### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATIONS OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO. FOR A HORIZONAL SPACING UNIT AND COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	
	CASE NOS. 23448 – 23455
APPLICATIONS OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,	
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	
ADDI ICATIONICOF DE AD 8 STEVENIC INC	CASE NOS. 23594 – 23601
APPLICATIONS OF READ & STEVENS, INC.	
FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,	
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	
	CASE NOS. 23508 – 23523
APPLICATION OF READ & STEVENS, INC. FOR THE CREATION OF A SPECIAL WOLFBONE POOL IN SECTIONS 4, 5, 8, AND 9, TOWNSHIP 20 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	
NEW MEARCO.	<b>CASE NO. 24528</b>
APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO. FOR	

### APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY CO. FOR THE CREATION OF A SPECIAL POOL, A WOLFBONE POOL, PURSUANT TO ORER NO. R-23089, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 24541

### **RESPONSE TO CIMAREX'S MOTION PROPOSING A PATHWAY FORWARD**

Read & Stevens, Inc and Permian Resources Operating, LLC ("Permian Resources"), by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submit this response in partial opposition to Cimarex's motion for a pathway forward. While Permian Resources concurs with Cimarex's request for expedited review of the pending competing underlying compulsory pooling cases, additional notice and updated legal closing arguments proposed by Cimarex are not required and will unnecessarily burden the parties, working interest owners, and the Division. First, additional notice would be redundant and potentially confusing. Counsel for Cimarex points out that information regarding Cimarex's proposed allocation formula was already sent to interest owners via letter notice on June 2, 2024, and again on July 31, 2024.<sup>1,2</sup> Interest owners do not need a <u>third</u> notice again providing information describing Cimarex's proposed allocation formula or the pending decision on the proposed special Wolfbone pool. This would be potentially confusing when owners have already received numerous updates on this case and when the Division has not yet issued a final order awarding operatorship. Cimarex does not need Division approval to send an updated notice to the interest owners if it believes additional notice is necessary or helpful. However, based on the language of Order No. R-23089, no additional notice is required. The Order adequately explained what would take place once a special Wolfbone Pool was proposed and approved by the Division. All owners have already been provided notice of Order No. R-23089 and the subsequent special pool hearing.

Second, updated closing arguments supporting the parties' respective positions in the underlying competing pooling cases<sup>3</sup> also is not necessary and would only add unnecessary burden on the parties (to restate their arguments) and the Division (to review the re-stated positions). The parties went through a three-day evidentiary hearing in August 2023 and submitted extensive closing arguments and findings of fact and conclusions of law. The resulting Order, Order No. R-23089, also makes clear that nothing further is required from the parties for the Division to make a decision based on the merits of the competing development plans. "It is not necessary for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Cimarex Pathway Forward Motion at ¶ 22 ("It should be noted that on June 7, 2024, Cimarex sent a letter notifying the owners about the upcoming hearing on the original competing Wolfbone applications; this notice letter had as an attachment Cimarex's original Wolfbone application which described Cimarex's allocation formula to the <u>owners</u>. Furthermore, on July 31, 2024, Cimarex sent an additional letter to the owners of the Subject Lands explaining that it had proposed a special Wolfbone pool and had also attached to the letter Cimarex's original competing application for creation of the Wolfbone pool <u>that fully described the proposed allocation formula</u>.") <sup>2</sup> See id. at Exhibit 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Read & Steven's Case Nos. 23508-23523; Cimarex Case Nos. 23448-23455, 23594-23601.

parties to repeat the testimony or resubmit the exhibits regarding their original proposed plans; they may refer to existing evidence to the extent needed to justify the special pool request." Order No. R-23089 at ¶ 22.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated, the Division should deny Cimarex Energy Co.'s motion.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & HART LLP

By:

Michael H. Feldewert Adam G. Rankin Paula M. Vance Post Office Box 2208 Santa Fe, NM 87504 505-988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com arankin@hollandhart.com

ATTORNEYS FOR READ & STEVENS, INC. AND PERMIAN RESOURCES OPERATING, LLC

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 15, 2024, I served a copy of the foregoing document to the following counsel of record via Electronic Mail:

Darin C. Savage Andrew D. Schill William E. Zimsky 214 McKenzie Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 darin@abadieschill.com andrew@abadieschill.com bill@abadieschill.com

### Attorneys for Cimarex Energy Co.

Blake C. Jones 1780 Hughes Landing Blvd., Suite 750 The Woodlands, TX 77380 *blake.jones@steptoe-johnson.com* 

### Attorney for Northern Oil and Gas, Inc.

Sealy Cavin, Jr. Scott S. Morgan Brandon D. Hajny P. O. Box 1216 Albuquerque, NM 87103 (505) 243-5400 scavin@cilawnm.com smorgan@cilawnm.com bhajny@cilawnm.com

Attorneys for Sandstone Properties, LLC

Adam G. Rankin