STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO. FOR A HORIZONTAL SPACING UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 23295

APPLICATION OF PRIDE ENERGY COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Case No. 22853

PREHEARING STATEMENT

Cimarex Energy Co. ("Cimarex"), OGRID No. 215099, through its undersigned attorneys, submits the following Prehearing Statement pursuant to the rules of the Oil Conservation Division ("Division").

APPEARANCES

APPLICANT ATTORNEY

Cimarex Energy Co. Darin C. Savage

William E. Zimsky Andrew D. Schill 214 McKenzie Street

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 Telephone: 970.385.4401 Facsimile: 970.385.4901 darin@abadieschill.com bill@abadieschill.com andrew@abadieschill.com

COMPETING PARTY

Pride Energy Company James Bruce P.O. Box 1056

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

(505) 982-2043

jamesbruc@aol.com

ADDITIONAL PARTIES

ConocoPhillips Company, COG Operating LLC & Concho Oil & Gas, LLC

ATTORNEY

Ocean Munds-Dry
Elizabeth Ryan
Jobediah Rittenhouse
ConocoPhillips
1048 Paseo de Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
505-780-8000
ocean.munds-dry@conocophillips.com

beth.ryan@conocophillips.com joby.rittenhouse@conocophillips.com

Chevron U.S.A. Inc.; and Marathon Oil Permian LLC

Earl E. DeBrine
Deana Bennett
500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
505-848-1800
edebrine@modrall.com
deana.bennett@modrall.com

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT OF CASES

The above-referenced cases represent a dispute between Cimarex and Pride Energy Company ("Pride") over which formation to drill (the Bone Spring or the Wolfcamp) in order to optimize production, protect correlative rights, and prevent waste in the W/2 W/2 of Sections 12 and 13, Township 19 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico ("Subject Lands").

In Case No. 23295, Cimarex seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation, designated as an oil pool, underlying the Subject Lands. Cimarex proposes and dedicates to the unit three initial wells: the **Showbiz 13-12 State Com 101H Well**, the **Showbiz 13-12 State Com 201H Well**, and the **Showbiz 13-12 State Com 301H Well** to be drilled to a sufficient depth to test the Bone Spring formation.

Cimarex proposes the **Showbiz 13-12 State Com 101H Well,** an oil well, to be horizontally drilled from a surface location in the SW/4 SW/4 (Unit M) of Section 13 to a bottom hole location

in the NW/4 NW/4 (Unit D) of Section 12. Cimarex proposes the Showbiz 13-12 State Com 201H Well, an oil well, to be horizontally drilled from a surface location in the SW/4 SW/4 (Unit M) of Section 13 to a bottom hole location in the NW/4 NW/4 (Unit D) of Section 12. And, Cimarex proposes the Showbiz 13-12 State Com 301H Well, an oil well, to be horizontally drilled from a surface location in the SW/4 SW/4 (Unit M) of Section 13 to a bottom hole location in the NW/4 NW/4 (Unit D) of Section 12. The proposed wells are orthodox in their location, and the take points and completed interval comply with setback requirements under the statewide rules.

In Case No. 22853, Pride seeks an order pooling all uncommitted mineral interests in the Wolfcamp formation underlying the Subject Lands. Pride proposes to drill the Go State Com. Well No. 401H to a depth to test the Wolfcamp formation, and to dedicate the W/2 W/2 of Sections 12 and 13 thereto. The well has a first take point in the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 13 and a last take point in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 12.

Cimarex maintains that because there is no baffle between the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp formation, there is substantial communication between the formations. Cimarex also maintains that the best reservoir for production resides in the Bone Spring formation and drilling the Wolfcamp at the depth and in the proximity proposed by Pride would result in drainage of the Bone Spring and a violation of correlative rights. Therefore, Cimarex maintains that the prevention of waste and protection of correlative require that the two development plans be mutually exclusive; thus, only one development plan should be approved for the Subject Lands, unless Pride is willing to lower its landing zone in the Wolfcamp so as not to drain the 3rd Bone Spring.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSED EVIDENCE

WITNESS

ESTIMATED TIME

EXHIBITS

Landman: John Coffman

Approx. 45 min

Approx. 7

Qualifications: I graduated in 2018 from Texas Tech University with a bachelor's degree in Business Administration with an emphasis on Energy Commerce. I have worked at Cimarex for approximately 4 years, and I have been working in New Mexico for 4 years. My credentials as an expert witness in petroleum land matters have been accepted by the Division and made a matter of record.

Geologist: Staci Mueller Approx. 40 min Approx. 9

Qualifications: I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Geophysical Engineering from Colorado School of Mines, and a Master of Science Degree in Geophysics from Colorado School of Mines. I have worked on New Mexico Oil and Gas matters since July 2018. My credentials as an expert witness in geology have been accepted by the Division and made a matter of record.

Engineer: Mark McCoy Approx. 35 min Approx. 5

Qualifications: I hold a Bachelor's degree in Mechanical Engineering obtained from the University of Oklahoma. I completed my education in 2021. I have been employed full-time as a reservoir engineer with Cimarex/Coterra since June 2021. I have not testified previously before the Division but have provided among my exhibits a one-page resume for the Division's review and request that based on my credentials, that I be accepted as an expert witness in engineering.

LIST OF MATERIAL FACTS NOT IN DISPUTE

Parties are in general agreement that there is some form of communication between the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp formations but may disagree over the extent.

LIST OF DISPUTED FACTS AND ISSUES

The central issue in Cimarex's Case No. 23295 and Pride's competing Case No. 22853 is which formation, the Bone Spring or the Wolfcamp, should be produced and which development plan should be selected in order to optimize production in the Subject Lands, prevent waste, and protect correlative rights.

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

None.

Respectfully submitted,

ABADIE & SCHILL, PC

/s/ Darin C. Savage

Darin C. Savage

4

William E. Zimsky
Andrew D. Schill
214 McKenzie Street
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
Telephone: 970.385.4401
Facsimile: 970.385.4901
darin@abadieschill.com
bill@abadieschill.com
andrew@abadieschill.com

Attorneys for Cimarex Energy Co.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division and was served on counsel of record via electronic mail on July 13, 2023:

James Bruce - jamesbruc@aol.com
Attorney for Pride Energy Company

Ocean Munds-Dry - ocean.munds-dry@conocophillips.com
Elizabeth Ryan - beth.ryan@conocophillips.com
Jobediah Rittenhouse - joby.rittenhouse@conocophillips.com
Attorneys For ConocoPhillips Company, COG Operating LLC &
Concho Oil & Gas, LLC

Earl E. DeBrine – edebrine@modrall.com Deana M. Bennett – deana.bennett@modrall.com Attorneys for Chevron U.S.A. Inc.; and Marathon Oil Permian LLC

/s/ Darin C. Savage

Darin C. Savage

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
Phone: (575) 393-6161 Fax: (575) 393-0720

District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III 1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. **Santa Fe, NM 87505**

QUESTIONS

Action 240076

QUESTIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
CIMAREX ENERGY CO.	215099
6001 Deauville Blvd	Action Number:
Midland, TX 79706	240076
	Action Type:
	[HEAR] Prehearing Statement (PREHEARING)

QUESTIONS

Testimony		
Please assist us by provide the following information about your testimony.		
Number of witnesses	3	
Testimony time (in minutes)	21	