1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
3	
4	Case No. 23580
5	
6	
7	Moderated by Felicia Orth
8	Thursday, November 14, 2024
9	8:33 a.m.
10	
11	
12	Pecos Hall
13	Wendell Chino Building
14	1220 South Saint Francis Drive
15	Santa Fe, NM 87505
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	Reported by: James Cogswell
21	JOB NO.: 6962991
22	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	
	Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	List of Attendees:
3	Gerasimos Razatos, Acting Director - State of New
4	Mexico Oil Conservation Division
5	Greg Bloom, Commissioner - State of New Mexico Oil
6	Conservation Commission
7	Dr. William Ampomah, Commissioner - State of New
8	Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
9	Sheila Apodaca, Law Clerk - State of New Mexico Oil
10	Conservation Commission
11	Madai Corral, Law Clerk - State of New Mexico Oil
12	Conservation Commission
13	Brandon Powell, Deputy Director - State of New Mexico
14	Oil Conservation Commission
15	Nicholas R. Maxwell, Participant from Lea County
16	(by videoconference)
17	Joan Brown, Member of Public (by videoconference)
18	Todd Wynward, Member of Public (by videoconference)
19	Clara Sims, Member of Public (by videoconference)
20	Penelope Mainz, Member of Public (by videoconference)
21	Abby Shepard, Member of Public (by videoconference)
22	Jeanette Iskat, Member of Public (by videoconference)
23	Anita Amstutz, Member of Public (by videoconference)
24	Alejandria Lyons, Member of Public
25	(by videoconference)

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	List of Attendees:
3	Giuliana Funkhouser, Member of Public
4	(by videoconference)
5	Marlene Perrotte, Member of Public
6	(by videoconference)
7	Avis Kerns, Member of Public
8	Dr. Christina Castro, Member of Public
9	Dr. Kristen Hansen, Witness (by videoconference)
10	Sarah Knopp, Member of Public (by videoconference)
11	Dr. Stephen Conrad, Member of Public
12	(by videoconference)
13	Dr. David Munoz, Member of Public (by videoconference)
14	Wendy Volkmann, Member of Public (by videoconference)
15	Beth Enson, Member of Public (by videoconference)
16	Eileen O'Shaughnessy, Member of Public
17	(by videoconference)
18	Chris Peskuski, Member of Public (by videoconference)
19	Lauro Silva, Member of Public (by videoconference)
20	Stephanie Camfield, Member of Public
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3
	1 4 3 6 6

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION:
3	DANIEL R. RUBIN, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
4	New Mexico Department of Justice
5	408 Galisteo Street
6	Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	drubin@nmdoj.gov
8	(505)537-4477
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:
11	JESSE K. TREMAINE, ESQUIRE
12	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
13	1220 South Saint Francis Drive
14	Santa Fe, NM 87505
15	jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
16	(505)231-9312
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 4
	rage i

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF NMGOA:
3	CRISTINA MULCAHY, ESQUIRE
4	ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQUIRE
5	Holland & Hart LLP
6	110 North Guadalupe Street #1
7	Santa Fe, NM 87501
8	camulcahy@hollandhart.com
9	agrankin@hollandhart.com
10	(505)988-4421
11	
12	ON BEHALF OF WILDEARTH GUARDIANS:
13	TIMOTHY M. DAVIS, ESQUIRE
14	WildEarth Guardians
15	301 North Guadalupe Street, Suite 201
16	Santa Fe, NM 87501
17	tdavis@wildearthguardians.org
18	(205)913-6425
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 5

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF EOG RESOURCES:
3	JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQUIRE
4	EOG Resources, Inc
5	125 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 213
6	Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	jordan_kessler@eogresources.com
8	(432)488-6108
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF NEW ENERGY ECONOMY:
11	MARIEL NANASI, ESQUIRE
12	New Energy Economy
13	300 East Marcy Street
14	Santa Fe, NM 87501
15	mariel@seedsbeneaththesnow.com
16	(505)469-4060
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 6

1	CONTENTS	
	CONTENTS	DAGE
2		PAGE
3	Joan Brown	12
4	Todd Wynward	16
5	Clara Sims	18
6	Penelope Mainz	21
7	Abby Shepard	23
8	Jeanette Iskat	26
9	Anita Amstutz	29
10	Alejandria Lyons	31
11	Giuliana Funkhouser	35
12	Marlene Perrotte	38
13	Avis Kerns	41
14	Dr. Christina Castro	42
15	Sarah Knopp	278
16	Dr. Stephen Conrad	281
17	Dr. David Munoz	285
18	Wendy Volkmann	289
19	Beth Enson	291
20	Eileen O'Shaughnessy	294
21	Chris Peskuski	296
22	Lauro Silva	299
23	Stephanie Camfield	302
24		
25		
		Page 7

1			INDEX			
2						PAGE
3	OPENING	STATEMENT By Ms	. Mariel Nanas:	i		138
4						
5	WITNESSE	ES:	DX	CX	RDX	RCX
6	BRANDON	POWELL				
7	Ву	Mr. Tremaine	48		232	
8	Ву	Mr. Rankin		86		
9	Ву	Ms. Nanasi		123		
10				206		
11	Ву	Mr. Davis		218		
12	KRISTEN	HANSEN				
13	Ву	Ms. Nanasi	152		176	
14	Ву	Ms. Mulcahy		157		
15	Ву	Mr. Davis		159		
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
					Page	e 8

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	NEE:		
4	Exhibit A	Direct Technical Testimony	
5		and Exhibits	152/157
6	Exhibit B	Rebuttal Technical Testimony	
7		and Exhibits	153/157
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 9

PROCEEDINGS THE HEARING OFFICER: GO

2.1

2.4

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. My name is Felicia Orth. I'm a hearing officer appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission to conduct the hearing in the matter of proposed amendments to the Commission's rules addressing chemical disclosure and the use of PFAS substances for oil and gases extraction. Docketed as case number 23580. We have come to another -- I think we're on the third day of hearing and we've come to another opportunity to offer non-technical public comment.

Just a few things. And we'll call on anyone in the room who's present to offer public comment. We're going to go to the platform first and we'll take comment from the platform as well. For each of you, please be sure you haven't already made a comment this week, to comment once from you orally. You can add whatever you'd like to say in writing and send that to Sheila Apodaca who will be providing to the Commission for their deliberations. Oral public comment is limited to three minutes and before I start the stopwatch, I will ask you to state and spell your name.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Madam Hearing Officer, can you just pause just one second?

1	Someone in the audience has their
2	volume on on your laptop or on a computer. Is it from
3	a phone? Is it from online? If we can get everybody
4	to please mute on your end unless you're going to be
5	talking.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, yeah, and I
7	hope everyone will stay mute until Madai goes to you
8	to unmute.
9	MR. RAZATOS: Sorry, Madam Hearing
10	Officer, I think we're back online.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Thank you
12	very much.
13	Also, if you are more comfortable
14	offering your public comment in Spanish, we will have
15	an interpreter available at the 8:30 session tomorrow
16	morning. Please rejoin us then if you would prefer to
17	offer your comments in Spanish. So let's see, the two
18	parties who signed up in advance were Sister Joan
19	Brown. I think I saw you are you no. 6 there?
20	MS. BROWN: Yes.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
22	you. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
23	You're muted. Hold on. Can you unmute?
24	MS. BROWN: Yes.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, there you
	Page 11

1	are. Thank you.
2	MS. BROWN: Sorry. I didn't know which
3	mic you were using. Can you hear me now?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. I can. So
5	I have your name and I will start your three minutes.
6	Please go ahead. Oh, sorry. I said, "Do you swear or
7	affirm to tell the truth?" Yes?
8	WHEREUPON,
9	JOAN BROWN,
LO	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
L1	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
L2	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
L3	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
L4	two minutes.
L5	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Hearing
L6	Officer Commission.
L7	My name is Joan Brown, a Franciscan
L8	Sister. I'm with Interfaith Power and Light, New
L9	Mexico, El Paso. And thank you for this hearing and
20	also thank you for offering Spanish translation at
21	least for tomorrow for folks. I'm here because we
22	really believe that the Commission has statutory
23	authority to adopt these rules and therefore, create
24	transparency equitable access to to data to address
25	public health, environment, and the freshwaters which

we're very, very concerned over. I'd like to read
just a couple sections from a a faith leader letter
where we've gathered more than 40 faith leader
signatures. I will send this in electronically and
I'll also be sending in electronity electronically
a number of petitions that people have signed in
general.

2.1

2.4

National Association of Evangelicals issued a report in 2022 calling followers to worship God by caring for creation. And then Pope Francis has called for radical change in how people interact with water in particular as that access to safe drinking water is not a commodity and that everyone should have access as we work to address the climate crisis and care for our common home. We know about the report from Physicians for Social Responsibility that confirms that oil and gas industry gives us PFAS and hydraulic fracturing operations in New Mexico.

And I think everyone here is very aware of the -- the chemicals, the high toxicity and the effects on human health and water and that this will last forever. I'd like to mention just a couple of stories. Interfaith Power and Light works closely with impacted communities and people of faith and

1	faith leaders in the Permian Basin. And so going down
2	there many times over the last more than ten years,
3	I've heard a number of stories.
4	I'd like to just highlight two short
5	stories. One was from a gentleman that I met wanted
6	who remained anonymous who drove produced water
7	trucks. I said, "What" I said, "Do you drive
8	produced water trucks?" He says, "Oh, I don't call it
9	that. I call it nasty stuff. That's not water." And
10	then he also I said, "Well, where do you where
11	do you put this?" He said, "Anywhere." The second
12	story that I'd like to share was from a mother and a
13	a wife and a very dedicated brave woman who lives
14	with her family down there and her husband works in
15	the oil field and he works with these chemicals. And
16	she said he comes home at night and his clothing is
17	just caked. It stands up by itself. And and the
18	children want to hug him and he says, "No. You
19	cannot. I have to take these off first."
20	So they're trying to protect their
21	children, their families. All that ran through my
22	mind when I heard this story were the stories from our
23	my Navajo and Laguna and Acoma friends who had
24	worked in the uranium mines. Would take off their
25	clothing, the women would wash it and then the people

1	there are dying of cancer in the past and currently
2	and into the future because they did not know and they
3	were not protected. I feel we're in
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sister, would you
5	wrap up, please?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes. I will. So just in
7	closing, it is a moral responsibility that there be
8	transparency and accountability to protect public
9	health and the environment. So we urge you adopt
10	to adopt disclosure rules and to increase
11	decision-making capabilities that will help our
12	impacted communities, our sacred water and all of us
13	across the state. Thank you so much.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
15	much.
16	The other person who signed up in
17	advance for this morning is Todd Wynward. And I
18	believe I saw him on the platform. Todd Wynward.
19	MR. WYNWARD: Hi there. Are you able
20	to see me?
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Thank you.
22	MR. WYNWARD: Okay. Good.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: If you would
24	please spell your last name.
25	MR. WYNWARD: It's W-Y-N-W-A-R-D.
	Page 15

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
2	WHEREUPON,
3	TODD WYNWARD,
4	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
5	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
6	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start your
8	three minutes.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you in advance for
10	deep listening to this.
11	And I wanted to I'm a I'm a
12	licensed Mennonite minister up at the headwaters of
13	the Rio Grande a little farther north and just really
14	the idea of doing unto those downstream as you would
15	have those upstream do unto you is a real motto for
16	myself and others. And we have a multicultural
17	alliance up here who is really dealing with the
18	problem of plastic pollution. And I bring that up to
19	you because I hope with the PFAS forever chemicals,
20	like plastic, once you know, it's our moral duty to do
21	something about it.
22	And so being transparent in my own life
23	up here, I began to realize how addicted I was to
24	to petroleum and to plastic and it became a throwaway
25	culture that I don't want to be part of. And I I

1	gathered with my other people who see ourselves as
2	responsible stewards of the land because we live here
3	and are blessed by the land to take care of the land
4	for the seventh generations as well as today. And so
5	I myself have taken on responsibility to be
6	transparent about my own plastic and the addiction our
7	community has to plastic and what can we do about it.
8	And so we're naming out loud and being
9	transparent about things that once we now know the
10	damage to plastic, we're teaching our children to not
11	use plastic in the way that we have. And so I
12	encourage you all to really think about that. Now
13	that you know, there's no excuse for us to keep going
14	the way we've been. And to be transparent is the only
15	honest solution and to reduce our use of things that
16	we know are toxic. It's the most community-based
17	thing I can imagine.
18	So I thank you for listening to that.
19	I just have a simple request, to let's be responsible
20	for our own our own waste management. Let's be
21	responsible for sleeping in the not not pooping
22	in our own bed and let's please be responsible about
23	forever chemicals so that we don't inherit a legacy of
24	of toxicity for the future. Thank you for
25	listening and thank you for considering changes and
	Page 17

1	practices and policies and transparency.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
3	Reverend Wynward.
4	A third person I had sign up earlier
5	was Clara Sims. I believe she's also on the platform.
6	MS. SIMS: Hello. Yes.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello.
8	WHEREUPON,
9	CLARA SIMS,
10	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
11	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
12	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: And your last
14	name is spelled with one M?
15	THE WITNESS: Correct.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I'll
17	start your three minutes.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	Good morning, Commissioners. My name
20	is Clara Sims. I was born and raised in Los Lunas and
21	I serve as assistant minister of First Congregational
22	United Church of Christ in Albuquerque and as the
23	assistant director of New Mexico Interfaith Power and
24	Light. And as a faith leader, I speak in solidarity
25	with those who have spoken before me, uplifting the

sacred gift of water and our collective responsibility
to to protect the health and integrity of water is
that which gives life to all life. Water is not a
commodity to be manipulated at the whims of profit.
Water is sacred. It is our most commonly held need,
the most basic and essential element of our shared
humanity.
Denning the use of DEAC and ather

2.

2.1

2.4

Banning the use of PFAS and other nondisclosed chemicals proven toxic to human and more than human health for generations is, I pray, an obvious choice for the common good of people and planet alike. Implementing chemical disclosure requirements for the use of PFAS and other nondisclosed chemicals is, I pray, an obvious choice, of public accountability for the protection of people you have been commissioned to serve. New Mexicans want and deserve to celebrate the lives of future generations without fearing the impacts of PFAS and other toxic chemicals leaching into our groundwater from oil and gas operations and spills.

As people of faith, we understand the moral imperative to protect the most vulnerable among us, including people and the land and water that gives us life. By any measure of justice, a few people profiting from trade secrets should never be more

```
1
     important than protecting our lives and our children's
 2
             Thank you for hearing my comment and those of
 3
     everyone who has chosen to participate in this
     hearing. For the common good of us all, please ban
 4
 5
     the use of PFAS and other nondisclosed chemicals and
     ensure that transparency and accountability are at the
 6
     very least upheld in all chemical use and oil and gas
8
     operations going forward. Thank you for your time.
9
                    THE HEARING OFFICER:
                                           Thank you,
     Reverend Sims.
10
11
                    That is the three folks I had sign up
12
     ahead of time.
13
                    Madai, if you would just like to go
     one, two, three, we'll do it that way. Let's see.
14
15
     This is Penny Elena M.
16
                    MS. MAINZ:
                                 I'm sorry. I'm on here
17
     twice and I didn't know how to get rid of that first
18
     one. I'm Penelope Mainz.
19
                                           Okay. Thank you.
                    THE HEARING OFFICER:
20
     And if you would please spell Mainz for us.
2.1
                    MS. MAINZ: M-A-I-N-Z.
22
     //
23
     //
24
     //
25
     //
                                                   Page 20
```

1	WHEREUPON,
2	PENELOPE MAINZ,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
7	start your three minutes.
8	THE WITNESS: I've been a resident of
9	New Mexico for 42 years. You have heard about the
10	study and report by Physicians for Social
11	Responsibility, the dangers of PFAS they have and
12	other very important facts. I'll get a little
13	personal. I was born in the 1940s before PFAS were
14	commonly used in manufacturing. I have had good
15	health, but I am mourn for the people coming behind me
16	who may meet life's many challenges with the added
17	burden of poor health or even shortened lives due to
18	PFAS in our water here. So, yes, we need a ban on
19	PFAS.
20	Poison is defined as a substance
21	capable of causing illness or death to living organism
22	when introduced or absorbed. PFAS obviously poisons
23	and trade secrets can also be called poisoned cloaks
24	or poisoned covers. So, yes, we need a ban on PFAS.
25	The idiom idiom, poison the well came from ancient

1	warfare when warriors would poison the drinking water,
2	the well of the opposing army, so the poisoned
3	warriors could be more easily beaten. In a switch on
4	history, the oil and gas companies are walking away
5	with a victory in profits leaving New Mexicans with
6	weakened health and futures. So, yes, we need a ban.
7	I believe we need a ban on PFAS and undisclosed
8	chemicals in oil and gas drilling in New Mexico
9	because oil and gas profits, trade secrets, and
L O	efficient technology are less important than the
L1	health and futures of New Mexico residents.
L2	And let's use some of the state's money
L3	to employ enough people to thoroughly enforce the ban.
L4	Because nothing is more important than the health and
L 5	futures of New Mexicans. Frances Oldham Kelsey was a
L6	pharmacologist and physician who stopped the sale of
L 7	thalidomide in the United States in 1960. She stood
L8	up to intense pressure but saved thousands of babies
L9	from being born with serious deformities. She'd want
20	the State of New Mexico to protect its residents.
21	Yes, we need a ban on PFAS and undisclosed chemicals
22	and oil and gas drilling in New Mexico. Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please wrap up,
24	Ms. Mainz. Oh, well, thank you.
25	All right. Next, we have Abby, let's

1	see here, Shepard.
2	MS. SHEPARD: Yes. Hello.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello. A-B-B-Y
4	and if you would spell Shepard, please.
5	MS. SHEPARD: Yeah, S-H-E-P-A-R-D.
6	WHEREUPON,
7	ABBY SHEPARD,
8	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
9	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
10	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
12	three minutes.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	And thank you, Commissioners, for being
15	here and for listening to these comments.
16	I'm calling from Santa Fe County, New
17	Mexico. And I'm here today to support this proposed
18	rulemaking that would prohibit the use of PFAS and oil
19	and gas drilling development and production and
20	further prohibit the use of any disclosed chemicals
21	and fracking operations. PFAS and the oil and gas
22	industry's undisclosed chemicals don't just pose a
23	risk to the communities and the water surrounding the
24	industry's operations, the chemicals pose a risk to
25	all of us here in New Mexico because we are all

1	connected. And our water is a clear demonstration of
2	that connection. Water flows through the earth and
3	through our bodies. We are 60 percent water. Water
4	is life.
5	Yet every day, the oil and gas industry
6	endangers our water, averaging four a day that
7	includes PFAS and hundreds of other unknown chemicals
8	slowly seeping into our groundwater and into our
9	bodies. New Mexicans are especially vulnerable to
10	these spills, given 87 percent of our public water
11	supply comes from groundwater. And it's terrifying to
12	me that even the smallest amount of PFAS can lead to
13	very damaging health impacts, kidney cancer,
14	testicular cancer, thyroid disease, high cholesterol,
15	reproductive and developmental toxicity and more. As
16	someone who watched their mother fight breast cancer,
17	I can tell you firsthand that it's an experience that
18	I wouldn't wish on anyone. Cancer is brutal and it's
19	imperative that we do everything we can to stop known
20	carcinogens like PFAS from entering our environment.
21	And that starts with this rulemaking.
22	We must stop PFAS from entering our environment in
23	every way we can and we must know what other toxic
24	chemicals might be entering our water so we can

adequately protect it. That means we need the oil and

25

1	gas industry to disclose what other chemicals are used
2	in fracking if we have any shot at living in healthy
3	environments. So-called trade secrets only serve to
4	protect the industry's profit and its contamination of
5	our health, land, air, and water. And among many
6	things, I am also a student of Buddhism and yoga. One
7	of the core teachings in these traditions and in the
8	traditions of many of the Pablo people here in New
9	Mexico is that we are all interconnected. There's no
L O	separation between me and you, between us and the
L1	earth. What affects one affects all. So what happens
L2	in one part of our state affects us all.
L3	When our water is contaminated in
L 4	Clovis or Holloman Air Force Base, it can affect us
L 5	all the way up here in Santa Fe, in Farmington, even
L6	across state and country lines. Regardless of what
L7	zip code we live in or the color of our skin, we all
L8	deserve the freedom to drink clean water and live in
L9	healthy communities. This rulemaking is a step in
20	this direction so I urge you to pass it. Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
22	Ms. Shepard.
23	Next, we have Jeanette, let's see here,
24	Iskat.
25	MS. ISKAT: It is Iskat. Thank you so

1	much.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: If you would
3	spell that, please.
4	MS. ISKAT: I-S-K-A-T.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
6	WHEREUPON,
7	JEANETTE ISKAT,
8	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
9	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
10	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
12	three minutes.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	So my name's Jeanette Iskat. I live in
15	San Miguel County in Villanueva. And you can maybe
16	see the mesa behind me. This place is also was
17	known as el valle de los arboles amarillos, the valley
18	of the yellow trees. So what you see behind me is
19	arboscas [ph] on the Pecos River. We have been
20	dealing with the aftermath of Hermits Peak and the
21	Calf Canyon fires, even downstream. We see how our
22	watershed is so impacted by the chemicals.
23	We are protesting the Tererro potential
24	mine up there where, again, the resources, there's a
25	superfund site up there that's been untreated for

1	decades, right. When I tell people where I'm lucky to
2	live in Villanueva, I hear these stories of place,
3	beauty, relations, and that sense of galencia [ph]
4	place, right? How we hold this land together, whether
5	we are new, like me, right, 15 years here so people
б	are like, "Gosh, you might stay." Or whether you are
7	of indigenous people who have been here for millennia,
8	right. I don't know what they used to say hundreds of
9	years ago, right, but I know here, what we say now is
10	"Agua es vida." Water is life.
11	We see these false energy solutions.
12	We sit in a state and you can see this beautiful warm
13	sunshine I'm under. We have so much power here. We
14	could be literally powering our state and beyond with
15	sun, but instead, we're putting the profits into the
16	pockets of oil companies and destroying this beautiful
17	sacred land and earth. The shirt I'm wearing says,
18	you know, it's by a activist, Tara Trudell. And her
19	father was an activist. She is an activist and it
20	says "Stop fracking mother earth." Right.
21	We see the implications of climate
22	change. We watched the flooding. We see the car
23	CarSoups [ph]. I believe as New Mexico goes, so could
24	the United States. The history of this America, this
25	U.S. starts here. We have this potential to be

1	leaders. We really do. And we have to fight these
2	companies. We have seen the history of how New Mexico
3	has been a dumping ground and a test literal test
4	site. We have seen Los Alamos come in, destroy
5	villages, move people out, destroy water tables. We
6	have seen them attempt to bring with that after all of
7	their bad practices, destroying the water of the Tewa
8	peoples, right. We see the people of Trinity still
9	uncompensated, decades deep, generational loss.
10	These oil companies with their false promises, their
11	junk science, they buy the best that they can buy.
12	And we see
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Iskat, would
14	you wrap up, please?
15	THE WITNESS: Sure. Sure.
16	I just wanted to say thank you thank
17	you very much to the elected representatives of this
18	commission. We need you to band with us. We will
19	we will watch you, you know. We won't forget your
20	choices and we thank you for being in alignment with
21	us.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
23	Iskat.
24	Let's see. Next we have Anita Amstutz.
25	MS. AMSTUTZ: Yeah. Anita, A-N-I-T-A,
	Page 28

1	Amstutz, A, M as in moon, S as in sun, T-U-T-Z.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
	-
3	WHEREUPON,
4	ANITA AMSTUTZ,
5	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
6	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
7	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
9	start your three minutes.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes. I want to thank the
11	Commission for community witness. I trust that not
12	only your ears are open but your hearts are still open
13	as well to what the people are speaking. I want to
14	thank you my fellow citizen sister, community leaders,
15	and citizens who have spoken spoken up. What
16	really spoke to me was Penelope's words about
17	poisoning a well as a warfare tactic and that's what
18	we're facing right now.
19	Why would we wantonly allow industry or
20	any other entity to poison our watershed or ecosystem?
21	I speak as a founder and director of thinklikeabee
22	which is a profit nonprofit pollinator advocacy
23	group. We have been working for over a decade. And
24	as you can imagine, we are deeply engaged and care
25	about our food system. So our food health is at risk

when we allow PFAS and any other forever chemical to get into our watershed. It affects all of us. And I -- this rulemaking in -- in a new administrative time, we have a new administration in the federal government and they will not be overseeing or enforcing any water -- clean water, clean air and they will be tearing that down.

2.1

2.4

So I would say the onus is upon you as a commission who has been vested with the trust of the community to oversee and make the public servants of our own health to ensure what we can do here in this state. And I would agree that we have one of the most beautiful state in the country and the water and the land is a gift given to humans. It is not -- it is not something that we -- well, it is a gift. And when a gift is given, you honor it and you give thanks.

So it is our -- our job as citizens to continue to speak out and watchdog our water and our land and it is your job as a commission to oversee that the public health is ensured and making sure we don't have ongoing chemicals continuing to leech or just be poured directly into our water because we know that industry and corporate interest will always override any kind of public health. Profit is most important. Profit is king in this country and it

1	continues to be that way.
2	And so we are speaking out and asking
3	you to create safeguards so that we might live and
4	and the next generation will live as well as the
5	wildlife and the animals and every being that is
6	absolutely dependent on water. So I thank you for
7	your time, for listening, and I ask that you act in
8	the best interest of our public health, not in the
9	interest of for-profit industries.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
11	Ms. Amstutz.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Next we have
14	Alejandria, let's see here, Lyons. Yes. All right.
15	MS. LYONS: Yes. Alejandria.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Alejandria.
17	Thank you. L-Y-O-N-S.
18	WHEREUPON,
19	ALEJANDRIA LYONS,
20	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
21	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
22	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I'll
24	start your three minutes.
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1	Good morning, Commission. My name is
2	Alejandria Lyons. I am the coalition coordinator for
3	New Mexico No False Solutions. We are also
4	participants of the Defend NM Water Coalition. We
5	thank you for having and holding this hearing and
6	listening to the citizens of New Mexico. I really
7	want to uplift the previous commentor, Anita's
8	comment, because we are in the midst of a war on
9	regulation.
10	We are going to see mass deregulation
11	in our lifetime, in my lifetime. I am only 30-years-
12	old. I have thyroid issues. My mother had thyroid
13	issues. We have breast cancer in our family. And my
14	all the way down to my great grandmother. I'm a
15	Chicana. We are tied to a acequia in Peralta and all
16	of these things are are going to go upstream,
17	downstream. Water is life in New Mexico and we have
18	some of the best rules in the in the state, in the
19	the United States to protect our water, not only
20	the water rights but also our groundwater.
21	Everything is connected. I appreciate
22	everybody who has spoken about not only how this
23	affects humans but also our plants, our animals 'cause
24	they are all our relatives in New Mexico. This is a
25	sentiment that I think it doesn't matter where you

1	come from, what your race is, in New Mexico we love
2	our water. We care about our water. And that's why
3	we're here. And we want you and we ask you to join us
4	in this fight. Polluters, they spread disinformation.
5	They believe in things such as chemical phobia. They
6	believe that it is more important to keep these
7	chemicals disclosed from the public rather than giving
8	us this information because they believe that we don't
9	know what to do with that information.
10	Those are the same sentiments that
11	somebody echoed this is what happened with Trinity.
12	They said that there weren't enough people to let them
13	know, let us New Mexicans know, that it's important to
14	know that we are being a testing site for nuclear
1 -	power. We have seen how that has opened the doors to
15	power. We have seen now that has opened the doors to
15 16	the rest of the world to continue to contaminate their
16	the rest of the world to continue to contaminate their

Today, we ask you all to join us because this is not just going to be about me, this is not going to be just about my children's generation but those to come. And we have really great books on I think back in maybe was it the laws in New Mexico. 2020, we passed a mini NEPA in New Mexico.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	protect our water. The Clean Safe Water Drinking
2	Act is not going to be enforced. We are seeing
3	Chevron deference being struck down. This Supreme
4	Court is not going to be in our favor when it comes to
5	us protecting our air, land, and water. So we ask you
6	to join us in this fight for all New Mexicans for
7	futures to come. Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
9	Lyons.
10	Next, we have, oh, Sister Marlene
11	Perrotte. Would you spell your last name, please?
12	You're still muted. No, you're still muted.
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The mic should
14	be along the top there. You can't find it?
15	Neither of the mics will unmute on her
16	computer so she's going to come to mine. But
17	actually, Giuliana or Giuliana is ahead of her anyway.
18	So maybe she could go first.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Alrighty. Thank
20	you.
21	Giuliana. Let's see.
22	MS. FUNKHOUSER: Funkhouser.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Funkhouser.
24	Alrighty. Thank you. If you would spell both of
25	those, please.

1	MS. FUNKHOUSER: Sure. G like giraffe,
2	I-U-L-I-A-N-A, and Funkhouser is F like Frank,
3	U-N-K-H-O-U, S like shark, E-R.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5	WHEREUPON,
6	GIULIANA FUNKHOUSER,
7	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
8	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
9	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
LO	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start your
L1	three minutes.
L2	THE WITNESS: Hi, everybody. Thanks
L3	for having me here. My name is Giuliana Funkhouser.
L4	I'm an artist and resident of Utuado, Puerto Rico. In
L5	2018, I participated in several investigations through
L6	the Resolana Art Collective to better understand how
L7	toxic waste from industrial and military activities
L8	were being utilized, stored, and dumped around the USA
L9	while our project focused on commonalities between
20	management and subsequent leaks associated with such
21	activities along Hunters Point in San Francisco,
22	California, and Carlsbad, New Mexico, I privately
23	noted the similarities, the chemical weapons and
24	herbicide development and testing around the
25	rainforests of Puerto Rico as well.

1	A through line connecting each of these
2	cases is an effort to reuse or simply dump industrial
3	refuse without properly comprehending or sharing
4	information about its chemical makeup to locals. Even
5	lists in a format similar to ingredient labels affixed
6	to food products around the USA could help protect
7	people from a people, animals, and the environment
8	from a variety of health and health and
9	environmental hazards.
10	Not disclosing details about the
11	contents of polluted fracking water may result in
12	offloading the inconvenience of costly cleanup by
13	companies involved with the burden of toxic
14	carcinogens placed on the lands and peoples of the
15	areas affected. Between 2013 and 2022, oil and gas
16	companies injected more than 3,600 New Mexico wells
17	with with pollution and classic chemicals that
18	includes multiple PFAS.
19	Without disclosure requirement such as
20	the ones put in place in Colorado and California
21	already, there is no way for regulators to verify or
22	enforce a prohibition of PFAS in oil and gas
23	operations. I'm here in solidarity with my fellow New
24	Mexicans who kindly invited us to share and celebrate

life through music and art. My perspective has deeply

25

1	moved and shifted while pondering the effects of a
2	decade of fracking activities on ancient lakes and
3	rivers flowing through the Carlsbad Caverns while
4	closing off parts of the caverns to protect visitors
5	from polluted water exposure is unfortunate, what's
6	devastating is already documented health issues being
7	detected, especially in younger generations of New
8	Mexicans living within areas of high exposure due to
9	local groundwater contamination with PFAS and other
10	chemicals.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Carcinogens, immune system effects, changes in blood chemistry, and kidney toxicity are all health effects associated with chronic early exposure to such chemicals. Currently, oil and gas industry refuse is exempt from hazardous waste regulations under state and federal law. amount of toxic chemicals disposed of in special waste landfills accepting these materials across New Mexico It is imperative that the Commission is unknown. prohibit the use of PFAS in oil and gas industry Doing so will regulate the disposal of operations. these waste products which will be a huge leap towards ensuring considerate water management for a healthy carcinogen-free environment for New Mexicans and their neighbors to thrive in. Thank you for your time.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
2	Ms. Funkhouser.
3	I'll take one more comment from the
4	platform from Sister Marlene and then are you here to
5	offer public comment? I will come to you in the room.
6	Let's see.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Joan Brown.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
9	You're muted. There you are.
10	MS. PERROTTE: Okay.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: There you are.
12	If you would please spell your last name.
13	MS. PERROTTE: P as in Peter,
14	E-R-R-O-T-T-E.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	WHEREUPON,
17	MARLENE PERROTTE,
18	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
19	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
20	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
22	three minutes.
23	THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commission.
24	I am Sister Marlene Perrotte and a woman of faith and
25	very concerned about water. When President Bush and
	Page 38

1	Cheney came into the government, Vice President Cheney
2	had a gathering of oil and gas industries and they
3	brought forth the Halliburton exemption for the Clean
4	Water Act. And I have been so concerned about that
5	for so many years because they did not have to
6	disclose the chemicals that they were using in
7	hydraulic factory.
8	So I am so happy to participate today
9	in demanding a ban and a disclosure of these
10	chemicals. Because you have the authority, the
11	statute authority to protect us from unknown chemicals
12	being put into our waters, I thank you because it also
13	helps us to understand what is going on with so much
14	produced water. All these chemicals are coming up and
15	a lot of them we do not know what they are. So I am
16	very, very glad that the Commission is going to
17	protect our waters, for chemical disclosure, and to
18	update chemical disclosure requirements so that the
19	public knows when the dangerous chemicals are used
20	around our state.
21	The Commission does have that statutory
22	authority to adopt these rules and I urge the
23	Commission to protect the United States and the New
24	Mexico waters from all of these abuse and that are
25	bringing forth multiple negative health effects,

including cancer, birth defects, reproductive
problems, decreased vaccine response. These forever
chemicals must be banned.
I urge you to adopt the PFAS and
Chemical Disclosure Rule to increase decision-making
capabilities for frontline communities, elected
officials, emergency first responders, regional
authorities, and others and let us remember, we are
impelled to love our neighbor rather than the oil and
gas industry over health and wellbeing of our earth
community. It is a moral responsibility, finally, to
be transparent and accountable to protect public
health and the environment. Thank you so very much.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
Sister.
All right. Let me come into the room
here. If you would, please, come to the microphone at
that stand.
Thank you, Madai.
If you would state and spell your first
and last name, please.
MS. KERNS: My first name is Avis,
A-V-I-S, and my last name is Kerns, K-E-R-N-S.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
//
Page 40

1	WHEREUPON,
2	AVIS KERNS,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
7	three minutes.
8	THE WITNESS: As you know, my name is
9	Avis Kerns. I'm a member of the Santa Ana Pueblo. I
10	was born and raised in Albuquerque and I live there
11	now. New Mexico is my home and I love it with my
12	whole heart. From the land itself to all of the life
13	that inhabits it, it is precious to me. I'm here to
14	represent myself, the people I care about, the people
15	I don't know, and all of the voiceless life forms that
16	cannot represent themselves. The fact that there's
17	fracking in New Mexico at all is irresponsible,
18	selfish, and dangerous.
19	The least the State could do for
20	allowing companies to destroy our land is require
21	those companies to use or to be open and honest about
22	what they are using to do it. Access to knowledge
23	about what chemicals will be in food, air, and water
24	should be a human right, especially when those
25	chemicals are carcinogenic and toxic. No economic
	Page 41

1	benefits are worth the devastating impacts on the
2	environment these companies will cause.
3	I know we live in a world that values
4	money over life, but New Mexico does not have to
5	participate in that ideology. The people who live
6	here trust the people they chose to represent them to
7	represent them, to care about their lives, their
8	families, and their home. If there is one thing I
9	love about living in America, it is that each state
10	has the right to decide what is best for their
11	citizens, even when the country fails to protect them.
12	I want to be proud to live in New Mexico, especially
13	in times like these. That's all. Thank you.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
	much, Ms. Kerns. And thank you for your patience.
15	much, Ms. Reins. And chank you for your patience.
15 16	If you would state and spell your first
16	If you would state and spell your first
16 17	If you would state and spell your first and last name.
16 17 18	If you would state and spell your first and last name. DR. CASTRO: I'm Dr. Christina M.
16 17 18	If you would state and spell your first and last name. DR. CASTRO: I'm Dr. Christina M. Castro, C-A-S-T-R-O.
16 17 18 19	If you would state and spell your first and last name. DR. CASTRO: I'm Dr. Christina M. Castro, C-A-S-T-R-O. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16 17 18 19 20	If you would state and spell your first and last name. DR. CASTRO: I'm Dr. Christina M. Castro, C-A-S-T-R-O. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. WHEREUPON,
16 17 18 19 20 21	If you would state and spell your first and last name. DR. CASTRO: I'm Dr. Christina M. Castro, C-A-S-T-R-O. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. WHEREUPON, CHRISTINA CASTRO,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you would state and spell your first and last name. DR. CASTRO: I'm Dr. Christina M. Castro, C-A-S-T-R-O. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. WHEREUPON, CHRISTINA CASTRO, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
2	start your three minutes.
3	THE WITNESS: Good morning, Commission.
4	As mentioned, my name is Christina Castro. I am here
5	representing the communities of Tes Pueblo, Jemez
6	Pueblo and all land-based Nuevo Mexicanos. It's nice
7	to see another indigenous person in the room. I have
8	recently come off of ceremonies in our Pueblo at Jemez
9	on November 12th. Many indigenous people in New
10	Mexico don't even know about these commission
11	hearings. It's almost a very privileged position to
12	even know that these go on. It's not that we don't
13	care. We just have a different worldview. Our feast
14	day this past Tuesday was a purple ceremony that has
15	been going on since time immemorial to pray and
16	advocate in our own way for our lands and our waters
17	and our communities.
18	It's hard to come and do this, to keep
19	coming to these kind of testimonies to what I feel is
20	stating the obvious. I'm also a birth advocate and I
21	have a grassroots organization here in town, Three
22	Sisters Collective. I'm wearing the sweatshirt today
23	for Birth of my Heart Breath of my Heart Birth
24	Center in Espanola. It's the only indigenous-led
25	birth center I think in the nation and we often talk
	Page 43

about water being the first environment for any -- any human.

2.

2.1

2.4

And so when we think about it from that level of we all come from the water, we're a part of the water, we're connected to the water, it really moves me to present myself in these spaces to advocate in ways that most of my community members either can't because they don't have the capacity to do that, they have to work, or because they just simply don't know that these venues exist. So, you know, just thinking about coming off of these ceremonies, our people have been living in -- in alignment with the environment since time immemorial.

And it's interesting to note that within less than 100 years, we've had to face all these onslaughts of environmental degradation at the hands of colonialism, nuclear colonialism, extractive industry. And so we have been taking care of the land forever and it was pristine and it was beautiful and we were a part of the land and the land was us and the water is us. And here we are within less than 100 years having to face this onslaught of ongoing assault on our people, our life ways, our spirituality.

This is a hard path to walk as an advocate for the people. But here I am again doing

1	this. And I ask for you all to think about your
2	future generations. I dropped my daughter off at
3	school at Wood Gormley this morning and I came in here
4	today. I have lots of other things to do, but I have
5	to come because who else will do that for my people?
6	So I ask you all to think with your hearts and to not
7	be fall to corporate interests in your
8	decision-making because you all are living here now.
9	Wherever you came from, somehow you
LO	ended up here in New Mexico and now you have positions
L1	of leadership and you have the opportunity to do
L2	what's right for your children, your children's
L3	children. And also, when you think about New Mexico,
L 4	it's it's a tourist it's it's a tourist
L5	economy here. Santa Fe is a huge tourist-driven city.
L6	The whole city's economic backbone is tourism.
L7	And so what do people come here for?
L8	They come here for our culture, indigenous culture,
L9	food, jewelry, aesthetics. They come to experience
20	nature, wildlife, hunting. So when you're making
21	decisions, you have to think about that too. It's
22	like people are coming here to experience our natural
23	world. And how can you promote tourism when you have
24	communities that are so impacted negatively by these
25	industries? You know, how how does that work when

1	people are suffering from cancers and miscarriages and
2	the ongoing effects of extractive industries and it's
3	just it's it's gross.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please wrap up,
5	Dr. Castro.
6	THE WITNESS: So, yeah, here I am and
7	I'll continue to come and I hope you'll listen. And
8	thank you so much. And peace and blessings to all of
9	you and your families. Thank you. To all.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
11	Is there anyone else in the room who
12	would like to offer non-technical public comment this
13	morning? There will be two more opportunities that
14	I'm sure of. One will be at 4:30 this afternoon and
15	the next well, approximately 4:30. And the next
16	will be at 8:30 tomorrow morning. And at the 8:30
17	a.m. session there will be a an interpreter to
18	provide interpretation between Spanish and English.
19	Anyone else at all?
20	All right. We're going to return to
21	the technical case then. Let me greet Ms. Nanasi who
22	is joining us on behalf of New Energy Economy and ask
23	if there is anything that we need to talk about before
24	we go back to Mr. Powell. Anything at all? No.
25	Okay. Well, Mr. Powell, you're up.

1	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Examiner?
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
3	MS. NANASI: This is Mariel Nanasi. I
4	did bring copies of the rebuttal testimony, paper
5	copies, for you and the Commission and the
6	administrator. So if you'd like me to tender them, I
7	will at whatever time is appropriate.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. When we
9	get there. Thank you.
10	MS. NANASI: Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Or well, perhaps
12	on the morning break.
13	All right, so, Mr. Powell, you are
14	still sworn.
15	And Mr. Tremaine, if you would, please.
16	WHEREUPON,
17	BRANDON POWELL,
18	called as a witness and having been previously sworn
19	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
20	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
21	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
22	Officer, Commission and parties, and the public. I am
23	going to start sharing a screen again so we can return
24	to where we left off yesterday.
25	//

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2. BY MR. TREMAINE: All right. Good morning, Mr. Powell. 3 0 your recollection, did we cover everything that needs 4 5 to be address in OCD's proposed changes to 19.15.7 6 yesterday? We did. Α 8 Okay. Thank you. Could you please -- I'll 0 9 refer you to OCD Exhibit 4, page 40. If you could please summarize OCD's proposed changes represented on 10 11 this slide under part 14. 12 So part 14 regulates what is in the APDs. 13 So for OCD we're looking at the ban of PFAS. We're not supportive of the inclusion of undisclosed 14 15 chemicals as previously discussed and that this would 16 be done through a certification to the form. 17 Mr. Powell, did I understand you to state 0 that the ban would be effected through a certification 18 19 process? 20 Α That is correct. Could you specifically address the changes 2.1 22 represented on page 41? 23 So on page 41, OCD added additives that Α contain PFAS chemicals. We struck down whole 2.4 operations and changed it to completion or 25

1	recompletion. I'd like to touch on that slightly. A
2	lot of the discussion coming up to this rulemaking was
3	concerning downhole or completion and recompletion
4	and the chemical additives used in there.
5	I believe WildEarth Guardians was looking at
6	being more encompassing to downhole operations. OCD
7	doesn't object to using downhole operations. It just
8	because we were talking about completion,
9	recompletion, that's why that was changed. But we
10	don't object to the use of the language downhole
11	operations.
12	Q Okay. So, Mr. Powell, if OCD is open to
13	inclusion of downhole operations in this section
14	strike that. Let me back up. In yesterday's
15	testimony I believe you outlined that OCD had struck
16	downhole operations as a definition. Is that correct?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q Okay. If OCD is willing to modify this
19	section to include downhole operations, do you believe
20	that including a definition of downhole operations is
21	necessary?
22	A I do not because, again, it's still a
23	commonly used term for any operations that happen in
24	the well.
25	Q I think we're going to cover this as we move
	Page 49

1	through later, but I want to clarify here. Does OCD
2	consider water used during drilling or completions
3	activities as a chemical additive that would be
4	covered by this section?
5	A No. I do not.
6	Q Thank you. Moving onto section 14.10A,
7	could you please summarize for the Commission what
8	this section represents and the changes proposed by
9	OCD on slide 42?
10	A So for this section, again, this is for
11	drilling and permits. OCD is just trying to
12	streamline this because the the statements and the
13	certifications were included in 14.9 so instead of
14	regurgitating those requirements, it's just a cleanup
15	in reference to the prior section.
16	Q Is that summary represented on page 43?
17	A It is.
18	Q Okay. Do you have anything to add or
19	clarify based on this slide?
20	A I don't. Well, I would say it is consistent
21	with the way we reference the rule subsection in 5.9
22	as a APD condition as well so it's consistent with
23	that language.
24	Q Thank you. All right, Mr. Powell, could you
25	please summarize for the Commission the section and
	D==
	Page 50

1 the changes referenced on page 44? 2 Α So this section is getting into 16.17 which is over the chemical treatment shooting so the actual 3 operations of the well. There's going to be expansive 4 5 changes to this section that the OCD is proposing, 6 both to -- as we -- to WildEarth Guardians and then we'll cover the changes to NMGOAs later. So this is 8 how the -- the rule would actually be implemented if 9 there was a breach in integrity, those kind of things. Thank you, Mr. Powell. Could you please 10 11 specifically describe the changes on page 45? 12 So the first one is simply lower casing 13 completing -- let's see. The middle part, the strike of the Division is just for -- or for syntax. 14 The end 15 is clarifying it for the loss of containment or any 16 damage. 17 Okay. So, Mr. Powell, to clarify, we talked about, in the previous section, changing the language 18 to downhole operations. Is that change necessary in 19 20 this section? And please explain your opinion. 2.1 I do not believe so because downhole 22 operations would be covered under the treatment of a 23 well as well. So it would be complete under the 2.4 completing, shooting, fracturing, or treating of a 25 well.

1	Q Right. Mr. Powell, does OCD agree with the
2	proposal represented on page 46?
3	A Yes. We're in agreement with that proposal.
4	Q All right. Moving on to page 47
5	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Examiner,
6	excuse me. Could we have Mr. Tremaine go back to the
7	last slide, please, and just state what he's referring
8	to instead of just what page number it's on? When he
9	asked that question, just to have a little bit more
10	clarity for the record.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm not sure what
12	you're asking. So if we're looking at the slide
13	MS. NANASI: He just said my
14	understanding is that Mr. Powell just answered, "Do
15	you agree with what WildEarth Guardians proposed"?
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
17	MS. NANASI: But if we could have
18	something more specific like to the change of rules
19	proposed at 19.15.16.17.Al NMAC, just so that the
20	record is clear because if you don't have this slide
21	with the record, then it's not as clear for the
22	record. So that's all I'm asking for.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
24	Mr. Tremaine, I have been following
25	along with the page numbers just fine, but I will
	Page 52

1	leave it to you. The section subsection, sub, sub,
2	sub, subsection seems long, but I'll leave it to you.
3	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
4	Examiner. Yeah, I was trying to not put the
5	Commission to sleep. If someone read section numbers
6	to me, I might struggle with that. I do agree we want
7	to be clear for the record so I am trying to reference
8	the OCD exhibit and slide in each one. But I'll
9	endeavor to be as clear as possible.
LO	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
L1	BY MR. TREMAINE:
L2	Q Mr. Powell, I'm going to ask you the same
L3	question about OCD Exhibit 4, page 47, which addresses
L4	the subsequent subsection in part 16. Could you
L5	please describe this change and OCD's reasoning
L6	represented on that slide for the Commission?
L7	A So the biggest addition to this section is
L8	OCD's proposal for receiving trade secret information
L9	or proprietary information, whatever we're calling it,
20	through the rule. Because we don't enforce or we
21	don't endorse the ban on proprietary chemicals. So
22	the OCD built this section in, receiving that
23	consistent with the state statutes for receiving that
24	information if there is an integrity breach to the
25	well.

1	Q And, Mr. Powell, this section does this
2	section contemplate disclosure of proprietary
3	chemicals to the Oil Conservation Division?
4	A It does.
5	Q Could you please describe your thinking or
6	reasoning for advocating for that as opposed to
7	another form of disclosure?
8	A So to be consistent with the state statutes,
9	we what we were looking at is if there is a breach
10	to the well, the OCD would get with the operator and
11	also look at the frack disclosures and receive all
12	chemicals that were used in the well. The operator
13	and their chemical companies would be the ones that
14	would have all that information. So it lines out what
15	that disclosure would look like, what that evaluation
16	would look like to identify potentially harmful
17	chemicals that would have to then be testful tested
18	for.
19	Q Okay. I want to flesh that out a little
20	bit, what get with the operator looks like. So under
21	this section, what would happen with the well to
22	trigger such a disclosure?
23	A A loss of integrity of the well. So if an
24	operator's doing completion activities, this would
25	actually be probably more inclusive if we used
	Page 54

_	
1	downhole operations. If an operator's working on a
2	well, there is a loss of containment of the well, a
3	loss of integrity of the well, at that point there
4	would be a review performed of all chemicals that
5	could be in that fluid stream. Those chemicals would
6	be evaluated for that in that fluid stream to see
7	if anything is potentially harmful.
8	And then that would be the setting the
9	the testing methods and the test parameters to perform
LO	the investigation to see if those chemicals are in
L1	that fluid stream and further investigation needs to
L2	be performed.
L3	Q Okay. And in terms of a loss of containment
L4	from the well, does that mean that the fluid stream,
L5	would it escape the casing to groundwater or what does
L6	that mean?
L7	A Yes. To groundwater. If there's a
L8	potential impact to groundwater or surface water
L9	because of that loss of integrity. It wouldn't be if
20	it was a loss of containment to the same strata that's
21	not groundwater-related. This would be enacted on
22	that potential impact to groundwater or surface water.
23	Q Okay. And could you please briefly discuss
24	the last point on page 47 where the Division is
25	distinguishing between chemicals listed which are

1	considered harmful and those that are not?
2	A So we would look at all the chemicals used.
3	Not all of those will be harmful. I gave an example
4	here. One of the constituents in that fluid stream or
5	in that stream would be water. Another one would be
6	sand. Those kind of things that the Division feels it
7	wouldn't be harmful to the water, the groundwater. So
8	that's not something we would be identifying as
9	performing additional testing on.
L O	Q Okay. And to clarify, does OCD consider
L1	water under this section as a chemical additive?
L2	A We do not.
L3	Q Mr. Powell, I'm going to move on to OCD
L 4	Exhibit 4, page 48 which represents the subsequent
L 5	subsection from what we've been discussing. In this
L6	section, does OCD agree with WildEarth Guardians'
L 7	proposed language?
L8	A We do. This section refers to how, if there
L9	is an impact to groundwater, then that investigation
20	moves to part 29. It's not spelled out here. We'll
21	touch it on the NMOGA's rebuttal. But 29 would then
22	relate to part 30 if there's a groundwater impact that
23	needs to be investigated or noticed and how that
24	cleanup happens.
25	Part 30 is really the the section that
	Page 56
	1 496 30

1	regulates groundwater cleanups; 29 is just that
2	initial notification and it has that built-in chain to
3	get to 30. And 30 is what regulates OCD's mandates
4	under those regulations and also references the WQCC
5	regulations.
6	Q Okay. Do I understand you to be saying that
7	the proposed rule triggers disclosure requirements in
8	certain cases which then triggers existing part 29
9	which then triggers potentially existing part 30?
10	A Correct. Yeah. Part 29 and part 30 are the
11	rules the OCD uses for actual cleanup and remediation.
12	This is the pathway for that well integrity event to
13	get to that section those sections.
14	Q Thank you. All right, Mr. Powell, I'm going
15	to move on to OCD Exhibit 4, page 49, which again
16	represents in the next subsection that we've been
17	discussing. Please describe this slide and OCD's
18	modification for the Commission.
19	A So a couple things on this one. Again, the
20	OCD isn't endorsing the undisclosed chemicals. OCD
21	also doesn't have a authorization to operate as a
22	permitted function. So because of those things, OCD
23	struck this. If PFAS is detected, that would fall
24	under part 29, part 30.
25	If it's found and operator is using PFAS in
	Page 57

1	their fluid stream, contrary to their certification,
2	that would be an enforcement mechanism the OCD already
3	has under 19.15.5.
4	Q Thank you, Mr. Powell. We are ending part
5	16.17 and I'd like to direct you to OCD Exhibit page
6	50. We're moving on to 19.15.16.19 NMAC. Mr. Powell,
7	would you please summarize for the Commission what
8	this section does and what we're addressing in the
9	proposed changes?
LO	A So this section covers hydraulic fracturing,
L1	the disclosures for I believe horizontal wells, maybe
L2	for all wells. But mainly, we were focusing on the
L3	disclosure for the through frack focus, what's
L4	required in that disclosure through the CFR that was
L5	previously mentioned or that's mentioned in the rule.
L6	We're also proposing a large change to the applicant's
L7	new section for who gets disclosure of the chemicals
L8	being used downhole.
L9	This was this provision was shortened by
20	the agency. We kept other regulatory agencies that
21	also oversee these permits in those and eliminated
22	non-regulatory agencies and gave the those agencies
23	the option to opt out because it may incorporate a
24	burden on those agencies to receive that if they want
25	to review that, if they want to incorporate those into

their records.

2.1

2.4

We didn't see anything in the application where those agencies had been approached to see if they wanted those notices or if those notices were pertinent to their activities. We kept that provision in in case they did want those but gave them the option to opt out if those notices weren't something that those agencies were looking for. The last bullet is a -- a section for timelines to perform the notice within the section.

Q Thank you, Mr. Powell. I'm moving on to Exhibit 4, page 51. Mr. Powell, please address the specific changes on this slide.

A The OCD is looking to keep the section describing what's required to be reported to the agency as part of the material safety data sheets as described in 29 CFR simply because by eliminating that, there's no standard to which the operators would comply with. The CFR, all of that is incorporated and are frack focused. That's kind of the basis of all that notification.

Q Does it represent a challenge for the Oil Conservation Division if operators provide disclosures or reports in different formats or inclusive to different degrees of information?

1	A I think having clearer expectations puts
2	everybody on the same playing field. If you don't
3	have those clear expectations, then the Division's not
4	sure quite what they would get and I believe the frack
5	focus that the Division would still be using is built
6	around the material safety data sheets and the CAS
7	numbers.
8	Q Mr. Powell, we're moving on to Exhibit 4,
9	page 52 which is another subsection with the
10	19.16.19B. And this, for the Commission's
11	information, is actually represented on multiple
12	slides so I'm going to scroll through as Mr. Powell
13	needs. Mr. Powell, please describe what we're
14	addressing here and OCD's changes. And I'm happy to
15	scroll through.
16	A Because this section here is so large and
17	the changes are so broad, we put it on multiple
18	slides. What's up now is the WildEarth Guardians'
19	current proposal. The next slide will go over the
20	OCD's changes, our proposed changes, just so they can
21	be visually seen. The changes in here represent the
22	changes to who should be noticed and not noticed.
23	I can go through those kind of line-by-line
24	as a whole. The very top in D is where we give those
25	agencies the option to opt out because we are keeping

	the state band office and the bum in those because
2	they're regulatory agencies. The owners are minerals.
3	Those should be working with the company in the area
4	so that would be a contractual obligation between
5	who's drilling and who owns the minerals if they have
6	contract contractual obligations in those.
7	Two, for surface owners, building unit
8	owners, residents, we eliminated that section. As
9	operators are using chemicals in those areas, they're
10	typically thousands of feet underground. Those
11	parties would not be impacted parties at that point.
12	They would become impacted parties if there
13	potentially if there was an impact to groundwater.
14	Part 30 in that WQCC regulations regulate who's
15	notified as impacted parties. So if those parties are
16	impacted, those regulations have that notification
17	built in as far as who's impacted. So we feel that
18	that rule would be more applicable, noticing the
19	impacted parties. We also don't know if those
20	scenarios, like a building unit owners, they would
21	have the understanding of what they were receiving. A
22	tribe.
23	If the minerals are being developed, OCD
24	doesn't have regulatory authority on tribal lands. So
25	that would be up to the BLM and and the tribe to

1	initiate any disclosures needed there. Schools,
2	childcare centers, again, we don't know if they have
3	professionals on staff to evaluate those if those
4	were received or and at this point in time they
5	would be unimpacted parties. If they're impacted,
6	then again, that moves to part 30 in the WQCC
7	regulations.
8	Q Mr. Powell, is it typical in other sections
9	of OCD rule to require notification to other agencies?
LO	A Other agencies, yes.
L1	Q Are you aware of any other instances in
L2	which OCD and OCD regulations require notification to
L3	members of the public or schools or any entity not
L4	that's not an agency or not regulated by the Oil
L 5	Conservation Division?
L6	A The OCD does not. The only notification I
L7	know of around that is under the Surface Owners
L8	Protection Act which I am not an expert on. That's
L9	when surface owners get notified of certain activities
20	by oil and gas. But OCD is not the regulatory body
21	over that.
22	Q And to reiterate, Mr. Powell, in the event
23	where there is a loss of containment and impact to
24	groundwater, did I understand you to say there is an
25	existing disclosure requirement on your part 30?

1	A There is.
2	Q Okay. Thank you. Mr. Powell, anything to
3	add to the Commission on the reasoning slide here on
4	page 54?
5	A No. I believe we covered it in our
6	discussion.
7	Q All right, Mr. Powell, I'm moving on to OCD
8	Exhibit 4, page 55, representing changes still within
9	a subsection of 16.19B. Does this reflect that OCD
10	agrees with WildEarth Guardians' proposed changes?
11	A Yes. OCD agrees with it if the Commission
12	adopts that additional parties would like that notice
13	or require that notice.
14	Q So to whatever extent the Commission adopts
15	the proposals on the previous slide, the timing
16	requirement is appropriate?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Thank you. All right. We are moving on
19	from part 16 on to OCD Exhibit 4, page 56, addressing
20	19.15.25.14.A NMAC. Mr. Powell, please summarize the
21	effect of this section in OCD exchanges.
22	A So the effect of this change is just adding
23	into part 25 the ability to use MITs in casing
24	investigations. If there is a potential breach of the
25	casing, how that would be performed through using

1	mechanical integrity tests.
2	Q All right, Mr. Powell, moving specifically
3	to Exhibit 4, page 57. And again, this slide
4	represent that OCD agrees with WildEarth Guardians'
5	proposal?
6	A Yes. And I see typo on this slide. It
7	should be the same rule reference that was on the
8	prior slide. And it references 19.16 19 be on this
9	slide and it should be the 19.15.25.14A.
10	MR. TREMAINE: So for the record,
11	Mr. Powell's referring to the header on this section
12	that the typo's in the large font at the top of this
13	slide. The subsection represented in the body of the
14	slide is correct and conforms to the previous slide.
15	BY MR. TREMAINE:
16	Q Is that correct, Mr. Powell?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q Thank you for catching that. All right. We
19	have moved all the way through this Exhibit 4.
20	MR. TREMAINE: And Madam Hearing
21	Officer, my inclination was to move directly onto the
22	rebuttal slides, reflecting OCD's changes in Exhibit
23	11 to NMOGA's redline proposal, but I wanted to pause
24	before I moved on as we're covering a lot of grounds.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. No, I
	Page 64

1	think you had expressly stated that plan. I think the
2	other parties were in agreement. I think we're okay.
3	We will take a break in a little bit, our morning
4	break. I think you can keep going.
5	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you.
6	BY MR. TREMAINE:
7	Q Mr. Powell, I'm going to direct you now to
8	OCD Exhibit 11, starting on page 102 of OCD
9	submission. Did you prepare this exhibit?
LO	A I did.
L1	Q And this is already admitted, but please
L2	just reiterate for the Commission what you have
L3	what's represented in this exhibit.
L 4	A So what's represented in this exhibit is
L 5	OCD's rebuttal to the redline that NMOGA had submitted
L6	as far as again going section by section of the rule
L7	for what OCD agreed with or did not or didn't agree
L8	with. Just for expediency, if OCD was in agreement
L9	with a certain slide, OCD didn't provide a rebuttal to
20	it. So it would stand as far as what was previously
21	in the slides with WildEarth Guardians.
22	Q So just to distinguish between Exhibit 4, in
23	Exhibit 4, OCD provided examples of agreement, but in
24	Exhibit 11, as a rebuttal exhibit, OCD omitted
25	sections of agreement and only addressed sections of

1	disagreement?
2	A Correct. Yeah. In in the prior set of
3	slides, we included all of the redlines to the rule
4	where this, we only addressed the things we weren't on
5	agreement on.
б	Q All right, Mr. Powell, I'm going to direct
7	your attention to OCD Exhibit 11, page 104. I'm
8	skipping over 103 as it only has a header. We're
9	addressing here NMOGA's proposed changes to
10	19.15.2H(6) NMAC. Please describe OCD's modification
11	and reasoning.
12	A This response and reasoning is the same as
13	we've already addressed in the other set of slides,
14	providing a little more example actually in the slide
15	about OCD's discussion of the word such as like fluid,
16	which OCD is recommending striking this definition.
17	We feel it's unnecessary 'cause it's a common industry
18	term that can actually try to restrict its scope so we
19	don't want to do that. We want to keep the the
20	more broader version.
21	Q All right. Mr. Powell, moving on to OCD
22	Exhibit 11, page 105. 19.15.2.I(8), please identify
23	or please describe OCD's changes on this slide.
24	A I'll actually read the modification
25	reasoning on this one because I think it best
	Page 66

1	encompasses it. "OCD doesn't agree with using
2	using the term intentionally added as this creates an
3	environment where the OCD may have access where the
4	OCD may have to assess or litigate operator
5	responsibility for information which was not obtained
6	by the operator. For example, if a third-party adds
7	PFAS but doesn't tell the operator or the operator
8	doesn't make the third-party certify it, the operator
9	could state they didn't know about it so it wasn't
L O	intentional on their part."
L1	So it's eliminating that ambiguity. We want
L2	the operator to be proactive, working with the
L3	chemical companies to receive that information 'cause
L4	ultimately it's not the operator that has the chemical
L5	information. It's the chemical companies that they
L6	contract with and we want to make sure that that's
L7	being relayed to the operators appropriately.
L8	Q So to clarify OCD's position in here, does
L9	this address chemical additives?
20	A Yes. It does.
21	Q But I understand from earlier testimony this
22	does not address water as a chemical additive.
23	A Correct.
24	Q Thank you. Moving on to OCD Exhibit 11,
25	page 106. The definition of PFAS at 15.2.P(3).

Mr. Powell, we've discussed this in some length on previous slides. Is there anything that you wish to address separately in the context of this slide?

2.1

2.4

A I think the -- the best -- we've -- we've discussed it at length. The experts have discussed it at length. I would simply add to this, in addition to what's already in the slide, as chemicals grow, as testing grows, we want to make sure it encomfort -- encompasses what's being used as appropriate. Using this, our definition, plus using the rule as its written of when to enact that investigation, what to look for, is encompassing of what needs to be looked for.

There are times when chemicals can cross from food service industry to oil and gas. It happens. Guar gum, xanthan gum, is a really good component that does that. It's used both in food and in the oil field so we don't want to limit ourselves to something that has two fully fluorinated carbon atoms when something may have one in the future. I believe that was discussed at length with the experts. I am not the expert. But what -- my testimony is -- is we don't want to limit ourselves to something that may be used in the future and be discovered under the methods that we've proposed.

1	Q Is it the Division's intent to address the
2	potential identification of toxic PFAS in the future
3	as the science develops?
4	A It is.
5	Q Okay. Is it your opinion, understanding,
6	that this definition is more broad than the one
7	proposed by NMOGA?
8	A It's more broad and it may contain
9	contain one atom in the future instead of the two in
10	simple terms.
11	Q One more question, Mr. Powell. We've
12	addressed this before, but this slide still represents
13	a list of methodologies that we've discussed. Do you
14	recall testimony earlier in the hearing related to
15	methods OTM-45 and OTM-50?
16	A Yes. I do.
17	Q Okay. Were these included as an attempt on
18	the part of OCD to provide a complete and exhaustive
19	list of appropriate testing methodologies?
20	A It was.
21	Q After discussion, do you agree that those
22	are each of those methods are specific to air
23	testing methodologies?
24	A That is my understanding.
25	Q And do you agree with the earlier testimony
ر د	2 Into do you agree wrom one carrier descrimony
	Page 69

1	that given the scope and authority of OCD and the
2	purpose of this proposed rule amendment that it would
3	be appropriate to omit OTM-45 and 50 from this
4	definition?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. So at this time, they're still
7	included on the slide, but going forward, OCD agrees
8	to remove those?
9	A Correct. Because we're regulating surface
10	and groundwater and the water impacts. Removing those
11	would be pertinent.
12	Q Thank you. I'm going to move on to starting
13	after page OCD Exhibit 1, page 107, moving onto
14	19.15.7.16 NMAC. Mr. Powell, I'm going to direct you
15	to page 108 of the exhibit. Please describe the
16	changes and OCD's reasoning on this slide.
17	A Simply OCD's rebuttal to this section is
18	NMOGA's use of intentionally added. OCD doesn't
19	endorse the use of intentionally added so it struck
20	that section.
21	Q And I want to make sure this point is very
22	clear. As we previously discussed, Mr. Powell, here,
23	we're talking about intentionally added chemical
24	additives. Correct?
25	A Correct.

Q Okay. Is it OCD's position that industry
should be responsible for chemical additives, whether
they are willfully or negligently added to the fluid
stream?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you. I'm going to move on to
page 109, referencing part 7. That's 16C.
Mr. Powell, please describe the content of this slide.
A This slide goes over the 60 versus 90 days
for a confidential period of completion information.
We discussed this one at length in the prior slides.
OCD doesn't receive the information potentially until
45 days after the completion. And I think a
clarifying point that this is from the completion of
the well, not necessarily when OCD receives the
paperwork. So that timeline starts from completion.
Q Does this represent the same proposal and
same proposed modification that was addressed in
Exhibit 4?
A It does.
Q Thank you. Mr. Powell, please address the
modification and reasoning on Exhibit 11, page 110.
A NMOGA carried over WildEarth Guardians'
proposal to keep in the retention of these forms like
in the retention in the state is done by state
Page 71

1	records, statutes that are outside of OCD's control so
2	this conforms with that, even though, practically
3	speaking, OCD keeps all records for all wells
4	indefinitely.
5	Q All right. We are completing that section
6	and starting on OCD Exhibit 11, page 111. We're
7	moving on to 19.15.14.9.C NMAC and moving on to page
8	112. Mr. Powell, please describe the modification and
9	reasoning on this slide.
10	A So we'll break it out in pieces. The first
11	is removing intentionally used. We've discussed that
12	several times up to this point. It's also the second
13	point NMOGA had in here, it was limited to hydraulic
14	fracturing. OCD changed that to completion or
15	recompletion 'cause there could be some completion
16	activities that are not hydraulic fracturing. Also in
17	discussion, OCD changed it to completion or
18	recompletion. That may be more pertinent to change
19	back to downhole operations.
20	Q So like in Exhibit 4, is it the same section
21	we previously discussed where OCD was open or in
22	agreement to a changing completion or recompletion to
23	downhole operations?
24	A It is.
25	Q Thank you. All right, we are moving on,
	Page 72

starting on page 113 to 19.15.14.10A and on Exhibit 11, page 114, again, Mr. Powell, please describe the modification and reasoning here.

2.1

2.4

A Again, this was performed as a simplification because it's the requirements that were added to 19.15.14.9 as far as what was required to be in a permit and if pertinent data isn't in a permit that it should be denied.

Q All right. Quickly moving on, starting on page 115 to 19.15.16.17 NMAC and the content starting on page 116, Mr. Powell, please summarize.

A NMOGA's kept what's currently in the rule as it sits today in subsection A as written in this slide. OCD doesn't oppose that process because they've moved -- well, the new information in the subsection B, subsection B describes if there's a well integrity event, this is what happens. OCD doesn't object to that process of -- of separating those out as need be because there's still the protections that we have today, plus it adds the protections that we're looking for. The only comment OCD had to that subsection B is the use of hydraulic fracturing as a limitation. OCD request either move that to completion, recompletion or as discussed more pertinent, downhole operations.

1	OCD still feels that the shorter subsection
2	A is is descriptive but is not opposed to moving it
3	to separate sections if if the Commission feels
4	that that's more protective of what the the current
5	protections we have today plus incorporating what
6	we're looking at adding them to this rulemaking.
7	Q Okay. So I want to make sure we make a
8	clear record here, Mr. Powell. Is OCD's preferred
9	option going with the OCD modification in the middle
10	section of this slide?
11	A I believe that's cleaner, but I don't think
12	either one is a bad option. It it would be what
13	the Commission prefers.
14	Q And in the event the Commission prefers
15	splitting this out, then OCD would recommend a edit to
16	the hydraulic fracturing language that's not
17	represented on this slide but that you articulate it.
18	A It's actually represented on that slide in
19	subsection B in the first sentence of the NMOGA
20	proposal. It would need to be changed if a well
21	integrity event occurred from the downhole operations
22	of a well instead of from the hydraulic fracturing of
23	a well.
24	Q Apologies, Mr. Powell. OCD's recommended
25	changes to subsection B are not represented on this
	Page 74

1 slide. 2. Correct. Δ 3 Thank you. We're going to move on to -- I 0 think I slipped -- all right, Mr. Powell, we're moving 4 5 on to starting on page 117 of Exhibit 11, 19.15.16.17. 6 This is another one representing on multiple slides. So please describe for the Commission the content and 8 modification proposed by the Division and let me know 9 which slide you'd like to focus on. 10 I think, high level, we'll start with this 11 slide, go to the next slide, and then talk in depth 12 with the third slide for this section. In this slide, 13 it's the NMOGA's proposal to do what OCD was looking at doing with the trademark chemicals and how that's 14 15 provided to the Division as well and it's their 16 provision to do that -- perform that same function. 17 The next slide is OCD's proposal to perform that same function. As you can see, the -- the 18 19 language is substantially shorter in OCD's section. 20 OCD feels it's cleaner as far as how that's relayed. It quotes the act which NMOGA did as well, but OCD 2.1 22 feels that's -- it's a cleaner process or easier to 23 So we'll go to the modification reasoning on read. 2.4 the next slide. There's several key words and things

OCD didn't agree with in the NMOGA proposal.

25

In NMOGA subsection 2, they used to state
reasonable probability to contaminate where WildEarth
Guardians proposal uses potential to impact.
Reasonable probability to contaminate requires that
the OCD make a technical conclusion before requiring
an investigation where the material must be evaluated
to assess level of impact. OCD at this point in time
is looking to detect the impact and would not have
information to make a conclusion at the level of
contamination. As a threshold to initiate an
investigation, OCD believes language this language
establishes too high of a burden and would delay or
prevent appropriate testing.

2.1

2.4

Our second bullet point, NMOGA purposes -proposal places the initial request burden on the OCD
by stating the Division may request at the start of
the subsection to request additional data. This
burden should be the operator that controls the
situation to approach and provide the information to
the OCD. So that would be the chemical disclosure
list if the operator's well -- aware that there's a
well integrity event that have -- could have chemicals
in it. It should be the operator coming to the OCD,
not the OCD trying to go to the operator to get that
information.

1	Q I want to ask you a clarifying question
2	before we move on, Mr. Powell. In the event that an
3	operator does not report a loss of containment or a
4	well integrity event that could impact groundwater,
5	outside of this rule, does OCD have the authority it
6	if it becomes of that situation to demand the same
7	information or disclosures from the operator?
8	A It does. So those sections are covered
9	under 19.15.5 which is enforcement role of the OCD
10	which could include penalties, requirement of plugging
11	the well, additional sanctions to the operator.
12	Q Does OCD have plenary authority to require
13	testing or disclosure of data to the Division from
14	operators?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And does OCD have subpoena authority
17	if it needs to demand specific information from
18	operators?
19	A It does.
20	Q Okay. So to clarify the change here, is the
21	purpose of the change here to focus that initial
22	burden on the operators as an affirmative disclosure
23	requirement?
24	A It is.
25	Q Okay. Thank you for that. Please move on
	Page 77

to the rest of the slide.

2.1

2.4

A Third bullet, NMOGA subsection places the potential request on this -- on the Division to request the information from the supplier or service company which the OCD may or may not regulate.

Historically, this burden had been on the operator as the operations on site are performed on the operator's control. It's the operator's contract with those companies. So OCD performs that investigation through the operator and it's the operator that is required to get that information from their suppliers or service companies.

The fourth bullet in NMOGA subsection 2 B again places the burden on the Division to request data, including sampling groundwater in the vicinity of a well integrity event. At this point in time, OCD is looking for what is included in the fluid stream as a potential contaminant and a groundwater investigation is better suited under 19.15.29 or 19.15.30 NMAC. When you start looking in the vicinities, that's more of an investigation under those rules. We want to know what was in the fluid stream or as close to possible when the event happened.

In summary, OCD feels the NMOGA's proposal

1	places an unnecessary burden on the OCD, requires a
2	technical conclusion prior to investigation, creates a
3	potential bar to appropriate testing and investigation
4	and it's not clear as to the it's not as clear as
5	the OCD's draft.
6	Q So, Mr. Powell, I want to clarify that
7	fourth bullet with the Commission here. What's the
8	OCD's intent with this section in terms of strike
9	that. Is it the OCD's intent to request a disclosure
L 0	so that at this point in time so that the OCD knows
11	what it needs to be looking for in sampling?
L2	A Yes. OCD once there's an impact known,
L3	the rules regarding that impact are under 29 and 30.
L 4	OCD, in their proposal, is looking for what's
15	potentially there that could impact in those initial
L 6	ones, getting all that getting the chemical
L7	information to know what to look for for that impact.
L8	So what OCD's looking for in their in their version
L9	is what could be in the fluid stream, not what the
20	impact is. Because once that fluid enters in a water
21	system, it could be diluted, it could have numerous
22	things that have to be evaluated. OCD wants to know
23	the fluid stream to know what to look for, what in
24	that investigation.
25	Q Is this the section or the point in time
	Page 79

1	that we've discussed that triggers the SDS disclosure
2	of potentially proprietary information to the
3	Division?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. Investigation, delineation,
6	remediation, those would all occur under part 29 and
7	30?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Okay. Thank you. I want to briefly draw
10	your attention back to page 118. And this slide talks
11	about on the fourth to last line it starts "And we'll
12	use a third-party verified laboratory." Have there
13	been discussions regarding that language, verified
14	laboratory?
15	A There have.
16	Q Is that a phrase that do you feel that
17	that language needs to be should be updated in any
18	way upon
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Hold on,
20	please. They seem to be muted. Please go ahead. And
21	if you would find a good stopping point shortly.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
23	Examiner.
24	BY MR. TREMAINE:
25	Q Mr. Powell, does that verified laboratory
	Page 80

1	language deserve any type of update?
2	A I think if the parties feel that that need
3	to be updated, OCD's not a doesn't object to
4	updating that if it's there's specific
5	certification that's needed, those kinds of things.
6	OCD doesn't certify those laboratories. We feel that
7	they should be using a competent laboratory doing that
8	and if there's concerns with the laboratory, those
9	laboratories probably shouldn't be used, but OCD
10	doesn't perform those certifications or control those
11	certifications. So that's why it included the
12	language it did.
13	MR. TREMAINE: All right, Madam Hearing
14	Examiner, we have one more slide in this section and
14 15	then I'll break.
15	then I'll break.
15 16	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
15 16 17	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. BY MR. TREMAINE:
15 16 17 18	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. BY MR. TREMAINE: Q I'll direct Mr. Powell to Exhibit 11, page
15 16 17 18	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. BY MR. TREMAINE: Q I'll direct Mr. Powell to Exhibit 11, page 120, referencing 19.15.16.17.8.3. Mr. Powell, please
15 16 17 18 19 20	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. BY MR. TREMAINE: Q I'll direct Mr. Powell to Exhibit 11, page 120, referencing 19.15.16.17.8.3. Mr. Powell, please summarize the modification and reasoning present on
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. BY MR. TREMAINE: Q I'll direct Mr. Powell to Exhibit 11, page 120, referencing 19.15.16.17.8.3. Mr. Powell, please summarize the modification and reasoning present on this slide.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	then I'll break. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. BY MR. TREMAINE: Q I'll direct Mr. Powell to Exhibit 11, page 120, referencing 19.15.16.17.8.3. Mr. Powell, please summarize the modification and reasoning present on this slide. A Similar to the the prior discussion, OCD

1	determines that a well integrity event caused a major
2	release," so it puts that burden on the Division to
3	determine that or WildEarth Guardians' proposal state
4	simply states if there's an impact to surface or
5	subsurface groundwater, the way 29 is written, if
6	there's an impact to groundwater, it's already
7	considered a major release. So OCD wouldn't have to
8	make a determination. That should be a determination
9	that the operator makes.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Examiner,
11	we're done with that section. This is a good place to
12	pause.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you so
14	much.
15	Let's take 15 minutes and return at
16	10:30.
17	(Off the record.)
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Alrighty. We are
19	back after a short morning break.
20	Mr. Tremaine.
21	Thank you, Mr. Powell, for coming back
22	on the stand.
23	Please complete your presentations.
24	BY MR. TREMAINE:
25	Q All right. Resuming Mr. Powell with OCD
	Page 82

1	Exhibit, starting on Exhibit 11, starting on page
2	121. We're moving on to 19.15.16.19 NMAC. We have
3	another multi-slide presentation. So same deal,
4	Mr. Powell, please describe the content starting on
5	slide 122.
6	A Just to clarify, it's not a multi-slide.
7	It's just a simple statement of this section. This
8	section is over the notification of parties outside of
9	the OCD. NMOGA moved to remove all parties from that
10	section, in that section in its entirety. It's just a
11	statement OCD doesn't oppose the NMOGA's proposed
12	change to remove all parties as again we don't know if
13	other parties were contacted to see if they wanted
14	that notification or not. It'll simply be up to the
15	Commission if they want to keep that section, scale it
16	down to OCD's version, or remove it entirely.
17	Q Thank you for that clarification.
18	Mr. Powell, I'm moving on to Exhibit 11, slide 123. I
19	believe we've already covered this one, but please
20	summarize for the Commission.
21	A So this one's slightly different than what
22	we previously covered. NMOGA, by removing that
23	previous section in its entirety struck this section.
24	As far as how that disclosure would happen, OCD
25	doesn't oppose that NMOGA change. However, note if

1	the Commission decides to keep the full version or the
2	scaled down version of this section would be necessary
3	to provide that notification.
4	Q Thank you. Now, Mr. Powell, starting on
5	slide 124 of Exhibit 11, we're moving on to
6	19.15.25.14.A NMAC. And on slide 125, please discuss
7	the modification and the reasoning the OCD adopted
8	here.
9	A So NMOGA proposed to strike four casing
LO	investigations from this section so it would read
L1	"Casing integrity for casing repairs and wells to be
L2	placed and approved TA." OCD feels that casing
L3	investigations is necessary in this section. NMOGA,
L4	in their proposal, actually called it verified casing
L 5	integrity. So if you change that for for casing
L6	verifications, OCD wouldn't oppose that either. But
L7	either investigations or verifications is needed in
L8	this section to be included in the scope of that rule
L9	to perform those duties of using the MIT to verify
20	casing integrity prior to actually doing the repair.
21	Q Are you able to summarize what the
22	difference between a casing investigation or verifying
23	casing integrity versus casing repair is so that we
24	understand the distinction?
25	A So the investigation or verification,
	Dage 84

1	whatever that Commission decides to call it, would be
2	if you suspect that there's a hole in the casing or a
3	compromise of the casing. You would go in and run an
4	MIT to see if there is a hole or a casing integrity
5	event in the well.
6	Casing repairs typically are after you've
7	identified the hole, you go in and you perform a well
8	repair for that well, whether running cement or those
9	type of things and then verify that you have casing
10	integrity after the repair ensure that the repair
11	itself was successful. So there are different points
12	in time that casing investigation is pre-repair, the
13	MIT after repair is post repair.
14	Q So NMOGA's proposal could potentially omit
15	the requirement for that testing or verification
16	stage?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you. Okay. All
19	right. And we have reached the end of the slides and
20	I have no further questions for Mr. Powell.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
22	much, Mr. Tremaine.
23	Ms. Mulcahy or Mr. Rankin?
24	MR. RANKIN: Morning, Madam Hearing
25	Officer.

1	Commission and members, good morning.
2	Just take a moment to get myself organized.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. RANKIN:
5	Q Good morning.
6	A Good morning.
7	Q Mr. Powell, how are you?
8	A I'm well.
9	Q Normally, this is my partner, Mike
10	Feldewert's, job so I'm happy to take the opportunity
11	to work with you this morning. On the questions that
12	you addressed yesterday with Mr. Tremaine, I just want
13	to kind of backtrack and ask you to go over a couple
14	things. Yesterday, you testified that it's the first
15	time, to your knowledge, that the Division, or
16	Commission rather, is considering banning the use of
17	the chemical or compound used in the exploration and
18	production of oil and gas. Right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And I think you called it an extraordinary
21	proposal.
22	A Yes.
23	Q And Mr. Tremaine reviewed with you some
24	pretty historic items that have been used previously
25	in oil and gas operations that are no longer permitted

1	that had been banned by other agencies or other
2	federal or state agencies. Right? And as for those
3	items or materials, OCC took no action to ban them but
4	and they were addressed by these other federal or
5	state agencies. Right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And I think I understood you to say that OCD
8	does not currently have any staff designated as
9	chemists or toxicologists or was it was it chemical
L O	hygienist? Is that what it was?
L1	A I believe so. Yes.
L2	Q Something like that. But the Division does
L3	have some people with background in those areas, but
L4	those fields are generally outside the experience of
L 5	OCD staff. Right?
L6	A That's correct.
L7	Q Okay. And even I think one of his own
L8	witnesses, I think it was Dr. Brown [ph], testified
L9	that he thought the State Department of Health might
20	be better suited for at least some aspects of
21	regulating PFAS in the state. Do you recall that
22	testimony?
23	A Vaguely. Yes.
24	Q Okay. Was it ever considered by the
25	Division when this petition for rulemaking was made to

1	consider referring this to another agency to evaluate
2	the impacts of PFAS and whether it should be banned or
3	regulated?
4	A I don't believe that was part of OCD's
5	deliberations. I believe the applicant submitted it
6	before the OCC the OCC chose to hear it. So OCD
7	was responding to that application.
8	Q Okay. And to your knowledge, has there been
9	any coordination or discussion on this petition with
10	other sister agencies in the state?
11	A We have not had direct correspondence with
12	those other agencies that I'm aware of. I do know
13	that other agencies are looking are are talking
14	about PFAS. I don't know to what extent. But we did
15	not reach out to those agencies for this rulemaking.
16	Q I'm going to move into the definition of
17	PFAS. And I'm going to go to your rebuttal Exhibit
18	11. Sorry. Is there a lot of background? I don't
19	know why that is.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Rankin, if
21	you could just bring your microphone a little closer.
22	The microphone's straining to get your voice.
23	MR. RANKIN: Is that better?
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
25	MR. RANKIN: Okay.

	DI MR. KANKIN.
2	Q On the definition of PFAS. So I'm going to
3	move over to your slide 11, Exhibit 11. And I think
4	it's PDF page 5 and I will pull it up so we can see it
5	together on the screen. All right. I think this is
6	where we talk about the definition of PFAS, where the
7	Division responds to NMOGA's proposal for the
8	definition.
9	And I think we've covered this pretty well,
10	but I just want to make sure that I'm understanding.
11	I think in response to the questions from
12	Mr. Tremaine, you said the main difference is
13	essentially that well, NMOGA's proposed definition
14	would define PFAS as having two fluorinated carbons.
15	The Division defines it more broadly initially as
16	having one fluorinated carbon essentially with the
17	additional condition that it's a it has to be a
18	perfluorinated methyl group or a perfluorinated
19	methylene group. But that and that would allow for
20	future addition addition of future potential PFAS
21	that's identified that would have only the one
22	fluorinated carbon atom. Right?
23	A That's my understanding. Yes.
24	Q But for all intents and purposes, that first
25	sentence to this definition here that I'm

1	highlighting, it would be incorrect to read this
2	definition as PFAS being only this first sentence.
3	Correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Because you need to read you need to
6	understand that that PFAS is defined by as well as
7	by the methods, the standardized methods adopted by
8	the U.S. EPA.
9	A Correct.
L O	Q Okay. Now, on the updates here, what's your
L1	understanding of what the process is? I mean, when
L2	EPA adopts new methods, is it your understanding
L3	that's through a promulgation, through a rule
L4	promulgation?
L 5	A I'm not a chemist. I don't get into that.
L6	Q Not sure that would happen. Okay. So when
L 7	it is updated, so by whatever methodology, whether
L 8	it's a rule promulgation or some sort of announcement
L9	or notice, would there be a process by which the
20	Division would notify the regulated community that a
21	new method is now applicable under the rule?
22	A I think the methods are there. If there's
23	updates to those methods, the Division does not notify
24	parties that another agency has updated something.
25	Q Okay. So it would be incumbent on all

1	parties to track EPA's updates about what new
2	analytical methods have been approved or authorized
3	under the EPA's system.
4	A I would assume an operator would, when they
5	sent the sample to the lab, it would be the lab that
6	would be keeping up with those methods. But that
7	would be an assumption.
8	Q Okay. This definition I understood OCD's
9	experts to explain that this definition as proposed,
10	at least the first sentence, came partly from a paper
11	and I forget the author's name. But it was a paper
12	that was prepared by scientists who were supporting
13	the OECD, which is the Organization for Economic
14	Cooperation and Development. Is that your
15	understanding?
16	A That's what I heard, yes.
17	Q Yeah. Do you know if any other states or
18	federal agencies have adopted this OECD definition?
19	A That would have been something to ask a
20	chemist. I I'm not aware of that.
21	Q Okay. Same kind of general question. I
22	presume you would defer to them, but you're not sure
23	why they wouldn't have chosen EPA or TSCA [ph] or one
24	of the federal agencies to adopt or follow one of the
25	existing definitions that were proposed or followed by
	Page 91

1	EPA?
2	A I believe that was in their testimony, why
3	they picked what they did. But I I wasn't part of
4	that review.
5	Q Okay. And just to confirm, I think you
6	covered it, but these two methods down here, the
7	OTM-45 and 50, you're recommending that those be
8	withdrawn from OCD's proposed definition. Correct?
9	A Correct.
LO	Q Okay. All right. I'm going to skip around
L1	a little bit here. Want to talk about the trade
L2	secrets issues and the petitioner's disclosure
L3	requirements. The Division opposes the proposal to
L4	ban the use of any undisclosed chemicals or chemicals
L5	that fall within the protections of the New Mexico
L6	Uniform Trade Secrets Act that an operator elects not
L7	to disclose because they constitute trade secrets.
L8	Correct?
L9	A Correct.
20	Q And because such a ban would prohibit the
21	use of non-toxic chemicals, if they are proprietary,
22	and the operator elects not to disclose them. Agree?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And the ban could extend to non-PFAS
25	substances if they're not disclosed or if they
	Page 92

1	constitute proprietary information.
2	A Correct.
3	Q And you agree that petitioner's proposal
4	would potentially prohibit the use of effective
5	hydraulic fracture chemicals, even if they're
6	non-toxic and non-PFAS just because they're not
7	disclosed due to trade secret protections?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And the Division and the Commission have a
LO	duty to prevent waste of hydrocarbon resources.
L1	Agree?
L2	A Correct.
L3	Q And so such a ban could potentially
L4	contribute to waste of resources if operators had to
L5	use less effective hydraulic fracturing fluids.
L6	A Yes, if if they weren't effective and you
L7	didn't get as much resource recovery, it could be
L8	deemed as waste.
L9	Q And on that sort of more general position of
20	the Division, in your testimony, you referred you
21	basically I think you say that I'll read it to you,
22	that this is OCD Exhibit 2, Bates page 14. "The
23	OCD does not support the proposed ban on trademarked
24	chemicals as this type of ban would not align with
25	state statutes providing for the protection of

1	proprietary and trade secret information." Do you
2	recall that being your testimony?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And do you mean by that that you believe an
5	outright ban would be contrary to the statute?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And even against public policy?
8	A Yes.
9	Q As to a technical basis, you also state in
LO	your testimony that you don't believe there's a
L1	technical basis that would justify banning non-PFAS
L2	proprietary compounds. Agree?
L3	A Correct.
L 4	Q Okay. And I mean, generally, we like the
L5	industry to be able to have innovation and come up
L6	with new methods, better methods, of developing oil
L7	and gas, even methods that may be more environmentally
L8	friendly. And would you agree that if operators were
L9	required to disclose their trade secrets, that there
20	may be a disincentive to innovate?
21	A Yes.
22	Q I'm going to move on to the heart of the
23	proposed rule which I'm very grateful that you walked
24	through, Mr. Powell, because it was very helpful to me
25	and I'm sure it was helpful to the Commission. And

1	you did a very good job of going through it in fairly
2	good detail, but there are a few questions that I want
3	to ask because I think it's important to clarify
4	exactly how the rules proposed to be implemented.
5	Let's see. So looking at this provision 16.17 and you
6	get down to the Division's redlines, I'm going to do
7	my best to highlight the language I'm talking about.
8	Okay, first question, just for
9	clarification, under this language here, 19.15.16.17,
10	so part A(2), okay. If damage from the shooting,
11	fracture, or treating of well has the potential to
12	impact surface or groundwater, just for clarification,
13	Mr. Powell, the reference to surface here, is it
14	intended to be surface water? That's the intent?
15	A That was my my understanding. Yes.
16	Q Okay. Just want to make sure that's clear,
17	that I understand. And would it be helpful to include
18	the word water there just to clarify that?
19	A Yes. This is the red is from WildEarth
20	Guardians so OCD didn't change that, but that was my
21	understanding is that was surface water and
22	groundwater.
23	Q Now, in the NMOGA's proposal, and I won't
24	pull it up right now, but I think we had proposed to
25	term these events where there's a potential impact to

1	the well and I think you as a well integrity event.
2	Do you recall that language?
3	A I do.
4	Q Okay. So I'm just going to use that as a
5	shorthand to describe what's described here in subpart
6	A which is when there's a completing, shooting,
7	fracturing, or treating event that has the potential
8	to impact fresh water where there's a potential casing
9	impact, okay, I'll use them to call that a well
10	integrity event. I understood you to say that one of
11	the reasons the Division was looking to limit this
12	rule to well integrity events is that there's many,
13	many occasions where operators use fluids downhole, in
14	downhole operations and it would essentially overwhelm
15	the Division having to review everything that comes
16	in. Right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And there's thousands of such operations a
19	year or tens of thousands of operations a year,
20	depending on how broad you look. Do you have a sense
21	for and I think yesterday you testified that the
22	well integrity events that would qualify under this
23	rule are relatively rare. Right?
24	A That they are.
25	Q Do you have a sense for how many that would
	Page 96

1 be in a year? 2 Α For a completion and recompletion activities, I know it was -- I believe it's probably 3 typically once a year at most. If we expand that to 4 5 downhole operations, you're still looking at probably 6 a very small number, but it would be more than that one a year. 8 All right. Coming back up to subpart A 0 9 under this provision, I'm going to look at this first 10 sentence here. And I just want to ask you, it's more 11 -- but I think I understood what you were saying, that 12 it's the burden or the obligation is on the operator 13 to make these determinations. But I want to make sure 14 I understand. 15 So in the first sentence here "If completing 16 shooting, fracturing, or treating a well has the potential to negatively impact the producing formation 17 injection interval communicates with other strata 18

So in the first sentence here "If completing shooting, fracturing, or treating a well has the potential to negatively impact the producing formation injection interval communicates with other strata casing or casing seep or may create underground waste or contaminate fresh water -- "I'll stop there. Who makes the determination that one of those events has the potential to negatively impact? Who makes that determination in the initial instance?

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

A It should be the operator who makes that initial determination.

1	Q The operator. So if something does occur
2	and if the operator it's incumbent on the operator
3	to make that determination.
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. And it'll be the operator also that
6	has the obligation to determine whether there's a
7	potential to impact surface water or groundwater?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. Now, on the question here, 'cause I
10	also want this to be very clear, when we're talking
11	about surface water or groundwater, as I understood
12	you to say, this rule is connected through later
13	provisions to parts 29 and part 30 of the Division's
14	regulations. Correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And parts 29 and part 30 are promulgated, as
17	you understand, under the Oil and Gas Act. Correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. And under part 30, is there a
20	standard by which the Division determines what fresh
21	water or rather what groundwater is protectable?
22	A I didn't review 30 as far as extensively for
23	that but protectable waters of the state are 10,000
24	TDS or less.
25	Q And when the rule here refers to fresh water
	Page 98

1	or groundwater, is it your understanding that it would
2	be referring to waters that are below 10,000 TDS?
3	A Yes, those are the protectable waters in the
4	state.
5	Q Now, I think I want to make sure I
6	understand some other elements here as we talk through
7	this. The changes that the Division made here in
8	blue, I'm going to highlight this language, in the
9	applicable fluid stream, the way I understood
10	WildEarth Guardians' proposal to read is that they
11	would require the operator of the well to disclose all
12	fluids ever put into the well. Do you agree?
13	A Yes. That's the way it was phrased.
14	Q And the Division is proposing to limit that
15	to only the fluid stream that was being used during
16	the operation that may have immediately preceded the
17	well integrity event?
18	A Yes. They the Division's looking for
19	what fluids could have impacted the water so it'd be
20	the fluid stream at the time of the well integrity
21	event.
22	Q So when I under this highlighted language
23	here where it says "In the applicable fluid stream,"
24	that would be limited to only what was being used at
25	that moment or right before the well integrity event?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. And as Mr. Tremaine ably elicited
3	from you, what is oh, shoot. What did I just do?
4	Did I delete the whole page? I don't know what I just
5	did. It's the problem with not having a mouse. I
6	just did. Control C? Oh yeah. Let me just see what
7	I did. Sorry. I apologize. This is why not having a
8	mouse is bad.
9	Would you mind sharing it? I'm so
10	frustrated with this.
11	Sixteen-seventeen. What did I just do?
12	That's all right. I like to be able to drive.
13	Do you have it up? Okay. Okay.
14	BY MR. RANKIN:
15	Q Okay. So in that same sentence there, it
16	refers to and Mr. Tremaine pulled this out in your
17	testimony, but what the Division is focused on
18	regulating here are the additives that are used in the
19	stream. Right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Okay. And that term additives, the Division
22	has not proposed a definition for that term. Right?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Okay. I'm going to come back to that 'cause
25	I have a separate line of questions on that, but I
	Page 100

1	want just to have everyone kind of put a pin on that
2	term. Okay? And then in addition in the same
3	provision, the Division talks about what is
4	potentially harmful. Right?
5	A Say that again, I apologize.
6	Q Sorry. Let me pull up this. The Division
7	references the focus here on the Division's
8	investigation would be what would be potentially
9	harmful. Correct?
10	A I believe so. I am the blue section of two.
11	Q Yeah.
12	A Towards the end of the main paragraph.
13	That's in blue?
14	Q Yeah. It's hard when I don't have it right
15	in front of me. Let me pull it up again. I'll get to
16	it.
17	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Jesse, for
18	allowing me trying to help me. Okay. Do you mind
19	if I go back to sharing? I've recovered my okay.
20	BY MR. RANKIN:
21	Q So in the provision in subpart paragraph 2,
22	the Division has proposed this language, potentially
23	harmful chemicals. Agree?
24	A Correct.
25	Q Okay. And my question to you is, and I
	Page 101

1	don't recall you touching on this with Mr. Tremaine,
2	but what does The Division mean by potentially
3	harmful? How is that determined?
4	A It would be determined through discussions
5	between the operator and the Division looking at all
6	chemicals, if there's chemicals that are deemed that
7	could be potentially harmful to the water. Those
8	would be what we would test for, you know, such as the
9	PFAS constituents under the methods could be
10	potentially harmful. So that would be something to
11	test for. Something that isn't inert wouldn't be
12	potentially harmful. That wouldn't you it would
13	be not needed to test for those.
14	Q Okay. And in addition to the PFAS chemicals
15	referenced, you also referenced some testing
16	requirements under part 29. Right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And that part 29 that you referenced gives
19	the Division some additional discretion to identify
20	additional chemicals that aren't necessarily listed by
21	any regulation. Agree?
22	A Correct. Yes.
23	Q So if the Division could require it's
24	fairly expansive what the Division could require the
25	operator to review or to sample for, to look for.

1	Agree?
2	A Correct.
3	Q But the intent here is to base the
4	investigation off what is in the additives. Correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Okay. And the triggering event for this
7	investigation would be what I've been calling a well
8	integrity event. Right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And that would be, as I understand it, it's
11	sort of a two-part question, no. 1, if damage from the
12	shooting, fracturing, or treating of a well, so no. 1,
13	you have to have damage. Correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q No. 2, it would be from shooting,
16	fracturing, or treating of a well. Correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And then three, it has to be have the
19	potential to impact surface water or groundwater.
20	Correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And that groundwater that would be
23	potentially impacted would be water less than 10,000
24	TDS. Agree?
25	A Correct.

1	Q And I think I heard you say that it would be
2	it's only an investigation would only be
3	triggered when the impact was outside of the strata
4	that's being targeted for development for oil and gas.
5	A For this provision, yes.
6	Q This provision.
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Okay. Just going through. You addressed a
9	lot of these questions so I'm ticking off ones I don't
10	have to ask. So even while it feels like it's
11	taking me a while, it's actually saving a lot of time.
12	Okay. Now, under this rule, as proposed under this
13	rule with the modifications proposed by the Division,
14	when these events are triggered and there's an
15	investigation triggered, the disclosure requirements
16	provided here by the Division, the only disclosure
17	would be to the Division. Correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. And the disclosure would be only the
20	additives you used in the applicable fluid stream
21	which we described would be the fluid stream that was
22	being used in the well immediately preceding the well
23	integrity event.
24	A Correct.
25	Q Okay. Now, next in the step, I'm just going
	Page 104

1 to try to step through the process here. Assuming all 2 the triggers are met that we just referred to, the operator will then test for all identified potentially 3 harmful chemicals and will use a third-party verified 4 lab to conduct any appropriate testing to verify any potential impact. Agree? 6 Α Correct. 8 Okay. What I want to make sure is clear, 0 9 because I don't think it was clear, but I -- exactly It came out very well I think in your 10 11 testimony. It may not be as clear in the language of 12 the rule. But what's being tested here is the 13 applicable fluid stream or rather what's being tested 14 here is the applicable fluid stream. Is that correct? 15 Α Correct. Not the formation fluids. 16 Q 17 Α Correct. Okay. So looking at this proposed rule, the 18 0 19 Division's language, with the language from WildEarth Guardians, I'm looking at subpart A, sub paragraph 2 20 21 where it says "The operator will test for all 22 potentially harmful chemicals." Would it help clarify 23 the intent if we put in some language there, "We'll 2.4 test the applicable fluid stream" or something along those lines so it's clear what the Division is 25

1 expecting the operator test? 2 Α In the first part of blue, it has the 3 additives used in the applicable fluid stream. But if -- if you want to restate that down lower, I don't 4 5 think it would hurt anything. 6 I guess that's a separate sense. And it wasn't clear to me when I'm reading these senses 7 8 together what is being tested, what the intent is for 9 the operator to test. 10 Α Okay. 11 Yeah. Q 12 Yeah. Α 13 That's why I'm asking the question, Q Okay. just to be clear that the Division's intent here is 14 15 that the test would be of the applicable fluid stream. 16 Right? 17 Α Correct. 18 Okay. Now, I just want to pin that thought 0 too, okay. So we're talking about additives. Pin 19 that thought. Which is the focus of the Division's 20 regulation here, right, because we're worried about 21 22 what's in the additives. And I also want to pin this 23 idea of having to sample the applicable fluid stream. 24 Right? Okay. Okay. Now, one thing I heard yesterday, I just want to kind of touch on this 'cause 25 Page 106

1	I want to I think I heard it, I want to make sure I
2	understood what you're saying. I think I heard you
3	say yesterday that in this process of evaluating or
4	assessing a potential impact, that the Division might
5	require an operator to engage a third-party, a
6	consultant or a third-party to help evaluate the
7	potential to do the potential sampling. Is that
8	correct?
9	A Depending on the additives you used and how
10	extensive that list would be, it may be appropriate
11	through OCD's other investigation powers to request an
12	operator get a third-party to help perform that
13	evaluation.
14	Q Okay. All right. I'm going to come back to
15	
10	both concepts of testing of regulating the additives
16	both concepts of testing of regulating the additives and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream,
16	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream,
16 17	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream, okay, but I'm going to move on to a couple different
16 17 18	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream, okay, but I'm going to move on to a couple different topics first. And I'm going to talk just briefly
16 17 18 19	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream, okay, but I'm going to move on to a couple different topics first. And I'm going to talk just briefly about produced water. Mr. Powell, you agree that it's
16 17 18 19 20	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream, okay, but I'm going to move on to a couple different topics first. And I'm going to talk just briefly about produced water. Mr. Powell, you agree that it's the policy of the state and the Division to encourage
16 17 18 19 20 21	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream, okay, but I'm going to move on to a couple different topics first. And I'm going to talk just briefly about produced water. Mr. Powell, you agree that it's the policy of the state and the Division to encourage the reuse and/or to reuse and recycle produced
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and then also sampling the applicable fluid stream, okay, but I'm going to move on to a couple different topics first. And I'm going to talk just briefly about produced water. Mr. Powell, you agree that it's the policy of the state and the Division to encourage the reuse and/or to reuse and recycle produced water within oil and gas operations in the field.

1	reused produced water for their well operations.
2	A Correct.
3	Q And the Commission promulgated a rule back
4	in 2015, which was updated in 2020, that addresses the
5	reuse and recycling of produced water under 19.15.34
6	NMAC.
7	A Correct.
8	Q And under that rule, operators are not
9	required to have a permit or register if they want to
10	just reuse produced water in the field for certain
11	things, including drilling, completing, producing,
12	pressure maintenance, secondary recovery, or enhanced
13	recovery.
14	A The only restriction to that would be if
15	you're drilling through a fresh water zone. OCD
16	requires that that be done with fresh water.
17	Q Okay. But there's no other restrictions on
18	the reuse or recycling of produced water in oil, field
19	operations for the provisions enumerated under that
20	rule. Right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. And that's because the Division and
23	the state encourage the reuse of produced water and
24	the state.
25	A Correct.

1	Q Okay. I think you heard you say that
2	Division is not supporting a screening or testing or
3	produced water prior to reusing or completing wells
4	under this rule.
5	A Correct.
6	Q And that produced water rule is not being
7	addressed or sought to be modified under this
8	proposal.
9	A Correct.
10	Q Yeah. All right. On produced water, I want
11	to talk about I'm going to move to NMOGA's proposal
12	which was to address limit this proposed rule to PFAS
13	that was intentionally added. Okay. So we talked
14	about the Division's proposal for the definition and
15	what NMOGA did is they proposed to further limit
16	essentially the definition of PFAS to for purposes
17	of regulation under this rule to PFAS that was
18	intentionally added.
19	And I'm going to pull it up on your rebuttal
20	slide. It's probably the best place to review it.
21	Let's see if I can get to that. There we go. The
22	mouse. I'm missing my mouse. No, the Division does
23	not agree with this proposal, right, to further limit
24	it to what's intentionally added.
25	A Correct.

1	Q Okay. And this slide essentially gives your
2	explanation 'cause the concern is that we get into an
3	argument over whether it was merely negligent or
4	whether it was willful or and the concern is if
5	this sort of sets a threshold that if it's not willful
6	then it can be allowed.
7	A Correct.
8	Q Right? Okay. That's understandable. Now,
9	in your explanation here, you offer the example that,
LO	you know, you guys don't have authority over the
L1	suppliers of these chemicals and the authorities limit
L2	it to the operators of the wells. Agree?
L3	A Correct.
L 4	Q And so my question to you is, you know, and
L5	here I'm highlighting this language, that as proposed
L6	by NMOGA, we're not proposing that the supplier
L7	certify that the chemical additives do not contain
L8	PFAS. Right?
L9	A We're not. We're requiring the operator to
20	certify.
21	Q Would it make a difference if instead NMOGA
22	were to propose or the rule would have proposed that
23	the certification included a certification that the
24	supplier had confirmed that PFAS was not in the
25	chemical additives?

1	A I think for what OCD, as far as our
2	enforcement, we're looking for that certification from
3	the operator. And if the operator wants to for
4	their legal purposes wants to have the chemical
5	company certify that to the operator for the operator
6	to make that certification, I'm not opposed to that.
7	But ultimately, for the OCD, we want the operator to
8	provide us that certification.
9	Q Okay. So if the operator were to provide a
10	certification that confirm that the additives being
11	used were are certified to be PFAS free from the
12	supplier, that might suffice from the Division's
13	perspective?
14	A As long as the operator still provides that
15	certification, that they certify it.
16	Q As long as it's the operator that because
17	the operator's the one who's subject to the Division's
18	regulations. Right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. Now, the reason I'm interested in
21	there's lots of reasons I suppose I'm interested in
22	this intentionally added language and I was interested
23	to hear the OCD's own experts engage with that
24	concept. And I recall them saying that it's an
25	important thing to consider, right, whether to

1	regulate it as an intentionally added component or
2	not. And you know, they just kind of touched on it
3	and they didn't go into too much detail about why it's
4	important or why that's an important consideration.
5	But I understand that rather than address
6	the regulation through what's intentionally added or
7	not for the concerns I think you've identified in your
8	slide, the Division took a different approach to try
9	to narrow the target of the regulation. Right?
10	A Correct. The Division looked at the
11	chemical additives added to the fluid stream.
12	Q Okay. Now, as I mentioned, I wanted to come
13	back to it and here I am, coming back to it. The
14	Division, by targeting the additives to the chemical,
15	to the stream, you didn't define the term additives.
16	Right?
17	A No. We did not.
18	Q What does the Division mean by additives?
19	A They're chemical additives. So I want to
20	use those two synonymously. In the description that
21	was given and the definition, it would be chemical
22	additives that's added in their general form.
23	Q So maybe it might be helpful if I asked you
24	what it's not. That may be a good way to do it. I'm
25	going to walk you through and maybe we'll see what we

1	can do on that. Okay? I'm going to go back to your
2	testimony and I'm going to pull up oops. One
3	second. Okay. Yeah, 58, that's the one I'm going to
4	get to. Okay. This is one of your exhibits,
5	Mr. Powell. I think it's this is a FracFocus
6	disclosure. Do you recognize it?
7	A Correct. Yes.
8	Q And this is starting at Bates page OCD
9	Exhibit 5, Bates page 58. And basically, you pulled
10	this from FracFocus. Correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And it's representative of the types of
13	disclosures that are made by companies when they
14	complete a well.
15	A Correct.
16	Q And it lists out the components of the
17	applicable this would be determined to be the
18	applicable fluid stream if there were a well integrity
19	event.
20	A Correct.
21	Q Okay. So on this list of items identified
22	in the disclosure, I've highlighted three things that
23	I think Mr. Tremaine pulled this out from your
24	testimony that Division does not consider water to be
25	an additive. Correct?

1	A It would be an additive but not a chemical
2	additive.
3	Q Not a chemical additive. So the target of
4	this regulation would not be targeting water as
5	identified in this FracFocus disclosure. Agree?
6	A Correct.
7	Q So a chemical additive that the Division is
8	targeting in its regulation would be essentially
9	everything but the water that I've highlighted on this
10	exhibit.
11	A I probably wouldn't include sand in that
12	either.
13	Q Okay. Okay. That's very helpful. Now,
14	this water that's used in these FracFocusd
15	disclosures, it doesn't discern between municipal
16	water, produced water, well water. Doesn't discern.
17	Right?
18	A Not in this notice. No.
19	Q And as far as the Division's concerned, the
20	Division isn't distinguishing between those sources
21	either. Agree?
22	A The Division under their water use reports
23	actually get a division of different water types. But
24	the Division didn't include any of that into this
25	rule. Produced water's regulated under 19.15.29 as

1	far as its impacts if there's a release. So that
2	wasn't something that Division included into this rule
3	because it was already included in another rule.
4	Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying that. I
5	guess I should say the Division maintains, it will
6	continue to maintain the authority to regulate
7	produced water throughout oil and gas operations.
8	Right? No matter how it's used or where it's used.
9	A Correct.
10	Q Agree? Okay. But for purposes of this rule
11	and evaluating impacts under this rule, banning PFAS
12	and regulating PFAS, the Division is intending to
13	regulate only the chemical additives that are put into
14	the applicable fluid stream. Agree?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. Now, we're going to go back to the
17	rule. Sorry for my slowness. That same approach is
18	used for the same understanding of what the Division
19	is regulating would be applicable under let me get
20	to the language 19.15.7.16A as well where you talk
21	about sorry 6. Apologize. Okay. Here it is.
22	So under this 19.15.7.16 subparagraph A,
23	under the second sentence here. "In addition, the
24	operator shall file a certification that no PFAS
25	chemicals were added to the fluid used in the
	Page 115

1	completion or recompletion of the well." The
2	Division's not proposing to use the word additive
3	here, but you're using a similar word, added, right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And the intent here is it would be to target
6	what you're defining as or referring to as chemical
7	additives. Right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Okay. And it wouldn't be the water we
LO	discussed. Right?
L1	A Correct.
L2	Q Okay. And on that section dealing with
L3	applications, the same thing here, as I understand,
L4	where, again, you are using the word additives but in
L5	a different context that the Division's limitation
L6	here would be that it's only chemical additives, if
L7	any, used during drilling operations or any downhole
L8	operations would be
L9	A Correct. Correct. That was the intent.
20	Q Okay. And this one's a little bit just
21	kind of walk through a couple examples and see if you
22	can help me out. But, you know, drilling mud, would
23	that be a chemical additive or would drilling mud be
24	the base and anything that might be added to the
25	drilling mud would be a chemical additive?

1	A I would not consider drilling mud a chemical
2	additive.
3	Q Okay. And just to be clear, the water you
4	used, right, would not any water you used during
5	the drilling operations would not be deemed to be a
6	chemical additive unless there was additional
7	additives added to the water. Right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And brine, brine's being used, same thing,
10	brine would not be a chemical additive.
11	A Correct.
12	Q What about oil-based muds, if there's
13	oil-based muds being used during drilling operations?
14	A I would say whatever oil or what type of oil
15	you add would be an additive.
16	Q Okay. Are there any other circumstances you
17	can think of, Mr. Powell, where you would want to
18	distinguish between what is a chemical additive or not
19	during drilling operations?
20	A Not that I can think of.
21	Q Okay. Now, I'm going to circle back to the
22	intentionally added here because this is where I think
23	it's important for the Commission to understand why
24	NMOGA was concerned about or promoted or proposed this
25	language. And the issue I guess, Mr. Powell, is you
	Page 117

1	understand is I understand you to say is that the
2	Division is focused on regulating the chemical
3	additives that are applied to the applicable fluid
4	stream prior to a well integrity event. Correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q But what the Division is requiring operators
7	to test or sample after a well integrity event is the
8	entire fluid stream which would include any water used
9	for that operation. Agree?
10	A I would be the fluid stream, the mixed fluid
11	stream is what would be test.
12	Q Agree. Right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And so while the Division is focused solely
15	on regulating and preventing the addition of PFAS as a
16	chemical additive, operators are being required,
17	nevertheless, to sample the entire mixed fluid stream.
18	Agree?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And as we heard from all these experts and
21	we'll hear from our experts, the PFAS out and about in
22	the world are fairly ubiquitous. Agree?
23	A Correct.
24	Q They're in our food. They're in municipal
25	water streams. They're in almost every well that's
	Page 118

1 been tested. Agree? 2. Δ That's what was testified to earlier. And so the concern, I guess, Mr. Powell, is 3 0 4 that if the operators are being required to do all 5 this work and potentially, you know, if there's a well 6 integrity event that they have to sample for or review, how do we distinguish between what may be in 8 the municipal water versus what is a chemical 9 additive. I think it's important to understand OCD's 10 11 proposed rule that what we're testing for isn't for 12 everything that's there as far as or could be there. 13 It's testing for specific potential harmful chemicals that have been added through that review of that 14 15 information. So if in that review of that information 16 it shows that there could be PFAS that was added 17 through the chemicals that the operator used, then that's what we'd be testing for. And if there's a 18 19 hit, then that's what we would be looking at. But it 20 would -- it's based on the chemical additives in the 2.1 review at the well integrity event that OCD would be 22 looking for. 23 Okay. Now, as we sit here today, you know, Q 2.4 we don't have a very good understanding just yet about whether or not any of the -- I mean, what chemicals 25

1	are out there and how there may be any overlap.
2	Right? I mean, I think we let me see how to phrase
3	this. Okay. Let me stop there and I may shift off to
4	a different set of questions.
5	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing Officer, may
6	I take a brief break to confer with my client about a
7	couple different additional questions I may want to
8	ask.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. The other
10	thing we could do is break early for lunch and come
11	back. What's your preference?
12	MR. RANKIN: I think an early lunch
13	would be great because I don't think I have very many
14	questions left, but I want to make sure that I have
15	everything covered.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Let's
17	come back at 12:30 then.
18	MR. RANKIN: Okay. Thank you.
19	(Off the record.)
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's come back
21	from lunch break, please. When we broke for lunch,
22	Mr. Rankin was asking his questions of today's
23	witness, Brandon Powell.
24	I know, Ms. Nanasi, you said that your
25	witness would be available at 1 p.m., going forward
	Page 120

1	from that time. I would certainly prefer not to
2	interrupt, you know, a witness to take another
3	witness. Is your witness available as well tomorrow
4	if we couldn't finish for today?
5	MS. NANASI: No. She has prior
6	commitments, which is why I asked for this time
7	certain, you know, from one o'clock all the way 'til
8	the public comment. And so I'm wondering if we could
9	finish with NMOGA if there's a chance that somebody
10	else could do cross-examination of Mr. Powell and then
11	at one o'clock we start with Dr. Hansen?
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
13	Parties? It's a small window.
14	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing, so I'll
15	defer to the Division as Mr. Powell is their witness.
16	I think having the questions fresh in the Commission's
17	mind might be helpful to have them be able to question
18	Mr. Powell, but I'll leave it to the Division. It's
19	their witness.
20	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Examiner,
21	I don't think it's ideal. Mr. Powell is available for
22	the rest of the week and we serve at the pleasure of
23	the Commission. So I do my only contribution would
24	be that based on previous questions and the amount of
25	material that we covered, I do expect that I mean,

1	won't speak for the Commission, but I do expect many
2	more questions for Mr. Powell. I think this is going
3	to go for a while. So if it's absolutely necessary
4	and this is what the Commission wants to do, we will
5	accommodate.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Yeah,
7	I think the Commission, based on the previous
8	questions I've had of previous witnesses and some of
9	the discussion there, that there will be extensive
10	questioning by the Commission of Mr. Powell.
11	All right. Let's keep going,
12	Mr. Rankin and we'll find a good stopping point around
13	one.
14	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing Officer,
15	thank you very much. Commission, members of the
16	Commission. Good afternoon. I've had an opportunity
17	review my copious notes. I believe that Mr. Tremaine
18	had listed most of the questions that I myself would
19	have asked. I believe I've covered all the topics
20	that I had intended in my cross so I have no further
21	questions of Mr. Powell. I appreciate the
22	opportunity.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
25	Mr. Powell?

1	MR. MAXWELL: Your Honor, I do not.
2	Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
4	I saw Ms. Kessler in the room earlier.
5	I don't see her right now. She may be on the
6	platform.
7	Ms. Kessler, if you can hear my voice,
8	do you have questions of Mr. Powell? Okay.
9	Let's move then to Ms. Nanasi.
10	Ms. Nanasi, do you have questions of Mr. Powell?
11	MS. NANASI: I do. I don't think that
12	I will be able to finish within 25 minutes or
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's make good
14	use of this time.
15	MS. NANASI: Excellent.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. NANASI:
18	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Powell. First, my name
19	is Mariel Nanasi. I represent New Energy Economy.
20	Good to meet you in this setting. First, I'd like to
21	discuss the statutory provisions governing OCD's
22	authority. You are familiar with these laws.
23	Correct?
24	A Generally. I didn't prepare anything for
25	this hearing, but I'm generally aware of them.

1	Q And pursuant to $70-13-3$, the statute says
2	"It is the jurisdiction of the Oil Conservation
3	Division of the Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
4	Department to regulate produced water as provided in
5	the Oil and Gas Act." Is that your general
6	understanding of that part of the law?
7	A Yes. Yes.
8	Q This gives the OCD broad authority to make
9	rules and orders to regulate produced water on the oil
10	and gas field. Correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You are familiar with NMSA 1978 sections
13	70-2-12(B)(7). Is that correct?
14	A Not to memory, but it sounds like part of
15	the Oil and Gas Act.
16	Q If it states the Oil Conservation Division
17	may make rules and orders for the purposes and with
18	respect to the subject matter stated in this
19	subsection, that's sort of the broad 70-2-12(B) and
20	then there's many subsections, that's what your
21	recollection is. Is that right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. And so part 7 of that is "To require
24	wells to be drilled, operated, and produced in such
25	manner as to prevent injury to neighboring leases or

1 properties." Does that sound correct? 2. Δ That sounds correct. 3 Do you agree that under 70-2-12(B)(7), the 0 law gives the OCD broad authority to make rules and 4 5 orders requiring wells to be drilled, operated, and produced in such a manner as to prevent injury to 6 neighboring leases and properties? 8 Α I'm not a lawyer, but I would say in whole 9 it would contingent on the other parts of the Oil and Gas Act. 10 11 And I'm not asking you as a lawyer, sir. 0 12 I'm just asking you in your capacity as the director. 13 I understand that. Α 14 Okay. Thank you. Referring you now to 0 15 70-2-12(b)(15), "The Oil Conservation Division may 16 make rules and orders for the purposes 'to regulate 17 the disposition, handling, transport, storage, 18 recycling, treatment, and disposal of produced water 19 during or for reuse in the exploration, drilling, 20 production, treatment, or refinement of oil or gas, 2.1 including disposal by injection pursuant to authority 22 delegated under the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act in 23 a manner that protects public health, the environment, 2.4 and fresh water resources.'" Does that sound like what you understand section (B)(15) to state? 25

1	A I believe you're reading it and that sounds
2	familiar.
3	Q As an expert for the OCC, can you explain
4	what authority you believe OCD has under that
5	provision that I just read to you to regulate produced
6	water?
7	A I would say I'm not expert on OCC. I'm an
8	expert for Oil Conservation Division so I would flip
9	those acronyms a little bit. As far as being an OCD
10	professional, our charge is to enforce the rules that
11	the OCC promulgates.
12	Q Okay. And with that caveat, and I thank you
13	for that clarification, can you explain what you
14	believe the OCD's power to regulate produced water is?
15	A The power to regulate produced water is
16	through the rules that the OCC promulgates. So the
17	Produced Water Recycling Rule, the Spills Rule,
18	anywhere where produced water is used in the the
19	OCD's rules that have been promulgated.
20	Q And so that's a broad again, broad
21	authority, the overarching meta rule and then a more
22	specific thing about how do we deal with produced
23	water, whether it's with disposition or handling or
24	transport or storage or recycling, treatment and
25	disposal. That broad authority regarding produced

1	water is under the OCD's purview.
2	A Correct.
3	Q Referring you, Mr. Powell, to another
4	section, which is 70-2-12(B)(21). And this also says
5	that "The Oil Conservation Division may make rules and
6	orders for the purposes" and then section 21 or
7	paragraph 21 states quote "to regulate the disposition
8	of non-domestic wastes resulting from the exploration,
9	development, production, or storage of crude oil or
10	natural gas to protect public health and the
11	environment." Does that sound correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Could you please tell us, how do you define
14	non-domestic wastes?
15	A I didn't prepare that for this. I would say
16	non-domestic waste are handled I believe under
17	19.15.35. That's going to be your pit liner, your
18	filters, those kind of things.
19	Q Drill cuttings. Right?
20	A I don't know if those fall under the
21	non-domestic or domestic. I would have to look
22	through the rules. That's not something I prepared
23	for this.
24	Q Okay. As an expert for the OCD, can you
25	explain what statutory authority you believe OCD has
	Page 127

1	under 70-2-12(B)(21) to regulate non-domestic wastes?
2	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. Calls for a
3	legal conclusion.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I think I
5	understand your questions of Mr. Powell as a
6	regulator. But a lot of the questions have skirted on
7	legal conclusions.
8	So, Mr. Powell, you know how to answer
9	that question, which is that you're not answering as a
LO	lawyer. To the extent you have some other answer as a
L1	regulator, for example, you can answer it.
L2	THE WITNESS: My general understanding
L3	is the Oil and Gas Act provides the overarching
L4	statutory language that the OCC follows and
L 5	promulgating the rules. So that would allow the OCC
L6	to promulgate rules which then the OCD would enforce.
L7	BY MS. NANASI:
L8	Q Perfect. So then this subsection
L9	specifically 21, when it says "To regulate the
20	disposition of non-domestic wastes resulting from the
21	exploration, development, production, or storage of
22	crude oil or natural gas to protect public health and
23	the environment," as a regulator, could you explain
24	what your job to regulate the disposition of
25	non-domestic waste, what does that mean?

1	A I I go back to I think it's really hard
2	as a regulator saying how I utilize that to regulate
3	that provision because that's not a provision I
4	directly regulate. It's a provision that gives us
5	authority to create rules. And to know what rules
6	were created using that provision, I would have to go
7	back and look through the rule book to see which rule
8	were promulgated as part of that act.
9	I would say for non-domestic waste is part

2.1

2.4

I would say for non-domestic waste is part 35. The exploration stuff may be part 36 which is your surface waste management facilities. But knowing extensively how the OCD uses that to enforce, we don't use that statute to do that direct enforcement. We use the rules promulgated from that. And each time we do rule making, we use what statutes are that overarching authority to promulgate the rule. But OCC or OCD doesn't use the act as far as enforcement.

Q Okay. Would your answer be generally the same for the last provision under that section, which is 2270-2-12(B)(22) which states "To regulate the disposition of non-domestic waste resulting from the oil field service industry, the transportation of crude oil or natural gas, the treatment of natural gas, or the refinement of crude oil to protect public health and the environment"? Would your answer be the

Page 129

S

1 same? 2. Yeah, it would be the same. That's the Α overarching authority granted to the OCC and the 3 division through the OCC for those rules and OCD 4 5 enforces the rules promulgated from that. 6 Thank you. Is it OCD's position that an 7 operator shouldn't have to certify that it is not 8 using PFAS-contaminated produced water in its 9 operations under this proposed rule? 10 OCD is not looking for certification that 11 they're using PFAS-free produced water under this 12 rule. 13 Q Why not? It's not seen as a chemical additive. 14 15 also regulated under -- specifically under 19.15.29 16 which is the release rule that regulates produced 17 water as a whole. And it's very explicit in its regulation of produced water. So therefore, we didn't 18 19 extend that to this rule because it's already 20 regulated by another rule. And it wasn't a rule that 2.1 WildEarth Guardians proposed to modify as part of this 22 rulemaking so it wasn't under the purview of the OCD 23 to look at that change. 2.4 0 Do you think that that is potentially a huge 25 loophole though?

1	A I would say it produced water was
2	specifically looked at by the Commission under
3	19.15.29 at that time. And the Commission designated
4	what produced water when there is an impact would be
5	tested for. So it wasn't something that we look into
6	because it was already addressed by another rule.
7	Q Do you have an opinion about whether there's
8	more PFAS in municipal treatment facilities versus
9	produced water?
10	A I have not studied PFAS in any of the
11	waters.
12	Q It appears that NMOGA is concerned about the
13	ubiquitous nature of PFAS in water, whether the water
14	comes from municipal treatment facilities or produced
15	water, wouldn't it be easier to identify what
16	chemicals are additive if the operators were required
17	to disclose them upfront rather than having to
18	distinguish where the PFAS chemicals came from in the
19	event of a spill or a well blowout or a well casing
20	failure?
21	A I think that would be extremely broad. For
22	example, municipal water supplies are regulated by the
23	Department of Health. If there's testing of those
24	waterways, those things that would be something that I
25	would expect the Department of Health to do,

Τ.	otherwise, potentially oil and Gas would be testing
2	for something that people may be drinking.
3	Q Do you know that PFAS laboratory analysis is
4	expensive and time-intensive?
5	A I don't know that.
6	Q I'd like to propose a scenario. There's a
7	well blowout and then the OCD demands that all
8	chemicals, including what NMOGA claims is trade
9	secrets must be divulged to the Commission. And PFAS
10	is detected in significant amounts. So just assume
11	that scenario. If OCD sought to hold the operator
12	accountable without initial disclosure, there may be a
13	fight between the operator and OCD as to whether the
14	PFAS contamination was additive in the fracking fluid
15	or whether the PFAS pollution was inherent in the
16	PFAS-contaminated fresh or produced water. Correct?
17	MS. MULCAHY: I'm going to I'm
18	sorry. I just need to object here. I'm going to
19	object to that question. One, it calls for
20	speculation. Two, it's a compound question. And
21	three, I'm not even really sure what's being asked.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
23	Mr. Powell, I certainly would instruct you not to
24	speculate in your answer to the question. To the
25	extent well, let me ask you. Did you follow it?
	Page 132

1	It was compound. Did you follow it?
2	THE WITNESS: I believe I followed it.
3	I to answer it, there would be a clarification I
4	would need to make to be able to answer it, but I
5	think it's what authorities OCD would take. And I
6	I followed that portion so if that's what we're
7	looking for, I can answer that.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Go
9	ahead.
10	THE WITNESS: The first question though
11	I do need clarification on, as you mentioned well
12	blowout, we're talking well integrity, a well blowout
13	potentially is something entirely different. So I
14	would ask if you want it for a well blowout, what kind
15	of event are you talking about?
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's do
17	what you just said instead. Well integrity.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay. So if there's a
19	downhole well integrity event and there is an impact
20	to water, OCD has jurisdictional powers under the Oil
21	and Gas Act for subpoenas, request investigations. So
22	ultimately, if the operator is fighting OCD, the
23	director I believe has emergency powers to take action
24	and then there's also enforceability powers that the
25	OCD has that they could take.

1	BY MS. NANASI:
2	Q Well, what I'm trying to get at is if that
3	scenario were to happen and OCD did use its
4	enforcement powers and did get, at that time, the
5	operator to divulge the chemical constituents, whether
6	they were alleged trade secrets or not, couldn't there
7	be or wouldn't this situation invite like a fight
8	between the operator and let's say there was lots
9	of PFAS that were found. Wouldn't it invite a fight
10	between
11	MS. MULCAHY: I'm sorry. I have to
12	object. Again, I'm not sure what's being asked when
13	she says, "Lots of PFAS being found." It's also a
14	compound question. And I think he's, the witness, has
15	already stated that he's answered the question to the
16	extent that he can.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
18	So, Ms. Nanasi, if we could get to the
19	question perhaps more directly.
20	Mr. Powell, do you have the
21	clarification you wanted?
22	THE WITNESS: I did did receive the
23	clarification to provide the last answer.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
25	this is a new question. All right. Go ahead.

1	BY MS. NANASI:
2	Q If there was significant PFAS that was
3	discovered, wouldn't the fact that there wasn't
4	initial disclosure invite a fight between the operator
5	saying, "Hey, this just came from the municipal water.
6	We didn't add it"?
7	A I think under the OCD's proposal, looking
8	for PFAS as a whole comes from the chemical
9	disclosures that OCD would receive so we would be
10	testing that based on if it was disclosed as one of
11	those chemicals. So if it's found then it I don't
12	think it would be a surprise and at the same time, I
13	believe any like remediation efforts, those kinds of
14	discussions, would be under part 29 and part 30 and
15	would not be under this rule as far as evaluation,
16	enforcement actions, and where to go with it would be
17	under other rules.
18	Q In my scenario, wouldn't systematic initial
19	disclosure actually be more protective for both
20	operators and first responders, health professionals,
21	and the public?
22	A I don't believe so. As as we stated
23	before, this rulemaking isn't creating additional
24	personnel for OCD to perform this. So even if OCD

received all of those disclosures upfront, OCD doesn't

25

1	have the manpower to address those. We feel if that
2	type of disclosure is being pursued, that needs to be
3	a legislative proposal which OCD could respond to and
4	ask for additional personnel if passed.
5	Q And if the OCD felt that initial systematic
6	initial disclosure was more protective of human health
7	and the environment, couldn't the Division go to the
8	legislature like in a couple months and ask for some
9	more money to make sure that you had proper staffing
LO	in order to regulate on behalf of New Mexican's health
L1	and the environment?
L2	A I think at this point OCD hasn't made that
L3	determination because roughly 2,000 wells are drilled
L4	every year and we're talking completions,
L5	recompletions. Very few of those, if ever, have a
L6	direct impact to water or surface water, ground water,
L7	surface water. So those notifications wouldn't be
L8	going to an impacted party in those scenarios.
L9	Q But I'm not talking about notifications.
20	I'm talking about initial disclosure of chemicals used
21	in the downhole operations.
22	A But that would be the initial disclosure
23	that initial disclosures would be unneeded in say
24	99.9 percent of the time.
25	MS. NANASI: I see that it's 12:58 and
	Page 136

1	I would go into a different line of questioning if you
2	want to switch. Thank you, Mr. Powell.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you have a lot
4	more questions or can you estimate?
5	MS. NANASI: I have I didn't number
6	them, but I have 18 or so.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well,
8	let's turn then to Dr. Hansen. Do we have her in the
9	room or on the platform?
10	Mr. Powell, thank you very much.
11	MS. NANASI: Thank you.
12	I do have an opening statement, Madam
13	Hearing Officer, but we could wait. I could postpone
14	that until after Ms. Hansen if you'd like or
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Let's move
16	to Dr. Hansen. Oh, I'm sorry. An opening statement
17	which would I think probably help the Commission get
18	an idea of where you're headed.
19	MS. NANASI: Correct. May I approach?
20	And I do have copies of it.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: For the opening
22	statement?
23	MS. NANASI: Yes.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: I did distribute
25	the hard copies of Dr. Hansen's rebuttal that you
	Page 137

1 handed me earlier. 2 MS. NANASI: Thank you. But this is a 3 copy of my opening statement which I would like to give to you and the Commissioners and I will give it 4 to the court reporter. 5 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Thank you. 7 MS. NANASI: May I proceed? 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, please. 9 Thank you. 10 MS. NANASI: May it please the 11 Commission, I am Mariel Nanasi, lead counsel and 12 executive director for New Energy Economy. I would 13 like to address certain issues with you: the need to 14 ban PFAS, the importance of the definition of PFAS 15 that WildEarth Guardians, which I will be referring to 16 as Guardians throughout, proposes and why full 17 chemical disclosure is necessary to protect public health and the environment. But first, I'd like to 18 address NMOGA's rather combative and disingenuous 19 20 stance in this case. 2.1 Right off the bat, NMOGA is challenging 22 your authority, never mind that the legislature has explicitly endowed this Commission with the authority 23 2.4 and responsibility to regulate produced water and non-25 domestic waste to "protect public health and the

environment." And to protect landowners and
properties in "such a manner as to prevent injury."
What this means is that if you find that PFAS pose
harm to human health and the environment because of
its toxicity, mobility and persistence and PFAS
contaminated-produced water and non-domestic waste can
cause the degradation, if not permanent contamination
of property, and it is your regulatory authority to do
everything in your power to ameliorate these dangerous
threats. Twenty-three states have done just that and
we should join them.
This case raises the very critical

2.1

2.4

This case raises the very critical question if a claimed trade secret where secrecy is generally preserved for the sake of business competition must yield to a more paramount concern, the health of our bodies, our waters, our water, and our communities. New Energy Economy submits that it must. Colorado and California have already made this sound determination. As Dr. Hansen, New Energy Economy's expert witness, stated in her rebuttal, "Between 2010 and 2024, the numbers of self-reported spills by the oil and gas industry indicate over 10,000 instances of produced water spills totaling over a million gallons of produced water spilled. Of those spilled, 187 reached water course and 99 spills

1	affected groundwater. Given that PFAS has been
2	demonstrated to be present in produced water and the
3	persistence of PFAS compounds in the environment, it
4	is likely that essentially all the PFAS ever spilled
5	in surface or groundwater during this 14-year
6	reporting period is still present and mobile in the
7	environment or present in a living being, either in
8	its original form or as a termina PFAS degradation
9	product."
10	It is your moral and legal duty to
11	disrupt the pathways of further contamination. Given
12	that according to the New Mexico Environment
13	Department, 78 percent of New Mexicans depend on
14	groundwater for drinking water, 81 percent of New
15	Mexicans are served by public systems with water
16	derived from ground water sources and over 170,000 New
17	Mexicans depend on private wells for drinking water.
18	The Commission must embrace this opportunity despite
19	this opportunity excuse me to use its
20	authority to protect New Mexicans and our water from
21	even greater dangerous contamination.
22	The public is counting on you. No. 1,
23	NMOGA's first objection is baseless. Well within the
24	Commission's authority is the regulation of PFAS in
25	non-domestic wastes and produced water. The

Commission is authorized to prevent oil and gas waste
from contaminating New Mexico and to protect public
health and the environment. NMOGA's pre-hearing
statement alleges that Guardians' application and
proposed amendments seek to have the OCD regulate "the
generation" as opposed to the "disposition" or non-
domestic wastes or produced water, arguing that
because section 70-2-12(B)(15) provides the Commission
with the authority to regulate disposition and other
activities associated with it but doesn't specifically
use the word generation in the statute, thereby, OCD's
regulatory authority is limited and may not act to
regulate the "generation" of PFAS contaminated
produced water.

2.1

In other words, according to NMOGA, the OCD is free to regulate produced water once it emerges from a well but cannot regulate or even learn the content of the fluid that becomes produced water that represents a threat to our health and the environment. No one involved in this proceeding, including NMOGA, is confused about the process that results in produced water and waste. Drillers use fracking fluid made up of water and chemicals, set off explosions underground to release gas and oil. What comes back out of the earth as a result is fracking waste or produced water

1	which includes all the chemicals and the fracking
2	fluid, plus whatever else comes up from below.
3	NMOGA's position is that despite the
4	Commission's explicit responsibility to regulate
5	produced water and its explicit authority to regulate
6	its disposition to prevent injury, protect public
7	health and environment, it does not include the
8	authority to regulate what goes downhole in oil and
9	gas operations. NMOGA's position is wrong for several
LO	reasons. First, as this Commission understands, the
L1	only way non-domestic waste or produced water exist is
L2	via oil and gas production.
L3	Guardians' application and proposed
L4	amendments do not ask the OCD to exceed their powers
L5	or exceed its statutory authority by regulating
L6	generation of produced water and non-domestic waste.
L7	Guardians' application and proposed amendments seek to
L8	ban PFAS-contaminated produced water and
L9	PFAS-contaminated non-domestic waste. How is that
20	accomplished? By banning PFAS and oil and gas
21	downhole operations.
22	If PFAS is banned and downhole
23	operations then when produce water and non-domestic
24	waste is disposed, handled, transported, stored,
25	recycled or treated, it won't contain PFAS. Even

Τ	NMOGA concedes that the "common understanding" of
2	disposition is the disposal or discarding of
3	something, the power to make decisions about disposal.
4	The OCD has the power to make decisions about produced
5	water and non-domestic waste disposal, including what
6	is in them. And whether they are contaminated with
7	PFAS.
8	Second, NMOGA's trying to sow confusion
9	when there is none. Pursuant to section 70-13-3, the
LO	legislature gives OCD broad discretion to regulate
11	produced water and OCD may make rules and orders
12	explicitly pursuant to $70-2-12(B)(7)$ to require,
13	require, wells to be drilled, operated and produced in
14	such a manner as to prevent injuring to neighboring
15	leases or properties, to regulate the disposition,
16	handling, transport, storage, recycling, treatment,
17	and disposal of produced water during or for reuse in
18	the exploration drilling, production, treatment, or
19	refinement of oil or gas, including disposal by
20	injection to authority delegated under the Federal
21	Safe Drinking Water Act in a manner that protects
22	public health, the environment and freshwater
23	resources.
24	70-2-12(B)(21) "To regulate the
25	disposition of non-domestic waste resulting from the
	Page 143
	tage 143

1	exploration, development, production or storage of
2	crude oil or natural gas to protect public health and
3	the environment." And 72-12(B)(22) to regulate the
4	disposition of non-domestic waste resulting from the
5	oil field service industry the transportation of crude
6	oil or natural gas, the treatment of natural gas, or
7	the refinement of crude oil to protect public health
8	and the environment.
9	Third, it is beyond dispute that PFAS
10	is a toxic substance that is harmful to public health

2.1

2.4

is a toxic substance that is harmful to public health and the environment. And Guardians' application and proposed rule amendments seek to ban PFAS used in downhole operations. "NMOGA supports the science-based prohibition on the use of PFAS." How that is accomplished is important and a legitimate area of legal debate. But for NMOGA to challenge this Commission's authority to regulate PFAS with such sweeping language and via confusing and baseless arguments should be rejected. No. 2, NMOGA's second objection is another red herring injected to confuse and sow doubt. And two, is without merit.

The Commission and Guardians understand the difference between produced water and non-domestic waste. NMOGA claims that "WEG is attempting to implicitly or explicitly redefine or reframe produced

	water as either a waste or non-domestic waste through
	this rulemaking process and any such attempt is
	legally and procedurally improper. Despite this
	baseless claim, Guardians is not trying to conflate
	these terms, redefine, or reframe these different oil
	and gas waste streams. This bogus claim should be
	dismissed without further ado.
	Guardians is requesting a rule change
	to prohibit the intentional use of PFAS by the oil and
	gas industry in downhole operations so that there will
	be no further PFAS in both produced water and
	non-domestic waste. NMOGA is trying to create legal
	chaos, conflating two different waste streams when
	there is actually none. Three, NMOGA's third
	objection is Guardians' reference to the Colorado law
	that prevents any further PFAS use by the oil and gas
- 1	

2.1

industry and requires chemical constitute disclosures.

NMOGA makes an obvious point. Legislative action is not equivalent to rulemaking, yet its point is irrelevant here.

The vast body of scientific peer reviewed science points to research about the health impacts of PFAS. Exposures to PFAS may lead to decreased fertility, developmental delays in children, and raise the risk of certain cancers, including

1	kidney, testicular and breast cancers and more. Our
2	body's immune and vaccine response and hormones may
3	also be negatively compromised. Guardians points to
4	Colorado laws regarding a PFAS ban and a chemical
5	disclosure as critical precedent, not to confuse the
6	difference between statute and rulemaking. But
7	critically, because the oil and gas industry, just
8	across the border, has adapted to a PFAS ban and
9	chemical constitute disclosure and continues to
10	operate and profit. "Anything Colorado did or failed
11	to do in its most recent legislative action is
12	irrelevant to the present rulemaking" says NMOGA.
13	Colorado's law is not irrelevant
14	because it demonstrates that when our neighbor to the
15	north required a PFAS and chemical constitute
16	disclosure to protect public health and the
17	environment, the oil and gas industry was able to
18	accommodate the more stringent standards. There is no
19	evidence that NMOGA has submitted the changes
20	Guardians proposed that the changes Guardians
21	proposed to ban PFAS and require chemical disclosure
22	can't be accomplished here in New Mexico or that the
23	changes are not well within OCD's broad regulatory
24	authority granted by our legislature.
25	Four, NMOGA urges rejection of many of

Guardians' definitions, but these are necessary to
effectuate the proposed rules. I will not go through
why each of these definitions are necessary to
implement the proposed rule changes and their
respective legal underpinnings. I will save that for
a briefing. But I would like to highlight one very
important one that is critical for you to ponder as
you go through this hearing process. That is the
definition of PFAS.
NMOGA urges the Commission to reject
Guardians' definition of PFAS chemical, though this is
the definition that has been adopted in 23 states and
has been used by Congress in promulgating laws.
Guardians' definition, which is the widely accepted
definition, is PFAS chemicals means Perfluoroalkyl or
Polyfluoroalkyl substance which with at least one
fluorinated carbon atom. Dr. Hansen testifies that
the definition "ensures an accurate understanding and
monitoring of PFAS risk."
NMOGA argues for an extremely limited
definition of PFAS in the rulemaking and attempt to
exclude thousands of toxic compounds in this class of
chemicals from the rule. Again, Dr. Hansen testifies
against both NMOGA and OCD's definition. She explains

that their definitions are "too narrow to be

25

protective of humans and the environment. The
specific PFAS used by the oil and gas industry are not
pure compounds but are available with measurable
levels of residuals, imparities and break down
products. It is environmentally and chemically
simplistic to say that adequate control is achieved
using a definition only relevant to a particular
industry. PFAS are exceptionally stable in the
environment. Most are highly mobile. Recent research
suggests the toxicity of PFAS compounds is additive.
These characteristics of the class indicate a need for
a broad inclusive definition to describe existing and
potential risk."
You will have a world class expert
testify before you. Dr. Hansen's research has been
cited thousands of times by other scientists and
researchers and I urge you to explore why Dr. Hansen

testify before you. Dr. Hansen's research has been cited thousands of times by other scientists and researchers and I urge you to explore why Dr. Hansen is empathetic about a clear and broad definition of PFAS and believes that inclusion of PFAS, even compounds lacking in robust taxological information is necessary. It is critical that we get this right.

And Dr. Hansen's testimony provides the substantial evidence that you need to adopt a rule that is comprehensive and the most protective of human health and the environment just as the legislature instructed

1 is to be done. And this is last. 2 Chemical disclosure is needed to verify 3 compliance with the PFAS ban and to provide information necessary for risk assessments and 4 5 monitoring by regulators, first responders, health 6 professionals and the public. As you are aware, Guardians' rule changes require the disclosure of all 8 chemical constituents in downhole operations. 9 means the oil and gas industry would have to divulge their chemical ingredients, not their recipe. Why is 10 11 this necessary? 12 No. 1, the stakes are high and we must 13 disrupt PFAS pathways. The health of our bodies, our water, and our communities is at stake. Scientific 14 15 studies have linked exposure to PFAS with high 16 cholesterol, ulcerative colitis, testicular, liver, 17 pancreatic, and kidney cancer, preeclampsia, liver damage, thyroid disease, decreased vaccine response, 18 asthma, decreased fertility, low birth weight, delayed 19 20 mammary gland development, developmental problems, effects on brain development and diminished immune 2.1 22 system response. 23 As NMED cabinet secretary James Kennedy 2.4 [ph] has repeatedly stated "Given the toxicity, 25 mobility and persistence of PFAS, we must disrupt the

1	pathways of further PFAS contamination because it is
2	more advantageous to prevent PFAS pollution than to
3	try and clean it up at enormous cost." No. 2, right
4	to know. One of the fundamental underlying policies
5	behind the idea of environmental protection in this
6	country is that the public has a right to know certain
7	things, such as basic health information about
8	substances and compounds to which our residents are
9	being exposed.
10	When regulations compel disclosure of
11	such substances and health studies thereof, the public
12	can actually better protect themselves by making an
13	informed decision about whether or not they want to
14	voluntarily expose themselves to such a risk or how
15	best to manage the risk of involuntarily exposure.
16	No. 3, accountability. Disclosure is the chief method
17	of holding fracking companies accountable. With
18	chemical disclosure, it is easier to identify and
19	quantify chemicals that may be present in spilled
20	fluid. Without chemical disclosure, one has to use
21	non-targeted methods which are harder to measure and
22	do not allow for quantification until they are
23	positively identified.
24	In other words, with chemical
25	disclosure, it is easier to know what you are looking

1	for and increases the ability to characterize human
2	health risk. No. 4, policy driven by science. Full
3	chemical constitute disclosure will provide the agency
4	and the public with more data. The more data we have,
5	the better equipped we will to make
6	scientifically-based policy decisions to protect our
7	waterways from contamination and protect the health of
8	New Mexicans and our environment.
9	I'll close by acknowledging that PFAS
10	are pernicious threat to wildlife and human health
11	because of their long-term stability,
12	nonbiodegradability in the environment, bio
13	persistence in tissues and documented serious health
14	effects. New Energy Economy thanks you, Madam Hearing
15	Officer and Commissioners for your attention to this
16	extremely important matter. We would never want to
17	look back at this moment and say that when we had the
18	chance to reduce toxicity in the environment and
19	reduce harm that we did not act. And with that, I
20	present you with Dr. Hansen.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
22	Ms. Nanasi. That was exactly 20 minutes.
23	Dr. Hansen.
24	//
25	//

1	WHEREUPON,
2	KRISTEN HANSEN,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
7	much.
8	Go ahead, Ms. Nanasi.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. NANASI:
11	Q Please state your name and spell it for the
12	records.
13	A My name is Kristen Hansen, K-R-I-S-T-E-N,
14	H-A-N-S-E-N.
15	Q Did you prepare direct technical testimony
16	and exhibits that New Energy Economy has designated as
17	Exhibit A?
18	(NEE Exhibit A was marked for
19	identification.)
20	A I have.
21	Q Is it true and correct to the best of your
22	knowledge?
23	A It is.
24	Q If I were to ask you the same questions
25	today, would you give the same answers?

1	A I would.
2	Q Did you prepare rebuttal technical testimony
3	in exhibits that New Energy Economy has designated as
4	Exhibit B?
5	(NEE Exhibit B was marked for
6	identification.)
7	A I have.
8	Q Is it true and correct to the best of your
9	knowledge?
10	A It is.
11	Q If I were to ask you the same questions
12	today, would you give the same answers?
13	A I would.
14	Q Could you please provide a brief summary of
15	your technical, direct, and rebuttal testimony?
16	A Yes. I support the ban on perfluoroalkyl
17	and perfluoroalkyl substances or PFAS defined as a
18	class of compounds, including chemicals with at least
19	one aliphatic peripheral carbon moiety. The EPA
20	recognizes at least 10,000 PFAS, though experts in the
21	field estimate the classes includes up to 15,000, many
22	of which have not been identified, much less
23	characterized.
24	There are six well-characterized PFAS
25	compounds that the EPA has recently listed maximum

contaminant levels for in drinking water. The list is
limited to 6, not because these are the only 6 of
15,000 that pose a threat to human health, but because
these are the compounds for which sufficient data
exist to characterize deleterious effects to human
health. Other members of the PFAS class are not
well-studied. However, emerging evidence suggests
potential similarities in toxicity for many members of
the class. Emerging evidence also suggest the
potential for additive toxicity amongst different
members of the class, that is exposure to more than
one PFAS may result in health effects greater than
exposure to a single PFAS alone.

2.1

2.4

The potential for additive toxicity is reflected in EPA's utilization of a health index when considering the effects of PFAS on water quality. The definition of PFAS should not be limited to those compounds for which there are specific analytical methods. Given the uncontrolled nature of PFAS manufacturing processes and the variety of PFAS chemicals in the environment, a definition limited to specific compound would leave the vast majority of PFAS unmonitored and uncontrolled. The production, utilization, and discharge of PFAS compounds includes significant PFAS impurities and residuals, all of

which may be present in an overall formulation discharged to the environment.

2.1

2.4

Many PFAS as well as the PFAS impurities and residuals present in a PFAS product contain a hydrocarbon portion which may undergo some level of degradation in the environment. The product of that partial degradation is a smaller, persistent perfluorinated compound that may have different environmental mobility and toxicity. These residuals, impurities, and degradation products, known and unknown, are covered by the definition I've proposed. A comprehensive PFAS ban will protect public health from apparent PFAS as well as the impurities, residuals, and partial breakdown products.

My proposed PFAS definition is not just my expert opinion but is the definition adopted in 23 states and in federal legislation as well. I disagree with the non-scientifically based perspective that insufficient toxicology data for a particular PFAS should disqualify that compound from inclusion in the comprehensive ban. Such logic is characterized in social science as "Undone science." It's defined as "Areas of research that are of concern for members of the public yet are not an area of focus for industry researchers, often due to deliberate or tacit

avoidance."

2.1

2.4

Many PFAS compounds pass through the placental barrier from mother to infant via breast feeding. These are two examples of routes of exposure that have been toxicologically characterize for the first time in the last 10 years, although PFAS have been present in our consumer products and environment for over 50 years. The defining characteristics of PFAS is their environmental persistence. Many are highly mobile and many are biologically active. Many PFAS move quickly and widely from their point of discharge or disposal via air, water, dust, sediment or bioDA.

The potential for PFAS spills by the oil and gas industry on the ground or in waterways, volatilization of PFAS from surface ponds, spills, or discharge of produced water underscores the significant risk to communities and the environment. Any one of these PFAS exposure routes could lead to wider environmental or human exposure. It's my expert opinion that full chemical constituent disclosure is a necessary companion to the PFAS ban. This provision is necessary to verify industry compliance with the PFAS ban and to provide information necessary for risk assessments, monitoring by regulators, first

1	responders, health professional, and community
2	members. Thank you for allowing me to provide this
3	summary and I look forward to further examination.
4	MS. NANASI: With that, Madam Hearing
5	Officer, I move the direct technical testimony and
6	exhibits of Kristen Hansen as New Energy Economy
7	Exhibit A and the rebuttal technical testimony of
8	Kristen Hansen as New Energy Economy Exhibit B and I
9	do have for the court reporter a copy of both of those
10	testimonies that I can tender to him afterward. And
11	with that, Dr. Hansen is available for
12	cross-examination.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
14	you.
15	Are there objections to the admission
16	of NEE Exhibits, A or B? No? Okay? They're
17	admitted. Thank you.
18	(NEE Exhibit A and Exhibit B were
19	received into evidence.)
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Mulcahy, will
21	you be doing the questioning?
22	MS. MULCAHY: Yes. Thank you.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. MULCAHY:
25	Q Good afternoon, Dr. Hansen. Can you hear
	Page 157

ı	
1	me?
2	A I can. Thank you.
3	Q Yes. Thank you for being with us in the
4	virtual world. We appreciate it. I was just
5	wondering, Dr. Hansen, you are not a toxicologist.
6	Correct?
7	A That is true.
8	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you. I have
9	nothing further.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
11	you.
12	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
13	Dr. Hansen based on her testimony?
14	MR. MAXWELL: I do not have questions
15	for Dr. Hansen. Thank you.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
17	Ms. Kessler? There you are, okay.
18	Thank you, Ms. Kessler shook her head no.
19	Mr. Tremaine?
20	MR. TREMAINE: No cross.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
22	And Mr. Davis.
23	MR. DAVIS: I have a few.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
25	//
	Page 158

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2. BY MR. DAVIS: Hello, Dr. Hansen. My name is Tim Davis. 3 0 represent the petitioner, WildEarth Guardians. I have 4 5 just a few questions for you. First, I wanted to know 6 if you are familiar with a study that was authored by Siegel Et Al. and it's entitled "Investigation of 8 Sources of Fluorinated Compounds in Private Water 9 Supplies" in the oil and gas producing region of Northern West Virginia? 10 11 I am familiar. Α 12 That's marked as WildEarth Guardians Exhibit 0 13 Have you read this study? 53. 14 Α I have. 15 And this was a study of wells in West 16 Virginia. 17 Α Correct. 18 And there was some discussion a couple days 0 ago about this study and I'm wondering if you could 19 20 opine on whether and why you think this study from 2.1 West Virginia would be relevant to the proposed rule. 22 Certainly. I read the study and I feel like Α 23 there are three main points that were brought out in 2.4 this paper that are relevant to the discussion. 25 first is that the authors were able to demonstrate a Page 159

link between PFAS concentrations in private drinking water wells and unconventional oil and gas.

2.1

2.4

The second is that the authors measured non-targeted analytes, non-targeted PFAS compounds, that is specifically PFAS compounds that are not laid out by EPA methods. And in some cases found extremely high levels of these compounds in the private drinking water wells. I think that that goes to demonstrate the importance of having a very broad definition of PFAS so that we are looking for all the compounds that could potentially impact a water supply, not simply those that have been well-characterized already.

The third point that I thought was relevant is that as the authors tried to refine their model and understand the impact of unconventional oil and gas on private drinking water wells, they were hindered somewhat by the inability to know specifically what compounds the oil and gas industry had used. So since these compounds were uncharacterized or non-targeted, they used sophisticated analytical tools to develop an understanding of what the compounds are. But without having a specific compound named by the industry, they were unable to fully confirm those compounds.

As a result, the accuracy and the fidelity of their method was hampered by this lack of

1	information. So I think this also speaks to today's
2	discussion in terms of the importance of having the
3	industry be completely transparent with the chemicals
4	that they are using.
5	Q And on that last point, I'd like to ask you
6	a little bit about targeted versus non-targeted
7	testing. Are you familiar with those terms?
8	A I am.
9	Q So can you
10	A Oh, sorry. Go ahead.
11	Q I don't want to interrupt you. Please go
12	ahead.
13	A No, no. I I wasn't sure if you just
14	wanted me to start or you had a specific question.
15	Q Oh, the specific question related to that is
16	when you have a sample for analysis and you have full
17	disclosure of what's in that sample, can you talk
18	about the accuracy of using targeted testing on that
19	sample versus a sample in which you don't have
20	disclosure and you must start with non-targeted
21	testing? Can you compare those two scenarios?
22	A Absolutely. When an analytical chemist
23	knows the compound that they are looking for in a
24	targeted analysis, there is a standard available and
25	there is an understanding of the structure of that

1	compound. And so it's much easier for the chemist to
2	go in and compare what they have found to what they
3	know they are looking for. And it is the case that in
4	the targeted analysis currently in methods the EPA
5	has, there are specific standards that are available.
6	In non-targeted analysis, when an analyst
7	does not know what they're looking for, they have to
8	use much more sophisticated analytical equipment and
9	secondary clues to help them try and understand what a
10	molecule can be. Even if structurally they're able to
11	say that they know the identity of the compound,
12	without having that absolute confirmation, it makes it
13	difficult for them to provide accurate, quantitative
14	data. They need a standard of that material in order
15	to measure exactly how much is present.
16	Q Is it fair to say that when you know what
17	you're looking for, you can more accurately identify?
18	That can
19	A That's a much simpler version of what I
20	said. Yes.
21	Q Is it also accurate to say that when you
22	know what you're looking for, you can use targeted
23	testing to quantify a compound?
24	A Absolutely.
25	Q You hit on this a little bit in your opening
	Page 162

1	summary, but I just want to ask you a couple of
2	questions about the toxicological data that we have
3	currently on PFAS compounds. I believe you said there
4	are six that you would consider that we have
5	toxicological data on currently.
6	A Yeah. And I I mean, I want to emphasize,
7	while I am not a toxicologist, I think that the
8	statements that I'm making about toxicology are really
9	they're conclusions that have already been
LO	well-developed by other toxicologists so it's not
L1	not work that I've done, but it is conclusions that
L2	are well understood in the industry. And yes, the six
L3	the six compounds that the EPA has chosen to
L4	designate in the for maximum contaminant limits are
L5	compounds that I think are well-characterized
L6	toxicologically.
L 7	Q And so the absence of toxicological data on
L8	the universe of PFAS compounds other than those six
L9	does not mean that the ones that are other than those
20	six are safe.
21	A Absolutely. The statement that there is
22	there's no evidence that this compound is toxic does
23	not mean that there is evidence to prove that it is
24	non-toxic.
25	Q For the PFAS compounds that have been
	Page 163

1	studied for toxicological purposes, those compounds
2	have shown to be harmful to human health. Is that
3	correct?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q Do you think it's any coincidence that the
6	ones that have been studied have all shown those
7	harmful properties?
8	A I don't think it's a coincidence. I think
9	it's a very complex area to understand the toxicity.
10	And as I as I stated, one of the things that
11	toxicologists are studying right now and learning is
12	that there are, in fact, even additive the
13	opportunity for additive toxicological results amongst
14	these compounds. It's very complicated to study them
15	and it's very complicated to study in general chronic
16	toxicity, which is what most of these compounds
17	impart.
18	Q And the body of scientific literature
19	MS. MULCAHY: So I'm sorry.
20	Madam Hearing Officer?
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, ma'am?
22	MS. MULCAHY: I'm going to object here
23	because Dr. Hansen is not a toxicologist and I don't
24	mind her talking about stuff that has already been
25	found in studies. I think perhaps she has the
	Page 164

1	expertise to be able to read that, but once she gets
2	off into opining on stuff about toxicology, I think
3	that's outside the scope of her knowledge.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
5	So, Mr. Davis
6	MR. DAVIS: I'm happy to ask my next
7	question within the scope of the scientific literature
8	that exists.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
10	you.
11	BY MR. DAVIS:
12	Q Dr. Hansen, the current body of scientific
13	literature around PFAS toxicity, does that tell us
14	anything about additive toxicity?
15	A The current body of scientific literature
16	tells us that there is potential for additive
17	toxicity. An example of how this is reflected is the
18	EPA's decision to use a health index as part of their
19	MCL drinking water guideline, which accounts for the
20	potential of additive toxicity amongst four of the
21	targeted PFAS compounds.
22	Q In the current body of scientific
23	literature, about the toxicology of PFAS compounds,
24	does it tell us anything about sensitive populations
25	like infants, the immunocompromised, pregnant people?

1	A Yes. The current body of scientific
2	literature does talk about those sensitive
3	populations. Particularly, for example, the
4	gestational repercussions and also health implications
5	for infants. That's something that has been studied
6	relatively recently because, as you might imagine,
7	that is a difficult population to study. And as a
8	result of those studies, the toxicology the
9	toxicological literature reflects things like
10	preeclampsia in pregnant people, as well as
11	developmental challenges in gestation and immune
12	suppression of infants, for example.
13	Q I'm going to ask you about another one of
14	WildEarth Guardians exhibits that's labeled as WG
15	Exhibit 88. This is a study authored by Jiang Et Al.
16	and it's entitled "Characterization of Produced Water
17	and Surrounding Surface Water in the Permian Basin,
18	United States." Are you familiar with that study?
19	A I I am.
20	Q And you've read this study?
21	A I have.
22	Q With regard to the use of PFAS, what did you
23	take away from this study?
24	A I took away two main points. The first is
25	that PFAS can be linked to produced water. The
	Page 166

1	authors demonstrated the presence of PFAS compounds in
2	produced water. The second thing that I took away is
3	that this is an area that has not been studied and
4	requires much more study. The authors used a small
5	number of samples and spent a good deal of time in
6	their text documenting how additional studies are
7	needed to better understand the this phenomenon of
8	PFAS in produced water.
9	Q And the samples in this study were taken
10	from New Mexico.
11	A True. Yes.
12	Q I want to ask you really quickly about
13	persistence in the environment and breakdown of PFAS.
14	You do agree that PFAS is persistent in the
15	environment.
16	A I do.
17	Q And can you talk about the constituents that
18	result from the breakdown of PFAS, specifically I'm
19	wondering if the constituents break down to further
20	PFAS compounds.
21	A So many PFAS compounds start off as
22	molecules that have a perfluorinated component and a
23	non-perfluorinated component. When those molecules
24	are in the environment or in humans or BioDa, the
25	non-PFAS component often breaks down, leaving a

1	terminal, very persistent PFAS component in the
2	environment. So an example of this that is fairly
3	well-known is that in the textile industry, the PFAS
4	compounds that are used to coat a textile have
5	molecules that are half hydrocarbon and half forever
6	chemical.
7	And when those molecules leave the textile
8	and end up in the environment, the hydrocarbon part of
9	the molecule is falls apart, leaving the PFAS and
10	in the case of 3M's textile, for example, that PFAS is
11	a molecule known as perfluorooctane sulfonate that is
12	probably present in over 90 percent of the blood of
13	every human in the United States.
14	Q So when these PFAS break down, you often
15	still have a PFAS after the breakdown?
16	A Absolutely. Yes.
17	Q And that new PFAS, post breakdown remains
18	persistent in the environment?
19	A Yes. It does.
20	Q Are you aware that this rule seeks to ban
21	PFAS in downhole oil and gas operations?
22	A I am.
23	Q And you're aware that it also seeks to ban
24	undisclosed chemicals in oil and gas operations that
25	are downhole?

1	A I am.
2	Q And you also understand under current law in
3	New Mexico that operators do not have to disclose all
4	chemicals that they use downhole?
5	A I do.
6	Q And you also understand that the basis for
7	this proposed rule is to protect the public health and
8	the environment?
9	A I do.
LO	Q Do you have experience with non-disclosure
L1	of chemical compounds
L2	A I do.
L3	Q that are claimed to be trade secrets?
L4	Can you tell us about that experience and how it
L5	relates to protection of human health and the
L6	environment?
L7	A Certainly. I worked at the 3M company for
L8	over 20 years and part of that time was in
L9	understanding and tracking forever chemicals in the
20	environment. Following my employment at 3M and and
21	reviewing documents that were released to the public
22	as a result of litigation, I could understand more
23	about what was going on around me at 3M at the time
24	and also what happened historically.
25	In 1975, researchers came to 3M and provided

1	a detailed chemical description of a molecule they had
2	found ubiquitous in the U.S. population. They
3	described that molecule to 3M and they specifically
4	asked for disclosure of the identity of the compound.
5	3M refused. That compound had the identity and a
6	standard of that compound were made available to those
7	researchers in 1975. I can tell you, as an analytical
8	chemist, they would have immediately been able to
9	confirm the identity of the compound that was present
10	in the blood of the population in 1975.
11	As it was, 3M did not disclose that until
12	2000. The result of that is that 25 years of
13	scientific research was delayed because of 3M's
14	unwillingness to share that confidential information.
15	Q So if there was a version of the proposed
16	rule in which chemical disclosure was only provided to
17	regulators, would that also prevent the type of
18	research that you say we missed out on from 1975 and
19	forward?
20	A Absolutely. If researchers don't have the
21	details about the chemicals that are being used, they
22	can't effectively study them. As an example, that
23	that paper we talked about at the beginning from West
24	Virginia with contamination of private drinking water
25	wells, that's absolutely true.

1	Q And you agree that there's a very limited
2	amount of toxicological data on most PFAS compounds?
3	A I do.
4	MS. MULCAHY: Again
5	BY MR. DAVIS:
6	Q So full public disclosure would allow us to
7	start doing more science.
8	A Absolutely.
9	Q I want to ask you about WildEarth Guardians
10	Exhibit 8. In your rebuttal testimony on page 3,
11	lines 9 through 12, you list 23 states that used a
12	single fully fluorinated carbon atom definition. Is
13	that correct?
14	A That is true.
15	Q Have you looked at WildEarth Guardians
16	Exhibit 8?
17	A I have. I skimmed it.
18	Q And that's a compilation of state law
19	definitions that define PFAS as a substance with one
20	fully fluorinated carbon item?
21	A True.
22	Q Is that exhibit consistent with your
23	understanding of the 23 states that have used that
24	definition?
25	A It is.

1	Q And is that definition consistent with the
2	one you're proposing for this rulemaking?
3	A It is.
4	Q Are you familiar with the precautionary
5	principle?
6	A I am.
7	Q Could you briefly explain what that is?
8	A Sure. The precautionary principle asks
9	people who are in the position of making decisions to
10	encourage caution in decision-making, especially when
11	there is some evidence of risk. And with extremely
12	persistent contaminants like PFAS, the the
13	precautionary principle is especially important.
14	There is plausible risk to the environment and to
15	human health based on what we know about this class of
16	compounds and so the precautionary principle would
17	urge decision-makers to make decisions cautiously and
18	on the side of public health.
19	Q In the context of the precautionary
20	principle, do you believe that the one fully
21	fluorinated carbon atom definition is consistent with
22	the cautionary principle?
23	A I do.
24	Q And could you briefly explain why?
25	A The the one perfluorinated carbon atom is
	Page 172

1	the broadest definition that we have of PFAS and as a
2	result, it allows us to look at the complexity of the
3	class and to take into account the largest potential
4	for a risk to human health and to the environment.
5	Putting restrictions on what that definition is just
6	narrows the view of what we can control and what we
7	can measure and what we can monitor. And we know this
8	is a class of compounds that carry significant risk to
9	humans and to the environment.
L O	Q Do you agree that these PFAS compounds are
L1	harmful to human health and the environment regardless
L2	of the source?
L3	A Oh, I do.
L4	Q So in your rebuttal testimony on page 2,
L5	lines 3 through 5, you state "It's the responsibility
L6	of the industry to control the use of both the
L7	compounds produced for their purposes and additional
L8	PFAS compounds that are part of that final
L9	formulation, including residuals and impurities." Do
20	you remember that testimony?
21	A I do.
22	Q That's an accurate statement of your
23	testimony?
24	A It is.
25	Q Can you explain a little bit what you mean
	Page 173

1	by that?
2	A Sure. The process by which forever
3	chemicals is produced is extremely messy and hard to
4	control. And even in the best of circumstances, we
5	don.t produce on specific compound, even when we're
6	targeting it. We end up producing compounds that come
7	with partially reacted or unreacted components and
8	other things that we didn't target but that are
9	present in those formulations. I could give you
10	plenty of examples of products that that we
11	encounter in our everyday life, product like Teflon,
12	for example, leaves the plant carrying with it a whole
13	series of impurities and residuals that pose human
14	health risks. And those those come out even though
15	Teflon is the product, what the consumer experience is
16	is Teflon with these impurities and these residuals.
17	Q There's been some discussion about
18	"background PFAS." That is that maybe also could
19	be characterized as PFAS that is just present in the
20	environment. Are you familiar with that term,
21	background PFAS?
22	A Sure. Yeah.
23	Q And so, you know, whether let me start
24	over. Strike that. If an oil and gas company injects
25	PFAS through a frack fluid into the environment, do

1	you agree that that could be a potential pathway of
2	exposure to PFAS?
3	MS. MULCAHY: Again, I'm going to
4	object to any hypothetical questions about toxicology.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: You're right.
6	That sounded like a toxicological conclusion to me.
7	BY MR. DAVIS:
8	Q If an oil and gas company uses a PFAS
9	containing frack fluid and it's put down the hole, not
10	asking you about toxicology. I'm asking you about
11	persistence in the environment of the chemicals,
12	mobility in the environment of those chemicals. So
13	when PFAS is injected downhole from a frack fluid,
14	could it remain in the environment?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Could it move around in the environment?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, if that same PFAS compound was coming
19	from a background PFAS source, would it persist in the
20	environment?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Would it move around in the environment?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. DAVIS: I have no further
25	questions. Thank you.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
2	you, Mr. Davis.
3	Ms. Nanasi, do you have any redirect
4	before I go to the Commission?
5	MS. NANASI: I think I just have one
6	question.
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. NANASI:
9	Q And that was when do you recall when
10	Mr. Davis was asking you about failure to disclose
11	means that essentially it would hamper the
12	availability to do more science. Do you remember
13	that?
14	A I do.
15	Q It also failure to disclose would also
16	impair the ability to characterize human risk. Is
17	that right?
18	A It is.
19	MS. MULCAHY: I'm going to object on
20	that. She's, again, not a toxicologist and questions
21	about doing human health risk assessments are
22	toxicology questions.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
24	Ms. Nanasi, would you rephrase that,
25	please?

1	BY MS. NANASI:
2	Q To the extent that you know, based on your
3	professional expertise, do you believe that failure to
4	disclose would also impair the ability to characterize
5	human risk?
6	MS. MULCAHY: Again, that's a human
7	risk assessments are all in the field of toxicology.
8	Those are toxicological questions and conclusions that
9	you need to have an understanding of human risk, human
10	health risk assessments, exposure pathways and
11	Dr. Hansen is not a toxicologist.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: That was my
13	understanding of her background was in chemistry, not
14	toxicology.
15	MS. NANASI: Can I follow-up in a
16	question for a foundation then?
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
18	BY MS. NANASI:
19	Q Do you have an understanding of how risk has
20	been characterized regarding PFAS?
21	A I do.
22	Q And now to ask you again. To the extent of
23	your personal knowledge, would failure to disclose
24	mean that that would impair the characterization to
25	human risk?

1	A Yes.
2	MS. NANASI: Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is that it
4	before we go to the Commission? I'm wondering if it
5	would help to take a short break before we begin with
6	Commission questioning. Alrighty. Let's come back at
7	ten after two.
8	(Off the record.)
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's come back
10	from the break, please. We took a short afternoon
11	break. And we turn now to the questions of Dr. Hansen
12	by the Commission.
13	Mr. Chair, would you like to start?
14	MR. RAZATOS: I would. Thank you,
15	Madam Hearing Officer.
16	Thank you, Dr. Hansen, for your
17	testimony today and for appearing before us. We
18	appreciate the information that you shared with us.
19	Dr. Hansen, just to get clear, I know it's been harped
20	on that you're not a toxicologist so I got that much
21	out of the testimony. If I understand correctly
22	through your CV and through your testimony and
23	rebuttal, you're an analytical chemist. Correct?
24	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
25	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. So a Ph.D. in

	analytical chemistry. Is that exactly okay.
2	Excellent. Thank you for that.
3	Doctor, I have a couple questions for
4	you. And it kind of all resolves around PFAS, but
5	let's start off. Mr. Davis had asked you about
6	targeted analysis and if it's easier to identify the
7	analyte if you know what's in the compound. And I
8	think we understand that if we know that compound X is
9	in the solution and the test can find compound X, it
- 0	makes it easier for everybody. But if you don't know
.1	that compound is in the solution and yet the actual
_2	analytical procedure does test for compound X, is it
_3	really that hard to identify compound X?
_4	THE WITNESS: That's a that's a good
.5	question. I think to to separate it out, if if
-6	you're looking for something that you that there
.7	isn't a standard for at all and you don't know what it
-8	is, it it is challenging. The paper that was cited
_9	is an interesting example of how they came to the
20	identities that they did and they had to use several
21	complementary analytical techniques to develop that
22	final answer. And, you know, they use a technique,
23	for example, called high-resolution mass spectrometry
24	that provides the molecular weight of the molecule
25	down to the thousandth of an AMU.

1	MR. RAZATOS: Sure.
2	THE WITNESS: So it's not trivial, but
3	it is possible.
4	MR. RAZATOS: And when we say high
5	resolution, are we talking mass spec mass spec with a
6	liquid chromatograph? What are we talking about?
7	THE WITNESS: Sure. As it sounds
8	like you know you're you're familiar with these
9	techniques. So certainly HPLC and there's either high
10	resolution mass spec, just with one mass spec or the
11	mass spec mass spec so you can look at the at the
12	fragments of the molecule as well.
13	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. But again, if the
14	analytical procedure in front of us test for compound
15	X, whether or not we know it's in the solution makes
16	no difference. You can still see compound X and you
17	can quant off of compound X. And I apologize. I said
18	quant because I do have some chemistry experience.
19	You can quantitate off of that particular result.
20	Correct?
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah. If if I'm
22	understanding your question correctly, I think what I
23	what I'm hearing is if if if a molecule is in
24	solution and you and you have a standard that
25	you're testing for, you can quantitate the level of
	Page 180

1	the molecule in solution based on what you know with
2	your standard. Is that is that what you were
3	questioning?
4	MR. RAZATOS: Yes. That is. That's
5	exactly what I was saying.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	MR. RAZATOS: So if our testing
8	procedure can see a specific compound, it doesn't
9	matter whether it's disclosed or not, it's still easy
10	for the machine not the machine the instrument
11	to see it because you're already testing for it.
12	Correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So, again, let me
14	just clarify to make sure I understand. So if if
15	you tell me, "I I have a mixture here and I want to
16	know what's in it." And I say, "Well, compound X is
17	likely to be present in this mixture," whether you've
18	told me or not, I can go in and look for compound X
19	and and find it because I have some other knowledge
20	that tells me probably compound X is present. Is
21	is that it?
22	MR. RAZATOS: Yes. So in the
23	questioning that Mr. Davis had given you, it was more
24	the concern, because your answer was it does make it
25	easier to make if it's unknown, it's we're doing some

1	screening, we're looking to find stuff. If we know
2	that the solution has various compounds, it makes it
3	easier on the screening, especially if the test is not
4	necessarily a standardized test that's used across
5	industry like an EPA test. Correct?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think so.
7	Again, I I think I understand your question.
8	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. I appreciate that.
9	Second of all, you made the statement, and I value
10	your statement as a chemist, that these compounds are
11	harmful. And I definitely believe in that as well.
12	Yesterday, we had an analytical chemist tell us that
13	some of these PFAS are inert and really don't interact
14	with anything. Is that statement as an analytical
15	chemist yourself, is that statement a correct
16	statement? I'm trying to see as a governing body
17	here, the information that's coming into us.
18	THE WITNESS: Sure. Absolutely.
19	Absolutely. So it's not something that I can answer
20	yes or no. It requires a little bit more exposition
21	around it. And that is that there certainly are
22	examples of polymeric PFAS compounds that are inert.
23	However, the production of these compounds, both where
24	they're made at the manufacturing facility and how
25	they are how they are submitted as products are

1 rarely pure.

2.1

2.4

They come with -- with residuals and impurities and -- and I -- I was trying to use the example previously of Teflon, for example, where there are other molecules that are riding along with that -- that polymeric material. And those molecules may not be nontoxic and they may be extremely mobile in the environment. So I think it's important to separate the fact that even though such a pure compound may exist, practically speaking, it doesn't really exist in the products that -- that are purchased.

MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you for the clarification on that. I appreciate it. Another thing that you mentioned and you've relied on it and other witnesses have relied on is this Jiang paper that was published in 2021 I believe, if I'm correct.

THE WITNESS: I think it's '22, but --

MR. RAZATOS: Twenty-two, sorry, I was off by a year. My apologies. In looking at the Jiang paper, and this was a concern I had yesterday as well, when we're looking at the PFAS information that was submitted, and if you have that paper in front of you, it's page 8 of that particular paper under 3.4 PFAS analysis, they did analytical sampling. They did analysis on samples that came from produced water and

1	from the Pecos River. Now, Dr. Spear [ph] yesterday
2	said he thought that they were 12 samples. I only
3	read two. I reread it yesterday again and it was only
4	two samples that they did. One and one.
5	Now, as an analytical chemist and
6	please correct me if I'm wrong, the results that they
7	mentioned, especially for the produced water, they
8	noted that there were five PFAS compounds that were
9	found in the produced water. And they state the
LO	the levels that they found them at. But then they
L1	also said that PFAS was indicated in the blank
L2	samples. Now, as a I'm sure tenured and a professor
L3	that has written, authored professor yourself, would
L4	you literally would you base your data on something
L 5	that also has PFAS in the blank? I mean, the blank's
L6	supposed to be zero. Right?
L7	THE WITNESS: It is. And yet, within
L8	this area, as you can imagine, given the ubiquity of
L9	these compounds, that how to handle blanks in
20	environmental analysis associated with PFAS is a huge
21	area of study and researchers really go to to great
22	lengths to define the limits of their blanks. And
23	and I I hear what you're saying, there some blank
24	contaminations that the authors noted. Not every

not every PFAS that was found was found in the blank.

25

1	To me, the value of this paper, more
2	than simply saying, oh, there's, you know, .25
3	nanograms per liter of phahxs [ph] is more to say that
4	there are forever chemicals in the sample and we need
5	to do more testing. As you pointed out, one sample is
6	not really sufficient to make any any great
7	conclusions upon other than to say, "This is an area
8	that definitely needs more study and the data
9	indicates that there is at least the potential for
10	risk that should be followed-up on."
11	MR. RAZATOS: And I appreciate that.
12	Thank you, Doctor. And again, I also agree with you
13	because you said the paper you made brought two
14	main points to you. One, that PFAS can be found
15	produced water and two, that more study is needed.
16	And I definitely agree with no. 2. I have a hard
17	time. I appreciate that the authors actually wrote
18	that PFAS was in the blank. Sometimes you don't get
19	all that information. So I appreciate their
20	truthfulness in this.
21	But as a body, we're asked to kind of
22	put our trust in or put some weight onto, not
23	necessarily trust, but put weight into the fact that
24	this was found. But it seems that as ubiquitous as
25	PFAS is, unfortunately, it's in everything. You just

1	have to pull it up and you can see what it's in.
2	It's, I think, also very difficult to be able to say
3	with assuredness when your blanks are also
4	contaminated with PFAS that what you're finding is
5	really from produced water. Also, the river had it as
6	well. And they did not indicate whether their river
7	blanks had PFAS in them or not.
8	But I mean, in my mind, I'm trying to
9	coalesce this paper to try to see how it's really
10	supporting. And I'm reading it and I'm seeing you
11	know, the part I get out of it that I agree
12	wholeheartedly is more research needs to be done. I
13	can't really put myself and say, "Well, you know, the
14	blank has it. This could be systematic. They could
15	have been using Teflon toppers on their vials. This
16	could be the water was contaminated from some other
17	source." There's a variety of things, but we're asked
18	to definitely put all of our trust in it as if it's
19	bible and I'm having a hard time kind of bringing
20	these two things together.
21	And as I said, you're the second or
22	third individual that has based their information on
23	this and I don't see how as an analytical chemist you
24	could tell me that these results are spot on when
25	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think
	Page 186

1	I actually said that.
2	MR. RAZATOS: You didn't. You didn't.
3	You're right. You didn't.
4	THE WITNESS: Right.
5	MR. RAZATOS: I'm just making that
6	extrapolation. As scientists that are basing their
7	data on this, you're kind of telling us, "Yeah, you
8	should put trust in this."
9	THE WITNESS: Oh, I I I feel like
LO	maybe you've put some words in my mouth because I
L1	would never use the word bible to say that this data
L2	that that reflects my belief in this data. I
L3	believe what I said is that this study, more than
L4	anything, demonstrated the potential for PFAS
L5	compounds to be present in produced water. And I
L6	think there is although it's certainly the case
L7	that of the five PFAS compounds identified, I believe
L8	two of them were in the blank, but I don't believe all
L9	of them were.
20	MR. RAZATOS: Correct.
21	THE WITNESS: I also believe that the
22	authors, for example, said that they didn't use Teflon
23	caps as you brought out. They they did
24	their best to control this.
25	MR. RAZATOS: I was trying to read

1	that. As a matter of fact, I was trying to read that.
2	THE WITNESS: And I think
3	MR. RAZATOS: Could you tell me where
4	that is?
5	THE WITNESS: Can I can I finish my
6	statement, please?
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Folks, one at a
8	time, please.
9	MR. RAZATOS: Right.
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I thought I was
11	talking so
12	MR. RAZATOS: My apologies.
13	THE WITNESS: So I I would like to
14	finish that and say that, you know, I again, there
15	was some compounds that were not in the blank. And
16	so, the the main point that these compounds that
17	this analytical work reflects the potential for PFAS
18	to be present in produced water, which I think is what
19	I said, and that it's an area that needs more study is
20	is not negated by the fact that there is some PFAS
21	in some of the blanks and that it is, in fact, dealt
22	with very professionally by the scientists in this
23	paper.
24	MR. RAZATOS: And as I mentioned, I
25	agreed with you on all of those. But we're still
	Page 188

1	asked to put some trust in this particular maybe
2	you didn't say it, but we're being told that, by three
3	different people, that this paper is something that we
4	should put some trust in and that's where I'm going
5	on. Correct. You didn't say that it was the bible.
6	I'm saying as a whole, we're being told that we should
7	follow this. So I appreciate your candor on that.
8	The next part that I wanted to ask you
9	is that exhibit, let me make sure I get it, on page 7
10	of your rebuttal, you state that the OCD definition
11	isn't adequate. It is too narrow and leaves many PFAS
12	compounds unregulated. Can you expound on that for
13	me, please?
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah. You're going to
15	need to give me a second here.
16	MR. RAZATOS: Yeah.
17	THE WITNESS: It's on page, I'm sorry,
18	of the rebuttal, page
19	MR. RAZATOS: Of your rebuttal, page 7,
20	line 11. This is Exhibit B.
21	THE WITNESS: I think I must have a
22	different I must have different page numbers. I
23	apologize. So but nonetheless, I can find it on
24	here. The the the OCD definition right,
25	okay. I know where this is. All right. Because the
	Page 189

1	the definition went on to suggest, for the purposes
2	of completing environmental investigations, specific
3	PFAS chemicals that can be included and it listed
4	several methods, several EPA methods. Those methods
5	list several PFAS compounds but not a complete list.
6	I think I went through these methods
7	and kind of loosely counted up and I think all of the
8	methods listed in this definition, taking
9	comprehensively, include on the order of about 50
10	different PFAS chemicals. Given that, you know, the
11	EPA recognizes that there are 10,000, 50 is a very
12	small number. And so that's why I said that the
13	definition proposed is too narrow.
14	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. My question then
15	to you is, as an analytical chemist, can we see all of
16	the compounds that the EPA is saying?
17	THE WITNESS: When you say see, are you
17 18	THE WITNESS: When you say see, are you do you mean like a list or can we
18	do you mean like a list or can we
18 19	do you mean like a list or can we MR. RAZATOS: Do we have targeted
18 19 20 21	do you mean like a list or can we MR. RAZATOS: Do we have targeted analytical procedures for them?
18 19 20	do you mean like a list or can we MR. RAZATOS: Do we have targeted analytical procedures for them? THE WITNESS: No. I mean, that's the
18 19 20 21 22	do you mean like a list or can we MR. RAZATOS: Do we have targeted analytical procedures for them? THE WITNESS: No. I mean, that's the point. Right? Because some of these compounds are
18 19 20 21 22	do you mean like a list or can we MR. RAZATOS: Do we have targeted analytical procedures for them? THE WITNESS: No. I mean, that's the point. Right? Because some of these compounds are not even characterized by the industries producing

1	
1	like, for example, high-resolution mass spectrometry
2	to try and elucidate what these compounds are. But
3	it's not something that is necessarily the case that
4	all of these molecules have been adequately
5	characterized, such that they can be written into a
6	method.
7	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. I have no further
8	questions. Thank you. Thank you, Doctor. Appreciate
9	it.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
11	Mr. Chair.
12	Commissioner Bloom?
13	MR. BLOOM: Good afternoon, Ms. Hansen.
14	THE WITNESS: Hello.
15	MR. BLOOM: I'm Greg Bloom, I'm the
16	assistant commissioner of minerals from the Land
17	Office. Thanks for your time today. Putting the
18	Jiang study aside of produced water in the Permian
19	Basin, would you still have concerns about PFAS and
20	oil and gas?
21	THE WITNESS: I I do. I mean, and
22	and the other scientific paper that we spent some
23	time on I think is is actually a better example
24	than this Jiang example. Although, I I recognize
25	the geographic proximity of the other is more

1	relevant. But that other study, you know, really I
2	think even more definitively proved the the risk
3	and the potential between unconventional oil and gas
4	and drinking water contamination. My my main
5	concern with these compounds is that they are so
б	persistent. Anywhere they end up in the environment,
7	they're there. It's not like you spill a cup of
8	coffee on the carpet and you can just wipe it up.
9	Once it's in the environment and it's moving, you
10	can't go back and and pull it in. So I do have
11	concerns.
12	MR. BLOOM: So you mentioned the
13	persistence. Does the literature around PFAS toxicity
14	also suggest that these compounds be banned from oil
15	and gas production?
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I guess the
17	toxicologist that I work most closely with are are
18	of the mind that we need to ban the use of these
19	compounds for any non-critical use, in part because
20	they go into the environment and they don't go away.
21	And because of things that are, you know, around, for
22	example, the additive toxicity. So it gets very hard
23	to talk about the exposure of an individual when you
24	have to take into account things like additive
25	toxicity, what else are people coming into contact

1	with?
2	MR. BLOOM: You mentioned critical
3	uses, what does that include? Just curious.
4	THE WITNESS: Well, the definition that
5	that I support on critical uses is something for
6	which there is absolutely no other alternative,
7	something that is very well controlled, both in the
8	sense of how the forever chemical is used but also how
9	the forever chemical is produced.
10	So as an example, there may be, for
11	example, the need to use a perfluorinated compound on
12	some component of a medical device. I I don't have
13	a specific example. I'm just using this in general.
14	And in that case, the forever chemical that is
15	produced for that critical need critical need for
16	which there is no chemical can be produced and cleaned
17	and all of the waste associated with that chemical,
18	from manufacturing until use, can be controlled and
19	captured and dealt with appropriately.
20	MR. BLOOM: Thank you. Dr. Hansen, are
21	you familiar with the spill data that Ms. Troutman
22	[ph] presented from WildEarth Guardians?
23	THE WITNESS: I am.
24	MR. BLOOM: Any thoughts on that? Does
25	anything there give you pause?

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, as I put
2	in my I believe it was the rebuttal there, the the
3	the spills that happened as a as a result of the
4	oil and gas industry's use and the exposure then to
5	surface water and groundwater is particularly
6	concerning as it aids in the mobility of these
7	compounds in the environment.
8	MR. BLOOM: And I think lastly, is
9	there anything in the literature about PFAS entering
10	the air through oil and gas operations?
11	THE WITNESS: There is. And, you know,
12	there are examples of that, both from flare offs as
13	well as from volatilization of PFAS from settling
14	ponds and from spills.
15	MR. BLOOM: Did you cite any of those
16	in what your provided us?
17	THE WITNESS: I I did not.
18	Interestingly, I was reading about them last night and
19	if it's possible to like add those to you now, I could
20	certainly follow-up with that. But I did not cite any
21	of those specifically.
22	MR. BLOOM: Is that a possibility,
23	Ms. Hearing Officer?
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: I would ask if
25	Ms. Nanasi would like to offer those. The other side

1	of that is then the other parties have a chance to
2	object to it. We kind of go through another round of,
3	if you will, rebuttal.
4	Any comments from the counsel here?
5	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer, I
6	don't know if Dr. Hansen can cite to at least the
7	names of the studies that she's referring to and that
8	could, therefore, be dealt with like right now so we
9	could avoid what you just suggested might happen.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other
11	comments? No?
12	MS. MULCAHY: Madam Hearing Officer,
13	first of all, I don't think it's been properly noticed
14	under this rulemaking so I have that issue. Second of
15	all, the Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over
16	air quality. That belongs to the Environmental
17	Improvement Board in New Mexico and so I'm just not
18	really sure of the connection here and how a
19	discussion and rebuttal on air quality issues is
20	appropriate.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: It is a more
22	attenuated connection here to the record we're making.
23	MR. BLOOM: Yeah, I think I mentioned
24	it because I think there's been a presence in the
25	record that PFAS can get into not only the earth and

1	water but also air and we've seen that I think
2	throughout the record just in a couple spots. I don't
3	know that any further information on this would change
4	my thinking on the case so I'm fine to let this go at
5	this time.
6	MS. NANASI: If I may, Madam Hearing
7	Examiner.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
9	Ms. Nanasi.
LO	MS. NANASI: On page 9 of Dr. Hansen's
L1	testimony, she does specific line 7 through 12, she
L2	does specifically mention that the way that PFAS can
L3	travel is through volitation of completely combusted
L4	PFAS during flare off, volitation of PFAS from surface
L5	ponds, spills or discharges of produced water and the
L6	presence of PFAS on and from surfaces and machinery
L7	encountered during transport use and disposal, et
L8	cetera.
L9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Okay.
20	Thank you for pointing that out, Ms. Nanasi.
21	Commissioner Bloom, I think you have
22	something on the record if you'd like to base some
23	kind of conclusion on that sort of testimony. You
24	just don.t have the, if you will, the background
25	research on that.

1	MR. BLOOM: Yes. Thank you. And let
2	me check. I think that was maybe my last question.
3	That was.
4	Thank you. Thank you very much,
5	Dr. Hansen.
6	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
8	Commissioner Ampomah.
9	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you.
10	Thank you, Dr. Hansen, for being with
11	us. I am Dr. William Ampomah, professor at New Mexico
12	Tech. I do have a couple of questions. So in your
13	Exhibit A, on the Exhibit A for New Energy Economy, on
14	page 6, you made reference to EPA, including about six
15	PFAS compounds and their ruling. I want to know, do
16	you know why do you have any knowledge as to why
17	EPA resulted in focusing on these six chemicals?
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the this has
19	been a very long process for EPA and those six
20	compounds, they felt that they had sufficient
21	information on the on both the presence of these
22	compounds and the toxicology associated with these
23	compounds, as well as enough data to understand a bit
24	about the mechanism of action. And so the it was
25	quite a a high bar, if you will, for these

1	compounds to have sufficient data for EPA to choose to
2	regulate them. There was plenty of people that wanted
3	to include other compounds and at this time EPA
4	suggested that more data was needed. EPA also has a
5	roadmap in place for PFAS compounds and committed to
6	continually review this the limits that they have
7	put in place as more data becomes available.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you for that. So
9	then definitely, you believe that they base their
10	decision on actual scientific study, you know, to back
11	the decision that they made. Will that be your first
12	statement?
13	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
14	DR. AMPOMAH: Now, you talk about the
15	definition of NMOCD, the definition that NMOCD's
16	proposing, so if you look at EPA, they are looking at
17	six. Now, from NMOCD's definition and based on the
18	experts that they work with, they are thinking about,
19	at least based on the other finish in there, looking
20	about 40 to 70 compounds, so don't you believe that
21	even they've really tried to include more compounds?
22	THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, no. I
23	think that when you consider that there are ten to
24	fifteen thousand members of this class, the having
25	having the ability to monitor for 50 to 70 is not

1	adequate to fully address to fully address the
2	class of compounds. The with EPA's decision to
3	look at only six in drinking water and requiring a
4	huge amount of toxicological data for those six, I
5	think that's very different than suggesting that there
6	should be only a small subset of the overall PFAS
7	molecules that should be monitored in the environment.
8	As we discussed, most of these compounds, there is no
9	toxicological data or insufficient toxicological data
10	present for these compounds. Because the amount of
11	scientific effort needed to develop those profiles is
12	is enormous.
13	DR. AMPOMAH: So even when NMOCD, based
14	on the other finishing, they say that the list will
15	evolve as more scientific information becomes
16	available. Is that still not sufficient, at least
17	based on the current scientific knowledge?
18	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. I and
19	it's in my view, a a backwards looking
20	assessment of risk to say, you know, when they're in
21	the environment and we can detect them and they're
22	showing up in people's drinking water, then we'll
23	start caring about them. Right? That's how that's
24	how I read that statement. I feel that this is an
25	area that we need to be proactive in because, as I

1	stated, once these molecules are in the environment,
2	we can't call them back and we are still understanding
3	the various ways that they can additively or with
4	other underlying health conditions cause repercussions
5	for humans and the environment.
6	DR. AMPOMAH: Are you familiar with any
7	examples of PFAS that has been used in the oil and gas
8	industry?
9	THE WITNESS: I've it's not
10	something that is easy to find information on, but I
11	have searched, I and I understand that molecules
12	like Teflon, for example, and PTFE, are often used in
13	the oil and gas industry. As I've said before, I'm
14	not I'm not an expert so I'm gaining that knowledge
15	just from what I read in the literature. I've also
16	read that surfactants forever chemical surfactants
17	are also used.
18	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you. So in
19	reference to Jiang's paper, Professor Xu [ph] who is
20	the corresponding author from NMSU, that paper has
21	been referenced a lot and the Chair more or less
22	alluded to this. Is there any other reference that
23	you're familiar with that supports the claim that
24	there is PFAS found in produced water?
25	THE WITNESS: I did do a literature

1	search in prep in preparation for this and I could
2	not find another peer reviewed scientific paper. I
3	did find reference to to forever chemicals in
4	produced water, but because they were not in peer
5	reviewed scientific data, I didn't consider those as I
6	tried to learn about this. However, I I guess my
7	feeling was, in looking for this, is not that that
8	dearth of paper is implies that there are no PFAS in
9	produced water, but it is not an area that has been
10	studied very much.
11	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. So in the
12	pre-hearing statement of New Energy Economy, I just
13	want to understand, probably is you understand in that
14	produced water should not be used, you know, in
15	downhole operations. Is that your understanding too?
16	THE WITNESS: That is my understanding.
17	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. Then let's go back
18	to Jiang's paper one more time. So in that paper,
19	that same paper looked at produced water samples and
20	then also looked at some freshwater samples from the
21	Pecos River. Now, both samples, there were PFAS in
22	that. Right? And looking at the scarcity of water in
23	the State of New Mexico, I mean, how do we say that we
24	can we don't let's say the Commission should
25	more or less not allow the use of produced water

1	because probably there might be PFAS in there. It
2	sounds like PFAS is everywhere. So can you comment on
3	that?
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I guess my feeling
5	is that while there is low level well, there are
6	low levels of PFAS in the Pecos River and other places
7	for sure, that that doesn't give us an invitation to
8	continue to add forever chemicals into the environment
9	to raise those levels. So the Pecos River is an
10	example of a water body that it's going not be
11	extremely difficult to take forever chemicals out of.
12	We we can't control that wild river
13	now. We can control the compounds that we put into
14	the environment that will ultimately end up in a water
15	body like that river, for example. So I think it's
16	important to distinguish between the fact that there
17	is damage that has been done to the environment, but
18	that doesn't mean we should continue to do more damage
19	to the environment and say, "You know, it's a lost
20	cause. There's forever chemicals everywhere anyway."
21	DR. AMPOMAH: You know, the reason why
22	I was making that point, you know, I'm trying to
23	understand, you know, from NMOCD's point of view, they
24	believe that, or at least based on the testimony, PFAS
25	can be within municipal water. It can be in the
	Page 202

1	surface water. So they want to really focus on
2	additives that are added to the whole operations. So
3	don't you believe that is enough? You know, other
4	than more or less putting strict restriction on
5	produced water usage.
6	THE WITNESS: I I I don't because
7	I just think that the use of forever chemicals in this
8	industry, in produced water but also in all of the
9	things that happen along the way, for example, spills,
10	for example, volatilization from from settling
11	ponds and that type type of thing. There's a lot
12	of opportunities for environmental contamination, as
13	had been pointed out by other experts that that go
14	along with these operations and introducing forever
15	chemicals into the environment. So that's why I think
16	they need to be prohibited from the operations.
17	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you, Dr. Hansen.
18	Thank you.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
20	Is there any reason not to excuse
21	Dr. Hansen?
22	DR. AMPOMAH: I do have one.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Sorry about that. Sorry
25	about that. Sorry about that. Are you familiar with
	Daga 202
	Page 203

1	the FracFocus?
2	THE WITNESS: Vaguely. I am.
3	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. Yeah, so I'm going
4	to read some statement from the pre-hearing statement
5	and then I want to get your thoughts on that. That
6	will be on page 8 of the pre-hearing statement.
7	"Lastly, as you are aware, Guardians' rule changes
8	require the disclosure of all chemicals completion
9	with downhole operations. That means the oil and gas
0	industry would have to divulge their chemical
1	ingredients, not their recipe." Now, I want to ask
L2	you, so did you disclose some information or yeah, on
_3	the FracFocus? So how do we do this? Let's say as a
4	Commission, how do we more or less enact this rule,
_5	enact this rule, and still maintain trade secrets
-6	provisions without a legislative change?
.7	THE WITNESS: I think it's a it's a
-8	very pertinent point that what is what is being
_9	requested is disclosure of the ingredients as you say
20	and and not the recipe. And so this isn't, you
21	know, tell me exactly how to make the the the
22	fluids that you're using. It's just disclosure of the
23	chemicals that go into those.
24	I I also think it's important to
25	recognize that the designation of confidential

1	business information is ostensibly put in in place
2	to protect competitive information from other people
3	in the field. But it's my understanding that, for
4	example, in Colorado, it's not allowed to protect
5	this.
6	So the competitors are already are
7	already exposing all of their ingredients. And so it
8	really seems to to lower the risk for anything that
9	is truly of proprietary value to be released to
10	competitors if simply a chemical profile is shared so
11	that the chemicals can be monitored by community
12	members.
13	DR. AMPOMAH: So when NMOCD says that
14	they do not have the authority to support that unless
15	through a legislative change, what will be your
16	response to that?
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, unfortunately, I
18	don't know enough about the legislative process to be
19	able to comment on that.
20	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
22	Mr. Ampomah.
23	No reason not to excuse Dr. Hansen at
24	this time? Nope? All right.
25	Thank you very much for your testimony,
	Page 205

1	Dr. Hansen.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go back to
4	Mr. Powell.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Tremaine.
6	MR. TREMAINE: I do have some redirect
7	for Mr. Powell if it's possible, but I think it's
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Actually, you
9	know what, sorry. I just messed up. I just looked at
10	my notes and I realized I messed up. Ms. Nanasi was
11	questioning Mr. Powell and then of course we go to
12	Mr. Davis and the Commission. Yeah. Right? Yeah.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. NANASI:
15	Q Good afternoon again, Mr. Powell. Referring
16	you now to page 1 of your direct testimony. You
17	stated line 19 "New Mexico has been very proactive
18	regarding PFAS and the OCD sees this proposal as the
19	next necessary step in protecting the citizens and
20	natural resources of New Mexico. Is that a fair
21	reading of your statement there?
22	A It is.
23	Q Can you elaborate how New Mexico has been
24	proactive regarding PFAS?
25	A As a whole, I don't have all the details,
	Page 206

1	but I do know that it was EMNRD's initiative. I
2	believe the Department of Health has been active and
3	this Commission itself took upon the rulemaking when
4	requested.
5	Q So you are familiar with the fact that the
6	governor, Governor Lujan Grisham advocates for "All
7	New Mexico communities to have reliable access to
8	clean drinking water."
9	A I don't know that I've reviewed that
10	statement or where that statement came from.
11	Q But
12	A But generally, that's my understanding.
13	Q Do you also understand that Governor Lujan
14	Grisham calls for "science-based health standards" and
15	that this policy principle is consistent with both
16	EMNRD, E-M-N-R-D, and the Division itself.
17	A I didn't cite any of those because I would
18	take you for what you're saying on it.
19	Q But generally, that's what EMNRD follows as
20	well as OCD, which is science-based health standards.
21	A Correct. Correct.
22	Q Okay. And that it would be consistent for
23	if the governor says that that's what she wants,
24	which is science-based health standards, that that
25	EMNRD and OCD should act consistent with that

1	principle.
2	A Generally, yes.
3	Q Are you aware that Governor Lujan Grisham
4	has advocated to "require mandatory disclosure of what
5	chemicals are used in hydraulic fracturing to better
6	protect groundwater"?
7	A I have not seen that statement.
8	Q Is it your position that OCD doesn't have
9	regulatory authority to require chemical disclosure
10	except in the instance if an oil and gas company has
11	already violated the PFAS ban by poisoning the water
12	and then it can mandate disclosure of trade secrets
13	pursuant to OCD's enforcement authority?
14	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. Compound and
15	misstates the record.
16	MS. MULCAHY: And I'm going to join in
17	that objection.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
19	Ms. Nanasi, where's the basis for that question?
20	MS. NANASI: Well, I believe then
21	well, I can just break it down and ask Mr. Powell.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: You can give it
23	another try.
24	BY MS. NANASI:
25	Q Let's just first start with: is it your
	Page 208

1	position that OCD doesn't have regulatory authority to
2	require chemical disclosure?
3	A I don't think we stated that we don't have
4	regulatory authority to ask for chemical disclosure to
5	the OCD.
6	Q Great. Is it your understanding that in the
7	human body, modest tissue concentrations
8	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. Again
9	MS. NANASI: I haven't even finished my
10	question.
11	MS. MULCAHY: She's asking well,
12	she's asking first of all, she's asking Mr. Powell
13	questions about chemicals and human body tissue. A,
14	that's not in his direct nor in his rebuttal that he
15	gave. It's outside the scope of both of those. And I
16	don't believe he's been presented as a toxicologist.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
18	Ms. Nanasi, if that's where you're
19	going is human tissues, I think that is outside the
20	scope of Mr. Powell's experience and education.
21	BY MS. NANASI:
22	Q Want is your understanding of the effects
23	that PFAS has had in the human body?
24	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Nanasi, I
	Page 209

1	have to ask you to move on.
2	BY MS. NANASI:
3	Q On page 1 of your direct testimony, you
4	state at line 21 "The primary goal of the OCD's
5	modification to the proposed amendments is to ensure
6	that changes are protective." Can you explain why you
7	believe that that is the primary goal?
8	A I think with the roles that OCD performs and
9	what we ask the OCC to adopt is generally protective
10	of human health and the environment.
11	Q And the reason why you support, to some
12	extent, the PFAS ban, is because you believe that that
13	would be more protective of human health. Is that
14	right? And the environment.
15	A Correct.
16	Q On page 3 of your direct testimony, you
17	state at line 21 "The final, but most important
18	change, is OCD's proposed definition of PFAS
19	chemicals." Has this definition shaped the
20	implantation and effect of the remaining rule changes?
21	OCD attempted to address the PFAS definition using two
22	general criteria.
23	"First, the definition must be a technical
24	definition to detail what PFAS is chemically while
25	allowing the division to appropriately implement the

1	definition as the scientific understanding of PFAS
2	continues to develop." Is that your testimony?
3	A Yes. It is.
4	Q Is it your testimony that Dr. Hansen's
5	definition of PFAS is not chemically accurate?
6	A I
7	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. Outside the
8	scope of Mr. Powell's direct.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Sustained.
10	BY MS. NANASI:
11	Q You stated earlier that you're not a
12	chemistry expert. Correct?
13	A Correct.
14	Q I noticed on your CV that you had hazardous
15	waste management certification. Is that true?
16	A Yes. Roughly 20-some odd roughly 20
17	years ago.
18	Q Are you familiar with the New Mexico's legal
19	definition of hazardous waste?
20	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Nanasi, where
22	are you going or what of his testimony are you
23	referring to?
24	MS. NANASI: Well, it was in his CV and
25	so I'm following up on it.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. He said he
2	had hazardous waste training 20-some years ago. All
3	right. And now, you're looking for a definition of
4	hazardous waste?
5	MS. NANASI: Well, I could provide a
6	definition to him. I haven't gotten there yet.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. But then
8	what line of questioning would you be pursuing on the
9	basis of that definition?
LO	MS. NANASI: Well, if he has a
L1	certification in hazardous waste management, what I
L2	wanted to ask him was if this is the definition of
L3	hazardous waste that has been adopted by the New
L4	Mexico legislature, which I would then read, and then
L5	I would ask next does PFAS fall within this
L6	definition.
L7	MS. MULCAHY: So I'm going to object to
L8	any line of questioning about this on multiple
L9	grounds, the first of which is it's not in either his
20	direct or his rebuttal. It's also irrelevant. The
21	Commission has no jurisdiction over hazardous waste.
22	That rests entirely with the Environmental Improvement
23	Board and, Mr. Powell, while incredibly knowledgeable
24	on a ton of things, has not been qualified here today
25	to speak about hazardous waste. And the fact that he

1	has a HAZWOPER certification does not mean that he's
2	familiar with the New Mexico specific definition of
3	hazardous waste.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: And he's not a
5	lawyer. So for all four of those reasons, I'm
6	sustaining the objection.
7	Please move on.
8	BY MS. NANASI:
9	Q I'd like you to refer to OCD Exhibit 11,
LO	slide 118. And there is I'm specifically calling
L1	your attention to the fourth line there that states
L2	that third party verified laboratory. Do you see
L3	that?
L4	A I don't have the slides up. In the bottom
L5	right-hand corner, can you tell me what slide number
L6	it actually says on the slide? I don't have the
L7	exhibit up and the page numbers.
L8	Q Okay.
L9	A I believe I know roughly what you're
20	referencing. I believe it's in 16 rule 16, 17. Is
21	that correct?
22	Q I'll tell you in one minute.
23	A Where it states "And will use a third-party
24	verified laboratory"?
25	Q Yes.

1	A Okay. I found it.
2	Q Would the Commission or sorry. Would the
3	Division have any objection to changing that language
4	to delete the words third party verified and insert
5	the word accredited laboratory? Or the word
6	laboratory is already there. Just delete third party
7	verified and insert accredited.
8	A I don't have a problem with that as long as
9	OCD is not viewed as that a crediting agency. And
10	with the caveat I'm not a chemist so I don't know what
11	accreditations are needed for the methods that we've
12	provided in our definition.
13	Q If the OCD bans PFAS as defined by Guardians
14	and the oil and gas industry complies and their
15	chemical constituents' disclosures reflect the same,
16	why is it harder for OCD to regulate the oil and gas
17	industry with a narrower definition of PFAS?
18	A Can you restate that question to make sure I
19	fully understand?
20	Q I think that on your direct, with
21	Mr. Tremaine, you said that it would if you
22	expanded the definition of PFAS to what Guardians had
23	suggested, that it would be harder to regulate. Do
24	you remember that?
25	A I do. And it would be harder to regulate
	Page 214

1	because there are, as discussed by multiple doctors
2	that have set up here so far, there are PFAS compounds
3	that can't currently be tested for using a known
4	method. So with the OCD, we regulate components and
5	rules that we can verify or find violations to without
6	known methods and processes of what to test for.
7	There would be no way to essentially verify whether
8	there was a violation or not a violation without
9	performing that testing.
10	And my understanding is the OCD, other than
11	the air that we're asking to strike, included all the
12	verified methods that are currently available. So the
13	other compounds that haven't been evaluated are not
14	included. Sounds like EPA, based on the last witness,
15	did something similar and eliminated it down to six
16	compounds through that process to ensure that it was a
17	verified process. OCD is proposing a larger scope
18	than that. It's just simply so it can be verified

Q But let me ask you something. I mean, right now there's lots of chemicals that the -- let me just ask you. Strike that. Who determines what is a proprietary chemical or not? The operator. Right?

through proven means.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I believe that determination is determined by the chemical company, not the operator.

1	Q And so OCD doesn't have that information.
2	Correct?
3	A No.
4	Q No, OCD doesn't have that information?
5	A Doesn't have
6	Q The proprietary information.
7	A We we do not have the proprietary
8	information. We haven't asked for the proprietary
9	information.
10	Q Right. So in that case, OCD still tries to
11	regulate oil and gas. Right?
12	A I would state OCD still does regulate oil
13	and gas.
14	Q And so if this Division determined that to
15	have the most protective rule, it would adopt
16	Guardians' definition of PFAS, even though not every
17	PFAS compound is known and
18	A Again, I'm not a chemist. I don't know if
19	it would be the most protected to adopt that because I
20	don't think the literature's out there to state
21	whether those other compounds are are studied to
22	see if they're toxic and I'm not a toxicologist so I
23	can't get into all of that. That's what the other
24	doctors were for. So I I can't say if the other
25	compounds sound one doctor said it was millions.

1	One doctor said it was 10,000. If those compounds are
2	toxic or not.
3	Q Would more information help the OCD regulate
4	the oil and gas industry?
5	A I think when it comes to PFAS, that's why we
6	define PFAS the way we did is as more information and
7	more testing methods are proven, they're automatically
8	added to those lists and those methods.
9	Q On page 5 of your direct testimony, you
LO	state at line 2 "The OCD does not support the banning
L1	of trademarked chemicals." Did I read that correctly?
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q Unless these trademarked chemicals are PFAS,
L4	Guardians' proposed rule does not seek the ban of
L5	trademarked chemicals either.
L6	A The way I read Guardians' proposal, it's
L7	banning all trademark chemicals because no undisclosed
L8	chemicals could be used.
L9	Q The Guardians' proposed rule changed, seeks
20	the disclosure of all chemical constituents but not
21	the banning of what are trademarked chemicals.
22	A I could go in and look, but I believe it
23	says that no undisclosed chemicals could be used in
24	Guardians' proposal.
25	MS. NANASI: No further questions.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
2	you.
3	Mr. Davis, you have questions of
4	Mr. Powell?
5	MR. DAVIS: I do. And I'll just pick
6	right up where that last line questioning left off.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. DAVIS:
9	Q Your understanding is that the proposed rule
10	would ban undisclosed chemicals. Correct?
11	A That is my understanding.
12	Q Is there anything that you see in the
13	proposed rule that would prohibit a company from
14	voluntarily disclosing a trademark chemical?
15	A No. With the caveat the operator typically
16	isn't the one that trademarks. That's that's the
17	chemical company. So the operator couldn't disclose
18	that without authorization from the the company
19	that provided it.
20	Q In that situation, the chemical manufacturer
21	would be the holder of the trade secret.
22	A Yes.
23	Q So I'll rephrase my question. There's
24	nothing in the proposed rule that prohibits the holder
25	of a trade secret from voluntarily disclosing that

1	trade secret.
2	A Not that I'm aware of.
3	Q If the holder of a trade secret voluntarily
4	discloses the chemical identity of a chemical it wants
5	to use in New Mexico, that chemical can then be used
6	because it's no longer undisclosed.
7	A As it was proposed by WildEarth Guardians,
8	that's my understanding of that proposal.
9	Q And I'm going to ask you about the Trade
- 0	Secrets Act and I don't want to ask you about anything
L1	that you don't you know, you don't know regarding
L2	the law, but are you familiar with the fact that the
L3	Uniform Trade Secrets Act provides a cause of action
L4	for the misappropriation of a trade secret?
L5	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
L6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Powell, same
L7	instruction as yesterday. If you feel like this is
-8	asking you for a legal conclusion, then don't go
_9	there.
20	THE WITNESS: I would simply say I
21	haven't read the Trade Secret Act and I relied on our
22	legal counsel to make those determinations.
23	BY MR. DAVIS:
24	Q Fair enough. I'll move on to a different
25	topic. You were asked by counsel for NMOGA about

1	Dr. Brown's testimony. You were present at the
2	hearing when Dr. Brown testified.
3	A I was.
4	Q And I believe the question earlier was
5	whether Dr. Brown's testimony was that the DOH or the
6	Department of Health was more appropriate to regulate
7	PFAS. Do you remember that question?
8	A Vaguely. It's been a long day.
9	Q Would you agree that Dr. Brown's testimony
10	on that subject was actually that the DOH would be
11	potentially a better agency to communicate chemical
12	disclosure and risk to the public than the OCD?
13	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. I'm sorry. I
14	just have to object here 'cause this is calling for
15	Mr. Powell to speculate on what Dr. Brown actually
16	meant which I think is inappropriate.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
18	Would you rephrase, Mr. Davis?
19	MR. DAVIS: And I would just respond
20	that the original question from counsel for NMOGA,
21	which I did not object to, was also calling for
22	speculation of what Dr. Brown testified to.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Rephrase
24	this last one if you would.
25	MR. DAVIS: You know, I'll just move
	Page 220

1	on. We can look at the record at what Dr. Brown said.
2	BY MR. DAVIS:
3	Q I won't test your recollection, Mr. Powell.
4	The OCD provided in its exhibit packet some
5	frack-focused sample frack-focused disclosure
6	forms. Do you recall those?
7	A I do.
8	Q And these disclosure forms were provided to
9	show that additives other than PFAS are declared as
10	trade secrets.
11	A They were simply to show the Commission
12	what's being provided currently through frack-focused
13	or the proprietary designations are being used as a
14	whole.
15	Q Do you agree that there are chemicals that
16	may be present in frack fluids that are not PFAS but
17	could be harmful to the environment, public health?
18	A There's chemicals in the FracFocus links
19	that I wouldn't want to drink that's being reported.
20	Q There's been some discussion about the term
21	downhole operations and I'm just going to ask you a
22	few questions about whether something qualifies in
23	your understanding of what downhole operations are.
24	Are completion's part of downhole operations?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Are recompletions part of downhole
2	operations?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is treatment of a well part of downhole
5	operations?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Is maintenance of a well part of downhole
8	operations?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Is drilling part of downhole operations?
11	A Yes.
12	Q There was some earlier cross-examination
13	about the term applicable fluid stream in the proposed
14	regulation. Do you recall that testimony?
15	A The proposed testimony for applicable fluid
16	stream. I in what part? I
17	Q I believe Mr. Rankin was asking you about
18	the testing protocols and the proposed rule and
19	whether the testing would be applied to the
20	"applicable fluid stream."
21	A Yes. I do remember that.
22	Q The applicable fluid stream would be the
23	additives that immediately preceded a well integrity
24	event.
25	A Yes.

1	Q Is it possible that there would be
2	constituents downhole that were not part of that
3	applicable fluid stream which could contaminate or
4	pose a risk to groundwater?
5	A There would be contaminants coming from the
6	formation. There would be that are coming up that
7	may not be chemical additives. Is that what you're
8	asking?
9	Q Well, I guess what I'm getting at is could
10	there also be contaminants that were from a prior
11	frack?
12	A I haven't studied it so I I don't know if
13	that would be the case. Typically, when you frack a
14	well, you pressure it up. You open the top, you flow
15	back your frack fluids to a point where then you start
16	flowing back your natural fluids that are in the
17	formation. I don't know how long the frack fluids
18	stay in that well, but the intent is to recover the
19	frack fluids and start producing out the native fluids
20	that's in the formation.
21	Q Is it possible that if I could use the
22	word post flow back. Is it possible that post flow
23	back that some of the constituents of the frack fluid
24	may still remain in the well downhole?
25	A I I would think so for a period of time,
	Page 223

1	but I don't know what that period of time would be.
2	Q How many times can a well be fracked?
3	A There's no limit.
4	Q Is it are wells fracked multiple times?
5	A Yes.
6	Q I want to ask you really quickly about CAS
7	numbers. Are you familiar with that term?
8	A I generally, I know what a CAS number is,
9	but I don't have a lot of knowledge in that.
10	Q And the question I would ask you is whether
11	that's a unique chemical identifier.
12	A Yeah.
13	Q It's a number that is assigned to a
14	chemical. If you sorry. You agree that it's a
15	number assigned to a chemical.
16	A Yes.
17	Q And if you have that number, you could
18	perform a simple Google search to see what that
19	chemical is.
20	A Yes.
21	Q I want to ask you about the FracFocus
22	database. Is that run by a third party?
23	A It is.
24	Q And does OCD maintain the disclosures that
25	are on that website?

1	A We do not.
2	Q So if someone wanted to see those
3	disclosures, they couldn't get them from OCD.
4	A They're publicly available.
5	Q They would have to go to FracFocus.
6	A Yes.
7	Q If FracFocus shut down, OCD would not have
8	that information.
9	A OCD could download that information
L O	periodically so they would have access to that
L1	information.
L2	Q Is there any requirement for OCD to
L3	periodically download that information now?
L4	A I believe there may be. That wasn't part of
L5	something. I looked at it in this rule, but I believe
L6	there was some language about periodically downloading
L7	that information, but I don't have that in
L8	Q And do you think that may be in OCD's
L9	records?
20	A Potentially, yes.
21	Q I'm going to ask you a question that I also
22	asked Dr. Hansen. Do you agree that the purpose of
23	the proposed rule is one of the proposed purpose
24	one of the purposes strike that. Do you agree that
25	one of the purposes of the proposed rule is to protect

1	groundwater?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And do you agree that PFAS could be a threat
4	to groundwater contamination regardless of its source?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do chemicals that are put downhole come back
7	up?
8	A I would assume they would come back up and
9	the the fluids that weren't produced from the well.
10	Q So they could come back up and flow back?
11	A Yes.
12	Q They could be present and produce water
13	that's brought to the surface?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Are flow back and produced water mixed
16	together for purposes of disposal?
17	A Yes.
18	Q For the chemicals that come that go
19	downhole and come back up be used for and has oil
20	recovery?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Could they be used for further fracking?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Were you in the room during this proceeding
25	when Ms. Troutman testified?
	Page 226

1	A When who? I'm sorry.
2	Q Ms. Troutman testified.
3	A Yes. I was.
4	Q Do you have any reason to dispute the
5	numbers in her testimony and exhibits?
6	A No.
7	Q Again, I'm going to ask you about a Colorado
8	legal provision. And if you are not aware of it, just
9	tell me.
10	A Okay.
11	Q Are you familiar with the Colorado law that
12	requires chemical disclosure of chemicals used in
13	downhole operations?
14	A I am not.
15	Q Are you familiar with the California
16	chemical disclosure law?
17	A The only statements is what I heard here
18	earlier that both of those were done through
19	legislation.
20	Q Your knowledge of those laws is just from
21	what you've heard during this hearing?
22	A Yes.
23	Q You testified that OCD is concerned about
24	the implications of holding proprietary information as
25	that relates to the Inspection of Public Records Act.

1	Correct?
2	A Can you state that again to make sure I
3	fully understand?
4	Q Sure. There were some questions earlier
5	today, I believe from OCD counsel, about the numbers
6	of disclosures that OCD would have to retain under
7	Guardians' proposed rule. Do you recall that?
8	A I do. I believe counsel was stating that if
9	OCD received those records and if it was conveyed on
LO	those, OCD would have to make a determination whether
L1	those were or were not trade secret.
L2	Q And in that line of questioning, I believe
L3	that OSHA regulations at 29 C.F.R. 1910.1200 were
L4	mentioned and that's related to safety data sheets.
L5	A I don't remember discussing OSHA sheets.
L6	Q Are you familiar with safety data sheets?
L7	A At a high level and not with the regulations
L8	that surround them.
L9	Q Are you aware that there is also a provision
20	for maintaining confidentiality of proprietary
21	information on those sheets?
22	A I would take your word for it.
23	Q There was some testimony earlier today about
24	administrative burden related to retention of those
25	records that we just talked about. If Guardians

1	removed the provision in the proposed rule for
2	chemical disclosure lists and the disclosures were all
3	made to FracFocus, meaning OCD would not retain the
4	actual chemical disclosure list, would that ease your
5	concern of administrative burden?
6	A We actually approached FracFocus to see if
7	that was possible and being a third-party, they do not
8	do that for any other states is our understanding as
9	far as keeping proprietary information in that avenue.
10	Q And I'm going to so I'm going to ask you
11	to make an assumption that Guardians proposed rule
12	related to the ban of undisclosed chemicals was
13	promulgated. In that situation, there would be no
14	proprietary information on FracFocus because it would
15	be voluntarily disclosed. So making that assumption,
16	if OCD was not holding the chemical disclosure list
17	and receiving that information and instead the
18	discloser was providing it to FracFocus. Would that
19	ease your concerns of administrative burden?
20	A I I believe there were no be on
21	administrative burden as far as holding that because
22	it would be effective at eliminating the proprietary
23	information.
24	Q And then I would have the same question
25	about your IPRA concerns. Would that also alleviate
	Page 229

or resolve your IPRA concerns?
A Well, there would be no data to hold.
Q Thank you. I want to ask you about
19.15.16.17.A(2) and if anybody in the room can recall
that from memory, I'm going to guess it's you, but
this is the part of the proposed rule that talks about
the loss of integrity and disclosure in the event that
there's a loss of integrity for testing purposes. Do
you agree that I'm in the right section here?
A Yeah. As proposed.
Q I just want to ask you if these provisions
strike that. Are you familiar with the recent
events in West Texas in the Permian Basin where there
have been well blowouts related to injection
activities?
A I believe there have been well issues. I
have not studied those to what caused them or or
what effects they've had.
Q Would the provisions of the the proposed
provisions of 19.15.16.17.A(2) apply in the event of a
well blowout?
A As we discussed earlier, a well blowout,
being an integrity loss downhole that we discussed
earlier than as proposed they too would apply to that,
those scenarios.

1	Q And would it also apply to the loss of
2	integrity of a plugged well?
3	A For a plugged well, the way it's defined at
4	the top, both in WildEarth Guardians and OCD's, it's
5	if damaged from shooting, fracturing or treating of a
6	well has the potential impact, a plugged well I don't
7	believe would be treated at that point. So I don't
8	know that a plugged well would apply.
9	Q Thank you for that clarification. And just
10	to clarify, I think I know the answer to this, but
11	that section also would not apply to any spills that
12	potentially affect freshwater resources.
13	A State that again. I apologize.
14	Q Sure. The same section we're talking about,
15	loss of a well integrity, that does not those
16	proposed provisions do not apply to spills that affect
17	groundwater or fresh water courses.
18	A Unless the spill happens because of a loss
19	of integrity.
20	Q If an operator requests proprietary chemical
21	information from a manufacturer and the manufacturer
22	refuses to provide that information to the operator,
23	does OCD have the ability to get that information from
24	a manufacturer?
25	A I would have to check with OCD's legal
	Page 231

1	counsel, but OCD does have subpoena power. And that
2	point, there would be a threat to water.
3	Q Last question. Is there currently any
4	regulatory restriction that you know of that prevents
5	a company from using PFAS in future downhole
6	operations?
7	A Currently or after this?
8	Q Currently.
9	A No.
10	MR. DAVIS: And I said that was my last
11	question and it was. Thank you so much, Mr. Powell.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
14	you, Mr. Davis.
15	Mr. Tremaine, do you have any redirect
16	before I go to the Commission?
17	MR. TREMAINE: I just have a couple
18	questions. I'll be very quick.
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. TREMAINE:
21	Q Thank you, Mr. Powell. Your testimony
22	today, you've had some questions about produced water
23	reuse and potential PFAS and produced water. So I
24	want to ask you generally, like how much produced
25	water was reused in oil and gas operations in New

1	Mexico in 2024?
2	A So far this year there's been approximately
3	7 billion barrels reused.
4	Q Is that a B?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Billion. Reused, okay.
7	A Or no, I let me correct that. It's 7
8	billion gallons, not barrels, 'cause the water use
9	report is in gallons.
LO	Q Thank you for that clarification. So
L1	barrels would be what, 7 billion divided by 40. Is
L2	that correct?
L3	A Forty-two.
L4	Q Forty okay. I shouldn't even have tried.
L5	Should have just asked.
L6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Even I know
L7	that.
L8	MR. TREMAINE: Lawyer math.
L9	BY MR. TREMAINE:
20	Q Do you know what percentage of total what
21	percentage strike that. Do you know what
22	percentage of total injected water was injected for
23	completions volumes?
24	A I don't know the total volume, but I know
25	produced water accounts for roughly 50 to 70 percent
	Page 233

1	of what's injected. So you could extrapolate that
2	out. You're probably looking at 10 to 12 billion
3	gallons that's injected, just rough numbers.
4	Q Okay. So just to clarify, 'cause I
5	butchered my question. I was asking you for the
6	percentage of water that is injected that was reused.
7	Do do I understand you answered that as 50 to 70?
8	A Yeah. Generally, it it to my
9	knowledge, the last couple years its averaged between
10	50 and 70 percent.
11	Q If produced water was not available for you
12	in downhole operations, what would an operator have to
13	do with it?
14	A Injected downhole and in injection well.
15	Q And if operators chose not to use produced
16	water, what would they use instead of produced water?
17	A Typically, I believe before we started
18	reusing produced water, it was using freshwater
19	supplies.
20	MR. TREMAINE: No further questions.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,
22	Mr. Tremaine.
23	Mr. Chair, do you have questions of
24	Mr. Powell?
25	MR. RAZATOS: Madam Hearing Examiner,
	Page 234

1	may we take just a few minute break?
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Absolutely.
3	Let's take ten minutes.
4	MR. RAZATOS: Thank you.
5	(Off the record.)
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. We
7	are back after a short break.
8	And when you get back to your chair,
9	I'm going to invite you to ask questions to
LO	Mr. Powell.
L1	MR. RAZATOS: Thank you, Madam Hearing
L2	Officer and thank you, Mr. Powell, for your testimony
L3	today. We appreciate it. I just have a few
L4	questions. Yesterday, there was some concern that
L5	came up about being able to test for PFAS even in the
L6	future. And I believe you had said that if in the
L7	future there was some necessity to be able to do some
L8	testing on an area and we found PFAS, we would keep
L9	the original operator of the well or the last operator
20	of the well or whoever it was that caused the spill,
21	responsible. Can you go into that a little bit for
22	us, please?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you for
24	asking that. It probably needs to be elaborated a
25	little bit further. So as far as this role that we're

1	asking, the initial testing would be at the time of
2	the well integrity event. If things are found in the
3	waters later as far as part of a groundwater cleanup,
4	groundwater investigation, that would happen 29 and
5	30. So it wouldn't necessarily be under this rule.
6	It would be under a separate set of rule.
7	This rule, the way it's spelled out,
8	would be looking for that initial well bore integrity
9	event and would be identifying the chemicals as
10	written in this rule at that time. If things are
11	looked for or found later, that would more than likely
12	happen under part 29 or part 30 investigations.
13	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Okay. Thank you
14	for clarifying that one. Then there's a lot of
15	conversation about the PFAS definition. And again,
16	just so I understand and I think so the Commission
17	understands, the PFAS definition that has been
18	proposed by the OCD is basically a two-part definition
19	if I kind of understand it correctly. The first part
20	is the actual definition that chemists use across the
21	world about what PFAS is. Is that a fair statement?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. And then the
24	second part is how the OCD would be able to implement
25	PFAS after testing. Correct?

1	THE WITNESS: Correct.
2	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. So the notion that
3	the OCD is precluding single carbon compounds that are
4	perfluorinated is really not true. It's there. It's
5	just right now the OCD wouldn't be able to see it in
6	its testing protocols.
7	THE WITNESS: I think the way the
8	definition is there it would be a preclusion of things
9	you can't test for at this point.
10	MR. RAZATOS: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: In an attempt to not be
12	arbitrary in what that definition would encompass,
13	because we wouldn't want to encompass something that
14	hasn't been founded, hasn't been at least studied to a
15	certain extent and can't be tested reliably, and so if
16	there's a concern that comes up or something that you
17	needed test for, we want to be able to test for that.
18	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you. I know
19	it's been mentioned that you're not a chemist, you're
20	not a toxicologist or any of that, but you are a
21	regulator and you are the deputy director of the Oil
22	Conservation Division. So help this body understand
23	how the way that the definition and the changes, just
24	so we hear it in your voice, we saw it in your
25	PowerPoint and stuff, but how does all of this help us

1	make this decision on which way to go? Why is the
2	OCD's the more well-rounded?
3	THE WITNESS: So as far as in actual
4	implementation of it is why OCD's looking there. So
5	if you have a well bore integrity event, you're going
6	to ask for all of that information for the chemicals
7	that are used in that. You're going to identify what
8	chemicals are used in that and then you're going to go
9	test for those chemicals. With having chemicals you
10	can't test for, it would be difficult to identify what
11	chemicals to test for in performing that evaluation.
12	So having what chemicals were used and
13	them comparing them to a method that can be tested for
14	is necessary to identify those potentially harmful
15	chemicals to then transition into the other parts of
16	the OCD's rules and their applicability. So identify
17	in those early chemicals if you identify something you
18	would have a method to test for.
19	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
20	And testing, and it did peak my interest as well. The
21	OCD submitted that it would be a verified third-party.
22	Currently, does the OCD used that phrase, verified
23	third-part laboratory?
24	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. I
25	think we provide methods, but I don't know if that's
	Page 238

1	included in part 29 or not.
2	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. That may be
3	something that the OCD may want to look into maybe
4	rewording.
5	THE WITNESS: Typically, when we
6	receive the results, we look at what's supplied with
7	the results. We ensure that the blank, those kind of
8	things were relayed, so if there is questions, we can
9	look at those qualifiers. But we don't for their
10	accreditation because I don't know if well, the OCD
11	doesn't oversee any of those accreditations.
12	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Great. Thank you.
13	And I must admit, I apologize,
14	Mr. Davis, your question sometimes were a little
15	compounded. I was having a hard time following so
16	please also jump in if I've got the question wrong on
17	this or what was said.
18	Mr. Davis had asked you about 19.15.16
19	let me just start that over. He asked you about
20	19.15.16.17.A(2). In that particular one, is the one
21	where what purposed it starts off "If damage from a
22	shooting, fracturing, or treating of a well has the
23	potential to impact surface or groundwater." And
24	Mr. Davis asked you something along the lines about
25	blowout affecting fresh and groundwater. And I must
	Page 239

1	admit, I missed the answer. Do you, by any chance,
2	remember what the question was and do you remember the
3	answer?
4	THE WITNESS: I believe the response
5	was if there was a well integrity event and that's
6	what I believe it was elaborating to as a blowout, if
7	it affected surface water or fresh water, would this
8	rule apply during production, those kind of things and
9	the answer was yes.
10	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. And I wrote down
11	"Does it apply or not?" with a question mark. So
12	thank you for answering. No further questions from
13	me. I appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Powell.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	Commissioner Bloom.
17	MR. BLOOM: All right. Good afternoon,
18	Mr. Powell.
19	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
20	MR. BLOOM: Been a long day. Thank you
21	for your testimony and sticking with this. I first
22	wanted to look at the question of management of
23	disposition or generation of waste. It's been brought
24	up if the OCD would agree that the OCD regulates
25	the disposition of produced water?

1	THE WITNESS: We do.
2	MR. BLOOM: Do you believe that the OCC
3	can regulate PFAS and produced water?
4	THE WITNESS: We do.
5	MR. BLOOM: I'll leave that there.
6	There was an issue about notice that was brought up
7	and I don't remember if it was brought up by
8	Mr. Tremaine so I'll ask you. But did OCD say in its
9	opening that the OCC should not rethink this.
10	Strike that.
11	THE WITNESS: I believe I know what
12	you're asking if you'd like me to answer.
13	MR. BLOOM: Yeah, please. Go ahead.
14	Thank you.
15	THE WITNESS: The OCD, when we started,
16	and I believe in Jesse's opening statement, didn't
17	feel like the downhole operations as a whole so
18	drilling other production operations had been noticed.
19	In rereviewing the language from WildEarth Guardians,
20	they did use downhole operations. It was the OCD that
21	had changed that to completion and recompletion
22	because that's the extent of a lot of the
23	conversations circled around. So there was a
24	misunderstanding there. Whether downhole operations
25	as a whole and whether they encompassed drilling or
	Page 241
	raye 241

1	production operations were encompassed, after further
2	evaluation, as this was ongoing, we feel that that was
3	noticed properly.
4	MR. BLOOM: Okay. And actually, I
5	think it was a different issue that I was thinking of.
6	But I was going to ask about that one as well so thank
7	you. But Mr. Tremaine say in his opening or is it the
8	OCD's belief that the OCC in this hearing process
9	shouldn't get into issues related to disclosure of
10	other chemicals besides PFAS?
11	THE WITNESS: Boy, I don't remember
12	that and and OCD's proposed language. If there is
13	a well integrity event, we would be looking for
14	disclosure of all chemicals used in the well.
15	MR. BLOOM: Okay. And I think
16	specifically my question's more along the lines of did
17	the OCD believe that Guardians properly noticed this
18	and I looked at the notice and saw that it said there
19	are two points in the second one, said "That the
20	Commission and the new chemical disclosure and
21	reporting rules to ensure reasonable transparency
22	around substances used by the oil and gas industry and
23	to ensure industry compliance with the prohibition on
24	the use of PFAS."
25	THE WITNESS: This may be a better

1	question to ask Jesse if you're asking if he stated
2	it. But I believe OCD has under the assumption that
3	that's what WildEarth Guardians was asking was full
4	disclosures.
5	MR. BLOOM: Okay. Thank you.
6	I don't know, Mr. Tremaine, if you've
7	got anything to add there, if it's proper to ask you
8	but
9	MR. TREMAINE: Well, I mean, if you're
10	asking, I'll answer. Commissioner Bloom, I think it's
11	possible that I misspoke in the opening. It's
12	possible. I mean, we can go back to the record. I
13	think OCD's position and just a couple different
14	things going on, one, OCD's position as that notice
15	we did not support notice to like third-parties that
16	OCD has not communicated with and OCD does not
17	regulate.
18	To the proprietary question, OCD was
19	supporting potentially upon triggering events
20	disclosure of all proprietary information to the OCD.
21	OCD is taking a position that it is arguably in
22	conflict with state statute to require disclosure of
23	proprietary information to the public. So there's a
24	distinction there. Did that answer your question?
25	MR. BLOOM: I think so. I think so.

1	And it gave me led to my next question so thank
2	you.
3	MR. DAVIS: And Commissioner Bloom, if
4	I may, if you have any questions about Guardians'
5	position on this matter, I'm happy to articulate it.
6	MR. BLOOM: Please, go ahead.
7	MR. DAVIS: So there has been some
8	question about whether Guardians is requesting the
9	banning of undisclosed chemicals on the oil field, in
10	addition to PFAS. The answer to that is yes. And the
11	way that would work is that Guardians is not asking
12	for a specific ban on any chemical other than PFAS,
13	but we are requesting that if you will not disclose
14	those chemicals or you cannot get the chemical
15	identities from the manufacturer for instance, then
16	you just simply can't use that in New Mexico. So in
17	effect, it would mean that all chemicals used downhole
18	are disclosed.
19	MR. BLOOM: Very good. Thank you.
20	'Cause I reread this morning the New
21	Mexico law for Uniform Trade Secrets, chapter 57,
22	article 3-A and it's seven short paragraphs and I
23	didn't see anything in there suggesting that
24	rulemaking by this body or others couldn't ban the use
25	of undisclosed and/or trade secreted chemicals. My

1	understanding is that we can't force anyone to reveal
2	trade secret chemicals, but it doesn't mean that the
3	OCC can't prohibit the use of undisclosed chemicals.
4	Does OCD see this differently,
5	Mr. Powell?
6	THE WITNESS: I think where OCD was
7	coming from, when it's talking about undisclosed
8	chemicals, is there's chemicals outside of PFAS that
9	could be used downhole. Then operators have declared
10	it as proprietary. I believe on the examples that
11	we're provided, mineral oil was one of them. That's
12	non-PFAS containing. We don't feel that that's a a
13	chemical we're looking at today of banning, but it
14	would effectively ban that unless that chemical
15	provider would provide that information.
16	OCD's also seen things like special
17	grit sands that a a an operator's using that
18	they've requested proprietary knowledge on because the
19	grit of the sand is is better. So there's things
20	that have been declared as proprietary that we feel
21	aren't harmful to the environment and therefore,
22	shouldn't be banned. And it was OCD's stance that we
23	felt that at this level, if we were banning trademark
24	chemicals, it may not be explicit in the statute, but
25	that would be an effect that could be large-reaching

and it would probably be better done through
legislation is described potentially as they did in
other states to ban those. So that effect could be
more widely researched 'cause in this petition, we
were looking specifically at PFAS as a chemical and
essentially banning those other chemicals could be a
lot more far-reaching than what's been presented here.
MR. BLOOM: Yeah. I mean, why do you
think we would need legislation here?
THE WITNESS: I think because of the
far-reaching effect of banning trade chemicals and
proprietary chemicals across the board should be
something that we feel may be something that's better
tackled at the legislative level because you are
negating the needs for the trade secret. I think the
legislature, through the Trade Secret Act, has
understood that some chemicals need that protection
and we're essentially saying, "Well, we're stripping
that protection away."
MR. BLOOM: I follow you now. Okay.
You know, clearly as regulators, we struggle with
trying to balance the oil and gas industry and our
other charges which are protection of public health
and the environment. I asked this question yesterday.
We heard a claim, I think it was in the opening, from

1	NMOGA that PTFE hasn't been used since 2020,
2	FPEG's [ph] not been used since 2015. But how do we
3	know that with certainty when there are chemicals that
4	haven't been disclosed? Do we know with certainty?
5	THE WITNESS: I don't think we know
6	with certainty. That's why we built in the ability to
7	get those trademarked chemicals to the OCD for that
8	full evaluation. The the chemicals previously
9	supplied, I didn't look up to see if there was a well
10	integrity event in those site. No, they were detected
11	at this locations. I've not detected. They were
12	reported to be used at those locations. But I don't
13	know if there was any exposure of those chemicals
14	through any well integrity events or any exposure to
15	the environment because of those. So I getting the
16	full disclose to the OCD, to perform that evaluation
17	at the time of a well bore integrity issue I think is
18	pertinent and it's critical and that's why the OCD has
19	asked for it.
20	MR. BLOOM: I think yesterday I
21	think we heard a little bit today too. Does OCD
22	believe that WildEarth Guardians is proposing moving
23	away from FracFocus? I didn't see that explicitly,
24	but is that a possible outcome of what we've been
25	hearing?

1	THE WITNESS: I believe FracFocus, as
2	it's there, does not handle the proprietary. If we
3	were building something to handle proprietary, we
4	would have to move away from FracFocus. They also
5	proposed giving a chemical disclosure list to
6	entities. FracFocus, I don't believe supplies those
7	to any entities. So you would have to create a new
8	way to put those chemicals down and then distribute
9	those to those entities using their proposal.
10	MR. BLOOM: Okay. But again, if we
11	banned or not permit this exemption for proprietary
12	information, we wouldn't have that issue at all.
13	Right? FracFocus could continue to handle
14	THE WITNESS: It can continue in that
15	format, but you would still have to find a way to
16	distribute that if you took their distribution list of
17	who who would need to be notified in each of those
18	cases because they're looking for I believe a more
19	positive distribution to those entities. It doesn't
20	define how that would be distributed, other than they
21	would have to provide it to those entities.
22	MR. BLOOM: Okay. Now, you're talking
23	about I wasn't following you for a second. So
24	you're talking about providing notification to third
25	parties which I think included residents within a

mile, police departments, fire departments, et cetera,
BLM, State Land Office?
THE WITNESS: Yes. I I was looking
at it as a whole because I believed they had a full
chemical disclosure list that they would be providing
to those and that's not a service FracFocus provides
that I'm aware of.
MR. BLOOM: Okay. All right. Again,
back to this issue of as regulators trying I want
to get into PFAS, the definition of PFAS now. And I
just spoke about this yesterday, but as regulators,
again, we struggle with regulating the oil and gas
industry and also protecting public health and the
environment.
The proponents, WildEarth Guardians,
definition of PFAS is a fully fluorinated carbon atom
would give us a pretty wide ban on a group of
chemicals that have not been like widely tested. I
think there's ample evidence of that in the record.
Some of it toxic, the ones that have been tested. Why
does OCD want a definition that narrows this to
around, you know, 70 to 100 chemicals I guess is my
question. Why narrow this?
THE WITNESS: I think what OCD was
looking at is not necessarily an intent to narrow but
Page 249

2.1

2.4

an intent to incorporate all that could be tested for through approved methods. It was incorporated with the method so as far-reaching as we could find those methods and water that can be tested for, it's there to ensure that, one, we're not arbitrarily eliminating something that may not be toxic. Two, limiting it in a way where we can't prove one way or another something has been used.

If somebody says, "Well, you used this," we have to be able to test to see if it was used or was not used and without a way to quantify that, it would -- it would be extremely hard as a regulator to do that. I understand the intent as a whole to protect ourselves from bad chemicals, but unless we can quantify those and say it is or isn't there, as we've heard, I believe only six or seven of them have been quantified at this point to being toxic. We're much larger than that in what we're requesting by going the -- the 40 to 70 different chemicals.

So we're trying to incorporate a large swathe of those chemicals but do so in a way that it can be tested for and verified. 'Cause as regulators, I think there's times where the intent is good to have all of them banned, but the reality at the end of the

1	day, to being able to prove one way or another, I
2	think it's incumbent on us to be able to do that and
3	to hold companies accountable if they are using
4	something that you can't quantify and test for.
5	MR. BLOOM: So I feel like, just
6	looking at an analogy, if I were trying to stop people
7	from poisoning other people, I think I would say, "You
8	can't poison people by any means," I don't think I
9	would only list the poisons we have tests for. You
LO	see where I'm going?
L1	THE WITNESS: I do. And I think that's
L2	part of what we're trying to do by the full disclosure
L3	of all the chemicals that are used to identify
L4	everything that's used in those when we get them. I
L5	I see what you're looking at. But at the same
L6	time, say a medical examiner, if you're poisoned with
L7	a chemical and they tried to identify the chemical,
L8	that examiner's going to have to test for what
L9	chemical was used as the poison.
20	MR. BLOOM: So back to disclosure, I
21	mean, if we end up with a narrow definition of PFAS,
22	there could be other PFAS chemicals that follow or
23	other ones outside the narrower OCD or NMOGA
24	definition of PFAS that could be disclosed and could
25	be could be used. Correct?

1	THE WITNESS: I think that's what we
2	were going for by keeping that open-ended for updates
3	to the the methods that are there. I think if
4	other methods were to be developed, absolutely we'd
5	probably have to look at additional rulemaking to
6	incorporate those, but based on the experts that we
7	had, the thought process is they wouldn't be creating
8	new methods. They would be using these methods to add
9	to those chemicals as those chemicals become known,
10	become studied and the the reliable analytical
11	methods were developed for them.
12	MR. BLOOM: Oh, I think my last
13	question, just to clarify something, I believe maybe
14	yesterday you said that OCD in the future could find
15	spills that had PFAS in them that weren't banned today
16	but we could force cleanup even in that situation in
17	the future. Is that right?
18	THE WITNESS: So that was a very broad
19	comment that was asked. So for this rule, we would be
20	looking at at the time of the well integrity event.
21	But if there was contaminants found later under part
22	29 or part 30, we still hold operators accountable for
23	contaminants that are found under those rules and
24	cleanup underneath those rules.
25	MR. BLOOM: Wouldn't it be easier to

1	have this broader definition based on Guardians'
2	proposal that would just ban a wide swathe of PFAS
3	chemicals at this point and then in the future, if you
4	found out that those things were used or spilled, then
5	you have a stronger case for enforcement?
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know that you
7	would because New Mexico's got a 100 years of oil and
8	gas activity. If it's already out there, if it's
9	already in those areas, having that broad ban today I
10	don't think would bolster down the road if you found
11	it and looked for that cleanup. I think you would
12	still be detecting those PFAS through analytical
13	methods. I don't see how you would detect a PFAS
14	constituent say 20 years from now without running an
15	analytical method that would discover the PFAS in that
16	water. Because it you have to have a trigger point
17	to be able to find that.
18	MR. BLOOM: Yeah. I mean, I lied. One
19	last question. Something you've heard me struggling
20	with is if we change notification to include people
21	living within a mile, fire departments, police
22	departments, the whole list that Guardians proposed,
23	your thoughts on that, helpful, not helpful if we did
24	it, how could those people best be notified.
25	THE WITNESS: The the initial
	Page 253
	raye 253

1	thoughts on it is you're sending it to a lot of people
2	that may not understand it. Your your fire
3	departments, your police departments, there's HazCom
4	and I am not an expert in that where I believe
5	different companies have to file MSDS's [ph] with
6	those departments, that they're in those areas.
7	That's outside of my realm. Just general knowledge on
8	that.
9	But sending it to preschools, schools,
10	people at houses, I can barely understand half of it
11	and I work in this environment. If you start sending
12	it to people that don't understand it, either, one,
13	they're not going to understand it and throw it away
14	or they're not going to understand it and feel like I
15	think create some hysteria over it without that
16	understanding.
17	And at the time of a frack, there's not
18	an exposed person inside of that area at that time
19	unless there's a well integrity event. We I
20	believe in the state there's roughly two thousand
21	2,500 wells currently complete at a year. And this
22	would affect everything that OCD's over so it's state
23	lands, federal lands, private lands, all of those. So
24	those 2,500 completions per year, plus any well that's

being treated, if we include all downhole operations,

25

1	every well that has scavenger corrosion inhibiter, all
2	of those are at treatment so now all of those wells,
3	you're talking potentially 10,000 events a year or
4	tens of thousands events per year. You're going to be
5	sending out full disclosures to the entities that
6	don't know what a disclosure or what these chemicals
7	are.
8	MR. BLOOM: Yeah. This reminded me, I
9	had one other question I forgot to ask. So FracFocus
10	does not get the doesn't preserve the proprietary
11	information, right. That's all if somebody claims
12	it's proprietary, that company that applied is the
13	only one that knows what it is?
14	THE WITNESS: Correct. They don't
15	receive it. All they receive is the information
16	provided where it's marked proprietary on that
17	submission.
18	MR. BLOOM: Have there ever been issues
19	with companies not being able to find that information
20	after a series of of mergers and acquisitions and
21	failures and things like that?
22	THE WITNESS: It's listed as a trade
23	chemical. I haven't been aware of anything where they
24	had issues because you're looking it gives the
25	chemical name or the the mix name I should say. It

1	gives the mix name. We haven't been requiring that at
2	this point for those events. We're looking for it
3	when the integrity event happens so I don't know that
4	because it's happening at that point that there would
5	be an issue at being old enough where it wouldn't be
6	accessible by the company.
7	MR. BLOOM: Yeah, I was thinking about
8	I mean, I know you know the San Juan intimately and
9	I started working at the Land Office the first time
LO	around in 2012 and I think of, you know, Logo sold out
L1	to maybe WPX and then WPX sold probably to Enduring or
L2	DJR.
L3	THE WITNESS: Yep.
L4	MR. BLOOM: And during DJR, WPX was
L5	bought by Devon. I mean, who knows what was in that
L6	frack job at this point.
L7	THE WITNESS: So I think that's where
L8	the the key is is we would be looking at it at the
L9	time of an integrity event. So if when you're
20	fracking and you have a loss of integrity over your
21	casing, that's when we would be taking that action as
22	at that point we would be asking for full disclosure
23	of all the chemicals used in that fluid stream and
24	testing for that. If there is some concern over what
25	
-	to be tested for, OCD did have in there OCD can

1	request additional chemicals to be analyzed for
2	depending on the situation. And I think that's
3	currently in OCD's power, under their investigatory
4	powers. But that is the area, if if there really
5	is a concern of what's being used downhole and if
6	we're getting full disclose.
7	MR. BLOOM: All right. Thank you,
8	Mr. Powell.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
11	Commissioner Ampomah
12	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you.
13	Please, do you have a copy of your
14	direct testimony with you?
15	THE WITNESS: Do I have a copy of that?
16	DR. AMPOMAH: Uh-huh.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. So I'm going to
19	walk through that. So I'll start on page 1. OCD
20	Exhibit 2 and I think page 13. But that is page 1 of
21	your direct testimony. On line 17
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. Let me get to
23	that, please.
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
25	THE WITNESS: So direct testimony, page
	D 0FF
	Page 257

1	2 or page 1.
2	DR. AMPOMAH: Page 1, line 17.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	DR. AMPOMAH: "OCD supports action
5	regarding the banning of PFAS as defined through the
6	testimony of other Division witnesses as a completion
7	chemical additive." What about enhanced recovery?
8	What about drilling?
9	THE WITNESS: So that's what I
10	mentioned earlier. A lot of the discussion up to this
11	was around completion and recompletion activities so
12	that's why that was stated that way. But after
13	relooking at the how WildEarth Guardians proposed
14	it, OCD supports the ban of PFAS in all downhole
15	activities.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: So in the final rule that
17	we'll get is going to be downhole activities, not
18	necessarily completion.
19	THE WITNESS: Correct.
20	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. Thank you. So my
21	next question is, so looking at the entire rule, more
22	or less suggested by NMOCD, how ready is OCD to
23	implement these proposed rule?
24	THE WITNESS: So I think it would be
25	using as proposed by OCD current staff. If there is a
	Dago 250
	Page 258

1	well integrity event, we would be getting with the
2	operator to see if that well was chemically treated or
3	it was part of a completion activity and asking for
4	which chemicals were being used for evaluation.
5	Depending on what chemicals were being used, OCD would
6	be looking at that and potentially having the operator
7	give more details or if if needed, reach out to a
8	toxicologist, those kinds of things, to identify
9	harmful chemicals. But it would be using the current
10	OCD staff to do that because those cases would be
11	limited just to those well bore integrity events.
12	DR. AMPOMAH: So then it means that OCD
13	can easily implement this without additional burden.
14	THE WITNESS: Without what? I'm sorry.
15	DR. AMPOMAH: Additional burden.
16	THE WITNESS: Correct.
17	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. But what about if
18	the Commission decides to go with strictly what the
19	petitioner or the applicant is suggesting?
20	THE WITNESS: I don't it would be
21	extremely difficult for OCD to manage that and we
22	would be pulling resources that we're using other
23	places such as reviewing permits, those kind of
24	things, spill reports, and we would have to pull those
25	into processing those full disclosures on every well,

1	those kind of things.
2	DR. AMPOMAH: Will OCD be willing to
3	provide that information to the Commission to guide us
4	as we make decisions?
5	THE WITNESS: I don't think we have a
6	full evaluation of what that would be. We we
7	evaluate it based on OCD's proposal and where we we
8	stated that it would be hard for OCD to implement what
9	is in the slides.
10	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. On page 2, I do
11	have some few questions there.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	DR. AMPOMAH: So on line no. 12, the
14	first is that "OCD does not support the proposed ban
15	on trademarked chemicals as this type of ban would not
16	align with the state statutes, providing for the
17	protection of proprietary and trade secret
18	information." Based on the discussion with
19	Commissioner Bloom, do you believe this is the main
20	reason why OCD is not supporting full disclosure at
21	this time?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. We feel that the
23	trade secret ban was enacted in New Mexico and
24	provides protections and eliminating that would
25	effectively be going against that, those protection

Τ	provisions.
2	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. And based on your
3	earlier response, on line no. 18, so it's saying
4	"These changes ensure that the rule can be effectively
5	administered and not unintentionally conflict with
6	other OCD rules." So definitely that is a that's
7	to the trade secrets. And then also I think you
8	responded that based on your evaluation, with regards
9	to the changes that NMOCD is proposing, it's more or
LO	less going to also support with effective
11	administration of the rule. Is that a fair statement?
12	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
13	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you. Let's go no.
14	3, page no. 3. So on the first two lines, I want to
15	ask, what are the current limitations on the reports
16	for to the FracFocus that you know of?
17	THE WITNESS: The current limitations
18	are where they mark proprietary. We gave a couple
19	examples in our exhibits of what come from FracFocus
20	which give some marked proprietary information. One
21	of them I remember offhand as being mineral oil. They
22	use a proprietary blend of mineral oil in their use.
23	So it's just ensuing we get all those chemical
24	disclosures if there is an integrity event.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: So I do have a couple of

1	questions on the FracFocus. Is there a way to verify
2	the information that is going in there?
3	THE WITNESS: That is operator
4	reported. If there was a well integrity event, that
5	would be something OCD would be working with the
6	operators to ensure there there was full
7	disclosure.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
9	THE WITNESS: And if they didn't give
10	full disclosure, they could be subject to an
11	enforcement action.
12	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. Thank you. So
13	still on page no. 3. On line no. 5 to 7, the exhibits
14	also show examples of what are marking as
15	proprietary and the times of additives other than PFAS
16	which would be banned from use on the Guardians'
17	amended application. So that is for OCD. Do you
18	believe OCC too do not have the authority to ban
19	undisclosed chemicals?
20	THE WITNESS: I'm not a lawyer and I'm
21	not OCC's counsel. I would say that it would be
22	what OCD is stating is OCD feels that it would go
23	against the protections provided under the the
24	state statutes for trademarked chemicals.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. We will seek
	Page 262

1	counsel. Thank you. Okay. So if we go down to 17 to
2	23, it sounds to me that OCD do agree to full
3	disclosure. It's more or less implementing full
4	disclosure, but only when there is an incident. Is
5	that a fair statement?
6	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
7	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. And no. 4, so OCD
8	supports I'm reading from line 15, "OCD supports a
9	certification that no PFAS containing chemical
10	additives were added to the completion fluids." Can
11	you explain to us how this process will work?
12	THE WITNESS: So how that process would
13	work, there's actually two certifications written in
14	the proposal. One would be with the initial APD so
15	they would certify in the APD that they will not be
16	using any PFAS-containing chemicals. And then there
17	would be an additional certification with the
18	completion report that they did not use any PFAS in
19	the completion or recompletion of that well. The APD
20	certification I could see potentially covering all
21	downhole operations if that's changed by the
22	Commission. The 105 would still be just for the
23	completion and recompletion.
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. Let me ask. So
25	how effective is the FracFocus as of now? How

1 effective is it in your -- duties? 2 THE WITNESS: As far as what I've used it for, I've found it very effective. You can pull it 3 The public can pull it up. They can zoom to 4 specific areas. They can search for specific API 6 numbers and see what completion information's been entered for those wells or wells in a certain area 8 that have been filed. 9 DR. AMPOMAH: And based on your experience with FracFocus, oil and gas industry has 10 11 been more transparent? 12 THE WITNESS: I think since the 13 enactment of the -- from the OCC to use FracFocus and 14 previously using OCD's website, which have 15 complications, I -- I actually think it was smoother 16 under FracFocus because you had less down time, those kind of things. It was more reliable. It has been 17 18 very transparent as far as the chemicals being used in those wells and which chemicals were listed as 19 20 proprietary. Okay. So I want to ask 2.1 DR. AMPOMAH: 22 you, as a deputy director, definitely you were heavily 23 involved in the preparation for this rulemaking or the 2.4 hearing. I want to -- I want to know the process that 25 NMOCD went through, you know, announcing what the

petitioner provided and what more or less preempted all the changes that NMOCD has made to the current proposed rule.

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: Boy, that was quite a process. I would say from the first application OCD reviewed that. Reviewed it with OCD counsel. There was public -- or there was outreach with the other parties on that first proposed draft. There was definitely some issues OCD felt were in that first application jurisdictionally as OCD felt it was outside the scope of what OCD could regulate. So we got with the applicants, we drafted a potential outline frame of what rules that could be used that currently are in the rules to accomplish the main goals that we've seen from the petitioner. We provided to -- the -- that to the applicants.

Generally that outline is what was used by the petitioner in their current outline. They made modifications to it obviously to what they felt they needed in that proposed rule in what they were looking for, but they were using that structure as a guide.

And then OCD took what the applicant provided in the current application and made modifications to it for what OCD determined they felt they needed for the implementation of that rule and what goals OCD needed

to meet on that.

2.1

2.4

DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you for that. So on page 5, line 17, 18, and 19. Okay. "OCD believes that changes to this rule should establish a process for the detection of potential impacts, not for the remediation." Now, with this statement, I'm thinking it sounds like OCD's more or less focusing on the detection and not necessarily the prevention. Can you comment on that?

THE WITNESS: I believe that detection is also prevention. In -- in the review, if we're evaluating for potential impacts, we're doing that detection. If it's found that they're using a chemical in that initial detection that wasn't allowed, that violates that rule, there is a potential for enforcement there.

I think what OCD was pointing out here is if there is an impact, that the remediation would then fall under part 29, part 30 which are separate rules than what's being opened here today. But that initial detection or evaluation would happen at the time of impact and that full disclosure happened at that point to see. And if it's determined that the chemicals are being used that were previously banned, that is a potential enforcement action.

1	DR. AMPOMAH: So assuming there is a
2	problem or let's say there is a casing integrity issue
3	and then the operator reports that to OCD. Help me
4	understand, how does become small like a preventative
5	measure, you know, than a detection measure with
6	regards to underground is also drinking water?
7	THE WITNESS: Oh, I think the
8	prevention measure is because the operator wouldn't
9	want enforcement action against them because if there
10	is a well integrity event, we would ask for a full
11	chemical disclosure. We would get all of those
12	chemicals and if it was found that they were using one
13	of those PFAS chemicals, they would be subject to
14	enforcement action from the OCD because they're using
15	something that's banned. So the the prevention is
16	because they wouldn't want the enforcement action
17	taken against them. It is where the prevention would
18	be.
19	DR. AMPOMAH: You know, based on
20	current technology, we have any way to clean PFAS
21	contamination?
22	THE WITNESS: To clean PFAS
23	contamination?
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Uh-huh.
25	THE WITNESS: That wasn't something I
	Page 267

1	looked at.
2	DR. AMPOMAH: Yeah. Because the way I
3	read this, it's more like if there is a problem, then
4	the operator will quickly work on it to avoid any
5	enforcement action against them.
6	THE WITNESS: It would it wouldn't
7	be quickly work on it. It would be quickly identify
8	and what potential contaminants are there. And if it
9	is identified that there's PFAS there, they would then
10	move to part 29 and part 30 for the evaluation or
11	remediation. And those theoretically could be looking
12	at long-term remediation, the water, what has to be
13	done with that water. Those kind of things.
14	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you. Okay. Page
15	6.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioner, may
17	I make a brief
18	DR. AMPOMAH: Sure.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: announcement
20	to those on the platform?
21	This is Felicia Orth, the hearing
22	officer. We do have a public comment session coming
23	up very shortly. I'm going to invite the commissioner
24	to finish his questions of this witness and then I
25	will turn to those on the platform who would like to
	Page 268

1	offer public comment. Thank you.
2	Go ahead. Continue.
3	DR. AMPOMAH: Yeah. Let me wrap up
4	quickly. Yeah, 'cause I have tons, but I'll wrap up
5	quickly. Okay.
6	So on page 6, line no. 6, "OCD feels
7	that the inclusion of most of the entities is
8	unnecessary." And I know there was back and forth on
9	this with my other commissioners. Let me ask, whose
10	responsibility is it to get consent from these
11	entities that the petitioner is more or less included
12	in the room?
13	THE WITNESS: To receive concern if
14	they have concern?
15	DR. AMPOMAH: No, so I think it was
16	more on the list that is provided. And OCD strike
17	most of them out, just focusing on the state and then
18	also the federal. It's just State Land Office and
19	then BLM. So I'm asking, who is responsible to
20	notify?
21	THE WITNESS: So it would be the
22	operator's responsibility to notify those agencies in
23	those scenarios.
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Then why would that
25	create a burden for, let's see, OCD? Why are you

striking them out?

2.1

2.4

with sending them to entities that wouldn't understand what they were receiving and then OCD would get the follow-up questions from that. You're -- you're receiving a list of chemicals that can be quite extensive and you're sending them to a place like childcare facilities, daycare facilities. They wouldn't have a -- even a basic chemist potentially on staff to evaluate it or even understand what they're getting.

So we kept those limited to other regulatory bodies that would understand at least what they're receiving. The FracFocus chemical information is publicly available to everyone so if people are interested, what's going on in their area, they can pull up a map in FracFocus to see what wells have been completed in the area. Pull up those FracFocus reports.

OCD's concern was the -- those disclosures going to entities that wouldn't understand that and causing concern and then those entities would be reaching out to the OCD and OCD would be providing that information whereas stated, FracFocus has a map where if an entity does have concern about what's

1	going on in their area, they can go to that map and
2	find the completions generally in that area.
3	DR. AMPOMAH: Let me ask. So assuming
4	OCD do have the budget and then the staff, will your
5	proposed changes be different or it's just mostly just
6	effective operations?
7	THE WITNESS: I think for that section,
8	it it would be the same whether you had budget or
9	staff because, again, I we believe that you're
10	sending information to entities that wouldn't
11	understand what they're receiving. And some of those
12	are additional government agencies that don't regulate
13	oil and gas and would they have some requirement to
14	take some action with that, I think you would confuse
15	those entities as well.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. So I was asking a
17	different question.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	DR. AMPOMAH: I was asking that so
20	you talked about it's going to create a lot of burden
21	if let's say the Commission is to more or less go
22	strictly with what the applicant is giving us with
23	regards to the entire rule. So I'm asking you that
24	assuming you do have the staff and then the budget,
25	would your proposed changes be a little bit different

1	from what we have now?
2	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. I
3	believe where OCD comes at it, even with full staff,
4	full budget in that scenario strikes a balance with
5	effective regulation because at the time of a well
6	bore integrity event, that's when you need to know all
7	of those chemicals. The majority of operations in New
8	Mexico aren't going to have those well integrity
9	events. So the that information would be unneeded
10	at that point. So the way it's proposed, if you're
11	looking strictly at budget and staffing, OCD, I don't
12	believe would change what we're recommending. The
13	full disclosures, I don't think that is a budget or a
14	manpower concern. That is more of a regulatory
15	legislative body concern.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: With regards to the full
17	disclosure, based on your testimony, the companies put
18	that through FracFocus and if there's a problem, then
19	you ask for more information. Is it that OCD is
20	trying to move away from office of record, you know,
21	that let's say there is a fallout, you're going to
22	request to provide all this information or I just want
23	to understand why OCD feels like they cannot enforce
24	the full disclosure right to let's say in your
25	operation.

1	THE WITNESS: I'll try to address that.
2	I'm not sure I fully understand. But OCD feels the
3	full disclosure that comes in, if it doesn't come in
4	through FracFocus, OCD would have to be maintaining
5	that if it's a full disclosure for every well. And
6	then if OCD received an IPRA on each individual well
7	that that came in on, they would have to be doing an
8	evaluation for each individual scenario. So that
9	could be extremely cumbersome for a scenario that
10	there would not be an impacted party on unless there's
11	a well bore integrity event. So OCD feels that's
12	unneeded.
13	And FracFocus doesn't have the ability
14	to get the proprietary information. So if it was a
15	full disclosure, that would have to come to OCD to
16	manage that information in OCD's records. As far as
17	the public records and how FracFocus works, I believe
18	that's not something I reviewed here. I'm sure that
19	was reviewed by the Commission when FracFocus was the
20	platform initially decided to be used by the
21	Commission.
22	DR. AMPOMAH: Yeah, due to time, I'll
23	ask you one last question.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: You know what, I
25	don't want to stop your questioning, but if you're at

1	a good stopping point, we can pick this up again
2	tomorrow morning.
3	DR. AMPOMAH: Now, last one and then
4	I'll be done.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
6	DR. AMPOMAH: So assuming there is a
7	problem on the well and you ask for full testing, can
8	you explain to the Commission the verification process
9	of what you receive?
10	THE WITNESS: So if we're if there's
11	a well integrity event, OCD would be asking for full
12	disclosure first from the operator. The OCD would be
13	working through that full disclosure with the operator
14	to identify any potential contaminants of concern such
15	as PFAS as well as other chemicals. Say formaldehyde,
16	acids that are used in the well that could be
17	detrimental to that water.
18	Once those chemicals are identified,
19	the OCD would then be asking the operator to have
20	testing performed on that fluid stream. And it's
21	important to test the fluid stream first because as it
22	moves out in the groundwater, it could dilute so
23	chemicals that could be in the fluid steam may not
24	have migrated out into the water at that point. So
25	OCD's looking at testing the fluid stream as close to

1	that event as possible to look for those chemicals, to
2	identify any potential chemicals that could have a
3	negative effect to that water. Once that happens, OCD
4	would review that and the operator would review that.
5	And if there's chemicals of concern in that fluid
6	stream, that would be marked and then moved to part 29
7	and part 30.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
10	much, Mr. Ampomah.
11	Is there any reason then not to excuse
12	Mr. Powell? No.
13	All right. Thank you very much,
14	Mr. Powell, for your testimony.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	MR. DAVIS: Madam Hearing Officer,
17	before we start public comment, I was wondering if it
18	was possible to get some direction as to whether we
19	need to prepare closing remarks for tomorrow
20	potentially or if we're leaning more towards a brief.
21	And I wanted to bring that up now so that if the
22	Commission wants to give us any direction, that they
23	have a little time to discuss.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
25	Commissioners, my impression from

1	comments I've heard behind the dais is that you would
2	prefer to have written briefs following the party's
3	receipt of the transcript such that you would be
4	deliberating in a meeting in, for example, January.
5	Is that a correct impression?
6	MR. BLOOM: That would be my
7	preference, yes. Thank you.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: That would be mine too.
9	MR. RAZATOS: I could go either way so
10	it's I would go with it, with the majority.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
12	you very much, parties. We will talk about post
13	hearing submittals and deadlines and all of that when
14	we're actually at the end of the hearing.
15	MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Commissioners,
16	Madam Hearing Officer, thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
18	Alrighty. I am turning to face the
19	platform. We've come to the public comment period for
20	today. There will be at least one more public comment
21	opportunity tomorrow morning at 8:30. And by the way,
22	for that public comment period, we will have an
23	interpreter, a language interpreter between English
24	and Spanish. So if you would prefer to make your
25	statement in Spanish, please join us tomorrow morning

1	at 8:30. So I have three folks who signed up ahead of
2	time and I'll call on those three folks first. The
3	first one there, you see on your screen, Sarah Knopp.
4	And then Aria Chioto [ph] and David Munoz. And then
5	the administrator will follow those on the screen who
6	raise their hand. And we'll go until everyone has
7	gone.
8	Just a couple of things. I can accept
9	oral comment from you just once so if you've already
10	made an oral statement, I would ask you to put
11	additional thoughts in writing. All written public
12	comments can be submitted to Sheila Apodaca until five
13	o'clock tomorrow. I will ask you to state and spell
14	your name and I will ask you if you swear or affirm to
15	tell the truth. And then I will set my stopwatch for
16	three minutes. So let's get started.
17	Ms. Knopp. And if I mispronounce your
18	name, please correct me.
19	MS. KNOPP: Yeah. Thank you, Hearing
20	Officer. It's Sarah Knopp. So
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: No problem.
22	MS. KNOPP: S-A-R-A-H and the last name
23	is K-N-O-P-P.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
25	you.

1	WHEREUPON,
2	SARAH KNOPP,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I'll
7	start your three minutes.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	And thank you, Commissioners, for the
10	opportunity to speak to you today in the matter of
11	Case No. 23580. My name's Sarah Knopp and I'm a
12	policy specialist with Amigos Bravos. Amigos Bravos
13	is a statewide water quality protection and
14	restoration organization based in Taos and guided by
15	social justice principles dedicated to preserving and
16	restoring the ecological and cultural integrity of New
17	Mexico's water and the communities that depend on it.
18	While rooted in science and the law,
19	our work is inspired by the values and traditional
20	knowledge of New Mexico's diverse Hispanic and Native
21	American land-based populations with whom we
22	collaborate. My colleague, who contributed to these
23	comments, co-chairs, the New Mexico Toxic Pollutants
24	Working Group, Amigos Bravos wholeheartedly supports
25	the proposed rule change. PFAS chemicals are a major

health concern in New Mexico and saying that -- saying this is not a scare tactic as we recently read in an op-ed piece in the New Mexican.

2.1

2.4

Here are some of the things that we know from working with affected communities. PFAS substances threaten the integrity of New Mexico's water quality and directly harm our land-based peoples, the sovereign native nations that reside within New Mexico's -- New Mexico as well as our agricultural, traditional, and cultural ways of life by the continuous introduction and exposure of these dangerous chemicals into our agriculture and then into our bodies, from the food we eat to the milk and water we drink.

We're particularly concerned about the oil and gas industry injecting these forever chemicals into dangerous and highly pressurized underground wells, putting all the groundwater resources in the area at work. Concentrations of non-regulated PFAS have been found in New Mexico's groundwater. In La Cieneguilla, New Mexico, private water wells have tested positive for industrial types of PFAS.

Subsequently, the citizens there have found the same types of PFAS in their blood from drinking and being exposed to PFAS.

1	The groundwater plume in Clovis has
2	migrated to the Ogallala Aquifer which is one of the
3	world's large aquifers, underlying eight U.S. states.
4	It also provides over 21 million acre feet of
5	irrigation for agricultural, traditional, and cultural
6	use. PFAS wash into water systems and are not always
7	captured by wastewater treatment plants. Disclosure
8	of chemicals used in fracking, both in PFAS and other
9	chemicals, should be part of the cost of doing
10	business for the oil and gas industries in this great
11	state because we have so much at stake.
12	The industry claims that they already
13	have to disclose chemicals through FracFocus, but as
14	you've been hearing, according to FracFocus itself, in
15	the section of their website that explains trade
16	secrets, it says "Companies that create proprietary
17	formulas, methods, techniques, or processes for

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

the chemicals used."

In addition, that third-party database has no legal authority or capacities to verify what companies are self-reporting. So much more stringent reporting regulations are badly needed. We can learn from Colorado and California, just to give two

secret laws and are therefore, not required to reveal

hydraulic fracturing are protected by these trade

Page 280

1	examples, which both require full reporting of
2	fracking chemicals. So I sincerely hope that the
3	Commission approves this rule as proposed. Thank you
4	very much.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
6	Ms. Knopp.
7	Do we have Aria Chioto [ph] on the
8	platform? Aria Chioto [ph]? No? Okay.
9	No. More screen. No. Okay.
	-
10	So perhaps just go to no. 2 at this
11	point, right, or yeah. This is Dr. Stephen Conrad.
12	Dr. Conrad? You're muted. You're muted.
13	DR. CONRAD: Okay, unmuted.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, if
15	you would spell your name for the record, please.
16	DR. CONRAD: Sure. Stephen Conrad,
17	S-T-E-P-H-E-N C-O-N-R-A-D.
18	WHEREUPON,
19	STEPHEN CONRAD,
20	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
21	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
22	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
24	start your three minutes.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
	Page 281

1	So by way of introduction, I have a
2	Ph.D. in groundwater hydrology from New Mexico Tech
3	and I worked at the Sandia Labs for 26 years, working
4	on groundwater cleanup issues. I worked on safe
5	radioactive waste disposal, a variety of groundwater
6	cleanup projects and DOE and EPA-funded research.
7	Like many others, I agree that we need to know all the
8	added constituents that make up fracking water. But
9	beyond that, we also need to know the composition of
10	the water that is produced from these fracking
11	operations. Yes, we need to know what goes in, but
12	importantly, we also need to know what comes out.
13	The oil and gas industry has a waste
14	problem, five to seven barrels of wastewater produced
15	for every barrel of oil. And it's running out of
16	disposal options. And there remains big, unanswered,
17	contentious questions about what to do with all this
18	produced water. This extracted water contains all the
19	constituents of the injected fracking fracking
20	fracking fluid. Plus, it also contains a far greater
21	quantity of water concurrently it's extracted from the
22	geologic formation. So fracking fluid goes in and
23	then what comes out? Well, the injected fracking
24	fluid comes back out. Also, petroleum products, which
25	is great, that's the objective, but also, a large

1	quantity of connate water. That is the water that's
2	trapped in the sedimentary rock at the time of its
3	formation.
4	So in the aqueous phase, we have a
5	mixture of fracking fluid, mixed with a far greater
6	quantity of formation water. This produced water
7	comes with a whole host of dissolved petroleum
8	hydrocarbons. And because it's sitting in contact
9	with petroleum for many millennia, this water can also
10	carry dissolved radionuclides and other solutes from
11	the rock in which this water reside in. And often, it
12	can be far saltier than seawater. Most of these salts
13	are relatively benign, but some are quite hazardous.
14	No matter how we decide to either
15	dispose or treat this produced water, we need to know
16	exactly what's in it to do it effectively and safely.
17	Yes, we need to know all the constituents of the
18	injected fracking fluid, but we also need to know all
19	the constituents of the produced water coming out. I
20	thank you for your time.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
22	Dr. Conrad.
23	Next we have Dave, oh, Munoz. Great.
24	Thank you. If you would please spell your last name.
25	DR. MUNOZ: M as in Mary, U, N as in
	Page 283

1	Nancy, O-Z.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: I can't hear what
3	you're saying.
4	DR. MUNOZ: M-U-N-O-Z. Can you hear
5	that?
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Increase your
7	volume.
8	DR. MUNOZ: M-U-N-O-Z.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That was
10	almost impossible to hear. And we'd very much like to
11	hear your statement. Do you have a way of increasing
12	your volume?
13	DR. MUNOZ: Just the output. How's
14	this? Is this better?
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry. I
16	can't hear you.
17	DR. MUNOZ: Munoz, David Munoz.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: No. I'm sorry.
19	DR. MUNOZ: Oh. I guess this isn't
20	going to work.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: So I have a
22	couple of suggestions. One is that I could go to
23	someone else and come back to you. Another is that
24	you could put your comments in writing.
25	DR. MUNOZ: I have I have
	Page 284

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: You want to try
2	again?
3	DR. MUNOZ: I have my comments in
4	writing I could send you.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ooh. Oh, you
6	know what, I can hear you right now. Just keep your
7	voice way up. Go ahead, please.
8	DR. MUNOZ: Okay.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
10	DR. MUNOZ: My name is David Munoz. I
11	have a Ph.D. in mechanical engineering and a career at
12	as professor of engineering at Colorado School of
13	Mines. I currently reside in Taos, New Mexico, and I
14	serve as treasurer for the Acequia de Los Lovatos.
15	I'm executive one of the oldest executives here in
16	the Taos Valley. Also, on the board of Renewable
17	Taos. PFAS, which resembles a hydrocarbon, except
18	fluorine atoms instead of hydrogen atoms are attached
19	to the carbon atoms. Do not occur in nature,
20	therefore, no known biological organisms have yet been
21	developed that have the ability to break down these
22	individual these chemicals into individual
23	elements.
24	As citizens of the United States, we
25	are now in a tough situation. We've been using PFAS

for several decades, unaware of the potential dangers to the human body. Now, we learn that the U.S. EPA has classified it as a carcinogen. We have recently learned that the oil and gas industry has also been using these chemicals in addition to our precious water for the production of oil and gas. The same industry is now suggesting that we clean up the produced water and use it for growing food.

This is yet another cost to the public that's being asked to bear to maintain a lower price of fuel at the gas pump. We are already forced to breathe the air ladened with exhaust emissions from the production and burning of these fossil fuels. As I understand it, we're moving PFAS from wastewater stream, will likely involve filtering, effectively with carbon or some kind of soils.

However, these PFAS-ladened filters will then have to be deposed. Here, in the United States it's apparently legal to dispose of these filters and other O&G waste in public landfills. I hope this isn't the case. However, in doing so, we only managed to relocate the toxins and distribute them throughout the state, making the problem more widespread and able to inoculate other valuable aquifers.

1	Another proposed technique is to
2	incinerate the PFAS material. However, the process of
3	heating merely breaks down these long-chain molecules
4	into shorter chains that maintain their dangerous
5	nature. This is due to the fact that the carbon
6	fluorine bond is the strongest known in organic
7	chemistry. If we tried to depose of it in this way,
8	it ends up in the air and the truth is, we do not
9	currently have ways to destroy these chemicals. Thus,
10	the adjective forever.
11	In 1998, I lost my 9-year-old daughter
12	to a rare bone tumor of the cervical spine called

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

to a rare bone tumor of the cervical spine called atypical chordoma. We tried every potential remedy, resection, chemotherapy, radiation, but nine months after discovering the disease in her, we said goodbye for the last time. This cancer I had read in medical journals at the time was supposedly very rare, 1 in 80 million odds by some estimates. But later, I became aware of several cases in Denver alone, a metro population of about one million at the time. We may never be certain about what the causes are of the cancers and respiratory illnesses that are killing us and our children, but that's the sinister nature of this business.

The oil and gas industry is responsible

1	for the disposition of this waste stream. The waste
2	stream should not leave the areas of its production.
3	It comes with an additional cost that should show up
4	at the pump instead of being inequitably subsidized by
5	the lives, by the state or the lives of our children.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Will you wrap up,
7	please? Yes.
8	DR. MUNOZ: Oh. I'm done.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Thank
10	you very much, Dr. Munoz.
11	DR. MUNOZ: Thank you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: We have Wendy
13	Volkmann.
14	MS. VOLKMANN: Hi, I'm hoping you can
15	hear me.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, very clearly.
17	Would you spell your last name, please?
18	MS. VOLKMANN: Wendy Volkmann, V like
19	Victory, O-L-K-M-A-N-N.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	WHEREUPON,
22	WENDY VOLKMANN,
23	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
24	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
25	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
	Page 288

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start
2	THE WITNESS: And I want to say
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
4	three minutes.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	I want to say I have a very unstable
7	internet connection so I can't have the video on and
8	if I'm cut off, I'll just put upfront that I'm here to
9	ask you to support the rule change and to make
10	mandatory the disclosure of chemicals used in
11	hydraulic fracturing.
12	So here are my comments. I'm not here
13	to testify as an expert, just a regular
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Volkmann, we
15	can't hear you. I think we may have lost you.
16	THE WITNESS: Michelle Lujan Grisham
17	released a water plan during her gubernatorial
18	campaign in 2018 and it promised that as governor
19	she'd want to require mandatory disclosure of what
20	chemicals are used in hydraulic fracturing to better
21	protect groundwater. I think it's time for us to live
22	up to that promise.
23	As you well know, the chemicals are
24	known as forever chemicals 'cause our bodies can't
25	break them down, can't expel them once they're

1	ingested because they persist in the environment,
2	including in water, which the human body needs daily
3	just to live. Water truly is life. It grows the food
4	we eat, keeps us clean. Water's my personal trade
5	secret for health. My trade secret is stay well
6	hydrated at all times and you avoid all kinds of
7	health problems. Meantime, corporate trade secrets
8	are harming us. Sometimes even killing us.
9	I ask you to think of the children who
10	will grow up drinking this water. What will it mean
11	for their health? Not to mention, health of livestock
12	and crops and everything that depends on clean water
13	and that we in turn depend on. We know these
14	chemicals are dangerous to all living organisms. We
15	know chemical disclosure requirements passed in
16	California and Colorado. They haven't slowed
17	drilling. We know New Mexicans deserve at least the
18	same protections.
19	So I'm asking you to support the rule
20	change and make mandatory the disclosure of chemicals
21	used in hydraulic fracturing. And I really appreciate
22	you taking my comments today. Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
24	Ms. Volkmann.
25	Next, we have Beth Enson. If you would
	Page 290
	rage 290

1	spell your last name, please, and you're muted right
2	now.
3	MS. ENSON: Hi.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello.
5	MS. ENSON: My name is Enson,
6	E-N-S-O-N.
7	WHEREUPON,
8	BETH ENSON,
9	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
10	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
11	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
13	three minutes.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer and
16	Commissioners for hearing my testimony today.
17	Citizens United accelerated the fracture of our
18	democracy, especially here New Mexico, one of the
19	foremost fossil fuel producing states. The voices of
20	the fossil fuel corporations appear to carry a lot
21	more weight than the voices of us, the citizen
22	opposition and of simple common sense. I hope you
23	will prove me wrong with your yes vote on the PFAS
24	prohibition rule.
25	Despite the election of Trump, there
	Page 291
	i age 271

1	are still values more important than money and
2	corporate profit. Long, healthy life supported by
3	pristine water and land count as the basis of
4	community well-being in New Mexico and on the planet.
5	Why are fossil fuel and chemical corporations allowed
6	to permanently contaminate our irreplaceable
7	groundwaters with literally thousands of unidentified
8	chemicals, many proven and/or suspected of being
9	highly toxic and carcinogenic. Food corporations
10	aren't allowed to include unlisted toxic chemicals in
11	their products. PFAS are finding their way into our
12	bodies as easily as food does.
13	The fossil fuel corporations doing
14	business in our state should pay the state for the
15	cost of monitoring each well for the chemicals used
16	there. The CDC states that 97 percent of Americans
17	have PFAS in our bodies. Rates of cancer and other
18	diseases have skyrocketed since these chemicals came
19	into use in the 1960s. Spills and waste from fracking
20	have already poisoned some of our state's
21	groundwaters. And members of communities there who
22	use it are poisoned as well.
23	Are you, our lawmakers, so blinded by
24	the promise of tax revenues that you would sell our
25	health to fill your coffers? Are you so certain that

1	climate change is a myth that you are willing to risk
2	the lives and futures of all of our children and
3	grandchildren for the sake of short-term gain? Is our
4	entire state a sacrifice zone?
5	Our governor promised in 2018 to
6	require these corporations to disclose the chemicals
7	they use in the extraction process. Clearly, the New
8	Mexico OGA has bought her off. We are counting on
9	you. Please use the precautionary principle and act
10	to protect us. Remember who elects you, not who
11	donates to your campaigns. Thank you very much.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
13	Ms. Enson.
14	Next we have Eileen O'Shaughnessy.
15	Ms. O'Shaughnessy, would you spell your last name,
16	please?
17	MS. O'SHAUGHNESSY: O, apostrophe,
18	S-H-A-U-G-H-N-E-S-S-Y.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	WHEREUPON,
21	EILEEN O'SHAUGHNESSY,
22	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
23	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
	Page 293

three minutes.

2.1

2.4

THE WITNESS: Hello, Commissioners. My name is Eileen O'Shaughnessy and I'm a college instructor, a Ph.D. candidate and I'm also the co-founder of the Albuquerque-based grassroots collective Demand Nuclear Abolition.

And I'm speaking today in full support of the WildEarth Guardians' proposed rule to prohibit the use of PFAS as well as any additional undisclosed chemicals in oil and gas drilling in New Mexico. I noticed that, you know, we're all required to take an oath to tell the full truth, those of us that are giving public comment. So it seems only fair to require oil and gas companies to also tell the full and complete truth about what chemicals in their drilling fluid, proprietary or not, especially considering that many of those chemicals carry serious health consequences for New Mexico residents.

So I teach courses about social and environmental justice and one of the core concepts that I discuss with my students is the precautionary principle which essentially states that if a product and action or a policy has a suspected risk of causing harm to the public or to the environment, protective actions should be supported before there is complete

1	scientific proof of a risk. So essentially first do
2	no harm.
3	The WildEarth Guardians' proposed rule
4	is one way to enact this commonsense approach. The
5	last thing I'll say is I just want to offer a
6	historical perspective here in terms of thinking about
7	ways that New Mexico has been overburdened by other
8	forms of environmental harm and injustice. So we can
9	see that if we look at the devastating history of
10	uranium mining during the Cold War in New Mexico and
11	it's really a basically a cautionary tale in terms
12	of what happens when extractive industries are not
13	held accountable to public health and environmental
14	regulations.
15	Uranium companies knew full well the
16	health risks to miners and to surrounding communities
17	and yet they allowed Pueblo and Dine minors to be
18	overexposed to radon and other radioactive decay
19	products, which have a direct connection to lung
20	cancer and many other life-threatening disease. And
21	as a result, there are currently hundreds of abandoned
22	uranium mines that continue to haunt the landscape of
23	New Mexico and poison communities.
24	Those private uranium companies have
25	largely avoided any accountability for the

1	long-lasting harm that they caused. So in conclusion,
2	Commissioners, by supporting this proposed rule, you
3	have an opportunity to avoid repeating history or to
4	at least prevent more generational harm from happening
5	here in New Mexico and we all know that that's what we
6	need. Thank you.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
8	Ms. O'Shaughnessy.
9	Next we have Chris
10	MR. PESKUSKI: Peskuski.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Peskuski. Would
12	you spell the last name, please?
13	MR. PESKUSKI: P-E-S-K-U-S-K-I.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
15	WHEREUPON,
16	CHRIS PESKUSKI,
17	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
18	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
19	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
21	three minutes.
22	THE WITNESS: Good morning, esteemed
23	members of the Commission. Thank you for your time.
24	My name is Chris Peskuski. I'm a decorated combat
25	veteran and I've previously spent over a decade as a
	Dog 206
	Page 296

1	hydrologic technician with the New Mexico Water
2	Science Center where my work included PFAS data
3	collection projects at Cannon Air Force Base. But
4	today, I'm here as a father committed to ensuring a
5	safe, healthy environment for my son and future
6	generations. PFAS contamination is a clear threat and
7	I support the recommendations outlined by the
8	WildEarth Guardians to protect New Mexicans.
9	First and foremost, New Mexico must
10	follow the lead of other states like Colorado by
11	enacting a ban on PFAS chemicals in oil and gas
12	operations. These substances used in fracking persist
13	in our environment indefinitely, contaminating our
14	groundwater and accumulating in our bodies where
15	they're linked to cancer, reproductive harm and immune
16	dysfunction. Second, transparency is essential. We
17	need to require full disclosure of all chemicals used
18	by the oil and gas industry and closing loopholes that
19	allow these hazardous substances to remain hidden
20	under trade secrets. This ensures that communities
21	know exactly what chemicals are being used near their
22	homes and water sources.
23	Finally, we must enhance our monitoring
24	and regulation of PFAS in New Mexico to prevent
25	further contamination, protecting our state's water,

1	environment. Cannot take a backseat to short-term
2	gains. The most valuable gift I can give my son is a
3	future where he too can raise his children in a health
4	New Mexico. I urge the committee to take decisive
5	action, prioritizing long-term safety and health over
6	temporary benefits. Thank you.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
8	Mr. Peskuski.
9	Is there anyone else on the platform
10	who would like to offer public comment at this time?
11	We will have at least one more opportunity to comment
12	8:30 tomorrow morning and there will be a Spanish
13	language interpreter available at that time. You can
14	also submit written comment of any length to Sheila
15	Apodaca. I see a gentleman who has turned on his
16	camera.
17	Sir. Oh, Lauro Silva? Would you like
18	to offer comment?
19	MR. SILVA: Yes. Si.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's very hard to
21	hear you. Can you increase your volume?
22	MR. SILVA: (Speaking in Spanish.)
23	Lauro Silva.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you make it
25	even a little louder so we can hear you?

1	MR. SILVA: (Speaking in Spanish.)
2	Lauro Silva.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. If you'd
4	like can you join us tomorrow morning if you'd like
5	to offer your comment in Spanish?
6	MR. SILVA: Well, I think it's going to
7	be very difficult for me to do it in Spanish tomorrow
8	morning and I was going to take this opportunity, but
9	I can do it in English if you want. It would be good
10	if we had Spanish interpretation all the way through.
11	Nonetheless, my name is Lauro Silva. I live in the
12	South Valley of Albuquerque and I wish you a wonderful
13	evening and I the Commission, thank you for
14	listening to us.
15	I think that we're facing a very
16	difficult dilemma with PFSAs that are contaminating a
17	lot of our drinking water, a lot of our irrigation
18	water, particularly the acequias that are not
19	monitored for contamination. PFSA A-S with over
20	9,000 different constituents. I have been a degree
21	in biology, in chemistry. I've been to medical
22	school, although I'm not a medical doctor. I I am
23	an attorney and I've been an attorney for 32 years.
24	I'm now retired. But I also have been the principal
25	investigator for the South Valley Partners for

Environmental Justice.

2.1

2.4

The -- the dangers that we face with the PFSAs is not only in oil, drilling, and fracking, a lot of farmers in Southeastern New Mexico have been selling their water rights, Artesian wells to the oil companies and it's endangering a highly agricultural base, valley, in the Pecos Valley.

But I'm very concerned about my children, my grandchildren and my great-grandchildren that are drinking contaminated water and irrigating their gardens with contaminants. All of these contaminants that we face today are -- are very great dilemma for our future, for our future generations and we need to have some really tight regulations to make sure that we're protecting the health and environment -- and health, especially the health disparities that are already ongoing for different kinds of pollutants in the air, not only the water, but this is very, very important.

The acequias especially, over 800 acequias in New Mexico that depend on acequias clean water that is not being monitored -- or they're growing their crops, their -- their crops for their communities, for their families and all other larger agricultural products.

1	And I respectfully request that you
2	consider passing some really good legislation and
3	advocating for legislation that is going to bring
4	bring a lot of this investigation of all of these
5	constituents in our water to make it public and to
6	protect the environmental health and the public health
7	in this state. Thank you very much.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
9	Mr. Silva.
10	Is there anyone else on the platform
11	who would like to offer non-technical public comment
12	in this session? Our next session is at 8:30 tomorrow
13	morning.
14	Oh, thank you very much for raising
15	your hand, ma'am. I'm turning now from the platform
16	to the room. If you would come up to the microphone
17	at that stand, please. And if you would state and
18	spell your name first.
19	MS. CAMFIELD: Stephanie Camfield.
20	S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-E C-A-M-F-I-E-L-D.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
22	much.
23	//
24	//
25	//
	Page 301

1	WHEREUPON,
2	STEPHANIE CAMFIELD,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
7	start your three minutes.
8	THE WITNESS: (Speaking foreign
9	language.) I'm Stephanie Camfield. I'm a Muskogee
LO	woman. I'm native to this continent. I live in New
L1	Mexico. I work as a clinical social worker in tribal
L2	and rural communities. I want to express gratitude to
L3	the Tewa people who came here before us and who loved
L4	this land and cared for this land and still love this
L5	land and care for this land. Anybody wants to join me
L6	in one conscious breath so that we can clear our
L7	minds, open our hearts, speak and hear truth today.
L8	Thank you.
L9	I'm not an expert in PFAS and I hope
20	that everybody here that is holding our future in our
21	hands that you have listened with your heart to what
22	the experts have said and that you listened with your
23	heart to what people are saying about how these
24	chemicals are affecting them. Yeah, I'm just a being
25	who's grateful for clean, healthy water. Water is
	Page 302

1	sacred. Every one of us is mostly water. And if
2	if these chemicals are in our water, they're going to
3	be in us. And I don't know any other way than to ban
4	them.
5	We can't live with them. Humans,
6	sometimes we have a tendency to create problems for
7	ourselves and we don't realize it at the time. And it
8	looks like this is a big problem. And so the only way
9	is to just ban it. And yeah, there needs to be full
10	disclosure, but there better not be any PFAS chemicals
11	being used. That's it's ridiculous. We can't be
12	healthy, why bother with any of this? Y'all are in a
13	position to make decisions that will affect all of us,
14	all beings and I speak for the ones who crawl and the
15	ones that fly and the stones and the trees. We all
16	deserve clean water. It's basic. Basic. I ask you
17	to listen deeply to the most wise and loving part of
18	you, okay, when you make this decision. Now, water is
19	sacred. (Speaking foreign language.)
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
21	Ms. Camfield.
22	Is there anyone else in the room who
23	would like to offer public comment at this time? No.
24	Okay. We have come then to the end of the public
25	comment period for today. We will reconvene tomorrow

1	morning at 8:30. Begin with public comments and then
2	move back to the technical case. And at this point,
3	we have every expectation, I think, of finishing the
4	evidentiary record tomorrow. Thank you all very much.
5	(Whereupon, at 5:14 p.m., the
6	proceeding was concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 304
	1 436 301

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, JAMES COGSWELL, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby 3 certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing 4 5 proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; 6 that the proceedings were recorded by me and 7 thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of 8 9 said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the 10 best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any 11 12 of the parties to the action in which this was taken; 13 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of 14 any counsel or attorney employed by the parties 15 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 16 17 18 JAMES COGSWELL December 2, 2024 19 Notary Public in and for the 2.0 State of New Mexico 21 2.2 23 24 2.5

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, SANDRA HUANG, do hereby certify that this 3 transcript was prepared from the digital audio 4 recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the 5 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and 6 7 ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, 8 nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a 9 relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 10 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or 12 otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 13 December 2, 2024 Janen my 14 SANDRA HUANG 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2.3 24 2.5

[& - 1960]

&	213:9	15.2.p 67:25	19.15.16.17.a
& 5:5	110 5:6 71:22	152 8:13	230:4,20
	111 72:6	152/157 9:5	239:20
1	112 72:8	153/157 9:7	19.15.16.17.a1
1 5:6 70:13	113 73:1	157 8:14	52:19
103:11,12	114 73:2	159 8:15	19.15.16.19
120:25 140:22	115 73:10	16 7:4 53:14	58:6 83:2
149:12 206:16	116 73:11	63:19 213:20	19.15.2.i 66:22
210:3 257:19	117 75:5	213:20	19.15.25.14.a
257:20 258:1,2	118 80:10	16.17 51:2 58:5	63:20 84:6
287:17	213:10	95:5	19.15.25.14a.
10 156:6 234:2	12 7:3 171:11	16.19b. 63:9	64:9
10,000 98:23	184:2 196:11	16c 71:7	19.15.29 78:19
99:2 103:23	234:2 260:13	17 213:20	114:25 130:15
139:23 153:20	120 81:19	257:21 258:2	131:3
190:11 217:1	121 83:2	263:1 266:3	19.15.2h 66:10
255:3	122 83:5	170,000 140:16	19.15.30 78:20
100 44:15,21	1220 1:14 4:13	176 8:13	19.15.34 108:5
249:22 253:7	123 8:9 83:18	18 7:5 137:6	19.15.35.
102 65:8	124 84:5	261:3 266:3	127:17
103 66:8	125 6:5 84:6	187 139:25	19.15.5 77:9
104 66:7	12:30 120:17	19 64:8 206:17	19.15.5. 58:3
105 66:22	12:58 136:25	266:3	19.15.7 48:5
263:22	12th 43:9	19.15.14.10a	19.15.7.16
106 67:25	13 257:20	73:1	70:14 115:22
107 70:13	138 8:3	19.15.14.9 73:6	19.15.7.16a
108 70:15	14 1:8 48:11,12	19.15.14.9.c	115:20
109 71:7	93:22 140:5	72:7	19.16 64:8
10:30 82:16	14.10a 50:6	19.15.16 239:18	19.16.19b.
11 64:23 65:8	14.9 50:13	19.15.16.17	60:10
65:24 66:7,22	15 27:5 82:15	73:10 95:9	1910.1200
67:24 71:22	125:15,25	19.15.16.17.	228:13
72:6 73:2 75:5	141:8 263:8	75:5	1940s 21:13
81:18 83:1,18	15,000 153:21	19.15.16.17	1960 22:17
84:5 88:18	154:3	81:19	
89:3,3 189:20			

[1960s - 45]

1960s 292:19	2021 183:16	29 7:9 56:20,21	302 7:23
1975 169:25	2022 13:10	57:1,8,10,24	31 7:10
170:7,10,18	36:15	59:17 79:13	32 299:23
1978 124:12	2024 1:8	80:6 82:5	32311 305:17
1998 287:11	139:21 233:1	98:13,16	35 7:11 129:10
2	305:18 306:13	102:16,18	36 129:10
2 76:1 78:13	205 5:18	135:14 228:13	38 7:12
93:22 95:10	206 8:10	236:4,12 239:1	3m 169:17,20
101:21 103:15	21 7:6 127:4,6	252:22 266:19	169:23,25
105:20 144:19	127:7 128:1,19	268:10 275:6	170:3,5,11
150:3 173:14	143:24 210:4	291 7:19	3m's 168:10
185:16 217:10	210:17 280:4	294 7:20	170:13
230:4,20	213 6:5	296 7:21	4
239:20 257:20	218 8:11	299 7:22	4 48:9 53:13
258:1 260:10	22 129:20	3	56:14 57:15
281:10 305:18	144:3 183:17	3 67:25 150:16	59:12 60:8
306:13	2270-2-12	171:10 173:15	63:8,19 64:3
2,000 136:13	129:20	210:16 244:22	64:19 65:22,23
2,500 254:21,24	23 7:7 147:12	261:14,14	71:19 72:20
20 151:22	155:16 171:11	262:13	151:2 263:7
169:18 211:16	171:23 263:2	3,600 36:16	40 13:3 48:9
211:16 212:2	231-9312 4:16	3.4 183:23	198:20 233:11
253:14	232 8:7	30 32:11 56:22	250:19
2000 170:12	23580 1:4 10:8	56:25 57:3,3,9	408 4:5
201 5:15	278:11	57:10,24 61:14	41 7:13 48:22
2010 139:21	25 63:23	62:6,25 79:13	48:23
2012 256:10	123:12 170:12	80:7 98:13,16	42 7:14 21:9
2013 36:15	185:2	98:19,22	50:9
2015 108:4	26 7:8 282:3	135:14 236:5	43 50:16
247:2	278 7:15	236:12 252:22	432 6:8
2018 35:15	281 7:16	266:19 268:10	44 51:1
289:18 293:5	28221 306:14	275:7	45 51:11 69:15
2020 33:25	285 7:17	300 6:13	70:3 71:13
108:4 247:1	289 7:18	301 5:15	92:7

[46 - accessible]

46 52:2	260.66	97505 1.15	225.17 226.24
	269:6,6	87505 1:15	235:17 236:24
469-4060 6:16	60 24:3 71:9	4:14	237:5,17
47 52:4 53:13	6962991 1:21	88 166:15	250:10 251:1,2
55:24	7	8:33 1:9	253:17 255:19
48 8:7 56:14	7 71:7 124:13	9	286:24
488-6108 6:8	124:23 125:3	9 171:11	ably 100:2
49 57:15	143:12 189:9	196:10 287:11	abolition 294:6
4:30 46:14,15	189:19 196:11	9,000 299:20	absence 163:17
5	233:3,7,11	90 71:9 168:12	absolute
5 89:4 113:9	262:13	913-6425 5:18	162:12
173:15 217:9	70 198:20,25	97 292:16	absolutely 31:6
262:13 266:3	233:25 234:7	988-4421 5:10	122:3 161:22
5.9 50:21	234:10 249:22	99 139:25	162:24 163:21
50 58:6 69:15	250:19	99.9 136:24	168:16 170:20
70:3 92:7	70-13-3 124:1		170:25 171:8
156:8 190:9,11	143:9	a	182:18,19
198:25 233:25	70-2-12 124:13	a.m. 1:9 46:17	193:6 198:13
		abandoned	199:18 235:2
234:7,10	124:19 125:3	295:21	252:4
505 4:8,16 5:10	125:15 127:4	abby 2:21 7:7	absorbed 21:22
6:16	128:1 141:8	22:25 23:7	abuse 33:19
51 59:12	143:12,24	ability 63:23	39:24
52 60:9	72-12 144:3	151:1 176:16	accelerated
53 159:13	78 140:13	177:4 198:25	291:17
537-4477 4:8	8	231:23 247:6	accept 277:8
54 63:4	8 66:22 171:10	273:13 285:21	accepted
55 63:8	171:16 183:23	305:10 306:7	147:14
56 63:19	204:6	able 15:19	accepting
57 64:3 244:21	80 287:17	84:21 94:15	37:18
58 113:3,9	800 300:20	100:12 121:17	access 12:24
5:14 304:5	81 140:14	123:12 133:4	13:13,14 41:22
6	86 8:8	146:17 159:25	67:3 207:7
6 11:19 66:10	87 24:10	162:10 165:1	225:10
115:21 154:2,2	87501 4:6 5:7	170:8 186:2	accessible
197:14 268:15	5:16 6:6,14	205:19 235:15	256:6
	,		

[accommodate - addition]

		266.25.267.0	220.10.15
accommodate	accurately	266:25 267:9	220:10,15
122:5 146:18	162:17	267:14,16	229:6 242:4
accomplish	acequia 32:15	268:5 271:14	263:13 264:15
265:14	285:14	294:23 298:5	276:14
accomplished	acequias	305:12,16	adam 5:4
142:20 144:15	299:18 300:20	306:8,12	adapted 146:8
146:22	300:21,21	actions 135:16	add 10:18
account 173:3	achieved 148:6	294:25	50:18 63:3
192:24	acids 274:16	active 156:10	68:6 117:15
accountability	acknowledging	207:2	135:6 194:19
15:8 19:15	151:9	activist 27:18	202:8 243:7
20:6 150:16	acoma 14:23	27:19,19	252:8
295:25	acquisitions	activities 35:17	added 21:16
accountable	255:20	35:21 37:2	48:23 67:2
40:12 132:12	acre 280:4	50:3 54:24	70:18,19,23
150:17 251:3	acronyms	59:5 62:19	71:3 73:6
252:22 295:13	126:9	72:16 97:3	109:13,18,24
accounts	act 31:7 34:2	141:10 230:15	111:22 112:1,6
165:19 233:25	39:4 62:18	258:11,15,17	112:11,22
accreditation	75:21 92:16	activity 253:8	115:25 116:3
239:10	98:17 124:5,15	259:3	116:24 117:7
accreditations	125:10,22	actual 51:3	117:22 119:14
214:11 239:11	128:13 129:8	57:11 179:11	119:16 203:2
accredited	129:17 133:21	198:10 229:4	217:8 263:10
214:5,7	141:12 143:21	236:20 238:3	282:8
accumulating	151:19 207:25	actually 34:17	addicted 16:23
297:14	219:10,13,21	51:8 54:25	addiction 17:6
accuracy	227:25 246:16	60:11 66:14,18	adding 63:22
160:24 161:18	293:9	66:24 74:18	74:6
accurate	acting 2:3	84:14,20	addition 53:17
147:18 162:13	action 87:3	104:11 114:23	68:6 89:20,20
162:21 173:22	133:23 145:18	135:19 145:14	101:2 102:14
211:5 305:9	146:11 197:24	150:12 185:17	115:23 118:15
306:5	219:13 256:21	187:1 191:23	244:10 280:21
	258:4 262:11	206:8 213:16	286:5

[additional - afternoon]

additional 56:9	107:9,15	adequate 148:6	advanced
63:12 76:17	110:17,25	189:11 199:1	190:25
77:11 89:17	111:10 112:11	adequately	advantageous
102:19,20	112:14,15,18	24:25 191:4	150:2
117:6 120:7	112:19,22	adjective	advocacy 29:22
135:23 136:4	115:13 116:7	287:10	advocate 43:16
167:6 173:17	116:14,16	administered	43:20 44:6,25
252:5 257:1	117:7 118:3	261:5	advocated
259:13,15	119:20 203:2	administration	208:4
263:17 271:12	221:9 222:23	30:4 261:11	advocates
277:11 288:3	223:7 262:15	administrative	207:6
294:9	263:10	30:3 228:24	advocating
additive 50:3	address 12:24	229:5,19,21	54:6 301:3
56:11 67:22	13:15 48:5,21	administrator	aesthetics
113:25 114:1,2	59:12 67:19,22	47:6 277:5	45:19
114:3,7 116:2	68:3 69:1	admission	affect 25:14
116:23,25	71:21 109:12	157:15	231:12,16
117:2,6,10,15	112:5 136:1	admit 239:13	254:22 303:13
117:18 118:16	138:13,19	240:1	affected 36:15
119:9 130:14	199:1,1 210:21	admitted 65:11	140:1 240:7
131:16 132:14	273:1	157:17	279:5
148:10 154:10	addressed	ado 145:7	affecting
154:14 164:12	65:25 66:4,13	adopt 12:23	239:25 302:24
164:13 165:14	69:12 71:18	15:9,10 39:22	affects 25:11,11
165:16,20	86:12 87:4	40:4 91:24	25:12 30:2
192:22,24	104:8 109:7	148:23 210:9	32:23
258:7	131:6	216:15,19	affirm 11:22
additively	addresses	adopted 84:7	12:7 277:14
200:3	53:13 108:4	90:7 91:18	affirmative
additives 48:23	addressing	147:12 155:16	77:22
49:4 67:19	10:6 58:8	212:13	affixed 36:5
70:24 71:2	60:14 63:19	adopts 63:12	aftermath
100:18,21	66:9	63:14 90:12	26:20
103:4 104:20	adds 67:6	advance 11:18	afternoon
106:3,19,22	73:20	15:17 16:9	46:14 122:16

[afternoon - amigos]

123:18 157:25	115:14 118:9	air 25:5,14 30:6	292:5,10
178:10 191:13	118:12,18,22	33:17 34:5	295:17
206:15 240:17	119:1 125:3	41:23 69:22	allowing 41:20
240:19	167:14 171:1	156:12 194:10	101:18 157:2
afterward	173:10 175:1	195:16,19	210:25
157:10	185:12,16	196:1 215:11	allows 107:24
agencies 58:20	186:11 220:9	286:12 287:8	173:2
58:22,22,24	221:15 224:14	297:3 300:18	alluded 200:22
59:3,8 60:25	225:22,24	al 159:7 166:15	alrighty 34:19
61:2 62:9,10	226:3 230:9	alamos 28:4	34:24 82:18
87:1,2,5 88:10	240:24 263:2	albuquerque	178:6 276:18
88:12,13,15	282:7	18:22 41:10	alternative
91:18,24	agreed 65:17	294:5 299:12	193:6
269:22 271:12	188:25	alejandria 2:24	amarillos 26:17
agency 58:20	agreement 52:3	7:10 31:14,15	ambiguity
59:16 62:14	65:2,18,23,25	31:16,19 32:2	67:11
88:1 90:24	66:5 72:22	align 93:24	ameliorate
151:3 214:9	agrees 63:10,11	260:16	139:9
220:11	64:4 70:7	alignment	amended
ago 27:9	agricultural	28:20 44:12	262:17
159:19 211:17	279:10 280:5	alike 19:12	amendment
212:2	300:6,25	aliphatic	70:2
agrankin 5:9	agriculture	153:19	amendments
agree 30:12	279:12	alleged 134:6	10:5 141:5
52:1,15 53:6	agua 27:10	alleges 141:4	142:14,17
56:16 65:17	ahead 12:6	alleviate	144:12 210:5
67:1 69:21,25	20:12 34:17	229:25	america 27:24
75:25 92:22	80:20 133:9	alliance 16:17	42:9
93:3,11 94:12	134:25 152:8	allow 29:19	american
94:18 99:12	161:10,12	30:1 89:19	278:21
101:23 102:21	177:17 241:13	128:15 150:22	americans
103:1,24 105:6	244:6 269:2	171:6 201:25	292:16
107:19 109:23	277:1 285:7,9	297:19	amigos 278:12
110:12 114:5	aids 194:6	allowed 110:6	278:12,24
114:21 115:10		205:4 266:15	

[amount - application]

amount 24:12	amu 179:25	anonymous	180:17 189:23
37:17 121:24	ana 41:9	14:6	231:13 239:13
171:2 199:4,10	analogy 251:6	answer 128:8	apostrophe
amounts	analysis 132:3	128:10,11	293:17
132:10	161:16,24	129:18,25	apparent
ample 249:19	162:4,6 179:6	132:24 133:3,4	155:13
ampomah 2:7	183:24,25	133:7 134:23	apparently
197:8,9,11	184:20	179:22 181:24	286:19
198:8,14	analyst 162:6	182:19 231:10	appear 291:20
199:13 200:6	analyte 179:7	240:1,3,9	appearing
200:18 201:11	analytes 160:4	241:12 243:10	178:17
201:17 202:21	analytical 91:2	243:24 244:10	appears 131:12
203:17,22,24	154:18 160:20	answered	applicability
204:3 205:13	161:22 162:8	52:14 134:15	238:16
205:20,22	170:7 178:23	234:7	applicable
257:11,12,16	179:1,12,21	answering	61:18 90:21
257:18,24	180:14 182:12	128:9 240:12	99:9,23 104:20
258:2,4,16,20	182:14 183:24	answers 152:25	105:13,14,24
259:12,15,17	184:5 186:23	153:12	106:3,15,23
260:2,10,13	188:17 190:15	anybody 230:4	107:16 113:17
261:2,13,25	190:20,25	302:15	113:18 115:14
262:8,12,25	252:10 253:12	anyway 34:17	115:19 118:3
263:7,24 264:9	253:15	202:20	222:13,15,20
264:21 266:2	analyzed 257:1	apart 168:9	222:22 223:3
267:1,19,24	ancient 21:25	apd 50:22	applicant 88:5
268:2,14,18	37:2	263:14,15,19	259:19 265:22
269:3,15,24	animals 31:5	apds 48:12	271:22
271:3,16,19	32:23 36:7	api 264:5	applicant's
272:16 273:22	anita 2:23 7:9	apodaca 2:9	58:16
274:3,6 275:8	28:24,25 29:4	10:19 277:12	applicants
275:10 276:8	anita's 32:7	298:15	265:12,16
amstutz 2:23	announcement	apologies 74:24	application
7:9 28:24,25	90:18 268:19	183:19 188:12	59:2 88:7
29:1,4 31:11	announcing	apologize 100:7	141:4 142:13
	264:25	101:5 115:21	142:17 144:11

[application - assuming]

	_		
262:17 265:5	approved	arguing 141:7	234:5 235:24
265:10,23	84:12 91:2	argument	236:1 241:12
applications	250:2	110:3	243:1,3,10
116:13	approves 281:3	arguments	244:11 256:22
applied 118:3	approximately	144:19	259:3 269:19
222:19 255:12	46:15 233:2	aria 277:4	271:16,19,23
apply 230:20	aqueous 283:4	281:7,8	274:11,19
230:24 231:1,8	aquifer 280:2	army 22:2	290:19
231:11,16	aquifers 280:3	art 35:16 36:25	asks 172:8
240:8,11	286:25	artesian 300:5	aspects 87:20
appointed 10:3	arbitrarily	article 244:22	assault 44:22
appreciate	250:5	articulate	assess 67:4
32:21 122:21	arbitrary	74:17 244:5	76:7
158:4 178:18	237:12	artist 35:14	assessing 107:4
182:8 183:13	arboles 26:17	aside 191:18	assessment
185:11,17,19	arboscas 26:19	asked 52:9	199:20
189:7 191:8	area 61:3	112:23 121:6	assessments
235:13 240:13	144:16 155:24	122:19 132:21	149:4 156:25
290:21	164:9 167:3	134:12 170:4	176:21 177:7
approach	184:18,21	179:5 185:21	177:10
76:19 112:8	185:7 188:19	186:17 189:1	assigned
115:17 137:19	199:25 201:9	216:8 219:25	224:13,15
295:4	235:18 254:18	225:22 233:15	assistant 18:21
approached	257:4 264:7	239:18,19,24	18:23 191:16
59:3 229:6	270:16,18	246:24 247:19	associated
appropriate	271:1,2 279:19	252:19 286:10	35:20 37:13
47:7 63:16	areas 36:15	asking 31:2	141:10 184:20
68:9 69:19	37:8 61:9	52:12,22	193:17 197:22
70:3 76:13	87:13 155:23	106:13 120:22	association
79:3 105:5	253:9 254:6	125:11,12	13:9
107:10 195:20	264:5 288:2	175:10,10	assume 91:4
220:6	arguably	176:10 209:11	132:10 226:8
appropriately	243:21	209:12,12	assuming 105:1
67:17 193:19	argues 147:20	215:11 219:18	267:1 271:3,24
210:25		222:17 223:8	274:6

[assumption - back]

assumption	author 200:20	automatically	b
91:7 229:11,15	author's 91:11	217:7	b 9:1,6 23:3,3
243:2	authored 159:6	availability	73:16,16,22
assuredness	166:15 184:13	176:12	74:19,25 78:13
186:3	authorities	available 11:15	124:13,19
asthma 149:19	40:8 110:11	120:25 121:3	125:3,15,25
atom 69:9	133:5	121:21 148:3	127:4 128:1
89:22 147:17	authority 12:23	157:11 161:24	129:20 141:8
171:12 172:21	39:10,11,22	162:5 170:6	143:12,24
172:25 249:16	61:24 70:1	198:7 199:16	144:3 153:4,5
atoms 68:20	77:5,12,16	215:12 225:4	157:8,16,18
285:18,18,19	110:10 115:6	234:11 270:15	189:20 233:4
attached	123:22 124:8	298:13	babies 22:18
285:18	125:4,21 126:4	avenue 6:5	back 11:10
attempt 28:6	126:21,25	229:9	33:24 46:24
69:17 145:2	127:25 129:5	averaged 234:9	49:14 52:6
147:21 237:11	129:16 130:3	averaging 24:6	72:19 80:10
attempted	138:22,23	avis 3:7 7:13	82:19,21 97:8
210:21	139:8 140:20	40:22 41:2,9	100:24 101:19
attempting	140:24 141:9	avoid 195:9	107:14 108:3
144:24	141:12 142:5,8	268:4 290:6	112:13,13
attendees 2:2	142:15 143:20	296:3	113:1 115:16
3:2	144:17 146:24	avoidance	117:21 120:11
attention 66:7	205:14 208:9	156:1	120:17,20
80:10 151:15	208:13 209:1,4	avoided 295:25	129:1,7 141:24
213:11	262:18 280:22	aware 13:20	151:17 178:6,9
attenuated	authorization	62:11 76:21	192:10 198:10
195:22	57:21 218:18	88:12 91:20	200:2 201:17
attorney	authorized	123:25 149:6	206:3 223:15
299:23,23	91:2 141:1	168:20,23	223:16,22,23
305:14 306:10	authors 159:25	204:7 208:3	226:6,8,10,10
atypical 287:13	160:3,14 167:1	219:2 227:8	226:15,19
audience 11:1	167:4 184:24	228:19 249:7	235:7,8 243:12
audio 305:8	185:17 187:22	255:23 287:19	249:9 251:20
306:3			269:8 282:24

[back - believe]

284:23 304:2	244:24 245:14	based 17:16	bat 138:21
backbone	246:3 249:17	43:6 50:19	bates 93:22
45:16	253:2,9 258:14	117:12,13	113:8,9
background	260:14,15,23	119:20 121:24	bear 286:10
87:13 88:18	262:18 297:11	122:7 135:10	beaten 22:3
174:18,21	303:3,9	144:14 151:6	beautiful 27:12
175:19 177:13	band 28:18	155:18 158:13	27:16 30:13
196:24	banned 40:3	172:15 177:2	44:19
backseat 298:1	87:1 88:2	181:1 186:22	beauty 27:3
backtrack	142:22 192:14	198:17,19	bed 17:22
86:13	245:22 248:11	199:13,17	began 16:23
backwards	250:25 252:15	202:24 207:14	beginning
199:19	262:16 266:24	207:20,24	170:23
bad 28:7 74:12	267:15	215:14 252:6	behalf 4:2,10
100:8 250:14	banning 19:8	253:1 260:7,18	5:2,12 6:2,10
badly 280:24	86:16 94:11	261:2,8 264:9	46:22 136:10
balance 246:22	115:11 142:20	267:19 272:17	beings 303:14
272:4	217:10,17,21	278:14,21	belief 187:12
ban 20:4 21:18	244:9 245:13	279:7 294:5	242:8
21:24 22:6,7	245:23 246:6	baseless 140:23	believe 12:22
22:13,21 39:9	246:11 258:5	144:18 145:4	15:18 18:5
48:13,18 53:21	bans 214:13	basic 19:6	22:7 27:23
87:3 92:14,20	bar 79:3	150:7 270:9	33:5,6,8 49:5
92:24 93:13,23	197:25	303:16,16	49:15,19 51:21
93:24 94:5	barely 254:10	basically 93:21	58:11 60:4
138:14 142:18	barrel 282:15	113:9 236:18	63:5 68:21
144:12 146:4,8	barrels 233:3,8	295:11	74:11 83:19
146:21 149:3	233:11 282:14	basin 14:1	87:11 88:4,5
153:16 155:12	barrier 156:3	166:17 191:19	92:2 94:4,10
155:21 156:22	base 25:14	230:13	97:3 101:10
156:24 168:20	103:3 116:24	basing 187:6	122:17,19
168:23 192:18	184:14 196:22	basis 59:20	126:1,4,14
208:11 210:12	198:9 297:3	94:9,11 169:6	127:16,25
217:14 218:10	300:7	208:19 212:9	133:2,23
229:12 244:12		292:3	135:13,22

[believe - bodies]

163:3 172:20	best 28:11 31:8	biologically	193:2,20,24
177:3 182:11	32:18 42:10	156:10	194:8,15,22
183:16 187:13	66:25 68:4	biology 299:21	195:23 196:21
187:17,18,21	95:7 109:20	birth 40:1	197:1 240:16
194:2 198:9,20	150:15 152:21	43:20,23,23,25	240:17,20
202:24 203:3	153:8 174:4	149:19	241:2,5,13
207:2 208:20	187:24 253:24	bit 52:9 54:20	242:4,15 243:5
209:16 210:7	305:10 306:6	65:3 92:11	243:10,25
210:12 213:19	beth 3:15 7:19	116:20 126:9	244:3,6,19
213:20 215:24	290:25 291:8	161:6 162:25	246:8,20
217:22 220:4	better 35:16	173:25 182:20	247:20 248:10
222:17 225:14	78:19 87:20	197:23 235:21	248:22 249:8
225:15 228:5,8	88:23 94:16	235:25 247:21	251:5,20
228:12 229:20	150:12 151:5	271:25	252:12,25
230:16 231:7	167:7 191:23	blank 184:11	253:18 255:8
234:17 235:16	208:5 220:11	184:15,23,25	255:18 256:7
238:24 240:4,6	242:25 245:19	185:18 186:14	256:14 257:7
241:2,11,16	246:1,13	187:18 188:15	260:19 276:6
242:17 243:2	284:14 289:20	239:7	blowout 131:19
245:10 247:22	303:10	blank's 184:15	132:7 133:12
248:1,6,18	beyond 27:14	blanks 184:19	133:12,14
250:16 252:13	144:9 282:9	184:22 186:3,7	230:21,22
254:4,20	bible 186:19	188:21	239:25 240:6
260:19 262:18	187:11 189:5	blend 261:22	blowouts
266:10 271:9	big 282:16	blessed 17:3	230:14
272:2,3,12	303:8	blessings 46:8	blue 99:8
273:17	biggest 53:17	blinded 292:23	101:10,13
believed 249:4	billion 233:3,6	blm 61:1,25	106:2
believes 76:11	233:8,11 234:2	249:2 269:19	board 195:17
148:19 266:3	bio 151:12	blood 37:12	212:23 246:12
belongs 195:16	bioda 156:13	168:12 170:10	285:16
benefits 42:1	167:24	279:24	bodies 24:3,9
298:6	biological	bloom 2:5	139:16 149:13
benign 283:13	285:20	191:12,13,15	270:13 279:13
		191:15 192:12	289:24 292:12

[bodies - buy]

202 15 205 11	1 2 2 1 2	1 1 0 7 7 0 0	1 220.4
292:17 297:14	brandon 2:13	briefly 55:23	brown's 220:1
body 62:20	8:6 47:17	80:9 107:18	220:5,9
64:13 145:21	120:23	172:7,24	brutal 24:18
164:18 165:12	brave 14:13	briefs 276:2	buddhism 25:6
165:15,22	bravos 278:12	brine 117:9,10	budget 271:4,8
166:1 182:16	278:12,24	brine's 117:9	271:24 272:4
185:21 202:10	breach 51:9	bring 16:18	272:11,13
202:15 209:7	53:24 54:9	28:6 47:4	building 1:13
209:13,23	63:24	88:21 275:21	61:7,20 248:3
237:22 244:24	break 47:12	301:3,4	built 53:22
272:15 286:2	65:3,4 72:10	bringing 39:25	57:2 60:5
290:2	81:15 82:19	186:19	61:17 247:6
body's 146:2	120:6,10,21	broad 60:17	bullet 59:8
bogus 145:6	148:4 167:19	69:6,8 96:20	76:14 78:2,13
bolster 253:10	168:14 178:5	124:8,19 125:4	79:7
bond 287:6	178:10,11	126:20,20,25	burden 21:17
bone 287:12	208:21 235:1,7	131:21 143:10	36:13 58:24
book 129:7	285:21 289:25	146:23 148:12	76:12,15,18
books 33:23	breakdown	148:18 160:9	77:22 78:6,14
border 146:8	155:14 167:13	252:18 253:9	79:1 82:2
bore 236:8	167:18 168:15	broader 66:20	97:12 228:24
238:5 247:17	168:17	253:1	229:5,19,21
259:11 272:6	breaks 167:25	broadest 173:1	259:13,15
273:11	287:3	broadly 89:15	269:25 271:20
born 18:20	breast 24:16	broke 120:21	burning 286:13
21:13 22:19	32:13 146:1	brought 39:3	bush 38:25
41:10	156:3	159:23 185:13	business
bother 303:12	breath 43:23	187:23 226:13	139:14 205:1
bottom 213:14	302:16	240:23 241:6,7	280:10 287:24
bought 256:15	breathe 286:12	brown 2:17 7:3	292:14
293:8	brief 120:6	11:19,20,24	butchered
boy 242:11	153:14 268:17	12:2,9,17 38:7	234:5
265:4	275:20	87:18 220:2,15	buy 28:11,11
brain 149:21	briefing 147:6	220:22 221:1	

[c - cause]

c	calls 128:2	car 27:22	carsoups 27:23
	132:19 207:14	carbon 68:19	cas 60:6 224:6
c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	camera 298:16	89:16,22	224:8
6:1 7:1 10:1	camfield 3:20	147:17 153:19	case 1:4 10:8
42:19 100:6	7:23 301:19,19	171:12,20	46:21 59:6
281:17 301:20 c.f.r. 228:13	302:2,9 303:21	172:21,25	138:20 139:12
	campaign	237:3 249:16	162:3 168:10
cabinet 149:23	289:18	285:19 286:16	187:16 191:3
caked 14:17 calf 26:21	campaigns	287:5	193:14 196:4
california	293:11	carbons 89:14	216:10 223:13
35:22 36:20	camulcahy 5:8	carcinogen	253:5 278:11
139:18 227:15	cancer 15:1	37:24 286:3	286:21 304:2
280:25 290:16	24:13,14,16,18	carcinogenic	cases 36:2 57:8
call 10:12 14:8	32:13 40:1	41:25 292:9	160:6 248:18
14:9 85:1 96:9	149:17 287:16	carcinogens	259:10 287:19
200:2 277:2	292:17 295:20	24:20 36:14	casing 51:12
called 12:10	297:15	37:11	55:15 63:23,25
13:11 16:4	cancers 46:1	care 13:8,15	84:9,11,11,12
18:10 21:3,23	145:25 146:1	17:3 29:24	84:14,15,20,22
23:8 25:3 26:8	287:22	33:2 41:14	84:23,23 85:2
29:5 31:20	candidate	42:7 43:13	85:3,4,6,9,12
35:7 38:18	294:4	44:18 302:15	96:8 97:19,19
41:3 42:23	candor 189:7	cared 302:14	131:19 256:21
47:18 84:14	cannon 297:3	career 285:11	267:2
86:20 152:3	canyon 26:21	caring 13:10	castro 3:8 7:14
179:23 278:3	capabilities	199:23	42:18,19,22
281:20 287:12	15:11 40:6	carlsbad 35:22	43:4 46:5
288:23 291:9	capable 21:21	37:3	catching 64:18
293:22 296:17	capacities	carpet 192:8	cause 32:23
302:3	280:22	carried 71:23	42:2 66:17
calling 13:10	capacity 44:8	carry 173:8	67:13 72:15
23:16 53:19	125:12	283:10 291:20	98:9 100:24
103:7 213:10	caps 187:23	294:17	106:25 107:24
220:14,21	captured	carrying	110:2 139:7
,	193:19 280:7	174:12	200:4 202:20

[cause - charges]

	T		
219:13 220:14	264:7 287:21	chain 57:2	48:21 50:8
233:8 234:4	292:25	287:3	51:1,5,7,11
244:20 246:4	certainly 121:1	chains 287:4	58:9 59:13
250:23 269:4	132:23 159:22	chair 178:13	60:14,17,20,20
289:24	169:17 180:9	191:11 200:21	60:21,22 63:8
caused 82:1	182:21 187:16	234:23 235:8	63:10 64:22
230:17 235:20	194:20	chairs 278:23	66:9,23 70:16
296:1	certainty 247:3	challenge 59:22	74:25 99:7
causes 287:21	247:4,6	144:16	146:19,20,23
causing 21:21	certificate	challenges	147:4 149:7
270:22 294:23	305:1 306:1	21:16 166:11	204:7 210:6,20
caution 172:10	certification	challenging	237:23 261:4,9
cautionary	48:16,18 58:1	138:21 179:18	265:2 266:4
172:22 295:11	81:5 110:23,23	chance 121:9	271:5,25
cautiously	111:2,6,8,10,15	151:18 195:1	changing 51:18
172:17	115:24 130:10	240:1	72:22 214:3
caveat 126:12	211:15 212:11	change 13:12	chaos 145:13
214:10 218:15	213:1 263:9,17	27:22 51:19	chapter 244:21
caverns 37:3,4	263:20	52:18 53:15	characteristics
cdc 292:16	certifications	58:16 63:22	148:11 156:8
celebrate 19:17	50:13 81:10,11	72:18 77:20,21	characterizati
36:24	263:13	83:12,25 84:15	166:16 177:24
cement 85:8	certified	95:20 130:23	characterize
center 43:24,25	111:11	145:8 196:3	151:1 154:5
297:2	certify 67:8	204:16 205:15	156:5 176:16
centers 62:2	81:6 110:17,20	210:18 253:20	177:4
ceremonies	111:5,15 130:7	272:12 278:25	characterized
43:8 44:11	263:15 305:4	289:9 290:20	153:23,24
ceremony	306:2	293:1	155:21 160:12
43:14	cervical 287:12	changed 48:25	163:15 174:19
certain 57:8	cetera 196:18	49:9 72:14,17	177:20 190:23
62:19 65:19	249:1	74:20 217:19	191:5
108:10 121:7	cfr 58:14 59:17	241:21 263:21	charge 126:10
138:13 145:25	59:19	changes 17:25	charges 246:23
150:6 237:15		37:12 48:5,10	

[check - chemicals]

ala a ala 107.2	215.22.25	40.04.52.01	227.12.220.12
check 197:2	215:23,25	48:24 53:21	227:12 229:12
231:25	217:20 218:14	54:3,12,17	236:9 238:6,8
chemical 10:6	218:17,20	55:4,5,10,25	238:9,9,11,12
19:12 20:7	219:4,4,5	56:2 57:20	238:15,17
30:1 33:5	220:11 223:7	58:17 61:9	242:10,14
35:23 36:4	224:11,14,15	68:7,14 75:14	244:9,14,17,25
39:17,18 40:5	224:19 227:12	76:22 92:14,14	245:2,3,8,8,24
49:4 50:3 51:3	227:16 229:2,4	92:21 93:5,24	246:6,11,12,17
54:13 56:11	229:16 231:20	101:23 102:6,6	247:3,7,8,13
67:13,14,15,19	242:20 244:12	102:14,20	248:8 249:18
67:22 70:23	244:14 245:13	105:4,22	249:22 250:14
71:2 76:20	245:14 246:5	110:11 115:25	250:20,22
79:16 86:17	248:5 249:5	119:13,17,25	251:13,22
87:9 110:17,25	251:17,17,19	131:16,18	252:9,9 253:3
111:4 112:11	255:23,25	132:8 135:11	255:6 256:23
112:14,19,21	258:7 261:23	136:20 141:23	257:1 259:4,5
114:1,3,7	263:9 266:14	142:1 147:15	259:9 260:15
115:13 116:6	267:11 270:14	147:23 150:19	262:19,24
116:16,23,25	290:15 292:5	153:18 154:21	263:16 264:18
117:1,6,10,18	chemically	161:3 168:24	264:19 266:24
118:2,16 119:8	148:5 210:24	169:4,19	267:12,13
119:20 130:14	211:5 259:2	170:21 174:3	270:6 272:7
134:5 135:8	chemicals	175:11,12	274:15,18,23
138:17 145:17	13:21 14:15	185:4 190:3,10	275:1,2,5
146:4,9,15,21	16:19 17:23	197:17 201:3	278:25 279:12
147:11 149:2,8	19:9,14,19	202:8,11,20	279:16 280:8,9
149:10 150:18	20:5 22:8,21	203:7,15 204:8	280:13,20
150:20,24	23:20,22,24	204:23 205:11	281:2 285:22
151:3 156:21	24:7,24 25:1	208:5 209:13	286:5 287:9
168:6 169:11	26:22 30:21	210:19 215:21	289:10,20,23
170:1,16 193:8	33:7 36:17	217:11,13,15	289:24 290:14
193:9,14,16,17	37:10,14,17	217:17,18,21	290:20 292:8
200:16 204:10	39:6,10,11,14	217:23 218:10	292:10,15,18
205:10 208:9	39:19 40:3	221:15,18	293:6 294:10
209:2,4 214:15	41:23,25 48:15	226:6,18	294:15,17

[chemicals - clear]

	I	I	I
297:11,17,21	children's 20:1	cited 148:16	77:1 115:4
302:24 303:2	33:22 45:12	179:18	236:14
303:10	chino 1:13	citizen 29:14	clarity 52:10
chemist 90:15	chioto 277:4	291:21	class 147:22
91:20 161:22	281:7,8	citizens 29:15	148:11,14
162:1 170:8	choice 19:11,14	30:17 32:6	153:18 154:6,9
178:23 182:10	choices 28:20	42:11 206:19	154:11 172:15
182:12,15	cholesterol	279:23 285:24	173:3,8 198:24
184:5 186:23	24:14 149:16	291:17	199:2
190:15 214:10	choose 198:1	city 45:15	classes 153:21
216:18 237:19	chordoma	city's 45:16	classic 36:17
270:9	287:13	claim 145:4,6	classified 286:3
chemistry	chose 42:6 88:6	200:23 246:25	clean 25:18
37:12 177:13	234:15	claimed 139:13	30:6,6 34:1
179:1 180:18	chosen 20:3	169:13	39:3 150:3
211:12 287:7	91:23 163:13	claims 132:8	207:8 267:20
299:21	chris 3:18 7:21	144:24 255:11	267:22 286:7
chemists 87:9	296:9,16,24	280:12	290:4,12
236:20	christ 18:22	clara 2:19 7:5	300:21 302:25
chemotherapy	christina 3:8	18:5,9,20	303:16
287:14	7:14 42:18,22	clarification	cleaned 193:16
cheney 39:1,1	43:4	83:17 95:9,12	cleaner 74:11
chevron 34:3	chromatograph	126:13 133:3	75:20,22
chicana 32:15	180:6	133:11 134:21	cleanup 36:12
chief 150:16	chronic 37:13	134:23 183:13	50:14 56:24
childcare 62:2	164:15	231:9 233:10	57:11 236:3
270:8	church 18:22	clarify 50:1,19	252:16,24
children 14:18	cieneguilla	51:17 56:10	253:11 282:4,6
14:21 17:10	279:21	67:18 77:20	cleanups 57:1
45:12,13	circle 117:21	79:6 83:6 95:3	clear 24:1
145:24 287:23	circled 241:23	95:18 105:22	52:20,21 53:7
288:5 290:9	circumstances	181:14 231:10	53:9 60:3
293:2 298:3	117:16 174:4	234:4 252:13	70:22 74:8
300:9	cite 194:15,20	clarifying	79:4,4 95:16
	195:6 207:17	51:15 71:14	98:10 105:8,9

[clear - commission]

	I	I	
105:10,11,25	coincidence	45:5,17,18,19	20:2 32:8 38:3
106:7,14 117:3	164:5,8	46:7 94:15	38:5 46:12
148:18 178:19	cold 295:10	100:24 107:14	73:21 121:8
297:6 302:16	colitis 149:16	112:12 120:10	202:2 205:19
clearer 60:1	collaborate	120:17,20	252:19 266:9
clearly 246:21	278:22	174:6,14 178:6	268:22 269:1
288:16 293:7	colleague	178:9 183:2	275:17 276:19
clerk 2:9,11	278:22	226:6,8,10,18	276:20,22
client 120:6	collection	226:19 261:19	277:9 294:13
climate 13:15	297:3	273:3,15	298:10,11,14
27:21 293:1	collective 19:1	276:19 284:23	298:18 299:5
clinical 302:11	35:16 43:22	301:16 303:24	301:11 303:23
cloaks 21:23	294:6	comes 14:16	303:25
close 78:23	college 294:3	24:11 34:4	commentor
151:9 274:25	colonialism	96:15 131:14	32:7
closely 13:24	44:17,17	135:8 141:24	comments
192:17	color 25:17	142:2 217:5	11:17 23:15
closer 88:21	colorado 36:20	237:16 272:3	195:4,11 276:1
closing 15:7	139:18 145:15	273:3 282:12	277:12 278:23
37:4 275:19	146:4,10 205:4	282:23,24	284:24 285:3
297:18	227:7,11	283:7 288:3	289:12 290:22
clothing 14:16	280:25 285:12	comfortable	304:1
14:25	290:16 297:10	11:13	commission 1:2
clovis 25:14	colorado's	coming 21:15	2:6,8,10,12,14
280:1	146:13	39:14 43:19	4:2 10:4,20
clues 162:9	combat 296:24	44:11 45:22	12:16,22 28:18
coalesce 186:9	combative	49:2 76:23	29:11 30:9,19
coalition 32:2,4	138:19	82:21 97:8	32:1 37:19
coat 168:4	combusted	112:13 175:18	38:23 39:16,21
code 25:17	196:13	182:17 192:25	39:23 43:3,10
coffee 192:8	come 10:9,10	223:5,6 245:7	47:5,22 50:7
coffers 292:25	28:4 33:1,23	268:22 283:19	50:25 53:5,16
cogswell 1:20	34:7,16 38:5	comment 10:11	57:18 58:7
305:2,18	40:16,17 43:8	10:14,15,17,17	63:3,11,14
	43:18 44:4	10:21 11:14	65:12 74:3,13

[commission - completing]

		T.	I
74:14 75:7	243:10 244:3	communities	215:25 218:13
79:7 83:15,20	257:11 260:19	13:25 15:12	218:17,18
84:1 85:1 86:1	268:16,23	23:23 25:19	232:5 255:12
86:16 93:9	commissioners	40:6 43:5,17	256:6
94:25 108:3	18:19 23:14	45:24 139:17	compare
117:23 121:23	138:4 151:15	149:14 156:18	161:21 162:2
122:1,4,7,10,15	269:9 275:25	207:7 278:17	comparing
122:16 131:2,3	276:15 278:9	279:5 292:21	238:13
132:9 137:17	291:16 294:2	295:16,23	compel 150:10
138:11,23	296:2	297:20 300:24	competent 81:7
140:18 141:1,8	commitments	302:12	competition
142:10 144:22	121:6	community	139:15
147:10 176:4	committed	17:7,16 29:11	competitive
178:4,6,12	198:5 297:4	29:14 30:10	205:2
195:15 201:24	committee	40:11 44:7	competitors
204:14 206:12	298:4	90:20 157:1	205:6,10
207:3 212:21	commodity	205:11 292:4	compilation
214:2 221:11	13:14 19:4	companies 22:4	171:18
232:16 236:16	common 13:16	27:16 28:2,10	complementary
242:20 259:18	19:11 20:4	36:13,16 41:20	179:21
260:3 263:22	66:17 143:1	41:21 42:2	complete 51:23
271:21 273:19	291:22	54:13 67:13,15	69:18 82:23
273:21 274:8	commonalities	78:9,12 113:13	113:14 190:5
275:22 281:3	35:19	150:17 251:3	254:21 294:15
296:23 299:13	commonly 19:5	254:5 255:19	294:25
commission's	21:14 49:23	272:17 280:16	completed
10:6 60:10	commonsense	280:23 294:14	270:18
121:16 140:24	295:4	295:15,24	completely
142:4 144:17	communicate	300:6	161:3 196:13
commissioned	220:11	companion	completing
19:16	communicated	156:22	51:13,24 72:5
commissioner	243:16	company 61:3	96:6 97:15
2:5,7 191:12	communicates	78:5 111:5	108:11 109:3
191:16 196:21	97:18	169:17 174:24	190:2
197:8 240:16		175:8 208:10	

[completion - conclusion]

completion	components	165:21,23	concedes 143:1
48:25 49:3,8	113:16 174:7	167:1,20,21	concentrations
54:24 71:10,13	215:4	168:4 169:11	160:1 209:7
71:14,16 72:14	composition	171:2 172:16	279:19
72:15,17,22	282:9	173:8,10,17,18	concept 111:24
73:24 97:2	compound	174:6 182:2,10	concepts
116:1 204:8	86:17 132:20	182:22,23	107:15 294:20
241:21 258:6	133:1 134:14	184:8,19	concern 110:2
258:11,18	154:22 155:8	187:15,17	110:4 119:3
259:3 263:10	155:20 160:22	188:15,16	139:15 155:23
263:18,19,23	161:23 162:1	189:12 190:5	181:24 183:20
264:6	162:11,23	190:16,22	192:5 229:5
completion's	163:22 170:4,5	191:2 192:5,14	235:14 237:16
221:24	170:6,9 174:5	192:19 194:7	256:24 257:5
completions	175:18 179:7,8	197:15,20,22	269:13,14
50:2 136:14	179:9,11,12,13	197:23 198:1,3	270:20,22,25
233:23 254:24	180:14,16,17	198:5,20,21	272:14,15
271:2	181:8,16,18,20	199:2,8,10	274:14 275:5
complex 164:9	183:9 193:11	202:13 215:2	279:1
complexity	208:14 216:17	215:13,16	concerned 13:1
173:2	compounded	216:21,25	38:25 39:4
compliance	239:15	217:1 237:3	114:19 117:24
149:3 156:23	compounds	comprehendi	131:12 227:23
242:23	94:12 140:3	36:3	270:2 279:15
complicated	147:22 148:3	comprehensive	300:8
164:14,15	148:10,20	148:24 155:12	concerning
complications	150:8 153:18	155:21	49:3 194:6
264:15	153:25 154:4	comprehensi	concerns 81:8
complies	154:18,24	190:9	112:7 191:19
214:14	156:2 159:8	compromise	192:11 229:19
comply 59:19	160:4,5,7,10,18	85:3	229:25 230:1
component	160:19,21,23	compromised	concluded
68:17 112:1	163:3,13,15,18	146:3	304:6
167:22,23,25	163:25 164:1	computer 11:2	conclusion 76:5
168:1 193:12	164:14,16	34:16	76:9 79:2

[conclusion - contaminants]

128:3 175:6	confuse 144:20	124:2,16	constitute
196:23 219:18	146:5 271:14	125:15 126:8	92:17 93:1
296:1	confused	127:5 237:22	145:17 146:9
conclusions	141:21	consider 50:2	146:15 151:3
128:7 163:9,11	confusing	56:10 88:1	consultant
177:8 185:7	144:18	111:25 113:24	107:6
concurrently	confusion	117:1 163:4	consumer
282:21	143:8	198:23 201:5	156:7 174:15
condition 50:22	congregational	301:2	cont'd 3:1 4:1
89:17	18:21	considerate	5:1 6:1
conditions	congress	37:23	contact 192:25
200:4	147:13	consideration	283:8
conduct 10:4	connate 283:1	112:4	contacted
105:5	connected 24:1	considered	83:13
confer 120:6	32:21 44:5	56:1 82:7	contain 48:24
confidential	98:12	87:24	69:8,9 110:17
71:10 170:14	connecting	considering	142:25 155:4
204:25	36:1	17:25 86:16	containing
confidentiality	connection	154:16 294:17	175:9 245:12
228:20	24:2 195:18,22	consistent	263:9,16
confirm 92:5	289:7 295:19	50:20,22 53:23	containment
111:10 160:23	conrad 3:11	54:8 171:22	51:15 55:2,13
170:9	7:16 281:11,12	172:1,21	55:20 62:23
confirmation	281:13,16,16	207:15,22,25	77:3
162:12	281:19 283:22	constituent	contains
confirmed	conscious	156:21 253:14	282:18,20
110:24	302:16	constituents	contaminant
confirms 13:17	consent 269:10	56:4 102:9	78:18 154:1
conflate 145:4		134:5 149:8	163:14
conflating	consequences 294:18	167:17,19	contaminants
145:13	conservation	214:15 217:20	172:12 223:5
conflict 243:22	1:2 2:4,6,8,10	223:2,23 282:8	223:10 252:21
261:5	2:12,14 4:2,10	282:19 283:17	252:23 268:8
conforms 64:14	4:12 10:4 54:3	283:19 299:20	274:14 300:11
72:2	59:23 62:15	301:5	300:12
	1		1

[contaminate - correct]

contaminate	context 68:3	187:24 202:12	61.11 16 17
	116:15 172:19	202:13	64:14,16,17 66:2 67:23
33:16 76:2,4			
97:20 223:3	continent	controlled	70:9,24,25
292:6	302:10	193:7,18	75:2 80:8
contaminated	contingent	controls 76:18	86:19 87:6,16
25:13 130:8	125:9	conversation	90:3,4,9 92:8,9
132:16 139:6	continually	236:15	92:18,19,23
141:13 142:18	198:6	conversations	93:2,8,12 94:6
142:19 143:6	continue 30:18	241:23	94:13 96:17
186:4,16	33:16 46:7	conveyed 228:9	98:14,15,17,18
300:10	115:6 202:8,18	cooperation	100:20,23
contaminating	248:13,14	91:14	101:9,24
141:2 297:13	269:2 295:22	coordination	102:17,22
299:16	continues 31:1	88:9	103:2,4,5,13,14
contamination	146:9 211:2	coordinator	103:16,17,20
25:4 37:9	continuing	32:2	103:21,25
76:10 132:14	30:21	copies 47:4,5	104:17,18,24
139:7 140:11	continuous	137:20,25	105:7,14,15,17
140:21 150:1	279:11	copious 122:17	106:17 107:8
151:7 170:24	contract 61:6	copy 138:3	107:23 108:2,7
192:4 203:12	67:16 78:8	157:9 257:13	108:21,25
226:4 267:21	contractual	257:15	109:5,9,25
267:23 297:6	61:4,6	core 25:7	110:7,13
297:25 299:19	contrary 58:1	294:20	111:19 112:10
contaminations	94:5	corner 213:15	113:7,10,11,15
184:24	contribute	corporate	113:20,25
contemplate	93:14	30:23 45:7	114:6 115:9,15
54:2	contributed	290:7 292:2	116:4,8,11,19
content 71:8	278:22	corporations	116:19 117:8
73:10 75:7	contribution	291:20 292:5,9	117:11 118:4,5
83:4 141:18	121:23	292:13 293:6	118:19,23
contentious	control 72:1	corral 2:11	123:23 124:10
282:17	78:8 81:10	correct 18:15	124:13 125:1,2
contents 36:11	100:6 148:6	48:20 49:16,17	127:2,11
	173:6,16 174:4	57:10 63:17	132:16 137:19

[correct - currently]

152:21 153:8	262:21 263:1	120:15 121:25	157:12,23
158:6 159:17	265:6 305:11	122:19 155:11	158:20 159:1
164:3,4 171:13	305:14 306:7	covering 64:24	206:13 218:7
178:23,24	306:10	263:20	222:12
180:20 181:12	count 292:3	covers 21:24	crude 127:9
182:5,15	counted 190:7	58:10	128:22 129:23
183:16 184:6	counting	crawl 303:14	129:24 144:2,5
187:20 189:5	140:22 293:8	create 12:23	144:7
207:21,21	country 25:16	31:3 97:19	cultural 278:16
210:15 211:12	30:13,25 42:11	129:5 145:12	279:10 280:5
211:13 213:21	150:6	248:7 254:15	culture 16:25
216:2 218:10	county 2:15	269:25 271:20	45:18,18
228:1 233:7,12	23:16 26:15	280:16 303:6	cumbersome
236:25 237:1	couple 13:2,23	created 129:6	273:9
251:25 255:14	57:19 86:13	creates 67:2	cup 192:7
258:19 259:16	107:17 116:21	79:2	curious 193:3
261:12 263:6	120:7 136:8	creating 135:23	current 60:19
276:5 277:18	159:18 163:1	252:7	74:4 165:12,15
correctly	179:3 196:2	creation 13:8	165:22 166:1
178:21 180:22	197:12 232:17	13:11	169:2 199:17
217:11 236:19	234:9 243:13	crediting 214:9	258:25 259:9
corresponden	261:18,25	crisis 13:15	261:15,17
88:11	277:8 284:22	cristina 5:3	265:2,18,23
corresponding	course 139:25	criteria 210:22	267:20
200:20	206:11	critical 139:12	currently 15:1
corrosion	courses 231:17	146:5 147:7	37:14 73:12
255:1	294:19	148:21 192:19	87:8 162:4
cost 150:3	court 34:4	193:2,5,15,15	163:3,5 215:3
280:9 286:9	138:5 157:9	247:18	215:12 221:12
288:3 292:15	cover 48:4	critically 146:7	232:3,7,8
costly 36:12	49:25 51:7	crops 290:12	238:22 254:21
counsel 138:11	covered 50:4	300:23,23	257:3 265:14
195:4 219:22	51:22 63:5	cross 68:14	285:13 287:9
219:25 220:20	77:8 83:19,22	86:3 121:10	295:21
228:5,8 232:1	89:9 92:6	122:20 123:16	

[cut - defining]

cut 289:8	171:2 184:14	daycare 270:8	decisions 45:21
cuttings 127:19	185:8 187:7,11	days 71:9,13	143:3,4 151:6
cv 178:22	187:12 193:21	159:18	172:9,17 260:4
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
211:14,24	197:23 198:1,4	de 26:17	303:13
cx 8:5	198:7 199:4,9	285:14	decisive 298:4
d	199:9 201:5	deadlines	declared 221:9
d 8:1 10:1	228:14,16	276:13	245:9,20
15:25 23:5	230:2 297:2	deal 83:3	decorated
60:24 207:16	database	126:22 167:5	296:24
281:17 301:20	224:22 280:21	dealing 16:17	decreased 40:2
daily 290:2	daughter 45:2	26:20 116:12	145:24 149:18
dais 276:1	287:11	dealt 188:21	149:19
damage 17:10	dave 283:23	193:19 195:8	dedicated
51:16 95:10	david 3:13 7:17	dearth 201:8	14:13 278:15
103:11,13	277:4 284:17	death 21:21	deemed 93:18
149:18 202:17	285:10	debate 144:16	102:6 117:5
202:18 239:21	davis 5:13 8:11	decade 29:23	deep 16:10 28:9
damaged 231:5	8:15 158:22,23	37:2 296:25	deeply 29:24
damaging	159:2,3 165:5	decades 27:1	36:25 303:17
24:13	165:6,11 171:5	28:9 286:1	defects 40:1
dangerous	175:7,24 176:2	decay 295:18	defend 32:4
39:19 41:18	176:10 179:5	december	defer 91:22
139:9 140:21	181:23 206:12	305:18 306:13	121:15
	218:3,5,8	decide 42:10	deference 34:3
279:12,17	219:23 220:18	283:14	define 89:14
287:4 290:14	220:19,25	decided 273:20	112:15 127:13
dangers 21:11	221:2 232:10	decides 84:1	171:19 184:22
286:1 300:2	232:14 239:14	85:1 259:18	217:6 248:20
daniel 4:3	239:18,24	decision 15:11	defined 21:20
data 12:24	244:3,7 275:16	40:5 45:8	90:6 153:17
59:16 60:6	276:15	150:13 165:18	155:22 214:13
73:7 76:17	day 10:9 24:5,6	172:10,17	231:3 258:5
77:13 78:15	43:14 220:8	198:10,11	defines 89:15
151:4,4 154:4	240:20 251:1	199:2 238:1	defining 116:6
155:19 162:14		303:18	156:8
163:2,5,17		303.10	150.0

[definitely - designated]

definitely	236:17,18,20	delineation	257:2 259:5
182:11 185:8	237:8,12,23	80:5	depends 290:12
185:16 186:18	249:10,16,21	demand 77:6	depose 287:7
198:9 261:6	251:21,24	77:17 294:6	deposed 286:18
264:22 265:9	253:1	demanding	depth 75:11
definition	definitions	39:9	deputy 2:13
49:16,20 66:16	91:25 147:1,3	demands 132:7	237:21 264:22
67:25 68:10	147:25 171:19	democracy	deregulation
69:6 70:4	definitively	291:18	32:10
88:16 89:2,6,8	192:2	demonstrate	derived 140:16
89:13,25 90:2	deformities	159:25 160:8	describe 51:11
91:8,9,18 92:8	22:19	demonstrated	53:15 54:5
100:22 109:14	degradation	140:2 167:1	57:17 60:13
109:16 112:21	44:16 139:7	187:14	66:10,23 70:15
138:14 147:9	140:8 155:6,7	demonstrates	71:8 72:8 73:2
147:11,12,14	155:10	146:14	75:7 83:4 96:5
147:15,18,21	degree 299:20	demonstration	148:12
147:24 148:7	degrees 59:25	24:1	described
148:12,18	delay 76:12	denied 73:8	59:17 96:5
154:17,21	delayed 149:19	denver 287:19	104:21 170:3
155:11,15,16	170:13	department 4:4	246:2
160:9 171:12	delays 145:24	87:19 124:4	describes 73:16
171:24 172:1	delegated	131:23,25	describing
172:21 173:1,5	125:22 143:20	140:13 207:2	59:15
189:10,24	delete 100:4	220:6	description 9:2
190:1,8,13	214:4,6	departments	112:20 170:1
193:4 198:15	deleterious	249:1,1 253:21	descriptive
198:15,17	154:5	253:22 254:3,3	74:2
210:18,19,21	deliberate	254:6	deserve 19:17
210:23,24	155:25	depend 140:13	25:18 81:1
211:1,5,19	deliberating	140:17 278:17	290:17 303:16
212:3,6,9,12,16	276:4	290:13 300:21	designate
213:2 214:12	deliberations	dependent 31:6	163:14
214:17,22	10:20 88:5	depending	designated
216:16 236:15		96:20 107:9	87:8 131:3

[designated - discern]

152:16 153:3	determinations	develops 69:3	diluted 79:21
designation	97:13 219:22	device 193:12	diminished
204:25	determine 82:3	devon 256:15	149:21
designations	98:6	difference	dine 295:17
221:13	determined	84:22 89:12	direct 9:4 48:1
despite 140:18	102:3,4 113:17	110:21 144:23	58:5 65:7 66:6
142:3 145:3	215:24 216:14	146:6 180:16	70:14 81:18
291:25	265:24 266:23	different 43:13	88:11 129:13
destroy 28:4,5	determines	59:24,25 83:21	136:16 152:9
41:20 287:9	82:1 98:20	85:11 107:17	152:15 153:15
destroying	215:22	112:8 114:23	157:5 206:16
27:16 28:7	detrimental	116:15 120:4,7	209:14 210:3
detail 95:2	274:17	133:13 137:1	210:16 211:8
112:3 210:24	devastating	145:5,13	212:20 214:20
detailed 170:1	37:6 42:1	154:10 155:8	217:9 257:14
details 36:10	295:9	189:3,22,22	257:21,25
170:21 206:25	develop 160:20	190:10 199:5	295:19
259:7	179:21 199:11	219:24 242:5	direction 25:20
detect 76:8	211:2	243:13 250:19	275:18,22
199:21 253:13	developed	254:5 271:5,17	directly 30:22
detected 37:7	61:23 163:10	271:25 299:20	64:21 129:4
57:23 132:10	252:4,11	300:17	134:19 279:7
247:10,11	285:21	differently	director 2:3,13
detecting	developing	245:4	18:23 29:21
253:12	94:16	difficult 162:13	125:12 133:23
detection 266:5	development	166:7 186:2	138:12 237:21
266:8,10,13,14	23:19 35:24	202:11 238:10	264:22
266:21 267:5	91:14 104:4	259:21 299:7	disagree
determination	127:9 128:21	299:16	155:17
81:23 82:8,8	144:1 149:20	digital 305:8	disagreement
97:21,23,25	149:21	306:3	66:1
98:3 136:13	developmental	dilemma	discarding
139:19 215:24	24:15 145:24	299:16 300:13	143:2
228:10	149:20 166:11	dilute 274:22	discern 114:15
			114:16

[discharge - disposal]

12 1	50.17.60.05	200.10.10	1
discharge	58:17 62:25	289:10,19	discussing
154:24 156:12	76:20 77:13,22	290:15,20	56:15 57:17
156:17	79:9 80:1	297:17 303:10	228:15
discharged	83:24 92:12	disclosures	discussion 49:2
155:2	104:15,16,19	54:11 58:11	63:6 66:15
discharges	113:6,22 114:5	59:23 62:1	69:21 72:17
196:15	132:12 135:4	77:7 113:13	81:22 88:9
disclose 25:1	135:19 136:2,6	114:15 135:9	122:9 159:18
39:6 92:17,22	136:20,22	135:25 136:23	159:24 161:2
94:19 99:11	138:17 146:5,9	145:17 214:15	174:17 195:19
131:17 169:3	146:16,21	224:24 225:3	221:20 258:10
170:11 176:10	149:2,7 150:10	228:6 229:2	260:18
176:15 177:4	150:16,18,20	243:4 255:5	discussions
177:23 204:12	150:25 151:3	259:25 261:24	80:13 102:4
218:17 244:13	156:21 161:17	270:21 272:13	135:14
247:16 257:6	161:20 169:10	discover	disease 24:14
280:13 293:6	170:4,16 171:6	253:15	149:18 287:15
disclosed 23:20	204:8,19,22	discovered	295:20
33:7 92:25	208:4,9,12	68:24 135:3	diseases 292:18
93:7 135:10	209:2,4 217:20	discovering	dishonesty
181:9 229:15	220:12 221:5,8	287:15	33:19
244:18 247:4	227:12,16	discretion	disincentive
251:24	229:2,4,16	102:19 143:10	94:20
discloser	230:7 242:9,14	discuss 55:23	disinformation
229:18	242:20 243:20	84:6 123:21	33:4
discloses 219:4	243:22 248:5	275:23 294:21	disingenuous
disclosing	249:5 251:12	discussed 48:15	138:19
36:10 218:14	251:20 255:6	68:1,5,5,21	dismissed
218:25	256:22 260:20	69:13 70:22	145:7
disclosure 10:6	262:7,10 263:3	71:11 72:11,21	disparities
15:10 19:12	263:4 266:22	73:24 80:1	300:16
36:19 39:9,17	267:11 272:17	116:10 199:8	disposal 37:21
39:18 40:5	272:24 273:3,5	215:1 230:22	125:18,21
54:2,7,15,22	273:15 274:12	230:23	126:25 143:2,3
57:7 58:13,14	274:13 280:7		143:5,17,19

[disposal - downhole]

			1
156:12 196:17	distributed	125:15 126:8	54:24 75:14
226:16 282:5	248:20	127:5 130:4	81:7 84:20
282:16	distribution	136:7 207:16	157:21 171:7
dispose 283:15	248:16,19	210:25 214:3	176:21 181:25
286:19	diverse 278:20	216:14 237:22	266:12 273:7
disposed 37:17	divided 233:11	258:6	280:9 286:21
142:24	division 2:4	division's 60:3	292:13
disposition	4:10,12 51:14	69:1 95:6	domestic 127:8
125:17 126:23	54:3 55:24	98:13 99:18	127:14,16,21
127:7 128:20	56:6 59:23	101:7 105:19	127:21 128:1
128:24 129:21	60:5 62:15	106:14,20	128:20,25
141:6,9 142:6	75:8,15 76:16	109:14 111:12	129:9,21
143:2,15,25	77:13 78:3,14	111:17 114:19	138:25 139:6
144:4 240:23	80:3 81:25	116:2,15	140:25 141:7
240:25 288:1	82:2 86:15	divulge 134:5	142:11,16,19
dispute 144:9	87:12,25 89:7	149:9 204:10	142:23 143:5
227:4	89:15 90:20,23	divulged 132:9	143:25 144:4
disqualify	92:13 93:9,20	djr 256:12,14	144:23 145:1
155:20	96:11,15 98:20	docketed 10:8	145:12
disrupt 140:11	99:7,14 100:17	doctor 179:3	don.t 174:5
149:13,25	100:21 101:3,6	185:12 191:8	196:24
dissolved 283:7	101:22 102:2,5	216:25 217:1	donates 293:11
283:10	102:19,23,24	299:22	doors 33:15
distinction	104:13,16,17	doctors 215:1	doubt 144:21
84:24 243:24	105:25 107:4	216:24	downhole 49:3
distinguish	107:20 108:22	documented	49:6,7,10,13,16
65:22 117:18	109:2,22 112:8	37:6 151:13	49:19,20 51:19
119:7 131:18	112:10,14,18	documenting	51:21 55:1
202:16	113:24 114:7	167:6	58:18 72:19,23
distinguishing	114:20,22,23	documents	73:25 74:21
55:25 114:20	114:24 115:2,5	169:21	96:13,14 97:5
distribute	115:12,18	doe 282:6	116:17 133:19
137:24 248:8	118:2,6,14	doh 220:5,10	136:21 142:8
248:16 286:22	121:15,18	doing 16:14	142:21,22
	124:3,16	37:21 44:25	144:13 145:10

[downhole - dysfunction]

149:8 168:21	165:12 177:11	284:19,25	299:17 300:10
168:25 169:4	178:11,16,19	285:3,8,10	drive 1:14 4:13
175:13 201:15	184:1 193:20	288:8,10,11	14:7 100:12
204:9 221:21	195:6 196:10	draft 79:5	driven 45:15
221:23,24	197:5,9,10,11	265:8	151:2
222:1,4,7,10	198:8,14	drafted 265:12	dropped 45:2
223:2,24 226:6	199:13 200:6	draw 80:9	drove 14:6
226:19 227:13	200:18 201:11	drill 127:19	drubin 4:7
230:23 232:5	201:17 202:21	drilled 124:24	due 21:17 37:8
234:12,14	203:17,17,21	125:5 136:13	93:7 155:25
241:17,20,24	203:22,24	143:13	273:22 287:5
244:17 245:9	204:3 205:13	drillers 141:22	duly 12:10 16:4
254:25 257:5	205:20,23	drilling 22:8,22	18:10 21:3
258:14,17	206:1 211:4	23:19 50:2,11	23:8 26:8 29:5
263:21	220:1,2,5,9,15	61:5 108:11,15	31:20 35:7
download	220:22 221:1	116:17,22,23	38:18 41:3
225:9,13	225:22 257:12	116:25 117:1,5	42:23 152:3
downloading	257:16,18,24	117:13,19	278:3 281:20
225:16	258:2,4,16,20	125:19 143:18	288:23 291:9
downstream	259:12,15,17	222:10 241:18	293:22 296:17
16:14 26:21	260:2,10,13	241:25 258:8	302:3 305:5
32:17	261:2,13,25	290:17 294:10	dump 36:2
dr 2:7 3:8,9,11	262:8,12,25	294:16 300:3	dumped 35:18
3:13 7:14,16	263:7,24 264:9	drink 25:18	dumping 28:3
7:17 42:18,18	264:21 266:2	221:19 279:14	dust 156:12
46:5 87:18	267:1,19,24	drinking 13:13	duties 84:19
121:11 137:8	268:2,14,18	22:1 34:1	264:1
137:16,25	269:3,15,24	125:22 132:2	duty 16:20
139:19 147:17	271:3,16,19	140:14,17	93:10 140:10
147:23 148:15	272:16 273:22	143:21 154:1	dx 8:5
148:17,22	274:3,6 275:8	160:1,7,16	dying 15:1
151:20,23	276:8 281:11	165:19 170:24	dysfunction
157:11,25	281:12,13,16	192:4 199:3,22	297:16
158:5,13,15	283:22,25	207:8 267:6	
159:3 164:23	284:4,8,13,17	279:24 290:10	

[e - emissions]

e	252:25	229:22 261:10	elaborated
	easily 22:3	263:25 264:1,3	235:24
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	259:13 292:12	271:6 272:5	elaborating
4:1 5:1,1 6:1,1	east 6:13	effectively	240:6
7:1 8:1 9:1	easy 181:9	170:22 245:14	elected 28:17
10:1,1 23:5	200:10	260:25 261:4	40:6
35:3 38:14,14	eat 279:13	283:16 286:15	election 291:25
40:23 152:13	290:4	effects 13:22	electronically
152:14 207:16	echoed 33:11	37:1,11,13	13:4,5
281:17,17	ecological	39:25 46:2	electronity
291:6 293:18	278:16	149:21 151:14	13:5
296:13 301:20	economic 41:25	154:5,12,16	elects 92:16,22
301:20,20 earlier 18:4	45:16 91:13	209:22 230:18	293:10
67:21 69:14,25	economy 6:10	effectuate	element 19:6
119:2 123:4	6:12 45:15	147:2	elements 99:6
138:1 211:11	46:22 123:19	efficient 22:10	285:23
220:4 222:12	138:12 139:17	effort 36:2	elena 20:15
227:18 228:4	151:14 152:16	199:11	elicited 100:2
228:23 230:22	153:3 157:6,8	efforts 135:13	eliminated
230:24 258:10	197:13 201:12	eight 280:3	58:21 61:8
261:3	economy's	eileen 3:16 7:20	215:15
early 37:13	139:20	293:14,21	eliminating
120:10,12	ecosystem	294:3	59:17 67:11
238:17	29:20	either 44:7	229:22 250:5
ears 29:12	ed 279:3	73:23 74:12	260:24
earth 24:2	edit 74:15	84:16,17	elucidate 191:2
25:11 27:17,20	education	114:12,21	embrace
40:10 141:25	209:20	140:7 145:1	140:18
195:25	effect 63:21,22	180:9 212:19	emergency
ease 229:4,19	210:20 244:17	217:15 254:12	40:7 133:23
easier 75:22	245:25 246:3	276:9 283:14	emerges 141:16
131:15 150:18	246:11 275:3	el 12:19 26:17	emerging 154:7
150:25 162:1	effected 48:18	elaborate	154:9
179:6,10	effective 93:4	206:23	emissions
181:25 182:3	93:15,16		286:12

[emnrd - environment]

emnrd 207:16	encounter	enforceability	261:4 262:6
207:19,25	174:11	133:24	ensured 30:20
emnrd's 207:1	encountered	enforced 34:2	ensures 147:18
emnrd.nm.gov	196:17	enforcement	297:20
4:15	encourage	58:2 77:9	ensuring 37:23
empathetic	17:12 107:20	111:2 129:13	297:4
148:18	108:23 172:10	129:17 134:4	entered 264:7
emphasize	endangering	135:16 208:13	entering 24:20
163:6	300:6	253:5 262:11	24:22,24 194:9
employ 22:13	endangers 24:6	266:16,25	enters 79:20
employed	endeavor 53:9	267:9,14,16	entire 118:8,17
305:11,14	ended 45:10	268:5	258:21 271:23
306:8,11	252:2	enforces 130:5	293:4
employee	endorse 53:21	enforcing 30:5	entirely 83:16
305:13 306:10	70:19	engage 107:5	133:13 212:22
employment	endorsing	111:23	entirety 83:10
169:20	57:20	engaged 29:24	83:23
enact 68:11	endowed	engineering	entities 248:6,7
204:14,15	138:23	285:11,12	248:9,19,21
295:4	ends 287:8	english 46:18	255:5 269:7,11
enacted 55:21	enduring	276:23 299:9	270:3,21,22
260:23	256:11	enhance 297:23	271:10,15
enacting	energy 6:10,12	enhanced	entitled 159:7
297:11	27:11 46:22	108:12 258:7	166:16
enactment	123:19 124:3	enormous	entity 29:20
264:13	138:12 139:17	150:3 199:12	62:13 270:25
encomfort 68:8	139:19 151:14	enson 3:15 7:19	enumerated
encompass	152:16 153:3	290:25 291:3,5	108:19
237:12,13	157:6,8 197:13	291:5,8 293:13	environment
encompassed	201:12	ensuing 261:23	12:25 15:9
241:25 242:1	enforce 22:13	ensure 20:6	24:20,22 36:7
encompasses	36:22 53:20	30:11 85:10	37:24 40:13
67:1 68:9	126:10 128:16	210:5 215:16	42:2 44:1,12
encompassing	129:12 272:23	239:7 242:21	67:3 125:23
49:6 68:12		242:23 250:5	127:11 128:23

[environment - event]

129:25 136:7	156:9,20	espanola 43:24	215:13
136:11 138:18	184:20 190:2	especially 24:9	evaluating
139:1,4 140:3	195:16 203:12	37:7 41:24	107:3 115:11
140:7,12 141:3	212:22 294:20	42:12 172:10	266:12
141:19 142:7	295:8,13 300:1	172:13 182:3	evaluation
143:22 144:3,8	301:6	184:7 291:18	54:15 107:13
144:11 146:17	environment	294:16 300:16	135:15 238:11
148:1,9,25	94:17 148:5	300:20	242:2 247:8,16
151:8,12,18	environments	esquire 4:3,11	259:4 260:6
154:21 155:2,6	25:3	5:3,4,13 6:3,11	261:8 266:21
156:7,18	eog 6:2,4	essential 19:6	268:10 273:8
167:13,15,24	eogresources	297:16	evangelicals
168:2,8,18	6:7	essentially	13:9
169:8,16,20	epa 90:8,12	89:13,16 96:14	evd 9:2
172:14 173:4,9	91:23 92:1	109:16 110:1	evening 299:13
173:11 174:20	153:19,25	114:8 140:4	event 57:12
174:25 175:11	160:6 162:4	176:11 215:7	62:22 73:17
175:12,14,16	163:13 182:5	246:6,18	74:14,21 76:22
175:20,22	190:4,11,16	294:22 295:1	77:2,4 78:16
183:8 192:6,9	197:14,17,19	establish 266:4	78:23 82:1
192:20 194:7	198:1,3,4,16	establishes	85:5 96:1,7,10
199:7,21 200:1	215:14 282:6	76:12	99:17,21,25
200:5 202:8,14	286:2	esteemed	103:6,8 104:23
202:17,19	epa's 91:1,3	296:22	113:19 118:4,7
203:15 210:10	154:15 165:18	estimate 137:4	119:6,21
210:14 221:17	199:2	153:21	131:19 133:15
245:21 246:24	equipment	estimates	133:19 222:24
247:15 249:14	162:8	287:18	230:7,20 236:2
254:11 290:1	equipped 151:5	et 159:7 166:15	236:9 238:5
294:24 297:5	equitable 12:24	196:17 249:1	240:5 242:13
297:13 298:1	equivalent	evaluate 62:3	247:10 252:20
300:15	145:19	88:1 107:6	254:19 256:3
environmental	es 27:10 305:4	260:7 270:10	256:19 259:1
36:9 44:16	escape 55:15	evaluated 55:6	261:24 262:4
150:5 155:9		76:6 79:22	267:10 272:6

[event - exists]

273:11 274:11	examined	276:4	58:5 59:12
275:11 274:11	12:12 16:6	examples 65:23	60:8 63:8,19
events 95:25	18:12 21:5	116:21 156:4	64:3,19,22
96:12,22 97:21	23:10 26:10	174:10 182:22	65:8,9,13,14,22
104:14 230:13	29:7 31:22	194:12 200:7	65:23,24,24
243:19 247:14	35:9 38:20	245:10 261:19	66:7,22 67:24
255:3,4 256:2	41:5 42:25	262:14 281:1	70:13,15 71:19
259:11 272:9	47:20 152:5	exceed 142:14	71:22 72:6,20
everybody 11:3	278:5 281:22	142:15	73:1 75:5
32:22 35:12	288:25 291:11	excellent	81:18 83:1,1
60:2 179:10	293:24 296:19	123:15 179:2	83:18 84:5
302:20	302:5	except 208:10	88:17 89:3
everyday	examiner 47:1	285:17	93:22 113:9
174:11	52:5 53:4	exceptionally	114:10 152:17
evidence	80:23 81:14	148:8	152:18 153:4,5
146:19 148:23	82:10 121:20	exchanges	157:7,8,18,18
154:7,9 157:19	196:7 234:25	63:21	159:12 166:15
163:22,23	251:16	exclude 147:22	171:10,16,22
172:11 249:19	examiner's	excuse 17:13	189:9,20
evidentiary	251:18	52:6 140:19	197:13,13
304:4	example 56:3	203:20 205:23	213:9,17 221:4
evolve 199:15	66:14 67:6	275:11	257:20
exactly 95:4	110:9 128:11	executive	exhibits 9:5,7
105:9 151:22	131:22 165:17	138:12 285:15	113:4 152:16
162:15 179:1	166:3,12 168:2	executives	153:3 157:6,16
181:5 204:21	168:10 170:22	285:15	166:14 227:5
283:16 297:21	174:12 179:19	exempt 37:15	261:19 262:13
examination	179:23 183:4,4	exemption 39:3	exist 44:10
48:1 86:3	187:22 191:1	248:11	142:11 154:5
121:10 123:16	191:23,24	exhaust 286:12	183:10,10
152:9 157:3,12	192:22 193:10	exhaustive	existing 57:8,9
157:23 159:1	193:11,13	69:18	62:25 91:25
176:7 206:13	200:12 202:10	exhibit 9:4,6	148:12
218:7 222:12	202:15 203:9	48:9 53:8,13	exists 165:8
232:19	203:10 205:4	56:14 57:15	

[expand - failed]

expand 97:4	experts 68:5,21	exposure 37:5	extraordinary
expanded	91:9 111:23	37:8,14 149:15	86:20
214:22	118:20,21	150:15 154:11	extrapolate
expansive 51:4	153:20 198:18	154:13 156:4	234:1
102:24	203:13 252:6	156:19,20	extrapolation
expect 121:25	302:22	175:2 177:10	187:6
122:1 131:25	explain 51:20	192:23 194:4	extremely
expectation	91:9 126:3,13	247:13,14	131:21 147:20
304:3	127:25 128:23	279:11	151:16 160:6
expectations	172:7,24	exposures	172:11 174:3
60:1,3	173:25 210:6	145:23	183:7 202:11
expecting	263:11 274:8	expound	250:12 259:21
106:1	explains 147:24	189:12	273:9
expediency	280:15	express 302:12	f
65:18	explanation	expressly 65:1	f 35:2 301:20
expel 289:25	110:2,9	extend 92:24	face 44:15,22
expensive	explicit 130:17	130:19	276:18 300:2
132:4	142:4,5 245:24	extensive	300:12
experience	explicitly	107:10 122:9	facilities
24:17 45:19,22	138:23 143:12	270:7	129:11 131:8
87:14 169:10	144:25 247:23	extensively	131:14 270:8,8
169:14 174:15	exploration	98:22 129:12	facility 182:24
180:18 209:20	86:17 125:19	extent 63:14	facing 29:18
264:10	127:8 128:21	88:14 128:10	299:15
expert 62:18	129:10 143:18	132:25 134:16	fact 41:16
68:22 126:3,7	144:1	177:2,22	135:3 164:12
126:8 127:24	explore 148:17	210:12 237:15	183:9 185:23
139:20 148:14	explosions	241:22	188:1,20,21
155:16 156:20	141:23	extracted	202:16 207:5
200:14 211:12	expose 150:14	282:18,21	212:25 219:12
254:4 289:13	exposed 150:9	extraction 10:8	287:5
302:19	254:18 279:25	293:7	factory 39:7
expertise 165:1	exposing 205:7	extractive	facts 21:12
177:3	exposition	44:17 46:2	failed 146:10
	182:20	295:12	141104 170.10

[fails - find]

		107.00.110.00	0 1070
fails 42:11	families 14:21	125:22 143:20	fertility 145:24
failure 131:20	42:8 46:9	155:17 254:23	149:19
176:10,15	300:24	269:18	fidelity 160:24
177:3,23	family 14:14	feeding 156:4	field 14:15 60:2
failures 255:21	32:13	feel 15:3 43:19	68:18 107:22
fair 162:16	far 61:17 65:16	61:17 66:17	108:10,18
206:20 219:24	65:20 73:6	80:16 81:2,6	124:10 129:22
236:21 261:11	75:20 83:24	136:1 159:22	144:5 153:21
263:5 294:13	98:22 111:1	187:9 199:24	177:7 205:3
fairly 95:1	114:19 115:1	219:17 241:17	244:9
102:24 118:22	119:12 126:9	242:2 245:12	fields 87:14
168:2	129:17 135:15	245:20 246:13	fifteen 198:24
faith 13:2,3,25	215:2 229:9,21	251:5 254:14	fight 24:16
14:1 18:24	233:2 235:25	260:22	28:1 33:4 34:6
19:21 38:24	236:3 238:3	feeling 201:7	132:13 134:7,9
fall 45:7 57:23	246:7,11 250:3	202:4	135:4
92:15 127:20	264:2,18	feels 56:6 74:1	fighting 133:22
212:15 266:19	273:16 282:20	74:3 75:20,22	file 115:24
fallout 272:21	283:5,12	78:25 81:23	254:5
falls 168:9	farmers 300:4	84:12 104:10	filed 264:8
false 27:11	farmington	262:22 269:6	fill 292:25
28:10 32:3	25:15	272:23 273:2	filtering 286:15
familiar 123:22	farther 16:13	273:11	filters 127:18
124:12 126:2	father 27:19	feet 61:10	286:17,20
159:6,11 161:7	297:4	280:4	final 173:18
166:18 172:4	favor 34:4	feldewert's	179:22 210:17
174:20 180:8	fe 1:15 4:6,14	86:10	258:16
193:21 200:6	5:7,16 6:6,14	felicia 1:7 10:3	finally 40:11
200:23 203:25	23:16 25:15	268:21	297:23
207:5 211:18	45:15	fellow 29:14	financially
213:2 219:12	fearing 19:18	36:23	305:15 306:11
224:7 227:11	feast 43:13	felt 136:5	find 34:14
227:15 228:16	federal 30:4	197:20 245:23	80:21 122:12
230:12	37:16 87:2,4	265:9,10,19,24	139:3 179:9
	91:18,24		181:19 182:1

[find - following]

189:23 200:10	123:20 133:10	fluid 55:5,6,11	159:8 171:12
201:2,3 215:5	135:20 138:18	55:14 56:4	171:20 172:21
248:15 250:3	140:23 142:10	58:1 66:15	249:16
252:14 253:17	149:5 152:3	71:3 78:17,22	fluorine 285:18
255:19 271:2	156:6,25 159:5	79:19,20,23	287:6
finding 186:4	159:25 166:24	99:9,15,20,23	fly 303:15
292:11	195:13 198:11	104:20,21	focus 58:13
fine 52:25	208:25 209:12	105:13,14,24	60:5 75:9
196:4	210:23 212:19	106:3,15,23	77:21 101:7
finish 121:4,9	236:19 240:21	107:16 112:11	106:20 155:24
123:12 188:5	256:9 260:14	113:18 115:14	203:1
188:14 198:19	261:14 265:5,8	115:25 118:3,8	focused 35:19
268:24	265:9 274:12	118:10,10,17	59:20 100:17
finished 209:9	274:21 277:2,3	132:14 141:18	118:2,14 221:5
finishing	278:3 281:20	141:22 142:2	221:5,12
199:14 304:3	288:23 291:9	150:20 174:25	focusing 58:12
fire 249:1	293:22 295:1	175:9,13	197:17 266:7
253:21 254:2	296:17 297:9	222:13,15,20	269:17
fires 26:21	301:18 302:3	222:22 223:3	folks 12:21
first 10:14	firsthand 24:17	223:23 256:23	20:11 188:7
12:10 14:19	five 184:8	274:20,21,23	277:1,2
16:4 18:10,21	187:17 277:12	274:25 275:5	follow 91:24
20:17 21:3	282:14	282:20,22,24	132:25 133:1
23:8 26:8 29:5	flare 194:12	283:5,18	177:15 189:7
31:20 34:18	196:14	294:16	194:20 246:20
35:7 38:18	flesh 54:19	fluids 93:15	251:22 270:5
40:7,20,22	flip 126:8	96:13 99:12,19	277:5 297:10
41:3 42:16,23	flooding 27:22	105:16 204:22	followed 91:25
44:1 51:12	flow 223:14,22	221:16 223:15	133:2,6 185:10
72:10 74:19	223:22 226:10	223:16,17,19	followers 13:10
81:24 86:14	226:15	223:19 226:9	following 52:24
89:24 90:2	flowing 37:3	263:10	169:20 211:25
91:10 95:8	223:16	fluorinated	239:15 248:23
97:9,15 106:2	flows 24:2	68:19 89:14,16	276:2
107:18 123:18		89:22 147:17	

[follows - fracking]

follows 12:12	185:4 193:8,9	157:3 170:19	229:6,14,18
16:6 18:12	193:14 200:16	fossil 286:13	247:23 248:1,4
21:5 23:10	201:3 202:8,11	291:19,20	248:6,13 249:6
26:10 29:7	202:20 203:7	292:5,13	255:9 261:16
31:22 35:9	203:14 279:16	found 57:25	261:19 262:1
38:20 41:5	287:10 289:24	134:9,13	263:25 264:10
42:25 47:20	forget 28:19	135:11 160:6	264:13,16
128:14 152:5	91:11	162:2 164:25	270:14,17,18
207:19 278:5	forgot 255:9	170:2 184:9,10	270:24 272:18
281:22 288:25	form 48:16	184:25,25	273:4,13,17,19
291:11 293:24	54:7 112:22	185:14,24	280:13,14
296:19 302:5	140:8	200:24 214:1	fracfocusd
font 64:12	formaldehyde	235:18 236:2	114:14
food 29:25,25	274:15	236:11 252:21	frack 54:11
36:6 41:23	format 36:5	252:23 253:4	58:13 59:20
45:19 68:15,17	248:15	253:10 264:3	60:4 174:25
118:24 279:13	formation	266:13 267:12	175:9,13 221:5
286:8 290:3	97:17 105:16	279:20,23	221:5,12,16
292:9,12	223:6,17,20	foundation	223:11,13,15
force 25:14	282:22 283:3,6	177:16	223:17,19,23
245:1 252:16	formats 59:24	founded 237:14	254:17 256:16
297:3	forms 41:15	founder 29:21	fracked 224:2,4
forced 190:25	71:24 221:6,8	294:5	fracking 23:21
286:11	295:8	four 24:6 84:9	25:2 27:20
foregoing	formulas	146:25 165:20	36:11 37:2
305:3,4 306:4	280:17	213:5	41:17 132:14
foreign 302:8	formulation	fourth 78:13	141:22,25
303:19	155:1 173:19	79:7 80:11	142:1 150:17
foremost	formulations	213:11	226:22 256:20
291:19 297:9	174:9	fpeg's 247:2	280:8 281:2
forever 13:23	forth 39:3,25	fracfocus 113:5	282:8,10,19,19
16:19 17:23	269:8	113:10 114:5	282:20,22,23
30:1 40:2	forty 233:13,14	204:1,13	283:5,18
44:19 168:5	forward 20:8	221:18 224:21	292:19 297:12
169:19 174:2	70:7 120:25	225:5,7 229:3	300:3

[fracture - gas]

fracture 93:5	231:12 234:18	228:3 249:16	futures 22:6,11
95:11 291:17	freshwaters	273:2	22:15 34:7
fracturing	12:25	function 57:22	293:2
13:19 51:24	friendly 94:18	75:16,18	g
58:10 72:14,16	friends 14:23	fundamental	g 5:4 10:1 35:1
73:22 74:16,22	front 101:15	150:4	293:18
93:15 96:7	180:14 183:22	funded 282:6	gain 293:3
97:16 103:12	frontline 40:6	funkhouser 3:3	gaining 200:14
103:16 208:5	frustrated	7:11 34:22,22	gains 298:2
231:5 239:22	100:10	34:23 35:1,2,6	galencia 27:3
280:18 289:11	fuel 286:11	35:13 38:2	galisteo 4:5
289:20 290:21	291:19,20	further 23:20	gallons 139:24
fragments	292:5,13	55:11 85:20	233:8,9 234:3
180:12	fuels 286:13	109:15,23	gardens 300:11
frame 265:13	full 84:1 138:16	122:20 140:11	gas 13:18 19:20
frances 22:15	151:2 156:21	145:7,11,16	20:7 22:4,8,9
francis 1:14	161:16 171:6	150:1 157:3	22:22 23:19,21
4:13 13:11	243:3 247:8,16	158:9 167:19	24:5 25:1
franciscan	249:4 251:12	175:24 191:7	36:15,22 37:14
12:17	255:5 256:22	196:3 217:25	37:20 39:2
francisco 35:21	257:6 259:25	226:22 234:20	40:10 62:20
frank 35:2	260:6,20 262:6	235:25 240:12	68:15 86:18,25
free 37:24	262:10 263:2,3	242:1 297:25	94:17 98:17
111:11 130:11	266:22 267:10	305:13 306:9	104:4 107:22
141:16	272:3,4,13,16	future 15:2	115:7 124:5,10
freedom 25:18	272:24 273:3,5	17:24 19:17	124:15 125:10
fresh 96:8	273:15 274:7	45:2 68:20,24	125:20 127:10
97:20 98:20,25	274:11,13	69:2,9 89:20	128:13,22
107:25 108:15	281:1 294:7,12	89:20 232:5	129:23,24
108:16 121:16	294:14 295:15	235:16,17	132:1 133:21
125:24 132:16	297:17 303:9	252:14,17	139:22 141:1
231:17 239:25	fully 68:19	253:3 297:5	141:24 142:9
240:7	160:23 171:12	298:3 300:13	142:12,20
freshwater	171:20 172:20	300:13 302:20	143:19 144:2,6
143:22 201:20	199:1,1 214:19		144:6 145:6,10
			177.0 173.0,10

[gas - going]

145:16 146:7	139:14 207:12	give 30:16	112:3 113:1
146:17 148:2	207:19 208:2	60:24 138:4,4	115:16 122:3
149:9 156:15	210:9 224:8	152:25 153:12	129:1,6 133:8
159:9 160:2,15	232:24 234:8	174:9 189:15	134:25 135:16
160:18 168:21	265:17 271:2	193:25 202:7	136:7 137:1
168:24 174:24	generation	208:22 249:17	147:2,8 152:8
175:8 191:20	31:4 33:22	259:7 261:20	161:10,11
192:3,15 194:4	141:6,11,13	262:9 275:22	162:2 176:4
194:10 200:7	142:16 240:23	280:25 298:2	177:17 178:4
200:13 204:9	generational	given 24:10	181:18 184:21
208:10 214:14	28:9 296:4	30:14,16 70:1	192:10,20,20
214:16 216:11	generations	112:21 140:1	195:2 196:4
216:13 217:4	17:4 19:10,18	140:11 149:24	201:17 203:13
232:25 242:22	37:7 45:2	154:19 181:23	204:23 206:3
246:22 249:12	297:6 300:13	184:18 190:10	206:11 217:22
253:8 264:10	gentleman 14:5	gives 13:18	219:18 225:5
271:13 279:16	298:15	19:3,23 102:18	226:18 232:16
280:10 282:13	geographic	110:1 124:8	235:21 238:1,8
286:4,6,11	191:25	125:4 129:4	241:13 243:12
287:25 294:10	geologic 282:22	143:10 255:24	244:6 259:18
294:14 297:11	gerasimos 2:3	256:1	261:13 262:22
297:18	gestation	giving 33:7	263:1 269:2
gases 10:7	166:11	248:5 271:22	271:1,21 276:9
gathered 13:3	gestational	294:13	276:10 277:6
17:1	166:4	glad 39:16	281:10 284:22
gathering 39:2	getting 51:2	gland 149:20	285:7,9
general 13:7	79:16,16 223:9	go 10:14 12:6	goal 210:4,7
91:21 93:19	247:15 257:6	20:13 32:16	goals 265:15,25
112:22 124:5	259:1 270:11	34:18 43:12	god 13:10
128:12 164:15	gift 19:1 30:14	46:24 52:6	goes 11:7 27:23
193:13 210:22	30:15,16 298:2	60:19,23 75:11	71:9 142:8
254:7	giraffe 35:1	75:23 76:24	160:8 282:11
generally 87:14	giuliana 3:3	80:20 85:3,7	282:22
94:14 123:24	7:11 34:17,17	86:13 88:17	going 10:14
123:25 129:18	34:21 35:6,13	101:19 109:21	11:4 14:1

[going - groundwater]

17:13 20:8	229:10 230:5	google 224:18	283:5
32:10,16 33:21	235:9 238:5,7	gormley 45:3	greet 46:21
33:22 34:2,4	238:8 242:6	gosh 27:6	greg 2:5 191:15
34:16 39:13,16	243:14 250:19	gotten 212:6	grisham 207:6
43:15 46:20	251:10,18	governing	207:14 208:3
47:23 49:25	252:2 254:13	123:21 182:16	289:16
51:4 53:12	254:14 255:4	government	grit 245:17,19
56:13 57:14	257:18 258:17	30:4 39:1	gross 46:3
60:12 65:4,7	260:25 261:10	271:12	ground 28:3
65:16 66:6	262:2 268:23	governor 207:6	33:18 136:16
70:7,12,14	270:16,21	207:6,13,23	140:16 156:15
71:6 74:9 75:3	271:1,20 272:8	208:3 289:18	grounds 64:24
88:16,17 89:2	272:21 284:20	293:5	212:19
92:10 94:22	299:6,8 301:3	grandchildren	groundwater
95:1,6 96:4	303:2	293:3 300:9,9	19:19 24:8,11
97:9 99:8	good 10:2	grande 16:13	32:20 37:9
100:24 104:8	15:22 18:19	grandmother	55:15,17,18,21
104:25 107:14	19:11 20:4	32:14	55:22 56:7,19
107:17,18	21:14 32:1	granted 130:3	56:22 57:1
109:11,19	38:23 43:3	146:24	61:13 62:24
112:25 113:1,2	48:3 68:16	grassroots	70:10 77:4
113:3 115:16	80:21 82:11	43:21 294:5	78:15,18 82:5
117:21 120:25	86:1,5,6 95:1,2	grateful 94:23	82:6 95:12,22
122:2,11	112:24 119:24	302:25	98:7,11,21
127:17 132:17	122:12,16	gratitude	99:1 103:19,22
132:18 136:18	123:13,18,20	302:12	140:1,5,14
164:22 166:13	157:25 167:5	great 32:14	194:5 208:6
169:23 175:3	179:14 191:13	33:23 120:13	223:4 226:1,4
176:19 189:4	206:15 240:17	184:21 185:6	231:17 236:3,4
189:14 202:10	240:19 244:19	209:6 239:12	239:23,25
204:3 208:16	250:24 274:1	280:10 282:25	274:22 279:18
209:19 211:22	296:22 299:9	283:23 300:9	279:20 280:1
212:17 219:9	301:2	300:12	282:2,4,5
221:21 225:21	goodbye	greater 140:21	289:21 297:14
227:7 229:10	287:15	154:12 282:20	

[groundwaters - harm]

groundwaters	217:24 219:7	hamper 176:11	211:4
292:7,21	228:7,25	hampered	happen 49:23
group 29:23	229:11 231:4	160:25	54:21 83:24
89:18,19	241:19 242:17	hand 213:15	90:16 134:3
249:17 278:24	243:3 244:4,8	277:6 301:15	195:9 203:9
grow 68:7	244:11 247:22	handed 138:1	236:4,12
290:10	249:15 253:1	handle 184:19	266:21
growing 286:8	253:22 258:13	248:2,3,13	happened
300:23	262:16 294:8	handled 127:16	33:11 78:24
grows 68:8	295:3 297:8	142:24	169:24 194:3
290:3	gubernatorial	handling	266:22
guadalupe 5:6	289:17	125:17 126:23	happening
5:15	guess 106:6	143:16	256:4 296:4
guar 68:16	115:5 117:25	hands 44:17	happens 25:11
guardians 5:12	119:3 192:16	302:21	56:24 68:16
5:14 49:5 51:6	201:6 202:4	hansen 3:9	73:17 231:18
52:15 56:16	223:9 230:5	8:12 121:11	256:3 275:3
60:18 63:10	249:22 284:19	137:8,14,16	295:12
64:4 65:21	guide 260:3	139:19 147:17	happy 39:8
71:23 76:3	265:21	147:23 148:17	60:14 86:10
82:3 95:20	guided 278:14	151:20,23	165:6 244:5
99:10 105:20	guideline	152:2,13 157:6	hard 43:18
130:21 138:15	165:19	157:8,11,25	44:24 101:14
138:16 141:4	gum 68:16,16	158:5,13,15	129:1 137:25
142:13,17	guys 110:10	159:3 164:23	174:3 179:13
144:11,22	h	165:12 177:11	185:16 186:19
145:4,8,15	h 9:1 23:5 35:3	178:11,16,19	192:22 239:15
146:3,20,20	152:14 277:22	191:13 193:20	250:12 260:8
147:1,11,14	281:17 293:18	195:6 197:5,10	298:20
149:7 159:4,12	293:18 301:20	203:17,21	harder 150:21
166:14 171:9	half 168:5,5	205:23 206:1	214:16,23,25
171:15 193:22	254:10	225:22	harm 139:4
204:7 214:13	hall 1:12	hansen's	151:19 279:7
214:22 216:16	halliburton	137:25 148:15	294:24 295:2,8
217:14,16,19	39:3	148:22 196:10	296:1,4 297:15

[harmful - hearing]

harmful 54:16	25:5 29:25	298:3,5 300:15	29:2,8 31:10
55:7 56:1,3,7	30:11,20,24	300:16,16	31:13,16,23
101:4,9,23	31:8 36:8,8	301:6,6	32:5 34:8,19
102:3,7,10,12	37:6,13 39:25	healthy 25:2,19	34:23 35:4,10
105:4,22	40:10,13 87:19	37:23 292:2	38:1,8,11,15,21
119:13 144:10	125:23 127:10	297:5 302:25	40:14,24 41:6
164:2,7 173:11	128:22 129:25	303:12	42:14,20 43:1
182:11 221:17	131:23,25	hear 12:3 27:2	46:4,10 47:1,2
238:14 245:21	135:20 136:6	88:6 111:23	47:8,11,21
259:9	136:10 138:18	118:21 123:7	52:5,11,16,23
harming 290:8	138:25 139:4	157:25 184:23	53:3,10 64:20
harped 178:19	139:16 141:3	237:24 284:2,4	64:25 69:14
hart 5:5	141:19 142:7	284:10,11,16	80:19,22 81:13
haunt 295:22	143:22 144:2,7	285:6 288:15	81:16 82:10,13
hazardous	144:10 145:22	289:15 298:21	82:18 85:21,24
37:15 211:14	146:16 148:24	298:25 302:17	120:5,9,16,20
211:19 212:2,4	149:5,13 150:7	heard 14:3,22	121:12,14,20
212:11,13,21	150:11 151:2,7	21:9 91:16	122:6,14,23
212:25 213:3	151:10,13	104:1 106:24	123:3,13,25
283:13 297:19	154:3,6,12,15	107:1,2 109:1	128:4 132:22
hazards 36:9	155:12 157:1	118:20 227:17	133:8,16
hazcom 254:3	164:2 165:18	227:21 246:25	134:17,24
hazwoper	166:4 169:7,15	247:21 250:16	137:3,7,13,15
213:1	172:15,18	253:19 276:1	137:21,24
head 158:18	173:4,11	hearing 10:2,3	138:6,8 141:3
headed 137:18	174:14 176:21	10:5,10,24	147:8 151:14
header 64:11	177:10 200:4	11:6,9,11,21,25	151:21 152:6
66:8	207:2,14,20,24	12:4,13,15,19	157:4,13,20
headwaters	210:10,13	15:4,14,21,23	158:10,16,21
16:12	220:6 221:17	16:1,7 18:2,7	158:24 164:20
health 12:25	246:23 249:13	18:13,16 20:2	164:21 165:4,9
13:22 15:9	279:1 290:5,7	20:4,9,19 21:6	175:5 176:1,23
19:2,10 21:15	290:11,11	22:23 23:3,11	177:12,17
21:17 22:6,11	292:25 294:18	25:21 26:2,5	178:3,9,15
22:14 24:13	295:13,16	26:11 28:13,22	180:23 188:7

[hearing - hormones]

191:10 194:23	293:12,19,25	hermits 26:20	hit 119:19
194:24 195:5	296:7,11,14,20	herring 144:20	162:25
194.24 195.3	298:7,20,24	hey 135:5	hold 11:23 27:4
196:6,8,19	299:3 301:8,21	hi 15:19 35:12	80:19 132:11
190.0,8,19	302:6 303:20		
		288:14 291:3	230:2 251:3
203:19,23	hearings 43:11	hidden 297:19	252:22
204:4,6 205:21	heart 41:12	high 13:21	holder 218:21
206:3,5,8	43:23,23 94:22	24:14 37:8	218:24 219:3
208:18,22	302:21,23	75:10 76:12	holding 32:5
209:17,25	hearts 29:12	149:12,15	150:17 227:24
211:9,21 212:1	45:6 302:17	160:7 179:23	229:16,21
212:7 213:4	heating 287:3	180:4,9 191:1	302:20
218:1 219:16	heavily 264:22	197:25 228:17	hole 85:2,4,7
220:2,17,23	held 19:5	highlight 14:4	175:9
227:21 232:13	295:13	95:7 99:8	holland 5:5
234:21,25	hello 18:6,7	147:6	hollandhart.c
235:2,6,11	23:2,3 159:3	highlighted	5:8,9
240:15 242:8	191:14 291:4	99:22 113:22	holloman 25:14
247:25 257:10	294:2	114:9	home 13:16
264:24 268:16	help 15:11 36:6	highlighting	14:16 41:11
268:19,21	101:18 105:22	90:1 110:15	42:8
273:24 274:5	107:6,12	highly 148:9	homes 297:22
275:9,16,24	116:22 137:17	156:10 279:17	honest 17:15
276:11,13,14	162:9 178:5	292:9 300:6	41:21
276:16,17	217:3 237:22	hindered	honor 30:16
277:19,21,24	237:25 267:3	160:16	123:1
278:6 280:14	helpful 94:24	hispanic	hope 11:7
281:5,14,23	94:25 95:17	278:20	16:19 46:7
283:21 284:2,6	112:23 114:13	historic 86:24	281:2 286:21
284:9,15,18,21	121:17 253:23	historical 295:6	291:22 302:19
285:1,5,9	253:23	historically	hoping 288:14
288:6,9,12,16	helps 39:13	78:6 169:24	horizontal
288:20 289:1,3	herbicide 35:24	history 22:4	58:11
289:14 290:23	hereto 305:15	27:24 28:2	hormones
291:4,12,15,16	306:11	295:9 296:3	146:2

[host - impacts]

host 283:7	hunting 45:20	identified 85:7	immemorial
houses 254:10	hurt 106:5	89:21 105:3	43:15 44:13
how's 284:13	husband 14:14	112:7 113:21	immune 37:11
hplc 180:9	hydrated 290:6	114:5 150:23	146:2 149:21
huang 306:2,15	hydraulic	153:22 187:17	166:11 297:15
hug 14:18	13:18 39:7	268:9 274:18	immunocom
huge 37:22	58:10 72:13,16	identifier	165:25
45:15 130:24	73:22 74:16,22	224:11	impact 55:18
184:20 199:4	93:5,15 208:5	identify 54:16	55:22 56:19,22
huh 257:16	280:18 289:11	66:22 102:19	61:13 62:23
267:24	289:20 290:21	131:15 150:18	76:3,7,8 77:4
human 13:22	hydrocarbon	162:17 179:6	79:12,13,15,17
19:9,10 41:24	93:10 155:5	179:13 238:7	79:20 82:4,6
44:2 136:6	168:5,8 285:17	238:10,14,16	95:12,25 96:8
139:4 148:24	hydrocarbons	238:17 251:13	96:9 97:17,22
151:1,10 154:3	283:8	251:17 259:8	98:7 103:19
154:5 156:20	hydrogen	268:7 274:14	104:3 105:6
164:2 168:13	285:18	275:2	107:4 131:4
169:15 172:15	hydrologic	identifying	133:19 136:16
173:4,11	297:1	56:8 236:9	160:11,15
174:13 176:16	hydrology	identities	231:6 239:23
176:21 177:5,6	282:2	179:20 244:15	266:18,22
177:9,9,25	hygienist 87:10	identity 162:11	impacted 13:25
209:7,13,19,23	hypothetical	170:4,5,9	15:12 26:22
210:10,13	175:4	219:4	45:24 61:11,12
286:2 290:2	hysteria 254:15	ideology 42:5	61:15,16,17,19
humanity 19:7	i	idiom 21:25,25	62:5 99:19
humans 30:14	idea 16:14	illness 21:21	103:23 136:18
32:23 148:1	106:23 137:18	illnesses 287:22	273:10
167:24 173:9	150:5	imagine 17:17	impacts 19:18
200:5 303:5	ideal 121:21	29:24 166:6	24:13 42:1
hundreds 24:7	identification	184:18	70:10 88:2
27:8 295:21	69:2 152:19	immediately	115:1,11
hunters 35:21	153:6	99:16 104:22	145:23 266:5
	155.0	170:8 222:23	266:12

[impair - industries]

impair 176:16	119:10 144:15	253:20 254:25	incorporating
177:4,24	147:7 151:16	292:10	74:5
imparities	172:13 183:8	included 50:13	incorrect 90:1
148:4	202:16 204:24	66:3 69:17	increase 15:10
impart 164:17	210:17 274:21	70:7 78:17	40:5 284:6
impelled 40:9	292:1 300:19	81:11 84:18	298:21
imperative	importantly	110:23 115:2,3	increases 151:1
19:22 24:19	282:12	190:3 215:11	increasing
37:19	impossible	215:14 239:1	284:11
implantation	284:10	248:25 269:11	incredibly
210:20	impression	297:2	212:23
implement	275:25 276:5	includes 24:7	incumbent
147:4 210:25	improper 145:3	36:18 142:1	90:25 98:2
236:24 258:23	improvement	153:21 154:24	251:2
259:13 260:8	195:17 212:22	including 19:23	indefinitely
implementati	impurities	40:1 49:20	72:4 297:13
238:4 265:25	154:25 155:3	78:15 108:11	index 154:15
implemented	154.25 133.3	125:21 132:8	165:18
51:8 95:4	173:19 174:13	141:20 143:5	indicate 139:22
implementing	173.19 174.13	143:19 145:25	148:11 186:6
19:12 263:3	inability	153:18 173:19	indicated
implications	160:17	197:14 290:2	184:11
27:21 166:4	inappropriate	inclusion 48:14	indicates 185:9
227:24	220:16	49:13 148:19	indigenous
implicitly	incident 263:4	155:20 269:7	27:7 43:7,9,24
144:25	incinerate	inclusive 54:25	45:18
implies 201:8	287:2	59:24 148:12	individual
importance	inclination	inconvenience	186:22 192:23
138:14 160:9	64:21	36:12	273:6,8 285:22
161:2	include 49:19	incorporate	285:22
important 20:1	77:10 95:17	58:23,25 250:1	industrial
21:12 22:10,14	114:11,24	250:21 252:6	35:17 36:2
30:25 33:6,13	118:8 142:7	incorporated	279:22
95:3 111:25	190:9 193:3	59:19 250:2	industries 31:9
112:4,4 117:23	198:3,21	37.17 230.2	39:2 45:25
	170.3,21		37.2 13.23

[industries - instructor]

46:2 190:23	infant 156:3	270:14,24	234:1,3,6,14
280:10 295:12	infants 165:25	271:10 272:9	282:19,23
industry 13:18	166:5,12	272:19,22	283:18
24:5 25:1	information	273:14,16	injecting
29:19 30:23	33:8,9,18 36:4	information's	279:16
37:15,20 40:10	53:18,19,24	264:6	injection 97:18
44:18 66:17	54:14 59:25	informed	125:21 143:20
68:15 71:1	60:11 67:5,13	150:13	230:14 234:14
94:15 129:22	67:15 71:10,12	ingested 290:1	injects 174:24
139:22 144:5	73:15 76:9,19	ingredient 36:5	injuring 143:14
145:10,17	76:25 77:7,17	ingredients	injury 124:25
146:7,17 148:2	78:4,11 79:17	149:10 204:11	125:6 139:2
148:8 149:9	80:2 93:1 94:1	204:19 205:7	142:6
155:24 156:15	119:15,15	inhabits 41:13	injustice 295:8
156:23 160:18	148:20 149:4	inherent	innovate 94:20
160:22 161:3	150:7 156:24	132:15	innovation
163:12 168:3	161:1 170:14	inherit 17:23	94:15
173:16 182:5	178:18 182:17	inhibiter 255:1	inoculate
200:8,13 203:8	183:21 185:19	initial 57:2	286:24
204:10 214:14	186:22 196:3	76:15 77:21	insert 214:4,7
214:17 217:4	197:21 199:15	79:15 97:23,25	inside 254:18
242:22,23	200:10 204:12	132:12 135:4	inspection
246:22 249:13	205:1,2 216:1	135:18 136:5,6	227:25
264:10 279:16	216:4,6,8,9	136:20,22,23	inspired 278:19
280:12 282:13	217:3,6 225:8	236:1,8 253:25	instance 97:23
286:4,7 287:25	225:9,11,13,17	263:14 266:14	208:10 244:15
297:18	227:24 228:21	266:21	instances 62:11
industry's	229:9,14,17,23	initially 89:15	139:23
23:22,24 25:4	231:21,22,23	273:20	instruct 132:23
194:4	238:6 243:20	initiate 62:1	instructed
inequitably	243:23 245:15	76:10	148:25
288:4	248:12 255:11	initiative 207:1	instruction
inert 102:11	255:15,19	injected 36:16	219:17
182:13,22	260:3,18	144:20 175:13	instructor
	261:20 262:2	233:22,22	294:4

[instrument - iskat]

instrument	intending	interests 45:7	investigations
181:10	115:12	interfaith	35:15 63:24
insufficient	intense 22:18	12:18 13:24	84:10,13,17
155:19 199:9	intensive 132:4	18:23	133:21 190:2
integrity 19:2	intent 69:1	internet 289:7	236:12
51:9 53:24	79:8,9 95:14	interpretation	investigator
54:23 55:3,19	103:3 105:23	46:18 299:10	299:25
57:12 64:1	106:8,14 116:5	interpreter	investigatory
73:17 74:21	116:19 223:18	11:15 46:17	257:3
76:22 77:4	249:25 250:1	276:23,23	invitation
78:16 82:1	250:13,24	298:13	202:7
84:11,15,20,23	intentional	interrupt 121:2	invite 134:7,9
85:4,10 96:1	67:10 145:9	161:11	135:4 235:9
96:10,12,22	intentionally	interval 97:18	268:23
99:17,20,25	67:2 70:18,19	intimately	invited 36:24
103:8 104:23	70:23 72:11	256:8	involuntarily
113:18 118:4,7	109:13,18,24	introduced	150:15
119:6,21	111:22 112:1,6	21:22	involve 286:15
133:12,17,19	117:22	introducing	involved 36:13
222:23 230:7,8	intents 89:24	203:14	141:20 264:23
230:23 231:2	interact 13:12	introduction	ipra 229:25
231:15,19	182:13	279:11 282:1	230:1 273:6
236:2,8 238:5	interconnected	investigated	irrelevant
240:5 242:13	25:9	56:23	145:20 146:12
247:10,14,17	interest 30:23	investigation	146:13 212:20
252:20 254:19	31:8,9 238:20	55:10,11 56:19	irreplaceable
256:3,19,20	interested	68:11 76:6,11	292:6
259:1,11	111:20,21,22	78:9,19,21	irresponsible
261:24 262:4	270:16 305:15	79:2,3,24 80:5	41:17
267:2,10 272:6	306:12	84:22,25 85:12	irrigating
272:8 273:11	interesting	101:8 103:4,7	300:10
274:11 278:16	44:14 179:19	104:2,15	irrigation
279:6	interestingly	107:11 159:7	280:5 299:17
intended 95:14	194:18	236:4 301:4	iskat 2:22 7:8
122:20			25:24,25,25

[iskat - know]

26.4714	iona 166.15		Irind 20.24
26:4,7,14	jiang 166:15	k	kind 30:24
28:13,23	183:15,19	k 4:11 26:4	43:19 51:9
issue 117:25	191:18,24	35:3 40:23	56:6 59:20
195:14 241:6	jiang's 200:19	152:13 277:23	60:23 86:13
242:5 247:17	201:18	288:19 296:13	91:21 101:1
248:12 249:9	joan 2:17 7:3	296:13	106:25 112:2
256:5 267:2	11:18 12:9,17	keep 17:13 33:6	116:21 127:18
issued 13:9	38:7	43:18 59:14	133:14 179:4
issues 32:12,13	job 1:21 30:17	65:4 66:19	185:21 186:19
37:6 92:12	30:19 86:10	71:24 83:15	187:7 190:7
138:13 195:19	95:1 128:24	84:1 122:11	195:2 196:23
230:16 242:9	256:16	235:18 285:6	236:19 239:7
255:18,24	join 33:3,20	keeping 60:25	240:8 259:23
265:9 282:4	34:6 139:11	91:6 229:9	260:1 264:17
it'd 99:19	208:16 276:25	252:2	268:13 286:16
it'll 83:14 98:5	299:4 302:15	keeps 72:3	kindly 36:24
item 171:20	joining 46:22	290:4	kinds 81:5
items 86:24	jordan 6:3,7	kelsey 22:15	135:13 259:8
87:3 113:21	journals	kennedy	290:6 300:17
j	287:17	149:23	king 30:25
james 1:20	juan 256:8	kept 58:20 59:5	knew 295:15
149:23 305:2	jump 239:16	73:12 270:12	knopp 3:10
305:18	junk 28:11	kerns 3:7 7:13	7:15 277:3,17
january 276:4	jurisdiction	40:22,23 41:2	277:19,20,22
jeanette 2:22	124:2 195:15	41:9 42:15	278:2,11 281:6
7:8 25:23 26:7	212:21	kessler 6:3,7	know 12:2
26:14	jurisdictional	123:4,7 158:17	13:16 15:2
jemez 43:5,8	133:20	158:18	16:20 17:9,13
jesse 4:11	jurisdictionally	key 75:24	17:16 20:17
•	265:10	256:18	24:23 27:8,9
101:17 243:1	justice 4:4		27:18 28:19
jesse's 241:16	19:24 278:15	kidney 24:13 37:12 146:1	30:22 33:9,13
jessek.tremaine	294:20 300:1		33:13,14 39:15
4:15	justify 94:11	149:17	41:8,15 42:3
jewelry 45:19		killing 287:22	43:10,12 44:9
		290:8	,

[know - language]

44:10 45:25	206:9 207:1,9	200:14 224:9	labs 282:3
61:19 62:2,17	213:19 214:10	227:20 234:9	lack 160:25
67:9 75:8	216:18 219:11	245:18 254:7	lacking 148:20
78:22 79:17,22	219:11 220:25	278:20 305:10	ladened 286:12
79:23 83:12	223:12,17	306:6	286:17
88:12,14,19	224:1,8 231:8	knowledgeable	laguna 14:23
91:17 97:3	231:10 232:4	212:23	laid 160:5
100:4 102:8	233:16,20,21	known 24:19	lakes 37:2
110:10,14	233:24,24	26:17 79:12	land 17:2,3,3
112:2 116:22	237:18 238:25	155:10 168:3	19:23 25:5
119:5,23	239:10 241:11	168:11 215:3,6	27:4,17 30:14
120:24 121:2,7	243:6 246:21	216:17 252:9	30:19 33:17
127:20 128:8	247:3,4,5,13	285:20 287:6	34:5 41:12,20
129:5 132:3,5	249:22 253:6	289:24	43:6 44:18,20
150:4,6,25	255:6 256:3,8	knows 39:19	44:20 61:1
159:5 160:17	256:8,10	79:10 161:23	191:16 249:2
162:3,7,11,16	261:16 264:24	255:13 256:15	256:9 269:18
162:22 172:15	264:25 267:5	kristen 3:9 8:12	278:21 279:7
173:7 174:23	267:19 269:8	152:2,13 157:6	292:3 302:14
177:2 178:19	272:6,20	157:8	302:14,15,15
179:7,8,10,17	273:24 279:5	l	landfills 37:18
179:22 180:8	282:7,9,11,12	l 6:3 31:17 35:2	286:20
180:15 181:1	283:15,17,18	288:19 301:20	landowners
181:16 182:1	285:6 289:23	la 279:20	139:1
185:2 186:11	290:13,15,17	lab 91:5,5	lands 36:14
186:13 188:14	294:11 296:5	105:5	43:16 61:24
189:25 190:10	297:21 303:3	labeled 166:14	254:23,23,23
192:1,21	knowing	labels 36:5	landscape
194:11 195:6	129:11	laboratories	295:22
196:3 197:15	knowledge	81:6,9	language 49:10
197:16 198:10	41:22 86:15	laboratory	50:23 51:18
199:20 201:14	88:8 152:22	80:12,14,25	56:17 74:16
202:19,21,22	153:9 165:3	81:7,8 132:3	75:19 76:11,11
202:23 203:3	177:23 181:19	213:12,24	80:13,17 81:1
204:21 205:18	197:16 199:17	214:5,6 238:23	81:12 95:7,9
	I .		

[language - limitation]

06.2.00.9.22	10 22.24	124.10	200.14
96:2 99:8,22	laws 33:24	leaves 174:12	298:14
101:22 105:11	123:22 146:4	189:11	lengths 184:22
105:19,19,23	147:13 227:20	leaving 22:5	letter 13:2
110:15 111:22	280:19	167:25 168:9	level 44:4 75:10
115:20 117:25	lawyer 125:8	led 43:24 244:1	76:7,9 155:5
128:14 144:18	125:11 128:10	leech 30:21	180:25 202:5
214:3 225:16	213:5 233:18	left 47:24	228:17 245:23
241:19 242:12	262:20	120:14 218:6	246:14
276:23 298:13	lea 2:15	legacy 17:23	levels 148:4
302:9 303:19	leaching 19:19	legal 111:4	154:1 160:7
laptop 11:2	lead 24:12	128:3,7 140:10	184:10 202:6,9
large 58:16	138:11 145:23	144:16 145:12	licensed 16:12
60:16 64:12	156:19 297:10	147:5 211:18	lied 253:18
245:25 250:21	leader 13:2,3	219:18,22	life 16:22 19:3
280:3 282:25	18:24	227:8 231:25	19:3,24 24:4
largely 295:25	leaders 14:1	280:22 286:19	27:10 32:17
larger 215:17	28:1 29:14	legally 145:3	36:25 41:12,15
250:18 300:24	leadership	legislation	42:4 44:23
largest 173:3	45:11	155:17 227:19	174:11 279:10
lasting 296:1	leads 33:18,19	246:2,9 301:2	290:3 292:2
lastly 194:8	leaks 35:20	301:3	295:20
204:7	leaning 275:20	legislative	life's 21:16
lauro 3:19 7:22	leap 37:22	136:3 145:18	lifetime 32:11
298:17,23	learn 141:17	146:11 204:16	32:11
299:2,11	201:6 280:24	205:15,18	light 12:18
law 2:9,11	286:2	246:14 272:15	13:24 18:24
37:16 124:6	learned 286:4	legislature	likely 140:4
125:4 145:15	learning	136:8 138:22	181:17 236:11
146:13 169:2	164:11	143:10 146:24	286:15
171:18 219:12	leases 124:25	148:25 212:14	limit 68:18,23
227:11,16	125:7 143:15	246:16	96:11 99:14
244:21 278:18	leave 53:1,2	legitimate	109:12,15,23
lawmakers	121:18 154:22	144:15	110:11 224:3
292:23	168:7 241:5	length 68:1,5,6	limitation
	288:2	68:21 71:11	73:23 116:15

[limitations - look]

limitations	links 221:18	194:9 200:15	140:7 253:21
261:15,17	liquid 180:6	200:25	290:14
limited 10:21	list 2:2 3:2	literature's	llp 5:5
72:13 99:24	69:13,19 76:21	216:20	local 37:9
141:12 147:20	107:10 113:21	litigate 67:4	locals 36:4
154:2,17,21	154:1 171:11	litigation	locations
171:1 259:11	190:5,5,18	169:22	247:11,12
270:12	199:14 229:4	little 16:13	logic 155:21
limiting 250:6	229:16 248:5	21:12 52:9	logo 256:10
limits 163:14	248:16 249:5	54:19 65:3	long 53:2
184:22 198:6	251:9 253:22	66:14 88:21	111:14,16
lincoln 6:5	269:16 270:6	92:11 116:20	151:11 197:19
line 36:1 60:23	listed 55:25	126:9 161:6	214:8 220:8
60:23 80:11	102:20 122:18	162:25 173:25	223:17 240:20
100:25 137:1	153:25 190:3,8	182:20 235:21	268:12 287:3
189:20 196:11	255:22 264:19	235:25 239:14	292:2 296:1
206:17 210:4	listen 46:7	247:21 271:25	298:5
210:17 212:8	303:17	275:23 298:25	longer 33:18
212:18 213:11	listened 302:21	live 17:2 25:17	86:25 219:6
217:10 218:6	302:22	25:18 26:14	look 54:11,15
228:12 257:21	listening 16:10	27:2 31:3,4	54:16 56:2
258:2 260:13	17:18,25 23:15	41:10 42:3,5	68:12 79:17,23
261:3 262:13	31:7 32:6	42:12 289:21	96:20 97:9
263:8 266:3	299:14	290:3 299:11	102:25 127:21
269:6	lists 36:5	302:10 303:5	129:7 130:23
liner 127:17	113:16 217:8	liver 149:16,17	131:5 151:17
lines 25:16	229:2	lives 14:13	157:3 173:2
54:14 105:25	liter 185:3	19:17 20:1,2	180:11 181:18
171:11 173:15	literal 28:3	21:17 42:7	198:16 199:3
239:24 242:16	literally 27:14	288:5,5 293:2	217:22 221:1
261:14	184:14 292:7	livestock	239:3,6,9
link 160:1	literature	290:11	240:22 247:9
linked 149:15	164:18 165:7	living 21:21	252:5 275:1
166:25 297:15	165:13,15,23	25:2 37:8 42:9	295:9
	166:2,9 192:13	44:12 45:8	

[looked - maintain]

looked 68:12	256:18 258:21	134:13 215:21	machinery
112:10 131:2	259:6 265:20	loud 17:8	196:16
171:15 201:19	268:11 272:11	louder 298:25	madai 2:11
201:20 206:9	274:25	lovatos 285:14	11:7 20:13
225:15 236:11	looks 54:20	love 33:1 40:9	40:19
242:18 253:11	303:8	41:11 42:9	madam 10:24
268:1	loophole	302:14	11:9 47:1,21
looking 48:13	130:25	loved 302:13	52:5 53:3
49:5 52:12	loopholes	loving 303:17	64:20 80:22
54:9 59:8,14	297:18	low 149:19	81:13 82:10
73:21 74:6	loosely 190:7	202:5,6	85:24 120:5
75:13 76:8	los 18:20 26:17	lower 51:12	121:14,20
78:17,20 79:11	28:4 285:14	106:4 205:8	122:14 137:12
79:14,18 88:13	loss 28:9 51:15	286:10	151:14 157:4
95:5 96:11	54:23 55:2,3	lucky 27:1	164:20 178:15
97:5 99:18	55:13,19,20	lujan 207:6,13	195:5,12 196:6
102:5 105:18	62:23 77:3	208:3 289:16	234:25 235:11
105:20 111:2	230:7,8,23	lunas 18:20	275:16 276:16
119:19,22	231:1,15,18	lunch 120:10	291:15
130:10 133:7	256:20	120:12,21,21	made 10:16
135:7 150:25	lost 202:19	lung 295:19	87:25 99:7
160:10 161:23	287:11 289:15	lyons 2:24 7:10	113:13 136:12
162:3,7,17,22	lot 39:15 49:2	31:14,15,19	139:18 141:22
179:16 182:1	64:24 88:18	32:2 34:9	170:6 182:9,24
183:19,21	104:9,11 128:6	m	185:13 197:14
198:16,19	137:3 200:21	m 5:13 18:14	198:11 229:3
199:19 201:7	203:11 224:9	20:15,21 29:1	265:2,18,23
201:22 212:3	236:14 241:22	42:18 207:16	277:10
234:2 236:8	246:7 254:1	283:25 284:4,8	main 89:12
238:4 242:13	258:10 271:20	288:19 301:20	101:12 159:23
245:13 246:5	291:20 299:17	ma'am 164:21	166:24 185:14
248:18 249:3	299:17 300:4	301:15	188:16 192:4
249:25 251:6	301:4	machine	260:19 265:14
251:15 252:20	lots 45:4	181:10,10	maintain 115:6
255:24 256:2	111:21 134:8	101.10,10	204:15 224:24

[maintain - mean]

286:10 287:4	238:1 260:4	mandatory	mary 283:25
maintaining	268:17 276:24	208:4 289:10	mass 32:10
228:20 273:4	282:8 289:9	289:19 290:20	179:23 180:5,5
maintains	290:20 298:24	manipulated	180:10,10,11
115:5	300:14 301:5	19:4	180:11 191:1
maintenance	303:13,18	manner 124:25	material 59:16
108:12 222:7	makers 172:17	125:6,23 139:2	60:6 76:6
mainz 2:20 7:6	makes 82:9	143:14,21	121:25 162:14
20:16,18,20,21	97:21,22,24	manpower	183:6 287:2
21:2 22:24	145:18 162:12	136:1 272:14	materials 37:18
major 82:1,7	179:10 180:15	manufacturer	87:3
278:25	182:2	218:20 231:21	math 233:18
majority	makeup 36:4	231:21,24	matter 10:5
154:22 272:7	making 15:11	244:15	32:25 115:8
276:10	30:20 40:5	manufacturing	124:18 151:16
make 30:10	45:8,20 129:15	21:14 154:20	181:9 188:1
67:8,16 68:8	150:12 163:8	182:24 193:18	244:5 278:10
70:21 74:7,7	172:9,10 187:5	map 270:17,24	283:14
76:5,9 82:8	195:22 202:22	271:1	maximum
89:10 95:16	229:15 286:23	marcy 6:13	153:25 163:14
97:13,13 98:3	mammary	mariel 6:11,15	maxwell 2:15
99:5 105:8	149:20	8:3 47:3	122:24 123:1
107:1 110:21	manage 150:15	123:19 138:11	158:12,14
111:6 120:14	259:21 273:16	mark 240:11	mcl 165:19
123:13 124:8	managed	261:18	mean 55:14,16
124:17 125:4	286:22	marked 152:18	90:11 94:4,14
125:16 127:5	management	153:5 159:12	102:2 112:18
133:4 136:9	17:20 35:20	255:16 261:20	119:25 120:2
143:3,4,11	37:23 129:11	275:6	121:25 128:25
151:5 172:17	211:15 212:11	marking	163:6,19,23
181:14,24,25	240:22	262:14	173:25 177:24
185:6 189:9	mandate	marlene 3:5	184:15 186:8
204:21 214:18	208:12	7:12 34:10	190:18,21
219:22 228:2	mandates 57:3	38:4,17,24	191:21 194:1
228:10 229:11			201:23 202:18

[mean - mexico]

	I	I	
213:1 215:20	3:13,14,15,16	meta 126:21	36:24 37:8,24
243:9,12	3:18,19,20	method 90:21	140:13,15,17
244:17 245:2	41:9	150:16 160:25	140:20 151:8
246:8 251:21	members 44:7	191:6 215:4	290:17 297:8
253:18 256:8	62:13 86:1	238:13,18	mexico 1:1 2:4
256:15 290:10	122:15 154:6,8	250:3 253:15	2:5,8,9,11,13
meaning 229:3	154:11 155:23	methodologies	4:2,4,10,12
means 24:25	157:2 198:24	69:13,19,23	12:19 13:19
139:3 147:15	205:12 292:21	methodology	18:23 21:9
149:9 176:11	296:23	90:17	22:8,11,20,22
204:9 215:19	memory 124:14	methods 55:9	23:17,25 25:9
251:8 259:12	230:5	68:25 69:15,22	27:23 28:2
meant 220:16	mennonite	90:7,7,12,22,23	32:3,6,17,24
measurable	16:12	91:2,6 92:6	33:1,17,24,25
148:3	mention 13:23	94:16,16,17	35:22 36:16
measure 19:24	196:12 290:11	102:9 150:21	37:18 39:24
150:21 162:15	mentioned 43:4	154:19 160:6	41:11,17 42:4
173:7 267:5,5	58:15,15	162:4 190:4,4	42:12 43:10
267:8	112:12 133:11	190:4,6,8	45:10,13 92:15
measured	183:14 184:7	214:11 215:6	140:12 141:2
160:3	188:24 192:12	215:12 217:7,8	146:22 167:10
mechanical	193:2 195:23	238:25 250:2,4	169:3 195:17
64:1 285:11	228:14 237:19	252:3,4,8,8,11	197:11 201:23
mechanism	258:10	253:13 280:17	206:17,20,23
58:2 197:24	merely 110:3	methyl 89:18	207:7 212:14
medical 193:12	287:3	methylene	213:2 219:5
251:16 287:16	mergers 255:20	89:19	233:1 244:16
299:21,22	merit 144:21	metro 287:19	244:21 260:23
meet 21:16	mesa 26:16	mexican 279:3	272:8 278:23
123:20 266:1	messed 206:9	mexican's	279:1,9,21
meeting 276:4	206:10	136:10	282:2 285:13
member 2:17	messy 174:3	mexicanos 43:6	291:18 292:4
2:18,19,20,21	190:24	mexicans 19:16	293:8 294:10
2:22,23,24 3:3	met 14:5 105:2	22:5,15 24:9	294:18 295:7
3:5,7,8,10,11		33:13 34:6	295:10,23

[mexico - monitor]

296:5 297:1,9	121:17 138:22	misappropria	moderated 1:7
297:24 298:4	164:24 186:8	219:14	modest 209:7
300:4,21	192:18	miscarriages	modification
302:11 305:20	minds 302:17	46:1	57:18 66:10,24
mexico's	mine 26:24	misinformation	71:18,22 72:8
211:18 253:7	34:16 276:8	33:19	73:3 74:9 75:8
278:17,20	mineral 245:11	mispronounce	75:23 81:20
279:6,9,20	261:21,22	277:17	84:7 210:5
mic 12:3 34:13	minerals 61:2,5	missed 170:18	modifications
michelle 289:16	61:23 124:3	240:1	104:13 265:19
microphone	191:16	missing 109:22	265:23
40:17 88:21	miners 295:16	misspoke	modified 109:7
301:16	mines 14:24	243:11	modify 49:18
microphone's	285:13 295:22	misstates	130:21
88:22	mini 33:25	208:15	moiety 153:19
mics 34:15	mining 295:10	misunderstan	molecular
middle 51:13	minister 16:12	241:24	179:24
74:9	18:21	mit 84:19 85:4	molecule
midst 32:8	minors 295:17	85:13	162:10 168:9
migrated	minute 213:22	mits 63:23	168:11 170:1,3
274:24 280:2	235:1	mix 255:25	179:24 180:12
miguel 26:15	minutes 10:21	256:1	180:23 181:1
mike 86:9	12:5,14 16:8	mixed 118:10	molecules
mile 249:1	18:17 21:7	118:17 226:15	167:22,23
253:21	23:12 26:12	283:5	168:5,7 183:5
military 35:17	29:9 31:24	mixture 181:15	183:6 191:4
milk 279:13	35:11 38:22	181:17 283:5	199:7 200:1,11
millennia 27:7	41:7 43:2	mobile 140:6	287:3
283:9	82:15 123:12	148:9 156:10	moment 86:2
million 139:24	151:22 235:3	183:7	99:25 151:17
280:4 287:18	277:16 278:7	mobility 139:5	money 22:12
287:20	281:24 289:4	149:25 155:9	42:4 136:9
millions 216:25	291:13 294:1	175:12 194:6	292:1
mind 14:22 100:9 101:18	296:21 302:7	model 160:14	monitor 173:7 198:25

[monitored - name]

monitored	move 28:5	muds 117:12	music 36:25
199:7 205:11	49:25 56:13	117:13	muskogee
299:19 300:22	57:15 64:21	mulcahy 5:3	302:9
monitoring	70:12 71:6	8:14 85:23	mute 11:4,7
147:19 149:5	73:23 75:3	132:17 134:11	muted 11:23
156:25 292:15	77:2,25 88:16	157:20,22,24	34:12,12 38:9
297:23	89:3 94:22	158:8 164:19	80:20 281:12
months 136:8	107:17 109:11	164:22 171:4	281:12 291:1
287:14	123:9 137:15	175:3 176:19	myth 293:1
moon 29:1	156:11 157:5	177:6 195:12	
moral 15:7	175:16,22	208:16 209:8	n
16:20 19:22	210:1 213:7	209:11,24	n 2:1 3:1 4:1
40:11 140:10	219:24 220:25	211:20 212:17	5:1 6:1 7:1,1
morning 10:2	248:4 268:10	219:15 220:13	8:1 10:1 15:25
11:16 15:17	272:20 304:2	multi 83:3,6	20:21 28:25
18:19 32:1	moved 37:1	multicultural	31:17 35:2,3
38:23 43:3	64:19,24 73:15	16:16	40:23 152:13
45:3 46:13,16	83:9 275:6	multiple 36:18	152:14,14
47:12 48:3	moves 44:6	39:25 60:11,17	207:16 277:23
65:3 82:19	56:20 62:6	75:6 212:18	281:17,17
85:24 86:1,5,6	274:22	215:1 224:4	283:25 284:4,8
86:11 244:20	moving 50:6	municipal	288:19,19
274:2 276:21	52:4 58:6	114:15 118:24	291:6,6 293:18
276:25 296:22	59:11 60:8	119:8 131:8,14	301:20
298:12 299:4,8	63:7,18 64:2	131:22 135:5	name 10:3,23
301:13 304:1	66:21 67:24	202:25	12:5,17 15:24
mother 14:12	70:13 72:7,7	munoz 3:13	18:14,19 32:1
24:16 27:20	72:25 73:9	7:17 277:4	34:11 35:13
32:12 156:3	74:2 75:4 83:2	283:23,25	38:12 40:21,22
motto 16:15	83:18 84:5	284:4,8,13,17	40:23 41:8
mourn 21:15	192:9 247:22	284:17,17,19	42:17 43:4
mouse 100:5,8	286:14	284:25 285:3,8	91:11 123:18
109:22,22	msds's 254:5	285:10,10	152:11,13
mouth 187:10	mud 116:22,23	288:8,10,11	159:3 255:25
	116:25 117:1		255:25 256:1
			277:14,18,22

[name - neighboring]

	I	1	I
281:15 283:24	212:5,10 213:8	191:3 236:5	296:6 297:17
285:10 288:17	217:25	249:25 258:18	300:14
291:1,5 293:15	nancy 284:1	266:8	needed 62:1
294:3 296:12	nanograms	necessary	81:5 84:17
296:24 299:11	185:3	49:21 51:19	102:13 149:2
301:18	narrow 112:9	84:2,13 122:3	167:7 185:15
name's 26:14	147:25 189:11	138:17 147:1,3	198:4 199:11
278:11	190:13 249:23	148:21 149:4	214:11 237:17
named 160:22	249:25 251:21	149:11 156:22	259:7 265:20
names 195:7	narrower	156:23,24	265:24,25
naming 17:8	214:17 251:23	206:19 238:14	280:24
nanasi 6:11 8:3	narrows 173:6	necessity	needs 48:4
8:9,13 46:21	249:21	235:17	55:11 56:23
47:1,3,3,10	nasty 14:9	nee 9:3 152:18	60:13 68:12
52:5,13,17	nation 43:25	153:5 157:16	77:17 79:11
120:24 121:5	national 13:9	157:18	80:17 136:2
123:9,10,11,15	nations 279:8	need 19:5 21:18	185:8 186:12
123:17,19	native 223:19	21:24 22:6,7	188:19 235:24
128:17 134:1	278:20 279:8	22:21 24:25	246:15 290:2
134:18 135:1	302:10	28:18 46:23	303:9
136:25 137:5	natural 45:22	73:19 74:20	negated 188:20
137:11,19,23	124:3 127:10	81:2 90:5,5	negating
138:2,7,10,11	128:22 129:23	132:18 133:4	246:15
151:22 152:8	129:23 144:2,6	133:11 138:13	negative 39:25
152:10 157:4	144:6 206:20	148:11,23	275:3
176:3,5,8,24	223:16	162:14 177:9	negatively
177:1,15,18	nature 45:20	185:4 189:15	45:24 97:17,22
178:2 194:25	131:13 154:19	192:18 193:11	146:3
195:5 196:6,9	285:19 287:5	193:15,15	negligent 110:3
196:10,20	287:23	199:25 203:16	negligently
206:10,14	navajo 14:23	246:9,17	71:3
208:19,20,24	near 297:21	248:17 272:6	neighbor 40:9
209:9,18,21,25	necessarily	275:19 282:7,9	146:14
210:2 211:10	71:15 102:20	282:11,12	neighboring
211:21,24	182:4 185:23	283:15,17,18	124:25 125:7

[neighboring - non]

143:14	139:17,19	nine 287:14	146:12,19,25
neighbors	140:12,13,14	nm 1:15 4:6,14	147:10,20,24
37:25	140:16,20	5:7,16 6:6,14	219:25 220:20
neither 34:15	141:2 146:22	32:4	247:1 251:23
305:11 306:7	151:8,14	nmac 52:19	nmoga's 56:21
nepa 33:25	152:16 153:3	58:6 63:20	64:23 66:9
never 19:25	157:6,8 167:10	66:10 70:14	70:18 73:12
138:22 151:16	168:17 169:3	72:7 73:10	75:13 78:25
187:11 287:21	195:17 197:11	78:20 83:2	81:23,25 83:11
nevertheless	197:13 201:12	84:6 108:6	85:14 89:7,13
118:17	201:23 206:17	nmdoj.gov 4:7	95:23 109:11
new 1:1 2:3,5,7	206:20,23	nmed 149:23	138:19 140:23
2:9,11,13 4:2,4	207:7 211:18	nmgoa 5:2	141:3 142:3,9
4:10,12 6:10	212:13 213:2	nmgoas 51:7	143:8 144:19
6:12 12:18	219:5 232:25	nmocd 198:15	145:14
13:19 18:23	242:20 244:16	199:13 205:13	nmsa 124:12
19:16 21:9	244:20 248:7	258:22 261:9	nmsu 200:20
22:5,8,11,15,20	252:8 253:7	264:25 265:2	non 10:11
22:22 23:16,25	260:23 272:7	nmocd's	46:12 58:22
24:9 25:8 27:5	278:16,20,23	198:15,17	92:21,24 93:6
27:23 28:2	279:1,3,6,9,9	202:23	93:6 94:11
30:3,4 32:3,6	279:20,21	nmoga 65:15	127:8,14,16,21
32:17,24 33:1	282:2 285:13	69:7 71:23	128:1,20,25
33:13,17,24,25	290:17 291:18	72:13 74:19	129:9,21
34:6 35:22	292:4 293:7	75:21,25 76:1	138:24 139:6
36:16,23 37:7	294:10,18	76:14 78:2,13	140:25 141:6
37:18,24 39:23	295:7,10,23	83:9,22,25	142:11,16,19
41:11,17 42:4	296:5 297:1,8	84:9,13 109:15	142:23 143:5
42:12 43:9	297:9,24 298:4	110:16,21	143:25 144:4
45:10,13 46:22	300:4,21	117:24 121:9	144:23 145:1
58:17 73:15	302:10 305:20	131:12 132:8	145:12 150:21
90:12,21 91:1	nice 43:6	138:21 141:15	155:18 160:3,4
92:15 94:16	nicholas 2:15	141:20 143:1	160:19 161:6
123:19 134:25	night 14:16	144:13,16,24	161:20 162:6
136:10 138:12	194:18	145:12,18	163:24 167:23

[non - ocd]

165 05 160 10	10 0 54 40		1 •
167:25 169:10	noticing 61:18	0	objective
192:19 245:12	notification	o 7:1 10:1	282:25
279:19 301:11	57:2 59:21	31:17 35:3	obligation 61:4
nonbiodegra	61:16 62:9,12	38:14 42:19	97:12 98:6
151:12	62:16 83:8,14	277:23 281:17	obligations
nondisclosed	84:3 248:24	284:1,4,8	61:6
19:9,14 20:5	253:20	288:19 291:6	obtained 67:5
nonprofit	notifications	293:17	obvious 19:11
29:22	136:17,19	o&g 286:20	19:14 43:20
nontoxic 183:7	notified 61:15	o'clock 121:7	145:18
nope 205:24	62:19 248:17	121:11 277:13	obviously
normally 86:9	253:24	o'shaughnessy	21:22 265:19
north 5:6,15	notify 90:20,23	3:16 7:20	occ 87:3 88:6,6
16:13 146:15	269:20,22	293:14,15,17	126:3,7,11,16
northern	notion 237:2	293:21 294:3	128:14,15
159:10	november 1:8	296:8	129:16 130:3,4
notary 305:19	43:9	oath 294:12	210:9 241:2,9
note 44:14	nuclear 33:14	object 49:7,10	242:8 245:3
83:25	44:17 294:6	73:18 81:3	262:18 264:13
noted 35:23	nuevo 43:6	132:18,19	occ's 262:21
184:8,24	number 10:8	134:12 164:22	occasions 96:13
notes 122:17	13:6 14:3 52:8	175:4 176:19	occur 80:6 98:1
206:10	97:6 137:5	195:2 212:17	285:19
notice 59:9	167:5 190:12	220:14,21	occurred 74:21
63:12,13 90:19	213:15 224:8	objection 128:2	ocd 48:9,13,23
114:18 241:6	224:13,15,17	140:23 144:20	49:6,12,15,18
242:18 243:14	numbers 52:25	145:15 208:14	50:1,9,11 51:5
243:15	53:5 60:7	208:17 209:8	52:1 53:8,13
noticed 56:23	139:21 189:22	209:24 211:7	53:22 54:10
60:22,22	213:17 224:7	211:20 213:6	56:10,13,16
195:13 211:14	227:5 228:5	214:3 219:15	57:11,15,20,20
241:18 242:3	234:3 264:6	220:13	57:22 58:2,5
242:17 294:11	numerous	objections	59:14 61:23
notices 59:4,4,7	79:21	157:15	62:9,12,12,16
		137.13	62:20 63:7,9

[ocd - officer]

63:11,19,21	136:3,5,12	259:5,10,12,21	231:4,25 238:2
64:4 65:8,8,17	141:5,16	260:2,8,14,20	238:4,16 242:8
65:18,19,23,24	142:14 143:4	261:6 262:5,17	242:12 243:13
66:7,16,21	143:10,11	262:22,22	243:14 245:16
67:1,3,4,24	189:10,24	263:2,7,8	245:22 254:22
69:18 70:1,7	206:18 207:20	265:5,6,9,10,11	257:3 260:7
70:13,18 71:12	207:25 208:8	265:22,24,25	264:14 266:7
71:15 72:3,6	209:1,5 210:8	266:3,17 267:3	270:20 273:16
72:14,17,21	210:21 213:9	267:14 269:6	274:25
73:14,17,21,23	214:9,13,16	269:16,25	odd 211:16
74:1,9,15	215:4,10,17	270:2,4,23,23	odds 287:18
75:13,20,21,25	216:1,4,10,12	271:4 272:3,11	oecd 91:13,18
76:5,7,11,15,20	217:3,10	272:19,23	offer 10:10,13
76:23,24 77:5	220:12 221:4	273:2,4,6,11,15	11:17 38:5
77:9,12,16	224:24 225:3,7	274:11,12,19	46:12 110:9
78:5,9,16,25	225:9,12	275:3	194:25 269:1
79:1,10,12,14	227:23 228:5,6	ocd's 48:5,10	295:5 298:10
79:22 81:6,9	228:9,10 229:3	53:15,18 57:3	298:18 299:5
81:22,24 82:7	229:16 231:23	57:17 60:14,20	301:11 303:23
82:25 83:9,11	232:1 236:18	64:22 65:15	offering 11:14
83:24 84:7,12	236:24 237:3,5	66:10,15,23	12:20
84:16 87:7,15	238:21,22	67:18 70:16,17	offhand 261:21
88:6 93:22,23	239:3,10	71:1 72:1 74:8	office 61:1
95:20 108:15	240:24,24	74:24 75:17,19	191:17 249:2
111:1,7 113:8	241:8,15,20	79:5,8,9,18	256:9 269:18
119:21 124:8	242:17 243:2	81:3 83:16	272:20
125:4 126:4,9	243:16,16,18	88:4 91:8 92:8	officer 10:2,3
127:24,25	243:20,21	107:11 111:23	10:25 11:6,10
128:16 129:12	245:4,6 247:7	119:10 123:21	11:11,21,25
129:17 130:4	247:16,18,21	126:14,19	12:4,13,16
130:10,22	249:21,24	127:1 130:6	15:4,14,21,23
132:7,11,13	251:23 252:14	135:7 141:11	16:1,7 18:2,7
133:5,20,22,25	256:25,25	146:23 147:24	18:13,16 20:9
134:3 135:9,24	257:19 258:4	208:13 210:4	20:19 21:6
135:24,25	258:14,22,25	210:18 225:18	22:23 23:3,11

[officer - oil]

		000 4 7 10 -	10000
25:21 26:2,5	195:5,10,12,21	officials 40:7	125:9,15,20
26:11 28:13,22	196:8,19 197:7	offloading	126:8 127:5,9
29:2,8 31:10	203:19,23	36:12	128:13,22
31:13,16,23	205:21 206:3,5	offs 194:12	129:22,23,24
34:8,19,23	206:8 208:18	oga 293:8	132:1 133:20
35:4,10 38:1,8	208:22 209:17	ogallala 280:2	139:22 141:1
38:11,15,21	209:25 211:9	oh 11:25 12:6	141:24 142:8
40:14,24 41:6	211:21 212:1,7	14:8 22:24	142:12,20
42:14,20 43:1	213:4 218:1	34:10 100:3,6	143:19 144:2,5
46:4,10 47:2,8	219:16 220:17	137:16 161:10	144:6,7 145:5
47:11,22 52:11	220:23 232:13	161:15 173:13	145:9,16 146:7
52:16,23 53:10	234:21 235:2,6	185:2 187:9	146:17 148:2
64:21,25 80:19	235:12 240:15	252:12 267:7	149:9 156:14
81:16 82:13,18	257:10 268:16	283:23 284:19	159:9 160:2,15
85:21,25 120:5	268:19,22	285:5 288:8,16	160:18 168:21
120:9,16,20	273:24 274:5	298:17 301:14	168:24 174:24
121:12 122:6	275:9,16,24	oil 1:2 2:4,5,8,9	175:8 191:20
122:14,23	276:11,16,17	2:11,14 4:2,10	192:3,14 194:4
123:3,13 128:4	277:20,21,24	4:12 10:4,7	194:10 200:7
132:22 133:8	278:6 281:5,14	13:18 14:15	200:13 204:9
133:16 134:17	281:23 283:21	19:20 20:7	208:10 214:14
134:24 137:3,7	284:2,6,9,15,18	22:4,8,9,22	214:16 216:11
137:13,15,21	284:21 285:1,5	23:18,21 24:5	216:12 217:4
137:24 138:6,8	285:9 288:6,9	24:25 27:16	226:19 232:25
151:15,21	288:12,16,20	28:10 36:15,22	237:21 242:22
152:6 157:5,13	289:1,3,14	37:14,20 39:2	244:9 245:11
157:20 158:10	290:23 291:4	40:9 54:3	246:22 249:12
158:16,21,24	291:12,15	59:22 62:14,20	253:7 261:21
164:20,21	293:12,19,25	68:15,18 86:18	261:22 264:10
165:4,9 175:5	296:7,11,14,20	86:25 94:16	271:13 279:16
176:1,23	298:7,20,24	98:17 104:4	280:10 282:13
177:12,17	299:3 301:8,21	107:22 108:18	282:15 286:4,6
178:3,9,15	302:6 303:20	115:7 117:12	287:25 294:10
188:7 191:10	305:2	117:13,14,14	294:14 297:11
194:23,24		124:2,5,9,15,16	297:18 300:3,5

[okay - operations]

			,
okay 12:15	116:9,12,20	261:2 262:8,12	online 11:3,10
15:22 20:19	117:3,16,21	262:25 263:1,7	onslaught
38:10 46:25	119:23 120:3	263:24 264:21	44:22
48:8 49:12,18	120:18 121:12	266:3 268:14	onslaughts
50:18 51:17	123:3,8 124:23	269:5,5 271:16	44:16
52:23 54:19	125:14 126:12	271:18 274:5	onus 30:8
55:13,23 56:10	127:24 129:18	275:24 281:8,9	ooh 285:5
57:6 63:2 65:2	133:16,18	281:13,14,25	oops 113:2
69:5,17 70:6	137:7 157:16	284:9 285:8	op 279:3
71:1,6 74:7	158:17 178:3	303:18,24	open 29:12,12
77:16,20,25	178:25 179:1	old 32:12 256:5	41:21 49:12
80:5,9,19	180:13 181:6	287:11	72:21 223:14
85:18 87:17,24	182:8 183:12	oldest 285:15	252:2 302:17
88:8,25 90:10	189:25 190:14	oldham 22:15	opened 33:15
90:16,25 91:8	191:7 196:19	omit 70:3 85:14	266:20
91:21 92:5,10	201:11,17	omitted 65:24	opening 8:3
94:14 95:8,10	203:19 204:3	once 10:17	137:12,16,21
95:16 96:4,9	205:20 207:22	16:20 17:9	138:3 162:25
98:5,9,19	212:1,7 213:18	79:12,20 97:4	241:9,16 242:7
100:2,13,13,15	214:1 220:23	141:16 165:1	243:11 246:25
100:21,24	227:10 233:6	192:9 200:1	operate 57:21
101:2,18,19,25	233:14 234:4	274:18 275:3	146:10
102:14 103:6	234:21 236:13	277:9 289:25	operated
104:8,12,19,25	236:13,23	one's 83:21	124:24 125:5
105:8,18	237:2,10,18	116:20	143:13
106:10,13,18	238:19,19	ones 36:20	operation
106:19,24,24	239:2,12	54:13 79:16	99:16 118:9
107:14,17	240:10 242:4	104:9 163:19	272:25
108:17,22	242:15 243:5	164:6 249:20	operations
109:1,13 110:1	246:20 248:10	251:23 303:14	13:19 19:20
110:8 111:9,20	248:22 249:8	303:15	20:8 23:21,24
112:12 113:1,3	257:10,18,22	ongoing 30:21	36:23 37:21
113:4,21	257:24 258:3	44:22 46:2	48:25 49:6,7
114:13,13	258:20 259:17	242:2 300:17	49:11,13,16,19
115:4,10,16,21	260:10,12		49:20,23 51:4

[operations - outside]

51:19,22 55:1 97:24 98:1,2,2 245:9 252:22 orally 10:17 72:19,23 73:25 98:5 99:11 262:6 order 136:10 74:21 78:7 102:5,25 105:3 opine 159:20 162:14 190:9 86:25 96:14,18 105:21 106:1,9 opining 165:2 orders 124:9 96:19 97:5 107:5,12 opinion 51:20 125:5,16 127 107:22 108:1 110:19 111:3,3 69:5 131:7 143:11 108:19 115:7 111:5,5,7,9,14 155:16 156:21 organic 287:0 116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:0 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	6
74:21 78:7 102:5,25 105:3 opine 159:20 162:14 190:9 86:25 96:14,18 105:21 106:1,9 opining 165:2 orders 124:9 96:19 97:5 107:5,12 opinion 51:20 125:5,16 127 107:22 108:1 110:19 111:3,3 69:5 131:7 143:11 108:19 115:7 111:5,5,7,9,14 155:16 156:21 organic 287:0 116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:0 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	6
86:25 96:14,18 105:21 106:1,9 opining 165:2 orders 124:9 96:19 97:5 107:5,12 opinion 51:20 125:5,16 127 107:22 108:1 110:19 111:3,3 69:5 131:7 143:11 108:19 115:7 111:5,5,7,9,14 155:16 156:21 organic 287:0 116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:0 117:5,13,19 119:17 130:7 46:13 203:12 organisms 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	6
96:19 97:5 107:5,12 opinion 51:20 125:5,16 127 107:22 108:1 110:19 111:3,3 69:5 131:7 143:11 108:19 115:7 111:5,5,7,9,14 155:16 156:21 organic 287:0 116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:0 117:5,13,19 119:17 130:7 46:13 203:12 organisms 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	6
107:22 108:1 110:19 111:3,3 69:5 131:7 143:11 108:19 115:7 111:5,5,7,9,14 155:16 156:21 organic 287:0 116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:0 117:5,13,19 119:17 130:7 46:13 203:12 organisms 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	
108:19 115:7 111:5,5,7,9,14 155:16 156:21 organic 287:0 116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:0 117:5,13,19 119:17 130:7 46:13 203:12 organisms 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	
116:17,18 111:16 115:24 opportunities organism 21:000000000000000000000000000000000000	
117:5,13,19 119:17 130:7 46:13 203:12 organisms 130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	
130:9 136:21 132:11,13 opportunity 285:20 290:1 142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	
142:9,21,23 133:22 134:5,8 10:10 45:11 organization 144:13 145:10 135:4 215:23 86:10 122:16 43:21 91:13	ļ
149:8 168:21 215:25 218:15 122:22 140:18 278:14	
168:24 194:10 218:17 231:20 140:19 164:13 organized 86	2
201:15 203:2 231:22 234:12 276:21 278:10 original 140:	
203:14,16 235:19,19 296:3 298:11 220:20 235:1	,
204:9 221:21 259:2,6 262:3 299:8 orth 1:7 10:3	
221:23,24 267:3,8 268:4 oppose 73:14 268:21	
222:2,5,8,10 274:12,13,19 83:11,25 84:16 osha 228:13,	5
227:13 232:6 275:4 opposed 54:6 ostensibly	
232:25 234:12 operator's 74:2 111:6 205:1	
241:17,18,20 54:24 55:1 141:6 otm 69:15,15	
241:24 242:1 76:21 78:7,8 opposes 92:13 70:3 92:7	
254:25 263:21 111:17 245:17 opposing 22:2 outcome	
271:6 272:7 269:22 opposition 247:24 305:1	,
282:11 297:12 operators 291:22 306:12	
operator 54:10 59:18,23 61:9 opt 58:23 59:7 outline 265:1	,
54:12,20 57:25 67:17 77:14,18 60:25 265:17,18	
67:4,6,7,7,8,12 77:22 93:14 option 58:23 outlined 49:1	,
67:14 76:18,23 94:18 96:13 59:7 60:25 297:7	
76:24 77:3,7 107:24 108:8 74:9,12 output 284:1	
77:11 78:6,10 110:12 118:6 options 282:16 outreach 265	7
78:10 81:24 118:16 119:4 oral 10:20 outright 94:5	
82:9 91:4 131:16 135:20 277:9,10 outside 72:1	
92:16,22 97:12 169:3 234:15 77:5 83:8	

[outside - particular]

	I	I	I
87:14 104:3	277:23 281:17	269:6	95:10 98:13,16
165:3 209:15	296:13 301:20	pancreatic	98:19 102:16
209:19 211:7	p.m. 120:25	149:17	102:18 103:11
245:8 251:23	304:5	paper 47:4	106:2 124:6,14
254:7 265:11	pablo 25:8	91:10,11	124:23 129:8,9
overall 155:1	packet 221:4	159:24 170:23	129:10 130:21
199:6	page 7:2 8:2	179:18 183:15	135:14,14
overarching	48:9,22,23	183:20,22,23	165:18 168:8
126:21 128:13	50:16 51:1,11	185:1,13 186:9	169:18 173:18
129:16 130:3	52:2,4,8,25	188:23 189:3	186:11 189:8
overburdened	53:13 55:24	191:22 200:19	192:19 221:24
295:7	56:14 57:15	200:20 201:2,8	222:1,4,7,10,16
overexposed	58:5 59:12	201:18,18,19	223:2 225:14
295:18	60:9 63:4,8,19	paperwork	230:6 236:3,12
overlap 120:1	64:3 65:8 66:7	71:16	236:12,18,19
override 30:24	66:22 67:25	paragraph	236:24 238:23
oversee 30:10	70:13,13,15	101:12,21	239:1 251:12
30:19 58:21	71:7,22 72:6,7	105:20 127:7	252:21,22
239:11	73:1,2,10,11	paragraphs	259:3 266:19
overseeing 30:5	75:5 80:10	244:22	266:19 268:10
overwhelm	81:18 83:1	parameters	268:10 275:6,7
96:14	89:4 93:22	55:9	280:9 303:17
own 16:22 17:6	100:4 113:8,9	paramount	partial 155:7
17:20,20,22	171:10 173:14	139:15	155:14
30:11 43:16	183:23 189:9	part 16:25	partially 174:7
87:17 111:23	189:17,18,19	25:12 44:4,20	participant
owners 61:2,7	189:22 196:10	48:11,12 51:13	2:15
61:8,20 62:17	197:14 204:6	53:14 56:20,22	participants
62:19	206:16 210:3	56:25 57:8,9	32:4
owns 61:5	210:16 213:17	57:10,10,24,24	participate
р	217:9 257:19	58:4 59:16	20:3 39:8 42:5
p 2:1,1 3:1,1	257:20,20,25	61:14 62:6,25	participated
4:1,1 5:1,1 6:1	258:1,2 260:10	63:19,23 67:10	35:15
6:1 10:1 23:5	261:14 262:13	69:18 71:7	particular
38:13 277:23	266:3 268:14	80:6 88:4 92:3	13:13 148:7
30.13 411.43			

[particular - peripheral]

155:19 180:19	paso 12:19	people 13:6,12	perfect 128:18
183:23 189:1	pass 25:20	13:25 14:25	perfluorinated
239:20	156:2	17:1 19:11,15	89:18,18 155:8
particularly	passed 33:25	19:21,23,24	167:22,23
166:3 194:5	136:4 290:15	21:15 22:13	172:25 193:11
279:15 299:18	passing 301:2	25:8 27:1,5,7	237:4
parties 11:18	past 15:1 43:14	28:5,8 29:13	perfluoroalkyl
47:22 61:11,11	path 44:24	33:12 36:7,7	147:15 153:16
61:12,15,15,19	pathway 57:12	41:14,14 42:5	153:17
62:5 63:12	175:1	42:6 43:9	perfluoroocta
65:2 81:2 83:8	pathways	44:11,23,25	168:11
83:9,12,13	140:11 149:13	45:5,17,22	perform 55:9
90:24 91:1	150:1 177:10	46:1 87:13	59:9 75:16,17
121:13 195:1	patience 42:15	132:2 165:25	81:10 84:19
243:15 248:25	pause 10:25	166:10 172:9	85:7 107:12
265:8 276:12	64:23 82:12	189:3 192:25	135:24 224:18
305:12,14	193:25	198:2 205:2	247:16
306:8,11	pay 292:14	251:6,7,8	performed 55:4
partly 91:10	pdf 89:4	253:20,24	55:12 63:25
partner 86:9	peace 46:8	254:1,10,12	73:4 78:7
partners	peak 26:20	270:15 302:13	274:20
299:25	238:20	302:23	performing
parts 37:4	pecos 1:12	people's 199:22	56:9 215:9
98:13,16 125:9	26:19 184:1	peoples 28:8	238:11
238:15	201:21 202:6,9	36:14 279:8	performs 78:9
party 67:6,8	300:7	peralta 32:15	210:8
80:12 105:4	peer 145:21	percent 24:3,10	period 71:10
107:5,6,12	201:2,4	136:24 140:13	140:6 223:25
136:18 213:12	penalties 77:10	140:14 168:12	224:1 276:19
213:23 214:4,6	penelope 2:20	233:25 234:10	276:22 303:25
224:22 229:7	7:6 20:18 21:2	292:16	periodically
238:21 273:10	penelope's	percentage	225:10,13,16
280:21	29:16	233:20,21,22	peripheral
party's 276:2	penny 20:15	234:6	153:19

[permanent - pfas]

permanent	personnel	48:13,24 57:23	154:6,12,13,16
139:7	135:24 136:4	57:25 67:7,25	154:17,19,20
permanently	perspective	69:2 87:21	154:23,24,25
292:6	36:25 111:13	88:2,14,17	155:3,3,4,12,13
permian 14:1	155:18 295:6	89:2,6,14,20	155:15,19
166:17 191:18	pertinent 59:5	90:2,6 92:24	156:2,6,9,11,14
230:13	70:11 72:18	93:6 94:11	156:16,19,22
permit 73:7,7	73:7,25 204:18	102:9,14	156:24 160:1,4
108:9 248:11	247:18	109:12,16,17	160:5,10 163:3
permits 50:11	peskuski 3:18	110:18,24	163:18,25
58:21 259:23	7:21 296:10,10	111:11 115:11	165:13,21,23
permitted	296:11,13,16	115:12,24	166:22,25
57:22 86:25	296:24 298:8	118:15,21	167:1,8,13,14
pernicious	peter 38:13	119:16 130:8	167:18,20,21
151:10	petition 87:25	130:11 131:8	167:25 168:1,3
perrotte 3:5	88:9 246:4	131:10,13,18	168:9,10,14,15
7:12 34:11	petitioner	132:3,9,14,15	168:17,21
38:10,13,17,24	159:4 259:19	132:16 134:9	171:2,19
persist 175:19	265:1,15,18	134:13 135:2,8	172:12 173:1
290:1 297:12	269:11	138:14,14	173:10,18
persistence	petitioner's	139:3,5 140:1	174:18,19,21
139:5 140:3	92:12 93:3	140:3,4,8,24	174:25 175:2,8
149:25 151:13	petitions 13:6	141:13 142:18	175:13,18,19
156:9 167:13	petroleum	142:19,20,22	177:20 179:4
175:11 192:13	16:24 282:24	142:25 143:7	182:13,22
persistent	283:7,9	144:9,12,14,17	183:21,23
155:7 167:14	pfas 10:7 13:18	145:9,11,16,23	184:8,11,15,20
168:1,18	16:19 19:8,13	145:23 146:4,8	184:25 185:14
172:12 192:6	19:18 20:5	146:15,21	185:18,25
person 15:16	21:11,13,18,19	147:9,11,15,19	186:4,7 187:14
18:4 43:7	21:22,24 22:7	147:21 148:2,8	187:17 188:17
254:18	22:21 23:18,21	148:10,19,19	188:20 189:11
personal 21:13	24:7,12,20,22	149:3,13,15,25	190:3,5,10
177:23 290:4	30:1 36:18,22	150:1,2 151:9	191:19 192:13
	37:9,20 40:4	153:17,20,24	194:9,13

[pfas - please]

195:25 196:12	285:17,25	pick 218:5	281:8 298:9
196:14,14,16	286:14,17	274:1	301:10,15
197:15 198:5	287:2 291:23	picked 92:3	plausible
199:6 200:7,24	292:11,17	piece 279:3	172:14
201:8,21 202:1	294:9 297:2,6	pieces 72:10	playing 60:2
202:2,6,24	297:11,24	pin 101:1	please 10:16
206:18,24	302:19 303:10	106:18,19,22	11:4,16 12:6
208:11 209:23	pfsa 299:19	pit 127:17	15:5,24 17:22
210:12,18,21	pfsas 299:16	place 26:16	20:4,20 22:23
210:24 211:1,5	300:3	27:2,4 36:20	23:4 26:3
212:15 214:13	ph 26:19 27:3	82:11 109:20	28:14 34:11,25
214:17,22	27:23 87:18	198:5,7 205:1	38:12 40:17,21
215:2 216:16	91:23 149:24	270:7	46:4 47:15
216:17 217:5,6	184:1 185:3	placed 36:14	48:8,10 50:7
217:13 220:7	193:22 200:19	84:12	50:25 51:10,20
221:9,16 226:3	247:2 254:5	placental 156:3	52:7 53:15
232:5,23	277:4 281:7,8	places 76:15	54:5 55:23
235:15,18	ph.d. 178:25	78:2,14 79:1	57:17 58:7
236:15,17,21	282:2 285:11	202:6 259:23	59:12 60:13
236:25 241:3	294:4	plan 65:1	63:20 65:11
242:10,24	phahxs 185:3	289:17	66:10,22,23
244:10,12	pharmacologist	planet 19:12	70:15 71:8,21
245:8,12 246:5	22:16	292:4	72:8 73:2,11
249:10,10,16	phase 283:4	plant 174:12	75:7 77:25
251:21,22,24	phenomenon	plants 32:23	80:20,20 81:19
252:15 253:2	167:7	280:7	82:23 83:4,19
253:12,13,15	phobia 33:5	plastic 16:18	84:6 120:21
258:5,14	phone 11:3	16:20,24 17:6	127:13 138:8
262:15 263:9	phrase 80:16	17:7,10,11	138:10 152:11
263:16,18	120:2 238:22	platform 10:14	153:14 161:11
267:13,20,22	phrased 99:13	10:15 15:18	176:25 178:10
268:9 274:15	physician	18:5 38:4	184:6 188:6,8
278:25 279:5	22:16	123:6 137:9	189:13 213:7
279:19,22,24	physicians	268:20,25	235:22 239:16
279:25 280:6,8	13:17 21:10	273:20 276:19	241:13 244:6

[please - potential]

	I	I	1
257:13,23	253:16 256:2,4	pollinator	93:19 130:6
276:25 277:18	256:16,22	29:22	142:3,9 172:9
281:15 283:24	266:23 272:10	pollutants	208:8 209:1
285:7 288:7,17	274:1,24	278:23 300:17	243:13,14,21
291:1 293:9,16	281:11 304:2	polluted 36:11	244:5 303:13
296:12 301:17	pointed 185:5	37:5	positions 45:10
pleasure	203:13	polluters 33:4	positive 248:19
121:22	pointing	pollution 16:18	279:22
plenary 77:12	196:20 266:17	36:17 132:15	positively
plenty 174:10	points 85:11	150:2	150:23
198:2	145:22 146:3	polyfluoroalk	possibility
plugged 231:2	159:23 166:24	147:16	194:22
231:3,6,8	185:14 242:19	polymeric	possible 53:9
plugging 77:10	poison 21:20	182:22 183:6	78:23 180:3
plume 280:1	21:25 22:1	ponder 147:7	194:19 206:7
plus 68:10	29:20 251:8,19	pondering 37:1	223:1,21,22
73:20 74:5	295:23	ponds 156:16	229:7 243:11
142:2 254:24	poisoned 21:23	194:14 196:15	243:12 247:24
282:20	21:24 22:2	203:11	275:1,18
pockets 27:16	251:16 292:20	pooping 17:21	post 85:13
point 35:21	292:22	poor 21:17	168:17 223:22
55:3,24 61:11	poisoning	pope 13:11	223:22 276:12
62:4 70:21	29:17 208:11	population	postpone
71:14 72:12,13	251:7	166:7 170:2,10	137:13
76:7,14 78:16	poisons 21:22	287:20	potential 26:23
79:10,25 80:21	251:9	populations	27:25 55:18,22
122:12 136:12	police 249:1	165:24 166:3	63:24 69:2
145:18,19	253:21 254:3	278:21	76:3 78:3,18
156:11 160:13	policies 18:1	portion 133:6	79:3 89:20
161:5 188:16	150:4	155:5	95:11,25 96:7
190:22 202:22	policy 94:7	pose 23:22,24	96:8 97:17,22
202:23 204:18	107:20 151:2,6	139:3 154:3	98:7 103:19
223:15 231:7	207:15 278:12	174:13 223:4	105:6 107:4,7
232:2 237:9	294:23	position 43:11	107:7 119:13
250:17 253:3		67:18 71:1	148:13 154:8

[potential - preschools]

		I	
154:10,14	51:10,17 52:1	232:21 234:24	precedent
156:14 165:16	52:14 53:12	235:10,12	146:5
165:20 173:3	54:1 56:13	240:13,18	preceding
175:1 185:9	57:14 58:4,6	245:5 257:8	104:22
187:14 188:17	59:11,12 60:8	275:12,14	precious 41:13
192:3 231:6	60:12,13 62:8	powell's 64:11	286:5
232:23 239:23	62:22 63:2,7	209:20 211:8	precluding
265:12 266:5	63:20 64:2,16	power 12:18	237:3
266:12,15,25	65:7 66:6,21	13:24 18:23	preclusion
268:8 274:14	68:1 69:11	27:13 33:15,19	237:8
275:2 286:1	70:14,22 71:8	126:14,15	preeclampsia
287:13	71:21 72:8	139:9 143:3,4	149:17 166:10
potentially	73:2,11 74:8	232:1 257:3	preempted
54:16 55:7	74:24 75:4	powering 27:14	265:1
57:9 61:13	77:2 79:6	powerpoint	prefer 11:16
71:12 79:15	80:25 81:18,19	237:25	121:1 276:2,24
80:2 85:14	82:21,25 83:4	powers 107:11	preference
93:4,13 101:4	83:18 84:4	133:20,23,24	120:11 276:7
101:8,22 102:2	85:20 86:7	134:4 142:14	preferred 74:8
102:7,10,12	94:24 95:13	257:4	prefers 74:13
103:23 105:3	107:19 113:5	practically	74:14
105:22 119:5	117:17,25	72:2 183:10	pregnant
130:24 132:1	119:3 120:23	practices 18:1	165:25 166:10
133:13 160:11	121:10,15,18	28:7	prep 201:1
220:11 225:20	121:21 122:2	pray 19:10,14	preparation
231:12 238:14	122:10,21,25	43:15	201:1 264:23
243:19 246:2	123:8,10,18	pre 85:12 141:3	prepare 65:9
255:3 259:6	127:3 128:5,8	201:12 204:4,6	123:24 127:15
263:20 270:9	132:23 134:20	precautionary	152:15 153:2
275:20	137:2,10 206:4	172:4,8,13,16	275:19
poured 30:22	206:7,11,15	172:19 293:9	prepared 91:12
powell 2:13 8:6	208:21 209:12	294:21	127:22 306:3
46:24,25 47:13	212:23 218:4	preceded 99:16	preschools
47:17 48:3,17	219:16 220:15	222:23	254:9
49:12 50:24	221:3 232:11		

[presence - process]

presence 167:1	presume 91:22	principal	109:20 114:11
195:24 196:16	pretty 86:24	299:24	137:17 168:12
197:21	89:9 249:17	principle 172:5	181:20 201:13
present 10:13	prevent 76:13	172:8,13,16,20	202:1 234:2
44:6 81:20	93:10 124:25	172:22 207:15	235:24 246:1
140:2,6,7	125:6 139:2	208:1 293:9	252:5 256:11
146:12 150:19	141:1 142:6	294:22	problem 16:18
151:20 155:1,4	143:14 150:2	principles	100:5 214:8
156:7 162:15	170:17 296:4	278:15	267:2 268:3
168:12 170:9	297:24	prior 50:15	272:18 274:7
174:9,19	preventative	64:8 66:2	277:21 282:14
181:17,20	267:4	71:11 79:2	286:23 303:8
187:15 188:18	preventing	81:22 84:20	problems 40:2
199:10 220:1	118:15	109:3 118:4	149:20 290:7
221:16 226:12	prevention	121:5 223:10	303:6
presentation	266:8,11 267:8	305:5	procedurally
83:3	267:15,17	prioritizing	145:3
presentations	prevents	298:5	procedure
82:23	145:16 232:4	pristine 44:19	179:12 180:14
presented	previous 32:7	292:3	181:8
193:22 209:16	51:18 63:15	private 140:17	procedures
246:7	64:14 68:2	159:8 160:1,7	190:20
preserve	83:23 121:24	160:16 170:24	proceed 138:7
255:10	122:7,8	254:23 279:21	proceeding
preserved	previously	295:24	141:20 226:24
139:14	47:18 48:15	privately 35:22	304:6 306:4
preserving	58:15 65:20	privileged	proceedings
278:15	70:22 72:21	43:11	305:3,5,6,9
president 38:25	83:22 86:24	proactive 67:12	306:6
39:1	183:4 247:8	199:25 206:17	process 48:19
pressure 22:18	264:14 266:24	206:24	73:14,18 75:22
108:12 223:14	296:25	probability	90:11,19 105:1
pressurized	price 286:10	76:2,4	107:3 141:21
279:17	primary 210:4	probably 54:25	145:2 147:8
	210:7	81:9 97:3,5	174:2 190:24

[process - proper]

	T		
197:19 205:18	145:11 156:17	286:6,13 288:2	prohibited
215:16,17	166:16,25	products 36:6	203:16
242:8 252:7	167:2,8 173:17	37:22 148:5	prohibition
263:11,12	174:3 183:25	155:10,14	36:22 144:14
264:24 265:5	184:7,9 185:15	156:7 174:10	242:23 291:24
266:4 274:8	186:5 187:15	182:25 183:11	prohibits
287:2 293:7	188:18 191:18	282:24 292:11	218:24
processes	193:9,15,16	295:19 300:25	project 35:19
154:20 215:6	196:15 200:24	professional	projects 282:6
280:17	201:4,9,14,19	126:10 157:1	297:3
processing	201:25 203:5,8	177:3	promise 289:22
259:25	226:9,15	professionally	292:24
produce 142:23	232:22,23,24	188:22	promised
174:5 226:12	233:25 234:11	professionals	289:18 293:5
produced 14:6	234:15,16,18	62:3 135:20	promises 28:10
14:8 39:14	240:25 241:3	149:6	promote 45:23
107:19,21	282:10,14,18	professor	promoted
108:1,5,10,18	283:6,15,19	184:12,13	117:24
108:23 109:3,6	286:8	197:11 200:19	promulgate
109:10 114:16	producing	285:12	128:16 129:16
114:25 115:7	97:17 108:11	profile 205:10	promulgated
124:4,9,24	159:9 174:6	profiles 199:11	98:16 108:3
125:6,18 126:5	190:23 223:19	profit 19:4 25:4	126:19 129:8
126:14,15,17	291:19	29:22 30:24,25	129:14 130:5
126:18,22,25	product 140:9	31:9 146:10	229:13
130:8,11,16,18	155:4,6 174:11	292:2	promulgates
131:1,4,9,14	174:15 294:22	profiting 19:25	126:11,16
132:16 138:24	production	profits 22:5,9	promulgating
139:6,23,24	23:19 86:18	27:15	128:15 147:13
140:2,25 141:7	125:20 127:9	prohibit 23:18	promulgation
141:14,16,18	128:21 142:12	23:20 37:20	90:13,14,18
141:21,25	143:18 144:1	92:20 93:4	proof 295:1
142:5,11,16,18	154:23 182:23	145:9 218:13	proper 136:9
143:4,11,13,17	192:15 240:8	245:3 294:8	243:7
144:23,25	241:18 242:1		

[properly - protections]

		T.	
properly 36:3	58:9 60:20	271:25 272:10	25:4 32:19
195:13 242:3	63:10 66:9	278:25 281:3	34:1 36:6 37:4
242:17	68:25 69:7	287:1 294:8	39:11,17,23
properties	70:2 71:18	295:3 296:2	40:12 42:11
125:1,7 139:2	75:8 83:11	proposes	127:10 128:22
143:15 164:7	84:9 89:13	138:16	129:24 138:17
property 139:8	91:9,25 92:8	proposing 51:5	138:25 139:1
proponents	93:23 94:23	58:16 99:14	140:20 141:2
249:15	95:4,24 100:22	110:16 116:2	142:6 144:2,7
proposal 52:2,3	101:22 104:12	172:2 198:16	146:16 150:12
53:18 60:19	104:13 105:18	215:17 247:22	151:6,7 155:12
64:5,23 71:17	109:12,15	261:9	169:7 205:2,4
71:24 74:20	110:15,22	proprietary	208:6 225:25
75:13,17,25	117:24 119:11	53:19,21 54:2	250:14 289:21
76:3,15 78:25	130:9,21 141:5	80:2 92:21	293:10 297:8
79:14 81:23,25	142:13,17	93:1 94:1,12	301:6
82:3 84:14	144:12 146:20	205:9 215:23	protectable
85:14 86:21	146:21 147:2,4	216:6,7,8	98:21,23 99:3
89:7 92:13	155:11,15	221:13 227:24	protected 15:3
93:3 95:23	159:21 169:7	228:20 229:9	216:19 280:18
99:10 109:8,11	170:15 190:13	229:14,22	protecting 20:1
109:14,23	210:5,18	231:20 243:18	34:5 206:19
135:7 136:3	217:14,19	243:20,23	249:13 297:25
206:18 217:16	218:9,13,24	245:10,18,20	300:15
217:24 219:8	219:7 222:13	246:12 248:2,3	protection
248:9 253:2	222:15,18	248:11 255:10	19:15 62:18
260:7 263:14	225:23,23,25	255:12,16	93:25 150:5
proposals	228:7 229:1,11	260:17 261:18	169:15 246:17
63:15	230:6,10,19,24	261:20,22	246:19,23
propose 110:22	231:16 236:18	262:15 264:20	260:17,25
132:6	242:12 248:5	273:14 280:16	278:13
proposed 10:5	253:22 258:13	294:16	protections
23:17 48:5,10	258:23,25	protect 14:20	73:19,20 74:5
50:8 52:15,19	260:14 265:3,8	15:8 19:2,22	92:15 93:7
56:17 57:7	265:20 271:5	22:20 24:25	260:24 262:23

[protections - purpose]

	I	I	I
290:18	214:12 218:19	ptfe 200:12	276:20,22
protective 74:4	221:4,8,12	247:1	277:11 286:9
135:19 136:6	245:11 255:16	public 2:17,18	286:20 294:13
148:1,24 210:6	262:23 265:1	2:19,20,21,22	294:24 295:13
210:9,13	265:16,22	2:23,24 3:3,5,7	298:10 301:5,6
216:15 294:24	269:16	3:8,10,11,13,14	301:11 303:23
protects 125:23	provider	3:15,16,18,19	303:24 304:1
143:21	245:15	3:20 10:11,13	305:19
protesting	provides	10:20 11:14	publicly 225:4
26:23	111:14 128:13	12:25 15:8	270:15
protocols	141:8 148:22	19:15 24:10	published
222:18 237:6	179:24 219:13	30:10,20,24	183:16
proud 42:12	249:6 260:24	31:8 33:7 38:5	pueblo 41:9
prove 163:23	280:4	39:19 40:12	43:5,6,8
250:7 251:1	providing	46:12 47:22	295:17
291:23	10:19 66:14	62:13 94:7	puerto 35:14
proved 192:2	93:25 229:18	121:8 125:23	35:25
proven 19:9	248:24 249:5	127:10 128:22	pull 89:4 95:24
215:19 217:7	260:16 270:23	129:24 135:21	101:6,15
292:8	provision 58:19	138:17,25	109:19 113:2
provide 46:18	59:5 75:16	140:15,22	186:1 192:10
59:23 65:19	95:5 97:9	141:2 142:6	259:24 264:3,4
69:18 76:19	101:3,21 104:5	143:22 144:2,7	270:17,18
84:3 111:8,9	104:6 126:5	144:10 146:16	pulled 100:16
134:23 149:3	129:3,3,4,6,19	149:6 150:6,11	113:9,23
151:3 153:14	156:22 227:8	151:4 155:12	pulling 259:22
156:24 157:2	228:19 229:1	155:24 169:7	pump 286:11
162:13 212:5	provisions	169:21 171:6	288:4
231:22 238:25	98:13 108:19	172:18 220:12	purchased
245:15 248:21	123:21 204:16	221:17 227:25	183:11
260:3 272:22	230:11,19,20	243:23 246:23	pure 148:3
provided 65:23	231:16 261:1	249:13 264:4	183:1,9
75:15 104:16	proximity	265:7 268:22	purple 43:14
124:4 169:25	191:25	269:1 273:17	purpose 70:2
170:16 194:16		275:17 276:19	77:21 225:22

[purpose - quotes]

225:23	putting 27:15	106:13 110:14	95:2 100:25
purposed	173:5 191:17	121:17 128:9	104:9 120:4,7
239:21	203:4 279:18	132:19,20,24	120:14,22
purposes 76:14	q	133:10 134:14	121:16,24
89:24 109:16	qualified	134:15,19,25	122:2,8,18,21
111:4 115:10	212:24 305:7	139:13 161:14	122:24 123:8
124:17 125:16	qualifiers	161:15 165:7	123:10 128:5,6
127:6 164:1	239:9	176:6 177:16	137:4 152:24
173:17 190:1	qualifies	179:15 180:22	153:11 158:12
225:24,25	221:22	182:7 190:14	158:14 159:5
226:16 230:8	qualify 96:22	197:2 208:19	163:2 175:4,25
pursuant 124:1	quality 154:16	209:10 214:18	176:20,22
125:21 143:9	195:16,19	218:23 220:4,7	177:8 178:11
143:12 208:13	278:13 279:7	220:20 224:10	179:3 191:8
pursued 136:2	quant 180:17	225:21 229:24	197:12 209:13
pursuing 212:8	180:18	232:3,11 234:5	217:25 218:3
purview 127:1		239:14,16	221:22 228:4
130:22	quantification 150:22	240:2,11,22	232:18,22
put 14:11 36:20		243:1,18,24	234:20,23
39:12 53:4	quantified 250:17	244:1,8 246:24	235:9,14 239:8
60:17 99:12		249:23 252:13	240:12 244:4
101:1 105:23	quantify 150:19 162:23	253:19 255:9	260:11 262:1
115:13 175:9		258:21 271:17	268:24 270:5
185:22,22,23	250:11,15	273:23	282:17
186:13,18	251:4	question's	quick 232:18
187:8,10 189:1	quantitate	242:16	quickly 73:9
189:4 194:1	180:19,25	questioning	156:11 167:12
198:7 202:13	quantitative 162:13	122:10 137:1	224:6 268:4,7
205:1 226:6		157:21 178:6	268:7 269:4,5
248:8 272:17	quantity	181:3,23	quite 60:4
277:10 284:24	282:21 283:1,6	206:11 212:8	197:25 265:4
289:8	question 52:9 53:13 69:11	212:18 218:6	270:6 283:13
puts 60:1 81:23	77:1 91:21	228:12 273:25	quote 127:7
82:2		questions 85:20	quotes 75:21
	95:8 98:9 101:25 103:11	86:11 89:11	_
	101.23 103.11		

[r - rebuttal]

-	122:14 222:17	246:11 250:3	182:13 183:10
r	rare 96:23	270:23	184:21 185:6
r 2:1,15 3:1 4:1	287:12,17	reacted 174:7	186:5,9,13
4:3 5:1 6:1	rarely 183:1	read 13:1 53:5	192:1 195:18
10:1 15:25	rates 292:17	66:24 75:23	198:21 203:1
23:5 35:3	rather 33:7	84:10 90:1,5	205:8 224:6
38:14,14 40:23	40:9 86:16	93:21 99:10	237:4 257:4
42:19 152:13	98:21 105:13	126:5 159:13	290:21 295:11
207:16 277:22	112:5 131:17	159:22 165:1	300:14 301:2
281:17	138:19	166:20 184:3	realm 254:7
race 33:1	razatos 2:3	187:25 188:1	reason 111:20
radiation	11:9 178:14,25	199:24 200:15	202:21 203:20
287:14	180:1,4,13	200:16 204:4	205:23 210:11
radical 13:12	181:4,7,22	212:14 217:11	203.23 210.11
radioactive	182:8 183:12	217:16 219:21	275:11
282:5 295:18	183:18 185:11	268:3 279:2	reasonable
radionuclides	187:2,5,20,25	287:16	76:2,4 242:21
283:10	188:3,9,12,24	reading 106:7	reasoning
radon 295:18	189:16,19	126:1 186:10	53:15 54:6
rainforests	190:14,19	194:18 206:21	63:3 66:11,12
35:25	190.14,19	263:8	66:25 70:16
raise 145:25	235:4,11	ready 258:22	71:22 72:9
202:9 277:6	236:13,23	real 16:15	73:3 75:23
298:3	237:2,10,18	reality 250:25	81:20 84:7
raised 18:20	238:19 239:2	realize 16:23	reasons 96:11
41:10	239:12 240:10	303:7	111:21 142:10
raises 139:12	276:9	realized 206:10	213:5
raising 301:14	rex 8:5	really 12:22	rebuttal 9:6
ran 14:21	rdx 8:5	16:13,17 17:12	47:4 56:21
rankin 5:4 8:8	reach 88:15	28:1 29:16	64:22 65:15,19
85:23,24 86:4	259:7	32:6 33:23	65:24 70:17
88:20,23,25	reached 85:19	44:5 56:25	88:17 109:19
89:1 100:14	139:25	68:16 129:1	137:25 139:20
101:17,20	reaching	132:21 163:8	157:25 157:20
120:5,12,18,22	245:25 246:7	167:12 179:13	171:10 173:14
121:14 122:12	213.23 270.7	101.12 117.13	1/1.10 1/3.17

[rebuttal - reflected]

178:23 189:10	recognizes	305:9 306:5	reduced 305:7
189:18,19	153:20 190:11	recorded 305:6	refer 48:9
194:2 195:3,19	recollection	recording	213:9
209:14 212:20	48:4 124:21	305:8 306:4	reference 50:15
recall 69:14	221:3	records 59:1	50:21 53:7
87:21 94:2	recommend	72:1,3 152:12	64:7 95:13
96:2 102:1	74:15	225:19 227:25	145:15 197:14
111:24 176:9	recommendat	228:9,25	200:19,22
221:6 222:14	297:7	273:16,17	201:3
228:7 230:4	recommended	recover 223:18	referenced 51:1
receipt 276:3	74:24	recovered	102:15,15,18
receive 54:11	recommending	101:19	200:21
58:24 67:13	66:16 92:7	recovery 93:17	references 57:4
71:12 134:22	272:12	108:12,13	64:8 101:7
135:9 239:6	recompletion	226:20 258:7	referencing
255:15,15	49:1,3,9 72:15	recycle 107:21	71:7 81:19
269:13 274:9	72:18,22 73:24	recycled	213:20
received 62:4	97:2 116:1	107:25 142:25	referred 93:20
135:25 157:19	241:21 258:11	recycling 108:5	105:2
228:9 273:6	263:19,23	108:18 125:18	referring 52:7
receives 71:15	recompletions	126:17,24	64:11 88:1
receiving 53:18	136:15 222:1	143:16	99:2 116:6
53:22,23 61:21	reconvene	red 95:19	125:14 127:3
229:17 270:4,6	303:25	144:20	138:15 195:7
270:14 271:11	record 52:10	redefine 144:25	206:15 211:23
recent 146:11	52:20,21,22	145:5	refers 56:18
148:9 230:12	53:7 64:10	redirect 176:3	98:25 100:16
recently 43:8	74:8 82:17	176:7 206:6	refine 160:14
153:25 166:6	120:19 178:8	232:15,19	refinement
279:2 286:3	195:22,25	redline 64:23	125:20 129:24
recipe 149:10	196:2,22	65:15	143:19 144:7
204:11,20	208:15 221:1	redlines 66:3	reflect 63:9
recognize	235:5 243:12	95:6	214:15
113:6 191:24	249:19 272:20	reduce 17:15	reflected
204:25	281:15 304:4	151:18,19	154:15 165:17

[reflecting - remains]

- A			
reflecting	138:24 141:5,9	111:18 150:10	306:7
64:22	141:13,16,17	228:13,17	relates 169:15
reflects 166:9	142:4,5,8	280:24 295:14	227:25
187:12 188:17	143:10,15,24	300:14	relations 27:3
reframe 144:25	144:3,17 198:2	regulator 128:6	relative 305:13
145:5	214:16,23,25	128:11,23	306:10
refuse 36:3	215:4 216:11	129:2 237:21	relatively 96:23
37:15	216:12 217:3	250:13	166:6 283:13
refused 170:5	220:6 241:3	regulators	relatives 32:24
refuses 231:22	243:17 265:11	36:21 149:5	relayed 67:17
regard 166:22	271:12	156:25 170:17	75:20 239:8
regarding 13:8	regulated	246:21 249:9	release 82:2,7
79:13 80:13	62:14 88:3	249:11 250:23	115:1 130:16
126:25 146:4	90:20 114:25	regulatory	141:24
177:20 206:18	130:15,20	58:20,22 61:2	released 169:21
206:24 219:11	131:22 279:19	61:24 62:20	205:9 289:17
258:5	regulates 48:12	139:8 141:12	relevant 148:7
regardless	57:1,3 130:16	146:23 208:9	159:21,24
25:16 173:11	240:24	209:1,4 232:4	160:13 192:1
226:4	regulating 70:9	270:13 272:14	reliable 207:7
regards 261:8	87:21 100:18	regurgitating	252:10 264:17
267:6 271:23	107:15 115:12	50:14	reliably 237:15
272:16	115:19 118:2	reiterate 62:22	relied 183:14
region 159:9	118:15 142:15	65:12	183:15 219:21
regional 40:7	249:12	reject 147:10	relocate 286:22
register 108:9	regulation 32:9	rejected 144:19	relooking
regular 289:13	102:21 106:21	rejection	258:13
regulate 37:21	109:17 112:6,9	146:25	rely 190:25
61:14 78:5	114:4,8 130:18	rejoin 11:16	remain 175:14
112:1 115:6,13	140:24 222:14	relate 56:22	223:24 297:19
124:4,9 125:16	272:5 297:24	related 55:21	remained 14:6
126:5,14,15	regulations	69:14 161:15	remaining
127:7 128:1,19	37:16 57:4,5	228:14,24	210:20
128:24 129:2,4	61:14,16 62:7	229:12 230:14	remains 168:17
129:20 136:10	62:12 98:14	242:9 305:11	282:16

[remarks - rereviewing]

remarks	repercussions	52:2 53:16	209:2 243:22
275:19	166:4 200:4	60:11 64:13	281:1 289:19
remediation	rephrase	65:13,14 74:17	293:6 294:14
57:11 80:6	176:24 218:23	74:18,25	297:17
135:13 266:6	220:18,23	representing	required 58:14
266:18 268:11	replace 107:24	43:5 63:8 75:6	59:15 73:6
268:12	report 13:9,16	represents 50:8	78:10 94:19
remedy 287:13	21:10 77:3	56:14 57:16	108:9 118:16
remember 40:8	233:9 263:18	69:12 141:19	119:4 131:16
173:20 176:12	reported 1:20	reproductive	146:15 280:19
214:24 220:7	59:15 139:21	24:15 40:1	294:11
222:21 228:15	221:19 247:12	297:15	requirement
240:2,2 241:7	262:4	request 17:19	36:19 62:25
242:11 261:21	reporter 138:5	73:23 76:15,16	63:16 77:10,23
293:10	157:9	76:17 78:3,4	85:15 225:12
reminded	reporting	78:14 79:9	271:13
255:8	140:6 242:21	107:11 133:21	requirements
remove 70:8	280:23,24	257:1 272:22	19:13 39:18
83:9,12,16	281:1	301:1	50:14 57:7
removed 229:1	reports 59:24	requested	73:5 92:13
removing	114:22 259:24	204:19 207:4	102:16 104:15
70:10 72:11	261:15 267:3	245:18	290:15
83:22	270:19	requesting	requires 76:4
renewable	represent	145:8 244:8,13	79:1 108:16
285:16	41:14,16 42:6	250:19	145:17 167:4
repair 84:20,23	42:7 59:22	requests	182:20 227:12
85:8,10,10,12	60:21 64:4	231:20	requiring 76:5
85:13,13	71:17 123:19	require 41:20	110:19 118:6
repairs 84:11	159:4	62:9,12 63:13	125:5 199:3
85:6	representative	77:12 99:11	256:1
repeatedly	113:12	102:23,24	reread 184:3
149:24	representatives	107:5 124:23	244:20
repeating	28:17	143:12,13	rereviewing
296:3	represented	146:21 149:7	241:19
	48:10,22 50:16	204:8 208:4,9	

[research - reviewed]

research	resolves 179:4	142:4 173:15	resuming 82:25
145:22 148:9	resource 93:17	269:10,22	retain 228:6
148:15 155:23	resources 6:2,4	responsible	229:3
170:13,18	26:24 93:10,14	17:2,19,21,22	retention 71:24
186:12 196:25	107:25 124:3	71:2 235:21	71:25 228:24
282:6	125:24 143:23	269:19 287:25	rethink 241:9
researched	206:20 231:12	rest 33:16 78:1	retired 299:24
246:4	259:22 279:18	121:22	return 46:20
researchers	respect 124:18	restate 106:4	47:23 82:15
148:17 155:25	respectfully	214:18	reuse 36:2
169:25 170:7	301:1	restoration	107:21,21
170:20 184:21	respective	278:14	108:5,10,18,23
resection	147:5	restoring	125:19 143:17
287:14	respiratory	278:16	232:23
resembles	287:22	restrict 66:18	reused 108:1
285:17	respond 136:3	restriction	232:25 233:3,6
reside 279:8	220:19	108:14 203:4	234:6
283:11 285:13	responded	232:4	reusing 109:3
resident 21:8	261:8	restrictions	234:18
35:14	responders	108:17 173:5	reveal 245:1
residents 22:11	40:7 135:20	rests 212:22	280:19
22:20 61:8	149:5 157:1	result 36:11	revenues
150:8 248:25	responding	141:25 154:12	292:24
294:18	88:7	160:24 166:8	reverend 18:3
residuals 148:4	responds 89:7	167:18 169:22	20:10
154:25 155:4,9	response 40:2	170:12 173:2	review 55:4
155:14 173:19	66:12 89:11	180:19 194:3	58:25 92:4
174:13,16	146:2 149:18	295:21	96:15 98:22
183:2	149:22 205:16	resulted 197:17	102:25 109:20
resolana 35:16	240:4 261:3	resulting 127:8	119:7,14,15,21
resolution	responsibility	128:20 129:21	122:17 198:6
179:23 180:5	13:17 15:7	143:25 144:4	266:11 275:4,4
180:10 191:1	17:5 19:1	results 141:21	reviewed 86:23
resolve 230:1	21:11 40:11	164:13 184:6	145:22 201:2,5
	67:5 138:24	186:24 239:6,7	207:9 265:6,6

[reviewed - rule]

		T.	
273:18,19	110:8,18	291:1	43:7 46:11
reviewing	111:18,25	rights 32:20	123:4 137:9
169:21 259:23	112:9,16	300:5	226:24 230:4
rewording	114:17 115:8	rio 16:13	269:12 301:16
239:4	116:3,7,10	risk 23:23,24	303:22
rico 35:14,25	117:4,7 118:12	29:25 145:25	rooted 278:18
rid 20:17	120:2,16 122:6	147:19 148:13	rough 234:3
ridiculous	122:11 123:5	149:4 150:14	roughly 136:13
303:11	124:21 127:19	150:15 151:2	211:16,16
riding 183:5	132:22 133:8	156:18,24	213:19 233:25
right 11:21	134:17,24,25	172:11,14	254:20
18:16 22:25	138:21 148:21	173:4,8 176:16	round 195:2
27:1,4,5,8,9,20	150:3,6 157:13	176:21 177:5,7	rounded 238:2
28:8 29:18	158:10,21,24	177:9,10,19,25	routes 156:4,19
31:14,23 40:16	164:11 165:4,9	185:10 192:2	rubin 4:3
41:24 42:10	175:5 176:1,17	199:20 205:8	rule 40:5 50:21
45:12 46:20	184:16 187:3,4	220:12 223:4	51:8 53:20
47:13 48:3	188:9 189:24	293:1 294:23	57:7 58:15
50:24 52:1,4	189:25 190:22	295:1	61:18 62:9
57:14 63:7,18	195:8 199:23	risks 174:14	64:7 65:16
64:2,18 66:6	201:22 203:23	295:16	66:3 68:10
66:21 72:5,25	205:24 206:12	river 26:19	70:2 73:12
73:9 75:4	209:17 210:14	184:1 186:5,6	77:5 84:18
81:13 82:25	212:3 213:15	201:21 202:6,9	90:13,18,21
85:19 86:18	215:20,23	202:12,15	94:23 96:12,23
87:2,5,15 89:5	216:10,11	rivers 37:3	98:12,25
89:22 92:10	218:1,6 230:9	road 253:10	104:12,13
95:24 96:16,23	232:13 235:6	roadmap 198:5	105:12,18
97:8 99:25	237:5 240:17	robust 148:20	108:3,8,20
100:12,19,22	248:13 249:8	rock 283:2,11	109:4,6,12,17
101:4,14	252:17 255:11	role 77:9	110:22 114:25
102:16 103:8	257:7 272:24	235:25	115:2,3,10,11
106:16,21,24	275:13 276:11	roles 210:8	115:17 119:11
107:14 108:20	277:24 278:6	room 10:13	126:17,17,21
109:10,23	281:11 285:6	38:5 40:16	129:7,15,16

[rule - saying]

130:9,12,16,19	146:12 147:21	152:14 277:22	sampling 78:15
130:20,20	172:2 195:14	281:17 291:6	79:11 107:7,16
131:6 135:15	207:3 244:24	293:18,18,18	183:24
144:12 145:8	252:5 264:23	296:13,13	san 26:15 35:21
147:4,23	rules 10:6	299:19 301:20	256:8
148:23 149:7	12:23 15:10	sacred 15:12	sanctions 77:11
159:21 168:20	32:18 39:22	19:1,5 27:17	sand 56:6
169:7 170:16	52:18 57:11	303:1,19	114:11 245:19
204:7,14,15	78:22 79:13	sacrifice 293:4	sandia 282:3
210:20 213:20	95:4 124:9,17	safe 13:13 34:1	sandra 306:2
216:15 217:14	125:4,16	125:22 143:21	306:15
217:19 218:9	126:10,16,19	163:20 282:4	sands 245:17
218:13,24	127:5,22	297:5	santa 1:15 4:6
222:18 225:15	128:15,16	safeguards	4:14 5:7,16 6:6
225:23,25	129:5,5,7,14	31:3	6:14 23:16
228:7 229:1,11	130:4,5 135:17	safely 283:16	25:15 41:9
230:6 236:5,6	143:11 147:2	safety 59:16	45:15
236:7,10 240:8	215:5 238:16	60:6 228:14,16	sarah 3:10 7:15
252:19 258:16	242:21 252:23	298:5	277:3,20 278:2
258:21,23	252:24 261:6	saint 1:14 4:13	278:11
261:4,11 265:3	265:13,14	sake 139:14	save 147:5
265:20,25	266:20	293:3	saved 22:18
266:4,15	ruling 197:15	sale 22:16	saving 104:11
271:23 278:25	run 85:3	saltier 283:12	saw 11:19
281:3 289:9	224:22	salts 283:12	15:18 123:4
290:19 291:24	running 85:8	sample 91:5	237:24 242:18
294:8 295:3	253:14 282:15	102:25 106:23	saying 57:6
296:2	rural 302:12	118:7,17 119:6	97:11 107:2
rulemaking	S	161:16,17,19	111:24 129:2
23:18 24:21	s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	161:19 185:4,5	135:5 181:5
25:19 30:3	6:1 7:1 9:1	221:5	184:23 185:2
49:2 74:6	10:1 23:5 26:4	samples 167:5	189:6 190:16
87:25 88:15	29:1 31:17	167:9 183:25	207:18 246:18
130:22 135:23	35:3 40:23,23	184:2,4,12	261:3 279:1,1
145:2,19 146:6	42:19 152:13	201:19,20,21	284:3 302:23

[says - see]

says 14:8,18	165:12,15,22	186:21 189:15	54:1,2,21
27:17,20 99:23	166:1 170:13	195:14 236:24	56:11,16,18,25
105:21 124:1	191:22 198:10	242:19 248:23	57:13 58:8,10
127:4 128:19	199:11,15,17	297:16	58:17 59:9,10
134:13 146:12	201:2,5 211:1	secondary	59:14 60:16
205:13 207:23	295:1	108:12 162:9	61:8 63:21
213:16 217:23	scientifically	secrecy 139:13	64:11 65:16,16
250:9 280:16	151:6 155:18	secret 53:18	70:17,20 72:5
scale 83:15	scientists 91:12	93:7 94:1	72:20 74:10
scaled 84:2	148:16 187:6	139:13 218:21	75:12,19 79:8
scarcity 201:22	188:22	218:25 219:1,3	79:25 81:14
scare 279:2	scope 66:18	219:14,21	82:11 83:7,8
scavenger	70:1 84:18	228:11 245:2	83:10,10,15,23
255:1	165:3,7 209:15	246:15,16	83:23 84:2,10
scenario 132:6	209:20 211:8	260:17,23	84:13,18
132:11 134:3	215:17 265:11	280:19 290:5,5	101:10 116:12
135:18 272:4	screen 47:23	secretary	125:25 127:4,6
273:8,9	89:5 277:3,5	149:23	129:19 141:8
scenarios 61:20	281:9	secreted 244:25	143:9 230:9
136:18 161:21	screening	secrets 19:25	231:11,14
230:25 269:23	109:2 182:1,3	21:23 22:9	271:7 280:15
school 45:3	scroll 60:12,15	25:3 92:12,16	sections 13:2
285:12 299:22	sds 80:1	92:17 94:19	57:13 62:8
schools 62:1,13	search 201:1	132:9 134:6	65:25,25 74:3
254:9	224:18 264:5	169:13 204:15	77:8 124:12
science 28:11	searched	208:12 219:10	sediment
69:3 144:14	200:11	219:13 221:10	156:12
145:22 151:2	seawater	244:21 261:7	sedimentary
155:22,22	283:12	280:16 290:7	283:2
171:7 176:12	second 10:25	297:20	see 11:17 15:20
207:14,20,24	14:11 72:12	section 49:13	17:1 20:14
278:18 297:2	76:14 113:3	49:19 50:4,6,8	23:1 25:23
scientific	115:23 143:8	50:10,15,25	26:16,18,21
145:21 149:14	144:19 160:3	51:2,5,18,20	27:11,12,21,22
164:18 165:7	167:2 182:9	53:1,5,17,22	28:8,12,24

[see - shepard]

	ı	I	ı
31:14 32:10	seeks 168:20,23	97:10,15	sets 110:5
34:21 38:6	217:19	100:15 115:23	setting 55:8
43:7 51:13	seem 80:20	sentiment	123:20
55:6,10 59:2,3	seems 53:2	32:25	settling 194:13
64:6 75:18	185:24 205:8	sentiments	203:10
83:13 85:4	294:13	33:10	seven 244:22
89:4 95:5	seen 28:2,4,6	separate 74:3	250:16 282:14
100:6 109:21	33:15 60:21	100:25 106:6	seventeen
112:25 116:21	130:14 196:1	179:15 183:8	100:11
120:2 123:5	208:7 245:16	236:6 266:19	seventh 17:4
129:7 136:25	265:15	separately 68:3	several 35:15
180:16 181:8	seep 97:19	separating	72:12 75:24
181:11 182:16	seeping 24:8	73:18	142:9 179:20
186:1,9,23	sees 206:18	separation	190:4,4,5
190:15,17	self 139:21	25:10	286:1 287:19
213:12 216:22	280:23	series 174:13	shaped 210:19
218:12 224:18	selfish 41:18	255:20	share 14:12
225:2 229:6	sell 292:24	serious 22:19	36:24 170:14
237:5 244:23	selling 300:5	151:13 294:17	shared 19:6
245:4 247:9,23	send 10:19 13:4	servants 30:10	178:18 205:10
250:10 251:10	285:4	serve 18:21	sharing 36:3
251:15 253:13	sending 13:5	19:16 25:3	47:23 100:9
259:2 263:20	254:1,9,11	121:22 285:14	101:19
264:6 266:23	255:5 270:3,7	served 140:15	shark 35:3
269:25 270:17	271:10	service 68:15	she'd 22:19
277:3 295:9	sense 27:3	78:4,11 129:22	289:19
298:15	96:20,25 106:6	144:5 249:6	sheets 59:16
seedsbeneath	193:8 291:22	session 11:15	60:6 228:14,15
6:15	senses 106:7	46:17 268:22	228:16,21
seeing 34:2	sensitive	301:12,12	sheila 2:9 10:19
186:10	165:24 166:2	set 66:2,13	277:12 298:14
seek 141:5	sent 91:5	120:4 141:23	shepard 2:21
142:17 144:12	sentence 74:19	215:2 236:6	7:7 23:1,2,4,5,7
217:14 262:25	81:25 89:25	277:15	25:22
	90:2 91:10		

[shift - slides]

shift 120:3	signatures 13:4	20:10	skimmed
shifted 37:1	signed 11:18	sincerely 281:2	171:17
shirt 27:17	13:6 15:16	single 154:13	skin 25:17
shook 158:18	277:1	171:12 237:3	skip 92:10
shoot 100:3	significant	sinister 287:23	skipping 66:8
shooting 51:3	132:10 135:2	sir 125:11	skirted 128:6
51:24 95:10	154:25 156:18	298:17	skyrocketed
96:6 97:16	173:8	sister 11:18	292:18
103:12,15	silva 3:19 7:22	12:18 15:4	sleep 53:5
231:5 239:22	298:17,19,22	29:14 34:10	sleeping 17:21
short 14:4	298:23 299:1,2	38:4,24 40:15	slide 48:11 50:9
82:19 178:5,10	299:6,11 301:9	88:10	50:19 52:7,12
235:7 244:22	similar 36:5	sisters 43:22	52:20 53:8,16
293:3 298:1	81:22 116:3	sit 27:12	57:17 59:13
shortened	215:15	119:23	60:19 63:3,15
21:17 58:19	similarities	site 26:25 28:4	64:3,6,8,9,13
shorter 74:1	35:23 154:8	33:14 78:7	64:14,14 65:19
75:19 287:4	simple 17:19	247:10	66:14,23 68:3
shorthand 96:5	69:10 83:7	sits 73:13	68:7 69:12
shortly 80:21	224:18 291:22	sitting 283:8	70:7,16 71:8,9
268:23	simpler 162:19	situation 76:19	72:9 73:14
shot 25:2	simplification	77:6 134:7	74:10,17,18
show 221:9,11	73:5	218:20 229:13	75:1,9,11,11,12
262:14 288:3	simplistic	252:16 257:2	75:12,17,24
showing 199:22	148:6	285:25	78:1 80:10
shown 164:2,6	simply 36:2	six 153:24	81:14,21 83:3
shows 119:16	44:9 51:12	163:4,12,13,18	83:5,6,18 84:5
shut 225:7	59:17 68:6	163:20 197:14	84:6 89:3
si 298:19	70:17 82:4	197:17,19	109:20 110:1
side 172:18	83:14 160:11	198:17 199:3,4	112:8 213:10
194:25	185:2 205:10	215:15 250:16	213:15,16
siegel 159:7	215:18 219:20	sixteen 100:11	slides 60:12,18
sign 18:4 20:11	221:11 244:16	skills 305:10	64:22 65:21
signature	sims 2:19 7:5	306:6	66:3,13 68:2
305:17 306:14	18:5,6,9,20		71:11 75:6

[slides - speculation]

85:19 213:14	250:9 255:11	source 173:12	speaks 161:1
260:9	somewhat	175:19 186:17	spear 184:1
slightly 49:1	160:17	226:4	spec 180:5,5,10
83:21	son 297:5 298:2	sources 114:20	180:10,11,11
slipped 75:4	sophisticated	140:16 159:8	special 37:17
slowed 290:16	160:20 162:8	297:22	245:16
slowly 24:8	sorry 11:9 12:2	south 1:14 4:13	specialist
slowness	12:6 20:16	299:12,25	278:12
115:17	88:18 100:7	southeastern	specific 52:18
small 97:6	101:6 115:17	300:4	59:13 69:22
121:13 167:4	115:21 132:18	sovereign	77:17 81:4
190:12 199:6	134:11 137:16	279:8	119:13 126:22
267:4	161:10 164:19	sow 143:8	148:2 154:18
smaller 155:7	183:18 189:17	144:21	154:22 160:22
smallest 24:12	203:24,24,25	spaces 44:6	161:14,15
smoother	206:9 214:2	spanish 11:14	162:5 174:5
264:15	220:13 224:14	11:17 12:20	181:8 190:2
social 13:17	227:1 259:14	46:18 276:24	193:13 196:11
21:10 155:22	284:15,18	276:25 298:12	213:2 244:12
278:15 294:19	sort 90:18	298:22 299:1,5	264:5,5
302:11	93:19 103:11	299:7,10	specifically
soils 286:16	110:5 124:19	speak 18:24	48:21 51:11
sold 256:10,11	196:23	29:21 30:18	64:2 128:19
solely 118:14	sought 109:7	122:1 212:25	130:15 131:2
solidarity	132:11	278:10 302:17	141:10 160:5
18:24 36:23	sound 125:1,24	303:14	160:17 167:18
solutes 283:10	127:11 139:19	speaker 10:24	170:3 194:21
solution 17:15	216:25	34:13 38:7	196:12 213:10
179:9,11	sounded 175:6	88:20,24	242:16 246:5
180:15,24	sounds 124:14	233:16	spectrometry
181:1 182:2	125:2 126:1	speaking 29:13	179:23 191:1
solutions 27:11	180:7 202:2	31:2 72:3	speculate
32:3	215:14 263:2	183:10 294:7	132:24 220:15
somebody	266:7	298:22 299:1	speculation
33:11 121:9		302:8 303:19	132:20 220:22

[spell - stated]

11. 10.00	10.25	4 4 10 01	22 20 25 12 16
spell 10:22	spoken 18:25	start 10:21	22:20 25:12,16
15:24 20:20	29:15,15 32:22	12:5,13 16:7	27:12,14 30:12
23:4 26:3	spot 186:24	18:17 21:7	30:13 32:18
34:11,24 38:12	spots 196:2	23:11 26:11	37:16 39:20
40:20 42:16	spread 33:4	29:9 31:24	40:20 41:19
152:11 277:13	stability 151:11	35:10 38:21	42:9,16 48:17
281:15 283:24	stable 148:8	41:6 43:2	52:7 53:23
288:17 291:1	staff 62:3 87:8	47:23 75:10	54:8 61:1 67:9
293:15 296:12	87:15 258:25	76:16 78:20	71:25,25 76:1
301:18	259:10 270:10	121:11 161:14	82:3 87:2,5,19
spelled 18:14	271:4,9,24	161:20 167:21	87:21 88:10
56:20 236:7	272:3	171:7 174:23	93:25 94:9
spent 167:5	staffing 136:9	178:13 179:5	98:23 99:4
191:22 296:25	272:11	199:23 208:25	107:20 108:23
spill 131:19	stage 85:16	223:15,19	108:24 125:25
192:7 193:21	stake 149:14	239:19 254:11	152:11 171:18
231:18 235:20	280:11	257:19 275:17	173:15 184:9
259:24	stakes 149:12	278:7 281:24	189:10 201:23
spilled 139:24	stance 138:20	289:1,3 291:12	210:4,17
139:25 140:4	245:22	293:25 296:20	216:12,20
150:19 253:4	stand 40:18	302:7	217:10 228:2
spills 19:20	65:20 82:22	started 234:17	231:13 243:22
24:10 126:17	301:17	241:15 256:9	249:2 254:20
139:22,23,25	standard 59:18	277:16	254:22 260:16
156:14,16	98:20 161:24	starting 65:8	262:24 269:17
194:3,14	162:14 170:6	70:12 72:6	269:18 277:13
196:15 203:9	179:17 180:24	73:1,9,10 75:5	280:11 286:23
231:11,16	181:2	83:1,1,4 84:4	288:5 292:14
252:15 292:19	standardized	113:8	292:14 293:4
spine 287:12	90:7 182:4	starts 24:21	301:7,17
spirituality	standards	27:25 71:16	305:20
44:23	146:18 162:5	80:11 239:21	state's 22:12
splitting 74:15	207:14,20,24	state 1:1 2:3,5,7	292:20 297:25
spoke 29:16	stands 14:17	2:9,11,13 4:12	stated 65:1
249:11		10:22 15:13	124:18 134:15

[stated - stronger]

135:22 139:20	229:8 246:3	stewards 17:2	107:16 112:11
149:24 164:10	280:3 285:24	sticking 240:21	112:15 113:18
200:1 206:17	286:19 291:19	stones 303:15	115:14 118:4,8
209:3 211:11	292:16 294:22	stood 22:17	118:10,11,17
243:1 258:12	297:10	stop 24:19,22	222:13,16,20
260:8 270:24	statewide	27:20 97:20	222:22 223:3
statement 8:3	278:13	120:3 251:6	256:23 274:20
83:7,11 137:12	stating 43:20	273:25	274:21,25
137:16,22	76:16 228:8	stopped 22:16	275:6 286:15
138:3 141:4	262:22	stopping 80:21	288:1,2
163:21 173:22	statute 39:11	122:12 274:1	streamline
182:9,10,14,15	94:5 124:1	stopwatch	50:12
182:16 188:6	129:13 141:11	10:22 277:15	streams 118:25
198:12 199:24	146:6 243:22	storage 125:17	145:6,13
201:12 204:4,4	245:24	126:24 127:9	street 4:5 5:6
204:6 206:21	statutes 53:23	128:21 143:16	5:15 6:13
207:10,10	54:8 72:1	144:1	strict 203:4
208:7 236:21	93:25 129:15	stored 35:18	strictly 259:18
241:16 261:11	260:16 262:24	142:24	271:22 272:11
263:5 266:6	statutory 12:22	stories 13:24	strike 49:14
276:25 277:10	39:21 123:21	14:3,5,22 27:2	51:13 79:8
284:11	127:25 128:14	story 14:12,22	84:9 174:24
statements	142:15	straining 88:22	215:11,22
50:12 163:8	stay 11:7 27:6	strata 55:20	225:24 230:12
227:17	223:18 290:5	97:18 104:3	233:21 241:10
states 22:17	steam 274:23	stream 55:5,6	269:16
27:24 32:19	step 25:19	55:11,14 56:4	strikes 272:4
39:23 81:25	104:25 105:1	56:5 58:1 71:4	striking 66:16
82:4 91:17	206:19	78:17,23 79:19	270:1
124:16 127:7	stephanie 3:20	79:23 99:9,15	stringent
129:20 139:10	7:23 301:19	99:20,23	146:18 280:23
147:12 155:17	302:2,9	100:19 104:20	stripping
166:18 168:13	stephen 3:11	104:21 105:13	246:18
171:11,23	7:16 281:11,16	105:14,24	stronger 253:5
213:11,23	281:19	106:3,15,23	

[strongest - superfund]

strongest 287:6	191:18 192:1	53:14 56:15	suffering 46:1
struck 34:3	198:10	57:16 60:9	suffice 111:12
48:24 49:15	studying	63:9 64:13	sufficient 154:4
57:23 70:19	164:11	73:13,16,16,22	185:6 197:20
83:23	stuff 14:9	74:1,19,25	198:1 199:16
structurally	129:10 164:24	76:1,17 78:2	suggest 154:9
162:10	165:2 182:1	78:13 124:19	190:1 192:14
structure	237:25	128:18	suggested
161:25 265:21	sub 53:1,1,2	subsections	195:9 198:4
struggle 53:6	105:20	124:20	214:23 258:22
246:21 249:12	subject 111:17	subsequent	suggesting
struggling	124:18 220:10	35:20 53:14	199:5 244:23
253:19	262:10 267:13	56:14	259:19 286:7
student 25:6	submission	subsequently	suggestions
students	65:9 255:17	279:23	284:22
294:21	submit 298:14	subset 199:6	suggests 148:10
studied 131:10	submits 139:17	subsidized	154:7
154:7 164:1,6	submittals	288:4	suite 5:15 6:5
166:5 167:3	276:13	substance	suited 78:19
201:10 216:21	submitted	21:20 144:10	87:20
223:12 230:17	65:15 88:5	147:16 171:19	sulfonate
237:14 252:10	146:19 182:25	substances	168:11
studies 149:15	183:22 238:21	10:7 92:25	summarize
150:11 164:25	277:12	150:8,11	48:10 50:7,25
166:8 167:6	subparagraph	153:17 242:22	58:7 63:20
195:7	115:22	279:6 297:12	73:11 81:20
study 21:10	subpart 96:5	297:19	83:20 84:21
159:6,13,15,19	97:8 101:21	substantial	summary 50:16
159:20,22	105:20	148:22	78:25 153:14
164:14,15	subpoena	substantially	157:3 163:1
166:7,15,18,20	77:16 232:1	75:19	sun 27:15 29:1
166:23 167:4,9	subpoenas	subsurface	sunshine 27:13
170:22 184:21	133:21	82:5	superfund
185:8,15	subsection	successful	26:25
187:13 188:19	50:21 53:1,2	85:11	
	<u> </u>		

[supplied - take]

supplied 239:6	supposedly	156:16 166:17	47:14,18 152:3
247:9	287:17	194:5 196:14	278:3 281:20
supplier 78:4	suppression	203:1 226:13	288:23 291:9
110:16,24	166:12	239:23 240:7	293:22 296:17
111:12	supreme 34:3	surfaces 196:16	302:3 305:5
suppliers 78:11	sure 10:16	surfactants	synonymously
110:11	28:15,15 30:20	200:16,16	112:20
supplies 131:22	35:1 46:14	surprise 135:12	syntax 51:14
159:9 234:19	52:11 60:4	surprise 133.12 surround	system 29:25
248:6	67:16 68:8	228:18	37:11 79:21
	70:21 74:7		91:3 149:22
supply 24:11 160:11	89:10 90:16	surrounding 23:23 166:17	
	91:22 94:25	295:16	systematic 135:18 136:5
support 23:17 93:23 153:16	95:16 97:13		186:14
		suspect 85:2	
193:5 205:14	99:5 105:8	suspected	systems 140:15
210:11 217:10	107:1 120:14	292:8 294:23	280:6
243:15 260:14	132:21 134:12	sustained 211:9	t
261:10 289:9	136:9 161:13	sustaining	t 7:1,1 9:1 26:4
290:19 294:7	172:8 174:2,22	213:6	28:25 29:1,1
297:7	174:22 180:1,7	swathe 250:22	38:14,14 42:19
supported	181:14 182:18	253:2	152:13 281:17
292:2 294:25	184:12 189:9	swear 11:22	301:20
supporting	195:18 202:7	12:6 277:14	ta 84:12
91:12 109:2	214:18 228:2,4	sweatshirt	tables 28:5
186:10 243:19	231:14 268:18	43:22	tacit 155:25
260:20 296:2	273:2,18	sweeping	tackled 246:14
supportive	281:16 300:15	144:18	tactic 29:17
48:14	surface 55:18	switch 22:3	279:2
supports	55:22 61:7	137:2	take 10:15
144:13 200:23	62:17,19 70:9	sworn 12:10	14:19,24 17:3
258:4,14 263:8	82:4 95:12,13	16:4 18:10	38:3 65:3
263:8 278:24	95:14,21 98:7	21:3 23:8 26:8	82:15 86:2,10
suppose 111:21	98:11 103:19	29:5 31:20	120:6 121:2
supposed	129:11 136:16	35:7 38:18	133:5,23,25
184:16	136:17 140:5	41:3 42:23	166:23 173:3

[take - test]

178:5 192:24	tara 27:18	technique	tomporory
202:11 207:18	target 112:9	179:22 287:1	temporary 298:6
228:22 235:1,3	114:3 116:5	techniques	ten 14:2 178:7
271:14 294:11	174:8	179:21 180:9	198:23 235:3
298:1,4 299:8	targeted 104:4	190:25 280:17	tendency 303:6
taken 17:5	150:21 160:4,4	technology	tender 47:6
167:9 267:17	160:19 161:6,6	22:10 267:20	157:10
305:3,12 306:9	161:18,20,24	teflon 174:11	tens 96:19
tale 295:11	162:4,6,22	174:15,16	255:4
talk 43:25	165:21 179:6	183:4 186:15	tenured 184:12
46:23 75:11	190:19	187:22 200:12	tererro 26:23
89:6 92:11	targeting	tell 11:22 12:7	term 49:23
99:6 107:18	112:14 114:4,8	12:11 16:5	66:18 67:2
109:11 115:20	174:6	18:11 21:4	95:25 100:21
161:17 166:2	tax 292:24	23:9 24:17	100:22 101:2
167:17 192:23	taxological	26:9 27:1 29:6	112:15 151:11
198:14 276:12	148:20	31:21 35:8	174:20 221:20
talked 51:17	tdavis 5:17	38:19 41:4	222:13 224:7
109:13 170:23	tds 98:24 99:2	42:24 47:19	268:12 293:3
228:25 271:20	103:24	67:7 127:13	298:1,5
talking 11:5	teach 294:19	152:4 165:13	termina 140:8
49:8 70:23	teaching 17:10	165:24 169:14	terminal 168:1
88:13 95:7	teachings 25:7	170:7 181:15	terms 55:13
98:10 106:19	tearing 30:6	182:12 186:24	69:10 79:8
133:12,15	tech 197:12	188:3 204:21	145:5 161:2,7
136:14,19,20	282:2	213:15,22	295:6,11
164:24 180:5,6	technical 9:4,6	227:9 277:15	terrifying
188:11 231:14	10:11 46:12,21	278:4 281:21	24:11
245:7 248:22	76:5 79:2 94:9	288:24 291:10	tes 43:5
248:24 255:3	94:11 152:15	293:23 294:12	test 28:3,3 55:9
talks 80:10	153:2,15 157:5	294:14 296:18	102:8,11,13
101:3 230:6	157:7 210:23	302:4	105:3,21,24
taos 278:14	301:11 304:2	telling 187:7	106:1,9,15
285:13,16,17	technician	tells 165:16	118:7,11 179:9
	297:1	181:20	179:12 180:14

[test - thank]

182:3,4,5	testify 148:15	77:13 79:3	28:16,16,20,22
215:6 221:3	289:13	85:15 102:15	29:2,8,10,14
235:15 237:9	testifying 305:5	105:5 107:15	31:6,10,12,17
237:17,17	testimonies	109:2 119:11	31:25 32:5
238:9,10,11,18	43:19 157:10	119:13,18	34:7,8,19,24
250:10 251:4	testimony 9:4,6	131:23 132:1	35:4 37:25
251:18 274:21	47:4 49:15	135:10 161:7	38:1,15 39:12
tested 54:17	67:21 68:22	161:18,21	40:13,14,19,24
105:12,13	69:14,25 87:22	162:23 180:25	42:13,14,15,20
106:8 119:1	92:2 93:20	181:7,11 185:5	43:1 46:8,9,10
131:5 215:3	94:2,10 100:17	215:9 217:7	47:9,10,21
237:15 238:13	105:11 113:2	222:18,19	48:8 50:6,24
249:18,20	113:24 148:22	230:8 235:18	51:10 53:3,10
250:1,4,23	152:15 153:2	236:1,25 237:6	57:14 58:4
256:25 279:22	153:15 157:5,7	238:20 256:24	59:11 63:2,18
testful 54:17	158:13 171:10	274:7,20,25	64:18 65:5
testicular 24:14	173:14,20,23	tests 64:1 251:9	67:24 70:12
146:1 149:16	178:17,21,22	tewa 28:7	71:6,21 72:25
testified 12:12	196:11,23	302:13	75:3 77:25
16:6 18:12	202:24 205:25	texas 230:13	80:9,22 81:16
21:5 23:10	206:16 210:3	text 167:6	82:13,21 83:17
26:10 29:7	210:16 211:2,4	textile 168:3,4	84:4 85:18,21
31:22 35:9	211:22 217:9	168:7,10	101:17 115:4
38:20 41:5	220:1,5,9	thalidomide	120:18 122:15
42:25 47:20	222:14,15	22:17	122:23 123:2,3
86:14 87:18	227:5 228:23	thank 11:11,21	125:14 126:12
96:21 119:2	232:21 235:12	12:1,15,19,20	130:6 137:2,10
152:5 220:2,22	240:21 257:14	15:13,14,21	137:11 138:2,6
226:25 227:2	257:21,25	16:1,9 17:18	138:9 151:21
227:23 278:5	258:6 272:17	17:24,25 18:2	152:6 157:2,13
281:22 288:25	275:14 291:16	18:18 20:2,8,9	157:17,22
291:11 293:24	testing 33:14	20:19 21:6	158:2,3,8,10,15
296:19 302:5	33:18 35:24	22:22,24 23:13	158:16,18
testifies 147:17	55:9 56:9 68:8	23:14 25:20,21	165:9 175:25
147:23	69:19,23 76:13	25:25 26:5,13	176:1 178:2,14

[thank - think]

178:16 179:2	291:15 293:11	236:10 237:8	130:24 131:21
183:12 185:12	293:12,19	239:8 240:8	133:5 134:14
191:8,8,10	296:6,7,14,23	243:14 245:16	135:7,12
193:20 196:20	298:6,7 299:13	245:19 253:4	136:12 137:17
197:1,4,4,7,9	301:7,8,14,21	255:21 259:8	159:20 160:8
197:10 198:8	302:6,18	259:24 260:1	161:1 163:7,15
200:18 203:17	303:20 304:4	264:17 268:13	164:5,8,8,25
203:18,19	thanks 30:16	277:8 279:4	165:2 176:5
205:20,21,25	35:12 151:14	think 10:9	179:8,15
206:2 218:1	191:17	11:10,19 13:20	180:22 182:6,7
230:3 231:9	theoretically	17:12 32:25	183:8,17 186:2
232:11,12,13	268:11	33:24 43:25	186:25 187:16
232:21 233:10	thereof 150:11	44:3 45:1,6,13	188:2,18
234:21 235:4	thing 17:17	45:21 49:25	189:21 190:6,7
235:11,12,23	42:8 106:24	60:1 65:1,1,2,4	191:23 192:2
236:13 237:18	111:25 116:13	66:25 68:4	194:8 195:13
238:19 239:12	117:9 120:10	71:13 74:11	195:23,24
240:12,13,14	126:22 167:2	75:4,10 81:2	196:1,21 197:2
240:15,20	183:14 203:11	86:20 87:7,17	198:23 199:5
241:14 242:6	295:5	87:18 89:3,5,9	202:15 203:7
243:5 244:1,19	things 10:12	89:11 90:22	203:15 204:17
257:7,9,10,12	17:9,15 25:6	92:5 93:21	204:24 206:7
258:20 261:13	32:16 33:5	95:3,24 96:1	209:3,19 210:8
262:12 263:1	45:4 51:9 56:6	96:21 97:11	214:20 216:20
266:2 268:14	57:19,22 66:4	99:5 104:1	217:5 220:16
269:1 275:8,9	75:24 79:22	105:9,10 106:5	223:25 225:18
275:13,15,24	81:5 85:9	107:1,2 109:1	231:10 236:16
276:7,11,15,16	86:14 108:11	111:1 112:7	237:7 238:25
276:17 277:19	113:22 127:18	113:5,23	242:5,15
277:24 278:8,9	131:24 150:7	117:17,20,22	243:10,13,25
281:3,5,23,25	164:10 166:9	119:10 120:2	243:25 245:6
283:20,21,24	174:8 186:17	120:12,13	246:9,10,15,25
288:9,9,11,20	186:20 192:21	121:16,21	247:5,17,20,21
289:5 290:22	192:24 203:9	122:2,7 123:11	248:25 249:19
290:23 291:14	212:24 236:2	128:4 129:1	249:24 250:24

[think - today]

		T	
251:2,7,8,11	thought 87:19	159:23 189:2	188:8 191:17
252:1,3,12	106:18,20	277:1,2,16	191:23 196:5
253:10,11	160:13 184:2	278:7 281:24	198:3 201:18
254:15 256:10	188:10 252:7	289:4 291:13	205:24 223:25
256:17 257:2	thoughts	294:1 296:21	224:1 236:1,10
257:20 258:24	193:24 204:5	302:7	239:15 247:17
260:5 261:7	253:23 254:1	threshold	251:16 252:20
264:12,15	277:11	76:10 110:5	254:17,18
266:17 267:7	thousand	thrive 37:25	256:9,19
269:15 271:7	198:24 254:20	throw 254:13	260:21 264:16
271:14 272:13	thousands	throwaway	266:22 272:5
289:15,21	22:18 61:10	16:24	273:22 275:23
290:9 299:6,15	96:18,19	thursday 1:8	277:2 283:2,20
304:3	147:22 148:16	thyroid 24:14	287:16,17,20
thinking 44:10	255:4 292:7	32:12,12	289:21 296:23
54:5 196:4	thousandth	149:18	298:10,13
198:18 242:5	179:25	ticking 104:9	303:7,23
256:7 266:6	threat 141:19	tied 32:15	timeline 71:16
295:6	151:10 154:3	tight 300:14	timelines 59:9
thinklikeabee	226:3 232:2	tim 159:3	times 14:2
29:21	297:6	time 20:8,12	42:13 68:14
third 10:9 18:4	threaten 279:6	30:3 31:7	72:12 148:16
67:6,8 75:12	threatening	37:25 43:15	224:2,4 250:24
78:2 80:12	295:20	44:13 47:7	262:15 290:6
105:4 107:5,6	threats 139:10	62:4 70:6 76:7	timing 63:15
107:12 144:9	three 10:21	78:16 79:10,25	timothy 5:13
145:14 160:13	12:5 16:8	85:12 86:15	tissue 209:7,13
186:22 213:12	18:17 20:11,14	99:20 104:11	tissues 151:13
213:23 214:4,6	21:7 23:12	121:1,6 123:14	209:19
224:22 229:7	26:12 29:9	129:14 131:3	today 17:4
238:21,23	31:24 35:11	132:4 134:4	23:17 33:20
243:15 248:24	38:22 41:7	135:12 136:24	39:8 43:22
280:21	43:2,21 103:18	156:6 167:5	45:4 73:13,20
thoroughly	113:22 132:21	169:18,23	74:5 119:23
22:13	139:10 145:14	185:17 186:19	121:4 152:25

[today - traditional]

		I	
153:12 178:17	tools 160:20	toxicity 13:21	toxins 286:22
191:17 212:24	top 34:14 60:24	17:24 24:15	track 91:1
228:5,23	64:12 223:14	37:12 139:5	tracking
232:22 235:13	231:4	148:10 149:24	169:19
245:13 247:21	topic 219:25	151:18 154:8	trade 19:25
252:15 253:9	topics 107:18	154:10,14	21:23 22:9
266:20 276:20	122:19	155:9 164:9,16	25:3 53:18
278:10 290:22	toppers 186:15	165:13,14,17	92:11,16,17
291:16 294:7	total 233:20,22	165:20 192:13	93:7 94:1,19
297:4 300:12	233:24	192:22,25	132:8 134:6
302:17 303:25	totaling 139:23	toxicological	139:13 169:13
today's 120:22	touch 49:1	163:2,5,17	204:15 208:12
161:1	56:21 106:25	164:1,13 166:9	218:21,25
todd 2:18 7:4	touched 112:2	171:2 175:6	219:1,3,9,13,14
15:17,18 16:3	touching 102:1	177:8 199:4,9	219:21 221:10
together 27:4	tough 285:25	199:9	228:11 244:21
89:5 106:8	tourism 45:16	toxicologically	244:25 245:2
186:20 226:16	45:23	156:5 163:16	246:11,15,16
told 181:18	tourist 45:14	toxicologist	255:22 260:17
189:2,6	45:14,15	158:5 163:7	260:23 261:7
tomorrow	towards 37:22	164:23 176:20	280:15,18
11:15 12:21	101:12 275:20	177:11 178:20	290:4,5,7
46:16 121:3	town 43:21	192:17 209:16	297:20
274:2 275:19	toxic 17:16	216:22 237:20	trademark
276:21,25	19:9,19 24:23	259:8	75:14 217:17
277:13 298:12	35:17 36:13	toxicologists	218:14 245:23
299:4,7 301:12	37:17 41:25	87:9 163:10	trademarked
303:25 304:4	69:2 92:21	164:11	93:23 217:11
ton 212:24	93:6 144:10	toxicology	217:13,15,21
tons 269:4	147:22 163:22	155:19 163:8	247:7 260:15
took 87:3 112:8	163:24 216:22	165:2,23 166:8	262:24
166:24 167:2	217:2 249:20	175:4,10	trademarks
178:10 207:3	250:6,18	176:22 177:7	218:16
248:16 265:22	278:23 292:9	177:14 197:22	traditional
	292:10		278:19 279:10

[traditional - truthfulness]

280:5	treat 283:15	232:17,20	305:9 306:5
traditions 25:7	treated 142:25	233:18,19	truly 205:9
25:8	231:7 254:25	234:20,22	290:3
training 212:2	259:2	241:8 242:7	trump 291:25
transcriber	treating 51:24	243:6,9	trust 29:11
306:1	95:11 96:7	tribal 61:24	30:9 42:6
transcript	97:16 103:12	302:11	185:22,23
276:3 306:3,5	103:16 231:5	tribe 61:22,25	186:18 187:8
transcriptionist	239:22	tried 160:14	189:1,4
305:8	treatment 51:3	198:21 201:6	truth 11:22
transition	51:22 125:18	233:14 251:17	12:7,11,11,12
238:15	125:20 126:24	287:7,13	16:5,5,6 18:11
translation	129:23 131:8	tries 216:10	18:11,12 21:4
12:20	131:14 143:16	trigger 54:22	21:4,5 23:9,9
transparency	143:18 144:6	253:16	23:10 26:9,9
12:24 15:8	222:4 255:2	triggered 104:3	26:10 29:6,6,7
18:1 20:6	280:7	104:14,15	31:21,21,22
242:21 297:16	trees 26:18	triggering	35:8,8,9 38:19
transparent	303:15	103:6 243:19	38:19,20 41:4
16:22 17:6,9	tremaine 4:11	triggers 57:7,8	41:4,5 42:24
17:14 40:12	8:7 47:15,21	57:9 80:1	42:24,25 47:19
161:3 264:11	48:2 52:6,24	105:2	47:19,20 152:4
264:18	53:3,11 64:10	trinity 28:8	152:4,5 277:15
transport	64:15,20 65:5	33:11	278:4,4,5
125:17 126:24	65:6 80:22,24	trivial 180:2	281:21,21,22
143:16 196:17	81:13,17 82:10	troutman	287:8 288:24
transportation	82:20,24 85:18	193:21 226:25	288:24,25
129:22 144:5	85:22 86:12,23	227:2	291:10,10,11
transported	89:12 100:2,16	trucks 14:7,8	293:23,23,24
142:24	102:1 113:23	trudell 27:18	294:12,15
trapped 283:2	121:20 122:17	true 152:21	296:18,18,19
travel 196:13	128:2 158:19	153:8 158:7	302:4,4,5,17
treasurer	158:20 206:5,6	167:11 170:25	truthfulness
285:14	208:14 211:7	171:14,21	185:20
	214:21 232:15	211:15 237:4	

[try - underpinnings]

	I	I	
try 66:18 105:1	132:20 144:21	ubiquitous	102:9,16
112:8 150:3	145:13 156:4	118:22 131:13	104:12,12
162:9 186:9	161:21 166:24	170:2 185:24	108:5,8,19
191:2 208:23	178:7 183:18	ubiquity	109:4,7,17
273:1 285:1	184:3,4 185:13	184:18	114:22,25
trying 14:20	185:15 186:20	uh 257:16	115:11,19,22
50:11 53:4,7	187:18 210:21	267:24	115:23 125:3
76:24 101:18	233:13 236:18	ulcerative	125:22 126:4
134:2 143:8	242:19 250:6	149:16	127:1,16,20
145:4,12	254:20 261:14	ultimately	128:1 129:19
182:16 183:3	263:13 280:25	67:14 111:7	130:9,11,15,15
186:8 187:25	type 81:1 85:9	133:22 202:14	130:22 131:2
188:1 202:22	93:24 117:14	unable 160:23	133:20 135:7
246:22 249:9	136:2 170:17	unanswered	135:14,15,17
250:21 251:6	203:11,11	282:16	143:20 169:2
251:12 272:20	260:15	unaware 286:1	183:23 195:14
tsca 91:23	types 113:12	uncharacteriz	228:6 236:5,6
tuesday 43:14	114:23 279:22	160:19	236:12 243:2
tumor 287:12	279:24	uncompensated	252:21,23
turn 137:8	typewriting	28:9	257:3 262:23
178:11 268:25	305:7	uncontrolled	264:16 266:19
290:13	typical 62:8	154:19,23	297:20
turned 298:15	typically 61:10	unconventional	undergo 155:5
turning 276:18	85:6 97:4	160:2,15 192:3	underground
301:15	218:15 223:13	under 27:13	61:10 97:19
twenty 139:10	234:17 239:5	37:16 48:11	141:23 267:6
183:18	typo 64:6	51:22,23 54:20	279:17
twice 20:17	typo's 64:12	56:11 57:4,24	underlying
two 11:17	u	58:3 62:17	150:4 200:4
12:14 14:4	u 29:1 35:2,3,3	68:24 77:9	280:3
20:14 46:13	283:25 284:4,8	78:19,21 79:13	underneath
61:7 68:19	293:18 296:13	80:6 90:21	252:24
69:9 89:14	u.s. 27:25 90:8	91:3 95:9	underpinnings
92:6 101:10	170:2 280:3	96:22 97:9	147:5
103:11 112:20	286:2	98:17,19 99:22	

[underscores - uranium]

underscores	69:5,24 89:10	undone 155:22	unmuted
156:17	89:23 90:11,12	unfortunate	281:13
understand	91:15 95:15,21	37:5	unnecessary
19:21 35:16	99:1 115:18	unfortunately	66:17 79:1
39:13 48:17	119:24 124:6	185:25 198:22	269:8
57:6 62:24	128:12 143:1	205:17	unneeded
67:21 84:24	147:18 160:21	unidentified	136:23 272:9
90:6 95:17	161:25 169:19	10:24 34:13	273:12
97:14 98:17	171:23 177:9	38:7 88:20,24	unreacted
99:6 103:10	177:13,19	233:16 292:7	174:7
112:5 116:13	180:22 200:2	uniform 92:16	unregulated
117:23 118:1,1	201:15,16	219:13 244:21	189:12
119:10 125:13	205:3 207:12	unimpacted	unstable 289:6
125:25 128:5	209:6,22 211:1	62:5	untreated
144:22 160:15	215:10 218:9	unintentionally	26:25
162:9 164:9	218:11 219:8	261:5	unwillingness
167:7 169:2,6	221:23 229:8	unique 224:11	170:14
169:22 178:21	245:1 254:16	unit 61:7,20	update 39:18
179:8 181:14	understands	united 18:22	81:1
182:7 197:23	142:10 236:17	22:17 27:24	updated 80:17
200:11 201:13	understood	32:19 39:23	81:3 90:17,24
201:13 202:23	87:7 91:8	166:18 168:13	108:4
207:13 214:19	96:10 97:11	285:24 286:18	updates 90:10
228:3 234:7	98:11 99:9	291:17	90:23 91:1
236:16,19	107:2 163:12	universe	252:2
237:22 250:13	246:17	163:18	updating 81:4
254:2,10,12,13	undisclosed	unknown 24:7	upfront 131:17
254:14 267:4	22:7,21 23:22	37:19 39:11	135:25 289:8
270:3,10,13,21	48:14 57:20	155:11 181:25	upheld 20:7
271:11 272:23	92:14 168:24	unlisted 292:10	uplift 32:7
273:2 286:14	217:17,23	unmonitored	uplifting 18:25
understandable	218:10 219:6	154:23	upstream
110:8	229:12 244:9	unmute 11:8,23	16:15 32:16
understanding	244:25 245:3,7	34:15	uranium 14:24
52:14 61:21	262:19 294:9		295:10,15,22

[uranium - variety]

295:24	194:4 196:17	171:23 182:4	161:4,18
urge 15:9 25:20	201:25 203:7	193:8 200:7,12	186:15 193:13
39:22 40:4	213:23 219:5	200:17 201:14	204:22 210:21
148:17 172:17	223:21 233:8	208:5 217:18	215:3 232:5
298:4	234:15,16	217:23 219:5	234:18 245:17
urges 146:25	236:20 241:20	221:13 226:19	248:9 251:3
147:10	242:24 244:16	226:22 227:12	252:8 258:25
usa 35:18 36:6	244:24 245:3	238:7,8,12,22	259:9,22
usage 203:5	261:22,22	242:14,22	263:16 264:14
use 10:7 17:11	262:16 263:18	244:17 245:9	265:21 266:13
17:15 19:8,13	264:13 280:6	247:1,2,12	267:12,14
20:5,7 22:12	286:8 292:19	250:8,9,11,11	285:25 286:5
23:18,20 37:20	292:22 293:7,9	251:13,14,19	utilization
41:21 49:10	294:9	251:25 253:4	154:15,24
63:23 70:18,19	used 21:14 25:1	256:23 257:5	utilize 129:2
73:22 80:12	27:8 39:19	259:4,5 264:2	utilized 35:18
86:16 92:14,21	49:4,23 50:2	264:18 265:13	utuado 35:14
93:4,15 96:4,9	54:12,25 56:2	265:17 266:24	v
96:13 105:4	58:18 68:9,17	273:20 274:16	v 40:23 288:18
107:24,25	68:24 72:11	280:8,20	vaccine 40:2
112:20 114:22	76:1 81:9	289:10,20	146:2 149:18
116:2 123:14	86:17,24 99:15	290:21 292:15	vaguely 87:23
129:13,14,15	99:24 100:18	297:12,17,21	204:2 220:8
129:17 134:3	104:20,22	303:11	valle 26:17
140:19 141:11	106:3 107:9	uses 57:11 76:3	valley 26:17
141:22 144:14	111:11 114:14	129:12 175:8	285:16 299:12
145:9,16	115:8,8,18,25	193:3,5	299:25 300:7,7
150:20 162:8	116:17 117:4,4	using 12:3 39:6	valuable
162:22 165:18	117:9,13 118:8	41:22 49:7	286:24 298:2
166:22 169:4	119:17 126:18	57:25 60:5	value 182:9
173:16 179:20	136:20 144:12	61:9 63:25	185:1 205:9
179:22 183:3	147:13 148:2	67:1,2 68:9,10	values 42:3
187:11,22	160:18,20	81:7 84:19	278:19 292:1
192:18,19	167:4 168:4	116:3,14 129:6	variety 36:8
193:11,18	170:21 171:11	130:8,11 148:7	154:20 186:17
			15 1.20 100.17

[variety - want]

282:5	vice 39:1	voiceless 41:15	42:12 50:1
various 182:2	vicinities 78:21	voices 291:19	53:6 54:19
200:3	vicinity 78:15	291:21	58:24,25 59:6
vast 145:21	victory 22:5	volatilization	66:19,19 67:11
154:22	288:19	156:16 194:13	67:16 68:8,18
venues 44:10	vida 27:10	203:10	68:23 70:21
verification	video 289:7	volitation	74:7 77:1
84:25 85:15	videoconfere	196:13,14	78:22 79:6
274:8	2:16,17,18,19	volkmann 3:14	80:9 83:15
verifications	2:20,21,22,23	7:18 288:13,14	86:12 89:10
84:16,17	2:25 3:4,6,9,10	288:18,18,22	92:11 95:2,16
verified 80:12	3:12,13,14,15	289:14 290:24	97:10,13 98:10
80:13,25 84:14	3:17,18,19 4:3	volume 11:2	99:5 101:1
105:4 213:12	view 173:6	233:24 284:7	105:8 106:4,18
213:24 214:4,7	199:19 202:23	284:12 298:21	106:22,25
215:12,17,18	viewed 214:9	volumes 233:23	107:1,1 108:9
238:21,22	villages 28:5	voluntarily	109:10 111:7
250:23	villanueva	150:14 218:14	112:19 117:17
verify 36:21	26:15 27:2	218:25 219:3	120:7,14
84:19 85:9	violated 208:11	229:15	133:14 137:2
105:5 149:2	violates 266:15	vote 291:23	150:13 151:16
156:23 215:5,7	violation 215:8	vulnerable	161:11 163:1,6
262:1 280:22	215:8	19:22 24:9	167:12 171:9
verifying 84:22	violations	W	181:15 197:15
version 66:20	215:5	w 15:25,25	201:13 203:1
79:18 83:16	virginia 159:10	wait 137:13	204:5,11
84:1,2 162:19	159:16,21	walk 44:24	209:22 219:10
170:15	170:24	112:25 116:21	221:19 224:6
versus 71:9	virtual 158:4	257:19	224:21 230:3
84:23 119:8	visitors 37:4	walked 94:23	230:11 232:24
131:8 161:6,19	visually 60:21		237:13,17
vested 30:9	voice 88:22	walking 22:4 want 14:18	239:3 249:9,21
veteran 296:25	123:7 237:24		261:14 264:21
vials 186:15	285:7	16:25 19:17	264:24,24
		22:19 29:10,13	267:9,16
		32:7 33:3	,

[want - water]

272:22 273:25	142:24 143:5	33:2,17 34:1,1	138:24 139:6
285:1 289:2,6	143:25 144:4	34:5 36:11	139:16,23,24
289:19 295:5	144:24 145:1,1	37:5,23 38:25	139:25 140:2
299:9 302:12	145:6,12,13	39:4,14 41:23	140:14,15,16
wanted 14:5	193:17 211:15	44:1,4,5,5,21	140:17,20,25
16:11 28:16	211:19 212:2,4	50:2 55:18,22	141:7,14,16,18
59:4 64:23	212:11,13,21	56:5,7,11	141:22,23,25
83:13 112:12	212:25 213:3	67:22 70:10	142:5,11,16,18
134:21 159:5	240:23 282:5	79:20 95:14,18	142:23 143:5
161:14 189:8	282:13 286:20	95:21 96:8	143:11,17,21
198:2 212:12	288:1,1 292:19	97:20 98:7,11	144:23 145:1
225:2 240:22	wastes 127:8	98:21,25 99:19	145:11 149:14
275:21	127:14 128:1	102:7 103:19	154:1,16
wantonly 29:19	128:20 140:25	103:23 107:19	156:12,17
wants 79:22	141:7	107:22,25	159:8 160:2,8
111:3,4 122:4	wastewater	108:1,5,10,15	160:11,16
207:23 219:4	280:7 282:14	108:16,18,23	165:19 166:16
275:22 302:15	286:14	109:3,6,10	166:17,25
war 32:8	watch 28:19	113:24 114:4,9	167:2,8 170:24
295:10	watchdog	114:14,16,16	183:25 184:7,9
warfare 22:1	30:18	114:16,22,23	185:15 186:5
29:17	watched 24:16	115:7 116:9	186:16 187:15
warm 27:12	27:22	117:3,4,7	188:18 191:18
warriors 22:1,3	water 13:12,13	118:8,25 119:8	192:4 194:5
wash 14:25	13:22 14:6,8,9	124:4,9 125:18	196:1,15 199:3
280:6	15:12 19:1,2,3	125:22,24	199:22 200:24
waste 17:20	19:5,23 21:18	126:6,14,15,17	201:4,9,14,19
35:17 37:15,17	22:1 23:23	126:18,23	201:22,25
37:22 93:10,14	24:1,2,3,3,6,10	127:1 130:8,11	202:10,14,25
93:18 97:19	24:24 25:5,13	130:17,18	203:1,5,8
127:16 128:25	25:18 27:10	131:1,4,9,13,13	207:8 208:11
129:9,11,21	28:5,7 30:5,6	131:15,22	226:12,15
138:25 139:6	30:13,18,22	132:16 133:20	231:17 232:2
141:1,22,25	31:6 32:4,17	135:5 136:16	232:22,23,25
142:11,16,19	32:19,20 33:2	136:16,16,17	233:8,22,25

[water - wildearth]

	I	I	I
234:6,11,16,16	way 17:11,14	250:16 265:15	went 190:1,6
234:18 240:7,7	20:14 24:23	276:19 285:25	264:25
240:25 241:3	25:15 31:1	weakened 22:6	west 159:10,15
250:4 253:16	32:14 36:21	weapons 35:23	159:21 170:23
267:6 268:12	43:16 50:21	wearing 27:17	230:13
268:13 274:17	64:19 80:18	43:22	wg 166:14
274:24 275:3	82:5 99:9,13	website 224:25	whims 19:4
278:13,17	112:24 121:7	264:14 280:15	wholeheartedly
279:7,13,21	142:11 196:12	week 10:17	186:12 278:24
280:6 282:8,10	203:9 215:7	121:22	wide 249:17
282:18,18,21	217:6,16 231:3	weg 144:24	253:2
283:1,1,6,6,9	236:7 237:7,23	weight 149:19	widely 147:14
283:11,15,19	238:1 244:11	179:24 185:22	156:11 246:4
286:6,8 289:17	248:8,15 250:7	185:23 291:21	249:18
290:2,3,10,12	250:7,11,22	welcome 197:6	wider 156:20
292:3 297:1,22	251:1 258:12	wellbeing	widespread
297:25 299:17	262:1 267:20	40:10	286:24
299:18 300:5	268:2 272:10	wells 36:16	wife 14:13
300:10,18,22	276:9,21 282:1	58:11,12 72:3	wild 202:12
301:5 302:25	284:11 285:7	84:11 109:3	wildearth 5:12
302:25 303:1,2	287:7 292:11	110:12 124:24	5:14 49:5 51:6
303:16,18	295:4 299:10	125:5 136:13	52:15 56:16
water's 114:25	303:3,8	140:17 143:13	60:18 63:10
290:4	ways 44:7,23	159:15 160:2,8	64:4 65:21
waters 39:12	200:3 279:10	160:16 170:25	71:23 76:2
39:17,24 43:16	287:9 295:7	224:4 254:21	82:3 95:19
98:23 99:2,3	we've 10:10	255:2 264:7,7	99:10 105:19
131:11 139:16	13:3 17:14	264:19 270:17	130:21 138:15
236:3	44:15 56:15	279:18,21	159:4,12
watershed	57:16 66:13	300:5	166:14 171:9
26:22 29:20	68:1,4,4,25	wendell 1:13	171:15 193:22
30:2	69:11,13 72:11	wendy 3:14	219:7 231:4
waterways	80:1 83:19	7:18 288:12,18	241:19 243:3
131:24 151:7	89:9 196:1	288:22	247:22 249:15
156:15	214:11 247:24		258:13 294:8

[wildearth - works]

295:3 297:8	139:20 152:3	255:22 256:13	159:19 167:19
wildearthgua	178:24 179:14	256:17 257:9	178:4 275:17
5:17	180:2,7,21	257:15,17,22	wood 45:3
wildlife 31:5	181:6,13 182:6	257:25 258:3,9	word 66:15
45:20 151:10	182:18 183:17	258:19,24	95:18 116:2,3
willful 110:4,5	184:17 186:25	259:14,16,20	116:14 141:11
willfully 71:3	187:4,9,21	260:5,12,22	187:11 214:5,5
william 2:7	188:2,5,10,13	261:12,17	223:22 228:22
197:11	189:14,17,21	262:3,9,20	words 29:16
willing 49:18	190:17,21	263:6,12 264:2	75:24 141:15
260:2 293:1	191:14,21	264:12 265:4	150:24 187:10
window 121:13	192:16 193:4	266:10 267:7	214:4
wipe 192:8	193:23 194:1	267:22,25	work 13:15
wise 303:17	194:11,17	268:6,24	44:9 45:25
wish 24:18 68:2	197:6,18	269:13,21	86:11 119:5
299:12	198:13,22	270:2 271:7,18	163:11 188:17
withdrawn	199:18 200:9	272:2 273:1	192:17 198:18
92:8	200:25 201:16	274:10 275:15	244:11 254:11
witness 3:9	202:4 203:6	278:3,8 281:20	263:11,13
12:10,15 15:6	204:2,17	281:25 288:23	268:4,7 278:19
16:4,9 18:10	205:17 206:2	289:2,5,16	279:19 284:20
18:15,18 21:3	215:14 219:20	291:9,14	297:2 302:11
21:8 23:8,13	232:12 235:23	293:22 294:2	worked 14:24
26:8,13 28:15	236:22 237:1,7	296:17,22	169:17 282:3,4
29:5,10,11	237:11 238:3	302:3,8 305:4	worker 302:11
31:12,20,25	238:24 239:5	witnesses 8:5	working 29:23
35:7,12 38:18	240:4,14,19	87:18 122:8	55:1 61:3
38:23 41:3,8	241:1,4,11,15	183:15 258:6	67:12 256:9
42:23 43:3	242:11,25	woman 14:13	262:5 274:13
46:6 47:18	245:6 246:10	38:24 302:10	278:24 279:5
120:23,25	247:5 248:1,14	women 14:25	282:3
121:2,3,3,15,19	249:3,24	wonderful	works 13:24
128:12 133:2	251:11 252:1	299:12	14:14,15
133:10,18	252:18 253:6	wondering	273:17
134:14,22	253:25 255:14	121:8 158:5	

[world - zoom]

world 33:16	16:3 18:3	205:17 206:12	yesterday's
42:3 45:23	X	206:12 208:18	49:14
118:22 148:14	x 8:1 9:1 179:8	220:17 224:12	yield 139:15
158:4 236:21	179:9,12,13	230:10 234:8	yoga 25:6
world's 280:3	180:15,16,17	241:13 246:8	younger 37:7
worldview	181:16,18,20	253:18 255:8	Z
43:13	xanthan 68:16	256:7 268:2	z 20:21 29:1
worried 106:21	xu 200:19	269:3,4 273:22	284:1,4,8
worship 13:10		277:19 281:11	zero 184:16
worth 42:1	y	302:24 303:9	zip 25:17
wpx 256:11,11	y 15:25 23:3	year 96:19,19	zip 23.17 zone 108:15
256:14	31:17 293:18	97:1,4,7	293:4
wqcc 57:4	y'all 303:12	136:14 140:5	
61:14 62:6	yeah 11:6,11	183:19 233:2	zoom 264:4
wrap 15:5	23:5 28:25	254:21,24	
22:23 28:14	46:6 47:8	255:3,4 287:11	
46:4 269:3,4	52:16 53:4	years 14:2 21:9	
288:6	57:10 64:25	27:5,9 32:11	
writing 10:18	66:2 88:24	39:5 44:15,22	
277:11 284:24	91:17 100:6	156:6,8 169:18	
285:4	101:11,14	170:12 211:17	
written 68:11	104:7 106:11	212:2 234:9	
73:13 82:5	106:12 109:10	253:7,14 282:3	
184:13 191:5	113:3 120:9	299:23	
236:10 263:13	122:6 128:4	yellow 26:18	
276:2 277:11	130:2 137:15	yep 256:13	
298:14	163:6 174:22	yesterday	
wrong 142:9	176:23 180:21	47:24 48:6	
184:6 239:16	181:13 182:6	86:12,14 96:21	
291:23	187:7 188:10	106:25 107:3	
wrote 185:17	189:14,16	182:12 183:20	
240:10	192:16 194:1	184:1,3 219:17	
wynward 2:18	195:23 196:8	235:14 246:24	
7:4 15:17,18	196:19 197:18	247:20 249:11	
15:19,22,25	202:4 204:3,12	252:14	