NMCRIS No.: 151087

NMCRIS INVESTIGATION ABSTRACT FORM (NIAF)

	20 Lood Agenov	2h Other Agene		, Lood Agonov Donort No.
1. NMCRIS Activity No.:	2a. Lead Agency:	2b. Other Agence	y(les):	3. Lead Agency Report No.:
151087	New Mexico State Land Office	N/A		
151007				
4. Title of Report:				5. Type of Report
	urce Survey for the Longfellow End dy County, New Mexico	nergy, LP – Muske	egon 20 State Cor	n 1 🔽 Negative
Author(s): Justin Rein				Positive
6. Investigation Type		_		
	Archaeological Survey/ Inventory			
	tudy Compliance Decision Ba			iew/ Lit Review 🗀 Monitoring
Ethnographic Study	Site/ Property Visit Histori	ic Structures Repor		
On September 21, 2022, a cultural resource survey fo (NMCRIS Registration No. and provided plats and loca	ng (What does the project entail archaeologists Justin Rein and Wi r a buried saltwater distribution (S 151087). Brian Wood, with Permits ation information. The project is in d on the Red Lake SE, New Mexico	illi Herman, with J. SWD) pipeline to co s West, and repres Eddy County, New	onnect the Muskeg enting Longfellow Mexico within To	on 20 State Com 1 well location Energy, LP, requested the survey wnship 17 South, Range 29 East,
Trust Lands. The survey wa overlapping previous surve	t (APE) for the proposed SWD pip as conducted utilizing linear transec eys, encompassed a total of 7.87 /ey area and no known sites are w	ct intervals no great acres of New Me	er than 50 feet (15 xico State Trust L	meters) in width and, less several
for the proposed Longfellow Mexico. If any cultural m	encountered within the limits of the v Energy, LP - SWD pipeline to cornaterials are encountered during w Mexico State Land Office should	nnect the Muskego any phase of con	n 20 State Com 1 v struction, work at	well location in Eddy County, New
8 Dates of Investigation:	from: 07-September-2022 to: 21-3	September-2022	9 Penort Date:	26-September-2022
	·	•	5. Report Date.	
10. Performing Agency/ Co	nsultant: J.T. Rein Archaeology,	LLC		
Principal Investigator: Pe	eter C. Condon			
Field Supervisor: Justin F				
Field Personnel Names: Justin Rein and Willi Herman				
Historian/ Other: N/A				
11. Performing Agency / Co	onsultant Report No.:			
JTRA-22-072				
12. Applicable Cultural Res	ource Permit No(s):			
New Mexico State Permi	t No. NM-22-293-S			

Trinity Oilfield Services & Rentals, LLC



October 29th, 2024

Oil Conservation Division, District I 1625 N. French Drive Hobbs, NM 88240

Re: Remediation Plan Request Muskegon 20 State COM 001 Tracking #: NAPP2409859045

Trinity Oilfield Services (Trinity), on behalf of Longfellow Energy, LP, hereby submits the following Remediation Plan Request in response to a release that occurred at the above-referenced location, and further described below.

Site Information		
Incident ID	NAPP2409859045	
Site Name	Muskegon 20 State COM 001	
Well API	30-015-26397	
Lease ID	B068460003	
Company	Longfellow Energy, LP	
Contact Name	David Cain	
Contact Email	david.cain@longfellowenergy.com	
Contact Telephone	972-590-9918	
County	Eddy	
ULSTR	H-20-17S-29E	
GPS Coordinates (NAD 83)	32.8219109, -104.090538	
Landowner	State	

RELEASE BACKGROUND

On 04/07/2024, Longfellow Energy, LP reported a release at the Muskegon 20 State COM 001. The release was caused by equipment failure. Approximately 38,499 sqft. of the Pad and Pasture was found to be damp upon initial inspection.

Release Information		
Date of Release	04/07/2024	
Type of Release	Produced Water	
Source of Release	Equipment Failure	
Volume Released – Produced Water	4,018 bbls	
Volume Recovered – Produced Water	3,988 bbls	
Volume Released – Crude Oil	0 bbls	
Volume Recovered – Crude Oil	0 bbls	
Affected Area – Damp Soil	Pad and Pasture - Approximately 38,499 sqft.	
Site Location Map	Attached	

CULTURAL AND BIOLOGICAL COMPLIANCE

A comprehensive analysis was conducted to ensure both cultural and biological parameters are fully addressed and appropriate for proposed activities at the site location.

Cultural Properties Protection:

An ARMS inspection and survey request was submitted to a state-permitted third-party archaeological consultant. The subject site underwent a Class III Archaeological Survey and concluded with negative results reported on 09/26/2022.

Biological Compliance:

A desktop review of the site location was conducted using two key environmental assessment tools: the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Environmental Review Tool (ERT) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC).

The review analyzed environmental factors within the area of interest. The evaluation results indicate that no critical habitats, important plant areas, or important bird areas located within the boundaries of the site. This suggests that the site does not host significant ecological features or sensitive species that would require special consideration or protection under current environmental regulations.

Critical habitats refer to areas essential for the conservation of species defined in the Endangered Species Act. Important plant areas in New Mexico are designated sites that either harbor a significant variety of vulnerable plant species or represent the last known habitats of the state's most endangered plants. Important bird areas are habitats that provide essential resources or support significant populations of bird species, particularly those of conservation concern. The absence of these critical ecological features in the site location implies that the proposed activities or developments can proceed with a lower risk of negatively impacting important natural resources.

Environmental Assessment		
NM Riparian Habitat Map	Negative	
NMDGF Fish Management Plan Waters	Negative	
Riparian Corridors	Negative	
NM SWAP Conservation Opportunity Areas	Negative	
NM Audubon Important Bird Areas	Negative	
NM Important Plant Areas	Negative	
USFWS Critical Habitat	Negative	
USFWS Refuges	Negative	
NM State Forestry Priority Landscapes	Negative	

The IPaC report identifies the following species as potentially susceptible to impacts from activities proposed at this location.

Species	Status
Mexican Spotted Owl	Threatened
Northern Aplomado Falcon	Experimental Population, Non-essential
Piping Plover	Threatened
Texas Hornshell	Endangered
Monarch Butterfly	Candidate
Lee Pincushion Cactus	Threatened
Sneed Pincushion Cactus	Endangered

The report indicates that no critical habitats for these species are present within the site. The report further highlights that no migratory Bird of Conservation Concern (BBC) in the United States is expected within the area of interest.

Additional analysis utilizing mapping services from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) reinforces that the habitats of the Lesser Prairie-Chicken and the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard are not affected by the release area. This cross-referenced data from BLM serves to validate the initial findings and ensures that significant habitats for these species remain undisturbed by the planned activities.

SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND CLOSURE CRITERIA

Depth to Groundwater/Wellhead Protection:

Data Source	Well Number	Data Date	Depth (ft.)
NM OSE	RA-13464-POD1	07/22/2024	105'
USGS	NA	NA	NA

A search of the groundwater well databases maintained by the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) was conducted to determine if any registered groundwater wells are located within a 1/2 mile of the release site. The search revealed that One (1) well occurred in the databases that meet the NMOCD criteria for the age of data, the distance of the data point well from the release point, and a data point well having a diagram of construction.

On 07/17/2024, H&R Enterprises, LLC. was onsite to drill a groundwater determination borehole at 105' below ground surface within a $\frac{1}{2}$ mile radius of the incident location. The borehole was left open and checked for the presence of groundwater on 07/22/2024. As a result, no water was detected at 105' below the surface at the borehole location (32.824681, -104.093503). The driller log is attached for reference.

General Site Characterization:

Site Assessment		
Karst Potential	Medium	
Distance to Watercourse	> 1,000 ft.	
Within 100 yr Floodplain	No	
Pasture Impact	Yes	

A risk-based site assessment/characterization was performed following the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Rule (Title 19 Chapter 15 Part 29) for releases on oil and gas development and production in New Mexico (effective August 14, 2018). To summarize the site assessment/characterization evaluation, the affected area has Medium potential for cave and karst, and no other receptors (residence, school, hospital, institution, church, mining, municipal, or other ordinance boundaries) were located within the regulatorily promulgated distances from the site.

Soil Assessment		
Soil Series	Simona and Wink	
Fragile Soil Interpretive Class	Not Rated	
Erodibility Value	0.32	
Wind Erodibility Group	3	
Badland Soils	No	
Gypsum Soils	No	
Representative Slope	2%	
Depth to Restrictive Feature	48 cm	
Depth to Bedrock	> 200 cm	
Severe Wildland Burn	No	

A soil assessment/characterization was performed following the New Mexico State Land Office Environmental Compliance Office (ECO) Spill and Release Reporting Guidelines (Part 2 Letter D).

Closure Criteria:

On-Site & Off-Site 4ft bgs Recommended Remedial Action Levels (RRALs)		
Chlorides	20,000 mg/kg	
TPH (GRO and DRO and MRO)	2,500 mg/kg	
TPH (GRO and DRO)	1,000 mg/kg	
BTEX	50 mg/kg	
Benzene	10 mg/kg	

A reclamation standard of 600 mg/kg chloride and 100 mg/kg TPH will be applied to the top four feet of the pasture area if impacted by the release, per NMAC 19.15.29.13.D (1) for the top four feet of areas that will be reclaimed following remediation.

INITIAL ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

Initial Sample Activities:

Delineation Summary		
Delineation Dates	04/18/2024	
Depths Sampled	0' - 4'	
Delineation Map	Attached	
Laboratory Results	Table 1	

All soil samples were placed into laboratory-supplied glassware, labeled, and maintained on ice until delivery to an NMOCD-approved laboratory (Cardinal Laboratories of Hobbs, NM) for the analysis of chloride using Method SM4500 Cl-B, Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021 B and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) constituents the by EPA 8015M.

Confirmation Activities:

Remediation Proposal		
Remediation Dates	Within 90 Days of NMOCD Approval	
Liner Variance Request	No	
Deferral Request	No	
Proposed Depths Excavated	0.3' - 4'	
Proposed Area of 5-point Confirmation Samples – Floors and Walls	400 sqft.	
Estimated Total Volume of Excavated Soil	3,067 yards	

Impacted soil within the release margins will be excavated and temporarily stockpiled on-site on a 6-mil plastic sheeting, pending final disposition. Unless a Variance Request has been approved, all Floor and On-Site Walls of the excavated area will be advanced until laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples indicate Chloride, Benzene, BTEX, and TPH concentrations are below the RRAL NMOCD Closure Criteria listed in the Table above, and all Off-Site Walls will be advanced to meet reclamation standards. Confirmation soil samples (five-point composites representing no more than 400 sqft. of the excavated area) will be collected from the floor and sidewalls.

Upon receiving laboratory analytical data showing that confirmation soil samples for the excavated areas yield results below the selected NMOCD Table I Closure Criteria, the impacted soil will be transported under manifest to an NMOCD-approved disposal facility. Upon approval, the excavated area will be backfilled with locally sourced, non-impacted "like" material.

SITE RECLAMATION AND RESTORATION

Areas affected by the release and the associated remediation activities will be restored to a condition which existed prior to the release to the extent practicable. The affected area will be contoured and/or compacted to

provide erosion control, stability, and preservation of surface water flow. The area will be fenced off to mitigate grazing and soil compaction by cattle.

Affected areas disturbed by remediation on native land, not on production pads and/or lease roads, will be reseeded with a prescribed NMSLO seed mixture for Sandy Loam (SL) soils as defined in SLO Seed Mix Version 1-200808 during the first favorable growing season following the closure of the site. Reclamation on State Trust Land will also be documented and monitored for successful vegetation growth and invasive/noxious weed populations. Final reclamation of the well pad shall take place in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC once the site is no longer being used for oil and gas operations.

REQUEST FOR REMEDIATION PLAN APPROVAL

Supporting Documentation		
Delineation Map	Attached	
Depth to Groundwater Maps and Source	Attached	
US NWI Map	Attached	
FEMA Flood Hazard Map	Attached	
USDA Soil Survey	Attached	
SLO Seed Mix	Attached	
Site Photography	Attached	
Archaeological Survey	Attached	
Laboratory Analytics with COCs	Attached	

The corrective actions will be completed within 90 days of receipt of approval of this proposal by the NMOCD. Upon completion of the proposed tasks, a Remediation Closure Request will be submitted, documenting remediation activities and results of confirmation samples.

Trinity Oilfield Services respectfully requests that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division grant approval for this detailed Remediation Plan.

Sincerely,

Dan Dunkelberg

Dan Dunkelberg Project Manager


Cynthia Jordan


Cynthia Jordan Project Scientist

.

						ТЛЕ	BLE 1							
				CONC	ENTRATIONS								~	
CONCENTRATIONS OF BENZENE, BTEX, TPH & CHLORIDE IN SOIL LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO NMOCD REFERENCE #: NAPP2409859045 OILFIELD SERVICES														
SAMPLE LOCATION	SAMPLE DEPTH (BGS)	SAMPLE DATE	VERTICAL/ HORIZONTAL	OFF-SITE/ ON-SITE	SAMPLE TYPE	SOIL STATUS	CHLORIDE (mg/Kg)	TPH C6-C36 (mg/Kg)	GRO+ DRO (mg/kg)	GRO C6-C10 (mg/Kg)	DRO C10-C28 (mg/Kg)	MRO C28-C36 (mg/Kg)	TOTAL BTEX (mg/Kg)	BENZENE (mg/Kg)
		On-Site, & De	eper than 4' Past	ure			20000	2500	1000	NE	NE	NE	50	10
Deline	eation Special	Circumstance	, NMOCD Delinea	tion Limits Pas	sture to 4'		600	100	NE	NE	NE	NE	50	10
						Vertical E	Delineation							
DV-001.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	14,400.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-001.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	80.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	26,400.0	21.0	21.0	<10.0	21.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	1,120.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	30,000.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	160.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	144.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	944.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-005.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	12,200.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-005.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	1,020.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-006.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	11,600.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-006.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	6,480.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-007.0-00.0-P	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	16,600.0	12.9	12.9	<10.0	12.9	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-007.0-04.0-P	4	4/18/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	1,300.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-008.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	18,000.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-008.0-03.0-S	3	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	80.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-009.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	18,800.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-009.0-03.0-S	3	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	176.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-010.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	17,400.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-010.0-02.0-S	2	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	224.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-011.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	38,400.0	12.8	12.8	<10.0	12.8	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-011.0-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	176.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-012.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	26,000.0	194.7	138.0	<10.0	138.0	56.7	<10.0	<10.0
DV-012.0-02.0-S	2	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	112.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-013.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	43,200.0	74.8	48.1	<10.0	48.1	26.7	<10.0	<10.0
DV-013.0-02.0-S	2	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-014.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	24,800.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-014.0-03.0-S	3	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-015.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	42,400.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-015.0-02.0-S	2	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	32.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-016.0-00.0-S	0	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	73,600.0	11.2	11.2	<10.0	11.2	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-016.0-02.0-S	2	4/18/2024	Vertical	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	112.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
	I	I	L	I	T		Delineation		T	T	T	I	1	1
DH-001.0-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	32.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-002.0-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-003.2-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	128.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-004.1-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	416.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-005.0-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	176.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0

	TABLE 1 CONCENTRATIONS OF BENZENE, BTEX, TPH & CHLORIDE IN SOIL LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001 EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO NMOCD REFERENCE #: NAPP2409859045													
SAMPLE LOCATION	SAMPLE DEPTH (BGS)	SAMPLE DATE	VERTICAL/ HORIZONTAL	OFF-SITE/ ON-SITE	SAMPLE TYPE	SOIL STATUS	CHLORIDE (mg/Kg)	TPH C6-C36 (mg/Kg)	GRO+ DRO (mg/kg)	GRO C6-C10 (mg/Kg)	DRO C10-C28 (mg/Kg)	MRO C28-C36 (mg/Kg)	TOTAL BTEX (mg/Kg)	BENZENE (mg/Kg)
	•	On-Site, & De	eper than 4' Past	ure		•	20000	2500	1000	NE	NE	NE	50	10
Deline	eation Special	Circumstance	e, NMOCD Delinea	tion Limits Pas	sture to 4'		600	100	NE	NE	NE	NE	50	10
DH-006.0-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-007.0-01.0-P	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	144.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-008.0-01.0-P	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	32.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-009.0-01.0-P	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	48.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-010.0-01.0-P	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	16.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-011.0-01.0-S	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	On-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-012.0-01.0-P	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	32.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-013.0-01.0-P	1	4/18/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0



















































PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

I. GENERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:

State Engineer Well Number: RA-13464 Po Well owner: Longfellow Energy, LP	Phone No.: 972-590-9918			
Mailing address: 8115 Preston Rd. Ste. 80	0			
City: Dallas	State:	TX	Zip code: 785225	

II. WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:

Name of well drilling cor	mpany that plug	gged well:	H&Renter	prises,L	LC.	_	
New Mexico Well Driller		_		Expira	ation Date: 6/25		
Well plugging activities v Nathan Smelcer	were supervised	l by the fol	llowing we	ll driller	r(s)/rig su	pervisor(s):
Date well plugging begar	n: 7/22/24		Date	e well pl	ugging co	ncluded:	7/22/24
GPS Well Location:	Latitude: Longitude:	32 -103	deg, deg,	49 5	min, min,	28.85 36.61	_ sec _ sec, WGS 84
Depth of well confirmed by the following manner:	at initiation of well sounder	plugging a	s:105	ft b	elow grou	nd level (bgl),

7) Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: <u>dry hole</u> ft bgl

8) Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: _______6/3/24

9) Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? yes If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with 10) horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
(ft bgl)	(include any additives used) 0-10' 3/8 barroid bentonite chip plug 10'-105' drill cuttings	(gallons) 22 (4 bags)	(gallons) 17.23	(tremie pipe, other) pour	("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
		MULTIPLY cubic feet x cubic yards x 20	BY AND OBTAIN 7.4805 = gallons 11.97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

11

James Hawley , say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I. Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller

7/29/24

Date

Version: September 8, 2009 Page 2 of 2



WELL RECORD & LOG


OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

N	OSE POD NO. (W Pod-1	ELL NO)	WE	ELL TAG ID NO.			OSE FILE NO(S RA-13464	S).			
OCATIC	WELL OWNER N Longfellow Er							PHONE (OPTIC 972-590-991	1. 1. 1. A.			
VELLL	WELL OWNER MAILING ADDRESS 8115 Preston Rd. Ste. 800							CITY Dallas		state TX	785225	ZIP
1. GENERAL AND WELL LOCATION	WELL LOCATION (FROM GPS)	LAT	NTUDE	grees 32 -104	MINUTES 49 5	SECONDS 28.85	N W		REQUIRED: ONE TEN	TH OF A S	ECOND	
1. GENEI		-	NGITUDE IG WELL LOCATION TO			36.61 NLANDMAR	-			ERE AVA	ILABLE	
	LICENSE NO. WD-1863	2	NAME OF LICENSED		nes Hawley				NAME OF WELL DR H&R		OMPANY ises, LLC.	
	DRILLING STARTED 7/17/24		DRILLING ENDED 7/17/24	DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL (FT) BORE 105			ORE HC	DLE DEPTH (FT) 105	DEPTH WATER FIR	ST ENCOU Dry H		N.
	COMPLETED WI	ELL IS:	ARTESIAN	DRY HOLE				STATIC WATER LEVEL IN COMPLETED WELL (FT) N/A				
LION	DRILLING FLUII):	🖌 AIR	MUD	ADDITIV	ES - SPECIF	Y:					
SMA.	DRILLING METH	IOD:	✓ ROTARY	HAMMER	CABLE T	TOOL	ОТН	ER - SPECIFY:				
CASING INFORMATION	DEPTH (fee FROM	DEPTH (feet bgl) BORE HOLE ROM TO DIAM (inches) 0 95 6.5		DIAM (include each casing string, and			CON	ASING NECTION TYPE	CASING INSIDE DIAM. (inches)	CASING WALL THICKNESS (inches)		SLOT SIZE (inches)
CA	0							pling diameter) ead 2.380	2		0.19	
2. DRILLING &	95	105	6.5	2" SCI	H 40 FJ perf		thre	ead 2.380	2		0.19	0.010
						_						
-	DEPTH (fee	et hgl)	BORE HOLE	LIST	ANNULAR S	EAL MATI	RIAL	AND	AMOUNT		METHO	D OF
AL	FROM	TO	DIAM. (inches)		L PACK SIZE				(cubic feet)		PLACEN	
ANNULAR MATERIAL						N/A						
е́ FOI	R OSE INTERNA	L USE			- PORT			1. P. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2.	20 WELL RECORD	& LOG	(Version 04/3	30/19)
_	E NO.				POD NO	J.	-	TRN WELL TAG			PAGE	1 OF 2

	DEPTH (f	eet bgl)		COLOR AND TYPE OF MATERIA	L ENCOUNTERED -	WATER	ESTIMAT YIELD F
1	FROM	то	THICKNESS (feet)	INCLUDE WATER-BEARING CAVITIE (attach supplemental sheets to ful		NES BEARING (YES / NO	OF LOD
-	0	5	5	white caliche	R Inc.	Y 🗸	N
1	5	10	5	tan/white sandy ca	liche	Y V	N
-	10	15	5	brown blow sar	nd	Y V	N
	15	105	90	red sandy clay	/	Y 🗸	N
-						Y	N
						Y	N
F		-	1			Y	N
-		-				Y	N
-						Y	N
-	_					Y	N
-		-				Y	N
-	_					Y	N
-						Y	N
-		-				Y	N
-						Y	N
-			-			Y	N
-		-				Y	N
-		-				Y	N
-			-			Y	N
-	-		1			Y	N
+						Y	N
-				OF WATER-BEARING STRATA:		TOTAL ESTIMAT	TED
N				BAILER OTHER – SPECIFY:		WELL YIELD (g	
	WELL TES	TES	T RESULTS - ATT RT TIME, END TI	ACH A COPY OF DATA COLLECTED DUF ME, AND A TABLE SHOWING DISCHARG	RING WELL TESTING, E AND DRAWDOWN	INCLUDING DISCHA OVER THE TESTING I	RGE METHOD, PERIOD.
N			w:	ell was drilled and caased on 7/17/24, we as removed and well was plugged in accor	rdance with the well p	lugging plan of operat	tions.
N	athan Sm	elcer		RVISOR(S) THAT PROVIDED ONSITE SUP			
	PECORDO	E THE AL	BOVE DESCRIBED	AAT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDG WELL. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THE WEL WITH THE PERMIT HOLDER WITHIN 30	L TAG. IF REOUIRED.	, HAS BEEN INSTALL MPLETION OF WELL	ED AND THAT DRILLING.
F (C.F	SIGN	ATURE OF DRULL	James Hawley ER / PRINT SIGNEE NAME		7/29/2 D.	4 ATE
1	-		-0			WELL DECORD & LO	C (Version 04/20
OR	OSE INTER	RNAL USI	3	POD NO.	WR-20 TRN NO	WELL RECORD & LO	G (version 04/30
FILE	NO						

Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM




NAPP2409859045 | MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001



October 28, 2024






Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM

NAPP2409859045 | MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001




4/9/2024, 8:40:24 AM GIS WATERS PODs



Esri, HERE, iPC, Esri, HERE, Garmin, iPC, Maxar

• Pending


NAPP2409859045 | MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001



10/28/2024

- Dunes Sage Brush Lizard Habitat
 Lesser Prairie Chicken Habitat
 Core Management Area
 Habitat Evaluation Area
 Isolated Population Area
- Primary Population Area
 Sparse and Scattered Population Area
 World Imagery
 Low Resolution 15m Imagery

High Resolution 60cm Imagery High Resolution 30cm Imagery Citations 150m Resolution Metadata




Earthstar Geographics, Bureau of Land Management - New Mexico State Office

Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM
















Terma











IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

Location

Eddy County, New Mexico

Local office

New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office

६ (505) 346-2525 **६** (505) 346-2542

2105 Osuna Road Ne Albuquerque, NM 87113-1001

NOTFORCONSULTATIO

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species¹ and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries²).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

1. Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ). Received by OGD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM

2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

Birds

NAME	STATUS
Mexican Spotted Owl Strix occidentalis lucida Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8196	Threatened
Northern Aplomado Falcon Falco femoralis septentrionalis No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1923</u>	EXPN
Piping Plover Charadrius melodus There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6039</u>	Threatened
Clams NAME	STATUS
Texas Hornshell Popenaias popeii Wherever found There is proposed critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/919</u>	Endangered
Insects	
NAME	STATUS
Monarch Butterfly Danaus plexippus Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743</u>	Candidate

Flowering Plants

NAME

STATUS

Lee Pincushion Cactus Coryphantha sneedii var. leei Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2504</u>	Threatened
Sneed Pincushion Cactus Coryphantha sneedii var. sneedii Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	Endangered

https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4706

Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

There are no critical habitats at this location.

You are still required to determine if your project(s) may have effects on all above listed species.

Bald & Golden Eagles

There are no documented cases of eagles being present at this location. However, if you believe eagles may be using your site, please reach out to the local Fish and Wildlife Service office.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf</u>
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action</u>

What does IPaC use to generate the potential presence of bald and golden eagles in my specified location?

The potential for eagle presence is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN</u>). The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply). To see a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs of bald and golden eagles in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge</u> <u>Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science</u> <u>datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to obtain a permit to avoid violating the <u>Eagle Act</u> should such impacts occur. Please contact your local Fish and Wildlife Service Field Office if you have questions.

Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act^{1} and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act^{2} .

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described below.

- 1. The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
- 2. The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/</u> <u>documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf</u>
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action</u>

The <u>data</u> in this location indicates there are no migratory <u>birds of</u> <u>conservation concern</u> expected to occur in this area.

There may be migratory birds in your project area, but we don't have any survey data available to provide further direction. For additional information, please refer to the links above for recommendations to minimize impacts to migratory birds or contact your local FWS office.

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the list of migratory birds that potentially occur in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge</u> <u>Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science</u> <u>datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and</u> <u>citizen science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering or migrating in my area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may query your location using the <u>RAIL Tool</u> and look at the range maps provided for birds in your area at the bottom of the profiles provided for each bird in your results. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean Data</u> <u>Portal</u>. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird</u> <u>Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact

Caleb Spiegel or Pam Loring.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

There are no refuge lands at this location.

Fish hatcheries

There are no fish hatcheries at this location.

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

This location did not intersect any wetlands mapped by NWI.

NOTE: This initial screening does **not** replace an on-site delineation to determine whether wetlands occur. Additional information on the NWI data is provided below.

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies.


Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate Federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

NOTFORCONSULTATION

Roteas/Jarro Francis Inf/99/2025 2/10214 200 BCV7M2N5TSKRQH3G4/resources

National Wetlands Inventory

NAPP2409859045 | MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001



Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- **Freshwater Pond**

Lake Other Riverine base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Page 39 of 158

Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM


Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

regulatory purposes.


Page 40 of 158



Releasea to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2908:42 PM 1,500 2,000

Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

NAPP2409859045 | MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001



Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM

NM OCD Oil and Gas Map. http://nm-emnrd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4d017f2306164de29fd2fb9f8f35ca75: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division



USDA United States Department of Agriculture

> Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Eddy Area, New Mexico

NAPP2409859045 | MUSKEGON **20 STATE COM 001**



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

•

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	.10
Map Unit Legend	
Map Unit Descriptions	
Eddy Area, New Mexico	
SN—Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	
TF—Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	. 14
Soil Information for All Uses	.17
Suitabilities and Limitations for Use	.17
Soil Health	.17
Fragile Soil Index	.17
Soil Properties and Qualities	.25
Soil Chemical Properties	.25
Gypsum	. 25
Soil Erosion Factors	.29
K Factor, Whole Soil	.29
Wind Erodibility Group	.34
Wind Erodibility Index	.38
Soil Qualities and Features	.42
Depth to Bedrock	.42
Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer	.47
Representative Slope	. 51
References	.56

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

.

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM



•

Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND				MAP INFORMATION	
Area of In	Area of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:20,000.	
Soils		0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	8	Wet Spot		
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	a A	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause	
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	
	Point Features	Water Features		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.	
စ္	Blowout	~	Streams and Canals	State.	
	Borrow Pit	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map	
*	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	measurements.	
<u>ہ</u>	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:	
00	Gravelly Spot	\sim	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
0	Landfill	\approx	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercato	
٨.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	round	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the	
عليه	Marsh or swamp	and the second	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	
R	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data	
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.	
\vee	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico	
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 7, 2023	
0 0 0 0	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.	
\diamond	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 12, 2022—De	
≫	Slide or Slip			2, 2022	
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	17.5	99.1%	
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.2	0.9%	
Totals for Area of Interest	·	17.7	100.0%	

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the

development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Eddy Area, New Mexico

SN—Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1w5y Elevation: 3,000 to 4,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches Mean annual air temperature: 60 to 64 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 220 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Simona and similar soils: 45 percent Wink and similar soils: 40 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Simona

Setting

Landform: Plains, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 19 inches: fine sandy loam *H2 - 19 to 23 inches:* indurated

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 7 to 20 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R070BD002NM - Shallow Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Wink

Setting

Landform: Swales, depressions Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: fine sandy loam
H2 - 8 to 38 inches: fine sandy loam
H3 - 38 to 60 inches: stratified gravelly variable

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 30 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R070BD004NM - Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Dune land

Percent of map unit: 15 percent Hydric soil rating: No

TF—Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1w61 Elevation: 3,000 to 4,100 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches Mean annual air temperature: 60 to 64 degrees F

Custom Soil Resource Report

Frost-free period: 200 to 217 days *Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Tonuco and similar soils: 98 percent *Minor components:* 2 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Tonuco

Setting

Landform: Plains, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian sands

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 5 inches: loamy fine sand *H2 - 5 to 15 inches:* loamy fine sand *H3 - 15 to 19 inches:* indurated

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 6 to 20 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R070BD004NM - Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Dune land

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Tonuco

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Ecological site: R070BD004NM - Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

•

Soil Information for All Uses

Suitabilities and Limitations for Use

The Suitabilities and Limitations for Use section includes various soil interpretations displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each interpretation.

Soil Health

Soil health interpretations are designed to be used as tools for evaluating and managing a soil's capacity to function as a vital living ecosystem that sustains plants, animals, and humans. Example interpretations include compaction, surface sealing, carbon sequestration, resistance and resilience, management systems and practices, and cover crops.

Fragile Soil Index

SOH - Soil Health

Soils can be rated based on their susceptibility to degradation in the "Fragile Soil Index" interpretation. Fragile soils are those that are most vulnerable to degradation. In other words, they can be easily degraded they have a low resistance to degradation processes. They tend to be highly susceptible to erosion and can have a low capacity to recover after degradation has occurred (low resilience). Fragile soils are generally characterized by a low content of organic matter, low aggregate stability, and weak soil structure. They are generally located on sloping ground, have sparse plant cover, and tend to be in arid or semiarid regions. The index can be used for conservation and watershed planning to assist in identifying soils and areas highly vulnerable to degradation.

Depending on inherent soil characteristics and the climate, soils can vary from highly resistant, or stable, to vulnerable and extremely sensitive to degradation. Under stress, fragile soils can degrade to a new altered state, which may be less favorable or unfavorable for plant growth and less capable of performing soil functions. To assess the fragility of the soil, indicators of vulnerability to degradation processes are used. They include organic matter, soil structure, rooting depth, vegetative cover, slope, and aridity.

The organic matter content indicates the capacity of the soil to resist and/or recover from degradation processes. Organic matter improves the soil pore structure, increases water infiltration, and reduces soil compaction and soil erosion. Soil structure indicates the capacity of the soil to resist degradation from accelerated water erosion (by increasing the amount of infiltration). Pore structure is the most important aspect of soil structure as pores provide habitat for organism. Shallow soils are more vulnerable to degradation processes because they have limited rooting depth and have a reduced amount of material from which to form new soil. As erosion removes the upper soil profile, productivity will decline if the subsoil is limiting for crop growth. Vegetative cover is very important as uncovered soil is most vulnerable to the processes of soil erosion, both by wind and water. Slope (a measure of the steepness or the degree of inclination) indicates the degree of vulnerability to erosion and mass movement. Aridity is defined by the shortage of moisture. Lack of water is a main factor limiting biological processes and the ability of the soil to resist and/or recover from degradation.

Soils are placed into interpretive classes based on their index rating, which ranges from 0 to 1. An index rating of 1 is the most fragile, while a rating of zero is the least fragile. Interpretative classes are as follows:

Not Fragile (index rating less than or equal to 0.009) These soils have a very high potential to resist degradation and be highly resilient. They are highly structured with an organic matter content greater than 5.7%, are nearly level, are deep or very deep, have greater than 85% vegetative cover, and are in a climate that is wet or very wet.

Slightly Fragile (index rating less than 0.009 and less than or equal to 0.209) These soils have a high potential to resist degradation and be resilient. They are:

— Poorly structured to weakly structured soils that have an extremely low to moderate content of organic matter, are very deep, have high vegetative cover, occur on nearly level ground, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very shallow to moderately deep, have high vegetative cover, occur on nearly level ground, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very deep, have low to moderately high vegetative cover, occur on nearly level ground, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very deep, have high vegetative cover; are on slopes greater than 3%, and are in wet or very wet climates; or

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very deep, have high vegetative cover; occur on nearly level ground, and in semi-dry to mildly wet climates;

Moderately Fragile (index rating greater than 0.209 and less than or equal to 0.409) These soils have a moderate potential to resist degradation and be moderately resilient. They are:

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very shallow, have high vegetative cover, occur in nearly level to moderately sloping areas, and are in semi-dry climates;

— Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are deep, have low vegetative cover, occur in nearly level areas, and are in wet or very wet climates;

 Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, occur on gentle to very steep slopes, have high vegetative cover, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Weakly structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are deep, occur in nearly level to gently sloping areas, have high vegetative cover, and are in semi-dry climates; or

— Weakly structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are very shallow to very deep, occur in nearly level to strongly sloping areas, have high vegetative cover, and are in mildly wet climates.

Fragile (index rating greater than 0.409 and less than or equal to 0.609) These soils have a low potential to resist degradation and low resilience. They are:

— Well structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, are shallow to very deep, have moderate to moderately high vegetative cover, occur on steep slopes, and are in dry climates;

— Well structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, are shallow to very deep, have a low vegetative cover, occur in nearly level to gently sloping areas, and are in dry climates;

— Well structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, are deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on nearly level to very steep slopes, and are in a semidry climate;

— Moderately structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are deep, have moderately high vegetative cover, occur on moderately steep to very steep slopes, and are in semi-dry climates; or

— Weakly structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, occur on moderately steep to very steep slopes, have low vegetative cover, and are in wet or very wet climates.

Very Fragile (index rating greater than 0.609 and less than or equal to 0.809) These soils have a very low potential to resist degradation and very low resilience. They are:

— Weakly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on nearly level to very steep slopes, and are in dry climates;

— Weakly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are shallow to very deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on nearly level to very steep slopes, and are in very dry climates; or

- Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are very shallow, have no vegetative cover, occur on steep slopes, and are in mildly wet to wet climates.

Extremely Fragile (index rating greater than 0.809 and less than or equal to 1.0) These soils can have no potential to resist degradation and no resilience. They are:

— Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are very shallow, have low vegetative cover, occur on very steep slopes, and are in dry or very dry climates;

— Weakly structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are nearly level to very deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on very steep slopes, and are in dry climates; or


- Very shallow soils on steep slopes.

The interpretive rating is based on soils that occur in the dominant land use for the map unit component and may not represent soils that occur in site-specific land uses.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM



Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM



Custom Soil Resource Report

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
SN		Simona and Wink	Not rated	Simona (45%)		17.5	99.1%
	loams, 0 to 3		Wink (40%)				
	percent slopes, eroded		Dune land (15%)				
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Not rated	Tonuco (98%)		0.2	0.9%
3 per			Dune land (1%)				
	slopes		Tonuco (1%)				
Totals for Area	of Interest				17.7	100.0%	

Tables—Fragile Soil Index

Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
Null or Not Rated	17.7	100.0%	
Totals for Area of Interest	17.7	100.0%	

Rating Options—Fragile Soil Index

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Chemical Properties


Soil Chemical Properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil chemical properties include pH, cation exchange capacity, calcium carbonate, gypsum, and electrical conductivity.

Gypsum

The content of gypsum is the percent, by weight, of hydrated calcium sulfates in the fraction of the soil less than 20 millimeters in size. Gypsum is partially soluble in water. Soils high in content of gypsum, such as those with more than 10 percent gypsum, may collapse if the gypsum is removed by percolating water. Gypsum is corrosive to concrete.

For each soil layer, this attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM



.

Area of Interest (AOI) Soils Soil Rating Polygons = 0 Not rated or not available Soil Rating Lines = 0 Soil Rating Line	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000. Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Soil Rating Polygons W = 0 E Not rated or not available m Soil Rating Lines Iir = 0 Soil Rating Lines = 0 Soil Rating Lines = 0 Soil Rating Lines	Varning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause nisunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil one placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
•	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
 Not rated or not available Water Features 	neasurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Veb Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Transportation M +++ Rails Interstate Highways A	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Major Roads Ti Local Roads of Background	his product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Aerial Photography S	Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 7, 2023 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales :50,000 or larger.
2, Ti cc	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 12, 2022—Dec 2, 2022 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background magery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor

Custom Soil Resource Report

Table—Gypsum

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	0	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Interest			17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Gypsum

Units of Measure: percent

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tiebreak" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: Yes

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Surface Layer (Not applicable)

For an attribute of a soil horizon, a depth qualification must be specified. In most cases it is probably most appropriate to specify a fixed depth range, either in centimeters or inches. The Bottom Depth must be greater than the Top Depth, and the Top Depth can be greater than zero. The choice of "inches" or "centimeters" only applies to the depth of soil to be evaluated. It has no influence on the units of measure the data are presented in.

When "Surface Layer" is specified as the depth qualifier, only the surface layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, but keep in mind that the thickness of the surface layer varies from component to component.

When "All Layers" is specified as the depth qualifier, all layers recorded for a component are considered when deriving the value for that component.

Whenever more than one layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, and the attribute being aggregated is a numeric attribute, a weighted average value is returned, where the weighting factor is the layer or horizon thickness.

Soil Erosion Factors

Soil Erosion Factors are soil properties and interpretations used in evaluating the soil for potential erosion. Example soil erosion factors can include K factor for the whole soil or on a rock free basis, T factor, wind erodibility group and wind erodibility index.

K Factor, Whole Soil

Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat). Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.


"Erosion factor Kw (whole soil)" indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.

.

Factor K does not apply to organic horizons and is not reported for those layers.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM

Custom Soil Resource Report Map-K Factor, Whole Soil



•

Custom Soil Resource Report

	MA	AP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION
rea of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	~	.24 .28	Transpor	Streams and Canals	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
oils Soil Rating Polygons	~	.32	***	Rails Interstate Highways	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
.02	\sim	.37 .43	~	US Routes Major Roads	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil
.10 .15	~	.49 .55	~	Local Roads	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
.17 .20	$\tilde{\sim}$.64 Not rated or not available	Backgrou	and Aerial Photography	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
.24 .28	Soil Rat	ing Points .02			Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
.32		.05 .10			Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercato
.43		.15 .17			projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
.55		.20 .24			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Not rated or not available		.28 .32			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Soil Rating Lines .02 .05		.37 .43			Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 7, 2023
.10		.49			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
.15 .17		.64			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 12, 2022—Dec 2, 2022
.20	U Water Fea	Not rated or not available tures			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—K Factor, Whole Soil

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	.32	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	.20	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—K Factor, Whole Soil

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Surface Layer (Not applicable)

For an attribute of a soil horizon, a depth qualification must be specified. In most cases it is probably most appropriate to specify a fixed depth range, either in centimeters or inches. The Bottom Depth must be greater than the Top Depth, and the Top Depth can be greater than zero. The choice of "inches" or "centimeters" only applies to the depth of soil to be evaluated. It has no influence on the units of measure the data are presented in.

When "Surface Layer" is specified as the depth qualifier, only the surface layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, but keep in mind that the thickness of the surface layer varies from component to component.

When "All Layers" is specified as the depth qualifier, all layers recorded for a component are considered when deriving the value for that component.


Whenever more than one layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, and the attribute being aggregated is a numeric attribute, a weighted average value is returned, where the weighting factor is the layer or horizon thickness.

Wind Erodibility Group

A wind erodibility group (WEG) consists of soils that have similar properties affecting their susceptibility to wind erosion in cultivated areas. The soils assigned to group 1 are the most susceptible to wind erosion, and those assigned to group 8 are the least susceptible.


Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM

Custom Soil Resource Report Map—Wind Erodibility Group



Released to Imaging: 1/31/2025 2:08:42 PM

Custom Soil Resource Report



Table—Wind Erodibility Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	3	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Wind Erodibility Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Lower


The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Wind Erodibility Index

The wind erodibility index is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion. There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM

Custom Soil Resource Report Map—Wind Erodibility Index



•

Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LI	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI)	~~ 250		The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Area of Interest (AOI)	~~ 310	1	1.20,000.
Soils Soil Rating Polygons	🗾 🖌 Not	rated or not available	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
0	Soil Rating Po	oints	
38	0		Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil
48	38		line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
56	48		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
86	56		
	86		Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
134	134		measurements.
160	160	1	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
180	— 180	•	Web Soil Survey URL:
220	220	1	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
250	2 50		Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
310	- 240		projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
Not rated or not available	-		Albers equal-area conic projection that preserves area, such as the
Soil Rating Lines		rated or not available	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
~~ 0	Water Features	eams and Canals	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
~~ 38			of the version date(s) listed below.
~~ 48	Transportation Rail	ls	Coll Current Areas - Eddu Area New Manias
~~ 56		rstate Highways	Soil Survey Area: Eddy Area, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 7, 2023
*** 86		Routes	
134			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
160		or Roads	
180		al Roads	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 12, 2022—Dec
	Background	ial Dhatagraphy	2, 2022
220	Aer	ial Photography	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Wind Erodibility Index

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (tons per acre per year)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	86	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	134	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Wind Erodibility Index

Units of Measure: tons per acre per year

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be

considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Depth to Bedrock

The term bedrock in soil survey refers to a continuous root and water restrictive layer of rock that occurs within the soil profile.

There are many types of restrictions that can occur within the soil profile but this theme only includes the three restrictions that use the term bedrock. These are:

- 1) Lithic Bedrock
- 2) Paralithic Bedrock
- 3) Densic Bedrock


Lithic bedrock and paralithic bedrock are comprised of igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks, which are coherent and consolidated into rock through pressure, heat, cementation, or fusion. Lithic bedrock represents the hardest type of bedrock, with a hardness of strongly coherent to indurated. Paralithic bedrock has a hardness of extremely weakly coherent to moderately coherent. It can occur as a thin layer of weathered bedrock above harder lithic bedrock. Paralithic bedrock can also be much thicker, extending well below the soil profile.


Densic bedrock represents a unique kind of bedrock recognized within the soil survey. It is non-coherent and consolidated, dense root restrictive material, formed by pressure, heat, and dewatering of earth materials or sediments. Densic bedrock differs from densic materials, which formed under the compaction of glaciers, mudflows, and or human-caused compaction.

If more than one type of bedrock is described for an individual soil type, the depth to the shallowest one is given. If no bedrock is described in a map unit, it is represented by the "greater than 200" depth class.

Depth to bedrock is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM





Table—Depth to Bedrock

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (centimeters)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	>200	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	>200	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Intere	est		17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Depth to Bedrock

Units of Measure: centimeters

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tiebreak" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Lower

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.


Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

A "restrictive layer" is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers.


This theme presents the depth to any type of restrictive layer that is described for each map unit. If more than one type of restrictive layer is described for an individual soil type, the depth to the shallowest one is presented. If no restrictive layer is described in a map unit, it is represented by the "greater than 200" depth class.

This attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM



48



Table—Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (centimeters)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	48	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	38	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

Units of Measure: centimeters

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tiebreak" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Lower

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No


This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

Representative Slope


Slope gradient is the difference in elevation between two points, expressed as a percentage of the distance between those points.

The slope gradient is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 1/28/2025 2:14:28 PM



Custom Soil Resource Report



Table—Representative Slope

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SN	Simona and Wink fine sandy loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes, eroded	2.0	17.5	99.1%
TF	Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2.0	0.2	0.9%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		17.7	100.0%

Rating Options—Representative Slope

Units of Measure: percent

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tiebreak" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

NMSLO Seed Mix

Sandy Loam (SL)

SANDY LOAM (SL) SITES SEED MIXTURE:

COMMON NAME	VARIETY	APPLICATION RATE (PLS/Acre)	DRILL BOX	
Grasses:				
Galleta grass	Viva, VNS, So.	2.5	F	
Little bluestem	Cimmaron, Pastura	2.5	F	
Blue grama	Hachita, Lovington	2.0	D	
Sideoats grama	Vaughn, El Reno	2.0	F	
Sand dropseed	VNS, Southern	1.0	S	
Forbs:				
Indian blanketflower	VNS, Southern	1.0	D	
Parry penstemon	VNS, Southern	1.0	D	
Blue flax	Appar	1.0	D	
Desert globemallow	VNS, Southern	1.0	D	
Shrubs:				
Fourwing saltbush	VNS, Southern	2.0	D	
Common winterfat	VNS, Southern	1.0	F	
Apache plume	VNS, Southern	0.75	F	
	Total PLS/acro	e 17.75		

S = Small seed drill box, D = Standard seed drill box, F = Fluffy seed drill box

• VNS, Southern – No Variety Stated, seed should be from a southern latitude collection of this species.

• Double above seed rates for broadcast or hydroseeding.

• If Parry penstemon is not available, substitute firecracker penstemon.

- If desert globemallow is not available, substitute scarlet globemallow or Nelson globemallow.
- If a species is not available, provide a suggested substitute to the New Mexico Land Office for approval. Increasing all other species proportionately may be acceptable.





April 26, 2024

DAN DUNKELBERG TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS, NM 88241

RE: MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 04/22/24 15:00.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-23-16. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab_accred_certif.html.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-00.0-P (H242135-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	105	2.00	2.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	104	2.00	3.52	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	2.84	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.49	108	6.00	2.21	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	102	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	14400	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	191	95.4	200	4.00	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	186	92.8	200	2.84	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	84.1	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	104	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
ELBERG	
2587	
1, 88241	
NONE	
k	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC KELBERG 2 2587 M, 88241 NONE

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-04.0-P (H242135-02)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	105	2.00	2.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	104	2.00	3.52	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	2.84	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.49	108	6.00	2.21	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	106 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	80.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	191	95.4	200	4.00	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	186	92.8	200	2.84	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	107 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	130 \$	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRI	NITY OI	LFIELD SI	ERVICES & RENTALS, L	LC
DAN	I DUNKE	LBERG		
P. C	. BOX 2	587		
HOE	BBS NM,	88241		
Fax	To:	NONE		

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-00.0-P (H242135-03)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	105	2.00	2.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	104	2.00	3.52	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	2.84	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.49	108	6.00	2.21	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	104 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500CI-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	26400	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	191	95.4	200	4.00	
DRO >C10-C28*	21.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	186	92.8	200	2.84	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	86.5	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	111 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



NITY OI	LFIELD S	ERVICES	& RENTA	ALS, LLC
DUNKE	LBERG			
. BOX 2	587			
BS NM,	88241			
To:	NONE			
	DUNKE . BOX 2 BS NM,	DUNKELBERG . BOX 2587 BS NM, 88241	DUNKELBERG . BOX 2587 BS NM, 88241	. BOX 2587 BS NM, 88241

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-04.0-P (H242135-04)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	105	2.00	2.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	104	2.00	3.52	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	2.84	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.49	108	6.00	2.21	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	104 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1120	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	191	95.4	200	4.00	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	186	92.8	200	2.84	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.7	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	117 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LI	LC
DAN DUN	KELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-00.0-P (H242135-05)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	105	2.00	2.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	104	2.00	3.52	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	2.84	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.49	108	6.00	2.21	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	107 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	30000	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	102 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	104 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LL	C
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	l, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-04.0-P (H242135-06)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	105	2.00	2.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.09	104	2.00	3.52	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	2.84	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.49	108	6.00	2.21	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	100 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	100 \$	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	103 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-00.0-P (H242135-07)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	90.8	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	144	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	89.4	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	94.6	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	I, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-04.0-P (H242135-08)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	944	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	98.0	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	102 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNKELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2587	
HOBBS NM, 88241	
Fax To: NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-005.0-00.0-P (H242135-09)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	93.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	12200	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	82.1	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	88.0	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUN	KELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-005.0-04.0-P (H242135-10)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1020	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	98.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	106 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	I, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-006.0-00.0-P (H242135-11)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	92.3	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	11600	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	102 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	105 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



RINITY OIL	FIELD SERVICES &	RENTALS, LLC
DAN DUNKE	LBERG	
P. O. BOX 25	587	
IOBBS NM,	88241	
ax To:	NONE	
	DAN DUNKE P. O. BOX 25	RINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & OAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-006.0-04.0-P (H242135-12)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	6480	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	105 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	112 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNKELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2587	
HOBBS NM, 88241	
Fax To: NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-007.0-00.0-P (H242135-13)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	103 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	16600	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	12.9	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	101 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	107 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD SE	RVICES	& RENTAL	S, LLC
DAN DUNKE	LBERG			
P. O. BOX 2	587			
HOBBS NM,	88241			
Fax To:	NONE			
	DAN DUNKE P. O. BOX 2 HOBBS NM,	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-007.0-04.0-P (H242135-14)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	98.5	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	1300	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	101 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	107 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LI	LC
DAN DUN	KELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-008.0-00.0-S (H242135-15)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	97.7	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	18000	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	110 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	111 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUN	KELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-008.0-03.0-S (H242135-16)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	102 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	80.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	104 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	106 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-009.0-00.0-S (H242135-17)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	103 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	18800	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	100 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	102 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, L	LC
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-009.0-03.0-S (H242135-18)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	99.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	176	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	98.6	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	100 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, L	LC
DAN DUN	KELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-010.0-00.0-S (H242135-19)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	107 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	17400	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	107 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	110 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-010.0-02.0-S (H242135-20)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	102 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	224	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	448	112	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	103 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	103 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRI	NITY OI	LFIELD SI	ERVICES & RENTALS, L	LC
DAN	I DUNKE	LBERG		
P. C	. BOX 2	587		
HOE	BBS NM,	88241		
Fax	To:	NONE		

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-011.0-00.0-S (H242135-21)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	107 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	38400	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	QM-07
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	12.8	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	99.0	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	101 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
ELBERG	
2587	
1, 88241	
NONE	
k	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC KELBERG 2 2587 M, 88241 NONE

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-011.0-01.0-S (H242135-22)

BTEX 8021B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	103	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	176	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	101	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	101	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD SE	RVICES	& RENTA	LS, LLC
DAN DUNKE	LBERG			
P. O. BOX 2	587			
HOBBS NM,	88241			
Fax To:	NONE			
	DAN DUNKE P. O. BOX 2 HOBBS NM,	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-012.0-00.0-S (H242135-23)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	103 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	26000	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	138	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	56.7	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	84.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	87.0	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS	, LLC
DAN DUNKELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2587	
HOBBS NM, 88241	
Fax To: NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-012.0-02.0-S (H242135-24)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	103 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	112	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	196	97.9	200	2.59	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	198	99.0	200	3.96	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	94.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	94.7	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD S	SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUNKE	LBERG		
P. O. BOX 2	587		
HOBBS NM,	88241		
Fax To:	NONE		

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-013.0-00.0-S (H242135-25)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	102 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	43200	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	48.1	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	26.7	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	103 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	92.6	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS	, LLC
DAN DUNKELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2587	
HOBBS NM, 88241	
Fax To: NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-013.0-02.0-S (H242135-26)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.16	108	2.00	0.934	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.26	113	2.00	2.88	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.41	120	2.00	6.56	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	7.16	119	6.00	5.15	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	103 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyzed By: HM						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyzed By: MS						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	83.6	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	73.8	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
ELBERG	
2587	
I, 88241	
NONE	
K	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC KELBERG 2587 M, 88241 NONE

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-014.0-00.0-S (H242135-27)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.4	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	24800	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	90.6	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	84.6	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS	, LLC
DAN DUNKELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2587	
HOBBS NM, 88241	
Fax To: NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-014.0-03.0-S (H242135-28)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.5	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	93.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	83.0	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNKELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2587	
HOBBS NM, 88241	
Fax To: NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-015.0-00.0-S (H242135-29)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.6	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	42400	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	91.4	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	80.4	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	I, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-015.0-02.0-S (H242135-30)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.1	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	80.8	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LL	C
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	l, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-016.0-00.0-S (H242135-31)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.3	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	73600	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	11.2	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.4	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	81.8	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD S	SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC
DAN DUNKE	LBERG	
P. O. BOX 2	587	
HOBBS NM,	88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DV-016.0-02.0-S (H242135-32)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	92.7	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	112	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	81.9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	72.8	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD SE	RVICES	& RENTAL	S, LLC
DAN DUNKE	LBERG			
P. O. BOX 2	587			
HOBBS NM,	88241			
Fax To:	NONE			
	DAN DUNKE P. O. BOX 2 HOBBS NM,	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-001.0-01.0-S (H242135-33)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	93.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	88.6	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	77.4	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, L	LC
DAN DUN	KELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	1, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-002.0-01.0-S (H242135-34)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	92.7	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	89.5	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	77.3	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LL	C
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	l, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-003.2-01.0-S (H242135-35)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	93.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	128	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	88.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	76.1	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNI	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	I, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-004.1-01.0-S (H242135-36)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	92.7	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	416	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	84.2	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	72.5	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY (DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LL	С
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS N	I, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-005.0-01.0-S (H242135-37)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	93.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	176	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	90.9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	78.5	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY C	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNK	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NM	, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-006.0-01.0-S (H242135-38)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	93.6	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.6	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	79.3	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY C	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LL	С
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	l, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-007.0-01.0-P (H242135-39)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	92.6	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	144	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	90.1	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	78.3	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY C	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	
DAN DUNK	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NM	, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-008.0-01.0-P (H242135-40)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.17	108	2.00	0.262	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.13	107	2.00	0.157	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/23/2024	ND	2.11	106	2.00	1.00	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/23/2024	ND	6.22	104	6.00	1.17	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.8	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	480	120	400	10.5	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	91.8	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	79.2	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY C	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LL	С
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	l, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-009.0-01.0-P (H242135-41)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.6	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	432	108	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	89.8	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	78.7	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



LLC

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-010.0-01.0-P (H242135-42)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	16.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	432	108	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	84.5	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	72.1	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD SE	RVICES	& RENTAL	S, LLC
DAN DUNKE	LBERG			
P. O. BOX 2	587			
HOBBS NM,	88241			
Fax To:	NONE			
	DAN DUNKE P. O. BOX 2 HOBBS NM,	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241	P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-011.0-01.0-S (H242135-43)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	92.0	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	432	108	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	91.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	79.7	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY C	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	I, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-012.0-01.0-P (H242135-44)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.8	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	432	108	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	206	103	200	1.46	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	3.51	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	85.7	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	75.0	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Analytical Results For:

TRINITY C	DILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUN	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX	2587	
HOBBS NN	l, 88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	04/22/2024	Sampling Date:	04/18/2024
Reported:	04/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NOT GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	LONGFELLOW - EDDY CO.,NM		

Sample ID: DH-013.0-01.0-P (H242135-45)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.21	111	2.00	0.786	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.15	108	2.00	1.21	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/24/2024	ND	2.12	106	2.00	1.57	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/24/2024	ND	6.23	104	6.00	1.99	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	04/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	91.2	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	04/24/2024	ND	432	108	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	101	200	1.98	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND	203	102	200	0.887	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	04/23/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	82.0	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	78.2	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, whot limitation, business interruptors, loss of groffs incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successor arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Notes and Definitions

QM-07	The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on acceptable LCS recovery.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whose shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whose site to the services interruptors, loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, afflicate or successor arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 STOICES SILL TO SILL TO SILL TO Fax #: NIM Zip: 88241 Atm: SILL TO Fax #: PO. #: Company: Longfellow Ener Fax #: Company: Longfellow Ener PO. #: Company: Longfellow Ener Polect Owner: (scee below) Clify: Company: Longfellow Ener Polect Owner: (scee below) Siteven Buckler Polect Commer: Siteven Buckler Polect Commer: Polect Commer: Siteven Buckler Polect LD. MATRIX Polect Colspan="2">Siteven Buckler OTHER: Polect Colspan="2">Com Solution Siteven Buckler Polect Colspan="2">Com Solution Siteven Buckler Com Colspan="2">Com Solution Siteven Buckler Com Colspan="2" <th>TOT East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 ISTUE BILL TO ISTUE BILT TO ISTUE<th>Relinquished By:</th><th>PLEASE NOTE: Labitity an analyses. At claims includin service. In no event shall Ca</th><th>in</th><th>4</th><th>x</th><th>4</th><th>6</th><th>×</th><th>2</th><th></th><th></th><th>2</th><th>-</th><th>HAYAJISS</th><th>_</th><th>Sampler Name:</th><th>Project Location:</th><th>Project Name:</th><th>Project #:</th><th>Phone #:</th><th>City:</th><th>Address:</th><th>Project Manager: Dan Dunkelberg</th><th>Company Name:</th><th>Labo</th></th>	TOT East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 ISTUE BILL TO ISTUE BILT TO ISTUE <th>Relinquished By:</th> <th>PLEASE NOTE: Labitity an analyses. At claims includin service. In no event shall Ca</th> <th>in</th> <th>4</th> <th>x</th> <th>4</th> <th>6</th> <th>×</th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th>-</th> <th>HAYAJISS</th> <th>_</th> <th>Sampler Name:</th> <th>Project Location:</th> <th>Project Name:</th> <th>Project #:</th> <th>Phone #:</th> <th>City:</th> <th>Address:</th> <th>Project Manager: Dan Dunkelberg</th> <th>Company Name:</th> <th>Labo</th>	Relinquished By:	PLEASE NOTE: Labitity an analyses. At claims includin service. In no event shall Ca	in	4	x	4	6	×	2			2	-	HAYAJISS	_	Sampler Name:	Project Location:	Project Name:	Project #:	Phone #:	City:	Address:	Project Manager: Dan Dunkelberg	Company Name:	Labo
			d Damages, Cardinal's Tabley and de g those for negligence and any other o epinal ce table for indontal or consec	DV-005.0-04.0-P	DV-005.0-00.0-P	S DV-004.0-04.0-P	DV-004.0-00.0-P	UV-003.0-04.0-0	DV-003.0-04.0-P	DV-003.0-00.0-P	DV-002.0-04.0-P	DV-002.0-00.0-P	DV-001.0-04.0-P	DV-001.0-00.0-P	Sample		JHC	Eddy Co, NM	Muskegon 20 State Com #00			Hobbs	8426 N Dal Paso	Dan Dunkelberg	Trinity Oilfield Services	Laboratories
			and a parabative remotily for any success initialization of the deep parential deemagnes, including with an another harmonided by Cent									0	0	0					-	Project Owner:	Fax #:	NM				01 East Marland, 575) 393-2326 FAJ
		1	med varies unless made in hour bristion, bosiness the shall waardiess of whether	G 1 X	1	1	-		1	1	1	1	1	-	# CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER SOIL	MATRIX			eldservices.com	(see below)						Hobbs, NM 882 K (575) 393-2476
			writing and received by C emptions, loss of use, or I such claim a based upon	i la cooleant or fort shall b											OTHER : ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL		Fax #:	Phone #:	F		Address:	Attn:	pany:			. 40
			androal within 30 days bass of profits incurred any of the score state	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2029	411012V24	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	DATE	SAMPL			Zip:			Steven Buckler	Longfellow Ener		BILL TO	
		Varbal Daenit-	after completion of th by client, its subsidies d reasons or otherwa	t paid by the client los											TIME	ING							gy LP			
CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND A ANALYSIS REQU ANALYSIS REQU X<			e applicable lea,	X	>	<,	< 2	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Chloride	_	_	_	_		_	_	_			
				×	; >	< >	× ;	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	трн		_	_		_		_				CH
Addt Phone *		INO		~	< >	< >	×	×	×	×	< ×	×	×	>	BTEX		_	_		-	_			_		AIN-OF-
AND AN AND AN		Add'l Pho				-					+							_	_	_					MINAL	CUSTODY
	*	ne m														_	_						_		TOIO NEWO	AND AN

Relinquished By:

Date: Times

Received By:

REMARKS:

Time:

Sample Condition Cool Intact CY05 No

> CHECKED BY: (Initials) 1

> > Turnaround Time:

Rush Standard

Cool

Intact

Observed Temp. "C

Yes

No

Corrected Temp. *C

×

Bacteria (only) Sample Condition

Delivered By: (Circle One)

mpler - UPS - Bus - Other:

Corrected Temp. *C Observed Temp. "C

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please email changes to celey.keene@cardinallabsnm.com

Correction Factor 0 °C Thermometer ID #140

Delivered By: (Circle One) Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:	Relinquished By:	5	Keinquisned	Dolla Cuickan B	analyses. All clients inco service. In tio event shall	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Dam	2	61	21	-	10	15	4	u	12	1	HEH 213S	PORTING USE DWIN	Sampler Name:	Project Location: Eddy Co., NM	Project Name:	Project #:	Phone #:	City:	Address:	Project Manager	Company Name:	Lab
en		6	P	and out on the second second second	standards. A dealta instancia cose or vegagen el el ero consequencia damagina, ecución y estous instalcon puervess interruptoras, losa of una or fuesto y profes enormal to clear, da sussidiarias servora ho a unexa sund Carcinal de label do reciberan o consequencia damagina, ecución y estous instalcon puervess interruptoras, losa of una or of tenta do clean de la sussidiarias en en en en en enconsecuente a el or vedena ho de amboranzo o tenchos interruptoras y Carcinal, resputéres of whether such claim is based upor any of the above stabile researds of obtenano.	EASE NOTE: Libelity and Damages. Cardinals libelity and denits exclusion remody for any claim arising intelline based in contract or lon, and be instand to the amount paid by the denit of the applicable of the	_			7 DV-009.0-00.0-S		1				DV-006.0-00.0-P	Sample I.D.		JHC	: Eddy Ca, NM	Muskegon 20 State Com #001			Hobbs	8426 N Dal Paso	Project Manager: Dan Dunkelberg	Trinity Oilfield Services	Laboratories (5
Observed Temp. "C Corrected Temp. "C	Date: Time:	1500	42774	Dafa	a one a transmit damegini, including without instation, ouseress themptices, bas of use, of besi of profile encyted by damit, is subsidents anono of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such dates is based upon any of the shore subsid response of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such dates is based upon any of the shore subside response of other sub-	is exclusive remody for an											D.				dan@trinityoilfieldservices.com	Project Owner:	Fax #:	State: NM				101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476
00	Kec	1		Rece	without in	ny claim a	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	G 1	(G)RAB OR (C)OMP. # CONTAINERS				Iffields			Zip:				d, Hot AX (5
	Keceived by:			Received By:	mation, o	and/ng whether											GROUNDWATER		1		ervice	(see below)		88241				obs, N 75) 39
Mole Co	BY:	14	2	SV:	of whethe	tes made	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	WASTEWATER SOIL OIL	MATRIX			es.cor	(MC		41				MM 88
Sample Condition Cool Intact Yes Yes					niemądia. Ir such da	in writing	_						-		-		SLUDGE	X	-	T		0	A	Þ	0	0.	-	240
0		14	5		na, losa u	and recs											OTHER : ACID/BASE:	PRE	Fax #:	Phone #:	State:	City:	Address:	Attn:	Company:	P.O. 井		
A DE		A	1		f use, or) ed upon	rt Break D	-		-	-	-		-				ICE / COOL OTHER :	PRESERV.		*	F	1	\$					
(Initials)	1		- All		any of the above stat	o in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amou In writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days		4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	DATE	SAMPLING			Zip:			Steven Buckler	Longfellow Energy LP		BILL TO	
Turnaround Time: Thermometer ID #140 Correction Factor 0 °C		DEMARKS.	all Results are emailed. Please provide Email address:	Verbal Result:	d by die-1, its subsid ad reasons or other	unt paid by the client for the av											TIME	LING							argy LP			
fime: D #140 tor 0 °C			re emailed.	π	forma.	for the the applicable	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	Chloride		_			_						
			Please prov	Yes			×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	трн		_			_	_					
Standard Rush			ide Em	-			×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	BTEX											
ard			all add	No			F	T	t	t	t	t	T	t	t	t			-	-	_	-		-				
×]		ress:				L	1	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+			_	_	_	_		_	_		-	000
-				Add'l Phone #:																							ANA	
Cool				hone 养			F	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t	t			-					-			LTSI	I Velo
Yes No							4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	KE	
nly) Sam Mact Yes No																											ANALTSIS REQUEST	
a mple C							F	T	T	t	t	t	T	T	t	T											7	
Bacteria (only) Sample Condition Cool Intact Observ Yes Yes Ves No Correct							+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	
Observed Temp. °C Corrected Temp. °C																									_			
np. °C								T	T	T	T		T															
							+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-			-	_	_	-	-	-	-	1
	1				1		Г	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T											1	1.

Page 49 of 52

	nos	B	BILL TO	-		A	ANALYSIS REQUEST
Company Manager: Dan Dunkelhern	~~~	P.O. 养					
Address: 8426 N Dal Paso		Company: Lo	Longfellow Energy LP	G			
	State: NM Zip: 88241	-	Steven Buckler				
e #:		Address:					
Project #:	Project Owner: (see below)	City:					
Project Name: Muskegon 20 State Com #001		State: Zip:	P				
n:		Phone #:					
Sampler Name: JHC		Fax #:					
_	MATRIX	PRESERV.	SAMPLING				
Harta 135 Lab I.D. Sample I.D.	(G)RAB OR (C)OMP. # CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER SOIL OIL SLUDGE	OTHER : ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL OTHER :	DATE	Chloride	трн	BTEX	
21 DV-011.0-0	G 1 X		4/18/2024	×	×	×	
	G 1 X	4/	4/18/2024	×	×	×	
DV-012.0-00.0-S	G 1 X	4/	4/18/2024	×	×	×	
24 DV-012.0-02.0-S	G 1 X	4)	4/18/2024	×	×	×	
	G 1 X	4	4/18/2024	×	×	×	
2L DV-013.0-02.0-S	G 1 X	4	4/18/2024	×	×		
ST DV-014.0-00.0-S	G 1 X	4	4/18/2024	×	×	×	
97	G 1 X	4	4/18/2024	. ×	< ×	< ×	
27 UV-015.0-00.0-3	x 1 0	4	4/18/2024	×	×	×	
PLEASE NOTE: Libelity and Tenninges. Cardinatis tability and dent's exclusive rate analyses. All claims including throat for and genore and any other cause whateoever service. In no event anal Cardinal be listed for incometal or costeepenal damages.	dent's excluse who	righted or lon, shall be tra night and received by Cards uptons, loss of ass, or loss	be finited to the annual paid by the client for the Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the an r lass of profile incurred by dairy, its subidiarian, in last the subidiarian database of dairy in the sub-	count paid by the client for the bays after completion of the application most by dearc, its subaldance, and a subar of discussion and a subar of discussion and the subar of	8		
Relinguished By:	Date: 3 3 4 Received By:	2	111 Vert	Verbal Result:	Yes	1	Add"l Phone #:-
KE	200	and the	and the second	lesults are emaile	d. Please pr	Al-Results are emailed. Please provide Email address:	
Relinquished By:	Date: Received By: Time:		REA	REMARKS:			
Delivered By: (Circle One) Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:	Observed Temp. "C Sample Condition	dition CHECKED BY	-	Turnaround Time: Thermometer ID \$140		Rush X	Bacteria (only) Sample Condition Cool Intact Dbserved Temp. "C Ves Yes No Ocrected Temp. "C

Page 50 of 52

Company Name:	Trinity Oilfield Services	(5/5) 393-2326 FAX (5/5) 393-24/6 S	5/5)	393-2	476				BILL TO						ANA	ANALYSIS REQUEST	
Project Manager:						P.O. #	?*						_				
Address:	8426 N Dal Paso					Con	Company:	-	Longfellow Energy LP	vergy LP							
City:	Hobbs	State: NM Zip:		88241		Attn:	-	-	Steven Buckler	9ř.							
Phone #:						Add	Address:	_					_				
Project #:		Project Owner: ((see below)	elow)		City:		_									
Project Name:	Muskegon 20 State Com #001	dan@trinityoilfieldservices.com	Iserv	ces.c	om	State:	e.	N	Zip:								
Project Location:						Pho	Phone #:	_									
Sampler Name:	JHC					Fax #:	肿	-									
4040 351 \$V1 803			Г	MAI	MATRIX	-	PRESERV.	RV.	SAM	SAMPLING							
HAYAAS	Sample I.D.	(G)RAB OR (C)OMP	# CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER	WASTEWATER	OIL	OTHER :	ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL	OTHER :	DATE	TIME	Chloride	ТРН	BTEX				
18	DV-016.0-00.0-S	G	1	×				4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
22	DV-016.0-02.0-S	0	4	×	-			4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
22	DH-001.0-01.0-S	B	1	×	_			4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
He .	DH-002.0-01.0-S	0	4	×	-			4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
52	DH-003.2-01.0-S	G	1	×	-	_		A	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
36	DH-004.1-01.0-S	G 1	-	×	-			4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
16	DH-005.0-01.0-S	G 1		×	-			4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
35	DH-006.0-01.0-S	G		×					4/18/2024		×	×	×				
66	DH-007.0-01.0-P	G	-	×				4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
01-	DH-008.0-01.0-P	D	-	×				4	4/18/2024		×	×	×				
PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Dar analyses. All claims inclusing the service. In no event shall Carcinol efficiency to surrowance analysis	PEASE NOTE: Liability and Damagas. Cardinal's lickility and don't anduline remorp for any data arking whether based to contrad or for, shall be jurited to me amount paid by the dent for the nonlybase. All datars inducting tower for negligence and any other cause whethere are shall be data and any other data whether and exceeding to the standard or the applicable service. In the service and cardinal because any advected are consequent and any end and any other advected by Contral which 20 days after contradence of the applicable service. In the service and any other and any other and any end and any advected and any other advected by contral any advected by any other advected by a label for indexed or consequential angular data and any advected and any other advected as advected as advected by a subsected or and for matched in the interference of another advected instant and any other advected as advected as advected as attracted to accessed and of or matched in the interference of another advected instant and advected as the data advected as advected as attracted in a subsected or advected in the interference of another advected in the advected as advected as advected as advected as attracted as accessed as advected in the interference of another advected in the advected as advected as advected as advected as attracted as advected	scharter somody for any clasm rational whether based in contrast of Not, shall be junited to me amount paid by the classif for in- micidower shall be deemed weived unless mode in writing and resched by Catalian within 30 deeps with contrelation of the classifiers, including without Institution, basewas relempticions, based within 10 deeps accounted by classifier as associations along because for Catalian and another a varie down a hased into a solar of the stress estands weiven or stresses along because for Catalian and another a varie down a hased into a solar of the stress estands weiven or stresses.	arising a	fixedness made	in in anti-	fors, la	e fort, sh ecaived as of usu	by Card	relad to me amou anal within 30 day of profiles around	nt paid by the clent to a after completion of 9 d by clent, its subsidia	r tre ne aoplicable cilias,			Ι.,			
Relinquished By		Date: Rec	Received By:	By:					100	Verbal Result:		Yes	7	No	Add'l Phone 井:	ione 养:	
F	3	Time DU		AC		2	A	1/2		All-Results are emailed. Please provide Email address:	emailed. F	lease prov	ride Email :	nddress			
Relinquished By:		Date: Rec	Received By:	By:				9	1	REMARKS:							
		Time:															
Delivered By: (Circle One)		Observed Temp. *C A Sample Condition	Sa	mole C	- notifi	3	,							ł		Bantavia Inniul Samula Condition	
			-	- middle	OTIGIN	-	•	HECK	CHECKED BY:	Turnaround Time:	me:		Standard	×		Dactaina (only) cample contanton	

Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:

Corrected Temp. "C

No No

1

-

eter 10 #140

Rush

Cool

Intact Yes No

Observed Temp. °C

Yes

Corrected Temp, "C

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please email changes to celey.keene@cardinallabsnm.com

Correction Factor 0 *C

Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other	Delivered By: (Circle One)	5	Relinquished By:	F	Relinquished By:	athlates or auccessors are	PLEASE NOTE: Lautiny and Day analyses. All claims including thos						4	44	ch	14	41	Lab I.D.	124-2135	HORE AND THE PART OF	Sampler Name:	Project Location: Eddy Co, NM	Project Name:	Project #:	Phone #:	City:	Address:	Project Manager	Company Name:	Lat
				6		eerice. In no event shell Carpinal be ladde for notivetal or crossopaental daengan, inducing without lentiation, baureus Weimptron, baur of uses, of host of profits encound by them; its exaddaenes affiliates or pubbeson ansing out of or related to the performance of winknes hereunder by Carpinal, ingendees of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stabilit reasons or otherwise.	EASE NOTE: Laptity and Devoges. Cardinal's lability and diversi exclusive remedy for any claim antimig whether based in contract or for, shall be limited to the amount paid by the claim for the septes. All claims including those for medigenese and any other cause envisioneever shall be deemed values made in writing and seawed by Contenal writing 30 days after completion of the applicable septes. All claims including those for medigenese and any other cause envisioneever shall be deemed values made in writing and seawed by Contenal writing 30 days after completion of the applicable septement.		1	I	1	1		DH-012.0-01.0-P		DH-010.0-01.0-P	DH-009.0-01.0-P	Sample I.D.			JHC	: Eddy Co, NM	Muskegon 20 State Com #001			Hobbs	8426 N Dal Paso	Project Manager: Dan Dunkelberg	Trinity Oilfield Services	aboratories
Corrected Temp, *C	Observed Temp. °C S. D	Time:	Date:	Time: DA	Date:	coexquerial demagas, inducing willout leritation, basinesis Meanpéros, loss of une, or loss of prof anosi of services hereunder by Castinal, regardises of whether such daim is based upon any of the	erk's exclusive remedy for any ause whatsoever shall be de-											I.D.					01 dan@trinityoilfieldservices.com	Project Owner: (see below)	Fax #:	State: NM			C/T	(575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476
			Received By:		Received By;	ishout lives	y claim arts.					-	G 1	G 1	1 D	G 1	G 1	# CO	AB OR (C)OMP.	_			Ifieldse	r: (see		Zip:				AX (57
No No	Sample Condition		ed By:	hull	ed By:	tion, business interna diese of whether such	arising whether based in c warved unless made in writ						×	×	X	×	×		UNDWATER TEWATER	MATRIX			rvices.com	below)		88241				5) 393-2476
l	1		and	A) (H	>	claim is based upon	of in contined or fort, shall be limited to the smount paid by the dient for the in writing and received by Calcifinal within 30 days after completion of the ap			-		-	-	_				OTH	ER : //BASE: / COOL	PRESERV.	Fax #:	Phone #:	State:	City:	Address:	Attn:	Company:	P.O. 井		
·,0	CHECKED BY: (Initials)	1	1	le faith	111	any of the above stated real	ardenal within 30 days						4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	DATE					Zip:			Steven Buckler	Longfellow Energy LP		BILL TO	
Thermometer ID #148 Correction Factor 0 °C	Turnaround Time:		REMARKS:	All Results are emailed. Please provide Email address:	Verbal Result:	by dent, ils subsidiarie ed reasons or objenvise	it paid by the client to after completion of th											TIME		SAMPLING							ergy LP			
#140 r 0 "C	ne:			emailed.		8 J	a applicable						×	×	×	×	×	Chk	oride											
	20 05			Please provi	Yes								×	×	×	×	×	TP	1									ľ,		
	Standard			de Email									×	×	×	×	×	BTE	EX					_	_		_	j,		
	×			address;	No																	_								
					Add'I Phone #:																								ANA	
Yes	Bacteria Cool				me #:																								LYSIS F	
Yes No	(only) Sau Intact							Γ																					ANALYSIS REQUEST	
	Bacteria (only) Sample Condition Cool Intact Observe							T	T																				ST	
Corrected Temp. °C	ondition Observed Temp. °C																							-						
mp. °C	np. °C																													

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources **Oil Conservation Division** 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 152 of 158

QUESTIONS

Action 425855

QUESTIONS	
Operator:	OGRID:
LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	372210
8115 Preston Road	Action Number:
Dallas, TX 75225	425855
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)

QUESTIONS

Prerequisites	
Incident ID (n#)	nAPP2409859045
Incident Name	NAPP2409859045 MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001 @ 30-015-26397
Incident Type	Produced Water Release
Incident Status	Remediation Plan Received
Incident Well	[30-015-26397] MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM #001

Location of Release Source

Please answer all the questions in this group.	
Site Name	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM

Site Name	MUSKEGON 20 STATE COM 001
Date Release Discovered	04/06/2024
Surface Owner	State

Incident Details

Please answer all the questions in this group.	
Incident Type	Produced Water Release
Did this release result in a fire or is the result of a fire	No
Did this release result in any injuries	No
Has this release reached or does it have a reasonable probability of reaching a watercourse	No
Has this release endangered or does it have a reasonable probability of endangering public health	No
Has this release substantially damaged or will it substantially damage property or the environment	No
Is this release of a volume that is or may with reasonable probability be detrimental to fresh water	No

Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) released, please answer all that apply below. Any calculations or specific justifications fo	r the volumes provided should be attached to the follow-up C-141 submission.
Crude Oil Released (bbls) Details	Not answered.
Produced Water Released (bbls) Details	Cause: Equipment Failure Injection Well Produced Water Released: 4,018 BBL Recovered: 3,988 BBL Lost: 30 BBL.
Is the concentration of chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l	Yes
Condensate Released (bbls) Details	Not answered.
Natural Gas Vented (Mcf) Details	Not answered.
Natural Gas Flared (Mcf) Details	Not answered.
Other Released Details	Not answered.
Are there additional details for the questions above (i.e. any answer containing Other, Specify, Unknown, and/or Fire, or any negative lost amounts)	Not answered.

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 153 of 158

QUESTIONS, Page 2

Action 425855

QUESTIONS (conti	nued)
Operator:	OGRID:
LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	372210
8115 Preston Road	Action Number:
Dallas, TX 75225	425855
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)

QUESTIONS

Nature and Volume of Release (continued)	
Is this a gas only submission (i.e. only significant Mcf values reported)	No, according to supplied volumes this does not appear to be a "gas only" report.
Was this a major release as defined by Subsection A of 19.15.29.7 NMAC	Yes
Reasons why this would be considered a submission for a notification of a major release	From paragraph A. "Major release" determine using: (1) an unauthorized release of a volume, excluding gases, of 25 barrels or more.
With the implementation of the 19.15.27 NMAC (05/25/2021), venting and/or flaring of natural gas (i.e	e. gas only) are to be submitted on the C-129 form.

Initial Response	
The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a s	afety hazard that would result in injury.
The source of the release has been stopped	True
The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment	True
Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices	True
All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately	True
	Not answered. In a second
actions to date in the follow-up C-141 submission. If remedial efforts have been successfully complet Subsection A of 19.15.29.11 NMAC), please prepare and attach all information needed for closure e	ed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see Subparagraph (a) of Paragraph (5) of valuation in the follow-up C-141 submission
to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releat the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to a	knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required ases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface t does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or
I hereby agree and sign off to the above statement	Name: David Cain Title: Engineering Technologist Email: david.cain@longfellowenergy.com Date: 01/21/2025

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 154 of 158

QUESTIONS, Page 3

Action 425855

QUESTIONS (contin	nued)
Operator: LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	OGRID: 372210
8115 Preston Road Dallas, TX 75225	Action Number: 425855
	Action Type: [C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)

QUESTIONS

Site Characterization

Please answer all the questions in this group (only required when seeking remediation plan approval and beyond). This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release in feet below ground surface (ft bgs)	Between 100 and 500 (ft.)
What method was used to determine the depth to ground water	Direct Measurement
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water	No
What is the minimum distance, between the closest lateral extents of the release ar	id the following surface areas:
A continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse	Between ½ and 1 (mi.)
Any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)
An occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church	Greater than 5 (mi.)
A spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)
Any other fresh water well or spring	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)
Incorporated municipal boundaries or a defined municipal fresh water well field	Greater than 5 (mi.)
A wetland	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)
A subsurface mine	Greater than 5 (mi.)
An (non-karst) unstable area	Greater than 5 (mi.)
Categorize the risk of this well / site being in a karst geology	Medium
A 100-year floodplain	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site	Yes

Remediation Plan

Please answer all the questions that ap	ply or are indicated. This information must be provided to the	appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.
Requesting a remediation plan	approval with this submission	Yes
Attach a comprehensive report demonst	trating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination as	ssociated with the release have been determined, pursuant to 19.15.29.11 NMAC and 19.15.29.13 NMAC.
Have the lateral and vertical exte	ents of contamination been fully delineated	Yes
Was this release entirely contain	ned within a lined containment area	No
Soil Contamination Sampling: (Pr	ovide the highest observable value for each, in millig	rams per kilograms.)
Chloride	(EPA 300.0 or SM4500 CI B)	73600
TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO)	(EPA SW-846 Method 8015M)	194.7
GRO+DRO	(EPA SW-846 Method 8015M)	138
BTEX	(EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B)	0
Benzene	(EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B)	0
	unless the site characterization report includes completed en s for beginning and completing the remediation.	forts at remediation, the report must include a proposed remediation plan in accordance with 19.15.29.12 NMAC,
On what estimated date will the	remediation commence	02/03/2025
On what date will (or did) the fin	al sampling or liner inspection occur	02/03/2025
On what date will (or was) the re	emediation complete(d)	05/03/2025
What is the estimated surface a	rea (in square feet) that will be reclaimed	38499
What is the estimated volume (i	n cubic yards) that will be reclaimed	3067
What is the estimated surface a	rea (in square feet) that will be remediated	38499
What is the estimated volume (in	n cubic yards) that will be remediated	3067
These estimated dates and measurement	nts are recognized to be the best guess or calculation at the ti	me of submission and may (be) change(d) over time as more remediation efforts are completed.

The OCD recognizes that proposed remediation measures may have to be minimally adjusted in accordance with the physical realities encountered during remediation. If the responsible party has any need to significantly deviate from the remediation plan proposed, then it should consult with the division to determine if another remediation plan submission is required.

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Operator:	OGRID:
LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	372210
8115 Preston Road	Action Number:
Dallas, TX 75225	425855
	Action Type: [C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)
QUESTIONS	
Remediation Plan (continued)	
Please answer all the questions that apply or are indicated. This information must be provided to the	appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.
This remediation will (or is expected to) utilize the following processes to remediate	e / reduce contaminants:
(Select all answers below that apply.)	
(Ex Situ) Excavation and off-site disposal (i.e. dig and haul, hydrovac, etc.)	Yes
Which OCD approved facility will be used for off-site disposal	R360 ARTESIA LLC LANDFARM [fEEM0112340644]
OR which OCD approved well (API) will be used for off-site disposal	Not answered.
OR is the off-site disposal site, to be used, out-of-state	Not answered.
OR is the off-site disposal site, to be used, an NMED facility	Not answered.
(Ex Situ) Excavation and on-site remediation (i.e. On-Site Land Farms)	Not answered.
(In Situ) Soil Vapor Extraction	Not answered.
(In Situ) Chemical processing (i.e. Soil Shredding, Potassium Permanganate, etc.)	Not answered.
(In Situ) Biological processing (i.e. Microbes / Fertilizer, etc.)	Not answered.
(In Situ) Physical processing (i.e. Soil Washing, Gypsum, Disking, etc.)	Not answered.
Ground Water Abatement pursuant to 19.15.30 NMAC	Not answered.
OTHER (Non-listed remedial process)	Not answered.
Per Subsection B of 19.15.29.11 NMAC unless the site characterization report includes completed el which includes the anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation.	forts at remediation, the report must include a proposed remediation plan in accordance with 19.15.29.12 NMA(
to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for relea the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to a	knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required ases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface t does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or
	Name: David Cain

	Name: David Cain
I hereby agree and sign off to the above statement	Title: Engineering Technologist
Thereby agree and sign on to the above statement	Email: david.cain@longfellowenergy.com
	Date: 01/28/2025
The OOD recentions that are not described in the second second second second second second second second second	

The OCD recognizes that proposed remediation measures may have to be minimally adjusted in accordance with the physical realities encountered during remediation. If the responsible party has any need to significantly deviate from the remediation plan proposed, then it should consult with the division to determine if another remediation plan submission is required.

Action 425855

Page 155 of 158

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 156 of 158

QUESTIONS, Page 5

Action 425855

QUESTIONS (continued)		
Operator: LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	OGRID: 372210	
8115 Preston Road Dallas, TX 75225	Action Number: 425855	
	Action Type: [C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)	
OUESTIONS		

QU	-0	 U 1	•••	

D	eferral Requests Only	
Only answer the questions in this group if seeking a deferral upon approval this submission. Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.		
	Requesting a deferral of the remediation closure due date with the approval of this submission	No

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

QUESTIONS (continued)			
Operator: LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	OGRID: 372210		
8115 Preston Road Dallas, TX 75225	Action Number: 425855		
	Action Type: [C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)		
QUESTIONS			
Sampling Event Information			
Last sampling notification (C-141N) recorded	{Unavailable.}		
Remediation Closure Request			

only answer the questions in this group if seeking remediation closure for this release because all remediation steps have been completed.		
Requesting a remediation closure approval with this submission	No	

QUESTIONS, Page 6

Action 425855

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 158 of 158

CONDITIONS

Action 425855

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
LONGFELLOW ENERGY, LP	372210
8115 Preston Road	Action Number:
Dallas, TX 75225	425855
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)

CONDITIONS

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
bhall	Remediation plan conditionally approved.	1/31/2025
bhall	bhall Remediation in areas reasonably needed for production must meet the closure standards for depth to groundwater is greater than 100 feet below ground surface (bgs). Remediation in areas not reasonably needed for production (pasture) must meet the reclamation standards (most stringent closure criteria) in soils from surface to 4 feet bgs.	
bhall	5-point composite samples representative of no more than 400 square feet collected from the base and side walls of the excavation(s) approved.	1/31/2025
bhall	Pursuant to 19.15.29.12 D.(1)(a) NMAC The responsible party must verbally notify the appropriate division district office two business days prior to conducting final sampling. If the division district office does not respond to the notice within the two business days, the responsible party may proceed with final sampling. The responsible party may request a variance from this requirement upon a showing of good cause as determined by the division. Submit the two-business day notification via the C-141N form through the NMOCD permitting website for everyday that confirmation/final samples will be collected.	1/31/2025
bhall	lab to be analyzed for chloride, TPH, and BTEX.	
bhall		
bhall	Submit a complete and accurate remediation closure report through the OCD permitting website by 5/2/2025.	1/31/2025