1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
3	
4	Case No. 23580
5	
6	Friday, November 15, 2024
7	8:30 a.m. MST
8	
9	
10	Pecos Hall, Wendell Chino Building
11	1220 South St. Francis Drive
12	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Job No.: 6963000
2 4	Pages: 1 - 338
25	Reported by: Cappy Hallock, RPR, CRR
	Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF NMOCC:
3	DANIEL R. RUBIN, ESQUIRE
4	New Mexico Department of Justice
5	408 Galisteo Street
6	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
7	505-537-4477
8	drubin@nmdoj.gov
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF NMOCD:
11	JESSE K. TREMAINE, ESQUIRE
12	State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
13	1220 South Saint Francis Drive
14	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
15	505-231-9312
16	jesse.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
17	
18	ON BEHALF OF NMOGA:
19	CRISTINA MULCAHY, ESQUIRE
20	Holland & Hart LLP
21	110 North Guadalupe Street #1
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
23	505-988-4421
24	camulcahy@hollandhart.com
25	
	Page 2

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF WILDEARTH GUARDIANS:
4	TIMOTHY M. DAVIS, ESQUIRE
5	WildEarth Guardians
6	301 North Guadalupe Street, Suite 201
7	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
8	205-913-6425
9	tdavis@wildearthguardians.org
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF:
12	NICHOLAS R. MAXWELL, ESQUIRE
13	(by videoconference)
14	
15	ON BEHALF OF NEW ENERGY ECONOMY:
16	MARIEL NANASI, ESQUIRE
17	New Energy Economy
18	300 East Marcy Street
19	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
2 0	505-469-4060
21	mariel@seedsbeneaththesnow.com
22	
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 3

1	ALSO	PRESENT:
2		Felicia Orth, Hearing Officer
3		Gerasimos Razatos, Acting Director - State of
4		New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
5		Greg Bloom, Commissioner - State of New
6		Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
7		Dr. William Ampomah, Commissioner - State of
8		New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
9		Sheila Apodaca, Law Clerk - State of New
10		Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
11		
12		Carlos Matutes, Member of Public (virtually)
13		Shelley Mann-Lev, Member of Public
14		(virtually)
15		Kathleen Burke, Member of Public (virtually)
16		Emma Mincks, Member of Public (virtually)
17		Rebecca Sobel, Member of Public (virtually)
18		Nika Beauchamp, Member of Public (virtually)
19		Dr. William Athas, Member of Public
20		(virtually)
21		Laura Watchempino, Member of Public
22		(virtually)
23		José Villegas, Member of Public
24		Colin Cox, Member of Public
25		Senator Harold Pope, Jr., Member of Public
		Page 4

1	ALSO	PRESENT: (Continued)
2		
3		Sheila Apodaca, Law Clerk
4		Mandy Sackett, Member of Public (virtually)
5		Caitlyn Bizzell, Member of Public (virtually)
6		Kayley Shoup, Member of Public (virtually)
7		Krystal Curley, Member of Public (virtually)
8		Deirdra Velasquez, Member of Public
9		(virtually)
10		Sandra Stulberg, Member of Public (virtually)
11		Hazel James, Member of Public (virtually)
12		Antoinette Reyes, Member of Public
13		(virtually)
14		Senator Jeff Steinborn, Member of Public
15		(virtually)
16		Aria Attoidom, Member of Public
17		Polly Bungum, Member of Public
18		Frankie Baca-Lucero, Member of Public
19		Randon Norine, Member of Public (virtually)
20		Seneca Johnson, Member of Public (virtually)
21		-000-
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 5

1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	REBUTTAL WITNESSES DX CX	RDX RCX
4	JANET ANDERSON, Ph.D.	
5	By Ms. Mulcahy 55	
6	By Ms. Nanasi 134	
7	By Mr. Davis 147	
8	By Ms. Mulcahy	164
9		
10	STEPHEN RICHARDSON, Ph.D.	
11	By Ms. Mulcahy 198	
12	By Mr. Davis 249	
13	By Ms. Nanasi 258	
14	By Mr. Tremaine 284	
15	By Ms. Mulcahy	287
16		
17	EXHIBITS	
18	EXHIBIT	ID/EVD
19	Guardians Exhibits 4-5, 7-8	/ 4 9
20	Hearing Officer Exhibit 1	54/54
21	NMOGA Exhibits E through E30	/56
22	Guardians Exhibits 1-2	/198
23	NMOGA Exhibits D through D14	/199
24	NMOGA Exhibits A, B and C	/299
25	Substitute Hearing Officer Exhibit 1	/300
		Page 6

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
4	My name is Felicia Orth. I have been appointed by
5	the Oil Conservation Commission to conduct a
6	hearing on proposed amendments to the Commission's
7	rules to address PFAS in oil and gas extraction.
8	It is docketed by the hearing clerk as 23580.
9	We are going to begin this morning
10	with our, what would this be, seventh or eighth
11	opportunity for nontechnical comment as part of
12	this hearing, and for this session we do have an
13	interpreter, her name is Ashley Ortiz, to provide
14	interpretation between English and Spanish.
15	Ms. Ortiz, will you let the folks know
16	that you are available?
17	MS. ORTIZ: (Speaking in Spanish.)
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	So the folks I have who signed up to
20	offer comments and who may or may not be present
21	on the platform please raise your virtual hand, or
22	turn on your screen if you would prefer, to let us
23	know that you would like to offer comment during
24	this session.
25	Let's see, we have first William
	Page 7

1	Athas. Mr. Athas, can you hear me? Can you
2	unmute yourself?
3	Mr. Athas? No. All right, let's go
4	to the next one, Sheila. This is Carlos Matutes?
5	MR. MATUTES: Matutes.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Matutes. Would
7	you spell your name, please.
8	MR. MATUTES: Yes. C-a-r-l-o-s, last
9	name is M-a-t-u-t-e-s. I am the New Mexico
10	community advocate for GreenLatinos.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, and
12	do you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
13	MR. MATUTES: I do.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
15	your three minutes.
16	MR. MATUTES: Thank you, very much.
17	At GreenLatinos we are a national
18	organization working for environmental justice for
19	Latino populations and other communities of color.
20	For us throughout the country high fluoroalkyl
21	substances, especially surfactants in fracking
22	fluids, are a major concern. Here in New Mexico
23	we have an additional concern because of our
24	governor's desire to create produced water under
25	her strategic water supply plan.

1	As I'm sure that you have heard
2	throughout this entire week, a minuscule amount of
3	PFAS in any kind of drinking water basically makes
4	it unusable. Here in New Mexico water is
5	obviously a major concern. We are in a 25-year
6	drought. We are a desert state. We have very few
7	options for surface water. We rely very heavily
8	on groundwater. If we are using PFAS in our
9	fracking fluids, and again as I am sure you heard
10	many times throughout the week, these are
11	considered trade secrets. The only state, to my
12	knowledge, that no longer has those trade secret
13	protections for fracking fluids are our neighbors
14	to the north, Colorado and New York.
15	We would encourage a ban on the use of
16	PFAS for industrial use, specifically in oil and
17	gas (distorted sound). There is far too much risk
18	for ingress into groundwater supplies through
19	leaks in well casings, through basic spills which
20	happen every single day, especially in the Permian
21	Basin.
22	In addition to the risks to our
23	groundwater, we are very concerned about the risks
24	to the health and well-being of the New Mexicans
25	who are working in those oil fields. These are in

1	many cases some of our most vulnerable citizens so
2	please, please ban the use of PFAS in oil and gas
3	explorations in fracking fluids.
4	Thank you, very much.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
6	Mr. Matutes.
7	Next we have, let's see, a Dr. Emma
8	Mincks. Can you unmute yourself? I'm not hearing
9	anything. Dr. Mincks?
10	Okay. Let's move on. We will come
11	back around to everybody. Let's see, Shelley
12	Mann-Lev.
13	MS. MANN-LEV: Yes, good morning.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
15	Would you spell your name for the transcript,
16	please.
17	MS. MANN-LEV: It does look like now
18	that the person you called before me is available.
19	But my name is Shelley Mann-Lev. My name is
20	spelled S-h-e-l-l-e-y, M-a-n-n hyphen L-e-v.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Do
22	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
23	MS. MANN-LEV: Yes, I do.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
25	your three minutes.

1	MS. MANN-LEV: Thank you so much.
2	I'm here today, I am a public health
3	leader in New Mexico. I'm currently the executive
4	director of Healthy Climate New Mexico, an
5	organization of New Mexico health care and public
б	health professionals and students who are
7	mobilized to advocate for climate solutions that
8	protect health and promote equity, and this is
9	both a health equity and a public health issue
10	that you are seriously considering.
11	PFAS, these very toxic chemicals, and
12	of course we don't know exactly which, how and
13	what because that transparency is not available to
14	us in the fracking industry. PFAS are, as we know
15	to be, extremely toxic in tiny amounts and we
16	have, I have as a public health expert practicing
17	for the last 30 years, three decades in New
18	Mexico, great concerns about water.
19	Water in New Mexico, especially now in
20	this time of accelerating heat and climate change,
21	we know is an accelerating, increasingly scarce
22	resource, and we need to do everything that we can
23	in our power to make sure that we have clean,
24	healthy water that will protect the health of the
25	people who drink it.

1	So I many, many people have
2	provided specific information about the toxic
3	impacts. I'm just here to emphasize that as we
4	see, you know, those of us who not only want to
5	protect the people here today but for future
6	generations, and PFAS do not expire quickly. They
7	stay in water, in ground, that we need to do
8	everything we can, including this ban, to make
9	sure that our communities, workers, all of us are
10	protected.
11	Thank you so much for your time.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you so
13	much.
14	Let's see, this is Rebecca, Rebecca
15	Sobel. Ms. Sobel, can you unmute yourself?
16	I can't hear you. No. Okay. All
17	right, we will come back to you.
18	Mrs. Kathleen Burke. Ms. Burke, can
19	you unmute yourself?
20	MS. BURKE: Good morning.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: There you are.
22	Terrific. Would you spell your last name for the
23	record, please?
24	MS. BURKE: Yes, ma'am. It is Burke,
25	B-u-r-k-e.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
2	affirm to tell the truth?
3	MS. BURKE: Yes, I do.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll start your
5	three minutes.
6	MS. BURKE: My name is Kathleen Burke,
7	a resident of Sandia Park speaking on behalf of my
8	household. I begin by sharing with you the
9	definition of ecocide as defined by the
10	independent expert panel for the legal definition
11	of ecocide June 2021.
12	Ecocide has to do with people who are
13	complicit in the destruction of nature. Ecocide
14	is unlawful or wanton acts committed with
15	knowledge that there is a substantial likelihood
16	of severe and either widespread or long-term
17	damage to the environment being caused by those
18	acts. Just like with homicide, genocide and
19	democide, as with ecocide, destruction is the key
20	element. There are legal consequences for those
21	who commit these acts. In the beliefs of many,
22	there are karmic consequences.
23	The class of PFAS chemicals are a
24	weapon which already we know is leading us and the
25	environment around us toward destruction. The

1	Stop Ecocide International Foundation provides
2	further legal definition for each of the terms
3	used in the definition of ecocide: A, wanton
4	means with reckless disregard for damage which
5	would be clearly excessive in relation to the
5	social and economic benefits anticipated; B,
7	severe means damage which involves very serious
8	adverse changes, disruption or harm to any
9	elements of the environment including grave
0	impacts on human life or natural, cultural or
1	economic resources; C, widespread means damage
2	which extends beyond a limited geographic area,
3	crosses state boundaries, or is suffered by an
4	entire ecosystem or species or a large number of
5	human beings; D, long-term means damage which is
6	irreversible or which cannot be redressed through
7	natural recovery within a reasonable period of
8	time.
9	Ecocide refers only to the very worst
0	harms, usually on a major industrial scale such as
1	fracking. Distribution of PFAS chemicals in oil
2	industry operations is a clear example of an
3	industrial sector where unlawful or reckless

Page 14

conduct will cause this level of harm, which if

left unchecked can and will rise to the level of

1	ecocide. Ecocide further describes what is
2	happening to our planet, the mass damage and
3	destruction of the natural living world. It
4	literally means killing one's home.
5	The European Union recently voted to
6	include ecocide level crimes in the European
7	Union's revised crime directive. The historic
8	decision will strongly reinforce existing
9	environmental laws establishing a clear, moral, as
L 0	well as legal red line aimed at preventing and
L1	punishing the gravest environmental harms. These
L 2	are laws which our New Mexico lawmakers will also
L 3	soon be considering.
L 4	In light of the fact that we are now
L 5	in the seventh mass extinction of our planet, the
L 6	Holocene and Pleistocene extinction event, you
L 7	might agree that laws preventing ecocide cannot be
L 8	adopted soon enough in the United States, and we
L 9	must pay heed to the crimes of ecocide happening
20	in New Mexico.
21	To commit homicide is to destroy
22	another. Suicide is to destroy oneself. These
23	are grave terms for the description of grave moral
24	and legal choices such as the grave choice you
25	ladies and gentlemen of the Commission are facing

1	regarding the potential for the ecocide currently
2	under consideration at this Commission. And
3	Commissioners
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Burke,
5	please wrap up.
6	MS. BURKE hope you will wisely
7	avert.
8	Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
10	I think I saw Ms. Sobel come back to
11	us. Let's see here. I know that was her. Yes,
12	up there in the upper right there.
13	Ms. Sobel, are you able to unmute
14	yourself now? I can't hear anything. I'm sorry.
15	All right, so for anyone having
16	trouble with oh, yes. You can go back to
17	Dr. Mincks. That's a good one.
18	For anyone having trouble unmuting
19	themselves, please keep working on it. If you
20	would like, another possibility is to submit your
21	comments in writing until 5 p.m. today. And there
22	will be one more public comment period at 4:30
23	this afternoon.
24	Dr. Mincks, are you able to unmute
25	yourself?

1	DR. MINCKS: Hello, can you hear me?
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Thank you.
3	If you would please spell your last
4	name.
5	DR. MINCKS: Sure. It's M like Mary,
6	I like indigo, N like Nancy, C like cat, K like
7	kangaroo, and S like Sam.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
9	affirm to tell the truth?
10	DR. MINCKS: I do.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
12	your three minutes.
13	DR. MINCKS: Thank you.
14	I'm a childhood cancer survivor. That
15	is why I have a concern with the forever
16	chemicals. Also, just the name forever chemicals
17	indicates they will be around forever, and they
18	are still under study although there are over
19	5,000 studies that I was able to find from a quick
20	Google search that talks about the research done
21	on PFAS so they have been researched.
22	I find it very concerning that the oil
23	and gas industry is not required to share what
24	types of PFAS chemicals they are injecting into
25	the water here because it does say on the research

that I've read that there are various types of harmful effects from different types of PFAS. So in the 5,000 articles plus studies I'm looking at an article by NCBI from 2022. It says that there is sufficient evidence in the studies, in those 5,000 studies to say that there is a decreased antibody response in adults and children, decreased infant and fetal growth, and increased risk of kidney cancer, and there is suggested evidence to say there is an increased risk of breast cancer, liver and enzyme alterations, increased risk of testicular cancer, increased risk of thyroid cancer, and thyroid disease and dysfunction.

2.

2.5

So as someone who has experienced having had cancer and had it when I was, you know, not intentionally exposing myself to any harmful chemicals, you know, no smoking, no drinking, no, like, philandering in an oil field or, you know, just whatever could be said to argue that it would be an individual's fault of having a disease, which I don't think it usually is, or ever is, it is a public health issue and it's something that you have the responsibility to protect the public from.

1	And additionally, as has already been
2	said, we do have a water shortage in New Mexico so
3	we also have an existing issue with contamination.
4	There was a recent study done, I'm sure you have
5	all seen that, on huge levels of radiation and,
6	like, nuclear contamination near Santa Fe, and I
7	just I feel like at a bare minimum these
8	companies should be required to disclose their
9	chemicals.
10	And the fact that they are not only
11	not required to but have no oversight in, you
12	know, what they are harming us with is really
13	scary and I think that, you know, we need to
14	protect our children and our future generations.
15	If these things are as harmful as it says they
16	are, which I'm assuming it is after 5,000
17	studies
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you please
19	wrap up?
20	DR. MINCKS: Sure. And then the other
21	ones don't have enough information because they
22	are not disclosed. I'm assuming that means they
23	are bad. You know, point blank.
24	Thank you for your time.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,

1	Dr. Mincks.
2	Well, we can try Ms. Sobel again. I
3	think there are some other folks, too. Ms. Sobel?
4	MS. SOBEL: Can you hear me now?
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, yes. Would
6	you spell your last name, please.
7	MS. SOBEL: S-o-b-e-l.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: And do you swear
9	or affirm to tell the truth?
10	MS. SOBEL: I do.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to
12	start your three minutes.
13	MS. SOBEL: Thank you.
14	Thank you, Commissioners, and thank
15	you to all New Mexicans that are here today. My
16	name is Rebecca Sobel. I'm the organizing
17	director of WildEarth Guardians, and I would like
18	to acknowledge the hundreds and thousands of
19	community members who have taken time out of their
20	lives to raise their voices in defense of our
21	water.
22	In my twenty years of organizing in
23	New Mexico I see one issue that cuts across every
24	line, every community in our state, and that is
25	protecting our water. As you know, New Mexico has

1	the least groundwater of any state in the nation,
2	yet we rely on it for most of our public water
3	supply. Water here is not just a resource, it's
4	life itself. Driving up the I25 corridor we see
5	how the land tells us where water touches the
6	landscape.
7	We are the fifth largest state by land
8	but we are home to largest oil field in nation,
9	not even the nation, the world, with explosive
10	production in the Permian Basin. And yet, despite
11	our enormous contribution to the oil and gas
12	industry New Mexico remains the poorest state in
13	the United States. If oil and gas were going to
14	make us rich, we would be rich by now.
15	What this industry has brought us
16	instead is a crisis of contamination. Daily, oil
17	and gas companies spill toxic wastewater and
18	chemicals on our lands and into our waters. This
19	rulemaking gives us the opportunity to stand on
20	the right side of history prioritizing the health
21	of New Mexicans over the profits of the richest
22	industry on the planet.
23	PFAS chemicals exist because of fossil
24	fuel production. These are human made chemicals
25	designed to persist, to contaminate, to spread,

1	and to remain long after the companies responsible
2	for them have packed up and moved on. The oil and
3	gas industry wants to be exempt from
4	accountability, even as they inject PFAS and other
5	toxic substances directly into the ground and
6	ultimately into our aquifers.
7	Instead of debating the makeup of
8	countless PFAS compounds, the solution here is
9	clear: No PFAS in our water, no trade secrets, no
10	loopholes. If companies know what chemicals they
11	are injecting then we should, too. And if they
12	can't operate without PFAS then maybe it is time
13	to reconsider whether they should be operating at

As New Mexicans we have a sacred duty to protect our water, our land and our people. We are at a crossroads where our decisions now will determine the health of our communities for generations. I will close with the words of Governor Michelle Lujan Grisham who herself has lobbied the EPA for PFAS protection. By taking an urgent and science-based approach to this issue we are helping communities in New Mexico and around the country.

Thank you.

all.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
2	Ms. Sobel.
3	Then we have Nika Beauchamp? Nika
4	Beauchamp.
5	MS. BEAUCHAMP: Yes, can you hear me?
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Would you
7	please spell your first and last name?
8	MS. BEAUCHAMP: Sure. It's Nika,
9	N-i-k-a, and Beauchamp is B-e-a-u-c-h-a-m-p.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Do
11	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
12	MS. BEAUCHAMP: I do.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
14	your three minutes.
15	MS. BEAUCHAMP: Great. Thank you.
16	So I just want to share as a mom why I
17	am fully in support of this proposal to ban PFAS
18	and other undisclosed chemicals in oil and gas
19	drilling.
20	So I have a three- and a five-year
21	old, and I moved to New Mexico a couple of years
22	ago, and I just want to point out that New Mexico
23	has done a tremendous amount for families with
24	young children in recent years with child care
25	subsidies and raises for teachers working in early
	Page 23

1 childhood education that I was so excited about as 2 a new family moving here. 3 And then to learn that our, that we are allowing undisclosed chemicals, including 4 5 PFAS, to be injected into the ground and into our 6 groundwater, which as so many people here have already very articulately shared, poses a 8 tremendous threat to young children, and I'm 9 pretty dismayed. I'm hoping that we all take this opportunity to stand up for young kids and be 10 11 consistent with our other policies and show that 12 we really believe in protecting the next 13 generation. And I think the key word is 14 protection. 15 So I know that New Mexico also 16 recently got some funding from the FDA to do some 17 remediation, find sources of PFAS, but what is the 18 use of that if we are still allowing PFAS to be 19 purposefully injected into the ground? Prevention 20 is the most powerful form of protection. 21 I also want to share a story about 22 Maine, where I moved from. I worked for an 23

Maine, where I moved from. I worked for an organization that worked with farmers who are grappling with horrific PFAS contamination of their land and their soil and groundwater, and as

24

2.5

a result of another industry, the paper industry, lobbying to include its waste in industrial sewage sludge and allowing that to be spread on farmland, and while it's a different industry I think the impact is the same.

I worked with a farmer named Adam

Nordel who ran an organic farm with his wife and his young son and discovered PFAS first in his drinking water that was 400 times the level of the safety limit, and then PFAS in his soil, and when he had his blood tested he discovered just shockingly high levels. I think the average level for everyday Americans of these chemicals is so ubiquitous, is somewhere between 1.4 and 3 parts per billion, and Adam Nordel had 3,547 parts per billion of PFAS in his blood.

And what breaks my heart the most about that story, besides the obvious, is that he has a 3-year-old son, or he was 3 years old when they discovered the contamination. Adam and his wife decided not the test their son's blood levels -- I'm sorry, this always makes me tear up. I also have a 3 year old son -- and for a child that young to suffer such a high level of exposure, you can only imagine the risk to his

1	health, to the risk of cancer, reproductive harm,
2	neurodevelopmental damage. And that is what we
3	are risking for our children today, and I really
4	hope that we take this risk as seriously as it
5	demands, and I strongly urge you to ban these
6	chemicals in the oil and gas drilling.
7	Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
9	Beauchamp.
10	Would you go to Dr. Athas? Let's see,
11	yes. He was our original number one.
12	Dr. Athas, can you unmute yourself?
13	DR. ATHAS: Yes. Can you hear me now?
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Thank you
15	very much.
16	Would you spell your last name,
17	please?
18	DR. ATHAS: Yes. It's A-t-h-a-s.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: And do you swear
20	or affirm to tell the truth?
21	DR. ATHAS: Yes, I do.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
23	your three minutes.
24	DR. ATHAS: Thank you.
25	Good morning. I'm a retired professor
	Page 26
	rage 20

Τ.	of public health and a doctoral level
2	epidemiologist. I have conducted human health
3	risk assessments and been a long-time teacher of
4	environmental health at the graduate and
5	undergraduate level, and I fully support the
6	WildLife Guardians amendments before the OCD.
7	I think we can all agree that
8	government and not private industry is responsible
9	for managing the chemical hazards and risks
10	present in our environment. The scientific
11	knowledge advances has shown that the risks
12	greatly outweigh the benefits and that government
13	must act to address such imbalance. In April of
14	this year the US EPA issued drinking water
15	standards for six PFAS compounds, all of which are
16	much more stringent than for any other regulated
17	chemical save dioxins. These PFAS standards are
18	based on human health risk assessment and the best
19	available science. There is no benefit here that
20	outweighs the demonstrable risks from not banning
21	these highly persistent and toxic PFAS compounds.
22	Considering the following: Last week
23	the majority of the American public voted to
24	embrace the agenda of Make America Great Again.
25	OCD has the opportunity to engage that agenda here
	Page 27

1	and now. In a competitive global economy we need
2	every American child and every American worker to
3	be as smart, healthy and fit as possible. This
4	will not happen without proactive government
5	action. We cannot and should not excuse me.
б	We cannot and should not roll dice on our
7	children's health.
8	New Mexico is now considering a
9	widespread environmental release of huge
10	quantities of treated produced water, a new
11	chemical hazard source. Given that just traces of
12	PFAS appear capable of damaging cognitive
13	development, what societal benefits are achieved
14	by not taking action to ensure that PFAS is not
15	present in this waste disposal stream? How does
16	inaction lead to making America great again?
17	Another agenda embraced in the recent
18	election is drill baby drill. The sizable federal
19	lease lands in New Mexico will no doubt lead to an
20	increased push for environmental release of oil
21	and gas wastewater. It is inconceivable to me as
22	a environmental health practitioner that the state
23	would blindly permit such releases in the absence
24	of full disclosure. If, as OCD apparently holds,
25	innovation requires nondisclosure of trade

1	secrets, then we truly are rolling dice on our
2	children's health. I'm sure smart lawyers can
3	wrangle a solution forward from a past that never
4	envisioned intentional release of produced water.
5	Following the status quo benefits a
6	few, and it's not a recipe for making America
7	great again. I urge the Commission and the
8	Division to be protective. To quote Robert F.
9	Kennedy, Junior, the likely next Secretary of
10	Health and Human Services, "High quality and
11	transparent data clearly documented, timely
12	rendered, publicly available are the sine qua non
13	of competent public health management."
14	I thank you for your consideration.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
16	Dr. Athas.
17	We already did Emma Mincks. So we
18	have Laura W.
19	Ms. W, can you hear me? This will be
20	the last comment I take on the platform for right
21	now, and I have commenters in the room.
22	Laura W?
23	No.
24	All right, I'm going to turn to
25	commenters in the room. I will return to the
	Page 29

1	platform in just a few minutes.
2	So
3	MS. WATCHEMPINO: Hello. I'm sorry, I
4	couldn't unmute myself. This is Laura
5	Watchempino.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
7	Thank you, Ms. Watchempino. If you would just
8	spell your last name, please.
9	MS. WATCHEMPINO: Yes.
10	W-a-t-c-h-e-m-p-i-n-o.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
12	affirm to tell the truth?
13	MS. WATCHEMPINO: I do.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
15	your three minutes.
16	MS. WATCHEMPINO: Thank you for this
17	time to speak.
18	I am a resident of the Pueblo vacuna
19	and former water quality specialist for aquma.
20	We know that the oil and gas industry
21	exploration and production waste is exempt from
22	regulation under the Resource Conversation and
23	Recovery Act. That creates innumerable exposure
24	pathways for hazardous PFAS waste to be widely
25	dispersed in our environment where they can cause
	Page 30

1	various types of cancer and birth defects, as we
2	heard earlier.
3	In the event that produced water is
4	recycled back into our environment, how can these

recycled back into our environment, how can these harmful chemicals be treated without their full disclosure? The New Mexico Oil and Gas

Association is putting our public health at risk with such a proposal. It is, therefore, incumbent on the Oil Conservation Commission and the environment department to close this regulatory gap because water produced with PFAS-laced fracking fluids will be widely dispersed during the reuse of produced water as well as during disposal threatening our public health, our environment, and endangering New Mexico's scarce freshwater resources.

The Commission first and foremost should ban the use of PFAS and other undisclosed chemicals used in oil and gas drilling operations. A total ban will eliminate the burdensome disclosure requirements like those adopted in Colorado and will be most protective of our health and environment. We certainly can't afford to sacrifice our health, our precious water resources, as the industry is proposing. Simply

1	permitting nondisclosure would give the industry a
2	free pass to pollute our environment with toxic
3	and hazardous materials all without our knowledge
4	or consent.
5	In fact, the New Mexico Oil and Gas
6	Association has stated that it supports a
7	science-based prohibition on the use of PFAS and
8	supports requiring operators of oil and gas wells
9	to certify to the Oil Conservation Commission that
10	no that no public I'm sorry, that no
11	PFAS-containing fracturing fluids have been used
12	in the fracturing of the well.
13	Big oil and gas companies have
14	exploited New Mexico's land and water and
15	endangered our lives and health in many ways, from
16	harmful methane emissions to now undisclosed
17	chemicals in its fracking fluids. It will
18	certainly benefit the industry's bottom line to
19	fight an outright prohibition on the use of PFAS
20	and the disclosure of chemicals used during
21	drilling operations because it is already in a
22	downward spiral due to excessive carbon emissions
23	that affect our climate.
24	The citizens of New Mexico clearly
25	expect the Oil Conservation Commission to protect
	Page 32

1	our health and environment, and the environment
2	department has the duty to do so and should simply
3	not rely on the industry's goodwill or voluntary
4	compliance with regulatory measures that are
5	designed to protect our health and natural
6	resources. It must impose an outright ban on the
7	introduction of harmful forever pollutants in oil
8	and gas drilling operations as well as the
9	associated waste streams that will then be widely
LO	disseminated into the environment.
L1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please wrap up.
L2	MR. VILLEGAS: Excuse me?
L 3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please wrap up.
L 4	MR. VILLEGAS: Therefore, I ask the
L 5	Oil Conservation Commission, let's not prolong the
L6	injustice of allowing the industry to regulate
L7	itself. That is your job, and if you need more
L 8	funding and staff to do your job make sure the
L9	industry is paying its fair share. We don't want
20	their liabilities passed off onto us.
21	Thank you.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
23	All right, I'm going to move from the
24	platform to the folks who have been waiting
25	patiently in the room. I will invite more comment

1	from the platform if there is more to be given in
2	just a little bit.
3	Now, sir, thank you for your patience.
4	Come up to that microphone, if you would, please.
5	And if you would state and spell your
6	first and last name.
7	MR. VILLEGAS: I'm José Lemay
8	Villegas. Villegas, V as in victory,
9	i-l-l-e-g-a-s.
L O	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Do
L1	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
L 2	MR. VILLEGAS: Yes, ma'am.
L 3	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
L 4	your three minutes.
L 5	MR. VILLEGAS: New Mexico Oil
L 6	Conservation Commission (speaking in native
L 7	language.)
L 8	On behalf of my indigenous communities
L 9	of (indiscernible) land grant association and a
20	Texas brand of Yaqui Indians tribal administrator
21	government affairs, I would like to submit my 507
22	words to the New Mexico Oil Conversation
23	Commission.
24	In a recent Santa Fe, New Mexico
25	article the New Mexico Oil Conversation

1	Commissioner, the designee for the Energy,
2	Minerals and Natural Resources Department, stated
3	that he would like to see more New Mexico-specific
4	data presented. You are asking a big ask of the
5	Commission he said. One is banning PFAS and the
6	other is banning all other undisclosed chemicals.
7	I want to see real data in our state that should
8	push us to more or less go the extreme of banning
9	undisclosed chemicals. Understood.
10	Here is my PFAS triple ASS fire
11	fighting form industrial chemical blood test and
12	the results for the Commission to review if you
13	wish to do so. However, please respect the HIPAA
14	provisions at the same time.
15	In the real world that we live in in
16	Santa Fe County with my family and neighbors, we
17	do not deserve to be disrespected, dismissed and
18	ignored by the DoD and the New Mexico environment
19	department, the governor, the EPA and the
20	municipalities that were supposed to protect us
21	from environmental justice violations in the first
22	place. My family has resided on the pueblo over
23	48 years and generations did not authorize and/or
24	give permission to the government entities
25	mentioned to place us in irreparable harm and in

23

24

25

danger with these industrial chemicals that are contaminating our private wells, specifically endangering our health, welfare and public safety.

Frankly, out of the six PFAS, PFOA,

PFOS, et cetera, et cetera industrial chemicals

that were identified by the EPA to be hazardous

substance materials, three were identified in my

blood system and yet I am a healthy veteran Marine

and I am pissed.

In conclusion, so how much analytical real data from New Mexico does this Commission really need to make a public policy decision to protect our indigenous communities throughout New Mexico from irreparable harm from the undisclosed chemicals that the corporate oil and gas industry has identified as a trade secret in attempting to hide it from us? Instead of catering to the corporate oil and gas industry to increase their profit margins, this Commission should mandate, to require the allocation funding sources to pay for conducting an environmental community health assessment, a PFAS blood study in my indigenous community where my family and neighbors have gone medically sick and dying from these dangerous hazardous chemicals in Santa Fe and throughout the

1	state of New Mexico. (Native language), that's
2	enough. (Native language), it's done.
3	Muchos gracias. Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
5	Mr. Villegas.
6	Is there anyone else in the room?
7	If you would state and spell your
8	first and last name.
9	MR. COX: Colin Cox, C-o-l-i-n, C-o-x.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: And do you swear
11	or affirm to tell the truth?
12	MR. COX: I do.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
14	your three minutes.
15	MR. COX: Thank you.
16	Good morning, Commissioners. My name
17	is Colin Cox with the Center for Biological
18	Diversity. Thank you for the opportunity to come
19	in today.
20	I would like to start by just
21	highlighting how nasty PFAS are. I know you have
22	heard a lot about this already this week, but
23	there are thousands of different PFAS compounds
24	and we don't really know a lot about most of them,
25	but what we do know is bad. PFAS causes
	Page 37

reproductive harm, they cause developmental delays
in children, they cause cancer. They damage the
immune system, the liver, the thyroid and the
cardiovascular system, among other problems they
cause.
They are called forever chemicals

2.

2.5

because they persist in the environment much, much longer than most of the other pollution that humans make. They are a danger to all life. We all have them in our bodies. Most of us got them from contaminated water and food. And that's why protecting our water is so important and why the Center for Biological Diversity opposes the use of PFAS in oil and gas production and supports WildEarth Guardians' petition to ban them.

Oil and gas companies must stop
pumping these chemicals into the ground and
spilling them on our land. This week we have
heard representatives of the oil industry insist
that PFAS are not used in oil and gas production
which begs the question why they fight so hard to
continue doing something that they claim they are
aren't doing. But we know PFAS are being used in
oil and gas production, and we know they can be
present in fracking waste, also called produced

1 water, which oil and gas companies spill every day 2 in New Mexico multiple times per day. So we really have to stop this industry from poisoning 3 us and -- (no sound) 4 5 Am I back? Sorry about that. The Center also supports WildEarth 6 7 Guardians' proposal to require the disclosure of 8 chemicals used in oil and gas production. We have 9 a right to know what companies are pumping into our ground and spilling on our soil. But right 10 11 now the industry is hiding a lot of that 12 information from us and asking us to trust them, 13 an industry, you know, with a century long history of spoiling the environment and misleading the 14 15 public about it. 16 Contrary to what the industries are 17 doing, disclosure would require the companies to 18 either voluntarily disclose the chemicals they are 19 using or not use them in New Mexico. And this is about disclosing individual chemicals, not 20 21 formulas, not recipes, not proportions, and so not 22 trade secrets protected by law. Uniform Trade 23 Secrets Act is not an obstacle here, and OCD 24 cannot misappropriate the identity of the chemical 25 because it would be voluntarily disclosed by the Page 39

-	
1	operator. Operators would have a simple choice:
2	Either tell us what chemicals they are using or
3	don't use them here.
4	We should follow the lead of other
5	states like Colorado which ban PFAS in oil and gas
6	production and require companies to disclose all
7	the chemicals they are using in their operations.
8	Other states are doing this and we must do it
9	here.
10	The bottom line is that PFAS threaten
11	our waters, our environment, our wildlife and our
12	health. We ask the Commission to ban their use in
13	oil and gas production and require operators to
14	disclose the chemicals they are using in our
15	state.
16	Thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
18	Mr. Cox.
19	Just as a reminder to everyone, we do
20	have an interpreter between Spanish and English
21	and English and Spanish this morning.
22	If you would please state and spell
23	your first and last name.
24	SENATOR POPE: Sure. State Senator
25	Harold Pope, Junior. Last name is P-o-p-e, J-r.
	Page 40

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, very
2	much. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
3	SENATOR POPE: I do.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5	Please go ahead. I will start your three minutes.
6	SENATOR POPE: Good morning,
7	Commissioners. I'm state Senator Harold Pope,
8	Junior, the senator representing Northwest
9	Albuquerque, and I just want to provide public
10	comment.
11	I support the ban on PFAS defined as a
12	substance with at least one fully fluorinated
13	carbon atom. Apparently this has been a
14	controversial topic in this proceeding because
15	some want to narrow the PFAS definition to include
16	only well-characterized PFAS compounds. I urge
17	you not to be narrow but rather be inclusive or
18	expansive because the lives of New Mexicans are at
19	stake and here is why.
20	Number one, the precautionary
21	principle, which is a worldwide social, medical
22	and legal approach that encourages caution when
23	there is scientific uncertainty about all the
24	known potential risks, and in this case may have
25	severe human and environmental repercussions. The

1	particular import for decisionmakers like you and
2	like me applies here because there is a very real
3	possibility that even though every harm is not
4	conclusively known we have a responsibility to
5	protect the public from exposure to contamination,
6	especially when emerging scientific research is
7	finding that there is plausible risk, a very
8	serious risk to future generations.
9	Number two, 23 states and Congress
10	have relied on a more inclusive definition.
11	Sufficient data exists to characterize the
12	deleterious effects on human health from PFAS.
13	Over the past decade 33 states have or are
14	currently considering regulation and legislation
15	addressing toxic PFAS chemicals, a class of
16	chemicals dubbed forever chemicals because of
17	their inability to break down in nature.
18	Number three, 4 parts per trillion.
19	While I understand that you are rightfully
20	concerned that we don't have toxicology data on
21	all 10 to 15,000 PFAS compounds and that gives you
22	pause to enact an all-out PFAS ban, which is
23	understandable, I will ask you to consider that
24	the EPA did enact water standards for several PFAS
25	chemicals as low as 4 parts per trillion. Based

1	on this standard for just six chemicals, many
2	states have jumped into regulatory and legislative
3	action. I urge you to act now as well.
4	Having a single widely adopted
5	definition of a ban on PFAS defined as with at
6	least one fully fluorinated carbon atom creates
7	important consistency for manufacturers,
8	retailers, oil and gas industry and regulators.
9	The definition proposed by the petitioner has been
LO	used in state and federal legislation since 2018.
L1	Lastly on this point, there may be
L2	many variants of the Covid virus that have not
L 3	been fully analyzed, tested and their DNA mapped.
L4	Does that mean we should just assume that they are
L 5	not dangerous simply because the analysis of the
L6	other variants is not complete? Some of the
L7	variants may in fact be harmless but does that
L 8	mean that we should just assume that they are
L9	harmless in light of the fact that millions of
20	people have died from some of these variants and
21	we just don't know?
22	I turn now to a related topic. NMOGA
23	put out a call on an e-mail yesterday on the
24	proposed amendments to the Oil and Conservation
25	Division rules. On one hand NMOGA said that they

1	are not intentionally using hydraulic fracking
2	operations and that these chemicals are not
3	commonly used in oil and gas operations. Yet they
4	still urge supporters to speak out against the
5	proposed regulations. I received this e-mail in
6	my inbox, and for me if they are not intentionally
7	using PFAS and these chemicals are not being
8	commonly used in oil and gas operations, then why
9	are they opposed to these amendments?
10	It was also stated in the e-mail that
11	they follow all the regulations for disclosure of
12	fracking fluid and it's done through FracFocus,
13	but from my understanding and we all know in
14	Colorado they have enacted a PFAS ban and require
15	full chemical disclosure of oil, from oil and gas
16	operations what this means is the cat is out of
17	the bag. In Colorado the chemical constituents
18	are already revealed. These chemicals in their
19	fracking fluid can't be trade secrets because they
20	are no longer secrets. They are now showing what
21	the industry has been doing and that the industry
22	has not been harmed, and so it also shows that
23	they won't be harmed here in New Mexico as well.
24	Apparently no one has been harmed and
25	we haven't seen that. If they would certainly

1	provide evidence of what harm that has been done,
2	you know, I think we should all see that.
3	Furthermore, this Commission, or any public
4	official in New Mexico, for that matter, any
5	member of the public who is concerned with whether
6	these fracking fluids contain chemicals that are
7	dangerous to the health right now, you know, we
8	would have to travel to Denver to get that
9	information and I just don't think that's
10	something that we should have to do. We should be
11	able to do that here.
12	It is important that, as I understand
13	it, none of these companies has put evidence in
14	the record that disclosing chemical formulas has
15	harmed them, in Colorado or California or any
16	other states, or that likely harm would happen if
17	they disclosed it. This whole issue seems really
18	ridiculous to me in light of the fact that O&G
19	operators have already disclosed their chemical
20	constituents in other states.
21	In closing, we have a moral, ethical
22	and legal duty to protect our fellow New Mexicans
23	from likely harm. That includes full chemical
24	disclosure of hydraulic fracking fluids. As a
25	member of the New Mexico legislature, I urge you

1	implement the broad authority to regulate oil and
2	gas that we gave you and that protects public
3	health, the environment and property.
4	Thank you for allowing me to provide
5	this public comment, and thank you all for your
6	service.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
8	Senator Pope.
9	Let me return to the oh, was there
10	anyone else in the room? I didn't see anyone else
11	in the room.
12	Okay, so let me return to the
13	platform. Is there anyone else on the platform
14	who would like to take this opportunity and who
15	has not already offered comments? Take this
16	opportunity. There will be one more public
17	comment session at 4:30 this afternoon.
18	Please turn on your screen or raise
19	your virtual hand. Let's see here.
20	Sheila, do you want to see if that
21	Ritalet's see here. Rita Norwood, did you want
22	to make a comment?
23	MS. NORWOOD: No, I'm sorry. I'm just
24	here to listen to everyone else. I was actually
25	invited into this meeting from one of my friends

1	who works for environmental protection.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thank you.
3	Thank you.
4	So I don't see any other virtual hands
5	or screens on. So we are going to return to the
6	technical case. And near the end of yesterday we
7	had finished the Division's case, as I understand
8	it, but let me make sure.
9	Mr. Tremaine.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Good morning, Madam
11	Hearing Examiner, Commissioners and the public and
12	parties. Thank you. OCD has no further
13	witnesses.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Thank
15	you very much.
16	Let me ask if there are any other
17	matters that we need to discuss before I turn to
18	other parties.
19	SPEAKER: Madam Hearing Examiner?
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
21	SPEAKER: One of our speakers during
22	public comment, Mr. José Villegas, handed me his
23	written note which differed a little bit from his
24	spoken statement. Can we enter this into the
25	record?

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, absolutely.
2	That would go to Ms. Apodaca and would become part
3	of record.
4	SPEAKER: I will make sure she gets
5	this. Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
7	So Mr. Davis.
8	MR. DAVIS: Good morning.
9	Before I forget, I wanted to move a
L 0	few exhibits into evidence that Guardians have not
L1	moved. That would be WildEarth Guardians
L 2	Exhibit 4, that's the Colorado PFAS ban and
L 3	disclosure law; WildEarth Guardians Exhibit 5,
L 4	that's the California disclosure law; WildEarth
L 5	Guardians Exhibit 7, that is the New Mexico tech
L 6	publication about climate change effect on our
L 7	fresh water resources in New Mexico over the next
L 8	50 years; and WildEarth Guardians Exhibit 8, which
L 9	is the exhibit that compiles the 23 state
20	definitions for PFAS that are consistent with the
21	Guardians definition. And while I understand that
22	I can site these, these are state laws and
23	publicly available publications from state
24	entities, I do want to move them into evidence so
25	that they are part of the record and that the

1	Commission has access to them without having to
2	get on Westlaw and find them.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure, I
4	understand.
5	Are there any objections to the
6	admission of Guardians Exhibits 4, 5, 7 or 8?
7	I don't hear anything. Thank you. 4,
8	5, 7 and 8 are admitted.
9	(Guardians Exhibit Nos. 4, 5,
10	7 and 8 were received in
11	evidence.)
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other
13	preliminary matters? No?
14	All right, so as I understand it,
15	EOG oh, and thank you very much, Ms. Ortiz.
16	Our public comment session is ended. Thank you.
17	Oh, as I understand it, EOG is not
18	going to present witnesses or make an opening
19	statement. So let me turn to Mr. Maxwell.
20	Mr. Maxwell.
21	MR. MAXWELL: Good morning.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
23	Would you like to make an opening statement or
24	another sworn statement? What would you like to
25	do?

1	MR. MAXWELL: Your Honor, I will make
2	an opening statement.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Terrific. Go
4	ahead.
5	MR. MAXWELL: We are here today to
6	consider a petition proposing rule changes aimed
7	at banning the use of PFAS in downhole operations
8	and requiring the disclosure of all chemicals used
9	in oil and gas production purportedly to protect
10	public health. While the intention behind this
11	petition is admirable, I must express significant
12	concerns about adopting these rules based on the
13	evidence or lack thereof presented.
14	Throughout this hearing we have heard
15	a series of ifs, if PFAS contamination is
16	occurring due to downhole operations; if this
17	contamination reaches groundwater; if this poses a
18	health risk unique to the oil and gas industry.
19	However, these are speculative scenarios without
20	concrete evidence to substantiate them. The
21	allegations rely heavily on erratical risks rather
22	than demonstrable facts.
23	It's important to recognize that PFAS
24	compounds are ubiquitous in modern society. They
25	are present in a wide array of consumer products

1	and industrial applications making them
2	essentially a new bogeyman. Singling out the oil
3	and gas industry ignores other significant sources
4	of PFAS exposure. For instance, the use of fire
5	fighting foam, particularly in combating grass
6	fires across the expansive grasslands and ranch
7	areas of Lea County and Eddy County likely
8	contributes to PFAS presence in the same
9	environments that are shared by oil and gas
10	operators. These regions regularly experience
11	large grass fires where brush trucks deploy fire
12	fighting foams to protect people, property and the
13	environment.
14	It's telling that the current focus is
15	particularly pinned on the oil and gas industry
16	when there are clearly other routes through which
17	environmental health may be impacted.
18	Implementing regulations based on speculative
19	evidence not only risks creating unenforceable
20	rules but also may extend beyond the fiscal and
21	operational capacity of the New Mexico Energy,
22	Minerals and Natural Resources Department.
23	Changes proposed by WildEarth
24	Guardians would require legislative action rather
25	than regulatory adjustments, placing them outside

1	the authority of this agency. Adopting such rules
2	without solid evidence and proper legislative
3	backing would undermine the regulatory framework,
4	the public trust.
5	Therefore, I find petitioners'
6	proposed rule changes should not be adopted in its
7	original or revised forms. A more comprehensive
8	evidence-based approach is necessary.
9	This concludes my opening statement.
10	I will not be presenting any rebuttal witnesses or
11	evidence, and I return the proceedings to the
12	hearing officer.
13	Thank you.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, very
15	much, Mr. Maxwell.
16	Let's see, I wanted to do one thing
17	before I went to NMOGA. I meant to do it a little
18	earlier.
19	Sheila, would you come up to the
20	witness stand, please?
21	Would you state your name for the
22	record? I have been referring to you for four
23	days.
24	MS. APODACA: My name is Sheila
25	Apodaca.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
2	affirm to tell the truth?
3	MS. APODACA: Yes.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you have in
5	your hand the same document that I have here,
6	which is a Notice of Compliance?
7	MS. APODACA: Yes.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you
9	explain what that is, please?
10	MS. APODACA: Sure. This is a
11	Certificate of Compliance that I filed into the
12	case file in this matter showing the matters that
13	I took to comply with the state rules act on
14	giving notice to the public of the meeting.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	I would like to make this single-page
17	document Hearing Officer Exhibit 1 so that we have
18	in the record a clear basis for a statement, any
19	statement of reasons, for example, that the
20	Commission would adopt that all notice
21	requirements were met.
22	Are there any objections to that?
23	Would you like to see it, Mr. Davis?
24	Okay, or you can even take Sheila's
25	copy or this copy. It's all the same. It sets

1	out all the various ways in which
2	SPEAKER: Madam Hearing Officer?
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
4	SPEAKER: It possible for Ms. Apodaca
5	to make that part of the record?
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. For the
7	same reason Mr. Davis made certain parts of the
8	record exhibits, so that they are prominent and
9	easily findable, that's what I'm doing.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. DAVIS: Guardians have no
12	objections.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
14	Anyone else? Thank you, very much.
15	Does anyone have a question of
16	Ms. Apodaca?
17	All right, thank you very much.
18	(Hearing Officer Exhibit
19	No. 1 was marked for
20	identification and
21	received in evidence.)
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, now
23	we turn to NMOGA. Ms. Mulcahy.
24	MS. MULCAHY: Good morning. Good
25	morning, Madam Hearing Officer. Good morning to
	Page 54

1	all the parties and folks in the audience. I am
2	going to call NMOGA's first witness, Dr. Janet
3	Anderson.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning.
5	WHEREUPON,
6	JANET ANDERSON, Ph.D.,
7	A Witness called for examination, having
8	been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
9	as follows:
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead,
11	Mrs. Mulcahy.
12	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
13	Can I share my screen, please?
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sheila, would
15	you allow that, please?
16	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. MULCAHY:
19	Q. Good morning, Dr. Anderson.
20	A. Good morning.
21	Q. Could you please spell your name for
22	the record?
23	A. Last name is Anderson,
24	A-n-d-e-r-s-o-n. First name Janet, J-a-n-e-t.
25	Q. Dr. Anderson, did you provide direct
	Page 55
	rage 33

1	written testimony marked as Exhibit E and attached
2	Exhibits E1 through E29 for this hearing?
3	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. Dr. Anderson, do you have any changes
5	or additions to your direct testimony or exhibits?
6	A. No, I do not.
7	Q. Dr. Anderson, do you adopt your
8	written testimony marked as Exhibit E as your
9	sworn testimony today?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. Is this testimony true and accurate,
12	to the best of your knowledge?
13	A. Yes, it is.
14	MS. MULCAHY: I'm going to go ahead
15	and move into the record for admission Exhibit E,
16	NMOGA Exhibits E through E29, and NMOGA Rebuttal
17	Exhibit E30.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: I believe we
19	were sent E30 via e-mail.
20	Are there objections to E through E30?
21	No?
22	All right, hearing none, they are
23	admitted.
24	(NMOGA Exhibits E through E30
25	were received in evidence.)
	Page 56

1	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
2	BY MS. MULCAHY:
3	Q. Dr. Anderson, could you provide a
4	brief summary of your testimony?
5	A. Yes, it would be an honor.
6	Good morning, everyone. Based on my
7	experience with PFAS, I have been working in the
8	state for over a decade, and I'm a board certified
9	toxicologist and human health risk assessor.
10	I have been asked to essentially do
11	three things: One, provide support to NMOGA on
12	their PFAS definition; two, ensure that statements
13	about concerns for PFAS exposure and risk are
14	accurate and fact-based; and then three, provide
15	some guidance around the risk communication of
16	disclosure of chemicals.
17	So to that end my colleague,
18	Dr. Richardson, who you will hear later, he and I
19	worked together to propose a definition for PFAS.
20	Our definition is consistent with the US EPA Toxic
21	Substances Control Act, so that's TSCA. It's a
22	regulatory definition adopted by EPA because it
23	covers a very, very large broad list of PFAS that
24	present the most, they call it highest concern.
25	It also covers the PFAS that have the physical and

1	chemical characteristics that are relevant to this
2	rulemaking.
3	I want to be really clear on
4	something. I think the concern and the
5	devastating impacts of certain PFAS and PFOS in
6	the environment are not even to be considered. I
7	agree. These chemicals are, as we have heard from
8	the wonderful testimony of the public, found in
9	the environment. They are mobile in the
L O	environment. PFOS and PFAS are bioaccumulative
L1	and they do have toxicity at very low levels,
L 2	regulatory levels that we have rarely seen.
L 3	However, to make statements such as
L 4	all PFAS are exceptionally toxic is simply just
L 5	not true. To make statements that all PFAS are
L 6	mobile in the environment is not true. To make
L 7	statements that PFAS are all bioaccumulative, not
L 8	true. To make even the statement that all PFAS,
L 9	using the definition proposed by WildEarth
20	Guardians, are persistent is not true.
21	That means that, again, I want to be
22	clear, I support the ban of PFAS in hydraulic
23	fractioning fluid provided that the rulemaking is
24	tangible, executable and enforceable, and that the
25	definition of PFAS, too, will protect public
	Page 58
	,

1	health.
2	I also support the full disclosure of
3	chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing to OCD and
4	in the event of loss of a well integrity. Why? I
5	do think that it is our regulators that are
6	trained and have the experience to best interpret
7	that information on a site-specific level, and
8	then have the authority to execute the
9	site-specific actions that need to take place to
L 0	investigate the release, the well integrity event,
L1	and to best protect public health.
L 2	Lastly, I just want to say thank you
L 3	for being here. This has been quite a week. It
L 4	has been really an honor to be here and to listen
L 5	to this, and I want to commend the Commission for,
L 6	I mean it's a yeoman's job to try to understand a
L 7	complicated chemistry, a complicated rulemaking,
L 8	and I really appreciate the stakeholders that are
L 9	here and your interest in hearing from all sides.
20	So with that I will be happy to answer
21	any questions. Thank you.
22	Q. Thank you, Dr. Anderson.
23	MS. MULCAHY: I will go ahead and
24	share on my screen what has been marked as NMOGA
25	Rebuttal Exhibit E30. If my computer will

1	cooperate, I will do that.
2	Q. Dr. Anderson, can you see what I have
3	put up as NMOGA Rebuttal E30?
4	A. Yes, ma'am.
5	Q. Dr. Anderson, we have heard a lot of
6	testimony this week that there is three different
7	PFAS definitions. Some are broad, some are
8	narrow, they are all over the map. Could you
9	please respond to whether we are really seeing
10	three different PFAS definitions?
11	A. Yes. There has been interesting
12	nuances, obviously, but the reality is in
13	practicality, as can be executed, there is not
14	differences in these definitions materially. The
15	two most closely aligned would be New Mexico OCD's
16	and NMOGA's. At the end of the day, again to
17	execute and to enforce these definitions, they are
18	practically the same. And so I think that
19	that's that sort of reduces the pressure and
20	the temperature on that just a little bit.
21	Q. Absolutely. Thank you, Dr. Anderson.
22	And let me ask you here, on the OCD
23	definition, while we are looking at it, do you see
24	where it says, "United States Environmental
25	Protection Agency US EPA Standard Analytical
	Page 60

1	Method"? Do you see that line?
2	A. Yes, I do.
3	Q. And then it lists Method 537.1?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And Method 533?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And Method 8327?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And Method 1633?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Have these been standardized methods?
12	A. Yes, they are.
13	Q. And what does that mean from your
14	field, when a method is standardized?
15	A. So standardized methods are the gold
16	standard for what we use in environmental
17	investigations and for compliance. It means that
18	labs that are certified and follow the standard
19	analytical method procedure can reliably produce
20	results that can be validated, have the proper
21	QA/QC, and that can be sort of high confidenced,
22	reliably reproducible using that data.
23	Q. And these methods that I just listed
24	here, 537.1, 533, 8327, 1633, are they able to
25	analyze for a single fully fluorinated carbon

1	atom?
2	A. They are not.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	Dr. Anderson, I have now put up on the
5	screen Slide 2 here, and there was some
6	discussions and questions about NMOGA's definition
7	of PFAS and why that was put forward, and I
8	believe it was Dr. Hansen who testified that that
9	would not be protective of public health. Could
10	you explain why NMOGA chose that definition
11	specifically?
12	A. Sure. Dr. Richardson and I really
13	wanted to make sure that the definition we
14	proposed was a regulatory definition that we could
15	point to. EPA has a ton of documentation and
16	literature around why this is their definition
17	under the TSCA program, and I think this quote
18	here really summarizes that well. It focuses on
19	the PFAS of concern, because these are the PFAS
20	that are known to be persistent and the potential
21	presence of which in the environment may present
22	both a human exposure and then, therefore, a human
23	health risk.
24	So what is important is the contrast,
25	right? So for chemicals with only one fully
	Page 62

1	fluorinated compound, those are not persistent.
2	They also don't have the characteristics of
3	stability, durability, the thermal, you know,
4	protectiveness. All the things that we think
5	about when we think about PFAS are not associated
6	with the single fluorinated. These are gases.
7	These are pharmaceuticals. I have been told
8	potentially agricultural chemicals, but typically
9	when we think about in the traditional definition
L 0	of PFAS it is always these highly stable compounds
L1	that repel water and oil and grease and that are
. 2	highly stable under extreme temperatures, and that
L 3	requires at least two fully fluorinated carbons.
L 4	Q. Thank you, Dr. Anderson.
L 5	You said persistent, that these
L 6	compounds that NMOGA proposed, that the single
L 7	fully fluorinated carbons are not persistent.
- 8	When you say persistent what do you mean by that?
L 9	A. Sure. So environmental persistence,
20	so chemicals in the environment can be degraded or
21	transformed because the microbes chew them up,
22	because of UV light, right, or temperature
23	pressure, so if the chemical is persistent they
24	are resistant to those kinds of things. If they
25	are able to degrade that means the atoms in the

molecule can be broken apart, and so for a chemical to just have one fully fluorinated carbon, it can break apart. It is not protected by those chlorines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As said here, what it degrades to is just that end carbon, that trifluoroactetic acid that is well-studied here in the US, especially under the air program because of gases, the hydrofluorocarbons that were introduced to replace greenhouse gases, degrading trifluouoacetic acids. The EU presented an extensive dossier on PFA. So again, persistence meaning potential just to degrade, and we need to make sure that we do capture those in our definition and consideration that the terminal deadend products -- that's what we call the sort of the last little bits of the chemicals that can't degrade any further -- we do want to make sure we capture those, and that would be considered two carbons or more.

Q. Thank you.

Doctor, I understand there has also been a lot of discussion this week about fluoropolymers, nonpolymers, and everything that is toxic in both categories. Could you please explain what we are looking at here and why it's

1	not necessarily true that all PFAS are extremely
2	toxic?
3	A. Sure. This is an admittedly woefully
4	simplified family tree for PFAS, but what it is
5	attempting to demonstrate is that PFAS, broadly
6	speaking, can be divided into polymers and
7	nonpolymers, and sometimes even that terminology
8	is tricky. So nonpolymers is a small, just small
9	molecular weight shown here, typically defined as
L 0	less than 1,000 Dalton molecules. That includes
L1	the normal PFOS, PFASOA, the alphabet soup that we
L 2	are talking about.
L 3	Contrast that with polymers, though.
L 4	So polymers are massively large compounds, so over
L 5	one hundred thousand up to over a million Daltons,
L 6	and basically what a polymer does is it takes a
L 7	monomer, so a small piece, and you repeat it over
L 8	and over and over and over, like, you
L 9	know, Lego blocks put together.
20	So to make a size comparison, if you
21	think of the monomer or the small little piece
22	equivalent to like a nonpolymer small chemical the
23	size of a marble and this is an analogy so I'm
24	not trying to be super precise here, but my
25	organic chemists tell me that it's close enough.

1	So if a monomer is the size of a marble the
2	polymer would be the size of a football field.
3	That is the difference in size.
4	And so polymers, especially
5	fluoropolymers like PTFE, I want to be very clear,
6	are designed to be really stable, so there is a
7	long, long, long, long huge chain. They are
8	considered to be not biologically available. They
9	are so big they don't readily absorb through a
10	cell membrane. They are just too big.
11	Also, there are several because the
12	fluoropolymers specifically have to be stable they
13	don't have reactive groups on them. And I think,
14	Ms. Mulcahy, could you go to the next
15	Q. Yes, absolutely. I just have a quick
16	question
17	A. Sure.
18	Q please, Dr. Anderson, on this
19	slide.
20	You said that the PTFE is not
21	biologically available. Could you explain what
22	biologically available means?
23	A. Sure. And I want to be real careful
24	again. Language matters.
25	So fluoropolymers like PTFE are so big
	Page 66

1	that they can't absorb through a cell wall. So if
2	we ingest it the data suggests so far that it just
3	gets estreated. Kind of like a grain of sand,
4	right? Like, it doesn't get absorbed. These
5	nonpolymer chemicals, it depends on the chemical
6	structure, but they can get absorbed. They
7	combine the proteins. They can do a bunch of
8	stuff that interacts with the cellular biology.
9	That is the difference.
10	Does that answer that?
11	Q. Yes. You also mentioned the
12	differences in molecular weight between these
13	compounds.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Why does molecular weight matter?
16	A. Molecular weight and size and
17	structure of compounds and the chemistry of that
18	dictates both how it might move in the
19	environment, and from my perspective then how
20	people and receptors might be exposed and how it
21	is going to move in our bodies and what it might
22	do.
23	So if one thing is huge it is going to
24	behave very differently than something that is
25	small. That is an overgeneralization, of course,

1	because there are many other things that matter,
2	but the molecular weight or the size of the
3	chemical gives us an indication of a line of
4	evidence of potential toxicity, potential
5	exposure, potential fate and transport.
6	Q. And is it a fair characterization to
7	say that not all PFAS have the same molecular
8	weight, that's the analogy that you did with the
9	marbles?
10	A. Oh, of course. Yeah. So again,
11	across this entire family there are, I mean,
12	innumerable, exponentially a large number of
13	different molecular weights. There are certain
14	cutoffs of molecular weights, what we understand
15	to be biologically available, that can come
16	through a cell wall. Some compounds and some PFAS
17	are tiny, meaning they really don't even bind to
18	proteins well. And so, again, that molecular
19	weight really tells us a lot. It's not the be-all
20	and end-all, but it tells us a lot about how
21	chemicals might behave in both the environment and
22	in the human body.
23	Q. And in your field of toxicology,
24	Dr. Anderson, why does it matter that a receptor
25	can bind?

1	A. Oh. Sorry. Again, I know that it's a
2	really good question. When we talk about receptor
3	binding, again my background is molecular biology.
4	This is how chemicals actually interact in our
5	bodies. And PFAS, specifically for, for example,
6	PFOA and PFOS, unfortunately they are the sweet
7	spot, perfect size to bind to a lot of proteins in
8	our body. They bind to proteins in our blood,
9	they bind to proteins in our kidneys, and that's
0	why we hang onto them. They stay in our body.
1	But PFAS that are smaller than that
L2	just don't bind as well. It's kind of like trying
_3	to catch a Ping-Pong with a catcher's mitt, right?
4	It's not the right size, you are going to drop it.
L 5	The PFAS that are too big also won't bind to that
-6	protein. So binding to proteins is one way
.7	bioaccumulations, which means exposure to very
8 .	small amounts of a chemical but it stays in our
_9	body, we don't eliminate it very quickly. So
20	that's one mechanism of bioaccumulation specific
21	to PFAS.
22	It also is a mechanism for potential
23	toxicity, the binding to receptors and then
24	causing those protein receptors to do things in
25	the cell, to signal different activities. And so

1	that binding to receptors, which is contingent on
2	the size and charge and shape of chemicals, is
3	critical to understanding toxicity.
4	Q. And so I just want to make sure, when
5	you said those really small compounds that can
6	bind to receptors, you were saying PFOS and PFOA?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. Just because PFAS is a thing to
9	say, it sounds different on this end of the mic.
10	A. I will spell out when I'm saying a
11	specific chemical. I will spell out the alphabet
12	soup.
13	Q. Thank you.
14	A. I will try to remember.
15	Q. Thank you.
16	And, again, while we are on this
17	conversation about polymers and nonpolymers,
18	Dr. Anderson, there has been lots of conversation
19	this week, testimony that again all PFAS are
20	toxic, all PFAS are the same. Could you explain
21	what we have here on this slide to discuss that
22	point?
23	A. Sure. I just wanted to put some of
24	the key PFAS in their correct family. So as
25	mentioned, PTFE is a fluoropolymer, completely

1	different in size. Dramatically different, right?
2	PFOA and PFOS, they fall under the perfluoroalkyl
3	substances family. What that means, the per means
4	all. Chemistry lesson there, per means all. The
5	F for fluoro so all fluorines. What that means is
6	that the carbons are fully fluorinated. The O
7	actually stands for the number of carbons, octo,
8	so that's how you kind of decipher this alphabet
9	soup.
10	That subset of chemistry, the fully
11	fluorinated, varies based on both chain lengths
12	and what is at the end, and that's either the A
13	for carboxylic acid, or an F for sulfonate. So
14	the best I can tell, there is about two dozens of
15	those, and that's it. But those are the ones that
16	we have studied because they were used the most as
17	fluorosurfactants, highest volume, and those are
18	the ones that we have information about toxicity,
19	bioaccumulative nature. They are not all
20	bioaccumulative, going back to the protein
21	binding, but that's the family.
22	All the poly, so poly means many, not
23	all, so highly fluorinated. Many fluorines, but
24	not all, so a lot of discussion around all the
25	rest of the PFAS falls into that category. That

1 is probably the biggest family of PFAS. 2 boxes here are not drawn to scale, but everything else, like the GenX, the fluorophilic alcohols, 3 the fluorophilic sulphonates, all of those fall 4 5 into that polyalcohol group. 6 Ο. Thank you. 7 And you said, Dr. Anderson, that there was a group that was the most studied because 8 9 those are the most concerned, and you didn't say what those are. Could you just clarify for the 10 11 record what those are? 12 Yes. We started with the fully Α. 13 fluorinated, the perfluoroalkyl substances, so PFOA PFOS used in highest production, according to 14 15 the industry, and used in the widest range of 16 applications due to their fluorosurfactant nature 17 and their ability to be highly stable, repel oil 18 and grease and water, and all of those things. 19 They are also the terminal degradation So degradation and impurities are an 20 products. 21 important thing when talking about PFAS, and those terminal sort of, if you are going to have 22 23 something break down it's going to be at a carbon 24 that is not fluorinated or maybe an oxygen that is 25 stuck in the middle of a group or something weird.

1	It will break down to and stop breaking down at
2	the fully fluorinated chain.
3	I'm hoping I'm making, that makes
4	sense. The fluorines around the carbon kind of
5	protect those carbons. If a carbon is not fully
6	fluorinated it's not protected. It can be broken
7	apart, or if there is a different molecule in
8	there that is not fluorinated, like an oxygen, it
9	is not protected. So those polyfluoroalkyl
L O	substances, and even bits and pieces of the side
L1	chain fluorinated polymers can break down into the
L 2	fully fluorinated substances.
L 3	So those are the most well-studied
L 4	because at the end of the day not only were they
L 5	the most used, not only do they have similar
L 6	physical chemical characteristics including
L 7	protein binding, size, shape, but they also can be
L 8	the degradation, the common degradation products,
L 9	so many of the other PFAS.
20	Q. Were any of the class of nonpolymers
21	that you were just talking about, PFOA and PFOS,
22	used in the oil and gas industry, to your
23	knowledge?
24	A. Not that I have seen. Not to my
25	knowledge.

1	Q. Could you give us a common example of
2	what a PFOS or a PFOA might be? Would that be,
3	like, fire fighting foam?
4	A. Both are present in the older
5	formulations of ASSS. PFOS was also heavily used
6	in things like Scotch Guard surface treatments,
7	textile treatments, both of them. PFOA is a
8	common breakdown product of other
9	fluorosurfactants as well because, again, anything
10	that has got eight or more carbons can break down
11	to PFOA.
12	Q. And let me ask you, Dr. Anderson, in
13	the definition of PFAS, P-F-A-S, that NMOGA
14	proposed here, did that definition include PFOA?
15	A. Yes, it did.
16	Q. Did that definition include PFOS?
17	A. Yes, it did.
18	Q. Did it include the breakdown products
19	of PFOA?
20	A. PFOA is the breakdown product.
21	Q. And so it then included the breakdown
22	products?
23	A. Yes, it did.
24	Q. And the analytical method that the
25	OCD, Oil Conversation Division, included in their
	Page 74

1	definition, do those analytical methods analyze
2	for breakdown products?
3	A. The perfluoroalkyl substances, yes,
4	these degradation products.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	Dr. Anderson, since we are having the
7	most fun with a chemistry lesson this morning I
8	thought why not actually put some chemical
9	structures up and show everyone what we are
10	talking about. I think that might, as a lawyer
11	pretending to be a chemist, I thought that might
12	be helpful to everyone. So could you please
13	explain, Dr. Anderson, what we are looking at here
14	in terms of what we have heard this week from
15	other witnesses?
16	A. Sure, and I will promise not to, to
17	try to not make this too painful, again as a
18	toxicologist walking through chemistry. Again,
19	the chemistry, the chemical structures are really
20	important to understand the toxicology, the fate
21	and transport.
22	So the first column shows just an
23	example of fully fluorinated substances. Anywhere
24	where there is two lines that touch, that is a
25	carbon. We don't rate the disease just because it
	Page 75

1	gets a little too busy, but there is carbon. And
2	you can see those carbons are in a chain and that
3	every single carbon has a fluorine around it.
4	That's what it means.
5	And so you can see that you have got
6	some with eight going down to seven that are
7	fluorinated in the PFOA molecule, but technically
8	eight carbons. And then there is an example, just
9	a small little one at the bottom, the B for bute
10	or 4.
11	The middle column, those are just some
12	examples of some common polyfluorinated
13	substances. These are the ones that we have.
14	Some of them we have studied. The 62:FTS for
15	example. GenX is at the bottom. That is drawn a
16	little wonky, and I don't know why. All of these
17	structures come from the EPA's chemistry
18	dashboard. But what you can see is that there are
19	carbons, for example the 6:2-FTOH at the end. You
20	will see how there are some lines drawn that don't
21	have fluorines attached to them. Those are the
22	carbons that break off. And when it degrades, it
23	just degrades to that chain that has the F.
24	That's where it breaks.
25	And so similarly the molecules below

1	it and to be fair I don't actually know the
2	full chemical name of this one. I can certainly
3	look it up but the acronym is listed there for
4	you it would degrade similarly so even though
5	we don't have toxicity information for FPeSAA, you
6	can see how the molecules are pretty similar with
7	that key fully fluorinated chain, and in our
8	bodies and in the environment the N groups can
9	break off. We do have the toxicity information on
10	that chain.
11	While we may not have
12	chemical-specific information on the FPeSAA, we
13	have some really good indications of what might be
14	happening, and FPeSAA is not included in the
15	anolyte list. It is included in our definition.
16	But we can measure and monitor for the degradation
17	product and we can have some information about
18	potential risk because it's the chain, that piece,
19	that binds to the receptors in our body that then
20	causes the myriad of potential health effects.
21	And so even with GenX you can see,
22	even though it is drawn a little wonky, they kind
23	of stretch it out a little bit, it can still fit,
24	it can still do that same pattern of fitting into

a, of a -- of a binding or a receptor. But I will

1	say, for example, GenX is not bioaccumulative. We
2	eliminate it pretty quickly, in a matter of days
3	and weeks. Why is that? You can look here and
4	see it does have sort of a dangling piece my
5	chemistry coming out, right? All the chemists
6	listening are flinching but it has got that
7	dangly piece which means it doesn't fit into the
8	receptor as well.
9	So in our kidneys when we try to
10	eliminate chemicals, our kidneys think that these
11	long chains are lipids. So fatty acids are
12	carbons in a row fully hydronated with hydrogen.
13	So our body is like, oh, fatty acid, I need that
14	for energy, I need that for metabolism, so it
15	pulls it back in. It doesn't do that as well as
16	the really small ones, and with GenX, and it
17	doesn't do it as well with the really big ones
18	because they can't bind.
19	And the box that I have shown is just
20	the structure for PTFE and what we have been
21	calling here as FPEG, in quotes, so no one thinks
22	that this is the official formal definition. We
23	made it up. You have to squint, but what I would
24	like to call your attention to is the small little

n outside of the brackets. That indicates that

Τ.	those are repeating units over and over and over
2	and over. And so that's what is in that chemical
3	structure there. But you can see PTFE fully
4	fluorinated, highly, highly stable. Honestly, not
5	a lot is known about the FPEG, but the group on
6	the end has fluorines with an n meaning repeated
7	over and over and over.
8	And then on the bottom I just put some
9	random different PFAS that fit the various
10	definitions, and you can see they have vastly
11	different structures. So as soon as you get away
12	from the fully fluorinated alkyl acid chain of
13	carbons you start getting all kind of funky
14	cyclic, you get rings, you get all sorts of crazy
15	things. And even if we don't know the toxicity, I
16	can look at this molecule and say it will behave
17	differently than PFOA, for example. Fully
18	fluorinated, I'm talking about the per, again all,
19	fluoro t-butycyclohexane. It is fully fluorinated
20	suggesting that it is highly stable, but it
21	doesn't, so it doesn't have any degradation points
22	in it but it also doesn't looks like a chain that
23	would bind to the same receptors.
24	So those are just a couple examples.
25	Q. I just have a few questions on the
	Page 79

1	things that you said. You said that, one of the
2	things you said is that the middle category there,
3	the polyfluorinated substances can degrade; is
4	that correct?
5	A. They can, yes.
6	Q. And when they degrade what do they
7	degrade into?
8	A. That is a whole area of research, to
9	be honest, and so what we know for sure is that
10	the fully fluorinated bits, the pieces, the
11	tailends, are going to be where they stop. Under
12	what conditions they degrade, how quickly they
13	degrade, and what might be what we call
14	intermediates, they are still an active area of
15	research.
16	Q. Okay, and the category of
17	perfluorinated substances on that left-hand
18	column, do we have analytical methods for those?
19	A. The per, so fully fluorinated
20	Q. Yes.
21	A first column, yes.
22	Q. Okay, and on the bottom right-hand
23	corner I see a chemical structure that says
24	Paxlovid. Is that Paxlovid as in the Covid
25	treatment?

Τ.	A. It is indeed, yes.
2	Q. And so am I to understand that
3	Paxlovid uses PFAS?
4	A. Paxlovid meets the definition of a
5	PFAS as proposed by the WildEarth Guardians. If
6	you look closely on the molecule on the farthest
7	left-hand side, there is three fluorines all
8	around a carbon so that carbon is fully
9	fluorinated, so it has one fully fluorinated
L 0	carbon. That is very, very common in
L1	pharmaceuticals. I don't know exactly why. I
L 2	have asked, but adding various fluorines to drugs
L 3	is very, very common and still being approved for
L 4	use. Lipitor is another example.
L 5	So I wanted to show that the rest of
L 6	the molecules look nothing like a PFAS. In fact,
L 7	this molecule breaks down, not persistent in our
L 8	bodies, certainly isn't considered an oil
L 9	repellancy or water you know, water repellancy.
20	It doesn't have the fluorosurfactant properties.
21	Q. Thank you, Dr. Anderson. We are
22	talking about all of the different areas of PFAS,
23	fluoropolymers, nonpolymers, and where there are
24	still areas of what we know and where there are
25	still areas of research. So could you please
	Page 81

1	explain what we are seeing here to respond to some
2	of the other testimony that we have heard this
3	week about we don't know enough about PFAS, we
4	have no idea, it's all still developing science?
5	A. Sure. So this is a figure that was
6	put together by the team that worked on the ITRC.
7	That's an acronym that stands for the Interstate
8	Technology and Regulatory Council. It's a group
9	of, sort of we all volunteer our time and work
10	with state regulators to put together guidance
11	documents on key chemistries and key issues in the
12	environmental space. I do believe this was
13	referenced in some of Dr. Horwitt's or
14	Mr. Horwitt's testimony.
15	This was a figure that was first
16	created as the initial version, the first version
17	of the guidance as meant for PFAS. And what it is
18	showing is that, yes, PFOA and PFOS grab our
19	attention right away, rightly, but we quickly move
20	to the very similar like-structured other fully
21	fluorinated compounds, and that is shown in the
22	orange and the purple. So that is a list of the
23	fully fluorinated or perfluoroalkyl substances,
24	and we have a lot of attention, a lot of data on

those. You can see this says Early Attention and

1 this was even five years ago. 2 We then move to the green to start to understand well, what about all these weird 3 fluorotelomers and the perfluoroalkyl ethers --4 that's the GenX. Oh, no, I'm sorry, polyfluoroalkyl ether is the GenX -- but these 6 other subclasses of the poly. So the green is 8 where we start to introduce the polyfluorinated 9 substances. 10 And then finally, at the very bottom 11 that is then the rest, right, the rest of the PFAS 12 that don't fall into those. But it is getting 13 increasing attention, even as of five years ago. I do want to read the footnote under this that 14 15 says that this diagram is not meant to depict, 16 again, any kind of accurate sizes. Scaling is not 17 accurate. The number of the other PFAS is not necessarily, it says "not a greater quantity by 18 19 mass, concentration, or frequency of detection." 20 So even though it is a bigger slice it was just 21 meant to represent other chemicals, not -- you 22 know, not by mass. 23 Q. So Dr. Anderson, in terms of what we 24 understand today for PFAS, where are we, looking 25 at NMOGA Rebuttal Exhibit 30.5 in terms of color,

1 where are we? 2 Α. I mean, we are already in the blue, 3 what we understand today. Now, fully characterized toxicity information on a chemical 4 by chemical basis, we are in the purple, right, and actually some of the green 62:FTOS and then 6 FTOH, but definitely continuing to rapidly expand 8 our information. And all of those PFAS that have been 9 Ο. analyzed for toxicity to date, are they all 10 11 extremely toxic in low levels? 12 Α. No. So we have toxicity information 13 on -- actually, just this week EPA released their what they call the regional screening levels to 14 15 what we use to screen groundwater in soils. 16 contains 31 PFAS. So we have toxicity information 17 at least sufficient to screen groundwater in soil for 31 PFAS from EPA. It is from a compilation of 18 19 different sources, but that's what the regional office puts out. 20 21 And so while going all the way through 22 rulemaking under the Safe Drinking Water Act, it 23 is limited to six. We actually have toxicity 24 values for maybe about ten to a dozen, and then 25 the ability to screen based on human health risk

1	concerns here in the U.S. Section 31, and that
2	number is going to continue to grow for sure.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	A. But I do, I'm not sure if I fully
5	answered your question. You did ask about the
6	range of toxicity?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. And so what is important from that
9	information is, while we have toxicity values
10	meaning potential for human health concerns for
11	sure, the level at which or the potency of that
12	toxicity varies by, if my math is correct, eight
13	orders of magnitude so it's one hundred million
14	full difference.
15	So, for example, PFDoA, and this is in
16	my testimony, has a screening level of 800 parts
17	per billion, and that's compared to the screening
18	level again for PFOA which we know is
19	bioaccumulative, has multiple potential human
20	health effects associated with it, right? There
21	is a reason that number is so low, so to say they
22	are all exceptionally toxic when there is such a
23	wide range.
24	And again, toxicologists put toxicity
25	in relation to exposure. There has to be exposure
	Page 85

1	for there to be a risk, so if a PFAS, if we are
2	not exposed to it there is not going to be a risk,
3	right? If it's not in our water we are not able
4	to ingest it. If we are not breathing it in, it's
5	not in our food, and it doesn't get into our
6	bodies then there is no real risk. There is no
7	toxicity.
8	Q. Thank you. Just give me one more
9	moment. I have some other items I would like to
10	bring up.
11	Dr. Anderson, did you review New
12	Energy Economy Exhibit KH-1BB of Dr. Kristen
13	Hansen?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Is Dr. Hansen a toxicologist?
16	A. No, she is not.
17	Q. Did you review Dr. Hansen's direct
18	testimony?
19	A. Yes, I did.
20	Q. Did you review Dr. Hansen's rebuttal
21	testimony?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. Okay. May I share oh, I think can
24	I yes, thank you.
25	What I have in front of you,
	Page 86

1	Dr. Anderson, is New Energy Economy Exhibit A
2	which is the direct testimony of Dr. Hansen.
3	A. It's not sharing yet.
4	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Let me try again.
5	There we go. I don't mean to scroll
6	through this, I'm sorry oh, that's not it.
7	Excuse me.
8	There we go. Now I have New Energy
9	Economy Exhibit A in front of you. I'm sorry to
10	make everybody motion sick by scrolling through
11	here. I don't know a better way to do it.
12	I'm on Page 10 of Dr. Hansen's direct
13	testimony, Lines 19 through 22. It reads, "PFAS
14	are a class of 10,000 to 15,000 different
15	compounds reflecting a diverse set of chemical
16	characteristics. And these characteristics
17	explain why different PFAS are used in different
18	applications."
19	Dr. Hansen, do you agree with this
20	statement?
21	A. The highlighted statement?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. Yes, I do.
24	Q. In terms of toxicology, does diversity
25	matter, the diversity of the PFAS matter?
	Page 87

1	A. If we are talking specifically about
2	the diversity of molecular size, functional
3	groups, reactive groups and physical chemical
4	characteristics, yes, for sure.
5	Q. And would that diversity be what we
6	looked at on that slide of all the different
7	chemical structures of this PFAS; is that what you
8	mean?
9	A. Correct, and I just showed a small
10	snippet of the diversity.
11	Q. Page 6 here.
12	I'm now on Page 6 of Dr. Hansen's
13	direct testimony starting at Line 6. She says,
14	"There are six well-characterized PFAS compounds,"
15	and I'm just going to say the acronyms, not the
16	full names, "PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFBS, PFNA, and
17	HPFO-DA or GenX. These well-characterized PFAS
18	span a range of chemistries and are therefore
19	reasonable surrogates for the thousands of
20	under-studied/unstudied members of the class in
21	considering environmental mobility and toxicity to
22	humans."
23	Did I read that correctly?
24	A. Yes, you did.
25	Q. Do you agree with Dr. Hansen that
	Dage 88

1	there are six well-characterized PFAS?
2	A. If by well-characterized here she is
3	meaning on the toxicity front for human health,
4	yes.
5	Q. Do you agree that these six
6	specifically have been well-characterized for
7	toxicity?
8	A. These six have been through the Safe
9	Drinking Water Act and, as I said previously,
LO	there are actually a few others.
L1	Q. Do analytical methods exist for these
L2	six PFAS?
L 3	A. Yes, they do.
L4	Q. And is that because they have at least
L 5	two fully fluorinated carbons?
L6	A. I don't know why these are on the
L7	analytical list but they behave similarly and they
L 8	are found, you know, with a frequency of
L9	occurrence so they are the attention of the
20	researchers putting together the analytical
21	methods.
22	Q. Would these six compounds be included
23	in the definition of PFAS as NMOGA proposes?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay.

1	And in terms of surrogacy, do you
2	believe that these six compounds are reasonable
3	surrogates for the under-studied or unstudied
4	members of the class in considering full
5	environmental mobility and toxicity?
6	A. I do not agree with that statement.
7	Q. Why not?
8	A. Because they would only serve as
9	reasonable surrogates if we understood that the
0	under-studied or unstudied specific PFAS might
1	degrade into one of them. So if a PFAS has in its
2	structure eight carbons that are fully
3	chlorinated, it would degrade to PFOA and we might
4	reasonably assume that there would be similar
5	concerns with that one. But if a PFAS does not
6	have those t-carbons in the chain, with the right
7	number, because that matters, again PFBS, GenX,
8	are not bioaccumulative. They are too small.
9	They wouldn't represent a good surrogate for
0	assumptions about environmental mobility or
1	toxicity.
2	Q. Would it be a fair characterization of
3	your testimony that just because something is a
4	PFAS you cannot assume that it is mobile and
5	toxic?
- 1	

1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Mulcahy,
4	would you identify a good stopping point? We need
5	a break.
6	MS. MULCAHY: Yes. I apologize.
7	Right now would be fine.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's come back
9	at 10:40.
10	(Recess taken 10:25 a.m.)
11	(After recess 10:43 a.m.)
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: When we broke,
13	Ms. Mulcahy, you were putting Dr. Anderson through
14	some rebuttal testimony.
15	Ms. Mulcahy.
16	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
17	BY MS. MULCAHY:
18	Q. I'm scrolling to Page 7 of
19	Dr. Hansen's direct testimony. I'm looking at
20	Lines 9 through 10 here. Excuse me, 9 through 11.
21	"Of the well-studied PFAS compounds, all but one
22	U.S.ADA bioaccumulate in humans with half-lives
23	ranging from between several weeks, PFBS, to
24	several years, PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFNA."
25	Did I read that correctly,
	Page 91
	1496 71

1	Dr. Anderson?
2	A. Yes, you did.
3	Q. Do you agree with this statement from
4	Dr. Hansen?
5	A. No, I do not. First, just to clarify,
6	if she is just referring to those one, two, three,
7	four, five, six, it is accurate, but their
8	bioaccumulation or their half-life half-life is
9	their, the elimination half-life are how quickly
L 0	it takes for the human body to get rid of about
L1	half that is correct, several weeks for PFBS to
L 2	several years. Several weeks is not typically
L 3	considered bioaccumulative, and in fact some of
L 4	the regulatory cutoffs for bioaccumulation do not
L 5	actually even include PFOA, but I disagree with
L 6	that, so it's just a little too oversimplified.
L 7	We also have bioaccumulation
L 8	information on a whole host of other PFAS. Some
L 9	are bioaccumulative and some are not.
20	Q. And you mentioned half-life. From a
21	toxicology perspective, why do half-lives matter?
22	A. So in order for a chemical to cause
23	potential adverse effects it has to be in our
24	body. So the half-life is how long it is in our
25	body before it either degrades, like some of the
	Page 92

1	polyfluorinated compounds or more traditional
2	compounds that we are used to. And why that is
3	important is for other classic chemicals we
4	eliminate them pretty quickly, either through our
5	urine or through our bowel movement, and so they
6	don't stay in our bodies. They don't stick around
7	and have the opportunity to cause adverse effects,
8	but we are especially concerned about
9	bioaccumulative compounds, PFOA and PFOS, the long
10	chains fully fluorinated alkyl acids for sure.
11	Q. And when you said we are concerned
12	about the bioaccumulative compounds, and then you
13	said PFOA and PFOS, I just want to make sure the
14	record is clear, you're saying P-F-O-A, P-F-O-S?
15	A. Yes. Sorry, I meant to spell them
16	out. I forgot the rule.
17	Q. That's okay. No problem.
18	And I'm still here on Page 7, and I'm
19	now looking at Lines 12 through 15 where
20	Dr. Hansen says, "According to the CDC's Agency
21	for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry the
22	well-studied PFAS compounds, all are linked to one
23	or several health effects in humans including
24	cancer, developmental toxicity, endocrine
25	disruption, cardiovascular disease, immune system
	Page 93

1	toxicity and liver toxicity."
2	Did I read that correctly,
3	Dr. Anderson?
4	A. Yes, you did.
5	Q. Do you agree with this statement from
6	Dr. Hansen?
7	A. No, I do not.
8	Q. Why not?
9	A. There is a few little problems.
10	First, the terminology "linked to," I'm going to
11	use ATSDR, that is a more common acronym for the
12	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.
13	They typically and more commonly, toxicologists
14	and risk assessors will use the phrase "associated
15	with." There is no citation to this statement, so
16	I can't be for sure what, where she is getting
17	this information. So that is one problem. I
18	would have rewritten it to be "associated with."
19	The several is that the health effects
20	listed here, while certainly may be a concern for
21	one or more of the PFAS, not all that are listed
22	here even. Does that make sense? So if we are
23	talking about, again, just the six, for example,
24	only PFOA has been associated with a few very
25	specific types of cancers in humans and only PFOS

1 has been associated with the potential risk for 2 cancer based on laboratory animal data. The, for example, PFBS to my knowledge 3 hasn't been associated with immune system toxicity 4 5 so there is some differences. I do want to be clear, these PFAS do have similar toxicities but 6 it's not correct to be, like, they are all associated with the same list. Overlapping, yes. 8 9 They share very similar chemical structures. 10 Ο. Thank you. 11 And you said that you are going to 12 change the language from "linked to" to 13 "associated with." Why is that language 14 important? 15 I know it sounds like a crazy nuance Α. 16 but toxicologists and risk assessors are very 17 careful and most often use "associated with." 18 That means there is a line of evidence that 19 strongly supports from a statistical association 20 either in humans -- well, typically with humans. 21 We reserve words like "cause" for real experimental studies, where you are hypothesis 22 23 testing, you have a control, and those are done in 24 animals or perhaps cells in petri dishes, those 25 kinds of things. Very rarely would we ever use Page 95

1	the word "cause" if we are associated with a
2	chemical. And because we know that toxicity is
3	associated with exposure and different doses and
4	there is a lot of nuance there.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	I'm going to stay on Page 7 and I'm
7	going to scroll down to Lines 17 through 19.
8	Dr. Hansen testifies, "Pathways of human exposure
9	to PFAS include gestation via placenta, ingestion
10	including via breast milk, drinking water, and
11	food, inhalation and dermal adsorption."
12	Did I read that correctly?
13	A. Yes, you did.
14	Q. Dr. Anderson, do you agree with this
15	statement by Dr. Hansen?
16	A. No, I do not.
17	Q. Why not?
18	A. Because here she drops the use of the
19	well-studied and just uses PFAS so one might
20	assume then that she is referring to the entire
21	class, and that's just simply incorrect. If we
22	are talking about a few very specific PFAS, then
23	this could be true with the exception of dermal
24	adsorption. Dermal adsorption is not widely
25	accepted as a potential pathway for potential
	Page 96

1	exposure for even PFOA or PFOS.
2	Q. While we are talking about this topic
3	of well-studied PFAS and toxicity and other
4	characteristics of these well-studied PFAS, since
5	we are in this subject matter, then would it be
6	accurate to say that all PFAS, P-F-A-S, compounds
7	bioaccumulate?
8	A. No, it's not accurate.
9	Q. Would it be correct to say that all
10	PFAS compounds, as Dr. Hansen points out, have the
11	same toxicity?
12	A. No.
13	MS. NANASI: Objection to that
14	question. That's not what Dr. Hansen said and she
15	is mischaracterizing Dr. Hansen's testimony.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, we
17	are looking at it Ms. Mulcahy. I don't see that
18	it says they are all equally toxic. I see that
19	all are linked to one or several health effects.
20	MS. MULCAHY: Okay.
21	BY MS. MULCAHY:
22	Q. Dr. Anderson, did you hear the
23	testimony from Dr. Sandau earlier this week?
24	A. Yes, I did.
25	Q. Did you hear when Dr. Sandau testified
	Page 97

1	that some PFAS are inert?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. Would you agree with that statement?
4	A. Yes, I would.
5	Q. Do you have any examples of inert PFAS
6	or could you talk about why some PFAS are inert?
7	A. Sure. I don't know exactly what
8	Dr. Sandau is referring to, but my example would
9	be a fluoropolymer, for example, PTFE. It is, as
10	mentioned earlier, so large that it can't adsorb
11	through a cell membrane, as I said earlier. It
12	doesn't have reactive groups on it meaning there
13	is not side bits that could react with cells.
14	It's not even water soluble. It's a solid. It's
15	almost just sitting there. In fact, it is used in
16	the medical implantation device industry, so we
17	implant things with PTFE in our bodies and have
18	been for decades.
19	Q. And if it is implanted in our bodies
20	does that mean that it is not toxic to humans?
21	A. It means that as the conditions of
22	being used in our body we have not found any
23	reaction from it being there. There is no adverse
24	effects that have been noted. So, yes, I would
25	say that from the medical literature it's use on
	Page 98

1	those products have been deemed safe and
2	appropriate.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	There was much talk and testimony this
5	week about produced water and exposure pathways
6	from produced water in terms of PFAS. Do you
7	recall that testimony?
8	A. In general, yes, I do.
9	Q. Okay. So if a substance, such as
10	produced water, contained PFAS and it was spilled
11	on the ground would that automatically mean that
12	there was a risk to human health and the
13	environment?
14	A. Absolutely not.
15	Q. Could you explain why not?
16	A. In order for there to be risk there
17	has to be an exposure pathway, one; and two,
18	exposure at a concentration or dose that would
19	elicit an adverse health effect. So if a receptor
20	were in contact with a spill of material and if
21	the material contained chemicals at a
22	concentration or dose level that could cause an
23	adverse health effect, then that would mean that
24	there is a potential for risk. But both of those
25	hypotheses would have to be true.

1	Q. And when you say exposure pathway,
2	what do you mean by that, Dr. Anderson?
3	A. The easy one we typically hear, and
4	then talked quite a bit a lot here, is drinking
5	water, so if any of those chemicals were to reach
6	a drinking source. But we also consider
7	incidental ingestion of soil. Anything that might
8	get unto our bodies via ingestion, whether
9	intentional or incidental, and just again,
10	generally speaking, not speaking about PFAS but in
11	general, also air, right, if there is chemicals in
12	the air.
13	Q. And you said not speaking about PFAS,
14	but would the same apply to PFAS?
15	A. For the ones that might be volatile,
16	yes.
17	Q. And in terms of, you said dose, so
18	there has to be both an exposure pathway and a
19	dose. Could you explain a little bit more by what
20	you mean by dose?
21	A. Sure. Toxicologists, sort of the
22	central tenant of one of the challenges of our job
23	is that literally everything is toxic at some
24	dose, some exposure. The easiest and silliest
25	example is true water. If you drink a, drink
	Page 100

1	enough water quick enough, fast enough, it can
2	cause death. Your kidneys, right, so that's the
3	dose. I know that's a silly example but it
4	actually has happened.
5	And so by dose we understand there to
6	be a threshold for chemicals that at or below you
7	are not really increasing your risk of adverse
8	effect. Our bodies can handle it. It's our
9	liver's job to process and manage chemicals and
10	get them eliminated from our body. And so that
11	dose matters because if you are above the dose you
12	are going to increase your risk of that health
13	effect. If you are below the dose your body
14	manages it and there is no increased risk.
15	Defining that dose is your key.
16	Q. And so would it be a fair
17	characterization of your testimony to say that in
18	order for produced water spilled on the ground to
19	be a concern to human health or the environment
20	you both have to, one, have an exposure pathway;
21	and then two, have an appropriately large dose?
22	MS. NANASI: Objection, asked and
23	answered.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Mulcahy?
25	MS. MULCAHY: I'm asking her to just
	Page 101

summarize what we have just talked about in
several incremental steps to clarify it for both
the Commission and everyone in the room.
THE HEARING OFFICER: You don't think
she has already given a summary?
MS. MULCAHY: I do not believe, no.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, go ahead.
THE WITNESS: So would you like me to
just state the summary?
BY MS. MULCAHY:
Q. I just asked you is that a fair
characterization of your testimony. What I said
is that in order for there to be a harm to human
health in the environment from produced water that
may contain PFAS would it be a fair
characterization of your testimony to say that
there must both be an exposure pathway and an
appropriately large dose?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
Earlier you also talked, and maybe
this goes to what you just talked about so if it
does just let me know, but we earlier talked about
the various different PFAS and receptors. Do you
recall that testimony?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Okay, so how do you as a toxicologist and risk assessor determine if there is a connection or pathway between, like, a spill and a receptor?
 - A. Sure.

So I don't want to confuse the term receptor because you used it in two different ways.

- Q. Okay, sure.
- Α. So I just want to be really clear. So if there is a spill I utilize the expertise of environmental scientists such as our engineers and hydrogeologists and experts in fate and transport like Dr. Richardson to help me understand how chemicals will move to the environment, what media they will be in, air, soil, water, biota. then as a toxicologist I can then assess and have understanding of different receptors' interactions with those media: How much water do we drink a day, how much air do we drink a day, how much food does an ecological receptor eat from various food groups. We have that information. That's how you combine and figure out an exposure pathway plus the amount or the dose that is going to be

1	ingested.
2	Once a chemical is ingested that is
3	where the binding to receptors and the
4	bioavailability takes place, so a chemical has to
5	be able to get into our system, it has to be able
6	to elicit a toxicological effect, and that can
7	happen through a whole myriad of ways.
8	Q. Thank you.
9	I'm going to scroll to Page 8 of
L 0	Dr. Hansen's direct testimony, Lines 10 through
L1	12. She states, "PFAS compounds are pervasive and
L 2	persistent in the environment. Many are highly
L 3	mobile in the environment, many bioaccumulate,
L 4	many are toxic to humans and to biota at very low
L 5	levels."
L 6	Did I read that correctly?
L 7	A. Yes, you did.
L 8	Q. Do you agree with this statement,
L 9	Dr. Anderson?
20	A. The first statement, "PFAS compounds
21	are pervasive and persistent in the environment,"
22	I disagree with that. One, I believe Dr. Hansen
23	was operating under the WildEarth Guardians'
24	definition of PFAS, and as per their definition
25	some PFAS, the ones with fully fluorinated

carbons, are not pervasive and persistent in the environment. Two, even under our definition and a broader definition, the polyfluorinates can break down. They do break down into other PFAS, to be clear, so I think there is some confusion and I don't quite agree with that sentence.

The second sentence, the use of "many" is a little questionable. We do know that some PFAS are mobile. I don't know how she is defining many and I don't know how she is defining highly. Even for the PFAS for which we have data we know there are differences in how they move in the environment. That is information I get from my fate and transport experts like Dr. Richardson.

2.5

We know that some bioaccumulate but we actually know that many don't, and again I'm not defining many very well either. For example, EPA has done a machine-learning exercise of over 4,000 PFAS, and they found that 50 percent of them do have a half-life that is greater than several months. Again, that doesn't mean they bioaccumulate, but at least have a longer retention time in our body, half of them. But a third of them was less than a week. That's not bioaccumulative.

1	Now, that was around 4,000 that they
2	tested and that was in a machine-learning
3	algorithm, complicated, so it is still yet to be
4	determined, but their machine-learning algorithm
5	took into consideration the molecular shape, the
6	chemistry, the physical chemical properties, and
7	the internal biological properties that mimic like
8	receptor binding, movement of them through our
9	body.
10	And so again, and then the last part
11	of the sentence "toxic," to a toxicologist that
12	needs to be qualified. What route of exposure and
13	at what exposure level are we talking about?
14	Certainly agree that PFOA and PFAS are really of
15	concern at low levels in the environment.
16	Definitely agree with that.
17	Q. And then when you say
18	A. P-F-O-A and P-F-O-S. Sorry.
19	Q. That's okay, no problem.
20	And I want to ask you specifically
21	about her statement that many are highly mobile,
22	and you said that you disagree with that; is that
23	correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. From a toxicology perspective why does
	Page 106

1	mobility matter?
2	A. It matters to me because I need to
3	understand exposure pathways, and we, the
4	terminology we use is a complete exposure pathway.
5	So we understand that certain chemicals that may
6	be spilled over here may travel and have risk to
7	receptors way over here. We understand that. But
8	which ones and how fast and in what media, so
9	that's the mobility piece.
10	So PFAS like PFOA, PFOS and the
11	similar compounds in that group are water soluble.
12	They have different mobilities. They travel, even
13	the ones that look the same travel differently in
14	the water. But other PFAS like PTFE is not water
15	soluble and some PFAS are gases, so they are not
16	even in the water.
17	The gases like the hydrofluorocarbons
18	degrade in the environment, in a few days in the
19	atmosphere.
20	Q. Okay, thank you.
21	I'm going to stay on Page 8 but oh,
22	excuse me, I'm going to scroll to Page 9 now of
23	Dr. Hansen's direct testimony. I apologize, I
24	don't have it highlighted here, but Dr. Hansen
25	suggests the Commission adopt the following

1	definition of PFAS. "A class of compounds
2	including chemicals with at least one aliphatic
3	perfluorocarbon moiety."
4	Do you agree with Dr. Hansen that the
5	Commission should adopt this definition of PFAS?
6	A. No, I do not. As I said earlier, the
7	definition that I recommended is a line with the
8	EPA TSCA program. It includes the PFAS of most
9	highest concern and of relevance to this
10	rulemaking.
11	Q. And earlier you testified that there
12	are no standardized analytical methods in
13	existence today that can test for one
14	perfluorocarbon moiety. Do you recall that
15	testimony?
16	A. Yes, I do.
17	Q. So let me ask you, Dr. Anderson, if
18	there are no standardized analytical tests that
19	exist today that can test for one perfluorocarbon
20	moiety how would the Division be able to enforce
21	any such prohibition?
22	A. They could not.
23	Q. I'm going to now go to New Energy
24	Economy Exhibit B, which is the rebuttal testimony
25	of Dr. Hansen. Okay. I'm at the bottom of Page 1
	Page 108

Т	on to Page 2. This is long but I am going to read
2	it as fast as I can.
3	"The production and utilization of
4	PFAS compounds such as fluoropolymers and
5	fluorosurfactants include a significant percentage
6	of both PFAS impurities and PFAS residuals.
7	Whether or not a PFAS compound is specifically
8	produced for use by the industry, residual PFAS of
9	a different structure may be present in the
10	overall formulation. It is the responsibility of
11	the industry to control the use of both the
12	compounds produced for their purposes and
13	additional PFAS compounds that are part of that
14	formulation, final formation including residuals
15	and impurities.
16	"Additionally, many PFAS as well as
17	the impurities and residuals present in a PFAS
18	product may undergo some level of degradation in
19	the environment resulting in a smaller persistent
20	perfluorinated compound. Again, the industry
21	needs to control not only the chemicals necessary
22	for their processes, but also the eventual
23	breakdown products of those PFAS into shorter
24	chains long-lived molecules. These residual
25	impurities and degradation products are covered by
	Page 109

1	the broader definition I have proposed," which is
2	the definition that you just mentioned.
3	Dr. Anderson, did I read correctly?
4	A. I believe so, yes.
5	Q. Do you understand what Dr. Hansen is
6	talking about when she mentioned impurities here?
7	A. Can you scroll up just a little to the
8	beginning?
9	Q. Sure.
10	A. Impurities for fluoropolymers, as I
11	understand, yes.
12	Q. Is there something that you don't
13	understand?
14	A. I don't know what she means by
15	impurities for fluorosurfactants.
16	Q. Why don't you understand that?
17	A. I'm just not aware what those might
18	be. Certainly degradation products and residuals,
19	yes. If that's what residuals means, degradation
20	products.
21	Q. Okay.
22	Do you understand what Dr. Hansen is
23	talking about when she says breakdown products
24	here?
25	A. Breakdown products for the
	Page 110

1	fluorosurfactants, yes. Fluoropolymers are not
2	known to break down.
3	Q. Do you agree with Dr. Hansen's
4	statements here on Pages 1 and 2? And I'm happy
5	to scroll up or down if you might need to see
6	something to address that.
7	A. There is a lot here to unpack. I
8	think, starting with the bottom line up front in
9	the bigger picture of what I think she is getting
L 0	at, I agree that we need to control for and think
L1	about the potential impurities in fluoropolymers
L 2	and the potential breakdown products of
L 3	fluorosurfactants or any other PFAS. And I agree
L 4	that her definition includes that, but so does
L 5	ours because those impurities and breakdown
L 6	products are the fully fluorinated as small as two
L 7	carbon n groups, and so we do account for those.
L 8	There is some nuances and some
L 9	confusion that I have and disagreements with
20	individual statements, but I think what she is
21	getting at I agree with and we are accounting for
22	that.
23	I do want to say things like
24	significant percentage of impurities in
25	fluoropolymers, that hasn't proven to be true, and
	Page 111

1	especially today's manufacture of fluoropolymers
2	are made without many, can be made without PFAS
3	used as processing aids at all so the chance for
4	PFAS impurities in those specific fluoropolymers
5	is absent. But nonetheless, historically both for
6	fluoropolymers and fluorosurfactants today, I do
7	think that our definition and my recommendation
8	for consideration is yes, I agree with her, keep
9	those in mind.
10	Q. Okay, and I heard you talk about "our"
11	definition. Do you mean NMOGA's definition?
12	A. The one that I and Dr. Richardson
13	proposed, yes.
14	Q. Okay, and let me ask you then do the
15	analytical methods that the OCD, the Oil
16	Conservation Division, proposes as part of its
17	definition for PFAS include these impurities?
18	A. It's to the extent we are talking
19	about, again, those fully fluorinated alkyl acids
20	and in instances perhaps sulphonate so those
21	chains of carbons that are fully fluorinated,
22	those are on the standard analytical method and
23	fall under everybody's definition.
24	Q. Okay, and so then in terms of the
25	analytical methods that OCD proposes as part of

1	its definition for PFAS, does it include breakdown
2	products?
3	A. As far as we know as the breakdown
4	products being those terminal fully fluorinated
5	PFAS, yes.
6	Q. When you say as far as you know, is
7	that your testimony that not all PFAS break down?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Thank you.
10	Dr. Anderson, in both Dr. Hansen in
11	both her direct and rebuttal testimony mentions,
12	quote, "additive toxicity of PFAS compounds." Do
13	you recall Dr. Hansen mentioning additive toxicity
14	in her testimonies?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. Can you please explain what additive
17	toxicity is?
18	A. Yes, and I'm taking a deep breath.
19	It's a doozy.
20	So what she is referring to is a
21	special subdiscipline of toxicology called
22	mixtures toxicology. It really is a specialized
23	field because it's very complicated, highly
24	statistically driven, high need to understand
25	molecular biology and chemistry, and so to
	Page 113

1	simplify it as much as I can, and to use more
2	accurate terminology, there is a default
3	assumption to be health protective that certain
4	compounds that have similar toxicological profiles
5	or similar toxicity may be additive. And by
6	additive I mean dose additive, not concentration
7	additive. I know. You are rolling your eyes
8	back. I'm sorry. I didn't make up these words.
9	What that means is additive relative
10	to its own dose-response and potency. That's the
11	Hazard Index approach. It does not mean two of
12	this chemical plus two of a second chemical would
13	equal four. It means the risk or the potency of
14	the dose is additive, so a risk of, let's say, you
15	have just a marginal risk for one chemical and a
16	marginal risk for another, we assume that added
17	together you now have an increased risk above
18	either one.
19	So that's what I think she was
20	referring to, although she didn't use the right
21	accurate terminology and, again, additive or
22	mixtures assessment for PFAS is a very, very
23	active area of research including some of the
24	world's experts at EPA. I have published in this
25	area a few years ago, and it is what is underlying

1	EPA's conservative and health-protective
2	assumptions of the Hazard Index approach under the
3	Safe Drinking Water Act.
4	Also in CERCLA, under Superfund sites,
5	we also always do a Hazard Index approach for
6	PFAS, and for all chemicals, actually. So it is a
7	default assumption that we understand to be
8	health-protective, especially when chemicals have
9	similar toxicity profiles. That is the key, you
10	have to at least start there. The assumption is
11	not all chemicals are additive, it's they are
12	additive if they seem to be both affecting, say,
13	the liver or if they are both affecting, say, the
14	thyroid. Then you might be increasing the risk
15	for adverse effects in that target organ.
16	Q. Dr. Anderson, I heard you say that
17	this is an area of study. So has there been any
18	scientific analysis and review of additive
19	toxicity for PFAS compounds?
20	A. There has been a ton of research of
21	the potential for mixtures of facts, dose
22	additivity, relative potency, and also synergism
23	or of antagonism for certain PFAS. Namely the
24	ones we are finding most often associated with
25	AFFF and in the environment so PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS,

1	that similar alphabet soup. There is literature
2	on those and it is growing by the week. That
3	literature does suggest that there may be a
4	potential for dose additivity for some of the PFAS
5	but not all as the literature is not solid clear
6	yet.
7	So as a default and health-protective
8	approach, that's why EPA did the Hazard Index and
9	that is why we use the Hazard Index to screen for
10	all 31 PFAS at Superfund sites. It's important
11	I mean, unfortunately, EPA's own document on the
12	Hazard Index approach is almost 150 pages and
13	their Science Advisory Board has an equally
14	voluminous document on this. This is a very
15	complicated area of research.
16	I think the bottom line is, yes, we
17	need to be aware that we may be exposed to
18	multiple PFAS, and for those individual PFAS where
19	there are similar toxicities it is
20	health-protective to assume that there may be some
21	concern about additivity, dose additivity, scaled
22	by potency.
23	But, for example, PSBS isn't
24	considered to be a risk until you exceed two parts
25	per billion. You have no risk to PFBS in drinking

1	water, according to EPA, unless you exceed two
2	parts per billion, two thousand parts per
3	trillion. Did I do my unit conversion correctly?
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. So that's important to keep in mind,
6	that it's the potency that is being scaled. We
7	recognize that even these PFAS that are
8	well-studied have different toxicological
9	potencies. They don't act the same, but it's not
10	concentration adding.
11	I don't know if that is helping or
12	making a lot of sense. I know that it's
13	confusing. I also will say that PFOA and PFOS are
14	not included in EPA's Hazard Index approach.
15	Q. Maybe I can help clarify it by asking
16	this question. Dr. Anderson, do all PFAS, whether
17	you are defining it as 10,000, 15,000, millions,
18	do they all have the same toxicity profile?
19	A. Absolutely.
20	MS. NANASI: Objection, asked and
21	answered. We know that they don't. She said that
22	many times.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
24	Ms. Mulcahy, please move along.
25	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.

1	Q. Dr	. Anderson, did you hear Mr.
2	Horwitt's dire	ct excuse me, did you review
3	Mr. Horwitt's	direct testimony?
4	A. Ye	s, I did.
5	Q. And	d did you review his rebuttal
6	testimony?	
7	A. Ye	s, I did.
8	Q. An	d did you hear him in person testify
9	earlier this w	eek?
10	A. Ye	s, I did.
11	Q. Ok	ay.
12	In	his direct and rebuttal testimonies
13	Mr. Horwitt di	scussed requiring broad disclosures
14	about various	oil and gas operations to a litany
15	of individuals	including schools, government
16	actors, first	responders, and many other entities
17	and individual	s.
18	Do	you recall this testimony?
19	A. Ye	s, I do.
20	Q. Do	you agree with Mr. Horwitt that
21	these broad di	sclosures should be made?
22	A. No	, I do not.
23	Q. Wh	y not, Dr. Anderson?
24	A. Be	cause I feel like and have
25	experienced in	my own professional work that
		Page 118
		1490 110

1	simply disclosing chemicals to stakeholders and
2	individuals who cannot put that into context is
3	not appropriate. First line of risk communication
4	101, put the risk in context, provide information
5	to the audience that will help them understand and
6	hear the information and make well-informed
7	decisions for themselves. And so because a
8	disclosure of chemicals does not provide any
9	information about potential pathways of exposure,
10	concentrations or levels of exposure or toxicity,
11	then the recipients of that information can't make
12	informed decisions.
13	Q. Dr. Anderson, you said in your own
14	work you have experienced that broad disclosures
15	are not advised. Could you please provide some
16	examples of that?
17	A. Sure. There is a few. I think from a
18	personal experience I have presented to
19	communities that have been devastated by the
20	effects of AFFF release in the communities and

personal experience I have presented to communities that have been devastated by the effects of AFFF release in the communities and contaminated water. Back a decade ago when we didn't have good information about guidance from federal agencies or public health agencies it was hard to present information on even with the complete exposure pathway and not the context

21

22

23

24

25

1	about what that meant from a risk, and it is
2	really disturbing and upsetting to all parties,
3	but especially to the people to receive that
4	information and then having delivered the
5	information unable to put it in context.
6	And I vividly, you know, similar to
7	the testimonies we have been hearing all week,
8	people deserve to understand, to have this risk
9	put into the appropriate context by people who
L O	have the right information and have the training
L1	and expertise to interpret that information.
L 2	Another example, I had the honor of
L 3	being on what is called the Water and Health
L 4	Advisory Council, and we support local mayors with
L 5	information around emerging contaminants in
L 6	drinking water, and one of my council colleagues
L 7	studies clinical science and social science and
L 8	the policies around political decisions around
L 9	chemicals in drinking water and public trust. So
20	specifically his work looks at, and I have
21	experienced this myself because I have also worked
22	with municipalities, they have to issue public
23	water systems issue what is called Consumer
24	Confidence Reports, CCRs, and in those they have
25	to disclose chemicals that have been detected, and

1	bacteria as well, without a lot of context. And
2	his work, and others in the published literature,
3	have demonstrated that that actually reduces the
4	confidence that people have in our tap water
5	system.
6	And I, you know, have been,
7	unfortunately, helping guide clients that need to
8	put context and risk in context because simply
9	listing chemical names again doesn't provide that
10	right information that the public deserves to
11	have.
12	Q. Thank you, Dr. Anderson.
13	In that same vein, has NMOGA proposed
14	a manner by which to disclose all chemicals to the
15	Division when and if needed?
16	A. Yes, I believe we have.
17	Q. In your professional opinion would
18	that protect the public?
19	A. Yes, it would.
20	So what the proposal is, is full
21	disclosure of all chemicals to OCD. Right? It
22	allows them, and this is in context with the ban
23	of PFAS, right, but then allows OCD, the trained
24	experts and with the authority and the ability to
25	then interpret that information and make sure that

1	any potential exposure pathways are mitigated.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	I don't mean to jump around here, but
4	I want to go to what has been marked as Dusty
5	Horwitt's Rebuttal Testimony which is WildEarth
6	Guardians Exhibit 94.
7	I'm sorry, give me one moment. I
8	thought I had it pulled up, but I guess I don't.
9	I'm now sharing what has been marked
10	WildEarth Guardians Exhibit 94 which is the
11	rebuttal testimony of Mr. Horwitt.
12	Mr. Horwitt states, "The Interstate
13	Technology Regulatory Council, ITRC, tracks the
14	regulation of PFAS in drinking water across the
15	United States and shows that these regulations
16	reflect the exceptional toxicity of PFAS for which
17	significant toxicity data exist."
18	Did I read that correctly,
19	Dr. Anderson?
20	A. Yes, you did.
21	Q. Dr. Anderson, are you familiar with
22	this ITRC publication for drinking water standards
23	that Mr. Horwitt mentions here?
24	A. Yes, it's a table.
25	Q. Why are you familiar with it?
	Page 122

1 Α. I developed it and sponsored it. 2 Could you discuss this ITRC process Ο. here for developing and tracking drinking water 3 regulations as it relates to PFAS? 4 5 Α. Sure. Especially in the early days 6 when there wasn't so many, it was really important to provide guidance to states on the various 8 different regulations that were coming out for It's not just drinking water, actually, 9 PFAS. it's groundwater, surface water, soil. It depends 10 11 on the tab you click on. And so we, there was a 12 small team of us -- it's much bigger than one 13 person could do -- monitored and tracked and had 14 feedback and feelers out to all the state agencies 15 and compiled their information in a massive 16 tracking table that just continues to grow and 17 grow. 18 The table includes which state under 19 which program for which media and for which specific PFAS the level, whether it's draft, final 20 21 or promulgated or not, because some of these are 22 guidance, and then also contains a reference link

to ensure that people can always go back to the authoritative source.

23

24

2.5

So we -- that was part of what we

1	would call an evergreen part of the ITRC PFAS
2	technical regulatory guidance document, which
3	actually I referred to earlier from the graphs of
4	the slide. That guidance I think is posted
5	online. It has been updated once. We are in the
6	process of re-updating it as well, but the table
7	we try to update every month or so. It has not
8	been updated in a while because, frankly, it got
9	quite arduous.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	Can you explain some of the
12	assumptions that EPA uses in studying drinking
13	water standards for PFAS?
14	A. Sure. So for the PFAS for which we
15	have MCLs drinking water standards, generally the
16	assumptions are that the standards are
17	health-protective for even the most sensitive
18	population. There is modifying factors or
19	uncertainty factors, depending on what terminology
20	you want to use, to ensure that it includes and
21	protects the variability in human response.
22	They also assume a certain amount of
23	exposure to drinking water per day for your
24	lifetime, so two and a half liters well, I
25	should clarify. It depends on the receptor that

1	the drinking water value is derived for, so we
2	know, for example, how much drinking water an
3	average lactating woman consumes, and that's
4	important because it's, one of the critical
5	effects is developmental. We want to make sure
6	that we are considering the increasing water
7	intake for a woman while she is lactating, and we
8	don't use the pregnant woman because it's typical
9	that a lactating woman drinks more and for longer.
10	Similarly if it's a child, we assume
11	body weight and drinking water ingestion. There
12	is also an assumption for the four, for GenX,
13	PFBS, HxS, but the majority of our exposure
14	actually does not come from drinking water, and
15	that is calculated numerically adjusted and the
16	number.
17	Q. Thank you.
18	And so Mr. Horwitt says these studies
19	show these regulations reflect the exceptional
20	toxicity of PFAS for which significant toxicity
21	data exist.
22	Do you know how, what PFAS analytes
23	have toxicity data for them?
24	A. Just toxicity data, gosh, hundreds
25	really. As I mentioned, bioaccumulation numbers

coming out of EPA, there is actually about two
dozen PFAS that have classic rodent toxicity
studies. That's in a lab where someone is
experimentally doing sort of the traditional
now whether those are cancer biopsies or clinic, I
would have to go in piece by piece but we have
good information for a large, a good handle. You
know, again the modifiers of many are large and
hard, what does that mean.
Q. And Dr. Anderson, the definition of
PFAS that NMOGA proposes, would it be protective
of drinking water?
A. It would include all of the PFAS for
which we understand occur in drinking water or
have the potential to, yes. Am I answering that?
Yes. Okay.
Q. Thank you.
Did you hear Dr. Brown testify earlier
this week?
A. I did, yes.
Q. And in his testimony Dr. Brown stated
that all PFAS are toxic at very, very low
concentrations. Do you recall his testimony?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Do you agree with that testimony?
Page 126

1	A. I do not, no.
2	Q. Why not?
3	A. Because he uses the word "all" PFAS
4	and that's what we have been discussing. They are
5	very different.
6	Q. Did you hear Dr. Brown's testimony
7	that scientists do not know how PFAS act in
8	receptor systems?
9	A. I don't recall if that is the exact
10	wording that he used but I recall that sentiment,
11	yes.
12	Q. Would you agree with that sentiment?
13	A. He used it in all?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. Correct. Oh, that sentence, no, I
16	don't agree with that statement.
17	I'm sorry, can you repeat the sentence
18	again?
19	Q. Yes, sure.
20	I said did you hear Dr. Brown's
21	testimony that scientists do not know how PFAS act
22	in receptor systems.
23	MR. DAVIS: I'm going to have to
24	object. I do not recall that being Dr. Brown's
25	testimony.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you point to
2	where you heard that?
3	MS. MULCAHY: I don't have a line
4	marker because we don't have a written transcript,
5	but it was on his afternoon testimony that he said
6	that scientists do not know how PFAS act in
7	receptor systems, and he was talking about his
8	studies in Pennsylvania and saying that's why you
9	have to have full disclosure, so that we can see
10	what chemicals are out there so we can look at how
11	they might be picked up in receptors.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: I remember
13	something like that, Mr. Davis.
14	Go ahead.
15	MR. DAVIS: Okay. I would just like
16	to note that I believe he was referring to when he
17	doing this in Pennsylvania under a situation where
18	there is no full chemical disclosure.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thank you.
20	Go ahead, Ms. Mulcahy.
21	BY MS. MULCAHY:
22	Q. Do you agree with that statement, that
23	scientists do not know how PFAS act in receptor
24	systems?
25	A. I don't know exactly what you mean by
	Page 128

1	receptor systems, but I would agree that we do not
2	know even for the PFAS that we have studied
3	exactly how they act to cause adverse effects.
4	That's how I would say that, and I think that is
5	what he was trying to get at there.
6	Q. Okay, thank you.
7	Dr. Brown also testified that all
8	disclosures of all chemicals to the public should
9	be required because it allows public health
L 0	officials to make the best decision. Do you agree
L1	with that?
L 2	A. I don't understand how no, because
L 3	I don't understand how information to the public
L 4	helps public health officials make decisions.
L 5	Q. Could you talk about some general best
L 6	practices in terms of risk communications to the
L 7	general public?
L 8	A. Sure.
L 9	So there are two different scenarios
20	that I think we have to be mindful of. In the
21	scenario where we don't know if there is a risk
22	that's the one I'm going to answer meaning we
23	don't know if there is a complete exposure
24	pathway, we don't know that there is chemicals in
25	someone's drinking water, that's not a scenario.

1	In that situation the best approach well,
2	really for all of them is have a trusted
3	authority deliver the information, the standard
4	101 risk communication, to make sure that the
5	information is in a context that could be
6	understood and appreciated and heard by the
7	public. Three, have actionable, sort of what are
8	we doing about it, kind of statements, you know,
9	and I guess maintain an open line of
10	communication.
11	Those are the hallmarks of good
12	communication practices. Consistent communication
13	from trusted authorities with the information
14	correctly put in context around risk and what that
15	means to the public.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	Now, I want to sort of switch gears
18	here. Did you hear Dr. Martin's testimony this
19	week?
20	A. Yes, I did.
21	Q. Did you hear Dr. Martin's testimony
22	about PFAS compounds that have actually been
23	studied?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you agree with Dr. Martin's
	Page 130

1	testimony that it is only about ten PFAS compounds
2	that have been studied?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Do you know why some PFAS compounds
5	are studied and others well, sorry, let me back
6	up.
7	How many PFAS compounds have been
8	studied, Dr. Anderson?
9	A. Well, again, this "have been studied"
10	is quite ambiguous. The number ranges in the
11	hundreds as far as data collected that might
12	inform potential human health or ecological
13	toxicity. That data could span from computer
14	models that EPA is running. Academic research
15	that is being published again in the hundreds. If
16	we are talking about derivation of toxicity values
17	which means that threshold for where exposure is
18	acceptable or not, then, yes, that list gets
19	narrowed to about a dozen.
20	Q. Okay.
21	Do you have an understanding of why
22	some PFAS compounds are studied and others are
23	not?
24	A. Yeah. As we have heard, and I think
25	it's common knowledge, but as we heard in
	Page 131

1	Dr. Hansen's testimony, PFOA and PFOS started the
2	whole problem, and there is disclosures about
3	finding them in the environment and remote places,
4	and so because of the volume of use of
5	fleurosurfactants that are similar to PFOA and
6	PFOS, because of the known concerns we look for
7	the worst first. Right?
8	You have a list of cast members. You
9	understand their chemical structure, and you look
10	at the ones that we can say those look similar.
11	We better study them and understand. Are they
12	showing up in the same places, are the exposure
13	pathways the same, and are their toxicity profiles
14	similar. So it's not random. It's not let's pick
15	a PFAS out of the air and let's study it. It's a
16	deliberate lines of evidence approach to ensure
17	that we are trying to look at the ones that may
18	pose the most concern for human health.
19	Q. Thank you. That's helpful.
20	Sort of along that line, can you then,
21	Dr. Anderson, could you extrapolate that the PFAS
22	that have been studied and that have been found to
23	be toxic means that all PFAS are toxic?
24	A. No.
25	Q. And I'm pretty much done here with

1	your rebuttal. I would just ask after listening
2	to this week's testimony and reviewing the written
3	testimony of other witnesses in this matter, is
4	there anything that I didn't ask you to rebut but
5	that you think would be helpful to rebut, helpful
6	to the Commission, helpful to the parties in this
7	proposed rulemaking?
8	A. Not that I can think of sitting here.
9	I just think I would like to reiterate that if
10	definitions proposed by all three parties include
11	PFOA, PFOS, the ones that have drinking water
12	regulations, that we understand, A, toxicity
13	information and, B, a broader list that may
14	similarly be acting, and so just assurance that,
15	like, we are all getting to the similar you
16	know, like the intentions are all there.
17	Q. Thank you.
18	MS. MULCAHY: I have nothing further.
19	I pass the witness for cross.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	I would turn to Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis,
22	would you prefer to leap into your cross or to
23	take a lunch break?
24	MR. DAVIS: I will defer that to
25	Ms. Nanasi. I believe she is next in order of
	Page 133

1	cross.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, okay. I was
3	going to go around the bend there, end with NMOGA.
4	I start with you, end with NMOGA, so I was going
5	to go back to the beginning. But you can cross in
6	any order you like.
7	MS. NANASI: I'm happy to go.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
9	Thank you.
10	MS. NANASI: Can you just give me an
11	idea of what time you want to stop for lunch?
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: So Commission,
13	any input there? You want to do it now?
14	MS. NANASI: I can also, if it pleases
15	the Commission, I can just go for 15 like minutes
16	and then stop.
17	(Discussion among the Commission.)
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms.
19	Nanasi.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. NANASI:
22	Q. Dr. Anderson, good afternoon almost.
23	A. Almost there.
24	Q. You are a vice president of GSI
25	Environmental; is that correct?
	Page 134

1	A. Yes, ma'am.
2	Q. How long have you been with GSI
3	Environmental?
4	A. 2019.
5	Q. How much are you getting paid for your
6	testimony in this case?
7	A. \$350 an hour.
8	Q. And it is correct that you have been
9	here this entire week; is that right?
10	A. I arrived late morning on Tuesday so I
11	missed, unfortunately, the very beginning public
12	statements.
13	Q. In your CV, which is NMOGA Exhibit E1,
14	you list a lot of experience working for oil and
15	gas companies; is that right?
16	A. I have some experience working for oil
17	and gas. I also have experience working for a
18	wide range of stakeholders.
19	Q. You have worked for oil and gas and
20	chemical companies in cases against the State of
21	Alaska, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the City
22	of Arcadia; is that correct?
23	A. Could you read what the Commonwealth
24	of Pennsylvania was in? That was because that
25	is not oil and gas, I don't believe. I just want
	Page 135

1	
1	to make sure I'm thinking of the same one.
2	MS. MULCAHY: If we are going to ask
3	her about the exhibit would you mind if we pull it
4	up so we can know what she is referencing?
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: We can pull it
6	up, or you can read it, Ms. Nanasi.
7	BY MS. NANASI:
8	Q. In your CV, NMOGA Exhibit E1, you list
9	your work on behalf of Dow Chemical; is that
10	correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Is that the same Dow Chemical that in
13	2011 agreed to pay a two and a half million dollar
14	civil penalty to EPA for violations of the Clean
15	Air Act, Clean Water Act, and the Resource
16	Conservation and Recovery Act known as RCRA,
17	R-C-R-A, at its chemical manufacturing and
18	research complex in Midland, Michigan for
19	unpermitted outfall discharge
20	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. Objection.
21	MS. NANASI: I'm not done with my
22	question.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let her finish
24	the question.
25	Q to the Kilwasi River in addition
	Page 136

1	Dow failed to prevent pollution from running into
2	nearby water bodies during rain events.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: What is your
4	objection, Ms. Mulcahy?
5	MS. MULCAHY: I am going to object.
6	That is outside the scope of both Dr. Anderson's
7	direct and her rebuttal testimonies. I have no
8	issues with Ms. Nanasi asking Dr. Anderson about
9	specific things that are listed on NMOGA
10	Exhibit E1, but about other items and other
11	companies not listed there I'm going to object.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Nanasi, I
13	don't know what pertinence Dow's, say, compliance
14	history would have to this proceeding unless there
15	was some direct impeachment of Dr. Anderson
16	through that. Do you have just a general
17	reference to Dow's environmental compliance
18	history doesn't, I don't think, help us out here.
19	MS. NANASI: Well, this is
20	impeachment, I believe, and this is the expert
21	that NMOGA is calling and I believe that I could
22	ask initial foundation questions, but I believe
23	that Dr. Anderson was the expert for Dow Chemical
24	against EPA when EPA found Dow Chemical to have
25	committed these violations. I think it's

1	relevant, especially when it has been it's
2	about contamination of waterways.
3	MS. MULCAHY: Dr. Anderson was not a
4	Dow chemical expert, and that is not what she is
5	listed on Exhibit E. That's a complete
6	mischaracterization of what is on that exhibit.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, so
8	Ms. Nanasi, you can ask her if she was Dow's
9	expert there, as I think you have just indicated
10	you have reason to believe.
11	Is that true, Dr. Anderson?
12	THE WITNESS: I was not.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
14	BY MS. NANASI:
15	Q. What is, on E1-3 it says that you
16	performed expert services on behalf of Shell and
17	Dow Chemical, that you served as an expert on use
18	and interpretation of regulatory standards and
19	toxicity values for 1,2,3 and I have to spell
20	this, t-r-i-c-h-l-o-r-o-p-r-o-p-a-n-e?
21	A. Could you put it up on the screen?
22	But in general 1,2,3-Trichloropropane, the work I
23	did for Dow and Shell was in California.
24	Q. Okay, so you did perform these expert
25	services for Dow Chemical and Shell?

Veritext Legal Solutions

1	A. Specific to a litigation matter in
2	California.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. And that case should be cited
5	appropriately. Please show me if I have not.
6	Q. What was your what did your expert
7	testimony involve?
8	A. In that matter I was opining on the
9	regulatory state for 1,2,3-Trichlopropane.
10	Q. What was the result of that case?
11	A. I actually do not know.
12	Q. Referring to your testimony on Page 5,
13	is this your expert testimony that because
14	insufficient data exists for all 10,000 plus
15	members of the PFAS class that the logical
16	conclusion from these data gaps is that the
17	compounds should be considered safe?
18	A. Can you pull up what you are referring
19	to? I don't believe I used the word safe, no.
20	Q. No, I'm just asking. Is it your
21	expert testimony that because insufficient data
22	exists for all 10,000 plus members of the PFAS
23	class that the logical conclusion from these data
24	gaps is that those compounds should be considered
25	safe?

1	A. No.
2	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. That is a
3	mischaracterization.
4	Ms. Nanasi said in your written
5	testimony you talk about insufficient data on
6	these PFAS, which Dr. Anderson does talk about
7	insufficient data. That is not what she concluded
8	in her written testimony.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: And she has
10	already given her answer which was no, that was
11	not her testimony.
12	Go ahead, Ms. Nanasi.
13	MS. NANASI: For the record I would
14	respectfully request that, first of all, my name
15	is Nanasi, and second of all that Ms. Mulcahy
16	states her objection and not try and influence the
17	witness' testimony.
18	BY MS. NANASI:
19	Q. Do you agree from a risk management
20	standpoint it makes sense that we not continue to
21	add more of the PFAS compounds into the
22	environment on the basis of ignorance about the
23	toxicity, mobility or reactivity of a specific
24	compound?
25	A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
	Page 140

1	Q. Do you agree from a risk management
2	standpoint it makes sense that we not continue to
3	add more of these PFAS compounds into the
4	environment on the basis of ignorance about the
5	toxicity, mobility or reactivity of a specific
6	compound?
7	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. That is a
8	compound question.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you break
10	that down, please?
11	Q. Do you agree from a risk management
12	standpoint that it makes sense that we not
13	continue to add more PFAS compounds into the
14	environment?
15	A. Which PFAS compounds are you referring
16	to?
17	Q. Any.
18	A. So can you repeat your question, make
19	sure I understand?
20	Q. Do you agree from a risk management
21	standpoint it makes sense that we not continue to
22	add more PFAS compounds into the environment?
23	A. I think I have to disagree, if I'm
24	understanding your statement correctly. I'm not
25	sure that I am, but
	Page 141

1	Q. You would agree that Goliath on cancer
2	is not the only worthy toxicity endpoint, correct?
3	A. If you are asking me if there are
4	other adverse effect, health endpoints that are
5	important than cancer, yes, I agree with that.
6	Q. You would agree that studies have
7	shown that various PFAS compounds suppress immune
8	response especially in children, for instance?
9	A. Those have only been shown for some of
10	the PFAS compounds.
11	Q. You would agree that studies have
12	shown that some of the PFAS compounds have been
13	demonstrated to result in preeclampsia in pregnant
14	people as well as to result in low birth weight
15	babies, correct?
16	A. Some of the PFAS and some of the
17	studies, yes.
18	MR. RUBIN: I'm sorry. Could we pause
19	for a second? We just have a lot of activity. I
20	think we are looking for some documents up here.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Shure (ph)
22	was looking for the CV and this big booklet went
23	through D but not E.
24	(Inaudible)
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, I
	Page 142

1	think we are settled? Yes?
2	Go ahead.
3	MS. NANASI: Thank you.
4	BY MS. NANASI:
5	Q. Is it true that chronic toxicity by
6	its nature takes many years to characterize?
7	A. Characterize by what? Can you I
8	don't understand the question.
9	Q. Let me ask this. Do you agree that
10	the phrase there is no evidence, quote-unquote, is
11	not the same as saying we have studied this
12	extensively and here is the data demonstrating
13	that there is no risk?
14	A. Yes, I agree with that.
15	Q. Do you know that the CDC's Agency for
16	Toxic Substances and Disease Registry mission is
17	to protect communities from harmful health effects
18	related to exposure to natural and manmade
19	hazardous substances? We do this by responding to
20	environmental health emergencies, investigating
21	emerging environmental health threats, conducting
22	research on health impacts of hazardous waste
23	sites, and building capabilities and providing
24	actionable guidance to state and local health
25	partners?

1	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. Compound
2	question. I have no issue with her asking the
3	question if she breaks it down.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Please
5	break it down.
6	Q. This is the mission statement of CDC's
7	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.
8	If you would just let me know if you think this is
9	an accurate statement of their mission statement.
10	ATSDR protects communities from
11	harmful health effects related to exposure to
12	natural and manmade hazardous substances.
13	A. I don't I'm not familiar with the
14	ATSDR's mission statement.
15	Q. But you are aware that the CDC's
16	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
17	addresses exposure to hazardous substances,
18	correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And you are also aware that ATSDR
21	responds to environmental health emergencies; is
22	that correct?
23	A. I believe so, yes.
24	Q. And that they also investigate
25	emerging environmental health threats, correct?
	Page 144

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. They also conduct research on health
3	impacts of hazardous waste sites?
4	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. I don't
5	believe that Dr. Anderson testified at all about
6	hazardous waste sites.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let me just ask
8	for a general bit of guidance, Dr. Anderson. If
9	something is without sorry, outside of your
10	expertise, please say so.
11	And I understand your objection also
12	goes to scope; is that correct?
13	MS. MULCAHY: Yes.
14	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer, if
15	I could respond, I believe that there was a fair
16	number of questions, actually, about Dr. Hansen's
17	site, which was on the screen and was asked about,
18	about CDC's Agency for Toxic Substances and
19	Disease Registry specifically.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's
21	just go a little ways here and see if it can be
22	tied back to her testimony.
23	Go ahead.
24	BY MS. NANASI:
25	Q. Are you aware that the CDC Agency for
	Page 145

1	Toxic Substances and Disease Registry also
2	researches, conducts research on health impacts of
3	hazardous waste sites?
4	A. I am not familiar with that work, no.
5	Q. Okay.
6	You were asked by your counsel about
7	what PFAS or PFAS compounds the oil and gas
8	industry has used in New Mexico. Do you recall
9	that?
10	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. I didn't ask
11	that question.
12	MS. NANASI: I'm asking that question.
13	Q. Do you have any idea about the PFAS or
14	PFAS compounds that the oil and gas industry has
15	used in New Mexico?
16	A. No, I do not.
17	MS. NANASI: I have no further
18	questions.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	Let's take an hour for lunch. We will
21	return at 1:00 to questions by Mr. Davis.
22	(Luncheon recess.)
23	-000-
24	AFTERNOON SESSION
25	(After recess 1:00 p.m.)
	Page 146

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. We
2	are back after a lunch break. When we broke Dr.
3	Anderson was just had questioning completed by
4	NEE, so now we turn to Petitioner, WildEarth
5	Guardians. Mr. Davis.
6	Okay, she said she was done with her
7	questioning. So Mr. Davis, do you have questions
8	of Dr. Anderson?
9	MR. DAVIS: I do.
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. DAVIS:
12	Q. Hello. I'm Kevin Davis. I represent
13	the Petitioners, WildEarth Guardians.
14	A. Hello.
15	Q. I looked over your CV and I just want
16	to confirm that you are not a chemist?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Do you mind if I ask you one more
19	chemistry question today?
20	A. I can try.
21	Q. I believe that you testified earlier
22	today about the breakdown of PFAS. Do you recall
23	that?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Is it your testimony that when PFAS
	Page 147

1	breaks down that the carbon fluorine bonds break?
2	A. Okay. So if you're asking all PFAS
3	and any carbon fluorine bond, that's too broad.
4	The carbon fluorine bond, from what I understand,
5	is extremely difficult to break, but we do see
6	some breakage under different scenarios of that
7	carbon fluorine bond. It depends on where the
8	carbon is. It depends on the fluorination state,
9	and you would have to ask a chemist and somebody
10	special in fate and transport. It can happen,
11	yes.
12	Q. And when you say it can happen, is
13	that breakdown in the natural environment?
14	A. Can you clarify your question for me,
15	please?
16	MS. MULCAHY: I'm just going to object
17	in that I don't know that she was testifying
18	about I will strike that, and I will listen to
19	this line.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: I heard her
21	testify about degradation.
22	MS. MULCAHY: Go ahead. I will listen
23	to the line of questioning.
24	BY MR. DAVIS:
25	Q. My question is I believe you just
	Page 148

1	stated that the carbon fluorine bond can break
2	under certain circumstances, and my question about
3	that is whether the carbon fluorine bond can break
4	when it's degradation in the natural environment.
5	A. From what I understand, that's still a
6	pretty active area of research. You would have to
7	ask somebody who is studying that intensely to get
8	the nuance.
9	Q. Would you suggest I ask a chemist?
10	A. Or someone who is working in the fate
11	and transport of those PFAS.
12	Q. Would you agree that the
13	perfluorinated component of an original PFAS
14	compound that breaks down remains so the
15	perfluorinated component remains perfluorinated as
16	a breakdown?
17	A. It depends.
18	Q. What does it depend on?
19	A. The molecule you are talking about,
20	the conditions under which the chemical is exposed
21	and sitting.
22	Q. Can you give me an example of when a
23	perfluorinated component would break down?
24	A. Not with a lot of detail, but I have
25	seen the degradation pathways with some of the
	Page 149

1	polyfluorinated and you do get smaller pieces.
2	You get chunks. Again, you will have to ask
3	somebody with that kind of chemistry experience to
4	talk about the dissipative association energy
5	between those different bonds.
6	Q. Those smaller pieces that remain, are
7	they sometimes perfluorinated?
8	A. Could you rephrase? What do you mean
9	pà
10	Q. Sure. You said that when they break
11	down some smaller pieces remain. Those smaller
12	pieces would have a carbon fluorine bond?
13	A. Depends where on the molecules, but
14	could, yes.
15	Q. At Page 7 of your direct testimony you
16	state that PFAS should be more specifically
17	enumerated unless delineated between PFAS for
18	which there is toxicology data and potential
19	concerns for human health risks and those PFAS for
20	which no such data or concerns exist. Statements
21	regarding potential, human or environmental risk
22	must be limited to the compounds for which data
23	are available to inform what exposure levels may
24	be present and unacceptable, increasing risk on a
25	chemical specific nature.

1	Is that an accurate reading of your
2	testimony?
3	A. I don't have it in front of me but it
4	sounds good.
5	Q. PFAS for which no toxicological data
6	exists, does that mean they are not toxic?
7	A. Could you repeat your question?
8	Q. Sure. Do you agree that there is
9	multiple, many, PFAS for which no toxicological
10	data exists?
11	A. There are some, yes.
12	Q. And those PFAS for which we have no
13	toxicological data, does that mean that they are
14	not toxic?
15	A. No.
16	Q. On Page 14 of your direct you state
17	that proper public risk communication regarding
18	chemicals potentially present in the environment
19	is essential to avoid, quote, chemophobia which is
20	known to result in a decrease in public health and
21	other social and economic adverse effects.
22	Do you recall that?
23	A. Again, I don't have a copy in front of
24	me but it sounds accurate, yes.
25	Q. Are chemicals already being disclosed
	Page 151

1	in New Mexico oil and gas operations?
2	A. To the extent that I am aware of the
3	disclosure in FracFocus, that's all I can answer.
4	Q. Are you aware of any clinically
5	diagnosed and treated sorry. Strike that.
6	Are you aware of anyone who has been
7	clinically diagnosed and treated for chemophobia
8	in the United States?
9	A. I don't can you ask the question
10	again, please?
11	Q. Are you aware of any person who has
12	been clinically treated and diagnosed for
13	chemophobia in the United States?
14	A. I'm not sure that chemophobia is a
15	treatable disease.
16	Q. Chemophobia is a disease?
17	A. Could you rephrase your question? I
18	was trying to use your words. I apologize.
19	Q. Sure. Are you aware of any person
20	that has ever been clinically diagnosed and
21	treated for chemophobia in the United States?
22	A. I don't think that that's a possible
23	thing.
24	Q. Is chemophobia a disease?
25	A. I don't believe so.
	Page 152

1	Q. Do you agree that some chemicals are
2	already being disclosed in New Mexico?
3	A. I believe what I just answered is only
4	to the extent of what is in FracFocus is my
5	understanding.
6	Q. Is it fair to call that partial
7	disclosure of chemicals?
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Is the disclosure of chemicals in New
10	Mexico currently causing chemophobia?
11	A. I do not know.
12	Q. Are you familiar with the Colorado oil
13	and gas disclosure and the PFAS ban law that has
14	been referenced in this hearing?
15	A. Only at the highest level.
16	Q. Do you know about the community
17	notification provisions of that law?
18	A. I am not.
19	Q. Are you aware of any chemophobia that
20	is happening in Colorado as a result of that law?
21	A. I have not looked into it.
22	Q. Are you aware of any chemophobia that
23	is happening in California as a result of its oil
24	and gas chemical disclosure law?
25	A. I have not looked into it.

1	Q. You cite a document that is entitled
2	Scared to Death to support your testimony about
3	chemophobia; is that correct?
4	A. I believe the title is longer than
5	that. It's End Time 2011.
6	Q. That's right. I will read the whole
7	title. The title is Scared to Death, How
8	Chemophobia Threatens Public Health: A Position
9	Statement of the American Council on Science and
10	Health; is that correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. This is a position statement of the
13	American Council on Science and Health; would you
14	agree with that?
15	A. As stated, yes.
16	Q. Would you agree that the American
17	Council on Science and Health is a pro industry
18	advocacy group?
19	A. I actually don't know anything about
20	them.
21	Q. Were you aware that the American
22	Council on Science and Health, the founding of
23	that organization was funded by Paul Oreffice?
24	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Your objection?
	Page 154

1	MS. MULCAHY: She just said she
2	doesn't know anything about who founded them, and
3	he is asking her additional questions about that.
4	MR. DAVIS: I had not finished my
5	question, actually, about who funded the founding.
6	This is Exhibit E25, I believe? It's an exhibit
7	to her testimony. I believe I can probe that.
8	MS. MULCAHY: Anything about the
9	founding of it is not part of that exhibit.
10	MR. DAVIS: I can ask her if she is
11	aware.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: He can explore
13	that.
14	Go ahead.
15	BY MR. DAVIS:
16	Q. Where was I?
17	Were you aware that the creation of
18	the American Council on Science and Health, the
19	founding of that organization was funded by Paul
20	Oreffice?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Were you aware that Paul Oreffice was
23	the president of Dow Chemical?
24	A. No.
25	Q. You cited the Flint water crisis as an
	Page 155

1	example of chemophobia in your testimony; is that
2	correct?
3	(Witness is muted.)
4	A. Sorry, my mic turned off.
5	It's an example of psychological
6	distress in a community.
7	Q. To be clear, people in Flint were
8	poisoned by the water they were drinking; is that
9	correct?
10	A. People in Flint had elevated levels of
11	lead in their water, yes.
12	Q. You disagree that they were poisoned
13	by that lead?
14	A. I don't know how you define poisoned.
15	Q. Would you agree with the statement
16	that the people in Flint who drank
17	lead-contaminated water suffered adverse health
18	effects?
19	A. Actually I don't know the medical
20	records of the people in Flint. I do know they
21	were exposed to lead, some of them.
22	Q. You are a toxicologist?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Would you agree that lead can have
25	adverse health impacts?

1	A. Of course.
2	Q. Would you agree that lead in drinking
3	water is a pathway to exposure?
4	A. Of course.
5	Q. Would you agree that the government
6	responsible in Flint, Michigan withheld
7	information from the people who were affected by
8	that contamination?
9	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think you need
11	to lay a foundational question there. She said
12	she doesn't really know much about the Flint
13	situation so I think you have to ask her what she
14	knows about the Flint.
15	BY MR. DAVIS:
16	Q. Did you reference the Flint water
17	crisis as an example to support your chemophobia
18	testimony?
19	A. I believe there is a publication that
20	I referenced that talks about the psychological
21	distress that occurred in that community, yes.
22	Q. I will move along.
23	On Pages 14 and 15 of your direct
24	testimony you state, "It is often assumed that
25	presenting the public and stakeholders with
	Page 157

1	factual information is always in the public's best
2	interests, and the stakeholders will be readily
3	able to develop appropriate," and then you have a
4	quote here, quote, "beliefs, attitudes and
5	behaviors related to a given risk."
6	Do you recall that testimony?
7	A. Again, I don't have it in front of me
8	but it sounds accurate, yes.
9	Q. Just for the record, this is NMOGA
10	Exhibit E25.
11	That quote, "beliefs, attitudes and
12	behaviors related to a given risk," is from the
13	US EPA Exhibit E25; is that correct?
14	A. If that's the website with the sole
15	framework, yes.
16	Q. It may be helpful for me to try to
17	share my screen here.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sheila, please
19	give him permission.
20	MR. DAVIS: May I approach the witness
21	to hand her a copy of her testimony?
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
23	And, by the way, we do have one extra
24	notebook up here with all the E exhibits.
25	

1	BY MR. DAVIS:
2	Q. I have placed in front of you a copy
3	of your direct testimony in this matter, and I
4	just wanted to make sure that you agree that that
5	is your testimony that I have placed in front of
6	you.
7	A. Is it okay if I scroll just a bit?
8	Q. For sure.
9	A. Okay, thank you. The Mac scrolls
10	opposite.
11	Yes, that looks right.
12	Q. And specifically the phrase that I
13	just, I don't know, five minutes ago quoted was
14	from a US EPA document that is labeled as NMOGA
15	Exhibit E25. The quote is "beliefs, attitudes and
16	behaviors related to a given risk"?
17	A. Yes, that's correct.
18	Q. Do you agree that's the portion of
19	your testimony that is quoted and attributed to
20	the US EPA?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you agree the word immediately
23	preceding that, appropriate, is your word?
24	A. I believe so.
25	Q. So my question is, who can develop
	Page 159

1	appropriate beliefs, attitudes and behaviors
2	related to a given risk, specifically an oil field
3	worker who is given access to chemical
4	information, can he develop appropriate beliefs
5	related to that risk?
6	A. Can you ask the question again? I'm
7	not sure I understand.
8	Q. Sure.
9	Your testimony is that it's not always
L 0	in the public's best interest and the stakeholders
L1	will readily strike that, please.
L 2	Your testimony is that it is often
L 3	assumed that presenting the public and
L 4	stakeholders with factual information is always in
L 5	the public's best interests, and the stakeholders
L 6	will be readily able to develop appropriate
L 7	beliefs, attitudes and behaviors related to a
L 8	given risk. So my question is whether an oil
L 9	field worker can develop appropriate beliefs,
20	attitudes and behaviors related to a given risk if
21	that oil field worker has access to the chemicals
22	that are being used at a well site where he works?
23	A. So the very next sentence after that,
24	what you are reading, says, "According to the
25	EPA," US EPA, "research has shown this not to be
	Page 160

1	true." And I think you have to recognize that it
2	is always in the public interests, so I think it
3	just depends. And you're giving me a hypothetical
4	and I can't answer because it will depend.
5	Q. The word appropriate is your word?
6	A. I believe it is.
7	Q. Is your testimony in front of you?
8	A. Yes. What I'm saying is I don't know
9	if the EPA used the word appropriate because I
10	didn't put it in quotes.
11	Q. If the EPA used the word appropriate
12	you didn't put that in quotes?
13	A. I can look if you would like me to.
14	Q. As you are sitting here right now it
15	appears to be your word?
16	A. That's why I said I believe it is,
17	yes.
18	Q. I want to ask you about CAS numbers.
19	A. Do you want this back or me to keep
20	it?
21	Q. I can get it.
22	MR. DAVIS: May I approach?
23	A. It's just hard to see you. I'm sorry.
24	Q. Are you familiar with CAS numbers?
25	A. Chemical Abstracts Service's registry
	Page 161

1	numbers, yes	5.
2	Q.	Those are unique chemical identifiers?
3	А.	Yes, in most cases.
4	Q.	Anyone can take that number and do a
5	Google seard	ch to find out information about a
6	given chemic	cal?
7	Α.	You should be able to, yes.
8	Q.	A member of the public has a CAS
9	number. Do	they need someone with special
10	training or	experience to look up what chemical
11	that refers	to?
12	Α.	To look up the chemical name?
13	Q.	Yes. Sure.
14	А.	No.
15	Q.	Could they relate a CAS number to the
16	healthcare p	provider?
17	А.	You mean communicate the CAS number
18	directly to	their healthcare provider?
19	Q.	Yes.
20	А.	Of course.
21	Q.	Earlier this morning your well,
22	strike that	•
23		Earlier this morning NMOGA's lawyer I
24	think joking	gly asked you if Paxlovid has ever been
25	used in New	Mexico oil and gas operations. I
		Page 162

1	actually want to ask you that question. Do you
2	know if Paxlovid has ever been used in New Mexico
3	oil and gas operations?
4	A. I don't.
5	Q. Do you know if any specific PFAS has
6	ever been used in New Mexico oil and gas
7	operations?
8	A. As I answered earlier, only what I
9	have seen disclosed in the frack book.
10	Q. So the reason that you know they have
11	been used is because they have been disclosed?
12	A. Correct.
13	MR. DAVIS: I have no further
14	questions.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
16	Mr. Davis.
17	Let's see, so that is Guardians, NEE.
18	Now we move to the Division.
19	Mr. Tremaine.
20	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer,
21	I believe my questions have been covered.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Terrific. Thank
23	you very much.
24	EOG had said they would not have
25	questions.

1	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
2	Dr. Anderson?
3	MR. MAXWELL: I do not have questions
4	for Dr. Anderson. Thank you.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
6	Ms. Mulcahy, do you have any redirect
7	before I go to the Commission?
8	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you. I have just
9	one question.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. MULCAHY:
12	Q. Dr. Anderson, Mr. Davis asked you just
13	because we do not have toxicology data does not
14	mean that the PFAS for which we have no data are
15	not toxic, correct? Do you recall that question?
16	A. If that's how he worded it, I think
17	so.
18	Q. Just because we do not have toxicology
19	data for every PFAS, does that mean that they are
20	toxic?
21	A. No, it does not.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	MS. MULCAHY: Nothing further.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
25	Ms. Mulcahy.

1	Let's go to the Commission.
2	Mr. Chair, do you have questions of
3	Dr. Anderson?
4	MR. RAZATOS: I do, Madam Hearing
5	Officer.
6	First off, thank you, Dr. Anderson.
7	Very informative. We appreciate it just like we
8	have appreciated all of our experts on this.
9	Dr. Anderson, just to make sure, I
10	heard that you are a board certified toxicologist,
11	correct?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
13	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. I just wanted to
14	make sure that I heard that correctly.
15	Doctor, in your direct testimony on
16	Page 4 there is two bullet points. The second
17	bullet point you state, and I will just quote it.
18	I know you don't have a copy in front of you, but
19	if you will hear me out and tell me if it sounds
20	correct.
21	You said, "Some compounds broadly
22	identified as PFOS," and then you open a
23	parenthesis and you say, "i.e, compounds that
24	contain a single fully fluorinated methyl or
25	methylene carbon moiety," close parenthesis, "are

1	routinely used as pharmaceuticals prescribed to
2	children and adults such as Lipitor, Flonase,
3	Paxlovid and Prozac, and are often prescribed with
4	dosing regimens that maintain long-term exposure
5	levels but have been deemed as safe for human
6	use."
7	I'm sure you can see here as a
8	Commission here that poses a little bit of a
9	difference when we hear about PFOS. Do you mind
10	just delving a little more into that, maybe
11	clearing that up a little for us?
12	THE WITNESS: Sure, I would be happy
13	to.
14	The key is that fluorines are attached
15	to drugs all the time, and I'm not a
16	pharmacologist. I don't exactly know what it does
17	to help the drug in the body, but one of the
18	references that I included there, there is a count
19	of over 300 I think it was like over 360
20	today on the market, drugs that include a fully
21	fluorinated carbon. This one. Oh, I guess I
22	should say one or more. It depends on how you
23	define it. And that is part of the problem let
24	me back up.
25	As actually even explained really

1	nicely by Dr. Hansen, drugs go through a really
2	rigorous testing program, very, very tight
3	toxicology/pharmacology regulations, so we
4	understand the safe exposure. Right? Again, we
5	recall everything may have some toxic properties,
6	but we understand that if we are administering the
7	exposure of these drugs to people at a certain
8	frequency, duration, rate, that it will impart the
9	intended consequence, not cause more adverse
10	effects.
11	And so I think that's where the
12	challenge is with using that definition is that
13	you're bringing in a whole class of chemistries
14	that do not have surfactant-like properties. They
15	are not considered stable or persistent, and they
16	have really robust toxicity information associated
17	with them. The reality is that most people don't
18	think of pharmaceuticals when you are thinking of
19	PFAS. I'm sure that is not the intent but by
20	using that as part of the chemical definition
21	you're bringing that in.
22	We also know, increasing body of
23	literature, that septic waste, individual septic
24	waste is a potential contributor to PFAS in the

environment. Now, do we care if it's a breakdown

25

1	product of Lipitor? Maybe not. But if you are
2	detecting fluorines or gamma fluorines you might
3	pick it up and then wrongly associate it with, you
4	know, a release or something else. Right?
5	Does that help?
6	MR. RAZATOS: It did, because, you
7	
	know, I actually appreciated our public comments
8	this morning with our veteran who had about the
9	fire retardant and how it has affected him.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	MR. RAZATOS: So we hear these things
12	but then, you know, in your testimony you are
13	saying that these pharmaceuticals have it as well.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	MR. RAZATOS: And so you can see the
16	conundrum it causes for this Commission when we
17	are looking at PFAS.
18	THE WITNESS: Let me clarify what I'm
19	certain that gentleman was speaking to. When he
20	was showing his blood results, those are the
21	typical PFAS that we are concerned about. Those
22	are specifically
23	MR. RAZATOS: Sure.
24	THE WITNESS: PFOS, PFOA, most
25	likely, right, because that's what is tested in
	Page 168

1	the blood.
2	MR. RAZATOS: Okay.
3	THE WITNESS: Especially associated
4	with AFFF.
5	So the blood testing is not going to
6	pick up the pharmaceuticals. They are degrading.
7	They are probably not going to be in the body. So
8	I don't want you to confuse his testimony with
9	perhaps because he is on Lipitor. That's not at
10	all
11	MR. RAZATOS: I realized it was coming
12	from
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	MR. RAZATOS: I got that. But what
15	I'm trying to say is when we are looking at this
16	in a broad sense, pharmaceuticals are in it as
17	well
18	THE WITNESS: That's right.
19	MR. RAZATOS: plus other daily
20	items if you do a broad search on this.
21	THE WITNESS: That's right.
22	MR. RAZATOS: Now, if we look at, I
23	believe you were here for most of the testimony
24	that has gone on and I believe you heard that at
25	the very basic the OECD definition for PFAS, which
	Page 169
	rage 109

1	is what the science and chemistry community has
2	taken, so at the very basis, and again I realize
3	you are not a chemist so I'm going to process
4	that, but at the very basis from what you know of
5	general chemistry plus your background in
6	toxicology, even these pharmaceuticals fall under
7	PFAS under that definition.
8	THE WITNESS: Using that broadest
9	definition, yes.
10	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you.
11	MS. MULCAHY: If I may just interrupt
12	for a moment, if there is more questions about
13	what is in her testimony I have a copy of it here.
14	I'm happy to provide it to the witness just so the
15	Commissioners can ask her about it, if that's
16	okay.
17	MR. RAZATOS: I think may be helpful,
18	Madam Hearing Officer.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	Thank you.
21	MS. MULCAHY: I will represent on the
22	record that there is no notes or anything else in
23	this copy.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
25	you.

1	THE WITNESS: I appreciate it.
2	MR. RAZATOS: So, doctor, thank you.
3	I appreciate it.
4	There was also the slides that you
5	went through with Ms. Mulcahy earlier and you were
6	talking about polymers and monomers, and just so I
7	get it straight in my head it has been a while
8	since I have done some chemistry so my apologies
9	for that I believe you said that polymers are
10	kind of big and bulky and you equated them to the
11	football field, and monomers were the marbles, so
12	when we are looking at the toxicological effects
13	the concern is that monomers are the ones that
14	tend to affect us more. These would be the ones
15	that would be binding to proteins in humans and
16	potentially causing adverse reactions.
17	THE WITNESS: Correct.
18	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. So and then I
19	believe you stated that the PFOS and PFOAs are the
20	ones that are small enough that could potentially
21	cause us issues.
22	THE WITNESS: Correct.
23	MR. RAZATOS: Just so I know, and
24	again for me, the methodologies that the that
25	were presented as testing methodologies targeted
	Page 171

1	analyses for these compounds, would they be able
2	to detect the PFOA and PFOS, do you know?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, they do.
4	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Okay.
5	THE WITNESS: Just to be clear, you
6	are talking about the standardized EPA method.
7	MR. RAZATOS: Yes.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	MR. RAZATOS: Thank you, and I should
10	have said that. Ms. Mulcahy I believe had said
11	all the numbers to them and I believe the OCD also
12	has it in their definition.
13	THE WITNESS: Correct. PFOA and PFAS
14	are on all of the drinking water methods and
15	groundwater methods.
16	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Thank you for
17	that.
18	You stated to everybody in the room
19	and on the platform that the EPA released an
20	update on their screening and increased it to 31
21	PFAS.
22	THE WITNESS: I believe so.
23	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Can you just
24	again, because we have talked about targeted
25	analysis and screenings and all of that, your

1 understanding of what this screening is. 2 THE WITNESS: Good question, and I 3 apologize for not clarifying that better. This is the EPA regional screening 4 5 level table. You can Google it and find it. It's 6 publicly available. It is what risk assessors and toxicologists across the country use to determine 8 whether more evaluation at a given Superfund or contaminated site is necessary. So you will have 9 a sample of, let's say, groundwater and it will 10 11 have a concentration of chemical X. You look at 12 the table and you find the lowest number, the most 13 conservative, usually the residential will be it, 14 and you see if that concentration in your sample 15 is above or below. 16 MR. RAZATOS: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: If it is below we have high confidence there is no concern, there is no 18 19 increased concern for that chemical in that 20 sample. If it is above, we need to do more 21 evaluation. There might be risk. We might need 22 more data. You might need to do a full risk 23 assessment. 24 So it's a screening level to see if 25 you are of no concern or do you need to do more Page 173

1	work to figure out what your concern is.
2	MR. RAZATOS: Okay.
3	THE WITNESS: And the screening levels
4	are available for, gosh, I don't even know, maybe
5	600 chemicals are on the full list. Groundwater,
6	soil, surface water, air even, and for different
7	receptors. These are human health based, so
8	residential, construction worker, and I think like
9	an indoor worker even for some reason.
10	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Okay. Thank you
11	for that clarification.
12	Also in, I believe it was the
13	questioning that Ms. Mulcahy had for you, and you
14	clarified that your concern with the specific
15	statement that Dr. Hansen said, Dr. Hansen I guess
16	said linked to one or several health effects, and
17	you said it was better to say associated with.
18	Obviously it's a play on words, and I
19	was trying to follow your line of reasoning, and I
20	apologize, it was just a little bit of an overload
21	on info. Could you clarify that for me as well,
22	please?
23	THE WITNESS: It is just a more
24	technically appropriate way that toxicologists and
25	risk assessors refer to a body of literature

1	associating a given chemical's exposure to an
2	adverse health effect, most commonly you see it
3	associated with an impact HSDR, I don't know,
4	everywhere, because again I don't know what her
5	citation was, but usually is associated with.
6	MR. RAZATOS: Okay. Okay.
7	THE WITNESS: That's all.
8	MR. RAZATOS: It's just the way the
9	lingo is used between the groups. Okay. I
10	appreciate that. Thank you.
11	In your testimony, in your direct
12	testimony you have a whole section let me find
13	where it's at. I underlined it and now I can't
14	find my underlines so please forgive me.
15	On Page 14 you start off with the
16	chemophobia. And I guess I understand the premise
17	of it, but again as a Commission, as a body that
18	sits to make a rule or change these rules, I guess
19	in your expertise as a toxicologist and you did
20	mention it, but just clarify it in my head why
21	would this cause chemophobia for the people of New
22	Mexico if they knew what was in this whatever the
23	substances are?
24	I guess, no offense, doctor, I know
25	you're coming with your opinion and your

1	information, but it kind of sounds like you're
2	saying the people of New Mexico can't make their
3	own decisions on this. They will freak out and we
4	will have hysteria here in the state.
5	THE WITNESS: Sure. That's not at all
6	what I'm saying.
7	There is a whole body of literature on
8	this. It's not just the one article. I think
9	they are officially the ones that coined the term.
10	But what it is pointing to is we need to consider
11	the psychological distress, and again no judgment
12	on whether it is valid or not. We are not
13	invalidating
14	MR. RAZATOS: Sure.
15	THE WITNESS: the feelings, but
16	that needs to be taken into consideration. And we
17	know from risk communication and social science
18	that people perceive risk in a different way than
19	we expect. It's not a facts-based perception of
20	risk, and so the social science and the best
21	practices around risk communication are really
22	clear that you have to give people information
23	about risk in context.
24	MR. RAZATOS: Okay.
25	THE WITNESS: And so we know that just
	Page 176

1	a list of chemicals there has even been studies
2	where people, researchers went around and talked
3	to people about dihydro-oxygen, and people freaked
4	out. It's water, you know, but because they hear
5	a chemical name.
6	Now, of course, probably quickly they
7	are like, oh, it's just water, but the point is
8	that people need to have the right information in
9	the right context, and so disclosure of
L 0	information and again to be clear, we are
L1	proposing full disclosure of information to OCD to
L 2	allow them to work through the process, which as I
L 3	understand it in normal cleanup programs, and so I
L 4	would assume the same here, there is an
L 5	opportunity to talk to the public, but you do it
L 6	sort of in that process. Right?
L 7	MR. RAZATOS: Okay, I see the
L 8	clarification. Thank you for that.
L 9	My last question to you is, as I said,
20	you've been here for the majority. As you
21	mentioned, you weren't here for the very first
22	part on Tuesday, but you were also able to see the
23	Oil Conservation Division's definition for PFAS.
24	That does vary from the definition that NMOGA is
25	proposing. Why is the NMOGA better?

1	THE WITNESS: Sure. Well, what I
2	first want to say is that in practice, in reality
3	for enforcement and sampling, the definitions are
4	the same. We end up at the same place.
5	When considering a definition I think
6	Dr. Richardson and I came at it assuming, of
7	course, you are going to use the standard EPA
8	methods. That's what we always use. It's
9	helpful, I do agree, to have them enumerated so
10	there is no ambiguity, and I especially appreciate
11	the evergreen nature of it. I think that's
12	actually pretty critical.
13	We have seen this analyte list grow,
14	even just in recent history, and can assume that
15	it will. Why is ours better? I think at the end
16	of the day it comes down to the focus of the PFAS
17	that, one, already adopted by EPA under the TSCA
18	program. Two, as stated by EPA, and I personally
19	professionally agree, encompasses the PFAS of most
20	relevance and concern for this rulemaking. It is
21	still a broad net, so our definition of two or
22	more is thousands of PFAS. In practicality
23	enforcing that, you use the limit.
24	So I think at the end of the day the
25	analytical methods are what they are. It is not

1	within our ability to change them or make it go
2	any faster. The definition just needs to ensure
3	that it is protective of public health and, as I
4	mentioned earlier, all of our definitions
5	encompass the ones that really warrant the concern
6	based on data we have today.
7	Secondly, it needs to be enforceable
8	and tangible, something we all understand. I
9	appreciate the added clarity of the methods that
10	were laid out by the Oil and Gas Division.
11	MR. RAZATOS: I will ask also the
12	question, because it's fair, we are looking at
13	WildEarth Guardians's definition as well.
14	WildEarth Guardians's definition, if I'm going to,
15	you know, I'm going to play devil's advocate for
16	lack of a better term, is going to stop all PFAS
17	from ever being used. Why is it more prudent to
18	look at the definition that NMOGA submitted in
19	lieu of the definition that WildEarth Guardians
20	submitted?
21	THE WITNESS: I think it comes down to
22	the executable and enforceable question. So we
23	heard a lot of conversation this week about the
24	use of nontargeted analysis and use of
25	nonstandardized labs. I'm not sure if that is

1	even an option under regulatory programs. It is
2	very much of a research type of endeavor, so that
3	I guess ambiguity would need to be clarified. And
4	the reality is when you are in a site where there
5	has been a release and you're trying to interpret
6	the data, you want to make sure you are collecting
7	reliable, repeatable, validated data that you can
8	make sense of. And if you're, I guess at the
9	end of the day we are all going to be collecting
10	that same data using those methods, assuming that
11	is the standardized method.
12	So, as I said earlier, there is really
13	not at a practical level very much difference
14	between the three definitions. It is where do you
15	want the specificity documented and where do you
16	want to draw the line about what matters to the
17	environment and to the oil and gas as far as, you
18	know, the requirement for the full ban.
19	MR. RAZATOS: Okay, excellent. Thank
20	you.
21	No further questions for me. Thank
22	you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you
24	Mr. Chair.
25	Commissioner Bloom.

1	MR. BLOOM: All right, thank you.
2	Good afternoon, Dr. Anderson.
3	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
4	MR. BLOOM: My first question is
5	Jerry's last question.
6	THE WITNESS: Perfect.
7	MR. BLOOM: It's all right. You may
8	have said all you wanted to say on that but I will
9	ask anyhow, how does NMOGA's PFAS definition help
10	us more as regulators over OCD's or WildEarth
11	Guardians'?
12	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't really
13	think it is materially or practically any
14	different at the end of the day. I really don't.
15	MR. BLOOM: And we have heard this
16	throughout the past two days, but I think it was
17	23 or 26 some states use this broader definition
18	of PFAS that WildEarth Guardians is using. Did
19	those states get it wrong?
20	THE WITNESS: No, but I do think the
21	devil is in the details of those regulations, and
22	so many of them are industry-specific, and also
23	looking at industries that may also have single
24	fluorinated carbons in them.
25	Also, I believe that every single one
	Page 181
	Page 161

1	of those definitions does narrow the scope to
2	intentionally add it, and so by doing that they
3	are also narrowing the scope to those PFAS that
4	have the physical and chemical properties that
5	would most likely be used. Right? The surfactant
6	nature and stability, so again kind of getting to
7	the same place.
8	MR. BLOOM: Dr. Anderson, you said the
9	NMOGA definition gets it, quote, the PFAS of
10	highest concern?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, and that's EPA's
12	conclusion first.
13	MR. BLOOM: When you say highest
14	concern is that because of toxicity or because of
15	the widespread use of those PFAS?
16	THE WITNESS: Both.
17	MR. BLOOM: Okay.
18	THE WITNESS: We need to have the
19	exposure but these are the ones most likely to be
20	in the environment. They have been used and then
21	you have to have the potential for risk.
22	MR. BLOOM: Okay. Let's write that
23	down.
24	You spent a good bit of time this
25	morning saying that some PFAS and polymers are too

1	big to be toxic; is that correct?
2	THE WITNESS: They are too big to be
3	even absorbed through a cell wall.
4	MR. BLOOM: Okay, and could they be
5	toxic or dangerous to human health, do we know?
6	THE WITNESS: Highly unlikely and
7	doubtful. For PTFE again we have decades and
8	decades of use in the medical device industry with
9	no data. We actually even have a rat study where
10	they said PTFE powders up to 25 percent in the
11	rat's diet. Again, it's not water soluble so it
12	was a powder mixed in with their chow with no
13	adverse effect in rats.
14	MR. BLOOM: And you said some are too
15	small?
16	THE WITNESS: Some of the PFAS?
17	MR. BLOOM: Yes.
18	THE WITNESS: Too small for?
19	MR. BLOOM: For danger to human
20	health.
21	THE WITNESS: Again, as a toxicologist
22	unfortunately I have to be really accurate, but
23	the size of the molecule dictates how it may move
24	through our bodies, and so the smaller PFAS do not
25	get taken back up to our, by our kidneys so they
	Page 183
	rage 103

1 are not biocumulative. They don't bind to the proteins the same way. 2 3 Now, if you have a sufficient enough exposure and a sufficient enough dose anything may 4 5 cause problems. I just want to be very clear. 6 MR. BLOOM: I appreciate the caveats. 7 So then essentially what we are looking at are sort of the Goldilocks PFAS, not 8 9 too big, not too small? 10 THE WITNESS: That's it exactly. 11 MR. BLOOM: Okay. And are there other 12 PFAS in that Goldilocks territory, not too big, 13 not too small, that we don't have an understanding yet of the potential health effects? 14 15 THE WITNESS: So size is not the only 16 consideration when we are talking about, big and 17 small. It's really how they bind to the receptors and what they are going to do. EPA has come up 18 19 with 112 different categories for PFAS based on the chemical structure. I can only imagine that 20 21 there are some of those 112 specific subcategories 22 that may warrant additional testing or that they 23 are working through the analysis, right, so they 24 have, as I mentioned previously, they are doing 25 rodent studies on over one hundred. They are

1	running thousands through in silico and in vitro
2	testing, and they are actually initiating what is
3	the new testing orders, which is one of the
4	exhibits that I cite, where they are getting
5	information on some of these subcategories on the
6	surrogate. So they acknowledge that you can't
7	extrapolate between different categories, but we
8	need to fill some data gaps.
9	And so, unfortunately, I can't answer
10	that question, but it is a very active area of
11	research and is well-recognized that it is the
12	subcategories, the groupings of these PFAS based
13	on physical chemical characteristics to include
14	size that really is going to dictate concern in
15	addition to production volume and potential
16	release to the environment.
17	MR. BLOOM: Are those 112 categories
18	all covered by the NMOGA proposed definition?
19	THE WITNESS: I would doubt it but I
20	would need to confirm. Oh, wait, I'm sorry. By
21	the NMOGA definition. Yes, it would be because
22	that's under the TSCA program. I apologize.
23	MR. BLOOM: Okay.
24	In my previous job I got to work on
25	TSCA reauthorization. My understanding was that
	Page 185

1	we were seriously behind this was four or five
2	years ago on PFAS health-related toxicology
3	studies. Are those still forthcoming? Are we
4	still behind?
5	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
6	behind?
7	MR. BLOOM: Behind to me means that we
8	release potentially dangerous toxic chemicals into
9	our supply chains and unleash them into the
10	environment, they are being absorbed by our bodies
11	and we don't know the health effects.
12	THE WITNESS: By my latest count TSCA
13	had listed somewhere around 40,000 chemicals that
14	are in commerce today. You know, we don't have
15	toxic information on the vast majority,
16	unfortunately. I just think it's the way the TSCA
17	program is set up.
18	MR. BLOOM: I'm getting close to
19	wrapping up here.
20	That's it. Thank you. Appreciate it.
21	THE WITNESS: You are very welcome.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
23	Commissioner Ampomah.
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Thank you. I will make
25	it very brief. You do have a copy of your direct
	Page 186

1	testimony. I'm going to walk through that a
2	little bit.
3	On Page 3 of your direct testimony,
4	Item Number 6, so in there you talk about where it
5	states that PFAS, an exceptionally toxic group of
6	chemicals now present very long-term and
7	persistent public health. Now you're saying that
8	this statement is factually inaccurate and
9	misleading. So my question to you is that, is it
10	NMOGA's position that the Commission should not
11	ban all PFAS?
12	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the
13	question? Should not?
14	DR. AMPOMAH: Is it NMOGA's position
15	that the Commission should not ban all PFAS.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay, no. It's my
17	position that the definition for how you define
18	PFAS in your ban needs to be something that can be
19	enforced and attainable, has no ambiguity. But I
20	agree with that caveat of banning PFAS for use in
21	hydraulic fracking.
22	DR. AMPOMAH: So let's be more
23	specific on that. So NMOGA's position is to more
24	or less support the science-based ban of PFAS.
25	THE WITNESS: Correct, which is

1	personally why I also appreciate the evergreen
2	nature of OCD's added because we understand that
3	the science is going to evolve.
4	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. I think I won't
5	give you this one.
6	On Page 8, the earlier PFAS, Moreover
7	of nearly 184,000 records of hydraulic fracking
8	projects in FracFocus nationwide, only
9	approximately 1600 reports of use of PFAS.
10	So do you know specifically how much
11	of these are being used in New Mexico?
12	THE WITNESS: I do not, and I want to
13	be clear I'm citing the GSI response paper, that
14	is Connor, et al. I'm a co-author on that, but I
15	wrote the toxicology migratory piece.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: And you didn't write the
17	portion that reviewed the materials from
18	FracFocus?
19	THE WITNESS: Correct. That's why
20	they are cited.
21	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. I'm pretty sure
22	that NMOGA's next witness probably can help us on
23	that one so I will save that. I will save that.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: Now in that same paper,
	Page 188

1	so as we go down you're talking about 99 percent
2	of the fraction projects were more without any
3	such additives, but when you come down you talk
4	about with many thousands of other fraction
5	projects completed in the U.S. there is no
6	evidence of already impact origins where hydraulic
7	fracturing has impaired groundwater or surface
8	water.
9	So you cited Connor 2021, and then you
L 0	reference additional references to support this
L1	claim?
L 2	THE WITNESS: I haven't seen any
L 3	references to support the contrary.
L 4	DR. AMPOMAH: Yes, and also based on
L 5	the testimony we have gone through from Tuesday up
L 6	to now, especially from WEG witnesses, you know,
L 7	how about your personal issues that they pointed
L 8	out? You know, looking at what happened in
L 9	Pennsylvania and even West Virginia, do you still
20	hold this statement to be true?
21	THE WITNESS: I didn't critically
22	evaluate those studies so I think you will have to
23	ask Dr. Richardson, but as quoted from that paper
24	and from the analyses of my colleagues I would
25	agree with it, yes.

1	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, I will put that
2	down to ask the next witness.
3	THE WITNESS: Sorry, Dr. Richardson.
4	DR. AMPOMAH: Now with regard to
5	NMOGA's definition that proposing with regards to
6	PFAS, how many compounds are we how many
7	chemicals are we dealing with here?
8	THE WITNESS: Oh, I was really afraid
9	someone was going to ask that question. I can't
10	tell you. It is thousands. Our definition,
11	that's what you are asking?
12	DR. AMPOMAH: Yes.
13	THE WITNESS: It's still thousands.
14	There is no good database to filter and try to get
15	that information out. I apologize.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. So this one, I'm
17	looking at the proposed PFAS definition NMOGA
18	Rebuttal Exhibit E30.
19	So I'm looking at the charts that you
20	showed where you have the PFAS polymers and
21	nonpolymers. So I'm going to focus on the
22	definition. You said that the PTFE, those ones
23	are big.
24	THE WITNESS: Correct.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: We have already talked
	Page 190

1	about that so I will not focus on that.
2	And also you talked about the PFOA and
3	PFOS. Those are mostly the toxic ones; is that
4	true? Is that correct?
5	THE WITNESS: Correct. They are the
6	ones that have the very low regulatory value in
7	this country.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, so the other one,
9	that is a polyfluoroalkyl substances, that is one
10	on the extreme right on the chart.
11	MS. MULCAHY: Dr. Ampomah?
12	DR. AMPOMAH: Yes.
13	MS. MULCAHY: If I may interrupt, if
14	it would be helpful for you I'm happy to project
15	that up on the screen.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: Yeah.
17	MS. MULCAHY: I'm sorry, I don't have
18	a copy of that in paper for the witness. My
19	apologies.
20	THE WITNESS: You can keep going. I
21	know what you are referring to.
22	DR. AMPOMAH: So I'm focusing on the
23	last part, the polyfluoroalkyl substances. And
24	probably even though this witness can probably
25	respond to this but I will ask anyway, this family
	Page 191

1	of PFAS, has it been used in the oil and gas
2	industry?
3	THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that
4	question.
5	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
6	You talk about they can degrade.
7	THE WITNESS: The poly?
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Yes.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10	DR. AMPOMAH: The poly, they can
11	degrade. Can it be toxic?
12	THE WITNESS: Which, the poly?
13	DR. AMPOMAH: Yes.
14	THE WITNESS: So that class of
15	chemicals does include GenX already, so that is
16	one of the ones that we do have an MCL for. The
17	62:FTOH and a myriad of other compounds for which
18	we do have toxicity information for and values,
19	yes.
20	DR. AMPOMAH: And some of them are a
21	little bit toxic, or highly toxic?
22	THE WITNESS: Some of them at certain
23	exposure levels, and depending on how you are
24	exposed, can be associated with adverse health
25	effects.

1	DR. AMPOMAH: So does OCD's and
2	NMOGA's definition include this type of PFAS?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. Our definition
4	includes everything that you would see on the
5	screen.
6	DR. AMPOMAH: On this.
7	THE WITNESS: In that, yes.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, and what is not
9	included in your definition that you can share
10	with us?
11	THE WITNESS: Only the single
12	fluorinated compounds. So the carbons only the
13	compounds that have one carbon that has fluorines
14	fully attached. That's it.
15	DR. AMPOMAH: And that is a
16	fundamental of WEG's definition, too?
17	THE WITNESS: That is where they start
18	their definition. We start ours at the next layer
19	up, yeah.
20	DR. AMPOMAH: What about OCD's
21	definition?
22	THE WITNESS: The first part of their
23	definition they have two parts matches
24	WEG's.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.

1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, do you prefer
2	to be called Guardians?
3	MR. DAVIS: We call ourselves
4	Guardians but I think people know what you are
5	referring to when you say WEG.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
7	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
8	Now, does NMOGA's definition account
9	for future growth of PFAS that could be added?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, it does, because
11	our definition does include thousands of
12	chemicals right under the assumption that as
13	executed and enforced in the environment those
14	analytical methods will continue to grow.
15	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
16	Now let may ask you this, what is the
17	impact of the potential burn on PFAS on NMOGA?
18	THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that
19	question.
20	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, definitely. I
21	will save that.
22	You know there has been a lot of
23	testimony presented to us throughout the whole
24	week. I want to ask you, is there anything that
25	you agree with with the other witnesses?

1	THE WITNESS: Is there an issue that
2	I
3	DR. AMPOMAH: Yes, that you agree with
4	all the other witnesses, especially from
5	Guardians.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, of course. I mean
7	I think we are all in agreement that we, it's
8	prudent to ban by some definition, which is where
9	we disagree, PFAS in hydraulic fracturing fluid.
10	We are all in agreement that disclosure of all the
11	chemicals used is prudent. To whom is where we
12	disagree, and as we have heard multiple
13	testimonies we are all in agreement that there are
14	concerns for certain PFAS in the environment and
15	that those concerns are extremely valid.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. There is one I
17	think that sounds like nobody else talked about or
18	asked about it. On Page 11, I also recommend that
19	the revised language include intentionally audit
20	as part of the definition of PFAS.
21	So based on all the testimony that we
22	have listened to throughout the whole week do you
23	still stand by that?
24	THE WITNESS: I do. I think in my
25	mind that provides the specificity to the

1	industry. And I think that's just important.
2	DR. AMPOMAH: Is important. Now,
3	NMOCD is striking that down. So how do you see
4	this will impact NMOGA?
5	THE WITNESS: I can't answer for how
6	that would impact NMOGA. It is my understanding
7	from listening to the testimony, though, that they
8	are going to clarify that this applies to the
9	additives, and that's essentially the same thing.
10	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, so you just are
11	going to focus on the additives and not
12	necessarily, let's say, the stream water or
13	THE WITNESS: That's my understanding
14	from listening to the testimony here this week,
15	yes.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: And that satisfied the
17	intentionality of the PFAS?
18	THE WITNESS: I believe it does.
19	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
20	Thank you. No further questions.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
22	much, Commissioner. Any reason not to excuse Dr.
23	Anderson at this time?
24	Thank you very much for your
25	testimony.

1	THE WITNESS: With pleasure. It has
2	been an honor. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
4	(Witness excused.)
5	(Discussion off the record.)
6	MS. MULCAHY: May I have just a moment
7	to get the giant binder for Dr. Richardson?
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Yes.
9	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
10	Does the Commission have a copy of
11	Dr. Richardson's
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: There is a copy
13	of it back there.
14	MS. MULCAHY: Okay. When every one
15	has their giant binders, you just let me know.
16	MR. DAVIS: Madam Hearing Officer,
17	before we start with the next witness I would like
18	to move WildEarth Guardians Exhibit 1, which is
19	the first submitted proposed rule, and WildEarth
20	Guardian Exhibit 2, which is proposed legal notice
21	into evidence.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
23	you.
24	Any objections to Guardians 1 and 2?
25	Okay, they are admitted. Thank you.
	Page 197

1	(WildEarth Guardians Exhibit
2	Nos. 1 and 2, received in
3	evidence.)
4	WHEREUPON,
5	STEPHEN RICHARDSON, Ph.D.,
6	A Witness called for examination, having
7	been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
10	Go ahead, Ms. Mulcahy.
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. MULCAHY:
13	Q. Hi, Dr. Richardson.
14	A. Hello.
15	Q. Dr. Richardson, could you please spell
16	your name for the record?
17	A. Last name is Richardson,
18	R-i-c-h-a-r-d-s-o-n, and first name is Stephen
19	S-t-e-p-h-e-n.
20	Q. Thank you.
21	Dr. Richardson, did you provide direct
22	written testimony which is marked as Exhibit D as
23	in dog and attached Exhibits D1 through D14 for
24	this hearing?
25	A. I did.
	Page 198

1	Q. Do you have any changes or additions
2	to your direct testimony and exhibits today?
3	A. I do not.
4	Q. Do you adopt your written testimony
5	marked as Exhibit D as your sworn testimony today?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. Okay. Is your testimony true and
8	accurate, to the best of your knowledge?
9	A. It is.
10	Q. Okay.
11	MS. MULCAHY: Madam Hearing Officer, I
12	would move for the admission of NMOGA Exhibit D as
13	in dog through D14.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, any
15	objections to NMOGA Exhibits D through D14?
16	Okay, they are admitted. Thank you.
17	(NMOGA Exhibit Nos. D through
18	D14, received in evidence.)
19	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
20	BY MS. MULCAHY:
21	Q. Dr. Richardson, could you please
22	provide a brief summary of your testimony today?
23	A. Be happy to.
24	So, again, my name is Steve
25	Richardson. I'm an environmental engineer with
	Page 199

GSI Environmental, and one of my charges in my work with NMOGA here -- there is three of them -- one is to assist with the definition of PFAS as Dr. Anderson had mentioned. The second is to look at FracFocus disclosures, looking at the PSR, the Physicians for Social Responsibility report, and see, basically try to recreate their number, so to speak, and come up with my own review of those, of the disclosures.

2.

And third, from a fate and transport standpoint of PFAS in the environment, so to provide that level of expertise as well. So how would PFAS move in the environment and then how does that tie in with what Dr. Anderson mentioned today. To that end, the takehome here is, again as Dr. Anderson mentioned, our proposed definition is one that mirrors or is very similar to the EPA TSCA definition, right, and so that has been already mentioned.

Second, in terms of the FracFocus disclosure we ended up with very similar results to what was in the PSR document in terms of the PFAS that had been disclosed, and that is PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene, and the big one which we have shortened as pFEG, which is fluoroalkyl

1	alcohol-substituted polyethylene glycol. And I
2	hope that's the only time have I to say that in
3	this hearing. So that is pFEG.
4	Again, that is not a true acronym for
5	it. It's something that we came up with to make
6	it easier so that we didn't have an additional ten
7	pages in our testimony.
8	And then last was to really discuss,
9	you know, should there be PFAS in the environment
10	how would they behave. And then in terms of, you
11	know, how would a polymer behave that is clearly
12	water soluble versus one that may be a smaller
13	chain and more mobile, what does that look like,
14	and so that is where my expertise came in.
15	Q. Thank you, Dr. Anderson.
16	MS. MULCAHY: I will pull up what has
17	been labeled as Guardians Exhibit 8 if you can
18	give me one moment.
19	Madam Hearing Officer, may I share my
20	screen?
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
22	Sheila, please give her permission.
23	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
24	BY MS. MULCAHY:
25	Q. Dr. Richardson, did you review
	Page 201

т	Guardians Exhibit o:
2	A. I did.
3	Q. Is Guardians Exhibit 8 the statutes
4	and regulations from other states that have banned
5	PFAS?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. Did you in your review of Guardians
8	Exhibit 8 notice anything in common about all of
9	these examples from other states?
10	A. Yes. As has been previously stated,
11	all of these use the one fully fluorinated carbon
12	definition. Second, I believe, as already has
13	been mentioned, they are also industry-specific as
14	you can see in the parentheses that are going
15	through. And then third, which was just briefly
16	mentioned at the end, is that they all do include
17	as part of their regulations intentionally added
18	some component, whether it is intentionally added
19	PFAS or just intentionally added as part of their
20	rule.
21	Q. I'm going to pull up just one specific
22	definition in this exhibit. I don't want to go
23	through all of them, but sorry. I'm not trying
24	to make anybody motion sick.
25	Dr. Anderson excuse me,
	Page 202

1	Dr. Richardson.
2	A. It could be challenging today at this
3	point.
4	Q. Dr. Richardson, are we looking at the
5	Maryland statute here that I have pulled up on the
6	screen?
7	A. Yes, we are.
8	Q. I'm going to read from the yellow
9	highlighted part. "Intentionally added means the
10	act of deliberately using a chemical in the
11	formation of a product where the chemical's
12	continued presence is desired in the product to
13	provide a specific characteristic."
14	Did I read that correctly?
15	A. You did.
16	Q. Is this Maryland definition that I
17	just read out loud here on Guardians Exhibit 8
18	similar to the definition of intentionally added
19	in all of these other states?
20	A. They are all very similar, yes.
21	Q. Based on your extensive knowledge of
22	PFAS, does it make sense to include intentionally
23	added as a definition in this rulemaking?
24	A. In my opinion, yes. And really that's
25	what it comes down to. PFAS, as we have discussed
	Page 203

already in this hearing, there are a variety of
different sources. It's not the way I would
look at it is in my career I have dealt with
trillium hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents. I
spent a lot of time doing PFAS remediation,
treatment and remediation. Chlorinated solvents
in the environment are very easy to figure out
what the source is. There is not going to be very
many, right, so if it's a dry cleaner, well, there
is your dry cleaner right there.
With PFAS it's very different because

there can be a variety of sources and because of their ubiquitous nature, as we have discussed. They are in our consumer products. We consume them. They are in our wastewater. They are in our septic systems. Now they are in surface water and so on, so in this case when you are talking about adding, intentionally added, this is not a terminology that you would usually use with another contaminant, another class of contaminant. This is one that is really unique to PFAS because at the end of the day it is very hard at the end to determine where that PFAS came from. Right? Whether we are using source water -- in the case for hydraulic fracturing, that could be municipal

1	water, that could be private well water which
2	could be already containing PFAS in it.
3	Q. Thank you, Dr. Richardson.
4	Did NMOGA include a definition of
5	intentionally added in its proposed revision?
6	A. They did.
7	Q. And could you explain why NMOGA did
8	include that?
9	A. For exactly that reason. Yeah, it's
10	just the source water aspect. Again, water being,
11	what, close to 99 percent? It's a very large
12	component of a frack job, and so at the end of the
13	day when you are dealing with the source water
14	being, containing PFAS, that has to be taken into
15	account.
16	Q. Would it be fair then to say,
17	Dr. Richardson, that NMOGA's proposal is
18	consistent with the states identified here in
19	Guardians Exhibit 8?
20	A. It is consistent with respect to
21	intentionally added, yes.
22	Q. Okay. And Dr. Richardson, did you
23	hear the testimony from Mr. Powell this week?
24	A. I did.
25	Q. And did you hear the testimony that
	Page 205

1	the Oil Conservation Division has proposed a
2	definition that doesn't necessarily say
3	intentionally added but makes clear that the
4	Division is focused on a chemical additive?
5	A. It did.
6	Q. Would you agree with that approach as
7	well?
8	A. I certainly think that they do align.
9	Yes, I do think that there is some similarities
10	there that we could look at, yes.
11	Q. Dr. Richardson, did you review
12	Guardians' definition of PFAS?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. Dr. Richardson, did you review
15	Dr. Hansen's definition of PFAS?
16	A. I did.
17	Q. Were they similar?
18	A. Yes, they were similar. One fully
19	fluorinated definition.
20	Q. Okay, thank you.
21	MS. MULCAHY: I'm going to pull up
22	what is Guardians' Exhibit 1.
23	Q. Dr. Richardson, is this Guardians'
24	definition of PFAS which I have displayed here on
25	the screen in Guardians Exhibit 1?

1	A. It is.
2	Q. Do you agree with Guardians'
3	definition of PFAS as displayed here?
4	A. I do not.
5	Q. Why not?
6	A. For the reasons that Dr. Anderson
7	mentioned before. Again, that we are focused on
8	PFAS that are most relevant. And right now, as
9	was mentioned before, our definition excludes the
10	single fully the single fully fluorinated
11	compounds, and there is reason for that, one
12	reason being that in a hydraulic fracturing
13	situation you are going to want to use in the
14	cases where PFAS have been used and disclosed in
15	FracFocus they were used mainly for friction
16	reduction, and we know that hydraulic fracturing
17	is a high temperature, high pressure situation,
18	and so those types of compounds would not be
19	useful in any way in a hydraulic fracturing job.
20	So in terms of narrowing, I mean, it's
21	still a pretty broad definition. I want to make
22	sure that is clear. But the other part being in
23	terms of the methods, right, we know that the
24	single fully fluorinated are not covered by or are
25	outside of the methods that were listed right
	Page 207

1	through OCD's definition.
2	Q. And so when you say outside the
3	methods you mean outside the standardized method
4	that the Division listed in its definition?
5	A. That's correct. They are not
6	currently in those definitions or in those
7	methods.
8	Q. And so when you say in those methods
9	do you mean that those analytical methods cannot
10	analyze for a single fully fluorinated carbon?
11	A. They cannot.
12	Q. So how would a method without a
13	method sorry. Let me back up for a second
14	here. I want to clarify something.
15	Would a single fluorinated carbon atom
16	be of any use in hydraulic fractions?
17	A. In my understanding of how this works,
18	no.
19	Q. And
20	A. Let me just clarify. From a friction
21	reduction standpoint, which is really where I
22	focused.
23	Q. Without a method to test for a single
24	fully fluorinated carbon atom, how would a
25	regulator use this definition to regulate?
	Page 208

1	A. They wouldn't.
2	Q. Would a regulator be able to enforce a
3	ban on PFAS under Guardians' and Dr. Hansen's
4	definition of a single fully fluorinated carbon
5	atom?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Why not?
8	A. Because there is no way to actually
9	analyze for them. There is not an enforceable way
10	to execute that rule.
11	Q. Thank you.
12	MS. MULCAHY: I'm going to now pull up
13	New Energy Economy Exhibit A, which is
14	Dr. Hansen's direct testimony. I'm just scrolling
15	before I share so I don't make everybody motion
16	sick.
17	Q. Dr. Richardson, did you review
18	Dr. Hansen's direct testimony?
19	A. I did.
20	Q. Okay. I'm going to read from Page 8,
21	Lines 18 through 20 of Dr. Hansen's direct
22	testimony. Dr. Hansen states, "PFAS are mobile in
23	the environment, e.g. via air, atmospheric
24	deposition, groundwater, rain/snow, desorption
25	from oil/sludge, surface water, sea foam, and thus
	Page 209

1	are not easily contained or controlled."
2	Did I read that correctly?
3	A. You did.
4	Q. Dr. Richardson, do you agree with this
5	statement?
6	A. No, not entirely, no.
7	Q. Okay. Let's break it down. Are there
8	any parts that you can agree with?
9	A. Well, I think it's fair to say that we
10	do know that PFAS, there are papers out there that
11	show that PFASes up in the Arctic, right, that is
12	in snow, that it's in rain at certain locations,
13	there are papers that do support that so I think
14	from a not easily contained or controlled aspect I
15	think I can say that I would agree.
16	However, from a PFAS or all mobile or
17	mobile in the environment, that would be
18	incorrect. We do have PFAS that are not mobile at
19	all. And, again, we have gone through this with
20	the large molecule versus the small molecule
21	discussion, which we can go into again if you
22	like.
23	Q. I don't want to go into the entire
24	discussion again, but I am curious. From your
25	perspective in the environmental remediation
	Page 210

1	world, we heard about it from Dr. Anderson in
2	terms of toxicology
3	A. Yes.
4	Q but I'm asking you in terms of
5	environmental remediation, does molecule size
6	matter?
7	A. Molecular size does matter, yes.
8	Q. Why?
9	A. Well, again, if you are looking from a
10	fate and transport standpoint and, again, I
11	just need to maybe clarify to the Commission my
12	specialty is groundwater. That's where I spend a
13	lot of my time, my profession, so soil and
14	groundwater. So a lot of my examples may come
15	from that, there may be a lot of groundwater
16	discussion so if you are an air fan I apologize.
17	I will use a lot of groundwater analogies here.
18	But if you are talking about a large
19	compound or large molecular size or molecular
20	weight, those compounds are going to be likely
21	more hydrophobic. So in the case of a PFAS
22	molecule it will likely be that hydrophobic tail.
23	As we tack on, you add those Lego blocks, they get
24	bigger, you will have a larger hydrophobic tail
25	that will end up in compounds that are more likely
	Page 211

1	to desorb to soil, or to organic material that is
2	in the subsurface. They are also going to be less
3	water soluble as a result, so as a result of being
4	less water soluble, more hydrophobic, they won't
5	move at all. In fact, some as large as those
6	polymers likely won't go very far at all.
7	Q. Okay, thank you.
8	And I also heard you say that the PFAS
9	weights are different. In terms of an
10	environmental remediation process, why does that
11	matter?
12	A. From cleanup it matters, and I think
13	the simplest example would be very similar. Just
14	like subsurface, if we are looking at a granular
15	activated carbon filter, for example, which is
16	what we use to treat water, it's a standard
17	treatment system. Larger molecular weight
18	compounds will get caught in that filter whereas
19	smaller ones may pass through.
20	So molecular weight or molecular size
21	plays a role it's not the only role, but plays
22	a role when it comes to designing in this case a
23	filter on any sort of treatment system.
24	Q. I heard you say it matters in terms of
25	cleanup. We have also heard testimony from other

1	witnesses as well as members of the public that
2	PFAS chemicals are forever. Are there ways to
3	clean up PFAS?
4	A. Yes, there are.
5	Q. Could you sort of explain some of
6	those ways?
7	A. I would be happy to, yes. And I would
8	like to say I'm not here to make a sound bite or
9	anything, but I do understand from a stability
L O	standpoint the reason why the term forever
L1	chemicals has come about.
L 2	From an environmental engineering
L 3	standpoint they are not forever chemicals at all.
L 4	There are ways to remove them from the environment
L 5	and there are ways to destroy them and I do this
L 6	on a routine basis.
L 7	So, for example, the only catch for
L 8	PFAS, and again I think there has been a lot of
L 9	discussion here, is that they do biodegrade. The
20	polysides degrade, as we talked about. They move
21	to those terminal end products, which are all the
22	pers, but other than that that's one of the big
23	factors that separates PFAS from maybe others.
24	Chlorinated solvents can degrade into subsurface.
25	We have aerobic bacteria that do a lot of work on

then as you move down to the size of the molecular weight, you know, the weight gets smaller, maybe it becomes less effective.

2.

And so you -- you know, we don't just throw our hands up in the air. We end up saying what are the other options. So there is ion exchange resins, which allow us to treat a broader suite of PFAS. You can go to reverse osmosis. These are technologies that we use to clean our water already. So reverse osmosis, nanofiltration.

But that only solves part of the problem. So we have now taken a very -- and again we all agree that PFAS, the concentrations in the environment can be very low, which makes it very difficult as an environmental engineer to clean -- can be very difficult to clean them up. So one solution is you concentrate, so you in this case you would extract water, run it through a granular activated carbon system, we would run it through an ion exchange system. You then create a concentrate which then can be used for destructive technologies. And destructive technologies in general will involve adding energy to the system so things that I work on are plasma technologies,

1	supercritical water oxidation. There is a whole
2	host, sonolysis.
3	So these are technologies that sound
4	kind of farfetched but they actually are
5	commercially available today. And so in general I
6	don't want to get into each, I would be happy to
7	talk more plasma and sonolysis and supercritical
8	water oxidation, but the simple, you know,
9	commonality between these technologies is that you
10	are adding energy to the system. If you add
11	energy you can break that carbon fluorine bond.
12	Once you break that fluorine bond then you can
13	start dealing with the per parts of the compounds.
14	You break it up into little bits.
15	Q. Thank you, and we have heard a lot
16	about terminal products and you mentioned terminal
17	products just now. Can you treat terminal
18	products of PFAS?
19	A. Yes, absolutely.
20	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer, I
21	would object to any further testimony or questions
22	about this issue. There is no there is nothing
23	in Dr. Richardson's testimony about whether you
24	can clean PFAS up or not. It's just irrelevant to
25	this topic.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Actually my
2	understanding is that she directed his attention
3	to some testimony by Dr. Hansen and that that is
4	what he is rebutting.
5	Am I correct?
6	MS. MULCAHY: That is exactly correct.
7	I directed him to testimony from Dr. Hansen that
8	these chemicals are persistent and forever, and
9	I'm having him address that specific question.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please keep
11	_
	going.
12	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
13	BY MS. MULCAHY:
14	Q. Dr. Richardson, did you did
15	Dr. Hansen mention produced water in her direct
16	and rebuttal testimony?
17	A. She did.
18	Q. Okay. I'm going to scroll to Page 12
19	of Dr. Hansen's rebuttal excuse me, direct
20	testimony. On Page 12, Lines 8 through 12
21	Dr. Hansen states, "A peer-reviewed study (Jiang
22	2022) documented levels of several PFAS in
23	produced water samples in the Permian Basin.
24	Additionally, this study underscores the need to
25	establish a comprehensive chemical

1	characterization of PW," which is produced water,
2	"to better understand environmental and human risk
3	as well as plan for effective treatment of the
4	PW," produced water, "and associated wastes."
5	I read that correctly?
6	A. You did.
7	Q. This Jiang article, Jiang 2022, is
8	that the same article that Dr. Hansen cited in her
9	rebuttal testimony?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. Are you familiar with this article by
12	the author Jiang?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. Have you reviewed this article by the
15	author Jiang?
16	A. I have.
17	Q. Is this 2022 Jiang article the same
18	article that Dr. Spear identified in his testimony
19	as Guardian Exhibit 88 and called the Dr. Passoo
20	(ph.) testimony?
21	A. It is.
22	Q. From here on out I'm going to call it
23	the Jiang article if that's okay.
24	A. That's how I know it so thank you.
25	Q. Dr. Richardson, did the authors of the
	Page 218

1	Jiang article set out to examine PFAS?
2	A. They did not.
3	Q. Do you recall what were the authors of
4	that article actually examining?
5	A. They were just looking at produced
6	water quality and looking at ways again using that
7	characterization information for a possible
8	beneficial reuse that was listed in the first part
9	of the paper.
LO	Q. Do you agree with Dr. Hansen's
L1	conclusion here that I have displayed that the
L2	Jiang article supports a finding that there is
L 3	PFAS in produced water?
L4	A. I don't agree.
L 5	Q. If you will give me just one moment,
L6	please.
L7	What I have pulled up here on the
L 8	screen is New Energy Economy Exhibit KH-4, which
L 9	is included in the rebuttal testimony of
20	Dr. Hansen, and is the Jiang article.
21	Is this the Jiang article that you
22	reviewed, Dr. Hansen excuse me, Dr. Richardson?
23	A. It is.
24	Q. Could you explain what it is that we
25	are looking at here in Table 4?

1	A. Sure. I do know that there has been a
2	lot of attention on this paper so I would be happy
3	to kind of walk through these results.
4	So what we are looking at on the
5	left-hand side is the list of PFAS going from PFBS
6	all the way down the list, and I would point out
7	that this is from one sample, right, so this is
8	from PW-NM-SWD, and I would also point out that
9	the sample is taken not from a wellhead or any
L 0	part of the actual well pad, it was taken from a
L1	saltwater disposal facility so the back end of the
L 2	saltwater disposal facility, the back end of the
L 3	back tank battery to be exact.
L 4	But, again, if it's a saltwater
L 5	disposal facility it is likely that there are
L 6	priess water going in there, so I do want to
L 7	but I want to point out that this is not a
L 8	wellhead sample. The second part to point out is
L 9	that they show two types of results. So the next
20	column over is PW representing the produced water
21	sample or in this case the saltwater disposal
22	sample, and then the Pecos River sample that was
23	taken as well.
24	The next column over is the method
25	detection limit and then the reporting limit. And
	Page 220
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	that's for the priess water sample, and then the
2	next column over is for the Pecos MDL and RL.
3	Before I kind of dive into the results
4	I think it is probably worth just explaining
5	method detection limit and reporting limit.
6	Method detection limit is really a calculated
7	value. It's the lowest statistically calculated
8	value that you can get. It's above noise. So I
9	think there is a lot of discussion about
10	chromatograms at this hearing. There is always
11	going to be this rumbling at the bottom of a
12	chromatogram, and that's the noise, and so the
13	method detection limit is going to be above that
14	noise, sort of separate itself.
15	But then there is also a reporting
16	limit, which is usually, it can be an order of
17	magnitude higher, it can be three standard
18	deviations. Essentially that is representing the
19	uncertainty between the bottom end of the
20	calibration range. So when we make a calibration
21	curve we would get in this case, let's say, a
22	standard for PFBS. We would make in this case
23	maybe it would be a 1 PBT standard, a 5 PBT
24	standard and so on. You would move up.
25	And so you generate your calibration

1	curve, that's your linear range, so anything
2	within that linear range would be a result with no
3	qualifier depending on whether there is there
4	may be a qualifier but it wouldn't be one of these
5	that we are listing here. Anything that is in
6	between the method detection limit and the
7	reporting limit, which again is that last data
8	point on the linear range, has a J flag, and I
9	will just go through this.
10	So the J flag is essentially an
11	estimated value. It's a value that we see, again,
12	time and time again in the environmental field.
13	When you are getting close to your detection limit
14	we have J flag values. These are values that we
15	throw away. We don't toss them. Right? We
16	simply say let's take them with a grain of salt.
17	They are outside the linear calibration range for
18	that method.
19	In this case they also have another
20	qualifier which is a B, and that refers to the
21	blank. So there is method blank that is run
22	through the instrumentation, and in this case if
23	there is a B it means that that compound was
24	detected in the blank. When you have a situation

where a compound is detected in the blank you do

25

throw that data out. That means that there is some interference. In this case if there had been a blank detected in the linear range, you actually would throw the whole data set out, but because this is in the uncertainty range a lot of the other data can stay.

The other part now -- so now that we

2.

2.5

The other part now -- so now that we have kind of talked about what all the components are we can kind of get into the results, and so I draw your attention to the first column. We see detections or results for PFBS, PFBA, PFHxS, NEtFOSE, and then PFTeA on the other side of the table. All of those results are J flag which means they are estimated so they are off the linear range, and two had blanks or that compound detected in the blank.

So the takehome here is that they are detecting estimated values for PFBS, NEtFOSE and then PFTeA at very, very low levels. I have to point out these are extremely low levels that we are dealing with in this paper. Mostly analytical labs that, commercial labs that we use the detection limits are not this low so this would be a university lab.

Q. So are there any results in this table

1	that reliably show PFAS in produced water?
2	A. I don't think so. I mean, at the end
3	of the day they don't. If you're dealing with
4	simply a bunch and again the other part we
5	didn't make is that there are nondetects for the
6	majority of the compounds so that means they are
7	below the method detection limit. So at the end
8	of the day, no, these are not reliable results
9	because the only results that are left behind are
10	these estimated, very small concentration results.
11	Q. Okay.
12	And then let me ask you this,
13	Dr. Richardson. Would it be scientifically
14	reasonable to rely on this data to demonstrate
15	that there is PFAS in produced water?
16	A. It would not.
17	Q. Would most experts in your field rely
18	on the statements in this table to conclude that
19	there are PFAS in produced water?
20	MS. NANASI: Objection to that
21	question. It calls for an answer he can't give.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you lay a
23	foundation for it?
24	MS. MULCAHY: I believe I have laid a
25	foundation for it. I have asked him about the
	Page 224

1	results. I have asked him to explain the results.
2	I asked him to explain what the results mean. I
3	have also asked him if there is a reasonable
4	scientific basis to rely on these results, and as
5	an expert in that field he can opine on whether
6	experts in his field would rely on these results.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, go ahead.
8	MS. NANASI: I would object again.
9	These call for a hearsay answer. There is no way
10	he can know what other experts think of this
11	study.
12	MS. MULCAHY: I'm not asking what
13	other experts think. I'm asking whether experts
14	in his field would rely on this, which is one of
15	the qualifications to have expert data in court,
16	and would be admissible in court.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: And how he would
18	know that. Go ahead.
19	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
20	THE WITNESS: Would you mind repeating
21	that one more time?
22	MS. MULCAHY: Sure, no problem.
23	BY MS. MULCAHY:
24	Q. Would experts in your field,
25	Dr. Richardson, rely on the statement in this
	Page 225
	Page 225

1	table to conclude that there are PFAS in produced
2	water?
3	A. They would not.
4	Q. Would you rely on these statements to
5	conclude, the statements in this Table 4 to
6	conclude that there is PFAS in produced water?
7	A. I would not.
8	Q. Thank you, Dr. Richardson. I am now
9	going to switch topics a little bit and I want to
10	talk about
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on one
12	second. Is this a good time for a break? It has
13	been an hour 45.
14	MS. MULCAHY: Sure, that is fine with
15	me.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's
17	break then until 3, and we will come back and
18	finish your questioning.
19	(Recess taken 2:43 p.m.)
20	(After recess 3:01 p.m.)
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's come back
22	from the break, please.
23	If you would resume your questioning
24	of Dr. Richardson for his rebuttal testimony,
25	Ms. Mulcahy.

1	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you, Madam Hearing
2	Officer.
3	BY MS. MULCAHY:
4	Q. Dr. Richardson, just before we broke I
5	had mentioned that I wanted to transition to
6	speaking with you about FracFocus disclosures and
7	the public access to those disclosures. There was
8	much testimony this week about the FracFocus
9	Chemical Registry. Dr. Richardson, did you hear
10	that testimony this week about FracFocus?
11	A. I did. I was here Wednesday onwards.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	Dr. Richardson, are you familiar with
14	the mandated FracFocus disclosures in New Mexico?
15	A. I am.
16	Q. Are the disclosures that operators
17	submit to FracFocus available excuse me, for
18	New Mexico. Are the disclosures that operators
19	submit to FracFocus available to the public?
20	A. They are.
21	Q. Do you know if there is a charge for
22	those disclosures to the public?
23	A. There is no charge.
24	Q. Okay.
25	Earlier this week Dr. Brown provided
	Page 227

1	rebuttal testimony on behalf of Guardians. In his
2	testimony Dr. Brown testified that, to the effect
3	that everything that goes down a well must come
4	back up a well, and that if something like a
5	chemical were to go down a well and be released
6	that it's going to come back up the well and also
7	be on the surface or be in the air.
8	Would you say, Dr. Richardson, is it
9	accurate to state that everything that goes down a
10	well comes back up a well?
11	A. That's not accurate.
12	Q. Why is it not accurate,
13	Dr. Richardson?
14	A. Well, when you are performing
15	hydraulic fracturing you are obviously putting an
16	immense amount of water down, and this is typical
17	frack and it can be anywhere from a million to 10
18	million gallons of water based on the, you know
19	the frack disclosures I was looking at for New
20	Mexico.
21	In my experience with fracking in
22	other states as well it depends on the geology, so
23	there are certain areas where if you frack water
24	will go down along with any additives, and in many
25	cases the same amount of water does not come back

1	up. And some of that water is retained and of
2	course matrix of the target zone, and often those
3	target zones, some are very wet and some can
4	actually be very dry, so you actually do get some
5	retention of water and potentially additives as
6	well in the subsurface.
7	Q. And so, again, talking about testimony
8	from Dr. Brown, he had testified to the effect
9	that one thing the general public might do with
10	fully disclosed chemical lists is conduct their
11	own baseline testing for private drinking water
12	wells. Are you familiar with the process of
13	testing residential or private drinking water
14	wells for chemicals?
15	A. I am.
16	Q. How about for PFAS?
17	A. I am.
18	Q. Could you discuss any could you
19	discuss your experiences with that?
20	A. Yes. I can just start with just the
21	general chemicals.
22	So sampling a residential or private
23	water well is actually pretty complicated. It's
24	not as simple as just filling up a jar and then
25	submitting to a lab. I did a fair amount of work

1	in Pennsylvania, just spent a lot of time in
2	people's basements, some very nice people, and
3	ended up sampling their residential water wells
4	for a study funded by the Department of Energy,
5	and we were looking at ways to sample for methane
6	in shallow aquifers. So what is the best way to
7	do that, and for residential water wells.
8	So just to make it clear, a
9	residential water well is not designed for being a
10	monitoring well, right, obviously. Its design is
11	to collect as much water as you can for that
12	resident. It's about quantity. So its screens
13	are going to be very large, pretty long. It's
14	going to be fairly wide. It is going to have a
15	big pump in the center, and it's going to be
16	attached to a whole host of equipment, pressure

So in terms of trying to get a representative sample, it can be fairly complicated. And, again, it's not like sampling from a monitoring well, which what we do is we have low flow-sampling equipment. We sample from a particular interval in that screen. The screens for a monitoring well are very short, very tight, maybe no more than ten feet so that you can

Some people will have softeners and so on.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tank.

actually get an environmental sample from the area that you are interested in.

And so just in terms of complicating

factors, one is where do you take a sample that is representative that has the least impact, so to speak, or influence. You are also sampling from a pump, the pump that is designed to pull as much water as it can up to the resident. So you're not doing any low flow sampling. You are creating some turbulence in that water which can affect results as well.

So those are a couple of complications. Now you bring PFAS into the mix, and we know that PFAS are in our homes, they are in our apparel, you know, our food, pans, that type of thing. It's endless, right, the number of consumer products that are there and so you worry about cross-contamination.

So that is again an issue that we deal with in the environmental field just sampling water wells. We have very strict procedures for sampling for PFAS. You have to have certain containers to collect that water sample. You have to collect that water sample in a certain way. You have to wear the appropriate material that is

1	not going to cause any cross-contamination.
2	So those are a few of the issues that
3	you run into, not only just sampling for PFAS but
4	sampling from a residential water well or a
5	private water well.
6	Q. Thank you.
7	Along those lines, earlier this week
8	Mr. Horwitt on behalf of Guardians suggested that
9	broader disclosures of chemicals, including PFAS,
10	should be required by the Commission so that the
11	public again can conduct its own sampling if it
12	wanted to including on PFAS. In your experience,
13	Dr. Richardson, would a member of the public know
14	how to conduct its own groundwater sampling for
15	PFAS?
16	A. I will say in general, no. Right?
17	There always can be an environmental engineer like
18	myself who potentially could do it, but by and
19	large, no.
20	Q. Would the general public know how to
21	do surface water sampling for PFAS?
22	A. Again, in general, no.
23	Q. When you say in general, no, why are
24	you qualifying with that?
25	A. I'm only qualifying just because I'm a
	Page 232

1	homeowner and I could sample my well, so that's
2	really the qualifying statement.
3	Q. Okay.
4	Mr. Horwitt in his rebuttal testimony
5	also stated that PFAS has useful properties.
6	That's why they have been used historically. Do
7	you agree with that statement?
8	A. PFAS do have useful properties, yes.
9	Q. What might some of those useful
L 0	properties be?
L1	A. Well, we discussed them, you know, a
L 2	little bit at the hearing. I mean, again, they
L 3	are thermally stable compounds. They have some
L 4	surfactant properties, depending on the particular
L 5	PFAS you are interested in, so those are domain
L 6	properties that really have driven the use of PFAS
L 7	in whether it be industry or whether it be our
L 8	commercial applications and so on. So again,
L 9	thermal stability would be one, and then
20	surfactant properties as well.
21	Q. Dr. Richardson, you heard
22	Dr. Anderson's testimony earlier today, correct?
23	A. I did.
24	Q. And we were talking about the
25	different PFAS out there, and she said it was her

1	opinion that not all PFAS are toxic. Would you
2	agree with that opinion?
3	A. I would agree.
4	Q. Why might have these certain PFAS that
5	you mentioned have been previously used in
6	hydraulic fracturing?
7	A. So the two that I mentioned from the
8	FracFocus database, and again I'm caveating I'm
9	not the manufacturer of these chemicals, but again
10	based on the information we have got on FracFocus
11	they are typically used for friction reduction.
12	And so what I do know from hydraulic fracturing is
13	that you are dealing with high pressures and you
14	are looking to create reduced friction when you
15	are basically putting fluids in the subsurface.
16	By reducing friction you are increasing or
17	reducing the strain on pumps and you can also
18	increase basically recovery, so there are some
19	efficiencies there by adding these types of
20	additives as friction reducers.
21	Q. Dr. Richardson, did you review the
22	Position for Social Responsibility report for New
23	Mexico that Mr. Horwitt co-authored?
24	A. I did.
25	Q. And what was your what did your
	Page 234

1	review in your review what did you find in that
2	report?
3	A. They had various again, we didn't
4	have exact results. I put my results in my direct
5	testimony, but with respect to PTFE and pFEG we
6	did see very similar results, and in fact we tried
7	very hard to match what PSR had done in their
8	report. They were very good about putting out how
9	they laid out and how they did the data analysis.
10	Q. And you said that you largely agreed
11	with those results. Could you explain what those
12	results were?
13	A. Again, it would be helpful to have my
14	direct testimony out, but again in terms of I
15	think it was around 9,000 records we looked at
16	between the time frame of 2013 to 2022, which we
17	tried to match again with the well, with the
18	PSR report, and it ended up somewhere in the
19	neighborhood of about 2 percent of those records
20	had PTFE reported, and then the other take on it
21	that came from the PTFE side was that it was not
22	reported in FracFocus after 2020, if I'm not
23	mistaken.
24	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer, I
25	don't believe this is rebuttal. This is just what
	Page 235

1	is in Dr. Richardson's direct testimony, and so I
2	have let it go for a little while and I just think
3	that we are running out of time. It's 3:15, and I
4	just, out of respect for all of us I would like to
5	ask that you would instruct NMOGA's counsel to not
	-
6	repeat his Dr. Richardson's direct.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
8	Ms. Nanasi.
9	So yes, absolutely Ms. Mulcahy. It is
LO	3:15. We do have to stop at 4:30. We already
L1	have a dozen people signed up for public comment
L2	and the Commissioners are just not available after
L 3	5 today. We are going to have to shoot into the
L4	future for another hearing day if we don't finish.
L 5	So if you could draw that line, that would be
L6	great.
L7	MS. MULCAHY: Sure. Absolutely no
L 8	problem.
L9	BY MS. MULCAHY:
20	Q. Mr. Horwitt and Dr. Brown were, in
21	their testimony this week, were unable to provide
22	any examples of direct evidence in New Mexico that
23	PFAS associated with oil and gas operations
24	resulted in environmental contamination. Are you
25	aware of any such evidence?

1	A. I am not.
2	Q. Did you review Dr. Spear's testimony?
3	A. I did.
4	Q. I am going to share this. I have
5	pulled up Dr. Spear's testimony. What I have
6	pulled up here on the screen is Dr. Spear's
7	testimony, which is Guardians Exhibit 79. Page 3,
8	Line 19 he says, "Because PFOS and PFOA come in so
9	many different chemical forms."
10	Do you agree with Dr. Spear that PFOS
11	and PFOA come in many different forms?
12	MR. DAVIS: I would have to object to
13	that. I know we had a chemistry lesson this
14	morning, but I have not objected yet to
15	nonchemists testifying on chemistry, so I'm going
16	to finally object.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
18	Ms. Mulcahy?
19	MS. MULCAHY: I would say a few things
20	in response to that. Dr. Richardson is not a
21	chemist but he is an expert in the field of
22	environmental engineering. He has already
23	testified that he regularly works with PFAS
24	remediation, and in order to remediate PFAS you
25	have to understand the chemistry of it.

n
ıat
ıat
l
or
)
)
))A ,

1	a P-F-O-A is one molecule and PFOS is another
2	molecule.
3	Q. Are you aware if PFOS has ever been
4	used in hydraulic fracturing operations?
5	A. I'm not aware of that.
6	Q. Are you aware if PFOA has ever been
7	used in hydraulic fracturing operations?
8	A. I am not aware.
9	MR. RAZATOS: Ms. Mulcahy, I'm just
10	going to interrupt.
11	Madam Hearing Officer, the pounding
12	that we hear is art that is being installed in the
13	building, so we apologize.
14	MS. MULCAHY: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	BY MS. MULCAHY:
17	Q. You just moments ago, and also
18	previously, talked about your experience in
19	environmental remediation related to PFAS in soil,
20	water and wastewater, and you testified that you
21	are aware that the Division has actually,
22	strike that. Strike that.
23	Okay. Earlier this week Dr. Brown
24	testified that there was no way to test for PFOA
25	and PFOS compounds in the environment; is that

1	correct?
2	MR. DAVIS: I'm going to object. I do
3	not recall that being Dr. Brown's testimony.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Mulcahy?
5	Q. If that was Dr. Brown's testimony, Dr.
6	Richardson, would that be correct?
7	A. We can test for PFOA and PFOS.
8	Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on to
9	Page 4 of Dr. Brown's excuse me, Dr. Spear's
10	testimony, excuse me, Lines 1 through 7 here where
11	Dr. Spear is talking about the subsurface, and he
12	calls it, quote, a whole of the unknown.
13	Do you agree with that statement,
14	Dr. Richardson?
15	A. I don't.
16	Q. Why don't you agree with it?
17	A. I certainly agree that there is a lot
18	we don't know at the subsurface, particularly at
19	10,000 feet. I agree with that. However, we do
20	know a lot as well. We know a lot about the type
21	of geology. We know a lot about the porous
22	matrix. We know about the wettability, and I know
23	that Dr. Spear's expertise is more in the
24	microbiology so I would leave that to him. He is
25	probably right, that there is not a lot known
	Page 240

1	about the bacteria that live down in that
2	environment, but in terms of the actual geology,
3	lithology, and all the layers as we go down from
4	surface to that type of depth we have a very good
5	handle on, we actually can pull samples out from
6	the subsurface and understand the geology, the
7	lithology, grain size, moisture content, a lot of
8	good important things.
9	Q. Is it important for environmental
10	remediation to understand those things?
11	A. Absolutely.
12	Q. I'm going to stay on Page 4 but just
13	scroll down to Line 8 through 19. In this portion
14	of his testimony here Dr. Spear says, "Subsurface
15	separation of aquifers is near impossible in the
16	oil and gas industry over longer time frames."
17	Do you agree with Dr. Spear?
18	A. I don't agree.
19	Q. Why don't you agree?
20	A. I think we have again, what I think
21	he is referring to is either natural or seismic
22	connections between fracks on the surface. I
23	don't know of any documentation of a fracture
24	reaching, extending from fracture tirezone to
25	michael aquifer, so if that is what he is
	Page 241
	rage 241

1	referring to I'm not aware of those types of
2	things. So in terms of the connection I don't see
3	that.
4	Q. In your experience have you ever seen
5	that?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Okay.
8	In this same portion of his testimony
9	Dr. Spear states, "We do not know what is really
10	happening in the deep subsurface with the metric
11	ton amounts of mass of PTSE or other trade-
12	secreted compounds being deposited by O&G
13	operations in New Mexico."
14	Do you agree with that statement?
15	A. Would you mind just scrolling it?
16	Q. Sorry. I'm sorry.
17	A. That's okay.
18	I disagree.
19	Q. Why do you disagree?
20	A. Same deal. Going back to we don't
21	know what is happening in the deep subsurface. We
22	have a very good handle of what is happening. We
23	know a fair amount about the properties of the
24	subsurface. We know the volumes that are coming
25	back up from hydraulic fracturing, so there is a
	Page 242

Τ	lot of information that we do if he is referring
2	to hydraulic fracturing itself.
3	Q. Staying on this page and then
4	scrolling on to the next page, Dr. Spear states,
5	"The O&G industry would also have you believe that
6	a mere surface aquifer for drinking water is not
7	impacted by deeper O&G operations and
8	contamination. The O&G industry is making a deep
9	subsurface minestrone of compounds that could
10	become more dilute and still dangerous minestrone
11	across a wider area when and if subsurface
12	connections happen, and they do happen."
13	Do you agree with this statement,
14	Dr. Richardson?
15	A. No. I mean, I will say that there are
16	instances of well integrity events so we do have
17	to put that out there. There is well casing
18	issues or typically cementing issues. They are
19	fairly rare, as we had Mr. Powell discuss, but in
20	terms of the overarching understanding of the
21	subsurface we have a pretty good handle on that.
22	Q. Dr. Richardson, what happens if a
23	chemical constituent becomes more dilute as
24	Dr. Spear mentions?
25	A. I mean, if something becomes more
	Page 243

1	dilute and, again, this is again in relation
2	with my colleague Dr. Anderson, but typically a
3	more dilute compound will be less toxic.
4	Q. Are well integrity events regular
5	events?
6	A. They are not.
7	Q. In your professional experience how
8	frequent are well integrity events?
9	A. I would defer to Mr. Powell's
10	testimony yesterday which I believe he said the
11	max was one a year. In some research that I have
12	I think it is even smaller, but I would defer to
13	Mr. Powell who is deputy director of OCD.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	I will scroll to Page 8, Line 22.
16	Dr. Spear states, "The fate and transport can
17	happen within a specific compartment of the earth
18	or mixed between compartments such as air and
19	water. Because there is a huge variety of kinds
20	of PFAS molecules, migration within/between
21	compartments is wide-ranging, dependent upon the
22	different physical and chemical characteristics
23	that affect a particular molecule's behavior and
24	thus ultimate fate."
25	Do you agree with that statement,
	Page 244

1	Dr. Richardson?
2	A. I mean, there are components. There
3	is a huge variety of kinds of PFAS, I would agree
4	with, and then different physical and chemical
5	characteristics that affect the molecule's
6	behavior and thus ultimate fate, so I mean that is
7	the majority of the sentence.
8	The migration between compartments is
9	wide-ranging. I'm not quite sure what he is
10	referring to, but if he is talking about the
11	mobility in the subsurface with respect to the
12	PFAS, the variety of different PFAS, then, yes,
13	the mobility would be very different between
14	compartments in the subsurface.
15	Q. From the environmental remediation
16	perspective why does mobility matter in this
17	context?
18	A. Mobility matters again because we can
19	figure out where, and Dr. Anderson mentioned this
20	as well, the exposure pathway and understanding
21	how a contaminant goes from A to B and so if a
22	compound is mobile then you would look at ways to
23	clean up and basically break that exposure

pathway. So you would look for technologies that

you could use to basically prevent that

24

25

1	contaminant moving from A to B or to that
2	receptor. And when I say a receptor I mean like a
3	homeowner or residential, not Dr. Anderson's
4	receptor which is within a human body.
5	Q. I'm going to scroll to Page 13 on
6	Dr. Spear's testimony, and I apologize, again, as
7	to making anybody in the room motion sick.
8	On Page 13 through 14, Line 20 on
9	Page 13 through Line 3 on Page 14, Dr. Spear says,
10	"There are hundreds to thousands of different PFAS
11	compounds and generally they," and then he goes on
12	to 14 to say, "Can move through soils and
13	contaminate water resources, bioaccumulate and
14	biomagnify across food webs."
15	Do you agree with Dr. Spear that
16	hundreds and thousands of PFAS move through soils
17	and contaminate water sources?
18	A. I do not.
19	Q. Why don't you agree with that?
20	A. Again, various sizes of PFAS
21	molecules. Again, they come in all shapes and
22	sizes and have different chemical features, and as
23	a result will behave very differently in the
24	subsurface. Some will actually sorb to organic
25	material in the subsurface and be retained, and
	Page 246

1	others will be more mobile, more water soluble.
2	MR. DAVIS: Madam Hearing Officer,
3	sorry to interrupt. I'm seeing the clock now, and
4	of course NMOGA should be able to make their case,
5	but I want to point out that we have limited time
6	and I do want to make sure that if we are going to
7	conclude today that WildEarth Guardians has an
8	opportunity to cross-examine this witness. We
9	prefiled our rebuttal to try to avoid any excess
10	time going through the testimony, and so, you
11	know, I point that out only to say that it's
12	you know, in the interest of fairness I just want
13	to make sure we have some time with this witness.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Will you
15	estimate the remainder of your questions?
16	MS. MULCAHY: I have two questions
17	left.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right,
19	terrific. Go ahead.
20	BY MS. MULCAHY:
21	Q. I'm looking here on Dr. Spear's
22	testimony, Page 14, Lines 12 through 14, where he
23	says, "PFAS compounds also likely sorb to
24	subsurface minerals and stay in the subsurface,
25	making them hard if not impossible to remove via

1	chemical and/or pump and treat schemes."
2	Do you agree with this statement,
3	Dr. Richardson?
4	A. I do not.
5	Q. Why not?
6	A. PFAS compounds do sorb, that part of
7	the statement is true, and can stay in the
8	subsurface. As I mentioned, certain compounds,
9	PFOS being one that is more likely to sorb to the
10	subsurface to organic material.
11	Q. When say PFOS, are you saying P-F-O-S?
12	A. P-F-O-S, thank you.
13	But saying making it hard, if not
14	possible, to remove by chemical or pump treating
15	systems is incorrect. Pumping treat systems can
16	remove compounds by changing the concentration
17	grading in the subsurface, and then there are
18	chemicals that can be added to remove PFAS or
19	strip PFAS from soil.
20	So in general pump and treat systems
21	can pull, you know, some compounds off of organic
22	material, and again as you change the
23	concentration gradient.
24	Q. Thank you, Dr. Richardson.
25	After listening to this week's

1	testimony and reading various different direct or
2	rebuttal testimonies is there anything that you
3	think would be beneficial for you to rebut here
4	that I have not asked you about?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Thank you, Dr. Richardson.
7	A. Thank you.
8	MS. MULCAHY: I yield the witness for
9	cross.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
11	Ms. Mulcahy.
12	Mr. Davis.
13	MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Madam Hearing
14	Officer.
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. DAVIS:
17	Q. Hello, Mr. Richardson.
18	Dr. Richardson. I'm sorry. My name is Tim Davis.
19	I represent the Petitioner, WildEarth Guardians.
20	You spoke at length about cleanup just
21	now on rebuttal. Do you agree that prior to
22	cleanup PFAS poses a threat to human health in the
23	environment?
24	A. Not all PFAS would cause a risk to
25	health in humans, what you said the health the
	Page 249
	rage 249

1	environment and human health. Excuse me, thank
2	you.
3	Q. Do you agree that for the six PFAS
4	compounds for which we have toxicological data,
5	the ones that have been regulated by the EPA in
6	the drinking water standards, that prior to
7	cleanup that those six PFAS in the environment
8	pose a threat to public health and the
9	environment?
10	A. I would say potentially. When you say
11	risk you end up talking about exposure pathways.
12	So there is a toxicity but there is also exposure.
13	But in the end they are regulated compounds and
14	from an environmental engineering standpoint my
15	goal is to treat regulated compounds.
16	Q. Is it fair to call them contaminants?
17	A. They are contaminants.
18	Q. Prior to cleanup do you agree that
19	they could contaminate the environment?
20	A. To varying degrees because they are
21	different compounds.
22	Q. Is that a yes?
23	A. Yes. It's a yes.
24	Q. Did you hear Dr. Brown suggest that
25	members of the public would put their own well
	Dago 250

1	water in a jar for the purposes of testing?
2	A. I did not hear that.
3	Q. Did Dr. Brown say how water well
4	testing should be conducted?
5	A. I don't recall him saying that either.
6	Q. Does the proposed rule prohibit
7	members of the public from hiring someone with
8	your qualifications to conduct water well testing?
9	A. It doesn't, and I would just say that
10	would be I would like for them to call me, as
11	an environmental engineer. I mean absolutely
12	would like them to call the right people.
13	Q. Do you believe that whether
14	intentionally added or not we should prevent the
15	injection of PFAS into the subsurface?
16	A. That's very broad. I mean, from an
17	environmental standpoint I am here again, as we
18	mentioned, that the whole point of this hearing is
19	to remove PFAS from the environment or ban PFAS,
20	and so based on whatever definition you are
21	picking, whatever party you are in this hearing,
22	that's what we are achieving. So as an
23	environmental engineer I'm trying to remove PFAS
24	from the environment, so yes.
25	Q. Do you agree that continued use of
	Page 251

1	PFAS in any industry would increase the likelihood
2	that that PFAS could contaminate the environment?
3	A. I can't speak for all industries, but
4	at the end of the day the majority of industries
5	are moving away from PFAS that were once using
6	them. I mean you can't even for the regulated
7	compounds you can't they are not even being
8	manufactured so in the order of trying to go ahead
9	and continue to do what you are doing, it's just
10	not going to work. So, you know, I see what you
11	are saying, Mr. Davis. You're asking can you
12	repeat that one more time to make sure I got it
13	right?
14	Q. Sure.
15	Do you believe that whether
16	intentionally added or not we should prevent the
17	injection of PFAS compounds into the subsurface?
18	A. I think in this respect I think
19	intentionally added doesn't matter for this
20	particular hearing, but if you talk about PFAS as
21	whole I mean my goal is to remove PFAS from the
22	environment.
23	Q. Would it be easier to just ban it in
24	the first place?
25	A. That is the goal of this hearing. We
	Page 252
	1496 252

1	currently are banning PFAS.
2	Q. If you ban it in the first place you
3	presumably wouldn't have to remove it from the
4	environment?
5	A. If you ban it, I will I mean I will
6	still be cleaning there is still going to be
7	residual PFAS to deal with. But yes, if you ban a
8	compound, it is no longer used, then you will not
9	see it it's use in the environment will be
L 0	less, that's correct.
L1	Q. Are you aware that the New Mexico Oil
L 2	Conservation Division does not verify its trade
L 3	secret claims?
L 4	A. I don't know anything about trade
L 5	secrets. I don't know anything about trade
L 6	secrets, Mr. Davis.
L 7	Q. Did you hear testimony to that effect
L 8	this week?
L 9	A. I did, but I can't say that I really
20	understand the trade secret laws in New Mexico.
21	Q. I want to look at your direct
22	testimony on Page 3, and I'm going to read part of
23	that testimony. You can tell me if it's accurate.
24	"Despite some limited historical use of PFAS in
25	hydraulic fracturing operations, the oil and gas
	Page 253

1	industry has since transitioned away from these
2	compounds in favor of other nonPFAS containing
3	chemistries as evident by the data provided in
4	FracFocus."
5	Is that accurate?
6	A. Based on my discussions with NMOGA
7	representatives, it is. And also again from the
8	data that we see in FracFocus that not being
9	disclosed beyond, PTFE for example, beyond 2020 we
10	don't see it in the database.
11	Q. NMOGA told you that the oil and gas
12	industry has transitioned away from PFAS use?
13	A. Individual, not NMOGA the
14	organization, individual organizations themselves
15	I have had side conversations with.
16	Q. And you are basing your testimony on
17	what someone from NMOGA told you?
18	MS. MULCAHY: I think I'm going to
19	object to that. He just clarified that he had
20	individual conversations with organizations and
21	not that he was basing it on.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: He can state
23	that then if that because it was not clear. It
24	was ambiguous.
25	Go ahead, Dr. Richardson.

1	A. Mr. Davis, would you like me to repeat
2	that again? I had individual conversations with
3	organizations.
4	Q. So my question was whether the
5	statement in your testimony that the oil and gas
6	industry has since transitioned away from these
7	compounds in favor of other nonPFAS containing
8	chemistries was based on a conversation that you
9	had with a representative from NMOGA.
10	A. It's not entirely. I said by data
11	provided in FracFocus.
12	Q. So you independently verified that
13	statement from your testimony by researching
14	FracFocus?
15	A. I looked at FracFocus and looked at
16	the absence of these compounds that were
17	previously disclosed.
18	Q. And based on the absence of disclosure
19	of these compounds you state that the oil and gas
20	industry has transitioned away from those
21	compounds?
22	A. It's a component of that argument,
23	yes.
24	Q. Can you guarantee that there are no
25	companies using PFAS-containing chemistries?
	Page 255

1	A. I cannot.
2	Q. Why can you not do that?
3	A. I don't have that information in front
4	of me.
5	Q. You don't have that information in
6	front of you or you don't have that information
7	available to you at FracFocus?
8	A. I mean, you could say that I don't
9	have that available in front excuse me, I don't
10	have that available in FracFocus or any other
11	documentation.
12	Q. And the reason that it's not available
13	in FracFocus is because there is no requirement
14	for full chemical disclosure in New Mexico?
15	A. Again, when you start moving into
16	trade secrets, I don't have a whole lot to comment
17	on that.
18	Q. So you have looked at FracFocus
19	disclosures?
20	A. I have looked at the FracFocus
21	disclosures.
22	Q. Are you familiar with the column in
23	FracFocus disclosures for the CAS numbers?
24	A. I am.
25	Q. Are you familiar that sometimes in
	Page 256

1	that column a chemical is listed as, quote,
2	proprietary?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Therefore, there is no CAS number for
5	some of the entries in FracFocus?
6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. So then it is impossible to know all
8	of the chemicals that are being used downhole in
9	New Mexico?
L 0	A. You would not know. Yes, that's
L1	correct. Those are trade secreted. You would not
L 2	know.
L 3	Q. So how do you know that oil and gas
L 4	companies have since transitioned away from using
L 5	PFAS compounds in New Mexico?
L 6	A. Again, based on FracFocus and based on
L 7	conversations with organizations, part of NMOGA.
L 8	Q. So you cannot guarantee
L 9	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. Asked and
20	answered. He already said no.
21	MR. DAVIS: I will leave it there.
22	Thank you, Dr. Richardson.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Davis.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
25	Mr. Davis.

1		Ms. Nanasi?
2		MS. NANASI: Thank you.
3		CROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. NANA	si:
5	Q.	Good afternoon, Dr. Richardson.
6	Α.	Good afternoon, Ms. Nanasi.
7	Q.	I wanted to pick up just where
8	Mr. Davis l	eft off.
9	Α.	Okay.
10	Q.	What are the organizations, who are
11	the organiz	ations that you spoke with?
12	Α.	I don't think I'm at liberty to say.
13	Q.	Why not?
14	Α.	These were all conversations that I
15	had as part	of discussions with, in NMOGA
16	meetings.	
17	Q.	With individual oil and gas companies?
18	Α.	Individuals from oil and gas
19	companies.	
20	Q.	And you are refusing to reveal who
21	those are?	
22	Α.	I don't think I'm at liberty to reveal
23	that.	
24		MS. MULCAHY: I am going to object on
25	the grounds	of attorney/client privilege.
		Da wa 250

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
2	Ms. Nanasi, I don't know of any
3	disclosure rule that would require the witness to
4	disclose the names of the representatives or the
5	NMOGA member identities from those meetings.
6	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer,
7	are you saying that I can't probe who he has
8	spoken with that led him to say that the oil and
9	gas industry has since transitioned away from
10	using PFAS compounds? We have no idea who was
11	there, and if there was anybody who was not a
12	lawyer present in that room then it's not
13	attorney/client so what is the basis for not being
14	able to probe that?
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, there is
16	no rule that requires him to disclose it. The
17	Commission can give whatever weight they would
18	like to his statement without further disclosure.
19	He has said, I believe, it was members of NMOGA or
20	in NMOGA meetings. That is as much information as
21	we have. Please move on.
22	BY MS. NANASI:
23	Q. Are you a vice president of GSI
24	Environmental?
25	A. I am.

1	Q. How long have you been with GSI
2	Environmental?
3	A. It has been about 13 years.
4	Q. As a vice president for GSI
5	Environmental you were responsible for the work
6	product of GSI Environmental; is that correct?
7	A. I am.
8	Q. How many vice presidents are there at
9	GSI Environmental?
10	A. I don't know that number off the top
11	of my head but it's greater than ten.
12	Q. Is John Connor the president of GSI
13	Environmental?
14	A. He is not.
15	Q. Were you at GSI Environmental when
16	John Connor was president?
17	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on, what
19	was the question?
20	MS. NANASI: Were you at GSI
21	Environmental when John Connor was the president
22	of GSI Environmental.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: And your
24	objection is?
25	MS. MULCAHY: I'm objecting on a few
	Dago 260

1	things. First of all, it is outside the scope of
2	either his direct or rebuttal testimonies. Second
3	of all, I don't see anything in Dr. Richardson's
4	CV, which is NMOGA Exhibit 1, that mentions
5	anything about this individual, that he worked
6	with him anywhere. I'm not sure what this line of
7	questioning is even relevant to.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are you heading
9	for impeachment or something?
10	MS. NANASI: This is a foundational
11	question to a document that I would like to show
12	him.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let's
14	just go a little ways then. Go ahead.
15	THE WITNESS: Please repeat the
16	question, Ms. Nanasi.
17	MS. NANASI: Thank you for saying the
18	name
19	THE WITNESS: Am I saying it right?
20	MS. NANASI: You did.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	BY MS. NANASI:
23	Q. Were you at GSI Environmental when
24	John Connor was the president of GSI
25	Environmental?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Yes?
3	A. When he was the president, yes.
4	Q. Okay.
5	GSI Environmental was hired by
6	California Central Valley Regional Water Quality
7	Control Board to study the potential health
8	effects of irrigating food crops with oil and gas
9	wastewater, correct?
10	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Where are you
12	going, Ms. Nanasi?
13	MS. NANASI: That is again another
14	foundational question. I want to know if he was a
15	part of the study. I want to show him an article
16	about that.
17	MS. MULCAHY: Madam Hearing Officer,
18	all of Dr. Richardson's publications are listed in
19	his CV. This is not one of them listed anywhere
20	in his CV. This is not even mentioned within
21	MS. NANASI: This is for impeachment.
22	MS. MULCAHY: direct or rebuttal,
23	so I fail to see what it could impeach about his
24	direct or rebuttal or anything in this exhibit.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, let's
	Page 262

1	see if he was part of this article.
2	MS. NANASI: Not this article. I
3	asked about a study.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: The study.
5	Thank you.
6	MS. NANASI: And if I could repeat the
7	
	question, it was GSI Environmental was hired by
8	California Central Valley Regional Water Quality
9	Control Board to study the potential health
10	effects of irrigating food crops with oil and gas
11	wastewater, correct? That's what I asked.
12	MS. MULCAHY: He does not represent
13	GSI Environmental. He is not here to answer
14	everything on behalf of anything GSI Environmental
15	has ever done.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: I understand. I
17	understand. Let's see if he was part of the
18	study.
19	A. Ms. Nanasi, was that your question?
20	Was I part of that study?
21	Q. No.
22	A. Sorry. Again, I apologize.
23	Q. GSI Environmental was hired by
24	California Central Valley Regional Water Quality
25	Control Board to study the potential health

1	effects of irrigating food crops with oil and gas
2	wastewater, correct?
3	A. I do not know that.
4	Q. Do you know that after GSI was
5	hired
6	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: He has closed
8	the door there, Ms. Nanasi. Please move on.
9	Q. Did GSI once list on their website
10	that Chevron, ExxonMobil and Occidental
11	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: I haven't heard
13	the rest of the question. Hold on.
14	Q. Did GSI once list on its website
15	Chevron, ExxonMobil and Occidental Petroleum as
16	clients, quote, we answer to?
17	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. The
19	objection is sustained.
20	Ms. Nanasi, I'm not sure I understand
21	exactly why exploring all these things that GSI
22	might have done or said or had on their web page
23	is an impeachment of Dr. Richardson, or even a
24	potential impeachment of Dr. Richardson.
25	MS. NANASI: Well, if I could answer
	Page 264

1	that, one of the issues here is who they answer
2	to. Is it to the truth or is it to the oil and
3	gas industry. Why is that not impeachment?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Because
5	Dr. Richardson is not here in this hearing to
6	answer for that company.
7	MS. NANASI: He said he was, though.
8	He said that he is a vice president of GSI
9	Environmental. He also said that he is
10	responsible as the vice president for the work
11	product of GSI Environmental.
12	MS. MULCAHY: He did not say that he
13	is responsible for the work product
14	MS. NANASI: I asked him that very
15	question and he said he was.
16	MS. MULCAHY: He did say that he works
17	for GSI Environmental. That is certainly true,
18	and all of his involvements are listed in NMOGA
19	Exhibit D1. If she wants to ask anything in that
20	exhibit, that is fair game.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
22	So Dr. Richardson, do you understand
23	the line we are trying to draw here? Certainly I
24	think testimony pertinent to this hearing and the
25	decision before this Commission on this rulemaking

1	petition by the Guardians, there may be something
2	pertinent in there. At the same time not
3	everything that GSI has done or might do or might
4	have as a client, for example, is going to be
5	pertinent. So if you can answer with an eye to
6	that line that we are trying to walk, I would like
7	to move on.
8	BY MS. NANASI:
9	Q. You are not a licensed professional
10	engineer in New Mexico, are you?
11	A. I am not.
12	Q. How much are you getting paid for your
13	testimony in this case?
14	A. Standard rate 350 an hour.
14 15	A. Standard rate 350 an hour. Q. You are aware that PFAS and the
15	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the
15 16	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated
15 16 17	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and
15 16 17	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and gas operations, correct?
15 16 17 18	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and gas operations, correct? A. No. That's not correct.
15 16 17 18 19	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and gas operations, correct? A. No. That's not correct. Q. Isn't it your testimony that you
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and gas operations, correct? A. No. That's not correct. Q. Isn't it your testimony that you searched FracFocus and found that PFAS compounds
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and gas operations, correct? A. No. That's not correct. Q. Isn't it your testimony that you searched FracFocus and found that PFAS compounds have been used in New Mexico?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You are aware that PFAS and the diverse class of thousands of fluorinated substances have been used in New Mexico in oil and gas operations, correct? A. No. That's not correct. Q. Isn't it your testimony that you searched FracFocus and found that PFAS compounds have been used in New Mexico? MS. MULCAHY: Objection. She is

1	Mexico, not thousands or many.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you
3	rephrase, please, Ms. Nanasi.
4	Q. Do you know that PFAS and their
5	diverse class of thousands of fluorinated
6	substances have been used in New Mexico oil and
7	gas operations?
8	A. I do not know that.
9	Q. Have you spoken with or interviewed or
10	reviewed documentation from any toxicologist,
11	chemist or engineer or any professional at EOG
12	Resources about the PFAS or the diverse class of
13	thousands of fluorinated substances EOG Resources
14	has used in hydraulic fracturing in the Permian
15	Basin?
16	A. I have not.
17	Q. Have you spoken with or interviewed or
18	reviewed documentation from any toxicologist,
19	chemist, or engineer or any other professional at
20	WPX
21	MS. MULCAHY: Okay. I'm going to
22	object to this line of questioning. This wasn't
23	in direct or rebuttal. I am not sure how it is
24	even relevant.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Where are you
	Page 267

1	going, Ms. Nanasi?
2	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer,
3	Dr. Richardson is testifying that he doesn't know
4	now that there has been any PFAS that has been
5	used in hydraulic fracturing so I'm testing his
6	knowledge. Who did he speak to to find out about
7	this?
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, instead of
9	walking through a list of potential companies
10	oh, I see, because when you asked who did he speak
11	to, that was the meeting, right, with NMOGA
12	members. Are you doing something else?
13	MS. NANASI: I'm just asking in
14	general.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
16	MS. NANASI: If he says that he
17	doesn't know that the PFAS now he is saying
18	that he doesn't know that PFAS has been used in
19	oil and gas in the Permian Basin, I'm asking did
20	you talk to the major players in oil and gas in
21	the Permian Basin.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's start with
23	that question.
24	MS. MULCAHY: I'm sorry, I have to
25	object here because he did not testify that none

1	has been used. In fact, his testimony was that in
2	his review of FracFocus he found that two had been
3	used.
4	MS. NANASI: That's not what his
5	testimony was. His testimony was he doesn't know.
6	That is what he just said.
7	MS. MULCAHY: He testified to PTSE
8	being used, and what I'm going to, I'm not going
9	to say the full name out loud but I will call it
10	pFEG. Right?
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: And so I think,
12	Ms. Nanasi, some of the confusion might be that
13	your question didn't exactly track his earlier
14	testimony about the two he found. You added
15	something about hundreds or thousands of other
16	PFAS substances, so I think that might be the
17	cause of the confusion here.
18	In any event, if we could just cut to
19	the chase. You can ask him if he talked with the
20	major players here in the state.
21	BY MS. NANASI:
22	Q. Have you spoken with anyone from
23	Chevron, ConocoPhillips, Simarex, Matador
24	Production Company, Marathon Oil, Mewbourne, Oxy
25	XTOWPX about their use of PFAS in hydraulic

1	fracturing in the Permian Basin?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Have you conducted any independent
4	analysis, review, documentation about the use of
5	PFAS in the Permian Basin in New Mexico or
6	anywhere in New Mexico?
7	A. No.
8	Q. In Colorado do operators report the
9	composition of the hydraulic fracturing fluid used
10	during hydraulic fracturing operations to
11	FracFocus, to state oil and gas regulators, or
12	both?
13	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: What is your
15	objection?
16	MS. MULCAHY: Foundation. Foundation,
17	but also it is outside the scope of both direct
18	and rebuttal, and there is nothing in any of his
19	testimonies about disclosures in Colorado.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
21	I think you do have to ask him how he
22	knows that or whether he knows that first.
23	BY MS. NANASI:
24	Q. Do you know if in Colorado operators
25	report the composition of the hydraulic fracturing
	Page 270

1	fluid used during hydraulic fracturing operations
2	to FracFocus, to state and oil and gas regulators,
3	or both?
4	A. I don't.
5	Q. And the same question for California.
6	Do you know if in California operators report the
7	composition of the hydraulic fracturing fluid used
8	during hydraulic fracturing operations to
9	FracFocus, to state and oil and gas regulators, or
L O	both?
L1	A. I do not.
L 2	Q. You don't know?
L 3	A. I don't know.
L 4	Q. Please tell the Commission how many
L 5	pounds of PFAS constitute 2.2 percent of hydraulic
L 6	fracturing fluids?
L 7	A. See where that is. Would you mind if
L 8	I took a look at my, if I have that in my written
L 9	testimony? Is that there?
20	Q. Well, it's on Page 3. You say that
21	the use of PFAS in hydraulic fracturing operations
22	in New Mexico is very limited, only 2.2 percent
23	and .38 percent of the over 9,000 FracFocus
24	records between 2013 and 2023 reference PTFE or
25	fPEG, and I'm asking you how many pounds of PFAS
	Page 271

1	constitutes 2.2 percent of hydraulic fracturing
2	fluids?
3	A. I believe my number was similar to
4	what came out of the Positions for Social
5	Responsibility report. I think that was I
6	think it was 2,000 pounds, if I'm not mistaken,
7	Ms. Nanasi. That's for PTFE.
8	Q. Is it true that according to EPA one
9	tablespoon of PFOA would be enough to contaminate
10	1.75 trillion gallons of water, which is more than
11	twice the total storage capacity of Elephant Butte
12	Reservoir at 720 billion gallons which forms New
13	Mexico's largest lake on the Rio Grande River in
14	the southwestern part of the state?
15	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. First of
16	all, it is a compound question. Second of all, if
17	Ms. Nanasi wants to break that up that might be an
18	okay question. I also object to the fact that I
19	don't believe that Dr. Richardson mentioned
20	anything about Elephant Butte irrigation district
21	or what she requested of him in his direct or
22	rebuttal so there is also no foundation for this.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I
24	think I don't know that it was a compound
25	question. She was asking whether a tablespoon

1	would contaminate something the size of Elephant
2	Butte, I think. That was, I thought that was the
3	question.
4	MS. MULCAHY: I think there is a
5	foundational issue, too, that Dr. Richardson
6	didn't testify anything about Elephant Butte. I
7	don't know if he knows anything about Elephant
8	Butte, what it is or how big it is.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think she
10	referred to someone else's testimony.
11	If you would repeat the question,
12	Ms. Nanasi.
13	And Dr. Richardson, if you don't know
14	the answer you can say so.
15	BY MS. NANASI:
16	Q. Is it true according to EPA that one
17	tablespoon of PFOA would be enough to contaminate
18	1.75 trillion gallons of water?
19	A. I don't know what reference you are
20	referring to. I have not heard that.
21	Q. Two thousand pounds of PFAS that you
22	said you believe is the equivalent of 2.2 percent
23	of hydraulic fracturing fluids that have been
24	released into New Mexico, correct?
25	A. That is over the time period of 2013
	Page 273

1	to 2022, and also from the respect of in my
2	experience, my focus on concentration, so, yes, we
3	are talking about 2,000 pounds. We are also
4	talking about, you know, billions and billions of
5	gallons of water that were used, so if you look at
6	a concentration side it's a lot smaller. But if
7	the question is how much was used over that time
8	period, according to FracFocus what was
9	disclosed if that is what it is 2,000
10	pounds, I believe, that's what it was.
11	Q. Has fPEG been voluntarily phased out
12	of commerce?
13	A. I'm not one hundred percent sure about
14	that. I know that there is a Zonyl. I believe
15	its trade name has been voluntarily removed from
16	commerce.
17	Q. And was it removed from commerce
18	because it was too toxic?
19	A. I don't know the reason why.
20	Q. Has PTFE been voluntarily phased out
21	of commerce?
22	A. Not that I'm aware of.
23	Q. On Page 7 of your testimony you said
24	that you are basically pushing back against the
25	implication that PFAS are currently not being used

1	in hydraulic fracturing operations in New Mexico;
2	is that right?
3	A. Point me to where it says that. I'm
4	sorry, Ms. Nanasi. Is that toward the bottom of
5	the
6	Q. Yes, I believe the third line of the
7	last bullet. It says PFAS are currently you
8	are talking about the PSR report and you are
9	saying it's misleading because it implies that
10	PFAS are currently being used in hydraulic
11	fracturing operations, right?
12	A. I'm still trying to find it. My
13	apologies. You said Page 7?
14	Q. Yes, the last bullet.
15	A. Last bullet. Oh, okay.
16	Yes. So, again, can you sorry,
17	Ms. Nanasi, can you repeat it one more time?
18	Q. So I'm just trying to first reference
19	your testimony. So here you are pushing back
20	against the implication that PFAS are not
21	currently being used in hydraulic fracturing
22	operations in New Mexico, correct?
23	A. I'm saying that it implies that PFAS
24	are currently being used in hydraulic fracturing
25	operations in New Mexico. According to FracFocus.

	That is not what I was able to see.
2	Q. Okay, so that statement is based on
3	what you reviewed in FracFocus; is that correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Okay, and you have already admitted
6	that there are certain proprietary chemicals that
7	are not revealed in FracFocus and could be PFAS,
8	right, or other surfactants?
9	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. I think that
10	mischaracterizes what he said about the FracFocus
11	disclosures.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Dr. Richardson,
13	if it wasn't stated correctly, your testimony
14	wasn't stated correctly, please correct it.
15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm having
16	trouble following here what
17	Please, can you repeat one more time,
18	Ms. Nanasi?
19	BY MS. NANASI:
20	Q. The basis for your statement about
21	that it's not currently being used, PFAS is not
22	currently being used is solely based on your
23	review of FracFocus, right?
24	A. It is based on my review of FracFocus,
25	correct.

1	Q. And you have already admitted that
2	some of the some of the substances have been
3	listed as proprietary, right?
4	A. Some of the substances are
5	proprietary, correct.
6	Q. And those substances could be PFAS or
7	other surfactants, correct?
8	A. It is possible that they could be
9	PFAS.
10	Q. If PFAS are not being used by the oil
11	and gas industry, why is NMOGA opposing a
12	comprehensive PFAS ban?
13	MS. MULCAHY: Objection. That
14	completely misstates NMOGA's position here. NMOGA
15	is not comprehensively against a PFAS ban.
16	MS. NANASI: That wasn't my question
17	and that's not what I stated. I said why is NMOGA
18	opposing a comprehensive PFAS ban, is what I said.
19	MS. MULCAHY: Right, and I object to
20	that characterization. NMOGA has agreed that PFAS
21	should be banned. It's merely a difference in
22	definition, which Dr. Anderson explained those
23	minute differences, so it's a mischaracterization
24	of what is happening here.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: So I think
	Page 277

1	Ms. Nanasi is using the word comprehensive to
2	refer to the broader definition that is in the
3	petition.
4	Is that correct, Ms. Nanasi?
5	MS. NANASI: It is.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right,
7	Dr. Richardson, do you understand the question?
8	MR. RUBIN: Hold on one second,
9	please. We lost our quorum.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: We don't need a
11	quorum during a hearing I didn't think.
12	MR. RUBIN: This is part of an open
13	I do have a concern without a quorum here. This
14	was noticed as an open meeting.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: But they
16	appointed me to do the hearing and they all have
17	committed to reviewing whatever part of the
18	transcript
19	MR. RUBIN: That is true.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: they miss.
21	MR. RUBIN: He's back.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: He is back
23	anyway.
24	MR. RUBIN: Okay.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, I'm
	Page 278

1	sorry.
2	Dr. Richardson, did you follow
3	Ms. Nanasi's question about resisting a
4	comprehensive ban by which we understand to mean
5	PFAS as defined more broadly in the petition than
6	by NMOGA?
7	THE WITNESS: I would appreciate it if
8	she repeated it just one more time.
9	BY MS. NANASI:
10	Q. If PFAS are not being used in New
11	Mexico why is NMOGA opposing a comprehensive PFAS
12	ban?
13	A. It is my understanding that this is a
14	comprehensive ban based on the definition that is
15	proposed.
16	Q. Is it NMOGA's position that trade
17	secrets should trump public safety, public health
18	and the environment?
19	MS. MULCAHY: Objection.
20	Dr. Richardson has stated multiple times that he
21	is not here to testify about trade secrets.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: That is correct.
23	Ms. Nanasi, would you move on?
24	Q. NMOGA doesn't dispute the OCD
25	information contained in Guardians Exhibit
	Page 279

1	Number 92 which is the Oil Conservation Division
2	incident database, does it?
3	A. I don't know anything about that.
4	Q. Did you review Melissa Troutman's
5	testimony in this case?
6	A. I did not.
7	Q. If OCD's rule is adopted is it your
8	understanding that operators would not be required
9	to disclose all the chemical constituents used
10	during downhole operations to FracFocus or to
11	state to oil and gas regulators or to state oil
12	and gas regulators except in the circumstance
13	where there is what has been referred to here as a
14	well integrity issue and the OCD determines to use
15	its enforcement power and require full chemical
16	disclosure?
17	MS. MULCAHY: Object on the compound
18	question.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm not sure it
20	was a compound question.
21	Would you restate it, please?
22	Q. If OCD's rule is adopted is it your
23	understanding that operators would not be required
24	to disclose all the chemical constituents used
25	during downhole operations to FracFocus or to New
	Page 280

1	Mexico oil and gas regulators except in the
2	circumstance where there is what has been referred
3	to here as a well integrity issue and the OCD
4	determines to use its enforcement power and
5	require full chemical disclosure?
6	A. Ms. Nanasi, I'm going to respond with
7	what my understanding is, that it's full
8	disclosure to the OCD in the event of a well
9	integrity event.
10	Q. And that's only in the circumstance
11	that OCD determines to use its enforcement power,
12	correct?
13	A. I can't speak to that.
14	Q. Okay, so you don't know; is that your
15	testimony?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Okay.
18	But under no circumstance if OCD's
19	rule is adopted will OCD be able to require full
20	chemical disclosure for the thousands of oil and
21	gas fluid related spill incidents or oil and gas
22	produced water spill incidents, would they?
23	A. I can't, I don't know about spills
24	and again, could you repeat one more time? I
25	want to be sure I understand you correctly,

1	Ms. Nanasi.
2	MS. NANASI: Yes.
3	MS. MULCAHY: I object. I have to
4	object here.
5	Ms. Nanasi is asking Dr. Richardson
6	about OCD's position which is inappropriate.
7	Ms. Nanasi asked questions about OCD's position.
8	The best person to ask is OCD. And additionally,
9	Dr. Richardson has nothing in any of his testimony
10	about the OCD's position.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
12	So Ms. Nanasi, he did not speak about
13	OCD's alternative rule amendments and requiring
14	him at this point to have an opinion about them
15	I'm not going to go there.
16	Would you estimate the remainder of
17	your cross?
18	MS. NANASI: I don't have that many
19	more questions, but I do want to ask him not about
20	OCD's position, I'm asking about the OCD rule. He
21	has in detail talked about the definitions, I mean
22	from of the different of the rules and
23	explained why, and so I think I can ask him well,
24	would it cover, would OCD's rule cover chemical,
25	full chemical disclosure in the instance of

1	thousands of oil and gas related spills.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
3	MS. MULCAHY: He didn't testify at all
4	about spills. This is not a rulemaking about
5	spills. In addition to what he did testify to
6	regarding OCD, it was about how the OCD definition
7	specifically of PFAS aligns with or is just
8	slightly expanded on NMOGA's definition. He
9	didn't talk about every other aspect of the OCD
10	rule and what that might imply. It is just
11	completely out of the scope.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
13	If you would, Ms. Nanasi, focus on the
14	definition.
15	BY MS. NANASI:
16	Q. Did you talk about the OCD well
17	integrity event and what would happen under this
18	rule?
19	MS. MULCAHY: He did not talk about
20	what would happen under a well integrity rule. He
21	specifically testified about in the event of a
22	well integrity event. He mentioned nothing else
23	about well integrity events other than that.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's have him
25	say that. It might shortcut this a little if we
	Page 283

1	just allow him to answer.
2	Q. Your understanding of the OCD rule, if
3	it were adopted OCD would not be able to require a
4	full chemical disclosure in the circumstance of a
5	oil and gas related spill incident, would it?
6	A. I do not know that.
7	Q. Have you ever provided expert
8	testimony for a public health organization or a
9	nonprofit environmental organization?
L O	A. I have not.
L1	MS. NANASI: No further questions.
L 2	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
L 3	you.
L 4	Mr. Tremaine, do you have questions of
L 5	Dr. Richardson?
L 6	MR. TREMAINE: I have just a couple of
L 7	questions.
L 8	CROSS EXAMINATION
L 9	BY MR. TREMAINE:
20	Q. I want to jump back to Table 4, not
21	actually to it, just reference it. Do you recall
22	the content of Table 4 generally?
23	A. Is that the Jiang paper that you are
24	referring to?
25	Q. Yes.
	D = 004
	Page 284

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And you talked in some detail about
3	the testing and the noise and the testing levels.
4	I just want to ask you, the compounds listed in
5	Table 4, if someone were to test for PFAS using
6	any of these standardized methods that we have
7	discussed that are included in OCD's proposed
8	definition, would it detect the compounds in this
9	table?
10	A. The answer is most. I will say that
11	the F53B major and F53B minor, I'm not sure what
12	those are, but all the rest would be detected.
13	Q. Thank you, Dr. Richardson.
14	Quick question about your review of
15	FracFocus. Are you able to identify PFOS or
16	potential PFOS if you apply either NMOGA's
17	proposed definition or OCD's proposed definition
18	based on the content currently available to the
19	public on the FracFocus website?
20	A. You can search for individual
21	compounds, yes.
22	Q. Okay. So if you if you see a
23	chemical listed as a proprietary chemical on the
24	FracFocus website are you able to make an informed
25	determination as to whether that may be a PFOS

1	compound?
2	A. Not necessarily the trade secret.
3	What you can do is you know the use. I think the
4	use is provided.
5	Q. Thank you.
6	I want to ask you just a couple
7	clarifying questions because you spoke with
8	Ms. Mulcahy about hydraulic fracturing generally.
9	Dr. Richardson, are you generally familiar with
10	the hydraulic fracturing process?
11	A. I am.
12	Q. Okay. And do you know when an
13	operator, a well operator fracks a well, first
14	time, do you know who does it?
15	A. I don't.
16	Q. Okay.
17	We have talked about chemical
18	additives throughout the course of the hearing.
19	If an operator or a contractor of an operator were
20	to frack a well using a chemical additive, do you
21	have any understanding or knowledge of how that
22	operator would have obtained the chemical
23	additive?
24	A. The additive typically comes from the
25	manufacturer, as far as I understand it.

1	Q. Okay.
2	MR. TREMAINE: No further questions.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
4	Mr. Tremaine.
5	EOG doesn't have questions.
6	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
7	Dr. Richardson?
8	MR. MAXWELL: I do not have questions.
9	Thank you.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
11	Any redirect before I go to the
12	Commission?
13	MS. MULCAHY: I just have two quick
14	ones. I will be quick.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. MULCAHY:
17	Q. Dr. Richardson, you just stated to
18	Mr. Tremaine that based on the Use column, so we
19	are talking about FracFocus, based on the Use
20	column in FracFocus you could determine if the
21	proprietary chemical is PFAS or not?
22	A. No, that is not correct.
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. You know that you can determine
25	what the use of the additive was, so whether it
	Page 287

1	was a friction reducer or surfactant or some other
2	term.
3	Q. Okay, and just because a chemical is
4	proprietary in FracFocus, does that mean it's a
5	PFAS?
6	A. No.
7	Q. And lastly, does NMOGA support a ban
8	on PFAS in oil and gas operations?
9	A. They do.
10	Q. Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thank you,
12	Ms. Mulcahy.
13	Commissioner Bloom, do you have
14	questions of Dr. Richardson?
15	MR. BLOOM: Dr. Richardson, thank you
16	for your time today. No questions.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioner
19	Ampomah? Oh. So it's 4:17. If you will ask your
20	questions that would be great. If we have a few
21	minutes we will take just a very short break
22	before public comments, because public comments
23	are at 4:30, but we can push it a little bit if
24	you have a lot of questions. Go ahead.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, thank you.

1	Okay. Thank you, Dr. Richardson, for
2	your testimony. And I do appreciate you talking
3	about the treatment options for PFAS. I really
4	appreciated that.
5	Now, I want to know what is the
6	current risk in determining the source of PFAS in
7	an underground source of drinking water.
8	THE WITNESS: Would you mind repeating
9	that again, Commissioner? My apologies.
LO	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. What is the
L1	current research status, yes, in determining the
L2	source of PFAS in, lets say, shallow or surface
L3	water?
L 4	THE WITNESS: That's a good question
L 5	and very difficult to determine. So the way that
L6	it can be done is you can look at signatures so
L7	ratios of different PFAS. So, for example, let's
L 8	just say an AFFF source, for example, whether it
L9	be a particular product, will have a certain PFAS
20	character, right, PFAS signature, and so you can
21	look for that in the environment and perhaps it
22	gives you a little bit more information about the
23	source. So it's looking at the ratios. All those
24	compounds that we looked at in Table 4, you would
25	be looking at certain ratios of those compounds in

1	the environment so that you can kind of tie that
2	back. Again, it's not definitive but it certainly
3	gives you clues.
4	The other would be looking at
5	variation in concentrations or variations in types
6	of PFAS so you see a lot of shorter chain versus
7	longer chain, or the ratio of longer chain to
8	shorter chain can give you clues on the types of
9	PFAS sources there might be because there can be
10	variations in PFAS signatures, whether it be a
11	consumer product source or whether it be a AFFF.
12	DR. AMPOMAH: So then as research was
13	there is still a possibility to more or less be
14	able to know the source of PFAS and more or less
15	negating the obligation with regards to
16	intentional PFAS?
17	THE WITNESS: Well, I would like to
18	say we are moving in that direction but I would
19	like to kind of bring our, temper our expectations
20	a bit because of the number of sources and because
21	the concentrations are generally so low in the
22	environment it makes it very difficult then to
23	pinpoint a source.
24	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
25	So in your direct testimony you talk
	Page 290

1	about oil and gas companies have used PFAS as a
2	friction reducer. Now, my question is are there
3	no better substitutes for that?
4	THE WITNESS: Well, they use, the
5	common compounds that are used is polyacrylamide
6	is what is typically used. It's a different
7	compound all together, it's not a PFAS. I don't
8	know the reason that that particular PTFE and pFEG
9	were used, but based on the description in
10	FracFocus, that's where that information came
11	from, was that it was a friction reducer.
12	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
13	So you testified as to ban the use of
14	PFAS. What impact, in your opinion, is going to
15	be on NMOGA?
16	THE WITNESS: I can't speak for NMOGA
17	but I mean they are in favor of this ban. You
18	know, again based on our definition, which is very
19	similar to OCD's, and as we talked before, all
20	groups, the definitions are actually very similar.
21	I don't know the impact on NMOGA, but I don't
22	think it would have any impact at all, to be
23	honest. But I can't speak fully to that,
24	Commissioner.
25	DR. AMPOMAH: Now, with regards to the
	Page 291

1	additive issues and then also the intentionally
2	added, what about if, let's say, NMOGA or the oil
3	and gas industry is to know more about the
4	composition of the source of water that they are
5	using for the downhole operations, would that be a
6	big ask?
7	THE WITNESS: I can't speak to
8	again, you would have to there would be some
9	sampling involved, a fair amount of sampling to
10	get representative numbers. You know, PFAS
11	analyses are typically I think 300 to 400 bucks a
12	sample typically for us so it's not a huge ask.
13	But in terms of getting the data density to get a
14	good understanding of your source water would
15	require a fair amount of sampling.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: So based on your
17	analysis of FracFocus do you believe that it is
18	enough, you know, with regards to disclosures?
19	THE WITNESS: I can't again, I'm
20	not a trade secret expert on that, Commissioner,
21	so in terms of are you suggesting what is my
22	opinion on whether it should be full disclosure or
23	not?
24	DR. AMPOMAH: No. So right now what
25	is actually disclosed, you know, from your, based
	Page 292

1	on your expertise and your review of that, is it
2	accurate or is it sufficient? Let me put it that
3	way.
4	THE WITNESS: I mean, there are a fair
5	amount of ingredients that are provided in
6	disclosure, so if you looked at one of the
7	exhibits there is a fair amount of I don't have
8	the disclosure in my exhibits, but I believe some
9	of the other experts did. So a lot of ingredients
10	are provided in FracFocus. Of course, there are
11	trade secrets as well.
12	DR. AMPOMAH: So you make mention of
13	some PFAS that might have been used in oil and gas
14	operations that more or less you got to know about
15	that from FracFocus.
16	THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the
17	single chain, single fully fluorinated, or are
18	DR. AMPOMAH: The PTFE.
19	THE WITNESS: Sorry. The actual
20	disclosure was how we were able to identify that.
21	DR. AMPOMAH: So specifically on
22	Page 3, Item Number 7 of your direct testimony.
23	Now I want to ask, were these chemicals that you
24	found in FracFocus, were they marked confidential
25	or it was just directly there, that you can see.

1	THE WITNESS: It was directly there.
2	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
3	I think we have talked about this but
4	I just want to be sure, that do you have any
5	evidence or any knowledge that the realization of
6	the 2.2 percent or let's say the 0.38 percent of
7	the over 9,000 FracFocus records contributed to
8	any UAEW contamination?
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that.
10	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
11	Now, you work a lot with oil and gas
12	companies. Let me ask this. Is there any recent
13	data going on that you are aware of within NMOGA
14	or, let's say, the oil and gas industry to fully
15	understand the chemicals that they are actually
16	utilizing in operations, in downhole operations?
17	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that.
18	DR. AMPOMAH: If not then why should
19	the Commission not take extreme action to ban more
20	or less to protect the public?
21	THE WITNESS: I believe that no matter
22	what definition you choose, Commissioner, that you
23	are protecting the public. This is a huge list of
24	PFAS that are in those again, and just to make
25	clear, we are excluding the single fully
	Page 294

1	fluorinated PFAS because those are not consistent
2	with the EPA TSCA definitions as well as those
3	would not be the types of compounds that you would
4	expect to see in hydraulic fracturing.
5	DR. AMPOMAH: Are you familiar with
6	the oil and gas industry voluntarily suspending
7	utilizing any chemical?
8	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that.
9	DR. AMPOMAH: So then I will follow up
10	and say that based on your knowledge, is it that
11	the oil and gas industry more or less widely
12	depends on the government to act before phasing
13	out any toxic chemical?
14	THE WITNESS: I can't speak to that
15	either, Commissioner.
16	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay.
17	So based on your testimony I thought
18	we were going to talk about, I will be asked by
19	NMOGA to address Amendments 15 19.15.2, 19.15.7
20	it goes on and on so I just want to be clear on
21	that.
22	THE WITNESS: My involvement was to
23	help with the terminology on those sections. So I
24	did not write those sections, I helped with the
25	terminology. I made that clear in my direct

1	testimony, these were the certain sections that I
2	recommended changes that were made.
3	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay, let's talk about a
4	definition. So based on the hearing as of now all
5	OCD is considering excluding is OTM45 and OTM50
6	from the methods.
7	THE WITNESS: Correct.
8	DR. AMPOMAH: Do you have any comment
9	on that?
10	THE WITNESS: That is the correct
11	thing to do, that's right.
12	DR. AMPOMAH: Why is that?
13	THE WITNESS: So the focus here is on
14	water.
15	DR. AMPOMAH: Okay. I'm going to
16	pause here. Thank you.
17	MR. RUBIN: Madam Hearing Officer?
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
19	MR. RUBIN: If I may, I don't mean to
20	get out of my lane as counsel. I did discuss this
21	with the Chair before he left, but there was one
22	question that he thought would be pertinent to ask
23	if I may on his behalf.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Actually I
25	just checked with Ms. Apodaca via text and she
	Page 296
	rage 270

1	said the Chair is on the platform. She is about
2	to unmute him so we will let him ask the question.
3	Mr. Chair. Mr. Chair.
4	MR. RAZATOS: Madam Hearing Officer,
5	my apologies.
6	Mr. Rubin was asking and we were
7	talking about aquifers and we were talking a lot
8	about shallow aquifers, but we were wondering, at
9	one point there was conversation about the
10	formation. We know what is going on downhole as
11	far as I believe the quote was a black hole or
12	something to that extent. I apologize. I don't
13	have my notes in front of me. So there was a lot
14	of focus on shallower aquifers.
15	Does the doctor have any concerns
16	about or any comments he would like to tell us
17	about what is happening with deeper fresh water
18	aquifers?
19	MR. RUBIN: If I could add, I believe
20	the testimony of Dr. Spear just said aquifers, and
21	in Dr. Richardson's rebuttal he said he disagreed
22	with that, and he said shallow aquifers. I wanted
23	to be sure it was not a slight of hand and that
24	you meant to disagree, not just shallow but let's
25	say artesian aquifers.

1	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm not disagreeing
2	with aquifers. From an environmental standpoint,
3	again I can only speak from being an environmental
4	engineer, is that we focus mainly on the shallow
5	drinking water aquifers, and again that would
6	include in my mind deeper ones, anything that is
7	being used as a water source, a drinking water
8	source.
9	MR. RUBIN: So you're saying it's the
10	same analysis whether it's a shallow or an
11	artesian aquifer with respect to potential
12	contamination?
13	THE WITNESS: If you are referring to
14	PFAS analysis
15	MR. RUBIN: Yes. Yes.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
17	MR. RUBIN: Thank you.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Anything
19	further, Mr. Chair?
20	MR. RAZATOS: No, that's the only
21	question. Thank you, doctor. We appreciate your
22	testimony.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there any
25	reason not to excuse Dr. Richardson at this time?
	Page 298

1	MS. MULCAHY: No, Madam Hearing
2	Officer. I just have one other matter after we
3	excuse Dr. Richardson.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
5	you very much for your testimony, Dr. Richardson.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	(Witness execused.)
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Mulcahy.
9	MS. MULCAHY: I would just like to
10	also move for admission into the record NMOGA
11	Exhibit A, which is the red line strikeout of
12	Guardians proposed rules; NMOGA Exhibit B, which
13	is the Colorado rule excuse me, the Colorado
14	Bill 22-1345, which is identified in the
15	prehearing statement; NMOGA Exhibit C, which is
16	Colorado Bill 22-1348 also identified in the
17	prehearing statement.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, any
19	objections to NMOGA A, B and C?
20	No? All right, they are admitted.
21	Thank you very much.
22	(NMOGA Exhibits A, B and C
23	were received in evidence.)
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: To every one in
25	the room and on the platform, it is 4:31 and we
	Page 299

1	will take as much public comment as there is to be
2	given. I need to do one very brief thing, though,
3	before we accept public comment.
4	Ms. Apodaca, will you come back to the
5	stand, please?
6	MR. RUBIN: Might we have a
7	five-minute break before we take public comment,
8	please?
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, we will do
10	that, too.
11	Ms. Apodaca, did you revise the
12	Certificate of Compliance in conjunction with the
13	Commission counsel?
14	THE WITNESS: Yes I did.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I
16	would like to make that the Substitute Hearing
17	Officer Exhibit 1. Are there any and admit it
18	again to show compliance with all public notice
19	requirements.
20	Is there any objection to that?
21	(Substitute Hearing Officer
22	Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
23	identification and received in
24	evidence.)
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
	Page 300

1	Thank you very much. That is what we will do, and
2	thank you, Ms. Apodaca.
3	MS. NANASI: Madam Hearing Officer,
4	are we going to be able to talk about when our
5	briefs are going to be due?
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, not right
7	now, and it may, in fact, be a conversation that
8	we have over e-mail. And I will tell you why we
9	can't have it right now, because we don't know
10	exactly when the transcripts will come in and I
11	want to make sure counsel has access to the
12	transcripts before they make a commitment to
13	posthearing submittals.
14	MS. NANASI: So we will do that via
15	e-mail.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
17	Let's take a five-minute break and then we will
18	come back for public comment.
19	To those on the platform we have been
20	going for more than an hour and a half.
21	(Recess taken 4:33 p.m.)
22	(After recess 4:39 p.m.)
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: We are back
24	after a short break and we will take whatever
25	public comment there is to be given. I will take
	Page 301

1	some folks online first, and then I will come into
2	the room, and go back online in the event anyone
3	else joins us.
4	Just a few things. My name is Felicia
5	Orth, the hearing officer for this matter. Public
6	comment can only be given once. If you have more
7	to say than can be said in three minutes, or if
8	you have already offered comment on a previous day
9	I would invite you to submit written public
10	comment which can be taken until this evening.
11	And I will ask you to state, spell
12	your name, and swear to affirm to tell the truth,
13	and then start a stopwatch for three minutes. So
14	if you are on the platform use the hand raise
15	function and, again, I will take some comment
16	online and then I will come to the folks in the
17	room with us.
18	I see Mandy Sackett. Ms. Sackett, if
19	you would unmute yourself.
20	MS. SACKETT: Hello, my name is Mandy
21	Sackett and I am a resident of Taos County.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, would
23	you spell your last name, please?
24	MS. SACKETT: S-a-c-k-e-t-t.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
	Page 302
	rage 302

1	affirm to tell the truth?
2	MS. SACKETT: I do.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
4	your three minutes.
5	MS. SACKETT: I'm here as a concerned
6	resident and someone managing autoimmune health
7	issues which makes me especially aware of the
8	importance of clean air, water and land. The
9	state's consideration of PFAS, of a PFAS ban in
10	fracking is crucial, not just for individual
11	health but for environmental justice and our
12	collective fight against climate change.
13	PFAS or forever chemicals are linked
14	to serious health problems including immune system
15	disruption and autoimmune diseases. For
16	communities living near fracking sites, exposure
17	to these chemicals could mean contaminated water
18	and soil for generations. The health impacts
19	disproportionately affect low income and rural
20	communities that often lack the resources to fight
21	back or relocate. That makes this an
22	environmental justice issue.
23	Fracking is already a major
24	contributor to climate change. Adding PFAS to the
25	equation only deepens the harm. It is

1	unacceptable to double down on extraction
2	practices that pollute our land and worsen
3	greenhouse gas emissions altering the health of
4	vulnerable communities including the indigenous
5	and rural populations.
6	As a resident of Taos I see the
7	interconnectedness of our natural environment and
8	public health. I think that clean water and
9	health ecosystems and a safe climate are
10	non-negotiable for our state's future and even
11	mandated by our state's constitution.
12	Banning PFAS and fracking is a
13	necessary
14	SYSTEM VOICE: You are not allowed to
15	unmute. To raise your hand press star 5.
16	MS. SACKETT: and prioritizing
17	renewable energy for all New Mexicans so I urge
18	you to please pass the ban and continue working
19	toward a just transition that will center health
20	and
21	SYSTEM VOICE: You are allowed to
22	unmute. To unmute yourself press star 6.
23	MS. SACKETT: fracking leave
24	behind.
25	Thank you.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
2	much, Ms. Sackett.
3	Let's see, Caitlyn Bizzell.
4	MS. BIZZELL: Yes, that's right.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello. If you
6	would state and spell your first and last name,
7	please.
8	MS. BIZZELL: Caitlyn, C-a-i-t-l-y-n,
9	Bizzell, B-i-z-z-e-l-l.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
11	affirm to tell the truth?
12	MS. BIZZELL: Yes, I do.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
14	your three minutes.
15	MS. BIZZELL: Good evening, Madam
16	Hearing Officer and members of the Commission.
17	Thank you for hearing my comments today. My name
18	is Caitlyn Bizzell, and I'm a New Mexico resident
19	currently studying environmental communications
20	and sustainability at the University of New
21	Mexico.
22	As a young person involved in
23	environmental justice I feel compelled to comment
24	on the risk of PFAS chemicals to the state water
25	supply and subsequently the risk to the health of

myself, my loved ones, and my peers. PFAS
chemicals are a threat to the health of all of the
New Mexico people by contaminating our most
precious resource, the groundwater. The
groundwater of New Mexico is like most water used
in our daily lives. It means even a small amount
of PFAS chemicals in our water can, will, and do
affect a large portion of the population.
New Mexico is a beautiful and
resilient state, home to our diverse population of
cultures and identities. Our state must be
protected from oil and gas pollution to preserve
the beauty of both its land and the people who
have called it home for generations. These
chemicals have the potential to inflict
irreversible damage on all New Mexicans. The Oil
Conservation Commission should take immediate
action to ban PFAS from oil and gas operations. I
urge you to put the health and well-being of New
Mexicans first. Please protect us from unjust
harm due to unregulated chemical pollution of
PFAS.
Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
Ms. Bizzell.

1	This is Kayley Shoup. Ms. Shoup?
2	MS. SHOUP: Yup, I'm here.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, hello.
4	Spell your first and last name, please.
5	MS. SHOUP: K-a-y-l-e-y, S-h-o-u-p.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
7	affirm to tell the truth?
8	MS. SHOUP: I do.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
10	your three minutes.
11	MS. SHOUP: Hello, my name is Kayley
12	Shoup, and I'm a community organizer with the
13	Citizens Caring for the Future in Southeastern New
14	Mexico. I live in Carlsbad in Eddy County where
15	many of the produced water spills you hear of
16	happen. The air, land, water and health of the
17	community here in Southeastern New Mexico have
18	been sacrificed for years now as we have seen the
19	Permian oil boom take over the world.
20	At a time when the impact of climate
21	change is being felt more than ever, extreme heat
22	and drought touch our lives daily. Water is
23	precious here, and instead of our groundwater
24	being protected it is being put at risk
25	constantly. Banning PFAS in oil and gas is one
	Page 307

1	way we can buffer my community from the climate
2	impact and the environmental impact we are sure to
3	see in the next four years and beyond as we
4	continue to deal with this sacrifice. And we,
5	like everyone else here, will need resources we
6	can rely on in an uncertain future.
7	Also, oil fields in southeast New
8	Mexico is filled with immigrant workers that will
9	have more than their fair share of worries moving
10	forward. One way we can lighten their load at
11	this date is by ensuring that they have their
12	health to carry them forward. This is by ensuring
13	that they are not exposed to unnecessary and
14	incredibly toxic chemicals on the job.
15	Lastly, when people are empowered with
16	information things change. Just this year
17	researchers came out with a study saying that PFAS
18	levels in the blood of Californians were lower
19	than the rest of the country. This is because of
20	regulations such as the regulations you are
21	weighing today. They stabilize. They ensure that
22	children have healthy parents, that parents won't
23	have to mourn their own children, and that we have
24	young people that become elders in our future.
25	Passing a ban on PFAS in oil and gas

1	and increasing chemical disclosure really does
2	keep the world spinning round in its very own
3	small way. Please understand that and act with
4	that knowledge.
5	Thank you for your time.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
7	Ms. Shoup.
8	Next we have Krystal, Krystal
9	MS. CURLEY: Hi.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hi. Would you
11	state and spell your first and last names?
12	MS. CURLEY: Yes. My name is Krystal
13	Curley, K-r-y-s-t-a-l, and Curley, C-u-r-l-e-y.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
15	affirm to tell the truth?
16	MS. CURLEY: Yes.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
18	your three minutes.
19	MS. CURLEY: Thank you.
20	Good evening, Oil Conversation
21	Commissioners. My name is Krystal Curley.
22	(Speaking in native language.)
23	I'm a Navajo mother of three
24	daughters. I'm also the executive director of a
25	nonprofit named Indigenous Lifeways based in
	Page 309

1	McKinley County. We live in a state that
2	celebrates access to abortion health care, but yet
3	we have toxic extractive industries that expose
4	our pregnant people to toxic forever chemicals
5	like PFAS.
6	In a nine-year period from 2013 to
7	2022 over 240 million pounds of trade secret
8	chemicals were injected into wells by the oil and
9	gas industry. The irreversible implications of
10	these monstrous acts will forever harm the unborn
11	and future generations. What will our future
12	generations have left if we don't safeguard our
13	health, our water, our bodies and our land? How
14	are, why we are complicit in this issue? Is this
15	what we have become as humanity?
16	Oil and gas industry has poisoned us,
17	poisoned our bodies, poisoned our land, and most
18	of all you have knowingly withheld information
19	from the public about these toxic chemicals. By
20	hiding behind trade secret protocol this
21	Commission knowingly exposed New Mexico citizens
22	and oil and gas workers to dangerous chemical
23	compounds. Commissioners, you have an obligation
24	to regulate, enforce and monitor the oil and gas
25	industry in the best interest of New Mexico

1	taxpayers. Oil Conservation Commissioners must
2	hold the oil and gas industry accountable for
3	these incompetent actions.
4	As a future grandmother I am here to
5	speak for my future grandchildren, and I'm asking
6	the Oil Conversation Commissioners to think of
7	their daughters, their children, their future
8	generations, and your legacy, and ask yourself
9	what can I do to make a difference to ensure a
10	healthy and liveable future for all New Mexico
11	citizens.
12	Thank you.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
14	Ms. Curley.
15	Next we have Deirdra Velasquez, I
16	think?
17	MS. VELASQUEZ: Yes, hello.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello. Would
19	you please spell your first and last name?
20	MS. VELASQUEZ: Deirdra D-e-i-r-d-r-a,
21	Velasquez V-e-l-a-s-q-u-e-z.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
23	Do you swear or affirm to tell the
24	truth?
25	MS. VELASQUEZ: Yes.
	Page 311
	5 - 5 - 1

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
2	your three minutes.
3	MS. VELASQUEZ: Commissioners, you
4	have undoubtedly heard dozens of comments
5	describing what PFAS are, how dangerous the
6	chemicals are, and how important it is to regulate
7	them. I will try not to repeat much of what has
8	already been said.
9	I am a member of Valencia Water
L 0	Watchers. We are based in Valencia County just
L1	south. In 2022 we launched a campaign against an
L 2	ordinance that would allow use of access for oil
L 3	and gas drilling in Valencia County. During the
L 4	seven and a half hours of testimony given by other
L 5	organizations, community members, and climate
L 6	justice groups to persuade the Commission to vote
L 7	against the ordinance, I learned about PFAS and
L 8	how dangerous those chemicals are for all life,
L 9	how they leach into the groundwater and poison the
20	land, how they are carcinogenic and can cause
21	birth defects. The Valencia County Commission
22	passed the ordinance despite the overwhelming
23	opposition of more than 200 people.
24	While oil and gas drilling hasn't
25	happened yet, the incoming Trump Administration

1	has promised there will be increased domestic oil
2	and gas extraction. I expect that it will come up
3	right to my back door, and even if it doesn't oil
4	and gas drilling occurs in other parts of the
5	state already and will increase in those areas.
6	Regardless of how you personally feel about that
7	increase, it only makes sense that if it is to
8	continue then we must make efforts to protect
9	ourselves.
10	If there is any hope of controlling
11	these forever chemicals, New Mexico regulators
12	need to be fully informed about the risk to our
13	water and what those chemicals exactly are.
14	Michelle Lujan Grisham promised as governor she
15	would require mandatory disclosure of what
16	chemicals are used in fracking to better protect
17	groundwater. We need her to follow through. We
18	need you to follow through now more than ever.
19	I would also beg the Commission to
20	take the first steps in forming a community and a
21	culture of resistance that should echo from the
22	very bottom levels of our government elected
23	officials to the top. Please, I implore you.
24	Thank you.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
	Page 313

1	Ms. Velasquez.
2	Next we have Sandra Stulberg?
3	MS. STULBERG: Yes.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello. If you
5	would please spell your last name.
6	MS. STULBERG: Stulberg
7	S-t-U-l-b-e-r-g.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
9	affirm to tell the truth?
10	MS. STULBERG: I do.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
12	your three minutes.
13	MS. STULBERG: Thank you, and I want
14	to just take a moment to uplift the voices that
15	have come before me. They speak so eloquently of
16	just how dire this situation is.
17	So my thing is I do my best to make
18	healthy decisions around what my family and I eat,
19	what we drink, the chemicals we are exposed to
20	through the products that we use. I read the
21	labels and I make decisions based on what is
22	disclosed on those labels.
23	When I learned about the dangers of
24	PFAS I did a little bit of research and we made
25	some changes. We have different dishes,

1	furniture, cookware, food storage, and floss of
2	all things, but I realize that no amount of
3	education or vigilance will be enough to protect
4	us from the harm of these dangerous chemicals if
5	we allow them to get into our water supply and the
6	food chain. I have to wonder why these dangerous
7	chemicals are being allowed to be used at all.
8	I'm not an expert, and I certainly
9	don't have the privilege of access to the
10	disclosures that the NMOGA experts do, so the
11	public must rely on this Commission to do the job,
12	to make the decision of what is safe for human
13	consumption and exposure. We need you to make
14	sure that our environment, our food chain, and our
15	water supply are not poisoned by industry. We
16	need you to make sure that our children grow up to
17	be healthy. We need you to be on PFAS before the
18	damage is beyond our ability to clean up and
19	repair. It seems pretty simple: If we ban these
20	compounds they will stop being spilled into our
21	environment.
22	Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
24	Ms. Stulberg.
25	Next we have Hazel James. Let's see.
	Page 315
	1436 313

1	MS. JAMES: Hello.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, hello.
3	Ms. James, do you swear or affirm to
4	tell the truth?
5	MS. JAMES: Hello?
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, hello. Can
7	you hear me?
8	MS. JAMES: Yes.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Do you
10	swear or affirm
11	MS. JAMES: I can hear you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great.
13	MS. JAMES: Yes.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
15	your three minutes.
16	MS. JAMES: Thank you.
17	My name is Hazel James. Tohe,
18	T-o-h-e. I am a Denra (ph.) woman. I'm a
19	Bazoonie (ph.) clan, Zuni Edge Water Clan, and the
20	Black Streaked Wood Clan, and my grandfathers are
21	Ashehans (ph.) and Twitzelsee (ph.) of the Big
22	Water Clan and Salt Clan. I am here on a northern
23	New Mexico area on the Navajo reservation in a
24	place called Mexican Springs. And I'm a mother of
25	four and a grandmother of five grandsons, each of
	Page 316

1	whom honors the Nav values and principles and the
2	Nav wisdom. I work as a coordinator for San Juan
3	Collaborative for Health Equity and Diné Centered
4	Research and Evaluation. And we focus on
5	environmental stresses and health equities for
6	indigenous communities here in northern New
7	Mexico.
8	I come before you today on behalf of
9	my family and my relatives and to speak for the
10	land, our water, our air, and our fire which is
11	the center of earth and the center of the
12	universe. And so today I come before you to urge
13	the Oil Conservation Commissioners to ban PFAS
14	chemicals and require full disclosure of all
15	chemicals used in the oil and gas operations.
16	For us as indigenous people water is
17	life, (speaking native language). It is central
18	to our culture, our survival and our future
19	generations. Yet today we face the grave threat
20	of PFAS contaminations from oil and gas
21	operations, chemicals so toxic that even a tiny
22	amount of contamination can contaminate entire
23	water sources.
24	On Navajo nation 40 percent of our
25	people lack access to running water relying on

1	local springs or community wells, which we barely
2	even have those community wells. It's mainly on a
3	domestic system, but we still, it still comes from
4	our groundwater. Our water comes from the
5	groundwater. Allowing PFAS to be injected into
6	our land threatens our very survival and violates
7	our sacred responsibility to protect our
8	ecosystem.
9	As a health advocate, mother and
10	grandmother, I am deeply concerned about the
11	health impacts of PFAS. Scientific research has
12	linked exposure to PFAS with cancer, thyroid
13	disease, high cholesterol and reproductive
14	issues
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. James,
16	please finish up.
17	MS. JAMES: our families are
18	already suffering disproportionately with health
19	issues like cancer. The sickness is embedded in
20	our land and water and food affecting those who
21	are here.
22	Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Next we have Antoinette Reyes, I
25	think.

1	MS. REYES: Hi. Can you hear me?
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I can. If
3	you would spell your last name, please.
4	MS. REYES: R-e-y-e-s.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Do
6	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
7	MS. REYES: Yes, I do.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
9	your three minutes.
10	MS. REYES: Thank you.
11	My name is Antoinette Reyes. I'm
12	speaking today on behalf of the Sierra Club, Rio
13	Grande Chapter, and our 35,000 members and
14	supporters in New Mexico. Thank you for holding
15	this hearing to strengthen chemical disclosure
16	requirements and to end the use of per and
17	polyfluorinated substances, aka PFAS, from oil and
18	gas operations.
19	With an average of four spills per
20	day, New Mexico must reduce the amount of produced
21	water spilled and short of that reduce the
22	toxicity of produced water even if that means
23	tackling the issue constituent by constituent.
24	Annually dozens of fracking constituents,
25	including thousands of gallons of PFAS, commonly

1	known as forever chemicals, are used in New Mexico
2	oil and gas operations. And to make matters
3	worse, oil and gas hides the full list of
4	pollutants from those that stand to be harmed by
5	them. These contaminants have already been
6	detected in New Mexico surface waters in oil and
7	gas areas around the state according to government
8	and public university tests. The risks posed to
9	our groundwater and surface waters cannot be
10	understated as PFAS are highly toxic at miniscule
11	levels. Numerous readily available, economically
12	feasible surfactants alternatives are already on
13	the market and accessible to industry, those that
14	do not include PFAS.
15	Banning PFAS better protects public
16	health and reduces the future contamination of our
17	state soil, land and dwindling fresh water
18	resources. PFAS is known to affect every system
19	in the human body including the immune system. It
20	is linked to kidney and testicular cancers,
21	thyroid disease, birth defects and reproductive
22	problems. Updating chemical disclosure
23	requirements increases transparency with community

and decision makers in regards to where and when

dangerous chemicals have been used, transported,

24

25

1	disposed of and spilled across the state. This
2	critical safety data would aid state and local
3	officials in their decisionmaking capabilities by
4	gaining informed information useful in
5	accomplishing their duty to protect public health
6	and the environment.
7	Please, I guess, approve both of the
8	items in this petition.
9	Thank you.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
11	Ms. Reyes.
12	Next we have Senator Jeff Steinborn.
13	Senator Steinborn?
14	SENATOR STEINBORN: Yes, hello.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello.
16	Do you swear or affirm to tell the
17	truth?
18	SENATOR STEINBORN: I do.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
20	your three minutes.
21	SENATOR STEINBORN: Okay. Thank you
22	so much for the opportunity to address you today.
23	I'm Senator Jeff Steinborn, the vice chair of the
24	state's legislative Radioactive and Hazardous
25	Materials Interim Committee. I call in to
	Page 321

wholeheartedly support these items in banning PFAS and undisclosed chemicals.

2.

2.5

We are dealing with so much contamination in the state of New Mexico, including from PFAS that is now showing up, as you I'm sure have heard, in the Pecos River and wildlife outside of Holloman Air Force Base. These are chemicals that encase the oil field according to the New Mexico Oil and Gas Association they don't use anyway. Now we are finding that it still shows up. The fact is that this is a prudent step for the Commission to take to protect New Mexico.

The fact that we would allow these forever harmful substances to be polluted in our land and our water and our environment while spending millions of dollars to try to figure out what it is that is polluting the land is just crazy, and we need to start being proactive in protecting New Mexicans from these chemicals. And so your step today or your consideration is a very prudent step, and I applaud you for considering it and urge you to take action and remind you that our Radioactive and Hazardous Materials Committee did send a letter endorsing this action today.

1	So thank you very much.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
3	Senator Steinborn.
4	All right, I will move into the room
5	here for the next public commenters. If you would
6	please come up one at a time to that stand right
7	there. Make sure there is a green light on the
8	microphone.
9	And would you state and spell your
10	first and last name?
11	MS. AHOIDO: My name is Aria A-r-i-a,
12	last name A-h-o-i-d-o.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
14	Do you swear or affirm to tell the
15	truth?
16	MS. AHOIDO: Yes.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
18	your three minutes.
19	MS. AHOIDO: I was born in New Mexico
20	in Taos and my family has only been here for, you
21	know, one generation. But New Mexico has a long
22	history of being used for resources at the expense
23	of its land and water and the people who live
24	here, from uranium mining to the atomic bomb
25	manufacture and testing. Generations of New
	Page 323

1	Mexicans have seen the dangerous and unending
2	effects of industries taking advantage of this
3	area. It's time to stop that.
4	PFAS are known to be dangerous
5	carcinogens that cause cancer and other health
6	issues. We must remove them from our water. The
7	oil and gas industry must be held accountable for
8	the damage they have done and continue to do to
9	the environment and people. We are in an
10	extremely important moment, a climate crisis where
11	we must do all we can to build a sustainable
12	future for our planet and generations of people to
13	come.
	We have to start with protecting our
14	we have to start with protecting our
14 15	own state and the people who live here, not the
15	own state and the people who live here, not the
15 16	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We
15 16 17	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants.
15 16 17	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants. Transparency is a no brainer. Please stop siding
15 16 17 18	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants. Transparency is a no brainer. Please stop siding with big industries and side with New Mexicans and
15 16 17 18 19	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants. Transparency is a no brainer. Please stop siding with big industries and side with New Mexicans and our natural resources.
15 16 17 18 19 20	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants. Transparency is a no brainer. Please stop siding with big industries and side with New Mexicans and our natural resources. Thank you.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants. Transparency is a no brainer. Please stop siding with big industries and side with New Mexicans and our natural resources. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	own state and the people who live here, not the industries who are trying to make a profit. We must protect our water from contaminants. Transparency is a no brainer. Please stop siding with big industries and side with New Mexicans and our natural resources. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.

1	Hello. Please state and spell your
2	first and last name.
3	MS. BUNGUM: My name is Polly
4	P-o-l-l-y, Bungum B-u-n-g-u-m.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear or
6	affirm to tell the truth?
7	MS. BUNGUM: I do.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
9	your three minutes.
10	MS. BUNGUM: As a young person
11	inheriting this earth, it's really important to me
12	that our land, our water and our air is not
13	contaminated with dangerous chemicals that never
14	break down in natural conditions because they are
15	practically impossible to clean up. I would like
16	to express my wholehearted support for these
17	amendments and to ask that in the future less
18	subsidies are given to oil and gas industry.
19	Last year New Mexico taxpayers paid
20	86.2 billion in subsidies to the massive oil and
21	gas industry. NRDC, the Natural Resources Defense
22	Council, recommended all public giveaways to oil
23	and gas and redirecting money to public
24	priorities. These priorities include establishing
25	just transition programs for industry workers and
	Page 325

1	supporting clean energy as we should be less
2	concerned with extracting fossil fuels and more
3	concerned with the well-being of our earth. I
4	guess that's a future problem, but for now the
5	least you can do is ban PFAS and require chemical
6	disclosure to protect the systems of a life we
7	rely on and are a part of.
8	Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
10	Ms. Bungum.
11	Anyone else?
12	MR. NORINE: I would like to make a
13	public comment. Sorry, I can't raise my hand
14	because I'm having to call in. My Microsoft Teams
15	isn't working.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, give me
17	one minute. I already have someone at the stand.
18	I will call on you momentarily.
19	MR. NORINE: No worries. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: State and spell
21	your first and last name, please.
22	MS. BACA-LUCERO: Frankie Baca-Lucero,
23	B-a-c-a hyphen L-u-c-e-r-o.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	Do you swear or affirm to tell the
	Page 326
	rage 320

1	truth?
2	MS. BACA-LUCERO: Yes.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
4	your three minutes.
5	MS. BACA-LUCERO: Dear Commission, we
6	the people have declared in Principle 1 of the Rio
7	Declaration on Environment and Development from
8	the United Nations are entitled to a healthy and
9	productive life in harmony with nature. For this
LO	to be a reality we need a functioning ecosystem
L1	with living soil, clean water and breathable air.
L2	The usage of forever chemicals, PFAS, in the oil
L3	and gas operations completely disregard this
L4	principle.
L 5	Fracking in New Mexico needs to stop,
L6	period. This profit-driven exploitation must
L7	stop. Give land back to those who know how to be
L 8	in relationship with the more than human world,
L 9	the indigenous peoples, the original nurturers.
20	The people who care bring you today
21	two simple requests, quoted from the petition,
22	Case Number 23580. Number one, that the
23	Commission adopt a rule prohibiting the use of
24	PFAS in oil and gas drilling, development and
25	production in order to prevent the generation of

1	PFAS-contaminated produced water and nondomestic
2	waste. Number two, that the Commission adopt a
3	rule prohibiting the use of undisclosed chemicals
4	in downhole operations to ensure reasonable
5	transparency around substances used by the oil and
6	gas industry and to ensure industry compliance
7	with the prohibition of the use of PFAS. This
8	will not only allow for the rise and restoration
9	of ecological health of our beloved and generous
10	home, New Mexico, it will also pursue the overall
11	western United States regeneration.
12	Instead of giving millions of dollars
13	to the oil and gas industry, we could be
14	subsidizing hyper local solutions. For example,
15	we could be making methane digesters for more
16	affordable and accessible to use the inputs of
17	sewage sludge, agricultural and food residues
18	employing inevitable waste to create a closed loop
19	system. Another viable solution could be solar in
20	New Mexico where we can empower a high index of UV
21	rays that can be used for household services,
22	shade for crops and vehicle charging stations.
23	Another solution could be building
24	small scale winter vines made from upcycle

small scale winter vines made from upcycle materials lessening our waste. We are simply

25

1	asking for public funds to be redirected towards
2	local projects that actually benefit the people on
3	the planet and maybe, just maybe, we as humans can
4	come together to acknowledge and help uphold our
5	responsibilities to this interdependent and
6	interconnected universe. Learn to live with less
7	and not less is more, and to consciously care for
8	oneself, letting that care bleed out to the land
9	and those within it.
10	Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, very
12	much.
13	Sir, thank you on the platform for
14	speaking up. I will take your comment now. Can
15	you unmute yourself again?
16	It was the one with the blue yes,
17	that one. I thought. No? Can you unmute
18	yourself?
19	MR. NORINE: Were you referring to me?
20	I'm sorry.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. I believe
22	you were the one that spoke up a moment ago to say
23	you wanted to offer a comment?
24	MR. NORINE: Yes.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, if
	Page 329

1	you would give us your first and last name,
2	please.
3	MR. NORINE: My name is Randon
4	R-a-n-d-o-n, Norine N-o-r-i-n-e.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
6	Do swear or affirm to tell the truth?
7	MR. NORINE: Yes, I do.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
9	your three minutes.
10	MR. NORINE: Awesome.
11	So I'm calling in from Valencia County
12	on behalf of my fellow community members and
13	organizers that I share my communities with, as
14	well as the projects that I have started called A
15	Better New Mexico Is Possible.
16	And I don't have the education and the
17	research to speak on the issue as well as folks
18	such as our Senator and the NMOGA, all of these
19	other incredibly informed and magnificent folks,
20	but I can say that as somebody who has experienced
21	so much of the United States leaving me and the
22	people I love behind, the last couple of years
23	especially, we have an obligation to just start
24	taking care of each other.
25	Many things have failed many of our

1	community members for years and years. The
2	nuclear waste tests damaged Navajo nation land and
3	water for years, and as another speaker had
4	mentioned causes higher cancer rates than almost
5	any other American nation or American national
6	sorry about that and then outside of the state
7	of New Mexico we see things such as the Flint
8	water crisis and we see so many of these things
9	that are basic necessities that are necessary for
10	not only us to survive but for our environment to
11	survive, for our species to survive.
12	Living down in Valencia County, if we
13	don't have clean water and our soil is
14	contaminated with these forever chemicals we can't
15	farm here. Our animals can't graze. We lose an
16	incredibly important aspect of what makes our
17	community not only functional but allows us to
18	support each other. Even local businesses such as
19	Bomvida Farms would be severely affected by this
20	kind of chemical leaching into our natural
21	communities.
22	So as somebody who doesn't necessarily
23	come from the greatest background in science and
24	all of these different things, I, too, implore you

all of these different things, I, too, implore you as a community member and an organizer to take

25

1	into consideration my comments and everyone else's
2	and help us to just have some faith in our
3	government officials again. Help us to take care
4	of each other and show that there can be
5	successes. There does not only have to be
6	failures.
7	Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
9	Mr. Norine.
10	Is there anyone else on the platform
11	who would like to offer public comment at this
12	time? Please raise your hand. I see Seneca
13	Johnson. There we go.
14	MS. JOHNSON: Hello, can you hear me?
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. If you
16	would please spell your first name.
17	MS. JOHNSON: Hi. My name is Seneca,
18	S-e-n-e-c-a, and yeah. I
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on. Do you
20	swear or affirm to tell the truth?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I will start
23	your three minutes.
24	MS. JOHNSON: Thank you.
25	Good afternoon. My name is Seneca
	Page 332
	raye 332

Johnson. I live in Santa Fe. I am currently a
student at Yale University pursuing a degree in
environmental studies, and I'm also a member of
GECA.
I am here because I am really

2.

2.5

concerned about the future of New Mexico's water supply and water quality if we continue to use the PFAS and undisclosed chemicals in fracking operations. PFAS chemicals are dubbed forever chemicals for a really clear reason. PFAS can remain in the body for years after exposure and can remain in the environment for hundreds to thousands of years. PFAS accumulates with continuous or repeated exposure within the body and the environment such as through water contamination and has been linked to increased cancers, immune system depression, and problems with fetal development.

Earlier this year the EPA set a maximum contaminant level of PFAS in drinking water at four parts per trillion, which essentially means that there is functionally no healthy amount of exposure to PFAS. We know that PFAS have already been used in some of New Mexico's fracking operations but we don't know to

what extent because these companies are not
required to disclose chemicals used nor are they
subject to the hazardous use regulations. So
without further protections from the law we must
essentially trust that oil and gas companies in
New Mexico will not poison our drinking water and
will not allow PFAS chemicals to be spilled, but
with the current record this trust has not been
earned.

2.

2.5

It is clear that the mitigation strategies already in place to protect our land and water are not effective with intervening spills of these toxic chemicals which happen like four times a day. About 80 percent of New Mexico drinking water comes from groundwater which makes us especially vulnerable to the effects of groundwater contaminants, and to allow for unknown chemical blends to spill this regularly is a direct line for permanent degradation of our water supply and guaranteed negative health effects for our communities.

Requiring disclosure of chemicals used is not unheard of. Several other states' oil and gas companies operate under chemical disclosure laws without harm to their industry. In fact, our

1	own governor Michelle Lujan Grisham proposed it
2	six years ago under the 2018 water plan. Chemical
3	disclosure allows for both the public and for
4	regulators to better understand health and
5	environmental risks associated with the chemicals,
6	allows for more effective monitoring for
7	contamination, and holds companies accountable for
8	future spills. I think medical professionals
9	should not have to make guesswork for patients'
LO	illnesses when this information could directly
L1	help, and I think, you know, we need to make sure
L2	that people are able to make informed decisions
L 3	about their personal and family health.
L4	At the end of the day, you know, we
L 5	want to keep ourselves, our community, our
L6	environment and future generations safe from toxic
L7	forever chemicals. It seems the climate crisis is
L 8	only going to become worse, the effects are going
L 9	to become more severe in coming decades, and I
20	think it is going to be really crucial that we
21	continue to do everything that we can to protect
22	our water for ourselves and for our future
23	generations as well.
24	So I ask that you all support the
25	measures proposed for required chemical disclosure

1	and for prohibition of PFAS chemicals in fracking
2	operations.
3	Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
5	Ms. Johnson.
6	Anyone else on the platform here to
7	offer public comments? I don't see any hands.
8	Is there anyone else in the room here
9	to offer public comment, anyone else at all?
10	No. All right let's see.
11	Ms. Nanasi?
12	MS. NANASI: I just wanted to say
13	thank you to the Commissioners and to you, Madam
14	Hearing Officer, and to my colleagues. I had to
15	leave the actual room but I have been online and I
16	just want to thank you for this hearing. And have
17	a good weekend.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
19	much, Ms. Nanasi. And everyone on the platform
20	and in the room, I know our Commission chair had
21	to leave the room but he has been on the platform
22	ever since. There are other Commissioners. Thank
23	you all for just being so professional and putting
24	on a good evidentiary record.
25	Please drive safely home.

1					(0	ff	t	hе	re	c 0	rd	аt	5	: 1	9	p.	m.)		
2			_			_			_			-			-	-			_	
3																				
4																				
5																				
6																				
7																				
8																				
9																				
10																				
11																				
12																				
13																				
14																				
15																				
16																				
17																				
18																				
19																				
20																				
21																				
22																				
23																				
2 4																				
25																				
		 		 												Pa	ge	33'	7	

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
2	STATE OF MARYLAND)
3	
4	I, CAPPY HALLOCK, the reporter before
5	whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do
6	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a
7	true record of the proceedings.
8	I further certify that I am neither
9	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
10	the parties to the action in which these
11	proceedings were taken; and further that I am not
12	a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
13	employed by the parties hereto, or financially or
14	otherwise interested in the outcome of this
15	action.
16	December 10, 2024
17	
18	Cappy Saelvel
19	
20	Cappy Hallock, RPR, CRR
21	My Commission expires January 19, 2025
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 338

[& - 300]

&	110 2:21	199 6:23	2023 271:24
& 2:20	112 184:19,21	1:00 146:21,25	2024 1:6
0	185:17	1bb 86:12	338:16
	12 93:19	2	2025 338:21
0.38 294:6	104:11 217:18	2 62:5 109:1	205-913-6425
1	217:20,20	111:4 197:20	3:8
1 1:24 2:21	247:22	197:24 198:2	22 87:13
6:20,25 53:17	1220 1:11 2:13	235:19	244:15
54:19 108:25	13 246:5,8,9	2,000 272:6	22-1345 299:14
111:4 197:18	260:3	274:3,9	22-1348 299:16
197:24 198:2	134 6:6	2.2 271:15,22	23 42:9 48:19
206:22,25	14 151:16	272:1 273:22	181:17
221:23 240:10	157:23 175:15	294:6	23580 1:4 7:8
261:4 300:17	246:8,9,12	20 209:21	327:22
300:22 327:6	247:22,22	246:8	240 310:7
1,000 65:10	147 6:7	200 312:23	249 6:12
1,2,3 138:19,22	15 1:6 93:19	201 3:6	25 9:5 183:10
139:9	134:15 157:23	2011 136:13	258 6:13
1-2 6:22	295:19	154:5	26 181:17
1.4 25:14	15,000 42:21	2013 235:16	284 6:14
1.75 272:10	87:14 117:17	271:24 273:25	287 6:15
273:18	150 116:12	310:6	299 6:24
10 42:21 87:12	1600 188:9	2018 43:10	2:43 226:19
91:20 104:10	1633 61:9,24	335:2	3
228:17 338:16	164 6:8	2019 135:4	3 25:14,19,19
10,000 87:14	17 96:7	2020 235:22	25:23 187:3
117:17 139:14	18 209:21	254:9	226:17 237:7
139:22 240:19	184,000 188:7	2021 13:11	246:9 253:22
101 119:4	19 87:13 96:7	189:9	271:20 293:22
130:4	237:8 241:13	2022 18:4	3,547 25:15
10:25 91:10	338:21	217:22 218:7	30 11:17
10:40 91:9	19.15.2 295:19	218:17 235:16	30.5 83:25
10:43 91:11	19.15.7 295:19	274:1 310:7	300 3:18 6:25
11 91:20	198 6:11,22	312:11	166:19 292:11
195:18			

[301 - above]

201 2.6	4.15 200.10	(0(2000 1 02	
301 3:6	4:17 288:19	6963000 1:23	9
31 84:16,18	4:31 299:25	6:2 76:19	9 91:20,20
85:1 116:10	4:33 301:21	7	107:22
172:20	4:39 301:22	7 48:15 49:6,8	9,000 235:15
33 42:13	5	49:10 91:18	271:23 294:7
338 1:24	5 16:21 48:13	93:18 96:6	92 280:1
35,000 319:13	49:6,8,9	150:15 240:10	94 122:6,10
350 135:7	139:12 221:23	274:23 275:13	99 189:1
266:14	236:13 304:15	293:22	205:11
360 166:19	5,000 17:19	7-8 6:19	a
38 271:23	18:3,6 19:16	720 272:12	
3:01 226:20	50 48:18	79 237:7	a.m. 1:7 91:10
3:15 236:3,10	105:19	8	91:11
4	505-231-9312		ability 72:17
4 42:18,25	2:15	8 48:18 49:6,8	84:25 121:24
48:12 49:6,7,9	505-469-4060	49:10 104:9	179:1 315:18
76:10 165:16	3:20	107:21 188:6	able 16:13,24
219:18,25	505-537-4477	201:17 202:1,3	17:19 45:11
226:5 240:9	2:7	202:8 203:17	61:24 63:25
241:12 284:20	505-988-4421	205:19 209:20	86:3 104:5,5
284:22 285:5	2:23	217:20 241:13	108:20 158:3
289:24	507 34:21	244:15	160:16 162:7
4,000 105:18	533 61:5,24	80 334:14	172:1 177:22
106:1	537.1 61:3,24	800 85:16	209:2 238:2
4-5 6:19	54/54 6:20	8327 61:7,24	247:4 259:14
40 317:24	55 6:5	86.2 325:20	276:1 281:19
40,000 186:13	56 6:21	87501 2:6,22	284:3 285:15
400 25:9	5:19 337:1	3:7,19	285:24 290:14
292:11	6	87505 1:12	293:20 301:4
408 2:5		2:14	335:12
4410 338:19	6 88:11,12,13	88 218:19	abortion 310:2
45 226:13	187:4 304:22	8:30 1:7	above 101:11
48 35:23	600 174:5		114:17 173:15
49 6:19	62 76:14 84:6		173:20 221:8
4) 0.1)	192:17		221:13

[absence - added]

absence 28:23	accountability	act 27:13 30:23	actually 46:24
255:16,18	22:4	39:23 43:3	69:4 71:7 75:8
absent 112:5	accountable	53:13 57:21	77:1 84:6,13
absolutely 48:1	311:2 324:7	84:22 89:9	84:23 89:10
60:21 66:15	335:7	115:3 117:9	92:15 101:4
99:14 117:19	accounting	127:7,21 128:6	105:16 115:6
216:19 236:9	111:21	128:23 129:3	121:3 123:9
236:17 241:11	accumulates	136:15,15,16	124:3 125:14
251:11	333:13	203:10 295:12	126:1 130:22
absorb 66:9	accurate 56:11	309:3	139:11 145:16
67:1	57:14 83:16,17	acting 4:3	154:19 155:5
absorbed 67:4	92:7 97:6,8	133:14	156:19 163:1
67:6 183:3	114:2,21 144:9	action 28:5,14	166:25 168:7
186:10	151:1,24 158:8	43:3 51:24	178:12 183:9
abstracts	183:22 199:8	294:19 306:18	185:2 209:8
161:25	228:9,11,12	322:23,25	216:4 217:1
academic	253:23 254:5	338:10,15	219:4 223:3
131:14	293:2	actionable	229:4,4,23
accelerating	achieved 28:13	130:7 143:24	231:1 239:21
11:20,21	achieving	actions 59:9	241:5 246:24
accept 300:3	251:22	311:3	284:21 291:20
acceptable	acid 64:6 71:13	activated	292:25 294:15
131:18	78:13 79:12	212:15 214:9	296:24 329:2
accepted 96:25	acids 64:10	214:23 215:20	adam 25:6,15
access 49:1	78:11 93:10	active 80:14	25:20
160:3,21 227:7	112:19	114:23 149:6	add 140:21
301:11 310:2	acknowledge	185:10	141:3,13,22
312:12 315:9	20:18 185:6	activities 69:25	182:2 211:23
317:25	329:4	activity 142:19	216:10 297:19
accessible	acronym 77:3	actors 118:16	added 114:16
320:13 328:16	82:7 94:11	acts 13:14,18	179:9 188:2
accomplishing	201:4	13:21 310:10	194:9 202:17
321:5	acronyms	actual 220:10	202:18,19
account 111:17	88:15	241:2 293:19	203:9,18,23
194:8 205:15		336:15	204:18 205:5

[added - afternoon]

205 21 206 2	11 77	227 22 220 2	1. 212.25	
205:21 206:3	address 7:7	327:23 328:2	aerobic 213:25	
248:18 251:14	27:13 111:6	adopted 15:18	affairs 34:21	
252:16,19	217:9 295:19	31:21 43:4	affect 32:23	
269:14 292:2	321:22	52:6 57:22	171:14 231:10	
adding 81:12	addresses	178:17 280:7	244:23 245:5	
117:10 204:18	144:17	280:22 281:19	303:19 306:8	
215:24 216:10	addressing	284:3	320:18	
234:19 303:24	42:15	adopting 50:12	affected 157:7	
addition 9:22	adjusted	52:1	168:9 331:19	
136:25 185:15	125:15	adsorb 98:10	affecting	
283:5	adjustments	adsorption	115:12,13	
additional 8:23	51:25	96:11,24,24	318:20	
109:13 155:3	administering	adults 18:7	afff 115:25	
184:22 189:10	167:6	166:2	119:20 169:4	
201:6	administration	advances 27:11	289:18 290:11	
additionally	312:25	advantage	affirm 8:12	
19:1 109:16	administrator	324:2	10:22 13:2	
217:24 282:8	34:20	adverse 14:8	17:9 20:9	
additions 56:5	admirable	92:23 93:7	23:11 26:20	
199:1	50:11	98:23 99:19,23	30:12 34:11	
additive 113:12	admissible	101:7 115:15	37:11 41:2	
113:13,16	225:16	129:3 142:4	53:2 302:12	
114:5,6,6,7,9	admission 49:6	151:21 156:17	303:1 305:11	
114:14,21	56:15 199:12	156:25 167:9	307:7 309:15	
115:11,12,18	299:10	171:16 175:2	311:23 314:9	
206:4 286:20	admit 300:17	183:13 192:24	316:3,10 319:6	
286:23,24	admitted 49:8	advised 119:15	321:16 323:14	
287:25 292:1	56:23 197:25	advisory	325:6 326:25	
additives 189:3	199:16 276:5	116:13 120:14	330:6 332:20	
196:9,11	277:1 299:20	advocacy	afford 31:23	
228:24 229:5	admittedly	154:18	affordable	
234:20 286:18	65:3	advocate 8:10	328:16	
additivity	adopt 53:20	11:7 179:15	afraid 190:8	
115:22 116:4	56:7 107:25	318:9	afternoon	
116:21,21	108:5 199:4		16:23 46:17	

[afternoon - amendments]

	1		
128:5 134:22	149:12 151:8	198:10 225:7	allegations
146:24 181:2,3	153:1 154:14	225:18 247:19	50:21
258:5,6 332:25	154:16 156:15	252:8 254:25	allocation
agencies	156:24 157:2,5	261:14 288:24	36:20
119:23,23	159:4,18,22	ahoido 323:11	allow 55:15
123:14	178:9,19	323:16,19	177:12 215:7
agency 52:1	187:20 189:25	aid 321:2	284:1 312:12
60:25 93:20	194:25 195:3	aids 112:3	315:5 322:14
94:12 143:15	206:6 207:2	aimed 15:10	328:8 334:7,17
144:7,16	210:4,8,15	50:6	allowed 304:14
145:18,25	215:14 219:10	air 64:8 100:11	304:21 315:7
agenda 27:24	219:14 233:7	100:12 103:17	allowing 24:4
27:25 28:17	234:2,3 237:10	103:21 132:15	24:18 25:3
ago 23:22 83:1	240:13,16,17	136:15 174:6	33:16 46:4
83:13 114:25	240:19 241:17	209:23 211:16	318:5
119:21 159:13	241:18,19	215:5 228:7	allows 121:22
186:2 239:17	242:14 243:13	244:18 303:8	121:23 129:9
329:22 335:2	244:25 245:3	307:16 317:10	331:17 335:3,6
agree 15:17	246:15,19	322:7 325:12	alphabet 65:11
27:7 58:7	248:2 249:21	327:11	70:11 71:8
87:19 88:25	250:3,18	aka 319:17	116:1
89:5 90:6 92:3	251:25	al 188:14	alterations
94:5 96:14	agreed 136:13	alaska 135:21	18:11
98:3 104:18	235:10 277:20	albuquerque	altering 304:3
105:6 106:14	agreement	41:9	alternative
106:16 108:4	195:7,10,13	alcohol 201:1	282:13
111:3,10,13,21	agricultural	alcohols 72:3	alternatives
112:8 118:20	63:8 328:17	algorithm	320:12
126:25 127:12	ahead 41:5	106:3,4	ambiguity
127:16 128:22	50:4 55:10	align 206:8	178:10 180:3
129:1,10	56:14 59:23	aligned 60:15	187:19
130:25 140:19	102:7 128:14	aligns 283:7	ambiguous
141:1,11,20	128:20 140:12	aliphatic 108:2	131:10 254:24
142:1,5,6,11	143:2 145:23	alkyl 79:12	amendments
143:9,14	148:22 155:14	93:10 112:19	7:6 27:6 43:24

[amendments - antoinette]

44:9 282:13	196:10,16,19	209:9	244:2 245:19
295:19 325:17	288:19,25	analyzed 43:13	277:22
america 27:24	289:10 290:12	84:10	anderson's
28:16 29:6	290:24 291:12	anderson 6:4	137:6 233:22
338:1	291:25 292:16	55:3,6,19,23,25	246:3
american 27:23	292:24 293:12	56:4,7 57:3	animal 95:2
28:2,2 154:9	293:18,21	59:22 60:2,5	animals 95:24
154:13,16,21	294:2,10,18	60:21 62:4	331:15
155:18 331:5,5	295:5,9,16	63:14 66:18	annually
americans	296:3,8,12,15	68:24 70:18	319:24
25:13	analogies	72:7 74:12	anolyte 77:15
amount 9:2	211:17	75:6,13 81:21	answer 59:20
23:23 103:25	analogy 65:23	83:23 86:11	67:10 129:22
124:22 228:16	68:8	87:1 91:13	140:10 152:3
228:25 229:25	analyses 172:1	92:1 94:3	161:4 185:9
242:23 292:9	189:24 292:11	96:14 97:22	192:3 194:18
292:15 293:5,7	analysis 43:15	100:2 104:19	196:5 224:21
306:6 315:2	115:18 172:25	108:17 110:3	225:9 263:13
317:22 319:20	179:24 184:23	113:10 115:16	264:16,25
333:23	235:9 270:4	117:16 118:1	265:1,6 266:5
amounts 11:15	292:17 298:10	118:23 119:13	273:14 284:1
69:18 242:11	298:14	121:12 122:19	285:10
ampomah 4:7	analyte 178:13	122:21 126:10	answered 85:5
186:23,24	analytes 125:22	131:8 132:21	101:23 117:21
187:14,22	analytical	134:22 137:8	153:3 163:8
188:4,16,21,25	36:10 60:25	137:15,23	257:20
189:14 190:1,4	61:19 74:24	138:3,11 140:6	answering
190:12,16,25	75:1 80:18	145:5,8 147:3	126:15
191:8,11,12,16	89:11,17,20	147:8 164:2,4	antagonism
191:22 192:5,8	108:12,18	164:12 165:3,6	115:23
192:10,13,20	112:15,22,25	165:9 181:2	antibody 18:7
193:1,6,8,15,20	178:25 194:14	182:8 196:23	anticipated
193:25 194:7	208:9 223:21	200:4,14,16	14:6
194:15,20	analyze 61:25	201:15 202:25	antoinette 5:12
195:3,16 196:2	75:1 208:10	207:6 211:1	318:24 319:11

[anybody - asking]

anybody	applies 42:2	approve 321:7	arrived 135:10
202:24 246:7	196:8	approved	art 239:12
259:11	apply 100:14	81:13	artesian 297:25
anyway 191:25	285:16	approximately	298:11
278:23 322:10	appointed 7:4	188:9	article 18:4
apart 64:1,3	278:16	april 27:13	34:25 176:8
73:7	appreciate	aquifer 241:25	218:7,8,11,14
apodaca 4:9	59:18 165:7	243:6 298:11	218:17,18,23
5:3 48:2 52:24	171:1,3 175:10	aquifers 22:6	219:1,4,12,20
52:25 53:3,7	178:10 179:9	230:6 241:15	219:21 262:15
53:10 54:4,16	184:6 186:20	297:7,8,14,18	263:1,2
296:25 300:4	188:1 279:7	297:20,22,25	articles 18:3
300:11 301:2	289:2 298:21	298:2,5	articulately
apologies 171:8	appreciated	aquma 30:19	24:7
191:19 275:13	130:6 165:8	arcadia 135:22	ashehans
289:9 297:5	168:7 289:4	arctic 210:11	316:21
apologize 91:6	approach	arduous 124:9	ashley 7:13
107:23 152:18	22:22 41:22	area 14:12 80:8	asked 57:10
173:3 174:20	52:8 114:11	80:14 114:23	81:12 101:22
185:22 190:15	115:2,5 116:8	114:25 115:17	102:11 117:20
211:16 239:13	116:12 117:14	116:15 149:6	145:17 146:6
246:6 263:22	130:1 132:16	185:10 231:1	162:24 164:12
297:12	158:20 161:22	243:11 316:23	195:18 224:25
apparel 231:15	206:6	324:3	225:1,2,3
apparently	appropriate	areas 51:7	249:4 257:19
28:24 41:13	99:2 119:3	81:22,24,25	263:3,11
44:24	120:9 158:3	228:23 313:5	265:14 268:10
appear 28:12	159:23 160:1,4	320:7	282:7 295:18
appearances	160:16,19	argue 18:20	asking 35:4
3:1	161:5,9,11	argument	39:12 101:25
appears 161:15	174:24 231:25	255:22	117:15 137:8
applaud 322:22	appropriately	aria 5:16	139:20 142:3
applications	101:21 102:18	323:11	144:2 146:12
51:1 72:16	139:5	array 50:25	148:2 155:3
87:18 233:18			190:11 211:4

[asking - aware]

225:12,13	association	209:5	authored
252:11 268:13	31:7 32:6	atomic 323:24	234:23
268:19 271:25	34:19 95:19	atoms 63:25	authoritative
272:25 282:5	150:4 322:10	atsdr 94:11	123:24
282:20 297:6	asss 74:5	144:10,20	authorities
311:5 329:1	assume 43:14	atsdr's 144:14	130:13
aspect 205:10	43:18 90:14,24	attached 56:1	authority 46:1
210:14 283:9	96:20 114:16	76:21 166:14	52:1 59:8
331:16	116:20 124:22	193:14 198:23	121:24 130:3
aspects 238:17	125:10 177:14	230:16	authorize
ass 35:10	178:14	attainable	35:23
assess 103:18	assumed	187:19	authors 218:25
assessment	157:24 160:13	attempting	219:3
27:18 36:22	assuming 19:16	36:16 65:5	autoimmune
114:22 173:23	19:22 178:6	attention 78:24	303:6,15
assessments	180:10	82:19,24,25	automatically
27:3	assumption	83:13 89:19	99:11
assessor 57:9	114:3 115:7,10	217:2 220:2	available 7:16
103:3	125:12 194:12	223:10	10:18 11:13
assessors 94:14	assumptions	attenuated	27:19 29:12
95:16 173:6	90:20 115:2	238:7	48:23 66:8,21
174:25	124:12,16	attitudes 158:4	66:22 68:15
assist 200:3	assurance	158:11 159:15	150:23 173:6
associate 168:3	133:14	160:1,17,20	174:4 216:5
associated 33:9	athas 4:19 8:1	attoidom 5:16	227:17,19
63:5 85:20	8:1,3 26:10,12	attorney	236:12 256:7,9
94:14,18,24	26:13,18,21,24	258:25 259:13	256:10,12
95:1,4,8,13,17	29:16	338:12	285:18 320:11
96:1,3 115:24	atmosphere	attributed	average 25:12
167:16 169:3	107:19	159:19	125:3 319:19
174:17 175:3,5	atmospheric	audience 55:1	avert 16:7
192:24 218:4	209:23	119:5	avoid 151:19
236:23 335:5	atom 41:13	audit 195:19	247:9
associating	43:6 62:1	author 188:14	aware 110:17
175:1	208:15,24	218:12,15	116:17 144:15

[aware - beauchamp]

144:20 145:25	145:22 147:2	209:3 251:19	276:2,22,24
152:2,4,6,11,19	161:19 166:24	252:23 253:2,5	279:14 285:18
153:19,22	183:25 197:13	253:7 277:12	287:18,19
154:21 155:11	208:13 220:11	277:15,18	291:9,18
155:17,22	220:12,13	279:4,12,14	292:16,25
236:25 239:3,5	226:17,21	288:7 291:13	295:10,17
239:6,8,21	228:4,6,10,25	291:17 294:19	296:4 309:25
242:1 253:11	242:20,25	303:9 304:18	312:10 314:21
266:15 274:22	274:24 275:19	306:18 308:25	baseline 229:11
294:9,13,17	278:21,22	315:19 317:13	basements
295:8 303:7	284:20 290:2	326:5	230:2
awesome	300:4 301:18	banned 202:4	basic 9:19
330:10	301:23 302:2	277:21	169:25 331:9
b	303:21 313:3	banning 27:20	basically 9:3
b 6:17,24 12:25	327:17	35:5,6,8 50:7	65:16 200:7
14:6 20:7 23:9	background	187:20 253:1	234:15,18
76:9 108:24	69:3 170:5	304:12 307:25	245:23,25
133:13 222:20	331:23	320:15 322:1	274:24
222:23 245:21	backing 52:3	bare 19:7	basin 9:21
246:1 299:12	bacteria 121:1	barely 318:1	21:10 217:23
299:19,22	213:25 241:1	base 322:7	267:15 268:19
305:9 314:7	bad 19:23	based 22:22	268:21 270:1,5
325:4 326:23	37:25	27:18 32:7	basing 254:16
babies 142:15	bag 44:17	42:25 50:12	254:21
baby 28:18	ban 9:15 10:2	51:18 52:8	basis 53:18
baca 5:18	12:8 23:17	57:6,14 71:11	84:5 140:22
326:22,22	26:5 31:18,20	84:25 95:2	141:4 170:2,4
327:2,5	33:6 38:15	174:7 176:19	213:16 225:4
back 10:11	40:5,12 41:11	179:6 184:19	259:13 276:20
12:17 16:10,16	42:22 43:5	185:12 187:24	battery 220:13
31:4 39:5	44:14 48:12	189:14 195:21	bazoonie
71:20 78:15	58:22 121:22	203:21 228:18	316:19
91:8 114:8	153:13 180:18	234:10 251:20	beauchamp
119:21 123:23	187:11,15,18	254:6 255:8,18	4:18 23:3,4,5,8
131:5 134:5	187:24 195:8	257:16,16	23:9,12,15

[beauchamp - bioavailability]

26:9	110:4 121:16	29:5	bill 299:14,16
beautiful 306:9	128:16 133:25	best 27:18	billion 25:15,16
beauty 306:13	135:25 137:20	56:12 59:6,11	85:17 116:25
beg 313:19	137:21,22	71:14 129:10	117:2 272:12
beginning	138:10 139:19	129:15 130:1	325:20
110:8 134:5	144:23 145:5	158:1 160:10	billions 274:4,4
135:11	145:15 147:21	160:15 176:20	bind 68:17,25
begs 38:21	148:25 152:25	199:8 230:6	69:7,8,9,12,15
behalf 2:2,10	153:3 154:4	282:8 310:25	70:6 78:18
2:18 3:3,11,15	155:6,7 157:19	314:17	79:23 184:1,17
13:7 34:18	159:24 161:6	better 87:11	binder 197:7
136:9 138:16	161:16 163:21	132:11 173:3	binders 197:15
214:1 228:1	169:23,24	174:17 177:25	binding 69:3
232:8 263:14	171:9,19	178:15 179:16	69:16,23 70:1
296:23 317:8	172:10,11,22	218:2 291:3	71:21 73:17
319:12 330:12	174:12 181:25	313:16 320:15	77:25 104:3
behave 67:24	196:18 202:12	330:15 335:4	106:8 171:15
68:21 79:16	224:24 235:25	beyond 14:12	binds 77:19
89:17 201:10	243:5 244:10	51:20 254:9,9	bioaccumulate
201:11 246:23	251:13 252:15	308:3 315:18	91:22 97:7
behavior	259:19 272:3	big 32:13 35:4	104:13 105:15
244:23 245:6	272:19 273:22	66:9,10,25	105:22 246:13
behaviors	274:10,14	69:15 78:17	bioaccumulat
158:5,12	275:6 292:17	142:22 171:10	69:20 92:8,14
159:16 160:1	293:8 294:21	183:1,2 184:9	92:17 125:25
160:17,20	297:11,19	184:12,16	bioaccumulat
beings 14:15	329:21	190:23 200:24	69:17
beliefs 13:21	beloved 328:9	213:22 230:15	bioaccumulat
158:4,11	bend 134:3	273:8 292:6	58:10,17 71:19
159:15 160:1,4	beneficial	316:21 324:19	71:20 78:1
160:17,19	219:8 249:3	bigger 83:20	85:19 90:18
believe 24:12	benefit 27:19	111:9 123:12	92:13,19 93:9
56:18 62:8	32:18 329:2	211:24	93:12 105:25
82:12 90:2	benefits 14:6	biggest 72:1	bioavailability
102:6 104:22	27:12 28:13		104:4

[biocumulative - breakdown]

biocumulative	bizzell 5:5	bodies 38:10	79:8 80:22
184:1	305:3,4,8,9,12	67:21 69:5	83:10 108:25
biodegradation	305:15,18	77:8 81:18	111:8 116:16
214:2	306:25	86:6 93:6	221:11,19
biodegrade	black 297:11	98:17,19 100:8	275:4 313:22
213:19	316:20	101:8 137:2	boundaries
biological	blank 19:23	183:24 186:10	14:13
37:17 38:13	222:21,21,24	310:13,17	bowel 93:5
106:7	222:25 223:3	body 68:22	box 78:19
biologically	223:16	69:8,10,19	boxes 72:2
66:8,21,22	blanks 223:15	77:19 78:13	brackets 78:25
68:15	bleed 329:8	92:10,24,25	brainer 324:18
biology 67:8	blends 334:18	98:22 101:10	brand 34:20
69:3 113:25	blindly 28:23	101:13 105:23	break 42:17
biomagnify	blocks 65:19	106:9 125:11	64:3 72:23
246:14	211:23	166:17 167:22	73:1,11 74:10
biopsies 126:5	blood 25:11,16	169:7 174:25	76:22 77:9
biota 103:17	25:21 35:11	175:17 176:7	91:5 105:3,4
104:14	36:8,22 69:8	246:4 320:19	111:2 113:7
birth 31:1	168:20 169:1,5	333:11,14	133:23 141:9
142:14 312:21	308:18	bogeyman 51:2	144:5 147:2
320:21	bloom 4:5	bomb 323:24	148:1,5 149:1
bit 34:2 47:23	180:25 181:1,4	bomvida	149:3,23
60:20 77:23	181:7,15 182:8	331:19	150:10 210:7
100:4,19 145:8	182:13,17,22	bond 148:3,4,7	216:11,12,14
159:7 166:8	183:4,14,17,19	149:1,3 150:12	226:12,17,22
174:20 182:24	184:6,11	216:11,12	245:23 272:17
187:2 192:21	185:17,23	bonds 148:1	288:21 300:7
226:9 233:12	186:7,18	150:5	301:17,24
288:23 289:22	288:13,15	book 163:9	325:14
290:20 314:24	blue 84:2	booklet 142:22	breakage 148:6
bite 213:8	329:16	boom 307:19	breakdown
bits 64:16	board 57:8	born 323:19	74:8,18,20,21
73:10 80:10	116:13 165:10	bottom 32:18	75:2 109:23
98:13 216:14	262:7 263:9,25	40:10 76:9,15	110:23,25

[breakdown - cancer]

111,10 15	222.0 279.2	hundangama	152,22 262,6
111:12,15	232:9 278:2	burdensome	153:23 262:6
113:1,3 147:22	broadest 170:8	31:20	263:8,24 271:5
148:13 149:16	broadly 65:5	burke 4:15	271:6
167:25	165:21 279:5	12:18,18,20,24	californians
breaking 73:1	broke 91:12	12:24 13:3,6,6	308:18
breaks 25:17	147:2 227:4	16:4,6	call 43:23 55:2
76:24 81:17	broken 64:1	burn 194:17	57:24 64:16
144:3 148:1	73:6	businesses	78:24 80:13
149:14	brought 21:15	331:18	84:14 124:1
breast 18:11	brown 126:18	busy 76:1	153:6 194:3
96:10	126:21 129:7	bute 76:9	218:22 225:9
breath 113:18	227:25 228:2	butte 272:11,20	250:16 251:10
breathable	229:8 236:20	273:2,6,8	251:12 269:9
327:11	239:23 250:24	butycyclohex	321:25 326:14
breathing 86:4	251:3	79:19	326:18
brief 57:4	brown's 127:6	c	called 10:18
186:25 199:22	127:20,24	c 2:1 6:24 7:1	38:6,25 55:7
300:2	240:3,5,9	8:8 14:11 17:6	113:21 120:13
briefly 202:15	brush 51:11	23:9 30:10	120:23 194:2
briefs 301:5	bucks 292:11	37:9,9 136:17	198:6 218:19
bring 86:10	buffer 308:1	138:20 198:18	306:14 316:24
231:13 290:19	build 324:11	299:15,19,22	330:14
327:20	building 1:10	302:24 305:8	calling 78:21
bringing	143:23 239:13	309:13 326:23	137:21 330:11
167:13,21	328:23		calls 224:21
broad 46:1	bulky 171:10	326:23 332:18	240:12
57:23 60:7	bullet 165:16	caitlyn 5:5	campaign
118:13,21	165:17 275:7	305:3,8,18 calculated	312:11
119:14 148:3	275:14,15		camulcahy
169:16,20	bunch 67:7	125:15 221:6,7	2:24
178:21 207:21	224:4	calibration	cancer 17:14
251:16	bungum 5:17	221:20,20,25	18:9,11,12,13
broader 105:3	325:3,4,7,10	222:17	18:16 26:1
110:1 133:13	326:10	california	31:1 38:2
181:17 215:7		45:15 48:14	93:24 95:2
		138:23 139:2	

[cancer - certain]

		T	
126:5 142:1,5	90:12,16 105:1	266:13 280:5	caution 41:22
318:12,19	112:21 181:24	327:22	caveat 187:20
324:5 331:4	193:12	cases 10:1	caveating
cancers 94:25	carboxylic	135:20 162:3	234:8
320:20 333:17	71:13	207:14 228:25	caveats 184:6
capabilities	carcinogenic	casing 243:17	ccrs 120:24
143:23 321:3	312:20	casings 9:19	cdc 145:25
capable 28:12	carcinogens	cast 132:8	cdc's 93:20
capacity 51:21	324:5	cat 17:6 44:16	143:15 144:6
272:11	cardiovascular	catch 69:13	144:15 145:18
cappy 1:25	38:4 93:25	213:17	celebrates
338:4,20	care 11:5 23:24	catcher's 69:13	310:2
capture 64:14	167:25 310:2	categories	cell 66:10 67:1
64:18	327:20 329:7,8	64:24 184:19	68:16 69:25
carbon 32:22	330:24 332:3	185:7,17	98:11 183:3
41:13 43:6	career 204:3	category 71:25	cells 95:24
61:25 64:3,6	careful 66:23	80:2,16	98:13
72:23 73:4,5	95:17	catering 36:17	cellular 67:8
75:25 76:1,3	caring 307:13	caught 212:18	cementing
81:8,8,10	carlos 4:12 8:4	cause 14:24	243:18
111:17 148:1,3	carlsbad	30:25 38:1,2,5	center 37:17
148:4,7,8	307:14	92:22 93:7	38:13 39:6
149:1,3 150:12	carry 308:12	95:21 96:1	230:15 304:19
165:25 166:21	cas 161:18,24	99:22 101:2	317:11,11
193:13 202:11	162:8,15,17	129:3 167:9	centered 317:3
208:10,15,24	256:23 257:4	171:21 175:21	central 100:22
209:4 212:15	case 1:4 41:24	184:5 232:1	262:6 263:8,24
214:9,23	47:6,7 53:12	249:24 269:17	317:17
215:20 216:11	135:6 139:4,10	312:20 324:5	century 39:13
carbons 63:13	204:17,24	caused 13:17	cercla 115:4
63:17 64:19	211:21 212:22	causes 37:25	certain 54:7
71:6,7 73:5	215:18 220:21	77:20 168:16	58:5 68:13
74:10 76:2,8	221:21,22	331:4	107:5 114:3
76:19,22 78:12	222:19,22	causing 69:24	115:23 124:22
79:13 89:15	223:2 247:4	153:10 171:16	149:2 167:7

[certain - chemical]

	I		
168:19 192:22	chair 165:2	245:5	96:2 104:2,4
195:14 210:12	180:24 296:21	characterizati	106:6 114:12
228:23 231:22	297:1,3,3	68:6 90:22	114:12,15
231:24 234:4	298:19 299:6	101:17 102:12	121:9 128:18
248:8 276:6	321:23 336:20	102:16 218:1	132:9 135:20
289:19,25	challenge	219:7 277:20	136:9,12,17
296:1	167:12	characterize	137:23,24
certainly 31:23	challenges	42:11 143:6,7	138:4,17,25
32:18 44:25	100:22	characterized	149:20 150:25
77:2 81:18	challenging	41:16 84:4	153:24 155:23
94:20 106:14	203:2	88:14,17 89:1	160:3 161:25
110:18 206:8	chance 112:3	89:2,6	162:2,6,10,12
240:17 265:17	change 11:20	charge 70:2	167:20 173:11
265:23 290:2	48:16 95:12	227:21,23	173:19 177:5
315:8	175:18 179:1	charges 200:1	182:4 184:20
certificate	248:22 303:12	charging	185:13 203:10
53:11 300:12	303:24 307:21	328:22	206:4 217:25
certified 57:8	308:16	chart 191:10	227:9 228:5
61:18 165:10	changes 14:8	charts 190:19	229:10 237:9
certify 32:9	50:6 51:23	chase 269:19	238:17 243:23
338:6,8	52:6 56:4	checked 296:25	244:22 245:4
cetera 36:5,5	199:1 296:2	chemical 27:9	246:22 248:1
chain 66:7	314:25	27:17 28:11	248:14 256:14
71:11 73:2,11	changing	35:11 39:24	257:1 280:9,15
76:2,23 77:7	248:16	44:15,17 45:14	280:24 281:5
77:10,18 79:12	chapter 319:13	45:19,23 58:1	281:20 282:24
79:22 90:16	character	63:23 64:2	282:25 284:4
201:13 214:16	289:20	65:22 67:5	285:23,23
290:6,7,7,8	characteristic	68:3 69:18	286:17,20,22
293:17 315:6	203:13	70:11 73:16	287:21 288:3
315:14	characteristics	75:8,19 77:2	295:7,13
chains 78:11	58:1 63:2	77:12 79:2	306:21 309:1
93:10 109:24	73:16 87:16,16	80:23 84:4,5	310:22 319:15
112:21 186:9	88:4 97:4	87:15 88:3,7	320:22 326:5
214:21,22	185:13 244:22	92:22 95:9	331:20 334:18

[chemical - cited]

	I	I	I
334:24 335:2	128:10 129:8	chemistries	308:22,23
335:25	129:24 151:18	82:11 88:18	311:7 315:16
chemical's	151:25 153:1,7	167:13 254:3	children's 28:7
175:1 203:11	153:9 160:21	255:8,25	29:2
chemicals	174:5 177:1	chemistry	chino 1:10
11:11 13:23	186:8,13 187:6	59:17 67:17	chlorinated
14:21 17:16,16	190:7 192:15	71:4,10 75:7	90:13 204:4,6
17:24 18:18	194:12 195:11	75:18,19 76:17	213:24
19:9 21:18,23	213:2,11,13	78:5 106:6	chlorines 64:4
21:24 22:10	217:8 229:14	113:25 147:19	choice 15:24
23:18 24:4	229:21 232:9	150:3 170:1,5	40:1
25:13 26:6	234:9 248:18	171:8 237:13	choices 15:24
31:5,19 32:17	257:8 276:6	237:15,25	cholesterol
32:20 35:6,9	293:23 294:15	chemists 65:25	318:13
36:1,5,15,25	303:13,17	78:5 238:5	choose 294:22
38:6,17 39:8	305:24 306:2,7	chemophobia	chose 62:10
39:18,20 40:2	306:15 308:14	151:19 152:7	chow 183:12
40:7,14 42:15	310:4,8,19	152:13,14,16	chromatogram
42:16,16,25	312:6,18	152:21,24	221:12
43:1 44:2,7,18	313:11,13,16	153:10,19,22	chromatograms
45:6 50:8	314:19 315:4,7	154:3,8 156:1	221:10
57:16 58:7	317:14,15,21	157:17 175:16	chronic 143:5
59:3 62:25	320:1,25 322:2	175:21	chunks 150:2
63:8,20 64:17	322:8,20	chevron 264:10	circumstance
67:5 68:21	325:13 327:12	264:15 269:23	280:12 281:2
69:4 70:2	328:3 331:14	chew 63:21	281:10,18
78:10 83:21	333:8,9,10	child 23:24	284:4
93:3 99:21	334:2,7,13,22	25:23 28:2	circumstances
100:5,11 101:6	335:5,17 336:1	125:10	149:2
101:9 103:16	chemist 75:11	childhood	citation 94:15
107:5 108:2	147:16 148:9	17:14 24:1	175:5
109:21 115:6,8	149:9 170:3	children 18:7	cite 154:1 185:4
115:11 119:1,8	237:21 267:11	19:14 23:24	cited 139:4
120:19,25	267:19	24:8 26:3 38:2	155:25 188:20
121:14,21		142:8 166:2	189:9 218:8

[citing - come]

citing 188:13	classic 93:3	clerk 4:9 5:3	collaborative
citizens 10:1	126:2	7:8	317:3
32:24 307:13	clean 11:23	click 123:11	colleague 57:17
310:21 311:11	136:14,15	client 258:25	244:2
city 135:21	213:3 215:9,16	259:13 266:4	colleagues
civil 136:14	215:17 216:24	clients 121:7	120:16 189:24
claim 38:22	245:23 303:8	264:16	336:14
189:11	304:8 315:18	climate 11:4,7	collect 230:11
claims 253:13	325:15 326:1	11:20 32:23	231:23,24
clan 316:19,19	327:11 331:13	48:16 303:12	collected
316:20,22,22	cleaner 204:9	303:24 304:9	131:11
clarification	204:10	307:20 308:1	collecting
174:11 177:18	cleaning 253:6	312:15 324:10	180:6,9
clarified	cleanup 177:13	335:17	collective
174:14 180:3	212:12,25	clinic 126:5	303:12
254:19	249:20,22	clinical 120:17	color 8:19
clarify 72:10	250:7,18	clinically 152:4	83:25
92:5 102:2	clear 14:22	152:7,12,20	colorado 9:14
117:15 124:25	15:9 22:9	clock 247:3	31:22 40:5
148:14 168:18	53:18 58:3,22	close 22:19	44:14,17 45:15
174:21 175:20	66:5 93:14	31:10 65:25	48:12 153:12
196:8 208:14	95:6 103:11	165:25 186:18	153:20 270:8
208:20 211:11	105:5 116:5	205:11 222:13	270:19,24
clarifying	156:7 172:5	closed 264:7	299:13,13,16
173:3 286:7	176:22 177:10	328:18	column 75:22
clarity 179:9	184:5 188:13	closely 60:15	76:11 80:18,21
class 13:23	206:3 207:22	81:6	220:20,24
42:15 73:20	230:8 254:23	closing 45:21	221:2 223:10
87:14 88:20	294:25 295:20	club 319:12	256:22 257:1
90:4 96:21	295:25 333:10	clues 290:3,8	287:18,20
108:1 139:15	334:10	cognitive 28:12	combating 51:5
139:23 167:13	clearing 166:11	coined 176:9	combine 67:7
192:14 204:20	clearly 14:5	colin 4:24 37:9	103:24
266:16 267:5	29:11 32:24	37:17	come 10:10
267:12	51:16 201:11		12:17 16:10

[come - communities]

	I	I	
34:4 37:18	300:1,3,7	164:7 165:1	commitment
52:19 68:15	301:18,25	166:8 168:16	301:12
76:17 91:8	302:6,8,10,15	175:17 187:10	committed
125:14 184:18	305:23 324:25	187:15 197:10	13:14 137:25
189:3 200:8	326:13 329:14	211:11 232:10	278:17
211:14 213:11	329:23 332:11	259:17 265:25	committee
226:17,21	336:9	271:14 287:12	321:25 322:24
228:3,6,25	commenters	294:19 300:13	common 73:18
237:8,11	29:21,25 323:5	305:16 306:17	74:1,8 76:12
238:21 246:21	comments 7:20	310:21 312:16	81:10,13 94:11
300:4 301:10	16:21 46:15	312:21 313:19	131:25 202:8
301:18 302:1	168:7 288:22	315:11 322:12	291:5
302:16 313:2	288:22 297:16	327:5,23 328:2	commonality
314:15 317:8	305:17 312:4	336:20 338:21	216:9
317:12 323:6	332:1 336:7	commission's	commonly 44:3
324:13 329:4	commerce	7:6	44:8 94:13
331:23	186:14 274:12	commissioner	175:2 319:25
comes 178:16	274:16,17,21	4:5,7 35:1	commonwealth
179:21 203:25	commercial	180:25 186:23	135:21,23
212:22 214:3	223:22 233:18	196:22 288:13	communicate
228:10 286:24	commercially	288:18 289:9	162:17
318:3,4 334:15	216:5	291:24 292:20	communication
coming 78:5	commission 1:2	294:22 295:15	57:15 119:3
123:8 126:1	4:6,8,10 7:5	298:23	130:4,10,12,12
169:11 175:25	15:25 16:2	commissioners	151:17 176:17
242:24 335:19	29:7 31:9,17	16:3 20:14	176:21
commend	32:9,25 33:15	37:16 41:7	communicati
59:15	34:16,23 35:5	47:11 170:15	129:16 305:19
comment 7:11	35:12 36:11,19	236:12 309:21	communities
7:23 16:22	40:12 45:3	310:23 311:1,6	8:19 12:9
29:20 33:25	49:1 53:20	312:3 317:13	22:18,23 34:18
41:10 46:5,17	59:15 102:3	336:13,22	36:13 119:19
46:22 47:22	107:25 108:5	commit 13:21	119:20 143:17
49:16 236:11	133:6 134:12	15:21	144:10 303:16
256:16 296:8	134:15,17		303:20 304:4

[communities - comprehensive]

317:6 330:13	compelled	complicit 13:13	104:20 107:11
331:21 334:21	305:23	310:14	104.20 107.11
			108.1 109.4,12
community 8:10 20:19,24	competent 29:13	comply 53:13	114:4 115:19
· / /		component	
36:21,23	competitive	149:13,15,23 202:18 205:12	130:22 131:1,4
153:16 156:6	28:1		131:7,22
157:21 170:1	compilation	214:2,2 255:22	139:17,24
307:12,17	84:18	components	140:21 141:3
308:1 312:15	compiled	223:8 245:2	141:13,15,22
313:20 318:1,2	123:15	composition	142:7,10,12
320:23 330:12	compiles 48:19	270:9,25 271:7	146:7,14
331:1,17,25	complete 43:16	292:4	150:22 165:21
335:15	107:4 119:25	compound 63:1	165:23 172:1
companies 19:8	129:23 138:5	109:7,20	190:6 192:17
21:17 22:1,10	completed	140:24 141:6,8	193:12,13
32:13 38:16	147:3 189:5	144:1 149:14	207:11,18
39:1,9,17 40:6	completely	211:19 222:23	211:20,25
45:13 135:15	70:25 277:14	222:25 223:15	212:18 214:10
135:20 137:11	283:11 327:13	244:3 245:22	214:14,15,16
255:25 257:14	complex	253:8 272:16	216:13 224:6
258:17,19	136:18	272:24 280:17	233:13 239:25
268:9 291:1	compliance	280:20 286:1	242:12 243:9
294:12 334:1,5	33:4 53:6,11	291:7	246:11 247:23
334:24 335:7	61:17 137:13	compounds	248:6,8,16,21
company 265:6	137:17 300:12	22:8 27:15,21	250:4,13,15,21
269:24	300:18 328:6	37:23 41:16	252:7,17 254:2
compared	complicated	42:21 50:24	255:7,16,19,21
85:17	59:17,17 106:3	63:10,16 65:14	257:15 259:10
comparison	113:23 116:15	67:13,17 68:16	266:21,25
65:20	229:23 230:20	70:5 82:21	285:4,8,21
compartment	complicating	87:15 88:14	289:24,25
244:17	231:3	89:22 90:2	291:5 295:3
compartments	complications	91:21 93:1,2,9	310:23 315:20
244:18,21	231:13	93:12,22 97:6	comprehensive
245:8,14		97:10 104:11	52:7 217:25

[comprehensive - considering]

277:12,18	318:10 326:2,3	conducts 146:2	consequences
278:1 279:4,11	333:6	confidence	13:20,22
279:14	concerning	120:24 121:4	conservation
comprehensi	17:22	173:18	1:2 2:12 4:4,6
277:15	concerns 11:18	confidenced	4:8,10 7:5 31:9
computer	50:12 57:13	61:21	32:9,25 33:15
59:25 131:13	85:1,10 90:15	confidential	34:16 43:24
concentrate	132:6 150:19	293:24	112:16 136:16
215:18,22	150:20 195:14	confirm 147:16	177:23 206:1
concentration	195:15 297:15	185:20	253:12 280:1
83:19 99:18,22	conclude	confuse 103:7	306:17 311:1
114:6 117:10	224:18 226:1,5	169:8	317:13
173:11,14	226:6 247:7	confusing	conservative
224:10 248:16	concluded	117:13 214:17	115:1 173:13
248:23 274:2,6	140:7	confusion	consider 42:23
concentrations	concludes 52:9	105:5 111:19	50:6 100:6
119:10 126:23	conclusion	269:12,17	176:10
215:14 290:5	36:10 139:16	congress 42:9	consideration
290:21	139:23 182:12	conjunction	16:2 29:14
concern 8:22	219:11	300:12	64:14 106:5
8:23 9:5 17:15	conclusively	connection	112:8 176:16
57:24 58:4	42:4	103:4 242:2	184:16 303:9
62:19 94:20	concrete 50:20	connections	322:21 332:1
101:19 106:15	conditions	241:22 243:12	considered
108:9 116:21	80:12 98:21	connor 188:14	9:11 58:6
132:18 171:13	149:20 325:14	189:9 260:12	64:19 66:8
173:18,19,25	conduct 7:5	260:16,21	81:18 92:13
174:1,14	14:24 145:2	261:24	116:24 139:17
178:20 179:5	229:10 232:11	conocophillips	139:24 167:15
182:10,14	232:14 251:8	269:23	214:16
185:14 278:13	conducted 27:2	consciously	considering
concerned 9:23	251:4 270:3	329:7	11:10 15:13
42:20 45:5	conducting	consent 32:4	27:22 28:8
72:9 93:8,11	36:21 143:21	consequence	42:14 88:21
168:21 303:5		167:9	90:4 125:6

[considering - conversation]

178:5 296:5	consumption	contaminating	continues
322:22	315:13	36:2 306:3	123:16
consistency	contact 99:20	contamination	continuing
43:7	contain 45:6	19:3,6 21:16	84:7
consistent	102:15 165:24	24:24 25:20	continuous
24:11 48:20	contained	42:5 50:15,17	333:14
57:20 130:12	99:10,21 210:1	138:2 157:8	contractor
205:18,20	210:14 279:25	231:18 232:1	286:19
295:1	containers	236:24 243:8	contrary 39:16
constantly	231:23	294:8 298:12	189:13
307:25	containing	317:22 320:16	contrast 62:24
constituent	32:11 205:2,14	322:4 333:16	65:13
243:23 319:23	254:2 255:7,25	335:7	contributed
319:23	contains 84:16	contaminations	294:7
constituents	123:22	317:20	contributes
44:17 45:20	contaminant	content 241:7	51:8
280:9,24	204:20,20	284:22 285:18	contribution
319:24	245:21 246:1	context 119:2,4	21:11
constitute	333:20	119:25 120:5,9	contributor
271:15	contaminants	121:1,8,8,22	167:24 303:24
constitutes	120:15 250:16	130:5,14	control 57:21
272:1	250:17 320:5	176:23 177:9	95:23 109:11
constitution	324:17 334:17	245:17	109:21 111:10
304:11	contaminate	contingent 70:1	262:7 263:9,25
construction	21:25 246:13	continue 38:22	controlled
174:8	246:17 250:19	85:2 140:20	210:1,14
consume	252:2 272:9	141:2,13,21	controlling
204:14	273:1,17	194:14 252:9	313:10
consumer	317:22	304:18 308:4	controversial
50:25 120:23	contaminated	313:8 324:8	41:14
204:14 231:17	38:11 119:21	333:7 335:21	conundrum
290:11	156:17 173:9	continued 3:1	168:16
consumes	303:17 325:13	5:1 203:12	conversation
125:3	328:1 331:14	251:25	30:22 34:22,25
			70:17,18 74:25

[conversation - crops]

150 22 255 0	145 1 10		1 100 05
179:23 255:8	145:1,12	council 82:8	covered 109:25
297:9 301:7	147:17,24	120:14,16	163:21 185:18
309:20 311:6	154:3,10 156:2	122:13 154:9	207:24
conversations	156:9 158:13	154:13,17,22	covers 57:23,25
254:15,20	159:17 163:12	155:18 325:22	covid 43:12
255:2 257:17	164:15 165:11	counsel 146:6	80:24
258:14	165:20 171:17	236:5 296:20	cox 4:24 37:9,9
conversion	171:22 172:13	300:13 301:11	37:12,15,17
117:3	183:1 187:25	338:9,12	40:18
cookware	188:19 190:24	count 166:18	crazy 79:14
315:1	191:4,5 202:6	186:12	95:15 322:19
cooperate 60:1	208:5 217:5,6	countless 22:8	create 8:24
coordinator	233:22 240:1,6	country 8:20	215:21 234:14
317:2	253:10 257:3,6	22:24 173:7	328:18
copy 53:25,25	257:11 260:6	191:7 308:19	created 82:16
151:23 158:21	262:9 263:11	county 35:16	creates 30:23
159:2 165:18	264:2 266:18	51:7,7 302:21	43:6
170:13,23	266:19 273:24	307:14 310:1	creating 51:19
186:25 191:18	275:22 276:3,4	312:10,13,21	231:9
197:10,12	276:14,25	330:11 331:12	creation 155:17
corner 80:23	277:5,7 278:4	couple 23:21	crime 15:7
corporate	279:22 281:12	79:24 231:12	crimes 15:6,19
36:15,18	287:22 296:7	284:16 286:6	crisis 21:16
correct 61:10	296:10	330:22	155:25 157:17
70:7,24 80:4	correctly 88:23	course 11:12	324:10 331:8
85:12 88:9	91:25 94:2	67:25 68:10	335:17
91:1 92:11	96:12 104:16	157:1,4 162:20	cristina 2:19
95:7 97:9	110:3 117:3	177:6 178:7	critical 70:3
106:23,24	122:18 130:14	195:6 229:2	125:4 178:12
113:8 127:15	141:24 165:14	247:4 286:18	321:2
134:25 135:8	203:14 210:2	293:10	critically
135:22 136:10	218:5 276:13	court 225:15,16	189:21
136:11 142:2	276:14 281:25	cover 282:24	crops 262:8
142:15 144:18	corridor 21:4	282:24	263:10 264:1
144:19,22,25			328:22

[cross - day]

	I		<u> </u>
cross 133:19,22	cutoffs 68:14	damaging	222:7 223:1,4
134:1,5,20	92:14	28:12	223:6 224:14
147:10 231:18	cuts 20:23	danger 36:1	225:15 235:9
232:1 247:8	cv 135:13 136:8	38:9 183:19	250:4 254:3,8
249:9,15 258:3	142:22 147:15	dangerous	255:10 292:13
282:17 284:18	261:4 262:19	36:24 43:15	294:13 321:2
crosses 14:13	262:20	45:7 183:5	database
crossroads	cx 6:3	186:8 243:10	190:14 234:8
22:17	cyclic 79:14	310:22 312:5	254:10 280:2
crr 1:25 338:20	d	312:18 315:4,6	date 84:10
crucial 303:10	d 6:1,23 7:1	320:25 324:1,4	308:11
335:20	14:15 55:24	325:13	daughters
cultural 14:10	142:23 198:18	dangers 314:23	309:24 311:7
culture 313:21	198:22 199:5	dangling 78:4	davis 3:4 6:7,12
317:18	198:22 199:3	dangly 78:7	48:7,8 53:23
cultures 306:11	311:20,20	daniel 2:3	54:7,11 127:23
curious 210:24	323:12 330:4	dashboard	128:13,15
curley 5:7	d1 198:23	76:18	133:21,21,24
309:9,12,13,13	265:19	data 29:11 35:4	146:21 147:5,7
309:16,19,21	d14 6:23	35:7 36:11	147:9,11,12
311:14	198:23 199:13	42:11,20 61:22	148:24 155:4
current 51:14	198:25 199:15	67:2 82:24	155:10,15
289:6,11 334:8	da 88:17 91:22	95:2 105:11	157:15 158:20
currently 11:3	daily 21:16	122:17 125:21	159:1 161:22
16:1 42:14	169:19 306:6	125:23,24	163:13,16
153:10 208:6	307:22	131:11,13	164:12 194:3
253:1 274:25	dalton 65:10	139:14,16,21	197:16 237:12
275:7,10,21,24	daltons 65:15	139:23 140:5,7	240:2 247:2
276:21,22	damage 13:17	143:12 150:18	249:12,13,16
285:18 305:19	14:4,7,11,15	150:20,22	249:18 252:11
333:1	15:2 26:2 38:2	151:5,10,13	253:16 255:1
curve 221:21	306:16 315:18	164:13,14,19	257:21,23,25
222:1	324:8	173:22 179:6	258:8
cut 269:18	damaged 331:2	180:6,7,10	day 9:20 39:1,2
	damaged 331.2	183:9 185:8	60:16 73:14

[day - degradation]

			,
103:21,21	265:25 315:12	define 156:14	181:17 182:9
124:23 178:16	320:24	166:23 187:17	185:18,21
178:24 180:9	decisionmakers	defined 13:9	187:17 190:5
181:14 204:22	42:1	41:11 43:5	190:10,17,22
205:13 224:3,8	decisionmaki	65:9 279:5	193:2,3,9,16,18
236:14 252:4	321:3	defining 101:15	193:21,23
302:8 319:20	decisions 22:17	105:9,10,17	194:8,11 195:8
334:14 335:14	119:7,12	117:17	195:20 200:3
days 52:23 78:2	120:18 129:14	definitely 84:7	200:16,18
107:18 123:5	176:3 314:18	106:16 194:20	202:12,22
181:16	314:21 335:12	definition 13:9	203:16,18,23
deadend 64:15	declaration	13:10 14:2,3	205:4 206:2,12
deal 231:19	327:7	41:15 42:10	206:15,19,24
242:20 253:7	declared 327:6	43:5,9 48:21	207:3,9,21
308:4	decrease	57:12,19,20,22	208:1,4,25
dealing 190:7	151:20	58:19,25 60:23	209:4 251:20
205:13 216:13	decreased 18:6	62:6,10,13,14	277:22 278:2
223:21 224:3	18:8	62:16 63:9	279:14 283:6,8
234:13 322:3	deemed 99:1	64:14 74:13,14	283:14 285:8
dealt 204:3	166:5	74:16 75:1	285:17,17
dear 327:5	deep 113:18	77:15 78:22	291:18 294:22
death 101:2	242:10,21	81:4 89:23	296:4
154:2,7	243:8	104:24,24	definitions
debating 22:7	deepens 303:25	105:2,3 108:1	48:20 60:7,10
decade 42:13	deeper 243:7	108:5,7 110:1	60:14,17 79:10
57:8 119:21	297:17 298:6	110:2 111:14	133:10 178:3
decades 11:17	deeply 318:10	112:7,11,11,17	179:4 180:14
98:18 183:7,8	default 114:2	112:23 113:1	182:1 208:6
335:19	115:7 116:7	126:10 167:12	282:21 291:20
december	defects 31:1	167:20 169:25	295:2
338:16	312:21 320:21	170:7,9 172:12	definitive 290:2
decided 25:21	defense 20:20	177:23,24	degradation
decipher 71:8	325:21	178:5,21 179:2	72:19,20 73:18
decision 15:8	defer 133:24	179:13,14,18	73:18 75:4
36:12 129:10	244:9,12	179:19 181:9	77:16 79:21

[degradation - determines]

109:18,25	demonstrable	depression	destruction
110:18,19	27:20 50:22	333:17	13:13,19,25
148:21 149:4	demonstrate	depth 241:4	15:3
149:25 334:19	65:5 224:14	deputy 244:13	destructive
degrade 63:25	demonstrated	derivation	215:22,23
64:13,17 77:4	121:3 142:13	131:16	detail 149:24
80:3,6,7,12,13	demonstrating	derived 125:1	282:21 285:2
90:11,13	143:12	dermal 96:11	details 181:21
107:18 192:6	denra 316:18	96:23,24	detect 172:2
192:11 213:20	density 292:13	describes 15:1	285:8
213:24 214:5	denver 45:8	describing	detected
degraded 63:20	department 2:4	312:5	120:25 222:24
degrades 64:5	31:10 33:2	description	222:25 223:3
76:22,23 92:25	35:2,19 51:22	15:23 291:9	223:16 285:12
degrading	230:4	desert 9:6	320:6
64:10 169:6	depend 149:18	deserve 35:17	detecting 168:2
degree 333:2	161:4	120:8	223:18
degrees 250:20	dependent	deserves	detection 83:19
deirdra 5:8	244:21	121:10	220:25 221:5,6
311:15,20	depending	design 230:10	221:13 222:6
delays 38:1	124:19 192:23	designed 21:25	222:13 223:23
deleterious	222:3 233:14	33:5 66:6	224:7
42:12	depends 67:5	230:9 231:7	detections
deliberate	123:10 124:25	designee 35:1	223:11
132:16	148:7,8 149:17	designing	determination
deliberately	150:13 161:3	212:22	285:25
203:10	166:22 228:22	desire 8:24	determine
delineated	295:12	desired 203:12	22:18 103:3
150:17	depict 83:15	desorb 212:1	173:7 204:23
deliver 130:3	deploy 51:11	desorption	287:20,24
delivered 120:4	deposited	209:24	289:15
delving 166:10	242:12	despite 21:10	determined
demands 26:5	deposition	253:24 312:22	106:4
democide 13:19	209:24	destroy 15:21	determines
		15:22 213:15	280:14 281:4

[determines - disclose]

281:11	diet 183:11	291:6 314:25	267:23 270:17
determining	differed 47:23	331:24	272:21 290:25
289:6,11	difference 66:3	differently	293:22 295:25
devastated	67:9 85:14	67:24 79:17	334:19
119:19	166:9 180:13	107:13 246:23	directed 217:2
devastating	277:21 311:9	difficult 148:5	217:7
58:5	differences	215:16,17	direction
develop 158:3	60:14 67:12	289:15 290:22	290:18
159:25 160:4	95:5 105:12	digesters	directive 15:7
160:16,19	277:23	328:15	directly 22:5
developed	different 18:2	dihydro 177:3	162:18 293:25
123:1	25:4 37:23	dilute 243:10	294:1 335:10
developing	60:6,10 68:13	243:23 244:1,3	director 4:3
82:4 123:3	69:25 70:9	diné 317:3	11:4 20:17
development	71:1,1 73:7	dioxins 27:17	244:13 309:24
28:13 327:7,24	79:9,11 81:22	dire 314:16	disagree 92:15
333:18	84:19 87:14,17	direct 55:17,25	104:22 106:22
developmental	87:17 88:6	56:5 86:17	141:23 156:12
38:1 93:24	96:3 102:24	87:2,12 88:13	195:9,12
125:5	103:8,19	91:19 104:10	242:18,19
deviations	107:12 109:9	107:23 113:11	297:24
221:18	117:8 123:8	118:2,3,12	disagreed
device 98:16	127:5 129:19	137:7,15	297:21
183:8	148:6 150:5	150:15 151:16	disagreeing
devil 181:21	174:6 176:18	157:23 159:3	298:1
devil's 179:15	181:14 184:19	165:15 175:11	disagreements
diagnosed	185:7 204:2,11	186:25 187:3	111:19
152:5,7,12,20	212:9 233:25	198:11,21	discharge
diagram 83:15	237:9,11	199:2 209:14	136:19
dice 28:6 29:1	238:17,21	209:18,21	disclose 19:8
dictate 185:14	244:22 245:4	217:15,19	39:18 40:6,14
dictates 67:18	245:12,13	235:4,14 236:1	120:25 121:14
183:23	246:10,22	236:6,22 249:1	259:4,16 280:9
died 43:20	249:1 250:21	253:21 261:2	280:24 334:2
	282:22 289:17	262:22,24	

[disclosed - document]

disclosed 19:22	119:14 129:8	146:1 152:15	distribution
39:25 45:17,19	132:2 200:5,9	152:16,24	14:21
151:25 153:2	227:6,7,14,16	318:13 320:21	district 272:20
163:9,11	227:18,22	diseases 303:15	disturbing
200:23 207:14	228:19 232:9	dishes 95:24	120:2
229:10 254:9	256:19,21,23	314:25	dive 221:3
255:17 274:9	270:19 276:11	dismayed 24:9	diverse 87:15
292:25 314:22	292:18 315:10	dismissed	266:16 267:5
disclosing	discovered	35:17	267:12 306:10
39:20 45:14	25:8,11,20	dispersed	diversity 37:18
119:1	discuss 47:17	30:25 31:12	38:13 87:24,25
disclosure	70:21 123:2	displayed	88:2,5,10
28:24 31:6,21	201:8 229:18	206:24 207:3	divided 65:6
32:20 39:7,17	229:19 243:19	219:11	division 2:12
44:11,15 45:24	296:20	disposal 28:15	4:4 29:8 43:25
48:13,14 50:8	discussed	31:14 220:11	74:25 108:20
57:16 59:2	118:13 203:25	220:12,15,21	112:16 121:15
119:8 121:21	204:13 233:11	disposed 321:1	163:18 179:10
128:9,18 152:3	285:7	disproportion	206:1,4 208:4
153:7,9,13,24	discussing	303:19 318:18	239:21 253:12
177:9,11	127:4	dispute 279:24	280:1
195:10 200:21	discussion	disregard 14:4	division's 47:7
255:18 256:14	64:22 71:24	327:13	177:23
259:3,18	134:17 197:5	disrespected	dna 43:13
280:16 281:5,8	210:21,24	35:17	docketed 7:8
281:20 282:25	211:16 213:19	disruption 14:8	doctor 64:21
284:4 292:22	221:9	93:25 303:15	165:15 171:2
293:6,8,20	discussions	disseminated	175:24 297:15
309:1 313:15	62:6 254:6	33:10	298:21
317:14 319:15	258:15	dissipative	doctoral 27:1
320:22 326:6	disease 18:13	150:4	document 53:5
334:22,24	18:21 75:25	distorted 9:17	53:17 116:11
335:3,25	93:21,25 94:12	distress 156:6	116:14 124:2
disclosures	143:16 144:7	157:21 176:11	154:1 159:14
118:13,21	144:16 145:19		200:22 261:11

[documentation - dr]

documentation	114:6,10,14	56:4,7 57:3,18	137:15,23
62:15 241:23	115:21 116:4	59:22 60:2,5	138:3,11 140:6
256:11 267:10	116:21 184:4	60:21 62:4,8	145:5,8,16
267:18 270:4	doses 96:3	62:12 63:14	147:2,8 164:2
documented	dosing 166:4	66:18 68:24	164:4,12 165:3
29:11 180:15	dossier 64:11	70:18 72:7	165:6,9 167:1
217:22	double 304:1	74:12 75:6,13	174:15,15
documents	doubt 28:19	81:21 82:13	178:6 181:2
82:11 142:20	185:19	83:23 86:11,12	182:8 186:24
dod 35:18	doubtful 183:7	86:15,17,20	187:14,22
dog 198:23	dow 136:9,12	87:1,2,12,19	188:4,16,21,25
199:13	137:1,23,24	88:12,25 91:13	189:14,23
doing 38:22,23	138:4,17,23,25	91:19 92:1,4	190:1,3,4,12,16
39:17 40:8	155:23	93:20 94:3,6	190:25 191:8
44:21 54:9	dow's 137:13	96:8,14,15	191:11,12,16
126:4 128:17	137:17 138:8	97:10,14,15,22	191:22 192:5,8
130:8 182:2	downhole 50:7	97:23,25 98:8	192:10,13,20
184:24 204:5	50:16 257:8	100:2 103:15	193:1,6,8,15,20
231:9 252:9	280:10,25	104:10,19,22	193:25 194:7
268:12	292:5 294:16	105:14 107:23	194:15,20
dollar 136:13	297:10 328:4	107:24 108:4	195:3,16 196:2
dollars 322:17	downward	108:17,25	196:10,16,19
328:12	32:22	110:3,5,22	196:22 197:7
domain 233:15	dozen 84:24	111:3 112:12	197:11 198:13
domestic 313:1	126:2 131:19	113:10,10,13	198:15,21
318:3	236:11	115:16 117:16	199:21 200:4
door 264:8	dozens 71:14	118:1,23	200:14,16
313:3	312:4 319:24	119:13 121:12	201:15,25
doozy 113:19	dr 4:7,19 10:7,9	122:19,21	202:25 203:1,4
dose 99:18,22	16:17,24 17:1	126:10,18,21	205:3,17,22
100:17,19,20	17:5,10,13	127:6,20,24	206:11,14,15
100:24 101:3,5	19:20 20:1	129:7 130:18	206:23 207:6
101:11,11,13	26:10,12,13,18	130:21,25	209:3,14,17,18
101:15,21	26:21,24 29:16	131:8 132:1,21	209:21,22
102:18 103:25	55:2,19,25	134:22 137:6,8	210:4 211:1

[dr - e]

216:23 217:3,7	279:2,20 282:5	drinking 9:3	dubbed 42:16
217:14,15,19	282:9 284:15	18:18 25:9	333:9
217:21 218:8	285:13 286:9	27:14 84:22	due 32:22
218:18,19,25	287:7,17	89:9 96:10	50:16 72:16
219:10,20,22	288:14,15,25	100:4,6 115:3	301:5 306:21
219:22 224:13	289:1,10	116:25 120:16	duly 55:8 198:7
225:25 226:8	290:12,24	120:19 122:14	durability 63:3
226:24 227:4,9	291:12,25	122:22 123:3,9	duration 167:8
227:13,25	292:16,24	124:12,15,23	dusty 122:4
228:2,8,13	293:12,18,21	125:1,2,11,14	duty 22:15 33:2
229:8 232:13	294:2,10,18	126:12,14	45:22 321:5
233:21,22	295:5,9,16	129:25 133:11	dwindling
234:21 236:1,6	296:3,8,12,15	156:8 157:2	320:17
236:20 237:2,5	297:20,21	172:14 229:11	dx 6:3
237:6,10,20	298:25 299:3,5	229:13 243:6	dying 36:24
238:13,20	draft 123:20	250:6 289:7	dysfunction
239:23 240:3,5	dramatically	298:5,7 333:20	18:14
240:5,9,9,11,14	71:1	334:6,15	e
240:23 241:14	drank 156:16	drinks 125:9	e 2:1,1 6:1,17
241:17 242:9	draw 180:16	drive 1:11 2:13	6:21 7:1,1 8:9
243:4,14,22,24	223:10 236:15	336:25	10:20,20,20
244:2,16 245:1	265:23	driven 113:24	12:25 20:7
245:19 246:3,6	drawn 72:2	233:16 327:16	23:9 30:10
246:9,15	76:15,20 77:22	driving 21:4	34:9 40:25
247:21 248:3	drill 28:18,18	drop 69:14	43:23 44:5,10
248:24 249:6	drilling 23:19	drops 96:18	55:24,24 56:1
249:18 250:24	26:6 31:19	drought 9:6	56:8,15,16,19
251:3 254:25	32:21 33:8	307:22	56:20,24 138:5
257:22 258:5	312:13,24	drubin 2:8	138:20 142:23
261:3 262:18	313:4 327:24	drug 166:17	158:24 198:19
264:23,24	drink 11:25	drugs 81:12	198:19 301:8
265:5,22 268:3	100:25,25	166:15,20	301:15 302:24
272:19 273:5	103:20,21	167:1,7	305:9 307:5
273:13 276:12	314:19	dry 204:9,10	309:13 311:20
277:22 278:7		229:4	311:21,21
			011.21,21

[e - embrace]

214.7.216.10	and 201.6	adaa 216.10	oichth 7.10
314:7 316:18	easier 201:6	edge 316:19	eighth 7:10
319:4,4 326:23	252:23	education 24:1	either 13:16
330:4 332:18	easiest 100:24	315:3 330:16	39:18 40:2
332:18	easily 54:9	effect 48:16	71:12 92:25
e.g. 209:23	210:1,14	99:19,23 101:8	93:4 95:20
e1 56:2 135:13	east 3:18	101:13 104:6	105:17 114:18
136:8 137:10	easy 100:3	142:4 175:2	241:21 251:5
e1-3 138:15	204:7	183:13 228:2	261:2 285:16
e25 155:6	eat 103:22	229:8 253:17	295:15
158:10,13	314:18	effective	elders 308:24
159:15	echo 313:21	214:24,25	elected 313:22
e29 56:2,16	ecocide 13:9,11	215:3 218:3	election 28:18
e30 6:21 56:17	13:12,13,19	334:12 335:6	element 13:20
56:19,20,24	14:1,3,19 15:1	effects 18:2	elements 14:9
59:25 60:3	15:1,6,17,19	42:12 77:20	elephant
190:18	16:1	85:20 92:23	272:11,20
earlier 31:2	ecological	93:7,23 94:19	273:1,6,7
52:18 97:23	103:22 131:12	97:19 98:24	elevated 156:10
98:10,11	328:9	115:15 119:20	elicit 99:19
102:21,23	economic 14:6	125:5 129:3	104:6
108:6,11 118:9	14:11 151:21	143:17 144:11	eliminate 31:20
124:3 126:18	economically	151:21 156:18	69:19 78:2,10
147:21 162:21	320:11	167:10 171:12	93:4
162:23 163:8	economy 3:15	174:16 184:14	eliminated
171:5 179:4	3:17 28:1	186:11 192:25	101:10
180:12 188:6	86:12 87:1,9	262:8 263:10	elimination
227:25 232:7	108:24 209:13	264:1 324:2	92:9
233:22 239:23	219:18	334:16,20	eloquently
269:13 333:19	ecosystem	335:18	314:15
early 23:25	14:14 318:8	efficiencies	else's 273:10
82:25 123:5	327:10	234:19	332:1
earned 334:9	ecosystems	efforts 313:8	embedded
earth 244:17	304:9	eight 74:10	318:19
317:11 325:11	eddy 51:7	76:6,8 85:12	embrace 27:24
326:3	307:14	90:12	

[embraced - environment]

embraced	endangered	281:4,11	entitled 154:1
28:17	32:15	enforcing	327:8
emergencies	endangering	178:23	entries 257:5
143:20 144:21	31:15 36:3	engage 27:25	enumerated
emerging 42:6	endeavor 180:2	engineer	150:17 178:9
120:15 143:21	ended 49:16	199:25 215:16	environment
144:25	200:21 230:3	232:17 251:11	13:17,25 14:9
emissions	235:18	251:23 266:10	27:10 30:25
32:16,22 304:3	endless 231:16	267:11,19	31:4,10,15,23
emma 4:16	endocrine	298:4	32:2 33:1,1,10
10:7 29:17	93:24	engineering	35:18 38:7
emnrd.nm.gov	endorsing	213:12 237:22	39:14 40:11
2:16	322:25	250:14	46:3 51:13
emphasize 12:3	endpoint 142:2	engineers	58:6,9,10,16
employed	endpoints	103:13	62:21 63:20
338:9,13	142:4	english 7:14	67:19 68:21
employee	energy 3:15,17	40:20,21	77:8 99:13
338:12	35:1 51:21	enormous	101:19 102:14
employing	78:14 86:12	21:11	103:16 104:12
328:18	87:1,8 108:23	ensure 28:14	104:13,21
empower	150:4 209:13	57:12 123:23	105:2,13
328:20	215:24 216:10	124:20 132:16	106:15 107:18
empowered	216:11 219:18	179:2 308:21	109:19 115:25
308:15	230:4 304:17	311:9 328:4,6	132:3 140:22
enact 42:22,24	326:1	ensuring	141:4,14,22
enacted 44:14	enforce 60:17	308:11,12	148:13 149:4
encase 322:8	108:20 209:2	enter 47:24	151:18 167:25
encompass	310:24	entire 9:2 14:14	180:17 182:20
179:5	enforceable	68:11 96:20	185:16 186:10
encompasses	58:24 179:7,22	135:9 210:23	194:13 195:14
178:19	209:9	317:22	200:11,13
encourage 9:15	enforced	entirely 210:6	201:9 204:7
encourages	187:19 194:13	255:10	209:23 210:17
41:22	enforcement	entities 35:24	213:14 215:15
	178:3 280:15	48:24 118:16	239:25 241:2

[environment - evening]

	1	I	
249:23 250:1,7	251:17,23	178:7,17,18	esquire 2:3,11
250:9,19	259:24 260:2,5	184:18 200:17	2:19 3:4,12,16
251:19,24	260:6,9,13,15	250:5 272:8	essential
252:2,22 253:4	260:21,22	273:16 295:2	151:19
253:9 279:18	261:23,25	333:19	essentially 51:2
289:21 290:1	262:5 263:7,13	epa's 76:17	57:10 184:7
290:22 304:7	263:14,23	115:1 116:11	196:9 221:18
315:14,21	265:9,11,17	117:14 182:11	222:10 333:22
321:6 322:16	284:9 298:2,3	epidemiologist	334:5
324:9 327:7	303:11,22	27:2	establish
331:10 333:12	305:19,23	equal 114:13	217:25
333:15 335:16	308:2 317:5	equally 97:18	establishing
environmental	333:3 335:5	116:13	15:9 325:24
8:18 15:9,11	environments	equated 171:10	estimate
27:4 28:9,20	51:9	equation	247:15 282:16
28:22 35:21	envisioned 29:4	303:25	estimated
36:21 41:25	enzyme 18:11	equipment	222:11 223:14
47:1 51:17	eog 49:15,17	230:16,22	223:18 224:10
60:24 61:16	163:24 267:11	equities 317:5	estreated 67:3
63:19 82:12	267:13 287:5	equity 11:8,9	et 36:5,5
88:21 90:5,20	epa 22:21	317:3	188:14
103:13 134:25	27:14 35:19	equivalent	ether 83:6
135:3 137:17	36:6 42:24	65:22 273:22	ethers 83:4
143:20,21	57:20,22 60:25	erratical 50:21	ethical 45:21
144:21,25	62:15 84:13,18	especially 8:21	eu 64:11
150:21 199:25	105:17 108:8	9:20 11:19	european 15:5
200:1 210:25	114:24 116:8	42:6 64:7 66:4	15:6
211:5 212:10	117:1 124:12	93:8 112:1	evaluate
213:12 215:16	126:1 131:14	115:8 120:3	189:22
218:2 222:12	136:14 137:24	123:5 138:1	evaluation
231:1,20	137:24 158:13	142:8 169:3	173:8,21 317:4
232:17 236:24	159:14,20	178:10 189:16	evd 6:18
237:22 239:19	160:25,25	195:4 303:7	evening 302:10
241:9 245:15	161:9,11 172:6	330:23 334:16	305:15 309:20
250:14 251:11	172:19 173:4		

[event - exhibit]

event 15:16	evolve 188:3	156:1,5 157:17	118:2 196:22
31:3 59:4,10	exact 127:9	212:13,15	202:25 217:19
269:18 281:8,9	220:13 235:4	213:17 214:23	219:22 227:17
283:17,21,22	exactly 11:12	254:9 266:4	240:9,10 250:1
302:2	81:11 98:7	289:17,18	256:9 298:25
events 137:2	128:25 129:3	328:14	299:3,13
243:16 244:4,5	166:16 184:10	examples 76:12	excused 197:4
244:8 283:23	205:9 217:6	79:24 98:5	execused 299:7
eventual	264:21 269:13	119:16 202:9	executable
109:22	301:10 313:13	211:14 236:22	58:24 179:22
evergreen	examination	exceed 116:24	execute 59:8
124:1 178:11	55:7,17 134:20	117:1	60:17 209:10
188:1	147:10 164:10	excellent	executed 60:13
everybody	198:6,11	180:19	194:13
10:11 87:10	249:15 258:3	except 280:12	executive 11:3
172:18 209:15	284:18 287:15	281:1	309:24
everybody's	examine 219:1	exception	exempt 22:3
112:23	247:8	96:23	30:21
everyday 25:13	examined 55:8	exceptional	exercise 105:18
evidence 18:5	198:7	122:16 125:19	exhibit 6:18,20
18:10 45:1,13	examiner 47:11	exceptionally	6:25 48:12,13
48:10,24 49:11	47:19	58:14 85:22	48:15,18,19
50:13,20 51:19	examining	187:5	49:9 53:17
52:2,8,11	219:4	excess 247:9	54:18 56:1,8
54:21 56:25	example 14:22	excessive 14:5	56:15,17 59:25
68:4 95:18	53:19 69:5	32:22	83:25 86:12
132:16 143:10	74:1 75:23	exchange 215:7	87:1,9 108:24
189:6 197:21	76:8,15,19	215:21	122:6,10
198:3 199:18	78:1 79:17	excited 24:1	135:13 136:3,8
236:22,25	81:14 85:15	excludes 207:9	137:10 138:5,6
294:5 299:23	94:23 95:3	excluding	155:6,6,9
300:24	98:8,9 100:25	294:25 296:5	158:10,13
evident 254:3	101:3 105:17	excuse 28:5	159:15 190:18
evidentiary	116:23 120:12	33:12 87:7	197:18,20
336:24	125:2 149:22	91:20 107:22	198:1,22 199:5

[exhibit - exposure]

199:12,17	expansive	expertise	explore 155:12
201:17 202:1,3	41:18 51:6	103:12 120:11	238:7
202:8,22	expect 32:25	145:10 175:19	exploring
203:17 205:19	176:19 295:4	200:12 201:14	264:21
206:22,25	313:2	240:23 293:1	explosive 21:9
209:13 218:19	expectations	experts 103:14	exponentially
219:18 237:7	290:19	105:14 114:24	68:12
261:4 262:24	expense 323:22	121:24 165:8	expose 310:3
265:19,20	experience	224:17 225:6	exposed 67:20
279:25 299:11	51:10 57:7	225:10,13,13	86:2 116:17
299:12,15	59:6 119:18	225:24 238:2	149:20 156:21
300:17,22	135:14,16,17	293:9 315:10	192:24 308:13
exhibits 6:19	150:3 162:10	expire 12:6	310:21 314:19
6:21,22,23,24	228:21 232:12	expires 338:21	exposing 18:17
48:10 49:6	239:18 242:4	explain 53:9	exposure 25:25
54:8 56:2,5,16	244:7 274:2	62:10 64:25	30:23 42:5
56:24 158:24	experienced	66:21 70:20	51:4 57:13
185:4 198:23	18:15 118:25	75:13 82:1	62:22 68:5
199:2,15 293:7	119:14 120:21	87:17 99:15	69:17 85:25,25
293:8 299:22	330:20	100:19 113:16	96:3,8 97:1
exist 21:23	experiences	124:11 205:7	99:5,17,18
89:11 108:19	229:19	213:5 219:24	100:1,18,24
122:17 125:21	experimental	225:1,2 235:11	101:20 102:17
150:20	95:22	explained	103:24 106:12
existence	experimentally	166:25 277:22	106:13 107:3,4
108:13	126:4	282:23	119:9,10,25
existing 15:8	expert 11:16	explaining	122:1 124:23
19:3	13:10 137:20	221:4	125:13 129:23
exists 42:11	137:23 138:4,9	exploitation	131:17 132:12
139:14,22	138:16,17,24	327:16	143:18 144:11
151:6,10	139:6,13,21	exploited 32:14	144:17 150:23
expand 84:7	225:5,15	exploration	157:3 166:4
expanded	237:21 238:2	30:21	167:4,7 175:1
283:8	284:7 292:20	explorations	182:19 184:4
	315:8	10:3	192:23 245:20

[exposure - fe]

	I	I	I
245:23 250:11	195:15 223:20	factually 187:8	65:4 68:11
250:12 303:16	324:10	fail 262:23	70:24 71:3,21
315:13 318:12	exxonmobil	failed 137:1	72:1 191:25
333:11,14,23	264:10,15	330:25	314:18 317:9
express 50:11	eye 266:5	failures 332:6	323:20 335:13
325:16	eyes 114:7	fair 33:19 68:6	fan 211:16
extend 51:20	f	77:1 90:22	far 9:17 67:2
extending	f 29:8 71:5,13	101:16 102:11	113:3,6 131:11
241:24	74:13 76:23	102:15 145:15	180:17 212:6
extends 14:12	93:14,14 97:6	153:6 179:12	286:25 297:11
extensive 64:11	106:18,18	205:16 210:9	farfetched
203:21	239:1 248:11	229:25 242:23	216:4
extensively	248:12	250:16 265:20	farm 25:7
143:12	f53b 285:11,11	292:9,15 293:4	331:15
extent 112:18	face 317:19	293:7 308:9	farmer 25:6
152:2 153:4	facility 220:11	fairly 230:14	farmers 24:23
297:12 334:1	220:12,15	230:19 243:19	farmland 25:3
extinction	facing 15:25	fairness 247:12	farms 331:19
15:15,16	fact 15:14	faith 332:2	farthest 81:6
extra 158:23	19:10 32:5	fall 71:2 72:4	fast 101:1
extract 215:19	43:17,19 45:18	83:12 112:23	107:8 109:2
extracting	57:14 81:16	170:6	faster 179:2
326:2	92:13 98:15	falls 71:25	fate 68:5 75:20
extraction 7:7	212:5 235:6	familiar 122:21	103:14 105:14
304:1 313:2	269:1 272:18	122:25 144:13	148:10 149:10
extractive	301:7 322:11	146:4 153:12	200:10 211:10
310:3	322:14 334:25	161:24 218:11	244:16,24
extrapolate	factors 124:18	227:13 229:12	245:6
132:21 185:7	124:19 213:23	238:16 256:22	fatty 78:11,13
extreme 35:8	231:4	256:25 286:9	fault 18:21
63:12 191:10	facts 50:22	295:5	favor 254:2
294:19 307:21	115:21 176:19	families 23:23	255:7 291:17
extremely	factual 158:1	318:17	fda 24:16
11:15 65:1	160:14	family 24:2	fe 1:12 2:6,14
84:11 148:5	100.17	35:16,22 36:23	2:22 3:7,19

[fe - fluorinated]

10 5 0 1 0 1	M 1 4 22 10	0 1 1 10 6 00	6 1 51 00
19:6 34:24	fight 32:19	finish 136:23	fiscal 51:20
35:16 36:25	38:21 303:12	226:18 236:14	fit 28:3 77:23
333:1	303:20	318:16	78:7 79:9
feasible 320:12	fighting 35:11	finished 47:7	fitting 77:24
features 246:22	51:5,12 74:3	155:4	five 23:20 83:1
federal 28:18	figure 82:5,15	fire 35:10 51:4	83:13 92:7
43:10 119:23	103:24 174:1	51:11 74:3	159:13 186:1
feedback	204:7 245:19	168:9 317:10	300:7 301:17
123:14	322:17	fires 51:6,11	316:25
feel 19:7 118:24	file 53:12	first 7:25 23:7	flag 222:8,10
305:23 313:6	filed 53:11	25:8 31:17	222:14 223:13
feelers 123:14	fill 185:8	34:6 35:21	fleurosurfact
feelings 176:15	filled 308:8	37:8 40:23	132:5
feet 230:25	filling 229:24	55:2,8,24	flinching 78:6
240:19	filter 190:14	75:22 80:21	flint 155:25
felicia 4:2 7:4	212:15,18,23	82:15,16 92:5	156:7,10,16,20
302:4	final 109:14	94:10 104:20	157:6,12,14,16
fellow 45:22	123:20	118:16 119:3	331:7
330:12	finally 83:10	132:7 140:14	flonase 166:2
felt 307:21	237:16	165:6 177:21	floss 315:1
fetal 18:8	financially	178:2 181:4	flow 230:22
333:18	338:13	182:12 193:22	231:9
field 18:19 21:8	find 17:19,22	197:19 198:7	fluid 44:12,19
61:14 66:2	24:17 49:2	198:18 219:8	58:23 195:9
68:23 113:23	52:5 162:5	223:10 252:24	270:9 271:1,7
160:2,19,21	173:5,12	253:2 261:1	281:21
171:11 222:12	175:12,14	270:22 272:15	fluids 8:22 9:9
224:17 225:5,6	235:1 268:6	275:18 286:13	9:13 10:3
225:14,24	275:12	302:1 305:6	31:12 32:11,17
231:20 237:21	findable 54:9	306:20 307:4	45:6,24 234:15
322:8	finding 42:7	309:11 311:19	271:16 272:2
fields 9:25	115:24 132:3	313:20 323:10	273:23
308:7	219:12 322:11	325:2 326:21	fluorinated
fifth 21:7	fine 91:7	330:1 332:16	41:12 43:6
	226:14		61:25 63:1,6

[fluorinated - found]

63:13,17 64:2	fluorophilic	55:1 302:1,16	335:17
71:6,11,23	72:3,4	330:17,19	forget 48:9
72:13,24 73:2	fluoropolymer	follow 40:4	forgive 175:14
73:6,8,11,12	70:25 98:9	44:11 61:18	forgot 93:16
75:23 76:7	fluoropolymers	174:19 279:2	form 24:20
77:7 79:4,12	64:23 66:5,12	295:9 313:17	35:11 238:22
79:18,19 80:10	66:25 81:23	313:18	238:25
80:19 81:9,9	109:4 110:10	following 27:22	formal 78:22
82:21,23 89:15	111:1,11,25	29:5 107:25	formation
93:10 104:25	112:1,4,6	276:16	109:14 203:11
111:16 112:19	fluorosurfact	follows 55:9	297:10
112:21 113:4	72:16 81:20	198:8	former 30:19
165:24 166:21	fluorosurfact	food 38:11 86:5	forming 313:20
181:24 193:12	71:17 74:9	96:11 103:21	forms 52:7
202:11 206:19	109:5 110:15	103:22 231:15	237:9,11
207:10,24	111:1,13 112:6	246:14 262:8	238:21 272:12
208:10,15,24	fluorotelomers	263:10 264:1	formulas 39:21
209:4 266:16	83:4	315:1,6,14	45:14
267:5,13	foam 51:5 74:3	318:20 328:17	formulation
293:17 295:1	209:25	football 66:2	109:10,14
fluorination	foams 51:12	171:11	formulations
148:8	focus 51:14	footnote 83:14	74:5
fluorine 76:3	178:16 190:21	force 322:7	forthcoming
148:1,3,4,7	191:1 196:11	foregoing	186:3
149:1,3 150:12	214:13 274:2	338:5,6	forward 29:3
216:11,12	283:13 296:13	foremost 31:17	62:7 308:10,12
fluorines 71:5	297:14 298:4	forever 17:15	fossil 21:23
71:23 73:4	317:4	17:16,17 33:7	326:2
76:21 79:6	focused 206:4	38:6 42:16	found 58:8
81:7,12 166:14	207:7 208:22	213:2,10,13	89:18 98:22
168:2,2 193:13	focuses 62:18	217:8 303:13	105:19 132:22
fluoro 71:5	focusing	310:4,10	137:24 266:21
79:19	191:22	313:11 320:1	266:25 269:2
fluoroalkyl	folks 7:15,19	322:15 327:12	269:14 293:24
8:20 200:25	20:3 33:24	331:14 333:9	

[foundation - full]

foundation	271:23 274:8	fracture 241:23	fresh 48:17
14:1 137:22	275:25 276:3,7	241:24	297:17 320:17
224:23,25	276:10,23,24	fracturing	freshwater
270:16,16	280:10,25	32:11,12 59:3	31:16
270:10,10	285:15,19,24	189:7 195:9	friction 207:15
foundational	287:19,20	204:25 207:12	208:20 234:11
157:11 261:10	288:4 291:10	207:16,19	234:14,16,20
262:14 273:5	292:17 293:10	228:15 234:6	288:1 291:2,11
founded 155:2	293:15,24	234:12 239:4,7	friday 1:6
founding	294:7	242:25 243:2	friends 46:25
154:22 155:5,9	frack 163:9	253:25 267:14	front 86:25
155:19	205:12 228:17	268:5 270:1,9	87:9 89:3
four 52:22 92:7	228:19,23	270:10,25	111:8 151:3,23
114:13 125:12	286:20	271:1,7,8,16,21	158:7 159:2,5
186:1 308:3	fracking 8:21	272:1 273:23	161:7 165:18
316:25 319:19	9:9,13 10:3	275:1,11,21,24	256:3,6,9
333:21 334:14	11:14 14:21	286:8,10 295:4	297:13
fpeg 78:21 79:5	31:12 32:17	frame 235:16	ftoh 76:19 84:7
271:25 274:11	38:25 44:1,12	frames 241:16	192:17
fpesaa 77:5,12	44:19 45:6,24	framework	ftos 84:6
77:14	187:21 188:7	52:3 158:15	fts 76:14
fracfocus 44:12	228:21 303:10	francis 1:11	fuel 21:24
152:3 153:4	303:16,23	2:13	fuels 326:2
188:8,18 200:5	304:12,23	frankie 5:18	full 28:24 31:5
200:20 207:15	313:16 319:24	326:22	44:15 45:23
227:6,8,10,14	327:15 333:8	frankly 36:4	59:2 77:2
227:17,19	333:25 336:1	124:8 214:11	85:14 88:16
234:8,10	fracks 241:22	freak 176:3	90:4 121:20
235:22 254:4,8	286:13	freaked 177:3	128:9,18
255:11,14,15	fraction 189:2	free 32:2	173:22 174:5
256:7,10,13,18	189:4	frequency	177:11 180:18
256:20,23	fractioning	83:19 89:18	256:14 269:9
257:5,16	58:23	167:8	280:15 281:5,7
266:21 269:2	fractions	frequent 244:8	281:19 282:25
270:11 271:2,9	208:16		284:4 292:22

[full - general]

317:14 320:3	fundamental	gaining 321:4	258:17,18
fully 23:17 27:5	193:16	galisteo 2:5	259:9 262:8
41:12 43:6,13	funded 154:23	gallons 228:18	263:10 264:1
61:25 62:25	155:5,19 230:4	272:10,12	265:3 266:18
63:13,17 64:2	funding 24:16	273:18 274:5	267:7 268:19
71:6,10 72:12	33:18 36:20	319:25	268:20 270:11
73:2,5,12	funds 329:1	game 265:20	271:2,9 277:11
75:23 77:7	funky 79:13	•	280:11,12
78:12 79:3,12	furniture 315:1		281:1,21,21
· ·	further 14:2	0 1	283:1 284:5
79:17,19 80:10		gaps 139:16,24	
80:19 81:8,9	15:1 47:12	185:8	288:8 291:1
82:20,23 84:3	64:17 133:18	gas 7:7 9:17	292:3 293:13
85:4 89:15	146:17 163:13	10:2 17:23	294:11,14
90:12 93:10	164:23 180:21	21:11,13,17	295:6,11 304:3
104:25 111:16	196:20 216:21	22:3 23:18	306:12,18
112:19,21	259:18 284:11	26:6 28:21	307:25 308:25
113:4 165:24	287:2 298:19	30:20 31:6,19	310:9,16,22,24
166:20 193:14	334:4 338:8,11	32:5,8,13 33:8	311:2 312:13
202:11 206:18	furthermore	36:15,18 38:14	312:24 313:2,4
207:10,10,24	45:3	38:16,20,24	317:15,20
208:10,24	future 12:5	39:1,8 40:5,13	319:18 320:2,3
209:4 229:10	19:14 42:8	43:8 44:3,8,15	320:7 322:9
291:23 293:17	194:9 236:14	46:2 50:9,18	324:7 325:18
294:14,25	304:10 307:13	51:3,9,15	325:21,23
313:12	308:6,24	73:22 118:14	327:13,24
fun 75:7	310:11,11	135:15,17,19	328:6,13 334:5
function	311:4,5,7,10	135:25 146:7	334:24
302:15	317:18 320:16	146:14 152:1	gases 63:6 64:8
functional 88:2	324:12 325:17	153:13,24	64:10 107:15
331:17	326:4 333:6	162:25 163:3,6	107:17
functionally	335:8,16,22	179:10 180:17	gears 130:17
333:22	g	192:1 236:23	geca 333:4
functioning	g 7:1 34:9	241:16 253:25	general 99:8
327:10	314:7 325:4	254:11 255:5	100:11 129:15
	21, 223.1	255:19 257:13	129:17 137:16

[general - going]

120.22.147.0		• 01.10	1 050 15
138:22 145:8	geographic	gives 21:19	goal 250:15
170:5 215:24	14:12	42:21 68:3	252:21,25
216:5 229:9,21	geology 228:22	289:22 290:3	goes 102:22
232:16,20,22	240:21 241:2,6	giving 53:14	145:12 228:3,9
232:23 248:20	gerasimos 4:3	161:3 328:12	245:21 246:11
268:14	gestation 96:9	global 28:1	295:20
generally	getting 79:13	glycol 201:1	going 7:9 20:11
100:10 124:15	83:12 94:16	go 8:3 16:16	21:13 29:24
246:11 284:22	111:9,21	26:10 35:8	33:23 47:5
286:8,9 290:21	133:15 135:5	41:5 48:2 50:3	49:18 55:2
generate	182:6 185:4	55:10 56:14	56:14 67:21,23
221:25	186:18 222:13	59:23 66:14	69:14 71:20
generation	266:12 292:13	87:5,8 102:7	72:22,23 76:6
24:13 323:21	giant 197:7,15	108:23 122:4	80:11 84:21
327:25	give 32:1 35:24	123:23 126:6	85:2 86:2
generations	74:1 86:8	128:14,20	88:15 94:10
12:6 19:14	122:7 134:10	134:3,5,7,15	95:11 96:6,7
22:19 35:23	149:22 158:19	140:12 143:2	101:12 103:25
42:8 303:18	176:22 188:5	145:21,23	104:9 107:21
306:14 310:11	201:18,22	148:22 155:14	107:22 108:23
310:12 311:8	219:15 224:21	164:7 165:1	109:1 127:23
317:19 323:25	259:17 290:8	167:1 179:1	129:22 134:3,4
324:12 335:16	326:16 327:17	189:1 198:10	136:2 137:5,11
335:23	330:1	202:22 210:21	148:16 169:5,7
generous 328:9	giveaways	210:23 212:6	170:3 178:7
genocide 13:18	325:22	215:8 222:9	179:14,15,16
gentleman	given 28:11	225:7,18 228:5	180:9 184:18
168:19	34:1 102:5	228:24 236:2	185:14 187:1
gentlemen	140:10 158:5	241:3 247:19	188:3 190:9,21
15:25 16:8	158:12 159:16	252:8 254:25	191:20 196:8
genx 72:3	160:2,3,18,20	261:14,14	196:11 202:14
76:15 77:21	162:6 173:8	282:15 287:11	202:21 203:8
78:1,16 83:5,6	175:1 300:2	288:24 302:2	204:8 206:21
88:17 90:17	301:25 302:6	332:13	207:13 209:12
125:12 192:15	312:14 325:18		209:20 211:20

[going - group]

		I	
212:2 217:11	69:2 77:13	graduate 27:4	316:12
217:18 218:22	90:19 91:4	grain 67:3	greater 83:18
220:5,16	119:22 126:7,7	222:16 241:7	105:20 260:11
221:11,13	130:11 134:22	grandchildren	greatest 331:23
226:9 228:6	151:4 173:2	311:5	greatly 27:12
230:13,14,14	181:2,3 182:24	grande 272:13	green 83:2,7
230:15 232:1	190:14 226:12	319:13	84:6 323:7
236:13 237:4	235:8 241:4,8	grandfathers	greenhouse
237:15 239:10	242:22 243:21	316:20	64:10 304:3
240:2,8 241:12	258:5,6 289:14	grandmother	greenlatinos
242:20 246:5	292:14 305:15	311:4 316:25	8:10,17
247:6,10	309:20 332:25	318:10	greg 4:5
252:10 253:6	336:17,24	grandsons	grisham 22:20
253:22 254:18	goodwill 33:3	316:25	313:14 335:1
258:24 262:12	google 17:20	grant 34:19	ground 12:7
266:4 267:21	162:5 173:5	granular	22:5 24:5,19
268:1 269:8,8	gosh 125:24	212:14 214:9	38:17 39:10
281:6 282:15	174:4	214:22 215:19	99:11 101:18
291:14 294:13	government	graphs 124:3	grounds 258:25
295:18 296:15	27:8,12 28:4	grappling	groundwater
297:10 301:4,5	34:21 35:24	24:24	9:8,18,23 21:1
301:20 335:18	118:15 157:5	grass 51:5,11	24:6,25 50:17
335:18,20	295:12 313:22	grasslands 51:6	84:15,17
gold 61:15	320:7 332:3	grave 14:9	123:10 172:15
goldilocks	governor 22:20	15:23,23,24	173:10 174:5
184:8,12	35:19 313:14	317:19	189:7 209:24
goliath 142:1	335:1	gravest 15:11	211:12,14,15
good 7:3 10:13	governor's	graze 331:15	211:17 232:14
10:14 12:20	8:24	grease 63:11	306:4,5 307:23
16:17 26:25	grab 82:18	72:18	312:19 313:17
37:16 41:6	gracias 37:3	great 11:18	318:4,5 320:9
47:10 48:8	gradient	23:15 27:24	334:15,17
49:21,22 54:24	248:23	28:16 29:7	group 72:5,8
54:24,25 55:4	grading 248:17	47:14 236:16	72:25 79:5
55:19,20 57:6		288:20 316:9	82:8 107:11

[group - happen]

154:18 187:5	guardian	guesswork	handle 101:8
214:20,21	197:20 218:19	335:9	126:7 241:5
groupings	guardians 3:3	guidance 57:15	242:22 243:21
185:12	3:5 6:19,22	82:10,17	hands 47:4
groups 66:13	20:17 27:6	119:22 123:7	215:5 336:7
77:8 88:3,3	38:15 39:7	123:22 124:2,4	hang 69:10
98:12 103:23	48:10,11,13,15	143:24 145:8	hansen 62:8
111:17 175:9	48:18,21 49:6	guide 121:7	86:13,15 87:2
291:20 312:16	49:9 51:24	h	87:19 88:25
grow 85:2	54:11 58:20	h 6:17 10:20	92:4 93:20
123:16,17	81:5 104:23	23:9 26:18	94:6 96:8,15
178:13 194:14	122:6,10 147:5	30:10 138:20	97:10,14
315:16	147:13 163:17	198:18,19	104:22 107:24
growing 116:2	179:19 181:11	307:5 316:18	108:4,25 110:5
growth 18:8	181:18 194:2,4	323:12	110:22 113:10
194:9	195:5 197:18	half 91:22 92:8	113:13 167:1
gsi 134:24	197:24 198:1	92:8,9,11,20,21	174:15,15
135:2 188:13	201:17 202:1,3	92:24 105:20	209:22 217:3,7
200:1 259:23	202:7 203:17	105:23 124:24	217:15,21
260:1,4,6,9,12	205:19 206:12	136:13 301:20	218:8 219:20
260:15,20,22	206:22,23,25	312:14	219:22
261:23,24	207:2 209:3	hall 1:10	hansen's 86:17
262:5 263:7,13	228:1 232:8	hallmarks	86:20 87:12
263:14,23	237:7 247:7	130:11	88:12 91:19
264:4,9,14,21	249:19 266:1	hallock 1:25	97:15 104:10
265:8,11,17	279:25 299:12	338:4,20	107:23 111:3
266:3	guardians's	hand 7:21	132:1 145:16
guadalupe 2:21	179:13,14	43:25 46:19	206:15 209:3
3:6	guess 122:8	53:5 80:17,22	209:14,18,21
guarantee	130:9 166:21	81:7 158:21	217:19 219:10
255:24 257:18	174:15 175:16	220:5 297:23	happen 9:20
guaranteed	175:18,24	302:14 304:15	28:4 45:16
334:20	180:3,8 321:7	326:13 332:12	104:7 148:10
guard 74:6	326:4	handed 47:22	148:12 243:12
		папаса 77.22	243:12 244:17

[happen - hear]

283:17,20	harmful 18:2	26:1 27:1,2,4	192:24 249:22
307:16 334:13	18:17 19:15	27:18 28:7,22	249:25,25
happened	31:5 32:16	29:2,10,13	250:1,8 262:7
101:4 189:18	33:7 143:17	31:7,14,22,24	263:9,25
312:25	144:11 322:15	32:15 33:1,5	279:17 284:8
happening 15:2	harming 19:12	36:3,21 40:12	303:6,11,14,18
15:19 77:14	harmless 43:17	42:12 45:7	304:3,8,9,19
153:20,23	43:19	46:3 50:10,18	305:25 306:2
242:10,21,22	harmony 327:9	51:17 57:9	306:19 307:16
277:24 297:17	harms 14:20	59:1,11 62:9	308:12 310:2
happens	15:11	62:23 77:20	310:13 317:3,5
243:22	harold 4:25	84:25 85:10,20	318:9,11,18
happy 59:20	40:25 41:7	89:3 93:23	320:16 321:5
111:4 134:7	hart 2:20	94:19 97:19	324:5 328:9
166:12 170:14	hazard 28:11	99:12,19,23	334:20 335:4
191:14 199:23	114:11 115:2,5	101:12,19	335:13
213:7 216:6	116:8,9,12	102:14 114:3	healthcare
220:2	117:14	115:1,8 116:7	162:16,18
hard 38:21	hazardous	116:20 119:23	healthy 11:4,24
119:24 126:9	30:24 32:3	120:13 124:17	28:3 36:8
161:23 204:22	36:6,25 143:19	129:9,14	308:22 311:10
235:7 247:25	143:22 144:12	131:12 132:18	314:18 315:17
248:13	144:17 145:3,6	142:4 143:17	327:8 333:23
harm 14:8,24	146:3 321:24	143:20,21,22	hear 8:1 12:16
26:1 35:25	322:24 334:3	143:24 144:11	16:14 17:1
36:14 38:1	hazards 27:9	144:21,25	20:4 23:5
42:3 45:1,16	hazel 5:11	145:2 146:2	26:13 29:19
45:23 102:13	315:25 316:17	150:19 151:20	49:7 57:18
303:25 306:21	head 171:7	154:8,10,13,17	97:22,25 100:3
310:10 315:4	175:20 260:11	154:22 155:18	118:1,8 119:6
334:25	heading 261:8	156:17,25	126:18 127:6
harmed 44:22	health 9:24	174:7,16 175:2	127:20 130:18
44:23,24 45:15	11:2,5,6,8,9,9	179:3 183:5,20	130:21 165:19
320:4	11:16,24 18:23	184:14 186:2	166:9 168:11
	21:20 22:18	186:11 187:7	177:4 205:23

[hear - hearing]

205:25 227:9	33:22 34:10,13	197:12,16,22	280:19 282:11
239:12 250:24	37:4,10,13	198:9,24	283:2,12,24
251:2 253:17	40:17 41:1,4	199:11,14	284:12 286:18
307:15 316:7	46:7 47:2,11	201:3,19,21	287:3,10
316:11 319:1	47:14,19,20	204:1 216:20	288:11,18
332:14	48:1,6 49:3,12	217:1,10	296:4,17,18,24
heard 9:1,9	49:22 50:3,14	221:10 224:22	297:4 298:18
31:2 37:22	52:12,14 53:1	225:7,17	298:24 299:1,4
38:19 50:14	53:4,8,15,17	226:11,16,21	299:8,18,24
58:7 60:5	54:2,3,6,13,18	227:1 233:12	300:9,15,16,21
75:14 82:2	54:22,25 55:4	235:24 236:7	300:25 301:3,6
112:10 115:16	55:10,14 56:2	236:14 237:17	301:16,23
128:2 130:6	56:18,22 59:19	238:6 239:11	302:5,22,25
131:24,25	91:3,8,12	239:15 240:4	303:3 305:1,5
148:20 165:10	97:16 101:24	247:2,14,18	305:10,13,16
165:14 169:24	102:4,7 117:23	249:10,13	305:17 306:24
179:23 181:15	120:7 128:1,12	251:18,21	307:3,6,9
195:12 211:1	128:19 133:20	252:20,25	309:6,10,14,17
212:8,24,25	134:2,8,12,18	254:22 257:24	311:13,18,22
216:15 233:21	136:5,23 137:3	259:1,6,15	312:1 313:25
264:12 273:20	137:12 138:7	260:18,23	314:4,8,11
312:4 322:6	138:13 140:9	261:8,13	315:23 316:2,6
hearing 4:2	141:9 142:21	262:11,17,25	316:9,12,14
6:20,25 7:3,6,8	142:25 144:4	263:4,16 264:7	318:15,23
7:12,18 8:6,11	145:7,14,20	264:12,18	319:2,5,8,15
8:14 10:5,8,14	146:19 147:1	265:4,5,21,24	321:10,15,19
10:21,24 12:12	148:20 153:14	267:2,25 268:2	323:2,13,17
12:21 13:1,4	154:25 155:12	268:8,15,22	324:22 325:5,8
16:4,9 17:2,8	157:10 158:18	269:11 270:14	326:9,16,20,24
17:11 19:18,25	158:22 163:15	270:20 272:23	327:3 329:11
20:5,8,11 23:1	163:20,22	273:9 276:12	329:21,25
23:6,10,13	164:5,24 165:4	277:25 278:6	330:5,8 332:8
26:8,14,19,22	170:18,19,24	278:10,11,15	332:15,19,22
29:15 30:6,11	180:23 186:22	278:16,20,22	336:4,14,16,18
30:14 33:11,13	196:21 197:3,8	278:25 279:22	

[hearsay - human]

hearsay 225:9	hereto 338:13	hiring 251:7	honor 50:1
heart 25:17	hi 198:13 309:9	historic 15:7	57:5 59:14
heat 11:20	309:10 319:1	historical	120:12 197:2
307:21	332:17	253:24	honors 317:1
heavily 9:7	hide 36:17	historically	hope 16:6 26:4
50:21 74:5	hides 320:3	112:5 233:6	201:2 313:10
heed 15:19	hiding 39:11	history 21:20	hoping 24:9
held 324:7	310:20	39:13 137:14	73:3
hello 17:1 30:3	high 8:20 25:12	137:18 178:14	horrific 24:24
147:12,14	25:24 29:10	323:22	horwitt 118:13
198:14 249:17	61:21 113:24	hold 189:20	118:20 122:11
302:20 305:5	173:18 207:17	226:11 260:18	122:12,23
307:3,11	207:17 234:13	264:13 278:8	125:18 232:8
311:17,18	318:13 328:20	311:2 332:19	233:4 234:23
314:4 316:1,2	higher 221:17	holding 319:14	236:20
316:5,6 321:14	331:4	holds 28:24	horwitt's 82:13
321:15 325:1	highest 57:24	335:7	82:14 118:2,3
332:14	71:17 72:14	hole 297:11	122:5
help 103:15	108:9 153:15	holland 2:20	host 92:18
117:15 119:5	182:10,13	hollandhart.c	216:2 230:16
137:18 166:17	highlighted	2:24	hour 135:7
168:5 181:9	87:21 107:24	holloman 322:7	146:20 226:13
188:22 295:23	203:9	holocene 15:16	266:14 301:20
329:4 332:2,3	highlighting	home 15:4 21:8	hours 312:14
335:11	37:21	306:10,14	household 13:8
helped 295:24	highly 27:21	328:10 336:25	328:21
helpful 75:12	63:10,12 71:23	homeowner	hpfo 88:17
132:19 133:5,5	72:17 79:4,4	233:1 246:3	hsdr 175:3
133:6 158:16	79:20 104:12	homes 231:14	huge 19:5 28:9
170:17 178:9	105:10 106:21	homicide 13:18	66:7 67:23
191:14 235:13	113:23 183:6	15:21	244:19 245:3
helping 22:23	192:21 320:10	honest 80:9	292:12 294:23
117:11 121:7	hipaa 35:13	291:23	human 14:10
helps 129:14	hired 262:5	honestly 79:4	14:15 21:24
	263:7,23 264:5		27:2,18 29:10

[human - implanted]

41:25 42:12	195:9 204:25	hysteria 176:4	immense
57:9 62:22,22	207:12,16,19	i	228:16
68:22 84:25	208:16 228:15	i.e 165:23	immigrant
85:10,19 89:3	234:6,12 239:4	i25 21:4	308:8
92:10 96:8	239:7 242:25	idea 82:4	immune 38:3
99:12 101:19	243:2 253:25	134:11 146:13	93:25 95:4
102:13 124:21	267:14 268:5	259:10	142:7 303:14
131:12 132:18	269:25 270:9	identification	320:19 333:17
150:19,21	270:10,25	54:20 300:23	impact 25:5
166:5 174:7	271:1,7,8,15,21	identified 36:6	175:3 189:6
183:5,19 218:2	272:1 273:23	36:7,16 165:22	194:17 196:4,6
246:4 249:22	275:1,10,21,24	205:18 218:18	231:5 291:14
250:1 315:12	286:8,10 295:4	299:14,16	291:21,22
320:19 327:18	hydrocarbons	identifiers	307:20 308:2,2
humanity	204:4 214:1	162:2	impacted 51:17
310:15	hydrofluoroc	identify 91:4	243:7
humans 38:9	64:9 107:17	238:3 285:15	impacts 12:3
88:22 91:22	hydrogen	293:20	14:10 58:5
93:23 94:25	78:12	identities 259:5	143:22 145:3
95:20,20 98:20	hydrogeologi	306:11	146:2 156:25
104:14 171:15	103:14	identity 39:24	303:18 318:11
249:25 329:3	hydronated	ifs 50:15	impaired 189:7
hundred 65:15	78:12	ignorance	impart 167:8
85:13 184:25	hydrophobic	140:22 141:4	impeach
274:13	211:21,22,24	ignored 35:18	262:23
hundreds	212:4	ignores 51:3	impeachment
20:18 125:24	hyper 328:14	illnesses 335:10	137:15,20
131:11,15	hyphen 10:20	imagine 25:25	261:9 262:21
246:10,16	326:23	184:20 214:19	264:23,24
269:15 333:12	hypotheses	imbalance	265:3
hxs 125:13	99:25	27:13	implant 98:17
hydraulic 44:1	hypothesis	immediate	implantation
45:24 58:22	95:22	306:17	98:16
59:3 187:21	hypothetical	immediately	implanted
188:7 189:6	161:3	159:22	98:19
		107,44	

[implement - indigenous]

implement 46:1	111:11,15,24	includes 45:23	increasing
implementing	112:4,17	65:10 108:8	83:13 101:7
51:18	inability 42:17	111:14 123:18	115:14 125:6
implication	inaccurate	124:20 193:4	150:24 167:22
274:25 275:20	187:8	including 12:8	234:16 309:1
implications	inaction 28:16	14:9 24:4	increasingly
310:9	inappropriate	73:16 93:23	11:21
implies 275:9	282:6	96:10 108:2	incredibly
275:23	inaudible	109:14 114:23	308:14 330:19
implore 313:23	142:24	118:15 232:9	331:16
331:24	inbox 44:6	232:12 303:14	incremental
imply 283:10	incident 280:2	304:4 319:25	102:2
import 42:1	284:5	320:19 322:5	incumbent
importance	incidental	inclusive 41:17	31:8
303:8	100:7,9	42:10	independent
important	incidents	income 303:19	13:10 270:3
38:12 43:7	281:21,22	incoming	independently
45:12 50:23	include 15:6	312:25	238:3 255:12
62:24 72:21	25:2 41:15	incompetent	index 114:11
75:20 85:8	74:14,16,18	311:3	115:2,5 116:8
93:3 95:14	92:15 96:9	inconceivable	116:9,12
116:10 117:5	109:5 112:17	28:21	117:14 328:20
123:6 125:4	113:1 126:13	incorrect 96:21	indians 34:20
142:5 196:1,2	133:10 166:20	210:18 248:15	indicated 138:9
241:8,9 312:6	185:13 192:15	increase 36:18	indicates 17:17
324:10 325:11	193:2 194:11	101:12 234:18	78:25
331:16	195:19 202:16	252:1 313:5,7	indication 68:3
impose 33:6	203:22 205:4,8	increased 18:8	indications
impossible	298:6 320:14	18:10,12,12	77:13
241:15 247:25	325:24	28:20 101:14	indigenous
257:7 325:15	included 74:21	114:17 172:20	34:18 36:13,22
impurities	74:25 77:14,15	173:19 313:1	304:4 309:25
72:20 109:6,15	89:22 117:14	333:16	317:6,16
109:17,25	166:18 193:9	increases	327:19
110:6,10,15	219:19 285:7	320:23	

[indigo - instances]

indigo 17:6	72:15 73:22	77:5,9,12,17	ingestion 96:9
indiscernible	98:16 109:8,11	84:4,8,12,16	100:7,8 125:11
34:19	109:20 146:8	85:9 92:18	ingredients
individual	146:14 154:17	94:17 103:23	293:5,9
39:20 111:20	181:22 183:8	105:13 119:4,6	ingress 9:18
116:18 167:23	192:2 196:1	119:9,11,22,24	inhalation
254:13,14,20	202:13 233:17	120:4,5,10,11	96:11
255:2 258:17	241:16 243:5,8	120:15 121:10	inheriting
261:5 285:20	252:1 254:1,12	121:25 123:15	325:11
303:10	255:6,20 259:9	126:7 129:13	initial 82:16
individual's	265:3 277:11	130:3,5,13	137:22
18:21	292:3 294:14	133:13 157:7	initiating 185:2
individuals	295:6,11 310:9	158:1 160:4,14	inject 22:4
118:15,17	310:16,25	162:5 167:16	injected 24:5
119:2 258:18	311:2 315:15	176:1,22 177:8	24:19 310:8
indoor 174:9	320:13 324:7	177:10,11	318:5
industrial 9:16	325:18,21,25	185:5 186:15	injecting 17:24
14:20,23 25:2	328:6,6,13	190:15 192:18	22:11
35:11 36:1,5	334:25	219:7 234:10	injection
51:1	industry's	238:4 243:1	251:15 252:17
industries	32:18 33:3	256:3,5,6	injustice 33:16
39:16 181:23	inert 98:1,5,6	259:20 279:25	innovation
252:3,4 310:3	inevitable	289:22 291:10	28:25
324:2,16,19	328:18	308:16 310:18	innumerable
industry 11:14	infant 18:8	321:4 335:10	30:23 68:12
14:22 17:23	inflict 306:15	informative	input 134:13
21:12,15,22	influence	165:7	inputs 328:16
22:3 25:1,1,4	140:16 231:6	informed 119:6	insist 38:19
27:8 30:20	info 174:21	119:12 285:24	installed
31:25 32:1	inform 131:12	313:12 321:4	239:12
33:16,19 36:15	150:23	330:19 335:12	instance 51:4
36:18 38:19	information	ingest 67:2	142:8 282:25
39:3,11,13	12:2 19:21	86:4	instances
43:8 44:21,21	39:12 45:9	ingested 104:1	112:20 243:16
50:18 51:3,15	59:7 71:18	104:2	

[instruct - itrc]

instruct 236:5	interacts 67:8	interstate 82:7	irreparable
instrumentati	interconnected	122:12	35:25 36:14
222:22	329:6	interval 230:23	irreversible
insufficient	interconnecte	intervening	14:16 306:16
139:14,21	304:7	334:12	310:9
140:5,7	interdependent	interviewed	irrigating
intake 125:7	329:5	267:9,17	262:8 263:10
integrity 59:4	interest 59:19	introduce 83:8	264:1
59:10 243:16	160:10 247:12	introduced	irrigation
244:4,8 280:14	310:25	64:9	272:20
281:3,9 283:17	interested	introduction	issue 11:9
283:20,22,23	231:2 233:15	33:7	18:23 19:3
intended 167:9	338:14	invalidating	20:23 22:22
intensely 149:7	interesting	176:13	45:17 120:22
intent 167:19	60:11	investigate	120:23 144:2
intention 50:10	interests 158:2	59:10 144:24	195:1 216:22
intentional	160:15 161:2	investigating	231:19 273:5
29:4 100:9	interference	143:20	280:14 281:3
290:16	223:2	investigations	303:22 310:14
intentionality	interim 321:25	61:17	319:23 330:17
196:17	intermediates	invite 33:25	issued 27:14
intentionally	80:14	302:9	issues 82:11
18:17 44:1,6	internal 106:7	invited 46:25	137:8 171:21
182:2 195:19	international	involve 139:7	189:17 232:2
202:17,18,19	14:1	215:24	243:18,18
203:9,18,22	interpret 59:6	involved 292:9	265:1 292:1
204:18 205:5	120:11 121:25	305:22	303:7 318:14
205:21 206:3	180:5	involvement	318:19 324:6
251:14 252:16	interpretation	295:22	item 187:4
252:19 292:1	7:14 138:18	involvements	293:22
intentions	interpreter	265:18	items 86:9
133:16	7:13 40:20	involves 14:7	137:10 169:20
interact 69:4	interrupt	ion 215:6,21	321:8 322:1
interactions	170:11 191:13	irrelevant	itrc 82:6 122:13
103:19	239:10 247:3	216:24	122:22 123:2

[itrc - know]

124:1	johnson 5:20	161:19 191:20	know 7:15,23
:	332:13,14,17	217:10 309:2	11:12,14,21
J	332:21,24	335:15	12:4 13:24
j 40:25 55:24	333:1 336:5	kennedy 29:9	16:11 18:16,18
222:8,10,14	joins 302:3	kevin 147:12	18:19 19:12,13
223:13	jokingly 162:24	key 13:19 24:13	19:23 20:25
james 5:11	josé 4:23 34:7	70:24 77:7	22:10 24:15
315:25 316:1,3	47:22	82:11,11	30:20 37:21,24
316:5,8,11,13	jr 4:25	101:15 115:9	37:25 38:23,24
316:16,17	juan 317:2	166:14	39:9,13 43:21
318:15,17	judgment	kh 86:12	44:13 45:2,7
janet 6:4 55:2,6	176:11	219:18	63:3 65:19
55:24	jump 122:3	kidney 18:9	69:1 76:16
january 338:21	284:20	320:20	77:1 79:15
jar 229:24	jumped 43:2	kidneys 69:9	80:9 81:11,19
251:1	june 13:11	78:9,10 101:2	81:24 82:3
jeff 5:14 321:12	junior 29:9	183:25	83:22 85:18
321:23	40:25 41:8	kids 24:10	87:11 89:16,18
jerry's 181:5	justice 2:4 8:18	killing 15:4	95:15 96:2
jesse 2:11	35:21 303:11	kilwasi 136:25	98:7 101:3
jesse.tremaine	303:22 305:23	kind 9:3 67:3	102:23 105:8,9
2:16	312:16	69:12 71:8	105:10,11,15
jiang 217:21	k	73:4 77:22	105:16 110:14
218:7,7,12,15		79:13 83:16	113:3,6 114:7
218:17,23	k 2:11 12:25	130:8 150:3	117:11,12,21
219:1,12,20,21	17:6 23:9	171:10 176:1	120:6 121:6
284:23	302:24 307:5	182:6 216:4	125:2,22 126:8
job 1:23 33:17	309:13	220:3 221:3	127:7,21 128:6
33:18 59:16	kangaroo 17:7	223:8,9 290:1	128:23,25
100:22 101:9	karmic 13:22	290:19 331:20	129:2,21,23,24
185:24 205:12	kathleen 4:15	kinds 63:24	130:8 131:4
207:19 308:14	12:18 13:6	95:25 244:19	133:16 136:4
315:11	kayley 5:6	245:3	137:13 139:11
john 260:12,16	307:1,11	knew 175:22	143:15 144:8
260:21 261:24	keep 16:19		148:17 153:8
	112:8 117:5		

[know - large]

	T .	I _	I
153:11,16	248:21 252:10	known 41:24	317:25
154:19 155:2	253:14,15	42:4 62:20	lactating 125:3
156:14,19,20	257:7,10,12,13	79:5 111:2	125:7,9
157:12 159:13	259:2 260:10	132:6 136:16	ladies 15:25
161:8 163:2,5	262:14 264:3,4	151:20 240:25	16:8
163:10 165:18	267:4,8 268:3	320:1,18 324:4	laid 179:10
166:16 167:22	268:17,18	knows 157:14	224:24 235:9
168:4,7,12	269:5 270:24	270:22,22	lake 272:13
170:4 171:23	271:6,12,13	273:7	land 21:5,7
172:2 174:4	272:24 273:7	kristen 86:12	22:16 24:25
175:3,4,24	273:13,19	krystal 5:7	32:14 34:19
176:17,25	274:4,14,19	309:8,8,12,21	38:18 303:8
177:4 179:15	280:3 281:14	l	304:2 306:13
180:18 183:5	281:16,23	l 8:8 10:20,20	307:16 310:13
186:11,14	284:6 286:3,12	10:20 20:7	310:17 312:20
188:10 189:16	286:14 287:24	34:9,9 37:9	317:10 318:6
189:18 191:21	289:5 290:14	138:20 305:8,9	318:20 320:17
194:4,22	291:8,18,21	305:9 307:5	322:16,18
197:15 201:9	292:3,10,18,25	309:13,13	323:23 325:12
201:11 207:16	293:14 297:10	311:21 314:7	327:17 329:8
207:23 210:10	301:9 323:21		331:2 334:11
214:11,15,17	327:17 333:23	325:4,4 326:23	lands 21:18
215:2,4 216:8	333:25 335:11	lab 126:3 223:24 229:25	28:19
218:24 220:1	335:14 336:20		landscape 21:6
225:10,18	knowingly	labeled 159:14	lane 296:20
227:21 228:18	310:18,21	201:17	language 34:17
231:14,15	knowledge	labels 314:21	37:1,2 66:24
232:13,20	9:12 13:15	314:22	95:12,13
233:11 234:12	27:11 32:3	laboratory	195:19 309:22
237:13 238:8,9	56:12 73:23,25	95:2	317:17
240:18,20,20	95:3 131:25	labs 61:18	large 14:14
240:21,22,22	199:8 203:21	179:25 223:22	51:11 57:23
241:23 242:9	268:6 286:21	223:22	65:14 68:12
242:21,23,24	294:5 295:10	laced 31:11	98:10 101:21
247:11,12	309:4	lack 50:13	102:18 126:7,8
,		179:16 303:20	,-

[large - limit]

	I	I	I
205:11 210:20	layers 241:3	legislation	119:10 150:23
211:18,19	lea 51:7	42:14 43:10	156:10 166:5
212:5 230:13	leach 312:19	legislative 43:2	174:3 192:23
232:19 306:8	leaching	51:24 52:2	217:22 223:19
largely 235:10	331:20	321:24	223:20 285:3
larger 211:24	lead 28:16,19	legislature	308:18 313:22
212:17	40:4 156:11,13	45:25	320:11
largest 21:7,8	156:17,21,24	lego 65:19	liabilities 33:20
272:13	157:2	211:23	liberty 258:12
lastly 43:11	leader 11:3	lemay 34:7	258:22
59:12 288:7	leading 13:24	length 249:20	licensed 266:9
308:15	leaks 9:19	lengths 71:11	lieu 179:19
late 135:10	leap 133:22	lessening	life 14:10 21:4
latest 186:12	learn 24:3	328:25	38:9 92:8,8,9
latino 8:19	329:6	lesson 71:4	92:20,24
launched	learned 312:17	75:7 237:13	105:20 312:18
312:11	314:23	letter 322:25	317:17 326:6
laura 4:21	learning	letting 329:8	327:9
29:18,22 30:4	105:18 106:2,4	lev 4:13 10:12	lifetime 124:24
law 4:9 5:3	lease 28:19	10:13,17,19,23	lifeways 309:25
39:22 48:13,14	leave 240:24	11:1	light 15:14
153:13,17,20	257:21 304:23	level 14:24,25	43:19 45:18
153:24 334:4	336:15,21	15:6 25:9,12	63:22 323:7
lawmakers	leaving 330:21	25:24 27:1,5	lighten 308:10
15:12	led 259:8	59:7 85:11,16	likelihood
laws 15:9,12,17	left 14:25 80:17	85:18 99:22	13:15 252:1
48:22 253:20	81:7 220:5	106:13 109:18	likely 29:9
334:25	224:9 247:17	123:20 153:15	45:16,23 51:7
lawyer 75:10	258:8 296:21	173:5,24	168:25 182:5
162:23 259:12	310:12	180:13 200:12	182:19 211:20
lawyers 29:2	legacy 311:8	333:20	211:22,25
lay 157:11	legal 13:10,20	levels 19:5	212:6 220:15
224:22	14:2 15:10,24	25:12,22 58:11	247:23 248:9
layer 193:18	41:22 45:22	58:12 84:11,14	limit 25:10
	197:20	104:15 106:15	178:23 220:25

[limit - long]

	I	I	I
220:25 221:5,5	lingo 175:9	listing 121:9	324:15 329:6
221:6,13,16	link 123:22	222:5	333:1
222:6,7,13	linked 93:22	lists 61:3	liveable 311:10
224:7	94:10 95:12	229:10	lived 109:24
limited 14:12	97:19 174:16	litany 118:14	liver 18:11 38:3
84:23 150:22	303:13 318:12	literally 15:4	94:1 115:13
214:3 247:5	320:20 333:16	100:23	liver's 101:9
253:24 271:22	lipids 78:11	literature	lives 20:20
limits 223:23	lipitor 81:14	62:16 98:25	32:15 41:18
line 15:10	166:2 168:1	116:1,3,5	91:22 92:21
20:24 32:18	169:9	121:2 167:23	306:6 307:22
40:10 61:1	list 57:23 77:15	174:25 176:7	living 15:3
68:3 88:13	82:22 89:17	liters 124:24	303:16 327:11
95:18 108:7	95:8 131:18	lithology 241:3	331:12
111:8 116:16	132:8 133:13	241:7	llp 2:20
119:3 128:3	135:14 136:8	litigation 139:1	load 308:10
130:9 132:20	174:5 177:1	little 34:2 47:23	lobbied 22:21
148:19,23	178:13 220:5,6	52:17 60:20	lobbying 25:2
174:19 180:16	264:9,14 268:9	64:16 65:21	local 120:14
236:15 237:8	294:23 320:3	76:1,9,16	143:24 318:1
241:13 244:15	listed 61:23	77:22,23 78:24	321:2 328:14
246:8,9 261:6	77:3 94:20,21	92:16 94:9	329:2 331:18
265:23 266:6	137:9,11 138:5	100:19 105:8	locations
267:22 275:6	186:13 207:25	110:7 145:21	210:12
299:11 334:19	208:4 219:8	166:8,10,11	logical 139:15
linear 222:1,2,8	257:1 262:18	174:20 187:2	139:23
222:17 223:3	262:19 265:18	192:21 214:17	long 13:16
223:15	277:3 285:4,23	216:14 226:9	14:15 22:1
lines 75:24	listen 46:24	233:12 236:2	27:3 39:13
76:20 87:13	59:14 148:18	238:7 261:14	66:7,7,7,7,7
91:20 93:19	148:22	283:25 288:23	78:11 92:24
96:7 104:10	listened 195:22	289:22 314:24	93:9 109:1,24
132:16 209:21	listening 78:6	live 35:15	135:2 166:4
217:20 232:7	133:1 196:7,14	241:1 307:14	187:6 214:18
240:10 247:22	248:25	310:1 323:23	214:19 230:13

[long - mail]

	1		
260:1 323:21	179:12 181:23	241:7 243:1	m
longer 9:12	184:8 189:18	256:16 274:6	m 3:4 8:9 10:20
38:8 44:20	190:17,19	288:24 290:6	17:5 23:9
105:22 125:9	200:5 203:4	293:9 294:11	30:10 325:4
154:4 214:16	211:9 212:14	297:7,13	ma'am 12:24
214:21 241:16	219:5,6,25	lots 70:18	34:12 60:4
253:8 290:7,7	220:4 228:19	loud 203:17	135:1
look 10:17 77:3	230:5 234:14	269:9	mac 159:9
78:3 79:16	247:21 289:23	love 330:22	machine
81:6,16 107:13	289:25 290:4	loved 306:1	105:18 106:2,4
128:10 132:6,9	looks 79:22	low 42:25	madam 47:10
132:10,17	120:20 159:11	58:11 84:11	47:19 54:2,25
161:13 162:10	loop 328:18	85:21 104:14	145:14 163:20
162:12 169:22	loopholes 22:10	106:15 126:22	165:4 170:18
173:11 179:18	lose 331:15	142:14 191:6	197:16 199:11
200:4 201:13	loss 59:4	215:15 223:19	201:19 216:20
204:3 206:10	lost 278:9	223:20,23	227:1 235:24
214:14,22	lot 37:22,24	230:22 231:9	239:11 247:2
245:22,24	39:11 60:5	290:21 303:19	249:13 259:6
253:21 271:18	64:22 68:19,20	lower 308:18	262:17 268:2
274:5 289:16	69:7 71:24	lowest 173:12	296:17 297:4
289:21	79:5 82:24,24	221:7	299:1,6 301:3
looked 88:6	96:4 100:4	lucero 5:18	305:15 336:13
147:15 153:21	111:7 117:12	326:22,22	made 21:24
153:25 235:15	121:1 135:14	327:2,5	54:7 78:23
255:15,15	142:19 149:24	lujan 22:20	112:2,2 118:21
256:18,20	179:23 194:22	313:14 335:1	295:25 296:2
289:24 293:6	204:5 211:13	lunch 133:23	314:24 328:24
looking 18:3	211:14,15,17	134:11 146:20	magnificent
60:23 64:25	213:18,25	147:2	330:19
75:13 83:24	214:12 216:15	luncheon	magnitude
91:19 93:19	220:2 221:9	146:22	85:13 221:17
97:17 142:20	223:5 230:1		mail 43:23 44:5
142:22 168:17	240:17,20,20		44:10 56:19
169:15 171:12	240:21,25		301:8,15
			301.0,13

[maine - materially]

	212.0.221.20	27.0	
maine 24:22	213:8 221:20	managing 27:9	marginal
maintain 130:9	221:22 224:5	303:6	114:15,16
166:4	230:8 247:4,6	mandate 36:19	margins 36:19
major 8:22 9:5	247:13 252:12	mandated	mariel 3:16,21
14:20 268:20	285:24 293:12	227:14 304:11	marine 36:8
269:20 285:11	294:24 300:16	mandatory	marked 54:19
303:23	301:11,12	313:15	56:1,8 59:24
majority 27:23	311:9 313:8	mandy 5:4	122:4,9 198:22
125:13 177:20	314:17,21	302:18,20	199:5 293:24
186:15 224:6	315:12,13,16	manmade	300:22
245:7 252:4	320:2 323:7	143:18 144:12	marker 128:4
make 11:23	324:16 326:12	mann 4:13	market 166:20
12:8 21:14	335:9,11,12	10:12,13,17,19	320:13
27:24 33:18	makers 320:24	10:23 11:1	martin's
36:12 38:9	makes 9:3	manner 121:14	130:18,21,25
46:22 47:8	25:22 73:3	manufacture	mary 17:5
48:4 49:18,23	140:20 141:2	112:1 323:25	maryland
50:1 53:16	141:12,21	manufactured	203:5,16 338:2
54:5 58:13,15	206:3 215:15	252:8	mass 15:2,15
58:16,18 62:13	290:22 303:7	manufacturer	83:19,22
64:13,18 65:20	303:21 313:7	234:9 286:25	242:11
70:4 75:17	331:16 334:15	manufacturers	massive 123:15
87:10 93:13	makeup 22:7	43:7	325:20
94:22 114:8	making 28:16	manufacturing	massively
119:6,11	29:6 51:1 73:3	136:17	65:14
121:25 125:5	117:12 243:8	map 60:8	matador
129:10,14	246:7 247:25	mapped 43:13	269:23
130:4 136:1	248:13 328:15	marathon	match 235:7,17
141:18 159:4	manage 101:9	269:24	matches 193:23
165:9,14	management	marble 65:23	material 99:20
175:18 176:2	29:13 140:19	66:1	99:21 212:1
179:1 180:6,8	141:1,11,20	marbles 68:9	231:25 246:25
186:24 201:5	manages	171:11	248:10,22
202:24 203:22	101:14	marcy 3:18	materially
207:21 209:15			60:14 181:13

[materials - mentioned]

	I	I	1
materials 32:3	mayors 120:14	19:22 44:16	278:14
36:7 188:17	mckinley 310:1	58:21 61:17	meetings
321:25 322:24	mcl 192:16	63:25 66:22	258:16 259:5
328:25	mcls 124:15	69:17 71:3,3,4	259:20
math 85:12	mdl 221:2	71:5,22 76:4	meets 81:4
matrix 229:2	mean 43:14,18	78:7 95:18	melissa 280:4
240:22	59:16 61:13	98:21 110:14	member 4:12
matter 45:4	63:18 68:11	110:19 114:9	4:13,15,16,17
53:12 67:15	84:2 87:5 88:8	114:13 130:15	4:18,19,21,23
68:1,24 78:2	98:20 99:11,23	131:17 132:23	4:24,25 5:4,5,6
87:25,25 92:21	100:2,20	186:7 203:9	5:7,8,10,11,12
97:5 107:1	105:21 112:11	222:23 223:1	5:14,16,17,18
133:3 139:1,8	114:6,11	223:14 224:6	5:19,20 45:5
159:3 211:6,7	116:11 122:3	306:6 319:22	45:25 162:8
212:11 245:16	126:9 128:25	333:22	232:13 259:5
252:19 294:21	150:8 151:6,13	meant 52:17	312:9 331:25
299:2 302:5	162:17 164:14	82:17 83:15,21	333:3
matters 47:17	164:19 186:5	93:15 120:1	members 20:19
49:13 53:12	195:6 207:20	297:24	88:20 90:4
66:24 90:17	208:3,9 224:2	measure 77:16	132:8 139:15
101:11 107:2	225:2 233:12	measures 33:4	139:22 213:1
180:16 212:12	243:15,25	335:25	250:25 251:7
212:24 245:18	245:2,6 246:2	mechanism	259:19 268:12
320:2	251:11,16	69:20,22	305:16 312:15
matutes 4:12	252:6,21 253:5	media 103:16	319:13 330:12
8:4,5,5,6,8,13	256:8 279:4	103:20 107:8	331:1
8:16 10:6	282:21 288:4	123:19	membrane
max 244:11	291:17 293:4	medical 41:21	66:10 98:11
maximum	296:19 303:17	98:16,25	mention 175:20
333:20	meaning 64:12	156:19 183:8	217:15 293:12
maxwell 3:12	68:17 79:6	335:8	mentioned
49:19,20,21	85:10 89:3	medically	35:25 67:11
50:1,5 52:15	98:12 129:22	36:24	70:25 92:20
164:1,3 287:6	means 14:4,7	meeting 46:25	98:10 110:2,6
287:8	14:11,15 15:4	53:14 268:11	125:25 177:21

[mentioned - mincks]

179:4 184:24	80:18 89:11,21	35:3,18 36:11	mexico's 31:15
200:4,14,16,19	108:12 112:15	36:14 37:1	32:14 272:13
202:13,16	112:25 172:14	39:2,19 44:23	333:6,25
207:7,9 214:9	172:15 178:8	45:4,25 48:15	mic 70:9 156:4
216:16 227:5	178:25 179:9	48:17 51:21	michael 241:25
234:5,7 238:9	180:10 194:14	60:15 146:8,15	michelle 22:20
245:19 248:8	207:23,25	152:1 153:2,10	313:14 335:1
251:18 262:20	208:3,7,8,9	162:25 163:2,6	michigan
272:19 283:22	285:6 296:6	175:22 176:2	136:18 157:6
331:4	methyl 165:24	188:11 227:14	microbes 63:21
mentioning	methylene	227:18 228:20	microbiology
113:13	165:25	234:23 236:22	240:24
mentions	metric 242:10	242:13 253:11	microphone
113:11 122:23	mewbourne	253:20 256:14	34:4 323:8
243:24 261:4	269:24	257:9,15	microsoft
mere 243:6	mexican	266:10,17,22	326:14
merely 277:21	316:24	267:1,6 270:5	middle 72:25
met 53:21	mexicans 9:24	270:6 271:22	76:11 80:2
metabolism	20:15 21:21	273:24 275:1	midland
78:14	22:15 41:18	275:22,25	136:18
methane 32:16	45:22 304:17	279:11 281:1	migration
230:5 328:15	306:16,20	305:18,21	244:20 245:8
method 61:1,3	322:20 324:1	306:3,5,9	migratory
61:5,7,9,14,19	324:19	307:14,17	188:15
74:24 112:22	mexico 1:1,12	308:8 310:21	milk 96:10
172:6 180:11	2:4,6,12,14,22	310:25 311:10	million 65:15
208:3,12,13,23	3:7,19 4:4,6,8	313:11 316:23	85:13 136:13
220:24 221:5,6	4:10 8:9,22 9:4	317:7 319:14	228:17,18
221:13 222:6	11:3,4,5,18,19	319:20 320:1,6	310:7
222:18,21	15:12,20 19:2	322:4,9,13	millions 43:19
224:7	20:23,25 21:12	323:19,21	117:17 322:17
methodologies	22:23 23:21,22	325:19 327:15	328:12
171:24,25	24:15 28:8,19	328:10,20	mimic 106:7
methods 61:11	31:6 32:5,24	330:15 331:7	mincks 4:16
61:15,23 75:1	34:15,22,24,25	334:6,14	10:8,9 16:17

[mincks - monomers]

16:24 17:1,5	319:9 321:20	115:21	79:16 81:6,17
17:10,13 19:20	323:18 325:9	mobile 58:9,16	149:19 183:23
20:1 29:17	327:4 330:9	90:24 104:13	210:20,20
mind 112:9	332:23	105:9 106:21	211:5,22 239:1
117:5 136:3	mirrors 200:17	201:13 209:22	239:2
147:18 166:9	misappropriate	210:16,17,18	molecule's
195:25 225:20	39:24	245:22 247:1	244:23 245:5
242:15 271:17	mischaracteri	mobilities	molecules
289:8 298:6	138:6 140:3	107:12	65:10 76:25
mindful 129:20	277:23	mobility 88:21	77:6 81:16
minerals 35:2	mischaracteri	90:5,20 107:1	109:24 150:13
51:22 247:24	276:10	107:9 140:23	244:20 246:21
minestrone	mischaracteri	141:5 245:11	mom 23:16
243:9,10	97:15 266:24	245:13,16,18	moment 86:9
minimum 19:7	misleading	mobilized 11:7	122:7 170:12
mining 323:24	39:14 187:9	models 131:14	197:6 201:18
miniscule	275:9	modern 50:24	219:15 314:14
320:10	missed 135:11	modifiers	324:10 329:22
minor 285:11	238:24	126:8	momentarily
minuscule 9:2	mission 143:16	modifying	326:18
minute 277:23	144:6,9,14	124:18	moments
300:7 301:17	misstates	moiety 108:3	239:17
326:17	277:14	108:14,20	money 325:23
minutes 8:15	mistaken	165:25	monitor 77:16
10:25 13:5	235:23 272:6	moisture 241:7	310:24
17:12 20:12	mitigated	molecular 65:9	monitored
23:14 26:23	122:1	67:12,15,16	123:13
30:1,15 34:14	mitigation	68:2,7,13,14,18	monitoring
37:14 41:5	334:10	69:3 88:2	230:10,21,24
134:15 159:13	mitt 69:13	106:5 113:25	335:6
288:21 302:7	mix 231:13	211:7,19,19	monomer
302:13 303:4	mixed 183:12	212:17,20,20	65:17,21 66:1
305:14 307:10	244:18	215:1	monomers
309:18 312:2	mixtures	molecule 64:1	171:6,11,13
314:12 316:15	113:22 114:22	73:7 76:7	

[monstrous - name]

monstrous 310:10	246:12,16	155:1,8 157:9	280:17 282:3
210.10		,	200.17 202.3
310.10	259:21 264:8	164:6,8,11,23	283:3,19 286:8
month 124:7	266:7 279:23	164:25 170:11	287:13,16
months 105:21	299:10 323:4	170:21 171:5	288:12 299:1,8
moral 15:9,23 r	noved 22:2	172:10 174:13	299:9
45:21	23:21 24:22	191:11,13,17	multiple 39:2
morning 7:3,9	48:11	197:6,9,14	85:19 116:18
10:13,14 12:20 r	novement 93:5	198:10,12	151:9 195:12
26:25 37:16	106:8	199:11,19,20	279:20
40:21 41:6 r	noving 24:2	201:16,23,24	municipal
47:10 48:8	246:1 252:5	206:21 209:12	204:25
49:21,22 54:24	256:15 290:18	217:6,12,13	municipalities
54:25,25 55:4	308:9	224:24 225:12	35:20 120:22
55:19,20 57:6 r	nst 1:7	225:19,22,23	muted 156:3
75:7 135:10 r	nuchos 37:3	226:14,25	myriad 77:20
162:21,23 r	nulcahy 2:19	227:1,3 236:9	104:7 192:17
168:8 182:25	6:5,8,11,15	236:17,19	n
237:14	54:23,24 55:11	237:18,19	n 2:1 6:1 7:1
mother 309:23	55:12,16,18	238:11,12	10:20,20 17:6
316:24 318:9	56:14 57:1,2	239:9,14,16	23:9 30:10
motion 87:10	59:23 66:14	240:4 247:16	37:9 55:24,24
202:24 209:15	91:3,6,13,15,16	247:20 249:8	55:24 77:8
246:7	91:17 97:17,20	249:11 254:18	78:25 79:6
mourn 308:23	97:21 101:24	257:19 258:24	111:17 138:20
move 10:10	101:25 102:6	260:17,25	198:18,19
33:23 48:9,24	102:10 117:24	262:10,17,22	305:8 325:4
56:15 67:18,21	117:25 128:3	263:12 264:6	330:4,4,4,4
82:19 83:2	128:20,21	264:11,17	332:18
103:16 105:12	133:18 136:2	265:12,16	name 7:4,13
117:24 157:22	136:20 137:4,5	266:23 267:21	8:7,9 10:15,19
163:18 183:23	138:3 140:2,15	268:24 269:7	10:19 12:22
197:18 199:12	141:7 144:1	270:13,16	13:6 17:4,16
200:13 212:5	145:4,13	272:15 273:4	20:6,16 23:7
213:20 215:1	146:10 148:16	276:9 277:13	26:16 30:8
221:24 240:8	148:22 154:24	277:19 279:19	34:6 37:8,16

[name - need]

40:23,25 52:21	145:14,24	182:1	ncbi 18:4
52:24 55:21,23	146:12,17	narrowed	near 19:6 47:6
55:24 77:2	216:20 224:20	131:19	241:15 303:16
140:14 162:12	225:8 235:24	narrowing	nearby 137:2
177:5 198:16	236:8 258:1,2	182:3 207:20	nearly 188:7
198:17,18	258:4,6 259:2	nasty 37:21	necessarily
199:24 249:18	259:6,22	nation 21:1,8,9	65:1 83:18
261:18 269:9	260:20 261:10	317:24 331:2,5	196:12 206:2
274:15 302:4	261:16,17,20	national 8:17	286:2 331:22
302:12,20,23	261:22 262:12	331:5	necessary 52:8
305:6,17 307:4	262:13,21	nations 327:8	109:21 173:9
307:11 309:12	263:2,6,19	nationwide	304:13 331:9
309:21 311:19	264:8,20,25	188:8	necessities
314:5 316:17	265:7,14 266:8	native 34:16	331:9
319:3,11	267:3 268:1,2	37:1,2 309:22	nee 147:4
323:10,11,12	268:13,16	317:17	163:17
325:2,3 326:21	269:4,12,21	natural 14:10	need 11:22 12:7
330:1,3 332:16	270:23 272:7	14:17 15:3	19:13 28:1
332:17,25	272:17 273:12	33:5 35:2	33:17 36:12
named 25:6	273:15 275:4	51:22 143:18	47:17 59:9
309:25	275:17 276:18	144:12 148:13	64:13 78:13,14
names 88:16	276:19 277:16	149:4 241:21	91:4 107:2
121:9 259:4	278:1,4,5	304:7 324:20	111:5,10
309:11	279:9,23 281:6	325:14,21	113:24 116:17
nanasi 3:16 6:6	282:1,2,5,7,12	331:20	121:7 157:10
6:13 97:13	282:18 283:13	nature 13:13	162:9 173:20
101:22 117:20	283:15 284:11	42:17 71:19	173:21,22,25
133:25 134:7	301:3,14	72:16 143:6	176:10 177:8
134:10,14,19	336:11,12,19	150:25 178:11	180:3 182:18
134:21 136:6,7	nanasi's 279:3	182:6 188:2	185:8,20
136:21 137:8	nancy 17:6	204:13 327:9	211:11 217:24
137:12,19	nanofiltration	nav 317:1,2	278:10 300:2
138:8,14 140:4	215:11	navajo 309:23	308:5 313:12
140:12,13,15	narrow 41:15	316:23 317:24	313:17,18
140:18 143:3,4	41:17 60:8	331:2	315:13,16,17

[need - noise]

322:19 327:10	24:2,15 28:8	310:25 311:10	177:25 179:18
335:11	28:10,19 31:6	313:11 316:23	182:9 185:18
needed 121:15	31:15 32:5,14	317:6 319:14	185:21 190:17
needs 106:12	32:24 34:15,22	319:20 320:1,6	194:17 196:4,6
109:21 176:16	34:24,25 35:3	322:4,9,13,20	199:12,15,17
179:2,7 187:18	35:18 36:11,13	323:19,21,25	200:2 205:4,7
238:8 327:15	37:1 39:2,19	324:19 325:19	247:4 254:6,11
negating	41:18 44:23	327:15 328:10	254:13,17
290:15	45:4,22,25	328:20 330:15	255:9 257:17
negative	48:15,17 51:2	331:7 333:6,24	258:15 259:5
334:20	51:21 60:15	334:6,14	259:19,20
negotiable	86:11 87:1,8	nice 230:2	261:4 265:18
304:10	108:23 146:8	nicely 167:1	268:11 277:11
neighborhood	146:15 152:1	nicholas 3:12	277:14,17,20
235:19	153:2,9 162:25	nika 4:18 23:3	279:6,11,24
neighbors 9:13	163:2,6 175:21	23:3,8	288:7 291:15
35:16 36:23	176:2 185:3	nine 310:6	291:16,21
neither 338:8	188:11 209:13	nm 220:8	292:2 294:13
net 178:21	219:18 227:14	nmdoj.gov 2:8	295:19 299:10
netfose 223:12	227:18 228:19	nmocc 2:2	299:12,15,19
223:18	234:22 236:22	nmocd 2:10	299:22 315:10
neurodevelop	242:13 253:11	196:3	330:18
26:2	253:20 256:14	nmoga 2:18	nmoga's 55:2
never 29:3	257:9,15	6:21,23,24	60:16 62:6
325:13	266:10,17,22	43:22,25 52:17	112:11 162:23
new 1:1,12 2:4	266:25 267:6	54:23 56:16,16	181:9 187:10
2:6,12,14,22	270:5,6 271:22	56:24 57:11	187:14,23
3:7,15,17,19	272:12 273:24	59:24 60:3	188:22 190:5
4:4,5,8,9 8:9,22	275:1,22,25	62:10 63:16	193:2 194:8
9:4,14,24 11:3	279:10 280:25	74:13 83:25	205:17 236:5
11:4,5,17,19	304:17 305:18	89:23 121:13	277:14 279:16
15:12,20 19:2	305:20 306:3,5	126:11 134:3,4	283:8 285:16
20:15,23,25	306:9,16,19	135:13 136:8	noise 221:8,12
21:12,21 22:15	307:13,17	137:9,21 158:9	221:14 285:3
22:23 23:21,22	308:7 310:21	159:14 177:24	

[non - objection]

			T
non 29:12	normal 65:11	71:7 83:17	330:4,4
304:10	177:13	85:2,21 90:17	o&g 45:18
nonchemists	north 2:21 3:6	125:16 131:10	242:12 243:5,7
237:15	9:14	145:16 162:4,9	243:8
nondetects	northern	162:15,17	o0o 5:21
224:5	316:22 317:6	173:12 187:4	146:23
nondisclosure	northwest 41:8	200:7 231:16	object 127:24
28:25 32:1	norwood 46:21	257:4 260:10	137:5,11
nondomestic	46:23	272:3 280:1	148:16 216:21
328:1	nos 49:9 198:2	290:20 293:22	225:8 237:12
nonpfas 254:2	199:17	327:22,22	237:16 240:2
255:7	note 47:23	328:2	254:19 258:24
nonpolymer	128:16	numbers	267:22 268:25
65:22 67:5	notebook	125:25 161:18	272:18 277:19
214:15	158:24	161:24 162:1	280:17 282:3,4
nonpolymeric	noted 98:24	172:11 256:23	objected
214:12	notes 170:22	292:10	237:14
nonpolymers	297:13	numerically	objecting
64:23 65:7,8	notice 53:6,14	125:15	260:25
70:17 73:20	53:20 197:20	numerous	objection 97:13
81:23 190:21	202:8 300:18	320:11	101:22 117:20
nonprofit	noticed 278:14	nurturers	136:20,20
284:9 309:25	notification	327:19	137:4 140:2,16
nonstandardi	153:17	0	141:7 144:1
179:25	november 1:6	o 7:1 8:8 20:7	145:4,11
nontargeted	nrdc 325:21	30:10 37:9,9	146:10 154:24
179:24	nuance 95:15	40:25 55:24	154:25 157:9
nontechnical	96:4 149:8	71:6 93:14,14	224:20 257:19
7:11	nuances 60:12	106:18,18	260:17,24
nordel 25:7,15	111:18	138:20,20,20	262:10 264:6
norine 5:19	nuclear 19:6	198:18 239:1	264:11,17,19
326:12,19	331:2	248:11,12	266:23 270:13
329:19,24	number 14:14	307:5 316:18	270:15 272:15
330:3,4,7,10	26:11 41:20	323:12,12	276:9 277:13
332:9	42:9,18 68:12	325:4 326:23	279:19 300:20

[objections - officer]

objections 49:5	283:6,9,16	46:7 47:2,14	225:17 226:11
53:22 54:12	284:2,3 296:5	47:20 48:1,6	226:16,21
56:20 197:24	ocd's 60:15	49:3,12,22	227:2 235:24
199:15 299:19	181:10 188:2	50:3 52:12,14	236:7 237:17
obligation	193:1,20 208:1	53:1,4,8,15,17	238:6 239:11
290:15 310:23	280:7,22	54:2,3,6,13,18	239:15 240:4
330:23	281:18 282:6,7	54:22,25 55:4	247:2,14,18
obstacle 39:23	282:10,13,20	55:10,14 56:18	249:10,14
obtained	282:24 285:7	91:3,8,12	254:22 257:24
286:22	285:17 291:19	97:16 101:24	259:1,6,15
obvious 25:18	octo 71:7	102:4,7 117:23	260:18,23
obviously 9:5	oecd 169:25	128:1,12,19	261:8,13
60:12 174:18	offense 175:24	133:20 134:2,8	262:11,17,25
228:15 230:10	offer 7:20,23	134:12,18	263:4,16 264:7
occidental	324:25 329:23	136:5,23 137:3	264:12,18
264:10,15	332:11 336:7,9	137:12 138:7	265:4,21 267:2
occur 126:14	offered 46:15	138:13 140:9	267:25 268:2,8
occurred	302:8	141:9 142:21	268:15,22
157:21	office 84:20	142:25 144:4	269:11 270:14
occurrence	officer 4:2 6:20	145:7,14,20	270:20 272:23
89:19	6:25 7:3,18 8:6	146:19 147:1	273:9 276:12
occurring	8:11,14 10:5	148:20 154:25	277:25 278:6
50:16	10:14,21,24	155:12 157:10	278:10,15,20
occurs 313:4	12:12,21 13:1	158:18,22	278:22,25
ocd 27:6,25	13:4 16:4,9	163:15,20,22	279:22 280:19
28:24 39:23	17:2,8,11	164:5,24 165:5	282:11 283:2
47:12 59:3	19:18,25 20:5	170:18,19,24	283:12,24
60:22 74:25	20:8,11 23:1,6	180:23 186:22	284:12 287:3
112:15,25	23:10,13 26:8	196:21 197:3,8	287:10 288:11
121:21,23	26:14,19,22	197:12,16,22	288:18 296:17
172:11 177:11	29:15 30:6,11	198:9 199:11	296:18,24
244:13 279:24	30:14 33:11,13	199:14 201:19	297:4 298:18
280:14 281:3,8	33:22 34:10,13	201:21 216:20	298:24 299:2,4
281:11,19	37:4,10,13	217:1,10	299:8,18,24
282:8,20 283:6	40:17 41:1,4	224:22 225:7	300:9,15,17,21

[officer - okay]

	1		ı
300:25 301:3,6	127:15 134:2	180:17 192:1	328:5,13 334:5
301:16,23	166:21 177:7	206:1 209:25	334:23
302:5,22,25	185:20 190:8	236:23 241:16	okay 10:10
303:3 305:1,5	268:10 275:15	253:11,25	12:16 46:12
305:10,13,16	288:19 298:1	254:11 255:5	47:2 53:24
306:24 307:3,6	307:3	255:19 257:13	70:8 80:16,22
307:9 309:6,10	oil 1:2 2:12 4:4	258:17,18	86:23 89:25
309:14,17	4:6,8,10 7:5,7	259:8 262:8	93:17 97:20
311:13,18,22	9:16,25 10:2	263:10 264:1	99:9 102:7,20
312:1 313:25	14:21 17:22	265:2 266:17	103:2,10
314:4,8,11	18:19 21:8,11	267:6 268:19	106:19 107:20
315:23 316:2,6	21:13,16 22:2	268:20 269:24	108:25 110:21
316:9,12,14	23:18 26:6	270:11 271:2,9	112:10,14,24
318:15,23	28:20 30:20	277:10 280:1	117:23 118:11
319:2,5,8	31:6,9,19 32:5	280:11,11	126:16 128:15
321:10,15,19	32:8,9,13,25	281:1,20,21	128:19 129:6
323:2,13,17	33:7,15 34:15	283:1 284:5	131:20 134:2
324:22 325:5,8	34:22,25 36:15	288:8 291:1	138:13,24
326:9,16,20,24	36:18 38:14,16	292:2 293:13	139:3 145:20
327:3 329:11	38:19,20,24	294:11,14	146:5 147:6
329:21,25	39:1,8 40:5,13	295:6,11	148:2 159:7,9
330:5,8 332:8	43:8,24 44:3,8	306:12,16,18	165:13 169:2
332:15,19,22	44:15,15 46:1	307:19,25	170:10,16
336:4,14,18	50:9,18 51:2,9	308:7,25	171:18 172:4,4
official 45:4	51:15 63:11	309:20 310:8	172:16,23
78:22	72:17 73:22	310:16,22,24	173:16 174:2
officially 176:9	74:25 81:18	311:1,2,6	174:10,10
officials 129:10	112:15 118:14	312:12,24	175:6,6,9
129:14 313:23	135:14,16,19	313:1,3 317:13	176:24 177:17
321:3 332:3	135:25 146:7	317:15,20	177:17 180:19
oh 16:16 46:9	146:14 152:1	319:17 320:2,3	182:17,22
49:15,17 68:10	153:12,23	320:6 322:8,9	183:4 184:11
69:1 78:13	160:2,18,21	324:7 325:18	185:23 187:16
83:5 86:23	162:25 163:3,6	325:20,22	188:4,21 190:1
87:4,6 107:21	177:23 179:10	327:12,24	190:16 191:8
		· 	

[okay - ordinance]

192:5 193:8,25	once 104:2	onoroting	oning 225:5
· ·	124:5 216:12	operating 22:13 104:23	opine 225:5
194:6,7,15,20 195:16 196:10			opining 139:8
	252:5 264:9,14	operational	opinion 121:17
196:19 197:14	302:6	51:21	175:25 203:24
197:25 199:7	one's 15:4	operations	234:1,2 282:14
199:10,16	ones 19:21	14:22 31:19	291:14 292:22
205:22 206:20	71:15,18 76:13	32:21 33:8	opportunity
209:20 210:7	78:16,17	40:7 44:2,3,8	7:11 21:19
212:7 217:18	100:15 104:25	44:16 50:7,16	24:10 27:25
218:23 224:11	107:8,13	118:14 152:1	37:18 46:14,16
225:7 226:16	115:24 132:10	162:25 163:3,7	93:7 177:15
227:24 233:3	132:17 133:11	236:23 239:4,7	247:8 321:22
238:6,20	171:13,14,20	242:13 243:7	opposed 44:9
239:23 242:7	176:9 179:5	253:25 266:18	opposes 38:13
242:17 258:9	182:19 190:22	267:7 270:10	opposing
261:13 262:4	191:3,6 192:16	271:1,8,21	277:11,18
267:21 268:8	212:19 214:13	275:1,11,22,25	279:11
268:15 272:18	250:5 287:14	280:10,25	opposite
272:23 275:15	298:6 306:1	288:8 292:5	159:10
276:2,5 278:24	oneself 15:22	293:14 294:16	opposition
281:14,17	329:8	294:16 306:18	312:23
283:2 285:22	online 124:5	317:15,21	option 180:1
286:12,16	302:1,2,16	319:18 320:2	options 9:7
287:1,23 288:3	336:15	327:13 328:4	215:6 289:3
288:11,25	onwards	333:9,25 336:2	orange 82:22
289:1,10	227:11	operator 40:1	order 92:22
290:24 291:12	open 130:9	286:13,13,19	99:16 101:18
294:2,10	165:22 278:12	286:19,22	102:13 133:25
295:16 296:3	278:14	operators 32:8	134:6 221:16
296:15 299:18	opening 49:18	40:1,13 45:19	237:24 238:8
321:21 326:16	49:23 50:2	51:10 227:16	252:8 327:25
old 23:21 25:19	52:9	227:18 270:8	orders 85:13
25:19,23	operate 22:12	270:24 271:6	185:3
older 74:4	334:24	280:8,23	ordinance
			312:12,17,22

[oreffice - part]

oreffice 154:23	otm50 296:5	250:25 308:23	240:9 241:12
155:20,22	outcome	309:2 324:15	243:3,4 244:15
organ 115:15	338:14	335:1	246:5,8,9,9
organic 25:7	outfall 136:19	oxidation 216:1	247:22 253:22
65:25 212:1	outright 32:19	216:8	264:22 271:20
246:24 248:10	33:6	oxy 269:24	274:23 275:13
248:21	outside 51:25	oxygen 72:24	293:22
organization	78:25 137:6	73:8 177:3	pages 1:24
8:18 11:5	145:9 207:25	р	111:4 116:12
24:23 154:23	208:2,3 222:17	p 2:1,1 7:1 23:9	157:23 201:7
155:19 254:14	261:1 270:17	30:10 40:25,25	paid 135:5
284:8,9	322:7 331:6	74:13 93:14,14	266:12 325:19
organizations	outweigh 27:12	97:6 106:18,18	painful 75:17
254:14,20	outweighs	138:20,20	panel 13:10
255:3 257:17	27:20	198:19 239:1	pans 231:15
258:10,11	overall 109:10	248:11,12	paper 25:1
312:15	328:10	307:5 325:4	188:13,25
organizer	overarching	p.m. 16:21	189:23 191:18
307:12 331:25	243:20	146:25 226:19	219:9 220:2
organizers	overgeneraliz	226:20 301:21	223:21 284:23
330:13	67:25	301:22 337:1	papers 210:10
organizing	overlapping	packed 22:2	210:13
20:16,22	95:8	packed 22.2 pad 220:10	parentheses
original 26:11	overload	-	202:14
52:7 149:13	174:20	page 6:2 53:16 87:12 88:11,12	parenthesis
327:19	oversight 19:11	91:18 93:18	165:23,25
origins 189:6	oversimplified		parents 308:22
orth 4:2 7:4	92:16	96:6 104:9	308:22
302:5	overwhelming	107:21,22	park 13:7
ortiz 7:13,15,17	312:22	108:25 109:1	part 7:11 48:2
49:15	own 114:10	139:12 150:15	48:25 54:5
osmosis 215:8	116:11 118:25	151:16 165:16	106:10 109:13
215:10	119:13 176:3	175:15 187:3	112:16,25
otm45 296:5	200:8 229:11	188:6 195:18	123:25 124:1
	232:11,14	209:20 217:18	155:9 166:23
	,	217:20 237:7	

[part - period]

	I	I	I
167:20 177:22	pass 32:2	pay 15:19	330:22 335:12
191:23 193:22	133:19 212:19	36:20 136:13	people's 230:2
195:20 202:17	304:18	paying 33:19	peoples 327:19
202:19 203:9	passed 33:20	pbt 221:23,23	perceive
207:22 215:12	312:22	pecos 1:10	176:18
219:8 220:10	passing 308:25	220:22 221:2	percent 105:19
220:18 223:7	passoo 218:19	322:6	183:10 189:1
224:4 248:6	past 29:3 42:13	peer 217:21	205:11 235:19
253:22 257:17	181:16	peers 306:1	271:15,22,23
258:15 262:15	pathway 96:25	penalty 136:14	272:1 273:22
263:1,17,20	99:17 100:1,18	pennsylvania	274:13 294:6,6
272:14 278:12	101:20 102:17	128:8,17	317:24 334:14
278:17 326:7	103:4,24 107:4	135:21,24	percentage
partial 153:6	119:25 129:24	189:19 230:1	109:5 111:24
particular 42:1	157:3 245:20	people 11:25	perception
214:24 230:23	245:24	12:1,5 13:12	176:19
233:14 244:23	pathways	22:16 24:6	perfect 69:7
252:20 289:19	30:24 96:8	43:20 51:12	181:6
291:8	99:5 107:3	67:20 120:3,8	perfluorinated
particularly	119:9 122:1	120:9 121:4	80:17 109:20
51:5,15 240:18	132:13 149:25	123:23 142:14	149:13,15,15
parties 47:12	250:11	156:7,10,16,20	149:23 150:7
47:18 55:1	patience 34:3	157:7 167:7,17	perfluoroalkyl
120:2 133:6,10	patiently 33:25	175:21 176:2	71:2 72:13
338:10,13	patients 335:9	176:18,22	75:3 82:23
partners	pattern 77:24	177:2,3,3,8	83:4
143:25	paul 154:23	194:4 230:2,17	perfluorocarb
parts 25:14,15	155:19,22	236:11 251:12	108:3,14,19
42:18,25 54:7	pause 42:22	306:3,13	perform 138:24
85:16 116:24	142:18 296:16	308:15,24	performed
117:2,2 193:23	paxlovid 80:24	310:4 312:23	138:16
210:8 216:13	80:24 81:3,4	317:16,25	performing
313:4 333:21	162:24 163:2	323:23 324:9	228:14
party 251:21	166:3	324:12,15	period 14:17
		327:6,20 329:2	16:22 273:25

[period - pfas]

274.9 210.6	335:13	21.22 22.4 9 0	00.17 00.1 12
274:8 310:6 327:16		21:23 22:4,8,9	88:17 89:1,12 89:23 90:10,11
	personally	22:12,21 23:17	,
permanent	178:18 188:1	24:5,17,18,24	90:15,24 91:21
334:19	313:6	25:8,10,16	92:18 93:22
permian 9:20	perspective	27:15,17,21	94:21 95:6
21:10 217:23	67:19 92:21	28:12,14 30:24	96:9,19,22
267:14 268:19	106:25 210:25	31:11,18 32:7	97:3,4,6,10
268:21 270:1,5	245:16	32:11,19 35:5	98:1,5,6 99:6
307:19	persuade	35:10 36:4,22	99:10 100:10
permission	312:16	37:21,23,25	100:13,14
35:24 158:19	pertinence	38:14,20,23	102:15,24
201:22	137:13	40:5,10 41:11	104:11,20,24
permit 28:23	pertinent	41:15,16 42:12	104:25 105:4,9
permitting	265:24 266:2,5	42:15,21,22,24	105:11,19
32:1	296:22	43:5 44:7,14	106:14 107:10
pers 213:22	pervasive	48:12,20 50:7	107:14,15
persist 21:25	104:11,21	50:15,23 51:4	108:1,5,8
38:7	105:1	51:8 57:7,12	109:4,6,6,7,8
persistence	petition 38:15	57:13,19,23,25	109:13,16,17
63:19 64:12	50:6,11 266:1	58:5,10,14,15	109:23 111:13
persistent	278:3 279:5	58:17,18,22,25	112:2,4,17
27:21 58:20	321:8 327:21	60:7,10 62:7	113:1,5,7,12
62:20 63:1,15	petitioner 43:9	62:19,19 63:5	114:22 115:6
63:17,18,23	147:4 249:19	63:10 65:1,4,5	115:19,23
81:17 104:12	petitioners	68:7,16 69:5	116:4,10,18,18
104:21 105:1	52:5 147:13	69:11,15,21	117:7,16
109:19 167:15	petri 95:24	70:8,19,20,24	121:23 122:14
187:7 217:8	petroleum	71:25 72:1,21	122:16 123:4,9
person 10:18	214:1 264:15	73:19 74:13	123:20 124:1
118:8 123:13	pfa 64:11	79:9 81:3,5,16	124:13,14
152:11,19	pfas 7:7 9:3,8	81:22 82:3,17	125:20,22
282:8 305:22	9:16 10:2	83:11,17,24	126:2,11,13,22
325:10	11:11,14 12:6	84:9,16,18	127:3,7,21
personal	13:23 14:21	86:1 87:13,17	128:6,23 129:2
119:18 189:17	17:21,24 18:2	87:25 88:7,14	130:22 131:1,4

[pfas - pfoa]

131:7,22	201:9 202:5,19	266:21,25	328:1,7 333:8
132:15,21,23	203:22,25	267:4,12 268:4	333:9,10,13,20
139:15,22	204:5,11,21,23	268:17,18	333:23,24
140:6,21 141:3	205:2,14	269:16,25	334:7 336:1
141:13,15,22	206:12,15,24	270:5 271:15	pfases 210:11
142:7,10,12,16	207:3,8,14	271:21,25	pfasoa 65:11
146:7,7,13,14	209:3,22	273:21 274:25	pfba 223:11
147:22,25	210:10,16,18	275:7,10,20,23	pfbs 88:16
148:2 149:11	211:21 212:8	276:7,21 277:6	90:17 91:23
149:13 150:16	213:2,3,18,23	277:9,10,12,15	92:11 95:3
150:17,19	214:3 215:8,14	277:18,20	116:25 125:13
151:5,9,12	216:18,24	279:5,10,11	220:5 221:22
153:13 163:5	217:22 219:1	283:7 285:5	223:11,18
164:14,19	219:13 220:5	287:21 288:5,8	pfdoa 85:15
167:19,24	224:1,15,19	289:3,6,12,17	pfeg 200:25
168:17,21	226:1,6 229:16	289:19,20	201:3 235:5
169:25 170:7	231:13,14,22	290:6,9,10,14	269:10 291:8
172:13,21	232:3,9,12,15	290:16 291:1,7	pfhxs 88:16
177:23 178:16	232:21 233:5,8	291:14 292:10	91:24 115:25
178:19,22	233:15,16,25	293:13 294:24	214:25 223:11
179:16 181:9	234:1,4 236:23	295:1 298:14	pfna 88:16
181:18 182:3,9	237:23,24	303:9,9,13,24	91:24
182:15,25	239:19 244:20	304:12 305:24	pfoa 36:4 69:6
183:16,24	245:3,12,12	306:1,7,18,22	70:6 71:2
184:8,12,19	246:10,16,20	307:25 308:17	72:14 73:21
185:12 186:2	247:23 248:6	308:25 310:5	74:2,7,11,14,19
187:5,11,15,18	248:18,19	312:5,17	74:20 76:7
187:20,24	249:22,24	314:24 315:17	79:17 82:18
188:6,9 190:6	250:3,7 251:15	317:13,20	85:18 88:16
190:17,20	251:19,19,23	318:5,11,12	90:13 91:24
192:1 193:2	252:1,2,5,17,20	319:17,25	92:15 93:9,13
194:9,17 195:9	252:21 253:1,7	320:10,14,15	94:24 97:1
195:14,20	253:24 254:12	320:18 322:1,5	106:14 107:10
196:17 200:3	255:25 257:15	324:4 326:5	115:25 117:13
200:11,13,23	259:10 266:15	327:12,24	132:1,5 133:11

[pfoa - please]

168:24 172:2	pharmaceutic	150:11,12	players 268:20
172:13 191:2	63:7 81:11	ping 69:13	269:20
214:10,15,25	166:1 167:18	pinned 51:15	plays 212:21,21
237:8,11	168:13 169:6	pinpoint	please 7:21 8:7
238:14,18,21	169:16 170:6	290:23	10:2,2,16
238:25 239:6	pharmacologist	pissed 36:9	12:23 16:5,19
239:24 240:7	166:16	place 35:22,25	17:3 19:18
272:9 273:17	pharmacology	59:9 104:4	20:6 23:7
pfoas 171:19	167:3	178:4 182:7	26:17 30:8
pfos 36:5 58:5	phased 274:11	252:24 253:2	33:11,13 34:4
58:10 65:11	274:20	316:24 334:11	35:13 40:22
69:6 70:6 71:2	phasing 295:12	placed 159:2,5	41:5 46:18
72:14 73:21	philandering	placenta 96:9	52:20 53:9
74:2,5,16	18:19	places 132:3,12	55:13,15,21
82:18 88:16	phrase 94:14	placing 51:25	60:9 64:24
91:24 93:9,13	143:10 159:12	plan 8:25 218:3	66:18 75:12
94:25 97:1	physical 57:25	335:2	81:25 113:16
107:10 115:25	73:16 88:3	planet 15:2,15	117:24 119:15
117:13 132:1,6	106:6 182:4	21:22 324:12	139:5 141:10
133:11 165:22	185:13 244:22	329:3	144:4 145:10
166:9 168:24	245:4	plasma 215:25	148:15 152:10
171:19 172:2	physicians	216:7	158:18 160:11
191:3 214:10	200:6	platform 7:21	174:22 175:14
214:16,24	pick 132:14	29:20 30:1	198:15 199:21
237:8,10	168:3 169:6	33:24 34:1	201:22 217:10
238:14,17,21	258:7	46:13,13	219:16 226:22
239:1,3,25	picked 128:11	172:19 297:1	259:21 261:15
240:7 248:9,11	picking 251:21	299:25 301:19	264:8 267:3
285:15,16,25	picture 111:9	302:14 329:13	271:14 276:14
pftea 223:12,19	piece 65:17,21	332:10 336:6	276:17 278:9
ph 142:21	77:18 78:4,7	336:19,21	280:21 300:5,8
218:20 316:18	107:9 126:6,6	plausible 42:7	302:23 304:18
316:19,21,21	188:15	play 174:18	305:7 306:20
ph.d. 6:4,10	pieces 73:10	179:15	307:4 309:3
55:6 198:5	80:10 150:1,6		311:19 313:23

[please - potential]

	1	I	1
314:5 318:16	315:15	191:9,23	187:14,17,23
319:3 321:7	poisoning 39:3	polymer 65:16	234:22 277:14
323:6 324:18	policies 24:11	66:2 201:11	279:16 282:6,7
325:1 326:21	120:18	polymers 65:6	282:10,20
330:2 332:12	policy 36:12	65:13,14 66:4	positions 272:4
332:16 336:25	political 120:18	70:17 73:11	possibility
pleases 134:14	pollutants 33:7	171:6,9 182:25	16:20 42:3
pleasure 197:1	320:4	190:20 212:6	290:13
pleistocene	pollute 32:2	214:18,19	possible 28:3
15:16	304:2	polysides	54:4 152:22
plus 18:3	polluted 322:15	213:20	219:7 248:14
103:24 114:12	polluting	polytetrafluo	277:8 330:15
139:14,22	322:18	200:24	posted 124:4
169:19 170:5	pollution 38:8	pong 69:13	posthearing
point 19:23	137:1 306:12	poorest 21:12	301:13
23:22 43:11	306:21	pope 4:25	potencies 117:9
62:15 70:22	polly 5:17	40:24,25 41:3	potency 85:11
91:4 128:1	325:3	41:6,7 46:8	114:10,13
165:17 177:7	poly 71:22,22	population	115:22 116:22
203:3 220:6,8	83:7 192:7,10	124:18 306:8	117:6
220:17,18	192:12 214:5	306:10	potential 16:1
222:8 223:20	polyacrylamide	populations	41:24 62:20
247:5,11	291:5	8:19 304:5	64:12 68:4,4,5
251:18 275:3	polyalcohol	porous 240:21	69:22 77:18,20
282:14 297:9	72:5	portion 159:18	85:10,19 92:23
pointed 189:17	polyethylene	188:17 241:13	95:1 96:25,25
pointing	201:1	242:8 306:8	99:24 111:11
176:10	polyfluorinated	pose 132:18	111:12 115:21
points 79:21	76:12 80:3	250:8	116:4 119:9
97:10 165:16	83:8 93:1	posed 320:8	122:1 126:15
poison 312:19	150:1 319:17	poses 24:7	131:12 150:18
334:6	polyfluorinates	50:17 166:8	150:21 167:24
poisoned 156:8	105:3	249:22	182:21 184:14
156:12,14	polyfluoroalk	position 154:8	185:15 194:17
310:16,17,17	73:9 83:6	154:12 187:10	262:7 263:9,25

[potential - proactive]

264:24 268:9	practicing	259:12	prevent 137:1
285:16 298:11	11:16	presented 35:4	245:25 251:14
306:15	practitioner	50:13 64:11	252:16 327:25
potentially	28:22	119:18 171:25	preventing
63:8 151:18	precautionary	194:23	15:10,17
171:16,20	41:20	presenting	prevention
186:8 229:5	preceding	52:10 157:25	24:19
232:18 250:10	159:23	160:13	previous
pounding	precious 31:24	preserve	185:24 302:8
239:11	306:4 307:23	306:12	previously 89:9
pounds 271:15	precise 65:24	president	184:24 202:10
271:25 272:6	preeclampsia	134:24 155:23	234:5 239:18
273:21 274:3	142:13	259:23 260:4	255:17
274:10 310:7	prefer 7:22	260:12,16,21	priess 220:16
powder 183:12	133:22 194:1	261:24 262:3	221:1
powders	prefiled 247:9	265:8,10	principle 41:21
183:10	pregnant 125:8	presidents	327:6,14
powell 205:23	142:13 310:4	260:8	principles
243:19 244:13	prehearing	press 304:15,22	317:1
powell's 244:9	299:15,17	pressure 60:19	prior 249:21
power 11:23	preliminary	63:23 207:17	250:6,18
280:15 281:4	49:13	230:16	priorities
281:11	premise 175:16	pressures	325:24,24
powerful 24:20	prescribed	234:13	prioritizing
practical	166:1,3	presumably	21:20 304:16
180:13	presence 51:8	253:3	private 27:8
practicality	62:21 203:12	pretending	36:2 205:1
60:13 178:22	present 4:1 5:1	75:11	229:11,13,22
practically	7:20 27:10	pretty 24:9	232:5
60:18 181:13	28:15 38:25	77:6 78:2 93:4	privilege
325:15	49:18 50:25	132:25 149:6	258:25 315:9
practice 178:2	57:24 62:21	178:12 188:21	pro 154:17
practices	74:4 109:9,17	207:21 229:23	proactive 28:4
129:16 130:12	119:24 150:24	230:13 243:21	322:19
176:21 304:2	151:18 187:6	315:19	
	I	I	1

[probably - propose]

169:7 177:6 pro 188:22 191:24 2 191:24 221:4 3 240:25 3 probe 155:7 9 259:7,14 1	oduce 61:19 oduced 8:24 8:10 29:4 1:3,11,13 8:25 99:5,6 9:10 101:18 02:14 109:8 09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	109:23,25 110:18,20,23 110:25 111:12 111:16 113:2,4 204:14 213:21 214:6 216:16 216:17,18 231:17 314:20 profession	prohibit 251:6 prohibiting
188:22 191:24 191:24 221:4 240:25 probe 155:7 259:7,14	8:10 29:4 1:3,11,13 8:25 99:5,6 9:10 101:18 02:14 109:8 09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	110:25 111:12 111:16 113:2,4 204:14 213:21 214:6 216:16 216:17,18 231:17 314:20	327:23 328:3 prohibition 32:7,19 108:21 328:7 336:1 project 191:14
191:24 221:4 3 240:25 3 probe 155:7 9 259:7,14 1	1:3,11,13 8:25 99:5,6 9:10 101:18 02:14 109:8 09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	111:16 113:2,4 204:14 213:21 214:6 216:16 216:17,18 231:17 314:20	prohibition 32:7,19 108:21 328:7 336:1 project 191:14
240:25 3 probe 155:7 9 259:7,14 1	8:25 99:5,6 9:10 101:18 02:14 109:8 09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	204:14 213:21 214:6 216:16 216:17,18 231:17 314:20	32:7,19 108:21 328:7 336:1 project 191:14
probe 155:7 9 259:7,14 1	9:10 101:18 02:14 109:8 09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	214:6 216:16 216:17,18 231:17 314:20	328:7 336:1 project 191:14
259:7,14	02:14 109:8 09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	216:17,18 231:17 314:20	project 191:14
,	09:12 217:15 17:23 218:1,4	231:17 314:20	
1 respectively. 1	17:23 218:1,4		projects 188:8
1	,	nrofocción	100 0 7 000 0
		*	189:2,5 329:2
	19:5,13	211:13	330:14
	20:20 224:1	professional	prolong 33:15
	24:15,19	118:25 121:17	prominent 54:8
-	26:1,6 281:22	244:7 266:9	promise 75:16
94:9 184:5	07:15 319:20	267:11,19	promised 313:1
303:14 320:22 3	19:22 328:1	336:23	313:14
333:17 pro	oduct 74:8	professionally	promote 11:8
procedure 7	4:20 77:17	178:19	promulgated
61:19	09:18 168:1	professionals	123:21
procedures 2	.03:11,12	11:6 335:8	proper 52:2
231:21 2	60:6 265:11	professor 26:25	61:20 151:17
proceeding 2	65:13 289:19	profile 117:18	properties
41:14 137:14 2	90:11	profiles 114:4	81:20 106:6,7
proceedings pro	oduction	115:9 132:13	167:5,14 182:4
52:11 338:5,7	1:10,24 30:21	profit 36:19	233:5,8,10,14
338:11 3	8:14,20,24	324:16 327:16	233:16,20
process 101:9 3	9:8 40:6,13	profits 21:21	242:23
123:2 124:6 5	0:9 72:14	program 62:17	property 46:3
170:3 177:12	09:3 185:15	64:8 108:8	51:12
177:16 212:10	69:24 327:25	123:19 167:2	proportions
229:12 286:10 pro	oductive	178:18 185:22	39:21
processes 3	27:9	186:17	proposal 23:17
109:22 pro	oducts 50:25	programs	31:8 39:7
processing 6	4:15 72:20	177:13 180:1	121:20 205:17
-	3:18 74:18,22	325:25	propose 57:19
7	5:2,4 99:1		_ _

[proposed - public]

	T	I	I
proposed 7:6	73:5 121:18	proteins 67:7	psr 200:5,22
43:9,24 44:5	143:17 294:20	68:18 69:7,8,9	235:7,18 275:8
51:23 52:6	306:20 313:8	69:16 171:15	psychological
58:19 62:14	313:16 315:3	184:2	156:5 157:20
63:16 74:14	318:7 321:5	protocol	176:11
81:5 110:1	322:13 324:17	310:20	ptfe 66:5,20,25
112:13 121:13	326:6 334:11	proven 111:25	70:25 78:20
133:7,10	335:21	provide 7:13	79:3 98:9,17
185:18 190:17	protected	41:9 45:1 46:4	107:14 183:7
197:19,20	12:10 39:22	55:25 57:3,11	183:10 190:22
200:16 205:5	64:3 73:6,9	57:14 119:4,8	200:23 235:5
206:1 251:6	306:12 307:24	119:15 121:9	235:20,21
279:15 285:7	protecting	123:7 170:14	254:9 271:24
285:17,17	20:25 24:12	198:21 199:22	272:7 274:20
299:12 335:1	38:12 294:23	200:12 203:13	291:8 293:18
335:25	322:20 324:14	236:21	ptse 242:11
proposes 89:23	protection	provided 12:2	269:7
112:16,25	22:21 24:14,20	58:23 227:25	public 4:12,13
126:11	47:1 60:25	254:3 255:11	4:15,16,17,18
proposing	protections	284:7 286:4	4:19,21,23,24
31:25 50:6	9:13 334:4	293:5,10	4:25 5:4,5,6,7,8
177:11,25	protective 29:8	provider	5:10,11,12,14
190:5	31:22 62:9	162:16,18	5:16,17,18,19
proprietary	114:3 115:1,8	provides 14:1	5:20 11:2,5,9
257:2 276:6	116:7,20	195:25	11:16 16:22
277:3,5 285:23	124:17 126:11	providing	18:23,24 21:2
287:21 288:4	179:3	143:23	27:1,23 29:13
protect 11:8,24	protectiveness	provisions	31:7,14 32:10
12:5 18:24	63:4	35:14 153:17	36:3,12 39:15
19:14 22:16	protects 46:2	prozac 166:3	41:9 42:5 45:3
32:25 33:5	124:21 144:10	prudent 179:17	45:5 46:2,5,16
35:20 36:13	320:15	195:8,11	47:11,22 49:16
42:5 45:22	protein 69:16	322:12,22	50:10 52:4
50:9 51:12	69:24 71:20	psbs 116:23	53:14 58:8,25
58:25 59:11	73:17		59:11 62:9

[public - question]

119:23 120:19	publications	pursue 328:10	qualifier 222:3
120:22 121:10	48:23 262:18	pursuing 333:2	222:4,20
121:18 129:8,9	publicly 29:12	push 28:20	qualifying
129:13,14,17	48:23 173:6	35:8 288:23	232:24,25
130:7,15	published	pushing 274:24	233:2
135:11 151:17	114:24 121:2	275:19	quality 29:10
151:20 154:8	131:15	put 43:23 45:13	30:19 219:6
157:25 160:13	pueblo 30:18	60:3 62:4,7	262:6 263:8,24
161:2 162:8	35:22	65:19 70:23	333:7
168:7 177:15	pull 136:3,5	75:8 79:8 82:6	quantities
179:3 187:7	139:18 201:16	82:10 85:24	28:10
213:1 227:7,19	202:21 206:21	119:2,4 120:5	quantity 83:18
227:22 229:9	209:12 231:7	120:9 121:8	230:12
232:11,13,20	241:5 248:21	130:14 138:21	question 38:21
236:11 250:8	pulled 122:8	161:10,12	54:15 66:16
250:25 251:7	203:5 219:17	190:1 235:4	69:2 85:5
279:17,17	237:5,6	243:17 250:25	97:14 117:16
284:8 285:19	pulls 78:15	293:2 306:19	136:22,24
288:22,22	pump 230:15	307:24	141:8,18 143:8
294:20,23	231:7,7 248:1	puts 84:20	144:2,3 146:11
300:1,3,7,18	248:14,20	putting 31:7	146:12 147:19
301:18,25	pumping 38:17	89:20 91:13	148:14,25
302:5,9 304:8	39:9 248:15	228:15 234:15	149:2 151:7
310:19 315:11	pumps 234:17	235:8 336:23	152:9,17 155:5
320:8,15 321:5	punishing	pw 218:1,4	157:11 159:25
323:5 324:25	15:11	220:8,20	160:6,18 163:1
325:22,23	purple 82:22	\mathbf{q}	164:9,15 173:2
326:13 329:1	84:5	qa 61:21	177:19 179:12
332:11 335:3	purportedly	qc 61:21	179:22 181:4,5
336:7,9	50:9	qua 29:12	185:10 187:9
public's 158:1	purposefully	qualifications	187:13 190:9
160:10,15	24:19	225:15 251:8	192:4 194:19
publication	purposes	qualified	217:9 224:21
48:16 122:22	109:12 251:1	106:12	255:4 260:19
157:19			261:11,16

[question - react]

262:14 263:7	quick 17:19	198:18,18	rat 183:9
263:19 264:13	66:15 101:1	309:13,13	rat's 183:11
265:15 268:23	285:14 287:13	311:20,20	rate 75:25
269:13 271:5	287:14	314:7 319:4	167:8 266:14
272:16,18,25	quickly 12:6	323:11 326:23	rates 331:4
273:3,11 274:7	69:19 78:2	330:4,4	rather 41:17
277:16 278:7	80:12 82:19	radiation 19:5	50:21 51:24
279:3 280:18	92:9 93:4	radioactive	ratio 290:7
280:20 285:14	177:6	321:24 322:24	ratios 289:17
289:14 291:2	quite 59:13	rain 137:2	289:23,25
296:22 297:2	100:4 105:6	209:24 210:12	rats 183:13
298:21	124:9 131:10	raise 7:21	rays 328:21
questionable	214:10 245:9	20:20 46:18	razatos 4:3
105:8	quo 29:5	302:14 304:15	165:4,13 168:6
questioning	quorum 278:9	326:13 332:12	168:11,15,23
147:3,7 148:23	278:11,13	raises 23:25	169:2,11,14,19
174:13 226:18	quote 29:8	ran 25:7	169:22 170:10
226:23 261:7	62:17 113:12	ranch 51:6	170:17 171:2
267:22	143:10 151:19	random 79:9	171:18,23
questions 59:21	158:4,4,11	132:14	172:4,7,9,16,23
62:6 79:25	159:15 165:17	randon 5:19	173:16 174:2
137:22 145:16	182:9 240:12	330:3	174:10 175:6,8
146:18,21	257:1 264:16	range 72:15	176:14,24
147:7 155:3	297:11	85:6,23 88:18	177:17 179:11
163:14,21,25	quoted 159:13	135:18 221:20	180:19 239:9
164:1,3 165:2	159:19 189:23	222:1,2,8,17	297:4 298:20
170:12 180:21	327:21	223:3,5,15	rcra 136:16
196:20 216:21	quotes 78:21	ranges 131:10	rcx 6:3
247:15,16	161:10,12	ranging 91:23	rdx 6:3
282:7,19	r	244:21 245:9	reach 100:5
284:11,14,17	r 2:1,3 3:12 7:1	rapidly 84:7	reaches 50:17
286:7 287:2,5	8:8 12:25	rare 243:19	reaching
287:6,8 288:14	40:25 55:24	rarely 58:12	241:24
288:16,20,24	136:17,17	95:25	react 98:13
	138:20,20,20		
	130.20,20,20		

[reaction - receptors]

reaction 98:23	realized 169:11	298:25 333:10	rebutting 217:4
reactions	really 19:12	reasonable	recall 99:7
171:16	24:12 26:3	14:17 88:19	102:25 108:14
reactive 66:13	36:12 37:24	90:2,9 224:14	113:13 118:18
88:3 98:12	39:3 45:17	225:3 328:4	126:23 127:9
reactivity	58:3 59:14,18	reasonably	127:10,24
140:23 141:5	60:9 62:12,18	90:14	146:8 147:22
read 18:1 83:14	66:6 68:17,19	reasoning	151:22 158:6
88:23 91:25	69:2 70:5	174:19	164:15 167:5
94:2 96:12	75:19 77:13	reasons 53:19	219:3 240:3
104:16 109:1	78:16,17 101:7	207:6	251:5 284:21
110:3 122:18	103:11 106:14	reauthorization	receive 120:3
135:23 136:6	113:22 120:2	185:25	received 44:5
154:6 203:8,14	123:6 125:25	rebecca 4:17	49:10 54:21
203:17 209:20	130:2 157:12	12:14,14 20:16	56:25 198:2
210:2 218:5	166:25 167:1	rebut 133:4,5	199:18 299:23
253:22 314:20	167:16 176:21	249:3	300:23
readily 66:9	179:5 180:12	rebuttal 6:3	recent 19:4
158:2 160:11	181:12,14	52:10 56:16	23:24 28:17
160:16 320:11	183:22 184:17	59:25 60:3	34:24 178:14
reading 151:1	185:14 190:8	83:25 86:20	294:12
160:24 249:1	201:8 203:24	91:14 108:24	recently 15:5
reads 87:13	204:21 208:21	113:11 118:5	24:16
real 35:7,15	221:6 233:2,16	118:12 122:5	receptor 68:24
36:11 42:2	242:9 253:19	122:11 133:1	69:2 77:25
66:23 86:6	289:3 309:1	137:7 190:18	78:8 99:19
95:21	325:11 333:5	217:16,19	103:5,8,22
reality 60:12	333:10 335:20	218:9 219:19	106:8 124:25
167:17 178:2	reason 54:7	226:24 228:1	127:8,22 128:7
180:4 327:10	85:21 138:10	233:4 235:25	128:23 129:1
realization	163:10 174:9	247:9 249:2,21	246:2,2,4
294:5	196:22 205:9	261:2 262:22	receptors 67:20
realize 170:2	207:11,12	262:24 267:23	69:23,24 70:1
315:2	213:10 256:12	270:18 272:22	70:6 77:19
	274:19 291:8	297:21	79:23 102:24

[receptors - regulated]

103:19 104:3	100.16 200.10	reduction	reflect 122:16
107:7 128:11	198:16 299:10 334:8 336:24		
		207:16 208:21	125:19
174:7 184:17	337:1 338:7	234:11	reflecting
recess 91:10,11	records 156:20	refer 174:25	87:15
146:22,25	188:7 235:15	278:2	refusing 258:20
226:19,20	235:19 271:24	reference	regard 190:4
301:21,22	294:7	123:22 137:17	regarding 16:1
recipe 29:6	recovery 14:17	157:16 189:10	150:21 151:17
recipes 39:21	30:23 136:16	271:24 273:19	283:6
recipients	234:18	275:18 284:21	regardless
119:11	recreate 200:7	referenced	313:6
reckless 14:4	recycled 31:4	82:13 153:14	regards 190:5
14:23	red 15:10	157:20	290:15 291:25
recognize	299:11	references	292:18 320:24
50:23 117:7	redirect 164:6	166:18 189:10	regeneration
161:1	164:10 287:11	189:13	328:11
recognized	287:15	referencing	regimens 166:4
185:11	redirected	136:4	regional 84:14
recommend	329:1	referred 124:3	84:19 173:4
195:18	redirecting	273:10 280:13	262:6 263:8,24
recommendat	325:23	281:2	regions 51:10
112:7	redressed	referring 52:22	registry 93:21
recommended	14:16	92:6 96:20	94:12 143:16
108:7 296:2	reduce 319:20	98:8 113:20	144:7,16
325:22	319:21	114:20 128:16	145:19 146:1
reconsider	reduced 234:14	139:12,18	161:25 227:9
22:13	reducer 288:1	141:15 191:21	regular 244:4
record 12:23	291:2,11	194:5 241:21	regularly 51:10
45:14 47:25	reducers	242:1 243:1	237:23 334:18
48:3,25 52:22	234:20	245:10 273:20	regulate 33:16
53:18 54:5,8	reduces 60:19	284:24 293:16	46:1 208:25
55:22 56:15	121:3 320:16	298:13 329:19	310:24 312:6
72:11 93:14	reducing	refers 14:19	regulated
140:13 158:9	234:16,17	162:11 222:20	27:16 214:13
170:22 197:5			250:5,13,15

[regulated - report]

252:6	159:16 160:2,5	relocate 303:21	324:6
regulation	160:17,20	rely 9:7 21:2	removed
30:22 42:14	186:2 239:19	33:3 50:21	274:15,17
122:14	281:21 283:1	224:14,17	rendered 29:12
regulations	284:5 338:9	225:4,6,14,25	renewable
44:5,11 51:18	relates 123:4	226:4 308:6	304:17
122:15 123:4,8	relation 14:5	315:11 326:7	repair 315:19
125:19 133:12	85:25 244:1	relying 317:25	repeat 65:17
167:3 181:21	relationship	remain 22:1	127:17 140:25
202:4,17	327:18	150:6,11	141:18 151:7
308:20,20	relative 114:9	333:11,12	187:12 214:4
334:3	115:22 338:12	remainder	236:6 252:12
regulator	relatively	247:15 282:16	255:1 261:15
208:25 209:2	214:15	remains 21:12	263:6 273:11
regulators 43:8	relatives 317:9	149:14,15	275:17 276:17
59:5 82:10	release 28:9,20	remediate	281:24 312:7
181:10 270:11	29:4 59:10	237:24	
271:2,9 280:11	119:20 168:4	remediation	repeatable 180:7
280:12 281:1	180:5 185:16		
313:11 335:4		24:17 204:5,6 210:25 211:5	repeated 79:6 279:8 333:14
	186:8		
regulatory	released 84:13	212:10 237:24	repeating 79:1 225:20 289:8
31:10 33:4	172:19 228:5	238:9,14	
43:2 51:25	273:24	239:19 241:10	repel 63:11
52:3 57:22	releases 28:23	245:15	72:17
58:12 62:14	relevance	remember	repellancy
82:8 92:14	108:9 178:20	70:14 128:12	81:19,19
122:13 124:2	relevant 58:1	remind 322:23	repercussions
138:18 139:9	138:1 207:8	reminder 40:19	41:25
180:1 191:6	261:7 267:24	remote 132:3	rephrase 150:8
reinforce 15:8	reliable 180:7	removal 214:24	152:17 267:3
reiterate 133:9	224:8 238:4	remove 213:14	replace 64:9
relate 162:15	reliably 61:19	247:25 248:14	report 200:6
related 43:22	61:22 224:1	248:16,18	234:22 235:2,8
143:18 144:11	relied 42:10	251:19,23	235:18 270:8
158:5,12		252:21 253:3	270:25 271:6

[report - response]

272:5 275:8	51:24 259:3	researched	resistant 63:24
reported 1:25	280:15 281:5	17:21	resisting 279:3
235:20,22	281:19 284:3	researchers	resource 11:22
reporter 338:4	292:15 313:15	89:20 177:2	21:3 30:22
reporting	317:14 326:5	308:17	136:15 306:4
220:25 221:5	required 17:23	researches	resources
221:15 222:7	19:8,11 129:9	146:2	14:11 31:16,25
reports 120:24	232:10 280:8	researching	33:6 35:2
188:9	280:23 334:2	255:13	48:17 51:22
represent	335:25	reservation	246:13 267:12
83:21 90:19	requirement	316:23	267:13 303:20
147:12 170:21	180:18 256:13	reserve 95:21	308:5 320:18
249:19 263:12	requirements	reservoir	323:22 324:20
representative	31:21 53:21	272:12	325:21
230:19 231:5	300:19 319:16	resided 35:22	respect 35:13
255:9 292:10	320:23	resident 13:7	205:20 235:5
representatives	requires 28:25	30:18 230:12	236:4 245:11
38:19 254:7	63:13 259:16	231:8 302:21	252:18 274:1
259:4	requiring 32:8	303:6 304:6	298:11
representing	50:8 118:13	305:18	respectfully
41:8 220:20	282:13 334:22	residential	140:14
221:18	research 17:20	173:13 174:8	respond 60:9
reproducible	17:25 42:6	229:13,22	82:1 145:15
61:22	80:8,15 81:25	230:3,7,9	191:25 281:6
reproductive	114:23 115:20	232:4 246:3	responders
26:1 38:1	116:15 131:14	residual 109:8	118:16
318:13 320:21	136:18 143:22	109:24 253:7	responding
request 140:14	145:2 146:2	residuals 109:6	143:19
requested	149:6 160:25	109:14,17	responds
272:21	180:2 185:11	110:18,19	144:21
requests	244:11 289:11	residues 328:17	response 18:7
327:21	290:12 314:24	resilient 306:10	114:10 124:21
require 36:20	317:4 318:11	resins 215:7	142:8 188:13
39:7,17 40:6	330:17	resistance	237:20
40:13 44:14		313:21	

[responsibilities - right]

responsibilities	235:6,11,12	267:10,18	238:20 240:6
329:5	resume 226:23	276:3	240:14 243:14
responsibility	retailers 43:8	reviewing	243:22 245:1
18:24 42:4	retained 229:1	133:2 278:17	248:3,24 249:6
109:10 200:6	246:25	revise 300:11	249:17,18
234:22 272:5	retardant	revised 15:7	254:25 257:22
318:7	168:9	52:7 195:19	258:5 264:23
responsible	retention	revision 205:5	264:24 265:5
22:1 27:8	105:23 229:5	rewritten 94:18	265:22 268:3
157:6 260:5	retired 26:25	reyes 5:12	272:19 273:5
265:10,13	return 29:25	318:24 319:1,4	273:13 276:12
rest 71:25	46:9,12 47:5	319:7,10,11	278:7 279:2,20
81:15 83:11,11	52:11 146:21	321:11	282:5,9 284:15
264:13 285:12	reuse 31:13	rich 21:14,14	285:13 286:9
308:19	219:8	richardson	287:7,17
restate 280:21	reveal 258:20	6:10 57:18	288:14,15
restoration	258:22	62:12 103:15	289:1 298:25
328:8	revealed 44:18	105:14 112:12	299:3,5
result 25:1	276:7	178:6 189:23	richardson's
139:10 142:13	reverse 215:8	190:3 197:7	197:11 216:23
142:14 151:20	215:10	198:5,13,15,17	236:1,6 261:3
153:20,23	review 35:12	198:21 199:21	262:18 297:21
212:3,3 222:2	86:11,17,20	199:25 201:25	richest 21:21
246:23	115:18 118:2,5	203:1,4 205:3	rid 92:10
resulted 236:24	200:8 201:25	205:17,22	ridiculous
resulting	202:7 206:11	206:11,14,23	45:18
109:19	206:14 209:17	209:17 210:4	right 8:3,11
results 35:12	234:21 235:1,1	217:14 218:25	12:17 16:12,15
61:20 168:20	237:2 269:2	219:22 224:13	21:20 29:20,24
200:21 220:3	270:4 276:23	225:25 226:8	30:6 33:23
220:19 221:3	276:24 280:4	226:24 227:4,9	39:9,10 45:7
223:9,11,13,25	285:14 293:1	227:13 228:8	48:6 49:14
224:8,9,10	reviewed	228:13 232:13	54:6,13,17,22
225:1,1,2,4,6	188:17 217:21	233:21 234:21	56:22 62:25
231:11 235:4,4	218:14 219:22	237:20 238:13	63:22 67:4

[right - rule]

69:13,14 71:1	277:19 278:6	116:25 119:3,4	role 212:21,21
78:5 80:22	278:25 282:11	120:1,8 121:8	212:22
82:19 83:11	283:12 284:12	129:16,21	roll 28:6
84:5 85:20	289:20 292:24	130:4,14	rolling 29:1
86:3 90:16	296:11 299:4	140:19 141:1	114:7
91:7 97:16	299:20 300:15	141:11,20	room 29:21,25
100:11 101:2	300:25 301:6,9	143:13 150:21	33:25 37:6
114:20 120:10	301:16 302:22	150:24 151:17	46:10,11 102:3
121:10,21,23	305:4 313:3	158:5,12	172:18 246:7
132:7 134:8	323:4,6 329:25	159:16 160:2,5	259:12 299:25
135:9,15 138:7	336:10	160:18,20	302:2,17 323:4
142:25 147:1	rightfully	173:6,21,22	324:24 336:8
154:6 159:11	42:19	174:25 176:17	336:15,20,21
161:14 167:4	rightly 82:19	176:18,20,21	round 309:2
168:4,25	rigorous 167:2	176:23 182:21	route 106:12
169:18,21	rings 79:14	218:2 249:24	routes 51:16
170:24 177:8,9	rio 272:13	250:11 289:6	routine 213:16
177:16 181:1,7	319:12 327:6	305:24,25	routinely 166:1
182:5 184:23	rise 14:25	307:24 313:12	row 78:12
191:10 194:12	328:8	risking 26:3	rpr 1:25 338:20
197:22 199:14	risk 9:17 18:9	risks 9:22,23	rubin 2:3
200:18 204:9	18:10,12,13	27:9,11,20	142:18 278:8
204:10,23	25:25 26:1,4	41:24 50:21	278:12,19,21
207:8,23,25	27:3,18 31:7	51:19 150:19	278:24 296:17
210:11 220:7	42:7,8 50:18	320:8 335:5	296:19 297:6
222:15 230:10	57:9,13,15	rita 46:21,21	297:19 298:9
231:16 232:16	62:23 77:18	river 136:25	298:15,17
240:25 247:18	84:25 86:1,2,6	220:22 272:13	300:6
251:12 252:13	94:14 95:1,16	322:6	rule 50:6 52:6
259:1 261:19	99:12,16,24	rl 221:2	93:16 175:18
262:25 265:21	101:7,12,14	robert 29:8	197:19 202:20
268:11 269:10	103:3 107:6	robust 167:16	209:10 251:6
270:20 275:2	114:13,14,15	rodent 126:2	259:3,16 280:7
275:11 276:8	114:16,17	184:25	280:22 281:19
276:23 277:3	115:14 116:24		282:13,20,24

[rule - science]

284:2 299:13 sackett 5:4 230:22 231:1,4 248:1 327:23 328:3 302:18,18,20 231:23,24 252:1 rulemaking 302:21,24 233:1 292:12 261:1 21:19 58:2,23 303:2,5 304:16 samples 217:23 268:1	17 275:9 23 298:9
327:23 328:3 302:18,18,20 231:23,24 252:1 rulemaking 302:21,24 233:1 292:12 261:1 21:19 58:2,23 303:2,5 304:16 samples 217:23 268:1 59:17 84:22 304:23 305:2 241:5 275:2	11 259:7 17,19 17 275:9 23 298:9
rulemaking 302:21,24 233:1 292:12 261:1 21:19 58:2,23 303:2,5 304:16 samples 217:23 268:1 59:17 84:22 304:23 305:2 241:5 275:2	17,19 17 275:9 23 298:9
21:19 58:2,23 303:2,5 304:16 samples 217:23 268:1 59:17 84:22 304:23 305:2 241:5 275:2	17 275:9 23 298:9
59:17 84:22 304:23 305:2 241:5 275:2	23 298:9 17
	17
108·10 133·7 sacred 22·15 sampling 178·3 308·1	
100.10 133.7 Sacrea 22.13 Sampling 170.3 300.1	8:4 19:15
178:20 203:23 318:7 229:22 230:3 says 1	
265:25 283:4 sacrifice 31:24 230:20,22 60:24	1 80:23
rules 7:7 43:25 308:4 231:6,9,20,22 82:25	5 83:15,18
50:12 51:20 sacrificed 232:3,4,11,14 88:13	3 93:20
52:1 53:13 307:18 232:21 292:9,9 97:18	3 110:23
175:18 282:22 safe 84:22 89:8 292:15 125:1	18 138:15
299:12 99:1 115:3 san 317:2 160:2	24 237:8
rumbling 139:17,19,25 sand 67:3 241:1	14 246:9
221:11 166:5 167:4 sandau 97:23 247:2	23 268:16
run 215:19,20 304:9 315:12 97:25 98:8 275:3	3,7
222:21 232:3 335:16 sandia 13:7 scale	14:20
running 131:14 safeguard sandra 5:10 72:2:	328:24
137:1 185:1 310:12 314:2 scaled	116:21
236:3 317:25 safely 336:25 santa 1:12 2:6 117:6	,
rural 303:19 safety 25:10 2:14,22 3:7,19 scaling	83:16
304:5 36:3 279:17 19:6 34:24 scarce	11:21
s 321:2 35:16 36:25 31:15	;
s 2:1 6:17 7:1 saint 2:13 333:1 scared	154:2,7
8:8,9 10:20 salt 222:16 satisfied 196:16 scary	19:13
17:7 20:7 316:22 save 27:17 scenario	io
26:18 34:9 saltwater 188:23,23 129:2	21,25
55:24 74:13 220:11,12,14 194:21 scenari	ios 50:19
93:14 97:6 220:21 saw 16:10 129:1	19 148:6
106:18 198:18 sam 17:7 saying 70:6,10 scheme	es 248:1
198:19 248:11 sample 173:10 93:14 128:8 schools	s 118:15
248:12 302:24 173:14,20 143:11 161:8 science	22:22
307:5 309:13 220:7,9,18,21 168:13 176:2,6 27:19	9 32:7
311:21 314:7 220:22,22 182:25 187:7 82:4	116:13

[science - senator]

120:17,17	screenings	secretary 29:9	200:7 202:14
154:9,13,17,22	172:25	secreted 242:12	222:11 223:10
155:18 170:1	screens 47:5	257:11	235:6 242:2
176:17,20	230:12,23	secrets 9:11	252:10 253:9
187:24 188:3	scroll 87:5 96:7	22:9 29:1	254:8,10 261:3
331:23	104:9 107:22	39:22,23 44:19	262:23 263:1
scientific 27:10	110:7 111:5	44:20 253:15	263:17 268:10
41:23 42:6	159:7 217:18	253:16 256:16	271:17 276:1
115:18 225:4	241:13 244:15	279:17,21	285:22 290:6
318:11	246:5	293:11	293:25 295:4
scientifically	scrolling 87:10	section 85:1	302:18 304:6
224:13	91:18 209:14	175:12	305:3 308:3
scientists	242:15 243:4	sections 295:23	315:25 331:7,8
103:13 127:7	scrolls 159:9	295:24 296:1	332:12 336:7
127:21 128:6	sea 209:25	sector 14:23	336:10
128:23	search 17:20	see 7:25 10:7	seedsbeneath
scope 137:6	162:5 169:20	10:11 12:4,14	3:21
145:12 182:1,3	285:20	16:11 20:23	seeing 60:9
261:1 270:17	searched	21:4 26:10	82:1 247:3
283:11	266:21	35:3,7 45:2	seem 115:12
scotch 74:6	second 105:7	46:10,19,20,21	seems 45:17
screen 7:22	114:12 140:15	47:4 52:16	238:7 315:19
46:18 55:13	142:19 165:16	53:23 60:2,23	335:17
59:24 62:5	200:4,20	61:1 76:2,5,18	seen 19:5 44:25
84:15,17,25	202:12 208:13	76:20 77:6,21	58:12 73:24
116:9 138:21	220:18 226:12	78:4 79:3,10	149:25 163:9
145:17 158:17	238:1 261:2	80:23 82:25	178:13 189:12
191:15 193:5	272:16 278:8	97:17,18 111:5	242:4 307:18
201:20 203:6	secondly 179:7	128:9 145:21	324:1
206:25 219:18	secret 9:12	148:5 161:23	seismic 241:21
230:23 237:6	36:16 253:13	163:17 166:7	senator 4:25
screening	253:20 286:2	168:15 173:14	5:14 40:24,24
84:14 85:16,17	292:20 310:7	173:24 175:2	41:3,6,7,8 46:8
172:20 173:1,4	310:20	177:17,22	321:12,13,14
173:24 174:3		193:4 196:3	321:18,21,23

[senator - sick]

323:3 330:18	service 46:6	298:4,10	shortcut
send 322:25	service's	shallower	283:25
seneca 5:20	161:25	297:14	shortened
332:12,17,25	services 29:10	shape 70:2	200:25
sense 73:4	138:16,25	73:17 106:5	shorter 109:23
94:22 117:12	328:21	shapes 246:21	214:22 290:6,8
140:20 141:2	session 7:12,24	share 17:23	shoup 5:6
141:12,21	46:17 49:16	23:16 24:21	307:1,1,2,5,8
169:16 180:8	146:24	33:19 55:13	307:11,12
203:22 313:7	set 87:15	59:24 86:23	309:7
sensitive	186:17 219:1	95:9 158:17	show 24:11
124:17	223:4 333:19	193:9 201:19	75:9 81:15
sent 56:19	sets 53:25	209:15 237:4	125:19 139:5
sentence 105:6	settled 143:1	308:9 330:13	210:11 220:19
105:7 106:11	seven 76:6	shared 24:7	224:1 261:11
127:15,17	312:14	51:9	262:15 300:18
160:23 245:7	seventh 7:10	sharing 13:8	332:4
sentiment	15:15	87:3 122:9	showed 88:9
127:10,12	several 42:24	sheila 4:9 5:3	190:20
separate	66:11 91:23,24	8:4 46:20	showing 44:20
221:14	92:11,12,12	52:19,24 55:14	53:12 82:18
separates	93:23 94:19	158:18 201:22	132:12 168:20
213:23	97:19 102:2	sheila's 53:24	322:5
separation	105:20 174:16	shell 138:16,23	shown 27:11
241:15	217:22 334:23	138:25	65:9 78:19
septic 167:23	severe 13:16	shelley 4:13	82:21 142:7,9
167:23 204:16	14:7 41:25	10:11,19	142:12 160:25
series 50:15	335:19	shockingly	shows 44:22
serious 14:7	severely 331:19	25:12	75:22 122:15
42:8 303:14	sewage 25:2	shoot 236:13	322:11
seriously 11:10	328:17	short 230:24	shure 142:21
26:4 186:1	shade 328:22	288:21 301:24	sick 36:24
serve 90:8	shallow 230:6	319:21	87:10 202:24
served 138:17	289:12 297:8	shortage 19:2	209:16 246:7
	297:22,24		

[sickness - snow]

	I	I	1
sickness 318:19	212:13 235:6	site 48:22 59:7	sizes 83:16
side 21:20	272:3 291:19	59:9 145:17	246:20,22
73:10 81:7	291:20	160:22 173:9	slice 83:20
98:13 220:5	similarities	180:4	slide 62:5 66:19
223:12 235:21	206:9	sites 115:4	70:21 88:6
254:15 274:6	similarly 76:25	116:10 143:23	124:4
324:19	77:4 89:17	145:3,6 146:3	slides 171:4
sides 59:19	125:10 133:14	303:16	slight 297:23
siding 324:18	simple 40:1	sits 175:18	slightly 283:8
sierra 319:12	216:8 229:24	sitting 98:15	sludge 25:3
signal 69:25	315:19 327:21	133:8 149:21	209:25 328:17
signature	simplest 212:13	161:14	small 65:8,8,17
289:20 338:19	214:8	situation	65:21,22 67:25
signatures	simplified 65:4	128:17 130:1	69:18 70:5
289:16 290:10	simplify 114:1	157:13 207:13	76:9 78:16,24
signed 7:19	simply 31:25	207:17 222:24	88:9 90:18
236:11	33:2 43:15	314:16	111:16 123:12
significant	58:14 96:21	six 27:15 36:4	171:20 183:15
50:11 51:3	119:1 121:8	43:1 84:23	183:18 184:9
109:5 111:24	222:16 224:4	88:14 89:1,5,8	184:13,17
122:17 125:20	328:25	89:12,22 90:2	210:20 224:10
silico 185:1	sine 29:12	92:7 94:23	306:6 309:3
silliest 100:24	single 9:20 43:4	250:3,7 335:2	328:24
silly 101:3	53:16 61:25	sizable 28:18	smaller 69:11
simarex 269:23	63:6,16 76:3	size 65:20,23	109:19 150:1,6
similar 73:15	165:24 181:23	66:1,2,3 67:16	150:11,11
77:6 82:20	181:25 193:11	68:2 69:7,14	183:24 201:12
90:14 95:6,9	207:10,10,24	70:2 71:1	212:19 214:20
107:11 114:4,5	208:10,15,23	73:17 88:2	214:21 215:2
115:9 116:1,19	209:4 293:17	183:23 184:15	244:12 274:6
120:6 132:5,10	293:17 294:25	185:14 211:5,7	smart 28:3 29:2
132:14 133:15	singling 51:2	211:19 212:20	smoking 18:18
200:17,21	sir 34:3 156:23	215:1 241:7	snippet 88:10
203:18,20	192:9 329:13	273:1	snow 209:24
206:17,18			210:12

[sobel - speaking]

	I	I	I
sobel 4:17	328:19,23	202:23 208:13	292:4,14 298:7
12:15,15 16:10	solutions 11:7	238:23 242:16	298:8
16:13 20:2,3,4	328:14	242:16 247:3	sources 24:17
20:7,10,13,16	solvents 204:4	249:18 263:22	36:20 51:3
23:2	204:6 213:24	268:24 275:4	84:19 204:2,12
social 14:6	solves 215:12	275:16 276:15	246:17 290:9
41:21 120:17	somebody	279:1 293:19	290:20 317:23
151:21 176:17	148:9 149:7	326:13 329:20	south 1:11 2:13
176:20 200:6	150:3 330:20	331:6	312:11
234:22 272:4	331:22	sort 60:19	southeast 308:7
societal 28:13	someone's	61:21 64:16	southeastern
society 50:24	129:25	72:22 78:4	307:13,17
softeners	somewhat	82:9 100:21	southwestern
230:17	214:25	126:4 130:7,17	272:14
soil 24:25 25:10	son 25:8,19,23	132:20 177:16	space 82:12
39:10 84:17	son's 25:21	184:8 212:23	span 88:18
100:7 103:17	sonolysis 216:2	213:5 221:14	131:13
123:10 174:6	216:7	sorts 79:14	spanish 7:14,17
211:13 212:1	soon 15:13,18	sound 9:17	40:20,21
239:19 248:19	79:11	39:4 213:8	speak 30:17
303:18 320:17	sorb 246:24	216:3	44:4 200:8
327:11 331:13	247:23 248:6,9	sounds 70:9	231:6 252:3
soils 84:15	sorry 16:14	95:15 151:4,24	268:6,10
246:12,16	25:22 30:3	158:8 165:19	281:13 282:12
solar 328:19	32:10 39:5	176:1 195:17	291:16,23
sole 158:14	46:23 69:1	soup 65:11	292:7 295:14
solely 276:22	83:5 87:4,6,9	70:12 71:9	298:3 311:5
solid 52:2	93:15 106:18	116:1	314:15 317:9
98:14 116:5	114:8 122:7	source 28:11	330:17
soluble 98:14	127:17 131:5	100:6 123:24	speaker 47:19
107:11,15	140:25 142:18	204:8,24	47:21 48:4
183:11 201:12	145:9 152:5	205:10,13	54:2,4 331:3
212:3,4 247:1	156:4 161:23	289:6,7,12,18	speakers 47:21
solution 22:8	185:20 190:3	289:23 290:11	speaking 7:17
29:3 215:18	191:17 194:1	290:14,23	13:7 34:16

[speaking - standards]

C7 < 100 10 10	202 12 217 0	1.02.24	
65:6 100:10,10	203:13 217:9	spent 182:24	st 1:11
100:13 168:19	244:17	204:5 230:1	stability 63:3
227:6 309:22	specifically	spill 21:17 39:1	182:6 213:9
317:17 319:12	9:16 36:2	99:20 103:4,12	233:19
329:14	62:11 66:12	281:21,22	stabilize 308:21
spear 218:18	69:5 88:1 89:6	284:5 334:18	stable 63:10,12
237:10 240:11	106:20 109:7	spilled 99:10	66:6,12 72:17
241:14,17	120:20 145:19	101:18 107:6	79:4,20 167:15
242:9 243:4,24	150:16 159:12	315:20 319:21	233:13
244:16 246:9	160:2 168:22	321:1 334:7	staff 33:18
246:15 297:20	188:10 283:7	spilling 38:18	stake 41:19
spear's 237:2,5	283:21 293:21	39:10	stakeholders
237:6 240:9,23	specificity	spills 9:19	59:18 119:1
246:6 247:21	180:15 195:25	281:23 283:1,4	135:18 157:25
special 113:21	speculative	283:5 307:15	158:2 160:10
148:10 162:9	50:19 51:18	319:19 334:13	160:14,15
specialist 30:19	spell 8:7 10:15	335:8	stand 21:19
specialized	12:22 17:3	spinning 309:2	24:10 52:20
113:22	20:6 23:7	spiral 32:22	195:23 300:5
specialty	26:16 30:8	spoiling 39:14	320:4 323:6
211:12	34:5 37:7	spoke 249:20	326:17
species 14:14	40:22 55:21	258:11 286:7	standard 43:1
331:11	70:10,11 93:15	329:22	60:25 61:16,18
specific 12:2	138:19 198:15	spoken 47:24	112:22 130:3
35:3 59:7,9	302:11,23	259:8 267:9,17	178:7 212:16
69:20 70:11	305:6 307:4	269:22	221:17,22,23
77:12 90:10	309:11 311:19	sponsored	221:24 266:14
94:25 96:22	314:5 319:3	123:1	standardized
112:4 123:20	323:9 325:1	spot 69:7	61:11,14,15
137:9 139:1	326:20 332:16	spread 21:25	108:12,18
140:23 141:5	spelled 10:20	25:3	172:6 180:11
150:25 163:5	spend 211:12	springs 316:24	208:3 285:6
174:14 181:22	spending	318:1	standards
184:21 187:23	322:17	squint 78:23	27:15,17 42:24
202:13,21			122:22 124:13

[standards - staying]

104.15.16	4 4 00 12	221 24	120 0 125 12
124:15,16	starting 88:13	321:24	130:8 135:12
138:18 250:6	111:8	stated 32:6	150:20 224:18
standpoint	starts 214:8	35:2 44:10	226:4,5
140:20 141:2	state 1:1 2:12	126:21 149:1	states 15:18
141:12,21	4:3,5,7,9 9:6,11	154:15 171:19	21:13 40:5,8
200:11 208:21	14:13 20:24	172:18 178:18	42:9,13 43:2
211:10 213:10	21:1,7,12	202:10 233:5	45:16,20 60:24
213:13 250:14	28:22 34:5	276:13,14	104:11 122:12
251:17 298:2	35:7 37:1,7	277:17 279:20	122:15 123:7
stands 71:7	40:15,22,24	287:17	140:16 152:8
82:7	41:7 43:10	statement	152:13,21
star 304:15,22	48:19,22,23	47:24 49:19,23	181:17,19
start 8:14	52:21 53:13	49:24 50:2	187:5 202:4,9
10:24 13:4	57:8 82:10	52:9 53:18,19	203:19 205:18
17:11 20:12	102:9 123:14	58:18 87:20,21	209:22 217:21
23:13 26:22	123:18 135:20	90:6 92:3 94:5	228:22 242:9
30:14 34:13	139:9 143:24	94:15 96:15	243:4 244:16
37:13,20 41:5	148:8 150:16	98:3 104:18,20	328:11 330:21
79:13 83:2,8	151:16 157:24	106:21 127:16	334:23 338:1
115:10 134:4	165:17 176:4	128:22 141:24	stations 328:22
175:15 193:17	228:9 254:22	144:6,9,9,14	statistical
193:18 197:17	255:19 269:20	154:9,12	95:19
216:13 229:20	270:11 271:2,9	156:15 174:15	statistically
256:15 268:22	272:14 280:11	187:8 189:20	113:24 221:7
302:13 303:3	280:11 302:11	210:5 225:25	status 29:5
305:13 307:9	305:6,24	233:2,7 240:13	289:11
309:17 312:1	306:10,11	242:14 243:13	statute 203:5
314:11 316:14	309:11 310:1	244:25 248:2,7	statutes 202:3
319:8 321:19	313:5 320:7,17	255:5,13	stay 12:7 69:10
322:19 323:17	321:1,2 322:4	259:18 276:2	93:6 96:6
324:14 325:8	323:9 324:15	276:20 299:15	107:21 223:6
327:3 330:8,23	325:1 326:20	299:17	241:12 247:24
332:22	331:6 338:2	statements	248:7
started 72:12	state's 303:9	57:12 58:13,15	staying 243:3
132:1 330:14	304:10,11	58:17 111:4,20	
		·	

[stays - substances]

stays 69:18	stream 28:15	students 11:6	subcategories
steinborn 5:14	196:12	studied 64:7	184:21 185:5
321:12,13,14	streams 33:9	71:16 72:8	185:12
321:18,21,23	street 2:5,21	73:13 76:14	subclasses 83:7
323:3	3:6,18	88:20 90:3,10	subdiscipline
step 322:12,21	strengthen	91:21 93:22	113:21
322:22	319:15	96:19 97:3,4	subject 97:5
stephen 6:10	stresses 317:5	117:8 129:2	334:3
198:5,18	stretch 77:23	130:23 131:2,5	submit 16:20
steps 102:2	strict 231:21	131:8,9,22	34:21 227:17
313:20	strike 148:18	132:22 143:11	227:19 302:9
steve 199:24	152:5 160:11	studies 17:19	submittals
stick 93:6	162:22 239:22	18:3,5,6 19:17	301:13
stop 14:1 38:16	239:22	95:22 120:17	submitted
39:3 73:1	strikeout	125:18 126:3	179:18,20
80:11 134:11	299:11	128:8 142:6,11	197:19
134:16 179:16	striking 196:3	142:17 177:1	submitting
236:10 315:20	stringent 27:16	184:25 186:3	229:25
324:3,18	strip 248:19	189:22 333:3	subsequently
327:15,17	strongly 15:8	study 17:18	305:25
stopping 91:4	26:5 95:19	19:4 36:22	subset 71:10
stopwatch	structure 67:6	115:17 132:11	subsidies 23:25
302:13	67:17 78:20	132:15 183:9	325:18,20
storage 272:11	79:3 80:23	217:21,24	subsidizing
315:1	90:12 109:9	225:11 230:4	328:14
story 24:21	132:9 184:20	262:7,15 263:3	substance 36:7
25:18	structured	263:4,9,18,20	41:12 99:9
straight 171:7	82:20	263:25 308:17	substances
strain 234:17	structures 75:9	studying	8:21 22:5
strategic 8:25	75:19 76:17	124:12 149:7	57:21 71:3
strategies	79:11 88:7	305:19	72:13 73:10,12
334:11	95:9	stuff 67:8	75:3,23 76:13
streaked	stuck 72:25	stulberg 5:10	80:3,17 82:23
316:20	student 333:2	314:2,3,6,6,10	83:9 93:21
		314:13 315:24	94:12 143:16

[substances - sure]

	I		
143:19 144:7	suffered 14:13	173:8	70:4,23 75:16
144:12,16,17	156:17	supplies 9:18	80:9 82:5 85:2
145:18 146:1	suffering	supply 8:25	85:4,11 88:4
175:23 191:9	318:18	21:3 186:9	93:10,13 94:16
191:23 266:17	sufficient 18:5	305:25 315:5	98:7 100:21
267:6,13	42:11 84:17	315:15 333:7	103:6,10 110:9
269:16 277:2,4	184:3,4 293:2	334:20	119:17 121:25
277:6 319:17	suggest 116:3	support 23:17	123:5 124:14
322:15 328:5	149:9 250:24	27:5 41:11	125:5 127:19
substantial	suggested 18:9	57:11 58:22	129:18 130:4
13:15	232:8	59:2 120:14	136:1 141:19
substantiate	suggesting	154:2 157:17	141:25 150:10
50:20	79:20 292:21	187:24 189:10	151:8 152:14
substitute 6:25	suggests 67:2	189:13 210:13	152:19 159:4,8
300:16,21	107:25	288:7 322:1	160:7,8 162:13
substituted	suicide 15:22	325:16 331:18	165:9,14 166:7
201:1	suite 3:6	335:24	166:12 167:19
substitutes	214:14 215:8	supporters	168:23 176:5
291:3	sulfonate 71:13	44:4 319:14	176:14 178:1
subsurface	sulphonate	supporting	179:25 180:6
212:2,14	112:20	326:1	188:21 207:22
213:24 229:6	sulphonates	supports 32:6,8	220:1 225:22
234:15 240:11	72:4	38:14 39:6	226:14 236:17
240:18 241:6	summarize	95:19 219:12	238:11 245:9
241:14 242:10	102:1	supposed 35:20	247:6,13
242:21,24	summarizes	suppress 142:7	252:12,14
243:9,11,21	62:18	sure 9:1,9	261:6 264:20
245:11,14	summary 57:4	11:23 12:9	267:23 274:13
246:24,25	102:5,9 199:22	17:5 19:4,20	280:19 281:25
247:24,24	super 65:24	23:8 29:2	285:11 294:4
248:8,10,17	214:18,19,19	33:18 40:24	297:23 301:11
251:15 252:17	supercritical	47:8 48:4 49:3	308:2 315:14
successes 332:5	216:1,7	53:10 62:12,13	315:16 322:6
suffer 25:24	superfund	63:19 64:13,18	323:7 335:11
	115:4 116:10	65:3 66:17,23	

[surface - talked]

surface 9:7	17:8 20:8	248:15,20	301:24,25
74:6 123:10	23:11 26:19	326:6	302:15 306:17
174:6 189:7	30:11 34:11	t	307:19 313:20
204:16 209:25	37:10 41:2	t 6:17 8:9,9	314:14 322:12
228:7 232:21	53:1 302:12,25	26:18 30:10	322:23 329:14
241:4,22 243:6	305:10 307:6	55:24 79:19	331:25 332:3
289:12 320:6,9	309:14 311:23	90:16 138:20	takehome
surfactant	314:8 316:3,10	198:19 302:24	200:15 223:17
167:14 182:5	319:6 321:16	302:24 305:8	taken 20:19
233:14,20	323:14 325:5	309:13 314:7	91:10 170:2
288:1	326:25 330:6	316:18	176:16 183:25
surfactants	332:20	tab 123:11	205:14 215:13
8:21 276:8	sweet 69:6	table 122:24	220:9,10,23
277:7 320:12	switch 130:17	123:16,18	226:19 301:21
surrogacy 90:1	226:9	124:6 173:5,12	302:10 338:5
surrogate	sworn 49:24	219:25 223:13	338:11
90:19 185:6	55:8 56:9	223:25 224:18	takes 65:16
surrogates	198:7 199:5	226:1,5 284:20	92:10 104:4
88:19 90:3,9	synergism	284:22 285:5,9	143:6
survival 317:18	115:22	289:24	talk 69:2 98:6
318:6	system 36:8	tablespoon	99:4 112:10
survive 331:10	38:3,4 93:25	272:9,25	129:15 140:5,6
331:11,11	95:4 104:5	273:17	150:4 177:15
survivor 17:14	121:5 212:17	tack 211:23	187:4 189:3
suspending	212:23 215:20	tackling 319:23	192:6 214:18
295:6	215:21,24	tail 211:22,24	216:7 226:10
sustainability	216:10 303:14	tailends 80:11	252:20 268:20
305:20	304:14,21	take 24:9 26:4	283:9,16,19
sustainable	318:3 320:18	29:20 46:14,15	290:25 295:18
324:11	320:19 328:19	53:24 59:9	296:3 301:4
sustained	333:17	133:23 146:20	talked 100:4
264:19	systems 120:23	162:4 222:16	102:1,21,22,23
swd 220:8	127:8,22 128:7	231:4 235:20	172:24 177:2
swear 8:12	128:24 129:1	288:21 294:19	190:25 191:2
10:22 13:1	204:16 248:15	300:1,7 301:17	195:17 213:20

[talked - testifies]

222 0 220 10	Ι.	22 (27 222 (100 1 5 000 00
223:8 239:18	taxpayers	326:25 330:6	129:16 200:20
269:19 282:21	311:1 325:19	332:20	200:22 201:10
285:2 286:17	tdavis 3:9	telling 51:14	207:20,23
291:19 294:3	teacher 27:3	tells 21:5 68:19	211:2,4 212:9
talking 65:12	teachers 23:25	68:20	212:24 214:7
72:21 73:21	team 82:6	temper 290:19	230:18 231:3
75:10 79:18	123:12	temperature	235:14 241:2
81:22 88:1	teams 326:14	60:20 63:22	242:2 243:20
94:23 96:22	tear 25:22	207:17	292:13,21
97:2 106:13	tech 48:15	temperatures	terrific 12:22
110:6,23	technical 47:6	63:12	50:3 163:22
112:18 128:7	124:2	ten 84:24 131:1	247:19
131:16 149:19	technically	201:6 230:25	territory
171:6 172:6	76:7 174:24	260:11	184:12
184:16 189:1	technologies	tenant 100:22	test 25:21
204:17 211:18	215:9,23,23,25	tend 171:14	35:11 108:13
214:20 229:7	216:3,9 245:24	term 13:16	108:19 208:23
233:24 240:11	technology	14:15 103:7	239:24 240:7
245:10 250:11	82:8 122:13	166:4 176:9	285:5
274:3,4 275:8	tell 8:12 10:22	179:16 187:6	tested 25:11
287:19 289:2	13:2 17:9 20:9	213:10 288:2	43:13 106:2
297:7,7	23:11 26:20	terminal 64:15	168:25
talks 17:20	30:12 34:11	72:19,22 113:4	testicular 18:12
157:20	37:11 40:2	213:21 214:6	320:20
tangible 58:24	41:2 53:2	216:16,16,17	testified 55:8
179:8	65:25 71:14	terminology	62:8 97:25
tank 220:13	165:19 190:10	65:7 94:10	108:11 129:7
230:17	253:23 271:14	107:4 114:2,21	145:5 147:21
taos 302:21	297:16 301:8	124:19 204:19	198:7 228:2
304:6 323:20	302:12 303:1	295:23,25	229:8 237:23
tap 121:4	305:11 307:7	terms 14:2	239:20,24
target 115:15	309:15 311:23	15:23 75:14	266:24 269:7
229:2,3	314:9 316:4	83:23,25 87:24	283:21 291:13
targeted	319:6 321:16	90:1 99:6	testifies 96:8
171:25 172:24	323:14 325:6	100:17 112:24	

[testify - thank]

testify 118:8	145:22 147:25	253:17,22,23	30:16 33:21,22
126:18 148:21	150:15 151:2	254:16 255:5	34:3,10 37:3,4
268:25 273:6	154:2 155:7	255:13 265:24	37:15,18 40:16
279:21 283:3,5	156:1 157:18	266:13,20,24	40:17 41:1,4
testifying	157:24 158:6	269:1,5,5,14	46:4,5,7 47:2,3
148:17 237:15	158:21 159:3,5	271:19 273:10	47:12,14 48:5
268:3	159:19 160:9	274:23 275:19	49:7,15,16
testimonies	160:12 161:7	276:13 280:5	52:13,14 53:15
113:14 118:12	165:15 168:12	281:15 282:9	54:10,14,17
120:7 137:7	169:8,23	284:8 289:2	55:12,16 57:1
195:13 249:2	170:13 175:11	290:25 293:22	59:12,21,22
261:2 270:19	175:12 187:1,3	295:17 296:1	60:21 62:3
testimony 56:1	189:15 194:23	297:20 298:22	63:14 64:20
56:5,8,9,11	195:21 196:7	299:5 312:14	70:13,15 72:6
57:4 58:8 60:6	196:14,25	testing 95:23	75:5 81:21
70:19 82:2,14	198:22 199:2,4	167:2 169:5	85:3 86:8,24
85:16 86:18,21	199:5,7,22	171:25 184:22	91:2,16 95:10
87:2,13 88:13	201:7 205:23	185:2,3 229:11	96:5 99:3
90:23 91:14,19	205:25 209:14	229:13 251:1,4	104:8 107:20
97:15,23 99:4	209:18,22	251:8 268:5	113:9 117:25
99:7 101:17	212:25 216:21	285:3,3 323:25	121:12 122:2
102:12,16,25	216:23 217:3,7	tests 108:18	124:10 125:17
104:10 107:23	217:16,20	320:8 331:2	126:17 128:19
108:15,24	218:9,18,20	texas 34:20	129:6 130:16
113:7,11 118:3	219:19 226:24	text 296:25	132:19 133:17
118:6,18 122:5	227:8,10 228:1	textile 74:7	133:20 134:9
122:11 126:21	228:2 229:7	thank 7:18 8:16	134:18 143:3
126:23,25	233:4,22 235:5	10:4,5,21 11:1	146:19 159:9
127:6,21,25	235:14 236:1	12:11,12 16:8	163:15,22
128:5 130:18	236:21 237:2,5	16:9 17:2,13	164:4,5,8,22,24
130:21 131:1	237:7 240:3,5	19:24,25 20:13	165:6 170:10
132:1 133:2,3	240:10 241:14	20:14,14 22:25	170:19,20,24
135:6 139:7,12	242:8 244:10	23:1,10,15	171:2 172:9,16
139:13,21	246:6 247:10	26:7,8,14,24	174:10 175:10
140:5,8,11,17	247:22 249:1	29:14,15 30:7	177:18 180:19

[thank - thought]

180:21,23	309:5,6,19	215:25 237:19	186:16 188:4
181:1 186:20	311:12,13,22	241:8,10 242:2	189:22 194:4
186:22,24	313:24,25	261:1 264:21	195:7,17,24
194:6 196:20	314:13 315:22	302:4 308:16	196:1 206:8,9
196:21,24	315:23 316:16	315:2 330:25	210:9,13,15
197:2,3,9,22,25	318:22,23	331:7,8,24	212:12 213:18
198:9,20	319:5,10,14	think 16:10	221:4,9 224:2
199:16,19	321:9,10,21	18:22 19:13	225:10,13
201:15,23	323:1,2,13	20:3 24:13	235:15 236:2
205:3 206:20	324:21,22	25:4,12 27:7	241:20,20
209:11 212:7	326:8,9,19,24	45:2,9 58:4	244:12 249:3
216:15 217:12	329:10,11,13	59:5 60:18	252:18,18
218:24 225:19	330:5 332:7,8	62:17 63:4,5,9	254:18 258:12
226:8 227:1,12	332:24 336:3,4	65:21 66:13	258:22 265:24
232:6 236:7	336:13,16,18	75:10 78:10	269:11,16
237:17 239:14	336:22	86:23 102:4	270:21 272:5,6
239:15 240:8	thereof 50:13	105:5 111:8,9	272:24 273:2,4
244:14 248:12	thermal 63:3	111:10,20	273:9 276:9
248:24 249:6,7	233:19	112:7 114:19	277:25 278:11
249:10,13	thermally	116:16 119:17	282:23 286:3
250:1 257:22	233:13	124:4 129:4,20	291:22 292:11
257:23,24	thing 52:16	131:24 133:5,8	294:3 304:8
258:2 261:17	67:23 70:8	133:9 137:18	311:6,16
261:21 263:5	72:21 152:23	137:25 138:9	318:25 335:8
284:12 285:13	196:9 229:9	141:23 142:20	335:11,20
286:5 287:3,9	231:16 296:11	143:1 144:8	thinking 136:1
287:10 288:10	300:2 314:17	152:22 157:10	167:18
288:11,15,17	things 19:15	157:13 161:1,2	thinks 78:21
288:25 289:1	57:11 63:4,24	162:24 164:16	third 105:24
296:16 298:17	68:1 69:24	166:19 167:11	200:10 202:15
298:21,23	72:18 74:6	167:18 170:17	275:6
299:4,6,21	79:15 80:1,2	174:8 176:8	thought 75:8
301:1,2 304:25	95:25 98:17	178:5,11,15,24	75:11 122:8
305:1,17	111:23 137:9	179:21 181:13	273:2 295:17
306:23,24	168:11 214:8	181:16,20	296:22 329:17

[thousand - toxic]

thousand 65:15	302:7,13 303:4	236:3 241:16	319:12 321:22
117:2 273:21	305:14 307:10	247:5,10,13	322:21,25
thousands	309:18,23	252:12 266:2	327:20
20:18 37:23	312:2 314:12	273:25 274:7	today's 112:1
88:19 178:22	316:15 319:9	275:17 276:17	together 57:19
185:1 189:4	321:20 323:18	279:8 281:24	65:19 82:6,10
190:10,13	325:9 327:4	286:14 288:16	89:20 114:17
194:11 246:10	330:9 332:23	298:25 307:20	291:7 329:4
246:16 266:16	threshold	309:5 323:6	tohe 316:17
267:1,5,13	101:6 131:17	324:3 332:12	told 63:7
269:15 281:20	throw 215:5	timely 29:11	254:11,17
283:1 319:25	222:15 223:1,4	times 9:10 25:9	ton 62:15
333:13	thyroid 18:13	39:2 117:22	115:20 242:11
threat 24:8	18:13 38:3	279:20 334:14	took 53:13
249:22 250:8	115:14 318:12	timothy 3:4	106:5 271:18
306:2 317:19	320:21	tiny 11:15	top 260:10
threaten 40:10	tie 200:14	68:17 317:21	313:23
threatening	290:1	tirezone 241:24	topic 41:14
31:14	tied 145:22	title 154:4,7,7	43:22 97:2
threatens 154:8	tight 167:2	today 11:2 12:5	216:25
318:6	230:24	16:21 20:15	topics 226:9
threats 143:21	tim 249:18	26:3 37:19	toss 222:15
144:25	time 11:20	50:5 56:9	total 31:20
three 8:15	12:11 14:18	83:24 84:3	272:11
10:25 11:17	19:24 20:19	108:13,19	touch 75:24
13:5 17:12	22:12 27:3	112:6 147:19	307:22
20:12 23:14,20	30:17 35:14	147:22 166:20	touches 21:5
26:23 30:15	82:9 105:23	179:6 186:14	toward 13:25
34:14 36:7	134:11 154:5	199:2,5,22	275:4 304:19
37:14 41:5	166:15 182:24	200:15 203:2	towards 329:1
42:18 57:11,14	196:23 201:2	216:5 233:22	toxic 11:11,15
60:6,10 81:7	204:5 211:13	236:13 247:7	12:2 21:17
92:6 130:7	222:12,12	288:16 305:17	22:5 27:21
133:10 180:14	225:21 226:12	308:21 317:8	32:2 42:15
200:2 221:17	230:1 235:16	317:12,19	57:20 58:14

[toxic - treatment]

64:24 65:2	113:12,13,17	164:18 167:3	transition
70:20 84:11	113.12,13,17	170:6 186:2	227:5 304:19
	117:18 119:10		
85:22 90:25		188:15 211:2	325:25
93:21 94:12	122:16,17	traces 28:11	transitioned
97:18 98:20	125:20,20,23	track 269:13	254:1,12 255:6
100:23 104:14	125:24 126:2	tracked 123:13	255:20 257:14
106:11 126:22	131:13,16	tracking 123:3	259:9
132:23,23	132:13 133:12	123:16	transparency
143:16 144:7	138:19 140:23	tracks 122:13	11:13 320:23
144:16 145:18	141:5 142:2	trade 9:11,12	324:18 328:5
146:1 151:6,14	143:5 167:16	22:9 28:25	transparent
164:15,20	182:14 192:18	36:16 39:22,22	29:11
167:5 183:1,5	250:12 319:22	44:19 242:11	transport 68:5
186:8,15 187:5	toxicological	253:12,14,15	75:21 103:14
191:3 192:11	104:6 114:4	253:20 256:16	105:14 148:10
192:21,21	117:8 151:5,9	257:11 274:15	149:11 200:10
234:1 244:3	151:13 171:12	279:16,21	211:10 244:16
274:18 295:13	250:4	286:2 292:20	transported
308:14 310:3,4	toxicologist	293:11 310:7	320:25
310:19 317:21	57:9 75:18	310:20	travel 45:8
320:10 334:13	86:15 103:2,18	traditional	107:6,12,13
335:16	106:11 156:22	63:9 93:1	treat 212:16
toxicities 95:6	165:10 175:19	126:4	215:7 216:17
116:19	183:21 267:10	trained 59:6	248:1,15,20
toxicity 58:11	267:18	121:23	250:15
68:4 69:23	toxicologists	training 120:10	treatable
70:3 71:18	85:24 94:13	162:10	152:15
77:5,9 79:15	95:16 100:21	transcript	treated 28:10
84:4,10,12,16	173:7 174:24	10:15 128:4	31:5 152:5,7
84:23 85:6,9	toxicology	278:18 338:6	152:12,21
85:12,24 86:7	42:20 68:23	transcripts	treating 248:14
88:21 89:3,7	75:20 87:24	301:10,12	treatment
90:5,21 93:24	92:21 106:25	transformed	80:25 204:6
94:1,1 95:4	113:21,22	63:21	212:17,23
96:2 97:3,11	150:18 164:13		214:7 218:3

[treatment - typically]

289:3	65:1 96:23	124:7 140:16	two 42:9 57:12
treatments	99:25 100:25	147:20 158:16	60:15 63:13
74:6,7	111:25 138:11	190:14 200:7	64:19 71:14
tree 65:4	143:5 161:1	247:9 312:7	75:24 89:15
tremaine 2:11	189:20 191:4	322:17	92:6 99:17
6:14 47:9,10	199:7 201:4	trying 65:24	101:21 103:8
163:19,20	248:7 265:17	69:12 129:5	105:2 111:16
284:14,16,19	272:8 273:16	132:17 152:18	114:11,12
287:2,4,18	278:19 338:7	169:15 174:19	116:24 117:1,2
tremendous	truly 29:1	180:5 202:23	124:24 126:1
23:23 24:8	trump 279:17	230:18 251:23	129:19 136:13
tribal 34:20	312:25	252:8 265:23	165:16 178:18
trichlopropane	trust 39:12	266:6 275:12	178:21 181:16
139:9	52:4 120:19	275:18 324:16	193:23 220:19
trichloroprop	334:5,8	tsca 57:21	223:15 234:7
138:22	trusted 130:2	62:17 108:8	247:16 266:25
tricky 65:8	130:13	178:17 185:22	269:2,14
tried 235:6,17	truth 8:12	185:25 186:12	273:21 287:13
trifluoroactetic	10:22 13:2	186:16 200:18	327:21 328:2
64:6	17:9 20:9	295:2	type 180:2
trifluouoacetic	23:11 26:20	tuesday 135:10	193:2 231:16
64:10	30:12 34:11	177:22 189:15	240:20 241:4
trillion 42:18	37:11 41:2	turbulence	types 17:24
42:25 117:3	53:2 265:2	231:10	18:1,2 31:1
272:10 273:18	302:12 303:1	turn 7:22 29:24	94:25 207:18
333:21	305:11 307:7	43:22 46:18	220:19 234:19
trillium 204:4	309:15 311:24	47:17 49:19	242:1 290:5,8
triple 35:10	314:9 316:4	54:23 133:21	295:3
trouble 16:16	319:6 321:17	147:4	typical 125:8
16:18 276:16	323:15 325:6	turned 156:4	168:21 228:16
troutman's	327:1 330:6	twenty 20:22	typically 63:8
280:4	332:20	twice 272:11	65:9 92:12
trucks 51:11	try 20:2 59:16	twitzelsee	94:13 95:20
true 56:11	70:14 75:17	316:21	100:3 234:11
58:15,16,18,20	78:9 87:4		243:18 244:2

[typically - united]

286:24 291:6	88:20 90:3,10	103:15 107:3,5	292:14
292:11,12	104:23 105:2	107:7 110:5,11	understated
u	112:23 115:2,4	110:13,16,22	320:10
u 8:9 12:25	123:18 128:17	113:24 115:7	understood
23:9 307:5	148:6 149:2,20	119:5 120:8	35:9 90:9
309:13 311:21	170:6,7 178:17	126:14 129:12	130:6
314:7 325:4,4	180:1 185:22	129:13 132:9	undisclosed
326:23	194:12 209:3	132:11 133:12	23:18 24:4
u.s. 85:1 189:5	281:18 283:17	141:19 143:8	31:18 32:16
u.s.a. 91:22	283:20 334:24	145:11 148:4	35:6,9 36:14
uaew 294:8	335:2	149:5 160:7	322:2 328:3
ubiquitous	undergo	167:4,6 175:16	333:8
25:14 50:24	109:18	177:13 179:8	undoubtedly
204:13	undergraduate	188:2 213:9	312:4
ultimate	27:5	218:2 237:25	unending 324:1
244:24 245:6	underground	241:6,10	unenforceable
ultimately 22:6	289:7	253:20 263:16	51:19
unable 120:5	underlined	263:17 264:20	unfortunately
236:21	175:13	265:22 278:7	69:6 116:11
unacceptable	underlines	279:4 281:25	121:7 135:11
150:24 304:1	175:14	286:25 294:15	183:22 185:9
unborn 310:10	underlying	309:3 335:4	186:16
uncertain	114:25	understandable	unheard
308:6	undermine	42:23	334:23
uncertainty	52:3	understanding	uniform 39:22
41:23 124:19	underscores	44:13 70:3	union 15:5
221:19 223:5	217:24	103:19 131:21	union's 15:7
unchecked	understand	141:24 153:5	unique 50:18
14:25	42:19 45:12	173:1 184:13	162:2 204:21
under 8:24	47:7 48:21	185:25 196:6	unit 117:3
16:2 17:18	49:4,14,17	196:13 208:17	united 15:18
30:22 62:17	59:16 64:21	217:2 243:20	21:13 60:24
63:12 64:8	68:14 75:20	245:20 279:13	122:15 152:8
71:2 80:11	81:2 83:3,24	280:8,23 281:7	152:13,21
83:14 84:22	84:3 101:5	284:2 286:21	327:8 328:11
03.14 04.22			

[united - uses]

220 21 220 1	1047	170 7 170 7 0	160 22 161 0
330:21 338:1	update 124:7	173:7 178:7,8	160:22 161:9
units 79:1	172:20	178:23 179:24	161:11 162:25
universe	updated 124:5	179:24 181:17	163:2,6,11
317:12 329:6	124:8	182:15 183:8	166:1 175:9
university	updating 124:6	187:20 188:9	179:17 182:5
223:24 305:20	320:22	202:11 204:19	182:20 188:11
320:8 333:2	uphold 329:4	207:13 208:16	192:1 195:11
unjust 306:20	uplift 314:14	208:25 211:17	207:14,15
unknown	upper 16:12	212:16 215:9	215:22 233:6
240:12 334:17	upsetting 120:2	223:22 233:16	234:5,11 239:4
unlawful 13:14	uranium	245:25 251:25	239:7 253:8
14:23	323:24	253:9,24	257:8 266:17
unleash 186:9	urge 26:5 29:7	254:12 269:25	266:22 267:6
unmute 8:2	41:16 43:3	270:4 271:21	267:14 268:5
10:8 12:15,19	44:4 45:25	280:14 281:4	268:18 269:1,3
16:13,24 26:12	304:17 306:19	281:11 286:3,4	269:8 270:9
30:4 297:2	317:12 322:23	287:18,19,25	271:1,7 274:5
302:19 304:15	urgent 22:22	291:4,13	274:7,25
304:22,22	urine 93:5	302:14 312:12	275:10,21,24
329:15,17	usage 327:12	314:20 319:16	276:21,22
unmuting	use 9:15,16	322:10 327:23	277:10 279:10
16:18	10:2 24:18	328:3,7,16	280:9,24 291:1
unnecessary	31:18 32:7,19	333:7 334:3	291:5,6,9
308:13	38:13 39:19	used 14:3 31:19	293:13 298:7
unpack 111:7	40:3,12 50:7	32:11,20 38:20	306:5 313:16
unpermitted	51:4 61:16	38:23 39:8	315:7 317:15
136:19	81:14 84:15	43:10 44:3,8	320:1,25
unquote 143:10	94:11,14 95:17	50:8 59:3	323:22 328:5
unregulated	95:25 96:18	71:16 72:14,15	328:21 333:24
306:21	98:25 105:7	73:15,22 74:5	334:2,22
unstudied	107:4 109:8,11	87:17 93:2	useful 207:19
88:20 90:3,10	114:1,20 116:9	98:15,22 103:8	233:5,8,9
unusable 9:4	124:20 125:8	112:3 127:10	321:4
upcycle 328:24	132:4 138:17	127:13 139:19	uses 81:3 96:19
	152:18 166:6	146:8,15	124:12 127:3

[using - waiting]

using 9:8 39:19	valley 262:6	vein 121:13	virtually 4:12
40:2,7,14 44:1	263:8,24	velasquez 5:8	4:14,15,16,17
44:7 58:19	value 125:1	311:15,17,20	4:18,20,22 5:4
61:22 167:12	191:6 221:7,8	311:21,25	5:5,6,7,9,10,11
167:20 170:8	222:11,11	312:3 314:1	5:13,15,19,20
180:10 181:18	values 84:24	verified 255:12	virus 43:12
203:10 204:24	85:9 131:16	verify 253:12	vitro 185:1
219:6 252:5	138:19 192:18	version 82:16	vividly 120:6
255:25 257:14	222:14,14	82:16	voice 304:14,21
259:10 278:1	223:18 317:1	versus 201:12	voices 20:20
285:5 286:20	variability	210:20 290:6	314:14
292:5	124:21	veteran 36:8	volatile 100:15
usually 14:20	variants 43:12	168:8	volume 71:17
18:22 173:13	43:16,17,20	viable 328:19	132:4 185:15
175:5 204:19	variation 290:5	vice 134:24	volumes 242:24
221:16	variations	259:23 260:4,8	voluminous
utilization	290:5,10	265:8,10	116:14
109:3	varies 71:11	321:23	voluntarily
utilize 103:12	85:12	victory 34:8	39:18,25
utilizing 294:16	variety 204:1	videoconfere	274:11,15,20
295:7	204:12 244:19	3:13	295:6
uv 63:22	245:3,12	vigilance 315:3	voluntary 33:3
328:20	various 18:1	villegas 4:23	volunteer 82:9
v	31:1 54:1 79:9	33:12,14 34:7	vote 312:16
v 10:20 34:8	81:12 102:24	34:8,8,12,15	voted 15:5
311:21	103:22 118:14	37:5 47:22	27:23
vacuna 30:18	123:7 142:7	vines 328:24	vulnerable
valencia 312:9	235:3 238:17	violates 318:6	10:1 304:4
312:10,13,21	246:20 249:1	violations	334:16
330:11 331:12	vary 177:24	35:21 136:14	W
valid 176:12	varying 250:20	137:25	w 29:18,19,22
195:15	vast 186:15	virginia 189:19	30:10
validated 61:20	vastly 79:10	virtual 7:21	wait 185:20
180:7	vehicle 328:22	46:19 47:4	waiting 33:24
100.7			waiting 33.24

[walk - water]

walk 187:1	285:4 286:6	30:13,16	155:25 156:8
220:3 266:6	289:5 293:23	watchers	
			156:11,17
walking 75:18	294:4 295:20	312:10	157:3,16
268:9	301:11 314:13	water 8:24,25	172:14 174:6
wall 67:1 68:16	335:15 336:16	9:3,4,7 11:18	177:4,7 183:11
183:3	wanted 48:9	11:19,24 12:7	189:8 196:12
want 12:4	52:16 62:13	17:25 19:2	201:12 204:16
23:16,22 24:21	70:23 81:15	20:21,25 21:2	204:24 205:1,1
33:19 35:7	159:4 165:13	21:3,5 22:9,16	205:10,10,13
41:9,15 46:20	181:8 227:5	25:9 27:14	209:25 212:3,4
46:21 48:24	232:12 258:7	28:10 29:4	212:16 215:10
58:3,21 59:12	297:22 329:23	30:19 31:3,11	215:19 216:1,8
59:15 64:18	336:12	31:13,24 32:14	217:15,23
66:5,23 70:4	wanton 13:14	38:11,12 39:1	218:1,4 219:6
83:14 93:13	14:3	42:24 48:17	219:13 220:16
95:5 103:7,11	wants 22:3	63:11 72:18	220:20 221:1
106:20 111:23	265:19 272:17	81:19,19 84:22	224:1,15,19
122:4 124:20	warrant 179:5	86:3 89:9	226:2,6 228:16
125:5 130:17	184:22	96:10 98:14	228:18,23,25
134:11,13	waste 25:2	99:5,6,10	229:1,5,11,13
135:25 147:15	28:15 30:21,24	100:5,25 101:1	229:23 230:3,7
161:18,19	33:9 38:25	101:18 102:14	230:9,11 231:8
163:1 169:8	143:22 145:3,6	103:17,20	231:10,21,23
178:2 180:6,15	146:3 167:23	107:11,14,14	231:24 232:4,5
180:16 184:5	167:24 328:2	107:16 115:3	232:21 239:20
188:12 194:24	328:18,25	117:1 119:21	243:6 244:19
202:22 207:13	331:2	120:13,16,19	246:13,17
207:21 208:14	wastes 218:4	120:23 121:4	247:1 250:6
210:23 214:4	wastewater	122:14,22	251:1,3,8
216:6 220:16	21:17 28:21	123:3,9,10	262:6 263:8,24
220:17 226:9	204:15 239:20	124:13,15,23	272:10 273:18
247:5,6,12	262:9 263:11	125:1,2,6,11,14	274:5 281:22
253:21 262:14	264:2	126:12,14	289:7,13 292:4
262:15 281:25	watchempino	129:25 133:11	292:14 296:14
282:19 284:20	4:21 30:3,5,7,9	136:15 137:2	297:17 298:5,7

[water - wildearth]

	T	T	
298:7 303:8,17	ways 32:15	weeks 78:3	westlaw 49:2
304:8 305:24	54:1 103:9	91:23 92:11,12	wet 229:3
306:5,7 307:15	104:7 145:21	weg 189:16	wettability
307:16,22	213:2,6,14,15	194:5	240:22
310:13 312:9	219:6 230:5	weg's 193:16	wholehearted
313:13 315:5	245:22 261:14	193:24	325:16
315:15 316:19	weapon 13:24	weighing	wholeheartedly
316:22 317:10	wear 231:25	308:21	322:1
317:16,23,25	web 264:22	weight 65:9	wide 50:25
318:4,20	webs 246:14	67:12,15,16	85:23 135:18
319:21,22	website 158:14	68:2,8,19	230:14 244:21
320:17 322:16	264:9,14	125:11 142:14	245:9
323:23 324:6	285:19,24	211:20 212:17	widely 30:24
324:17 325:12	wednesday	212:20 215:2,2	31:12 33:9
327:11 328:1	227:11	259:17	43:4 96:24
331:3,8,13	week 9:2,10	weights 68:13	295:11
333:6,7,15,21	27:22 37:22	68:14 212:9	wider 243:11
334:6,12,15,19	38:18 59:13	weird 72:25	widespread
335:2,22	60:6 64:22	83:3	13:16 14:11
waters 21:18	70:19 75:14	welcome	28:9 182:15
40:11 320:6,9	82:3 84:13	186:21	widest 72:15
waterways	97:23 99:5	welfare 36:3	wife 25:7,21
138:2	105:24 116:2	wellhead 220:9	wildearth 3:3,5
way 69:16	118:9 120:7	220:18	20:17 38:15
84:21 87:11	126:19 130:19	wells 32:8 36:2	39:6 48:11,13
107:7 158:23	135:9 179:23	229:12,14	48:14,18 51:23
174:24 175:8	194:24 195:22	230:3,7 231:21	58:19 81:5
176:18 184:2	196:14 205:23	310:8 318:1,2	104:23 122:5
186:16 204:2	227:8,10,25	wendell 1:10	122:10 147:4
207:19 209:8,9	232:7 236:21	went 52:17	147:13 179:13
220:6 225:9	239:23 253:18	142:22 171:5	179:14,19
230:6 231:24	week's 133:2	177:2	181:10,18
239:24 289:15	248:25	west 189:19	197:18,19
293:3 308:1,10	weekend	western 328:11	198:1 247:7
309:3	336:17		249:19

[wild earth guardians.org-worst]

wildearthgua	188:24 189:12	wonder 315:6	120:21 135:19
3:9	189:21 190:2,3	wonderful 58:8	238:1,13 261:5
wildlife 27:6	190:8,13,24	wondering	worker 28:2
40:11 322:7	191:5,18,20,24	297:8	160:3,19,21
william 4:7,19	192:3,7,9,12,14	wonky 76:16	174:8,9
7:25	192:22 193:3,7	77:22	workers 12:9
winter 328:24	193:11,17,22	wood 316:20	308:8 310:22
wisdom 317:2	194:1,6,10,18	word 24:13	325:25
wisely 16:6	195:1,6,24	96:1 127:3	working 8:18
wish 35:13	196:5,13,18	139:19 159:22	9:25 16:19
withheld 157:6	197:1,4,17	159:23 161:5,5	23:25 57:7
310:18	198:6 225:20	161:9,11,15	135:14,16,17
witness 52:20	247:8,13 249:8	278:1	149:10 184:23
55:2,7 102:8	257:23 259:3	worded 164:16	304:18 326:15
133:19 138:12	261:15,19,21	wording	works 47:1
140:17 156:3	276:15 279:7	127:10	160:22 208:17
158:20 165:12	288:17 289:8	words 22:19	214:9 237:23
166:12 168:10	289:14 290:17	34:22 95:21	238:4 265:16
168:14,18,24	291:4,16 292:7	114:8 152:18	world 15:3
169:3,13,18,21	292:19 293:4	174:18	21:9 35:15
170:8,14 171:1	293:16,19	work 82:9	211:1 214:11
171:17,22	294:1,9,17,21	118:25 119:14	307:19 309:2
172:3,5,8,13,22	295:8,14,22	120:20 121:2	327:18
173:2,17 174:3	296:7,10,13	136:9 138:22	world's 114:24
174:23 175:7	298:1,13,16,23	146:4 174:1	worldwide
176:5,15,25	299:6,7 300:14	177:12 185:24	41:21
178:1 179:21	witnesses 6:3	200:2 213:25	worries 308:9
181:3,6,12,20	47:13 49:18	214:12 215:25	326:19
182:11,16,18	52:10 75:15	229:25 252:10	worry 231:17
183:2,6,16,18	133:3 189:16	260:5 265:10	worse 320:3
183:21 184:10	194:25 195:4	265:13 294:11	335:18
184:15 185:19	213:1	317:2	worsen 304:2
186:5,12,21	woefully 65:3	worked 24:22	worst 14:19
187:12,16,25	woman 125:3,7	24:23 25:6	132:7
188:12,19,22	125:8,9 316:18	57:19 82:6	

[worth - zuni]

	I	1	
worth 221:4	year 9:5 23:20	zuni	316:19
worthy 142:2	25:19,23 27:14		
wpx 267:20	244:11 308:16		
wrangle 29:3	310:6 325:19		
wrap 16:5	333:19		
19:19 33:11,13	years 11:17		
wrapping	20:22 23:21,24		
186:19	25:19 35:23		
write 182:22	48:18 83:1,13		
188:16 295:24	91:24 92:12		
writing 16:21	114:25 143:6		
written 47:23	186:2 260:3		
56:1,8 128:4	307:18 308:3		
133:2 140:4,8	330:22 331:1,1		
198:22 199:4	331:3 333:11		
271:18 302:9	333:13 335:2		
wrong 181:19	yellow 203:8		
wrongly 168:3	yeoman's 59:16		
wrote 188:15	yesterday		
X	43:23 47:6		
x 6:1,17 37:9	244:10		
173:11	yield 249:8		
xtowpx 269:25	york 9:14		
	young 23:24		
y	24:8,10 25:8		
y 10:20 305:8	25:24 305:22		
307:5,5 309:13	308:24 325:10		
309:13 319:4	yup 307:2		
325:4	Z		
yale 333:2	z 305:9,9		
yaqui 34:20	311:21		
yeah 68:10	zone 229:2		
131:24 191:16	zones 229:3		
193:19 205:9	zonyl 274:14		
332:18	2011y1 2/7.17		