 70:12
157:14,20	<b>wind</b> 93:7	183:8 190:11	72:15 77:22
158:6,11,14	wire 110:14,20	198:4,10,20	81:20 177:5
159:9,11,19,23	withdraw	worded 9:3	<b>wrote</b> 18:4
160:6,18 161:2	73:18	work 11:11	X
161:13,15,23	withdrawal	18:20 24:1,16	<b>x</b> 1:16 197:10
162:11,24	88:18 90:16	24:21,21,23	
163:9,22,25	withdrawing	27:9 28:4,17	<b>y</b>
164:8,12,14,16	87:7	33:21,22 53:5	yates 113:21
165:2,9,12,16	withstanding	120:25 121:25	118:5
166:3,12,24	38:5	127:16 129:1	

[yeah - zones]

<b>yeah</b> 11:23	123:23 124:21
14:4 15:1,3	124:21 132:25
16:22 19:16,17	137:13 193:23
24:18 27:24	193:25 198:16
29:1 31:10	199:6 202:9,20
39:13 56:24	202:24,24
65:16 67:25	<b>yep</b> 29:22
71:25 76:1	yesterday
84:22,24 87:18	69:18 73:5
88:15 91:21	<b>yield</b> 173:4
98:10 106:24	Z
115:15,16	<b>zero</b> 28:3 63:12
120:6 145:5	125:23 126:4
160:6,18	zobacka 123:7
161:14,14	<b>zone</b> 78:21
162:17 163:9	102:25 105:18
164:8,14	109:17 111:6
169:10 170:5	111:14 114:13
170:20 177:15	115:7 118:8,12
179:1,12	118:16 119:18
180:10,25	126:22 127:9
181:2 182:22	127:20 129:13
183:19 190:5	134:24 135:5
196:21 199:9	139:2,17 176:8
199:17,24	179:7 181:6,9
200:12,15	182:8,14
204:13,19	188:11 192:21
<b>year</b> 17:15 35:7	198:13
134:20 137:10	<b>zones</b> 115:8
163:13 202:6	130:22 131:11
202:11	131:19,21
<b>years</b> 53:1 58:7	149:22 151:10
59:3 72:5	158:21 165:11
87:13,14 88:22	165:14
89:14 90:23	