BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Frand Manuter 32, 1963

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2659 Order No. R-2347-A

APPLICATION OF CABOT CORPORATION FOR THE CREATION OF A NEW OIL POOL AND FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TEMPO-RARY RULES AND REGULATIONS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on October 9, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>30th</u> day of October, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That Order No. R-2347 dated October 25, 1962, promulgated Special Rules and Regulations for the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool establishing temporary 80-acre proration units in said pool.

(3) That this case was reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2347 to permit the applicant and all interested parties to appear and show cause why the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units.

(4) That the evidence is not sufficient to establish that one well in the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool can efficiently and economically drain and develop 80 acres.

(5) That the temporary Special Rules and Regulations for the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool promulgated by Order No. R-2347 should be continued in effect for an additional one-year period in order to allow the operators in the subject pool sufficient time to gather additional information concerning the reservoir characteristics of the pool. -2-CASE No. 2659 Order No. R-2347-A

(6) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in October, 1964, at which time the applicant and all interested parties should appear and show cause why the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units; that if the evidence at said hearing does not establish that one well in the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool can efficiently and economically drain and develop 80 acres, then said pool should thereafter be developed on 40-acre proration units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the temporary Special Rules and Regulations governing the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool promulgated by Order No. R-2347 are hereby continued in full force and effect.

(2) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in October, 1964; that the applicant and all interested parties shall appear at said hearing and show cause why the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units; and that if the evidence at said hearing does not establish that one well in the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool can efficiently and economically drain and develop 80 acres, the North Bagley-Wolfcamp Pool shall thereafter be developed on 40-acre proration units.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

ØIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ACK M. CAMPBELL Chairman

WALKER, E [€] s. Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

SEAL

esr/