# 

974

Application, Transcript, Small Exhibits, Etc.

Conoco Atlantic n wable 禁ぐし (D) 8-1 State <u>itate</u> dumble \*\* 1 8-7 Lockhart TTCo. S40 140 BB a Uula -3 19 80 CS TState 21 Conoco Cities Service nDnLate Lockhart Rector State State Conoco Gulf ₩ B-1 Y, SR Law Palulab Janda 8-50-0

> EXHIBIT I
> The Texas Company
> J. K. Rector Lease
> Well No. 4
> EUMONT GAS POOL
> Lea County, New Mexico
> 10-28-55 10-28-55 Scale: 1"=20001

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSCINUTION COM FISION
SAUTA FE, NEW MEXICO ,
EXHIBIT OF

Memo "1/9/58
Re: Case # 974
Two

So shed and write
for approval as a narmal
NSP across Reckon lines

Www

BEFORE THE

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE NO. 974

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ADA DEARNLEY AND ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS
605 SIMMS BUILDING
TELEPHONE 3-6691
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION STATE OF NEW MEXICO Hobbs, New Mexico

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of the Texas Company for approval of 120 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to consist of SW/4 SE/4, Section 30, and N/2 NE/4, Section 31, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, and to be dedicated to applicants Rector Well No. 4, SW/4 SE/4, Section 30.

Case No: 974

Before: HEARING EXAMINER WARREN MANKIN

# TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

HEARING EXAMINER MANKIN: We will then proceed with Case 974, application of Texas Company. Would all the witnesses that are to be presented in the case and give testimony, stand and be sworn?

(Witnesses sworn.)

HEARING EXAMINER MANKIN: Proceed.

MR. L. W. FOLMAR: Mr. Examiner, I am L. W. Folmar, F-o-la-m-a-r from the Texas Company at Forth Worth. This is an application of the Texas Company to form a 180, excuse me, 120 acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be assigned to its J. K. Rector Well No. 4. This unit will traverse a section line. Also, we are requesting that the common boundary between the Jalmat Gas Pool and the Eumont Gas Pool, which at the present time bisects the Texas Company J. K. Rector Lease to be re-defined so that the south 80 acres of this lease, which is presently included in the Jalmat Gas pool, will in the future be in the Eumont Gas Pool.

Now, I would like to present Mr. J. A. Schaffer, our first witness here.

# J. A. SCHAFFER

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

# By: MR. FOHMAR:

- Q Will you state your name, please?
- A J. A. Schaffer.
- Q By whom are you employed? A Texas Company.
- Q In what position?
- A Petroleum engineer in the Midland Office.
- Q Are you a graduate of an accredited engineering school?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Commission in New Mexico before?

  A Yes, I have.
  - MR. FOHMAR: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?
    HEARING EXAMINER MANKIN: They are.
- Q Mr. Schaffer, have you made a study of the Texas Company
  J. K. Rector Lease and the area around it?
- A Yes, I have. I have looked into the structual position of the acreage in relationship to surrounding acreage and also as to completion intervals both originally and at the present time in the existing gas well in that area.
- Q Mr. Schaffer, I hand you what is marked as Texas Company Exhibit No. 1. Will you explain to the Examiner what that consists of?
- A Exhibit 1 is a plat which shows sections 29, 30, 31 and 32 of Township 21 South, Range 36 East, and also indicates the proposed

non-standard gas proration unit colored in yellow and the rest of our Rector lease, which is outlined by a single yellow line on the lease line. In addition, it shows the common boundary between the Jalmat Gas Pools and Eumont Gas Pool as it exists at the present time. Also, it shows to the best of my knowledge, the producing gas wells in that immediate area.

Q Mr. Schaffer, how many wells are there on the Texas Company J. K. Rector Lease?

- We have three at the present.
- Would you name those wells and give their location?
- All right. We have three wells. Rector No. 3 is located in the northwest quarter of the southeast quarter. It is a gas well producing from Seven Rivers.
  - Q Would you give the Section and Township?

A Section 30, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, gas well producing from Seven Rivers, has assigned 80 acres, namely the north half of the southeast quarter of Section 30, then, well No. 2 is located in the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 30, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, and it is also a gas well producing from the Seven Rivers and has assigned to it 40 acres. Well No. 4 is located in the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 30, and at the present time has assigned 40 acres to it for gas allowable purposes. That is the well which we wish to add the additional 80 acres, representing the north half of the northeast quarter of Section 31, Township 21 South, Range 36 East.

Were these wells originally completed as oil wells?

repl.

Yes, they were originally completed as oil wells. They were oil wells producing from the Queen, and they were plugged back

because of excessive water encroachment.

Q What is the present producing zone of each of these three Texas Company Oil Wells?

A At the present time they are producing from what I would call the Middle Seven Rivers.

Q And is that within the designated vertical limits of the Eumont Pool as defined by the Commission's Order R-520?

A Yes, it is.

Q Mr. Schaffer, do you have a record on the Yates tops of each of these wells? A Yes, I do.

Q Would you please state what those are for the record?

A Well No. 2 has Yates top of 3,198, which on the subsea basis is plus 406. Well No. 3, 3,206, or a plus 429. That is a sample top. The other two are logged, picked from the logs, and No. 4, Yates top 3,166, plus 454.

Q In regard to the 80 acres which is the north one-half of the northeast quarter of Section 31, Township 31 South, Range 36 East, in your opinion, is that 80 acres, would you consider it reasonably productive of gas from the Seven Rivers and Yates

A Yes, our structual interpretation would make it in my estimation gas production.

Q To your knowledge, is there any permeability varience within the 120 acres proration unit which is being proposed by this appliqu-A To my knowledge, none.

The 80 acres to the south of the Texas Company Lease, which is the south one-half of the northeast quarter of Section 31, has that 80 acres been assigned to a gas well producing from the Jalmat

Gas Pool?

A Yes, it has. It has been assigned to the Late Rector Well No. 1.

Q The 160 acres, being the northwest quarter of Section 31, has that lease been assigned to a gas well producing from the Jalmat Gas Pool?

A Yes, it has been assigned to Continental Oil Company Lockhart B-6.

Q In your opinion, will the Texas Company J. K. Rector Well
No. 4 drain the full 120 acre unit which we propose to assign to
it?

A Yes, it will.

Q Mr. Schaffer, do you know any reason why, for administrative purposes, the 80 acres, the south 80 acres of the Texas Company Rector Lease should not be removed from the Jalmat Pool and placed into the Eumont Pool for regulation of gas production?

A No. sir.

MR. FOUMAR: I believe that is all Mr. Examiner.

HEARING EXAMINER MANKIN: Any other questions of the witness?

MR. J. W. GURLEY: Is it your understanding that this extension or revision of the Jalmat Gas Pool, or rather the Eumont Gas Pool is under the nomenclature case set up for November 16th at the Oil Conservation Commission Hearing?

A Yes, it was called to our attention today.

Q The decision upon the two cases will, or the decision upon that nomenclature case will affect this decision here, is that your understanding?

A Yes.

MR. GURLEY: Thatis all:

HEARING EXAMINER MANKIN: Any other questions of the witness?

If not, the witness may be excused. Did you have any other witnesses?

MR. FOLMAR: No.

HEARING EXAMINER MANKIN: I might say, to clarify the record; it was mentioned in this nomenclature case to delete the northeast quarter of Section 31, Township 21 South, Range 36 East and to extend the Eumont Pool to include that same 160 acres, being the northeast quarter of Section 31, will be heard and has been advertised under Case 979 Parts C and D for the November 16th Hearing to be held at 9:00 o'clock at Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Is there anything further in this case? If not, we will take the case under advisement and the hearing is adjourned.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 
SE

I, AMADO TRUJILLO, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Hobbs, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

amada Tiyilla Court Reporter TO BE HELD AT 1:30 O'CLOCK P. M., ON NOVEMBER 1, 1955, AT THE AUDITORIUM LOCATED IN THE OFFICES OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION, HOBBS, NEW MEXICO, BY FORE WARREN W. MANKIN, EXAMINER.

October 5, 1955

APPLICATION FOR HEARING TO INCREASE SIZE OF NON-STANDARD CAS PRORATION UNIT The Texas Company's

J. K. Rector Well No. 4, Eumont Gas Pool Lea County, New Mexico

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. W. B. Macey

#### Gentlemen:

The Texas Company wishes to extend a 40-acre non-standard proration unit to a 120-acre non-standard proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County. New Mexico. This application is made under Rule 5 (a) of Order R-520. A request is also made to extend the field limits of the Eumont Gas Pool to include the N/2 of NE/4 of Section 31, T-21-S, R-36-E. This area is presently in the Jalmat Gas Pool.

In support of these requests, the following data are furnished:

- 1. The J. K. Rector Well No. 4 was completed May 4, 1937 at a total depth of 3,950 feet with an initial potential of 441 barrels of oil, 18.4 barrels of water, with a gas-oil ratio of 725 cubic feet per barrel of oil in nine and one-half hours, flowing without shot or acid. Water production increased until it was necessary to plug the well back. This work was performed in February, 1951. The well was plugged back to 3,786 feet and gas production was established through casing perforations from 3,758 feet to 3,785 feet. The well continued to make some water and the delivery pressure of the gas was not sufficient to meet the requirements of the gas purchaser. On August 18, 1952, a cast iron bridging plug was set at 3735 feet in the 7-inch casing and a calseal plug was built-up to 3726 feet. The casing was jet perforated from 3450 feet to 3565 feet, and this section acidized with 1000 gallons of 15 per cent acid. After workover, the well produced 1,885 MCF per day at 584 pounds per square inch tubing back pressure. The maximum build up was 669 pounds per square inch which was reached in two and one-quarter minutes after shut in. The absolute open flow was calculated at 3,150 MCF per day. The well is shut in from over production.
- 2. The proposed non-standard gas proration unit will consist of three contiguous quarter quarter sections as described below:

SW/4 of SE/4 of Section 30, T-21-S, R-36-E, NE/4 of NE/4 of Section 31, T-21-S, R-36-E, NW/4 of NE/4 of Section 31, T-21-S, R-36-E.

- 3. The entire proposed non-standard gas proration unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas.
- 4. The length or width of the proposed non-standard gas proration unit does not exceed 5,280 feet.
  - 5. The vertical limits of the Eumont Gas Pool includes the Yates, Seven

# CASE 974 - CONTOD.

Rivers and Queen formations. The Texas Company J. K. Rector Well No. 4 is completed in the middle and lower Seven Rivers and, therefore, satisfies the vertical limit requirement of the Eumont Gas Pool.

In view of the above facts, the Texas Company requests the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to set a date for hearing the subject application. It is preferred that this case be heard as soon as possible at an Examiner hearing at Hobbs, New Mexico.

Yours very truly,

THE TEXAS COMPANY, PROD. DEPT.

W. H. Hehn Petroleum Engineer

NEW MEXICO OIL& GAS ENGINEERING COMMITTEE HOBBS, NEW MEXICO October 14, 1955

# NEW MEXICO

Gas Well Plat

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

•	•				Dat	e 10-3-55	
	T	ne Texas	Company	J. К.	J. K. Rector		
	***		rator	Lease		Well No.	
	Name of Producing			Formation Seven Rivers Pool		1 Sumont	<del></del>
	N	o. Acres	Dedicated	to the Well 120	0		
		SECTIO	N 30 and	1 31 TOWNSH	IP 21-S	_RANGE_36-E	
		"A	II.	inental "A"		Atlantic (160)	
		(160	) Ą:6	(160)		State	
			A·G	A1 **	вы Humble В State 84 (80)	J-1 ** ** 3; 98	
		Lockha (80)	A-5	☆ TTCo. ** (80)	C.C. Pollard	Humble 6.7 (160) %a	
		"B"	# -8 P&A)	TTCo TTCo. Rector TTCo.	Humble State	State	T-21 <i>-</i> S
		Continental (160) Lockhart		Rector TTCo. (120)	Citi	es Service	
			B-⊌ XX SR	Late 011 Co. (80)	St.	ate	
			Cont'1	Continental	J. Moore	B-1 Gulf # 17.58	
			M. Lock	hart Per."	Pech Oil Co.	"Janda"	
			บ.ร.	U.S. R-	6-E St	ate	
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.  1. Is this gas well a dual completion? Name							
	Yes No Position Division Civil Engineer						
(over)							

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

December 30, 1955

Mr. W. L. Hahn
The Texas Company
P.O. Box 1720
Ft. Worth, 1, Texas

Dear Sirt



We enclose a copy of Order R-734 issued December 14, 1955, by the Oil Conservation Commission in Case 974, which was heard on November 1st in Hobbs, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,



W. B. Macey Secretary - Director

WBM:brp

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 974 ORDER NO. R-734

THE APPLICATION OF THE TEXAS COMPANY FOR AN ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF AN EXCEPTION PURSUANT TO RULE 5 (a) OF THE SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE EUMONT GAS POOL OF ORDER R-520 IN ESTABLISHMENT OF A NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT OF 120 CONTIGUOUS AGRES CONSISTING OF SW/4 SE/4 SECTION 30 AND R/2 NE/4 SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, N. M. P. M., LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

## ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This came came on for hearing at 1:30 o'clock p.m. on November 1, 1955, at Hobbs, New Mexico, before Warren W. Mankin, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico in accordance with Rule 1214 of Order R-661.

NOW, on this A day of December, 1955, the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered said application, transcript of testimeny and record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Warren W. Mankin, and being fully advised in the premises:

#### FINDS

- (1) That due notice of the time and place of hearing and the purpose thereof having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That pursuant to provisions of Rule 5 (a) of the Special Rules and Regulations of the Mamont Gas Peel of Order R-520, the Commission has power and authority to permit the formation of a gas provation unit consisting of other than a legal section after notice and hearing by the Commission.
- (3) That applicant, The Texas Company, is the owner of an oil and gas lease in Lea County, New Mexico, the land consisting of other than a legal section, a part of which is described as follows, to-wit:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, HMPM SW/4 SE/4 Section 30 N/2 NE/4 Section 31

(4) That applicant, The Texas Company, has a producing well on the aforesaid portion of said lease known as the J. K. Rector Well No. 4 located 1980 feet from the East line and 660 feet from the South line of Section 30, Township 21 South, Range 36 East.

- (5) That the aforesaid well was recompleted and in production prior to the effective date of Order No. R-520, and is located within the horisontal limits of the pool heretofore delineated and designated as the Emmont Cas Pool.
- (6) That at the time applicant submitted its application to the Germission, the N/2 NE/4 of Section 31, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, was within the herisontal limits of the Jalmat Gas Pool, but as a result of Order No. R-724, which followed the nomenclature hearing of November 16, 1955, said N/2 NE/4 of Section 31 is now part of the horisontal limits of the Remont Gas Pool.
- (7) That it is impractical to pool applicant's said lease with adjoining acreage in the Euront Cas Pool and that the owners of the adjoining acreage in said area have not objected to the formation of the proposed provation unit of 120 acres.
- (8) That unless a prevation unit consisting of applicant's aforesaid acreage is permitted, applicant will be deprived of the opportunity to recover its just and equitable share of the natural gas in the Euront Cas Pool.
- (9) That the creation of a proration unit consisting of the aforesaid acreage will not cause but will prevent waste, and will protect correlative rights.

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the application of The Texas Company for approval of a non-standard prevation unit in the Eumont Gas Pool consisting of the following acreage:

TOWNSHIP 21 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM SW/4 SE/4 Section 30 W/2 ME/4 Section 31

be and the same is hereby approved and a proration unit consisting of the aforesaid acreage is hereby created.

(2) That applicant's well, the J. K. Bector Well No. 4 located in the SW/4 SE/4 Section 30, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, in the Rumont Gas Pool, shall be granted an allowable in the proportion that the above described 120 acre unit bears to the standard or orthodox preration unit for said pool, all until further order of the Commission.

DCME at Santa Fe, New Mexico on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN F. SIMMS, Chairman

E. S. MALKER, Manborke

W. B. MACEY, Member and Secretary

