

**JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H,
614H, 615H, 509H, 510H)
[fJMB2221553059]**

**C-144/ Permit Approval
with Conditions**

[4323] CHEVRON USA INC

August 3, 2022

From: [Burdine, Jaclyn, EMNRD](#)
To: [jvallejo@chevron.com](#); [jessicazemen@chevron.com](#)
Cc: [Enviro, OCD, EMNRD](#); [cawq@chevron.com](#); [rachel.cruz@arcadis.com](#)
Subject: JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Approval with Conditions
Date: Wednesday, August 3, 2022 4:10:00 PM

JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059]
Temporary Pit non-low chloride fluids.

Good Afternoon Mr. Vallejo,

NMOCD has reviewed [4323] CHEVRON USA INC, Application and Form C-144 received on July 28, 2022, for the proposed JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059], Temporary Pit in Unit Letters M & D, Sections 11 & 14, Township 24S, Range 31E, Eddy County, New Mexico.

[4323] CHEVRON USA INC in the Application requested the following two variances from the requirements of 19.15.17 NMAC – Pits, Closed-Loop Systems, Below-Grade Tanks and Sumps:

1. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC proposes a closure timeline based on the date of the first occurrence of Rig Down Move Out (RDMO). RDMO is defined as the activity when the drilling rig is moved off location. Typically, RDMO occurs after the completion of drilling the last well on the pad. On pads where the Operator plans to return to the pad, multiple RDMO dates occur. This variance does not consider subsequent RDMO affecting the closure timeline dates after the first RDMO. The Operator proposes dewatering the pit within 30 days of RDMO and proposes closing the pits within 1 year of RDMO.
2. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC proposes the use of 40-mil High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Liner for Temporary Pit in lieu of 20 mil string reinforced Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) Liner.

Subject to the conditions specified below, NMOCD approves the following variances:

1. The variance from 19.15.17.7.R NMAC, which requires that a pit be closed no later than six (6) months after removal of the drilling or workover rig from the first well using the pit.
2. The variance from 19.15.17.11.F.3 NMAC, which requires the pit to be equipped with a of 20-mil string reinforced LLDPE or equivalent liner material that the appropriate division district office approves.

[4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall comply with the following conditions of approval:

1. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC may use the Pit for five (5) wells drilled from the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059].
2. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall use the facility identification number [fJMB2221553059] in all

communications with OCD regarding JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit.

3. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall design, construct, operate, maintain, and close JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit in compliance with 19.15.17 NMAC - Pits, Closed-Loop Systems, Below-Grade-Tanks and Sumps.
4. The design and construction plan, included as Appendix D of the Application, is approved. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall design and construct JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit as described in the approved plan. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall apply for a permit modification for any change to the plan.
5. The closure plan, included as Appendix F of the Application, is approved. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall close the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit as described in the approved plan. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall apply for a permit modification for any change to the plan.
6. Prior to commencing construction of the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit, [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall submit to OCD a Form C-102, including a certified survey, as required by 19.15.17.9(C)(2) NMAC via [OCD Online](#).
7. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall inspect JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit at least once per month during construction for compliance with the approved design and construction plan. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall maintain a log of each inspection and provide a copy of the log through [OCD Online](#) for each quarter beginning fifteen days (15) after the end of the quarter during construction.
8. If [4323] CHEVRON USA INC encounters a void or collapse during construction, operation, maintenance, or closure of the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit, [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall immediately cease the activity, notify OCD through [OCD Online](#), within twenty-four (24) hours, and take corrective action approved by OCD.
9. No later than seventy-two (72) hours prior to installing the 40-mil HDPE liner, [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall notify the OCD through [OCD Online](#).
10. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall inspect JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit at least once per day for liner integrity, freeboard height, fluid level, debris, migratory birds and other wildlife, and releases while the drilling or workover rig is on location, and once per week after removal of the rig but prior to dewatering the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall maintain a log of each inspection and provide a copy of the log through [OCD Online](#) for each quarter beginning fifteen days (15) after the end of the quarter during construction.
11. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall maintain no less than two (2) feet of freeboard at the Pit at all

times.

12. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall construct and maintain a fence around the perimeter of the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit at all times after the completion of construction.
13. No later than thirty (30) days after the date of any of the following events, [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall drain and dewater the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit:
 - a. The release of the drilling or workover rig from the last well as reported to the OCD on Form C-105; or
 - b. The removal of the drilling or workover rig from the pad if the well is not completed; or
 - c. If the drilling or workover rig is located at the pad, one hundred eight one (181) days after the rig became inactive.
14. No later than six (6) months after the date of any of the following events, [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall close JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059]:
 - a. The release of the drilling or workover rig from the last well as reported to the OCD on Form C-105; or
 - b. The removal of the drilling or workover rig from the pad if the well is not completed; or
 - c. If the drilling or workover rig is located at the pad, one hundred eight one (181) days after the rig became inactive.
15. After [4323] CHEVRON USA INC drains and dewateres JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit, it shall inspect the Pit for liner integrity, fluid level, debris, migratory birds and other wildlife, and releases once per week until the installation of the top geomembrane cover and the placement of the cover soils in accordance with the closure plan.
16. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall maintain a log of each inspection and provide a copy of the log to OCD via [OCD Online](#) for each quarter beginning fifteen days (15) days after the end of the quarter in which the Pit is dewatered and drained. If [4323] CHEVRON USA INC observes fluid in the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit during an inspection, it shall notify OCD's Environmental Bureau at through [OCD Online](#), remove the fluid immediately, and submit a report characterizing the nature, volume, and source of the fluid via [OCD Online](#).
17. After [4323] CHEVRON USA INC has drained and dewatered the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] Pit, Chevron shall not discharge fluid into the Pit for any purpose except for an emergency as provided in 19.15.17.14 NMAC.
18. [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall comply with 19.15.29 NMAC - Releases for any release related to or associated with the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H)

[fJMB2221553059].

19. No later than seventy-two (72) hours prior to installing the top geomembrane cover and cover soil on the JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059], [4323] CHEVRON USA INC shall notify the OCD via [OCD Online](#).

This letter constitutes NMOCD's conditions of approval of the variances. Please reference JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059] in all future communications

Please let me know if you any additional questions or concerns.

Jackie Burdine • Environmental Specialist-Advanced – Administrative Permitting Program
EMNRD - Oil Conservation Division
1220 S. St. Francis Drive | Santa Fe, NM 87505
505.469.6769 Jaclyn.Burdine1@state.nm.us
<http://www.emnrd.nm.gov/oed>

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised April 3, 2017

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOC District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOC District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action: ☐ Below grade tank registration
☒ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
☐ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Chevron USA Inc. OGRID #: 4323
Address: 6301 Deauville Blvd., Midland, TX 79706
Facility or well name: Javelina Unit 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H)
API Number: Pending OCD Permit Number: Facility ID: [fJMB2221553059]
U/L or Qtr/Qtr M & D Section 11 & 14 Township 24S Range 31E County: Eddy
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 32.22482 Longitude -103.75589 NAD83
Surface Owner: ☒ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
☒ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☒ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☒ no
☒ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness 40 mil ☐ LLDPE ☒ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☒ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: 1 x 17,900 bbl, 1 x 10,800 bbl Dimensions: L 291 ft x W 196 ft x D 8 ft

3.
☐ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: _____ bbl Type of fluid: _____
Tank Construction material: _____
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _____
Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks*)
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)
☒ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify _____

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other _____☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers☒ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

Variations and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:☒ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. **See Variance Requests**☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC***Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.*****General siting****Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.**- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells☐ Yes ☐ No
☒ NA**Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.**- ☒ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☒ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ NA**See Appendices A, B, Figure 7**Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

See Figures 2 & 7Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

See Figure 4Within an unstable area. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

See Figures 6, 8, 9, Appendix GWithin a 100-year floodplain. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- FEMA map

See Figure 3**Below Grade Tanks**

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

☐ Yes ☐ No

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;

☐ Yes ☐ No

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)

☐ Yes ☐ No

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

☐ Yes ☐ No

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.
NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

See Figure 6

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

See Figure 2

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

See Appendices A, B, and Figures 1 & 2

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 300 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

See Figures 2, 5, & 6

☐ Yes ☒ No

Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

☒ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

See Appendix C

☒ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC **Attached**

☒ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC **See Appendix D**

☒ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC **See Appendix E**

☒ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC

☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.

☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12. **Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist:** Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
☐ Emergency Response Plan
☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
☐ Erosion Control Plan
☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13. **Proposed Closure:** 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

- Type: ☒ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
☐ Alternative
- Proposed Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal
☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☒ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☒ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
☐ Alternative Closure Method

14. **Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist:** (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
☐ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15. **Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only):** 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

- | | |
|---|--|
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells
See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> NA |
| Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells
See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> NA |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells
See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> NA |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site
See Figure 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image
See Figure 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site See Figures 2, 5 & 6	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality See Figure 2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division See Figure 4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map See Figures 6, 8, & 9, Appendix G	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- FEMA map See Figure 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☒ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC **Attached**
☒ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☒ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
See Appendix D
☒ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
See Appendix F
☒ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**

17.
Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): Tony Vallejo Title: Sr. Workforce Safety & Environmental Specialist - Factory

Signature: Tony Vallejo Date: 7/27/2022

e-mail address: jvallejo@chevron.com Telephone: O: 432-687-7524 or C: 325-450-1413

18.
OCD Approval: ☒ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☐ Closure Plan (only) ☒ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: Jaclyn Burdine Approval Date: 08/03/2022

Title: Environmental Specialist-A OCD Permit Number: Facility ID: [fJMB2221553059]

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☐ Closure Completion Date: _____

20.
Closure Method:

- ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☐ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☐ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☐ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☐ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude _____ Longitude _____ NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____



July 27, 2022

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
811 S. First St.
Artesia, NM 88210

Via Electronic Submittal

RE: Chevron USA Incorporated Temporary Pit Application

Javelina Unit 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H)
Section 11 and Section 14 of T24S, R31E, Eddy County

Ms. Victoria Venegas,

Enclosed is a complete C-144 permit application for a Temporary Pit with non-low chloride drilling fluid located at an existing Chevron USA Inc. BLM lease #USA NMNM 141882 located in Section 11 and Section 14, T24S R31E. This package includes the following documentation:

- C-144 for Non-Low Chloride Temporary Pit
- Siting Criteria Demonstration
- Siting Criteria Figures 1-11
- Variance Requests
- Appendix A – USGS Groundwater Data
- Appendix B – NMOSE Water Data
- Appendix C – Hydrogeologic Data
- Appendix D – Design Plan
- Appendix E – Operating and Maintenance Plan
- Appendix F – Closure Plan
- Appendix G – Evaluation of Unstable Conditions
- Attachments 1 - 4

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you require any additional information or clarification supporting the approval of this application.

Sincerely,

Tony Vallejo
Sr. Workforce Safety &
Environmental Specialist – Factory
jvallejo@chevron.com

Chinedu Akwukwaegbu
Wells Engineer
cawq@chevron.com

Rachel Cruz
Project Manager (Arcadis U.S., Inc.)
rachel.cruz@arcadis.com

Chevron USA Incorporated
Chevron USA Inc.
6301 Deauville Blvd
Midland, TX 79706
Tel 432 687 7524

**C-144 Permit Package
Javelina Unit 613, Temporary Pit
Section 11 & Section 14 of T24S, R31E, Eddy County**

Javelina Unit / 613H

Javelina Unit / 614H

Javelina Unit / 615H

Javelina Unit / 509H

Javelina Unit / 510H

Chevron USA Incorporated
6301 Deauville Blvd.
Midland, TX 79706
(432) 687-7524

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised April 3, 2017

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOC District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOC District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action: ☐ Below grade tank registration
☒ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
☐ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Chevron USA Inc. OGRID #: 4323
Address: 6301 Deauville Blvd., Midland, TX 79706
Facility or well name: Javelina Unit 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H)
API Number: Pending OCD Permit Number: Facility ID: [fJMB2221553059]
U/L or Qtr/Qtr M & D Section 11 & 14 Township 24S Range 31E County: Eddy
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 32.22482 Longitude -103.75589 NAD83
Surface Owner: ☒ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
☒ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☒ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☒ no
☒ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness 40 mil ☐ LLDPE ☒ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☒ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: 1 x 17,900 bbl, 1 x 10,800 bbl Dimensions: L 291 ft x W 196 ft x D 8 ft

3.
☐ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: _____ bbl Type of fluid: _____
Tank Construction material: _____
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _____
Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks*)
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)
☒ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify _____

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other _____☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers☒ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

Variations and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:☒ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. **See Variance Requests**☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC***Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.*****General siting****Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.**- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells☐ Yes ☐ No☒ NA**Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.**- ☒ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☒ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells☐ Yes ☒ No☐ NA**See Appendices A, B, Figure 7**Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

See Figures 2 & 7Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

See Figure 4Within an unstable area. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

See Figures 6, 8, 9, Appendix GWithin a 100-year floodplain. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)**☐ Yes ☒ No

- FEMA map

See Figure 3**Below Grade Tanks**

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

☐ Yes ☐ No

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;

☐ Yes ☐ No

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)

☐ Yes ☐ No

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

☐ Yes ☐ No

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.
NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

See Figure 6

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

See Figure 2

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

See Appendices A, B, and Figures 1 & 2

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 300 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

See Figures 2, 5, & 6

☐ Yes ☒ No

Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☒ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- See Appendix C**
- ☒ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC **Attached**
- ☒ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC **See Appendix D**
- ☒ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC **See Appendix E**
- ☒ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
- ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
- ☐ Emergency Response Plan
- ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- ☐ Erosion Control Plan
- ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

- Type: ☒ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
☐ Alternative
- Proposed Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal
☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☒ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☒ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- ☐ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells <p>See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
 <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> |
| <p>Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells <p>See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
 <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> |
| <p>Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells <p>See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
 <input type="checkbox"/> NA</p> |
| <p>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site <p>See Figure 6</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> |
| <p>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image <p>See Figure 2</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> |
| <p>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</p> | <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> |

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site See Appendices A & B, and Figure 7	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site See Figures 2, 5 & 6	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality See Figure 2	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division See Figure 4	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map See Figures 6, 8, & 9, Appendix G	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
- FEMA map See Figure 3	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☒ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC **Attached**
☒ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☒ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
See Appendix D
☒ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
See Appendix F
☒ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**
☒ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC **See Appendix F**

17.
Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): Tony Vallejo Title: Sr. Workforce Safety & Environmental Specialist - Factory

Signature: Tony Vallejo Date: 7/27/2022

e-mail address: jvallejo@chevron.com Telephone: O: 432-687-7524 or C: 325-450-1413

18.
OCD Approval: ☒ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☐ Closure Plan (only) ☒ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: Jaclyn Burdine Approval Date: 08/03/2022

Title: Environmental Specialist-A OCD Permit Number: Facility ID: [fJMB2221553059]

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☐ Closure Completion Date: _____

20.
Closure Method:

- ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☐ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☐ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☐ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☐ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude _____ Longitude _____ NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

Siting Criteria Demonstration (19.15.17.10)

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Depth to Groundwater, 19.15.17.10.3(a)

Figure 7, Appendices A & B, and the discussion presented below demonstrate that the groundwater within the broader area of the proposed site ranges from 66 to 868 feet near the proposed temporary pit.

Figure 7 depicts the location of the pit relative to the locations of water wells within 5 miles of the pit for which water level data are available. Depth to water for the most recent, reliable measurement and the well identification number are shown adjacent to each well on **Figure 7**. The approximate boundary of the Pecos River Basin alluvial aquifer is located approximately 4.4 miles to the southwest of the Temporary Pit (**Figure 7**). Water well data, including gauging dates, are detailed in **Appendix A** (USGS) and **Appendix B** (NMOSE). Six water wells located within 5 miles of the temporary pit were gauged by USGS at 66 ft or more bgs.

- The nearest USGS-gauged water well to the pit location is located approximately 3.0 miles to the west and is likely completed in the Triassic Dockum Formation. In 2012, the water level was gauged at a depth of 74.4' bgs as reported in the USGS database.
- Farther to the west, a USGS-gauged water well is located approximately 4.3 miles from the proposed pit location and is completed in the Rustler Formation. In 1961, the water level was gauged at a depth of 367' bgs as reported in the USGS database.
- To the south-southwest, a USGS-gauged well is located approximately 3.7 miles away and is completed in the Rustler Formation. Water level was gauged at 740' bgs as reported in the USGS database.
- To the south, a USGS-gauged well is located approximately 4.0 miles away and is reportedly completed in the Seven Rivers Formation. In 1998, the water level was gauged at 406.4' bgs as reported in the USGS database.
- To the north, the nearest well is located approximately 3.0 miles away and is likely completed in the Alluvium and / or the Triassic Dockum. A water level of 100' bgs in 2012 is reported in the USGS database for this well.
- Another USGS-gauged well is located approximately 4.7 miles northwest of the proposed pit location. This well is reportedly completed in the Dewey Lake Redbeds but is likely completed in the Triassic Dockum and a water level of 139.9' bgs in 1972 was reported for this well in the USGS database.

Five water wells located within 5 miles of the temporary pit were gauged by NMOSE with reported water levels greater than 205 ft bgs.

- The nearest NMOSE water well to the pit location is located approximately 1.3 miles to the north and is likely completed in the Triassic Dockum Formation. A water level of 160' bgs was reported by the NMOSE for this well.
- Also to the north at a distance of approximately 1.7 miles, another water NMOSE-gauged water well is completed in the Triassic Dockum Formation. A water level of 205' bgs is reported in the NMOSE database.

- Farther to the north, a NMOSE well is located 3.5 miles away and appears to be completed in the Triassic Dockum Formation. Water level was reported at 430' bgs in the NMOSE database.
- To the south, a NMOSE-gauged well is located approximately 1.2 miles away and appears to be completed in the Rustler Formation. A water level of 850' bgs is reported in the NMOSE database for this well.
- Another NMOSE well is shown approximately 2.4 miles southeast of the proposed pit location. This well appears to be completed in the Rustler Formation. A water level of 868 ft bgs is reported in the NMOSE database for this well.
- Other NMOSE database wells are located within 5 miles of the temporary pit but no water level data are reported.

The proposed temporary pit area and vicinity are underlain by recent eolian deposits consisting of drift sand a few feet in thickness and local occurrences of sand dunes (**Figure 9**). The eolian deposits are underlain by Pleistocene to recent alluvial deposits consisting of unconsolidated to partially consolidated sand, silt, gravel, clay and caliche. (Arcadis 2020). Alluvium thickness in this area appears to be approximately 100 feet or less. Triassic Dockum strata underlie the alluvium deposits and its thickness appears to be approximately 400 to 500 feet. The Dockum Group has been divided into three formations: lower red shale, siltstone, and very fine-grained sandstone called the Tecovas Formation (or Pierce Canyon redbeds); middle reddish-brown and gray sandstone called the Santa Rosa sandstone; and upper brick-red to maroon and purple shale with thin beds of fine red or gray sandstone and siltstone called the Chinle Formation.

A 2018 Geotechnical report was prepared based on five soil boring logs drilled in Section 11, approximately 500 feet north of the proposed temporary pit location (Attachment 2). Groundwater was not encountered in these borings.

Proximity to Surface Water, 19.15.17.10.3(b)

Figure 6 visualizes USGS contour lines and the USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD). The map demonstrates that the location is not within 1,000 feet of a continuously flowing waterway course, any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake.

- The nearest surface water feature (Pecos River) is approximately 13.2 miles west of the pit location.
- There are NHD features (ephemeral) approximately 3.2 miles west of the pit location.

Proximity to Occupied Residences, Schools, Hospitals, Institutions or Churches, 19.15.17.10.3(c)

The ESRI aerial imagery in **Figure 2** demonstrates that the location is not within 300 feet of occupied residences, schools, hospitals, institutions or churches.

- There are no structures within 1,000 feet of the pit location other than oil and gas operations.

Proximity to springs and/or Domestic Freshwater Wells 19.15.17.10.3(d)

No springs or domestic freshwater wells have been mapped within 300 ft of the pit locations.

Proximity to Incorporated Municipal Boundaries and Fresh Water Well Fields 19.15.17.10.3(e)

Figure 1 demonstrates that the location is not within incorporated municipal boundaries or defined municipal fresh water well fields covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.

- The closest municipality is the city of Malaga, approximately 18.2 miles to the west.

Proximity to Wetlands, 19.15.17.10.3(f)

Utilizing USFWS wetland data, **Figure 5** demonstrates that the proposed location is not located within 300 feet of a wetland.

Proximity to Subsurface Mines, 19.15.17.10.3(g)

Analysis of aerial imagery in the vicinity of the proposed temporary pit show that the nearest mines are all surficial caliche pits. There are no subsurface mines in the area as indicated in **Figure 4**.

Proximity to Unstable Area, 19.15.17.10.3(h)

Figure 8 identifies the location of the proposed temporary pit with respect to Bureau of Land Management (BLM) mapped potential karst areas. The proposed Temporary Pit is mapped in a "Low Potential" karst area. The area lies near the northeast margin of the Delaware Basin. Bedrock cropping out beneath the proposed project area is comprised of the Triassic-aged Dockum Group. Underlying the Dockum Group are the Dewey Lake redbeds. Both of these formations are composed chiefly of clastic (insoluble), non-karst-forming rocks. Beneath these formations are Permian-aged rocks of the Rustler and Salado Formations. These rocks contain significant beds of halite (i.e., rock salt) and anhydrite, making them susceptible to karst formation. The top of the Rustler Formation in the proposed project area is approximately 800 feet below the land surface (Crowl et

al. 2011¹). Therefore, local karst potential is likely to be low. An Evaluation of Unstable Conditions is presented in Appendix G that details several lines of evidence in support of this position. In summary:

1. There are no dissolution features within 5-miles of the proposed location (**Figure 11**),
2. Karst forming strata are over ~1,000-feet deep beneath the proposed location (**Appendix G - Figure G.1**),
3. Arcadis field surveys of the area indicated no karst features were identified (**Attachment 1 and 2**),
4. Tetra Tech geotechnical report and boring log from the proposed two recycled water storage ponds site location did not indicate any karst potential (**Attachment 3**),
5. The Bureau of Land Management, Carlsbad Field Office prepared the Environmental Assessment (EA), document number - DOI-BLM-NM-2020-0972-EA, evaluating MarkWest Energy West Texas Gas Col., LLC. This EA did not identify karst as an issue that needed evaluation (**Attachment 4**).

In the unlikely event that a void occurs during construction or operation activities, all activities must stop immediately, and the BLM should then be contacted within 24 hours to devise the best management plan to protect the environment and human safety.

Proximity to Floodplains, 19.15.17.10.3(i)

The location is within an area that has not yet been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) with respect to the Flood Insurance Rate 100-Year Floodplain (**Figure 3**). In lieu of FEMA data, **Figure 10** visualizes the USDA – SSURGO Soils data for dominant flooding frequency condition. The Tonuco loamy fine sand (TF) is not mapped as an area with any indication of flooding.

¹ Crowl, W. J., D. E. Hulse, and G. Tucker, P.E., 2011. NI 43-101 Technical Report Prefeasibility Study for the Ochoa Project, Lea County, New Mexico. Prepared for IC Potash Corporation by Gustavsen and Associates, December 30, 2011, 301 p.


Site Specific Information, Figures 1-11

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit


Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

DIV/PROJECT: ENV/INDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM: DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM
PROJECT: PATH: T:\ENV\Chevron_MCBU\Pro\SandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613\1_Updated.aprx



LEGEND

- Proposed Temporary Pit
- 1,000 ft Buffer
- Townships



CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT

VICINITY MAP



FIGURE
1


Bureau of Land Management, Texas Parks & Wildlife, Esri, HERE, Garmin, GeoTechnologies, Inc., USGS, METI/NASA, NGA, EPA, USDA

DIV/GRP: ENV/INDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM:
PROJECT: PATH: T:_ENV\Chevron_MCBU\Pro\SandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613H_Updated.aprx DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM



LEGEND

-  Proposed Temporary Pit
-  1,000 ft Buffer




CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT

SITE OVERVIEW



FIGURE
2


DIV/PROJECT: ENV/INDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM: DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM
PROJECT: PATH: T:\ENV\Chevron_MCBU\Prod\SandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613\1_Updated.aprx



LEGEND

- Proposed Temporary Pit
- 1,000 ft Buffer
- Townships
- Sections

NOTE:
1. FLOODPLAIN DATA IS NOT AVAILAIBLE IN THIS MAP EXTENT.




CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT

FLOODPLAIN MAP

ARCADIS

FIGURE
3



DIV/PROJECT: ENV/INDV DB: Sharmayh4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM: DATE: 5/13/2022 11:08 AM
PROJECT: PATH: T:\ENV\Chevron_MCBU\ProSandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613\1_Updated.aprx

DIR\GROUP: ENV\INDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM:
PROJECT: PATH: T:\ENV\Chevron_MCBU\ProSandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613\1_Updated.aprx DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM




LEGEND

- Proposed Temporary Pit
- 1,000 ft Buffer
- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Pond
- Townships
- Sections

Notes:
1. No Wetlands Data in Map Extent.
2. USFWS - United States Fish and Wildlife Service
3. NWI - National Wetlands Inventory

Data Source: BLM CFO. 2018. USFWS NWI.



CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT

WETLANDS MAP







ARCADIS

FIGURE
5

DIR\GROUP: ENV\INDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM:
PROJECT: PATH: T:\ENV\Chevron_MCBU\Pro\SandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613H_Updated.aprx DATE: 5/13/2022 10:38 AM




LEGEND

-  Proposed Temporary Pit
-  1,000 ft Buffer
-  Wash
-  ArtificialPath
-  StreamRiver
-  USGS Contour Lines NM

Notes:
1. USGS - United Geological Survey
2. NHD - National Hydrography Dataset

Data Source: USGS NHD.




CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT

ELEVATION CONTOUR & NHD MAP



FIGURE
6

DIV/GRP: ENV/INDV DB: Sharmayh4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM:
PROJECT: PATH: T:\ENV\Chevron_MCBU\ProSandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613\ Updated.aprx DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM




LEGEND

- USGS Contour Lines
- 5 Mile Buffer
- Townships
- USGS Groundwater Wells
- OSE POD Wells**
 - Active
 - Pending
 - Plugged
 - Unknown
- Pecos River Basin Alluvial Aquifer

Notes:
1. USGS - United States Geological Survey
2. NMOSE - New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

Data Source: USGS and NMOSE.




CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT


**USGS AND NMOSE
GROUNDWATER WELLS**

ARCADIS

FIGURE
7



DIV/GRP: ENV/MDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM:
PROJECT: PATH: T:_ENV\Chevron_MCBU\ProSandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613H_Updated.aprx DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM



LEGEND

USGS Contour Lines NM

1,000 ft Buffer

Townships

Sections

Aquifer

Pecos River Basin Alluvial

Geology

Qe/Qp

Qp

Qe: Quaternary Eolian Deposits

Qp: Quaternary Piedmont Deposits

0

3,000

6,000

Feet

CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.

EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO


SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -

PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT


LOCAL GEOLOGY

ARCADIS

FIGURE
9









DIR\GROUP: ENV\INDV DB: Sharmay4948 LD: PIC: PM: TM: DATE: 5/13/2022 10:31 AM
PROJECT: PATH: T:_ENV\Chevron_MCBU\Pro\SandDunes\144 Figures\Javelina_613H_Updated.aprx



Center of Proposed Temporary Pit
32.224820°N, -103.755890°W

LEGEND

-  Proposed Temporary Pit
-  Bell Lake Sink
-  Area with Multiple Small Depressions
-  2 Mile Buffer
-  5 Mile Buffer



CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
SAND DUNES JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613 WELL -
PROPOSED TEMPORARY RESERVE PIT

**AERIAL IMAGERY OF
DISSOLUTION FEATURES**



FIGURE
11

Variance Requests

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Variance Requests**Javelina Unit 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H)****Temporary Pit****Variance Request 1 of 2 – Extension of Closure Timeline for Temporary Pit****Reason for the requested variance**

The Operator wishes to standardize closure practices and procedures across all active development areas where Temporary Pits are used. A closure timeline extension allows for improved flexibility in managing closure operations and would improve efficiency by allowing the closure of multiple pits during a single campaign.

The closure timeline is stated with the definition of a Temporary Pit, in that a pit *“must be closed within six months from the date the operator releases the drilling or workover rig from the first well using the pit”*.

For purposes of this variance, the Operator proposes a timeline based on the date of the first occurrence of Rig Down Move Out (RDMO). RDMO is defined as the activity when the drilling rig is moved off location. Typically, RDMO occurs after the completion of drilling the last well on the pad. On pads where the Operator plans to return to the pad, multiple RDMO dates occur. This variance does not consider subsequent RDMO affecting the closure timeline dates after the first RDMO. The Operator proposes dewatering the pit within 30 days of RDMO and proposes closing the pits within 1 year of RDMO.

The Operator uses a batch drilling process for drilling multiple wells on a single pad. The common procedure is to drill all the surface hole sections first followed by intermediate hole sections and finally production hole sections. The drilling rig skid moves to the next well without performing rig down activities when batch drilling. For the proposed four-well pad, the rig drills surfaces in the order of wells one to four, then intermediates in the order of wells four to one, and finally productions in the order of one to four. Note that specific orders may change based off well design and location specific factors, but the process of skidding and batch drilling is consistent throughout.

If the Operator ceases operations before drilling is complete and the rig is moved off the pad location, this constitutes a RDMO date and the 1-year closure criteria is based off the earliest RDMO date.

The Operator may utilize a shallow rig for drilling of only the surface and/or intermediate hole sections, if permitted to do so. The rig down and move out of the shallow rig does not constitute an RDMO date if the larger rig intending to drill production holes arrives within 3 months.

Demonstration that the variance will provide equal or better protection of fresh water, public health and the environment.

In order to uphold the Operator's commitment to people and the environment, the following assurances will be provided in excess of the baseline requirements of 19.15.17 NMAC.

- The Operator will dewater the Temporary Pit within 30 days after RDMO.
- The Operator will utilize a 40-mil HDPE liner, as proposed in **Variance 2**.
- No fluid will be stored in the pit for any purpose after the completion of drilling activities other than in the event of emergency actions as described in 19.15.17.14 NMAC.
- The pits will be visually inspected on a monthly basis between RDMO and closure.
- If fluid is seen in the pit during inspection, then the Operator will mobilize equipment to have the pits drained within 7 days.
- The operator will maintain a fence around the perimeter of the pits and ensure it remains in good repair until closure.

Variance Request 2 of 2 – Proposed Use of High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Liner for Temporary Pit in lieu of Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) Liner**Memorandum**

To: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD)

From: Chevron MCBU - Facilities Engineering Group

Subject: Variance Request for Use of HDPE Liner Material for Temporary Reserve Pits in New Mexico

Date: 7/23/2020

Chevron requests a variance to NMAC 19.15.17.11 (F) for use of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane for the lining of temporary drilling reserve pits. HDPE is a preferred material which Chevron will install during drilling reserve pit construction. Chevron will utilize an HDPE geomembrane which offers equal or better performance than a typically available 20-mil string reinforced linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) material detailed in 19.15.17.11 (F), NMAC. An HDPE liner of equivalent thickness or greater than the 20-mil LLDPE will be installed. The following are considered in the design for implementation of the HDPE material to ensure the product is an equivalent, to the LLDPE material described, for temporary reserve drilling pits in New Mexico.

- An HDPE liner that has a thickness of less than 30-mils will be installed in a reserve pit as a shop-fabricated, extruded liner, and will not be field welded. Only HDPE liners of 30-mils in thickness or greater will be field welded for use in the temporary reserve pits.
- HDPE has lower permeability compared to LLDPE. This provides high barrier protection for soils during drilling operations and usage of the pits.
- HDPE may be installed with an underlying geotextile or similar material to provide additional protection from puncture or stress cracking. The subgrade for the liner system will be screened of deleterious materials and rocks and will be suitable for the liner installation. The use of geotextile or similar material will be evaluated on a specific case-by-case basis by Chevron.
- The HDPE liner used in Chevron's temporary reserve pits will have an equivalent or higher tear resistance and puncture resistance than that of a typical 20-mil string reinforced liner.
- HDPE material properties and liner has improved UV resistance to degradation when compared to LLDPE. This allows for extended life and improved long-term durability in pit liner applications.

All requirements for temporary pits' design and construction will be met in accordance with NMAC 19.15.17.11 and liner compatibility will comply with EPA SW-846 Method 9090A. Any requirements that may not be able to be adequately addressed, will be addressed under a separate variance request on a case-by-case basis.

Disclaimer: Tetra Tech, Inc. has not evaluated the full design of temporary reserve pits for Chevron and is not involved in the construction or operation of Chevron's lined, temporary reserve pits. Chevron understands that they will ensure that specific pit designs meet the criteria and intent of the NMAC and applicable codes for each pit location and construction.



7/23/2020
Nathan Langford, P.E.
Tetra Tech, Inc.



TECHNICAL DATA SHEET

HDPE Series, 40 mils

Black, Smooth

2801 Boul. Marie-Victorin Varennes, Quebec Canada J3X 1P7
 Tel: (450) 929-1234 Sales: (450) 929-2544 Toll free in North America: 1-800-571-3904 www.Solmax.com www.solmax.com

PROPERTY	TEST METHOD	FREQUENCY ⁽¹⁾	UNIT Imperial	
SPECIFICATIONS				
Thickness (min. avg.)	ASTM D5199	Every roll	mils	40.0
Thickness (min.)	ASTM D5199	Every roll	mils	36.0
Melt Index - 190/2.16 (max.)	ASTM D1238	1/Batch	g/10 min	1.0
Sheet Density (8)	ASTM D792	Every 10 rolls	g/cc	≥ 0.940
Carbon Black Content	ASTM D4218	Every 2 rolls	%	2.0 - 3.0
Carbon Black Dispersion	ASTM D5596	Every 10 rolls	Category	Cat. 1 & Cat. 2
OIT - standard (avg.)	ASTM D3895	1/Batch	min	100
Tensile Properties (min. avg) (2)	ASTM D6693	Every 2 rolls		
Strength at Yield			ppi	88
Elongation at Yield			%	13
Strength at Break			ppi	162
Elongation at Break			%	700
Tear Resistance (min. avg.)	ASTM D1004	Every 5 rolls	lbf	28
Puncture Resistance (min. avg.)	ASTM D4833	Every 5 rolls	lbf	80
Dimensional Stability	ASTM D1204	Certified	%	± 2
Stress Crack Resistance (SP-NCTL)	ASTM D5397	1/Batch Per	hr	500
Oven Aging - % retained after 90 days	ASTM D5721	formulation		
HP OIT (min. avg.)	ASTM D5885		%	80
UV Res. - % retained after 1600 hr	ASTM D7238	Per formulation		
HP-OIT (min. avg.)	ASTM D5885		%	50
Low Temperature Brittleness	ASTM D746	Certified	°F	- 106
SUPPLY SPECIFICATIONS (Roll dimensions may vary ±1%)				

NOTES

1. Testing frequency based on standard roll dimension and one batch is approximately 180,000 lbs (or one railcar).
2. Machine Direction (MD) and Cross Machine Direction (XMD or TD) average values should be on the basis of 5 specimens each direction.
8. Correlation table is available for ASTM D792 vs ASTM D1505. Both methods give the same results.

* All values are nominal test results, except when specified as minimum or maximum.

* The information contained herein is provided for reference purposes only and is not intended as a warranty of guarantee. Final determination of suitability for use contemplated is the sole responsibility of the user. SOLMAX assumes no liability in connection with the use of this information.

Solmax is not a design professional and has not performed any design services to determine if Solmax's goods comply with any project plans or specifications, or with the application or use of Solmax's goods to any particular system, project, purpose, installation or specification.



TECHNICAL DATA SHEET

HDPE Series, 40 mils

Black, Top Side Single Textured

2801 Boul. Marie-Victorin Varennes, Quebec Canada J3X 1P7
 Tel: (450) 929-1234 Sales: (450) 929-2544 Toll free in North America: 1-800-571-3904 www.Solmax.com www.solmax.com

PROPERTY	TEST METHOD	FREQUENCY ⁽¹⁾	UNIT Imperial	
SPECIFICATIONS				
Nominal Thickness		-	mils	40
Thickness (min. avg.)	ASTM D5994	Every roll	mils	38.0
Lowest ind. for 8 out of 10 values			mils	36.0
Lowest ind. for 10 out of 10 values			mils	34.0
Asperity Height (min. avg.) (3)	ASTM D7466	Every roll	mils	16
Textured side		-		Top
Melt Index - 190/2.16 (max.)	ASTM D1238	1/Batch	g/10 min	1.0
Sheet Density (8)	ASTM D792	Every 10 rolls	g/cc	≥ 0.940
Carbon Black Content	ASTM D4218	Every 2 rolls	%	2.0 - 3.0
Carbon Black Dispersion	ASTM D5596	Every 10 rolls	Category	Cat. 1 & Cat. 2
OIT - standard (avg.)	ASTM D3895	1/Batch	min	100
Tensile Properties (min. avg) (2)	ASTM D6693	Every 2 rolls		
Strength at Yield			ppi	88
Elongation at Yield			%	13
Strength at Break			ppi	88
Elongation at Break			%	150
Tear Resistance (min. avg.)	ASTM D1004	Every 5 rolls	lbf	30
Puncture Resistance (min. avg.)	ASTM D4833	Every 5 rolls	lbf	90
Dimensional Stability	ASTM D1204	Certified	%	± 2
Stress Crack Resistance (SP-NCTL)	ASTM D5397	1/Batch Per	hr	500
Oven Aging - % retained after 90 days	ASTM D5721	formulation		
HP OIT (min. avg.)	ASTM D5885		%	80
UV Res. - % retained after 1600 hr	ASTM D7238	Per formulation		
HP-OIT (min. avg.)	ASTM D5885		%	50
Low Temperature Brittleness	ASTM D746	Certified	°F	- 106
SUPPLY SPECIFICATIONS (Roll dimensions may vary ±1%)				

NOTES

1. Testing frequency based on standard roll dimension and one batch is approximately 180,000 lbs (or one railcar).
2. Machine Direction (MD) and Cross Machine Direction (XMD or TD) average values should be on the basis of 5 specimens each direction.
3. Lowest individual and 8 out of 10 readings as per GRI-GMI3 / 17, latest version.
8. Correlation table is available for ASTM D792 vs ASTM D1505. Both methods give the same results.

(Rev. 03 / 2018-05-31)

Appendix A

United States Geological Survey
Groundwater Data

USGS 321648103482101 23S.31E.29.11333**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°16'48", Longitude 103°48'21" NAD27


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13060011

Well depth: 220 feet

Land surface altitude: 3,336 feet above NAVD88.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Dewey Lake Redbeds" (312DYLK) local aquifer.



USGS 321609103445901 23S.31E.26.34411**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°16'11.9", Longitude 103°45'01.2" NAD83


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13060011

Well depth: 365 feet

Land surface altitude: 3,451.00 feet above NGVD29.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Dewey Lake Redbeds" (312DYLK) local aquifer.

**USGS 321443103472403 24S.31E.04.1341 H-9C****DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°14'53", Longitude 103°47'18" NAD27

Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13060011

Well depth: not determined.

Land surface altitude: 3,397 feet above NGVD29.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Rustler Formation, Unnamed Lower Member" (312RSLRL) local aquifer

*No graph available

USGS 321334103494901 24S.30E.12.432344**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°13'34", Longitude 103°49'49" NAD27


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13060011

Well depth: 500 feet

Land surface altitude: 3,522 feet above NAVD88.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Rustler Formation" (312RSLR) local aquifer



USGS 321310103482101 24S.31E.17.13120**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°13'14.1", Longitude 103°48'23.4" NAD83


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13060011

Well depth: not determined.

Land surface altitude: 3,530.00 feet above NGVD29.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Alluvium, Bolson Deposits and Other Surface Deposits" (110AVMB) local aquifer.



USGS 321034103465501 24S.31E.33.231113**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°10'38.2", Longitude 103°46'53.0" NAD83


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13070001

Well depth: 740 feet

Land surface altitude: 3,461.00 feet above NGVD29.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Rustler Formation" (312RSLR) local aquifer.



USGS 320952103444401 25S.31E.02.214411**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°09'50.0", Longitude 103°44'41.2" NAD83


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13070001

Well depth: not determined.

Land surface altitude: 3,468.0 feet above NGVD29.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Azotea Tongue of Seven Rivers Formation" (313AZOT) local aquifer.



USGS 320932103443801 25S.31E.02.23441**DESCRIPTION:**

Latitude 32°09'37.4", Longitude 103°44'29.6" NAD83


Eddy County, New Mexico, Hydrologic Unit 13070001

Well depth: 1016 feet

Land surface altitude: 3,460.00 feet above NGVD29.

Well completed in "Other aquifers" (N9999OTHER) national aquifer.

Well completed in "Rustler Formation" (312RSLR) local aquifer.



Appendix B

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

Water Column/Average Depth to Water Data



New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

Water Column/Average Depth to Water

(A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)

(R=POD has been replaced,
O=orphaned,
C=the file is closed)

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)

(quarters are smallest to largest)

(NAD83 UTM in meters)

(In feet)

POD Number	POD Sub-Code	basin	County	Q 64	Q 16	Q 4	Sec	Tws	Rng	X	Y	Distance	Depth Well	Depth Water	Water Column
C 02440	C	ED		2	3	10	24S	31E		616103	3566599*	1211	350		
C 04508 POD1	CUB	ED		4	4	3	15	24S	31E	616298	3564493	1812	110		
C 04576 POD1	CUB	ED		1	2	1	23	24S	31E	617700	3564324	1816	910	850	60
C 02460	C	ED			3	02	24S	31E		617496	3568022*	1975	320		
C 02460 POD2	C	ED			3	02	24S	31E		617496	3568022*	1975	320		
C 04388 POD1	C	ED		3	2	1	23	24S	31E	617546	3564006	2093	910	868	42
C 02464	C	ED		2	3	1	02	24S	31E	617645	3568581	2552	320	205	115
C 02405	CUB	ED		4	1	02	24S	31E		617690	3568631*	2609	275	160	115
C 02661	CUB	ED		3	3	1	04	24S	31E	613969	3568485*	4028	708		
C 02785	CUB	ED		3	3	1	04	24S	31E	613969	3568485*	4028	692		
C 02783	CUB	ED		3	3	1	04	24S	31E	613911	3568461	4060	708		
C 02783 POD2	CUB	ED		3	3	1	04	24S	31E	613911	3568461	4060	672		
C 02784	C	ED		4	2	4	04	24S	31E	613911	3568461	4060	584		
C 04499 POD1	CUB	ED		3	4	2	20	24S	31E	613719	3563732	4187	111		
C 04633 POD1	CUB	ED		2	1	1	35	24S	31E	617394	3561170	4903			
C 02348	C	ED		1	4	3	26	23S	31E	617648	3571068	5019	700	430	270
C 04636 POD1	CUB	ED		3	4	3	25	24S	31E	619200	3561279	5193			
C 02258	C	ED		3	2	26	23S	31E		618055	3571853*	5847	662		
C 04593 POD1	CUB	ED		3	4	4	34	24S	31E	616903	3559674	6401	55		
C 02574	CUB	ED		1	1	2	02	25S	31E	618092	3559494*	6636			
C 02571	CUB	ED		4	1	2	02	25S	31E	618292	3559294*	6864	860		
C 02572	CUB	ED		4	2	2	02	25S	31E	618695	3559294*	6940	852		
C 02573	CUB	ED		1	4	2	02	25S	31E	618499	3559091*	7099			
C 04479 POD1	CUB	ED		2	1	1	04	25S	31E	614182	3559400	7317	0	0	0
C 02569	CUB	ED		4	4	2	02	25S	31E	618699	3558891*	7334	1016		
C 02568	CUB	ED		4	3	1	01	25S	31E	619103	3558892*	7427	1025		

*UTM location was derived from PLSS - see Help

(A CLW##### in the
POD suffix indicates the
POD has been replaced
& no longer serves a
water right file.)










(R=POD has
been replaced,
O=orphaned,
C=the file is
closed)

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)

(quarters are smallest to largest)

(NAD83 UTM in meters)

(In feet)

POD Number	POD Sub-Code	basin	County	Q 6	Q 4	Q 16	Sec	Tws	Rng	X	Y	Distance	Depth Well	Depth Water	Water Column
C 03558 POD1	CUB	ED	1	2	2	25	24S	30E	610412	3562651		7592	20	0	20
C 03558 POD2	CUB	ED	1	2	2	25	24S	30E	610412	3562651		7592	20	0	20
C 03558 POD3	CUB	ED	1	2	2	25	24S	30E	610412	3562651		7592	25	0	25
C 03558 POD4	CUB	ED	1	2	2	25	24S	30E	610412	3562651		7592	25	0	25
C 03558 POD5	CUB	ED	1	2	2	25	24S	30E	610412	3562651		7592	30	0	30
C 03702 POD1	CUB	ED	4	1	4	24	24S	30E	610092	3563204		7656	20		
C 02570	CUB	ED	4	2	4	02	25S	31E	618704	3558489*		7729	895		
C 03830 POD1	CUB	ED	4	2	4	02	25S	31E	618632	3558432		7771	450		
C 02954 EXPL	CUB	ED	3	1	4	20	23S	31E	613114	3572906*		7960	905		

Average Depth to Water: **228 feet**

Minimum Depth: **0 feet**

Maximum Depth: **868 feet**

Record Count: 35

Basin/County Search:

County: Eddy

UTMNA83 Radius Search (in meters):

Easting (X): 617192.69

Northing (Y): 3566069.54

Radius: 8045

*UTM location was derived from PLSS - see Help

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

6/30/22 1:41 PM

Page 2 of 2

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE
DEPTH TO WATER



New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

Water Column/Average Depth to Water

(A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)

(R=POD has been replaced, O=orphaned, C=the file is closed)

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)

(quarters are smallest to largest)

(NAD83 UTM in meters)

(In feet)

POD Number	POD Sub-Code	basin	County	Q 64	Q 16	Q 4	Sec	Tws	Rng	X	Y	Distance	Depth Well	Depth Water	Water Column
C 03530 POD1	C		LE	3	4	3	07	24S	32E	620886	3566156	3694	550		
C 03555 POD1	C		LE	2	2	1	05	24S	32E	622748	3569233	6393	600	380	220
C 03529 POD1	C		LE	2	4	3	29	23S	32E	622651	3571212	7499	550		

Average Depth to Water: **380 feet**

Minimum Depth: **380 feet**

Maximum Depth: **380 feet**

Record Count: 3

Basin/County Search:

County: Lea

UTMNAD83 Radius Search (in meters):

Easting (X): 617192.69

Northing (Y): 3566069.54

Radius: 8045

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

6/30/22 1:42 PM

Page 1 of 1

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE
DEPTH TO WATER

Released to Imaging: 8/3/2022 4:17:30 PM

Appendix C – Hydrogeologic Data

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Appendix C – Hydrogeologic Data

Sand Dunes 613

Temporary Pit

Topography and Surface Hydrology

The location of the proposed temporary pit is in Eddy County, New Mexico between the Mescalero Ridge and the Pecos River in the Pecos Valley section of the Great Plains physiographic province. The pit lies at an elevation of 3,450 feet above sea level and the relatively flat to gentle sloping terrain with many shallow depressions, but no well-established drainages. (**Figure 7**).

Surface water within the proposed pit area is affected naturally by the shallow geology, precipitation, and some water erosion. The area is located in the semi-arid southwest near the northern edge of the Chihuahuan Desert. The climate is characterized by low annual precipitation, low humidity, and high average annual temperature and ranges from dry subhumid to arid. Precipitation is quite variable both regionally and seasonally and averages about 12 inches or less annually with the greatest rainfall occurring as monsoonal storms during the summer months. The area is situated at the southwest edge of the Great Plains dust-bowl area and is sometimes subjected to severe windstorms (Nicholson and Clebsch 1961).

Southeastern Eddy County, including the proposed pit area, lies within the Lower Pecos River Basin. The major stream in this Basin is the Pecos River, which is located approximately 12 miles to the west in southeastern Eddy County. Surface water in the Lower Pecos River Basin comes from three main sources: inflow from the Upper Pecos River Basin, flood inflow from storm events, and groundwater base inflow.

Anthropogenic activities that currently affect surface water resources in the proposed pit area include livestock grazing management and oil and gas development. No draws or other well-established drainage features occur in the proposed pit area and no drainages were observed during a field survey (Arcadis 2018, 2020).

Soils

The soil complex mapped within the survey area is the Berino Complex (BB) and is described further in the following table. A map depicting the soils mapped within the area is provided in **Figure 10**.

Table 1 Soils Within the Survey Area

Soil Abbreviation and Name	Slope	Soil Type
BB – Berino Complex	0 to 3 percent slope	Deep

Loamy Sand Soil Type Description

All the soils within the survey area are classified as loamy sand soils. These loamy sand soils consist of the Berino. These soils are typically moderately deep or very deep soils that consist of loamy sand underlain by fine sands. Slopes range from 0 to 3 percent within these loamy sand soils. If these soils are unprotected by plant cover, they are easily wind blown into low hummocks. These soils have rapid permeability and are well drained. These soils support grassland vegetative communities dominated by species such as sand bluestem, yellow Indiangrass, black grama, dropseed species, and little bluestem. Dominant shrub species observed within these soils were creosote bush (*Larrea tridentate*), mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*), rubber rabbitbrush (*Ericameria nauseosa*), and yucca sp. (*Yucca sp.*). The annual grasses and forbs population will fluctuate with the variation of amount of rainfall annually and with the seasons. Without brush and graze control the vegetative communities within these soils will become shrub dominate, and there will be a loss of grass cover and increased surface soil erosion (USDA 2016).

Geology

The area in the vicinity of the proposed pit location is underlain by recent eolian deposits consisting of drift sand a few feet in thickness and local occurrences of sand dunes (**Figure 9**). The eolian deposits are underlain by Pleistocene to recent alluvial deposits consisting of unconsolidated to partially consolidated sand, silt, gravel, clay and caliche. A thin layer of Tertiary Ogallala Formation may underlie the alluvium. Alluvium thickness in this area appears to be approximately 100 feet or less. Triassic Dockum strata underlie the alluvium deposits and its thickness appears to be approximately 400 to 500 feet. The Dockum Group has been divided into three formations: lower red shale, siltstone, and very fine-grained sandstone called the Tecovas Formation (or Pierce Canyon redbeds); middle reddish-brown and gray sandstone called the Santa Rosa sandstone; and upper brick-red to maroon and purple shale with thin beds of fine red or gray sandstone and siltstone called the Chinle Formation.

- The Tecovas or Pierce Canyon redbeds (considered Permian by some geologists and sometimes correlated with the Dewey Lake redbeds) overlie the Rustler Formation. The Tecovas' thickness is approximately 350 feet and it consists of red sandy shale and fine-grained sandstones with greenish-gray inclusions.
- The Santa Rosa sandstone consists of reddish-brown and gray, medium- to coarse-grained, micaceous, well-cemented sandstone and conglomerate. The sandstone is typically cross-bedded and is interbedded with red shale and siltstone. The thickness of the Santa Rosa sandstone generally ranges from approximately 200 to 300 feet over most of the area where it occurs.

Appendix C – Hydrogeologic Data

- The Chinle Formation consists of a series of red shales and thin interbedded sandstones and appears to be about 200 feet thick in this area but can be as much as several hundred feet thick in other parts of southern Lea County (located to the east).

Dewey Lake redbeds (sometimes correlated with the Tecovas Formation) underlie the Triassic Dockum and overlie the Rustler Formation. Dewey Lake is a series of red beds consisting of micaceous red siltstone, shale, and sandstone with gypsum cementation

The Rustler Formation consists largely of anhydrite, gypsum, interbedded sandy clay and shale, and dolomitic limestone near the upper part of the formation. The Rustler overlies the Salado Formation and is approximately 400 feet thick in this area (Nicholson and Clebsch 1961). The Rustler typically consists of a lower clastic unit composed mainly of red and gray shale and some interbedded anhydrite and an upper anhydrite unit containing dolomitic limestone beds of varying thicknesses.

Geologic units in the general area which potentially contain usable groundwater are the Alluvium/Ogallala, the Dockum Group, and possibly the Rustler Formation.

Groundwater

In the vicinity of the proposed pit, the Rustler Formation, Dockum Group and the Alluvium have the potential to provide small quantities of water to water supply wells. However, no water wells were found at in the immediate vicinity of the proposed site (**Figure 7**) with the closest well located approximately 1.6 miles to the southwest. Several water wells have been identified within 2 to 5 miles of the site) which are used primarily to support domestic, livestock and / or oil and gas exploration and development water needs. The depths of the wells indicate that some are completed in the Alluvium, some in the Triassic Dockum and some completed in the lower part of the Triassic Dockum or the Rustler Formation.

Depth to Water: An analysis of publicly available data from the NMOSE and USGS indicate that the depth to groundwater beneath the proposed location is in excess of 66 feet based on the closest well which is approximately 8,500 feet from the proposed site. The depths to water within a 5-mile radius of the proposed site range from 66 feet (approximately 1.6 miles north of the proposed site) to 868 feet in a Rustler Formation well located approximately 2.8 southeast of the proposed site.

Groundwater within 5 miles of the proposed location appears to be present in the Pecos River Basin Alluvial aquifer contained within Quaternary deposits present at surface and underlain by the Triassic Dockum Formation. In this area, the Alluvium appears to be approximately 100 feet in thickness. The proposed location, however, is not located above the mapped extent of the Pecos River Basin Alluvial aquifer. The Triassic Dockum formations which underlie the Alluvium/Ogallala are also sources of potable water. There are several water wells within 5 miles of the location based on the USGS and NMOSE data and zero water wells within 1 mile of the location. Reported well yields in the NMOSE database for the water wells in the general area range up to 15 gallons

Appendix C – Hydrogeologic Data

per minute (gpm) for the shallow wells (300 feet or less in depth) and 35 gpm to 75 gpm for the deeper wells completed in the Triassic Dockum or Rustler Formation.

Recharge:

Recharge is by direct precipitation and infiltration from intermittent streamflow and subsurface groundwater flow from upgradient areas. The region is characterized by an annual precipitation of 10 to 20 inches and high average annual evaporation rates. Most recharge is episodic and associated with periods of heavy rainfall. Recharge is most likely to occur during long-duration rainfall events or periods of frequent, smaller rainfall events. Otherwise the water is has a high likelihood of being lost to evapotranspiration. The average annual recharge rate for the Pecos River Basin aquifer in the general area (including Lea County) is between 0 and 0.5 inches/year (Hutchison et al., 2011).

References

Arcadis. 2018. Sand Dunes Development Area. Environmental Field Survey. Prepared for Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

Arcadis. 2020. Sand Dunes Development Area. Pad 27. Environmental Field Survey. Prepared for Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

Hutchison, W. R., I. C. Jones and R. Anaya. 2011. Update of the groundwater availability model for the Edwards-Trinity (plateau) and Pecos Valley aquifers of Texas.

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE). 2010. New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System Water Column/Average Depth to Water Report. [Web page]. Located at <http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/waterColumn.html>. Accessed: March 2022.

Nicholson, Alexander, Jr. and Clebsch, Alfred, Jr. 1961. Ground-Water Report 6 – Geology and Ground-Water Conditions in Southern Lea County, New Mexico. United States Geological Survey in cooperation with the New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, State Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Division and the New Mexico State Engineer.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2013. Natural Resources Conservation Service. Soil Surveys by State available at www.nrcs.usda.gov.

USDA. 2016. Sandy Ecological Site Characteristics. [Web page]. Located at <https://esis.sc.egov.usda.gov/ESDReport/fsReport.aspx?approved=yes&repType=regular&id=R042XA051NM>. Accessed: July 2022.

Appendix D – Design Plan

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E


Appendix D – Design Plan**Javelina Unit 613 Pit****Temporary Pit**

The Operator will design and construct the temporary pit to contain liquids and solids; prevent contamination of fresh water; and protect public health and the environment. The Design and Construction will follow the requirements listed below:


- The topsoil will be stripped and stockpiled prior to construction for use as the final cover during closure.
- A sign, consistent the requirements of 19.15.16.8 NMAC, will be utilized and made viewable at the location of the pit.
- Fencing will be in place around the perimeter of the pits and the Operator will ensure it remains in good repair until closure.
- Netting will not be installed on the temporary pit; however, the operator will inspect for and report any discovery of dead migratory birds or other wildlife while the pit contains fluid and is in use.
- The design of the pit, including the berms, geomembrane material, and construction notes below, is intended to ensure the confinement of liquids to prevent releases.
- The subgrade and interior slopes will be screened for deleterious materials and rocks and will be suitable for the liner installation. An underlying geotextile may be used to provide additional protection from puncture or stress cracking.
- The slopes of the pit will be constructed at a two horizontal to one vertical foot ratio.
- A 40-mil HDPE liner resistant to petroleum hydrocarbons, salts and acidic and alkaline solutions, and ultraviolet light will be installed in the pit. Liner compatibility will comply with EPA SW-846 Method 9090A. Technical data sheets for the liner material can be found in *Variance Request 2 of 2 – Proposed Use of High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE) Liner for Temporary Pit in lieu of Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) Liner*.
- Liner seams will be minimized as is practical during construction and will only be oriented up and down a slope. When field welding the liner seams, the liner will overlap a minimum of 4 inches and a maximum of 6 inches. Welds will be minimized in corners and irregularly shaped area.

Welds will only be performed by qualified personnel.


- Construction will avoid excessive stress-strain on the liner by screening the subgrade for deleterious materials and rock and using geotextile where needed, utilized experienced personnel for the installation of the liner, taking care when unrolling liner material and limiting the use of any machinery that could damage the liner.
- The edged of the liner will be anchored in the bottom of a compacted earth field trench that is 18 inches deep.
- Impingement of liquids onto the liner will be prevented by use of a loose hose discharge method. The design ensures fluid enters a malleable section of hose laying on the pit berm prior to entering the pit preventing direct impingement.
- The design includes a 4 foot berm and bar ditch around the entirety of the pit to prevent run onof surface water. The berm will be maintained from construction to closure.
- The volume of the temporary pit is 6.6 acre-ft including freeboard.
- No venting or flaring of gas will take place during the construction, use, and closure of the pit and, as such, the entirety of the pit will be lined.




MAGNETIC NORTH



SECTION A-A
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION B-C
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION D-D
NOT TO SCALE

- NOTES:
1. PRIMARY PAD ENTRANCE MUST BE ON WEST OR EAST SIDE OF PAD FOR DRILLING LAYOUT.
 2. SEE GEO-TECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR COMPACTION RECOMMENDATION. SEE DRILLING MAT LAYOUT FOR DETAILS.
 3. SHAKER WALKING AREA IS REQUIRED WHEN USING NABORS M800 SERIES DRILLING RIG.
 4. FOR COMPLETIONS GRAVEL LOCATIONS, SEE DWG. FACTSTD-COMGRVL-CIV-PVD-MCB-0001-01.
 5. SHADED WORKING AREA IS NOT A PART OF THE PERMITTED PAD. PERMITTED PAD AREA IS 490 FT X 400 FT FOR A 2 WELL PAD. ROAD CAN COME FROM EITHER THE NORTH OR SOUTH DIRECTION DEPENDING ON LEASE ORIENTATION.
 6. SECONDARY ACCESS ROAD IS REQUIRED FOR COMPLETIONS DRIVE-THROUGH. SECONDARY ACCESS ROAD CAN BE EITHER ON EAST/WEST EDGE OF PAD OR SOUTH EDGE OF PAD, BUT MUST BE OPPOSITE OF PRIMARY PAD ENTRANCE (REF. NOTE 1) FE MUST CONSULT D&C ADVISOR TO COMPLETE PMOC IF SECONDARY ROAD IS NOT FEASIBLE.
 7. 1FT. X 1FT. BAR DITCHING TO BE PROVIDED BETWEEN PAD AND RESERVE PIT. DITCH WILL BE FILLED WITH 1" CLEAN ROCK.
 8. 6 LOADS OF ROCK FOR DRILLING TRAILERS & DITCH COM ROCK DROPPED IN NEW CORNER.
 9. DIMENSION SOUTH OF THE WELLS CAN BE REDUCED TO 260' IF BASIS OF DESIGN IS CONVENTIONAL FRAC OPERATIONS.
 10. PAINT 8' LONG PIT LEVEL MARKERS EVERY 2' FROM THE BOTTOM LABEL BY THE LENGTH OF THE INCLINE FROM THE BOTTOM OF THE PIT.
 11. PREDOMINANT DRAINING DIRECTION TO BE FIELD-DETERMINED BASED ON LOCAL TOPOGRAPHY.
 12. CROSS SECTIONAL PLANE OF ROAD ENTRANCES TO PAD TO HAVE MAXIMUM SLOPING OF 0.5% (E.G. NO MORE THAN 2.4" OF ELEVATION DROP ALONG THE WIDTH OF A 40FT ENTRANCE.)



SECTION F-F
NOT TO SCALE



SHEET CIV010

REVISIONS									
0	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION BASIN DESIGN, DRF 21103	CSD 04/05/21	EV	CHMT	△			△	
1	APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION BASIN DESIGN, DRF 21252	EV 08/31/21	EB	UGOS	△			△	
					△			△	

AFC
APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION


Chevron U.S.A. Inc.


FACTORY STANDARD DRAWINGS
PROJECT DESCRIPTION — COUNTY, STATE

CIVIL — FACTORY STANDARD 5 WELL PAD PLAN — OPEN LOOP

FACTSTD-5WPADOPN-CIV-PVD-MCB-0001-01

DR. JLH
ENG. KVPY





NW PAD CORNER		NE PAD CORNER		NW RESERVE PIT CORNER		NE RESERVE PIT CORNER		NW ARCH AREA CORNER		NE ARCH AREA CORNER	
X=	678,461'	X=	679,066'	X=	678,598'	X=	678,931'	X=	678,424'	X=	679,124'
Y=	445,796'	Y=	445,796'	Y=	446,060'	Y=	446,060'	Y=	445,954'	Y=	445,958'
LAT.	32.224293° N	LAT.	32.224284° N	LAT.	32.225017° N	LAT.	32.225012° N	LAT.	32.224728° N	LAT.	32.224729° N
LONG.	103.756238° W	LONG.	103.754282° W	LONG.	103.755791° W	LONG.	103.754714° W	LONG.	103.756354° W	LONG.	103.754091° W
NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27	
X=	719,645'	X=	720,250'	X=	719,782'	X=	720,115'	X=	719,608'	X=	720,308'
Y=	445,855'	Y=	445,855'	Y=	446,119'	Y=	446,119'	Y=	446,013'	Y=	446,017'
LAT.	32.224416° N	LAT.	32.224407° N	LAT.	32.225140° N	LAT.	32.225135° N	LAT.	32.224851° N	LAT.	32.224852° N
LONG.	103.756722° W	LONG.	103.754765° W	LONG.	103.756274° W	LONG.	103.755197° W	LONG.	103.756838° W	LONG.	103.754574° W
NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011	
ELEV.	+3,544'	ELEV.	+3,544'	ELEV.	+3,538'	ELEV.	+3,538'	ELEV.	+3,540'	ELEV.	+3,540'
NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88	
SW PAD CORNER		SE PAD CORNER		SW RESERVE PIT CORNER		SE RESERVE PIT CORNER		SW ARCH AREA CORNER		SE ARCH AREA CORNER	
X=	678,461'	X=	679,066'	X=	678,598'	X=	678,931'	X=	678,428'	X=	679,128'
Y=	445,356'	Y=	445,356'	Y=	445,796'	Y=	445,796'	Y=	445,354'	Y=	445,358'
LAT.	32.223083° N	LAT.	32.223074° N	LAT.	32.224291° N	LAT.	32.224286° N	LAT.	32.223078° N	LAT.	32.223079° N
LONG.	103.756246° W	LONG.	103.754290° W	LONG.	103.755795° W	LONG.	103.754718° W	LONG.	103.756353° W	LONG.	103.754090° W
NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27		NAD 27	
X=	719,645'	X=	720,250'	X=	719,782'	X=	720,115'	X=	719,612'	X=	720,312'
Y=	445,415'	Y=	445,415'	Y=	445,855'	Y=	445,855'	Y=	445,413'	Y=	445,417'
LAT.	32.223207° N	LAT.	32.223198° N	LAT.	32.224414° N	LAT.	32.224409° N	LAT.	32.223202° N	LAT.	32.223203° N
LONG.	103.756729° W	LONG.	103.754773° W	LONG.	103.756279° W	LONG.	103.755202° W	LONG.	103.756837° W	LONG.	103.754573° W
NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011		NAD83/2011	
ELEV.	+3,555'	ELEV.	+3,555'	ELEV.	+3,545'	ELEV.	+3,545'	ELEV.	+3,557'	ELEV.	+3,555'
NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88		NAVD88	

NOTE:

Please be advised, that while reasonable efforts are made to locate and verify pipelines and anomalies using our standard pipeline locating equipment, it is impossible to be 100 % effective. As such, we advise using caution when performing work as there is a possibility that pipelines and other hazards, such as fiber optic cables, PVC pipelines, etc. may exist undetected on site.

NOTE:

Many states maintain information centers that establish links between those who dig (excavators) and those who own and operate underground facilities (operators). It is advisable and in most states, law, for the contractor to contact the center for assistance in locating and marking underground utilities. For guidance, New Mexico One Call www.nm811.org

DISCLAIMER: At this time, C. H. Fenstermaker & Associates, L.L.C. has not performed nor was asked to perform any type of engineering, hydrological modeling, flood plain, or "No Rise" certification analyses, including but not limited to determining whether the project will impact flood hazards in connection with federal/FEMA, state, and/or local laws, ordinances and regulations. Accordingly, Fenstermaker makes no warranty or representation of any kind as to the foregoing issues, and persons or entities using this information shall do so at their own risk.



C. H. Fenstermaker & Associates, L.L.C.
135 Regency Sq. Lafayette, LA 70508
Ph. 337-237-2200 Fax. 337-232-3299
www.fenstermaker.com


CENTERLINE PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD		
COURSE	BEARING	DISTANCE
9	EAST	1069.43'
10	SOUTH	1659.57'
11	EAST	35.00'

CENTERLINE PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD		
COURSE	BEARING	DISTANCE
12	SOUTH	95.00'
13	EAST	635.00'
14	NORTH	105.00'
15	WEST	35.00'

PROPOSED DRILL PAD		
COURSE	BEARING	DISTANCE
1	EAST	605.00'
2	SOUTH	440.00'
3	WEST	605.00'
4	NORTH	440.00'

PROPOSED RESERVE PIT		
COURSE	BEARING	DISTANCE
5	NORTH	264.00'
6	EAST	333.00'
7	SOUTH	264.00'
8	WEST	333.00'

FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF
CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
I, Robert L. Lastrapes, Professional
Surveyor, do hereby state this plat is true
and correct to the best of my knowledge.



Robert L. Lastrapes
Registration No. 23006

Page 3 of 3

WELL PLAT

CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
PROPOSED PAD, RESERVE PIT AND ACCESS ROADS
JAVELINA UNIT NO. 613H WELL
SECTIONS 10, 11 & 14, T24S-R31E
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

REVISIONS				
DRAWN BY:	#	BY:	DATE:	DESCRIPTION:
AMR				
PROJ. MGR.:				
VHV				
DATE:				
08/09/2021				
FILENAME: T:\2021\2213509\DWG\Javelina Unit No.613H_Well Plat.dwg				

Appendix E – Operating and Maintenance Plan

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Appendix E – Operating and Maintenance Plan Javelina Unit 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) Temporary Pit

The Operator and Rig Contractor will operate and maintain the Temporary Pit to contain liquids and solids, maintain the integrity of the liner system in a manner that prevents contamination of fresh water and protects public health and the environment as described below.

The operation of the Temporary Pit is summarized below.

Prior to arrival of the drilling rig, the separate pit sections are filled with the fluid required for drilling operations of the wells on the well pad. Typically, these fluids are a low chloride brackish water and a high chloride saturated brine.

During open loop drilling operations, fluid is pulled from one end of the Temporary Pit and sent to the rig pumps to be transferred downhole as the drilling fluid. Upon returning to the surface, the fluid and associated drilled solids flow to the opposite end of the Temporary Pit.

When conducting Closed Loop drilling activities, the Temporary Pit may be utilized for cuttings disposal for purposes of maintaining mud weight, mitigating downhole hazards, and managing other unforeseen circumstances. The Temporary Pit is only to be utilized in conjunction with Closed Loop drilling when drilling activities are done using Water Based Drilling Fluids. In this circumstance, drilled solids are separated from the drilling fluid with solids control equipment and then moved to the Temporary Pit.

During well cementing operations, if the low chloride fluid in the Temporary Pit meets specifications set by the Operator and Cementing Contractor, that fluid will be used as mix water for the blending of the cement slurry. During cementing operations, excess cement returns may be placed in the Temporary Pit.

Throughout well construction, if the fluid in the Temporary Pit meets the specifications set by the Operator and Rig Contractor, that fluid may be used as rig water for component cleaning and engine cooling.

If downhole problems occur during drilling operations, such as fluid losses or waterflows, the Temporary Pit is used to assist with fluid management into and out of the well. Transfer pumps and hoses are used to move these fluids.

After the drilling rig is mobilized off the well pad, any remaining fluids in the Temporary Pit will be removed and reused, recycled, or disposed of in a manner consistent with Division rules.

The operation of the Temporary Pit will follow the requirements listed below:

- All cuttings placed into the Temporary Pit will be produced and disposed of within the boundaries of one single lease, pursuant to the Pit Rule definition of “Onsite”.
- The Operator will not discharge into or store any hazardous waste (as defined by 40 CFR 261 and NMAC 19.15.2.7.H.3) in the pits.
- If the pit liner’s integrity is compromised above the water line, then the Operator will repair the damage within 48 hours of discovery.
- If the pit develops a leak, or if any penetration of the pit liner occurs below the liquid’s surface, then the Operator shall notify the appropriate division office pursuant to the requirements of 19.15.29 NMAC, remove all liquid above the damage or leak within 48 hours of discovery, and repair the damage or replace the pit liner as applicable.
- The injection or withdrawal of liquids from a pit is accomplished through a header, diverter or other hardware that prevents damage to the liner by erosion, fluid jets or impact from installation and removal of hoses or pipes.
- Engineering drawings demonstrate that the elevation and slopes of the pit prevent the collection of surface water run-on.
- The Operator will maintain on site an oil absorbent boom to contain and remove oil from the pit’s surface.
- The Operator will maintain the pit free of miscellaneous solid waste or debris.
- The Operator will maintain at least two feet of freeboard for the Temporary Pit. If, during extenuating circumstances, a freeboard of less than two feet is required, then a log will be maintained describing such circumstances.
- The Operator will remove all free liquids from the surface of a temporary pit within 30 days from the date the Operator releases the last drilling or workover rig associated with the relevant pit permit. The Operator will note the date of the drilling or workover rig’s release on form C-105 or C-103 upon well or workover completion.

Appendix F – Closure Plan

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Appendix F – Closure Plan Javelina Unit 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) Temporary Pit

Discussion of Onsite Cuttings Disposal

The proposed Temporary Pit will contain drill cuttings from the vertical sections of wells 613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, and 510H. All cutting from vertical drilling will be produced and disposed of within the boundaries of one single lease, pursuant to the Pit Rule definition of “Onsite”. The disposal and closure activities will take place within the design footprint of the Temporary Pit. Proposed closure operations will be conducted in accordance with the Closure and Site Reclamation Requirements detailed in 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

Closure Notice

If planned activities deviate from this Closure Plan, an updated Closure Plan will be submitted to the Division for approval prior to initiating any closure activities.

The Operator will notify the Bureau of Land Management at least 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to any closure activities as per approved sundry Conditions of Approval. This notice will include the project name and location description.

The Operator shall additionally notify the district office verbally and in writing at least 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to any closure operation. This noticed will include the Operator’s name and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township, and range.

Protocols and Procedures

1. The Operator will remove all liquids from the Temporary Pit and either:
 - a. Dispose of the liquids in a division-approved facility,
or
 - b. Recycle, reuse or reclaim the water for reuse in drilling and stimulation.
2. A five-point (minimum) composite sample will be collected from the contents of the Temporary Pit and sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis of the constituents listed in Table 2 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
 - a. If any concentration is higher than limits listed in Table 2, blending calculations will be used to determine the amount of soil or non-waste material needed to blend with the pit contents to achieve the Table 2 limit. The mixing ratio of soil or non-waste material to pit contents shall not exceed 3:1.
 - b. If all constituent concentrations are less than or equal to the parameters listed in Table 2 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, no mixing shall occur.

3. The Operator will conduct blending operations, as required, and conduct a paint filter liquids test to ensure that the contents of the former pit are sufficiently stabilized to support the cover materials.
4. Cover materials will be installed as described in 'Cover Design' (below).
5. Following the implementation of the cover design, the Operator will revegetate the area as outlined in 'Reclamation and Revegetation' (below).

Soil Cover Design

After blending with non-waste containing, uncontaminated, earthen material, the Operator will cover the former Temporary Pit according to the following procedure.

1. The contents of the former pit will be positively contoured ('turtle-backed') to promote drainage away from the former pit contents and reduce infiltration. Compaction of pit materials over time and as a result of placement of overburden will be taken into consideration.
2. A 20-mil string reinforced LLDPE geomembrane liner will be installed above the pit materials.
3. At least 4-feet of compacted, uncontaminated, non-waste containing earthen fill with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg will be placed above the liner.
4. Either the background thickness of topsoil or 1-foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater, will be placed over the earthen fill.
5. The location will be recontoured to match the pre-disturbance topography and prevent surface erosion and ponding.
6. The Operator will revegetate the area as described below in 'Reclamation and Revegetation'.

Closure Report

1. Within 60 days of closure completion, the Operator will submit a closure report on form C-144, with necessary attachments to document all closure activities including sampling results, information required by 19.15.17 NMAC, a plot plan including the exact location of the former pit, details of the cover design, and photographs.
2. In the closure report, the Operator will certify that all information in the report and attachments is correct and that the Operator has complied with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
3. A steel marker will be placed at the location per the requirements in Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

Closure Timing

As discussed in **Variance 1**, the Operator proposes closure activities will be completed within a timeline not to exceed 1 year from the rig down move out (RDMO) date. This date will be noted on form C-105 or C-103, filed with the Division upon the well's completion.

Reclamation and Revegetation

The Operator will reclaim the disturbed area to a safe and stable condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations and that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. Areas with ongoing production or drilling operations will not be reclaimed as described herein, but will be stabilized and maintained to minimize dust and erosion

For all areas relevant to the closure process that will not be used for production operations or future drilling, the Operator will:

1. Replace topsoils and subsoils to their original relative positions and regrade the area to achieve erosion control, long-term stability, preservation of surface water flow patterns, and prevent ponding.
2. Notify the Division when the surface grading work is complete.
3. Reseed the area with an appropriate seed mix in the first favorable growing season following closure. Reseeding and weed control measures will be taken, if necessary.
4. Notify the Division when reclamation is complete: vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus 50 % of pre-disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least 70 % of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds.

Alternative to Closure in Place

In the event the concentration of any contaminant in the contents, after mixing with soil or non-waste material, is higher than constituent concentrations shown in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then the waste shall be removed from the Temporary Pit and disposed of at one of the following Division approved off-site facilities.

Sundance Services (Parabo, Inc.)
M-29-21S-38E
Permit No. NM-01-003

R360 Permian Basin, LLC
4507 W. Carlsbad Hwy, Hobbs, NM 88240
Permit No. NM-01-0006

Appendix G – Evaluation of Unstable Conditions

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Appendix G – Evaluation of Unstable Conditions Sand Dunes 613 Temporary Pit

Summary

Figure 8 identifies the location of the proposed temporary pit with respect to Bureau of Land Management (BLM) mapped potential karst areas. The BLM categorizes all areas within the Carlsbad Field Office (CFO) as having either low, medium, high or critical cave potential based on geology, occurrence of known caves, density of karst features, and potential impacts to fresh water aquifers. The proposed Temporary Pit is mapped by BLM CFO in a “Low Potential” karst area.

The proposed Temporary Pit lies near the northeast margin of the Delaware Basin. Bedrock cropping out beneath the proposed project area is comprised of the Triassic-aged Dockum Group. Underlying the Dockum Group are the Dewey Lake redbeds. Both of these formations are composed chiefly of clastic (insoluble), non-karst-forming rocks. Beneath these formations are Permian-aged rocks of the Rustler and Salado Formations. These rocks contain significant beds of halite (i.e., rock salt) and anhydrite, making them susceptible to karst formation. The top of the Rustler Formation in the proposed project area is approximately 800 feet below the land surface (Crowl et al. 2011). Local karst potential is likely to be low. The following lines of evidence, detailed in the sections below, support this position:

1. There are no dissolution features within 5-miles of the proposed location (**Figure 11**),
2. The Arcadis field studies of the area indicated no closed depressions, caves, or fissures in the immediate vicinity and general area of the proposed pit (**Attachment 1 and 2**),
3. Tetra Tech geotechnical report and boring log from the proposed two recycled water storage ponds site location did not indicate any karst potential (**Attachment 3**),
4. The Bureau of Land Management, Carlsbad Field Office prepared the Environmental Assessment (EA), document number - DOI-BLM-NM-2020-0972-EA, evaluating MarkWest Energy West Texas Gas Col., LLC. This EA did not identify karst as an issue that needed evaluation (**Attachment 4**).

Structurally, the region surrounding the proposed pit location is relatively undeformed, with a 0 to 3 percent slope, and the nearest mapped fault is 22-miles to the southwest (**USGS 2022**).

Dissolution Features Evident on Aerial Imagery

The nearest apparent dissolution features to the proposed location are (**Figure 11**):

- ~15 miles southeast of the proposed pit location is an area with small (<500-feet

in diameter) depressions.

- Bell Lake Sink and three other unnamed sinks, each ~2-miles in diameter, are present approximately 12-miles east of the proposed location.
- San Ramon Sink are present ~16-miles northeast of the proposed location.

Depth to Karst-Forming rocks

Figure G.1 shows a stratigraphic section of the formations beneath the proposed pit. The upper 1,000-feet of subsurface consists of insoluble, clastic material. These deposits are underlain by soluble, karst-forming strata.

Surface to ~1,000-feet: Based on a review of available literature for the region, no significant intervals of soluble rocks are present in the Quaternary and Triassic deposits that constitute the upper ~1,000-feet of subsurface. Because this material is largely insoluble, the potential for karst features to form within this interval is very low (Lucas and Anderson, 1993). Deeper formations at >1,000-feet: The top of the Rustler Formation is approximately 400 feet thick beneath the surface at the location of the proposed pit (Nicholson and Clebsch 1961). The Rustler Formation overlies the Salado Formation. These formations both contain thick, highly soluble beds of anhydrite and halite. The Bell Lake Sink, San Simon Swale, and San Simon Sink formed by the dissolution of salt from these deep formations. The resulting surface subsidence (as a result of deep dissolution) is a very slow process that has been ongoing for millions of years to form these large depressions (Bachman, 1973 and Berg, 2012).

Period	Formation	Thickness (ft)		Description
Quaternary		100		Unconsolidated eolian and unconsolidated to partially consolidated alluvial deposits
Triassic	Chinle	200		Red shales and thinly interbedded sandstone
	Santa Rosa	200 - 300		Sandstone and interbedded siltstone and red shale
Permotriassic	Quartermaster (Dewey Lake)	560		Mudstone, siltstone, claystone, and interbedded sandstone
Permian	Rustler	400		Anhydrite, halite, dolomite, sandy siltstone, and polyhalite

Figure G.1: Stratigraphic section beneath the location of the proposed temporary pit (Nicholson and Clebsch 1961 as cited in Arcadis 2018, Arcadis 2020)

Arcadis Environmental Field Survey

An environmental field survey was conducted by Arcadis in March 2018 and April 2020 in the area surrounding the location of the proposed pit (**Attachment 1 and 2**). The on-site survey did not identify any closed depressions, caves, or fissures. Shallow

depressions were observed but determined to be caused by preferential erosion of the loose sandy soils by wind in the areas where plant cover is sparse.

TetraTech Geotechnical Reports and Boring Logs

Geotechnical reports from 2018 for two recycle water storage ponds were reviewed (**Attachment 4**). The recycle water storage ponds are located <1 mile-away and in an almost identical geomorphological and geological setting as the proposed pit location. The five borings were drilled from 30 feet to 80 feet below ground surface. Water was not encountered in any of the borings during or immediately after drilling. All borings encountered silty to clayey sand, clayey gravel, and low plasticity clay.

- Sand Dunes Recycle Water Storage Ponds Site
 - 1 miles northwest of proposed pit location
 - Boring B1 was drilled to 80 ft
 - Borings B2 through B5 were drilled to 30 ft
 - 1.5 ft to 3.5 ft
 - 6 – 10 blows per foot (bpf)
 - Loose sand with silt
 - 3.5 ft to 80 ft
 - 14 – 100+ bpf
 - Medium dense to very dense clay
 - Groundwater was not encountered at the time of drilling and borings were dry 24 hours after drilling.

Mitigation of Karst Potential

Not applicable; however, the following commitments will be applied as a best practice in development of the proposed pit.

General Construction:

- No blasting
- The BLM, Carlsbad Field Office, will be informed immediately if any subsurface drainage channels, cave passages, or voids are penetrated during construction, and no additional construction shall occur until clearance has been issued by the Authorized Officer.
- All linear surface disturbance activities will avoid sinkholes and other karst features, if they are identified during construction, to lessen the possibility of encountering near surface voids during construction, minimize changes to runoff, and prevent untimely leaks and spills from entering the karst drainage system.
- All spills or leaks will be reported to the BLM immediately for their immediate and proper treatment.

Pad Construction:

- The pad will be constructed and leveled by adding the necessary fill and caliche –no blasting.
- The entire perimeter of the well pad will be bermed to prevent oil, salt, and other chemical contaminants from leaving the well pad.
- The compacted berm shall be constructed at a minimum of 12 inches high with impermeable mineral material (e.g., caliche).
- No water flow from the uphill side(s) of the pad shall be allowed to enter the well pad.
- The topsoil stockpile shall be located outside the bermed well pad.
- Topsoil, either from the well pad or surrounding area, shall not be used to construct the berm.
- No storm drains, tubing or openings shall be placed in the berm.
- If fluid collects within the bermed area, the fluid must be vacuumed into a safe container and disposed of properly at a state approved facility.
- The integrity of the berm shall be maintained around the surfaced pad throughout the life of the well and around the downsized pad after interim reclamation has been completed.
- Any access road entering the well pad shall be constructed so that the integrity of the berm height surrounding the well pad is not compromised (i.e. an access road crossing the berm cannot be lower than the berm height).
- Following a rain event, all fluids will be vacuumed off of the pad and hauled off-site and disposed at a proper disposal facility.

References

Arcadis 2018. Sand Dunes Development Area Final Environmental Field Survey Report. Prepared for Chevron U.S.A, Inc.

Arcadis 2020. Sand Dunes Development Area. Pad 27. Final Environmental Field Survey Report. Prepared for Chevron U.S.A, Inc.

Hill, C.A. 1996. Geology of the Delaware Basin, Guadalupe, Apache and Glass Mountains: New Mexico and West Texas: Permian Basin Section: Midland, Texas, SEPM, 480 pp.

Land, Lewis and George Veni. 2014. Electrical resistivity surveys, Johnson Estate drill site, Loving County, Texas. National Cave and Karst Research Institute Report of Investigation 5, Carlsbad, NM. March 2014.

Nicholson, Alexander, Jr. and Clebsch, Alfred, Jr. 1961. Ground-Water Report 6 - Geology and Ground-Water Conditions in Southern Lea County, New Mexico, United States Geological Survey in cooperation with the New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, State Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Division and the New Mexico State Engineer.

Stafford, Kevin W., Laura Rosales-Lagarde, and Penelope J. Boston. 2008. Castile evaporite karst potential map of the Gypsum Plain, Eddy County, New Mexico and Culberson County, Texas: A GIS methodological comparison. Journal of Cave and Karst Studies 70 (1): 35-46.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 2022. New Mexico Faults, from the USGS Geologic Map Database. Available online at <https://my.usgs.gov/eerma/data/index/4f4e496ee4b07f02db5a354e>

Attachments 1 - 4

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

Attachment 1

Arcadis Environmental Field Survey, Section 11, Karst Evaluation, Sand Dunes (2018)

Attachment 2

Arcadis Environmental Field Survey, P27, Karst Evaluation, Sand Dunes (2020)

Attachment 3

Tetra Tech Geotechnical Study Report, Section 11, Sand Dunes (2018)

Attachment 4

DOI-BLM-NM-2020-0972-EA, Section 1.6, Scoping, Public Involvement, and Issues (2020)

**Attachments 1 – Arcadis Environmental Field Survey, Section 11,
Karst Evaluation, Sand Dunes (2018)**

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E



Chevron U.S.A. Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

Sand Dunes Development Area

March 27, 2018

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

**ENVIRONMENTAL
FIELD SURVEY**


Sand Dunes Development Area



Khoa Moua
Scientist

Prepared for:

Kevin Dickerson
Surface Land Representative
Chevron U.S.A. Inc.
6301 Deauville Boulevard
Midland, Texas 79706



Adam Davis
Project Scientist

Prepared by:

Arcadis U.S., Inc.
630 Plaza Drive
Suite 100
Highlands Ranch
Colorado 80129
Tel 720 344 3500
Fax 720 344 3535



Rachel Cruz
Project Manager

Our Ref.:

B0048820.0000

Date:

March 27, 2018

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

10 KARST

The term karst describes distinct terranes that are attributable to high solubility of underlying bedrock. Common features of such terranes include sinkholes and caves, which are formed as the bedrock is dissolved by groundwater. Karst aquifers represent saturated bedrock where its permeability has been enhanced by dissolution processes. Such aquifers can be important sources of potable groundwater.

The proposed project area lies near the northeast margin of the Delaware Basin. As discussed in further detail in Section 11.2, bedrock cropping out beneath the proposed project area consists of the Triassic-aged Dockum Group. Underlying the Dockum Group are the Dewey Lake redbeds. Both of these formations are composed chiefly of clastic (insoluble), non-karst-forming rocks. Beneath these formations are Permian-aged rocks of the Rustler and Salado Formations. These rocks contain significant beds of halite (i.e., rock salt) and anhydrite, making them susceptible to karst formation. The top of the Rustler Formation in the proposed project area is approximately 800 feet below the land surface (Crowl et al. 2011).


Despite the great depth to karst-forming rocks, a number of large depressions and “sinks” are noted in the region. Bell Lake Sink and three other unnamed sinks, each about two miles in diameter, occur approximately 15 miles north of the project area (Berg 2012). San Simon Swale, an approximately 18-mile long by 6-mile wide closed depression that terminates at San Simon Sink is located approximately 20 miles northeast of the project area (Bachman 1973, Berg 2012). Using Google Earth Imagery (dated 11/20/2015), the dimensions of San Simon Sink are approximately one mile long by one-half mile wide by 75 feet deep. These depressions formed by the dissolution of salt from the upper part of the Salado Formation as well as from the overlying Rustler Formation (Bachman 1973). Solution subsidence in San Simon Swale has been active within the past century; however, solution and subsidence in this area of southeastern New Mexico has been ongoing for millions of years (Bachman 1973).

In summary, evidence of karst in the region consists predominantly of large depressions that likely formed over millions of years; although there is evidence that subsidence is ongoing, at least at San Simon Sink. These depressions were created by the dissolution of salt beds in the upper part of the Salado Formation and in the Rustler Formation, even though these are overlain by approximately 800 feet of insoluble rocks. No evidence of depressions in the survey area were identified on available topographic mapping or by examining recent Google Earth imagery.

10.1 Survey Findings and Mitigation

Karst potential is mapped by the BLM as “low” in the survey area (Figure 11). Multiple areas of small, shallow depressions were identified during the field survey. As noted in the previous section, depressions in the region linked to karst processes (i.e., dissolution of salt at depth in the Salado and Rustler Formations) are very large in size, which is consistent with dissolution of salt at significant depths (approximately 800 feet), where slumping at the surface would be evident. It is our opinion that the depressions observed at the site are too small to be caused by a process of this type. Based on the available information, including the information on geology presented in Section 11.2, the depressions are inferred to be caused by preferential erosion of the loose sandy soils by wind in areas where plant cover is sparse. Areas of unvegetated sand are prone to such erosion.





APPENDIX A

Resumes

PERSONNEL RESUME



KHUA MOUA

SCIENTIST 2

EDUCATION

BS Wildlife Biology The University
of Montana

YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

Total – 8 years

With Arcadis – 3 years

PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS

None

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

None

CERTIFICATION

First/Aid/CPR

HAZWOPER 40hr

HAZWOPER 8hr Refresher

MSHA Surface

Safeland

Wetland Delineation Training

Chevron 101

Asbestos Awareness Training

Annual Medical Monitoring

Ms. Moua is a field biologist with over three years of experience in environmental field work with Arcadis. She has the following field skills; avian surveys, electrofishing, and radio-telemetry. She has also been exposed to: small mammal trapping, vegetation collocation, paint sampling, soil sampling, archaeological surveys, development of Draft Environmental Impact Statements, construction oversight for windfarm projects, permitting for windfarm projects, report writing, and data entry while with Arcadis. Prior to Arcadis, she has worked for the Bighorn Institute, a non-profit organization focusing their efforts on the Peninsular Bighorn Sheep. In addition, she has also done work with the Peace Corps and the USDA Forest Service. The diversity in her field work encompasses the passion she has for working with the environment.

Project Experience with Arcadis

Ironwood & Cimarron II Wind Farm PCMM Surveys Duke Energy Power Services, Gray County, Kansas

Participated in two years of post-construction mortality monitoring, completed Ironwood in late winter 2015 and Cimarron II in early spring 2016. Prepared an annual report to Duke Energy detailing the results of the PCMM surveys. Conducted whooping crane surveys for spring and fall migrations and prepared a report for each season in which surveys were conducted. Reports addressed the diversity of bird migrants that passed through the project area.

2014 Swisher Wildlife Surveys Exelon Corporation, Swisher, Texas

Conducted eagle use surveys at the Swisher Wind Farm project site during winter 2014 and prepared a report to Exelon. During spring 2015, conducted raptor nest surveys to document raptor nest presence in and near the project area.

Fieldwork Supporting Buffalo, Wyoming, Office Multiple Clients, Various Locations

Assisted with SPCC field surveys at three Enbridge Sites in North Dakota. Performed soil sampling at a Citation site in Chinook, Montana, due to a previous year's spill at the location. Performed an archaeological survey

PERSONNEL RESUME - Khua Moua

Project Experience Continued

near Douglas, Wyoming, at a Cameco site. Conducted abandoned mine land surveys at five locations in the south and southwestern portions of Wyoming.

Confidential Client 06840 - 2015 Rasmussen Valley DEIS

Confidential Client 06840, Soda Springs, Idaho

Assisted with entering comments that were received from the Bureau of Land Management addressing the Rasmussen Valley Project's Draft EIS. Each comment was entered in the database, and sorted based on the issue it was addressing or referring to in the Draft EIS.

Bluestem Wind Farm Construction Oversight

Exelon Corporation, Beaver County, Oklahoma

Performed field monitoring, permitting and planning for the construction phase of the Bluestem Wind Farm project. Field visits were conducted as needed to address SPCC, SWPPP BMPs, environmental constraints, and changes requested by the construction contractors. Taking field notes and photos and preparing a summary memo of each visit to inform the client of construction progress, changes made, and issues or concerns addressed.

North and South Maybe Mines 2016 Fieldwork & 2017 Reporting

Confidential Client 06840, Soda Springs, Idaho

Participated in small mammal trapping on six to seven grids in the South and North Maybe Mines. Conducted avian point count surveys on adjacent mine sites. Entered field data in Excel upon completion of field surveys. Assisted with the reporting process for both mine sites.

DTSC/Exide Project Winter 2017

Confidential Client, Los Angeles, California

Data entry and figure quality assurances were completed.

Tailing Facility Vegetation and Wildlife Studies

Confidential Client (00701), Questa, New Mexico

Conducted gopher mound field surveys in June 2016 and vegetation collocation field surveys in August 2016. Currently assisting in elk game camera installation task for elk absence presence survey at tailing facility.

System wide Tower Assessment Program 2016 and ongoing

Confidential Client (01534), Various Locations, California

Conducted paint sampling surveys on transmission towers from northern California to Bakersfield, CA. Currently supporting the remediation field effort.

PERSONNEL RESUME - Khua Moua

Project Experience Continued

TLRR Biological Surveys

Southern California Edison, Various Locations, Southern California

Hiked along transmission lines and conducted biological surveys, which incorporated documenting sensitive wildlife with emphasis on Desert Tortoises and plant species. Also assisted with bio-monitoring for the soil boring phase.

Wetland Surveys

Owl Ridge, Winter Park, Colorado

Assisted in wetland surveys in Winter Park, Colorado.

Groundwater Monitoring Reports - ongoing

Confidential Clients, Wyoming and Kansas

Currently assisting with the reporting process of completed groundwater monitoring events for multiple sites located in Wyoming and Kansas on a semi-annual and yearly basis.

Southern Nevada Surveys - ongoing

Southwestern Gas, Southern Nevada

Biological surveys were conducted along a proposed pipeline south of Las Vegas to Laughlin. Waters of the U.S. surveys were also conducted. Assisted with the Mojave Desert Tortoise Report and Biological Memo.

Wetland and Biological Survey

Kinder Morgan, Northern Colorado

Conducted wetland and biological survey on a proposed expansion to an existing compression station in northern Colorado.

Experience outside of Arcadis

Peninsular Bighorn Sheep Field Surveys

Bighorn Institute, Palm Desert, California

Performed field surveys for a non-profit organization that focuses its research on the Peninsular desert bighorn and conducts a captive breeding and wild population augmentation program. Fieldwork incorporated tracking radio-collared endangered Peninsular Bighorn Sheep in the northern Santa Rosa Mountains (NSRM) and San Jacinto Mountains (SJM) by means of telemetry. Investigated sheep mortalities in the NSRM and SJM. Participated in the release of captive herd yearlings into the wild Peninsular bighorn sheep population of the NSRM and SJM. Contributed to a capture and re-collaring effort for non-functioning radio-collared sheep and radio-collaring wild sheep.

PERSONNEL RESUME - Khua Moua

Project Experience Continued

Ecotourism Volunteer

Peace Corps - Environmental Sector, Trelawny,

Stationed as a volunteer at Southern Trelawny Environmental Agency in Jamaica, working alongside local colleagues on ecotourism. Educated the local community about ecotourism and sustainability.

Seasonal Work

USDA Forest Service, Southwestern Montana,

In summer 2001, worked in Wisdom, Montana, on surveys conducted in and around lake(s)/pond(s) to determine the presence or absence of amphibians in the water area in the Wisdom and Wise River Ranger District. Collected water samples and performed pH and conductivity tests on the water source. Performed stream surveys, culvert measurements and electro-shocking in summer 2002. Took culvert measurements to determine suitability of water flow during high and low flows. Conducted electro-shocking at streams to determine the presence or absence of west-slope cutthroat. Fought forest fires as a ground crew member. During summer 2003 in Darby, Montana, retrieved lynx pads and conducted goshawk calling to determine nesting locations. Conducted Flammulated Owl surveys in the evenings to document distribution in the area. Also, performed peregrine falcon surveys and bird banding. During summer 2004 in Butte, Montana, conducted fieldwork on streams that had potential west-slope cutthroat habitat. Performed electrofishing to determine the presence or absence of west-slope cutthroat in streams. Surveyed streams that contained west-slope cutthroat to determine habitat distribution and suitability. In addition to season work, assisted part-time at the USDA Forest Service Regional One office in Missoula, Montana, from fall 2001 to spring 2005.

PERSONNEL RESUME



LAUREN SWIERK

**EDUCATION**

MS Environmental Science Indiana
University-Bloomington 2015
BS Environmental Science Indiana
University-Bloomington 2014

YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

Total – 2.5 years
With ARCADIS – 2.5 years

PROFESSIONAL TRAINING

OSHA 40-Hour HAZWOPER, 2015
(Latest refresher - 2017)

MSHA, 2017

HAZMAT #1, 2017

First Aid/CPR/AED, 2016

OSHA 10-Hour Construction, 2016

OSHA Site Supervisor, 2015

Lauren Swierk has 2.5 years of professional experience. She has worked on a wide range of projects with focus on groundwater monitoring, groundwater remediation, biological field surveys and desktop flood analysis.

Project Experience**Biological Field Surveys****Various Oil & Gas Clients, New Mexico (2016-)**

Worked with a team to complete biological field surveys for proper placement of well pads at various places in southern New Mexico.

Groundwater Monitoring**General Electric, Greenville, SC (2016-)**

Assist with the development of groundwater sampling plans, field coordination, sample tracking, and groundwater monitoring reports. Support with budget tracking, financial planning and invoicing.

Groundwater Remediation**Pitch Reclamation Project (2015-)**

Conduct phosphorus injections to remediate groundwater. Repair system and collect groundwater and surface water samples using Grundfos pump, bailer, or Hydrasleeve. Assist in report preparation and budget tracking.

Environmental Monitoring**Chevron, Questa, NM (2015-)**

Conduct O&M activities including: runoff data collection, groundwater sampling, Sonde & system repair and calibration. Assist with other tasks such as pump installation and wildlife studies. Aid in data analysis and report writing.

Pipeline Crossing Analysis**Various Clients (2016-)**

Work with HEC-SSP, FEMA flood data, and Google Earth to determine pipeline risk rankings based on scour, erosion and avulsion.

PERSONNEL RESUME - Lauren E Swierk

Groundwater Monitoring

Marathon Petroleum, Bennett, CO (2015-)

Assist in sample event planning, data analysis and report development. Compile reimbursement packages for Colorado Oil and Public Safety Tank Storage Fund.

Annual Report Development

Agrium, Soda Springs, ID (2015-)

Assist with many tasks related to the development of DSR and RIFFS reports including constituent trend charts, hydrograph data interpretation, table generation and report QC.

SPCC Plan Development

Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P., Buffalo, Wyoming (2016)

Worked with a team to develop Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures plans for multiple locations in the Chicago region.

CalRecycle Wildfires

California Environmental Protection Agency, Lake County, California (2015)

Supported restoration of wildfires in northern California. Tasks included site assessments, communication of potential hazards and property owner requests to debris removal crews, and organization of documentation. Served as the on-site safety officer.

Emergency Release Response

Confidential Client, Midland, Texas (2015)

Worked with the community to improve water quality by sampling groundwater and installing filtration systems. Organized documentation and helped provide information to inform residents of water quality.

Attachments 2 – Arcadis Environmental Field Survey, P27, Karst Evaluation, Sand Dunes (2020)

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E



Chevron U.S.A. Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

Sand Dunes Development Area

Pad 27

April 2020

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

Sand Dunes Development Area

Prepared for:
Tony Vallejo
HES Specialist
Chevron U.S.A. Inc.
6301 Deauville Boulevard
Midland, Texas 79706

Prepared by:
Arcadis U.S., Inc.
1004 N. Big Spring Street
Suite 121
Midland
Texas 79701
Tel 432 687 5400

Our Ref.:
30048460

Date:
April 2020

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEY

10 KARST

The term karst describes distinct terranes that are attributable to high solubility of underlying bedrock. Common features of such terranes include sinkholes and caves, which are formed as the bedrock is dissolved by groundwater. Karst aquifers represent saturated bedrock where its permeability has been enhanced by dissolution processes. Such aquifers can be important sources of potable groundwater.

The proposed project area lies near the northeast margin of the Delaware Basin. As discussed in further detail in Section 11.2, bedrock cropping out beneath the proposed project area consists of the Triassic-aged Dockum Group. Underlying the Dockum Group are the Dewey Lake redbeds. Both of these formations are composed chiefly of clastic (insoluble), non-karst-forming rocks. Beneath these formations are Permian-aged rocks of the Rustler and Salado Formations. These rocks contain significant beds of halite (i.e., rock salt) and anhydrite, making them susceptible to karst formation. The top of the Rustler Formation in the proposed project area is approximately 800 feet below the land surface (Crowl et al. 2011).


Despite the great depth to karst-forming rocks, a number of large depressions and “sinks” are noted in the region. Bell Lake Sink and three other unnamed sinks, each about two miles in diameter, occur approximately 15 miles north of the project area (Berg 2012). San Simon Swale, an approximately 18 mile long by 6 mile wide closed depression that terminates at San Simon Sink is located approximately 20 miles northeast of the project area (Bachman 1973, Berg 2012). Using Google Earth Imagery (dated 11/20/2015), the dimensions of San Simon Sink are approximately one mile long by one-half mile wide by 75 feet deep. These depressions formed by the dissolution of salt from the upper part of the Salado Formation as well as from the overlying Rustler Formation (Bachman 1973). Solution subsidence in San Simon Swale has been active within the past century; however, solution and subsidence in this area of southeastern New Mexico has been ongoing for millions of years (Bachman 1973).

In summary, evidence of karst in the region consists predominantly of large depressions that likely formed over millions of years; although there is evidence that subsidence is ongoing, at least at San Simon Sink. These depressions were created by the dissolution of salt beds in the upper part of the Salado Formation and in the Rustler Formation, even though these are overlain by approximately 800 feet of insoluble rocks. No evidence of depressions in the survey area were identified on available topographic mapping or by examining recent Google Earth imagery.

10.1 Survey Findings and Mitigation











Karst potential is mapped by the BLM as “low” in the survey area (**Figure 10**) and no potential karst features were observed during the survey. Therefore, no mitigation is recommended.

DIV/GROUP: ENV/IMDV DB: pbi00964 LD: PIC: PM: TM:
PROJECT: C:\Users\pbi00964\AppData\Local\Temp\43larc904B\PPDBB7C.tmp.mxd DATE: 4/2/2020 1:43:52 AM







Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

LEGEND

-  Survey Area Pad 27 (March, 2020)
-  Survey Area Pad 51 (February 2020)
-  Survey Area Sections 9-10 (April 2019)
-  Survey Area BLM/Stephen Shaw ROW (October 2018)
-  Survey Area (December 2017)
-  Proposed Pad 27
-  Townships
-  Sections
-  Lea County Roads
-  Eddy County Roads

SURFACE OWNERSHIP

-  Bureau of Land Management
-  Private
-  State




CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEYS
SAND DUNES DEVELOPMENT AREA
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

**ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD
SURVEY AREA**




FIGURE
2

DIV/GRP: ENV/MDV DB: av00976 LD: PIC: PM: TM: DATE: 4/2/2020 1:08:52 AM
PROJECT: PATH: Z:\GISProjects\ENV\Chevron_MCBUMXD\SandDunes\Pad27\Figure10_KarstOccurrence.mxd



LEGEND

- Survey Area Pad 27 (March, 2020)
- Survey Area Pad 51 (February 2020)
- Survey Area Sections 9-10(April 2019)
- Survey Area BLM/Stephen Shaw ROW (October 2018)
- Survey Area (December 2017)
- Pad 27
- Townships
- Sections
- Low Potential Karst
- Eddy County Roads
- Lea County Roads



CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.
ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD SURVEYS
SAND DUNES DEVELOPMENT AREA
EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

KARST POTENTIAL ZONES



FIGURE
10

APPENDIX A

Resumes

PERSONNEL RESUME



CHARLES G. HOLDER

BIOLOGIST

EDUCATION

BS Wildlife & Fisheries Science 2014
Texas A&M University

YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

Total – 4
With Arcadis – 1

CORE SKILLS

1. Wildlife ID and Trapping
2. Plant ID
3. Optical Gas Imaging Certified
4. ACOE Wetland Delineation Training

Mr Holder is a wildlife biologist with experience in university research, wind energy, and nuisance wildlife removal. As a university researcher, he has experience with trapping, banding, and radio collaring birds, as well as using radio telemetry to track birds. He has experience in pre-construction projects, surveying potential turbine sites for nests and raptor activity, and mortality monitoring on post construction wind farms, as well as acting as the bias corrections coordinator on the project. He also has experience trapping wildlife in residential settings.

Project Experience

Field Surveys for Oil and Gas Development Production Expansion

Confidential Client, Lea and Eddy County, New Mexico

Conducted multiple field surveys for proposed oil and gas development projects in Lea and Eddy County New Mexico. Documented wildlife, vegetation, hydrology, and multiple other applicable resources to assist in identification of potential design constraints and to support the National Environmental Policy Act documentation.

Barn Owl Nest Monitoring

Confidential Client, Eddy County, New Mexico

Monitored an active barn owl nest during construction. Worked with the construction crew to modify working practices in an effort to prevent the female from abandoning the nest. Construction occurred extremely close to the nest but the project was ultimately successful.

Scheer's Beehive Cactus Survey

Confidential Client, Eddy County, New Mexico

Assisted with four surveys for the Bureau of Land Management special status plant, Scheer's Beehive Cactus. During the surveys, one Scheer's Beehive Cactus was documented and observed multiple look alike species.

Gypsum Milkvetch Survey

Confidential Client, Eddy County, New Mexico

Assisted with one survey for the Bureau of Land Management special status plant, Gypsum Milkvetch. No Gypsum Milkvetch was found during the survey.

PERSONNEL RESUME – Charles G. Holder

Project Experience Continued

**Pre-construction Nest Clearance
Confidential Client 2019.**

Conducted pre-construction nest clearing surveys for oil and gas development in Eddy and Lea counties NM. Nests were found systematically walking transects, the nests were identified as active or inactive, and all inactive nests were removed to discourage nesting activity prior to construction. Active nests and all raptor's nests were monitored on a weekly basis until construction was complete.

**Hayhusrt Geophysical Investigation
Confidential Client 2019.**

Assisted in geophysical surveys by helping set up Electrical Resistivity Imaging (ERI) lines in order to determine the location of bedrock fracture zones and/or delineating tunnels and cavernous zones.

**Attwater's Prairie Chicken Nutrition Study
Texas A&M University.**

Conducted a study on radioactive isotopes in the Attwater's Prairie Chicken diet. Gathered plan and insect samples in the field, and processed samples for isotope analysis using a ball-and-cup grinder.

**Pre-construction Pad Surveys
Tetra Tech Inc. 2017.**

Conducted pre-construction transmission line and turbine pad surveys for a windfarm project. Assisted biologist in identifying bird nests in the path of construction equipment, as well as monitor raptor nests when construction equipment is in vicinity.

**Post-construction Mortality Surveys
Tetra Tech Inc. 2016-2017.**

As Field Crew leader, conducted post construction bird and bat mortality monitoring surveys on a newly constructed wind farm in Texas. Also acted as "Bias-corrections Coordinator" and conducted searcher efficiency trials as well as carcass persistence trials.
Multiple Studies on the Decline of Quail Populations

**Multiple Studies
Texas Tech University, Wildlife Toxicology Laboratory. 2015.**


Conducted field research for multiple studies on the decline of quail populations. Responsible for animal-friendly trapping, handling, and tracking of birds. Performed sage and accurate dissections both in the field and lab.

**Multiple Studies
Texas Tech University, Wildlife Toxicology Laboratory. 2015.**

- Study of Survival Rates and Female Nest Success using Radio Telemetry
- Study of Eye Worms and Caecal Worms in Hunter-harvested Quail
- Study of Eye Worms and Caecal Worms in Grasshoppers

CHARLESTON SHIRLEY

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST I, BIOLOGIST



EDUCATION

BS Natural Resource Management
Louisiana State University and
Agricultural & Mechanical College
2013

YEARS OF EXPERIENCE

Total – 4 years
With Arcadis – <1 year

Mr. Shirley has more than two years of experience in the consulting field. He specializes in conducting surveys and monitoring of flora and fauna with an emphasis on threatened species, endangered species and species of concern. Previously he has worked with the military, public agencies and private landowners. He is an authorized biologist with the desert tortoise, *Gopherus agassizii*.

Project Experience

Ongoing Maintenance Activities on Pipeline System in the Southern California Deserts

SoCal Gas Company, Southern California Desert Areas

As an authorized biologist, monitored sites for wildlife and environmental compliance as excavation, pipe removal and replacement occurred. Performed pre-construction clearance surveys for flora and fauna.

Development Project

Confidential Client, Coyote Springs, Nevada

As an authorized biologist, conducted radio telemetry tracking of transmittered tortoises. Handled tortoises and collected body metrics and replaced transmitters on all tortoises. Monitored sites as crews worked in sensitive wildlife areas.

Water Treatment Installation

Tetra Tech, Henderson, Nevada

Performed inspection on all tortoise prevention devices. Checked site for compliance.

Range-wide Monitoring Program

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Nevada, California and Utah

As an authorized biologist, tracked all transmittered tortoises, removed transmitters from all individuals being removed from project study, and managed data entry for submission to USFWS.

Community Solar Project

Valley Electric Association, Pahrump, Nevada

**Attachments 3 – Tetra Tech Geotechnical Study Report, Section 11,
Sand Dunes (2018)**

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E



TETRA TECH

Chevron North America - MCBU, Sand Dunes Site

Proposed Two Recycled Water Storage Ponds

**Section 11, Township 24 South,
Range 31 East,
Eddy County, New Mexico**

June 2018

complex world

CLEAR SOLUTIONS™

Report of Geotechnical Study

Sand Dunes Impoundments

Eddy County, New Mexico

Prepared for:

Mr. Caleb Weaver

Chevron North America – MCBU

Exploration and Production Company

6301 Deauville Blvd, Midland, TX 79706

Phone: (432) 687-7258

Prepared by:

Tetra Tech

4000 North Big Spring Street, Suite 401

Midland, Texas 79705

Phone (432) 682-4559; Fax (432) 682-3946

Tetra Tech Project No. 212C-MN-01180


Thomas A. Chapel, CPG, PE
Principal Engineer



Reviewed by: Don Grahlherr,
P.E. Vice President
June 1, 2018

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico

Chevron North America- MCBU




*Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico*

Chevron North America- MCBU

APPENDIX A
EXPLORATORY BORING LOGS

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico

Chevron North America- MCBU


 TETRA TECH		Tetra Tech Inc. 4000 N. Big Spring, Suite 401 Midland, TX, 79705 Telephone: 432-682-4559 Fax: 432-682-3946		BOREHOLE ID: B-1 PAGE 1 OF 3	
		CLIENT <u>Chevron</u>		PROJECT NAME <u>Sand Dunes Frac Pond</u>	
PROJECT NUMBER <u>212C-MD-01180</u>		PROJECT LOCATION <u>Eddy County, New Mexico</u>			
DATE(S) OF DRILLING: 04/04/2018		GROUND ELEVATION: NA		METHOD:	
CONSULTANT: Tetra Tech, Inc.		LATITUDE: 32.227650 N		LOGGED BY: Clint Merritt	
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: TWE		LONGITUDE: 103.754310 W		DRILLED BY: Keith Barge	
Notes: No groundwater encountered. Blowcounts have been corrected by sampler diameter.					
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	TESTS	U.S.C.S. GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
0					
	CA	2-3-4			
	CA	9-23-26	MC = 7.0% DD = 79.1 pcf LL = NP PL = NP PI = NP Fines = 28.3%	SM	
5	CA	11-22-50/5"			
	CA	37-31-50/5"	MC = 5.3% DD = 88.5 pcf LL = NP PL = NP PI = NP Fines = 17.9%	SM	
10					10.0
	CA	50/0"			
15					
	CA	50/4"			
20					
	CA	50/0"			
25					
	CA	50/6"	MC = 9.8% LL = 39 PL = 20 Fines = 60.7%	CL	
30					

BOREHOLE/TPWELL - TT SAND DUNE FRAC POND GPJ LAB SUMMARY GDT 5/18/18

(Continued Next Page)

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico


Chevron North America- MCBU

 TETRA TECH			Tetra Tech Inc. 4000 N. Big Spring, Suite 401 Midland, TX, 79705 Telephone: 432-682-4559 Fax: 432-682-3946		BOREHOLE ID: B-1 PAGE 2 OF 3	
CLIENT <u>Chevron</u>			PROJECT NAME <u>Sand Dunes Frac Pond</u>			
PROJECT NUMBER <u>212C-MD-01180</u>			PROJECT LOCATION <u>Eddy County, New Mexico</u>			
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
35						Hard, Red to Green Gray and White, CLAY , Low Plasticity, Slightly Moist <i>(continued)</i>
40	CA	50/3"				
45						
50	CA	50/2"				
55						
60	CA	50/2"				
65						

(Continued Next Page)

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico

Chevron North America- MCBU

 TETRA TECH			Tetra Tech Inc. 4000 N. Big Spring, Suite 401 Midland, TX, 79705 Telephone: 432-682-4559 Fax: 432-682-3946		BOREHOLE ID: B-1 PAGE 3 OF 3	
CLIENT <u>Chevron</u>			PROJECT NAME <u>Sand Dunes Frac Pond</u>			
PROJECT NUMBER <u>212C-MD-01180</u>			PROJECT LOCATION <u>Eddy County, New Mexico</u>			
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
70	CA	50/3"				Hard, Red to Green Gray and White, CLAY , Low Plasticity, Slightly Moist (continued)
75						
80	CA	50/0"				
						Borehole terminated at 80.0 ft.

BOREHOLE/TPWELL - TT SAND DUNE FRAC POND GPJ LAB SUMMARY.GDT 5/18/18

Chevron North America- MCBU





BOREHOLE/TPWELL - TT SAND DUNE FRAC POND GPJ LAB SUMMARY GOT 5/18/18

Chevron North America- MCBU

BOREHOLE/TPWELL - TT SAND DUNE FRAC POND.GPJ LAB SUMMARY GDT 5/18/18

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico

Chevron North America- MCBU

 TETRA TECH		Tetra Tech Inc. 4000 N. Big Spring, Suite 401 Midland, TX, 79705 Telephone: 432-682-4559 Fax: 432-682-3946		BOREHOLE ID: B-4 PAGE 1 OF 1		
		CLIENT <u>Chevron</u>		PROJECT NAME <u>Sand Dunes Frac Pond</u>		
PROJECT NUMBER <u>212C-MD-01180</u>		PROJECT LOCATION <u>Eddy County, New Mexico</u>				
DATE(S) OF DRILLING: 04/05/2018		GROUND ELEVATION: NA		METHOD:		
CONSULTANT: Tetra Tech, Inc.		LATITUDE: 32.227120 N		LOGGED BY: Clint Merritt		
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: TWE		LONGITUDE: 103.752600 W		DRILLED BY: Keith Barge		
Notes: No groundwater encountered. Blowcounts have been corrected by sampler diameter.						
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	TESTS	U.S.C.S.	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
0						
5	CA	2-2-3	MC = 3.4% DD = 96.4 pcf LL = NP PL = NP PI = NP Fines = 16.3% MC = 6.0% DD = 85.1 pcf LL = 24 PL = 15 PI = 9 Fines = 31.1%	SM		Loose to Very Dense, Red to Light Brown, Fine Grained CLAYEY to SILTY SAND , Variable Plasticity from None to Low, Dry, with Caliche
	CA	3-3-10		SC		
	CA	14-50/3"				
	CA	50/2"				
10						
15	CA	11-15-31	MC = 3.1% LL = NP PL = NP PI = NP Fines = 12.1%	SM		
	CA	50/0"				
20						
25	CA	50/0"				
	CA	50/0"				
30						
						Borehole terminated at 30.0 ft.

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico

Chevron North America- MCBU

Tetra Tech Inc. 4000 N. Big Spring, Suite 401 Midland, TX, 79705 Telephone: 432-682-4559 Fax: 432-682-3946		BOREHOLE ID: B-5 PAGE 1 OF 1			
CLIENT Chevron		PROJECT NAME Sand Dunes Frac Pond			
PROJECT NUMBER 212C-MD-01180		PROJECT LOCATION Eddy County, New Mexico			
DATE(S) OF DRILLING: 04/05/2018		GROUND ELEVATION: NA			
CONSULTANT: Tetra Tech, Inc.		METHOD:			
DRILLING CONTRACTOR: TWE		LOGGED BY: Clint Merritt			
		DRILLED BY: Keith Barge			
Notes: No groundwater encountered. Blowcounts have been corrected by sampler diameter.					
DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS	TESTS	U.S.C.S. GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
0					
	CA	1-2-7	MC = 2.6% LL = NP PL = NP PI = NP Fines = 14.9%	SM	Loose to Very Dense, Red to Light Brown, Fine Grained SILTY SAND , Dry to Slightly Moist, with Caliche
5	CA	10-18-28			
	CA	27-50/4"			
10	CA	50/2"			
15	CA	11-17-21			Dense to Very Dense, Light Brown, CLAYEY GRAVEL , Slightly Moist
20	CA	31-33	MC = 9.2% LL = 32 PL = 20 PI = 12 Fines = 45.7%	GC	Very Dense, Red to Light Brown, Fine Grained SILTY SAND , Slightly Moist, with Caliche
25	CA	50/0"			
	CA	50/0"			
30					Borehole terminated at 30.0 ft.

*Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico*

Chevron North America- MCBU

APPENDIX B
LABORATORY SUMMARY

Proposed Recycled Water Storage Ponds
Eddy County, New Mexico

Chevron North America- MCBU

TETRA TECH

Tetra Tech Inc.

4000 N. Big Spring, Suite 401

Midland, TX, 79705

Telephone: 432-682-4559

Fax: 432-682-3946

CLIENT

Chevron

PROJECT NUMBER

212C-MD-01180

PROJECT NAME

Sand Dunes Frac Pond

PROJECT LOCATION

Eddy County, New Mexico

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 1 OF 1

Borehole Identification	Depth	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Atterberg's Limits (LL/PL/PI)	Max Dry Density (pcf)/ OMC(%)	Fines Content (%)	USCS Classification	Swell (%), Swell pressure (psf)	Cc, Cs	Permeability (cm/s)	pH, Resistivity (Ohm-m)	Sulfate, Chloride Content (ppm)	Triaxial Shear Strength c'(psf), phi'	Specific Gravity
B-1	3.5	7.0	79.1	NP		28	SM							
B-1	8.5	5.3	88.5	NP		18	SM							
B-1	28.5	9.8		39/20/19		61	CL							2.8
B-2	6.0	5.0	72.3	NP		24	SM							
B-3	6.5	3.6		29/14/15		28	SC						164.6,33.4	
B-3	13.5	5.2		NP		20	SM							
B-4	1.5	3.4	96.4	NP		16	SM	-6	0.1,0.017					
B-4	3.5	6.0	85.1	24/15/9		31	SC			2.50E-03				
B-4	13.5	3.1		NP		12	SM							
B-5	1.5	2.6		NP		15	SM							
B-5	18.5	9.2		32/20/12		46	GC							

LAB SUMMARY-TRIAxIAL SAND DUNE FRAC POND.GPJ LAB SUMMARY.GDT 5/18/18

Attachments 4 – DOI-BLM-NM-2020-0972-EA, Section 1.6, Scoping, Public Involvement, and Issues (2020)

Temporary Pit containing non-low chloride fluids

Javelina Unit 613 Pit

Section 11 & Section 14, T24S, R31E

United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-NM-2020-0972-EA

NM-141811

MarkWest Energy West Texas Gas Co., LLC
Chevron Sand Dunes
Eddy County, New Mexico

Department of the Interior
Bureau of Land Management
Pecos District
Carlsbad Field Office
620 E Greene Street
Carlsbad, NM 88220
Phone: (575) 234-5972
FAX: (575) 885-9264

Confidentiality Policy

Any comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, you submit may be made available for public review. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or street address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your written comment. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

BLM

Carlsbad Field Office



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Purpose and Need for Action	3
1.1. Background	3
1.2. Purpose and Need for Action	3
1.3. Decision to be Made.....	3
1.4. Conformance with Applicable Land Use Plan(s).....	3
1.5. Relationship to Statutes, Regulations or Other Plans.....	4
1.6. Scoping, Public Involvement, and Issues.....	5
2. Proposed Action and Alternative(s)	5
2.1. Proposed Action	5
2.2. No Action Alternative.....	10
2.3. Alternatives Analyzed in Detail.....	10
3. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	11
3.1. Air Resources	11
3.2. Range	13
3.3. Soils.....	14
3.4. Vegetation	15
3.5. Watershed	15
3.6. Wildlife	16
3.7. Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plants.....	19
3.8. Cultural and Historical Resources.....	20
3.9. Paleontology.....	21
3.10. Visual Resource Management	22
3.11. Impacts from the No Action Alternative	22
3.12. Cumulative Impacts.....	23
4. Supporting Information	23
4.1. List of Preparers	23
4.2. References	23
5. APPENDICES	25
5.1. Appendix A – Biological Survey MarkWest Chevron Sand Dunes Project	25

- **Wilderness Act of 1964 (16 USC 1131 et seq.)** - Secures for the American people of present and future generations the benefits of an enduring resource of wilderness.

1.6. Scoping, Public Involvement, and Issues

The Carlsbad Field Office (CFO) publishes a NEPA log for public inspection. This log contains a list of proposed and approved actions in the field office. The log is located in the lobby of the CFO as well as on the BLM New Mexico website (http://www.blm.gov/nm/st/en/prog/planning/nepa_logs.html).

The CFO uses Geographic Information Systems (GIS) in order to identify resources that may be affected by the proposed action. An electronic map of the project area is prepared to display the resources in the area and to identify potential issues.

The proposed action was circulated among CFO resource specialists in order to identify any issues associated with the project. The issues that were raised include:

- How would air quality be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would climate change be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would range management be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would soils be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would vegetation be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would Lesser Prairie chicken habitat be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would wildlife habitat be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would visual resources be impacted by the proposed action?
- Could noxious weeds be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would paleontological resources be impacted by the proposed action?
- How would cultural resources be impacted by the proposed action?

2. PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVE(S)

2.1. Proposed Action

The BLM Carlsbad Field Office is proposing to allow MarkWest Energy West Texas Gas Co, LLC (MarkWest) to construct, operate and maintain a buried 20-inch steel gas pipelines and a facility site under a right-of-way (ROW). The proposed pipelines would be 16,770.31 feet long (3.2 miles) on BLM and 6,129.12 feet (1.16 miles) on State of New Mexico. The ROW would include pigging facilities, pumps, SCADA communications and metering equipment on a pad site (100 ft. X 100 ft.) as well as other related facilities within the proposed ROW. Refer to Figure 1 for a map showing the location of the project on BLM.

To facilitate construction in a safe manner, a total construction width of 30 feet (long term ROW) plus an additional 20 feet of mowed temporary work area (during the construction phase only) is being requested to facilitate safe movement of personnel and heavy equipment. This is due to the sandy loam soils that often experience trench collapse and have the potential to impact human safety and damage equipment (see Figure 2).

Buried Pipeline and Pad

Standard pipeline construction practices would be employed for the pipeline and pad. These typically involve the following sequential steps: staking, clearing and grading, ditching, stringing and bending of pipe, welding, joint coating, lowering the pipe into the trench, backfilling the trench, hydrostatic testing, and reclamation of the ROW.

Global mean surface temperatures have already increased 1.5 degrees F from 1880 to 2012. Additional near-term warming is inevitable due to the thermal inertia of the oceans and ongoing GHG emissions. Assuming there are no major volcanic eruptions or long-term changes in solar irradiance, global mean surface temperature increases for the period 2016 – 2035 relative to 1986-2005 will likely be in the range of 0.3 – 0.7°C (0.5 – 1.3°F). Global mean temperatures are expected to continue rising over the 21st century under all of the projected future RCP concentration scenarios. Global mean temperatures in 2081 – 2100 are projected to be between 0.3 – 4.8°C (0.5 – 8.6°F) higher relative to 1986 – 2005. The IPCC projections are consistent with reports from other organizations (e.g. NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, 2013; The National Academy of Sciences, 2005).

Climate change will impact regions differently and warming will not be equally distributed. Both observations and computer model predictions indicate that increases in temperature are likely to be greater at higher latitudes, where the temperature increase may be more than double the global average. Warming of surface air temperature over land will very likely be greater than over oceans (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2013). There is also high confidence that warming relative to the reference period will be larger in the tropics and subtropics than in mid-latitudes. Frequency of warm days and nights will increase and frequency of cold days and cold nights will decrease in most regions. Warming during the winter months is expected to be greater than during the summer, and increases in daily minimum temperatures are more likely than increases in daily maximum temperatures. Models also predict increases in duration, intensity, and extent of extreme weather events. The frequency of both high and low temperature events is expected to increase. Near- and long-term changes are also projected in precipitation, atmospheric circulation, air quality, ocean temperatures and salinity, and sea ice cover.

Several activities contribute to the phenomena of climate change, including emissions of GHGs (especially carbon dioxide and methane) from fossil fuel development, large wildland fires and activities using combustion engines; changes to the natural carbon cycle; and changes to radiative forces and reflectivity (albedo). It is important to note that GHGs will have a sustained climatic impact over different temporal scales. For example, recent emissions of carbon dioxide can influence climate for 100 years.

3.1.2. Impacts from the Proposed Action

Direct and Indirect Effects

Air Quality

The winds that frequent the southeastern part of New Mexico generally disperse odors and emissions; however, air quality would be impacted temporarily from exhaust emissions, chemical odors, dust caused by vehicles traveling to and from the project area and from motorized equipment used during construction. Impacts to air quality would diminish upon completion of the construction of the proposed action.

The EPA has the primary responsibility for regulating air quality, including seven nationally regulated ambient air pollutants. The state of New Mexico has an EPA-approved state implementation plan that regulates air quality throughout the state, except on tribal lands and within Bernalillo County. The New Mexico Air Quality Bureau's (NMAQB) mission is to protect the inhabitants and natural beauty of New Mexico by preventing the deterioration of air quality. The NMAQB is responsible for: ensuring air quality standards are met and maintained; issuing air quality Construction and Operating Permits; enforcing air quality regulations and permit conditions. Any emission source must comply with the NMAQB regulations.

Impacts to air quality on lands managed by BLM in southeastern New Mexico are reduced by the following standard practices which include: utilizing existing disturbance; minimizing surface disturbance; reclaiming and quickly establishing vegetation on areas not necessary for production; periodic watering of access roads during dry periods; removal and reuse of caliche for building other projects.

Climate Change

Climate change analyses are comprised of several factors, including GHGs, land use management practices, and the albedo effect. The tools necessary to quantify incremental climatic impacts of specific activities associated with those factors are presently unavailable. As a consequence, impact assessment of effects of specific anthropogenic activities cannot be performed. Additionally, specific levels of significance have not yet been established. Qualitative and/or quantitative evaluation of potential contributing factors within the project area is included where appropriate and practicable. When further information on the impacts to climate change in southeastern New Mexico is known, such information will be incorporated into the BLM's NEPA documents as appropriate.

Environmental and economic climate change impacts from commodity consumption are not effects of the proposed planning decisions and thus are not required to be analyzed under the NEPA. They are not direct effects, as defined by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), because they do not occur at the same time and place as the action. Neither are they indirect effects because the proposed plan actions and resulting greenhouse gas emissions production are not a proximate cause of the emissions or other factors resulting from consumption. The BLM does not determine the destination of the resources produced from Federal lands. The effects from consumption are not only speculative, but beyond the scope of agency authority or control. Therefore, this document does not include analysis of the consumption of resources produced as a result of planning decisions.

Mitigation Measures

While dust will be generated from construction equipment, once the pipeline is installed there should be little to no additional impacts to air resources.

3.2. Range

3.2.1. Affected Environment

The proposed action is within the Twin Wells #77042 grazing allotment. This allotment is a yearlong cow-calf deferred rotation operation. Range improvement projects such as windmills, earthen reservoirs, fences, and brush control projects are located within the allotment. There are fences and water pipelines that would be crossed.

Allotment/s	Improvement Type	Location	Ownership
#77042	Water Pipeline	T. 24 S., R. 31 E., sec. 17	BLM
#77042	Pasture Fence	T. 24 S., R. 31 E., sec. 16	BLM

In general, an average rating of the rangeland within this area is 6 acres per Animal Unit Month (AUM). In order to support one cow, for one year, about 72 acres are needed. This equals about nine cows per section.

3.2.2. Impacts from the Proposed Action

Direct and Indirect Effects

The temporary loss of 16.00 acres of vegetation on BLM and State managed land (plus 10.51 acres for construction only) would not affect the AUMs authorized for livestock use in this area. There are occasional livestock injuries or deaths due to accidents such as collisions with vehicles, falling into excavations, and ingesting plastic or other materials present at the work site. If further development occurs, the resulting loss of vegetation could reduce the AUMs authorized for livestock use in this area.

Where livestock water pipelines are crossed, they would either be bored under, or cut and immediately repaired to the original operating condition. Impacts to the ranching operation are reduced by standard practices such as utilizing existing surface disturbance, minimizing vehicular use, placing parking and staging areas on caliche surfaced areas, and quickly establishing vegetation on the reclaimed areas. The

disposed of by oil and gas leasees in the area, it would likely be flared on site. This would result in the loss of the resource and potential impacts to the environment.

3.12. Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative impacts are the combined effect of past projects, specific planned projects, and other reasonably foreseeable future actions within the project study area to which oil and gas exploration and development may add incremental impacts. This includes all actions, not just oil and gas actions that may occur in the area including foreseeable non-federal actions. This proposed project is only a small component of the high level of drilling and development ongoing in the area. The Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario in the 2008 Special Status Species Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment attempts to capture the boom and bust nature of oil and gas development in the Permian Basin. Because pipeline systems like this one are the only means of transporting natural gas to market, these types of projects will continue to be seen on all land ownership types in Eddy and Lea counties, as well as to the south in Texas.

The combination of all land use practices across a landscape has the potential to change the visual character, disrupt natural water flow and infiltration, disturb cultural sites, cause minor increases in greenhouse gas emissions, fragment wildlife habitat and contaminate groundwater. However, the likelihood of these impacts occurring is minimized through standard mitigation measures, special Conditions of Approval, SCADA communications and ongoing monitoring studies.

While all resources are expected to sustain some level of cumulative impacts over time, these impacts would fluctuate with the gradual abandonment and reclamation of wells and associated infrastructure in the area. As an example, as new wells are being drilled, there are other wells being abandoned and reclaimed. As the oil field is depleted, the cumulative impacts would lessen as more areas are reclaimed and fewer areas are developed.

The Proposed Action would not have any potential to significantly impact environmentally sensitive areas. The route is not located within an established National Wildlife Refuge, conservation easements or conservation reserve program lands, designated Wilderness Area or Wilderness Study Area, National Monument, National Park, Marine Sanctuary, Area of Critical Environmental Concern or designated critical wildlife habitat.

4. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

4.1. List of Preparers

Prepared by: Douglas J. Burger
Legacy Land and Environmental Solutions, LLC

Date: 5/8/20

The following individuals aided in the preparation of this document:

- June Hernandez, Realty Specialist, BLM-CFO
- Lu Burger, Legacy Land and Environmental Solutions, LLC

4.2. References

Draft New Mexico GHG Inventory and Reference Case Projection – June 2005.
(Available on the Internet: <http://www.nmclimatechange.us/ewebeditpro/items/O117F6527.pdf>)

EPA Inventory of US Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2006.
Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

District I

1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720

District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410
Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505
Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
Oil Conservation Division
1220 S. St Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Action 129280

CONDITIONS

Operator: CHEVRON U S A INC 6301 Deauville Blvd Midland, TX 79706	OGRID: 4323
	Action Number: 129280
	Action Type: [C-144] Temporary Pit Plan (C-144T)

CONDITIONS

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
jburdine	NMOCD has reviewed and approved [4323] CHEVRON USA INC, Application and Form C-144 received on July 28, 2022, for the proposed JAVELINA UNIT 613 (613H, 614H, 615H, 509H, 510H) [fJMB2221553059], Temporary Pit in Unit Letters M & D, Sections 11 & 14, Township 24S, Range 31E, Eddy County, New Mexico. This application is approved with conditions.	8/3/2022