

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF LONGFELLOW  
ENERGY, LP FOR COMPULSORY  
POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 25572  
ORDER NO. R-24036

**ORDER DENYING SPUR ENERGY PARTNERS LLC'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY**

This matter came before the Hearing Examiner of the Oil Conservation Division (“Division”) upon the Emergency Motion for Stay (“Motion”) filed by Spur Energy Partners LLC (“Spur”) on May 12, 2026, seeking to stay (1) Order No. R-24036 and (2) the Division-approved Application for Permit to Drill (“APD”) for the Petty 31CD 006H well (API No. 30-015-58054).

The Division, having reviewed Spur’s Motion, Longfellow Energy LP’s (“Longfellow”) Response filed May 15, 2026, and being otherwise fully informed in the premises, makes the following findings and conclusions:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Order No. R-24036 was issued on December 1, 2025, after notice and hearing, creating a horizontal spacing unit in the Yeso formation and dedicating nine proposed wells.
2. Longfellow Energy LP is the designated operator of the unit.
3. Spur owns a 37.511173% working interest in the unit.
4. Paragraph 20 of the Order requires all wells to be spudded within one year; it does not prescribe drilling sequence.
5. Longfellow commenced drilling the Petty 31CD 006H well within the required one-year period.
6. The 006H well remains in the Yeso formation with no change to the well count.
7. Spur has not demonstrated irreparable non-economic harm.
8. Order ¶ 26 supplies a mechanism to resolve well-cost disputes after completion.
9. Halting drilling would impose substantial operational and leasehold risks, including a looming BLM lease expiration.

10. Spur identifies no procedural rule authorizing an emergency stay of both a pooling order and APD.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. The Division has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter.
2. Rule 19.15.4.23(B) NMAC allows a stay only when necessary to prevent waste or protect correlative rights.
3. Spur has not demonstrated irreparable harm or noncompliance by Longfellow.
4. Deviation determinations lie with OCD, not individual interest owners.
5. Granting a stay would risk waste, operational harm, and lease expiration.
6. Spur has not established a procedural or legal basis for the requested stay.

### **ORDER**

1. Spur's Emergency Motion for Stay is DENIED.
2. Order No. R-24036 and the Division-approved APD for the Petty 31CD 006H well remain in full force and effect.
3. Parties may seek future relief under standard Division procedures.
4. This Order is without prejudice to future OCD action as warranted.

---

GREGORY CHAKALIAN  
Hearing Examiner,  
Oil Conservation Division