Entered September 23, 1773

## BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASES NOS. 5543 AND 5547 Order No. R-5096

APPLICATION OF CITIES SERVICE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

APPLICATION OF EXXON CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on August 27, 1975, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this 23rd day of September, 1975, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:


- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That in Case No. 5543, the applicant, Cities Service Oil Company, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, NMPM, Burton Flats Field, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- (3) That in Case No. 5547, the applicant, Exxon Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, NMPM, Burton Flats Field, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- (4) That both applicants, Cities Service Oil Company and Exxon Corporation, seek to be named the operator of the unit each seeks to have pooled.
- (5) That Cases Nos. 5543 and 5547 were consolidated as both cases involve some common acreage and the granting of one application would result in a denial of the other.

-2-Cases Nos. 5543 and 5547 Order No. R-5096

- (6) That Cities Service Oil Company has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at an orthodox location for its proposed unit to test the Pennsylvanian formation underlying said unit.
- (7) That Exxon Corporation has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at an orthodox location for its proposed unit to test the Pennsylvanian formation underlying said unit.
- (8) That Exxon Corporation based its application primarily upon a fault inferred to divide said Section 16 into approximately equal East and West half sections, and to effectively prevent drainage of gas between such half sections.
- (9) That the evidence presented did not establish the existence of such fault or drainage separation.
- (10) That there are interest owners in both proposed proration units who have not agreed to pool their interests.
- (11) That the evidence indicates that the entire N/2 of the above-described Section 16 can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by a well located at an orthodox location within the N/2 of said Section 16.
- (12) That there is a reasonable expectation that the entire S/2 of the above-described Section 16 could be efficiently and economically drained and developed by a well located at an orthodox location within the S/2 of said Section 16.
- (13) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the application of Cities Service Oil Company should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, NMPM, Burton Flats Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location for said unit.
- (14) That the application of Exxon Corporation for an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, NMPM, Burton Flats Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, should be denied.

-3-Cases Nos. 5543 and 5547 Order No. R-5096

- (15) That the applicant Cities Service Oil Company should be designated the operator of the well and unit described in Finding No. (13) above.
- (16) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.
- (17) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.
- (18) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.
- (19) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.
- \$252.00 per month while drilling and \$252.00 per month while producing are hereby fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates), provided that the production rate shall be adjusted on the first day of April of each year following the effective date of this order based on the percentage increase or decrease in the average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers as shown by "The Index of Average Weekly Earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers" as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics; that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charges attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.
- (21) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.



Cases Nos. 5543 and 5547 Order No. R-5096

(22) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before December 31, 1975, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:


- (1) That the application of Exxon Corporation for an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the E/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, NMPM, Burton Flats Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, is hereby denied.
- (2) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 16, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, NMPM, Burton Flats Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 320-acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at an orthodox location for said unit.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 31st day of December, 1975, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Pennsylvanian formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 31st day of December, 1975, Order (2) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Commission and show cause why Order (2) of this order should not be rescinded.

- (3) That Cities Service Oil Company is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.
- (4) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.
- (5) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.



-5-Cases Nos. 5543 and 5547 Order No. R-5096

- (6) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.
- (7) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.
- (8) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:
  - (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
  - (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25 percent of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (9) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.
- (10) That \$1793.00 per month while drilling and \$252.00 per month while producing are hereby fixed as reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates), provided that the rate for a producing well shall be adjusted on the first day of April of each year following the effective date of this order; that the adjustment shall be computed by multiplying the rate currently in use by the percentage increase or decrease in the average weekly earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers for the last

-6-Cases Nos. 5543 and 5547 Order No. R-5096

calendar year compared to the preceding calendar year as shown by "The Index of Average Weekly Earnings of Crude Petroleum and Gas Production Workers" as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the adjusted rate shall be the rates currently in use, plus or minus the computed adjustment; that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

- (11) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.
- (12) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.
- (13) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.
- (14) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

PHIL R. LUCERO, Chairman

MERY C. ARNOLD, Membe

S E A L

dr/



Entered December 9, 140 y

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 3969 Order No. R-3613

APPLICATION OF SINCLAIR OIL CORPORATION FOR A DUAL COMPLETION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on December 2, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 9th day of December, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the record and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

That the applicant's request for dismissal should be granted.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That Case No. 3969 is hereby dismissed.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

DAVID F. CARGO, Chairman

custon B. Hay

/ K.

L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

S E A L

esr/