# Casa Mo.

662

Rophication, Transcript, 5 mall Exhibits, Etc.

Z

662: Cities Service Oil Co. hodox Gas Froration Unit

## BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the application of Cities Service Oil Company for an exception to Rule 7 (a) of Order No. N-370-A to permit the establishment of an unorthodox gas proration unit consisting of 160 acres, composed as follows: NE/4 NE/4 Section 8, and W/2 Se/4 and SE/4 SE/4 Section 5, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, LeaCounty, New Mexico, in the Eumont Gas Pool, and for assignment of a full 160-acre allowable thereto.

Case No. 662

(Notice of Publication read.)

MR. SPURRIER: The case next on the docket is 662.

MR. MASSEY: A. J. Massey, Cities Service. We want to make a request of the Commission that the case 662 be dismissed.

MR. SPURRIER: Is there objection to Mr. Massey's motion. Without objection the Commission will dismiss the case.

#### CERTIFICATE

I HERERY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of hearing in Case 662 before the Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, on February 17, 1954, is a true and correct record of the same to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Ada Dea

ADA DEARNLEY & ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS

ROOM 105-106, EL CORTEZ BLDG.

PHONES 7-9645 AND 5-9546

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTÁ FE, NEW MEXICO

March 23, 1954

Cities Service Cil Company Box 97 Eobbs, New Hexico

Attention: Mr. H. E. Massey

Gentlemen:

RE: OCC Order R-418

We enclose signed copy of the Commission's order for dismissal issued in Case No. 662.

Very truly yours,

W. B. Hacey Chief Engineer

WERIER

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 662 Order No. R-418

THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CITIES SERVICE OIL COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO RULE 7 (A) OF ORDER R-370-A TO PERMIT THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN UNORTHODOX GAS PRORATION UNIT CONSISTING OF 160 ACRES, COMPOSED AS FOLLOWS: NE/4 NE/4 SECTION 8, AND W/2 SE/4 AND SE/4 SE/4 SECTION 5, TOWN-SHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, IN THE EUMONT GAS POOL, AND FOR ASSIGNMENT OF A FULL 160-ACRE ALLOWABLE THERETO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION FOR DISMISSAL

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on February 17, 1954, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 1/1/2 day of March, 1954, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered applicant's request for dismissal as presented at the above hearing,

FINDS: That said motion is well taken, and

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case 662 be, and the same hereby is dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

22 Free

EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman

R.R. Smissus

R. R. SPURRIER, Member and Secretary

SEAL

CITIES SERVICE OIL COMPANY
Box 97
Hobbs, New Mexico

The comments of the

DEC 2 1953 December 24, 1953

Oil Conservation Commission State of New Mexico Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Application of Cities Service Oil
Company for Approval of an Unorthodox
Gat Unit Embracing 160 Contiguous
Acres in the Eumont Gas Pool, Lea
County, New Mexico

#### Gentlemen:

Comes now Cities Service Oil Company, hereinafter called "applicant", and respectfully requests the Oil Conservation Commission of the State of New Mexico to approve an unorthodox gas proration unit lying wholly within the limits of the Eumont Gas Pool, namely the NE/4 NE/4 Section 8 and the W/2 SE/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 Section 5, T2OS, R37E, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, and in support thereof does state:

- 1. That the Applicant is the sole owner of all lease on the 160 acres confined by the boundaries of the NE/4 NE/4 Section 8 and the W/2 SE/4 and the SE/4 SE/4 Section 5, T2OS, R37E, N.M.P.M., and concerns but a single royalty owner.
- 2. That the Applicant's Laughlin Well No. 5 is located 2310' from the East Line and 330' from the South Line of the section, and is completed within the vertical limits of the Eumont Gas Pool as defined by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.
- 3. That the Applicant's dually completed well is capable of production from the Lumont Gas Pool.
- 4. That a plat showing the above described lands and all offset properties indicating well locations and lease ownership to the best of our knowledge is hereto attached.
- 5. That there are no other gas wells in the SE/4 and unitization of the Applicant's 120 acres with the NE/4 SE/4 Section 5, T20S, R37E, N.M.P.M., does not seem feasible.
- 6. That there are no gas wells in the NE/4 of Section 8, T20S, R37E, with which the Applicant's 40 acres may be unitized. The Applicant feels that Laughlin No. 5 located in Section 5, T20S, R37E, will drain the full 160 acres lying in Sections 5 and 8. That considerable expense has been involved in drilling and completing said well and that it should receive full unit allowable. That the applicant should not be required to drill an additional well in order to receive an allowable for the 40 acres located in the NE/4 NE/4 Section 8, T20S, R37E, when said lease can be drained with the present gas well.

The above-mentioned plat is on file in the OCC office in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Respectfully submitted, CITIES SERVICE OIL COMPANY

cc: NMOCC - Hobbs

H. E. Massey, District Petroleum Engineer

# PLAT SHOWING LOCATION OF ASSIGNED ACREACE

Ohio  Texas  2				C/L	•				
					Sael	11 , •	1/•	Noien +	
Jess 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Texa	<del>3</del> 8				1	l
Jess 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ohio	f			2.0	_	R	1	
JM Cooper Tidewater Humble  Indicated Humble  Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Humble Indicated Ind			/ •	2 ♦	Z	-	1 D *	1	1
18	5●	2 •	, •			.16/1	Cooper		1
9.00  JNN Cooper Oit Serv Sun Sun  2. Noten + Byrom  3. Noten + Byrom  2. Noten + By		ļ.		• '			Humble	7	1
Solution   Section   Sun   S		j		.*		Tidewater	170000	/ ●	1
10	/	( '-			1			Molen t	
J.W. Cooper Cit. Serv. Sun Sun  Sun  Sun  Sun  Sun  Sun  Sun		į			1	/ •	/ /	1000	1
J.W. Cooper Sun	1		3.0	4.				20110	
Serving Sun	9 000		1	and the second of the control of the			/i		-1
Cit Serv Sun Sun 2 5 6 Byrom 2 6 6 4	1 ′		1	4.0 05		_} .	4	Nolen +	1
10	1		$5 \frac{Jh}{Jh}$	1. 600,001	Sin	1	1	Syrom	1
Bertha Bather  Amerada Anderson Prichard  Adkins Britt-B  Sinclair. Cont.  Britt-B  Britt-B  Bertie Whitmire  Tidewater  Stanolind  Amerada  Stanolind  Stanolind  Service Coult  Coult  Coult  Cont.  Coult  Cont.  Cont.  Cont.  Cont.  Coult  Cont.	,	•	Cit Serv	SUR	00	. l		2 •	
Bertha Barber Amerada Anderson Prichard  Britt-B  Britt-B  Britt-B  Bertia Barber  Adkins   Smith B   Stanolind   Stanolind    Amerada   Stanolind   Stanolind   Stanolind    Barber   Stanolind   Sta	Ì		1	<b>)</b>	1	2 •	3 •		
Rer tha Bat, ber DL. Laughlin D			1	2 •	/ •	1			<b>\</b>
Rertha Bailer  Amerods  Amerods  Prichard  2  3  2  3  Humble- Laughlin  Adkins  Sinclair, Cont.  8  1  Britt-B  6  Britt-B  8  Bertie Whitmire  Tidewater  In 2  Tidewater  Stanolind  Io 3  Barber  Stanolind  Io 3  Cult  Io 3  Io 4  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 4  Io 4  Io 4  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 4  Io 4  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 4  Io 4  Io 5  Io 4  Io 7  Io 8  Io 7  Io 8  Io 8  Io 10	10 • 6	4 •				1		•	. 1
Bertha Bather  Amerada Anderson Prichard  Adkins Britt B  Sinclair. Cont Britt-B  Br			1	DI Lough	In DL.	Laughin_			1
Amerada Anderson Prichard 2 3 4 Humble- Taughtin Adkins Britt-B 5 DL Laughtin Anierada S  Sinclair: Cont 2 Gulf 2 4 1 2 3 4 5 7 10 10 4 10 2 10 3 4 10 4 10 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Parker	1	DL. Laugh					1
Adkins Britt-B 5 DL Loughlin Anierada  Sinclair: Cont. Gulf Britt-B 6 Ohio  100 7* 5 NL Sughlin Anierada  1	Bertha	Jarioti			1			2	· . 1
Adkins Britt B 5 DL Laughlin M.F. Laughlin Laughlin 20  Adkins Britt B 5 DL Laughlin M.F. Laughlin Anierada  Sinclair: Cont. C	Amerada	Anderson				2.3	4 .		
Adkins 28 ritt B 5 D.L. Laughlin M.F. Laughlin Anierada S.  Sinclair, Cont. 2 Gulf  Britt B 6	2 •		2.0	3 ♦	2.	•	i .	Humble"	T
Adkins   Britt - B   S   D. Laughlin   Anierada   S	/ •	/♥	2 •				t Lin	Laughlin	
Adkins Britt-B			5 0	. i - Liin		M. F. Laugi	9/1/2		
Sinclair:   Cont.   Colf		Britt-B	$\mathcal{L}^{2}$	L Laugnin		Anie	rada		1 2
Sinclair.   Con.   2			Culf		<b>\</b>		11.11	1	<b>\</b>
1	Sinclair	Cont.	.			2 🛎	3 ●		- L
Britt-B   6	8 4	1		4.	/ 🕶	2 7			
Beitt-B   6		1 7 字	2.		l'		3		
Britt-B			6.		1	_			
10		D ++-	P				hio		<u> </u>
10		Britis	2_1	#-					1
10	1				1	2 🛦	3 €	, 4•	
Barber Stanolind  3 Bertie Whitmire Tidewater  4 5 7 9 **  Barber Stanolind  1 3 3 4 5 6 8 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6 8	100 7*	5 •		1	/ •	- <del>-</del> -			1
Barber Stanolind  1. 3. Amerada  Barber Stanolind  1. 3. 3. 4. 5. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.		3 ●	707	, ,	1	1.5	- The NI	-unhlin	
10   3	i	_					9/1/2	augmin	
10   3			o Be	rtie Whitmir	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SA	kelly		
10   3   10   2   10   4   3   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7	Y		O ==	Tidewater					
Barber Stanolind  10 **  Stanolind  10 **  Van Etten			03		1	1	. 5	•	
Barber Stanolind  2 • John Stanolind  Van Etten	// ● 3 ●		1 .	2 •	/ / •	· ·	-	7 •	
Barber Stanolind  10 **  Stanolind  10 **  Van Etten		/ / •		<b>▼</b>	•	- <b>a</b> ke			
Barber Stanolind  10 **  Stanolind  10 **  Van Etten			- 1	C-	·	· 本			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Stanolind  1  2	'	1					••	. باد مد	- 1
Stanolind  1. 2. 3. 6. 8.  Van Etten	Barber	6		Gult			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1
1. 2. Van Etten	Stanolin	d	1	0.0.			6	• 8 ●	1
1. Van Etten	.89	- (			2	•	·		1
Van Etten	⊈ر ا	2 •		•	1	9.	<i>-11</i>	4	
1 /24000			. 1 **	4 -	. 1 .	Va	n Etten		
		4 4-40	con	Anderson			• *		

## Cas Wells in Eumont Gas Pool

- Dual Creyburg Seven Rivers-Queen
   Dual Paddock Queen
   Queen

- O Queen-Seven Rivers

  Yates-Seven Rivers