		1
1	PUBLIC HEARING	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
4		
	Pecos Hall, 1st Floor, Wendell Chino Building	
5	1220 S. Saint Francis Drive	
	Santa Fe, New Mexico	
6		
7	NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING FOR PROPOSED RULEMAKING	
8	October 23, 2025	
	9:00 a.m.	
9		
10	HEARD BEFORE: HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH	
11	COMMISSION MEMBERS:	
	COMMISSIONER BLOOM	
12	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH	
	CHAIR CHANG	
13		
14	ADAM PELTZ	
15	Examination By Commissioner Ampomah 21	
	Examination By Commissioner Bloom 43	
16	Examination By Mr. Tremaine 60	
17	LOREN DIEDE	
18	Examination By Ms. Tripp 94	
	Examination By Mr. Suazo 112	
19	Examination By Mr. Rankin 121	
	Examination By Ms. Fox 135	
20	Examination By Mr. Tremaine 141	
	Examination By Commissioner Ampomah 143	
21	Examination By Commissioner Bloom 146	
22	JOHN GARCIA	
23	Examination By Mr. Hall 149	
	Examination By Mr. Cloutier 162	
24	Examination By Mr. Suazo 203	
	Examination By Mr. Rankin 221	
25	Examination By Mr. Tisdel 266	
	Examination By Commissioner Ampomah 272	
	Page 1	

			2
1	EXHIBITS		
2	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE	
3	OCD Exhibit 4	94	
4	OCD Exhibit 5	94	
5	OCD Exhibit 6	94	
6	OCD Exhibit 1	160	
7	OCD Exhibit 2	160	
8	OCD Exhibit 3	160	
9	OCD Exhibit 17	160	
10	OCD Exhibit 25	160	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		_	
		Page 2	

HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Good morning.
My name is Felicia Orth, hearing officer tasked with
conducting a hearing in OCC 26483, relating to the
plugging of orphan wells and financial assurance.
We have reached another public comment session.
I'm joined here by Chair Chang and
Commissioner Ampomah. I see Commissioner Bloom on
the platform this morning.
I do have a number of names of folks that
I'll call out one at a time. And I'll turn to the
folks both on the platform and in the room. Just a
few things, I'll ask you to state and spell your
first and last name because we are making a
transcript.
The Commission rules require that I ask
you to swear or affirm that you will tell the truth
and will ask you to keep your comments to three
minutes. In the event you have more to say than
that, please submit your written comments to Sheila
Apodaca, the Commission administer.
Do we have Layne Moss with us, either in
the room or in the platform?
Mr. Moss, can you unmute yourself?
LAYNE MOSS: Mrs., not Mr.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Oh, very

	ig
1	sorry. Thank you, Mrs. Moss.
2	LAYNE MOSS: You're welcome.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Would you
4	spell your first and last name, please.
5	LAYNE MOSS: L-A-Y-N-E, M-O-S-S.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
7	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
8	LAYNE MOSS: I do.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
10	I'll start your time.
11	LAYNE MOSS: Did you ask me a
12	question?
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Oh, no, ma'am.
14	This is the time for you to make a statement. Go
15	ahead.
16	LAYNE MOSS: Oh, I'm sorry.
17	Good morning to everyone. My name is
18	Layne Moss. I have lived in New Mexico for seven
19	years, having moved here from Arizona. I grew up in
20	West Virginia and moved to Charlottesville,
21	Virginia, where I entered the insurance industry.
22	After almost five years, I moved to Washington, DC,
23	where I worked in an insurance agency for almost ten

We chose to move West, so we located in

Page 4

years and became a vice president.

24

25

Scottsdale and later North Phoenix. Phoenix was very small then.

2.

2.0

Later, my daughter and I moved to Honolulu where she graduated from criminal school and opted to move back to Arizona. I remained in Honolulu and continued working in the wholesale insurance industry. This was an exciting change for me.

I was 70 years old when I retired as a senior vice president to care for my ailing husband. After he passed, many wholesalers attempted to entice me back to work. After a total of 44 years in this business, I felt it was time to retire for good.

Ending up in the beautiful state of New Mexico with my husband, who retired from the United States Navy submarine service and enjoying the great climate and the history of New Mexico, I have become very concerned about keeping the cost of living affordable, not only for retirees on a fixed income, but for people in the small communities in this state.

Increasing the cost of operating both small and large oil and gas companies by the same amount is absolutely inequitable. The current cost of the projected increase is totally unfair and

1 | should be rethought.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

21

The demand for these huge fees would impact everyone by increasing the cost of living, especially seniors on fixed incomes. Also smaller communities would be impacted as well.

Please consider the impact on the oil and gas industry as well as the citizens of this state if these fees are increased so dramatically. I want to thank the Oil Conservation Commission for their tireless efforts to make this happen for all of us in New Mexico.

Thank you very much.

13 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,

14 Ms. Moss.

Ken Hughes, do we have Ken Hughes? Oh, Ken Hughes is in the room.

Mr. Hughes, if you would join us up here.

LAYNE MOSS: I cannot understand what

19 you said. Okay.

HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Hughes, if

you would spell your first and last name, please.

22 KEN HUGHES: Yes, ma'am. Ken Hughes,

23 K-E-N, H-U-G-H-E-S.

24 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear

25 or affirm to tell the truth?

1	K1	${ m EN}$	HUGHES:	I	do.

2 | HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.

I'll start your time.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

4 KEN HUGHES: Good morning,

commissioners. I'm here in support of stronger rulemaking to modernize New Mexico's bonding and cleanup rules. These rules will protect New Mexico's land, air, water, health, and taxpayers.

They can also lead to new uses of old wells.

When I worked across the hall here at the Energy Conservation and Management Division for 13 years, our staff delved into various way of storing energy. One of the more intriguing options suggested by a Los Alamos scientist, Mark Bibeault, was microhydro, which takes advantage of elevation changes of at least 300 -- or 500 feet that generate and store energy.

We now have a law this year that, Well Repurposing Act sponsored by State Representative Andrea Romero that allows, quote, "the conversion of oil and gas well into a facility that provides or supports energy storage or geothermal energy development," unquote.

So rather than keeping wells limping along producing a handful of gallons of oil and gas just

1	to avoid abandonment costs, it's time to take
2	advantage of the new law and not just abandon but
3	convert wells into much more useful purposes of
4	energy storage and geothermal development.
5	Bottom line is, if you drill it, clean it.
6	Thank you very much.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
8	Mr. Hughes.
9	Next I have John Hoyt. Do we have
10	Mr. Hoyt on the platform, perhaps?
11	By the way, if you have dialed in using a
12	phone, you can press star-5 to raise your hand.
13	All right. Let's move to Kevin Bean. Do
14	we have Kevin Bean?
15	KEVIN BEAN: Good morning.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Good morning.
17	KEVIN BEAN: My name is my name is
18	Kevin Bean, and that's $K-E-V-I-N$ , $BB$ , as in boy,
19	E-A-N.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
21	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
22	KEVIN BEAN: I do.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go
24	ahead.
25	KEVIN BEAN: I am calling in support

of the rulemaking to modernize New Mexico's bonding requirements for oil and gas wells. This seems very sensible to me that -- that those bonds should be adequate to cover the cleanup costs once those wells have reached the end of their expected lives and have to be taken care of, least not fall through the taxpayers in New Mexico.

2.

2.3

And addressing the first comment that we heard this morning from the -- from Ms. Moss, Mrs. Moss, I'll just point out that if those bonds don't cover the cost of reclaiming and cleaning those wells, then we're all going to pay for it regardless. So it's much better to have that money up front. And it is a cost of doing business in this state. That only makes sense to me.

This problem has gone on far too long on. I'm very heartened to see that the Oil Conservation Division has seemed fit to address this issue now and to modernize these rules so that these costs will be paid for by the people who are making the money who are in this business, and then it won't follow the taxpayers to have to figure out where to get the money to clean this up.

That's my comment.

HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,

	10
1	Mr. Bean.
2	Sayre Gerhart. Sayre Gerhart.
3	Oh, all right. This is Jason Morales.
4	Mr. Morales, can you unmute yourself? You
5	might have to press control-shift-M to unmute.
6	It looks like you're unmuted. Oh.
7	JASON MORALES: Can you hear me?
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Now I can.
9	Hello. Would you spell
10	JASON MORALES: Can you hear me?
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, I can.
12	Would you spell your first and last name.
13	Hello. Would you spell your first and
14	last name, please.
15	JASON MORALES: It's J-A-S-O-N,
16	M-O-R-A-L-E-S.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
18	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
19	JASON MORALES: I do.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
21	I'll start your time.
22	JASON MORALES: Good morning. My
23	name is Jason Morales. I'm a 55-year lifelong
24	resident of New Mexico.
25	I work as an engineer at the Department of

Defense here in New Mexico. I'm here today to express my concerns regarding these proposed regulations on the oil and gas industry. I want to be clear, I support oil and gas development in New Mexico. It's a vital part of our economy, providing good paying jobs for our families across the state, and generating significant revenue that funds our schools, hospitals, and education.

2.

And importantly, a strong domestic oil and gas industry is crucial to our national security.

Not long ago the United States achieved energy independence largely due to our domestic oil and gas production.

These proposed regulations threaten this independence, making us more vulnerable. This national security element is personally important (connection lost) to protect our nation. These (connection lost) ordinance have something not practical, and they put impacts on all independent oil and gas companies, the very backbone of our industry.

These companies, unlike the larger corporations, often (connection lost) is your pride of your ma-and-pa businesses. In fact (connection lost) to shut down means lost jobs (connection lost)

1 this opposition that seeks to eliminate oil and gas 2. altogether (connection lost). We cannot simply shut 3 down or make an industry (connection lost) without a viable (connection lost) critical services. 4 5 I'm not against that environment 6 (connection lost) safeguards (connection lost) for a 7 reasonable --THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Are you 8 9 getting all this? It's going in and out. 10 JASON MORALES: -- that are --11 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hold on, 12 Mr. Morales. 13 JASON MORALES: -- does that --14 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: To the court 15 reporter, no. Mr. Morales is cutting out, so just 16 please capture what you can. 17 And to Mr. Morales, you've been cutting 18 out, and I'm happy to let you finish your statement 19 verbally, but I think it would also be a good idea 20 for you to email it to Sheila Apodaca. Go ahead. JASON MORALES: I can do that. 21 22 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Terrific. 23 Thank you. 24 JASON MORALES: (Connection lost). 25 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: You're cutting

	13
1	out again, Mr. Morales. So we can't really hear
2	you.
3	JASON MORALES: Hello?
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. Thank
5	you.
6	JASON MORALES: Should I
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: If you would
8	please email your email your statement to Sheila
9	Apodaca, and it will be part of the record.
10	JASON MORALES: Sure.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Sheila, I
12	think we're going to have to move on here.
13	I'm sorry, Mr. Morales.
14	I see John and Lisa Hoyt on the screen.
15	Can you unmute yourselves?
16	Now I can
17	LISA HOYT: That will work?
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, it does.
19	LISA HOYT: Can you hear?
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes.
21	LISA HOYT: Wonderful. Thank you.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: So would you
23	spell your first and last names, please.
24	LISA HOYT: My name is Lisa Hoyt,
25	L-I-S-A, H-O-Y-T.
	Page 13

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. And
2	will John Hoyt be speaking as well?
3	LISA HOYT: Yes.
4	JOHN HOYT: My name is John Hoyt,
5	J-O-H-N, H-O-Y-T.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: And do you and
7	each of you swear to tell the truth?
8	LISA HOYT: Yes.
9	JOHN HOYT: Yes.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go
11	ahead. I'll start your time.
12	JOHN HOYT: I was born in Buffalo,
13	New York, where I lived and worked for the last 78
14	years of my life. My wife and I moved here to
15	Albuquerque to be closer to her family in ABQ and my
16	sons in Utah and Colorado.
17	After earning a degree in marketing in
18	college, I spent most of my career in my family
19	business, photographic supplies. We sold to all
20	types of photographic customers, amateur,
21	professionals, medical, and industrial, and also to
22	government at all levels, local, state, and U.S.
23	I've enjoyed traveling by cars for
24	business and pleasure through 40 of the United
25	States and developed a true appreciation for oil

industry products.

2.

2.3

Before moving to Albuquerque, my wife and I spent several years active in the agriculture community. We assisted in neighborhood farm and day-to-day operations, as well as their Sunday -- or Saturday markets. We were also members of our local chapter of the New York State Farm Bureau.

Since moving here, I've learned how success in the oil industry has been a benefit to the state of New Mexico, as the second largest producer of petroleum in the United States. Many factors can affect it negatively, unfortunately, including taxation and fees, and income to New Mexico would drop by the addition of higher fees, particularly the assurance fees.

Those fees which set -- that the OCC sets the fees for -- and rules for oil producers. These fees would cost the industry dearly and unfairly. And a flat fee, regardless of the volume of the oil produced, would go down as a result. Obviously, smaller producers would be hurt the most. This would cut taxes to the New Mexico government, cutting aid to health, education, and many other programs.

You can see greater production in New

Mexico has greater value to New Mexico. The
proposed operating costs, the assurance payments
would have a dire effect mostly on the smaller
producers operating in our state. A flat fee causes
producers to disincentivize new growth. It would
kill smaller operations five times more often than
larger companies who could absorb the increases
better. And that would add to value to the state.

2.

2.3

Great value to the state would come from more production, of course. There's considerable value in growth, not this -- not loss. And add more life to New Mexico.

Please consider that OCC adding these increases would affect the overall economy of the state of New Mexico.

HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Ms. Hoyt.

MS. HOYT: Good morning. I was born in Virginia, spent most of my childhood in Potomac, Maryland, a suburb of Washington, DC. In the early '70s, my family moved to Scottsdale, Arizona, and that's where I really learned to appreciate the beauty of the desert. Couple years later my mother and I moved to Hawaii where I finished high school and after graduation, returned to Arizona for

advantages, where I married, had a couple of kids.

2.

2.3

And in '97, we moved to a small village in western New York. There I began my career as a professional photographer. My bread and butter was family portraits, weddings, and events. And later I began going to professional photography groups around New York state giving lectures in studio management, sales, and how to design wedding albums.

After 25 years I retired from full-time photographer and started my second career, which was a great love of mine, gardening. I went to work for a hydroponic farm in our area where I tended 720 indeterminate tomato vines from seedlings through their 10-month life cycle. It was quite exciting.

Albuquerque to be closer to my family. Since being here, we've become aware the impact the gas and oil industry has on our new home state, not just the big cities, but many small communities across the state. I've learned there are many small companies within the industry, not just the giants. And while all will be affected by an increase in assurance payments, the little guys will suffer the most, and not just the folks who work for these companies, but all the businesses and industry that support gas and

oil.

2.

2.3

When these gas and oil companies are forced to close their doors, the impact trickles down through the communities, not just in loss of tax revenue and funding for schools, but in loss of all of these support businesses. Eventually, that trickle-down effect reaches retirees, like us, living on a fixed income.

And as you can see by all who have and will give a little of their life stories, we represent the millions of untold stories who are affected daily by gas and oil industry.

And I would strongly urge you to consider the impact an increase in assurance payments would have on the gas and oil industry companies, large and small, but also the trickle-down effect it would have on all who call New Mexico home.

Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,

20 Ms. Hoyt.

Is there anyone else on the platform who would like to offer public comment during this session? There will be another session at 4:00 this afternoon.

I see Carlos Matutes.

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 19
1	Mr. Matutes, if you would unmute yourself,
2	please.
3	CARLOS MATUTES: Thank you. I just
4	was allowed to unmute myself. My name is Carlos
5	Matutes, C-A-R-L-O-S, M-A-T-U-T-E-S.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: And do you
7	swear or affirm to tell the truth?
8	CARLOS MATUTES: I do.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
10	Please go ahead.
11	CARLOS MATUTES: My name is Carlos
12	Matutes. I am the New Mexico state director for
13	Green Latinos. We're an environmental justice
14	organization serving the Latino communities and
15	other communities of color around the United States.
16	I am in full support of modernizing our
17	bonding rules around oil and gas. Now, I wasn't
18	always in the nonprofit world.
19	I grew up working on small industrial
20	equipment in South Texas. If, as a business, we
21	would leave trash everywhere, if we would dump used
22	motor oil and air filters and broken air lines
23	wherever we worked, we would be fined. We would
24	have to pay for that cleanup.
25	Now, as responsible business people, we

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 20
1	cleaned up our messes. We made sure that a work
2	area was left intact. That's all we're asking of
3	oil and gas, is that they do their fair share for
4	the profits that they pull. And much of that
5	profit, may I remind you, goes out of New Mexico.
6	All we're asking is for them to clean up
7	the mess of their profit-making ventures. That is
8	all. Leaving orphaned wells, spilling toxic waste,
9	fracking waters, or as they like to call it,
10	produced water, which is essentially just a toxic
11	sludge behind for us, the taxpayers, the people of
12	New Mexico, our communities to clean up. Otherwise
13	our waters be poisoned, our soils be toxic, our air
14	be polluted.
15	No. We're asking the businesses in oil
16	and gas to be responsible citizens, just like the
17	rest of us. When we make a mess, we're asked to
18	clean it up. And that's what we're asking for. And
19	that's why we support rulemaking around bonding for
20	oil and gas to clean up their messes.
21	Thank you very much.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
23	Mr. Matutes.
24	Is there anyone else on the platform who
25	would like to offer public comment during this

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 21
1	session? Our next session is at 4:00 p.m. today.
2	You can raise your virtual hand or press star-5 to
3	raise your hand if you have called in.
4	No? All right. We will return to the
5	technical case, then.
6	Mr. Peltz, if you would rejoin us up here.
7	I forgot to ask if any counsel had a
8	preliminary matter before we go back here?
9	No. Okay, great.
10	I believe all the party questioning was
11	completed yesterday, and we'll turn now to the
12	commissioners.
13	Commissioner Ampomah.
14	EXAMINATION
15	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Peltz.
17	A. Good morning.
18	Q. Thank you for being here to offer your
19	testimony on this important issue. I don't have a
20	lot of questions for you, but I do have a few.
21	So I want to pan over to your slides, and
22	this one will be slide number 9, Orphan Well Policy
23	Reform Across the state.
24	A. Great.
25	Q. So my first question to you is: In all of
	Page 21

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah these reforms, what were some of the motivations that were included in these reforms to motivate companies or protest to really plug their wells.

2.

- A. Do you mean the motivation of the legislators or regulators who put the reforms in place?
- Q. Yes. So in terms of what were some of the benefits to the industry, you know, in terms of some of the provisions that benefits operators that do plug their wells.
- A. Well, there's the benefit of being a good corporate citizen by not orphaning their wells. The primary benefit of these regulations is to protect the taxpayers of the state from having to pay for plugging working wells, the environmental benefits also.

And I think, you know, we haven't really talked about this very much, but the presence of orphaned wells tends to reduce property values.

There was a study in southwest Pennsylvania by a University of Pittsburgh economist that found that the presence of orphaned wells there reduced building activity by 50 percent because people are understandably hesitant to build around these wells where you could have methane migration either

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 23 1 directly into substructures, like basements, or via 2. aquifers. 3 There is also benefit to new energy 4 development from plugging old vertical wells. Ι know in the -- in the DJ Basin, the Denver-Julesburg 5 Basin, in Colorado, I was told that most vertical 6 7 well plugging activity was happening in order to facilitate new shale development. And, you know, 8 you can't frack a well if you have old vertical 9 10 wells in the same formation because you'll lose all 11 of your pressure, and you'll get blowouts and things 12 like that. So that is a direct benefit to the 13 industry. 14 I appreciate that. And then I like one of O. 15 them. You said there's, more or less -- the company 16 will, more or less, become a prudent company, right? 17 A prudent company? 18 Yes. I mean, no one -- no one want to 19 violate the law, you know. Operators want to show that they're obeying the law in the state and that 20 they're prudently managing the surface and the 21 geology, I would think. 22 23 So then let me ask you: So how would you Ο. 24 describe a prudent operator? 25 Well, one who, you know, recovers the Α. Page 23

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 24 1 resource, obey all aspects of the law, is a good 2 community member, you know, works with local -- the 3 people who live near the development and ask, "Hey, 4 we're here. We're making money, you know, in your 5 community. How can, you know -- are you okay with what we're doing? How can we do it better?" 6 7 I know that that happens often in the industry, although not always. 8 9 And definitely, the opposite of that would Q. be the bad actors? 10 11 Α. Right. 12 Q. Okay. 13 You know, operators who, you know, don't obey the law, whether through omissions or air 14 15 toxics or groundwater contamination or failure to 16 plug their wells. I see this sometimes, especially 17 where you have an out-of-state -- like a private equity-backed company where they don't really have a 18 19 nexus with the state and they don't really care what happens in the communities and they're just there to 20 extract as much revenue from their wells and then 21 leave. And it's -- you know, it's easy, because 22 23 they put the wells in these little LLCs that

So that's a -- that's a terrible case.

facilitate orphaning.

24

25

Yes. Yes, that's the proposal.

25

Α.

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 26
1	Q. Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit 66, that
2	would be on page 20. I do like this table a lot. I
3	just want to be able to understand this so we can
4	really dive into this one.
5	A. Sure.
6	Q. So will the 51,270, more or less, be the
7	total wells that are in the GO-TECH database?
8	A. So it would appear, yes.
9	Q. Yeah, as they appear here. Definitely, I
10	do see OCD's tentative national different number
11	total number of wells, but let's just stick to this
12	one.
13	A. Yeah, if only it were so that every
14	database had the exact same number of things.
15	Q. That would be much easier, but we don't.
16	Okay. So in there is at least we do have about
17	51,270 wells as presented in the GO-TECH.
18	Now, I really do like this one a lot,
19	because in here, you know, you've shown the number
20	of operators with state, private, and federal wells
21	to be around 420. And then also the number of
22	operators with state and private wells that, more or
23	less, OCD is going to receive is about 342.
24	Did I read all of this correctly?
25	A. Yes.

25

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 28 1 operators who have more than 15 percent, you know, 2. the portfolio risk operators. 3 Which is to say that, you know, those 4 operators in the below 15 percent category wouldn't have to -- they would have to have \$150,000 single 5 well bonds for their marginal and inactive wells, 6 7 but they wouldn't have to for all of their wells. Okay. So those in the green shaded 8 Ο. 9 region, they are good. 10 Well, I don't know if good and bad is the Α. 11 right -- you know, I'm --So I'm -- yeah, I'm just saying that they 12 13 will not necessarily have to put \$150,000 on all of 14 their wells. 15 Α. Oh, they wouldn't have to. 16 Q. Now, let's focus on the yellow region. So 17 in the yellow region, you do have about 198 18 operators? 19 Α. Yes. Now, let me ask this, though: With 20 regards to the operators, with this chart -- or 21 let's say the proposal that the applicant is putting 22 23 across here, does that -- does that distinguish 24 between the operators that do -- that, more or less, are creating the well? And then also working 25

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 29 1 interest owners or is it only operators that we are 2. talking about here? It's just the operators. 3 Α. 4 Ο. And those with the working interest is not 5 really part of this? Well, I mean, if there was some kind of, 6 7 you know, enforcement action, there might be some implications for the working interest owners. But 8 this is, you know, trying to look at separate legal 9 10 entities. 11 Q. Yeah, so don't you believe that there is 12 going to be a little bit of confusion in terms of 13 OCD implementing this where there is going to be a confusion, became operator, working interest owner, 14 15 which category do they fall into, and then, more or less, become a little bit of a mess? 16 17 Α. I don't think so. I mean, I think that 18 the OCD, you know, knows how to work with operators 19 and knows how to work with working interest owners. And this is what they do all day every day, so I 20 don't think it will be too confusing. 21 22 Yeah, okay. But if you look at, let's Ο. 23 say -- if you look at all the discussions that we've 24 had here, you go all the way to even being

Page 29

bondsmen-less, you know, in terms of people who

25

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 30 1 have -- who can, more or less, operate in New 2. Mexico. So that's an analysis of, when considering 3 Α. 4 operator registration and transfer to try to determine, you know, is there a risk that this 5 operator, because of the constituency of their 6 7 leadership, might be a bad actor. That's kind of a different question than who gets what kind of bonds. 8 I like your explanation. So what I'm 9 Q. getting to is that there could be someone who is not 10 11 an operator but a working interest owner. You have 12 multiple manual wells, but on record, they have to 13 be not operators. They are just working interest 14 owners. 15 So how are you going to be able to distinguish going deep down, just know that this 16 17 working interest owner, they're not operator, but is a bad actor? 18 19 Well, for the -- for the case of bonding, 20 that's not analyzed. All that's analyzed is, does the operator have, you know, over or under 21 15 percent of their portfolio in risk? 22 23 But when it comes to well transfers, which 24 is certainly a moment where you have a change of risk, then there will be an analysis of the 25

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 31
1	individuals who are involved in that operation. And
2	that could potentially lead to a denial of transfer
3	or some other kind of conditions put on the
4	transfer. But all of that is at the OCD's
5	discretion. None of what we put in is mandatory for
6	OCD to consider and to act on.
7	Q. Yeah, let's get back to our main
8	discussion here. I got sidetracked.
9	So after the 342 operators, this is going
LO	to impact more than you know, more than
L1	50 percent
L2	A. Yes.
L3	Q of operators in New Mexico.
L <b>4</b>	A. Yes.
L5	Q. And this 198 operators let me ask you:
L6	So if as an operator you have 75 percent of the
L7	wells active, not marginal, are they bad actors?
L8	A. Oh, this isn't about whether they're good
L9	or bad actors. This is about their portfolio risk.
20	Q. So I'm just asking you so I asked
21	you you know, let's say we are looking for high
22	risk
23	A. Yeah.
24	Q companies that might default
25	A. Right.

19 make determinations on risk that way. But that is, as I said in my testimony, intrusive to the operator 20 and labor intensive to OCD. 21

22

23

24

25

And so we went with this proxy of risk as a way to simplify it. And then because the surety company will make a -- their own determination of risk and they'll figure out how much it will cost

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 33
1	for that operator to get those \$150,000 bonds, that
2	will you know, that's kind of a second order of
3	risking that will give you the dollar amount for the
4	operator.
5	Q. So you said that OCD do have some
6	discretion, and then also the surety bond company
7	will also have discretion there to determine whether
8	this company, more or less, you know, qualify for a
9	bond.
LO	Now, in your in the applicant's
L1	proposal and the marginal wells and inactive
L2	wells
L3	CHAIR CHANG: I'm sorry, I'm going to
L4	interrupt. And just make sure I'm tracking the
L5	questions here. If I understand the conversation,
L6	though, those were two different things, right? The
L7	15 percent is not discretionary and the
L8	ADAM PELTZ: Right.
L9	CHAIR CHANG: bonding, but there
20	is discretion under the transfers. Is that is
21	that the answer?
22	ADAM PELTZ: Yes. And then also, you
23	were saying that the surety company has some
24	discretion. Of course they do. They're a private
25	industry, and they get to choose whether or not to

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 34 1 provide a bond and if so, you know, with what 2. premium and what collateral. (By Commissioner Ampomah) Yeah, Mr. Chair, 3 0 I was going to go to that. To clarify that, the 4 marginal wells and inactive wells and that is D, 5 6 Section 3, "An operator with 15 percent or more of 7 their wells in marginal or inactive well status, or a combination thereof, shall provide a one well 8 plugging financial assurance in the amount of 9 10 \$150,000 for each well registered to the operator 11 until the percentage of the operator's marginal and 12 inactive wells is decreased below 15 percent." 13 So there is no discretion to OCD on this. 14 Α. Correct. 15 Okay. Now, you were saying that Q. 16 75 percent -- unless an operator with 75 percent in marginal wells, you're saying that is a high risk? 17 Yes. So that's our position. 18 Α. 19 So then let me ask you: How is that 15 percent really determined? 20 So there are a whole bunch of 21 Α. Ah. exhibits presented by Duane and also by me showing 22 23 how that's a natural risk breakpoint based revenue and -- you know, per well and other factors. 24 25 Okay. So let's continue on that. So out Ο.

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 35
1	of this 198 companies, let's say you have
2	15 percent or more than 15 percent of their wells
3	in marginal or inactive status, so they are
4	classified as a high risk.
5	Now, you know, my first question to you
6	that we're talking about was: Don't you believe
7	that the active bonding, the financial assurance
8	required for the active wells was, more or less,
9	sort of a motivation where you cap the blanket bond
LO	at \$250,000? Do you agree with that?
L1	A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
L2	Q. Okay. So what I'm saying is that: Don't
L3	you believe that according to the statute, don't
L4	you believe that the 250- cap, that was put as a
L5	blanket bond on active wells, shows that these wells
L6	are not really these wells are not really high
L7	risk?
L8	A. Well, you know, I'm attempted to ask to
L9	put up that the rainbow again, because it doesn't
20	actually say active wells in that clause for the
21	\$250,000 blanket bond.
22	Q. I mean, that represented a really big
23	deal.
24	A. I agree. That's the core you know,
25	that's the core legislative piece that all of this

20

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 21
- 23

25

22

24

bond.

Q. You know, I think also as commissioners, we have a responsibility. I'm a little bit

still avail themselves of that \$250,000 blanket

into this new category of portfolio risk wells can

aren't covered in this chart.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Exactly. But your 15 percent that you are Q. imposing would impact all those wells that, more or less, operators would do that too.
- But if those operators are low risk according to the surety companies, they're additional, you know, monthly outlay will be pretty minor.
- Yeah, but that is -- I mean, for us, as Ο. regulators too, I don't really care much about what the surety bond company is going to do, but I want to make sure that I'm doing it right in here,

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 38 1 where -- let's say, you do have operators -- no, 2 even this one. Let's put it -- a well in 3 compliance. A well, a particular well is in compliance. I still do not understand why we should 4 put \$150,000 financial assurance on those wells that 5 are in compliance. 6 7 Anything -- if the Commission agrees with the \$150,000 for all marginal wells, the applicants 8 still achieve their goals here. Because all these 9 10 wells that, as you said, OCD will be responsible for 11 in the future are, more or less, already taken care 12 of. 13 Well, there is this portfolio risk Α. question and, you know, maybe the operator will go 14 15 bankrupt and leave a lot of wells orphaned. 16 Although probably what would happen if there is a 17 bankruptcy, some of those wells would get bought up. That's certainly true. 18 19 There's also an incentive built in for the 20 operators to increase their production, right? And so if you increase your production on some of your 21 low producing wells, then you can get out of that 22 2.3 additional bonding. And that's good for the state. 24 Q. So, you know, you brought up a good point.

You know, as an engineer and with experience in

25

have to start water injection. I mean, from a
professional point of view, it's not really

10 effective.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm really concerned about -- let's say these wells that are, more or less, getting -- you know, they are not able to produce because of the energy, but once we stop putting water in, it will start coming up, right? At some point, these wells will fall into the marginal category. You know, it will fall into that. And even once we start that water injection, it will come back up.

A. Right. Although it's not just, you know, waterfloods and, you know, CO2 EOR. You can also recomplete the well. You know, you could do acid treatments. It depends on the basin. Obviously, you know, I'm not an engineer, but there's all sorts of ways to increase production.

Q. Not necessarily, because if the oil

Page 39

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yeah, it's certainly true that you can't avoid marginal status forever.
- Q. Exactly. So I'm a little concerned about operators who will, more or less, have wells in compliance and still putting up \$150,000 bond on them. How is that even helping us to achieve the plug-in -- the plug-in -- the plug-in -- the incentives for these companies to plug their wells? I mean, how is that even helping us in any way?
- A. Well, if the operator, instead of -- you know, once they run out of options to increase production for the well, if they then say, "Okay, we got to plug this one," then that takes that out of

Page 40

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 41
1	the risk pool for the state. It improves the
2	geology. It reduces environmental risk. So that
3	sounds like a good deal to me.
4	Q. And then when they want to go water plug
5	to draw on another well, is that not causing waste?
6	A. Not necessarily.
7	Q. Not necessarily? I mean, would OCD now
8	say that you are causing waste when you're just
9	going and drilling wells everywhere, everywhere at
10	each production profile in the life of the field?
11	A. Well, the operator doesn't have to plug
12	the well. You know, they can just keep the well
13	operating and pay the bond. And if they're low
14	risk, that shouldn't be as I said, that shouldn't
15	be a significant financial outlay.
16	Q. So what if we move the 15 percent to
17	25 percent?
18	A. That's certainly a possibility.
19	Q. 30 percent?
20	A. I mean, are we doing an auction?
21	Q. I'm just looking for the threshold where
22	the applicant and I think this one is mostly
23	going to be on OCD to really try to explain to us
24	you know, I've seen all the evidence, but I still
25	feel like an active well that is in compliance

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 42
1	should be left alone.
2	Because the applicant's objective is still
3	going to be achieved when all these marginal wells
4	we put that bond of \$150,000 on, because OCD will be
5	responsible if, let's say, these wells are not
6	plugged.
7	A. I think there is room to think about where
8	the threshold should best fall, you know. It's kind
9	of a risk judgment factor. And ultimately, it's the
10	OCC's determination of, you know, what is the risk
11	tolerance of the state.
12	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Peltz,
13	thanks so much for your time.
14	ADAM PELTZ: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: And I do
16	appreciate the discussion.
17	ADAM PELTZ: Likewise.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
19	Commissioner Bloom, on the platform, do
20	you have questions of Mr. Peltz?
21	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I do. Thank
22	you, Madam Hearing Officer. And pardon my virtual
23	presentation. I'm a little under the weather today.
24	
25	

	Addin Feltz October 25, 2025
	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 43
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
3	Q. Mr. Peltz, good morning.
4	A. Good morning.
5	Q. I know you've been through this a few
6	times, but just once more, how many wells are there,
7	in the applicant's estimation, that are in danger of
8	becoming orphaned?
9	A. Well, there's around 50,000 wells that are
10	marginal, as to as we define, or inactive.
11	Q. That's the number I got, too, from your
12	conversation with Dr. Ampomah. Thank you.
13	Mr. Peltz, I'm guessing you're familiar
14	with Einstein's definition of insanity, which is
15	doing the same thing over and over again and
16	expecting different results?
17	A. I am.
18	Q. Do you recall how long New Mexico has had
19	a reclamation fund?
20	A. I don't know, actually. I imagine many
21	decades.
22	Q. My research shows since the 1980s, so I
23	think that's consonant with many decades. Thank
24	you.
25	And yet, in your opinion, has this fund

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 44
1	plugged all the wells it should have? Has it
2	stopped new wells from becoming orphaned or being in
3	jeopardy of becoming orphaned?
4	A. No, the reclaimant certainly can't do
5	that. All it can do is try to plug wells that have
6	already become orphaned. And obviously, there are
7	still a lot of orphaned wells to plug.
8	Q. So what does this approach do differently?
9	Does it create impetus to keep wells from becoming
10	beneficial non-beneficial or marginal?
11	A. It does. It both incentivizes increased
12	production where possible and it incentivizes the
13	operators to plug the wells where when that's no
14	longer possible.
15	Q. When I look at the first document filed in
16	this case, I see June of 2024. Does that sound
17	about right to you?
18	A. I believe so, yes.
19	Q. And would you believe me if I said we had
20	a 60-day legislative session between June of 2024
21	and now that ran from mid-March I'm sorry mid
22	January to mid-March of 2025?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. So our New Mexico legislators had
25	approximately six months between the beginning of
	Page 44

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 45
1	the session and June of 2024 to introduce a bill on
2	this topic, had they chosen to do so?
3	A. That sounds correct to me.
4	Q. But the legislature didn't pass any
5	legislation in this area in 2025, did it?
6	A. Not that I'm aware of.
7	Q. Do you believe there are instances when it
8	takes years for a bill to pass despite promises and
9	people's belief that action is imminent?
10	A. Yes, years, decades.
11	Q. Yeah. Yesterday there was a comment made
12	that I would say would you believe me if I said
13	that, you know, we three we were called
14	bureaucrats yesterday are the designees of the
15	governor and/or her administration or the
16	Commissioner of Public Lands?
17	A. That's my understanding. Regulators are
18	hard working people.
19	Q. Thank you. And that these elected
20	officials, the governor and the Commissioner of
21	Public Lands, that they can ask their
22	administrations to pursue rulemaking in line with
23	state law?
24	A. They can. And it would be inefficient if
25	they couldn't.

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 46
1	Q. And do you do you believe that our
2	duties at the OCC includes rulemaking?
3	A. Yes. And you're certainly well situated
4	to do it since you have minute understanding of
5	what's happening on the ground.
6	Q. Do you also believe that the public has
7	the right to petition us to do rulemaking?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. And that they have the right to do this
10	regardless of what the legislature is doing or not
11	doing?
12	A. Yes. That's part of the system.
13	Q. And that we have a duty, as the OCC, to
14	respond to that petition?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Peltz helped
18	me clear up some potential issues there around the
19	role of the OCC rulemaking and how they're
20	bifurcated paths in our state to get into a goal.
21	There can be a legislative path, and there can also
22	be a rulemaking path.
23	Mr. Peltz, thank you for your time. I
24	have no further questions.
25	ADAM PELTZ: Thank you.

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 47
1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
2	Commissioner Bloom.
3	Chair Chang, do you have questions of
4	Mr. Peltz?
5	CHAIR CHANG: I think it's all been
6	covered quite thoroughly. Thank you.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
8	Thank you very much, Mr. Peltz.
9	Any reason not to excuse him?
10	No? Thank you very much.
11	ADAM PELTZ: Thank you. It was my
12	pleasure.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Shall we take
14	a ten-minute break while we switch parties? Let's
15	do that. Come back at 10:10.
16	(Recess was taken from 10:03 a.m. until 10:14 a.m.)
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I don't know
18	where Chair Chang is, but I imagine let's see,
19	let's come back from the break, please.
20	All righty. We're coming back from a
21	break now. And I believe we turn to the Oil
22	Conservation Division to hear from their five
23	witnesses.
24	Mr. Tremaine.
25	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you. Thank you
	Page 47

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 48
1	Madam Hearing Officer. I do intend to start with
2	brief opening remarks, and then we will proceed with
3	the revised testimony order starting with Mr. Diede.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Great. Thank
5	you so much.
6	MR. TREMAINE: All right. Good
7	morning everyone, Madam Hearing Officer, Chair,
8	commissioners, parties, and participating members of
9	the public. I want to start out by thanking
10	everyone for the obvious immense amount of effort
11	and attention that goes into preparing for a hearing
12	of this nature, particularly on a pretty important
13	topic.
14	OCD participates in this hearing in
15	support of the petition. And really, that's what
16	I'm going to try to address at a high level now.
17	Why do we support this petition? That's the billion
18	dollar question.
19	I've had the pleasure of representing OCD
20	since January of 2021. I actually started here in
21	the middle of the waste rule hearing March 27th and
22	28th. That period of time happens to be very
23	important for in the discussion of orphaned
24	wells, because 2021 is when OCD effectively
25	implemented its current civil penalty authority and

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom
1	actively picked up enforcement related to inactive
2	wells, after a period where OCD did not have civil
3	penalty authority. That periods represents more
4	recent, more consistent, and more detailed
5	information regarding orphaned wells, both in terms
6	of enforcement, in terms of coordinating and
7	communicating with operators at various different
8	sizes and types, and in terms of the plugging
9	program.

Later on Mr. Powell will discuss some of the details or your lessons learned from the enforcement and implementation of the orphan well plugging program that I just referenced. But in summary, the Division notes two key deficiencies in the existing rule structure.

2.3

First, the existing rules do not allow for the timely and effective enforcement for the plugging of inactive wells. By the time an actionable violation exists on an individual well basis, that well, by definition, has not produced and thereby has not generated any revenue for the operator for 15 months. Okay? That's 12 months of inactivity under 19.15.5.9. And then -- and then 3 months where the operator has not plugged a well under 19.15.25.8.

Page 49

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 50 1 Further, by the time an operator's 2 portfolio falls out of compliance with OCD rules, 3 the operator will have experienced reduced or no 4 revenue from the portfolio for at least 15 months. In OCD's experience, enforcement actions of any form 5 seeking operator compliance regarding specifically 6 7 plugging in this posture are ineffective. Despite diligent efforts by OCD in good faith attempts to 8 communicate to accommodate responsive operators, we 9 10 settle all of these cases every time, everywhere 11 it's possible. It's simply too late. The operator 12 is not in a position, when their portfolio is 13 largely inactive, to plug their own wells -- or to 14 comply with commitments they make in settlement 15 agreements. 16 In the event -- the second issue, second 17 deficiency is that in the event that OCD plugs and abandons wells or associated production facilities, 18 19 and this is a very important point, the focus of the 20 language and the discussions is largely on wells, but we will talk at some length through our 21 presentation that we need to talk about associated 22 23 production facilities and everything that goes along with that as well. 24

So payable financial assurance in that

Page 50

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 51
1	event is wholly inadequate. The fact patterns
2	related to this conclusion are complicated, but even
3	in a case where an operator is fully compliant with
4	the latest financial assurance rules, there is a
5	massive delta between potentially and I highlight
6	potentially payable financial assurance and the
7	Division's costs for wellbore plugging.
8	And when I say that, I'm not talking about
9	surface reclamation. I'm not talking about
10	remediation. I'm not talking about other associated
11	expenses. There is a delta between payable
12	financial assurance and wellbore plugging.
13	This does not this does not factor into
14	those other costs. And redemption of financial
15	assurance and OCD's ability to redeem that is wholly
16	inadequate to reimburse expenses from the
17	reclamation fund.
18	I just highlight for the Commission's
19	attention that the reclamation fund statute does
20	authorize and, I think, implies a mandate that the
21	Division should be attempting to redeem FA and
22	overage expenses from operators or other parties
23	where OCD expends monies from the reclamation fund.
24	That is an authorized task of the Division. It's in
25	the plain language. The requirements of the

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 52 1 bipartisan infrastructure law grant also expect the 2. Division to attempt to recover both FA where applicable and seek indemnification where possible. 3 So those are the two key deficiencies. 4 Now, I think there is also a couple 5 questions that I believe will come up directly in 6 7 dogmatically through the course of the hearing. We will hear a lot about industry's 95 percent success 8 rate. What does that mean? 9 10 So, first of all, the Division is not 11 going to stipulate to this number. But I do think 12 it's useful to establish a floor for discussion of 13 orphan well liability under the existing rules. 14 you think about the statement like, look, we're 15 95 percent compliant, if you think about that, that 16 has a -- that has a compelling ring to it, right? 17 You think about, if I was in school and I took a 18 test and I got 95 percent on a test, you got an A, 19 But that's not a compelling analogy when we're talking about liabilities that are known for 20 years and decades in advance. 21 22 A more appropriate analogy would be me 23 saying, look, I have a 95 percent compliance rate of

not speeding through red lights at Cerrillos and St. Francis. If I had a 5 percent failure rate

24

25

Examination by Commissioner Bloom and -- as some people do, and travel through a red light at 60 miles an hour, I'm posing a significant social risk.

2.3

So the scope and cost of the 5 percent failure rate, which seems to be generally accepted and consistent with the national average, that's what we need to be focusing on. That 5 percent failure rate, when you look at the actual costs associated with those failures, it constitutes a remarkable social cost and risk that will require consistent OCD plugging for likely more than the next decade where we sit today. All right?

The other concept that I wanted to address, I think will come up is this concept of a bad actor. What does a bad actor, operator, or entity mean in the context of orphan wells? And unfortunately, in this context, I don't think it's actually a useful concept. Because the violating conduct is, by definition, typically engaged in by an entity that's effectively dissolved when we're talking about large scale inactivity.

There are specific cases where we will -we can note that an operator went inactive, evaded
some notification or certification or compliance
scheme and then got a new company and had further

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 54 problems, but that's atypical.

2.

What the issue is, that operators who aren't playing appropriately for a certain stage of well production and development, if they go out of business or they -- or effectively dissolve, even if they don't dissolve or file bankruptcy, there's not -- I'm looking for the right analogy -- but, yeah, there's not much juice there for the squeeze if we're going to look for indemnification or recovery for orphan well plugging.

So whether it's -- whether it's intentional or happenstance is not really consequential, in my opinion. You know, industry recommendations for compliance standards seek to move enforcement triggers to a completely useless and untimely point. For instance, attempting to define beneficial use under our rules, as five years of active -- inactivity is moving the needle in the exact opposite direction of where it needs to go.

So that's the -- that's the concept of bad actor, and this comes up a lot. But I just wanted to clarify for the Commission. We're not talking about operators in a posture where they're highly likely to continue, once they're completely out of compliance, operating and, then there's a recurring

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 55 1 violation. It's like they're kind of out of 2. business at that point. So in support of the petition, we're going 3 to call five witnesses. Loren Diede is our first 4 I will be conducting the examination. He 5 witness. is a petroleum specialist who will discuss specific 6 7 examples of OCD orphan well plugging experiences. And we hope that that helps explain the challenges 8 and uncertainty as inherent in plugging orphan 9 10 wells, as opposed to operator conducted plugging 11 experiences. The second witness will be called by 12 13 Mr. Hall, is John Garcia. He's the supervisor of 14 OCD's Engineering Bureau, Special Projects Group. He will discuss the development of OCD's master 15 orphan spreadsheet, which is OCD Exhibit 17, which 16 17 is a living document compiling information on OCD's recent plugging activities. 18 19 Mr. Garcia will also discuss specific 20 examples of wells which will fall into the proposed category of well classifications in the petition. 21 22 After that, Rosa Romero is OCD's 23 environmental bureau chief. She will testify to various challenges in OCD's enviromental 24 remediations associated with work in plugging with 25

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 56
orphan wells and associated production facilities.
We hope that this information helps
explain the truly significant, but highly variable
remediation costs for orphan wells and those
associated production facilities.
I will call Ms. Romero. After that
Mr. Hall is going to call Justin Wrinkle. He is
OCD's engineering bureau chief. He will testify as
to his professional experience in managing low
producing wells on the operator's side.
And then we are going to at the end
here, we're going to call Mr. Brandon Powell.
Mr. Powell is OCD's deputy director. He is batting
cleanup and will discuss OCD's perspective on each
proposed update to the rule, OCD's process of
developing feedback to the original proposal,
response to the oft and future cited LFC report,
lessons learned from our enforcement and plugging
programs.
And if there are specifically problematic
and implementation questions, they are best
addressed to Mr. Powell.
I'm thinking of you, Commissioner Ampomah.
I know from some of your questions, we're going to
have a robust discussion.

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 57 1 In summary, OCD's support of this petition is intended to achieve two results: First, increase 2 3 operator compliance by compelling informed decisions 4 regarding plugging and compliance options at a point in time where the operators remain active and are 5 generating revenue. All right? 6 7 The second one is to close the gap between 8 OCD's expected plugging costs and recoverable FA. Two very different objectives. There's, get 9 10 operators to clean up their own stuff across the 11 board, fix the delta between 95 and 100 percent. 12 And the second one is where OCD does have to step in 13 with its limited resources, limited reclamation 14 fund, et cetera, we could actually recover 15 meaningful funds and use those for future activities. 16 17 So to achieve these goals, OCD 18 specifically recommended, in response to the 19 petition, the original petition, thresholds that 20 focused proposed changes on the highest risk wells and the highest risk operator well portfolios. 21 those thresholds proposed by the Division fall well 22 23 below the generally accepted definitions of either marginal or stripper wells. All right. 24

Page 57

talking about a quarter or a tenth of what the

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 58 1 stripper well definition is. 2. I want to underscore again how conservatively OCD aimed with those recommendations, 3 4 Mr. Powell will be able to speak to that. OCD's learned from over five years of 5 related enforcement and two years of stakeholder 6 7 engagement on this topic, the concepts from various other states, and attempted to apply those lessons 8 it learned in our red line proposal. 9 10 I want to thank the petitioners for their 11 willingness to incorporate our recommendations and 12 extensive discussions. And I'm going to say that 13 unfortunately, I have never seen a red line or 14 concrete proposal from the NMOGA or IPA. There are 15 some recommendations that have been submitted in 16 this hearing, but nothing that meaningfully 17 incorporates or earnestly attempts to address the deficiencies that I described earlier, which you 18 19 communicated very clearly. 20 Why am I bringing this up? I am not attempting to be hostile to a group of people that 21 have otherwise noted, engaged with OCD in a 22 23 productive and professional manner. I'm 24 highlighting this to point out that there's a

Page 58

critical point here where ultimately, for whatever

Examination by Commissioner Bloom 59
reason, the regulated community as a whole is either
structurally incapable or unwilling to solve this
problem.
What does that mean? That that means the
government must take appropriate action. But the
problem with that is obviously within a purview of
the legislature. We stipulate to that. We agree
with that.
It's also already well within the purview
and statutory authority of the Division and this
Commission. And so that's what we're doing here
today. And at the summation of the record, we will
ask the Commission to adopt the petition along with
any changes that are deemed necessary by the
Commission throughout the conduct of the hearing.
That will resolve my opening statement.
And, Madam Hearing Officer, if we're ready to
proceed, I would call Mr. Loren Diede to the stand.
Due to we've been moving a little
faster than expected and because of travel
arrangements and the duty locations of various OCD
staff, we intend to call our first witnesses
virtually.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
much, Mr. Tremaine.

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 60
1	Oh, I see Mr. Diede on the platform here.
2	Mr. Diede, would you spell your first and
3	last name, please.
4	LOREN DIEDE: Yes, it's Loren,
5	L-O-R-E-N, Diede, D-I-E-D-E.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear
7	or affirm to tell the truth?
8	LOREN DIEDE: I do.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Go ahead, Mr. Tremaine.
12	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah, Madam Hearing
13	Officer, I'm going to ask Mr. Hall to assist me in
14	sharing the slides. So I would ask that he have
15	screen share capability, and he'll pull up
16	Mr. Diede's slides.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. TREMAINE:
19	Q. Good morning, Mr. Diede.
20	A. Good morning.
21	Q. All right. Could you please tell the
22	Commission what your current job title is with the
23	OCD?
24	A. Yeah. My current job title is petroleum
25	specialist, and I am focused almost exclusively on
	Page 60
	3

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 61
1	working with orphaned wells and forced plugging
2	wells.
3	Q. Did you prepare in advance of this hearing
4	a direct testimony, which is labeled as OCD Exhibit
5	Number 4?
6	A. I did.
7	Q. And did you prepare a CV which is list
8	labeled as OCD Exhibit Number 5?
9	A. I did.
10	Q. And did you prepare a visual aid which is
11	labeled as OCD Exhibit 6?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Are those exhibits prepared by you true
14	and accurate, to the best of your knowledge?
15	A. Yes, they are.
16	Q. Do you require any corrections?
17	A. No major corrections. One typo that I
18	found, and that was all.
19	Q. Okay. Can you tell us where the typo
20	where the typo is? Is it in your direct or the
21	slide?
22	A. It's on the slide.
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. I'll point that out as we get to it.
25	Q. Very good. Do you adopt and affirm your
	Dage 61

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 62
1	direct testimony for your as your testimony
2	today?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. Okay. We have up your visual aide, OCD
5	Exhibit 6. At this time, Mr. Diede, I'd ask you to
6	provide a summary of your testimony for the
7	Commission.
8	A. Okay. And thank you, Mr. Hall.
9	And thank you, Mr. Tremaine.
10	LOREN DIEDE: And, Madam Hearing
11	Officer, due to some hearing issues on my side, I
12	prefer to use a headset during this testimony.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
14	much.
15	A. Okay. As stated previously, my name is
16	Loren Diede, petroleum specialist with OCD. I have
17	been with the OCD since February of 2024. I have a
18	bachelor's degree in geology from the University of
19	North Dakota. I have about 50 years of experience
20	in the oil and gas industry, domestically in West
21	Virginia, Pennsylvania, New Mexico, Colorado, Utah,
22	Oklahoma, and Texas.
23	I began my career as a wireline logging
24	engineer after graduation from the university. And
25	then after doing some wireline logging work, I

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 63
1	proceeded to work in the service business with
2	hydraulic fracturing design and supervision,
3	drilling and cementing design and supervision,
4	workovers design and supervision, and most recently,
5	plugging and abandonment design, supervision, and
6	regulatory oversight.
7	And just a very brief summary of my CV.
8	As stated previously, bachelor's degree from the
9	University of North Dakota. Wireline logging
10	engineer, then hydraulic fracturing and cementing.
11	And at one point in 1998, I had the
12	opportunity to become, through BJ Services joint
13	venture, the country technical manager of Algeria
14	operations.
15	In 20 2004, I began Diede Consulting
16	Services focusing on hydraulic fracturing, drilling
17	and cementing, well workovers, and plugging and
18	abandonment. And most recently through NMOCD
19	starting last February, February of 2024, working
20	with P&As, regulatory and operational oversight.
21	I'd like to start with a definition that I
22	am going to be using for orphan wells. There have
23	been different definitions thrown about. New Mexico
24	has not yet, as far as I can tell, given us an
25	official orphan well definition.

## Examination by Mr. Tremaine

I think pretty much what I'm using is the standard understanding of what an orphan well is.

And this is to, quote, "a well where the OCD has deemed, through various methods, such as hearing orders, ACOI or other methods, that no viable operator exists to perform the necessary plugging and remediation of this well." That is the definition I'm going to be following.

Along with that and overlapping in some regards is forced plugging. Forced plugging are wells where various regulatory agencies, such as the State Land Office or the Bureau of Land Management, is requiring an operator to plug a well for which there is no operator of record anymore. And through different obligations that the operator has through leaseholds, and what have you, an operator is not being asked to, and obligated to plug a well. This is also what I will call forced plugging.

So between orphan well and forced plugging, there are some overlaps, and most of my time is spent working on both of those together. A non-orphan well, of course, is plugged by an operator of record, kind of in the conventional manner in which we would normally conduct operations and plugging operations.

Page 64

The New Mexico Administration Code NMAC, if we will, 19.15.25.10. And the exact quote of that is, "Before an operator abandons a well, the operator shall plug the well in a manner that is permanently -- that permanently confines all oil [and] gas and water in...separate strata in which they are originally found."

Now, that is a rather simplistic statement. What it takes to comply with that and to plug a well adequately, safely, and also isolate the fluids in the different strata, as indicated, is somewhat more difficult and takes a lot more time and money than we often would wish it did. Most of the difficulties that we have and encounter are downhole conditions of the well that cannot be estimated with any degree of certainty before the operations begin.

We also want to make certain that, to not only do this to comply with the NMAC 19.15.25.10, that we also are complying with the pre-published NMOCD conditions of approval that kind of guide how we are going to accomplish that goal.

The information that's available for any given well study, the history, condition of the well, is not always completely available. As the

Examination by Mr. Tremaine 66

ownership of many of these wells have become

orphaned wells or sometimes forced plugging wells,

the ownership has changed numerous times. And it is

obvious that these are often divested numerous

times, and with every transaction, the quality of

the data diminishes significantly.

2.3

The OCD well files are often a main source of information that we have to deal with. And it's what the plugging contractors and the operators that are conducting the forced plugging operations have to rely on because the well files from the companies that drilled and owned the wells over a period of time are probably no longer in existence. And if they are, they are not accessible to the people that are conducting and preparing to do the P&A.

So some of the well condition factors that would contribute to the difficulty of some of our P&As -- and I'm going to inject just a real quick side note here. I hear off and on comments about reactivation of wells and -- where wells -- or should be reactivated so that they don't become orphan or don't need to be plugged.

What I have seen so far is that most of the wells that are in a position where they have to be plugged and are orphaned already, the conditions,

Examination by Mr. Tremaine 67 1 the mechanical -- mechanical integrity of the 2 wellbore and the conditions downhole in this well would make it that the reactivation is not a viable 3 4 option at this point. 5 So going forward, saying that, okay, now we're going to plug the well, some of the conditions 6 7 that are going to come into play stuck or parted rods. That's something we run into very often. 8 Stuck and parted tubing, parted casing, unstable 9 10 ground around the well, and sometimes the wellhead 11 actually breaks off. You have unexpected pressures 12 or flow coming from the well. We'll have examples 13 of all of those here in just a little bit, so . . . 14 Next slide, please. 15 Okay. Now, just to illustrate, some of 16 the things that have been just mentioned, I prefer 17 to call some of these wells neglected wells. this is a photo of some severely corroded tubing 18 19 that, as we were trying to pull the tubing out of 20 the well, it parted. And this is a picture of why it parted. It had been corroded to a point where it 21 no longer had any integrity. And as we were pulling 22 23 it out, it could not support the weight of the

Page 67

tubing below it, which, in this particular case, was

about another thousand feet of tubing that was left

24

Loren Diede - October 23, 2025 Examination by Mr. Tremaine 68 1 in the hole because it broke off at this point. 2. So now a fishing operation has to begin. 3 And a fishing operation is to go in and fish out the 4 remaining tubing. In a case like this, it's 5 probably one of the most time-consuming operations that we encounter when we have difficulties. 6 7 Next, please. 8 Another is the stability of the ground around the wellhead. In this particular case, this 9 10 is one of two wells in a close -- fairly close 11 together in the same field. We went out with the 12 rig to rig up on the well and proceed with our P&A 13 operations. And in the preparation and the writing 14 of the P&A procedure, without going to the site 15 itself, there's no way to know that this is what we would encounter. And we couldn't tell how far down 16 17 this cavity went. So we had to take quite a few precautions 18 19 to be able to assure that we could safely get the 20 rig in site and be able to support the rig. We have no idea of what the stability of the ground around 21 this area was going to be and how far to extend it 22 23 or how deep. So that was just one more illustration. 24

And sometimes this happens more frequently

Page 68

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 69
1	than we would like to think, where you can see the
2	tubing coming out of the tubing hanger on the top of
3	this wellhead. Those slips and the supporting
4	hanger should come free and come out of that bowl,
5	but they did not. They are corroded and rusted in
6	place. And when we pulled on the tubing to dislodge
7	that out of the wellhead, the casing below the
8	wellhead broke off.
9	And now we have an open situation where
10	the well is opened to the atmosphere. We have no
11	way to control this thing. If it wanted to blow or,
12	you know, have any issues with bringing fluids to
13	the surface and pressure, we have no way to control
14	this. Fortunately in these this case, and most
15	often when this does happen, the well is depleted to
16	the point where it is virtually dead, and we you
17	know, we don't have that risk factor. But it could
18	definitely be a different scenario.
19	As I stated previously, it is difficult to
20	predict ahead of time what type of operational
21	difficulties time and expense are going to be
22	involved in plugging some of these wells.
23	In this particular case, the Cato San
24	Andres field in Chaves County, New Mexico, we were

doing some plugging. And we have two different

25

Examination by Mr. Tremaine 70 1 wells that I would like to show as a comparison that 2 were drilled in the same area by the same operator within several weeks of each other and it drilled in 3 4 almost an identical way and cased in almost an 5 identical way. We had the same P&A operator going to location to do this work, which they had also 6 7 contracted the same P&A contractor. And we ended up with a vastly different scenario between these two 8 wells. 9

Next, please.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the Cato San Andres Number 50 and Number 164 -- 150 and 164, as you can see by the legal description, these are very close together. The depth is similar. Casing is similar. The spud date is within several weeks of each other. When we look at, under Operational category, the listing of what took place to plug these wells, the Number 150 well took 35 days. Number 164 well took seven days. And there is no way to know this until you get into the well, open the well, and start doing your operation.

One of the major issues I touched on previously in that illustration was fishing operations, stuck pipe with multiple tool failures, and we had to do repeated cementing to be able to

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 71
1	get down deep enough that we could actually plug
2	this well properly. The fishing operations,
3	multiple attempts were made to retrieve the stuck
4	tubing and parted pipe that was in the well.
5	Number 164 well, we had no issues like that at all.
6	And on the cementing, the Number 150 well,
7	we would pump cement plugs in place, and they did
8	not stay due to different conditions downhole. The
9	cement basically would travel by gravity down and
10	not stay in position where it should be over the
11	interval to be plugged.
12	Number 164 well, we didn't have those
13	issues.
14	There were some mechanic mechanical
15	issues on both wells, a little bit more on the
16	Number 150 well. And sometimes these mechanical
17	issues aren't necessarily just things that happen
18	with the rig on locations. Sometimes they are.
19	Sometimes they're also caused by some of the
20	conditions and the operating difficulty and stresses
21	involved in what you are trying to accomplish with
22	that rig to get either cement placed or getting the
23	tubing out of the hole or going in with your work
24	string tubing and getting it stuck and different
25	things that you have to deal with can sometimes also

Examination by Mr. Tremaine 72 cause some of the mechanical failures.

And overall, these two wells had significantly different complexity in achieving our goal. We were able to get both of these wells adequately plugged and be able to comply with isolating the various zones that needed to be isolated and complying with the NMOCD conditions of approval that had been applied to both of these wells equally so that we had a good, safe plugging operation.

Next, please.

2.

2.3

We also have to deal with previously plugged wells. In this particular case, in Lea County, the community of Jal, New Mexico, a landowner in the city of Jal called our OCD office in Hobbs and said, "There's an old P&A marker outside of my -- one of my outbuildings, and it's leaking and it smells bad."

This gentleman also happened to have a little bit of knowledge, a little bit of experience in the oil and gas business and realized that if he was smelling rotten egg smell coming out of that marker, that it was most likely hydrogen sulfide gas and had to be reported, and something needed to be done.

1 So as soon as that call came in, our 2 supervisor from Hobbs and the -- one of our 3 cementing contractor supervisors went to location to investigate and saw that this P&A marker that the 4 gentleman had called about was within about 25 feet 5 of an outbuilding. And they did a gas test real 6 7 quick with a monitor, and they were getting readings of 100 ppm hydrogen sulfide gas, and they were also 8 getting some volatile indications on their LEL 9 10 readings. The assessment was made of the location, 11 and preparations were starting to be made for how to get in and approach this and get this done. 12

They -- we cordoned off the area. Talked to the folks at Jal, New Mexico, the emergency responders, police department, City council, and alerted them to what was going on and had them help us kind of keep people out of the area. And started making arrangements on how to get this well taken care of.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We started immediately looking at well records that were available within the OCD website. And that was the only indication of any records that were going to be available because the company that drilled this well in 1951 was long gone. The well was plugged in 1953. And the records that we did

Examination by Mr. Tremaine 74 have were very sketchy.

2.

The records indicated that after they drilled the well at 7-inch casing, that the casing was cemented in two different stages. We'll see that on the diagram here in a minute. But there was no indication of how much cement was pumped or whether they had any indication of how high the cement on the outside of the casing would be found.

So they did say in their plugging paperwork in 1953 that 12 -- ten sacks of cement had been placed in the casing at 1900 feet and ten sacks had been placed at the surface. Well, in 7-inch casing that is approximately -- depending on the type of cement they used, is about 50 feet of cement plug height. So they had two of those, one at 1900 feet and one at the surface.

Now, the well had been plugged, capped, and the wellhead had been removed. A plate was welded to the top of the casing with the marker and, you know, the marker was leaking. So we knew that whatever was between the surface and downhole was leaking and had no integrity.

So to do this carefully, we had to approach it as if the worst case scenario were going to be experienced.

Examination by Mr. Tremaine

1 Next, please.

2.

We didn't know what was going to happen after we removed the P&A marker. There was something leaking. We didn't know where, how. So we started to excavate around the wellhead so that we could get down deep enough to be able to do what we needed to do to get a blowout preventer in place so that we could open the well with the blowout preventer in place.

To do that, since we also had some LEL readings and we had volatiles, we were -- as we were excavating, we had a nitrogen blanket being placed around the area to minimize any chance of fire as we were digging out this.

And also the people working on the crew that was working in the area, we had to get them recertified, make sure their H2S monitoring and safety training was up to speed so they could work in that environment. We had to go in with supplied air for the crew working that environment, not just for the H2S and the volatiles, but also because of the nitrogen that we're placing into the area to protect from flash fires.

We had to fabricate a number of different things to be able to get the BOP mounted onto this

Page 75

could get the BOP in place.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

As soon as the BOP was in place, we were able to remove the marker and then start going into the well with a mill and some work string tubing. What we found at the surface is, there was no cement at the surface. The ten sacks that had been placed at the surface were not found. We didn't see them, didn't feel them.

Went on down. Got to 1900 feet. Didn't find any cement there either. So what happened?

Well, with time -- and we're looking at a significant amount of time here -- cement will deteriorate. And depending on the type of cement they used, we don't know what that was, it may not have been adequate to the task. But with the amount of time that transpired, no cement was found.

Page 76

So we did get down to the bottom of the well with the H2S alarms continually going off because the well was still producing H2S. And we circulated some scavenger to mitigate the H2S, along with that, keeping everyone out of the way, keeping our safety company in place with their monitors to do everything we would normally do in an environment like that in a workover situation or a drilling situation. So that was added cost, added time.

We ran a cement bond log to evaluate our casing condition and cement condition. What we found was that most of the casing that had been placed and cemented in 1951 was unsupported by cement. And so we started designing, placing cement as indicated by the CBL results and going along with conditions of approval to kind of satisfy what we were needing to accomplish here to get this well plugged adequately.

It took us until September 29th, and we started on September 6th. So it took us 23 days to get to a point that we got this well properly plugged.

Next, please.

Based on the information that we were able to see and able to find, this is what the well

had. And at that particular time in 1953 was probably considered adequate and was approved as a

25

1.

discussed how we were needing to plug this well. put together a plugging plan.

22

2.3

24

25

The OCD submitted that through our plugging contractor to the BLM. Plugging plan was approved. And we started to move in on location

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 81
1	with the rig and had to shut down because there was
2	a family of owls that made a home in the horse's
3	head on the Buckskin Number 1 well, and its
4	proximity to the Buckskin Number 2 is only about
5	100, 120 feet apart. So we were not allowed to
6	continue operations until the such time as the
7	owls decided to leave on their own.
8	We kept watching. And finally in October
9	of 2024, the owls had left, and we were able to
10	bring in two P&A rigs to simultaneously plug both of
11	these wells.
12	One of the wells, the Buckskin Number 1,
13	was a little over 6,000 feet deep. The Buckskin
14	Number 2 was about between 39- and 4,000 feet
15	deep. And we decided it would be best just to go
16	ahead and have all the equipment on location. To
17	save time, effort, and money, we'd have all of the
18	cement and equipment on location be shared between
19	the two rigs that were on-site. And it didn't work
20	that way.
21	Next, please.
22	Buckskin number 2 P&A difficulties. I'll
23	say I have a comparison coming up here to on a
24	table to show the difficulty between Buckskin
25	Federal Number 1 and Number 2. The deeper well,

have you, were coming up the casing had also deposited on top of the packer, and now we not only

24

25

Examination by Mr. Tremaine

had a flow, but we had a packer that was stuck. And we were not able to retrieve it and the tubing from the well.

2.

This was all happening in May, but with the shutdown that we had due to the owls, this didn't take us very long to get shut down and had to stop and wait until October to be able to resume work. So the well had been just shut in as it was. And once the owls had left, we went back and -- with a rig and started to do the operations, the plugging operations on this Buckskin Number 2.

Okay. Next, please.

The pressure had built up as high as up to 1400-psi. And we were pumping -- at this particular point when we started back on the job, we were pumping cement down the tubing and able to get cement down the tubing into the injection zone in the hopes that we were able to shut the flow and pressure off.

We pumped several cement plugs down the tubing. The flow did not stop. So there must have been some conduit outside the casing that was allowing this flow to come back into the casing between the casing and the tubing and was depositing more debris on top of that tubing packer.

We had cemented inside of that tubing at 2405 feet, but when we went to find a free point with a logging tool, we found the free point of the stuck tubing at 918 feet. So there was a significant amount of debris from the packer location on up to 918 feet.

So we cut the tubing at 918 so that we could try to clean this wellbore out, get back on top of the tubing, fish the tubing out, and continue with our P&A. Overshot was run to latch onto the tubing. We were able to do that. We attempted to pull the tubing. It was stuck. And we kept getting additional influx of material.

And now the casing had been filled up with the influx of up to 385 feet. We could kill the well, but every time we went to try to clean the well back out again, you have to release some of the pressure that you were using to kill the well and then more -- more material flowed in.

Numerous attempts were made to pump cement to seal off this influx. And every time we got cement in place, went to clean out that cement and get a little bit deeper, the influx would overpower our ability of the rig to maintain pressure control. The well was shut in.

We brought in a larger rig with a larger blowout preventer. And this was rigged up, and we changed out from the lighter 2-3/8-inch work string for a heavier 2-7/8-inch work string.

Now -- next, please. Okay. Thank you.

On December 12th of 2024, while we were cleaning out material at 362 feet, a pressure surge hit and pushed two joints of our 2-7/8-inch tubing out of the well, up into the derrick, and bowed the tubing up in the derrick and bent it in just a matter of seconds.

At that point, everything was shut in.

The well was under control. We had discussions with OCD management, engineering staff, BLM, our contractors and decided at this point, between OCD, engineering, BLM, and our contractor, that the best decision was to bring in a snubbing unit that could handle this kind of pressure and keep the pressure in the well instead of having it try to blow us out.

It took a while to find a snubbing unit.

We got the one coming out of Louisiana. And in

January 28th, the rig was on the move, the snubbing unit rig was on the move.

As the snubbing unit was rigged up, we went in to tag, and the fill had now come up to

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 86
1	293 feet. And the snubbing unit was working to
2	clean this out with the snubbing operation in place
3	with a weighted mud, various types of downhole
4	tools, and maintaining constant pressure on the well
5	so that no pressure flow was going to be bringing
6	more material in. But no matter what we did, we
7	never got any deeper than 455 feet with the snubbing
8	unit.
9	Each trip that we spent getting back into
10	the hole, we were losing and fighting and getting
11	more and more influx of material, even by
12	maintaining pressure control.
13	While we were trying to attempt to clean
14	this out, the return contained cement, metal, and
15	wire. Where that came from, we don't know. We've
16	never seen that before. Formation sand and rocks
17	were still coming out of this well.
18	The concern of everybody at this point is
19	that if we kept working inside this casing, we were
20	going to be cutting holes in the casing and making
21	the situation even worse. We didn't know that we
22	were going to be able to maintain staying inside the
23	casing.
24	Next, please.
25	So we ended up in the end not getting past
	Page 86

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 87
1	455 feet, but a plug of 1120 sacks of cement was
2	plugged down to try to seal off the area below 455,
3	out and around the casing, wherever it was going to
4	need to go to shut off that pressure influx.
5	And the cement plug was then displaced
6	about 256 feet. We were monitoring pressures. And
7	at this point, we had the pressures under control.
8	And the snubbing unit was released. The
9	pressure had diminished, and we were monitoring it.
10	Snubbing unit the supervisors and owners and
11	engineers from the snubbing unit company determined
12	that they were not going to be able to go any
13	further with this and were not going to be able to
14	help us any further.
15	We set a bridge plug at that 256 feet.
16	The casing was pressure tested. We had good
17	pressure test on the casing. We know we had
18	integrity from 256 feet to surface. And we
19	monitored this pressure for two weeks. We had no
20	pressure increase, and the design we designed the
21	final P&A between ourselves and BLM to finish this
22	P&A, fill this completely full of cement.
23	And that is what we did. Casings were cut
24	off. A P&A marker was installed witnesses
25	witnessed by the BLM representative. And we

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 89
1	can I get a time check?
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. You
3	have, let's see, 16 minutes left.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you.
5	Please proceed, Mr. Diede.
6	A. Yes, I think we can satisfy that
7	requirement. Thank you very much.
8	Buckskin Number 2, this is a very quick
9	wellbore diagram based on the information we had at
LO	the time as to what we thought we were going to
L1	encounter. We had a packer at about 3650 feet
L2	inside two a 7-inch casing, perforations at 37-
L3	to 3800 feet. And this was tubing that was being
L4	used to inject into those perforations.
L5	Next, please.
L6	Whole different scenario here. We outline
L7	some of the issues. Down at the bottom around the
L8	packer and the perforations, this is some of the
L9	cement that had been pumped down the tubing to try
20	to shut off the influx. And then at that point, we
21	realized that probably not all this influx was
22	coming from the perforations. It was very likely
23	coming from above that packer, coming into the
24	casing on the outside of the tubing, and filling up
25	our whole space inside the casing and inside the

Examination by Mr. Tremaine 90 tubing, for that matter, with debris.

2.

At the very upper section is where what we ended up doing with the snubbing unit and subsequently with the last P&A rig to finish this to the satisfaction of the BLM's requirements and --well, I take it back -- not to the satisfaction of anybody's requirements, other than we adequately sealed the well and were able to shut off the influx and had no pressure built up in the surface casing, production casing. And we were able to pump cement and cap this well off.

Okay. Number 1 slide on the left is what we had in February of 2024 when the casing valve failed and the water and oil material was flowing out on the surface. This was repaired in February of 2024. The area cleaned up to a point where it satisfied some of the environmental requirements for cleaning this up and then getting us ready to go in and do the P&A work.

Middle slide is what we saw when we first released the packer in May of 2024. This was the material coming out of the 2-inch casing valve at the surface.

The last slide is where we were going in with the larger rig and a 2-7/8 work string to kind

Examination by Mr. Tremaine

of clean this well out. This is 2-7/8-inch tubing,

as you see in the derrick, that had been pushed out

as (sic) a matter of seconds. And at that point,

the decision was made that the regular conventional

rigs that we were using would not be adequate.

Next, please.

The left slide and the second to the left are what -- if you have not been experiencing or worked around a snubbing unit, the first slide is what it looks like from a distance, a rather significant amount of equipment.

The second slide shows a little closer view of what it takes to get in a position where you can snub. And basically snubbing is allowing you to travel in and out of the wellbore without any opening to the atmosphere. Everything is self-contained as you move in and out of the wellbore.

The third slide is the unexpected material where we had sand and wire, cable wire of some sort, coming out as we were trying to clean out with the snubbing unit. We had not seen this before and didn't expect it. But this is what we were getting and weren't able to make any progress even with the snubbing unit.

Page 91

Examination by Mr. Tremaine

The last slide is the final P&A marker in place on the Buckskin Number 2.

Next, please.

So in conclusion of my testimony, I just want to reiterate that it is difficult to determine with any certainty what cost and time is going to take to plug any particular well before the operations begin. Buckskin Federal Number 2 and Coll Number 1 are good examples of this. But also I want to make sure to state that these are extreme examples. These are not normal. Normal operations unplugging, even on some of the orphan wells, and forced plugging wells go as procedure, but these are two extreme examples.

Now, also I will have to say that of the orphaned wells, the neglect that these wells have probably experienced in their lifetime make them more difficult to plug than you would normally find in a normal non-orphaned, non-forced plugging operation.

So New Mexico -- this number has been bandied about some and it varies depending on the data source. But this was a data source that I had available to me. So we can say that somewhere between 63,000 and 72,000 wells within the state

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 93
1	have not been plugged. Of those, how many Buckskin
2	Federal Number 2 wells are there waiting to be
3	plugged?
4	And then the next state would be New
5	Mexico has approximately 40- to 50,000 wells within
6	the state that are plugged, and an unknown subset of
7	those wells may have been inadequately plugged. The
8	question there is: How many Coll Number 1 wells are
9	still out there? The answer is: We don't know, but
10	we know that they're there. It's just a matter of
11	time until we deal with them.
12	That's the conclusion of my testimony.
13	Thank you very much.
14	Q. Thank you, Mr. Diede.
15	MR. TREMAINE: Madame Hearing
16	Officer, we're not offering rebuttal or surrebuttal
17	through Mr. Diede.
18	So at this time I would move admission of
19	OCD Exhibits 4, 5 and 6. And I would make the
20	witness available for cross.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
22	Thank you, Mr. Tremaine.
23	Let me pause for a moment in the event
24	there's an objection to OCD Exhibit 4, 5, or 6.
25	MR. CLOUTIER: I don't believe we do,
	Page 93

	·
	Examination by Ms. Tripp 94
1	Madame Hearing Officer, but if you could unmute
2	Ms. Tripp since she is going to cross Mr. Diede.
3	And I appreciate it.
4	MS. TRIPP: Yes, I believe I'm
5	unmuted. This is Ann Tripp. No objections to
6	Exhibit 4, 5, or 6.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
8	Anyone else?
9	MR. SUAZO: No objections from NMOGA.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Exhibits 4, 5,
11	and 6 are admitted.
12	(OCD Exhibits 4, 5, and 6 admitted into evidence.)
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Ms. Tripp,
14	would you like to begin your questioning of
15	Mr. Diede?
16	MS. TRIPP: Yes. Thank you, Madam
17	Hearing Officer.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. TRIPP:
20	Q. And good morning, Mr. Diede. I'm also
21	attending remote so we can virtually connect here.
22	Thank you so much for taking time out of your day.
23	I know you have a real job with real C-103s to
24	review and get to because my clients are waiting for
25	those. But I appreciate all the time and effort
	Do 70 04
	Page 94

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 95
1	that's gone on into preparing and to explaining from
2	a very fundamental level what it takes to plug an
3	abandon well.
4	I do have some questions, so I'm going to
5	try to move quickly. But if there's any difficulty
6	understanding, you know, through this virtual
7	medium, please ask me to repeat.
8	The first thing I'll just say is that I
9	reviewed your CV, and I guess the only question I
10	have on that, is that: Is there any position that
11	you have not held within the oil and gas industry in
12	operating a well?
13	A. Usually when we deal with anything on the
14	ground up, that's an area that I would hesitate to
15	say that I have much experience in. So I
16	Q. Okay.
17	A prefer to work below ground.
18	Q. Got it. Have you been and well,
19	that makes sense. So in terms there's one I
20	believe it was slide 4 of your demonstrative where
21	you reference a term called "forced plugging," which
22	sounds like where the operator who is not of record
23	plugs a well upon request either from the State Land
24	Office or the BLM. Is that an accurate summary?
25	A. That would be my understanding of that,

A. I don't believe -- and my understanding is that those would be responsible as far as the same way that an orphan well would be. An orphan well is something that there is no operator liable to do any -- or obligated to do any of that work.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in a forced plugging, you still have someone, an operator that is obligated to work on that and, therefore, should not fall through as an orphan well where the state would be using monies that the state has, from whatever source, to pay and furnish a PO and pay for that operation.

Q. But in the situation of -- and if you're not familiar with this area, please feel free to kick me to the next witness. But where you have an

	<u> </u>
	Examination by Ms. Tripp 97
1	operator who's been requested to plug and there's a
2	forced plugging, that operator does not have
3	typically doesn't have authority or permission to
4	enter the premises to operate the well to the extent
5	to plug it unless there's been some type of
6	enforcement action. And then the operator who's
7	coming in is acting underneath the OC authority; is
8	that right?
9	A. I'm not sure I totally understand the
10	point of the question.
11	Q. I'm just trying to distinguish trying
12	to run down we have some numbers, and there's a
13	concept of forced plugging, and trying to understand
14	whether the numbers of wells that operators are
15	plugging that are not theirs, whether that's
16	accounted for in the 5 percent or the 95 percent.
17	A. Okay. Now I can I can understand the
18	question. And I will say I do not know.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. All right.
21	Q. All right. Thank you. And then
22	certainly, I you testified a little bit earlier
23	about excuse me the concept of reactivation
24	and that when wells have been abandoned for a very

long time, that they're not really a viable option

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 98
1	for that reactivation. But at the same time, you
2	also explained that these examples are extreme. And
3	just looking at the Cato San Andres unit wells,
4	there can be vast differences between those wells.
5	Is that fair?
6	A. That would be fair, yes.
7	Q. Okay. And so to the extent that one
8	orphan well is poor casing integrity, that's not
9	indicative of all orphan wells and certainly not
LO	indicative of all marginal wells?
L1	A. It would probably be somewhat indicative
L2	of an orphaned well because an orphaned well is
L3	probably an orphan for a reason. And the reason may
L4	be that it's not a viable candidate to be
L5	reactivated or anything else be done with it.
L6	Marginal wells is a different matter. And
L7	that's something that you know, there's a lot of
L8	argument about different type of definitions for
L9	marginal. And I will leave that definition
20	discussion to someone else within OCD.
21	Q. All right. I will not I will not pull
22	up a rainbow slide. I will not force you to go
23	through that activity, then.
24	A. Okay.
25	Q. Moving on to the Coll Number 1 well that
	Page 98

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 99
1	you discussed, where that well had been plugged in
2	accordance with the regulations at the time in 1953;
3	is that right?
4	A. As near as we can tell, yes. The plugging
5	had been approved.
6	Q. And so is it your understanding under the
7	financial assurance rules, the financial assurance,
8	either whether a surety bond or cash bond, that is
9	released after a well is plugged and certified
LO	released?
L1	A. That would be my understanding, but what
L2	took place in 1953, I don't think any of us here
L3	would really know that.
L4	Q. Okay. But the way the rules are enforced
L5	or followed today, an operator who has some type of
L6	surety bond or cash bond in place, once they have
L7	plugged the well and OCD has inspected and released
L8	the well, that's when that bond is released from the
L9	surety?
20	MR. TREMAINE: Objection, foundation.
21	Mr. Diede is not the bond administer or a supervisor
22	in charge of that program. We do have witnesses
23	available who will be able to talk about that,
24	Mr. Powell can address bond release.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Sounds like a

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 100
1	better question for Mr. Powell.
2	MS. TRIPP: Okay. Thank you, Madam
3	Hearing Officer.
4	Q (By Ms. Tripp) So the funds that were used
5	to plug Coll Number 1, those were reclamation funds,
6	correct?
7	A. Yes, I believe they were. Now, I can't
8	say that with 100 degree certainty because it's been
9	a while.
LO	Q. Okay. But reclamation and reclamation
L1	funds are only let me restate this. I'm trying
L2	to I'll reorganize based on the fact that you've
L3	not been called to testify about financial
L <b>4</b>	assurance.
L5	But your understanding of the way the
L6	reclamation fund works and the way orphaned wells
L7	are plugged by the OCD, the reclamation fund does
L8	not consist of financial assurance alone?
L9	A. That, I think, is an issue, once again,
20	left to others in OCD that are more equipped to
21	answer that.
22	Q. Well, we mentioned your extensive CV, and
23	you've certainly operated wells in New Mexico; is
24	that correct?
25	A. I did not operate wells. I worked as a

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 101
1	service engineer or as a consultant for operators.
2	Q. So you didn't assist with the acquisition,
3	drilling, or planning of wells in New Mexico?
4	A. No. I like to stay below ground, as I
5	stated previously.
6	Q. So the Cato San Andres and the Buckskin
7	Federal, I just want to restate your testimony that
8	those are some not the San Andres the Coll and
9	Buckskin, those are extreme examples; is that
10	fair is that correct?
11	A. I would consider them to be rather
12	extreme, yes.
13	Q. In preparing for this testimony for the
14	rulemaking, have you reviewed any of the other
15	testimony provided or attended these hearings?
16	A. No. I saw some of the hearings yesterday,
17	but that was all.
18	Q. Have you reviewed the proposed rules that
19	applicants have filed and then the revised proposed
20	rules?
21	A. I did not review them. I anything I
22	know about them would be just from hearing
23	discussions, but not being involved in discussions.
24	Q. Okay. Well, I guess moving on to the
25	Buckskin Federal Number 2. That was a federal well,
	Page 101

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 102
1	correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Which means that it is covered by federal
4	bonds federal lease bonds that are statewide or
5	individual lease?
6	A. I would think it would have been, but I
7	can't state that for any certainty. That's not my
8	area.
9	Q. Understand. It's also not your area to
10	say whether financial assurance proposed by these
11	rules would actually affect bonding levels for the
12	Buckskin Federal 2? You're not offering an opinion
13	on that?
14	A. I cannot.
15	Q. Do you know whether the we've been
16	using a figure of \$163,000 for the cost of plugging
17	wells by the OCD. Do you know if that figure
18	includes the \$5.2 million of the Buckskin Federal?
19	A. I do not know.
20	Q. Do you know who might be the best witness
21	to go down that rabbit hole with?
22	A. I think some of the OCD witnesses may have
23	that in their pocket. I don't know.
24	Q. Okay. All right. Thank you, sir. And
25	you also mentioned with the Buckskin Federal that
	Page 102

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 103
1	certainly, there's a lot of circumstances that you
2	don't know when you get into the hole or even when
3	you arrive on location, that there could be a family
4	of owls nesting nearby. Is that fair?
5	A. That is also correct, and that is what
6	shut us down, you know, for five months.
7	Q. So to the extent that applicants are
8	proposing a strict compliance measure in the
9	proposed rules where operators are no longer allowed
10	to have any percentage of wells inactive, those
11	circumstances that are outside of an operator's
12	control, like a family of owls, strict compliance
13	would mean that they are in violation of the rules
14	no matter how
15	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. Calls for
16	a legal conclusion, and that's outside Mr. Diede's
17	testimony.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Ms. Tripp, it
19	does sound like a call for a legal conclusion.
20	MS. TRIPP: Well, we'll move on
21	because I know Mr. Diede hasn't proposed rules in
22	any detail.
23	Q (By Ms. Tripp) So let's I want to touch
24	briefly your role within OCD, are you in
25	addition to reviewing plugging proposals, do you
	Page 103

Examination by Ms. Tripp 104 1 also see the cost side of things, in terms of 2. invoices under the statewide pricing agreement? My focus has been almost exclusively on 3 Α. the technical side. You know, I've been here a 4 little over a year-and-a-half, and it takes a while 5 to kind of establish a path, and my position has 6 7 been evolving slowly. But at this point, not to the point of evaluating costs. I'm strictly sticking 8 with the technical and operational side, trying to 9 10 do what we can there to make it go as well as we 11 can. 12 Ο. I understand. And to the extent that 13 there are technical issues that may come up about 14 whether the Division needs to expend certain costs 15 for procedures, whether it's a fishing expedition or 16 whether it's additional equipment that wasn't 17 planned on, are you consulted in that fashion at all? 18 19 Yes. And if these are -- kind of an 20 ongoing oversight with the operators. If it's a forced plugging, I'll work with the operators, such 21 as one of the operating companies that's in charge 22 23 of that. Or if it is an orphan well, a plugging

contractor that's contracted by the state, I will be

dealing with their supervisors and kind of

24

Examination by Ms. Tripp 105 1 discussing issues as they come up. 2. On the other hand, if this is a federal 3 well, we also keep the BLM engineer and myself in communications so that BLM and the OCD agree on the 4 5 best path forward to adequately plug the well. Okay. And I'd like to share my screen. 6 7 should be able to do it. This is Exhibit 17 from the OCD, which is 8 9 the Master Orphan Spreadsheet. And you should be seeing what looks like an Excel spreadsheet within 10 11 the window. Is it large enough? Or I can make it a little bit larger. 12 13 I can see it. Α. 14 Okay. Because we discussed -- or you Ο. 15 discussed in your direct testimony, the Cato San Andres Unit 164 and the Cato San Andres Unit 150 16 wells, which are also federal wells. And there's a 17 column, column S, Pluggable. And so I didn't know 18 19 if you'd seen this. Have you seen this spreadsheet 20 before? Yes, I have. 21 Α. 22 Okay. All right. And I don't know who 0. 23 legally, necessarily, these -- who's answering these questions. But in terms of the -- who was plugging 24 Cato San Andres 150 and 164, line 31 says, "Yes, if 25

	Examination by Ms. Tripp 106
1	BLM request." And then line 949 says, "BLM-Shell."
2	So were those wells forced plugging wells
3	or were those wells that were deemed orphaned and
4	then an OCD contractor plugged those wells?
5	A. I know Shell was plugging the wells. But,
6	of course, Shell is no longer an operator within New
7	Mexico. All of the legal things that took place to
8	get it to that point had all taken place prior to my
9	arrival at OCD, so I don't think I can speak to that
10	with any clarity.
11	Q. I understand. So you're not you can't
12	say for sure whether the funds to plug the Cato
13	San Andres 164 or the 150 came out of the
14	reclamation fund or whether it was a forced plugged
15	well that was plugged by another operator?
16	A. I would not be able to answer that
17	accurately.
18	Q. All right. Thank you, sir. And so to the
19	extent that you're involved just on the technical
20	side, you're not reviewing plugging invoices for per
21	diem or for a crew number or for mileage charges?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Do you know who at OCD performs that duty
24	normally?
25	A. There are clerical and administrative
	Page 106

Examination by Ms. Tripp 107 1 people that concentrate on that. 2. Ο. Earlier we heard some testimony about 3 whether or not there is correlation between depth and well plugging costs. And are you familiar with 4 5 the current regulations for financial assurance and plugging bonds? 6 7 Α. Once again, I would have to say not very familiar with, but have heard references to that. 8 Okay. Well, in your -- prior to coming to 9 Q. the OCD, I believe your testimony, you said 40 to 50 10 11 years as a contractor doing workover plugging operations for wells? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. And so in your experience in Ο. 15 that -- especially in New Mexico, whether the San Juan Basin or Permian, do you believe that there 16 17 is any correlation between depth of the well and plugging costs? 18 19 Generally, but not necessarily always. 20 Okay. And so generally, meaning that the Ο. deeper a well, the more cement, the more number of 21 plugs you will need to plug the well. Is that fair? 22 That would be a fair statement. 23 Α. 24 Q. Okay. And to the extent that you're going to need more materials, there will be more waiting 25

	,
	Examination by Ms. Tripp 108
1	time for cement to cure, which increases standby
2	costs, that will drive the cost of the well to
3	plug the well up?
4	A. That could be a factor, yes.
5	Q. So you've been in the industry for a fair
6	amount of time, and that's a compliment.
7	A. It's not always, but, thank you.
8	Q. Well, we haven't driven you off yet.
9	So are you familiar with the operator of
10	the certainly OCD's plugged a lot of Cato
11	San Andres unit wells. Are you familiar with the
12	operator who caused that?
13	A. I have been in communication with them
14	from time to time when those were also federal, and
15	the BLM was involved primarily. And there would be
16	times that I would be asked to give an opinion.
17	Q. Okay. And that operator that we're
18	speaking of is some may feel that they should not
19	be named, but I believe it's Cato Petro; is that
20	correct?
21	A. I believe, yes.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. I believe so.
24	Q. Yeah. Okay. Are you familiar with the
25	reason the reason behind this orphaning, in terms
	Page 108

Examination by Ms. Tripp 109 1 of Cano Petro's bankruptcy and how much money the 2. OCD's actually recovered from them? I am not. 3 Α. Okay. In terms of the Buckskin Federal 4 Ο. 5 Number 2, when you were reviewing the well file that you mentioned in terms of, this is the only 6 7 information that we have to go forward from, did you review the changes of operators behind that well? 8 No, I did not. 9 Α. So you're not familiar that there 10 Ο. 11 was already an ACOI placed between New Mexico and 12 Energy Acumen at the time that Acumen took over? 13 I don't know all the ins and outs of some 14 of those, like ACOIs. Those are things that I'm 15 familiar with the terms, but not necessarily with all of the details and -- so I would have to say no. 16 17 Ο. Okay. Thank you. And then I just wanted to touch on one of the points you made during your 18 19 summary of your direct testimony, and I think it 20 was -- I apologize, I'm not sure which well we were talking about at the time, but the phrase was, you 21 know, this was depleted until the point the well was 22 23 Maybe it was the call but -- or maybe -- it 24 refers to how much risk you had to approach the well. Do you recall -- do you recall that 25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Well, the phrase that I wrote down was, when you were approaching a plug on abandoned certain wells, that it was -- it was depleted to the point the well was dead.
- A. I don't recall making that statement exactly in those words. But sometimes if you have some minor pressure, you can blow it down to the point where you can work on it without the small amount of pressure that had built up over time when it was shut in.
- Q. Yes. Yes. Thank you for clarifying. I do believe it was with respect to the amount of pressure in the well. So to the extent that the pressure is low, is merely pressure being low in a well representative of recoverable hydrocarbons for that well?
- A. No, because there again, I would leave that for reservoir engineers to work on -- or answer that more carefully. But when you're just working on a well, if there's a small amount of pressure that's built up over time, if it depletes very quickly, then that would indicate to me that there are no hydrocarbons there of any consequence.

	20101121000 0000001 20, 2020
	Examination by Ms. Tripp 111
1	Q. And are you referring specifically to the
2	primary production period?
3	A. Not necessarily, no.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. I mean yeah.
6	Q. Are you generally familiar with the
7	percentage of recovery ranges between primary,
8	secondary, and tertiary?
9	A. Not to a point where I can speak on it
10	with any accuracy.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. I would leave that to production folks.
13	Q. Thank you. So just to the extent that you
14	make a comment about the amount of pressure in a
15	well, you're not making a statement or offering an
16	opinion about potential future life or purposes of
17	that well?
18	A. That would be correct.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you.
20	MS. TRIPP: And I'm going to review
21	my notes very quickly. I don't want to take up more
22	time than I have to, but I believe
23	I have covered all the areas and questions
24	that I have for you, sir. Thank you very much for
25	your time.

	,
	Examination by Mr. Suazo 112
1	LOREN DIEDE: Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
3	Ms. Tripp.
4	Mr. Suazo, would like to begin your
5	questioning or take the lunch break?
6	MR. SUAZO: I can begin my
7	questioning.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SUAZO:
11	Q. Good morning, Mr. Diede. My name is
12	Miguel Suazo, and I'm the attorney representing
13	NMOGA in this proceeding. How are you this morning?
14	A. Very well. Thank you.
15	Q. Excellent. Well, we certainly appreciate
16	your testimony today, and I just want to clarify a
17	few things based upon your slides and what you've
18	told your counsel and counsel for IPANM.
19	Just to confirm, you joined OCD recently,
20	in February of 2024, correct?
21	A. That is true.
22	Q. And prior to that, your work was primarily
23	a consultant or field supervisor for plugging and
24	workover types of operations; is that accurate?
25	A. That is accurate.

www.veritext.com

Is it 90 percent? 95 percent?

22

23

24

25

That is a percentage number that I don't Α. feel comfortable stating, other than I would say a high percentage of wells -- without any data to back

Examination by Mr. Suazo 114
it up, high percentage of wells is probably
experienced a lot less difficulty than those two
illustrations.
Q. Okay. Then I guess just to summarize what
you can say about the nature of the wells OCD plugs,
is that the vast majority of them are routine
pluggings?
A. I'm not sure how we can define routine.
They all vary.
Q. Sure. But I guess routine in the sense
that they don't take an inordinate amount of time
and they don't generally exceed, you know, an
extraordinarily high monetary threshold?
A. Again, a very difficult question to answer
with any certainty.
Q. And it's not data that OCD, I guess,
tracks?
A. I have not tracked that, and I'm not aware
if we have.
Q. Okay. How does OCD prioritize the wells
that they plug? Is there a set of criteria that's
used?
A. There are criteria that I may not be aware
of. When we're looking at issuing POs, that is
outside of my scope as to how those are determined

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 115
1	and which wells are included.
2	Q. Can you clarify for the record what you
3	mean by PO, please?
4	A. Oh, purchase order.
5	Q. Okay. Thank you. Is it fair to say the
6	OCD would prioritize the oldest or riskiest wells
7	for plugging?
8	A. I could not say that.
9	Q. Who at OCD would know the answer to that?
10	A. There are people within OCD that could
11	probably answer that much more accurately than I can
12	because they have the information that I don't have.
13	Q. Okay. I'd like to ask you about your
14	testimony on rule 19.15.25.10, which requires
15	operators to permanently confine oil, gas, and water
16	in their original strata. Is that an accurate
17	description of that rule?
18	A. That sounds like an exact stating of it,
19	yes.
20	Q. And they have to do that before that well
21	is abandoned, correct?
22	A. That is what the NMAC you stated says that
23	we are to do or the operator is to do.
24	Q. And that rule has been on the books for
25	many years; is that right?

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 116
1	A. My understanding is that it probably has
2	been.
3	Q. And the Coll Number 1 occurred the
4	issues occurred with that well because it was
5	plugged back in, I think, 1953, you said?
6	A. I don't I know it was plugged in 1993,
7	because I have that in the records. Other than
8	that, I can't state anything about that plugging.
9	Q. I'm sorry, did you say '93 or '53?
10	A. 1953. My apologies.
11	Q. No problem.
12	And that was decades before today's rules
13	were in place, correct?
14	A. I can't state that with any certainty
15	because I don't know when the rules were put in
16	place and any modifications to them, I don't know
17	that.
18	Q. Would you say that you sorry, go ahead.
19	A. I said I'm working currently with what we
20	have currently published and trying to follow those
21	the best we can.
22	Q. So do you know anything about the rules
23	that were in place back in the 1950s?
24	A. Most definitely not.
25	Q. Okay. Is it possible there were no

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 117
1	regulations covering the plugging of these types of
2	wells at that time, to your knowledge?
3	MR. TREMAINE: Objection,
4	speculation. I think it's asked and answered, too.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: So, Mr. Diede,
6	I do not want you to speculate in response. Do you
7	have an answer that doesn't require speculation?
8	LOREN DIEDE: Agreed, thank you.
9	Q (By Mr. Suazo) And just to confirm your
10	testimony, it's possible that the operators at that
11	time were conducting plugging operations in
12	accordance with what was appropriate at that time?
13	MR. TREMAINE: I must maintain my
14	objection. Mr. Diede has already testified that he
15	doesn't know what rules were in place and what
16	operators were doing in respect to the rules at the
17	time. He's merely testified to what is in the well
18	file with the casing diagram.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: That's what I
20	heard as well, Mr. Suazo.
21	MR. SUAZO: I'll move on.
22	Q (By Mr. Suazo) Mr. Diede, with respect to
23	the rule changes that are the subject of that are
24	the subject of this proceeding, we're generally
25	talking about wells that are much newer in vintage

	Edicii Bicac Getobel 23, 2023
	Examination by Mr. Suazo 118
1	than the wells that you discussed in your testimony
2	examples, correct?
3	A. I would say that's probably true.
4	Q. Now, for some of the wells that you've
5	discussed, you mentioned OCD was losing cement
6	downhole. Did OCD set a cast iron bridge plug in
7	those wells?
8	A. There are times that you can't get into
9	the well, can't get into the casing far enough to be
10	able to do that. So you have to do the best you can
11	with the circumstances you have if you can't get the
12	tubing out or if the casing is corroded to the point
13	where you can't set a plug.
14	Q. Okay. But do you know if in these cases
15	with the Coll and Buckskin, if it was attempted or
16	whether it was possible?
17	A. Could you rephrase that, please. Because
18	these are two very different wells.
19	Q. Well, I guess let's take each one in turn.
20	Let's start with the Coll. Do you know whether a
21	cast iron bridge plug was put in place or whether it
22	would have been possible for purposes of plugging
23	that well?
24	A. Are you asking about the original
25	plugging?

	Edicii Diede Getobel 23, 2023
	Examination by Mr. Suazo 119
1	Q. No, sir. I'm asking about what OCD did.
2	A. Well, we were able to get into that well
3	enough to set a bridge plug.
4	Q. Okay. Does OCD keep track of how many
5	wells are successfully plugged by operators
6	annually?
7	A. I do not.
8	Q. Would you say that the overwhelming
9	majority of plugging in New Mexico is done by
10	operators?
11	A. Well, that data is out there, I'm sure. I
12	don't have it.
13	Q. Okay. So I guess with respect to your
14	testimony, is a fair takeaway that the rules that
15	are in place currently are adequate for effective
16	plugging, but the OCD infrequently or on occasion
17	encounters extreme examples?
18	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. It's a
19	compound question. I'm not sure what rules
20	Mr. Suazo is referring to.
21	MR. SUAZO: I can refer to the rule.
22	Q (By Mr. Suazo) I'm sorry, I can be clearer.
23	The rule that you referenced on your slide,
24	19.15.25.10, would you say that rule is adequate for
25	effective plugging operations?

	Loren Diede - October 23, 2025
	Examination by Mr. Suazo 120
1	A. It had evidently been determined to be
2	adequate by someone. I didn't make it. I follow it
3	as best I can.
4	Q. Okay. I think that's fair.
5	Mr. Diede, would it surprise you if
6	industry found some of these wells that are of older
7	vintage, let's say 50 to 100 years old, and industry
8	was able to rework them and produce them?
9	A. Would it surprise me? Quite a few years
LO	ago, I didn't think we could do horizontal wells,
L1	and now we're doing it. So anything is possible
L2	with technology advances. So I'm not sure the point
L3	of that question.
L <b>4</b>	Q. Well, do you know of any wells that are of
L5	older vintage, like the wells that you used in your
L6	description, that were reworked and able to be
L7	produced?
L8	A. I can't answer that with any accuracy. I
L9	plug the ones that have been determined that need to
20	be plugged. I don't do remediation on old wells
21	anymore.
22	Q. Okay. And just to be clear, your
23	testimony today is not offering an opinion in any
24	way for or against justifying increasing

increases in bonding, correct?

24

25

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 121
1	A. That is correct. I am not offering any
2	opinions on that matter.
3	MR. SUAZO: All right. Thank you,
4	Mr. Diede.
5	NMOGA has no further questions for this
6	witness.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
8	Thank you very much.
9	You've brought us to within three minutes
10	of noon. So let's take our lunch break and return
11	at 1:00.
12	(Recess was taken from 12:01 p.m. until 1:04 p.m.)
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We are back
14	after a lunch break, and we're going to continue
15	with the questioning of Mr. Diede.
16	Let's see. Mr. Sayer or Ms. Bradfute, do
17	you have questions?
18	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions?
19	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Madam Hearing
20	Officer. I do have a few.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. RANKIN:
23	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Diede. How are you
24	today?
25	A. Very well. Thank you.
	Page 121

## Examination by Mr. Rankin 122 1 Thank you for the background on some of Ο. 2 Appreciate it. It's helpful for me to learn 3 some new terms and actually see some new photos as well. 4 5 My name is Adam Rankin. I represent OXY in this matter. I don't -- I don't suppose that you 6 7 were involved with any of the discussions between OXY and the applicants in this case, so I'll 8 probably save my comments for appreciation for the 9 Division's engagement for another witness. 10 11 But just wanted to confirm that you 12 weren't involved with any of those discussions, were 13 you? 14 No, I was not. Α. 15 In your testimony, I think it's slide 1 --Q. 16 I think I'll go ahead and pull it up. Oh, 17 there's -- I had my colorful slide up there. In this slide number 4 here, you talked 18 19 about two different groups or categories of wells, none of which is formally defined, but one is the 20 orphan well and the other is the forced plugging 21 22 The -- and I understand that -- just so I well. understand, the wells that you gave examples of in 23 24 your testimony, were those all what you would call

Page 122

orphan wells?

25

24 A. That is correct.

25

Q. In the -- in the year-and-a-half you've

Examination by Mr. Rankin 124 1 been with the Division, have you seen an increase in 2. these forced plugging type situations? I can't speak to that because I haven't 3 Α. been tracking. So I wouldn't be able to say whether 4 it's increasing or decreasing. 5 Okay. And just -- okay. What role does 6 7 the -- do you have or does the Division have when it's a forced plugging situation, where it's a 8 third-party operator coming in? What role does the 9 10 Division have in that process? 11 Well, doing some oversight similar to what Α. 12 I would do on an orphan well plugging, and it might 13 have to do in some regards to the fact that the operator doesn't always have all of the information 14 15 that they would normally have on their own plugging. 16 So I will get involved in formation top picks to make sure we have all of the formation tops 17 that the conditions of approval will require. 18 19 then offer some guidance where I can along the way 20 as they do their operation. 21 Q. Now, as to the forced plugging wells, sometimes it's the case that the operator, the 22 23 designated -- the division-designated operator of 24 those wells is no longer viable. And that might have been the case with the Cato San Andres wells, 25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 125
1	right?
2	A. Can you restate, please.
3	Q. Sure. I guess my question is: For the
4	forced plugging wells, the operators of the
5	Division-designated operators of wells that are, you
6	know, forced plugged or identified as being to
7	be forced plugged by the State Land Office or the
8	BLM, it's sometimes the case that the
9	Division-designated operator is no longer a viable
LO	entity, right?
L1	Like for bankruptcy or some other reason,
L2	they're no longer valid you know, they're no
L3	longer around?
L <b>4</b>	A. The previous operator of record would not
L5	be viable anymore at that point, yes.
L6	Q. But is it always the case that the
L7	Division-designated operator of these forced
L8	plugging wells is no longer viable? Sometimes they
L9	just refuse to do the work, right? So then BLM or
20	the State Land Office will look to the lessee of
21	record or another operator of record to do the work;
22	is that correct?
23	A. I can't I can't answer that accurately
24	because I don't have the information to substantiate
25	it one way or another.

operator of a well, like some of the clients that I

worked for before coming here, you know, they had a

24

25

Examination by Mr. Rankin 127 1 whole file room of files that you could go through 2. to get all the information, every bit of history of the well that was recorded in those records would be 3 4 available to you. In this particular case, whether they're 5 orphan or whether they're being forced plugged, if 6 7 the ownership has, you know, changed hands a number of times, those records are often never available to 8 the final holder, final person that has to do the 9 They just don't exist sometimes. 10 work. 11 I see. So the distinction you're making Ο. 12 is that -- or the point you're making is that 13 because the entity no longer exists, those records that are not public are not available to the OCD or 14 15 the operator who's been tasked to do the forced 16 plugging, correct? 17 Α. That would be correct. 18 And so that all they're left with is 0. 19 whatever may be available publicly with the OCD, 20 correct? 21 Α. That is correct also. All right. And so the older the well, the 22 0. 23 less public information is probably available on that well, correct? 24 Generally, but not always. 25 Α.

Examination by Mr. Rankin 128 Okay. All right. So then the 1 Ο. Yeah. 2 other wells that you identified in your testimony that you talked about and gave some more detail on, 3 I think it's the CS -- oh, those are the -- okay. 4 5 So you addressed two of the Cato San Andres unit wells, the 164 and the 150. And 6 7 those were both forced plugging wells, correct? Α. Those -- yes. 8 Okay. And then the Coll Number 1 and the 9 Q. Buckskin were both orphan wells? 10 The Coll well, I'm not sure how to define 11 Α. 12 that because that was just an old P&A that, you 13 know, failed and had to repair it. 14 Got it. And the Buckskin was an orphan Q. 15 well, right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Okay. In each instance, though, none of 18 those wells were recently active producing wells, 19 right? Cato San Andres well, I don't know how 20 Α. recently they had produced, but as far as the Coll, 21 of course, it stopped producing in 1953. And the 22 23 Buckskin had, then, converted to an injection well 24 in 1984, and I don't know when it had stopped being 25 used.

Q. Yeah. Okay. Just based on your experience, Mr. Diede, and all the years you've got and the work you've done with the Division over the last year-and-a-half and your testimony about the

22

23

24

25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 130
1	wide range of costs incurred by the Division to
2	undertake plugging in particular, I guess the
3	Buckskin was one of the more expensive ones in
4	your opinion, is a is an average plugging cost
5	incurred by the Division a useful metric, given that
6	wide variety, especially in the case of orphan
7	wells?
8	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. Mr. Diede
9	has testified to the expensive time and oversight.
10	He's testified that he does not review he's not
11	responsible for financial records or money costs.
12	We will have witnesses that can address this in more
13	detail.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I remember
15	that.
16	MR. RANKIN: Well, I guess he's got
17	50 years' experience managing and overseeing
18	plugging. And he's testified about the wide range
19	of experiences and costs that were incurred by the
20	Division. I'm wondering, based on his experience
21	and testimony, whether he has an opinion about
22	whether an average cost is a useful metric. I think
23	that's within the scope of his experience and
24	testimony.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
	Page 130

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 131
1	Excuse me, Mr. Diede, if you have an answer, go
2	ahead. Otherwise, not.
3	A. I hesitate to answer that, so I do not
4	have an answer.
5	Q (By Mr. Rankin) So the reason, I guess
6	because you talk about in your testimony you do
7	reference costs, for example, of the Cato San Andres
8	wells, but you don't actually provide those costs in
9	your testimony or in your slides. And that's
10	because that wasn't a focus of your that's not a
11	part of your job description or you know, your
12	purview as a in your role; is that right?
13	A. That is correct.
14	Q. Okay. And I think Mr. Suazo asked you
15	about prioritization and how the Division
16	prioritizes. I think you answered that just to
17	be clear, I think that you said you didn't you
18	weren't aware about how wells were prioritized for
19	plugging, right?
20	A. That is not an area that I get involved
21	in.
22	Q. Okay. In your conclusion, you talked
23	about you left us with some thoughts I got
24	this highlighted here that there's, you know,
25	49,000 or nearly 50,000 wells in the state that

Examination by Mr. Rankin 132 1 are plugged and an unknown subset of those wells 2. have been inadequately plugged. Do you know if the Division has done any 3 sort of analysis to evaluate, based on its 4 5 experience remediating improperly plugged wells, what fraction or portion of those plugged wells may 6 7 be an issue? Α. I don't know how to answer that. But I 8 can say that of all of those wells that were 9 plugged -- and we talk about an unknown subset and 10 11 it's exactly that, it's unknown -- whether they were adequately or inadequately plugged is to be 12 13 determined when they fail. And you don't know when 14 that's going to happen either. 15 So, yeah, I guess the more direct -- or Q. 16 the -- you know, the piece -- the question I'm -- I 17 guess you can tell me yes or no, but you're not aware of any study or analysis that the Division has 18 19 done to kind of -- try to quantify that, to your knowledge, right? 20 I am not aware of one, whether it existed 21 Α. or not -- or exists or not. 22 23 On the prioritization question, I think Ο. Mr. Suazo asked you who at the Division would be 24 able to answer the question about how the Division 25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 133
1	identifies priority for its plugging. But I don't
2	think you gave him an answer.
3	Do you know who are any of the
4	witnesses slated to testify qualified to answer how
5	the Division prioritizes its plugging activities?
6	A. I will leave that to someone at OCD
7	management to answer that question.
8	Q. Do you know, among the witnesses
9	remaining, if that if any of those witnesses can
10	answer that question?
11	A. I think some of those witnesses can give
12	you some more direction than I can.
13	Q. Okay. So I'll ask each one in turn and
14	see where I go.
15	Okay. I think let me make sure I
16	covered everything that you would be able to
17	answer. I think you I think you did, but let me
18	just make sure.
19	And just to make sure I covered this
20	ground, Mr. Diede, I think I understood you to say
21	that you had some general discussions about
22	applicant's proposed rules, but you, yourself,
23	haven't reviewed them. So you're not familiar with
24	the way the applicants have defined the term
25	"marginal well," correct?

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 134
1	A. That is correct. I have not been in those
2	discussions, other than I know those discussions
3	have been had, but not by me or not involving me.
4	Q. Same thing with the term or a well that
5	would qualify as having no beneficial use, you also
6	are not familiar with how that's defined under the
7	proposed rule?
8	A. Same answer for that, yes, sir.
9	Q. Yeah. Okay. So, yeah, you couldn't tell
10	me whether any of the wells you discussed today or
11	reviewed for the Commission would qualify for any of
12	those categories of wells, right?
13	A. I would not be able to tell you that.
14	Q. Okay.
15	MR. RANKIN: I think that covers my
16	questions. Thank you very much, Madam Hearing
17	Officer.
18	Thank you very much for your time.
19	Appreciate it. Thank you.
20	LOREN DIEDE: Thank you.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
22	Thank you, Mr. Rankin.
23	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
24	Mr. Diede?
25	MR. MAXWELL: No questions, Your
	Page 134

	Examination by Ms. Fox 135
1	Honor. Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
3	I don't know if Ms. Nanasi is on the
4	platform.
5	No. Mr. Moore?
6	Sheila, can you make sure he can unmute
7	himself? He might be RM right there.
8	MS. APODACA: He has permission to
9	unmute.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Moore?
11	He may have stepped away.
12	Ms. Fox or Mr. Tisdel.
13	MS. FOX: Thank you, Madam Hearing
14	Officer. We have just a few.
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. FOX:
17	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Diede. My name is
18	Tannis Fox. I'm a lawyer with Western Environmental
19	Law Center, and I represent applicants in this
20	matter. Thank you very much for your testimony.
21	I just have a few questions. I take it
22	from your testimony that this is your primary
23	responsibility with OCD overseeing the plugging and
24	abandonment of orphan wells?
25	A. Yes, it is.
	Page 135

	Loren Diede - October 25, 2025
	Examination by Ms. Fox 136
1	Q. And you've been in your position, as
2	Mr. Rankin noted, about a little over a
3	year-and-a-half; is that correct?
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. And within that time period, how many
6	orphan wells have you overseen the plugging and
7	abandonment for from beginning to end during that
8	period?
9	A. I hesitate to say that. I have not been
10	keeping track, to be honest with you. So I don't
11	have a number. It's something I could find, but I
12	don't have it.
13	Q. Would it if you could say without
14	guessing, would it be more or less than 20 wells?
15	A. It would be more than 20. I know that.
16	Q. Would it be less than 30 wells?
17	A. There again, I'm sorry.
18	Q. If you know. If you know.
19	A. Yeah, I can't I can't get that any
20	closer because I have not been tracking them.
21	Q. Okay. And how many people within OCD have
22	essentially the same job description as you?
23	A. Pretty much myself.
24	Q. Oh.
25	A. I'm the only one that focuses on this
	D. 126
	Page 136

	Examination by Ms. Fox 137
1	pretty much exclusively.
2	Q. Okay. Going to the Buckskin Number 1 and
3	Number 2 wells. I take it from your testimony that
4	there was a big differential between the work done,
5	plugging and abandoning those two wells, correct?
6	A. Yes, as the table shows that the Number 1
7	well was relatively uneventful.
8	Q. And I also take it from your testimony
9	that you don't know the precise cost of differential
10	between the work between those two wells; is that
11	correct?
12	A. Right. That is correct, I do not know.
13	Q. I note that for Buckskin Number 1, the
14	casing depth was about 6800 feet, and for Number 2,
15	the casing depth was about 4,000 feet; is that
16	correct?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. And then I also note that for Number 1,
19	the depth reached was about 6600 feet, and the depth
20	reached for Number 2 was about 2900 feet; is that
21	correct?
22	A. That is also correct.
23	Q. And what exactly does that mean, depth
24	reached?
25	A. That was on the Buckskin Number 1, we
	Page 137

Q. And then I think your testimony was -- and please correct me if I'm misstating -- was that this is an example where the depth of the well is not correlated very precisely with the technical work that's required to P&A the well or the cost to P&A the well; is that correct?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yeah, the difficulty of the plugging operation had nothing to do with the depth of the well on either one of -- or of this -- of the Buckskin Number 2 relative to the Buckskin Number 1.
- Q. What were the factors that played into the amount of technical work and cost involved in P&A Number 2?
- A. Getting some abnormal pressure flows with unexpected -- number one, unexpected pressure and number two, unexpected amount of particulate matter including rocks up to an inch in diameter flowing up the casing, which, you know, is just -- it's very

Q. And then I believe you testified something to the effect of, with regard to the Buckskin wells, is that you would have anticipated -- given that they were drilled around this same time and in the same location and at about the same depth, that you

23

24

25

	Examination by Ms. Fox 140
1	would have anticipated that the cost to P&A would be
2	similar. Is that what you is that a correct
3	recitation of your testimony?
4	A. No, I'm not going to testify to costs,
5	but
6	Q. Okay.
7	A the two wells, the two Buckskin wells,
8	given information available.
9	Prior to the leak that we had on the
10	casing of the Buckskin Number 2, which was kind of
11	an indication that Buckskin Number 2 was going to be
12	potentially more challenging than the Number 1, I
13	would have not having known that, I would have
14	expected the Number 1 to cost more time than the
15	Number 2. That was not the case.
16	Q. And so would it be fair to say that in
17	certain instances, it would be hard to predict the
18	cost to P&A a well, and you just have to get in
19	there to find out what the what the work will
20	involve?
21	A. Yes. And you have to anticipate, of
22	course when you write your procedures, you have
23	to anticipate what you think it's going to require.
24	And given the amount of well history information
25	available, you make some determination of what's

	Examination by Mr. Tremaine 141
1	required when you write your procedure. And then
2	once you open up the wellbore, you'll truly find out
3	what you're dealing with.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	MS. FOX: That's all the questions I
6	have.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
8	Ms. Fox.
9	Mr. Tremaine, do you have any redirect?
10	MR. TREMAINE: I just have a couple
11	of questions. I'll be brief.
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. TREMAINE:
14	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Diede. You partly
15	answered my first question, so I just want to
16	clarify here. Would you agree that relative to your
17	experience when you worked in industry, that the
18	quality of information about well construction and
19	history available to you at OCD when you're plugging
20	orphan wells is lower than that than what you
21	would have had in industry?
22	A. Yes, that's correct.
23	Q. And in your experience prior to OCD, did
24	you experience as high of a rate of tubing or casing
25	failure as you observe in reviewing orphan plugging

Examination by Mr. Tremaine

of wells at OCD?

2.

A. I think we're almost talking about two different types of well categories, because most of my experience in working with an operator as a consultant, we were -- well, I was fortunate to be working with an operator that was trying to be proactive and take care of those things before they deteriorated.

And I don't think that's the case that we're looking at in orphan wells or possibly even forced plugging wells. I think these have been left to deteriorate longer than what I experienced in the industry with the operator.

- Q. Thank you. In your experience, if a well has degraded casing or tubing, would it be standard practice to continue producing the well in that condition?
- A. No. You'd have to -- you'd have to do a workover of some sort. Depending on what the issue was, you'd have to work it over, and I -- yeah.
- Q. And you received a number of questions about the nature of the examples you provided as extreme examples. Both of those extreme examples that you outlined today, those were both observed during your time working with OCD only in the last

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 143
1	1.5 years, correct?
2	A. That's true, very short period.
3	MR. TREMAINE: No further redirect.
4	Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
6	much, Mr. Tremaine.
7	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
8	questions of Mr. Diede?
9	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes, very
10	brief.
11	EXAMINATION
12	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
13	Q. So my first question to you is: You know,
14	one of our safety concerns, when you visit such a
15	site, you know, similar to the examples that you
16	showed your slide number 8, slide number 9, slide
17	number 10, so concerning.
18	A. I'm sorry, was there a question there?
19	Q. Yeah. So can you hear me?
20	A. I hear you very well. Thank you.
21	Q. Okay. So before I ask you I ask the
22	question one more time, you know, thank you for your
23	service to our state.
24	Now, back to my question. So I was asking
25	you, on slide number 8, 9, and 10 where you were

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 144
1	showing some of the figures, the actual things going
2	on in the field, I was asking: What are some of the
3	safety concerns, you know, to you and then also to
4	even the plugging companies when you go to the sites
5	to do this type of job?
6	A. Oh, yes. Sorry for misunderstanding.
7	The safety concerns are a variety of
8	safety concerns. You know, the examples of the
9	unstable environment and the surface ground
10	around the well, that is a major concern because you
11	have to you have to do some remediation before
12	you can safely move in a heavy piece of equipment
13	like the rig.
14	Some of the others, when you actually have
15	the rig in place and you start pulling on the tubing
16	and your casing parts, to me, that's pretty serious
17	because now you have no way to safely keep that well
18	confined. And so that is that worries me.
19	Q. Yeah, surely it does. I mean, I was so
20	scary when I was seeing all of these figures
21	pictures.
22	So, sir, since you are, more or less,
23	overseeing the orphan well plugging program and
24	based on your experience in 1.5 years with the OCD,

so what are some of the lessons that you've learned

25

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 145
1	from all of these wells that have already been
2	plugged? Some of the lessons that have already been
3	learned to at least guide OCD in moving forward?
4	A. Well, first and foremost is be prepared
5	for almost anything. Do not assume that what you
6	think you know about the well is correct. And
7	number two, almost important as important would
8	be to have good communications with your field
9	supervisors that are actually physically looking at
10	and judging those safety concerns realtime on-site.
11	Q. My last question to you is so you
12	talked about, on your conclusions slide, New Mexico
13	has 49,964 wells within the state data that are
14	plugged. Is there anything that OCD is doing in
15	terms of some random water train, or is there even
16	research that is being done or planned to at least
17	minimize the risk, you know, similar to what the
18	extreme case that you presented to us?
19	A. I'm not aware of anything that is
20	currently being done in that regard. And like I
21	stated, you know, this was kind of something new to
22	me. I had not experienced this type of P&A failure
23	because I had never really been working around that
24	many old wells until coming here to OCD.
25	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you,

	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 146
1	sir, for your testimony, and thank you for being
2	here.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
4	Commissioner Bloom, do you have questions
5	of Mr. Diede?
6	Commissioner Bloom may have stepped away.
7	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I'm here. Thank
8	you, Madam Hearing Officer.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
11	Q. Mr. Diede, thank you for your testimony
12	today. Just a few questions. I don't think we're
13	going to need too much time here. Let me pull them
14	up.
15	Mr. Diede, you discussed the Coll Number 1
16	well, the C-O-L-L Number 1, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did you mention that it was leaking H2S?
19	A. We did see H2S when the our Hobbs
20	supervisor and contractor went to location to check
21	it.
22	Q. I think the number I'm seeing is 100 parts
23	per million, correct?
24	A. Um-hmm.
25	Q. For those that might not be aware, can you
	Page 146

	Browinstien by Commissioner Dleam 147
	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 147
1	explain what H2S is?
2	A. Hydrogen sulfide gas is basically
3	poisonous gas. Something that people that work in
4	the oilfield are very similar with and routinely
5	have training how to understand if it's present,
6	what to do about it if it is. Very poisonous.
7	Q. Yeah. Does OCD staff in the field wear an
8	H2S monitor or alarm?
9	A. I know our inspectors have monitors.
10	Q. How again, how close was this well to
11	that building? It looked pretty nearby.
12	A. Yeah, it's under I'm sorry for
13	interrupting. It's under 25 feet from the
14	outbuilding. I don't know the exact footage.
15	Q. So in your opinion, can wells in need of
16	P&A, plugging and abandonment, threaten human health
17	and the environment?
18	A. I think there are many things that can
19	threaten our our health and environment. Wells
20	are just one of them. And wells to be plugged, of
21	course, are also one. I don't know how to rank all
22	of those different things and different risks, no.
23	Q. All right.
24	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Thank you very
25	much for your time today, Mr. Diede.

	,
	Examination by Commissioner Bloom 148
1	LOREN DIEDE: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Appreciate it.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
4	Commissioner Bloom.
5	Chair Chang, do you have questions of
6	Mr. Diede?
7	CHAIR CHANG: I do not.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All righty.
9	Any reason not to excuse Mr. Diede?
10	Thank you so much, Mr. Diede, for your
11	testimony.
12	LOREN DIEDE: Thank you all very much
13	as well. Thanks.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Tremaine
15	or Mr. Hall?
16	MR. HALL: Thank you, Madam Hearing
17	Officer. At this time OCD will call John Garcia.
18	He's available remotely.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
20	Thank you.
21	I see him.
22	Hello, Mr. Garcia, will you spell your
23	first and last name, please.
24	JOHN GARCIA: Yes. J-O-H-N, and then
25	last name Garcia, G-A-R-C-I-A.
	Page 148
	rage 140

	·
	Examination by Mr. Hall 149
1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear
2	or affirm to tell the truth?
3	JOHN GARCIA: I do.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
5	Go ahead, Mr. Hall.
6	MR. HALL: Thank you.
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. HALL:
9	Q. Could you state your name again, please,
10	sir.
11	A. John Garcia.
12	Q. Mr. Garcia, how are you employed?
13	A. I work for OCD as an engineering special
14	project supervisor.
15	Q. Have you in preparation for this
16	hearing today, have you prepared written testimony
17	to be provided to the Commission?
18	A. I have.
19	Q. And is that Exhibit 1 of OCD's exhibits?
20	A. It is.
21	Q. And Exhibit 2, is that a true and correct
22	copy of your resume or curriculum vitae?
23	A. It is.
24	Q. Additionally, have you prepared some
25	slides to assist in your summary of testimony today
	Page 149

	John Garcia - October 25, 2025
	Examination by Mr. Hall 150
1	as Exhibit 3?
2	A. I have.
3	Q. Additionally, have you prepared three
4	or have you presented three spreadsheets to be used
5	in evidence in this matter, namely Exhibit 17, the
6	Master Orphan Spreadsheet; Exhibit 25, which is well
7	plugging data from 2024; and Exhibit 16, which is
8	fiscal year 2024 production information?
9	A. Yes. And just to clarify, Exhibit 17, the
10	Master Orphan Sheet, I built and created.
11	Exhibit 16 was partially built by Brandon Powell,
12	who will testify later, but I used some of the data
13	in there to justify some of my slides. So but, I
14	guess, just to clarify, he assisted in that
15	building.
16	Q. And how about Exhibit 25?
17	A. Exhibit 25, I have my slides in front of
18	me.
19	Q. I can pull it up too.
20	A. Which one?
21	Q. I can pull that up. I believe that's some
22	well plugging information and different categories
23	from 2024
24	A. Correct, that
25	Q that you referred to in your testimony.
	Page 150

	Examination by Mr. Hall 151
1	And thank you for that clarification, Mr. Garcia.
2	Do you adopt and is all the information
3	contained, to the best of your knowledge, in each of
4	those exhibits correct? Do you have any I'm
5	sorry, go ahead.
6	A. It is, and no modifications.
7	Q. You have no modifications? Did I hear
8	that correctly?
9	A. No modifications.
L O	Q. Okay. Are you prepared to present a
L1	summary of your direct testimony to the Commission
L2	today?
L3	A. I am.
L <b>4</b>	Q. I would ask you to go ahead and present
L5	that. And if you'd like me to share any slides, if
L6	you would please just let me know and I'll do my
L7	best to do that.
L8	A. If we can share the slides so I can see
L9	them. And, I guess, before we get started, can you
20	hear me okay?
21	Q. Yes.
22	Can you see that, Mr. Garcia?
23	A. I can.
24	Q. Great. If you would please present a
25	summary of your testimony. Thank you.

Examination by Mr. Hall

A. I'll start off, prior to this slide, my testimony includes my written testimony, which I summarize today. In addition is my resume and experience. I'll summarize that briefly.

I came to OCD December 2019 as a drilling specialist. I worked in the admin team processing APDs, plugging summaries, drilling summaries, pretty much any submission that OCD receives.

In 2023, January, I was promoted to the engineering supervisor of the special projects. My role and responsibility with that -- it's a special projects team, so the roles vary. We encounter hearings for OCD and the orphan plugging, of course.

The Master Orphan Spreadsheet got some attention today. I'll talk about how we built it. Originally, I was tasked by my management to build this Excel sheet as -- when I first entered the program, there was a number of places, whether it was OCD's website or various Excel sheets, that management was having to pull data from to keep their reporting to whoever was accurate, whether that was a federal or state government.

I was tasked by my manager, Brandon

Powell, to be specific to build a database for them

to use specifically. I met with multiple teams,

Page 152

Examination by Mr. Hall 153 1 stakeholder meetings. It took quite a while to 2 build and pull off the data. A lot of the data was 3 built by me, reading and interpreting hearing orders, with legal vetting my reading and 4 5 interpretation of those orders to get wells into the Excel sheet. 6 7 We then built it into -- you'll see the color scheme. It's not showing on the screen, but 8 there is a color scheme built into it where there is 9 10 a black color, a red color, et cetera. Those colors 11 were built for management to have a clear, concise person of who they could contact responsible for 12 13 that data. For example, Engineering is dark blue. 14 That's mine and Loren's database that we're 15 responsible for on a day-to-day operational level. 16 That list contains about 1800 wells, as people had 17 talked about. I think that's about it for that slide. 18 19 This slide was built just to show some of 20 the numbers of wells that are plugged in the state of New Mexico. OCD plugged 100 wells in the 21 calendar year 2024. Forced plugging, same 22 definition that Loren used was 129. Industry 23 24 plugged, 173 wells. This data was used by sundries that were submitted to OCD, subsequent plugging

Page 153

## Examination by Mr. Hall 154 1 sundries. 2. If you can find OCD and forced pluggings, about 23 percent of the wells plugged were orphan. 3 4 If you don't want to count forced plugging at OCD, 5 about 10 percent were orphan plugging. I believe that's it on that slide. 6 7 This graph was -- this graph was built by me to try to show the Commission, if the petition 8 was adopted, how many wells it could potentially 9 10 affect. I will note there was some confusion 11 internally with OCD. Specifically, I had some 12 confusion with it, as well, in the original petition 13 on the reading of it. 14 There was some confusion on it. 15 petition was supposed to be "and/or." Was it 16 supposed to interpret it as "or"? So, for example, 17 was it 180 days and 1,000 BOE or was it 180 days or 1,000 BOE for marginal wells? 18 19 I chose to use the word "or" in the code 20 because it showed the more severe consequence of the rule, if adopted, so the Commission can have a, I 21 guess, worst case scenario mindset on it when 22 23 they're reviewing it. And basically, this graph shows 24 33,000-plus productive wells, which are wells that 25

Examination by Mr. Hall 155 1 didn't fall into the categories. That was the 2. definition that I came up with it. It was not in 3 the petition. Marginal, inactive, and beneficial 4 use were definitions in the petition by the applicants. But, again, I used the word "or" in the 5 So if a well hit 90 days or 90 BOE, it fell 6 7 into the no beneficial use category. I think that's about it on this as well. 8 Now, the following slides are -- they're 9 wells that I picked. There's no specific reasons 10 11 these wells are picked, other than they're good examples on some of the confusion that existed with 12 13 me and to show the Commission the difference of BOE 14 and the days produced. 15 For example, the Electra Federal 16 Number 22, we can see the last few years of its production history. We can also see the last few 17 18 years of the days it reported production. 19 all operator provided data to OCD. This graph is simply just showing the BOE versus days comparison. 20 If we can go to the next slide, I think 21 22 there's a more refined view of it. 23 So the Electra Federal well, but it's seen 24 again on the BOEs and also dropped the day count. So the black line on the left that's crossing 25

Examination by Mr. Hall 156 1 through the data is an indication marker of where 2. the 1,000 BOE threshold is. Using the "or" mindset, 3 this well would be marginal even though it had the, 4 you know, 350, give or take, days reported 5 production every year. The last two years it has fallen below the 1,000 BOEs for various reasons. 6 So 7 this is just an example of a well that became a marginal -- or became marginal. 8 9 If you took the production by the year, it was less than 1 BOE per day, just showing that this 10 11 well was showing signs of having some sort of 12 problem. 13 Next slide, please. 14 Similar -- I think the well name got cut 15 off of my side. The Eubanks BEN Com well, similar 16 example, it's just another well to show the 17 difference in BOE versus days. This well, we can see, has a normal decline curve. The last few years 18 19 the production has been overall kind of stable. has been under that 90 BOE for this well. However, 20 this well I used just to show the fluctuation in 21 days that it's been producing over the last, you 22 23 know, 20 years or so, since 2006. Some years it's 24 produced every day of the year. Some years it's

Page 156

barely produced on the day count. The BOE doesn't

Examination by Mr. Hall 157 1 really reflect that here as much. But it's just 2. showing a difference between BOEs and day 3 fluctuations. I think the next slide is also zoomed in. 4 5 Same item, just a zoomed-in version of the previous graph on the last ten years of production. Eight of 6 7 the last ten years this well has been below the 90 BOE. Again, with the mindset of "or," this well 8 would have been not beneficial eight of the ten 9 10 years. 11 This well was drilled in 2005 to about 4500 feet. I'll note this well had been sold three 12 13 times since 2016. I do not have the exact names it 14 was sold to. They're public record, but it has been 15 sold three times. And then the last well is the Rock Queen. 16 17 Similar mindset, kind of showing the difference of well to well. This well is an older well, 1993. 18 19 It's the earliest production OCD has on it. The production looks skewed because they had a few good 20 years, 2015 and '16, which really brought production 21 22 up, but it -- inconsistent production from 1993 23 The days of production were fluctuating onward. 24 during the same time periods. I'm assuming the operator did some sort of workover or recomplete on 25

## John Garcia - October 23, 2025 Examination by Mr. Hall 158 And production came up, and the days still are 1 2 fluctuating even though BOE was coming up. And 3 that's just a graph showing the difference in days 4 as well. Same well, just a more refined view of the 5 days and the BOEs overlaid on each other with the 6 7 90-day BOE threshold labeled as that red line. This well has been sold nine times since 8 it was spud in 1955, which is quite a long life for 9 10 It has a lot of selling to go along with a well. 11 It was recently sold in 2024. And I'll note we have had very little production on this well since 12 13 the selling. 14 This is my last slide, and basically there 15 was some questions to Loren about the wells he used 16 as examples of OCD's plugging efforts. These are the same wells that Loren discussed. You'll notice 17 the Cato San Andres 150 and 164 on the left-hand 18 19 side. 20 This shows some the questions he was asked earlier about how many times has that well sold. I 21 do not have the last day they produced on here, but 22 they are public records. Both of those wells follow 23

a similar lifespan, just like they did drilling. They were depth -- drilled in 1967, sold to Sierra

24

25

	Examination by Mr. Hall 159
1	in 1995. Again in 1999. In 2007, they became an
2	orphan well under order R-14795-A. And as Loren
3	discussed, they were plugged by Shell Oil Company.
4	The Cato San Andres and Buckskin well, as
5	Loren discussed, these are just showing the same
6	history for these wells, how many times they were
7	sold or divested. It was drilled by Olsen Company.
8	It was sold to R. Olsen in 1954, and then it was
9	originally plugged by Jal Oil Company in 1960. Our
10	records are a little unclear there why the operator
11	didn't plug that well. But, again, obviously, it
12	was replugged by OCD recently.
13	The Buckskin, which was our the one
14	that Loren discussed, was drilled by Lanexco in
15	1980. It was sold to another entity of Lanexco
16	d/b/a New Mexico. It was sold again to
17	Energy Acumen in 2022.
18	There was an NOV against Lanexco. When
19	they were sold, they were subject to an ACOI for a
20	settlement agreement with Energy Acumen. And
21	Energy Acumen failed to follow that, and OCD plugged
22	this well.
23	And I believe that concludes my testimony.
24	Q. Thank you, Mr. Garcia.
25	MR. HALL: At this time, OCD would
	Page 159

	·
	Examination by Mr. Hall 160
1	move to would offer and ask for admission of
2	Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 7 16, 17, and 25.
3	MR. CLOUTIER: We'll be
4	cross-examining Mr. Garcia about that.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
6	Are there objections, aside from Mr. Cloutier's
7	objection to 16?
8	SPEAKER: No. But we'll object to 16
9	also pending the outcome of that cross-examination
10	from Mr. Cloutier, but no objections otherwise.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. So
12	Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 17, and 25 are admitted. And we
13	will resume our discussion later of Exhibit 16.
14	(OCD Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 17, and 25 admitted into
15	evidence.)
16	Mr. Cloutier, are you going to begin our
17	(sic) cross-examination?
18	MR. CLOUTIER: I will do so. Thank
19	you, Madam Hearing Officer.
20	Mr. (inaudible), if I can switch places
21	with you.
22	If Ms. Tripp could give us screen sharing
23	authority, please.
24	MS. TRIPP: I believe I have it, yes.
25	MR. CLOUTIER: And we filed and
	Page 160
	rage 100

	Examination by Mr. Hall 161
1	served on all parties certain demonstratives that we
2	were up late last night building.
3	MS. TRIPP: You should be seeing IPNM
4	demonstrative Garcia as the head?
5	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. We've got
6	it. Thank you.
7	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer,
8	we have some objections to both of these exhibits.
9	If this is premature, that's fine. Or if you would
10	like us to, we can lodge those objections at this
11	time.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: We're not admitting
13	these exhibits here. We're using them as
14	demonstrative right now, and we'll provide testimony
15	on them later.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
17	MR. HALL: May I present my
18	objections including based upon that basis?
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, present
20	your objection. We may or may not talk about it
21	right now, but go ahead.
22	MR. HALL: Very well. Thank you.
23	We have three general objections. The
24	main one being the timeliness. There was a deadline
25	of October 15th to file demonstrative exhibits at

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 162
1	5:00 p.m., while these came at 8:06 this morning,
2	less than one hour before the hearing started.
3	Additionally, related to that, Mr. Garcia
4	has not had very much time to review that or analyze
5	it and internalize that.
6	Also, he's mischaracterizing and altering
7	an exhibit that has been admitted into evidence.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
9	Thank you for that.
10	Let's proceed through the
11	cross-examination. And just a caution for
12	Mr. Garcia.
13	Mr. Garcia, if you feel that you are
14	unable to answer a question or questions based on a
15	lack of time to review these, just say so.
16	JOHN GARCIA: Understood.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Go ahead,
18	Mr. Cloutier.
19	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
22	Q. Mr. Garcia, my name is Andrew Cloutier. I
23	represent IPANM. I do want to thank you for your
24	public service industry. And the regulators
25	sometimes row their oars in the same direction and
	Page 162

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 163
1	sometimes don't, and this is one of the times we
2	don't.
3	I've got up your direct testimony that you
4	submitted, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And you described the creation of
7	Exhibit 16 as using in the second sentence of the
8	paragraph that we've got up there, applying
9	"applying" is my word I quote now, "a simple
LO	if/or script was programmed into the Excel
L1	spreadsheet to return the category title based on
L2	the parameters set in the petition." Did I read
L3	that correctly?
L4	A. Correct.
L5	Q. And you tell the Commission in your direct
L6	written testimony, "Those parameters are defined in
L7	the petition," correct?
L8	A. Correct.
L9	Q. And it says, "As," and I quote, "'marginal
20	well, " close quote, "which means an oil or gas well
21	that produced less than 180 days and less than
22	180 barrels of oil equivalent within a consecutive
23	12-month period," correct? That's your direct
24	testimony?
25	A. Correct, 180 days and 1,000 BO barrels
	Page 163

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 164 1 of oil equivalent. 2. Ο. And that was your direct testimony with 3 the word "and" in between the two parameters, 4 correct? This was a copy and paste from the 5 Α. 6 petition, but, yes, the word "and" is present. 7 All right. And you have told us today after I submitted our demonstratives that, in fact, 8 the word "or" was used when you created OCD 9 10 Exhibit 16 for marginal wells, correct? 11 Α. Correct. Again, there was some confusion 12 on the interpretation of the petition. So I chose 13 "or" based on direction from my management and legal 14 counsel. 15 Q. Okay. Which management directed you? Brandon Powell, who will speak later and 16 Α. 17 can probably talk more about it. I will note, I believe since I built that 18 19 exhibit, I believe the parties have had more discussion on that, which Brandon may discuss later. 20 Again, I'm not offering an opinion on the rule, just 21 more of, here's what the most substantial 22 expositional could be, and "or" is the more 2.3 substantial text. 24 25 Q. Correct. But in your written testimony,

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 165 you told the Commission, you told the parties that 1 2 you used the word "and" incorrect -- in doing this, 3 correct? 4 Α. As the petition defines, yes. 5 And that was -- when you wrote your direct Ο. testimony after using the script that you used, you 6 7 incorrectly described the script here in your direct testimony, didn't you? 8 9 Α. I wouldn't disagree. I would, again, say there was some confusion on how you would read and 10 11 interpret the rule on a broad basis. And it's confusing if you wanted the word "or" or "and." 12 13 And, again, per direction from my management, we 14 used the word "or." 15 So I would say the same is true in my 16 testimony, is here the petition aligns and how the 17 code worked, based on our interpretation of the rule. 18 19 You tell us that you did it based on the 20 parameters set in the petition, and the petition says "and" between the two categories -- or two 21 issues in marginal well. And, in fact, the script 22 2.3 said "or," and all the data in Exhibit 16 was based on "or," not "and," correct? 24 I still stand by my statement. I think 25 Α.

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 166 1 we're going in circles. My line 5 says an "if/or" 2 script was programmed. So I wasn't trying to, you 3 know, catch anyone off guard. I said I used the 4 word "or" based on the petition, which was copied and pasted below, because there was some confusion 5 on interpretation of the petition. 6 7 I understand confusion -- there's a possibility of confusion with the petition. But 8 were you confused when you signed your direct 9 10 testimony as to whether you used the word "or" or 11 "and" when you correct -- when you prepared Exhibit 16? 12 13 I used the word "or," and that's what I Α. 14 signed. 15 What did you sign that used the word "or"? Q. The exhibits, which included the code. 16 Α. 17 Ο. Okay. The Exhibit -- the Exhibits 16 and 17 did not include the script in it, did it? 18 19 Exhibit 16 and 17 did not. Historically, 20 codes tend to get broken the more they're shared. And so it showed the result of the code, but the 21 code was provided to IPANM per the request. 22 23 I agree. On the next page that we'll show Ο. 24 you -- in fact, why don't we go to the next page of

Page 166

the -- very briefly.

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 167
1	We had a heck of a time re-creating
2	Exhibit 16 from the data, and I finally asked your
3	counsel if we could have the "if/or" script. And I
4	asked last week, and I don't think unpromptly, but
5	after October 15th, we were provided the script.
6	And this sets forth
7	MR. HALL: Objection to the sidebar
8	and the testimony from Mr. Cloutier.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Cloutier,
10	please get to your get to your point here.
11	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) This is us being provided
12	with the script, correct, Mr. Garcia, Monday,
13	October 20?
14	A. According to what you're showing, that's
15	the day our counsel sent it to you.
16	Q. Are you aware of any of the parties
17	industry parties to this rulemaking getting this
18	script before October 20?
19	A. Not to my knowledge.
20	Q. All right. Thank you.
21	MR. CLOUTIER: Go back to page 1,
22	please, Ms. Tripp.
23	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) And on the new beneficial
24	use, same we have the same "and/or" issue. You
25	used the word, "For at least 90 days and has not
	Page 167

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 168
1	and has not produced at least 90 barrels of oil
2	equivalent," in your testimony that was written,
3	sworn, and submitted to the Commission, correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And, in fact, when you created Exhibit 16,
6	you used the word "or," not "and," in writing the
7	script, correct?
8	A. Again, correct.
9	Q. All right. And where in your testimony
10	did you advise the Commission or any of the parties
11	to this rulemaking that Exhibit 16 was, in fact, a
12	worst case scenario, not the parameters set forth in
13	the petition and the proposed rulemaking?
14	A. Again, Exhibit 16 just shows how OCD was
15	interpreting this petition, and there's confusion in
16	our directive. This what we built to give the
17	Commission the mindset of what this could affect.
18	It was our interpretation of the rule. I
19	think we've seen a lot in this, that data could be
20	taken in different ways, and this is how we
21	interpreted the data.
22	Q. Okay. All right.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: We've done the second
24	page, Ms. Tripp, so if we can go to the third page.
25	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) This is a page that we
	Page 168

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 169
1	prepared last night after finally sorting out this
2	script and served this morning. It's Exhibit 16
3	with the script that you wrote up top, correct?
4	A. I will note there's you guys caught it,
5	I believe, but there's a missing parenthesis, which
6	I think got lost in transit to you guys, between the
7	first "or" and H2.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. Other than that, that's pretty accurate.
10	Q. There was a missing parenthesis there,
11	correct? But that didn't that didn't affect the
12	numbers either, did it?
13	A. One to the right, if it ran without the
14	parenthesis there, it would have probably caused
15	issues, but
16	Q. Okay.
17	MR. CLOUTIER: On the second why
18	don't we go to the next page, Ms. Tripp, since
19	that's what Mr. Garcia is talking about.
20	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) So we fixed essentially
21	two things that were not completely proper here, and
22	one of them is the parenthesis that you're talking
23	about, but it didn't in our analysis, it did not
24	change the numbers in Exhibit 16 when we ran this
25	script.

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 170
1	MR. HALL: Once again, object to
2	Mr. Cloutier's testimony. I would ask that he ask
3	Mr. Garcia a question instead of testifying.
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Sure.
5	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) With these corrections,
6	do the numbers change on Exhibit 16? Were you able
7	to run the corrected script, Mr. Garcia?
8	A. I have not.
9	Q. Okay.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Let's go to the next
11	page, Ms. Tripp.
12	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Now, correction number 2
13	that we've our labeled here, is changing before
14	the word well, let me talk about this script
15	here.
16	There's the "If SS," I-F-S, correct? Do
17	you understand that to be a command that if it meets
18	one of the categories in the sequential order, then
19	you stop because you categorized the well? Is that
20	your understanding of how the script works?
21	A. Correct. This is a chunk statement, is
22	what I refer to it as, the reason the first chunk of
23	information and proceeds to the next one, depending
24	if it was a true or false result.
25	Q. And you for the definition of an
	Page 170

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 171
1	active, which follows the parenthesis here, you have
2	asked the script to label as inactive if something
3	in H2 of the Excel spreadsheet or L spreadsheet
4	is 0; is that correct?
5	MR. HALL: Objection. Mr. Garcia did
6	not compile this document. Mr. Cloutier did. So
7	his characterization of you doing this would be
8	misleading.
9	MR. CLOUTIER: Anything in the red,
10	I'm talking about the work that he did, which is
11	what he did here, correct?
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: That's how I
13	understand it.
14	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) That's what you did, was
15	used script that was intended to, if H2 or L2 equal
16	0, the well should be labeled as inactive. Is that
17	how you understand the script that you wrote?
18	A. To clarify, if we're looking at
19	Exhibit 16, H2 and L2, call them H as days, call
20	them L as BOEs. L2 is the row number we're on and
21	so is H2.
22	So H and L, what this code is saying is,
23	if days equals 0 or BOE equals 0, label it as
24	inactive.
25	Q. Okay. And this page that I'm showing you
	Page 171

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 172
1	for no beneficial use, we have changed from "ands"
2	to "or." You had it "or." If either the days were
3	less than 90 or the BOE was less than 90 in H2 and
4	L2, it should be defined as no beneficial use?
5	A. Again, if you want to change how you
6	interpret the original petition to "and," you can
7	change the "or" to "and," it will result in
8	different numbers because you changed the parameters
9	and the data it was fed.
10	Q. Okay.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Ms. Tripp, why don't
12	we pull up the original petition beneficial use for
13	a moment, if we could.
14	MS. TRIPP: Yes. It will take me
15	just a second because I have the amended version.
16	MR. CLOUTIER: Yes. Thank you.
17	MS. TRIPP: Let me stop sharing.
18	You should now be seeing the application
19	that was filed June 25, 2024.
20	MR. CLOUTIER: If you could go down
21	to 19.15.25.9(A).
22	MS. TRIPP: 9(A)?
23	MR. CLOUTIER: The no. It's way
24	down there. It's not in the definitions.
25	MS. TRIPP: Okay. I got it. Sorry
	Page 172

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 173
1	about that.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: Assumption of
3	Non-beneficial Use. 15.25 sorry, I did write it
4	down. Can you do a control-F for beneficial?
5	There we go. Next one. Keep going.
6	MS. TRIPP: There's only 20.
7	MR. CLOUTIER: I know.
8	Done?
9	MS. TRIPP: No.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Three more?
11	CHAIR CHANG: Can I ask a clarifying
12	question while you're searching for that for a
13	second?
14	MR. CLOUTIER: Sure.
15	CHAIR CHANG: I believe my printed
16	materials here may have numbering of exhibits that
17	might be slightly different than yours, and you
18	refer to Exhibit 16, is what you're trying to cross
19	on. Can you give me the title of that document?
20	Because what I'm looking at doesn't seem to track
21	with what you're talking about.
22	MR. CLOUTIER: Exhibit 16 is the
23	slide that Mr. Garcia showed us, OCD Exhibit 16,
24	which was a pie chart that was in his
25	MS. TRIPP: It's in the
	Page 173
	rage 1/3

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 174
1	demonstrative, it's a pie chart. Exhibit 16 is
2	actually an Excel spreadsheet.
3	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay. The
4	demonstrative was the pie chart.
5	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. But the pie
6	chart is I have it as slide in Exhibit 3 then; is
7	that correct?
8	MS. TRIPP: That is correct, yes.
9	CHAIR CHANG: Now we're on the same
10	page. Thank you.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
12	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Mr. Garcia, what you're
13	saying, though, is that you were personally confused
14	by the words "and" or "or," and you used "or" in the
15	script twice where the petition actually uses the
16	word "and," correct?
17	MR. HALL: Objection, asked and
18	answered four times.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yeah,
20	Mr. Cloutier, he has answered this quite a few
21	times.
22	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay.
23	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Mr. Garcia, do you agree
24	that using the word "or" in the script for no
25	beneficial use wells instead of "and" would
	Page 174
	rage 174

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 175 1 overstate the number of wells for which there would 2. be a presumption of non-beneficial use? I would say using the word "or" would 3 Α. 4 show -- again, I'm not offering an opinion on the rule. The word "or" would show the Commission when 5 they're evaluating this case between the parties on 6 7 the more substantial effect it would have against industry, and it would show the more burden it would 8 provide industry. 9 10 Using the word "and" would lighten the 11 numbers and lighten the burden the industry would be under, if you used the word "and" instead of "or" 12 13 with this proposed rule. 14 If you used the word "or," you capture a Q. 15 group of wells that, for instance, might have 16 produced more than 90 days, but produced less than 17 90 BOE, correct? For no beneficial use? Please repeat it, your question. 18 Α. 19 Ο. If you used the word "or" instead of "and," Exhibit 3 would -- would calculate as --20 would show as no beneficial use, for instance, a 21 well that produced more than 90 days, but less than 22 90 BOE, correct? 2.3 24 Α. Sure, because it's looking for either/or. 25 Q. Right. And the same for marginal, if you

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 176
1	use the word "or," you're looking at a well that
2	it could include wells that have more than 180 days
3	production, but produced less than 1,000 BOE,
4	correct?
5	A. I can answer that question, but just for
6	me, can we see that slide that was just shown back
7	up?
8	Q. Sure.
9	MR. CLOUTIER: Ms. Tripp.
10	A. I like looking at numbers.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: We lost the visual.
12	MS. TRIPP: Can you see it now?
13	JOHN GARCIA: Yes.
14	A. Can you repeat the question? Sorry.
15	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Sure. So we're looking
16	on the second line, you've got a formula for
17	marginal in red. We have the word "and." You have
18	the word "or" there, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And by "perfect," you mean yes, correct?
21	A. Correct, I said. Sorry.
22	Q. That's all right. Just want to be clear.
23	And when you use the word "or," you would
24	MS. TRIPP: Sorry about this.
25	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) When you use the word
	Page 176

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 177 1 "or," you would -- you could capture a well that 2 produced less than 180 days, which is part of the 3 definition of marginal rule, but more than 1,000 BOE, correct? 4 My general statement, I guess, on this 5 code that we're seeing here, the more I'm looking at 6 7 this would be, I'd heavily caution saying anything against this code. The edits you made actually seem 8 to break the code because your graph below, you're 9 10 showing nearly 1,000 wells that are in the NA 11 category, which means the code didn't know what to do with 1,000 wells. 12 13 Ο. Okay. 14 And you're saying why it's doing it? 15 Because a lot of wells in this data sheet that have 16 O days produced but they show BOEs, and they have 17 the opposite to --Well -18 Q. 19 -- the BOE is 0 days is similar. So I 20 would be hesitant to say that wells are being categorized correctly here. 21 22 I think you agreed with the parenthesis Ο. 23 there, and we did not change the word "or" in your 24 code. We just put the parenthesis there for inactive, which is what you're using, correct? 25

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 178
1	MR. HALL: Objection to
2	Mr. Cloutier's testimony once again. He should ask
3	questions instead of characterizing the evidence.
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Well, he's just told
5	me that the code we're showing is wrong for the word
6	"inactive," and I'm just asking him if all we did
7	was add a parenthesis, which he agreed to at the
8	outset of his testimony.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Right, he
10	asked him to agree whether he agrees.
11	A. The code that is being displayed on my
12	screen has multiple red things edited. With all of
13	those red edits, the code seemed to break because
14	you have 954 uncategorized. So I'm hesitant to say
15	you're changing of just the "or"
16	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Okay.
17	A unchanged effects, marginal effects, on
18	this.
19	Q. But my question, Mr. Garcia, was not
20	whether the code was broken or whether you agree
21	with the code. My question was: If, when you're
22	searching and classifying a well as marginal, you
23	used the word "or," you would pick up wells, for
24	instance, that produced less than 180 days, but
25	produced more than 1,000 BOE and classify those

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 179 1 wells as marginal, correct? 2. Α. Correct. I believe I've been very open about that and using that electrical as an example 3 4 of confusing and issues that the rule could face. 5 The electro well showed a 350-day production consistently with less BOEs. And, again, that's 6 7 what I discussed outside, about how the confusion of this could be "tooken." 8 9 Q. Okay. And so what you're telling us is that internally at the Oil Conservation Division, 10 11 you knew that Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 16 were run with script that used the word "or," but when you signed 12 13 your testimony, you used the word "and" in both your 14 definitions of marginal and no beneficial use, 15 correct? 16 Again, my testimony says I used a "if/or" Α. 17 statement, which is true and correct. 18 definitions were copied and pasted out of the 19 petition. And the "if/or" was based on our interpretation and reading of those definitions. 20 Those definitions are not mine. They are pasted. 21 22 Q. So your --23 MR. CLOUTIER: Can we go back to the 24 testimony, please, Ms. Tripp. (By Mr. Cloutier) So what you're telling us 25 0 Page 179

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 180 1 is that we should have realized with the statement 2. "if/or" in the third sentence of this paragraph, 3 that you did not use the category title based on the 4 parameters set in the petition like you did -- you 5 say later in the same sentence you, in fact, did not use the word "and" and substituted "or" for it, 6 7 correct? Α. I don't understand the question. 8 9 Sure. Sure. You say at the end of the Q. sentence that whatever you did was, quote, "to 10 11 return the category title based on the parameters 12 set in the petition, "correct? 13 Α. Correct. And what you're telling us now is by using 14 Ο. 15 the phrase simple "if/or" script, everyone should 16 have known that you were using the word "or" instead 17 of "and" when you wrote the script? Again, I can't testify to what everyone 18 Α. 19 should have known by reading that. I don't know 20 everyone's comfort level. Is -- was that your intention in 21 Ο. writing -- in signing as true and accurate, this 22 23 sentence? 24 Α. This sentence shows how the Excel was built with the "if/or" script based on our 25

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 181 1 interpretation of the petition. 2. Ο. And then your interpretation of the 3 petition is in the "if/or" script. And then in the 4 immediately proceeding next two sentences, you demonstrate your understanding as of the date you 5 signed your testimony that the petition used the 6 7 word "and" in both the marginal well and no beneficial use definition, correct? 8 9 Again, yes, correct. I think we covered Α. 10 that. 11 Would you agree that there's no statement Ο. 12 in your testimony that the exhibits you're --13 running a script through Exhibit 16 was meant to 14 show a worst case scenario, not what the rule 15 actually would have resulted in? 16 Α. The words "worst case" do not appear in 17 this, what we're staring at on the screen. Is there any affirmative statement in your 18 19 testimony that the script that you ran through 20 Exhibit 16 would not reveal to the readers and -well, let's read the bottom of the sentence. 21 22 You tell everyone that based on -- that 2.3 looking at the data for 2024, running it the way you did, "It shows, based on the petition, OCD would 24 have 33,211 wells deemed productive (which are not 25

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 182
1	in a petition category), 11,499 wells deemed
2	marginal, 6,400 wells deemed inactive, and 4,380
3	wells deemed as no beneficial use, " correct?
4	A. Again, correct. The results are based on
5	OCD's interpretation and directive from my
6	management who was in more meetings and discussions
7	about the rule and meaning behind the rule than I
8	was, but I relied on my management on the
9	interpretation and trusted them. And based off my
10	knowledge of my discussions, the word "or" was what
11	was to be used. So those numbers are correct.
12	Q. When you used the word "or" in the
13	definition of marginal and no beneficial use, those
14	numbers are correct. Is that your testimony?
15	A. Off OCD's original interpretation of it.
16	Q. Yes. And there's at least the potential
17	that they're incorrect if you use the word "and"?
18	A. I think if you change mindsets, anything
19	would change.
20	Q. And you did this at the direction of
21	management?
22	A. Correct, who I'm assuming would probably
23	cover any other questions on it as well.
24	Q. Okay. Interesting.
25	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay. We object to
	Page 182

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 183
1	admitting anything to do with Exhibit 16, Madam
2	Hearing Officer, as the data is being presented as
3	if its consistent with the petition, and it clearly
4	is not.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Hall?
6	MR. HALL: Yes, I Exhibit 16 has
7	nothing to do with this line of questioning, in my
8	opinion. It's not where the script went, and I
9	think he's referring to a pie chat a pie graph
10	maybe in a different exhibit.
11	Exhibit 16 should come in.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: And we object to the
13	admission of the pie chart as well.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: So the pie
15	chart I think was part of Exhibit 3; is that true?
16	CHAIR CHANG: Page 4 of Exhibit 3; is
17	that correct?
18	MR. CLOUTIER: Yes.
19	MR. HALL: And I'm happy to respond
20	to that. I don't want if it's premature, I can
21	wait.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, go ahead.
23	MR. HALL: That exhibit speaks for
24	itself based upon Mr. Garcia's testimony of how he
25	input the data and the code. They are free to make
	Page 183

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 184
1	their own everyone's free here is free to make
2	their own conclusions from that. And his testimony
3	associated does not make it inadmissible.
4	MR. CLOUTIER: And Exhibit 16 also,
5	Madam Hearing Officer, actually contains the
6	affirmative categories that resulted from the script
7	that Mr. Garcia ran through. So it categorizes
8	wells as non-beneficial use or inactive or marginal
9	based on the script that he ran, not on the
10	petition.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
12	So, Mr. Hall, would you like to address that? I'm
13	looking at 16.
14	MR. HALL: Sure, the same.
15	Mr. Garcia has not hidden anything, as Mr. Cloutier
16	is at least implying. He has testified forthrightly
17	about the inputs he put in. He has subjected
18	himself to cross-examination.
19	The Commission is certainly free to draw
20	whatever conclusions from the results based upon the
21	inputs, that he has been an open book about how he
22	put them in. That doesn't again, that doesn't
23	make it inadmissible.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
25	Mr. Suazo, do you have anything to add?

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 185
1	MR. SUAZO: No, Madam Hearing
2	Officer.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Rankin?
4	MR. RANKIN: I'm just wondering, I
5	think I think looking at Exhibit 16 and
6	understanding, I think, what happened, 16 is
7	confusing, and it does does not seem to align
8	with the petition.
9	So I'm wondering if there's an opportunity
10	just to and I don't know, but just to have
11	Mr. Garcia do it again. I think it would be useful
12	to see what the Division's understanding of the rule
13	is and how it breaks out the data. I haven't talked
14	with anybody else about this, but I just it is
15	misleading. I don't understand it seems to not
16	accurately reflect what the petition says. So I
17	don't know if the utility would serve to admit it.
18	But anyway, so my suggestion is maybe to
19	withdraw it and see if it can be done again with the
20	opportunity for counsel to review it and cross again
21	on that issue.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing
23	Officer this is Jesse Tremaine if I may?
24	Brandon Powell will be able to speak to this. John
25	Garcia did not generate Exhibit 16. That was a data
	D = 10F
	Page 185

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 186
1	pull, and John Garcia and I believe he did
2	clarify this, that he used Exhibit 16 and applied a
3	script to Exhibit 16 or the underlying Excel
4	sheet for 16, to generate the information that he
5	included in the pie chart.
6	So there is additional foundation that can
7	be laid here. But as the foundational data that
8	Mr. Garcia used, Exhibit 16 is not objectionable.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Anything
10	further, Mr. Cloutier?
11	MR. CLOUTIER: I would just say that
12	I'm not sure why Exhibit 16 is being tendered
13	through Mr. Garcia if he's not the one who can lay
14	the foundation for it.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: As I
16	understood Mr. Tremaine just now, it's because he
17	used it to create the pie chart.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: So I still object to
19	its admission, and I object to the admission of
20	page 4 of Exhibit 3.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
22	So I'm sorry, who said something?
23	CHAIR CHANG: May I also clarify a
24	question? Is there so I understand the pie chart
25	part. And in your demonstrative that was extensive
	D 100
	Page 186

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 187
1	discussion of the lines of code. Looking at my
2	printout of Exhibit 16, which, of course, doesn't
3	show any code, what column exactly is the column at
4	issue? Or which columns are at issue? Could you
5	just put that into you know, just for the record
6	so we're all on the same page?
7	MR. CLOUTIER: Ms. Tripp, can you
8	pull that up for me? I don't have it up and don't
9	seem to be connected to Wi-Fi.
10	MS. TRIPP: Yes. Just know that it's
11	a large file, so it's taking me a second.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: All right.
13	MR. TISDEL: Madam Hearing Officer?
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Tisdel.
15	MR. TISDEL: Yeah, I appreciate
16	Mr. Rankin's suggestion that we have OCD run those
17	numbers again. I would just say Mr. Purvis did do
18	something very similar to this in Applicant's
19	Exhibit 40. That was the scatter plot that showed
20	no beneficial use, marginal wells that had been
21	admitted into evidence and could just be used as a
22	replacement for Exhibit 16.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. It
24	seems to me that we might want to talk again about
25	Exhibit 16 when Mr. Powell is testifying. So let's

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 188
1	hold off on the admission of 16.
2	I do understand, though, that Mr. Garcia
3	prepared Exhibit 3? And the pie chart in Exhibit 3?
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Yeah.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
6	MR. CLOUTIER: And I'm going to talk
7	about pages 5 and 6 of Exhibit 3, as well, with
8	Mr. Garcia with the time remaining.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. I think
10	we've already admitted 3.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We have. So
13	let's hold off on 16. And perhaps the Division, the
14	applicants can talk about whether it is worthwhile
15	to file another 16.
16	We do still have plenty of hearing days
17	left and time to explore this topic further. So
18	let's hold off on the admission of 16.
19	Mr. Cloutier?
20	MR. CLOUTIER: What's my time, Madam
21	Hearing Officer?
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hold on one
23	second.
24	So you would have had, let's see here,
25	another 15 minutes or so, but we'll add we'll add
	Page 188

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 189
1	some minutes for our discussion just now.
2	MR. HALL: Madam Hearing Officer, may
3	I just interject a concern about what I see
4	happening a little bit here? And I just want to
5	raise it so it's not last minute if it comes up
6	again.
7	Seems a lot of stuff is getting shifted
8	over to Mr. Powell, and I just am a little worried
9	about being able to go through everything with
LO	Mr. Powell. So I just want to raise that issue.
L1	We each only have a certain amount of
L2	time, and there's a lot of material to review,
L3	bonding, financial assurance, language of the rule,
L4	authority, a lot of material. And I do have a
L5	little concern about it all getting bumped down to
L6	Mr. Powell, and we only have a certain amount of
L7	time to review all of that with one witness.
L8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
L9	When we get to Mr. Powell, if you want to bring that
20	up again, we can talk about it again.
21	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer,
22	if I may? It might a good thing for us to take up
23	at a different time, but probably before we start
24	preparing or finalizing our cross-examination for
25	Mr. Powell, because it will make a difference to us.

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 190
1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: All of us, if you
3	don't mind.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. So
5	we we're not going to get to Mr. Powell today.
6	I'm just certain of it. Let's talk about this
7	tomorrow.
8	So let's see. Should we take perhaps a
9	ten-minute break, and then you can resume with your
10	last 15 minutes of questions?
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Madam
12	Hearing Officer. That would be fine.
13	(Recess was taken from 2:38 p.m. until 2:49 p.m.)
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
15	Mr. Cloutier?
16	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Madam
17	Hearing Officer.
18	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Mr. Garcia, are you ready
19	to go back?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. I'm going to switch topics to
22	MR. CLOUTIER: Let's pull up OCD
23	Exhibit 17 first, please.
24	A. I can see it.
25	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) Okay. And this is the
	Page 190

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 191
1	Master Orphan Spreadsheet that you testified you
2	created, correct?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. All right.
5	MR. CLOUTIER: And this exhibit cuts
6	off on what column please, Ms. Tripp?
7	Cuts off over here in the no. Is this
8	55 or yeah, this is well, Exhibit 55. Okay.
9	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) So look at Exhibit 55.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Let's go back to the
11	far left-hand side, then.
12	Sorry, Ms. Tripp, I miscommunicated with
13	you about which one I wanted up first.
14	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) What does the words in
15	column C "plugged site released" mean, for instance,
16	as we see in line 10 next to the Sivley Jennings
17	Federal number?
18	A. Plugged site release, in general for OCD,
19	is a term given to a well after the well has been
20	plugged and OCD has approved the plugging. And
21	depending on the well type, is it a federal or
22	state.
23	If it's a state well that OCD has been to
24	the site and, you know, our inspectors have been to
25	it, they're happy with the site the way it looks,
	Page 191

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 192
1	trash, spills, et cetera, we can plug site release
2	it.
3	If it's a federal site, OCD only plugged
4	the well, and then our database basically
5	automatically puts it in site release, as it is
6	assumed BLM will be handling any site cleanup.
7	Q. Okay. And down below that, Mr. Garcia, I
8	think the first instance if I'm looking at it,
9	it's in line 19 the Tolmack State Number 2, we've
10	got plugged, not released. What does that mean?
11	A. Correct. That's something very similar.
12	Basically plugged, not released means OCD has or
13	an operator or OCD, whoever is plugging the well,
14	has plugged the actual wellbore, and OCD has
15	approved that plugging. But the site has either not
16	been inspected for state wells or it could have been
17	rejected due to environmental factors, et cetera.
18	There would be numerous reasons why it was rejected,
19	but basically, it's pending site inspection and site
20	clearance.
21	Q. Okay. All right. So it might be, as far
22	as in order to have the word "plugged" there in
23	column C, whether it's site released or not
24	released, that means OCD the plugging work has
25	been done and OCD has approved that plugging work,

## Examination by Mr. Cloutier 193 1 correct? 2. Α. On the physical wellbore, correct. Right. And up top, lines 5 -- sorry --3 Ο. lines 4 through 8, it looks like we've got some 4 Lansfort wells that look like they're owned and 5 operated by Energy Acumen, correct? 6 7 Α. Correct, that's what this shows. It shows active, correct, in their status 8 Ο. column, column C? 9 10 Α. Correct. 11 0. Yep. 12 MR. CLOUTIER: And if we scroll over 13 to the columns BI, BK, please, Ms. Tripp. 14 (By Mr. Cloutier) So for these particular 15 wells, we've got actual plugging cost figures, 16 correct? 17 Α. That's what the Excel sheet has, correct. Yes. And as far as -- you maintain it to 18 Ο. 19 be an accurate representation of OCD's data, correct, Mr. Garcia? 20 Α. 21 I'd agree with that. 22 And just note I talked about testifying earlier, and I didn't have it up. But since you do 2.3 the colors, on the 2, just like line 1, we see the 24 purple. It says, "Project Team." It has Jim's name 25

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 194
1	listed there along with a few others. Those are the
2	people responsible for this data. But according to
3	what OCD provided you, this is the data we had at
4	that time. Now, if that team has revised it in any
5	way, I cannot say if that's true or not.
6	Q. And, yeah, thank you. And that's a good
7	correction. So other people have access to
8	different portions of this spreadsheet. For
9	instance, if we keep going
10	MR. CLOUTIER: I don't want to,
11	Ms. Tripp.
12	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) But if we were to keep
13	going to the right, there's a yellow section dealing
14	with bonding. And what you're telling me is
15	somebody else within the Division has responsible
16	for that yellow section, for instance, correct?
17	A. Correct. Again, not to go over my
18	testimony, I built with this a lot of team
19	stakeholders. And the colors basically represent
20	those stakeholders, those team engagement and what
21	they were deemed important by their teams to be
22	included in this master Excel sheet.
23	Q. And just I want to be clear for the
24	Lansfort wells here since it appears from the
25	purple, you would agree with me that if you were to

	·
	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 195
1	read for the Lansfort wells and you were to see
2	these actual plugging costs, that would indicate to
3	you that plugging activity has at least started on
4	these wells, if not been completed? Would that be a
5	fair interpretation?
6	A. I would assume, based off the dollars, the
7	actual plugging cost has taken place or began.
8	Q. And you, John Garcia, would be relying on
9	somebody else within the Division, in this case the
10	special projects area, to have accurately put that
11	data in? You wouldn't know one way or the other,
12	correct?
13	A. Correct. And just to clarify, the special
14	projects team, I'm engineering special projects. I
15	do engineering special projects.
16	Q. Yeah.
17	A. There is also a Division-wide special
18	projects team which Jim oversees, and his team is
19	responsible for this data, not to confuse the two.
20	Q. I appreciate the clarification,
21	Mr. Garcia, and I apologize if I did not intend
22	to misstate.
23	So with the Lansfort wells being active,
24	that would suggest to you in your part of the
25	spreadsheet, that at least you have not gotten word

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 196 1 that an inspector has gone out and inspected and 2 approved the plugging. Is that a fair statement? I would not rely on column C. I would 3 Α. scroll over to the dark blue and the light blue. 4 5 The dark blue is my responsibilities, which talks about if something has been submitted and approved. 6 7 The light blue is our inspections team that would tell me if someone has been on-site, yes or no. 8 Okay. Let's -- tell me where to stop. 9 Q. There is -- light blue is right over there. 10 11 Just a little bit further to the right. There we 12 go. 13 Correct, yeah. So our inspector Α. supervisor -- are responsible for going out on-site 14 15 and seeing if it's approvable or not. 16 I will note on the dark blue to the left, 17 we saw that it says, "Rejected." So that's why these are still in active status, is Loren, when 18 19 reviewing and rejected the sundries. Therefore, the 20 wellbore's approval is not done, hence the active status still. 21 22 Okay. So work has been done on these --0. 23 and I'm asking these questions because I'm a little 24 confused as to why I was seeing plugging costs and all that. And you've assisted me in understanding 25

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 197
1	that. So thank you, Mr. Garcia.
2	Now, I take it from your direct testimony
3	you don't have anything to do with OCD Exhibit 29,
4	the financial assurances report?
5	A. I do not.
6	Q. Okay. And you wouldn't be the right
7	person to ask any questions about that, correct?
8	A. Not on financial assurance.
9	Q. Thank you. Yep.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay. Can we go back
11	to Exhibit 3, the slides, and page 5 and 6, please,
12	Ms. Tripp.
13	Q (By Mr. Cloutier) And did you see the
14	rebuttal testimony submitted by Mr. John Nabors of
15	Spur?
16	A. I did.
17	Q. Okay. And Spur is the you understand
18	Spur to be the operator of this well, the Electra
19	Federal 22?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And Mr. Nabors basically stated, which I
22	think these visuals show as well, that, yes, indeed
23	the well produced less than the 1,000 BOE as
24	provided in the definition, but for all dates, it
25	produced more than 180 days during the year,

John Garcia - October 23, 2025 Examination by Mr. Cloutier 198 1 correct? 2. Α. Correct. That's what this -- that's what 3 this shows. 4 And in Exhibit 3, you categorized the Electra 3 Federal -- I'm sorry -- the Electra 5 Federal Number 22 well here as marginal because you 6 7 were using the "or" rather than the "and." understand your direct testimony to that? 8 9 Α. Correct. Okay. So this would not be a 10 11 representation to the Commission as to a potential 12 marginal well under the petition as it stands with 13 the word "and" in it. Would you agree with me 14 there? 15 Α. Yeah. If I may -- I quess I would say 16 between my testimony and Mr. Nabors, you know, 17 there's nothing wrong in his testimony, and I read it. He talks about how Spur interprets the word 18 19 "and," and, therefore, this wouldn't be -- I think my general statement was, and is, to the Commission, 20 to the parties is, the overall arching rule, this 21 needs to be clear and concise for all the parties so 22 23 there's no confusion on wells like this. Mr. Nabors

Page 198

talked about why this well had -- I think just a

clear concise rule, if approved, is what we're

24

25

Examination by Mr. Cloutier 199 1 seeking. 2. Ο. Okay. But the rule in its current format is confusing to you, correct? 3 4 Α. Yeah. I think we established that, but 5 you know, by reading Mr. Nabors' testimony, using the word "and," this -- and the way he interpreted 6 7 it in his testimony -- or his rebuttal testimony to me, I see where he's coming from. And it wouldn't 8 be marginal based on the way he interpreted the 9 10 petition. 11 O. And Mr. Nabors testifies about the 12 constraint takeaway problems for gas for this well. 13 Did you read that testimony? 14 If I remember correctly, I believe he said 15 there was some tubing issues with this well, and 16 there was some costs, give or take, around \$60,000 17 and that he had some other issues with takeaway. But I believe those were the issues he stated. 18 19 Yeah. And are you familiar enough to know that it's difficult to produce into a higher 20 pressure gas transmission line and impossible to 21 produce into a gas transmission line that the owner 22 23 shuts down? 24 Α. Yeah. I mean, if you can't meet your pressure to get into it physically, you're never 25

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 200
1	going to get into it because of the pressure deltas.
2	Q. Right. And sometimes systems are down for
3	repair and you can't get into it regardless,
4	correct?
5	A. Yeah. I mean, oil and gas has a bunch of
6	issues they encounter.
7	Q. Okay. And do you understand the
8	rulemaking to be proposed to give operators a break
9	on marginal and their beneficial use status if
10	there's something in the gathering system that
11	prevents them from producing the well?
12	A. I wouldn't say I'm an expert on the full
13	petition that was written. I've read the original
14	petition. I think it's been revised a few times,
15	kind of my understanding. I tried keeping up with
16	all the exhibits. There's a lot in this case.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. But I don't know if it specifically called
19	out I couldn't testify to that
20	Q. Okay.
21	A any breaks it gives.
22	Q. And I didn't mean to ask you an unfair
23	question there, Mr. Garcia. And that's a fair
24	answer. Thank you.
25	MR. CLOUTIER: I'll pass the witness,
	Page 200

	55555 25, 2525
	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 201
1	Madam Hearing Officer.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
3	Mr. Cloutier.
4	Mr. Suazo?
5	MR. SUAZO: Give us just a second to
6	get set up, please.
7	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer,
8	may I interject while he gets set up with a quick
9	proffer?
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes,
11	Mr. Tremaine.
12	MR. TREMAINE: So as Exhibit 16 and
13	slide 4 of Exhibit 3 have caused a great deal of
14	consternation, I verified that we have a copy of the
15	spreadsheet that is Exhibit 16 without the Category
16	column. So it was copies that she prepared for
17	Mr. Powell prior to Mr. Garcia's work.
18	We're happy to strike slide 4 and provide
19	to the parties a replacement Exhibit 16 that does
20	not have that column, whatever pleases the
21	Commission.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
23	How do the how do the other parties feel about
24	that?
25	MR. CLOUTIER: For IPNM's part, we
	Page 201

	Examination by Mr. Cloutier 202
1	feel that the slide 4 at this point has no value for
2	the Commission, so appreciate the Division's offer
3	to withdraw it.
4	And I think, Mr. Tremaine, you're talking
5	about column O that categorizes with the script in
6	Exhibit 16? Is that
7	MR. TREMAINE: I in the PDF
8	version that I'm looking at, I believe it's the
9	Category column, I believe that would be O.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay.
11	MR. TREMAINE: Although I'm not
12	looking at the
13	MR. CLOUTIER: And you're striking
14	that Category column
15	MR. TREMAINE: Well, striking
16	providing the underlying sheet that does not include
17	that column.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: Let me put this way,
19	Madam Hearing Officer. IPNM is willing to look at
20	what the Division is proposing, and we'll let you
21	know whether we object. Because we don't have it
22	yet, so there's nothing to tender into evidence
23	and
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
25	We'll take it up.

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 203
1	Mr. Tremaine, if you would share it with
2	all the other parties, and we'll take it up later.
3	Mr. Suazo.
4	MR. SUAZO: Thank you, Madam Hearing
5	Officer.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. SUAZO:
8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Garcia. How are you?
9	A. Good. And you?
10	Q. Fine, thank you. My name is Miguel Suazo.
11	I represent NMOGA in this proceeding.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: Sorry about that.
13	MR. TREMAINE: That's all right.
14	Q (By Mr. Suazo) So, Mr. Garcia, I'm still
15	trying to understand the formula issues that were
16	identified during the cross-examination with you and
17	IPNM, and I may have some questions later depending
18	on how our experts who have not had the opportunity
19	to review the formula.
20	But I did want to talk to you more in
21	detail about how this MOS spreadsheet came together.
22	You testified that you personally created the MOS
23	spreadsheet; is that right?
24	A. I would say I led the team that I led
25	the building of it. And what I did is, I met with
	Page 203
	1 490 203

Examination by Mr. Suazo 204 1 multiple groups that are involved in the orphan 2 project, engineering, inspections, environmental, et 3 cetera, and basically had them -- you know, internally discuss with them and their supervisor 4 and staff what items were deemed important to be 5 tracked on a -- you know, one base where everyone 6 7 could go, everyone could track. You know, so if the federal government or state government had 8 questions, it's easy for our management to provide 9 10 them data. And that's how I came to be, so I did a 11 lot of stakeholder engagements on what they deemed 12 they needed for their teams. 13 Right. And that's kind of where I want to explore a little bit more deeply with you, because I 14 15 feel like, you know, so many hands were into this. 16 I understand you supervised everybody. Was there a 17 work plan associated with putting together this spreadsheet? 18 19 Yeah. Basically, again, there was lots of 20 databases. One team would track things here and another team would track things there. It caused 21 confusion so -- for management, they wanted it in 22 2.3 one place. 24 So I met with every team and identified stakeholders, which typically consisted of the 25

Examination by Mr. Suazo 205
supervisor and the direct staff that dealt with
this. To me, SOPs and databases should be built
ground up, so I asked the staff and the supervisors,
you know, what are your pain points? What problems
are you encountering with tracking your data,
getting to management, getting to these reports.
I'm not aware of the details. I know,
because of the federal funding of the orphan
program, they have management has a port to get
them. So what were the pain points? What is
everything that you guys were struggling with?
They met. They talked. They talked about
pain points. They talked about things they felt
should be tracked. And what I did is, I met with
every team, sometimes multiple times. And, you
know, we deciding: Was this important? Is it not?
You know, is it worth it? You know, we talked
about, is it important or not. Is it not? Okay,
perfect. Don't put it in there.
What I did after doing all that, I met
with the supervisors. They were happy. They signed
off on what their team needed. I then presented it
to the bureau chiefs, engineering, environmental,
financial, et cetera, and the deputy directors.
Actually, I basically jotted down all pain
Page 205

points that all the teams had, what they deemed was needed for the Excel sheet. And legal too. And basically, I met with what I consider to be upper management, bureau chief and higher, and showed them, based on multiple meetings with staff and the supervisors, you know, working through these, these are the categories their staff deems needed to be in here. Do you approve?

And so the bureau chiefs and the deputy directors got together. They reviewed it, and they signed off on it saying, yes, they agree. What's in here will satisfy those reports that they are required to, you know, submit to individuals.

After that -- sorry, a long process.

After that, basically came, okay, here's the data capture phase, is what I called it. I believe we had one to two months where we basically direct all supervisors -- and you'll see those supervisor names in the header of each colored column, was we've identified wells with legal by reading all hearing orders, searching our databases, all the hearings that -- Bill Brancard assisted with it, too, because he just had additional knowledge that -- he had been with OCC for so long, he had databases of like NOV orders.

Examination by Mr. Suazo

So with him and legal, our current legal staff, we went through, added wells to the Excel sheet, which is that black category. I added the API numbers and was able to scrape basically the holster of information based on the IP number and auto put that in there for everyone.

2.3

Once that API was generated, legal vetted the hearing orders. They read the hearing orders, made sure they were appropriate. And they added a few other wells to the list based off things like federal agreements and other things they have.

And then once, you know, legal and management was like, okay, here's our list of wells, we basically opened it up to all the supervisors in the team and said, you have two months to play data catch-up with everything that's in here. You know, is there bond information missing? Is there something that we've approved? Get it all in there.

And we had -- I think at first we had biweekly meetings with management, but I forget the interval we had. We had recurring meetings with management showing the progress of the data getting caught up, meeting with the supervisors, meeting the bureau chiefs saying, here's where we caught up. Here's what we've seen. Here's issues we

Page 207

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 208
1	encountered so far in our data cleanup.
2	And that lasted for two or so months. And
3	then basically it's a living document now. So
4	people are in it daily.
5	For example, last week we plugged three
6	wells that are on the Excel sheet, so, you know, we
7	go in and update the data. Did we approve the
8	sundries? Did we check on the sites, et cetera?
9	They're very living documents.
10	Legal and management, if they pursue any
11	other hearing orders or settlement agreements I'm
12	not part of that like the settlement agreement
13	stuff, they'll let me know, hey, we had a settlement
14	agreement, and we can add more wells to it.
15	And that's how wells would get added, is
16	legal will let me know to add more wells to it.
17	That was a long answer. Does that cover
18	what you're looking for?
19	Q. Well, it starts to touch on what I
20	understand to be the very, you know, broad scope of
21	what the Division was tasked with attempting to do.
22	And I would like to kind of unpack that a little bit
23	more granularly. So
24	A. Okay.
25	Q let's start with, you know, just the
	Page 208

Examination by Mr. Suazo 209 1 first thing that comes to mind, and we can move on 2. to other things. When you say staff identified pain points, what do you mean by that? 3 4 Α. So I'll give you an example. Say the federal government wanted to know how many -- how 5 many rigs were active this year. At that time we 6 7 didn't have in our database something that says like, our rig rigged up on February 2nd. 8 So that was a pain point that our staff 9 identified, we should be tracking things like rig 10 11 update. So we added that to the list of -- so now when Loren gets sundries, he can talk -- consistent 12 13 talks with the rigs, and they'll tell him like, hey, 14 24-hour notice, we're moving. 15 He tracks it. They rig up. He types it into the MOS Excel sheet. That was one pinpoint 16 17 from engineering that's missing. We weren't tracking rig updates, so we could provide the 18 19 federal government, or whoever it is, with data that 20 they want. 21 So, really, just trying to boost our data that we could have captured better. Does that help? 22 23 It did. Can you remind, just for the Ο. 24 record, what was the purpose of the creation of the MOS spreadsheet? If you can do that in one or two 25

Examination by Mr. Suazo 210 1 sentences. 2. Α. Yeah. Simple, it is just the universal place for staff and management to go to see all 3 4 aspects of the orphan plugging program. And how many staffers would you say were 5 involved at putting this together? 6 7 Individual staff and stakeholder meetings, 20, 25, including Division managers. 8 So this was assembled by committee across 9 Ο. 10 the various Divisions. Is it fair that -- to say 11 that, you know, it's dependent upon the quality of 12 the data that the staff members made a judgment call 13 to include? 14 I mean, I would say the categories were Α. put in there based on the staff and their 15 16 supervisors, what they deemed needed. I would trust 17 my counterparts that they chose what was needed for the application. 18 19 So lots of staff were involved. But going 20 back to my initial question to you in terms of the scope, in terms of organization to put this 21 together, was there a written plan with issues that 22 23 needed to be addressed for purposes of creating this document? 24 25 I don't know if there was a full written Α.

Examination by Mr. Suazo 211 1 plan that existed prior to creating it. I'm sure 2. there -- I have somewhere buried a list of issues 3 that the teams had as they encountered it. 4 believe the initial issue was something of a higher 5 level that I wasn't a part of, and I was directed because of whatever issue they encountered. 6 7 Sure. And is Mr. Powell the person who would know maybe the overarching structure of how 8 OCD staff envisioned what was needed to put the MOS 9 10 together? Probably. I think he would be able to 11 Α. 12 answer -- I think Adam talked about timeline, I 13 think, not to refer to Brandon, but he would know 14 the interworkings on the real drive of why OCD needed a universal Excel sheet and what upper 15 16 management was seeing across the Division that they needed this for. 17 Okay. And you said that putting the MOS 18 Ο. 19 together required a manual review of old hearing 20 orders, plugging reports, all that kind of thing, 21 correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 And was there a methodology established to Ο. go through all of these old hearing orders and 24 plugging reports that were determined needed to be 25

## Examination by Mr. Suazo 212 reviewed?

2.

2.3

A. Yeah. I'm not a lawyer. I spent a lot of time in the hearings bureau, and I used to work real close with Bill Brancard, our old OCD hearing examiner. Between him and some of our legal counsel, they had records that they had stored and obtained through their careers of NOV or -- I don't know what to call them -- plugging orders, I guess we could call them, that went to hearings, and hearing orders were issued.

So when it came time for looking at what wells were to be on this list, Bill Brancard was a very good resource for me. I don't know how long he was at OCD, but I know he'd been at OCD for quite some time when we did this project. And he was able to provide me an extensive list of hearing orders, that I should start with reading those -- you know, because our hearing database is very PDF based.

So he may have had a hearing order, but it doesn't say for sure that that hearing order approved the plugging. It could have been a dismissal of the case, et cetera.

So I spent a lot of time reading them with our legal counsel, you know, with their interpretation of hearing orders and saying, does

Q. Sure. So I guess it's fair to say that this is not a 100 percent complete database. It's as complete as you could get it with the records you

24

25

Examination by Mr. Suazo 214 1 could access. Is that fair? 2. Α. Correct. And I would say it's a living 3 document. Legal, in what I'll say their free time, which probably doesn't exist much, also tries to 4 review any historic orders. You know, we may come 5 across orders as other cases go on. It's a living 6 7 document, so wells can be added to it. Did you identify anything that you wish 8 Ο. you would have had in putting together this document 9 10 that you just couldn't identify or find? Α. 11 As far as like a column data or -- I'm not sure I under the question. 12 13 Just as far as data that you were trying to acquire but that maybe -- not have been 14 15 accessible, for whatever reason. 16 Not that I can think of easily. I mean, Α. 17 there's a lot of long hours put into it, reading, data comparisons. I don't think there's anything 18 19 that I wish I could have done better at this time. 20 The only thing I wish we could do better -- and, you know, technology grows -- is 21 automating it more so there's less human 22 23 interaction. 24 Q. Sure. No, that makes -- that makes complete sense. 25

Examination by Mr. Suazo 215 1 Is there a date after which you feel more 2 confident about the information compared to older 3 data? 4 Α. I would say so. Again, going back, I became supervisor in 2023, started coming more into 5 the orphan program late '23, based off memory. And 6 7 the more data closer to my timeline being involved with the wells we plugged in, I'm more comfortable 8 with, because I know those are sundries that I or 9 10 Loren approved ourselves, rigs that we talked to 11 ourselves. We've -- I looked at sundries from like 12 13 2009 and other dates, and I vetted them just -- I'm 14 not aware of things. And you'll see, like it will 15 say, "Rig update not applicable." I wasn't there in 16 2009, so I would say that data is a little rougher 17 on the edges. Okay. That's helpful. Thank you. Let's 18 0. 19 move on to orphan versus non-orphan wells. You testified that OCD plugged 100 orphan wells in 2024, 20 21 correct? Correct, based off subsequent sundries of 22 Α. 2.3 plugging. 24 Q. But industry plugged 773 non-orphans

Page 215

during that same year, correct?

25

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 216
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. So over three-quarters of the wells
3	plugged by operators were plugged by operators and
4	not OCD; is that right?
5	A. Yeah, depending on how we look at the
6	data. Again, there's some talk today between the
7	parties on, where does forced plugging go?
8	There's roughly 1,000 wells on that data
9	poll, industry plugged 773, which is, you know,
10	roughly 77 percent on their own. And there's 100,
11	even, for OCD, so roughly 10 percent. And I think
12	there were 130. So roughly 13 percent were forced
13	plugging.
14	Q. Okay. Now, I can't say that I fully have
15	wrapped my arms around the formula that Mr. Cloutier
16	asked you about, and I'm going to have some of our
17	experts kind of look at that and see if they have
18	any other thoughts or questions.
19	But based on what I do understand, since
20	we just got that formula this morning, I do have
21	some general questions about the thresholds in the
22	petition and your spreadsheets.
23	MR. HALL: I have a quick objection
24	to that statement Mr. Suazo made as a predicate to
25	his question. That he might have been provided

	·
	Examination by Mr. Suazo 217
1	that by Mr. Cloutier this morning, but he never
2	asked us for that. And so I don't believe it was
3	ever sent to him. And what they did between each
4	other is between them.
5	I just would like the record to reflect
6	that.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Thank
8	you, Mr. Hall.
9	Go ahead, Mr. Suazo.
10	Q (By Mr. Suazo) So, Mr. Garcia, your
11	testimony, you applied the thresholds that were
12	listed in the petition; is that right?
13	A. Again, yeah, so like using the mentality
14	of "or," I think we've talked about the confusion
15	that there was there, to like 90 barrels of oil or
16	90 days.
17	I know like we talked about Spur in that
18	one well. The "or" mentality on Spurs well is
19	marginal. When Spur looked at it, they used the
20	mentality of the word "and" and took it out of
21	marginal.
22	So I'm not sure, I guess, on how much you
23	want to go in depth, because it sounded like my
24	counsel was willing to scrap that exhibit. I'm not
25	sure. I guess that's an accurate statement. I

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 218
1	don't know if you want me to talk about something
2	they might scrap, I guess.
3	Q. No. I think there's enough kind of
4	confusion around that issue where we may need to
5	come back to it. I'm just trying to understand for
6	my own self that I am clear about what it is that
7	you did.
8	So those thresholds, the 1,000 BOE for
9	marginal wells, 90 BOE for no beneficial use, those
10	were chosen by applicants, correct?
11	A. The definitions of marginal, inactive, all
12	that, those were what was in the petition by the
13	applicants, correct.
14	Q. Right. Okay. And did you perform any
15	sort of economic analysis to determine whether 90
16	BOE is economic or uneconomic?
17	A. I did not.
18	Q. Okay.
19	MR. SUAZO: Will you pull up that one
20	slide? I think some of these other questions are
21	I'm not going to ask right now.
22	Q (By Mr. Suazo) Mr. Garcia, can you see the
23	slide that is on the screen?
24	A. I can.
25	Q. And this is the Rock Queen Unit 043,
	Page 218

	Examination by Mr. Suazo 219
1	correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Now, in looking at the bottom left chart,
4	it shows from if my eyes can see that far from
5	1993 to 1999, there's pretty minimal production; is
6	that accurate?
7	A. I think it would depend on the minimal. I
8	think sometimes this chart scales weird because of
9	the 2016 production. I don't have the numbers off
10	memory of what that production was in 1993 to 1999,
11	but it had production consistently, is what I would
12	say.
13	Q. Okay. But from 2000 to 2008, it shows,
14	from what I can tell, 0 production; is that
15	accurate?
16	A. Yeah, it looks that way. I would have to
17	dive into the data to make sure there was no like
18	10s or anything hidden in there that the visual
19	skews, but it looks to be nonproductive those years.
20	Q. So there's a nine-year period from 2000 to
21	2008 eight-year period where there's effectively
22	no production, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Okay. And so by these metrics, under the
25	rules proposed by the applicants, this well would
	Page 219

	John Garcia - October 25, 2025
	Examination by Mr. Suazo 220
1	have been shut in probably around 2001, correct?
2	2002?
3	A. Based on production, I'm assuming the well
4	was shut in or, you know, temporarily abandoned.
5	Something could have gone on there.
6	Q. But if you look further down the chart,
7	starting with 2009 through 2018, there is massive
8	production, it looks like, from a well that was
9	essentially dead for eight years, correct?
10	A. Yeah, I'm not sure of the exact volume,
11	but there was a production spike in 2009.
12	MR. SUAZO: Madame Hearing Officer, I
13	think, given what we heard today, I would like the
14	opportunity to speak to Mr. Garcia again if we kind
15	of discover some new information. But at this time
16	I don't have any further questions.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
18	It's 3:21. All right. Let's see here.
19	Mr. Sayer, do you have questions of
20	Mr. Garcia?
21	Thank you.
22	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions of
23	Mr. Garcia?
24	MR. RANKIN: I do. Madam Hearing
25	Officer, I do.
	Page 220
	1 age 220

	John Garcia - October 23, 2025
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 221
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. RANKIN:
3	Q. Mr. Garcia, good afternoon.
4	A. Good afternoon.
5	Q. How are you doing today?
6	A. Pretty good.
7	Q. Good. You know me, I'm Adam Rankin with
8	Holland & Hart. I represent OXY in this case, which
9	we're making and I'm going to try to not retread,
10	but I may touch on a couple things if I feel like
11	just for clarification or for record purposes.
12	Couple things to start out with. In your
13	testimony, you used the acronym SR. Is that for
14	subsequent report?
15	A. Yes. Sorry if I didn't spell that out,
16	but subsequent report. So OCD uses that in general
17	for any work that a report after work has taken
18	place.
19	Q. Then in your testimony, as with Mr. Diede,
20	you define an orphan well or what you your
21	meaning of how you use the word "orphan well,"
22	right?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And it's the same it sounds like it's
25	the same definition or approximately the same

Examination by Mr. Rankin 222 1 definition that Mr. Diede was using, as well; is 2. that right? They should be nearly identical. 3 Α. Okay. And you also talk about the forced 4 Ο. 5 plugging wells. Again, these being wells that are plugged by third parties at the bequest of or demand 6 7 of the State Land Office or the BLM, right? Α. Correct. 8 Those are -- those are -- the forced 9 Q. plugging wells are different. How are they -- if 10 11 you could explain, how are they different than the orphan wells? 12 13 Yeah, I know it causes more confusion 14 because there's confusion with orphan wells to begin 15 with. 16 Forced plugging is a definition we came up 17 internally basically to help Loren and I's day-to-day processing of applications. For example, 18 19 if I was an operator and I need to plug a well that's not mine, there's extra checks that OCD has 20 to do through our legal counsel to make sure we're 21 not going to get in a bad spot or to make sure this 22 2.3 operator has the authority to plug a well which they are not the operator of record for. 24 25 So by calling them forced plugging, it

Examination by Mr. Rankin

basically alerts us to -- internally remind ourself we need to get with legal counsel and make sure we have all of our I's and T's finished.

Orphan wells are a different boat. We don't -- legal and management has picked which wells those are prior to us. The process is different for us internally. They pick which wells are to be plugged. They issue POs, and then they tell us, here's the approved well list for you to plug. And we start working on the technical aspects.

The forced plugging, we get a lot of submissions from operators without notice in advance. For example, this week I think we got ten submissions from an operator that they want to plug wells they're not the operator of record for. So we call them forced plugging because -- again, just changed our policy. We have to call the crew right away. We have to make sure legal is okay with them.

Q. Now, actually, I think it's a very useful distinction, and that's why I'm asking the question for the record, because I think this is an area that's become more common, more common in the last few years. Would you agree, that forced plugging has become a more common practice in the last few years?

A. I don't know if it's more common in the last -- I'll speak to the last two years because that's when I've been involved. I don't know that it's become more common. I would say OCD and operators are probably getting better at the process with each other on how to get these processed through.

In the beginning, there was a lot of wells that were plugged -- that would fit in the forced plugging category that were plugged without OCD's notification. We found out about, I don't know, 20 to 30 other wells that were plugged, forced plugged without ever checking with OCD.

So I would say we're just getting better with the process with industry getting out the door and getting approved prior to the work taking place.

- Q. And just, again, for the record, the issue here, right, is that under the Division's rules and regulations, the only entity authorized to work on a well is the Division-designated operator, correct?
- A. That's my understanding of how OCD processes our applications.
- Q. And that's true unless and until there's a forced plugging order from the Division or some other legal instrument that authorizes another

Examination by Mr. Rankin 225 1 entity to come in and plug that well, right? 2. Α. Correct. We wait until we hear our legal 3 counsel's thumbs up or, depending on the situation, a letter associated with that. Sometimes we don't 4 have the letter because sometimes of forced plugging 5 on federal wells and we don't have -- you know, 6 7 their approval basically gives us the approval to process sundries without that legal letter from OCD. 8 And I'm just exploring this with you 9 Q. because I do think it's helpful for the Commission 10 11 to hear this and understand a little bit more about 12 this process that's not -- it's a little bit outside 13 the normal scope, right, because it's not the actual 14 operator that's plugging their own wells. 15 third parties plugging other operators' wells. So I think it's -- I'm just asking about 16 17 this because I think it's helpful, and there's some -- a lot of companies out there that are doing 18 19 this, and I think it's helpful for the Commission to 20 know. So just to further clarify how this 21 process works, essentially your understanding is 22 23 that the State Land Office or the BLM will send a 24 letter making a demand of a third party for some legal reason -- or have some legal connection to the 25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 226
1	well demanding that they plug that well that they're
2	not the Division-designated operator of; is that
3	right?
4	A. Correct. Through the State Land Office or
5	BLM processes, which I'm not an expert on, they
6	basically tell operator A, we would like you to go
7	plug this well because of X reason.
8	And then they will tell us, hey, we're
9	being required to plug this. What do we need to do?
LO	And we work with them through that process.
L1	Q. Okay. So you don't know in this in
L2	that situation, you don't know that the State Land
L3	Office or the BLM might actually know who the proper
L4	operator is and they might be a Division-designated
L5	operator and they might be solvent. But for
L6	whatever reason, the State Land Office or BLM is not
L7	going to pursue that entity, instead has gone after
L8	and asked for the third party to plug the well,
L9	correct?
20	A. I didn't say
21	MR. HALL: I object. That calls for
22	legal conclusions. Thank you.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: So,
24	Mr. Garcia, I know that you're not being offered
25	here as a lawyer. If you can answer the question as
	Page 226

John Garcia - October 23, 2025 Examination by Mr. Rankin 227 1 part of the regulatory agency rather than as a 2. lawyer, go ahead. All can I say on the topic is both State 3 4 Land Office and BLM, through their processes, deem a 5 need to chase an operator. And that operator comes to us and tells us they are being chased for 6 7 whatever reason the State Land Office or BLM deemed fit. 8 And we work with them as good neighbors to 9 BLM and State Land Office to help get that process 10 11 through so they can plug the well to meet their 12 requirements under the other -- the teams.

believe -- again, that's our legal counsel to vet that stuff with whoever they need to vet it with.

Q. Yeah, I'm not -- oh, sorry.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I was going to say we can just pause -once we get the sundries, we kind of pause until we hear from our counsel saying, you know, good for your technical review to go.
- I'm not trying to ask you something you don't know. The point here I'm trying to get at, I guess, ultimately is that, the difference between an orphan well and -- or at least a difference between an orphan well and a -- and a forced plugging well is that orphan well, you know for sure, right, that

Examination by Mr. Rankin 228 1 the entity is no longer around or viable. But in 2 the case of plugging -- forced plugging, the 3 Division doesn't know whether that other entity is solvent or not or what the situation is, only that 4 5 the other agency out there is asking for some other party to plug that well, right? 6 7 I would say for orphan wells, our wells we vetted through various legal processes like 8 hearings, AC findings, et cetera. And those orphan 9 10 wells -- the forced plugging, they may have hearing 11 orders and State Land or BLM may beat us to plugging 12 that well by having someone else do it. Or they 13 could not. That's probably more of a legal question on, if they all are orphaned or not. I couldn't 14 15 say. 16 Okay. Understood. I'm going to Ο. Sure. ask you a couple more questions about that, where 17 you break out the difference between -- or lump them 18 19 together, plugging -- forced plugging and orphan wells. I didn't mean to belabor that point. I just 20 think it was helpful to understand a little bit more 21 about the difference between those two pathways. 22 23 And that's -- I guess I'll just turn to 24 this slide here. It's your slide 3 on your -- on your -- slide 3 of your Exhibit 3 where you kind of 25

Examination by Mr. Rankin 229 1 show that -- or over the last year, at least in 2 2024, OCD has plugged 100 wells, and then there's 3 129 of these forced plugged wells. And those were 4 the wells we were discussing just now, right? 5 Α. Correct. Those are the separate 6 categories, and we kept them separate because of, 7 you know, distinction between them. Right. And I've highlighted here -- and 8 Ο. you mentioned this a little bit in your intro 9 10 whether you clump them together or not. If you do 11 lump them together, it's 23 percent if you count 12 them as all orphan wells, right? 13 Correct, yeah. I think it would be Α. 14 23 percent roughly. 15 And I guess my point here is that the Q. 16 Division hasn't gone through to ascertain or 17 determine legally whether those forced plugged wells would fall under your definition of orphan wells, 18 19 right? 20 I don't think I could fully -- again, we Α. typically wait on legal to assert whether or not we 21 can approve the plugging. There has been a few 22 2.3 forced pluggings we've had to tell the operator that's trying to plug it, at this time OCD can't 24 25 approve this. And then we basically report them to

	55 5551 25, 2525
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 230
1	our legal counsel for further discussions.
2	So I'm not sure if I can say all of these
3	wells are not orphaned or not.
4	Q. I guess my question, then, is: If you put
5	them in the forced plugged category, OCD has not
6	performed the analysis to determine that there is
7	not that they meet the orphan definition, right?
8	A. Me and my team have not performed it, no.
9	If legal does when they talk to these operators on a
10	one-to-one basis, I couldn't say that.
11	Q. Okay. I have a couple follow-up questions
12	for you on the creation of the Master Orphan
13	Spreadsheet. I was curious, when did OCD decide to
14	start building that spreadsheet?
15	A. Good question. I don't know the exact day
16	in mind. I would say probably early '24, if I had
17	to guess.
18	Q. Was it
19	A. Yeah.
20	Q. Was the decision to create that Master
21	Orphan Spreadsheet part of this rulemaking effort?
22	A. Not to my knowledge.
23	Q. So
24	A. I think it was just internal items that
25	management was seeing could be corrected.

Correct. Like I could tell you, one Α. day -- I don't track the reports for the federal government, but I know one day I got a call, and they said, hey, you know, we told them we plugged all these wells. Now the federal government would like to see the sundry.

21

22

2.3

24

25

Examination by Mr. Rankin 232 1 And that's why in the MOS now going 2 forward -- do you see the hyperlinks in there? So 3 it's easy for those who report to the federal 4 government to kind of copy and paste the hyperlinks 5 into whatever reports they're submitting. So to me, it's just a better management tool. 6 7 What did the Division do before it had the MOS? How did it manage its orphan wells before 8 9 that? 10 I do not know. I wasn't part of it Α. 11 really, prior to MOS. MOS was kind of my intro to 12 the orphan program, as well as starting to take over 13 the rig management. So how they reported all of 14 that before me, I couldn't answer that. 15 Q. Who would -- who would be the one to be 16 able to address that question? 17 Α. Not to Kate, but possibly Brandon. And that's a maybe there, because there's other teams 18 19 that are -- the OCD special projects team over -overviews the entire orphan program, and none of 20 them are testifying today, I don't believe --21 22 Q. Okay. 23 -- for this hearing. 24 Q. Would that potentially also fall under Mr. Wrinkle's purview? 25

## Examination by Mr. Rankin 233 1 About how we reported prior to the MOS? Α. 2. Ο. Yeah, how the Division managed the orphan 3 well program prior to establishing or creating this MOS establish? 4 I'll let you ask them because I could not 5 6 say for sure if that was a yes or no. 7 O. So I guess maybe Brandon? Maybe Justin? Okay. 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 I'm sorry, what was that? Ο. 11 Α. I would ask both of them if I was you. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Sorry. Α. 14 That's all right. Q. 15 And then the intent of the -- of that list 16 is to capture all orphan wells under the Division's 17 definition that exists in the state, whether state, federal, or private minerals, correct? 18 19 Α. Correct. It was just orphan wells. 20 Say that again. Ο. 21 Α. Correct. We were just looking for orphan 22 wells within New Mexico's state limits. 23 Right. So without respect to whether Ο. 24 they're State Trust minerals, federal minerals, or private minerals, correct? 25

1 2 k 3 F 4 C 5 f

- A. Correct. There is federal wells on there because we had plugged multiple federal wells per BLM request, but, again, being a good neighbor to our regulatory sibling, I guess. So there is BLM federal wells in there because we're also helping them out.
- Q. It sounds like it was an arduous process in large part because some of the -- you know, some of the determinations about whether a well is orphaned or not is dependent upon having to review individual hearing orders, correct?
  - A. It was a lot of hearing orders.
- Q. And I think you said there was some interpretation required when you reviewed hearing orders. Just so I'm understanding and for the record, what interpretation was needed to understand when you reviewed the orders?
- A. I mean, I guess, you know, I do a lot of hearings on my other part of OCD life. And this is a broad statement. I feel like our OCD orders nowadays are maybe cleaner and simpler to read.

When we started reviewing orders prior to my time at OCD, there was some confusion in them.

They're not following our similar templates that we use nowadays, so I leaned on our legal counsel for

Examination by Mr. Rankin 235 1 interpretation. Again, some of the orders were 2. dismissals, continuances. There's some old -- older orders that 3 4 are -- there's one example that I tease my counsel 5 that I hope they never do it because it's one order, one case, and there's like 73 operators, and I think 6 7 there's like 700 wells on it. They were all forced plugged potential wells. 8 So I really relied on the legal counsel 9 for that order because that order has been amended 10 11 multiple times, and the order says like, the wells are good today. You need to do this. Exhibit B, 12 13 you need to do this. Exhibit C, you need to do 14 this. 15 It just goes 1,000 different ways in some 16 of the older orders. So they get real messy the 17 older you get. I think the orders nowadays are a little cleaner. 18 19 So, there was no like electronic database 20 where you could see a checkmark, right, if something was orphaned, right? You had to go through and kind 21 of create it from scratch based on the old orders, 22 2.3 right? 24 Α. Yeah. The older we get, the more PDF we 25 get, too, so there's no just query we can run.

	Haramination has Dankin 226
-	Examination by Mr. Rankin 236
1	Q. Okay. Just Mr. Suazo and Mr. Cloutier
2	went through a lot of my questions. So I'm just
3	trying to make sure I don't duplicate myself
4	unnecessarily.
5	I think I'm going to raise an objection if
6	I ask this question, but basically, you're not the
7	person to ask: Of the 100 wells that OCD plugged in
8	2024, how many has OCD sought to redeem the bond
9	for?
10	A. I guess I was pausing because you expected
11	an objection. I am not 100 percent
12	MR. HALL: Is that your is that
13	for you or is that for Mr. Powell?
14	A. Yeah, I would defer probably to
15	Mr. Powell. All I will note is in the MOS, in the
16	yellow category, there's a bonds team, and there's
17	talk about bonds numbers in there. That's the
18	extent of my knowledge.
19	Now, how much we've sought, I couldn't
20	answer.
21	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Garcia, I'm just
22	following your advice. I'm going to ask each of
23	you, okay, and as things get kicked down, I'll
24	just I'll just ask the next one to the next
25	witness. Okay?

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 237
1	So that's not your area, and you can't
2	tell me of the of the wells you plugged, how many
3	the Division has sought to redeem, right?
4	So can you tell well, again, I guess
5	I'll direct it to Mr. Powell, but you can't tell me
6	if the Division hasn't, why it hasn't or what the
7	issue is with bonding. I'll direct that to
8	Mr. Powell.
9	A. Was that a question again?
10	Q. No. It was just me like
11	A. You're fine.
12	Q. Just me sorry, it's getting late in the
13	afternoon. Sorry.
14	All right. I'm sorting through I mean,
15	I had some issues last night sorting through what
16	Mr. Cloutier and Mr. Suazo were reviewing. So I'm
17	just trying to make sure I there's a few things I
18	might want to just I don't know that I need to
19	clarify any more than they did on the on the
20	testimony. But I I'm going to just touch on one
21	or two things here just to make sure it's clear.
22	Okay?
23	What I gathered from, you know,
24	reviewing I didn't because I didn't look at
25	the formula that you used for your Exhibit 16, so I

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 238
1	didn't I wasn't able to discern myself whether
2	the actual spreadsheet that generated this pie chart
3	had an error. But in reviewing the language of this
4	spreadsheet, I did discern for myself that there
5	might be a problem. And I think you explored that
6	thoroughly with Mr. Cloutier and Mr. Suazo.
7	But the bottom line is that this pie chart
8	is not accurate or reliable because it was using the
9	"or" for both marginal wells and no beneficial use
10	wells, correct?
11	A. I would say
12	Q. And that
13	A. Sorry, go ahead.
14	Q. Sorry, let me just clarify. This is
15	Exhibit 3, slide 4 that I'm referring to.
16	A. Correct, and I can see it. I would say
17	the accuracy matches the "or" mentality. And I know
18	we talked about it a lot. I think there's a lot of
19	confusion.
20	The real takeaway from this and I know
21	you're going to review with your clients tonight,
22	is my takeaway is the rule I'm not a lawyer.
23	You know that. So my reading and talks to
24	management were kind of treating it with the word
25	"or," which I did. It shows the numbers that it
	Page 238

Examination by Mr. Rankin 239 1 shows, which, again, is kind of like a worst case 2. scenario for the Commission to understand, if they enacted this, this is how many wells they would be 3 4 increasing bonds on potentially. What I will say is, I think it was -- the 5 OCC when they evaluate this, and the parties, I 6 7 think everyone is just looking for a clean, concise rule. Now -- so is that a "days or BOE"? Or is it 8 "days and BOE"? Is it just a "BOE"? 9 10 I believe others will testify more about 11 it, because to my understanding, there's been more 12 talks about the wording in the addition that I 13 wasn't part of. But, to me, a simple rule that's clean and cut for OCD and operators to go by so 14 15 there's no, you know, debates later down the road 16 of, does this well meet or not, is really what I'm 17 trying to get across with this slide. There was some confusion. We just need a 18 19 clean rule. Now, is that what that looks like? I don't have the answer to that at this time. 20 think we need a clean, easy-to-read rule. 21 So -- but just -- again, this pie 22 0. chart does not reflect what the wells would be that 23 are marginal or no beneficial use under the petition 24

Page 239

as it sits in front of the Commission right now,

25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 240
1	correct?
2	A. After talks with and I'm horrible with
3	names. I'll call him Mr. Andrew. After talking
4	with him and seeing how his clients read the word
5	"and," this would not show the "and" mentality that
6	him and his clients talked about, which they believe
7	is the way the petition is supposed to read.
8	Q. Okay. I'm just trying to get it into one
9	spot. All right.
10	I was interested in this last slide, 11,
11	of your Exhibit 3, although you don't provide a lot
12	of testimony on this slide, okay, in your in your
13	written testimony, nor did you really give much
14	around it in your summary.
15	But in your testimony, you said that these
16	wells ultimately became orphan wells, as I
17	understand, right? And that OCD had to plug them.
18	But they'd previously gone through a series of
19	numerous transfers from one operator to another.
20	And as I understand the issue here, the
21	Division identifies that as one of the one of
22	the you know, an indicator of potential orphan
23	wells when they get transferred down, down the
24	chain, correct?
25	A. I don't know if I would say OCD as a whole
	Page 240

Examination by Mr. Rankin 241 1 believes that. I think -- I put this slide 2 together, and you're right, I probably could have put some more words in here about -- this slide was 3 to kind of show -- these, again, are the same wells 4 that Loren testified to, and he had talked about, 5 with each sale, data gets, you know, harder and 6 7 harder to come by. So this really wasn't taking a stance on 8 the sale. It was really just showing the well that 9 10 Loren talked about, how many times they were sold 11 and how it became harder each time to get the data 12 to plug the well. That's kind of the take on this 13 slide. It's the same wells. 14 And to clarify, the wells on the right 15 side are the ones to be plugged. The Cato San Andres are the wells that were deemed forced 16 17 plugging. Another operator plugged those wells. 18 Now, I mean, you've read the petition and 0. 19 the proposed rulemaking. And Mr. Morgan did a very good job of reviewing this portion of the rule that 20 identifies the concerns about, and empowering the 21 Division to review transfers of operatorship to 22 2.3 ensure that the transferee has the proper financial 24 wherewithal to manage the life cycle -- remaining

life cycle of the wells, right?

25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 242
1	A. I'll say I can see
2	MR. HALL: Objection, multifarious
3	question. I heard about two or three different
4	questions there.
5	MR. RANKIN: It probably is true.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
7	Mr. Garcia, can you answer the question or do you
8	want it broken down?
9	A. All can I say is, I have not been present
LO	for all testimony, Mr. Rankin. We've had a lot of
L1	other items come up in the back that I've been
L2	dealing with outside of the hearing.
L3	So could you refine the question to get
L4	to specifically this slide we are discussing?
L5	Q. Well, it's a series of slides. And I
L6	guess you're aware that the proposed rulemaking here
L7	provides the Division with additional powers to
L8	review the financial wherewithal of an ability of
L9	the transferee to manage the life cycle of the wells
20	that they're going to be operating, correct?
21	A. Yeah. I'm aware that the proposed
22	petition talks about OCD having, you know, like you
23	said, some oversight of the transfers and all that
24	stuff.
25	Q. And once the Division has that power,
	Page 242

Examination by Mr. Rankin 243 1 assuming this proposed rule gets adopted as 2 proposed, the issues that are identified in slide 11, do you expect them to be resolved by the 3 Division's ability to review the capacity of the 4 5 transferee to manage the life cycle of the well? I think in certain situations, it may 6 7 help, but certain situations, I don't know about the difference. I don't know if I have a personal 8 opinion on this overall. 9 10 Okay. But just so I'm clear, I mean, you Ο. 11 put the slide together for a purpose, right? 12 show that the more times often -- you tell me. I 13 mean, what was the purpose of showing the fact that 14 these things got transferred from one operator to 15 another? 16 It was just to show the divestment history Α. 17 of the wells that Loren discussed in his testimony, just so the Commission and the parties had a 18 19 question -- or answers to questions. I kind of assume that somebody was going to ask how many times 20 they were sold, which I believe somebody did ask 21 Loren and he couldn't answer. This slide is 22 2.3 basically in support of those questions. So it wasn't intended to address the 24 Q. Division's concerns or ability to manage or review, 25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 244
1	oversee transfers going forward under the proposed
2	rule?
3	A. My testimony doesn't have any opinion on,
4	you know, overall supporting or going against the
5	rule. I believe that is for Brandon. We kind of
6	discussed he'll talk about changes and support about
7	the petition.
8	This is just more factual testimony of,
9	these wells are wells that we've encountered issues
10	with. You know, here's how many times they were
11	sold.
12	Q. So I'm going to ask you a question about
13	transfers. Has the Division conducted an analysis
14	to determine how many orphan wells the provision in
15	the rule that addresses transfers might prevent from
16	devolving into the OCD as orphan wells?
17	A. I have not.
18	Q. Are you aware of anybody at the Division
19	doing an analysis along those lines?
20	A. I am not.
21	MR. RANKIN: All right. I think
22	you've answered let me just I think you've
23	answered all of my questions. Let me just make
24	sure.
25	I think you have, Mr. Garcia. It's a
	Page 244
	1490 244

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 245
1	pleasure. Thank you very much for your time today.
2	Appreciate it.
3	JOHN GARCIA: Thank you.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
5	Mr. Rankin.
6	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
7	Mr. Garcia?
8	MR. MAXWELL: No questions. Thank
9	you.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
11	Is Ms. Nanasi with us?
12	Mr. Moore?
13	MR. MOORE: No questions, Madam
14	Hearing Examiner. Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
16	much.
17	Ms. Fox, are you going to have questions,
18	or Mr. Tisdel?
19	MR. TISDEL: We will, very few
20	questions.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. I'm
22	asking because we need a short break before public
23	comment, so can you do that after public comment?
24	MR. TISDEL: I'd be happy to, yeah.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thanks so
	Page 245
	rage 245

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 246
1	much. Let's come back at 4:00.
2	(Recess was taken from 3:54 p.m. until 4:04 p.m.)
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Let's come
4	back from the break, please.
5	All righty. Ms. Gerhart, would you like
6	to come up to the speaker's box up here, please.
7	Good afternoon. My name is Felicia Orth.
8	I'm conducting a hearing on well plugging and
9	financial assurance. We've come to another public
10	comment session today. I have several people
11	indicating a desire to offer public comment. Just a
12	few things about that.
13	We'll ask you to spell your first and last
14	name because we're making a transcript. Also,
15	please speak at a deliberate pace because we are
16	making a transcript. I'll ask the rules ask that
17	you be sworn in; that is to say I'll ask if you
18	swear or affirm to tell the truth.
19	And I will ask you to keep your comments
20	to three minutes. In the event you have a lot more
21	than that to say, please submit your comment in
22	writing to Sheila Apodaca, the Commission
23	administrator.
24	So, Ms. Gerhart, would you spell your
25	first and last name, please.

	·
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 247
1	SAYRE GERHART: My name is Sayre
2	Gerhart, S-A-Y-R-E, G-E-R-H-A-R-T.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
4	And do you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
5	SAYRE GERHART: I do.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start
7	your time.
8	SAYRE GERHART: My name is Sayre
9	Gerhart, and I live in Corrales, New Mexico. And I
10	am really just a member of the public coming to
11	support the modernization of the New Mexico's
12	bonding and cleanup rules. And I really know that
13	this is hard work. I have been an elected official
14	myself and want to commend everybody for working on
15	this.
16	My reason for speaking also is because as
17	a member of the public, we are paying attention.
18	And I know it's very nuanced what, you know, even I
19	was hearing for the last half an hour in the room.
20	But it's also very simple. You know, if you drill
21	it, you clean it up.
22	And as a taxpayer and as an advocate for
23	our stewardship of our beautiful state, I hope that
24	the commissioners will modernize the rules and
25	prevent the taxpayers from picking up the burden of

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 248
1	cleaning up after other people and also that, you
2	know, we resolve the orphan wells situation around
3	the state.
4	And that's really all I wanted to say.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
6	much, Ms. Gerhart.
7	Do we have Kathleen Hepp on the platform?
8	KATHLEEN HEPP: Okay. Can you hear
9	me?
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, I can.
11	KATHLEEN HEPP: Okay.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Would you
13	spell your first and last name, please.
14	KATHLEEN HEPP: Okay. Kathleen, it's
15	K-A-T-H-L-E-E-N, and my last name is Hepp, H-E-P-P.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
17	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
18	KATHLEEN HEPP: Absolutely.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I will start
20	your time.
21	KATHLEEN HEPP: Okay. Well, hello.
22	My name is Kathleen Hepp. I live in New Mexico, for
23	30-plus years. I'm originally from Pennsylvania. I
24	raised my boys here, my three boys in Moriarty, New
25	Mexico, and they all attended public schools. All
	Page 248

Examination by Mr. Rankin 249 1 three boys were in wrestling, and it gave them a 2 structure and it gave them discipline to become the good, hardworking men that they are today. 3 So that being said, it also gave them 4 5 morals and values. Sorry. They've also received scholarships, each of them, to go to college. 6 7 hope is that their children have the same opportunity as they did. 8 Cutting the funding would put a big damper 9 on that opportunity, I believe. One of my son's job 10 11 is working with the railroad, and I consider that a 12 very good career for him. I'm proud of him. 13 It would be a real impact on his job and 14 the community around to get products, meaning a rise 15 in the prices and less product for Americans. 16 Increasing products, in my opinion, in New Mexico, 17 we can't afford it right now. With the increase of everything else, it would be -- it would hurt our 18 19 community because that -- we cannot afford that. 20 For you guys to raise the regulations, that would 21 hurt everyone. 22 It would also probably drive the companies 2.3 out of New Mexico, do business elsewhere. So please consider people like me and my family before 24

deciding on any new regulations.

25

	·
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 250
1	And I appreciate the time that you're
2	taking to listen to me. Thank you very much.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
4	Ms. Hepp.
5	KATHLEEN HEPP: You're welcome.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Vicki
7	Gottlieb? Do we have Vicki Gottlieb on the
8	platform?
9	She reached out earlier to speak at 4:00.
10	All right. If she joins us, Ms. Apodaca,
11	let me know.
12	MS. APODACA: I do see her on the
13	platform, and she has permission to unmute.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Oh, okay.
15	Ms. Gottlieb, I believe you have
16	permission to unmute.
17	Ms. Gottlieb, you might need to press
18	control-shift-M.
19	She may have stepped away.
20	All right. Let's move to the next person
21	with their hand raised, Sheila.
22	All right. This is Petra Ligon or Ligon.
23	Can you unmute yourself?
24	PETRA LIGON: Can you hear me?
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. Thank
	Page 250

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 251
1	you. Would you spell your first and last name,
2	please.
3	PETRA LIGON: Yes. It's P-E-T-R-A,
4	last name L-I-G-O-N.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
6	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
7	PETRA LIGON: Yes.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start
9	your time.
10	PETRA LIGON: Okay. So hello,
11	everyone. Thank you, commissioners, for allowing me
12	to speak today.
13	My name is Petra Ligon. I was born in
14	Berlin, Germany, and moved to the United States when
15	my husband was transferred to Fort Eustis, Virginia.
16	After three years, I, too, decided to join
17	the Army working as a transportation management
18	coordinator. I separated after my second enlistment
19	and worked for various companies, mostly in the
20	administrative field.
21	Kirtland Air Force Base was my husband's
22	last duty station. He retired in 1997 after serving
23	24 years in the military. And we both retired
24	permanently this year.
25	I realize New Mexico heavily depends on
	Page 251

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 252
1	the revenue it makes from oil and gas companies to
2	fund education, Medicaid, and other programs.
3	Nevertheless, increasing fees for smaller oil and
4	gas companies will only drive them to elsewhere. As
5	a veteran, I know that the oil and gas companies are
6	composed of about 20 percent veterans. Supporting
7	those who have served our country is important, and
8	we should not take away that opportunity.
9	With that said, I believe it's very
10	important for our state not only to rely on the
11	revenue from oil and gas, but to incentivize larger
12	companies and manufacturing businesses to move to
13	New Mexico.
14	I'm asking to please consider the
15	importance of oil and gas for our state when
16	deciding on this issue.
17	Thank you for your time.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
19	much, Ms. Ligon.
20	We'll move now to Stefi Weisburd.
21	STEFI WEISBURD: Hi. Can you hear
22	me?
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. Thank
24	you very much. Would you spell your first and last
25	name, please.
	D 050
	Page 252

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 253
1	STEFI WEISBURD: Stefi is S-T-E-F-I,
2	Weisburd, W-E-I-S-B-U-R-D.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
4	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
5	STEFI WEISBURD: I do.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
7	I'll start your time.
8	STEFI WEISBURD: Thank you for
9	allowing me to speak today. I've lived in New
10	Mexico for 35 years.
11	I treasure the state's natural beauty and
12	how responsible use of our resource benefits us all.
13	Unfortunately, our state has suffered a subset of
14	examples of companies abusing the trust we place in
15	them to operate conscientiously and return the
16	borrowed environment to its prior state.
17	The oil and gas industry, in particular,
18	seems to be structured to encourage infrastructure
19	abandonment from small operators who acquire
20	marginal wells that do not guarantee enough income
21	to be cleaned up by their owners. The problem will
22	only be exacerbated by the eventual decline of
23	fossil fuels, leaving more stranded assets littering
24	our state.
25	Requiring operators to put up a bond

Examination by Mr. Rankin 254 1 linked to well plugging and remediation cost is 2. common sense. After all, we wouldn't object to a 3 landlord requiring a security deposit and evidence 4 of cash flow to pay rent for an apartment. Ιf 5 renters treat the property with respect, they will get their security deposit back. 6 7 The impact of abandoned wells goes beyond 8 plugging and remediation costs. They can emit substances toxic to humans, livestock, and wildlife 9 10 and contribute to a climate pollution. And we're 11 already confronting the costly consequences of 12 climate change where I live. 13 I'm terrified of wildfires ruining --14 burning our communities. We have soaring insurance 15 premiums. We have water shortages. People are 16 having to drill deeper for wells. And we have a harder time in the heat. 17 Naturally, climate-driven extreme weather 18 19 has bumped the average number of billion-dollar disasters from three per year in the 1980s to 19 per 20 year over the last decade, according to Climate 21 22 Central. For the first six months of this year, 23 there have been 14 disasters costing \$101 billion. I was on when Senator Townsend and 24 25 Representative Montoya mentioned HB 403. Ιt

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 255
1	redirects funds from education towards the
2	reclamation fund in lieu of modernizing bonding
3	requirements. I do not like taking the option of
4	support away from education, nor relying solely on a
5	rescue fund after the fact.
6	A sufficiently sized bond acts as more of
7	a deterrent to bad behavior than cleanup monies
8	widely sourced from taxes on the industry at large.
9	Some of the reclamation funding also comes from the
10	federal government, which is abandoning decades of
11	environmental protections and the funding behind it.
12	We must stand up for ourselves. I urge
13	you to adopt rules that protect our communities and
14	the lands, water, and air we all depend on to live.
15	Thank you very much.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
17	Ms. Weisburd.
18	Ms. Gottlieb?
19	VICKI GOTTLIEB: Got it. Hello.
20	Yes.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Would you
22	spell your first and last name, please.
23	VICKI GOTTLIEB: Yes. My first name
24	is Vicki, V-I-C-K-I, Gottlieb, G-O-T-T-L-I-E, as in
25	every, B, as in boy.
	Page 255

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 256
1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear
2	or affirm to tell the truth?
3	VICKI GOTTLIEB: I do.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
5	I'll start your time.
6	VICKI GOTTLIEB: Good afternoon,
7	Chair and Commissioners. My name is Vicki Gottlieb,
8	and I'm speaking as a resident of Placitas, where
9	I've lived for 18 years, and other places where I've
10	lived since 1970, Albuquerque, Roswell, Santa Fe,
11	and El Rito.
12	I strongly support the proposed bonding
13	rule changes. Since moving to Placitas, I've helped
14	our community push Sandoval County to require air
15	quality monitoring by the gravel operators here and
16	forced their contracts and required reclamation
17	after operations shut down.
18	Resource extraction is common in New
19	Mexico. It's also common sense to make companies
20	extracting resources responsible for the expense of
21	work to clean it up, 100 percent of the time.
22	When I worked excuse me for The
23	State Department of Information Technology for 12
24	years before retiring, one of my responsibilities
25	was reviewing all agencies' information technology

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 257
1	contracts. We worked hard to get the bonding
2	requirements for expensive single and multiagency
3	projects increased. So I have firsthand knowledge
4	of the imperative for adequate bonding, as well as
5	the business of all state agencies who have anything
6	to do with oil and gas drilling.
7	Well cleanup costs are substantial, and
8	they fall to the state largely because oil and gas
9	corporations are not required to post sufficient
10	bonds to cover them. This exposes taxpayers, public
11	funds, communities, and the environment to harm and
12	contributes to a legacy of pollution in New Mexico.
13	As a grandparent, I owe it to my
14	grandchildren to protect the environment, conserve
15	state funds wherever possible, and ensure a legacy
16	that encourages them to consider New Mexico the best
17	option for working and raising their families in the
18	future.
19	Your decision impacts future generations
20	of New Mexicans as well. You owe it to them to
21	support the proposed bonding rule changes.
22	Thank you for listening.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
24	much, Ms. Gottlieb.
25	Who else do we have here?

	<u> </u>
	Examination by Mr. Rankin 258
1	Kevin Kirby, can you unmute yourself?
2	KEVIN KIRBY: My name is Kevin Kirby,
3	K-E-V-I-N, K-I-R-B-Y.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear
5	or affirm to tell the truth?
6	KEVIN KIRBY: I do.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start
8	your time.
9	KEVIN KIRBY: Members of the New
10	Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. Son, we've got
11	to talk about this bond issue thing. Yeah, I know,
12	we don't talk a lot about your oil business, but
13	maybe now is the time. If your mother were here,
14	she would tell you what she always told you, clean
15	up your mess. Your room is like a pigsty.
16	I'm sorry, she's not here to tell you
17	herself. But you never listened. You and your
18	buddies have made a mess of New Mexico, and this
19	bond thing just says, we can't trust you. Your word
20	is not your bond. And we need you to have a legal
21	bond so you can do the right thing.
22	When you make a mess, clean it up or pay
23	the bond to do it. Yeah, I know you pay a lot of
24	taxes. I know you make a lot of money. You got a
25	big house and a nice family, but you don't live

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 259
1	here. I still do. We still live with the rusted
2	oil rigs, and your old mess is making us sick and is
3	killing New Mexicans. It's not been easy.
4	You say it's the cost of doing business.
5	You make a lot of money for New Mexico. It costs
6	too much to clean up the mess. We have to make a
7	profit. Well, here's the thing, this bond won't
8	save the world. You already took care of that. But
9	maybe, just maybe you can save New Mexico.
10	Just pass the bond, clean up your clean
11	up our land of enchantment.
12	Thanks for listening.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
14	Mr. Kirby.
15	I believe I saw Nona Girardi raise her
16	hand.
17	Ms. Girardi?
18	NONA GIRARDI: Yes, hello.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. Would
20	you spell your first and last name, please.
21	NONA GIRARDI: My first name is
22	N-O-N-A, last name G-I-R-A-R-D-I.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear
24	or affirm to tell the truth?
25	NONA GIRARDI: Yes.
	Page 259

Examination by Mr. Rankin 260 HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start 1 2 your time. 3 NONA GIRARDI: Good afternoon, Chair 4 and Commissioners. I'm speaking as a resident of Los Alamos, New Mexico, in strong support of 5 proposed bonding rule changes. 6 7 I've lived in New Mexico for more than 30 years. As a family doctor working in various areas 8 of rural New Mexico, I've seen the impact of 9 10 increased respiratory and cardiovascular diseases on 11 people living near oil and gas production areas. Children suffer severe asthma attacks more 12 13 frequently and have difficulty controlling their 14 day-to-day symptoms. Older citizens have worsening 15 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and heart disease. And people die at younger ages, all due to 16 17 inhaling these pollutants. 18 The oil and gas corporations that drill 19 for extract and profit from our public resources 20 should be required to clean up after themselves, 100 percent of the time. They can't be allowed to 21 22 leave the cost and effort of plugging and cleaning 23 up unproductive wells to our taxpayers. If they can't afford the cost of cleanup, they shouldn't be 24 25 drilling here at all.

If an ordinary person rents or leases a house or apartment, they usually have to provide a security deposit up front to cover any damages they may cause. If an ordinary person owns or drives a car in New Mexico, they need to have automobile insurance to cover the cost of accidents, injuries, or property damage. The same principle should apply to oil and gas companies operating in our lands.

They should post the sufficient bond as the proposed rule would require. In the past five years, over \$100 million in public funds, both state and federal, have been spent to clean up abandoned wells in New Mexico. And we still face up to \$1.6 billion in future cleanup costs.

The abandoned wells are dangerous, methane and toxins leak into our air and groundwater, harming wildlife and public health, especially in rural and tribal communities. Leaking methane goes to waste, worsening global warming without even doing anything towards supplying our energy needs.

Please vote to adopt stronger bonding rules. Let's protect our air, water, health, and wallets and make sure no one gets to profit while leaving the rest of us with the mess.

Thank you.

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 262
1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
2	Dr. Gerardi.
3	Is there anyone else on the platform who
4	would like to offer comment at this time? If you're
5	joining us by phone, you can press star-5 to raise
6	your hand. And then as I understand it from some
7	information that Mr. Maxwell shared with us, you
8	would be hitting star-6 in order to unmute.
9	Let's see, I see someone named Eleanor has
10	raised her hand.
11	Can you unmute yourself, Eleanor.
12	ELEANOR SMITH: Yes.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. Would
14	you
15	ELEANOR SMITH: Hi.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: spell your
17	first and last name, please.
18	ELEANOR SMITH: Eleanor, which is
19	E-L-E-A-N-O-R, and then Smith is just S-M-I-T-H.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do
21	you swear or affirm to tell the truth?
22	ELEANOR SMITH: Yes.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
24	I'll start your time.
25	ELEANOR SMITH: Okay. Good
	Page 262
	rage 202

Examination by Mr. Rankin 263 1 afternoon, Chair and Commissioners. My name is 2 Eleanor Smith. I'm speaking on behalf of (Navajo), which translates to sacred water speaks, in strong 3 4 support of the proposed bonding rule changes. I live here in the San Juan Basin in the 5 Four Corners area in Shiprock, New Mexico, on the 6 7 Navajo Reservation. As you may know, we are -- we live near two power plants, coal fired power plants 8 that have recently -- one has recently closed down, 9 10 and we have been littered with oil and gas wells 11 that have been abandoned, some of them for as long 12 as 100 years. 13 Our Navajo Nation was established by the 14 federal government as a mechanism to -- you know, 15 have a mechanism for them to approve oil and gas leases. And so the earliest -- and this was back in 16 17 the 1920s. So not far from here in Carrillo, New Mexico, we actually have abandoned oil wells that 18 19 have been there since the 1920s that have not been 20 cleaned up. And for years in this San Juan Basin area 21 22

And for years in this San Juan Basin area of the Four Corners, we have had the American Lung Association give us a grade of an F, as in failing, for decades, not only from the power plants, but also because of the methane emissions from these

2.3

24

25

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 264
1	leaking oil and gas wells.
2	We need really, it's you know, I
3	can't stress how much we are a sacrifice zone for
4	the rest of the country. You know, we've been
5	we're still designated as a sacrifice zone in this
6	area.
7	And we just need we would like for the
8	Commission to hold these companies accountable and
9	responsible for cleaning up their mess.
10	My husband, who has never smoked we're
11	in our 60s now, and he has been diagnosed with
12	chronic COPD, and he has never even smoked. So
13	there's and there's a high rate of asthma in this
14	area. A lot of our people have asthma. You know,
15	it's just we have chronic health conditions,
16	cancer.
17	So, you know, we would really like for the
18	Commission to do their job to hold these
19	corporations accountable, responsible, to make sure
20	that they have bonding in place to ensure that
21	there's enough bonding, not just minimal amounts,
22	but they need to have exceeding amounts to clean up
23	their messes.
24	And I just wanted also, if I have time,
25	share with you just the magnitude. This is from

	Examination by Mr. Rankin 265
1	Jerry Redfern's article back from a couple months
2	ago. It says, "Oil and Gas Forecast for New
3	Mexico's San Juan Basin: Going, Going, and this
4	is a "BLM report offers mixed outlook for oil and
5	gas production" in this area. And he predicted
6	that
7	Or, "The older report predicted 3,200 new
8	oil and gas wells in the Bureau's Farmington
9	Division area over 30 years in its baseline
10	scenario. Records from the New Mexico Oil
11	Conservation Division, which tracks all oil and gas
12	development across the state, show 309 (sic) wells
13	drilled from 2018 to present. Adding that number to
14	the latest report's expected 1,200 new wells brings
15	a total to 1,519 wells, less than half the number
16	predicted seven years ago."
17	So and then, you know, now they're
18	there's talk of more L&G drilling in this area.
19	So
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Please
21	wrap up.
22	ELEANOR SMITH: Okay. And so those
23	are the main points I wanted to make. Thank you.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very
25	much, Ms. Smith.

	Examination by Mr. Tisdel 266
1	Is there anyone else on the platform who
2	would like to offer public comment during this
3	session? Our next session will be tomorrow morning
4	at 9:00.
5	Anyone else? Raise your virtual hand or
6	press star-5 to raise your hand if you're on the
7	platform.
8	No. All right. Thank you very much. We
9	will return to the technical case.
10	And, Mr. Tisdel, you indicated you had
11	questions of Mr. Garcia.
12	MR. TISDEL: Yes. Thank you, Madam
13	Hearing Officer.
14	EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. TISDEL:
16	Q. And thank you, Mr. Garcia, for hanging in
17	there with us. I have just a few questions. My
18	name is Kyle Tisdel. I'm an attorney with the
19	Western Environmental Law Center and represent the
20	applicants in this case.
21	There's been some testimony and you've
22	been asked some questions already about the 2024
23	plugging data, and that had some three categories,
24	right? OCD had plugged 100 wells, there were 129
25	wells indicated as being forced plugged, and then

	Examination by Mr. Tisdel 267
1	773 wells that are non-orphan, and those wells are,
2	I guess, plugged by operators; is that correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. That makes fairly easy math, about 1,000
5	wells. So even as an attorney, I don't know that I
6	can screw that up too much, but if I do, please
7	correct me.
8	It sounded from your earlier testimony
9	that the forced plugging or the forced plugged
LO	category was sort of a gray area. And that involved
L1	third party plugging of those wells; is that right?
L2	A. Correct. The third party plugging them
L3	kind of is a gray area on where those wells really
L4	fit.
L5	Q. Yeah. Why might a third party be
L6	motivated to plug a different operator's well?
L7	A. I'm not fully sure. All I know is the
L8	operators I've worked with on these pluggings have
L9	vastly different circumstances. So I'm not sure all
20	the reasons behind it. I just know they seem to be
21	broad.
22	Q. Do those third-party operators have any
23	sort of predecessor relationship to that well at
24	all? Any sort of historical linkage to operating
25	that well?

1 2 h
3 t
4 c
5 a
6 -7 a
8 t

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. My understanding is the Land Office or BLM have made that connection somehow, and that's why the third-party operator is being discussed. Some operators have told me it's just part of their lease agreements. If they want to, you know, pursue other leases, that it's part of obtaining leases. I'm not an expert in that. That's just kind of what they told me in, you know, meetings about getting the sundries approved.
- Q. Okay. That's fair enough. Thank you. Is it -- is it the State Land Office or OCD that is reaching out to those third parties or are those third parties availing themselves of the state agencies?
- A. Not fully sure. OCD has never reached out to a third party, to my knowledge, to ask them to plug a well that they're not the operator of record for. I'm not sure of State Land Office or BLM's processes in going about that.
- Q. Okay. But it's clear from at least the 2024 data, that 773 of -- or approximately 77 percent, I guess, of the 1,000 wells that were plugged in 2024, those were from operators, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And the OCD plugged 100 of those, or

Page 268

268

	John Garcia - October 25, 2025
	Examination by Mr. Tisdel 269
1	10 percent of those wells, correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And then roughly 13 percent are in this
4	funny forced well category, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And I heard you say that you were
7	available for listening to the testimony, the prior
8	testimony in this case, for some of it, but not all
9	of it; is that correct?
10	A. Correct. I tried my best to be on, but
11	we've had other items arise in the background.
12	Q. Fair enough. Were you around for any of
13	the testimony that regarded the percentage of
14	orphaned wells or the strike that.
15	Have you been around for any of the
16	testimony that spoke about the percentage of wells
17	plugged being done by operators versus OCD in this
18	case?
19	A. Not entirely.
20	MR. HALL: Madam Hearing Officer,
21	this is beyond the scope of direct or any cross, so
22	I object.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Let's see.
24	Mr. Tisdel?
25	MR. TISDEL: Yeah, I mean, it's going
	Page 269

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Examination by Mr. Tisdel 270
1	to go directly to the 2024 statistics that
2	Mr. Garcia has provided for in his direct testimony,
3	as well as testified to today.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
5	Keep going.
6	A. So I can proceed with an answer?
7	Q (By Mr. Tisdel) You may, yes. Thank you,
8	Mr. Garcia.
9	A. I will say I cannot say I've been around
10	for all parties' testimony. The only one I can say
11	I heard all testimony was Loren today, prior to me,
12	Mr. Diede. I heard his entire testimony and the
13	questions associated with it, but I cannot say I
14	heard any applicant's or any parties' opening.
15	Q. Okay. Fair enough. But at least in 2024,
16	approximately 77 percent of the wells plugged in the
17	state were done by operators, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And you also testified earlier about the
20	Master Orphan Spreadsheet, and that's a fairly new
21	spreadsheet that the that the Division has put
22	together, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And how did you track orphan wells prior
25	to the advent of that spreadsheet?

- A. I gave the answer to Mr. Rankin. I would have to defer to probably Brandon. Prior to that Excel sheet, I wasn't involved in the project too much. Me and the Excel sheet kind of came in together, I would say. So it's been my incentive to track it through MOS.
- Q. And is it -- has that spreadsheet just not been around long enough for you to track any -- I know you said earlier that that spreadsheet is a living document, right? So information's being added to that on a daily basis.

Has that -- over the course of that spreadsheet being in place, have you been able to discern any trends with the rate of orphaned wells in the state?

- A. I don't think I've tied the Excel sheet to any trend or used it to track any trends. More just to ensure that our sundries are getting entered and our plugging dates are being entered on the engineering side.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Garcia. One last question, and I don't want to belabor the point on Exhibit 16 at all, but the distinction between pulling the data with the word "or" or "and," you pulling it with "or" gave you a broader sample of

	,
	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 272
1	the impact of the number of marginal wells that
2	would be included; is that correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. And so that would suggest a broader impact
5	on industry than perhaps the wording if you were to
6	use "and"; is that correct?
7	A. I would agree with that, yes.
8	MR. TISDEL: No further questions.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
10	Thank you, Mr. Tisdel.
11	Mr. Tremaine, do you have any redirect?
12	MR. HALL: No, Madame Hearing
13	Officer.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Hall. I'm
15	sorry.
16	MR. HALL: It's okay. I knew what
17	you meant. He's the boss.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
19	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have any
20	questions for Mr. Garcia?
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
23	Q. Mr. Garcia, good afternoon.
24	A. Good afternoon.
25	Q. Thank you for your testimony. You know, I
	Page 272

2.

- Q. So, you know, this information is very important to the Commission, because -- so when we go back to your slides, number 4, you know, for the Commission, we want to know how many wells are really going to be impacted, at least from OCD's perspective. You know, we've listened to the -- to the applicant, and we do have a fair idea as to some of the numbers.
- But what you're showing here is, more or less, extremely higher than what even the applicant is showing. And you're saying that you don't necessarily have to make any amendments to your statement.
- A. Yeah, I mean, I more than can make changes to code if the Commission would like to see it. I would say -- I hate to defer a commissioner's question, but I believe Brandon will testify to our overall view. And our view of the rule has changed potentially in his testimony, I believe, and I think he would have better answers for that.
  - Q. So deputy director will give us how many

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 275
1	wells specifically that will be impacted by the
2	petitioner's case; is that correct?
3	A. I can't say for certain he will have a
4	well count, but I can rerun the well counts if the
5	Commission would like to see them.
6	MR. HALL: Commissioner, Mr. Garcia
7	can't answer that for his boss, but I can proffer
8	that we can make that available to the Commission.
9	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I appreciate
LO	it. Thank you for the clarification. I appreciate
L1	that.
L2	Q (By Commissioner Ampomah) So let's go to
L3	slide number 7. Let's go to slide number 9, slide
L4	number 9 instead.
L 5	So on slide number 9, you're showing, you
L6	know, the Rock Queen's Unit Number 043. And based
L 7	on what we are seeing, it sounds like this well went
L 7	down between 2002 and then came back in 2008.
L9	Will this production profile support what
20	we are discussing about some kind of a discretion
21	OCD having some kind of discretion and some
22	flexibility in categorizing these wells?
23	A. I guess to clarify, too, we're talking
24	about the Rock Queen because it has low production
25	from 2000 to 2009 approximately.

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 276
1	As far as does it the OCD's discretion
2	on the categories, I think it's hard for us to
3	justify all wells in any category. Each well is a
4	unique situation, and wells change. So they would
5	routinely be changing the categories. In my
6	understanding, the categories aren't set in stone.
7	So as this well's production changed, the well's
8	categories would also change with it.
9	Does that help answer your question? I'm
LO	not sure if I actually got it.
L1	Q. Yeah. So you deal with a lot with the
L2	data. So the direct question that I have is: Would
L3	you support any sections to some of the work
L4	categories as presented by the petitioner?
L5	A. I don't know if I have an overall view on
L6	the exact categories that have been provided.
L7	Really, this was just to kind of show that wells
L8	have a changing life, an evolving life for BOEs and
L9	day counts. I haven't testified anything about, I
20	guess, my opinions on the categories directly.
21	Are you asking me if I have an opinion on
22	the categories?
23	Q. No. I'm going to save my questions for
24	deputy director.
25	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: So thank you
	Page 276

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 277
1	so much, Mr. Garcia, for your testimony and do
2	appreciate your presence. Thank you.
3	JOHN GARCIA: Thank you.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
5	Commissioner Bloom, do you have questions
6	of Mr. Garcia?
7	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Thank you,
8	Madame Hearing Examiner. No, I believe we've
9	covered pretty well the issues with the Master
10	Orphan Spreadsheet. Looks like it was the effect
11	would be overexaggerated by using "or" instead of
12	"and." I think this has been covered sufficiently
13	today. And, you know, I have a good feel for what's
14	happening there.
15	So I have no questions.
16	Thank you, Mr. Garcia.
17	JOHN GARCIA: Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
19	Chair Chang, do you have questions of
20	Mr. Garcia?
21	CHAIR CHANG: I do not.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All righty.
23	We are at about quarter of 5:00. I trust that our
24	next witness, let's see, is Ms. Romero. Is that
25	true?

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 278
1	MR. TREMAINE: Yes, Madam Hearing
2	Officer. Ms. Romero's direct I anticipate to take
3	in excess of 30 minutes.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Let's
5	do that tomorrow morning, then.
6	MR. TREMAINE: That sounds great.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We'll take
8	public comment at 9:00 and go to Ms. Romero.
9	Is there anything else we can talk about
10	while we're together?
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Sorry, Madam Hearing
12	Officer, I think Mr. Rankin correctly raised the
13	issue of Mr. Powell. I don't know what else, but I
14	think let's just say I think some issues have
15	been kicked to Mr. Powell that appeared from written
16	direct testimony other witnesses were going to
17	handle. So I think on behalf of IPANM, at least,
18	I'm going to be asking that you consider more cross
19	time for Mr. Powell because he's going to be
20	carrying a lot more water than we thought.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
22	Perhaps return to the hour originally proposed for
23	every witness?
24	MR. CLOUTIER: That would be
25	sufficient for us. Thank you, Your Honor.

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 279
1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
2	Mr. Tremaine?
3	MR. TREMAINE: I'm not sure what to
4	say. I think if anyone's surprised that high level
5	policy and implementation questions go to the deputy
6	director, not lower technical staff, that takes me
7	out of stride. I'm not sure how to respond to that.
8	There's any number of questions I'd like
9	to ask regarding data from petition opponents, put
LO	the incident data into the record. So I have to
L1	define my cross to what's in the record within the
L2	time allotted. So my position is that they need to
L3	conform with the agreed order.
L4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. So
L5	Ms
L6	CHAIR CHANG: Madam Hearing Officer,
L7	I think if we are observing a concerted effort to be
L8	concise and brief, then and there really is
L9	when parties one at a time, that they really are
20	substantial questions left and parties have made a
21	concerted effort to be concise and brief, we might
22	be able to revisit the issue then. But I would hate
23	to extend time if people end up just asking
24	repetitive questions over and over. So perhaps you
25	can reserve that and just see how we get.

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 280
1	MR. CLOUTIER: I appreciate that,
2	Chair Chang, and the Mr. Suazo, Mr. Rankin, and I
3	have been trying to coordinate our questioning so
4	that one of us is not replowing the ground of
5	another. I think there have been some a few
6	clarification questions, but we will try and
7	coordinate on that and see where we are.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
9	Thank you all very much. See you tomorrow at 9:00.
10	(The proceedings concluded at 4:49 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Dage 280

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 281
1	AFFIRMATION OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, Kendra D. Tellez, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on
4	the 23rd day of October, 2025, a hearing of the New
5	Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was taken before
6	me via video conference.
7	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I did report in
8	stenographic shorthand the proceedings as set forth
9	herein, and the foregoing is a true and correct
10	transcript of the proceedings to the best of my
11	ability.
12	I FURTHER affirm that I am neither employed by
13	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in
14	this case, and that I have no interest in the final
15	disposition of this case in any court.
16	November 6, 2025
17	Vand00-
18	Unan Jely
19	KENDRA D. TELLEZ
	Veritext Legal Solutions
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## [& - 15,250]

	I .	T	1
&	267:4 268:22	<b>10s</b> 219:18	<b>130</b> 130:1
<b>&amp;</b> 221:8	<b>1,200</b> 265:14	<b>11</b> 11:1 240:10	216:12
0	<b>1,519</b> 265:15	243:3	<b>131</b> 131:1
	<b>1.5</b> 143:1	<b>11,499</b> 182:1	<b>132</b> 132:1
0 171:4,16,23	144:24	<b>110</b> 110:1	<b>133</b> 133:1
171:23 177:16	<b>1.6</b> 261:14	<b>111</b> 111:1	<b>134</b> 134:1
177:19 219:14	<b>10</b> 10:1 17:14	<b>112</b> 1:18 112:1	<b>135</b> 1:19 135:1
004 273:5	143:17,25	<b>1120</b> 87:1	<b>136</b> 136:1
<b>043</b> 218:25	154:5 191:16	<b>113</b> 113:1	<b>137</b> 137:1
275:16	216:11 269:1	<b>114</b> 114:1	<b>138</b> 138:1
1	<b>100</b> 57:11 73:8	<b>115</b> 115:1	<b>139</b> 139:1
<b>1</b> 1:1 2:6 79:5	81:5 88:10	<b>116</b> 116:1	<b>14</b> 14:1 254:23
79:25 80:10	100:1,8 120:7	<b>117</b> 117:1	<b>140</b> 140:1
81:3,12,25	146:22 153:21	<b>118</b> 118:1	<b>1400</b> 83:14
82:1,15 88:3,6	213:24 215:20	<b>119</b> 119:1	<b>141</b> 1:20 141:1
88:9,14 90:12	216:10 229:2	<b>12</b> 12:1 49:22	<b>142</b> 142:1
92:9 93:8	236:7,11	74:10 163:23	<b>143</b> 1:20 143:1
98:25 100:5	256:21 260:21	256:23 273:6	<b>144</b> 144:1
113:10 116:3	261:11 263:12	<b>120</b> 81:5 120:1	<b>145</b> 145:1
122:15 128:9	266:24 268:25	<b>121</b> 1:19 121:1	<b>1450</b> 88:9
137:2,6,13,18	<b>101</b> 101:1	<b>122</b> 122:1	<b>146</b> 1:21 146:1
137:25 138:17	254:23	<b>1220</b> 1:5	<b>147</b> 147:1
140:12,14	<b>102</b> 102:1	<b>123</b> 123:1	<b>14795</b> 159:2
146:15,16	<b>103</b> 103:1	<b>124</b> 124:1	<b>148</b> 148:1
149:19 156:10	<b>103s</b> 94:23	<b>125</b> 125:1	<b>149</b> 1:23 149:1
160:2,12,14	<b>104</b> 104:1	<b>126</b> 126:1	<b>15</b> 15:1 27:16
167:21 193:24	<b>105</b> 105:1	<b>127</b> 127:1	27:24 28:1,4
273:5	<b>106</b> 106:1	<b>128</b> 128:1	30:22 33:17
<b>1,000</b> 27:19	<b>107</b> 107:1	<b>129</b> 129:1	34:6,12,20
154:17,18	<b>108</b> 108:1	153:23 229:3	35:2,2 37:15
156:2,6 163:25	<b>109</b> 109:1	266:24	41:16 49:22
176:3 177:3,10	<b>10:03</b> 47:16	<b>12:01</b> 121:12	50:4 188:25
177:12 178:25	<b>10:10</b> 47:15	<b>12th</b> 85:6	190:10 273:6
197:23 216:8	<b>10:14</b> 47:16	<b>13</b> 7:11 13:1	<b>15,250</b> 27:25
218:8 235:15		216:12 269:3	37:7
210.0 233.13			

## [15.25 - 1984]

<b>15.25</b> 173:3	184:4,13 185:5	<b>174</b> 174:1	<b>190</b> 190:1
<b>150</b> 70:12,17	185:6,25 186:2	<b>175</b> 175:1	<b>1900</b> 74:11,16
71:6,16 105:16	186:3,4,8,12	<b>176</b> 176:1	76:18
105:25 106:13	187:2,22,25	<b>177</b> 177:1	<b>191</b> 191:1
128:6 150:1	188:1,13,15,18	<b>178</b> 178:1	<b>192</b> 192:1
158:18	201:12,15,19	<b>179</b> 179:1	<b>1920s</b> 263:17
<b>150,000</b> 28:5,13	202:6 237:25	<b>18</b> 18:1 256:9	263:19
33:1 34:10	271:23	<b>180</b> 154:17,17	<b>193</b> 193:1
38:5,8 40:17	<b>160</b> 2:6,7,8,9,10	163:21,22,25	<b>194</b> 194:1
42:4	160:1	176:2 177:2	<b>195</b> 195:1
<b>151</b> 151:1	<b>161</b> 161:1	178:24 180:1	<b>1950s</b> 116:23
<b>152</b> 152:1	<b>162</b> 1:23 162:1	197:25	213:16
<b>153</b> 153:1	<b>163</b> 163:1	<b>1800</b> 153:16	<b>1951</b> 73:24
<b>154</b> 154:1	<b>163,000</b> 102:16	<b>181</b> 181:1	77:13
<b>155</b> 155:1	<b>164</b> 70:12,12,18	<b>182</b> 182:1	<b>1953</b> 73:25
<b>156</b> 156:1	71:5,12 105:16	<b>183</b> 183:1	74:10 78:24
<b>157</b> 157:1	105:25 106:13	<b>184</b> 184:1	99:2,12 116:5
<b>158</b> 158:1	128:6 158:18	<b>185</b> 185:1	116:10 128:22
<b>159</b> 159:1	164:1	<b>186</b> 186:1	<b>1954</b> 159:8
<b>15th</b> 161:25	<b>165</b> 165:1	<b>187</b> 187:1	<b>1955</b> 158:9
167:5	<b>166</b> 166:1	<b>188</b> 188:1	<b>196</b> 196:1
<b>16</b> 16:1 89:3	<b>167</b> 167:1	<b>189</b> 189:1	<b>1960</b> 159:9
150:7,11	<b>168</b> 168:1	<b>19</b> 19:1 192:9	<b>1967</b> 158:25
157:21 160:2,7	<b>169</b> 169:1	254:20	<b>197</b> 197:1
160:8,13 163:7	<b>17</b> 2:9 17:1	19.15.25.10	<b>1970</b> 256:10
164:10 165:23	55:16 105:8	65:19 115:14	<b>198</b> 28:17
166:12,17,19	150:5,9 160:2	119:24	31:15 35:1
167:2 168:5,11	160:12,14	19.15.25.10.	198:1
168:14 169:2	166:18,19	65:2	<b>1980</b> 80:18
169:24 170:6	190:23	19.15.25.8.	159:15
171:19 173:18	<b>170</b> 170:1	49:25	<b>1980s</b> 43:22
173:22,23	<b>171</b> 171:1	19.15.25.9	254:20
174:1 179:11	<b>172</b> 172:1	172:21	<b>1984</b> 80:19
181:13,20	<b>173</b> 153:24	<b>19.15.5.9.</b> 49:23	128:24
183:1,6,11	173:1		
, - ,			

## [199 - 249]

100 100.1			
<b>199</b> 199:1	57:13,18	112:20 150:7,8	<b>224</b> 224:1
<b>1993</b> 116:6	3:6 210:8	150:23 153:22	<b>225</b> 225:1
157:18,22	4:11 252:6	158:11 172:19	<b>226</b> 226:1
219:5,10 <b>200</b>	200:1	181:23 215:20	<b>227</b> 227:1
<b>1995</b> 159:1 <b>200</b>	0 219:13,20	229:2 236:8	<b>228</b> 228:1
<b>1997</b> 251:22 27	5:25	266:22 268:21	<b>229</b> 229:1
<b>1998</b> 63:11 <b>200</b>	<b>1</b> 220:1	268:23 270:1	<b>23</b> 1:8 23:1
<b>1999</b> 159:1 <b>200</b>	<b>2</b> 220:2	270:15 273:12	77:20 154:3
219:5,10	<b>'</b> 5:18	<b>2025</b> 1:8 44:22	215:6 229:11
<b>1:00</b> 121:11 <b>200</b>	<b>4</b> 63:15	45:5 281:4,16	229:14
<b>1:04</b> 121:12 <b>200</b>	<b>5</b> 157:11	<b>203</b> 1:24 203:1	<b>230</b> 230:1
<b>1st</b> 1:4 <b>200</b>	6 156:23	<b>204</b> 204:1	<b>231</b> 231:1
2 200	<b>7</b> 159:1	<b>205</b> 205:1	<b>232</b> 232:1
2 2:1,7 27:19 200	8 219:13,21	<b>206</b> 206:1	<b>233</b> 233:1
1 7	<b>'</b> 5:18	<b>207</b> 207:1	<b>234</b> 234:1
80:2,10 81:4 200	9 215:13,16	<b>208</b> 208:1	<b>235</b> 235:1
81:14,22,25	20:7,11	<b>209</b> 209:1	<b>236</b> 236:1
82:2,7 83:11	75:25	<b>21</b> 1:15 21:1	<b>237</b> 237:1
88:4,19,21	201:1	<b>210</b> 210:1	<b>238</b> 238:1
89:8 90:22 201	5 157:21	<b>211</b> 211:1	<b>239</b> 239:1
92:2,8 93:2 201	6 157:13	<b>212</b> 212:1	<b>23rd</b> 281:4
101:25 102:12	9:9	<b>213</b> 213:1	<b>24</b> 24:1 209:14
109:5 113:11 201	8 220:7	<b>214</b> 214:1	230:16 251:23
137:3,14,20	55:13	<b>215</b> 215:1	<b>240</b> 240:1
138:6,17,20 140:10 11 15 <b>201</b>	9 152:5	<b>216</b> 216:1	<b>2405</b> 84:2
140:10,11,15	202:1	<b>217</b> 217:1	<b>241</b> 241:1
149:21 160:2 <b>202</b>	1 48:20,24	<b>218</b> 218:1	<b>242</b> 242:1
100:12,14	<b>2</b> 159:17	<b>219</b> 219:1	<b>243</b> 243:1
170:12 192:9 202 103 24	<b>3</b> 152:9	<b>22</b> 22:1 155:16	<b>244</b> 244:1
193:24	5:5	197:19 198:6	<b>245</b> 245:1
2-3/8 85:3 2.7/9 95.4.9 202	<b>4</b> 44:16,20	<b>220</b> 220:1	<b>246</b> 246:1
2-1/8 85:4,8	5:1 62:17	<b>221</b> 1:24 221:1	<b>247</b> 247:1
90:25 91:1	3:19 80:8,16	<b>222</b> 222:1	<b>248</b> 248:1
20 20:1 20:2	:9 82:4 85:6	<b>223</b> 223:1	<b>249</b> 249:1
63:15 136:14	):13,16,21		
136:15 156:23	, ,		

## [25 - 4:00]

	<b>1</b> :		
<b>25</b> 2:10 17:9	<b>270</b> 270:1	<b>3,000</b> 25:23	4
25:1 41:17	<b>271</b> 271:1	<b>3,200</b> 265:7	<b>4</b> 2:3 4:1 61:5
73:5 147:13	<b>272</b> 1:25 272:1	<b>30</b> 30:1 41:19	93:19,24 94:6
150:6,16,17	<b>273</b> 273:1	136:16 224:12	94:10,12 95:20
160:2,12,14	<b>274</b> 274:1	248:23 260:7	122:18 183:16
172:19 210:8	<b>275</b> 275:1	265:9 278:3	186:20 193:4
<b>250</b> 35:14 88:8	<b>276</b> 276:1	<b>300</b> 7:16	201:13,18
250:1	<b>277</b> 277:1	<b>30523</b> 281:18	202:1 238:15
<b>250,000</b> 35:10	<b>278</b> 278:1	<b>309</b> 265:12	273:11 274:7
35:21 36:7,17	<b>279</b> 279:1	<b>31</b> 31:1 105:25	<b>4,000</b> 81:14
36:22	<b>27th</b> 48:21	<b>32</b> 32:1	137:15
<b>251</b> 251:1	<b>28</b> 28:1	<b>33</b> 33:1	<b>4,380</b> 182:2
<b>252</b> 252:1	<b>280</b> 280:1	<b>33,000</b> 154:25	<b>40</b> 14:24 40:1
<b>253</b> 253:1	<b>281</b> 281:1	<b>33,211</b> 181:25	93:5 107:10
<b>254</b> 254:1	<b>28th</b> 48:22	<b>33,221</b> 273:15	187:19
<b>255</b> 255:1	85:22	<b>34</b> 34:1	<b>400</b> 27:4
<b>256</b> 87:6,15,18	<b>29</b> 29:1 197:3	<b>342</b> 26:23 31:9	<b>403</b> 254:25
256:1	<b>2900</b> 137:20	<b>35</b> 35:1 70:18	<b>40s</b> 213:9
<b>257</b> 257:1	<b>293</b> 86:1	253:10	<b>41</b> 41:1
<b>258</b> 258:1	<b>29th</b> 77:19	<b>350</b> 156:4	<b>42</b> 42:1
<b>259</b> 259:1	<b>2:38</b> 190:13	179:5	<b>420</b> 26:21
<b>26</b> 26:1	<b>2:49</b> 190:13	<b>36</b> 36:1	<b>43</b> 1:15 43:1
<b>260</b> 260:1	<b>2nd</b> 209:8	<b>36,000</b> 27:20	<b>44</b> 5:11 44:1
<b>261</b> 261:1	3	<b>36,020</b> 27:23	<b>45</b> 45:1
<b>262</b> 262:1	<b>3</b> 2:8 3:1 34:6	<b>362</b> 85:7	<b>4500</b> 157:12
<b>263</b> 263:1	49:23 150:1	<b>3650</b> 89:11	<b>455</b> 86:7 87:1,2
<b>264</b> 264:1	160:2,12,14	<b>37</b> 37:1 89:12	<b>46</b> 46:1
<b>26483</b> 3:3	174:6 175:20	<b>38</b> 38:1	<b>47</b> 47:1
<b>265</b> 265:1	179:11 183:15	<b>3800</b> 89:13	<b>48</b> 48:1
<b>266</b> 1:25 266:1	183:16 186:20	<b>385</b> 84:15	<b>49</b> 49:1
<b>267</b> 267:1	188:3,3,7,10	<b>39</b> 39:1 81:14	<b>49,000</b> 131:25
<b>268</b> 268:1	197:11 198:4,5	<b>3:21</b> 220:18	<b>49,964</b> 145:13
<b>269</b> 269:1	201:13 228:24	<b>3:54</b> 246:2	<b>4:00</b> 18:23 21:1
<b>27</b> 27:1	228:25,25		246:1 250:9
	238:15 240:11		2.3.1 200.9
	250.15 2 10.11		

### [4:04 - 9:00]

<b>4:04</b> 246:2	<b>59</b> 59:1	160:2 275:13	<b>8:06</b> 162:1
<b>4:49</b> 280:10	<b>5:00</b> 162:1	<b>70</b> 5:8 70:1	9
5	277:23	<b>700</b> 235:7	9 9:1 21:22
<b>5</b> 2:4 5:1 8:12	6	<b>700,000</b> 25:13	143:16,25
21:2 52:25	<b>6</b> 2:5 6:1 61:11	<b>70s</b> 16:21	172:22 275:13
53:4,7 61:8	62:5 93:19,24	<b>71</b> 71:1	275:14,15
93:19,24 94:6	94:6,11,12	<b>72</b> 72:1	<b>90</b> 90:1 113:22
94:10,12 96:4	126:14 188:7	<b>72,000</b> 92:25	155:6,6 156:20
97:16 166:1	197:11 262:8	<b>720</b> 17:12	157:7 158:7
188:7 193:3	281:16	<b>73</b> 73:1 235:6	167:25 168:1
197:11 262:5	<b>6,000</b> 81:13	<b>74</b> 74:1	172:3,3 175:16
266:6	<b>6,400</b> 182:2	<b>75</b> 31:16 32:4	175:17,22,23
<b>5.2</b> 102:18	<b>60</b> 1:16 44:20	34:16,16 75:1	217:15,16
<b>50</b> 22:23 31:11	53:2 60:1	<b>76</b> 76:1	218:9,15
37:3 50:1	<b>60,000</b> 27:9,10	<b>77</b> 77:1 216:10	<b>91</b> 91:1
62:19 70:11	199:16	268:22 270:16	<b>918</b> 84:4,6,7
74:14 107:10	<b>60s</b> 264:11	773 215:24	<b>92</b> 92:1
120:7 130:17	<b>61</b> 61:1	216:9 267:1	<b>93</b> 93:1 116:9
<b>50,000</b> 43:9	<b>62</b> 62:1	268:21	<b>94</b> 1:18 2:3,4,5
93:5 131:25	<b>63</b> 63:1	<b>78</b> 14:13 78:1	94:1
<b>500</b> 7:16	<b>63,000</b> 92:25	<b>79</b> 79:1	<b>949</b> 106:1
<b>50s</b> 213:10,10	<b>64</b> 64:1	8	<b>95</b> 52:8,15,18
<b>51</b> 27:21 51:1	<b>65</b> 65:1	<b>8</b> 8:1 143:16,25	52:23 57:11
<b>51,000</b> 27:8	<b>66</b> 26:1 66:1	193:4	95:1 96:5
<b>51,270</b> 26:6,17	<b>6600</b> 88:14	<b>80</b> 80:1	97:16 113:22
27:22	137:19	<b>81</b> 81:1	<b>954</b> 178:14
<b>52</b> 52:1	<b>67</b> 67:1	<b>82</b> 82:1	<b>96</b> 96:1
<b>53</b> 53:1 116:9	<b>68</b> 68:1	<b>83</b> 83:1	<b>97</b> 17:2 97:1
<b>54</b> 54:1	<b>6800</b> 137:14	<b>84</b> 84:1	<b>98</b> 98:1
<b>55</b> 10:23 55:1	<b>69</b> 69:1	<b>85</b> 85:1	<b>99</b> 99:1
191:8,8,9	<b>6th</b> 77:20	<b>86</b> 86:1	<b>9:00</b> 1:8 266:4
<b>56</b> 56:1	7	<b>87</b> 87:1	278:8 280:9
<b>57</b> 57:1	<b>7</b> 7:1 74:3,12	<b>88</b> 88:1	
<b>58</b> 58:1	82:17 89:12	<b>89</b> 89:1	

## [a.m. - actual]

a	106:16 113:21	accordance	acronym
<b>a.m.</b> 1:8 47:16	118:10 119:2	99:2 117:12	221:13
47:16	120:8,16 124:4	accountable	<b>act</b> 7:19 31:6
abandon 8:2	132:25 133:16	264:8,19	acting 97:7
95:3	134:13 138:1,4	accounted	action 29:7
abandoned	170:6 185:24	97:16	45:9 59:5 97:6
97:24 110:4	189:9 207:4	accuracy	actionable
115:21 220:4	211:11 212:15	111:10 120:18	49:19
254:7 261:12	231:16,18	238:17	actions 50:5
261:15 263:11	232:16 238:1	accurate 61:14	active 15:3
263:18	271:13 279:22	95:24 112:24	31:17 35:7,8
abandoning	abnormal	112:25 115:16	35:15,20 36:4
137:5 255:10	138:21	152:21 169:9	36:5,16,18
abandonment	<b>above</b> 89:23	180:22 193:19	41:25 54:18
8:1 63:5,18	<b>abq</b> 14:15	213:20 217:25	57:5 128:18
135:24 136:7	absolutely 5:24	219:6,15 238:8	129:13,14
147:16 253:19	248:18	273:21	171:1 193:8
abandons	absorb 16:7	accurately	195:23 196:18
50:18 65:3	abusing 253:14	106:17 115:11	196:20 209:6
<b>ability</b> 51:15	<b>ac</b> 228:9	125:23 185:16	actively 49:1
84:24 242:18	accepted 53:5	195:10	activities 55:18
243:4,25	57:23	achieve 38:9	57:16 133:5
281:11	access 138:4	40:18 57:2,17	activity 22:23
able 26:3 30:15	139:6,10,17	achieved 11:11	23:7 98:23
32:8 39:13	194:7 214:1	42:3	195:3
40:6 58:4	accessible	achieving 72:3	actor 30:7,18
68:19,20 70:25	66:14 214:15	<b>acid</b> 39:21	53:15,15 54:21
72:4,5 75:6,25	accidents 261:6	<b>acoi</b> 64:5	<b>actors</b> 24:10
76:3,12 77:24	accommodate	109:11 159:19	31:17,19
77:25 81:9	50:9	<b>acois</b> 109:14	acts 255:6
83:2,7,16,18	accomplish	acquire 214:14	actual 53:8
84:11 86:22	65:22 71:21	253:19	144:1 192:14
87:12,13 90:8	77:17	acquisition	193:15 195:2,7
90:10 91:24	accomplished	101:2	225:13 238:2
99:23 105:7	79:17 80:15		273:20

# [actually - agencies]

actually 35:20	additional	administrative	affect 15:12
43:20 48:20	36:10 37:20	106:25 251:20	16:14 102:11
53:18 57:14	38:23 84:13	administrator	154:10 168:17
67:11 71:1	104:16 186:6	246:23	169:11 274:2
78:17 82:15	206:23 242:17	admission	affected 17:22
102:11 109:2	additionally	93:18 160:1	18:12 36:5
122:3 131:8	149:24 150:3	183:13 186:19	<b>affirm</b> 3:16 4:7
144:14 145:9	162:3	186:19 188:1	6:25 8:21
174:2,15 177:8	address 9:18	188:18	10:18 19:7
181:15 184:5	48:16 53:14	<b>admit</b> 185:17	60:7 61:25
205:25 223:19	58:17 99:24	admitted 94:11	149:2 246:18
226:13 263:18	130:12 184:12	94:12 160:12	247:4 248:17
276:10	232:16 243:24	160:14 162:7	251:6 253:4
acumen 109:12	addressed	187:21 188:10	256:2 258:5
109:12 159:17	56:22 128:5	admitting	259:24 262:21
159:20,21	210:23	161:12 183:1	281:7,12
193:6	addresses	<b>adopt</b> 59:13	affirmation
<b>adam</b> 1:14	244:15	61:25 96:5	281:1
33:18,22 42:14	addressing 9:8	151:2 255:13	affirmative
42:17 46:25	adequate 9:4	261:21	181:18 184:6
47:11 122:5	76:24 78:25	adopted 154:9	<b>afford</b> 249:17
211:12 221:7	91:5 119:15,24	154:21 243:1	249:19 260:24
add 16:8,11	120:2 257:4	advance 52:21	affordable 5:19
178:7 184:25	adequately	61:3 223:13	afternoon
188:25,25	65:10 72:5	advances	18:24 121:23
208:14,16	77:18 79:13,18	120:12	135:17 141:14
<b>added</b> 77:9,9	90:7 105:5	advantage 7:15	203:8 221:3,4
207:2,3,9	132:12	8:2	237:13 246:7
208:15 209:11	<b>admin</b> 152:6	advantages	256:6 260:3
214:7 271:11	administer	17:1	263:1 272:23
<b>adding</b> 16:13	3:20 99:21	<b>advent</b> 270:25	272:24
265:13	administration	<b>advice</b> 236:22	agencies 64:11
addition 15:14	45:15 65:1	<b>advise</b> 168:10	256:25 257:5
103:25 152:3	administrations	advocate	268:14
239:12	45:22	247:22	

# [agency - andres]

	I		
agency 4:23	149:5 151:5,14	allows 7:20	34:3 35:1 36:1
227:1 228:5	161:21 162:17	36:12	37:1 38:1 39:1
ages 260:16	183:22 217:9	altering 162:6	40:1 41:1
ago 11:11	227:2 238:13	altogether 12:2	42:12,15 43:12
17:15 120:10	<b>aid</b> 15:23 61:10	amateur 14:20	56:23 143:1,7
265:2,16	aide 62:4	<b>amend</b> 273:18	143:9,12 144:1
<b>agree</b> 35:10,24	ailing 5:9	273:19	145:1,25 272:1
59:7 105:4	aimed 58:3	amended	272:19,22
141:16 166:23	air 7:8 19:22,22	172:15 235:10	273:1 274:1
174:23 178:10	20:13 24:14	amendments	275:1,9,12
178:20 181:11	75:20 251:21	274:16	276:1,25 277:1
193:21 194:25	255:14 256:14	american	278:1 279:1
198:13 206:11	261:16,22	263:22	280:1 281:1
223:23 272:7	alamos 7:14	americans	analogy 52:19
agreed 117:8	260:5	249:15	52:22 54:7
177:22 178:7	<b>alarm</b> 147:8	amount 5:24	analysis 30:3
279:13	alarms 77:2	33:3 34:9 40:3	30:25 113:3,16
agreement	albums 17:8	40:9 48:10	132:4,18
104:2 159:20	albuquerque	76:21,24 84:5	169:23 218:15
208:12,14	14:15 15:2	91:11 108:6	230:6 244:13
agreements	17:16 256:10	110:11,14,22	244:19
50:15 207:11	alerted 73:16	111:14 114:11	analyze 162:4
208:11 268:5	alerts 223:1	138:19,23	analyzed 30:20
agrees 38:7	algeria 63:13	140:24 189:11	30:20
178:10	<b>align</b> 185:7	189:16	andesine 40:3
agriculture	<b>aligns</b> 165:16	amounts	andrea 7:20
15:3	allotted 279:12	264:21,22	<b>andres</b> 69:24
<b>ah</b> 34:21	<b>allow</b> 49:16	ampomah 1:12	70:11 98:3
ahead 4:15	82:11	1:15,20,25 3:7	101:6,8 105:16
8:24 12:20	allowed 19:4	19:1 20:1 21:1	105:16,25
14:11 19:10	36:9 81:5	21:13,15 22:1	106:13 108:11
60:11 69:20	103:9 260:21	23:1 24:1 25:1	123:1,6 124:25
81:16 82:6	allowing 83:23	26:1 27:1 28:1	128:6,20 131:7
88:22 116:18	91:14 251:11	29:1 30:1 31:1	139:14 158:18
122:16 131:2	253:9	32:1 33:1 34:1	159:4 241:16

# [andrew - arching]

<b>andrew</b> 162:22	278:2	applicant's	approach 44:8
240:3	anticipated	33:10 36:3	73:12 74:24
ands 172:1	139:23 140:1	42:2 43:7	109:24
ann 94:5	anybody	133:22 187:18	approaching
annually 119:6	185:14 244:18	270:14	110:4
annulus 82:16	anybody's 90:7	applicants 38:8	appropriate
<b>answer</b> 33:21	anymore 64:14	101:19 103:7	52:22 59:5
93:9 100:21	120:21 125:15	122:8 133:24	117:12 207:9
106:16 110:20	anyone's 279:4	135:19 155:5	appropriately
114:14 115:9	<b>anyway</b> 185:18	188:14 218:10	54:3
115:11 117:7	apart 81:5	218:13 219:25	approvable
120:18 125:23	88:11	266:20	196:15
129:6,16 131:1	apartment	application	approval 65:21
131:3,4 132:8	254:4 261:2	36:3,15 172:18	72:8 77:16
132:25 133:2,4	<b>apds</b> 152:7	210:18	124:18 196:20
133:7,10,17	<b>api</b> 207:4,7	applications	225:7,7
134:8 162:14	apodaca 3:20	222:18 224:22	approve 206:8
176:5 200:24	12:20 13:9	applied 72:8	208:7 229:22
208:17 211:12	135:8 246:22	186:2 217:11	229:25 263:15
226:25 232:14	250:10,12	apply 58:8	approved
236:20 239:20	apologies	261:7	78:25 80:25
242:7 243:22	116:10	applying 163:8	99:5 191:20
270:6 271:1	apologize	163:9	192:15,25
275:7 276:9	109:20 195:21	appreciate	196:2,6 198:25
answered	<b>appear</b> 26:8,9	16:22 23:14	207:18 212:21
117:4 131:16	129:13 181:16	42:16 94:3,25	215:10 223:9
141:15 174:18	appeared	112:15 122:2	224:16 268:9
174:20 244:22	278:15	134:19 148:2	approximately
244:23	appears 194:24	187:15 195:20	44:25 74:13
answering	applicable 52:3	202:2 245:2	93:5 221:25
105:23	215:15	250:1 275:9,10	268:21 270:16
<b>answers</b> 243:19	applicant 25:3	277:2 280:1	275:25
274:24	28:22 41:22	appreciation	aquifers 23:2
<b>anticipate</b> 140:21,23	274:11,14	14:25 122:9	arching 198:21

# [arduous - authorized]

224.5	100 1 5 1 1 7 1	201172271	1
arduous 234:7	108:16 117:4	204:17 225:4	attempt 52:2
<b>area</b> 17:12 20:2	131:14 132:24	270:13	82:8 86:13
45:5 68:22	158:20 167:2,4	association	attempted 5:10
70:2 73:13,17	171:2 174:17	263:23	35:18 58:8
75:13,16,22	178:10 205:3	<b>assume</b> 145:5	82:18 84:11
79:8,12,20	216:16 217:2	195:6 243:20	118:15
80:13 82:5	226:18 266:22	assumed 192:6	attempting
87:2 90:16	<b>asking</b> 20:2,6	assuming	51:21 54:16
95:14 96:24	20:15,18 31:20	157:24 182:22	58:21 208:21
102:8,9 131:20	32:3 96:5	220:3 243:1	attempts 50:8
195:10 223:21	118:24 119:1	assumption	58:17 71:3
237:1 263:6,21	143:24 144:2	173:2	84:20
264:6,14 265:5	178:6 196:23	assurance 3:4	attended
265:9,18	223:20 225:16	15:15 16:2	101:15 248:25
267:10,13	228:5 245:22	17:22 18:14	attending
<b>areas</b> 111:23	252:14 276:21	25:18,23 34:9	94:21
260:8,11	278:18 279:23	35:7 36:6,18	attention 48:11
argument	aspects 24:1	38:5 50:25	51:19 152:15
98:18	210:4 223:10	51:4,6,12,15	247:17
arizona 4:19	assembled	99:7,7 100:14	attorney
5:5 16:21,25	210:9	100:18 102:10	112:12 266:18
arms 216:15	<b>assert</b> 229:21	107:5 113:3	267:5
<b>army</b> 251:17	assessment	189:13 197:8	attorneys
arrangement	73:10	246:9	281:13
123:11	assets 32:18	assurances	atypical 54:1
arrangements	253:23	197:4	auction 41:20
59:21 73:18	assist 60:13	<b>assure</b> 68:19	authority 48:25
arrival 106:9	101:2 149:25	<b>asthma</b> 260:12	49:3 59:10
<b>arrive</b> 103:3	assisted 15:4	264:13,14	97:3,7 160:23
article 265:1	150:14 196:25	atmosphere	189:14 222:23
ascertain	206:22	69:10 76:6	authorize
229:16	associated	91:16	51:20
<b>aside</b> 160:6	50:18,22 51:10	attached 79:12	authorized
<b>asked</b> 20:17	53:9 55:25	<b>attacks</b> 260:12	51:24 224:19
31:20 64:17	56:1,5 184:3		

# [authorizes - beautiful]

authorizes	b	53:15 54:20	216:19 220:3
224:25	<b>b</b> 8:18,18	72:18 222:22	235:22 273:2
<b>auto</b> 207:6	159:16 235:12	255:7	273:12,13,17
automatically	253:2 255:25	<b>bandied</b> 92:22	275:16
39:7 192:5	258:3	bankrupt	baseline 265:9
automating	bachelor's	38:15	basements 23:1
214:22 231:9	62:18 63:8	bankruptcy	<b>basically</b> 71:9
automobile	back 5:5,11	38:17 54:6	82:1 88:18
261:5	21:8 31:7	109:1 125:11	91:14 138:1
avail 36:22	39:18 47:15,19	banting 96:4	147:2 154:24
available 65:23	47:20 82:3,6	<b>barely</b> 156:25	158:14 192:4
65:25 73:21,23	83:9,15,23	<b>barrels</b> 163:22	192:12,19
92:24 93:20	84:8,17 86:9	163:25 168:1	194:19 197:21
99:23 127:4,8	90:6 113:25	217:15	204:3,19
127:14,19,23	116:5,23	<b>base</b> 204:6	205:25 206:3
140:8,25	121:13 143:24	251:21	206:15,17
141:19 148:18	167:21 176:6	<b>based</b> 25:17	207:4,14 208:3
269:7 275:8	179:23 190:19	34:23 77:24	213:12 222:17
availing 268:13	191:10 197:10	88:23 89:9	223:1 225:7
average 53:6	210:20 213:9	100:12 112:17	226:6 229:25
130:4,22	213:16 215:4	129:11,22	236:6 243:23
254:19	218:5 242:11	130:20 132:4	<b>basin</b> 23:5,6
avoid 8:1 40:14	246:1,4 254:6	144:24 161:18	39:22 107:16
<b>aware</b> 17:17	263:16 265:1	162:14 163:11	263:5,21 265:3
45:6 113:18	274:7 275:18	164:13 165:17	<b>basis</b> 49:20
114:18,23	backbone	165:19,23	161:18 165:11
131:18 132:18	11:20	166:4 179:19	230:10 271:11
132:21 145:19	backed 24:18	180:3,11,25	batting 56:13
146:25 167:16	background	181:22,24	<b>bean</b> 8:13,14
205:7 215:14	122:1 269:11	182:4,9 183:24	8:15,17,18,22
242:16,21	backyard	184:9,20 195:6	8:25 10:1
244:18	79:22	199:9 206:5	<b>beat</b> 228:11
	<b>bad</b> 24:10	207:5,10	beautiful 5:14
	28:10 30:7,18	210:15 212:18	247:23
	31:17,19 53:15	215:6,22	

# [beauty - bloom]

	I	I	I
<b>beauty</b> 16:23	199:18 202:8,9	<b>best</b> 42:8 56:21	84:23 97:22
253:11	206:16 211:4	61:14 81:15	105:12 126:13
<b>becoming</b> 43:8	217:2 227:13	85:16 102:20	127:2 189:4
44:2,3,9	232:21 239:10	105:5 116:21	196:11 204:14
<b>began</b> 17:3,6	240:6 243:21	118:10 120:3	208:22 225:11
62:23 63:15	244:5 249:10	151:3,17	225:12 228:21
195:7	250:15 252:9	257:16 269:10	229:9
beginning	259:15 274:21	281:10	biweekly
44:25 136:7	274:23 277:8	better 9:13	207:20
224:8	believes 36:10	16:8 24:6	<b>bj</b> 63:12
<b>behalf</b> 263:2	241:1	79:23 100:1	<b>bk</b> 193:13
278:17	<b>belong</b> 27:23	209:22 213:21	<b>black</b> 153:10
behavior 255:7	27:25	214:19,21	155:25 207:3
belabor 78:22	<b>ben</b> 156:15	224:5,14 231:4	<b>blanket</b> 35:9,15
88:4 228:20	beneficial	231:7,16 232:6	35:21 36:22
271:22	44:10,10 54:17	274:24	75:12
belief 45:9	134:5 155:3,7	beyond 254:7	<b>blm</b> 80:20,24
believe 21:10	157:9 167:23	269:21	85:14,16 87:21
27:7,10,21	172:1,4,12	<b>bi</b> 193:13	87:25 95:24
29:11 35:6,13	173:3,4 174:25	bibeault 7:14	105:3,4 106:1
35:14 44:18,19	175:2,17,21	bifurcated	106:1 108:15
45:7,12 46:1,6	179:14 181:8	46:20	125:8,19 192:6
47:21 52:6	182:3,13 184:8	<b>big</b> 17:18 35:22	222:7 225:23
93:25 94:4	187:20 200:9	137:4 249:9	226:5,13,16
95:20 96:12	218:9 238:9	258:25	227:4,7,10
100:7 107:10	239:24 273:16	<b>bill</b> 45:1,8	228:11 234:3,4
107:16 108:19	benefit 15:9	206:22 212:4	265:4 268:1
108:21,23	22:11,13 23:3	212:12	<b>blm's</b> 90:5
110:14 111:22	23:12	<b>billion</b> 48:17	268:18
139:21 150:21	benefits 22:8,9	254:19,23	<b>bloom</b> 1:11,15
154:6 159:23	22:15 253:12	261:14	1:21 3:7 42:1
160:24 164:18	<b>bent</b> 85:10	bipartisan 52:1	42:19,21 43:1
164:19 169:5	bequest 222:6	<b>bit</b> 29:12,16	43:2 44:1 45:1
173:15 179:2	<b>berlin</b> 251:14	36:25 67:13	46:1,17 47:1,2
186:1 199:14		71:15 72:20,20	48:1 49:1 50:1

# [bloom - broken]

51:1 52:1 53:1	276:18	<b>book</b> 184:21	274:21
54:1 55:1 56:1	<b>bolted</b> 76:7	books 115:24	<b>bread</b> 17:4
57:1 58:1 59:1	<b>bond</b> 25:23	boost 209:21	break 47:14,19
146:1,4,6,7,10	33:6,9 34:1	<b>boos</b> 75:25 76:2	47:21 112:5
147:1,24 148:1	35:9,15,21	76:10,11 79:13	121:10,14
148:2,4 277:5	36:6,23 37:24	<b>born</b> 14:12	177:9 178:13
277:7	40:17 41:13	16:18 251:13	190:9 200:8
<b>blow</b> 69:11	42:4 77:10	borrowed	228:18 245:22
82:12,13 85:19	99:8,8,16,16,18	253:16	246:4
110:9	99:21,24	boss 272:17	breakpoint
<b>blowout</b> 75:7,8	207:17 236:8	275:7	34:23
85:2	253:25 255:6	<b>bottom</b> 8:5	<b>breaks</b> 67:11
<b>blowouts</b> 23:11	258:11,19,20	77:1 88:17	185:13 200:21
blue 153:13	258:21,23	89:17 138:2	<b>bridge</b> 87:15
196:4,4,5,7,10	259:7,10 261:9	139:18 181:21	118:6,21 119:3
196:16	<b>bonding</b> 7:6	219:3 238:7	<b>brief</b> 48:2 63:7
<b>bo</b> 163:25	9:1 19:17	bought 38:17	141:11 143:10
<b>board</b> 57:11	20:19 30:19	<b>bowed</b> 85:9	279:18,21
boat 223:4	33:19 35:7	bowl 69:4	<b>briefly</b> 103:24
boe 25:13	38:23 102:11	box 246:6	152:4 166:25
154:17,18	120:25 189:13	boy 8:18	
155:6,13,20	194:14 237:7	255:25	<b>bring</b> 81:10 85:17 189:19
	247:12 255:2	<b>boys</b> 248:24,24	
156:2,10,17,20 156:25 157:8	256:12 257:1,4	249:1	<b>bringing</b> 58:20 69:12 86:5
158:2,7 171:23	257:21 260:6	bradfute	
172:3 175:17	261:21 263:4	121:16	<b>brings</b> 265:14 <b>broad</b> 165:11
175:23 176:3	264:20,21	brancard	208:20 234:20
175.23 176.3	<b>bonds</b> 9:3,10	206:22 212:4	267:21
178:25 197:23	28:6 30:8 32:8	212:12 213:3	<b>broader</b> 271:25
		<b>brandon</b> 56:12	272:4
218:8,9,16	33:1 102:4,4		
239:8,9,9 hoos 155:24	107:6 236:16	150:11 152:23	broke 68:1 69:8
boes 155:24	236:17 239:4	164:16,20	<b>broken</b> 19:22
156:6 157:2	257:10	185:24 211:13	82:4 166:20
158:6 171:20	bondsmen	232:17 233:7	178:20 242:8
177:16 179:6	29:25	244:5 271:2	

# [brought - carrying]

h-marrah4 20.24	h314 20.10	252.12	264.16
<b>brought</b> 38:24	<b>built</b> 38:19	252:12	<b>cancer</b> 264:16
85:1 121:9	83:13 90:9	butter 17:4	candidate
157:21	110:11,23	c	98:14
<b>buck</b> 40:7	150:10,11	c 19:5 94:23	cano 109:1
buckskin 80:1	152:15 153:3,7	146:16 148:25	cap 35:9,14
80:8,9,10 81:3	153:9,11,19	191:15 192:23	36:6,17 90:11
81:4,12,13,22	154:7 164:18	193:9 196:3	capability
81:24 82:2,7	168:16 180:25	235:13 255:24	60:15
83:11 88:3,3,6	194:18 205:2	<b>cable</b> 91:20	capacity 243:4
88:9,14,19,21	<b>bumped</b> 189:15	calculate	capped 74:17
89:8 92:2,8	254:19	175:20	capping 36:16
93:1 101:6,9	<b>bunch</b> 34:21	calendar	capture 12:16
101:25 102:12	200:5	153:22	175:14 177:1
102:18,25	<b>burden</b> 175:8	<b>call</b> 3:10 18:17	206:16 233:16
109:4 113:11	175:11 247:25	20:9 55:4 56:6	captured
118:15 123:5	bureau 15:7	56:7,12 59:18	209:22
128:10,14,23	55:14,23 56:8	59:22 64:18	<b>car</b> 261:5
129:4 130:3	64:12 205:23	67:17 73:1	cardiovascular
137:2,13,25	206:4,9 207:24	79:5,24,24	260:10
138:6,17,17	212:3	103:19 109:23	care 5:9 9:6
139:22 140:7	bureau's 265:8	122:24 123:2	24:19 37:23
140:10,11	bureaucrats	148:17 171:19	38:11 73:19
159:4,13	45:14	171:19 210:12	142:7 259:8
<b>buddies</b> 258:18	buried 211:2	212:8,9 223:16	career 14:18
<b>buffalo</b> 14:12	<b>burning</b> 254:14	223:17 231:15	17:3,10 62:23
<b>build</b> 22:24	business 5:12	231:22 240:3	80:6 249:12
152:16,24	9:14,21 14:19	called 21:3	careers 212:7
153:2	14:24 19:20,25	45:13 55:12	carefully 74:23
<b>building</b> 1:4	54:5 55:2 63:1	72:15 73:5	110:21
22:23 79:8	72:21 249:23	95:21 100:13	<b>carlos</b> 18:25
147:11 150:15	257:5 258:12	200:18 206:16	19:3,4,8,11,11
161:2 203:25	259:4	calling 8:25	carrillo 263:17
230:14	businesses	222:25	carrying
<b>buildings</b> 79:8	11:24 17:25	calls 103:15	278:20
	18:6 20:15	226:21	
		220.21	

# [cars - certain]

cars 14:23	78:2,15,16,19	categorizes	causes 16:4
case 21:5 24:25	78:19,19 79:12	184:7 202:5	222:13
25:21 30:19	79:16 80:11	categorizing	causing 41:5,8
44:16 51:3	82:4,9,11,16,17	275:22	caution 162:11
67:24 68:4,9	82:19,24 83:22	category 28:4	177:7
69:14,23 72:13	83:23,24 84:14	29:15 36:13,17	cavity 68:17
74:24 88:16	86:19,20,23	36:21 37:8	cbl 77:15
113:2 122:8	87:3,16,17	39:16 55:21	cellar 79:20
124:22,25	88:7 89:12,24	70:16 123:3	cement 71:7,9
125:8,16 126:2	89:25 90:9,10	155:7 163:11	71:22 74:6,8
126:6,8 127:5	90:13,22 98:8	177:11 180:3	74:10,14,14
129:1,4 130:6	117:18 118:9	180:11 182:1	76:14,19,21,22
138:7 140:15	118:12 137:14	201:15 202:9	76:25 77:10,11
142:9 145:18	137:15 138:25	202:14 207:3	77:14,14 78:2
154:22 168:12	139:7 140:10	224:10 230:5	78:4,8,9,14,15
175:6 181:14	141:24 142:15	236:16 267:10	78:18 79:19,19
181:16 195:9	144:16	269:4 276:3	81:18 83:16,17
200:16 212:22	casings 87:23	cato 69:23	83:20 84:20,22
213:12,12	cast 118:6,21	70:11 98:3	84:22 86:14
221:8 228:2	<b>catch</b> 166:3	101:6 105:15	87:1,5,22
235:6 239:1	207:16	105:16,25	89:19 90:10
266:9,20 269:8	categories	106:12 108:10	107:21 108:1
269:18 275:2	36:10 122:19	108:19 123:1,6	118:5
281:14,15	134:12 142:3	124:25 128:5	cemented 74:4
cased 70:4	150:22 155:1	128:20 131:7	77:13 84:1
<b>cases</b> 50:10	165:21 170:18	139:14 158:18	cementing 63:3
53:22 118:14	184:6 206:7	159:4 241:15	63:10,17 70:25
214:6	210:14 229:6	<b>caught</b> 126:11	71:6 73:3
<b>cash</b> 99:8,16	266:23 276:2,5	169:4 207:23	<b>center</b> 135:19
254:4	276:6,8,14,16	207:24	266:19
casing 67:9	276:20,22	<b>cause</b> 72:1	<b>central</b> 254:22
69:7 70:14	categorized	88:15 261:4	cerrillos 52:24
74:3,3,8,11,13	170:19 177:21	<b>caused</b> 71:19	certain 54:3
74:19 76:1,4	198:4	108:12 169:14	65:18 104:14
77:11,12 78:1		201:13 204:21	110:5 140:17

# [certain - clarify]

161:1 189:11	280:2	chapter 15:7	childhood
189:16 190:6	challenges 55:8	characterizati	16:19
213:18 243:6,7	55:24	171:7	children 249:7
275:3	challenging	characterizing	260:12
certainly 30:24	113:12 140:12	178:3	chino 1:4
38:18 40:13	<b>chance</b> 75:13	charge 99:22	choose 33:25
41:18 44:4	chances 25:16	104:22	chose 4:25
46:3 97:22	139:19	charges 106:21	154:19 164:12
98:9 100:23	<b>chang</b> 1:12 3:6	charlottesville	210:17
103:1 108:10	33:13,19 47:3	4:20	chosen 45:2
112:15 184:19	47:5,18 148:5	<b>chart</b> 27:3,10	218:10
certainty 65:16	148:7 173:11	28:21 37:14	<b>chronic</b> 260:15
92:6 100:8	173:15 174:5,9	173:24 174:1,4	264:12,15
102:7 114:15	183:16 186:23	174:6 183:13	<b>chunk</b> 170:21
116:14	277:19,21	183:15 186:5	170:22
certification	279:16 280:2	186:17,24	circles 166:1
53:24	change 5:7	188:3 219:3,8	circulated 77:4
certified 99:9	30:24 169:24	220:6 238:2,7	circumstances
certify 281:3	170:6 172:5,7	239:23	103:1,11
cetera 57:14	177:23 182:18	<b>chase</b> 227:5	118:11 267:19
153:10 192:1	182:19 254:12	chased 227:6	<b>cited</b> 56:17
192:17 204:3	273:4 276:4,8	<b>chat</b> 183:9	<b>cities</b> 17:19
205:24 208:8	changed 66:3	<b>chaves</b> 69:24	citizen 22:12
212:22 213:13	85:3 127:7	check 89:1	citizens 6:7
228:9	172:1,8 223:17	146:20 208:8	20:16 260:14
<b>chain</b> 240:24	274:22 276:7	checking	<b>city</b> 72:15
<b>chair</b> 1:12 3:6	changes 7:16	224:13	73:15
33:13,19 34:3	57:20 59:14	checkmark	civil 48:25 49:2
47:3,5,18 48:7	109:8 117:23	235:20	clamped 76:7
148:5,7 173:11	244:6 256:13	checks 222:20	clarification
173:15 174:5,9	257:21 260:6	<b>chief</b> 55:23	151:1 195:20
183:16 186:23	263:4 274:18	56:8 206:4	221:11 275:10
256:7 260:3	changing	<b>chiefs</b> 205:23	280:6
263:1 277:19	170:13 178:15	206:9 207:24	clarify 34:4
277:21 279:16	276:5,18		54:22 112:16

# [clarify - code]

	T.	I	
113:1 115:2	cleaning 9:11	<b>closed</b> 263:9	188:20 189:1
141:16 150:9	85:7 90:18	<b>closer</b> 14:15	189:21 190:1,2
150:14 171:18	248:1 260:22	17:16 91:12	190:11,15,16
186:2,23	264:9	136:20 215:7	190:18,22,25
195:13 225:21	<b>cleanup</b> 7:7 9:4	cloutier 1:23	191:1,5,9,10,14
237:19 238:14	19:24 56:14	93:25 160:3,10	192:1 193:1,12
241:14 275:23	192:6 208:1	160:16,18,25	193:14 194:1
clarifying	247:12 255:7	161:5,12 162:1	194:10,12
110:13 173:11	257:7 260:24	162:18,19,21	195:1 196:1
<b>clarity</b> 106:10	261:14	162:22 163:1	197:1,10,13
<b>class</b> 36:11	clear 11:4	164:1 165:1	198:1 199:1
classifications	46:18 120:22	166:1 167:1,8	200:1,25 201:1
55:21	131:17 153:11	167:9,11,21,23	201:3,25 202:1
classified 35:4	176:22 194:23	168:1,23,25	202:10,13,18
classify 178:25	198:22,25	169:1,17,20	203:12 216:15
classifying	213:14 218:6	170:1,4,5,10,12	217:1 236:1
178:22	237:21 243:10	171:1,6,9,14	237:16 238:6
<b>clause</b> 35:20	268:20	172:1,11,16,20	278:11,24
<b>clean</b> 8:5 9:23	clearance	172:23 173:1,2	280:1
20:6,12,18,20	192:20	173:7,10,14,22	cloutier's 160:6
57:10 84:8,16	clearer 119:22	174:1,3,11,12	170:2 178:2
84:22 86:2,13	clearly 58:19	174:20,22,23	<b>clump</b> 229:10
91:1,21 239:7	183:3	175:1 176:1,9	<b>co2</b> 39:20
239:14,19,21	clerical 106:25	176:11,15,25	<b>coal</b> 263:8
247:21 256:21	clients 94:24	177:1 178:1,4	<b>code</b> 65:1
258:14,22	126:24 238:21	178:16 179:1	154:19 155:6
259:6,10,10	240:4,6	179:23,25	165:17 166:16
260:20 261:12	climate 5:17	180:1 181:1	166:21,22
264:22	254:10,12,18	182:1,25 183:1	171:22 177:6,8
cleaned 20:1	254:21	183:12,18	177:9,11,24
82:6 88:17	<b>close</b> 18:3 57:7	184:1,4,15	178:5,11,13,20
90:16 253:21	68:10,10 70:13	185:1 186:1,10	178:21 183:25
263:20	138:2 147:10	186:11,18	187:1,3 273:9
<b>cleaner</b> 234:21	163:20 212:4	187:1,7,12	274:4,4,19
235:18		188:1,4,6,11,19	

# [codes - commissioners]

	I		
<b>codes</b> 166:20	53:14 67:7	245:23 246:10	21:1,13,15
<b>coll</b> 92:9 93:8	69:4,4 83:23	246:11,21	22:1 23:1 24:1
98:25 100:5	85:25 104:13	262:4 266:2	25:1 26:1 27:1
101:8 113:10	105:1 183:11	273:23 278:8	28:1 29:1 30:1
116:3 118:15	214:5 218:5	comments 3:17	31:1 32:1 33:1
118:20 123:3	225:1 241:7	3:19 66:19	34:1,3 35:1
128:9,11,21	242:11 246:1,3	122:9 246:19	36:1 37:1 38:1
146:15	246:6,9	commission 1:3	39:1 40:1 41:1
collateral 34:2	<b>comes</b> 30:23	1:11 3:15,20	42:1,12,15,19
college 14:18	54:21 189:5	6:9 25:6,7,22	42:21 43:1,2
249:6	209:1 227:5	36:3 38:7	44:1 45:1,16
<b>color</b> 19:15	255:9	54:22 59:11,13	45:20 46:1,17
153:8,9,10,10	<b>comfort</b> 180:20	59:15 60:22	47:1,2 48:1
colorado 14:16	comfortable	62:7 134:11	49:1 50:1 51:1
23:6 62:21	113:24 215:8	149:17 151:11	52:1 53:1 54:1
<b>colored</b> 206:19	<b>coming</b> 39:15	154:8,21	55:1 56:1,23
colorful 122:17	47:20 67:12	155:13 163:15	57:1 58:1 59:1
<b>colors</b> 153:10	69:2 72:22	165:1 168:3,10	143:1,7,9,12
193:24 194:19	81:23 82:16,24	168:17 175:5	144:1 145:1,25
<b>column</b> 105:18	85:21 86:17	184:19 198:11	146:1,4,6,7,10
105:18 187:3,3	89:22,23,23	198:20 201:21	147:1,24 148:1
191:6,15	90:22 91:21	202:2 225:10	148:2,4 272:1
192:23 193:9,9	97:7 107:9	225:19 239:2	272:19,22
196:3 201:16	124:9 126:25	239:25 243:18	273:1 274:1
201:20 202:5,9	145:24 158:2	246:22 258:10	275:1,6,9,12
202:14,17	199:8 215:5	264:8,18	276:1,25 277:1
206:19 214:11	247:10	273:14 274:6,8	277:5,7 278:1
columns 187:4	command	274:19 275:5,8	279:1 280:1
193:13	170:17	281:5	281:1
<b>com</b> 156:15	commend	commission's	commissioner's
combination	247:14	51:18	274:20
34:8	comment 3:5	commissioner	commissioners
<b>come</b> 16:9	9:8,24 18:22	1:11,12,15,15	7:5 21:12
25:17 39:18	20:25 45:11	1:20,21,25 3:7	36:24 48:8
47:15,19 52:6	111:14 245:23	3:7 19:1 20:1	247:24 251:11

# [commissioners - condition]

256.7.260.4	21.24.25.1		07.12.22
256:7 260:4	31:24 35:1	completely	97:13,23
263:1	37:19 40:20	54:15,24 65:25	concepts 58:7
commitments	66:11 104:22	87:22 169:21	concern 86:18
50:14	144:4 225:18	completing	144:10 189:3
committee	249:22 251:19	139:19	189:15
210:9	252:1,4,5,12	completion	concerned 5:18
common	253:14 256:19	281:1	37:1 39:11
223:22,22,24	261:8 264:8	complexity	40:15
224:1,4 254:2	company 23:15	72:3	concerning
256:18,19	23:16,17 24:18	compliance	143:17
communicate	32:3,5,7,11,24	37:6 38:3,4,6	concerns 11:2
50:9	33:6,8,23	40:17 41:25	143:14 144:3,7
communicated	37:24 39:6,7	50:2,6 52:23	144:8 145:10
58:19	53:25 73:23	53:24 54:14,25	241:21 243:25
communicating	77:6 87:11	57:3,4 103:8	concerted
49:7	159:3,7,9	103:12	279:17,21
communication	compared	compliant 51:3	<b>concise</b> 153:11
108:13	215:2	52:15	198:22,25
communicati	comparison	complicated	239:7 279:18
105:4 145:8	70:1 81:23	51:2 123:10	279:21
communities	155:20	complies 79:3	concluded
5:20 6:5 17:19	comparisons	compliment	280:10
18:4 19:14,15	214:18	108:6	concludes
20:12 24:20	compelling	<b>comply</b> 50:14	159:23
254:14 255:13	52:16,19 57:3	65:9,19 72:5	conclusion 51:2
257:11 261:18	compile 171:6	complying	92:4 93:12
community	compiling	65:20 72:7	103:16,19
15:4 24:2,5	55:17	composed	131:22
59:1 72:14	complete	252:6	conclusions
249:14,19	213:24,25	compound	145:12 184:2
256:14	214:25	119:19	184:20 226:22
companies 5:23	completed	concentrate	concrete 58:14
11:20,22 16:7	21:11 88:7	107:1	condition 65:24
17:20,24 18:2	195:4	concept 53:13	66:16 77:11,11
18:15 22:3		53:14,18 54:20	129:11 142:17

# [conditions - contracted]

conditions 31:3	confusion	conservatively	constraint
65:15,21 66:25	29:12,14	58:3	199:12
67:2,6 71:8,20	154:10,12,14	conserve	construction
72:7 77:16	155:12 164:11	257:14	141:18
79:4 124:18	165:10 166:5,7	consider 6:6	consultant
129:15 139:13	166:8 168:15	16:13 18:13	101:1 112:23
264:15	179:7 198:23	31:6 101:11	142:5
conduct 53:19	204:22 217:14	206:3 249:11	consulted
59:15 64:24	218:4 222:13	249:24 252:14	104:17
conducted	222:14 234:23	257:16 278:18	consulting
55:10 244:13	238:19 239:18	considerable	63:15
conducting 3:3	connect 94:21	16:10	consuming
55:5 66:10,15	connected	considered	68:5
117:11 246:8	187:9	78:25 88:1	<b>contact</b> 153:12
conduit 83:22	connection	considering	contained 80:9
conference	11:17,18,23,24	30:3	86:14 91:17
281:6	11:25 12:2,3,4	<b>consist</b> 100:18	151:3
confident 215:2	12:6,6,24	consisted	contains
<b>confine</b> 115:15	225:25 268:2	204:25	153:16 184:5
confined	conscientious	consistent 27:2	contamination
144:18	253:15	27:5 49:4 53:6	24:15
confines 65:5	consecutive	53:11 183:3	context 53:16
<b>confirm</b> 112:19	163:22	209:12	53:17
117:9 122:11	consequence	consistently	continually
conform	110:25 154:20	179:6 219:11	77:2
279:13	consequences	consonant	continuances
confronting	254:11	43:23	235:2
254:11	consequential	constant 86:4	continue 34:25
<b>confuse</b> 195:19	54:13	consternation	54:24 81:6
confused 166:9	conservation	201:14	84:9 121:14
174:13 196:24	1:3 6:9 7:11	constituency	142:16
confusing	9:17 47:22	30:6	continued 5:6
29:21 165:12	179:10 258:10	constitutes	contracted
179:4 185:7	265:11 281:5	53:9	70:7 104:24
199:3			

# [contractor - correlated]

contractor 70:7	coordinator	137:5,11,12,16	211:22 214:2
73:3 80:12,13	251:18	137:17,21,22	215:21,22,25
80:24 85:16	<b>copd</b> 264:12	138:9,13 140:2	216:1 218:10
104:24 106:4	copied 166:4	141:22 143:1	218:13 219:1,2
107:11 146:20	179:18	145:6 146:16	219:22,23
contractors	<b>copies</b> 201:16	146:23 149:21	220:1,9 221:23
66:9 85:15	<b>copy</b> 149:22	150:24 151:4	222:8 224:20
contracts	164:5 201:14	163:4,5,14,17	225:2 226:4,19
256:16 257:1	232:4	163:18,23,25	229:5,13
contribute	cordoned 73:13	164:4,10,11,25	231:20 233:18
66:17 254:10	<b>core</b> 35:24,25	165:3,24	233:19,21,25
contributes	corners 263:6	166:11 167:12	234:1,11
257:12	263:22	168:3,4,7,8	238:10,16
control 10:5	corporate	169:3,11	240:1,24
69:11,13 79:14	22:12	170:16,21	242:20 267:2,3
79:15 84:24	corporations	171:4,11 174:7	267:7,12
85:13 86:12	11:23 257:9	174:8,16	268:23,24
87:7 103:12	260:18 264:19	175:17,23	269:1,2,4,5,9
173:4 250:18	corrales 247:9	176:4,18,19,20	269:10 270:17
controlling	correct 25:24	176:21 177:4	270:18,22,23
260:13	27:17 34:14	177:25 179:1,2	272:2,3,6
conventional	45:3 100:6,24	179:15,17	275:2 281:9
64:23 91:4	101:10 102:1	180:7,12,13	corrected 170:7
conversation	103:5 108:20	181:8,9 182:3	230:25
33:15 43:12	111:18 112:20	182:4,11,14,22	correction
conversion	113:5,12	183:17 191:2	170:12 194:7
7:20	115:21 116:13	192:11 193:1,2	corrections
convert 8:3	118:2 120:25	193:6,7,8,10,16	61:16,17 170:5
converted	121:1 123:24	193:17,20	correctly 26:24
80:19 128:23	125:22 126:4	194:16,17	151:8 163:13
convinced 25:6	127:16,17,20	195:12,13	177:21 199:14
coordinate	127:21,24	196:13 197:7	278:12
280:3,7	128:7 129:10	197:20 198:1,2	correlated
coordinating	131:13 133:25	198:9 199:3	138:11
49:6	134:1 136:3,4	200:4 211:21	

# [correlation - d]

correlation	167:15 185:20	covered 37:14	crucial 11:10
107:3,17	212:6,24 213:2	47:6 79:20	cs 128:4
corroded 67:18	217:24 222:21	102:3 111:23	cure 108:1
67:21 69:5	223:2 227:13	133:16,19	<b>curious</b> 230:13
118:12	227:18 230:1	181:9 277:9,12	current 5:24
<b>cost</b> 5:18,22,24	234:25 235:4,9	covering 117:1	48:25 60:22,24
6:3 9:11,14	counsel's 225:3	<b>covers</b> 134:15	107:5 199:2
15:18 32:25	<b>count</b> 154:4	<b>create</b> 36:9,13	207:1
53:4,10 77:9	155:24 156:25	44:9 186:17	currently
92:6 102:16	229:11 275:4	230:20 235:22	116:19,20
104:1 108:2	counterparts	created 150:10	119:15 123:14
130:4,22 137:9	210:17	164:9 168:5	145:20
138:12,19	<b>country</b> 63:13	191:2 203:22	curriculum
140:1,14,18	252:7 264:4	creating 28:25	149:22
193:15 195:7	<b>counts</b> 275:4	167:1 210:23	<b>curve</b> 156:18
254:1 259:4	276:19	211:1 233:3	customers
260:22,24	<b>county</b> 69:24	creation 163:6	14:20
261:6	72:14 256:14	209:24 230:12	<b>cut</b> 15:22 84:7
<b>costing</b> 254:23	<b>couple</b> 16:23	231:15	87:23 156:14
<b>costly</b> 254:11	17:1 52:5 79:5	crew 75:15,20	239:14
<b>costs</b> 8:1 9:4,19	141:10 221:10	106:21 223:17	<b>cuts</b> 191:5,7
16:2 51:7,14	221:12 228:17	criminal 5:4	cutting 12:15
53:8 56:4 57:8	230:11 265:1	criteria 114:21	12:17,25 15:23
104:8,14 107:4	<b>course</b> 16:10	114:23	86:20 249:9
107:18 108:2	33:24 52:7	critical 12:4	<b>cv</b> 61:7 63:7
130:1,11,19	64:22 79:9	58:25	95:9 100:22
131:7,8 139:4	106:6 128:22	<b>cross</b> 93:20	<b>cycle</b> 17:14
140:4 195:2	140:22 147:21	94:2 160:4,9	241:24,25
196:24 199:16	152:13 187:2	160:17 162:11	242:19 243:5
254:8 257:7	271:12	173:18 184:18	d
259:5 261:14	<b>court</b> 12:14	185:20 189:24	<b>d</b> 34:5 60:5,5
council 73:15	281:15	203:16 269:21	159:16 253:2
counsel 21:7	<b>cover</b> 9:4,11	278:18 279:11	259:22 281:3
112:18,18	182:23 208:17	crossing 155:25	281:19
164:14 167:3	257:10 261:3,6		201.17

# [daily - deemed]

Jail. 10.10	215.2.7.16	Jana 70.10 10	Jaharia 02.25
daily 18:12	215:3,7,16	days 70:18,18	<b>debris</b> 83:25
208:4 271:11	216:6,8 219:17	76:9 77:20	84:5 90:1
<b>dakota</b> 62:19	241:6,11	154:17,17	<b>decade</b> 53:12
63:9	266:23 268:21	155:6,14,18,20	254:21
damage 261:7	271:24 273:12	156:4,17,22	decades 43:21
damages 261:3	274:2 276:12	157:23 158:1,3	43:23 45:10
<b>damper</b> 249:9	279:9,10	158:6 163:21	52:21 116:12
danger 43:7	database 26:7	163:25 167:25	255:10 263:24
dangerous	26:14 152:24	171:19,23	december 85:6
261:15	153:14 192:4	172:2 175:16	152:5
dark 78:14,16	209:7 212:18	175:22 176:2	<b>decide</b> 230:13
153:13 196:4,5	213:8,9,20,24	177:2,16,19	decided 80:16
196:16	235:19	178:24 188:16	81:7,15 85:15
<b>data</b> 66:6 92:23	databases	197:25 217:16	231:2 251:16
92:23 113:25	204:20 205:2	239:8,9	deciding
114:16 119:11	206:21,24	<b>dc</b> 4:22 16:20	205:16 249:25
126:17,19,22	213:21	<b>dead</b> 69:16	252:16
145:13 150:7	<b>date</b> 70:15	109:23 110:6	decision 85:17
150:12 152:20	181:5 215:1	220:9	91:4 230:20
153:2,2,13,24	<b>dates</b> 197:24	deadline	257:19
155:19 156:1	215:13 271:19	161:24	decisions 57:3
165:23 167:2	daughter 5:3	<b>deal</b> 35:23	<b>decline</b> 126:19
168:19,21	day 15:5,5	36:14 41:3	156:18 253:22
172:9 177:15	29:20,20 44:20	66:8 71:25	declines 126:17
181:23 183:2	94:22 153:15	72:12 76:8	decreased
183:25 185:13	153:15 155:24	80:4,6 93:11	34:12
185:25 186:7	156:10,24,25	95:13 201:13	decreasing
193:19 194:2,3	157:2 158:7,22	276:11	124:5
195:11,19	167:15 179:5	dealing 78:10	<b>deem</b> 227:4
204:10 205:5	222:18,18	104:25 141:3	<b>deemed</b> 59:14
206:15 207:15	230:15 231:21	194:13 242:12	64:4 106:3
207:22 208:1,7	231:22 260:14	<b>dealt</b> 205:1	181:25 182:1,2
209:19,21	260:14 276:19	dearly 15:18	182:3 194:21
210:12 214:11	281:4	<b>debates</b> 239:15	204:5,11 206:1
214:13,18			210:16 227:7

# [deemed - despite]

	I	1	I
241:16 273:15	98:19 153:23	demonstrative	137:19,23
<b>deems</b> 206:7	155:2 170:25	95:20 161:4,14	138:3,10,15
<b>deep</b> 30:16	177:3 181:8	161:25 174:1,4	139:3,11,25
32:17 68:23	182:13 197:24	186:25	158:25 217:23
71:1 75:6	221:25 222:1	demonstratives	<b>deputy</b> 56:13
81:13,15	222:16 229:18	161:1 164:8	205:24 206:9
deeper 81:25	230:7 233:17	denial 31:2	274:25 276:24
84:23 86:7	273:8	denver 23:5	279:5
88:14 107:21	definitions	department	<b>derrick</b> 85:9,10
254:16	57:23 63:23	10:25 73:15	91:2
<b>deeply</b> 204:14	98:18 155:4	256:23	describe 23:24
default 31:24	172:24 179:14	<b>depend</b> 219:7	described
defense 11:1	179:18,20,21	255:14	58:18 138:7
<b>defer</b> 236:14	218:11	dependent	163:6 165:7
271:2 274:20	defunct 126:3	210:11 234:10	description 2:2
deficiencies	degraded	depending	70:13 115:17
49:14 52:4	142:15	74:13 76:22	120:16 131:11
58:18	degrades	92:22 142:19	136:22
deficiency	126:17	170:23 191:21	<b>desert</b> 16:23
50:17	degree 14:17	203:17 216:5	design 17:8
define 36:4	62:18 63:8	225:3	63:2,3,4,5
43:10 54:17	65:16 100:8	depends 39:22	87:20
114:8 128:11	deliberate	251:25	designated
221:20 279:11	246:15	depleted 69:15	124:23,23
<b>defined</b> 122:20	<b>delta</b> 51:5,11	109:22 110:5	125:5,9,17
133:24 134:6	57:11	depletes 110:23	224:20 226:2
163:16 172:4	<b>deltas</b> 200:1	<b>deposit</b> 254:3,6	226:14 264:5
defines 165:4	delved 7:12	261:3	designed 87:20
definitely 24:9	demand 6:2	deposited	designees 45:14
26:9 69:18	222:6 225:24	82:25	designing
116:24	demanding	depositing	77:14
definition	226:1	83:24	<b>desire</b> 246:11
43:14 49:20	demonstrate	<b>depth</b> 70:14	despite 45:8
53:19 58:1	181:5	88:23 107:3,17	50:7
63:21,25 64:8		137:14,15,19	

# [detail - direction]

113:10 128:3 20 130:13 203:21 <b>dev</b> <b>detailed</b> 32:17 24 49:4 <b>dia</b>	4:4 55:15 65:12 volving 44:16 gnosed 64:11	diem 106:21 difference 155:13 156:17 157:2,17 158:3 189:25 227:22	92:5,18 114:14 199:20 213:11 <b>difficulties</b> 65:14 68:6
130:13 203:21 dev detailed 32:17 24 49:4 dia	v <b>olving</b> 44:16 <b>gnosed</b>	155:13 156:17 157:2,17 158:3	difficulties 65:14 68:6
detailed         32:17         24           49:4         dia	44:16 <b>gnosed</b>	157:2,17 158:3	65:14 68:6
49:4 dia	gnosed	,	
	O	169.23 221.22	6111 / 1 V 1 1 1 1
	04.11	227:23 228:18	69:21 81:22 88:16
	~mom 71.5	228:22 243:8	
	<b>gram</b> 74:5 9:9 117:18		<b>difficulty</b> 66:17 71:20 81:24
		differences	
	led 8:11	98:4	95:5 114:2
	meter	<b>different</b> 26:10	138:14 139:4
	38:24	30:8 33:16	139:10 260:13
	260:16	43:16 49:7	<b>digging</b> 75:14
	<b>de</b> 1:17 48:3	57:9 63:23	diligent 50:8
· /	5:4 59:18	64:15 65:11	diminished
	0:1,2,4,5,8,19	69:18,25 70:8	87:9
	2:5,10,16	71:8,24 72:3	diminishes
	3:15 89:5	74:4 75:24	66:6 126:22
	3:14,17 94:2	78:21 88:21	diocese 40:8
	4:15,20 99:21	89:16 98:16,18	<b>dire</b> 16:3
	03:21 112:1	118:18 122:19	direct 23:12
	12:11 117:5,8	142:3 147:22	61:4,20 62:1
	17:14,22	147:22 150:22	105:15 109:19
	20:5 121:4,15	168:20 172:8	132:15 151:11
	21:23 129:23	173:17 183:10	163:3,15,23
114:25 120:1	30:8 131:1	189:23 194:8	164:2 165:5,7
120:19 132:13	33:20 134:20	222:10,11	166:9 197:2
211:25	34:24 135:17	223:4,6 235:15	198:8 205:1
<b>deterrent</b> 255:7   14	41:14 143:8	242:3 267:16	206:17 237:5,7
developed 14	46:5,11,15	267:19	269:21 270:2
14:25	47:25 148:1,6	differential	273:2 276:12
developing 14	48:9,10,12	137:4,9	278:2,16
56:16	21:19 222:1	differently 44:8	directed 164:15
development 2	70:12	96:11	211:5
7:23 8:4 11:4 <b>die</b>	<b>de's</b> 60:16	difficult 65:12	direction 54:19
23:4,8 24:3	03:16	69:19 80:3,5	133:12 162:25

# [direction - doing]

	I		I
164:13 165:13	118:1,5 129:9	displace 40:10	188:13 194:15
182:20 231:7	134:10 146:15	displaced 87:5	195:9,17
231:10	158:17 159:3,5	displayed	202:20 208:21
directive	159:14 179:7	178:11	210:8 211:16
168:16 182:5	243:17 244:6	disposition	213:6 224:20
directly 23:1	268:3 273:22	281:15	224:24 226:2
52:6 270:1	discussing	dissolve 54:5,6	226:14 228:3
276:20	105:1 126:14	dissolved 53:20	229:16 231:2
director 19:12	229:4 242:14	distance 91:10	232:7 233:2
56:13 274:25	273:8 275:20	139:15	237:3,6 240:21
276:24 279:6	discussion 31:8	distinction	241:22 242:17
directors	39:2 42:16	127:11 223:20	242:25 244:13
205:24 206:10	48:23 52:12	229:7 271:23	244:18 265:9
disagree 165:9	56:25 98:20	distinguish	265:11 270:21
disasters	160:13 164:20	28:23 30:16	division's 51:7
254:20,23	187:1 189:1	97:11	122:10 185:12
<b>discern</b> 238:1,4	231:12	<b>dive</b> 26:4 32:17	202:2 224:18
271:14	discussions	219:17	233:16 243:4
discipline	29:23 50:20	diversion 78:7	243:25
249:2	58:12 85:13	divested 66:4	divisions
discount 32:9	101:23,23	159:7	210:10
discover	122:7,12	divestment	<b>dj</b> 23:5
220:15	133:21 134:2,2	243:16	doctor 260:8
discretion 31:5	182:6,10 230:1	division 7:11	document
33:6,7,20,24	273:3	9:18 47:22	44:15 55:17
34:13 275:20	disease 260:15	49:14 51:21,24	171:6 173:19
275:21 276:1	260:16	52:2,10 57:22	208:3 210:24
discretionary	diseases 260:10	59:10 96:6	214:3,7,9
33:17	disincentivize	104:14 123:22	271:10
discuss 49:10	16:5	124:1,7,10,23	documents
55:6,15,19	dislodge 69:6	125:5,9,17	208:9
56:14 164:20	dismissal	129:24 130:1,5	dogmatically
204:4	212:22	130:20 131:15	52:7
discussed 80:21	dismissals	132:3,18,24,25	<b>doing</b> 9:14 24:6
99:1 105:14,15	235:2	133:5 179:10	37:25 41:20

# [doing - eleanor]

43:15 46:10,11	158:25 159:7	251:3 253:1,2	<b>effect</b> 16:3 18:7
59:11 62:25	159:14 265:13	255:24 258:3	18:16 126:15
69:25 70:20	drilling 41:9	262:19,19	139:22 175:7
90:3 107:11	63:3,16 77:8	ear 126:11	277:10
117:16 120:11	101:3 152:5,7	earlier 58:18	effective 39:10
124:11 145:14	158:24 257:6	97:22 107:2	49:17 119:15
165:2 171:7	260:25 265:18	158:21 193:23	119:25
177:14 205:20	<b>drive</b> 1:5 108:2	213:17 250:9	effectively
221:5 225:18	211:14 249:22	267:8 270:19	48:24 53:20
244:19 259:4	252:4	271:9	54:5 219:21
261:20	<b>driven</b> 108:8	earliest 157:19	<b>effects</b> 178:17
dollar 33:3	254:18	263:16	178:17
48:18 254:19	<b>drives</b> 261:4	<b>early</b> 16:20	<b>effort</b> 48:10
dollars 195:6	<b>drop</b> 15:14	230:16	81:17 94:25
domestic 11:9	dropped	earnestly 58:17	230:21 260:22
11:12	155:24	earning 14:17	279:17,21
domestically	duane 27:2	easier 26:15	efforts 6:10
62:20	34:22	<b>easily</b> 214:16	50:8 158:16
<b>door</b> 224:15	<b>due</b> 11:12	easy 24:22	<b>egg</b> 72:22
<b>doors</b> 18:3	59:19 62:11	204:9 232:3	<b>eight</b> 157:6,9
downhole	71:8 83:5	239:21 259:3	219:21 220:9
65:15 67:2	192:17 260:16	267:4	einstein's 43:14
71:8 74:21	<b>dump</b> 19:21	economic 113:3	either 3:21
86:3 118:6	duplicate 236:3	218:15,16	22:25 57:23
<b>dr</b> 43:12 262:2	duties 46:2	economist	59:1 71:22
dramatically	<b>duty</b> 46:13	22:21	76:19 95:23
6:8 139:14	59:21 106:23	economy 11:5	99:8 126:10
<b>draw</b> 41:5	251:22	16:14	132:14 138:16
184:19	<b>dv</b> 78:7	<b>edges</b> 215:17	169:12 172:2
<b>drill</b> 8:5 247:20	e	<b>edited</b> 178:12	175:24 192:15
254:16 260:18	e 4:5 6:23,23	<b>edits</b> 177:8	<b>el</b> 256:11
drilled 66:12	8:18,19 10:16	178:13	eleanor 262:9
70:2,3 73:24	19:5 60:5,5,5	education 11:8	262:11,12,15
74:3 80:18	247:2,2 248:15	15:23 252:2	262:18,18,22
139:24 157:11	248:15,15	255:1,4	262:25 263:2

# [eleanor - especially]

265:22	encountering	101:1 105:3	environment
elected 45:19	205:5	engineering	12:5 75:19,20
247:13	encounters	55:14 56:8	77:7 144:9
electra 155:15	119:17	85:14,16	147:17,19
155:23 197:18	encourage	149:13 152:10	253:16 257:11
198:5,5	253:18	153:13 195:14	257:14
electrical 179:3	encourages	195:15 204:2	environmental
electro 179:5	257:16	205:23 209:17	19:13 41:2
electronic	<b>ended</b> 70:7	271:20	55:23 90:17
235:19	79:2 86:25	engineers	135:18 192:17
element 11:16	90:3	87:11 110:20	204:2 205:23
elevation 7:15	<b>energy</b> 7:11,13	enjoyed 14:23	255:11 266:19
eliminate 12:1	7:17,22,22 8:4	enjoying 5:16	envisioned
<b>email</b> 12:20	11:11 23:3	enlistment	211:9
13:8,8	39:4,6,14 40:5	251:18	<b>eor</b> 39:20
emergency	109:12 159:17	<b>ensure</b> 241:23	<b>equal</b> 171:15
73:14	159:20,21	257:15 264:20	equally 72:9
emissions	193:6 261:20	271:18	<b>equals</b> 171:23
263:25	enforced 99:14	<b>enter</b> 97:4	171:23
<b>emit</b> 254:8	213:8	entered 4:21	equipment
employed	enforcement	152:17 271:18	19:20 81:16,18
149:12 281:12	29:7 49:1,6,12	271:19	91:11 104:16
empowering	49:17 50:5	entice 5:11	144:12
241:21	54:15 56:18	entire 37:3	equipped
<b>enact</b> 273:15	58:6 97:6	232:20 270:12	100:20
enacted 239:3	engaged 53:19	entirely 269:19	equity 24:18
enchantment	58:22	entities 29:10	equivalent
259:11	engagement	<b>entity</b> 53:16,20	163:22 164:1
encounter	58:7 122:10	125:10 127:13	168:2
65:14 68:6,16	194:20	159:15 224:19	<b>error</b> 238:3
89:11 152:12	engagements	225:1 226:17	especially 6:4
200:6	204:11	228:1,3	24:16 107:15
encountered	engineer 10:25	enviromental	130:6 261:17
208:1 211:3,6	38:25 39:23	22:15 55:24	273:4
244:9	62:24 63:10		

# [essentially - examination]

essentially	everybody	32:1 33:1 34:1	121:1,21 122:1
20:10 136:22	86:18 204:16	35:1 36:1 37:1	123:1 124:1
169:20 220:9	247:14	38:1 39:1 40:1	125:1 124:1
225:22	everyone's	41:1 42:1 43:1	127:1 128:1
establish 52:12	180:20 184:1	43:1 44:1 45:1	129:1 130:1
104:6 233:4	evidence 41:24	46:1 47:1 48:1	131:1 132:1
established	94:12 150:5	49:1 50:1 51:1	133:1 134:1
199:4 211:23	160:15 162:7	52:1 53:1 54:1	135:1,15 136:1
263:13	178:3 187:21	55:1,5 56:1	137:1 138:1
establishing	202:22 254:3	57:1 58:1 59:1	139:1 140:1
233:3	evidently 120:1	60:1,17 61:1	141:1,12 142:1
estimated	evolving 104:7	62:1 63:1 64:1	143:1,11 144:1
65:16	276:18	65:1 66:1 67:1	145:1 146:1,9
estimation 43:7	exacerbated	68:1 69:1 70:1	147:1 148:1
et 57:14 153:10	253:22	71:1 72:1 73:1	149:1,7 150:1
192:1,17 204:2	exact 26:14	74:1 75:1 76:1	151:1 152:1
205:24 208:8	54:19 65:2	77:1 78:1 79:1	153:1 154:1
212:22 213:12	96:6 115:18	80:1 81:1 82:1	155:1 156:1
228:9	147:14 157:13	83:1 84:1 85:1	157:1 158:1
eubanks	220:10 230:15	86:1 87:1 88:1	159:1 160:1,9
156:15	274:3 276:16	89:1 90:1 91:1	160:17 161:1
<b>eustis</b> 251:15	exactly 25:21	92:1 93:1 94:1	162:1,11,20
evaded 53:23	37:15 40:15	94:18 95:1	163:1 164:1
evaluate 77:10	110:8 132:11	96:1 97:1 98:1	165:1 166:1
132:4 239:6	137:23 187:3	99:1 100:1	167:1 168:1
evaluating	examination	101:1 102:1	169:1 170:1
104:8 175:6	1:15,15,16,18	103:1 104:1	171:1 172:1
<b>event</b> 3:18	1:18,19,19,20	105:1 106:1	173:1 174:1
50:16,17 51:1	1:20,21,23,23	107:1 108:1	175:1 176:1
93:23 246:20	1:24,24,25,25	109:1 110:1	177:1 178:1
events 17:5	19:1 20:1 21:1	111:1 112:1,9	179:1 180:1
eventual	21:14 22:1	113:1 114:1	181:1 182:1
253:22	23:1 24:1 25:1	115:1 116:1	183:1 184:1,18
eventually 18:6	26:1 27:1 28:1	117:1 118:1	185:1 186:1
25:15	29:1 30:1 31:1	119:1 120:1	187:1 188:1

# [examination - exhibits]

	I	I	I
189:1,24 190:1	258:1 259:1	excavate 75:5	149:21 150:1,5
191:1 192:1	260:1 261:1	excavated	150:6,7,9,11,16
193:1 194:1	262:1 263:1	79:12	150:17 160:13
195:1 196:1	264:1 265:1	excavating	162:7 163:7
197:1 198:1	266:1,14 267:1	75:12	164:10,19
199:1 200:1	268:1 269:1	<b>exceed</b> 114:12	165:23 166:12
201:1 202:1	270:1 271:1	exceeding	166:17,19
203:1,6,16	272:1,21 273:1	264:22	167:2 168:5,11
204:1 205:1	274:1 275:1	<b>excel</b> 105:10	168:14 169:2
206:1 207:1	276:1 277:1	152:17,19	169:24 170:6
208:1 209:1	278:1 279:1	153:6 163:10	171:19 173:18
210:1 211:1	280:1 281:1	171:3 174:2	173:22,23
212:1 213:1	examiner 212:5	180:24 186:3	174:1,6 175:20
214:1 215:1	245:14 277:8	193:17 194:22	179:11,11
216:1 217:1	examining	206:2 207:2	181:13,20
218:1 219:1	160:4	208:6 209:16	183:1,6,10,11
220:1 221:1,1	example 80:1	211:15 271:3,4	183:15,16,23
222:1 223:1	131:7 138:10	271:16 273:9	184:4 185:5,25
224:1 225:1	153:13 154:16	excellent	186:2,3,8,12,20
226:1 227:1	155:15 156:7	112:15	187:2,19,22,25
228:1 229:1	156:16 179:3	excess 278:3	188:3,3,7
230:1 231:1	208:5 209:4	exciting 5:7	190:23 191:5,8
232:1 233:1	213:3 222:18	17:14	191:9 197:3,11
234:1 235:1	223:13 235:4	exclusively	198:4 201:12
236:1 237:1	examples 55:7	60:25 104:3	201:13,15,19
238:1 239:1	55:20 67:12	137:1	202:6 217:24
240:1 241:1	92:9,11,14	excuse 47:9	228:25 235:12
242:1 243:1	98:2 101:9	97:23 131:1	235:13 237:25
244:1 245:1	118:2 119:17	148:9 256:22	238:15 240:11
246:1 247:1	122:23 123:17	<b>exhibit</b> 2:2,3,4	271:23 273:5
248:1 249:1	142:22,23,23	2:5,6,7,8,9,10	exhibits 2:1
250:1 251:1	143:15 144:8	26:1 55:16	34:22 61:13
252:1 253:1	155:12 158:16	61:4,8,11 62:5	93:19 94:10,12
254:1 255:1	253:14	93:24 94:6	149:19 151:4
256:1 257:1		105:8 149:19	160:2,12,14

# [exhibits - fail]

161.0 12 25	72.20 05.15	ownogog 257.10	over 210.4
161:8,13,25	72:20 95:15	exposes 257:10	eyes 219:4
166:16,17	107:14 113:16	expositional	<b>f</b>
173:16 181:12	129:23 130:17	164:23	<b>f</b> 170:16 173:4
200:16	130:20,23	express 11:2	253:1 263:23
exist 113:17	132:5 139:2,3	<b>extend</b> 68:22	<b>fa</b> 51:21 52:2
127:10 214:4	141:17,23,24	279:23	57:8
existed 132:21	142:4,14	<b>extensive</b> 58:12	fabricate 75:24
155:12 211:1	144:24 152:4	100:22 186:25	<b>fabricated</b> 76:3
existence 66:13	experienced	212:16	79:11
<b>existing</b> 49:15	50:3 74:25	extensively	fabrication
49:16 52:13	92:17 114:2	39:2 273:22	76:8
exists 49:19	142:12 145:22	extent 97:4	<b>face</b> 179:4
64:6 127:13	experiences	98:7 103:7	261:13
132:22 233:17	55:7,11 130:19	104:12 106:19	facilitate 23:8
expect 52:1	experiencing	107:24 110:15	24:24
91:23 243:3	91:8	111:13 213:7	facilities 50:18
expected 9:5	<b>expert</b> 200:12	236:18	50:23 56:1,5
57:8 59:20	226:5 268:7	extra 222:20	facility 7:21
140:14 236:10	experts 203:18	extract 24:21	126:17
265:14	216:17	260:19	<b>fact</b> 11:24 51:1
expecting	explain 41:23	extracting	82:22 100:12
43:16	55:8 56:3	256:20	124:13 164:8
expedition	147:1 222:11	extraction	165:22 166:24
104:15	explained 98:2	256:18	168:5,11 180:5
<b>expend</b> 104:14	explaining 95:1	extraordinarily	243:13 255:5
expends 51:23	explanation	113:11 114:13	factor 42:9
expense 69:21	30:9	extreme 92:10	51:13 69:17
256:20	explanations	92:14 98:2	108:4
expenses 51:11	273:17	101:9,12	factors 15:12
51:16,22	explore 188:17	113:12,17	34:24 66:16
expensive	204:14	119:17 142:23	138:18 139:3,7
130:3,9 257:2	explored 238:5	142:23 145:18	192:17
experience	exploring	254:18	factual 244:8
38:25 50:5	225:9	extremely	fail 132:13
56:9 62:19		274:14	102.10

# [failed - file]

	100 0 11 01		0 . 5 . 5 . 5
failed 90:14	108:9,11,24	federal 26:20	<b>feet</b> 7:16 67:25
128:13 159:21	109:10,15	80:1,9,10,17,17	73:5 74:11,14
<b>failing</b> 263:23	111:6 133:23	81:25 82:2,7	74:16 76:18
failure 24:15	134:6 199:19	88:2,3,3,6 92:8	81:5,13,14
52:25 53:5,8	families 11:6	93:2 101:7,25	84:2,4,6,15
141:25 145:22	257:17	101:25 102:3,4	85:7 86:1,7
failures 53:9	<b>family</b> 14:15,18	102:12,18,25	87:1,6,15,18
70:24 72:1	16:21 17:5,16	105:2,17	88:10,14 89:11
<b>fair</b> 20:3 98:5,6	81:2 103:3,12	108:14 109:4	89:13 137:14
101:10 103:4	249:24 258:25	113:11 152:22	137:15,19,20
107:22,23	260:8	155:15,23	147:13 157:12
108:5 115:5	<b>far</b> 9:16 63:24	191:17,21	<b>felicia</b> 1:10 3:2
119:14 120:4	66:23 68:16,22	192:3 197:19	246:7
140:16 195:5	96:13 118:9	198:5,6 204:8	<b>fell</b> 155:6
196:2 200:23	128:21 191:11	205:8 207:11	<b>felt</b> 5:12 205:13
210:10 213:23	192:21 193:18	209:5,19 225:6	<b>fewer</b> 27:24
214:1 268:10	208:1 214:11	231:21,24	<b>fi</b> 187:9
269:12 270:15	214:13 219:4	232:3 233:18	<b>field</b> 41:10
274:11	263:17 274:3	233:24 234:1,2	68:11 69:24
<b>fairly</b> 68:10	276:1	234:5 255:10	112:23 144:2
267:4 270:20	<b>farm</b> 15:4,7	261:12 263:14	145:8 147:7
<b>faith</b> 50:8	17:12	<b>fee</b> 15:19 16:4	251:20
<b>fall</b> 9:6 29:15	farmington	feedback 56:16	fieldwork
36:20 37:12	265:8	<b>feel</b> 41:25 76:17	113:7
39:16,17 42:8	fashion 79:3	96:24 108:18	fighting 86:10
55:20 57:22	104:17	113:24 162:13	figure 9:22
96:19 155:1	<b>faster</b> 59:20	201:23 202:1	32:25 102:16
229:18 232:24	<b>fe</b> 1:5 256:10	204:15 215:1	102:17
257:8	<b>february</b> 62:17	221:10 234:20	figures 144:1
fallen 27:4	63:19,19 80:8	277:13	144:20 193:15
156:6	80:15 82:3	<b>feels</b> 32:7	<b>file</b> 54:6 109:5
<b>falls</b> 50:2	90:13,15	<b>fees</b> 6:2,8 15:13	117:18 127:1
<b>false</b> 170:24	112:20 209:8	15:14,15,16,17	161:25 187:11
familiar 43:13	<b>fed</b> 172:9	15:18 252:3	188:15
96:24 107:4,8			

# [filed - forced]

<b>filed</b> 44:15	154:2 214:10	firsthand 257:3	fluctuations
101:19 160:25	findings 228:9	<b>fiscal</b> 150:8	157:3
172:19	<b>fine</b> 161:9	<b>fish</b> 68:3 84:9	<b>fluids</b> 65:11
<b>files</b> 66:7,11	190:12 203:10	<b>fishing</b> 68:2,3	69:12
127:1	237:11	70:23 71:2	<b>focus</b> 28:16
<b>filing</b> 213:5	<b>fined</b> 19:23	104:15	50:19 104:3
<b>fill</b> 85:25 87:22	<b>finish</b> 12:18	<b>fit</b> 9:18 224:9	131:10
<b>filled</b> 84:14	87:21 90:4	227:8 267:14	focused 57:20
<b>filling</b> 89:24	finished 16:24	<b>five</b> 4:22 16:6	60:25
<b>filters</b> 19:22	78:14 223:3	47:22 54:17	<b>focuses</b> 136:25
<b>final</b> 87:21 92:1	<b>fire</b> 75:13	55:4 58:5	focusing 27:15
127:9,9 281:14	<b>fired</b> 263:8	103:6 261:10	53:7 63:16
finalizing	<b>fires</b> 75:23	<b>fix</b> 57:11	<b>folks</b> 3:9,11
189:24	<b>first</b> 3:13 4:4	<b>fixed</b> 5:19 6:4	17:24 73:14
finally 81:8	6:21 9:8 10:12	18:8 169:20	111:12
167:2 169:1	10:13 13:23	flanges 76:1	<b>follow</b> 9:22
<b>finance</b> 32:11	21:25 35:5	<b>flash</b> 75:23	116:20 120:2
finances 32:18	36:9 44:15	<b>flat</b> 15:19 16:4	158:23 159:21
financial 3:4	49:16 52:10	flexibility	230:11
25:18,23 34:9	55:4 57:2	275:22	followed 99:15
35:7 36:6,17	59:22 60:2	<b>floor</b> 1:4 52:12	following 37:4
38:5 41:15	79:6 90:20	<b>flow</b> 67:12	64:8 155:9
50:25 51:4,6	91:9 95:8	82:12,23 83:1	234:24 236:22
51:12,14 99:7	141:15 143:13	83:18,21,23	<b>follows</b> 171:1
99:7 100:13,18	145:4 148:23	86:5 254:4	<b>footage</b> 147:14
102:10 107:5	152:17 169:7	<b>flowed</b> 84:19	<b>force</b> 98:22
113:3 130:11	170:22 190:23	<b>flowing</b> 82:9,14	251:21
189:13 197:4,8	191:13 192:8	82:21 90:14	forced 18:3
205:24 241:23	207:19 209:1	138:24	61:1 64:10,10
242:18 246:9	246:13,25	<b>flows</b> 79:15	64:18,19 66:2
<b>find</b> 76:19	248:13 251:1	138:21	66:10 92:13,19
77:25 78:6	252:24 254:22	fluctuating	95:21 96:3,8
84:2 85:20	255:22,23	157:23 158:2	96:17 97:2,13
92:18 136:11	259:20,21	fluctuation	104:21 106:2
140:19 141:2	262:17	156:21	106:14 122:21

# [forced - garcia]

	I	I	1
123:2,9,12,19	216:15,20	fracking 20:9	255:1 257:11
123:21 124:2,8	237:25	fraction 132:6	257:15 261:11
124:21 125:4,6	<b>fort</b> 251:15	fracturing 63:2	<b>funny</b> 269:4
125:7,17 126:2	<b>forth</b> 167:6	63:10,16	furnish 96:22
126:8 127:6,15	168:12 281:8	francis 1:5	further 46:24
128:7 142:11	forthrightly	52:25	50:1 53:25
153:22 154:2,4	184:16	<b>free</b> 69:4 84:2,3	87:13,14 121:5
216:7,12 222:4	fortunate 142:5	96:24 183:25	143:3 186:10
222:9,16,25	fortunately	184:1,1,19	188:17 196:11
223:11,16,23	69:14	214:3	220:6,16
224:9,12,24	forward 67:5	frequently	225:21 230:1
225:5 227:24	105:5 109:7	68:25 260:13	272:8 281:7,12
228:2,10,19	145:3 232:2	<b>front</b> 9:14	<b>future</b> 38:11
229:3,17,23	244:1	150:17 239:25	56:17 57:15
230:5 235:7	fossil 253:23	261:3	111:16 231:8
241:16 256:16	<b>found</b> 22:21	<b>fuels</b> 253:23	257:18,19
266:25 267:9,9	61:18 65:7	<b>full</b> 17:9 19:16	261:14
269:4	74:8 76:14,16	87:22 139:10	g
forecast 265:2	76:25 77:12	200:12 210:25	<b>g</b> 6:23 148:25
foregoing	84:3 120:6	<b>fully</b> 51:3	247:2 251:4
281:9	224:11	216:14 229:20	255:24 259:22
foremost 145:4	foundation	267:17 268:15	gallons 7:25
<b>forever</b> 40:14	99:20 186:6,14	<b>fund</b> 43:19,25	gap 57:7
<b>forget</b> 207:20	foundational	51:17,19,23	garcia 1:22
forgot 21:7	186:7	57:14 100:16	55:13,19
<b>form</b> 50:5	<b>four</b> 174:18	100:17 106:14	148:17,22,24
formally	263:6,22	252:2 255:2,5	148:25 149:3
122:20	<b>fox</b> 1:19 135:1	fundamental	149:11,12
<b>format</b> 199:2	135:12,13,16	95:2	151:1,22
formation	135:18 136:1	<b>funding</b> 18:5	159:24 160:4
23:10 78:20	137:1 138:1	205:8 249:9	161:4 162:3,12
86:16 124:16	139:1 140:1	255:9,11	162:13,16,22
124:17	141:5,8 245:17	<b>funds</b> 11:7	167:12 169:19
<b>formula</b> 176:16	<b>frack</b> 23:9	57:15 100:4,5	170:3,7 171:5
203:15,19		100:11 106:12	173:23 174:12

# [garcia - go]

174:23 176:13	199:21,22	geology 23:22	274:25
178:19 184:7	200:5 252:1,4	41:2 62:18	<b>given</b> 63:24
184:15 185:11	252:5,11,15	geothermal	65:24 130:5
185:25 186:1,8	253:17 257:6,8	7:22 8:4	139:23 140:8
186:13 188:2,8	260:11,18	gerardi 262:2	140:24 191:19
190:18 192:7	261:8 263:10	gerhart 10:2,2	220:13
193:20 195:8	263:15 264:1	246:5,24 247:1	<b>gives</b> 200:21
195:21 197:1	265:2,5,8,11	247:2,5,8,9	225:7
200:23 203:8	gathered	248:6	<b>giving</b> 17:7
203:14 217:10	237:23	germany	123:18
218:22 220:14	gathering	251:14	<b>global</b> 261:19
220:20,23	200:10	getting 12:9	<b>go</b> 4:14 8:23
221:3 226:24	general 113:21	30:10 39:12	12:20 14:10
236:21 242:7	133:21 161:23	71:22,24 73:7	15:20 19:10
244:25 245:3,7	177:5 191:18	73:9 82:10	21:8 26:1,7,17
266:11,16	198:20 216:21	84:12 86:9,10	29:24 34:4
270:2,8 271:21	221:16	86:25 90:18	38:14 39:6
272:20,23	generally 53:5	91:23 138:21	41:4 54:4,19
275:6 277:1,3	57:23 107:19	167:17 189:7	60:11 68:3
277:6,16,17,20	107:20 111:6	189:15 205:6,6	75:19 81:15
<b>garcia's</b> 183:24	114:12 117:24	207:22 224:5	82:6 87:4,12
201:17	127:25	224:14,15,16	90:18 92:13
gardening	generate 7:16	231:7 237:12	98:22 102:21
17:11	185:25 186:4	268:8 271:18	104:10 109:7
gas 5:23 6:7	generated	<b>giants</b> 17:21	116:18 122:16
7:21,25 9:2	49:21 207:7	girardi 259:15	127:1 131:1
11:3,4,10,12,20	238:2	259:17,18,21	133:14 139:3
12:1 17:17,25	generating	259:25 260:3	144:4 149:5
18:2,12,15	11:7 57:6	<b>give</b> 18:10 33:3	151:5,14
19:17 20:3,16	generations	108:16 133:11	155:21 158:10
20:20 62:20	257:19	156:4 160:22	161:21 162:17
65:6 72:21,23	gentleman	168:16 173:19	166:24 167:21
73:6,8 95:11	72:19 73:5	199:16 200:8	168:24 169:18
115:15 147:2,3	79:7,21	201:5 209:4	170:10 172:20
163:20 199:12		240:13 263:23	173:5 179:23

# [go - greater]

183:22 189:9	58:12 60:13	276:23 278:16	government
190:19 191:10	63:22 64:8	278:18,19	14:22 15:22
194:17 196:12	65:22 66:18	<b>good</b> 3:1 4:17	59:5 152:22
197:10 204:7	67:5,6,7 68:14	5:13 7:4 8:15	204:8,8 209:5
208:7 210:3	68:22 69:21	8:16 10:22	209:19 231:22
211:24 213:1,9	70:5 71:23	11:6 12:19	231:24 232:4
214:6 216:7	73:16,23 74:24	16:18 21:16,17	255:10 263:14
217:9,23 226:6	75:2 76:12	22:11 24:1	governor 45:15
227:2,19	77:2,15 78:22	28:9,10 31:18	45:20
235:21 238:13	79:15 86:5,20	38:23,24 41:3	<b>grade</b> 263:23
239:14 249:6	86:22 87:3,12	43:3,4 48:6	graduated 5:4
270:1 274:7	87:13 88:4,24	50:8 60:19,20	graduation
275:12,13	89:10 90:24	61:25 72:9	16:25 62:24
278:8 279:5	92:6 94:2 95:4	87:16 92:9	grandchildren
<b>goal</b> 46:20	107:24 111:20	94:20 112:11	257:14
65:22 72:4	121:14 132:14	121:23 135:17	grandparent
231:8	137:2 140:4,11	141:14 145:8	257:13
<b>goals</b> 38:9	140:23 144:1	155:11 157:20	<b>grant</b> 52:1
57:17	146:13 160:16	189:22 194:6	granularly
<b>goes</b> 20:5 48:11	166:1 173:5	203:8,9 212:13	208:23
50:23 213:15	188:6 190:5,21	221:3,4,6,7	<b>graph</b> 154:7,7
235:15 254:7	194:9,13	227:9,18	154:24 155:19
261:18	196:14 200:1	230:15 234:3	157:6 158:3
<b>going</b> 9:12 12:9	210:19 215:4	235:12 241:20	177:9 183:9
13:12 17:6	216:16 218:21	246:7 249:3,12	<b>gravel</b> 256:15
25:14 26:23	221:9 222:22	256:6 260:3	gravity 71:9
29:12,13 30:15	226:17 227:16	262:25 272:23	<b>gray</b> 78:14,16
30:16 31:9	228:16 231:15	272:24 277:13	267:10,13
33:13 34:4	232:1 236:5,22	<b>gotten</b> 195:25	<b>great</b> 5:16 16:9
37:24 39:4	237:20 238:21	<b>gottlieb</b> 250:7,7	17:11 21:9,24
40:8,11 41:9	242:20 243:20	250:15,17	48:4 76:8
41:23 42:3	244:1,4,12	255:18,19,23	151:24 201:13
48:16 52:11	245:17 265:3,3	255:24 256:3,6	278:6
54:9 55:3 56:7	268:19 269:25	256:7 257:24	greater 15:25
56:11,12,24	270:5 274:9		16:1

# [green - hawaii]

<b>green</b> 19:13	234:4,18	149:1,5,6,8	happen 6:10
27:12 28:8	236:10 237:4	150:1 151:1	38:16 69:15
<b>grew</b> 4:19	242:16 267:2	152:1 153:1	71:17 75:2
19:19	268:22 275:23	154:1 155:1	132:14
ground 46:5	276:20	156:1 157:1	happened
67:10 68:8,21	guessing 43:13	158:1 159:1,25	72:19 76:19
95:14,17 101:4	136:14	160:1 161:1,17	185:6
133:20 144:9	guidance	161:22 167:7	happening 23:7
205:3 280:4	124:19	170:1 171:5	25:1 46:5 83:4
groundwater	<b>guide</b> 65:21	174:17 178:1	189:4 277:14
24:15 261:16	145:3	183:5,6,19,23	happens 24:7
<b>group</b> 55:14	<b>guys</b> 17:23	184:12,14	24:20 48:22
58:21 175:15	169:4,6 205:11	189:2 216:23	68:25
groups 17:6	249:20	217:8 226:21	happenstance
122:19 204:1	h	236:12 242:2	54:12
<b>grows</b> 214:21	<b>h</b> 6:23,23 13:25	269:20 272:12	<b>happy</b> 12:18
<b>growth</b> 16:5,11	,	272:14,16	183:19 191:25
guarantee	14:5,5 148:24	275:6	201:18 205:21
253:20	171:19,22	<b>hand</b> 8:12 21:2	245:24
<b>guard</b> 166:3	247:2 248:15	21:3 32:13	hard 45:18
<b>guess</b> 95:9	248:15 262:19	78:5 105:2	129:16 140:17
101:24 114:4	<b>h2</b> 169:7 171:3	158:18 191:11	247:13 257:1
114:10,16	171:15,19,21	250:21 259:16	276:2
118:19 119:13	172:3	262:6,10 266:5	harder 241:6,7
125:3 130:2,16	<b>h2s</b> 75:17,21	266:6	241:11 254:17
131:5 132:15	77:2,3,4	handful 7:25	hardworking
132:17 150:14	146:18,19	handle 85:18	249:3
151:19 154:22	147:1,8	278:17	harm 257:11
177:5 198:15	half 104:5	handled 96:10	harming
212:8 213:16	123:22,23,25	handling 192:6	261:17
213:23 217:22	129:25 136:3	hands 127:7	hart 221:8
217:25 218:2	247:19 265:15	204:15	hate 274:20
227:22 228:23	<b>hall</b> 1:4,23 7:10	<b>hanger</b> 69:2,4	279:22
229:15 230:4	55:13 56:7	hanging 266:16	<b>hawaii</b> 16:24
230:17 233:7	60:13 62:8		
	148:15,16		
	•		

# [hb - helpful]

<b>hb</b> 254:25	18:19 19:6,9	188:5,9,12,16	269:23 270:4
<b>he'll</b> 60:15	20:22 42:18,22	188:21,22	272:9,12,14,18
244:6	47:1,7,13,17	189:2,18,21	277:4,8,18,22
<b>head</b> 81:3	48:1,4,7,11,14	190:1,4,12,14	278:1,4,7,11,21
129:9 161:4	48:21 52:7	190:17 201:1,2	279:1,14,16
<b>header</b> 206:19	58:16 59:15,17	201:7,10,22	280:8 281:4
headset 62:12	59:24 60:6,9	202:19,24	hearings
health 7:8	60:12 61:3	203:4 206:20	101:15,16
15:23 147:16	62:10,11,13	207:8,8 208:11	152:13 206:21
147:19 261:17	64:4 88:25	211:19,24	212:3,9 213:9
261:22 264:15	89:2 93:15,21	212:4,10,16,18	228:9 234:19
<b>hear</b> 10:7,10	94:1,7,10,13,17	212:19,20,25	heart 260:15
13:1,19 47:22	99:25 100:3	217:7 220:12	heartened 9:17
52:8 66:19	101:22 103:18	220:17,24	heat 254:17
143:19,20	112:2,8 117:5	226:23 228:10	heavier 85:4
151:7,20 225:2	117:19 121:7	232:23 234:11	heavily 177:7
225:11 227:18	121:13,19	234:12,14	251:25
248:8 250:24	130:14,25	242:6,12 245:4	<b>heavy</b> 82:18
252:21	134:16,21	245:10,14,15	144:12
<b>heard</b> 1:10 9:9	135:2,10,13	245:21,25	<b>heck</b> 167:1
107:2,8 117:20	141:7 143:5	246:3,8 247:3	<b>height</b> 74:15
220:13 242:3	146:3,8 148:3	247:6,19 248:5	<b>held</b> 95:11
269:6 270:11	148:8,14,16,19	248:10,12,16	<b>hello</b> 10:9,13
270:12,14	149:1,4,16	248:19 250:3,6	13:3,4 148:22
hearing 1:1,7	153:3 160:5,11	250:14,25	248:21 251:10
1:10 3:1,2,3,25	160:19 161:7	251:5,8 252:18	255:19 259:18
4:3,6,9,13 6:13	161:16,19	252:23 253:3,6	259:19 262:13
6:20,24 7:2 8:7	162:2,8,17	255:16,21	<b>help</b> 73:16
8:16,20,23	167:9 171:12	256:1,4 257:23	87:14 209:22
9:25 10:8,11	174:19 178:9	258:4,7 259:13	222:17 227:10
10:17,20 12:11	183:2,5,14,22	259:19,23	243:7 276:9
12:14,22,25	184:5,11,24	260:1 262:1,13	<b>helped</b> 46:17
13:4,7,11,18,20	185:1,3,22	262:16,20,23	256:13
13:22 14:1,6	186:9,15,21	265:20,24	helpful 122:2
14:10 16:16	187:13,14,23	266:13 269:20	215:18 225:10

# [helpful - identify]

225 17 10	1 . 1 1 . 1	1 14 207.5	1 147 16
225:17,19	highlight 51:5	holster 207:5	human 147:16
228:21	51:18	home 17:18	214:22
helping 40:18	highlighted	18:17 81:2	<b>humans</b> 254:9
40:21 234:5	131:24 229:8	<b>honest</b> 136:10	<b>hurt</b> 15:21
<b>helps</b> 55:8 56:2	highlighting	honolulu 5:3,5	249:18,21
<b>hepp</b> 248:7,8	58:24	<b>honor</b> 135:1	<b>husband</b> 5:9,15
248:11,14,15	<b>highly</b> 54:23	278:25	17:15 251:15
248:18,21,22	56:3	hope 55:8 56:2	264:10
250:4,5	historic 214:5	235:5 247:23	husband's
hesitant 22:24	historical	249:7	251:21
177:20 178:14	267:24	hopefully 231:8	hydraulic 63:2
hesitate 95:14	historically	<b>hopes</b> 83:18	63:10,16
131:3 136:9	166:19	horizontal	hydrocarbons
<b>hey</b> 24:3	history 5:17	120:10	110:17,25
208:13 209:13	65:24 127:2	horrible 240:2	hydrogen
226:8 231:23	140:24 141:19	horse's 81:2	72:23 73:8
<b>hi</b> 252:21	155:17 159:6	hospitals 11:8	147:2
262:15	243:16	hostile 58:21	hydroponic
<b>hidden</b> 184:15	<b>hit</b> 40:4 85:8	hour 53:2	17:12
219:18	155:6	162:2 209:14	hyperlinks
<b>high</b> 16:24	<b>hitting</b> 262:8	247:19 278:22	232:2,4
31:21 32:5	<b>hmm</b> 146:24	<b>hours</b> 214:17	i
34:17 35:4,16	<b>hobbs</b> 72:16	house 258:25	idea 12:19
48:16 74:7	73:2 146:19	261:2	68:21 274:11
83:13 113:25	<b>hold</b> 12:11	houses 79:9	
114:1,13	188:1,13,18,22	hoyt 8:9,10	identical 70:4,5
141:24 264:13	264:8,18	13:14,17,19,21	222:3
279:4	<b>holder</b> 127:9	13:24,24 14:2	identified
<b>higher</b> 15:14	hole 68:1 71:23	14:3,4,4,8,9,12	125:6 128:2
25:8 37:13	78:3 79:15	16:17,18 18:20	203:16 204:24
199:20 206:4	86:10 102:21	<b>huge</b> 6:2	206:20 209:2
211:4 274:14	103:2	hughes 6:15,15	209:10 243:2
highest 57:20	holes 86:20	6:16,17,20,22	<b>identifies</b> 133:1
57:21	holland 221:8	6:22 7:1,4 8:8	240:21 241:21
		,	<b>identify</b> 214:8
			214:10

# [illustrate - increasing]

illustrate 67:15	implications	274:1	including 15:13
113:13	29:8	inactivity 49:23	138:24 161:18
illustrated	implies 51:20	53:21 54:18	210:8
139:13	implying	inadequate	income 5:19
illustration	184:16	51:1,16	15:13 18:8
68:24 70:23	importance	inadequately	253:20
illustrations	252:15	93:7 132:2,12	incomes 6:4
114:3	important	inadmissible	inconsistent
imagine 43:20	11:16 21:19	184:3,23	157:22
47:18	48:12,23 50:19	inaudible	incorporate
immediately	145:7,7 194:21	160:20	58:11
73:20 181:4	204:5 205:16	incapable 59:2	incorporated
immense 48:10	205:18 252:7	incentive 38:19	96:9
imminent 45:9	252:10 274:6	271:5	incorporates
<b>impact</b> 6:3,6	importantly	incentives	58:17
17:17 18:3,14	11:9	40:20	incorrect 165:2
31:10 32:10	imposing 37:16	incentivize	182:17
37:16 249:13	impossible	252:11	incorrectly
254:7 260:9	199:21	incentivizes	165:7
272:1,4	improperly	44:11,12	increase 5:25
impacted 6:5	132:5	inch 74:3,12	17:22 18:14
274:9 275:1	improved	82:15,17 85:3	38:20,21 39:24
<b>impacts</b> 11:19	139:20	85:4,8 89:12	40:23 57:2
37:2 257:19	improves 41:1	90:22 91:1	87:20 124:1
imperative	inactive 27:7	138:24	249:17
257:4	27:11,17,22,25	incident 279:10	increased 6:8
impetus 44:9	28:6 33:11	include 37:11	44:11 257:3
implementati	34:5,7,12 35:3	166:18 176:2	260:10
49:12 56:21	37:13 43:10	202:16 210:13	increases 16:7
279:5	49:1,18 50:13	included 22:2	16:14 108:1
implemented	53:23 103:10	115:1 166:16	120:25
48:25	155:3 171:2,16	186:5 194:22	increasing 5:22
implementing	171:24 177:25	272:2 273:25	6:3 120:24
29:13	178:6 182:2	includes 46:2	124:5 239:4
	184:8 218:11	102:18 152:2	249:16 252:3

# [incurred - intend]

	I	I	I
incurred 130:1	11:21 12:3	140:24 141:18	insanity 43:14
130:5,19	15:1,9,18	150:8,22 151:2	<b>inside</b> 78:15,16
indemnificati	17:18,21,25	170:23 186:4	78:18 79:16,19
52:3 54:9	18:12,15 22:8	207:5,17 215:2	84:1 86:19,22
independence	23:13 24:8	220:15 231:17	89:12,25,25
11:12,15	33:25 54:13	256:23,25	inspected 99:17
independent	62:20 95:11	262:7 274:5	192:16 196:1
11:19	96:7 108:5	information's	inspection
indeterminate	120:6,7 141:17	271:10	192:19
17:13	141:21 142:13	informed 57:3	inspections
indicate 88:5	153:23 162:24	infrastructure	196:7 204:2
110:24 195:2	167:17 175:8,9	52:1 253:18	inspector 196:1
indicated 65:11	175:11 215:24	infrequently	196:13
74:2 77:15	216:9 224:15	119:16	inspectors
78:4 266:10,25	253:17 255:8	inhaling 260:17	147:9 191:24
indicating	272:5 274:2	inherent 55:9	install 76:4
246:11	industry's 52:8	initial 88:7	installed 87:24
indication	ineffective 50:7	210:20 211:4	instance 54:16
73:22 74:6,7	inefficient	initially 82:9	128:17 175:15
140:11 156:1	45:24	<b>inject</b> 40:10	175:21 178:24
indications	inequitable	66:18 89:14	191:15 192:8
73:9	5:24	<b>injected</b> 129:19	194:9,16
indicative 98:9	<b>influx</b> 84:13,15	injection 39:8	instances 45:7
98:10,11	84:21,23 86:11	39:18 80:19	140:17
indicator	87:4 89:20,21	83:17 128:23	instrument
240:22	90:8	129:5,8	224:25
individual	information	injuries 261:6	insurance 4:21
49:19 102:5	49:5 55:17	inordinate	4:23 5:6
210:7 234:11	56:2 65:23	114:11	254:14 261:6
individuals	66:8 77:24	<b>input</b> 123:13	intact 20:2
31:1 206:13	78:10 89:9	183:25	integrity 67:1
industrial	109:7 115:12	<b>inputs</b> 184:17	67:22 74:22
14:21 19:19	124:14 125:24	184:21	87:18 98:8
industry 4:21	127:2,23	<b>ins</b> 109:13	intend 48:1
5:7 6:7 11:3,10	129:20 140:8		59:22 195:21

# [intended - jim]

intended 57:2	165:17 166:6	122:12 124:16	104:13 105:1
113:13 171:15	168:18 179:20	131:20 138:19	113:17 116:4
243:24	181:1,2 182:5	204:1 210:6,19	138:7 165:22
intensive 32:21	182:9,15 195:5	215:7 224:3	169:15 179:4
<b>intent</b> 231:14	212:25 234:14	267:10 271:3	199:15,17,18
231:14 233:15	234:16 235:1	involving 134:3	200:6 203:15
intention	interpreted	<b>ip</b> 207:5	207:25 210:22
180:21	168:21 199:6,9	<b>ipa</b> 58:14	211:2 237:15
intentional	interpreting	<b>ipanm</b> 112:18	243:2 244:9
54:12	153:3 168:15	162:23 166:22	277:9 278:14
interaction	interprets	278:17	issuing 114:24
214:23	198:18	<b>ipnm</b> 161:3	<b>item</b> 157:5
interest 29:1,4	interrupt 33:14	202:19 203:17	items 204:5
29:8,14,19	interrupting	ipnm's 201:25	230:24 242:11
30:11,13,17	147:13	<b>iron</b> 118:6,21	269:11
281:14	interval 71:11	isolate 65:10	j
interested	207:21	isolated 72:7	<b>j</b> 10:15 14:5
123:20 126:12	interworkings	isolating 72:6	148:24
240:10	211:14	<b>issue</b> 9:18	jal 72:14,15
interesting	intriguing 7:13	21:19 50:16	73:14 113:10
182:24	<b>intro</b> 229:9	54:2 100:19	159:9
interject 189:3	232:11	132:7 142:19	january 44:22
201:8	introduce 45:1	167:24 185:21	48:20 85:22
internal 230:24	intrusive 32:20	187:4,4 189:10	152:9
internalize	investigate	211:4,6 218:4	<b>jason</b> 10:3,7,10
162:5	73:4	223:8 224:17	10:15,19,22,23
internally	investigated	237:7 240:20	12:10,13,21,24
154:11 179:10	80:14	252:16 258:11	13:3,6,10
204:4 222:17	invoices 104:2	278:13 279:22	jennings
223:1,7	106:20	<b>issued</b> 212:10	191:16
interpret	<b>involve</b> 140:20	<b>issues</b> 46:18	jeopardy 44:3
154:16 165:11	involved 31:1	62:11 69:12	jerry 265:1
172:6	69:22 71:21	70:22 71:5,13	jesse 185:23
interpretation	101:23 106:19	71:15,17 82:2	jim 195:18
153:5 164:12	108:15 122:7	88:18 89:17	Jan 175.10

# [jim's - know]

jim's         193:25         june         44:16,20         229:6         271:4 275:           job         60:22,24         45:1 172:19         kevin         8:13,14         275:21 276           83:15 94:23         justice         19:13         8:15,17,18,22         kirby         258:           131:11 136:22         justify         150:13         8:25 258:1,2,2         258:6,9         kirtland         25           249:10,13         justifying         key         49:14 52:4         knew         74:20           jobs         11:6,25         justin         56:7         kicked         236:23         know         22:8           john         1:22 8:9         k         k         6:23 8:18         248:15 255:24         kill         16:6 82:18         24:13,22 2           john         1:22 8:9         k         kill         16:6 82:18         24:13,22 2           john         1:22 8:9         k         6:23 8:18         248:15 255:24         kill         16:6 82:18         24:13,22 2           john         1:22 8:9         k         6:23 8:18         24:13,22 2         25:11,15 2           john         1:22 8:9         k         6:23 8:18         24:19 8:15,18         25:11,15 2	5:17 1,2,2 9:14 51:21 0 2:16 17 25 13 5:5
83:15 94:23       justice 19:13       8:15,17,18,22       kirby 258:         131:11 136:22       144:5 241:20       276:3       258:6,9       258:1,2	1,2,2 9:14 51:21 0 2:16 17 25 13 5:5
131:11 136:22       justify       150:13       8:25 258:1,2,2       258:6,9 25         249:10,13       justifying       key       49:14 52:4       knew       74:20         264:18       120:24       kick       96:25       179:11 272         jobs       11:6,25       justin       56:7       kicked       236:23       know       22:8         john       1:22 8:9       k       kids       17:1       24:2,4,5,7,         13:14 14:2,4,4       k       6:23 8:18       248:15 255:24       258:3,3       25:11,15 2         148:17,24       258:3,3       kill 16:6 82:18       25:11,15 2         162:16 176:13       kate       232:17       killing       259:3         185:24 186:1       248:8,11,14,14       42:8 55:1       30:16,21 3         195:8 197:14       248:8,11,14,14       64:23 65:21       31:21 32:6	9:14 51:21 0 2:16 17 25 13 5:5
144:5 241:20       276:3       258:6,9       kirtland 25         249:10,13       justifying       key 49:14 52:4       knew 74:20         264:18       120:24       kick 96:25       179:11 272         jobs 11:6,25       justin 56:7       kicked 236:23       know 22:8         113:12       233:7       kids 17:1       24:2,4,5,7,         john 1:22 8:9       k       kids 17:1       24:2,4,5,7,         13:14 14:2,4,4       k 6:23 8:18       248:15 255:24       25:11,15 2         148:17,24       258:3,3       kill 16:6 82:18       25:11,15 2         149:3,11       kate 232:17       killing 259:3       27:6 28:1,3         kind 29:6 30:7       28:11 29:7         30:8 31:3 33:2       29:18,25 3         42:8 55:1       30:16,21 3         31:21 32:6	51:21 ) 2:16 17 25 13 5:5
249:10,13       justifying       key       49:14 52:4       knew       74:20         jobs       11:6,25       justin       56:7       kicked       236:23       know       22:8         john       1:22 8:9       k       kids       17:1       know       22:8         john       1:22 8:9       k       kids       17:1       kill       16:6 82:18       24:2,4,5,7,         john       1:22 8:9       k       kill       16:6 82:18       24:13,22 2         k       6:23 8:18       82:19 84:15,18       25:11,15 2         killing       259:3       27:6 28:1,3         killing       25:11,29:7         30:8 31:3 33:2       29:18,25 3         30:16,21 3         42:8 55:1       30:16,21 3         42:20       31:21 32:6	2:16 17 25 13 5:5
264:18       120:24       kick 96:25       179:11 272         jobs 11:6,25       justin 56:7       kicked 236:23       know 22:8         113:12       233:7       278:15       23:5,8,19,2         john 1:22 8:9       k       kids 17:1       24:2,4,5,7,         13:14 14:2,4,4       k 6:23 8:18       248:15 255:24       258:3,3         148:17,24       258:3,3       kill 16:6 82:18       25:11,15 2         162:16 176:13       kate 232:17       killing 259:3       27:6 28:1,3         kathleen 248:7       248:8,11,14,14       30:8 31:3 33:2       29:18,25 3         42:8 55:1       30:16,21 3         64:23 65:21       31:21 32:6	2:16 17 25 13 5:5
jobs         11:6,25         justin         56:7         kicked         236:23         know         22:8, 23:5,8,19,22           john         1:22 8:9         k         kids         17:1         24:2,4,5,7,           13:14 14:2,4,4         k         6:23 8:18         248:15 255:24         kill         16:6 82:18         24:13,22 2           148:17,24         258:3,3         kate         232:17         killing         259:3         27:6 28:1,3           kathleen         248:8,11,14,14         30:8 31:3 33:2         29:18,25 3           30:16,21 3         30:16,21 3           42:8 55:1         30:16,21 3           64:23 65:21         31:21 32:6	17 25 13 5:5
113:12       233:7       278:15       23:5,8,19,2         john       1:22 8:9       k       kids       17:1       24:2,4,5,7,         13:14 14:2,4,4       k       6:23 8:18       82:19 84:15,18       24:13,22 2         148:17,24       258:3,3       kill 16:6 82:18       25:11,15 2         149:3,11       kate 232:17       killing 259:3       27:6 28:1,3         kathleen 248:7       248:8,11,14,14       30:8 31:3 33:2       29:18,25 3         42:8 55:1       30:16,21 3         64:23 65:21       31:21 32:6	25 13 5:5
john         1:22 8:9         k         kids         17:1         24:2,4,5,7,           13:14 14:2,4,4         k         6:23 8:18         24:13,22 2           14:9,12 55:13         248:15 255:24         82:19 84:15,18         25:11,15 2           149:3,11         258:3,3         killing         259:3         27:6 28:1,3           162:16 176:13         kathleen         248:7         30:8 31:3 33:2         29:18,25 3           195:8 197:14         248:8,11,14,14         64:23 65:21         31:21 32:6	13 5:5
13:14 14:2,4,4       k       6:23 8:18       24:13,22 2         14:9,12 55:13       248:15 255:24       82:19 84:15,18       25:11,15 2         149:3,11       258:3,3       killing 259:3       27:6 28:1,3         162:16 176:13       kathleen 248:7       30:8 31:3 33:2       29:18,25 3         195:8 197:14       248:8,11,14,14       64:23 65:21       31:21 32:6	5:5
14:9,12 55:13 <b>k</b> 6:23 8:18       248:15 255:24       82:19 84:15,18       25:11,15 2         148:17,24       258:3,3 <b>killing</b> 259:3       27:6 28:1,3         162:16 176:13 <b>kate</b> 232:17 <b>kind</b> 29:6 30:7       28:11 29:7         185:24 186:1       248:8,11,14,14       42:8 55:1       30:16,21 3         195:8 197:14       248:8,11,14,14       64:23 65:21       31:21 32:6	
14:9,12 55:13 148:17,24 149:3,11 162:16 176:13 185:24 186:1 195:8 197:14 248:15 255:24 258:3,3 kate 232:17 kathleen 248:7 248:8,11,14,14 82:19 84:15,18 killing 259:3 kind 29:6 30:7 30:8 31:3 33:2 42:8 55:1 64:23 65:21 31:21 32:6	6.10
148:17,24 149:3,11 162:16 176:13 185:24 186:1 195:8 197:14   258:3,3   kate 232:17   kathleen 248:7 248:8,11,14,14     killing 259:3   kind 29:6 30:7 30:8 31:3 33:2 42:8 55:1 30:16,21 3 31:21 32:6	U.17
149:3,11   162:16 176:13   185:24 186:1   195:8 197:14     kate 232:17   kathleen 248:7   248:8,11,14,14     kind 29:6 30:7   28:11 29:7   29:18,25 3   30:16,21 3   30:16,2	3,10
162:16 176:13 185:24 186:1 195:8 197:14 <b>kathleen</b> 248:7 248:8,11,14,14 30:8 31:3 33:2 42:8 55:1 64:23 65:21 31:21 32:6	,9
185:24 186:1 195:8 197:14 248:8,11,14,14 42:8 55:1 64:23 65:21 31:21 32:6	0:5
1 105.8 107.14 1	1:10
	,13
245:3 277:3,17   246:16,21,22   73:17 77:16   32:13,15,1	6
join 6:17   keep 3:17 41:12   78:9 85:18   33:2,8 34:1	,24
251:16	
<b>joined</b> 3:6 85:18 105:3 104:6,19,25 36:24 37:1	,4
112:19	8:14
<b>joining</b> 262:5   113.4 144.17   132:19 140:10   38:24,25 3	9:13
joins 250:10 132:20 173.3 145:21 156:19 39:16,19,2	0,21
joint 63:12 246:19 270:5 157:17 200:15 39:23 40:7	,12
joints 85:8 keeping 5:18 204:13 208:22 40:23 41:1	2,24
jotted 205:25 7:24 77:5,5 211:20 213:10 42:8,10 43	:5
juan 107:16 88:9 136:10 216:17 218:3 43:20 45:1	3
263:5,21 265:3 200:15 220:14 227:17 47:17 54:1	3
judging 145:10 ken 6:15,15,16 228:25 232:4 56:24 68:1	5
judgment 42:9 6:22,22 7:1,4 232:11 235:21 69:12,17 7	0:19
210:12 <b>kendra</b> 281:3 238:24 239:1 74:20 75:2	,4
juice 54:8 281:19 241:4,12 76:23 78:6	
$\perp$ in lackura $23.5$ $\perp$ $26.15.21.8$	
<b>kept</b> 81:8 84:12 86:19 213:4 267:13 268:7 93:9,10 94	

# [know - large]

95:6 96:3,8	187:10 191:24	247:12,18,18	<b>12</b> 171:15,19,20
97:18 98:17	195:11 198:16	247:20 248:2	172:4
99:13 101:22	199:5,19	250:11 252:5	label 171:2,23
102:15,17,19	200:18 202:21	258:11,23,24	<b>labeled</b> 61:4,8
102:20,23	204:3,6,7,15	263:7,14 264:2	61:11 158:7
103:2,6,21	205:4,7,16,17	264:4,14,17	170:13 171:16
104:4 105:18	205:17 206:6	265:17 267:5	<b>labor</b> 32:21
105:22 106:5	206:13 207:12	267:17,20	lack 162:15
106:23 109:13	207:16 208:6	268:5,8 271:9	<b>laid</b> 186:7
109:22 114:12	208:13,16,20	272:25 273:2	<b>land</b> 7:8 64:12
115:9 116:6,15	208:25 209:5	273:19,21	64:12 95:23
116:16,22	210:11,25	274:5,7,8,10	125:7,20 222:7
117:15 118:14	211:8,13 212:8	275:16 276:15	225:23 226:4
118:20 120:14	212:13,14,17	277:13 278:13	226:12,16
125:6,12 126:1	212:24 214:5	knowledge	227:4,7,10
126:4,15,21,25	214:21 215:9	61:14 72:20	228:11 259:11
127:7 128:13	216:9 217:17	117:2 132:20	268:1,11,18
128:20,24	218:1 220:4	151:3 167:19	landlord 254:3
129:6,7,10	221:7 222:13	182:10 206:23	landowner
131:11,24	224:1,3,11	230:22 236:18	72:15
132:3,8,13,16	225:6,20	257:3 268:16	lands 45:16,21
133:3,8 134:2	226:11,12,13	<b>known</b> 52:20	255:14 261:8
135:3 136:15	226:24 227:18	140:13 180:16	lanexco 159:14
136:18,18	227:21,25	180:19	159:15,18
137:9,12	228:3 229:7	knows 29:18,19	language 50:20
138:25 143:13	230:15 231:8	<b>kyle</b> 266:18	51:25 189:13
143:15,22	231:12,22,23	l	238:3
144:3,8 145:6	232:10 234:8	l 4:5 10:16	lansfort 193:5
145:17,21	234:18 237:18	13:25 19:5	194:24 195:1
147:9,14,21	237:23 238:17	60:5 146:16,16	195:23
151:16 156:4	238:20,23	171:3,20,22	large 5:23
156:23 166:3	239:15 240:22	248:15 251:4	18:15 53:21
173:7 177:11	240:25 241:6	255:24 262:19	105:11 187:11
180:19 185:10	242:22 243:7,8	<b>1&amp;g</b> 265:18	234:8 255:8
185:17 187:5	244:4,10	203.10	

# [largely - ligon]

1 1 11 10	1 1 70 10	100 17 101 11	1 41 50 01
largely 11:12	leaking 72:18	188:17 191:11	length 50:21
50:13,20 257:8	74:20,22 75:4	196:16 219:3	lessee 125:20
larger 11:22	80:11 82:3,5	279:20	lessons 49:11
16:7 85:1,1	146:18 261:18	legacy 257:12	56:18 58:8
90:25 105:12	264:1	257:15	144:25 145:2
252:11	<b>leaned</b> 234:25	legal 29:9	<b>letter</b> 225:4,5,8
largest 15:10	learn 122:2	70:13 103:16	225:24
139:7	learned 15:8	103:19 106:7	<b>level</b> 48:16 95:2
<b>lasted</b> 208:2	16:22 17:20	153:4 164:13	153:15 180:20
<b>latch</b> 84:10	49:11 56:18	206:2,20 207:1	211:5 279:4
<b>late</b> 50:11	58:5,9 144:25	207:1,7,12	levels 14:22
161:2 215:6	145:3	208:10,16	102:11
237:12	<b>lease</b> 102:4,5	212:5,24 213:2	<b>lfc</b> 56:17
latest 51:4	268:4	214:3 222:21	liabilities 52:20
265:14	leaseholds	223:2,5,18	liability 52:13
<b>latino</b> 19:14	64:16	224:25 225:2,8	<b>liable</b> 96:15
<b>latinos</b> 19:13	leases 261:1	225:25,25	lieu 255:2
<b>law</b> 7:18 8:2	263:16 268:6,6	226:22 227:13	<b>life</b> 14:14 16:12
23:19,20 24:1	<b>leave</b> 19:21	228:8,13	17:14 18:10
24:14 37:4	24:22 36:18	229:21 230:1,9	41:10 111:16
45:23 52:1	38:15 81:7	234:25 235:9	158:9 234:19
135:19 266:19	98:19 110:19	258:20 281:19	241:24,25
lawyer 135:18	111:12 133:6	legally 105:23	242:19 243:5
212:2 226:25	260:22	229:17	276:18,18
227:2 238:22	leaving 20:8	legislation 45:5	lifelong 10:23
<b>lay</b> 186:13	253:23 261:24	legislative	lifespan 158:24
layne 3:21,24	lectures 17:7	35:25 44:20	lifetime 92:17
4:2,5,8,11,16	<b>led</b> 203:24,24	46:21	<b>light</b> 53:2 196:4
4:18 6:18	<b>left</b> 20:2 42:1	legislators 22:5	196:7,10
lea 72:13	67:25 81:9	44:24	<b>lighten</b> 175:10
<b>lead</b> 7:9 31:2	83:9 89:3	legislature 36:8	175:11
leadership 30:7	90:12 91:7,7	45:4 46:10	lighter 85:3
leak 80:8,14	100:20 127:18	59:7	lights 52:24
140:9 261:16	131:23 142:11	<b>lel</b> 73:9 75:10	ligon 250:22,22
	155:25 158:18		250:24 251:3,7

### [ligon - looks]

	I	I	
251:10,13	<b>listed</b> 194:1	256:9,10 260:7	103:9 106:6
252:19	217:12	lives 9:5	124:24 125:9
<b>likely</b> 25:10	<b>listen</b> 250:2	livestock 254:9	125:12,13,18
53:11 54:24	listened 258:17	<b>living</b> 5:18 6:3	127:13 142:12
72:23 89:22	274:10	18:8 55:17	228:1
likewise 42:17	listening	208:3,9 214:2	look 27:12 29:9
limited 57:13	257:22 259:12	214:6 260:11	29:22,23 44:15
57:13 113:6	269:7	271:10	52:14,23 53:8
231:5	<b>listing</b> 70:16	llcs 24:23	54:9 70:16
<b>limits</b> 233:22	littered 263:10	local 14:22	125:20 191:9
limping 7:24	littering 253:23	15:6 24:2	193:5 202:19
line 8:5 45:22	<b>little</b> 17:23	located 4:25	213:19 216:5
58:9,13 105:25	18:10 24:23	location 70:6	216:17 220:6
106:1 155:25	29:12,16 36:25	73:3,10 80:25	237:24
158:7 166:1	40:15 42:23	81:16,18 84:6	<b>looked</b> 78:1,13
176:16 183:7	59:19 67:13	103:3 139:25	129:18 147:11
191:16 192:9	71:15 72:20,20	146:20	215:12 217:19
193:24 199:21	81:13 84:23	locations 59:21	looking 27:10
199:22 238:7	91:12 97:22	71:18	31:21 41:21
273:6,6,11	104:5 105:12	<b>lodge</b> 161:10	54:7 73:20
<b>lines</b> 19:22	123:22 126:13	<b>log</b> 77:10	76:20 98:3
187:1 193:3,4	136:2 158:12	<b>logging</b> 62:23	114:24 142:10
244:19 273:5	159:10 189:4,8	62:25 63:9	145:9 171:18
<b>linkage</b> 267:24	189:15 196:11	84:3	173:20 175:24
<b>linked</b> 254:1	196:23 204:14	logs 88:17	176:1,10,15
<b>lisa</b> 13:14,17,19	208:22 213:11	<b>long</b> 9:16 11:11	177:6 181:23
13:21,24,24	215:16 225:11	43:18 73:24	184:13 185:5
14:3,8	225:12 228:21	82:12 83:6	187:1 192:8
<b>list</b> 61:7 153:16	229:9 235:18	97:25 158:9	202:8,12
207:10,13	<b>live</b> 24:3 247:9	206:14,24	208:18 212:11
209:11 211:2	248:22 254:12	208:17 212:13	219:3 233:21
212:12,16	255:14 258:25	214:17 263:11	239:7 273:10
213:1 223:9	259:1 263:5,8	271:8	273:12
233:15	<b>lived</b> 4:18	longer 44:14	<b>looks</b> 10:6
	14:13 253:9	66:13 67:22	91:10 105:10

### [looks - make]

	I	1	1
157:20 191:25	177:15 189:7	<b>ma</b> 11:24	<b>main</b> 31:7 66:7
193:4 219:16	189:12,14	<b>ma'am</b> 4:13	161:24 265:23
219:19 220:8	194:18 200:16	6:22	maintain 84:24
239:19 277:10	204:11 212:2	<b>madam</b> 42:22	86:22 117:13
loren 1:17 55:4	212:23 214:17	48:1,7 59:17	193:18
59:18 60:4,4,8	223:11 224:8	60:12 62:10	maintaining
62:10,16 112:1	225:18 234:12	88:25 94:16	86:4,12
117:8 134:20	234:18 236:2	100:2 121:19	<b>major</b> 61:17
148:1,12	238:18,18	134:16 135:13	70:22 144:10
153:23 158:15	240:11 242:10	146:8 148:16	majority 114:6
158:17 159:2,5	246:20 258:12	160:19 161:7	119:9
159:14 196:18	258:23,24	183:1 184:5	<b>make</b> 4:14 6:10
209:12 215:10	259:5 264:14	185:1,22	12:3 20:17
222:17 241:5	273:1 276:11	187:13 188:20	32:19,24 33:14
241:10 243:17	278:20	189:2,21	37:25 50:14
243:22 270:11	<b>lots</b> 204:19	190:11,16	65:18 67:3
loren's 153:14	210:19	201:1,7 202:19	75:17 79:11
los 7:14 260:5	louisiana 85:21	203:4 220:24	91:24 92:10,17
<b>lose</b> 23:10	<b>love</b> 17:11	245:13 266:12	93:19 104:10
<b>losing</b> 86:10	low 32:7 37:18	269:20 278:1	105:11 111:14
118:5	38:22 41:13	278:11 279:16	120:2 123:17
loss 16:11 18:4	56:9 110:16,16	<b>madame</b> 93:15	124:17 133:15
18:5	275:24	94:1 220:12	133:18,19
<b>lost</b> 11:17,18,23	<b>lower</b> 141:20	272:12 277:8	135:6 140:25
11:25,25,25	279:6	<b>made</b> 20:1	183:25 184:1,3
12:2,3,4,6,6,24	<b>lowest</b> 25:19	25:21 45:11	184:23 189:25
169:6 176:11	lump 228:18	71:3 73:10,11	219:17 222:21
<b>lot</b> 21:20 26:2	229:11	81:2 84:20	222:22 223:2
26:18 38:15	lunch 112:5	91:4 109:18	223:18 231:3
44:7 52:8	121:10,14	177:8 207:9	236:3 237:17
54:21 65:12	lung 263:22	210:12 216:24	237:21 244:23
79:19 98:17	m	258:18 268:2	256:19 258:22
103:1 108:10	<b>m</b> 4:5 10:5,16	279:20	258:24 259:5,6
114:2 153:2	19:5 250:18	magnitude	261:23 264:19
158:10 168:19	262:19	264:25	265:23 274:16

### [make - mean]

-			
274:18 275:8	251:17	175:25 176:17	material 32:10
makes 9:15	manager 63:13	177:3 178:17	84:13,19 85:7
95:19 214:24	152:23	178:22 179:1	86:6,11 90:14
214:24 252:1	managers	179:14 181:7	90:22 91:19
267:4	210:8	182:2,13 184:8	189:12,14
making 3:13	managing	187:20 198:6	materials
9:20 11:15	23:21 56:9	198:12 199:9	107:25 173:16
20:7 24:4	130:17	200:9 217:19	<b>math</b> 267:4
73:18 86:20	mandate 51:20	217:21 218:9	matter 21:8
110:7 111:15	mandatory	218:11 238:9	85:11 86:6
127:11,12	31:5	239:24 253:20	90:1 91:3
221:9 225:24	<b>manner</b> 58:23	272:1 273:25	93:10 98:16
246:14,16	64:24 65:4	mark 7:14 78:5	103:14 121:2
259:2	<b>manual</b> 30:12	<b>marker</b> 72:16	122:6 135:20
<b>manage</b> 231:16	211:19	72:23 73:4	138:23 150:5
231:18 232:8	manufacturing	74:19,20 75:3	matutes 18:25
241:24 242:19	252:12	76:12 79:7,19	19:1,3,5,8,11
243:5,25	march 44:21,22	87:24 92:1	19:12 20:23
managed 233:2	48:21	156:1	maxwell
management	marginal 25:7	marketing	134:23,25
7:11 17:8	25:22 27:7,11	14:17	245:6,8 262:7
64:12 85:14	27:17,20,22,25	markets 15:6	mean 22:4
133:7 152:16	28:6 31:17	married 17:1	23:18 29:6,17
152:20 153:11	32:4 33:11	maryland	35:22 37:22
164:13,15	34:5,7,11,17	16:20	39:1,8 40:21
165:13 182:6,8	35:3 36:17	massive 51:5	41:7,20 52:9
182:21 204:9	37:8,12 38:8	220:7	53:16 59:4
204:22 205:6,9	39:16 40:12,14	<b>master</b> 55:15	103:13 111:5
206:4 207:13	42:3 43:10	105:9 150:6,10	115:3 126:18
207:20,22	44:10 57:24	152:14 191:1	129:1 137:23
208:10 210:3	98:10,16,19	194:22 230:12	144:19 176:20
211:16 223:5	133:25 154:18	230:20 231:3	191:15 192:10
230:25 231:4	155:3 156:3,8	270:20 277:9	199:24 200:5
221.17.222.6	156 0 162 10	<b>matches</b> 238:17	200:22 209:3
231:17 232:6	156:8 163:19	matches 230.17	200.22 207.3
231:17 232:6 232:13 238:24	156:8 163:19 164:10 165:22	matches 238.17	210:14 214:16

### [mean - mindsets]

	I	I	I
228:20 234:18	206:5 207:20	206:3	257:12,16
237:14 241:18	207:21 210:7	<b>metal</b> 86:14	258:10,18
243:10,13	268:8	methane 22:25	259:5,9 260:5
269:25 274:18	<b>meets</b> 170:17	261:15,18	260:7,9 261:5
meaning	member 24:2	263:25	261:13 263:6
107:20 182:7	247:10,17	methodology	263:18 265:10
221:21 249:14	members 1:11	211:23	281:5
meaningful	15:6 48:8	<b>methods</b> 64:4,5	<b>mexico's</b> 7:6,8
57:15	210:12 258:9	metric 130:5,22	9:1 233:22
meaningfully	<b>memory</b> 215:6	<b>metrics</b> 219:24	247:11 265:3
58:16	219:10	mexicans	microhydro
means 11:25	men 249:3	257:20 259:3	7:15
59:4 102:3	mentality	mexico 1:2,5	<b>mid</b> 44:21,21
163:20 177:11	217:13,18,20	4:18 5:15,17	44:22
192:12,24	238:17 240:5	6:11 9:7 10:24	<b>middle</b> 48:21
meant 181:13	273:21	11:1,5 15:10	79:10 90:20
272:17 273:24	<b>mention</b> 146:18	15:14,22 16:1	migration
measure 103:8	mentioned	16:1,12,15	22:25
mechanic 71:14	67:16 100:22	18:17 19:12	<b>miguel</b> 112:12
mechanical	102:25 109:6	20:5,12 30:2	203:10
67:1,1 71:14	118:5 229:9	31:13 43:18	mileage 106:21
71:16 72:1	254:25	44:24 62:21	<b>miles</b> 53:2
mechanism	<b>merely</b> 110:16	63:23 65:1	military 251:23
263:14,15	117:17	69:24 72:14	<b>mill</b> 76:13
medicaid 252:2	mess 20:7,17	73:14 92:21	<b>million</b> 102:18
medical 14:21	29:16 79:21	93:5 100:23	146:23 261:11
medium 95:7	258:15,18,22	101:3 106:7	millions 18:11
<b>meet</b> 36:16	259:2,6 261:24	107:15 109:11	<b>mind</b> 88:10
199:24 213:1	264:9	119:9 123:15	190:3 209:1
227:11 230:7	messes 20:1,20	145:12 153:21	230:16
239:16	264:23	159:16 247:9	<b>mindset</b> 154:22
<b>meeting</b> 207:23	messy 235:16	248:22,25	156:2 157:8,17
207:23	met 152:25	249:16,23	168:17
meetings 80:20	203:25 204:24	251:25 252:13	mindsets
153:1 182:6	205:12,14,20	253:10 256:19	182:18

#### [mine - moved]

mino 17.11	mitigate 77.4	monthly 27.20	212.15 221.15
mine 17:11	mitigate 77:4	<b>monthly</b> 37:20 <b>months</b> 17:15	213:15 231:15
153:14 179:21	mixed 265:4		232:1,8,11,11
222:20	modern 213:21	44:25 49:22,22	233:1,4 236:15
minerals 80:18	modernization	49:24 50:4	271:6
233:18,24,24	247:11	103:6 206:17	moss 3:21,23
233:25	modernize 7:6	207:15 208:2	3:24 4:1,2,5,8
minimal 219:5	9:1,19 247:24	254:22 265:1	4:11,16,18
219:7 264:21	modernizing	montoya	6:14,18 9:9,10
minimize 75:13	19:16 255:2	254:25	<b>mother</b> 16:23
145:17	modifications	<b>moore</b> 135:5,10	258:13
<b>minor</b> 37:21	116:16 151:6,7	245:12,13	motivate 22:2
110:9	151:9	<b>morales</b> 10:3,4	motivated
minute 46:4	<b>moment</b> 30:24	10:7,10,15,19	267:16
47:14 74:5	93:23 172:13	10:22,23 12:10	motivation
189:5 190:9	<b>monday</b> 167:12	12:12,13,15,17	22:4 35:9
minutes 3:18	monetary	12:21,24 13:1	motivations
89:3 121:9	114:13	13:3,6,10,13	22:1
188:25 189:1	<b>money</b> 9:13,21	morals 249:5	<b>motor</b> 19:22
190:10 246:20	9:23 24:4	morgan 25:5	<b>mount</b> 76:2
278:3	65:13 81:17	241:19	mounted 75:25
mischaracteri	109:1 130:11	moriarty	move 4:25 5:5
162:6	258:24 259:5	248:24	8:13 13:12
miscommuni	<b>monies</b> 51:23	<b>morning</b> 3:1,8	41:16 54:15
191:12	96:20 255:7	4:17 7:4 8:15	80:25 85:22,23
misleading	monitor 73:7	8:16 9:9 10:22	91:17 93:18
171:8 185:15	147:8	16:18 21:16,17	95:5 103:20
missing 169:5	monitored	43:3,4 48:7	117:21 144:12
169:10 207:17	87:19	60:19,20 94:20	160:1 209:1
209:17	monitoring	112:11,13	215:19 250:20
misstate 195:22	75:17 87:6,9	162:1 169:2	252:12,20
misstating	256:15	216:20 217:1	moved 4:19,20
138:9	monitors 77:6	266:3 278:5	4:22 5:3 14:14
misunderstan	147:9	mos 203:21,22	16:21,24 17:2
144:6	<b>month</b> 17:14	209:16,25	17:15 251:14
	163:23	211:9,18	

### [moving - new]

<b>moving</b> 15:2,8	135:17 148:23	navy 5:16	234:16
54:18 59:19	148:25 149:9	near 24:3 99:4	needing 77:17
98:25 101:24	156:14 162:22	260:11 263:8	80:21
145:3 209:14	193:25 203:10	nearby 103:4	needle 54:18
256:13	246:7,14,25	147:11	needs 54:19
<b>mud</b> 82:18 86:3	247:1,8 248:13	nearly 131:25	104:14 198:22
multiagency	248:15,22	177:10 222:3	261:20
257:2	251:1,4,13	necessarily	negatively
multifarious	252:25 255:22	28:13 32:1	15:12
242:2	255:23 256:7	37:5 39:25	neglect 92:16
multiple 30:12	258:2 259:20	41:6,7 71:17	neglected 67:17
70:24 71:3	259:21,22	105:23 107:19	neighbor 234:3
152:25 178:12	262:17 263:1	109:15 111:3	neighborhood
204:1 205:15	266:18	274:16	15:4
206:5 234:2	<b>named</b> 108:19	necessary	neighbors
235:11	262:9	59:14 64:6	227:9
n	names 3:9	<b>need</b> 40:6 50:22	neither 281:12
n 4:5 6:23 8:18	13:23 157:13	53:7 66:22	nesting 103:4
8:19 10:15	206:18 240:3	87:4 107:22,25	never 58:13
14:5 60:5	nanasi 135:3	120:19 146:13	86:7,16 127:8
148:24 248:15	245:11	147:15 218:4	145:23 199:25
251:4 258:3	<b>nation</b> 11:17	222:19 223:2	217:1 235:5
259:22,22	263:13	226:9 227:5,14	258:17 264:10
262:19	national 11:10	235:12,13,13	264:12 268:15
<b>nabors</b> 197:14	11:16 26:10	237:18 239:18	nevertheless
197:21 198:16	53:6	239:21 245:22	252:3
198:23 199:5	natural 34:23	250:17 258:20	new 1:2,5 4:18
199:11	253:11	261:5 264:2,7	5:14,17 6:11
name 3:2,13	naturally	264:22 279:12	7:6,7,9 8:2 9:1
4:4,17 6:21	254:18	needed 72:6,24	9:7 10:24 11:1
8:17,17 10:12	nature 48:12	75:7 80:16	11:4 14:13
10:14,23 13:24	113:20 114:5	138:3,5 204:12	15:7,10,13,22
14:4 19:4,11	142:22	205:22 206:2,7	15:25 16:1,5
60:3 62:15	navajo 263:2,7	210:16,17,23	16:12,15 17:3
112:11 122:5	263:13	211:9,15,17,25	17:7,18 18:17

### [new - number]

19:12 20:5,12	<b>nine</b> 158:8	137:18 154:10	80:10,10 81:3
23:3,8 30:1	219:20	157:12 158:11	81:4,12,14,22
31:13 36:21	nitrogen 75:12	164:18 169:4	81:25,25 82:1
43:18 44:2,24	75:22	193:22 196:16	82:2,7 83:11
53:25 62:21	<b>nmac</b> 65:1,19	236:15	88:3,4,6,9,14
63:23 65:1	115:22	<b>noted</b> 58:22	88:19,21 89:8
69:24 72:14	<b>nmocd</b> 63:18	136:2	90:12 92:2,8,9
73:14 79:18	65:21 72:7	<b>notes</b> 49:14	92:21 93:2,8
92:21 93:4	<b>nmoga</b> 58:14	111:21	96:9 98:25
100:23 101:3	94:9 112:13	notice 1:7	100:5 101:25
106:6 107:15	121:5 203:11	158:17 209:14	106:21 107:21
109:11 119:9	<b>non</b> 44:10	223:12	109:5 113:10
122:3,3 123:15	64:22 92:19,19	notification	113:11,23
145:12,21	173:3 175:2	53:24 224:11	116:3 122:18
153:21 159:16	184:8 215:19	<b>notified</b> 80:7,7	127:7 128:9
167:23 220:15	215:24 267:1	<b>nov</b> 159:18	136:11 137:2,3
233:22 247:9	nona 259:15,18	206:24 212:7	137:6,13,14,18
247:11 248:22	259:21,25	213:8,12	137:20,25
248:24 249:16	260:3	november	138:6,17,17,20
249:23,25	nonproductive	281:16	138:22,23
251:25 252:13	219:19	nowadays	140:10,11,12
253:9 256:18	nonprofit	234:21,25	140:14,15
257:12,16,20	19:18	235:17	142:21 143:16
258:9,18 259:3	<b>noon</b> 121:10	nuanced	143:16,17,25
259:5,9 260:5	<b>normal</b> 92:11	247:18	145:7 146:15
260:7,9 261:5	92:11,19	number 3:9	146:16,22
261:13 263:6	156:18 225:13	21:22 26:10,11	152:18 155:16
263:17 265:2,7	normally 64:24	26:14,19,21	170:12 171:20
265:10,14	77:7 92:18	27:3,6,9 37:9	175:1 191:17
270:20 281:4	106:24 124:15	43:11 52:11	192:9 198:6
newer 117:25	126:23	61:5,8 70:11	207:5 254:19
nexus 24:19	<b>north</b> 5:1 62:19	70:12,17,18	265:13,15
nice 258:25	63:9	71:5,6,12,16	272:1 273:6,6
<b>night</b> 161:2	<b>note</b> 53:23	75:24 78:20,21	274:7 275:13
169:1 237:15	66:19 137:13	79:5,24 80:2	275:13,14,15

### [number - ocd]

075 16 070 0	1. 4. 02.04	1 • 1	06700000
275:16 279:8	<b>objection</b> 93:24	obviously	96:7,9 98:20
numbering	99:20 103:15	15:20 25:11	99:17 100:17
173:16	117:3,14	39:22 44:6	100:20 102:17
numbers 97:12	119:18 130:8	59:6 129:17	102:22 103:24
97:14 153:20	160:7 161:20	159:11	105:4,8 106:4
169:12,24	167:7 171:5	<b>oc</b> 97:7	106:9,23
170:6 172:8	174:17 178:1	<b>occ</b> 3:3 15:16	107:10 112:19
175:11 176:10	216:23 236:5	16:13 46:2,13	113:15,19
182:11,14	236:11 242:2	46:19 206:24	114:5,16,20
187:17 207:4	objectionable	239:6	115:6,9,10
219:9 236:17	186:8	occ's 42:10	118:5,6 119:1
238:25 273:20	objections 94:5	occasion	119:4,16 123:6
273:24 274:3	94:9 160:6,10	119:16	127:14,19
274:12	161:8,10,18,23	occurred 116:3	133:6 135:23
numerous 66:3	objective 42:2	116:4	136:21 139:2
66:4 79:8	<b>objectives</b> 57:9	<b>ocd</b> 2:3,4,5,6,7	141:19,23
84:20 192:18	<b>obligated</b> 64:17	2:8,9,10 26:23	142:1,25
240:19	96:16,18	29:13,18 31:6	144:24 145:3
0	obligations	32:16,21 33:5	145:14,24
o 4:5 10:15,16	64:15	34:13 36:9,10	147:7 148:17
13:25 14:5,5	observe 141:25	36:12 38:10	149:13 152:5,8
19:5 60:5	observed	41:7,23 42:4	152:13 153:21
146:16 148:24	142:24	48:14,19,24	153:25 154:2,4
202:5,9 251:4	observing	49:2 50:2,8,17	154:11 155:19
255:24 259:22	279:17	51:23 53:11	157:19 159:12
262:19	obstructions	55:7,16 57:12	159:21,25
oars 162:25	138:2	57:17 58:3,22	160:14 164:9
obey 24:1,14	obstructive	59:21 60:23	168:14 173:23
	260:15	61:4,8,11 62:4	181:24 187:16
<b>obeying</b> 23:20 <b>object</b> 160:8	obtained 212:7	62:16,17 64:3	190:22 191:18
170:1 182:25	obtaining	66:7 72:15	191:20,23
183:12 186:18	268:6	73:21 80:5,7	192:3,12,13,14
186:19 202:21	obvious 48:10	80:12,20,23	192:24,25
226:21 254:2	66:4	85:14,15 93:19	194:3 197:3
269:22		93:24 94:12	211:9,14 212:4

# [ocd - oil]

212:14,14	124:19 160:1	94:7,10,13,17	248:10,12,16
213:9 215:20	202:2 246:11	99:25 100:3	248:19 250:3,6
216:4,11	262:4 266:2	103:18 112:2,8	250:14,25
221:16 222:20	<b>offered</b> 226:24	117:5,19 121:7	251:5,8 252:18
224:4,13,21	offering 93:16	121:13,20	252:23 253:3,6
225:8 229:2,24	102:12 111:15	130:14,25	255:16,21
230:5,13 231:6	113:2 120:23	134:17,21	256:1,4 257:23
232:19 234:19	121:1 164:21	135:2,10,14	258:4,7 259:13
234:20,23	175:4	141:7 143:5	259:19,23
236:7,8 239:14	<b>offers</b> 265:4	146:3,8 148:3	260:1 262:1,13
240:17,25	<b>office</b> 64:12	148:8,14,17,19	262:16,20,23
242:22 244:16	72:15 95:24	149:1,4 160:5	265:20,24
266:24 268:11	125:7,20 222:7	160:11,19	266:13 269:20
268:15,25	225:23 226:4	161:7,16,19	269:23 270:4
269:17 273:15	226:13,16	162:8,17 167:9	272:9,13,14,18
275:21	227:4,7,10	171:12 174:19	277:4,18,22
ocd's 26:10	268:1,11,18	178:9 183:2,5	278:2,4,7,12,21
31:4 50:5	<b>officer</b> 1:10 3:1	183:14,22	279:1,14,16
51:15 55:14,15	3:2,25 4:3,6,9	184:5,11,24	280:8
55:17,22,24	4:13 6:13,20	185:2,3,23	official 63:25
56:8,13,14,15	6:24 7:2 8:7,16	186:9,15,21	247:13
57:1,8 58:5	8:20,23 9:25	187:13,14,23	officials 45:20
108:10 109:2	10:8,11,17,20	188:5,9,12,21	<b>oft</b> 56:17
149:19 152:19	12:11,14,22,25	188:22 189:2	<b>oh</b> 3:25 4:13,16
158:16 182:5	13:4,7,11,18,20	189:18,21	6:15 10:3,6
182:15 193:19	13:22 14:1,6	190:1,4,12,14	28:15 31:18
224:10 274:9	14:10 16:16	190:17 201:1,2	60:1 115:4
276:1	18:19 19:6,9	201:7,10,22	122:16 128:4
october 1:8	20:22 42:18,22	202:19,24	136:24 144:6
81:8 83:7	47:1,7,13,17	203:5 217:7	227:15 250:14
161:25 167:5	48:1,4,7 59:17	220:12,17,25	oil 1:3 5:23 6:6
167:13,18	59:24 60:6,9	226:23 242:6	6:9 7:21,25 9:2
281:4	60:13 62:11,13	245:4,10,15,21	9:17 11:3,4,9
<b>offer</b> 18:22	88:25 89:2	245:25 246:3	11:12,20 12:1
20:25 21:18	93:16,21 94:1	247:3,6 248:5	14:25 15:9,17

### [oil - operate]

15:19 17:17	98:7,24 99:14	196:10,22	<b>older</b> 120:6,15
18:1,2,12,15	100:2,10	197:6,10,17	127:22 157:18
19:17,22 20:3	101:24 102:24	198:10 199:2	215:2 235:3,16
20:15,20 39:25	105:6,14,22	200:7,17,20	235:17,24
40:1,5,11	107:9,14,20,24	202:10 205:18	260:14 265:7
47:21 62:20	108:17,22,24	206:15 207:13	oldest 115:6
65:5 72:21	109:4,10,17	208:24 211:18	213:19
90:14 95:11	111:4,11,19	215:18 216:14	<b>olsen</b> 159:7,8
115:15 159:3,9	113:9,19 114:4	217:7 218:14	omissions
163:20,22	114:20 115:5	218:18 219:13	24:14
164:1 168:1	115:13 116:25	219:24 222:4	once 9:4 39:4
179:10 200:5	118:14 119:4	223:18 226:11	39:14,17 40:23
217:15 252:1,3	119:13 120:4	228:16 230:11	43:6 54:24
252:5,11,15	120:22 123:16	232:22 233:8	83:9 88:22
253:17 257:6,8	123:20 124:6,6	233:12 236:1	99:16 100:19
258:10,12	126:1,6,11,21	236:23,25	107:7 141:2
259:2 260:11	128:1,4,9,17	237:22 240:8	170:1 178:2
260:18 261:8	129:7,11,22	240:12 243:10	207:7,12
263:10,15,18	131:14,22	245:21 248:8	227:17 242:25
264:1 265:2,4	133:13,15	248:11,14,21	ones 25:7
265:8,10,11	134:9,14	250:14 251:10	120:19 123:18
281:5	136:21 137:2	262:25 265:20	130:3 241:15
oilfield 147:4	140:6 143:21	265:22 268:10	<b>ongoing</b> 104:20
<b>okay</b> 6:19 14:1	151:10,20	268:20 270:15	<b>onward</b> 157:23
21:9 24:5,12	164:15 166:17	271:21 272:16	<b>open</b> 69:9
26:1,16 27:1	168:22 169:8	278:4	70:20 75:8
27:12 28:8	169:16 170:9	oklahoma	78:3 141:2
29:22 34:15,25	171:25 172:10	62:22	179:2 184:21
35:12 40:24	172:25 174:3,5	<b>old</b> 5:8 7:9 23:4	<b>opened</b> 69:10
49:22 61:19,23	174:22 177:13	23:9 72:16	207:14
62:4,8,15 67:5	178:16 179:9	79:12 120:7,20	opening 48:2
67:15 80:1	182:24,25	123:4 128:12	59:16 91:16
83:12 85:5	188:9,11 190:1	145:24 211:19	270:14
90:12 95:16	190:25 191:8	211:24 212:4	operate 30:1
96:2 97:17,19	192:7,21 196:9	235:3,22 259:2	97:4 100:25

### [operate - orders]

253:15	41:11 49:22,24	26:20,22 27:3	164:21 175:4
operated	50:3,6,11 51:3	27:15,16,23	183:8 243:9
100:23 193:6	53:15,23 55:10	28:1,2,4,18,21	244:3 249:16
operating 5:22	57:3,21 64:6	28:24 29:1,3	276:21
16:2,4 41:13	64:13,14,15,16	29:18 30:13	opinions 121:2
54:25 71:20	64:23 65:3,4	31:9,13,15	276:20
95:12 104:22	70:2,5 95:22	36:20 37:3,5	opponents
242:20 261:8	96:15,18 97:1	37:17,18 38:1	279:9
267:24	97:2,6 99:15	38:20 40:16	opportunity
operation 31:1	106:6,15 108:9	44:13 49:7	63:12 185:9,20
68:2,3 70:21	108:12,17	50:9 51:22	203:18 220:14
72:10 86:2	115:23 123:8	54:2,23 57:5	249:8,10 252:8
92:20 96:22	123:10,14,14	57:10 66:9	opposed 55:10
124:20 138:15	124:9,14,22,23	96:3 97:14	opposite 24:9
139:20	125:9,14,17,21	101:1 103:9	54:19 177:17
operational	126:24 127:15	104:20,21	opposition 12:1
63:20 69:20	142:4,6,13	109:8 115:15	opted 5:4
70:16 104:9	155:19 157:25	117:10,16	option 67:4
153:15	159:10 192:13	119:5,10 125:4	97:25 255:3
operations 15:5	197:18 222:19	125:5 200:8	257:17
16:6 63:14	222:23,24	216:3,3 223:12	options 7:13
64:24,25 65:17	223:14,15	224:5 225:15	40:23 57:4
66:10 68:5,13	224:20 225:14	230:9 235:6	<b>order</b> 23:7 33:2
70:24 71:2	226:2,6,14,15	239:14 253:19	48:3 115:4
81:6 83:10,11	227:5,5 229:23	253:25 256:15	159:2 170:18
92:8,11 107:12	240:19 241:17	267:2,18,22	192:22 212:19
112:24 117:11	243:14 268:3	268:4,23	212:20 213:19
119:25 256:17	268:17	269:17 270:17	224:24 235:5
operator 23:24	operator's	operatorship	235:10,10,11
29:14 30:4,6	32:10,17 34:11	126:16 241:22	262:8 279:13
30:11,17,21	50:1 56:10	opinion 43:25	orders 64:5
31:16 32:8,20	103:11 126:3	54:13 102:12	153:4,5 206:21
33:1,4 34:6,10	267:16	108:16 111:16	206:25 207:8,8
34:16 36:11	operators 22:9	120:23 130:4	208:11 211:20
38:14 40:22	23:19 24:13	130:21 147:15	211:24 212:8

### [orders - orth]

212:10,16,25	124:12 126:8	106:3 228:14	161:19 162:8
214:5,6 228:11	127:6 128:10	230:3 234:10	162:17 167:9
234:11,12,15	128:14 130:6	235:21 269:14	171:12 174:19
234:17,20,22	135:24 136:6	271:14	178:9 183:5,14
235:1,3,16,17	141:20,25	orphaning	183:22 184:11
235:22	142:10 144:23	22:12 24:24	184:24 185:3
ordinance	150:6,10	108:25	186:9,15,21
11:18	152:13,14	<b>orphans</b> 215:24	187:14,23
ordinary 261:1	154:3,5 159:2	<b>orth</b> 1:10 3:1,2	188:5,9,12,22
261:4	191:1 204:1	3:25 4:3,6,9,13	189:18 190:1,4
organization	205:8 210:4	6:13,20,24 7:2	190:14 201:2
19:14 210:21	215:6,19,19,20	8:7,16,20,23	201:10,22
oriented 25:18	221:20,21	9:25 10:8,11	202:24 217:7
original 56:16	222:12,14	10:17,20 12:11	220:17 226:23
57:19 115:16	223:4 227:23	12:14,22,25	242:6 245:4,10
118:24 154:12	227:24,25	13:4,7,11,18,20	245:15,21,25
172:6,12	228:7,9,19	13:22 14:1,6	246:3,7 247:3
182:15 200:13	229:12,18	14:10 16:16	247:6 248:5,10
231:11	230:7,12,21	18:19 19:6,9	248:12,16,19
originally 65:7	231:3,4,5,18	20:22 42:18	250:3,6,14,25
152:16 159:9	232:8,12,20	47:1,7,13,17	251:5,8 252:18
248:23 278:22	233:2,16,19,21	48:4 59:24	252:23 253:3,6
orphan 3:4	240:16,22	60:6,9 62:13	255:16,21
21:22 25:8,11	244:14,16	89:2 93:21	256:1,4 257:23
49:12 52:13	248:2 267:1	94:7,10,13	258:4,7 259:13
53:16 54:10	270:20,24	99:25 103:18	259:19,23
55:7,9,16 56:1	277:10	112:2,8 117:5	260:1 262:1,13
56:4 63:22,25	orphaned 20:8	117:19 121:7	262:16,20,23
64:2,19,22	22:19,22 25:14	121:13 130:14	265:20,24
66:22 92:12	38:15 43:8	130:25 134:21	269:23 270:4
96:14,14,20	44:2,3,6,7	135:2,10 141:7	272:9,14,18
98:8,9,13	48:23 49:5	143:5 146:3	277:4,18,22
104:23 105:9	61:1 66:2,25	148:3,8,14,19	278:4,7,21
122:21,25	92:16,19 98:12	149:1,4 160:5	279:1,14 280:8
123:5,18	98:12 100:16	160:11 161:16	

# [ourself - part]

oungelf 222.1	overleng 64.20	01110 O	04.5 00.11 10
ourself 223:1	overlaps 64:20	owners 29:1,8	84:5 89:11,18
outbuilding	overpower	29:19 30:14	89:23 90:21
73:6 79:6	84:23	87:10 253:21	pad 80:8,11
147:14	oversee 244:1	ownership 66:1	page 2:2 26:2
outbuildings	overseeing	66:3 127:7	166:23,24
72:17	130:17 135:23	owns 261:4	167:21 168:24
outcome 160:9	144:23	oxy 122:5,8	168:24,25
<b>outlay</b> 37:20	overseen 136:6	221:8	169:18 170:11
41:15	oversees	p	171:25 174:10
outline 89:16	195:18	<b>p</b> 248:15,15	183:16 186:20
outlined 142:24	overshot 84:10	<b>p</b> 248.13,13 251:3	187:6 197:11
outlook 265:4	oversight 63:6	<b>p&amp;a</b> 66:15	273:4
<b>outs</b> 109:13	63:20 104:20	68:12,14 70:5	<b>pages</b> 188:7
<b>outset</b> 178:8	124:11 130:9	70:7 72:16	<b>paid</b> 9:20
outside 72:17	242:23	73:4 75:3 79:7	<b>pain</b> 205:4,10
74:8 76:1 78:2	overstate 175:1		205:13,25
78:17,19 83:22	overviews	79:18 81:10,22	209:2,9
89:24 103:11	232:20	84:10 87:21,22	<b>pan</b> 21:21
103:16 114:25	overwhelming	87:24 90:4,19	paperwork
179:7 225:12	119:8	92:1 123:4	74:10
242:12	owe 257:13,20	128:12 138:12	paragraph
overage 51:22	owls 81:2,7,9	138:12,19	163:8 180:2
overall 16:14	83:5,9 103:4	139:19 140:1	parameters
72:2 156:19	103:12	140:18 145:22	163:12,16
198:21 243:9	own 32:24 36:3	147:16	164:3 165:20
244:4 273:23	36:15 50:13	<b>p&amp;as</b> 63:20	168:12 172:8
274:22 276:15	57:10 81:7	66:18	180:4,11
overarching	124:15 184:1,2	<b>p.m.</b> 21:1	pardon 42:22
211:8	213:4,4 216:10	121:12,12	parenthesis
overexaggera	218:6 225:14	162:1 190:13	169:5,10,14,22
277:11	owned 66:12	190:13 246:2,2	171:1 177:22
overlaid 158:6	193:5	280:10	177:24 178:7
	owner 29:14	<b>pa</b> 11:24	part 11:5 13:9
overlapping		<b>pace</b> 246:15	29:5 46:12
64:9	30:11,17	packer 82:8,22	
	199:22	82:25 83:1,25	131:11 177:2

# [part - perforated]

	I	I	
183:15 186:25	216:7 222:6	96:21,22 254:4	248:1 249:24
195:24 201:25	225:15 239:6	258:22,23	254:15 260:11
208:12 211:5	243:18 268:12	payable 50:25	260:16 264:14
227:1 230:21	268:13 270:10	51:6,11	279:23
231:1,3,11	270:14 279:19	paying 11:6	people's 45:9
232:10 234:8	279:20 281:13	247:17	percent 22:23
234:19 239:13	<b>partly</b> 141:14	payments 16:2	27:16,24 28:1
268:4,6	<b>parts</b> 144:16	17:23 18:14	28:4 30:22
<b>parted</b> 67:7,9,9	146:22	<b>pdf</b> 202:7	31:11,16 32:4
67:20,21 71:4	<b>party</b> 21:10	212:18 235:24	33:17 34:6,12
partially	124:9 225:24	pecos 1:4	34:16,16,20
150:11	226:18 228:6	<b>peltz</b> 1:14 21:6	35:2,2 37:3,15
participates	267:11,12,15	21:16 33:18,22	41:16,17,19
48:14	267:22 268:3	42:12,14,17,20	52:8,15,18,23
participating	268:16	43:3,13 46:17	52:25 53:4,7
48:8	pass 45:4,8	46:23,25 47:4	57:11 96:4,5
particular 38:3	200:25 259:10	47:8,11	97:16,16
67:24 68:9	passed 5:10	penalty 48:25	113:22,22
69:23 72:13	<b>past</b> 86:25	49:3	154:3,5 213:24
78:24 83:14	261:10	pending 160:9	216:10,11,12
92:7 127:5	<b>paste</b> 164:5	192:19	229:11,14
130:2 193:14	232:4	pennsylvania	236:11 252:6
253:17	pasted 166:5	22:20 62:21	256:21 260:21
particularly	179:18,21	248:23	268:22 269:1,3
15:15 48:12	<b>path</b> 46:21,22	people 5:20	270:16
particulate	104:6 105:5	9:20 19:25	percentage
138:23	<b>paths</b> 46:20	20:11 22:23	32:16 34:11
parties 47:14	pathways	24:3 29:25	103:10 111:7
48:8 51:22	228:22	45:18 53:1	113:19,21,23
161:1 164:19	patterns 51:1	58:21 66:14	113:25 114:1
165:1 167:16	<b>pause</b> 93:23	73:17 75:15	269:13,16
167:17 168:10	227:16,17	107:1 115:10	<b>perfect</b> 176:20
175:6 198:21	<b>pausing</b> 236:10	136:21 147:3	205:19
198:22 201:19	<b>pay</b> 9:12 19:24	153:16 194:2,7	perforated
201:23 203:2	22:14 41:13	208:4 246:10	78:18

# [perforations - placing]

perforations	55:3,21 57:1	60:24 62:16	239:22
89:12,14,18,22	57:19,19 59:13	phase 206:16	piece 35:25
, , ,	154:8,12,15	phoenix 5:1,1	132:16 144:12
<b>perform</b> 64:6 218:14	154.8,12,13	phone 8:12	
	163:17 164:6	262:5	pigsty 258:15
performed			pinpoint
230:6,8	164:12 165:4	<b>photo</b> 67:18	209:16
performs	165:16,20,20	79:6,9,10,17	pipe 70:24 71:4
106:23	166:4,6,8	photographer	pittsburgh
period 48:22	168:13,15	17:4,10	22:21
49:2 66:12	172:6,12	photographic	<b>place</b> 22:6 69:6
111:2 136:5,8	174:15 179:19	14:19,20	70:17 71:7
143:2 163:23	180:4,12 181:1	photography	75:7,9 76:8,10
219:20,21	181:3,6,24	17:6	76:11 77:6
periods 49:3	182:1 183:3	photos 79:5	78:7,14 84:22
157:24	184:10 185:8	122:3	86:2 88:20
permanently	185:16 198:12	<b>phrase</b> 109:21	92:2 99:12,16
65:5,5 115:15	199:10 200:13	110:3 180:15	106:7,8 116:13
251:24	200:14 216:22	physical 193:2	116:16,23
permian	217:12 218:12	physically	117:15 118:21
107:16	239:24 240:7	145:9 199:25	119:15 144:15
permission	241:18 242:22	<b>pick</b> 178:23	195:7 204:23
97:3 135:8	244:7 273:9,13	223:7	210:3 221:18
250:13,16	273:13 279:9	picked 49:1	224:16 231:12
<b>person</b> 127:9	petitioner	155:10,11	253:14 264:20
153:12 197:7	273:14 276:14	223:5	271:13
211:7 236:7	petitioner's	<b>picking</b> 247:25	placed 71:22
250:20 261:1,4	275:2	<b>picks</b> 124:17	74:11,12 75:12
personal 243:8	petitioners	picture 67:20	76:15 77:13
personally	58:10	pictures 82:15	78:15,18
11:16 174:13	<b>petra</b> 250:22,24	144:21	109:11
203:22	251:3,7,10,13	<b>pie</b> 173:24	<b>places</b> 78:21
perspective	<b>petro</b> 108:19	174:1,4,5	152:18 160:20
56:14 274:10	<b>petro's</b> 109:1	183:9,9,13,14	256:9
<b>petition</b> 46:7	petroleum	186:5,17,24	placing 75:22
46:14 48:15,17	15:11 55:6	188:3 238:2,7	77:14

# [placitas - plugging]

		I	1
placitas 256:8	91:6 92:3 95:7	87:1,5,15	126:2,8 127:6
256:13	96:24 110:2	88:24 92:7,18	132:1,2,5,6,10
<b>plain</b> 51:25	115:3 118:17	95:2 97:1,5	132:12 145:2
<b>plan</b> 79:1 80:22	125:2 138:9	100:5 105:5	145:14 147:20
80:24 204:17	148:23 149:9	106:12 107:22	153:20,21,24
210:22 211:1	151:16,24	108:3 110:4	154:3 159:3,9
<b>planned</b> 104:17	156:13 160:23	114:21 118:6	159:21 191:15
145:16	167:10,22	118:13,21	191:18,20
planning 101:3	175:18 179:24	119:3 120:19	192:3,10,12,14
<b>plants</b> 263:8,8	190:23 191:6	159:11 192:1	192:22 208:5
263:24	193:13 197:11	222:19,23	215:8,20,24
<b>plate</b> 74:18	201:6 246:4,6	223:9,14 225:1	216:3,3,9
platform 3:8,11	246:15,21,25	226:1,7,9,18	222:6 223:8
3:22 8:10	248:13 249:23	227:11 228:6	224:9,10,12,12
18:21 20:24	251:2 252:14	229:24 240:17	229:2,3,17
42:19 60:1	252:25 255:22	241:12 267:16	230:5 231:23
135:4 248:7	259:20 261:21	268:17	234:2 235:8
250:8,13 262:3	262:17 265:20	pluggable	236:7 237:2
266:1,7	267:6	105:18	241:15,17
<b>play</b> 67:7	pleases 201:20	plugged 42:6	266:24,25
207:15	pleasure 14:24	44:1 49:24	267:2,9 268:23
<b>played</b> 138:18	47:12 48:19	64:22 66:22,25	268:25 269:17
playing 54:3	245:1	71:11 72:5,13	270:16
<b>plays</b> 32:11	<b>plenty</b> 188:16	73:25 74:17	plugging 3:4
<b>please</b> 3:19 4:4	<b>plot</b> 187:19	77:18,22 79:3	22:15 23:4,7
6:6,21 10:14	<b>plug</b> 22:3,10	79:18 80:17	34:9 49:8,13
12:16 13:8,23	24:16 32:1	82:1 87:2 88:1	49:18 50:7
16:13 19:2,10	40:19,19,19,20	88:18 93:1,3,6	51:7,12 53:11
47:19 60:3,21	40:25 41:4,11	93:7 96:3,6,7	54:10 55:7,9
67:14 68:7	44:5,7,13	99:1,9,17	55:10,18,25
70:10 72:11	50:13 64:13,17	100:17 106:4	56:18 57:4,8
75:1 77:23	65:4,10 67:6	106:14,15	61:1 63:5,17
78:12 81:21	70:17 71:1	108:10 116:5,6	64:6,10,10,18
83:12 85:5	74:15 80:21	119:5 120:20	64:20,25 66:2
86:24 89:5,15	81:10 82:7	123:7 125:6,7	66:9,10 69:22

### [plugging - possible]

69:25 72:9	192:13,15,24	57:4 58:24,25	pollution
74:9 79:1	192:25 193:15	61:24 63:11	254:10 257:12
80:12,22,24,24	195:2,3,7	67:4,21 68:1	pool 41:1
83:10 92:13,19	196:2,24 210:4	69:16 77:21	poor 98:8
95:21 96:8,10	211:20,25	78:22 83:15	population
96:17 97:2,13	212:8,21 213:8	84:2,3 85:12	27:24
97:15 99:4	215:23 216:7	85:15 86:18	port 205:9
102:16 103:25	216:13 222:5	87:7 88:4	portfolio 28:2
104:21,23	222:10,16,25	89:20 90:16	30:22 31:19
105:24 106:2,5	223:11,16,23	91:3 97:10	32:4,15 36:13
106:20 107:4,6	224:10,24	104:7,8 106:8	36:21 38:13
107:11,18	225:5,14,15	109:22 110:6	50:2,4,12
112:23 113:6	227:24 228:2,2	110:10 111:9	portfolios
113:12 115:7	228:10,11,19	118:12 120:12	57:21
116:8 117:1,11	228:19 229:22	125:15 127:12	<b>portion</b> 132:6
118:22,25	241:17 246:8	167:10 202:1	241:20
119:9,16,25	254:1,8 260:22	209:9 227:21	portions 194:8
122:21 123:2,8	266:23 267:9	228:20 229:15	portraits 17:5
123:9,13,21	267:11,12	271:22	<b>pos</b> 114:24
124:2,8,12,15	271:19	<b>points</b> 109:18	223:8
124:21 125:4	pluggings	205:4,10,13	posing 53:2
125:18 127:16	114:7 154:2	206:1 209:3	position 34:18
128:7 130:2,4	229:23 267:18	265:23	50:12 66:24
130:18 131:19	<b>plugs</b> 50:17	poisoned 20:13	71:10 91:13
133:1,5 135:23	71:7 83:20	poisonous	95:10 104:6
136:6 137:5	95:23 107:22	147:3,6	136:1 279:12
138:3,6,14	113:20 114:5	<b>police</b> 73:15	possibility 25:8
139:4,5 141:19	<b>plus</b> 154:25	policy 21:22	41:18 166:8
141:25 142:11	248:23	113:3 223:17	possible 44:12
144:4,23	<b>po</b> 96:22 115:3	279:5	44:14 50:11
147:16 150:7	<b>pocket</b> 102:23	<b>poll</b> 216:9	52:3 116:25
150:22 152:7	<b>point</b> 9:10	pollutants	117:10 118:16
152:13 153:22	38:24 39:9,15	260:17	118:22 120:11
153:25 154:4,5	40:9 50:19	polluted 20:14	257:15
158:16 191:20	54:16 55:2		

# [possibly - pride]

47.7		171 10 1 1 1 1	11017171
<b>possibly</b> 142:10	practice 142:16	151:10 166:11	110:15,16,16
232:17	223:24	169:1 188:3	110:22 111:14
<b>post</b> 257:9	<b>pre</b> 65:20	201:16	138:21,22
261:9	precautions	preparing	199:21,25
posture 50:7	68:18	48:11 66:15	200:1
54:23	precise 137:9	95:1 101:13	pressures
posturing 40:2	precisely	189:24 231:2	67:11 87:6,7
potential 46:18	138:11	presence 22:18	88:8
111:16 182:16	predecessor	22:22 277:2	presumption
198:11 235:8	267:23	present 147:5	175:2
240:22	predicate	151:10,14,24	<b>pretty</b> 27:5,9
potentially	216:24	161:17,19	37:20 48:12
31:2 32:6 51:5	predict 69:20	164:6 213:16	64:1 136:23
51:6 140:12	140:17	242:9 265:13	137:1 139:20
154:9 232:24	predicted	presentation	144:16 147:11
239:4 274:23	265:5,7,16	42:23 50:22	152:7 169:9
potomac 16:19	<b>prefer</b> 62:12	presented 25:6	219:5 221:6
<b>powell</b> 49:10	67:16 95:17	26:17 34:22	277:9
56:12,13,22	preliminary	145:18 150:4	<b>prevent</b> 244:15
58:4 99:24	21:8	183:2 205:22	247:25
100:1 150:11	premature	276:14	preventer 75:7
152:24 164:16	161:9 183:20	presents 36:11	75:9 85:2
185:24 187:25	premises 97:4	president 4:24	prevents
189:8,10,16,19	premium 34:2	5:9	200:11
189:25 190:5	premiums	<b>press</b> 8:12 10:5	previous
201:17 211:7	254:15	21:2 250:17	125:14 157:5
236:13,15	preparation	262:5 266:6	previously
237:5,8 278:13	68:13 149:15	pressure 23:11	62:15 63:8
278:15,19	preparations	69:13 82:11,12	69:19 70:23
<b>power</b> 242:25	73:11	83:13,19 84:18	72:12 101:5
263:8,8,24	<b>prepare</b> 61:3,7	84:24 85:7,18	240:18
<b>powers</b> 242:17	61:10	85:18 86:4,5	<b>prices</b> 249:15
<b>ppm</b> 73:8	prepared 61:13	86:12 87:4,9	pricing 104:2
practical 11:19	145:4 149:16	87:16,17,19,20	<b>pride</b> 11:23
	149:24 150:3	90:9 110:9,11	

# [primarily - production]

primarily	80:2,3,5 88:15	proceeding	178:24,25
108:15 112:22	89:21 92:17	112:13 117:24	197:23,25
139:6	98:11,13 114:1	181:4 203:11	<b>producer</b> 15:11
<b>primary</b> 22:13	115:11 116:1	proceedings	producers
39:3 40:2,5	118:3 122:9	280:10 281:8	15:17,21 16:4
111:2,7 135:22	123:1,12	281:10	16:5
<b>principle</b> 261:7	127:23 139:7	proceeds	produces 25:10
<b>printed</b> 173:15	164:17 169:14	170:23	producing 7:25
printout 187:2	182:22 189:23	<b>process</b> 56:15	25:13,19 37:13
<b>prior</b> 106:8	211:11 214:4	124:10 206:14	38:22 39:3
107:9 112:22	220:1 224:5	223:6 224:5,15	56:10 77:3
140:9 141:23	228:13 230:16	225:8,12,22	128:18,22
152:1 201:17	236:14 241:2	226:10 227:10	142:16 156:22
211:1 223:6	242:5 249:22	234:7	200:11
224:16 232:11	271:2 273:24	processed	<b>product</b> 249:15
233:1,3 234:22	274:1	224:6	production
253:16 269:7	problem 9:16	processes	11:13 15:25
270:11,24	59:3,6 116:11	224:22 226:5	16:10 38:20,21
271:2	156:12 238:5	227:4 228:8	39:24 40:24
prioritization	253:21	268:19	41:10 44:12
131:15 132:23	problematic	processing	50:18,23 54:4
prioritize	56:20	152:6 222:18	56:1,5 90:10
114:20 115:6	problems 54:1	produce 39:13	111:2,12 129:1
prioritized	199:12 205:4	40:4 120:8	129:2,8 150:8
131:18	procedure	199:20,22	155:17,18
prioritizes	68:14 92:13	produced	156:5,9,19
131:16 133:5	141:1	15:20 20:10	157:6,19,20,21
priority 133:1	procedures	25:16 49:20	157:22,23
private 24:17	104:15 140:22	120:17 128:21	158:1,12 176:3
26:20,22 33:24	proceed 48:2	129:19 155:14	179:5 219:5,9
233:18,25	59:18 68:12	156:24,25	219:10,11,14
<b>proactive</b> 142:7	89:5 162:10	158:22 163:21	219:22 220:3,8
<b>probably</b> 38:16	270:6	168:1 175:16	220:11 260:11
66:13 68:5	<b>proceeded</b> 63:1	175:16,22	265:5 275:19
78:25 79:23		176:3 177:2,16	275:24 276:7

### [productive - pulled]

productive	271:3	256:12 257:21	proximity 79:7
58:23 154:25	projected 5:25	260:6 261:10	81:4
181:25 273:15	projects 39:1	263:4 274:2	<b>proxy</b> 32:15,22
<b>products</b> 15:1	55:14 152:10	278:22	<b>prudent</b> 23:16
249:14,16	152:12 195:10	proposing	23:17,24
professional	195:14,14,15	103:8 202:20	prudently
17:4,6 39:9	195:18 232:19	protect 7:7	23:21
56:9 58:23	257:3	11:17 22:13	<b>psi</b> 83:14 88:8,9
professionals	promises 45:8	75:23 255:13	public 1:1,7 3:5
14:21	promoted	257:14 261:22	18:22 20:25
<b>proffer</b> 201:9	152:9	protections	45:16,21 46:6
275:7	<b>proper</b> 169:21	255:11	48:9 127:14,23
<b>profile</b> 41:10	226:13 241:23	<b>protest</b> 22:3	129:20 157:14
275:19	<b>properly</b> 71:2	proud 249:12	158:23 162:24
<b>profit</b> 20:5,7	77:21	<b>provide</b> 34:1,8	245:22,23
259:7 260:19	property 22:19	62:6 131:8	246:9,11
261:23	254:5 261:7	161:14 175:9	247:10,17
<b>profits</b> 20:4	proposal 25:25	201:18 204:9	248:25 257:10
program 49:9	28:22 33:11	209:18 212:16	260:19 261:11
49:13 99:22	39:7 56:16	240:11 261:2	261:17 266:2
144:23 152:18	58:9,14	provided	278:8
205:9 210:4	proposals	101:15 142:22	publicly 127:19
215:6 231:6	103:25	149:17 155:19	published
232:12,20	proposed 1:7	166:22 167:5	65:20 116:20
233:3	11:2,14 16:2	167:11 194:3	<b>pull</b> 20:4 60:15
programmed	55:20 56:15	197:24 216:25	67:19 84:12
163:10 166:2	57:20,22	270:2 276:16	98:21 122:16
programs	101:18,19	provides 7:21	139:8,9 146:13
15:24 56:19	102:10 103:9	242:17	150:19,21
252:2	103:21 133:22	providing 11:5	152:20 153:2
progress 91:24	134:7 168:13	202:16	172:12 186:1
207:22	175:13 200:8	provision	187:8 190:22
project 88:13	219:25 241:19	244:14	218:19
149:14 193:25	242:16,21	provisions 22:9	pulled 69:6
204:2 212:15	243:1,2 244:1		

### [pulling - quick]

pulling 67:22	80:22 113:21	<b>query</b> 213:7	questions 21:20
144:15 271:24	116:15 118:21	235:25	33:15 42:20
271:25 273:7	177:24 184:17	question 4:12	46:24 47:3
pulmonary	184:22 187:5	21:25 30:8	52:6 56:21,24
260:15	195:10 202:18	35:5,11 38:14	95:4 105:24
<b>pump</b> 71:7	205:19 207:6	48:18 78:5	111:23 121:5
82:19 84:20	210:15,21	93:8 95:9	121:17,18
90:10 139:8	211:9 213:15	97:10,18 100:1	134:16,23,25
pumped 74:6	214:17 230:4	114:14 119:19	135:21 141:5
83:20 89:19	241:1,3 243:11	120:13 125:3	141:11 142:21
<b>pumping</b> 83:14	249:9 253:25	132:16,23,25	143:8 146:4,12
83:16	270:21 279:9	133:7,10	148:5 158:15
purchase 115:4	<b>puts</b> 192:5	141:15 143:13	158:20 162:14
<b>purple</b> 193:25	putting 28:22	143:18,22,24	178:3 182:23
194:25	37:1 39:14	145:11 162:14	190:10 196:23
<b>purpose</b> 209:24	40:17 204:17	170:3 173:12	197:7 203:17
243:11,13	210:6 211:18	175:18 176:5	204:9 216:18
purposes 8:3	214:9	176:14 178:19	216:21 218:20
111:16 118:22	$\mathbf{q}$	178:21 180:8	220:16,19,22
210:23 221:11	qualified 133:4	186:24 200:23	228:17 230:11
231:18	qualify 33:8	210:20 214:12	236:2 242:4
<b>pursue</b> 45:22	134:5,11	216:25 223:20	243:19,23
208:10 226:17	quality 66:5	226:25 228:13	244:23 245:6,8
268:5	126:16,22	230:4,15	245:13,17,20
<b>purview</b> 59:6,9	141:18 210:11	232:16 236:6	266:11,17,22
131:12 232:25	256:15	237:9 242:3,7	270:13 272:8
<b>purvis</b> 187:17	quantify	242:13 243:19	272:20 273:1
<b>push</b> 256:14	132:19	244:12 271:22	276:23 277:5
pushed 85:8	quarter 57:25	274:21 276:9	277:15,19
91:2	277:23	276:12	279:5,8,20,24
put 11:19 22:5	quarters 216:2	questioning	280:6
24:23 25:23	<b>queen</b> 157:16	21:10 94:14	quick 66:18
28:13 31:3,5	218:25 275:24	112:5,7 121:15	73:7 89:8
35:14,19 38:2	queen's 275:16	183:7 280:3	201:8 216:23
38:5 40:6 42:4			

### [quickly - really]

	I	I	I
quickly 95:5	<b>random</b> 145:15	257:1 258:1	273:5
110:24 111:21	range 130:1,18	259:1 260:1	readers 181:20
<b>quite</b> 17:14	ranges 111:7	261:1 262:1	<b>reading</b> 153:3,4
32:9 47:6	rank 147:21	263:1 264:1	154:13 179:20
68:18 120:9	rankin 1:19,24	265:1 271:1	180:19 199:5
153:1 158:9	121:1,18,19,22	278:12 280:2	206:20 212:17
174:20 212:14	122:1,5 123:1	rankin's	212:23 214:17
<b>quote</b> 7:20 64:3	124:1 125:1	187:16	238:23
65:2 163:9,19	126:1 127:1	rate 52:9,23,25	readings 73:7
163:20 180:10	128:1 129:1	53:5,8 141:24	73:10 75:11
r	130:1,16 131:1	264:13 271:14	<b>ready</b> 59:17
<b>r</b> 10:16 19:5	131:5 132:1	rather 7:24	90:18 190:18
60:5 148:25	133:1 134:1,15	65:8 91:10	real 66:18 73:6
159:2,8 247:2	134:22 136:2	101:11 123:10	94:23,23
247:2,2 251:3	185:3,4 220:22	198:7 227:1	211:14 212:3
253:2 258:3	220:24 221:1,2	231:1	235:16 238:20
259:22,22	221:7 222:1	<b>reached</b> 3:5 9:5	249:13
262:19	223:1 224:1	137:19,20,24	realize 251:25
rabbit 102:21	225:1 226:1	250:9 268:15	realized 72:21
railroad 249:11	227:1 228:1	reaches 18:7	89:21 180:1
rainbow 35:19	229:1 230:1	reaching	really 13:1
98:22	231:1 232:1	268:12	16:22 22:3,17
raise 8:12 21:2	233:1 234:1	react 88:24	24:18,19 25:20
21:3 189:5,10	235:1 236:1,21	reactivated	26:4,18 29:5
236:5 249:20	237:1 238:1	66:21 98:15	34:20 35:16,16
259:15 262:5	239:1 240:1	reactivation	35:22 37:23
266:5,6	241:1 242:1,5	66:20 67:3	39:9,11 41:23
raised 248:24	242:10 243:1	97:23 98:1	48:15 54:12
	244:1,21 245:1	<b>read</b> 26:24	97:25 99:13
250:21 262:10 278:12	245:5 246:1	163:12 165:10	145:23 157:1
	247:1 248:1	181:21 195:1	157:21 209:21
raising 257:17	249:1 250:1	198:17 199:13	231:6 232:11
	251:1 252:1	200:13 207:8	235:9 239:16
77:10 88:16	253:1 254:1	234:21 239:21	240:13 241:8,9
169:13,24 181:19 184:7,9	255:1 256:1	240:4,7 241:18	247:10,12

# [really - regarding]

248:4 264:2,17         recertified         recorded         127:3         redirects         25:1           276:17 279:18         recess         47:16         73:22,25 74:2         reduce         22:19           279:19         121:12 190:13         78:4 88:23         50:3         reduce         22:22           279:19         246:2         116:7 126:22         reduce         22:22           59:1 98:13,13         140:3         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           108:25,25         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13           148:9 170:22         reclaiming         9:11         recover         226:10         reference         95:21           247:16         43:19 51:9,17         51:19,23 57:13         recover         52:2         reference         95:21           12:7         100:17 106:14         recoverable         57:8 110:17         references         107:8           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovers         23:25         referred         150:25           192:18 267:20         57:18         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           197:14 199:7         recomplete         57:8 111:17         refine         242:13           receive deved do:1,4		.404 7	<b>7.7.40</b>	70 . 077.4
276:17 279:18         recess 47:16         73:22,25 74:2         reduced 22:22         50:3           realtime 145:10         246:2         116:7 126:22         reduces 41:2         reduces 41:2           reason 47:9         recitation         127:3,8,13         recess 15:3,13         140:3         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           108:25,25         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13         reference         95:21           148:9 170:22         reclaiming 9:11         reclaiming 9:11         recover         52:2         reference 95:21           244:15 225:25         reclaimation         recover 52:2         reference 95:21           247:16         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         recoverable         references           reasons 78:21         100:17 106:14         recovered         107:8         references           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery 39:1,3         reference         119:20 183:9           revalutal 93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         referes 109:24           recall 43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined 155:22           receive 26:23         recomplete         54:25 207:21         refined 242:13         refined 155:22           received 40:1,4	248:4 264:2,17	recertified	recorded 127:3	redirects 255:1
279:19         121:12 190:13         78:4 88:23         50:3           realtime         145:10         246:2         116:7 126:22         reduces         41:2           reason         47:9         recitation         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           59:1 98:13,13         140:3         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           125:11 131:5         44:4         212:6 213:4,25         211:13           148:9 170:22         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13           148:9 170:22         reclaiming         9:11         recover         76:21           24:15 225:25         reclaiming         9:11         recover         52:21           24:15 225:25         reclaimation         recover         52:21           24:15 225:25         reclaimation         recover         52:2         reference         95:21           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         109:2         references         107:8           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovers         23:25         referring         111:1         11:1         11:2         11:2         11:2         11:2         11:2         11:2         11:2         12:2         referring         11:1         11:		75:17		reduce 22:19
realtime         145:10         246:2         116:7 126:22         reduces         41:2           reason         47:9         recitation         127:3,8,13         refer         119:21           59:1 98:13,13         140:3         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           108:25,25         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13           125:11 131:5         44:4         212:6 213:4,25         21:13           148:9 170:22         reclaiming         9:11         7ecover           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23           resonable         100:5,10,10,16         7ecoverable         7ecoverable         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         references           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovered         reference           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         54:10 111:7         refers 109:24           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         recommended         54:25 207:21         refering         111:1           10:7         39:21 157:25         7:8         158:717!9         185:16 217:5           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:717!9         1	276:17 279:18		73:22,25 74:2	reduced 22:22
reason         47:9         recitation         127:3,8,13         refer         119:21           59:1 98:13,13         140:3         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           108:25,25         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13           125:11 131:5         44:4         212:6 213:4,25         211:13           148:9 170:22         reclaiming         9:11         7ecover           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         7ecoverable           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         7ecoverable           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         7ecoverable           12:7         100:17 106:14         7ecovered         107:8           12:7         100:17 106:14         109:2         references           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         58:15         7ecovered         119:20 183:9           197:14 199:7         recommended         57:18         54:10 111:7         refers 109:24           recall 43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined 155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5	279:19	121:12 190:13	78:4 88:23	50:3
59:1 98:13,13         140:3         129:17 130:11         170:22 173:18           108:25,25         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13           125:11 131:5         44:4         212:6 213:4,25         reference 95:21           148:9 170:22         reclaiming 9:11         265:10         131:7           214:15 225:25         reclamation         recover 52:2         reference           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23           247:16         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         57:8 110:17         107:8           reasons 78:21         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         recovered         references           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery 39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal 93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers 109:24           recall 43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine 242:13           receil 43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined 155:22           receive 26:23         record 13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           receive 40:1,4         15:2 125:14         reform 21:23         reform 21:23	realtime 145:10	246:2	116:7 126:22	reduces 41:2
108:25,25         reclaimant         158:23 159:10         211:13           125:11 131:5         44:4         212:6 213:4,25         reference         95:21           148:9 170:22         reclaiming         9:11         recover         52:2         reference         95:21           214:15 225:25         reclamation         recover         52:2         referenced         49:13 119:23           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23         references           226:7,16 227:7         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         recoverable         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         references           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovers         23:25         referring         111:1           192:18 267:20         58:15         recowery         39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers 109:24           reval         49:13 119:23         referring         11:1           recovery         39:1,3         78:18         54:20 207:21         refined         155:22 <td>reason 47:9</td> <td>recitation</td> <td>127:3,8,13</td> <td><b>refer</b> 119:21</td>	reason 47:9	recitation	127:3,8,13	<b>refer</b> 119:21
125:11 131:5       44:4       212:6 213:4,25       reference       95:21         148:9 170:22       reclaiming       9:11       recover       52:2       reference       95:21         214:15 225:25       43:19 51:9,17       57:14       49:13 119:23       reference       49:13 119:23       reference         226:7,16 227:7       43:19 51:9,17       57:14       49:13 119:23       references       100:5,10,10,16       57:8 110:17       107:8       recoverable       recovered       references       107:8       recovered       references       107:8       recovered       recovered       references       107:8       recovered       recovered       recovers       23:25       references       references       references       references       107:8       recovered       recovered       recovers       23:25       reference       111:1       107:8       recovers       23:25       references       references       references       references       109:25       reference       150:25       reference       150:25       reference       150:25       reference       150:25       reference       23:15:11       recovers       23:25       reference       150:25       reference       150:25       reference       150:25       recovers       23:25<	59:1 98:13,13	140:3	129:17 130:11	170:22 173:18
148:9 170:22         reclaiming         9:11         265:10         131:7           214:15 225:25         reclamation         recover         52:2         referenced           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23           247:16         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         references           12:7         255:2,9 256:16         recovered         references           155:10 156:6         255:2,9 256:16         recovered         references           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery 39:1,3         238:15           revelutal 93:16         58:15         recommended         recovery 39:1,3         refereing 111:1           192:18 267:20         recomplete         recovery 39:1,3         refine 242:13         refine 242:13           receil 43:18         57:18	108:25,25	reclaimant	158:23 159:10	211:13
214:15 225:25         reclamation         recover         52:2         referenced           226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23           247:16         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         100:5,10,10,16           12:7         100:17 106:14         57:8 110:17         107:8           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         references           155:10 156:6         recommendat         recovers         23:25           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery         39:1,3           192:18 267:20         58:15         recovery         39:1,3           192:18 267:20         recommended         recovery         39:1,3           192:18 267:20         recomplete         54:25 207:21         refine         242:13           192:19:7         recowers         23:1         158:5         refine         242:13	125:11 131:5	44:4	212:6 213:4,25	reference 95:21
226:7,16 227:7         43:19 51:9,17         57:14         49:13 119:23           247:16         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         100:5,10,10,16           12:7         100:17 106:14         57:8 110:17         references           reasons 78:21         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         referring 111:1           155:10 156:6         recommendat         recovers 23:25         referring 111:1           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery 39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal 93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers 109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine 242:13           109:25,25         recomplete         54:25 207:21         refine 242:13           109:25,25         recomplete         58:9,13 153:10         refine 242:13           109:25,25         record 13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           receive 26:23         record 13:9         176:17 178:12         239:23           receive 40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           receives 152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem 51:15         reform 21:23           receives 152:8         157:14 187:5         237:3         refuse 125:19	148:9 170:22	reclaiming 9:11	265:10	131:7
247:16         51:19,23 57:13         recoverable         references           reasonable         100:5,10,10,16         57:8 110:17         references           12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         referred 150:25           reasons 78:21         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         referring 111:1           155:10 156:6         recommendat         recovers 23:25         referring 111:1           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery 39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal 93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers 109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine 242:13           109:25,25         recomplete         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined 155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         58:9,13 153:10         reflect 157:1         reflect 157:1           10:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         185:16 217:5         reflect 157:1           receive 26:23         record 13:9         176:17 178:12         239:23           received 40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           receives 152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem 51:15         reforms 21:23           receive 49:4 <t< th=""><th>214:15 225:25</th><th>reclamation</th><th>recover 52:2</th><th>referenced</th></t<>	214:15 225:25	reclamation	recover 52:2	referenced
reasonable         100:5,10,10,16         57:8 110:17         107:8           reasons         78:21         255:2,9 256:16         recovered         referred         150:25           reasons         78:21         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         referring         11:1           155:10 156:6         recommendat         54:14 58:3,11         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers         109:24           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine         155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           receives         15:28	226:7,16 227:7	43:19 51:9,17	57:14	49:13 119:23
12:7         100:17 106:14         recovered         referred         150:25           reasons         78:21         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         referring         111:1           155:10 156:6         recommendat         54:14 58:3,11         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         58:15         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers         109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine         242:13           recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         176:17 178:12         239:23         reform         21:23           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         178:13         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2	247:16	51:19,23 57:13	recoverable	references
reasons         78:21         255:2,9 256:16         109:2         referring         111:1           155:10 156:6         54:14 58:3,11         recovers         23:25         119:20 183:9           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers         109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine         242:13           recower         26:23         recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         176:17 178:12         239:23           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         178:13         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recently         63:4 </td <td>reasonable</td> <td>100:5,10,10,16</td> <td>57:8 110:17</td> <td>107:8</td>	reasonable	100:5,10,10,16	57:8 110:17	107:8
155:10 156:6         recommendat         recovers         23:25         119:20 183:9           192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers         109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine         242:13           199:25,25         recomplete         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined         155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         59:21         158:7         158:7         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         redirect         141:9         145:20	12:7	100:17 106:14	recovered	referred 150:25
192:18 267:20         54:14 58:3,11         recovery         39:1,3         238:15           rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers         109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refine         158:5           109:25,25         recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         158:5           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         157:14 187:5         237:3         reforms         22:1,2           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         223:15,21         redirect         141:9         145:20         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16	reasons 78:21	255:2,9 256:16	109:2	referring 111:1
rebuttal         93:16         58:15         54:10 111:7         refers         109:24           197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined         155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5         refuse         125:19           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         223:15,21         redfern's         265:1         regarded           129:13,14	155:10 156:6	recommendat	recovers 23:25	119:20 183:9
197:14 199:7         recommended         recurring         refine         242:13           recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined         155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         39:21 157:25         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reform         21:23           receive         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5         22:5           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         redirect         141:9         145:20           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect         141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding         11:2	192:18 267:20	54:14 58:3,11	<b>recovery</b> 39:1,3	238:15
recall         43:18         57:18         54:25 207:21         refined         155:22           109:25,25         recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           142:21 249:5         64:14,23 95:22         178:13         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5         22:5           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20         145:20           128:18,21         223:15,21         redirect         141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding         11:2	rebuttal 93:16	58:15	54:10 111:7	<b>refers</b> 109:24
109:25,25         recomplete         red         52:24 53:1         158:5           110:7         39:21 157:25         58:9,13 153:10         reflect         157:1           receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           142:21 249:5         64:14,23 95:22         178:13         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5         22:5           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect         141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding         11:2	197:14 199:7	recommended	recurring	<b>refine</b> 242:13
110:7       39:21 157:25       58:9,13 153:10       reflect 157:1         receive 26:23       record 13:9       158:7 171:9       185:16 217:5         received 40:1,4       30:12 59:12       176:17 178:12       239:23         142:21 249:5       64:14,23 95:22       178:13       reform 21:23         receives 152:8       115:2 125:14       redeem 51:15       reforms 22:1,2         recent 49:4       125:21,21       51:21 236:8       22:5         55:18       157:14 187:5       237:3       refuse 125:19         recently 63:4       209:24 217:5       redemption       regard 139:22         63:18 112:19       221:11 222:24       51:14       145:20         128:18,21       223:15,21       redfern's 265:1       regarded         129:13,14,19       224:17 234:16       redirect 141:9       269:13         158:11 159:12       268:17 279:10       143:3 272:11       regarding 11:2	<b>recall</b> 43:18	57:18	54:25 207:21	refined 155:22
receive         26:23         record         13:9         158:7 171:9         185:16 217:5           received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           142:21 249:5         64:14,23 95:22         178:13         reform         21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5           55:18         157:14 187:5         237:3         refuse         125:19           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect         141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding         11:2	109:25,25	recomplete	<b>red</b> 52:24 53:1	158:5
received         40:1,4         30:12 59:12         176:17 178:12         239:23           142:21 249:5         64:14,23 95:22         178:13         reform 21:23           receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem 51:15         reforms 22:1,2           recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5           55:18         157:14 187:5         237:3         refuse 125:19           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard 139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20           128:18,21         223:15,21         redfern's 265:1         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect 141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding 11:2	110:7	39:21 157:25	58:9,13 153:10	reflect 157:1
142:21 249:5       64:14,23 95:22       178:13       reform 21:23         receives 152:8       115:2 125:14       redeem 51:15       reforms 22:1,2         recent 49:4       125:21,21       51:21 236:8       22:5         55:18       157:14 187:5       237:3       refuse 125:19         recently 63:4       209:24 217:5       redemption       regard 139:22         63:18 112:19       221:11 222:24       51:14       145:20         128:18,21       223:15,21       redfern's 265:1       regarded         129:13,14,19       224:17 234:16       redirect 141:9       269:13         158:11 159:12       268:17 279:10       143:3 272:11       regarding 11:2	receive 26:23	record 13:9	158:7 171:9	185:16 217:5
receives         152:8         115:2 125:14         redeem         51:15         reforms         22:1,2           recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5           55:18         157:14 187:5         237:3         refuse         125:19           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20           128:18,21         223:15,21         redfern's         265:1         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect         141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding         11:2	received 40:1,4	30:12 59:12	176:17 178:12	239:23
recent         49:4         125:21,21         51:21 236:8         22:5           55:18         157:14 187:5         237:3         refuse 125:19           recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard 139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20           128:18,21         223:15,21         redfern's 265:1         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect 141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding 11:2	142:21 249:5	64:14,23 95:22	178:13	<b>reform</b> 21:23
55:18       157:14 187:5       237:3       refuse 125:19         recently 63:4       209:24 217:5       redemption       regard 139:22         63:18 112:19       221:11 222:24       51:14       145:20         128:18,21       223:15,21       redfern's 265:1       regarded         129:13,14,19       224:17 234:16       redirect 141:9       269:13         158:11 159:12       268:17 279:10       143:3 272:11       regarding 11:2	receives 152:8	115:2 125:14	<b>redeem</b> 51:15	<b>reforms</b> 22:1,2
recently         63:4         209:24 217:5         redemption         regard         139:22           63:18 112:19         221:11 222:24         51:14         145:20           128:18,21         223:15,21         redfern's 265:1         regarded           129:13,14,19         224:17 234:16         redirect 141:9         269:13           158:11 159:12         268:17 279:10         143:3 272:11         regarding 11:2	recent 49:4	125:21,21	51:21 236:8	22:5
63:18 112:19       221:11 222:24       51:14       145:20         128:18,21       223:15,21       redfern's 265:1       regarded         129:13,14,19       224:17 234:16       redirect 141:9       269:13         158:11 159:12       268:17 279:10       143:3 272:11       regarding 11:2	55:18	157:14 187:5	237:3	<b>refuse</b> 125:19
128:18,21       223:15,21       redfern's 265:1       regarded         129:13,14,19       224:17 234:16       redirect 141:9       269:13         158:11 159:12       268:17 279:10       143:3 272:11       regarding 11:2	recently 63:4	209:24 217:5	redemption	<b>regard</b> 139:22
129:13,14,19 224:17 234:16 <b>redirect</b> 141:9 269:13 158:11 159:12 268:17 279:10 143:3 272:11 <b>regarding</b> 11:2	63:18 112:19	221:11 222:24	51:14	145:20
158:11 159:12   268:17 279:10   143:3 272:11   <b>regarding</b> 11:2	128:18,21	223:15,21	redfern's 265:1	regarded
	129:13,14,19	224:17 234:16	redirect 141:9	269:13
263:9,9 279:11 49:5 50:6 57:4	158:11 159:12	268:17 279:10	143:3 272:11	regarding 11:2
	263:9,9	279:11		49:5 50:6 57:4

# [regarding - represented]

279:9	relating 3:3	remediating	replacement
regardless 9:13	relation 231:13	132:5	187:22 201:19
15:19 46:10	relationship	remediation	replowing
139:11 200:3	267:23	51:10 56:4	280:4
regards 28:21	relative 138:17	64:7 120:20	replugged
64:10 124:13	141:16	144:11 254:1,8	159:12
<b>region</b> 27:12,14	relatively 78:8	remediations	<b>report</b> 56:17
28:9,16,17	137:7	55:25	197:4 221:14
registered	release 84:17	remember 27:2	221:16,17
34:10	99:24 191:18	130:14 199:14	229:25 232:3
registration	192:1,5	remind 20:5	265:4,7 281:7
30:4	released 87:8	209:23 223:1	<b>report's</b> 265:14
regular 91:4	90:21 99:9,10	<b>remote</b> 94:21	reported 72:24
regulated 59:1	99:17,18	remotely	155:18 156:4
regulations	191:15 192:10	148:18	232:13 233:1
11:3,14 22:13	192:12,23,24	<b>remove</b> 76:12	reporter 12:8
99:2 107:5	reliable 238:8	removed 74:18	12:15
117:1 224:19	relied 182:8	75:3	reporting
249:20,25	235:9	rent 254:4	152:21
regulators 22:5	relook 274:4	renters 254:5	reports 205:6
37:23 45:17	<b>rely</b> 66:11	<b>rents</b> 261:1	206:12 211:20
162:24	196:3 252:10	reorganize	211:25 231:21
regulatory 63:6	relying 195:8	100:12	232:5
63:20 64:11	255:4	<b>repair</b> 128:13	represent
227:1 234:4	remain 57:5	200:3	18:11 122:5
reimburse	273:23	repaired 80:14	135:19 162:23
51:16	remained 5:5	82:5 90:15	194:19 203:11
reiterate 92:5	remaining 68:4	repairing 123:4	221:8 266:19
rejected 192:17	133:9 188:8	repeat 95:7	representation
192:18 196:17	241:24	175:18 176:14	193:19 198:11
196:19	remarkable	repeated 70:25	representative
rejoin 21:6	53:10	repetitive	7:19 87:25
related 49:1	remarks 48:2	279:24	110:17 254:25
51:2 58:6	remediated	rephrase	represented
162:3 281:13	79:20	118:17	35:22

# [representing - reviewing]

representing	research 43:22	responsibility	retiring 256:24
48:19 112:12	145:16	36:25 135:23	retread 221:9
represents 49:3	reservation	152:11	retrieve 71:3
repurposing	263:7	responsible	83:2
7:19	reservations	19:25 20:16	<b>return</b> 21:4
request 95:23	80:3	38:10 42:5	86:14 121:10
106:1 166:22	reserve 279:25	96:10,13	163:11 180:11
234:3	reservoir	130:11 153:12	253:15 266:9
requested 97:1	110:20	153:15 194:2	278:22
require 3:15	resident 10:24	194:15 195:19	returned 16:25
53:10 61:16	256:8 260:4	196:14 253:12	reveal 181:20
117:7 124:18	resolve 59:16	256:20 264:9	revenue 11:7
140:23 256:14	248:2	264:19	18:5 24:21
261:10	resolved 243:3	responsive 50:9	34:23 49:21
required 35:8	resource 24:1	rest 20:17	50:4 57:6
138:12 141:1	212:13 253:12	261:24 264:4	252:1,11
206:13 211:19	256:18	restate 100:11	review 94:24
226:9 234:14	resources	101:7 125:2	101:21 109:8
256:16 257:9	57:13 256:20	<b>result</b> 15:20	111:20 130:10
260:20	260:19	166:21 170:24	162:4,15
requirement	<b>respect</b> 110:14	172:7	185:20 189:12
89:7	117:16,22	resulted 181:15	189:17 203:19
requirements	119:13 233:23	184:6	211:19 214:5
9:2 51:25 90:5	254:5	results 43:16	227:19 234:10
90:7,17 213:1	respiratory	57:2 77:15	238:21 241:22
227:12 255:3	260:10	182:4 184:20	242:18 243:4
257:2	respond 46:14	resume 83:7	243:25
requires	183:19 279:7	149:22 152:3	reviewed 95:9
115:14	responders	160:13 190:9	101:14,18
requiring	73:15	rethought 6:1	133:23 134:11
64:13 253:25	response 56:17	retire 5:12	206:10 212:1
254:3	57:18 117:6	<b>retired</b> 5:8,15	234:14,17
<b>rerun</b> 275:4	responsibilities	17:9 251:22,23	reviewing
rescue 255:5	196:5 256:24	retirees 5:19	103:25 106:20
		18:7	109:5 141:25

### [reviewing - room]

154:23 196:19	93:21 97:8,20	220:17,18	32:22,25 34:17
234:22 237:16	97:21 98:21	221:22 222:2,7	34:23 35:4,17
237:24 238:3	99:3 102:24	223:17 224:18	36:12,13,21
241:20 256:25	105:22 106:18	225:1,13 226:3	37:18 38:13
revised 48:3	112:8 113:4	227:25 228:6	41:1,2,14 42:9
101:19 194:4	115:25 121:3,7	229:4,8,12,19	42:10 53:3,10
200:14	123:7,16,23	230:7 231:7,19	57:20,21 69:17
revisit 279:22	125:1,10,19	233:14,23	109:24 145:17
rework 120:8	126:3,9 127:22	235:20,21,23	riskiest 115:6
reworked	128:1,15,19	237:3,14	risking 33:3
120:16	129:18,19	239:25 240:9	risks 147:22
<b>rides</b> 36:1	130:25 131:12	240:17 241:2	<b>rito</b> 256:11
<b>rig</b> 68:12,12,20	131:19 132:20	241:14,25	<b>rm</b> 135:7
68:20 71:18,22	134:12 135:7	242:6 243:11	<b>road</b> 239:15
81:1 83:10	137:12 147:23	244:21 249:17	robust 56:25
84:24 85:1,22	148:19 160:5	250:10,20,22	<b>rock</b> 157:16
85:23 90:4,25	160:11 161:14	258:21 266:8	218:25 275:16
144:13,15	161:16,21	266:24 267:11	275:24
209:8,10,15,18	162:8 164:7	270:4 271:10	rocks 82:14,15
215:15 232:13	167:20 168:9	272:9 278:21	82:16,23 86:16
<b>rigged</b> 85:2,24	168:22 169:13	279:1,14 280:8	138:24
209:8	175:25 176:22	<b>righty</b> 47:20	<b>rods</b> 67:8 139:8
<b>right</b> 4:9 8:13	178:9 184:11	148:8 246:5	<b>role</b> 32:11
10:3 21:4	184:24 186:21	277:22	46:19 103:24
23:16 24:11	187:12,23	<b>rigs</b> 81:10,19	124:6,9 131:12
25:3 28:11	188:5 189:18	91:5 209:6,13	152:11
31:25 32:2	190:4,14 191:4	215:10 259:2	<b>roles</b> 152:12
33:16,18 37:11	192:21 193:3	<b>ring</b> 52:16	romero 7:20
37:25 38:20	194:13 196:10	<b>rise</b> 25:16	55:22 56:6
39:15,19 40:9	196:11 197:6	249:14	277:24 278:8
44:17 46:7,9	200:2 201:22	rises 25:20	<b>romero's</b> 278:2
47:7 48:6	202:24 203:13	<b>risk</b> 25:17,20	<b>room</b> 3:11,22
52:16,19 53:12	203:23 204:13	28:2 30:5,22	6:16 42:7
54:7 57:6,24	216:4 217:12	30:25 31:19,22	127:1 247:19
60:21 78:5	218:14,21	32:5,7,12,15,19	258:15

#### [rosa - saying]

~~~			4 70 4 74 5 7
rosa 55:22	rulemaking 1:7	rusted 69:5	159:4 241:15
roswell 256:10	7:6 9:1 20:19	259:1	263:5,21 265:3
<b>rotten</b> 72:22	45:22 46:2,7	S	<b>sand</b> 82:14,23
<b>rougher</b> 215:16	46:19,22	s 1:5 4:5,5 6:23	86:16 91:20
roughly 216:8	101:14 167:17	10:15,16 13:25	sandoval
216:10,11,12	168:11,13	19:5,5 105:18	256:14
229:14 269:3	200:8 230:21	170:16 247:2	santa 1:5
<b>routine</b> 113:20	231:2,11	253:1,2 262:19	256:10
114:6,8,10	241:19 242:16	sacks 74:10,11	satisfaction
routinely 147:4	<b>rules</b> 3:15 7:7,7	76:15 87:1	90:5,6
276:5	9:19 15:17	sacred 263:3	satisfied 90:17
<b>row</b> 162:25	19:17 49:16	sacrifice 264:3	satisfy 77:16
171:20	50:2 51:4	264:5	89:6 206:12
<b>ruining</b> 254:13	52:13 54:17	safe 72:9	saturation 40:1
<b>rule</b> 37:1 48:21	79:4 99:7,14	safeguards	40:2,5
49:15 56:15	101:18,20	12:6	saturday 15:6
115:14,17,24	102:11 103:9	safely 65:10	<b>save</b> 81:17
117:23 119:21	103:13,21	68:19 79:13	122:9 259:8,9
119:23,24	116:12,15,22	144:12,17	276:23
134:7 154:21	117:15,16	safety 75:18	saw 73:4 90:20
164:21 165:11	119:14,19	77:6 143:14	101:16 196:17
165:18 168:18	133:22 219:25	144:3,7,8	259:15
175:5,13 177:3	224:18 246:16	145:10	<b>sayer</b> 121:16
179:4 181:14	247:12,24	saint 1:5	220:19
182:7,7 185:12	255:13 261:22	sale 241:6,9	<b>saying</b> 27:14,19
189:13 198:21	<b>run</b> 40:23 67:8	sales 17:8	27:21 28:12
198:25 199:2	84:10 97:12	sample 271:25	32:14 33:23
238:22 239:8	170:7 179:11	sample 271.23 san 69:23 70:11	34:15,17 35:12
239:13,19,21	187:16 235:25	98:3 101:6,8	37:9 52:23
241:20 243:1	274:4	105:16,16,25	67:5 171:22
244:2,5,15	<b>running</b> 181:13	105:10,10,25	174:13 177:7
256:13 257:21	181:23	108:11 123:1,6	177:14 206:11
260:6 261:10	<b>rural</b> 260:9	124:25 128:6	207:24 212:25
263:4 274:3,22	261:18	128:20 131:7	227:18 274:15
		139:14 158:18	
		137.14 130.10	

### [sayre - seeks]

sayre 10:2,2	269:21	searching	122:3 127:11
247:1,1,5,8,8	scottsdale 5:1	173:12 178:22	133:14 146:19
says 105:25	16:21	206:21	148:21 151:18
106:1 115:22	scrap 217:24	second 15:10	151:22 153:7
163:19 165:21	218:2	17:10 33:2	151:22 133.7
166:1 179:16	scrape 207:4	50:16,16 55:12	156:18 176:6
185:16 193:25	scratch 235:22	57:7,12 91:7	176:12 185:12
196:17 209:7	screen 13:14	91:12 163:7	185:19 188:24
235:11 258:19	60:15 105:6	168:23 169:17	189:3 190:8,24
265:2	153:8 160:22	172:15 173:13	191:16 193:24
scale 53:21	178:12 181:17	176:16 187:11	195:1 197:13
scales 219:8	218:23	188:23 201:5	199:8 206:18
scary 144:20	screw 267:6	251:18 273:7	210:3 215:14
scatter 187:19	script 163:10	secondary	216:17 218:22
scavenger 77:4	165:6,7,22	111:8	219:4 220:18
scenario 69:18	166:2,18 167:3	seconds 85:11	231:25 232:2
70:8 74:24	167:5,12,18	91:3	235:20 238:16
88:20,21 89:16	168:7 169:2,3	section 34:6	242:1 250:12
154:22 168:12	169:25 170:7	78:3 90:2	262:9,9 269:23
181:14 239:2	170:14,20	194:13,16	274:19 275:5
265:10	171:2,15,17	sections 276:13	277:24 279:25
schema 25:18	174:15,24	security 11:10	280:7,9
scheme 53:25	179:12 180:15	11:16 254:3,6	seedlings 17:13
153:8,9	180:17,25	261:3	seeing 105:10
scholarships	181:3,13,19	<b>see</b> 3:7 9:17	144:20 146:22
249:6	183:8 184:6,9	13:14 15:25	161:3 172:18
school 5:4	186:3 202:5	18:9,25 24:16	177:6 196:15
16:24 52:17	<b>scroll</b> 193:12	26:10 44:16	196:24 211:16
schools 11:8	196:4	47:18 60:1	230:25 240:4
18:5 248:25	<b>seal</b> 78:19	69:1 70:12	275:17
scientist 7:14	84:21 87:2	74:4 76:16	seek 52:3 54:14
<b>scope</b> 53:4	sealed 90:8	77:25 78:1,16	seeking 50:6
113:1 114:25	<b>search</b> 213:10	78:23 89:3	199:1 273:14
130:23 208:20	213:11	91:2 104:1	seeks 12:1
210:21 225:13		105:13 121:16	

### [seem - showing]

	T	I	I
seem 173:20	sentences 181:4	sets 15:16	135:6 246:22
177:8 185:7	210:1	167:6	250:21
187:9 267:20	separate 29:9	<b>settle</b> 50:10	<b>shell</b> 106:1,5,6
seemed 9:18	65:6 229:5,6	settlement	159:3
178:13	separated	50:14 159:20	<b>shift</b> 10:5
seems 9:2 25:1	251:18	208:11,12,13	250:18
53:5 185:15	september	<b>seven</b> 4:18	shifted 189:7
187:24 189:7	77:19,20	70:18 265:16	shiprock 263:6
253:18	sequential	several 15:3	<b>short</b> 139:15
seen 41:24	170:18	70:3,15 83:20	143:2 245:22
58:13 66:23	<b>series</b> 240:18	246:10	shortages
86:16 88:9	242:15	<b>severe</b> 154:20	254:15
91:22 105:19	serious 144:16	260:12	shorthand
105:19 124:1	<b>serve</b> 185:17	severely 67:18	281:8
155:23 168:19	served 161:1	shaded 28:8	<b>show</b> 23:19
207:25 260:9	169:2 252:7	shale 23:8	70:1 81:24
<b>self</b> 91:17 218:6	service 5:16	<b>share</b> 20:3	153:19 154:8
<b>selling</b> 158:10	63:1 101:1	60:15 105:6	155:13 156:16
158:13	143:23 162:24	151:15,18	156:21 166:23
<b>senator</b> 254:24	services 12:4	203:1 264:25	175:4,5,8,21
<b>send</b> 225:23	63:12,16	shared 81:18	177:16 181:14
senior 5:9	serving 19:14	166:20 262:7	187:3 197:22
seniors 6:4	251:22	sharing 60:14	229:1 240:5
sense 9:15 32:4	session 3:5	160:22 172:17	241:4 243:12
95:19 114:10	18:23,23 21:1	<b>sheet</b> 150:10	243:16 265:12
214:25 254:2	21:1 44:20	152:17 153:6	274:1 276:17
256:19	45:1 246:10	177:15 186:4	<b>showed</b> 25:12
sensible 9:3	266:3,3	193:17 194:22	27:3 143:16
<b>sent</b> 167:15	<b>set</b> 15:16 87:15	202:16 206:2	154:20 166:21
217:3	114:21 118:6	207:3 208:6	173:23 179:5
sentence 36:9	118:13 119:3	209:16 211:15	187:19 206:4
163:7 180:2,5	163:12 165:20	271:3,4,16	showing 34:22
180:10,23,24	168:12 180:4	<b>sheets</b> 152:19	79:6,10 144:1
181:21 273:16	180:12 201:6,8	sheila 3:19	153:8 155:20
	276:6 281:8	12:20 13:8,11	156:10,11

### [showing - slide]

157:2,17 158:3	158:19 191:11	simplistic 65:8	six 17:15 44:25
159:5 167:14	241:15 271:20	<b>simply</b> 12:2	254:22
171:25 177:10	sidebar 167:7	50:11 155:20	<b>sized</b> 255:6
178:5 207:22	sidetracked	simultaneously	<b>sizes</b> 49:8
241:9 243:13	31:8	81:10	sketchy 74:1
274:13,15	<b>sierra</b> 158:25	single 28:5	<b>skewed</b> 157:20
275:15	<b>sign</b> 166:15	231:16 257:2	skews 219:19
<b>shown</b> 26:19	signature	<b>sir</b> 102:24	slated 133:4
176:6	281:18	106:18 111:24	<b>slide</b> 21:22
shows 35:15	<b>signed</b> 166:9,14	119:1 134:8	25:12 61:21,22
43:22 91:12	179:12 181:6	144:22 146:1	67:14 90:12,20
137:6 154:24	205:21 206:11	149:10 190:20	90:24 91:7,9
158:20 168:14	significant 11:7	191:3	91:12,19 92:1
180:24 181:24	41:15 53:2	<b>sit</b> 53:12	95:20 98:22
193:7,8 198:3	56:3 76:21	<b>site</b> 68:14,20	119:23 122:15
219:4,13	84:5 91:11	81:19 143:15	122:17,18
238:25 239:1	significantly	145:10 191:15	126:14 143:16
273:12	66:6 72:3	191:18,24,25	143:16,16,25
<b>shut</b> 11:25 12:2	<b>signing</b> 180:22	192:1,3,5,6,15	145:12 152:1
81:1 83:6,8,18	<b>signs</b> 156:11	192:19,19,23	153:18,19
84:25 85:12	similar 70:14	196:8,14	154:6 155:21
87:4 89:20	70:14 124:11	<b>sites</b> 144:4	156:13 157:4
90:8 103:6	139:16 140:2	208:8	158:14 173:23
110:12 220:1,4	143:15 145:17	sits 239:25	174:6 176:6
256:17	147:4 156:14	situated 46:3	201:13,18
shutdown 83:5	156:15 157:17	situation 69:9	202:1 218:20
<b>shuts</b> 199:23	158:24 177:19	77:8,9 86:21	218:23 228:24
sibling 234:4	187:18 192:11	96:23 124:8	228:24,25
sic 91:3 160:17	231:8 234:24	225:3 226:12	238:15 239:17
265:12	<b>simple</b> 163:9	228:4 231:4	240:10,12
sick 259:2	180:15 210:2	248:2 276:4	241:1,3,13
<b>side</b> 56:10	239:13 247:20	situations	242:14 243:2
62:11 66:19	<b>simpler</b> 234:21	124:2 243:6,7	243:11,22
78:5 104:1,4,9	simplify 32:23	<b>sivley</b> 191:16	275:13,13,13
106:20 156:15			275:15

### [slides - specifically]

		I	
slides 21:21	87:10,11 90:3	172:25 173:3	<b>south</b> 19:20
60:14,16	91:9,14,22,25	176:14,21,24	southwest
112:17 131:9	<b>soaring</b> 254:14	186:22 191:12	22:20
149:25 150:13	<b>social</b> 53:3,10	193:3 198:5	<b>space</b> 89:25
150:17 151:15	<b>soils</b> 20:13	203:12 206:14	speak 58:4
151:18 155:9	<b>sold</b> 14:19	221:15 227:15	106:9 111:9
197:11 242:15	157:12,14,15	233:10,13	124:3 164:16
274:7	158:8,11,21,25	237:12,13	185:24 220:14
slightly 173:17	159:7,8,15,16	238:13,14	224:2 246:15
<b>slips</b> 69:3	159:19 241:10	249:5 258:16	250:9 251:12
slow 193:13	243:21 244:11	272:15 278:11	253:9
<b>slowly</b> 104:7	solely 255:4	<b>sort</b> 35:9 91:20	speaker 160:8
sludge 20:11	solutions	113:15 132:4	speaker's 246:6
small 5:2,20,23	281:19	142:19 156:11	speaking 14:2
17:2,19,20	<b>solve</b> 59:2	157:25 218:15	108:18 247:16
18:16 19:19	<b>solvent</b> 226:15	267:10,23,24	256:8 260:4
78:9 110:10,22	228:4	<b>sorting</b> 169:1	263:2
253:19	somebody	237:14,15	<b>speaks</b> 183:23
smaller 6:4	194:15 195:9	<b>sorts</b> 39:23	263:3
15:21 16:3,6	243:20,21	<b>sought</b> 236:8	special 55:14
252:3	somewhat	236:19 237:3	149:13 152:10
<b>smell</b> 72:22	65:12 98:11	<b>sound</b> 44:16	152:11 195:10
smelling 72:22	<b>son</b> 258:10	103:19	195:13,14,15
<b>smells</b> 72:18	<b>son's</b> 249:10	sounded	195:17 232:19
<b>smith</b> 262:12	<b>sons</b> 14:16	217:23 267:8	specialist 55:6
262:15,18,19	<b>soon</b> 25:14 73:1	sounds 41:3	60:25 62:16
262:22,25	76:11 82:10,20	45:3 95:22	152:6
263:2 265:22	<b>sops</b> 205:2	99:25 115:18	specific 53:22
265:25	<b>sorry</b> 4:1,16	221:24 234:7	55:6,19 152:24
<b>smoked</b> 264:10	12:8 13:13	275:17 278:6	155:10
264:12	33:13 44:21	source 66:7	specifically
<b>snub</b> 91:14	116:9,18	92:23,23 96:21	50:6 56:20
snubbing 85:17	119:22 136:17	231:17	57:18 111:1
85:20,22,24	143:18 144:6	sourced 255:8	152:25 154:11
86:1,2,7 87:8	147:12 151:5		200:18 242:14

# [specifically - state]

275 1	204 10 200 25	204 11 210 7	00.05.00.0.14
275:1	204:18 209:25	204:11 210:7	80:25 82:9,14
speculate 117:6	230:13,14,21	stakeholders	83:10,15 88:12
speculation	231:3 238:2,4	194:19,20	151:19 162:2
117:4,7	270:20,21,25	204:25	195:3 215:5
<b>speed</b> 75:18	271:7,9,13	<b>stance</b> 241:8	234:22
speeding 52:24	277:10	<b>stand</b> 59:18	starting 48:3
<b>spell</b> 3:12 4:4	spreadsheets	165:25 255:12	63:19 73:11
6:21 10:9,12	150:4 216:22	standard 64:2	220:7 232:12
10:13 13:23	<b>spud</b> 70:14	142:15	273:11
60:2 148:22	158:9	standards	<b>starts</b> 208:19
221:15 246:13	<b>spur</b> 197:15,17	54:14 79:1,4	<b>state</b> 1:2 3:12
246:24 248:13	197:18 198:18	standby 108:1	5:14,21 6:7
251:1 252:24	217:17,19	<b>stands</b> 198:12	7:19 9:15 11:6
255:22 259:20	<b>spurs</b> 217:18	star 8:12 21:2	14:22 15:7,10
262:16	squeeze 54:8	262:5,8 266:6	16:4,8,9,15
<b>spent</b> 14:18	<b>sr</b> 221:13	<b>staring</b> 181:17	17:7,18,19
15:3 16:19	ss 170:16	<b>start</b> 4:10 7:3	19:12 21:23
64:21 86:9	st 52:25	10:21 14:11	22:14 23:20
212:2,23	stability 68:8	39:4,8,15,17	24:17,19 26:20
261:12	68:21	48:1,9 63:21	26:22 27:4
<b>spike</b> 220:11	<b>stable</b> 156:19	70:20 76:12	36:12 37:10
spilling 20:8	<b>stack</b> 79:14	79:22,23 82:20	38:23 41:1
<b>spills</b> 192:1	staff 7:12 59:22	118:20 138:6	42:11 45:23
<b>spoke</b> 269:16	85:14 147:7	144:15 152:1	46:20 64:12
sponsored 7:19	204:5 205:1,3	189:23 208:25	92:10,25 93:4
<b>spot</b> 222:22	206:5,7 207:2	212:17 221:12	93:6 95:23
240:9	209:2,9 210:3	223:10 230:14	96:20,21 102:7
spreadsheet	210:7,12,15,19	247:6 248:19	104:24 116:8
55:16 105:9,10	211:9 279:6	251:8 253:7	116:14 123:13
105:19 150:6	staffers 210:5	256:5 258:7	123:15 125:7
152:14 163:11	<b>stage</b> 54:3	260:1 262:24	125:20 126:10
171:3,3 174:2	stages 74:4	273:6	131:25 143:23
191:1 194:8	78:8,9	started 17:10	145:13 149:9
195:25 201:15	stakeholder	48:20 73:17,20	152:22 153:20
203:21,23	58:6 153:1	75:5 77:14,20	191:22,23
		,	

#### [state - suazo]

192:9,16 204:8	58:8 251:14	stone 276:6	strongly 19.13
222:7 225:23	statewide 102:4	stone 270.0 stop 39:3,14	<b>strongly</b> 18:13 256:12
226:4,12,16	104:2	83:7,21 170:19	structurally
227:3,7,10	stating 113:24	172:17 196:9	59:2
228:11 233:17	115:18		structure 49:15
233:17,22,24	station 251:22	<b>stopped</b> 44:2 128:22,24	211:8 249:2
247:23 248:3	statistical	128.22,24	structured
252:10,15	113:15	storage 7:22	253:18
253:13,16,24	statistics 270:1	8:4	struggling
256:23 257:5,8	status 34:7	store 7:17	205:11
257:15 261:11	35:3 37:13	stored 212:6	stuck 67:7,9
265:12 268:11	40:14 193:8	<b>stories</b> 18:10,11	70:24 71:3,24
268:13,18	196:18,21	<b>storing</b> 7:12	83:1 84:4,12
270:17 271:15	200:9	stranded	studio 17:7
state's 253:11	statute 35:13	253:23	studio 17.7 study 22:20
state \$ 233.11 stated 62:15	36:12 37:4	strata 65:6,11	32:18,18 65:24
63:8 69:19	51:19	115:16	132:18
101:5 115:22	<b>statutory</b> 59:10	stress 264:3	stuff 57:10
126:21 145:21	statutory 39.10 stay 71:8,10	stresses 71:20	189:7 208:13
197:21 199:18	101:4	strict 103:8,12	227:14 242:24
<b>statement</b> 4:14	staying 86:22	<b>strictly</b> 104:8	suazo 1:18,24
12:18 13:8	staying 00.22 stefi 252:20,21	stride 279:7	94:9 112:1,4,6
52:14 59:16	253:1,1,5,8	strike 201:18	112:10,12
65:9 107:23	stenographic	269:14	113:1 114:1
110:1,7 111:15	281:8	<b>striking</b> 202:13	115:1 116:1
165:25 170:21	step 57:12	202:15	117:1,9,20,21
177:5 179:17	231:6,9	<b>string</b> 71:24	117:22 118:1
180:1 181:11	<b>stepped</b> 135:11	76:13 85:3,4	119:1,20,21,22
181:18 196:2	146:6 250:19	90:25	120:1 121:3
198:20 216:24	stewardship	stripper 57:24	131:14 132:24
217:25 234:20	247:23	58:1	184:25 185:1
274:17	stick 26:11	strong 11:9	201:4,5 203:1
states 5:16	sticking 104:8	260:5 263:3	203:3,4,7,10,14
11:11 14:25	stipulate 52:11	stronger 7:5	204:1 205:1
15:11 19:15	59:7	261:21	206:1 207:1

#### [suazo - sure]

208:1 209:1	subset 93:6	summaries	206:18 207:14
210:1 211:1	132:1,10	152:7,7	207:23 210:16
212:1 213:1	253:13	summarize	supplied 75:19
214:1 215:1	substances	114:4 152:3,4	supplies 14:19
216:1,24 217:1	254:9	<b>summary</b> 49:14	supplying
217:9,10 218:1	substantial	57:1 62:6 63:7	261:20
218:19,22	164:22,24	95:24 109:19	support 7:5
219:1 220:1,12	175:7 257:7	149:25 151:11	8:25 11:4
236:1 237:16	279:20	151:25 240:14	17:25 18:6
238:6 280:2	substantiate	summation	19:16 20:19
<b>subject</b> 117:23	125:24	59:12	48:15,17 55:3
117:24 159:19	substituted	sunday 15:5	57:1 67:23
subjected	180:6	sundries	68:20 243:23
184:17	substructures	153:24 154:1	244:6 247:11
submarine	23:1	196:19 208:8	255:4 256:12
5:16	<b>suburb</b> 16:20	209:12 215:9	257:21 260:5
submission	success 15:9	215:12,22	263:4 275:19
152:8	52:8	225:8 227:17	276:13
submissions	successfully	268:9 271:18	supporting
223:12,14	119:5 139:12	<b>sundry</b> 231:25	69:3 244:4
<b>submit</b> 3:19	139:18,19	supervised	252:6
206:13 246:21	<b>suffer</b> 17:23	204:16	supports 7:22
submitted	260:12	supervision	79:11
58:15 80:23	suffered 253:13	63:2,3,4,5	suppose 122:6
153:25 163:4	sufficient 257:9	supervisor	supposed
164:8 168:3	261:9 278:25	55:13 73:2	154:15,16
196:6 197:14	sufficiently	99:21 112:23	240:7
submitting	255:6 277:12	146:20 149:14	<b>sure</b> 13:10 20:1
232:5	suggest 195:24	152:10 196:14	26:5 27:9
subsequent	272:4	204:4 205:1	33:14 35:11
153:25 215:22	suggested 7:14	206:18 215:5	37:25 75:17
221:14,16	suggestion	supervisors	92:10 97:9
subsequently	185:18 187:16	73:3 87:10	106:12 109:20
90:4	sulfide 72:23	104:25 145:9	114:8,10
	73:8 147:2	205:3,21 206:6	119:11,19
		·	·

## [sure - talking]

	T.	1	T.
120:12 123:17	surge 85:7	<b>table</b> 26:2	talk 50:21,22
124:17 125:3	surprise 120:5	81:24 88:2	99:23 131:6
128:11 129:21	120:9	137:6	132:10 152:15
133:15,18,19	surprised	tag 85:25	161:20 164:17
135:6 170:4	279:4	tags 213:11	170:14 187:24
173:14 175:24	surrebuttal	take 8:1 32:5	188:6,14
176:8,15 180:9	93:16	47:13 59:5	189:20 190:6
180:9 184:14	swear 3:16 4:7	68:18 83:6	203:20 209:12
186:12 207:9	6:24 8:21	90:6 92:7	216:6 218:1
211:1,7 212:20	10:18 14:7	111:21 112:5	222:4 230:9
213:23 214:12	19:7 60:6	114:11 118:19	236:17 244:6
214:24 217:22	149:1 246:18	121:10 135:21	258:11,12
217:25 219:17	247:4 248:17	137:3,8 142:7	265:18 278:9
220:10 222:21	251:6 253:4	156:4 172:14	talked 22:18
222:22 223:2	256:1 258:4	189:22 190:8	73:13 122:18
223:18 227:25	259:23 262:21	197:2 199:16	128:3 131:22
228:16 230:2	<b>switch</b> 47:14	202:25 203:2	145:12 153:17
233:6 236:3	160:20 190:21	232:12 241:12	185:13 193:22
237:17,21	<b>sworn</b> 168:3	252:8 278:2,7	198:24 205:12
244:24 261:23	246:17	takeaway	205:12,13,17
264:19 267:17	symptoms	119:14 199:12	211:12 215:10
267:19 268:15	260:14	199:17 238:20	217:14,17
268:18 276:10	<b>system</b> 40:10	238:22	238:18 240:6
279:3,7	46:12 200:10	<b>taken</b> 9:6 38:11	241:5,10
<b>surely</b> 144:19	213:5	47:16 73:18	talking 29:2
<b>surety</b> 32:7,11	systemic 36:12	106:8 121:12	35:6 37:7 51:8
32:23 33:6,23	systems 200:2	168:20 190:13	51:9,10 52:20
37:19,24 99:8	t	195:7 221:17	53:21 54:22
99:16,19	t 13:25 14:5	246:2 281:5	57:25 109:21
surface 23:21	19:5,5 247:2	<b>takes</b> 7:15	117:25 142:2
51:9 69:13	248:15 251:3	40:25 45:8	169:19,22
74:12,16,21	253:1 255:24	65:9,12 91:13	171:10 173:21
76:14,15,16	255:24 262:19	95:2 104:5	202:4 240:3
87:18 90:9,15	t's 223:3	279:6	275:23
90:23 144:9			

## [talks - testimony]

talks 196:5	tease 235:4	telling 179:9,25	tertiary 111:8
198:18 209:13	tech 26:7,17	180:14 194:14	test 52:18,18
238:23 239:12	technical 21:5	tells 227:6	73:6 87:17
240:2 242:22	63:13 104:4,9	templates	tested 87:16
tallying 96:11	104:13 106:19	234:24	testified 97:22
tanying 90.11 tannis 135:18			117:14,17
	138:11,19	temporarily 220:4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
task 51:24	223:10 227:19		130:9,10,18
76:24	266:9 279:6	ten 4:23 47:14	139:21 184:16
tasked 3:2	technology	74:10,11 76:9	191:1 203:22
127:15 152:16	120:12 213:22	76:15 88:6	215:20 241:5
152:23 208:21	214:21 256:23	157:6,7,9	270:3,19
tax 18:5	256:25	190:9 223:13	276:19
taxation 15:13	tell 3:16 4:7	tend 166:20	testifies 199:11
taxes 15:22	6:25 8:21	tended 17:12	testify 55:23
255:8 258:24	10:18 14:7	tender 202:22	56:8 100:13
taxpayer	19:7 36:2 39:1	tendered	133:4 140:4
247:22	60:7,21 61:19	186:12	150:12 180:18
taxpayers 7:8	63:24 68:16	tends 22:19	200:19 239:10
9:7,22 20:11	99:4 129:2,12	tentative 26:10	274:21
22:14 247:25	129:17 132:17	tenth 57:25	testifying 170:3
257:10 260:23	134:9,13 149:2	term 95:21	187:25 193:22
team 152:6,12	163:15 165:19	133:24 134:4	232:21
193:25 194:4	181:22 196:8,9	191:19	testimony
194:18,20	209:13 219:14	terms 22:7,8	21:19 32:20
195:14,18,18	223:8 226:6,8	29:12,25 49:5	48:3 61:4 62:1
196:7 203:24	229:23 231:20	49:6,8 95:19	62:1,6,12 92:4
204:20,21,24	237:2,4,5	104:1 105:24	93:12 101:7,13
205:15,22	243:12 246:18	108:25 109:4,6	101:15 103:17
207:15 230:8	247:4 248:17	109:15 122:3	105:15 107:2
231:8 232:19	251:6 253:4	145:15 210:20	107:10 109:19
236:16	256:2 258:5,14	210:21	112:16 113:2,6
teams 152:25	258:16 259:24	terrible 24:25	113:8 115:14
194:21 204:12	262:21	terrific 12:22	117:10 118:1
206:1 211:3	tellez 281:3,19	terrified	119:14 120:23
227:12 232:18		254:13	122:15,24

# [testimony - things]

128:2 129:25	278:16	143:4,5,20,22	275:10 276:25
130:21,24	texas 19:20	145:25 146:1,3	277:2,3,4,7,16
131:6,9 135:20	62:22	146:7,11	277:17,18
135:22 137:3,8	text 164:24	147:24 148:1,3	278:25 280:9
138:8 140:3	thank 4:1,6 6:9	148:10,12,16	<b>thanking</b> 48:9
146:1,11	6:12,13 7:2 8:6	148:20 149:4,6	thanks 42:13
148:11 149:16	8:7,20,23 9:25	151:1,25	148:13 245:25
149:25 150:25	10:17,20 12:23	159:24 160:18	259:12
151:11,25	13:4,21 14:10	161:5,6,22	theirs 97:15
152:2,2 159:23	16:16 18:18,19	162:9,19,23	thereof 34:8
161:14 163:3	19:3,9 20:21	167:20 172:16	thing 43:15
163:16,24	20:22 21:18	174:10,11	69:11 95:8
164:2,25 165:6	42:14,18,21	190:11,16	126:11 134:4
165:8,16	43:12,23 45:19	194:6 197:1,9	189:22 209:1
166:10 167:8	46:16,23,25	200:24 201:2	211:20 214:20
168:2,9 170:2	47:1,6,8,10,11	203:4,10	258:11,19,21
178:2,8 179:13	47:25,25 48:4	215:18 217:7	259:7
179:16,24	58:10 59:24	220:21 226:22	things 3:12
181:6,12,19	60:9 62:8,9,13	245:1,3,4,8,10	23:11 26:14
182:14 183:24	85:5 89:4,7	245:14,15	33:16 67:16
184:2 194:18	93:13,14,22	247:3 248:5,16	71:17,25 75:25
197:2,14 198:8	94:7,16,22	250:2,3,25	104:1 106:7
198:16,17	97:21 100:2	251:5,11	109:14 112:17
199:5,7,7,13	102:24 106:18	252:17,18,23	126:5 142:7
217:11 221:13	108:7 109:17	253:3,6,8	144:1 147:18
221:19 237:20	110:13 111:13	255:15,16	147:22 169:21
240:12,13,15	111:19,24	256:4 257:22	178:12 204:20
242:10 243:17	112:1,2,14	257:23 259:13	204:21 205:13
244:3,8 266:21	115:5 117:8	261:25 262:1	207:10,11
267:8 269:7,8	121:3,8,19,25	262:20,23	209:2,10
269:13,16	122:1 134:16	265:23,24	215:14 221:10
270:2,10,11,12	134:18,19,20	266:8,12,16	221:12 236:23
272:25 273:4	134:21,22	268:10 270:7	237:17,21
273:18,20	135:1,2,13,20	271:21 272:10	243:14 246:12
274:23 277:1	141:4,7 142:14	272:18,25	
	,	,	

## [think - time]

	I	1	
<b>think</b> 12:19	198:19,24	278:20	66:13 68:5
13:12 22:17	199:4 200:14	thoughts	69:20,21 76:20
23:22 29:17,17	202:4 207:19	131:23 216:18	76:21,25 77:9
29:21 32:10	211:11,12,13	thousand 67:25	78:24 81:6,17
36:24 41:22	214:16,18	threaten 11:14	84:16,21 88:23
42:7,7 43:23	216:11 217:14	147:16,19	89:1,10 92:6
47:5 51:20	218:3,20 219:7	<b>three</b> 3:17	93:11,18 94:22
52:5,11,14,15	219:8 220:13	45:13 121:9	94:25 97:25
52:17 53:14,17	223:13,19,21	150:3,4 157:12	98:1 99:2
64:1 69:1 89:6	225:10,16,17	157:15 161:23	108:1,6,14,14
99:12 100:19	225:19 228:21	173:10 208:5	109:12,21
102:6,22 106:9	229:13,20	216:2 242:3	110:11,23
109:19 116:5	230:24 234:13	246:20 248:24	111:22,25
117:4 120:4,10	235:6,17 236:5	249:1 251:16	114:11 117:2
122:15,16	238:5,18 239:5	254:20 266:23	117:11,12,17
126:13 128:4	239:7,21 241:1	threshold	126:19 130:9
129:12 130:22	243:6 244:21	41:21 42:8	134:18 136:5
131:14,16,17	244:22,25	114:13 156:2	139:24 140:14
132:23 133:2	271:16 274:23	158:7	142:25 143:22
133:11,15,17	276:2 277:12	thresholds	146:13 147:25
133:17,20	278:12,14,14	57:19,22	148:17 157:24
134:15 138:8	278:17 279:4	216:21 217:11	159:25 161:11
140:23 142:2,9	279:17 280:5	218:8	162:4,15 167:1
142:11 145:6	thinking 56:23	<b>thrown</b> 63:23	188:8,17,20
146:12,22	<b>third</b> 91:19	thumbs 225:3	189:12,17,23
147:18 153:18	124:9 168:24	<b>tied</b> 271:16	194:4 209:6
155:8,21	180:2 222:6	time 3:10 4:10	212:3,11,15,23
156:14 157:4	225:15,24	4:14 5:12 7:3	213:5,21 214:3
165:25 167:4	226:18 267:11	8:1 10:21	214:19 220:15
168:19 169:6	267:12,15,22	14:11 17:9	229:24 234:23
177:22 181:9	268:3,12,13,16	25:14 42:13	239:20 241:11
182:18 183:9	thoroughly	46:23 48:22	245:1 247:7
183:15 185:5,5	47:6 238:6	49:18 50:1,10	248:20 250:1
185:6,11 188:9	thought 88:12	57:5 62:5	251:9 252:17
192:8 197:22	88:13 89:10	64:21 65:12	253:7 254:17

## [time - train]

	I	I	I
256:5,21 258:8	271:1 272:8,10	<b>told</b> 23:6	<b>topics</b> 190:21
258:13 260:2	<b>title</b> 60:22,24	112:18 164:7	tops 124:17
260:21 262:4	163:11 173:19	165:1,1 178:4	<b>total</b> 5:11 26:7
262:24 264:24	180:3,11	231:23 258:14	26:11 27:9,24
278:19 279:12	toc 78:4	268:4,8	37:9 265:15
279:19,23	today 11:1 21:1	tolerance 42:11	totally 5:25
timeframe	42:23 53:12	<b>tolmack</b> 192:9	88:21 97:9
129:7 213:14	59:12 62:2	<b>tomato</b> 17:13	<b>touch</b> 103:23
timeline 211:12	79:4 99:15	tomorrow	109:18 208:19
215:7	112:16 120:23	190:7 266:3	221:10 237:20
timelines	121:24 134:10	278:5 280:9	touched 70:22
231:13	142:24 146:12	<b>tonight</b> 238:21	towards 78:17
timeliness	147:25 149:16	took 52:17	255:1 261:20
161:24	149:25 151:12	70:17,18,18	townsend
<b>timely</b> 49:17	152:3,15 164:7	76:8,9 77:19	254:24
<b>times</b> 16:6	190:5 216:6	77:20 85:20	toxic 20:8,10
27:20 43:6	220:13 221:5	88:6,20 99:12	20:13 254:9
66:3,5 108:16	232:21 235:12	106:7 109:12	<b>toxics</b> 24:15
118:8 127:8	245:1 246:10	153:1 156:9	toxins 261:16
157:13,15	249:3 251:12	217:20 231:12	<b>track</b> 119:4
158:8,21 159:6	253:9 270:3,11	259:8	136:10 173:20
163:1 174:18	273:22 277:13	<b>tooken</b> 179:8	204:7,20,21
174:21 200:14	<b>today's</b> 79:1	tool 70:24 78:7	231:18,21
205:15 235:11	116:12	78:7 84:3	270:24 271:6,8
241:10 243:12	together 64:21	232:6	271:17
243:20 244:10	68:11 70:13	<b>tools</b> 86:4	tracked 114:18
tireless 6:10	80:22 203:21	<b>top</b> 69:2 74:19	204:6 205:14
tisdel 1:25	204:17 206:10	76:4 78:4,17	tracking 33:14
135:12 187:13	210:6,22	79:14 82:25	124:4 136:20
187:14,15	211:10,19	83:25 84:9	205:5 209:10
245:18,19,24	213:15 214:9	124:16 129:9	209:18
266:1,10,12,15	228:19 229:10	169:3 193:3	tracks 114:17
266:18 267:1	229:11 241:2	topic 45:2	209:15 265:11
268:1 269:1,24	243:11 270:22	48:13 58:7	<b>train</b> 145:15
269:25 270:1,7	271:5 278:10	188:17 227:3	

# [training - trying]

training 75:18	traveling 14:23	272:11 278:1,6	194:11 197:12
147:5	treasure	279:2,3	true 14:25
transaction	253:11	trend 271:17	38:18 40:13
66:5 126:15,20	treat 254:5	trends 271:14	61:13 112:21
transcript 3:14	treating 238:24	271:17	118:3 143:2
246:14,16	treatments	tribal 261:18	149:21 165:15
281:1,10	39:22	trickle 18:7,16	170:24 179:17
transfer 30:4	tremaine 1:16	trickles 18:3	180:22 183:15
31:2,4 126:16	1:20 47:24,25	tried 82:20	194:5 224:23
126:20	48:6 59:25	200:15 269:10	242:5 277:25
transferee	60:1,11,12,18	tries 214:4	281:9
241:23 242:19	61:1 62:1,9	triggers 54:15	truly 56:3
243:5	63:1 64:1 65:1	trip 86:9	141:2
transferred	66:1 67:1 68:1	tripp 1:18 94:1	trust 210:16
240:23 243:14	69:1 70:1 71:1	94:2,4,5,13,16	233:24 253:14
251:15	72:1 73:1 74:1	94:19 95:1	258:19 277:23
transfers 30:23	75:1 76:1 77:1	96:1 97:1 98:1	trusted 182:9
33:20 240:19	78:1 79:1 80:1	99:1 100:1,2,4	<b>truth</b> 3:16 4:7
241:22 242:23	81:1 82:1 83:1	101:1 102:1	6:25 8:21
244:1,13,15	84:1 85:1 86:1	103:1,18,20,23	10:18 14:7
transit 169:6	87:1 88:1,25	104:1 105:1	19:7 60:7
translates	89:1,4 90:1	106:1 107:1	149:2 246:18
263:3	91:1 92:1 93:1	108:1 109:1	247:4 248:17
transmission	93:15,22 99:20	110:1 111:1,20	251:6 253:4
199:21,22	103:15 117:3	112:3 160:22	256:2 258:5
transpired	117:13 119:18	160:24 161:3	259:24 262:21
76:25	130:8 141:1,9	167:22 168:24	<b>try</b> 30:4 41:23
transportation	141:10,13	169:18 170:11	44:5 48:16
251:17	142:1 143:3,6	172:11,14,17	84:8,16 85:19
<b>trash</b> 19:21	148:14 161:7	172:22,25	87:2 88:24
192:1	185:22,23	173:6,9,25	89:19 95:5
travel 53:1	186:16 201:7	174:8 176:9,12	132:19 154:8
59:20 71:9	201:11,12	176:24 179:24	221:9 280:6
91:15	202:4,7,11,15	187:7,10 191:6	trying 29:9
	203:1,13	191:12 193:13	67:19 71:21

# [trying - understanding]

86:13 91:21	80:9 81:10,19		underscore
97:11,11,13	85:8 87:19	u	58:2
100:11 104:9	88:10 89:12	<b>u</b> 6:23 19:5	understand
116:20 142:6	92:14 113:9	253:2	6:18 26:3
166:2 173:18	114:2 118:18	<b>u.s.</b> 14:22	32:14 33:15
203:15 209:21	122:19 123:1	ultimately 42:9	35:11 38:4
214:13 218:5	128:5 129:3	58:25 227:22	97:9,13,17
227:20,21	137:5,10	240:16	102:9 104:12
229:24 236:3	138:23 139:14	um 146:24	106:11 122:22
237:17 239:17	140:7,7 142:2	<b>unable</b> 162:14	122:23 147:5
240:8 280:3	145:7 156:5	uncategorized	166:7 170:17
tubing 67:9,18	164:3 165:21	178:14	171:13,17
67:19,24,25	165:21 169:21	uncertainty	180:8 185:15
68:4 69:2,2,6	181:4 195:19	55:9	186:24 188:2
71:4,23,24	206:17 207:15	unchanged	197:17 198:8
76:13 82:17	208:2 209:25	178:17	200:7 203:15
83:2,16,17,21	224:2 228:22	unclear 159:10	204:16 208:20
83:24,25 84:1	237:21 242:3	under 30:21	216:19 218:5
84:4,7,9,9,11	263:8	33:20 42:23	225:11 228:21
84:12 85:8,10	type 69:20	49:23,25 52:13	234:16 239:2
89:13,19,24	74:14 76:22	54:17 70:16	240:17,20
90:1 91:1	97:5 98:18	85:13 87:7	262:6
118:12 139:8	99:15 124:2	99:6 104:2 123:8 134:6	understandably
141:24 142:15	144:5 145:22	147:12,13	22:24
144:15 199:15	191:21 213:12	156:20 159:2	understanding
turn 3:10 21:11	<b>types</b> 14:20	175:12 198:12	45:17 46:4
47:21 118:19	49:8 86:3	214:12 219:24	64:2 95:6,25
133:13 228:23	112:24 113:17	224:18 227:12	96:12 99:6,11
<b>twice</b> 174:15	117:1 142:3	229:18 232:24	100:15 116:1
<b>two</b> 33:16	209:15 213:12	233:16 239:24	123:11 126:12
49:14 52:4	typically 53:19	244:1	170:20 181:5
57:2,9 58:6	97:3 204:25	underlying	185:6,12
68:10 69:25	229:21	186:3 202:16	196:25 200:15
70:8 72:2 74:4	<b>typo</b> 61:17,19	underneath	224:21 225:22
74:15 78:8,8	61:20	97:7	234:15 239:11
		7111	

# [understanding - using]

268:1 276:6	universal 210:2	untimely 54:16	153:24 155:5
understood	211:15	untold 18:11	156:21 158:15
123:17 133:20	university	unusual 139:1	164:9 165:2,6
162:16 186:16	22:21 62:18,24	139:1	165:14 166:3
228:16	63:9	unwilling 59:2	166:10,13,15
undertake	unknown 93:6	update 56:15	167:25 168:6
130:2	132:1,10,11	208:7 209:11	171:15 174:14
uneconomic	unmute 3:23	215:15	175:12,14,19
218:16	10:4,5 13:15	<b>updates</b> 209:18	178:23 179:12
uneventful	19:1,4 94:1	upper 90:2	179:13,16
137:7 138:4	135:6,9 250:13	206:3 211:15	181:6 182:11
unexpected	250:16,23	urge 18:13	182:12 186:2,8
67:11 91:19	258:1 262:8,11	255:12	186:17 187:21
138:22,22,23	unmuted 10:6	use 54:17 57:15	212:3 217:19
unfair 5:25	94:5	62:12 134:5	221:13 237:25
200:22	unnecessarily	152:25 154:19	271:17
unfairly 15:18	236:4	155:4,7 167:24	useful 8:3
unfortunately	unpack 208:22	172:1,4,12	52:12 53:18
15:12 53:17	unpacker	173:3 174:25	130:5,22
58:13 253:13	82:10	175:2,17,21	185:11 223:19
<b>uniform</b> 231:17	unplugging	176:1,23,25	useless 54:15
unique 276:4	92:12	179:14 180:3,6	uses 7:9 174:15
unit 85:17,20	unproductive	181:8 182:3,13	221:16
85:23,24 86:1	260:23	182:17 184:8	using 8:11
86:8 87:8,10	unpromptly	187:20 200:9	63:22 64:1
87:11 90:3	167:4	218:9 221:21	84:18 91:5
91:9,22,25	unquote 7:23	234:25 238:9	96:20 102:16
98:3 105:16,16	unseat 82:8	239:24 253:12	156:2 161:13
108:11 128:6	unseated 82:10	272:6 273:16	163:7 165:6
218:25 275:16	82:23	<b>used</b> 19:21	174:24 175:3
united 5:15	unstable 67:9	74:14 76:23	175:10 177:25
11:11 14:24	144:9	89:14 100:4	179:3 180:14
15:11 19:15	unsupported	114:22 120:15	180:16 198:7
251:14	77:13	128:25 150:4	199:5 213:3
		150:12 153:23	217:13 222:1

## [using - washington]

238:8 277:11	venture 63:13	<b>vines</b> 17:13	waiting 93:2
usually 95:13	venture 03.13	vintage 117:25	94:24 107:25
261:2	ventures 20.7 verbally 12:19	120:7,15	wallets 261:23
utah 14:16	verified 201:14	violate 23:19	want 6:8 11:3
62:21	verified 201.14 verifiext 281:19		
		violating 53:18 violation 49:19	21:21 23:18,19
utility 185:17	version 157:5		26:3 37:24
utilized 213:15	172:15 202:8	55:1 103:13	41:4 48:9 58:2
V	versus 88:8	<b>virginia</b> 4:20	58:10 65:18
v 8:18 255:24	155:20 156:17	4:21 16:19	76:5 92:5,10
258:3	215:19 269:17	62:21 251:15	101:7 103:23
<b>valid</b> 125:12	vertical 23:4,6	virtual 21:2	111:21 112:16
<b>value</b> 16:1,8,9	23:9	42:22 95:6	117:6 141:15
16:11 202:1	vet 227:13,14	266:5	154:4 162:23
values 22:19	veteran 252:5	virtually 59:23	172:5 176:22
249:5	veterans 252:6	69:16 94:21	183:20 187:24
<b>valve</b> 80:12	vetted 207:7	visit 143:14	189:4,10,19
82:4 90:13,22	215:13 228:8	<b>visual</b> 61:10	194:10,23
variable 56:3	vetting 153:4	62:4 176:11	203:20 204:13
<b>varied</b> 129:15	viable 12:4	219:18	209:20 217:23
varies 92:22	64:5 67:3	visuals 197:22	218:1 223:14
variety 130:6	97:25 98:14	vitae 149:22	237:18 242:8
144:7	124:24 125:9	vital 11:5	247:14 268:5
various 7:12	125:15,18	volatile 73:9	271:22 273:17
49:7 55:24	126:3 228:1	volatiles 75:11	274:8
58:7 59:21	vice 4:24 5:9	75:21	<b>wanted</b> 53:13
64:4,11 72:6	vicki 250:6,7	<b>volume</b> 15:19	54:21 69:11
86:3 152:19	255:19,23,24	220:10	109:17 122:11
156:6 210:10	256:3,6,7	<b>vote</b> 261:21	123:16 165:12
228:8 251:19	<b>video</b> 281:6	vulnerable	191:13 204:22
260:8	<b>view</b> 39:9 91:13	11:15	209:5 248:4
vary 114:9	155:22 158:5	W	264:24 265:23
139:14 152:12	231:5 274:22	w 253:2	warming
vast 98:4 114:6	274:22 276:15	wait 83:7	261:19
vastly 70:8	village 17:2	183:21 225:2	washington
267:19		229:21	4:22 16:20
207.17		227.21	

## [waste - wells]

waste 20:8 41:5	we've 17:17	255:17	34:5,7,12,17
41:8 48:21	25:17 29:23	welcome 4:2	35:2,8,15,15,16
261:19	39:2 40:4	250:5	35:20 36:4,5
watching 81:8	59:19 82:15	<b>weld</b> 76:5	36:16,18,21
water 7:8 20:10	86:15 96:4	<b>welded</b> 74:19	37:7,8,10,12,13
39:8,14,18	102:15 161:5	welding 76:6	37:16 38:5,8
40:1,5,6,10	163:8 168:19	<b>well's</b> 276:7,7	38:10,15,17,22
41:4 65:6	168:23 170:13	wellbore 51:7	39:2,3,5,6,12
82:14 90:14	188:10 192:9	51:12 67:2	39:15 40:16,20
115:15 145:15	193:4,15	84:8 89:9	41:9 42:3,5
254:15 255:14	206:19 207:18	91:15,18 138:5	43:6,9 44:1,2,5
261:22 263:3	207:25 215:12	139:9,11,13,17	44:7,9,13
278:20	217:14 229:23	141:2 192:14	48:24 49:2,5
waterfloods	236:19 242:10	193:2	49:18 50:13,18
39:20	244:9 246:9	wellbore's	50:20 53:16
waters 20:9,13	258:10 264:4	196:20	55:10,20 56:1
way 7:12 8:11	269:11 273:3	wellhead 67:10	56:4,10 57:20
29:24 32:19,23	274:10 277:8	68:9 69:3,7,8	57:24 61:1,2
40:21 68:15	<b>wear</b> 147:7	74:18 75:5	63:22 64:11
69:11,13 70:4	weather 42:23	76:1	66:1,2,2,12,20
70:5,19 77:5	254:18	wells 3:4 7:9,24	66:20,24 67:17
81:20 96:14	website 73:21	8:3 9:2,4,12	67:17 68:10
99:14 100:15	152:19	20:8 22:3,10	69:22 70:1,9
100:16 120:24	wedding 17:8	22:12,15,19,22	70:17 71:15
124:19 125:25	weddings 17:5	22:24 23:4,10	72:2,4,9,13
133:24 138:5	week 167:4	24:16,21,23	80:6,9,10
139:18 144:17	208:5 223:13	25:7,12,14,19	81:11,12 88:10
172:23 181:23	weeks 70:3,15	25:22,22,24	92:12,13,16,16
191:25 194:5	87:19	26:7,11,17,20	92:25 93:2,5,7
195:11 199:6,9	<b>weight</b> 67:23	26:22 27:7,9	93:8 96:2,6,7,9
202:18 213:16	weighted 86:3	27:11,17,17,20	97:14,24 98:3
219:16 240:7	<b>weird</b> 219:8	27:22 28:6,7	98:4,9,10,16
ways 39:24	weisburd	28:14 30:12	100:16,23,25
168:20 235:15	252:20,21	31:17 32:1	101:3 102:17
	253:1,2,5,8	33:11,12 34:5	103:10 105:17

## [wells - witness]

105:17 106:2,2	174:25 175:1	242:19 243:17	wherewithal
106:3,4,5	175:15 176:2	244:9,9,14,16	241:24 242:18
107:12 108:11	177:10,12,15	248:2 253:20	wholesale 5:6
110:5 113:9,16	177:20 178:23	254:7,16	wholesalers
113:19,25	179:1 181:25	260:23 261:13	5:10
114:1,5,20	182:1,2,3	261:15 263:10	<b>wholly</b> 51:1,15
115:1,6 117:2	184:8 187:20	263:18 264:1	<b>wi</b> 187:9
117:25 118:1,4	192:16 193:5	265:8,12,14,15	<b>wide</b> 130:1,6,18
118:7,18 119:5	193:15 194:24	266:24,25	195:17
120:6,10,14,15	195:1,4,23	267:1,1,5,11,13	widely 255:8
120:20 122:19	198:23 206:20	268:22 269:1	<b>wife</b> 14:14 15:2
122:23,25	207:2,10,13	269:14,16	wildfires
123:6 124:21	208:6,14,15,16	270:16,24	254:13
124:24,25	212:12 214:7	271:14 272:1	wildlife 254:9
125:4,5,18	215:8,19,20	273:15,25	261:17
128:2,6,7,10,18	216:2,8 218:9	274:1,8 275:1	<b>willing</b> 202:19
128:18 129:4,9	222:5,5,10,12	275:22 276:3,4	217:24
129:12,13	222:14 223:4,5	276:17	willingness
130:7 131:8,18	223:7,15 224:8	wendell 1:4	58:11
131:25 132:1,5	224:12 225:6	<b>went</b> 17:11	<b>window</b> 105:11
132:6,9 134:10	225:14,15	32:22 53:23	wire 86:15
134:12 135:24	228:7,7,10,20	68:11,17 73:3	91:20,20
136:6,14,16	229:2,3,4,12,17	76:18 79:14	wireline 62:23
137:3,5,10	229:18 230:3	83:9 84:2,16	62:25 63:9
139:14,16,22	231:19,24	84:22 85:25	wisdom 36:8
140:7,7 141:20	232:8 233:16	88:19 129:18	<b>wish</b> 65:13
142:1,10,11	233:19,22	146:20 183:8	214:8,19,20
145:1,13,24	234:1,2,5	207:2 212:9	withdraw
147:15,19,20	235:7,8,11	236:2 275:17	185:19 202:3
153:5,16,20,21	236:7 237:2	west 4:20,25	witness 25:2
153:24 154:3,9	238:9,10 239:3	62:20	55:5,12 93:20
154:18,25,25	239:23 240:16	western 17:3	96:25 102:20
155:10,11	240:16,23	135:18 266:19	121:6 122:10
158:15,17,23	241:4,13,14,16	whatsoever	189:17 200:25
159:6 164:10	241:17,25	82:2	236:25 277:24
1		1	1

## [witness - wrote]

278:23	wording	workdays 88:6	worried 189:8
witnessed	239:12 272:5	worked 4:23	<b>worries</b> 144:18
87:25	<b>words</b> 110:8	7:10 14:13	<b>worse</b> 86:21
witnesses 47:23	174:14 181:16	19:23 91:9	worsening
55:4 59:22	191:14 213:8	100:25 126:25	260:14 261:19
87:24 99:22	241:3	141:17 152:6	worst 74:24
102:22 130:12	work 5:11	165:17 251:19	154:22 168:12
133:4,8,9,11	10:25 13:17	256:22 257:1	181:14,16
278:16	17:11,24 20:1	267:18	239:1
wonderful	29:18,19 40:9	working 5:6	worth 205:17
13:21	55:25 62:25	19:19 22:15	worthwhile
wondering	63:1 70:6	28:25 29:4,8	188:14
130:20 185:4,9	71:23 75:18	29:14,19 30:11	wrap 265:21
<b>word</b> 154:19	76:13 79:16	30:13,17 45:18	wrapped
155:5 163:9	81:19 82:20	61:1 63:19	216:15
164:3,6,9	83:8 85:3,4	64:21 75:15,16	wrestling 249:1
165:2,12,14	88:7 90:19,25	75:20 80:13	wrinkle 56:7
166:4,10,13,15	95:17 96:16,18	86:1,19 110:21	wrinkle's
167:25 168:6	104:21 110:10	116:19 142:4,6	232:25
170:14 174:16	110:20 112:22	142:25 145:23	write 140:22
174:24 175:3,5	125:19,21	206:6 223:10	141:1 173:3
175:10,12,14	127:10 129:24	247:14 249:11	<b>writing</b> 68:13
175:19 176:1	137:4,10	251:17 257:17	168:6 180:22
176:17,18,23	138:11,19	260:8	246:22
176:25 177:23	140:19 142:20	workover 77:8	written 3:19
178:5,23	147:3 149:13	107:11 112:24	149:16 152:2
179:12,13	171:10 192:24	142:19 157:25	163:16 164:25
180:6,16 181:7	192:25 196:22	workovers 63:4	168:2 200:13
182:10,12,17	201:17 204:17	63:17	210:22,25
192:22 195:25	212:3 221:17	works 24:2	240:13 278:15
198:13,18	221:17 224:16	100:16 170:20	<b>wrong</b> 178:5
199:6 217:20	224:19 226:10	225:22	198:17
221:21 238:24	227:9 247:13	<b>world</b> 19:18	<b>wrote</b> 110:3
240:4 258:19	256:21 276:13	259:8	165:5 169:3
271:24			171:17 180:17

### [x - zoomed]

	I	I
X	242:21 245:24	253:10 256:9
<b>x</b> 226:7	258:11,23	256:24 260:8
	267:15 269:25	261:11 263:12
y 4.5.12.25	273:11 274:18	263:21 265:9
y 4:5 13:25	276:11	265:16
14:5 247:2	<b>year</b> 7:18 10:23	<b>yellow</b> 28:16,17
258:3	25:13 104:5	194:13,16
<b>yeah</b> 25:2 26:9	123:22,23,25	236:16
26:13 27:13	129:3,25 136:3	<b>yep</b> 193:11
28:12 29:11,22	150:8 153:22	197:9
31:7,23 34:3	156:5,9,24	yesterday
37:22 40:13	197:25 209:6	21:11 25:5
45:11 54:8	213:17 215:25	45:11,14
60:12,24	219:20,21	101:16
108:24 111:5	229:1 251:24	york 14:13 15:7
123:16 126:1	254:20,21,22	17:3,7
126:11 128:1	years 4:19,22	younger 260:16
129:22 132:15	4:24 5:8,11	Z
134:9,9 136:19	7:12 14:14	<b>zone</b> 83:17
138:14 142:20	15:3 16:23	
143:19 144:19	17:9 45:8,10	264:3,5 zones 72:6
147:7,12	52:21 54:17	<b>zones</b> 72.0 <b>zoomed</b> 157:4,5
174:19 187:15	58:5,6 62:19	<b>Zoomed</b> 137.4,3
188:4 191:8	107:11 115:25	
194:6 195:16	120:7,9 129:3	
196:13 198:15	129:23 130:17	
199:4,19,24	143:1 144:24	
200:5 204:19	155:16,18	
210:2 212:2	156:5,18,23,23	
213:12 216:5	156:24 157:6,7	
217:13 219:16	157:10,21	
220:10 222:13	219:19 220:9	
227:15 229:13	223:23,25	
230:19 231:5	224:2 248:23	
233:2 235:24	251:16,23	
236:14 239:22	- , -	