CASE 5121: Application of TEXACO INC. FOR A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT, LEA COUNTY, N. MEX.

CASE No. 5121

Application,

Transcripts,

Small Ekhibts

25

	•	MR. STAMETS: Case 5121.
	1	MR. CARR: Case 5121: Application of Texaco Inc.
	2	for a non-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New
	3	for a non-standard gus re-
	4	Mexico.
	5	MR. STAMETS: I will call for appearances in this
"	6	case.
ates	7	MR. KELLY: Booker Kelly, of White, Kelly, Koch,
30Ci	8	and McCarthy, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on behalf
ass	9	of the applicant.
dearnley, meier & associates	10	MR. STAMETS: Are there other appearances in Case
mei	11	5121?
ey,	42	MR. STEVENS: Don Stevens, attorney in Santa Fe,
earn	13 13	representing the protestant, Felmont Petroleum Company.
7	U X W O U 14	Me will have one witness.
	2 X	MR. STAMETS: Are there any other appearances in
	16 16 × 16 × 16 × 16 × 16 × 16 × 16 × 1	this case?
	00 17 17	(No response)
	9891.0 A	MR. STAMETS: Will all the witnesses stand and be
	243	sworn?
	3 . 19 L B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G . E B L D G .	(Whereupon two witnesses were sworn in by Mr. Carr.)
	2005 X N Y G	MR. STAMETS: You may proceed, Mr. Kelly.
	o J o 4 o 2	* * * *
	23 53 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54	
	8 BLO 23	B. D. HELLMAN,
	• 50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	was called as a witness, and having been already duly sworn,
	00	testified as follows:

testified as follows:

1

7

8 A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

20% SIMMS BLDC. + P.O. BOX 1092+PHONE 243-6691+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

2 BY MR. KELLY:

Would you state your full name, your position, and your employer, please?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 A B. E. Hellman. My title is chief contractman with Texaco
6 Inc. in Midland, Texas.

Q And what does Texaco seek by this application?

Exhibit Number One shows the boundaries of the Little

Eddy Unit in Lea County in the South Salt Lake Morrow

Gas Pool. It shows by color code within the Little Eddy

Unit the boundaries of the three participating areas.

Texaco is requesting in its application that the

Audie Richards Well No. 1 in Section 25, Township 20

South, Range 32 East be granted a non-standard proration
unit of 160 acres in the South Salt Lake Morrow Gas Pool.

Q Now, that Audie Richards Well is presently a Morrow gas well, is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q And prior to this summer, 160 acres was the proration unit for the Morrow, is that correct?

21 A That's correct.

And that changed this summer by the application of Belco to go to 320 acres?

A Yes, sir.

Q At the time of that application, there was confusion, as

Q

4 A

E, NEW MEXICO 87103	EW MEXICO 87108	
209 SIMMS BLOG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691. ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103	ILIG FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST . ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108	

I understand it, in Texaco's mind, and apparently in
the Commission's mind about the status of the Audie
Richards Well. Would you explain that?
The Audie Richards Well was completed in 1958 as a

The Audie Richards Well was completed in 1958 as a Pennsylvanian Well, and in 1961 was re-designated as an Upper Morrow Well. Through some inadvertence, the records of the Commission for production since that time has identified it as an Atoka Well. And when the hearing was announced during the summer that changed the Morrow spacing to 320-acre spacing, an inadvertent mistake by Texaco and possibly by others failed to identify the need for 320 acres under the Audie Richards Well No. 1.

It was assumed that it only required 160 acres as an Atoka Well at that time, and the 1961 records will reflect that it was at that time identified as an Upper Morrow Well.

- Now, under the Rules that came out of that hearing, they increased the proration unit to 320 acres, and as I understand it, you could have come in administratively within a period of time and had this clarified.
- A Yes.
- But because of the confusion, you didn't catch the error in time, is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- 9 What is the necessity of the application? Why is it

6 | A

200 SIMMS BLDG. - P.O. BOX 1002 - PHONE 249-6001 - ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 67103
1210 F195T NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 67108

important that only 160 acres in the Southeast of 25 be dedicated to the present completion?

A Can I go to the exhibit?

Q If you want to go that way, please go ahead. You will be referring to Exhibit Number 4 if you want to use it.

Well, continuing with Exhibit Number One then, the boundary of the participating area reflected on this exhibit shows the ownership within the Little Eddy Unit as to existing completions. And Texaco has secured also from the United State Geological Survey and the Commissioner of Public Lands, Oil and Gas Division, data which confirms our belief that the acreage available for proration units for these wells cannot extend to land outside the participating areas within the Little Eddy Unit or outside the outer boundaries of the Little Eddy Unit.

Q What is the situation within the boundaries of the Little
Eddy Unit-- By the way, is the well to the south or to
the west?

A The Audie Richards Well is within the participating area for the Upper Morrow Zone containing 360 acres.

Immediately south is the Lower Morrow participating area, which includes 120 acres of the Upper Morrow participating area. This indicates that in the Lower Morrow there is an overlap of participating areas with the Upper Morrow, and that if the 320 acres is required for the prorationing

t including

dearnley, meier & associates

cause a number of non-standard proration units for the remaining wells in the Salt Lake Morrow Gas Pool, and to achieve standard proration units for all remaining wells in this pool.

Texaco is therefore requesting that the Audie Richards Well No. 1 be the only well with a ron-standard proration unit.

for the Audie Richards Well that it will necessarily

As far as going outside of the Little Eddy Unit, what are the problems that you face there if you took, say, the Southwest corner of Section 25? Could you, under your unit agreement, go outside and pick up another 160 acres? It's Texaco's interpretation and our understanding of the interpretation of the State and Federal Government agencies involved that the only available unit acreage which is not necessary for a proration unit within the

Now, is both the USGS and the Land Office of the State of New Mexico members of this Little Eddy Unit?

unit can be committed to a proration .

acreage outside of the unit area.

A That's correct.

And have they expressed their opposition to including any acreage outside of the existing unit to be dedicated to the Audie Richarls Well?

They have as to the present completion zone in the Audie

BLDG. + P.O. BOX 1082 + PHONE 242+6691 + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1857 NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

TO CHANGE BEDGE ON THE STATE OF	1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEX CO 87108	

		PAGE /
1		Richards Well.
2	Q	Are Exhibits Two and Three letters from those two agencies?
3	Α	That's correct.
4	Q	Now, what is the extent of opposition to this application?
5		Have you gotten consent from all of the adjacent owners?
6	A	We do not have a waiver from Felmont Oil Corporation,
7		who is an adjoining owner in the remainder of Section 25
8		under the well.
9	Q	Everyone else has expressed their agreement?
16	A	All owners within the unit have furnished waivers as well
11		as all offset operators.
12	Q	Now, do you have available the current status of that
13		Aidie Richards Well? Is it a good completion?
14	Ā	I wouldn't say it was a good completion.
15	Q	Do you have its production figures?
16	λ	I do.
17	δ	Go ahead and give us those.
18	Α	The most recent production figures I have for the first
19		nine months of 1973 indicate that it averaged approximately
20		seven thousand or seven million a month, and
21		approximately 200 barrels of fluids.
22	Q	That is considerably under a good Morrow well in this
23		arca, isn't it?
24	Ã	Yes, sir.
25	Q	Referring you to what has been marked as Exhibit Number

4 A

Q

Four, the plat, can you explain what is shown on there, first as to the area outlined in yellow on the Belco acreage and the 80 acres that Texaco has?

This is the proposed standard proration unit in which

Texaco is willing to join, and has so advised Belco, the

proposed operator, for a Morrow Well to be located in

the Southeast corner of Section 30.

We propose to join, and are willing to join with Belco if the 80 acres within the Little Eddy Unit, being the West half of the Southwest quarter, is available, and not necessary for the proration unit for the Morrow zone. Then the other color code I assume shows the development pattern that would be allowed if the Audie Richards application is allowed?

- A Right. This has already been established for at least three of the wells in the field.
 - And I believe in the previous hearing, you submitted exhibits showing a variety of non-standard units which will have to be formed if the 80 acres in the Southwest of Section 30 were not available?
- A That's correct.

MR. KELLY: Mr. Examiner, it comes to our attention that we possibly have another problem with this 160, and that is the question of whether the location of this well under the present field rules of 320 would

Q

Α

Q

 Λ

	-
XICO 871	87108
M Σ ¥ Z Z	V ME.XICO
QUERQUE.	ADUE, NEV
91. ALBU	ALBUQUE!
AND RIMMS BY DG . P.O. BOX 1092 - PHONE 243-6691. ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103	1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG, EAST + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108
1092 • PHC	SANK BLD
P.O. BOX	TIONAL E
	FIRSTZ
47415	1216

PAGE 9
be an orthodox location. I don't know the answer to that,
and I thought I had better bring it up.
MR. STAMETS: Do you have a copy of the order there?
MR. STEVENS: Yes.
MR. STAMETS: And I am referring to Order No. R-4600.
There doesn't appear to be any penalty in here. It would
appear that the location is a standard location because
of the exception given under the order.
MR. KELLY: We just wanted to bring that to the
Commission's attention.
(By Mr. Kelly) Were Exhibits One through Four prepared
by you or under your supervision?
Yes, sir.
And in your opinion, would the granting of this application
allow a more orderly and proper development of this
Morrow field?
Yes, sir.
Do you see any adverse effect on correlative rights with
the granting of this application?
No, sir.
MR. KELLY: I would move for the introduction of
the four exhibits at this time.
MR. STAMETS: Without objection, they will be so
admitted.

(Whereupon Applicant's Exhibits One through Four

```
were admitted in evidence.)
```

MR. KELLY: I have no further direct testimony.

MR. STAMETS: Are there questions of this witness?

MR. STEVENS: Yes.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STEVENS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Hellman, in your opinion, will one well drain 320 acres in this field?

MR. KELLY: I will object to the question because I didn't qualify him as an expert witness. He is a landman.

MR. STEVENS: Will you have an expert witness who may be able to answer that question?

MR. KELLY: No, we haven't planned to call any

witnesses other than Mr. Hellman. I think this has already been established as a matter of record. (By Mr. Stevens) Mr. Hellman, you are asking for 160acre spacing, and the previous order set up 320-acre spacing. I think there was a finding that one well would drain 320-acre spacing. Why is Texaco seeking 160-acre spacing on a well which would require another well to be drilled on another 160-acre spacing pattern?

MR. KELLY: We would object, Mr. Examiner, to the asking of any technical questions of this witness.

200 SIMMS BLDG. # P.O. BOX 1092 # PHONE 243-6691# ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST#ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

.*	
200 SIMMS BLDG. + P.O. BOX 1002 + PHONE 243-6691 + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103	1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG, EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

25

1		wasn't qualified as an expert, and he is out of his field.
2		MR. STEVENS: Can he testify as to what will happen
3		to the development well in Section 25 by the granting of
4	; ;	this application?
5		MR. STAMETS: Mr. Stevens, I believe the witness
6		did testify as to the necessity of a non-standard unit
7		based on Exhibits Two and Three. Do you have copies of
8		those?
9		MR. STEVENS: Yes, I do.
10		MR. STAMETS: I believe that's the only reason he
11		testified.
12	Q	(By Mr. Stevens) I believe you stated that the State and
13		Federal Government could not agree for you to pool this
14		160 acres with another 160 acres. Was that the substance
15		of your testimony?
16	A	I think I further stated in its present completed zone.
17	Ω	Do you have any objection to the pooling of this 160 with
18		another 160 in that section if additional Morrow pay were
19		opened up under the recompletion of the well?
20	λ	Well, I am unable to speculate as to what my company may
21		feel toward that in the future, but I would say that
22		there is a possibility that that could happen, and I
23		agree that the Commission has authority to force pool it
24		if that should become necessary.
	1	

MR. STAMETS: Would Texaco be satisfied if an order

209 SIMMS CLDG.-P.O. BOX 1092-PHONE 243-6691-ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 First national bank bldg. East-albuquerque, new mexico 87·08 were issued granting this 160-acre non-standard proration unit for such time as this well remains completed in this particular zone of the Morrow formation with the expiration of such an order on the date of recompletion in another pool or in another Morrow zone?

MR. KELLY; May we have just a second to discuss this off the record?

MR. STAMETS: Yes.

(Whereupon an off the record discussion was held.)
(Hearing continues.)

MR. KELLY: Texaco would have no objection to an order of that type.

MR. STAMETS: Thank you. Mr. Stevens, you may proceed.
MR. STEVENS: We have no further question.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

The wording in the two letters from the Commissioner of

Public Lands and from the United States Geological Survey

are essentially the same until we get to the last

paragraph in each. The letter from the USGS does seem to

indicate that there would be no problem in forming a

320-acre standard spacing unit here with non unitized

land for the drilling of a new Morrow well or wells in

conformance with the New Mexico Conservation Commission

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

200 SIMMS BLDG. # P.O. BOX 1002 + PHONE 243-6691 + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87109 1210 9:1857 NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST + ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

procedures. Did you discuss this with them as to the 2 possibility of a workover? Yes, sir. Q Would this paragraph be true for a workover as well as a new well? That's correct. The position on the existing completion is stated in the middle paragraph of both letters, which are somewhat identical. Did you discuss the same possibility with the State Land Office? Yes, sir. Were they of the same opinion? Yes, sir. MR. STAMETS: I think the record should show that there are representatives in the room from the State Land Office and from the USGS. Are there any other questions of this witness? (No response) MR. STAMETS: He may be excused. (Witness excused.) MR. STAMETS: Do you have anything further, Mr. Relly? MR. KELLY: No. I am hoping that the matter is laid to rest, Mr. Examiner. MR. STAMUTS: You may proceed, Mr. Stevens.

PAGE 14 WILLIAM AYCOCK, 2 was called as a witness, and having been already duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEVENS: 5 Would you state your name, your residence, and your 6 occupation? 7 William P. Aycock, my occupation is a consultant engineer, 8 and my residence is in Midland, Texas. 9 And do you represent the Felmont Oil Company in this case? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Have your qualifications as an expert witness been 12 accepted by the Commission? 13 Yes, they have. i÷ MR. STEVENS: Are the witness's qualifications 15 accepted? 16 MR. STAMETS: They are. 17 (By Mr. Stevens) Going to what has been marked as Felmont 18 Exhibit Number One, would you explain its significance 19 for the Commission? 20 Exhibit One is a cross section which stretches from the 21 Belco Base Federal which is located in Section 30, the 22 Northwest quarter of Section 30, through the Audie 23 Richards 1, and through the No. 1 Texaco Little Eddy

No. 1 which is the third well from the left. This exhibit

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

209 SIMMS BLDG.+P.O. BOX 1092+PHONE 243+8691+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST+ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 shows the markers on which we have made our geological structure map. It also shows the intervals in which each of the wells are currently completed. It shows all of the drill stem tests and other pertinent completion information available. Could you distinguish between the Atoka and the Morrow as to perforations in the field and the previous field designation? Well, basically when it's carbonate, it will be called Atoka. And if it is clastic, it will be called Morrow. The Audie Richards would be considered carbonate. I don't care to get into a debate, because I don't have sample data, but I think that the top of the Morrow clastics are in sandstone or clastic completions. Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Two, would you explain that to the Commission? Exhibit Two is simply a field structure map on the Atoka and Morrow clastic marker. These are indicated on the cross section. The purpose of this is not to attempt to define the structure, but simply it is information available for use as to what we feel the general nature of the structure to be, a northeast-southwest anticlinal accumulation. Would you refer to what has been marked as Exhibits Three,

Four, and Five and explain them?

1 | A

б

209 SIMMS BLOG. # P.O. BOX 1092 # PHONE 243-6691 # ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLOG. EAST # ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87108 Exhibits Three, Four and Five are all the same, and they portray the rate of gas production versus time and average bottomhole pressure divided by compression ability for the Audie Richards No. 1, the Texaco State No. 1 "CM".

In your opinion, will one well drain 320 acres in the field?

It is my opinion, based on the information available, and

I will be very free to admit that the log quality on the

older wells is not what any one of us would wish it to be

through no fault of Texaco, but they were drilled at a

time when the precision of logging devices were not what

they are today.

I would say that the "CH" Well is probably draining slightly more than 160, and the "CM" is probably draining 320 acres.

Under the call of the original hearing, it called for non-standard non-proration units in the Morrow formation.

What would be the effect upon development of gas in Section 25 under that call?

It is our interpretation that if this request were granted that Felmont Oil Corporation would be required to drill an additional well in the Southwest quarter of Section 25 in order to have that acreage included with the producing rate, or that it would have to be included in a communitized or force-pooled unit within the udie

б

reserves.

Richards 1. At the current time, the North half of
Section 25 is under option from Felmont to Belco. So
our problem is that we are not protesting, nor do we
question the right of Texaco to continue to produce, our
question has to do with our right to participate under
recompletion or drilling of another well to a lower
Morrow zone.
Would that result in waste, in your opinion?
It would result in economic waste, because it would

require an additional very expensive well to recover the

Q As a result of the economic waste, would that affect correlative rights?

A Well, if the Audie Richards 1 were allowed to be completed in the Lower Morrow based on an analogy with the performance of the No. 1 "JM", which is our best well in the Morrow, drainage would occur more than likely.

Q If potash regulations prevented your drilling a well in Section 25, what would be the effect?

We would be precluded from recovering our share of gas reserves that underlie the Southwest quarter of Section 25.

Q Conversely, if Pelmont were able to make an agreement with Texaco to pool the South half of Section 25 with regard to the Lower Morrow, how would that affect correlative rights?

2 Q

3

	7					
	5	A	Yes, sir.			
	6	Q	What was their opinion?			
	7	A	The opinion in both cases was that any retroactive			
	8		adjustment of equities would not be possible. But changing			
	9		the status of this well would be a different matter, and			
	10		it would not be difficult to obtain communitization or			
	11		pooling of the Southwest quarter of Section 25 with the			
<u> </u>	12		Southeast quarter.			
A JUNE PRODUCT AND THE STREET OF THE MEXICO 87108	13	Q	Have you made an offer to Texaco?			
X 0 0 1 X	14	A	We have made a proposal to Texaco by letter, copies which			
. M	15	baye been furnished to the Commission previously, o				
0 U	16		what we feel would be an equitable basis, and their reply			
00 CE F	17		has been that they are precluded from accepting this			
ST • ALE	18		offer by advice of legal counsel.			
3G. EA!	19	Ω	Could you summarize Felmont's position?			
ANK	20	A	Felmont's position is we do not object to Texaco			
	21		continuing to produce from the current completion to its			
SIMMS BLDG. TO BE	economic limits, however we would object very str					
7 RST	23	İ	to a non-standard proration unit being granted that would			
•	allow Texaco to initiate recompletion without fur					
•	25		hearing and without providing Felmont any chance to			

We believe they would be adequately protected.

Have you discussed with State and Federal officials their

this Lower Morrow formation in the South half of Section 25

view of the regulations pertaining to your pooling of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

20V SIMMS BLOG. # P.O. BOX 1092 * PHONE 243-6691 * ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 1210 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLOG. EAST * ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

participate in the Lower Morrow development.

MR. STEVENS: At this time, we would like to introduce into evidence Exhibits One through Four.

MR. STAMETS: Without objection, they will be admitted in evidence.

(Whereupon Felmont's Exhibits One through Four were admitted in evidence.)

We have no further direct testimony. MR. STEVENS:

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

- There are a couple of things I would like to clear up, Mr. Aycock. You have many times referred to Felmont's wish to participate in the completion of this well as a Lower Morrow producer. I wonder if what you really mean is the recompletion of this well as a producer in any other zone?
- Yes, that's specifically correct.
- Except to the zone currently being produced? Q
 - That's specifically correct. I was referring to the Lower Morrow because it appears to be the one with the most immediate potential.
- You heard my question to Texaco's witness concerning whether or not they would object to a specific type of order. Would Felmont have any objection to such an order?

25

```
No, we would not. That's basically the gist of our
   1 A
           request from the Commission.
                 MR. STAMETS: Are there any other questions of this
   2
    3
            witness?
                  MR. KELLY:
                                Yes.
    5
                                CROSS EXAMINATION
     6
     7
              Based on the logs and your review of them, do you think
        BY MR. KELLY:
     8
               that you would get a commercial well if you entered the
        Q
      9
     10
               Lower Morrow?
               It appears that there is a good possibility, yes.
      11
                     MR. KELLY: Mr. Examiner, there doesn't seem to be
      12
209 SIMMS BLDG. * P.O. BOX 1092 * PHONE 243-6691 * ALBUQUERQUE. VEW MEXICO 87108
1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST * ALBUQUERQUE. NEW MEXICO 87108
                any real dispute at this point, and I don't think there
      13
                is any further need to go on.
       14
                       MR. STAMETS: If there are no further questions, the
       15
       16
                 witness may be excused.
        17
                        (Witness excused.)
                        MR. STAMETS: Do you have anything further, Mr.
        18
        19
                        MR. STEVENS: We might ask that the letter from Belco
                   Stevens?
         20
         21
                         MR. STAMETS: We do have a letter from Belco. Mr.
                   be read.
         22
                    Carr, would you read that letter?
          23
                           (Whereupon a letter from Belco Petroleum Company
          24
```

200 SIMMS BLDG. - P.O. BOX 1092 + PHONE 243-669 - ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST + ALBUQUERQUE, NIW MEXICO 87108

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was read into the record.)

MR. STAMETS: Is there anything else that either of you would like to offer in this case?

MR. KELLY: No, Mr. Examiner.

MR. STEVENS: No, Mr. Examiner.

MR. STAMETS: Case 5121 will be taken under advisement, and we will recess until 1:15.

CERTIFICATE

I, RICHARD E. McCORMICK, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my ability, skill and knowledge.

25

THE TO A BOX 1002 BPHONE 243-6691 A LBUDUERQUE. NEW MEXICO	MEXICO 87108	121 DIEST NATIONAL BANK BLOG. EAS! WALD CALLED!	
SOI - ALBUQUERQUE.	Man according		
1002 BHONE 243-60	1	BANK BLOG. EAS!	
× 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	IS BLOCK TO TO BY	FIRST NATIONAL F	
	200 SIXE		-

1		INDEX		-					
2	WITNESS	PAGE							
	B. E. HELLMAN								
	Direct Examin	3							
4	Cross Examina	10							
5		12							
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets								
7									
8	Direct Exami	19							
9	Cross Examin								
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Kelly 20								
11									
12		EXHIBITS							
13	EXHIBIT		ADMITTED	OFFERED					
	Applicant's #1	Boundaries	9	3					
14	Applicant's #2	Letter	9	7					
15	}	cant's #3 Letter		7					
16	Applicanc s +3								
17		Cross section	19	14					
18	Felmont's #1		1.9	15					
19	Felmont's #2	rield structure map	19	16					
20	Feimont's #3	Gas production rates	19	16					
21	Pelmont's #4	Gas production rates		16					
22	Felmont's #5	Gas production rates	19	10					
23									
24									
2	5								



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501

December 6, 1973

I. R. TRUJILLO
CHAIRMAN
LAND COMMISSIONER
ALEX J. ARMIJO
MEMBER

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY – DIRECTOR

	Re:	CASE NO. 5121	
Mr. Dooker Kelly		ORDER NO. R-4689	
White, Koch, Kelly & McCarthy Attorneys at Law		Applicant:	
Post Office Box 787 Santa Fe, New Mexico		TEXACO Inc.	<u>.</u> -

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

A. L. PORTER, Jr.
Secretary-Director

Copy of order			
Hobbs OCC	X		
Artesia OCC			
Aztec OCC			
Other	Mr. D	on Stevens	

BEFORE THE GIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF HEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 5121 Order No. R-4689

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC. FOR A NON-STANDARD GAS PROPATION UNIT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on November 28, 1973, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this <u>6th</u> day of December, 1973, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., seeks approval of a lal-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SE/4 of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, to be dedicated to its Audie Richards Well No. 1 located in Unit P of said Section 25.
- (3) That the SS/4 of Section 25 was dedicated to the Audie Richards Well. No. 1 located in Unit P of said Section 25 upon its completion in accordance with the provisions of statewide Rule 104.
- (4) That Oil Conservation Commission Order No. R-4600 issued on July 23, 1973, changed the squaing from 180 to 320 acres in the South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool which includes the subject Foll.
- (3) That the applicant has beestoders failed to request administrative approval of a 180 time non-standard unit under the spovisions of Order No. 2-4800.
- (6) That the subject will is a particleating well in the behind body Unit and Formation of a standard unit for said toll as deletion could require communities then of unitized and non-unities decrease.
- (7) That the applicant cannot sate the required a serval of the Commissioner of Public land. now the United Canno Continue of Public land, now the United Canno Continue to the rest the continue to take the late stage of depletion of the well.

+2-CASE NO. 5121 Order No. R-4689

- (8) That if the cabject well were to be recombined into any other deep productive gas zone, communitization could take place.
- (9) That the owners of other interests in Saction 25, "ownship 20 South, Range 32 East do not object to the proposed non-standard unit provided that a standard communitized unit be formed at such time as the well is recompleted into another deep gas zone.
- (10) That the application for the Non-Standard Gas Provation Unit should be approved provided that such approval should terminate upon recompletion of the well in any other gas zone of Pennsylvanian age or older.
- (11) That the entire non-standard promation unit may reasonably be presumed productive of gas from the South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool and that the entire non-standard gas provation unit can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by the aforesaid well.
- (12) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the South Salt take-Morrov Gas Pool and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a 160-acre non-standard gas provation unit in the South Balt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool comprising the SE/4 of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, NUFM, Lea County, New Mexico, is hereby established and dedicated to its Audie Richards Well No. 1 located in Unit P of said Section 25.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that Commission approval of the non-standard gas provation unit shall terminate upon recompletion of the well in any other gas zone of Fennsylvanian age or older.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may down necessary.

DONE at Santa He, Now Envice, on the day and year hareinalove designated.

France Of tem Max 12 Off. Off Edgin m. - Commission

1 115

S 5 5 1

5121 Application Terraco N5 UT 5E 25-20-32 etc

That said self of said Section 25 was dedicated to said well upon completion in accordance with statewide rules extent.

That OCC Order R-4600 July 23,100 changed spacing to 320 from 160.

That through error the applicant failed to request happroval of 160 NS4 under provisions of order R-4600

That soil wall is a participating well in the Lettle Eddy
United That to form a standard wait
for said well at this time
would recessite to communitization
of unitized and non-unitized
acreage.

That applicant cannot gain the requisite approval of the Commish Public hunds or USGS for such approval due to the equities involved in socied that and the late stope of deplotion of the well.

The tit soid well with were recomplished into any other desproductive gos zone, such communitization could take place. That the interest owner in solvion 25 T B does not does not be proposed NS24 Provided that a standard communitized unit be formed at such time as the well is recompleted into another deep gos zone

That the application for NS4 should be approved provided that ouch exp approved should terminate upon recompletion of the well in any other deep gas zone.

Approve as above

Belco

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box No. 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re: NMOCO Case 5121 Application of Texaco, Inc. for Non-Standard Proration Unit South Salt Lake (Morrow) Gas Pool Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Belco Petroleum Corporation believes that both economic and physical waste, as well as protection of correlative rights, can best be prevented in the South Salt Lake (Morrow) Gas Pool by adhering to 320-acre gas proration units for all wells in the Pool.

Belco Petroleum Corporation respectfully calls the Commission's attention to the fact that the present pool rules were established by Order No. R-4600, dated July 11, 1973. In this order, Commission finding number six (6) demonstrates that no appearances were made nor objections received to the inclusion of the South Salt Lake (Morrow) jections received to the inclusion of the South Salt Lake (Morrow) Pool under Statewide Rule 104 at the hearing on July 11, 1973.

Inasmuch as the Texaco No. 1-Audie Richards well located in the SE/4 Section 25, T20S, R32E, is apparently presently producing from a non-commercial Morrow formation zone, we recommend that the Commission approve a non-standard proration unit consisting of the SE/4 Section 25 to be dedicated to this well so long, and only so long, as this well is produced from the zones in which it is presently completed. In the event this well should ever be recompleted in another zone within the Morrow formation, Case 5121 should be automatically reopened before the Oil Conservation Commission and the racts of the case be re-examined at that time.

Yours very truly,

BELCO PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Ómar L. Brown District Landman

OLB/MW

CC: Felmont Oil Corporation Midland, Texas 79701

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - TUESDAY - NOVEMBER 27, 1973

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - MORGAN HALL, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

CASE 5063: (De Novo)

Application of Shell Oil Company for an unorthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox location for its Sanger Well No. 6Y to be located 1220 feet from the North line and 180 feet from the West line of Section 27, Township 18 South, Range 38 East, Hobbs Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

Upon application of Samedan Oil Corporation, this case will be heard $\underline{\text{De}}$ Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.

Docket No. 36-73

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - TUESDAY - DECEMBER 11, 1973

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for January, 1974, from fifteen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, Roosevelt and Chaves Counties, New Mexico;
 - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas from nine prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico, for January, 1974.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - NOVEMBER 28, 1973

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 5115: Application of Mobil Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Corral Draw Unit Area comprising 19,199 acres, more or less, of Federal and State lands in Townships 25 and 26 South, Range 29 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5116: Application of Mobil Oil Corporation for a pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-scyled cause, seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project by the injection of water and/or gas into the Middle Pennsylvanian formation of its Bridges State Well No. 147 located in Unit F of Section 13, Township 17 South, Range 34 East, Vacuum-Middle Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, the W/2 of said Section 13 to be the initial project area. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of rules for said project including a provision for administrative approval for expansion thereof.
- CASE 5117: Application of Roger C. Hanks for creation of a new pool and special rules, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of the North Dagger Draw-Cisco Canyon Oil Pool in Sections 24, 25, and 36, Township 19 South, Range 24 East, Sections 18, 19, 30 and 31, Township 19 South, Range 25 East, and Section 1, Township 20 South, Range 24 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, and the promulgation of special pool rules therefor, including a provision for 320-acre spacing and proration units and specified well locations.
- CASE 5118: Application of Shell Oil Company for an extension of Order No. R-4289, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the indefinite extension of Order No. R-4289, which order as extended authorized temporary downhole commingling of the Morrow Pennsylvanian and Devonian production in the wellbore in its Antelope Ridge Well No. 2 located in Unit B of Section 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East, Antelope Ridge Field, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5119: Application of Getty Oil Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Stock Unit Area comprising 5,760 acres, more or less, of State Lands in Township 21 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5120: Application of Lone Star Producing Company for a dual completion and salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water by

(Case 5120 continued from Page 1)

injection into the San Andres formation through the annulus between 5 1/2-inch and 8 5/8-inch casing strings of its New Mexico State 80 Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 33, Township 14 South, Range 34 East, Tres Papalotes-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and to produce oil from said pool through 2 3/8-inch tubing installed within the 5 1/2-inch casing.

CASE 5121:

Application of Texaco Inc. for a non-standard gas proration unit, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SE/4 of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Audie Richards Well No. 1 located in Unit P of said Section 25.

CASE 5122: Application of Sun Oil Company for the creation of a new oil pool and special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new oil pool for Wolfcamp production for its Shern Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit M of Section 15, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lusk Field, Lea County, New Mexico, and the promulgation of special pool rules therefor including a provision for 160-acre spacing and proration units and a special limiting gas-oil ratio of 4000 to 1.

CASE 5123: Application of Consolidated Oil & Gas Inc. for downhole commingling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle gas production from the Flora Vista-Gallup Gas Pool and the Basin Dakota-Gas Pool in the wellbore of its Clayton Well No. 1-2 located in Unit N of Section 2, Township 30 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 5124: Application of Belco Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests underlying the S/2 of Section 30, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an unorthodox location 660 feet from the South line and 1300 feet from the East line of said Section 30. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of such costs, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered is the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 5126: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Avalon Deep Unit Area comprising 10, 117 acres, more or less, of fee, Federal, and State lands in Township 21 South, Ranges 26 and 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

- CASE 5127: Application of Skelly Oil Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Salt Lake South Unit Area comprising 7080.12 acres, more or less, of State and Federal lands in Township 21 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 5125: Northwestern nomenclature case calling for the creation and extension of certain pools in McKinley, San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico:
 - (a) Create a new pool in McKinley County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool for Mesaverde production and designated as the Blackeye-Mesaverde Oil Pool. The discovery well is the K & W Oil Co. #55-Y Jaco located in Unit D of Section 32, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 29: W/2 SW/4

Section 30: SE/4 NE/4 and NE/4 SE/4

Section 32: NW/4 NW/4

(b) Create a new pool in McKinley County, New Mexico, classified as an oil pool for Dakota production and designated as the Blackeye-Dakota Oil Pool. The discovery well is the Colorado Plateau Geological Services, Inc. #1 Blackeye located in Unit M of Section 29, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM Section 29: SW/4 SW/4

(c) Create a new pool in McKinley County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Dakota A production and designated as the Lone Pine-Dakota A Pool. The discovery well is the Tenneco Oil Co. #2 SFPRR located in Unit L of Section 13, Township 17 North, Range 9 West, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP 17 NORTH, RANCE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 13: SW/4 Section 23: NE/4 Section 24: NW/4

(d) Create a new pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Fruitland production and designated as the Mt. Nebo-Fruitland Pool. The discovery well is the Amoco Production Co. #1 Keys Gas Com E located in Unit D of Section 27, Township 32 North, Range 10 West, NMPM. Said pool would comprise:

TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM

Section 27: NW/4 Section 28: NE/4

Docket No. 35-73 -4-

(e) Extend the Angels Peak-Gallup Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 6: N/2

Section 7: SW/4

Section 8: All

Section 9: W/2 Section 18: W/2 & SE/4

Section 23: W/2

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM Section 3: SW/4 & S/2 SE/4 Section 11: S/2

Section 12: All

Section 13: N/2

(f) Extend the Aztec-Pictured Cliffs Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM

Section 18: W/2

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 18: S/2

Section 20: SW/4

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM

Section 12: SW/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM

Section 18: SE/4
Section 21: SW/4

Section 28: SE/4

Section 31: E/2

Section 32: SW/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM

Section 2: N/2

Section 3: NE/4

(3) Extend the Ballard-Pictured Cliffs Pool in Rio Arriba, Sandoval and San Juan Counties, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 22 NORTH, RANGE 2 WEST, NMPM

Section 6: N/2 & SE/4 Section 7: NE/4

Section 8: W/2

-5-

TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 3 WEST, NMPM

Section 15: S/2

Section 16: S/2

Section 21: All

Section 22: All Section 23: All

Section 26: N/2

Section 27: N/2 & SE/4

Section 28: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST, NMPM

Section 24: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM

Section 14: NW/4

TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM

Section 17: SW/4

Section 20: N/2

(h) Extend the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool in Rio Arriba and San Juan Counties, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM

Section 8: E/2

Section 9: All

Section 10: W/2

Section 14: N/2

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 9: SE/4

Section 34: S/2

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 7: All (Partial)

Section 18: All

Section 19: All Section 31: W/2

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NAPM

Section 13: E/2

(i) Extend the Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM Section 30: SW/4 Section 31: W/2

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM

Section 5: SE/4 Section 25: W/2 & SE/4

Section 8: S/2 Section 26: E/2

Section 14: N/2 Section 33: W/2

Section 15: S/2 Section 34: NE/4

Section 16: S/2 Section 35: N/2

Section 17: S/2 & NE/4 Section 36: N/2

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 6: S/2 Section 7: All Section 18: NE/4

Section 23: SE/4

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM

Section 14: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM Section 2: All Section 14: NE/4 Section 5: SE/4 Section 6: NE/4 Section 15: SW/4 Section 16: N/2 & SE/4 Section 9: NE/4 Section 22: SE/4 Section 10: N/2 Section 23: S/2 Section 24: SW/4 Section 11: All Section 12: SW/4 Section 25: All Section 13: All Section 26: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM Section 31: S/2

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM Section 18: SW/4 Section 32: E/2 Section 29: SE/4 Section 35: S/2 Section 31: S/2 Section 36: S/2

TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM

 Section 21: E/2
 Section 32: All

 Section 22: SW/4
 Section 33: All

 Section 27: All
 Section 34: All

 Section 28: All
 Section 35: S/2

Section 29: N/2 & 3E/4

Section 11: All

(j) Extend the South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool in Rio Arriba, Sandoval and San Juan Counties, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 23 NORTH, RANGE 1 WEST, NMPM Section 8: SE/4

TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM Section 9: E/2 Section 16: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM Section 17: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM Section 26: SW/4 Section 35: W/2 Section 27: E/2 Section 36: NW/4

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM Section 16: E/2

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM

Section 8: All (Partial) Section 18: E/2

Section 9: All (Partial) Section 19: NE/4

Section 14: W/2 & SE/4 Section 20: NW/4

Section 15: N/2 Section 23: NE/4

Section 16: NE/4 Section 24: W/2 & SE/4

Section 17: All Section 25: N/2

(k) Extend the Choza Mesa-Pictured Cliffs Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST, NMPM Section 23: W/2

(1) Extend the Flora Vista-Fruitland Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM
Section 1: SW/4
Section 2: S/2
Section 3: SE/4

(m) Extend the South Gallegos-Fruitland Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM Section 1: SW/4

(n) Extend the Gonzales-Mesaverde Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM
Section 4: SW/4 Section 9: NW/4
Section 5: SE/4

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM Section 29: SW/4 Section 32: W/2

Section 31: S/2

(o) Extend the Hospah-Dakota Oil Pool in McKinley County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 17 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM
Section 5: SW/4 SW/4 Section 7: N/2 NE/4
Section 6: SE/4 SE/4

(p) Extend the Kutz-Fruitland Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM Section 13: W/2

(q) Extend the Largo-Chacra Pool in Rio Arriba & San Juan Counties, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM
Section 10: NE/4 Section 16: S/2
Section 11: All Section 17: SE/4
Section 13: W/2 & SE/4 Section 23: N/2
Section 14: All Section 25: W/2
Section 15: S/2 Section 26: E/2

(r) Extend the South Lindrith-Gallup Dakota Oil Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 24 NORTH, RANGE 4 WEST, NMPM Section 21: SE/4 Section 28: N/2 & SW/4

(s) Extend the Lone Pine-Dakota D Oil Pool in McKinley County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 17 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM Section 7: S/2 NE/4 Section 8: W/2 NW/4

(t) Extend the North Los Pinos-Fruitland Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 8 WEST, NMPM Section 13: SE/4

(u) Extend the Otero-Chacra Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to include therein:

 TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM

 Section 2: SW/4
 Section 12: W/2

 Section 3: SE/4
 Section 14: NW/4

 Section 10: SE/4
 Section 15: NE/4

 Section 11: S/2 & NE/4

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM Section 31: W/2 Section 32: SW/4

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM

 Section 21:
 SW/4
 Section 33:
 E/2

 Section 26:
 NW/4 & SE/4
 Section 34:
 All

 Section 27:
 All
 Section 36:
 S/2

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM Section 11: N/2

(v) Extend the Pinon-Fruitland Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM

Section 8: All (Partial) Section 9: All (Partial)

(w) Extend the Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Pool in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 3 WEST, NMPM Section 21: NE/4

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM Section 6: SE/4 Section 27: N/2 Section 15: SE/4

(x) Extend the Tocito Dome-Pennsylvanian D Oil Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 18 WEST, NMPM Section 26: NW/4 Section 27: NE/4

(y) Extend the Ute Dome-Dakota Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM Section 10: All Section 11: All

TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM Section 25: E/2

(z) Extend the Ute Dome-Paradox Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico, to include therein:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM Section 10: All

TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM Section 25: All

PRODUCING DEPARTMENT COSTRAL UNITED STATES MIDIANU DIVISION

November 9, 1973

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

NON-STANDARD PRORATION UNIT TEXACO AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1 LITTLE EDDY UNIT SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Mr. William P. Aycock Sipes, Williamson & Aycock, Inc. 1100 Gihls Towers West Midland, Texas 79701

Dear Sir:

In reference to your letter concerning the above subject, dated October 31, 1973, please be advised that Texaco is presently studying the proposals made by you for Felmont Oil Corporation. Texaco, like Felmont, is anxious to work something out that will be equitable to all concerned.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

R. G. Brown Assistant to Division Manager

GRW:MIS

cc: Felmont Oil Corporation 308 Gihls Tower East Midland, Texas 79701 Attn: Mr. Joe Miller

U. S. Geological Survey

P. O. Drawer 1857 Roswell, New Mexico 88201 Attn: Mr. Carl C. Traywick

New Mexico Cil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 2088

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501



PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

October 15, 1973

Care 5-/2/

(TEXACO INC. P. O. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

MIDLAND DIVISION

OCCUPANT OF THE STATES OF

PRODUCING DEPARTMENT

NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1 LITTLE EDDIE UNIT SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL

SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL 660' FEL & 660' FSL SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TO ALL WORKING INTEREST OWNERS

Gentlemen:

Texaco, as the agent for the Unit Operator of the Little Eddie Unit, has requested a 160 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt Lake Morrow Pool for the Audie Richards No. 1. The non-standard proration unit will cover the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, which is the same proration unit that now exists for the subject well.

In July of this year, the Field Rules were changed to increase the proration units from 160 acres to 320 acres. Since this well is a marginal producer and the field is not prorated, a 160 acre non-standard unit will not effect the production but will allow standard proration units for the remainder of the field.

Please indicate your approval by mailing one executed copy of this letter directly to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and one executed copy to this office. Addressed and stamped envelopes are enclosed for your convenience.

Attached for your review is a copy of our application.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

GRW/pw

Attachment

By R. G. Brown

Assistant to Division Manager

WA H APPROVED

Working Interest Owne

Oct. 22, 1973

11-16-73



PRODUCING DEPARTMENT CENTRAL UNITED STATES MIDLAND DIVISION

October 15, 1973

CIL CONST. TEXACO INC.

(Pro. BOX 3109

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

Case 5-12/

NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT

AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1
LITTLE EDDIE UNIT
SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL
660' FEL & 660' FSL
SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TO ALL WORKING INTEREST OWNERS

Gentlemen:

Texaco, as the agent for the Unit Operator of the Little Eddie Unit, has requested a 160 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt Lake Morrow Pool for the Audie Richards No. 1. The non-standard proration unit will cover the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, which is the same proration unit that now exists for the subject well.

In July of this year, the Field Rules were changed to increase the proration units from 160 acres to 320 acres. Since this well is a marginal producer and the field is not prorated, a 160 acre non-standard unit will not effect the production but will allow standard proration units for the remainder of the field.

Please indicate your approval by mailing one executed copy of this letter directly to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and one executed copy to this office. Addressed and stamped envelopes are enclosed for your convenience.

alse

Attached for your review is a copy of our application.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

GRW/pw

Attachment

By R Brown

Assistant to Division Manager

APPROVED

ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY Working Interest Owner

ВV

DATE

October 23, 1973



PRODUCING DEPARTMENT MIDLAND DIVISION

October 15, 1973

Senta E TEXACO INC P. O. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1

LITTLE EDDIE UNIT SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL 660' FEL & 660' FSL SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TO ALL WORKING INTEREST OWNERS

Page 5-12/

Gentlemen:

Texaco, as the agent for the Unit Operator of the Little Eddie Unit, has requested a 160 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt Lake Morrow Pool for the Audie Richards No. 1. The non-standard proration unit will cover the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, which is the same proration unit that now exists for the subject well. for the subject well.

In July of this year, the Field Rules were changed to increase the proration units from 160 acres to 320 acres. Since this well is a marginal producer and the field is not prorated, a 160 acre non-standard unit will not effect the production but will allow standard proration units for the remainder of the field.

Please indicate your approval by mailing one executed copy of this letter directly to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and one executed copy to this office. Addressed and stamped envelopes are enclosed for your convenience.

Attached for your review is a copy of our application.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

GRW/pw

Attachment

Assistant to Division Manager

WAH APPROVED

Production Co. Owner



PRODUCING DEPARTMENT
CENTRAL UNITED STATES
MIDLAND DIVISION

October 15, 1973

TEXACO INC. P. O. HOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT

AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1

LITTLE EDDIE UNIT

SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL

660' FEL & 660' FSL

SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TO ALL WORKING INTEREST OWNERS

Gentlemen:

Car 5-12/

Texaco, as the agent for the Unit Operator of the Little Eddie Unit, has requested a 160 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt Lake Morrow Pool for the Audie Richards No. 1. The non-standard proration unit will cover the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, which is the same proration unit that now exists for the subject well.

In July of this year, the Field Rules were changed to increase the proration units from 160 acres to 320 acres. Since this well is a marginal producer and the field is not prorated, a 160 acre non-standard unit will not effect the production but will allow standard proration units for the remainder of the field.

Please indicate your approval by mailing one executed copy of this letter directly to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and one executed copy to this office. Addressed and stamped envelopes are enclosed for your convenience.

Attached for your review is a copy of our application.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

GRW/pw

Attachment

R. G. Brown

Assistant to Division Manager

APPROVED

Working Interest Owner

BY

DATE

16/19/73



PRODUCING DEPARTMENT CENTRAL UNITED STATES MINIAND DIVISION PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

October 15, 1973

TEXACO INC. P. G. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT

AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1

LITTLE EDDIE UNIT

SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL

660' FEL & 660' FSL

SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TO ALL WORKING INTEREST OWNERS

Case 5-121

Gentlemen:

Texaco, as the agent for the Unit Operator of the Little Eddie Unit, has requested a 160 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt Lake Morrow Pool for the Audie Richards No. 1. The non-standard proration unit will cover the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, which is the same proration unit that now exists for the subject well.

In July of this year, the Field Rules were changed to increase the proration units from 160 acres to 320 acres. Since this well is a marginal producer and the field is not prorated, a 160 acre non-standard unit will not effect the production but will allow standard proration units for the remainder of the field.

Please indicate your approval by mailing one executed copy of this letter directly to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and one executed copy to this office. Addressed and stamped envelopes are enclosed for your convenience.

Attached for your review is a copy of our application.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

GRW/pw

By R. G. Brown

Attachment_

Assistant to Division Manager

APPROVED

Working Interest Owner

BY

Clward

DATE

10-23-73



15-18-73 Dan Muzeu Class 5-121

PRODUCING DEPARTMENT CENTRAL UNITED STATES MIDLAND DIVISION

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

October 15, 1973

TEXACO INC. P. O. BOX 3109 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

NOV - 9 1973

OIL CONSERVATION COMM

Santa Fo

AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1
LITTLE EDDIE UNIT
SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL
660' FEL & 660' FSL
SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

TO ALL WORKING INTEREST OWNERS

Gentlemen:

Texaco, as the agent for the Unit Operator of the Little Eddie Unit, has requested a 160 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt Lake Morrow Pool for the Audie Richards No. 1. The non-standard proration unit will cover the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico, which is the same proration unit that now exists for the subject well.

In July of this year, the Field Rules were changed to increase the proration units from 160 acres to 320 acres. Since this well is a marginal producer and the field is not prorated, a 160 acre non-standard unit will not effect the production but will allow standard proration units for the remainder of the field.

Please indicate your approval by mailing one executed copy of this letter directly to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and one executed copy to this office. Addressed and stamped envelopes are enclosed for your convenience.

Attached for your review is a copy of our application.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith Division Manager

GRW/pw

Attachment

Approved — Innie Oil Company
Working Interest Owner

BY M.A. Mijers

DATE 11-6-13

SIPES, WILLIAMSON & AYCOCK, INC.

Consulting Engineers
Midland, Texas

HOO GIHLS TOWER WEST MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701 915 683-1841 October 25, 1973

800 MAIN BUILDING HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 713 228-8146

Case 5-12/

Texaco, Incorporated P.O. Box 3109 Midland, Texas 79701

Attention: Mr. M. A. Sirgo, Jr.

Division Petroleum Engineer

Gentlemen:

Subject: Non-standard Proration Unit

Texaco's Audie Richards "1", 25-20S-32E So. Salt Lake Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

You requested a waiver of objection for the proposed non-standard unit from Felmont Oil Corporation by letter dated October 15, 1973. We have been retained by Felmont as advisers on this matter, and Felmont has requested that I contact you on their behalf.

Felmont Oil Corporation does object to granting the non-standard proration unit you requested. Felmont believes that granting of your non-standard unit request would lead to inordinate difficulties in properly developing Felmont's 480-acre lease, comprising the W/2 and NE/4 of Section 25, Township 20S, Range 32E. To adequately develop this property were a non-standard unit assigned as you request would necessitate Felmont drilling wells on both a standard gas proration unit in the W/2 of Section 25 and a non-standard proration unit in the NE/4 of Section 25. Section 25 would then contain three wells at full development, rather than two as contemplated by application of the pool rules. Felmont believes that drilling of this extra well would constitute economic waste and would be disadvantageous to both Felmont and Texaco.

Felmont recognizes the need to preserve equity in the recovery of gas reserves for both Felmont and Texaco, and Felmont is consequently amenable to considering voluntary communitization or any other remedy for the current situation that Texaco might suggest. Additionally, Felmont believes that it is important to effect an equitable remedy, satisfactory to both Felmont and Texaco, as a somewhat similar situation to that involved in the case of the Audie Richards "1" will occur when the W/2 of Section 36 is developed, as Felmont has an oil and gas lease on the NW/4 of Section 36, while the SW/4 of the Section is under lease to Texaco and appears to be HBU.

October 25, 1973 Page 2

Felmont would appreciate your attention to this matter and solicits your comments on possible remedies that would be both acceptable to Texaco and equitable to both Felmont and Texaco.

Very truly yours,

Sipes, Williamson & Aycock, Inc.

VIA. P. AYCOCK
William P. Aycock, P. E.

/rr

cc: Felmont Cil Corporation
 308 Gihls Tower East
 Midland, Texas 79701
 Attention: Mr. Joe Miller

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Assistant to Division Manager Gentlemen: Non-Standard Proration Unit Subject: Texaco Audie Richards No. 1, South Salt Lake Field Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico Felmont Oil Corporation has re-evaluated the available information for this well as a result of the conference of Monday, October 29 that included representatives of both Felmont and Texaco, Inc., and your telephone report to me that the scouting information for the Audie Richards 1 is a complete record of the formation drillstem tests and/or production tests. Felmont's conclusion from this study is that the recompletion possibilities for the Richards are excellent. Felmont also does not believe that the low quality of the current Audie Richards 1 completion indicates that any substantial drainage is occurring from Felmont's lease in Section 25. On behalf of Felmont, I called the U. S. Geological Survey in Roswell, and discussed the situation with Messrs. Traywick and Gillham at length. Our discussion resulted in their assurance that the USGS would have no objection to a pooled, 320-acre proration for this well that would include the SW/4 of Section 25, as long as the pooling would become effective with a change in well completion status, such as a workover for completion in a reservoir other than that in which the well is now completed, and that the pooled proration unit assigned to the well was approved by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. I was informed that, under no circumstances, would such pooling be approved were it to involve retroactive adjustment of equities. In addition, I discussed the current participating area reservoir delineation with Messrs. Traywick and Gillham, and I concluded from this discussion that the delineation is not precise, and that the approval of the pooled unit after a workover would apply, regardless of how either the current reservoir in which the Audie Richards 1 is completed is described, or regardless of how the prospective recompletion reservoirs are described. I also learned that the USGS has a drainage call on Felmont's 480-acre lease in Section 25, so that they would 11-16-73

SIPES, WILLIAMSON & AYCOCK, INC.

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Midland, Texas

October 31, 1973

HOO GIRLS TOWER WEST

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

915 683-1841

Texaco, Inc. P. O. Box 3109

Midland, Texas 79701

Attention: Mr. Robert G. Brown,

Pre 5-12/

AIN BUILDING

228-8146

CONSERVATION COMM

i, texas 77002

Texaco, Inc. October 31, 1973 Page 2

require equitable participation in the common South Salt Lake Pool reservoirs for all of Felmont's acreage in Section 25.

Felmont wishes to settle this matter equitably and in conformance with both prudent operational practices and the intent of the pool rules to prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells, if this is possible. Felmont believes that an agreement with Texaco could accomplish all of these objectives, with an agreement structured as follows:

- 1. Felmont would waive objection to the assignment of the nonstandard, 160-acre proration unit comprising the southeast quarter of Section 25 to the Audie Richards 1, as the well is presently completed.
- 2. Texaco would agree that, when the reservoir at a depth of from 12,909 to 12,916 feet in which the Richards 1 is now completed, is depleted, Texaco would review with Felmont all of Texaco's proprietary well data. The data to be reviewed will include sample descriptions, drillstem or production tests, mud and wireline logs, and any other formation evaluation data that may have been accumulated by Texaco during the drilling and completion of the Audie Richards 1. Felmont and Texaco would then make a joint decision as to whether or not a recompletion attempt is justified. If a recompletion attempt is not jointly deemed justifiable, Texaco would then plug and abandon the Audie Richards 1 at its sole risk and expense. If a recompletion attempt is jointly deemed justifiable, then Felmont and Texaco would voluntarily communitize the south half of Section 25 as a proration unit to be assigned to the Audie Richards 1.
- 3. Felmont and Texaco would negotiate a standard AAPL-type joint operating agreement, such as is in standard use throughout the petroleum industry, to govern the recompletion attempt.
- 4. Felmort and Texaco would agree that this joint operating agreement would provide for the working interest to be shared equally between them, and Felmont and Texaco would be responsible for payment of royalties applicable to each tract to their respective royalty owners, i.e., the U. S. for Felmont, and the State of New Mexico for Texaco.
- 5. Felmont and Texaco would agree that the basis for the adjusted well cost would be that Felmont would pay Texaco an amount which would be the lesser of one half of Texaco's unamortized drilling and completion cost for a depth including the South Salt Lake Pool reservoirs at a depth not exceeding 13,400 feet, or one half of a mutually agreed-upon AFE, including reasonable contingencies, applicable at the time such recompletion is to be attempted.

Texaco, Inc. October 31, 1973 Page 3

This agreement would be executed in binding, contractually legal form by officers of each corporation who have the authority to commit each corporation to such matters, the agreement would be made a part of Felmont's waiver of objection to Texaco's assignment of the 160-acre proration unit in the SE/4 of Section 25 to the Audie Richards 1.

Unless such an agreement can be negotiated between Felmont and Texaco, it is apparent that the alternative courses of action all probably involve lengthy, expensive proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. Such proceedings would have a bearing on both Belco Petroleum Corporation's application for a non-standard proration unit and unorthodox location for a well to be drilled on the \$/2 of Section 30, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, and Texaco's desire to drill a South Salt Lake Pool development well in the N/2 of Section 31, Township 20 South, Range 33 East; it is therefore probable that the proceedings would be extremely involved and could entail the intervention of Amini Oil Corporation also, since it is Felmont's understanding that Amini desires to drill a South Salt Lake development well in the S/2 of Section 32, Township 20 South, Range 33 East, and a normal proration unit would require communitizing Amini's SE/4 and E/2SW/4 with Texaco's W/2SW/4 in Section 32. Felmont wishes to avoid such proceedings, if possible, so Felmont solicits your serious consideration of this proposal, which, although not entirely satisfactory to either Felmont or Texaco, would be reasonably equitable to Felmont, Texaco, the U.S. and the State of New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

SIPES, WILLIAMSON & AYCOCK, INC.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY WILL P. AYCOCK

Wm. P. Aycock, P. E.

/kp

copies: Felmont Oil Corporation
308 Gihls Tower East
Midland, Texas 79701
Attention: Mr. Joe Miller

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

U. S. Geological Survey
P. O. Drawer 1857
Roswell, New Mexico 88201
Attention: Mr. Carl C. Traywick,
Deputy Oil & Gas Supervisor

State of New Morico



ALEX J. ARMIJO



Commissioner of Riblic Lands
November 20, 1973

TELEPHONE 505-827-2748

P. O. BOX 1148 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Texaco, Inc. P. O. Box 3109 Midland, Texas 79701 177700

107 25 1973

1440 port

Re: Little Eddy Unit

Attn: Mr. B. E. Hellman

Gentlemen:

During your recent visit to Santa Fe, we discussed the impending Morrow development and well spacing units involving both Little Eddy Unit lands and non-unit lands in Lea County, New Moxico. The discussion was occasioned by recent New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Order No. 4600 requiring 320 acre spacing for all Morrow wells in the Salt-Lake Morrow gas pool.

Revision of the existing Little Eddy Unit participating area to now include non-unit lands in order to satisfy the new spacing requirement does not appear to be practicable. The unit agreement has no provision for revising the participating areas solely to conform to spacing units, nor is there a provision for the adjudication of equities in such situations.

It is our position that enlarging or revising the participating area after a well has been completed to include non-unit lands would diminish the State's royalty and therefore we will not approve any enlargement of the present unit area.

10 10 .

5121

Very truly yours.

RAY 5. GRAHAM, DIRECTOR OIL WHO CAS DIVISION

RDG:cw

cc: New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission - Sonta Fe, New Mexico

cc: United States Centagical Survey Actn: Mr. Carl Traywick P. O. Box 1897 Roswell, New Mexico 88201



United States Department of the Interior

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Drawer 1857 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

October 25, 1973

177700

REGIO DE COMMISSIONE MIGHELLE DE COMMISSIONE DE COM

Texaco Inc. Attention: Mr. E. H. Watkins P.O. Box 3109 Midland, Texas 79701

001 26 1973

LAND DURA

Your letter of October 15, 1973, discussed impending Morrow development and well spacing units involving both Little Eddy unit lands and non-unit lands in Lea County, New Mexico. Such discussion was occasioned by recent New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Order No. 4600 requiring 320 acre spacing for all Morrow wells in the Salt Lake-Morrow gas pool.

Revision of the existing Little Eddy participating area to now include nonunit lands in order to satisfy the new spacing requirement does not appear practicable. The unit agreement has no provision for revising participating areas solely to conform to spacing units nor is there a provision for the adjudication of equities for such situations.

Unit lands, however, may be communitized with non-unit lands thereby creating proration units for new development. This office would have no objection to the communitization of unit lands in sec. 32, T. 20 S., R. 33 E., with contiguous non-unit lands forming either one or two spacing units for the drilling of a new Morrow well or wells in conformance with New Mexico Conservation Commission procedures.

Sincerely yours,

DEFORE EXAMINE TexALL

CARL C. TRAYWICK Acting Area Oil & Gas Supervisor

cc: Hobbs TEXACO

PRODUCING DEPARTMENT
CENTRAL UNITED STATES
MIDLAND DIVISION

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

October 12, 1973

OCT 1 7 1973

OIL CONSERVATION COMM

TEXACONSERVATION

TEXACONSERVATION

P. O. BOX 3109

MIDLAND, TEXAS 79701

Objection 15

Pecd 10 12 punts

Pecd 20 12 punts

NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT AUDIE RICHARDS NO. 1

LITTLE EDDY UNIT
SOUTH SALT LAKE MORROW POOL
660' FEL & 660' FSL
SECTION 25, T-20-S, R-32-E
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

NSP-933

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

104 17 17

Gentlemen:

Texaco Inc. respectfully request administrative approval of a non-standard 160-acre South Salt Lake Morrow proration unit covering the SE/4, Section 25, T-20-S, R-32-E, Lea County, New Mexico. This non-standard unit will be dedicated to Texaco Inc. Audie Richards No. 1.

In support of our application, the following facts are submitted:

- 1. Texaco Inc. is the operator of the 360-acre Audie Richards lease consisting of the SE/4 of Section 25, the N/2 NE/4, Section 36, T-20-S, R-32-E, and the W/2 SW/4 of Section 30, the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 31, T-20-S, R-32-E.
- 2. Texaco's Audie Richards Well No. 1 is located 660 feet from the South and East lines of said Section 25.
- 3. The proposed non-standard gas proration unit consists of contiguous and continuous quarter-quarter sections and lies wholly within a single governmental quarter section.

DOCKET MAILED

Date 11-16-73

October 12, 1973

- 4. The entire non-standard gas proration unit can be reasonably presumed to be productive of gas in the South Salt Lake Morrow Gas Pool.
- 5. Waivers to all offset operators to the proposed non-standard unit and all operators within 1500 feet of the proposed non-standard unit have been furnished by Certified mail.

Attached is a Form G-102, a ownership map for the Audie Richards lease and the area immediately surrounding the lease, and a list of the offset operators and their mailing addresses.

It is respectfully requested that this application for a non-standard 160-acre proration unit be approved administratively.

Yours very truly,

Darrell Smith
Division Manager

R. G. Brown

Assistant to Division Mar 30%

GRW:MIS

Attachment

AMENDED NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

Form C-102 Supersedes C-128 Effective 1-1-65

			All dist	ances must be	from the oute	e boundari	es of the Sect	ion.			
Operator TEXACO Inc.					Legse Audie Richards					Well No.	
			Township	····	Range County						
P		25	i .	South		32 East	1 -	Lea			
Actual Footage Loc	cation			· 	<u></u>						
660			South	line and			feet from th	e Ea		line Sec	
Not Availab		Producing For	mation		Pool Couth	Cal+ 1	alea Mann	^		Dedicated Acrea 160	
		Morrow		```			ake-Morr				Астев
1. Outline th	ie ac	reage dedica	ted to the	e subject w	ell by col	ored pen	cil or hach	ure ma	icks on the	plat below.	
2. If more the interest a			dedicated	d to the we	ll, outline	each and	d identify th	he owi	nership the	ereof (both as	to working
3. If more th				•		to the w	ell, have t	he inte	erests of	all owners be	en consoli-
		initization, v		-	_		licated a			ithin	
X Yes		No If a	nswer is '	'yes;' type	of consolid	lation _	Little F	ddy	Unit"		
			owners ar	nd tract des	criptions w	vhich ha	ve actually	been	consolida	ted. (Use reve	rse side of
this form i		-	1	11		, ,			<u> </u>		
										nuaitization, u approved by th	
sion.	,g,	or officialise)	or arrest g	. แบน-อเสแนน	. u. u.i.i., C.	minariil	s auch inter	coto,	nas peen :	approved by tr	ie commis-
								-,		ACDT: 0.0: -:-	
		1			. 1					CERTIFICATIO	N
		j			!	1			I harahu sa	ertify that the inf	otmatius cos-
		i		<u> </u>	I	! !			*	ein is true and co	
1		1			ļ)]				knowledge and b	1
1		1			ĺ						
	<u> </u>	1			· 	 	· 	_ -	Vorne C	M. Thames	
		1				! }		-	osition	M. Induies	
		1	U. 8	3.	,	. [11		iv. Engine	er-Civil
		Fe	lmont La	e.	1	I		1 [Company	277 2222	01 01111
		1				}			T	EXACO Inc.	
		1			:	! 			Date 1	0/11/73	
		<u></u>				2011:1111				-// ()	
		}	(;	25)		 					
-		1			,	Į			I heraby	certify that the	well location
		I			;	1				his plat was plot	
		1	1	5) 4) 5)		l				ictual surveys mi	
		1	E E	Aud	ie Rich	erds			•	supervision, and t and correct to the	
		•			XACO Ind	ļ.		11	knowledge		
L		+	;	_Tot.2	40_Ac	 		41	•-		
		i		č. B		İ					
		1				}			Date Surveye		
		1	1			ľ	o 1 660	.]		11/19/56	
		1 ,				1		[] -	Registered P and/or Land	rofessional Engli Surveyor	1 00 r
		Ì				}	8			•	
		j ·			1/50	die.	1		C	. M. Thame	s
								三一	CertIficate N	0.	
0 330 660	190	1320 1680 198	10. 2310 2	640 200	0 1500	1000	500	0		11760	

AMENDED

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

Form C-102 Supersedes C-128 Effective 1-1-65

	<u>`</u> ,	All distances	must be from t	he outer bound	ucies of t	he Section.			
Operator TEXACO Inc.				Legso Audie Richards			Well No.		
1		Township		Range		County			
P	25	20 So	uth	32 Ea	st	L	ea		
Ictual Footage Location									
			line and	660	feet	from the	<u>East</u>	line Sec.	25
Ground Level Elev:	Producing For	mation	Poo				1	cated Acreager	
Not Available	Morrow		Sc	outh Salt	Lake-	Morrow	Gas Pool	160	Acres
 Outline the a If more than interest and r 	one lease is						_		working
X Yes	munitization, u	nitization, for	type of co	etc? D nsolidation	edicat <u>"Litt</u>	ted acr	eage is with y Unit"	in	
this form if ne		owners and tra	ict descript	ions which i	lave aci	tually be	en consolidated.	(Use reverse	side of
No allowable	will be assign						ed (by communi s, has been app		
				ı			CE	RTIFICATION	
	i			į.		ì			
	i	İ		i,		1	I haveby cartify	that the inform	ation con-
	j					ì	1	s true and compl	
	i			!		ļ	1	wiedge and belie	
	1			1	,	Ì			.,
	i	ļ		l	•				
	, l					i	Name		
				!			C. M.	Thames	
	1			l		1	Position		
		ប. នុ.					Asst. Div.	Engineer	<u>-Civil</u>
	Fe.	lmont Lse.				l	Company		
	į.			i I		ŀ	TEXA	ACO Inc.	
	1			i		1	Date 10/1	12/22	
	1	i		i		}	10/1	11/73	
		—— (25) ^µ	THEFT	*****	шшш	ասագ	I A E		
İ	i			ì		Į			
	1	25		1		İ	į ,	fy that the wel	
	1	3		1		1		plat was plotted	
	l i			1		1	1	l surveys made	•
	1		Andie 1	Richards			1	vision, and that	
	[2	TEXAC			l	į.	orrect to the be	est of my
	1		TEXACO Tot.21:0			j	knowledge and	belief.	
	- +			~ + -					
	I	≥ ≥ !!!		1					
	1	337				ļ	Date Surveyed		
	1	3		1	_ :	L - 660'-	11/	19/56	
•	1 -	2		i	0	- 660-	Registered Profe	-	
	1 -		, i	1		į	and/or Land Surv	eyor	
	1	3 '		160 Ac.	8	į			
		3	·	7 770. 716.				1. Thames	
200	7-7-		- Park	P	THE REAL PROPERTY.		Certificate No.		

AMENDED

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT All distances must be from the outer boundaries of the Section.

Form C-102 Supersedes C-128 Effective 1-1-65

	<u>`</u>	All distances must be in		or the section					
Operator TE	XACO Inc.		Lcose Audie	Richards	Well No.				
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County		<u> </u>			
P	25	20 South	32 East	I	ea				
Actual Footage Loc		747-	660		-				
660 Ground Level Elev:	1101 110111 1110	South line and	660	feet from the	East	line Sec. 25			
Not Available Marrow South Soit Iska-Marrow Cas Book 160									
1. Outline the acreage dedicated to the subject well by colored pencil or hachuse marks on the plat below.									
2. If more than one lease is dedicated to the well, outline each and identify the ownership thereof (both as to working									
interest a	nd royalty).								
3 If more th	on one lease of d	ifferent ownership is d	ladicated to the w	all have the	interests of all	ownom boon ornali			
		mitization, force-pooling		en, nave the	interests of all o	owners been conson-			
			Ded		reage is with	in			
X Yes	No If a	nswer is "yes," type of	consolidation <u>"</u>	<u>Little Edd</u>	ly Unit"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
If a=awa=	in 44ma?? lint the	awaara and treat decay	.:_*ialial l			/II			
	if necessary.)	owners and tract desci	nptions which hav	e actually be	en consolidated.	(Use reverse side of			
	•	ed to the well until all	interests have be	en consolidat	ted (by communit	tization, unitization.			
		or until a non-standard							
sion.									
	1		ı		CEF	RTIFICATION			
	ĺ		1						
	1		i		I hereby certify	that the information con-			
	l		ı	j	tained herein is	true and complete to the			
	t '		l		best of my know	viedge and belief.			
	1		1						
	, 				Name	m			
			-· ·-· - (- ·- ·- ·-		<u> </u>	Thames			
li	i	v. s.	ŧ		Position	Engineer-Civil			
	Fe:	lmont Lse.	i	i	Company	EMERICAL CIVII			
	į		1		TEXA	CO Inc.			
	{		! }		Date 7.3/1	1/72			
} }	1	1	ì]		1/73			
	·	(25) 			• •				
	i		i I		I hereby certif	ly that the well location			
	I		i		· ·	lat was plutted from field			
	1	# # # #	i		!	surveys made by me or			
	1	₹ Audi	e Richards	1	1	vision, and that the same			
) 	(a)	ACO Inc.		knowledge and	priect to the best of my belief.			
	+		0 Ac. +						
	, I		1						
]	ı				Date Surveyed				
	l		1	0 662		19/56			
•	1 .		1		Registered Profes	•			
		EL		3		•			
	•		160 Ac.	10	C. M	. Thames			
					Certificate No.				
1.5				·	117	60			

Atlantic Richfield Company P. 0. Box 1610 Midland, Texas 79701 Attention: Mr. Jim Walker

Bass Enterprises Production Co. P. O. Box 171 Midland, Texas 79701 Attention: Mr. Bill Seltzer

Perry R. Bass P. O. Box 171 Midland, Texas 79701 Attention: Mr. Bill Seltzer

Belco Petroleum Corporation P. O. Box 19234 Houston, Texas 77024

Frank O. Elliott, Tr., Clarence E. Hinkle, Tr., and Edna Ione Hall, Tr. P. O. Box 1355 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

Phillips Petroleum Company Phillips Building 4th & Washington Streets Odessa, Texas 79760 Attention: Mr. E. M. Gorence

Tenneco Oil Company Suite 1200 Lincoln Tower Denver, Colorado 80203 Attention: Mr. L. Venus

Felmont Oil Corporation P. O. Box 1855 Midland, Texas 79701

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 5121

Order No. R- 7689

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC. FOR A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT,

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on November 28 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Denich S. Nabber R.L.

day of November, 1973, the Commission, NOW, on this a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., seeks approval of a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit comprising the SE/4 of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, to be dedicated to its Audie Richards Well No. 1 located in Unit P of said Section 25.

(3) Shet the SE/H of dectrois 25 was dedicated to the Indie Richards Well Ho. I located in Unit P of said Section 25 upon its completion of in accordance with the provisions of statzwide Rule 104.

(4) That Oil Conservation Commission Order Ho. R-4600 issued on July 23, 1973, changed the spacing from 160 to 320 acres in the South Solt Lake - Morrow Mas tool which includes the subject well.

(4) Alat M. har heretofore

(5) That the applicant, failed to request administrative approval of a 160 acre monblandard unit under the provisions of order no. R-4600.

(6) That the subject well is a farticipating well in the dittle today that and farmation of a standard unit for said well at this time would require communitation of unityed and luon-unitied acreage.

Pollet the applicant cannot gain the required approval of the Commissioner of the Mulli States deological Survey for a three unit due to the equities involved in said unit and the late stage of depletion of the will.

(8) That if # the subject well was to be recomplited into any other desperance productive gas gone, community atrois could take place.

Section 25, Township 20 South, Rouge 52 bast do not object to the proposed nonstandard unit provided that a standard communitaried unit be framed at such time as the well is recompleted into another deep gas your of the superior age on other.

(10) What the application for the Mon-Standard Las Frontion Unit should be approved provided that such approval should terminate upon becompletion of the week in any other steep gas zone of Pennylvanian age or older,

- (1) That the entire non-standard proration unit may reasonably be presumed productive of gas from the South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool and that the entire non-standard gas proration unit can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by the aforesaid well.
- That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the gas in the South Salt Lake-Morrow Gas Pool, will prevent the economic loss saused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avail the augmentation of rick arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the South Salt
 Lake-Morrow Gas Pool comprising the SE/4 of Section 25, Township 20 South,
 Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, is hereby established and
 dedicated to its Audie Richards Well No. 1 located in Unit P of said Section 25.
- (2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that a Commission approval

& the non-standard gas provation unit about

terminate upon recomplation of the well in any
other deep gas zone of Pennsylvenian age or

older.