### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

**CASE NO. 24568** 

### NOTICE OF REVISED EXHIBIT PACKET

Waterbridge Stateline, LLC ("Waterbridge") hereby provides notice that it is submitting a revised exhibit packet for Case No. 24568. Pursuant to the Technical Examiner's instruction at the June 27, 2024 hearing in this matter, Waterbridge has made the following revisions:

- Revised depth of surface casing from 1,080 feet to 1,155 feet (see pages 12 and 18 of the revised exhibit packet with revised depth highlighted in yellow);
- Included statement regarding lack of appropriate offsets SRTs and commitment to undertake SRT to calculate maximum and average injection rates (see page 13 with added language highlighted in yellow);
- Attachment 3: Revised to include additional analyses pertaining to source water, showing cation and anions levels and also a statement that the water analysis report shows the produced water stream is less than 0.00% H2S (see pages 28 and 29 to 31 of revised exhibit packet).
- Attachment 4: Revised to include a statement, highlighted in yellow, that Waterbridge agrees to collect one formation water sample for analysis during drilling operations given that no Glorieta data addressing H2S, cations, or anions is available within a ½ mile.

Attachment 5: Revised to add a document entitled "Reservoir Characteristics at the FPNM SWD #1" which includes an analysis of porosity and resistivity of the upper and lower confining zones (see pages 35-36 of revised exhibit packet). This additional document also includes a statement from Waterbridge that Waterbridge will run a mud log on the FPNM SWD #1 as there is no current mud log data available within ½-mile. Waterbridge will provide that mud log to the Division.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Nema M. Bennett

Deana M. Bennett

Earl E. DeBrine, Jr.

Yarithza Peña

Post Office Box 2168

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2168

Telephone: 505.848.1800

<u>Deana.bennett@modrall.com</u>

<u>Earl.debrine@modrall.com</u>

Yarithza.pena@modrall.com

Oil Conservation Division
Examiner Hearing
June 27, 2024\*
Case No. 24568



# STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

**CASE NO. 24568** 

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Exhibit A: Affidavit of Oliver Seekins

• Exhibit A-1: Application and C-108\*

Exhibit B: Affidavit of Thomas Tomastik

Exhibit C: Affidavit of Reed Davis

Exhibit D: Self-Affirmed Statement of Deana Bennett re Notice

- Exhibit D-1: Sample Notice Letter
- Exhibit D.2: Mailing List of Interested Parties
- Exhibit D.3: Certified Mailing Tracking List
- Exhibit D.4: Affidavit of Publication

<sup>\*</sup> Revised C-108 submitted per Technical Examiner's request and changes outlined in Notice of Revised Exhibit Packet

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION


APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

**CASE NO. 24568** (FPNM SWD #1)

### **AFFIDAVIT OF OLIVER SEEKINS**

Oliver Seekins, of lawful age and being duly sworn, declares as follows:

- 1. My name is Oliver Seekins. I work for ALL Consulting as a Project Manager/Regulatory Specialist. I have been retained by WaterBridge Stateline LLC ("WaterBridge") (OGRID No. 330129).
  - 2. I personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
- 3. I have previously testified before the Oil Conservation Division ("Division") as an expert witness in regulatory matters and permitting salt water disposal wells. My credentials as an expert in regulatory matters and permitting salt water disposal wells have been accepted by the Division and made a matter of record.
  - 4. My area of responsibility includes the area of Lea County in New Mexico.
- 5. I am familiar with the application WaterBridge filed in this matter and I am familiar with the status of the lands in the subject area.
- 6. **Exhibit A-1** is the hearing application in Case No. 24568 that WaterBridge filed with the Division. The application includes the Form C-108, attached as Exhibit A to the Application. In preparing for this hearing, I have reviewed the C-108 and did not identify any changes that require amending the C-108 or affect the accuracy of statements in the C-108.



- 7. In this case, WaterBridge seeks authorization to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 8. WaterBridge seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet.
- 9. WaterBridge requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day.
- 10. The well will be a commercial well, and WaterBridge intends to commence drilling the Well as soon as reasonably possible after receiving the injection order and commence injection within 1-year of receiving the approved injection order or an approved NMOCD authorization to inject extension.
- 11. Attachment 2 in Exhibit A-1 include a map that identifies wells within 2 miles of the Well.
  - 12. As noted in Attachment 2, there are no wells within a half-mile of the Well.
- 13. Attachment 2 identifies the operators, lessees, mineral and surface owners within two miles of the Well.
- I also reviewed whether there are any fresh water wells within a mile of the Well. I did not find any freshwater wells within one mile of the Well, as noted in Attachment 6 to Exhibit A-1.
- 15. I provided notice information to WaterBridge's counsel based on OCD's regulations, in Rule 19.15.26.8(B), which require notice to the surface owner and to each leasehold

operator, and to any other affected person as that term is defined Rule 19.15.2.7(8). The notice party information is included in Attachment 9 to Exhibit A-1.

- 16. It is my opinion that WaterBridge undertook a good faith effort to locate and identify the correct parties and valid addresses required for notice.
- 17. In my opinion, the granting of WaterBridge's application is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste. The Well will provide much needed capacity for produced water, which will, in turn, support oil and gas operators' ability to produce oil and gas.
- 18. The attached exhibits were prepared by me, or compiled from company business records, or were prepared at my direction.
- 19. I attest under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

[Signature page follows]

Oliver Seekins

State of Utak

County of Washington

This record was acknowledged before me on June 18 2024, by Oliver Seekins.

[Stamp



Notary Public in and for the

State of Utah

Commission Number: 729858

My Commission Expires: 3/4/2027

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION


APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 24568

### <u>APPLICATION</u>

WaterBridge Stateline LLC ("WaterBridge"), OGRID No. 330129, through its undersigned attorneys, hereby submits this application to the Oil Conservation Division pursuant to the provisions of NMSA 1978, § 70-2-12, Rule No. 19.15.26, and Rule 19.15.4.8 for an order approving drilling of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. In support of this application, WaterBridge states as follows:

- (1) WaterBridge proposes to drill the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well.
- (2)WaterBridge seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet.
- (3) WaterBridge requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day.
- (4) WaterBridge requests approval of a maximum injection pressure of 1,070 psi for the well.
  - A proposed C-108 for the subject well is attached hereto as Attachment A. (5)



(6) The granting of this application will avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, will prevent waste, and will protect correlative rights.

WHEREFORE, WaterBridge requests that this application be set for hearing before an Examiner of the Oil Conservation Division on June 13, 2024; and that after notice and hearing, the Division enter its order approving this application.

Respectfully submitted,

MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.

Earl E. DeBrine, Jr.

Deana M. Bennett Yarithza Peña

Post Office Box 2168

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2168

Telephone: 505.848.1800 edebrine@modrall.com

deana.bennett@modrall.com

yarithza.pena@modrall.com

Attorneys for Applicant

CASE NO. 2456& Application of WaterBridge Stateline LLC for approval of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks an order approving disposal into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well. Applicant seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet. Applicant further requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day. Said area is located approximately 8.2 miles Southeast of Jal, New Mexico.

RECEIVED:	REVIEWER:	TYPE:	APP NO:	
	- Geologi	ABOVE THIS TABLE FOR OCD CO OIL CONSERV cal & Engineering rancis Drive, Sant	<b>ATION DIVISION</b> g Bureau –	OF NEW WARDS
	ADMINISTI	RATIVE APPLICAT	ION CHECKLIST	
THIS	CHECKLIST IS MANDATORY FOR A REGULATIONS WHICH RI		ATIONS FOR EXCEPTIONS TO E E DIVISION LEVEL IN SANTA FE	DIVISION RULES AND
A P I			0.000	NI wales
Applicant: Nell Name <sup>.</sup>			OGRID Api:	Number:
Pool:			Pool Co	ode:
				E TYPE OF APPLICATION
A. Location	ICATION: Check those n – Spacing Unit – Simul NSL NSP <sub>(P</sub>		on	)
[1] Com [ [11] Inje	one only for [1] or [1] nmingling – Storage – M DHC	PLC ∐PC ∐( ure Increase – Enh	anced Oil Recovery	FOR OCD ONLY
A. Offse B. Roya C. Appli D. Notifi E. Notifi F. Surfa G. For a	N REQUIRED TO: Check toperators or lease ho lty, overriding royalty o cation requires publish cation and/or concurr cation and/or concurr ce owner ll of the above, proof cotice required	lders wners, revenue ov ed notice ent approval by SI ent approval by BI	vners _O _M	Notice Complete Application Content Complete
administrative understand the	N: I hereby certify that a approval is accurate hat no action will be ta are submitted to the Div	and <b>complete</b> to ken on this applica	the best of my know	ledge. I also
N	lote: Statement must be comple	eted by an individual witl	n managerial and/or superv	visory capacity.
			Date	
Print or Type Name				
Que S. A.	ing-		Phone Number	
Signature	· <b>v</b>		e-mail Address	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

### Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FORM C-108 Revised June 10, 2003

### APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

	THE ELECTION TO GREET TO IN USE I
I.	PURPOSE: Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance X Disposal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? X Yes No
II.	OPERATOR: WaterBridge Stateline LLC
	ADDRESS: 5555 San Felipe, Ste. 1200 Houston, TX 77056
	CONTACT PARTY: Jessica High - Director, QHSE & Regulatory PHONE: 832-871-4064
III.	WELL DATA: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection.  Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? Yes X No  If yes, give the Division order number authorizing the project:
V.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:
	<ol> <li>Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected;</li> <li>Whether the system is open or closed;</li> <li>Proposed average and maximum injection pressure;</li> <li>Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and,</li> <li>If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.).</li> </ol>
*VIII.	Attach appropriate geologic data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geologic name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such sources known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.
*X.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division, they need not be resubmitted).
*XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground sources of drinking water.
XIII.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.
XIV.	Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and
	belief.
	NAME: Oliver Seekins  TITLE: Project Manager / Regulatory Specialist
	SIGNATURE: DATE: 5/13/2024
*	E-MAIL ADDRESS: oseekins@all-llc.com  If the information required under Sections VI, VIII, X, and XI above has been previously submitted, it need not be resubmitted. Please show the date and circumstances of the earlier submittal:

Application for Authorization to Inject

Well Name: FPNM SWD #1

### **III – Well Data** (The wellbore diagram is included as **Attachment 1**)

A.

#### (1) General Well Information:

Operator: WaterBridge Stateline LLC (OGRID No. 330129)

Lease Name & Well Number: FPNM SWD #1 Location Footage Calls: 2,532' FNL & 1,545' FEL

Legal Location: Lot G, S25 T26S R37E

Ground Elevation: 2,998'

Proposed Injection Interval: 5,350' - 5,725'

County: Lea

#### (2) Casing Information:

Туре	Hole Size	Casing Size	Casing Weight	Setting Depth	Sacks of Cement	Estimated TOC	Method Determined
Surface	24"	20"	94.0 lb/ft	1,155'	1,100	Surface	Circulation
Intermediate 1	17-1/2"	13-3/8"	54.5 lb/ft	2,580'	1,900	Surface	Circulation
Production Casing	12-1/4"	9-5/8"	40.0 lb/ft	5,725'	1,900	Surface	CBL
Tubing	N/A	5-1/2"	17.0 lb/ft	5,325'	N/A	N/A	N/A

DV Tool set at: 3,000'

### (3) Tubing Information:

5-1/2" (17.0 lb/ft) ceramic-coated tubing with setting depth of 5,325'

(4) Packer Information: ACT AS1-X or equivalent packer set at 5,325'

В.

(1) Injection Formation Name: Glorieta Sandstone

Pool Name: SWD; Glorieta

**Pool Code:** 96106

- (2) Injection Interval: Perforated injection between 5,350' 5,725'
- (3) Drilling Purpose: New drill for saltwater disposal
- (4) Other Perforated Intervals: No other perforated intervals exist.
- (5) Overlying Oil and Gas Zones: Below are the approximate formation tops for known oil and gas producing zones in the area.
  - Yates (2,765')
  - Seven Rivers (3,154')
  - Queen (3,457')
  - Penrose (3,685')

**Underlying Oil and Gas Zones:** Below are the approximate formation tops for known oil and gas producing zones in the area.

- Tubb (6,585')
- Devonian (9,048')

### V – Well and Lease Maps

The following maps and documents are included as **Attachment 2**:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- ½-mile AOR Well Table
- 2-Mile Lease Map
- 2-Mile Mineral Ownership Map
- 2-Mile Surface Ownership Map
- Potash Lease Map

#### VI – AOR Well List

A list of the well(s) within the 1/2-mile AOR is included as **Attachment 2**.

There are no wells in the ½-mile AOR.

### VII – Proposed Operation

(1) Proposed Maximum Injection Rate: 20,000 bpd Proposed Average Injection Rate: 15,000 bpd

**Step Rate Test:** At hearing NMOCD requested an analysis of an appropriate offset SRT to show that the proposed SWD should be capable of accepting a maximum of 20,000 bpd and an average of 15,000 bpd. Given that no such SRT is available, WaterBridge agrees to run a SRT on either the FPNM SWD #1, #3, or #6, and use that SRT to undertake the calculation requested by NMOCD. Based on that calculation, WaterBridge will notify the Division of the proposed injection rate (maximum and average) and whether the proposed injection rates need to be modified.

- (2) A closed-loop system will be used.
- (3) Proposed Maximum Injection Pressure: 1,070 psi (surface)
  Proposed Average Injection Pressure: Approximately 803 psi (surface)
- (4) Source Water Analysis: The expected injectate will consist of produced water from production wells completed in the Queen, Wolfcamp, and Devonian formations. Publicly available water quality analysis from the Go-Tech database, as well as sample analysis of water taken from WaterBridge's produced water pipeline system, is included for these formations as *Attachment 3*.
- (5) Injection Formation Water Analysis: The proposed SWD will be injecting water into the Glorieta Sandstone, which is a non-productive zone known to be compatible with formation water from the Queen, Wolfcamp, Devonian and formations. Water analyses from the Glorieta Sandstone in the area are included as **Attachment 4**.

### VIII - Geologic Description

The proposed injection interval includes the Glorieta Sandstone from 5,350' - 5,725'. The Permian-aged Glorieta Sandstone is a fine grained and well-to-moderately sorted quartz arenite sandstone that occurs directly below the San Andres Formation. There are multiple zones of high porosity and low resistivity that makes this sandstone a viable injection zone in this area.

Further reservoir characterization, including discussion of the injection formation, overlying and underlying confinement zones, and historic use of the field are contained in **Attachment 5**.

The base of the USDW is the Rustler Formation at a depth of approximately 1,055 feet. Depth of the nearest water well in the area is approximately 80 feet below ground surface.

### IX - Proposed Stimulation Program

A small cleanup acid job may be used to remove mud and drill cuttings from the formation. However, no other formation stimulation is currently planned.

### X – Logging and Test Data

Logs will be submitted to the Division upon completion of the well.

### XI – Fresh Groundwater Samples

Based on a review of data from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, there are no water wells within one mile of the proposed location.

A water well map is included as Attachment 6.

### XII – No Hydrologic Connection Statement

No faulting is present in the area that would provide a hydrologic connection between the injection interval and overlying USDWs. Additionally, the casing program has been designed to ensure there will be no hydrologic connection between the injection interval and overlying USDWs.

A signed No Hydrologic Connection Statement is included as Attachment 7.

In addition, a *Seismic Potential Letter* detailing the minimal risk of injection-induced seismicity associated with the proposed SWD is included as *Attachment 8*.

#### XIII – Proof of Notice

A public notice was filed with the Hobbs News-Sun newspaper, and an affidavit is included in **Attachment 9**.

A copy of the application was mailed to the OCD district office, landowner, and all identified affected parties within 1/2-mile of the proposed SWD location. A list of the recipients, as well as delivery confirmations, are included as **Attachment 9**.

## **Attachments**

#### Attachment 1:

- C-102
- Wellbore Diagram
- Packer Diagram

#### **Attachment 2:** Area of Review Information:

- 2-Mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 1/2-Mile AOR Well Table
- 2-Mile Lease Map
- 2-Mile Mineral Ownership Map
- 2-Mile Surface Ownership Map
- Potash Lease Map

**Attachment 3:** Source Water Analysis

**Attachment 4:** Injection Formation Water Analysis

**Attachment 5:** Reservoir Characterization

Attachment 6: Water Well Map and Well Data

**Attachment 7:** No Hydrologic Connection Statement

**Attachment 8:** Seismic Potential Letter **Attachment 9:** List of Affected Persons

### Attachment 1

- C-102
- Wellbore Diagram
- Packer Diagram

1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone: (575) 393-6161 Fax: (575) 393-0720 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III Phone: (505) 334-6178 Fax: (505) 334-6170

Phone: (505) 476-3460 Fax: (505) 476-3462

District IV

### State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505


Form C-102 Revised August 1, 2011 Submit one copy to appropriate District Office


☐ AMENDED REPORT

### WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

AP	I Number			Pool Code			Pool Name			
				96106			SWD; Glorieta			
Property C	ode		•		Property Name FPNM SWD		Well Nu #1	Well Number #1		
OGRID N 330129	0.				Elevation 2998'					
	Surface Location									
UL or lot no.	Section	Township	Range	Lot Idn	Feet from the	North/South line	Feet from the	East/West line	County	
G	25	26 S	37 E		2532	NORTH	1545	EAST	LEA	
		•	Bot	tom Hole	Location If D	ifferent From Surfa	ace			
UL or lot no.	Section	Township	Range	Lot Idn	Feet from the	North/South line	Feet from the	East/West line	County	
Dedicated Acres	Joint or	Infill	Consolidation Co	ode O	rder No.	•			1	

No allowable will be assigned to this completion until all interests have been consolidated or a non-standard unit has been approved by the






 FND. U.S.G.L.O. MON. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED
 ☑ CALC. CORNER O SHL/ KOP/ FTP / PPP/ LTP / BHL
STATE OIL & GAS LEASE BLM OIL & GAS LEASE HORIZONTAL SPACING UNIT


#### **NOTES**

L ALL COORDINATES, BEARINGS, AND DISTANCES
CONTAINED HEREIN ARE GRID, BASED UPON THE NEW
MEXICO STATE PLANE COORDINATES SYSTEM, NORTH
AMERICAN DATUM 83, NEW MEXICO EAST (3001), NAVD 88.

2. THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED UPON AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED DURING FEBRUARY, 2024.
CERTIFICATION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ONLY TO THE LOCATION OF THIS EASEMENT IN RELATION TO RECORDED MONUMENT OF DEEDS PROVIDED BY THE

ELEVATIONS MSL, DERIVEL
AND DERIVED DERIVED FROM G.N.S.S. FROM OBSERVATION AND ON-THE-GROUND SURVEY.





Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM

### AS1-X MECHANICAL PACKER



The ACT AS1-X Packer is the most versatile of the mechanically set retrievable packers and may be used in any production application. Treating, testing, injecting, pumping wells, flowing wells, deep or shallow, the AS1-X is suited for all. The packer can be left in tension or compression, depending on well conditions and the required application. A large internal by-pass reduces swabbing when running and retrieving. The by-pass closes when the packer is set and opens prior to releasing the upper slips when retrieving to allow pressure equalization.

The J-slot design allows easy setting and releasing: 1/4 turn right-hand set, right-hand release. A patented upper-slip releasing system reduces the force required to release the packer. A non directional slip is released first, making it easier to release the other slips. The AS1-X packer can withstand 7,000 psi (48 MPa) of differential pressure above or below.

#### FEATURES, ADVANTAGES AND BENEFITS:

- The design holds high differential pressure from above or below, enabling the packer to meet most production, stimulation, and injection needs
- The packer can be set with compression, tension, or wire line, enabling deployment in shallow and deep applications
- . The packer can be set and released with only a one-quarter turn of the tubing
- The bypass valve is below the upper slips so that debris are washed from the slips when the valve is opened, reducing the times for circulation and total retrieval
- . The full opening enables unrestricted flow and the passage of wire line tools and other packer systems
- The packer can be run with the T-2 on-off tool, which enables the tubing to be disconnected and retneved without retrieving the packer

### OPTIONS:

- Elastomer options are available for hostile environments.
- Optional safety releases are available


		AS	1-X MECHANICAL PACK	AS1-X MECHANICAL PACKER											
CZ SIZE (inches)	SING WEIGHT (lbs ft)	RECOMMENDED HOLE SIZE	TOOL OD MAX (inches)	TOOLID MIN (nches)	THREAD CONNECTION BOX UP / PIN DOWN	PART NO.									
4.1/2	13.5-15.1	3.826-3.920	3.650	1.938	2.3/8" EUE	261-3650-XXXX									
5	11.5-15	4.408-4.560	4.125	1.938	2.3/8" EUE	261-4125-XXXX									
5	18-20.8	4.154-4.276	4.000	1.938	2.3/8" EUE	261-4000-XXXX									
5.1/2	14-20	4.778-5.012	4.625	2.00	2.3/8" EUE	261-4625-XXXX									
5.1/2	14-20	4.778-5.012	4.625	2.38	2.7/8" EUE	261-4625-XXXX									
5.1/2	20-23	4.670-4.778	4.500	2.00	2.3/8" EUE	261-4500-XXXX									
5.1/2	20-23	4.670-4.778	4.500	2.38	2.7/8" EUE	261-4500-XXXX									
6.5/8	20-24	5.921-6.094	5.750	3.00	3.1/2″EUE	261-5750-XXXX									
7	17-26	6.276-6.538	6.000	2.50	2.7/8" EUE	261-6000-XXXX									
7	17-26	6.276-6.538	6.000	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-6000-XXXX									
7	26-32	6.094-6.276	5.875	2.50	2.7/8" EUE	261-5875-XXXX									
7	26-32	6.094-6.276	5.875	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-5875-XXXX									
7	29-35	6.004-6.184	5.812	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-5812-XXXX									
7.5/8	24-29.7	6.875-7.025	6.672	2.50	2.7/8″EUE	261-6672-XXXX									
7.5/8	24-29.7	6.875-7.025	6.672	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-6672-XXXX									
7.5/8	33.7-39	6.625-6.765	6.453	2.50	2.7/8″EUE	261-6453-XXXX									
7.5/8	33.7-39	6.625-6.765	6.453	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-6453-XXXX									
9.5/8	32.3-43.5	8.755-9.001	8.500	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-8500-XXXX									
9.5/8	32.3-43.5	8.755-9.001	8.500	4.00	4.1/2" EUE	261-8500-XXXX									
9.5/8	43.5-53.5	8.535-8.755	8.250	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-8250-XXXX									
9.5/8	43.5-53.5	8.535-8.755	8.250	4.00	4.1/2" EUE	261-8250-XXXX									

### Attachment 2

Area of Review Information:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 1/2-Mile AOR Well Table
- 2-mile Lease Map
- 2-mile Mineral Ownership Map
- 2-mile Surface Ownership Map
- Potash Lease Map

Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM Page 23 of 117



■Miles

### Legend

- Proposed SWD (1)
- Miscellaneous (1)
- Gas, Active (13)
- Gas, Plugged (14)
- Injection, Active (28)
- Injection, Plugged (19)
- Oil, Active (46)
- Oil, Plugged (25)
- Oil, Temporarily Abandoned (1)
- Undefined (2)


Source Info: NMOCD O&G Wells updated 2/16/2024 (https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/ocd-data/ftp-server/l)



Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM

1/2-Mile AOR Table for FPNM SWD #1 (Top of Injection Interval: 5,350')									
Well Name	API#	Well Type	Operator	Spud Date	Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.)	Total Vertical Depth (feet)	Penetrate Inj. Zone?		
Note: There are no wells located within the	%-mile AOR								

Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM Page 25 of 117



■ Miles

### Legend

★ Proposed SWD **BLM Communitization Units NMSLO** Mineral Leases Private Mineral Leases **BLM Authorized O&G Leases** 

### 1/2-mile AOR Lessees/Unit Operators:

- APACHE CORPORATION (BLM LESSEE)
- BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS COMPANY LP (BLM LESSEE)
- BXP PARTNERS V LP (BLM LESSEE)
- FAE II LLC (BLM LESSEE)
- LEACO OPERATING, LLC (BLM LESSEE)
- MAGNUM PRODUCING LP (BLM LESSEE)
- MNA ENTERPRISES LTD CO (BLM LESSEE)

### **Mineral Lease Area of Review**

### FPNM SWD #1

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Proj Mgr: Oliver Seekins


May 07, 2024

Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann





Page 26 of 117 Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM




### Legend ★ Proposed SWD Private minerals Subsurface minerals (NMSLO) Surface and Subsurface minerals (NMSLO)


All minerals are owned by U.S. (BLM)



Page 27 of 117 Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM



Legend ★ Proposed SWD Surface Ownership BLM (1) Private (1)



Proj Mgr: Oliver Seekins

May 07, 2024

Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann





Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM



### Legend

Proposed SWD Potash Leases

Known Potash Leasing Area

SOPA 1986

Drill Islands (12/11/2023)

Status, Depth Buffer

Approved, Half Mile

Nominated, Half Mile

Development Areas (12/11/2023)

Status

Approved

### **Potash Leases Area of Review**

### FPNM SWD #1

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Proj Mgr: Oliver Seekins

May 07, 2024

Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann





### Attachment 3

Source Water Analysis

Released to Imaging: 8/27/2024 11:34:29 AM

Source Water Analysis	5
-----------------------	---

						Source	Water An	nalysis								
			WaterP	sridge Statel	line LLC - FPNM			-	onian and E	llenburger F	ormations					
Well Name	API	Latitude	Longitude	Section	Township	Range	Unit	Ftgns	Ftgew	County	State	Formation	Tds (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/
GULF STATE #001	3002508458	32.7242317	-103.5246506	26	18S	34E	A	660N	660E	LEA	NM	QUEEN	267,000	,		16 88
WEST PEARL QUEEN UNIT #103	3002503247	32.6359787	-103.4816437	29	19S	35E	С	990N	1980W	LEA	NM	QUEEN	<u> </u>	151,575		
WEST PEARL QUEEN UNIT #118	3002503248	32.629612	-103.4773712	29	19S	35E		1980S	1980E	LEA	NM	QUEEN		149,504	35	35 25
WEST PEARL QUEEN UNI #141	3002503284	32.6223412	-103.4645233	33	19S	35E	С	660N	1980W	LEA	NM	QUEEN		138,040	38	
WHITE CITY PENN GAS COM UNIT 1 #001	3001500408	32.1937523	-104.3088455	29	24S	26E	A	660N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP		10,000	645	1,32
HABANERO 17 FEDERAL COM #001H	3001536108	32.2218475	-104.2062683	17	24S	27E	A	990N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP	108,205	5 65,927	7 146	.6
SERRANO 29 FEDERAL #001H	3001537763	32.1898842	-104.2062149	29	24S	27E	Н	1980N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP	102,136	6 62,813	183	,3
SERRANO 29 FEDERAL #001H	3001537763	32.1898842	-104.2062149	29	24S	27E	Н	1980N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP	100,995	5 63,450	268	.8
CLARA M ROBERTS ETAL #001	3002507265	32.9945259	-103.0748596	26	15S	38E	D	330N	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	50,630	0 29,593	823	23 1,0
OBERHOLTZER #001	3002507164	33.2986488	-103.1388397	7	12S	38E	С	660N	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	58,738	8 33,600	655	55 1,9
LEA AV STATE #005	3002507201	33.268692	-103.1398849	19	12S	38E	С	990N	1650W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	57,890	0 33,208	3 458	58 2,0
C S STONE #001	3002507260	33.0045204	-103.0823975	22	15S	38E	G	1980N	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	78,690	,		54 2,0
CLARA M ROBERTS #001	3002507264	33.0045013	-103.0748672	23	15S	38E	Е	1980N	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	91,505	,	894	94 1,8
ROSA SHULTS #001	3002507191	33.272316	-103.1442108	18	12S	38E	M	330S	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	39,824	4 21,933	647	17 1,
HOUSTON A #001	3002507202	33.2632332	-103.1442032	19	12S	38E	L	2310S	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	76,102		) 483	
SHELL BROWNING #001	3002507113	33.3240585	-103.1301956	31	11S	38E	Н	1980N	660E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	79,057	,		
STATE A #002	3002507126	33.32407	-103.1215515	32	11S	38E	F	1980N	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	85,233			
NEW MEXICO A FEDERAL #001	3002507150	33.3022766	-103.1344833	6	12S	38E	0	660S	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	61,815	,		
NEW MEXICO A FEDERAL #002	3002507151	33.3059044	-103.134491	6	12S	38E	J	1980S	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	61,795	,		
TAYLOR B #001	3002507155	33.2877579	-103.1344681	7	12S	38E	0	660S	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	54,397	7 30,880	572	72 2,
CLARA M ROBERTS #001	3002507264	33.0045013	-103.0748672	23	15S	38E	Е	1980N	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	80,811	,	883	
ROSE EAVES #001	3002507290	32.8726234	-103.1200638	35	16S	38E	N	660S	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	48,373		696	
W W HAMILTON #001	3002507293	32.8762512	-103.1200485	35	16S	38E	K	1980S	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	41,751			
L COOPER #002	3002507295	32.8689995	-103.1212997	2	17S	38E	C	660N	3300E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	38,520			
L COOPER A #001	3002507301	32.8438873	-103.1040649	12	17S	38E	N	660S	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	29,115	,		
FEDERAL DAVIS #002	3002507305	32.8293381	-103.0954208	13	17S	38E	P	660S	660E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	35,212	,		
F M HOLLOWAY #001	3002507306	32.8402596	-103.0997314	13	17S	38E	В	660N	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	49,286			
WEST DOLLARHIDE DEVONIAN UNIT #104	3002512297	32.1720123	-103.0761032	32	24S	38E	- I	1980S	660E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	50,858	,		
F M HOLLOWAY #001	3002507306	32.8402596	-103.0997314	13	17S	38E	В	660N	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	49,290			
WEST DOLLARHIDE DEVONIAN UNIT #104	3002512297	32.1720123	-103.0761032	32	24S	38E	1 <u>1</u>	1980S	660E	LEA	NM	ELLENBURGER	1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	30,200		
A B COATES D #003	3002511748	32.1112633	-103.1177216	24	25S	37E	N	990S	2310W	LEA	NM	ELLENBURGER	91,617			
SOUTH JUSTIS UNIT #024	3002511748	32.1112033	-103.1177210	25	25S	37E	Н	1650N	660E	LEA	NM	ELLENBURGER	99,800			
MANUAR 3033 163 CANA TOZET	3004311117	J2.10 <del>1</del> 0077	-103.1102027	23	233	3111	11 -	102011	UUUL	LLA	T 41AT	ELLERIDUKULK	22,000	00,500	1/0	·

## ownHole SAT™ Water Analysis Report

# French Creek Software

#### SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

CIP Permian Water Bridge NAM #3 IDH

Sample ID#:

2024-06-13-90 ID

Sample Date: Report Date:

06-12-2024 at 2216

06-17-2024

#### WATER CHEMISTRY

CATIONS		ANIONS
Calcium(as Ca)	1954	Chloride(as Cl)
Magnesium(as Mg)	257.00	Sulfate(as SO <sub>4</sub> )
Barium(as Ba)	1.10	Dissolved CO <sub>2</sub> (as CO <sub>2</sub> )
Strontium(as Sr)	268.00	Bicarbonate(as HCO <sub>3</sub> )
Sodium(as Na)	41970	H <sub>2</sub> S (as H <sub>2</sub> S)
Potassium(as K)	775.00	Boron(as B)
Lithium(as Li)	13.00	
Iron(as Fe)	3.20	
Manganese(as Mn)	0.230	
Zinc(as Zn)	0.01000	
PARAMETERS		
Temperature(OF)	103.00	Sample pH

Temperature(<sup>O</sup>F) Conductivity 185602 Resistivity 5.39

Sp.Gr.(g/mL) T.D.S.

7.30 1.083 122939

68617

1247

210.00


280.60 5.30


54.00


#### SCALE AND CORROSION POTENTIAL


Temp.	Press.	C	alcite	Anh	ydrite	Gy	psum	В	arite	Cel	estite	Sic	lerite	Mack	inawite	$co_2$	$pCO_2$
(OF)	(psia)	Ca	$aCO_3$	Ca	sO <sub>4</sub>	CaSO	4*2H <sub>2</sub> O	Ba	SO <sub>4</sub>	Sr	SO <sub>4</sub>	Fe	CO <sub>3</sub>	F	eS	(mpy)	(atm)
60.00	14.70	13.16	0.855	0.303	-590.00	0.460	-369.78	14.24	0.656	1.77	71.22	18.42	0.975	22.36	0.302	0.0201	0.00990
70.00	15.00	11.32	0.672	0.295	-598.78	0.433	-400.08	10.75	0.640	1.69	66.19	17.06	0.780	12.85	0.286	0.0158	0.0101
85.00	38.50	8.71	0.454	0.294	-584.61	0.401	-438.41	7.34	0.609	1.64	62.07	14.63	0.542	14.33	0.286	0.0361	0.0259
100.00	62.00	7.07	0.326	0.308	-540.05	0.378	-466.16	5.28	0.572	1.63	60.80	13.12	0.400	11.40	0.275	0.0592	0.0417
115.00	85.50	5.89	0.243	0.335	-472.85	0.398	-421.46	3.93	0.526	1.63	60.50	12.04	0.306	8.49	0.260	0.0679	0.0576
130.00	109.00	4.98	0.184	0.379	-391.07	0.430	-367.50	2.96	0.467	1.62	59.65	11.14	0.240	6.17	0.240	0.0645	0.0734
145.00	132.50	4.24	0.141	0.444	-302.17	0.459	-323.14	2.24	0.391	1.61	58.29	10.33	0.191	4.45	0.216	0.0613	0.0892
160.00	156.00	3.61	0.108	0.534	-212.58	0.486	-286.81	1.71	0.294	1.59	56.42	9.55	0.153	3.21	0.186	0.0762	0.105
175.00	179.50	3.07	0.0818	0.659	-127.30	0.511	-257.19	1.32	0.171	1.56	54.09	8.79	0.124	2.33	0.149	0.0330	0.121
190.00	203.00	2.61	0.0614	0.834	-49.69	0.534	-233.16	1.02	0.0160	1.52	51.39	8.05	0.100	1.69	0.103	0.0519	0.137
205.00	226.50	2.21	0.0450	1.08	17.98	0.554	-214.16	0.798	-0.178	1.48	48.19	7.32	0.0816	1.24	0.0465	0.0754	0.153
220.00	250.00	1.83	0.0312	1.39	72.51	0.559	-210.03	0.614	-0.444	1.41	42.59	6.49	0.0670	0.875	-0.0326	0.121	0.168
			Lbs per		Lbs per		Lbs per		Lbs per		Lbs per		Lbs per		Lbs per		
		xSAT	1000	xSAT	1000	xSAT	1000	xSAT	1000	xSAT	1000	xSAT	1000	xSAT	1000		
			Barrels		Barrels		Barrels		Barrels		Barrels		Barrels		Barrels		

Saturation Ratios (xSAT) are the ratio of ion activity to solubility, e.g.  $\{Ca\}\{CO_3\}/K_{sp}$ .  $pCO_2$  (atm) is the partial pressure of  $CO_2$  in the gas phase. Lbs/1000 Barrels scale is the quantity of precipitation (or dissolution) required to instantaneously bring the water to equilibrium.











### DownHole SAT(tm)

### SURFACE WATER CHEMISTRY INPUT

**CIP** Permian NAM #3 IDH Water Bridge

Report Date:

06-17-2024

Sampled:

06-12-2024 at 2216

Sample #:

Sample ID: 2024-06-13-90

CATIONS		ANIONS		
Calcium (as Ca)	1954	Chloride (as CI)		68617
Magnesium (as Mg)	257.00	Sulfate (as SO <sub>4</sub> )		1247
Barium (as Ba)	1.10	Dissolved CO <sub>2</sub> (as CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>)</u>	210.00
Strontium (as Sr)	268.00	Bicarbonate (as HCO <sub>3</sub> )		280.60
Sodium (as Na)	41970	H <sub>2</sub> S (as H <sub>2</sub> S)		5.30
Potassium (as K)	775.00	Boron (as B)		54.00
Lithium (as Li)	13.00			
Iron (as Fe)	3.20			
Manganese (as Mn)	0.230			
Zinc (as Zn)	0.01000			
PARAMETERS		BOUND IONS	TOTAL	FREE
Calculated T.D.S.	122939	Calcium	2117	1977
Molar Conductivity	185602	Barium	1.19	1.19
Resistivity	5.39	Carbonate	71.95	0.696
Sp.Gr.(g/mL)	1.083	Phosphate	0.00	0.00
Pressure(psia)	15.00	Sulfate	1351	662.63
Temperature ( <sup>O</sup> F)	103.00			
рН	7.30			
		CORROSION RATE P	PREDICTION	
		CO2 - H2S Rate(mpy)	)	0.0293

FRENCH CREEK SOFTWARE, INC. 1220 VALLEY FORGE ROAD, SUITE 21, VALLEY FORGE, PA 19460

Stiff Davis Index

Oddo-Tomson



### DownHole SAT(tm)

### **SURFACE WATER DEPOSITION POTENTIAL INDICATORS**

**CIP Permian** NAM #3 IDH Water Bridge

Report Date: Sample #:

06-17-2024

Sampled:

06-12-2024 at 2216

Sample ID: 2024-06-13-90

SATURATION RATIO as IAP/K	SD	FREE ION MOMENTARY EXCES	FREE ION MOMENTARY EXCESS (Lbs/1000 Barrels)				
Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	7.72	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.352				
Aragonite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	7.10	Aragonite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.348				
Witherite (BaCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.00	Witherite (BaCO <sub>3</sub> )	-25.07				
Strontianite (SrCO <sub>3</sub> )	1.60	Strontianite (SrCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.223				
Calcium oxalate (CaC <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> )	15.89	Calcium oxalate (CaC <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> )	0.511				
Magnesite (MgCO <sub>3</sub> )	1.29	Magnesite (MgCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.0761				
Anhydrite (CaSO <sub>4</sub> )	0.31	Anhydrite (CaSO <sub>4</sub> )	-523.48				
Gypsum (CaSO <sub>4</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.38	Gypsum (CaSO <sub>4</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O)	-466.45				
Barite (BaSO <sub>4</sub> )	5.01	Barite (BaSO <sub>4</sub> )	0.565				
Celestite (SrSO <sub>4</sub> )	1.64	Celestite (SrSO <sub>4</sub> )	61.63				
Fluorite (CaF <sub>2</sub> )	0.00	Fluorite (CaF <sub>2</sub> )	-6.48				
Calcium phosphate	0.00	Calcium phosphate	>-0.001				
Hydroxyapatite	0.00	Hydroxyapatite	-393.86				
Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> )	0.00	Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> )	-48.80				
Brucite (Mg(OH) <sub>2</sub> )	< 0.001	Brucite (Mg(OH) <sub>2</sub> )	-0.733				
Magnesium silicate	0.00	Magnesium silicate	-119.40				
Iron hydroxide (Fe(OH) <sub>3</sub> )	0.00	Iron hydroxide (Fe(OH) <sub>3</sub> )	< 0.001				
Strengite (FePO <sub>4</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.00	Strengite (FePO <sub>4</sub> *2H <sub>2</sub> O)	>-0.001				
Siderite (FeCO <sub>3</sub> )	14.55	Siderite (FeCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.429				
Halite (NaCl)	0.05	Halite (NaCl)	-145588				
Thenardite (Na2SO <sub>4</sub> )	0.00	Thenardite (Na2SO <sub>4</sub> )	-80542				
Iron sulfide (FeS)	2.99	Iron sulfide (FeS)	0.183				
SIMPLE INDICES		CARBONATE PRECIPITATION	POTENTIAL (Lbs/1000 Barrels	s)			
Langelier	1.29	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	125.09				
Ryznar	4.42	Aragonite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	123.08				
Puckorius	2.95	Witherite (BaCO <sub>3</sub> )	-4.29				
Larson-Skold Index	202.74	Strontianite (SrCO <sub>3</sub> )	65.35				

### **OPERATING CONDITIONS**

Magnesite (MgCO<sub>3</sub>)

Siderite (FeCO<sub>3</sub>)

76.00

2.08

Temperature (OF) 103.00 Time(mins) 3.00

0.778

0.267

FRENCH CREEK SOFTWARE, INC. 1220 VALLEY FORGE ROAD, SUITE 21, VALLEY FORGE, PA 19460

### Attachment 4

Injection Formation Water Analysis

WaterBridge Stateline LLC - FPNIVI SWD #1 - Giorieta Sandstone																	
Well Name	АРІ	Latitude	Longitude	Section	Township	Range	Unit	Ftgns	Ftgew	County	State	Field	Formation	Tds (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/
LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007	3002511568	32.1248627	-103.1219788	13	25S	37E	М	660S	990W	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	55,190	31,603	1,158	1,80
LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007	3002511568	32.1248627	-103.1219788	13	<b>25</b> S	37E	М	660S	990W	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	55,183	31,600	1,158	1,80
CARLSON FEDERAL #001	3002511574	32.1330185	-103.1198425	13	25S	37E	F	1650N	1650W	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	113,731	67,250	280	3,01
CARLSON FEDERAL #001	3002511574	32.1330185	-103.1198425	13	25S	37E	F	1650N	1650W	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	101,412	60,660	963	2,99
LANGLIE FEDERAL #001	3002511592	32.1293945	-103.1273041	14	25S	37E	- 1	2310S	660E	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	113,937	67,370	280	3,01
LANGLIE FEDERAL #001	3002511592	32.1293945	-103.1273041	14	25S	37E	I	2310S	660E	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	113,817	67,250	274	3,06

### Attachment 5

Reservoir Characterization

#### Reservoir Characterization at the FPNM SWD #1

### 1. Injection Formation and Confinement

#### a. Injection Formation

The proposed injection interval is the Glorieta Sandstone from 5,350' - 5,725'. The Permian-aged Glorieta Sandstone is a fine grained and well-to-moderately sorted quartz arenite sandstone that occurs directly below the San Andres Formation. There are multiple zones of high porosity and low resistivity that makes this sandstone a viable injection zone in this area

### **b.** Upper Confinement

Nearby open hole geophysical well logs indicate the proposed Glorieta injection interval is overlain by approximately 60 feet of low porosity and low permeability carbonate rocks within the lower San Andres Formation, which will prevent the upward migration of fluid and act as the upper confining layer. Below is a table of approximate resistivity and porosity measurements of the upper confining layer derived from resistivity and porosity logging of a nearby well (API# 025-33482).

#### c. Lower Confinement

Nearby open hole geophysical well logs indicate the proposed Glorieta injection interval is underlain by approximately 28 feet of low porosity and low permeability carbonate rocks within the lower Glorieta Sandstone and upper Tubb Formation, which will prevent the downward migration of fluid and act as the lower confining layer. Below is a table of approximate resistivity and porosity measurements of the lower confining layer derived from resistivity and porosity logging of a nearby well (API# 025-33482).

DEPTHS	RESISTIVITY READINGS (OHM METERS)	POROSITY MEASUREMENTS	
5,332'	150	Less then 2%	
5,334'	300	Less then 2%	
5,336	325	Less then 2%	
5,338'	325	Less then 2%	
5,340'	.250	Less then 2%	
5,342'	250	Less then 2%	
5,344'	500	Less then 2%	
5,346'	250	Less then 2%	
5,348'	500	Less then 2%	
5,350'	500	Less then 2%	
5,352'	500	Less then 2%	
5,354'	300	Less then 2%	
5,356	300	Less then 2%	
5,358'	400	Less then 2%	
5,360'	600	Less then 2%	
5,362	600	Less then 2%	
5,364	400	Less then 2%	
5,366'	300	Less then 2%	
5,368	600	Less then 2%	
5,370	600	Less then 2%	
5,372'	400	Less then 2%	
5,374	500	Less then 2%	
5,376'	500	Less then 2%	
5,378'	500	Less then 2%	
5,380	500	Less then 2%	
5,382	500	Less then 2%	
5,384'	500	Less then 2%	
5,386'	500	Less then 2%	
5,388'	400	Less then 2%	
5,390	400	Less then 2%	
5,392"	400	Less then 2%	

FPNM SWDS - LOWER CONFINEMENT				
DEPTHS	RESISTIVITY READINGS (OHM METERS)	POROSITY MEASUREMENTS		
5,720'	200	Less then 2%		
5,722'	300	Less then 2%		
5,724'	100	Less then 2%		
5,726'	70	Less then 2%		
5,728'	120	Less then 2%		
5,730'	200	Less then 2%		
5,732'	120	Less then 2%		
5,734'	300	Less then 2%		
5,736'	100	Less then 2%		
5,738'	180	Less then 2%		
5,740'	300	Less then 2%		
5,742'	420	Less then 2%		
5,744	420	Less then 2%		
5,746	300	Less then 2%		
5,748'	400	Less then 2%		

### 2. Historic Field Usage

#### a. Offset Production

A review of all wells in the NMOCD database within a 2-mile radius of the FPNM SWD #1 does not show any historic or current hydrocarbon production from the Glorieta Sandstone.

#### b. Commercial Water Sources

A review of all wells in the NMOCD and OSE databases within a 2-mile radius of the FPNM SWD #1 does not show any historic or current commercial water supply sources from the Glorieta Sandstone.

#### c. Enhanced Oil Recovery

A review of all wells in the NMOCD database within a 2-mile radius of the FPNM SWD #1 does not show any historic or current enhanced oil recovery operations utilizing the overlying San Andres, or the underlying Tubb Formation.

#### 3. Additional Formation Data

- a. WaterBridge with run a mud log on the FPNM #1, as there is no current mud log data available with ½mile. The mud log will be electronically submitted to NMOCD within 30-days of its completion.
- b. WaterBridge will run a step rate test at one of the FPNM #1, #3, or #6 at their drilling group's discretion, as to address NMOCD's request to provide data to support the requested maximum injection rate.



## CONFINING ZONES AND HISTORIC PORE SPACE USAGE

For WaterBridge Stateline LLC's proposed FPNM SWD #1 application in the Lower Permian Glorieta Sandstone in the Central Basin Platform area, the lower San Andres Formation will act as the upper confinement zone, and the lower Glorieta Sandstone as the lower confinement zone, given its low porosity and high resistivity. The proposed location is in T26S., R37E, an area with very limited oil and gas production. Most area wells have been plugged and abandoned, and none penetrate the proposed injection intervals in the Glorieta Sandstone.

The San Andres Formation is a shelf carbonate deposit composed predominantly of dolomite, and in the proposed development area, the lower San Andres Formation is a tight rock with low porosity and high resistivity values. **Figure 1** is a log snip of this upper confining zone of approximately 60 feet in the lower San Andres Formation. The lower confining zone is a tight sandstone unit within the Glorieta Sandstone, which also has low porosity development and high resistivity readings. The Glorieta Sandstone is a fine-grained, well-to-moderately sorted quartz arenite sandstone. **Figure 2** is a log snip of this approximately 30 feet of lower Glorieta Sandstone.

The closest oil and gas production to the FPNM SWD #1 is the active waterflood operation directly to the west. This waterflood operation is the W.H. Rhodes B Federal NCT-1 unit project and was originally operated by Texaco, Inc., with the first waterflood injection commencing in 1964 and continued expansion occurring in 1969, 1973, and 1993 by Texaco Exploration and Production, Inc. Oil production and enhanced oil recovery water injection is into the Yates and Seven Rivers formations at depths ranging from approximately 3,000 to 3,400 feet and primarily located in T26S, R37E. There is no oil or gas production from the Glorieta Sandstone within a two-mile radius of the proposed FPNM SWD #1 location.




Figure 1. Open Hole Log Snip of the Upper Confining Zone in the Lower San Andres Formation





Figure 2. Open Hole Logging Snip of the Lower Confining Zone Within the Glorieta Sandstone

## Attachment 6

Water Well Map and Well Data

Page 43 of 117 Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM



# Legend

★ Proposed SWD (1)

# **OSE PODs**

- Active (0)
- Inactive (0)
- Pending (1)
- Changed Location of Well (0)
- Capped (0)
- Plugged (0)
- Unknown (0)

# **Water Wells Area of Review**

# FPNM SWD #1

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Proj Mgr: Oliver Seekins

May 07, 2024

Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann

Prepared for: WATER BRIDGE



Prepared by:

SourceInfo: ttps://gis.ose.state.nm.us/arcgis/rest/services/WatersPod/OSE\_PODs/MapServer/0 Case No. 24568 Revised Exhibit Packet

Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM

Water Well Sampling Rationale						
WaterBridge Stateline LLC - FPNM SWD #1						
Water Wells	Owner	Available Contact Information	Use	Sampling Required	Notes	
Note: No water wells are present within 1 mile of the proposed SWD location.						

## **Attachment 7**

No Hydrologic Connection Statement



## RE: Waterbridge Stateline LLC - FPNM SWD #1 application, Lea County, New Mexico

ALL Consulting LLC (ALL) has performed a thorough hydrologic investigation related to the one saltwater disposal well (SWD) listed above. The investigation was conducted to determine if there were any existing or potential connections between the proposed injection intervals in the Glorieta Sandstone and the deepest underground source of drinking water (USDW).

ALL performed an assessment and analysis of the subsurface geophysical log data along with published documents on the groundwater in this vicinity of Lea County, New Mexico. The surficial geology is Quaternary alluvial deposits consisting predominantly of sand and caliche. This area is south of the High Plains Aquifer and depths to potable water ranges from 30 to 142 feet below the surface. The USDW is the Rustler Formation and the base of the USDW ranges from 875 to 1,130 feet below the surface.

Based on ALL's assessment and analysis there is containment through multiple confining zones in the San Andres Formation and the Salado evaporite deposits above the Glorieta Sandstone and the USDW and over 4,295 feet of vertical separation between the base of the USDW and the top of the injection interval. Additionally, there is no evidence of faults that would allow for communication between the USDW and Glorieta Sandstone.

Tom Tomastik

Chief Geologist and Regulatory Specialist

ALL Consulting LLC

Date



**Attachment 8** 

Seismic Potential Letter



March 18, 2024

PN 1703.SWD.14

Mr. Phillip Goetze, P.G. NM EMNRD – Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

Subject: WaterBridge Stateline LLC

FPNM SWD #1 - Seismic Potential

Letter

Dear Mr. Goetze,

At the request of WaterBridge Stateline LLC (WaterBridge), ALL Consulting, LLC (ALL) has assessed the potential injection-induced seismicity risks in the vicinity of WaterBridge's FPNM SWD #1, a proposed saltwater disposal (SWD) facility in Lea County, New Mexico, and summarized the findings in this letter. This assessment used publicly available data to identify the proximity and characteristics of seismic events and known faults to evaluate the potential for the operation of the FPNM SWD #1 to contribute to seismic activity in the area.

# Geologic Evaluation

The FPNM SWD #1 is requesting a permit to inject into the Permian Glorieta Sandstone (Glorieta) at a depth of 5,350-5,725 feet below ground surface (bgs). The Glorieta primarily consists of Permian-age sandstone and is overlain by approximately 60 feet of low porosity carbonate rocks within the lower San Andres Formation, which would prevent the upward migration of injection fluid and serve as the upper confining layer (see **Attachment 1**). Additionally, approximately 28 feet of low porosity and low permeability other carbonate rocks lie beneath the proposed injection interval and act as a lower confining zone by preventing downward migration of injected fluids into the underlying Tubb Formation (see **Attachment 1**). A stratigraphic chart depicting the geologic setting is included as **Figure 1**.

### Seismic Events and Fault Data

A review of United States Geological Survey (USGS) and New Mexico Tech Seismological Observatory (NMTSO) earthquake catalogues determined that four (4) seismic events have been recorded within a 100 square mile area [9.08-kilometer (km) radius] around the subject SWD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Yang, K.-M., & Dorobek, S. L. (1995). The Permian Basin of west Texas and New Mexico: Tectonic history of a "composite" Foreland Basin and its effects on stratigraphic development. *Stratigraphic Evolution of Foreland Basins*, 149–174. https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.95.52.0149

The closest recorded seismic event was a M1.68 that occurred on July 22, 2017, and was located approximately 2.11 miles northeast of the FPNM SWD #1 (see **Attachment 2**).

Fault data from United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology (BEG)<sup>2</sup> indicates that the closest known fault is located approximately 0.58 miles northeast of the FPNM SWD #1 (see Attachment 2). This identified fault is within the Precambrian basement, which is approximately 8,275 feet below the proposed injection interval.<sup>3</sup> Fault data from Sourcewater also indicates the presence of four faults in the sedimentary column, above the Precambrian basement, within the area of review.<sup>4</sup> These shallow faults penetrate the Canyon, Cisco, and Wolfcamp formations, which begin approximately 2,990 feet below the proposed injection interval. As previously discussed, there are confining barriers beneath the proposed injection interval which will prevent the downward migration of fluids into such faults. A map of the seismic events and faults within 9.08 km of the FPNM SWD #1 is included as Attachment 2.

Figure 1 – Delaware Basin Stratigraphic Chart (Adapted from Yang and Dorobek 1995)

SERIES/ STAGE	CENTRAL BASIN PLATFORM	DELAWARE BASIN		
OCHOAN.	DEWEY LAKE RUSTLER SALADO	DEWEY LAKE RUSTLER SALADO CASTILE		
GUADALUPIAN	TANSILL YATES SEVEN RIVERS QUEEN GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES	DELAWARE MT GROU BELL CANYON CHERRY CANYON BRUSHY CANYON		
LEONARDIAN	CLEAR FORK WICHITA	BONE SPRING		
WOLFCAMPIAN	WOLFCAMP	WOLFCAMP		
VIRGILIAN	CISCO	CISCO		
MISSOURIAN	CANYON	CANYON		
DESMOINESIAN	STRAWN	STRAWN		
ATOKAN	ATOKA	ATOKA DELLA		
MORROWAN	(ABSENT)	MORROW BEND		
CHESTERIAN MERAMECIAN OSAGEAN KINDERHOOKIAN	CHESTER BARNETT	CHESTER BARNETT		
	KINDERHOOK	KINDERHOOK		
	DEVONIAN	WOODFORD- DEVONIAN		
	SILURIAN SHALE FUSSELMAN	MIDDLE SILURIAN FUSSELMAN		
UPPER	MONTOYA	SYLVAN MONTOYA		
MIDDLE	SIMPSON	SIMPSON		
LOWER	ELLENBURGER	ELLENBURGER		
	CAMBRIAN	CAMBRIAN		
	STAGE  OCHOAN  GUADALUPIAN  LEONARDIAN  WOLFCAMPIAN  WIRGILIAN  MISSOURIAN  DESMOINESIAN  ATOKAN  MORROWAN  CHESTERIAN  MERA MECIAN  OSAGEAN  KINDERHOOKIAN  UPPER  MIDDLE	STAGE  PLATFORM  DEWEY LAKE RUSTLER SALADO  TANSILL YATES SEVEN RIVERS OUZIEN GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES GLORIETA CLEAR FORK WICHITA  WOLFCAMPIAN  VIRGILIAN CISCO MISSOURIAN ATOKAN ATOKAN ATOKAN ATOKAN MORROWAN CHESTERIAN MERAMECIAN OSAGEAN KINDERHOOKIAN KINDERHOOKIAN  SILURIAN SHALE FUSSELMAN  UPPER MONTOYA  MIDDLE  DEWEY LAKE RUSTLER SALADO  TANSILL YATES SEVEN RIVERS OLORIETA CLEAR FORK WICHITA  WOLFCAMP  WOLFCAMP  TORROWAN CANYON CHESTER MERAMEC OSAGE SILURIAN SHALE FUSSELMAN  UPPER MONTOYA  MIDDLE SIMPSON		

## Seismic Potential Evaluation

Experience in evaluating induced seismic events indicates that most injection-induced seismicity throughout the U.S. (e.g., Oklahoma, Ohio, Texas, New Mexico, and Colorado) occurs as a result of injection into Precambrian basement rock, into overlying formations that are in hydraulic communication with the Precambrian basement rock, or as a result of injection near critically stressed and optimally oriented faults. Seismicity at basement depths occurs because critically stressed faults generally originate in crystalline basement rock and may also extend into overlying sedimentary formations. <sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Horne E. A. Hennings P. H., and Zahm C. K. 2021. Basement structure of the Delaware Basin, in The Geologic Basement of Texas: A Volume in Honor of Peter Flawn, Callahan O. A., and Eichubl P., The University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> G. Randy Keller, J. M. Hills &; Rabah Djeddi, A regional geological and geophysical study of the Delaware Basin, New Mexico and West Texas, Trans Pecos Region (West Texas) (1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Formation of Occurrence, Strike, Dip, and Length Interpreted by (Cortina, J. E. and Lemons, C. R. 2019. Houston, TX: Sourcewater, Inc.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ground Water Protection Council and Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission.

Potential Injection-Induced Seismicity Associated with Oil & Gas Development: A Primer on Technical and Regulatory Considerations Informing Risk Management and Mitigation. 2015. 141 pages.

Injection into either the Precambrian basement rock or its overlying formations that are hydraulically connected to the basement rock through faulting or fracture networks can increase the pore pressure and may lead to the fault slipping, resulting in a seismic event.<sup>4</sup> As such, the vertical distance between the injection formation and Precambrian basement rock and the presence or lack of faulting within the injection interval are major considerations when determining the risk of injection-induced seismicity.

Geophysical logs from nearby well records show at least 8,275 feet of vertical separation between the injection interval and the Precambrian basement.<sup>3</sup> In addition, injection-induced seismicity is not typically associated with shallow disposal wells in the Central Basin Platform and Delaware Basin areas, such as the FPNM SWD #1.

For injection into the Glorieta Sandstone to contribute to seismic activity, one of two hypothetical geologic scenarios must exist:<sup>6</sup>

- 1. Scenario #1: Earthquake hypocenters would need to be significantly shallower (several kilometers) than initially identified by the USGS and NMTSO seismic monitoring networks, and thus placing seismic activity high in the sedimentary column, rather than in the Precambrian basement.
- 2. Scenario #2: This scenario would require that both of the following conditions are met:
  - a. Fault Transmissivity: High permeability and transmissive conduits from fault-damaged zones would need to be present below the Glorieta, allowing fluid to migrate through the underlying Tubb Formation and through significantly deeper confining intervals, and eventually into the Precambrian basement.
  - b. Pore Pressure: The injection fluids and bottom hole pressures in the Glorieta would need to exceed existing hydrostatic pressures within the deeper geologic formation in order for injection fluids to migrate downward.

There are no publications or geologic data that suggest either of these scenarios to be true for the area around the FPNM SWD #1.

# **Formation Parting Pressure**

Class II SWDs in New Mexico are administratively permitted with a maximum pressure gradient of 0.2 psi/ft. Review of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) Order IP-476 submitted by Chevron USA Inc. in support of the Vacuum Glorieta West Unit, which is located approximately 60 miles northwest of the FPNM SWD #1, determined the fracture gradient of the Glorieta in the region ranges from 0.26-0.39 psi/ft from approved step-rate tests. Typical SWD permitting standards in New Mexico, and the requested operating parameters of the FPNM SWD #1, would indicate that formation parting pressure would not be exceeded by the FPNM SWD #1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Skoumal, Robert J., et al. "Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin, Texas." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, vol. 125, no. 1, 2020, doi:10.1029/2019jb018558.

## Conclusion

As an expert on the issue of induced seismicity, seismic monitoring, and mitigation, it is my opinion that the potential for the FPNM SWD #1 to cause injection-induced seismicity is expected to be minimal, at best. This conclusion assumes the FPNM SWD #1 will be operated below formation parting pressure and is based on (1) the presence of numerous confining layers above and below the injection interval, (2) the significant vertical distance between the injection zone and Precambrian basement rock in which the nearest fault has been identified, and (3) the vertical distance from, and lack of historic seismicity on, identified shallow faults in the area of review.


Sincerely,

ALL Consulting, LLC


Reed Davis Geophysicist

> **Attachment 1 Upper and Lower Confining Zones**

Upper Confining Zone from API No. 025-33482




# Lower Confining Zone from API No. 025-33482



> **Attachment 2 Seismic Event Map**

# FPNM SWD #1 Nearby Seismic Events and Faults



**Attachment 9** 

List of Affected Persons

Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM

WaterBridge Stateline LLC - FPNM SWD #1 - Notice of Application Recipients							
Affected Party Classification	Entity - Proof of Notice	Entity - As Mapped/Exhibited	Address	City	State	Zip Code	
Surface Owner	D.K. Boyd	N/A	3317 Andrews Hwy	Midland	TX	79703	
NMOCD District Office	New Mexico Oil Conservation District 1	N/A	1625 N. French Dr	Hobbs	NM	88240	
Mineral Owner	New Mexico Bureau of Land Management	N/A	301 Dinosaur Trail	Sante Fe	NM	87508	
BLM - Lessee	Apache Corporation	Apache Corporation	303 Veterans Airpark Ln., Suite 1000	Midland	TX	79705	
BLM - Lessee	FAE II Operating LLC	FAE II LLC	11757 Katy Freeway, Ste 725	Houston	TX	77079	
BLM - Lessee	LeaCo Operating, LLC	LEACO Operating	2121 Sage Rd, Ste 325	Houston	TX	77056	
BLM - Lessee	Magnum Producing, LP	Magnum Producing LP	500 N Shoreline Blvd, Ste 322	Corpus Christi	TX	78401	
BLM - Lessee	Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP	Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP	P.O. Box 4289	Farmington	NM	87499	
BLM - Lessee	BXP Energy Resources V, LLC	BXP Partners V LP	3860 W. Northwest Hwy	Dallas	TX	75220	
BLM - Lessee	MNA Enterprises LTD CO	MNA Enterprises LTD CO	106 W. Alabama St.	Hobbs	NM	88242	
Note: The affected parties above re-	ceived notification of this C-108 application.				-		

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

> **CASE NO. 24568** (FPNM SWD #1)

## AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS E TOMASTIK

Thomas E. Tomastik, of lawful age and being duly sworn, declares as follows:

- 1. My name is Thomas E. Tomastik. I work for ALL Consulting as a Chief Geologist and Regulatory Specialist. I have been retained by WaterBridge Stateline LLC ("WaterBridge") (OGRID No. 330129).
  - I personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
- 3. I have previously testified before the Oil Conservation Division ("Division") as an expert witness in petroleum engineering, saltwater disposal wells, and petroleum geology and my credentials as have been accepted by the Division and made a matter of record.
  - 4. My area of responsibility includes the area of Lea County in New Mexico.
- 5. I am familiar with the application WaterBridge filed in this matter and I am familiar with the status of the lands in the subject area.
- 6. I undertook a hydrologic evaluation related to the proposed FPNM SWD #1 well (the "Well"), which is included as Attachment 7 to Exhibit A-1.
- 7. WaterBridge seeks authorization to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone through Well into the Glorieta Sandstone at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet.



- 8. I examined available geologic and engineering data and found no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground sources of drinking water ("USDW").
- 9. In my opinion, there is containment through multiple confining zones in the San Andres' Formation and the Salado evaporite deposits above the Glorieta Sandstone and the USDW and over 4,295 feet of vertical separation between the base of the USDW and the top of the injection interval. Additionally, there is no evidence of faults that would allow for communication between the USDW and Glorieta Sandstone.
- 10. In addition, WaterBridge's wellbore design will isolate any known freshwater zones and is protective of USDWs.
- 11. All of the well data and operations information required by the C-108 is included in the C-108 attached as Exhibit A-1. The proposed well design is contained in Attachment 1 and described in Section III.A.
- 12. In my opinion, the well design will be protective of freshwater and USDWs in the area and protective of correlative rights.
- 13. A water chemistry analysis is provided as Attachments 3 and 4 to Exhibit A-1. Based on this water chemistry analysis, in my opinion and based on my experience, there will not be a compatibility issue between the injection fluids and the fluids within the injection interval.
- 14. The estimated average surface injection pressure is expected to be approximately 803 psi. The maximum surface injection pressure will be 1,070 psi, based on the Division's guideline limiting surface injection pressures to 0.2 psi per foot of depth to the top-most injection interval. The proposed injection volumes can be achieved without exceeding the maximum surface

injection pressure. Injection pressures and volumes will be continuously monitored through an electronic SCADA system.

- 15. In my opinion, the granting of WaterBridge's application is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.
- 16. The attached exhibits were prepared by me, or compiled from company business records, or were prepared at my direction.
- 17. I attest under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

[Signature page follows]

Florias E. Fornastite

State of 6 His

County of Delaware

This record was acknowledged before me on June 14 2024, by Thomas E. Tomastik.

My Comm. Expires April 16, 2028

State of <u>6 h.o</u> Commission Number:

My Commission Expires: upril 16, 2028

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

> CASE NO. 24568 (FPNM SWD #1)

# **AFFIDAVIT OF REED DAVIS**

Reed Davis, of lawful age and being duly sworn, declares as follows:

- 1. My name is Reed Davis. I work for ALL Consulting as a Geophysicist. I have been retained by WaterBridge Stateline LLC ("WaterBridge") (OGRID No. 330129).
  - 2. I personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
- 3. I have previously testified before the Oil Conservation Division ("Division") as an expert witness in geology and geophysics and my credentials have been accepted by the Division and made a matter of record.
  - 4. My area of responsibility includes the area of Lea County in New Mexico.
- 5. I am familiar with the application WaterBridge filed in this matter and I am familiar with the status of the lands and geology in the subject area.
- 6. In this case, WaterBridge seeks authorization to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #1 well (the "Well") into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet.
- 7. Exhibit A-1, Attachment 8, contains a statement I prepared that includes an overview of the geology of this area, as well as information regarding the low potential for induced seismicity if WaterBridge's application is granted.
- 8. Based on my geology study, I have concluded that the Glorieta Sandston is well suited for injection because it is bounded by both an upper confining layer and a lower confining



layer, which will prevent migration of the injected fluids. The Glorieta primarily consists of Permian-age sandstone and is overlain by approximately 60 feet of low porosity carbonate rocks within the lower San Andres Formation, which would prevent the upward migration of injection fluid and serve as the upper confining layer. Additionally, approximately 28 feet of low porosity and low permeability other carbonate rocks lie beneath the proposed injection interval and act as a lower confining zone by preventing downward migration of injected fluids into the underlying Tubb Formation. Attachment I to my Letter are geophysical logs which depict the intervals above and below the proposed injection interval.

- 9. In my opinion, operating the Well will not impact the correlative rights of mineral owners because the proposed injection will remain within the target injection interval due to the upper and lower confining zones. In addition the proposed injection interval is not prospective for hydrocarbons within the area of the Well and there are no wells penetrating the injection interval within the half mile area of review.
- 10. I also prepared a statement regarding seismicity, which was included in the C-108, also as Attachment 8.
- 11. Based on my study, in my opinion, the potential for the Well to cause injection-induced seismicity is expected to be minimal because (1) the presence of numerous confining layers above and below the injection interval, (2) the significant vertical distance between the injection zone and Precambrian basement rock in which the nearest fault has been identified, and (3) the vertical distance from, and lack of historic seismicity on, identified shallow faults in the area of review.
- 12. In my opinion, the granting of WaterBridge's application is in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste.

- 13. The attached exhibits were prepared by me, or compiled from company business records, or were prepared at my direction.
- 14. I attest under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

[Signature page follows]

State of

County of

This record was acknowledged before me on June 18 2024, by Reed Davis.

[Stamp]

Notary Public in and for the

State of Commission Number: 190

My Commission Expires:

PALOMA LUCERO Notary Public, State of Oklahoma Commission # 19011374 My Commission Expires 11-11-2027

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

**CASE NO. 24568** 

## SELF-AFFIRMED DECLARATION OF DEANA M. BENNETT

Deana M. Bennett, attorney in fact and authorized representative of WaterBridge Stateline, LLC, the Applicant herein, declares as follows:

- 1) The above-referenced Application was provided under notice letter, dated June 4, 2024, attached hereto, as Exhibit D.1.
- 2) Exhibit D.2 is the mailing list, which show the notice letters were delivered to the USPS for mailing on June 4, 2024.
- 3) Exhibit D.3 is the certified mailing tracking information, which is automatically compiled by CertifiedPro, the software Modrall uses to track the mailings. This spreadsheet shows the names and addresses of the parties to whom notice was sent and the status of the mailing.
- 4) Exhibit D.4 is the Affidavit of Publication from the Hobbs News-Sun confirming that notice was published on June 9, 2024.
- 5) I attest under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico that the information provided herein is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

**EXHIBIT** 

Dated: June 19, 2024

By: Millia M Bennett

.



June 4, 2024

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Deana M. Bennett 505.848.1834 dmb@modrall.com

Re: APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

**CASE NO. 24568** 

TO: AFFECTED PARTIES

This letter is to advise you that WaterBridge Stateline, LLC ("WaterBridge") has filed the enclosed application.

In Case No. 24568, WaterBridge Stateline LLC seeks an order approving disposal into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well. Applicant seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet. Applicant further requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day. Said area is located approximately 8.2 miles Southeast of Jal, New Mexico.

The hearing is set for June 27, 2024 beginning at 8:30 a.m. The hearing will be conducted in a hybrid fashion, both in-person at the Energy, Minerals, Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, Pecos Hall, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, 1st Floor, Santa Fe, NM 87505 and via a virtual meeting platform. To participate in the electronic hearing, see the instructions posted on the docket for the hearing date: https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/hearing-info/.

As a party who may be affected by this application, we are notifying you of your right to appear at the hearing and participate in this case, including the right to present evidence either in support of or in opposition to the Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris & Sisk P.A.

500 Fourth Street NW Suite 1000 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

PO Box 2168 New Mexico 87103-2168

Tel: 505.848.1800 www.modrall.com



Page 2

application. Failure to appear at the hearing may preclude you from any involvement in this case at a later date.

You are further notified that if you desire to appear in this case, then you are requested to file a Pre-Hearing Statement with the Division at least four business days in advance of a scheduled hearing before the Division or the Commission, but in no event later than 5:00 p.m. mountain time, on the Thursday preceding the scheduled hearing date, with a copy delivered to the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Deana M. Bennett Attorney for Applicant

Weena M. Bennert

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF WATERBRIDGE STATELINE LLC TO APPROVE SALT WATER DISPOSAL WELL IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 24568

### APPLICATION

WaterBridge Stateline LLC ("WaterBridge"), OGRID No. 330129, through its undersigned attorneys, hereby submits this application to the Oil Conservation Division pursuant to the provisions of NMSA 1978, § 70-2-12, Rule No. 19.15.26, and Rule 19.15.4.8 for an order approving drilling of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. In support of this application, WaterBridge states as follows:

- (1) WaterBridge proposes to drill the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well.
- (2) WaterBridge seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet.
- (3) WaterBridge requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day.
- (4) WaterBridge requests approval of a maximum injection pressure of 1,070 psi for the well.
  - (5) A proposed C-108 for the subject well is attached hereto as Attachment A.

Page 2 of 45

(6) The granting of this application will avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, will prevent waste, and will protect correlative rights.

WHEREFORE, WaterBridge requests that this application be set for hearing before an Examiner of the Oil Conservation Division on June 13, 2024; and that after notice and hearing, the Division enter its order approving this application.

Respectfully submitted,

MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.

By

Earl E. DeBrine, Jr.

Deana M. Bennett

Yarithza Peña

Post Office Box 2168

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 1000

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103-2168

Telephone: 505.848.1800

edebrine@modrall.com

deana.bennett@modrall.com

yarithza.pena@modrall.com

Attorneys for Applicant

Received by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13:36 PM

Page 3 of 45

CASE NO. 2456& Application of WaterBridge Stateline LLC for approval of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant seeks an order approving disposal into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well. Applicant seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet. Applicant further requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day. Said area is located approximately 8.2 miles Southeast of Jal, New Mexico.

Received by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13:36 PM

Page 4 of 45 Revised March 23, 2017

RECEIVED:	REVIEWER:	TYPE:	APP NO:	
		ABOVE THIS TABLE FOR OCD DIV	AISION USE ONLY	
	- Geologia	O OIL CONSERVA cal & Engineering ancis Drive, Santo	Bureau -	•
	ADMINISTR	ATIVE APPLICATION	ON CHECKLIST	
THIS	CHECKLIST IS MANDATORY FOR AL	L ADMINISTRATIVE APPLICA	TIONS FOR EXCEPTIONS TO DIVISION RULES AND DIVISION LEVEL IN SANTA FE	
	Official and the control of the cont			
plicant: WaterBri II Name: FPNM			OGRID Number: 33012 API:	29
: SWD; Glorieta			Pool Code: 96106	
	ATE AND COMPLETE INF	INDICATED BELO		.ICATI
A. Location	– Spacing Unit – Simult	aneous Dedication		
[1] Com	ne only for [1] or [11] mingling – Storage – M ]DHC [CTB [Pl tion – Disposal – Pressu ]WFX [PMX [SV	.C PC O re Increase – Enha	nced Oil Recovery DR	
A. Offset B. Royal C. Applic D. Notific E. Surfac G. For all	operators or lease hold ty, overriding royalty overation requires published tation and/or concurred to ation and/or concurred to owner of the above, proof of	ders vners, revenue ow ed notice ent approval by SLC ent approval by BLI	ners Application Content	omple on
administrative understand th	approval is accurate of	and <b>complete</b> to the en on this applica	emitted with this application for ne best of my knowledge. I also tion until the required information	and
No	ote: Statement must be comple	ted by an individual with i	managerial and/or supervisory capacity.	
			5/13/2024	
ver Seekins			Date	
nt or Type Name				
ner (ann ei trittanet i 1000 ann d-22			918.382.7581	
x. Q			Phone Number	
diver el	W-		oseekins@all-llc.com	
anature			e-mail Address	

Received by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13:36 PM

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 Page 5 of 45 FORM C-108 Revised June 10, 2003

#### APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INJECT

I.	PURPOSE: Secondary Recovery Pressure Maintenance X Disposal Storage Application qualifies for administrative approval? X Yes No
II.	OPERATOR: WaterBridge Stateline LLC
	ADDRESS: 5555 San Felipe, Ste. 1200 Houston, TX 77056
	CONTACT PARTY: Jessica High - Director, QHSE & Regulatory PHONE: 832-871-4064
Ш.	WELL DATA: Complete the data required on the reverse side of this form for each well proposed for injection.  Additional sheets may be attached if necessary.
IV.	Is this an expansion of an existing project? Yes X No If yes, give the Division order number authorizing the project:
V.	Attach a map that identifies all wells and leases within two miles of any proposed injection well with a one-half mile radius circle drawn around each proposed injection well. This circle identifies the well's area of review.
VI.	Attach a tabulation of data on all wells of public record within the area of review which penetrate the proposed injection zone. Such data shall include a description of each well's type, construction, date drilled, location, depth, record of completion, and a schematic of any plugged well illustrating all plugging detail.
VII.	Attach data on the proposed operation, including:
	<ol> <li>Proposed average and maximum daily rate and volume of fluids to be injected;</li> <li>Whether the system is open or closed;</li> <li>Proposed average and maximum injection pressure;</li> <li>Sources and an appropriate analysis of injection fluid and compatibility with the receiving formation if other than reinjected produced water; and,</li> <li>If injection is for disposal purposes into a zone not productive of oil or gas at or within one mile of the proposed well, attach a chemical analysis of the disposal zone formation water (may be measured or inferred from existing literature, studies, nearby wells, etc.).</li> </ol>
*VIII.	Attach appropriate geologic data on the injection zone including appropriate lithologic detail, geologic name, thickness, and depth. Give the geologic name, and depth to bottom of all underground sources of drinking water (aquifers containing waters with total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/l or less) overlying the proposed injection zone as well as any such sources known to be immediately underlying the injection interval.
IX.	Describe the proposed stimulation program, if any.
*X.	Attach appropriate logging and test data on the well. (If well logs have been filed with the Division, they need not be resubmitted).
*XI.	Attach a chemical analysis of fresh water from two or more fresh water wells (if available and producing) within one mile of any injection or disposal well showing location of wells and dates samples were taken.
XII.	Applicants for disposal wells must make an affirmative statement that they have examined available geologic and engineering data and find no evidence of open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone and any underground sources of drinking water.
XIII.	Applicants must complete the "Proof of Notice" section on the reverse side of this form.
XIV.	Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and
	belief.
	NAME: Oliver Seekins  TITLE: Project Manager / Regulatory Specialist
	SIGNATURE: DATE: 5/13/2024
*	E-MAIL ADDRESS: oseekins@all-llc.com  If the information required under Sections VI, VIII, X, and XI above has been previously submitted, it need not be resubmitted. Please show the date and circumstances of the earlier submittal:

Application for Authorization to Inject

Well Name: FPNM SWD #1

# III - Well Data (The wellbore diagram is included as Attachment 1)

A.

#### (1) General Well Information:

Operator: WaterBridge Stateline LLC (OGRID No. 330129)

Lease Name & Well Number: FPNM SWD #1 Location Footage Calls: 2,532' FNL & 1,545' FEL

Legal Location: UL G, S25 T26S R37E

Ground Elevation: 2,998'

Proposed Injection Interval: 5,350' - 5,725'

County: Lea

#### (2) Casing Information:

Туре	Hole Size	Casing Size	Casing Weight	Setting Depth	Sacks of Cement	Estimated TOC	Method Determined
Surface	24"	20"	94.0 lb/ft	1,080'	1,100	Surface	Circulation
Intermediate 1	17-1/2"	13-3/8"	54.5 lb/ft	2,580'	1,900	Surface	Circulation
Production Casing	12-1/4"	9-5/8"	40.0 lb/ft	5,725'	1,900	Surface	CBL
Tubing	N/A	5-1/2"	17.0 lb/ft	5,325'	N/A	N/A	N/A

DV Tool set at: 3,000'

#### (3) Tubing Information:

5-1/2" (17.0 lb/ft) ceramic-coated tubing with setting depth of 5,325'

(4) Packer Information: ACT AS1-X or equivalent packer set at 5,325'

В.

(1) Injection Formation Name: Glorieta

Pool Name: SWD; Glorieta

Pool Code: 96106

- (2) Injection Interval: Perforated injection between 5,350' 5,725'
- (3) Drilling Purpose: New drill for saltwater disposal
- (4) Other Perforated Intervals: No other perforated intervals exist.
- (5) Overlying Oil and Gas Zones: Below are the approximate formation tops for known oil and gas producing zones in the area.
  - Yates (2,765')
  - Seven Rivers (3,154')
  - Queen (3,457')
  - Penrose (3,685')

**Underlying Oil and Gas Zones:** Below are the approximate formation tops for known oil and gas producing zones in the area.

- Tubb (6,585')
- Devonian (9,048')

#### V - Well and Lease Maps

The following maps and documents are included in Attachment 2:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- ½-mile AOR Well Table
- 2-Mile Lease Map
- 2-Mile Mineral Ownership Map
- 2-Mile Surface Ownership Map
- Potash Lease Map

#### VI – AOR Well List

A list of the well(s) within the 1/2-mile AOR is included in Attachment 2.

There are no wells in the 1/2-mile AOR.

#### VII – Proposed Operation

- (1) Proposed Maximum Injection Rate: 20,000 bpd Proposed Average Injection Rate: 15,000 bpd
- (2) A closed-loop system will be used.
- (3) Proposed Maximum Injection Pressure: 1,070 psi (surface)
  Proposed Average Injection Pressure: Approximately 803 psi (surface)
- (4) Source Water Analysis: The expected injectate will consist of produced water from production wells completed in the Queen, Wolfcamp, Devonian and Ellenburger formations. Analysis of water from these formations is included as Attachment 3.
- (5) Injection Formation Water Analysis: The proposed SWD will be injecting water into the Glorieta formation, which is a non-productive zone known to be compatible with formation water from the Queen, Wolfcamp, Devonian and Ellenburger formations. Water analyses from the Glorieta formation in the area are included as Attachment 4.

### VIII – Geologic Description

The proposed injection interval includes the Glorieta formation from 5,350' - 5,725'. The Permian-aged Glorieta Sandstone is a fine grained and well-to-moderately sorted quartz arenite sandstone that occurs directly below the San Andres Formation. There are multiple zones of high porosity and low resistivity that makes this sandstone a viable injection zone in this area.

Further discussion of the injection formation, overlying and underlying confinement zones, and historic use of the field are included as **Attachment 5**.

The base of the USDW is the Rustler Formation at a depth of approximately 1,055 feet. Depth of the nearest water well in the area is approximately 80 feet below ground surface.

# IX - Proposed Stimulation Program

A small cleanup acid job may be used to remove mud and drill cuttings from the formation. However, no other formation stimulation is currently planned.

#### X - Logging and Test Data

Logs will be submitted to the Division upon completion of the well.

#### XI - Fresh Groundwater Samples

Based on a review of data from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, there are no water wells within one mile of the proposed location.

A water well map is included as Attachment 6.

#### XII – No Hydrologic Connection Statement

No faulting is present in the area that would provide a hydrologic connection between the injection interval and overlying USDWs. Additionally, the casing program has been designed to ensure there will be no hydrologic connection between the injection interval and overlying USDWs.

A signed No Hydrologic Connection Statement is included as Attachment 7.

In addition, a Seismic Potential Letter detailing the minimal risk of injection-induced seismicity associated with the proposed SWD is included as **Attachment 8**.

#### XIII - Proof of Notice

A public notice will be filed with the Hobbs News Sun newspaper, and an affidavit will be included as an exhibit at hearing.

A copy of the application will be mailed to the identified affected persons, with delivery confirmation being provided as an exhibit at hearing. A list of the identified affected persons is included as Attachment 9.

# **Attachments**

#### Attachment 1:

- C-102
- Wellbore Diagram
- Packer Diagram

#### Attachment 2: Area of Review Information:

- 2-Mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 1/2-Mile AOR Well Table
- 2-Mile Lease Map
- 2-Mile Mineral Ownership Map
- 2-Mile Surface Ownership Map
- Potash Lease Map

Attachment 3: Source Water Analysis

Attachment 4: Injection Formation Water Analysis

Attachment 5: Confining Zones and Historic Pore Space Use

Attachment 6: Water Well Map and Well Data

Attachment 7: No Hydrologic Connection Statement

Attachment 8: Seismic Potential Letter

Attachment 9: List of Affected Persons

Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM

Page 79 of 117

Page 10 of 45

Received by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13:36 PM

#### Attachment 1

- C-102
- Wellbore Diagram
- Packer Diagram

#### Received by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13:36 PM

District I Financ (171) 291-6161 Fox (171) 797-6738 District II

Plane (175) 748 (28) Fee (575) 746 (750 District III | COS E. Brazas Rood, A.Cm., NM \$14.0 | Photo: (200) 334-61 78 Fax (201) 134-6170

District IV 2.750 ECT 1 V 2.26 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM \$1500 Pages (202) 476 2460 Pag (202) 2 W (242)

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

> 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 11 of 45

Form C-102 Revised August 1, 2011

Submit one copy to appropriate District Office

☐ AMENDED REPORT


#### WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

API Number	Pool Code 96106	Pool Name SWD; Glorieta
Property Code	Property Name FPNM SWD	Well Numbe #1
OGRID No. 330129	Operator Name WATERBRIDGE STATELI	NE LLC Elevation 2998'

		V			Surface Location	n			
UL or lot no.	Section	Township	Range	Lot Idn	Feet from the	North/South line	Feet from the	East/West line	County
G	25	26 S	37 E		2532	NORTH	1545	EAST	LEA

Bottom Hole Location If Different From Surface UL or lot no Order No.

No allowable will be assigned to this completion until all interests have been consolidated or a non-standard unit has been approved by the division






FNO. U.S.G.L.O. MON. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED HORIZONTAL SPACING UNIT

ALL COORDINATES, BEARINGS, AND DISTANCES
CONTAINED HEREIN ARE GRID, BASED UPON THE NEW
MEXICO STATE PLANE COORDINATES SYSTEM, NORTH
AMERICAN DATUM 83, NEW MEXICO EAST (3001), NAVD 88

Z. THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED UPON AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED DURING FEBRUARY, 2024. CERTIFICATION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ONLY TO THE LOCATION OF THIS EASEMENT IN RELATION TO RECORDED MONUMENT OF DEEDS PROVIDED BY THE CLIENT

3. ELEVATIONS MSL DERIVED FROM G.N.S.S. OBSERVATION AND DERIVED FROM SAID ON-THE-GROUND SURVEY.





#### AS1-X MECHANICAL PACKER



The ACT AS1-X Packer is the most versable of the mechanically set retrievable packers and may be used in any production application. Treating, testing, injecting, pumping wells, flowing wells, deep or shallow, the ASI-X is stated for all. The packer can be left in tension or compression, depending on well conditions and the required application A large internal by-pass reduces swabbing when running and remeving. The by-pass closes when the packer is set and opens prior to releasing the upper slips when retrieving to allow pressure equalization.

The J-slot design allows easy setting and releasing: 14 turn right-hand set, right-hand release. A patented upper-slip releasing system reduces the force required to release the packer. A non directional slip is released first, making it easier to release the other slips. The AS1-X packer can withstand  $7,000\,\mathrm{pm}$  (48 MPa) of differential pressure above or below.

#### FEATURES, ADVANTAGES AND BENEFITS:

- · The design holds high differential pressure from above or below, enabling the packer to meet most production, stimulation, and injection needs
- . The packer can be set with compression, tension, or wire line, enabling deployment in shallow and deep applications
- . The packer can be set and released with only a one-quarter turn of the tubing
- . The bypass valve is below the upper slips so that debns are washed from the slips when the valve is opened, reducing the times for circulation and total retrieval
- . The full opening enables unrestricted flow and the passage of wire line tools and other packer systems
- . The packer can be run with the T-2 on-off tool, which enables the tubing to be disconnected and retneved without retrieving the packer

#### OPTIONS:

- Elastomer options are available for hostile environments
- · Optional safety releases are available

CA	eng :					
SI/E (inches)	WeiCHT (lis#)	PECCHANISMENT HOLESSON	TOOL OO MAX (axten)	TOOL ID MIN justine	THREAD CONNECTION BOX UP/PRODOWN	PARTNO
4,1/2	13.5-15.1	3.826-3.920	3.650	1.938	2.3/8" EUE	261-3650-X
5	11.5-15	4,408-4,560	4,125	1.938	2.3/8" EUE	261-4125-X
5	18-20.8	4.154-4.276	4.000	1.938	2:3/8" EUE	261-4000-X
5.1/2	14-20	4,778-5:012	4,625	2.00	2.3/8" EUE	261-4625-X
5.1/2	14-20	4.778-5.012	4.625	2.38	2.7/8" EUE	261-4625-X
5.1/2	20-23	4,670-4,778	4.500	2.00	2.3/8" EUE	261-4500-X
5.1/2	20-23	4.670-4.778	4.500	2.38	2.7/8" EUE	261-4500-X
6,5/8	20-24	5.921-6.094	5.750	3.00	3.1/2"EUE	261-5750-X
7	17-26	6.276-6.538	6.000	2.50	2.7/8" EUE	261-6000-X
7	17-26	6.276-6.538	6.000	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-6000-X
7	26-32	6.094-6.276	5.875	2.50	2.7/8" EUE	261-5875-X
7	26-32	6.094-6.276	5.875	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-5875-X
7	29-35	6.004-6.184	5.812	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-5812-X
7.5/8	24-29.7	6.875-7.025	6.672	2.50	2.7/8"EUE	261-6672-X
7.5/8	24-29.7	6.875-7.025	6.672	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-6672-X
7.5/8	33.7-39	6.625-6.765	6.453	2.50	2.7/8"EUE	261-6453-X
7.5/8	33.7+39	6,625-6,765	6.453	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-6453-X
9.5/8	32.3-43.5	8.755-9.001	8,500	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-8500-X
9.5/8	32.3-43.5	8,755-9.001	8.500	4.00	4,1/2" EUE	261-8500-X
9,5/8	43.5-53.5	8.535-8.755	8.250	3.00	3.1/2" EUE	261-8250-X
9.5/8	43.5-53.5	8.535-8.755	8.250	4.00	4.1/2" EUE	261-8250-X


"XXXX" is changed as per material / elastomer / end connection

Page 83 of 117 Page 14 of 45

#### Attachment 2

Area of Review Information:

- 2-mile Oil & Gas Well Map
- 1/2-Mile AOR Well Table
- 2-mile Lease Map
- 2-mile Mineral Ownership Map
- 2-mile Surface Ownership Map
- Potash Lease Map



#### Legend

- Proposed SWD (1)
- Miscellaneous (1)
- Gas, Active (13)
- Gas, Plugged (14)
- Injection, Active (28)
- Injection, Plugged (19)
- Oil, Active (46)
- Oil, Plugged (25)
- Oil, Temporarily Abandoned (1)
- Undefined (2)

Source Info: NMOCD O&G Wells updated 2/16/2024

#### **0&G Wells Area of Review** FPNM SWD #1 LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO Proj Mgr. Mapped by: May 07, 2024 Oliver Seekins Ben Bockelmann

WATERBRIDGE \*\*



e API# Well Type Operator Spud Date Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.) Total Vertical Depth (feet)	Well Type Operator Spud Date Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.) Total Vertical Depth (feet) Penetrate	API# Well Type Operator Spud Date Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.) Total Vertical Depth (feet)	Well Type Operator Spud Date Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.) Total Vertical Depth (feet) Penetrate in	API# Well Type Operator Spud Date Location (Sec., Tn., Rng.) Total Vertical Depth (feet) Per	
				o wells located within the ½-mile AOR.	

Released to Imaging: 5/21/2024 2:32:12 PM

Released to Imaging: 5/21/2024 2:32:12 PM

## Legend

★ Proposed SWD **BLM Communitization Units** NMSLO Mineral Leases Private Mineral Leases **BLM Authorized O&G Leases** 

#### 1/2-mile AOR Lessees/Unit Operators:

- APACHE CORPORATION (BLM LESSEE)
- . BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS COMPANY LP (BLM LESSEE)
- BXP PARTNERS V LP (BLM LESSEE)
   FAE II LLC (BLM LESSEE)
- . LEACO OPERATING, LLC (BLM LESSEE)
- MAGNUM PRODUCING LP (BLM LESSEE)
- MNA ENTERPRISES LTD CO (BLM LESSEE)

#### **Mineral Lease Area of Review**

### FPNM SWD #1

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Proj Mgr: Oliver Seekins May 07, 2024


Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann




O 2024 MintenderCopporation O 2004 Wastin October (2004) Distribution Military DS

& NMSLO O&G Leases (http://www.nmstatelands.org/maps-gis/gis-data-download/)

Source Info: BLM Mineral Leases (https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/blm-new-mexico-mineral-ownership)





# **Mineral Ownership Area of Review** FPNM SWD #1 LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO Proj Mgr: Oliver Seekins Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann May 07, 2024 AL TCONSULTING WATERBRIDGE ...

0 0.5 Released to Imaging: 5/21/2024 2:32:12 PM

Legend ★ Proposed SWD Surface Ownership BLM (1) Private (1)

**Surface Ownership Area of Review** FPNM SWD #1


LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Proj Mgr. Oliver Seekins May 07, 2024 Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann





Source Info: BLM Surface Ownership (https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/blm-new-mexico-surface-ownership)







Page 90 of 117
Page 21 of 45

Attachment 3

Source Water Analysis

			WIND TO		Wille Will	Source	Water Ar	nalysis		NAME OF THE OWNER, WHEN	Surgicia			(0.00) = (8-2)		
			WaterB	ridge Stateli	ne LLC - FPNM	SWD #1 - Q	ueen, Wolf	camp, Deve	onian and El	lenburger Fo	rmations					
Well Name	API	Latitude	Longitude	Section	Township	Range	Unit	Ftgns	Ftgew	County	State	Formation	Tds (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)
GULF STATE #001	3002508458	32.7242317	-103.5246506	26	185	34E	A	660N	660E	LEA	NM	QUEEN	267,000	165,000	216	8
WEST PEARL QUEEN UNIT #103	3002503247	32.6359787	-103.4816437	29	198	351:	C	990N	1980W	LEA	NM	QUEEN		151,575	141	.9.
WEST PEARL QUEEN UNIT #118	3002503248	32.629612	-103.4773712	29	198	351	J	1980S	1980E	LEÄ	NM	QUEEN		149,504	35	25
WEST PEARL QUEEN UNI #141	3002503284	32.6223412	-103.4645233	33	198	35E	C	660N	1980W	LEA	NM	QUEEN		138,040	38	41
WHITE CITY PENN GAS COM UNIT 1 #001	3001500408	32.1937523	-104.3088455	29	24S	260	A	660N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP		10,000	645	1,32
TABANERO 17 FEDERAL COM #001H	3001536108	32.2218475	-104.2062683	17	24S	27E	A	990N	66013	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP	108,205	65,927	146	
SERRANO 29 FEDERAL #001H	3001537763	32.1898842	-104.2062149	29	248	27E	H	1980N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP	102,136	62,813	183	
SERRANO 29 FEDERAL #001H	3001537763	32.1898842	-104.2062149	29	248	27E	H	1980N	660E	EDDY	NM	WOLFCAMP	100,995	63,450	268	
CLARA M ROBERTS ETAL #001	3002507265	32.9945259	-103.0748596	26	158	38E	D	330N	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	50,630	29,593	823	1,02
OBERHOLIZER #001	3002507164	33.2986488	-103.1388397	7	128	38E	C	660N	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	58,738	33,600	655	1,92
LEA AV STATE #005	3002507201	33.268692	-103.1398849	19	128	381	C	990N	1650W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	57,890	33,208	458	2,08
CS STONE #001	3002507260	33.0045204	-103.0823975	22	158	38E	G	1980N	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	78,690	46,060	354	2,03
CLARA M ROBERTS #001	3002507264	33.0045013	-103.0748672	23	158	381	11	1980N	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	91,505	54,638	894	1,88
ROSA SHULTS #001	3002507191	33.272316	-103.1442108	18	128	381	M	3308	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	39,824	21,933	647	1,89
IOUSTON A #001	3002507202	33.2632332	-103.1442032	19	128	38E	I,	2310S	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	76,102	44,700	483	1,70
SHELL BROWNING #001	3002507113	33.3240585	-103.1301956	31	115	38E	Н	1980N	660E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	79,057	46,200	727	2,18
STATE A #002	3002507126	33.32407	-103.1215515	32	118	38E	F	1980N	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	85,233	53,250	607	2,81
NEW MEXICO A FEDERAL #001	3002507150	33.3022766	-103.1344833	6	128	38E	0	660S	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	61,815	35,600	580	
NEW MEXICO A FEDERAL #002	3002507151	33.3059044	-103.134491	6	128	38E	J	1980S	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	61,795	35,600	535	
FAYLOR B #001	3002507155	33.2877579	-103.1344681	7	128	38E	0	660S	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	54,397	30,880	572	2,28
CLARA M ROBERTS #001	3002507264	33.0045013	-103.0748672	23	158	38E	- 15	1980N	330W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	118,08	48,610	883	
ROSE EAVES #001	3002507290	32.8726234	-103.1200638	35	168	38E	N	660S	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	48,373	27,670	696	
W W HAMILTON #001	3002507293	32.8762512	-103.1200485	35	168	38E	K	1980S	1980W	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	41,751	23,780	291	1,75
. COOPER #002	3002507295	32.8689995	-103.1212997	2	17S	38E	C	560N	3300E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	38,520	21,600	600	
COOPER A #001	3002507301	32.8438873	-103.1040649	12	178	38E	N	6608	1980W	1.EA	NM	DEVONIAN	29,115	15,640	999	
FEDERAL DAVIS #002	3002507305	32.8293381	-103.0954208	13	178	38E	P	660S	660E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	35,212	18,540	865	3,08
M HOLLOWAY #001	3002507306	32.8402596	-103.0997314	13	178	38E	В	.660N	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	49,286	28,700	645	1,55
WEST DOLLARHIDE DEVONIAN UNIT #104	3002512297	32.1720123	-103.0761032	32	24S	38E	1	1980S	660E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	50,858	30,200	183	98
M HOLLOWAY #001	3002507306	32.8402596	-103.0997314	13	178	38E	В	660N	1980E	LEA	NM	DEVONIAN	49,290	28,700	645	1,55
WEST DOLLARHIDE DEVONIAN UNIT #104	3002512297	12.1720123	-103.0761032	32	248	386	1 1	19808	660E	LEA	NM	ELLENBURGER		30,200	183	99
A B COATES D #003	3002511748	32.1112633	-103.1177216	24	258	37E	N	990S	2310W	LEA	NM	ELLENBURGER	91,617	57,190	832	1,38
SOUTH JUSTIS UNIT #024	3002511774	32.1040077	-103.1102829	25	258	37E	11	1650N	660E	LEA	NM	FLLENBURGER	99,800	60,300	195	1,65
SOUTH JUSTIS UNIT #024	3002511774	32.1040077	-103.1102829	25	258	371	- 11	1650N	660E	LEA	NM	ELLENBURGER	98,300	59,400	189	1,650

Page 92 of 117
Page 23 of 45

Attachment 4

Injection Formation Water Analysis

teceived by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13.	36 PM																
	A District Description	VACULTURE	Carried Carried		USSELECTION OF	100	Ir	iectio	n Forr	mation	Water	Analysis	SINGER WITH		A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T	SANCHUM DOSTAVOSTA	Water Charles
				-	1A	/atorBr	77994	- William Committee	MINISTER STATE	Contract of the Contract of th	machine search	1 - Glorieta Forma	ation				No. of the last of
				CHRUE	370	aterbi	luge	Jeacen	He LLC		3000	2 Gioricta i Grint	T				
value of the same of the base of the	Ser Legislation in the	1000000	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	THE RESIDENCE	CONTRACTOR OF	120000000000000000000000000000000000000	124	REPRESENTED ST	Charles and the	DOMESTIC OF THE PARTY.	HEROTOPICS IN COLUMN		THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	The state of the s	THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	Company of the second	
Well Name	API	Latitude	Longitude	Section	Township	Range	Unit	Ftgns	Ftgew	County	State	Field	Formation	Tds (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	Sulfate (
RECOGNISMAN Section 1	7500/6	SAMEDIE DAVIS	Longitude -103.1219788	Section 13	Township 255	Range 37E	Unit	Ftgns 660S	Ftgew 990W	County	State	Field	Formation GLORIETA	Tds (mg/L) 55,190	Chloride (mg/L)	Bicarbonate (mg/L)	
Well Name  LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007  LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007	API 3002511568 3002511568	Latitude 32.1248627 32.1248627	Historian	SEASONS !	THE PROPERTY OF	district.	555561	Telegraph (	Mark (Mark)	, NEW YORK	Same E	E				33(0)	
LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007	3002511568	32.1248627	-103.1219788	13	255	37E	М	660S	990W	LEA	NM	JUSTIS	GLORIETA	55,190	31,603	1,158	Sulfate (I
LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007 LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007 CARLSON FEDERAL #001	3002511568 3002511568 3002511574	32.1248627 32.1248627	-103.1219788 -103.1219788	13 13	25S 25S	37E 37E	М	660S	990W 990W	LEA LEA	NM NM	JUSTIS JUSTIS	GLORIETA GLORIETA	55,190 55,183	31,603 31,600	1,158 1,158	, i
LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007 LEARCY MCBUFFINGTON #007	3002511568 3002511568	32.1248627 32.1248627 32.1330185	-103.1219788 -103.1219788 -103.1198425	13 13 13	255 255 255	37E 37E 37E	М	660S 660S 1650N	990W 990W 1650W	LEA LEA	NM NM NM	JUSTIS JUSTIS JUSTIS	GLORIETA GLORIETA GLORIETA	55,190 55,183 113,731	31,603 31,600 67,250	1,158 1,158 280	

Page 94 of 117
Page 25 of 45

#### Attachment 5

Confining Zones and Historic Pore Space Use



#### CONFINING ZONES AND HISTORIC PORE SPACE USAGE

For WaterBridge Stateline LLC's proposed FPNM SWD #1 application in the Lower Permian Glorieta Sandstone in the Central Basin Platform area, the lower San Andres Formation will act as the upper confinement zone, and the lower Glorieta Sandstone as the lower confinement zone, given its low porosity and high resistivity. The proposed location is in T26S., R37E, an area with very limited oil and gas production. Most area wells have been plugged and abandoned, and none penetrate the proposed injection intervals in the Glorieta Sandstone.

The San Andres Formation is a shelf carbonate deposit composed predominantly of dolomite, and in the proposed development area, the lower San Andres Formation is a tight rock with low porosity and high resistivity values. Figure 1 is a log snip of this upper confining zone of approximately 60 feet in the lower San Andres Formation. The lower confining zone is a tight sandstone unit within the Glorieta Sandstone, which also has low porosity development and high resistivity readings. The Glorieta Sandstone is a fine-grained, well-to-moderately sorted quartz arenite sandstone. Figure 2 is a log snip of this approximately 30 feet of lower Glorieta Sandstone.

The closest oil and gas production to the FPNM SWD #1 is the active waterflood operation directly to the west. This waterflood operation is the W.H. Rhodes B Federal NCT-1 unit project and was originally operated by Texaco, Inc., with the first waterflood injection commencing in 1964 and continued expansion occurring in 1969, 1973, and 1993 by Texaco Exploration and Production, Inc. Oil production and enhanced oil recovery water injection is into the Yates and Seven Rivers formations at depths ranging from approximately 3,000 to 3,400 feet and primarily located in T26S, R37E. There is no oil or gas production from the Glorieta Sandstone within a two-mile radius of the proposed FPNM SWD #1 location.




Figure 1. Open Hole Log Snip of the Upper Confining Zone in the Lower San Andres Formation





Figure 2. Open Hole Logging Snip of the Lower Confining Zone Within the Glorieta Sandstone

Page 98 of 117 Page 29 of 45

#### Attachment 6

Water Well Map and Well Data



#### Legend

★ Proposed SWD (1)

#### OSE PODs

- Active (0)
- Inactive (0)
- Pending (1)
- Changed Location of Well (0)
- Capped (0)
- Plugged (0)
- Unknown (0)

## **Water Wells Area of Review**

#### FPNM SWD #1

LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Proj Mgr. Oliver Seekins

May 07, 2024

Mapped by: Ben Bockelmann





		Water Well Sampling			
Water Wells	Owner	WaterBridge Stateline LLC - Available Contact Information	- FPNM SWD #1 Use	Sampling Required	
Trace, rrens	JWIICI	Francis Contact Information		Sumpang neduleu	

Page 101 of 117 Page 32 of 45

#### Attachment 7

No Hydrologic Connection Statement



### RE: Waterbridge Stateline LLC - FPNM SWD #1 application, Lea County, New Mexico

ALL Consulting LLC (ALL) has performed a thorough hydrologic investigation related to the one saltwater disposal well (SWD) listed above. The investigation was conducted to determine if there were any existing or potential connections between the proposed injection intervals in the Glorieta Sandstone and the deepest underground source of drinking water (USDW).

ALL performed an assessment and analysis of the subsurface geophysical log data along with published documents on the groundwater in this vicinity of Lea County, New Mexico. The surficial geology is Quaternary alluvial deposits consisting predominantly of sand and caliche. This area is south of the High Plains Aquifer and depths to potable water ranges from 30 to 142 feet below the surface. The USDW is the Rustler Formation and the base of the USDW ranges from 875 to 1,130 feet below the surface.

Based on ALL's assessment and analysis there is containment through multiple confining zones in the San Andres Formation and the Salado evaporite deposits above the Glorieta Sandstone and the USDW and over 4,295 feet of vertical separation between the base of the USDW and the top of the injection interval. Additionally, there is no evidence of faults that would allow for communication between the USDW and Glorieta Sandstone.

Tom Tomastik

Chief Geologist and Regulatory Specialist

ALL Consulting LLC

Date



7/2024

Page 103 of 117
Page 34 of 45

#### **Attachment 8**

Seismic Potential Letter

March 18, 2024

PN 1703.SWD.14

Mr. Phillip Goetze, P.G. NM EMNRD - Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

Subject:

WaterBridge Stateline LLC

FPNM SWD #1 - Seismic Potential

Letter

Dear Mr. Goetze,

At the request of WaterBridge Stateline LLC (WaterBridge), ALL Consulting, LLC (ALL) has assessed the potential injection-induced seismicity risks in the vicinity of WaterBridge's FPNM SWD #1, a proposed saltwater disposal (SWD) facility in Lea County, New Mexico, and summarized the findings in this letter. This assessment used publicly available data to identify the proximity and characteristics of seismic events and known faults to evaluate the potential for the operation of the FPNM SWD #1 to contribute to seismic activity in the area.

# Geologic Evaluation

The FPNM SWD #1 is requesting a permit to inject into the Permian Glorieta Sandstone (Glorieta) at a depth of 5,350-5,725 feet below ground surface (bgs). The Glorieta primarily consists of Permian-age sandstone and is overlain by approximately 60 feet of low porosity carbonate rocks within the lower San Andres Formation, which would prevent the upward migration of injection fluid and serve as the upper confining layer (see Attachment 1). Additionally, approximately 28 feet of low porosity and low permeability other carbonate rocks lie beneath the proposed injection interval and act as a lower confining zone by preventing downward migration of injected fluids into the underlying Tubb Formation (see Attachment 1). A stratigraphic chart depicting the geologic setting is included as Figure 1.1

#### Seismic Events and Fault Data

A review of United States Geological Survey (USGS) and New Mexico Tech Seismological Observatory (NMTSO) earthquake catalogues determined that four (4) seismic events have been recorded within a 100 square mile area [9.08-kilometer (km) radius] around the subject SWD.

Yang, K.-M., & Dorobek, S. L. (1995). The Permian Basin of west Texas and New Mexico: Tectonic history of a "composite" Foreland Basin and its effects on stratigraphic development. Stratigraphic Evolution of Foreland Basins, 149-174. https://doi.org/10.2110/pec.95.52.0149

The closest recorded seismic event was a M1.68 that occurred on July 22, 2017, and was located approximately 2.11 miles northeast of the FPNM SWD #1 (see **Attachment 2**).

Fault data from United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology (BEG)<sup>2</sup> indicates that the closest known fault is located approximately 0.58 miles northeast of the FPNM SWD #1 (see Attachment 2). This identified fault is within the Precambrian basement, which is approximately 8,275 feet below the proposed injection interval.3 Fault data from Sourcewater also indicates the presence of four faults in the sedimentary column, above the Precambrian basement, within the area of review.4 These shallow faults penetrate the Canyon, Cisco, and Wolfcamp formations, which begin approximately 2,990 feet below the proposed injection interval. As previously discussed, there are confining barriers beneath the proposed injection interval which will prevent the downward migration of fluids into such faults. A map of the seismic events and faults within 9.08 km of the FPNM SWD #1 is included as Attachment 2.

Figure 1 – Delaware Basin Stratigraphic Chart (Adapted from Yang and Dorobek 1995)

SYSTEM	SERIES/ STAGE	CENTRAL BASIN PLATFORM	DELAWARE BASIN
	OCHOAN.	DEWEY LAKE RUSTLER SALADO	DEWEY LAKE RUSTLER SALADO CASTILE
PERMIAN	GUADALUPIAN	TANSILL YATES SEVEN RIVERS QUEEN GRAYBURG SAN ANDRES — GLORIETA	DELAWARE MT GROUP BELL CANYON CHERRY CANYON BRUSHY CANYON
	LEONARDIAN	CLEAR FORK WICHITA	BONE SPRING
	WOLFCAMPIAN	WOLFCAMP	WOLFCAMP
	VIRGILIAN	CISCO	CISCO
	MISSOURIAN	CANYON	CANYON
PENNSYLVANIAN	DESMOINESIAN	STRAWN	STRAWN
	ATOKAN	ATOKA DEND	ATOKA
	MORROWAN	(ABSENT)	MORROW BEND
MISSISSIPPIAN	CHESTERIAN MERAMECIAN OSAGEAN	CHESTER BARNETT	CHESTER BARMETT
	KINDERHOOKIAN	KINDERHOOK	KINDERHOOK
DEVONIAN		WOODFORD	WOODFORD
SILURIAN		SILURIAN SHALE FUSSELMAN	MIDDLE SILURIAN FUSSELMAN
	UPPER	MONTOYA	SYLVAN MONTOYA
ORDOVICIAN	MIDDLE	SIMPSON	SIMPSON
	LOWER	ELLENBURGER	ELLENBURGER
CAMBRIAN	UPPER	CAMBRIAN	CAMBRIAN
PRECAMBRIAN			

#### Seismic Potential Evaluation

Experience in evaluating induced seismic events indicates that most injection-induced seismicity throughout the U.S. (e.g., Oklahoma, Ohio, Texas, New Mexico, and Colorado) occurs as a result of injection into Precambrian basement rock, into overlying formations that are in hydraulic communication with the Precambrian basement rock, or as a result of injection near critically stressed and optimally oriented faults. Seismicity at basement depths occurs because critically stressed faults generally originate in crystalline basement rock and may also extend into overlying sedimentary formations. <sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Horne E. A. Hennings P. H., and Zahm C. K. 2021. Basement structure of the Delaware Basin, in The Geologic Basement of Texas: A Volume in Honor of Peter Flawn, Callahan O. A., and Eichubl P., The University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> G. Randy Keller, J. M. Hills & Rabah Djeddi, A regional geological and geophysical study of the Delaware Basin, New Mexico and West Texas, Trans Pecos Region (West Texas) (1980).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Formation of Occurrence, Strike, Dip, and Length Interpreted by (Cortina, J. E. and Lemons, C. R. 2019. Houston, TX: Sourcewater, Inc.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ground Water Protection Council and Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission. Potential Injection-Induced Seismicity Associated with Oil & Gas Development: A Primer on Technical and Regulatory Considerations Informing Risk Management and Mitigation. 2015. 141 pages.

Injection into either the Precambrian basement rock or its overlying formations that are hydraulically connected to the basement rock through faulting or fracture networks can increase the pore pressure and may lead to the fault slipping, resulting in a seismic event. As such, the vertical distance between the injection formation and Precambrian basement rock and the presence or lack of faulting within the injection interval are major considerations when determining the risk of injection-induced seismicity.

Geophysical logs from nearby well records show at least 8,275 feet of vertical separation between the injection interval and the Precambrian basement.<sup>3</sup> In addition, injection-induced seismicity is not typically associated with shallow disposal wells in the Central Basin Platform and Delaware Basin areas, such as the FPNM SWD #1.

For injection into the Glorieta Formation to contribute to seismic activity, one of two hypothetical geologic scenarios must exist:<sup>6</sup>

- Scenario #1: Earthquake hypocenters would need to be significantly shallower (several kilometers) than initially identified by the USGS and NMTSO seismic monitoring networks, and thus placing seismic activity high in the sedimentary column, rather than in the Precambrian basement.
- 2. Scenario #2: This scenario would require that both of the following conditions are met:
  - a. Fault Transmissivity: High permeability and transmissive conduits from fault-damaged zones would need to be present below the Glorieta, allowing fluid to migrate through the underlying Tubb Formation and through significantly deeper confining intervals, and eventually into the Precambrian basement.
  - b. Pore Pressure: The injection fluids and bottom hole pressures in the Glorieta would need to exceed existing hydrostatic pressures within the deeper geologic formation in order for injection fluids to migrate downward.

There are no publications or geologic data that suggest either of these scenarios to be true for the area around the FPNM SWD #1.

# Formation Parting Pressure

Class II SWDs in New Mexico are administratively permitted with a maximum pressure gradient of 0.2 psi/ft. Review of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) Order IP-476 submitted by Chevron USA Inc. in support of the Vacuum Glorieta West Unit, which is located approximately 60 miles northwest of the FPNM SWD #1, determined the fracture gradient of the Glorieta in the region ranges from 0.26-0.39 psi/ft from approved step-rate tests. Typical SWD permitting standards in New Mexico, and the requested operating parameters of the FPNM SWD #1, would indicate that formation parting pressure would not be exceeded by the FPNM SWD #1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Skoumal, Robert J., et al. "Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin, Texas." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, vol. 125, no. 1, 2020, doi:10.1029/2019jb018558.

#### Conclusion

As an expert on the issue of induced seismicity, seismic monitoring, and mitigation, it is my opinion that the potential for the FPNM SWD #1 to cause injection-induced seismicity is expected to be minimal, at best. This conclusion assumes the FPNM SWD #1 will be operated below formation parting pressure and is based on (1) the presence of numerous confining layers above and below the injection interval, (2) the significant vertical distance between the injection zone and Precambrian basement rock in which the nearest fault has been identified, and (3) the vertical distance from, and lack of historic seismicity on, identified shallow faults in the area of review.

Sincerely,

ALL Consulting, LLC

Reed Davis Geophysicist


Page 108 of 117 Page 39 of 45

WaterBridge Stateline LLC FPNM SWD #1 Seismic Information March 18, 2024


> Attachment 1 **Upper and Lower Confining Zones**

Released to Imaging: 5/21/2024 2:32:12 PM

Upper Confining Zone from API No. 025-33482



# Lower Confining Zone from API No. 025-33482




Page 111 of 117
Page 42 of 45

WaterBridge Stateline LLC FPNM SWD #1 Seismic Information March 18, 2024

> Attachment 2 Seismic Event Map

## FPNM SWD #1 Nearby Seismic Events and Faults



Page 113 of 117 Page 44 of 45

Attachment 9

List of Affected Persons

Received by OCD: 5/14/2024 4:13:36 PM

Affected Party Classification	Entity - Proof of Notice	Entity - As Mapped/Exhibited	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Surface Owner	D.K. Boyd	N/A	3317 Andrews Hwy	Midland	TX	79703
NMOCD District Office	New Mexico Oil Conservation District 1	N/A	1625 N. French Dr	Hobbs	NM	88240
Mineral Owner	New Mexico Bureau of Land Management	N/A	301 Dinosaur Trail	Sante Fe	NM	87508
BLM - Lessee	Apache Corporation	Apache Corporation	303 Veterans Airpark Ln., Suite 1000	Midland	TX	79705
BLM - Lessee	FAE II Operating LLC	FAE II LLC	11757 Katy Freeway, Ste 725	Houston	TX	77079
BLM - Lessee	LeaCo Operating, LLC	LEACO Operating	2121 Sage Rd, Ste 325	Houston	TX	77056
BLM - Lessee	Magnum Producing, LP	Magnum Producing LP	500 N Shoreline Blvd, Ste 322	Corpus Christi	TX	78401
BLM - Lessee	Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP	Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP	P.O. Box 4289	Farmington	NM	87499
BLM - Lessee	BXP Energy Resources V, LLC	BXP Partners V LP	3860 W. Northwest Hwy	Dallas	TX	75220
BLM - Lessee	MNA Enterprises LTD CO	MNA Enterprises LTD CO	106 W. Alabama St.	Hobbs	NM	88242

Released to Imaging: 5/21/2024 2:32:12 PM

Karlene Schuman Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris & Sisk P.A. 500 Fourth Street, Suite 1000 Albuquerque NM 87102

#### PS Form 3877

# Type of Mailing: CERTIFIED MAIL 06/04/2024

Firm Mailing Book ID: 267097

Line	USPS Article Number	Name, Street, City, State, Zip		Postage	Service Fee	RR Fee	Rest.Del.Fee	Reference Contents
1	9314 8699 0430 0121 8960 81	D.K. Boyd 3317 Andrews Hwy Midland TX 79703		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
2	9314 8699 0430 0121 8960 98	New Mexico Oil Conservation District 1 1625 N. French Dr. Hobbs NM 88240		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
3	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 04	New Mexico Bureau of Land Management 301 Dinosaur Trail Santa Fe NM 87508		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
4	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 11	Apache Corporation 303 Veterans Airpark Ln., Suite 1000 Midland TX 79705		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
5	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 28	FAE II Operating, LLC 11757 Katy Freeway, Ste 725 Houston TX 77079		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
6	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 35	LeaCo Operating, LLC 2121 Sage Rd., Ste 325 Houston TX 77056		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
7	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 42	Magnum Producing, LP 500 N. Shoreline Blvd, Ste 322 Corpus Christi TX 78401		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
8	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 59	Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP P.O. Box 4289 Farmington NM 87499		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
9	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 66	BXP Energy Resources V, LLC 3860 W. Northwest Hwy Dallas TX 75220		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
10	9314 8699 0430 0121 8961 73	MNA Enterprises LTD CO 106 W. Alabama St. Hobbs NM 88242		\$2.59	\$4.40	\$2.32	\$0.00	12240.0001.24568. Notice
			Totals:	\$25.90	\$44.00	\$23.20	\$0.00	
				ALBUQ Grand Total:			\$93.10	

List Number of Pieces Listed by Sender

**Total Number of Pieces** Received at Post Office

Postmaster: Name of receiving employee Dated:



Received by OCD: 8/26/2024 1:29:45 PM

#### Transaction Report Details - CertifiedPro.net Firm Mail Book ID= 267097 Generated: 6/20/2024 7:43:42 AM

Generated: 6/20/2024 7:43:42 AIM													
USPS Article Number	Date Created	Reference Number	Name 1	City	State	Zip	Mailing Status	Service Options	Mail Delivery Date				
9314869904300121896173	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	MNA Enterprises LTD CO	Hobbs	NM	88242	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-07 1:17 PM				
9314869904300121896166	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	BXP Energy Resources V, LLC	Dallas	TX	75220	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-07 10:13 AM				
9314869904300121896159	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP	Farmington	NM	87499	Undelivered - To Be Returned	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail					
9314869904300121896142	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	Magnum Producing, LP	Corpus Christi	TX	78401	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-10 10:17 AM				
9314869904300121896135	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	LeaCo Operating, LLC	Houston	TX	77056	Undelivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail					
9314869904300121896128	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	FAE II Operating, LLC	Houston	TX	77079	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-07 1:46 PM				
9314869904300121896111	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	Apache Corporation	Midland	TX	79705	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-07 9:41 AM				
9314869904300121896104	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	New Mexico Bureau of Land Management	Santa Fe	NM	87508	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-06 10:59 AM				
9314869904300121896098	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	New Mexico Oil Conservation District 1	Hobbs	NM	88240	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-07 7:57 AM				
9314869904300121896081	2024-06-04 2:27 PM	12240.0001.24568.	D.K. Boyd	Midland	TX	79703	Delivered	Return Receipt - Electronic, Certified Mail	2024-06-07 11:42 AM				



# **Affidavit of Publication**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF LEA

I, Daniel Russell, Publisher of the Hobbs News-Sun, a newspaper published at Hobbs, New Mexico, solemnly swear that the clipping attached hereto was published in the regular and entire issue of said newspaper, and not a supplement thereof for a period of 1 issue(s).

> Beginning with the issue dated June 09, 2024 and ending with the issue dated June 09, 2024.

Publisher

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 9th day of June 2024.

Business Manager

My commission expires

January 29, 2027

(Seal) STATE OF NEW MEXICO
NOTARY PUBLIC
GUSSIE RUTH BLACK
COMMISSION # 1087526
COMMISSION EXPIRES 01/29/2027

This newspaper is duly qualified to publish legal notices or advertisements within the meaning of Section 3, Chapter 167, Laws of 1937 and payment of fees for said publication has been made.

#### LEGAL NOTICE June 9, 2024

CASE NO. 24568: Notice to all affected parties, as well as heirs and devisees of: D.K. Boyd; New Mexico Oil Conservation District 1; New Mexico Bureau of Land Management; Apache Corporation; FAE'll Operating LLC; LeaCo Operating, LLC; Magnum Producing, LP; Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company LP; BXP Energy Resources V, LLC; MNA Enterprises LTD CO of the Application of WaterBridge Stateline LLC for approval of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. The State of New Mexico through its Oil Conservation Division hereby gives notice that the Division will conduct a public hearing at 8:30 a.m. on June 27, 2024 to consider this application. The hearing will be conducted in a hybrid fashion, both in-person at the Energy, Minerals, Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, Pecos Hall, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, 1st Floor, Santa Fe, NM 87505 and via a virtual meeting platform. To participate in the electronic hearing, see the instructions posted on the docket for the hearing date: <a href="https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/hearing-info/">https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/hearing-info/</a>, Applicant seeks an order approving disposal into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #1 well at a surface location 2532' from the North line and 1545' from the East line, Unit G, Section 25, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well. Applicant seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,350 feet to 5,725 feet. Applicant further requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day. Said area is located approximately 8.2 miles Southeast of Jal, New Mexico.

CASE NO. 24569: Notice to all affected parties, as well as heira and devisees of: D.K. Boyd; New Mexico Oil Conservation District 1; New Mexico Bureau of Land Management; Blackbeard Operating, LLC; Magnum Producing, LP; R&R Royalty LTD of the Application of WaterBridge Stateline LLC for approval of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. The State of New Mexico through its Oil Conservation Division hereby gives notice that the Division will conduct a public hearing at 8:30 a.m. on June 27, 2024 to consider this application. The hearing will be conducted in a hybrid fashion, both in-person at the Energy, Minerals, Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, Pecos Hall, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, 1st Floor, Santa Fe, NM 87505 and via a virtual meeting platform. To participate in the electronic hearing, see the instructions posted on the docket for the hearing date: https://www.emrd.nm.gov/ccd/hearing-info/. Applicant seeks an order approving disposal into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #3 well at a surface location 2,512' from the North line and 1,133' from the West line, Lot 2, Section 29, Township 26 South, Range 38 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well. Applicant seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,400 feet to 5,775 feet. Applicant further requests that the Division approve a maximum daily injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbis per day. Said area is located approximately 9.20 miles Southeast of Jal, New Mexico.

CASE NO. 24570: Notice to all affected parties, as well as heirs and devisees of: D.K. Boyd; New Mexico Oli Conservation District 1; New Mexico Bureau of Land Management; Armstrong Energy Corporation; R&R Royalty, Ltd.; Ida Kristine Hanson; Elizabeth W., Goff et al. of the Application of WaterBridge Stateline LLC for approval of a salt water disposal well in Lea County, New Mexico. The State of New Mexico through its Oli Conservation Division hereby gives notice that the Division will conduct a public hearing at 8:30 a.m. on June 27, 2024 to consider this application. The hearing will be conducted in a hybrid fashion, both in-person at the Energy, Minerals, Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, Pecos Hall, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, 1st Floor, Santa Fe, NM 87505 and via a virtual meeting platform. To participate in the electronic hearing, see the instructions posted on the docket for the hearing date; https://www.emmrd.nm.gov/ocd/hearing-info/. Applicant seeks an order approving disposal into the Glorieta Sandstone formation through the FPNM SWD #6 well at a surface location 1,964' from the North line and 2,170' from the West line, Unit F, Section 17, Township 26 South, Range 38 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico for the purpose of operating a produced water disposal well. Applicant seeks authority to inject produced water into the Glorieta Sandstone formation at a depth of approximately 5,400 feet to 5,775 feet. Applicant further requests that the Division approve a maximum dally injection rate for the well of 20,000 bbls per day. Said area is located approximately 7,94 miles Southeast of Jal, New Mexico.

01104570

00291140

DOLORES SERNA MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & P. O. BOX 2168 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87103-2168

