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P R O C E E D I N G S
MR. CHAKALIAN: And these are the hearings of the oil conservation division. We are on the record and we will begin with several motions for continuance submitted within the 24 -hour time period that I will grant verbally. I am calling 24047, '48, '49, '50 and '51.

Mr. Bruce, are you with us?
MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. These
continuances are granted. And you want them on which docket, sir?

MR. BRUCE: Well, Mr. Examiner, I was going to bring up that $I$ asked for February 1st and then I realized looking at my schedule I have some personal matters on February 1st going on and maybe February 17 th would be better.

And the reason the motion was filed late is -- I think Mr. Padilla may be on the phone for an interest owner. He asked for a continuance late Tuesday, which is why these filings were late.

MR. CHAKALIAN: No worries. And I'm not worried about the reason for the late continuance. It's just that once we prepare the docket it's too much for the law clerk to continue to --

MR. BRUCE: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: -- post and revise the docket. So we're going to keep them on if they come in within 48 hours of the docket. So Mr. Bruce, the next docket is the 15 th of February, after the 1st. Does that work for you?

MR. BRUCE: That works for me, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
Ms. Apodaca, do we have room on that docket?

MS. APODACA: We do. There is room on that docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. So
Ms. Apodaca, would you approve those continuances for those five cases and move them to the February 15th docket?

MS. APODACA: Yes, will do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Bruce, we're going to set those for another status conference; is that right?

MR. BRUCE: That should be an uncontested hearing.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Excellent.
MR. BRUCE: This -- yeah.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.

So we will set those, Ms. Apodaca, for a hearing by affidavit on the 15th.

MS. APODACA: Okay. Got it.
MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Bruce.
Next we're going to 24077, Riley
Permian Operating. There was a motion for a continuance. Who do we have representing Riley?

MS. GRAHAM: Hi, there, Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is Sophia Graham with Beatty \& Wozniak. MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Graham, once again, when would you like this reset?

MS. GRAHAM: We're requesting to be on the January 18 th docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And for what type of hearing?

MS. GRAHAM: For a hearing by affidavit.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Affidavit hearing.
Ms. Apodaca, do we have room on the 18th of January?

MS. APODACA: Yes, there's still room on that one.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So that motion

| 1 | is granted. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | We're now going to move to 24103, '104, |
| 3 | '105, '106, '107, '108, and '109, Franklin Mountain |
| 4 | Energy. |
| 5 | Is it Ms. Bennett? |
| 6 | MS. BENNETT: Good morning, |
| 7 | Mr. Examiner, Deana Bennett on behalf of Franklin |
| 8 | Mountain Energy. |
| 9 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning. When |
| 10 | would you like -- well, first of all, what type of |
| 11 | hearing would you like this to be reset? |
| 12 | MS. BENNETT: For an uncontested |
| 13 | affidavit hearing. |
| 14 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Affidavit hearing. And |
| 15 | which date would you like? |
| 16 | MS. BENNETT: January 18th. |
| 17 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Apodaca, do we have |
| 18 | room? |
| 19 | MS. APODACA: Yes, we do. |
| 20 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Those are now |
| 21 | moved and the motion is granted. |
| 22 | MS. BENNETT: Thank you. |
| 23 | MR. CHAKALIAN: So we will continue now |
| 24 | with the first status conference Tap Rock Operating |
| 25 | 22845, and it looks like 2294 -- |
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MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning. Let me just start with the Tap Rock 22845. Is that consolidated with 22947 EOG?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, they are competing with one another.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Very good. Excellent. Okay. And so who do we have here today?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. Michael Rodriguez with Tap Rock Operating LLC.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Excellent.
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart for EOG Resources.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you. Are there any other parties?

MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner -- go ahead, Deana.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Jim.
Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling, on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian LLC.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good.

MR. BRUCE: And Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce for MRC Permian Company.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. Thank you.
Okay. If that's all -- I can't tell, is there someone else speaking? I guess it's papers being moved around.

All right. Let's start out with the Tap Rock. Mr. Rodriguez, how do you want to proceed?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think I can keep this fairly simply. I believe EOG and Tap Rock have come to an agreement and upon EOG's confirmation, Tap Rock is willing to dismiss its case and allow EOG to proceed in its case 22947, unopposed, at least from Tap Rock.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
And so let me now turn to EOG.
MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, good morning.
That's good news. That's my understanding as well. We're not prepared to proceed today. We would ask that the matter be moved to the March docket for an uncontested case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Which March docket? We have the 7 th or the 21 st.

MR. FELDEWERT: The 7th.
MR. CHAKALIAN: 7th? All right. So
first $I$ need to make some notes here. Let's see if $I$ can find these cases in my lap. So it's my understanding that 22845 will be dismissed; is that correct?

MR. FELDEWERT: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Very good.
And Mr. Rodriguez, will you be filing that or will Mr. Feldewert be filing that?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll file that today.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Rodriguez, I don't generally see these. I suspect that you filed, what, a notice of dismissal or something of that nature and then the director signs it?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, that's essentially the process. It's pretty quick and easy.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Okay. And now we go back to 22947.

Ms. Apodaca, do we have room on March 7th for an affidavit hearing?

MS. APODACA: Yes, we do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. All right.
I'm not going to put it --
Mr. Feldewert, are there any other issues with 22947 besides moving it to -- or that you're going to file, I guess, a continuance to the March 7th docket?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I mean, is there anything else besides that?

MR. FELDEWERT: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
All right. Okay. Excellent. So we will continue now. 23621. And 23621 -- back and forth between screens here. Let's see. Where are we? Is that consolidated, yes, with 23622 , '23, and it looks like 23647.

Who do we have for Matador?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning, thank you. Any other parties?

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett on behalf of Franklin Mountain Energy from Modrall Sperling.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning.
MS. RYAN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Beth Ryan on behalf of COG Operating.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning, Ms. Ryan.
If that's all, Mr. Feldewert, how are we proceeding with these cases?

MR. FELDEWERT: This is part of a -these are contested by Franklin Mountain Remington wells, which I thought were going to be on this docket, but they're not.

So we are here on a status conference and I thought on those competing cases, this is a circumstance where ConocoPhillips owns a big chunk of the acreage and then MRC Permian and Franklin Mountain own interests. Matador has recently filed a revised well -- or revised applications for it's -- the w/2 E/2 acreage.

COG, as $I$ understand it, has sent out the competing well proposals in early December. The applications have not been filed yet. So -- but we -I believe the parties can have these matters ready for a hearing in March, if needed.

Again, I'm hoping that there can be some discussions between the parties and perhaps these can be resolved, because we have another number of other cases between Matador and COG and then Franklin Mountain that are set for a special hearing in February 8th, another on -- a hearing on February 15th.

So it's keeping the Division's docket very busy, but I'm hoping the parties can meet in
early February and we can take some of the workload off of the Division. But this particular set of cases, if we need to set them for a hearing, I would suggest that it would need to be March.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Now, you were talking about other cases that you were surprised were not in some way consolidated or joined with these cases. Which cases are those?

MR. FELDEWERT: Ms. Bennett's going to correct me, but I think it's cases 23853 through 23867, which are Franklin Mountain applications. It's called their Remington wells.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Bennett?
MS. BENNETT: Yes, thank you,
Mr. Examiner. Mr. Feldewert is correct. I had intended to file continuances for those cases to be on the docket today. And I inadvertently did not file those continuances. I thought I had, but in preparing for the hearing today, last night $I$ realized $I$ hadn't.

And so that is why they are not on the docket. But given the way thing have played out this morning, it sounds to me like that's perhaps harmless error. At least that's what I'm saying at the moment, because it sounds like the COG competing cases and whatever new Matador competing cases wouldn't be right
for hearing until March 7th anyway.
And so $I$ can file a continuance if the parties are -- if that's what we end up with is a March 7th contested hearing date. Then I could continue my cases to March 7th and get that -- get them back on track with the competing cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So let me confirm the case numbers. 23853 and then in sequence to '67?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, except for we accidentally filed one case two times. So 23868 -oh, sorry, right, you left out 23868. So you're right, that's it.

MR. CHAKALIAN: 23853 through 23867 are joined now with 23621, '22, '23, and 23647; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: Well, it's more or less correct. The -- this is a very -- these are a very complicated set of cases. Matador only filed cases that compete with -- for the $\mathrm{W} / 2 \mathrm{E} / 2$. They do not have any competing E/2 E/2 or W/2 cases.

So really, for purposes of the four cases that are on the docket today, that should only be Franklin Mountain Energy cases 23858, '59, '60, '61, and '62. Those are the Franklin Mountain Energy W/2 E/2 cases that compete with the John Callahan W/2

E/2 cases.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So then are you saying that when we have our contested hearing March 7th, cases 23853 through '57 and then '63 through '67 are not part of that?

MS. BENNETT: They are -- they will be part of a different contested case that is related to the applications that Mr. Feldewert mentioned that he would be filing on behalf of COG.

MR. CHAKALIAN: But will those cases be -- okay. So is it your intent that cases '53 through ' 67 be heard on March 7th?

MS. BENNETT: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. It is your intent, okay.

MS. BENNETT: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And what you're saying
is there'll be more cases beyond what we've already discussed, the four that are on the docket today, plus '53 through '67, that will be filed, that will also be heard March 7th?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, what I would propose
is that the Division issue two separate prehearing orders. One for the $W / 2$ E/2 cases, which right now, those are competing between Franklin Mountain Energy
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and Matador.
And one for the $W / 2$ and $E / 2 E / 2$, which will be competing cases between Franklin Mountain Energy and Concho or COG.

MR. CHAKALIAN: But we don't have all the case numbers to issue the prehearing order in at least one of those scenarios; is that right?

MS. BENNETT: That's right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And was that the first instance or the second instance?

MS. BENNETT: Second.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Second, I thought so. Okay. So you're saying that as of today, we could issue a prehearing order for the W/2 E/2 cases, which are 23 -- Sheila, will you take note of this, please?

We're going to issue a prehearing order setting a contested hearing for March 7th for the four cases that are on the docket today. And I'm going to list them to be clear. 23621, 23622, and '23, 23647, 23858, '59, '60, '61, and '62.

Is that correct, Ms. Bennett?
MS. BENNETT: Yes, that's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So that we can issue a prehearing order today. Then we're going to have new cases coming in from Mr. Feldewert that we don't have
yet. And those will be part of a prehearing order that we issue with '53, '54, '56, '57, '63, '64, '65, and '67; is that right?

MS. BENNETT: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, it sounds to me like -- Mr. Feldewert, when will you be filing those cases?

MR. FELDEWERT: I anticipate filing them -- let's see those one of them December -- in the next couple of weeks.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. In the next couple of weeks. When would you want a status conference on those cases so that we can issue a prehearing order?

MR. FELDEWERT: Let me think about this. We could possibly have a -- I'm looking at the calendar here. We could probably get them filed, Mr. Examiner, and have the status conference on the 15th.

MR. CHAKALIAN: February 15th?
MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. February 15th.
And so, Ms. Bennett, why don't you
continue -- $I$ know that you are going to do some continuances for '53 through '67.

Why don't you continue '58 through '62 to the March 7th docket and all those other cases before '58 and after '62 to the February 15th docket so we can have a status conference on the new cases that Mr. Feldewert is going to file, along with those cases that now compete with the $W / 2 \mathrm{E} / 2$ of the $\mathrm{E} / 2$ ?

MS. BENNETT: I will do that.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Wonderful. I'm going to make some notes and that's going to take a moment. So apologies, but that's the way it works here.

And Sheila, did you get all that?
MS. APODACA: I did, but the -- I was just looking at this and $I$ think the new filed cases will have to go on the first docket in March in order to be properly noticed. I won't be able to get them on the notice for the February docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Feldewert, did you hear
that?
MR. FELDEWERT: Unfortunately, yes.
Mr. CHAKALIAN: Well, we have our
rules, Mr. Feldewert, as you know.
MR. FELDEWERT: So like -- so, yeah, you're right. I mean, I forgot about that. I guess the Division does not file for the February 15th
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docket?
MS. APODACA: That's right. We don't do a separate notice for the second docket in February.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Got it. Okay.
MS. BENNETT: Perhaps we don't --
Mr. Examiner, perhaps we don't need a status conference for those cases to get put on a prehearing order. Perhaps the Division could issue a prehearing order with just the Franklin Mountain Energy cases on it for the moment and we could move to amend the prehearing order once the COG cases are filed?

MR. CHAKALIAN: So in other words we would be able to issue both prehearing orders today, you're saying?

MS. BENNETT: That's what I would propose, rather than pushing the cases down the road further or having another status conference.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So then you would continue all cases, '53 through '67, to the March 7th docket?

MS. BENNETT: That's what I would propose, given the circumstances.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And who would issue the motion to amend the prehearing order?

MS. BENNETT: Mr. Feldewert and myself could work on that jointly.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right.
MS. BENNETT: Once his -- once the
cases are filed and we have the case numbers, I think it could be a joint motion or it could be a motion from Mr. Feldewert, but we've prepared those motions in the past and submitted them to the Division for the Division's consideration.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I have no problem with that unless Mr. Feldewert objects to any of that.

MR. FELDEWERT: No, I mean, we could file then for the March 7th docket and as Ms. Bennett suggests, file the necessary motion to add them to the prehearing order.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So
Mr. Feldewert, then let me just make sure I understand. Are you going to now -- after today is over, are you going to continue '21 through '23 and '47 to the March 7th docket?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Hold on now.
And Ms. Bennett, are you going to
continue cases '53 to '67 to the March 7th docket?
MS. BENNETT: Yes, I am.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
And my only question is, Mr. Feldewert, when you file your new cases on or before February 15th, are they -- how are they going to make it to the March 7th docket?

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, I'm going to have to file them now for a setting on the March 7th docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: You had said that you were going to file them by February 15th, so now you're going to file them sooner?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, because they can't -- they won't be published; right?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Right.
MR. FELDEWERT: According to Sheila, which is correct. So they won't be published. So in order to get them published on the -- by the Division, I'm going to have to shoot for the March 7th docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So you -- okay. So then --

MR. FELDEWERT: Is that right, Sheila?
MR. CHAKALIAN: When will you be --
MS. APODACA: Yes, that's right. So they'll have to be filed whatever 30 days is before March 7th.

MR. FELDEWERT: Your other option here would be to have a status conference on March 7th. I don't think there's any real hurry here. It might avoid some confusion. There's no drilling deadlines or anything.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Bennett?
MS. BENNETT: Mr. Examiner, I was -- I think we should go to a contested hearing on March 7th, unsurprisingly. I was looking back through the case files and MRC filed their applications originally in June. We filed our applications in September.

If we have a status conference in
March, we'll be looking at these cases, you know, maybe going to hearing in April, May, June, if they do go to hearing. That's a long time to have cases pending on the docket.

So I think we should press for a contested hearing and if Mr. Feldewert is correct that everything goes away by then, well then we won't even need a status conference.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Okay. And I'm going to hold you to that timeframe, Ms. Bennett, for the future -- in future cases where the cases have been on the docket for a year or so. But thank you, I appreciate it. We will set them for a contested
hearing on March 7th.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So I just want to make sure my notes are correct here. So I have the cases -- the four cases that are on today's docket will be continued to the March 7th docket for a contested hearing, joined with 23853 through '67, which will also be continued to the March 7th docket.

And Mr. Feldewert is filing new cases on or before what date, Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, $I$ would file in time so they would be on the March 1st docket, which would be --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Right, when would that be?

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm sorry, March 7th docket. It would be on or before February 6th.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And that'll be on behalf of Franklin Mountain or COG?

MR. FELDEWERT: I'd have to check with -- well, the new cases would be the COG cases because it -- for the well proposals that they sent out.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. COG.
MR. FELDEWERT: Right, Beth?

MS. RYAN: Yes, that's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Thanks.
And Mr. Feldewert, did you say February 5th or did you say February 7th would be the deadline?

MR. FELDEWERT: The -- actually I said
February 6th.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Perfect.
MR. FELDEWERT: Tuesday the 6th is the deadline for the March 7th docket. So it'll be on or before that.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So we're going to issue two prehearing orders. No, we'll issue one prehearing order. Well, no, we're going to issue two prehearing orders and then we're going to get a motion to amend one of them to add the new cases; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, that's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Very good.
And Ms. Bennett, why do we need to issue two prehearing orders if we're just going to amend it? Why not issue one prehearing order?

MS. BENNETT: Well, one -- the reason for the two prehearing orders is because there's a difference in parties that are involved in the competing cases. So that's the reason for the two
different prehearing orders is the differences in the parties and the acreage involved.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Fine. So just for my notes, one prehearing order is for the cases -the four cases today. And 23858 through '62, that's one prehearing order.

So Ms. Bennett, on one prehearing order, I understand what cases are going to be on it. But on the second prehearing order, are those just going to be your cases 23853 through '57 and '63 through '67; is that right?

MS. BENNETT: That's right. That -those are the cases that'll be on it from -- until Mr. Feldewert files the new cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I have all that.
And Sheila, I'll work with you if
that's -- if my notes are not clear enough; okay?
MS. APODACA: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: But I think I understand. And I guess if we get it wrong, we could always amend it. All right.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So we're going to move on to 23872, Spur Energy.

MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
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Dana Hardy with the Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor, on behalf of Spur Energy Partners.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Hardy.
MR. PARROT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. This is James Parrot with Beatty \& Wozniak on behalf of Riley Permian. And I'm going to be addressing some of the motion to dismiss issues and my colleague, Miguel, is going to be addressing some of the procedural complexities here. So I'll let -I'll turn it over to him to introduce himself.

MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Miguel Suazo with the Santa Fe office of --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Sheila, can you mute caller user number 6? All right. Thank you, Sheila.

So I'm a little confused, Mr. Suazo and Mr. Parrot on this motion you're talking about. I know about a motion for 24093 , which I'm ready to rule on, but I'm not clear where we are.

So Ms. Hardy, what are they talking about?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, this application by Spur competes with Riley Permian's application that Spur has moved to dismiss.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. HARDY: It's number 24093.
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MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
MS. HARDY: So that's the connection.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Now I understand the connection. They're not connected in our worksheet. I guess they should be connected in our worksheet. Okay. So we have the motion to dismiss. Ms. Hardy, it's your motion; is that correct?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Very good.
I read -- and I don't need lengthy argument here. But I do have some questions. I've read the motion carefully. I've read the -- I've looked through the exhibits. I've read the response. I did not read the reply, Ms. Hardy. Thank you for filing it, but there wasn't time yesterday to really go through that.

I didn't really feel as though I needed to spend much time on the reply. Ms. Hardy, I'll start with you. If I did grant your motion to dismiss, how do you foresee Riley proceeding?

MS. HARDY: In the past, applications dismissed for failure to submit a well proposal or for these types of deficiencies could be corrected and refiled. So I think that Riley could file a new application. It would just be filed in a new case. It would need to be renoticed and correct the
deficiencies that have been identified.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And with -- and why
would that be more efficient than let's say allowing them to amend their application?

MS. HARDY: In the past, the Division has not allowed amended applications. It's required parties to file a new application. And I think that largely derives from the public notice issues, because when you're filing an application where you're correcting or supplementing information, it needs to be included in the public notice.

And we did attach to our reply the Division's policy on incomplete applications which states that incomplete applications will be dismissed and then refiled. So that's been the practice of the Division for the past several years. You can call something an amended application, but it gets filed in a new case, with a new number, and gets re-noticed.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So are you talking about -- because I printed out order number $R-13165$ from 2009. Is that what you're talking about?

MS. HARDY: No, that is actually the order that addresses applications that are filed without well proposals and provides for their dismissal. I am talking about -- let me just find it
here. It's the June 20 th or June 2020 policy that the Division issued regarding incomplete applications.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I know -- I'm aware of that notice on that.

MS. HARDY: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
MS. HARDY: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's what you're
talking about?
MS. HARDY: Yes. June 11, 2020.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. I also pulled -- well, okay. So first of all, Ms. Hardy, I pulled a copy of order number R-13165. I also pulled '55 as well. But $I$ felt as though ' 65 was more helpful than ' 55 was.

And based on Mr. Suazo's arguments, I did see where there were allowances made in the order for other circumstances or situations as it discusses.

I also saw how the order actually dealt with the cases in that order, that they were not dismissed, but that other allowances were made. I'm not going to go through all of that here, but $I$ did review it carefully. So I do have the benefit of that order.
I also have -- I printed out -- and

Mr. Suazo, you were citing to a November 16th docket and verbatim transcript. What I think you meant was the November 2nd verbatim transcript, which was in the case.

We received it two weeks later, so it's dated November 16th. So it was a bit confusing. You mentioned at the November 16th hearing, but you meant November 2nd. So I figured all that out.

But Ms. Hardy, during the November 2nd hearing, I actually addressed with you the issue of the 30 -day policy, because you said -- and this is on page 62 of the verbatim transcript.

You said "Well, I think that if
Mr. Parrot's going to file, he could do so by December, the first week in December, for the first January docket, and the cases could be continued to the January 18th docket." And I actually took some notes. And they did file during the first week in December, by December 7th, which is what you had agreed with.

And you actually said on page 63 -- I said, "Okay." And you said, "That plan is fine with me, Mr. Examiner. And I assume after the parties reach an agreement that Spur's application would be withdrawn because definitely Riley is the only party
that's being pooled."
That made a difference to me as well, Ms. Hardy, because $I$ felt like, you know, when it came to the notice issue, to me, the idea of dismissing an application for refiling -- and as you said just a few moments ago, it deals with notice to parties. But if the only party is your client and you guys have been negotiating with them since June -- and that comes from an affidavit that was attached to the response.

I'm sure you've seen it, so I don't have to cite it here, by the landman from -- oh, what is his name?

MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, that's
Mr. Smith.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yeah, Mr. Mark Smith.
I have it here.
So Ms. Hardy, I really don't understand -- I understand that there are deficiencies that you point out. I don't find them fatal. I find that -- I just don't feel like this rises to the level of dismissing this application and forcing them to refile one based on your arguments. Am I missing something, Ms. Hardy?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, there are a couple of points I'd like to make. So with respect to
the status conference in November where we talked about Riley filing for this docket, we certainly weren't waiving the requirement that they provide a well proposal. I mean they could have done that timely.

They, you know -- the general
requirement is that you submit a well proposal 30 days before you file. And then you file 30 days before the next docket. So as of November 2nd, they could have submitted a timely well proposal 30 days in advance of filing for this docket.

And Spur needed that well proposal to have information about the wells -- details of the wells that Riley is proposing to evaluate their development plan. And the order, 13165, that you mentioned and that we cited, in that case, those applications were not dismissed, but the party had actually provided a well proposal.

It just didn't contain exact footages. So here we have a situation where Riley didn't submit a well proposal at all until, I think about -- it was nine or ten days after they filed their pooling application.

So I think that's a different
situation. I think Spur expected to receive a well
proposal if Riley was going to file a competing application in a timely manner and didn't receive one. And then when you look at the deficiencies in the application, which include -- you know, there's no identification of whether it's a proximity tract unit, where there's a proximity tract well.

So there's no way, looking at the application to know, is this a non-standard unit, is it a proximity unit? You can't tell that from the application. It doesn't provide footages, which is typical. But it also doesn't say whether there's a proximity tract well and what the well is.

And you can't make that determination based on the surface and bottomhole locations because you need to know whether the well's within 330 feet of the adjacent tracts to know if it's a proximity unit. You can't tell that --

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Ms. Hardy -- I
understand. So Ms. Hardy, the purpose behind this -and, you know, I looked in the rule. I looked in the rule and I don't see this guidance for policy in the rule. Am I missing it? Is it in the rule and I just don't see it?

MS. HARDY: It's not in the Division's
rules, Mr. Examiner. It's just been a longstanding policy that people follow.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Of course.
MS. HARDY: Or try to follow, unless there's an exceptional circumstance, which Riley doesn't advocate for.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So what is the -right. So the purpose behind the policy, the way $I$ understand it, and correct me if I'm wrong, is so that parties have a chance to work things out before applications are filed; is that basically right?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And obviously having accurate information in the well proposal and the AFE is essential for parties to be able to work it out. So I understand your argument. And what you're basically saying is, "Look, they didn't comply with this. So we didn't have the information we needed to work it out." Okay. All right.

Mr. Suazo, let me hear from you.
MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, this is
Mr. Parrot.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, Mr. Parrot.
MR. PARROT: If it's acceptable to you, I'm going to address some of these substantive
arguments. So thank you very much. I think that Riley largely agrees with some of the points that you've raised in the questions that you've asked. And, you know, perhaps this entire discussion is indicative that an actual policy might be helpful.

This 30 -day guidance that was in order 13155 and '65, it doesn't actually show up on the Division's announcements and notifications portion of its website. That's where you would typically see something like an interpretive rule that helps the Division interpret and implement the actual regulations.

So Riley's position is that this 30-day proposal is a very helpful guidance that comes from 13155 and '65.

And actually as Ms. Bennett said -- and Ms. Bennett, I sincerely hope you don't mind me quoting you.

But as Ms. Bennett said, on -- at the November 2 nd hearing discussion, the 30 days for the proposal letter isn't in an order, it's not in the rules, but it's sort of a presumption for good faith negotiations between the parties.

And that presumption is very helpful for the Division to make a determination in most
uncontested pooling applications about whether the applicant has attempted to gain voluntary pooling of the interest in the unit.

Now, as we know, the statute makes the lack of voluntary pooling a prerequisite for the Division to issue a pooling order. There is nowhere in any regulation, rule, or actually adopted policy, that says that the application itself must demonstrate that the parties have attempted to gain voluntary agreement; right?

And specifically 13165 and '55 say that whether the parties have successfully or unsuccessfully attempted to gain voluntary agreement to pool is the determination that shall be made at a hearing. It's not appropriate for motion to dismiss; right?

So this is not something that has to go in an application. It's not something that has to be submitted at the time of the application. It's a determination that's made at the hearing.

And the 30 -day proposal is a very helpful guidance for establishing a rebuttable presumption that the applicant did in fact attempt to gain voluntary pooling before filing an application. But the key here, it's just a presumption. It's a
rebuttable presumption, you know?
Another party could come in and say,
"Hey, I got a 30-day proposal and I reached out to the applicant and tried to negotiate with them and they just stonewalled me and $I$ never heard from them."

The situation in this case is exactly the opposite. Riley was engaged in negotiations with Spur since June. And Riley wasn't even aware that Spur was pursuing this unit until it got well proposals in late August of 2023. You know, one would argue that that is actually not good faith negotiations on Spur's part.

If Spur is going to pursue a unit while the parties have been involved good faith negotiations for three months, the proper thing to do would be to inform Riley ahead of time about a plan to pursue a pooling application and then discuss that in the context of the negotiations.

And at the time, Spur was actually marketing itself and it's assets. Riley was considering acquiring any assets or Spur entirely. Spur was on the market. And so those discussions in Riley's mind were taking priority over a pooling process.

And the acquisition consideration
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slowed down Riley's response time as it weighed multiple different options. So, you know, admittedly, Riley didn't get well proposals out until December 15th. That was actually after Riley filed its application on December 5th.

But that was because this is an extremely complex, multi-variable calculus of how to proceed in the context of potential acquisition discussions and trade discussions and other negotiations that were happening.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Mr. Parrot, I understand your position. So you're basically saying that ordinarily, you agree with the policy or the guidance. Not policy, but the guidance, but in this case, there were complications based on the acquisition negotiations or process and analyzation. And that's why it was submitted late.

Ms. Hardy, at this point, do you have everything you need to proceed with this case? I suspect it will be a contested hearing. You have everything you need?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I believe that we do. I think that we do at this point, but I think we might need more time because the Spur application's actually set for a contested hearing on

January 18th.
And so if Riley's application is
permitted to go forward and the cases are consolidated, I think probably -- I would need to confirm with my client, but I think probably we would need a little more time to put that together. I think, you know, if we could do the first February docket, something like that would probably work.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So do we have -without my looking, Ms. Hardy, would you help me out? Do we have a prehearing order on your case?

MS. HARDY: We do in the Spur case, yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Very good. All right. And I'm assuming you'd like to get your case heard as soon as possible?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So very good. So dismissing their case and having them refile and then wait and then holding up your hearing -- because we know it's going to be a contested hearing and we can't hear your case without including them, would delay your case even further. So I'm not going to do that.
I'm not going to dismiss it. Not based
on that, but based on the fact that I feel like their omissions in this case are excusable. I understand the guidance that's here. I think you've made the best argument you can make for your client, but ultimately I feel like that is a extreme measure.

And I also feel as though I -- I also feel like there's no -- there'll be no ultimate benefit to dismissing their case. They'll just refile it and it will delay your hearing. So for all of those reasons -- and I'm not going to issue a written order denying it. I'm just verbally issuing my order now saying I'm denying the motion to dismiss.

And when would you like your case -because we need to amend your prehearing order. We need to consolidate these two cases or join them. When do you want to have your hearing? I'm really going to leave that up to you because ultimately I feel like I'm going to lean toward you when it comes to when we're going to hear this.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, if we could have the case heard on the February 1st docket, that would be great.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So how -- Sheila, how busy is February 1st at this point?

MS. APODACA: It's pretty available.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, good.
MS. APODACA: We probably have about --
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Then we will -- Ms. Hardy, we can hear your case toward the end of the docket, as we typically do for contested cases. And there is the chance, please let your witnesses know, that this case may go into February 2nd.

Mr. Suazo or Mr. Parrot, are your witnesses available February 1st?

MR. PARROT: So Mr. Examiner, this is where I'd turn it over to my colleague because he's been handling a lot of the procedural aspects here.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. PARROT: Thank you.
MR. SUAZO: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Yes, I mean, I need to confirm with the client for certain, but I believe that they can be available on February 1st and 2nd.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And for everyone's information, so everyone please hear this, starting February 1st, we will be hybrid. I know we've said this before. Technical IT has been, you know, delayed, however, they're downstairs working on the Pecos Room as we
speak.
They will be finished by the end of next week and we will have training during the last two weeks of January. So starting February 1st, we will be in hybrid mode, which means your witnesses can come to the hearing or they don't have to. They can appear virtually, as can you.

You do not need to be there, but if you feel like there's a benefit in your being there in person, then please feel free to attend.

Okay. We will amend the prehearing order that we have now.

Ms. Apodaca, would you make sure that case 24093 is joined with case 23872 on the amended prehearing order, setting a contested hearing for February 1st, at the Pecos Hall, in a hybrid fashion?

MS. APODACA: Yes, I have it noted.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thanks, Ms. Apodaca.
Any other -- anything else, Mr. Suazo?
MR. SUAZO: Just one thing,
Mr. Examiner. Just to be clear for the record, Riley is allowed to amend its application to cure the identified deficiencies?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Of course.
MR. SUAZO: Okay.

MR. CHAKALIAN: When will you do that?
MR. SUAZO: As soon as possible.
MR. CHAKALIAN: What does that mean?
MR. SUAZO: I would say by next week at
the latest.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
So -- and Ms. Hardy, do you have an issue with their -- with the date that they file the amended application?

MS. HARDY: Well, I think the sooner the better, because $I$ think we'll need to review it and make sure we don't need more information or any more time.

MR. SUAZO: The amendments are not really substantive, $I$ think, at this point. I'm sure we can do that by the first part of next week at the latest, if not sooner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So what if we set a deadline, Mr. Suazo, for January loth, which is Wednesday?

MR. SUAZO: That'd be fine.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So close of business January 10th. All right. Mr. Suazo, you had mentioned that there was some other issues. Have we covered all the issues?

MR. SUAZO: Yes. All $I$ was wanting to address directly were the procedural issues, and I think those were addressed in the context of the discussion today. So that is all I have.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
Ms. Hardy, is there anything else on
this case?
MS. HARDY: No, thank you, Mr. Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. I just need to take some notes on this case. So it'll take me a moment to do that.

MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, do you mind if I just ask you, have we now also taken care of item number 31, case number 24093 on the worksheet?

MR. CHAKALIAN: I think we have, but let me finish my notes and then I'll --

MR. PARROT: Sorry, okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And then $I$ will think about that question for just a moment. Hold on one second. So I'm calling case number 24093 , which is joined with 23872 at this point. We had a status conference set for today. I believe both parties had addressed all the issues in this case. Is there anything further on this case?

MR. PARROT: No, Mr. Examiner.
MS. HARDY: Not from Spur.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Hardy?
MS. HARDY: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Then that case
is disposed of as well and we will see the parties February 1st, at Pecos Hall or by video, at the end of our regular docket for a contested hearing, unless of course they buy each other out or work it out.

Okay. And we will look for your amended application, Riley, on or before January 10th.

MR. PARROT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
MR. SUAZO: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
I am now calling 23944, Pride Energy.
It looks like it is joined with 23945.
MS. SHAHEEN: That is correct. Sharon
Shaheen, Montgomery \& Andrews on behalf of Pride Energy Company.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning,
Ms. Shaheen. Am I also missing Matador's cases that
should be joined with this, 24074, '75, and '76?
MS. SHAHEEN: I believe so.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Excellent.
MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, Mr. Examiner,
Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, appearing on behalf of Matador. There's also two cases that were filed more recently than these cases and are at the end of your docket, 24101 through 24102. Okay. They would also be related -- that is also related to these matters.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me make some notes here so I don't make a mistake here. Okay. So I am also calling case 24101 and 24102. And those cases are -- ah, here we go. Approval of overlapping horizontal well spacing unit, Matador Production Company. And those are yours, Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I see. Okay. So you're just bringing that to my attention. Excellent. So you would like all these cases to be joined together?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, please.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Ms. Apodaca, will you make a note
of that and I'll make notes as well?
MR. FELDEWERT: Because I'm looking here, yeah, they have them on your docket sheet as Stonewall, but they're really the Wayne Gaylord.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I do see -- well, I also see Wayne Gaylord 2930 Fed Com. Is that what you're talking about?

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm just looking on -I'm sorry, I'm looking on your docket sheet for today. MR. CHAKALIAN: The worksheet? Okay. I'm looking at the hearings module where it actually says Wayne Gaylord 2930.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: There's many screens here.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, just want to make sure there's no confusion. But you're correct in putting all these cases together.

MR. CHAKALIAN: There's definitely confusion, but we're trying to work through it. So thank you.

All right. Ms. Shaheen?
MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
I understand that Pride and Matador have been conferring about a resolution of their competing
proposals and proposed that this case or all of these cases be continued to another status conference on February 1st.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Feldewert, how do you feel about
that?
MR. FELDEWERT: I agree that there's been productive discussions and agree that these should be moved to another status conference on February 1st.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Ms. Apodaca, do we have room?
MS. APODACA: Yes, we do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Good.
Ms. Apodaca, will you show that cases 23944 and '45 are hereby joined with 24074, '75, and '76, and 24101 and '102?

MS. APODACA: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. And the parties will file continuances through -- well, for the February 1st, for a status conference.

MS. KESSLER: Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is Jordan Kessler with EOG.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, Ms. Kessler, good morning.

MS. KESSLER: Simply here to enter an appearance. No proposed changes to what you've just suggested.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Wonderful.
Well, thank you. Ms. Kessler, what party do you represent?

MS. KESSLER: EOG Resources,
Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. I -- I must have missed that. Thank you. And will you be filing an entry of appearance by paper or just verbally?

MS. KESSLER: The entry of appearance should have been filed several weeks ago. It should be in the case file, but I'll double check.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let me -- let me see something here. In all the cases or just some of the cases?

MS. KESSLER: In the Pride Energy cases specifically. So 23944 and '45.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I see your name here on the worksheet for those two cases. Perfect. Thank you, Ms. Kessler.

All right. Thank you. If there's nothing more we'll move on.

Okay. We're here for -- okay. So
we're going to skip over those. Ah, now we're coming to some interesting cases. 24053, FAE II Operating.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Earnest Sal
Padilla for the applicant FAE Operating.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Padilla?
MR. PADILLA: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning.
And who else do we have?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart. I'm appearing for a number of companies.

First off Apache Corporation.
Secondly, Oxy entities, Oxy USA Inc, Oxy USA WTPLP, and Kerr-McGee Oil and Gas OnShore LP. And then third, I'm appearing for ConocoPhillips Company. And all these companies have objected to this matter proceeding.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I understand. Thank you.

I would like to read something that I have here. Give me one moment before you begin, Mr. Padilla. Mr. Padilla, did you file exhibits in this case?

MR. PADILLA: No, we hadn't filed any
exhibits yet.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Well --
MR. DEBRINE: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is Earl Debrine with Modrall Sperling.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, sir. Good
morning.
MR. DEBRINE: We also filed entries of appearance for Apache Corporation. And so Mr. Feldewert and $I$ may have had dual representations. We'll need to sort that out, but we had also appeared in these cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Did anyone file an exhibit in this case?

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we did not file because it was set for status conference. and judging from the last hearing that we had on the South Jal Unit, that took quite a bit of time to file extensive exhibits.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. McClure, can you help me out here?
I have an email discussing Exhibit 1 and Exhibit B.
MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, Mr. Hearing
Examiner, I guess I'm not quite sure what you're looking at. I don't what email you're --

MR. CHAKALIAN: There was an email
yesterday. Let me see if you were copied on this. No, you were not. Let me forward this to you.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So while Mr. McClure looks at this email from yesterday, Mr. Padilla, when would you like to set this contested hearing?

MR. PADILLA: Well, Mr. Examiner, we also filed in the past oil recovery case that we have continued to February 15th. But I'm not whether there would be time because this will probably take close to a day to --

MR. CHAKALIAN: And what -- what case number are you talking about, sir?

MR. PADILLA: 24052 .
MR. CHAKALIAN: Which $I$ don't have on today's docket.

MR. PADILLA: No, it's not, because we continued it. We -- because it was not opposed. It was set for hearing today. And we necessarily had to continue that to the future date.

MR. CHAKALIAN: What date?
MR. PADILLA: We continued it to
February 15th.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So let me make sure I
understand. So we're going to have a hearing by affidavit on February 15 th on that case?

MR. PADILLA: No, I'd like to try both cases because the evidence for both cases is very similar. And it doesn't make any sense to do an affidavit case on that because the statutory unitization has to be tried first.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let me look at that case, because I'm not familiar with the case number just in that way. So give me one moment here. And I'm going to need that case number again in just a moment. So -- okay. May $I$ have that number again, please?

MR. PADILLA: 24052 .
MR. CHAKALIAN: 24052? Okay. So we have -- I see, unopposed motion for a continuance, 24052. Moves for a continuance of the captioned case to the Division docket of February 15th. Just so you know, Mr. Padilla, it doesn't talk about what type of setting you want us to give you.

So that motion would leave me guessing, are you asking for a status conference? Are you asking for a hearing by affidavit? I wouldn't know what you're asking for.

MR. PADILLA: Well, the way we tried
the South Jal Unit, we did them both at the same time, the same witnesses, and overlapping evidence. But the statutory unitization case has to come first.

Now, if you want us to do an affidavit case, I could -- it really doesn't make any sense to do an affidavit case because of the nature of the -- I would prefer to join these two cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. PADILLA: And --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, I'm not suggesting how you represent your client in any way. You've been a lawyer much longer than $I$ have. What I am suggesting though is when parties file motions for continuance, if they don't tell the law clerk what type of setting to give them, the law clerk is just guessing at what to do.

MR. PADILLA: Yeah, I understand that.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So what do you -- so you're asking not only to have this moved, but you're asking to join 24052 with '53 and set it for a contested hearing, when, in February?

MR. PADILLA: In February if we can, but these are long cases that -- judging from the South Jal Unit case, I think it took close to six hours.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So you're looking for a special setting then, a special hearing date?

MR. PADILLA: I think that makes sense. MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Do you want to propose one?

MR. PADILLA: No. I think that depends on what the Division timeframe is. But I think late February may be a way to go.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Could you -- would you narrow it down to -- as opposed to late February, would you narrow it down to a -- you know, a date range for me?

MR. PADILLA: Well, we could do February 16th.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That would be a Friday, wouldn't it?

MR. PADILLA: That would be a Friday.
MR. CHAKALIAN: It would be a Friday. And you're saying that you're -- I'd rather not do a hearing of that length on a Friday because I don't know if that's going to continue to a Monday. And if it does, that would be a problem for me. So why don't we find another day after that, sir.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, Mr. Feldewert.
here. I think February's going to be a problem for a lot of reasons. And I also note that, you know, based on my review, they're trying to put together and force people into an 8,000-acre unit, when there's an existing Langlie Jal Unit. So they're not expanding that.

They're instead, I guess, eliminating that and jumping to a nearly 8,000-acre statutory unit. I am not aware of any real discussion between FAE and Apache and Oxy and ConocoPhillips about this effort.

It seems to me the most prudent thing would be for the parties to engage in discussions, get a better understanding of what's being proposed, and see if they can reach some kind of resolution. So I would suggest a status conference in February, rather than trying to plug in a hearing for that reason.

And there's -- personally, I have a number of contested cases in February, including a commission hearing at the end of the month. So that's my two cents.

MR. CHAKALIAN: You said you have a commission hearing when?

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, the commission
has it scheduled the last week in February for a hearing.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And that would not be part of their regular business? It's outside their regular?

MR. FELDEWERT: It is. It is a -- it's my understanding it's a special docket that they put, that they've set, yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Yeah, because I would need to -- okay, thank you.

Mr. Padilla, what do you think about what Mr. Feldewert just mentioned?

MR. PADILLA: Well, $I$ do know that Apache and FAE are pretty close to a buyout agreement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. PADILLA: So some of what -- so there have been discussions with ConocoPhillips and with Apache. And I'm not sure, I can't speak about Oxy. But I think discussions have been ongoing and so as far as we're concerned, the sooner the better, rather than having to do another status conference.

I acknowledge Mr. Feldewert's time constraints in terms of having other cases. So if he's got a conflict, we're willing to accommodate that, but the week after -- or the week of -- let's
see. I'm pretty clear the week of the $19 t h$ or the first week of March, certainly March 4th.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Are there any other parties that want to chime in before $I$ decide on what to do?

MR. DEBRINE: No, Mr. Chakalian, I
think a March hearing probably makes sense.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right.
Mr. Padilla, we have 24052 already
scheduled for February 15th.
And Ms. Apodaca, what is that case scheduled for? What type of hearing or setting is that?

MS. APODACA: Okay. Hold on. I'm looking it up. It's taking a very long time to load up the docket for that date. Give me one second. Okay. So it's showing that it's set for a hearing. MR. CHAKALIAN: For a hearing by affidavit?

MS. APODACA: Yeah.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, is there any -- since negotiations are ongoing between all of these parties, is there any point in having that hearing on -- the affidavit hearing on that case alone on the 15th?

MR. PADILLA: No, there really isn't. I mean, that case is dependent on whether or not the statutory unitization is granted or not.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So Mr. Padilla, if we change that from a hearing to a status conference and join it with 24053 , do you have any objection with that?

MR. PADILLA: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
So Ms. Apodaca, in my notes I am joining 24052 and '53 together for a status conference on February 15th. Mr. Padilla has already continued 24052 through the portal.

So Mr. Padilla, it's incumbent upon you to continue today's case to February 15 th for a status conference.

MR. PADILLA: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: At that time, we'll see how the parties' negotiations have progressed, and we will make a determination on a March contested hearing special date, it sounds like, at that time.

MR. PADILLA: That's -- makes sense.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Anything further?
MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
were you still wanting to come back around to me on that case '53? I'm sorry. I was missing some of your conversation while I was reading.

MR. CHAKALIAN: No, you're right. I forgot all about you, Mr. McClure. Yes, please. Would you help me with this email here?

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. I was --
essentially what it looks like that Mr. Goetz is referring to is the ownership spreadsheet that is attached to that exhibit. It extends over several hundred pages, but it's -- we can't read it.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good. But it does belong with this case; is that correct?

MR. MCCLURE: That's my understanding. It looks like it does.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, is it part of your application that you filed?

MR. PADILLA: I'd have to look. Yes, I think it's part of the application.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, I looked at that, Mr. Examiner. I looked at that yesterday. That was part of what they filed with their application. I likewise did not read it yet.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Thank you.
So Mr. Padilla, we're asking you to
clean up this exhibit for us in your application. I'll be specific from the email I received. Give me a moment here.

The problem is, as Mr. McClure just stated, that Exhibit 1, the portion identified as Exhibit B and is entitled "Schedule," showing the percentage and type of ownership, the font is too small and becomes illegible when magnified. It needs to be resubmitted.

MR. PADILLA: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Padilla, instead of resubmitting just that one part of it, please just fix it within the application and file an amended application that basically cleans that exhibit up.

MR. PADILLA: All right. Well, I'll do that. I don't want to run into the discussion that was held into -- in the prior cases -- Spur case in terms of amending an application.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good. Thank you.
MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner?
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure.
MR. MCCLURE: If I may suggest, it
could be beneficial to the Division is we also -- in addition to the higher resolution $P D F$ in the file, it may be beneficial to us if we also receive an Excel

| 1 | format of that spreadsheet for our easier review of |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | it. |
| 3 | MR. PADILLA: I hope my clients are |
| 4 | listening to that. So I will get it anyway. |
| 5 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you, |
| 6 | Mr. Padilla. |
| 7 | MR. PADILLA: An Excel spreadsheet |
| 8 | probably makes more sense. |
| 9 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you, sir. |
| 10 | All right. If there's nothing more on |
| 11 | 24053, we will see the parties at a status conference |
| 12 | on February 15th, and we will move onto the next case. |
| 13 | So let me find that next case. Ah, 24054, '55, '56, |
| 14 | '57, Pilot Water Solutions. |
| 15 | MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Earnest Sal |
| 16 | Padilla for the applicant in this cases. |
| 17 | MR. CHAKALIAN: And the applicant's |
| 18 | name is Pilot Water Solutions? |
| 19 | MR. PADILLA: Yes. |
| 20 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. |
| 21 | Any other parties? |
| 22 | MR. DEBRINE: Good morning, |
| 23 | Mr. Examiner. Earl Debrine with the Modrall Sperling |
| 24 | firm for Apache Corporation. |
| 25 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Debrine. |
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MS. RYAN: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Beth Ryan on behalf of ConocoPhillips.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Good morning.
Any other parties?
Okay. Mr. Padilla?
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, I just learned I think the day before yesterday that we may have to dismiss the Flutie because of the location. And that would be in case 24055. I don't have enough information right now to tell you that we will dismiss that, but otherwise, we just would like a setting for these cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Mr. Debrine, do you have anything you want me to note?

MR. DEBRINE: No, Mr. Examiner. I think this is probably going to be contested cases that we'll need to set a hearing date for.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Ms. Ryan?
MS. RYAN: I agree, Mr. Examiner. I think these can go ahead and get set for a contested docket.

> MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.

I'm inclined to set this for a special
hearing, cases 24054 through '57. What month would the parties like this hearing?

Mr. Padilla?
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, what is the -- probably March. March makes sense.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. We have dockets, which I'm not -- I'm just saying wee have dockets on the 7th and 21st. So it couldn't be those two weeks. So we're looking at the week of -- starting on the 12th of March through, I would say, the 14th. So we can do March 12th through 14 th or March $26 t h$ through 28th.

Which of those groups of numbers appeal to the parties?

MR. PADILLA: What was the first set, Mr. Examiner, sorry?

MR. CHAKALIAN: The 12 th through the 14th.

MR. PADILLA: Looking at my schedule, the latter part of March works better for me.

MR. CHAKALIAN: The 26 th through the 28th?

MR. PADILLA: Right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Debrine?

MR. DEBRINE: That looks open on my schedule, Mr. Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Ryan?
MS. RYAN: That's agreeable to us.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
So why don't we set it for the $26 t h$,
which is a Tuesday. We will -- now, Mr. Feldewert just mentioned that the commission is having a special docket. And $I$ think he said that was the end of February. So I don't think we need to worry about the commission in Pecos Hall, unless I'm missing something on the 26 th.

Ms. Apodaca, do you know anything?
MS. APODACA: I will have to check with the secretary's office on that because they do loan the room out to other people also.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Well, Ms. Apodaca, what we can do is in the prehearing order that we're going to issue on these four cases, what we can say is that we will hold it in Pecos Hall, in a hybrid setting. And then if Pecos Hall is taken, we will let the parties know and we will just restrict it to a virtual.

> MS. APODACA: Okay.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Is there
anything else from the parties on these four cases? MR. PADILLA: No, Mr. Examiner, not from us.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Mr. Padilla, when would we be getting a motion to dismiss '55?

MR. PADILLA: I'll find out, but within the next week I should know what we're doing with that case. They may have to refile the administrative application. I think that may have already been done, which -- to correct the well location.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, if it's been done, wouldn't you know the case number?

MR. PADILLA: I don't know the case number and $I$ don't have enough information from -- I mean, as I stated, I didn't learn about this -- that there was a problem with this Flutie well.

But $I$ can either dismiss it and -- or leave it on if it's not a problem. If the well location had to be changed, then it's material difference. And so we may have to dismiss and refile it.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure, do you have a opinion about this?

MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I don't at this time have an opinion, but I'm not sure
what you're asking of me, I guess. I apologize.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's okay. I'm a
little confused by Mr. Padilla. Mr. Padilla seems to say that in case number 24055 the well identification might be faulty and he may either dismiss it and then refile it, it may have already be refiled. I'm a little unclear about this.

And so Mr. Padilla, would you help me here?

MR. PADILLA: Generally, you have to start out with filing a C108 administratively. And if there is an objection, then we proceed to hearing. And if an application that has been filed administratively, then we necessarily have to wait and dismiss this case until the new well -- my understanding is that the well location was the problem with the Flutie. I didn't know until the day before yesterday that that was a problem. And --

MR. CHAKALIAN: And was it -- and Mr. Padilla, was it a party that brought that to your attention?

MR. PADILLA: It was my client, Pilot Waters.

MR. CHAKALIAN: It was the client, okay. So basically what I'm hearing from you is that
the C108 in 24055 may have misidentified the well location?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, that's my understanding.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And you're saying that that can't be just corrected by amending the c108? You're saying it has to be dismissed and refiled?

MR. PADILLA: Well, that's what I need to determine. I don't know whether there's been a filing by my client's consultant without my -- without any input to me until the day before yesterday.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Padilla, you're saying that your client's consultant can file cases with the Division without your knowing it?

MR. PADILLA: Well, in this case they did. I think they're trying to correct the well location because the apparent objections to this particular well had to do with the well location.

And if there is no objection to the new location, then it can be approved administratively, without going to hearing. Judging from the entry of appearances here, it seems to me that that's not going to happen.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm surprised to hear that clients can file cases without their
representatives knowing about it. That's somewhat disturbing.

Anyway, Mr. McClure, do you have any comment about this or shall we just move on?

MR. MCCLURE: Well, I guess the question I'd have for Mr. Padilla, are you referring to they resubmitted an -- application for this well with a new surface well location? Is that what you're referring to?

MR. PADILLA: I could -- yes, that's what I'm saying. That's my understanding, yes.

MR. MCCLURE: So there's, like, a whole different C 108 for this well with a modified surface well location?

MR. PADILLA: That would be the case, yes. The geology and that kind of stuff would be the same, obviously.

MR. MCCLURE: And this new application had its own -- correct?

MR. PADILLA: I'm sorry, I didn't hear.
MR. MCCLURE: This brand-new admin
application, it has its own notice, independent of the notice for this hearing?

MR. PADILLA: Yes.
MR. MCCLURE: So I guess is your
applicant asking to dismiss their application in this -- or is your client asking to dismiss their application in this case then or --

MR. PADILLA: Well, let me put it this way. If they filed an administrative application, I think that we have to dismiss case 24055.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, how would that application be titled?

MR. PADILLA: It would be an application for a saltwater disposal, a SWD well.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And would it be -- would it come in from Pilot Water Solutions?

MR. PADILLA: It would come in from them through their consultant who is doing the work for filing and doing that. I didn't know until the day before yesterday that that was going on.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm just trying to give Ms. Apodaca something to search for so we can see if someone has filed another application for Pilot Water Solutions.

Ms. Apodaca, are you able to search for that or would you have seen that?

MS. APODACA: I am searching. Let me see if $I$ can --
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.

Mr. Padilla, would you please ask your client not to file documents? I think it's better that one person representing a client file documents so that we don't have this confusion in the future. MR. PADILLA: Well, I agree with that, Mr. Examiner. I sent back an email saying we may have to dismiss this case if they already had filed something.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. Well, we'll wait -- we may come back to that 24055 case, Mr. Padilla, if you find something that's been filed. In the meantime, we're going to issue a prehearing order for 24054 through 24057 , for a contested hearing, special hearing, March 26, 2024. Is there anything else on that case?

MR. PADILLA: No, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm now calling 24093, Riley Permian Operating. It looks like it stands -oh, no, we've already dealt with that case. So we're going to move on. I'm going to call 24110, Franklin Mountain Energy. We have a status conference. It looks like 24111, '112, and '115 are joined.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett on behalf of Franklin Mountain Energy, from Modrall Sperling.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Bennett.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert from the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart for MRC Permian.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Feldewert.
Any other parties?
Okay. Ms. Bennett, how do you want to proceed?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. So these cases are identified as Buttercup cases, but they are actually called -- they are actually the Cross wells. The Buttercup comes from a reference in the applications to a overlapping spacing unit. So these are actually Franklin Mountain Energy Cross wells.

And the Franklin Mountain Energy Cross wells are subject to a prehearing order that the Division issued on December 8th, setting previously filed Cross cases for a contested hearing with MRC Permian Company, Mongoose cases.

And so what I should have done, and what $I$ will do after this hearing, is file a motion to amend the existing prehearing order to add these cases
to that prehearing order.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And hold on. So
Ms. Bennett, let me just be clear. You said that there's a prehearing order already out there on certain cases, on Mongoose cases. I don't have the case numbers and $I$ don't know when the contested hearing is set for.

MS. BENNETT: The contested hearing is set for February 8th, 2024.

MR. CHAKALIAN: February 8th. Oh, that's the case. Oh, okay. Right.

MS. BENNETT: Yep. And I have the Mongoose case numbers if you'd like those.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, please. Go ahead.
MS. BENNETT: Those are case numbers 23961, '962, '963, and '964.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And I think
there's more cases than that; aren't there?
MS. BENNETT: There are. Yes, there are.

MR. CHAKALIAN: There are many cases, though. I think there's 15 cases altogether?

MS. BENNETT: It looks like 7 -- yeah, 15.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good. I thought so.

And don't we have a status conference on February 1st on all these cases?

MS. BENNETT: No, those are the status conferences for a different set of cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: It is? Okay.
MS. BENNETT: Yeah.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. So now, you're saying that you're going to file a motion to amend the prehearing order to, what, add these cases, 24110 through '15, to that?

MS. BENNETT: Yes. Yes, that's correct.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So you want them to be part of the contested hearing February 8th?

MS. BENNETT: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. BENNETT: And I don't have -- I will likely be requesting separately dismissal of certain of the cases that are on the prehearing order.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. BENNETT: We filed these cases as replacement applications due to some inadvertent discrepancies in our applications.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. BENNETT: And I believe -- and I'm
not intending to speak for Mr. Feldewert here, but I believe he might have filed some amended applications, too, but that might need to be added to this prehearing order, but I'm not sure.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Before I go to
Mr. Feldewert, so once we get your motion to amend, you're not asking us -- you're only asking us to amend the caption of the prehearing order; is that right?

MS. BENNETT: That's right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Hold on. Now, are you still planning on being ready for a February 8th? Yes, you are, okay. Very good.

MS. BENNETT: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And you know that
that'll be in Pecos Hall?
MS. BENNETT: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, good. And also virtual for your witnesses or for whoever. MS. BENNETT: Yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Is there anything else you want me to know about these cases? MS. BENNETT: Not from my perspective, no. Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Great.
Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: We have no objection to moving these matters to February 8th and adding them to the prehearing order. That's all right.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Is there anything else you want me to know?

MR. FELDEWERT: Not at this -- not nothing that you need to know at this point.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. So you will be ready for February hybrid hearing.

MR. FELDEWERT: In Pecos Hall, what used to be Porter Hall, yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, it used to be
Porter Hall? I didn't know that.
MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Another tidbit of
information. Okay. Let me take some notes here.
So Ms. Apodaca --
MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. So I'm sorry, I will say, Mr. Examiner -- okay. Ms. Bennett was kind enough to remind me that -- and you mentioned February 1st. There will be some Mongoose well cases on the February 1st docket that will be added to the prehearing order and replace some applications under the prehearing order.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.

MR. FELDEWERT: So we'll deal with
that --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, we have --
Mr. Feldewert, we have a motion to amend from Ms. Bennett. Are you -- would it be more efficient to -- are you going to wait till after the February 1st status conference to motion to amend the prehearing order again?

MR. FELDEWERT: No. In fact, I think where you're going here is if perhaps Ms. Bennett and I can get together and file a single motion?

MR. CHAKALIAN: That'd be great.
MR. FELDEWERT: Make things less confusing. I'll just have to check to see if we got case numbers. I think we were waiting on case numbers for a couple of them.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: So I'd suggest
Ms. Bennett and I will get together, file the correct motion to amend the prehearing order for the February 8th special hearing date.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And I suspect
also that if the parties are dismissing any cases, they will add that to their motion as well?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, great. Okay.
I have -- Ms. Apodaca, do you understand what's going on with that amending the prehearing order?

MS. APODACA: Yes, I do. And I have the case numbers for the newly filed Mongoose cases.

MR. FELDEWERT: Wonderful.
MS. APODACA: 24142 through 24145 .
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you very much, Sheila.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And Ms. Apodaca, did you happen to find anything from Pilot Water Solutions that Mr. Padilla was talking about before?

MS. APODACA: No, I didn't. I
searched --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. APODACA: -- for Pilot and the only cases that show up are the ones that are here on the docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So Mr. Padilla, it looks like your client has not filed anything behind your back.

MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, we did see an administrative application, but it's not in the hearing process. It -- which is why it may not be
as -- or it wasn't appearing, I guess, showing up, I guess, for the hearing submittals. And that was submitted October 24 th, it looks like. So I'm assuming that may be what Mr . Padilla is referring to.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, are you still there?

MR. MCCLURE: Well, I guess he's not on camera.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Well, thank you for that update, Mr. McClure. I appreciate it.

Okay. I think we're done with 24110 through 24115. And we will hear those cases February 8th in Pecos Hall, in a hybrid fashion, along with many other cases. And we will issue an amended prehearing order once we get that motion.

So thank you and we will move on to Avant Operating, 24118, 24119. We have a status conference.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner, Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling on behalf of Avant Operating, LLC.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning.
Ms. Hardy?
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of PBEX and EGL Resources.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you, sir.
MS. HARDY: And Mr. Examiner, Dana
Hardy on behalf of COG Operating, and Concho Oil and Gas.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Hardy.

Ms. Bennett, where are we going with these two cases?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. Avant Operating filed these cases for today's docket and in the interim, EGL and PBEX objected to the cases going by affidavit.

And it's my understanding that PBEX and EGL have filed competing cases that will be set for the February 1st docket. And so I would ask that these cases be consolidated and set for a contested hearing on February 1st.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So we're looking at February 1st, contested hearing. I need to know what those other case numbers are.

So Mr. Bruce or Ms. Hardy, do you know what those cases are?

MR. BRUCE: I will get those to you, Mr. Examiner. But I -- and as I mentioned earlier, I have some personal matters going on on February 1st
and $2 n d$.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. BRUCE: And it's already a lengthy contested case on that docket. I would prefer that these matters be continued to March for hearing, all of them.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Well, when can you give me the case numbers?

MR. BRUCE: I will have them to you by tomorrow.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Ms. Bennett, you don't know what these competing case numbers are?

MS. BENNETT: No, I don't. I tried to find them last night and today and wasn't able to find the case numbers, but Ms. Apodaca may be able to help us with those.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right.
Mr. Bruce, are you the one who filed these competing cases?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, you -- okay, not Ms. Hardy, but you, okay.

Ms. Hardy, what do you have to say?
MR. BRUCE: Correct.

MS. HARDY: I don't have anything to add, Mr. Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. HARDY: It depends on Mr. Bruce's applications and Ms. Bennett.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Ms. Hardy, you haven't filed anything that's competing?

MS. HARDY: That's correct. At this point we're monitoring these cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, monitoring.
MS. HARDY: Concho and COG.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I see. So you didn't -- COG did not object to these going forward by affidavit?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Bruce's client PBEX objected to it. I understand.

MS. HARDY: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you for the clarification, Ms. Hardy.

Okay. So Mr. Bruce, who would you have filed these on behalf of?

MR. BRUCE: They're under the name of EGL. PBEX is the working interest owner and its operator -- its sister company EGL --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. BRUCE: -- is the op.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Ms. Apodaca, are you able to search
for EGL?
MS. APODACA: I will search, but I will
also tell you that there are some applications still waiting in the queue for processing by Mr. Bruce. So it could be that they have not been processed or assigned a case number yet.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good.
Okay. All right. So it sounds like, Ms. Bennett, that Mr. Bruce -- the cases may still be in our queue to file, first of all, the competing cases. It also sounds like he's not available February 1st and is asking for an early-March contested hearing. How do you feel about that?

MS. BENNETT: I understand his unavailability at the beginning of February. So I would propose the February 15 th docket as a contested hearing date.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. BENNETT: That kind of splits the baby, for lack of a better word.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.

Mr. Bruce, are you available February 15th?

MR. BRUCE: I think I am, but let me check. I would still prefer March. One reason is Ms. Bennett asked me who to contact at EGL to talk about potential settlement. And I have also spoken with my client about potential settlement of their differences.

And, well, you've been here long enough now, Mr. Examiner, that those discussions are not necessarily quick. So --

MR. CHAKALIAN: What if we do this? What if we set these for a February lst status conference to see how negotiations are proceeding, if they're proceeding at all.

And then we can make a determination at that time whether we need to set a special hearing in February to accommodate Ms. Bennett or whether we need to set it for early March. Does that sound fair to the parties?

MR. BRUCE: That'd be fine,
Mr. Examiner, yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Are you okay with that,
Ms. Bennett?
MR. BRUCE: I can be available on the
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morning of February 1st and $I$ do have a few other uncontested cases. So --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Ms. Bennett, is that okay with you?
MS. BENNETT: That's okay, yes. Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good. So then, Ms. Bennett, will you continue these to the February 1st docket for a status conference? We'll wait to see what Mr. Bruce's competing case numbers will be.

And Ms. Apodaca, if you can make a note that these cases will be joined with the EGL cases that you process?

MS. APODACA: Okay. Yes, I'll do that.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And I'll put it in my notes here as well. Here we are. Avant. All right.

Mr. Bruce, do you know when you filed these new competing EGL cases?

MR. BRUCE: I believe it was late Tuesday night.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, okay. No wonder.
All right.
MR. BRUCE: I do have -- I was working rather late and $I$ actually fell asleep at my desk and
woke up and I --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. I understand. February 1st. Thank you, sir.

If there's nothing further on these cases, we will move onto Matador Production 23915, 23916, 23991, 23992, those four cases.

MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, on behalf of the applicant MRC Permian.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning, Ms. Vance.

Well, it looks like we're having an affidavit hearing this morning. So please proceed.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. So in these cases MRC seeks to pool all the uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation.

In the pool is the Shugart Bone Spring
North and the pool code is 56405. And that's underlying each of the spacing units. It's -- the referenced acreage is all in Township 17, Range 31 East, and that's in Eddy County, New Mexico.

So in case number 23991, MRC seeks to pool a standard 313.23-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit. And that's comprised of the $N / 2$

N/2 of Sections 31 and 32. And initially dedicate this to the Cedar State 3221 Fed Com 121H well.

And then in case number 23992, MRC seeks to pool a standard 313.33-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit. And that's comprised of the $S / 2$ of the $N / 2$ of Sections 31 and 32 . And initially dedicate this to the Cedar State 3221 Fed Com 122H.

And then in case number 23915, MRC seeks to pool a standard 313.53-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit. And that's comprised of the $N / 2$ of the $S / 2$ of Sections 31 and 32 . And initially dedicate this to the Cedar State 3221 Fed Com 123H.

And then lastly, in case number 23916, MRC seeks to pool a standard 313.53-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit. And that's comprised of the $S / 2$ of the $S / 2$ of Sections 31 and 32. And dedicate this to the Cedar State 3221 Fed Com 124H well.

So in these cases, we have provided a copy of the application, in which we have requested to designated Matador Production Company as the operator. We've included the compulsory pooling checklists, as well as a self-affirmed statement from landman Hawks

Holder, and geologist Andrew Parker, both of whom have previously testified before the Division.

And then Mr. Holder's statement is Exhibit C, which includes all the requisite sub-exhibits. C1 are the -- C102s, C2 is a land tract map.

C3 is a list of the uncommitted working interest owners and overrides with an asterisk indicated which parties Matador is seeking to pool, or MRC is seeking to pool. C4 is a sample well proposal letter with AFEs. C5 is a chronology of contacts.

This is followed by Mr. Parker's statement, which is Exhibit D. And D -- and it also includes all the requisite geology exhibits or sub-exhibits, which we have D1 as the locator map, D2 is a subc structure and cross-section map, and D3 is a stratigraphic cross-section.

In these cases, Mr. Parker did not observe any faulting pinch outs or other geologic impediments to the horizontal drilling of these wells.

And then we have Exhibit E, which is a self-affirmed statement of notice from myself, with sample notice letters that were timely mailed. You'll notice there are two in each of the cases. So we've had some additional notice.

And the same with Exhibit F, which is the affidavit of notice of publication. We have two for each of the cases and, just like the letters, were timely published.

And then unless there are any questions, $I$ would ask that all the exhibits and sub exhibits be admitted into the record in these cases and that the cases be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right, Ms. Vance. Let's take them one at a time.

We'll start with 23915. Are there any objections to the admission into evidence of Exhibit A through F ?

Not hearing any, Exhibits A through F and their subparts are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Ms. Vance, looking at case file for the 23915, the exhibits that were submitted, on page -- it appears that the second notice that was sent out was sent out on December 15th. I'm looking at your letter on Page 37 of 46 .

MS. VANCE: Yes.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And it appears
that perhaps the reason for this new notice was because the case was continued and you're noticing them of the January 4 th date; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Now, scrolling
down a few pages -- or excuse me the immediate following pages, that is the notice for the initial notice letter, right, for the case when it was heard priorly; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: Can you ask the question again? You cut out there for a second.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay.
MS. VANCE: So I didn't quite hear you.
MR. MCCLURE: Oh, I apologize.
Hopefully my headset is connecting correctly. There is two pages following that notice letter, which has the delivery spreadsheet, I guess, if that's what we're calling it. That's the spreadsheet for the notice that was conducted October 13th; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: Correct. And I apologize, typically $I$ put the dates associated with the letters with the mailing report so you can identify which report goes with which letter. But the first two pages on those, that's for the October notice. And
then the second two pages would be for the December notice.

So I can make sure that we identify dates next time around. But each of the notice reports and each of the packets, it should be -- the first two pages are associated with the first letter and the second two pages of the report are associated with the second notice.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. I guess my next question to that is it appears that there are less notices -- the second time around. Do you know the reason for that?

MS. VANCE: That -- these were -- this is just additional notice. And I can't say that I went through and made sure that all of the noticed parties matched up to the parties in our exhibit C3, which is the pooling exhibit.

So anyone who did not get noticed the first time around, we made sure that we continued the case and then -- or, you know, as needed, and provided that notice to the parties we may have missed.

MR. MCCLURE: All right. So
essentially some people on that first -- of the first notice letter were not actually directly notified of the January 4 th date then; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: No, because they were already provided notice with the first notice letter.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, for the November 2nd date; correct, is when they were submitted for? MS. VANCE: Correct.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay.
MS. VANCE: But in each of our notice letters, we do provide a -- the link to the Division's website so that any interested party can monitor the cases and see that a party -- or see that the case has been continued.

MR. MCCLURE: Now, in regards to your notice of -- or you affidavit -- then essentially what went on here was the one that was provided October 17th was essentially for the November 2 nd date, wherein the one provided December $19 t h$ is for the January 4th date; is that correct? The intent for the reason that you provided two notice of publications?

MS. VANCE: That should be correct. That sounds right. I do have to look a little bit closer. Give me just one moment.

Yeah, if you go to the first NOP, you'll see at the very top it talks about November 2nd. And that will be on page 43 in that first paragraph of the NOP affidavit. And then if you go to
page 45, you will see the January 4 th date noted in that NOP.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Vance. I have no other questions.

Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So Ms. Vance, we will take case number 23915 under advisement and we will move onto 23916. Let me get there. Okay. So I'm looking at your exhibit packet once again. We have exhibits A through F.

Are there any objections then to receiving these exhibits into evidence?

Not hearing any, these exhibits and their sub parts are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Ms. Vance, all my questions and your responses for case 23915 , that also applies to 23916 ; correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. MCCLURE: And it also applies to cases 23991 and 23992; is that also correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, do
you want me to go through this for the other two cases as well, just to have it on the record for them or how do you want me to proceed?

MR. CHAKALIAN: I think however you're comfortable doing it. Evidentiary -- we have a preponderance of evidence standard in administrative hearings.

If you feel like Ms. Vance has accurately and reliably answered your questions, I feel like you have evidence showing that notice was properly produced. And if you don't have any contrary evidence, $I$ think you can make a decision based on that evidence.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you. I won't bring up then, confirming, I guess, for those other two cases, since I've done so now. They don't necessarily need to be in the cases transcript, I guess would be the thought process.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Ms. Vance, do you see the evidentiary standard or what I've discussed with Mr. McClure any differently?

MS. VANCE: No, I think that that works from our end.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So now, looking at the
exhibits for 23991, we have exhibits A through F. Are there any objections to receiving these exhibits into evidence?

Not hearing any, Exhibits A through F and their subparts are admitted into evidence and this case will be taken under advisement as was 23916, which $I$ forgot to say that. I'm saying it now.

Now we move onto 23992, the last of Ms. Vance's cases in this grouping. Okay. So let's see what we have here.

We have -- and Ms. Vance, I want to say thank you for submitting separated exhibits for each case. In the past, some parties have submitted one group of exhibits and had multiple case numbers on them. The Division finds that difficult to work with. So thank you for submitting these separately, the way you have.

Again, we have Exhibits A through F
here in 23992. Are there any objections into receiving these exhibits into evidence?

Not hearing any, these exhibits are admitted into evidence and this case is taken under advisement. Thank you for your presentation.

MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, that was case 23992? Sorry to interrupt you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: It was, yes.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank -- okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: To recap, we have heard cases 23915, '16, 23991, and '92. All four cases have been taken under advisement.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Ms. Vance. MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. McClure. MR. CHAKALIAN: And good morning. We are now hearing Permian Resources cases 23985 and '86.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Permian Resources.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning. And Ms. Hardy, I believe that we heard these cases before and then we're continuing the hearing?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Would you just put it on the record why we're back?

MS. HARDY: Sure. So these cases were initially presented on December 7th, but there was an issue with Exhibit A4, which is the nonstandard spacing unit offset tract map. We hadn't included the parties in the corners adjacent to the unit, in that
map. So we did that. We provided our updated exhibits on Tuesday.

There were two additional parties in those corners that needed to be notified, EOG and Oxy. So that's what we provided in our supplemental exhibits on Tuesday. And then after submitting those, we were able to obtain Permian Resources' communication with Oxy, discussing the notice and the application so that they had received it yesterday. And so we did submit a second set of exhibits yesterday that the only difference is that it includes those email communications with Oxy. So with that, unless there are questions, I would ask that the exhibits $A, B$, and $C$, and the associated subparts be admitted into the record in each case and that the cases be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Now, before I turn this over to Mr. McClure, we're going to hear -- we're going to take both cases individually. So right now I'm looking at your exhibit packet that was filed yesterday. I think it was yesterday. Yes, yesterday. It says "Second Notice of Amended Exhibits" as you just described.

Colgate, LLC is providing the attached amended exhibit packet which includes additional
notice information. It would be helpful if you would put on the record which -- and in the future, in the cover letter it would be helpful as well. Which exhibits are you amending in this exhibit list which is on two pages?

MS. HARDY: Sure. So I am looking at -- I believe it's Exhibit C7.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Indication with additional offset interests. Okay. But what about what you mentioned before about the map with corners and --

MS. HARDY: Sure. The updated map is Exhibit A4.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So we have A4 and C7. Those are the only two amended exhibits in this second notice that were not provided on December 7th?

MS. HARDY: Additionally, in the notice exhibits, we provided the certified mail receipts and tracking and affidavit for those new parties.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. HARDY: So that's all included in the same exhibit.

MR. CHAKALIAN: But which -- so which exhibit would be added to in this list?

MS. HARDY: It would be the -- we updated C1, C2, C3.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So to recap, A4 is amended, C1, C2, C3, C4, and C7 are all amended?

MS. HARDY: Yes, that's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure, did you get that?

MR. MCCLURE: Yes, sir, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I did.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Do you -- okay. So first of all, are there any objections to these amended exhibits that $I$ just listed, $A 4, C 1, C 2, C 3, C 4$, and $C 7$ being admitted into evidence?

Not hearing any, they are so admitted.
Mr. McClure, do you have any questions?
MR. MCCLURE: Yes, I do, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Please.
MR. MCCLURE: Ms. Hardy, what -- is it correct that the old area that is being requested here covers the N/2 of Sections 3 and 4 and the NE/4 of Section 5?

```
MS. HARDY: Yes, that's correct.
```

MR. MCCLURE: Now, looking at your
latest -- the newest exhibit packet that's in the case file, the one that was submitted yesterday, if I may -- your attention to page 15 of 125 . That should be the first of the C102s.

MS. HARDY: Yes, it's case 23985?
MR. MCCLURE: Yes, that's correct.
MS. HARDY: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: On the -- it has a first take point identified there. Now, that first take point is outside of the area that's being requested to be pooled. Is that correct or is that a mistake?

MS. HARDY: I believe that that -- the first take point would not be outside of the area to be pooled. So --

MR. MCCLURE: Well, I --
MS. HARDY: Yeah, so I think that it looks like it might be moved slightly -- they didn't distinguish, from what I'm looking at, between the surface hole location and the first take point. So I think I would need to confirm with my client. But I think that the first take point would -- may need to be adjusted on the C102.

MR. MCCLURE: Now, Ms. Hardy, on page 21 -- 125, that looks like that's the letter -- the well proposal letter?

MS. HARDY: Yes.
MR. MCCLURE: It also appears that that same error on the first take point appears within this letter as well.

MS. HARDY: You know, it's my
understanding that that is another tract that's operated by Permian Resources. But I would need to confirm with them whether the take point needs to be adjusted to be included a little bit to the -- it would be a little bit to the east; right? Within that --

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, I mean --
MS. HARDY: Yeah.
MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, at the very least it'd have to be over 200 feet to the east. And if it's less than 300 feet to the east, you would also need an NSL.

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: But I guess what my question is, are you in a position where you're able to get a direct answer as to what their intent was? Because it kind of makes a difference as to how we can proceed in these cases, because obviously if the first take point here was meant to be where it's listed, then obviously this case can't be approved.

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: It need -- it would have to have the additional pooled area included.

MS. HARDY: Right. I need to confirm
with them. So I could probably do that if we wanted to move onto other cases and we could come back to these. I don't -- I would just need probably a few minutes to be able to do that.

MR. MCCLURE: And now, Mr. Hearing Examiner, $I$ do have a few other questions here. I don't know if you want me to proceed or wait until Ms. Hardy hears back from her clients.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Ms. Hardy -- well, Mr. McClure, are these questions applicable to all the cases or just this one?

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, you know what?
Actually, these follow-up ones will be for both of these cases, 23985 and 23986.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
So Ms. Hardy, are you asking to basically recess these two cases while you consult with your client?

MS. HARDY: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
MS. HARDY: That would be my request.

MR. CHAKALIAN: We will do that. We will do just that. Ms. Hardy, when you are ready, would you just come back on the screen and raise -there's a way to raise your hand. Do you see it at the bottom?

MS. HARDY: Yes, I do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yeah, just raise your hand and as soon as we're done with the next case, we'll come back to you; okay?

MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. You're welcome.
Okay. Let us continue with Flat Creek Resources, 23997, '998, '999. we're here for a hearing.

Ms. Bennett?
MS. BENNETT: Thank you. Actually, I'll defer to Ms. Shaheen first and then I'm happy to speak after that.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ah, okay.
Ms. Shaheen?
MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you. Sharon
Shaheen, Montgomery \& Andrews on behalf of Flat Creek Resources.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. Are we proceeding to a hearing today?

MS. SHAHEEN: That is my understanding. My understanding is that all of the parties who entered an appearance have withdrawn their appearances and we'll be proceeding by affidavit today.

MR. CHAKALIAN: You mean they're withdrawn their objection?

MS. SHAHEEN: They have withdrawn their -- I haven't looked at the document, but my understanding is they have withdrawn their objections and maybe even withdrawn their appearances.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good. That's what $I$ was trying to clarify.

So Ms. Bennett, who are you representing?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I represent Durango Production Company.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. BENNETT: And MEC Petroleum Corp.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And do we have another party representing Spur Energy?

MS. VANCE: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of Spur Energy Corporation -- or Energy Partners, sorry.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good.

Ms. Vance and Ms. Bennett, did you both object to proceeding by affidavit and are you both withdrawing your objection?

MS. BENNETT: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I did object to the cases going by affidavit and I have withdrawn the objection to the cases going by affidavit, but $I$ have not withdrawn the entry of appearance.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Right.
And Ms. Vance?
MS. VANCE: Yes, the same exact situation.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. VANCE: We have withdrawn our objection, but we are maintaining our entry of appearance to reserve our rights.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Of course. And have you had a chance to look at Ms. Shaheen's exhibits in these three cases?

MS. VANCE: I have, yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. VANCE: I believe she sent them on
Friday, perhaps. Is that it, revised exhibits on Friday?

```
                                MS. SHAHEEN: Actually, we resubmitted
```

revised exhibits yesterday in which we -- and I was going to go through this in my presentation. We are no longer pooling MEC or Durango Production. Spur -we continue to pool Spur as a royalty owner.

And we made some changes to exhibits A4, by deleting the highlighting from MEC and Durango, because we're no longer pooling them. And we also revised exhibits A5 to indicate the additional communications that have occurred among all the parties.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. Why don't you proceed with your case? We're going to take them one at a time, MS. Shaheen. So let's start with 23997. Although, you can give an overview for all three if you want at the same time. It's up to you. MS. SHAHEEN: Okay. It might be helpful. In these three cases, Flat Creek seeks to pool Section 2 of 25 South, Range 26 East and Section 35, Township 24 South, Range 26 East in the Bone Spring formation. At this point, as I mentioned, I think we're only pooling WPX as a working interest owner and the other pooled parties are royalty owners.

In case number 23997, applicant seeks an order pooling a 320-acre standard unit, comprised the E/2 E/2 of Section 2 and the E/2 E/2 of Section

35, to drill the Jawbone Fed Com Bone Spring or BS number 1H well.

In case number 23998, applicant seeks an order pooling a standard 640-acre unit, with the proximity tracts, comprised of the W/2 E/2 and E/2 W/2 of both Sections 2 and Section 35. And I'll direct you to Exhibit A2, which gives you a map of the pooled units. That's on PDF page 34.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Shaheen, before you continue, may $I$ ask that in the future when you submit exhibits, will you submit them for one case at a time as opposed to a series of cases? It makes it a lot easier for the technical reviewers later down the road to -- yeah, anyway. So you understand; right? Thank you. Okay.

MS. SHAHEEN: Yes, I'm happy to do that. So I missed the memo on that before.

MR. CHAKALIAN: There was no memo, we just have mentioned it a few times during these hearings and there was no memo. So you didn't miss anything.

MS. SHAHEEN: Okay. Finally, in case number 23999, applicant seeks an order pooling a standard 320 -acre unit, comprised of the W/2 W/2 of Sections 2 and Section 35.

In the combined exhibits, if you turn to the table of contents, we've included all three checklists under tab 1 , all three applications under tab 2.

And our landman, Mr. Gregory, addresses all three applications in his self-affirmed statement which is attached as Exhibit A. And that could be found at PDF page 27. It has the usual exhibits, including the two revised exhibits which are noted on the cover page and the table of contents as being Exhibits A4 and A5 that we discussed earlier.

Mr. Gregory previously testified before the Division and has had his credentials accepted as a expert in land matters.

MR. CHAKALIAN: May I interrupt you for a moment, Ms. Shaheen? I see the filing from December 29th and I see the filing from yesterday. Was there -- the filing from $12 / 29$ says January 4, 2024. I realized that's the hearing date.

But it says revised exhibits A4. Well, does that indicate to me that there was a original A4? And if so, where is that?

MS. SHAHEEN: That was filed two days before the original scheduled hearing in the hopes that we would go to hearing by affidavit on that date.

And I want to say that was -- I can't recall off hand when those applications were filed. But I believe they may have been heard as a status conference. MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.

MS. SHAHEEN: The first docket in
December.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I have it here. I
found it. You filed this on $12 / 5$ and it was for the December 7th docket. Okay. I found the original exhibits and then $I$ see the amended Exhibit A4 and then $I$ see the amended Exhibits A4 and A5.

So then this filing from two days ago, on the second of January, this has -- this is the latest exhibit packet and it has all of the exhibits, including the updated A4 and A5; is that correct?

MS. SHAHEEN: Actually, I believe we filed that exhibit package yesterday. So on Tuesday, we filed with revised exhibits to a -- revised exhibits, I believe, A4. And then $I$ was provided with updated chronologies of contacts yesterday morning. And so I went ahead and filed another exhibit package to include the revised exhibits A5.

MR. CHAKALIAN: The date at the very top, if you look in our imaging system -- and I'm not suggesting that you do. The reason $I$ mention that is
because at the very top of the exhibit, it says "Received by OCD 12/29/23 at 3:14 p.m." And that's the one with the revised A4. And then we received on the 2nd of January, at 5:16 p.m., the final document.

So now I'm on the same page with you and hopefully Mr. McClure is -- I haven't confused Mr. McClure. So please proceed now.

MS. SHAHEEN: Yeah, and I'll correct.
I said we filed it yesterday, and apparently we filed it two days ago.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. SHAHEEN: The days just kind of run
into each other. So thank you for clarifying.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yeah.
MS. SHAHEEN: There are a couple of unique circumstances here. Black Creek acquired these permits from the previous -- from a previous operator and they are in the process of changing the well names on the existing federal permits.

And they've also submitted sundry notices changing the depth in the permit, because the original permits are for the Wolfcamp and these are going to be Bone Spring wells. So for the Division's information, we attached those sundry notices as Exhibit A9.

I don't think there's any substantive issue here, but we wanted to make it clear that that was the case. As tab 4, Exhibit B, we have the affirmation of geologist Thomas M. Anderson, who has also previously testified before the Division and had his credentials and testimony accepted into the record. We have the usual geology exhibits.

Exhibit C, at tab 5, is my affirmation of notice and attached thereto is the table of the mailings and the affidavit of publication. Unless we have more questions -- you have more questions, I would ask that the exhibits in all three cases -- and I could do it one at a time if you prefer. And I will.

I ask that the exhibits for case number 23997 be accepted into the record and that that case number 23997 be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
So let's start with 23997 by itself. We have Exhibits A, B, and C and their subparts. Is there any objection into receiving these exhibits into evidence as they have been revised on January 2 nd?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. No objections from Durango or MEC to submit the revised exhibits into the record.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Ms. Bennett.
Ms. Vance?
MS. VANCE: No objection from Spur.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Excellent.
These exhibits and their subparts, in the revised condition that they are, are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
Ms. Shaheen, you had revised the exhibit A4; correct?

MS. SHAHEEN: That is correct.
MR. MCCLURE: Now, your revisions that you did for that exhibit, did that include a change of parties to be pooled or was that an ownership breakdown or -- let me back up. I guess what was the revision made to Exhibit A4?

MS. SHAHEEN: We unhighlighted MEC and Durango Productions because they are no longer being pooled.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you. That answered my question there. That was exactly where I was going. Now, it appears that original notice -direct notice was provided October 17 th and the notice
of publication -- or excuse me.
The direct notice of it was provided November 17 th and notice of publication was provided November 19th, which both referenced the December 7th hearing; is that correct?

MS. SHAHEEN: That is correct.
MR. MCCLURE: Was any additional notice conducted after that, in regards to this January 4th hearing?

MS. SHAHEEN: No, it was not. My understanding is that once folks get notice, then they can enter an appearance as MEC and Durango and Spur did. And then they will -- having entered their appearance for the first hearing, they will have been on -- they will be on notice for the next hearing that would be held.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
Ms. Shaheen. No further questions.
MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So Ms. Shaheen, that raises a question. I'd like some guidance from the parties, because of what you just said is interesting.

So when I look at the Rule 19.15.4, and I go to the notice requirements and I look at the 19.15.4.12, notice requirements "Notice Requirements
for Specific Adjudications" there is under "A.(1), Compulsory pooling and statutory unitization."

And it is clear, the rule is clear there. And then I go to Section B., 19.15.4.12 Section B., capital B which talks about the "Type and content of notice."

Now, it talks about "The applicant shall send a notice that 19.15.4.9 NMAC requires by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the last known address of the person to whom the notice is to be given at least 20 days prior to the application's scheduled hearing date and shall include a copy of the application; the hearing's date, time and place; and how protests are made."

And then it goes on to talk about when you're unable to locate people you publish notice and give them constructive notice.

And in reading that sentence that $I$ just read on the record, the hearing's date, time, and place, I think Mr. McClure is wondering, and so am I, that if the notice has to require a specific date, time, and place of a hearing, and that hearing changes -- the date, time, and place of the hearing changes, you said a moment ago that -- in response to the question, you said a moment ago that it's your
understanding that once you provided that initial hearing date, time, and place, that it's up to the parties to follow that case to the next docketed hearing.

Can you -- has it -- and since you know, I've only been here since August. How long has it been the practice that once you provide initial notice -- because the same thing with the publication; right?

So if you can't locate someone by mail, you're allowed to provide constructive notice by an advertisement at least, what, ten business days before the hearing. So that would apply to both situations, the direct notice and the constructive notice.

How long has it been the practice where it's up to the parties to basically follow the case?

MS. SHAHEEN: Well, in my experience, it's always been that. I haven't been practicing for the Division nearly as long as some of my other colleagues. I would call on Mr. Bruce or Mr. Padilla or even Mr. Feldewert to talk about that.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. SHAHEEN: But that's my
understanding and that's what $I$ learned from my mentor who has practiced before the Division since the time
that Mr. Bruce and Mr. Padilla have been practicing for the Division. I think if you think about it, it's a due process question; right? I mean, that's why you get notice.

And my understanding is the Division has always treated that one notice as sufficient due process to have knowledge of an upcoming hearing.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Well, we have --
MS. SHAHEEN: Whether it's a status conference or whatever it is.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Well, we have Ms. Bennett and Ms. Vance with us on these cases.

So let's start with Ms. Bennett. What do you have to say about that?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. So Rule 19.15.4.15 addresses this issue. And Rule 19.15.4.15 states that -- discusses continuances at adjudicatory hearings and states that once -- I'm paraphrasing here.

But once notice has been given, the -a hearing can be continue without necessity of notice of the same being serviced, served, or published. So I think that is the answer to the question.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I think it is, too.
MS. BENNETT: And that it is not merely
practice, but is within the Division's rules.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yep. I think that's exactly what $I$ was looking for.

Mr. McClure, that answers our question from yesterday.

MR. MCCLURE: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Excellent. Okay.
Ms. Shaheen, let's go onto the next case, 23998. Let me pull it up here. Oh, I forgot to say, Ms. Shaheen, we will take 23997 under advisement.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Now, let's go onto the next case, 23998.

MS. SHAHEEN: With respect to case --
MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm sorry?
MS. SHAHEEN: With respect to case number 23998, I ask that the Division take these -excuse me, admit the Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$ with their subparts and into the record and take case number 23998 under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Are there any objections to receiving into evidence Exhibits $A, B, C$, and their subparts into evidence?

MS. BENNETT: Mr. Hearing Examiner,

| 1 | Deana Bennett. No objection to the admission of the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | revised exhibits. |
| 3 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. |
| 4 | MS. VANCE: No objection from Spur. |
| 5 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Ms. Vance. |
| 6 | So Exhibits A, B, and C and their |
| 7 | subparts in their revised format are admitted into |
| 8 | evidence. |
| 9 | Mr. McClure, do you have any additional |
| 10 | questions on this case? |
| 11 | MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I |
| 12 | do not have any additional questions for this case. |
| 13 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. |
| 14 | This case is now complete and taken |
| 15 | under advisement. And let's go to the final case, |
| 16 | 23999. |
| 17 | Ms. Shaheen? |
| 18 | MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you. I ask that |
| 19 | the Division enter into the record in case number |
| 20 | 23999 Exhibits A, B, and C and all of their subparts |
| 21 | and take -- proceeds to take this case under |
| 22 | advisement. |
| 23 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Are there any |
| 24 | objections to receiving these revised exhibits into |
| 25 | evidence? |
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MS. BENNETT: No objection to receiving the revised exhibit into evidence.

MS. VANCE: No objection from Spur.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Exhibits A, B, and C and their revised subparts are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: I have no further
questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. This case is taken under advisement.

Thank you, Ms. Shaheen.
MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
We're now calling 24058, Cimarex
Energy, for a hearing.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce representing Cimarex.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Bruce, please proceed.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I've submitted an exhibit package. Exhibit 1 is the self-affirmed statement of Pat Gray, the landman in this case.

Order number R22421, which is submitted as Exhibit 1A, pooled mineral interest owners in the

Wolfcamp formation underlying a unit comprised of the W/2 of both Sections 29 and 32, 25 South, 27 East for purposes of drilling the Southern Hills 32-29 Well Number 3H.

That order was entered on December 5, 2022, and of course, requires the well to be commenced within a year of the entry of the order. The -- in this case Cimarex requests an extension of the well commencement deadline to December 5th, 2024. The landman states in paragraph 6 of his affidavit the reasons for that.

Exhibit 2 is the affidavit of mailing with Exhibit 2A, my notice letter. There are five parties. All of them received notice by certified mail. I did not receive a green card back from Oxy. But the Postal Service's online tracking stages show that they did receive notice. But regardless -- and that is my certified notice spreadsheet, Exhibit 3.

But I did publish notice in the newspaper. That is Exhibit 4. And then finally, Exhibit 5 is the application and proposed notice. And the only thing I would comment on that is, of course, the order was set to expire December 5th, a month ago.

But it has been Division practice that if you file an application to extend the order, before
the order expires, they will accept that, so long as the other evidence supports it. And Exhibit 5, I copied the applications file, which shows that the application was filed a week before December 5th.

And so I believe everything is timely and that notice was given. I'd ask that Exhibits 1, 1A, 2, 2A, 3, 4, and 5 be admitted into the record and the matter be taken under advisement. Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Are there any objections to admitting Exhibits 1 through 5 into evidence?

Not hearing any, Exhibits 1 through 5 are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Mr. Bruce, it is your understanding that the public notice was not required; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: I -- on these extension requests, I've always notified the pooled parties of the extension request. Since $I$ am the author of the New Mexico Supreme Court decision on certified notice, I guess I feel a little sensitive to that matter.

MR. MCCLURE: Well, I apologize,

Mr. Bruce, but that actually wasn't my question, I guess. Let me rephrase my question. Is it your understanding that all direct notice was received by all the persons, therefore, the public notice was not actually needed to cure notice in this case; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: It's -- that is correct, but as of yesterday, I hadn't received the green card back from Oxy. And I -- assuming I do and the holidays have certainly screwed up that type of stuff, I would -- I will probably file that as soon as I receive it.

I'll probably get to the Post Office, you know, Friday or Saturday and see if that's -- has arrived. And -- but anyway, I did give publication notice.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Well, the reason, I guess, that I'm asking these questions is you were out of date on your public notice.

MR. BRUCE: Oh, I was?
MR. MCCLURE: Yeah.
MR. BRUCE: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: You probably missed the New Year's Day or Christmas Day, one or the other, in your calculation of days.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah, I've done that before, yes. If that's the case, I request that the case be continued to January 18th, just for the purpose of letting the time pass, but hopefully by then $I$ will have received the green card back.

But as you can tell by Exhibit 3, I had to attach the Postal Service's online notice. But I haven't gotten a green card back. So whatever you guys decide.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Bruce, why don't we do this? Why don't you file a continuance in case number 24058, move it out to the next docket on the 18th of January, and we will pick this up where we left off? Unless Mr. McClure has any further questions for you, we'll be done with this case for today.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. MCCLURE: Oh, no, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I have no further questions. Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thanks for catching that, Mr. McClure.

And Mr. Bruce, we'll look for your motion -- not your motion, your continuance file.

MR. BRUCE: Well, I will file today.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Sorry, Mr. Bruce, I
didn't catch the last bit of what you said. And maybe you're gone now. So I guess it wasn't that important.

Okay. Let's continue. We are now going to call 24062 , SPC Resources.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of the applicant in this case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning. Please proceed.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. In this case SPC Resources is seeking an order to amend Division order R-21096 as amended, to allow for a one-year extension of time to drill an initial well under the order, until February 17th, 2025.

The approved order that we're seeking to amend here constitutes a spacing unit of the -comprised of the $W / 2$ and the $\mathrm{E} / 2$ of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East and the $W / 2$ and E/2 of Section 7, Township 22 South, Range 27 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The ordered pooled uncommitted ownership interests in the Wolfcamp formation in the Purple Sage Wolfcamp pool. The unit is dedicated to the Caveman 402 H well and the Caveman 442 H well. In
this case, Mr. Examiner, we filed Exhibits A, B, and C on Tuesday.

Exhibit $A$ is a copy of the application that was filed in the case requesting the extension of time. It lays out the history and background that preceded the filing of the application and a summary of the justification for the requested extension. Exhibit B attached to the Exhibit packet is a landman statement from Mr. Chris Astwood.

Mr. Astwood is a landman that has previously testified before the Division and has had his credentials as an expert in petroleum matters accepted as a matter of record.

In his affidavit or rather his self-affirmed statement, Mr. Astwood reviews the history and background that is outlined in the application and provided some additional details in support of the requested extension. The current deadline, as $I$ mentioned under the order, would be February 17th, 2024.

The company's requesting an additional one year, until February 17th, 2025. However, it does intend and the drilling of these wells is on its rig schedule in June. So it has -- it intends to drill these wells before the one-year period.

A couple things to note, Mr. Examiner, SPC Resources has recently been acquired and is now a wholly owned subsidiary of Permian Resources. That sale closed just in December, but it is effective back to September. Permian Resources, as I mentioned, you know, has hit the ground running with this.

They have every interest in drilling these wells and, in fact, they're on their schedule for June. While the acquisition is a factor in this and the request for an extension of time is not the principal factor, as you'll see in the testimony that was outlined here, there's some -- a long history of issues related to the Carlsbad brine well.

In fact, the spacing unit at issue is within the one-mile radius of the Carlsbad brine well remediation site. And just on November 13th, the Division lifted it's final drilling suspension, lifting and permitting drilling operations within a one-mile radius of the brine well.

So clearing any further, sort of, regulatory obstacles to drilling within the spacing unit. So with that, that all is outlined in Mr. Astwood's statement.

In addition, attached to his statement
is Exhibit B1, which is a copy of the notice letter
and mailing report, reflecting the status of the updated notice that was provided to all the mineral interest owners who were subject to the pooling order, which is attached as well.

In the preparation for this hearing and this application, SPC did do a complete review of ownership and updated notice to all the owners within the spacing unit. Importantly, as is outlined in Mr. Astwood's statement, no pooled party has been asked to pay any of their shares of expenses yet.

No other operator has proposed a competing plan of development and there are no other wells in this acreage. And no party has objected to the requested extension. And as stated, the extension here was the most expedient path forward to allow for the wells to be developed and this acreage to be drilled.

It will allow for the proposed initial wells to be drilled within SPC's June rig schedule deadline. And one thing I wanted -- I need to point out, that came to our attention. The -- two of the parties in the -- within the pooled unit are new owners. They acquired their interests two days before the application was filed in this case.

And those two parties are Cibolo

Resources, LLC, and TH McElvain Oil \& Gas. Those two parties are listed and included in the certified mailing report. However, the notice to those parties was mailed after the deadline, so they have not yet had 20 days' notice prior to the hearing.

What happened is as we were reviewing the updated parties, we identified -- or the SPC identified that there was an assignment immediately preceding the filing of the application. And so, therefore, these parties are entitled to notice. So they did get notice, however, it has not yet been 20 days.

So what we'll do, Mr. Examiner, is at the end of this $I$ will ask that this case be continued to the January $18 t h$ docket to allow for notice to those parties to be perfected. I will submit a supplemental statement from Mr. Astwood that identifies -- provides the green card showing that the notice was provided.

You'll see and hear that we've already provided the certified mailing registry tracking number, but we'll go ahead and provide the green card showing that notice was sent and the date it was sent, so you can confirm that notice will have been perfected by January 18th. As to --

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Rankin, it shows on the Post Office website the latest update says "The customer has requested that the Postal Service redeliver this item on January 4th, in the West Lake Hills, Texas ZIP Code." And so until it's delivered, it's not actually -- they're not actually on notice; is that right?

MR. RANKIN: Well, I don't know that's true. I mean, I think the notice -- the addresses that we provided notice to by certified mail are the addresses in the assignments that were reported of record with the county. So they're valid addresses of record as outlined in the assignments.

So, you know, sometimes there's issues, sometimes they're not there, they refused to accept certified mailing service. I mean, I don't know what the issues may be, but they're valid addresses. So we just ask that we be given time to allow the notice to be perfect.

Well, of course, if we have proof, as the rules provide, of -- that notice was actually received, then we can provide that. But as of right now, I haven't checked myself, so I don't know exactly the status today of what the mailing is on those two.

But they are valid addresses that the
addresses that were filed of record in November, I believe, when the assignments were made. And so they -- you know, there's no reason to believe that they wouldn't be received.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. So
are you asking to take this case under advisement and the exhibits into evidence?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I will -yeah, I -- just one other exhibit to present. And that's the Exhibit $C$, which is a copy of the affidavit of publication that was published in the Carlsbad Current-Argus.

It reflects that notice of the application in today's hearing was timely published and identifies each of the pooled parties by name, except for Cibolo and McElvain. Those two parties were not identified in that publication because at the time we did not know of their assignment.

So Mr. Examiner, at this time we would move the admission of Exhibits A through C. And as I noted, $I$ will file a supplemental exhibit just to confirm that the notice was provided to Cibolo and McElvain. We'll provide a copy of the assignment so you can see the addresses that we sent the notices to were the addresses that were in the assignments.

And then we ask that this case -- I will ask and file a formal continuance that these cases be continued to the January 18th docket.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I understand.
So are there any objections to
receiving Exhibits A through C into evidence?
Not hearing any, Exhibits A, B, B1, and C are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure, do you have any questions?
MR. MCCLURE: Yes, I do. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Mr. Rankin, setting aside for a second the additional two persons, this notice letter is dated December 6, 2023. Is it your understanding that that was also the date that it was mailed out?

MR. RANKIN: I have to double check that, Mr. McClure. I'm not sure that -- it may have been mailed out a little bit later than that, because there were so many noticed parties. I think it's nearly 500 people were required to get notice. So I'm not exactly sure of the exact dates of notice. I can pull that for you and confirm what the date was.

I know that it was timely. I can confirm that it was timely because the deadline to provide notice was December $15 t h$ and $I$ know it was
provided in advance of that.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. So you know to provide it prior to December 15th, essentially, is what you're getting at?

MR. RANKIN: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you. Now, the two new persons, and I probably missed it in one of these paragraphs, you referenced the date that that had actually went out in writing in the application here?

MR. RANKIN: I don't -- I didn't reference the date that those notices went out. I -it was -- I believe they were sent on the December $22 n d$, because $I$ went through this yesterday to figure it all out. It was December 22 nd that the notice of those two new parties was mailed.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Now, you referenced that you were going to file some amended exhibits anyway. Is it your intent to also include reference to when those were mailed out then?

MR. RANKIN: Those being the -- for the two additional parties?

MR. MCCLURE: Yes, that's correct.
MR. RANKIN: Yes, I will.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Rankin.
Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. No further questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So, Mr. Rankin, I want to take some notes before we move on. So let me get to my notes section on 24062. All right. So Mr. Rankin, you're going to file for a continuance to the January 18th docket?

MR. RANKIN: Correct. That would give the -- allow for the notice to be perfected to those two additional parties.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And their names are Cibolo and what?

MR. RANKIN: Cibolo Resources, LLC is one, and the other is TH McElvain Oil \& Gas.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And then it seemed as though Mr. McClure asked you if you -- and you said you were going to file amended exhibits to show that -- whatever happened with those two notices. Was there something else that you were going to amend as well or file?

MR. RANKIN: No, I just was going to file, I guess I would frame them as, supplemental exhibits, in addition to our exhibits. So it's just a supplementation to demonstrate that notice was sent to
these additional parties on, I believe it was -certified mailing was sent on the 22 nd of December.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And let me see.
Mr. McClure, help me out. Was there something else that you were wondering whether Mr. Rankin was going to either amend or file?

MR. MCCLURE: No, I think how he has laid out is my understanding as well.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So we'll keep the record open, Mr. Rankin, for the supplemental exhibits and we will conclude this hearing on January 18th.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Is there anything else in this case?

MR. RANKIN: Nothing further. Thank you. I appreciate your consideration.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, $I$ hope you feel better.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: You're welcome.
Okay. Let's move onto the next case.
It looks like 24064. Yes, 24064, Spur Energy. We're having a hearing today by affidavit, Ms. Hardy?

MS. HARDY: Hi, Mr. Examiner --
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MR. CHAKALIAN: Ah, that's right. Are you back?

MS. HARDY: I am back. Ms. McLean is going to present this Spur case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. HARDY: But I do have the
information that came up previously on case number 23985 and 23986 for Permian Resources.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let's recall case 23985, 23986. Ms. Hardy, what do you have for us?

MS. HARDY: Thank you. So first, on the exhibits, $I$ wanted to clarify in both cases that we had provided additional notice exhibits that are numbers $\mathrm{C} 4,5,6$, and 7. Those are --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let me locate those. Hold on.

MS. HARDY: -- additional exhibits that show, because they only relate to the notice to the new parties.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let me get there. Hold on a second. 23985. I'm just going to look at 23985 first.

MS. HARDY: Sure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Now, what filing are
you talking about? Which date of -- which filing date?

MS. HARDY: If you look at our second notice of admitted exhibits that was filed yesterday. MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good, yeah. And what page are we on?

MS. HARDY: It starts at Exhibit C4. Which let me get there, page number wise. Should be page -- I'm scrolling through. We had a lot of parties to notice in this original case. So it's taking me a minute. I am sorry. A whole lot of parties, actually. Okay. So beginning at page -PDF, exhibit packet, page number 108.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm on 108 , C4. Okay.

So when we last heard this case a little earlier today, Mr. McClure, what was Ms. Hardy curing?

MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, that was the first take point that was out of the pooled area.

MS. HARDY: Right. So I was going to address that next, but $I$ wanted to clarify the exhibits that we had actually submitted that were amended, because Mr. Examiner, you had asked about
that.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I understand.
MS. HARDY: Okay. So that was the first thing. And then the take points -- and I -it's my understanding those would be only an issue in case number 23985, Mr. McClure. And those C102s need to be corrected to show the take point moving, you know, roughly 100 feet to the east so it's within the unit.

It's just -- it was an error on those documents. So I can go ahead and submit C102s once I receive them from the -- my client. They've asked them to be corrected in case number 23985.

MR. MCCLURE: Now, Ms. Hardy, I apologize, how far to the east did you say they were changing the first take point?

MS. HARDY: I mean, I think they should move roughly 100 feet to the east. I mean, they'll move so that they're, you know, within the pooled unit, basically. I think it was just a mistake. It might have been -- it should have been 2540 or something like that and it was 2450 . So they matched up the take point with the surface location.

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, I apologize. I was looking at the case file and I just thought I
missed -- I had not heard you say where getting moved to. We'll evaluate the C102, I guess, when they do get submitted. Just for your information, though, it's -- it would need moved to the east greater than 200 feet is what it appears from first glance, anyway.

MS. HARDY: But to be in a orthodox location, it would need to be 100 feet, right, from the end of the unit?

MR. MCCLURE: You're right, but you're 200 feet to the west of the area.

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: So to be a standard location, you'd actually have to move 300 feet.

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: But this case would be approvable as long as you're within the area, provided they then get it in a cell.

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: But that's neither here nor there for this case, I guess.

MS. HARDY: Right. So the area in the application and the exhibits is correct. It's just we need to update those C 102 s so that they match.

MR. MCCLURE: Now, the certified
letters that had went out with the well proposals,
essentially what you got submitted to us here is just to tell us what went out in mail. This isn't really providing information to us, per se, other than to show us what you sent to the interest owners; is that correct?

MS. HARDY: The notice information?
MR. MCCLURE: On page 21 of 125.
MS. HARDY: Right. So that is Permian Resources' well proposal letter, correct.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. So essentially all you're presenting to us is just telling us -essentially showing us what you had sent to them.

The reason $I$ ask is because in theory we would want to correct all errors in the exhibits, but that would -- seems like now I'm looking at it, that'd be inappropriate to correct here -- went out. So this letter --

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: So this exhibit is correct. It just has incorrect information on it.

MS. HARDY: Right. The well
proposal --
MR. MCCLURE: Is what I'm trying to get at.

MS. HARDY: The well proposal contains
a typo on the first take point for the wells, in case number 23985, right. But typically in the past, you know, if it's -- there's not a substantial change in what's been proposed, it's really an issue going to good faith negotiation. So that wouldn't be something we would need to correct with the Division.

MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I guess my recommendation here would be to continue it till they get this new $C 102$ submitted and we'll review the C102s at whatever date it's continued. But my question to you is do you want me to continue with my questioning of these cases?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Hold on. Good question. So 23985, does that same problem occur in 23986?

MR. MCCLURE: No.
MS. HARDY: No, well --
MR. MCCLURE: Oh, yeah.
MS. HARDY: Sorry.
MR. MCCLURE: We both agree, I guess.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Hardy?
MS. HARDY: It does not, no.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Why don't we do
this? Why don't we -- Ms. Hardy, why don't you file a continuance in 23985 to cure any problems with the
exhibits. I will take notes to specifically mention which exhibits you're going to be amending. I'm going to do that now, actually.

And then let's take up 23986, because it sounds like we can dispose of that one case.

MS. HARDY: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Is that correct,
Ms. Hardy?
MS. HARDY: That's correct. And the amended C102s would be Exhibit A2 --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, no, I haven't quite --

MS. HARDY: Oh, sorry.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I haven't quite reached the area that $I$ need to get to and it'll just delay me here. All right. So let's see. Okay. So you're going to file a continuance. Continue by applicant to January 18th; is that right?

MS. HARDY: Yes, that's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: On docket to cure the C102 where you're going to move the take point about 200 feet to the east; is that about right?

MS. HARDY: That's about right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Any other things you're curing in the exhibits?

MS. HARDY: Not that $I$ know of,
Mr. Examiner. I hope not.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
Mr. McClure, is there anything -- I
know you haven't completed your questions for this case. But if any of your questions go to fixing any other exhibits, it might be a good idea to put Ms. Hardy on notice of that now.

MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. That is correct. There is additional notice, relatively minor, but this goes for both this case and case 23986.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Then why don't you ask all your questions now so that Ms. Hardy knows everything she needs to revise before January 18th.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Sounds good. You want me to go ahead and continue right now?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, please. Yes.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
Ms. Hardy, I guess it's not really a question. It's more informational, I guess, on your part.

It appears that these wells will
actually traverse two different pools, one of them being the pool you have selected, and one of them being an additional pool. And the C102s will need to reflect this and then the pooling checklist will need to also reflect this.

MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you.
MR. MCCLURE: Are you ready, I guess, for those pool codes and areas?

MS. HARDY: Yes, please.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. You're currently listed Parkway Bone Spring pool, pool code 49622. That is correct for the NE/4 of Section 5. And the SE/4 of Section 5 as well.

MS. HARDY: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: Now, for the -- 3 and 4, the correct pool is Gatuna Canyon. Pool code on it is 96688.

MS. HARDY: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: Are you comfortable looking up that pool name on your side or do you want me to try to spell the name out to you?

MS. HARDY: I can look it up,
Mr. McClure. Thank you. Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: As far as corrections, I believe that's the only correction, but I do have one
additional quick question that applies to both of these cases.

Just to confirm, as of now, all of the offset operators, or $I$ should say effective persons, of the NSP for both of these cases, have they now either been noticed or provided waivers?

MS. HARDY: Yes, that's correct.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you. No further questions. Thank you, Ms. Hardy.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure, are you suggesting that the waivers be part of the exhibits?

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, they are part of the exhibits already, it looks like.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Right.
MR. MCCLURE: Those are those emails that's at the intel.

Is that correct, Ms. Hardy? Are they all included?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Yeah, because they definitely need to be there. I just thought they were.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So the -- my notes in this case and only this case, 23985, show that Ms. Hardy will continue this hearing, which has
already begun, to the January 18th docket to cure the C102 to move the take point about 200 feet to the east and to amend the checklist to show the two pools instead of just one.

Mr. McClure, does that handle it?
MR. MCCLURE: Correct. The only maybe additional caveat is the $C 102$ will also be corrected to include the additional pool.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So amend the checklist and the C102 to show the two pools. Got it.

MR. MCCLURE: Yep.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
So Ms. Hardy, we'll take up this case on January 18th, continue the hearing here. And let's continue with your other case. And that's case 23986.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner or
Mr. McClure, it sounds like we need to correct the pool codes in 23986 as well; right?

MR. MCCLURE: That is correct, Ms. Hardy.

MS. HARDY: Okay. So in that one, I don't know if it's the Division's preference for me to just submit those or if we need to continue that case as well.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let me ask Mr. McClure.

MR. MCCLURE: In the past, and I see no reason not to continue the practice, we've been taking under advisement, leaving the record open for them to submit the amended.

MR. CHAKALIAN: If you're comfortable with that, that's what we'll do.

So Ms. Hardy, have we -- have I been asked to enter the exhibits into evidence?

MS. HARDY: Yes. And if I haven't asked that, then $I$ would request that all of the exhibits be admitted into evidence and that the case be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I don't remember if we did that before we took a recess on these two cases. So let's just be careful.

So are there any objections to taking these Exhibits A through C in their revised state into evidence?

Not hearing any, Exhibits A, B, C, and their subparts in their revised state, as submitted on January the 3rd, are received into evidence. We are going to leave the record open for Ms. Hardy to correct the C 102 to add the second pool code.

Is that right, Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Yes, that is correct,

Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good.
MR. MCCLURE: As well as the checklist as well. Did you -- I apologize.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, and on the
checklist both. Okay. Very good.
MR. MCCLURE: Yeah.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Hardy, when will
you do that?
MS. HARDY: I should be able to do that within -- I mean, by middle of next week, I think.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That's fine. January 10th?

MS. HARDY: Sure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. So I'm going to take some notes on this case.

Mr. McClure, is there any other questions on this case?

MR. MCCLURE: No further questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So we will take case 23986 under advisement while we wait for those amended exhibits.

MR. MCCLURE: Did we have a submittal date on those? I apologize. I'm not sure if I heard.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That's the 5th.
MR. MCCLURE: The 5th, okay. Thank
you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: We have -- I'm sorry.
We have the 10th of January as the date for submission.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. The 10th, okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: The 10th, the middle of next week. The 10th.

Is that your understanding, Ms. Hardy?
MS. HARDY: Yes, thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And Ms. Hardy,
if there's nothing else, then we will conclude 23986 and come back on the 18th for 23985.

MS. HARDY: Thank you very much.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
Now, I am calling 24064, Spur Energy Partners.

MS. MCLEAN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. It's Jackie McLean with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Spur Energy Partners.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good morning, Ms. McLean.

Let me check with the court reporter for a moment. Do you need a five-minute break,

Mr. Court Reporter?
THE REPORTER: Yes, please, whenever you get a stopping point.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let's -- Ms. Court
Reporter. We had an Australian man. What is your name?

THE REPORTER: I'm Dana.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, Dana, welcome back.
THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And happy New Year's to you.

Okay. Let's take a five-minute break. It is -- let's say it's 11:30 now. It's not really. We'll make it fiction. And we'll come back at 11:35. Thank you.
(Off the record.)
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. McLean?
MS. MCLEAN: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: We ready to have you.
MS. MCLEAN: Thank you. I'm ready to go. All right.

In case number 24064, Spur applies for an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Yeso formation underlying 160-acre, more or less, standard horizontal spacing unit. That's comprised of the $\mathrm{S} / 2$

N/2 of Section 28, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, in Eddy County. And the unit will be dedicated to the Weezer 28 Fee 1 H and 10 H and 60 H wells.

Spur has submitted an exhibit packet for this case that contains a compulsory pooling checklist along with Exhibit A, the self-affirmed statement of Scott Hartman. And Mr. Hartman has previously testified before the Division as an expert in petroleum land matters.

The exhibits attached to his self-affirmed statement include the application, proposed notice of hearing, C102s, a plot of tracts, the tract ownership interest, a unit recapitulation, and a full parties list, as well as a sample well proposal letter and AFEs, and a chronology of contacts.

Exhibit $B$ is the self-affirmed statement of geologist Matthew Van Wie. Mr. Van Wie has also previously testified before the Division. And attached to his self-affirmed statement is a location map, subc structure map, structural cross section, a gun barrel diagram and a wellbore location map.

And then finally, Exhibit C, which is the notice testimony. And attached to that is a copy
of the notice letter that was sent to all interested parties, a chart setting out when notice was sent and when we received the green cards back, copies of the certified mail receipts and returns, and affidavit of publication.

And that shows that we timely published on December 13, 2023. And with that, I ask that Exhibits A through $C$ be admitted into the record in case number 24064 and that the case be taken under advisement. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Ms. McLean.
Are there any objections to taking Exhibits A through C into evidence?

Hearing none, Exhibits $A, B, C$, and their subparts are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McLean? I'm sorry.
MS. MCLEAN: We do have similar names.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Ms. McLean.
Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: [No audible response.]
MR. CHAKALIAN: You're muted, sir.
MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's a good sign, Ms. McLean. This case will be taken under advisement.

Thank you.
MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I am now calling 24066,
Mewbourne Oil.
MS. MCLEAN: That is also me,
Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. MCLEAN: Jackie McLean on behalf of
Mewbourne Oil Company.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Please proceed.
MS. MCLEAN: Thank you. In case number 24066, Mewbourne seeks an order pooling additional uncommitted interests under Division order number R-22195-A, which had pooled uncommitted interests in the Wolfcamp formation underlying a non-standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the W/2 of Sections 6 and 7, Township 19 South, Range 35 East, in Lea County.

And the order dedicated the unit to the Beefalo $7 / 6$ State Com \#713H well. Since the order was entered, Mewbourne has identified additional interests in the unit that should be pooled under the terms of the order. And so we have submitted an exhibit packet in support of the application.

```
                    Exhibit A is a self-affirmed statement
```

of Brad Dunn. And the exhibits attached to his self-affirmed include the application and proposed notice of hearing, a copy of the order $R-22195-A, p l o t$ of tracts with the tract ownership interests, and a pooled parties list, a sample well proposal letter, and AFEs, and a chronology of contacts.

We also have Exhibit B, which is a notice testimony. And attached to Exhibit B is a copy of the notice letter sent to the additional parties to be pooled, a chart setting out when notice was sent and received back, copies of the certified mail receipts and returns, and an affidavit of publication, which shows that we timely published on December 12, 2023.

And I ask that Exhibits $A$ and $B$ be admitted into the record and that case number 24066 be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
Let's start out with the exhibits. Are there any objections to receiving Exhibits $A$ and $B$ and their subparts into evidence?

Not hearing any, they are so admitted.
Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
Ms. McLean, so Mewbourne missed 11
percent of Oxy's ownership in 120 acres?

MS. MCLEAN: That appears to be
correct. You know, I'm not totally in on all of what goes on, but from my understanding, they did not -when they reran the title and they needed to add Oxy to the pooling, it was just updating the title work and that sometimes happens. There's sometime changes in ownership interests, that sort of thing.

So that's why we're reopening the case so that we can, you know, provide them notice, give them an opportunity to participate. And now that's what we have done.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you. Yeah, I was just -- originally there was originally -- even though that it states as such in the packet, I was almost -- that maybe originally Oxy was committed and now they decided not to be committed or they're working out a deal.

But obviously if the new -- are identified, then exactly so need to go through and amend. Okay. Thank you, Ms. McLean, no further questions.

Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Mr. McClure. We'll take this case under advisement, Ms. McLean. Thank you.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm calling Devon
Energy Production, compulsory pooling, cases 24067 , '68, '69, and '70.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Good morning, Mr. Technical Examiner.
Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill, appearing on behalf of the applicant, Devon Energy Production Company, L.P.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Are you ready to proceed?

MR. SAVAGE: I am. I believe there's some appearances made.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, appearing on behalf of MRC Permian. I will point out that when $I$ was looking at the files this morning, I noted that we had appeared for MRC in case 24070. I should have appeared in all four of these Tiger Paw cases, which is 24067,24068 , and 24069 .

I'm doing that now and we are also --
or have filed this morning an entry of appearance for MRC Permian in these other three cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Are you objecting to going forward by affidavit?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. Cases 24067, 24068, 24069 , and 24070 presented a consolidated form cover lands in Sections 22, 23, and 24, in Township 20 South, Range 29 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

The landman, Andy Bennett, has testified previously before the Division and his credentials have been accepted as an expert in petroleum land matters. And the geologist as well has testified previously before the Division and is an expert witness.

In case number 24067, Devon seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation, designated as an oil pool, underlying a standard 640-acre, more or less, spacing unit comprised of the N/2 of Sections 23 and 24. The unit will be dedicated to the Tiger Paw 24-23 Fed Com 331H Well.

> Orientation of the well and unit is
laid down east to west and the location of the well is standard. The 331 H well is a proximity well in its position to create the larger standard unit. Mr. Bennett's Exhibit A --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Savage, excuse me. MR. SAVAGE: Yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm just looking through your exhibits while you're speaking and it -I've not seen a signature, in this matter is what $I$ mean. I've not seen -- so Mr. Andy Bennett, the way he signed his self-affirming statement is different than I've ever seen before.

MR. SAVAGE: That's correct,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. Mr. Bennett was travelling during the holidays when we put this together and we were doing things by email. And so since he was not available to provide a signature with a scanning machine or fax, we decided to do it by email.

I believe that satisfies -- the way it's worded satisfies all the criteria for an official signature. But if there's an issue there, we can certainly do a revised signature page.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And Mr. Feldewert, have
you seen this?
MR. FELDEWERT: I don't believe I had.

MR. CHAKALIAN: It's on page 16, the signature page.

MR. FELDEWERT: No, I'm sorry. I'm looking at that now. If you ask me if I've seen it done this way before, $I$ don't recall.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. But you have no objection to it?

MR. FELDEWERT: The answer to that question is no, I don't.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
MR. FELDEWERT: I know Mr. Bennett. He's not going to do anything.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And Mr. McClure, are you okay with this?

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, I am fine, as long as you're good with it. I'm good.

MR. CHAKALIAN: There's nothing for me to rule on. I don't have any reason to question it more than it's different and Mr. Savage has provided an explanation on the record. There's no objection from anyone.

So Mr. Savage, please continue.
MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Bennett's Exhibit A for case 24067 includes his landman self-affirmed statement signed by email and
authenticated by email, a C102, ownership breakdown, well proposal letter with AFE, and the chronology of contacts.

Mr. Dixon's Exhibit B for this case includes his self-affirmed geology statement, along with six geology exhibits showing the potential for development as he describes in his statement.

Exhibit C provides a self-affirmed statement of notice for mailings and publication notice. Notice was timely mailed. Devon found all owners to be locatable. Service of notice by publication was timely and published to account for any unforeseen contingencies.

Mr. Hearing Examiner, at this point, I'll go ahead and ask that the Exhibits A, B, and C for case 24067 be -- and all sub-exhibits be admitted into the record and this case be taken under advisement, pursuant to any questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Savage.

Are there any objections to Exhibits A, $B$, and $C$ taken into evidence?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. Not -thank you.

Exhibits A, B, C, and their subparts are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: I have no questions for this case or any of the other three, provided that any questions Mr. Feldewert might have doesn't jog something, I guess.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Feldewert, do you have any
questions on this case?
MR. FELDEWERT: I do not.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
24067 is hereby taken under advisement. Let's move onto the next case, 24068.

Mr. Savage, that introduction and presentation that you gave, does it also suffice for this case?

MR. SAVAGE: It does in fact suffice. And I'm looking to see if there's any anomalies and I don't see any.

So I would ask that -- I would state that if going to the -- over all the remaining three cases and in all the remaining three cases, including the -- and the first case, Mr. Bennett and Mr. Dixon affirmed that the approval of these applications is in
the best interest of conservation, protection of correlative rights, and the prevention of waste, and will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells.

So at this time, I move that A, B -Exhibits A, B, and C and all sub-exhibits for cases 24068, 24069, and 24070 be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let's start out with 24068. I'll take them one at a time.

Any objections to these exhibits listed here on page 2 of the packet, submitted on the 2 nd of January, being admitted into evidence?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, I -- but I do have one question now that I'm looking this. And I'm -- I don't know. I'm looking at the packet for the first case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Well, let me finish here and then we'll go back to the other case.

So Exhibits A, B, C, and their subparts in case 24068 are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure, you said you had no questions for this case?

MR. MCCLURE: That's correct,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Great.
And Mr. Feldewert, you have no

| 1 | questions on this case; is that correct? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. FELDEWERT: The question I have |
| 3 | probably applies to all four. |
| 4 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Fine. So why |
| 5 | don't you ask it now? |
| 6 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Great. |
| 7 | So I'm looking at the ownership |
| 8 | breakdown for the tracts, Mr. Savage. |
| 9 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Feldewert, what |
| 10 | page are you on? |
| 11 | MR. FELDEWERT: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm on |
| 12 | page 20 of 50, in case 24067 . |
| 13 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Yeah, I'm in -- okay. |
| 14 | Let's -- can you find the -- since we're in 24068 |
| 15 | right now. |
| 16 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. |
| 17 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Can you find the page |
| 18 | number in this case so that we're all looking at the |
| 19 | same thing? |
| 20 | MR. FELDEWERT: I can attempt to do |
| 21 | that. 24068 , is that the one you said, Mr. Examiner? |
| 22 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | MR. FELDEWERT: Give me a minute. |
| 24 | Okay. So I'm on 24068. I'm on page, now, 27 of 63. |
| 25 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Perfect. Thank you. |
|  | Page 161 |

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you. So --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Go ahead.
MR. FELDEWERT: I'm looking at, I guess it's, Tract 1, 160 acres. It has the Federal Abstract Company in Santa Fe, and/or the Matador Entities. And then when I go to the compilation of interests, down on page 28, it basically reflects the same thing.

And then when $I$ go to page 29, at the bottom, pooling list recapitulation, it's got the Federal Abstract, Matador, and MRC entities. And then it's either -- it has working interest/royalty interest. I'm trying to figure out what Matador has, based on your records. Do you know, Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: This is what Devon has provided. So they have a working interest ownership. I mean, as $I$ understand this, this is as Matador and/or MRC. And I don't know the exact entity that it was finally signed into, but they prevailed in a federal sale of lease.

And so there was, you know -- going through that process, I believe that Devon attempted to have a large net, making sure that everybody was -all the parties were addressed that could have been involved in that process for the federal lease and the assignment -- you know, in the assignment.

So Matador, MRC owns working interests and apparently they're also a record title owner because there hasn't been any subsequent assignments since that sale, that sale being fairly recent. So -- and if there's a way to refine this or to, you know, make it more precise, you know, we would certainly -- and if that's necessary -- the Division finds that necessary, we could certainly, you know, look at that. But as it was done at the time of the -- putting the exhibits together, that is the way that Devon addressed it.

MR. FELDEWERT: So in the proposed units in this case, Devon intends to credit the correct Matador entity with 43.75 working interest?

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, that would be correct. I mean, I believe that they -- after the pooling order's issued, they would repropose -- and they propose it and people would make their elections and they're in direct communication with Matador and any subsequent entity that's involved.

So as I understand, the 43.75 percent would be credited to the correct entity in this. It's analogous to how -- where you have ambiguity of title between, you know, two different entities and you list those entities and note that because of the ambiguity
you're going to pool both of them. That's kind of analogous to how, I guess, Devon approached this.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. So the reason the Federal Abstract Company is listed is why? Because it -- do you know?

MR. SAVAGE: I don't have a full understanding of that, no.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
MR. SAVAGE: As I would presume or assume, somehow that Federal Abstract Company was involved in the shortchange from the federal sale to the actual acquisition. But I -- that was just my speculation on that, $I$ would assume. But we could certainly identify that if that -- you believe that's a title issue.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Well, that's all the questions $I$ have.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, Mr. Feldewert, Mr. Savage left you with a question which is they can fix that if necessary. Do you object to me taking this case under advisement at this point or do you not?

```
MR. FELDEWERT: I do not.
```

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: And if they can provide
some clarification either, you know, after today or when they send out the election under the pooling order, that would be very helpful.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. McClure, do you have any question based on what Mr. Feldewert asked?

MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I would -- these application packets, I think the nature they did it is a little unusual. I think maybe the reason for that is there may actually be a question as to whether they're allowed to do so under rule the way they have done it. Specifically I'm looking at 19.15.4.12. A.(1)(b) (iii).

And in -- within that rule it says that "the names and last known addresses of the interests -- pooled and the nature and percent of their interests and an attestment that the applicant has conducted a diligent search." And then it goes on to talk about addresses and records and such.

I guess the question $I$ would have is whether what was presented here would qualify as a -portraying the nature and percent of each of the interest owners' interests, I guess, since they have, like, three different interest owners summed together. MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: I believe I can address that. So because this is a federal sale, the -- and Matador, as the overriding company, prevailed in that sale, there -- the total interests -- so let's say I'm looking at Tract 1 in case 24067. That total 25 interest is going to go to a Matador -- Matador as ownership or Matador entity or affiliate.

So I believe that the full 25 percent is accounted for under the regulation, because we've identified. It just happened that this was pursuant to a federal sale.

And so this Federal Abstract Company, as far as I understand, would have been some -possibly some kind of an intermediary, but is not going to be the entity that finally owns this, as I understand. So there shouldn't be a question of the Division of the percentage.

You know, Federal Abstract, they -- you know, they received notice at that address, 419 East Palace Avenue. That was more of a gratuitous -- I would assume that is a gratuitous notice, but it's not material to the final evaluation of this application.

But if -- you know, if there is a
need -- I mean, I don't see a material issue here, but if there is a need to clarify this, I mean, as

Mr. Feldewert pointed out, Devon and Matador can certainly -- are in communication and the interests would be precisely defined about who makes the election.

So there shouldn't be -- you know, this is -- you know, I -- as I said, it's somewhere in -the OCD in the past has addressed this issue when, for example, there's an ambiguity of title, where two parties may have ownership, but you don't know exactly which one.

The Division has accounted for that ambiguity by allowing the pooling of both and allowing the parties to address the matter after the order has been issued and the elections made.

I think, you know -- and that's a situation where you would not know specifically who owns what percentage, potentially. But in this situation, you do know who owns the percentage, and that would be Matador.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Mr. Savage -- you're fine with me addressing Mr. Savage directly; right, Mr. Hearing Examiner?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, please. You're -yep.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Savage, okay. So I guess just to make sure I'm understanding then, slice -- pie being 25 percent interest in, well jack 1 for instance, here. Like, that is defined.

The question is just whether -- the question is as to who actually owns it now. Is that what the question is? And it's one of these three different entities or two different entities, because it seems like you've got Matador and their subsidiary lumped together here.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay. As I understand this, it'll be Matador who will be the owner. But they may -- and I believe Mr. Feldewert made the appearance on behalf of MRC Permian. So that may be the final owner of this, but it's not going to be partitioned up amongst those, as I understand.

It would be MRC Permian who would be the final owner of that full slice of pie, I assume, or, you know, Matador would be the other entity -- the only other entity that could have claim of ownership to it, as I understand.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Savage.

> Mr. Hearing Examiner, I have no further
concerns on Mr. Savage's explanation.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right.
Well, we will take this case under advisement, Mr. Savage, and we will move onto 24069. And let's take a look at the Exhibits in 24069. I am seeing -- excuse me?

MR. SAVAGE: No, I'm sorry. I didn't know if you wanted me to provide any additional presentation before we just --

MR. CHAKALIAN: No, I think you already asked me if $I$ would take this under advisement and you finished your presentation. So I just need to go case by case.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So we're in 24069. I'm looking at Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$ and their subparts. Are there any objections to taking these into evidence?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
They are so admitted.
And Mr. McClure, I think you already
said you didn't have questions on this case?
MR. MCCLURE: That is correct, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Great.
So 24069 is taken under advisement.
And then we have, last but not least, number '70. And in number 70 I seemed to see an additional submission. What is here? There are two submissions yesterday. I see an exhibit packet with Exhibits A through C, and then I see, ah, the entry of appearance for Mr. Feldewert in this case. Now I see. Okay.

All right. Are there any objections to taking Exhibits A, B, C into evidence?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
Exhibits A, B, C, and subparts are so admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure, you already stated you did not have any questions on this case.

And Mr. Feldewert, I don't believe you have questions either; is that correct?

MR. FELDEWERT: I do not.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Case 24070 is now taken under advisement. It is 12:04 p.m. It is time for lunch. We will come back at one o'clock to continue these hearings and we will start with case 24071 , Mewbourne Oil, a hearing by affidavit. Thank you.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
(Off the record.)
MR. CHAKALIAN: It is one o'clock on the 4 th of January. We are back on the record.

And Mr. Feldewert, I am calling case number 24071, Mewbourne Oil Company. We are having an affidavit hearing.

MR. FELDEWERT: And Mr. Examiner, good morning. This is Michael Feldewert on behalf of the applicant both in this case and in 24072 , and $I$ have some good news.

I learned this morning that they had reached agreement with the only party that they were seeking to pool, which is Marathon, so we have now filed with the Division this morning a notice of dismissal for both of these cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you very much. And we're also in receipt of a objection that you filed in a separate case, 24081. Let me call that case now since we're going to call it a little out of order. This is case 61 in our docket, 24081, Marathon Oil Permian, Twisted Tea BS.

Appearances, please?
MR. HOLLIDAY: Mr. Examiner, this is Ben Holliday, the Holliday Energy Law Group on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Good afternoon, Mr. Holliday.

MR. FELDEWERT: And Mr. Examiner,
Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, appearing on behalf first, XTO Holdings, LLC, and then separately for Chevron USA Inc.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So we were going to have -- oh, go ahead, Ms. Hardy.

MS. HARDY: I apologize. Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of COG Operating and Concho Oil and Gas.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Before we continue, are there any other appearances? No. Okay.

Mr. Holliday, we were going to hear your case today by affidavit, but we, as you know, received an objection from Mr. Feldewert.

And Ms. Hardy, are you objecting or are you just monitoring?

MS. HARDY: COG is just monitoring these cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
And Mr. Feldewert, what was the
objection based on?
MR. FELDEWERT: It was based in the fact that both XTO Holdings and Chevron USA are in
discussions about development of part of this acreage involved here, which I believe is Section 7. In fact, I think Chevron's been working with COG on that, they've been working with XTO on that.

And that's -- and Chevron, primarily
because of the holidays, just learned about this case late yesterday. You know, it got into the hands of the right person. XTO was a little quicker. They were able to file their notice and objection yesterday, but Chevron's was filed in this morning. I think they contemplate now, in light of this case, filing a competing proposal. Or they will -- do have a competing proposal that will involve Section 7, where apparently they overlap.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Holliday?
MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, sir. I mean, we respectfully request that this late intervention be stricken. We've properly noticed all the parties in this case, including XTO and Chevron. They both returned green cards well before the holidays. And we only received notice of this last night.

I'll add that well proposals were sent timely and conversations between all the parties were had going back to mid-October. And at no point has Marathon received any indication of a competing
development plan.
MR. CHAKALIAN: is there some authority in the rules, Mr. Holliday, that allows me to strike an objection?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner, there is. We actually filed -- during lunch we filed a motion to strike. Under -- let's see. Let me get my notes out here.

Under New Mexico Administrative Code 19.15.10, a party is supposed to enter an appearance in able -- in order to be able to present technical evidence, the party must enter their appearance at least one day prior to the prehearing statement filing date.

In that case, this was December 27 th. That was the cutoff date. XTO and Chevron entered their notice of appearance yesterday and today, respectively.

So under the administrative code 19.15.4.11 C., "The Division examiner is -- may strike the notice of intervention on a party's motion if the intervener fails to show a good standing, unless they show participation is going to contribute substantially to the prevention of waste, protection of correlative rights, protection of public health,
and protection of the environment."
So our -- Marathon's position is that this intervention is untimely and there's been no good cause shown to allow it to go forward.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Before I hear from Mr. Feldewert, what was the first citation you gave me? 19 what?

MR. HOLLIDAY: 19.15.10 C.
MR. CHAKALIAN: C? I'm not familiar with that. Let me look it up. Okay. 10, Safety. Are -- 19.15.10 NMAC?

MR. HOLLIDAY: I believe so. That's what I have on my notes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: It could be 19.15.4.10.
MR. HOLLIDAY: . 4.10, thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, 4.10, ah, okay. Okay. And I have 19.15.4.10 in front of me. And then you were saying what subsection?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Subsection C.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ah, okay. Let me look. "Parties to an adjudicatory proceeding shall include a person who properly intervenes." That's under A.(3). "A person entitled to notice may enter an appearance at any time by filing a written notice of appearance,"
and that's B.
"A party who has not entered an appearance at least one business day prior to the prehearing statement filing date provided in another area shall not be allowed to present technical evidence at the hearing."

Now, Mr. Holliday, I understand how that would confine evidence, but I don't see how that confines an objection. Can you explain that to me?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Well, if you move down to number 11 C .

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. HOLLIDAY: That's the authority to strike the intervention on a party's motion, which we filed a motion. Where the intervener fails to show good standing unless they've shown good standing as defined under the statute.

And Marathon's position is that we filed our motion to strike the intervention because good cause under the definition of the statute hasn't been shown.

MR. CHAKALIAN: "A person with standing with respect to the case subject matter may intervene by filing a written notice of intervention with the Division at least one business day before the date for
filing a prehearing statement." And that would be last week, basically, last Thursday.
"The Division examiner" -- let me look -- let me read B. "The Division examiner, at their discretion, allow late intervenors to participate if the intervenor files a written notice on or before the date provided in Subsection A, or by oral appearance on the record at the hearing." Okay.
"The Division examiner or the
commission may strike notice if the intervenor fails to show that the intervenor has standing, unless the intervenor shows that intervenor's participation will contribute substantially to the prevention of waste," blah, blah, blah.

So Mr. Holliday, the way I read this rule is that as long as the intervenor -- well, we'll call them a late intervenor because it's after the date cited above. If the late intervenor files a written notice or by oral appearance -- so it looks like I have the discretion to either allow Mr. Feldewert to intervene and object or not.

Is that basically what -- the way you read this rule as well?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, Mr. Examiner. MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. And
you're arguing -- and $I$ have not read your motion. I didn't know about your motion. This is the first time I'm hearing about your motion. So it may -- if you feel like you want me to have time to read your motion, we'll have to recess this case so that we can take it last so that $I$ have time to read the motion.

However, if you can sum it up for me on why I should use my discretion to strike this intervention, because it sounds to me like -- and I'll come to Mr. Feldewert after I think this through to get his take on it and because he hasn't had time to respond to the motion, obviously.

It sounds to me like you're not arguing that he doesn't have subject matter. Are you arguing to me that he doesn't have standing?

MR. HOLLIDAY: We're arguing that he's time barred from his intervention or failure to show good cause.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Fine. But --
MR. HOLLIDAY: At your discretion.
MR. CHAKALIAN: You're not -- okay.
One thing at a time. You're not arguing to me that he doesn't have standing?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Fine. You're
arguing that he's time barred and he has not cited to good cause to basically allow me to use my discretion in his favor?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Good. Fine. I don't think $I$ need to read the motion for that part of it. Now, where does it talk about that you have to show good cause to late intervening?

MR. HOLLIDAY: I suppose the good cause reference is in 19.4.10 C., where there is the discussion of technical evidence. And 19.15.4.11 C.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let's go one at a time, because this is the first time I'm hearing this; okay?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Sure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So let's go with 10 first, 10 C . Now, it seems to me that a party can object if it -- in my discretion if I allow a late filing, if $I$ allow them to late intervene.

It seems to me that there's a difference between objecting that you go through a hearing by affidavit and being able to submit contrary evidence. There seems to be a difference there to me. Are you arguing that there is not?

MR. HOLLIDAY: That's our position that it's not, yes, sir.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Why?
MR. HOLLIDAY: Our position is not that good cause is required under both 10 and 11, because the burden is on the late intervenor to demonstrate good cause. Either that good cause in the definition of, let's see, 4.10 or under 4.11 , it has to meet one of the four guideposts of the OCD.

And there has been no -- and I know Mr. Feldewert still has time to rebut, but so far we haven't seen any good cause shown.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And we'll get to that. But I want to think this through carefully. "A party who has not entered an appearance at least one day prior to the time provided elsewhere shall not be allowed to present technical evidence at the hearing, unless the Division examiner, for good cause, otherwise directs."

I -- Mr. Feldewert, in a simple answer yes or no, are you seeking to enter technical evidence today at the hearing?

MR. FELDEWERT: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's what I thought.
Okay.
So Mr. Holliday, I don't see that as controlling my discretion, okay. So now we go down to
intervention. And we talk here in 11, under intervention. And it doesn't talk about -- I don't see in number 11 -- in subpart 11 of this rule, where you need good cause.

I see where you need standing. I see where you need good standing and I see where I have discretion to not allow Mr. Feldewert to intervene, because he is a late intervenor. Although it does say that "the Division may allow late intervenors to participate if the intervenor files written notice or by oral appearance at the hearing."

Is that, like, last minute you can intervene, unless, in my wisdom, I may strike notice of intervention if they fail to show that they have standing. Okay, standing. "Unless the intervenor shows the intervenor's participation will contribute substantially to the prevention of waste or protection." Okay.

Now, so Mr. Holliday, do you have an argument that Mr. Feldewert's client does not have standing in this case?

MR. HOLLIDAY: I do not.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Fine. Do you
argue that his participation will not contribute substantially to the prevention of waste, protection
of correlative rights, or protection of public health or the environment?

MR. HOLLIDAY: We do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Aha, you do, okay.
Before you make that argument, Mr. Feldewert, what is your argument as to standing in this case?

MR. FELDEWERT: We automatically have standing because we're a party that received notice. So we're a party being pooled. So Mr. Examiner, if you go to 19.15.4.10 --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Hold on. Hang on. I have to -- I'm taking notes, so hold on one second. I know you're dying to tell me this, but let me finish taking notes. So you received -- so you did receive notice and you are a party being pooled. Okay. Now, where do you want me to go?

MR. FELDEWERT: So there's a difference between intervention and a party who is -- or a company who is a party of record, because they were entitled to notice; okay? If you're a party that received notice or entitled to notice, you don't have to intervene, you're already affected; okay?

We are -- both Chevron and XTO are parties that they seek to pool. So we don't need to file a notice of intervention. So that's -- put that
aside. Once you're --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, before we put it aside, Mr. Feldewert, will you cite to the rule for that proposition you stated?

MR. FELDEWERT: Sure. 4.10 A. "The parties to an adjudicatory proceeding shall include."

MR. CHAKALIAN: I see it, sure.
MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. All right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I see it.
MR. FELDEWERT: Then if you're a party, which we are, you're in Subsection B, which says "A person entitled to notice may enter an appearance at any time," including at the end there, "by oral appearance on record at the hearing." As a result, there's no timeline here that we're on.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. Feldewert, you're saying it's perfectly permissible under the rule to file an objection as you did?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Now, Mr. Holliday, you've seen the citation to the rule under 19.15.4.10 A. that Mr. Feldewert's client is automatically a party to this proceeding under A. (2). You see that; right? MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Good. And are you -- do you have an argument against that proposition?

MR. HOLLIDAY: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: No? Okay. Fine. And so then you see -- then you see, under B "a person entitled to notice may enter an appearance at any time by filing a written notice." Well, they may enter an appearance at any time or by oral appearance on the record at the hearing. So how is his objection filed earlier today -- how is that objectionable?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Marathon objects on the basis that we've been working on a deal with the parties for months. And at no point during these -which include technical discussions between the engineers.

And at no point has a competing plan been raised and we got notice of the competing plan 15 hours before the hearing, or in the -- and during the hearing.

MR. CHAKALIAN: You know, Mr. Holliday, I understand your argument, but the rules specifically allow for this filing. And, you know, as a prosecutor, how many times did defense attorneys have a last-minute witness that wasn't on the witness list,
and you just had to deal with it?
MR. HOLLIDAY: Right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's just how you
rolled. And so as much as I'd like to -- well, no, I won't even say it that way. But as much as I understand your concern, the rules allow for it.

So we are now at the status of a status conference. Thank you for your motion. The motion is denied. We are now at a status conference. How do you want to proceed in your case?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Given the resurgence of interest from Chevron and XTO, I would suggest that we at least push this another month, if not more. I think the parties will have something to say between each other, hopefully.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. It sounds to me like you would like to -- you want to file a continuance for another status conference down the road?

MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, I would propose that $I$ file a motion for continuance to the February -- the first February hearing date.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Just a month away?
Okay. So you want to be on the February lst calendar. Let me find out from Shiela if we have space.

MS. APODACA: We do have space. It's for a status conference?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes.
MS. APODACA: Yeah, we have space for that.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Sheila, if I'm not mistaken, all the cases that will be on the February 1st, it's my understanding that we have to publish notice 20 days before February lst for all cases that'll be heard in February; is that correct?

MS. APODACA: For the initial notice for new e-filed cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And do we have a sense of how many cases we'll have on that docket, at this point?

MS. APODACA: It looks like once I finish processing what's in the queue, I think there will be about 60.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, that's -- well, we can handle that in the morning. Okay.

Mr. Holliday, we will -- well, it's up to you to file the continuance and pay for the fee, but we will put this on the February 1st docket. I will take some notes as to why we're doing this. 24071 -- ah, 24081 .

MR. HOLLIDAY: '81, yes, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes. 24081. All
right. And you know, Mr. Holliday, I learn -- every docket $I$ learn new things and new ways to look at these rules, so, you know, thank you.

MR. HOLLIDAY: Sure.
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you for your time, Mr. Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Of course,
Mr. Feldewert. I -- yeah. And Mr. Feldewert, before you go -- I'm sure I'll see you again before the day's over, but before you go, which parties are you representing?

MR. FELDEWERT: It was XTO Holdings, LLC, and then Chevron USA Inc.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So that's who's filing -- those are the parties on behalf of the filing of the objection today?

MR. FELDEWERT: And it's now in the Division's case files.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, it is.
MR. FELDEWERT: Well, it will be. We filed them. So one of them was filed yesterday and one was filed this morning.

MR. CHAKALIAN: We -- Sheila sent me
the objection. That's how $I$ could call that case out of order. I just didn't understand what you meant by it's in the case file, but $I$ understand now. You mean the objection.

Okay. Sounds good. So we will accept this for a status conference on February 1st, after Mr. Holliday files a continuance in this case.

Mr. Holliday, on February 1st do you foresee my setting a contested hearing for this case or do you believe that the parties are going to negotiate some sort of settlement?

MR. HOLLIDAY: I believe the parties will likely negotiate some sort of settlement. And if there is a contested hearing there's no -- we want to keep the case moving, but there's no need to set it hard for the February docket, especially if Mr. Feldewert has a busy plate already.

MR. FELDEWERT: Appreciate that,
Mr. Holliday.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you very much.
Okay. And Mr. Feldewert, you had mentioned before that you were filing notices of dismissal in 24071 and '72?

MR. FELDEWERT: The Mewbourne cases,
yes. In fact, I think they've been filed this
morning, because $I$ found out this morning.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Didn't know that.
Okay. Very good.
MR. FELDEWERT: I was very busy this morning, Mr. Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes.
And Mr. Holliday, thank you for your participation.

And I am now moving onto 24073, Matador. We're having a hearing.

MS. VANCE: Good afternoon, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of Matador Production Company.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, Ms. Vance. Please proceed.

MS. VANCE: Okay. So in this case, Matador is seeking to amend the Division order for its Kathy Kregor Federal Com 114H. And it is respectfully requesting an extension of time to commence the drilling of the initial well under the order. In our hearing packet, we have provided a copy of the application which is Exhibit A.

Exhibit $B$ is a copy of the original orders. This is the second request for an extension.

And the -- we've provided a explanation of good cause in the self-affirmed statement of the landman, Hannah Bollenbach, who has previously testified before the Division.

Her statement is Exhibit C. And I
believe -- let's see. It is paragraph 5, she explains the good cause. We're just waiting on APDs to be approved. But the APDs were filed in April 2022. And so we've also included with her testimony, an updated revised copy of the pooling exhibit where -- I'm not sure which -- I don't remember which parties off the top of my head, but I believe Matador was able to reach a voluntary agreement with at least a couple of the parties. So we've provided an updated pooling exhibit.

And then $I$ have Exhibit D, which is my self-affirmed statement of notice with a sample copy of the letter notice that went out on December 15 th. And following that is Exhibit E, which is a notice of publication affidavit that shows we timely published notice on December 19, 2023.

And unless there's any questions, I would ask that the exhibits and the sub-exhibit be admitted into the record and this case be taken under advisement in the Division.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
Are there any objections to these
exhibits?
Not hearing any, Exhibits A, B, C, D, and E are admitted into evidence.

And we'll go to our technical examiner, Mr. McClure.

MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Ms. Vance, case number 24073 is taken under advisement. Thank you.

MS. VANCE: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I am now calling 24078, Devon Energy Productions, and 24079.

MR. SAVAGE: Good afternoon,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
Good afternoon, Mr. Technical Examiner.
Darin Savage on behalf of the
applicant.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Proceed.
MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. Cases 24078 and 24079 presented in consolidated form cover lands in Sections 10 and 11, in Township 22 South, Range 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. The landman is Jake Norris. He has testified previously for the Division
and his credentials have been accepted.
The geologist Joe Dixon has also testified previously before the Division. And his credentials have been accepted as an expert witness.

In case number 24078, Devon seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Purple Sage Wolfcamp formation, designated as an oil pool, underlying a standard 640-acre, more or less, spacing unit comprised of the $N / 2$ of Sections 10 and 11. The unit will be dedicated --

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, several other people interested in this case.

MR. SAVAGE: I'm sorry.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, Mr. Bruce, I saw your entry of appearance here. So please go ahead and -- please go ahead.

MR. BRUCE: Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company. I do not object to anything that Mr. Savage is presenting, but I think there's a couple of other parties involved, too.

MR. CHAKALIAN: You're right, there are. You're right, sir, there are.

And now we'll go to -- is it
Ms. Bennett or Ms. Hardy?
MS. BENNETT: Yes, thank you.

Ms. Bennett -- well, Deana Bennett on behalf of Magnum Hunter Production. And I am appearing on behalf of Magnum Hunter Production Company in case number 24078 only.

And Magnum Hunter does not object to the cases -- or the case proceeding by affidavit, but is entering an appearance to protect -- preserve its rights.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Ms. Bennett. And Ms. Hardy or Ms. McLean?

MS. MCLEAN: Can you hear me?
MR. CHAKALIAN: I can now, yes.
MS. MCLEAN: Okay. This is -- my
computer's microphone seems to work, but not this speaker. So I have an interesting setup. Anyways, Jackie McLean on behalf of COG Operating and Concho Oil and Gas in case numbers 24078 and 24079 .

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you.
Okay. Mr. Savage -- oh, and --
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, let me enter an appearance. This is Ernest Padilla on behalf of BK Exploration Corporation. We don't have objection to presentation of this case by affidavit. MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. And Ms. McLean, that was the question $I$ was going to ask
you.
MS. MCLEAN: Yes, no objections,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Savage, it sounds like you can proceed without objection.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, I apologize for that,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. That's, I guess, the consequences of a big lunch and coming in the afternoon.

So in case number 24078, Devon seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Purple Sage Wolfcamp formation, designated as an oil pool, underlying a standard 640-acre, more or less, spacing unit comprised of the N/2 of Sections 10 and 11. The unit will be dedicated to the Atlatl 11-10 Fed Com 621 H and 622 H wells.

The orientation of the wells and unit is laid down east to west and the location of the wells is nonstandard. Devon will be applying administratively for approval of the unorthodox well locations.

Mr. Norris' Exhibit A for the case
includes his landman self-affirmed statement, the C102s, an ownership breakdown, well proposal letter
with AFEs, and the chronology of contacts. And Mr. Dixon's Exhibit $B$ in this case include his geology statement, along with five geology exhibits.

Exhibit C provides a self-affirmed statement of notice for mailings and the publication notice. Notice was timely mailed and there were a -quite a number of working interest owners, almost 100, it looks like.

And Devon located all working interest owners except for eight, which represents small interests that have been -- as I understand, have been historically nonresponsive. Three overriding royalty interest owners are unlocatable.

Service of notice by publication was timely and published to account for any unlocatable parties or unforeseen contingencies. Mr. Hearing Examiner, at this point, I would ask that Exhibits A, B, and C, and all sub-exhibits for case 24078 be admitted into the record and the case taken under advisement. And I stand for any questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Does any other party object to these -to any of these exhibits being entered into evidence?

MS. BENNETT: No objections.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.

Not hearing any objections or hearing no objection, Exhibits $A, B, C$, and their subparts are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: No questions,
Mr. Hearing.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And Mr. Savage, case number 24078 is
taken under advisement. Let me now go to '79 and enter those exhibits into evidence. We have Exhibits again, $A, B, C$, and subparts.

Are there any objections?
Not hearing any, Exhibits A, B, C, and its subparts are so admitted.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
Case number 24079 is taken under advisement. So thank you Mr. Savage.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
So now we go to 24080 . This is Permian Resource Operating.

MS. MCLEAN: Hi, Jackie McLean on
behalf of Permian Resources Operating. And I just want to let you know that Ms. Kessler emailed us and said that she needed to get off. So she was just going to be observing and I just wanted to let you know that.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you for letting me know.

Are there any other parties? No?
Ms. McLean, do you know of any other parties?

MS. MCLEAN: I do not.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good. Why don't you proceed by affidavit?

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
In case number 24080, Colgate applies for pooling all uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation underlying a 318.59-acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit comprised of the N/2 N/2 of Section 25, Township 19 South, Range 30 East, and the N/2 N/2 of irregular Section 30, Township 19, Range 31 East, in Eddy County.

And the unit will be dedicated to the Mojo 30-25 Fed Com 111H, 121H, and 131H wells. And Colgate submitted an exhibit packet for this case containing the compulsory pooling checklist. Exhibit

A, the land professional testimony of Mason Maxwell and related land exhibits.

Exhibit B, geology testimony of Ira Bradford, which also attached to that are the regional locator maps, cross sections maps, first, second, and third Bone Spring, subc structure map, the stratigraphic cross section, and the gun barrel diagram.

And then Exhibit C, which is the notice testimony and includes a copy of the notice letter that was sent to the parties to be pooled, a chart showing when the notice was sent and when we received the returns, copies of the certified mailed green cards and returns, and an affidavit of publication that shows we timely published on December 13, 2023.

And at this point, I ask that Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$ be admitted into the record in case number 24080, and that the case be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. McLean, what page -- oh, here it is. I found it. Give me a moment here.

MS. MCLEAN: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I tried searching for Mr. Canton's self-affirming statement and I couldn't find it, but he has previously testified, so we're in
good shape.
Okay. Are there -- well, are there any objections to these exhibits being received into evidence?

Not hearing any, Exhibits A, B, C, and its subparts are so admitted.

And Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Ms. McLean, $I$ guess a quick correction, we'll get that out of the way. The pool you have listed is nearly correct in as the name is essentially correct, but it's the northwest pool, rather than just the straight up pool. So if you're ready, I'll give you the actual pool code that is appropriate for the entirety of this well -- or spacing unit, I mean.

MS. MCLEAN: Yeah.
MR. MCCLURE: All right. It's 97020.
MS. MCLEAN: 20?
MR. MCCLURE: Two -- I'm sorry. Say
that one more time. I apologize.
MS. MCLEAN: 97020?
MR. MCCLURE: Yes, that is correct. And are you confident in looking up the pool name itself or do you want me to try to provide that to you
here as well?
MS. MCLEAN: We can look it up.
MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Another quick
question $I$ have for you. Going to your Exhibit B6, it's listed as page 32 of 58.

MS. MCLEAN: Okay. I'm going there right now. Yes, okay.

MR. MCCLURE: Now, your -- what is indicated for the 131H well, what formation is that being indicated? It looks like on the legend to the right it's saying the third Bone Spring sand, but yet, on the edge of that cross section it has, like, the region above that labeled as the third Bone Spring sandstone.

MS. MCLEAN: Yes, and I believe that the testimony also says that it is in the third Bone Spring -- well, hold on. I'm just reading it. It should be the third Bone Spring formation, which is the formation that that well is to be drilled in.

I'm not sure, perhaps there was a typo. I can get that information from the client and we can supplement that if necessary.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. And maybe I'm just misinterpreting what they mean by how this cross section is labeled, I guess. It just looks like
there's, like, a red -- wrote in there, that $I$ would assume -- I'm just going under the assumption that this is the -- I'm sorry, Ms. McLean, what was that? MS. MCLEAN: I was just going to say, I think that it's hung on the Wolfcamp formation. And it does show that the red line is the third Bone Spring. So I don't know if it's just where that -where the actual typing is that is causing confusion, but $I$ can, you know, clarify that.

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, actually, Ms. McLean, now that you say that, $I$ think that is the reason where my confusion is where they got it labeled. It's just quite a bit higher than where the line that it indicates.

But when $I$ consider what you bring up, that it says it's flattened on the top of the Wolfcamp, which is correct, and how much higher it is labeled than that -- where it's flat, then I guess it makes sense that what they're referring to is the third Bone Spring sand top being that red line.

MS. MCLEAN: That's what I think so. Because that -- if you read the self-affirmed statement, it said that that exhibit is hung on the top of the Wolfcamp. So -- and then that says it's flattened on top of the Wolfcamp. So I think that
that might be causing the confusion, just where they decided to label it.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. I think you're correct. I think, like, where they labeled it is not as ideal as it could be. But with the associated -or I shouldn't say associated. With the rest of exhibit and your testimony, I think we should be fine. no further questions. Thank you, Ms. McLean.

Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So --
MS. MCLEAN: And then Mr. McClure -oh, sorry.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So Mr. McClure, if we take this case under advisement today, are you requiring a submission of a corrected document to show the proper pool code and name?

MR. MCCLURE: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: That's absolutely correct.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
So Ms. McLean, do you understand that?
MS. MCLEAN: Yes, I do, Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, is the C102 that needs to
be corrected?
MR. MCCLURE: It would be the pooling checklist and the C102.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
Okay. So Ms. McLean, is there anything else on this case?

MS. MCLEAN: I just have one question for you, Mr. Examiner. Would you like us to submit an entire new packet or just that? Because I think your preference is the entire packet.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes. That way there's no confusion and the technical examiner has one large document to look at instead of multiple documents, yes.

MS. MCLEAN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes. When would you be able to submit the corrected forms?

MS. MCLEAN: I'm sure by January 10th, which seems to be the going date for all of it.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That's the going date is correct. Okay. Thank you, Ms. McLean. Appreciate it.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I'm now calling case number 24082, Silverback Operating.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill, appearing on behalf of Silverback Operating II, LLC.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Savage, are there any other parties in this case?

MR. SAVAGE: I don't believe so.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I don't think so
either. Thank you. Please proceed.
MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Oh, I think we're also hearing 24083 at the same time.

MR. SAVAGE: That is correct. They're related.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Please proceed.
MR. SAVAGE: This is cases 24082 and 24083. They cover land in the $S / 2$ of Section 22, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. And these cases seek to reopen cases 23315 and 23316, in order to pool additional working interest owners recently identified.

The landman for these cases, Jake Bebermeyer has not testified before the Division as an expert witness in petroleum land matters.

Mr. Bebermeyer graduated with a Bachelor's in Energy Management from the University of Tulsa, and is an

AAPL registered professional landman, having been a member of AAPL for 14 years.

He has worked as a professional landman since 2011 and graduated from Apache's Corporations Land Development Program, where he worked for Apache for six years. He is a contract landman for Silverback and his resume, Mr. Hearing Examiner, is attached to his self-affirmed statement for your review.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I have it. Page 22 of 79. Give me a moment.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And you're seeking to have him qualified as an expert in -- as a landman?

MR. SAVAGE: Professional land matters, that's correct.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Professional petroleum landman?

MR. SAVAGE: Petroleum landman.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, Mr. Savage. He is accepted as a landman expert.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. The geologist, Nathaniel Gilbertson has testified previously before the Division and his background and credentials have been accepted the record.

In case number 24082 , Silverback seeks to amend the existing order pooling owners in the standard 160 -acre spacing unit comprised of the $N / 2$ S/2 of Section 22, in the Yeso formation underlying said unit. And this was established by the original order R-22655.

This case, 24082 , is a continuation and an extension of the original case, 23315. And Silverback seeks to amend the order to pool the additional owners. And those are listed in D2 and there's three of them.

And to also properly impose the additional working interest owners a risk penalty of 10 percent and the overhead rates of 8,000 per month for drilling and 800 a month for production, which are the same as the original pooling. The unit is dedicated to the Krauss 22 C 103, 203, 102, and 204 wells.

Orientation of the unit is laid down east to west and all setback requirements under statewide rules are met for wells 103, 203, and 204. 102 is unorthodox and Silverback will be applying to the Division for approval of the nonstandard location.

Since case 24082 is a continuation of the original case, the original case had Exhibits A,

B, and C, and subparts. Therefore, hopefully for the -- to make things easier for the Division, we've identified exhibits in case 24082 as a continuation, Exhibits D, E, and F.

However, last night $I$ was looking over the landman's statement. We usually use Exhibits A1 through A4 for the landman exhibits. And it looks like we left that reference in the landman's statement, A1 through 4, which should be reference D1 through D4.

And if the Division consents, we would like to provide a supplemental landman statement to address and correct this discrepancy.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So first take me to the page that you're talking about.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay. So this is in both landman statements in 24082. After you get past all the reference materials, you get -- on page 16 of 79.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. SAVAGE: You have the self-affirmed statement of Jake Bebermeyer. If you'll notice, all the exhibits are correctly described, however, they are referenced -- for example, the C102 is referenced as A1. That should be D1.

MR. CHAKALIAN: What page are you on?

new working interest owners. So we've included all the landman exhibits. We identified them as D1 through D4, but basically they reflect the original exhibits. Then on the geology exhibit, which we -the new testimony is E, Exhibit E. And if -- let's see if $I$ can -- Exhibit E. That's page 52.

This is a testimony from the geologist and basically he says that all the previous exhibits apply to the lands. And so we included the original designations, and that's B1.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I understand.
MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I understand. So --
okay. Are you finished with your presentation?
MR. SAVAGE: I was rolling it up. So the -- we have the same geology exhibits and then all notice was timely mailed and published. And so that would -- that would finish 24082.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let's deal with the exhibits at this time, knowing that you're going to submit an amended exhibit packet to correct those references.

But at this time, are there any objections to Exhibits D, E, B as in boy, and F?

Not hearing any, those exhibits are
admitted into evidence.
Mr. McClure, do you have any questions about this case?

MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
Mr. CHAKALIAN: All right.
So Mr. Savage, this case will be taken under advisement while we wait for you to submit an amended exhibit packet. And exactly which exhibits will you be amending?

MR. SAVAGE: So I will just -- so what I'll do is I'll -- with your consent is amend Exhibit D, which is the landman statement. And then $I$ will include that in a new packet, a revised packet, and list it as an amended hearing packet.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Fine. So ultimately there is literally only one exhibit being amended and that is Exhibit D, and that does not follow to D1 through D4?

MR. SAVAGE: That's right. It will -with the revision, it will coordinate with the D1 through D4. It will reference it correctly, the D1 through D4.

MR. CHAKALIAN: But the only exhibit that's actually being amended is D.

MR. SAVAGE: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Not D1 through D4?
MR. SAVAGE: That is correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's what I'm asking.
Okay. Very good. Okay. This case will be taken under advisement. Next week will be enough time for you?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, that'd be fine. You know, in fact, I've already done it. I just need to get ahold of the landman to signature.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So we'll give you a deadline of January 10th to submit it.

MR. SAVAGE: That's great. All coming in. Yeah, thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: It's a good day. all right. Very good. Let's move onto case '83. Are you going to present that case?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. And this one is basically the same, 24083, except it deals with the S/2 S/2 of Section 22. But everything else corresponds to '82.

So I think if there's any -- if there's not any questions, we could -- I could ask -- move that those exhibits be admitted to the record and that case be taken under advisement, subject to any
questions from examination.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let me open that
case. Give me one second here so I can admit those into evidence as necessary. Are you making any corrections to this exhibit packet?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. We will also correct
Exhibit D.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Same one, okay. Are there any objections to admitting

Exhibits D, E, B as in boy, or $F$ ?
Not hearing any, I will admit those exhibits and their subparts into evidence.

Mr. McClure, any questions on this case?

MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Perfect.
So Mr. Savage, case number 24083 is taken under advisement while we await your corrected Exhibit D. And I need to make some notes here. So that'll take a moment.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you very much. MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, thank you, sir. Silverback. I am now calling 24084, Ameredev Operating Incorporated.

MS. VANCE: Good afternoon, again, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the applicant Ameredev Operating, LLC.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Indicate any other parties, do you know of any?

MS. VANCE: I do not.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Please proceed.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. So in this case, Ameredev seeks approval to pool all uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation and the pool is WC-025, G-08 S263620C, and that's the lower Bone Spring. And the pool code is 98150.

And that's -- and in this case, Ameredev seeks to pool a standard 320-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit comprised of the W/2 W/2 of Sections 28 and 33. And that's in Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico. And initially dedicate this spacing unit to the proposed Tea Olive Fed Com 25-36-33 71H well.

So in this case, we have included a copy of the application. We've provided the compulsory pooling checklist. And also the self-affirmed statement of landman Lizzie Lauper and
geologist Parker Foy, both of whom have previously testified before the Division. And their credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.

Ms. Lauper's statement is Exhibit C, which includes sub-exhibits C1, C102, C2, a land tract map, and a list of the uncommitted owners highlighted that Ameredev seeks to pool. C3 is a sample copy of the well proposal letter, along with a sample copy of lease offers to the uncommitted or unleased mineral interest owners, as well as AFEs. And C4 is a chronology of contacts.

This is followed by Mr. Foy's
statement, which is Exhibit D. It includes sub-exhibits D1 a locator map, D2 a subc structure map, D3 a cross section map, and D4 a stratigraphic cross section. In these cases Mr. Foy did not observe any faulting pinch outs or other geologic impediments to horizontal drilling of this well.

And then lastly is Exhibit E, a self-affirmed statement of notice from myself with a sample copy of the notice letter that was timely mailed on December 15, 2023. And Exhibit F, which is an affidavit of notice of publication, which was timely published on December 17, 2023.

And unless there are any questions, I
would ask that the exhibits and sub-exhibits be admitted into the record and that the case be taken under advisement by the Division.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Are there any objections to taking
Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, and F into evidence?
Not hearing any, they are so admitted.
Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Ms. Vance, can $I$ direct your attention to your Exhibit number D4, which should be page 34 of 40? It's your -- or the cross section.

MS. VANCE: If you'll give me one moment. Sure. I am there.

MR. MCCLURE: The datum that it's hung on there, it labels it as the Bone Spring. Is that the top of the Bone Spring formation?

MS. VANCE: Let me look at the statement.

MR. MCCLURE: I was kind of glancing at it, but I --

MS. VANCE: It -- one moment. You know
what? It doesn't say in the statement. So I am not sure. I'd have to ask the geologist to confirm.

MR. MCCLURE: I'll provide you a little bit of context. The pool you identified there is the lower Bone Spring.

MS. VANCE: Got it.
MR. MCCLURE: Where your well is
targeted is right at that datum. And assuming that -you know, that we have a shell above the Bone Spring -- first Bone Spring sand, then that would put this not in the lower Bone Spring pool. Instead this would put it in the upper Bone Spring pool. Go ahead.

MS. VANCE: One -- give me one second. Because I think it actually -- I think we state which bench we're targeting.

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, okay.
MS. VANCE: Let me -- give me one moment.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, because if you do, that would clarify.

MS. VANCE: Maybe actually in the
landman statement. Give me -- I'm going to scroll up and see if $I$ can see. Yeah, I am pretty sure we identify. I thought we did.

MR. MCCLURE: I was going to say it
identifies the pool here. I just -- I'm not sure if it has the target bench or not.

MS. VANCE: I'm scanning through my communications with Ameredev real quick to see if $I$ can see anything that confirms which bench it is. Yes. So Ameredev is targeting the first Bone Spring.

MR. MCCLURE: The first Bone Spring sand?

MS. VANCE: Yeah. The first Bone Spring formation, yes.

MR. MCCLURE: Specifically the first Bone Spring sandstone; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: Well --
MR. MCCLURE: The reason $I$ ask is because that right there is where the boundary is. It is the top of the first Bone Spring sand is where the vertical extent is for the top of the lower Bone Spring pool.

So if you're specifically targeting the first Bone Spring sandstone, which $I$ assume is the case -- then you are in the correct pool, although your vertical limits on your pooling checklist would be incorrect.

MS. VANCE: Okay. Can you explain to me why the vertical limits would not be correct on the checklist?

MR. MCCLURE: Well, you don't identify
any vertical limits on the checklist. I can scroll up, but I am thinking you have N/A wrote in there. Yeah.

MS. VANCE: So -- go ahead.
MR. MCCLURE: Go ahead.
MS. VANCE: Well, I think that -- so in
our application we're pooling the -- I mean, we haven't specified, you know, pooling a specific vertical limit. We're just pooling the interests in the Bone Spring for the spacing. But in terms of this well, which bench it's targeting, it's targeting the first Bone Spring sand.

MR. MCCLURE: Yes. But --
MS. VANCE: So --
MR. MCCLURE: Go ahead, Ms. Vance.
MS. VANCE: I'm sorry. No.
MR. MCCLURE: If you had more questions. Okay. Essentially, though, to have the whole of the Bone Spring, you'd have two separate pools.

MS. VANCE: I'm --
MR. MCCLURE: There should be an upper
Bone Spring pool and there should be a lower Bone Spring pool. So if you, of course, pool the entire -then you clearly have a, well, incomplete, $I$ guess,
pooling checklist if you wanted to pool both those pools.

MS. VANCE: Include both the upper and the lower Bone Spring to include the entire Bone Spring formation per the application. Okay.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah. I was going to say, that would be correct. Now, having said that, I don't want to say precedent, but it would be maybe the first that we started doing multiple vertical pools, vertically offset pools, if that makes sense.

I mean, essentially it'd be akin to, like, the Bone Spring and the Wolf Camp being together on the same pooling checklist.

MS. VANCE: Yeah.
MR. MCCLURE: But $I$ don't know if the Division has made an outright determination as to how we would proceed.

Now, it's correcting your pooling your checklist, if, like, I wanted to go about having both the upper and lower Bone Spring on there in order to pool the Bone Spring, then I mean, I -- if that's what the applicant would like to do, then I'm sure the Division could make a determination as to whether we would, you know, approve it or deny it and send it back and tell you to limit your vertical extent.

And I do -- I don't know what way the Division and the director would decide in that matter.

MS. VANCE: Understood. My -- what I think may have happened is based on, you know, the C102, and, you know, information about the well itself, possibly the district geologist had just given the one pool because that's the target bench that this particular well is in. And that's why we don't have both of the pools.

But I understand what you're saying. Do you have -- I mean, I'd like to just confer with Ameredev on that, just to confirm, but my question would be, can you please provide to me the pool name and code for the upper Bone Spring in that area?

And then I'm not sure how you'd like to proceed, Mr. Hearing Examiner, but I do want to get clarification and confirm with Ameredev to make sure that, you know, I'm following what they'd like to do.

And then maybe go back to Mr. McClure and see what you think in terms of what we need to put on the checklist.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure, how do you want to proceed?

MR. MCCLURE: Well, I guess first, to answer Ms. Vance's first question in regards to the
pool code for the upper Bone Spring.
I don't immediately have it in front of me because our district geologist in Lea County is out on sick leave today, I believe, or that would be something in the -- that the Division could immediately follow up with you over the next few days. In regards to the question of how to proceed, I guess, how long will it -- would it take you to find out how Ameredev would like to proceed here?

MS. VANCE: Well, I've got the next two cases and I've got two other cases. And then I can go ahead and just give the landman a quick call and discuss.

And then if -- and $I$ don't think it'll take very long. I can jump back -- you know, if the hearing examiner doesn't mind if $I$ kind of check back in at the end of the hearing. Hopefully I'll have an answer and then maybe we can have a path forward.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So I think our options here are either continue the hearing or take the case under advisement with certain stipulations.

Mr. McClure, what do you prefer?
MR. MCCLURE: If we don't know what route they're going to take yet, then $I$ would prefer
to continue if those are the two options.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
So Ms. Vance, what we'll do is we will recess this case. We will come back to it. When you come back raise your hand using the icon. And we will check in with you and see if you know what direction you're going in or whether we should continue this hearing to the next docket.

MS. VANCE: Understood. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Thank you, Mr. McClure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Of course.
Let's go onto Matador, 24086.
MS. VANCE: That is me again, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. McClure. Paula Vance on behalf of the applicant, Matador Production Company.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And are there any other parties that you know of?

MS. VANCE: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Please proceed.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. So in these cases, Matador Production
Company is seeking to amend the Division orders for its Harold Melton 112H. And that's case number 24086 . And then the Glen Spiller Federal Com 111H, which is
case 24087. And is asking for a one-year extension on the orders.

In these -- in the hearing packets, we have provided a copy of the application, which is Exhibit A. And then Exhibit $B$ is a copy of the original orders. Again, this is the second request for an extension. So both of the orders are in there.

We have as Exhibit $C$ the self-affirmed statement from our landman, Hannah Bollenbach, who has previously testified before the Division.

And similar to the previous case that I presented with the Kathy Kregor, paragraph 5 should -has the statement of good cause and outlines that Matador filed its APDs in April 2022 and is still waiting for approval from the BLM. And then we did provide an updated pooling exhibit, which is Exhibit c1. And you'll see there is just one party there.

Matador reached a voluntary agreement with, I believe, two of the other parties that had previously been listed. And then lastly is Exhibit D, which is a self-affirmed statement of notice with a copy of the notice letter that was timely mailed on December 15, 2023.

And you'll see in the mailing report that that notice -- I'm sorry. I'm looking at the
wrong one. That notice was received and delivered to the one party that Matador still is seeking to pool. And so we did not include a NOP affidavit.

So unless there are any questions, I would ask that all exhibits and sub-exhibits be admitted into the record and that these cases be taken under advisement at this time.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Are there any objections to these exhibits being admitted into evidence?

Hearing none, Exhibits A, B, C, C1, and D are so admitted.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Ms. Vance, is it accurate to say that at this time the only person being asked to be forced pooled is Oxy; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. MCCLURE: So you are -- in addition to asking for an extension of time, are you dropping off all of the overriding interest owners as well as potentially the other category that we like, the titleholders?

MS. VANCE: Let me confirm on that.

But as far as $I$ know, the -- this is correct. So -in that we are only seeking to pool the one party. But I do want to confirm that. I'm happy to confirm with Matador and just follow up via email if that works for the hearing examiner and Mr. McClure.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, I was going to say the original -- order $R-22046$, most definitely force pools your overriding royalty interest owners and there may or may not have been titleholders. I don't remember that.

MS. VANCE: If you'll -- I don't believe there was. I believe it was just the overrides.

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, now having said that, if we're now dropping that, I guess, then in theory -- then I guess the notice would be accurate. Otherwise, my question would then be, was public notice conducted to cure the notice that was attempted to be provided to the overriding royalty interest owners?

MS. VANCE: Well, if -- I mean, I just
need to confirm that, you know, we're just pooling the one party. If that's the case, then we wouldn't need to provide notice via a notice of publication; correct?

MR. MCCLURE: I would think that's correct. Now, whether the Division needs to include an additional paragraph in the issued order -- change of pooled parties or pooled persons, I don't know on that side of things. But if it is only Oxy that's being force pooled now, then $I$ would think your notice is sufficient.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I have the same question for Ms. Vance. Are we continuing this case or are we taking it under advisement with some sort of stipulated amendment?

MS. VANCE: I would ask that the cases be taken under advisement. And I am sure I will get a very quick response from Matador confirming which parties we're, you know -- that it is just the one party being pooled or not.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Now, you said cases. Do you mean case?

MS. VANCE: Yes, well, cases, because my guess is that Mr. McClure probably has the same questions about both cases, since both cases are only pooling one party. So I would say cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: But the other case, '84, we needed a -- we needed some correction made to the -- was it the checklist or the C102, Mr. McClure?

MR. MCCLURE: On the '84, we made a determination of how they want to proceed as far as do the whole of the -- what their vertical extent is. But $I$ think Ms. Vance is referring to the next case on the docket, I'm guessing. Although, that's for Matador. So maybe I'm -- oh, excuse me. I was looking wrong.

Yeah, they're both for Matador. So I think she's referring to '87.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Now I understand.
MR. MCCLURE: I mean, I'll let her speak.

MS. VANCE: Yes.
MR. MCCLURE: Yeah.
MS. VANCE: Sorry. Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: No, hey, you're good.
MS. VANCE: I was referring to case 24086 and 24087 .

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
MS. VANCE: We did file a consolidated prehearing statement and that's why $I$ was referring to the two cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Now I understand.
Okay. I got it.
Okay. So I think -- Mr. McClure,
unless you object, $I$ think this case we can take under advisement with the correction or with the clarification that Ms. Vance will provide later in this hearing; is that correct?

MR. MCCLURE: Well, the only caveat I would through out there -- I don't have a problem with that, but the only thing I guess, my word of caution, would be for Ms. Vance that if it turns out that they do wish to pool or continue to pool all the overriding interest owners and we take it under advisement, then I'd be -- then my advice would be to just deny the case and make them come back.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: With the proper notice because notice would no longer be good if they're still doing overriding interest owners. That's my only -- to take it under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let's -- then let's put this on hold. We'll recess this case as well.

Does -- Ms. Vance, does ' 87 also suffer from the same question that Mr. McClure is going to ask you about the interest owners?

MS. VANCE: Yes, but $I$ do want to just make a statement or just a quick argument regarding,
you know, the wanting to dismiss the cases. We did -if you look at our mailing report, it's pretty lengthy. So I think we did include the overrides in the notice, as well as the NOP.

But in terms of who we have still
remaining on the pooling exhibit, it's just the one party. So I don't think that we would need to quickly dismiss the cases. I just need to confirm that we do -- are in fact dropping the overrides. But I am fairly confident that we did provide notice to them. MR. MCCLURE: Ms. Vance, your second noticed person on your notice spreadsheet, which seemed to indicate that that one's getting returned to you, so without looking further beyond that, I would definitely be very hesitant to think that your notice will be good to get notice to all your overriding interest owners.

MS. VANCE: So we did a notice of publication. I did not include it.

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, you did? Okay.
MS. VANCE: I -- yes, we did a notice of publication, but $I$ did not include it because we are only -- because the exhibit we have for the updated pooling only has the one party.

So if we needed to include the notice
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for the overrides or anything like that, I do have a notice of publication and an affidavit that was confirming that we timely published the notice of publication.

MR. MCCLURE: What is the date on that affidavit of the notice? Was it timely for this hearing?

MS. VANCE: Yes. I'm looking it up right now. If you give me one moment. December 19th for both of them.

MR. MCCLURE: I guess -- Mr. Hearing Examiner, I guess maybe if we want to require -- to submit that notice of -- then that would seem that that cures the notice and we could potentially then take this under advisement if you would like.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And I think the argument -- and I think Ms. Vance's argument is that notice isn't necessary if the only party being pooled is that one party.

Is that right, Ms. Vance?
MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Right.
MS. VANCE: And I am sending a very quick email right now to confirm that it is just the one party and we've dropped the overrides.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Sure. Before you do that, let's have you put on the record the last case that you have, number '87. Let's get those exhibits admitted into evidence and then we'll recess all three cases, I guess that would be '84, '86, and '87, until the end of the hearing.

MS. VANCE: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
I would note that when I started, because I filed a consolidated prehearing statement, I did actually mention both the case number and the well for 24087. And that's the Glen Spiller Federal Com 111H.

So I apologize. I thought that you would call both of them and that $I$ could go ahead and present them as consolidated cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That's fine. I'm just -- when it comes to admitting exhibits, though, I go one at a time. So let me deal with 24087.

Are there any objections to Exhibits -Matador Exhibits A, B, C, C1, and D?

Not hearing any, they are so admitted into evidence.

And Mr. McClure, why don't you reserve any questions on this case until we come back from recess on the three cases that we have.

MR. MCCLURE: Sounds good, Mr. Hearing

Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Sounds
good. So these three cases are in recess and we'll look forward to seeing you again, Ms. Vance, in a little bit.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
Thank you, Mr. McClure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
Okay. I am now calling Apache Corporations 24089, '90, '91, and '92.

Ms. Bennett?
MS. BENNETT: Good afternoon, everyone.
Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling on behalf of Apache Corporation.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Ms. McLean, I think you're trying to speak?

MS. MCLEAN: Can you hear me?
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, now I can, yes.
MS. MCLEAN: Okay. My little -- I
don't even know what $I$ need to push over here right now because I've got so many different forms of communication happening. Okay. Jackie McLean for Mongoose Minerals. And we do not object to Apache
proceeding by affidavit today.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And have you had a chance to review the exhibits in the packets?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes, we have. And we do not object to their admission.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Perfect.
So Ms. Bennett, would you like to present all the cases together and then we'll take them separate through the questions for Mr. McClure?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, that would be great.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Please.
MS. BENNETT: These four cases are companion cases for Apache's Camacho development area. And in each case, Apache is seeking to pool a 320-acre, standard spacing unit. And together, the four cases cover Sections 25 and 26, in Township 19 South, Range 27 East.

We timely filed exhibit packets on Tuesday. And in each exhibit packet we include a table of contents. And the table of contents, the first item in our packet is the compulsory pooling checklist. The second set of exhibits are the declaration of Blake Johnson, the -- a professional landman with Apache.

And he's previously testified before
the Division and his credentials have been accepted as a matter of record. And behind his declaration we include the usual land exhibits, including the application, C102s, the tract map.

Exhibit B3 also includes the list of the parties being pooled, B4 summary of contacts, and a sample proposal letter, and then the AFEs.

Tab $C$ is the declaration of Drew Chenoweth who has been -- who's previously testified before the Division and his credentials has been accepted as a matter of record. And behind his declaration he's included the usual suite of geology exhibits.

And here Apache is targeting both the second Bone Spring and the third Bone Spring. And so he's included geology exhibits for each of those targets in each packet. And then the final tab is our notice declaration. And it shows that we mailed the notice letter on $12 / 15$ and we also timely published on 12/14.

So with that, I am done with my overview of the case and happy to stand for any questions on a case-by-case basis and admit the exhibits on a case-by-case basis.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So let's start
with 24089. I have Exhibits A, B, C, and D.
Ms. McLean has already said there's no objection.
Are there any other parties who are interested parties that object to these exhibits?

Not hearing any, those exhibits are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

Ms. Bennett, your Exhibit C6, I guess, page 40 of 76. I guess I have a request for you. I mean, $I$ could have questions about it, but $I$ guess $I$ just have kind of an overall request. Could we submit an amended exhibit here with much higher resolution on that cross section?

It appears like maybe it was printed out and drew on, then rescanned, and it's very difficult to, well, make out a lot, $I$ guess, on it.

MS. BENNETT: Yeah, sure thing. And that's just on C6?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure, it also looks like C7 suffers from the same thing.

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, yeah. I meant all the cross sections. And yes, that is C7 as well. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner, yes. I do want the

C7 as well.
MS. BENNETT: And I don't want to add insult to injury here to myself, but would that also cover C11 and C12 which are the cross sections for the third Bone Spring?

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, yes. Yes, these ones
as well. I guess let me clarify, all the cross sections, I guess.

MS. BENNETT: Okay.
MR. MCCLURE: Which would be C11 and C12 as -- I looked at this last night and I didn't remember all the different exhibits for them, I guess.

MS. BENNETT: Understandable.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Is that your only question Mr. McClure?

MR. MCCLURE: Yes. And I don't know if it's a question so much as a request, but yes, that was my only -- the only thing $I$ had for all four of these cases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Sounds good.
So Ms. Bennett, are each of these packets then going to need some sort of an amendment for cross sections?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, the cross sections are the same in each of the packets.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. Are you going to -- let's -- what is a reasonable deadline to file these?

MS. BENNETT: Probably Monday. Let me just take a look at what the -- yeah, that -- Monday the 8th, I think should be reasonable.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I like January the 10th. So --

MS. BENNETT: Okay. Well, let's stick with January 10 th then.

MR. CHAKALIAN: We're kind of sticking with that unless there's some reason not to. So January 10th will be the deadline to file the amended C6, C7, C11, C12 in 24089. And we'll get to the other cases in a minute. So this case will be taken under advisement, Ms. Bennett. Thank you.

Let's now move onto the next case. That will be number '90. Let's see. Okay. Let's take a look here. So in front of me I have Exhibits A -- well, yes, tabs A, B, C, D.

Are there any objections from anyone else, besides Ms. McLean?

Not hearing any, these tabs and their exhibits are admitted into evidence and Ms. Bennett is going to file much clearer exhibits. And it looks to
me that it is the same -- let me go to the list here with cross sections. Let me -- the way it's listed here, it's hard to tell whether they are or -- here we are. C11, C12 need to be corrected and C6 and C7.

It's the same ones, Ms. Bennett.
So there we have '90. That case will be taken under advisement. And let's now go to the next case, '91. And we have tabs A, B, C, and D with the same sub-exhibits.

Are there any objections?
Not hearing any, they are admitted into
evidence. Let's take a look at these cross sections as well.

Here we have -- Ms. Bennett, while I'm scrolling through this, do all four exhibit packets use the same two experts?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, they do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good. So
we do have C --
MS. BENNETT: And --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Sorry, go ahead.
MS. BENNETT: I was just going to say for your benefit, they are the same cross sections in each packet as well.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Then we'll just leave
it at that. So this case will be taken under advisement. And then we have one case left for you and that would be '92. And let's get these exhibits into evidence.

Are there any objections to tabs A, B, $C$, and $D$ ?

Not hearing any, they're admitted into evidence and we will await your resubmission. So when you do submit, please just submit one document -- one amended exhibit packet with the four correct cross sections or -- I just shouldn't say corrected. I mean more -- clearer cross sections is what I'll say.

MS. BENNETT: I will do that.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you for --
MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you very much. And so these cases are taken under advisement. Thank you.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you so much.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you so much.
Now, we're going to Chevron USA. We have a hearing on case number 24095 and '96.

Ms. Vance?
MS. VANCE: Good afternoon, again, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. McClure. Paula Vance on
behalf of the applicant, Chevron USA, Inc.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And are there any other
parties, Ms. Vance?
MS. VANCE: Not that I know of.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Not that $I$ know of either. Okay. Please proceed.

MS. VANCE: Yes. Before we get
started, I did want to note -- sorry. We need to continue one of the cases, case number 24095. It came to my attention there is actually an existing well in that spacing unit that we need to provide overlapping notice on.

Unfortunately the operator didn't come up in the title because they are a wellbore interest, contract interest. And so we weren't aware until just recently. So we do need to provide notice, so I would ask that we continue that case, but $I$ would like to present the $W / 2$ case which is case number 24096.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So which docket are you proposing to continue '95?

MS. VANCE: I would ask to continue for a month. So if we could present at the February 1st, that would -- we'll file a continuance if that works for the Division.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good. I'll
make a note of that and why don't you proceed with '96?

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. So in case number 24096 , Chevron seeks to pool the uncommitted interests underlying a standard 640-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit comprised of Lots 3 and $4, ~ S / 2$ of the NW/4 and SW/4, which is all combined the $W / 2$ equivalent of Section 5, Township 24 South, Range 29 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

And then the $W / 2$ of section 32, Township 23 South, Range 29 East, again all of that acreage in Eddy County, New Mexico. And Chevron seeks to initially dedicate the spacing unit to the CB SE 5 32 Federal Com 3201 H and 202 H . And I would note that the 202 H is a proximity well to include the proximity tracts, allowing for the enlarged spacing unit.

So in this case, we have included a copy of the application. We've provided the compulsory pooling checklists, as well as the self-affirmed statement of landman Douglas Crawford and geologist Efren Mendez, both of whom have previously testified before the Division.

Mr. Crawford's statement is Exhibit C and which in his exhibits also includes the
sub-exhibits, the requisite landman suite of exhibits. So we've got these C102s, which is exhibit C1.

C2 is the land tract map and
uncommitted owners and also would note that Chevron is -- there is seeking to pool from beneath the base of the first Bone Spring to the base of the Bone Spring formation. So Chevron is seeking to pool just the vertical extent for that particular vertical extent.

So in that sub exhibit $C 2$, we have included a breakdown of the ownership in the vertical offset. And so following that we've got sub exhibit C3 which is a sample proposal with AFEs. And then C4 is a chronology of contacts. This is followed by Mr. Mendez's statement which is Exhibit D, which includes the requisite geology sub-exhibits.

Exhibit D1 is a project locator map, D2
is a subc structure map, $D 3$ is a cross section map and stratigraphic cross section, and then $D 4$ is a type log for the Kotadee [ph] 32C, which is the reference well used to pick the vertical extent that's being pooled.

In this case, Mr. Mendez did not observe any faulting pinch outs or other geologic impediments to the horizontal drilling of these wells. And then we have, lastly, Exhibit E, a self-affirmed
statement of notice with a sample letter that was timely mailed on December 15, 2023.

And Exhibit $F$, which is an affidavit of notice of publication, which was timely published on December 19, 2023. And unless there are any questions, $I$ would ask that the exhibits and sub-exhibits be admitted into the record and that the cases be taken -- or this case be taken under advisement at this time.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right.
Any objection to Chevron Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, and F?

Not hearing any they are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Case number 24096 is being taken under advisement. 24095 is being continued by Chevron to the February 1st docket for a hearing; is that correct, Ms. Vance?

MS. VANCE: That's correct, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

> MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.

So we have notes reflecting that. And we move onto COG Operating, 24097, '98, '99, and 24100 .

MR. FELDEWERT: Good afternoon,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, appearing on behalf of COG Operating in these four cases that are consolidated for presentation here today.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And I don't see any other parties. Do you know of any?

MR. FELDEWERT: I am not aware of any other parties.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So please proceed.

MR. FELDEWERT: These consolidated four cases seek to pool standard horizontal spacing units in the upper Wolfcamp and as its own pool, the upper Wolfcamp formation, underlying Sections 20 and 29 and 24 South, 32 East. So these are going to be for standup wells called the Azores wells.

We have filed a similar set of cases in all four matters, except that the E/2 E/2 case, which is 20497, is the only one that seek to pool working interest owners. So that's the only case that's going to have your well proposal letter, your AFEs and your
chronology of contacts.
The other three cases will not have that because they are not applicable to the non-cost-bearing owners that they seek to pool. So if you look at, for example, the exhibits filed in case 24097, this is for that $\mathrm{E} / 2 \mathrm{E} / 2$ spacing unit where there's a working interest owner involved.

So we provide the well -- the compulsory pooling checklist and we provide the application. Exhibit $A$ is the self-affirmed statement of Michael Potts who's a landman with the company who has previously testified before the Division. He provides, in each case and as Exhibit A1, the C102s for the particular wells involved.

Exhibit A2 in each case is a tract ownership for the spacing unit that is involved, showing the federal leases that are involved.

Exhibit A3 would then be an ownership breakdown showing the ownership in each tract, the working interest ownership, and then the overriding interest owners and a record titled owner that they seek to pool, all of which are highlighted in yellow.

This particular case, since we have a working interest owner involved, has the well proposal letter, which is Exhibit A4, along with the AFEs. I
think it got flipped, the AFEs are shown first and then the well proposal letter's shown second, but it's the same stuff. Exhibit A5 is the chronology of contacts.

We then have as Exhibit $B$ in each case the affidavit from the geologist who has previously testified before the Conversation Division as an expert in petroleum geology. He provides the same set of exhibits for all four cases, which consists of a location plat and a gun barrel showing the proposed wells in each spacing unit.

His structure map, his cross section location map showing the location of the well logs that he used to create then what is a cross section from A to A prime for this proposed spacing unit. Exhibit $C$ then in each case is the affidavit from my office, showing that these applications were sent by certified mail to the parties that are being pooled.

And then since there was some overrides whose interest in some cases -- or who did not receive notice by certified mail yet in some cases, we provide as Exhibit $D$ as in David the affidavit of publication. So with that said, $I$ would move the admission of Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$, and $D$ in each case and ask that the four matters be taken under advisement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
We'll start with 24097. Are there any objections to Exhibits $A, B, C$, and D?

Not hearing any, they are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure, do you have any questions on this case?

MR. MCCLURE: No questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Then this case is taken under advisement. Let's go to '98 which presumably will look very different. And let's take a look at these exhibits here. Interesting.

So Mr. Feldewert, you had said that '97 was going to look different than '98. What did you mean?

MR. FELDEWERT: So if I look at -- what are you looking at? 20498; right?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yeah, 24098.
MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. You'll see it -the only difference is that in that case and in the remaining three cases, when you go to the Exhibit A you just have A1, A2, and A3. You do not have a well proposal letter and AFEs.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I see.

MR. FELDEWERT: And you do not have a chronology of contacts because we're not pooling any working interest owners.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. I
understand.
Okay. Now, in 24098, are there any objections to Exhibits A, B, C, or D?

Hearing none, they are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: No questions on this case, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: This case will be taken under advisement. Let's go onto '99. Looking at the packet of exhibits, we have A, B, C, D.

Are there any objections into taking these exhibits into evidence?

Hearing none, they are so admitted.
Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: No questions for this case either, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And then finally we're going to go to 24100. So this case is taken under advisement and we are at 24100. And we have Exhibits A, B, C, and D.

Are there any objections?
Not hearing any, these exhibits are admitted into evidence.

Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I just have one quick clarifying verbal statement for Mr. Feldewert or from Mr. Feldewert, I guess.

Based upon the other three cases, it looks like your direct notice was sent out on December 15th. Speculative -- I mean, I would assume that this was also done, however, it looks like on your template for your notice letter, the date was left off. So just if you could verbally confirm, I guess, that written notice was conducted December 15 th or before?

I'm on page 24 of 27.
MR. FELDEWERT: In which case,
Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: The last case, the 24100.
MR. FELDEWERT: Oh, let me get there.
I think I know what you're talking about.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yeah, Mr. Feldewert --
MR. FELDEWERT: It was only in this case; correct?

MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, this is the only
one I noticed that in. Yes, that is correct, Mr. Feldewert.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah. No, thank you. You are correct. In each matter they were all sent out at the same time. It's basically the same parties. So yes, it would have had the date of December 15th on it.

MR. MCCLURE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Feldewert.

Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. No further questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I'm going to check something here. Delivered to agency, all tracking history, Commerce City, December 14th.

So I can -- so Mr. McClure, I tracked the first tracking number on that list right below the letter with the date left off and it looks like it was sent out of Commerce City, Colorado on December 14th. So it looks like it would have been on or before December 15th.

MR. MCCLURE: Very good. Thank you, sir.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That dated it.
MR. FELDEWERT: That's -- how'd you do
that?

MR. MCCLURE: You just enter it on
the --
MR. CHAKALIAN: I just copied and
pasted. It's simple as that. I just copy and pasted.
MR. MCCLURE: You know how to do it Mr. Feldewert. Don't lie to us.

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm not sure I've ever done that. So I'm not -- no, don't give me that much credit.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So case number 24100 is taken under advisement.

Thank you, Mr. Feldewert.
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, gentlemen.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. We're down to our last two before we come back to Ms. Vance.

And hopefully Ms. Vance you're ready for us when we come back to you.

We are calling 24101, Matador.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'm going to short circuit you here. If you recall these cases were dealt with this morning.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I don't remember, but I'm glad you told me. Thank you.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, let me get back to -- it was when we were dealing with the -- give me
a minute.
MR. MCCLURE: The Wayne Gaylord, if that helps.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, thank you. Wayne Gaylord. When we were dealing with Matador cases 24074 to '76.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I see it here.
MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, so we've already addressed these matters.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I see it here. I'm looking through my notes in these cases and I didn't put a note in this -- in that and I'm surprised I didn't put a note there. Were there other cases on top of 24074 and '76 that we were --

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, well, we would have been -- it would have been Pride, Burton Flat.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Here it is, yes. Pride Energy. Yes, I see it now. 23944. Here's where the note is. Okay.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, you got it.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good. Thank you for bringing that up. I appreciate it.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you very much. And we're going to have a status conference on those
cases February 1st.
So Ms. Vance, we are left with your three cases. What are you doing with them?

MS. VANCE: Yes. Okay. So I will -- I have answers to everything for you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Excellent. Let's start with 24084 , Ameredev.

MS. VANCE: Okay. Perfect. So I just want to confirm, it is the first Bone Spring sand. And so it should just be in the compulsory pooling checklist. Let me go to it really quick. I believe all we need to do -- because it is that pool name and pool code for this particular well that all we would need is actually -- where is it?

In the formation, that first line under formation and pool is just put lower Bone Spring, because we're not pooling, you know, like, a vertical extent of that particular pool. We're pooling that pool. So I believe that's the only revisement [ph] that we need to do in this case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. McClure?
MR. MCCLURE: Thank you. Yeah.
Ms. Vance, I concur and based upon, like, those cases we just heard from Mr. Feldewert, it was perfectly fine to do it that way. So I think you
should be fine if you would like to do that and that's what your plan is.

In which case my suggestion, I guess, Mr. Hearing Examiner, would be just for us to take it under advisement and then just leave the record open for a revised pooling checklist.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Hold on. I want to take notes.

MR. MCCLURE: Oh, I'm -- okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, I -- you're fine.
Taken under advisement with an amended pooling checklist?

MR. MCCLURE: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: And what information needs to be amended on the checklist, the pool code?

MR. MCCLURE: No. I don't know if you're looking at the pooling checklist right now, but a couple fields --

MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm not, no.
MR. MCCLURE: Oh, okay. It's -- the field is labeled formation name or vertical extent. It's the -- it's just above the pool code by a couple lines.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So the vertical extent?
MR. MCCLURE: Yeah, yes, I'm sorry.

Yes, that is correct. And they just need to -- it sounds like their plan is to correct it from Bone Spring to lower Bone Spring.

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, okay. Ms. Vance, this case -- and when will you be filing that, Ms. Vance?

MS. VANCE: I will file it as soon as we are done with the hearing.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So how about we give you a deadline of tomorrow, the 5th of January?

MS. VANCE: That works for me. I do have a question just based off of some of the feedback that you provided to us. Am I only needing to provide a revised checklist with a cover letter or am $I$ doing a cover letter with a completely revised hearing packet?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, ma'am.
MS. VANCE: Okay. Easy enough. Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Yes, please. Thank you for clarifying. Okay. I have that case now. We have taken that case 24084 under advisement. We're going to wait for your checklist to be amended with that vertical extent. And that's really the only change there.

Now we're going to 24089. Let me find it. 24089. And what information do you have for us on this case?

MS. VANCE: So we do -- we are
continuing to pool the overrides. They just were inadvertently left off, but if you go to any of -- if you go to the original case, which I can give you the case numbers, you will see that those parties are on the pooling exhibit.

But what $I$ would propose to do is just go ahead and file revised packets that includes the overrides so that you can see that they remain pooled under the original pooling, under order.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
MS. VANCE: And I can --
MR. CHAKALIAN: I'm sorry, Ms. Vance. I said the wrong case number and $I$ want to correct myself.

MS. VANCE: Oh, sure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I said 24089 was the next one of your cases that we're dealing with. That's not correct. What is the correct case number?

MS. VANCE: So the two cases should be 24086 and 24087 .

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. '86 and
'87, very good. Okay. Now, so what are we doing with '86? Let's talk about them one at a time.

MS. VANCE: So '86 I would propose that I will provide a -- just a revised hearing packet that includes the updated pooling exhibit that includes all the parties that remain under the pooling order, which includes the overrides.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And what exhibit number
is that?
MS. VANCE: C1.
MR. CHAKALIAN: C1, okay. So -- and you can do that by when?

MS. VANCE: I can do that after the hearing and I will --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let's say close of business tomorrow?

MS. VANCE: That sounds perfect. And I will also include the affidavit of notice of publication to cover the notice, because I know that that was a concern for Mr. McClure since, you know, our report says that some of those came back.

MR. CHAKALIAN: So Mr. McClure, is it okay with you if we take this case under advisement as long as Ms. Vance submits the information she just talked about by close of business tomorrow?

MR. MCCLURE: Yes. I am in agreement, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Wonderful.
So Ms. Vance, we'll take that case under advisement and that is -- and it just disappeared in front of me. So that was 240 -- was it ' 86 ?

MS. VANCE: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Great. Now we have '87. So let me find '87. 24087. And what are we doing with this case?

MS. VANCE: The exact same thing.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ah, very good. Well, I'm not able to copy and paste my notes, so hold on a second. So applicant must provide -- ah, must revise hearing packet with updated pooling Exhibit C1, by close of business tomorrow, including all parties and include the affidavit of publication; right?

MS. VANCE: Correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Ms. Vance? You're nodding. Okay. I wasn't looking at the screen.

MS. VANCE: Sorry.
MR. CHAKALIAN: No, it's fine. I just didn't know. Okay. We have that note as well. Mr. McClure, does that course of action
seem appropriate to you?
MR. MCCLURE: Yes, it does, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good.
So unless there's anything further, 24084, '86, and '87 are all taken under advisement with the notes and the discussion we've just had. And I believe that concludes today's docket unless there -- unless $I$ am missing something.

MS. VANCE: Nothing from me,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: That's good.
MR. MCCLURE: Nothing I'm aware of either, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Very good.
Well, thank you for everyone's participation and enjoy the snow.

MR. MCCLURE: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Bye, bye, thank you.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at
3:54 p.m.)
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| $211: 16,17,25$ | $12: 3,6,8,8,10$ | $79: 2280: 20$ | $236: 19237: 15$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $212: 3,14,18$ | $12: 16,24,25$ | $81: 982: 13,15$ | $239: 17240: 9$ |
| $213: 10,15,22$ | $13: 5,6,18,18,20$ | $84: 2,13,13,19$ | $243: 8244: 7,16$ |
| $215: 2217: 19$ | $13: 22,23,25$ | $85: 5,6,16$ | $244: 21245: 2$ |
| $221: 21222: 4$ | $14: 1,4,10,11,18$ | $86: 2187: 18,24$ | $246: 9,20,21$ |
| $222: 24223: 1$ | $14: 2415: 3,14$ | $88: 3,7,891: 10$ | $247: 22249: 9$ |
| $223: 11225: 23$ | $15: 18,2516: 7$ | $92: 2393: 1,16$ | $251: 20252: 5$ |
| $226: 9,18,23$ | $16: 1317: 2,4,6$ | $93: 1794: 9$ | $252: 11,13$ |
| $227: 4,17228: 1$ | $17: 1418: 8,10$ | $95: 4,4,12,16,21$ | $253: 1,3,24$ |
| $228: 12,19$ | $18: 12,17,20$ | $96: 16,19$ | $256: 21,23$ |
| $231: 2,10,23$ | $19: 5,2420: 3$ | $100: 23101: 6$ | catch $123: 1$ |
| $233: 14234: 22$ | $21: 13,15,23,23$ | $101: 15,18,21$ | catching |
| $234: 23,23,24$ | $22: 5,5,9,21,21$ | $104: 5,6,19$ | $122: 21$ |
| $234: 24237: 15$ | $23: 15,2524: 4$ | $105: 17106: 12$ | category |
| $237: 17238: 6,8$ | $24: 5,8,10,13,14$ | $110: 12115: 12$ | $224: 23$ |
| $239: 1,2,22$ | $28: 2029: 16$ | $130: 3134: 13$ | cause $175: 4$ |
| $240: 9,17,18,18$ | $38: 339: 15$ | $139: 12143: 2,5$ | $176: 20178: 18$ |
| $241: 4,18$ | $40: 644: 25$ | $145: 14154: 6$ | $179: 2,8,9$ |
| $242: 22243: 8$ | $45: 7,8,14,21$ | $154: 24155: 2,8$ | $180: 3,5,5,10,16$ |
| $243: 19244: 22$ | $46: 1847: 2,15$ | $159: 23,23$ | $181: 4190: 1,7$ |
| $244: 24245: 5$ | $48: 16,17,18,21$ | $160: 5171: 15$ | $223: 13$ |
| $245: 13,15,23$ | $49: 250: 11$ | $172: 20186: 7,9$ | causing $201: 8$ |
| $246: 5,16,24$ | $52: 4,453: 7,23$ | $186: 12,14$ | $202: 1$ |
| $247: 7,10,21$ | $55: 2056: 23$ | $188: 24191: 21$ | caution $228: 7$ |
| $248: 12,13,21$ | $60: 1761: 16$ | $193: 6204: 15$ | caveat $144: 7$ |
| $248: 24249: 17$ | $62: 12,1763: 1$ | $204: 18,18,21$ | $228: 5$ |
| $249: 19,24$ | $64: 1965: 1$ | $214: 16221: 12$ | caveman |
| $251: 10253: 20$ | $67: 13,2571: 12$ | $221: 12222: 22$ | $123: 25,25$ |
| $254: 3255: 6,21$ | $71: 12,21,22,25$ | $224: 6226: 12$ | cb $241: 14$ |
| $255: 22256: 3,7$ | $72: 5,5,18,21,22$ | $226: 18,19,21$ | cedar $86: 2,7,13$ |
| $256: 8,17,22$ | $73: 2,4,9,19,21$ | $226: 21,22$ | $86: 19$ |
| $257: 23258: 4$ | $74: 2175: 21$ | $227: 22229: 1,8$ | cell $137: 17$ |
| $258: 11$ | $76: 2377: 6,18$ | $231: 5,14,24$ | cents $55: 22$ |
| cases $4: 159: 2$ | $78: 12,1479: 8$ | $232: 3233: 8,12$ | certain $40: 18$ |
| $10: 2511: 6,20$ | $79: 10,11,14,16$ | $233: 13,16$ | $72: 573: 19$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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| 221:22 | 19:3,10,16,22 | 59:4,12,16,24 | 102:1,7,11,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| certainly 31:2 | 20:1,9,14,19,22 | 60:11,19,21 | 102:24 103:5 |
| 57:2 121:10 | 21:6,21 22:3 | 61:5,9,17,20,25 | 103:11,17,19 |
| 156:22 163:7,8 | 22:14,18,24 | 62:3,13,19,24 | 103:25 104:9 |
| 164:14 167:2 | 23:2,7,11,18 | 63:6,17,21,24 | 104:13,17,21 |
| certificate | 24:3,15,19,23 | 64:3,5,17,25 | 105:11 106:9 |
| 260:1 261:1 | 25:3,13,24 | 65:4,11,22 | 106:18 107:15 |
| certified 97:19 | 26:1,3,9 27:2 | 66:2,19,24 | 108:4,7,23 |
| 113:9 119:14 | 27:19 28:3,6,8 | 67:5,12,24 | 109:11,14 |
| 119:18 120:23 | 28:11 30:15 | 69:7,11,17,25 | 110:18 111:1,4 |
| 127:2,21 | 32:19 33:3,7 | 70:9,17 71:1,3 | 112:20 114:22 |
| 128:10,16 | 33:13,23 37:11 | 71:7 72:2,10 | 115:8,11,24 |
| 133:2 137:24 | 38:9,14,18 | 72:14,17,21,25 | 116:2,7,12,15 |
| 150:4 152:11 | 39:23 40:1,3 | 73:5,7,13,16,20 | 116:21 117:3,5 |
| 198:13 246:18 | 40:14,20 41:18 | 73:24 74:5,10 | 117:13,23 |
| 246:21 | 41:24 42:1,3,6 | 74:14,17,20,24 | 118:4,9,13,18 |
| certify 260:3 | 42:18,22 43:5 | 75:4,8,12,15,25 | 120:9 122:10 |
| 261:2 | 43:10,16,19 | 76:3,12,17,22 | 122:20,25 |
| chakalian 1:9 | 44:3,5,7,16,18 | 77:1,11,16,20 | 123:8 128:1 |
| 2:3 3:2,10,22 | 44:24 45:3,11 | 78:5,9,22 79:1 | 129:5 130:4 |
| 4:2,8,13,18,23 | 45:19,24 46:5 | 79:5,18 80:2,7 | 132:4,12,16 |
| 4:25 5:5,12,16 | 46:10,14,19 | 80:11,18,22 | 133:3,9,14,18 |
| 5:20,25 6:9,14 | 47:4,11,14,19 | 81:3,6,10,12,16 | 133:21 134:1,5 |
| 6:17,20,23 7:2 | 47:24 48:4,9 | 81:19 82:1,3 | 134:9,16,21,25 |
| 7:7,11,15,25 | 48:15,20 49:5 | 82:11,22,25 | 135:5,14 136:2 |
| 8:3,15,22,25 | 49:7,19 50:2,5 | 83:12,23 84:3 | 139:13,21,23 |
| 9:6,10,16,21 | 50:12,20 51:1 | 84:7,16,22 | 140:7,11,14,20 |
| 10:2,5,15,20,23 | 51:5,13,16,22 | 85:2,11 88:9 | 140:24 141:3 |
| 12:5,13 13:7 | 51:25 52:8,15 | 92:6 93:4,19 | 141:13,19 |
| 13:13 14:2,10 | 53:8,10,18 | 93:25 95:1,3,8 | 143:10,14,23 |
| 14:14,17 15:5 | 54:1,4,9,15,18 | 95:10,15,19 | 144:9,12,25 |
| 15:9,12,23 | 54:25 55:23 | 96:17 97:8,14 | 145:5,13 146:2 |
| 16:5,11,20,22 | 56:3,9,15 57:3 | 97:21,24 98:3 | 146:5,8,12,15 |
| 17:8,18,21 | 57:6,8,18,21 | 98:6,10,19 | 146:21 147:1,4 |
| 18:13,19,24 | 58:4,9,18,23 | 101:13,19,24 | 147:8,12,16,22 |
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| $148: 4,8,10,17$ | $187: 2,9,16,21$ | $234: 25235: 21$ | changing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $148: 19150: 11$ | $187: 25188: 20$ | $236: 14,20$ | $109: 18,21$ |
| $150: 18,21,24$ | $189: 2,6,15$ | $237: 1,7,11$ | $136: 16$ |
| $151: 3,7,10$ | $191: 1,10,13,20$ | $238: 18,21,25$ | chart $150: 2$ |
| $152: 18154: 1,5$ | $192: 14,21$ | $239: 14,16,20$ | $152: 10198: 11$ |
| $154: 14155: 3,6$ | $193: 9,12,18,24$ | $240: 2,5,19,25$ | check $22: 20$ |
| $156: 5,7,23$ | $194: 4195: 21$ | $243: 10,18,25$ | $48: 1464: 14$ |
| $157: 1,6,10,13$ | $195: 25196: 7$ | $244: 9,13247: 1$ | $76: 1483: 4$ |
| $157: 17158: 19$ | $196: 18,22$ | $247: 10,19,25$ | $130: 16147: 24$ |
| $158: 24159: 8$ | $197: 6,12$ | $248: 4,13,22$ | $221: 17222: 6$ |
| $159: 12160: 7$ | $198: 19,23$ | $249: 22250: 12$ | $250: 13$ |
| $160: 16,24$ | $202: 10,13,18$ | $250: 23251: 3$ | checked $128: 23$ |
| $161: 4,9,13,17$ | $202: 21,24$ | $251: 10,14,22$ | checklist $142: 4$ |
| $161: 22,25$ | $203: 4,11,16,20$ | $252: 7,10,17,21$ | $144: 3,9146: 3$ |
| $162: 2164: 18$ | $203: 24204: 4,7$ | $252: 24253: 6$ | $146: 6149: 6$ |
| $164: 24165: 4$ | $204: 10,14$ | $253: 21254: 7$ | $197: 25203: 3$ |
| $165: 25167: 20$ | $205: 10,13,17$ | $254: 10,14,19$ | $213: 24217: 20$ |
| $167: 24169: 2$ | $205: 20207: 14$ | $254: 24255: 5$ | $217: 24218: 1$ |
| $169: 10,15,20$ | $207: 19,25$ | $255: 10,18,20$ | $219: 1,13,19$ |
| $170: 1,12,20$ | $208: 2,5,10,13$ | $256: 14,16,20$ | $220: 21226: 25$ |
| $171: 2,16172: 1$ | $209: 11,13,19$ | $256: 25257: 8$ | $233: 22245: 9$ |
| $172: 7,12,21$ | $210: 6,16,24$ | $257: 11,15,22$ | $253: 11254: 6$ |
| $173: 15174: 2$ | $211: 2,4,11,15$ | $258: 3,9,13,20$ | $254: 12,15,17$ |
| $175: 5,9,14,17$ | $212: 2,8,17,23$ | $258: 23259: 4$ | $255: 15,23$ |
| $175: 21176: 12$ | $213: 5,8215: 4$ | $259: 12,15,19$ | checklists |
| $176: 22177: 25$ | $220: 22221: 20$ | chance $33: 10$ | $86: 24107: 3$ |
| $178: 19,21,25$ | $222: 2,12,17,20$ | $40: 6104: 18$ | $241: 20$ |
| $179: 5,12,15$ | $224: 8226: 8,17$ | $233: 3$ | chenoweth |
| $180: 1,11,22$ | $226: 23227: 10$ | change $58: 5$ | $234: 9$ |
| $181: 23182: 4$ | $227: 16,19,23$ | $111: 15139: 3$ | chevron $172: 6$ |
| $182: 11183: 2,7$ | $228: 13,18$ | $226: 3255: 24$ | $172: 25173: 5$ |
| $183: 9,16,20$ | $230: 16,22$ | changed $65: 19$ | $173: 19174: 16$ |
| $184: 1,5,21$ | $231: 1,15232: 2$ | changes $48: 2$ | $182: 23185: 12$ |
| $185: 3,16,23$ | $232: 9,16,20$ | $105: 5113: 23$ | $187: 15239: 21$ |
| $186: 3,6,13,19$ | $233: 2,6,11$ | $113: 24153: 8$ | $240: 1241: 4,13$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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| 242:4,7 243:11 | city $250: 14,18$ | client's 67:10 | colorado |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 243:20 | claim 168:21 | 67:13 | 250:18 |
| chevron's | clarification | clients 61:3 | com 46:6 86:2 |
| 173:3,10 | 81:20 165:1 | 67:25 101:12 | 86:8,14,19 |
| chime 57:5 | 220:17 228:3 | close 42:22 | 106:1 151:20 |
| chris 124:9 | clarify 103:12 | 51:11 53:24 | 155:23 189:19 |
| christmas | 134:13 135:23 | 56:14 257:15 | 194:16 197:23 |
| 121:24 | 166:25 201:9 | 257:25 258:17 | 213:21 222:25 |
| chronologies | 216:18 236:7 | closed 125:4 | 231:11 241:15 |
| 108:20 | clarifying | closer 91:21 | combined |
| chronology | 109:13 249:6 | code 85:19 | 107:1 241:8 |
| 87:11 149:15 | 255:21 | 128:5 142:11 | come 4:38:10 |
| 152:6 158:2 | clean 60:1 | 142:16 145:23 | 36:2 41:6 53:3 |
| 195:1 214:11 | cleans 60:14 | 174:9,19 | 59:1 69:12,13 |
| 242:14 245:1 | clear 15:19 | 199:15 202:16 | 70:10 101:6 |
| 246:3 248:2 | 24:17 25:18 | 213:13 220:14 | 102:3,9 147:14 |
| chunk 11:7 | 41:21 57:1 | 221:1 253:13 | 148:14 170:22 |
| cibolo 126:25 | 72:3 110:2 | 254:15,22 | 178:10 222:4,5 |
| 129:16,22 | 113:3,3 | codes 142:8 | 228:12 231:23 |
| 132:13,14 | clearer 237:25 | 144:18 | 240:13 251:15 |
| cimarex 118:14 | 239:12 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }}$ 10:22 11:12 | 251:17 |
| 118:17 119:8 | clearing 125:20 | 11:20 12:24 | comes 30:8 |
| circuit 251:20 | clearly 218:25 | 14:9 15:4 | 34:14 39:18 |
| circumstance | clerk 3:25 | 18:12 22:19,21 | 71:14 231:16 |
| 11:7 33:5 | 53:14,15 | 22:24 79:3 | comfortable |
| circumstances | client 30:7 38:5 | 81:11,13 | 93:5 142:19 |
| 18:23 28:18 | 39:4 40:17 | 172:10,19 | 145:5 |
| 109:16 | 53:11 66:22,24 | 173:3 193:16 | coming 15:25 |
| citation 175:6 | 69:2 70:2,3 | 244:2,6 | 49:1 194:9 |
| 183:22 | 77:21 81:16 | colgate 96:24 | 211:13 |
| cite 30:11 183:3 | 83:7 99:20 | 197:15,24 | commence |
| cited 31:16 | 101:22 136:12 | colleague 25:8 | 189:20 |
| 177:18 179:1 | 181:20 183:23 | 40:12 | commenced |
| citing 29:1 | 200:21 | colleagues <br> 114:20 | 119:6 |
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| commencem... | compete $13: 19$ | $151: 16155: 22$ | $16: 13,1817: 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119:9 | $13: 2517: 6$ | $192: 9194: 15$ | $18: 8,1821: 2$ |
| comment $68: 4$ | competes $25: 22$ | $197: 18206: 3$ | $21: 12,2031: 1$ |
| $119: 22$ | competing $7: 5$ | $213: 17241: 7$ | $43: 2347: 2,9$ |
| commerce | $11: 6,1312: 24$ | compulsory | $47: 2150: 16$ |
| $250: 14,18$ | $12: 2513: 6,20$ | $86: 24113: 2$ | $52: 2255: 17$ |
| commission | $14: 2515: 3$ | $149: 5154: 6$ | $56: 2158: 6,11$ |
| 55:21,24,25 | $23: 2532: 1$ | $197: 25213: 24$ | $58: 1661: 11$ |
| 64:8,11 177:10 | $46: 2579: 14$ | $233: 21241: 20$ | $70: 2173: 1$ |
| committed | $80: 13,2081: 7$ | $245: 9253: 10$ | $76: 778: 18$ |
| $153: 17,18$ | $82: 1484: 10,19$ | computer's | $83: 1484: 9$ |
| communication | $126: 12173: 12$ | $193: 14$ | $108: 3115: 10$ |
| $96: 8163: 19$ | $173: 13,25$ | concern $185: 6$ | $185: 8,9,18$ |
| $167: 2232: 24$ | $184: 17,18$ | $257: 20$ | $186: 2188: 6$ |
| communicati... | compilation | concerned | $252: 25$ |
| $96: 12105: 9$ | $162: 6$ | $56: 20$ | conferences |
| $217: 2$ | complete | concerns $169: 1$ | $73: 4$ |
| companies | $117: 14126: 6$ | concho $15: 4$ | conferring |
| $49: 12,17$ | completed | $79: 381: 11$ | $46: 25$ |
| companion | $141: 5$ | $172: 11193: 16$ | confident |
| $233: 13$ | completely | conclude | $199: 24229: 10$ |
| company $8: 2$ | $255: 16$ | $133: 11147: 13$ | confine $176: 8$ |
| $44: 2345: 16$ | complex $37: 7$ | concluded | confines $176: 9$ |
| $49: 1671: 22$ | complexities | $259: 20$ | confirm $13: 7$ |
| $81: 2586: 23$ | $25: 9$ | concludes | $38: 540: 17$ |
| $103: 16151: 9$ | complicated | $259: 8$ | $99: 20100: 8$ |
| $154: 13162: 5$ | $13: 18$ | concur $253: 23$ | $101: 4127: 24$ |
| $164: 4,10166: 3$ | complications | condition $111: 6$ | $129: 22130: 22$ |
| $166: 12171: 5$ | $37: 15$ | conducted | $130: 24143: 3$ |
| $182: 19189: 14$ | comply $33: 17$ | $89: 19112: 8$ | $215: 25220: 12$ |
| $192: 18193: 3$ | comprised | $165: 18225: 18$ | $220: 17224: 25$ |
| $222: 16,23$ | $85: 2586: 5,11$ | $249: 15$ | $225: 3,3,22$ |
| $245: 11$ | $86: 18105: 24$ | confer $220: 11$ | $229: 8230: 24$ |
| company's | $106: 5,24119: 1$ | conference | $249: 14253: 9$ |
| $124: 21$ | $123: 18148: 25$ | $4: 196: 2411: 5$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| confirmation | consideration | containing | 139:25 140:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:11 | 19:9 36:25 | 197:25 | 185:18,21 |
| confirming | 133:17 | contains | 186:22 188:7 |
| 93:15 226:14 | considering | 138:25 149:5 | 240:23 |
| 230:3 | 36:21 | contemplate | continuances |
| confirms 217:3 | consists 246:9 | 173:11 | 3:11 4:14 |
| conflict 56:24 | consolidate | content 113:6 | 12:16,18 16:25 |
| confused 25:15 | 39:15 | contents 107:2 | 47:20 115:18 |
| 66:3 109:6 | consolidated | 107:10 233:20 | continuation |
| confusing 29:6 | 7:4 10:9 12:7 | 233:20 | 206:7,24 207:3 |
| 76:14 208:14 | 38:4 79:16 | contested 11:2 | continue 3:25 |
| confusion 21:4 | 155:9 191:22 | 13:4 14:3,7 | 6:23 10:7 13:5 |
| 46:17,20 70:4 | 227:20 231:9 | 15:17 21:8,18 | 16:24 17:1 |
| 201:8,12 202:1 | 231:14 244:7 | 21:25 22:7 | 18:20 19:19,24 |
| 203:12 | 244:15 | 37:20,25 38:21 | 51:21 54:21 |
| connected 26:4 | constitutes | 40:5 41:15 | 58:15 84:8 |
| 26:5 | 123:17 | 44:10 51:7 | 102:12 105:4 |
| connecting | constraints | 53:21 55:20 | 106:10 115:21 |
| 89:15 | 56:23 | 58:20 62:17,22 | 123:3 139:8,11 |
| connection | constructive | 70:14 71:21 | 140:17 141:18 |
| 26:2,4 | 113:17 114:11 | 72:6,8 73:14 | 143:25 144:14 |
| conocophillips | 114:14 | 79:16,19 80:4 | 144:15,23 |
| 11:7 49:16 | consult 101:21 | 82:17,20 188:9 | 145:2 157:22 |
| 55:11 56:17 | consultant | 188:14 | 170:22 172:13 |
| 62:2 | 67:10,13 69:14 | context 36:18 | 221:21 222:1,7 |
| consent 210:12 | contact 83:5 | 37:8 43:3 | 228:9 240:9,17 |
| consents | contacts 87:11 | 216:2 | 240:20,21 |
| 207:11 | 108:20 149:16 | contingencies | continued 22:6 |
| consequences | 152:6 158:3 | 158:13 195:16 | 22:8 29:16 |
| 194:9 | 195:1 214:11 | continuance | 47:2 51:10,19 |
| conservation | 234:6 242:14 | 3:5,20,23 5:9 | 51:23 58:12 |
| 1:3 3:3 160:1 | 245:1 246:4 | 9:25 13:2 | 80:5 89:2 |
| consider | 248:2 | 52:16,17 53:14 | 90:19 91:11 |
| 201:15 | contain 31:19 | 122:11,23 | 122:3 127:14 |
|  |  | 130:2 132:7 | 130:3 139:10 |
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| 243:20 | corners 95:25 | 140:7,9,19 | 239:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| continuing | 96:4 97:10 | 141:10 142:12 | correcting |
| 95:17 226:9 | corp 103:18 | 142:16 143:7 | 27:10 219:18 |
| 256:5 | corporation | 143:17,19 | correction |
| contract 205:6 | 49:13 50:8 | 144:6,17,19 | 142:25 199:10 |
| 240:15 | 61:24 103:23 | 145:23,25 | 226:24 228:2 |
| contrary 93:11 | 193:22 232:15 | 153:4 156:13 | corrections |
| 179:21 | corporations | 160:22 161:1 | 142:24 212:5 |
| contribute | 205:4 232:11 | 163:14,16,22 | correctly 89:15 |
| 174:23 177:13 | correct 4:19:4 | 169:24 170:18 | 207:22 210:22 |
| 181:16,24 | 9:5 12:10,15 | 178:24 186:10 | correlative |
| controlling | 13:15,17 14:16 | 199:12,13,23 | 160:2 174:25 |
| 180:25 | 15:21,22 20:16 | 201:17 202:4 | 182:1 |
| conversation | 21:18 22:4 | 202:17,20 | corresponds |
| 59:3 246:7 | 23:1,16,17 | 203:21 204:12 | 211:21 |
| conversations | 26:7,8,25 33:9 | 205:16 207:13 | cost 245:4 |
| 173:23 | 33:12 38:17 | 208:11,19 | counsel 260:10 |
| coordinate | 44:21 46:17 | 209:21 211:1,3 | 260:13 261:7 |
| 210:21 | 59:13 65:10 | 212:6 217:10 | 261:10 |
| copied 51:2 | 67:16 68:19 | 217:19,23 | county 85:22 |
| 120:3 251:3 | 73:12,15 76:19 | 219:7 224:18 | 123:21 128:12 |
| copies 150:3 | 80:25 81:8,15 | 224:19 225:1 | 149:2 151:18 |
| 152:11 198:13 | 81:18 89:3,4,9 | 225:25 226:2 | 155:11 191:24 |
| copy 28:13 | 89:20,21 90:25 | 228:4 230:21 | 197:21 204:17 |
| 86:22 113:12 | 91:4,5,17,19 | 239:10 243:22 | 213:19 221:3 |
| 124:3 125:25 | 92:20,21,23,24 | 243:23 249:24 | 241:9,13 |
| 129:10,23 | 95:18 98:5,21 | 250:1,4 254:13 | couple 16:10,12 |
| 149:25 152:3,8 | 98:24 99:6,11 | 255:1,2,4 | 30:25 76:16 |
| 189:22,24 | 108:15 109:8 | 256:17,22,22 | 109:15 125:1 |
| 190:10,17 | 111:12,13 | 258:8,19 | 190:14 192:20 |
| 198:10 213:23 | 112:5,6 120:19 | corrected 26:22 | 254:18,22 |
| 214:7,8,21 | 121:6,7 131:23 | 67:6 136:7,13 | course 33:3 |
| 223:4,5,22 | 132:9 137:22 | 144:7 202:15 | 41:24 44:11 |
| 241:19 251:4 | 138:5,9,14,16 | 203:1,17 | 104:17 119:6 |
| 258:14 | 138:20 139:6 | 212:19 238:4 | 119:22 128:20 |
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| 187:9 218:24 | 214:16 215:13 | 248:7,15,25 | 113:23 114:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 222:12 258:25 | 235:15,24 | d1 87:15 207:9 | 121:19 127:23 |
| court 120:23 | 236:4,7,23,24 | 207:24 208:8,8 | 130:15,22 |
| 147:24 148:1,4 | 238:2,12,23 | 209:2 210:18 | 131:8,12 135:1 |
| cover 97:3 | 239:10,12 | 210:21,22 | 135:2 139:10 |
| 107:10 155:10 | 242:18,19 | 211:2 214:14 | 146:25 147:5 |
| 191:22 204:16 | 246:12,14 | 242:17 | 174:14,16 |
| 233:16 236:4 | cure 41:22 | d2 87:15 | 176:4,25 177:7 |
| 255:15,16 | 121:5 139:25 | 206:10 214:14 | 177:18 185:22 |
| 257:19 | 140:20 144:1 | 242:17 | 203:19,20 |
| covered 42:25 | 225:18 | d3 87:16 | 230:5 249:13 |
| covers 98:22 | cures 230:14 | 214:15 242:18 | 250:6,17 |
| crawford | curing 135:18 | d4 207:10 | dated 29:6 |
| 241:21 | 140:25 | 209:3 210:19 | 130:14 250:23 |
| crawford's | current 124:18 | 210:22,23 | dates 89:22 |
| 241:24 | 129:12 | 211:2 214:15 | 90:4 130:21 |
| create 156:3 | currently | 215:12 242:19 | datum 215:16 |
| 246:14 | 142:10 | dana 1:20 2:8 | 216:6 |
| credentials | customer 128:3 | 25:1 79:2 | david 246:22 |
| 107:13 110:6 | cut 89:11 | 95:13 148:7,8 | day 29:11 34:6 |
| 124:12 155:14 | cutoff 174:16 | 172:9 260:2,19 | 34:13 35:21 |
| 192:1,4 205:24 | d | darin 2:6 | 36:3 51:12 |
| 214:2 234:1,10 | d | 154:11 191:18 | 54:23 62:7 |
| credit 163:13 | 190:16 191:4 | 204:1 | 66:17 67:11 |
| 251:9 | 207:4 208:15 | date 6:15 13:4 | 69:16 121:24 |
| credited 163:22 | 208:21 209:24 | 22:10 42:8 | 121:24 174:13 |
| creek 102:12 |  | 51:21,22 54:2 | 176:3,25 |
| 102:22 105:17 |  | 54:11 57:16 | 180:13 211:15 |
| 109:16 | 214:13 215:6 | 58:21 62:18 | day's 187:11 |
| criteria 156:20 | 223:20 224:12 | 76:21 82:21 | days 20:24 31:7 |
| cross 71:14,16 | 231:19 235:1 | 89:3 90:25 | 31:8,10,22 |
| 71:18,21 87:16 | 237:20 238:8 | 91:4,15,17 | 34:20 107:23 |
| 87:17 149:21 | 239:6 242:15 | 92:1 107:19,25 | 108:12 109:10 |
| 198:5,7 200:12 | 243:12 246:22 | 108:23 113:12 | 109:12 113:11 |
| 200:24 214:15 | 246:24 247:3 | 113:13,19,21 | 114:12 121:25 |
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| 126:23 127:5 | 88:22 90:1 | defer 102:17 | described |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:12 186:9 | 91:16 95:22 | deficiencies | 96:23 207:22 |
| 221:6 | 97:16 107:16 | 26:22 27:1 | describes 158:7 |
| deadline 23:4,9 | 108:6,9 112:4 | 30:18 32:4 | designated |
| 42:19 119:9 | 119:5,9,23 | 41:23 | 86:23 155:20 |
| 124:19 126:20 | 120:4 125:4 | defined 167:3 | 192:7 194:13 |
| 127:4 130:24 | 130:14,25 | 168:5 176:17 | designations |
| 211:12 237:2 | 131:3,13,15 | definitely 29:25 | 209:10 |
| 237:13 255:11 | 133:2 150:7 | 46:19 143:21 | desk 84:25 |
| deadlines 21:4 | 152:13 174:15 | 208:10 225:7 | details 31:13 |
| deal 76:1 | 190:18,21 | 229:15 | 124:17 |
| 153:19 184:13 | 198:15 214:22 | definition | determination |
| 185:1 209:19 | 214:24 223:23 | 176:20 180:5 | 32:14 34:25 |
| 231:17 | 230:9 243:2,5 | delay 38:23 | 35:14,20 58:20 |
| dealing 251:25 | 249:10,15 | 39:9 140:15 | 83:16 219:16 |
| 252:5 256:21 | 250:7,14,18,20 | delayed 40:24 | 219:23 227:2 |
| deals 30:6 | decide 57:5 | deleting 105:6 | determine 67:9 |
| 211:19 | 122:9 220:2 | delivered 128:5 | developed |
| dealt 28:19 | decided 153:18 | 224:1 250:13 | 126:16 |
| 70:19 251:21 | 156:18 202:2 | delivery 89:17 | development |
| dean 2:4 | decision 93:12 | demonstrate | 31:15 126:12 |
| deana 2:12 6:7 | 120:23 | 35:8 132:25 | 158:7 173:1 |
| 7:20,22 10:18 | declaration | 180:4 | 174:1 205:5 |
| 70:24 78:20 | 233:23 234:2,8 | denied 185:9 | 233:13 |
| 117:1 193:1 | 234:12,18 | deny 219:24 | devon 154:5,12 |
| 232:14 | dedicate 86:1,7 | 228:11 | 155:18 158:10 |
| debrine 2:7 | 86:13,19 | denying 39:11 | 162:14,21 |
| 50:3,4,7 57:6 | 213:20 241:14 | 39:12 | 163:11,13 |
| 61:22,23,25 | dedicated | department 1:2 | 164:2 167:1 |
| 62:14,16 63:25 | 123:24 149:2 | dependent 58:2 | 191:14 192:5 |
| 64:1 | 151:19 155:23 | depends 54:6 | 194:11,20 |
| december | 192:10 194:16 | 81:4 | 195:9 |
| 11:13 16:9 | 197:22 206:17 | depth 109:21 | diagram |
| 29:15,15,19,19 | defense 184:24 | derives 27:8 | 149:22 198:8 |
| 37:3,5 71:20 |  |  |  |
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| difference | directs 180:17 | 65:17,20 66:5 | 124:11 125:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:24 30:2 | disappeared | 66:15 69:1,2,6 | 139:6 149:8,19 |
| 65:20 96:11 | 258:6 | 70:7 229:1,8 | 151:13 155:13 |
| 100:22 179:20 | discrepancies | dismissal 9:12 | 155:16 163:8 |
| 179:22 182:17 | 73:23 | 27:25 73:18 | 166:17 167:11 |
| 247:21 | discrepancy | 171:15 188:23 | 171:14 174:20 |
| differences | 207:13 | dismissed 9:3 | 176:25 177:3,4 |
| 24:1 83:8 | discretion | 26:21 27:14 | 177:9 180:16 |
| different 14:7 | 177:5,20 178:8 | 28:21 31:17 | 181:9 189:18 |
| 24:1 31:24 | 178:20 179:2 | 67:7 | 190:4,25 |
| 37:2 68:13 | 179:17 180:25 | dismissing 30:4 | 191:25 192:3 |
| 73:4 142:1 | 181:7 | 30:21 38:19 | 204:22 205:24 |
| 156:11 157:19 | discuss 36:17 | 39:876:23 | 206:23 207:2 |
| 163:24 165:24 | 221:14 | disposal 69:10 | 207:11 214:2 |
| 168:9,9 232:23 | discussed 14:19 | dispose 140:5 | 215:3 219:16 |
| 236:12 247:12 | 93:21 107:11 | disposed 44:8 | 219:23 220:2 |
| 247:15 | discusses 28:18 | distinguish | 221:5 222:23 |
| differently | 115:17 | 99:18 | 223:10 226:2 |
| 93:22 | discussing | district 220:6 | 234:1,10 |
| difficult 94:15 | 50:22 96:8 | 221:3 | 240:24 241:23 |
| 235:18 | discussion 34:4 | disturbing 68:2 | 245:12 246:7 |
| digital 260:8 | 34:20 43:4 | division 1:3 3:3 | division's 11:24 |
| 261:3 | 55:10 60:16 | 12:2 14:23 | 19:9 27:13 |
| diligent 165:18 | 179:11 259:7 | 17:25 18:9 | 32:25 34:8 |
| direct 100:21 | discussions | 19:8 20:17 | 91:8 109:23 |
| 106:6 111:25 | 11:18 36:22 | 27:5,16 28:2 | 116:1 144:22 |
| 112:2 114:14 | 37:9,9 47:8 | 34:11,25 35:6 | 187:20 |
| 121:3 163:19 | 55:14 56:17,19 | 52:18 54:7 | dixon 159:24 |
| 215:11 249:10 | 83:10 173:1 | 60:23 67:14 | 192:2 |
| direction 222:6 | 184:15 | 71:20 87:2 | dixon's 158:4 |
| directly 43:2 | dismiss 8:12 | 94:15 107:13 | 195:2 |
| 90:24 167:22 | 25:7,23 26:6 | 110:5 114:19 | docket 1:6 3:12 |
| director 9:13 | 26:19 35:15 | 114:25 115:2,5 | 3:24 4:3,4,5,10 |
| 220:2 | 38:25 39:12 | 116:17 117:19 | 4:12,16 5:15 |
|  | 62:8,10 65:5 | 119:24 123:12 | 8:20,22 9:25 |
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[docket - efren]

| 11:4,24 12:17 | documents | due 73:22 | earnest 2:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:21 13:22 | 70:2,3 136:11 | 115:3,6 | 49:3 61:15 |
| 14:19 15:18 | 203:13 | duly 260:5 | easier 61:1 |
| 17:2,3,15,17 | doing 65:7 | dunn 152:1 | 106:13 207:2 |
| 18:1,3,21 | 69:14,15 93:5 | durango | east 85:22 |
| 19:13,20,24 | 154:25 156:16 | 103:16 105:3,6 | 100:10,15,16 |
| 20:5,8,18 | 186:24 219:9 | 110:24 111:20 | 105:18,19 |
| 21:16,24 22:5 | 228:16 253:3 | 112:12 | 119:2 123:19 |
| 22:6,8,12,17 | 255:15 257:1 | dying 182:13 | 123:20 136:8 |
| 23:9 29:1,16 | 258:11 | e | 136:15,18 |
| 29:17 31:2,9 | double 48:14 | e 2:1,1 3:1,1 | 137:4 140:22 |
| 31:11 38:8 | 130:16 | 11:11 13:19,20 | 144:2 149:1 |
| 39:21 40:5 | douglas 241:21 | 13:20,25 14:1 | 151:17 155:11 |
| 44:10 45:8 | downstairs | 14:24 15:2,2 | 156:1 166:19 |
| 46:3,9 51:17 | 40:25 | 15:14 17:6,6 | 191:24 194:19 |
| 52:18 56:7 | drew 234:8 | 87:21 105:25 | 197:19,21 |
| 57:16 62:23 | 235:17 | $105: 25,25,25$ | 204:17 206:20 |
| 64:9 75:22 | drill 106:1 | 106:5,5 123:18 | 213:19 233:17 |
| 77:19 79:10,15 | 123:13 124:24 | 123:19 186:12 | 241:9,12 |
| 80:4 82:20 | drilled 126:17 | 190:19 191:5 | 244:19 |
| 84:9 108:5,9 | 126:19 200:19 | 207:4 209:5,5 | easy 9:15 |
| 122:12 127:15 | drilling 21:4 | 209:6,24 | 255:19 |
| 130:3 132:8 | 87:20 119:3 | 212:10 214:19 | eddy 85:22 |
| 140:20 144:1 | 124:23 125:7 | 215:6 242:25 | 123:21 149:2 |
| 171:20 186:14 | 125:17,18,21 | $243: 12 \text { 244:22 }$ | 155:11 191:24 |
| 186:23 187:4 | 160:3 189:21 | 244:22 245:6,6 | 197:21 204:17 |
| 188:16 222:8 | 206:15 214:18 | earl 2:7 50:4 | 241:9,13 |
| 227:5 240:19 | 242:24 | 61:23 | edge 200:12 |
| 243:21 259:8 | dropped | earlier 79:24 | effective 125:4 |
| docketed 114:3 | 230:25 | 107:11 135:17 | 143:4 |
| dockets 63:6,7 | dropping | 184:11 | efficient 27:3 |
| document | 224:21 225:15 | $\text { early } 11: 1$ | 76:5 |
| 103:8 109:4 | 229:9 | eany 11. | effort 55:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 202:15 203:13 } \\ & 239: 9 \end{aligned}$ | dual 50:9 | 83:19 | efren 241:22 |
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[egl - evidence]

| egl 78:25 79:11 | 13:23,24 14:25 | entirely 36:21 | essential 33:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79:14 81:24,25 | 15:4 18:10 | entirety 199:16 | essentially 9:15 |
| 82:5 83:5 | 24:24 25:2 | entities 49:14 | 59:8 90:23 |
| 84:13,19 | 44:19,23 48:18 | 162:5,10 | 91:13,15 131:3 |
| eight 195:10 | 70:21,25 71:16 | 163:24,25 | 138:1,10,12 |
| either 65:17 | 71:18 103:20 | 168:9,9 | 199:12 218:18 |
| 66:5 133:6 | 103:23,23 | entitled 60:6 | 219:11 |
| 143:6 162:11 | 118:15 133:23 | 127:10 175:24 | established |
| 165:1 170:18 | 147:17,21 | 182:20,21 | 206:5 |
| 177:20 180:5 | 154:6,12 | 183:12 184:7 | establishing |
| 204:8 221:21 | 171:24 191:14 | entity 162:17 | 35:22 |
| 240:6 248:21 | 204:24 252:18 | 163:14,20,22 | evaluate 31:14 |
| 259:14 | engage 55:14 | 166:7,15 | 137:2 |
| election 165:2 | engaged 36:7 | 168:20,21 | evaluation |
| 167:4 | engineers | entries 50:7 | 166:22 |
| elections | 184:16 | entry 48:11,12 | everybody |
| 163:18 167:14 | enjoy 259:16 | 67:21 104:7,15 | 162:22 |
| eliminating | enlarged | 119:7 155:1 | everyone's |
| 55:8 | 241:17 | 170:7 192:15 | 40:21 259:16 |
| email 50:22,25 | enter 48:1 | environment | evidence 52:4 |
| 51:1,6 59:6 | 112:12 117:19 | 175:1 182:2 | 53:2 88:12,15 |
| 60:2 70:6 | 145:8 174:10 | eog 7:4,14 8:10 | 92:12,14 93:6 |
| 96:12 156:16 | 174:12 175:24 | 8:12,16 47:23 | 93:10,12,13 |
| 156:18 157:25 | 180:19 183:12 | 48:7 96:4 | 94:3,5,20,22 |
| 158:1 225:4 | 184:7,8 193:21 | eog's 8:11 | 98:14 110:22 |
| 230:24 | 196:10 251:1 | equivalent | 111:7 116:23 |
| emailed 197:2 | entered 103:3 | 241:8 | 116:24 117:8 |
| emails 143:15 | 112:13 119:5 | ernest 193:21 | 117:25 118:2,5 |
| employed | 151:21 174:16 | error 12:23 | 120:2,11,13 |
| 260:11,14 | 176:2 180:13 | 100:3 136:10 | 129:7 130:6,8 |
| 261:8,11 | 195:23 | errors 138:14 | 145:8,11,18,21 |
| employee | entering 193:7 | es 260:4 | 150:13,15 |
| 260:13 261:10 | entire 34:4 | especially | 152:21 158:22 |
| energy 1:2 6:4 | 203:9,10 | 188:16 | 159:2 160:11 |
| 6:8 10:19 | 218:24 219:4 |  | 160:19 169:18 |
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[evidence - exhibit]

| 170:10,14 | 39:20 40:11,16 | 146:20 147:20 | 259:3,11,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174:12 176:6,8 | 41:21 43:9,13 | 148:18 150:23 | example 167:8 |
| 179:11,22 | 44:1,14 45:4 | 151:6 152:25 | 207:23 208:20 |
| 180:15,19 | 46:23 47:22 | 153:24 154:4,9 | 245:5 |
| 191:5 195:23 | 48:8 49:3,10 | 154:10,18 | excel 60:25 |
| 196:3,10 199:4 | 50:3,15,24 | 156:14 158:14 | 61:7 |
| 210:1 212:4,12 | 51:8 54:24 | 160:23 161:21 | excellent 4:23 |
| 215:6 224:10 | 58:25 59:21 | 165:7 167:23 | 7:8,11 10:6 |
| 231:4,21 235:6 | 60:20 61:15,23 | 168:25 169:25 | 45:3,20 111:4 |
| 237:24 238:12 | 62:2,6,16,21 | 171:7,23 172:3 | 116:7 253:6 |
| 239:4,8 243:14 | 63:4,16 64:2 | 174:6,20 177:3 | except 13:9 |
| 247:5 248:9,17 | 65:2,24 70:6 | 177:4,9,24 | 129:16 195:10 |
| 249:3 | 70:24 71:5,11 | 180:16 182:9 | 211:19 244:22 |
| evidentiary | 75:19 77:23 | 187:8 189:5,12 | exceptional |
| 93:5,21 | 78:20,24 79:2 | 191:6,9,16,17 | 33:5 |
| exact 31:19 | 79:9,24 81:2 | 192:11 193:20 | excusable 39:2 |
| 104:11 130:21 | 83:10,22 85:8 | 194:3,8 195:17 | excuse 89:6 |
| 162:17 258:12 | 85:16 88:18 | 196:17 197:14 | 112:1 116:18 |
| exactly 36:6 | 92:5,17,25 | 199:9 202:9,23 | 156:5 169:6 |
| 111:23 116:3 | 94:24 95:7,13 | 203:8,12 205:7 | 227:6 |
| 128:23 130:21 | 98:9,18 101:10 | 210:5 212:16 | exhibit 50:13 |
| 153:21 167:9 | 103:16,21 | 213:2,10 | 50:22,22 59:10 |
| 210:9 | 104:4 110:24 | 215:10 220:16 | 60:1,5,6,14 |
| examination | 111:10 115:16 | 221:17 222:10 | 87:4,13,21 |
| 212:1 | 116:25 117:11 | 222:15,22 | 88:1,12 90:16 |
| examiner 2:3,4 | 118:8,16,20 | 224:15 225:5 | 90:17 92:9 |
| 3:13 5:11 6:7 | 120:16 122:19 | 230:12 231:7 | 95:23 96:20,25 |
| 7:13,18,19,22 | 123:6,11 124:1 | 232:1,7 235:9 | 97:4,7,13,23,25 |
| 8:1 10:13,18 | 125:1 127:13 | 235:25 239:25 | 99:1 106:7 |
| 10:21 12:15 | 129:8,19 | 241:4 243:17 | 107:7 108:10 |
| 16:18 18:7 | 130:11 132:2 | 243:24 244:5 | 108:14,17,21 |
| 21:7 24:25 | 133:25 135:19 | 247:9 248:12 | 109:1,25 110:3 |
| 25:5,11,21 | 135:25 139:7 | 248:21 249:6 | 110:8 111:12 |
| 29:23 30:13,24 | 141:2,10,21 | 250:10 251:19 | 111:15,18 |
| 33:1,21 37:22 | 144:16 146:1 | 254:4 258:2 | 118:2,21,21,25 |
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[exhibit - exploration]

| 119:12,13,18 | 243:3 245:10 | 140:1,2,25 | 241:25 242:1,1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119:20,21 | 245:13,15,18 | 141:7 143:11 | 242:16 243:6,7 |
| 120:2 122:6 | 245:25 246:3,5 | 143:13 145:8 | 243:11 245:5 |
| 124:3,8,8 | 246:16,22 | 145:11,17,19 | 246:9,24 247:3 |
| 125:25 129:9 | 247:22 256:9 | 146:23 149:10 | 247:13 248:7 |
| 129:10,21 | 257:5,8 258:16 | 150:8,13,14 | 248:15,17,25 |
| 135:7,13 | exhibits 26:13 | 152:1,15,19,20 | 249:2 |
| 138:19 140:10 | 49:23 50:1,19 | 156:8 158:6,15 | existing 55:6 |
| 149:4,6,17,24 | 87:5,14,15 | 158:16,21 | 71:25 109:19 |
| 151:23,25 | 88:6,7,14,20 | 159:1 160:5,5 | 206:2 240:10 |
| 152:7,8 156:4 | 92:10,12,13 | 160:9,18 | expanding 55:6 |
| 157:24 158:4,8 | 94:1,1,2,4,12 | 163:10 169:5 | expected 31:25 |
| 170:6 189:23 | 94:14,18,20,21 | 169:16 170:6 | expedient |
| 189:24 190:5 | 96:2,6,11,14,22 | 170:10,13 | 126:15 |
| 190:11,15,16 | 97:4,15,19 | 190:23 191:3,4 | expenses |
| 190:19,23 | 98:12 104:18 | 195:3,17,18,23 | 126:10 |
| 194:23 195:2,4 | 104:23 105:1,5 | 196:2,10,10,13 | experience |
| 197:24,25 | 105:8 106:11 | 198:2,16 199:3 | 114:17 |
| 198:3,9 200:4 | 107:1,8,9,11,20 | 199:5 206:25 | expert 107:14 |
| 201:23 202:7 | 108:10,11,14 | 207:3,4,6,7,22 | 124:12 149:8 |
| 208:21 209:4,5 | 108:18,19,22 | 208:17 209:2,4 | 155:14,17 |
| 209:6,21 210:9 | 110:7,12,15,20 | 209:8,16,20,24 | 192:4 204:23 |
| 210:12,17,18 | 110:21,25 | 209:25 210:9 | 205:14,21 |
| 210:24 212:5,7 | 111:5 116:18 | 211:24 212:10 | 246:8 |
| 212:20 214:4 | 116:23 117:2,6 | 212:12 214:5 | experts 238:16 |
| 214:13,19,22 | 117:20,24 | 214:14 215:1,1 | expire 119:23 |
| 215:12 223:5,5 | 118:4 120:6,10 | 215:6 224:5,5 | expires 120:1 |
| 223:8,16,16,20 | 120:12 124:1 | 224:9,11 231:3 | explain 176:9 |
| 229:6,23 | 129:7,20 130:6 | 231:16,18,19 | 217:22 |
| 233:18,19 | 130:7 131:19 | 233:3,22 234:3 | explains 190:6 |
| 234:5 235:10 | 132:18,24,24 | 234:13,16,24 | explanation |
| 235:14 238:15 | 133:11 134:13 | 235:1,4,5 | 157:20 169:1 |
| 239:10 241:24 | 134:14,18 | 236:12 237:19 | 190:1 |
| 242:2,10,12,15 | 135:4,24 | 237:24,25 | exploration |
| 242:17,25 | 137:22 138:14 | 238:9 239:3 | 193:22 |
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[extend - feldewert]

| extend 119:25 | fails 174:22 | 17:17,25 18:4 | 164:4,10,11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| extends 59:10 | 176:15 177:10 | 20:3,10 22:17 | 166:2,11,12,18 |
| extension 119:8 | failure 26:21 | 23:3,4,6 38:7 | 189:19 222:25 |
| 120:20,22 | 178:17 | 39:21,24 40:7 | 231:11 241:15 |
| 123:13 124:4,7 | fair 83:19 | 40:10,19,22 | 245:17 |
| 124:18 125:10 | fairly $8: 10$ | 41:4,16 44:9 | fee 149:3 |
| 126:14,14 | 163:4 229:10 | 47:3,10,21 | 186:22 |
| 189:20,25 | faith $34: 22$ | 51:10,24 52:2 | feedback |
| 206:8 223:1,7 | 36:11,14 139:5 | 52:18 53:21,22 | 255:13 |
| 224:21 | familiar 52:9 | 54:8,10,14 | feel 26:16 30:20 |
| extensive 50:19 | 175:9 | 55:17,20 56:1 | 39:1,5,6,7,18 |
| extent 217:15 | far 56:20 | 57:10 58:12,15 | 41:9,10 47:5 |
| 219:25 227:3 | 136:15 142:24 | 61:12 64:10 | 82:17 93:8,10 |
| 242:8,9,21 | 166:13 180:9 | 72:9,10 73:1 | 120:24 133:18 |
| 253:18 254:21 | 225:1 227:2 | 73:14 74:11 | 178:4 |
| 254:24 255:24 | fashion 41:16 | 75:2,9,20,22 | feet $32: 16$ |
| extreme 39:5 | 78:13 | 76:6,20 78:12 | 100:15,16 |
| extremely 37:7 | fatal 30:19 | 79:15,17,19,25 | 136:8,18 137:5 |
| f | faulting 87:19 | 82:16,19,20 | 137:7,10,13 |
|  | 214:17 242:23 | 83:1,13,18 | 140:22 144:2 |
| $92$ | faulty 66:5 | 84:1,9 85:3 | feldewert $2: 11$ |
| 94:18 207: | favor 179:3 | 123:14 124:20 | 7:12,13 8:17 |
| 209:24 212:10 | fax 156:18 | 124:22 185:22 | 8:24 9:5,8,23 |
| 214:22 $215 \cdot 6$ | fe 1:4,15 7:13 | 185:22,24 | 10:1,4,12,13,24 |
| 243:3,12 | 10:13 25:1,12 | 186:7,9,10,23 | 11:1 12:9,15 |
| fact 35:23 39:1 | 45:5 49:10 | 188:6,8,16 | 14:8 15:25 |
| $76: 9 \text { 125:8,14 }$ | 71:5 85:8 | 240:22 243:21 | 16:6,8,15,21 |
| 159:18 172:25 | 103:22 154:19 | 253:1 | 17:5,18,20,22 |
| 173:2 188:25 | 162:5 172:4 | february's 55:2 | 17:23 18:5 |
| 211:9 229:9 | 189:12 213:2 | fed 46:6 86:2,7 | 19:1,7,11,12,17 |
| factor 125: | 244:5 | 86:13,19 106:1 | 19:21 20:2,6 |
| fae 49:2,4 | february 3:14 | 155:23 194:16 | 20:12,15,21 |
| $55: 1156: 14$ | 3:16,17 4:5,15 | 197:23 213:21 | 21:1,18 22:9 |
|  | 11:22,22 12:1 | federal 109:19 | 22:10,11,16,20 |
| fail 18 | 16:20,22 17:3 | 162:4,10,19,24 | 22:25 23:3,5,8 |

Page 29
[feldewert - filing]

| $24: 1445: 4,5$ | $183: 8,10,16,19$ | $49: 2350: 13,16$ | $70: 1271: 21$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $45: 17,18,23$ | $187: 7,10,10,14$ | $50: 1853: 13$ | $73: 2174: 2$ |
| $46: 2,8,13,16$ | $187: 19,22$ | $60: 13,2467: 13$ | $77: 6,2179: 10$ |
| $47: 5,749: 9,10$ | $188: 17,18,21$ | $67: 2570: 2,3$ | $79: 1480: 19$ |
| $50: 954: 24,25$ | $188: 24189: 4$ | $71: 2473: 8$ | $81: 7,2284: 18$ |
| $55: 1,2556: 6$ | $244: 4,5,11,15$ | $76: 11,1982: 14$ | $96: 20107: 23$ |
| $56: 1259: 20$ | $247: 14,17,20$ | $88: 1999: 2$ | $108: 2,8,17,18$ |
| $64: 771: 4,5,7$ | $248: 1249: 7,7$ | $119: 25120: 3$ | $108: 21109: 9,9$ |
| $74: 1,6,2575: 1$ | $249: 17,20,22$ | $121: 11122: 11$ | $120: 4124: 1,4$ |
| $75: 6,10,14,18$ | $249: 23250: 2,3$ | $122: 23,24$ | $126: 24129: 1$ |
| $76: 1,4,9,13,18$ | $250: 9,24251: 6$ | $129: 21130: 2$ | $135: 4155: 1$ |
| $76: 2577: 7,9$ | $251: 7,12,13,19$ | $131: 18132: 7$ | $171: 14,18$ |
| $114: 21154: 18$ | $251: 24252: 4,8$ | $132: 18,21,23$ | $173: 10174: 6,7$ |
| $154: 19155: 5$ | $252: 15,20,23$ | $133: 6136: 25$ | $176: 15,19$ |
| $156: 23,25$ | $253: 24$ | $139: 24140: 17$ | $184: 10186: 12$ |
| $157: 3,8,11$ | feldewert's | $173: 9182: 25$ | $187: 23,23,24$ |
| $158: 23159: 6,9$ | $56: 22181: 20$ | $183: 18185: 17$ | $188: 25190: 8$ |
| $159: 11160: 12$ | $183: 23$ | $185: 21186: 22$ | $223: 14231: 8$ |
| $160: 25161: 2,6$ | fell $84: 25$ | $188: 3227: 20$ | $233: 18244: 21$ |
| $161: 9,11,16,20$ | felt $28: 1430: 3$ | $237: 3,13,25$ | $245: 5$ |
| $161: 23162: 1,3$ | fiction $148: 14$ | $240: 23255: 8$ | files $21: 10$ |
| $163: 12164: 3,8$ | field $254: 21$ | $256: 11$ | $24: 14154: 21$ |
| $164: 16,18,23$ | fields $254: 18$ | filed $3: 189: 11$ | $177: 6,18$ |
| $164: 25165: 6$ | figure $131: 14$ | $11: 9,1413: 10$ | $181: 10187: 20$ |
| $167: 1168: 14$ | $162: 12$ | $13: 1814: 20$ | $188: 7$ |
| $169: 19170: 8$ | figured $29: 8$ | $16: 1717: 14$ | filing $9: 7,814: 9$ |
| $170: 11,17,19$ | file $9: 9,24$ | $18: 1219: 5$ | $16: 6,822: 9$ |
| $171: 4,7,8$ | $12: 16,1713: 2$ | $20: 2421: 10,11$ | $26: 1427: 9$ |
| $172: 3,4,16,22$ | $17: 5,2519: 13$ | $26: 2427: 17,23$ | $31: 2,1135: 24$ |
| $172: 24175: 6$ | $19: 1420: 3,7$ | $31: 2233: 11$ | $48: 1066: 11$ |
| $175: 15177: 21$ | $20: 10,1122: 11$ | $37: 445: 7$ | $67: 1069: 15$ |
| $178: 10180: 9$ | $26: 2327: 7$ | $48: 1349: 25$ | $107: 16,17,18$ |
| $180: 18,21$ | $29: 14,1831: 8$ | $50: 751: 9$ | $108: 12124: 6$ |
| $181: 7182: 5,7$ | $31: 832: 142: 8$ | $59: 17,2266: 14$ | $127: 9134: 25$ |
| $182: 173: 3,5$ | $47: 2048: 14$ | $69: 5,1970: 7$ | $135: 1173: 12$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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[filing - forward]

| $174: 13175: 25$ | $210: 16211: 8$ | $217: 18218: 12$ | force $55: 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $176: 4,24177: 1$ | $231: 15253: 25$ | $219: 9220: 24$ | $225: 7226: 6$ |
| $179: 18184: 8$ | $254: 1,10$ | $220: 25233: 21$ | forced $224: 17$ |
| $184: 23187: 17$ | $258: 23$ | $242: 6246: 1$ | forcing $30: 21$ |
| $187: 18188: 22$ | finish $43: 17$ | $250: 16253: 9$ | foregoing |
| $255: 6$ | $160: 17182: 13$ | $253: 15$ | $260: 3,4261: 4$ |
| filings $3: 21$ | $186: 17209: 18$ | five $4: 15$ | foresee $26: 19$ |
| final $109: 4$ | finished $41: 2$ | $119: 13147: 25$ | $188: 9$ |
| $117: 15125: 17$ | $169: 12209: 14$ | $148: 12195: 3$ | forgot $17: 24$ |
| $166: 22168: 16$ | firm $61: 24$ | fix $60: 13$ | $59: 594: 7$ |
| $168: 19234: 17$ | first $6: 10,24$ | $164: 20$ | $116: 9$ |
| finally $106: 22$ | $9: 115: 10$ | fixing $141: 6$ | form $155: 9$ |
| $119: 20149: 24$ | $17: 1528: 12$ | flat $102: 12,22$ | $191: 22$ |
| $162: 18166: 15$ | $29: 15,15,18$ | $105: 17201: 18$ | formal $130: 2$ |
| $248: 23$ | $38: 742: 16$ | $252: 16$ | format $61: 1$ |
| financially | $49: 1352: 7$ | flattened | $117: 7$ |
| $260: 15261: 11$ | $53: 357: 2$ | $201: 16,25$ | formation |
| find $9: 227: 25$ | $63: 1582: 14$ | flipped $246: 1$ | $85: 17105: 20$ |
| $30: 19,1954: 23$ | $89: 2490: 6,6$ | flutie $62: 8$ | $119: 1123: 23$ |
| $61: 1365: 6$ | $90: 19,23,23$ | $65: 1666: 17$ | $148: 24151: 15$ |
| $70: 1177: 12$ | $91: 2,22,24$ | focused $208: 24$ | $155: 20192: 7$ |
| $80: 15,15$ | $98: 1199: 4,8,9$ | folks $112: 11$ | $194: 13197: 17$ |
| $161: 14,17$ | $99: 13,19,21$ | follow $33: 2,4$ | $200: 9,18,19$ |
| $185: 25198: 25$ | $100: 3,23$ | $101: 17114: 3$ | $201: 5206: 4$ |
| $221: 9256: 1$ | $102: 17108: 5$ | $114: 16210: 18$ | $213: 12215: 18$ |
| $258: 10$ | $112: 14134: 12$ | $221: 6225: 4$ | $217: 8219: 5$ |
| finds $94: 15$ | $134: 23135: 20$ | followed $87: 12$ | $242: 7244: 18$ |
| $163: 8$ | $136: 4,16137: 5$ | $214: 12242: 14$ | $253: 15,16$ |
| fine $24: 329: 22$ | $139: 159: 24$ | following $89: 7$ | $254: 21$ |
| $42: 2183: 21$ | $160: 14172: 5$ | $89: 16190: 19$ | forms $203: 17$ |
| $146: 12157: 15$ | $175: 6178: 2$ | $220: 18242: 12$ | $232: 23$ |
| $161: 4167: 21$ | $179: 13,16$ | font $60: 7$ | forth $10: 8$ |
| $178: 19,25$ | $185: 22198: 5$ | footages $31: 19$ | forward $38: 3$ |
| $179: 5181: 23$ | $207: 14216: 8$ | $32: 11$ | $51: 381: 13$ |
| $184: 5202: 7$ | $217: 4,5,7,9,14$ |  | $126: 15155: 4$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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[forward - go]

| 175:4 221:19 | full 149:14 | geologic 87:19 | 255:10 256:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232:4 | 164:6 166:8 | 214:17 242:23 | given 12:21 |
| found 107:8 | 168:19 | geologist 87:1 | 18:23 113:11 |
| 108:8,9 158:10 | fulton 1:20 | 110:4 149:18 | 115:20 120:6 |
| 189:1 198:20 | 260:2,19 | 155:15 192:2 | 128:18 185:11 |
| four 13:21 | further 18:18 | 205:22 209:7 | 220:6 |
| 14:19 15:17 | 38:23 43:25 | 214:1 215:25 | gives 106:7 |
| 22:5 24:5 | 58:24 85:4 | 220:6 221:3 | glad 251:23 |
| 64:19 65:1 | 112:18 118:7 | 241:22 246:6 | glance 137:5 |
| 85:6 95:4 | 122:14,19 | geology 68:16 | glancing |
| 154:23 161:3 | 125:20 132:3 | 87:14 110:7 | 215:21 |
| 180:7 233:12 | 133:16 143:9 | 158:5,6 195:2 | glen 222:25 |
| 233:16 236:18 | 146:19 153:22 | 195:3 198:3 | 231:11 |
| 238:15 239:10 | 168:25 202:8 | 209:4,16 | go 7:19 9:17 |
| 244:7,15,22 | 229:14 250:11 | 234:12,16 | 17:15 21:8,15 |
| 246:9,25 | 259:5 260:12 | 242:16 246:8 | 26:15 28:22 |
| foy $214: 1,16$ | 261:9 | getting 65:5 | 35:17 38:3 |
| foy's 214:12 | future 21:23,23 | 131:4 137:1 | 40:7 45:14 |
| frame 132:23 | 51:21 70:4 | 229:13 | 54:8 62:22 |
| franklin 6:3,7 | 97:2 106:10 | gilbertson | 72:14 74:5 |
| 10:18 11:2,8 | g | 205:23 | 91:22,25 93:1 |
| 11:20 12:11 | g 3:1 213:12 | give 49:22 | 105:2 107:25 |
| 13:23,24 14:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \quad 3: 1215: 12 \\ & \text { gain } 35: 2,9,13 \end{aligned}$ | 52:10,20 53:15 | 112:24 113:4 |
| 15:3 18:10 | $35: 24$ | 57:16 60:2 | 116:8,12 |
| 22:19 70:20,24 | gas 49:15 79:4 | 69:17 80:8 | 117:15 127:22 |
| 71:16,18 | $127: 1 \quad 132: 15$ | 91:21 105:14 | 136:11 141:6 |
| free $41: 10$ | 172.11 193:17 | 113:17 121:15 | 141:18 148:21 |
| friday $54: 15,17$ |  | 132:9 153:11 | 153:21 158:15 |
| 54:18,20 | gaylord 46:4,6 | 161:23 198:20 | 160:17 162:2,6 |
| 104:23,24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ayioru } 40: 4,0 \\ & 46: 12 \quad 252: 2,5 \end{aligned}$ | 199:14 205:11 | 162:8 166:6 |
| 121:14 | $\text { general } 31: 6$ | 211:11 212:3 | 169:12 172:8 |
| front 175:18 | generally $9: 11$ | 215:14 216:11 | 175:4 179:12 |
| 221:2 237:19 | 66:10 | 216:15,20 | 179:15,20 |
| 258:6 | gentlemen | 221:13 230:9 | 180:25 182:10 |
|  | $251: 13$ | 251:8,25 | 182:16 187:11 |
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| $187: 12191: 6$ | $54: 2155: 2$ | $251: 19252: 25$ | $174: 22175: 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $192: 15,16,23$ | $62: 1764: 19$ | $255: 22256: 1$ | $176: 16,16,20$ |
| $196: 9,23$ | $67: 21,2269: 16$ | good $6: 6,97: 1$ | $178: 18179: 2,5$ |
| $208: 15216: 10$ | $70: 12,20,20$ | $7: 2,7,9,12,17$ | $179: 8,9180: 3$ |
| $218: 4,5,15$ | $73: 876: 6,10$ | $7: 22,258: 3,15$ | $180: 5,5,10,16$ |
| $219: 19220: 19$ | $77: 379: 7,12$ | $8: 17,189: 6$ | $181: 4,6184: 1$ |
| $221: 12222: 13$ | $79: 2581: 13$ | $10: 5,12,15,17$ | $188: 5189: 3,11$ |
| $231: 13,17$ | $96: 18,19104: 5$ | $10: 20,21,23$ | $190: 1,7191: 15$ |
| $238: 1,7,21$ | $104: 6105: 2,12$ | $20: 122: 24$ | $191: 17197: 12$ |
| $247: 11,22$ | $109: 23111: 24$ | $23: 1824: 25$ | $199: 1203: 4$ |
| $248: 14,23$ | $123: 4131: 18$ | $25: 4,1126: 9$ | $211: 5,15,16$ |
| $253: 11256: 6,7$ | $132: 7,18,20,22$ | $34: 2236: 11,14$ | $213: 1223: 13$ |
| $256: 11$ | $133: 6134: 4,22$ | $38: 14,1840: 1$ | $227: 16228: 15$ |
| goes $21: 19$ | $135: 22139: 4$ | $43: 544: 5,24$ | $229: 16231: 25$ |
| $89: 24113: 15$ | $140: 2,2,17,21$ | $47: 14,2449: 7$ | $232: 3,13$ |
| $141: 11153: 5$ | $145: 22146: 16$ | $49: 950: 5$ | $236: 20237: 1$ |
| $165: 18$ | $155: 4157: 12$ | $59: 1260: 19$ | $238: 18239: 24$ |
| goetz $59: 8$ | $159: 22162: 20$ | $61: 2262: 1,3$ | $240: 25243: 25$ |
| going $3: 14,16$ | $164: 1166: 6,15$ | $64: 570: 23$ | $244: 4248: 4$ |
| $4: 3,195: 76: 2$ | $168: 16171: 19$ | $71: 472: 25$ | $250: 21252: 21$ |
| $9: 22,2411: 3$ | $172: 7,14$ | $74: 12,1778: 19$ | $257: 1258: 13$ |
| $12: 915: 16,18$ | $173: 24174: 23$ | $78: 2279: 5$ | $259: 4,12,15$ |
| $15: 2416: 24$ | $188: 10193: 25$ | $82: 1184: 7$ | gotten $122: 8$ |
| $17: 5,9,919: 18$ | $197: 4200: 4,6$ | $85: 7,1195: 10$ | graduated |
| $19: 19,2320: 4$ | $201: 2,4203: 19$ | $95: 15101: 24$ | $204: 24205: 4$ |
| $20: 6,10,11,18$ | $203: 20209: 20$ | $103: 11,25$ | graham $2: 23$ |
| $21: 14,2223: 11$ | $211: 17216: 20$ | $118: 9123: 5,8$ | $5: 10,11,12,14$ |
| $23: 13,14,20$ | $216: 23219: 6$ | $123: 10135: 5$ | $5: 18$ |
| $24: 8,10,23$ | $221: 25222: 7$ | $139: 5,13141: 7$ | grant $3: 626: 18$ |
| $25: 6,828: 22$ | $225: 6228: 22$ | $141: 17146: 2,6$ | granted $3: 11$ |
| $29: 1432: 1$ | $236: 22237: 2$ | $147: 19,22$ | $6: 1,2158: 3$ |
| $33: 2536: 13$ | $237: 25238: 22$ | $150: 24154: 8$ | gratuitous |
| $38: 21,23,25$ | $239: 21244: 19$ | $154: 10157: 10$ | $166: 20,21$ |
| $39: 10,17,18,19$ | $244: 24247: 15$ | $157: 16,16$ | gray $118: 22$ |
| $49: 152: 1,11$ | $248: 23250: 12$ | $171: 7,10172: 1$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| harold 222:24 | 11:16,21,22 | 107:19,24,25 | 189:11,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hart 7:14 10:14 | 12:3,19 13:1,4 | 110:23 111:9 | 191:4,8,16 |
| 45:6 49:11 | 14:3 15:17 | 112:5,9,14,15 | 194:3,8 195:16 |
| 71:6 85:9 | 21:8,14,15,18 | 113:12,22,22 | 196:1,1,6,13,16 |
| 103:22 154:19 | 22:1,7 29:7,10 | 113:23 114:2,4 | 199:5,8 202:9 |
| 172:5 189:13 | 34:20 35:15,20 | 114:13 115:7 | 204:11 205:7 |
| 213:3 244:6 | 37:20,25 38:20 | 115:15,21 | 209:25 210:4 |
| hartley 261:2 | 38:21 39:9,16 | 116:25 117:11 | 210:15 212:11 |
| 261:16 | 41:6,15 44:10 | 118:8,15 | 212:15 213:2,9 |
| hartman 149:7 | 47:22 50:3,17 | 120:12,15 | 215:7,9 220:16 |
| 149:7 | 50:23 51:7,20 | 122:18 126:5 | 221:17,18,21 |
| hawks 86:25 | 52:1,23 53:21 | 127:5 129:14 | 222:8,10,15,21 |
| head 190:12 | 54:2,20 55:18 | 130:7,11 132:2 | 223:3 224:11 |
| headset 89:15 | 55:21,24 56:2 | 133:11,24 | 224:14 225:5 |
| health 174:25 | 57:7,12,17,18 | 135:19 139:7 | 228:4 230:7,11 |
| 182:1 | 57:24,24 58:5 | 141:9,21 | 231:6,7,20,25 |
| hear 17:18 | 58:20,25 60:20 | 143:25 144:14 | 232:6 235:5,8 |
| 33:20 38:22 | 62:1,18 63:1,2 | 145:19 146:1 | 235:25 237:23 |
| 39:19 40:4,22 | 65:24 66:12,25 | 146:20 149:12 | 238:11 239:7 |
| 67:24 68:20 | 67:21 68:23 | 150:14,22 | 239:22,25 |
| 78:12 89:13 | 70:14,14 71:21 | 152:3,22,24 | 241:3 243:13 |
| 96:18 127:20 | 71:24 72:7,8 | 153:24 154:8 | 243:16,21,23 |
| 172:14 175:6 | 73:14 75:9 | 156:14 158:14 | 247:4,8 248:8 |
| 193:11 232:19 | 76:21 77:23,25 | 160:23 165:7 | 248:12,18,21 |
| heard 14:12,21 | 78:2 79:17,19 | 167:23 168:25 | 249:2,5 250:10 |
| 36:5 38:16 | 80:5 82:17,21 | 169:25 170:24 | 254:4 255:9,16 |
| 39:21 89:8 | 83:17 85:7,14 | 171:6 174:5 | 257:4,14 258:2 |
| 95:3,16 108:3 | 85:15 88:14,17 | 176:6 177:8 | 258:16 259:2 |
| 135:16 137:1 | 92:5,13,16,25 | 178:3 179:13 | 259:11,14 |
| 146:25 186:10 | 94:4,21,24 | 179:21 180:15 | hearing's |
| 253:24 | 95:6,11,17 | 180:20 181:11 | 113:13,19 |
| hearing 2:3 | 98:8,15,17 | 183:14 184:10 | hearings 3:3 |
| 4:22 5:2,10,17 | 101:9 102:14 | 184:19,20 | 46:11 93:7 |
| 5:18,20 6:11 | 102:25 103:15 | 185:22 188:9 | 106:20 115:18 |
| 6:13,14 9:19 | 103:21 104:4 | 188:14 189:10 | 170:23 |
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| hears 101:12 | history 124:5 | 176:7,10,13 | hours 4:4 53:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| held 60:17 | 124:16 125:12 | 177:15,24 | 184:19 |
| 112:16 | 250:14 | 178:16,20,24 | how'd 250:24 |
| help 38:10 | hit 125:6 | 179:4,9,14,24 | hundred 59:11 |
| 50:21 59:6 | hold 19:22 | 180:2,24 | hung 201:5,23 |
| 66:8 80:16 | 21:22 43:20 | 181:19,22 | 215:16 |
| 133:4 | 45:11 57:14 | 182:3 183:21 | hunter 193:2,3 |
| helpful 28:15 | 64:20 72:2 | 183:25 184:4 | 193:5 |
| 34:5,14,24 | 74:10 134:17 | 184:12,21 | hurry 21:3 |
| 35:22 97:1,3 | 134:22 139:13 | 185:2,11,20 | hybrid 40:23 |
| 105:17 165:3 | 182:11,12 | 186:21 187:1,3 | 41:5,16 64:21 |
| helps 34:10 | 200:17 228:19 | 187:6 188:7,8 | 75:9 78:13 |
| 252:3 | 254:7 258:14 | 188:12,19 | i |
| hereto 260:14 | holder 87:1 | 189:7 | icon 222:5 |
| 261:11 | holder's 87:3 | hope 34:17 | idea 30:4 141:7 |
| hesitant 229:15 | holding 38:20 | 61:3 133:18 | ideal 202:5 |
| hey 36:3 | holdings 172:5 | 141:2 | identification |
| 227:16 | 172:25 187:14 | hopefully 89:15 | 32:5 66:4 |
| hi 5:10 133:25 | hole 99:19 | 109:6 122:4 | identified 27:1 |
| 196:25 | holidays | 185:15 207:1 | 41:23 60:5 |
| higher 60:24 | 121:10 156:15 | 221:18 251:16 | 71:12 99:9 |
| 201:13,17 | 173:6,20 | hopes 107:24 | 127:7,8 129:17 |
| 235:14 | holland 7:14 | hoping 11:17 | 151:21 153:21 |
| highlighted | 10:14 45:5 | 11:25 | 166:10 204:20 |
| 214:6 245:22 | 49:11 71:6 | horizontal | 207:3 209:2 |
| highlighting | 85:9 103:22 | 45:15 85:24 | 216:2 |
| 105:6 | 154:19 172:4 | 86:5,11,17 | identifies |
| hills 119:3 | 189:13 213:3 | 87:20 148:25 | 127:18 129:15 |
| 128:5 | 244:6 | 151:16 197:18 | 216:24 |
| hinkle 25:1 | holliday 2:19 | 213:17 214:18 | identify 89:2 |
| 95:14 147:20 | 171:23,24,24 | 241:6 242:24 | $90: 3164: 14$ |
| 172:10 | 172:2,14 | 244:16 | $216: 22 \text { 217:25 }$ |
| historically | 173:15,16 | host 2:5 | ii 49:2 204:3 |
| 195:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 174: 3,5 \quad 175: 8 \\ & 175: 12,16,20 \end{aligned}$ | hour 3:5 | iii $165: 13$ |

[illegible - interested]

| illegible 60:8 | included 27:11 | 229:13 | insult 236:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| imaging 108:24 | 86:24 95:24 | indicated 87:9 | intel 143:16 |
| immediate 89:6 | 97:22 100:9 | 200:9,10 | intend 124:23 |
| immediately | 101:3 107:2 | indicates | intended 12:16 |
| 127:8 221:2,6 | 127:2 143:18 | 201:14 | intending 74:1 |
| impediments | 190:9 208:8,23 | indication 97:8 | intends 124:24 |
| 87:20 214:17 | 209:1,9 213:22 | 173:25 | 163:13 |
| 242:24 | 234:12,16 | indicative 34:5 | intent 14:11,15 |
| implement | 241:18 242:11 | individually | 91:17 100:21 |
| 34:11 | includes 87:4 | 96:19 | 131:19 |
| important | 87:14 96:12,25 | inform 36:16 | interest 3:20 |
| 123:2 | 157:24 158:5 | information | 35:3 81:24 |
| importantly | 194:24 198:10 | 27:10 31:13 | 87:8 105:21 |
| 126:8 | 214:5,13 234:5 | 33:14,18 40:21 | 118:25 125:7 |
| impose 206:12 | 241:25 242:16 | 42:12 62:10 | 126:3 138:4 |
| inadvertent | 256:11 257:5,5 | 65:14 75:16 | 149:13 160:1 |
| 73:22 | 257:7 | 97:1 109:24 | 162:11,12,15 |
| inadvertently | including 38:22 | 134:7 137:3 | 163:14 165:23 |
| 12:17 256:6 | 55:20 107:9 | 138:3,6,20 | 165:24 166:6 |
| inappropriate | 108:15 159:23 | 200:21 220:5 | 168:4 185:12 |
| 138:16 | 173:19 183:13 | 254:14 256:2 | 195:7,9,13 |
| inclined 62:25 | 234:3 258:17 | 257:24 | 204:20 206:13 |
| include 32:4 | incomplete | informational | 209:1 214:10 |
| 108:22 111:15 | 27:13,14 28:2 | 141:23 | 224:22 225:8 |
| 113:12 131:19 | 218:25 | initial 89:7 | 225:19 228:10 |
| 144:8 149:11 | incorporated | 114:1,7 123:14 | 228:16,23 |
| 152:2 175:22 | 212:25 | 126:18 186:11 | 229:17 240:14 |
| 183:6 184:15 | incorrect | 189:21 | 240:15 244:24 |
| 195:2 210:14 | 138:20 217:21 | initially 86:1,7 | 245:7,20,21,24 |
| 219:3,4 224:3 | incumbent | 86:13 95:22 | 246:20 248:3 |
| 226:2 229:3,19 | 58:14 | 213:20 241:14 | interested 91:9 |
| 229:22,25 | independent | injury 236:3 | 150:1 192:12 |
| 233:19 234:3 | 68:22 | input 67:11 | 235:4 260:15 |
| 241:16 257:18 | indicate 105:8 | instance 15:10 | 261:12 |
| 258:18 | 107:21 213:5 | 15:10 168:4 |  |
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| interesting | intervenor | 23:12,13,19,21 | james 2:14 25:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:2 112:22 | 177:6,10,11,12 | 29:10 30:4 | january 1:10 |
| 193:15 247:13 | 177:16,17,18 | 35:6 39:10 | 5:15,22 6:16 |
| interests 11:9 | 180:4 181:8,10 | 42:8 64:19 | 29:16,17 38:1 |
| 85:17 97:9 | 181:15 | 70:12 78:14 | 41:4 42:19,23 |
| 123:23 126:23 | intervenor's | 95:23 110:2 | 44:13 89:3 |
| 148:23 151:13 | 177:12 181:16 | 115:16 125:14 | 90:25 91:17 |
| 151:14,21 | intervenors | 136:5 139:4 | 92:1 107:18 |
| 152:4 153:9 | 177:5 181:9 | 156:21 164:15 | 108:13 109:4 |
| 155:19 162:6 | intervention | 166:24 167:7 | 110:22 112:8 |
| 163:1 165:16 | 173:17 174:21 | issued 28:2 | 122:3,13 |
| 165:17,23 | 175:3 176:14 | 71:20 163:17 | 127:15,25 |
| 166:4 167:2 | 176:19,24 | 167:14 226:3 | 128:4 130:3 |
| 192:6 194:12 | 178:9,17 181:1 | issues 9:23 25:7 | 132:8 133:11 |
| 195:11 197:16 | 181:2,14 | 27:8 42:24,25 | 140:18 141:15 |
| 213:11 218:9 | 182:18,25 | 43:2,24 125:13 | 144:1,14 |
| 241:5 | introduce | 128:14,17 | 145:21 146:12 |
| interim 79:11 | 25:10 | issuing 39:11 | 147:5 160:11 |
| intermediary | introduction | it'd 100:15 | 171:3 203:18 |
| 166:14 | 159:15 | 219:11 | 211:12 237:7 |
| interpret 34:11 | involve 173:13 | it'll 23:9 43:11 | 237:10,13 |
| interpretive | involved 23:24 | 140:15 168:13 | 255:11 |
| 34:10 | 24:2 36:14 | 221:15 | jawbone 106:1 |
| interrupt 94:25 | 162:24 163:20 | item 43:14 | jim 2:13 7:21 |
| 107:15 | 164:11 173:2 | 128:4 233:21 | 8:1 78:24 |
| intervene | 192:20 245:7 | j | 118:16 192:17 |
| 176:23 177:21 | 245:14,16,17 | jack 168:4 | job 1:21 |
| 179:18 181:7 | 245:24 | jackie 2:17 | joe 192:2 |
| 181:13 182:22 | ira 198:3 | - 147:20 151 | jog 159:6 |
| intervener | irregular | 193:16 196:25 | john 13:25 |
| 174:22 176:15 | 197:20 | 232:24 | johnson 233:23 |
| intervenes | issue 14:23 | jake 191:24 | join 39:15 53:7 |
| 175:23 | 15:6,14,16,23 | 204:21 207:21 | 53:20 58:6 |
| intervening | 16:2,13 18:9 | jal 50:18 53:1 | joined 12:7 |
| 179:8 | 18:14,24 23:12 | 53:24 55:6 | 13:14 22:7 |
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## [joined - landman]

| 41:14 43:22 | 109:12 164:1 | 164:5 165:1 | kotadee 242:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44:20 45:1,21 | 166:14 208:24 | 166:18,19,23 | krauss 206:17 |
| 47:16 70:22 | 215:21 221:17 | 167:5,6,9,15,16 | kregor 189:19 |
| 84:13 | 235:13 237:11 | 167:18 168:20 | 223:12 |
| joining 58:11 | know 16:24 | 169:8 172:15 | 1 |
| joint 19:6 | 17:22 21:13 | 173:7 178:2 | l.p. 154:13 |
| jointly 19:2 | 25:17 28:3 | 180:8 182:13 | label 202:2 |
| jordan 2:16 | 30:3 31:6 32:5 | 184:21,23 | labeled 200:13 |
| 47:23 | 32:9,16,17,21 | 187:3,5 189:2 | 200:25 201:12 |
| judging 50:17 | 34:4 35:4 36:1 | 197:2,5,7,9 | 201:18 202:4 |
| 53:23 67:21 | 36:10 37:2 | 201:7,9 208:25 | 254:21 |
| jump 55:1 | 38:7,21 40:7 | 211:9 213:6 | labels 215:17 |
| 221:16 | 40:23,24 52:19 | 215:23 216:7 | lack 35:5 82:24 |
| jumping 55:9 | 52:23 54:11,21 | 218:8 219:15 | laid 133:8 |
| june 21:11,14 | 55:3 56:13 | 219:24 220:1,4 | 156:1 194:19 |
| 28:1,1,10 30:8 | 64:13,22 65:7 | 220:5,18 | 206:19 |
| 36:8 124:24 | 65:12,13 66:17 | 221:16,24 | lake 128:4 |
| 125:9 126:19 | 67:9 69:15 | 222:6,18 225:1 | land 87:5 |
| justification | 72:674:14,21 | 225:22 226:4 | 107:14 149:9 |
| 124:7 | 75:5,7,13 | 226:15 229:1 | 107.14149 |
| k | 79:19,21 80:12 | 232:22 236:16 | $204: 16,23$ |
| kathy 189:19 | 84:18 90:11,20 | 240:4,5 244:10 | 205:5,15 214:5 |
| 223:12 | 100:5 101:11 | 249:21 251:5 | 234:3 242:3 |
| keep 4:3 8:9 | 101:16 114:6 | 253:17 254:16 | landman 30:11 |
| 133:9 188:15 | 121:14 125:6 | 257:19,20 | 86:25 107:5 |
| keeping 11:24 | 128:8,14,16,23 | 258: | 118:22 119:10 |
| kerr 49:15 | 129:3,18 | knowing 67: | 124:8,10 |
| kessler 2:16 | 130:23,25 | 68:1 209:20 | 155:12 157:25 |
| 47:22,23,24 | 131:2 136:8,19 | knowledge | 190:2 191:24 |
| 48:1,5,7,12,18 | 139:3 141:1,5 | 115:7 260:9 | 194:24 204:21 |
| 48:22 197:2 | 144:22 153:4 | 261:6 | 205:1,3,6,14,18 |
| key 35:25 | 153:11 157:11 | known 113:10 | 205:19,21 |
| kind 55:16 | 160:14 162:13 | 165:15 | 207:7,12,17 |
| 68:16 75:19 | 162:17,20,25 | knows 141:15 | 208:20,20,23 |
| 82:23 100:22 | 163:6,6,9,24 |  | 209:2 210:13 |
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[landman - located]

| 211:10 213:25 | lawyer 53:12 | 149:15 150:1 | 149:14 152:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 216:20 221:13 | lays 124:5 | 152:5,9 158:2 | 162:9 163:24 |
| 223:9 233:24 | lea 151:18 | 190:18 194:25 | 184:25 210:15 |
| 241:21 242:1 | 213:19 221:3 | 198:10 214:8 | 214:6 234:5 |
| 245:11 | lean 39:18 | 214:21 223:22 | 238:1 250:16 |
| landman's | learn 65:15 | 234:7,19 243:1 | listed 98:13 |
| 207:6,8 | 187:3,4 | 244:25 245:25 | 100:24 127:2 |
| lands 155:10 | learned 62:7 | 247:24 249:13 | 142:11 160:9 |
| 191:22 209:9 | 114:24 171:11 | 250:17 255:15 | 164:4 199:12 |
| langlie 55:6 | 173:6 | 255:16 | 200:5 206:10 |
| lap 9:2 | lease 162:19,24 | letter's 246:2 | 223:20 238:2 |
| large 162:22 | 214:9 | letters 87:23 | listening 61:4 |
| 203:12 | leases 245:17 | 88:3 89:22 | literally 210:17 |
| largely 27:8 | leave 39:17 | 91:8 137:25 | little 25:15 38:6 |
| 34:2 | 52:21 65:18 | 208:18 | 66:3,7 91:20 |
| larger 156:3 | 145:22 221:4 | letting 122:4 | 100:9,10 |
| lastly 86:15 | 238:25 254:5 | 197:6 | 120:24 130:18 |
| 214:19 223:20 | leaving 145:3 | level 30:20 | 135:17 165:9 |
| 242:25 | left 13:11 | lie 251:6 | 171:19 173:8 |
| late 3:19,20,21 | 122:14 164:19 | lifted 125:17 | 216:1 232:5,21 |
| 3:23 36:10 | 207:8 239:2 | lifting 125:18 | lizzie 213:25 |
| 37:17 54:7,10 | 249:13 250:17 | light 173:11 | llc 7:10,24 |
| 84:20,25 173:7 | 253:2 256:6 | likely 73:18 | 78:21 96:24 |
| 173:17 177:5 | legend 200:10 | 188:13 | 127:1 132:14 |
| 177:17,18 | length 54:20 | likewise 59:23 | 171:25 172:5 |
| 179:8,17,18 | lengthy 26:10 | limit 218:9 | 187:15 204:3 |
| 180:4 181:8,9 | 80:3 229:3 | 219:25 | 213:4 |
| latest 42:5,17 | letter 34:21 | limits 217:20 | load 57:15 |
| 99:1 108:14 | 87:11 88:22 | 217:23 218:1 | loan 64:15 |
| 128:2 | 89:8,16,24 | line 201:6,13 | locatable |
| lauper 213:25 | 90:6,24 91:2 | 201:20 253:15 | 158:11 |
| lauper's 214:4 | 97:3 99:24,25 | lines 254:23 | locate 113:16 |
| law 3:25 53:14 | 100:4 119:13 | link 91:8 | 114:10 134:16 |
| 53:15 171:24 | 125:25 130:13 | list 2:2 15:19 | located 195:9 |
|  | 138:9,17 | 87:7 97:4,25 |  |
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[location - mailings]

| location 62:8 | 135:3 142:22 | 230:8 247:18 | made 28:17,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:10,19 66:16 | 163:9 169:5 | 248:14 252:11 | 30:2 35:14,20 |
| 67:2,17,18,20 | 175:10,21 | 254:17 258:21 | 39:3 90:15,19 |
| 68:8,14 99:19 | 177:4 187:4 | looks 6:25 | 105:5 111:18 |
| 136:23 137:7 | 200:2 203:13 | 10:10 44:20 | 113:14 129:2 |
| 137:13 149:21 | 215:19 229:2 | 51:6 59:8,15 | 154:17 167:14 |
| 149:22 156:1 | 232:4 237:5,19 | 64:1 70:18,22 | 168:14 219:16 |
| 194:19 206:23 | 238:12 245:5 | 72:23 77:21 | 226:24 227:1 |
| 246:10,13,13 | 247:12,12,15 | 78:3 85:13 | magnified 60:8 |
| locations 32:15 | 247:17 | 99:17,24 | magnum 193:1 |
| 194:22 | looked 26:12 | 133:23 143:13 | 193:3,5 |
| locator 87:15 | 32:21,21 59:20 | 177:19 186:16 | mail 97:19 |
| 198:5 214:14 | 59:21 103:8 | 195:8 200:10 | 113:9 114:10 |
| 242:17 | 236:11 | 200:25 207:7 | 119:15 128:10 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} 242: 19$ | looking 3:15 | 235:22 237:25 | 138:2 150:4 |
| logs 246:13 | 16:16 17:14 | 249:10,12 | 152:11 246:18 |
| long 21:15 | 21:9,13 32:8 | 250:17,19 | 246:21 |
| 53:23 57:15 | 38:10 46:2,8,9 | lot 40:13 55:3 | mailed 87:23 |
| 83:9 114:6,15 | 46:11 50:25 | 106:12 135:9 | 127:4 130:15 |
| 114:19 120:1 | 54:1 57:15 | 135:11 235:18 | 130:18 131:16 |
| 125:12 137:16 | 63:9,19 79:18 | lots 241:7 | 131:20 158:10 |
| 157:15 177:16 | 88:19,22 92:9 | lower 213:13 | 195:6 198:13 |
| 221:8,16 | 93:25 96:20 | 216:3,9 217:15 | 209:17 214:22 |
| 257:24 | 97:6 98:25 | 218:23 219:4 | 223:22 234:18 |
| longer 53:12 | 99:18 116:3 | 219:20 253:16 | 243:2 |
| 105:3,7 111:20 | 136:25 138:15 | 255:3 | mailing 89:23 |
| 228:15 | 142:20 154:21 | lp 49:15 | 119:12 126:1 |
| longstanding | 156:7 157:4 | lumped 168:11 | 127:3,21 |
| 33:1 | 159:19 160:13 | lunch 170:22 | 128:16,24 |
| look 32:3 33:17 | 160:14 161:7 | 174:6 194:9 | 133:2 223:24 |
| 44:12 52:8 | 161:18 162:3 | m | 229:2 |
| 59:18 91:20 | 165:12 166:5 |  | mailings |
| 104:18 108:24 | 169:16 199:24 | ma'am 255:18 | 110:10 158:9 |
| 112:23,24 | 207:5 223:25 | machine | 195:5 |
| 122:22 134:23 | 227:7 229:14 | 156:18 |  |

[maintaining - mcclure]

| maintaining | 97:10,12 106:7 | 13:18 15:1 | 75:2 79:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104:15 | 149:21,21,23 | 45:6,16 46:24 | 80:5 107:14 |
| make 9:1 17:9 | 198:6 214:6,14 | 85:5 86:23 | 124:12 149:9 |
| 19:17 20:4 | 214:15,15 | 87:9 162:5,10 | 155:15 204:23 |
| 22:3 30:25 | 234:4 242:3,17 | 162:12,16 | 205:15 244:22 |
| 32:14 34:25 | 242:18,18 | 163:1,14,19 | 246:25 252:9 |
| 39:4 41:13 | 246:12,13 | 166:3,6,6,7 | matthew |
| 42:12 45:12,12 | maps 198:5,5 | 167:1,19 | 149:18 |
| 45:25 46:1,16 | marathon 7:23 | 168:10,13,20 | maxwell 198:1 |
| 51:25 52:5 | 171:13,20,25 | 189:10,13,18 | mcclure 2:4 |
| 53:5 58:20 | 173:25 184:12 | 190:13 222:13 | 50:21,23 51:4 |
| 76:13 83:16 | marathon's | 222:16,22 | 51:5 58:25 |
| 84:12 90:3 | 175:2 176:18 | 223:14,18 | 59:5,7,14 60:4 |
| 93:12 110:2 | march 8:20,22 | 224:2 225:4 | 60:20,21,22 |
| 148:14 163:6 | 9:18,25 11:16 | 226:14 227:6,8 | 65:22,24 68:3 |
| 163:18 168:3 | 12:4 13:1,4,5 | 231:19 251:18 | 68:5,12,18,21 |
| 182:5 207:2 | 14:3,12,21 | 252:5 | 68:25 77:23 |
| 212:20 219:23 | 15:17 17:2,15 | matador's | 78:7,10 88:16 |
| 220:17 228:12 | 18:20 19:13,20 | 44:25 | 88:17,25 89:5 |
| 228:25 235:18 | 19:24 20:5,7 | match 137:23 | 89:12,14 90:9 |
| 241:1 | 20:18,25 21:2 | matched 90:16 | 90:22 91:3,6 |
| makes 35:4 | 21:8,13 22:1,6 | 136:22 | 91:12 92:3,15 |
| 54:3 57:7 | 22:8,12,16 | material 65:19 | 92:16,22,25 |
| 58:22 61:8 | 23:9 57:2,2,7 | 166:22,24 | 93:14,22 94:24 |
| 63:5 100:22 | 58:20 63:5,5 | materials | 95:2,9 96:18 |
| 106:12 167:3 | 63:10,11,11,20 | 207:18 | 98:6,8,16,17,20 |
| 201:19 219:10 | 70:14 80:5 | matter 8:20 | 98:25 99:6,8 |
| making 162:22 | 82:16 83:4,19 | 49:17 120:8,24 | 99:15,23 100:2 |
| 212:4 | mark 30:15 | 124:13 156:9 | 100:12,14,19 |
| man 148:5 | market 36:22 | 167:13 176:23 | 101:2,9,14,16 |
| management | marketing | 178:14 214:3 | 109:6,7 111:8 |
| 204:25 | 36:20 | 220:2 234:2,11 | 111:9,14,22 |
| manner 32:2 | mason 198:1 | 250:4 | 112:7,17 |
| $\boldsymbol{m a p} 87: 6,15,16$ | matador 10:11 | matters 3:16 | 113:20 116:4,6 |
| 95:24 96:1 | 11:9,20 12:25 | 11:15 45:10 | 117:9,11 118:6 |
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[mcclure - memo]

| $118: 7120: 14$ | $199: 7,8,18,20$ | $253: 21,22$ | mean $10: 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $120: 15,25$ | $199: 23200: 3,8$ | $254: 9,13,16,20$ | $17: 2419: 12$ |
| $121: 17,21,23$ | $200: 23201: 10$ | $254: 25257: 20$ | $31: 440: 17$ |
| $122: 14,18,21$ | $202: 3,11,13,17$ | $257: 22258: 1$ | $42: 358: 2$ |
| $130: 9,10,17$ | $202: 19,25$ | $258: 25259: 2$ | $65: 15100: 12$ |
| $131: 2,6,17,23$ | $203: 2210: 2,4$ | $259: 13,18$ | $103: 5115: 3$ |
| $131: 25132: 17$ | $212: 13,15$ | mcelvain $127: 1$ | $128: 9,16$ |
| $133: 4,7135: 17$ | $215: 8,9,16,21$ | $129: 16,23$ | $136: 17,18$ |
| $135: 19136: 6$ | $216: 1,5,14,17$ | $132: 15$ | $146: 11156: 10$ |
| $136: 14,24$ | $216: 23217: 5,9$ | mcgee $49: 15$ | $162: 16163: 16$ |
| $137: 9,12,15,19$ | $217: 12,25$ | mclean $2: 17$ | $166: 24,25$ |
| $137: 24138: 7$ | $218: 5,13,15,17$ | $134: 3147: 19$ | $173: 16188: 3$ |
| $138: 10,19,23$ | $218: 22219: 6$ | $147: 20,23$ | $199: 16200: 24$ |
| $139: 7,16,18,20$ | $219: 15220: 19$ | $148: 17,18,20$ | $218: 7219: 11$ |
| $141: 4,9,17,20$ | $220: 22,24$ | $150: 11,16,17$ | $219: 21220: 11$ |
| $142: 7,10,15,19$ | $221: 23,24$ | $150: 18,25$ | $225: 21226: 18$ |
| $142: 23,24$ | $222: 11,15$ | $151: 2,5,8,8,11$ | $227: 11235: 12$ |
| $143: 8,10,12,15$ | $224: 13,14,20$ | $153: 1,3,22,25$ | $239: 11247: 16$ |
| $143: 20144: 5,6$ | $225: 5,6,14$ | $154: 3,4193: 10$ | $249: 11$ |
| $144: 11,17,19$ | $226: 1,20,25$ | $193: 11,13,16$ | means $41: 5$ |
| $144: 25145: 1$ | $227: 1,11,14,25$ | $193: 25194: 2$ | meant $29: 2,7$ |
| $145: 24,25$ | $228: 5,14,22$ | $196: 25,25$ | $100: 24188: 2$ |
| $146: 3,7,17,19$ | $229: 11,20$ | $197: 9,11,14$ | $235: 23$ |
| $146: 24147: 2,7$ | $230: 5,11$ | $198: 19,22$ | measure $39: 5$ |
| $150: 19,20,22$ | $231: 22,25$ | $199: 10,17,19$ | mec $103: 18$ |
| $152: 23,24$ | $232: 8233: 9$ | $199: 22200: 2,6$ | $105: 3,6110: 24$ |
| $153: 14154: 1$ | $235: 7,8,21,23$ | $200: 15201: 3,4$ | $111: 19112: 12$ |
| $157: 13,15$ | $236: 6,10,15,16$ | $201: 10,21$ | meet $11: 25$ |
| $159: 3,4160: 20$ | $239: 25243: 15$ | $202: 8,11,22,23$ | $180: 6$ |
| $160: 22165: 5,7$ | $243: 16247: 6,8$ | $203: 5,7,15,18$ | meeting $259: 20$ |
| $167: 20,21$ | $248: 10,11,19$ | $203: 21,23$ | melton $222: 24$ |
| $168: 1,23$ | $248: 20249: 4,5$ | $232: 17,19,21$ | member $205: 2$ |
| $169: 22,24$ | $249: 18,19,25$ | $232: 24233: 4$ | memo $106: 17$ |
| $170: 15191: 7,8$ | $250: 8,15,21$ | $235: 2237: 22$ | $106: 18,20$ |
| $196: 4,5,15,16$ | $251: 1,5252: 2$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Page 43
[mendez - motion]

| mendez 241:22 | microphone | missouri | montgomery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 242:22 | 193:14 | 260:21 | 44:22 102:22 |
| mendez's | mid 173:24 | mistake 45:13 | month 55:21 |
| 242:15 | middle 146:11 | 99:11 136:20 | 63:1 119:23 |
| mention 108:25 | 147:8 | mistaken 186:7 | 185:13,23 |
| 140:1 231:10 | miguel 2:20 | mode 41:5 | 206:14,15 |
| mentioned 14:8 | 25:8,12 | moderated 1:9 | 240:22 |
| 29:7 31:16 | mile 125:15,19 | modified 68:13 | months 36:15 |
| 42:24 56:12 | mind 34:17 | modrall 7:23 | 184:14 |
| 64:8 75:20 | 36:23 43:13 | 10:19 50:4 | morning 6:6,9 |
| 79:24 97:10 | 221:17 | 61:23 70:25 | 7:1,2,9,12,17 |
| 105:20 106:19 | mineral 118:25 | 78:20 232:14 | 7:22 8:17 |
| 124:19 125:5 | 126:2 214:9 | module 46:11 | 10:12,15,17,20 |
| 188:22 | minerals 1:2 | mojo 197:23 | 10:21,23 12:22 |
| mentor 114:24 | 232:25 | moment 12:23 | 24:25 25:4,11 |
| merely 115:25 | minor 141:11 | 17:10 18:11 | 44:24 47:25 |
| met 206:21 | minute 135:11 | 43:12,20 49:22 | 49:7,9 50:6 |
| mewbourne | 147:25 148:12 | 52:10,12 60:3 | 61:22 62:1,3 |
| 151:4,9,12,21 | 161:23 181:12 | 91:21 107:16 | 70:23 71:4 |
| 153:1 170:24 | 184:25 237:15 | 113:24,25 | 78:19,22 84:1 |
| 171:5 188:24 | 252:1 | 147:25 198:20 | 85:7,11,14 |
| 192:18 | minutes 101:8 | 205:11 212:21 | 95:10,15 |
| mexico 1:1,4 | misidentified | 215:15,23 | 108:20 123:5,8 |
| 85:22 120:23 | 67:1 | 216:16 230:9 | 123:10 147:19 |
| 123:21 155:11 | misinterpreting | moments 30:6 | 147:22 154:8 |
| 174:9 191:24 | 200:24 | monday 54:21 | 154:10,21 |
| 204:18 213:19 | missed 48:10 | 237:4,5 | 155:1 171:8,11 |
| 241:10,13 | 90:21 106:17 | mongoose | 171:14 173:10 |
| michael 2:11 | 121:23 131:7 | 71:22 72:5,13 | 186:20 187:24 |
| 2:22 7:9,13 | 137:1 153:1 | 75:21 77:6 | 189:1,1,5 |
| 10:13 45:5 | missing 30:22 | 232:25 | 251:21 |
| 49:10 71:5 | 32:23 44:25 | monitor 91:9 | motion 3:18 5:8 |
| 154:18 171:8 | 59:2 64:11 | monitoring | 5:25 6:21 |
| 172:4 244:5 | 259:9 | 81:9,10 172:18 | 18:25 19:6,6 |
| 245:11 |  | 172:19 | 19:14 23:14 |
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[motion - needed]

| 25:7,16,17 | 211:16,23 | name 30:12 | 23:19 26:10,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:6,7,11,18 | 237:17 244:2 | 48:20 61:18 | 32:16 37:19,21 |
| 35:15 39:12 | 246:23 | 81:23 129:15 | 37:24 38:4,6 |
| 52:16,21 65:5 | moved 6:21 8:6 | 142:20,21 | 39:14,15 40:17 |
| 71:24 73:8 | 8:20 25:23 | 148:6 199:12 | 41:8 42:11,12 |
| 74:6 76:4,7,11 | 47:9 53:19 | 199:24 202:16 | 43:10 50:10 |
| 76:20,24 78:15 | 99:17 137:1,4 | 220:13 253:12 | 52:11 56:10 |
| 122:23,23 | moves 52:17 | 254:21 | 62:18 64:10 |
| 174:7,21 | moving 9:24 | names 109:18 | 67:8 74:3 75:7 |
| 176:14,15,19 | 75:2 136:7 | 132:12 150:17 | 79:19 83:17,18 |
| 178:1,2,3,5,6 | 188:15 189:9 | 165:15 | 93:17 99:20,21 |
| 178:12 179:6 | mre 8:2 11:8 | narrow 54:10 | 100:7,17 101:2 |
| 185:8,8,21 | 21:10 71:6,21 | 54:11 | 101:4,7 126:20 |
| motions 3:4 | 85:9,16,23 | nathaniel | 136:6 137:4,7 |
| 19:7 53:13 | 86:3,9,16 | 205:23 | 137:23 139:6 |
| mountain 6:3,8 | 87:10 154:20 | natural 1:2 | 140:15 142:3,4 |
| 10:19 11:2,8 | 154:22 155:2 | nature 9:12 | 143:21 144:17 |
| 11:21 12:11 | 162:10,17 | 53:6 165:8,16 | 144:23 147:25 |
| 13:23,24 14:25 | 163:1 168:15 | 165:22 | 153:21 166:24 |
| 15:3 18:10 | 168:18 | ne 98:22 | 166:25 169:12 |
| 22:19 70:21,25 | multi 37:7 | 142:12 | 179:6 181:4,5 |
| 71:16,18 | multiple 37:2 | nearly 55:9 | 181:6 182:24 |
| move 4:15 6:2 | 94:14 203:13 | 114:19 130:20 | 188:15 211:9 |
| 18:11 24:23 | 219:9 | 199:12 | 212:20 220:20 |
| 48:24 61:12 | mute 25:13 | necessarily | 225:22,23 |
| 68:4 70:20 | muted 150:21 | 51:20 66:14 | 229:7,8 232:22 |
| 78:16 85:5 | n | 83:11 93:17 | 236:22 238:4 |
| 92:8 94:8 | n 2:1 3:1 85:25 | necessary | 240:8,11,16 |
| 101:6 122:12 | $86: 1,6,12$ | 19:14 163:7,8 | 253:12,14,20 |
| 129:20 132:5 | 98:22 149:1 | 164:20 200:22 | 255:1 |
| 133:22 136:18 | 155:22 192:9 | 212:4 230:18 | needed 11:16 |
| 136:19 137:13 | 194:15 197:18 | necessity | 26:16 31:12 |
| 140:21 144:2 |  | 115:21 | 33:18 90:20 |
| 159:14 160:4 |  | need 9:1 12:3,4 | 96:4 121:5 |
| 169:4 176:10 | 206.3218 .2 | 18:7 21:20 | 153:6 197:3 |
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| 226:24,24 | 139:9 148:10 | north 85:19 | 89:16,19,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229:25 | 153:20 155:11 | northwest | 90:2,4,8,14,21 |
| needing 255:14 | 174:9 186:12 | 199:13 | 90:24 91:2,2,7 |
| needs 27:10 | 187:4,4 191:24 | notary 260:20 | 91:13,18 93:10 |
| 60:8 100:8 | 203:9 204:17 | note 15:15 | 96:8,22 97:1 |
| 141:15 202:25 | 208:21 209:1,5 | 45:25 55:3 | 97:16,18 110:9 |
| 226:2 254:15 | 210:14 213:19 | 62:15 84:12 | 111:24,25,25 |
| negotiate 36:4 | 241:9,13 | 125:1 163:25 | 112:2,3,7,11,15 |
| 188:11,13 | newest 99:1 | 231:8 240:8 | 112:24,25,25 |
| negotiating | newly 77:6 | 241:1,15 242:4 | 113:6,8,10,16 |
| 30:8 | news 8:18 | 252:12,13,19 | 113:17,21 |
| negotiation | 171:10 | 258:24 | 114:8,11,14,14 |
| 139:5 | newspaper | noted 41:17 | 115:4,6,20,21 |
| negotiations | 119:20 | 92:1 107:9 | 119:13,14,17 |
| 34:23 36:7,12 | night 12:19 | 129:21 154:22 | 119:18,19,21 |
| 36:14,18 37:10 | 80:15 84:21 | notes 9:117:9 | 120:6,18,23 |
| 37:16 57:22 | 173:21 207:5 | 22:4 24:4,17 | 121:3,4,5,16,19 |
| 58:19 83:14 | 236:11 | 29:18 43:11,17 | 122:7 125:25 |
| neither 137:19 | nine 31:22 | 45:12 46:1 | 126:2,7 127:3 |
| 260:10 261:7 | nm 1:15 | 58:10 75:16 | 127:5,10,11,15 |
| net 162:22 | nmac 113:8 | 84:17 132:5,6 | 127:19,23,24 |
| never 36:5 | 175:11 | 140:1 143:23 | 128:6,9,10,18 |
| new 1:1,4 12:25 | nodding 258:21 | 146:16 174:8 | 128:21 129:13 |
| 15:24 17:4,14 | non 32:9 | 175:13 182:12 | 129:22 130:13 |
| 20:3 22:9,21 | 151:15 245:4 | 182:14 186:24 | 130:20,21,25 |
| 23:15 24:14 | nonresponsive | 212:20 244:1 | 131:15 132:10 |
| 26:23,24 27:7 | 195:12 | 252:11 254:8 | 132:25 134:14 |
| 27:18,18 66:15 | nonstandard | 258:14 259:7 | 134:19 135:4 |
| 67:19 68:8,18 | 95:23 194:20 | notice 9:12 | 135:10 138:6 |
| 68:21 84:19 | 206:23 | 17:17 18:3 | 141:8,11 |
| 85:22 89:1 | nop 91:22,25 | 27:8,11 28:4 | 149:12,25 |
| 97:20 120:23 | 92:2 224:3 | 30:4,6 68:22 | 150:1,2 152:3 |
| 121:24 123:21 | 229:4 | 68:23 87:22,23 | 152:8,9,10 |
| 126:22 131:7 | norris 191:25 | 87:24,25 88:2 | 153:11 158:9 |
| 131:16 134:20 | 194:23 | 88:21 89:1,7,8 | 158:10,10,11 |
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[notice - objections]

| 166:19,21 | 173:18 229:12 | 134:7 135:8,13 | object 81:13 |
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| 57:1 216:21 | prior 60:17 | 197:13 204:8 | 189:13 193:2,3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229:2 | 113:11 127:5 | 204:14 213:8 | 206:15 222:16 |
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| 109:17,17 | 61:8 62:17 | 83:14,15 | 233:23 |
| 209:8 223:11 | 63:5 101:5,7 | 102:25 103:4 | program 205:5 |
| previously | 121:11,13,23 | 104:2 175:22 | progressed |
| 71:20 87:2 | 131:7 161:3 | 183:6,24 193:6 | 58:19 |
| 107:12 110:5 | 226:20 237:4 | 233:1 261:4 | project 242:17 |
| 124:11 134:7 | problem 19:10 | proceedings | proof 128:20 |
| 149:8,19 | 54:22 55:2 | 260:3,4,6,8 | proper 36:15 |
| 155:13,16 | 60:4 65:16,18 | 261:6 | 202:16 228:14 |
| 190:3 191:25 | 66:17,18 | proceeds | properly 17:16 |
| 192:3 198:25 | 139:14 228:6 | 117:21 | 93:11 173:18 |
| 205:23 214:1 | problems | process 9:15 | 175:23 206:12 |
| 223:10,20 | 139:25 | 36:24 37:16 | proposal 26:21 |
| 233:25 234:9 | procedural | 77:25 84:14 | 31:4,7,10,12,18 |
| 241:23 245:12 | 25:9 40:13 | 93:18 109:18 | 31:21 32:1 |
| 246:6 | 43:2 | 115:3,7 162:21 | 33:14 34:14,21 |
| pride 44:19,22 | proceed 8:8,13 | 162:24 | 35:21 36:3 |
| 46:24 48:18 | 8:19 37:8,19 | processed 82:9 | 87:10 99:25 |
| 252:16,17 | 66:12 71:10 | processing 82:8 | 138:9,22,25 |
| primarily | 85:14 93:3 | 186:17 | 149:15 152:5 |
| 173:5 | 100:23 101:11 | produced | 158:2 173:12 |
| prime 246:15 | 105:12 109:7 | 93:11 | 173:13 194:25 |
| principal | 118:19 123:9 | production | 214:8 234:7 |
| 125:11 | 151:10 154:15 | 45:16 85:5 | 242:13 244:25 |
| printed 27:20 | 185:10 189:16 | 86:23 103:16 | 245:24 246:2 |
| 28:25 235:16 | 191:20 194:6 | 105:3 154:6,13 | 247:24 |
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| proposals | 130:25 131:3 | providing | 195:15 198:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:13 22:22 | 153:11 156:17 | 96:24 138:3 | 209:17 214:24 |
| 27:24 36:10 | 164:25 169:8 | proximity 32:6 | 230:3 234:19 |
| 37:3 47:1 | 199:25 207:12 | 32:6,10,13,17 | 243:4 |
| 137:25 173:22 | 216:1 220:13 | 106:5 156:2 | pull 116:9 |
| propose 14:22 | 223:16 225:24 | 241:16,16 | 130:22 |
| 18:17,23 54:5 | 228:3 229:10 | prudent 55:13 | pulled 28:12,13 |
| 82:20 163:18 | 240:11,16 | public 27:8,11 | 28:13 |
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| 31:14 240:20 | 126:2 127:19 | 158:12 190:20 | pursuing 36:9 |
| proposition | 127:21 128:10 | 195:5,14 | push 185:13 |
| 183:4 184:3 | 129:22 131:1 | 198:14 214:23 | 232:22 |
| prosecutor | 134:14 137:16 | 225:24 229:19 | pushing 18:17 |
| 184:24 | 143:6 157:19 | 229:22 230:2,4 | put 9:22 18:8 |
| protect 193:7 | 159:5 162:15 | 243:4 246:22 | 38:6 55:4 56:7 |
| protection | 176:4 177:7 | 257:19 258:18 | 69:4 84:16 |
| 160:1 174:24 | 180:14 189:22 | publications | 89:22 95:20 |
| 174:25 175:1 | 190:1,15 | 91:18 | 97:2 141:7 |
| 181:18,25 | 213:23 223:4 | publish 113:16 | 156:15 182:25 |
| 182:1 | 225:19 241:19 | 119:19 186:8 | 183:2 186:23 |
| protests 113:14 | 255:14 | published | 216:8,10 |
| provide 31:3 | provides 27:24 | 20:13,16,17 | 220:20 228:19 |
| 32:11 91:8 | 127:18 158:8 | 88:4 115:22 | 231:2 252:12 |
| 114:7,11 | 195:4 245:13 | 129:11,14 | 252:13 253:16 |
| 127:22 128:21 | 246:8 | 150:6 152:13 | putting 46:18 |
| 128:22 129:23 |  | 158:12 190:20 | 163:10 |
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| q | 122:19 130:9 | 140:14 195:7 | rates 206:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| qualified | 132:3 141:5,6 | 201:13 | rather 18:17 |
| $205: 14 \text { 260:7 }$ | 141:14 143:9 | quoting 34:18 | 54:19 55:17 |
| qualify 165:21 | 146:18,19 | r | 56:21 84:25 |
| question 20:2 | 150:10,22 | r 2:1 3:1 27:20 | 124:14 199:13 |
| 43:20 68:6 | 153:23 158:18 | - 28:13 123:12 | reach 29:24 |
| 89:10 90:10 | 159:4,6,10 | 151:14 152:3 | 55:16 190:13 |
| 100:20 111:23 | 160:21 161:1 | 206:6 225:7 | reached 36:3 |
| 112:21 113:25 | 164:17 169:23 | $\mathbf{r 2 2 4 2 1} 118: 24$ | 140:14 171:12 |
| 115:3,23 116:4 | 170:16,18 | radius 125:15 | 223:18 |
| 121:1,2 139:11 | 190:22 191:8 | 125:19 | read 26:10,11 |
| 139:14 141:23 | 195:20 196:5 | raise 102 | 26:12,13,13 |
| 143:1 157:9,18 | 196:16 202:8 | 222:5 | 49:21 59:11,23 |
| 160:13 161:2 | 210:2,4 211:23 | raised 34:3 | 113:19 177:4 |
| 164:19 165:5 | 212:1,13,15 | 184:18 | 177:15,23 |
| 165:10,20 | 214:25 218:18 | raises 112:21 | 178:1,4,6 |
| 166:16 168:6,7 | 224:4 226:21 | range 54:12 | 179:6 201:22 |
| 168:8 193:25 | 231:23 233:9 | 85:21 105:18 | reading 59:3 |
| 200:4 203:7 | 234:23 235:12 | 105:19 123:19 | 113:18 200:17 |
| 220:12,25 | 243:6,16 247:6 | 123:20 149:1 | ready 11:15 |
| 221:7 225:17 | 247:8 248:11 | 151:17 155:11 | 25:17 74:11 |
| 226:9 228:22 | 248:20 250:11 | 191:23 197:19 | 75:9 102:2 |
| 236:15,17 | queue 82:8,14 | 197:21 204:17 | 142:7 148:19 |
| 255:13 | 186:17 | 213:19 233:17 | 148:20 154:14 |
| questioning | quick 9:15 | 241:9,12 | 199:14 251:16 |
| 139:12 | 83:11 143:1 | rankin 2:9 | real 21:3 55:10 |
| questions 26:11 | 199:10 200:3 | 123:5,6,10 | 217:2 |
| 34:3 88:6 92:4 | 217:2 221:13 | 128:1,8 129:8 | realized 3:15 |
| 92:18 93:9 | 226:14 228:25 | 130:12,16 | $12: 19$ really $13: 19$ |
| 96:13 98:16 | 230:24 249:6 253:11 | 131:5,11,21,24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { really } 13: 21 \\ & 26: 15,1630: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 101:10,14 | quicker 173:8 | 132:1,4,7,9,14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:15,16 30:17 } \\ & 39: 16 ~ 42: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 110:11,11 | quicker 173:8 <br> quickly 229:7 | 132:22 133:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 16 ~ 42: 15 \\ & 46: 453: 5 ~ 58: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 112:18 117:10 | quite 50:18,24 | 133:10,13,16 | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 4 \text { 53:5 58:1 } \\ & 138: 2 \quad 139: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 117:12 118:8 $121: 18122: 15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { quite } 50: 18,24 \\ 89 \cdot 13140 \cdot 12 \end{gathered}$ | 133:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 2 \text { 139:4 } \\ & \text { 141:22 148:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 208:6,23 | received 29:5 | 128:13 129:1 | references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 253:11 255:24 | 60:2 96:9 | 133:10 145:3 | 209:22 |
| reason 3:18,23 | 109:2,3 119:14 | 145:22 148:16 | referring 59:9 |
| 23:22,25 55:18 | 121:3,8 122:5 | 150:8 152:16 | 68:6,9 78:4 |
| 83:4 89:1 | 128:22 129:4 | 157:20 158:17 | 201:19 227:4,9 |
| 90:12 91:18 | 145:21 150:3 | 163:2 171:1,3 | 227:17,21 |
| 108:25 121:17 | 152:11 166:19 | 177:8 182:19 | refile 30:22 |
| 129:3 138:13 | 172:16 173:21 | 183:14 184:10 | 38:19 39:8 |
| 145:2 157:18 | 173:25 182:8 | 190:24 195:19 | 65:8,20 66:6 |
| 164:3 165:10 | 182:14,21 | 198:17 205:25 | refiled 26:23 |
| 201:11 217:12 | 198:12 199:3 | 211:24 214:3 | 27:15 66:6 |
| 237:12 | 224:1 | 215:2 224:6 | 67:7 |
| reasonable | receiving 92:12 | 231:2 234:2,11 | refiling 30:5 |
| 237:2,6 | 94:2,20 110:21 | 243:7 245:21 | refine 163:5 |
| reasons 39:10 | 116:22 117:24 | 254:5 260:9 | reflect 142:4,5 |
| 55:3 119:11 | 118:1 130:6 | 261:5 | 209:3 |
| rebut 180:9 | 152:20 | recorded 260:6 | reflecting |
| rebuttable | recent 163:4 | recording | 126:1 244:1 |
| 35:22 36:1 | recently 11:9 | 260:8 261:4 | reflects 129:13 |
| recall 108:1 | 45:7 125:2 | records 162:13 | 162:7 |
| 134:9 157:5 | 204:20 240:16 | 165:19 | refused 128:15 |
| 251:20 | recess 101:21 | recovery 51:9 | regarding 28:2 |
| recap 95:3 98:3 | 145:14 178:5 | red 201:1,6,20 | 228:25 |
| recapitulation | 222:4 228:19 | redeliver 128:4 | regardless |
| 149:13 162:9 | 231:4,24 232:3 | reduced 260:6 | 119:17 |
| receipt 113:9 | recommendat... | reference 71:14 | regards 91:12 |
| 171:17 | 139:8 | 131:12,20 | 112:8 220:25 |
| receipts 97:19 | record 3:4 | 179:10 207:8,9 | 221:7 |
| 150:4 152:12 | 41:21 88:7 | 207:18 210:22 | region 200:13 |
| receive 31:25 | 93:2 95:20 | 242:20 | regional 198:4 |
| 32:2 60:25 | 96:15 97:2 | referenced | registered |
| 119:15,17 | 110:7,16,25 | 85:21 112:4 | 205:1 |
| 121:12 136:12 | 113:19 116:19 | 131:8,18 | registry 127:21 |
| 182:14 246:20 | 117:19 120:7 | 207:23,23 | regular 44:10 |
|  | 124:13 128:12 |  | 56:4,5 |

Page 58
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| regulation 35:7 <br> 166:9 | rephrase 121:2 replace $75: 23$ | 235:13 236:17 | 60:24 235:14 resolved 11:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| regulations | replacement | 86:22 98:21 | resource |
| 34:12 | 73:22 | 99:10 113:9 | 196:24 |
| regulatory | reply $26: 14,17$ | 124:7,18 | resources 1:2 |
| 125:21 | 27:12 | 126:14 128:3 | 7:14 48:7 |
| relate 134:19 | report 89:23,24 | requesting 5:14 | 78:25 95:11,14 |
| related 14:7 | 90:7 126:1 | 73:18 124:4,21 | 96:7 100:7 |
| 45:9,10 125:13 | 127:3 223:24 | 189:20 | 102:13,23 |
| 198:2 204:13 | 229:2 257:21 | requests 119:8 | 123:4,11 125:2 |
| 260:11 261:7 | reported 1:20 | 120:21 | 125:3,5 127:1 |
| relative 260:13 | 128:11 | require 113:21 | 132:14 134:8 |
| 261:10 | reporter | 230:12 | 138:9 197:1 |
| relatively | 147:24 148:1,2 | required 27:6 | respect 30:25 |
| 141:11 | 148:5,7,9 | 120:18 130:20 | 116:14,16 |
| reliably 93:9 | reports 90:5 | 180:3 | 176:23 |
| remain 256:12 | represent 48:6 | requirement | respectfully |
| 257:6 | 53:11 103:16 | 31:3,7 | 173:17 189:19 |
| remaining | representations | requirements | respectively |
| 159:22,23 | 50:9 | 112:24,25,25 | 174:18 |
| 229:6 247:22 | representatives | 206:20 | respond 178:12 |
| remediation | 68:1 | requires 113:8 | response 26:13 |
| 125:16 | representing | 119:6 | 30:9 37:1 |
| remember | 5:9 70:3 | requiring | 113:24 150:20 |
| 145:13 190:12 | 103:14,20 | 202:15 | 226:14 |
| 225:10 236:12 | 118:17 187:13 | requisite 87:4 | responses |
| 251:22 | represents | 87:14 242:1,16 | 92:19 |
| remind 75:20 | 195:10 | reran 153:6 | rest 202:6 |
| remington 11:2 | repropose | rescanned | restrict 64:22 |
| 12:12 | 163:17 | 235:17 | resubmission |
| remote 1:14 | request 101:25 | reserve 104:16 | 239:8 |
| renoticed 26:25 | 120:22 122:2 | 231:22 | resubmitted |
| reopen 204:18 | 125:10 145:10 | reset 5:13 6:11 | 60:9 68:7 |
| reopening | 173:17 189:25 | resolution | 104:25 |
| 153:10 | 223:6 235:11 | 46:25 55:16 |  |
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| resubmitting | 255:15,16 | 75:3,8 78:9 | 232:2,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:12 | 256:11 257:4 | 80:18 82:12 | 243:10 247:18 |
| result 183:14 | revisement | 84:17,23 85:2 | 250:16 254:17 |
| resume 205:7 | 253:19 | 88:9 89:8 | 256:25 258:18 |
| resurgence | revision 111:18 | 90:22 91:20 | rights 104:16 |
| 185:11 | 210:21 | 96:19 100:10 | 160:2 174:25 |
| return 113:9 | revisions | 100:18 101:1,4 | 182:1 193:8 |
| returned | 111:14 | 104:9 105:11 | riley 5:7,9 25:6 |
| 173:20 229:13 | rig 124:23 | 106:14 114:9 | 25:22 26:19,23 |
| returns 150:4 | 126:19 | 115:3 128:7,22 | 29:25 31:2,14 |
| 152:12 198:13 | right $4: 8,13,20$ | 129:5 131:5 | 31:20 32:1 |
| 198:14 | 4:25 5:5 7:7 | 132:6 134:1 | 33:5 34:2 36:7 |
| review 28:23 | 8:7,25 9:6,16 | 135:14,22 | 36:8,16,20 |
| 42:11 55:4 | 9:21 10:6 | 137:7,9,11,14 | 37:3,4 41:21 |
| 61:1 126:6 | 12:25 13:11,12 | 137:18,21 | 44:13 70:18 |
| 139:9 205:9 | 14:24 15:7,8 | 138:8,18,21 | riley's 34:13 |
| 233:3 | 16:3 17:24 | 139:2 140:16 | 36:23 37:1 |
| reviewers | 18:2 19:3 | 140:18,22,23 | 38:2 |
| 106:13 | 20:13,14,21,23 | 141:3,13,18 | rises 30:20 |
| reviewing | 21:21 22:14,25 | 143:14 144:12 | risk 206:13 |
| 127:6 | 23:2,18 24:11 | 144:18 145:24 | road 18:17 |
| reviews 124:15 | 24:12,21 25:14 | 146:15 148:21 | 106:13 185:19 |
| revise 4:2 | 26:9 28:11 | 152:18 161:15 | rock 6:24 7:3 |
| 141:15 258:15 | 33:8,11,19 | 167:22 169:2 | 7:10 8:8,10,11 |
| revised 11:9,10 | 35:10,16 38:14 | 170:9 173:8 | 8:14 |
| 104:23 105:1,8 | 38:15 40:3 | 175:5 177:25 | rodriguez 2:22 |
| 107:9,20 | 42:23 43:10 | 183:8,24 185:2 | 7:1,5,9,10 8:8,9 |
| 108:18,18,22 | 46:22 47:19 | 187:3 192:21 | 9:7,9,10,14 |
| 109:3 110:22 | 48:23 57:8 | 192:22 193:24 | rolled 185:4 |
| 110:25 111:6 | 58:9 59:4,24 | 199:18 200:7 | rolling 209:15 |
| 111:11 117:2,7 | 60:15 61:10 | 200:11 210:6 | room 4:9,11 |
| 117:24 118:2,5 | 62:10 63:23 | 210:20 211:16 | 5:21,23 6:18 |
| 145:17,20 | 64:17,25 69:25 | 216:6 217:13 | 9:18 40:25 |
| 156:22 190:10 | 70:9 72:11 | 227:19 230:9 | 47:12 64:16 |
| 210:14 254:6 | 73:7 74:8,9 | 230:20,22,24 |  |

[roughly - screens]

| roughly $136: 8$ | s263620c | saturday | saying $12: 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 136:18 | $213: 12$ | $121: 14$ | $14: 2,1715: 13$ |
| route $221: 25$ | safety $175: 10$ | savage $2: 6$ | $18: 1533: 17$ |
| royalty $105: 4$ | sage $123: 24$ | $154: 8,11,16$ | $37: 1239: 12$ |
| 105:22 $162: 11$ | $192: 7194: 13$ | $155: 7,8156: 5$ | $54: 1963: 7$ |
| $195: 12225: 8$ | sal $49: 361: 15$ | $156: 6,13$ | $67: 5,7,13$ |
| 225:19 | sale $125: 4$ | $157: 19,22,23$ | $68: 1170: 6$ |
| rule $25: 17$ | $162: 19163: 4,4$ | $158: 20159: 15$ | $73: 894: 7$ |
| $32: 21,22,23,23$ | $164: 11166: 2,4$ | $159: 18161: 8$ | $175: 19183: 17$ |
| $34: 1035: 7$ | $166: 11$ | $162: 13,14$ | $200: 11220: 10$ |
| $112: 23113: 3$ | saltwater $69: 10$ | $163: 15164: 6,9$ | says $35: 846: 12$ |
| $115: 16,17$ | sample $87: 10$ | $164: 19165: 25$ | $96: 22107: 18$ |
| $157: 18165: 11$ | $87: 23149: 14$ | $166: 1167: 21$ | $107: 20109: 1$ |
| $165: 14177: 16$ | $152: 5190: 17$ | $167: 22168: 2$ | $128: 2165: 14$ |
| $177: 23181: 3$ | $214: 7,8,21$ | $168: 12,24$ | $183: 11200: 16$ |
| $183: 3,18,22$ | $234: 7242: 13$ | $169: 4,7,14$ | $201: 16,24$ |
| rules $17: 22$ | $243: 1$ | $170: 25191: 15$ | $209: 8257: 21$ |
| $33: 134: 22$ | sand $200: 11$ | $191: 18,21$ | scanning |
| $116: 1128: 21$ | $201: 20216: 8$ | $192: 13,19$ | $156: 17217: 1$ |
| $174: 3184: 22$ | $217: 6,14$ | $193: 19194: 5,7$ | scenarios $15: 7$ |
| $185: 6187: 5$ | $218: 12253: 9$ | $196: 8,20,21$ | schedule $3: 15$ |
| $206: 21$ | sandstone | $204: 1,1,4,6,9$ | $60: 663: 19$ |
| run 60:16 | $200: 14217: 10$ | $204: 12,15$ | $64: 2124: 24$ |
| $109: 12$ | $217: 18$ | $205: 12,15,19$ | $125: 8126: 19$ |
| running $125: 6$ | santa $1: 4,15$ | $205: 20,22$ | scheduled $56: 1$ |
| ryan $2: 21$ | $7: 1310: 13$ | $207: 16,20$ | $57: 10,12$ |
| $10: 21,22,23$ | $25: 1,1245: 5$ | $208: 1,4,8,12,19$ | $107: 24113: 12$ |
| $23: 162: 1,2,20$ | $49: 1071: 5$ | $209: 12,15$ | schill $154: 11$ |
| $62: 2164: 3,4$ | $85: 8103: 22$ | $210: 7,11,20$ | $204: 2$ |
| s | $154: 19162: 5$ | $211: 1,3,8,13,18$ | scott $149: 7$ |
| s $2: 13: 186: 6$ | $172: 4189: 12$ | $212: 6,18,22$ | screen $102: 3$ |
| $86: 12,18,18$ | $213: 2244: 5$ | savage's $169: 1$ | $258: 21$ |
| $148: 25204: 16$ | satisfies $156: 19$ | saw $28: 19$ | screens $10: 8$ |
| $206: 4211: 20$ | $156: 20$ | $192: 14208: 14$ | $46: 14$ |
| $211: 20241: 7$ |  |  |  |
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| screwed 121:10 | 123:18,20 | 76:14 77:24 | 171:13 180:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scroll 216:20 | 132:6 142:12 | 81:12 83:14 | 189:18 205:13 |
| 218:1 | 142:13 149:1 | 84:10 91:10,10 | 222:23 224:2 |
| scrolling 89:5 | 149:22 173:2 | 91:23 92:1 | 225:2 233:14 |
| 135:9 238:15 | 173:14 197:19 | 93:20 94:10 | 242:5,7 |
| se 138:3 142:13 | 197:20 198:7 | 102:4 107:16 | seeks 85:16,23 |
| 241:14 | 200:12,25 | 107:17 108:10 | 86:4,10,16 |
| search 69:18,21 | 204:16 206:4 | 108:11 121:14 | 105:17,23 |
| 82:4,6 165:18 | 211:20 214:15 | 125:11 127:20 | 106:3,23 |
| searched 77:15 | 214:16 215:13 | 129:24 133:3 | 151:12 155:18 |
| searching | 235:15 241:8 | 140:16 145:1 | 192:5 194:11 |
| 69:23 198:23 | 241:11 242:18 | 159:19,20 | 206:1,9 213:10 |
| second 15:10 | 242:19 246:12 | 166:24 170:4,6 | 213:16 214:7 |
| 15:11,12 18:3 | 246:14 | 170:7,8 174:7 | 241:4,13 |
| 24:9 43:21 | sections 86:1,6 | 176:8 180:6,24 | seem 230:13 |
| 45:12 57:16 | 86:12,18 98:22 | 181:3,5,5,6 | 259:1 |
| 88:21 89:11 | 106:6,25 119:2 | 183:7,9,24 | seemed 132:17 |
| 90:1,7,8,11 | 151:17 155:10 | 184:6,6 187:11 | 170:4 229:13 |
| 96:10,22 97:16 | 155:22 191:23 | 190:6 208:6 | seems 55:13 |
| 108:13 130:12 | 192:9 194:15 | 209:6 216:21 | 66:3 67:22 |
| 134:22 135:3 | 198:5 213:18 | 216:21 217:2,3 | 138:15 168:10 |
| 145:23 182:12 | 233:16 235:24 | 220:20 222:6 | 179:16,19,22 |
| 189:25 198:5 | 236:4,8,23,24 | 223:17,24 | 193:14 203:19 |
| 212:3 216:11 | 238:2,12,23 | 237:18 244:9 | seen 30:10 |
| 223:6 229:11 | 239:11,12 | 247:20,25 | 69:22 156:9,10 |
| 233:22 234:15 | 244:18 | 252:7,10,18 | 156:12,24 |
| 246:2 258:15 | see 9:1,11 10:8 | 256:8,12 | 157:4 180:10 |
| secondly 49:14 | 16:9 28:17 | seeing 169:6 | 183:21 |
| secretary's | 32:22,24 34:9 | 232:4 | selected 142:2 |
| 64:15 | 44:8 45:19 | seek 182:24 | self 86:25 87:22 |
| section 87:16 | 46:5,6 48:15 | 204:18 244:16 | 107:6 118:22 |
| 87:17 98:23 | 48:20 51:2 | 244:23 245:4 | 124:15 149:6 |
| 105:18,18,25 | 52:16 55:16 | 245:22 | 149:11,17,20 |
| 105:25 106:6 | 57:1 58:18 | seeking 87:9,10 | 151:25 152:2 |
| 106:25 113:4,5 | 61:11 69:18,24 | 123:11,16 | 156:11 157:25 |
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[self - showing]

| 158:5,8 190:2 | 218:19 233:9 | 52:20 53:15 | 172:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190:17 194:24 | separated | 54:2 57:12 | shape 199:1 |
| 195:4 198:24 | 94:12 | 62:11 64:21 | shares 126:10 |
| 201:22 205:8 | separately | 71:20 130:12 | sharon 2:10 |
| 207:20 213:25 | 73:18 94:16 | 150:2 152:10 | 44:21 102:21 |
| 214:20 223:8 | 172:6 | 188:9 | sheet 46:3,9 |
| 223:21 241:21 | september | settlement 83:6 | sheila 2:5 15:15 |
| 242:25 245:10 | 21:11 125:5 | 83:7 188:11,13 | 17:12 20:15,21 |
| send 113:8 | sequence 13:8 | setup 193:15 | 24:16 25:13,14 |
| 165:2 219:24 | series 106:12 | several 3:4 | 39:23 77:10 |
| sending 230:23 | served 115:22 | 27:16 48:13 | 186:6 187:25 |
| sense 52:5 53:5 | service 128:3 | 59:10 192:11 | shell 216:7 |
| 54:3 57:7 | 128:16 158:11 | shaheen 2:10 | shiela 185:25 |
| 58:22 61:8 | 195:14 | 44:21,22,25 | shoot 20:18 |
| 63:5 186:14 | service's | 45:2 46:22,23 | short 251:20 |
| 201:19 219:10 | 119:16 122:7 | 102:17,20,21 | shortchange |
| sensitive | serviced 115:22 | 102:22 103:1,7 | 164:11 |
| 120:24 | set 4:19 5:1 | 104:25 105:13 | show 34:7 |
| sent 11:12 | 11:21 12:2,3 | 105:16 106:9 | 47:15 77:18 |
| 22:22 70:6 | 13:18 21:25 | 106:16,22 | 119:16 132:19 |
| 88:21,22 | 37:25 42:18 | 107:16,23 | 134:19 136:7 |
| 104:22 127:23 | 43:23 50:16 | 108:5,16 109:8 | 138:4 143:24 |
| 127:23 129:24 | 51:7,20 53:20 | 109:12,15 | 144:3,10 |
| 131:13 132:25 | 56:8 57:17 | 111:11,13,19 | 174:22,23 |
| 133:2 138:4,12 | 62:18,22,25 | 112:6,10,18,19 | 176:15 177:11 |
| 150:1,2 152:9 | 63:15 64:6 | 112:20 114:17 | 178:17 179:8 |
| 152:10 173:22 | 72:7,9 73:4 | 114:23 115:9 | 181:14 201:6 |
| 187:25 198:11 | 79:14,16 83:13 | 116:8,9,11,14 | 202:15 |
| 198:12 246:17 | 83:17,19 96:10 | 116:16 117:17 | showing 57:17 |
| 249:10 250:4 | 119:23 188:15 | 117:18 118:11 | 60:6 78:1 |
| 250:18 | 233:22 244:21 | 118:12 | 93:10 127:18 |
| sentence | 246:8 | shaheen's | 127:23 138:12 |
| 113:18 | setback 206:20 | 104:18 | 158:6 198:12 |
| separate 14:23 | setting 15:17 | shanor 25:1 | 245:17,19 |
| 18:3 171:18 | 20:7 41:15 | 95:14 147:20 | 246:10,13,17 |
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[shown - spacing]

| shown 175:4 | 50:5 51:14 | somewhat 68:1 | 91:20 140:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 176:16,21 | 54:23 61:9 | 208:14 | 141:17 144:17 |
| 180:10 246:1,2 | 70:16 76:25 | soon 38:16 42:2 | 178:9,13 |
| shows 120:3 | 79:1 80:21 | 102:8 121:11 | 185:16 188:5 |
| 128:1 150:6 | 85:3 98:8 | 255:8 | 194:5 231:25 |
| 152:13 177:12 | 150:21 155:5 | sooner 20:11 | 232:2 236:20 |
| 181:16 190:20 | 158:23 161:22 | 42:10,17 56:20 | 255:2 257:17 |
| 198:15 234:18 | 169:14,19 | sophia 2:23 | south 50:17 |
| shugart 85:18 | 170:11 173:16 | 5:11 | 53:1,24 105:18 |
| sick 221:4 | 179:4,25 187:1 | sorry 13:11 | 105:19 119:2 |
| side 142:20 | 192:22 212:23 | 22:16 43:18 | 123:19,20 |
| 226:5 | 250:22 | 46:9 59:2 | 149:1 151:17 |
| sign 150:24 | sister 81:25 | 63:16 68:20 | 155:11 191:23 |
| signature 156:9 | site 125:16 | 75:18 94:25 | 197:19 204:17 |
| 156:17,21,22 | situation 31:20 | 103:24 116:15 | 213:19 233:17 |
| 157:2 211:10 | 31:25 36:6 | 122:25 135:11 | 241:9,12 |
| 260:18 261:15 | 104:12 167:16 | 139:19 140:13 | 244:19 |
| signed 156:11 | 167:18 | 147:4 150:16 | southern 119:3 |
| 157:25 162:18 | situations | 157:3 161:11 | space 185:25 |
| signs 9:13 | 28:18 114:13 | 169:7 192:13 | 186:1,4 |
| silverback | six 53:24 158:6 | 199:20 201:3 | spacing 45:15 |
| 203:25 204:2 | 205:6 | 202:12 218:16 | 71:15 85:20,25 |
| 205:7 206:1,9 | skills 260:10 | 223:25 227:15 | 86:5,11,17 |
| 206:22 212:24 | 261:6 | 238:21 240:8 | 95:24 123:17 |
| similar 52:5 | skip 49:1 | 254:25 256:16 | 125:14,21 |
| 150:17 223:11 | slice 168:3,19 | 258:22 | 126:8 148:25 |
| 244:21 | slightly 99:17 | sort 34:22 | 151:16 155:21 |
| simple 180:18 | slowed 37:1 | 50:10 125:20 | 192:8 194:14 |
| 251:4 | small 60:8 | 153:9 188:11 | 197:18 199:16 |
| simply 8:10 | 195:10 | 188:13 226:10 | 206:3 213:17 |
| 48:1 | smith 30:14, | 236:22 | 213:20 218:10 |
| sincerely 34:17 | snow 259:17 | sound 83:19 | 233:15 240:11 |
| single 76:11 | solutions 61:14 | sounds 12:22 | 241:6,14,17 |
| sir 3:9,12 4:7 | 61:18 69:12,20 | 12:24 16:5 | 244:16 245:6 |
| 10:1 45:18 | 77:12 | 58:21 82:12,15 | 245:16 246:11 |
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[spacing - statement]

| $246: 15$ | spiller 222:25 | $105: 3,4111: 3$ | $152: 19160: 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spc $123: 4,11$ | $231: 11$ | $112: 12117: 4$ | $170: 23234: 25$ |
| $125: 2126: 6$ | splits 82:23 | $118: 3133: 23$ | $247: 2253: 6$ |
| $127: 7$ | spoken 83:6 | $134: 4147: 17$ | started $219: 9$ |
| spc's 126:19 | spreadsheet | $147: 21148: 22$ | $231: 8240: 8$ |
| speak 41:1 | $59: 961: 1,7$ | $149: 4$ | starting $40: 22$ |
| $56: 1874: 1$ | $89: 17,18$ | spur's $29: 24$ | $41: 463: 9$ |
| $102: 18227: 12$ | $119: 18229: 12$ | $36: 12$ | starts $135: 7$ |
| $232: 18$ | spring 85:17,18 | stages $119: 16$ | state $1: 186: 2,7$ |
| speaker 193:15 | $105: 20106: 1$ | stand $195: 20$ | $86: 13,19$ |
| speaking $8: 5$ | $109: 23142: 11$ | $234: 22$ | $145: 17,20$ |
| $156: 8$ | $155: 20197: 17$ | standard $32: 9$ | $151: 20159: 21$ |
| special $11: 21$ | $198: 6200: 11$ | $85: 2486: 4,10$ | $216: 12260: 21$ |
| $54: 2,256: 7$ | $200: 13,17,18$ | $86: 1693: 6,21$ | stated $60: 5$ |
| $58: 2162: 25$ | $201: 7,20$ | $105: 24106: 4$ | $65: 15126: 14$ |
| $64: 870: 14$ | $213: 11,13$ | $106: 24137: 12$ | $170: 15183: 4$ |
| $76: 2183: 17$ | $215: 17,18$ | $148: 24151: 15$ | statement |
| specific 60:2 | $216: 3,8,8,9,10$ | $155: 21156: 2,3$ | $86: 2587: 3,13$ |
| $113: 1,21218: 8$ | $217: 4,5,8,10,14$ | $192: 8194: 14$ | $87: 22107: 6$ |
| specifically | $217: 16,18$ | $206: 3213: 16$ | $118: 22124: 9$ |
| $35: 1148: 19$ | $218: 10,12,19$ | $233: 15241: 5$ | $124: 15125: 23$ |
| $140: 1165: 12$ | $218: 23,24$ | $244: 16$ | $125: 24126: 9$ |
| $167: 16184: 22$ | $219: 4,5,12,20$ | standing | $127: 17149: 7$ |
| $217: 9,17$ | $219: 21220: 14$ | $174: 22176: 16$ | $149: 11,18,20$ |
| specified $218: 8$ | $221: 1234: 15$ | $176: 16,22$ | $151: 25156: 11$ |
| speculation | $234: 15236: 5$ | $177: 11178: 15$ | $157: 25158: 5,7$ |
| $164: 13$ | $242: 6,7253: 9$ | $178: 23181: 5,6$ | $158: 9174: 13$ |
| speculative | $253: 16255: 3,3$ | $181: 15,15,21$ | $176: 4177: 1$ |
| $249: 11$ | spur $24: 2425: 2$ | $182: 6,8$ | $190: 2,5,17$ |
| spell $142: 21$ | $25: 22,2331: 12$ | stands $70: 18$ | $194: 24195: 3,5$ |
| spend $26: 17$ | $31: 2536: 8,9$ | standup $244: 20$ | $198: 24201: 23$ |
| sperling $7: 23$ | $36: 13,19,21,22$ | start $7: 38: 7$ | $205: 8207: 6,9$ |
| $10: 1950: 4$ | $37: 2438: 12$ | $26: 1866: 11$ | $207: 12,21$ |
| $61: 2370: 25$ | $44: 260: 17$ | $88: 11105: 13$ | $208: 20,21,22$ |
| $78: 232: 14$ | $103: 20,23$ | $110: 19115: 13$ | $210: 13213: 25$ |

[statement - subsection]

| 214:4,13,20 | statute 35:4 | 40:9,16 41:19 | submits 257:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 215:20,24 | 176:17,20 | 41:20,25 42:2 | submittal |
| 216:20 223:9 | statutory 52:6 | 42:4,14,19,21 | 146:24 |
| 223:13,21 | 53:3 55:9 58:3 | 42:23 43:1 | submittals 78:2 |
| 227:21 228:25 | 113:2 | 44:17 | submitted 3:5 |
| 231:9 241:21 | stick 237:9 | suazo's 28:16 | 19:8 31:10 |
| 241:24 242:15 | sticking 237:11 | sub 87:5,15 | 35:19 37:17 |
| 243:1 245:10 | stipulated | 88:6 92:14 | 78:3 88:20 |
| 249:7 | 226:11 | 158:16 160:5 | 91:4 94:13 |
| statements | stipulations | 190:23 195:18 | 99:2 109:20 |
| 207:17 | 221:22 | 214:5,14 215:1 | 118:21,24 |
| states 27:14 | stonewall 46:4 | 224:5 238:9 | 135:24 137:3 |
| 115:17,18 | stonewalled | 242:1,10,12,16 | 138:1 139:9 |
| 119:10 153:16 | 36:5 | 243:7 | 145:20 149:4 |
| statewide | stopping 148:3 | subc 87:16 | 151:23 160:10 |
| 206:21 | straight 199:14 | 149:21 198:6 | 197:24 |
| status 4:19 | stratigraphic | 214:14 242:18 | submitting |
| 6:24 11:5 | 87:17 198:7 | subject 71:19 | 94:12,16 96:6 |
| 16:12,18 17:4 | 214:15 242:19 | 126:3 176:23 | subpart 181:3 |
| 18:7,18 21:2 | stricken 173:18 | 178:14 211:25 | subparts 88:15 |
| 21:12,20 31:1 | strike 174:3,7 | submission | 94:5 96:14 |
| 43:22 47:2,9 | 174:20 176:14 | 147:6 170:4 | 110:20 111:5 |
| 47:21 50:16 | 176:19 177:10 | 202:15 | 116:19,23 |
| 52:22 55:17 | 178:8 181:13 | submissions | 117:7,20 118:5 |
| 56:21 58:5,11 | structural | 170:5 | 145:20 150:15 |
| 58:15 61:11 | 149:21 | submit 26:21 | 152:21 159:1 |
| 70:21 73:1,3 | structure 87:16 | 31:7,20 96:10 | 160:18 169:16 |
| 76:778:17 | 149:21 198:6 | 106:10,11 | 170:13 196:2 |
| 83:13 84:9 | 214:14 242:18 | 110:24 127:16 | 196:11,14 |
| 108:3 115:9 | 246:12 | 136:11 144:23 | 199:6 207:1 |
| 126:1 128:24 | stuff 68:16 | 145:4 179:21 | 212:12 |
| 185:7,7,9,18 | 121:10 246:3 | 203:8,17 | subsection |
| 186:2 188:6 | suazo 2:20 | 209:21 210:8 | 175:19,20 |
| 252:25 | 25:11,12,15 | 211:12 230:13 | 177:7 183:11 |
|  | 29:1 33:20 | 235:13 239:9,9 |  |
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[subsequent - taken]

| subsequent | summed | 190:11 200:20 | 99:13,19,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163:3,20 | 165:24 | 203:18 215:15 | 100:3,8,24 |
| subsidiary | sundry 109:20 | 215:25 216:21 | 105:12 116:10 |
| 125:3 168:10 | 109:24 | 216:24 219:22 | 116:17,19 |
| substantial | supplement | 220:15,17 | 117:21,21 |
| 139:3 | 200:22 | 226:13 231:1 | 129:6 132:5 |
| substantially | supplemental | 235:19 251:7 | 135:20 136:4,7 |
| 174:24 177:13 | 96:5 127:17 | 256:19 | 136:16,23 |
| 181:17,25 | 129:21 132:23 | surface 32:15 | 139:1 140:1,4 |
| substantive | 133:10 207:12 | 68:8,13 99:19 | 140:21 144:2 |
| 33:25 42:15 | supplementat... | 136:23 | 144:13 146:16 |
| 110:1 | 132:25 | surprised 12:6 | 146:21 148:12 |
| successfully | supplementing | 67:24 252:12 | 154:2 160:8 |
| 35:12 | 27:10 | suspect 9:11 | 169:3,5,11 |
| suffer 228:21 | support 124:18 | 37:20 76:22 | 178:6,11 |
| suffers 235:22 | 151:24 | suspension | 186:24 202:14 |
| suffice 159:16 | supports 120:2 | 125:17 | 207:14 212:21 |
| 159:18 | suppose 179:9 | sw $241: 7$ | 221:8,16,21,25 |
| sufficient 115:6 | supposed | swd 69:10 | 228:1,10,17 |
| 226:7 | 174:10 | sworn 260:5 | 230:15 233:8 |
| suggest 12:4 | supreme | system 108:24 | 237:5,19 |
| 55:17 60:22 | 120:23 | t | 238:12 247:12 |
| 76:18 185:12 | sure 19:17 22:4 | tab 107: | 254:4,8 257:23 |
| suggested 48:3 | 30:10 41:13 | $110: 3,8 \text { 234:8 }$ | 258:4 |
| suggesting | 42:12,15 46:17 |  | taken 43:14 |
| 53:11,13 | 50:24 51:25 |  | 64:21 88:8 |
| 108:25 143:11 | 56:18 65:25 |  | 94:6,22 95:5 |
| suggestion | 74:4 90:3,15 | 233.20 | 96:16 110:17 |
| 254:3 | 90:19 95:21 | tabs 237 | 117:14 118:10 |
| suggests 19:14 | 97:6,12 130:17 | $238: 8 \text { 239:5 }$ | 120:8 145:12 |
| suite 234:12 | 130:21 134:24 | $\text { take } 12: 115: 15$ | 150:9,25 |
| 242:1 | 146:14,25 | 17:9 43:11,11 | 152:17 158:17 |
| sum 178:7 | 162:22 168:3 |  | 158:22 159:13 |
| summary 124:6 | 179:14 183:5,7 |  | 160:6 170:2,20 |
| 234:6 | 187:6,11 | 96:19 99:9,9 | 190:24 191:11 |

[taken - thank]

| 195:19 196:9 | 218:11 234:14 | 234:9 241:23 | 102:24 103:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196:19 198:18 | targets 234:17 | 245:12 246:7 | 106:14 109:13 |
| 210:7 211:5,25 | tea 171:21 | testifying 260:5 | 110:18,23 |
| 212:19 215:2 | 213:21 | testimony | 111:1,9,22 |
| 224:6 226:13 | technical 40:24 | 110:6 125:11 | 112:17,19 |
| 237:15 238:7 | 106:13 154:10 | 149:25 152:8 | 115:15 116:6 |
| 239:1,17 243:8 | 174:11 176:5 | 190:10 198:1,3 | 116:11 117:3,5 |
| 243:8,19 | 179:11 180:15 | 198:10 200:16 | 117:18 118:11 |
| 246:25 247:10 | 180:19 184:15 | 202:7 209:5,7 | 118:12,13 |
| 248:13,24 | 191:6,17 | texas 128:5 | 120:8,15 |
| 251:11 254:11 | 203:12 | th 127:1 132:15 | 122:17,19 |
| 255:22 259:6 | tell 8:4 32:10 | thank 5:4,5 | 130:10 131:6 |
| 260:3,12 261:9 | 32:18 53:14 | 6:22 7:15,21 | 131:25 132:2 |
| talk 52:19 83:5 | 62:10 82:7 | 8:3 9:21 10:15 | 133:13,16,20 |
| 113:15 114:21 | 122:6 138:2 | 12:14 21:24 | 134:12 141:9 |
| 165:19 179:7 | 182:13 219:25 | 22:2 24:22 | 141:20 142:6 |
| 181:1,2 257:2 | 238:3 | 25:14 26:1,14 | 142:23 143:8,9 |
| talked 31:1 | telling 138:11 | 28:6 34:1 | 147:2,11,15,16 |
| 257:25 | template | 40:15,16 43:8 | 148:9,15,20 |
| talking 12:6 | 249:12 | 44:6,14,15,16 | 150:11,18 |
| 25:16,19 27:19 | ten 31:22 | 44:17,18 46:21 | 151:1,2,11 |
| 27:21,25 28:9 | 114:12 | 46:23 48:5,9 | 152:24 153:14 |
| 46:7 51:14 | terms 56:23 | 48:10,21,23 | 153:22,24,25 |
| 77:13 135:1 | 60:18 151:22 | 49:19 56:10 | 154:1,3,4 |
| 207:15 249:21 | 218:10 220:20 | 59:24 60:19 | 155:6,8 157:23 |
| talks 91:23 | 229:5 | 61:5,9 71:2,3 | 158:19,24,25 |
| 113:5,7 | testified 87:2 | 71:11 74:23 | 161:25 162:1 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a p }} 6: 247: 3,10$ | 107:12 110:5 | 77:9 78:9,16 | 168:1,23 |
| 8:8,10,11,14 | 124:11 149:8 | 79:1,5,9 81:19 | 169:20 170:12 |
| target 216:25 | 149:19 155:13 | 84:5 85:3,15 | 170:24,25 |
| 220:7 | 155:16 190:3 | 88:17 92:3,5 | 171:16 172:21 |
| targeted 216:6 | 191:25 192:3 | 92:16 93:14 | 175:16 185:8 |
| targeting | 198:25 204:22 | 94:12,16,23 | 187:5,7 188:20 |
| 216:13 217:4 | 205:23 214:2 | 95:2,6,8,9 | 189:7 191:1,11 |
| 217:17 218:11 | 223:10 233:25 | 102:10,16,21 | 191:12,21 |

Page 68
[thank - time]

| $192: 25 ~ 193: 9$ | $55: 13114: 8$ | $105: 21110: 1$ | thought $11: 3,6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 193:18 195:25 | $119: 22126: 20$ | $113: 20115: 2,2$ | $12: 1815: 12$ |
| $196: 18,20,21$ | $136: 4153: 9$ | $115: 23,24$ | $72: 2593: 18$ |
| $196: 22197: 6$ | $161: 19162: 7$ | $116: 2128: 9$ | $136: 25143: 21$ |
| 197:14 199:8 | $178: 22208: 14$ | $130: 19133: 7$ | $180: 22216: 22$ |
| $202: 8,9,24$ | $228: 7235: 19$ | $136: 17,20$ | $231: 12$ |
| $203: 15,21,23$ | $235: 22236: 18$ | $146: 11165: 8,9$ | three $36: 15$ |
| 204:8 205:22 | $258: 12$ | $167: 15169: 10$ | $104: 19105: 15$ |
| $208: 6,12$ | things $33: 10$ | $169: 22173: 3$ | $105: 17107: 2,3$ |
| $211: 14212: 22$ | $76: 13125: 1$ | $173: 11178: 10$ | $107: 6110: 12$ |
| $212: 23213: 9$ | $140: 24156: 16$ | $179: 6180: 12$ | $155: 2159: 5,22$ |
| $215: 9222: 9,11$ | $187: 4207: 2$ | $185: 14186: 17$ | $159: 23165: 24$ |
| $222: 21224: 14$ | $226: 5$ | $188: 25192: 19$ | $168: 8195: 12$ |
| $232: 6,8,9$ | think $3: 198: 9$ | $201: 5,11,21,25$ | $206: 11231: 4$ |
| $235: 8,25$ | $12: 1016: 15$ | $202: 3,4,7$ | $231: 24232: 3$ |
| $237: 16239: 14$ | $17: 1419: 5$ | $203: 9204: 7,10$ | $245: 2247: 22$ |
| $239: 15,16,17$ | $21: 3,8,17$ | $211: 22216: 12$ | $249: 9253: 3$ |
| $239: 19,20$ | $24: 1926: 23$ | $216: 12218: 6$ | thursday $1: 10$ |
| $241: 3249: 5$ | $27: 729: 2,13$ | $220: 4,20$ | $177: 2$ |
| $250: 3,8,10,21$ | $31: 21,24,25$ | $221: 15,20$ | tidbit $75: 15$ |
| $251: 12,13,14$ | $34: 137: 23,24$ | $226: 1,6227: 4$ | tiger $154: 23$ |
| $251: 23252: 4$ | $38: 4,5,739: 3$ | $227: 9,25228: 1$ | $155: 23$ |
| $252: 21,24$ | $42: 10,11,15$ | $229: 3,7,15$ | till $76: 6139: 9$ |
| $253: 22255: 20$ | $43: 3,16,19$ | $230: 16,17$ | time $3: 521: 15$ |
| $259: 16,18,19$ | $53: 2454: 3,6,7$ | $232: 17237: 6$ | $22: 1226: 15,17$ |
| thanks $23: 2$ | $55: 256: 11,19$ | $246: 1249: 21$ | $35: 1936: 16,19$ |
| $41: 18122: 20$ | $57: 759: 19$ | $253: 25$ | $37: 1,2438: 6$ |
| that'd $42: 21$ | $62: 7,17,22$ | thinking $218: 2$ | $42: 1350: 18$ |
| $76: 1283: 21$ | $64: 9,1065: 9$ | third $49: 16$ | $51: 1153: 1$ |
| $138: 16211: 8$ | $67: 1669: 6$ | $198: 6200: 11$ | $56: 2257: 15$ |
| theory $138: 13$ | $70: 272: 17,22$ | $200: 13,16,18$ | $58: 18,2165: 25$ |
| $225: 16$ | $76: 9,1578: 11$ | $201: 6,20$ | $83: 1788: 10$ |
| thereto $110: 9$ | $83: 393: 4,12$ | $234: 15236: 5$ | $90: 4,11,19$ |
| thing $12: 21$ | $93: 2396: 21$ | thomas $110: 4$ | $105: 13,15$ |
| $36: 1541: 20$ | $99: 16,20,21$ |  | $106: 11110: 13$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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[time - trying]

| 113:13,19,22 | 234:19 243:2,4 | 156:15 163:10 | 250:14,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113:23 114:2 | times 13:10 | 165:24 168:11 | tract 32:6,6,13 |
| 114:25 122:4 | 106:19 184:24 | 219:12 233:8 | 87:5 95:24 |
| 123:13 124:5 | title 153:6,7 | 233:15 | 100:6 149:13 |
| 125:10 128:18 | 163:2,23 | told 251:23 | 152:4 162:4 |
| 129:18,19 | 164:15 167:8 | tomorrow | 166:5 214:5 |
| 160:4,8 163:9 | 240:14 | 80:10 255:11 | 234:4 242:3 |
| 170:21 175:25 | titled 69:8 | 257:16,25 | 245:15,19 |
| 178:2,4,6,11,17 | 245:21 | 258:17 | tracts 32:17 |
| 178:22 179:1 | titleholders | took 29:17 | 106:5 149:12 |
| 179:12,13 | 224:24 225:9 | 50:18 53:24 | 152:4 161:8 |
| 180:9,14 | today 7:8 8:19 | 145:14 | 241:17 |
| 183:13 184:7,9 | 9:9 12:17,19 | top 91:23 | trade 37:9 |
| 187:8 189:20 | 13:22 14:19 | 108:24 109:1 | training 41:3 |
| 199:21 204:11 | 15:13,18,24 | 190:12 201:16 | transcriber |
| 209:20,23 | 18:14 19:18 | 201:20,24,25 | 261:1 |
| 211:6 224:7,17 | 24:5 43:4,23 | 215:18 217:14 | transcript 29:2 |
| 224:21 231:17 | 46:9 51:20 | 217:15 252:14 | 29:3,12 93:17 |
| 243:9 250:5 | 80:15 102:25 | total 166:4,5 | 261:3,5 |
| 257:2 | 103:4 122:16 | totally 153:4 | transcriptionist |
| timeframe | 122:24 128:24 | toward 39:18 | 260:7 |
| 21:22 54:7 | 133:24 135:17 | 40:4 208:5 | travelling |
| timeline 183:15 | 165:1 172:15 | towards 208:4 | 156:14 |
| timely 31:5,10 | 174:17 180:20 | township 85:21 | traverse 142:1 |
| 32:2 87:23 | 184:11 187:18 | 105:19 123:19 | travis 261:2,16 |
| 88:4 120:5 | 202:14 221:4 | 123:20 149:1 | treated 115:6 |
| 129:14 130:23 | 233:1 244:8 | 151:17 155:10 | tried 36:4 52:7 |
| 130:24 150:6 | today's 22:5 | 191:23 197:19 | 52:25 80:14 |
| 152:13 158:10 | 51:17 58:15 | 197:20 204:17 | 198:23 |
| 158:12 173:23 | 79:10 129:14 | 213:18 233:16 | true 128:9 |
| 190:20 195:6 | 259:8 | 241:9,12 | 260:9 261:5 |
| 195:15 198:15 | together 38:6 | track 13:6 | try 33:4 52:3 |
| 209:17 214:21 | 45:22 46:18 | tracked 250:15 | 142:21 199:25 |
| 214:24 223:22 | 55:4 58:11 | tracking 97:20 | trying 46:20 |
| 230:3,6 233:18 | 76:11,19 | 119:16 127:21 | 55:4,18 67:16 |
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[trying - understand]

| 69:17 103:12 | 167:8 168:9 | 194:12 197:16 | 206:20 210:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 138:23 162:12 | 170:5 199:20 | 213:11 214:6,9 | 211:6,25 |
| 232:17 | 218:19 221:11 | 241:5 242:4 | 212:19 215:3 |
| tuesday 3:21 | 221:12 222:1 | uncontested | 221:22 224:7 |
| 23:8 64:7 | 223:19 227:22 | 4:22 6:12 8:21 | 226:10,13 |
| 84:21 96:2,6 | 238:16 251:15 | 35:1 84:2 | 228:1,10,17 |
| 108:17 124:2 | 256:23 | under 75:23 | 230:15 237:15 |
| 233:19 | type 5:16 6:10 | 81:23 88:8 | 238:7 239:1,17 |
| tulsa 204:25 | 52:19 53:15 | 92:7 94:6,22 | 243:8,19 |
| turn 8:16 25:10 | 57:12 60:7 | 95:5 96:16 | 246:25 247:11 |
| 40:12 96:17 | 113:5 121:10 | 107:3,3 110:17 | 248:14,24 |
| 107:1 | 242:19 | 113:1 116:10 | 251:11 253:15 |
| turns 228:8 | types 26:22 | 116:20 117:15 | 254:5,11 |
| twisted 171:21 | typewriting | 117:21 118:10 | 255:22 256:13 |
| two 13:10 | 260:7 | 120:8 123:14 | 256:13 257:6 |
| 14:23 23:12,13 | typical 32:12 | 124:19 129:6 | 257:23 258:5 |
| 23:19,23,25 | typically $34: 9$ | 145:3,12 | 259:6 |
| 29:5 39:15 | 40:5 89:22 | 146:22 150:9 | underlying |
| 41:4 45:7 | 139:2 | 150:25 151:13 | 85:20 119:1 |
| 48:21 53:7 | typing 201:8 | 151:22 152:17 | 148:24 151:15 |
| 55:22 63:8 | typo 139:1 | 154:2 158:17 | 155:21 192:8 |
| 79:8 87:24 | 200:20 | 159:13 160:6 | 194:14 197:17 |
| 88:2 89:16,24 | u | 164:21 165:2 | 206:4 241:5 |
| 90:1,6,7 91:18 | ltimate 39:7 | 165:11 166:9 | 244:18 |
| 93:1,16 96:3 | ultimately $39: 5$ | 169:3,11 170:2 | understand |
| 97:5,15 101:21 | ultmately 39.5 $39: 17$ $210: 16$ | 170:21 174:7,9 | 11:12 19:18 |
| 107:9,23 | unable 113:16 | 174:19 175:23 | 24:8,20 26:3 |
| 108:12 109:10 | unavailability | 176:17,20 | 30:18,18 32:20 |
| 126:21,23,25 | 82.19 | 180:3,6 181:1 | 33:9,16 37:12 |
| 127:1 128:24 |  | 183:17,22,24 | 39:2 46:24 |
| 129:16 130:13 | uncommitted | 184:6 189:21 | 49:19 52:1 |
| 131:7,16,22 | 85:17 87:7 | 190:24 191:11 | 53:17 77:3 |
| 132:11,19 | $123: 22 \text { 148:23 }$ | 195:19 196:9 | 81:17 82:18 |
| 142:1 144:3,10 | $151: 13,14$ | 196:19 198:18 | 85:3 106:14 |
| 145:14 163:24 | 155:19 192:6 | 201:2 202:14 | 130:4 136:2 |
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[understand - vance]

| 162:16 163:21 | 36:9,13 45:15 | unleased 214:9 | 240:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166:13,16 | 50:18 53:1,24 | unlocatable | use 178:8 179:2 |
| 168:12,17,22 | 55:5,6,10 | 195:13,15 | 207:6 238:16 |
| 176:7 184:22 | 71:15 85:25 | unnecessary | used 75:11,12 |
| 185:6 188:2,3 | 86:5,11,17 | 160:3 | 242:21 246:14 |
| 195:11 202:22 | 95:24,25 | unopposed | user 25:14 |
| 209:11,13 | 105:24 106:4 | 8:13 52:16 | using 222:5 |
| 220:10 227:10 | 106:24 119:1 | unorthodox | usual 107:8 |
| 227:23 248:5 | 123:17,24 | 194:21 206:22 | 110:7 234:3,12 |
| understandable | 125:14,22 | unsuccessfully | usually 207:6 |
| 236:13 | 126:8,22 136:9 | 35:13 | v |
| understanding | 136:20 137:8 | unsurprisingly | valid 128:12,17 |
| 8:18 9:3 55:15 | 148:25 149:2 | 21:9 | 128:25 |
| 56:7 59:14 | 149:13 151:16 | untimely 175:3 | van 149:18,18 |
| 66:16 67:4 | 151:19,22 | unusual 165:9 | vance $2: 1585: 7$ |
| 68:11 79:13 | 155:22,23,25 | upcoming | 85:8,12,15 |
| 100:6 103:1,2 | 156:3 192:9,10 | 115:7 | 88:9,19,24 |
| 103:9 112:11 | 194:15,16,18 | update 78:10 | 89:4,10,13,21 |
| 114:1,24 115:5 | 197:18,22 | 128:2 137:23 | 90:13 91:1,5,7 |
| 120:17 121:3 | 199:16 206:3,5 | updated 96:1 | 91:19 92:4,6 |
| 130:14 133:8 | 206:16,19 | 97:12 98:2 | 92:18,21,24 |
| 136:5 147:10 | 213:17,20 | 108:15,20 | 93:8,20,23 |
| 153:5 164:7 | 233:15 240:11 | 126:2,7 127:7 | 94:11 95:6,8,9 |
| 168:3 186:8 | 241:6,14,17 | 190:10,15 | 103:21,22 |
| understood | 245:6,16 | 223:16 229:24 | 104:1,10,11,14 |
| 220:3 222:9 | 246:11,15 | 257:5 258:16 | 104:20,22 |
| unforeseen | unitization | updating 153:7 | 111:2,3 115:12 |
| 158:13 195:16 | 52:7 53:3 58:3 | upper 216:10 | 117:4,5 118:3 |
| unfortunately | 113:2 | 218:22 219:3 | 189:11,12,15 |
| 17:20 240:13 | units 85:20 | 219:20 220:14 | 189:17 191:10 |
| unhighlighted | 106:8 163:13 | 221:1 244:17 | 191:12 213:1,2 |
| 111:19 | 244:16 | 244:17 | 213:7,9 215:11 |
| unique 109:16 | university | usa $49: 14,14$ | 215:14,19,23 |
| unit 32:6,9,10 | 204:25 | 172:6,25 | 216:4,11,15,19 |
| 32:17 35:3 |  | 187:15 239:21 | 217:1,7,11,22 |

Page 72
[vance - we've]

| 218:4,6,14,15 | verbatim 29:2 | waiting 76:15 | 219:1,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218:16,21 | 29:3,12 | 82:8 190:7 | wanting 43:1 |
| 219:3,14 220:3 | vertical 217:15 | 223:15 | 59:1 229:1 |
| 221:11 222:3,9 | 217:20,23 | waivers 143:6 | waste 160:2 |
| 222:14,15,19 | 218:1,9 219:9 | 143:11 | 174:24 177:13 |
| 222:21 224:16 | 219:25 227:3 | waiving 31:3 | 181:17,25 |
| 224:19,25 | 242:8,8,11,21 | want 3:118:8 | water 61:14,18 |
| 225:11,21 | 253:17 254:21 | 16:12 22:3 | 69:12,19 77:12 |
| 226:9,12,19 | 254:24 255:24 | 39:16 46:16 | waters 66:23 |
| 227:4,13,15,17 | vertically | 52:20 53:4 | way $12: 7,21$ |
| 227:20 228:3,8 | 219:10 | 54:4 57:4 | 17:10 32:8 |
| 228:21,24 | video 44:9 | 60:16 62:15 | 33:8 52:10,25 |
| 229:11,18,21 | virtual 64:23 | 71:9 73:13 | 53:11 54:8 |
| 230:8,20,21,23 | 74:18 | 74:21 75:5 | 69:5 94:16 |
| 231:7 232:4,6 | virtually 41:7 | 93:1,3 94:11 | 102:4 156:10 |
| 239:23,24,25 | voluntary 35:2 | 101:11 105:15 | 156:19 157:5 |
| 240:3,4,7,21 | 35:5,9,13,24 | 108:1 132:5 | 163:5,10 |
| 241:3 243:22 | 190:13 223:18 | 138:14 139:11 | 165:11 177:15 |
| 243:23 251:15 | w | 141:18 142:20 | 177:22 185:5 |
| 251:16 253:2,4 | w 11:10 | 178:4 180:12 | 199:11 203:11 |
| 253:8,23 255:4 | $13: 20,25,25$ | 182:16 185:10 | 220:1 238:2 |
| 255:5,7,8,12,19 | $14: 24 \text { 15:2,14 }$ | 185:17,24 | 253:25 |
| 256:4,15,16,19 | 17:6 106:5,5 | 188:14 197:2 | wayne 46:4,6 |
| 256:23 257:3 | $106: 24,24$ | 199:25 208:11 | 46:12 252:2,4 |
| 257:10,13,17 | 119:2 123:18 | 219:8 220:16 | ways 187:4 |
| 257:24 258:4,8 | 123:19 151:16 | 220:23 225:3 | wc 213:12 |
| 258:12,19,20 | 213:18,18 | 227:2 228:24 | we've 14:18 |
| 258:22 259:10 | 240:18 241:8 | 230:12 235:25 | 19:7 40:23 |
| vance's 94:9 | 241:11 | 236:2 240:8 | 70:19 86:24 |
| 220:25 230:17 | wait $38: 20$ | 253:9 254:7 | 87:24 107:2 |
| variable 37:7 | $66: 1570: 10$ | 256:17 | 127:20 145:2 |
| verbal 249:6 | 76:6 84:10 | wanted 101:5 | 166:9 173:18 |
| verbally 3:6 | 101:11 146:22 | 110:2 126:20 | 184:13 190:1,9 |
| 39:11 48:11 |  | 134:13 135:23 | 190:15 207:2 |
| 249:14 |  | 169:8 197:4 | 208:8 209:1 |
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[we've - xto]

| 213:23 230:25 | 149:3 160:3 | woke 85:1 | 245:7,20,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241:19 242:2 | 194:17,18,20 | wolf 219:12 | 248:3 |
| 242:12 252:8 | 197:23 206:18 | wolfcamp | workload 12:1 |
| 259:7 | 206:21 242:24 | 109:22 119:1 | works 4:7 |
| website 34:9 | 244:20,20 | 123:23,24 | 17:10 63:20 |
| 91:9 128:2 | 245:14 246:11 | 151:15 192:7 | 93:23 225:5 |
| wednesday | went 90:15 | 194:13 201:5 | 240:23 255:12 |
| 42:20 | 91:14 108:21 | 201:17,24,25 | worksheet 26:4 |
| wee 63:7 | 131:9,12,14 | 244:17,18 | 26:5 43:15 |
| week 29:15,18 | 137:25 138:2 | wonder 84:22 | 46:10 48:21 |
| 41:3 42:4,16 | 138:16 190:18 | wonderful 17:8 | worried 3:23 |
| 56:1,25,25 | 208:22 | 48:4 77:7 | worries 3:22 |
| 57:1,2 63:9 | west 128:4 | 258:3 | worry 64:10 |
| 65:7 120:4 | 137:10 156:1 | wondering | wozniak 5:11 |
| 146:11 147:9 | 194:19 206:20 | 113:20 133:5 | 25:6 |
| 177:2 211:6 | wholly $125: 3$ | word 82:24 | wpx 105:21 |
| weeks 16:10,12 | wie 149:18,18 | 228:7 | writing 131:9 |
| 29:5 41:4 | willing 8:12 | worded 156:20 | written 39:10 |
| 48:13 63:8 | 56:24 | words 18:13 | 175:25 176:24 |
| weezer 149:3 | wisdom 181:13 | work 4:6 19:2 | 177:6,19 |
| weighed 37:1 | wise 135:8 | 24:16 33:10,15 | 181:10 184:8 |
| welcome | wish 228:9 | 33:19 38:8 | 249:15 |
| 102:11 133:21 | withdrawing | 44:11 46:20 | wrong 24:20 |
| 148:8 | 104:3 | 69:14 94:15 | 33:9 224:1 |
| well's 32:16 | withdrawn | 153:7 193:14 | 227:7 256:17 |
| wellbore | 29:25 103:3,6 | worked 205:3,5 | wrote 201:1 |
| 149:22 240:14 | 103:7,9,10 | working 40:25 | 218:2 |
| wells 11:3 | 104:6,7,14 | 81:24 84:24 | wtplp 49:14 |
| 12:12 31:13,14 | witness 155:17 | 87:7 105:21 | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| 71:14,17,19 | 184:25,25 | 153:19 162:11 | xto 172:5,2 |
| 87:20 109:23 | 192:4 204:23 | 162:15 163:1 | $173: 4,8,19$ |
| 124:23,25 | 260:4 | 163:14 173:3,4 | 174:16 182:23 |
| 125:8 126:13 | witnesses 40:7 | 184:13 195:7,9 | 185:12 187:14 |
| 126:16,19 | 40:10 41:5 | 204:19 206:13 |  |
| 139:1 141:25 | 53:2 74:18 | 209:1 244:23 |  |

## [yeah - zip]

| y | 124:22,25 |
| :---: | :---: |
| yeah 4:24 9:14 | 223:1 |
| 16:21 17:23 | year's 121:24 |
| 20:12 30:15 | 148:10 |
| 45:4 46:3,16 | years 27:16 |
| 50:23 53:17 | 205:2,6 |
| 56:9 57:20 | yellow 245:22 |
| 59:20 72:23 | уep 72:12 |
| 73:6 75:14 | 116:2 144:11 |
| 91:3,22 99:16 | 167:25 |
| 100:12,13,14 | yeso 148:23 |
| 102:7 106:14 | 206:4 |
| 109:8,14 | yesterday |
| 121:21 122:1 | 26:15 51:2,6 |
| 129:9 135:5 | 59:21 62:7 |
| 138:10 139:18 | 66:18 67:11 |
| 143:20 146:7 | 69:16 96:9,11 |
| 153:14 157:15 | 96:21,21,21 |
| 161:13 163:15 | 99:2 105:1 |
| 186:4 187:10 | 107:17 108:17 |
| 199:17 202:3 | 108:20 109:9 |
| 208:10 209:12 | 116:5 121:8 |
| 211:14 216:17 | 131:14 135:4 |
| 216:21 217:7 | 170:5 173:7,10 |
| 218:3 219:6,14 | 174:17 187:23 |
| 225:6,14 227:8 | z |
| 227:14 235:19 | zip 128:5 |
| 235:23 237:5 |  |
| 247:19 249:22 |  |
| 249:25 250:3 |  |
| 251:24 252:8 |  |
| 252:15,20 |  |
| 253:22 254:25 |  |
| year 21:24 |  |
| 119:7 123:13 |  |
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