## Jones, William V, EMNRD

## 30-015-41796

From: Jones, William V, EMNRD

**Sent:** Monday, March 23, 2015 7:13 PM **To:** 'stan\_wagner@eogresources.com'

Cc: Goetze, Phillip, EMNRD; Sanchez, Daniel J., EMNRD; Dade, Randy, EMNRD;

'efernand@blm.gov'; Wade, Gabriel, EMNRD

**Subject:** EOG SWD application for the Ramblin Rose 14 Federal SWD Well No. 1 30-015-41796

**Bell Canyon and Cherry Canyon Perforations** 

Attachments: NoticeExample\_CiscoCanyon\_MapView.pdf; NoticeExample\_MapView\_EddyNM\_NASH\_

53\_SWD.pdf

Hello Stan, Hope all is well today.

I just reviewed your SWD application for this well.

Apparently it is still a decently producing vertical Bone Spring well with little water production after a large completion and treatment – so <u>I can't release an SWD permit at this time</u>. You didn't include any data from your oil field accounting as to costs for this well or economic limit calculations – and it is not clear that the OCD is free to allow conversion of producing wells into SWD wells, even with this economic limit calculation. Also the lower Cherry Canyon and the Brushy Canyon were decent producers for a while on a Yates/Lime Rock well just over ½ mile to the West of this location – so this well may have a bail out production interval that should be tried prior to being used for SWD.

It takes time for you to send us information and also time for us to evaluate. So I should mention other issues that I would ask about if this permit were going forward:

- a. The top proposed perf is at 4050 feet which is above the Lamar LS probably would want to get more explanation of this or we should just lower the top perf to the Bell Canyon at 4135 feet.
- b. There are still no logs in our imaging system even though the application says they were sent to the OCD.
- c. I don't think the proposed interval is productive, but to cover the bases, would need to get a mudlog or elog analysis over this proposed interval, probably from an offsetting Bone Spring horizontal well or from logs run on this well.
- d. The noticed parties (Devon and BLM) may be all that are affected, but Lime Rock did take over the Yates well in Section 15 prior to plugging and may still have mineral interests? We do ask that the applicant for SWD talk to the Landman in your company and identify all separately owned tracts within the proposed disposal interval within ½ mile of this well a map such as the ones attached does that.
- e. And our attorneys say that the newspaper notices should always include a layman's description of the location of the well such as "10 miles NE of Red Bluff reservoir".

Regards, Will Jones



William V. Jones, P.E., District IV Supervisor

Oil Conservation Division <a href="http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/">http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/</a> 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, NM 87505

P: 505.476.3477 C: 505.419.1995

(harry)		Checklist: Re			Reply Date:	Suspended:[Ver 1	
Well No	Well Name	SI RAMBLI	W ROSE 14	- Fold	onl SUID	10.00 No. 1	
			e: 12/7/13 (N				
	ſ					•	
	•					County EDDY	
General Location: 1	omi n	E of Rolf	SWIT LASPOOLS	SWD, B	ell-chorry	Pool No.:	
		•			/ 1	t: Ston Wagner	
						9 OK? Date: 3 23	
COMPLIANCE RULI	E 5.9: Total Well	s: <u>ال</u> Inactiy	Fincl Assur:	Compl.	Order? IS 5.	9 OK? V Date: S RS	
WELL FILE REVIEW	ED Current	Status: CWBS	Produces /	also	Brushy C.	is Bath We BIF	
WELL DIAGRAMS: I	NEW: Proposed	Or RE-ENTER:	Before Conv. After Co	onv. (b) L	oas in Imaaina:	None -	
		O 6/ 1/1 <b>= =11/ =</b> //	20.0.0 00 @ 707 0.		- gagg. <u></u>		
Planned Rehab Worl	to Well:						
Well Construct	ion Details	Sizes (in) Borehole / Pipe	Setting Depths (ft)		Cement Sx or Cf	Cement Top and Determination Method	
Plannedor E	xisting Surface		1510 A	Stage Tool	925SK	CIRC	
Plannedor Existing	. /	the last	4040		1000SX	CIRC	
Plannedor Existing	_Interm/Prod		7		alast		
Plannedor Existing	ng Prod Liner	83/4-7	10.727		250/265	3400 CBL	
Plannedo	r Existing <b>Liner</b>		,			/	
Plannedor Existing	ng _OH /PERF	P		Inj Length	<u>Completion</u>	Operation Details:	
Injection Lithostrati	graphic Units:	Depths (ft)	Injection or Confining	Tops	Drilled <b>T</b> D	PBTD	
Adjacent Unit: Lithe	Struc. Por.		Units		NEW TD	NEW PBTD	
Confining Unit: Lith	o. Struc. Por.	4105	LAMAR	V		or NEW Perfs (	
<del>-</del>	nj Interval TOP:		BOUC-		Tubing Size in. Inter Coated? ft		
Proposed inj Into Confining Unit: Lith			Brushy C.	1/	•	eptn (100-ft limit)	
Adjacent Unit: Lithe	Struc. Por.	0,400	Brushy			ace Press psi	
AOR:	Hydrologic a	and Geologic In	<u>formation</u>		Admin. Inj. Press	(0.2 psi per ft)	
						3895 OLIFE HOUSE	
FRESH WATER: A	quifer		Max Depth_ 406	2' HYDRO	AFFIRM STATEMEN	IT By Qualified Person 🕑	
NMOSE Basin:	<u> </u>	CAPITAN REEE:	thruQ adjO NAO	No. Wells v	vithin 1-Mile Radius?	FW Analysis	
<b>Disposal Fluid:</b> Fo	rmation Source(	s) B.S.	Analysis	? 🗸	On Lease Operate	or Only ( ) or Commercial (	
Disposal Int: Inject	Rate (Avg/Max	BWPD):	Protectable Water	s?S	ource: Sy	ystem: Closed or Open ○	
	•					2-Mile Radius Pool Map	
Mark and the same			<i>*</i>		_	orizontals? yes BOTH	
AOIT Wells. 1/2-		the second secon				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Danatastas Walle						Diagrams:	
Penetrating Wells Penetrating Wells						Diagrams?	

Issues: Lower Toil of cuter

Permit Conditions: