# Casa Mo.

642

Application, Transcript,
5 mall Exhibits, Etc.

CASE 642: Amerada 160-acre unorthodox gas proration unit, Blinebry Gas Pool

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 642 Order No. R-435

THE APPLICATION OF AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION, FOR AN ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF AN EXCEPTION PURSUANT TO RULE 7 (a) OF ORDER NO. R-372-A, IN ESTABLISHMENT OF AN UNORTHODOX GAS PRORATION UNIT OF 160 CONTIGUOUS ACRES CONSISTING OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE SOUTH HALF OF SECTION 16, TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM., LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, IN THE BLINEBRY GAS POOL.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a. m., on January 20, 1954, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission".

NOW, on this 16th day of April, 1954, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the records and testimony adduced, and being fully advised in the premises;

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due notice of the time and place of hearing and the purpose thereof having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this case and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That pursuant to provisions of Rule 7 (a) of Order No. R-372-A, the Commission has power and authority to permit the formation of a gas proration unit consisting of other than a legal quarter section after notice and hearing by the Commission.
- (3) That applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, is the owner of an oil and gas lease in Lea County, New Mexico the land consisting of other than a legal quarter section, and described as follows, to-wit:

# TOWNSHIP 21 South, RANGE 37 East, NMPM. N/2 S/2 of Section 16

containing 160 acres, more or less.

(4) That applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, has a producing well on the aforesaid lease known as State "DA", No. 4, located 1980' from the South line and 660' from the East line of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 37 East.

- (5) That the aforesaid well was completed and in production prior to January 1, 1954, the effective date of Order No. R-372-A, and is located within the limits of the pool heretofore delineated and designated as the Blinebry Gas Pool.
- (6) That it is impractical to pool applicant's said lease with adjoining acreage in the South half of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, NMPM., and that the owners of adjoining acreage in said half section have not objected to the formation of the proposed proration unit of 160 acres.
- (7) That unless a proration unit consisting of applicant's aforesaid acreage is permitted, applicant will be deprived of the opportunity to recover its just and equitable share of the natural gas in the Blinebry Gas Pool.
- (8) That creation of a proration unit consisting of the aforesaid acreage will not cause but will prevent waste, will protect correlative rights, and is necessary to prevent confiscation of applicant's property.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for approval of an unorthodox proration unit consisting of the following described acreage

## TOWNSHIP 21 South, RANGE 37 East, NMPM. N/2 of S/2 of Section 16

be and the same is hereby approved, and a proration unit consisting of aforesaid acreage is hereby created and approved.

(2) That applicant's well, State "DA," No. 4, located in the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, NMPM., shall be granted an allowable from January 1, 1954 in the proportion that the above described 160 acre unit bears to the standard or orthodox proration unit for said pool, all until further order of the Commission.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman

E S WALKER Member

R. R. SPURRIER Secretary and Member

(SEAL)

#### April 27, 1954

Amerada Petroleum Corporation Attention: Mr. D. C. Capps Monument, New Mexico

#### Gentlemen:

We enclose signed copies of orders issued to cover your company's recent applications for permission to establish unorthodox gas proration units, as follows: -

Order R-435 in Case 642 R-436 in Case 643 R-437 in Case 645 R-438 in Case 646 R-439 in Case 650

Very truly yours,

W. B. Macey

WBM:nr

Monument, New Mexico December 9, 1953

Oil Conservation Commission State of New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico John &

DEC 1 3 1953

Re: Application for Unorthodox Gas Proration Unit, America

State "DA" Lease, Blinebry Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

By this letter of application Amerada Petroleum Corporation wishes to state the following:

- (1) That the N/2 of the S/2 of Section 16, T-21-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico is Amerada Petroleum Corporation's State "DA" Lease. The lease consists of 160 acres. The attached plat shows the subject tract, the other tracts in the section, and the wells located thereon.
- (2) That well No. 4 located 660 feet from the east and 1980 feet from the south lines of the section is a dually completed well in the Drinkard Pool and the Blinebry Gas Pool. The well was dually completed by authority of Oil Conservation Commission order R-304 dated April 10, 1953.
- (3) That the provisions of Rule 12 of Oil Conservation Commission Order R-372-A have been complied with for this well and lease.
- (4) That the applicant proposes that the N/2 of the S/2 of Section 16, T-21-S, R-37-E be established as a 160 acre gas proration unit in exception to Rule  $\gamma$  (a) of Order R-372-A.
- (5) That unitization of this tract with other tracts to form standard proration units is impractical.
- (6) That granting of this application will not interfere with the establishment of four 160 acre proration units with four reasonably spaced gas wells in this section 16.

Therefore, Amerada Petroleum Corporation requests that the Oil Conservation Commission set a certain day upon which this application may be heard and after said hearing establish the proration unit as proposed in this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

1: Wilch

District Superintendent

# NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Gas Well Plat

		D	ate
Operator	Lease		Well No.
e of Produc	ing Formation	P	ool
Acres Dedic	cated to the Well		
ECTION	16 TOWNSHI	$\gamma$	RANGE_3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	anolind	Gulf	
,	6 <b>\$</b> 6x	- 3●	4●
8		-	
	÷.	•	
! ●	4●	f •	2●
			5●
Si	ete "C" Ameri	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	d State E
	Amera	aua	
1 •	2 ●	3●	4 ⊚ •.
	State		
	Mid Cont	rinent	
1 •	٤ ●	30	4●
			5●
	State	: "15 "	
-	y that the information	given above is	true and complet
the best of r is gas well a	ny knowledge. dual completion? Na	me	
Yes X Ne answer to Q	o Po uestion 1 is Yes, arke	presenting	
any other du n the dedicat	ally completed wells Avi	dress	
YesN	o X (ove	r)	

13 South # 642 Conly )

# NEW MEXICO Gas Well Plat

### OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

			Date December	<u> </u>
	rporation S		427 . 13 %**	<u>lı</u>
Operator	Lea		Well N	
e of Producing	Formation Bline	bry	Pool Blineb	ry <i>C</i> ,
_				
Acres Dedicated	i to the Well	160		
ECTION16	TOWNS	HIP 21S	RANGE_	<u>37e</u>
Stanol	lind	Gu	olf	
1●	6 🕏 6x	3●	4●	
	- 67			
	<b>)</b> .	. [		
		3.50		
i •	4.			
		1	2●	
	a . "	<u> </u>	***	5⊕
State			ard State E	
	Am	erada		
		ţ		
1 ●	2 ●	3●	4.	• 5
	Sta	∍te"DA"		
		ontinent		
			•	
10	2.	3●	4●	
				5•
	.5%	ete "15"		-
	at the informati mowledge.	on given above	is true and cor	npiete
me best of miv *		Maria		
the best of my k				
the best of my k		Position		

#### INSTRUCTIONS

1.	Is this	gas	well	a	dual	completion?	•	Yes	No	
		-				-			 	•

2. If the answer to Question 1 is Yes, are there any other dually completed wells within the dedicated acreage? Yes \_\_\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_\_

A separate plat must be filed for each gas well, outlining the area dedicated to such well and showing the location of all other wells (oil and gas) within the outlined area.

Mail in duplicate to the district office for the district in which the well is located.

11/1/1

1 8 642 + 643

#### December 23, 1953

Stanolind Oil and Cas Co. Oil and Cas Building Fort Worth, Texas Oulf Oil Corporation P. O. Box 1290 Fort Worth 1, Texas

Attention: Mr. C. F. Bedford

Attention: Kr. Ernest E. Merkt, Jr.

Mid Continent Petroleum Corporation P. O. Box 381

Tulsa, Oklahoma

Attention: Mr. A. E. Pierce

Re: Applications for Unorthodox Gas Proration Units, Amerada State "DA" Lease, Blinebry Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

#### Gentlemen:

Attached please find our applications for unorthodox gas proration units in the Blinebry and Tubbs gas poels, Lea County, New Mexico.

The attached plat delineates our proposed unit and also leases of other companies within the section.

We suggest if you have objection to such units that you contact us prior to the Statewide hearing on January 20, 1954, in order that a satisfactory arrangement may be agreed upon, if possible.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Yours very truly,

AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Ву	J. P. Harmond
	J. P. Habmond

RSCIVE

attachments

Oil Conservation Commission State of New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Re: Application for Unorthodox Gas ) CASE Proration Unit, Amerada State ( NO. "DA" Lease, Blinebry Gas Pool, ) 642 Lea County, New Mexico. (

Gentlemen:

By this letter of application Amerada Petroleum Corporation wishes to state the following:

- (1) That the N/2 of the S/2 of Section 16, T-21-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico is Amerada Petroleum Corporation's State "DA" Lease. The lease consists of 160 acres. The attached plat shows the subject tract, the other tracts in the section, and the wells located thereon.
- (2) That Well No. 4 located 660 feet from the east and 1980 feet from the south line of the section is a dually completed well in the Drinkard Pool and the Blinebry Gas Pool. The well was dually completed by authority of Oil Conservation Commission Order R-304 dated April 10, 1953.
- (3) That the provisions of Rule 12 of Oil Conservation Commission Order R-372-A have been complied with for this well and lease.
- (4) That the applicant proposes that the N/2 of the S/2 of Section 16, T-21-S, R-37-E be established as a 160 acre gas proration unit in exception to Rule 7 (a) of Order R-372-A.
- (5) That unitization of this tract with other tracts to form standard proration units is impractical.
- (6) That granting of this application will not interfere with the establishment of four 160 acre proration units with four reascenably spaced gas wells in this section 16.

Therefore, Amerada Petroleum Corporation requests that the Oil Conservation Commission set a certain day upon which this application may be heard and after said hearing establish the proration unit as proposed in this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

(Sgd) AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION
(Sgd) By: D. C. Capps
District Superintendent

(The above named plat is on file in the office of Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico.)

New Mexico Oil & Gas Eng. Comm. Hobbs, New Mexico. Jan. 4, 1954

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

any With

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 642 Order No. R- 435

THE APPLICATION OF americal Petroleum Comporation,

FOR AN ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF AN EXCEPTIONATO RULE 7 (a) OF ORDER NO. R-372 AIN ESTABLISHMENT OF AN UNORTHODOX GAS PRORATION UNIT OF 160 CONTIGUOUS ACRES CONSISTING OF N/2 S/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Ronge 37 Cast, nmpm, Lea County, rew mexico. en the Blinebry Sas Pool.

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a. m., on Jonuary 20, 1954, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission".

NOW, on this day of Opul, 1954, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the records and testimony adduced, and being fully advised in the premises;

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due notice of the time and place of hearing and the purpose thereof having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this case and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That pursuant to provisions of Rule 7 (a) of Order No. R 372A, the Commission has power and authority to permit the formation of a gas proration unit consisting of other than a legal quarter section after notice and hearing by the Commission.
- (3) That applicant, Amenda Petraleum Comporation, is the owner of an oil and gas lease in Lea County, New Mexico the land consisting of other than a legal quarter section, and described as follows, to-wit:

M/2 S/2 at tection 16.

- (4) That applicant, Americal Detrateum Corporation has a producing well on the aforesaid lease known as Itale DA", no. 4, located 1980' from the South line and 660' from the Coat line of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Coat
- (5) That the aforesaid well was completed and in production prior to January 1, 1954, the effective date of Order No. R-372A, and is located within the limits of the pool heretofore delineated and designated as the Blenebry Gas Pool.
- (6) That it is impossible to pool applicant's said lease with adjoining acreage in the Louth half of Lection 16, Township 21 louth, Ronge 37 Cost. nmpm.

  and that the owners of adjoining acreage in said quarter sections have not objected to the formation of the proposed proration unit of 160 acres, but have expressly consented thereto.

- (7) That unless a proration unit consisting of applicant's aforesaid acreage is permitted, applicant will be deprived of the opportunity to recover his just and equitable share of the natural gas in the Blundbuy Gas Pool.
- (8) That creation of a proration unit consisting of the aforesaid acreage will not cause but will prevent waste, will protect correlative rights, and is necessary to prevent confiscation of applicant's property.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the application of Ameroela Petroleum Comporation of for approval of an unorthodox proration unit consisting of the following described acreage

TOWNSHIP  $\frac{37}{\text{SOUTH}}$ , RANGE  $\frac{37}{\text{EAST}}$ , NMPM.

N/2 of  $\frac{5}{2}$  of  $\frac{5}{2}$  cc. 7/0 n/6.

be Ano The same

is hereby approved, and a proration unit consisting of aforesaid acreage is hereby created and approved.

(2) That applicant's well, Late DA", No. 4., located in the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 16, Township 2/ South, Range 37 East, NMPM, shall be granted an allowable from January 1, 1954 in the proportion that the above described 160 acre unit bears to the standard or orthodox proration unit for said pool, all until further order of the Commission.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

R. R. SPURRIER, Secretary and Member

(SEAL)

BUFORE THE GEL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW LEXICO

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

Cases Ao. 312 643, 614, 646 317 & 618

(Consolidated)

January 20, 1954 Regular Hearing

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS

ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLDG.
PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

#### BEFORE THE OUT COUSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FO, ASW MEXICO

January 20, 1951

In the Matter of:

Amerada's application for approval of 160 acre unorthodox gas provation unit in the Blinebry Gas Pool: N/2 S/2 16-215-37E

Amerada's application for approval of a 160 acre unorthodox gas proration unit in the Tubb Gas Pool: X/2 S/2 16-215-37E

Amerada's application for approval of a 160 acre unorthodox gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool: N/2 N/2 9-205-37E

Cases No. 642, 643, 644, 646, 647 & 648 (Consolidated)

Amerada's application for approval of a 160 acre unorthodox gas provation unit in the Eucont Gas Fool: W/2 W/2 12-20S-36E

Amerada's application for approval of a 160 acre unorthodox gas provation unit in the Eucont Gas Pool: E/2 NW/4 and W/2 NE/4 12-205-368

Amerada's application for approval of a 160 acre unorthodox gas proration unit in the Embort Gas Pool: E/2 SW/4 and W/2 SE/4 12-205-36E

(Notice of Publication read by Mr. Graham)

MR. SPURRIER: We will hear Cases 612, 613, 611, 616, 617 and 648 at this time.

#### <u>w. G. A B B G T T</u>

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### By MR. WOODWARD:

- W. Mr. Abbott, would you state your name and whore you live!
- A. W. G. Abbott, with Amerada Petroleum Corporation, at Monument,

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES
COURT REPORTERS
ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLDG.
PHONES 7-9645 AND 8-9846
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

-1-

New Moxico.

- . In what capacity are you employed, 'hr. Abbott?
- A I am District Engineer.
- Q Are you Camiliar with Amerada's operations in Lea County, New Mexico?
  - A Yes. I am.
- Q Mave you previously testified before this Commission as a petroleum engineer?
  - A Yes, sir.
    - MR. WOODWARD: Are his qualifications acceptable?
    - MR. SPURRIER: They are.
- $\Omega$  Mr. Abbott, I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit A in Case 642, will you tell what it is, please?
- A This is a plat showing our unorthodox unit, it consists of the north half of the south half of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 37 East.
  - Q Will you point out Amerada's ownership on that map?
  - A Yes, we own this north half of the south half of the section.
  - & Which is the proposed unit?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Will you identify the unit well on the proposed unorthodox unit?
- A Yes, sir, our well is the State D-A4, which is located in the northeast quarter of this section.
  - In what comen source or sources has this well been complete!?
- A This well was originally drilled to the Brinkard Zone and recently it was and confloted to the Blinday Gas Zone.

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS

ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLOG.

PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9846

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

- Q Mave Form C101 and 110 been filed with the required plat for the proposed unit well?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Mr. Abbott, I hand you what has been warked as Exhibit B. Will you tell what it is, please?
- A Exhibit B is a letter to the offset operators in this section in which it states if there are any objections they will contact us prior to the hearing.
- Q Mr. Abbott, was that addressed to the offset operators to the unit or to all operators in the section?
  - A That is all operators in the section.
- MR. WOODWARD: We ask that Exhibits A and B be submitted and received in evidence.
  - MR. SPURRIER: Without objection they will be admitted.
  - MR. WOODWARD: The applicant's next case is 643.
- Q Mr. Abbott, I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit A in Case 643, will you tell what it is, please?
- A This Exhibit is a plat showing our unorthodox proration unit, consisting of the north half of the south half of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 37 East.
- Will you identify the area under lease to Amerada in that section?
- A Yes, this is the same area that was taken in at the previous hearing, or previous case. It is the north half of the south half of the section.
- 4 You stated that this well has been completed, or proposed to complete this well in more than one corner source?

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COUNT REPORTERS

ROOM 105-105, EL CORTEZ BLDG.
PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

- A Yes, this is a Drinkard Well and we are going to dual complete that in the Tubb Base Pav.
  - the When was approval for dual completion of this well granted?
  - A Well, let's see, it was received November 13, 1953.
- Was notice of this application of this case sent to the same operators in the section?
  - A Yes, sir.
- as Exhibit A in Case 644, will you tell what it is?
- A This proposed unorthodox unit consists of the north half of the north half of Section 9, Township 20 South, Range 37 East.
- Q Is Amerada's ownership the same as the area covered by the proposed unit?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Will you identify the unit well on this proposed unit?
- A The well is located in the center of the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter of the section.
  - & In what common source, or sources has this well been completed?
- A It is completed now in the Eumont Gil Pay and we propose to dual complete this in the Eumont Gas Pay Queen Seven Rivers Gas Pay.
- We has approval for these dual completions been granted hereto ore by the Commission?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - @ When was that approval granted?
  - A I don't believe I have the date on that, Ar. Woodward.
- Q Well, we will withdraw the question. Mr. Abbott, I hand you what has been marked Exhibit B in Case 644, will you tell what it is,

please?

A This is a letter to all the other operators in this section, proposing our application for unorthodox gas unit.

MR. WOODWARD: We offer Exhibits A and B in evidence.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection they will be admitted.

MR. EVERETT: Mr. W. H. Everett, representing the Chio Cil Company, Houston, Texas. My company is the operator of the south half of the north half of this section involved in application in Case No. 644. We urge the Commission to approve the application, we did not have an opportunity to answer the letter you just referred to, Even though it might appear what we call a long 160 we think it is appropriate in this case as we think similar applications to the south might be appropriate as concerns our company.

MR. SELINGER: On behalf of Skelly Company, who owns the entire south half of Section 9, we have no objections to granting of the exception to Amerada, nor of the granting of any exception to the Chio Company.

orthodox unit. We recognize any time you are asking for unorthodox unit as to shape that contains 160 acres it is going to leave one other in that tract. I might make this general statement, with respect to all our applications, we are, of course, in sympathy with a formation of a second, necessary second unorthodox unit.

The next case is 646, Mr. Abbott, and I hand you what has been marked Exhibit a in Case 646, will you tell what it is, please?

A Yes, sir, this is a plat showing our proposed unorthodox unit. This unit consists of the west half of the west half of Section 12,

Township 20 South, Range 36 Hast.

- w Will you identify the unit well on this proposed unit?
- A Yes, sir, this well is located in the center of the northwest quarter of the northwest quarter, Section 12.

MR. WOOMARD: Exhibit A is offered in evidence.

- A This Exhibit B is a letter to the remaining operators in this section who like our proposal for the unorthodox gas provation unit.
  - Will you describe the area of Amerada's ownership in that unit?
- A Yes, sir, we have the, the west half of the section. You just want this one unit?
  - Q Just Amerada's total ownership in that section.
- A We have the entire west half of the section and also the west half of the east half of this Section 12.

MR. WOODWARD: Exhibit B is offered in evidence.

MR. SPURRIER: Without objection they will both be received.

- Q Mr. Abbott, when was this proposed unit well completed as a gas well?
- A This well was completed, recompleted as a gas well, December 19, 1952.
- $^{\circ}$  4 Had Forms C104 and 110 been filed with the required plat for these proposed unit wells?
  - A Yes, sir.
- R The next case is 647. I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit A in Case 647, will you tell what it is?
- A Yes, Sir, this is a plat showing our proposed proration unit consisting of the east half of the northwest quarter and the west half of the northeast quarter, Section 12, Township 20 South, Range

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS

ROOM 105-106, EL CORYEZ BLDG.
PHONES 7-9845 AND 3-9546
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

30 Bast.

- 4 This is the same section as involved in the previous case?
- A Yes, sir, absolutely.
- a Will you identify the unit well on the proposed unit?
- A Yes, we propose to dual complete our No. 1 Well on this unit.

  It is located in the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the section.
- When was approval for completing this well, dually completing it as a gas well, granted?
  - A I don't believe I have that date.
- Q We will withdraw that one. Mr. Abbott, was notice sent to the same operators within this section that notice was sent to in Case 646?
  - A Yes, sir, it was, Sunray Oil Company.
    - MR. WOODWARD: Exhibit A in Case 647 is offered in evidence
    - MR. SPURRIER: Without objection it will be received.
- Q The next Case is 648. Mr. Abbott, I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit A in Case 648, will you tell what it is?
- A This Exhibit shows our location of this unorthodox gas proration unit. It consists of the east half of the southwest quarter and the west half of the southeast quarter, Section 12, Township 20 South, Range 36 East.
- This is the same section as involved in the two provious cases?
  - A Yes, sir.
- To your knowledge, notice was sent to the other operators in the section?

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

court reporters

ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLDG.

PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

-7-

- A Yes, str.
- ¿ Will you identify the unit well on this proposed unit?
- A The well we propose to dually complete is one No. 1 Byrd Well, which is located in the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter, Section 12, Township 20 South, Range 36 Bast.
- Q Has approval heretofore been granted for dually completing this well?
  - A Yes, sir, we received the permit November 13, 1953.

    IR. WOODWARD: Exhibit A in Case 648 is submitted in evidence.
- have been consolidated, in your opinion is it practical to communitize protions of the acreage covered by these applications with other lands to form orthodox proration units, or form proration units consisting of a quarter section legal subdivision?
  - A No, sir, it would not be practical.
- Q Would the granting of these applications interfere, in your opinion, with the establishment of four 160 acre provation units in each of the sections in which they are located?
  - A No, sir.

4-80, ale.

- Q It will not interfere?
- A It will not interfere.
- Q In your opinion will the granting of these applications tend to increase average well density in any of these sections?
  - A No, sir, it will not.
- In your opinion will the granting of these applications in any manner cause waste or projudice the correlative rights of any interested person in those fields?

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS

ROOM 105-106. EL. CORTEZ BLDG.
PHONES 7-9848 AND 5-9846
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

**-** ≥5 -

MR. WOUDMARD: That is all.

M. SPURRER: Anyone have a question of the witness? If not the witness may be excused. Anyone have further comment in these cases? If not we will take the cases under advisement and move on to Case 599.

(Witness excused)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
COUNTY OF BERNALILLE )

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing on the consolidation of Cases 642, 643, 644, 616, 617 and 648, before the Gil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, on January 20, 1954, is a true and correct record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED at Albuquerque, New Mexico, this 26th day of January, 1954.

Ada Dearnley

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COURT REFORTERS

ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BL.CG.
PHONES 7-9645 AND 8-9346
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO