1		STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINE	RALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4		
5	IN THE MATTER	OF THE HEARING
6	CALLED BY THE	OIL CONSERVATION
7	DIVISION FOR T	HE PURPOSE OF
8	CONSIDERING:	
9	Case Nos. 2503	5, 25037, 25038,
10	25193, 25220,	25221, 25228,
11	25241, 25242,	25243, 25244,
12	25245, 25246,	25272, 25273,
13	25283, 25284,	25288.
14		
15		HEARING
16	DATE:	Thursday, April 24, 2025
17	TIME:	9:03 a.m.
18	BEFORE:	Hearing Examiner Gregory A. Chakalian
19	LOCATION:	Remote Proceeding
20		Santa Fe, NM 87505
21	REPORTED BY:	James Cogswell
22	JOB NO.:	6973062
23		
24		
25		
		Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF MRC PERMIAN COMPANY, APACHE CORPORATION,
3	OXY USA, OCCIDENTAL PERMIAN LP, AND MEWBOURNE OIL
4	COMPANY:
5	JULIA BROGGI, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
6	Holland & Hart LLP
7	110 North Guadalupe Street, #1
8	Santa Fe, NM 87501
9	jbroggi@hollandhart.com
10	(505) 988-4421
11	
12	ON BEHALF OF XTO ENERGY, TAP ROCK OPERATING LLC, AND
13	RILEY PERMIAN OPERATING:
14	MIGUEL A. SUAZO, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
15	Beatty & Wozniak, P.C.
16	500 Don Gaspar Avenue
17	Santa Fe, NM 87505
18	msuazo@bwenergylaw.com
19	(505) 983-8545
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2
	rage z

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF POWDERHORN OPERATING:
3	BENJAMIN B. HOLLIDAY, ESQUIRE (by
4	videoconference)
5	Holliday Energy Law Group, PC
6	107 Katherine Court
7	San Antonio, TX 78209
8	ben@theenergylawgroup.com
9	(210) 469-3197
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, CONCHO OIL & GAS
12	LLC, COG OPERATING LLC, AND CONOCOPHILLIPS:
13	KERI L. HATLEY, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
14	ConocoPhillips
15	1048 Paseo De Peralta
16	Santa Fe, NM 87501
17	keri.hatley@conocophillips.com
18	(505) 780-8006
19	
20	ON BEHALF OF BURNETT OIL CO:
21	JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
22	PO Box 1056
23	Santa Fe, NM 87504
24	jamesbruc@aol.com
25	(505) 982-2043
	Page 3

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF COTERRA ENERGY OPERATING:
3	DEANA M. BENNETT, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
4	Modrall Sperling
5	500 4th Street Northwest, #1000
6	Albuquerque, NM 87102
7	deana.bennett@modrall.com
8	(505) 848-1800
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF PERMIAN RESOURCES, FAE II OPERATING LLC,
11	AND SPUR ENERGY PARTNERS:
12	JACLYN M. MCLEAN, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
13	Hinkle Shanor LLP
14	218 Montezuma Avenue
15	Santa Fe, NM 87501
16	jmclean@hinklelawfirm.com
17	(505) 982-4554
18	
19	ON BEHALF OF COTERRA ENERGY AND V-F PETROLEUM INC.:
20	DARIN C. SAVAGE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
21	Abadie & Schill, P.C.
22	214 Mckenzie Street
23	Santa Fe, NM 87501
24	darin@abadieschill.com
25	(970) 385-4401
	Page 4

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF ROCKWOOD ENERGY, LP:
3	KAITLYN A. LUCK, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
4	P.O. Box 483
5	Taos, NM 87571
6	luck.kaitlyn@gmail.com
7	(361) 648-1973
8	
9	ON BEHALF OF EOG RESOURCES, INC.:
10	JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
11	EOG Resources, Inc.
12	125 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 213
13	Santa Fe, NM 87501
14	jordan_kessler@eogresources.com
15	(432) 488-6108
16	
17	ON BEHALF OF PBEX OPERATIONS LLC AND COTERRA ENERGY:
18	JENNIFER L. BRADFUTE, ESQUIRE (by
19	videoconference)
20	Bradfute Sayer Consulting & Legal Services
21	P.O. Box 90233
22	Albuquerque, NM 87199
23	jennifer@bradfutelaw.com
24	(505) 264-8740
25	
	Page 5

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ALSO PRESENT:
3	Freya Tschantz, Law Clerk (by videoconference)
4	Kristine Pilgrim, Landman, Burnett Oil Company
5	(by videoconference)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 6

1	EXHIBITS	
2	NO. DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	(None marked.)	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		_
		Page 7

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning.
3	This is the second regular docket of the Oil
4	Conservation Division's cases. These are restricted
5	to status conferences. We are here today on the 24th
6	of April, 2025. It is 9:03 a.m., and I'm going to
7	begin the docket with Case number 25035, which is
8	joined with 25037 and 25038. Entries of appearance,
9	please.
10	MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi with Holland
11	& Hart, on behalf of the applicant MRC Permian
12	Company.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
14	MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
15	Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie & Schill on behalf
16	of Coterra Energy.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Coterra? Okay.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
20	Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak appearing on behalf
21	of XTO Energy, for monitoring purposes only.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning.
23	I think those are all the parties we
24	have.
25	And, Mr. Suazo, let's start with you.
	Page 8

1	Did you object and withdraw your objection?
2	MR. SUAZO: Correct.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
4	right. So we have a withdrawal of objection.
5	Mr. Savage?
6	MR. SAVAGE: So we objected; and this
7	is the second status conference from last time, where
8	we discussed the right of Coterra to develop the
9	one-mile unit under voluntary agreement.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Under voluntary
11	agreement. Could you explain that a little bit more?
12	MR. SAVAGE: So this is a situation
13	where Matador has proposed to pool the east half of
14	Section 3 and the east half of Section 10. That's a
15	stand-up unit.
16	So Coterra owns 100 percent under
17	JOA controls 100 percent owns 97 percent,
18	controls 100 percent under JOA and the east half of
19	Section 10. So instead of so there really is no
20	need for a contested hearing if Coterra were to
21	develop, that unit, that one-mile unit. So I guess
22	the status conference today is to discuss that matter.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Broggi?
24	MS. BROGGI: Yeah. I agree with
25	Mr. Savage. I think I can shed some light here. I

1	it does sound like as long as Mr. Savage can confirm
2	on the record that they're not planning to develop the
3	east half of Section 3, then MRC will dismiss its
4	
	applications in all these cases. But we just want
5	confirmation on the record
6	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
7	MS. BROGGI: so that we don't have
8	to because I think there was some confusion about
9	whether it was one mile or two mile. Is that right,
10	Mr. Savage?
11	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, Mr. Examiner, I
12	would like to comment on this. I think the it
13	would be important for the to have this on the
14	record.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Before you do,
16	let me look at the application that MRC Permian filed.
17	They wanted an order approving a
18	standard 800-acre, more or less, overlapping
19	horizontal well spacing unit in the Bone Spring
20	underlying the east half of Sections 3 and 10
21	township, so and so and pooling uncommitted interest
22	owners. Okay. So we have that area there.
23	Now, before you say anything,
24	Mr. Savage
25	Ms. Broggi, why are there three cases?
	Page 10

1	MS. BROGGI: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm
2	not entirely sure; but I will say that one of the
3	three applications is for the Bone Spring Formation.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
5	MS. BROGGI: And then the other two
6	applications are for the Wolfcamp. And Case 25037 is
7	for the west half of the east half of those two
8	sections. And then that final case, 25038, is for the
9	east half of the east half and again for the Wolfcamp.
10	So I think that they're targeting slightly different
11	areas.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
13	understand. And so explain to me why, if Coterra
14	says explain to me why you're going to dismiss your
15	cases.
16	MS. BROGGI: Is that directed to MRC?
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: It is. It is.
18	MS. BROGGI: So I will concede and I
19	am covering this because the other attorneys are out
20	or
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
22	MS. BROGGI: But my understanding here
23	is that initially we had understood that I don't
24	know if Mr. Savage's client is Cimarex or Coterra; but
25	that party had proposed two-mile wells, and we thought
	Page 11

1	that it was going to develop into the east half of
2	Section 3. But since then it is I think Cimarex or
3	Coterra have clarified that they're only seeking to
4	develop Section 10 with one-mile wells. And as a
5	result of that, it sounds like then we can both
6	proceed accordingly, and therefore we can dismiss our
7	applications.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So how does
9	so I think what you're saying is as long as they can
L O	confine their development to a certain area, we don't
L1	have to force pool anyone else? So there's no other
L2	interest owners?
L3	MS. BROGGI: I'm not sure if there's no
L4	other interest owners or if we have commitment, but
L5	I'm going to defer a little bit to Mr. Savage here.
L6	But I think that that is correct. There was just some
L7	confusion about different proposals or development
L8	proposals; and as long as they're only proceeding with
L9	one-mile wells, then there's no issue, and we can
20	dismiss these applications.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I
22	understood that. I'm looking at your exhibits that
23	were filed in this case. I'm looking for the one with
24	the ownership interest. I think it's A4.
25	Hold on, Mr. Savage. I just want to

1	look at this before I ask you some questions.
2	So okay. But, see, I'm looking at
3	page 36 of 75.
4	These are your exhibits, Ms. Broggi.
5	MS. BROGGI: Yes.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I'm seeing
7	other people on here. So anyway okay.
8	So now that I so, Mr. Savage, go
9	ahead, please.
10	MR. SAVAGE: So if I'm understanding
11	MRC correctly, it sounds like they'll dismiss these
12	applications for the two-and-a-half mile and it sounds
13	like they would do new applications for the one-mile
14	and the
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I didn't
16	understand that.
17	MR. SAVAGE: Yes. That's I think
18	that's
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: that was left
20	out.
21	MR. SAVAGE: That would be, I think,
22	the overall plan on that. But so just to clarify,
23	Mr. Hearing Examiner, so Coterra had to protect its
24	right to develop the east half of Section 10 under the
25	voluntary agreement and not risk losing that

1	opportunity under a contested hearing. And there's no
2	reason to pool for Coterra to pool the east half.
3	But this is very valuable property, and it needs to be
4	protected.
5	But this does not mean that Coterra is
6	unwilling to continue good faith negotiations with
7	Matador. Coterra has been in the process of
8	negotiating some very important agreements involving
9	these lands. And as I understand, the negotiations
LO	between the parties could result in significant mutual
L1	benefit for both Matador and Coterra. And Coterra had
L2	informed Matador from the outset of the negotiations
L3	that if they could not reach an agreement that
L4	Coterra would develop the east half of Section 10 as a
L5	one-mile unit. Therefore, there was no reason to
L6	burden the Division with a contested hearing over this
L7	matter at all.
L8	And I just we just want to let
L9	Matador know that Coterra remains ready, willing, and
20	able to work towards an agreement that it believes
21	would significantly benefit both parties.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. Broggi,
23	this application I'm looking at in Case number 35
24	seeks to pool the east half of 3 and 10. So I'm
25	assuming 3 is north and 10 is south?

1	MS. BROGGI: Yes. Yes, Mr. Hearing
2	Examiner.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Okay.
4	That is how it fits?
5	MS. BROGGI: Yeah.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So
7	Mr. Savage, your client seeks to develop what?
8	the west half of 3 and 10?
9	MR. SAVAGE: No. Well, first of all,
10	you know, the development would be contingent upon
11	negotiations with Matador falling through on this
12	agreement, just
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
14	MR. SAVAGE: just to make that
15	clear. Okay. So we would if the negotiations fell
16	through, we would pursue the development of the east
17	half of Section 10, east half.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, the east
19	half of 10?
20	MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. Broggi,
22	I didn't understand the idea of the one miles and how
23	that I'm obviously missing information. The
24	parties need to fill me in here. So, Ms. Broggi,
25	explain why, if Coterra just uses one-mile laterals,
	Page 15

1	you would dismiss and refile.
2	MS. BROGGI: So my understanding is
3	that we will just be developing then the east half of
4	Section 3. And
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	MS. BROGGI: initially at some
7	point and I apologize. I don't know at what point
8	in the negotiations or the proposals, but we had
9	understood that what Mr. Savage's client was proposing
10	would actually go into the east half of Section 3.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I see.
12	MS. BROGGI: But now we understand that
13	it will not be and as long as they can confirm
14	that, that they will not be going into Section 3, then
15	there's no reason for any sort of contested hearing;
16	and we will dismiss and refile accordingly.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So are you
18	saying that you would dismiss all three applications,
19	even in the Wolfcamp as well?
20	MS. BROGGI: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
21	Yes.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: As long as their
23	development from Section 10 doesn't encroach on
24	Section 3?
25	MS. BROGGI: Yes.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So then why did
2	you file why were applications filed to pool the
3	east half of Section 10?
4	MS. BROGGI: I mean, I have to confess,
5	I don't know if they have revised their plans. I just
6	know that at some point it looked like there was a
7	conflict here. And then through discussions with the
8	party, it sounds like there really isn't. And so as
9	long as Mr. Savage can confirm that, then we don't
10	need to have a contested hearing on this.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	So Mr. Savage, do you know why MRC
13	sorry. Not MRC Matador tried is trying to pool
14	the east half of Section 10?
15	MR. SAVAGE: Well, so, you know, these
16	two parties have been involved in some very productive
17	negotiations. And it had looked like and for as
18	far as I can, you know, understand, it's looking like
19	there might be a really mutually beneficial agreement
20	to be reached here. So it looks like MRC, as I
21	don't know to, you know and as part of pursuing
22	the negotiations decided that they wanted to pull the
23	east half of Section 3 and 10 to bring I don't
24	know perhaps Coterra to you know, to I guess,
25	to motivate.

1	Because, I mean, often a lot of
2	times, parties will pool do a pooling hearing. I
3	mean, they'll do a pooling application to try to
4	motivate, you know, certain forms of negotiations. I
5	mean, that could be a possibility. Certainly, a
6	two-and-a-half mile unit would be more economical than
7	the one-and-a-half mile unit.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I
9	MR. SAVAGE: So but you know, but
10	there really was no need. You know, the negotiations
11	could have been pursued you know, could be pursued
12	independently of any, you know, application to the OCD
13	because
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
15	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. Because there's
16	really no contested hearing here because
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But you say
18	Mr. Savage, excuse me. Didn't you say that you have a
19	JOA to develop Section 10?
20	MR. SAVAGE: There is a JOA in place,
21	and it only covers the east half of Section 10
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: East half.
23	Okay.
24	MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And so since you
	Page 18

1	have a JOA in place, are there other mineral interest
2	owners in the east half of 10?
3	MR. SAVAGE: Under the JOA there are.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: There are?
5	Okay. All right.
6	You know, Ms. Broggi, it seems that
7	these applications are not ripe to do anything with at
8	this point. I don't understand why they haven't been
9	dismissed already, but I'd like you to file a motion
10	to dismiss these three applications. And you can
11	refile them without prejudice, obviously. But it
12	sounds like the parties are continuing to negotiate.
13	These have been sitting here on our
14	docket since the early part of December. It's too
15	long at this point. I mean, either you're ready to
16	move forward by affidavit, which you're not because
17	you have an objection, or you are ready for a
18	contested hearing in the near future and you're
19	not, not from what I've heard. Do you have anything
20	to say about that?
21	MS. BROGGI: No, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
22	I mean, I think that is accurate that, you know, we do
23	not intend to proceed on these because I think that
24	they have reached an agreement between these two
25	parties.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
2	MS. BROGGI: I guess, I just I do
3	just want to because I'm not sure if I missed it
4	or but did was there confirmation that there
5	won't be development of
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No.
7	MS. BROGGI: Okay. No.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: There wasn't,
9	no. There was not, no.
10	MS. BROGGI: I mean, may we get that?
11	Or is that
12	Mr. Savage, are you prepared to state
13	that or no?
14	MR. SAVAGE: Well, I don't
15	understand Mr. Hearing Examiner, I don't understand
16	why she insists on this. I don't because it seems
17	irrelevant and immaterial.
18	I will say that Coterra has a JOA in
19	place that's ripe for development at some point. And,
20	you know, they are preserving their right to develop
21	that.
22	So they're open to negotiations with
23	Matador. They believe that there could be a very
24	mutually beneficial agreement to be reached. The
25	opportunity is there. And if negotiations fall

1	through, then they'll look at developing that east
2	half of Section 10.
3	MS. BROGGI: The east half of Section
4	10? Not the east half of Section 3?
5	MR. SAVAGE: East half of Section 10 is
6	what the JOA covers.
7	MS. BROGGI: Okay. I think that we
8	have agreement here. Okay. Yeah, I think we have
9	agreement here, in which case we will be dismissing
10	the case.
11	MR. SAVAGE: So
12	MS. BROGGI: Maybe we need to talk
13	offline, Mr. Savage and I; but I think that we will be
14	dismissing the case.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Ms. Broggi,
16	I know these are not your cases necessarily; but I'm
17	ordering Matador to file a notice of dismissal
18	MS. BROGGI: Okay.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: for these
20	three cases. I will follow that up with an order.
21	And you're welcome to refile once you have everything
22	straightened out with Coterra.
23	MS. BROGGI: Okay. Thank you.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And if you don't
25	have everything straightened out with Coterra, then

1	you're welcome to refile. And we'll have a contested
2	hearing over who knows? possibly competing
3	applications or not. I don't know. But it just seems
4	that at this point you're not ready to move forward
5	with these cases.
6	Anything further?
7	MS. BROGGI: Nothing further from MRC.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
9	Mr. Suazo?
10	MR. SUAZO: No, Mr. Examiner.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Suazo, I
12	have a question for you. You originally objected.
13	You withdrew your objection. Why did you withdraw
14	your objection?
15	MR. SUAZO: Because at the time that
16	these applications were filed, we believed that we
17	were trying to be pooled. And once we received a
18	confirmation that we were not trying to be pooled or
19	else the applications had been modified I forget
20	which one we withdrew our objection once it was
21	confirmed that we're no longer being pooled.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think the
23	original exhibits filed in January had you as a pooled
24	party.
25	MR. SUAZO: That's right.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
2	right. Because I saw that on the list of okay.
3	Very good.
4	Mr. Savage, anything further?
5	MR. SAVAGE: None. Thank you.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
7	Thank you.
8	All right. So we're off the record in
9	these three cases.
10	Let's move on to V-F Petroleum, 25193.
11	Entries of appearance, please.
12	MR. SAVAGE: Good morning. Darin
13	Savage with Abadie & Schill, on behalf of V-F
14	Petroleum Incorporated.
15	MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi with Holland
16	& Hart, on behalf of Apache.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18	MS. MCLEAN: Good morning. Jackie
19	McLean, representing Permian Resources.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
21	MS. HATLEY: Good morning, Mr.
22	Examiner. Keri Hatley, entering an appearance on
23	behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, Concho Oil & Gas, and
24	COG Operating.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
	Page 23

1	MS. KESSLER: Good morning. Jordan
2	Kessler on behalf of EOG Resources, monitoring this
3	case.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
5	you, Ms. Kessler.
6	Ms. Hatley, did you enter an objection,
7	or are you just entering an appearance now?
8	MS. HATLEY: No objection. Just
9	monitoring. Thank you.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
11	you.
12	Ms. McLean, your status?
13	MS. MCLEAN: We have objected.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You have. Okay.
15	On what basis?
16	MS. MCLEAN: They just don't like the
17	proposed development plan.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, are you
19	going to is your client Permian, are they going to
20	file competing application?
21	MS. MCLEAN: No. It's my
22	understanding
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
24	MS. MCLEAN: that they're working on
25	a deal right now, and they have drafts circulated.
	Page 24

1	But Permian Resources would like to keep the May 13th
2	contested hearing date. And
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
4	MS. HATLEY: we will present
5	witnesses in the case a deal is not reached by that
6	day.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
8	And, Ms. Broggi, what's your status?
9	MS. BROGGI: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
10	we've reached an agreement with the applicant and so
11	we are withdrawing our objections.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Great.
13	Okay. So we just have Permian
14	objecting to you, Mr. Savage; and we have a May 13
15	contested hearing set?
16	MR. SAVAGE: That's correct.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there
18	anything you want to discuss?
19	MR. SAVAGE: No. We they want to go
20	forward with the contested hearing.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. McLean, I'd
22	like to avoid a last-minute withdrawal of objection
23	because we have to pay a court reporter to come up to
24	Santa Fe. And when would be a reasonable time to
25	notify us if you do reach an agreement?

1	MS. MCLEAN: I guess, when do you have
2	to pay the court reporter by?
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Okay.
4	Let's see. Why don't we say let's see, May 13.
5	Let me see what day of the week looks like the 13th
6	is on a Tuesday. We would need to know by that Friday
7	the 9th if you reach a deal.
8	MS. MCLEAN: Okay.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: May 9th, deal
10	deadline. Because Mr. Savage will have to file his
11	prehearing statement and his exhibits by the let's
12	see, four days before the 13th, whatever day that will
13	be. I'm assuming that's at least by Wednesday
14	MS. MCLEAN: Right.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: of that week.
16	And do you anticipate you said you would have
17	witnesses, but would you be filing exhibits as well?
18	MS. MCLEAN: Correct. Yes.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, you would?
20	MS. MCLEAN: Yes.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So you
22	should know even before that Friday. But I'll give
23	you you never know, with negotiations
24	MS. MCLEAN: You never know.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: being what
	Page 26

1	they are.
2	MS. MCLEAN: Yeah.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
4	MS. MCLEAN: I'll let the client know
5	that that, you know, is the deadline. And hopefully,
6	they can work things out.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Savage,
8	anything further on this case?
9	MR. SAVAGE: I don't think so.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
11	MR. SAVAGE: No need for that.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
13	Ms. McLean?
14	MS. MCLEAN: Nothing from Permian
15	Resources.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
17	We're off the record on 25193.
18	Let's move on to 25220, 25221. These
19	are FAE II operating cases. Entries of appearance,
20	please.
21	MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Jackie McLean on
22	behalf of FAE II Operating.
23	MS. BROGGI: And Julia Broggi with
24	Holland & Hart, on behalf of OXY USA and Occidental
25	Permian LP.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	Are there any other parties that you
4	know of, Ms. McLean?
5	MS. MCLEAN: I believe ConocoPhillips
6	has entered but not objected.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You don't know
8	who's representing Conoco Phillips. Do you?
9	MS. MCLEAN: I'm sure, Ms. Hatley.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh.
11	MS. MCLEAN: Oh, there she is. She
12	just popped on.
13	MS. HATLEY: Yes. Keri Hatley,
14	entering an appearance on behalf of ConocoPhillips.
15	Thank you.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And monitoring?
17	MS. HATLEY: Yes, please.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Very
19	good.
20	So, Ms. Broggi, OXY objected?
21	MS. BROGGI: Yes. My understanding is
22	that the parties are very, very close to reaching an
23	agreement. And so we would just request an additional
24	status conference.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
	Page 28

1	right.
2	And Ms. McLean?
3	MS. MCLEAN: That's my understanding as
4	well. But FAE would like to set a contested hearing
5	date at the end of July or early August so that, you
6	know, we do have that out there and things can go
7	forward.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me
9	take a look at the case file here. The application
10	was filed oh in February. Okay. So it needs to
11	move along, then.
12	What was this that was filed on the 8th
13	of April? It looks we don't have titles in our
14	imaging system. Oh, exhibits. It looks like you
15	filed exhibits.
16	MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Because we were
17	planning on going to hearing on this. We were going
18	to have a special hearing on April 14th just for this
19	matter. And then that Friday like, Thursday or
20	Friday before, OXY entered an appearance and objected
21	to us proceeding by affidavit.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And we were
23	going to have what? a hearing by affidavit on
24	the 14th of April?
25	MS. MCLEAN: Correct.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
2	right.
3	Okay. Let's see.
4	Ms. Tschantz, did you send me a you
5	probably did. So let me just look in my
6	THE CLERK: It's in the chat.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, I thought
8	it would be. Yes. There it is.
9	Ah, you said there were going to be no
10	May dates; but there are two of them in there.
11	MS. MCLEAN: No June dates.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What you said.
13	Thank you. Okay. July 1st, Ms. McLean?
14	MS. MCLEAN: No.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. If not
16	the 1st, how about the 15th?
17	MS. MCLEAN: No. We need so for
18	client availability, we're looking at the end of July
19	or beginning of August.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Then we can do
21	the 29th, then?
22	MS. MCLEAN: Of July? Okay. That
23	works.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: That works for
25	you?
	Page 30

1	MS. MCLEAN: Yes.
2	And Ms. Broggi?
3	MS. BROGGI: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
4	That works.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And
6	that gives the parties plenty of time to finish up
7	these or paper up this agreement. I think we should
8	have another status conference before the pre-
9	hearing sorry before the contested hearing.
10	What do you think, Ms. McLean?
11	MS. MCLEAN: Yes. I think perhaps a
12	status conference at the end of June.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
14	Ms. Tschantz, what's the date in June that we have our
15	status conferences?
16	THE CLERK: It's June 26th.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: June 26th. So,
18	Ms. McLean, it's up to you to move these cases to June
19	26th.
20	MS. MCLEAN: Okay.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
22	Anything else, Ms. Broggi?
23	MS. BROGGI: No. Nothing on behalf of
24	OXY.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Hatley?
	Page 31

1	MS. HATLEY: No. Thank you.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. McLean?
3	MS. MCLEAN: That's it from us too.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wonderful.
5	All right. We're off the record in
6	those two cases.
7	MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let's move on
9	to
10	Thank you.
11	Let's move on to a Mewbourne Oil case,
12	25228. Entries of appearance, please.
13	MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi with Holland
14	& Hart, on behalf of the applicant Mewbourne Oil
15	Company and also on behalf of MRC Permian Company.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
17	you.
18	MS. BRADFUTE: Jennifer Bradfute with
19	Bradfute Sayer, on behalf of PBEX Operations LLC.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
21	MS. HATLEY: And Keri Hatley, entering
22	an appearance on behalf of COG Operating and
23	ConocoPhillips Company.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are you
25	monitoring?

1	MS. HATLEY: Monitoring only.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You are.
3	Ms. Bradfute, you must have objected.
4	MS. BRADFUTE: Yes, that's correct.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And
6	what's the status of your objection now?
7	MS. BRADFUTE: The objection remains.
8	The parties have entered into negotiations, and they
9	have been productive negotiations. So I believe this
10	case could reach resolution within a couple of weeks.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. 25228.
12	Ms. Broggi, do you know when you filed
13	25228?
14	MS. BROGGI: Let's see. It doesn't
15	have a date on here.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I got it. It's
17	February
18	MS. BROGGI: Yeah.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: it's February
20	12th.
21	MS. BROGGI: Okay. I apologize.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So February 12th
23	means it's starting to get a little stale. It looks
24	like you filed exhibits in this case. You had
25	intended to proceed, I think, by
	Page 33

1	MS. BROGGI: By affidavit.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Affidavit, which
3	was jettisoned based on the objection. It looks like
4	the objection no. Let me see.
5	Ms. Bradfute, when did you object? Do
6	you remember?
7	MS. BRADFUTE: Let me pull it up. It
8	was definitely before the hearing was set, after they
9	filed their exhibits. I had it pulled up. We filed
10	our objection on March 7th.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. March
12	7th.
13	Now I have a question. I see a motion
14	for consolidation which I've never seen before. It
15	was filed oh, yesterday. No wonder I haven't seen
16	it yet.
17	MS. BRADFUTE: Yes. Yeah.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So
19	you would like to combine 25228, which is the case I
20	called, with 25301 and 25303, which I have not called.
21	I don't know if they're on no, I don't see them on
22	today's docket. What is the reason for wanting to
23	consolidate these three cases?
24	MS. BRADFUTE: These are competing
25	applications. So with the combination of 25301 and

1	25303, the acreage actually overlaps with the acreage
2	in Mewbourne's case. We had proposal letters that
3	were out at the time when Mewbourne's case would've
4	originally gone to hearing. We have since filed our
5	applications and provided notice. The applications
6	are currently set for the May 8th docket.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
8	understand. Thank you. May 8th for a well, they
9	would be set for the May 8th for a hearing by
10	affidavit; but since they're competing, I guess they
11	won't be set for the May 8th.
12	So, Ms. Tschantz, would you just
13	administratively continue the May 8th here, 25308
14	and sorry 25301 and 303 to the May what?
15	22nd docket, maybe?
16	THE CLERK: Yes. That's right.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So will you do
18	that?
19	THE CLERK: Yes, I will.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And since it's
21	competing, I'm going to grant the motion for
22	consolidation unless, Ms. Broggi, you're going to make
23	an argument against that.
24	MS. BROGGI: No, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So,
	Page 35
	rage 35

1	Freya, will you also join the three cases together for
2	the purposes of a hearing?
3	THE CLERK: Yes.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I'd
5	like to get these cases set for a contested hearing.
6	May would be too soon for you guys because I at
7	least I think it would be too soon. Right,
8	Ms. Bradfute?
9	MS. BRADFUTE: Yes, that's correct.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
11	right. So how about July 1st?
12	MS. BRADFUTE: That would be fine,
13	Mr. Examiner.
14	MS. BROGGI: Yes.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Broggi?
16	MS. BROGGI: I believe so. July 1st.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
18	We'll issue a pre-hearing order for July 1st for a
19	contested hearing on these three cases. And as you
20	say, the negotiations look good; and most likely,
21	they'll all go away. If the negotiations are
22	successful, would these cases be unopposed and proceed
23	to a hearing by affidavit or would they literally be
24	dismissed?
25	MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I you
	Page 36
	raye 30

1	know, what the parties are currently negotiating is
2	potentially dividing the acreage. So right now it
3	essentially yeah. So each would have a section, so
4	there may be some amendments that need to be made to
5	the applications depending on what is finally agreed
6	to.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which would
8	require re-noticing?
9	MS. BRADFUTE: Yes.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So then we're
11	looking at dismissal. At least for your cases, they'd
12	be dismissed and refiled under an amended application?
13	MS. BRADFUTE: That I'm not sure about
14	because we don't know the final findings. My party
15	actually split them by east and west
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Understood.
17	MS. BRADFUTE: so yeah.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
19	Ms. Broggi, what would happen to your case if you
20	reach an agreement?
21	MS. BROGGI: I have to confess, I'm not
22	certain because
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
24	MS. BROGGI: I don't actually know
25	what's being discussed. I just know that there have
	Page 37

1	been discussions; and I think there is optimism on my
2	client's side as well, my client being Mewbourne.
3	Matador is just monitoring it. But yep.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, for
5	someone who doesn't know what's really going on
6	between the parties, like, when you file a compulsory
7	pooling application, I'm assuming that there's more
8	than just one other ownership interest.
9	Ms. Bradfute, since you know your cases
10	better, are you saying that Mewbourne is the only
11	other interest you would have seeked to force pool?
12	MS. BRADFUTE: No. We would still need
13	to pursue pooling
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
15	MS. BRADFUTE: to my knowledge.
16	Yes.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I thought so.
18	All right.
19	And, Ms. Broggi, I won't ask you the
20	same questions. I know it's not your case.
21	Okay. Is there anything further from
22	the parties on these well, I've only called one
23	case on this case.
24	MS. BROGGI: No, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
	Page 38

1	MS. BRADFUTE: No, Mr. Hearing
2	Examiner. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you very
4	much, and thanks for bringing those new applications
5	to my attention.
6	Okay. Let's go to Rockwood Energy. We
7	have several cases on the docket; 25241, 42, 43, 44,
8	45, and 46. Entries of appearance, please.
9	MS. LUCK: Kaitlyn Luck, appearing for
10	Rockwood Energy, LP.
11	MS. BENNETT: And good morning, Mr.
12	Examiner. Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling, on
13	behalf of Coterra Energy Operating.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
15	right. Thank you.
16	Ms. Luck, I know you entered an
17	appearance recently. I know Mr. Savage originally
18	filed these cases. Where did he get the OGRID number
19	to file these cases?
20	MS. LUCK: That is a registered OGRID
21	with the state land office. And it looks like there
22	might have been some issues with the registration of
23	the OCD, but now we have rectified that.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, is that?
25	MS. LUCK: But it was at all times a
	Page 39

1	registered OGRID with the state yes. Yes, sir.
2	And at all times it was a registered OGRID number with
3	the state land office. But it's my understanding
4	there might've just been a glitch in the system, and
5	we worked with Rob Jackson yesterday morning to be
6	sure that all of that is clarified in the OCD system.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Rob Jackson
8	made sure that that OGRID number is valid now?
9	MS. LUCK: Yes. And we can send email
10	verification that we're registered in the system.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	Excellent. All right. So Rockford what is the
13	full name of Rockford?
14	MS. LUCK: It's
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Rockwood?
16	MS. LUCK: Excuse me. It's Rockwood
17	Energy
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah.
19	MS. LUCK: LP.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is that
21	an investment company?
22	MS. LUCK: I'm not sure exactly how to
23	describe them. They are an oil and gas company. They
24	do have both non-operated assets, and now they're
25	seeking to be a full-time operator by these pooling
	Page 40

1	applications here in New Mexico.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see.
3	MS. LUCK: I can provide further color
4	on that at the actual hearing on this matter. But at
5	this point in time, what we're here for before the
6	division is a status conference. And I think the
7	parties are in agreement to push this to a status
8	conference later in May to give Coterra, as the other
9	working interest in the unit, time to determine
10	whether or not competing polling applications would be
11	filed.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
13	Ms. Bennett?
14	MS. BENNETT: Yes. Thank you. As
15	Ms. Luck mentioned, Coterra I and Ms. Luck had a
16	conversation yesterday, and Coterra does think that a
17	May 22nd status conference is the best approach here
18	because it's premature to set a contested hearing for
19	these cases at this time. These cases were just filed
20	on, I believe, back in February; but the April 10th
21	was the first hearing date that was requested. So
22	this is the first status conference in these cases.
23	And Ms. Luck was entered her
24	appearance a couple of days ago in these matters. So
25	we just haven't had time to really work through

1	anything on these cases. And it's Coterra's hope
2	is that Rockwood cases will be dismissed.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
4	MS. BENNETT: And so we would ask for a
5	May 22nd status conference to allow the parties time
6	to negotiate.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck,
8	they're your cases. How do you want to proceed?
9	MS. LUCK: I'm in agreement with
10	Ms. Bennett. We spoke yesterday, and that's how we
11	would request to proceed with these cases at this
12	time.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
14	These applications were filed February 28th. There
15	was an objection entered by Ms. Bennett on April 2nd.
16	I'm happy to move I'm happy to hold
17	another status conference on May 22nd; but it will be
18	the final status conference, Ms. Luck. So if
19	negotiations are not productive, be ready to agree on
20	a contested hearing date. And let me give you the
21	ones that I would've offered you today had you asked
22	for one. I would have
23	MS. LUCK: Okay. Thank you.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Of course. I
25	would've offered you either the July 1st or July 15th.

1	So you can check with your client and see which of
2	those dates works for your client and Ms. Bennett's
3	clients, of course, too.
4	MS. LUCK: Yes. And we'll be ready to
5	proceed on either one of those dates, depending on
6	which works for the parties.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And
8	Ms. Bennett, would you be do you anticipate if
9	negotiations don't proceed as you hope, do you are
10	you going to file competing applications?
11	MS. BENNETT: Yes. We would need to
12	file competing applications. So
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
14	MS. BENNETT: I mean, I'm happy to
15	think about July 1st and July 15th, but we would need
16	to prepare. I'm not sure that Coterra has even
17	prepared competing proposals yet
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
19	MS. BENNETT: given just you
20	know, in full transparency, there's been two things
21	that have kept them from doing proposals. One is that
22	they are under the impression that Rockwood is selling
23	its interest to another party
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
25	MS. BENNETT: and therefore these
	Page 43

1	cases would be dismissed. So that has, you know, kind
2	of slowed down the need to prepare competing
3	proposals, is this understanding that Rockwood is
4	selling its interest.
5	And then secondly, when Mr. Savage
6	withdrew their I wasn't even sure what was going to
7	be happening today at the status conference. And so,
8	you know, I just put these kind of on the back burner
9	until Ms. Luck entered her appearance.
10	So I don't have any information to give
11	the division today about the status of proposal
12	letters. So while July 1st and July 15th are
13	available, that might not work, given timing for
14	proposal letters and competing applications.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, I'm
16	going to throw those dates out there. I'll also
17	propose a date in August so that the parties have that
18	as well on the burner here. I'll also propose August
19	12th
20	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: as a possible
22	date, giving Coterra plenty of time to circulate
23	proposals and file their applications with the proper
24	notice.
25	And I understand the position you're
	Page 44

1	in, Ms. Bennett, so
2	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
	-
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: thank you for
4	being transparent. And is it correct me if I'm
5	wrong, but Coterra purchased the assets of Cimarex.
6	Is that right?
7	MS. BENNETT: Well, Coterra was formed
8	by a merger several years ago. So Coterra purchased
9	the assets of Avant and Franklin Mountain Energy most
10	recently. That's what we've most recently been
11	discussing. But Coterra was formed several years ago
12	by a merger of a couple of companies, and I can't
13	recall exactly which companies there were that merged
14	and formed Coterra.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Cimarex is a
16	separate entity from Coterra?
17	MS. BENNETT: It's a subsidiary of
18	Coterra.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Subsidiary.
20	Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
21	All right. Anything further on these
22	cases?
23	MS. BENNETT: No. Thank you. None
24	nothing from me.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect.

1	MS. LUCK: Nothing further from me.
2	Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
4	Perfect. Thank you. We're off the record in those
5	cases.
6	I'm moving on now to Tap Rock
7	Operating, 25272.
8	MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
9	Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak, appearing today on
10	behalf of Tap Rock Operating Company.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
12	you.
13	MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
14	Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett, on behalf of Coterra
15	Energy Operating.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
17	MS. HATLEY: Good morning,
18	Mr. Examiner. Keri Hatley, entering an appearance on
19	behalf of COG Operating.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
21	I feel like there's I guess that's
22	all the parties that there are. Okay.
23	Ms. Bennett, did you object?
24	MS. BENNETT: Yes, I did. So it looks
25	like Tap Rock filed these applications on March 10th,
	Page 46
	1

1	requested an April 10th hearing. And I filed an
2	objection on March 27th. So today is the first status
3	conference for these cases for this case.
4	And Coterra is considering is
5	preparing competing proposals that it hopes to send
6	out next week or the week after next. And after the
7	competing proposals are sent out, we would need to
8	wait the 30 days to submit an application for hearing.
9	So there will be contested competing applications
10	that I will be filing.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	Mr. Suazo?
13	MR. SUAZO: Yeah. Mr. Examiner, I
14	think there's some concern here. You know, I think
15	primarily it's the lack of communication from Coterra.
16	And Tap Rock has a drilling schedule.
17	They're scheduled to spud in September. And so we'd
18	like to set a hearing date as soon as possible.
19	You know, this is the first I hear that
20	they intend to submit competing proposals; and they've
21	had ample opportunity, you know, to communicate with
22	us. In fact, you know, over the last year, you know,
23	they really haven't engaged with Tap Rock and
24	initiated conflict. And in fact, the first that Tap
25	Rock hears from them is typically in the form of an
	Page 47

1	objection.
2	So Tap Rock's ready to you know, to
3	move forward. We don't want to take up any more time
4	on your docket and for these things to go stale,
5	especially because of the schedule. So I think that,
6	you know, the soonest we can set a contested hearing
7	after they, apparently, are going to submit their
8	applications, that'd be preferable for my client.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett,
10	based on the March 19 filing date, I'm presuming that
11	your client received the proposals sometime in
12	mid-February. Would that be accurate?
13	MS. BENNETT: I don't have any
14	information about when they received the proposals.
15	I'm sorry. I mean, it would've had to have been,
16	based on the timing of the filing of the application;
17	but I can't say for sure.
18	MR. SUAZO: They actually should've
19	received the proposals on February 1st, Mr. Examiner.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. February
21	1st, proposals. Okay.
22	So, Ms. Bennett, I understand that your
23	client is, you know, preparing competing proposals and
24	next week or so, they're going to send them out; and
25	that would mean about May 1st. So that would mean

1	June 1st is when you'd file your application, and it
2	couldn't be noticed for 20 days after that. Is that
3	timeline accurate?
4	MS. BENNETT: That's right. Yep.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, Mr. Suazo,
6	it sounds like the earliest I could set this for a
7	contested hearing would be in June. Do you disagree
8	with that?
9	MR. SUAZO: No. I think that's right,
10	and I think
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	MR. SUAZO: if that's the earliest
13	we can get it, we would love to be put on the docket
14	for a contested hearing at the earliest available
15	date.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: The we don't
17	have any dates in June, according to the division
18	hearing clerk. The first one after that would be July
19	1st, which would give the proper 30 days and 20 days,
20	et cetera, from about a week from today. So does July
21	1st work with your client?
22	MR. SUAZO: Unless there's not a
23	special hearing date in June. You know, we'll take
24	July 1st if that's earliest available.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Tschantz,
	Page 49

1	is do I have that right?
2	THE CLERK: That's correct.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Suazo, I
4	would look at late June, but the room downstairs is
5	being used for rule-makings and other things that are
6	going on that are outside of our control. So I think
7	that July 1st is the earliest we could get this heard.
8	Ms. Bennett, does that work for you?
9	MS. BENNETT: That works for me, but
10	I'll need to confirm with Coterra.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sounds good.
12	And, Ms. Hatley, are you going to
13	participate?
14	MS. HATLEY: We are not. We're just
15	monitoring this case.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
17	Okay.
18	Is there anything further, Mr. Suazo?
19	MR. SUAZO: No, Mr. Examiner.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
21	MS. BENNETT: No. I keep muting
22	myself, so there's I have to unmute myself. But
23	no, nothing else.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
25	Thank you.
	Do ~ 0 E 0
	Page 50

1	We'll issue a pre-hearing order
2	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: for July 1st
4	contested hearing, in person, in Pecos Hall.
5	All right. We're off the record in
6	this case.
7	Let's move on to a Mewbourne Oil case,
8	25273.
9	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce
10	representing the applicant. The applicant is actually
11	Burnett Oil Company.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Burnett. Thank
13	you.
14	MR. SUAZO: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
15	Miguel Suazo with Beatty & Wozniak, representing Riley
16	Permian Operating.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Riley. Thank
18	you. Did you object
19	MS. MCLEAN: And
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I'm sorry,
21	Ms. McLean.
22	MS. MCLEAN: Yeah.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Hold on one
24	second.
25	Mr. Suazo, did you object?
	Page 51

1	MR. SUAZO: Yes, we did object. And my
2	understanding is that there's an issue concerning the
3	size of the spacing. Once we get that resolved, we're
4	hopeful that we'll be able to withdraw our objection;
5	but that has not yet happened. So I think that's the
6	extent of my knowledge on the communications between
7	the parties as of today.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So when you say
9	that there's a can you explain what you mean by the
10	size of the spacing?
11	MR. SUAZO: Sure. So I think that my
12	client prefers there to be 320-acre sized units. And
13	I'm not sure what the other side is proposing, but
14	that's the latest detail I have in my notes. They
15	told me that they thought they were going to work
16	something out, but I have not received confirmation of
17	that as of this morning.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
19	right.
20	Ms. McLean?
21	MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Sorry. I don't know
22	what happened to my camera, but now it is no longer.
23	Jackie McClean, representing Spur Energy Partners; and
24	we are just monitoring this case.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.

1	Thank you, Ms. McClean.
2	All right. Mr. Bruce, do you know
3	anything about this 320-acre spacing issue?
4	MR. BRUCE: Well, that's the first I
5	heard of it. Mr. Examiner, this case seeks to create
6	a 640-acre, one-section non-standard spacing unit and
7	force pool the interest in that unit. And I was told
8	by my client that Riley Permian signed a JOA for that.
9	And then they after signing the JOA, they
10	subsequently filed the objection. I guess they want a
11	320-acre unit rather than a 640-acre unit.
12	But and the parties are in
13	discussions and my client would like to work things
14	out with Riley Permian. But I'm inclined that if
15	they're not moving forward quickly, I would file a
16	motion to dismiss Riley Permian's objection because
17	they have no standing to object, because they've
18	already joined in the wells.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
20	you.
21	Mr. Suazo?
22	MR. SUAZO: I have not received a copy
23	of the JOA, so that's news to me. I would need to
24	confirm with my client. I'm not saying that that's
25	inaccurate. I just have not received those details.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
2	Mr. Suazo, when was this case filed?
3	MR. SUAZO: It was filed on March 19th.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: March 19th.
5	Okay. Mr. Bruce, how do you want to proceed?
6	MR. BRUCE: I would like to set it
7	for well, my client would like to you know, this
8	case has already been delayed a little bit. My client
9	would like to move forward. I understand the
10	division's hearing schedule issues, but get this put
11	away as soon as possible.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Bruce, we
13	don't have any hearing schedule issues. We have
14	hearings available in May. It's just that we don't
15	have a docket for June for special hearings.
16	MR. BRUCE: Ah, okay.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So do you want
18	me to set it for a May date?
19	MR. BRUCE: Oh, I would like that, yes.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have May 13
21	or May 27. Mr. Bruce, which do you prefer?
22	MR. BRUCE: Could I ask I believe my
23	landman is on is listening in and if she could just
24	simply tell me because I don't know. I did not know
25	the dates that were available.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And who
2	is your landman?
3	MS. PILGRIM: Hi. Yes.
4	MR. BRUCE: Kristine Pilgrim.
5	MS. PILGRIM: Yes. This is Kristine
6	Pilgrim from Burnett Oil Company.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
8	MS. PILGRIM: I think that we'd
9	probably be okay with we are we are in
10	negotiations with with Riley right now, and we hope
11	to get it all straightened out here very shortly. So
12	hopefully, we can move on non-con contested in the
13	future. But I guess we could could we do a a
14	status conference first and then plan a day we're
15	hoping to get this taken care of.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't we do
17	this? Why don't we set it for May 27? And
18	MS. PILGRIM: Okay.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Bruce,
20	you could just file a motion if the parties agree
21	make an agreement that, you know, obviates the need
22	for a contested hearing on May 27. And that's
23	Ms. Pilgrim, we were asking if you were available on
24	either of those May dates. So is May 27 an
25	availability for you?

1	MS. HATLEY: Yes, sir. That's great.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So,
3	Mr. Bruce, what we'll do is we'll issue a pre-hearing
4	order for May 27. And if you settle your dispute with
5	Riley, just file a motion to dissolve the pre-hearing
6	order. And then what we'll do is you'll have to move
7	your case to the next hearing by affidavit docket.
8	MR. BRUCE: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which would be
10	June
11	MR. BRUCE: 5.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: which would
13	be June the 5th.
14	MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
16	Anything further, Mr. Suazo?
17	MR. SUAZO: No, Mr. Examiner.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Bruce?
19	MR. BRUCE: No, sir.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. SUAZO: Thank you.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're welcome.
24	All right. Moving on to Permian
25	Resource. This is 25283 and 84. Entries of
	D 56
	Page 56

1	appearance, please.
2	MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Jackie McClean on
3	behalf of Permian Resources.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
5	MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi on behalf of
6	MRC Permian Company.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
8	MS. HATLEY: Keri Hatley, entering an
9	appearance on behalf of COG Operating and Concho Oil &
10	Gas.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
12	MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler on behalf
13	of EOG Resources.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
15	you.
16	And I'm assuming, Ms. Hatley and
17	Ms. Kessler, that you're monitoring?
18	MS. KESSLER: Correct.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
20	MS. HATLEY: That's a great assumption.
21	You're correct.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm getting used
23	to this now.
24	Ms. Broggi, did you file an objection
25	on behalf of your client?

1	MR. HOLLIDAY: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
2	real quick, one more. Ben Holliday, appearing on
3	behalf of Powderhorn EP.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Powderhorn?
5	MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, sir.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you object,
7	sir?
8	MR. HOLLIDAY: No, sir. We're just
9	monitoring this case.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Monitoring it.
11	Okay. Very good.
12	Ms. Broggi, back to you. Did you
13	object?
14	MS. BROGGI: Yes, we've objected.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. On what
16	basis?
17	MS. BROGGI: So I knew you were going
18	to ask me that; and I have to tell you, am not certain
19	of the substance of the objection. But what I do know
20	is that MRC has proposed different things to Permian,
21	and I think it's maybe too soon to really evaluate
22	whether they're going to reach an agreement on this.
23	But they would like more time and opportunity to be
24	able to reach some sort of resolution. So to the
25	extent a hearing is going to be set today, they have
	Da
	Page 58

asked to try to push it out as far as possible to
allow time to do that.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And,
Ms. Broggi, since you are not someone who participates
regularly with this docket, it's my practice to leave
it up to the applicant on how we want to proceed up to
a
MS. BROGGI: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: up to a
point.
So, Ms. McLean, how do you want to
proceed?
MS. MCLEAN: Yes. We have a different
stance and would like to proceed to a hearing at the
first available hearing date because Permian Resources
does have a lease expiration that they're coming up
against in their spacing unit. And it's my
understanding that MRC hasn't actually sent out any
well proposals or anything. And we do have an actual
development plan in place, so we would like to proceed
as quickly as possible to hearing on this matter.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, as
you may have caught on, we have some dates in May, and
we have some dates in July. Which month do you want
me to go over with?

1	MS. MCLEAN: What's the date what
2	are the dates in May?
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: The dates in May
4	are the 13th and the 27th.
5	MS. MCLEAN: I just don't think we
6	would be able to get stuff together in time for the
7	13th because exhibits would be due.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
9	MS. MCLEAN: You know, what could we
10	do the 27th? It would be a great birthday celebration
11	for us.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, and for me
13	too. It'll be two days before mine, and I know yours
14	is close to mine.
15	Ms. Broggi, is it "Roggi" or "Berggi"?
16	I've been saying "Berggi" all this time.
17	MS. BROGGI: I pronounce it "Broggi."
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I by
19	looking at the by looking at what you've put on the
20	screen, it should be pronounced "Broggi." I don't
21	know where I got "Berggi" from. I think I just heard
22	"Berggi," so I apologize all this time.
23	All right. Ms. Broggi, does May 27
24	work for you?
25	MS. BROGGI: I don't I mean, I don't
	Page 60

1	have information on whether that but, I mean, if
2	you're inclined to set it, I will bring it back to
3	the to our client. My only concern that is in my
4	head is I know that Adam Rankin is in that commission
5	hearing and I am pretty sure that it resumes that
6	week. Oh, no, maybe it's the week before.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. It'll be
8	over by then.
9	MS. MCLEAN: I think it's before.
10	MS. BROGGI: Maybe it's the week
11	before. Okay. That was the only reservation I had in
12	my head. So if May 27th is the date, I will relay
13	that information.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sure
15	Mr. Rankin will be thrilled to go from the last week
16	of that other hearing into another hearing, but
17	MS. MCLEAN: Maybe we'll get a deal
18	going, you know.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Exactly.
20	Exactly. Okay. All right.
21	So anything from any other party?
22	Okay. Got it.
23	MS. BRADFUTE: Not from Permian
24	Resources.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Got it. All
	Page 61
	5

1	right. Thank you.
2	So we'll issue a pre-hearing order, May
3	27th, contested hearing. Thank you very much. We're
4	off the record in that case.
5	Let's oh, and, actually, those were
6	two cases. That was 25283 and 84.
7	So we have one last case on today's
8	docket. It is 25288, and it looks like it's joined
9	with 89, but I don't know what happened. 89 doesn't
10	seem to be on our docket. I'm sure we'll figure out
11	why. Entries of appearance, please.
12	MS. BROGGI: Julia Broggi with Holland
13	& Hart on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
15	MS. BRADFUTE: Jennifer Bradfute with
16	Bradfute Sayer, on behalf of Coterra Energy.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
18	Ms. Bradfute, did you object?
19	MS. BRADFUTE: Yes, we did.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ohay. On
21	what basis?
22	MS. BRADFUTE: On the basis of we did
23	not agree with Mewbourne's development plans and we
24	plan to submit competing proposals.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I now see
	Page 62

1	the note that Ms. Tschantz put in here, that Coterra
2	withdrew its objection for hearing by affidavit for
3	25289, which is on the May 8 docket, Ms. Broggi, in
4	case you didn't know that. Now I know it too.
5	Okay. So we're only dealing with one
6	contested case. Ms. Broggi, how do you want to
7	proceed?
8	MS. BROGGI: My directions are to
9	request a hearing as soon as possible on this one.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
11	right. So, now, I understand that Ms. Bradfute said
12	that they're sending out competing proposals.
13	Ms. Bradfute, any idea when they're
14	sending those out?
15	MS. BRADFUTE: I believe that they have
16	been sent out. I definitely need to circle back.
17	We're not ripe to file the applications quite yet, but
18	I believe the notice letters are pending.
19	The parties have been in negotiations,
20	and Mewbourne did send Coterra a counter proposal last
21	night. It was unfortunately after business hours, so
22	it's being evaluated this morning during the hearing.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sounds good.
24	Sounds good.
25	Well, let's see. If the let's say
	Page 63

1	that the 30 days from now would be the end of May. 20
2	days
3	It looks like the earliest, Ms. Broggi,
4	that we could get this on our calendar would be the
5	July 1st special hearing docket. It would be trailing
6	at that point, but cases go away frequently. Does
7	July 1 work for you and your client?
8	MS. BROGGI: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
10	And Ms. Bradfute?
11	MS. BRADFUTE: I believe that should
12	work, but I'd like to be able to confer with Coterra,
13	if that's okay.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. It's
15	always it's for those, the way I work it is if I
16	set a date and it doesn't work for the parties,
17	they'll submit a motion, explain with maybe an
18	affidavit to back it up, with some evidence to explain
19	why that date doesn't work, and propose another day.
20	MS. BRADFUTE: That sounds good. Yes.
21	Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
23	We'll issue a pre-hearing order on for July 1st
24	contested hearing.
25	Ms. Broggi, anything further?
	Page 64

1	MS	B. BROGGI: No. Thank you.
2	TH	E HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
3	Ms	Bradfute?
4	MS	B. BRADFUTE: No. Thank you.
5	TH	E HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And
6	that concludes or	r docket for today, and thank you
7	very much.	
8	MS	B. BROGGI: Thank you.
9	TH	E HEARING EXAMINER: Bye-bye.
10	۷)	hereupon, at 10:01 a.m., the
11	pr	coceeding was concluded.)
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 65
		rage 05

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, JAMES COGSWELL, the officer before whom 3 the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing 4 proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; 5 that the proceedings were recorded by me and 6 7 thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified 8 transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of 9 said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am 10 11 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any 12 of the parties to the action in which this was taken; 13 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of 14 any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the 15 16 outcome of this action. 17 18 JAMES COGSWELL 19 Notary Public in and for the 20 State of New Mexico 21 22 23 24 2.5

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, ADELE DEON, do hereby certify that this 3 transcript was prepared from the digital audio 4 recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the 5 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and 6 7 ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, 8 nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a 9 relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 10 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or 12 otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 13 Adele 14 15 ADELE DEON 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 2.5

[& - 4th]

0	1/th 20.19 2/	25228 1:10	28th 42:14
&	14th 29:18,24 15th 30:16		
& 2:6,15 3:11		32:12 33:11,13 34:19	
4:21 5:20 8:11	42:25 43:15		2nd 42:15
8:15,20 23:13	44:12	25241 1:11	3
23:16,23 27:24	19 48:10	39:7	3 9:14 10:3,20
32:14 46:9	19th 54:3,4	25242 1:11	12:2 14:24,25
51:15 57:9	1st 30:13,16	25243 1:11	15:8 16:4,10
62:13	36:11,16,18	25244 1:11	16:14,24 17:23
1	42:25 43:15	25245 1:12	21:4
	44:12 48:19,21	25246 1:12	30 47:8 49:19
1 2:7 64:7	48:25 49:1,19	25272 1:12	64:1
10 9:14,19	49:21,24 50:7	46:7	303 35:14
10:20 12:4	51:3 64:5,23	25273 1:12	320 52:12 53:3
13:24 14:14,24	2	51:8	53:11
14:25 15:8,17	20 49:2,19 64:1	25283 1:13	32311 66:16
15:19 16:23	2025 1:16 8:6	56:25 62:6	33426 67:13
17:3,14,23	210 3:9	25284 1:13	35 14:23
18:19,21 19:2	210 5.9 213 5:12	25288 1:13	36 13:3
21:2,4,5		62:8	
100 9:16,17,18		25289 63:3	361 5:7
1000 4:5	218 4:14	25301 34:20,25	385-4401 4:25
1048 3:15	22nd 35:15	35:14	4
1056 3:22	41:17 42:5,17	25303 34:20	42 39:7
107 3:6	24 1:16	35:1	43 39:7
10:01 65:10	24th 8:5	25308 35:13	432 5:15
10th 41:20	25035 1:9 8:7	264-8740 5:24	44 39:7
46:25 47:1	25037 1:9 8:8	26th 31:16,17	45 39:8
110 2:7	11:6	31:19	46 39:8
125 5:12	25038 1:9 8:8	27 54:21 55:17	469-3197 3:9
12th 33:20,22	11:8		483 5:4
44:19	25193 1:10	55:22,24 56:4 60:23	488-6108 5:15
13 25:14 26:4	23:10 27:17		4th 4:5
54:20	25220 1:10	27th 47:2 60:4	1.5
13th 25:1 26:5	27:18	60:10 61:12	
26:12 60:4,7	25221 1:10	62:3	
20.12 00.4,7	27:18		

[5 - application]

5	9	actually 16:10	albuquerque
5 56:11	90233 5:21	35:1 37:15,24	4:6 5:22
500 2:16 4:5	90233 5:21 97 9:17	48:18 51:10	allow 42:5 59:2
505 2:10,19	97 9.17 970 4:25	59:18 62:5	amended 37:12
3:18,25 4:8,17	982-2043 3:25	adam 61:4	amendments
5:24	982-4554 4:17	additional	37:4
5th 56:13	983-8545 2:19	28:23	ample 47:21
	988-4421 2:10	adele 67:2,15	anticipate
6	9:03 1:17 8:6	administrativ	26:16 43:8
640 53:6,11	9th 26:7,9	35:13	antonio 3:7
648-1973 5:7		affidavit 19:16	anyway 13:7
6973062 1:22	a	29:21,23 34:1	aol.com 3:24
7	a.m. 1:17 8:6	34:2 35:10	apache 2:2
75 13:3	65:10	36:23 56:7	23:16
780-8006 3:18	a4 12:24	63:2 64:18	apologize 16:7
78209 3:7	abadie 4:21	ago 41:24 45:8	33:21 60:22
7th 34:10,12	8:15 23:13	45:11	apparently
8	abadieschill.c	agree 9:24	48:7
8 63:3	4:24	42:19 55:20	appearance 8:8
800 10:18	ability 66:10	62:23	23:11,22 24:7
84 56:25 62:6	67:7	agreed 37:5	27:19 28:14
848-1800 4:8	able 14:20 52:4	agreement 9:9	29:20 32:12,22
87102 4:6	58:24 60:6	9:11 13:25	39:8,17 41:24
87102 4.0 87199 5:22	64:12	14:13,20 15:12	44:9 46:18
87501 2:8 3:16	accurate 19:22	17:19 19:24	57:1,9 62:11
4:15,23 5:13	48:12 49:3	20:24 21:8,9	appearing 8:20
87504 3:23	66:9 67:5	25:10,25 28:23	39:9 46:9 58:2
87505 1:20	acre 10:18	31:7 37:20	applicant 8:11
2:17	52:12 53:3,6	41:7 42:9	25:10 32:14
87571 5:5	53:11,11	55:21 58:22	51:10,10 59:6
89 62:9,9	acreage 35:1,1	agreements	application
8th 29:12 35:6	action 66:12,16	14:8	10:16 14:23
35:8,9,11,13	67:8,12	ah 30:9 54:16	18:3,12 24:20
	actual 41:4	ahead 13:9	29:9 37:12
	59:19		38:7 47:8
	J7.17		

[application - broggi]

48:16 49:1	assumption	behalf 2:2,12	50:21 51:2
applications	57:20	3:2,11,20 4:2	bennett's 43:2
10:4 11:3,6	attention 39:5	4:10,19 5:2,9	berggi 60:15,16
12:7,20 13:12	attention 39.3	5:17 8:11,15	60:21,22
13:13 16:18	67:10	8:20 23:13,16	best 41:17
17:2 19:7,10	attorneys 11:19	23:23 24:2	66:10 67:6
22:3,16,19	audio 66:8 67:3	27:22,24 28:14	better 38:10
34:25 35:5,5	august 29:5	31:23 32:14,15	
37:5 39:4 41:1	30:19 44:17,18	32:19,22 39:13	birthday 60:10 bit 9:11 12:15
41:10 42:14	· ·	,	
	availability 30:18 55:25	46:10,14,19	54:8
43:10,12 44:14		57:3,5,9,12,25	bone 10:19
44:23 46:25	available 44:13	58:3 62:13,16	11:3
47:9 48:8	49:14,24 54:14	believe 20:23	box 3:22 5:4,21
63:17	54:25 55:23	28:5 33:9	bradfute 5:18
approach	59:15	36:16 41:20	5:20 32:18,18
41:17	avant 45:9	54:22 63:15,18	32:19 33:3,4,7
approving	avenue 2:16	64:11	34:5,7,17,24
10:17	4:14 5:12	believed 22:16	36:8,9,12,25
april 1:16 8:6	avoid 25:22	believes 14:20	37:9,13,17
29:13,18,24	b	ben 3:8 58:2	38:9,12,15
41:20 42:15	b 3:3 7:1	beneficial	39:1 61:23
47:1	back 41:20	17:19 20:24	62:15,15,16,18
area 10:22	44:8 58:12	benefit 14:11	62:19,22 63:11
12:10	61:2 63:16	14:21	63:13,15 64:10
areas 11:11	64:18	benjamin 3:3	64:11,20 65:3
argument	based 34:3	bennett 4:3	65:4
35:23	48:10,16	39:11,12 41:13	bradfutelaw.c
asked 42:21	basis 24:15	41:14 42:4,10	5:23
59:1	58:16 62:21,22	42:15 43:8,11	bring 17:23
asking 55:23	beatty 2:15	43:14,19,25	61:2
assets 40:24	8:20 46:9	44:20 45:1,2,7	bringing 39:4
45:5,9	51:15	45:17,23 46:13	broggi 2:5 8:10
assuming 14:25	beginning	46:14,23,24	8:10 9:23,24
26:13 38:7	30:19	48:9,13,22	10:7,25 11:1,5
57:16	-	49:4 50:8,9,20	11:16,18,22

[broggi - communication]

	I		I
12:13 13:4,5	55:6	39:19 41:19,19	client 11:24
14:22 15:1,5	business 63:21	41:22 42:1,2,8	15:7 16:9
15:21,24 16:2	bwenergylaw	42:11 44:1	24:19 27:4
16:6,12,20,25	2:18	45:22 46:5	30:18 38:2
17:4 19:6,21	bye 65:9,9	47:3 62:6 64:6	43:1,2 48:8,11
20:2,7,10 21:3	c	caught 59:23	48:23 49:21
21:7,12,15,18	c 2:1 3:1 4:1,20	celebration	52:12 53:8,13
21:23 22:7	5:1 6:1 8:1	60:10	53:24 54:7,8
23:15,15 25:8	calendar 64:4	certain 12:10	57:25 61:3
25:9 27:23,23	called 1:6 34:20	18:4 37:22	64:7
28:20,21 31:2	34:20 38:22	58:18	client's 38:2
31:3,22,23	camera 52:22	certainly 18:5	clients 43:3
32:13,13 33:12	care 55:15	certificate 66:1	close 28:22
33:14,18,21	case 1:9 8:7	67:1	60:14
34:1 35:22,24	11:6,8 12:23	certify 66:4	cog 3:12 23:24
36:14,15,16	14:23 21:9,10	67:2	32:22 46:19
37:19,21,24	21:14 24:3	cetera 49:20	57:9
38:19,24 57:5	25:5 27:8 29:9	chakalian 1:18	cogswell 1:21
57:5,24 58:12	32:11 33:10,24	chat 30:6	66:2,18
58:14,17 59:4	34:19 35:2,3	check 43:1	color 41:3
59:8 60:15,17	37:19 38:20,23	cimarex 11:24	combination
60:17,20,23,25	38:23 47:3	12:2 45:5,15	34:25
61:10 62:12,12	50:15 51:6,7	circle 63:16	combine 34:19
63:3,6,8 64:3,8	52:24 53:5	circulate 44:22	come 25:23
64:25 65:1,8	54:2,8 56:7	circulated	coming 59:16
bruce 3:21 51:9	58:9 62:4,7	24:25	comment 10:12
51:9 53:2,4	63:4,6	clarified 12:3	commission
54:5,6,12,16,19	cases 8:4 10:4	40:6	61:4
54:21,22 55:4	10:25 11:15	clarify 13:22	commitment
55:19 56:3,8	21:16,20 22:5	clear 15:15	12:14
56:11,14,18,19	23:9 27:19	clerk 6:3 30:6	communicate
burden 14:16	31:18 32:6	31:16 35:16,19	47:21
burner 44:8,18	34:23 36:1,5	36:3 49:18	communication
burnett 3:20	36:19,22 37:11	50:2	47:15
6:4 51:11,12	38:9 39:7,18		
	30.7 37.1,10		

[communications - darin]

communicati	42:17,18 44:7	cont'd 3:1 4:1	coterra 4:2,19
52:6	47:3 55:14	5:1 6:1	5:17 8:16,17
companies	conferences 8:5	contested 9:20	9:8,16,20
45:12,13	31:15	14:1,16 16:15	11:13,24 12:3
company 2:2,4	confess 17:4	17:10 18:16	13:23 14:2,5,7
6:4 8:12 32:15	37:21	19:18 22:1	14:11,11,14,19
32:15,23 40:21	confine 12:10	25:2,15,20	15:25 17:24
40:23 46:10	confirm 10:1	29:4 31:9 36:5	20:18 21:22,25
51:11 55:6	16:13 17:9	36:19 41:18	39:13 41:8,15
57:6 62:13	50:10 53:24	42:20 47:9	41:16 43:16
competing 22:2	confirmation	48:6 49:7,14	44:22 45:5,7,8
24:20 34:24	10:5 20:4	51:4 55:12,22	45:11,14,16,18
35:10,21 41:10	22:18 52:16	62:3 63:6	46:14 47:4,15
43:10,12,17	confirmed	64:24	50:10 62:16
44:2,14 47:5,7	22:21	contingent	63:1,20 64:12
47:9,20 48:23	conflict 17:7	15:10	coterra's 42:1
62:24 63:12	47:24	continue 14:6	counsel 66:11
compulsory	confusion 10:8	35:13	66:14 67:7,10
38:6	12:17	continuing	counter 63:20
con 55:12	conoco 28:8	19:12	couple 33:10
concede 11:18	conocophillips	control 50:6	41:24 45:12
concern 47:14	3:12,14 28:5	controls 9:17	course 42:24
61:3	28:14 32:23	9:18	43:3
concerning	conocophilli	conversation	court 3:6 25:23
52:2	3:17	41:16	26:2
concho 3:11	conservation	copy 53:22	covering 11:19
23:23 57:9	1:3,6 8:4	corporation	covers 18:21
concluded	considering 1:8	2:2	21:6
65:11	47:4	correct 9:2	create 53:5
concludes 65:6	consolidate	12:16 25:16	currently 35:6
confer 64:12	34:23	26:18 29:25	37:1
conference 9:7	consolidation	33:4 36:9 45:4	d
9:22 28:24	34:14 35:22	50:2 57:18,21	d 8:1
31:8,12 41:6,8	consulting 5:20	correctly 13:11	darin 4:20,24
41:17,22 42:5			8:15 23:12

[date - employed]

date 1:16 25:2	definitely 34:8	discussed 9:8	doing 43:21
29:5 31:14	63:16	37:25	don 2:16
33:15 41:21	delayed 54:8	discussing	downstairs
42:20 44:17,22	deon 67:2,15	45:11	50:4
47:18 48:10	department 1:2	discussions	drafts 24:25
49:15,23 54:18	depending 37:5	17:7 38:1	drilling 47:16
59:15 60:1	43:5	53:13	due 60:7
61:12 64:16,19	describe 40:23	dismiss 10:3	duly 66:5
dates 30:10,11	description 7:2	11:14 12:6,20	e
43:2,5 44:16	detail 52:14	13:11 16:1,16	
49:17 54:25	details 53:25	16:18 19:10	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1
55:24 59:23,24	determine 41:9	53:16	4:1 5:1,1 6:1,1
60:2,3	develop 9:8,21	dismissal 21:17	7:1 8:1,1 earliest 49:6,12
day 25:6 26:5	10:2 12:1,4	37:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
26:12 55:14	13:24 14:14	dismissed 19:9	49:14,24 50:7 64:3
64:19	15:7 18:19	36:24 37:12	early 19:14
days 26:12	20:20	42:2 44:1	29:5
41:24 47:8	developing	dismissing 21:9	east 9:13,14,18
49:2,19,19	16:3 21:1	21:14	10:3,20 11:7,9
60:13 64:1,2	development	dispute 56:4	11:9 12:1
de 3:15	12:10,17 15:10	dissolve 56:5	13:24 14:2,14
deadline 26:10	15:16 16:23	dividing 37:2	14:24 15:16,17
27:5	20:5,19 24:17	division 1:3,7	15:18 16:3,10
deal 24:25 25:5	59:20 62:23	14:16 41:6	17:3,14,23
26:7,9 61:17	different 11:10	44:11 49:17	18:21,22 19:2
dealing 63:5	12:17 58:20	division's 8:4	21:1,3,4,5
deana 4:3	59:13	54:10	37:15
39:12 46:14	digital 66:8	docket 8:3,7	economical
deana.bennett	67:3	19:14 34:22	18:6
4:7	directed 11:16	35:6,15 39:7	either 19:15
december	directions 63:8	48:4 49:13	42:25 43:5
19:14	disagree 49:7	54:15 56:7	55:24
decided 17:22	discuss 9:22	59:5 62:8,10	email 40:9
defer 12:15	25:18	63:3 64:5 65:6	employed
			66:11,14 67:8
			55.11,11.07.0

[employed - faith]

67:11	esquire 2:5,14	27:3,7,10,12,16	55:1,7,16,19
employee 66:13	3:3,13,21 4:3	28:1,7,10,16,18	56:2,9,12,15,17
67:10	4:12,20 5:3,10	28:25 29:8,22	56:18,20,23
encroach 16:23	5:18	30:1,7,12,15,20	57:4,7,11,14,19
energy 1:2 2:12	essentially 37:3	30:24 31:3,5	57:22 58:1,4,6
3:5 4:2,11,19	et 49:20	31:13,17,21,25	58:10,15 59:3
5:2,17 8:16,21	evaluate 58:21	32:2,4,8,16,20	59:9,22 60:3,8
39:6,10,13	evaluated	32:24 33:2,5	60:12,18 61:7
40:17 45:9	63:22	33:11,16,19,22	61:14,19,25
46:15 52:23	evd 7:2	34:2,11,18	62:14,17,20,25
62:16	evidence 64:18	35:7,17,20,24	63:10,23 64:8
engaged 47:23	exactly 40:22	35:25 36:4,10	64:9,14,21,22
enter 24:6	45:13 61:19,20	36:13,15,17,25	65:2,5,9
entered 28:6	examiner 1:18	37:7,10,16,18	excellent 40:12
29:20 33:8	8:2,13,15,17,19	37:23 38:4,14	excuse 18:18
39:16 41:23	8:22 9:3,10,23	38:17,24,25	40:16
42:15 44:9	10:11,15 11:1	39:2,3,12,14,24	exhibits 12:22
entering 23:22	11:4,12,17,21	40:7,11,15,18	13:4 22:23
24:7 28:14	12:8,21 13:6	40:20 41:2,12	26:11,17 29:14
32:21 46:18	13:15,19,23	42:3,7,13,24	29:15 33:24
57:8	14:22 15:2,3,6	43:7,13,18,24	34:9 60:7
entirely 11:2	15:13,18,21	44:15,21 45:3	expiration
entity 45:16	16:5,11,17,20	45:15,19,25	59:16
entries 8:8	16:22 17:1,11	46:2,3,8,11,14	explain 9:11
23:11 27:19	18:8,14,17,22	46:16,18,20	11:13,14 15:25
32:12 39:8	18:25 19:4,21	47:11,13 48:9	52:9 64:17,18
56:25 62:11	20:1,6,8,15	48:19,20 49:5	extent 52:6
eog 5:9,11 24:2	21:15,19,24	49:11,16,25	58:25
57:13	22:8,10,11,22	50:3,11,16,19	f
eogresources	23:1,6,17,20,22	50:20,24 51:3	f 4:19 23:10,13
5:14	23:25 24:4,10	51:9,12,14,17	fact 47:22,24
ep 58:3	24:14,18,23	51:20,23 52:8	fae 4:10 27:19
es 66:4	25:3,7,9,12,17	52:18,25 53:5	27:22 29:4
especially 48:5	25:21 26:3,9	53:19 54:1,4	faith 14:6
	26:15,19,21,25	54:12,17,20	iditii 17.U

[fall - half]

falling 15:11 fin far 17:18 59:1	1 15:24 (al 11:8 37:14 (42:18) (ally 37:5	friday 26:6,22 29:19,20	24:19,19 29:17 29:17,23 30:9
far 17:18 59:1	12:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29:17,23 30:9
			25.21.22.20.5
to tim	O 1 77 2 7 1 5	full 40:13,25	35:21,22 38:5
·	•	43:20	43:10 44:6,16
· ·	ancially	further 22:6,7	48:7,24 50:6
	66:15 67:11	23:4 27:8	50:12 52:15
	dings 37:14	38:21 41:3	58:17,22,25
	e 36:12	45:21 46:1	61:18
, ,	ish 31:6	50:18 56:16	good 8:2,14,19
41:20 42:14 fir	st 15:9 41:21	64:25 66:13	8:22 14:6 23:3
48:12,19,20	11:22 47:2,19	67:9	23:12,18,21
feel 46:21	17:24 49:18	future 19:18	24:1 28:19
fell 15:15	53:4 55:14	55:13	36:20 39:11
figure 62:10	59:15	g	46:8,13,17
file 17:2 19:9 fits	s 15:4	g 3:21 8:1	50:11 51:14
21:17 24:20 fol	low 21:20	gas 3:11 23:23	58:11 63:23,24
26:10 29:9 for	rce 12:11	40:23 57:10	64:20
38:6 39:19	88:11 53:7		grant 35:21
43:10,12 44:23 for	regoing 66:3	gaspar 2:16	great 25:12
	66:4 67:4	getting 57:22	56:1 57:20
55:20 56:5 for	rget 22:19	give 26:22 41:8	60:10
	rm 47:25	42:20 44:10	gregory 1:18
filed 10:16 for	rmation 11:3	49:19	group 3:5
12:23 17:2 for	rmed 45:7,11	given 43:19	guadalupe 2:7
	15:14	44:13	guess 9:21
· I	rms 18:4	gives 31:6	17:24 20:2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rward 19:16	giving 44:22	26:1 35:10
· / /	22:4 25:20	glitch 40:4	46:21 53:10
	29:7 48:3	gmail.com 5:6	55:13
, ,	53:15 54:9	go 13:8 16:10	guys 36:6
	ır 26:12	25:19 29:6	h
	nklin 45:9	36:21 39:6	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	equently 64:6	48:4 59:25	h 7:1
	eya 6:3 36:1	61:15 64:6	half 9:13,14,18
17.10 10.10,10	J. 0.3 30.1	going 8:6 11:14	10:3,20 11:7,7
		12:1,15 16:14	11:9,9 12:1

[half - horizontal]

13:12,24 14:2	11:1,4,12,17,21	36:10,15,17,18	62:3,14,17,20
14:14,24 15:8	12:8,21 13:6	36:19,23 37:7	62:25 63:2,9
15:17,17,19	13:15,19,23	37:10,16,18,23	63:10,22,23
16:3,10 17:3	14:1,16,22	38:4,14,17,24	64:5,8,9,14,21
17:14,23 18:6	15:1,3,6,13,18	38:25 39:1,3	64:22,23,24
18:7,21,22	15:21 16:5,11	39:14,24 40:7	65:2,5,9
19:2 21:2,3,4,5	16:15,17,20,22	40:11,15,18,20	hearings 54:14
hall 51:4	17:1,10,11	41:2,4,12,18,21	54:15
happen 37:19	18:2,8,14,16,17	42:3,7,13,20,24	hears 47:25
happened 52:5	18:22,25 19:4	43:7,13,18,24	hereto 66:15
52:22 62:9	19:18,21 20:1	44:15,21 45:3	67:11
happening 44:7	20:6,8,15	45:15,19,25	hi 55:3
happy 42:16,16	21:15,19,24	46:3,11,16,20	hinkle 4:13
43:14	22:2,8,11,22	47:1,8,11,18	hinklelawfir
hart 2:6 8:11	23:1,6,17,20,25	48:6,9,20 49:5	4:16
23:16 27:24	24:4,10,14,18	49:7,11,14,16	hold 12:25
32:14 62:13	24:23 25:2,3,7	49:18,23,25	42:16 51:23
hatley 3:13	25:9,12,15,17	50:3,11,16,20	holland 2:6
23:21,22 24:6	25:20,21 26:3	50:24 51:1,3,4	8:10 23:15
24:8 25:4 28:9	26:9,15,19,21	51:12,17,20,23	27:24 32:13
28:13,13,17	26:25 27:3,7	52:8,18,25	62:12
31:25 32:1,21	27:10,12,16	53:19 54:1,4	hollandhart.c
32:21 33:1	28:1,7,10,16,18	54:10,12,13,17	2:9
46:17,18 50:12	28:25 29:4,8	54:20 55:1,7	holliday 3:3,5
50:14 56:1	29:17,18,22,23	55:16,19,22	58:1,2,5,8
57:8,8,16,20	30:1,7,12,15,20	56:2,3,5,7,9,12	hope 42:1 43:9
head 61:4,12	30:24 31:3,5,9	56:15,18,20,23	55:10
hear 47:19	31:9,13,17,21	57:4,7,11,14,19	hopeful 52:4
heard 19:19	31:25 32:2,4,8	57:22 58:1,4,6	hopefully 27:5
50:7 53:5	32:16,20,24	58:10,15,25	55:12
60:21	33:2,5,11,16,19	59:3,9,14,15,21	hopes 47:5
hearing 1:5,15	33:22 34:2,8	59:22 60:3,8	hoping 55:15
1:18 8:2,13,14	34:11,18 35:4	60:12,18 61:5	horizontal
8:17,22 9:3,10	35:7,9,17,20,24	61:7,14,16,16	10:19
9:20,23 10:15	35:25 36:2,4,5	61:19,25 62:2	

[hours - kristine]

hours 63:21	44:4 53:7	19:3 20:18	kessler 5:10,14
i	interested	21:6 53:8,9,23	24:1,2,5 57:12
_	66:15 67:12	job 1:22	57:12,17,18
idea 15:22	investment	join 36:1	kind 44:1,8
63:13	40:21	joined 8:8	knew 58:17
ii 4:10 27:19,22	involved 17:16	53:18 62:8	know 11:24
imaging 29:14	involving 14:8	jordan 5:10,14	14:19 15:10
immaterial	irrelevant	24:1 57:12	16:7 17:5,6,12
20:17	20:17	julia 2:5 8:10	17:15,18,21,21
important	issue 12:19	23:15 27:23	17:24,24 18:4
10:13 14:8	36:18 51:1	32:13 57:5	18:9,10,11,12
impression	52:2 53:3 56:3	62:12	19:6,22 20:20
43:22	62:2 64:23	july 29:5 30:13	21:16 22:3
inaccurate	issues 39:22	30:18,22 36:11	26:6,22,23,24
53:25	54:10,13	36:16,18 42:25	27:4,5 28:4,7
inclined 53:14	it'll 60:13 61:7	42:25 43:15,15	29:6 33:12
61:2	_	44:12,12 49:18	34:21 37:1,14
incorporated	j	49:20,24 50:7	37:24,25 38:5
23:14	jackie 23:18	51:3 59:24	38:9,20 39:16
independently	27:21 52:23	64:5,7,23	39:17 43:20
18:12	57:2	june 30:11	44:1,8 47:14
information	jackson 40:5,7	31:12,14,16,17	47:19,21,22,22
15:23 44:10	jaclyn 4:12	31:18 49:1,7	48:2,6,23
48:14 61:1,13	james 1:21 3:21	49:17,23 50:4	49:23 52:21
informed 14:12	66:2,18	54:15 56:10,13	53:2 54:7,24
initially 11:23	jamesbruc 3:24	k	54:24 55:21
16:6	january 22:23		58:19 60:9,13
initiated 47:24	jbroggi 2:9	kaitlyn 5:3 39:9	60:21 61:4,18
insists 20:16	jennifer 5:18	katherine 3:6	62:9 63:4,4
intend 19:23	5:23 32:18	keep 25:1 50:21	knowledge
47:20	62:15	kept 43:21	38:15 52:6
intended 33:25	jettisoned 34:3	keri 3:13 23:22	66:10 67:6
interest 10:21	jim 51:9	28:13 32:21	knows 22:2
12:12,14,24	jmclean 4:16	46:18 57:8	kristine 6:4
19:1 38:8,11	joa 9:17,18	keri.hatley	55:4,5
41:9 43:23	18:19,20 19:1	3:17	,

[l - minerals]

_	LL. 10.16.12.1	41 2.11	14.5.17.4
l	look 10:16 13:1	marathon 3:11	mean 14:5 17:4
l 3:13 5:10,18	21:1 29:9 30:5	23:23	18:1,3,5 19:15
lack 47:15	36:20 50:4	march 34:10,11	19:22 20:10
land 39:21 40:3	looked 17:6,17	46:25 47:2	38:4 43:14
landman 6:4	looking 12:22	48:10 54:3,4	48:15,25,25
54:23 55:2	12:23 13:2	marked 7:3	52:9 60:25
lands 14:9	14:23 17:18	matador 9:13	61:1
late 50:4	30:18 37:11	14:7,11,12,19	means 33:23
laterals 15:25	60:19,19	15:11 17:13	mentioned
latest 52:14	looks 17:20	20:23 21:17	41:15
law 3:5 6:3	26:5 29:13,14	38:3	merged 45:13
lease 59:16	33:23 34:3	matter 1:5 9:22	merger 45:8,12
leave 59:5	39:21 46:24	14:17 29:19	mewbourne
left 13:19	62:8 64:3	41:4 59:21	2:3 32:11,14
legal 5:20	losing 13:25	matters 41:24	38:2,10 51:7
letters 35:2	lot 18:1	mcclean 52:23	62:13 63:20
44:12,14 63:18	love 49:13	53:1 57:2	mewbourne's
light 9:25	lp 2:3 5:2 27:25	mckenzie 4:22	35:2,3 62:23
likely 36:20	39:10 40:19	mclean 4:12	mexico 1:1 41:1
lincoln 5:12	luck 5:3 39:9,9	23:18,19 24:12	66:20
list 23:2	39:16,20,25	24:13,16,21,24	mid 48:12
listening 54:23	40:9,14,16,19	25:21 26:1,8	might've 40:4
literally 36:23	40:22 41:3,15	26:14,18,20,24	miguel 2:14
little 9:11 12:15	41:15,23 42:7	27:2,4,13,14,21	8:20 46:9
33:23 54:8	42:9,18,23	27:21 28:4,5,9	51:15
llc 2:12 3:12,12	43:4 44:9 46:1	28:11 29:2,3	mile 9:9,21
4:10 5:17	luck.kaitlyn	29:16,25 30:11	10:9,9 11:25
32:19	5:6	30:13,14,17,22	12:4,19 13:12
llp 2:6 4:13	m	31:1,10,11,18	13:13 14:15
location 1:19	m 4:3,12	31:20 32:2,3,7	15:25 18:6,7
long 10:1 12:9	made 37:4 40:8	51:19,21,22	miles 15:22
12:18 16:13,22	make 15:14	52:20,21 57:2	mine 60:13,14
17:9 19:15	35:22 55:21	59:11,13 60:1	mineral 19:1
longer 22:21		60:5,9 61:9,17	minerals 1:2
52:22	makings 50:5		
34.44			

[minute - occidental]

	42.16.40.2		(2.10
minute 25:22	42:16 48:3	negotiate 19:12	63:18
missed 20:3	51:7 54:9	42:6	noticed 49:2
missing 15:23	55:12 56:6	negotiating	noticing 37:8
modified 22:19	moving 46:6	14:8 37:1	notify 25:25
modrall 4:4	53:15 56:24	negotiations	number 8:7
39:12	mrc 2:2 8:11	14:6,9,12	14:23 39:18
modrall.com	10:3,16 11:16	15:11,15 16:8	40:2,8
4:7	13:11 17:12,13	17:17,22 18:4	0
monitoring	17:20 22:7	18:10 20:22,25	o 8:1
8:21 24:2,9	32:15 57:6	26:23 33:8,9	object 9:1 34:5
28:16 32:25	58:20 59:18	36:20,21 42:19	46:23 51:18,25
33:1 38:3	msuazo 2:18	43:9 55:10	52:1 53:17
50:15 52:24	muting 50:21	63:19	58:6,13 62:18
57:17 58:9,10	mutual 14:10	neither 66:11	objected 9:6
montezuma	mutually 17:19	67:7	22:12 24:13
4:14	20:24	never 26:23,24	28:6,20 29:20
month 59:24	n	34:14	33:3 58:14
morning 8:2,14	n 2:1 3:1 4:1	new 1:1 13:13	objecting 25:14
8:19,22 23:12	5:1 6:1 8:1	39:4 41:1	objecting 23.14 objection 9:1,4
23:18,21 24:1	name 40:13	66:20	19:17 22:13,14
39:11 40:5	natural 1:2	news 53:23	22:20 24:6,8
46:8,13,17	near 19:18	night 63:21	25:22 33:6,7
51:14 52:17	necessarily	nm 1:20 2:8,17	34:3,4,10
63:22	21:16	3:16,23 4:6,15	42:15 47:2
motion 19:9	need 9:20 15:24	4:23 5:5,13,22	48:1 52:4
34:13 35:21	17:10 18:10	non 40:24 53:6	53:10,16 57:24
53:16 55:20	21:12 26:6	55:12	58:19 63:2
56:5 64:17	27:11 30:17	north 2:7 14:25	
motivate 17:25		northwest 4:5	objections
18:4	37:4 38:12	nos 1:9	25:11
mountain 45:9	43:11,15 44:2	notary 66:19	obviates 55:21
move 19:16	47:7 50:10	note 63:1	obviously
22:4 23:10	53:23 55:21	notes 52:14	15:23 19:11
27:18 29:11	63:16	notice 21:17	occidental 2:3
31:18 32:8,11	needs 14:3	35:5 44:24	27:24
	29:10		

[ocd - pc]

1 10 10	20.10.15.22	40.25	2.15.4.21
ocd 18:12	30:13,15,22	operator 40:25	p.c. 2:15 4:21
39:23 40:6	31:13,20 32:16	opportunity	p.o. 5:4,21
offered 42:21	33:11,21 34:11	14:1 20:25	page 13:3
42:25	35:7 36:10	47:21 58:23	paper 31:7
office 39:21	37:18,23 38:14	optimism 38:1	part 17:21
40:3	38:21,25 39:6	order 10:17	19:14
officer 66:2	39:14 40:11,20	21:20 36:18	participate
offline 21:13	41:12 42:3,23	51:1 56:4,6	50:13
ogrid 39:18,20	43:7,13,18,24	62:2 64:23	participates
40:1,2,8	44:15 45:20	ordering 21:17	59:4
oh 15:18 16:11	46:11,22 47:11	original 22:23	parties 8:23
26:19 28:10,11	48:20,21 49:11	originally	14:10,21 15:24
29:10,14 34:15	50:17 52:18,25	22:12 35:4	17:16 18:2
39:24 51:20	53:19 54:1,5	39:17	19:12,25 28:3
54:19 61:6	54:16 55:1,9	outcome 66:16	28:22 31:6
62:5	55:18 56:8,8	67:12	33:8 37:1 38:6
oil 1:3,6 2:3	57:14,19 58:11	outset 14:12	38:22 41:7
3:11,11,20 6:4	58:15 59:8,22	outside 50:6	42:5 43:6
8:3 23:23,23	61:11,20,22	overall 13:22	44:17 46:22
32:11,14 40:23	62:20,20 63:5	overlapping	52:7 53:12
51:7,11 55:6	63:10 64:9,13	10:18	55:20 63:19
57:9 62:13	once 21:21	overlaps 35:1	64:16 66:12,14
okay 8:17 9:3	22:17,20 52:3	owners 10:22	67:8,11
10:22 11:4,12	ones 42:21	12:12,14 19:2	partners 4:11
13:2,7 15:3,6	open 20:22	ownership	52:23
15:15 16:5	operated 40:24	12:24 38:8	party 11:25
17:11 18:23	operating 2:12	owns 9:16,17	17:8 22:24
19:5 20:1,7	2:13 3:2,12 4:2	oxy 2:3 27:24	37:14 43:23
21:7,8,18,23	4:10 23:24	28:20 29:20	61:21
23:1,2,17 24:4	27:19,22 32:22	31:24	paseo 3:15
24:10,14,23	39:13 46:7,10	p	pay 25:23 26:2
25:3,13 26:3,8	46:15,19 51:16	p 2:1,1 3:1,1	pbex 5:17
26:21 27:3,10	57:9	p 2.1,1 3.1,1 4:1,1 5:1,1 6:1	32:19
27:12 28:1,25	operations 5:17	6:1 8:1	pc 3:5
29:8,10 30:1,3	32:19	0.1 0.1	

[pecos - propose]

pecos 51:4	planning 10:2	potentially	proceed 12:6
pending 63:18	29:17	37:2	19:23 33:25
people 13:7	plans 17:5	powderhorn	36:22 42:8,11
peralta 3:15	62:23	3:2 58:3,4	43:5,9 54:5
percent 9:16,17	please 8:9 13:9	practice 59:5	59:6,12,14,20
9:17,18	23:11 27:20	pre 31:8 36:18	63:7
perfect 45:20	28:17 32:12	51:1 56:3,5	proceeding
45:25 46:4	39:8 57:1	62:2 64:23	1:19 12:18
52:25	62:11	prefer 54:21	29:21 65:11
permian 2:2,3	plenty 31:6	preferable 48:8	67:4
2:13 3:11 4:10	44:22	prefers 52:12	proceedings
8:11 10:16	po 3:22	prehearing	66:3,5,6,9 67:6
23:19,23 24:19	point 16:7,7	26:11	process 14:7
25:1,13 27:14	17:6 19:8,15	prejudice	productive
27:25 32:15	20:19 22:4	19:11	17:16 33:9
51:16 53:8,14	41:5 59:10	premature	42:19
56:24 57:3,6	64:6	41:18	pronounce
58:20 59:15	polling 41:10	prepare 43:16	60:17
61:23	pool 9:13 12:11	44:2	pronounced
permian's	14:2,2,24 17:2	prepared 20:12	60:20
53:16	17:13 18:2	43:17 67:3	proper 44:23
person 51:4	38:11 53:7	preparing 47:5	49:19
petroleum 4:19	pooled 22:17	48:23	property 14:3
23:10,14	22:18,21,23	present 6:2	proposal 35:2
phillips 28:8	pooling 10:21	25:4	44:11,14 63:20
pilgrim 6:4	18:2,3 38:7,13	preserving	proposals
55:3,4,5,6,8,18	40:25	20:20	12:17,18 16:8
55:23	popped 28:12	presuming	43:17,21 44:3
place 18:20	position 44:25	48:10	44:23 47:5,7
19:1 20:19	possibility 18:5	pretty 61:5	47:20 48:11,14
59:20	possible 44:21	primarily	48:19,21,23
plan 13:22	47:18 54:11	47:15	59:19 62:24
24:17 55:14	59:1,21 63:9	prior 66:5	63:12
59:20 62:24	possibly 22:2	probably 30:5	propose 44:17
		55:9	44:18 64:19

[proposed - right]

proposed 9:13	quite 63:17	27:17 32:5	request 28:23
11:25 24:17	_		42:11 63:9
	r	46:4 51:5 62:4	
58:20	r 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	66:9 67:5	requested
proposing 16:9	6:1 8:1	recorded 66:6	41:21 47:1
52:13	rankin 61:4,15	recording 66:8	require 37:8
protect 13:23	rather 53:11	67:4	reservation
protected 14:4	reach 14:13	rectified 39:23	61:11
provide 41:3	25:25 26:7	reduced 66:7	resolution
provided 35:5	33:10 37:20	refile 16:1,16	33:10 58:24
public 66:19	58:22,24	19:11 21:21	resolved 52:3
pull 17:22 34:7	reached 17:20	22:1	resource 56:25
pulled 34:9	19:24 20:24	refiled 37:12	resources 1:2
purchased 45:5	25:5,10	registered	4:10 5:9,11
45:8	reaching 28:22	39:20 40:1,2	23:19 24:2
purpose 1:7	ready 14:19	40:10	25:1 27:15
purposes 8:21	19:15,17 22:4	registration	57:3,13 59:15
36:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	39:22	61:24
pursue 15:16	42:19 43:4	regular 8:3	restricted 8:4
38:13	48:2	regularly 59:5	result 12:5
pursued 18:11	real 58:2	related 66:11	14:10
18:11	really 9:19 17:8	67:7	resumes 61:5
pursuing 17:21	17:19 18:10,16	relative 66:13	revised 17:5
push 41:7 59:1	38:5 41:25	67:10	right 9:4,8 10:9
put 44:8 49:13	47:23 58:21	relay 61:12	11:21 12:21
54:10 60:19	reason 14:2,15	remains 14:19	13:24 15:13
63:1	16:15 34:22	33:7	18:14 19:5
	reasonable	remember 34:6	20:20 22:25
q	25:24	remote 1:19	23:2,6,8 24:25
qualified 66:7	recall 45:13	reported 1:21	26:14 27:16
question 22:12	received 22:17	reporter 25:23	28:18 29:1
34:13	48:11,14,19	26:2	30:2 31:5,21
questions 13:1	52:16 53:22,25	representing	32:5 33:5
38:20	recently 39:17	23:19 28:8	34:18 35:16,25
quick 58:2	45:10,10		,
quickly 53:15	record 10:2,5	51:10,15 52:23	36:4,7,11,17
59:21	10:14 23:8		37:2 38:18

[right - signature]

	I		
39:15 40:12	S	schedule 47:16	seems 19:6
42:13 45:6,21	s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	48:5 54:10,13	20:16 22:3
46:3 49:4,9	6:1 7:1 8:1	scheduled	seen 34:14,15
50:1,16,24	san 3:7	47:17	selling 43:22
51:5 52:19	santa 1:20 2:8	schill 4:21 8:15	44:4
53:2 55:10	2:17 3:16,23	23:13	send 30:4 40:9
56:2,15,20,24	4:15,23 5:13	screen 60:20	47:5 48:24
59:3 60:23	25:24	second 8:3 9:7	63:20
61:20 62:1	savage 4:20	51:24	sending 63:12
63:11 64:22	8:14,15 9:5,6	secondly 44:5	63:14
65:2,5	9:12,25 10:1,6	section 9:14,14	sent 47:7 59:18
riley 2:13 51:15	10:10,11,24	9:19 10:3 12:2	63:16
51:17 53:8,14	12:15,25 13:8	12:4 13:24	separate 45:16
53:16 55:10	13:10,17,21	14:14 15:17	september
56:5	15:7,9,14,20	16:4,10,14,23	47:17
ripe 19:7 20:19	17:9,12,15	16:24 17:3,14	services 5:20
63:17	18:9,15,18,20	17:23 18:19,21	set 25:15 29:4
risk 13:25	18:24 19:3	21:2,3,4,5 37:3	34:8 35:6,9,11
rob 40:5,7	20:12,14 21:5	53:6	36:5 41:18
rock 2:12 46:6	21:11,13 23:4	sections 10:20	47:18 48:6
46:10,25 47:16	23:5,12,13	11:8	49:6 54:6,18
47:23,25	25:14,16,19	see 13:2 16:11	55:17 58:25
rock's 48:2	26:10 27:7,9	26:4,4,5,12	61:2 64:16
rockford 40:12	27:11 39:17	30:3 33:14	settle 56:4
40:13	44:5	34:4,13,21	several 39:7
rockwood 5:2	savage's 11:24	41:2 43:1	45:8,11
39:6,10 40:15	16:9	62:25 63:25	shanor 4:13
40:16 42:2	saw 23:2	seeing 13:6	shed 9:25
43:22 44:3	saw 23.2 sayer 5:20	seeked 38:11	shortly 55:11
roggi 60:15	32:19 62:16	seeking 12:3	should've
room 50:4	saying 12:9	40:25	48:18
rule 50:5	16:18 38:10	seeks 14:24	side 38:2 52:13
	53:24 60:16	15:7 53:5	signature 66:16
	says 11:14	seem 62:10	67:13
	5ays 11.17		

[signed - thank]

1 52 0	10.10	4 • 1 4 1	
signed 53:8	spacing 10:19	straightened	sworn 66:5
significant	52:3,10 53:3,6	21:22,25 55:11	system 29:14
14:10	59:17	street 2:7 4:5	40:4,6,10
significantly	special 29:18	4:22	t
14:21	49:23 54:15	stuff 60:6	t 7:1
signing 53:9	64:5	suazo 2:14 8:19	take 29:9 48:3
simply 54:24	sperling 4:4	8:20,25 9:2	49:23
sir 40:1 56:1,19	39:12	22:9,10,11,15	taken 55:15
58:5,7,8	split 37:15	22:25 46:8,9	66:3,12 67:9
sitting 19:13	spoke 42:10	47:12,13 48:18	talk 21:12
situation 9:12	spring 10:19	49:5,9,12,22	tank 21.12 taos 5:5
size 52:3,10	11:3	50:3,18,19	tap 2:12 46:6
sized 52:12	spud 47:17	51:14,15,25	46:10,25 47:16
skills 66:10	spur 4:11 52:23	52:1,11 53:21	47:23,24 48:2
67:6	stale 33:23 48:4	53:22 54:2,3	targeting 11:10
slightly 11:10	stance 59:14	56:16,17,22	tell 54:24 58:18
slowed 44:2	stand 9:15	submit 47:8,20	testifying 66:5
soon 36:6,7	standard 10:18	48:7 62:24	thank 8:13,18
47:18 54:11	53:6	64:17	21:23 22:8
58:21 63:9	standing 53:17	subsequently	23:5,7,20,25
soonest 48:6	start 8:25	53:10	24:4,9,10 25:7
sorry 17:13	starting 33:23	subsidiary	28:1,15 30:13
31:9 35:14	state 1:1 20:12	45:17,19	32:1,7,10,16,20
48:15 51:20	39:21 40:1,3	substance	35:8 39:2,3,15
52:21	66:20	58:19	41:14 42:23
sort 16:15	statement	successful	44:20 45:2,3
58:24	26:11	36:22	45:20,23 46:2
sound 10:1	status 8:5 9:7	suite 5:12	46:4,11,16,20
sounds 12:5	9:22 24:12	sure 11:2 12:13	50:25 51:2,12
13:11,12 17:8	25:8 28:24	20:3 28:9	51:17 53:1,19
19:12 49:6	31:8,12,15	37:13 40:6,8	56:8,14,21,22
50:11 63:23,24	33:6 41:6,7,17	40:22 43:16	57:4,7,11,14
64:20	41:22 42:5,17	44:6 48:17	62:1,3,14,17
south 14:25	42:18 44:7,11	52:11,13 60:8	64:21 65:1,4,6
	47:2 55:14	61:5,14 62:10	65:8
			03.0

[thanks - valuable]

thanks 39:4	time 1:17 9:7	transparency	14:9 15:22
that'd 48:8	22:15 25:24	43:20	16:12 17:18
	31:6 35:3		19:8 20:15,15
theenergylaw 3:8		transparent 45:4	35:8 44:25
	40:25 41:5,9		
things 27:6	41:19,25 42:5	tried 17:13	48:22 54:9
29:6 43:20	42:12 44:22	true 66:9 67:5	63:11
48:4 50:5	48:3 58:23	try 18:3 59:1	understanding
53:13 58:20	59:2 60:6,16	trying 17:13	11:22 13:10
think 8:23 9:25	60:22	22:17,18	16:2 24:22
10:8,12 11:10	timeline 49:3	tschantz 6:3	28:21 29:3
12:2,9,16,24	times 18:2	30:4 31:14	40:3 44:3 52:2
13:17,21 19:22	39:25 40:2	35:12 49:25	59:18
19:23 21:7,8	timing 44:13	63:1	understood
21:13 22:22	48:16	tuesday 26:6	11:23 12:22
27:9 31:7,10	titles 29:13	two 10:9 11:5,7	16:9 37:16
31:11 33:25	today 8:5 9:22	11:25 13:12	unfortunately
36:7 38:1 41:6	42:21 44:7,11	17:16 18:6	63:21
41:16 43:15	46:9 47:2	19:24 30:10	unit 9:9,15,21
47:14,14 48:5	49:20 52:7	32:6 43:20	9:21 10:19
49:9,10 50:6	58:25 65:6	60:13 62:6	14:15 18:6,7
52:5,11 55:8	today's 34:22	tx 3:7	41:9 53:6,7,11
58:21 60:5,21	62:7	typewriting	53:11 59:17
61:9	together 36:1	66:7	units 52:12
thought 11:25	60:6	typically 47:25	unmute 50:22
30:7 38:17	told 52:15 53:7	u	unopposed
52:15	towards 14:20	uncommitted	36:22
three 10:25	township 10:21	10:21	unwilling 14:6
11:3 16:18	trailing 64:5	under 9:9,10	usa 2:3 27:24
19:10 21:20	transcriber	9:16,18 13:24	used 50:5 57:22
23:9 34:23	67:1	14:1 19:3	uses 15:25
36:1,19	transcript 67:3	37:12 43:22	V
thrilled 61:15	67:5		
throw 44:16	transcriptionist	underlying 10:20	v 4:19 23:10,13 valid 40:8
thursday 1:16	66:8	understand	valuable 14:3
29:19		11:13 13:16	valuavit 14.3
		11.15 15.10	

[verification - yesterday]

verification	west 11:7 15:8	wozniak 2:15
40:10	37:15	8:20 46:9
videoconfere	willing 14:19	51:15
2:5,14 3:4,13	withdraw 9:1	wrong 45:5
3:21 4:3,12,20	22:13 52:4	X
5:3,10,19 6:3,5	withdrawal 9:4	x 7:1
voluntary 9:9	25:22	xto 2:12 8:21
9:10 13:25	withdrawing	
W	25:11	y
wait 47:8	withdrew	yeah 9:24 10:6
want 47.8	22:13,20 44:6	10:11 15:5
12:25 14:18	63:2	18:15 21:8
20:3 25:18,19	witness 66:4	26:3 27:2 30:7
42:8 48:3	witnesses 25:5	33:18 34:17
53:10 54:5,17	26:17	37:3,17 40:18
,	wolfcamp 11:6	47:13 51:22
59:6,11,24 63:6	11:9 16:19	64:14
	wonder 34:15	year 47:22
wanted 10:17 17:22	wonderful 32:4	years 45:8,11
	work 14:20	yep 38:3 49:4
wanting 34:22	27:6 41:25	yesterday
way 64:15 we've 25:10	44:13 49:21	34:15 40:5
	50:8 52:15	41:16 42:10
45:10 58:14	53:13 60:24	
wednesday 26:13	64:7,12,15,16	
	64:19	
week 26:5,15	worked 40:5	
47:6,6 48:24	working 24:24	
49:20 61:6,6	41:9	
61:10,15	works 30:23,24	
weeks 33:10	31:4 43:2,6	
welcome 21:21	50:9	
22:1 56:23	would've 35:3	
wells 11:25	42:21,25 48:15	
12:4,19 53:18	,	