

April 8, 2020

APPROVED By Nelson Velez at 10:09 am, Jan 25, 2022

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING LLC

Submitted via email: Cory.Smith@state.nm.us

Mr. Cory Smith New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department - Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (Ensolum, September 6, 2019) Enterprise Field Services, LLC Review of 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report: Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) **Content satisfactory** Rio Arriba Co., New Mexico OCD RP: 3R-459 Follow recommendations stated within 2018

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P.

ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC

(General Partner)

Dear Mr. Smith:

Groundwater Monitoring Report.

Enterprise Products Operating LLC (Enterprise), on behalf of Enterprise Field Services, LLC, is submitting one electronic copy of the 2018 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report (Ensolum, September 6, 2019) that summarizes results of the semi-annual groundwater monitoring and sampling (SA-GWM&S) events conducted at the above-referenced location (Site). The report is associated with a release of condensate that occurred on March 19, 2012 due to the overfilling of a condensate tank. During initial response actions, a suspected earthen pit was discovered that appeared to have caused historical hydrocarbon impacts that are comingled with the Subject release. The attached report documents SA-GWM&S activities that occurred between January 1, 2018 and December 31, 2018 (the "reporting period").

Data presented in the attached report indicate that dissolved-phase hydrocarbon (DPH), or constituent of concern (COC), concentrations remain at the Site in excess of the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Groundwater Quality Standards (GQSs). Additionally, the DPH plume is not fully delineated to the north and northwest.

Based on the information presented in the attached report, Enterprise intends to 1) continue SA-GWM&S activities in order to evaluate the stability of COC concentrations in subsurface water and groundwater, 2) evaluate in-situ soil remediation options for the source area, and 3) further delineate the DPH plume to the north and northwest of the release point.

Enterprise appreciates the OCD's continued assistance and guidance in bringing closure to this Site. Should you have any questions, comments or concerns, or require additional information, please feel free to contact me any time at 713-381-8780, or at gemiller@eprod.com.

Sincerely,

Gregory E Miller

Greaory E. Miller, P.G. Supervisor, Environmental

Rodnev M. Sartor Sr. Director, Environmental

CC: Ms. Whitney Thomas – BLM, Farmington, NM (landowner) ec: Mr. Cory Smith – NMOCD, Aztec, NM Mr. Jim Griswold - NMOCD, Santa Fe, NM Mr. Brad Billings – NMOCD, Santa Fe, NM Mr. Marc E. Gentry – Ensolum, Houston, TX

P.O. BOX 4324 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77210-4324 713.381.6500

1100 LOUISIANA STREET HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-5227 moo.glgge.www



LATERAL K-12 Y#2 CONDENSATE TANK RELEASE (3/19/12) 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Property:

Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) SW ¼, S23 T27N R7W Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

New Mexico EMNRD OCD RP No. 3R-459

September 26, 2019 Ensolum Project No. 05B1226001

Prepared for:

Enterprise Field Services, LLC P.O. Box 4324 Houston, Texas 77210-4324 Attn: Mr. Gregory E. Miller, P.G.

Prepared by:

Marc E. Gentry Principal

Ensolum, LLC | Environmental & Hydrogeologic Consultants 606 South Rio Grande, Suite A | Aztec, NM 87410 | ensolum.com



LATERAL K-12 CONDENSATE TANK RELEASE (3/19/12) 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Lateral K-12 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) site, referred to hereinafter as the "Site", is located within the Enterprise Field Services, LLC (Enterprise) pipeline right-of-way in the southwest (SW) 1/4 of Section 23, Township 27 North, Range 7 West, in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

On March 19, 2012, a natural gas condensate release, estimated at less than one (1) barrel (bbl), occurred as a result of overfilling the condensate tank. During the corrective action that included the excavation of impacted soils (April 2012), a suspected historical earthen pit was discovered, and the excavation was expanded to remove historical hydrocarbon affected soils. Due to the increased area of disturbance and safety factors associated with the depth of the excavation, the excavation activities were suspended by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Groundwater was not identified in the 35-foot below grade surface (bgs) excavation. Subsequent site investigations by Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) included the advancement of nine (9) soil borings and the installation of three (3) soil vapor extraction (SVE) wells/monitoring wells to delineate the extent of hydrocarbon affected soil and/or groundwater and potentially provide subsurface access for "high-vacuum" remediation. Due to a change in the intended use, the SVE wells at this Site are now referred to as "monitoring wells". Samples collected from the soil borings and monitoring wells exhibited concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) above New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Oil Conservation Division (OCD) Remediation Action Levels (RALs) in soils and above the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Groundwater Quality Standards (GQSs) in groundwater. Additionally, non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) was identified in monitoring well SVE-1. NAPL was removed from SVE-1 by bailing and did not recharge. Additional delineation activities were performed by AES during 2013 and 2014, and by Apex TITAN, Inc. during 2016. Groundwater COC monitoring is ongoing at the Site.

Ensolum submitted a Stage 1 Abatement Plan to the EMNRD OCD on March 22, 2019.

Semi-annual groundwater monitoring events were conducted during June and December 2018 to further evaluate the concentrations of COCs in groundwater over time. Findings and recommendations based on these activities are as follows:

- Based on available information, the first apparent water-bearing unit at the Site (at least in the vicinity of the remediation excavation) appears very limited in thickness and volume and may be more accurately described as subsurface water (as defined in New Mexico Administrative Code 20.6.2.7. It appears that water observed in the upgradient monitoring wells may be limited to a small volume of percolating water from precipitation events that periodically collects on or near the surface of the weathered subgrade bedrock and, depending on the significance of the precipitation events, subsequently drains into the monitoring wells and the associated well bore annuli. This speculation is further supported by the lack of groundwater encountered during prior excavation activities (reaching approximately 35 feet bgs) which exceeded the measured depth to groundwater at the Site of approximately 27 feet bgs near the source area. Additionally, bail-down tests performed on monitoring wells near the source area in 2013 demonstrated insignificant water recharge over the course of several days.
- The groundwater flow direction at the Site is generally towards the east and northeast, with an approximate average gradient of 0.01 feet per foot (ft/ft) across the Site.
- During the June 2018 sampling event, the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs*. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs*.



• During the December 2018 sampling event, the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs.* The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs.*

Ensolum offers the following recommendations:

- Report the groundwater monitoring results to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD;
- Additional site investigation activities will be initiated upon notification by the EMNRD OCD that the Stage 1 Abatement Plan dated March 22, 2019 has been determined to be administratively complete.

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION. 1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION & BACKGROUND 1.2 PROJECT OBJECTIVE	1 1 2
2.0	 GROUNDWATER MONITORING – JUNE AND DECEMBER 2018. 2.1 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROGRAM. 2.2 GROUNDWATER LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS	3 3 4 4
3.0	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION	6
4.0	 STANDARDS OF CARE, LIMITATIONS, AND RELIANCE 4.1 STANDARD OF CARE 4.2 LIMITATIONS 4.3 RELIANCE 	6 6 7

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Figures	
	Figure 1	Topographic Map
	Figure 2	Site Vicinity Map
	Figure 3	Site Map
	Figure 4A	Groundwater Gradient Map (June 2018)
	Figure 4B	Groundwater Gradient Map (January 2019)
	Figure 5A	Groundwater Quality Standard (GQS) Exceedance Zone Map (June 2018)
	Figure 5B	Groundwater Quality Standard (GQS) Exceedance Zone Map (December 2018)
Appendix B:	Tables Table 1 Table 2	Groundwater Analytical Summary Groundwater Elevations

Appendix C: Laboratory Data Sheets & Chain of Custody Documentation



LATERAL K-12 Y#3 CONDENSATE TANK RELASE (3/19/12) 2018 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

New Mexico EMNRD OCD RP No. 3R-459

Ensolum Project No. 05B1226001

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Site Description & Background

Operator:	Enterprise Field Services, LLC / Enterprise Products Operating LLC (Enterprise)
Site Name:	Lateral K-12 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) (Site)
Location:	36.554120° North, 107.549350° West Southwest (SW) ¼ of Sections 23, Township 27 North, Range 7 West Rio Arriba County, New Mexico
Property:	United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM)
Regulatory:	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Oil Conservation Division (OCD)

On March 19, 2012, a natural gas condensate release estimated at less than one (1) barrel (bbl) occurred as a result of overfilling the condensate tank. Animas Environmnetal Services, LLC (AES) conducted an initial release assessment and subsequently recommended the removal of affected soils (*Release Mitigation and Investigation Report, dated July 18, 2012 – AES*).

During soil excavation in April 2012, a suspected historical earthen pit was discovered, and the excavation was expanded to remove the historical petroleum hydrocarbon affected soils. Due to the increased area of disturbance and safety factors associated with the depth of the excavation, the excavation activities were suspended by the BLM. AES collected confirmation soil samples (SC-1 through SC-9). Groundwater was not identified in the 35-foot deep excavation. Subsequent to backfilling the excavation with clean fill, AES conducted a site investigation that included the advancement of seven (7) soil borings (SB-1 through SB-7). Three (3) of the soil borings (SB-1/SVE-1, SB-3/SVE-2, SB-4/SVE-3), were completed as soil-vapor-extraction (SVE) monitoring wells in anticipation of potential future remedial activities. However, the SVE wells at this Site have not been used for remediation and are utilized for groundwater monitoring.

On July 19, 2013, AES monitored the SVE wells and identified the presence of water in each well and nonaqueous phase liquid (NAPL) in monitoring well SVE-1 (1.07 feet thick). This NAPL was removed by bailing and did not recharge. AES also advanced two (2) soil borings (SB-8 and SB-9) adjacent to the former excavation, which demonstrated minimal natural attenuation of constituent of concern (COC) concentrations since the backfilling of the excavation. On July 22, 2013, AES collected water samples from monitoring wells SVE-2 and SVE-3 for laboratory analysis of total dissolved solids (TDS) and chlorides. Laboratory analytical results indicated that TDS concentrations were 1,160 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and 740 mg/L in SVE-2 and SVE-3, respectively, and chloride concentrations were 110 mg/L and 23 mg/L in SVE-2 and SVE-3, respectively (*Continued Site Investigation Report, dated October 4, 2013 – AES*).

Based on available information, the first apparent water-bearing unit at the Site appears very limited in thickness and extent and may be more accurately described as subsurface water (as defined in New Mexico Administrative Code 20.6.2.7. It appears that water observed in the monitoring wells (at least in the vicinity of the remediation excavation) may be limited to percolating water from precipitation events that periodically collects on or near the surface of the weathered bedrock and, depending on the significance of the



Page 7 of 103

precipitation events, subsequently drains into the monitoring wells and the associated well bore annuli. This speculation is further supported by the lack of water encountered during prior excavation activities (reaching 35 feet bgs) which exceeded the measured depth to groundwater at the Site of approximately 27 feet bgs near the source area. Additionally, bail-down tests performed on monitoring wells near the source area in 2013 demonstrated insignificant water recharge over several days.

A groundwater monitoring and sampling event was conducted by AES on October 8, 2013. NAPL was not observed in monitoring well SVE-1 during this monitoring and sampling event. However, presumably due to settling associated with the backfilled excavation, the screened portion of monitoring well SVE-1 was damaged and collection of a water sample was not possible. Water samples were collected from monitoring wells SVE-2 and SVE-3 for laboratory analysis of BTEX, and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), and motor oil/lube oil range organics (MRO) (*Groundwater Monitoring Report and Continued Site Investigation Workplan, dated November 15, 2013 – AES*).

During January 2014, AES advanced six (6) soil borings, five (5) of which were completed as groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5, and one (1) of which was utilized to replace monitoring well SVE-1 with SVE-1R. Monitoring well SVE-1 was apparently plugged and abandoned at that time.

During August and September 2016, Apex TITAN, Inc., (Apex) conducted supplemental Site Investigation activities at the Site by advancing seven (7) soil borings to further evaluate the extent of hydrocarbon affected soil and potentially impacted groundwater. Laboratory analytical results identified TPH GRO/DRO concentrations that exceeded applicable New Mexico EMNRD OCD *RALs* in monitoring well borings MW-11 and MW-13. Three (3) soil borings were completed as groundwater monitoring wells MW-11 through MW-13. The groundwater analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from these wells indicated benzene, toluene, and total xylenes in excess of the WQCC *Groundwater Quality Standards* (*GQSs*) (*Supplemental Environmental Site Investigation and Annual Subsurface Water Monitoring Report*, dated February 24, 2017 - Apex).

The Site is subject to regulatory oversight by the New Mexico EMNRD OCD. Initial Site activities were performed in accordance with the New Mexico ENMRD OCD *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases*, in addition to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD rules, specifically New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.29 *Release Notification*. This guidance established investigation and abatement action requirements for sites subject to reporting and/or corrective action prior to the update of the rule finalized August 14, 2018. Additionally, the New Mexico EMNRD OCD utilizes the New Mexico WQCC GQS (NMAC 20.6.2 *Groundwater and Surface Water Protection*) to evaluate groundwater conditions. NMAC 20.6.2 was amended (12/21/18). The New Mexico EMNRD OCD District 3 Office has indicated that the updated GQSs will not be enforced until sometime in 2020. Therefore, this document reflects the previous GQSs which are currently being enforced.

A **Topographic Map** is provided as **Figure 1** of **Appendix A**, which was reproduced from a portion of a United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute series topographic map. A **Site Vicinity Map**, created from an aerial photograph, is provided as **Figure 2**, and a **Site Map**, which indicates the locations of the monitoring wells and recent soil borings in relation to pertinent structures and general Site boundaries, is provided as **Figure 3** of **Appendix A**.

1.2 **Project Objective**

The objective of the groundwater monitoring events was to further evaluate the concentrations of COCs in groundwater at the Site over time.



Page 8 of 103

2.0 **GROUNDWATER MONITORING – JUNE AND DECEMBER 2018**

2.1 Groundwater Sampling Program

Semi-annual groundwater sampling events were conducted during June and December 2018 by Apex.

Information, data, and conclusions provided in the following sections and attached figures are based on information provided by Apex to Enterprise, and eyewitness accounts.

Based on information provided by Enterprise, Apex's groundwater sampling program consisted of the following:

Apex gauged the depth to fluids in each monitoring well using an interface probe capable of detecting nonaqueous phase liquids (NAPL). Due to an interface probe malfunction during the December 2018 sampling event, the monitoring wells at the Site were gauged on January 21, 2019.

Each monitoring well was sampled utilizing micro-purge low-flow sampling techniques. Subsequent to the completion of the micro-purge process, one (1) groundwater sample was collected from each monitoring well.

Low-flow refers to the velocity with which groundwater enters the pump intake and that is imparted to the formation pore water in the immediate vicinity of the well screen. Water level drawdown provides the best indication of the stress imparted by a given flow-rate for a given hydrological situation. The objective is to pump in a manner that minimizes stress (drawdown) to the system, to the extent practical, taking into account established Site sampling objectives. Flow rates on the order of 0.1 to 0.5 liters per minute (L/min) were maintained during sampling activities, using dedicated or decontaminated sampling equipment.

The groundwater samples were collected from each monitoring well once produced groundwater is consistent in color, clarity, pH, temperature, and conductivity. Measurements are taken every three to five minutes while purging. Purging is considered complete once key parameters (especially pH and conductivity) have stabilized for three successive readings.

Monitoring wells MW-3 through MW-5 were dry or did not produce a sufficient volume of water to allow for the collection of samples during the June and December 2018 sampling events.

Groundwater samples were collected in laboratory supplied containers, labeled/sealed using the laboratory supplied labels and custody seals, and stored on ice in a cooler. The groundwater samples were relinquished to the courier for Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (HEAL) in Albuquerque, New Mexico under proper chain-of-custody procedures.

2.2 Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Methods

The groundwater samples collected from the monitoring wells during the groundwater sampling events were analyzed for BTEX utilizing Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method SW-846 #8021/8260. The containers were pre-preserved with mercuric chloride (HgCl₂).

A summary of the per-event analytes, sample matrix, sample frequency and EPA-approved methods for the two (2) sampling events are presented on the following table.



Page 9 of 103

Analytes	Sample Matrix	No. of Samples (per event)	EPA Method
BTEX	Groundwater	8	SW-846 8021/8260

Laboratory analytical results are summarized in **Table 1** in **Appendix B**. The executed chain-of-custody forms and laboratory data sheets are provided in **Appendix C**.

2.3 Groundwater Flow Direction

Each of the monitoring wells was geospatially surveyed or re-surveyed to determine top-of-casing (TOC) elevations. Based on gauging data, the groundwater flow direction (gradient) at the Site is generally toward the east and north. The observed gradient during the two (2) monitoring events averaged approximately 0.01 feet per foot (ft/ft) across the Site.

Groundwater measurements collected during June 2018 and January 2019 (as well as historical data) are presented with TOC elevations in **Table 2** (**Appendix B**). Groundwater gradient maps for the June 2018 and January 2019 gradient maps are included as **Figure 4A** and **Figure 4B** (**Appendix A**).

2.4 Data Evaluation

Ensolum compared the BTEX laboratory analytical results or laboratory practical quantitation limits (PQLs) associated with the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells during the 2018 sampling events to the New Mexico WQCC *GQSs*. NMAC 20.6.2 was amended (12/21/18). The New Mexico EMNRD OCD District 3 Office has indicated that the updated GQSs will not be enforced until sometime in 2020. Therefore, this document reflects the previous GQSs which are currently being enforced.

The results of the groundwater sample analyses are summarized in **Table 1** of **Appendix B**. Groundwater Quality Standards Exceedance Zone maps are provided as **Figures 5A** and **5B** of **Appendix A**.

Monitoring wells MW-3 through MW-5 were dry or did not produce a sufficient volume of water to allow for the collection of samples during the June and December sampling event.

June 2018 Sampling Event:

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited benzene concentrations ranging from 590 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) (MW-11) to 1,700 μ g/L (MW-2), which are above the WQCC *GQS* of 10 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, and MW-13 exhibited benzene concentrations ranging from 3.7 μ g/L (SVE-3) to 8.5 μ g/L (MW-13), which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-13 exhibited benzene concentrations ranging from 3.7 μ g/L (SVE-3) to 8.5 μ g/L (MW-13), which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-12 did not exhibit benzene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 10 μ g/L.

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-11 and MW-13 exhibited toluene concentrations of 320 μ g/L and 7.5 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from the remaining monitoring wells did not exhibit toluene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, SVE-3, MW-2, MW-11, and





MW-13 exhibited ethylbenzene concentrations ranging from 5.9 μ g/L (MW-13) to 350 μ g/L (MW-11), which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 750 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-12 did not exhibit ethylbenzene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 750 μ g/L.

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited total xylenes concentrations ranging from 2,100 μ g/L (SVE-2) to 3,400 μ g/L (MW-11), which are above the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, and MW-13 exhibited total xylenes concentrations ranging from 8.8 μ g/L (SVE-1R) to 36 μ g/L (MW-13), which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-13, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-14 and MW-12 did not exhibit total xylenes concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L.

No data qualifier flags were associated with the June 2018 analytical results.

December 2018 Sampling Event:

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited benzene concentrations ranging from 590 μ g/L (MW-11) to 2,100 μ g/L (MW-2), which are above the WQCC *GQS* of 10 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R and SVE-3 exhibited benzene concentrations of 5.6 μ g/L and 9.3 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit benzene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 10 μ g/L.

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R and SVE-3 exhibited toluene concentrations of 1.9 μ g/L and 5.6 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from the remaining monitoring wells did not exhibit toluene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, SVE-3, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited ethylbenzene concentrations ranging from 12 μ g/L (SVE-1R) to 280 μ g/L (MW-11), which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 750 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit ethylbenzene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 750 μ g/L.

The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited total xylenes concentrations ranging from 1,400 μ g/L (SVE-2) to 3,000 μ g/L (MW-11), which are above the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R and SVE-3 exhibited total xylenes concentrations of 38 μ g/L and 150 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit total xylenes concentrations above the laboratory PQLs, which are below the WQCC *GQS* of 620 μ g/L. Due to failure of the water level indicator during the December 2018 groundwater sampling the site was gauged in January 2019.

	Data Qu	alifier Flags
Sample ID	Data Qualifier Flag	Comments/Reactions
SVE-3 (collected 12/18/2018)	SW-846 Method 8021 BTEX Surrogate Recovery was outside the accepted recover limits.	The BTEX data is suitable for use as an estimated value. The surrogate recovery was outside the accepted "high" limit of 120% with a recovery of 185% due to matrix interference.



Page 11 of 103

3.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

Semi-annual groundwater monitoring events were conducted at the Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) Site during June and December 2018. The objective of the groundwater monitoring events was to further evaluate the concentrations of COCs in groundwater at the Site with respect to WQCC *GQSs*.

- The groundwater flow direction at the Site is generally towards the east and north-northeast, with an approximate gradient of 0.01 ft/ft across the Site.
- During the June 2018 sampling event, the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs*. The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, MW-1 MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs*.
- During the December 2018 sampling event, the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 exhibited BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs.* The groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, MW-1 MW-12, and MW-13 did not exhibit BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC *GQSs.*

Based on the results of groundwater monitoring activities, Ensolum has the following recommendations:

- Report the groundwater monitoring results to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD;
- Continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring at the Site;
- Further delineate the dissolved-phase groundwater plume; and,
- Evaluate in situ remediation options for source area soils.

4.0 STANDARDS OF CARE, LIMITATIONS, AND RELIANCE

4.1 Standard of Care

Ensolum's services were performed in accordance with standards customarily provided by a firm rendering the same or similar services in the area during the same time period. Ensolum makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the services performed hereunder. Additionally, Ensolum does not warrant the work of third parties supplying information used in the report (e.g. laboratories, regulatory agencies, or other third parties). This scope of services was performed in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client, as detailed in our proposal.

4.2 Limitations

Findings, conclusions, and recommendations resulting from these services are based upon information derived from the on-site activities and other services performed under this scope of work and it should be noted that this information is subject to change over time. Certain indicators of the presence of hazardous substances, petroleum products, or other constituents may have been latent, inaccessible, unobservable, or not present during these services, and Ensolum cannot represent that the Site contains no hazardous substances, toxic materials, petroleum products, or other latent conditions beyond those identified during the investigation. Environmental conditions at other areas or portions of the Site may vary from those encountered at actual sample locations. Ensolum's findings, and recommendations are based solely upon data available to Ensolum at the time of these services.



Page 12 of 103

4.3 Reliance

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Enterprise Products Operating LLC, and any authorization for use or reliance by any other party (except a governmental entity having jurisdiction over the Site) is prohibited without the express written authorization Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Ensolum. Any unauthorized distribution or reuse is at the client's sole risk. Notwithstanding the foregoing, reliance by authorized parties will be subject to the terms, conditions and limitations stated in the Closure Report, and Ensolum's Master Services Agreement. The limitation of liability defined in the agreement is the aggregate limit of Ensolum's liability to the client.



APPENDIX A

Figures





ENTERPRISE FIELD SERVICES, LLC K-12 Y#3 CONDENSATE TANK RELEASE SW ¼, S23 T27N R7W, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico 36.55412° N, 107.54935° W

PROJECT NUMBER: 05B1226001

FIGURE

1



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM

Page 19 of 103



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM

Page 20 of 103



Released to Imaging: 1/27/2022 10:00:42 AM



APPENDIX B

Tables

•

			T.	ABLE 1				
		Lateral K	-12 Y#3 C	ondensate T	ank Relea	ase		
		GROL	JNDWATER	ANALYTICAL S	SUMMARY			
Sample I.D.	Sample Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TPH	TPH	TPH
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	GRO	DRO	MRO
						(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
New Mexico Wate	er Quality Control							
Commission Gro	undwater Quality	10	750	750	620	NE	NE	NE
Stand	0 10 14	2 200	4 500	250	2 600	NIA	NIA	NIA
	2.12.14	2,300	520	220	2,500	NA	NA NA	NA
	5 27 15	2 600	530	370	3,600	NA	NA	NA
	12 2 15	980	<50	240	2,600	NA	NA	NA
	6 14 16	1.800	<50	380	4,500	NA	NA	NA
MW-2	12.12.16	2.800	<50	390	4,700	26	7.1	<5.0
	7.06.17	2,100	<50	410	4.800	NA	NA	NA
	12.13.17	1,300	<50	160	1,800	NA	NA	NA
	6.28.18	1,700	<50	240	2,500	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	2,100	<50	210	2,200	NA	NA	NA
	2.12.14							
	11.13.14							
	5.26.15							
	12.2.15							
	6.14.16							
MW-3	12.12.16			Not Sar	mpled - Well	Dry		
	7.06.17							
	12.12.17							
	6.28.18							
	12.18.18*							
	8.29.19							
	2.12.14							
	11.13.14							
	5.26.15							
	12.2.15							
MW-4	6.14.16			Not Sar	mpled - Well	Dry		
	12.12.10							
	12 12 17							
	6 28 18							
	12 18 18*							
	2 12 14	1,100	2,900	220	1,900	NA	NA	NA
	11.13.14	.,	_,	220	.,			
	5.26.15							
	12.2.15							
	6.14.16							
IVIVV-5	12.12.16		Not S	Sampled - Insuffi	cient volume	to collect s	ample	
	7.06.17							
	12.13.17							
	6.28.18							
	12.18.18*							

E N S O L U M

			T.	ABLE 1				
		Lateral K	-12 Y#3 C	ondensate T	ank Relea	ase		
		GROL	JNDWATER	ANALYTICAL S	SUMMARY			
Sample I.D.	Sample Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TPH	TPH	TPH
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	GRO	DRO	MRO
						(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
New Mexico Wate Commission Gro	er Quality Control undwater Quality	10	750	750	620	NE	NE	NE
			Monitoring V	/ells Installed by Al	PEX			
	9.22.16	320	240	300	3,700	NA	NA	NA
	12.12.16	430	140	450	5,000	23	1.4	<5.0
	7.06.17	390	110	390	4,200	NA	NA	NA
10100-11	12.12.17	520	170	310	3,100	NA	NA	NA
	6.28.18	590	320	350	3,400	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	590	<50	280	3,000	NA	NA	NA
	9.22.16	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	12.12.16	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0
MW 12	7.06.17	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
10100-12	12.12.17	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.5	NA	NA	NA
	6.28.18	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.5	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	9.22.16	150	1,600	270	2,400	NA	NA	NA
	01.06.17	120	660	53	880	NA	NA	NA
MW 12	7.06.17	55	290	46	470	NA	NA	NA
10100-13	12.12.17	58	110	19	150	NA	NA	NA
	6.28.18	8.5	7.5	5.9	36	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
Note: Concentration	is in bold and yellow	exceed the ap	plicable WQCC	GQS				

E NSOLUM

* Interface probe malfunction during sampling event. Site gauged on 1/21/19

μg/L = microgram per liter

mg/L = milligram per liter

NA = Not Analyzed

NE = Not Established

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics

DRO = Diesel Range Organics

MRO = Motor Oil/Lube Oil Range Organics

<1.0= the numeral (in this case "1.0") identifies the laboratory reporting or practical quantitation limit

•

	N	S	0	L	U	Μ
--	---	---	---	---	---	---

			TABLE 2			
	Late	ral K-12 Y#	3 Condensa	te Tank Rel	ease	
		GROUNI	DWATER ELE	/ATIONS		
Well I.D.	Date	Depth to	Depth to	Product	TOC	Groundwater
		Product	Water (foot BTOC)	Thickness	Elevations	Elevation
	10.08.13		27.46	ND		
3VE-1	02 12 14	ND	27.40	ND	NA.	6577.03
	11 13 14	ND	29.00	ND		6576.04
	5 26 15	ND	29.27	ND	6606.09	6576.82
	12 02 15	ND	28.06	ND	0000.00	6578.03
	6.14.16	ND	28.05	ND		6578.04
SVE-1R*	9.22.16	ND	28.10	ND		6578.30
	12.12.16	ND	28.15	ND		6578.25
	7.06.17	ND	28.24	ND		6578.16
	12.12.17	ND	28.35	ND	6606.40	6578.05
	6.28.18	ND	28.80	ND		6577.60
	1.21.19**	ND	28.81	ND		6577.59
	10.08.13	ND	28.00	ND		6577.82
	02.12.14	ND	29.39	ND		6576.43
	11.13.14	ND	29.42	ND	6605 90	6576.40
	5.26.15	ND	29.86	ND	0005.82	6575.96
	12.02.15	ND	28.74	ND		6577.08
S\/F_2*	6.14.16	ND	28.58	ND		6577.24
3VL-2	9.22.16	ND	28.77	ND		6577.61
	12.12.16	ND	28.74	ND		6577.64
	7.06.17	ND	29.26	ND	6606.38	6577.12
	12.12.17	ND	29.50	ND	0000.00	6576.88
	6.28.18	ND	30.05	ND		6576.33
	1.21.19**	ND	29.82	ND		6576.56
	10.08.13	ND	31.85	ND		6575.61
	02.12.14	ND	29.98	ND		6577.48
	11.13.14	ND	29.54	ND	6607.46	6577.92
	5.26.15	ND	30.93	ND		6576.53
	12.02.15	ND	30.49	ND		6576.97
SVE-3*	6.14.16	ND	30.37	ND		6577.09
	9.22.16	ND	30.50	ND		6577.64
	7.06.17	ND	30.28	ND		6577.64
	7.00.17	ND	31.77	ND	6607.92	0370.13 6577.12
	6 28 18		31.08	ND		6576.84
	1 21 19**		30.91	ND		6577.01
	02 12 14	ND	40.95	ND		6565 58
	11 13 1/		38 45			6568.08
	5 26 15	ND	38.78	ND	6606 53	6567.75
	12 02 15	ND	39.53	ND	0000.00	6567.00
	6.14.16	ND	39.97	ND		6566 56
MW-1*	9.22.16	ND	39.91	ND		6567.14
	12.12.16	ND	39.58	ND	1	6567.47
	7.06.17	ND	40.28	ND	1	6566.77
	12.12.17	ND	40.21	ND	6607.05	6566.84
	6.28.18	ND	40.27	ND	1	6566.78
	1.21.19**	ND	39.69	ND		6567.36

•

	Ν	S	0	L	U	Μ
--	---	---	---	---	---	---

			TABLE 2			
	Late	eral K-12 Y#	3 Condensa	te Tank Rel	ease	
		GROUNI	DWATER ELE	VATIONS		
Well I.D.	Date	Depth to	Depth to	Product	TOC	Groundwater
		Product	Water	Thickness	Elevations	Elevation
	02 12 14	(leet BTOC)	28 79	ND	(Ieet AWSL)	(1001 AMISL)
	11 13 14	ND	29.75	ND		6576.53
	5 26 15	ND	29.45	ND	6605.80	6576.35
	12.02.15	ND	28.28	ND		6577.52
	6.14.16	ND	28.37	ND		6577.43
MW-2*	9.22.16	ND	28.62	ND		6577.66
	12.12.16	ND	28.70	ND		6577.58
	7.06.17	ND	29.00	ND		6577.28
	12.12.17	ND	29.22	ND	6606.28	6577.06
	6.28.18	ND	29.61	ND		6576.67
	1.21.19**	ND	29.35	ND		6576.93
	02.12.14	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	11.13.14	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	5.26.15	ND	DRY	ND	6607.53	DRY
	12.02.15	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	6.14.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
MW-3*	9.22.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	12.12.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	7.06.17	ND	DRY	ND	6608.04	DRY
	12.12.17	ND	DRY	ND	0000.04	DRY
	6.28.18	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	1.21.19**	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	02.12.14	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	11.13.14	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	5.26.15	ND	DRY	ND	6609.20	DRY
	12.02.15	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	6.14.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
MW-4*	9.22.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	12.12.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
	7.06.17	ND	DRY	ND	6609.66	DRY
	12.12.17	ND		ND		
	0.20.10	ND		ND		
	02.12.14	ND	20.97	ND		0577.04
	02.12.14	ND	29.87	ND		6577.07
	5 26 15				6607 11	
	12 02 15			ND	0007.11	
	6 14 16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY
MW-5*	9 22 16	ND	30.04	ND		6577.55
	12,12,16	ND	30.50	ND		6577.09
	7.06.17	ND	30.05	ND		6577.54
	12.12.17	ND	30.06	ND	6607.59	6577.53
	6.28.18	ND	30.50	ND	1	6577.09
	1.21.19**	ND	30.49	ND		6577.10

E ENSOLUM

TABLE 2 Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS								
Well I.D.	Date	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Water (feet BTOC)	Product Thickness	TOC Elevations (feet AMSL)	Groundwater Elevation (feet AMSL)		
	9.22.16	ND	27.71	ND		6576.93		
	12.12.16	ND	27.65	ND		6576.99		
NA) A/ 11	7.06.17	ND	28.25	ND	6604 64	6576.39		
10100-11	12.12.17	ND	28.75	ND	0004.04	6575.89		
	6.28.18	ND	29.18	ND		6575.46		
	1.21.19**	ND	28.41	ND		6576.23		
	9.22.16	ND	27.71	ND		6577.30		
	12.12.16	ND	27.60	ND		6577.41		
M/M/ 12	7.06.17	ND	28.32	ND	6605.01	6576.69		
10100-12	12.12.17	ND	28.82	ND	0005.01	6576.19		
	6.28.18	ND	29.23	ND		6575.78		
	1.21.19**	ND	28.22	ND		6576.79		
	9.22.16	ND	33.60	ND		6574.01		
	12.12.16	ND	35.10	ND		6572.51		
M\A/ 13	7.06.17	ND	31.47	ND	6607 61	6576.14		
10100-13	12.12.17	ND	31.42	ND	0007.01	6576.19		
	6.28.18	ND	31.65	ND		6575.96		
	1.21.19**	ND	31.81	ND		6575.80		

*Monitoring well resurveyed on 9/27/16.

** Interface probe malfunction during sampling event. Site gauged on 1/21/19

BTOC - below top of casing

AMSL - above mean sea level

TOC - top of casing

ND - Not detected

NA - Not applicable



APPENDIX C

Laboratory Data Sheets & Chain of Custody Documentation



July 05, 2018

Kyle Summers APEX TITAN 606 S. Rio Grande Suite A Aztec, NM 87410 TEL: (903) 821-5603 FAX

RE: K 12 Y 3

OrderNo.: 1806I52

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear Kyle Summers:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 8 sample(s) on 6/30/2018 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environ	mental Analysis Lab	oratory,	Inc.			/ I I	Analytical Report Lab Order: 1806152 Date Reported: 7/5/2	2018
CLIENT:	APEX TITAN				Ι	Lab ()rder: 1806I	52
Project: H	X 12 Y 3							
Lab ID:	1806I52-001		С	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 10:00:00 A	٩M
Client Sample ID:	MW-12				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch I
EPA METHOD 826	60: VOLATILES SHORT LIST						Ana	alyst: AG
Benzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:17:24 A	M D524
Toluene		ND	1.0		μg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:17:24 A	M D524
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:17:24 A	M D524
Xylenes, Total		ND	1.5		µg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:17:24 A	M D524
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	orobenzene	115	70-130		%Rec	1	7/3/2018 2:17:24 A	M D524
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	99.1	70-130		%Rec	1	7/3/2018 2:17:24 A	.M D524
Lab ID:	1806I52-002		С	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 11:00:00 #	٩M
Client Sample ID:	MW-1				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch I
EPA METHOD 826	60: VOLATILES SHORT LIST						Ana	alyst: AG
Benzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:40:33 A	M D524
Toluene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:40:33 A	M D524
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0		μg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:40:33 A	M D524
Xylenes, Total		ND	1.5		μg/L	1	7/3/2018 2:40:33 A	M D524
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	orobenzene	119	70-130		%Rec	1	7/3/2018 2:40:33 A	M D524
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	102	70-130		%Rec	1	7/3/2018 2:40:33 A	.M D524
Lab ID:	1806I52-003		С	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 11:50:00 A	٩M
Client Sample ID:	SVE-3				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch I
EPA METHOD 826	60: VOLATILES SHORT LIST						Ana	alyst: AG
Benzene		3.7	2.5		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:03:45 A	M D524
Toluene		ND	5.0		μg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:03:45 A	M D524
Ethylbenzene		60	5.0		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:03:45 A	M D524
Xylenes, Total		11	7.5		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:03:45 A	M D524
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	orobenzene	125	70-130		%Rec	5	7/3/2018 3:03:45 A	M D524
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	104	70-130		%Rec	5	7/3/2018 3:03:45 A	M D524

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

- Qualifiers:
 *
 Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 B
 Analyt

 D
 Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
 E
 Value =

 H
 Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
 J
 Analyt

 ND
 Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 P
 Sample
 - PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 4
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 4

Hall Environ	mental Analysis Lab	oratory,	Inc.			A L L	Analytical Report Lab Order: 1806I52 Date Reported: 7/5/2	018
CLIENT: A Project: F	APEX TITAN K 12 Y 3				Ι	.ab C)rder: 18061	52
Lab ID:	1806I52-004		С	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 12:45:00 P	М
Client Sample ID:	MW-13				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHOD 826	60: VOLATILES SHORT LIST						Ana	lyst: AG
Benzene		8.5	5.0		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:26:47 A	M D52411
Toluene		7.5	5.0		μg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:26:47 A	M D52411
Ethylbenzene		5.9	5.0		μg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:26:47 A	M D52411
Xylenes, Total		36	7.5		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:26:47 A	M D52411
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	orobenzene	125	70-130		%Rec	5	7/3/2018 3:26:47 A	M D52411
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	102	70-130		%Rec	5	7/3/2018 3:26:47 A	M D52411
Lab ID:	1806I52-005		С	ollecti	on Date	: 6/2	28/2018 1:30:00 PN	1
Client Sample ID:	SVE-1R				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHOD 826	60: VOLATILES SHORT LIST						Ana	lyst: AG
Benzene		3.8	2.5		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:49:49 A	M D52411
Toluene		ND	5.0		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:49:49 A	M D52411
Ethylbenzene		12	5.0		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:49:49 A	M D52411
Xylenes, Total		8.8	7.5		µg/L	5	7/3/2018 3:49:49 A	M D52411
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	orobenzene	115	70-130		%Rec	5	7/3/2018 3:49:49 A	M D52411
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	104	70-130		%Rec	5	7/3/2018 3:49:49 A	M D52411
Lab ID:	1806I52-006		С	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 2:15:00 PN	1
Client Sample ID:	SVE-2				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHOD 826	60: VOLATILES SHORT LIST			_	_		Ana	lyst: AG
Benzene		1200	50		µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:13:01 A	M D52411
Toluene		ND	50		µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:13:01 A	M D52411
Ethylbenzene		250	50		µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:13:01 A	M D52411
Xylenes, Total		2100	75		µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:13:01 A	M D52411
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	orobenzene	109	70-130		%Rec	50	7/3/2018 4:13:01 A	M D52411
Surr: Toluene-d8	5	104	70-130		%Rec	50	7/3/2018 4:13:01 A	M D52411

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method I	3lank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Value above quantitation range	
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	Pa
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample pH Not In Range	1 4
	PQL	Practical Quanitative Limit	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	

Released to Imaging: 1/27/2022 10:00:42 AM

Hall Environ	mental Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.		A I I	Analytical Report Lab Order: 1806I52 Date Reported: 7/5/2	018
CLIENT: A Project: H	APEX TITAN K 12 Y 3			I	Lab C)rder: 180615	52
Lab ID:	1806I52-007		C	collection Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 3:05:00 PM	1
Client Sample ID:	MW-2			Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHOD 820	60: VOLATILES SHORT	LIST				Ana	lyst: AG
Benzene		1700	50	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:36:07 AI	M D52411
Toluene		ND	50	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:36:07 AI	M D52411
Ethylbenzene		240	50	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:36:07 AI	M D52411
Xylenes, Total		2500	75	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:36:07 AI	M D52411
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	iorobenzene	109	70-130	%Rec	50	7/3/2018 4:36:07 AI	M D52411
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	101	70-130	%Rec	50	7/3/2018 4:36:07 AI	M D52411
Lab ID:	1806I52-008		C	collection Date	e: 6/2	28/2018 3:55:00 PM	1
Client Sample ID:	MW-11			Matrix	к: А(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHOD 820	60: VOLATILES SHORT	LIST				Ana	lyst: AG
Benzene		590	50	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:59:09 AI	M D52411
Toluene		320	50	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:59:09 AI	M D52411
Ethylbenzene		350	50	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:59:09 AI	M D52411
Xylenes, Total		3400	75	µg/L	50	7/3/2018 4:59:09 AI	M D52411
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	iorobenzene	105	70-130	%Rec	50	7/3/2018 4:59:09 AI	M D52411
Surr: Toluene-d8	3	100	70-130	%Rec	50	7/3/2018 4:59:09 AI	M D52411

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 4
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Released to Imaging: 1/27/2022 10:00:42 AM

APEX TITAN

K 12 Y 3

Client:

Project:

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Sample ID 100ng btex lcs2	Samp	Type: LC	:S4	TestCode: EPA Method 8260: Volatiles Short List						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batc	h ID: D5	2411	RunNo: 52411						
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 7/	2/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	719585	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	20	1.0	20.00	0	98.7	80	120			
Toluene	20	1.0	20.00	0	99.9	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	20	1.0	20.00	0	100	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	59	1.5	60.00	0	98.8	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	9.3		10.00		93.0	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	10		10.00		99.6	70	130			
Sample ID rb2	Samp	Гуре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260: Volatile	es Short L	.ist	
Client ID: PBW	Batc	h ID: D5	2411	F	RunNo: 5	2411				
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 7/	2/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	719610	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	1.0								
Toluene	ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total	ND	1.5								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	11		10.00		112	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	10		10.00		99.6	70	130			
Sample ID 100ng btex lcs	Samp	Гуре: LC	S4	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260: Volatile	es Short L	ist	
Client ID: BatchQC	Batc	h ID: D5	2450	F	RunNo: 5	2450				
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 7/	3/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	720496	Units: %Rec	;		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	10		10.00		99.6	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	10		10.00		101	70	130			
Sample ID rb	Samp	Гуре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260: Volatile	es Short L	ist	
Client ID: PBW	Batc	h ID: D5	2450	F	RunNo: 5	2450				
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 7/	3/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	720510	Units: %Rec	;		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	11		10.00		114	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	9.8		10.00		98.3	70	130			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Practical Quanitative Limit PQL
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit RL
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 4

WO#: 1806I52 05-Jul-18

Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM

Page	<i>33</i>	of	1	03

HALL ENVIRONMENTA ANALYSIS LABORATORY	L	Hall Environma TEL: 505-345-, Website: w	ental Analysis 4901 H Albuquerque, 3975 FAX: 50. ww.hallenviron	Laboratory Iawkins NE NM 87109 5-345-4107 mental.com	San	n ple Log-In C	Check List
Client Name: APEX AZTE	C	Work Order Nun	nber: 180615	2		RcptNo	: 1
Received By: Erin Melen	drez 6/3	30/2018 10:15:0	0 AM	Ű	M	5	
Completed By: Erin Melen	drez 6/3	30/2018 12:54:2	2 PM	Ń	ME	5	
Reviewed By: ENM LB: <u></u> <u>Chain of Custody</u>	81/50/10	112./B					
1. Is Chain of Custody comple	ete?		Yes 🛛	1	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the sample delive	red?		Courier				
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to co	ool the samples?		Yes 🔽] 1	1o 🗌	NA 🗌	
4. Were all samples received a	at a temperature of >	0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸] N	₩ 🗆	NA 🗌	
5. Sample(s) in proper contain	er(s)?		Yes 🔽] N	lo 🗌		
6. Sufficient sample volume for	r indicated test(s)?		Yes 🔽	N	o 🗌		
7. Are samples (except VOA a	nd ONG) properly pre	served?	Yes 🖌	N	o 🗌		
8. Was preservative added to b	pottles?		Yes 🗌	N	o 🗸	NA 🗌	
9. VOA vials have zero headsp	ace?		Yes 🗸	N	io 🗌	No VOA Vials	/
10. Were any sample container	s received broken?		Yes	N	₩ 🖌	# of preserved	
11. Does paperwork match bottl (Note discrepancies on chair	e labels? n of custody)		Yes 🔽	N	o 🗆	for pH:	12 Unless noted)
12. Are matrices correctly identi	fied on Chain of Custo	ody?	Yes 🔽	N	•	Adjusted?	
13. Is it clear what analyses wer	e requested?		Yes 🗹	N	o 🗆	/	
14. Were all holding times able to (If no, notify customer for au	to be met? thorization.)		Yes 🔽	N	• 🗆	Checked by:	0
Special Handling (if appl	icable)						
15. Was client notified of all dis	crepancies with this o	order?	Yes]	Io	NA 🗹	
Person Notified:		Date	•		1]
By Whom:		Via:	eMail	Phone	Fax	In Person	
Regarding:					_		
Client Instructions:							
16. Additional remarks:							_
17. <u>Cooler Information</u>							
Cooler No Temp °C	Condition Seal In	tact Seal No	Seal Date	Signe	d By		
1 3.9	Good Yes						





December 27, 2018

Kyle Summers APEX TITAN 606 S. Rio Grande Suite A Aztec, NM 87410 TEL: (903) 821-5603 FAX Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

RE: K 12 Y 3

OrderNo.: 1812C65

Dear Kyle Summers:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 8 sample(s) on 12/20/2018 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65

12/21/2018 8:08:07 PM D56527

Hall Environmental Analys	vsis Laboratory, Inc. Date Reported: 12/27/2018						
CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Cl	lient Sample I	D: M	W-12		
Project: K 12 Y 3		(Collection Da	te: 12	/18/2018 10:40:00 Al	M	
Lab ID: 1812C65-001	Matrix: AQUEOUS		Received Da	te: 12	/20/2018 8:00:00 AM	[
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	st: NSB	
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:08:07 P	M D56527	
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:08:07 P	M D56527	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:08:07 P	M D56527	
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:08:07 P	M D56527	

80-120

%Rec

1

95.6

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Leve
--

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
 - H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65

12/21/2018 8:30:57 PM D56527

Hall Environmental Analysis	s Laboratory, Inc	2.				Date Reported: 12/27/20	18
CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Cl	ient San	ple ID	: MV	W-1	
Project: K 12 Y 3		(Collectio	n Date	: 12/	18/2018 11:20:00 AM	
Lab ID: 1812C65-002	Matrix: AQUEOUS		Receive	d Date	: 12/	20/2018 8:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual U	J nits	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst:	NSB
Benzene	ND	1.0	ŀ	ıg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:30:57 PM	D56527
Toluene	ND	1.0	٢	ıg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:30:57 PM	D56527
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	٢	ıg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:30:57 PM	D56527
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0	٢	ıg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:30:57 PM	D56527

80-120

%Rec

1

106

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	-	

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.					Date Reported: 12/27/2018				
CLIENT: APEX TITAN	Client Sample ID: SVE-3								
Project: K 12 Y 3		(Collect	ion Dat	e: 12/	/18/2018 12:00:00 PM	[
Lab ID: 1812C65-003	Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 12/20/2018 8:00:00 AM								
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analys	t: NSB		
Benzene	9.3	1.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:53:44 PM	1 D56527		
Toluene	5.6	1.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:53:44 PM	1 D56527		
Ethylbenzene	110	10		µg/L	10	12/26/2018 12:42:33 P	M D56527		
Xylenes, Total	150	2.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 8:53:44 PM	1 D56527		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	185	80-120	S	%Rec	1	12/21/2018 8:53:44 PM	1 D56527		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Level.

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 9 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65

12/21/2018 9:39:11 PM D56527

Hall Environmental Analysi	s Laboratory, Inc	2.				Date Reported: 12/27/2	018
CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Cl	lient Sa	mple II	D: MV	W-13	
Project: K 12 Y 3		(Collecti	ion Dat	e: 12/	/18/2018 12:40:00 PM	
Lab ID: 1812C65-004	Matrix: AQUEOUS		Receiv	ed Dat	e: 12/	/20/2018 8:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	: NSB
Benzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 9:39:11 PN	D56527
Toluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 9:39:11 PM	D56527
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 9:39:11 PM	D56527
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	12/21/2018 9:39:11 PN	D56527

103

80-120

%Rec

1

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers: *		Value exceeds Maximum	Contaminant Level.
---------------	--	-----------------------	--------------------

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 4 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.				Date Reported: 12/27/2018			
CLIENT: APEX TITAN	Client Sample ID: SVE-1R						
Project: K 12 Y 3		(Collection Da	te: 12	2/18/2018 1:00:00 PM	1	
Lab ID: 1812C65-005	Matrix: AQUEOU	S	Received Da	i te: 12	2/20/2018 8:00:00 AI	Ν	
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analy	/st: NSB	
Benzene	5.6	1.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 10:01:45	PM D56527	
Toluene	1.9	1.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 10:01:45	PM D56527	
Ethylbenzene	12	1.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 10:01:45	PM D56527	
Xylenes, Total	38	2.0	µg/L	1	12/21/2018 10:01:45	PM D56527	

80-120

116

_ С

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65

12/21/2018 10:01:45 PM D56527

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*
	_

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
 - Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 5 of 9 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

%Rec

1

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Xylenes, Total

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65 Date Reported: 12/27/2018

50 12/21/2018 10:24:24 PM D56527

12/21/2018 10:24:24 PM D56527

•					1	
CLIENT: APEX TITAN	Client Sample ID: SVE-2					
Project: K 12 Y 3		Col	lection Dat	e: 12/	/18/2018 2:00:00 PM	1
Lab ID: 1812C65-006	Matrix: AQUEO	US Re	ceived Dat	e: 12/	/20/2018 8:00:00 AI	Ν
Analyses	Result	PQL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analy	/st: NSB
Benzene	970	50	µg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:24:24	PM D56527
Toluene	ND	50	µg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:24:24	PM D56527
Ethylbenzene	170	50	µg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:24:24	PM D56527

100

80-120

µg/L

%Rec

50

1400

109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 6 of 9 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

В

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

*

D

Н

S

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

Oualifiers:

Analytical Report Lab Order 1812C65

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.						Dat

Date Reported: 12/27/2018

CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Cli	ent Sample II): MV	W-2	
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/18/2018 2:40:00 PM					
Lab ID: 1812C65-007	Matrix: AQUEOU	S	Received Date	e: 12/	/20/2018 8:00:00 AM	[
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	st: NSB
Benzene	2100	50	μg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:47:12 F	PM D56527
Toluene	ND	50	µg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:47:12 F	PM D56527
Ethylbenzene	210	50	µg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:47:12 F	PM D56527
Xylenes, Total	2200	100	µg/L	50	12/21/2018 10:47:12 F	PM D56527
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	108	80-120	%Rec	50	12/21/2018 10:47:12 F	PM D56527

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	Б	0 + D' + D + M + C

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 7 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1812C65
Date Reported: 12/27/2018

CLIENT: APEX TITAN	Client Sample ID: MW-11							
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/18/2018 3:20:00 PM							
Lab ID: 1812C65-008	Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 12/20/2018 8:00:00 AM							
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB		
Benzene	590	50	µg/L	50	12/22/2018 12:18:07 A	M D56527		
Toluene	ND	50	μg/L	50	12/22/2018 12:18:07 A	M D56527		
Ethylbenzene	280	50	μg/L	50	12/22/2018 12:18:07 A	M D56527		
Xylenes, Total	3000	100	μg/L	50	12/22/2018 12:18:07 A	M D56527		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	106 8	80-120	%Rec	50	12/22/2018 12:18:07 A	M D56527		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
 - H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 8 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:	APEX TI	TAN									
Project:	K 12 Y 3										
Sample ID	RB	Samp	Туре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	PBW	Bato	h ID: D5	6527	F	RunNo: 5	6527				
Prep Date:		Analysis I	Date: 12	2/21/2018	5	SeqNo: 1	892690	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	1.0								
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	21		20.00		106	80	120			
Sample ID	100NG BTEX LCS	Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	LCSW	Bato	h ID: D5	6527	F	RunNo: 5	6527				
Prep Date:		Analysis I	Date: 12	2/21/2018	5	SeqNo: 1	892691	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		17	1.0	20.00	0	85.7	80	120			
Toluene		17	1.0	20.00	0	87.5	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		18	1.0	20.00	0	89.7	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		55	2.0	60.00	0	92.2	80	120			
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	22		20.00		111	80	120			

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit RL
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

1812C65

27-Dec-18

WO#:

Page 9 of 9

.

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL, 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com			atory 15 NE 17109 Se 1107	P Sample Log-In Check List			
Client Name: APEX AZTEC	Work Order Num	nber: 181	2C65	com	RcptNo	1		
Received By: Anne Thorne Completed By: Anne Thorne	12/20/2018 8:00:00 12/21/2018 9:38:00	0 AM 0 AM		Arre 2 Arre 2	h h			
Reviewed By: VV2 12/2/110 cabered by: DAB12/2/118	1							
Chain of Custody								
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes		No	Not Present			
2. How was the sample delivered?		Cou	ier					
Log In								
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes	✓	No	NA 🗌			
4. Were all samples received at a temperature	of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes		No 🗆	NA 🗆			
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes		No 🗌	ĵ			
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)	?	Yes	~	No 🗌				
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly	y preserved?	Yes	~	No 🗆				
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes		No 🗹	NA 🗆			
9 VOA visis have zero headenace?		Vac	~	No 🗌		/		
10 Ware any sample containers received broke	2	Ver				11		
	-	105			# of preserved	1201		
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes	•	No 🗌	for pH:	>12 unless noted)		
12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of 0	Custody?	Yes	~	No 🗌	Adjusted?	AB-		
13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes	~	No 🗌	17	41		
 Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 		Yes		No 🗌	Checked by			
Special Handling (if applicable)								
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with t	his order?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🗹			
Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	Date Burkheod Via: ODS Fine	Tends	z1 15 □ P 13:01	/ 'hone ∐ Fa) CA ((ax II In Person TC and (3:20	on bottle		
16. Additional remarks:								
CUSTODY SEALS INTACT ON VOA V 17. <u>Cooler Information</u> <u>Cooler No</u> <u>Temp °C</u> <u>Condition</u> <u>Se</u> 1 1.4 <u>Good</u> <u>Yes</u> 5 1.0 <u>Good</u> <u>Yes</u>	/IALS/at 12/21/18 al Intact Seal No	Seal Da	ite	Signed By	-			

Page 1 of 1



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM



ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L.P. ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS GP, LLC (General Partner) ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS OPERATING LLC

June 16, 2021

https://www	Submitted online via OCD E-Permitting: wapps.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/OCDPermitting/default.aspx
Mr. Cory Smith	Review of 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report: Content
New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department – Oil Conservation Division	satisfactory
1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410	Follow recommendations stated within 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report.

Submittal: 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report (Ensolum, November 16, 2020) RE: Enterprise Field Services, LLC Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/2012) Unpaved Road, Rio Arriba Co., NM [S23, T27N R7W (36.554120° N, 107.549350° W)] OCD RP: 3R-459; Stage 1 AP-132

Dear Mr. Smith:

Enterprise Products Operating LLC (Enterprise), on behalf of Enterprise Field Services, LLC, is pleased to submit to the New Mexico (NM) Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) – Oil Conservation Division (OCD) an electronic copy of the above-referenced document prepared by Ensolum, LLC (Ensolum) dated November 16, 2020. The Subject document is associated with the Enterprise Lateral K-12 Y#3 condensate tank release (overfill) that was discovered on March 19, 2012 in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico (the "Site"). During excavation of the release, a former earthen pit was discovered, along with historical hydrocarbon impacts now comingled with the release. The activities detailed in the attached report include two (2) semi-annual groundwater monitoring and sampling (SA-GWM&S) events that occurred between July 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019 (the "reporting period").

Based on data contained in the attached document, the first apparent water-bearing unit at the Site (at least in the vicinity of the remediation excavation) appears very limited in thickness and volume and may be more accurately described as subsurface water (as defined in New Mexico Administrative Code 20.6.2.7 (UU)). Additionally, dissolved-phase hydrocarbon (DPH) or constituent of concern (COC) concentrations remain at the Site in excess of the applicable Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Groundwater Quality Standards (GQSs) in four (4) monitor wells, SVE-1R, SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11. No phase-separated hydrocarbon (PSH) has been observed at the Site since the release occurred.

Based on the results presented in the attached document, Enterprise plans to: 1) continue SA-GWM&S activities in order to evaluate natural attenuation and the stability of COC concentrations in groundwater, 2) conduct additional site-specific aquifer characterization, 3) install additional delineation wells and 4) prepare a *Stage 2 Abatement Plan* (following full delineation).

Enterprise appreciates the Oil Conservation Division's (OCD's) continued assistance and guidance in bringing closure to this Site. Should you have any questions, comments or concerns, or require additional information, please feel free to contact me any time at 713-381-8780, or at <u>gemiller@eprod.com</u>.

Sincerely, Gregory E Miller

Gregory E. Miller, P.G. Supervisor, Environmental

Rodney M. Sartor, REM Sr. Director, Environmental

- cc: BLM, Farmington, NM Ms. Katie White Bull <6251 College Blvd., Suite A, Farmington, NM 87402>
- ec: NMOCD, Santa Fe, NM Mr. Jim Griswold <<u>Jim.Griswold@state.nm.us</u>> NMOCD, Santa Fe, NM – Mr. Brad Billings <<u>Bradford.Billings@state.nm.us</u>> Ensolum, Houston, TX – Mr. Marc E. Gentry <<u>MGentry@ensolum.com</u>>

P.O. BOX 4324 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77210-4324 713.381.6500 1100 LOUISIANA STREET HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-5227 www.epplp.com



2019 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Property:

Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) SW ¼, S23 T27N R7W Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

New Mexico EMNRD OCD RP No. 3R-459

November 16, 2020 Ensolum Project No. 05B1226001

Prepared for:

Enterprise Field Services, LLC P.O. Box 4324 Houston, Texas 77210-4324 Attn: Mr. Greg E. Miller, P.G.

Prepared by:

Kallen M. Kauk, G.I.T. Senior Project Geoscientist

Marc E. Gentry Principal

Ensolum, LLC | Environmental & Hydrogeologic Consultants 606 South Rio Grande, Suite A | Aztec, NM 87410 | ensolum.com



2019 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Lateral K-12 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) site, referred to hereinafter as the "Site", is located within the Enterprise Field Services, LLC (Enterprise) pipeline right-of-way in the southwest (SW) 1/4 of Section 23, Township 27 North, Range 7 West, in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

On March 19, 2012, a natural gas condensate release, estimated at less than one (1) barrel (bbl), occurred as a result of overfilling the condensate tank. During the corrective action excavation of impacted soils (April 2012), a suspected historical earthen pit was discovered, and the excavation was expanded to remove historical hydrocarbon affected soils. Due to the increased area of disturbance and safety factors associated with the depth of the excavation, the excavation activities were suspended by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Groundwater was not identified in the 35-foot below ground surface (bgs) excavation. Subsequent site investigations by Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) included the advancement of nine (9) soil borings and the installation of three (3) soil vapor extraction (SVE) wells/monitoring wells to delineate the extent of hydrocarbon affected soil and/or groundwater and potentially provide subsurface access for "high-vacuum" remediation. Due to a change in the intended use, the SVE wells at this Site are now referred to as "monitoring wells". Samples collected from the soil borings and monitoring wells exhibited concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) above New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Oil Conservation Division (OCD) closure criteria in soils and above the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Groundwater Quality Standards (GQSs) in groundwater. Additionally, non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) was identified in monitoring well SVE-1. NAPL was removed from SVE-1 by bailing and did not recharge. Additional delineation activities were performed by AES during 2013 and 2014, and by Apex TITAN, Inc. (Apex) during 2016. Enterprise retained Apex to perform environmental Site investigation activities between 2016 and 2018. Following a staffing change at Apex in December 2018, Enterprise reassigned management of the project to Ensolum. Ensolum, LLC (Ensolum) continues the groundwater monitoring for constituents of concern (COCs) at the Site.

Groundwater monitoring events were conducted during August and December 2019 to further evaluate the concentrations of chemicals-of-concern (COCs) in groundwater over time and to monitor the generally declining COC concentrations at the Site. Herein, the 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report documents and describes these groundwater monitoring events and presents the resulting data in written and tabulated format.

Findings and recommendations based on these activities are as follows:

- Based on available information, the first apparent water-bearing unit at the Site (at least in the vicinity
 of the remediation excavation) appears very limited in thickness and volume and may be more
 accurately described as subsurface water (as defined in New Mexico Administrative Code 20.6.2.7
 (UU)). The water observed in the upgradient monitoring wells (SVE-1R, SVE-2, SVE-3 and MW-5) may
 be limited to a small volume of percolating water from precipitation events that periodically collect on
 or near the surface of the weathered subgrade bedrock and, depending on the significance of the
 precipitation events, subsequently drains into the monitoring wells and the associated well bore annuli.
 This speculation is supported by the lack of groundwater encountered during prior excavation activities
 (reaching approximately 35 feet bgs), which exceeded the measured apparent depth to groundwater
 at the Site of approximately 27 feet bgs near the source area. Furthermore, bail-down tests performed
 on monitoring wells SVE-2 and SVE-3 in 2013 demonstrated stagnant or near-stagnant water recharge
 over the course of several days.
- The groundwater flow direction at the Site is generally towards the east, with an approximate average gradient of 0.02 feet per foot (ft/ft) across the Site.



• During the August and December 2019 sampling events, the analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC GQSs. The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-3, MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not indicate BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC GQSs.

Ensolum offers the following recommendations:

- Report the groundwater monitoring results to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD.
- Continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring at the Site to monitor natural attenuation of COCs in groundwater.
- Upon approval by the New Mexico EMNRD OCD, further delineate the dissolved-phase groundwater plume, and evaluate in-situ remediation options for source area soils, as described in the Stage 1 Abatement Plan.
- After the Stage 1 Abatement Plan has been fully implemented, prepare a Stage 2 Abatement Plan.

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION 1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION & BACKGROUND 1.2 PROJECT OBJECTIVE	1 1 3
2.0	 GROUNDWATER MONITORING – AUGUST AND DECEMBER 2019. 2.1 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROGRAM. 2.2 GROUNDWATER LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS	3 4 4 4
3.0	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION	6
4.0	 STANDARDS OF CARE, LIMITATIONS, AND RELIANCE. 4.1 STANDARD OF CARE. 4.2 LIMITATIONS. 4.3 RELIANCE 	6 6 7 7

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Figures									
	Figure 1	Topographic Map							
	Figure 2	Site Vicinity Map							
	Figure 3	Site Map							
	Figure 4A	Groundwater Gradient Map (August 2019)							
	Figure 4B	roundwater Gradient Map (December 2019)							
	Figure 5A	Groundwater Quality Standard (GQS) Exceedance Zone Map							
	-	(August 2019)							
	Figure 5B	Groundwater Quality Standard (GQS) Exceedance Zone Map							
		(December 2019)							
Appendix B:	Tables								
	Table 1	Groundwater Analytical Summary							
	Table 2	Groundwater Elevations							

Appendix C: Laboratory Data Sheets & Chain of Custody Documentation



2019 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

New Mexico EMNRD OCD RP No. 3R-459

Ensolum Project No. 05B1226001

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Site Description & Background

Operator:	Enterprise Field Services, LLC / Enterprise Products Operating LLC (Enterprise)
Site Name:	Lateral K-12 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) (Site)
Location:	36.554120° North, 107.549350° West Southwest (SW) ¼ of Sections 23, Township 27 North, Range 7 West Rio Arriba County, New Mexico
Property:	United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM)
Regulatory:	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Oil Conservation Division (OCD)

On March 19, 2012, a natural gas condensate release estimated at less than one (1) barrel (bbl) occurred as a result of overfilling the condensate tank. Animas Environmnetal Services, LLC (AES) conducted an initial release assessment and subsequently recommended the removal of affected soils (*Release Mitigation and Investigation Report, dated July 18, 2012 – AES*).

During corrective action excavation in April 2012, a suspected historical earthen pit was discovered, and the excavation was expanded to remove the historical petroleum hydrocarbon affected soils. Due to the increased area of disturbance and safety factors associated with the depth of the excavation, the excavation activities were suspended by the BLM, and confirmation soil samples (SC-1 through SC-9) were collected by AES. Groundwater was not identified in the 35-foot deep excavation. Subsequent to backfilling the excavation with clean fill, AES conducted a site investigation that included the advancement of seven (7) soil borings (SB-1 through SB-7). Three (3) of the soil borings (SB-1/SVE-1, SB-3/SVE-2, SB-4/SVE-3), were completed as soil-vapor-extraction (SVE) monitoring wells in anticipation of potential future remedial activities. Due to a change in the intended use, the SVE wells at this Site are now referred to as "monitoring wells".

On July 19, 2013, AES conducted a monitoring event of the SVE wells which identified the presence of water in the three (3) SVE wells as well as the presence of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) in monitoring well SVE-1 (1.07 feet thick). This NAPL was removed by bailing and did not recharge. AES also advanced two (2) soil borings (SB-8 and SB-9) adjacent to the former excavation, which demonstrated minimal natural attenuation of constituent of concern (COC) concentrations since the backfilling of the excavation. On July 22, 2013, AES collected water samples from monitoring wells SVE-2 and SVE-3 for laboratory analysis of total dissolved solids (TDS) and chlorides. Laboratory analytical results indicated that TDS concentrations were 1,160 milligrams per liter (mg/L) and 740 mg/L in SVE-2 and SVE-3, respectively, and chloride concentrations were 110 mg/L and 23 mg/L in SVE-2 and SVE-3, respectively (*Continued Site Investigation Report, dated October 4, 2013 – AES*).

Based on available information, the first apparent water-bearing unit at the Site (at least in the vicinity of the remediation excavation) appears very limited in thickness and volume and may be more accurately described as subsurface water (as defined in New Mexico Administrative Code 20.6.2.7 (UU)). The water observed in the upgradient monitoring wells (SVE-1R, SVE-2, SVE-3 and MW-5) may

Enterprise Field Services, LLC 2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) November 16, 2020





be limited to a small volume of percolating water from precipitation events that periodically collect on or near the surface of the weathered subgrade bedrock and, depending on the significance of the precipitation events, subsequently drains into the monitoring wells and the associated well bore annuli. This speculation is supported by the lack of groundwater encountered during prior excavation activities (reaching approximately 35 feet bgs), which exceeded the measured apparent depth to groundwater at the Site of approximately 27 feet bgs near the source area. Furthermore, bail-down tests performed on monitoring wells SVE-2 and SVE-3 in 2013 demonstrated stagnant or near-stagnant water recharge over the course of several days.

A groundwater monitoring and sampling event was conducted by AES on October 8, 2013. NAPL was not observed in monitoring well SVE-1 during this monitoring and sampling event. However, presumably due to settling associated with the backfilled excavation, the screened portion of monitoring well SVE-1 was damaged, so the collection of a water sample was not possible. Water samples were collected from monitoring wells SVE-2 and SVE-3 for laboratory analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), and motor oil/lube oil range organics (MRO) (*Groundwater Monitoring Report and Continued Site Investigation Workplan, dated November 15, 2013 – AES*).

During January 2014, AES advanced six (6) soil borings, five (5) of which were completed as groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5, and one (1) of which was utilized to replace monitoring well SVE-1 with SVE-1R. Monitoring well SVE-1 was apparently plugged and abandoned at that time.

During August and September 2016, Apex TITAN, Inc., (Apex) conducted supplemental Site Investigation activities at the Site by advancing seven (7) soil borings to further evaluate the extent of hydrocarbon affected soil and potentially impacted groundwater. Laboratory analytical results identified TPH GRO/DRO concentrations that exceeded applicable New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria in monitoring well borings MW-11 and MW-13. Three (3) soil borings were completed as groundwater monitoring wells MW-11 through MW-13. The groundwater analytical results for the analytical results for these wells indicated benzene, toluene, and total xylenes in excess of the WQCC Groundwater Quality Standards (GQSs) (*Supplemental Environmental Site Investigation and Annual Subsurface Water Monitoring Report*, dated February 24, 2017 - Apex).

Semi annual groundwater sampling was conducted in 2017 and 2018 by Apex and Ensolum, respectively. (*Supplemental Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report,* dated May 24, 2018 - Apex and June 9, 2019 - Ensolum).

Ensolum submitted a Stage 1 Abatement Plan to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD on March 21, 2019; however, the New Mexico EMNRD OCD have yet to respond (*Supplemental Stage 1 Abatement Plan,* dated March 21, 2019).

The Site is subject to regulatory oversight by the New Mexico EMNRD OCD. Initial Site activities were performed in accordance with the New Mexico ENMRD OCD *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases*, in addition to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD rules, specifically New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.29 *Release Notification*. This guidance established investigation and abatement action requirements for sites subject to reporting and/or corrective action prior to the update of the rule finalized August 14, 2019. Additionally, the New Mexico EMNRD OCD utilizes the New Mexico WQCC GQS (NMAC 20.6.2 *Groundwater and Surface Water Protection*) to evaluate groundwater conditions.¹

¹ NMAC 20.6.2 was amended (12/21/18). The New Mexico EMNRD OCD District 3 Office has indicated that the updated GQSs will not be enforced until sometime in 2020. Therefore, this document reflects the previous GQSs, which were being enforced when the sampling events were performed.



Page 54 of 103

A **Topographic Map** is provided as **Figure 1** of **Appendix A**, which was reproduced from a portion of a United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute series topographic map. A **Site Vicinity Map**, created from an aerial photograph, is provided as **Figure 2**, and a **Site Map**, which indicates the locations of the monitoring wells and recent soil borings in relation to pertinent structures and general Site boundaries, is provided as **Figure 3** of **Appendix A**.

1.2 **Project Objective**

The objective of these groundwater monitoring events was to further evaluate concentrations of COCs in the groundwater at the Site over time.

2.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING – AUGUST AND DECEMBER 2019

2.1 Groundwater Sampling Program

Groundwater sampling events were conducted during August and December 2019 by Ensolum. Ensolum's groundwater sampling program consisted of the collection of one (1) groundwater sample from each of the (8) viable monitor wells at the Site. Monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-4 were dry, and MW-5 had an insufficient volume of water; therefore, these wells were not sampled during these sampling events.

Ensolum's groundwater sampling program consisted of the following:

- Prior to sample collection, Ensolum gauged the depth to fluids in each monitoring well using an interface probe capable of detecting non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL).
- Each monitoring well was sampled utilizing micro-purge low-flow sampling techniques. Following the completion of the micro-purge process, one (1) groundwater sample was collected from each monitoring well.
- Low-flow or low-stress sampling refers to sampling methods that are intended to minimize stress that is imparted to the formation pore water in the immediate vicinity of the well screen. Water level drawdown provides the best indication of the stress imparted by a given flow-rate for a given hydrological situation. Pumping rates on the order of 0.1 to 0.5 liters per minute (L/min) are typically maintained during the low-flow/low-stress sampling activities, using dedicated or decontaminated sampling equipment.
- The groundwater samples are collected from each monitoring well once the produced groundwater is consistent in color, clarity, pH, temperature, and conductivity. Measurements are typically taken every three to five minutes while purging. Purging is considered complete once key parameters (especially pH and conductivity) have stabilized for at least three successive readings.
- Groundwater samples were collected in laboratory-supplied containers (pre-preserved with mercuric chloride (HgCl₂)), labeled and sealed using the laboratory-supplied labels and custody seals, and stored on ice in a cooler. The groundwater samples were relinquished to the courier for Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (HEAL) in Albuquerque, New Mexico under proper chain-of-custody procedures.

Enterprise Field Services, LLC 2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) November 16, 2020





2.2 Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Methods

The groundwater samples collected from the monitoring wells during the groundwater sampling events were analyzed for BTEX utilizing Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method SW-846 #8021.

A summary of the per-event analytes, sample matrix, sample frequency and EPA-approved methods for the two (2) sampling events are presented on the following table.

Analytes	Sample Matrix	No. of Samples (per event)	EPA Method		
BTEX	Groundwater	8	SW-846 8021		

Laboratory analytical results are summarized in **Table 1** in **Appendix B**. The executed chain-of-custody forms and laboratory data sheets are provided in **Appendix C**.

2.3 Groundwater Flow Direction

Each monitoring well has been geospatially surveyed or re-surveyed to determine the top-of-casing (TOC) elevation. Based on gauging data from the August 2019 and December 2019 sampling events, the groundwater flow direction (gradient) at the Site is generally toward the east and north. The observed gradient during the two (2) monitoring events averages approximately 0.02 feet per foot (ft/ft) across the Site.

Groundwater elevation data collected during August 2019 and December 2019 (as well as historical gauging data) are presented in **Table 2** (**Appendix B**). Groundwater gradient maps for the August 2019 and December 2019 gradient maps are included as **Figure 4A** and **Figure 4B** (**Appendix A**), respectively.

2.4 Data Evaluation

Ensolum compared the BTEX laboratory analytical results or laboratory practical quantitation limits (PQLs) / reporting limits (RLs) associated with the groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells during the August 2019 and January 2020 sampling events to the New Mexico WQCC GQSs.¹ The results of the groundwater sample analyses are summarized in **Table 1** of **Appendix B**. Groundwater Quality Standard Exceedance Zone maps are provided as **Figures 5A** and **5B** of **Appendix A**.

Monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-4 were dry and MW-5 did not produce a sufficient volume of water to allow for the collection of these samples during the August and December 2019 sampling events.

August 2019 Sampling Event:

The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate benzene concentrations ranging from 26 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) (SVE-1R) to 1,500 μ g/L (MW-2), which are above the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-3 and MW-13 indicate benzene concentrations of 4.4 μ g/L and 1.6 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells MW-12 do not indicate benzene

¹ NMAC 20.6.2 was amended (12/21/18). The New Mexico EMNRD OCD District 3 Office has indicated that the updated GQSs will not be enforced until sometime in 2020. Therefore, this document reflects the previous GQSs, which were being enforced when the sampling events were performed.





concentrations above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 µg/L.1

The analytical result for monitoring well SVE-1R indicates a toluene concentration of 2.2 μ g/L, which is below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for the remaining monitoring wells do not indicate toluene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹

The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, SVE-3, MW-2, MW-11, and MW-13 indicate ethylbenzene concentrations ranging from 1.1 μ g/L (MW-13) to 230 μ g/L (MW-11), which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-12 do not indicate ethylbenzene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹

The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate total xylenes concentrations ranging from 2,100 μ g/L (SVE-2) to 2,800 μ g/L (MW-11), which are above the WQCC GQS of 620 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R and SVE-3 indicate total xylenes concentrations of 20 μ g/L and 170 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC GQS of 620 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells MW-12, and MW-13 do not indicate total xylenes concentrations above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 620 μ g/L.¹

No data qualifier flags were associated with the August 2019 analytical results.

December 2019 Sampling Event:

The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate benzene concentrations ranging from 45 μ g/L (SVE-1R) to 2,600 μ g/L (MW-2), which are above the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-3 and MW-13 indicate benzene concentrations of 9.4 μ g/L and 1.5 μ g/L, respectively, which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-12 do not indicate benzene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 10 μ g/L.¹

The analytical result for monitoring well MW-13 indicates a toluene concentration of 1.0 μ g/L, which is below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for the remaining monitoring wells do not indicate toluene concentrations above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹

The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, SVE-3, MW-2, MW-11, MW-12, and MW-13 indicate ethylbenzene concentrations ranging from 1.2 μ g/L (MW-13) to 300 μ g/L (MW-2 and MW-11), which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹ The analytical result for monitoring well MW-1 does not indicate an ethylbenzene concentration above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 750 μ g/L.¹

The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate total xylenes concentrations ranging from 2,000 μ g/L (SVE-2) to 3,200 μ g/L (MW-11), which are above the WQCC GQS of 620 μ g/L.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-3, MW-12, and MW-13 indicate total xylenes concentrations ranging from 3.0 (MW-13) μ g/L to 220 μ g/L (SVE-3), which are below the WQCC GQS of 620 μ g/L.¹ The analytical result for monitoring wells MW-13 does not indicate a total xylenes concentration above the laboratory PQLs/RLs, which are below the WQCC GQS of 620 μ g/L.¹

¹ NMAC 20.6.2 was amended (12/21/18). The New Mexico EMNRD OCD District 3 Office has indicated that the updated GQSs will not be enforced until sometime in 2020. Therefore, this document reflects the previous GQSs, which were being enforced when the sampling events were performed.





There are no data qualifier flags associated with the December 2019 analytical results.

3.0 FINDINGS

Semi-annual groundwater monitoring events were conducted at the Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) Site during August and December 2019. The objective of these groundwater monitoring events was to further evaluate the concentrations of COCs in groundwater at the Site with respect to WQCC GQSs.¹

- The groundwater flow direction at the Site is generally towards the east, with an approximate gradient of 0.02 ft/ft across the Site.
- During the August 2019 sampling event, the analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC GQSs.¹ The analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-3, MW-1, MW-12, and MW-13 did not indicate BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC GQSs.¹
- During the December 2019 sampling event, the analytical results for monitoring wells SVE-1R, SVE-2, MW-2, and MW-11 indicate BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC GQSs.¹ The analytical results for the remaining monitoring wells do not indicate BTEX constituent concentrations above the applicable WQCC GQSs.¹

4.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the results from the 2019 groundwater monitoring activities, Ensolum has the following recommendations:

- Report the groundwater monitoring results to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD.
- Continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring at the Site to monitor natural attenuation of COCs in groundwater.
- Upon approval by the New Mexico EMNRD OCD, further delineate the dissolved-phase groundwater plume, and evaluate in-situ remediation options for source area soils, as described in the Stage 1 Abatement Plan.
- After the Stage 1 Abatement Plan has been fully implemented, prepare a Stage 2 Abatement Plan.

5.0 STANDARDS OF CARE, LIMITATIONS, AND RELIANCE

5.1 Standard of Care

Ensolum's services were performed in accordance with standards customarily provided by a firm rendering the same or similar services in the area during the same time period. Ensolum makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the services performed hereunder. Additionally, Ensolum does not warrant the work of third parties supplying information used in the report (e.g. laboratories, regulatory agencies, or other third parties). This scope of services was performed in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client, as detailed in our proposal.

Enterprise Field Services, LLC 2019 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release (3/19/12) November 16, 2020





5.2 Limitations

Findings, conclusions, and recommendations resulting from these services are based upon information derived from the on-site activities and other services performed under this scope of work and it should be noted that this information is subject to change over time. Certain indicators of the presence of hazardous substances, petroleum products, or other constituents may have been latent, inaccessible, unobservable, or not present during these services, and Ensolum cannot represent that the Site contains no hazardous substances, toxic materials, petroleum products, or other latent conditions beyond those identified during the investigation. Environmental conditions at other areas or portions of the Site may vary from those encountered at actual sample locations. Ensolum's findings, and recommendations are based solely upon data available to Ensolum at the time of these services.

5.3 Reliance

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Enterprise Products Operating LLC, and any authorization for use or reliance by any other party (except a governmental entity having jurisdiction over the Site) is prohibited without the express written authorization Enterprise Products Operating LLC and Ensolum. Any unauthorized distribution or reuse is at the client's sole risk. Notwithstanding the foregoing, reliance by authorized parties will be subject to the terms, conditions and limitations stated in the Closure Report, and Ensolum's Master Services Agreement. The limitation of liability defined in the agreement is the aggregate limit of Ensolum's liability to the client.



APPENDIX A

Figures

Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM





Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM







Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM

Page 65 of 103



Received by OCD: 6/17/2021 2:36:30 PM

Page 66 of 103



Released to Imaging: 1/27/2022 10:00:42 AM



APPENDIX B

Tables

•

	TABLE 1									
		Lateral K	-12 Y#3 C	ondensate T	ank Rele	ase				
		GROL	JNDWATER	ANALYTICAL S	SUMMARY					
Sample I.D.	Sample Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TPH	TPH	TPH		
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	GRO	DRO	MRO		
						(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		
New Mexico Wat Commission Gro	er Quality Control oundwater Quality	10 ^A	750 ^A	750 ^A	620 ^A	NE	NE	NE		
Stan	dards									
		1	Monitoring \	Nells Installed by A	AES					
SVE-1	10.8.13			Not Sampled	- Damaged v	well screen				
	2.12.14	610 170	1,500	100	2,400	NA	NA	NA		
	5 26 15	32	<5.0	93	59	NA	NA	NA		
	12.2.15	220	69	57	180	NA	NA	NA		
	6.14.16	150	<5.0	28	57	NA	NA	NA		
SVE-1R	12.12.16	150	<5.0	64	190	3.5	1.6	<5.0		
07E-IIX	7.06.17	63	<5.0	33	90	NA	NA	NA		
	12.12.17	72	<5.0	26	72	NA	NA	NA		
	0.20.18 12 18 18*	<u> </u>	<5.0 1 0	12	୪.୪ ୨ନ	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		
	8.29.19	26	2.2	6.4	20	NA	NA	NA		
	12.27.19	45	<1.0	22	47	NA	NA	NA		
	10.8.13	1,600	180	270	4,200	18	15	<5.0		
	2.12.14	1,500	100	360	3,100	NA	NA	NA		
	11.13.14	1,300	110	270	1,900	NA	NA	NA		
	5.27.15	1,600	<50	340	2,300	NA	NA	NA		
	12.2.15	1,200	<50	280	2,400	NA	NA	NA		
SVF-2	12 12 16	1,200	<50	330	3 200	16	13	<5.0		
0122	7.06.17	810	<50	190	1.900	NA	NA	NA		
	12.13.17	1,100	<50	200	1,800	NA	NA	NA		
	6.28.18	1,200	<50	250	2,100	NA	NA	NA		
	12.18.18*	970	<50	170	1,400	NA	NA	NA		
	8.29.19	810	<50	220	2,200	NA	NA	NA		
	10.8.13	960	<20 450	220	2,000	NA 20	NA 0.3	INA		
	2 12 14	78	430	160	1,500	NA NA	9.3 NA	< <u>5.0</u> NA		
	11.13.14	12	6.5	68	140	NA	NA	NA		
	5.26.15	3.2	<5.0	100	<10	NA	NA	NA		
	12.2.15	<5.0	<5.0	91	<10	NA	NA	NA		
	6.14.16	<5.0	<5.0	78	57	NA	NA	NA		
SVE-3	12.12.16	14 6 7	<5.0	95	140	8.1	5.5	<5.0		
	12 12 17	0.7	< 2.5	42	170	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		
	6.28.18	3.7	<5.0	60	11	NA	NA	NA		
	12.18.18*	9.3	5.6	110	150	NA	NA	NA		
	8.29.19	4.4	<5.0	94	170	NA	NA	NA		
	12.27.19	9.4	<1.0	150	220	NA	NA	NA		
	2.12.14	<1	<1	<1	<3	NA	NA	NA		
	11.13.14	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA		
	0.20.15 12.2.15	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0 <2.0	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA		
	6.14.16	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA		
N/N/ 4	12.12.16	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
1/1/1/1	7.06.17	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA		
	12.12.17	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.5	NA	NA	NA		
	6.28.18	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.5	NA	NA	NA		
	12.18.18*	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA		
	12.27.19	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA		

ENSOLUM

•

	TABLE 1										
		Lateral K	-12 Y#3 C	ondensate T	ank Rele	ase					
		GROL	INDWATER	ANALYTICAL S	SUMMARY						
Sample I.D.	Sample Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TPH	TPH	TPH			
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	GRO	DRO	MRO			
						(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)			
New Mexico Wate	er Quality Control		0								
Commission Gro Stand	dards	10^	10^ 750^ 750^ 620^				NE	NE			
	2.12.14	2,300	1,500	350	3,600	NA	NA	NA			
	11.13.14	1,600	520	220	2,500	NA	NA	NA			
	5.27.15	2,600	530	370	3,600	NA	NA	NA			
	12.2.15	980	<50	240	2,600	NA	NA	NA			
	6.14.16	1,800	<50	380	4,500	NA	NA	NA			
M\\/_2	12.12.16	2,800	<50	390	4,700	26	7.1	<5.0			
10100-2	7.06.17	2,100	<50	410	4,800	NA	NA	NA			
	12.13.17	1,300	<50	160	1,800	NA	NA	NA			
	6.28.18	1,700	<50	240	2,500	NA	NA	NA			
	12.18.18*	2,100	<50	210	2,200	NA	NA	NA			
	8.29.19	1,500	<50	180	2,100	NA	NA	NA			
	2 12 14	2,000	~20	300	2,900	INA.	IN/A	11/4			
	2.12.14										
	5 26 15										
	12 2 15										
	6.14.16										
	12.12.16										
MW-3	7.06.17	Not Sampled - Well Dry									
	12.12.17										
	6.28.18										
	12.18.18*										
	8.29.19										
	12.30.19										
	2.12.14										
	11.13.14										
	5.26.15										
	12.2.15										
	12 12 16	Not Sampled - Well Dry									
MW-4	7.06.17										
	12.12.17										
	6.28.18										
	12.18.18*										
	8.29.19										
	12.30.19										
	2.12.14	1,100	2,900	220	1,900	NA	NA	NA			
	11.13.14										
	5.26.15										
	12.2.15										
	0.14.10 10.10.10										
MW-5	7 06 17		Not 9	ampled Incuffi	cient volume	to collect a	amnle				
	12 13 17		note	ampieu - Insulli			anipie				
	6 28 18										
	12.18 18*										
	8.29.19										
	12.30.19										

ENSOLUM

TABLE 1								
Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release								
GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY								
Sample I.D.	Sample Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylenes	TPH	TPH	TPH
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	GRO	DRO	MRO
						(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Groundwater Quality Standards		10 ^A	750 ^A	750 ^A	620 ^A	NE	NE	NE
Monitoring Wells Installed by APEX								
MW-11	9.22.16	320	240	300	3,700	NA	NA	NA
	12.12.16	430	140	450	5,000	23	1.4	<5.0
	7.06.17	390	110	390	4,200	NA	NA	NA
	12.12.17	520	170	310	3,100	NA	NA	NA
	6.28.18	590	320	350	3,400	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	590	<50	280	3,000	NA	NA	NA
	8.29.19	130	<50	230	2,800	NA	NA	NA
	12.30.19	270	<20	300	3,200	NA	NA	NA
	9.22.16	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	12.12.16	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0
	7.06.17	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
M/M/_12	12.12.17	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.5	NA	NA	NA
10100-12	6.28.18	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.5	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	8.29.19	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	12.27.19	<1.0	<1.0	11	16	NA	NA	NA
	9.22.16	150	1,600	270	2,400	NA	NA	NA
MW-13	01.06.17	120	660	53	880	NA	NA	NA
	7.06.17	55	290	46	470	NA	NA	NA
	12.12.17	58	110	19	150	NA	NA	NA
	6.28.18	8.5	7.5	5.9	36	NA	NA	NA
	12.18.18*	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	8.29.19	1.6	<1.0	1.1	<2.0	NA	NA	NA
	12.27.19	1.5	1.0	1.2	3.0	NA	NA	NA
Note: Concentratio	ns in bold and yellow	w exceed the a	pplicable WQC	CGQS				

ENSOLUM

A = NM EMNRD OCD District 3 has advised that the new 20.6.2 NMAC standards (12/21/18) will not be enforced by NM EMNRD OCD until sometime in 2020.

* Interface probe malfunction during sampling event. Site gauged on 1/21/19

 μ g/L = microgram per liter

mg/L = milligram per liter

NA = Not Analyzed

NE = Not Established

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics

DRO = Diesel Range Organics

MRO = Motor Oil/Lube Oil Range Organics

<1.0= the numeral (in this case "1.0") identifies the laboratory reporting or practical quantitation limit

•

TABLE 2							
Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS							
Well I.D.	Date	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Water (feet BTOC)	epth to Product Water Thickness		Groundwater Elevation (feet AMSL)	
SVE-1	10.08.13	ND	27.46	ND	NA	NA	
	02.12.14	ND	29.06	ND	-	6577.03	
	11.13.14	ND	30.05	ND		6576.04	
	5.26.15	ND	29.27	ND	6606.09	6576.82	
	12.02.15	ND	28.06	ND		6578.03	
	6.14.16	ND	28.05	ND		6578.04	
	9.22.16	ND	28.10	ND		6578.30	
SVE-1R [*]	12.12.16	ND	28.15	ND		6578.25	
	7.06.17	ND	28.24	ND	1	6578.16	
	6 28 18		28.35		6606.40	6577.60	
	1 21 10**	ND	28.80	ND		6577.59	
	8.29.19	ND	28.57	ND	1	6577 83	
	12.27.19	ND	28.59	ND		6577.81	
	10.08.13	ND	28.00	ND		6577.82	
	02.12.14	ND	29.39	ND	1	6576.43	
	11.13.14	ND	29.42	ND	6605 92	6576.40	
	5.26.15	ND	29.86	ND	6605.82	6575.96	
	12.02.15	ND	28.74	ND		6577.08	
	6.14.16	ND	28.58	ND		6577.24	
SVE-2*	9.22.16	ND	28.77	ND		6577.61	
0.22	12.12.16	ND	28.74	ND		6577.64	
	7.06.17	ND	29.26	ND		6577.12	
	12.12.17	ND	29.50	ND	6606.38	6576.88	
	6.28.18	ND	30.05	ND		6576.33	
	1.21.19**	ND	29.82	ND		6576.30	
	8.29.19	ND	30.07	ND		6576.48	
	10.09.12	ND	21.50	ND		6575.61	
	02 12 14	ND	29.98	ND	1	6577.48	
	11.13.14	ND	29.54	ND		6577.92	
	5.26.15	ND	30.93	ND	6607.46	6576.53	
	12.02.15	ND	30.49	ND	1	6576.97	
	6.14.16	ND	30.37	ND		6577.09	
SV/E 2*	9.22.16	ND	30.50	ND		6577.42	
3VL-3	12.12.16	ND	30.28	ND		6577.64	
	7.06.17	ND	31.77	ND		6576.15	
	12.12.17	ND	30.79	ND	6607.92	6577.13	
	6.28.18	ND	31.08	ND		6576.84	
	1.21.19**	ND	30.91	ND		6577.01	
	8.29.19	ND	31.24	ND		6576.08	
	02 12 14	ND	40.05	ND	1	6565 59	
	11 13 14		38.45			6568.08	
	5 26 15	ND	38 78	ND	6606 53	6567 75	
	12.02.15	ND	39.53	ND	0000.00	6567.00	
	6.14.16	ND	39.97	ND		6566.56	
	9.22.16	ND	39.91	ND		6567.14	
MW-1*	12.12.16	ND	39.58	ND	1	6567.47	
	7.06.17	ND	40.28	ND		6566.77	
	12.12.17	ND	40.21	ND	6607.05	6566.84	
	6.28.18	ND	40.27	ND	0007.00	6566.78	
	1.21.19**	ND	39.69	ND		6567.36	
	8.29.19	ND	40.05	ND		6567.00	
	12.27.19	ND	38.56	ND		6568.49	

ENSOLUM

.

TABLE 2							
Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release							
GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS							
Well I.D.	Date	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Water (feet BTOC)	Product Thickness	TOC Elevations (feet AMSL)	Groundwater Elevation (feet AMSL)	
	02.12.14	ND	28.79	ND		6577.01	
	11.13.14	ND	29.27	ND		6576.53	
	5.26.15	ND	29.45	ND	6605.80	6576.35	
	12.02.15	ND	28.28	ND		6577.52	
	6.14.16	ND	28.37	ND		6577.43	
	9.22.16	ND	28.62	ND		6577.66	
MW-2*	12.12.16	ND	28.70	ND		6577.58	
	7.06.17	ND	29.00	ND		6577.28	
	12.12.17	ND	29.22	ND	6606.28	6577.06	
	6.28.18	ND	29.61	ND		6576.67	
	1.21.19**	ND	29.35	ND		6576.93	
	8.29.19	ND	29.41	ND		6576.87	
	12.27.19	ND	29.01	ND		0370.07	
	02.12.14	ND		ND			
	5 26 15	ND		ND	6607 53		
	5.20.15	ND		ND	0007.55		
	6 14 16	ND		ND			
	9.22.16	ND	DRY	ND			
MW-3*	12 12 16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	7 06 17	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	12 12 17	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	6.28.18	ND	DRY	ND	6608.04	DRY	
	1.21.19**	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	8.29.19	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	12.27.19	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	02.12.14	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	11.13.14	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	5.26.15	ND	DRY	ND	6609.20	DRY	
	12.02.15	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	6.14.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	9.22.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
MW-4*	12.12.16	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	7.06.17	ND	DRY	ND		DRY	
	12.12.17	ND	DRY	ND	6609.66	DRY	
	6.28.18	ND	DRY	ND	1	DRY	
	1.21.19	ND		ND		DRY	
	0.29.19			ND			
	12.27.19	ND	20.97	ND		6577.24	
	02.12.14	ND	29.07	ND		6577.07	
	5 26 15	ND	50.04 DRV	ND	6607 11		
	12 02 15	ND	DRY	ND	0007.11	DRY	
	6.14 16	ND	DRY	ND	1	DRY	
	9.22.16	ND	30.04	ND		6577.55	
MW-5*	12.12.16	ND	30.50	ND	1	6577.09	
	7.06.17	ND	30.05	ND	1	6577.54	
	12.12.17	ND	30.06	ND	0007.50	6577.53	
	6.28.18	ND	30.50	ND	6607.59	6577.09	
	1.21.19**	ND	30.49	ND		6577.10	
	8.29.19	ND	30.52	ND		6577.07	
	12.27.19	ND	30.51	ND		6577.08	

ENSOLUM
TABLE 2 Lateral K-12 Y#3 Condensate Tank Release									
GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS									
Well I.D.	Date	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Water (feet BTOC)	Product Thickness	TOC Elevations (feet AMSL)	Groundwater Elevation (feet AMSL)			
	9.22.16	ND	27.71	ND		6576.93			
	12.12.16	ND	27.65	ND		6576.99			
	7.06.17	ND	28.25	ND	elease TOC Elevations (feet AMSL) 6604.64 6605.01 66007.61	6576.39			
M\A/_11	12.12.17	ND	28.75	ND		6575.89			
10100-11	6.28.18	ND	29.18	ND	0004.04	6575.46			
	1.21.19**	ND	28.41	ND		6576.23			
	8.29.19	ND	28.70	ND		6575.94			
	12.27.19	ND	29.12	ND		6575.52			
	9.22.16	ND	27.71	ND		6577.30			
	12.12.16	ND	27.60	ND		6577.41			
	12.12.16 ND 7.06.17 ND	28.32	ND		6576.69				
MM/ 12	12.12.17	ND	28.82	ND	 	6576.19			
10100-12	6.28.18	ND	29.23	ND	0005.01	6575.78			
	1.21.19**	ND	28.22	ND		6576.79			
	8.29.19	ND	28.51	ND		6576.50			
	12.27.19	ND	28.85	ND		6576.16			
	9.22.16	ND	33.60	ND		6574.01			
	12.12.16	ND	35.10	ND		6572.51			
	7.06.17	ND	31.47	ND		6576.14			
M/M/ 12	12.12.17	ND	31.42	ND	kness Elevations (feet AMSL) VD (feet AMSL) VD 6604.64 VD 6604.64 VD 0 VD 0	6576.19			
10100-13	6.28.18	ND	31.65	ND	0007.01	6575.96			
	1.21.19**	ND	31.81	ND		6575.80			
	8.29.19	ND	32.00	ND		6575.61			
	12.27.19	ND	31.64	ND	TOC Elevations (feet AMSL) 6604.64 6605.01 66007.61	6575.97			

ENSOLUM

*Monitoring well resurveyed on 9/27/16.

 ** Interface probe malfunction during sampling event. Site gauged on 1/21/19

BTOC - below top of casing

AMSL - above mean sea level

TOC - top of casing

ND - Not detected

NA - Not applicable

•



APPENDIX C

Laboratory Data Sheets & Chain of Custody Documentation



September 06, 2019

Kyle Summers ENSOLUM 606 S. Rio Grande Suite A Aztec, NM 87410 TEL: (903) 821-5603 FAX Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

OrderNo.: 1908I79

Dear Kyle Summers:

RE: Lateral K-12 Y #3

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 8 sample(s) on 8/30/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: MW-1							
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 9:25:00 AM							
Lab ID: 1908179-001	Matrix: AQUEOUS	Re	ceived Dat	e: 8/3	30/2019 8:00:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB		
Benzene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	9/3/2019 3:28:41 PM	B62609		
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 3:28:41 PM	B62609		
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 3:28:41 PM	B62609		
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 3:28:41 PM	B62609		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	106 8	0-120	%Rec	1	9/3/2019 3:28:41 PM	B62609		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 9

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1908I79

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: MW-13						
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 10:						
Lab ID: 1908179-002	Matrix: AQUEOUS	30/2019 8:00:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analysi	: NSB	
Benzene	1.6	1.0	µg/L	1	9/4/2019 4:02:35 PM	B62609	
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	9/4/2019 4:02:35 PM	B62609	
Ethylbenzene	1.1	1.0	µg/L	1	9/4/2019 4:02:35 PM	B62609	
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	9/4/2019 4:02:35 PM	B62609	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105 8	0-120	%Rec	1	9/4/2019 4:02:35 PM	B62609	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 9

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1908179

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: SVE-3						
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 10:40:00 AM Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/30/2019 8:00:00 AM						
Lab ID: 1908179-003							
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB	
Benzene	4.4	2.5	µg/L	5	9/3/2019 4:15:57 PM	B62609	
Toluene	ND	5.0	µg/L	5	9/3/2019 4:15:57 PM	B62609	
Ethylbenzene	94	5.0	µg/L	5	9/3/2019 4:15:57 PM	B62609	
Xylenes, Total	170	10	µg/L	5	9/3/2019 4:15:57 PM	B62609	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	110 8	0-120	%Rec	5	9/3/2019 4:15:57 PM	B62609	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 9

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1908I79

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: SVE-1R						
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 11:25:00 AM Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/30/2019 8:00:00 AM						
Lab ID: 1908179-004							
Analyses	Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB	
Benzene	26	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 4:39:36 PM	B62609	
Toluene	2.2	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 4:39:36 PM	B62609	
Ethylbenzene	6.4	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 4:39:36 PM	B62609	
Xylenes, Total	20	2.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 4:39:36 PM	B62609	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	112 8	0-120	%Rec	1	9/3/2019 4:39:36 PM	B62609	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 9

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: SVE-2							
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 1:25:00 PM							
Lab ID: 1908179-005	Matrix: AQUEOUS]	Received Date	e: 8/3	30/2019 8:00:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB		
Benzene	810	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 6:13:59 PM	B62609		
Toluene	ND	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 6:13:59 PM	B62609		
Ethylbenzene	220	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 6:13:59 PM	B62609		
Xylenes, Total	2200	100	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 6:13:59 PM	B62609		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	112 8	0-120	%Rec	50	9/3/2019 6:13:59 PM	B62609		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 9

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1908179

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: MW-12						
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 11:55:00 Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/30/2019 8:00:00						
Lab ID: 1908I79-006							
Analyses	Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analysi	: NSB	
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 6:37:26 PM	B62609	
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 6:37:26 PM	B62609	
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 6:37:26 PM	B62609	
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	9/3/2019 6:37:26 PM	B62609	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.4 8	0-120	%Rec	1	9/3/2019 6:37:26 PM	B62609	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 9

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1908I79

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: MW-11						
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 12:30 Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/30/2019 8:00:0						
Lab ID: 1908179-007							
Analyses	Result	RL (Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB	
Benzene	130	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:00:55 PM	B62609	
Toluene	ND	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:00:55 PM	B62609	
Ethylbenzene	230	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:00:55 PM	B62609	
Xylenes, Total	2800	100	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:00:55 PM	B62609	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.1 8	0-120	%Rec	50	9/3/2019 7:00:55 PM	B62609	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 9

Date Reported: 9/6/2019

CLIENT: ENSOLUM	Client Sample ID: MW-2						
Project: Lateral K-12 Y #3	Collection Date: 8/29/2019 2:05:00 PM						
Lab ID: 1908179-008	Matrix: AQUEOUS	ŀ	Received Date	e: 8/3	80/2019 8:00:00 AM		
Analyses	Result	RL (Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB	
Benzene	1500	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:24:21 PM	B62609	
Toluene	ND	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:24:21 PM	B62609	
Ethylbenzene	180	50	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:24:21 PM	B62609	
Xylenes, Total	2100	100	µg/L	50	9/3/2019 7:24:21 PM	B62609	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.0 8	0-120	%Rec	50	9/3/2019 7:24:21 PM	B62609	

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 8 of 9

Hall Envir	onmental Analysis Laboratory,	Inc.	06-Sep-19
Client: Project:	ENSOLUM Lateral K-12 Y #3		

	Sampi	ype. wc		165		-A method	OUZIE: VOIAti	lies		
Client ID: PBW	Batcl	h ID: B6	2609	F	RunNo: 6 2	2609				
Prep Date:	Analysis D	Date: 9/	3/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	130677	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	1.0								
Toluene	ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	18		20.00		92.3	80	120			
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volati	iles		
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW	SampT Batcl	Type: LC h ID: B6	S 2609	Tes F	tCode: El RunNo: 6 2	PA Method 2609	8021B: Volati	iles		
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW Prep Date:	S SampT Batcl Analysis D	Type: LC h ID: B6 Date: 9/	S 2609 3/2019	Tes F S	tCode: El RunNo: 6 2 SeqNo: 2 1	PA Method 2609 130678	8021B: Volati Units: μg/L	iles		
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW Prep Date: Analyte	S SampT Batcl Analysis D Result	Type: LC h ID: B6 Date: 9/ PQL	S 2609 3/2019 SPK value	Tes F S SPK Ref Val	tCode: El RunNo: 63 SeqNo: 2 %REC	PA Method 2609 130678 LowLimit	8021Β: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit	iles %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene	S SampT Batcl Analysis D Result 20	Type: LC h ID: B6 Date: 9 / PQL 1.0	S 2609 3/2019 SPK value 20.00	Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0	tCode: EI RunNo: 6 SeqNo: 2 <u>%REC</u> 99.4	PA Method 2609 130678 LowLimit 80	8021B: Volati Units: μ g/L HighLimit 120	iles %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene	S SampT Batcl Analysis D Result 20 21	Type: LC h ID: B6 Date: 9/ PQL 1.0 1.0	S 2609 3/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0	tCode: El RunNo: 6 SeqNo: 2 <u>%REC</u> 99.4 103	PA Method 2609 130678 LowLimit 80 80	8021B: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit 120 120	iles %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	S SampT Batcl Analysis E Result 20 21 21 21	⊽ype: LC h ID: B6 Date: 9/ PQL 1.0 1.0 1.0	S 2609 3/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00 20.00	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0	tCode: El RunNo: 6 SeqNo: 2 %REC 99.4 103 104	PA Method 2609 130678 LowLimit 80 80 80	8021B: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit 120 120 120	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID: 100NG BTEX LCS Client ID: LCSW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total	S SampT Batcl Analysis E Result 20 21 21 21 64	Type: LC h ID: B6 Date: 9/ PQL 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0	S 2609 3/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0	tCode: El RunNo: 6 SeqNo: 2 <u>%REC</u> 99.4 103 104 106	PA Method 2609 130678 LowLimit 80 80 80 80 80	8021B: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit 120 120 120 120	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 9

Page	85	of	1	<u> </u>
I uge	05	U		00

.

	CONMENTAL YSIS RATORY	Tall Environment A. TEL: 505-345-39 Website: www.	al Analy 490 Ibuquerg 75 FAX: hallenvi	sis Laborato 11 Hawkins N 106, NM 8710 505-345-410 ronmental.co	ry VE 09 Sar 07	nple Log-In Ch	eck Lis
Client Name:	ENSOLUM AZTEC	Work Order Number	er: 190	8179		RcptNo:	1
Received By:	Anne Thorne	8/30/2019 8:00:00 A	м		Anne H-	~	
Completed By:	Anne Thorne	8/30/2019 3:10:31 P	м		Ann. M.		
Reviewed By:	IO	8130 / 4			and M-	~~~	
<u>Chain of Cus</u>	<u>tody</u>						
1. Is Chain of C	ustody complete?		Yes		No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the	sample delivered?		<u>Cou</u>	<u>rier</u>			м.
Log In 3. Was an atterr	npt made to cool the samp	les?	Yes		No 🗌		
4. Were all samp	bles received at a tempera	ture of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes		No 🗌		
5. Sample(s) in j	proper container(s)?		Yes		No 🗌		
6. Sufficient sam	ple volume for indicated te	est(s)?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌		
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) pro	operly preserved?	Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌		
8. Was preservat	tive added to bottles?		Yes		No 🗹	NA 🗔	
9. VOA vials hav	e zero headspace?		Yes	\checkmark	No 🗌	No VOA Vials	
10. Were any san	nple containers received b	roken?	Yes		No 🗹	# of preserved	2
l 1. Does paperwo (Note discrepa	rk match bottle labels? Incies on chain of custody)	Yes		No 🗌	for pH:	12 unless no
2. Are matrices o	correctly identified on Chair	n of Custody?	Yes		No 🗌	Adjustee)
3. Is it clear what	analyses were requested	?	Yes	✓	No 🗌		noll
4. Were all holdir (If no, notify cu	ng times able to be met? ustomer for authorization.)		Yes		No	Checked by:	Y L
pecial Handli	ing (if applicable)						
15. Was client no	tified of all discrepancies v	vith this order?	Yes		No 🗌	NA 🔽	
Person	Notified:	Date					
By Who	m:	Via:	🔄 eMa	ail 🗌 Pho	ne 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regardi	ng:						
Client In	structions:	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
16. Additional ren	narks:		_				
CUSTO	DY SEALS INTACT ON V	OA VIALS/at 8/30/19					
7. Cooler Inform	<u>mation</u>	18 - ¹	. i				

Page 1 of 1

Chain- ^{Client:} Ensolu	Tain-or-Custody Record Tuin-Alound Time. Ensolum, L.C. X Standard I Rush Project Name: Lateral K-12 y#3			Time:	1				F A			El YS	NV 515	/IF 5 L	RO .AI	NM 301	IEN RA	ΙΤΑ ΓΟΙ	۱L R۱
Mailing Address:	6065	· Rio Grande Suite A	Latera	1 R-12 Y#	3		49)01 F	lawk	www ine N	wina NE.	neriv . Δih		nen	iai.co ia N	om M 87	100		
Netee,NM Phone #:	87410		Project #: 6	SB12260	00/		-10 T	el. 50)5-34	45-3	975 A	F	-ax /sis	505 Req	-345 uesi	-4107			
email or Fax#: χ ΩA/QC Package: □ Standard	SUMMer	ന്ദ്രാസ്ത്രംഗ്രന്ന Level 4 (Full Validation)	Project Mana	Project Manager: KSUMMers			O / MRO)	PCB's		SIMS		PO4, SO4			t/Absent)				
Accreditation: □ NELAC □ EDD (Type) _	□ Az Co □ Other	mpliance	Sampler: 121 On Ice: # of Coolers: Cooler Temp	Echily Yes (including CF): #	⊡ No 2-0/5/C€=4/1	X / MTBE / TMB	:8015D(GRO / DR(Pesticides/80821	(Method 504.1)	s by 8310 or 8270	A 8 Metals	, Br, NO ₃ , NO ₂ , I	(VOA)) (Semi-VOA)	l Coliform (Present				
Date Time	Matrix	Sample Name	Type and #	Type	1908 I 79	BTE	ТРН	808	EDB	PAH	RCF	ъ́	8260	827(Tota				
121 19 925	<u>W</u>	MW-1	3 × 40mL160A	Hgaz	20	X												<u> </u>	
29/19/1005	<u></u>	MW-13	3×40mi VOA	HqC12	-202	X													
129 19 1040	\mathbb{W}	SVE-3	3×40mL VOA	Hach	-713	X													
129/19/1125	<u>w</u>	SVE-IR	3× 40mL VOA	Hach	-704	X												\perp	
29/19 325	_W	SVE -2	3×40mLVOA	-HgCh2	-715	\times													
22/19/1155	W	MW-12	3×40mL VOA	Hach	-006	X													
129/19/1230		MW-11	3+ 4UmLVUA	Hach	-07	\mathbf{X}													
29 19 1405	W	MW-2	3x 41)mL VOA	Hgclz	-708	X													
								_										\vdash	<u> </u>
ate: Time: F 29 119 172.0 4 ate: Time: F 129/14 1752	Relinquishe	ad by: Ad by: Was	Received by: Muster Received by	Via: Litelto Via:	Date Time 8/29/19 1720 Date Time 08 [30] (9 08 0800	Ren	narks	3:		 3.11) Er	<u>sol</u>	um	<u> </u>				<u> </u>

•



January 02, 2020

Kyle Summers APEX TITAN 606 S. Rio Grande Unit A Aztec, NM 87410 TEL: (903) 821-5603 FAX:

RE: K 12 Y 3

OrderNo.: 1912D37

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear Kyle Summers:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 5 sample(s) on 12/28/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Analytical Report Lab Order 1912D37

12/30/2019 11:37:37 AM R65463

Hall Environmental Analys	•		Date Reported: 1/2/2020						
CLIENT: APEX TITAN	Client Sample ID: MW-1								
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/27/2019 9:50:00 AM								
Lab ID: 1912D37-001	Matrix: AQUEOUS	Re	ceived Dat	e: 12	2/28/2019 9:40:00 AN	1			
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analy	st: NSB			
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 11:37:37	AM R65463			
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 11:37:37	AM R65463			
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 11:37:37	AM R65463			
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 11:37:37	AM R65463			

114

80-120

%Rec

1

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 6

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Analytical Report Lab Order 1912D37

12/30/2019 12:00:47 PM R65463

Hall Environmental Analysi	• Date Reported: 1/2/2020							
CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Client Sa	mple II): M	W-13			
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/27/2019 10:30:00 AM							
Lab ID: 1912D37-002	Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 12/28/2019 9:40:00 AM							
Analyses	Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analy	/st: NSB		
Benzene	1.5	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:00:47	PM R65463		
Toluene	1.0	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:00:47	PM R65463		
Ethylbenzene	1.2	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:00:47	PM R65463		
Xylenes, Total	3.0	2.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:00:47	PM R65463		

124

80-120

S

%Rec

1

• • • . -

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.	

Date Reported: 1/2/2020

•						1	-			
CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Clie	ent Sa	ample II	D:SV	/E-3				
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/27/2019 11:05:00 AM									
Lab ID: 1912D37-003	Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 12/28/2019 9:40:00 A									
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analy	st: NSB			
Benzene	9.4	1.0		µg/L	1	12/30/2019 10:51:32	AM R6546			
Toluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	12/30/2019 10:51:32	AM R65463			
Ethylbenzene	150	5.0		µg/L	5	12/30/2019 12:23:38	PM R6546			
Xylenes, Total	220	10		µg/L	5	12/30/2019 12:23:38	PM R65463			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	129 8	0-120	S	%Rec	5	12/30/2019 12:23:38	PM R65463			

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.						Date Reported: 1/2/20)20		
CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Cl	ient Sa	ample II	D: M	W-12			
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/27/2019 11:40:00 AM								
Lab ID: 1912D37-004	Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 12/28/2019 9:40:00						1		
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analy	st: NSB		
Benzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:46:36	PM R65463		
Toluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:46:36	PM R65463		
Ethylbenzene	11	1.0		µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:46:36	PM R65463		
Xylenes, Total	16	2.0		µg/L	1	12/30/2019 12:46:36	PM R65463		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	124 8	0-120	S	%Rec	1	12/30/2019 12:46:36	PM R65463		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental A	Analysis Labo	ratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 1/2/2020

CLIENT: APEX TITAN		Clien	t Sample II	D: SV	/E-1R					
Project: K 12 Y 3	Collection Date: 12/27/2019 12:20:00 PM									
Lab ID: 1912D37-005	Matrix: AQUEOUS	Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 12/28/2019 9:								
Analyses	Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst:	NSB				
Benzene	45	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 1:09:29 PM	R65463				
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 1:09:29 PM	R65463				
Ethylbenzene	22	1.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 1:09:29 PM	R65463				
Xylenes, Total	47	2.0	µg/L	1	12/30/2019 1:09:29 PM	R65463				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	127 8	0-120	S %Rec	1	12/30/2019 1:09:29 PM	R65463				

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 6

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	1912D37

02-Jan-20

Client:	APEX TI	TAN									
Project:	K 12 Y 3										
Sample ID: rb		SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Test	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: PB	N	Batch	1D: R6	5463	R	unNo: 6	5463				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 12	2/30/2019	S	eqNo: 22	249442	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	1.0								
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Bromofluo	robenzene	23		20.00		115	80	120			
Sample ID: 100	ng btex lcs	SampT	ype: LC	S	Test	Code: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCS	SW	Batch	1D: R6	5463	R	unNo: 65	5463				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 12	2/30/2019	S	eqNo: 22	249443	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		17	1.0	20.00	0	86.6	80	120			
Toluene		18	1.0	20.00	0	87.6	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		18	1.0	20.00	0	87.6	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		53	2.0	60.00	0	88.0	80	119			
Surr: 4-Bromofluo	robenzene	24		20.00		119	80	120			
Sample ID: 191	2d37-001ams	SampT	ype: MS	;	Test	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: MW	/-1	Batch	1 ID: R6	5463	R	unNo: 6	5463				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 12	2/30/2019	S	eqNo: 22	249445	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Demension						/orceo					
Benzene		19	1.0	20.00	0	92.9	80	120			
Toluene		19 19	1.0 1.0	20.00 20.00	0 0	92.9 92.7	80 75.5	120 120			
Toluene Ethylbenzene		19 19 19	1.0 1.0 1.0	20.00 20.00 20.00	0 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5	80 75.5 80	120 120 120			
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total		19 19 19 56	1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00	0 0 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1	80 75.5 80 77.3	120 120 120 119			
Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo	robenzene	19 19 19 56 23	1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00	0 0 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115	80 75.5 80 77.3 80	120 120 120 119 120			
Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191	robenzene 2d37-001amsd	19 19 19 56 23 SampT	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS	20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00	0 0 0 0 Test	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 :Code: EF	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method	120 120 120 119 120 8021B: Volat	iles		
Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW	robenzene 2d37-001amsd '-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS ID: R6	20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00 5463	0 0 0 Test	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 Code: EF	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463	120 120 120 119 120 8021B: Volat	iles		
Berzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date:	robenzene 2d37-001amsd '-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 ate: 12	20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00 50 5463 2/30/2019	0 0 0 Test R S	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 tCode: EF	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446	120 120 120 119 120 8021B: Volati Units: μg/L	iles		
Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date: Analyte	robenzene 2d37-001amsd '-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D Result	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 vate: 12 PQL	20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00 5463 2/30/2019 SPK value	0 0 0 Test R SPK Ref Val	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 tCode: EF tunNo: 65 teqNo: 22 %REC	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446 LowLimit	120 120 120 119 120 8021B: Volati Units: µg/L HighLimit	iles %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene	robenzene 2d37-001amsd '-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 17	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 vate: 12 PQL 1.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 5463 2/30/2019 SPK value 20.00	0 0 0 Test R SPK Ref Val 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 Code: EF UnNo: 65 ieqNo: 22 %REC 86.1	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446 LowLimit 80	120 120 120 119 120 8021Β: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit 120	iles %RPD 7.62	RPDLimit 20	Qual
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene	robenzene 2d37-001amsd 7-1	19 19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 17 17	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 vate: 12 PQL 1.0 1.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 5463 2/30/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00	0 0 0 Test R SPK Ref Val 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 tCode: EF tunNo: 65 ieqNo: 22 %REC 86.1 84.9	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446 LowLimit 80 75.5	120 120 120 119 120 8021Β: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit 120 120	i les %RPD 7.62 8.82	RPDLimit 20 20	Qual
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	robenzene 2d37-001amsd /-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 17 17 17	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 vate: 12 PQL 1.0 1.0 1.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 5463 2/30/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00 20.00	0 0 0 Test R SPK Ref Val 0 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 tCode: EF tunNo: 65 ieqNo: 22 %REC 86.1 84.9 86.6	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446 LowLimit 80 75.5 80	120 120 119 120 8021Β: Volati Units: μg/L HighLimit 120 120 120	%RPD 7.62 8.82 6.63	RPDLimit 20 20 20	Qual
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total	robenzene 2d37-001amsd '-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 17 17 17 52	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 yate: 12 PQL 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0	20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00 5463 2/30/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00	0 0 0 Test R S SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 tCode: EF tunNo: 65 ieqNo: 22 %REC 86.1 84.9 86.6 86.7	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446 LowLimit 80 75.5 80 77.3	120 120 120 119 120 8021B: Volat Units: μg/L HighLimit 120 120 120 119	%RPD 7.62 8.82 6.63 7.20	RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 20	Qual
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo Sample ID: 191 Client ID: MW Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluo	robenzene 2d37-001amsd /-1	19 19 56 23 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 17 17 17 52 23	1.0 1.0 2.0 ype: MS 1D: R6 vate: 12 PQL 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0	20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 5463 2/30/2019 SPK value 20.00 20.00 20.00 60.00 20.00	0 0 0 Test R S SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0	92.9 92.7 92.5 93.1 115 tCode: EF tunNo: 65 teqNo: 22 %REC 86.1 84.9 86.6 86.7 113	80 75.5 80 77.3 80 PA Method 5463 249446 LowLimit 80 75.5 80 77.3 80	120 120 120 119 120 8021B: Volati 8021B: Volati Units: µg/L HighLimit 120 120 120 119 120	%RPD 7.62 8.82 6.63 7.20 0	RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 0	Qual

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmen 2 TEL: 505-345-39 Website: www	tal Analysis Labora 4901 Hawkins Albuquerque, NM 87 075 FAX: 505-345-4 hallenvironmental.	ntory 8 NE 7109 Sar 1107 com	iple Log-In Ch	eck List
Client Name: ENSOLUM AZTEC	Work Order Num	ber: 1912D37		RcptNo: 1	
Received By: Yazmine Garduno	12/28/2019 9:40:00	AM	sfagnin lifndesti		
Completed By: Yazmine Garduno Reviewed By: $Y_6 N_30M^2$	12/28/2019 10:00:2	9 AM	rifaznin leftretari		
Chain of Custody					
1. Is Chain of Custody sufficiently complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present 🗌	
2. How was the sample delivered?		<u>Courier</u>			
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
4. Were all samples received at a temperature of	7 >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗌	
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗀		
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly	preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗍	
9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4"	for AQ VOA?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
 Were any sample containers received broken' 	?	Yes	No 🗹 🗍	# of preserved	
 Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	for pH:	2 unless noted)
2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of C	ustody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Adjusted?	
13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	and the second se	
14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🖌	No 🗌	Checked by: EN	M 12/30/1
Special Handling (if applicable)			۴		
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with th	is order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	Date Via:	eMail [] P	hone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
16. Additional remarks:					
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> Cooler No. Temp ^o C Condition Sea 1 0.7 Good 0.1 NG 12 BO114	Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By		

•

(Chain	-of-C	ustody Record	Turn-Around	d Time:]								/T #	20				Receiv
Client:	Eng	solur	ı	Standard	d 🗆 Rusi	h	. L . F			Δ		LL 81	EI YS	NY V Sts	5 J 5 J	ς ΔF	4171 201	IEN Rat	I I AI	L ed VV.
				Project Nam	e:							/ hai	lenv	iropr	moni	tal co				• • v
Mailing	Address	5: 606	Shir Grande	K-1	2 4#	3		10	001 L	lawki	ne N) 07/	100		D: (
Su	:+ A	87	410	Project #:		· · · ·	1	T	el 50	15-34	5-39	- 175	F	-ay	505.	.345.	.4107	109		/17/
Phone	#:			0	5B 1220	6001		1			0.00	A	naly	/sis l	Req	uest				2021
email c	or Fax#:			Project Mana	ager:		÷	6					04			(j			1 1	2:3
QA/QC	Package:			m	Л	/	802	MR	B's		MS		04, S			bse				6:30
□ Star	ndard	·····	Level 4 (Full Validation)		· Cent	ry		l 02	2 PC		70SI		P S			ent/A				PM
	itation:		ompliance r	Sampler:	<u>C</u> DAPOn.		_ ₹		808;	4.1)	r 827		Ő		()	rese	Í		ĺĺ	
) (Type)		I	# of Coolers	<u>µ≪res</u>	-0.3 =0.1		GRO	des/	d 50	10 ol	tals	ő		_ NO	ц Ц				
	T			Cooler Temp	D(including CF):	U HE DIA	Ē	5D(stici	etho	/83	Mei	۲. N	(A)	-ille	lifon				
	ĺ			Containor	Proconvotivo	NG (2)301V	XX	80	1 Pe	<u>Š</u>	ts by	₹A 8	<u>ш</u>	Ś	S)	ů				
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Name	Type and #	Type	1412031	BTH	표	808		Α Α	5	G, F	826(827(Tota				
12/27	950	w	MW-1	3 Voc's	Hada	-00)	V													
6/27	1030	W	mw-13		ſ	an	X													
1/27	1/05	W	SUE-3			-003	φ													
12/27	1140	W	mW-12			1007	R													
P/27	1220	и	SUE-IR		ļ	-005	Ŷ										-		$\uparrow \uparrow$	
		w	pris-+1																	
		ba-	SUE 2																	+
		W.—	mined												ľ					
																			\square	
												·								
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:		Via:	Date Time	Ren	narks	s: 2,	,,	7.		7	o . 1.	j					P
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	 		, , , ,	/	i V	٤	- 12	50,0	1000	-				age 95
	lf necessary,	samplęs sut	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subc	ontracted to other a	ccredited laboratorie	es. This serves as notice of th	Y is possi	bility. J	Any su	b-contr	acted o	data w	vill be	clearly	/ notat	ed on t	the anal	vtical rea		l
		V	-						-									,		03

Released to Imaging: 1/27/2022 10:00:42 AM



January 03, 2020

Kyle Summers ENSOLUM 606 S. Rio Grande Suite A Aztec, NM 87410 TEL: (903) 821-5603 FAX:

RE: K-12 Y 3

OrderNo.: 1912D70

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear Kyle Summers:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 3 sample(s) on 12/31/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report	
Lab Order 1912D70	

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.	
--	--

Date Reported: 1/3/2020

CLIENT: ENSOLUM Project: K-12 Y 3		Clie Co	nt Sample II Illection Date): MV :: 12/	W-11 /30/2019 10:50:00 AM	
Lab ID: 1912D70-001	Matrix: AQUEOUS	R	eceived Date	e: 12/	/31/2019 8:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL (Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst:	NSB
Benzene	270	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:08:54 AM	R65535
Toluene	ND	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:08:54 AM	R65535
Ethylbenzene	300	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:08:54 AM	R65535
Xylenes, Total	3200	40	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:08:54 AM	R65535
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	106 8	0-120	%Rec	20	1/2/2020 10:08:54 AM	R65535

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 4

Hall Environmenta	l Analysis	Laboratory, Inc.	
-------------------	------------	------------------	--

Date Reported: 1/3/2020

CLIENT: ENSOLUM		Clie	nt Sample II	D:SV	'E-2	
Project: K-12 Y 3		Co	ollection Dat	e: 12/	/30/2019 11:40:00 AM	[
Lab ID: 1912D70-002	Matrix: AQUEOUS	ŀ	Received Dat	e: 12/	/31/2019 8:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL (Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene	960	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:32:00 AM	R65535
Toluene	ND	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:32:00 AM	R65535
Ethylbenzene	220	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:32:00 AM	R65535
Xylenes, Total	2000	40	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:32:00 AM	R65535
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103 8	0-120	%Rec	20	1/2/2020 10:32:00 AM	R65535

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 4

Date Reported: 1/3/2020

CLIENT: ENSOLUM		Cli	ent Sample II): M	W-2	
Project: K-12 Y 3		С	ollection Dat	e: 12/	/30/2019 12:30:00 PM	
Lab ID: 1912D70-003	Matrix: AQUEOUS]	Received Dat	e: 12/	/31/2019 8:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene	2600	50	µg/L	50	1/2/2020 3:53:52 PM	R65535
Toluene	ND	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:54:57 AM	R65535
Ethylbenzene	300	20	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:54:57 AM	R65535
Xylenes, Total	2900	40	µg/L	20	1/2/2020 10:54:57 AM	R65535
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	104 8	0-120	%Rec	20	1/2/2020 10:54:57 AM	R65535

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

Page 3 of 4

RL Reporting Limit

WO#:	1912D70

03-Jan-20

Client: Project:	ENSOLU K-12 X 3	JM									
110jeet.	K-12 I .)									
Sample ID:	rb	SampT	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	PBW	Batc	h ID: R6	5535	F	RunNo: 6	5535				
Prep Date:		Analysis E	Date: 1/	2/2020	S	SeqNo: 2	251308	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	1.0								
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	20		20.00		99.4	80	120			
Sample ID:	Sample ID: 100ng btex Ics SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
Client ID:	LCSW	Batc	h ID: R6	5535	F	RunNo: 6	5535				
Prep Date:		Analysis [Date: 1/	2/2020	SeqNo:		251309	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		19	1.0	20.00	0	97.2	80	120			
Toluene		19	1.0	20.00	0	96.0	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		19	1.0	20.00	0	97.0	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		57	2.0	60.00	0	94.9	80	119			
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	21		20.00		103	80	120			
Sample ID:	1912d70-001ams	SampT	Гуре: МS	6	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	MW-11	Batc	h ID: R6	5535	F	RunNo: 6	5535				
Prep Date:		Analysis [Date: 1/	2/2020	S	SeqNo: 2	251311	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		670	20	400.0	273.0	100	80	120			
Toluene		400	20	400.0	13.27	97.2	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		690	20	400.0	302.9	97.7	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		4200	40	1200	3166	89.8	68.3	130			
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	390		400.0		98.6	80	120			
Sample ID:	1912d70-001ams	d Samp1	Гуре: МS	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	MW-11	Batc	h ID: R6	5535	F	RunNo: 6	5535				
Prep Date:		Analysis E	Analysis Date: 1/2/2020			SeqNo: 2251312					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		640	20	400.0	273.0	92.6	80	120	4.66	20	
Toluene		390	20	400.0	13.27	93.6	80	120	3.64	20	
Ethylbenzene		680	20	400.0	302.9	93.6	80	120	2.42	20	
Xylenes, Total		4100	40	1200	3166	80.0	68.3	130	2.79	20	
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	410		400.0		103	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 101 of 10.	3	
-----------------	---	--

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental A Albu TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.hal	Analysis Laborat 4901 Hawkins querque, NM 87 FAX: 505-345-41 llenvironmental.c	NE 109 Sar 107 007	nple Log-In Ch	eck List
Client Name: ENSOLUM AZTEC	Work Order Number:	1912D70		RcptNo: 1	
Received By: Juan Rojas	12/31/2019 8:15:00 AM	I			
Completed By: Desiree Dominguez	12/31/2019 8:23:23 AM	•	THE		
Reviewed By: DAD 12/31/19					
Chain of Custody					
1 Is Chain of Custody sufficiently complete?	· · · ·	Yes V	No	Not Present	н. Н
How was the sample delivered?		Courier			а.
	· · · ·		· · ·		
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗌	
4. Were all samples received at a temperature of	>0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗔	
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	<i></i>	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6 Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌		,
7 Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly p	preserved?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌	· · ·
9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for	or AQ VOA?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
10. Were any sample containers received broken?		Yes	No 🔽	# of preserved	
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	bottles checked for pH:	2 unloca poted)
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	stody?	Vec 🗸	No 🗌	Adjusted?	z unless holedy
13 Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Stody	Yes 🔽			· .
14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🗹	No	Checked by: <u>J</u>	2 12/31/19
Special Handling (if applicable)					•
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this	s order?	Yes	Νο΄		
Person Notified:	Date:	-			
By Whom:	Via:] eMail 🗌 Ph	one 🦳 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			Reversion and the second s	
Client Instructions:					
16. Additional remarks:					
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal 1 0.2 Good Not P	Intact Seal No Se resent	eal Date S	igned By		

Page 1 of 1

Chain-of-Custody Record	Turn-Around	Time:] 🛛			R						.				•
Client: Ensolum	🖉 🖉 Standard	 ⊯ Standard															
	Project Nam	e:		▎▌	esta (<i>u</i> .			. <u>– –</u>		iron						••
Mailing Address: 12016 S BAD Company	6 5-	-12 FI	#3		⊿ 0	01 H	awki	www ne N	/.nan			nen	ual.co)/[] \/] 87*	00		
Suit 4 \$7410	Project #:			1			15-34	15 1	 275		ayu Tay	505.	-345-	vi 07 ./107	09		
Phone #:	05	05131226004				Analysis Request											
email or Fax#:	Project Mana	ager:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														
QA/QC Package:				021	MR(s M		δ		Å.			ser				
□ Standard □ Level 4 (Full Validation	n) //	Gentr	y	8)	0	D D		Soll		Q Q			It/A				
Accreditation:	Sampler:	1 DADO	nti]₽	DR	082	,	827(10 ₂ ,			eser				
□ NELAC □ Other	On Ice:	On Ice: Yes 🗆 No			В В	es/8	504	or	<u>s</u>	3, 7		(AO	- L L				
□ EDD (Type)	# of Coolers:		a /a-1		D(G	icid	poq	3310	/leta	2	F	∩i-∕	orn				
		itingaang er)O			015	Pest	Met	¢	8	ъ́	0	Sen	Colif				
Date Time Matrix Sample Name	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO. 1911 D-20	BTEX	TPH:8	8081	EDB (PAHs	RCRA	Сi, F,	8260 (8270 (Total (
19/3/19 1050 W MW-11	3 Voes	Hech	-001	\checkmark													
13/30/91140 W SUE-2	1	1	-002	Ý				•									
130/19/1230 W MW-2			-003	Ø				-									
	_																
				1													
			· ·	1													
				<u> </u>			+		<u> </u>								
																+	\rightarrow
							+				-+						
Date: Time: Relinquished by:	Received by:	l Via:	Date Time	Ren	narks	ł s:											
2 1432	Chris	t. Labe	+ 12/2/11 9 143	b		J	R.	11	7	5	Į	5	Ca I	In	_		
Date: Time: Relinquished by:	Réceived by:	Via:	Date Time	1		<i>L</i> .		r a	6		E		20"	0-0	١		0
430/191779 Anulis Stintor	Vanl	COME	12/31/19 815														
If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be	subcontracted to other a	ccredited laboratori	es. This serves as notice of thi	s possi	bility.	Any su	b-contr	acted	data w	/ill be	clearly	y notai	ted on t	the ana	ytical re	port.	

•

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

Action 32633

CONDITIONS									
Operator:	OGRID:								
Enterprise Field Services, LLC	241602								
PO Box 4324	Action Number:								
Houston, TX 77210	32633								
	Action Type:								
	[UF-GWA] Ground Water Abatement (GROUND WATER ABATEMENT)								

CONDITIONS

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
nvelez	Review of 2018 Groundwater Monitoring Report: Content satisfactory 1. Follow recommendations stated within 2018 Groundwater Monitoring Report. a. Submit groundwater monitoring results b. Continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring c. Further delineate the dissolved-phase groundwater plume d. Evaluate in situ remediation options for source area soils Review of 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report: Content satisfactory 2. Follow recommendations stated within 2019 Groundwater Monitoring Report. a. Continue SA-GWM&S activities b. Complete additional site-specific aquifer characterization c. Install additional delineation wells d. Prepare a Stage 2 Abatement Plan (following full delineation) e. Submit annual report to OCD no later than March 31, 2022.	1/26/2022