

I-40 Exit 39 A subsidiary of Marathon Petroleum Corporation Jamestown, NM 87347

October 27, 2021

Mr. Kevin Pierard, Chief New Mexico Environment Department Hazardous Waste Bureau 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

RE: SWMU-1 Test Pit Installation Letter Report Western Refining Southwest LLC D/B/A, Marathon Gallup Refinery EPA ID# NMD000333211

Dear Mr. Pierard,

As communicated to you in our letter dated March 9, 2021 Solid Waste Management Unit 1 – Test Pits, Western Refining Southwest LLC (D/B/A Marathon Gallup Refinery) (Refinery) proceeded with the installation of four shallow test pits at Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) -1 to ascertain if shallow groundwater is present within the SMWU-1 waste horizon outside of the berms and is contributing to the shallow surface water observed in SWMU-1 evaporation ponds (AL-1 and AL-2). SWMU-1 is illustrated on Figure 1. This letter report describes the installation of the four test pits, the yield tests that were conducted in the test pits and summarizes the findings.

The dewatering sumps in AL-1 and AL-2 referenced in the March 9, 2021 letter were not installed due to safety concerns of very soft unstable sediments in AL-1 and AL-2. As an alternative, on June 8, 2021, a dewatering suction hose was installed in AL-2, along with a diaphragm pump that pumped to a frac tank. From there, fluids were pumped to Tank 35 for treatment by the Refinery's wastewater treatment system. To date, approximately 63,000 gallons of liquid has been removed from AL-2. Further discussion of surface water in AL-1 and AL-2 is provided below.

Test Pit Installation

On April 14, 2021, four 10 to 15 feet (ft) deep test pits were installed in the locations outside of the pond berms (Figure 1). The test pits were installed using a 14-inch hollow stem drill rig, rather than using the skid-steer mounted auger as originally proposed. The use of a drill rig allowed a large diameter borehole while providing better control of well screen and sand pack installation than would be possible with a skid steer auger. Each test pit well consisted of approximately 5 ft of 4-inch casing and 10 ft of 4-inch well screen. Due to supply issues, 6-inch screen was not available. Boring logs and construction details are provided in Attachment A. With the exception of TP-4, groundwater was not encountered during drilling, though damp soils were observed, and water was detected in all of the test pits the following day after installation. In general, the soils within the 0 to 15 ft below ground surface (bgs) consisted of low permeability clay.



I-40 Exit 39 A subsidiary of Marathon Petroleum Corporation Jamestown, NM 87347

Drill cuttings were containerized in drums and sampled for disposal. The laboratory results (Attachment B) indicated non-hazardous soils, and the drums were disposed of at an approved disposal facility.

Test Pit Yield Testing

Yield testing of the test pits was conducted on April 15 and 16, 2021. Only TP-2, TP-3 and TP-4 had sufficient water for testing. The sustainable flow rate in all wells was less than 0.5 gallons per minute (gpm), which was achieved using a variable frequency drive groundwater sampling pump. Pumping well drawdown response was analyzed using an industry-standard pump test software package, which provided an estimate of aquifer parameters (transmissivity and storativity) for each location. All locations (TP-2, TP-3, and TP-4) showed relatively low transmissivity indicative of clay soils, with TP-4, located adjacent to EP-1, showing the highest transmissivity (11 ft²/day). For the approximately 5 ft saturated interval tested, the corresponding hydraulic conductivities for TP-2, TP-3 and TP-4, were 0.36, 0.44, and 2.2 ft/day or 1.3E-4, 1.6E-4, and 7.7E-4 centimeters per second (cm/sec), respectively. Yield test data and analysis are provided in Attachment C.

The yield tests at TP-2 and TP-3 included data-logging of nearby monitoring wells to record any influence from the yield test. Observation wells for TP-3 consisted of NAPIS-2 and NAPIS-3, located approximately 22 ft and 45 ft from the TP-3. No influence was observed during the yield test in these wells. In addition, the low well yield of approximately 0.1 to 0.5 gpm caused rapid dewatering of the pumping well. A lower flow rate was not achievable using the pump.

Similar results were obtained for the yield test in TP-2, in which OAPIS-1, located approximately 19 ft from the TP-2, served as the observation well. A well yield of less than 0.5 gpm was achieved, with a sustainable yield of approximately 0.1 gpm. An apparent response in OAPIS-1 observed in early time was likely due to a shift of the data logger transducer, as the timing of this apparent response does not correspond with the activity at the pumping well.

Analysis of Water Levels at SWMU-1

A cross section of the area, including SWMU-1 area wells and ponds AL-1 and AL-2, is presented in Figure 2. This figure also includes historical water levels from local monitoring wells for the period 2011-2020 and water levels from the test pits from May 4, 2021, approximately 2 weeks after installation.

The topographical data are based on an unmanned aerial survey (UAS) flight conducted in 2018 using Trihydro's UAS drone. The topographic elevation surface was generated from aerial imagery, with vertical accuracies of 0.1 to 0.2 (ft) in open areas. The photograph in Figure 2 was also taken at the same time as the ground survey and showed the extent of water in the two ponds.

During the yield testing in April, closer inspection of the area around SWMU-1 indicated that a large diameter drainage pipe for surface water is present between the former API separator location and AL-1.



I-40 Exit 39 A subsidiary of Marathon Petroleum Corporation Jamestown, NM 87347

The catchment area of the former API most likely contributed storm water to AL-1 in the past (the drain line was capped in May 2021).

As shown in Figure 2, groundwater elevations in the area of SWMU 1 indicate the following:

- Surface water is ponded on the surface of the aeration lagoons after periods of precipitation.
- Groundwater levels in wells and test pits surrounding SWMU 1 are generally below the level of the bottom of the sludge in ponds AL-1 and AL-2 (approximate depth of sludge 5 to 6 ft as determined during SWMU-1 sludge sampling).
- The groundwater levels in the area's monitoring wells, in relation to the higher water levels in AL-1 and AL-2, strongly suggest that seepage from groundwater into the ponds has likely not occurred. During future excavation, the bottom excavation elevation may encroach into the historical ranges of local groundwater elevations, but although this indicates the potential for flow into the excavation, the low permeability of the water-bearing unit combined with the compacted natural clay liner of the ponds indicate that groundwater seepage into the excavation should not be a problem. At a minimum, the seepage rate is likely low enough to control with standard excavation practices, such as a shallow diversion trench installed at the excavation bottom.

Surface Water Pumping of AL-2

As stated earlier, installation of the previously proposed dewatering sumps in the ponds was not feasible due to the unstable conditions. As an alternative, a dewatering system was installed in AL-2 on June 8, 2021, which was comprised of a 2-inch PVC suction pipe suspended into the pond water, a 2-inch air-operated diaphragm pump and a 21,000-gallon frac tank. When full, water from the frac tank was pumped into the Refinery wastewater system at Tank 35 for eventual treatment. Following the removal of approximately 63,000 gallons of water from AL-2, a small (approximately 15-foot diameter) puddle remained in AL-2. Water levels will be monitored, and pumping initiated to remove ponded water as needed.

Conclusions

Following are the conclusions from the installation of the test pits and yield testing at SWMU-1:

- 1. Significant groundwater seepage into the ponds is unlikely due to the higher water levels in the ponds relative to groundwater and the low permeability of soils underlying the area.
- 2. In addition, the low permeability of the surrounding soils implies groundwater seepage into the AL-1 and AL-2 during excavation is unlikely, and if encountered, can likely be controlled using common dewatering methods within the excavation.
- 3. A likely source of the accumulated water in ponds AL-1 and AL-2 is surface water and precipitation.



I-40 Exit 39 A subsidiary of Marathon Petroleum Corporation Jamestown, NM 87347

4. Effective pond dewatering was achieved via pumping of AL-2 surface water, which was subsequently treated in the Refinery's permitted wastewater system.

Marathon will continue to monitor the water levels in ponds AL-1 and AL-2 for water accumulation. If necessary, pumping from TP-1, TP-2 and TP-3 can be initiated if groundwater seepage is observed during excavation.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the information contained herein, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. John Moore of my staff at 505-879-7643.

Certification

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction of supervision according to a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Sincerely, Western Refining Southwest LLC, Marathon Gallup Refinery

Rith A. Cade

Ruth Cade Vice President

Enclosure

cc: D. Cobrain, NMED HWB Leigh Barr, NMOCDK. Luka, Marathon Petroleum Company H. Jones, Trihydro Corporation M. Suzuki, NMED HWB G. McCartney, Marathon Petroleum Company J. Moore, Marathon Gallup Refinery Figures





Released to Imaging: 11/22/2022 2:45:32 PM

Page 7 of 48

⊕ ^{TP−1}	TEST
● OAPIS-1	MONI DESIG
6917.89	GROL (FT Al
<u> </u>	POND
T	WATE (MAY
▼	MININ
▼	AVER
▼	MAXIN
	APPR SLUD
6600	SURF
	WATE
AL	AERA
DTW	DEPT
FT BGS	FEET
FT AMSL	FEET
NAPIS	NEW /

- DESIGNATION

- WELL/TEST PIT

WATER LEVEL DATA (2011 - 2020)

Ś

SCREENED

INTERVAL

WELL	NO. OF	DEPTH TO WATER, FT BGS					
	POINTS	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	AVERAGE			
NAPIS-1	39	8.41	6.40	7.08			
NAPIS-2	39	10.29	7.15	9.05			
NAPIS-3	38	11.19	7.51	9.38			
OAPIS-1	33	14.85	8.66	9.75			
KA-3*	21	10.72	8.14	9.11			

* KA-3 DATA 2014-2020



NAPIS REFERENCE DRAWINGS NAPIS 42400-100, NAPIS 42400-106

•

Attachment A Boring Logs

Triby) dro					We	ell Log		Well: TP-1 Page 1 of 1
Client:		fin							
Date Started:	n Gallup Re	etinery	Date Corr	npleted:		Permit Numb	er:	N	
4/13/21			4/13/21						EP-1
Logged By:			Driller:			1/4, 1/4, S, T	, R:	▲	
Mackenzie	Swift		Jeff Cot	hron					≥ 1
Drilling Co.:			Drilling Ri	g:		Borehole Dia	meter:	ן	
Terracon			Truck R	ig		14"		」 ♥ │ \	AL-2 AL-1
Method:			Measuring) Point Elev. (f	tmsl):	Sample Type	:		TPH
Hollow Ster	n Auger				· · · · ·	Grab			
I otal Depth (π):	Page 9 of 48	Ground Si	urface Elev. (fi	tmsl):	Location:			
15						SWMU-1			
	CONSTR	RUCTIO	N		SAMPL	ING DATA		LITHOLOGY	(
Depth, feet	F	7	To be surveyed, ~2.1' above grade	Graphic Log	PID Value _(ppmv)	Blow Count/ Recovery (feet)	Vi	sual Descrip	otion
			<u> </u>		28		Fill/Clayey sand/clay		
							· · · ·		
	\boldsymbol{Y}		Borehole						••••
	\boldsymbol{Y}		nameter 14"						••••
			" Schedule 40						
		F	PVC well casing						·····
			lvdrated						••••
			Bentonite						
			Pellets						
••••									••••
5									
					13		Damp fat clay		····
••••			" Schedule 40						•••••
•••••			0.010" slot)						•••••
									••••
••••									••••
									·····
			0/20 Silica						••••
		s s	and pack						
									••••
10									10
					8		Damp fat clay		·····
••••									••••
••••									•••••
									••••
									••••
									·····
15									15
				•					





Tribydro	D				Well	Log	Well: TP-4 Page 1 of 1
Client:							
Marathon Ga	llup Refiner	у					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Date Started:		Date Corr	pleted:		Permit Number:	N	EP-1
4/13/21		4/13/21					
Logged By:		Driller:			1/4, 1/4, S, T, R:		
Mackenzie Swift	t	Jeff Cot	hron				
Drilling Co.:		Drilling Rig	g:		Borehole Diamet	er:	
Terracon		Truck Ri	a		14"		AL-2 AL-1
Method:		Measuring	Point Elev. ((ftmsl):	Sample Type:	n	
Hollow Stom Au	laer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Grah		
Total Depth (ft)	igei	Ground Si	Inface Elev (ft -msl)	Location.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
44				na morj.	CIAMALL 4		
14					SVVIVIU-1		
CO	NSTRUCT	FION		SAMPL	ING DATA	LITHC	LOGY
Depth	,	To be surveyed	Granhic	PID	Blow Count/		
eet		~1.5' above	Log	Value (ppmv)	Recovery (feet)	VisualDe	scription
		grade		(Pp)			
	\vee \vee	ĺ		58	Fil	II/Clayey sand/clay	
		1					
		Borehole					
	KA K.						
		4" Schedule 40					
		PVC well casing					
••••		- Hydrated					
		Pellets					
		ĺ.					
5							5
		PVC well screen					
		(0.010" slot)					
• • • • •							
		ġ.					
		10/20 Silico					
		sand pack					
		Ś.					
••••							
<u>10 </u>					_		10
				23	Da	amp clay, saturated at 10 ft bgs	
		<u></u>					
							·····
			V/////				
····· •		_		—			

•

Attachment B SWMU-1 Drill Cuttings Analytical Results



May 03, 2021

John Pietz Marathon 92 Giant Crossing Rd Gallup, NM 87301 TEL: (505) 722-3833 FAX:

RE: SWMU 1 Test Pits Borrow Pit Sump

OrderNo.: 2104821

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: clients.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear John Pietz:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 2 sample(s) on 4/16/2021 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

CLIENT: Marathon

Lab ID:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Project: SWMU 1 Test Pits Borrow Pit Sump

2104821-001

Analytical Report Lab Order 2104821

Date Reported: 5/3/2021

Client Sample ID: SWMV 1 Composite Collection Date: 4/14/2021 12:00:00 PM Received Date: 4/16/2021 4:17:00 PM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
MERCURY, TCLP					Analyst	ags
Mercury	ND	0.020	mg/L	1	4/23/2021 11:11:07 AM	59582
EPA METHOD 6010B: TCLP METALS			-		Analyst	JLF
Arsenic	ND	5.0	ma/l	1	4/23/2021 11:04:16 AM	59584
Barium	ND	100	mg/l	1	4/23/2021 11:04:16 AM	59584
Cadmium	ND	1.0	mg/l	1	4/23/2021 11:04:16 AM	59584
Chromium	ND	5.0	ma/L	1	4/23/2021 11:04:16 AM	59584
Lead	ND	5.0	ma/L	1	4/23/2021 1:08:02 PM	59584
Selenium	ND	1.0	ma/L	1	4/23/2021 11:04:16 AM	59584
Silver	ND	5.0	mg/L	1	4/23/2021 11:04:16 AM	59584
EPA METHOD 8270C TCLP			0		Analvst	DAM
2-Methylphenol	ND	200	ma/l	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
3+4-Methylphenol	ND	200	mg/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
2 4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	0.13	mg/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	0.10	mg/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.50	mg/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Hexachloroethane	ND	3.0	mg/l	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	ma/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Pentachlorophenol	ND	100	mg/l	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Pvridine	ND	5.0	ma/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	ND	400	ma/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	ND	2.0	ma/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Cresols. Total	ND	200	ma/L	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Surr: 2-Fluorophenol	63.9	15-97.5	%Rec	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Surr: Phenol-d5	49.5	15-77.3	%Rec	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	73.8	15-112	%Rec	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Surr: Nitrobenzene-d5	75.6	15-119	%Rec	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	71.6	15-89.2	%Rec	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
Surr: 4-Terphenyl-d14	74.8	15-137	%Rec	1	4/27/2021 6:30:35 PM	59621
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMPOUNDS					Analyst	JMR
Benzene	ND	0.50	maa	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
1.2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50	mag	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
2-Butanone	ND	200	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
Chlorobenzene	ND	100	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
Chloroform	ND	6.0	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

* **Qualifiers:**

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

Е Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH Not In Range

Р RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 11

Analytical Report Lab Order 2104821

Date Reported: 5/3/2021

CLIENT	: Marathon		Client Sample ID: SWMV 1 Composite					
Project:	SWMU 1 Test Pits Borro	ow Pit Sump	C	ollection Dat	e: 4/1	4/2021 12:00:00 PM		
Lab ID:	2104821-001	Matrix: SOIL	Received Date: 4/16/2021 4:17:00 PM					
Analyse	S	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA ME	THOD 8260B: TCLP COM	POUNDS				Analyst	JMR	
Trichlor	oethene (TCE)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501	
Vinyl ch	loride	ND	0.20	ppm	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501	
Surr:	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95.8	70-130	%Rec	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501	
Surr:	4-Bromofluorobenzene	82.8	70-130	%Rec	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501	
Surr:	Dibromofluoromethane	100	70-130	%Rec	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501	
Surr:	Toluene-d8	95.8	70-130	%Rec	10	4/20/2021 2:18:15 PM	59501	

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 11

.

Received by OCD: 10/27/2021 12:02:32 PM



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

April 30, 2021

Sample Delivery Group:

Samples Received: Project Number:

L1341121 04/20/2021

Report To:

Description:

Jackie Bolte 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Entire Report Reviewed By: John V Hautins

John Hawkins Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV/SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

Pace Analytical National

12065 Lebanon Rd Mount Juliet, TN 37122 615-758-5858 800-767-5859 www.pacenational.com

Released to Imaging: PN/22/2022 2:45:32 PM Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

PROJECT:

SDG: L1341121

DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47 PAGE: 1 of 13

Page 17 of 48

Ср Тс Ss Cn Sr ʹQc Gl AI Sc

Ср

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

Â

Sc

Cp: Cover Page	1
Tc: Table of Contents	2
Ss: Sample Summary	3
Cn: Case Narrative	4
Sr: Sample Results	5
2104821-001B SWMU 1 OCMPOSITE L1341121-01	5
2104821-002B BORROW PIT COMPOSITE L1341121-02	6
Qc: Quality Control Summary	7
Wet Chemistry by Method 9012 B	7
Wet Chemistry by Method 9034-9030B	8
Wet Chemistry by Method 9045D	9
Wet Chemistry by Method D93/1010A	10
GI: Glossary of Terms	11
Al: Accreditations & Locations	12
Sc: Sample Chain of Custody	13

SDG: L1341121 DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47

PAGE: 2 of 13 Wet Chemistry by Method 9045D

Wet Chemistry by Method D93/1010A

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Page 19 of 48

Тс

Cn

Sr

Qc

Gl

AI

Sc

2104821-001B SWMU 1 OCMPOSITE L1341121-01	Solid		Collected by	Collected date/time 04/14/21 12:00	Received da 04/20/21 08:	te/time :45
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Wet Chemistry by Method 9012 B	WG1660441	1	04/29/21 09:55	04/29/21 16:23	KEG	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 9034-9030B	WG1656056	1	04/21/21 21:00	04/21/21 21:00	LDT	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method 9045D	WG1658421	1	04/26/21 02:31	04/26/21 08:00	ARD	Mt. Juliet, TN
Wet Chemistry by Method D93/1010A	WG1657481	1	04/23/21 19:00	04/23/21 19:00	LRP	Mt. Juliet, TN
	121 02 Solic	4	Collected by	Collected date/time 04/15/21 12:00	Received da 04/20/21 08:	te/time :45
	Batch	Dilution	Proparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
metrou	Butch	Dilation	date/time	date/time	Andiyst	Location
Wet Chemistry by Method 9012 B	WG1660441	1	04/29/21 09:55	04/29/21 16:24	KEG	Mt. Juliet, TI
Wet Chemistry by Method 9034-9030B	WG1656056	1	04/21/21 21:00	04/21/21 21:00	LDT	Mt. Juliet, TI

WG1658421

WG1657481

1

1

04/26/21 02:31

04/23/21 19:00

04/26/21 08:00

04/23/21 19:00

ARD

LRP

Mt. Juliet, TN

Mt. Juliet, TN

PAGE: 3 of 13

CASE NARRATIVE

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

V Howkins

John Hawkins Project Manager

Project Narrative

All Reactive Cyanide results reported in the attached report were determined as totals using method 9012B. All Reactive Sulfide results reported in the attached report were determined as totals using method 9034/9030B. Page 20 of 48

SDG: L1341121 DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47

PAGE: 4 of 13

1

DNI at 170

L1341121-01 WG1658421: 9.0	1 at 21.6C					
Wet Chemistry by	Method D93/10)10A				
	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	
Analyte	Deg. F			date / time		

04/23/2021 19:00

Wet Chemistry by Method 9045D							៓Sr
	Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch		6
Analyte	SU			date / time			°Qc
Corrosivity by pH	9.01	<u>T8</u>	1	04/26/2021 08:00	WG1658421		7
Sample Narrative:							GI
I 1341121-01 WG1658421: 9.01	at 21.6C						_

Wet Chemistry by Method 9031-9030B

Wet Chemistry by Metho	d 9034-90	30B				
	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/kg		mg/kg		date / time	
Reactive Sulfide	ND		25.0	1	04/21/2021 21:00	WG1656056

1

SAMPLE RESULTS - 01

L1341121

Analysis

date / time

04/29/2021 16:23

WG1657481

Batch

WG1660441

Dilution

Wet Chemistry by Method 9012 B

Result

mg/kg

ND

Qualifier

RDL

mg/kg

0.250

Collected date/time: 04/14/21 12:00

Analyte

Ignitability

Reactive Cyanide

Received by OPE DW LOV27/QO2M PLOSOPE32 PM

Тс

Ss

Cn

ΆI

Sc

Res cire 6 15 0 9 10/27/2021 12:02:32 PM

Wet Chemistry by Method 9012 B

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Page 22 of 48

Method Blank (MB)

(MB) R3648401-1 04/29/2	21 16:18			
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL
Analyte	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg
Reactive Cyanide	U		0.0390	0.250

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3648401-2 04/2	9/21 16:19				
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier
Analyte	mg/kg	mg/kg	%	%	
Reactive Cyanide	2.50	2.42	96.7	85.0-115	

DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47 PAGE: 7 of 13

Reg @ 46 by 8605 60/27/2021 12:02:32 PM

Wet Chemistry by Method 9034-9030B

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Page 23 of 48

Cn

Sr

[°]Qc

GI

Â

Sc

Method Blank (MB)

Method Blank	(112)				l'Cn	
(MB) R3644845-1 04	/21/21 21:00				CP	
	MB Result	MB Qualifier	MB MDL	MB RDL	2	
Analyte	mg/kg		mg/kg	mg/kg	⁻Tc	
Reactive Sulfide	U		7.63	25.0		
					³ Ss	

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

(LCS) R3644845-2 04/21/21 21:00						
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	
Analyte	mg/kg	mg/kg	%	%		
Reactive Sulfide	100	84.0	84.0	70.0-130		

L1340187-03 Original Sample (OS) • Matrix Spike (MS) • Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

(OS) L1340187-03 04/21/21	vs) L1340187-03 04/21/21 21:00 • (MS) R3644845-3 04/21/21 21:00 • (MSD) R3644845-4 04/21/21 21:00											
	Spike Amount	Original Result	MS Result	MSD Result	MS Rec.	MSD Rec.	Dilution	Rec. Limits	MS Qualifier	MSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	%	%		%			%	%
Reactive Sulfide	100	ND	87.6	87.8	87.6	87.8	1	70.0-130			0.209	20

DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47

Regen et by 8 9 10/27/2021 12:02:32 PM

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Page 24 of 48

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

Wet Chemistry by Method 9045D

	Sumple (L	23)				Cn.
(LCS) R3646486-1 04/26/2	21 08:00					
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	2
Analyte	su	su	%	%		Тс
Corrosivity by pH	10.0	9.98	99.8	99.0-101		

Sample Narrative:

LCS: 9.98 at 19.9C

	³ Ss
í	
	⁴ Cn
	⁵Sr
	⁶ Qc
	⁷ Gl
	⁸ Al
	°Sc

DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47

PAGE: 9 of 13

Received by 998 10/27/2021 12:02:32 PM

Wet Chemistry by Method D93/1010A

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

Page 25 of 48

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD)

(LCS) R3645953-1 04/23/2	_CS) R3645953-1 04/23/2119:00 • (LCSD) R3645953-2 04/23/2119:00									
	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCSD Result	LCS Rec.	LCSD Rec.	Rec. Limits	LCS Qualifier	LCSD Qualifier	RPD	RPD Limits
Analyte	Deg. F	Deg. F	Deg. F	%	%	%			%	%
Ignitability	126	127	125	101	99.0	95.6-104			1.59	10



SDG: L1341121 DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47

PAGE: 10 of 13

Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

Abbreviations and Definitions

MDL	Method Detection Limit.
ND	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.
Qualifier	Description
Т8	Sample(s) received past/too close to holding time expiration.

Sc

SDG: L1341121 DATE/TIME: 04/30/21 07:47

Received by OCD: 10/27/2021 12:02:32 RM CREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS

Page	27	of	<i>48</i>
------	----	----	-----------

Τс

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

Gl

AI

Sc

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN000032021-1
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico ¹	TN00003
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina 1	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina ³	41
Georgia ¹	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio–VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
lowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky ¹⁶	KY90010	South Carolina	84004002
Kentucky ²	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee ¹⁴	2006
Louisiana	LA018	Texas	T104704245-20-18
Maine	TN00003	Texas ⁵	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN000032021-11
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	110033
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	998093910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA
A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 5	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA-Crypto	TN00003		

¹ Drinking Water ² Underground Storage Tanks ³ Aquatic Toxicity ⁴ Chemical/Microbiological ⁵ Mold ⁶ Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.

* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace Analytical.

SDG: L1341121

LABORAT	MENTAL G ORY	HAIN OF CUS	TODY R	ECORD PAGE	: 1 OF: 1	Hall Environmenta Albı Website: clients.ha	l Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE iquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 illenvironmental.com
B CONTRATOR: Pace 7	COMPANY:	PACE TN		PHONE:	(800) 767-5859	FAX: (615) 758-	-5859
DRESS: 12065	Lebanon Rd			ACCOUNT #:		EMAIL:	
TY, STATE, ZIP: Mt. Ju	lliet, TN 37122			All and a second s			
EM SAMPLE	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	BOTTLE TYPE	MATRIX	COLLECTION DATE	# CONTAINER	NALYTICAL COMM	UB41121 IENTS
1 2104821-001B	SWMU 1 Composite	40ZGU	Soil 4	1/14/2021 12:00:00 PM	1 RCI		-01
2 2104821-002B	Borrow Pit Composite	40ZGU	Soil 4	1/15/2021 12:00:00 PM	1 RCI		-07-
					COC Seal	Sample Receipt Chec Present/Intact: N N	If Applicable
ECIAL INSTRUCTIONS /4	COMMENTS: 1749	9998 38	396		COC Seal COC Sign Bottles Correct Sufficie RAD Scre	Sample Recript Ches Present/Intact: N ed/Accurate: N arrive intact: N bottles used: nt volume sent: N en <0.5 mR/hr: Y N	cklist If Applicakle Zero Headspace: _Y_N s.Correct/Check: _Y_N AP_57_/-
ECIAL INSTRUCTIONS /	COMMENTS: 1749 B ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on all Date: 119/2021 Time: Res	9998 38 final reports. Please e-m ceived By: & Rauge	ail results to la	ab@hallenvironmental.	COC Seal COC Sign Bottles Correct I Sufficie RAD Scre com. Please return all coole	Sample Recript Chec Present/Intact: N ed/Accurate: N arrive intact: N bottles used: nt volume sent: N en <0.5 mR/hr: Y N REPORT TRANSMITTAL DESIRE	cklist If Applicakle Zero Headspace: _Y_N s.Correct/Check: _Y_N AP_54 AP_54 Contemporation D:
ECIAL INSTRUCTIONS // Please include the LAE	COMMENTS: <u>1749</u> B ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on all Date: <u>10:24 AM</u> Re Date: Time: Re	9998 38 final reports. Please e-m ceived By: B. Baun ceived By:	396 ail results to la 2 Date Date	ab@hallenvironmental. e <u>4/20/2</u> Time= 5845 te: Time:	COC Seal COC Sign Bottles Correct I Sufficie RAD Scre com. Please return all coole	Sample Recript Chec Present/Intact: N ed/Accurate: N voa arrive intact: N bottles used: nt volume sent: N en <0.5 mR/hr: Y N REPORT TRANSMITTAL DESIRE extra cost) FAX EMAI	cklist If Applicable Zero Headspace: _Y_N AP_57_/- AP_57_/- D: LONLINE
ECIAL INSTRUCTIONS // Please include the LAE inquished By: inquished By: inquished By:	COMMENTS: <u>1749</u> B ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on all Date: <u>10:24 AM</u> Date: Time: Re Date: Re	9998 38 final reports. Please e-m ceived By: & Bauan ceived By: ceived By:	2 Date Date	ub@hallenvironmental. = <u>4/20/2</u> Time: te: Time: Time:	COC Seal COC Sign Bottles Correct I Sufficie RAD Scre com. Please return all coole	Sample Recript Ches Present/Intact: N VOA arrive intact: N Pres bottles used: nt volume sent: N en <0.5 mR/hr: Y N REPORT TRANSMITTAL DESIRE extra cost)	cklist If Applicable Zero Headspace: _Y_N s.Correct/Check: _Y_N AP_57_/ buller D: LONLINE

Released to Imaging: 11/22/2022 2:45:32 PM

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	2104821
	02.16 01

Client: Marath	non											
Project: SWMU	J 1 Test Pits	Borrow	Pit Sump									
Sample ID: Ics-59501	SampT	Type: LC	S	TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: TCLP Compounds								
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: 59	501	F	RunNo: 7	6828						
Prep Date: 4/19/2021	Analysis D	Date: 4/	20/2021	S	SeqNo: 2	723024	Units: ppm					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	0.98	0.050	1.000	0	98.2	70	130					
Chlorobenzene	ND	10	1.000	0	101	70	130					
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.1	0.070	1.000	0	106	70	130					
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.91	0.050	1.000	0	91.3	70	130					
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.47		0.5000		94.3	70	130					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.49		0.5000		97.7	70	130					
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.51		0.5000		102	70	130					
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.48		0.5000		96.7	70	130					
Sample ID: mb-59501	SampT	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: TCLP	Compou	nds			
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	h ID: 59	501	RunNo: 76828								
Prep Date: 4/19/2021	Analysis D	Date: 4/	20/2021	S	SeqNo: 2	723025	Units: ppm					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	ND	0.050										
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.050										
2-Butanone	ND	20										
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.050										
Chlorobenzene	ND	10										
Chloroform	ND	0.60										
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.75										
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.070										
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.070										
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.050										
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.020										
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.46		0.5000		92.0	70	130					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.46		0.5000		92.3	70	130					

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Surr: Dibromofluoromethane

Surr: Toluene-d8

0.53

0.52

0.5000

0.5000

- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

105

103

70

70

130

130

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

0.20

0.22

0.19

WO#:	2104821

Qual

Qual

0

0

0

03-May-21

Client:	Marathon	l									
Project:	SWMU 1	Test Pits	Borrow	Pit Sump							
Sample ID:	2104821-002ams	Samp	Гуре: МS	6	TestCode: Volatiles by 8260B/1311						
Client ID:	Borrow Pit Compo	osi Batc	h ID: 59	588	F	RunNo: 7	6961				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis [Date: 4/	27/2021	S	SeqNo: 2	727780	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	
Benzene		1.3	0.50	0.4000	0.8365	111	60.2	138			
Chlorobenzene	1	0.37	0.30	0.4000	0	92.6	70	130			
1,1-Dichloroeth	ene	ND	0.70	0.4000	0	93.1	70	130			
Trichloroethene	e (TCE)	0.40	0.20	0.4000	0	101	70	130			
Surr: 1,2-Dic	hloroethane-d4	0.21		0.2000		107	70	130			
Surr: 4-Brom	ofluorobenzene	0.20		0.2000		97.6	70	130			
Surr: Dibrom	ofluoromethane	0.22		0.2000		110	70	130			
Surr: Toluene	e-d8	0.20		0.2000		102	70	130			
Sample ID:	2104821-002amsd	Samp	Гуре: МS	SD.	Tes	tCode: Vo	olatiles by	8260B/1311			
Client ID:	Borrow Pit Compo	osi Batc	h ID: 59	588	RunNo: 76961						
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis [Date: 4/	27/2021	5	SeqNo: 2	727781	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	
Benzene		1.2	0.50	0.4000	0.8365	94.8	60.2	138	5.18	20	
Chlorobenzene		0.36	0.20	0.4000	0	89.1	70	130	3.81	20	
1,1-Dichloroeth	ene	0.36	0.20	0.4000	0	89.8	70	130	3.63	20	
Trichloroethene	e (TCE)	0.39	0.20	0.4000	0	97.7	70	130	3.46	20	
Surr: 1,2-Dic	hloroethane-d4	0.21		0.2000		107	70	130	0	0	

0.2000

0.2000

0.2000

Sample ID: Ics-59588 Client ID: LCSS	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 59588			Tes F	tCode: Va	olatiles by 8 6961				
Prep Date: 4/22/2021	Analysis Date: 4/26/2021			S	SeqNo: 2	727783	Units: mg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.50	0.4000	0	115	70	130			
Chlorobenzene	ND	100	0.4000	0	94.0	70	130			
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70	0.4000	0	98.8	70	130			
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50	0.4000	0	106	70	130			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.22		0.2000		112	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.21		0.2000		103	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.24		0.2000		118	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.20		0.2000		99.8	70	130			

Qualifiers:

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Surr: Dibromofluoromethane

Surr: Toluene-d8

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

100

108

97.3

70

70

70

130

130

130

0

0

0

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 11

Marathon

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc

	WO#:	2104821
is Laboratory, Inc.		03-Mav-21

Client:

Project:SWMU 1 Test Pits Borrow Pit Sump

Sample ID: mb-59588	SampType: MBLK			Tes	tCode: Vo	platiles by 8	3260B/1311				
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 59588			F	RunNo: 76961						
Prep Date: 4/22/2021	Analysis Date: 4/26/2021			S	SeqNo: 27	727784	Units: mg/L				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene	ND	0.50									
2-Butanone	ND	200									
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.50									
Chlorobenzene	ND	100									
Chloroform	ND	6.0									
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5									
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50									
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70									
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70									
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50									
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20									
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.22		0.2000		110	70	130				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.20		0.2000		101	70	130				
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.23		0.2000		114	70	130				
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.20		0.2000		102	70	130				

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 11

Ξ

2,4,5-Trichlorophenol

2,4,6-Trichlorophenol

Surr: Phenol-d5

Surr: 2-Fluorophenol

Surr: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Practical Quanitative Limit

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Cresols, Total

Qualifiers:

D

Н

ND

PQL

S

Pyridine

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	2104821
	02 May 21

03-May-21

Qual

Qual

Client: Mai Project: SW	rathon MU 1 Test Pits	Borrow	Pit Sump						
Sample ID: mb-59621	Samp	Туре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8270C TCLP				
Client ID: PBS	Bato	h ID: 59	621	F	RunNo: 7	6998			
Prep Date: 4/26/2021	Analysis I	Analysis Date: 4/27/2021		ç	SeaNo: 2	729143	Units: ma/l		
Analyte	Result	POI	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	L owl imit	Highl imit	%RPD	RPDI imit
2-Methylphenol	ND	200		of iteritor var	JUILEO	LOWEIIIII	riigiiLiinit		
3+4-Methylphenol	ND	200							
2 4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	0.13							
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	0.13							
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.50							
Hexachloroethane	ND	3.0							
Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0							
Pentachlorophenol	ND	100							
Pvridine	ND	5.0							
2 4 5-Trichlorophenol	ND	400							
2 4 6-Trichlorophenol	ND	2.0							
Cresols Total	ND	200							
Surr: 2-Fluorophenol	0.095		0.2000		47.6	15	97.5		
Surr: Phenol-d5	0.076		0.2000		37.8	15	77.3		
Surr: 2.4.6-Tribromophenol	0.11		0.2000		54.5	15	112		
Surr: Nitrobenzene-d5	0.057		0.1000		57.3	15	119		
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenvl	0.058		0.1000		58.1	15	89.2		
Surr: 4-Terphenyl-d14	0.069		0.1000		69.1	15	137		
Sample ID: Ics-59621	Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8270C TCLP				
Client ID: LCSS	Bato	h ID: 59	621	F	RunNo: 7	6998			
Prep Date: 4/26/2021	Analysis I	Date: 4/	27/2021	S	SeqNo: 2	729144	Units: mg/L		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit
2-Methylphenol	0.053	0.0010	0.1000	0	52.6	18.9	104		
3+4-Methylphenol	0.11	0.0010	0.2000	0	53.1	11.8	115		
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.041	0.0010	0.1000	0	41.4	16.6	95.5		
Hexachlorobenzene	0.057	0.0010	0.1000	0	56.7	42.6	112		
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.049	0.0010	0.1000	0	49.3	11.5	87.7		
Hexachloroethane	0.045	0.0010	0.1000	0	45.2	14.3	71.4		
Nitrobenzene	0.054	0.0010	0.1000	0	54.4	23.2	109		
Pentachlorophenol	0.059	0.0010	0.1000	0	59.2	29.4	102		

0.0010

0.0010

0.0010

0.0010

0.037

0.056

0.055

0.16

0.072

0.056

0.079

0.1000

0.1000

0.1000

0.3000

0.2000

0.2000

0.2000

в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

37.2

55.8

55.3

52.9

35.9

28.1

39.5

0

32.7

33.9

5.83

15

15

15

62.1

112

111

117

97.5

77.3

112

Е Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

0

0

0

0

Page 8 of 11

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc

aboratory, Inc. 03-May-21		WO#: 210482
	aboratory, Inc.	03-May-2

Client:	Marathon										
Project:	SWMU 1	Test Pits	Borrow	Pit Sump							
Sample ID: Ics-59621 SampType: LCS					Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8270C TCLP			
Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 59621			R	RunNo: 7	6998						
Prep Date: 4/26/2	Prep Date: 4/26/2021 Analysis Date: 4/27/2021		SeqNo: 2729144			Units: mg/L					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: Nitrobenzene-d5		0.045		0.1000		45.1	15	119			
Surr: 2-Fluorobipheny	l	0.044		0.1000		44.5	15	89.2			
Surr: 4-Terphenyl-d14		0.045		0.1000		45.0	15	137			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 11

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

2104821	WO#:	
03-May-21		

Client: Project:	Marathon SWMU 1	Test Pits I	Borrow	Pit Sump							
Sample ID:	MB-59582	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	Code: M	ERCURY, T	CLP			
Client ID:	PBW	Batch	ID: 59	582	R	unNo: 7	6907				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis Da	ate: 4/	23/2021	S	eqNo: 2	725636	Units: mg/L			
Analyte Mercury		Result ND	PQL 0.020	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID:	LLLCS-59582	SampT	ype: LC	SLL	Tes	Code: M	ERCURY, T	CLP			
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 59	582	R	unNo: 7	6907				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D	ate: 4/	23/2021	S	eqNo: 2	725637	Units: mg/L			
Analvte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Mercury		ND	0.020	0.0001500	0	111	50	150			
Sample ID:	Sample ID: LCS-59582 SampType: LCS TestCode: MERCURY, TCLP										
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch	ID: 59	582	R	unNo: 7	6907				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D	ate: 4/	23/2021	S	eqNo: 2	725638	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Mercury		ND	0.020	0.005000	0	104	80	120			
Sample ID:	2104821-001AMS	SampT	ype: MS	5	Tes	Code: M	ERCURY, T	CLP			
Client ID:	SWMV 1 Composi	te Batch	ID: 59	582	R	unNo: 7	6907				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D	ate: 4/	23/2021	S	eqNo: 2	725641	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Mercury		ND	0.020	0.005000	0	101	75	125			
Sample ID:	2104821-001AMS	SampT	ype: MS	5D	Tes	Code: M	ERCURY, T	CLP			
Client ID:	SWMV 1 Composi	te Batch	ID: 59	582	R	unNo: 7	6907				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D	ate: 4/	23/2021	S	eqNo: 2	725642	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Mercury		ND	0.020	0.005000	0	99.9	75	125	0	20	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 10 of 11

.

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Page	35	of 48

WO#:	2104821
	03-Mav-21

Client: Project:	Mara SWN	tthon IU 1 Test Pits	Borrow	Pit Sump							
Sample ID:	MB-59584	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	6010B: TCLP	Metals		
Client ID:	PBW	Batch	n ID: 59	584	F	RunNo: 76	6909				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D)ate: 4/2	23/2021	S	SeqNo: 27	725679	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Arsenic		ND	5.0								
Barium		ND	100								
Cadmium		ND	1.0								
Chromium		ND	5.0								
Selenium		ND	1.0								
Silver		ND	5.0								
Sample ID:	LCS-59584	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	6010B: TCLP	Metals		
Client ID: LCSW Batch ID: 59584 RunNo: 76						6909					
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D)ate: 4/2	23/2021	S	SeqNo: 27	725681	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Arsenic		ND	5.0	0.5000	0	112	80	120			
Barium		ND	100	0.5000	0	103	80	120			
Cadmium		ND	1.0	0.5000	0	103	80	120			
Chromium		ND	5.0	0.5000	0	101	80	120			
Selenium		ND	1.0	0.5000	0	113	80	120			
Silver		ND	5.0	0.1000	0	114	80	120			
Sample ID:	MB-59584	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	6010B: TCLP	Metals		
Client ID:	PBW	Batch	ו ID: 59	584	F	RunNo: 76	6909				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D)ate: 4/2	23/2021	5	SeqNo: 27	25775	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead		ND	5.0								
Sample ID:	LCS-59584	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	6010B: TCLP	Metals		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch	וD: 59	584	F	RunNo: 76	5909				
Prep Date:	4/22/2021	Analysis D)ate: 4/2	23/2021	S	SeqNo: 27	25777	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Lead		ND	5.0	0.5000	0	104	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 11 of 11

Page	36	of	48
		- J	

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environm TEL: 505-345- Website: clier	ental Analysis Labora 4901 Hawkin Albuquerque, NM 83 3975 FAX: 505-345-4 its.hallenvironmental	atory s NE 7109 Sam 4107 .com	Sample Log-In Check List			
Client Name: Marathon	Work Order Nur	nber: 2104821		RcptNo: 1			
Received By: Isaiah Ortiz	4/16/2021 4:17:00	PM	エーク	*			
Completed By: Sean Livingston	4/19/2021 10:13:2	26 AM	5 1.	·			
Reviewed By: JRulia 21							
Chain of Custody							
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	Not Present			
2. How was the sample delivered?		<u>Client</u>					
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples	s?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌				
4. Were all samples received at a temperatu	re of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🔽	No 🗌				
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌				
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test	(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌				
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prope	erly preserved?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌				
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗋	No 🔽				
9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1	/4" for AQ VOA?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹			
 Were any sample containers received brol 	ken?	Yes 🗖	No 🗹	# of preserved			
1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🗹	No 🗔	for pH:	ess note		
2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	of Custody?	Yes 🔽	No 🗆	Adjusted			
3. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	-	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		17 .		
4. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Checked by	[19]		
pecial Handling (if applicable)							
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies wit	h this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗔	NA 🗹			
Person Notified:	Date);					
By Whom:	Via:	eMail 🔄 Pl	hone 🗌 Fax 🛛	In Person			
Regarding:	an a						
Client Instructions:							
16. Additional remarks:							
17. <u>Cooler Information</u>							
Cooler No Temp °C Condition 1 5.5 Good	Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By				

Page 1 of 1

Length Long

	Received by OCD: 10/27/2021	12:02:32 PM				Page 37 of 48
	MENT/ RATO					le for
	2410 BO	हत	×	×		
	ntal.c 1.344 pues	Total Coliform (Present/Absent)				
	Nume IS V 50: s Re	a 1) T(AOV-im92) 0728	×	$\mathbf{\times}$		
!	EN Albuc Isa		×	\sim		
:	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L		V	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$		
	AL NA Is NE 397	PAHs by 8310 or 8270SIMS	\vdash		╏╶┧╌┿╌╎╴╎╼┥╴╎╴╎╶┽	
	awkir v A H 5-34!	EDB (Method 504.1)				
		8081 Pesticides/8082 PCB's				
	490 Te	тен:8015D(GRO / DRO / MRO)				
		(†208) s'8MT \ 38TM \ X378				
		در چک (°C) AL No. *2 A	001	202		7 (b1) Time
	ush Am p	4410 P(et2 6 ^C - Oil 210		 		Date Date Date Dates. This serv.
	Time: a Ru e: test Ats v Ats v Ats	Ager: Trih X2, Trih <u>SMft , J.</u> <u>Freservati</u> Type				Via: Via: Via: Via: condited laborat
	Turn-Around A Standarc Project Nam Swwu 17 Project #:	Project Mana John Pie Sampler: M. On Joe: # of Coolers: Cooler Temp Container Type and #	Jar /3	•		Received by:
	ecord	ll Validation) ne	mposite	1-Compaste		mental may be subc
	stody R haltvm (0	□ Level 4 (Fu npliance Sample Nar	SWMU1 CO	BOTTOW R		d by: d by: https://www.ited.to.Hall Environ
	-of-Cu han Per	□ Az Cot □ Other Matrix	Soil	Soil		Relinquishe
	Chain Marat 1 Address	Package: Package: Itation: AC D (Type) Time	1200	1200		Time:
	Client: Mailing	email c QA/QC Is Stai Accred Date Date	17111	17/21		Date:

•

Attachment C Yield Test Data and Analyses

TP-2 Yie	eld Test 4/16/2021							
Time	Elapsed (hr:min)	Elapsed (min)	GPM	DTW'	TD'	Thickness'	Comment	
9:41			0.00	11.52	16.89	5.37	time=0	
9:42	0:01	1.00	<.5	12				
9:43	0:02	2.00	0.05	12.5			Flow mete	er not registering, too low. Bucket test 0.05 gpm
9:44	0:03	3.00		12.12				
9:45	0:04	4.00		12.16				
9:47	0:06	5.00		12.28				
9:52	0:11	11.00		12.41				
9:59	0:18	18.00		12.5				
10:04	0:23	23.00		12.5				
10:07	0:26	26.00		12.49				
10:15	0:34	34.00		12.55				
10:37	0:56	56.00	0.50	12.82			Increase f	low rate to .5 gpm
10:42	1:01	61.00		13.55				
10:43	1:02	62.00		13.85				
10:44	1:03	63.00		14.21				
10:45	1:04	64.00		14.51				
10:47	1:06	66.00		14.57				
10:49	1:08	68.00		15.62			Stop	12.0 mins from increased flow rate to .5 gpm
10:51	1:10	70.00		15.4			Recovery	
10:52	1:11	71.00		15.41				
10:53	1:12	72.00		15.39				
10:55	1:14	74.00		15.44				
10:58	1:17	77.00		15.37				
11:05	1:24	84.00		15.3				
11:15	1:34	94.00		15.25				
11:29	1:48	108.00		15.17			Stop	40.0 mins from stop recovery

Observation well OAPSIS-1 located 18'6" from TP-2

.

Time	Elapsed Time	Elapsed Min	Drawdown	GPM	DTW'	TD'	Thickness' Comment
2:32				0:00	11.35	15.7	7 4.35 Time=0
2:34	0:02	2.00	0.26	0.8	11.61		Flow meter problem, bucket timed flow rate
2:41	0:09	9.00	1.39		12.74		
2:42	0:10	10.00	1.87		13.22		
2:46	0:14	14.00	3		14.35		
2:54	0:22	22.00	3.2		14.55		
2:56	0:24	24.00	3.43		14.78		
3:00	0:28	28.00	3.63	0.12	14.98		Bucket timed
3:02	0:30	30.00	3.72		15.07		
3:08	0:36	36.00	4.42		15.77		Stop, DTW at pump intake
3:38	1:06	66.00	3.98		15.33		Recovery
3:53	1:21	81.00	3.88		15.23		Recovery
4:15	1:43	103.00	3.74		15.09		Recovery

Observations wells NAPIS-2 and NAPIS-3, located 22'10" and 45'5" from TP-3

TP-4 Yield Test 4/15/2021

Time	Elapsed Time	Elapsed Min	GPM	DTW'	DD'	TD'	Thicknes: Comment
12:00	0:00		0:00	9.66		15.6	5.89 Time-0
12:01	01:00	1.0	0.8	10.16	0.5		
12:09	09:00	9.0	0.86	10.93	1.27		
12:10	10:00	10.0	0.87	11.06	1.4		
12:11	11:00	11.0	0.82	11.15	1.49		
12:15	15:00	15.0	1	11.65	1.99		
12:25	25:00	25.0	1.01	11.92	2.26		
12:33	33:00	33.0	1.2	12.14	2.48		
12:46	46:00	46.0	3	14.14	4.48		Stop, DTW

Stop, DTW at pump intake

OAPSIS-1 Observation Well TP-2 Yield Test



NAPIS-2 Observation Well TP-3 Yield Test



NAPIS-3 Observation Well TP-3 Yield Test









District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone: (575) 393-6161 Fax: (575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 48 of 48

CONDITIONS

Action 58161

CONDITIONS OGRID: Operator: Western Refining Southwest LLC 267595 539 South Main Street Action Number: Findlay, OH 45840 58161 Action Type: [UF-DP] Discharge Permit (DISCHARGE PERMIT)

CONDITIONS

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
scwells	Accepted for Record Retention Purposes-Only	11/22/2022