CASE 2594: Application of HILL & MEEKER for special rules for the SOUTH CROSSROADS-DEVONIAN POOL.

phistipm, Transcript,

mill Exhibits, Etc.

EXAMINER HEARING - THURSDAY - JUNE 28, 1962

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, as alternate examiner:

Application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for the assignment of an allowable to its Gushwa Well No. 1, located in the S/2 of Section 21, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, for the commencement of gas prorationing in the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool July 1, 1961, to the date of connection of said August 12, 1961. Applicant alleges that said well was unreasonably discriminated against by being denied access to a gas transportation facility during said period.

CASE 2588: Application of Waterflood Associates, Inc., for the establishment of a buffer zone, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of the SE/4 NE/4 of Section 20, and the W/2 NW/4 of Section 21, all in Township 18 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, as a buffer zone in its proposed waterflood project in the Artesia Pool with wells in said buffer zone to be assigned capacity allowalites.

CASE 2589: Application of Chaco Oil Company for an amendment of Order No. R-1533, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-1533 to provide administrative procedures for obtaining approval of unorthodox locations for injection and producing wells in the Red Mountain Oil Pool, McKinley County, New Mexico.

CASE 2590: Application of J. Glenn Turner for compulsory pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order of the Commission force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool in the S/2 of Section 14, Township 29 North, Range 10 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 2591: Application of Texaco Inc. for an exception to Rule 107 (e), Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 107 (e) to permit the tubingless completion of its State "AT" Well No. 5, located in Unit M, Section 10, Township 14 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The subject well will be completed

(Case 2591 continued)

in the Wolfcamp Formation and will be produced through a string of 2 7/8 inch casing set at approximately 10,000 feet.

CASE 2592:

Application of Skelly Oil Company for approval of a secondary recovery project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in above-styled cause, seeks permission to institute a secondary recovery project in the Lovington-San Andres Pool in the Lovington-San Andres Unit Area, comprising portions of Townships 16 and 17 South, Ranges 36 and 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, by the injection of water into the San Andres formation through 17 wells located in said unit area.

CASE 2593:

Application of Skelly Oil Company for the approval of a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Lovington San Andres Unit Agreement embracing 2470 acres, more or less, of Federal, State and Fee lands in Townships 16 and 17 South, Ranges 36 and 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2594:

Application of Hill & Meeker for special rules and regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to include provisions for 80-acre oil proration units therein.

RALPH L. GRAY
PERPOLEUM EMGINEERING - PRODUCTION
CONSULTANT
SOZ CARPER BUILDING
ARTISSIA, NEW MEXICO

June 29, 1962

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Elvis Utz

Dear Sir:

In regard to the application of Hill and Meeker for 80 acre proration units in the South Crossroads Devonian Pool, it was assumed by my client that should 80 acre units be adopted, that the proration factor would be determined by Rule 505, Paragraph b, which shows a proportional factor of 7.75 for a depth range of 12,000 to 13,000 feet in 30 acre proration units.


This is to advise that Hill and Meeker are agreeable to the assignment of an allowable in accordance with Rule 505.

Yours very truly,

REGISTERED PETROLEUM ENGINEE

RLG: lw

JOE S. HILL



HILL & MEEKER

OIL PRODUCERS 519 MIDLAND SAVINGS BLDG. MIDLAND, TEXAS

June 4th, 1962

Secretary-Director OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Re: Application for 80-Acre Spacing, South Crossroads Devonian Field Lea County, New Mexico.

At the present time we have one well completed and on production and one well being completed at present in the South Crossroads Devonian Field, Lea County, New Mexico, as follows:

- Hill & Meeker T.P.State 22 Well #1 Local d in the NE/4 of NW/4 of Section 22, Township 10 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M. This well completed in February of 1962.
- Hill & Meeker Santa Fe 22 Well #1 Located in the NW/4 of NE/4 of Section 22, Township 10 South, Range 37 East, N.M.P.M. This well in the process of completion.

Allowable was granted our T.P.State 22 Well #1 on 40 acre allotment.

We hereby make application to your office that a hearing be set before the Oil Conservation Commission to consider changing the spacing in this field from 40 acres to 80 acres.

The other operators in this field are Texas Crude Oil Company, with one well, and T.P.Coal & Oil Company with one well completed and another in the process of completion.

We would appreciate your setting this matter for formal hearing at your earliest convenience.

Yours very truly,

HILL & MEEKER

JSH/el



State of New Wexico O il Conservation Commission

Land Commissioner E. S. Johnny Walker



STATE SECLOSIST

A. L. PORTER, JR.
SECRETARY - DIRECTO

P. O. BOX 87

July 17, 1962

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Pox Attorneys at Law P. O. 1713 Santa Fe, New Mexico Re: Case No. 2594
Order No. 2294
Applicant:

Hill & Meeker

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC __x
Artesia OCC___
Aztec OCC___
OTHER____

BEFORE THE OIL COMMERVATION CONMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL COMSERVATION CONSISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2594 Order No. R-2284

APPLICATION OF HILL & MERKER FOR SPECIAL RULES AND REGULA-TIONS FOR THE SOUTH CROSSROADS-DEVONIAN POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on June 26, 1962, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 17th day of July, 1962, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Blvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

PINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Hill & Meeker, seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre oil provation units.
- (3) That the evidence presented at the hearing of this case establishes that the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool can be efficiently and economically drained and developed on 80-acre proration units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That Special Rules and Regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool are hereby promulgated as follows, effective August 1, 1962.

-2-CASR No. 2594 Order No. R-2284

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE SOUTH CHOSSROADS-DEVONIAN POOL

- RULE 1. Each well completed or recompleted in the South Crossroads-Devomian Pool or in the Devomian formation within one mile of the South Crossroads-Devomian Pool, and not nearly to nor within the limits of another designated Devomian oil pool, shall be spaced, drilled, operated, and provated in accordance with the Special Rules and Regulations hereinafter set forth.
- EULE 2. Each well completed or recompleted in the South Crossroads-Devomian Pool shall be located on a unit containing approximately 80 acres, which consists of any two contiguous quarter-quarter sections of a single governmental quarter section. For purposes of these Rules, a unit consisting of between 79 and 81 surface contiguous acres shall be considered a standard unit.
- EULE 3. Each well projected to or completed in the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool shall be located within 150 feet of the center of either quarter-quarter section in the 80-acre unit; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall be construed as prohibiting the drilling of a well on each of the quarter-quarter sections in the 80-acre unit.
- FILE 4. For good cause shown, the Secretary-Director may grant an exception to Rule 2 without notice and hearing where an application has been filed in due form, and where the unorthodox size or shape of the tract is due to a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey, or where the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with:
- (1) The non-standard unit is to consist of a single quarter-quarter section or lot.
- (2) The non-standard unit consists of not more than 81 acres.
- (3) The entire non-standard unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of oil from said pool.
- (4) The applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators.
- (5) In lieu of Paragraph 4 of this Rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the offset operators were notified of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if, after a period of 30 days, no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of the non-standard unit.

CASE No. 2594 Order No. R-2284

RULE 5. An 80-acre proration unit (79 through 81 acres) in the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool shall be assigned an 80-acre proportional factor of 7.75 for allowable purposes, and in the event there is more than one well on an 80-acre provetion unit, the operator may produce the allowable assigned to the unit from said wells in any proportion.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may doom necessary.

DOME at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF MEN MEXICO OIL COMMENSATION COMMENSION

MECHEM, Chairman

Eswalker s. Walker, Homber

L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/

Case 2594 Heard 6-28-62 Rec. Les Samb Will + Merker 80 ac Spacing for the 5. Cross-Loads Doronian Poll Range with to be M, S, E, 3. Well on ithe and loidet in center of 40 occordance with 7.75 Repth factor for 80 acuen 5. Al beneage shall be didicated to a will with in below the Water-Oil cont

CASE 2594

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico June 28, 1962

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Hill and Meeker for special rules and regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to include provisions for 80-acre oil proration units therein.

BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. UTZ: Case 2594.

MR. MORRIS: Application of Hill and Meeker for special rules and regulations for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin and Fox, representing the Applicant. We have one witness, Mr. Ralph Gray.

(Witness sworn.)

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 marked for identification.)

RALPH L. GRAY

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

- Q Would you state your name, please?
- A Ralph L. Gray.
- Q What business are you engaged in, Mr. Gray?
- A Consulting engineer.
- Q In your capacity as a consulting engineer, have you testified before this Commission and had your qualifications made a matter of record?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. UTZ: Yes, sir, they are.

- Q (By Mr. Kellahin) In your capacity as a consulting engineer, have you had occasion to become familiar with the application of Hill and Meeker now before this Examiner?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Would you state briefly what is proposed in this application?
- A The Applicant proposes that an 80-acre proration plan be adopted for the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool.
- Q Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, would you identify that exhibit and discuss the information shown thereon?
 - A Exhibit No. 1 is a structural map showing the Crossroads

PHONE 325-11

JEROUE, N. M. E 243-6691

Devonian Pool, and also the South Crossroads Devonian Pool. This map shows contours on top of the Devonian formation, and it indicates the acreage held by the Applicant. This acreage is in Section 22 of Township 10 South, Range 36 East, and comprises two leases, the Hill and Meeker "TP" State 22 lease comprises the North Half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 22, and this lease has one well completed. The other lease is the Hill and Meeker Santa Fe No. 22, and is the Northeast Quarter of Section 22. This lease also has one well completed. This map shows the original oil-water contact of the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool, and it's indicated at a minus 8290 feet.

Your exhibit also shows the Crossroads-Devonium Pool, does it not?

Yes.

For what reason did you include that on the exhibit, Mr. Gray?

A These two pools are in the same general area and both produce from the Devonian formation and have somewhat similar characteristics. The Crossroads-Devonian Pool is an older pool and, as the map shows, it has been developed on an 80-acre pattern.

Is that a voluntary development pattern used by the operators?

Yes, sir.

Do you consider it sound engineering to develop that pool on 80 acres?

Yes, I do.

What type of structure is involved in the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool?

The structure is an anticlinal feature and there are, perhaps, several of these small anticlinal features which are located in a north-south trend, but it's thought at this time that the size of the structure is comparatively small feature.

Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, would you identify that exhibit and discuss the information shown thereon?

Exhibit No. 2 is a history showing bottom hole pressure data for the Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company State "O" No. 1 Well, which is located in Unit J, Section 15. Pressures from 1954 through 1958 are shown, and as this exhibit indicates, there has been very little decline in bottom hole pressures over this period of time. Also as of April 1st, 1958, this well had produced 208,202 barrels of oil with very little decline in pressure, so what this table shows, essentially, is that the South Crossroads-Devonian Pool has an effective water drive in existence.

Do you have any information on the Hill and Meeker wells involved here?

Yes, sir. I might give a brief summary of the pool characteristics first. The Devonian formation in the South Crossroads Pool is a medium grain crystalline dolomite containing vugular and inter-crystalline porosity and indicated fracturing. Exhibit No. 3 has been prepared to show the individual well data for the two Hill and Meeker wells, including the date of completion,



the elevation, the total depth, casing program, the top of pay, and initial potential of these two wells. Both wells were completed naturally, no treatment of any kind was necessary. Exhibit 3 also shows the initial bottom hole pressures and gas-oil ratios of each well.

Q Do you have electric logs on each of the wells, the Hill and Meeker wells?

A Yes. Exhibit No. 4 shows an electric log of the Hill and Meeker Santa Fe 22 Well No. 1. This log shows the top of the Devonian, which was encountered at 12,241 feet, and the top of pay, 12,244 feet, and the total depth, 12,260 feet. Also shows the location of the 5-1/2 inch casing.

Exhibit No. 5 is similar data for the Hill and Meeker "TP" State 22, Well No. 1. As you will see from the log, each well was completed with open hole and only a few feet penetration was made into the top of the Devonian.

Q What was the reason for that type of completion?

A Well, it was recognized that the Devonian would probably be an effective water drive, and in this type of Field, well, usually you get the most efficient operation by a short penetration and thus allowing the water to come in more slowly and have a more effective drive to it.

Q Now referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 6, Mr. Gray, would you identify that exhibit and discuss the information shown there?

NOVEROUE, N. M.

Α Exhibit No. 6 shows information which was obtained on drillstem tests on both the Santa Fe 22 Well and the "TP" State 22 No. 1. Each of these wells had very little decline, if any, from the initial shut-in pressure to the final shut-in, which is an indication of good permeability. I would also like to comment in each case, after the final shut-in was made on each of these wells, the maximum shut-in pressure was reached almost instantaneously after the well was shut in. This is a very good indication of the extremely high permeability in each well.

Do you have any information which would indicate that Q one well will drain at least 80 acres in this pool?

Yes. Of course, we know from pool histories and other Devonian Pools of a similar type having this vugular type of porosity and fracturing condition, we know that we can expect a large drainage radius in this type of pool; also we have checked it by calculating the amount of oil which would reasonably be expected to be produced from the Texas Pacific Coal and Oil State "O" Well, which is one of the older wells in the area, and according to our calculations, we might expect this well to produce something in the neighborhood of 176,000 barrels of oil in it with draining 40 acres. The records indicate that as of January 1st, 1962, this well had actually recovered 519,434 barrels of oil, at that time. So what this seems to indicate is that this well is actually draining in excess of 80 acres.

Q Is there any advantage to having a wider spacing unit



REPORTING SERVICE, DEARNLEY-MEIER

in an active water drive pool such as this one?

Yes, for example, for an 80-acre tract within the pool, 460 barrels of oil per day would be the allowable for that tract under the present allowable and 40-acre spacing factors. For the same tract with 80-acre spacing, the allowable would be 264 barrels of oil per day, or almost half. It is our opinion that in this type of pool with the water drive being in existence, that the slower rate of withdrawal would have a much more efficient and effective behavior than the higher withdrawal, because in taking out more oil, there's a tendency to bypass some of the oil, and we feel that the slower withdrawals are more conducive to conservation and making the most effective recovery of the oil present.

Do you have any recommendation to make as to formation of the units, whether they be north-south, east-west units?

No, it appears that this is a very small structure and we feel that with that type of pool that the operators should have quite a bit of flexibility in these 80-acre units, and we feel that they should be allowed to go in either direction.

In your opinion, is the productive limits of the pool substantially outlined at the present time?

We think they are. Subsequent development could change the picture somewhat, but we think there's been enough wells drilled to indicate reasonably well the productive limits.

Now the flexibility in the formation of the units that you referred to, would you also recommend the flexibility as to well



locations, whether they be in one 40 or the other?

We think that the operator should have the option of drilling in either unit.

In your opinion, will approval of this application be in the interest of conservation and the prevention of waste?

Yes, sir.

Would the correlative rights of the operators in the pool be protected?

Yes, sir, I think so.

Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or under your supervision?

Á Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time we would like to offer in evidence Exhibits 1 through 6.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1 through 6 will be entered into the record of this case.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 entered in evidence.)

(By Mr. Kellahin) Do you have anything to add, Mr. Gray?

I may add just one more thing. I think that, in the case of an average well in this structure, that the drilling cost is approximately \$200,000 per well, and if the pool were completely developed on 40-acre spacing, I think that in most cases the operators would be just essentially trading dollars, whereas if it's developed on an 80-acre spacing pattern, they can expect

reasonable profit.

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all the questions I have, Mr.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Approximate depth of this pool is at the perforated interval; is that from the surface a little over 12,000 feet?

Both of the Hill and Meeker wells are completed with open hole and the total depth on both of the wells was 12,260 feet. Actually, the wells are producing between the bottom of the casing and total depth. The bottom of the casing, in the case of the Santa Fe well, was 12,245, and in the case of the "TP" State well, it was 12,249, so they're producing between the bottom of the casing and total depth.

Can the water-oil contact be pretty accurately determined?

Yes. There's been some wells drilled that were too low on the structure to produce oil, and we feel like the oil-water contact has been fairly well established.

Would you recommend that 80 acres be dedicated to a well which is below the water-oil contact?

Well, I doubt if that would be very good practice, if it can definitely be established.

On the north end of this pool, would you say that you have pretty good control on the water-oil contact? Would you have

A No, not according to the information we have. Now, there is a dry hole drilled in the North Half of Section 15, which I think would have made a well as far as the structural position is concerned. However, according to the information I have received, the well was very dense and the reason it was completed as a dry hole was because of this unusual barren streak that the well

of the pool than it is on the south end?

apparently encountered.

The only indication of good permeability that you have would be the rapidity in which the pressure builds up, is that correct?

any reason to believe it would be any different on the north end

Well, not only the rapidity, but the fact that an effective water drive does exist is in itself an indication that the permeability is very good; otherwise, the water drive would not be effective. Also we know from the history of other Devonian pools in this general area that's the character of the Devonian is the vugular type porosity and fracturing, both of which are conducive to high permeability.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Any other statements in this case?

MR. KELLAHIN: In connection with your question of the witness, Mr. Utz, as to other evidence of permeability, I call your

N. M.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

attention to his testimony about the reserves on the Texas Pacific well, too. In addition, we have a statement which was left with me by Jack Campbell to make in behalf of Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company. They are in support of the application, but he also adds a request that the well locations be as in accordance with the Statewide rule or 330 foot locations.

MR. UTZ: Possibly this statement should be made a part of the record.

MR. KELLAHIN: Shall I read it?

MR. UTZ: Why don't you do that?

MR. KELLAHIN: "I am Jack M. Campbell of Campbell and Russell, Roswell, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company. We concur in the application of Hill and Meeker for an 80 acre spacing and proration pattern in the pool, including their request for a flexible pattern permitting drilling upon either of the 40 acre tracts in a proration unit; and we further suggest permission to drill within 330 feet of the exterior boundary of the unit."

MR. UTZ: Any other statements in the case? The case will be taken under advisement.

(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned.)

PHONEROUE, N. M. PHONE 243 6691



STATE OF NEW MEXICO

COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in stenotype and reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision; that the same is a true and correct record of said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal this 3rd day of July, 1962. in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

My Commission Expires: June 19, 1963.

> I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner negring of Case No. 2. 5.24.

> . Examiner Commission New Mexico Oil Conservation

BOTTOM HOLE PRESSURE HISTORY

T. P. COAL & OIL CO. - STATE "O" #1 - UNIT J SEC. 15-10-36

DATE	EHP	PRESSURE DEPTH
12- 4-54	4794	12,300
2-16-56	4873	12,269
4- 2-56	4824	12,269
7- 4-56	4928	12,269
2- 4-57	4894	12,269
11- 3-57	4887	12,269
5~ 2-58	4765	12,269

As of April 1, 1958 this well had produced 208,226 barrels of oil.



WELL DATA

HILL & MEEKER - SOUTH CROSSROADS - DEVONIAN POOL

-	WELL	COMPLETED	D. F. ELEVATION	TOTAL DEPTH	CASING	TOP OF PAY	INITIAL POTENTIAL
Santa	a Fe "22" #1	June 1, 1962	4022	12,260	$5\frac{1}{2}$ " - 12,245 w/550 sacks.	12,245	F 320 BO, no water in 24 hours on 10/64" ch. Tbg. Press850 psi.
T. P.	. State "22" #1	Feb. 19, 1962	4027	12,260	$5\frac{1}{2}$ " - 12,249 w/500 sacks.	12,245	F 324 BO, No water in 24 hours on 10/64" choke.

	WELL				
	Santa Fe "22" #1	T. P. State "22" #1			
Gas-Oil Ratio	560	525			
Initial BHP	4575 psi.	4600 psi.			

DRILL STEM TESTS

Hill & Meeker - Santa Fe "22" #1

Date: May 28, 1962.

Interval: 12,140 to 12,260'.

Open: 65 Minutes. Flowed oil to surface in 55 minutes.

30 Minute Initial Shut-in Pressure - 4575 psi.

80 Minute Final Shut-in Pressure - 4575 psi.

Flow Pressure - 1750 to 3965 psi.

Final Shut-in reached maximum pressure practically instantaneously.

Hill & Meeker - T. P. State "22" #1

Date: Feb. 13, 1962.

Interval: 12,128' to 12,259'

Open: 74 Minutes. Flowed oil to surface in 66 minutes.

30 Minute initial Shut-in Pressure - 4600 psi.

30 Minute final Shut-in Pressure - 4560 psi.

Flow Pressure: 1550 to 4291 psi.

Final Shut-in reached maximum pressure practically

instantaneously, indicating exceptionally high permeability.

DRILL STEM TESTS

Hill & Meeker - Santa Fe "22" #1

Date: May 28, 1962.

Interval: 12,140' to 12,260'.

Open: 65 Minutes. Flowed oil to surface in 55 minutes.

30 Minute Initial Shut-in Pressure - 4575 psi.

80 Minute Final Shut-in Pressure - 4575 psi.

Flow Pressure - 1750 to 3965 psi.

Final Shut-in reached maximum pressure practically instantaneously.

Hill & Meeker - T. P. State "22" #1

Date: Feb. 13, 1962.

Interval: 12,128' to 12,259'

Open: 74 Minutes. Flowed oil to surface in 66 minutes.

30 Minute initial Shut-in Pressure - 4600 psi.

30 Minute final Shut-in Pressure - 4560 psi.

Flow Pressure: 1550 to 4291 psi.

Final Shut-in reached maximum pressure practically

instantaneously, indicating exceptionally high permeability.