

CASE 1905: Application of HUMBLE for
an ~~oil-oil~~ dual of its STATE M-20
Well - Lea County.

Need
Application
2/5

Case No.

1905

Application, Transcript,
Small Exhibits, Etc.

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE 1905: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an oil-oil dual completion utilizing parallel strings of small diameter casing cemented in a common well bore. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its State M-20 Well, located 1930 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of Section 29, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Langlie-Mattix Pool and the production of oil from the Drinkard Pool through 2 7/8-inch casing and 4 1/2-inch casing respectively with said casing being cemented in a common well bore.

BEFORE:

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. UTZ: Case 1905.

MR. FLINT: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an oil-oil dual completion utilizing parallel strings of small diameter casing cemented in a common well bore.

MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton, Roswell, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the Applicant. I have one witness and ask that he be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 5-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO



MR. UTZ: Any other appearances in this case?

J. E. WILLINGHAM

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRATTON:

Q Will you state your name, by whom you are employed, and in what capacity?

A I am J. E. Willingham, I am employed by Humble Oil & Refining Company as senior supervising engineer, Midland, Texas.

Q Are you familiar with Case 1905 and the completion well in question?

A Yes, I am.

Q Have you previously appeared before this Commission as an expert witness?

A Yes, sir, I have.

MR. BRATTON: Are his qualifications acceptable?

MR. UTZ: Yes, sir.

Q (by Mr. Bratton) Mr. Willingham, will you state briefly what Humble is asking in Case 1905?

A Briefly, it is a request to make a multiple completion whereby we are running parallel strings with casing; rather than being concentric they are both outside each other, and it could be viewed as making a twin well in one bore hole.

Q ~~This is actually an oil-oil dual completion, one string~~



to produce from the Langlie-Mattix and one from the Drinkard Pool?

A Yes, sir, that's right.

Q And 2 7/8-inch casing is to be utilized above 5,000 feet?

A Yes, sir.

Q And 4 1/2-inch casing in the formation below 5,000 feet?

A That's right.

Q It is necessary to have the hearing because there is not a dual authorized within a mile of this well, is that correct?

A That's true.

Q And it also utilizes the 2 7/8-inch casing as one of the strings of tubing?

A Yes, sir, that is correct.

Q Now, Mr. Willingham, this has been utilized before, has it not?

A Yes, sir, and that's pointed out by this first sheet we have given. It shows there are fifty-eight multiple completions my company has made in the United States.

Q Those are tabulated; thirty-six duals, seventeen triples, and five quadruples?

A That's right. You'll notice we have the depth ranges on here to give you the multitude of conditions this could fall under.

Q And what has been the experience of your company with these multiple completed wells?



A It has been excellent. It is a good way to reduce your cost and reduce your future work-over problems. For example, you don't damage one reservoir while you are working on the other one.

Q Turning to Exhibit No 2, the economic analysis as to 2 7/8-inch casing completions, will you state what that shows?

A If you will recall when we had the hearing last year, we had told the Commission that we felt we could save sixteen percent by making single 2 7/8-inch completions, and if you'll notice on the three fields that we've worked in New Mexico, we've had an actual reduction of twenty-three percent showing that this was a realistic method of substantially reducing your cost.

Q Your experience has been better than you anticipated?

A Yes, it has, quite a bit better.

Q Refer now to the actual completion equipment methods and procedures and refer to your Exhibit 3 and refer to them as you wish in order to explain what you propose.

A On the third sheet, I'm not going to go into this, this gives you the detail of the wellhead, the tubing head and centralizers, and if I can skip over to the next sketch, it shows you a dual completion, christmas tree, and wellhead assembly. There are a multitude of these assemblies available, and this just happens to be one of the various types. There is nothing that is unusual about this, except you've got your casing hanger, dual hanger. The rest of your equipment is conventional. Skipping to the next sheet, this gives an illustration of one type of centralizer that



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

you can use too, the problem being when you are putting multiple strippings in one hole and getting the centralizers by each other, and they have been designed so that they are geared, and you can actually thread one centralizer through the other. There are other centralizers you can use, such as rubber centralizers where they will give with force, and you can force one with another one. We feel it is very important, of course, to have your pipe centralized to assure a good primary cement job.

Q Turning now to Exhibit No. 6, is this the procedure that you actually propose in this hole?

A Yes, this will be the procedure that is followed, and we had this illustrated graphically on the last sheet. If we might refer to that, I'll go over -- we'll set 9 5/8-inch surface pipe at 1200 feet, we'll cement that with eight percent jell cement. Then after we drilled our hole to 7,000 feet, we will run a 4 1/2-inch string and 2 7/8-inch string separately. They will not be tied to one another. And we will cement the 4 1/2 string through; we'll cement through that string, and also we'll cement through the 2 7/8-inch string to assure that we have cement. We'll complete the well, we'll complete the 2 7/8 in the Queen formation and the 4 1/2 in the Drinkard.

Q Now, what protection against communication does this give as compared to a standard dual completion in this particular case?

A You'll have 3,000 feet of cement separating the two zones, and being elastic, you have less chance of communication with this



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

type of completion than you do with a conventional completion because you've eliminated your mechanical communication. If you had a packer leakage, you could be in communication and not know it. And with this method, the only possible communication you would have would be with the primary cement failure.

Q How about producing capabilities? Would it lessen your producing capabilities in any regard?

A I feel that the 2 7/8-inch casing is far more than is needed to produce any present allowable or anticipated future allowable.

Q That would be your production from the Queen?

A From the Queen, right.

Q Is there anything else you care to say about the actual technique involved in this completion, Mr. Willingham?

A Mr. Bratton, what did you do with the Exhibit showing the perforating tool?

Q The Examiner has it. That's Exhibit No. 8. We do not have any extra ones of that.

A Basically, this technique and equipment has changed so rapidly it is hard to keep up to date on it. There has been -- first of all, we have, the problem is if you perforate one of the upper zones, you could perforate into the 4 1/2-inch casing, and you need some sort of tool. Say, a year and a half ago we were doing this mechanically with a recess and 2 7/8-inch casing, and then they came up with a tool where they ran a gamma ray detector down



one string, and you have a signaling device in your perforating gun, and by moving your line up and down you can jack your gun away until it is pointing away from the signal and fire, and that way you don't endanger shooting the other casing string. And about two months ago a new development came out where you don't have to use the gamma ray detector any more, but they can detect the mass of steel with a tool. It is a nuclear device; and use this same type of device to jack the gun away from the other string and fire. This has been used many times and it is a well developed tool. We are not having any trouble perforating our wells with it.

Q Now, Mr. Willingham, I believe the Examiner has Exhibit No. 9, which is a map of the area showing the slim hole 2 7/8-inch completion which you have in the area.

A The red arrow points to the 2 7/8-inch single completion in the area, and the well in which we will make a multiple is in between. That is just to show you that we have been active in that area with 2 7/8-inch completions.

Q Have you had any trouble with any of them?

A Not a bit.

Q Do you have anything else to say about your general experience with this type of completion, either the slim hole as a slim hole dual completion or twin well is actually what it is?

A I believe that the principal picture in 2 7/8-inch completion, both multiple and single, is that this will allow us to use our money and drill additional wells making -- the entire



purpose is to get our cost down so that we can use the money in developing additional production.

Q Has this been your actual experience?

A Yes, it has been. We've been able to drill more wells because of the cost.

Q With the same budget you just get more wells?

A That's true.

Q And in your experience with this technique, do you believe that it is in the interest of conservation, does it prevent waste?

A Yes, sir. Well, I don't say it prevents waste.

Q But no waste results?

A No, no waste results.

Q Anything else you care to say about this particular application?

A Well, I believe now, I think that the technique, it was well covered in our past Exhibits on 2 7/8-inch completion and the main problem has been perforating and centralizing, which we have already discussed.

Q Were Exhibits 1 through 9 prepared by you or under your supervision?

A Yes, sir, they were.

MR. BRATTON: We offer Exhibits 1 through 9 in evidence, and we have nothing further.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, they will be accepted.



(Thereupon, Humble's Exhibits 1 through 9 were received in evidence.)

MR. UTZ: Are there questions of the witness?

MR. PORTER: I have one.

MR. UTZ: Mr. Porter.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. PORTER:

Q Mr. Willingham, if the cost were the same, would you prefer this method to the old method?

A Yes, sir, I certainly would.

MR. PORTER: Thank you.

MR. ERREBO: I have a question, Mr. Examiner. Burns Errebo, appearing on behalf of Johnston and Shear.

QUESTIONS BY MR. ERREBO:

Q Mr. Willingham, are there several companies which make this particular type of directional perforate tool?

A Yes, sir, two.

Q Did you have in mind any particular type of tool which you represented on your Exhibit which you introduced a while ago?

A Well, I might say that we have to date used Schlumberger on our perforating simply because they came out with the equipment first, and they haven't had any competition till the last two or three months.

Q Are there any other companies that you know of that make the type that you recommend?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A No, sir, but I feel that there will be many companies that have it because it is a tool that is being licensed to other --

Q Do you know whether Lane Wells make such a tool?

A They don't make one similar to this. They make one that I mentioned before, that was developed just recently where they locate the mass of steel, and the advantage to that one is that you don't have to run a signal device in your other well to perforate. In other words, it just makes it that much simpler.

Q Did you state that Humble has made seventeen triple completions?

A Yes, sir, that's right.

Q Do they include gas-oil gas type of completion?

A Offhand, the footnote says that we've just got a multitude of conditions, salt water disposal wells, gas wells, gas injection wells.

Q Chances are, then, it does include that?

A Right.

MR. ERREBO: Thank you. That's all.

MR. UTZ: Mr. Nutter.

QUESTIONS BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Willingham, what size of a hole will you drill out under your 9 5/8-inch casing?

A This case, it will be -- Mr. Nutter, let me qualify this to say, when you let your contract, generally we give the contractor an option of what hole size he could drill, but in this case



Q Now, when you have come down the hole, is that going to provide an adequate seal for cementing the casing to separate the two zones?

A Yes, I feel certain I will. I have cemented several wells that way, and very successfully.

Q Do you use the centralizers that you mentioned in your third or fourth set of your Exhibit --

A Yes.

Q -- up and down the entire length of the strings?

A Yes, use it through the producing interval or anywhere we've got possible production we would use them.

Q Now, there would be centralizers located on the 2 7/8, and the 4 1/2 through the Queen interval, is that correct?

A Yes, sir, that's true.

Q And there would be centralizers on the 4 1/2 down through the Drinkard?

A Yes, sir.

Q Would there be any centralizing between there, the 4 1/2?

A I would say normally there would not.

Q How do you anticipate that you will cement the casing in this well? Will you cement down one string or both strings down simultaneously, or what will your cementing program consist of?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A We will cement our $4\frac{1}{2}$ first, and pump our plug down, and we would, in this case we would bring it down 600 feet above our uppermost productive interval.

Q In other words, you would bring the cement to 600 feet above the top of the Drinkard?

A Yes, sir. I don't want to tie myself down to that exact point, but it would be approximately that.

Q And then there would be no more cement on the first stage, then?

A No, sir.

Q And then your second stage of cement would consist of pumping cement down the $2\frac{7}{8}$?

A Yes, and then you would bring the cement up a like amount.

Q Does one cement job immediately follow the other job?

A Yes, they would be simultaneous with the same truck.

Q So by the time your cement comes down the $2\frac{7}{8}$, the cement on the $4\frac{1}{2}$ hasn't set yet?

A That is right.

Q And you would pump enough cement down the $2\frac{7}{8}$ to bring the top of the cement up to approximately 2800 feet?

A Yes, sir, that's true. It would be 600 from 4000 it would be 3400 feet, approximately. See, the $2\frac{7}{8}$ is set at 4000 feet, and you would bring it up about 300, 400 feet above that.

Q You mean you wouldn't use enough cement to bring the



top of the cement to 2800 feet, as indicated on Exhibit 7?

A No. I'm incorrect. It would be at 2800 feet, yes, that's the plan. The reason I was confused, on some of our wells we have not brought it up that high, but the district obviously wanted to cover an interval here is the reason they brought it that high.

Q Mr. Willingham, has Humble had any experience in which they've attempted slim hole completions, using tubing for casing string in areas where there were plastic formations or heaving formations that might tend to collapse the casing?

A Well, let me answer it this way. We have 2 7/8-inch completion in the West Texas-New Mexico area in twenty-six fields, and I believe it covers the range of conditions that you would find to a depth of 5000 feet.

Q In this particular area, the Langlie-Mattix and Drinkard area that is under consideration here, are there any known formations that have a tendency to collapse casing?

A To answer that honestly, I don't know. I've heard that we have had or some operators have had troubles in the salt sections from anhydric ledges breaking off and causing the tubing to collapse. However, I don't feel that there would be any more danger of this happening in 2 7/8 than it would in conventional casing.

Q Would that 9 5/8 surface casing set at 1200 feet go through the salt section?



A I'm not positive of that, but I don't believe so.

Q What is your intention, Mr. Willingham, to run tubing from the 4 1/2-inch casing to produce the Drinkard?

A Yes, sir.

Q What size tubing?

A In all probability, it would be 2-inch.

Q That's standard 2-inch tubing?

A Yes, sir. We have been running inch and 1/2 in our 4 1/2.

Q Would artificial lift be possible in both zones?

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Willingham, what means do you have to test, to assure that you have an adequate cement job which is separating effectively the Queen and the Drinkard formations?

A When you get ready to perforate, the zone in which you are not perforating, you'll pressure that zone up to a given amount.

Q With surface pressure?

A Yes, sir, with surface pressure, and then when you perforate the other zone, you observe your pressure on that string, and if you have communication, it will show up instantly.

Q Which normally is the first zone that you perforate, the upper or lower?

A We have done it both ways. I would say normally, though, we perforate lower first.

Q Is there any need for any subsequent test, after the



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

initial pressure test on perforating the second zone, is there any need for any subsequent test to assure that communication is prevented later on down the road?

A Well, if you had a small leak, it is possible that you wouldn't observe it with the technique that we've just discussed. And I don't want to pin myself down to any specific thing on this well, unless you so designate, but in New Mexico, don't we normally run a packer leakage test?

Q Yes, sir, but we don't have a packer in this well.

A But you could still --

Q You could still run a test similar to the packer leakage test?

A Yes, sir, by having your pressure connection tied in to each string.

Q And this would indicate the presence of tubing, leaks in the tubing or leaks through the casing?

A Yes, sir.

Q And this pressure test could be run annually or whatever would be required to assure that no leakage did occur?

A That's true.

Q Which is considered the more reliable, the method in which you run a gamma ray source in one string of tubing and a detector in the other string, or the method in which you run the source and the detector in a single string and depend on the thing to find the mass in the middle?



A The last I heard, we had not perforated but one well using the new technique, and we haven't had enough experience to say, but we know that this technique which we will probably use because the other tubing isn't available in this area, we know it has been very successful.

Q What is the principle here? You have your detector in place of one string of tubing, and do you rotate the source around and take continuous readings until you find the point at which the maximum reading occurs?

A What you've got, you've got a logging device at the surface, and as you rotate, move your line up and down, it signals on your logging device, and you will know from the logging device. When you are pointed, that shows you are shooting in an opposite direction.

Q Do you make a complete circle and come back to the --

A Yes, sir.

Q You assure yourself that you are at the maximum reading prior to the time that you perforate?

A Yes, you would rotate, say, several times, and make for certain where you were.

Q This type of perforations only gives perforations in a single direction?

A Yes.

Q Is there any evidence to indicate the drainage pattern or the effectiveness of the drainage would be detrimentally affected



by having perforations in one direction also?

A I don't have the data with me, but there is technical data available to the industry that substantiates. if you are going to stimulate your formation, it isn't very important how deep your perforations are as long as you penetrate the cement, or how closely spaced they are together. In other words, if you are going to stimulate, it will not affect your well's productivity. At least, it won't affect it enough to make any difference.

Q And it is your opinion, then, that directional perforations in a single direction and not out in all directions from the well bore will provide as adequate a drainage as the case where you had the perforations in all directions?

A Yes, sir, I would say that emphatically, providing you are going to stimulate.

Q Do you plan to stimulate this well---

A Yes, sir.

Q -- in both zones?

A Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: That's all. Thank you.

A Let me say one thing, Mr. Nutter. Of course, if you happen to get an unusually productive well, you might not stimulate, but certainly if you didn't get a good well, you would stimulate.

Q (By Mr. Nutter) Will the perforator that you use in the Drinkard formation be in a single direction or all directions?

A All directions. It would be a conventional perforator.



MR. NUTTER: I believe that's all.

QUESTIONS BY MR. UTZ:

Q Mr. Willingham, is this perforating device on wire line?

A Yes, sir, it is.

Q How do you hold the device in the proper position for fires?

A You have some drag springs, noted right here, and that allows you to move your -- in other words, when you move your wire line up and down, these drag springs hold it, and as a result it has some magnetism that jacks your jack around as you move it up and down. Let's see, there is a thread like device inside of this --

Q Yes, sir.

A -- that rotates inside; yes, a cam type device. It would be very complicated to explain it. In other words, you've got teeth, and when you move up and down, it rotates these teeth around.

Q And this is long enough, I presume, to get 360 degree rotation on your firing device?

A In fact, you can keep rotating around and around again. It doesn't wind up, it is continuous.

Q (By Mr. Nutter) Mr. Willingham, does this device on pulling the wire line rotate it only a certain number of degrees, and each subsequent pull rotates another degree?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PHONE CH 3-6691

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

A Yes.

Q So, by a series of continuous tuggings on the line, you can make a 360 degree well?

A That's right.

QUESTIONS BY MR. UTZ:

Q In any of the five wells that you have completed by using this device, have you accidentally perforated the other tubing?

A On the first job. We learned the hard way. When we first started out, it was either the first or second job we did, we at that time weren't using this device. However, we were using the mechanical device where we had a recess in our 2 7/8, and what happened, when your tool got in this recess, you were oriented, and you fired, and the tool was mated incorrectly and shot the other string. We went in with a wire pack and packed off the hole and were all right.

Q If you should fire accidentally into another tubing, can you squeeze that off satisfactorily?

A Yes, sir, you can squeeze it off, or you could use pack-off methods also. Recently, they have come up with 2 7/8-inch tool that you can use. We have not used that, however.

QUESTIONS BY MR. PORTER:

Q Who puts that out, the people that do the perforating?

A I believe that's right. However, we haven't been having that trouble.



Q (By Mr. Utz) To your knowledge, what is the fastest rate of injection that you've had to use in frac jobs through 2 7/8-inch slim hole completions?

A Well, they have generally ranged from about six barrels a minute up to twelve to fifteen barrels a minute. It varies, of course, according to your depth and your friction loss.

Q Do you feel that's a heavy enough shot to give you a satisfactory frac job in the least permeable formations that you've ever completed in?

A Let me answer that question this way. This was one of the basic objections to 2 7/8-inch casing when we started out, and after we drilled, had drilled a few 2 7/8 and found it did not affect our productivity, we stopped using high frac rates on even our conventional high wells. So, I can say without any hesitation, that we have not been able to find enough difference in productivity with low frac rates.

Q Did you ever drill any wells in San Juan Basin?

A I'm sure that my company has. However, I'm not acquainted with it. I'm not sure, Mr. Utz, really. I'm certainly not familiar with the area.

Q Well, to your knowledge, do all companies agree with your statement that you don't have to frac at a higher rate than this?

A No, sir, there are many companies that disagree violently, particularly the frac companies.



MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of the witness? If not, the witness may be excused.

(Witness excused)

MR. UTZ: Any other statements to be made in this case? If not, the case will be taken under advisement.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-4601



STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) ss

I, J. A. Trujillo, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in Stenotype and reduced to typewritten transcript by me, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal this, the 15th day of March, 1960, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

Joseph A. Trujillo

My Commission Expires:
October 5, 1960

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 1905, heard by me on July 25, 1960.
Shirley A. [Signature], Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

PHONE CH 3-6691



CLASS OF SERVICE

This is a fast message unless its deferred character is indicated by the proper symbol.

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAM

W. P. MARSHALL, PRESIDENT

SYMBOLS

DL=Day Letter

NL=Night Letter

T=International Telegram

1201

1960 FEB 3

The filing time shown in the date line on domestic telegrams is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

LAO 94 DC176

D MDA124 PD=FAX MIDLAND TEX 3 206PMC=

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

CAPITOL BLDG= 107 MABRY HALL SANTA FE NMEX=

ATTN MR A L PORTER JR, HUMBLE OIL AND REFINING CO
REQUESTS THAT A HEARING BE SCHEDULED ON OUR APPLICATION
UNDER RULE 112-A FOR PERMISSION TO DUAL NEW MEXICO
STATE M-20. THIS WELL IS LOCATED 1930 FNL AND 1980
FWL, SECTION 29, T-22-S, R-37-E, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO,
AND WILL BE AN OIL-OIL DUAL IN THE LANGLIE MATTIX AND
UNDESIGNATED DRIKARD POOLS. IT IS PROPOSED TO SET 2-7/8-
INCH CASING TO THE LANGLIE MATTIX AND 4-1/2-INCH CASING
TO THE DRINKARD IN A COMMON WELL BORE. EXCEPTION IS

THE COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

CLASS OF SERVICE
This is a fast message
unless its deferred char-
acter is indicated by the
proper symbol.

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAM

W. P. MARSHALL, PRESIDENT

SYMBOLS
DL=Day Letter
NL=Night Letter
LT=International
Letter Telegram

1201

The filing time shown in the date line on domestic telegrams is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

ALSO REQUESTED, THEREFORE, TO RULE 107•E•4. OUR FORMAL
APPLICATION IS BEING FORWARDED BY MAIL. PLEASE SCHEDULE
THIS MATTER FOR THE EXAMINER HEARING ON FEBRUARY 25 IF
POSSIBLE

R R MCCARTY=

112-A M=20 1930 FNL 1980 FWL 29 T=22-S R=37-E 2-7/8
4= 1/2 107•E•4• 25•••••ATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

Case 1905

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

7-3-58

APPLICATION FOR DUAL COMPLETION

Field Name <u>Langlie Mattix & Undesignated Drinkard</u>		County <u>Lea</u>	Date <u>Feb. 4, 1960</u>
Operator <u>Humble Oil & Refining Co.</u>		Lease <u>New Mexico State "M"</u>	Well No. <u>20</u>
Location of Well <u>F</u>	Section <u>29</u>	Township <u>22-S</u>	Range <u>37-E</u>

1. Has the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission heretofore authorized the dual completion of a well in these same pools or in the same zones within one mile of the subject well? YES _____ NO X
2. If answer is yes, identify one such instance: Order No. _____; Operator, Lease, and Well No.:

3. The following facts are submitted:	** Upper Zone	** Lower Zone
a. Name of reservoir	<u>Langlie Mattix</u>	<u>Drinkard</u>
b. Top and Bottom of Pay Section (Perforations)	<u>3694 - 3714</u>	<u>6450 - 6500</u>
c. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	<u>oil</u>	<u>oil</u>
d. Method of Production (Flowing or Artificial Lift)	<u>flow</u>	<u>pump</u>

4. The following are attached. (Please mark YES or NO)

- NO* a. Diagrammatic Sketch of the Dual Completion, showing all casing strings, including size and setting, top of cement, perforated intervals, tubing strings, including diameters and setting depth, location and type of packers and side door chokes, and such other information as may be pertinent.
- YES b. Plat showing the location of all wells on applicant's lease, all offset wells on offset leases, and the names and addresses of operators of all leases offsetting applicant's lease.
- NO* c. Waivers consenting to such dual completion from each offset operator, or in lieu thereof, evidence that said offset operators have been furnished copies of the application.*
- NO* d. Electrical log of the well or other acceptable log with tops and bottoms of producing zones and intervals of perforation indicated thereon. (If such log is not available at the time application is filed, it shall be submitted as provided by Rule 112-A.)

5. List all offset operators to the lease on which this well is located together with their correct mailing address.

J. C. Clower, 523 Oil & Gas Bldg., Wichita Falls, Texas

N. G. Penrose, 1813 Fair Bldg., Ft. Worth 2, Texas

Gulf Oil Corp., Box 1150, Midland, Texas

TEXACO Inc., Box 3109, Midland, Texas

Compass Exploration, 1645 Court Place, Denver, Colorado

6. Were all operators listed in Item 5 above notified and furnished a copy of this application? YES X NO ____ . If answer is yes, give date of such notification Feb. 4, 1960.

CERTIFICATE: I, the undersigned, state that I am the Area Administrator of the Humble Oil & Refining Company (company), and that I am authorized by said company to make this report; and that this report was prepared

under my supervision and direction and that the facts stated therein are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge.

*To be filed when the well is completed.

**Estimated - an amended report will be filed upon completion.

C. O. East

Signature

* Should waivers from all offset operators not accompany an application for administrative approval, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of twenty (20) days from date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If, after said twenty-day period, no protest nor request for hearing is received by the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed.

NOTE: If the proposed dual completion will result in an unorthodox well location and/or a non-standard perforation unit in either or both of the producing zones, then separate application for approval of the same should be filed simultaneously with this application.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Date 2-29-60

CASE 1905

Hearing Date 2-25-60

My recommendations for an order in the above numbered cases are as follows:

1. Appraise Humbles Deal for Langlie-Mattix Oil and Drunkard Oil in SE/4 NW/4-29-22S-37E.
2. Centiatigess shall be used from at least from the top of the cement @ 2800 ft. to ~~4000~~ bottom of the 2 7/8 OD casing @ 4000 ft.
3. Packers leakage at completion and on anniversary of G.O.R. for the Langlie-Mattix Pool.
4. Cement shall be circulated from T.D.G. 2800'.

Harold H. [Signature]

Staff Member

*Copy
File*
El Paso Natural Gas Company

El Paso, Texas

February 23, 1960

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.
Secretary - Director

Gentlemen:

Re: Case No. 1905 - Application of Humble Oil
& Refining Company for oil-oil dual comple-
tion utilizing parallel strings of small
diameter casing cemented in a common well
bore


El Paso Natural Gas Company concurs in the application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an oil-oil dual completion utilizing parallel strings of small diameter casing cemented in a common well bore in its State M-20 Well located in Lea County, New Mexico, being case No. 1905 on the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Examiner Hearing Docket of February 25, 1960. El Paso believes that this type of dual completion in the zones proposed for this particular area will effectively prevent the commingling of production between the two zones and will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells. At the same time, this type of slim hole completion without the use of tubing results in a tremendous saving of time and money to the operator.

Yours very truly,

Garrett C. Whitworth
Garrett C. Whitworth
Attorney

GCW:hsw

cc: Mr. Elvis A. Utz, Examiner
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico



LANGLIE-MATTIX
 Lea County
 New, Mex

→ Denotes tubingless Comp.

R. 37 E

ARROW

23

26

30

22

21

23

18

19

17

32

27

Lincoln Park

Road 37E

Noble County

DRAWING A

1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE
TURNING HEAD
GOOD P.S.I. TEST

1-1" SIDE OUTLET

RELEASED GEAR YAW

1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE

ECCENTRIC HINGED SLIDE

1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE
TURNING HEAD
GOOD P.S.I. TEST

1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE
TURNING HEAD
GOOD P.S.I. TEST

2-1" SIDE OUTLETS
PORTS MUST BE POSITIONED 90° FROM
PLANE OF THIS SECTION

1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE
TURNING HEAD

COMBINATION SLIDE
AND GEAR BODY

1-1" SIDE OUTLET

NO.	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	UNIT
1	1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE TURNING HEAD	1	PC
2	1-1" SIDE OUTLET	1	PC
3	RELEASED GEAR YAW	1	PC
4	1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE	1	PC
5	ECCENTRIC HINGED SLIDE	1	PC
6	1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE TURNING HEAD	1	PC
7	2-1" SIDE OUTLETS	1	PC
8	1/2" DIA. x 1/4" EYE TURNING HEAD	1	PC
9	COMBINATION SLIDE AND GEAR BODY	1	PC
10	1-1" SIDE OUTLET	1	PC

CASE NO.

Well Head and Casing Head

A special dual string casing head and slip-hold-down assembly, shown in Drawing A, are used for this type well. The casing head is a conventional 2000 psi working pressure, 6000 psi test, 2-3/8-inch bore cast with a 3-3/8-inch casing pin. The head is mounted in the bowl of the eccentric slip type casing hanger. The 4-1/2-inch casing is landed on the combination slips and side bowl; one side of the combination provides slips for the 4-1/2-inch casing and the opposite side contains the bowl for running and landing the 2-7/8-inch casing. A three segment eccentric slip is used to suspend the 2-7/8-inch casing with the thickest segment toward the 4-1/2-inch casing to provide maximum center line distance between casing strings. A conventional split packing element sandwiched by steel packing rings and compressed by the packing gland nut provides the seal around the casing in the casing bowl.

The 4-1/2 x 2-3/8-inch and 2-7/8 x 1.9-inch tubing heads are 3000 psi working pressure, 6000 psi test. Clearances are such that after installing the recessed master valve on the 2-7/8-inch casing either tubing head can be installed or removed without interference with the other. This is rather unique considering that the center line distance between casing strings is only 5-3/16-inches. A 3-1/2-inch casing thread is used on the exterior of the 4-1/2-inch tubing head for use in connecting blowout preventers. An adaptor from the 2-7/8-inch tubing head back to a 2-7/8-inch EUE tubing thread or the 2-7/8-inch EUE thread in the recessed valve is available for attaching blowout preventer equipment.

Centralizers

Special meshing spiral bar type centralizers are used on both strings. Sketches of the centralizers are shown in Drawing B. The advantage of these centralizers is that they allow maximum centralization and minimum interference when passing each other while running them in the hole. In the 3-3/4-inch drilled hole this allows .318-inch clearance when passing centralizers and .250-inch minimum clearance when passing the 2-7/8-inch centralizers by the 4-1/2-inch casing collars.

Five-sixteenths bar elements were used in constructing the centralizers and one-eighth-inch thick metal bands were welded to the casing for centralizer stop bands. Four-and-one-half-inch centralizer bars and 2-7/8-inch centralizer bars were spiraled in opposite directions to allow the bars to mesh. Meshing of the bars provides greater clearance when passing centralizers during running of casing than when one bar is required to ride over the other. An additional .188 inches is gained when passing centralizers in this manner.

-3-

BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Appl EXHIBIT NO. 6
CASE NO. 1903

Proposed Procedure


1. Drill surface hole and set 9-5/8-inch O. D. surface casing to 1200 feet. Cement back to surface with 8% gel cement.
2. Install special made casing bowl below blowout preventer and drill 8-3/4-inch hole to 7000 feet (total depth).
3. Run standard 4-1/2-inch casing through normal blowout preventers and land same on eccentric slips in the casing bowl.
4. Replace preventer rams with offset 2-7/8-inch rams.
5. Run 2-7/8-inch non-upset casing and land same on eccentric slips in the casing bowl.
6. Prior to cementing, establish circulation through each casing string and displace mud from the 2-7/8-inch string with suitable completion fluid.
7. Cement in conventional manner through 4-1/2-inch casing using 12-percent modified cement. Sufficient volume of cement will be used to bring top of cement to 2800 feet.
8. Allow cement to set a minimum of 48 hrs.

Note: Centralizers will be used through all pays.

-6-

BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Sept EXHIBIT NO. 5
CASE NO. 1905



2-1/2" Cor.

4-1/2" Cor.

REVERSE SPIRAL MESHING FOR COVER ALIGNING

BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
EXHIBIT NO. 4
CASE NO. 1905

DRAWING A

1/4" Bore x 1/4" Exit
TUBING HEAD
6000 P.S.I. TEST


1/4" Bore x 1/4" Exit
TUBING HEAD
6000 P.S.I. TEST

2-1/2" SIDE OUTLETS
Ports Must Be Positioned 90° from
Plane of Joint Section

1-1/2" SIDE OUTLET

RELEASED OPER. VALVE

1/4" Bore x 1/4" Exit
TUBING HEAD




1/4" Bore x 1/4" Exit
TUBING HEAD

COMBINATION SLIDE
AND SLIP BALL

1-1/2" SIDE OUTLETS

1/4" Bore x 1/4" Exit
TUBING HEAD
6000 P.S.I. TEST

DATE	10-1-54
BY	UTZ
FOR	EXHIBIT NO. 4
CASE NO.	1905
TEST NO.	1000
TEST DATE	10-1-54
TEST TIME	10:00 AM
TEST PLACE	LABORATORY
TESTER	UTZ
WITNESSES	
APPROVED	
REMARKS	



DIRECTIONAL PERFORATING TECHNIQUE UTILIZING RADIATION DETECTOR

Equipment and techniques for perforating independently run multiple casing strings have been perfected and successfully employed. Perforating such completions is accomplished by utilizing a rotating device and a focused radioactive source attached to the gun assembly in one casing string, and a separate radioactive detector in an adjacent string as illustrated in the above sketch. The gun assembly containing the focused source is rotated by an indexing tool as the wireline is reciprocated. The detector reflects the orientation of the source and the gun can be positioned to fire away from the adjacent string.

Case 1905

MULTICOMPLETED WELLS
CASED WITH 2-7/8-INCH TUBING
OPERATED BY THE HUMBLE DIVISION

December 31, 1959

<u>State</u>	<u>Depth Range feet</u>	<u>Dual</u>	<u>Triple</u>	<u>Quadruple</u>
Mississippi	10,000-12,000	3	1	-
Texas	2,000- 4,000	24 ^{a,b}	8 ^c	3
	4,000- 6,000	6 ^d	1	-
	6,000- 8,000	2	3	-
	8,000-10,000	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>
TOTAL		36	17	5

^aIncludes 14 wells with parallel strings of 2-7/8- and 5-inch casing.

^bIncludes 1 dual waterflood injection well.

^cIncludes 1 triple completion with 2 waterflood injection intervals and 1 oil producing interval and 1 triple completion with 1 waterflood injection interval and 2 oil producing intervals.

^dIncludes 2 wells with parallel strings of 2-7/8- and 4-1/2-inch casing.

Case 1905

Petroleum Engineering Divisions
Subsurface Engineering Practices Section
February 3, 1960
CBC:nt

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

2-7/8-INCH O. D. CASING COMPLETIONS¹

NEW MEXICO

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING CO.

February 1, 1960

Field	County	Average Depth-Ft.	Number Completions	Reduction in Well Cost-%
Langlie-Mattix	Lea	3729	8	24
Eumont	Lea	3875	1	20
West Henshaw-Grayburg	Eddy	2975	2	22
	Totals	3605	11	23

Note 1: All wells completed are single zone completions.

4-1/2" x 2-7/8" Dual Completion

Special Equipment

Well Head and Tubing Heads

A special dual string casing head and slim-designed parallel tubing heads shown in Drawing A are used for this type completion. The casing head is a conventional 2000 psi working pressure, 4000 psi test 10-3/4-inch bowl cast with a 9-5/8-inch casing pin. This allows more room in the bowl for the eccentric slip type casing hangers. The 4-1/2-inch casing is landed on the combination slips and slip bowl; one side of the combination provides slips for the 4-1/2-inch casing and the opposite side contains the bowl for running and landing the 2-7/8-inch casing. A three segment eccentric slip is used to suspend the 2-7/8-inch casing with the thickest segment toward the 4-1/2-inch casing to provide maximum center line distance between casing strings. A conventional split packing element sandwiched by steel packing rings and compressed by the packing gland nut provides the seal around the casing in the casing bowl.

The 4-1/2 x 2-3/8-inch and 2-7/8 x 1.9-inch tubing heads are 3000 psi working pressure, 6000 psi test. Clearances are such that after installing the recessed master valve on the 2-7/8-inch casing either tubing head can be installed or removed without interference with the other. This is rather unique considering that the center line distance between casing strings is only 5-3/16-inches. A 5-1/2-inch casing thread is used on the exterior of the 4-1/2-inch tubing head for use in connecting blowout preventers. An adaptor from the 2-7/8-inch tubing head back to a 2-7/8-inch EUE tubing thread or the 2-7/8-inch EUE thread in the recessed valve is available for attaching blowout preventer equipment.

Centralizers

Special meshing spiral bar type centralizers are used on both strings. Sketches of the centralizers are shown in Drawing B. The advantage of these centralizers is that they allow maximum centralization and minimum interference when passing each other while running them in the hole. In the 8-3/4-inch drilled hole this allows .318-inch clearance when passing centralizers and .250-inch minimum clearance when passing the 2-7/8-inch centralizers by the 4-1/2-inch casing collars.

Five-sixteenths bar elements were used in constructing the centralizers and one-eighth-inch thick metal bands were welded to the casing for centralizer stop bands. Four-and-one-half-inch centralizer bars and 2-7/8-inch centralizers bars were spiraled in opposite directions to allow the bars to mesh. Meshing of the bars provides greater clearance when passing centralizers during running of casing than when one bar is required to ride over the other. An additional .188 inches is gained when passing centralizers in this manner.

DRAWING A

1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D.
TUBING HEAD
6000 P.S.I. TEST

2-1/2" SIDE OUTLETS
DO NOT MUST BE POSITIONED 90° FROM
PLANE OF TUB. SECTION

1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D.
TUBING HEAD
6000 P.S.I. TEST

1-1/2" SIDE OUTLET

RECESSED GEAR VALVE

1 1/2" O.D. x 18 LONG
CASING NIPPLE

1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D.

ECCENTRIC MINGED SLIDE

COMBINATION SLIDE
AND SLID BODY

1-1/2" SIDE COLLECT


1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. x 18 LONG
DUAL STRUNG TUBING HEAD
1000 P.S.I. TEST

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT
1	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. x 18 LONG DUAL STRUNG TUBING HEAD	1	PC
2	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. x 18 LONG CASING NIPPLE	1	PC
3	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
4	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
5	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
6	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
7	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
8	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
9	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC
10	1 1/2" x 1 1/2" O.D. TUBING HEAD	1	PC

Proposed Procedure

1. Drill surface hole and set 9-5/8-inch O. D. surface casing to 1200 feet. Cement back to surface with 8% gel cement.
2. Install special made casing bowl below blowout preventer and drill 8-3/4-inch hole to 7000 feet (total depth).
3. Run standard 4-1/2-inch casing through normal blowout preventers and land same on eccentric slips in the casing bowl.
4. Replace preventer rams with offset 2-7/8-inch rams.
5. Run 2-7/8-inch non-upset casing and land same on eccentric slips in the casing bowl.
6. Prior to cementing, establish circulation through each casing string and displace mud from the 2-7/8-inch string with suitable completion fluid.
7. Cement in conventional manner through 4-1/2-inch casing using 12-percent modified cement. Sufficient volume of cement will be used to bring top of cement to 2800 feet.
8. Allow cement to set a minimum of 48 hrs.

Note: Centralizers will be used through all pays.



Schematic Diagram
 4-1/2" x 2-3/8" Dual Completion
 New Mexico State M-20
 Langlie Mattix Field
 Lea County, New Mexico

El Paso Natural Gas Company

El Paso, Texas

February 23, 1960

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.
Secretary - Director

Gentlemen:

Re: Case No. 1905 - Application of Humble Oil
& Refining Company for oil-oil dual comple-
tion utilizing parallel strings of small
diameter casing cemented in a common well
bore

El Paso Natural Gas Company concurs in the application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an oil-oil dual completion utilizing parallel strings of small diameter casing cemented in a common well bore in its State M-20 Well located in Lea County, New Mexico, being case No. 1905 on the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Examiner Hearing Docket of February 23, 1960. El Paso believes that this type of dual completion in the zones proposed for this particular area will effectively prevent the commingling of production between the two zones and will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells. At the same time, this type of slim hole completion without the use of tubing results in a tremendous saving of time and money to the operator.

Yours very truly,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Garrett C. Whitworth
Attorney

GCW:hsw

cc: Mr. Elvis A. Utz, Examiner /
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Santa Fe, New Mexico

COPY

J. M. HERVEY 1874-1955

HIRAM M. DOW
CLARENCE E. HINKLE
W. C. BONDURANT, JR.
GEORGE H. HUNKER, JR.
HOWARD C. BRATTON
S. B. CHRISTY IV
LEWIS C. COX, JR.

PAUL W. EATON, JR.
ROBERT C. BLEDSOE

LAW OFFICES
HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE

HINKLE BUILDING

ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

TELEPHONE MAIN 2-6510
POST OFFICE BOX 547

February 22, 1966

Mr. Elvis A. Utz
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Case No. 1903
Examiner Hearing February 25

Dear Mr. Utz:

Due to commitment in Roswell on February 24, I will not be able to leave here until the morning of February 25. The above case is a Humble case on an oil-oil dual completion utilizing slim-hole technique. I anticipate that I will be in Santa Fe and will be at the hearing prior to the time you reach the above case. However, if you should reach it prior to the time I arrive there, I would appreciate it if you would put it over until immediately after the noon recess.

Your consideration will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE

By 

HCB:db

cc: Mr. J. E. Willingham
Humble Oil & Refining Company
P. O. Box 1600
Midland, Texas

Case 1905

R. R. MCCARTY
MANAGER
J. S. BOLDRICK
OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT
H. L. HENSLEY
OPERATIONS SUPERINTENDENT
H. E. MEADOWS
ENGINEERING COORDINATOR
A. J. BEDFORD
ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT WESTERN DIVISION
P. O. BOX 1600

MIDLAND, TEXAS

February 4, 1960

6-1

New Mexico Proration

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Dear Sir:

Confirming our telegram of February 3, 1960, attached are three copies of our application for dual completion for New Mexico State M-20 accompanied by a map showing all wells on our lease and all offset wells on all offset leases as required by Rule 112-A. It is proposed to set 2-7/8-inch casing to the Langlie Mattix Pool completion and 4-1/2-inch casing to the Drinkard; this well is slightly more than one mile from the limits of the Drinkard Pool. The two strings of casing will be set and cemented side by side in a common well bore. It is our understanding that the program will be considered to constitute a slim hole dual completion in view of the use of one string of 2-7/8-inch casing. In this event, permission is also requested for such a completion under Rule 107.

In order that operations may be commenced at the earliest possible date, it will be appreciated if this matter can be scheduled for the February 25 examiner hearing.

Sincerely yours,

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

R. R. McCarty
R. R. McCARTY

*Docketed
Mailed
2-15-60
gh*


WSD/sm

Attachments

Proposed Procedure

1. Drill surface hole and set 9-5/8-inch O. D. surface casing to 1200 feet. Cement back to surface with 8% gel cement.
2. Install special made casing bowl below blowout preventer and drill 8-3/4-inch hole to 7000 feet (total depth).
3. Run standard 4-1/2-inch casing through normal blowout preventers and land same on eccentric slips in the casing bowl.
4. Replace preventer rams with offset 2-7/8-inch rams.
5. Run 2-7/8-inch non-upset casing and land same on eccentric slips in the casing bowl.
6. Prior to cementing, establish circulation through each casing string and displace mud from the 2-7/8-inch string with suitable completion fluid.
7. Cement in conventional manner through 4-1/2-inch casing using 12-percent modified cement. Sufficient volume of cement will be used to bring top of cement to 2800 feet.
8. Allow cement to set a minimum of 48 hrs.

Note: Centralizers will be used through all pays.



2-7/8" CGS.

4-1/2" CGS.


REVERSE SPIRAL MESHING BAR CENTRALIZERS

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
 2-7/8-INCH O. D. CASING COMPLETIONS¹
 NEW MEXICO
 HUMBLE OIL & REFINING CO.

February 1, 1960

Field	County	Average Depth-Ft.	Number Completions	Reduction in Well Cost-%
Langlie-Mattix	Lea	3729	8	24
Eumont	Lea	3875	1	20
West Henshaw-Grayburg	Eddy	2975	2	22
	Totals	3605	11	23

Note 1: All wells completed are single zone completions.




4 1/2
3
7 1/2

3600
Queen

BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
App EXHIBIT NO. 7
CASE NO. 1905

Schematic Diagram
4-1/2" x 2-7/8" Dual Completion
New Mexico State M-20
Langile Mattix Field
Lea County, New Mexico



MULTICOMPLETED WELLS
Cased with 2-7/8-INCH tubing

OPERATED BY THE RESERVE DIVISION

December 31, 1959

<u>State</u>	<u>Depth Range feet</u>	<u>Dual</u>	<u>Triple</u>	<u>Quadruple</u>	
Mississippi	10,000-12,000	3	1	-	4
Texas	2,000- 4,000	24 ^{a, b}	8 ^c	3	35
	4,000- 6,000	6 ^d	1	-	7
	6,000- 8,000	2	3	-	5
	8,000-10,000	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>
TOTAL		36	17	5	58

^aIncludes 14 wells with parallel strings of 2-7/8- and 5-inch casing.

^bIncludes 1 dual waterflood injection well.

^cIncludes 1 triple completion with 2 waterflood injection intervals and 1 oil producing interval and 1 triple completion with 1 waterflood injection interval and 2 oil producing intervals.

^dIncludes 2 wells with parallel strings of 2-7/8- and 4-1/2-inch casing.

BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
appt EXHIBIT NO. 1
CASE NO. 1905

Petroleum Engineering Divisions
Subsurface Engineering Practices Section
CSC:nt February 3, 1960

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 1905
Order No. R-1622

APPLICATION OF HUMBLE OIL &
REFINING COMPANY FOR AN OIL-OIL
DUAL COMPLETION IN THE LANGLEIE
MATTIX POOL AND THE DRINKARD
POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO,
UTILIZING PARALLEL STRINGS OF
SMALL DIAMETER CASING

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on February 25, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 4th day of March, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant is the owner and operator of the State M-20 Well, to be located 1930 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of Section 29, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, NMPN, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to dually complete the above-described State M-20 Well in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Langlie Mattix Pool and the production of oil from the Drinkard Pool through 2-7/8 inch casing and 4 1/4 inch casing, respectively, using 2-inch tubing within the string of 4 1/4 inch casing.

(4) That the applicant proposes that said two strings of casing be cemented in a common well bore with cement circulated from total depth to approximately 2800 feet.

Case No. 1905
Order No. R-1622

(5) That centralizers should be used at least in the interval between the bottom of the 2-7/8 inch casing at 4000 feet and the top of cement at 2800 feet.

(6) That the mechanics of the proposed dual completion are feasible and in accord with good conservation practices.

(7) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant, Humble Oil & Refining Company, be and the same is hereby authorized to dually complete its State M-20 Well, to be located 1930 feet from the North line and 1980 feet from the West line of Section 29, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, HMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Langlie Mattix Pool and the production of oil from the Drinkard Pool through 2-7/8 inch casing and 4 1/2 inch casing, respectively, using 2-inch tubing within the string of 4 1/2 inch casing.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That said two strings of casing shall be cemented in a common well bore with cement circulated from total depth to approximately 2800 feet.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That centralizers shall be used at least in the interval between the bottom of the 2-7/8 inch casing at 4000 feet and the top of cement at 2800 feet.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the applicable provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That applicant shall take communication tests upon completion and annually thereafter during the Annual Gas-Oil Ratio Test Period for the Langlie-Mattix Pool.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or protection of correlative rights; upon failure of applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, after proper notice and hearing the Commission may terminate the authority hereby granted and require applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to regular single-zone production in the interests of conservation.

-3-

Case No. 1905

Order No. R-1622

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-
above designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

John Burroughs


JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

Murray E. Morgan

MURRAY E. MORGAN, Member

A. L. Porter, Jr.

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary



OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

March 4, 1960

Mr. Howard Bratton
Hervey, Dow & Hinkle
Box 547
Roswell, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Bratton:

On behalf of your client, Humble Oil & Refining
Company we enclose two copies of Order R-1622 in
Case 1905 issued by the Oil Conservation Commission
this date.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr.
Secretary-Director

ir/

Enclosures: (2)

Ollie Hubbs

C
O
P
Y