1	
1	PUBLIC HEARING
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
4	
5	Pecos Hall, 1st Floor, Wendell Chino Building
6	1220 S. Saint Francis Drive
7	Santa Fe, New Mexico
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 19.15.2, 19.15.5
	19.15.8, 19.15.9 and 19.15.25 NMAC
11	
12	
13	
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	
4 -	October 27, 2025
15	
16	
17	HEARD BEFORE:
18	HEARD BEFORE.
10	HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH
19	HEARING OFFICER FEETEN ORTH
20	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
21	ALBERT CHANG, Chair
	GREGORY BLOOM, Member (virtual)
22	DR. WILLIAM AMPOMAH, Member
23	
	COUNSEL TO THE COMMISSION:
24	
	MR. ZACHARY SHANDLER, ESQ.
25	
	Page 1

1 2	APPEARANCES
	FOR THE APPLICANTS:
3	
4	WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER
4	409 East Palace Ave., #2 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
5	BY: Tannis Fox
3	fox@westernlaw.org
6	Kyle Tisdel
	tisdel@westernlaw.org
7	Matt Nykiel
_	nykiel@westernlaw.org
8	EOD NEW MEYTGO OTI GONGEDWARTON GOMMICGION:
9 10	FOR NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION: NM ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPT.
10	1220 South St. Francis Drive
11	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
	BY: Jesse K. Tremaine
12	jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
	Chris Modander
13	chris.moander@emnrd.nm.gov
14	FOR INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ASSOC. OF NM:
15	TOR INDEFENDENT TELECORION MODOC. OF NAT-
	HINKLE SHANOR, LLP
16	P.O. Box 10
	Roswell, New Mexico 88202
17	BY: Andrew J. Cloutier
1.0	acloutier@hinklelawfirm.com
18	Ann Tripp atripp@hinklelawfirm.com
19	acrippeninkrerawrirm.com
20	FOR OXY USA, INC.:
21	HOLLAND & HART, LLP
	P.O. Box 2208
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
2.2	BY: Adam G. Rankin
23	agrankin@hollandhart.com Michael H. Feldewert
24	Mfeldewert@hollandhart.com
-	Paula M. Vance
25	pmvance@hollandhart.com
	Page 2

```
1
                 APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2
    FOR NM OIL & GAS ASSOCIATION:
3
      BEATY & WOZNIAK, PC
4
      500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1,000
      Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
          Miguel A. Suazo
5
      BY:
           msuazo@bwenergylaw.com
           James Martin
6
           jmartin@bwenergylaw.com
7
           James Parrot
            jparrot@bwenergylaw.com
8
           Kaitlyn A. Luck
           kluck@bwenergylaw.com
9
10
    FOR EOG RESOURCES, INC.:
      BRADFUTE SAYER, PC
11
      P.O. Box 90233
12
      Albuquerque, New Mexico
           Jennifer L. Bradfute
      BY:
13
           jennifer@bradfutelaw.com
           Matthias Sayer
14
           matthias@bradfutelaw.com
15
      EOG RESOURCES, INC.
      125 Lincoln Ave., Suite 213
16
      Santa Fe, New Mexico
                             87501
      BY: Jordan Kessler
           jordan_kessler@eogresources.com
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
                                            Page 3
```

_	
1	
_	I N D E X
2	DIGE
3	PAGE
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS5
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
1	OPENING STATEMENT
5	By Mr. Moore
	By Mr. Rankin
6	_
	THE WITNESSES
7	
	BRANDON POWELL
8	Surrebuttal Examination by Mr. Tremaine23
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Suazo58
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cloutier94
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin121
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moore160
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Fox
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Tremaine168
1 0	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah181
12 13	Examination by Commissioner Bloom199 ALLISON MARKS
т Э	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore208
14	Cross Examination by Mr. Cloutier212
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Suazo214
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tremaine217
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tisdel220
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore228
	Examination by Commissioner Bloom229
17	
	ADMITTED EXHIBITS
18	
	NM OCD Surrebuttal Exhibits 32, 33 and
19	Replacement Exhibit 1657
20	NM SLO Exhibit, Marks Direct Testimony212
21	TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATE274
22	TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATE
23	
2 4	
25	
	D 4
	Page 4

1	(On the record at 9:00 a.m.)
2	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Good morning. My
4	name is Felicia Orth, hearing officer appointed by
5	the Oil Conservation Commission to conduct a hearing
6	in OCC 24683. We're on day six of the hearing, which
7	began last Monday, and we have reached another public
8	comment session. We'll take as much public comment
9	as there is to be given before returning to the
LO	technical case this morning.
L1	Chair Chang and Commissioner Ampomah are
L2	here on the dais with me. Commissioner Bloom is on
L3	the platform.
L4	I'll ask for public comment in the room
L 5	first and then turn to the platform. Just a few
L6	things about public comment. I will ask you to spell
L7	your first and last name because we're making a
L8	transcript. I will ask you, pursuant to the
L9	Commission's rulemaking rules, to swear or affirm
20	that you will tell the truth. I will ask you to keep
21	your oral comments to three minutes.
22	If you have lots more to say, please
23	submit your comments in writing to Sheila Apodaca,
24	the Commission administrator.
25	So let's begin in the room. Who would
	Page 5

1	like to offer public comment during this session?
2	Start over here, sir, if you would come up to the
3	witness stand. Thank you. And spell your first and
4	last name.
5	MR. STAHLECKER: D-A-L-E, and last name
6	Stahlecker, S-T-A-H-L-E-C-K-E-R.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
8	Stahlecker. Thank you.
9	Do you swear or affirm to tell the
10	truth?
11	MR. STAHLECKER: I do.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Please go ahead.
13	MR. STAHLECKER: Okay. Commissioners and
14	gathered persons. My name is Dale Stahlecker. I'm a
15	semi-retired wildlife biologist residing in El Dorado
16	at Santa Fe, New Mexico. I am here to speak in
17	support of the proposed bonding and cleanup rules
18	changes before the Commission.
19	Over the last four decades, I have spent
20	time in all of the quote, unquote, oil patches of
21	New Mexico, from northwest to southeast. I have seen
22	what a correctly reclaimed well pad looks like, and I
23	have seen some that no effort has been made to
24	reclaim them. I will repeat what you have heard and
25	will hear again over and over again: Uncapped wells

degrade our water our air and expose our wildlife and our humans to unhealthy conditions.

Bonding for an individual well should be such that if the state is tasked with reclamation because the last owner is incapable of paying for it, that the total cost is likely to be covered by the bond. Since the current cost to the state is an average of \$163,000, it makes sense that each well be bonded for to that amount, \$150,000. And it does not follow logically that ten wells can be covered by \$150,000 bond, or that a hundred wells can be covered by 250,000 bond.

We the taxpayers of New Mexico do not exist to cover those costs with tax dollars sorely needed elsewhere, especially since the majority of well owners that avoid paying for reclamation are not New Mexico residents. If the industry who drills the well reaps profits from that well, then they must be responsible for well capping and cleanup, no exceptions.

Ninety-five percent capping and cleanup is not sufficient. I expect 100 percent of wells to be properly capped and the site rehabilitated to provide a safe environment for New Mexico citizenry, plants and wildlife. Thank you.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
2	Mr. Stahlecker.
3	Who would like to offer comment next?
4	Is that you, Mr. Coss? If you would state and spell
5	your first and last name.
6	MR. COSS: My name is David Coss. My first
7	name is D-A-V-I-D, and my last name is C-O-S-S.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
9	affirm to tell the truth?
10	MR. COSS: I do.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
12	start your time.
13	MR. COSS: Okay. You know, I just came this
14	morning to put in my comments on this hearing because
15	I've thought for a very, very long time that it's not
16	fair for us, as taxpayers, to shoulder some of these
17	cleanup costs down in the oil patch and up in the
18	northwest, in the area up there.
19	I've had a long career in natural
20	resources management. I'm pretty familiar with a lot
21	of the issues. I know people when I worked for the
22	State Land Office that saw some of these problems and
23	how expensive they were to fix.
24	In Santa Fe, I was often at the
25	legislature regarding capital outlay for my community

1	for infrastructure and roads. And there was times to
2	find ourselves in competition for outlay to fix
3	things that had happened down in the oil field,
4	especially that giant scene in Carlsbad that was not
5	related to capping a well, but absolutely related to
6	irresponsibility and the public being left holding
7	the bag when it happened. So I think it's just a
8	matter of common sense for New Mexico.
9	I'm not going to question the cost;
10	that's not my expertise. But as a worker in the
11	environmental field for 30 years and as a mayor,
12	former mayor of Santa Fe, I say people need to clean
13	up their own waste. It's a highly profitable
14	business and I think they should be able to afford
15	this.
16	So I just thank you for your time and
17	it's very good to see you again. Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Coss.
19	Who would like to go next?
20	MR. GORMAN: Good morning.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Good morning. If you
22	would state and spell your first and last name.
23	MR. GORMAN: Yes. Thomas Gorman. That's
24	T-H-O-M-A-S, G-O-R-M-A-N.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: And do you swear or
	Page 9

1	him to tell the truth?
2	MR. GORMAN: I do.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start your time.
4	MR. GORMAN: All right. I've been a
5	longtime citizen of Santa Fe, living South of town,
6	off of Highway 14 for 35 years now.
7	And I guess it's a basic concept for me
8	that if you make a mess, you clean it up. And for
9	me, the history of not making people clean up their
10	messes, especially when they involve future
11	destruction to the land and the environment, I think
12	is unacceptable.
13	So I won't say the same things you've
14	heard already, but I'm a strong believer in what
15	these new provisions would provide as far as making
16	accountable those who are making the mess.
17	Okay. That's it.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
19	Mr. Gorman.
20	Who would like to go next? Anyone at
21	all, come on up. If you would state your name.
22	MS. EISENMENGER: My name is Eleanor
23	Eisenmenger, E-I-S-E-N-M-E-N-G-E-R.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do you
25	swear or affirm to tell the truth?

1	MS. EISENMENGER: Yeah.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
3	MS. EISENMENGER: All right. I have a
4	lifelong history not history, memory of pollution
5	and polluted areas not being cleaned up. Because
6	when I was a child, I grew up we were up near Toms
7	River, New Jersey, which is a famous site where
8	Ciba-Geigy polluted the whole area, and they had
9	enormous health problems.
LO	And I remember one of the doctors at
L1	Toms River, New Jersey, was a friend of my parents,
L2	and used to come to the house and talk with him. And
L3	I remember him once saying, "I don't know what is
L4	happening, but all my patients are getting cancer."
L5	Not almost all of them, you know, but almost all of
L6	them.
L7	That made a big impression on all of us.
L8	And years later, I heard that the Ciba-Geigy people
L9	had made no attempt to clean up their chemical mess
20	and it had absolutely polluted the whole area. This
21	made a very important, a very deep impression on me.
22	And I feel now that anybody who is
23	engaging in work that causes a great deal of polluted
24	residue, which can hurt people, cause illness,
25	desecrate an area, should clean up their residue.

1	And I feel that this is just another
2	case of it, and it should not be relegated to local
3	authorities. It's not just a matter of money. The
4	people who have originally worked on the site have
5	the technique and the know-how that the ordinary
6	townspeople might not be able to muster, in addition
7	to the financial question, which of course is
8	important. I feel very, very strongly, because of
9	this lifetime memory of mine, that people should
10	clean up their mess.
11	Do the right thing. Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
13	ma'am.
14	Anyone else?
15	Good morning, if you would state and
16	spell your first and last name.
17	MS. SHAW: My name is Alysha Shaw,
18	A-L-Y-S-H-A, S-H-A-W.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
20	tell the truth?
21	MS. SHAW: I do.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Please go ahead.
23	MS. SHAW: Thank you so much for undertaking
24	this critical rulemaking today. It is so important
25	that we ensure that industry cleans up its messes and

1	doesn't harm our state and our children and our land,
2	our earth and our water for future generations to
3	come. Other industries are expected to be bonded for
4	the full cost of remediation for their
5	infrastructure, and it's very important to correct
6	that so that the extraction that takes place within
7	our state is remediated and children and communities
8	can live and drink the water and breathe the air and
9	use our land for the future generations to come.
10	So thank you so much for undertaking
11	that, and I just want to offer my comment and
12	support.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Shaw.
14	Please state and spell your first and
15	last name.
16	MS. FEIBELMAN: Good morning. I'm Camila
17	Feibelman. It's Camilla, C-A-M-I-L-L-A, last name
18	Feibelman, F, as in Frank, E-I, B as in boy, E-L,
19	M-A-N.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear to tell the
21	truth?
22	MS. FEIBELMAN: I do.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
24	MS. FEIBELMAN: Thank you. I'm the director
25	of the Sierra Club's Rio Grande chapter and I'm here
	Page 13
	1490 15

1	to deliver close to 900 individual comments in favor
2	of this rulemaking. These are parents, grandparents,
3	professionals, and members of the Sierra Club.
4	I have to admit that I had my feelings
5	hurt when I read Jim Winchester's op-ed in the
6	newspaper, calling groups like mine radical
7	extremists. And I thought, am I a radical extremist?
8	So I tried to dial back to my youth. I
9	grew up in Albuquerque, graduated from Albuquerque
10	High School, and my mom would send me up to the
11	Rancho del Chaparral Girl Scout Camp every year. And
12	we learned so much about how the land works and the
13	role of forest fires in our ecosystem.
14	And we learned about climate change and
15	the power of methane and oil and gas to supersize the
16	problem. So here in New Mexico, we're seeing that in
17	relief. We are seeing generational homes and
18	communities burned to the ground to a level and
19	extreme where we lose our topsoil in the next rain
20	and our kids are carried away in flash floods when
21	they're here on vacation.
22	That is extreme and it requires some
23	radical thinking about what to do. And I think we
24	can start by doing just this commonsense updating to
25	our financial assurances and transfer rules.

1	And I thought, oh, my gosh, is it just
2	our environmental groups that are thinking this? So
3	on the way up, I re-listened to the legislative
4	finance committee hearing on this issue presented by
5	the professionals at the legislature. I saw that the
6	State Land Office is addressing bonding in this
7	forum, but also in their own forum. I saw that EMNRD
8	is really asking for help.
9	And if you just look at the medium level
10	of abandoned or orphaned wells, it's a \$700 million
11	cost. It's not the lowest cost, it's not the highest
12	cost. But who is paying?
13	I just dropped off my little boy at
14	school. My stepdaughter has severe asthma. You look
15	at the American Lung Association rankings for smog
16	grades in our oil and gas producing communities and
17	I'm thinking, okay, there's some income from oil and
18	gas to the state, but there's also some big cost.
19	And that's asthma, that's global warming, it's the
20	cleanup costs, it's the impact to our air and water
21	and climate.
22	So I really appreciate the technical,
23	science-based, thoughtful, financial liability
24	approach to this hearing. We appreciate your
25	service.

1	These 900 members of the Sierra Club are
2	not extremists. They're the people who brought us
3	the national parks, the Clean Air Act and the Clean
4	Water Act. And we're just asking you to do the
5	diligence that our kids, our families and our
6	communities require. So thank you. Thanks for your
7	service.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much.
9	Anyone else? Anyone else this morning
10	in the room? Come on up.
11	Would you say and spell your first and
12	last name, please.
13	MS. MARTIN: My name is Susan Martin.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
15	affirm to tell the truth?
16	MS. MARTIN: Yes, I do.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
18	MS. MARTIN: Yes, as a Sierra Club member
19	for many years, I associate myself with our executive
20	director's remarks and just want to remind all of us
21	something that we were told by our parents many, many
22	times: Clean up after yourself and be responsible.
23	This is of importance to not just the
24	people who are here in front of us, but the people
25	who will come after us and the next generations.

1	And I thank you very much.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
3	Ms. Martin.
4	Anyone else in the room before I turn to
5	the platform? In the room. No?
6	All right. Sheila, let's go to the
7	platform. Let's see, the two folks I have who
8	indicated a desire to speak this morning from the
9	platform are Clara Simms and Donna Detweiler.
10	Do we have Ms. Simms?
11	MS. SIMS: Should I go ahead and speak?
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. Hello. If you
13	would please spell your first and last name.
14	MS. SIMS: Clara, C-L-A-R-A, and Sims,
15	S-I-M-S.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Do swear
17	or affirm to tell the truth?
18	MS. SIMS: I do.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
20	MS. SIMS: Good morning, Chair and
21	Commissioners. My name is Reverend Clara Sims, and
22	I'm speaking on behalf of New Mexico Interfaith Power
23	and Light in strong support of the proposed bonding
24	rule changes.
25	At our organization, we support faith
	Page 17

1 communities across New Mexico who are advocating for climate and environmental justice on behalf of this 2 place, our home that is sacred and beloved to us all. 3 A recent poll about bonding shows that 4 5 New Mexicans overwhelmingly support requiring corporations to pay to clean up wells when they reach 6 their end use. Our communities understand that it is 8 fair and it is just for the industry that is causing pollution to be responsible for addressing their own 9 impacts and remediating the harm done to our air, 10 11 land, water, and communities, especially those who 12 are living on the front lines. 13 As people of faith and conscience, we understand that our air, land and water are more than 14 15 resources, they are more than dollar sign values. 16 They are sacred elements that sustain all our lives, 17 and without their health and integrity, there is no 18 future. 19 You already know from expert testimony that oil and gas corporations can drill dozens or 20 21 even hundreds of wells in New Mexico, while posting cleanup bonds that fall far short of the actual cost. 22 23 Not only do the current bonding rules fall far short 24 of the monetary value of cleaning up a well, the current bonding rules fall far short of a moral 25

1	responsibility we all share to ensure that present
2	and future generations do not inherit polluted air
3	that is dangerous to breathe and polluted water that
4	is dangerous to drink.
5	The rule updates that are before you are
6	a chance to finally bring policy into alignment with
7	dignity and fairness for all New Mexicans. They are
8	a chance to make a moral and an ethical choice on
9	behalf of our collective present and our collective
10	future.
11	Please ensure that the corporations who
12	are profiting from New Mexico's lands are fully
13	responsible for cleaning up after themselves
14	100 percent of the time. Please help show us all
15	that here in New Mexico our leaders listen to the
16	people, because we all love this place we call home
17	and we want to help create a future that is just and
18	fair, a future that preserves the immeasurable value
19	of that which sustains our lives.
20	Please vote to adopt stronger bonding
21	rules. Thank you for your time, for your service,
22	and for your leadership.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Reverend
24	Sims.
25	Is Donna Detweiler on the platform?
	Page 19

1	MS. APODACA: I'm not seeing her, so she
2	won't need to raise her hand if she is.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: If there's anyone
4	else on the platform who would like to offer public
5	comment during this session, please raise your
6	virtual hand. If you have phoned in, you can press
7	star 5 to raise your hand.
8	The next public comment session is at
9	4 o'clock this afternoon, and it is one of the public
10	comment sessions in which there is an interpreter
11	available between English and Spanish and Spanish and
12	English. That's at 4:00 p.m. today.
13	So if there are no other public
14	commenters this morning, we will return to the
15	technical case. Thank you all very much.
16	Mr. Cloutier, I believe you said you
17	have a preliminary matter to discuss?
18	MR. CLOUTIER: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer.
19	IPANM spent some time over the weekend going over its
20	witness list, in light of the way the cases come in
21	to date. And I believe all the parties have agreed
22	that IPANM is announcing that it will not be calling
23	witnesses Padgett, McHugh, Bradley, Cantrell, and
24	Andrews. We ask that their testimony be treated as
25	written comments to the Commission. And that appears
	Page 20

down our witness list by five witnesses. And hope it
enables us to finish on time, if not early.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
Mr. Cloutier. I believe I saw a variety of e-mails
in response to your e-mail concerning that, and I saw
a number of no objections were all in. But let me
pause for a moment in the event
MR. CLOUTIER: Let me say one thing. The
only qualification was that the Division approved
with the caveat that the testimony on Friday from the
witness concerning Mr. Padgett's testimony remain in
the record. And IPANM has no objection to that.
Otherwise all the parties agree, the
other parties all agreed. I kept the tally. But
you're welcome to poll them if you wish.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I was just going to
pause for a moment in the event someone wanted to
speak up. And I did see Mr. Tremaine's caveat, so
thank you for just that.
MR. TREMAINE: One minor clarification. So
I completely agree with Mr. Cloutier. Mr. Powell's
surrebuttal did touch on other witnesses. So I would
phrase it as we have not withdrawn Mr. Powell's
surrebuttal for Friday.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.

1	MR. CLOUTIER: And IPANM has absolutely no
2	objection to that.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank you
4	very much. And would you repeat that, I wasn't
5	taking notes fast enough, the names of the witnesses.
6	MR. CLOUTIER: It's Padgett.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Padgett.
8	MR. CLOUTIER: McHugh.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: McHugh.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Bradley.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Bradley.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: Cantrell.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Cantrell.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: And Andrews.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Andrews. Got it.
16	Thank you very much.
17	MR. CLOUTIER: You're welcome.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Are there any other
19	preliminary matters before we turn to Mr. Powell's
20	surrebuttal? No? All right. Thank you all.
21	Mr. Tremaine.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
23	Officer. I just want to start out this morning by
24	welcoming back everyone, Monday morning, week two. I
25	want to thank the Commission and all the parties for

1	the accommodations last week. OCD staff is spread
2	out throughout a very large state and we had some
3	treacherous commutes, So I think that was quite
4	sportsmanly, and I appreciate it very much.
5	We're prepared to move on with
6	Mr. Powell's surrebuttal.
7	BRANDON POWELL,
8	having first been previously duly sworn,
9	testified as follows:
10	SURREBUTTAL EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. TREMAINE:
12	Q. Good morning, Mr. Powell.
13	A. Good morning. You're still under oath. I
14	want to ask you a couple questions, moving on to
15	surrebuttal related to the rebuttal testimony of
16	Mr. Winchester.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer, I'd
18	like to share a screen.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Sheila. Thank you.
20	BY MR. TREMAINE:
21	Q. So, Mr. Powell, I'm going to direct you to
22	page 1, line 12, where Mr. Winchester states, "In
23	particular, I believe that there is information in
24	that exhibit, " referring to Exhibit 17, "which
25	indicates that some of the wells should no longer be
	Page 23

1 classified as orphaned wells under any rational 2. definition of that term, and to observe that there are other wells listed that are not going to be affected," 3 carrying over to page 2, "by this rulemaking and never 4 5 would have been affected by the state of New Mexico financial assurance requirements." 6 7 How do you respond? 8 A. I would respond to that, Exhibit 17 is a 9 reporting spreadsheet of actions OCD has taken. After we get a hearing, after we get a settlement agreement, 10 11 wells go on that list. There's not a true definition 12 of "orphan." That list is to show wells that OCD has 13 plugging authority on. Some of those wells will end up being 14 15 plugged by other entities, but it's wells OCD has 16 already taken action on. It's not a -- and we'll get 17 into this further down, but it's not a tool that's looking at future enforcement action. It's where it 18 is action has already been taken for enforcement 19 20 action. 2.1 Regarding what are classified in there, 22 there are wells that are plugged, not released, and I 23 believe that's some of the things Mr. Winchester is alluding to. The Reed Estate that Rosa showed earlier 2.4

Page 24

last week is a well that was plugged -- I believe in

25

1 2015, is what the testimony was, I haven't looked that 2 one up personally -- that we had to go back on and do remediation and that remediation was expensive. 3 So wells even in that condition, doesn't 4 5 mean we don't still have to do something. 6 historically OCD has used financial assurance for not only the plugging, but the remediation of the well, 8 equipment that's on site, those kinds of things. 9 A rough example, and this isn't any well, if a well, say, had \$100,000 in financial 10 assurance and it cost \$50,000 in plugging, would we 11 12 really give that other \$50,000 back to the company or 13 the financial institution to then go use other funds to pay for the other \$50,000 and clean up if it 14 15 needed? That makes fundamentally no sense when 16 everything is tied to that well. 17 Q. I'm going to move on to page 4, line 11, where Mr. Winchester states, "Thus, this indication 18 19 indicates that at least the plugging work and perhaps 20 all the work has been done on this site to move the 21 well to plugged, site released status once the 22 Division inspects the well and finalizes the 23 appropriate paperwork. Under my definition, these 2.4 wells are close and perhaps just lacking paperwork to

being former orphaned wells."

25

I can say

1	And the status above in line 8 that
2	Mr. Winchester is referring to is plugged, site
3	released. You may have just answered this question,
4	but how do you respond?
5	A. So just to expound on what I mentioned
6	earlier, just because a well is unplugged, not
7	released, it's not as simple as going and doing an
8	inspection.
9	A lot of times, an environmental
10	assessment is done at that site. We're looking for
11	staining. Currently because of schedules, the
12	environmental groups are the ones removing tank
13	batteries, removing beehives, removing separators,
14	removing associated equipment with that well.
15	That work still has to be done at the
16	environmental side of it, before that inspection can
17	occur, so there can be significant costs of that well,
18	even after the well bore is plugged, that are
19	associated with that well.
20	Q. All right. Mr. Powell, I'm going to refer
21	you to page 5 of Mr. Winchester's rebuttal testimony
22	line 8, where he states, "As I testified, most
23	operators are responsible and plug their uneconomic
24	wells. Rounding to the nearest whole number, three
25	quarters of the wells listed on OCD Exhibit 17 are or

1	were operated by 10 operators as follows."
2	And then he includes a list of 10
3	operators from the MOSS. How do you respond?
4	A. So I think what using MOSS for versus what
5	it's actually used for, and then we can dive into, I
6	think, a broader scope, is MOSS, again, is where OCD
7	has taken action to perform reviews or get received
8	hearing orders, receive settlement agreements that
9	have allowed us to put wells on that list. That's not
10	the full scope of what OCD sees as potential
11	enforcement or going through the process of that.
12	I believe we've got something we can
13	pull up that shows some of that enforcement mechanisms
14	that OCD is reviewing and looking at that because in
15	the Exhibit 17, I think they have listed 1,371 wells.
16	That is wells that are on that list where action has
17	been taken. If you don't mind, can you please pull up
18	the operator's list that haven't submitted C-115s?
19	MR. TREMAINE: I'm sharing on screen now,
20	Madam Hearing Officer, a demonstrative aid which had
21	distributed to the parties last Friday, which
22	Mr. Powell prepared as demonstrative for surrebuttal.
23	BY MR. TREMAINE:
24	Q. Please explain.
25	A. So what this list is, is this is actually
	Page 27

1	one of the lists that we use to look at enforcement
2	mechanisms. It's not the MOSS list. So what this
3	list is, is it's a truncated version of unsubmitted
4	C-115s that OCD can run to find operators that aren't
5	even reporting production.
6	So this list is pared down to operators
7	that haven't reported production since August of 2024.
8	And if you scroll down the list, you'll be able to
9	actually see that that's just a portion, as far as a
10	date. Some of these dates go back years of
11	non-production. This is a list of operators that
12	aren't even reporting production to the state in over
13	a year.
14	So when you add up all of this, this is
15	a far greater number than what we included even in
16	MOSS. But these are operators that aren't complying
17	with basic reporting criteria. So looking at this,
18	and it was brought up in cross where I think somebody
19	mentioned maybe 20 operators in MOSS and that at
20	30 percent, it was going to be a little over 100
21	operators.
22	In this list, we've got 113 operators
23	that aren't even reporting production in the state; 76
24	of those own state and private wells. So 113 aren't
25	going to be affected by the presumption of no

1	beneficial use, 76 will have to bond their wells. And
2	that's just taking not reporting production since
3	August of last year.
4	What that looks like as far as wells
5	that are totally out of compliance is 2,318 that
6	include state, private and federal wells. 1,213 of
7	those are state and private wells only. So 1,213
8	wells just there would require the bonding and then
9	potential presumption of no beneficial use.
10	Again, this isn't the whole spectrum of
11	reviews. If IPANM would have reached out to discuss
12	what OCD is looking at in enforcement, we could have
13	provided some of our enforcement mechanisms to them to
14	look at.
15	Another one is Exhibit 16, minus the O
16	column that we provided as a exhibit here. When we
17	originally pooled that, that wasn't for this
18	rulemaking. That was to pool to see where operators
19	were operating in the state as far as production, what
20	their wells looked like, what their wells didn't look
21	like.
22	Hill Corp we discussed was one of those.
23	We found that there was over 100 wells by Hill Corp.
24	And this can be pulled up in Exhibit 16 as needed.
25	But there was over 100 wells on that by Hill Corp.

1	that had less than 10 BOE of production for the year
2	and less than 10 days of production. I think the
3	lowest one was like .1677. So I'm guessing they
4	reported 1 MCF for the year.
5	That is another enforcement mechanism
6	that the OCD looks at when evaluating where to go with
7	enforcement criteria.
8	So based on the unsubmitted C-115s and
9	then based on Exhibit 16 and looking at it, there's
LO	potentially thousands of wells out there right now
L1	that will fall under this that, again, aren't even
L2	making that basic principle of production as far as
L3	what they're looking at, what they're producing.
L4	Again, if an operator produces 180 days,
L5	this rule doesn't apply for anything as far as bonding
L6	or presumption of no beneficial use. And if a well is
L7	not even capable of that because of mechanical issues,
L8	those kind of things, then the operators should be
L9	looking at that well and what they're going to do with
20	it.
21	Again, Exhibit 16 is production through
22	the state. It's a really powerful tool. I believe
23	it's been filed in this case record since August. If
24	industry would have reached out, we could have
25	provided that.

I also would like to go through a
walkthrough of how that can be used as an enforcement
mechanism to show, based on Winchester's testimony,
what we're really looking at as far as operator
compliance.
Q. So, Mr. Powell, just a clarification before
you move through any walkthroughs or further
explanation. I just want to clarify for the
Commission, is it true that there is some overlap
between the unsubmitted C-115 report and the MOSS,
specifically that some subset of the operators on this
single tool are in fact already included on the MOSS.
For instance, Canyon E&P Company is on both.
A. Absolutely. Actually, almost all of the
operators on MOSS, if not all of them, are on this
list. One of the things we do is look at the best
bang for our buck because we're strapped as far as
capacities, as well.
So you'll see a lot of the operators
with the higher number of wells we've already taken
action against. You'll also see a lot of operators
with one, two, three wells. It takes OCD as much time
to pursue compliance on an operator with one well as
it does just on the screen that's being shown for
Canyon at 203 wells. It takes as much hearing time,

1	polling lists, those kind of things to take all of
2	those. So we're working down the list just on these,
3	but we know, based on this Exhibit 16, that this is
4	just a starting point.
5	Q. So, Mr. Powell, this demonstrative aid lists
6	wells that are universally required to be plugged
7	under the existing rule right now?
8	A. Potentially, because it would still have to
9	go through hearings so we don't have plugging orders
LO	on all of these wells. But every well in the state is
L1	required to report production monthly, and that's due
L2	45 days after that month.
L3	So these wells, 2,318 wells, are out of
L 4	compliance on a per well basis for every month since
L5	our last production. So if you look at some of that,
L6	at the bottom, I'll pick the first one with a state
L7	and private well.
L8	Southwest, Inc., they haven't reported
L9	any production since 2009. Every month since 2009,
20	those three wells have been out of compliance in the
21	state.
22	Q. So, if you take this list and you remove all
23	of the operators for which OCD has already resolved
24	plugging authority, will OCD still have a substantial
25	number of individual enforcement actions under the

1	current rule, not the proposed rule, but the current
2	rule?
3	A. Absolutely. If testimony earlier last week
4	was true, that there's roughly 20 operators, again, I
5	didn't run those numbers, but if there's 20 operators
6	on MOSS, we've got roughly another 90 operators to go
7	as far as compliance just with the production.
8	The goal of this rule with the bonding
9	is to get some bonding in place so the operators were,
10	one, either addressing their wells as they start to
11	become non-productive, or have bonding in place So
12	when we get to those wells that we can actually plug
13	those wells efficiently.
14	Because as it sits right now, the world
15	of what we're looking at is far greater than what's on
16	the MOSS spreadsheet.
17	Q. And, Mr. Powell, we're talking right now
18	about inactive wells and unsubmitted C-115s. Do you
19	agree that this discussion does not reach to OCD's
20	concerns for those wells which are on the verge of
21	inactivity?
22	A. No. That's where Exhibit 16 is so powerful,
23	is because that's what's showing production in the
24	state. In FY24, industry well, anybody can take
25	that list, because that list was intended for all
	Page 33

1	production in the state, the Commission, to see what
2	production was in the state on a well-by-well basis.
3	You can use filters on that, and I'll show some
4	filters in just a moment on how easy that is to
5	navigate and check for compliance.
6	You can use filters to see where they're
7	at. Each individual company can check their own
8	information and see really where that's at. And that
9	number is far greater than even what we're showing on
10	the unsubmitted C-115s or MOSS.
11	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer,
12	Mr. Powell has proffered that he would like to run
13	through some examples on the replacement Exhibit 16,
14	is that pleases the Commission?
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank you
16	very much.
17	MR. TREMAINE: He will need to share screen
18	from his laptop, as opposed to mine. You do not want
19	me following Mr. Powell's instructions in Excel.
20	A. So let me get to that so I can share. Thank
21	you. I'm used to working with multiple screens, so I
22	apologize. It's taking me just a moment to share my
23	screen.
24	Okay. So this is Exhibit 16. This is
25	the exhibit that, again, everybody's had since August.

1	Again, we're excluding the column O that was
2	previously provided, but all the source data is still
3	the same.
4	You can go and filter it. You can
5	change filters by company. You can change filters by
6	BOE. You can change lots of different filters.
7	One of the filters that we're going to
8	look at is we've pulled new numbers. We'll offer them
9	later to replace what Mr. Garcia showed earlier. But
10	I want to show how easy it is to use this when
11	checking a company by company's experience or status.
12	So I'm going to go in. And I was kind
13	of surprised, one of the companies was testifying was
14	Merrion Oil & Gas because they're a company that's
15	always been known to have a high reputation in the
16	industry and in the community. So I wanted to see
17	what effect this would have on them.
18	So let's go to companies, and we're
19	going to filter just by that one company. So I'm
20	going to go Merrion Oil & Gas. So next is, because
21	this affects different well types, different ways
22	potentially, I'm going to check they only have oil and
23	gas wells.
24	Then I'm going to go over to BOE. I'm
25	going to do a filter. We're going to do the big one

1	first as far as the high volumes. So I want one that
2	is greater than or equal to 90, and I'm going to do
3	one that is less than a thousand. So in that
4	criteria, there are six wells that pop up. As you can
5	see, days in production, all six of them are above 180
6	days. So that means none of those wells are going to
7	require any additional bonding.
8	They are producing those wells, there's
9	constant production in those wells. Even though the
10	BOE is below as currently written, those would require
11	no bonding.
12	If we remove the days, then six wells
13	total, and I believe they have 60 wells would require
14	bonding. But as it's currently written, none of those
15	wells would require that. So we checked that one.
16	I'm going to clear that filter, and I'm
17	going to look now at BOE under 90. So we're going to
18	do less than or actually we're going to do a custom
19	filter, because again, zero doesn't, that's already
20	inactive, that's already something that's under OCD's
21	purview. So we're going to pick is greater than zero
22	is less than 90.
23	So they have one well, spud 1964, that
24	is in that criteria. So that well in 2024 produced 15
25	days and 19 BOE.

1	I want to show now how easy it is to
2	look to see kind of what's going on with that well.
3	So I'm going to pull up on our website. I'm going to
4	go to the publicly viewable website, so it's not any
5	tricks or anything that anybody can't do.
6	I'm going to go to OCD permitting. I'm
7	going to go to operator data. I'm going to go
8	actually I'm going to go to searches I'm sorry, I'm
9	going to go to wells. And I'm going to enter in that
LO	API number. Again, I'm doing this live and I don't
L1	have that good of memory. So 12174.
L2	So we're going to pull up that single
L3	well's information. I'm going to go to the production
L4	on the right. And as you can see, this well has a
L5	long history of production. That production continued
L6	fairly steadily till about 2015. Looks like they had
L7	a potential issue in 2016. There was some production
L8	in 2017 through 2019. And then there's been very
L9	little production since. Looks like there's some
20	production in 2025 back. But the production volumes
21	is far below what it was prior to 2015.
22	And on historical wells' decline curves,
23	a lot of folks have told me, our experts, that at the
24	end of life, your decline curve is usually fairly
25	solid. And so you're expecting consistent decline

1	through a well. So it appears something's going on
2	potentially with that well. When we pull up that
3	well's files to see what's been reported, to see if we
4	can explain, is it a pipeline issue, is it something
5	else, we pull up the associated images, the last image
6	submitted to OCD was in 2010 and nothing since.
7	So the 2015 is not on there. The
8	current issues aren't on there. What was going on in
9	2024 isn't on there. So OCD, as it sits, has no idea
10	what's going on in that well. So this well would get
11	a presumption of no beneficial use.
12	And it could be productive. It could
13	just be towards the end of its life. And Merrion
14	could say, "We're coming back. We're producing this
15	one on 181 days and so we don't get that presumption
16	of no beneficial use." And that would be fine. But
17	as it sits right now, we don't have that data.
18	But looking at a company that
19	historically has been known to be a reputable company,
20	they have one well that this role applies to.
21	Also, unless the Commission or somebody
22	would like me to go through it, Merrion I don't
23	believe has plugged a well since 2022. So Merrion and
24	their due diligence in plugging and taking care of
25	their wells hasn't needed to plug a well in the last

1	three to four years. And they still only have one
2	well on this list that would apply.
3	Q. Mr. Powell, is that demonstration and your
4	discussion of the Merrion wells, is that consistent
5	with OCD's intent when it proposed the risk proxy
6	production thresholds?
7	A. It was. The OCD intentionally tried to pick
8	volumes low enough that if an operator is operating
9	prudently, is not going to be affected by this rule
10	negatively, that they can continue to operate.
11	What OCD intended to try to capture in
12	this rulemaking is operators that aren't operating
13	prudently, that don't have 25 or 50 percent production
14	in a year. It's similar to having a business that you
15	shutter the doors 75 or 50 percent of the year. That
16	just doesn't make business. Those mineral owners are
17	making any mineral rights during that time.
18	Again, as we discussed earlier,
19	40 percent of the inactive well list is private wells.
20	That's typically private minerals that that mineral
21	owner isn't getting any reimbursement for their
22	minerals, but it's still holding the lease, so no
23	other operators can go in there and explore those
24	mineral rights.
25	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer, I'll
	Page 39

1 share screen again and move back to my questions on 2. surrebuttal. BY MR. TREMAINE: 3 Q. Mr. Powell, I'm looking at page -- moving a 4 5 little further down the page, line 14, Mr. Winchester 6 states, "The OCD submitted three other lists of wells in exhibits 21, 22 and 23 for which there was little 8 to no explanatory testimony from any of the OCD 9 witnesses." Mr. Powell, how do you respond, and 10 11 what's the purpose of those three exhibits? 12 A. So, those three exhibits are wells that 13 aren't producing, plain and simple, through different 14 mechanisms. We actually expected more dialogue on 15 those, potentially with industry, of kind of where 16 those were at. 17 Those wells are three lists. One is inactive wells, excluding TA or ACOI wells, one's a 18 list of inactive wells that include the ACOI wells, 19 20 and one's an inactive list, including the TA wells, to show that those are the wells that aren't being 2.1 22 productive. The TA wells have X number of wells that 23 aren't producing. The ACOIs have X number of wells 2.4 that the Division has agreed to with an operator to correct how many wells they're remediating at any 25

1	particular time. But those three lists are simply
2	wells that aren't producing in New Mexico today.
3	Q. And, Mr. Powell, do you agree that if a
4	member of the public were to go to OCD's website and
5	run a similar report, that that report would be
6	exported in a printable form, such as PDF as is the
7	form in which they were provided to the Commission and
8	the parties?
9	A. Yes. And if I remember correctly, and it's
10	been a day since I've done that, but you run the
11	inactive well list on compliance on the OCD's
12	permitting website that I just showed going to the
13	other well information. It pulls up a list of wells.
14	And so you don't have to page through each one of
15	those; you can export it to Excel, and I believe
16	that's what I did.
17	Q. Moving on, I'd like to draw your attention
18	to page 8, line 2, where Mr. Winchester states, "As
19	reported on July 1st, 2025, OCD has again recovered
20	\$0.00 from bond forfeitures, making it five years out
21	of the last seven with zero dollars from financial
22	assurance bonds."
23	How do you respond?
24	A. My understanding is OCD has recovered bonds
25	since that. Within the last five or seven years,
	Dage 41

1	we've pursued some, I believe, with Cano. There's
2	some out there that we pursued. That's typically done
3	through our legal group, but I am aware that we have
4	claimed some bonds.
5	We haven't gone after as many bonds as
6	we have wells plugged at this point. Canyon is a
7	great example. On Canyon, they have a lot of bonding,
8	I believe, if memory serves me correctly. We can look
9	at MOSS. They were under \$10,000 per individual well
10	bond.
11	So it makes sense to do those in
12	packages because we're working with the same financial
13	institution. So instead of pursuing 100 wells 100
14	times, we can pursue 100 wells one, two or three times
15	and send that financial institution all the supporting
16	data to get that because we can't pool those bonds
17	until after a well is plugged. And when we call that
18	financial information, we have to actually submit over
19	what we did on those Wells, the plugging invoices,
20	those kind of things, so they can reimburse us for
21	that money that we then put back in the reclamation
22	fund.
23	Q. And, Mr. Powell, do you agree and
24	acknowledge that the Cano bond forfeiture has not been

updated in OCD permitting?

25

1	A. Yes, that was my understanding.
2	Q. And is OCD updating that information in
3	earnest?
4	A. Yes, we are.
5	Q. Okay. And do you agree that the other bond
6	forfeitures you referenced are pending as we speak?
7	A. That is my understanding, yes.
8	Q. One moment. Sir, I'd like to refer you to
9	page 10, Mr. Winchester's rebuttal testimony, line 10.
LO	"Yes, OCD Exhibits 17, 21, 22 and 23
L1	also contain a number of wells that are subject to
L2	federal or tribal jurisdiction and therefore outside
L3	the scope of this rulemaking proceeding."
L4	How do you respond?
L5	A. So let's start with the latter first, tribal
L6	jurisdiction. This well, this rulemaking won't affect
L7	tribal jurisdiction. If operators come to us and say
L8	the tribal nation doesn't want anything to happen on
L9	those wells, nothing will happen on those wells as far
20	as presumption of no beneficial use, those kind of
21	things. I.
22	Will note that on MOSS, there are some
23	tribal wells in there where the tribe has come forward
24	and asked us to plug wells for them using funding
25	because they didn't have any. So there are tribal

1	wells in MOSS that OCD has plugged.
2	Regarding federal, so that's kind of a
3	two-part answer. On the bonding, OCD doesn't bond
4	federal wells. So the bonding portion of the federal
5	wells will not apply. But the presumption of no
6	beneficial use does apply.
7	However, if an operator gets a
8	presumption of no beneficial use and they have an
9	agreement with the BLM to show that there is some
10	beneficial use to that well, that's something that
11	they can submit with their information showing a
12	beneficial use to the state that the state would then
13	look at.
14	Q. One moment as I pick three. All right.
15	Mr. Powell, I'm going to direct you to page 17. And
16	on line 18 of page 17 of Mr. Winchester's rebuttal
17	testimony, this carries over onto the next page. I'm
18	going to put this up on the screen here rather than
19	read. I'll read a subset of it, but I just want to
20	refer you to this entire question and ask you if
21	you've reviewed this section of Mr. Winchester's
22	rebuttal testimony?
23	A. I have.
24	Q. Specifically, I want to draw your attention
25	to page 18, line 6. And I'll quote, "I have had

1	members inquire whether some sort of fix is in on this
2	rulemaking. And the Division has foreknowledge that
3	the Commission will adopt at least certain portions of
4	the rulemaking despite opposition."
5	Further, Mr. Winchester states, "I find
6	it quite troubling that the Division is promulgating
7	forms or requiring operator certification based on
8	either the Division's extra regulatory judgment or
9	provisions on proposed rulemaking that this Commission
10	has not adopted."
11	And just as a reminder, the section here
12	is referring to the oil conservation Division's change
13	of facility operator form promulgated by the Division.
14	Mr. Powell, how do you respond?
15	A. I would respond, I think Mr. Winchester's
16	members think we're far more nefarious than we are.
17	This form, this process had absolutely zero to do with
18	this rulemaking. This process was actually contingent
19	or an outgrowth of part 27, which is the methane
20	reporting rule. It's the first rule that ever
21	required any facilities to be reported or registered
22	to companies that we actually used that registration
23	and rule.
24	What we found is there was hundreds, if
25	not potentially thousands of facilities out there that

were registered to one operator that were actually owned by another operator. So what we were trying to do is create a process where operators could transfer their facilities from one operator to the other and we could have something on record.

The percentage of operator -- or if you

2.1

2.4

The percentage of operator -- or if you scroll to the prior page, I want to make sure I quote this right. "No person with an interest exceeding 25 percent in the undersigned company information..." that is required when an operator first registers with the state. And I think it would be ludicrous to say, well, once you register, you don't have to comply with that anymore.

The second part is every operator that's performed a well transfer in the state for years has initialed this as part of their well transfers because the source form that we used to create the facility operator form was from the well transfer form. It's an existing form. We were trying to duplicate the existing process for facilities tied with those existing wells.

Again, these facilities are tied to the wells that they're using that on. Has zero to do with this rulemaking. We didn't even look at connecting the two dots. What we were trying to do is facilitate

1	a request from operators to transfer facilities so
2	they could properly report under part 27.
3	Q. Mr. Powell, did you watch the testimony of
4	Mr. John Garcia?
5	A. I did.
6	Q. Do you recall the questions I'll refer to as
7	"and/or gate"?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. Did you prepare a response to and/or gate?
10	A. I did. But I didn't use and/or or because I
11	don't I can't write code near as well as John Garcia
12	does.
13	Q. I'm going to share screen to offer as a
14	demonstrative the exhibit that Mr. Powell has
15	prepared. Mr. Powell, is this the demonstrative that
16	you have prepared in response to that line of
17	questions?
18	A. It is. It goes through and updates the
19	numbers based on the understanding and the rule. I
20	think a well scatterplot really well demonstrates
21	this, but this gives the actual number to it.
22	As you can see, I tried to show how I
23	filtered these without writing and/or code. So zero
24	BOE, that's just going in that FY24 spreadsheet. And
25	anything that was listed as an oil or gas well,

1	because that's the overall filter that had zero BOE is
2	that number.
3	Next, we move to the greater than zero,
4	just like we did in the Merrion case, and less than 90
5	BOE, and that's the 3914. Then if you add anything
6	that's less than 90 days, is 1842. So it reduces that
7	number from 3900 to 1800.
8	Going to the higher allotment, so above
9	the presumption of no beneficial use. I did the 90
10	to or less than 1,000, there's 11,551. And then
11	when you add the less than 180 days, there's, it
12	filters that down to 1652.
13	So what I did is accumulate at the
14	bottom. If you removed the number of days produced,
15	there would be potentially 15,465 wells affected
16	today. If you keep it as it's written currently,
17	there's 3,494 wells that are affected by this
18	rulemaking potentially.
19	Q. And, Mr. Powell, just to clarify for
20	foundation and the understanding of the Commission, is
21	it that you utilized when you applied these
22	filters, they were applied to the replacement
23	Exhibit 16, which we referred to earlier in the
24	hearing?
25	A. They were. And I would quote, there was

1	one couple stars at the bottom. The first star is
2	in that Exhibit 16. Again, we had pulled that
3	originally for another enforcement overview, not for
4	this rulemaking. It does include federal wells, and I
5	think I actually had a typo and it says this does
6	exclude that should be include federal land types
7	that would be unaffected by bonding requirements,
8	because this was all wells in the state.
9	So anything that's on federal wouldn't
10	be affected by the bonding subtypes, and anything
11	that's tribal wouldn't be affected at all. So when we
12	look at that 3494, the number is probably
13	significantly less than that because you then have to
14	exclude the federal bonding requirements and then
15	exclude any tribal wells.
16	Q. So, Mr. Powell, when we're talking about
17	Exhibit 16, I believe you've been clear, but that's
18	using 2024 production data, correct?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. Okay. So looking at these numbers today, so
21	things could have changed if production was reported
22	since that time, correct?
23	A. Absolutely. We just had the 2024 because I
24	pulled that for other enforcement. And then also,
25	this rulemaking has started so we started using that

1	for our evaluation.
2	Q. As a baseline for comparison?
3	A. Yep.
4	Q. So barring any changes from reported
5	production, this graphic stands for the proposition
6	that there are 2,489 wells that would need to be
7	plugged under current rule?
8	A. Correct, that would be the assumption.
9	Q. Okay. And that there are 1842 additional
10	wells that would potentially receive a presumption of
11	no beneficial use from the Division?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. And that there are 1652 wells that would be
14	required to provide individual financial
15	single-well financial assurance if the proposed rule
16	were promulgated?
17	A. That would be the maximum amount. Again, it
18	would take into consideration the federal wells and
19	the tribal wells, so I would expect that to actually
20	be lower.
21	Q. By what, about half?
22	A. Federal wells account for 50 percent of the
23	wells in the state. But, again, inactive wells are
24	40 percent. It would depend on how operators are
25	treating when you're looking at that category, how
	Page 50

1	they're treating the federal and state wells. It
2	could be a higher percentage than half, or it could be
3	half.
4	Q. Do you recall your earlier testimony and
5	surrebuttal testimony regarding proposed concerns with
6	the way in which operators report days of production
7	currently?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. And would you provide any input for the
10	Commission from the Division's perspective on whether
11	there's a benefit to including the days component or
12	the viability of striking the days component?
13	A. I think the including the days component is
14	a noble effort. I think it's really what the Division
15	and the applicant is trying to accomplish is making
16	sure operators are operating their wells prudently.
17	I do think there's some instances where
18	that's currently being abused, that may change in the
19	future, where, say, an operator says they're operating
20	their well 200-plus days and they're still producing
21	very, very little.
22	I think if we keep it as written, the
23	Division is probably going to have to look into those
24	scenarios to find out if it's true days production or
25	if there's something else going on as far as data

1	quality.
2	If the days are removed and we're
3	looking at the bigger scope, then that obligation
4	would fall on operators when they respond to the
5	presumption of no beneficial use, stating how they're
6	using that well.
7	So either way, potentially that days in
8	production is going to have to be investigated in the
9	future, whether it's something the operator uses as
LO	their justification for beneficial use or whether it's
L1	something that the OCD has to look at and make sure
L2	it's not being abused.
L3	Q. Mr. Powell, do you recall the testimony and
L 4	cross-examination of Lauren Diede and Rosa Romero?
L5	A. I do.
L6	Q. Do you recall lines of questions regarding
L7	the rate of occurrence of extreme examples?
L8	A. I do.
L9	Q. How do you respond to the assertion that OCD
20	deals with such examples only rarely?
21	A. So I've been with the Division for 19 and a
22	half years. Extreme examples pop up more regularly
23	than I would hope for. You know, those were I
24	think examples that popped up in the last year and a
25	half. I think that was fairly clarified with other
	Page 52

1 people's experiences. 2 I can say as of Friday, when I was sitting here testifying, the Division was dealing with 3 another extreme case. We've currently got a well with 4 5 well control issues. We've got 900 PSI on the casing and the tubing, what we moved on. We had a well 6 control -- both a plugging group, environmental group 8 and an extreme well control group on that well. 9 This well was a few hundred yards from the Pecos River. We were out there building berms to 10 11 contain the fluid so it didn't flow in the river. 12 had a well control group that was on the well trying 13 to get control of the wellhead. 14 When we tried calling the company, both 15 of their numbers were disconnected. They had seven 16 out of eight wells listed as inactive in the system. 17 It's something we were actively responding to as I was sitting up there that I would 18 19 call this as an extreme case as well. That happened 20 on Friday that we responded to on Friday and 2.1 throughout the weekend. 22 To me, this is very similar to what we were dealing with, buckeye high pressures, flow 23 2.4 issues. The wellhead was corroded to the point where we can't shut that well in. Now we're flowing that to 25

1	a frack tank and continuing to empty out the frack
2	tank.
3	We're reviewing actions to see what we
4	can do with that well, whether it's freeze the top of
5	the well with nitrogen so we can replace the wellhead
6	and get well control, what we're going to do. But
7	we're likely going to be starting that well plugging
8	tens of thousands of dollars, if not hundreds of
9	thousands of dollars before we even put a rig on that
L O	well to be able to address that well.
11	And those are just the things that come
12	up from this from time to time. We had industry out
13	there. Devon attempting to assist with us, Conoco
14	attempting to assist on this to see what experience
15	that they could provide. And I really appreciate
16	industry stepping up to help where they can.
L7	To be honest, if Devon hadn't shown up,
18	the water probably would hit the Pecos River before we
19	got any crew on site. So I appreciate industry
20	stepping up and helping in those ways.
21	And this well, it's not a deep well,
22	it's 5,000 feet. I don't know where the pressure is
23	coming from. I don't know the situation. I believe
24	it's an older well, but I haven't looked at the Spud
25	date, but that's those are the type of scenarios OCD

responds to.
Q. Mr. Powell, was that well subject to a
preexisting settlement or plugging order?
A. No, it was not.
Q. Did OCD have to issue an emergency order
regarding that well?
A. We did?
Q. Have you looked to see what the last
reported production was by that operator?
A. I am not. I've been up here instead of
looking through case files.
MR. TREMAINE: All right. One moment, Madam
Hearing Officer. Madam Hearing Officer, I believe
that resolves Mr. Powell's surrebuttal.
I would proffer the referenced
demonstrative aids as surrebuttal exhibits. If
approved, I will label those as surrebuttal exhibits
and resubmit to all the parties and the clerk. And I
would also now move for admission of replacement
Exhibit 16.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
pause for a moment in the event there are objections
to the survival demonstrative aids or replacement
Exhibit 16.
MR. SUAZO: No objections from NMOGA.

1	MS. FOX: Madam Hearing Officer, I just have
2	one question.
3	When you said that, Mr. Tremaine, the
4	demonstrative exhibits A, does that include the
5	first demonstrative A and then the and/or gate
6	demonstrative, both those?
7	MR. TREMAINE: Yes. So to clarify, I can
8	share a screen if that would be beneficial so we know
9	what we're talking about here. Because I haven't
10	done surrebuttal processes much, so I wasn't sure on
11	procedure here.
12	So I believe we're going to be starting
13	at Exhibit 32, but I'll clarify. So I would probably
14	mark this demonstrative with the BOE and the impacted
15	number of wells as 32, but I reserve that.
16	And then the unsubmitted C-115s, I
17	believe that will be Exhibit 33.
18	So those are the two surrebuttal
19	exhibits, and then just admission of replacement of
20	Exhibit 16.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you for that
22	clarification.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: Could we get the second, the
24	other one, Jesse? There was a typo. It said
25	"exclude" instead of "include." Could we get the

1	typo fixed before we submit it as an exhibit?
2	MR. TREMAINE: Let me put it up and make
3	sure that Mr. Powell can address that, since he is
4	this the right one, Mr. Cloutier?
5	MR. CLOUTIER: It is, yes. This does
6	exclude on the first asterisk, and I think, if I
7	understood Mr. Powell correctly, it should say this
8	does include.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Or does not exclude.
10	MR. POWELL: That is correct.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right, great.
12	You just added it.
13	All right. Thank you very much.
14	All right, I think I've paused
15	sufficiently long to hear any objections. So
16	Surrebuttal Exhibits 32 and 33 from the Division are
17	admitted, and replacement Exhibit 16 is admitted.
18	(Admitted: OCD Surrebuttal
19	Exhibits 32, 33 and Replacement
20	Exhibit 16.)
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: So it's a quarter
22	after 10:00. Should we take a short break before we
23	begin cross? All right. Let's come back at 10:30.
24	(Recess held from 10:15 to 10:30 a.m.)
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Cloutier, do you
	Page 57

1	have questions of Mr. Powell.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: I think Mr. Suazo was
3	planning to go first, if that's all right, Madam
4	Hearing Officer.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: That's fine.
6	Mr. Suazo.
7	MR. SUAZO: Thank you, Madam Hearing
8	Officer.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SUAZO:
11	Q. Mr. Powell, good morning.
12	A. Good morning.
13	Q. I'm Miguel Suazo. I'm with the Beatty &
14	Wozniak. And I represent the New Mexico Oil and Gas
15	Association?
16	And I wanted to start some questions for
17	you, because I think that some of the things that you
18	said on your direct and surrebuttal were illuminating
19	to industry. And I'd like to better understand kind
20	of where you're coming from so we can all kind of
21	contextualize where we are in this proceeding and why.
22	It's fair to say that OCD supports WELC,
23	the applicant's proposal in this rulemaking, correct?
24	A. Correct as written.
25	Q. And listening to WELC's testimony and

1	reviewing their exhibits, they make numerous
2	references to provisions in their proposed rule that
3	were, I guess, essentially proposed by the Division
4	itself, correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. And is it accurate that applicants WELC
7	adopted much of the language that was proposed by OCD?
8	A. They did.
9	Q. But some of that language is only from WELC,
10	itself, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. So given the nature of this rulemaking,
13	which is heavily focused on financial assurances and
14	plugging of wells, which are really integral to the
15	work that OCD does because of its technical and
16	regulatory expertise, I think industry would like to
17	know why the Division itself is not the applicant in
18	this case.
19	A. I would say WELC is the one that applied
20	first. There was definitely a need for it. WELC
21	applied and I believe the first application WELC made,
22	there were some things in there we either didn't agree
23	with or we thought could potentially be done
24	differently. So we drafted up a revised suggestion to
25	WELC. They accepted most of what we had submitted.

1	That was actually brought up in a
2	meeting I think initially with WELC and industry in
3	the same meeting, to propose what OCD was looking at
4	doing and why they were proposing the things that they
5	were proposing to tackle the same issues.
6	Q. And when did the Division first receive a
7	copy of the proposed rule changes from applicants?
8	A. Man, that's a long time ago. I'm trying to
9	go off memory. I know it was probably at least when
10	it was initially applied for, but I don't know if
11	we've seen one before they applied.
12	Q. Did you hear WELC's witnesses explain to the
13	Commission that WELC had been working with the
14	Division for at least a year before the rules were
15	actually filed?
16	A. I don't remember them working with us for a
17	year for the rule specifically. I know they were
18	working with us and some of the legislative fixes that
19	I believe EMNRD was trying to do. I think they also
20	had some general conversations with us, but I don't
21	believe in writing the original rule we were part of
22	that.
23	Matter of fact, that's probably why we
24	made the suggestions we did after the rule was filed,
25	because we had suggestions after seeing that version.

1	Q. Now, traditionally, when the Division,
2	itself, is the applicant for rulemaking, isn't there a
3	period of time when the Division would kind of do a
4	thorough job of scoping the rulemaking and seek input
5	from industry?
6	A. I think it depends on the rulemaking. I've
7	seen it work both ways, where there are some initial
8	meetings, some initial follow-up. Depends on the
9	gravity of it; usually large rulemakings, yes.
LO	Q. And would you consider this a large
L1	rulemaking?
L2	A. Yes.
L3	Q. I think industry would as well. And so I
L4	think, from industry standpoint, can you appreciate
L5	how industry might perceive the fact that, because the
L6	Division is not the applicant in this case, it's WELC
L7	and another group of parties, how engaging for
L8	purposes of this rulemaking, might be a little
L 9	different than if the Division was the applicant?
20	A. You know, if I was industry I probably would
21	have engaged more, simply because the more engagement
22	you have up front, the better understanding and trying
23	to come to a better place.
24	I know when we had that initial meeting
25	that I was in, industry was there. We proposed
	Page 61

1	stated what we were trying to do as far as the volumes
2	and some of the things. And industry in that meeting
3	stated that they would look at it and get back with
4	us. We didn't hear back from most of industry.
5	Oxy did reach out and we found some
6	consensus in some of what we do. And I think there's
7	potentially going to be some more consensus as it
8	comes up in this rulemaking.
9	But I think engagement helps
10	understanding and even if WELC and, say, NMOGA wasn't
11	directly interacting because of the OCD's involvement,
12	if NMOGA reached out and said, you know, "Exhibit 16,
13	what is that? What are you looking at?" I think we
14	could have had at least some of that dialogue and
15	understanding here.
16	As was obvious in Mr. Winchester's
17	testimony, I don't think that understanding was there.
18	And I think it could have cleared a lot of that up
19	even before this process started this last week.
20	Q. So I keep hearing from you and from WELC's
21	witnesses and from OCD counsel, "We were expecting to
22	hear," "We were hoping to hear," "We want industry to
23	engage," you know, and on and on.
24	And I want to know if you, as the deputy
25	director, are aware that NMOGA did not get a copy of
	Page 62

1	the rules that were ultimately submitted to the
2	Division until a week before they were actually
3	submitted. Are you aware of that?
4	A. I don't know if we got a copy either. But
5	because we got the copy because the rulemaking was
6	filed and it's an important rule, we made the time to
7	make sure that we read it, we understood it, and we
8	wanted to make sure we provided follow-up.
9	And I would say even off that first
10	submission to then what was ultimately brought in this
11	case was a significant difference in language and some
12	of what was looked at based on that feedback and that
13	back and forth.
14	Q. Well, I think industry would want to have
15	that same understanding as the Division. And I would
16	hope that the Division and the Commission can
17	appreciate that when that rulemaking is put in front
18	of NMOGA a week before it's ultimately filed, can't
19	the Division appreciate that that gives a trade
20	association, made up of hundreds of member companies
21	with different perspectives and, you know, things like
22	that, time to vet the actual rule before it's actually
23	on the record?
24	A. I'm sure it was a vast undertaking, but I
	A. I m baie it was a vast anacitaking, but i

1	made as well. I don't believe after the revision was
2	placed, that we received reach-out and discussion on
3	any of the points to even try to understand them, let
4	alone change them.
5	Q. Well, is it fair to ask whether you could
6	appreciate that once the rule is submitted on the
7	record and your ideas supported by the Division are
8	essentially what are proposed, how it's more
9	challenging for industry to engage constructively to
10	better shape the rules that are already in front of
11	the Commission for consideration?
12	A. I appreciate that this is a big rule. I
13	don't know that NMOGA asked for an extension to any of
14	the rulemaking to evaluate that. I don't know if
15	there was efforts to reach out that maybe I didn't
16	see.
17	Because it is a big rulemaking, but I
18	don't know that NMOGA tried to extend the timing to
19	try to get a better understanding any of that.
20	You know, at the end of the day,
21	rulemaking is set a certain way by statute and we have
22	to follow that, I would imagine. Again, I don't know
23	those rules offhand. That's for OCC to ensure and the
24	attorneys in the room to ensure we're making those
25	milestones. But I don't know of any requests for

1	extension or extension of time to give additional
2	understanding that were made.
3	Q. And I don't want to belabor this point any
4	further, Mr. Powell, but would it surprise the
5	Division to know that NMOGA did not get a copy of the
6	complete rulemaking until after it was filed?
7	A. Again, I don't think OCD did either.
8	Q. So I'm going to move on to statutory
9	authority for new bonding categories, and this is in
10	your rebuttal slides.
11	You rely on statute 70-2-14A for the
12	authority to create new categories of financial
13	assurance, correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. And the statute says that OCD, and I
16	quote, "shall establish categories of financial
17	assurance"; is that right?
18	A. That sounds correct.
19	Q. Okay. But would you agree that that same
20	statute also caps blanket bonding at \$250,000?
21	A. I would say it caps that specific category.
22	Q. There's no express statutory language
23	authorizing \$150,000 per well bond though in that
24	statute, is there?
25	A. I would say not expressly. But it does give
	Page 65

1	a test at the end if you meet other sets of criteria
2	that other categories can be created.
3	Q. So, is it fair then to say that what you're
4	really relying on is the Division's own interpretation
5	of what is meant by "categories"?
6	A. So I'm not a lawyer, so I don't try to
7	interpret statutes. I try to use them and see how
8	they're being used. But I would say that the way the
9	statute is written it says the Division shall create
_0	categories, it gives a few categories that are
L1	standard or given as examples, and then it states a
L2	list of items if you're to establish new categories
L3	that you have to fulfill.
L4	Q. So bottom line is, you think that you can
L 5	create categories based upon the Division's own
L6	interpretation of that statute?
L7	A. I don't know that it's the Division's own
-8	interpretation. I just think it's plain language
L9	what's in there.
20	Q. Now, you also discussed the legislative
21	finance committee's recommendations as support. Would
22	you acknowledge that those recommendations are
23	basically advisory only?
24	A. Yeah, I think they were advising us to
25	promulgate rules.
	Page 66

1	Q. But the LFC does not have any of its own
2	regulatory authority, correct?
3	A. No. But again, I think they found a problem
4	that we also had seen and looked at. And their
5	advisement was to promulgate rules, and that's what
6	we're doing here.
7	Q. Now, in your direct, you testified that
8	OCD's average orphan well plugging costs justify a
9	\$150,000 bond, correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And OCD plugs the most challenging wells,
12	according to your testimony, and that's why those
13	costs are higher, correct?
14	A. I wouldn't state that. I think we look to
15	find wells that are the most protective, as far as
16	when we're doing ranking, those kind of things. And
17	then we also plug other wells in the vicinity of those
18	wells so we can help avoid costs.
19	A lot of the challenging aspects that
20	we're seeing, if that contribute to costs, aren't
21	things that we've even seen on the surface to make
22	that well a challenging well.
23	Example of that is a gas leaking out of
24	a wellhead. You know, we're going to try to move on
25	to that well to get the gas stopped. But that's not
	Page 67

1	going to tell you that we've got a casing shear
2	downhole that we can't get over say 2,000 feet into
3	the well bore and we're trying to mill, we're trying
4	to do some of those jobs.
5	So the surficial aspects of the well
6	that we would be ranking off of aren't the aspects
7	that cost the most.
8	Q. Okay. So then I guess I'm trying to
9	understand why the \$150,000 bonding is necessary, as
10	you're saying. Because on the one hand, you're doing
11	the most challenging wells, but on the other hand,
12	you're doing I guess more wells that are, you know, of
13	higher priority to the Division for whatever reason,
14	correct?
15	A. No. I think I stated that we're trying to
16	move on the wells that, again, have the biggest
17	surface impact. But the surface impact doesn't
18	necessarily contribute to your downhole costs.
19	Q. Right.
20	A. The downhole costs, we've moved on the wells
21	we didn't think were going to have much downhole costs
22	that end up costing a lot. I gave that in my examples
23	of two wells we didn't expect to cost a lot when we
24	moved on, that one cost, I believe, \$70,000, one was
2 E	Over \$200 000

1	And we had no clue that one was going to
2	be any different than the other. So the downhole
3	costs, what we use the \$150,000 is what we're actually
4	seeing for downhole costs, but those aren't based on
5	risk ranking that we're using to identify which well
6	to plug first, because that's more surface.
7	The only exception potentially to that
8	was maybe the Buckeye well because we had fluid
9	flowing to the surface. But again, I didn't know when
10	we moved on to that well we were going to end up
11	needing a snubbing unit to do work on that well
12	because we could never get fluid control.
13	Q. But you acknowledge that typically the cost
14	to plug a well would be cheaper for industry than it
15	would be for the Division, right?
16	A. Absolutely. They're plugging them typically
17	years ahead of the Division.
18	Q. Right. And the reason for that, in part, is
19	because you said by the time the Division is the one
20	to be in the position to plug the well, there's all
21	kinds of other associated issues out of the costs,
22	correct?
23	A. Absolutely.
24	Q. So I think it's fair to ask if the Division
25	can appreciate that industry feels like the proposal
	Page 69

1	for a one-well financial assurance is particularly
2	high, correct?
3	A. So I would agree, but I think you have to
4	look at the rule on making that category. It doesn't
5	say bonding on what the industry is going to pay for
6	that well. It's bonding to pay for what OCD is going
7	to use to plug that well.
8	Q. Right. And so let me ask you this. The
9	Division has not conducted a statistical study of
L O	plugging costs across a representative sample of wells
L1	that the Division typically plugs, has it?
L2	A. So I think the estimate was based on
L3	plugging costs in FY24. There was over 100 wells, I
L 4	believe, plugged that year. And that's based on
L5	current market conditions.
L6	There was a significant upcharge in, I
L7	think, all industries seen in the last few years.
L8	Especially post COVID, where all costs are going up.
L9	So if we did an evaluation of what it
20	cost us to plug ten years ago, I don't think that
21	would apply today, because we're seeing costs rise.
22	We're also subject to the procurement process with the
23	state.
24	We put the plugging contract out to bid.
25	We accepted every plugging contractor that bid, and I
	Page 70

1	believe we have three or four that bid, and that's who
2	we're using to plug. And we haven't seen any
3	significant difference between those vendors across
4	the board and their plugging costs.
5	Q. When comparing OCD's actual costs with
6	industry's actual costs across a broader, more
7	representative sample of wells, probably over a longer
8	period since 2024, give the Commission a better idea
9	of what the costs actually are?
10	A. I don't think so, because of the challenges
11	OCD experiences when they're out there plugging. As
12	in my direct, I've overseen thousands of wells plugged
13	by industry. I've seen hundreds of wells plugged by
14	OCD. And the failure rate, and I didn't run the
15	actual statistics, but based on my experience, we see
16	far more casing failures.
17	I've seen far more challenges on a
18	well-to-well basis with OCD's plugging because of the
19	wells being neglected. I can tell you it was either a
20	Cano or Canyon, we had to look at the wells because
21	there was fluid causing the red beds to swell and it
22	was sheared off casing on multiple wells in that
23	field. Then we had to identify what we could do in
24	those cases to, one, make it as cheap as possible and

still provide protection.

25

1	So we evaluated a whole set of wells
2	expecting that same failure of significant casing
3	failure where the red beds swelled and sheared off
4	casing. I've never seen I've never done that
5	review with an operator of wells as far as that kind
6	of broad review.
7	Q. Okay. But you haven't asked to do that kind
8	of review with operators or industry, have you?
9	A. Well, operators and industries submit our
10	plugging sundries to the OCD and on something at that
11	level, I didn't see it when I was directly reviewing
12	it and staff hasn't brought those challenges to me on
13	a widespread basis to make those kind of decisions.
14	Q. Now, staying with the LFC report just for a
15	couple of more questions, you're aware that the LFC
16	report said that part of the Division's procurement
17	practices are responsible for the inflated cost of
18	P&A, is that correct?
19	A. I did see that.
20	Q. So when you well, okay, I'll move on.
21	Now, you touched on when OCD last
22	recovered a bond. I think you said that it's not
23	accurate that they haven't recovered a bond since
24	2018, correct?
25	A. I never said that. I think Mr. Winchester
	Page 72

1	stated that. I believe we have collected bonds.
2	Again, legal does that, but it's my understanding that
3	at least on some of the Cano wells, we've collected
4	bonds.
5	The Division did inaccurately state I
6	believe online that those hadn't been recovered.
7	We're working to address that. And we're currently in
8	the process of recovering bonds through Cano through
9	our legal department. Or not Cano. Canyon.
10	Apologize.
11	Q. But you did testify that you haven't
12	recovered very many bonds, correct?
13	A. Correct. A lot of times the bonds are so
14	small that it makes more sense for us to package
15	those. Because usually we're working with the same
16	financial institution to recover multiple bonds all at
17	one time. Instead of going after each \$6,000 bond, it
18	may make more sense to go after them when those bonds
19	get to \$300,000.
20	Those bonds don't go away if we're not
21	pursuing them, but what we do is we build them up to a
22	point where it makes more sense to get those in a
23	package versus doing them \$6,000 at a time as far as
24	our time to get that, because it takes attorney time.
25	That attorney time is crucial when we're also going

1	after enforcement.
2	As we stated there's 118 operators or 13
3	operators, whatever that new exhibit was, that haven't
4	even reported production. Those are next steps on OCD
5	enforcement. If we've got 20 or 30 of those, we've
6	got another 80. The same attorney that's doing our
7	bonds is also doing that enforcement.
8	Q. So I think that raises a really critical
9	issue in this proceeding that I'm not sure has been
L O	sufficiently fleshed out, and that is, under the
L1	proposed rules, it would be \$150,000 financial
L2	assurance per well, correct?
L3	A. Correct.
L 4	Q. And you just said, you know, if it's a
L5	\$6,000 bond, it's probably not worth the Division to
L6	pursue that bond, correct?
L7	A. I didn't say it wasn't worth it. I just
L8	stated that it makes more sense to do it as a package.
L 9	Q. Well, there's currently \$250,000 blanket
20	bonds that operators are required to have, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. How many \$250,000 blanket bonds has the
23	Division pursued in the last eight years, say, if you
24	know that?
25	A. You'd have to talk to our attorneys. I
	Page 74

1	don't know offhand how many we've pursued. I do know
2	as far as that's something we look at. I think if you
3	look in MOSS, that MOSS spreadsheet, it identifies
4	anytime there's a blanket bond attached.
5	I will state, a lot of the operators,
6	because they were non-compliant even with the 2018
7	bonding requirement, a lot of those aren't even
8	250,000. Some of those may still be 50,000.
9	Q. Have you identified internally as a Division
10	the price point at which you would pursue a bond?
11	A. Again, I don't think we've put a price
12	point. But I think at \$6,000, it's more efficient to
13	lump multiple of those together, because they don't go
14	away. Theoretically, they're supposed to be
15	non-revocable.
16	Even if it was \$150,000, if we're out
16 17	Even if it was \$150,000, if we're out plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in
17	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in
17 18	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in a year, it may make more sense to package 100,000 to
17 18 19	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in a year, it may make more sense to package 100,000 to 150,000 together to pursue those.
17 18 19 20	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in a year, it may make more sense to package 100,000 to 150,000 together to pursue those. Q. So the short answer to the question is you
17 18 19 20 21	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in a year, it may make more sense to package 100,000 to 150,000 together to pursue those. Q. So the short answer to the question is you have not identified a price point at which the
17 18 19 20 21	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in a year, it may make more sense to package 100,000 to 150,000 together to pursue those. Q. So the short answer to the question is you have not identified a price point at which the Division would pursue a bond?
17 18 19 20 21 22	plugging Cano or Canyon and, say, we plug 100 wells in a year, it may make more sense to package 100,000 to 150,000 together to pursue those. Q. So the short answer to the question is you have not identified a price point at which the Division would pursue a bond? A. I think short answer is I don't think there

1	well, \$150,000 FA requirement, correct?
2	A. And we would pursue that just as we're
3	pursuing the \$6,000 bond.
4	Q. Well, I hope that's the case if it's
5	adopted. But there's nothing in the current rules
6	that requires the Division to pursue that \$150,000
7	bond or any other bond, correct?
8	A. Boy, if we had a few hundred million dollars
9	in unsecured bonds out there, I think we'd get in
LO	trouble if we didn't.
L1	Also, the BIL federal funds requires us
L2	to proactively go after bonds as well. And as part of
L3	our funding package, that's another reason why we
L4	continue to look at bonds.
L5	Q. Okay. But my question was whether there's
L6	anything in the current rules that requires the
L7	Division to pursue a bond.
L8	A. No.
L9	Q. By delaying pursuing bonds, doesn't that
20	also delay putting funds in the reclamation fund?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So let's move one to beneficial use and
23	marginal wells. I think the Division has been clear
24	that they support a presumption of no beneficial use
25	and at this point, I think that the BOE is less
	Page 76

1	relevant than the 180 days, correct?
2	A. Well, the presumption of no beneficial use
3	is a BOE. And not 180 days, it's 90 days.
4	Q. Now, is it accurate, to your knowledge, that
5	the LFC data shows that most wells are plugged closer
6	to two BOE per day?
7	A. I did see that, yes.
8	Q. And that's approximately, I don't know,
9	eight times higher than your cutoff at OCD?
10	A. Well, I think they had the two as the low
11	producing, which our comparison to the low producing
12	is a thousand, which is 2.7.
13	Q. Right.
14	A. So you're a difference between 2 and 2.7 BOE
15	per day.
16	Q. And you heard Mr. Wrinkle in his testimony
17	say that 2.7 is economic, correct?
18	A. I think he said that there was a potential
19	that there's no extenuating circumstances. But I
20	would also state, I don't think we ever stated that
21	the 1,000 BOE, every well that was below 1,000 was
22	uneconomic. I think we stated that that was at risk
23	of potentially becoming an orphan in the future, and
24	because of that risk, we were looking at bonding.
25	I don't think we ever stated that that

1	was uneconomic. And I think in my testimony last
2	week, I stated I wish we hadn't used "marginal"
3	because it makes it that implication. We could have
4	called it "a well at risk of plugging," but it not
5	necessarily 100 percent economic or non-economic.
6	Q. I'm glad you brought that up, because I
7	wanted to touch on that just for additional
8	understanding of what the proposals are versus what it
9	sounds like the Division might decide they could be.
10	And it sounds to me like the marginal
11	well definition itself is problematic because it has a
12	certain understanding within industry itself, correct?
13	A. Well, I think the name itself, I think the
14	way it's applied in this rule is fairly simple.
15	Q. But it still could probably be more exact.
16	Because it sounds like the Division is more concerned
17	with a certain type of well that's more high risk and
18	a certain type of operator, than maybe the rules; is
19	that fair?
20	A. That's kind of a compound question. I
21	wouldn't say it's a certain type of operator. I would
22	say it's more of a certain type of well and how the
23	operator utilizes that well.
24	That's why we try to include the days in
25	that, because what I'm concerned with is if a well
	Page 78

1	isn't mechanically capable enough to produce 180 days
2	a year, that may be a reason to look at that well.
3	And if an operator is not looking at that well and
4	taking that risk, should the state allow them to
5	continue taking that risk, that that well could end up
6	not being produced for years and end up on our
7	inactive list.
8	Q. All right. Let's move on to.
9	So, Mr. Powell, under the current rules,
10	when a well becomes inactive, the operators are
11	required to either plug or place it in temporary
12	abandonment within 90 days, correct?
13	A. No. I believe it's a year and 90 days
14	currently in the rule, if that's your question.
15	Q. So 15 months?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Now, you said that one of OCD's
18	challenges is that it can't, I guess, take action
19	until a well has been inactive for that 15-month
20	period, correct?
21	A. Well, that's another broad statement. If
22	there's a suspected defective casing, the operator is
23	supposed to report that within 15 days in pursuit of
24	diligence. But if you're talking solely inactive
25	wells, then it would be, yes, that 15 months.

1	Q. Yeah, I think generally speaking those
2	numbers are what I recall you said.
3	So would you say that some of the issues
4	are not necessarily related to the rules but perhaps,
5	you know, related to OCD's ability to enforce these
6	ACOIs?
7	A. Boy, it's not to try to sound flip, it's
8	not our ACOI, so it's not us complying with it. It
9	would be the operators. Yes, we have to follow up
10	with the operators, but at the end of the day, if
11	there's non-compliance, it's the operators'
12	non-compliance with that ACOI.
13	Q. I guess I'm just trying to understand the
14	resources the Division has at its disposal. I know
15	that they're limited. I'm just asking, would more
16	resources enable the Division to better enforce those
17	ACOIs?
18	A. I would always advocate for more resources.
19	I'd love to double my staff, but I can't do that
20	through this rulemaking.
21	Q. Understood?
22	A. You know, ACOIs aren't even specifically
23	written into the rule. It's a courtesy that OCD tries
24	to extend to operators that are taking these
25	challenging wells where the operator comes and agrees

1	to a schedule to bring them back into production,
2	those kind of things. So it's not something that's
3	written in the rule. It's a courtesy that OCD tries
4	to help accommodate new buyers or existing operators
5	to get back into compliance and bring these wells into
6	a productive status.
7	Q. And I hate to jump around, but let's tie
8	that kind of concept back to the Division's ability to
9	pursue the bonds. Does the Division even have the
10	resources to pursue bonds if these financial assurance
11	requirements are adjusted?
12	A. Well, I think I just previously stated OCD
13	is pursuing bonds. We do plan on pursuing bonds. If
14	we're pursuing \$150,000 bonds versus \$6,000 bonds,
15	that's going to help the reclamation fund I think
16	considerably and plug more wells in the long term.
17	But the OCD is pursuing bonds and plans to pursue
18	bonds going forward.
19	Q. So I think that's great testimony and I'm
20	sure the Commission appreciates hearing it.
21	Is the Division able to provide a
22	quantification of the number of bonds they've actually
23	pursued in the last, say, years?
24	A. So I don't do that directly, you would have
25	to ask our attorneys for that.

1	Q. Are your attorneys able to provide that
2	information?
3	A. I don't know. That's not something we had
4	prepped for this case.
5	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer, I
6	would just proffer, to help move this along, I'm
7	happy to share our three more recent FA forfeitures
8	with the parties.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
10	Mr. Tremaine.
11	MR. SUAZO: How am I doing on time, Madam
12	Hearing Officer?
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thirteen minutes.
14	BY MR. SUAZO:
15	Q. Mr. Powell, let's talk a bit about the
16	high-risk operator portfolio and the thresholds. This
17	is under Rule 19.15.8.9D(3). You mentioned that the
18	15 percent threshold might be a bit aggressive,
19	correct?
20	A. I didn't say aggressive. I said
21	conservative.
22	Q. Conservative?
23	A. I also said I expected industry to have some
24	dialogue on that to see where that should be properly
25	set.

1	Q. But you think that it could be a workable
2	number if it was, say, 30 percent?
3	A. I think it's definitely something to take a
4	look at, whether it's one in five wells or two in five
5	wells that aren't productive, I think it's still
6	looking at the same threshold of making sure an
7	operator is properly producing their wells.
8	Q. Am I correct in my recollection that with
9	respect to temporary abandonment, you would support
10	greater flexibility with assessing how and why wells
11	are put in that status?
12	A. I don't think I had testimony on that yet.
13	But speaking of greater flexibility on temporary
14	abandonment, I think if operators ran the logs that
15	were requesting to be added, showing that the well has
16	mechanical integrity, showing the well is keeping that
17	bridge plug downhole, which BLM already does, having
18	those, showing that well has no mechanical issues, and
19	then having industry go to hearing and state what
20	their long term plan is, as long as that long term
21	plan is viable, has reasons for it, I think that makes
22	a lot of sense.
23	If they don't have a plan and they're
24	just TA'ing it with no plan to hold the minerals but
25	not ever let anybody produce it, I wouldn't say that's

1	a good plan.
2	But if they offered a good plan to show
3	where they're planning on making that well a
4	beneficial use, then I'm all for it, as long as it
5	meets those conditions.
6	Q. Did you hear Commissioner Ampomah ask I
7	can't remember which of WELC's witnesses it was. But
8	he essentially was concerned with flexibility for
9	temporary abandonment in the case of EOR and CCS,
10	things like that?
11	A. I did. CCS, I would be really careful with
12	because of the criteria that the wells have to be
13	constructed with, my understanding.
14	EOR is something that the Division looks
15	at. And, you know, there's times where we look at
16	casing integrity on EOR.
17	I don't know that I would even limit it
18	to EOR. I think you could have Hill Corp is a
19	great example in the Northwest. I think they're doing
20	a strong re-complete process. They're perforating
21	other zones. If they brought in a plan that says,
22	"We're going to TA all of these types of wells and
23	we've identified this zone and here's our plan to
24	develop it," that would make some sense.
25	So I don't know that it's just EOR, but
	Page 85

1 I think it needs to be a well thought out plan. 2 O. Okay. That's fair. 3 Does the Division support applicant's proposed change to the definition of beneficial use as 4 5 also encompassing what they're terming speculative 6 purposes? A. I think on the speculative purposes, I see 8 what the applicant is looking at. I call it -- I 9 refer to it as the pie-in-the-sky argument, you know, coming in, "I plan on using that well in the future. 10 11 I don't know how, but I'm going to use it." I would 12 say that's speculative purposes. 13 I think with a good plan, operators have 14 a solid plan on what they plan on doing. And then 15 they have speculative results until they do it. And 16 that's where I would advocate as making sure you have 17 a solid plan proposal. Because again, this isn't due until I believe it's after the first five years, so if 18 19 an operator hasn't developed a good plan in those five 20 years, then that should be something that's looked at. 2.1 Q. Did you hear Commissioner Ampomah ask WELC's 22 witness whether if they adopted the definition of 23 beneficial use and stopped right at the point where it 2.4 goes into speculative purposes, if that wouldn't meet

the requirements?

25

1	A. I would leave that to the Commission and the
2	lawyers on that as far as what it meant and what it
3	looked like and, we would look. But as I stated, the
4	speculative purposes I would want some something
5	concrete if they took it to hearing, those kind of
6	things. You're more looking at speculative results
7	but you should have a solid plan after the first five
8	years.
9	Q. When you say something more concrete, do you
10	mean like a definition of speculative purposes,
11	itself?
12	A. No. I mean concrete when the applicant
13	brings their plan is I want something concrete
14	Q. I see.
15	A and not the pie-in-the-sky analogy.
16	Q. Okay. Now, before this rulemaking, I
17	believe the Division has assessed beneficial use or
18	purpose through guidance documents more than anything
19	else, correct?
20	A. You know, as far as in current rule, if
21	we've done it through guidance documents, I can't
22	think of one offhand. I'm not saying there isn't one,
23	but I don't think we fully I don't remember ever
24	defining what beneficial use is as it's currently
25	written.

1	no longer arbitrary.
2	Q. With the time I have left, I'm just going to
3	touch on various provisions that I would like to get
4	your take on.
5	You're familiar with, I guess, the
6	agreement that Oxy negotiated with WELC on the
7	certification of compliance with various laws, aren't
8	you?
9	A. Very broadly. That was really legal heavy,
LO	and I kind of stayed out of that realm.
L1	Q. So I think the way that came down is that,
L2	you know, the operator, upon transfers or
L3	registration, would affirm that they would you
L4	know, affirm to the Division that they were compliant
L 5	with state and federal laws. Is that accurate?
L6	A. That is my understanding.
L7	Q. Now, is it your understanding that that
L8	"state" means the State of New Mexico, specifically?
L9	A. If I remember right, it wasn't just
20	New Mexico-centric. And it wasn't based on
21	accusations. It's non-compliance if I remember
22	correctly, it was non-compliance with any open
23	violation.
24	So if an operator, say, had a violation
25	in Ohio and they resolved it, then that wouldn't be
	Page 89

1	taken into consideration. But if they had an open
2	violation in Ohio and then that was then out of
3	compliance, I think that's what they were looking at
4	but.
5	Again, this was really legalese and I
6	try to stay at a high level because I get lost when I
7	try to play lawyer.
8	Q. I mean, I think it's concerning to the
9	industry as to whether the Division even has the
10	ability to look beyond New Mexico's borders at all
11	sorts of other potential violations in other states.
12	Isn't that a concern?
13	A. Boy, I'd leave that up to the the
14	Commission. But if an operator in, say, Texas was
15	severely out of compliance and owed the State of Texas
16	millions because of their own program, I sure wouldn't
17	want them as an operator in New Mexico.
18	Q. Are you aware that many companies have
19	altogether different teams of staff and professionals
20	in other states than they do in the state of
21	New Mexico?
22	A. I am aware of that. I've also worked with
23	some of those teams when we've pursued non-compliant
24	operators. We've reached out to some of most
25	states to see if they're having the same issues with

1	the operators, and a lot of times, they are.
2	Q. So given that you've testified as to the
3	Division's limited resources in various areas, are you
4	not concerned, as the director, that if operators are
5	forced to get data from all kinds of other states,
6	that the Division will actually have the ability to
7	evaluate what's in front of them?
8	A. So first thing, I'm not the director.
9	Q. Deputy director.
10	A. I don't want that title.
11	But I think it's first it's a
12	certification, and then it's the operator making a
13	certification to the Division. So if we're looking at
14	a certification then that's one thing.
15	If we're then diving into all of their
16	non-compliances, I think it is prudent for us to look
17	at those non-compliances as needed and depending on
18	what's identified.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Four minutes,
20	Mr. Suazo.
21	MR. SUAZO: All right. Let me find other
22	things I want to ask about with the time I have.
23	BY MR. SUAZO:
24	Q. Just one more thing to touch on. I want to
25	make sure that I got kind of this recalculation that
	Page 91

1 you had in your surrebuttal accurate. 2 I think Mr. Garcia reported that 3900 3 wells would be marginal under the proposed definition 4 and, therefore, subject to the 150k single-well bond; 5 is that right? A. I don't remember what Mr. Garcia's slides 6 7 had for specific numbers. If you pulled up our 8 surrebuttal, or our attorney, he can pull it up, and I 9 can testify to that. Because those were the numbers I 10 currently ran. 11 Q. Okay. But that chart that you put up and 12 just went over with Mr. Tremaine, I think you said 13 that only 1600 and change of wells were classified as 14 marginal under that proposed definition when the word 15 "and" is used; is that correct? 16 A. Yeah, I believe so. So that would be 17 marginal as far as that by itself. The other wells that are the 90-90 would probably still be considered 18 as needing bonding, but just between the 90 and 1,000 19 20 plus the 180 days or less, it was that category. 2.1 But if you're looking for bonding, you 22 would probably have to add up the below 90 and 90, and 23 then that one to look at what's going to be considered 2.4 for bonding. I tried to put in there what filters I used for which. 25

1	O Co I guaga with your raviged Evhibit 16
	Q. So I guess with your revised Exhibit 16
2	there would be 3,494 wells impacted by the proposals,
3	correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. That sounds correct, yes. And that number
6	represents the high-risk wells that have historically
7	proven problematic for the Division in terms of
8	plugging and P&A costs?
9	A. I think that number would include a gamut of
10	things. It would include the 90-90, the less than
11	1,000. It does not include the wells that are already
12	inactive.
13	Q. And just to be clear, the 60,000 wells
14	referenced in the LFC report and by applicants, those
15	are not representative of the type of wells the OCD
16	intends to target through this proposal, through this
17	rulemaking, correct?
18	A. I think there's it's a subset of the
19	60,000, I think. And what I provided was oil and gas
20	alone. There's going to be other SWD wells that will
21	be affected by this that because Mr. Garcia didn't
22	provide it and nobody asked for it, I didn't run those
23	numbers.
24	There will be other wells that aren't in
25	there that are used for like, CO2, acid gas, some

1	of those things I don't believe are affected. So the
2	filters I ran at the top in getting the numbers that
3	you have in surrebuttal are for oil and gas wells
4	only.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Please wrap up,
6	Mr. Suazo.
7	MR. SUAZO: Thank you, Mr. Powell.
8	Appreciate your time.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
11	Mr. Cloutier, do you have anything?
12	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Madam Hearing
13	Officer.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
16	Q. Good morning again, Mr. Powell. We said
17	hello off the record, but appreciate you being here.
18	Let me start off with bonding questions.
19	Mr. Winchester testified, and you commented on it,
20	that the last well, first of all, do you know what
21	the annual reclamation fund report is?
22	A. Oh, I would be speculating, but I think it
23	was 60 to 70 million-ish.
24	Q. I'm sorry do you know what the report itself
25	is, that the director submits to the governor, the

1	legislature?
2	A. I'm sure I've pulled numbers for it, but
3	without looking at it
4	Q. I'm not asking for any numbers.
5	A I couldn't give you details.
6	Q. I'm sorry, I'm not asking for any numbers.
7	I'm just asking if you know what the report is.
8	A. Generally, yes.
9	Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding that
10	each year, the director has a category of income for
11	the reclamation fund that is bond forfeitures, salvage
12	and reimbursement recoveries?
13	A. So I don't pull numbers for that. That
14	wouldn't surprise me for this conversation. But I
15	don't pull that information, so I haven't dealt with
16	it.
17	Q. And do you dispute Mr. Winchester's
18	testimony that in five of the last seven of these
19	annual reclamation fund reports, the number in that
20	category has been zero?
21	A. With it being a public document, I'll take
22	your word for it.
23	Q. And that in the two years that there was
24	collections in those seven years, that amounted to
25	just a little under \$250,000?

1	A. Again, I haven't looked at it specifically.
2	But it's a public report, so I'll take your word for
3	it.
4	Q. And they're all in evidence, and I'm trying
5	to be accurate, Mr. Powell.
6	And would you agree that until your
7	testimony today, there wasn't really any public
8	information that any of the Cano bonds had been
9	collected?
LO	A. I believe, my understanding and, again, it's
L1	a very high level, we missed updating that properly in
L2	the database. And we are working to rectify that.
L3	But that does not surprise me. It's very unfortunate,
L 4	but it does not
L5	Q. And so for instance, Exhibit 29, which is a
L6	spreadsheet about financial assurances, still shows
L7	zero to every one of the Cano bonds in terms of what's
L8	been redeemed. That wouldn't surprise you?
L9	A. It wouldn't.
20	Q. Would you understand with information
21	publicly available like that, the reclamation fund and
22	a notorious offender as far as the reclamation fund,
23	Cano, showing zero that industry would think that
24	maybe bonding isn't that effective to protect the
25	reclamation fund?

1	A. I think if industry would have reached out,
2	we could have had a conversation that we do plan on
3	pooling that. Again, we try to do it as a lump sum.
4	I won't talk about hiring to a full extent, but the
5	attorney that was working on inactive left at one
6	point. We've had some turnover through the agency.
7	That position has been filled. We started doing that
8	again.
9	I think versus what we're spending out
10	there, the focus has been staying out there and doing
11	the plugging. And so that's where our number one
12	focus was, to make sure we had wells to plug that
13	needed to be plugged. And then we're working on
14	packages of bonding to then reconcile.
15	Q. Mr. Winchester also testified about
16	Secretary Shelton's testimony before the LFC this

Q. Mr. Winchester also testified about
Secretary Shelton's testimony before the LFC this
summer, where he testified, when asked about bonding
collections and the low amounts of it, he said, quote,
"The juice is not worth the squeeze."

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. On a well-by-well bonding, I would agree with the deputy secretary. You know, there's times where we've got a \$6,000 bond and it takes hundreds of thousands of dollars to plug the well. So until you package it as a package, pursuing them individually doesn't make any sense.

1	MR. CLOUTIER: If I can ask Ms. Tripp to be
2	able to share screen, Ms. Apodaca, and ask her to
3	share slide 12 of Ms. Romero's PowerPoint that's been
4	submitted. Slide 12 of the OCD Exhibit 12. There we
5	go. There it is.
6	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
7	Q. On the first bullet point, Ms. Romero states
8	"Operators who are not compliant with regulations
9	related to site remediation and reclamation are more
LO	likely to leave wells unplugged and sites unreclaimed
L1	after ceasing operations."
L2	Do you see that?
L3	A. Mm-hmm.
L4	Q. And I think in her testimony, she testified
L5	that this is based on her experience, not on any
L6	data-driven inquiry. Would your experience be similar
L7	and your observation be similar?
L8	A. Very much so. If an operator is not
L9	expending the money to address their non-productive
20	wells, they're probably not expending money to clean
21	up those well sites either.
22	Q. Sure. And you, for instance, just today
23	testified about a new exhibit that listed operators
24	who are out of compliance on C-115s.
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And I think you said 78 of those operators
2	would have state or fee wells that would be subject to
3	the bonding rules?
4	A. Yes, 76 or 78. And then there's a subset of
5	a little I think it was 12- or 1400 wells that are
6	state and private specifically.
7	Q. Do you have any reasonable expectation to
8	tell the Commission that you would expect any of those
9	operators to comply with increased bonding
10	requirements?
11	A. Boy, I don't think any of those operators
12	are. I'm just hoping that future operators don't get
13	to that position.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: If we could get the statute,
15	70-2-14A up, please, Ms. Tripp?
16	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
17	Q. There after the \$50,000 there in the middle
18	it says, "and one-well plugging financial assurance
19	in amounts determined to be sufficient to reasonably
20	pay" out the plugging.
21	Do you agree that the amount of a
22	single-well bond, if the Commission agrees it has the
23	power to adopt this rulemaking, should be a reasonable
24	amount?
25	A. I think it should be a reasonable amount to
	Page 99

1	pay for the plugging of the wells. And, again,
2	because of the where that's orientated, it is plugging
3	of the wells by the OCD, not by industry.
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Let's turn to Applicant's
5	Exhibit Number 4, page 24, which is that's going
6	to be the LFC report, please, Ms. Tripp.
7	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
8	Q. I'll tell you that the yellow highlighting
9	is stuff I've added to the exhibit. The other
10	highlighting was, I believe, as submitted.
11	And I think the first yellow
12	highlighting, which is a fair enough comment, is that
13	the Division is not particularly well-equipped to deal
14	with accounts payable issues with plugging
15	contractors.
16	And I want you to understand that I
17	agree it's a complicated area, and I'm going to ask
18	you some questions, and it's not by way of criticism,
19	it's just by way of fact. Okay?
20	A. That's fine. And I think if you'd like to
21	discuss that, I think there are some accounts payable
22	things that was not captured in this LFC report I can
23	elaborate on as far as our processes that we have
24	reached out later on and explained.
25	But if we'd like to talk about accounts

1	payable, I think if you'd like me to elaborate, I can
2	dive into that a little bit.
3	Q. Well, let me ask you some specific
4	questions, if I can, Mr. Powell. And then, if you
5	think your answers are pertinent to those questions,
6	please include, and truly responsive, please do so.
7	As I appreciate it, the Division has
8	been using, in the Permian Basin, contractors out of
9	the San Juan Basin and even out of Kansas to plug
LO	wells. Is that
L1	A. So I don't know about out of Kansas. That
L2	may have been one of our other contractors,
L3	environmental or our methane monitoring.
L4	But the plugging companies that the OCD
L5	is utilizing, most of those are orientated, or at
L6	least they were when the contract was acquired, out of
L7	the San Juan Basin because they were who applied. I
L8	don't believe any southeast part of New Mexico
L9	plugging companies even applied to be a vendor in the
20	state.
21	Q. We requested invoices, and the Division
22	provided them. And I'd like to go through some
23	examples. Exhibit 45(a), if we can.
24	So do you see this Drake invoice? And I
25	believe it's dated June 20th.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And if we could scroll down to the
3	last entry.
4	MS. TRIPP: Just a second, you want 45(a),
5	Drew?
6	MR. CLOUTIER: That's what I have, yeah.
7	MS. TRIPP: Okay. Just a second.
8	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
9	MS. TRIPP: I apologize. I have 45(b) ready
10	for the analysis.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: It's okay. Still (b), but
12	let's look at that. If we can go to the work ticket
13	on this B, please, Ms. Tripp, we'll just ask this
14	question?
15	I'm sorry about this. Just trying to
16	coordinate remotely and Ms. Tripp trying to make up
17	for my inadequacies at screen sharing.
18	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
19	Q. So you see that you're being charged here,
20	the Division's being charged, for overnight per diem,
21	which is a result of a charge incurred because this is
22	a Farmington-based operator plugging in the Cano unit
23	in Chaves County, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And their ability for ten people for
	Page 102

1	overnight per diem
2	A. That's what I see there, yeah.
3	Q the Division?
4	MR. CLOUTIER: If we could go back to the
5	work ticket, please, Ms. Tripp.
6	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
7	Q. You understand that these work tickets are
8	part of the attachments to invoices? The operator
9	submits paperwork justifying the invoice?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And if we can get out to the number of
12	employees there. So you're being billed for work by
13	four employees, but paying per diem on ten, correct?
14	A. Yes. But I would please caution on that.
15	That's the number of employees specifically tied to a
16	rig. Without actually looking into a specific well
17	details, I don't know if there's a truck driver from
18	that company there. I don't know if there are
19	cementers included there. I don't know if there's a
20	welder included there. Those four employees are
21	typically tied to a rig.
22	If you look at our actual contract, the
23	rig requires a certain number of employees that's tied
24	to the rig. All I can speculate is the other ten may
25	be truck drivers, welders, cementers, those kind of

1	things.
2	Q. And I will just tell you, Mr. Powell, I
3	didn't see a work ticket listing anybody else other
4	than these four for that day.
5	A. I don't review these directly. Dating 2022,
6	I would have to go back and look at the specific or
7	get a request from the contractor to find out what
8	they actually did and why. Without that I would have
9	a hard time speculating what the other six people
10	were.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Did we get to 4(a),
12	Ms. Tripp?
13	MS. TRIPP: Just a second, I will find it.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: June 20 invoice.
15	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
16	Q. Would it surprise you that on this June 20
17	invoice that that's the date of the invoice you were
18	being billed for work that supposedly was going to
19	occur on June 28?
20	A. That seems highly unusual, but I don't know
21	if that's planned wellhead fed off or those kind of
22	works and they would bill it, and then if it was
23	wrong, correct it. I don't know. I would have to
24	look. And I probably couldn't speculate here. I
25	don't know why they did that. I would have to look at
	Page 104

1	that specific occurrence and go back and see what
2	information I could provide.
3	MR. CLOUTIER: Do you have S, T and U ready,
4	Ms. Tripp?
5	MS. TRIPP: Yes. You should be seeing
6	45(s).
7	MR. CLOUTIER: Seeing nothing yet.
8	MS. TRIPP: Sorry, I'm not sharing the
9	screen. Do you now see 45(s)?
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Ms. Tripp, to the welder
11	mileage, please.
12	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
13	Q. So we've got at the Cano 49, we've got a
14	welder cut-off, four hours worth of work, 200 miles
15	driven, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And same day for the 27, we've got a welder
18	for four hours, drilling five hours for a well in the
19	same unit, the Cano San Andres unit. The wells are in
20	the same general geographic area, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Well, just east of Roswell, north of Highway
23	380. It's a discrete geographic area where this Cano
24	San Andres unit is, correct.
25	A. So I guess if my question I hate to ask
	Page 105

1	questions. But it seems like the question is, is why
2	are those both 200 when they're already in the field?
3	I would say it's probably vastly farther to drive out
4	of Farmington to down there than 200 miles.
5	My only thought is maybe they took the
6	mileage and split it between two wells, so they split
7	equal amounts to each well so they could spread that
8	drive mileage down instead of accumulating it all on
9	one bill. I don't know.
10	Q. Yeah. And actually this same welder appears
11	billed three different times for the same day for the
12	200 miles?
13	A. I don't know the mileage between Farmington
14	and in that well, but I would assume it's significant.
15	Q. Let's talk about your plugging regulation,
16	if you can, for a bit.
17	It's 19.15.25.10. You're familiar with
18	that regulation?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And it requires, among other things, that in
21	plugging, it's, quote, "in a manner that
22	permanently confines all oil, gas and water in the
23	separate strata in which they're originally found"?
24	A. Correct. Yep.
25	Q. And it indicates that the operator, quote,
	Page 106

1	"may accomplish this by using mud-laden fluid,
2	cement and plugs singularly or in combination as
3	approved by the Division"?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Is mud-laden fluid permissible plugging
6	practice currently under Division guidance?
7	A. So where mud-laden fluid was predominantly
8	used, and I believe it's still used in some wells, is
9	in between the cement plugs. So that is still
10	allowed, is to have the mud-laden fluid.
11	And the reason why that was in there is
12	if the casing or the cement ever deteriorated, that
13	there would be mud between those plugs, which also
14	would help with the cross flow.
15	Q. Where does the Division currently require
16	that plugs be placed in a well?
17	A. Generally or specifically? .
18	Q. Let's say Yeso well in southeast New Mexico.
19	It's not a test of every formation, but
20	A. So we do have plugging guidance out. Any
21	individual formation must have a plug that covers the
22	inside of the casing and the outside of the casing.
23	If an operator circulated cement on the
24	outside, we only spot additional inside casing plugs.
25	We also spot anywhere where there's a DV tool, those

1	kind of things, where there has a higher potential of
2	potentially leaking in the future.
3	So it's mainly formation tops as they
4	come up. Each individual formation separated from
5	each other.
6	Q. Okay. And so and again, I'm promising
7	it's not a geology, but in a Yeso well, I would
8	normally expect there to be Grayburg and San Andres
9	and maybe even Yates above it and maybe some other
10	formations. Is that consistent with your recollection
11	of the geology?
12	A. That sounds correct. When I'm dealing with
13	southeast geology, I don't have it memorized. If you
14	want to talk northwest geology, I have that pretty
15	well memorized.
16	Q. And I want the record to be clear and you to
17	be clear. I'm not asking you to I'm not trying to
18	trick you. I'm just trying to get us in a
19	conversation?
20	A. Yeah, that sounds correct.
21	Q. So in that situation, let's say the inside
22	the casing was cemented in place and so an operator is
23	placing plugs, of course at the top of the Yeso
24	formation. Is that correct?
25	A. Sounds correct.

1	Q. Okay. That's the one they're producing
2	from in our
3	A. Yes.
4	Q hypothetical example and if the Grayburg
5	Formation occurs in that area, is there a plug on the
6	top of the Grayburg?
7	A. I would hypothetically say yes.
8	Q. Okay. And why is that, if the Grayburg is
9	not perforated?
10	A. Because casing and cement deteriorate over
11	time. So it's after we leave the well and casing
12	and/or cement and the well deteriorates, you don't
13	start getting cross-flow between that potential
14	deterioration and other potential deteriorations.
15	That's why we require each formation to be isolated
16	separately.
17	Q. And that answers my question. Thank you.
18	And then I would expect if there's
19	groundwater in the area, to be a plug set slightly
20	below the groundwater level; is that correct?
21	A. There could even be multiple groundwater
22	plugs if there's multiple groundwater formations.
23	Q. And that was going to be my follow-up
24	question, so you answered both of them in one. Thank
25	you.

Q. And do you understand that this creates

25

1	downtime for the crew that's doing the plugging while
2	they're waiting for the curing to occur?
3	A. They could do other work around the well, I
4	guess. But theoretically, you're not doing anything
5	downwell during that time, right?
6	Q. And do you understand that that has driven
7	up the cost of plugging quite a bit with all these
8	events?
9	A. Frankly I heard that mentioned in this
LO	rulemaking. I'm surprised it has because I bought
L1	thought that was industry standard, API standard. If
L2	that's not standard and it's curing faster, I'd like
L3	to have that conversation.
L4	But again, the goal is to not create a
L5	void in the cement plug you just spent your time to
L6	put in so you don't create another void that then you
L7	have to replug again.
L8	Q. And I'm not questioning the wisdom one way
L9	or the other. That's beyond this lawyer's
20	A. I would say if that's not what an operator
21	was doing and they were tagging early and creating
22	voids before that guidance came out, I'm glad we
23	created the guidance.
24	Q. And did you observe, at the beginning of
25	2024, that your plugging contractors charges went up
	Page 111

1	after this guidance was issued?
2	A. Again, I thought they were doing that
3	beforehand. But if they weren't, I'm glad we issued
4	the guidance. Because again, that I've been with
5	the Division for 19 and a half years. I can tell you
6	that's what is starting in 2011, I started
7	overseeing plugging in the San Juan basin and that was
8	always a requirement that I gave in the San Juan basin
9	because that was a requirement that was relayed to me
10	as industry standard.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: How am I doing on time, Madam
12	Hearing Officer?
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: You have 19 minutes.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay. Good. I'm going to be
15	wrapped up early, I think.
16	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
17	Q. I want to talk about the data issue with
18	Mr. Garcia's testimony. You were present when he
19	testified?
20	A. I was.
21	Q. And you have offered a demonstrative, and I
22	think it's been admitted into evidence, and evidence
23	that corrects the number of wells that the 2024
24	production data would suggest would be affected by
25	this rulemaking, correct?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And you understand that Mr. Garcia's numbers
3	were presented for marginal wells and then no
4	beneficial use wells in a manner they were
5	calculated in a manner that was not consistent with
6	the definitions of those in this rulemaking, correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Mr. Garcia testified that you instructed him
9	to so present the data. Did you?
10	A. I did, and I'll explain that. I believe I
11	hit that in my direct last week.
12	But I had asked for Mr. Garcia to run
13	the number so we could show what was affected.
14	Unfortunately, I did not look at his slides before
15	they were put into the record. I don't think I'd even
16	seen the slides before his testimony.
17	One of the things that I think was
18	unclear in the direction that he was given, it says 90
19	days and 90 BOE, almost like they are two categories.
20	It might have been clearer if that was 90 days, which
21	only produced less than 90 days. That may have been
22	clearer.
23	I will say that WELC's scatterplot of
24	wells is what was intended when we wrote that and what
25	I gave numbers to in my surrebuttal.

1	Q. And you would agree that Mr. Garcia did not
2	talk about in his testimony, scatterplot, correct?
3	A. Absolutely.
4	Q. I want to be clear on my question. Did you
5	instruct Mr. Garcia to present the data in a manner
6	that was inconsistent with the definitions in the
7	rulemaking?
8	A. No. I would say all of our intent,
9	including Mr. Garcia's, was to present it in the
10	proper manner. It was unfortunate that it was not
11	what was intended.
12	Q. Did you hear that he testified that he was
13	so testifying as a, quote, warning shot, close quote,
14	to industry?
15	A. I think he used that verbiage. I think if
16	you were to remove the days, as suggested in my
17	rebuttal, that may be I think my numbers were
18	probably more consistent with his. But with the days
19	in there, I would say his is incorrect.
20	Q. Do you understand that had IPANM not brought
21	that fact to the Commission's attention, that the
22	Commission could have been relying on inaccurate
23	numbers when it was considering this rulemaking?
24	A. Definitely conflicting information, because
25	it looked like the OCD's information and WELC's

1	information were very different.
2	Q. Ms. Romero was very candid about how she
3	applied her definition of marginal well. Are you
4	aware of any other testimony or exhibit that the
5	Division submitted that represents itself as being
6	consistent with the definitions of the rulemaking that
7	is not?
8	A. Other than my "exclude" versus "include" in
9	my surrebuttal, I believe we caught it all. And I
10	apologize for those.
11	I think Ms. Romero was very explicit on
12	how she did it. I think Mr. Wrinkle's, when he's
13	talking marginal, he was talking more economics and
14	not necessarily the definition.
15	Q. That's a fair caveat I should have included,
16	Mr. Wrinkle, because he was quite candid about how he
17	did it, too.
18	A. Other than that, not that I'm aware of.
19	Q. Thank you. How does OCD go about issuing
20	requests for proposals for contractors to bid on
21	plugging work and reclamation work done using
22	reclamation fund monies?
23	A. So the way we're doing it now, first, all of
24	our vendors are on the statewide price agreement.
25	Those are prices that the vendors locked in on a
	Page 115

1 statewide price agreement. 2 After the LFC report came out, we looked 3 at that and understood that there may not be as much clarity as we would like in that process. So we have 4 5 moved on any time we have a job coming up, we identify 6 that job, we send it out to the vendors to bid on that job now and provide us a scope of work. We evaluate 8 their scope of work and their costs to evaluate which 9 vendor we then picked to perform that job. Q. Okay. And did I understand your testimony 10 11 earlier to say that the financial assurance aspects of 12 the rulemaking would not apply to federal wells, but 13 the presumptions of non-beneficial use would? A. That's correct. We don't bond federal 14 15 wells. 16 Q. If BLM's looking out after the federal 17 wells, why are you creating a presumption that's applicable to federal wells in this rulemaking? 18 A. So, I would say us and BLM work together. 19 20 As in surrebuttal on that extreme case that we're dealing with currently, that's a federal well that OCD 21 22 is moving out of using reclamation fund money to 23 respond to because of the government shutdown. We've also worked with BLM on other 2.4 projects. OCD has been BLM's grant administrator for 25 Page 116

1	plugging, I believe, a \$5 million grant. OCD has
2	always taken the stance that has authority,
3	co-authority on federal lands, working with BLM.
4	If we give a presumption of no
5	beneficial use on federal lands and the BLM has a plan
6	for that well, the operator would simply need to
7	provide us with that plan and we would more than
8	likely side with BLM.
9	Q. I'd like to conclude with two questions.
10	First one is, how does this rulemaking prevent
11	underground waste?
12	A. The way I hope it prevents underground waste
13	is requiring operators to utilize their wells
14	properly. A well that's out there not producing isn't
15	providing any tax or royalties to the State of Mexico,
16	versus a well that's operating 50 percent of the time
17	is providing tax and royalties to the state.
18	Q. And those taxes and royalties are beneficial
19	to the state?
20	A. Absolutely.
21	Q. How does the proposed rulemaking assist the
22	Commission in its duty of protecting correlative
23	rights?
24	A. Again, very similar. When you're looking at
25	correlative rights and mineral ownership, if an

1	operator is not properly utilizing those correlative
2	rights, getting them either to A, utilize them or plug
3	them so another operator can move in to utilize them,
4	I would say it's protecting correlative rights.
5	Q. Do you have an understanding that there are
6	interest owners besides the operator in any given
7	well?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And do you have the understanding that among
LO	the working interest owners, for instance, if they're
L1	not satisfied with what the operators are doing, they
L2	can make their own proposals?
L3	A. I don't deal with working interest owners a
L4	lot in my side of it. I believe, like, State Land
L5	Office does. I know there's been lawsuits where
L6	working interest owners take over as the operator and
L7	we have to transfer to those operators. But we
L8	typically work with the registered operator with the
L9	state or with the OCD, I should say.
20	Q. It's a fair enough comment. You don't hold
21	yourself out as having any expertise, for instance, in
22	joint operating agreements?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Let me follow up with things that came up in
25	our testimony and I didn't anticipate having the time.

1 So let me ask you, are you familiar with 2 the concept of constrained takeaway? A. No, I'm not. 3 Q. Are you familiar with the fact that 4 5 gathering systems are sometimes shut down so that 6 producers and gas wells can't produce any gas? A. I am familiar with that. I know on, say, 8 new wells, there's times where it takes time for 9 infrastructure to be built to the well. And I also know there's times where, on existing wells, a 10 11 gathering company is having issues with corrosion, 12 those kind of things, where they have to shut down a 13 pipeline. And then it's evaluated whether they expend 14 the dollars to put in a new pipeline or they work with 15 the operator to then install new pipelines. 16 Q. And in this rulemaking, there's no exception 17 for an operator who can't meet, for instance, the 180 18 days if part of the reason for doing so in a 12-month 19 period is because they didn't have any outlet for 20 their gas production? 2.1 A. I think that's a very good point, but I also 22 think it's something to be careful about. Because, again, it's a year plus. If it's changed to 30 days 23 2.4 from 90, it'll be 13 months or 15 months. If there's a pipeline issue that extends past that, I have known 25 Page 119

1	of operators that a pipeline was never extended to
2	their well. So as that well is sitting out there, if
3	that operator if that's an operator's entire
4	portfolio, that well may never be utilized again.
5	So that's where the high risk and the
6	bonding would come into play if there's no long-term
7	plan for that well or the operator can't work with the
8	pipeline takeaway to get a new pipeline connection in.
9	Sometimes it's a meter issue and all of that cost.
10	And I know there's times where a pipeline operator
11	will defer those costs to the operator to some extent.
12	Q. And you've mentioned, as far as the 13
13	months, would you agree, that bonding requirement
14	could kick in for an operator simply because a
15	Midstream company did not provide takeaway to the well
16	for a period of time?
17	A. And I would say not it wouldn't be
18	initial takeaway, but it would be prolonged takeaway.
19	Because most wells we're talking about are wells that
20	have been in production for long periods of time. So
21	there would have to be a reason why that takeaway was
22	then canceled, either a contract issue or an integrity
23	issue of the takeaway.
24	MR. CLOUTIER: All right. Mr. Powell, I
25	appreciate your time. Thank you for answering my

1	questions.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
4	Mr. Cloutier. You've brought us very close to noon,
5	so let's take our lunch break until 1:00 p.m.
6	(Lunch recess held from 11:56 a.m.
7	to 1:00 p.m.)
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We are back after a
9	lunch break and we'll return to Mr. Powell's
10	cross-examination.
11	Let's see, is Ms. Sayer with us? No?
12	Or Ms. Bradfute, perhaps on the platform. No?
13	All right. Mr. Rankin, do you have
14	questions of Mr. Powell.
15	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Madam Hearing
16	Officer. I do.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. RANKIN:
19	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Powell. How are you
20	today?
21	A. Doing well.
22	Q. Good. Adam Rankin. I'm here representing
23	Oxy. And I just want to say at the outset, thank you
24	to the Division and yourself for engaging with Oxy
25	during the course of the lead-up to this rulemaking to

1	discuss some of the issues and take into consideration
2	some of Oxy's requests, appreciate it.
3	Obviously, we are weren't able to reach
4	agreement on everything, at least prior to the
5	rulemaking hearing. So that's where my focus is going
6	to be today, is on the areas we haven't yet reached
7	agreement.
8	In your testimony, in your written
9	direct testimony, I see statements about the
10	Division's support for certain aspects and different
11	specific provisions in the rule. And, for example,
12	I'm going to pull up on my screen here one
13	particular oh I got bumped off. One second.
14	And I got bumped up big time.
15	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing Officer, I have
16	to re-log on to the system. I apologize. Can you
17	give me just two minutes to do that.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All righty.
19	MR. RANKIN: I apologize. I didn't realize
20	I got bumped off. Actually, I'm okay. I'm back on.
21	All right. Good. Let's see, but it's not letting me
22	share just yet for some reason. It looks like I have
23	sharing authority, but it is not letting me share
24	Well, I'll try to work through this without my screen
25	and sharing ability.

1	BY MR. RANKIN:
2	Q. On page 4 of your direct testimony, line 6
3	to 8, I don't know if you have it in front of you, but
4	you state, "Essentially, OCD supports the requirement
5	for single-well financial assurance once wells reach
6	production threshold Where operators tend to plug and
7	abandon the well."
8	Did I read that correctly? Do you call
9	that from your testimony?
10	A. I do. I don't have it in front of me, but
11	I'll believe in your reading of it.
12	Q. And my understanding is that that statement
13	is the Division's support for the proposed new
14	marginal one-well financial assurance category in the
15	proposed rule, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And let's see if I can get back on to
18	sharing again, because it's so much easier to share.
19	There we go.
20	And that's this provision under the
21	proposed Rule 19.15.8.9D Subpart (2), which requires
22	the proposed one-well financial assurance for marginal
23	wells, correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Now, this addresses one aspect of the
	Page 123

1 forth about the 15 percent. 2 And where I specifically addressed this 3 as I was going through my direct was in my slides, where I went through the rule section by section. 4 5 Q. Okay. I'll pull that up because I know exactly what you're talking about, and I'll go to that 6 in just a moment. 8 Just for ease of reference, I'm going to 9 just refer to this category. And this is under Subpart (3) in this rule here that I'm highlighting on 10 11 your screen, agree, where an operator with 15 percent 12 or more wells triggers the inclusion of all the other 13 wells that they operate under this one-well financial 14 assurance provision, correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. So I'm just going to refer to that provision 17 going forward. I'm going to call it the "marginal" operator provision, "okay? 18 19 A. Sounds good. 20 Q. So when I read your testimony carefully, I 2.1 see that you identify expressly three benefits that 22 arise from the proposed rule that address the problems that you and the Division witnesses have identified in 23 24 your testimony. I'm going to just walk through those. 25 Okay? Go back to your direct on page 2.

1	And I've got them. Again, rainbow.
2	Okay? Because it's so much easier to track. Okay?
3	First one's in yellow. Okay?
4	"The proposed rule would increase
5	bonding for wells that are at or near the end of their
6	productive life cycle, at which point they generally
7	present a higher cost for plugging and remediation."
8	So this is the first benefit that you've
9	identified, and this is addressed by having the new
10	marginal well financial assurance category for
11	one-well financial assurance applicable to wells that
12	meet the marginal well criteria. Agree?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And again, this is for, as you testified,
15	wells that are at or near the end of their productive
16	life, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And the second benefit that you've
19	identified here is in green. And that's, "The
20	proposed rule provides structure around temporarily
21	abandoned wells, allowing wells that have a reasonable
22	possibility of staying productive, a path to do so,"
23	but then also requiring if they're simply being you
24	know, delayed being plugged, then they're required to
25	do so in a more expedited fashion, correct?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And this concern or benefit is addressed by
3	the specific proposal to modify the rules around
4	temporary abandoned wells and to identify earlier in
5	that abandonment process wells that have no beneficial
6	use, creating a pathway for them to be plugged without
7	having to go through an NOV compliance process,
8	correct?
9	A. Correct.
LO	Q. Okay. So those are two very specific,
L1	targeted ways that the rules is designed to prevent
L2	wells from being orphaned. Agree?
L3	A. Yes.
L 4	Q. And then the third here, as the blue
L 5	provision states, that the new proposed rule provides
L6	structure to improve OCD's ability to oversee the
L7	transfer of wells in the higher risk category in
L8	the higher risk category to companies that may have
L9	compliance history issues or insufficient capital to
20	meet their plugging obligations, correct?
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. And then this provision or this concern of
23	the Division is addressed by the registration and
24	certification provisions that are in the rule, right?
25	A. Yes.
	Page 127

1	Q. Now, these three benefits are the result of,
2	I would say, tell me if you agree, relatively narrow,
3	tailored, targeted provisions that are proposed to be
4	adopted in the proposed rule. Agreed?
5	A. Yes. They're related to provisions in the
6	rule.
7	Q. But I don't see anywhere here in your direct
8	or rebuttal where you expressly identify the benefit
9	of the purpose or endorse this marginal operator
LO	category that we're talking about.
L1	A. I don't know if I specifically endorse it in
L2	my direct. It's been a day since I've looked at my
L3	own testimony as whole. But I will say generally we
L4	endorse it because we're the ones that proposed it.
L5	Q. So if I go to your PowerPoint, you mentioned
L6	that in your direct testimony slides, and this is, I
L7	think, the slide you're referencing, slide 17 of your
L8	Exhibit 15, you do point out there's this provision
L9	that provides for the inclusion of these additional
20	wells if an operator has more than 15 percent in the
21	marginal well category, right?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Yeah, but again, in these notes, you just
24	say what it does, but you don't actually say that the
25	Division endorses it, right?

т	A. 165.
2	Q. But you're telling me that the Division does
3	endorse the general approach of having this marginal
4	operator category?
5	A. Yeah. So as I explained in my direct
6	testimony, this was a portion we actually expected
7	dialogue back and forth from industry on, whether
8	15 percent is appropriate, 30 percent is appropriate.
9	What we see is, operators, when their
10	wells start failing, it's kind of a cascading effect
11	that they continue to fail. And at some point if we
12	can get bonding on all those wells, we reduce the
13	risk. Because once an operator reaches the point, as
14	we showed on the C-115 list, all other wells then
15	become our problems and not just the problem wells.
16	Q. So, now, talking about this provision, the
17	marginal operator provision, as we discussed, would
18	capture more than, as Mr. Purvis testified, and his
19	slides show that it would capture more than half the
20	state's oil and gas operators, forcing them to pay
21	one-well financial assurance not just for the marginal
22	wells, right, but for every other well that's active
23	and producing in their portfolio, agree?
24	A. Yes and no. I think there's some nuance to
25	that that I think was evident in the C-115. I would
	Page 129
	1490 125

1	say that if this well went in effect, it wouldn't be
2	half starting tomorrow that would apply to. Because,
3	as I showed on the C-115 list, there's 25 percent of
4	the operators in the state that are already inactive
5	and are not reporting production. So it may affect
6	15 percent, maybe another 25 percent of the operators.
7	Q. But we don't have analysis of what that
8	impact would be other than what Mr. Purvis presented,
9	correct?
LO	A. You would have to do a review of our
L1	Exhibit 16 data to see kind of what wells fell in
L2	there and then count the operators.
L3	Q. And same thing with Purvis, you have to do a
L4	cross-check with Mr. Purvis' data and figure out what
L 5	those operators are, and that hasn't been done, right?
L6	A. Not that I'm aware of.
L7	Q. So all we know is that up to 51 percent of
L8	the current operators in the state are going to be
L9	impacted by this proposed rule, right?
20	A. Well, I'm thinking 25 percent of that
21	51 percent probably aren't going to pay for extra
22	bonding because they're not in compliance today. So
23	in that math, maybe 26 percent may incur additional
24	bonding.
25	Q. And you're saying that because that list on
	Page 130

1	the C-115, those operators are defunct, you're saying,
2	and they're not going to come back and pay for
3	additional bonding?
4	A. Unless they're intentionally not reporting
5	production for over a year.
6	Q. But I guess the bottom line, though, is that
7	51 percent of the operators, whether they pay or not,
8	are going to be impacted by this rule, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So now, was it the Division's intention,
11	then, to have a rule that not only would it affect
12	51 percent of the operators, but it's going to affect
13	18 percent of wells?
14	And I can pull up Mr. Purvis' slide here
15	just so we can quickly see it, because it's always
16	helpful to see the actual numbers. But on its slide,
17	28 of this Exhibit 52, with 15 percent of the wells
18	incorporated into the rule, it would have an impact on
19	51 percent of the operators, 18 percent of all the
20	wells statewide, and 2.3 percent of the production.
21	Was it the Division's intent to have a
22	rule that would have such a wide-ranging impact beyond
23	what you identified and what we walked through as the
24	three specific, narrowly targeted benefits in the
25	rule?

1	A. I guess that number slightly surprises me,
2	that we have 50 percent or 18 percent of the wells
3	out there aren't even producing 50 percent of the
4	time. That was kind of a shocking statistic, that we
5	have that many wells not producing on a normal basis.
6	I didn't have a specific goal in mind
7	when we selected that. I think if you look at that
8	slide at the 30 percent, that's probably most of the
9	inactive well list that I provide, or the inactive
10	wells that I provided on the C-115.
11	Honestly, I expected to land somewhere
12	in between the 15 and 30 percent in discussions, but
13	those discussions didn't happen on that level. But I
14	was shocked that 18 percent of the wells in the state
15	weren't producing at least 50 percent of the time.
16	Q. And you were shocked because you hadn't done
17	that analysis to determine what the impact would be
18	prior to making that proposal, right?
19	A. Yeah. I would have never expected operators
20	were not producing one in five wells.
21	Q. So prior to this rule coming up with a
22	number of 15 percent that you proposed to applicants,
23	the Division didn't itself do an analysis to
24	evaluate and prior to receiving Mr. Purvis'
25	analysis in his testimony, did the Division do its own

1	analysis to determine what the potential impact would
2	be?
3	A. No, not on a percentage basis like this, no.
4	We pulled up the different thresholds in Exhibit 16,
5	went through the different thresholds, did some spot
6	checking of wells to see if it looked like they were
7	being produced regularly, looked at seeing if there
8	was issues with that and we didn't see any major
9	issues. If an operator was producing, again, that
10	1,000 barrels or 50 percent of the time, those wells
11	looked like they were being beneficially used, so
12	that's what we looked at, was mainly in Exhibit 16
13	when we were looking at that. But I didn't put
14	together any statistics or numbers.
15	Q. Just sort of a high level scoping
16	essentially.
17	A. Mm-hmm.
18	Q. Now, was the first time, then, that you saw
19	Mr. Purvis' analysis when he filed his testimony and
20	his study as part of this case?
21	A. It was.
22	Q. Did OCD do any kind of analysis to confirm
23	his evaluation of the potential impacts?
24	A. To be honest with you, I didn't even look at
25	the all the applicant's testimonies before this
	Page 133

1	Commission started, so I saw this live.
2	Q. We're keeping you pretty busy, aren't we?
3	A. And I have a day job on top of that.
4	Q. I know we are. I know we are. I send you
5	e-mails at least a couple times a week.
6	Now, did the Division then do any kind
7	of analysis to evaluate the potential impact of this
8	marginal operator approach on the wells and the
9	operators in the state?
10	A. Not a formal analysis, no.
11	Q. So none of the Division's witnesses,
12	including yourself, testified on the potential impacts
13	to operators' wells or production in the state that
14	might occur by adopting, as proposed, applicant's
15	proposed rulemaking, especially with respect to the
16	marginal well, the marginal operator provision, right?
17	A. So we didn't do an all-out analysis. I
18	looked at the numbers again. I looked at some of the
19	companies through other enforcement data. So these
20	numbers, again, 18 percent surprised me.
21	But getting to that 33 percent,
22	8 percent didn't surprise me a whole lot because of
23	enforcement I was doing in other places and numbers of
24	wells we were looking at there.
25	Q. Okay. And then also based on the scoping

1	you've done and to date, none of the Division's
2	witnesses, including yourself, testified to the
3	Commission whether the proposed rule and the marginal
4	well definition or the marginal operator provision
5	would prevent waste, correct?
6	A. I think I was actually asked that in my
7	testimony by IPANM shortly ago. And I believe it
8	would reduce waste if an operator was producing their
9	well 51 percent of the time instead of one day a year.
10	Q. But that's just a belief you have, right?
11	You haven't done any kind of study to evaluate whether
12	that's the case or whether the impacts as a result of
13	the financial assurance would actually overwhelm any
14	benefit that you believe may occur?
15	A. Well, I think that information is simply
16	available in Exhibit 16. And I believe that's in my
17	direct testimony. I believe there was 1,400 wells or
18	so that produced less than ten BOE in ten days in that
19	calendar year. So I would say those wells, if they
20	started producing, if they were capable of production,
21	that would increase tax and revenue to the state, and
22	those wells would be used beneficially.
23	Q. Sure. And then on the other hand, there may
24	be some operators out there who walk away, do not seek
25	to revamp or revitalize the wells, and then the

Division goes and unplugs them.

2.1

2.4

Even though maybe that reservoir has remaining primary production capabilities, wouldn't that potentially result in reduction in ultimate recovery if the Division goes in and plugs wells that otherwise would be capable of continuing production?

A. Again, with 51 percent of production days, not just production, I means there's a lot of times reservoirs may have producing quantities but the well is no longer capable of producing. And then that's the problem that we see when we get these abandoned wells, is the wells have severe neglect, downhole casing issues, stuck tubing, those kind of things.

And if the operators aren't paying to bring those wells back on, then I don't think this rule will change and I think it may -- they may leave that well sooner, but I don't think it changes the overall outcome that that well could get left.

Q. So in terms of evaluating the pros and cons, the benefits and the adverse effect of this proposed rule, the Division itself hasn't done any kind of study to determine what the overall impact will be, whether you can say, based on the study or analysis of the data or what you think may occur, that there's actually going to be as a result of implementing this

rule	as	proposed?
$\pm u \pm c$	ab	PLOPOBCU

2.1

2.4

- A. Well, again, we don't make operator decisions. And I would say why we didn't do a specific study to what you asked, Exhibit 16 is an entire year of production for the entire state, and we did look at that extensively.
- Q. Okay. So we know you looked at one aspect of the potential impact, but the other aspect, what the effect of the financial assurance might be on operators and whether it would cause premature plugging has not -- that aspect of the potential has not been evaluated by the Division, correct?
- A. So that level of data is actually what we expected industry to bring, because we don't have every company's credit worthiness. We don't have all of their financial information and know what they can and can't do. We don't know what their long-term plans are on each of these wells. That information, based on the data that we had, we set a standard, but we don't have their individual company's information on credit worthiness.

That's part of the reason why that on transfers, those kind of things, we can ask for that in this proposed rule, is to be able to get to some of that as needed.

1	When we looked, again, we looked it
2	includes an entire year's worth of production. It
3	includes an entire year's worth of days produced for
4	each well. I think the data is there if somebody
5	wants to do that study.
6	Q. So, you heard the testimony from applicants
7	to engineering witnesses, Mr. Purvis and
8	Mr. Alexander, and they testified that they had
9	prepared no study or analysis addressing whether the
10	proposed rule would prevent waste or protect
11	correlative rights. Agree?
12	A. I believe there was no extensive study in
13	that specific realm, no.
14	Q. And because they had not, they were unable
15	to testify that the proposed rule would prevent waste
16	or protect relative rights. Agree?
17	A. I believe so.
18	Q. And none of the witnesses, including
19	yourself, have prepared an analysis that will allow
20	the Division to draw those conclusions based on actual
21	data. Agree?
22	A. I don't agree, because I think on actual
23	data, we presented that in Exhibit 16. I think the
24	new list that I provided on the number of wells plus
25	the C-115, I think you can calculate roughly how many

1	A. I do. And I'm hoping actually it's not that
2	high, because if I remember correctly the provisions
3	of the rule give three years for that to take effect.
4	So operators have three years to work with that unless
5	they transfer wells. And I would hope in those three
6	years, they increase their productive standing.
7	Q. So in your surrebuttal testimony, you were
8	referring I think this is going to be Division
9	Exhibit 32, I think, where you've pulled the filter
LO	from the I think it's revised Exhibit 16, correct?
L1	Is that right?
L2	A. I believe so.
L3	Q. Okay. And I think I heard you say that the
L4	impact of the proposed rule would be only to 3,494
L5	wells.
L6	A. I believe you would have to pull oh,
L7	you've got it up. The 3,494 is a total of both of the
L8	columns above and does not include inactive. So that
L9	would be yes.
20	Q. Okay. And that also doesn't include the
21	additional active producing wells that will be pulled
22	in under the marginal operator provision for any
23	operator that has more than 15 percent wells that
24	qualifies as marginal, correct?
25	A. Correct.

Т	26 percent of the operators in the state don't have
2	financial standing to cover their wells.
3	If they continue to operate the way they
4	are, if they don't have that financial standing, they
5	may be heading where the other 25 percent are. And
6	that would be an indicator.
7	Q. And I think I heard you say in response to
8	one of my earlier questions that what you had expected
9	to see was something between 30 percent, 50 percent,
10	more along the lines of the number of operators that
11	showed up on that C-115 list. Agree?
12	A. No. I expected it to be between the 15 and
13	30 percent as far as numbers of wells. Because I was
14	surprised the 30 percent was so in line with the no
15	production, that those wells are likely not properly
16	evaluated as far as company growth because it's
17	already there. So it wouldn't pick up very many
18	additional companies that are failing; it more
19	identified those companies that already failed.
20	Q. So the 30 percent threshold for the marginal
21	operator category would be more targeted towards the
22	operators and wells that you think are most at risk
23	for being orphaned; is that fair to say?
24	A. So that's what I was trying to say. Maybe I
25	didn't do it very eloquently. I think after seeing
	Page 143

1	the numbers and then seeing the C-115 numbers, it's
2	not showing what's at risk, it's showing what's
3	already failed. So the proper number may be somewhere
4	in between there.
5	Q. But we don't know, based on because the
6	Division hasn't done that analysis, we don't know what
7	that that is right now, correct?
8	A. Well, we know the number that's already
9	failed. And those were the numbers I showed earlier.
L O	Q. On the C-115 list?
L1	A. On the C-115 list.
L2	Q. That C-115 list, all we know is that those
L3	operators haven't been reporting production. And that
L4	happens sometimes. I have experience, right?
L5	Sometimes operators think that a consultant is filing
L6	the C-115s and they're not actually, and then there's
L7	a correction that's made and those C-115s get filed.
L8	And it's not because the well isn't producing, but
L9	it's because a third-party consultant may not be
20	filing those production reports?
21	A. So those production reports are due 45 days
22	after the end of the month. Those operators haven't
23	reported any production since August of 2024. That
24	would have been an extreme failing. And following the
25	NOV statutes that are in place of \$200,000 per well,

1	or per violation cap, each one of those wells would
2	more than likely hit that cap. And then you're
3	talking those violations set on what was it, 3000
4	wells or so? All of them could be potentially at that
5	cap. So that would be a big oops.
6	Q. It would be a big oops. But nevertheless,
7	we don't know exactly the reason for why those
8	production reports aren't being made, so we?
9	A. All I can say is that's a major failing on a
LO	company because that's one of the most fundamental
L1	things when it comes to being an operator, is properly
L2	reporting your production.
L3	Q. So back to my question about the 51 percent.
L4	Somewhere between 51 percent of operators and some
L5	lower number may be more appropriate. Because you
L6	agree with me that the actual number of operators with
L7	wells at risk of being orphaned is something less than
L8	51 percent of operators. Agree?
L9	A. I agree. And that's probably something over
20	25 percent.
21	Q. So you agree also that there's been no
22	steady analysis presented to the Commission showing
23	that increasing the marginal well threshold from
24	15 percent to 20 percent would prevent waste. Agree?
25	A. Agree. By no parties that are involved in

1	this case.
2	Q. Same thing for a 25 percent threshold,
3	right? There's no analysis showing that a 25 percent
4	threshold is going to prevent waste.
5	A. Well, I think the 25 percent threshold I
6	already showed was already out of compliance. But I
7	would consider all of that waste if there's no
8	production.
9	Q. So, the fact is, we don't know how high the
10	Division would need to go to set a threshold for
11	marginal wells to know that the rule is going to
12	actually prevent waste?
13	A. I think it's fair to say it's somewhere in
14	between there and it's up to the Commission on what
15	they choose to pick based off the data that was given.
16	Q. Now, looking at Mr. Purvis' discussion about
17	the 15 percent threshold, so he testified that he
18	believed the impact was going to be I believe he
19	used the word at least one time "minute," but he could
20	not say what portion of the 2.3 percent of production
21	17 million barrels of oil in 2024 production would be
22	lost. Do you recall that discussion?
23	A. Vaguely.
24	Q. Okay. Do you agree with me that he did not
25	do an analysis and he couldn't say What portion of
	Page 146

1	that affected or impacted production might be lost as
2	a result of the implementation of this rule as
3	proposed?
4	A. I would agree with that. And similar to
5	Mr. Purvis, I couldn't tell you either, because that
6	would be trying to anticipate what operators are going
7	to do with their own production.
8	Q. Right. So we don't know what the potential
9	impacts going to be?
10	A. It could increase production.
11	Q. And how would that occur? Do you think by
12	incentivizing operators to go out and stimulate their
13	wells?
14	A. Or just produce them. Again, it falls on
15	this list if they have below 180 days of production.
16	If they just produce their wells, that production may
17	go up.
18	Q. Isn't part of the incentive of the rule to
19	encourage operators to plug wells?
20	A. Plug the wells that need to be plugged that
21	are mechanically no longer available to operate. But
22	if an operator can produce it, I think there's also a
23	fair incentive that they produce it.
24	Q. Again, there's been no analysis to discern
25	what the ultimate impact is going to be on the rule,

1	whether it's going to increase production or cause
2	waste, right?
3	A. Again, I don't control an operator's
4	business on what they choose to do, so I don't think
5	you can do that study.
6	Q. So, nevertheless, no study has been done,
7	right? No analysis.
8	MR. TREMAINE: That's been answered, I think
9	that's the fifth time.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: It has. Mr. Rankin,
11	please move on.
12	BY MR. RANKIN:
13	Q. All right. So, but what we do know from
14	Mr. Purvis, is that using a 30 percent threshold,
15	instead of 15 percent would impact only 33 percent of
16	operators, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. And that would be a decrease of about
19	18 percent in the number of operators impacted by the
20	proposed rule, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And that 30 percent threshold would impact
23	only about .6 percent of statewide production, I
24	agree.
25	A. Theoretically, yes.

1	O Aggarding to Mr. Durrigh analyzing wight?
	Q. According to Mr. Purvis' analysis, right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. So, if I were to go back to the 2024
4	production numbers that I have, that I ran through
5	with Mr. Purvis. And when I was discussing with
6	Mr. Purvis, we did the calculation for the total oil
7	production in 2024 multiplied by 23 percent, which
8	represents the 15 percent threshold. We got 17
9	million barrels, and then we calculated that that
10	value is about 1 billion bucks, okay, based on the
11	production from 2024.
12	And I go back to his screen. If I look
13	at 30 percent, which is about 0.6 percent production,
14	that gives me about 4 million barrels impacted based
15	on 2024 production. Do you agree with that
16	calculation?
17	A. I agree that that's what you did in your
18	calculation.
19	Q. And you agree that using that impact
20	analysis from Mr. Purvis, that that would be the
21	estimated impact using 2024 production?
22	A. Potentially, yes.
23	Q. Again, based on Mr. Purvis' analysis, right?
24	A. Well, again, I don't know that we can say
25	it's going to fully cost the state that .6 percent
	Page 149

1	because of what operators do. They could always
2	choose to operate their wells more. My understanding,
3	based on prior OCD administrations, when OCD first
4	took the inactive well list prior to me starting, but
5	working with somebody that actually testified in that
6	rule, production in the state actually increased after
7	the first inactive well rule went into place.
8	Q. So then if I do the same analysis I did with
9	Mr. Purvis and looked at the value of that production,
10	multiply it using the same value of \$60 per barrel,
11	it's about \$266 million. Again, just doing the same
12	analysis I did with Mr. Purvis, but using the
13	30 percent threshold analysis that he conducted. Do
14	you agree with that calculation? It's the same
15	calculation, but using his different numbers for the
16	30 percent threshold.
17	A. Again, working with operators in the past,
18	I'd say your calculation is correct. But if it was
19	that lucrative, I think more operators would produce
20	more.
21	Q. So I understand that that's your view.
22	That's the Division's view on how it may turn out.
23	Correct?
24	A. Well, I would say that's most businesses'
25	view, is to make money, and if they think they can

Т	make money, they will make money.
2	Q. So if I look at the potential impact and go
3	back again, I'm just keeping those numbers in mind,
4	increasing the threshold to 30 percent on marginal
5	operators and increasing the threshold to 30 percent
б	from 15 percent would approximately reduce the
7	potential impact on production by about one-quarter.
8	Is that about right?
9	A. I think I've answered this. I don't know
10	that I fully agree because I don't know what an
11	operator is going to.
12	Q. But again, just based on Mr. Purvis'
13	analysis, right?
14	A. That's what Mr. Purvis' analysis shows.
15	Q. Same thing with the dollar figures, right?
16	It would reduce the potential impact by about
17	MS. FOX: Objection. He's misstating
18	Mr. Purvis' testimony, which was that those numbers
19	reflect a ceiling.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Right. Mr. Rankin.
21	MR. RANKIN: Well, the record stands for
22	itself. I mean, I think that was the potential
23	impact, the ceiling, the biggest impact it could
24	have. I don't disagree.
25	BY MR. RANKIN:

1	Q. So the potential impact would be up to 17
2	million at 15 percent threshold, up to 4.4 million for
3	a 30 percent threshold; is that fair?
4	A. I think you had Mr. Purvis do the math.
5	You're asking me my opinion. I don't know that I
6	agree with that testimony. And that's what I'm trying
7	to explain.
8	Q. Very good. And you don't agree with it just
9	based on Exhibit 16, then, on Division's Exhibit 16.
LO	A. I'm sure that numbers are right, but as far
L1	as Exhibit 16 doesn't say how the operators are going
L2	to respond to this rule.
L3	Q. Right. And that's the issue, I guess.
L4	That's the question. Or what wells may have to be
L5	shut in or what may not have to be shut in? We don't
L6	know?
L7	A. Or what wells are even capable of production
L8	or not capable of production.
L9	Q. But let me say it this way. You would agree
20	with me that increasing the threshold of 30 percent
21	would have less of an impact on operators and the
22	production in the state. Would you agree?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. And so here, considering the impact of this
25	rule, wouldn't you agree that it might be prudent,

1	when starting out with a new rule, that for the first
2	time proposing to prohibit operators from using
3	statewide blanket bond that's mandated by statute
4	under our view and forcing them to pay \$150,000 in
5	financial assurance for every well they operate, and
6	then not having done any real deep analysis to
7	determine whether the rule will cause waste or
8	imperative rights, don't you think it's maybe at least
9	prudent to start on the lower end of the potential
10	impact?
11	A. Again, I think I explained I actually expect
12	this to be a negotiating factor back and forth. And
13	30 percent may be more prudent. We also expected
14	operators to need some time to get to that point of
15	evaluating their wells while in production.
16	That's why the caveat of the up-bonding
17	not even going into place for three years is in there,
18	because it's going to take some time to properly
19	identify, digest and move forward.
20	If you're asking if I'm okay with the
21	30 percent, I was looking anywhere between 15 and
22	30 percent as a gut reaction. The C-115 that I showed
23	earlier, it may be a little less than 30 percent, but
24	I was really looking at between 15 and 30 percent.
2.5	O And then in addition to looking at you

1	know, reducing potentially the marginal well threshold
2	to reduce the potential impact when we're uncertain
3	about what it's going to be, another way to also lower
4	the impact would be to reduce the production portion
5	of the definition for marginal well from 1,000 barrels
6	of oil equivalent to 750 barrels of oil equivalent.
7	Agree?
8	A. I haven't run the numbers, but that would be
9	in line with the LFC. And if I remember correctly,
10	when we looked at those numbers there's not a big
11	difference between those two.
12	Q. And, in fact, if I go to Mr. Purvis' slides
13	where he does an analysis that incorporates his
14	logarithmic scale over here, if I look at where a 2
15	BOE would fall, this is for the Permian Basin, if I
16	look at where the 2 BOE would fall, that would capture
17	up to the 25th percentile of wells in production,
18	wouldn't it, that he identifies as being most at risk?
19	A. So I'm not a hundred percent familiar with
20	that graph, but that seems to be roughly correct.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Rankin, five
22	minutes.
23	MR. RANKIN: Thank you very much.
24	BY MR. RANKIN:
25	Q. And if I look at the same figure he did for

1	the San Juan Basin, it's the same thing, 2 BOE would
2	capture up to the 25 percent of the percentile of the
3	wells and production that he identified as being most
4	at risk for orphan wells, right?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. So if the Commission were to play it safe
7	and adopt a go-slow approach using a lower value for
8	the marginal well definition, 750 BOE, and 30 percent
9	for the marginal well threshold, doesn't the rule
LO	still have the presumption of no beneficial use that
L1	would function as a safety net to capture any wells
L2	that are identified specifically as being imminent
L3	risk of being orphaned?
L4	A. Yes.
L5	Q. Okay. And doesn't that provision
L6	effectively provide a fail-safe for the Division to
L7	identify any individual wells that are at risk of
L8	being orphaned?
L9	A. I believe so.
20	Q. And that's a more targeted approach. Agree?
21	A. Yeah. It's just an alternative. But I see
22	where you're going.
23	Q. I'm not going to have time to get through
24	all the other aspects that I lined out for you,
25	Mr. Powell, I don't think. But I'll see how far I can
	Page 155

1 get in a few minutes I have left. 2 Let me ask you about the TA status. As 3 proposed, the rule limits the TA or temporary benefit status to a period not to exceed eight years based on 4 a maximum initial TA period of five years and a one-time extension of up to two years. Is that your 6 understanding? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And OCD generally supports that provision as proposed, or are you open to modifications based on 10 11 the testimony? 12 A. I think I already answered this with NMOGA's 13 counsel, that we're open as long as there's firm plans built into that. 14 15 O. Now, what about hearings? The rule proposes 16 that each extension of a TA be required to go to a 17 hearing before a Division examiner. Based on the 18 Division's current docket capacity and pressures, in 19 your opinion, does it make sense to require those 20 extensions to go to hearing, or is it something the 2.1 Division can handle administratively? 22 A. I think the first five years, obviously, I think administratively is sufficient. After that, if 23 2.4 there was a hearing and an operator brought a 15-year plan, or whatever it was, to the OCD Division hearing, 25

1	I think that'd be pertinent to give an entire plan and
2	lay out criteria in that. I think testing should be
3	at a five-year interval at the most to ensure wells
4	aren't degrading.
5	But I think if you went to one hearing
6	and laid out an entire plan of development for a set
7	of wells and you're meeting those criteria, I don't
8	see why you would have to keep continue going back to
9	hearing.
10	Q. And let me ask you this, then, on the
11	hearing question, because it's not identified in the
12	in the application or in the proposed rule, I don't
13	think. Who would be given notice of an application
14	for an extension.
15	A. Boy, it's been a long time since I've looked
16	at those provisions in the rule, so I wouldn't opine
17	on that.
18	Q. So if it's not clear in the rule and it's
19	not clear who would be required to get notice, how is
20	the Commission to determine
21	MS. FOX: Objection misstates the evidence.
22	He didn't say that the rule was unclear. He said he
23	didn't recall it.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: That's right.
25	BY MR. RANKIN:

1	Q. So, Mr. Powell, you don't recall what the
2	rule provides, but you don't know one way or the other
3	whether the rule provides or specifies who would get
4	notice under a TA extension request?
5	A. That's one of the few rules I don't have
6	memorized.
7	Q. Okay. Would it surprise you that the rule
8	as proposed doesn't actually identify who's required
9	to get notice?
10	A. I don't know.
11	Q. Okay. And do you recommend that the
12	Division will authorize or the Commission will
13	authorize parties that don't have standing to
14	intervene in a TA extension case?
15	A. Not knowing the rule, I don't want to
16	recommend what that would be to the Commission.
17	Q. But you're not clear yourself, based on the
18	rules proposed, who would be required notice?
19	A. I'm sure the rule is very clear, but I'm
20	not I didn't prepare for that number testimony.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Please wrap up,
22	Mr. Rankin.
23	MR. RANKIN: Ms. Officer, you know, I do
24	have some more questions to walk through. I wasn't
25	able to get through them all. If possible, I would
	Page 158

1	ask for just a short extension, give me another 10 to
2	15 minutes to get through my questions.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: So I didn't offer
4	that to any of the other examiners, so I would feel
5	funny about offering it to you.
6	MR. RANKIN: I didn't ask for it either,
7	but, you know, just I'll make the request. I don't
8	want to defer. If people think that my questioning
9	is not, you know, helpful, then I'm happy to hold off
10	and then pass the witness.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you so much. I
12	think to the extent that commissioners need more
13	information through cross-examination, they're going
14	to do that.
15	Let's see, Mr Maxwell, do you have
16	questions of Mr Powell?
17	MR. MAXWELL: No questions for Mr. Powell.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
19	I don't know if Ms. Nanasi is on the
20	platform.
21	Mr. Moore, do you have questions of
22	Mr. Powell?
23	MR. MOORE: I do have a few questions.
24	Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner.
25	

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2. BY MR. MOORE: O. Good afternoon. Mr. Powell. My name is 3 Richard Moore. I represent the Commissioner of Public 4 5 Lands and the State Land Office in this proceeding. 6 Thank you for your testimony this afternoon. I just have a handful of questions about the financial 8 assurance required to be provided to the to the OCD. 9 Can the State Land Office draw on 10 financial assurance provided to the OCD if an operator 11 has failed to properly plug and abandon a well on 12 state trust land? A. So the State Land Office cannot draw that 13 directly. We've done work in coordination with the 14 15 State Land Office, but State Land Office wouldn't have 16 access to that, to my knowledge. 17 O. Can the State Land Office cannot draw on the financial assurance provided to the OCD if there's 18 19 surface work or remediation that is required at a well 20 site that is on state trust land? A. That would be a similar answer, that I don't 2.1 22 believe the State Land Office could draw that 23 directly. 2.4 Q. Thank you. So then, based on those answers, do you agree that for the State Land Office to be in 25

1	the position to be able to directly access financial
2	assurance to plug wells or address contamination or
3	conduct other surface work on state trust land, it
4	would need to require its own financial assurance
5	separate from that provided to the OCD?
6	A. I think there could be some overlap between
7	what State Land Office and OCD requires regarding some
8	contamination and surface reclamation. But I believe
9	there's also other reclamation that state land has a
10	surface management agency that oversees that OCD would
11	not oversee. So if a State Land Office needed bonding
12	for that or something outside of OCD's scope, they
13	would need to acquire their own.
14	Q. And are you aware of how many wells the
15	State Land Office has forced to be plugged under its
16	accountability and enforcement program?
17	A. I am not.
18	MR. MOORE: I believe those are all the
19	questions I have for you Mr. Powell, thank you for
20	your testimony.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
23	Ms. Fox, do you have questions,
24	Mr. Powell?
25	MS. FOX: Ms. Hearing Officer, I have a few,
	Page 161

1	thank you.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. FOX:
4	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Powell. My name is
5	Tannis Fox. I'm a lawyer with the Western
6	Environmental Law Center and I represent applicants in
7	this proceeding. Thank you very much for your
8	testimony.
9	You testified that you wish you would
LO	have termed the term "marginal well" differently in
L1	your proposal. And do you have another term that you
L2	would prefer to use, like low producing well? Or you
L3	mentioned in your testimony this morning at-risk wells
L4	for plugging. Do you have another term?
L5	A. I didn't. I didn't brainstorm a term since
L6	then, but I think I would just stand that marginal is
L7	used in lots of different ways.
L8	Q. In 19.15.25.8, which is the Commission rule
L9	having to do with wells being inactive for 12 months,
20	needing to either be plugged and abandoned or go into
21	TA status, are you familiar with that rule?
22	A. I am.
23	Q. And applicants and OCD proposed to change
24	the time period within which a well must be P&A'd or
25	to into TA from 90 to 30 days, correct?

1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. And I believe you gave testimony on Friday
3	that an operator has the 12 months and the 30 days to
4	plan for what it's going to do with that well,
5	correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Under the rules right now, if an operator
8	chooses to P&A its well, are there circumstances under
9	which an operator is going to P&A its well and that
10	work takes more than 90 days?
11	A. I think if an operator is on site plugging
12	the wells, there is a potential that it takes longer
13	than the 30 days. I don't know if it's taken 90, but
14	I think we've had a few that have gone over 30.
15	Q. And if an operator needed more than 30 days
16	to P&A its well based on good cause, would OCD have
17	the authority to grant that extension?
18	A. I don't know if we would grant an extension,
19	but I think we could use our discretion to allow
20	working with the operator. Usually if an operator is
21	actively trying to comply with something, we don't
22	pursue them for enforcement action.
23	Q. And has that happened in the past with
24	regard to this provision, when an operator is
25	attempting to P&A well?

1	A. I would say most of the wells in the ACOIs
2	are some of that intent, where we're giving operators
3	longer than the 90 days to work through a plugging or
4	a compliance list.
5	Q. And same sort of question with regard to
6	presumptions of no-beneficial-use provision in
7	proposed 19.15.25.9. Under that provision, operators
8	have 30 days to rebut an administrative the
9	preliminary administrative determination of no
-0	beneficial use. If an operator needed additional time
.1	beyond the 30 days and had good cause, does what OCD
L2	grant that extension?
_3	A. I would say as long as we're going back and
_4	forth, we probably wouldn't enter in a final
-5	determination until we've gotten all the information
-6	we need.
.7	Q. Turning to the time period within which
-8	applicants in OCD proposed that a well be plugged
_9	instead of stay in TA status, you testified something
20	along the lines of if an operator made a showing that
21	it had a plan to use a well, you would be open to an
22	extension, something like that?
23	A. Yeah. So if my memory serves me, I believe
24	there's been one operator that I can remember offhand
25	that came with a proposal similar to that. It was

1	actually Oxy, where they were planning on doing a CO2
2	EOR. They came in and, based on building
3	infrastructure for it, based on doing meeting specific
4	thresholds, they were asking to extend TAs based on
5	that plan. And that was a very specific plan, very
6	specific deadlines, thresholds, and it made sense in
7	that case.
8	Q. Did you either hear Mr. Alexander's
9	testimony on the principles that he would apply for an
LO	extension or read his principles in his rebuttal
L1	testimony?
L2	A. I don't remember reading his principles in
L3	his rebuttal, but I did hear his testimony. And I
L4	think we're very similar in what we're looking at
L 5	there.
L6	Q. Mr. Alexander testified that he would be
L7	agreeable to that type of an extension or exception if
L8	the exception were limited in scope, say to EOR, as
L9	one example, limited in time, subject to OCD periodic
20	review, based on sound engineering, technical,
21	economic and administrative information, not based on
22	guesswork, hunches, speculation or the like, and that
23	any such language would have to be carefully crafted
24	to avoid any kind of loophole leading to abuse.
25	Do you agree with all those principles?

1	have reserves left in place and you don't have a well
2	capable of producing those reserves any longer.
3	So I think more than just reserves, I
4	think an operator needs a plan of either repairing a
5	well if needed, re-completing a well, EOR, whatever
6	that be. It's more than just what reserves are left
7	in place. It would be a plan to get to those
8	reserves.
9	Q. Mr. Purvis offered that rubric as either
10	guidance or requirement for making a determination
11	about whether a use was speculative, and so I was
12	wondering if you could support that testimony or not?
13	A. It sounds like it makes a lot of sense. I
14	don't remember that one to the fullest, so I can't
15	100 percent agree. But I think we're very near the
16	same place where I think there's times where the
17	speculation should be on whether it's successful, but
18	not the plan to implement it.
19	Q. And finally, there's been a lot of testimony
20	from industry witnesses that the OCD and applicants
21	proposed rules will result in premature plugging and
22	therefore waste of the resource. Are you familiar
23	with that testimony?
24	A. I am.
25	Q. What is your general response to that

Τ	testimony?
2	A. Again, I think it's going to be on how
3	operators respond to it. I think operators have the
4	choice of producing their well more efficiently or
5	plugging the well. And I think it'll depend on the
6	condition of that well, because I don't see an
7	operator plugging a well that they could produce
8	beneficially.
9	It's the wells that are having downhole
10	issues that are going to incur an additional costs and
11	what Mr. Wrinkle brought up, that aren't going to pay
12	for that additional work that are typically going to
13	be the wells that are plugged. If it's simply turning
14	on a well onto production, then that production would
15	happen.
16	MS. FOX: Thank you for your testimony.
17	That's all the questions I have.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Fox.
20	Mr. Tremaine, do you have redirect?
21	MR. TREMAINE: I do have a couple of
22	questions, Madam Hearing Officer.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. TREMAINE?
25	Q. Mr. Powell, you were asked a number of
	Page 168

questions on cross about OCD's limited resources
and implications regarding those resources for orders,
financial assurance, forfeiture, et cetera. So I want
to ask you a couple questions about such orders.
So you referred in your testimony to
ACOIs, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And would you agree, just for the record,
that that stands for agreed compliance orders for
inactive wells?
A. Yes.
Q. I believe we also referred to settlements,
notices of violation, which often referred to as SFOs,
stipulated final orders, correct.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Would you agree that the the first
order provision in any ACOI or settlement document
related to inactive wells is that the operator must
plug the wells? Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And would you agree that, for the
most part, those orders all alternatively provide
authority for OCD to step in and plug the wells if the
operator fails to comply?
A. The current ones, yes.

1	Q. Okay. Thank you. If an operator fails to
2	comply with such an order, does OCD have a specific
3	mechanism to go out and actually physically force the
4	hand of the operator to plug the wells?
5	A. No. I think it's somewhere along the lines
6	of bleeding blood from a turnip.
7	Q. We can get an order that says you have to
8	plug the well, we can get a court order that says you
9	have to plug the well, we can issue a settlement
10	agreement that says you have to plug the well,
11	correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. But what happens when an operator doesn't
14	comply with any of those things? Those wells go on
15	the MOSS?
16	A. The wells go on the MOSS, OCD plugs it, and
17	if OCD thinks there's any way to get anything back out
18	of the operator, they can sue the operator, they can
19	forfeit the bonding. I can't think of any cases where
20	OCD actually sued the operator, because they didn't
21	think there was anything to get at that point.
22	Q. As part of your job, are you regularly
23	involved in the negotiation and negotiated terms of
24	the settlement agreements that I've referenced?
25	A. I am.

1	questions about Mr. Cloutier's cross-examination.
2	You were confronted with a series of
3	invoices from OCD's contract plugger, Drake. Do you
4	recall that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. So some of these average plugging
7	costs have been bandied about, so I want to clarify
8	that the \$163,000 average plugging cost, that's for
9	OCD-conducted plugging during the fiscal year 2024,
10	correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And do you recall from those invoices
13	that you were confronted with that the dates on those
14	invoices were 2022?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. So would you agree that any issue with those
17	invoices would not be reflected in the fiscal year '24
18	average plugging costs for OCD?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Okay. Were you confronted with any examples
21	from 2024?
22	A. I don't believe so.
23	Q. Okay. There were a number of questions that
24	were posed to you regarding or suggesting that OCD is
25	not forfeiting substantial FA or particularly

1	financial assurance that resides in the form of
2	\$250,000 blanket bonds. Do you recall that testimony?
3	A. I do.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer, I'm
5	going to share screen and share for Mr. Powell the
6	OCD Exhibit Number 29, the Financial Assurance
7	Report.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
9	BY MR. TREMAINE:
10	Q. So, Mr. Powell, this is already entered into
11	evidence and admitted, but this is the Financial
12	Assurance Report. You had this pulled in preparation
13	for the hearing, correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And do you agree that column G here is the
16	base amount for financial assurance that says the
17	amount of the bond that's listed in the OCD system?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And from your testimony earlier, you had
20	indicated on these sheets that these are filterable,
21	correct?
22	A. Correct. I will say sometimes that they're
23	restricted, the filterability is affected.
24	Q. Yeah, let me pull it up from my let me
25	pull up a local copy.

1	A. And typically, while you're pulling that up,
2	we restrict those so the core data can't be changed.
3	But if other parties wanted to take that information,
4	it would be a simple copy and paste into another Excel
5	sheet to then filter.
6	Q. Thank you. And that is, I believe, what's
7	going on here, because that's from the file, so that
8	one was protected. I'm using a local copy from my
9	desktop.
10	All right. So back to the base amount
11	in column G. If this is filterable, we can turn off
12	all the filters for the small bonds and look at
13	blanket bond amounts from clicking on 250,000, 500,000
14	and 1 million, which are blanket amounts. And these
15	are the current blanket bond amounts that are payable
16	to the to the OCD, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. When you review this list, are we able to
19	identify any financial assurance that is attributable
20	to an operator that has been deemed out of compliance
21	with inactive wells and for which OCD has plugged
22	their wells?
23	A. So to identify that, you would look at the
24	bonding amount that has been reclaimed, I believe, or
25	redeemed.

1	Q. Is that "sum of redemption" in column H?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. I removed the zeros here. So there's one
4	blanket bond here, which is showing a redeemed amount?
5	A. Yes. And I think part of your problem
6	looking at operators to see if we redeemed \$250,000
7	bonds is because most of the operators in MOSS did not
8	have the \$250,000 blanket bond.
9	Q. Yeah. So my line of questions here is just,
10	is there anything else that you would add that would
11	be beneficial in reviewing the sheet to allow the
12	other parties to look and see if there were actually
13	any payable blanket bonds under wells subject to OCD
14	enforcement.
15	A. So if you wanted to filter this, let's just
16	pick one of them under operator name, pick Canyon. I
17	think you still have another filter on, because I see
18	from line 1 to 38, it skips. So if you clear all your
19	filters.
20	Q. This is what I said earlier. We don't want
21	me doing Excel changes here. So this is a better way.
22	Thank you for that, Mr. Powell.
23	So here we have all of Canyon E&P
24	Company's bonds? So if we look at
25	A. So if you look at G and H, that shows the
	Page 175

1	base amounts. Again, that's what I referenced in my
2	testimony, the 6,000 per well individual bond. And
3	then it shows the redemptions on the bonds that OCD
4	has currently redeemed and the plans to redeem more.
5	That 50,000 at the bottom box that you
6	see, so if you un-highlight, it'll pull up Canyon's
7	blanket bond. So you can see in Canyon specifically,
8	OCD has already redeemed the blanket bond for Canyon
9	so the only thing that OCD can now pursue is the
LO	individual well bonds.
L1	Q. To your knowledge, are you aware of any
L2	currently payable larger blanket bonds that OCD is
L3	able to take action on?
L 4	A. Not that I'm aware of offhand. Typically
L5	the blanket bonds is what OCD starts with, as far as
L6	trying to redeem the bonding. There may be
L7	one on some of the most recent pool, maybe like an
L8	Energy Acumen, just because that was more recent
L9	plugging. But usually the blanket bonds were the
20	first thing we go after because they're so small in
21	comparison to plugging.
22	Even if we pursue one individual well
23	bond or two, then we then attach the blanket bond with
24	those pools and pool that, as well.
25	Q. Mr. Powell, I'm going to move on. You've

1	been asked numerous questions about prevention of
2	waste and correlative rights. As deputy director, are
3	you comfortable stating that it's also the Division's
4	job to manage the reclamation fund?
5	A. It is.
6	Q. And to plug orphan wells using whatever's
7	available in the reclamation fund?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Is it also the Division's obligation to
10	regulate to require the remediation of releases,
11	specifically environmental contamination?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And are there also, similarly, provisions
14	that protect various different types of water
15	resources in the state from impacts of oil and gas
16	development, production, et cetera?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Do you recall the waste rule, Parts
19	27 and 28?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Under the rule, and in your opinion, is
22	venting of natural gas a waste?
23	A. If it's venting natural gas for no
24	beneficial purpose, then yes.
25	Q. What percentage of wells monitored for
	Page 177

1 methane or natural gas venting or emissions as part of 2. the orphaned well program are leaking methane? 3 A. Sixty percent. Q. So orphaned wells are causing waste through 4 5 venting of natural gas? 6 A. Sixty percent of them, yes. Q. After going through this hearing, reviewing 8 the testimony listening through the hearing, do you 9 have any recommendations for the Commission regarding the production threshold criteria for the production 10 11 of no beneficial use? I'm also going to ask you about 12 marginal wells. 13 Let's start at presumption of no beneficial use. Do you have any recommendations, 14 15 based on the record of hearing, for the 90 BOE and 90 16 days of production? 17 A. You know, I think there was a lot of discussion, a lot of questions that were asked about 18 tracking production days, those kind of things, 19 20 whether it's useful, whether OCD has done it in the past. And 90 BOE is extremely low threshold; it's 21 22 less than one barrel of oil per day. So I think that 23 would be a hard threshold to make if an operator was 2.4 really utilizing their well. 25 So I think, as in my rebuttal, where I

1	recommended things could happen, I think removing the
2	days provision of the 90 BOE would probably be
3	sufficient. And then if the operator wanted to come
4	in and justify why they weren't producing, they could
5	always do that as a presumption of no beneficial use.
6	It would move that threshold from an operator or from
7	an OCD threshold and enforcement tool to an operator
8	required threshold.
9	Q. All right. Does that address any of the
10	concerns raised during the hearing or some of the
11	conflicting testimony about inconsistencies in days
12	reported for production by some operators or operator
13	practices?
14	A. It would. And I think Mr. Purvis'
15	scatterplot, when the Commission looks at it, I think
16	is a good illustration of that. Because there's some
17	wells that have very, very low production, like just a
18	few barrels, but are showing 2-, 300 days of
19	production. I think, unless there's an issue with
20	that well, that's probably just an operator filling a
21	box without looking into it further.
22	Q. I have the same question for you. We've
23	heard a lot of discussion about the marginal well
24	definition of 1,000 BOE and production for 180 days.

And we've had all of the "and/or" discussions.

25

1	As we wrap up, this is my last question,
2	is it the Division's position that it's still
3	appropriate to maintain both the 1,000 and, to be
4	very, very clear, and 180 days of production there?
5	How do you respond?
6	A. So I think at that level of well, I think
7	having the 180 days is beneficial to have that
8	provision to show that an operator is legitimately
9	trying to produce their well. It's a 50 percent
10	provision.
11	I think there's probably ample evidence
12	that decline curves are stabilized near the end of a
13	well's lifetime. So even if it's a low producing, if
14	it's a constant production, then that's something that
15	should be considered. Whether it's 1,000 or 700, as
16	LFC recommended, I'm not going to opine on one of
17	those or the other. I think they both accomplish the
18	goals OCD is looking for and what they're looking at.
19	But I think the 180 days is a good test.
20	If a well can produce 150 percent of the year or just
21	over 50 percent of the year, then an operator is
22	legitimately trying to produce that well.
23	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer, pass
24	the witness. Thank you.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,

1	Mr. Tremaine.
2	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
3	questions?
4	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes, I do.
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
7	Q. Thank you, Mr. Powell, for your testimony
8	today.
9	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Is it possible for us
LO	to get the comparison of the rules app? It would be
L1	much easier for me.
L2	MR. TREMAINE: The PowerPoint, the visual
L3	aid, Mr. Powell's first visual aid?
L4	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah. The ones that
L5	are comparing WELC's proposal and OCD's comments.
L6	MR. TREMAINE: I will put that up in one
L7	moment.
L8	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I appreciate that.
L9	Thank you. I want to start from slide number 5.
20	Thank you.
21	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
22	Q. So, Mr. Powell, there has been a lot of
23	discussion about speculative purposes and I've asked a
24	lot of questions about that. There has been a lot of
25	discussion about that.

1	My question to you is, have you looked
2	at NMOGA's proposed definition for beneficial purposes
3	or use?
4	A. I may have, but I don't remember it offhand.
5	I apologize.
6	Q. I'll read that. So that one was so that
7	was rebuttal testimony from the applicant. So out of
8	266, I'm going to read from page 53. So I would just
9	read that.
10	So there was a question to the
11	applicants and they provided this definition here.
12	"So beneficial purposes or beneficial use means that a
13	well is being used or is reasonably expected to be
14	used in a productive, operational or regulatory
15	capacity consistent with X intended purpose. This
16	includes, but not limited to, production, injection,
17	monitoring, regulatory compliance or participation in
18	reservoir management, pressure maintenance, all
19	infrastructure optimization programs."
20	That is their first paragraph. And they
21	do have another paragraph saying, "In determining
22	whether a world is being used for beneficial purposes,
23	the Division may consider operational records,
24	production, all injection history, regulatory filings,
25	and operator submitted plans or supporting

1	documentation. The Division shall provide the
2	operator reasonable opportunity to demonstrate
3	beneficial use prior to making any contrary
4	determinations."
5	What is your assessment of this
6	definition compared to what the applicant and OCD have
7	proposed?
8	A. I think that adds a lot of variability and
9	vagueness in the first part where it said "reasonably
LO	expected to be used." I think every operator, if you
L1	ask them, is expecting to use the will at some point.
L2	But whether, how they plan on doing that or what time
L3	frame is always up for subject.
L4	I've seen wells that have been TA'd for
L5	over ten years. If you ask the operator, they state,
L6	"I'm still planning on using that well." So I think
L7	that adds a vagueness to it.
L8	I think towards the end in the NMOGA's,
L9	they said before a determination is made. You know,
20	OCD has to ask for all that information before any
21	determination is made. I think by OCD making that
22	preliminary determination, it incentivizes the
23	operator to bring that to the OCD, instead of the OCD
24	having to request that and get it from the operator
25	beforehand. I think that process works a lot smoother

1 as currently submitted. 2 O. Now, so there was a discussion about the 3 speculative purposes tied to the petroleum resource management system. You know, I went back and forth 4 5 with Mr. Purvis on that because I was a little bit confused about how you can connect that PRMS 6 classification to, let's say, a well. 8 And you touched on that, again, where 9 you said there might be a reserve there but probably 10 the well cannot produce. 11 So do you believe that that assertion of 12 the Commission, more or less, so that OCD tie in some 13 rubrics to the PRMS completely are, let's say, 14 appropriate? 15 A. I think the way the Division -- in the 16 application, it's set up where the Division can 17 consider basically anything after the preliminary is 18 sufficient, because if the operator comes in and shows 19 well history, shows productive purposes, those kind of 20 things, I think that's important. It's not in this definition here, but it's in how it's used in another 2.1 22 part of the rule. 23 I think that's something that the 2.4 Commission or the Division could look at, but I don't think that's the sole thing that they look at because 25

1	there's lots of different variables that could go in
2	with each well.
3	Q. So just focusing on the applicant's
4	definition, without the speculative purposes, you
5	believe that OCD does have a strong understanding of
6	this provision and they are in a strong position to
7	implement this?
8	A. So I think the speculative purposes adds a
9	little bit of clarity, that it's not that
LO	pie-in-the-sky type speculative purpose. If the
L1	Commission wishes to strike it, they could strike it.
L2	But I think that's what it's to avoid.
L3	Because there's always going to be
L 4	speculative results of a plan. I think what the
L5	applicant is trying to do here is not to get
L6	hypotheticals that are not well thought out in that
L7	ask.
L8	Q. So let's move to slide number 8, the
L9	definition of marginal wells. So I just want to
20	confirm, based on the redirect, it sounds like OCD's
21	position is still between 750 and 1,000 BO, but you
22	want us to keep the 180 days intact; is that correct?
23	A. I think if an operator is legitimately
24	trying to produce its well over 50 percent of the year
25	or right at 50 percent of the year and is successful

1	with that, I think it's beneficial to the state. So I
2	think the 180 days, especially when you're looking
3	towards those end-the-life wells that are unstable,
4	decline curves, those kind of things, could still be
5	beneficial to the state.
6	Q. Thank you. Let's go to slide number 17. So
7	in your direct, you said something, and I quote, as
8	long as an operator is producing a well, more than 180
9	days, and I'm sure probably said it now, too, they
10	don't need to put up their requested bond. Do you
11	recall something like that?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. Okay. So then my first question to you on
14	this is, let's say on the number 3 item, why should we
15	not strike that? Because with or without that, your
16	assertion is correct that as long as we've identified
17	wells to be marginal wells, all of these will be
18	bonded?
19	A. So 3 is for an entire operator's portfolio.
20	So if, say, that over 15 percent of their wells, they
21	don't produce at least 180 days, again, that would be
22	over 15 percent of their total wells. If over
23	15 percent they're not producing at least 180 days,
24	that shows that operators are operating at a high

risk, so that provision is to bond all their wells

25

1	because they're operating in a risk environment
2	instead of just bonding the particular marginal wells.
3	Q. Yeah, but the problem with that, you know,
4	those 15 percent also impact active wells. So based
5	on the quote that, you know, I just read to you, you
6	were saying that if a well is not a marginal well,
7	they shouldn't be subjected to this 150 bonding.
8	A. So they wouldn't be subject to the 150 on an
9	individual well basis, but operators operating at a
LO	high percentage of those well types are operating in a
L1	risk environment?
L2	So, like, the C-115 list I showed,
L3	they're 100 percent in that. But you can have
L4	operators that we've seen that are operating at a high
L5	number of risk wells because those operators are
L6	taking individual wells to failure.
L7	This would allow, as that company is
L8	taking those wells to failure, to act on all of their
L9	wells sooner in a portfolio rather than later.
20	Q. So definitely, OCD would like some type of
21	number 3 to be in the rule?
22	A. Yes. Whether it's 15 percent or up to
23	30 percent, I would leave that to the Commission. But
24	I think there is a number there, whether if they're
25	operating one in five wells or two in five wells,

1	whatever that comes out to. If they're operating that
2	high of a percentage of their wells in that high risk
3	environment, as OCD sees it, they're operating on the
4	verge of failure potentially.
5	Q. And you touched on that you really wanted
6	some discussion back and forth with the operators.
7	And it sounds like the attorneys are proposing
8	something in the range of 30 percent. I believe you
9	also support that?
LO	A. I think wherever the Commission lands
L1	between that 15 and 30 percent and those other
L2	percentages in between there on Mr. Purvis' slide,
L3	that would be up to the Commission.
L4	Q. And based on Mr. Purvis' analysis, OCD will
L5	still achieve the objective if that 80 percent is
L6	implemented?
L7	A. Yes.
L8	Q. Thank you. Thank you. Let's go to slide
L9	number 20. So on slide number 20, so if you read
20	through it, I don't see any timeline. So is there any
21	time frame for this provision, and do you need to add
22	any timeline to this provision for more clarity or
23	probably not needed?
24	A. I believe it's in another provision so I
25	don't believe it's needed. Because as written, they

1	have three years to comply with the bonding provision
2	unless they go to transfer those wells. And then
3	those affected wells would need to be bonded so I
4	don't believe they need it here.
5	Q. Let's go to slide number 21. So there's
6	been a lot of discussion about, you know, giving some
7	kind of discretion to OCD on some of the exceptions
8	that we've been discussing here. So I just want to
9	read something here just to confirm that we used so
LO	I'm reading from the first line. "On January 1, 2028,
L1	and on January 1 of each successive year, the Division
L2	may adjust the financial assurance."
L3	So the use of "may" do you believe gives
L4	OCD that room, in terms of the discretion, to increase
L5	or more or less decrease the financial assurance?
L6	A. Yes.
L7	Q. Now, another question is, will OCD consult
L8	stakeholders prior to the increment of the cost, or is
L9	this going to be a decision by OCD?
20	A. The way I would see this occurring is OCD
21	would do an evaluation of their plugging costs before
22	that. Because as the LFC provision, we went over,
23	stated it's for actual cost of plugging the well. So
24	if costs continue to go up, as they have been, and
25	that consumer price index goes up, then OCD would look

1	at needing to increase that bonding amount. If costs
2	for plugging go down or stay the same, even if the
3	price index goes up but costs don't go up, then I
4	don't see OCD using this provision.
5	Because of the overall statute, it's
6	supposed to be based on what it actually costs to plug
7	a well.
8	Q. And I think the applicant did a great job
9	really establishing why the 150,000 is the actual cost
LO	to OCD and not necessarily to the industry. So I
L1	think that one is much clearer, and I will not ask you
L2	any question on that.
L3	But let's go to slide number 25. So the
L4	slide number 25, you know, does this provision impact
L 5	working interest owners or is it just strictly going
L6	to be on the operator on record?
L7	A. I guess I missed the question. I apologize.
L8	Q. Okay. So the question is, is this
L9	provision, you know, just going to impact only the
20	operators, or it's going to be going down to the
21	working interest owners for that particular well?
22	MR. TREMAINE: Commissioner, if I may. So
23	when talking about the implementation of FA dates and
24	this provision, I think there's later versions in the
25	rebuttal testimony. So I just want to make sure

1 we're clear on those two sections, that those were 2 updated? This was, I believe, updated in a later 3 submission, which I know I don't have on hand, that 4 5 changed that language. We've talked about that. And 6 so that's going to be an important distinction. 7 And then the effective date was changed 8 from January to May in OCD's proposal. I don't have those up. And sorry to interject, but I just wanted 9 to make sure that that's -- during the course of the 10 11 hearing, I think, or during the course of direct rebuttal testimony, those have been updated. 12 13 A. But I think I can answer your question whether it's just the operator or if it extends down 14 15 to working interest owner, those kinds of things. 16 Historically, we have just held the 17 operator responsible. There's actually even a 25 percent clause when an operator registers. 18 19 would be giving more of a certification, so it'd be 20 more of a positive-type interaction. 21 historically, the Division has not pursued working 22 interest owners involved in the well, as there can be few or several. We look more as the operator of 23 24 record to OCD. 2.5 I know State Land Office, others look

1	more at the working interest owners and lease holders
2	and those kinds of things. But that's not something
3	the Oil Conservation Division has typically done.
4	Q. Yeah. So if working interest owners are not
5	really part of that, don't you believe that we've not
6	really fully assessed the risk? Because there might
7	be working interest here, but they might be more or
8	less, not necessarily but they might be more or
9	less combining efforts on other wells, you know, and
LO	that has these kind of issues, and we'll probably not
L1	fully address that.
L2	A. I don't know if we've addressed that. I
L3	think as far as OCD is concerned, we always look at
L4	the operator. And I think if the operator is
L5	negotiating with a working interest owner that's
L6	causing them issues, that may be something the
L7	operators self-regulate and not work with those
L8	working interest owners in the future.
L9	But if the Commission would like to
20	explore that, they certainly can.
21	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah. Thank you,
22	Mr. Tremaine for pointing out that. I was just
23	focusing on this one line by line. So thank you for
24	that correction there.
25	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:

1	Q. So let's go to slide number 26. So on slide
2	number 26, I know there were a lot of discussions
3	going back and forth on this one, but I just want to
4	be clear.
5	You know, how is OCD going to implement
6	this in terms of verifying operators operations in
7	other states? Do you have any mechanism in place that
8	you're going to use or is going to be provided by the
9	operator itself?
LO	A. I believe we've seen this as being provided
L1	by the operator, or if there's questions and
L2	discussions, asking the operator for additional
L3	information.
L4	Q. So you're going to rely solely on the
L5	information that the operator provides to you. But
L6	what about if they are missing some information or
L7	they are hiding some information, do you have any
L8	mechanism to really prove that they are giving you all
L9	the true statements or information?
20	A. If we feel that they are hiding information,
21	OCD does have subpoena authority to get additional
22	information, if that's your question.
23	Q. Yes. But you need to have a mechanism to
24	know that they are hiding information, so that is my
25	question. Do you have that mechanism?

1	A. I know our legal group has reviewed
2	corporate filings and different things in the past.
3	So we have done some searches of companies and
4	corporations. We have reached out to other states to
5	see if they're having similar problems with the
6	specific operator that they're doing work in. So we
7	have done some of that.
8	Q. So let's go to slide number 33. So you made
9	mention that the 30 days and the 90 days was one of
LO	the items that you really you wanted industry feedback
L1	on. But it sounds to me that you explained clearly as
L2	to why you prefer 30 days, because now everything is
L3	filed electronically.
L 4	Do you still want the Commission to
L 5	probe, you know, to get some consensus from the
L6	industry on this 30 days?
L7	A. I may have misspoke. I don't believe this
L8	was one that we were looking at needing back and forth
L9	with industry because the 30 days is on top of the one
20	year. So once a well is having issues, if operators
21	start routinely planning on that wells use or
22	production, at that point, they've got essentially 13
23	months to look at it.
24	But I would leave this at the discretion
25	of the Commission, because in the life of a well, an
	Page 194

1	additional 60 days isn't that much.
2	Q. Let's go to slide number 34. So we've
3	discussed a lot of these exceptions that OCD might
4	implement, and it sounds like OCD is in agreement to
5	that, but it's not clearly stated in the rule. So is
6	it possible for OCD to provide some guidance let's say
7	in the closing for the Commission's consideration with
8	regard to how we can frame the exceptions into the
9	rule, if necessary?
LO	A. I think that would be appropriate to do in
L1	closing. It may be the Commission may want to also
L2	ask for a proposed red line from each of the
L3	applicants as part of the closing. But that's
L 4	definitely something the Commission could ask for.
L 5	Q. Appreciate that. Let's go to slide number
L6	37. Okay. So the provision number 1, "Documentation
L 7	demonstrating the well is reasonably projected to
L8	produce in paying quantities."
L9	Can you elaborate a little bit on how
20	you intend to implement this? Is it going to be based
21	on the 90-90, 90 barrels equivalent and then the 90
22	days, or how are you going to implement this?
23	A. So this is a preliminary determination for
24	non-beneficial use. So this would be off my
25	recommended 90 BOE, which also had the 90 days
	Page 195
	1 436 173

1	attached to it, but I'm recommending taking the 90
2	days off and just keeping it 90 BOE.
3	So any of the wells that they get a
4	preliminary determination of non-beneficial use based
5	off that 90 barrels, the operator then could bring
6	this documentation to OCD and make a case for whether
7	or not they feel that well is still being used in a
8	beneficial manner.
9	Q. And will this apply to slide number 38? So
LO	you do have economic data in there, too. Will your
L1	explanation also apply to the requested economic data?
L2	A. The economic data, yeah. It would be the
L3	operator making a case where that well is still being
L4	used in a beneficial way. That would include any
L5	economic data that they wanted to show, showing that
L6	it's producing in paying quantities, showing where
L7	it's capable of production and doesn't have a downhole
L8	issue. Or if it does have a downhole issue, how they
L9	plan on repairing that and still having a productive
20	well at the end of the day.
21	It's really giving some high level
22	requests and asks, and then up to the operator to make
23	that demonstration based on those high level requests.
24	Q. Let's go to slide number 39. So I
25	highlighted, "Any interested person may intervene

1	under the rules." Can you explain a little bit that
2	provision?
3	A. I believe that provision was written in so
4	members of the public, if a well was being requested,
5	a TA, any person could intervene in that even, if
6	they're not an owner of the well, working interest
7	owner those kind of things. I didn't write that so
8	that's not something that I'm as familiar with.
9	Q. Okay. But I will still ask you one more
LO	thing there. So in the last paragraph well, let's
L1	say the last three lines, "An extended term shall not
L2	exceed two additional years."
L3	So the use of "shall" means that's it,
L4	right?
	And we've talked about the potential
L5	
L5 L6 L7	And we've talked about the potential
L5 L6	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this.
L5 L6 L7 L8	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may
L5 L6 L7	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may not," at least to give you the room to implement the
L5 L6 L7 L8	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may not," at least to give you the room to implement the potential beneficial use to cover some of these
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may not," at least to give you the room to implement the potential beneficial use to cover some of these exceptions?
15 16 17 18 19 20	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may not," at least to give you the room to implement the potential beneficial use to cover some of these exceptions? A. Or even rephrasing that the extended term
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may not," at least to give you the room to implement the potential beneficial use to cover some of these exceptions? A. Or even rephrasing that the extended term may not per may have to meet these particular
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And we've talked about the potential flexibility that we want to provide to OCD on this. So would you be okay if we change the "shall" to "may not," at least to give you the room to implement the potential beneficial use to cover some of these exceptions? A. Or even rephrasing that the extended term may not per may have to meet these particular items. I think WELC did a good job of elaborating on

1	solid plan of that well's development in the future
2	and proposed timelines is critical on the continuation
3	of TA.
4	Q. So would OCD provide some good sentence on
5	that to really clarify this?
6	A. Certainly.
7	Q. Okay. Thank you. And the same thing, so
8	let's go to slide number 40. So the second line,
9	"Wells there have been inactive for three or more
10	years are not eligible for temporary abandonment
11	status."
12	So on that one, too, I was thinking, can
13	we more or less put in, "may not be eligible" to still
14	give you the flexibility?
15	A. You can certainly do that. The intent of
16	this one because we have operators that unless we
17	catch them doing something, they're not going to do
18	it, unfortunately.
19	This was to encourage them to be more
20	proactive in coming to the Division and requesting
21	that TA before they're significantly out of
22	compliance, where if they come to us within those
23	three years, which roughly two years of that would be
24	non-compliance, but if they came to us before that
25	three years, then we would work with them. But if

1	they're essentially avoiding their duties as an
2	operator for three years, that they would just be
3	ineligible. But it's certainly up to the Commission
4	if they'd like to change that.
5	Q. So it sounds to me that you believe that, as
6	it is written now, it still provides OCD the
7	flexibility to implement this rule.
8	A. Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Okay. Thank you for
10	that. Thank you for your time. Now I really have a
11	clear understanding and know the questions that I'm
12	going to ask the operators. Thank you, sir, for your
13	time.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Commissioner Bloom,
16	do you have any questions of Mr. Powell?
17	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I do, yes. Thank you.
18	Excuse me for not being there today, I'm still under
19	the weather. Flu or something. It's been a bad run.
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
22	Q. Mr. Powell, thank you for your time today.
23	We've had you up there for a while.
24	Just a fundamental question. Can OCD
25	call multiple bonds if one bond doesn't pay for a

1	single well's P&A costs?
2	Let me give you an example. Imagine
3	that we are under these rules as proposed and Company
4	A has five wells bonded at \$150,000, and the PA costs
5	for well one is \$250,000. Can the OCD call more than
6	one bond to cover that, or only the one bond for that
7	single well?
8	A. So the way I would see it in this
9	circumstance is, OCD would first call the single-well
10	bond. If that bond is not sufficient, it would then
11	call the blanket bond as an umbrella-type bond in that
12	scenario. And if it's not sufficient, then obviously
13	we would look at potential of other routes as needed.
14	But we absolutely have and would look at using both
15	bonds, the single well and then the blanket bond if
16	the single well was insufficient.
17	Q. Okay. Thank you. That's helpful.
18	Mr. Moore asked some questions of you
19	about the land office, and I think Mr. Rankin may have
20	touched on this as well, but do you know if the OCD
21	notices the land office on TA extensions?
22	A. I don't believe they I don't believe as
23	written currently OCD does or that it's required to.
24	Q. Yeah. Would that be something you would
25	have issue with, say a requirement that OCD notice
	Page 200

1 lessees in the land office. 2 A. As a mineral owner, if they have a solid plan, I would think that an operator would be working 3 with a mineral owner similar with BLM or SLO on a long 4 5 term plan, especially if they were pursuing things such as EOR, those types of applications to make sure 6 their lease agreements applied with that. 8 O. I can tell you that many times there is not 9 coordination between operators and lessees and the land office, and so that's why I'm asking, you know, 10 11 could there be notification from OCD to the land 12 office on TA extensions? 13 A. I think there definitely could be. And I think that's something, especially if it goes past 14 15 that first five years and they're looking at a longer 16 term plan, that I think could be prudent. 17 O. I've mentioned this a few times in other cross-examinations, but one of IPANM's witness' 18 19 comments, and it might have been Mr. Ezzell, was that 20 bonds -- or perhaps Mr. Emerick, bonds can't be canceled once required for a marginal well or 2.1 22 non-beneficial well. 23 Is that your understanding of this rule, 2.4 that once a well has been restored to production above that of a marginal well, that the bond can't be 25

dropped?

2.1

2.4

A. I don't think that's ever been our look at an individual well bond, that if an operator then achieves compliance that we don't release. So I see continuing that even with this rule. If an operator, say, decides to use their well 180 days where they previously weren't, we would then release that bond.

Q. I was going to ask you about the 180 days and 1,000 barrels, but Dr. Ampomah went over that, so I appreciated your discussion of that. Thank you.

Yeah, and I'll skip my question about 30 versus 90 days of notification.

Regarding differences in plugging costs, and I talked about this a little bit earlier, I'm questioning whether we can even compare OCD P&A costs with those of industry. You might be looking at apples and oranges here, where operators that are engaged in P&A or companies that are following the law, intended to follow the law, our OCD regulations, and have kept their wells in some sort of working order, whereas, when companies that are orphaning their wells, these might have been folks on hard times that have neglected regular upkeep or have left orphaned their wells many years back, and so you have two totally different classes of wells.

Can you speak to this?

2.1

2.4

A. Yeah. I think I hit that fairly extensively in both my direct and rebuttal. I believe operator plugging and OCD plugging are definitely apples to oranges when you're looking at it. They're all wells, but the conditions of the wells are significantly different.

They time the period between last production and plugging is significantly different. Most operators, if their well fails and they're prudent, they'll either plug that well or do a workover on that well at that point.

But a lot of times, the wells we're seeing is that operator takes that well to failure, complete failure, and then they leave it there until enough of their portfolio reaches complete failure that OCD takes action. So those wells are sitting out there for years, if not decades, being unplugged and unresolved, and during that time, because you're not using corrosion inhibitor, you've got corrosion, you've got scale, you've got all kinds of things going on in that well.

And if you have a casing leak, you could have an influx of water, you could have an influx of sand, you could have a lot of different things going

1	on in that well during that neglected period.
2	Q. And finally, you spent some time discussing,
3	you know, on NMOGA and IPANM not engaging with
4	applicants and the OCD on this rulemaking. I think
5	we're in agreement that the first draft notice from
6	the applicants was published here April 2024, is that
7	correct, about 18 months ago?
8	A. That sounds correct, yeah.
9	Q. So that would have given parties plenty of
10	time to come to the table, look through this and
11	discuss it if they thought there were issues that
12	could be worked out, right?
13	A. That's the stance OCD took. I don't
14	remember seeing the rule application before it was
15	filed. And once it was filed, there were some things
16	that OCD requested of the applicant to change and
17	modify and they were very receptive to those changes.
18	And there were some definite changes that OCD
19	recommended that the applicant took in their
20	rulemaking process.
21	And there was even changes that when Oxy
22	approached the applicant and then the OCD, that
23	changes were made in looking at it.
24	So it's definitely something OCD, once
25	the application was made, pursued.
	Page 204

1	Q. Yeah. When I read through IPANM's witness
2	testimony and rebuttal, I saw a good number of
3	recommendations that some of the witnesses were
4	making. There are some good questions, as well.
5	But on the recommendations, I did not
6	see any red line of the applicant's proposal. I
7	didn't see, you know, backup data for those
8	recommendations that we could act on. Mr. Powell,
9	have you reviewed those, that testimony? Do you have
10	any reaction?
11	A. I have reviewed a lot of it, and I wouldn't
12	say exhaustively, so there may be something in there I
13	didn't catch. But I didn't see any recommended red
14	lines, suggestions, those kind of things.
15	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Powell, no further
16	questions. Thank you for your time today.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
19	Chair Chang, do you have questions?
20	CHAIR CHANG: I do not. Thank you.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I have just one. How
22	many barrels did you get out of that leaker next to
23	the Pecos?
24	THE WITNESS: So since Friday, through the
25	weekend, as of this morning, I believe it was just
	Page 205

1	under 6,000 barrels were released.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much.
3	All right. Any reason not to excuse
4	Mr. Powell?
5	Thank you very much, Mr. Powell.
6	Take a 15-minute break. That brings us
7	back at 3:05. And I believe we might be hearing from
8	Ms. Marks next.
9	(Recess held from 2:50 to 3:05 p.m.)
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I believe now we turn
11	to the State Land Office for Mr. Moore and Ms. Marks.
12	Mr. Moore.
13	MR. MOORE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
14	Examiner. Good afternoon, Madam Hearing Examiner and
15	Members of the Commission. My name is Richard Moore.
16	I'm representing the commissioner of public lands and
17	the State Land Office. I just have a short opening
18	statement before we proceed to the direct testimony
19	of Ms. Marks.
20	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. MOORE
21	The commissioner, through the State Land
22	Office, manages millions of acres of state-owned land
23	across the state as state trust land. There are over
24	5,000 active oil and gas leases on that state trust
25	land, with tens of thousands of oil and gas wells on

1	those state oil and gas leases.
2	While the commissioner and State Land
3	Office regulate the leases to state trust land, the
4	commissioner and State Land Office do not directly
5	regulate wells or the transfer of operator-ship of
6	wells and as such, the commissioner and State Land
7	Office have entered into this proceeding to provide
8	support to the applicants and their proposed
9	amendments to the regulations at issue and provide
10	the limited testimony of Allison Marks, the director
11	of the Oil, Gas and Minerals Division of the State
12	Land Office, with limited clarifying edits.
13	And so with that, I would turn to
14	Ms. Marks' testimony.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Thank you. Is
16	Ms. Marks on the platform?
17	THE WITNESS: I am.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. Let's get you
19	pinned here.
20	THE WITNESS: I'm okay with not being
21	pinned.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Would you
23	spell your first and last name for the transcript,
24	please.
25	THE WITNESS: Sure. A-L-L-I-S-O-N. Last
	Page 207

1	name, Marks. M-A-R-K-S.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
3	tell the truth?
4	THE WITNESS: I do.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
6	Go ahead, Mr. Moore.
7	MR. MOORE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
8	Examiner.
9	ALLISON MARKS,
10	having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. MOORE:
13	Q. Ms. Marks, I know you just said your name,
14	but could you state your name and your position at the
15	State Land Office?
16	A. Sure. Allison Marks. I'm the director of
17	the Oil, Gas and Minerals Division at the State Land
18	Office.
19	Q. And did you prepare written direct testimony
20	that was attached to the commissioner of public
21	lands' prehearing statement in this matter?
22	A. I did.
23	Q. And are there any corrections or
24	clarifications that you would like to make to that
25	direct testimony?

1 A. There are. 2 Q. If you could go through the corrections and 3 clarifications that you would like to make. A. So there's a typographical error where 4 5 there's a reference on -- I believe, let's see, it's on page 3 of the submittal under direct testimony of 6 Allison Marks. It references 19.15.12.13B, and that 8 should be referenced to 19.15.25.13B. So the 25 9 should replace the 12. 10 And I'd also like to make -- to work on 11 two points of clarification. Under 19.15.9.8C(5), the 12 current proposed application, if I tracked everything 13 correctly, because there are a lot of applications, I feel like, and we're pretty understaffed and I was 14 15 doing other work this whole time, but it lists limited 16 partnerships, and it would be more inclusive to list 17 both limited partnerships and limited liability limited partnerships. And that would seem to align 18 with 54-2A-108 of NMSA. 19 20 If you don't mind me for a second, 54-2A-108 of New Mexico Statutes Annotated says, "The 2.1 22 name of a limited partnership may contain the name of 23 any partner because each partnership that is formed 2.4 pursuant to the Uniform Revised Limited Partnership Act or that elects to be governed by that act shall be 25

1	a limited liability limited partnership. The name of
2	such limited liability limited partnership shall
3	contain the phrase limited liability limited
4	partnership or the abbreviation LLLP or L.L.P.,
5	period, and shall not contain the abbreviation LP or
6	L.P.
7	And then Subpart B says, "Subject to the
8	provisions of Subsection F of this section, the name
9	of a foreign limited partnership that is not a limited
10	liability limited partnership shall contain the phrase
11	limited partnership," and that goes on.
12	So that is the suggestion to include the
13	point limited liability and limited partnership.
14	There's a little bit of ambiguity under this statute
15	54-2A-102, so I think it's better to be more inclusive
16	than under-inclusive and erase any sort of ambiguity
17	under that law.
18	And then, since submitting my direct
19	testimony, I noted the definition of "approved
20	temporary abandonment," along with I hadn't seen
21	this at the time there's a definition of "expired
22	temporary abandonment" includes the words in the
23	definition of, "A well status of inactive." And it
24	may be better to eliminate that phrasing and insert a
25	time period of non-production since OCD doesn't

1	actually have a well status of inactive on its OCD
2	permitting page. And in particular, with expired
3	temporary abandonment, this could cause great
4	confusion.
5	At the land office, we are always
6	looking to see if a lease is in good standing. So
7	when we look in OCD permitting, there's not actually a
8	well status of inactive. A well can be in the status
9	of active for ten years, but it hasn't it will say
10	active, but the well has actually been not producing
11	for ten years and it will say "active." So I think
12	that's just a better it would be better in the rule
13	to actually put a time period.
14	That is it Mr. Moore.
15	Q. Thank you. And is your direct testimony,
16	including the corrections and clarifications that you
17	just went through, true and correct to the best of
18	your knowledge.
19	A. It is.
20	Q. And do you adopt your direct testimony,
21	including the corrections and clarifications you just
22	went through?
23	A. I do.
24	MR. MOORE: At this point, Madam Hearing
25	Examiner, the commissioner would move to admit

1	Ms. Mark's testimony as attached to the
2	commissioner's prehearing statement into this matter.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. I'll
4	pause for a moment in the event there are any
5	objections. Thank you. It's admitted.
6	(Admitted: NM SLO Exhibit
7	Marks Direct Testimony.)
8	MR. MOORE: And rather than have Ms. Marks
9	provide a further oral summary of her testimony, we
10	would submit her testimony inclusive of her
11	corrections and clarifications just made and allow
12	cross-examination should the parties request.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
14	Mr. Cloutier, do you have questions of
15	Ms. Marks?
16	MR. CLOUTIER: Very few questions, Madam
17	Hearing Officer.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
20	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Marks. As you know, I'm
21	Andrew Cloutier of Hinkle, Shanor and I'm representing
22	IPANM here today. I've coordinated with other
23	industry counsel and we had a few questions for you,
24	and I was the one who drew the straw.
25	In 2018, you were the deputy director of
	Page 212

1	the Oil Conservation Division and you testified to the
2	Commission about the contents of the financial
3	assurances rulemaking that was proposed at the time,
4	correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. And you gave truthful testimony that was
7	accurate to the best of your knowledge at the time?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. The commissioner and the State Land Office
10	has recently announced some I don't want to
11	mischaracterize it, but examining State Land Office
12	bond requirements and there are some listening
13	sessions or public meetings on that scheduled for
14	mid-November. Did I get that correct?
15	A. I can't I know the question is about some
16	meetings. But if it's mid-November, that sounds about
17	right. I know it's at the end of this year. I'm not
18	probably the best point person on the bonding rule
19	public comment.
20	Q. You gave me the information I was looking
21	for. I apologize for being so precise about the dates
22	of the public meeting.
23	Is it possible that the commissioner may
24	adopt rules concerning bonding of state leases that if
25	this rulemaking were adopted would result in double

1	bonding?
2	A. I believe that the commissioner is looking
3	at bonding state leases as well as to make sure
4	there's adequate bonding for land office leases.
5	Q. And last question, has the land office made
6	any review or analysis of the potential economic
7	effects of this proposed rulemaking?
8	A. Not that I'm aware of.
9	Q. Right.
10	MR. MOORE: Ms. Marks, thank you for your
11	time and appreciate your public service.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
13	Mr. Cloutier.
14	Mr. Suazo?
15	MR. SUAZO: Yes, just a couple of questions
16	Madam Hearing Officer.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. SUAZO:
19	Q. Ms. Marks, good afternoon. How are you?
20	A. I'm doing well. Thank you.
21	Q. Excellent. I just wanted to clarify the
22	question that Mr. Cloutier asked you, because he
23	covered most of the questions that I had for you. And
24	I just wanted to confirm that I guess the proposal for
25	the bonding from the land office is on a lease-wide
	Page 214

1	basis; is that correct?
2	A. Okay. So I don't I don't want to
3	misstate anything regarding the bonding rule. I did
4	not brush up on the bonding rule in preparation for
5	this. So I believe the proposed bonding rule. I'm
6	sorry.
7	I believe the land office is looking at
8	bonding for individual leases. I believe that is
9	correct. Do you want to re-state your question? I'm
LO	sorry.
L1	Q. Sure. And I wasn't trying to trick you, I
L2	just wanted to confirm. And the reason why I wanted
L3	that clarification is just so the Commission could be
L4	cognizant of the fact that there's a potential
L 5	proposal from SLO that is lease-wide, whereas, in this
L6	proceeding, we're looking at individual well types of
L7	bonding?
L8	Is that your understanding generally?
L9	A. In general, yes. The land office is looking
20	at a new bonding rule that would cover leases that
21	lessees have with the land office.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. Oil and gas leases.
24	Q. And I understand that, you know, all this
25	stuff is, you know, subject to change. But I did just
	Page 215

1	want to, I guess, ask you whether that could result in
2	double bonding if SLO and the Commission don't kind of
3	assess the impact of these bonding proposals. Is that
4	fair?
5	A. The I would say that again, I have to
6	reread the SLO proposal again, but I know that part of
7	it has to do with the number of wells on a lease. And
8	so, again, I'd have to dig deep and reread the land
9	office proposal on bonding. Again, it's not something
10	that I have spent an enormous amount of time looking
11	at.
12	Q. Understood. Understood. I think that's
13	understandable, given that they're fairly new
14	proposals. I think that covers what our initial
15	concerns are between the two, from SLO and based on
16	what's in front of the Commission.
17	MR. SUAZO: So, Ms. Marks, is always, always
18	a pleasure to listen to you testify and really
19	appreciate your time.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Suazo.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Suazo.
22	Mr. Sayer, do you have questions of
23	Ms. Marks? No? All right.
24	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions of
25	Ms. Marks?

1	MR. RANKIN: I do not have questions of
2	Ms. Marks.
3	Thank you for your time, Ms. Marks. No
4	questions on behalf of Oxy.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
6	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
7	Ms. Marks?
8	MR. MAXWELL: No questions, your Honor.
9	Thank you.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
11	Ms. Nanasi, I'm not sure if you're with
12	us on the platform. No?
13	Mr. Tremaine, do you have questions of
14	Ms. Marks?
15	MR. TREMAINE: I have two, I believe.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. TREMAINE:
18	Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Marks. I'm Jesse
19	Tremaine, representing the Oil Conservation Division.
20	Ms. Marks, are you aware of
21	circumstances where operators of wells on state leases
22	report extremely low volumes of production, having the
23	effect of those wells not triggering inactive well
24	plugging under OCD's current rules?
25	A. I'm sorry, but can you break that up into

1	multiple questions?
2	Q. Are you aware of circumstances where
3	operators, operating on state leases, report very low
4	volumes of production on their wells?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. And do you agree that such low
7	reported production prevents those wells from falling
8	into inactive status under OCD's current rules?
9	A. Well, I don't I don't believe there's an
10	inactive well, are you saying under 5.9?
11	Q. Yes 25.8 and 5.9. I understand that they're
12	the actual well status and OCD permitting will remain
13	active, but let me rephrase.
14	So if an operator reports 1 MCF of gas
15	in the previous 12 months, do you agree that that well
16	will not fall onto the quote, unquote, inactive well
17	list?
18	A. Under 5.9, yes, that's correct.
19	Q. And in that, case do you agree that that
20	well would not have to be plugged under 19.15.25.8?
21	A. That is correct under 25.8. Now, the land
22	office itself may take different measures because
23	there's a paying quantities determination that the
24	land office may assess under the lease. So under our
25	accountability enforcement program, we've been taking
	Page 218

1 other means to ask operators to plug wells. 2 But yes, under 25.8 and 5.9, that is correct under OCD's rules. 3 Q. Existing rules, right. Thank you. So my 4 5 question to you is, in that circumstance where an 6 operator is reporting an extremely low amount of production and it's on a state lease, but yet that 8 operator remains quote, unquote, in compliance with 9 OCD, does that fact pattern have a potential impact on SLO's interest in the beneficial use of state 10 11 minerals? 12 A. Well, as I -- as I noted, you know, we 13 have -- our leases say that a lease has to be in 14 paying quantities. So we do a paying quantities 15 determination and we will send out paying quantities 16 letters to operators at times. 17 It's more difficult, I would argue, that operators sometimes try to -- sometimes we will see 18 19 operators backdate production after we send out a 20 no-production letter, and then they backdate 2.1 production to falsely report production, which is an 22 issue. We then don't accept that production from the 23 land office side, which is a whole other set of 2.4 issues. 25 But if they're just reporting like 1 MCF Page 219

1	or like 1 MCF, if it's in a com well, for example,
2	that won't hold, that won't hold the com. Because if
3	there's a more than 60-day break in production on a
4	com well, that will the com will terminate on its
5	own. There's a whole set of factors related to the
6	land on the land office side.
7	Or like one barrel after six if after
8	60 days, then there's a break in production, after 60
9	days that com would terminate and then the lease
10	the underlying lease would terminate. So there's a
11	lot of factors.
12	If it's just a vertical if there's
13	one vertical well holding the lease that had one
14	barrel that would again, that that you have to
15	look at the lease terms, but that would not hold a
16	lease for a year either, because the lease would have
17	had a break in or you have to have a certain amount
18	of production to hold the underlying lease.
19	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
20	No further questions.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Thank you.
22	Ms. Fox, do you have questions?
23	MR. TISDEL: Thank you, Madam Hearing
24	Officer just a few questions.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TISDEL:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Marks. My name is Kyle
Tisdel. I'm an attorney with the Western
Environmental Law Center and represent the applicants
in this matter. Thank you for your testimony today.
In your direct testimony, you state that
the commissioner strongly supports the proposed rule
amendments; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's upon a full review of the rule
that has been proposed and that is the subject of this
rulemaking?
A. I've I did not review the entire rule. I
only reviewed the portions related to well transfers.
Q. But the commissioner strongly supports the
entirety of the proposed rule, or just
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. Okay. Wonderful. Thank you.
The State Land Office has had tremendous
success in its accountability and enforcement program
for plugging wells. Is that true?
A. That's correct.
Q. Would you, please, yeah, just describe that
program a little bit for us.
A. Sure. So under the accountability and
Page 221

1	enforcement program, the land office has I believe
2	to date, we've had 746 wells plugged and abandoned,
3	and that is by asking the lessees of operators to make
4	sure on the on the leases, the leases that we have
5	issued, to make sure those operators have make sure
6	the operators on those leases sorry. I apologize.
7	For example, if we issue a lease to
8	somebody, we will make sure that the lessee of record
9	goes after whomever they have parsed out certain debt
10	severances to or someone they they have maybe an
11	override, but they have allowed another person to be
12	an operator record on that lease.
13	Then we will pursue that lessee of
14	record to P&A that well, but that is no longer active
15	in producing. Say an operator has left the state, it
16	has become insolvent, filed for bankruptcy, it's
17	just or in another case, for example, they've
18	created a huge environmental mess, we will pursue the
19	lessee of record to clean up those messes.
20	We've filed, I believe, 38 38 cases
21	that have been filed, we've resolved 18 of those. A
22	lot of these can be resolved without filing a lawsuit,
23	and there's a lot of good operators that we work with.
24	But a lot of the operator or sorry, I said
25	operator good operators, we work with good

1	operators, but they're also lessees, a lot of those
2	lessees have stepped up and actually plugged and
3	abandoned the not so great operators' wells on those
4	leases.
5	Q. Thank you. 746 wells is a lot. Over how
6	many
7	A. In addition to those, I'm sorry, we also do
8	lease assignment reviews within my Division. So in
9	addition to those 746 under the accountability and
LO	enforcement program, we also get a number of kind of
L1	more very poor performing wells. We get those plugged
L2	separate from the accountability and enforcement
L3	program.
L4	So we'll get spills cleaned up and wells
L5	plugged under the unit review process or just the
L6	lease assignment review process. So that's in
L7	addition to wells that have been plugged or sites
L8	cleaned up vis-a-vis the accountability and
L9	enforcement program.
20	Q. Over how many years would you say that the
21	746 wells that have been plugged, over how many years
22	has that program been in operation to get those wells
23	plugged?
24	A. Either four or five years.
25	Q. And the relationship between the State Land
	Page 223

1	Office, I think you spoke to this earlier, the
2	relationship is somewhat unique in that those
3	enforcement matters are going to the lessee rather
4	than just the operators; is that correct?
5	A. Yes. Often we'll reach out to the lessee of
6	record, and sometimes we reach out to the operator, as
7	well. But yes, we reach out to the lessee of record
8	because obviously we have a contract, a lease is a
9	contract. So we will reach out to the lessee of
LO	record and say, "Here's an outstanding liability on
L1	your lease."
L2	Q. And so those 746 wells, are those all wells
L3	that were not producing for some period of time before
L 4	the enforcement action was taken?
L5	A. So I don't want to just say a definitive
L6	yes, that they were not all not producing, but more
L7	than likely the answer is yes. But a lot of them
L8	could have been very poor stripper wells or they could
L9	have had, as Mr. Tremaine said, they could have had
20	one barrel of oil and then they could have had, you
21	know, a break of five months, and then another barrel
22	of oil, and then they were plugged then.
23	I do know we had one company who was a
24	lessee of record and then another operator had you
24 25	

1	was producing and had a well on that lease, and then
2	the operator was falsifying production records,
3	submitting them with OCD, and then that led to this,
4	like, one barrel and then a break in six months and
5	then another barrel.
6	Meanwhile, the lessee of record had very
7	prolific wells on that lease and so that lease was
8	otherwise being held by great production in lower
9	formations, but the shallow formation had a had at
10	least one terrible well on it that needed to be
11	plugged.
12	Q. And then I believe your testimony was in
13	addition to the accountability and enforcement
14	program, you also run a lease assignment review
15	program that also catches wells that are
16	underperforming?
17	A. Correct, yeah. So before a before a
18	lease can be assigned to a new lessee, we make sure
19	that the lease is in good standing. So that includes
20	doing an environmental review and also looking
21	holistically at the wells on the lease.
22	We have to make sure that they are
23	not they're not inactive wells, that they're in
24	compliance with OCD rules. And then there are other
25	factors as well to make sure that the lease is, quote,

1	in good standing? Yeah, it's under 19.2.100.41, I
2	believe, of the New Mexico Administrative Code.
3	Q. I don't want to belabor the point, but on
4	the lease assignment review, that is a process by
5	which a lease could be basically reassigned from one
6	lessee to another lessee. Is that what I'm hearing
7	from your testimony?
8	A. That's correct. And we also do it for units
9	as well, so one unit going from one unit operator to
10	another unit operator.
11	Q. And the intention of that is to basically
12	have that lease or unit put into more productive use
13	than the prior lessee; is that correct?
14	A. I wouldn't necessarily characterize it that
15	way. So a lease could go from, say, Chevron to
16	Conoco. And, you know, certain times companies do
17	trades with one another, and so there's various leases
18	that leases change hands.
19	Q. Okay. And I imagine you haven't been
20	sitting through the entirety of testimony that we've
21	been going through up to this point.
22	A. I haven't.
23	Q. No. Okay. There was some testimony that we
24	heard earlier about just well plugging that has taken
25	place over 2024, and there was roughly three

1	categories of wells that were plugged in 2024 in that
2	testimony.
3	One category was OCD plugging, the wells
4	that OCD itself is plugging. Another category of
5	wells were the wells that operators are plugging
6	themselves. And then there was a third category that
7	people were having a hard time pinning down, but that
8	was called "forced plugging."
9	Are you aware, generally speaking, of
LO	those three categories of wells?
L1	
L2	A. I would I'm not sure I mean, I can
L3	speculate what the term "forced plugging" means. I'm
L4	guessing that's when the land office is asking
L5	somebody to plug a well. Is that I don't know, so
L6	might refresh me. But I'm guessing.
L7	Q. I believe that is correct, I was just
L8	wondering if but I'm not testifying, obviously, in
L9	this case. I was just wondering whether you had any
20	information that you could help shed light on that
21	category. But thank you so much. Yeah.
22	A. I do know we asked people to plug wells, so
23	if that is what that is referring to, yes. We do
24	force companies, like I mentioned earlier. We have
25	sent out numerous demand letters, and before we will
	Page 227

1	approve an assignment or certain changing unit
2	operators or, you know, these certain suits that have
3	been filed or certain settlements, we do require
4	certain lessees of record to make sure certain wells
5	are plugged. A lot of companies have been great to
6	work with and have plugged those wells.
7	MR. TISDEL: Great. Thank you so much,
8	Ms. Marks. Those are all the questions that I have.
9	Thank you.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
11	Mr. Tisdel.
12	Commissioner Ampomah, do you oh,
13	wait, sorry, I forgot to ask. Mr. Moore, do you have
14	any redirect?
15	MR. MOORE: Just a very limited hearing,
16	Madam Hearing Officer. Thank you.
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. MOORE:
19	Q. Ms. Marks, your direct testimony in this
20	case concerns the rules, the rule amendments at issue
21	in the application and not any potential upcoming
22	changes to State Land Office regulations; is that
23	correct?
24	A. That's correct.
25	MR. MOORE: All right. I think that is it
	Page 228

1	for redirect. Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
3	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
4	questions of Ms. Marks?
5	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: No, I do not. Thank
6	you.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Commissioner Bloom.
8	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Yes, thank you.
9	Thank you, Ms. Marks.
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
12	Q. Just a couple questions. And thanks for
13	being here. We put up a firewall at the land office,
14	so as I started preparing for this hearing, that was
15	the first time I saw that the land office was going to
16	be here as a participant, so thank you.
17	Well, Ms. Marks, I just wanted to clear
18	up some things. I think we got off a little bit of a
19	tangent about the land office's bonding efforts. But
20	you mentioned that the land office bonding effort is
21	focused on bonding the lease, correct?
22	A. I believe it is, yes.
23	Q. Yeah. But I think you said maybe it depends
24	on the number of wells on the lease. Are you sure
25	about that? It was not my recollection and I don't

1	believe it to be the case, but I'm want to let you
2	reconsider that answer?
3	A. I am not sure about that, and so I have
4	not I read that quite some time ago and I have not
5	read it again. So I guess that's why I was stumbling
6	quite a bit through that. So I will I will move to
7	strike my own answer there, because I do not that
8	is a quite a piece of a rule that I have I have
9	yet to read again. So yes, if the court reporter
10	would strike my answer, that would be great.
11	Q. Okay. I believe this is more of an effort
12	coming out of the Office of General Counsel than it is
13	your Division, correct?
14	A. That is very correct.
15	Q. And then, Ms. Mark, you received some
16	questions regarding double bonding. I don't know if
17	you heard testimony today, but I believe Mr. Powell
18	answered on cross-examination that the land office
19	cannot call or take OCD bonds. Is that your
20	understanding?
21	A. Yes. The land office cannot, to the best of
22	my knowledge, demand that OCD pull a bond.
23	Q. And then just to make sure we don't have any
24	misunderstandings coming out of this hearing, under
25	the land office's proposal under Section E, called
	Page 230

1	"Periodic Adjustment and Review of Bonding Levels,"
2	point number 3 says: In the event that a well bond or
3	other financial assistance on file with the New Mexico
4	Oil Conservation Division would cover operations on a
5	particular lease in the Oil and Gas Act and New Mexico
6	Oil Conservation Commission rules are changed to allow
7	the commissioner to access such bond or other
8	financial assurance, the commissioner may adjust
9	downward the monetary value of the bond required under
10	this rule by the amount of the bond or other financial
11	assurance on file with the New Mexico Oil Conservation
12	Division that is applicable to wells on state trust
13	land.
14	So as I read that, it says that
15	currently the land office does not believe it can
16	access OCD bonds, and therefore, we're not seeing
17	overlapping bonding to this point because the land
18	office can't take those bonds. Does that make sense
19	to you?
20	A. I'm sorry. Were you reading from this
21	current rule before the Commission?
22	Q. No. That was the land office draft for its
23	own bonding effort.
24	A. Sorry. Do you want to reread that and then
25	I can answer it.

1	Q. I'll withdraw the question. I don't think
2	it's particularly germane. Well, no, I'm sorry. I
3	will read parts of that again for you.
4	This is E.3, and it says that: In the
5	event that a well bond or other financial assurance on
6	file with the New Mexico OCD would cover operations on
7	a particular lease and the Oil and Gas Act and
8	New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission rules are
9	changed to allow the commissioner to access such bond
10	or other financial assurance, the commissioner may
11	adjust downward the monetary value of the bond
12	required under this rule.
13	So I'm hoping that again underscores
14	that the land office cannot call or take OCD bonds.
15	Again, would that be your position?
16	A. I agree, if the with the way the way
17	any rule and statute reads right now, I do not believe
18	the land office can currently call any OCD bond.
19	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Okay. Thank you.
20	And, Madam Hearing Officer, I don't know
21	if it would be appropriate, but just for ease of
22	document management, would the land office be able to
23	submit their proposed changes as a red line?
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Typically at the end
25	of a rulemaking, I invite all parties who would like
	Page 232
	J =

to submit kind of a final proposed red line or any
written closing arguments to do that.
Is there any reason that wouldn't be
appropriate in this case? We'll pause to hear from
the petitioners or anyone else? No?
All right. Commissioner Bloom, I'm not
hearing any objections to that plan, which again is
our usual practice in a complex rulemaking.
COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Thank you very much
Madam Hearing Officer. So I think that'd be helpful
for managing, I think, a few different versions of
the rule currently. And we've seen a few different
ones come around, and so having that final submission
from parties that would wish to submit them I believe
would be helpful.
Ms. Marks, thank you. I don't have any
further questions for your land office. Thanks for
your time.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
Commissioner Bloom.
Chair Chang, do you have questions of
Ms. Marks?
CHAIR CHANG: I do not. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank you
Page 233

1	very much, Ms. Marks.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We'll excuse you.
4	Do we have time here for Oxy's opening
5	statement?
6	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing Officer, thank
7	you. I actually haven't timed myself on this, but if
8	the Commission wants to take a break before public
9	comment, I would say no. But if we want to go
10	straight up to public comment, I may be able to
11	squeeze it in.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yeah, we've only been
13	going 40 minutes, so let's squeeze it in. And if you
14	go a few minutes after 4:00, that's fine. I only
15	have four folks who asked to offer comment at 4:00
16	p.m. So I think we'll be fine.
17	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. RANKIN
18	Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer. Madam
19	Hearing Officer, Commissioner Chang, Commissioners
20	Ampomah and Bloom, thank you very much for
21	consideration of Oxy's presentation of its case and
22	consideration of its post modifications to the rules
23	submitted by applicants.
24	Oxy supports reasonable targeted and
25	administratively efficient and effective regulations

1	governing financial assurance requirements for
2	operators of well and gas wells in the state that
3	make sufficient funds available to the Division to
4	cover the plugging of wells on state or fee lands in
5	the event an operator becomes insolvent. But the
6	regulations should narrowly focus on the operators
7	and operations most at risk of orphaning wells and
8	stay within the legislative grant of authority.
9	Section 70-2-14 of the Oil and Gas Act
LO	authorizes the Oil Conservation Division and
L1	Commission to require operators in New Mexico to
L2	provide financial assurance for plugging wells on
L3	state and fee lands. Subsection A of that statute
L 4	sets out the reach and limits of the authority.
L5	But rather than stay within the scope of
L6	the framework, the proposed rule, while well
L7	intended, strains the language of the statute beyond
L8	breaking and beyond what the legislature intended or
L9	authorized. That puts the rule as proposed at risk
20	of being challenged and overturned and because the
21	proposed rule steps outside the confines of the
22	statutory framework, increases the chances of
23	unintended adverse consequences proliferating if it
24	is adopted without modification.
25	The statute sets out two mandates that

1	are ignored and violated, in our view, of the
2	proposed rule. First, the statute requires and
3	provides for operators to have the option for
4	one-well financial assurance or to elect blanket
5	financial assurance capped at \$250,000.
6	Second, it authorizes increased
7	financial assurance through one-well bonds only after
8	a well has been held in temporarily abandoned status
9	for more than two years.
10	Oxy does not object to applicant's
11	proposed increase of the financial assurance required
12	for active or inactive wells. However, applicant's
13	proposal to create a new category of financial
14	assurance for what it deems as marginal wells and
15	marginal operators exceeds the statutory restrictions
16	under the statute.
17	Applicant's further efforts to remove
18	the two-year period provided for under the statute
19	for wells in temporarily abandoned status, while
20	allowing them to remain under an operator's active
21	blanket financial assurance is also improper.
22	Applicants seek to create a new
23	financial assurance category for what it defines as
24	marginal wells by imposing a new category or new
25	requirement for these active producing wells that

1	removes them from the \$250,000 blanket financial
2	assurance option provided under the statute and
3	requires instead a one-well financial assurance of
4	\$150,000 for each well.
5	Applicants also seek to create a new
6	category for marginal operators that would require
7	operators with more than 15 percent of their marginal
8	or inactive wells in their portfolio to pay a
9	one-well financial assurance of \$150,000, not just
10	for each marginal well, but for each of its other
11	active producing wells, even though those active and
12	producing wells do not meet the rules definition for
13	a marginal well.
14	That, in our view, is regulatory
15	overreach and not targeted towards the wells and
16	operators that the Division says are the problem.
17	It's also contrary to the plain language
18	of the statute in the legislature's intent. And we
19	know this by reviewing the statute's history.
20	Section 70-2-14A has been periodically modified by
21	the legislature with the most recent amendments in
22	2015 and 2018.
23	The 2015 amendment created a category of
24	financial assurance for temporarily abandonment
25	status wells, and authorizes a new category of
	Page 237
	1490 257

1	financial assurance to exceed \$50,000. At the time
2	of the 2015 amendment, before the \$250,000 cap was
3	set, the statute did not allow financial assurance to
4	exceed \$50,000, that was the limit. But this 2015
5	amendment, therefore, specifically authorizes
6	financial assurance for temporarily abandonment
7	status wells to exceed the general statutory cap of
8	\$50,000.
9	Then in 2018, the legislature increased
10	the general statutory restriction on financial
11	assurance by a factor of five from \$50,000 to
12	\$250,000. Accordingly, the statute today instructs
13	that an operator is authorized to elect a blanket
14	plugging financial assurance that cannot exceed
15	\$250,000. The blanket plugging financial assurance
16	for temporarily abandonment status wells must exceed
17	\$50,000. And one-well plugging financial assurance

is authorized for a well that has been held in temporarily abandonment status for more than two

20 years. But an operator has the option to place these

wells under a blanket financial assurance.

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Applicant's proposed financial assurance for active wells recognizes and remains within the \$250,000 statutory cap that the legislature established back in 2018. However, in an effort to

1	work around that statutory restriction for its
2	proposed new category of financial assurance
3	addressing active marginal wells, the applicants
4	asked the Commission to define a marginal well,
5	remove the active wells from the \$250,000 financial
6	cap, require a one-well plugging financial assurance
7	in the amount of \$150,000 for each of these active
8	marginal wells, and if over 50 percent of an
9	operator's portfolio is considered to be marginal or
10	inactive or a combination, then the operator is being
11	required to provide financial assurance of \$150,000
12	not just for each marginal well, but for each of its
13	wells overall, including wells that are not defined
14	as marginal.

Unlike in 2015 when the legislature created the financial blanket bond for temporarily abandonment status wells that expressly authorized the category of financial assurance to exceed the statutory cap, the legislature has not taken any steps to authorize the Commission to exceed the cap for active marginal wells or any other category of financial assurance. The legislature also has not authorized the Commission to remove active producing wells from the \$250,000 cap that's in place, nor they authorized them to require -- the Commission to

require one-well financial assurance for each of these active producing wells.

Instead, the legislature has limited the one-well financial assurance authority to a well that has been held in a temporarily abandonment status for more than two years. If, as applicants suggest, the Commission can always require one-well financial assurance for any category of well, then the \$250,000 financial assurance restriction that's been in place and the specific one-well financial assurance authorized set forth under the statute have no meaning and are rendered superfluous.

And as noted in the statement filed by

Oxy witness Tiffany Wallace, any concerns with

marginal wells can be addressed by the presumption of
the no-beneficial-use provision that's proposed by
applicants.

As proposed, a notice of no beneficial use is triggered if in a consecutive 12-month period the well is not produced at least 90 days and has not produced 90 barrels of oil equivalent. That provision is narrow and targeted and allows the Division to take action against specific operators for specific wells that are at risk of truly being orphaned and to do so early in the process of

1 abandonment, just as the Division says it needs to be 2. able to do. 3 Now, this presumption and the subsequent notice required and response required by the 4 5 provision addresses any concerns with marginal wells without running afoul of the statutory limits. 6 7 We'll talk about inactive wells real 8 quick. Oxy does not object to applicant's proposal to increase the financial assurance for inactive 9 wells. However, the proposed change to inactive well 10 11 financial assurance do not comply with the financial 12 assurance statute. The current financial assurance 13 rule complies with the statute and recognizes that 14 increased financial assurance applies only to any 15 well that has been held in temporarily abandoned 16 status for more than two years. In adopting this rule back in 2005, the 17 18 Commission recognized that the statutory restriction 19 is in place which rests on the fact that a well in approved TA status has been vetted by the Division, 20 21 including a review of the condition of its casing and cement and can therefore remain covered under the 22 23 statutory blanket bond of \$250,000 at least for the 24 first two years. 2.5 Applicant's proposed changes instead

requires increased financial assurance for wells in improved temporary abandoned status immediately, as soon as they're put into that status. Now, this constitutes, in our view, the legislative directive allowing wells in approved TA status to remain under the \$250,000 cap for at least the first two wells -- two years. Forgive me.

2.5

On to operator certification and registration. Oxy supports the rule revisions that are designed, in our view, to determine whether new operators seeking authority to operate in the state and existing operators looking to acquire new assets in New Mexico do not have a history of financial assurance forfeitures in other states. As noted in the statement of Oxy's witness, Tiffany Wallace, Oxy has proposed modifications to the operator registration and change of operator provisions that are designed to provide a certification that can be met by large operators with diverse portfolios in multiple states.

These proposed modifications are efficient, administratively efficient, and they provide the Division with information to gauge whether an operator has had difficulty meeting financial obligations in other states.

1	And we understand the applicants in the
2	Division have accepted Oxy's considerations and
3	proposal, and we're grateful for that consideration.
4	On the presumption of no beneficial use,
5	Oxy supports the proposal as presented. And as
6	explained by Oxy's witness, Tiffany Wallace, Oxy has
7	proposed only a few modifications designed, in our
8	view, to avoid unnecessary mandatory submissions of
9	categories of information that are repetitive or may
LO	not fit a particular circumstance, giving OCD
L1	discretion to request submission of relevant
L2	documents only.
L3	On the amendments to the TA status
L4	wells, Oxy supports reasonable and administratively
L5	efficient regulations governing the placement of
L6	wells in TA status, but strongly urges the Commission
L7	to ensure that the Division retains discretion to
L8	approve TA status for additional discrete periods,
L9	such as five-year increments, based on reasonable and
20	relevant information to support the request.
21	Oxy proposes to retain much of
22	applicant's proposals for what is required for the
23	initial TA approval, but proposes modifications to
24	allow the Division approvals for additional TA
25	periods, as we've discussed.

1	As to the adjudicatory requirements for
2	TAs going beyond the initial extension period
3	requiring those to go to hearing, Oxy proposes that
4	all TA extension requests go to the Division
5	applicants propose that all the TA extension requests
6	beyond the first one go to the Division hearing, and
7	that any interested party be allowed to intervene to
8	participate.
9	As noted by Oxy's witness, Tiffany
10	Wallace, in her testimony, the Division's current
11	dockets for adjudicatory hearings are at capacity.
12	There's no administrative reason to further burden
13	the docket with requests for extensions of an
14	approved temporary abandonment status; similar to the
15	request to eliminate the requirement that an
16	interested party demonstrate standing first to
17	intervene in an adjudicatory hearing is unnecessary,
18	inappropriate and, in our view, will further burden
19	the Division's crowded hearing docket.
20	With that, let me just give you a quick
21	overview of our witnesses. Oxy has two witnesses who
22	have presented both direct and rebuttal testimony.
23	First is Tiffany Wallace. Ms. Wallace has previously
24	testified before the Commission on rulemaking issues
25	in her capacity as the deputy director of the

1	Division previously. She currently manages policy
2	and external affairs for Oxy in the lower 48 states,
3	including New Mexico, and has had several engineering
4	and leadership positions in the oil and gas industry
5	for 20 years before she joined the Division in 2020.
6	Prior to joining the Division, she was
7	the development director for Marathon, overseeing oil
8	and gas assets in the Delaware Basin in New Mexico.
9	And her responsibilities include overseeing more than
10	100 employees on subsurface geoscience, reservoir
11	engineering, and planning-type support groups,
12	including production, engineering and operations and
13	regulatory.
14	And her direct testimony provides an
15	overview of Oxy's recommended modifications and
16	provides reasons for the requested changes. Her
17	rebuttal testimony responds to specific assertions
18	that marginal wells hold little value other than to
19	hold a lease by providing case studies of specific
20	wells that would qualify as marginal under the
21	definition of the proposed rule that Oxy was able to
22	return to improved production, demonstrating their
23	value. She will have a short surrebuttal as well.
24	Second witness is Ms. Kelly Montgomery.
25	She has a bachelor of science in mechanical

1 engineering and is a registered professional engineer 2 in Texas. 3 4 5 6 the Division's regulatory process. 7 8 9 in Texas. I think I said that already. 10 11 Her direct rebuttal testimony is focused 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 wells in the future. 22 23

24

25

She serves as Oxy's regulatory manager for the Permian Basin for more than ten years and has testified before the Commission on new and expanded enhanced well-recovery projects, and is familiar with

She's currently Oxy's vice president for air programs and has a BS as well in mechanical engineering and is a registered professional engineer

on a narrow issue of the rules changes to the TA status, approvals and extensions, and the impacts potentially on Oxy's enhanced oil recovery program, which covers more than 15,000 acres and involves more than 500 wells that have been or will be converted over time to injection, producing wells or monitoring wells. She explains that EOR projects are sensitive to economics and benefit from a large inventory of wells for use as injectors, producers, or monitoring

This testimony in combination highlights the risks that this proposed rule poses for causing waste and impairing correlative rights, and we appreciate your attention to Oxy's testimony today.

1	Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
3	Mr. Rankin.
4	Sheila, do we have our interpreter on
5	the platform?
6	MS. APODACA: I'm not seeing her on here
7	yet, and I have the company contacting her to see if
8	maybe she signed in under a different name or
9	something, but I haven't heard from her.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Let me
11	just ask, if you are our interpreter for public
12	comment today and you're on the platform, please
13	raise your virtual hand. No?
14	Okay. Let's see here. I think, first,
15	while we wait for the interpreter to arrive, I'll
16	invite those who are not requesting interpretation
17	between Spanish and English or English in Spanish. I
18	have four names on the sheet.
19	Just a few things about public comment.
20	I will ask you to spell your first and last name for
21	the transcript. I will ask you, pursuant to
22	Commission rules, to swear or affirm that you will
23	tell the truth, and I will ask you to keep your
24	comments verbally to three minutes.
25	If you have more to say than can fit in
	Page 247

1	that time, please submit your comments in writing to
2	Sheila Apodaca, the Commission clerk.
3	So I see a GR raising their hand,
4	Sheila. This is a Giovanna Rossi.
5	Ms. Rossi, can you unmute yourself?
6	MS. ROSSI: Yes. Thank you. I was raising
7	my hand just to give public comments. I'm not an
8	interpreter.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: No, that's fine. If
10	you don't require an interpreter, I'm happy to take
11	your comment now? Would you spell your first
12	sentence last name for the transcript.
13	MS. ROSSI: Yes. Giovanna, Rossi,
14	G-I-O-V-A-N-N-A, R-O-S-S-I.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
16	affirm to tell the truth?
17	MS. ROSSI: I do.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Go ahead.
19	MS. ROSSI: Good afternoon, Chair and
20	Commissioners. My name is Giovanna Rossi, and I'm
21	speaking on behalf of Moms Clean Air Force and
22	thousands of New Mexico parents who want clean air,
23	safe water and a healthy future for our children.
24	Moms Clean Air Force has over 20,000 members right
25	here in New Mexico and over 1.6 million members

1	nationwide.
2	New Mexico has a pollution problem. We
3	have more than 62,000 oil and gas operations
4	releasing hundreds of millions of pounds of methane
5	and other harmful air pollutants each year. This
6	isn't abstract, it's personal. I'm a mom of two
7	teenagers who love the outdoors. On hot summer days
8	when ozone pollution from nearby oil and gas
9	operations mixes with the heat, my daughter comes
LO	home coughing, with burning eyes and headaches. Our
L1	doctor told us to keep her indoors on bad air days.
L2	But childhood shouldn't mean checking
L3	the air quality before going outside. No parent
L4	should have to choose between fresh air and their
L 5	child's health. That's why this rule matters. These
L6	outdated bonding rules have allowed oil and gas
L7	corporations to drill wells across our state while
L8	posting only a fraction of the true cleanup cost.
L9	The legislative finance committee found
20	it costs an average of \$163,000 to plug a well, and
21	some cost over \$700,000. Yet because of low bond
22	amounts, taxpayers have already spent over \$100
23	million cleaning up abandoned wells with as much as
24	\$1.6 billion more still unfunded.
25	Beyond the dollars, these abandoned

1	wells leak methane and toxic chemicals into our air
2	and water, putting communities, especially rural and
3	tribal families, at risk.
4	The good news is New Mexicans
5	overwhelmingly support fixing this. Nearly nine in
6	ten voters, say oil and gas corporations should pay
7	the full cost of cleanup. Even our own State Land
8	Office and Oil Conservation Division support the
9	proposed rule changes.
10	Here's the bottom line: If you drill
11	it, you should clean it up a hundred percent of the
12	time. This is our opportunity to align policy with
13	common sense and protect both our children's health
14	and our state's future. Please vote to adopt
15	stronger bonding and cleanup rules because no
16	corporation's profit should come before a child's
17	right to breathe clean air and grow up healthy.
18	Thank you very much.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Rossi.
20	Sheila, can we move to Patricia Sheely?
21	Ms. Sheely, can you unmute yourself?
22	Can you hear me? You should have the necessary
23	permission.
24	Okay. She may have stepped away or be
25	challenged by the unmute feature.

1	Let's see who's next. Mitchell
2	Krakauskas.
3	MR. KRASKAUSKAS: Good afternoon, Madam
4	Examiner.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello, would you
6	spell your first and last name, please?
7	MR. KRAKAUSKAS: My name is Mitch
8	Krakauskas, M-I-T-C-H. Last name is
9	K-R-A-K-A-U-S-K-A-S.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
11	tell the truth?
12	MR. KRAKAUSKAS: I do.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
14	MR. KRAKAUSKAS: I work for a small
15	family-owned operating company in southeast
16	New Mexico, and I have serious concerns that this
17	rule will run every small independent operator out of
18	our state.
19	The extreme bonding requirements will
20	force companies to waste capital by putting up cash
21	bonds rather than using that capital to continue to
22	grow and develop minerals to the benefit of the state
23	and the mineral resource. The proposed overreach of
24	this rule, allowing for the state to single-handedly
25	approve or deny the transfer of wells,

1	disproportionately affects the small producers, many
2	of which are based in New Mexico. These are the
3	companies that spend money in our communities,
4	support local charities and events, and support
5	thousands of families that call this state home.
6	The adoption of this rule, on top of all
7	the other overregulation of our industry in recent
8	years, will force many of these families to move out
9	of the state or find new forms of employment,
10	including me and my family.
11	I strongly encourage the Commission to
12	consider dismissing this rule change. Thank you.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
14	Mr. Krakauskas.
15	Let's see. Move to Arthur Harrington.
16	Can you unmute yourself, Mr. Harrington? Would you
17	spell your first and last name for the transcript,
18	please.
19	MR. HARRINGTON: My first name is Arthur,
20	A-R-T-H-U-R. My last name is Harrington,
21	H-A-R-I-E-G-T-O-N.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
23	tell the truth?
24	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, ma'am, I do.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
	Page 252

1	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. So my name is Arthur
2	Harrington. I lived in Fremont, California from 1976
3	to 2018. I was a union carpenter in California in
4	the Fremont Bay area, and I made a living, a good
5	living, and I retired in 2018. I moved to the Mid
6	Valley Airpark in Los Lunas, New Mexico, and I like
7	it here. I really like it here.
8	Okay. It's my opinion that gas and oil
9	is very important. Without it, this place will have
10	a hard time. And I've seen firsthand what
11	overregulation does. It's just a thing as a
12	business partner, I saw it just gets passed on to the
13	consumer. That would be the residents and the people
14	that live here with me.
15	And I think that it's very important to
16	be careful, because I've seen what happened where I
17	used to live. And it's sad.
18	And I thank you very much for
19	considering this, my interpretation of what I've
20	seen. And I hope that it helps the gas and oil
21	industry here.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
23	Mr. Harrington.
24	We'll go back to Ms. Sheely.
25	Ms. Sheely, can you unmute yourself?

1	All right. Do we have anyone else on
2	the platform? Let's see.
3	HJ, Haley Jones. Hello, would you spell
4	your first and last name for the transcript, please.
5	MS. JONES: Yes. Haley, H-A-L-E-Y, Jones,
6	J-O-N-E-S.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do you
8	swear or affirm to tell the truth?
9	MS. JONES: I do.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
11	MS. JONES: Good afternoon. My name is
12	Haley Jones and I'm speaking on behalf of Citizens
13	Caring for the Future in strong support of the
14	proposed bonding rule changes.
15	I have lived in Carlsbad, New Mexico,
16	for nearly 30 years of my life. As you may know, 17
17	years ago a sinkhole was discovered under the
18	intersection of two major highways in south Carlsbad,
19	caused by a brine well operation.
20	The operation was shut down, but because
21	there wasn't sufficient financial assurance in place,
22	we had to wait 14 years for the problem to be fixed.
23	The company went bankrupt two years after the
24	discovery of the sinkhole, leaving New Mexico
25	temporarily

1	MS. SHEELY: I think now I've got it.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Just a moment, I'll
3	take your comment in a moment.
4	MS. SHEELY: Can you hear me?
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. I'll take your
6	comment momentarily.
7	Go ahead, Ms. Jones.
8	MS. JONES: after the discovery of the
9	sinkhole, leaving New Mexico taxpayers on the phone.
10	MS. SHEELY: Can you hear me now?
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Sheila, would you
12	mute that person, please, whoever it is?
13	MS. SHEELY: Can you hear me now?
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. I'll take your
15	comment in a moment.
16	Ms. Jones, go ahead.
17	MS. JONES: to cover the cost of fixing
18	the problem.
19	Carlsbad had to fight every legislative
20	session for years to get the funding to fix the
21	problem a private company had caused, and taxpayers
22	all across the state had to help pay to fix an issue
23	they did not create.
24	If the proposed rules had been in place
25	back when the brine well sinkhole was first

1	discovered, we might not have spent more than a
2	decade wondering if the highway might collapse
3	beneath us.
4	This should be a lesson we heed, not
5	ignore. The sinkhole shows us the high price that
6	can be paid by the state and taxpayers when private
7	companies do not clean up after themselves.
8	Unplugged abandoned wells can leak
9	toxins into our air and water, threaten public
10	health, and mar our beautiful landscape with old,
11	decrepit equipment. The cost to plug and remediate
12	these sites should be paid by the companies who
13	profit off them, not by taxpayers.
14	Here's the bottom line. If you drill
15	it, you should clean it up 100 percent of the time.
16	If a company can't afford to put up the money for
17	these proposed bonding rules, they should not be
18	drilling in the first place. Please vote to adopt
19	stronger bonding rules. Let's protect our air, water
20	and wallets. Thank you.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Jones.
22	And thank you for pressing on with the interruptions.
23	All right. Who do we have next? We're
24	going to go back to Ms. Sheely.
25	Ms. Sheely, can you unmute yourself?

1	MS. SHEELY: Can you hear me okay?
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. Thank you.
3	Would you spell your first and last name, please.
4	MS. SHEELY: Patricia, P-A-T-R-I-C-I-A,
5	Sheely, S-H-E-E-L-Y.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
7	affirm to tell the truth?
8	MS. SHEELY: Yes, I do.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, God.
LO	MS. SHEELY: I have lived in Gallup,
L1	New Mexico, for 33 years. I am commenting in support
L2	of the rulemaking to modernize New Mexico's bonding
L3	and cleanup rules. I believe that it is critical
L4	that oil and gas wells be properly plugged and that
L 5	any contamination be cleaned up. It is unacceptable
L6	to contaminate our land, water, and air. This
L7	endangers the health of our children, makes our water
L8	unsafe to drink, and degrades the soil for
L9	agriculture. It further spoils the beauty of the
20	land, which is important for tourism and recreation.
21	It is just common sense that the private
22	companies that have profited from our public
23	resources should be responsible for cleaning up the
24	inevitable spills and plugging wells that are no
25	longer producing.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

24

2.5

I applaud the companies that have properly plugged 95 percent of the wells, but the remaining 5 percent are still capable of a lot of pollution. New Mexico has a huge number of orphaned, abandoned, and low-producing wells that no one is taking responsibility for cleaning up. Corporations are gaming the system and using loopholes to get rid of high risk, marginally producing wells by selling them to poorly funded companies.

The present deposit system does not provide enough money to clean up and plug these wells. This leaves the state on the hook for a huge cleanup bill. If there are 5,000 wells at risk and the average cost of cleanup is \$163,000 per well, the total cost would be \$815 million.

I expect this estimate is too low, but we don't need to guess. The Legislative Finance Council estimates that the state's liability for abandoned wells to be \$700 million to \$1.6 billion.

Both people and corporations like to believe that they are performing in an ethical manner, but the truth is most of us are motivated by cost and the desire to make a profit. This is why rules and regulations are necessary, to ensure that everyone pays the actual cost of doing business and

1	cleans up any mess that may occur.
2	New Mexico is not a rich state, and it
3	has many social programs that it needs to be spending
4	its money on. I want the corporations to leave the
5	land like they found it.
6	Thank you for listening to me, and I
7	apologize for my speaker problems.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
9	Ms. Sheely.
10	Who do we have next? Heidi Brooks, can
11	you unmute yourself?
12	MS. BROOKS: Hi. Yes, I'm here.
13	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Would you please
14	spell your first and last name.
15	MS. BROOKS: Heidi, H-E-I-D-I, Brooks,
16	B-R-O-O-K-S.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
18	tell the truth?
19	MS. BROOKS: I do.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
21	start your time.
22	MS. BROOKS: Okay. Yes, I'm here to submit
23	a comment in favor of the proposed rule amendments
24	concerning the financial assurance requirements for
25	plugging disused gas wells.

1	I'm prompted to speak out here today by
2	an article recently sent to me by my daughter, who
3	lives in Sweden. From abroad, she read an AP article
4	entitled, "Blood Tests Show Highest Levels of Forever
5	Chemicals of Those Living Near New Mexico Plume."
6	The study reported on was about the
7	extraordinarily high levels of PFAS from firefighting
8	foam used at Cannon Air Force Base. This problem
9	caused not only health issues for people drinking
10	contaminated water, but property value losses and
11	livelihoods threatened.
12	After reading the article, it struck me
13	that New Mexico is often in the news for pollution
14	that we're exposed to from PFAS, to radiation
15	exposure by Downwinders from the bomb tests in
16	southern New Mexico, to the record-setting uranium
17	tailings bond spill at Church Rock into the
18	Rio Puerco, to the plutonium that remains in Acid
19	Canyon near Los Alamos, to the jet fuel plume
20	underneath the southern part of Albuquerque, to the
21	Chevron Quest Mine waste, to the toxic wastewater
22	spilled that turned the Animas River orange and
23	yellow, and the list goes on. And that list includes
24	idle oil and gas wells.
25	We seem to be going from the land of
	Page 260

1	enchantment to the land of collateral damage. But
2	compared to many of these other pollution stories in
3	our state, the geographic area of the problem of
4	disused oil and gas wells is enormous. The toxins
5	being emitted into the air and water from the
6	hundreds of thousands of non-producing oil and gas
7	wells affects broad swaths of southern and
8	southeastern and northwestern New Mexico. These
9	toxins, like the PFAS referenced earlier, also pose
10	serious risks to the lives and livelihoods of the
11	people near these ways, as well as to the
12	environment.
13	New Mexicans should be entitled to a
14	safe place to live, with clean water, land and air.
15	The fact that the oil and gas industry has been
16	permitted to tap into the state's vast stores of oil
17	and gas shouldn't condemn us to unnecessary toxic
18	exposures long after the wells cease to be
19	productive.
20	The Oil Conservation Commission should
21	enact rules designed to protect the people of the
22	state from the unfair costs and dangers of defunct
23	
	oil and gas wells in their neighborhoods. New Mexico

The commission's position, based on

Page 261

25

fairness and justice, should be that if you drill it,
you clean it up promptly. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
Ms. Brooks.
We'll go now to Willis Duff. Mr. Duff,
can you unmute yourself? Willis Duff, you should be
able to unmute yourself. No?
Let's see. I see, Ellen Dueweke? Can
you help me.
MR. DUFF: I'm unmuted, if I'm still in
line.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Oh, is this Mr. Duff?
MR. DUFF: Yes, it is. I'm so sorry.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We'll go back to you.
Just a moment. All right, would you spell your first
and last name for the transcript, please.
MR. DUFF: Willis is W-I-L-I-S. Duff is
D-U-F-F.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: And do swear or
affirm to tell the truth?
MR. DUFF: I do.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
start your time.
MR. DUFF: I'm a retired entrepreneur.
After 30 years of doing that, I live in the East
Page 262

1	Mountains outside of Albuquerque. And I have a
2	slightly different slant on this.
3	I thank all of us, and certainly all of
4	you. I want to thank you for your service and
5	commend you for your stick-with-it-iveness and
6	patience.
7	I know we all know, you guys and gals
8	particularly, but most people know the downside of
9	free methane in the air and its effect on health and
LO	particularly on climate change. So I'm not going to
L1	elaborate on that. Probably wouldn't bring anything
L2	new to the conversation.
L3	But what I do witness, in my view of the
L4	public conversations and in my contact with ordinary
L5	people here in the East Mountains, is that there is
L6	loss of faith in the institutions that are supposed
L7	to enforce the rules and enforce the laws. And
L8	there's a great impatience with not only corporate,
L9	but government and personal impunity. Impunity is
20	sort of a natural catalyst to saying, "Dadgumnit,
21	that's not right."
22	And impunity is shown when unattended
23	wells uproot our atmosphere. And I think it's not
24	only good for the cause that this specific
25	undertaking is set about to fix, but it's good for

1	the general business of people having faith in the
2	institutions that are supposed to take care of us.
3	I think it's clear that for fairness,
4	justice and common sense, it makes total sense: If
5	you drill it, you fix it. And drilling it and
6	leaving it is dead wrong. And it goes beyond all the
7	machinations of government and business. It's a
8	human and moral issue, that if you make a mess in the
9	public realm, you are really obligated to fix it up.
10	So I really hope you guys are going to
11	vote for the stronger bonding.
12	Beyond that, as a personal position, I'm
13	offended and upset and all those things when I see
14	this impunity that's all around us, and particularly
15	now with the people who drill wells all the way from
16	the small independent driller, and I have some of
17	those in my family, all the way up to the mega
18	corporations, that it is your duty and your
19	responsibility to clean up your mess.
20	And I strongly hope you will all vote
21	for the increased bonding requirements. Thank you
22	very much.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Duff.
24	We'll go back to Ellen Dueweke. Can you
25	unmute yourself? It appears you have the permission

1	to unmute yourself. Can you hear me?
2	Okay. We'll go to Nell Burrus instead.
3	Ms. Burrus, can you unmute yourself?
4	MS. BURRUS: Yes, I'm here.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. If you would,
6	spell your first and last name for the transcript.
7	MS. BURRUS: My first name is Nell, N-E-L-L.
8	My last name is Burrus, B-U-R-R-U-S.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
10	tell the truth?
11	MS. BURRUS: I do.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
13	start your time.
14	MS. BURRUS: Dear Chair and Commissioners,
15	my name is Nell Burrus. I'm a K-12 educator in
16	New Mexico since 1999. My college education was paid
17	for by proceeds from a natural gas well located in
18	Oklahoma on family-owned land.
19	I'm here today in reference to Case
20	24683. I stand in favor of updating New Mexico's
21	bonding and cleanup rules. I urge you to defend the
22	health of New Mexico communities and the pocketbooks
23	of New Mexico taxpayers by updating the bonding rules
24	to fairly and accurately reflect the true cost of
25	cleanup and remediation of the oil and gas wells no
	Page 265

longer in service.

A few years ago, I was shocked to learn about the huge clouds of methane that sit over both northwest New Mexico and southeast in the Permian Basin. While odorless and invisible to the naked eye, methane clouds in the atmosphere are detected by satellites using infrared technology. In my mind, these clouds are a symbol of the damage that's being done to our communities, environment, and climate in New Mexico.

I recently accompanied the New Mexico
Interfaith Power and Light to Carlsbad, New Mexico,
to learn about the impact of oil and gas operations
on communities and environment in the vicinity. In
Carlsbad, via thermographic tools, we could see
methane and volatile organic compounds emanating from
a storage facility, while we heard the laughter and
sounds of children playing on a playground a few
hundred feet away at Ocotillo Elementary School.

At the Loco Hills location, we could smell the stench of hundreds of oil wells that appeared to be abandoned. We could see petroleum leaking from tubes onto the ground, great piles of industrial waste, chemicals and unlabeled vats. And, again, our thermographic equipment clearly showed

1	that VOCs and methane were escaping from these sites.
2	Those companies who financially benefit
3	should be the ones who pay the full cost. That is
4	only fair. They should not be allowed to transfer
5	their ownership prior to cleaning up the site.
б	Also the state of New Mexico needs to do
7	due diligence by hiring and authorizing many more
8	inspectors to make sure that all oil operations are
9	being carried out in accordance with current and
10	future laws. This is not only to protect those
11	living in close proximity to oil and gas operation
12	today, but to protect future generations from their
13	climate and health impacts and their heavy associated
14	costs of cleanup, which New Mexican taxpayers will
15	have to pay if we allow the situation to continue to
16	worsen.
17	Thank you very much.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
19	Ms. Burrus.
20	Let's go back to Ms. Dueweke.
21	MS. DUEWEKE: Yes. Can you hear me?
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, I can, very
23	clearly.
24	MS. DUEWEKE: I had the wrong microphone
25	enabled on my computer, so I apologize.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's all right.
2	Would you spell your first and last name for the
3	record, please.
4	MS. DUEWEKE: First name is Ellen,
5	E-L-L-E-N. Last name, Dueweke, D-U-E-W-E-K-E.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do swear or affirm to
7	tell the truth?
8	MS. DUEWEKE: I do.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
10	start your time.
11	MS. DUEWEKE: Okay. My name is Ellen
12	Dueweke, and I have been an Albuquerque resident for
13	49 years. When I moved to New Mexico as a young
14	woman, I was immediately enchanted by the natural
15	beauty of the state. Over the years, while enjoying
16	hiking, camping, cross-country skiing, or just the
17	zen I get from our incredibly beautiful and peaceful
18	vistas, I became a proud environmentalist and an
19	active Sierra Club member.
20	That's why I'm here in support of the
21	proposed amendment to the OCD rules regarding
22	financial assurance. The amendment fairly addresses
23	the problem of bad actors without causing harm to the
24	responsible companies who are cleaning up after
25	themselves. It prevents weak companies that don't

1	have the financial capacity to complete the full job
2	from extraction to cleanup from purchasing wells.
3	After all, if they can't afford the bonding price,
4	they can't afford to do it themselves. The original
5	well owner, unable to find a buyer, will then shut
6	their underproducing wells down and clean them up
7	themselves. Since unspent bonding money is refunded,
8	only irresponsible polluters will be harmed.
9	To attain my business degree from UNM, I
10	was required to take a business ethics course.
11	Surely these oil producers understand that because
12	theirs is a highly polluting industry, they have an
13	ethical responsibility to do what they can to clean
14	up the mess it creates. That is just part of their
15	business costs.
16	So why are they spending what would be a
17	small fortune to me on a team of lawyers to oppose
18	the change? The only reason I can think of is that
19	they know the amendment will reduce their bottom line
20	significantly. They want the cleanup cost to
21	continue to come from New Mexico taxpayers' pockets
22	so the money will continue to end up in theirs.
23	Last week, I heard commenters opposed to
24	the amendment. One complaint was that it will dry
25	the oil industry out of New Mexico, but the oil is in

1	New Mexico and the oil producers want it. This just
2	ensures that they clean it up.
3	Another complaint was that this
4	amendment will reduce the revenues the state receives
5	from the oil industry supporting our economy and our
6	school. But when we spend millions of tax dollars to
7	finish their job for them, we're actually subsidizing
8	the industry. This is an unnecessary loss to our
9	state.
10	There are so many legitimate needs of
11	public funds for New Mexicans. It doesn't make sense
12	to tax the poor to subsidize the rich. So please
13	approve the amendment. It is fair to the oil
14	producers and good for New Mexico. Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much,
16	Ms. Dueweke.
17	Before I turn away from the platform,
18	let me ask if there's anyone else on the platform who
19	would like to offer public comment during this
20	session. The next session is 9:00 a.m. tomorrow
21	morning.
22	If you are on your phone on the
23	platform, you can press star 5 to raise your hand.
24	Otherwise, please raise your virtual hand.
25	All right. Let me see, is there anyone
	Page 270

1	in the room? That looks like Ms. Lucero.
2	Come on up, please. There's a witness
3	stand right there. Won't you please spell your first
4	and last name.
5	MS. LUCERO: My first name is Lora, L-O-R-A.
6	Last name is Lucero, L-U-C-E-R-O.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or approve
8	to tell the truth?
9	MS. LUCERO: Yes, I do.
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much.
11	I'll start your time.
12	MS. LUCERO: Thank you. I'm from
13	Albuquerque. Thank you for the opportunity to speak
14	today about the rulemaking in this case, Number
15	24683.
16	I'm speaking as a grandmother well,
17	first as a mother, then a grandmother, and now a
18	great grandmother. I've raised my family to take
19	responsibility for our actions, to care for our
20	neighbors, our community, to respect our home and our
21	planet. And last but not least, to clean up our
22	messes.
23	I expect no less from you, from my
24	elected officials, and from the oil and gas industry.
25	The proposed rule to increase the

1	bonding requirements for oil and gas drilling
2	operators makes perfect sense to me, and I hope it
3	does to you as well.
4	The industry must take responsibility
5	for its actions, the cost of doing business,
6	including cleaning up any messes, and the risk of
7	doing business must fall squarely on the industry,
8	not on New Mexicans.
9	The proposed rule recognizes that our
LO	neighbors and our communities must be protected. And
L1	if leaks or spillage occurs, the operators must foot
L2	the bill for the cleanup.
L3	Excuse my simplistic analogy to child
L4	rearing, but the issue before you is just that
L5	simple. Either the industry learns it must be
L6	responsible and must clean up its messes, or the rest
L7	of us will continue to shoulder that burden. Please
L8	support the proposed rules to reform bonding and
L9	cleanup. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Lucero.
21	Anyone else in the room? I see no other
22	unaffiliated folks in the room.
23	We'll turn back to the technical case
24	then. We had four folks sign up for this session,
25	including Ms. Lucero, and ten spoke. So we're now at

1	4:35.
2	We would need a break before your first
3	witness. Unless you would like them to offer their
4	first 15 minutes of testimony, perhaps we should
5	start tomorrow morning.
6	MR. RANKIN: My preference, Madam Hearing
7	Officer, at the discretion of the Commission, would
8	be to start fresh so we don't have to get interrupted
9	partway through it. If that's okay with the
10	Commission, that would be my preference.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Is that okay? Great.
12	We'll see tomorrow morning at 9:00.
13	(Proceedings adjourned at 4:36 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 273

1	AFFIRMATION OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, Kelli Gallegos, DO HEREBY AFFIRM on
4	October 27, 2025, a hearing of the New Mexico Oil
5	Conservation Commission was taken before me via video
6	conference.
7	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I did report in
8	stenographic shorthand the proceedings as set forth
9	herein, and the foregoing is a true and correct
10	transcript of the proceedings to the best of my
11	ability.
12	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I am neither employed
13	by nor related to any of the parties in this matter
14	and that I have no interest in the final disposition
15	of this matter.
16	Bell Gallon.
17	Kelli Gallegos
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
18	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 274

&	187:13 245:10	12174 37:11	76:1,6 82:14
	249:22 256:15	1220 1:6 2:10	124:16 153:4
& 2:21 3:2,3	100,000 25:10	125 3:15	190:9 200:4
35:14,20 58:13	75:18	13 74:2 119:24	237:4,9 239:7
0	102 210:15	120:12 194:22	239:11
0.00 41:20	102 270:13 105 274:18	14 10:6 40:5	150k 92:4
0.6 149:13	108 209:19,21	254:22	16 4:19 29:15
1	10:00 57:22	1400 99:5	29:24 30:9,21
	10:15 57:24	15 36:24 79:15	32:3 33:22
1 23:22 30:4	10:30 57:23,24	79:19,23,25	34:13,24 48:23
110:2 149:10 174:14 175:18	11 25:17	83:18 119:24	49:2,17 55:20
	11,551 48:10	124:14,21	55:24 56:20
189:10,11	113 28:22,24	125:1,11	57:17,20 62:12
195:16 218:14	115 20:22,21	128:18,20	93:1 130:11
219:25 220:1 1,000 3:4 48:10	129:14,25	129:8 130:6	133:4,12
	130:3 131:1	131:17 132:12	135:16 137:4
77:21,21 92:19 93:11 133:10	132:10 139:25	132:22 140:14	138:24,25
154:5 179:24	143:11 144:1	141:23 143:12	139:23 141:10
180:3,15	144:10,11,12	145:24 146:17	152:9,9,11
185:21 202:9	153:22 187:12	148:15 149:8	160 4:10
1,213 29:6,7	115s 27:18 28:4	151:6 152:2	1600 92:13
1,371 27:15	30:8 33:18	153:21,24	162 4:10
1,400 80:10	34:10 56:16	156:24 159:2	163,000 7:8
135:17	98:24 144:16	186:20,22,23	172:8 249:20
1.6 248:25	144:17	187:4,22	258:14
249:24 258:19	118 74:2	188:11 206:6	1652 48:12
10 2:16 27:1,2	11:56 121:6	237:7 273:4	50:13
30:1,2 43:9,9	12 23:22 98:3,4	15,000 246:15	1677 30:3
80:11,11 159:1	98:4 99:5	15,465 48:15	168 4:11
10,000 42:9	119:18 162:19	150 180:20	17 23:24 24:8
100 7:22 19:14	163:3 209:9	187:7,8	26:25 27:15
28:20 29:23,25	218:15 240:19	150,000 7:9,11	43:10 44:15,16
42:13,13,14	265:15	65:23 67:9	128:17 140:23
70:13 75:17	121 4:9	68:9 69:3	146:21 149:8
78:5 167:15		74:11 75:16,19	152:1 186:6

[17 - 25.8.]

	T	I	T
254:16	19.15.8.9d	106:12	2028 189:10
18 44:16,25	83:17 123:21	200,000 68:25	203 31:25
124:2 131:13	19.15.9 1:10	144:25	206 4:5
131:19 132:2	19.15.9.8c	2005 241:17	208 4:13
132:14 134:20	209:11	2009 32:19,19	20th 101:25
148:19 204:7	19.2.100.41	2010 38:6	21 40:7 43:10
222:21	226:1	2011 112:6	189:5
180 30:14 36:5	1964 36:23	2015 25:1	212 4:14,20
48:11 77:1,3	1976 253:2	37:16,21 38:7	213 3:15
79:1 92:20	199 4:12	237:22,23	214 4:14
119:17 147:15	1999 265:16	238:2,4 239:15	217 4:15
179:24 180:4,7	1:00 121:5,7	2016 37:17	22 40:7 43:10
180:19 185:22	1st 1:5 41:19	2017 37:18	220 4:15
186:2,8,21,23	2	2018 72:24	2208 2:21
202:6,8	2 2:4 24:4	75:6 212:25	228 4:16
1800 48:7	41:18 77:14	237:22 238:9	229 4:16
181 4:11 38:15	110:10 123:21	238:25 253:3,5	23 4:8 40:7
1842 48:6 50:9	125:25 154:14	2019 37:18	43:10 149:7
19 36:25 52:21	154:16 155:1	2020 140:24	234 4:5
112:5,13	179:18	245:5	24 100:5
19.15.12.13b	2,000 68:2	2022 38:23	172:17
209:7	'	104:5 172:14	24683 5:6
19.15.2 1:10	2,318 29:5	2024 28:7	265:20 271:15
19.15.25 1:10	32:13	36:24 38:9	25 39:13 46:9
19.15.25.10.	2,489 50:6	49:18,23 71:8	80:5 88:4
106:17	2.3 131:20	110:1,2 111:25	130:3,6,20
19.15.25.13b.	140:22 146:20	112:23 144:23	143:5 145:20
209:8	2.7 77:14,17	146:21 149:3,7	146:2,3,5
19.15.25.8	2.7. 77:12	149:11,15,21	155:2 190:13
162:18 218:20	20 28:19 33:4,5	172:9,21 204:6	190:14 191:18
19.15.25.9.	74:5 104:14,16	226:25 227:1	209:8
164:7	145:24 188:19	2025 1:14	25.8 218:11
19.15.5 1:10	188:19 245:5	37:20 41:19	219:2
19.15.8 1:10	20,000 248:24	274:4	25.8. 218:21
	200 51:20		
	105:14 106:2,4		

[250,000 - 60]

	T		
250,000 7:12	132:8,12 143:9	39 196:24	133:10 143:9
65:20 74:19,22	143:13,14,20	3900 48:7 92:2	180:9,21
75:8 95:25	148:14,22	3914 48:5	185:24,25
173:2 174:13	149:13 150:13	3:05 206:7,9	239:8
175:6,8 200:5	150:16 151:4,5	4	50,000 25:11,12
236:5 237:1	152:3,20	4 20:9 25:17	25:14 75:8
238:2,12,15,24	153:13,21,22	100:5 104:11	99:17 176:5
239:5,24 240:8	153:23,24	123:2 149:14	238:1,4,8,11,17
241:23 242:6	155:8 162:25	4.4 152:2	500 3:4 246:16
25th 154:17	163:3,13,14,15	40 39:19 50:24	274:18
26 130:23	164:8,11	198:8 234:13	500,000 174:13
143:1 193:1,2	187:23 188:8	409 2:4	51 130:17,21
266 150:11	188:11 194:9	45 32:12	131:7,12,19
182:8	194:12,16,19	101:23 102:4,9	135:9 136:7
27 1:14 45:19	202:11 254:16	105:6,9 144:21	140:16,20
47:2 105:17	262:25	48 245:2	142:18,23
177:19 274:4	300 179:18	49 105:13	145:13,14,18
274 4:21	300,000 73:19	268:13	52 131:17
28 104:19	3000 145:3	4:35 273:1	53 182:8
131:17 177:19	32 4:18 56:13	4:36 273:13	54-2a 209:19
28943 274:16	56:15 57:16,19	4th 3:4	209:21 210:15
29 80:21 96:15	141:9		57 4:19
173:6	33 4:18 56:17	5	58 4:8
2:50 206:9	57:16,19	5 4:3 20:7	6
3	134:21 148:15	26:21 117:1	6 44:25 123:2
3 83:17 125:10	194:8 257:11	181:19 209:11	148:23 149:25
186:14,19	34 195:2	258:3 270:23	6,000 73:17,23
187:21 209:6	3494 49:12	5,000 54:22	74:15 75:12
231:2	35 10:6	206:24 258:13	76:3 82:14
3,494 48:17	37 195:16	5.9 218:10,18	97:22 176:2
93:2 141:14,17	38 175:18	219:2	206:1
142:6	196:9 222:20	5.9. 218:11	60 36:13 80:21
30 9:11 28:20	222:20	50 39:13,15	94:23 150:10
74:5 84:2	380 105:23	50:22 117:16	195:1 220:3,8
119:23 129:8		132:2,3,15	220:8
117.23 127.0			220.0

[60,000 - accountability]

60,000 93:13,19	9	241:15 242:2	abroad 260:3
62,000 249:3	90 33:6 36:2,17	249:23,25	absolutely 9:5
7	36:22 48:4,6,9	256:8 258:5,19	11:20 22:1
70 94:23	77:3 79:12,13	266:22	31:14 33:3
70,000 68:24	92:19,22,22	abandonment	45:17 49:23
70-2-14 235:9	113:18,19,20	79:12 84:9,14	69:16,23 114:3
70-2-14a 65:11	113:21 119:24	85:9 127:5	117:20 200:14
99:15 237:20	162:25 163:10	198:10 210:20	abstract 249:6
700 15:10	163:13 164:3	210:22 211:3	abuse 165:24
180:15 258:19	178:15,15,21	237:24 238:6	abused 51:18
700,000 249:21	179:2 194:9	238:16,19	52:12
746 222:2	195:21,21,25	239:17 240:5	accept 219:22
223:5,9,21	195:25 196:1,2	241:1 244:14	accepted 59:25
224:12	196:5 202:12	abbreviation	70:25 243:2
75 39:15	240:20,21	210:4,5	access 160:16
750 154:6	90-90 88:21	ability 81:5	161:1 231:7,16
155:8 185:21	92:18 93:10	82:8 90:10	232:9
76 28:23 29:1	195:21	91:6 102:25	accommodate
99:4	900 14:1 16:1	122:25 127:16	82:4
78 99:1,4	53:5	274:11	accommodati
8	90233 3:11	able 9:14 12:6	23:1
	94 4:9	28:8 54:10	accompanied
8 26:1,22 41:18	95 258:2	82:21 83:1	266:11
123:3 134:22	9:00 5:1 270:20	98:2 122:3	accomplish
185:18	273:12	137:24 138:15	51:15 107:1
80 74:6 188:15	a	158:25 161:1	180:17
815 258:15		174:18 176:13	accordance
87102 3:4	a.m. 5:1 57:24	232:22 234:10	267:9
274:18	121:6 270:20	241:2 245:21	account 50:22
87199 3:12	abandon 123:7	262:7	accountability
87501 2:4 3:16	160:11 abandoned	above 26:1	161:16 218:25
87504 2:22	15:10 126:21	36:5 48:8	221:20,25
87505 2:11		108:9 141:18	223:9,12,18
88202 2:16	127:4 136:11	201:24	225:13
	162:20 222:2		
	223:3 236:8,19		

[accountable - addressing]

accountable	acres 206:22	190:9 218:12	added 57:12
10:16	246:15	258:25	84:15 100:9
accounts	act 16:3,4	actually 27:5	addition 12:6
100:14,21,25	187:18 205:8	27:25 28:9	153:25 223:7,9
accumulate	209:25,25	31:14 33:12	223:17 225:13
48:13	231:5 232:7	36:18 37:8	additional 36:7
accumulating	235:9	40:14 42:18	50:9 65:1 78:7
106:8	action 24:16,18	45:18,22 46:1	107:24 124:6
accurate 59:6	24:19,20 27:7	49:5 50:19	128:19 130:23
72:23 77:4	27:16 31:21	60:1,15 63:2	131:3 138:20
89:15 92:1	79:18 163:22	63:22 69:3	141:21 142:11
96:5 142:1	176:13 203:17	71:9 82:22	143:18 164:10
213:7	224:14 240:23	91:6 103:16	168:10,12
accurately	actions 24:9	104:8 106:10	193:12,21
265:24	32:25 54:3	122:20 124:20	195:1 197:12
accusations	271:19 272:5	128:24 129:6	197:25 243:18
89:21	active 124:18	135:6,13	243:24
achieve 188:15	129:22 141:21	136:25 137:13	address 54:10
achieves 202:4	187:4 206:24	140:18 141:1	57:3 73:7
acid 93:25	211:9,10,11	142:19 144:16	98:19 125:22
260:18	218:13 222:14	146:12 150:5,6	161:2 179:9
acknowledge	236:12,20,25	153:11 158:8	192:11
42:24 66:22	237:11,11	165:1 170:3,20	addressed
69:13	238:23 239:3,5	171:5 175:12	124:22 125:2
acloutier 2:17	239:7,21,23	190:6 191:17	126:9 127:2,23
acoi 40:18,19	240:2 268:19	211:1,7,10,13	166:12 192:12
81:8,12 169:17	actively 53:17	223:2 234:7	240:15
acois 40:23	163:21	270:7	addresses
80:21 81:6,17	actors 268:23	acumen 176:18	123:25 241:5
81:22 164:1	actual 18:22	adam 2:22	268:22
169:6 171:2	47:21 63:22	121:22	addressing
acquire 161:13	71:5,6,15	add 28:14 48:5	15:6 18:9
242:12	103:22 131:16	48:11 92:22	33:10 139:9
acquired	139:20,22	110:10 175:10	239:3
101:16	145:16 189:23	188:21	

[adds - agreement]

11 100 0 15	27.10	200 2 2 4 7 2 2	444440040
adds 183:8,17	256:18	208:2 247:22	114:1 120:13
185:8	adopted 45:10	248:16 251:10	125:11 126:12
adequate 214:4	59:7 76:5	252:22 254:8	127:12 128:2
adjourned	86:22 128:4	257:7 259:17	129:23 139:11
273:13	213:25 235:24	262:20 265:9	139:16,21,22
adjudicatory	adopting	268:6 274:3,7	140:24 142:3
244:1,11,17	134:14 241:17	274:12	142:12 143:11
adjust 189:12	adoption 252:6	affirmation	145:16,18,19
231:8 232:11	adverse 136:20	274:1	145:21,24,25
adjusted 82:11	235:23	afford 9:14	146:24 147:4
adjustment	advisement	256:16 269:3,4	148:24 149:15
231:1	67:5	afoul 241:6	149:17,19
administrations	advising 66:24	afternoon 20:9	150:14 151:10
150:3	advisory 66:23	121:19 160:3,6	152:6,8,19,22
administrative	advocate 81:18	162:4 206:14	152:25 154:7
164:8,9 165:21	86:16	212:20 214:19	155:20 160:25
226:2 244:12	advocating	217:18 221:2	165:25 167:15
administrativ	18:1	248:19 251:3	169:8,16,21
156:21,23	affairs 245:2	254:11	171:18 172:16
234:25 242:22	affect 43:16	agency 97:6	173:15 218:6
243:14	130:5 131:11	161:10	218:15,19
administrator	131:12 142:7	aggressive	232:16
5:24 116:25	affected 24:3,5	83:18,20	agreeable
admission	28:25 39:9	ago 60:8 70:20	165:17
55:19 56:19	48:15,17 49:10	135:7 204:7	agreed 20:21
admit 14:4	49:11 93:21	230:4 254:17	21:14 40:24
211:25	94:1 112:24	266:2	128:4 138:11
admitted 4:17	113:13 147:1	agrankin 2:23	169:9 171:14
57:17,17,18	173:23 189:3	agree 21:13,21	agreement
112:22 173:11	affects 35:21	33:19 41:3	24:10 44:9
212:5,6	252:1 261:7	42:23 43:5	89:6 115:24
adopt 19:20	affirm 5:19 6:9	59:22 65:19	116:1 122:4,7
45:3 99:23	8:9 10:25	70:3 88:16	170:10 195:4
155:7 211:20	12:19 16:15	96:6 97:20	204:5 224:25
213:24 250:14	17:17 89:13,14	99:21 100:17	

[agreements - announcing]

agreements	268:12 271:13	alysha 12:17	202:9 228:12
27:8 118:22	274:18	ambiguity	229:3,5 234:20
170:24 171:13	alexander	210:14,16	analogy 87:15
171:17,19	139:8 165:16	amendment	272:13
201:7	alexander's	237:23 238:2,5	analysis 102:10
agrees 81:25	165:8	268:21,22	130:7 132:17
99:22	align 209:18	269:19,24	132:23,25
agriculture	250:12	270:4,13	133:1,19,22
257:19	alignment 19:6	amendments	134:7,10,17
ahead 6:12	allison 4:13	1:10 207:9	136:23 138:10
11:2 12:22	207:10 208:9	221:8 228:20	138:21 139:9
16:17 17:11,19	208:16 209:7	237:21 243:13	139:19 140:7
69:17 208:6	allotment 48:8	259:23	140:10 142:18
248:18 251:13	allow 79:4	american 15:15	144:6 145:22
252:25 254:10	139:19 163:19	amount 7:9	146:3,25
255:7,16	171:3 175:11	50:17 99:21,24	147:24 148:7
aid 27:20 32:5	187:17 212:11	99:25 173:16	149:1,20,23
181:13,13	231:6 232:9	173:17 174:10	150:8,12,13
aids 55:16,23	238:3 243:24	174:24 175:4	151:13,14
air 7:1 13:8	267:15	190:1 216:10	153:6 154:13
15:20 16:3	allowed 27:9	219:6 220:17	188:14 214:6
18:10,14 19:2	107:10 222:11	231:10 239:7	andres 105:19
246:8 248:21	244:7 249:16	amounted	105:24 108:8
248:22,24	267:4	95:24	andrew 2:17
249:5,11,13,14	allowing	amounts 97:18	212:21
250:1,17 256:9	126:21 236:20	99:19 106:7	andrews 20:24
256:19 257:16	242:5 251:24	174:13,14,15	22:14,15
260:8 261:5,14	allows 240:22	176:1 249:22	animas 260:22
263:9	alluding 24:24	ample 180:11	ann 2:18
airpark 253:6	alternative	ampomah 1:22	annotated
alamos 260:19	155:21	4:11 5:11 85:6	209:21
albert 1:21	alternatively	86:21 181:2,4	announced
albuquerque	169:22	181:6,9,14,18	213:10
3:4,12 14:9,9	altogether	181:21 192:21	announcing
260:20 263:1	90:19	192:25 199:9	20:22

[annual - area]

04.21	267.25	105.12.204.4.6	214.11 216.10
annual 94:21	267:25	195:13 204:4,6	214:11 216:19
95:19	app 181:10	207:8 221:4	246:25
answer 44:3	appeared	234:23 236:22	appreciated
75:20,23	266:22	237:5 239:3	202:10
160:21 191:13	appears 20:25	240:6,17 243:1	appreciates
224:17 230:2,7	38:1 106:10	244:5	82:20
230:10 231:25	264:25	application	approach
answered 26:3	applaud 258:1	59:21 157:12	15:24 129:3
109:24 148:8	apples 202:17	157:13 184:16	134:8 155:7,20
151:9 156:12	203:4	204:14,25	approached
230:18	applicable	209:12 228:21	204:22
answering	116:18 126:11	applications	appropriate
120:25	231:12	201:6 209:13	25:23 129:8,8
answers 101:5	applicant 51:15	applied 48:21	145:15 180:3
109:17 160:24	59:17 61:2,16	48:22 59:19,21	184:14 195:10
anticipate	61:19 86:8	60:10,11 78:14	232:21 233:4
118:25 147:6	87:12 182:7	88:20 101:17	approval
anybody 11:22	183:6 185:15	101:19 115:3	243:23
33:24 37:5	190:8 204:16	201:7	approvals
84:25 104:3	204:19,22	applies 38:20	243:24 246:13
138:14	applicant's	241:14	approve 228:1
anymore 46:13	58:23 86:3	apply 30:15	243:18 251:25
anytime 75:4	100:4 124:12	39:2 44:5,6	270:13 271:7
ap 260:3	133:25 134:14	70:21 116:12	approved 21:9
api 37:10	185:3 205:6	130:2 165:9	55:17 107:3
111:11	236:10,12,17	196:9,11	210:19 241:20
apodaca 5:23	238:22 241:8	appointed 5:4	242:5 244:14
20:1 98:2	241:25 243:22	appreciate	approximately
247:6 248:2	applicants 2:2	15:22,24 23:4	77:8 151:6
apologize 34:22	59:6 60:7	54:15,19 61:14	april 204:6
73:10 102:9	93:14 132:22	63:17,19 64:6	arbitrary 88:15
115:10 122:16	138:9 139:6	64:12 69:25	88:17 89:1
122:19 182:5	162:6,23	94:8,17 101:7	area 8:18 11:8
190:17 213:21	164:18 166:7	120:25 122:2	11:20,25
222:6 259:7	167:20 182:11	181:18 195:15	100:17 105:20

[area - august]

105:23 109:5	122:10 155:24	assumption	assurances
109:19 253:4	assertion 52:19	50:8	14:25 59:13
261:3	184:11 186:16	assurance 24:6	96:16 213:3
areas 11:5 91:3	assertions	25:6,11 41:22	asterisk 57:6
122:6	245:17	50:15 65:13,17	asthma 15:14
argue 219:17	assess 166:16	70:1 74:12	15:19
argument 86:9	216:3 218:24	82:10 99:18	atmosphere
arguments	assessed 87:17	116:11 123:5	263:23 266:6
233:2	192:6	123:14,22	atripp 2:18
arrive 247:15	assessing 84:10	124:6,13,16	attach 176:23
arthur 252:15	138:10	125:14 126:10	attached 75:4
252:19 253:1	assessment	126:11 129:21	196:1 208:20
article 260:2,3	26:10 183:5	135:13 137:9	212:1
260:12	assets 242:12	153:5 160:8,10	attachments
asked 43:24	245:8	160:18 161:2,4	103:8
64:13 72:7	assigned	169:3 173:1,6	attain 269:9
93:22 97:17	225:18	173:12,16	attempt 11:19
113:12 135:6	assignment	174:19 189:12	attempting
137:4 168:25	223:8,16	189:15 231:8	54:13,14
177:1 178:18	225:14 226:4	231:11 232:5	163:25
181:23 200:18	228:1	232:10 235:1	attention 41:17
214:22 227:22	assist 54:13,14	235:12 236:4,5	44:24 114:21
234:15 239:4	117:21	236:7,11,14,21	246:25
asking 15:8	assistance	236:23 237:2,3	attorney 73:24
16:4 81:15	231:3	237:9,24 238:1	73:25 74:6
95:4,6,7	assoc 2:14	238:3,6,11,14	92:8 97:5
108:17 152:5	associate 16:19	238:15,17,21	221:3
153:20 165:4	associated	238:22 239:2,6	attorneys 64:24
193:12 201:10	26:14,19 38:5	239:11,18,22	74:25 82:25
222:3 227:14	69:21 267:13	240:1,4,8,9,10	83:1 188:7
asks 196:22	association 3:2	241:9,11,12,12	attributable
aspect 123:25	15:15 58:15	241:14 242:1	174:19
137:7,8,11	63:20	242:14 254:21	august 28:7
aspects 67:19	assume 88:13	259:24 268:22	29:3 30:23
68:5,6 116:11	106:14		34:25 144:23

[authorities - basin]

authorities	185:12 243:8	184:4 188:6	based 15:23
12:3	avoiding 199:1	193:3 194:18	30:8,9 31:3
authority 24:13	aware 42:3	202:24 206:7	32:3 45:7
32:24 65:9,12	62:25 63:3	238:25 241:17	47:19 63:12
67:2 117:2,3	72:15 90:18,22	253:24 255:25	66:15 69:4
122:23 163:17	115:4,18	256:24 262:14	70:12,14 71:15
169:23 193:21	130:16 161:14	264:24 267:20	88:23,24 89:20
235:8,14 240:4	176:11,14	272:23	98:15 102:22
242:11	214:8 217:20	backdate	134:25 136:23
authorize	218:2 227:9	219:19,20	137:19 139:20
158:12,13	b	backup 205:7	140:13,19,23
239:20		bad 199:19	142:5,8 144:5
authorized	b 13:18 102:9	249:11 268:23	146:15 149:10
235:19 238:13	102:11,13 210:7 259:16	bag 9:7	149:14,23
238:18 239:17	265:8	bandied 172:7	150:3 151:12
239:23,25	bachelor	bang 31:17	152:9 156:4,10
240:11	245:25	bankrupt	156:17 158:17
authorizes	back 14:8	254:23	160:24 163:16
235:10 236:6	22:24 25:2,12	bankruptcy	165:2,3,4,20,21
237:25 238:5	28:10 37:20	222:16	166:16 178:15
authorizing	38:14 40:1	barrel 150:10	185:20 187:4
65:23 267:7	42:21 57:23	178:22 220:7	188:14 190:6
availability	62:3,4 63:13	220:14 224:20	195:20 196:4
171:8,9	82:1,5,8 103:4	224:21 225:4,5	196:23 216:15
available 20:11	104:6 105:1	barrels 133:10	243:19 252:2
96:21 135:16	110:22 121:8	140:23 146:21	261:25
147:21 177:7	122:20 123:17	149:9,14 154:5	baseline 50:2
235:3	124:25 125:25	154:6 179:18	basic 10:7
ave 2:4 3:15	129:7 131:2	195:21 196:5	28:17 30:12
average 7:8	136:15 140:12	202:9 205:22	63:25
67:8 172:6,8	142:14 145:13	206:1 240:21	basically 66:23
172:18 249:20	149:3,12 151:3	barring 50:4	184:17 226:5
258:14	153:12 157:8	base 173:16	226:11
avoid 7:16	164:13 170:17	174:10 176:1	basin 101:8,9
67:18 165:24	171:4 174:10	260:8	101:17 112:7,8

[basin - beyond]

154:15 155:1	27:12 30:22	205:25 206:7	183:3 186:1,5
245:8 246:3	36:13 38:23	206:10 209:5	195:24 196:4,8
266:5	41:15 42:1,8	214:2 215:5,7	196:14 197:19
basis 32:14	49:17 54:23	215:8 217:15	201:22 219:10
34:2 71:18	55:13 56:12,17	218:9 221:17	240:16,18
72:13 132:5	59:21 60:19,21	222:1,20	243:4
133:3 138:17	64:1 68:24	225:12 226:2	beneficially
138:18 140:19	70:14 71:1	227:17 229:22	133:11 135:22
142:5 187:9	73:1,6 79:13	230:1,11,17	168:8
215:1	80:9,12 86:18	231:15 232:17	benefit 51:11
batteries 26:13	87:17 88:4	233:14 257:13	126:8,18 127:2
bay 253:4	92:16 94:1	258:21	128:8 135:14
beatty 58:13	96:10 100:10	believed 146:18	156:3 246:19
beaty 3:3	101:18,25	believer 10:14	251:22 267:2
beautiful	107:8 113:10	beloved 18:3	benefits 125:21
256:10 268:17	115:9 117:1	beneath 256:3	128:1 131:24
beauty 257:19	118:14 123:11	beneficial 29:1	136:20
268:15	124:3 135:7,14	29:9 30:16	berms 53:10
becoming	135:16,17	38:11,16 43:20	best 31:16
77:23	139:12,17	44:6,8,10,12	211:17 213:7
beds 71:21 72:3	140:3 141:12	48:9 50:11	213:18 230:21
beehives 26:13	141:16 146:18	52:5,10 56:8	274:10
began 5:7	155:19 160:22	76:22,24 77:2	better 58:19
beginning	161:8,18 163:2	80:5 85:4 86:4	61:22,23 64:10
111:24	164:23 166:1	86:23 87:17,24	64:19 71:8
behalf 17:22	166:12,23	88:2,5,7,9,14	81:16 175:21
18:2 19:9	169:12 172:22	113:4 116:13	210:15,24
217:4 248:21	174:6,24	117:5,18 127:5	211:12,12
254:12	184:11 185:5	138:1,3 155:10	beyond 90:10
belabor 65:3	188:8,24,25	164:6,10 166:7	111:19 131:22
226:3	189:4,13 191:3	166:8,9 175:11	164:11 235:17
belief 135:10	192:5 193:10	177:24 178:11	235:18 244:2,6
believe 20:16	194:17 197:3	178:14 179:5	249:25 264:6
20:21 21:4	199:5 200:22	180:7 182:2,12	264:12
23:23 24:23,25	200:22 203:3	182:12,22	

[bid - bonds]

bid 70:24,25	238:13,15,21	bond 7:7,11,12	49:14 65:9,20
71:1 115:20	239:16 241:23	29:1 41:20	68:9 70:5,6
116:6	bleeding 170:6	42:10,24 43:5	75:7 77:24
big 11:17 15:18	blm 44:9 84:17	44:3 65:23	92:19,21,24
35:25 64:12,17	116:19,24	67:9 72:22,23	94:18 96:24
122:14 145:5,6	117:3,5,8	73:17 74:15,16	97:14,17,20
154:10	201:4	75:4,10,22	99:3,9 120:6
bigger 52:3	blm's 116:16	76:3,7,7,17	120:13 126:5
biggest 68:16	116:25	92:4 95:11	129:12 130:22
151:23	blood 170:6	97:22 99:22	130:24 131:3
bil 76:11	260:4	116:14 153:3	153:16 161:11
bill 104:22	bloom 1:21	173:17 174:13	170:19 174:24
106:9 258:13	4:12,16 5:12	174:15 175:4,8	176:16 187:2,7
272:12	199:15,17,21	176:2,7,8,23,23	189:1 190:1
billed 103:12	205:15 229:7,8	186:10,25	213:18,24
104:18 106:11	229:11 232:19	199:25 200:6,6	214:1,3,4,25
billion 149:10	233:6,9,21	200:10,10,11	215:3,4,5,8,17
249:24 258:19	234:20	200:11,15	215:20 216:2,3
biologist 6:15	blue 127:14	201:25 202:3,7	216:9 229:19
bit 83:15,18	bo 185:21	213:12 230:22	229:20,21
101:2 106:16	board 71:4	231:2,7,9,10	230:16 231:1
111:7 184:5	boe 30:1 35:6	232:5,9,11,18	231:17,23
185:9 195:19	35:24 36:10,17	239:16 241:23	249:16 250:15
197:1 202:14	36:25 47:24	249:21 260:17	251:19 254:14
210:14 221:24	48:1,5 56:14	bonded 7:9	256:17,19
229:18 230:6	76:25 77:3,6	13:3 186:18	257:12 264:11
blanket 65:20	77:14,21 80:11	189:3 200:4	264:21 265:21
74:19,22 75:4	113:19 135:18	bonding 6:17	265:23 269:3,7
153:3 173:2	154:15,16	7:3 15:6 17:23	272:1,18
174:13,14,15	155:1,8 178:15	18:4,23,25	bonds 18:22
175:4,8,13	178:21 179:2	19:20 29:8	41:22,24 42:4
176:7,8,12,15	179:24 195:25	30:15 33:8,9	42:5,16 73:1,4
176:19,23	196:2	33:11 36:7,11	73:8,12,13,16
200:11,15	bomb 260:15	36:14 42:7	73:18,20 74:7
236:4,21 237:1		44:3,4 49:7,10	74:20,22 76:9

[bonds - california]

76101110	1 •	1 1 4 4 6 2	1 •
76:12,14,19	brainstorm	brought 16:2	businesses
82:9,10,13,13	162:15	28:18 60:1	150:24
82:14,14,17,18	brandon 4:7	63:10 72:12	busy 134:2
82:22 96:8,17	23:7	78:6 85:21	buyer 269:5
173:2 174:12	break 57:22	114:20 121:4	buyers 82:4
175:7,13,24	121:5,9 206:6	156:24 168:11	bwenergylaw
176:3,10,12,15	217:25 220:3,8	brush 215:4	3:5,6,7,8
176:19 199:25	220:17 224:21	bs 246:8	c
200:15 201:20	225:4 234:8	buck 31:17	c 2:1 3:1 6:6 8:7
201:20 230:19	273:2	buckeye 53:23	13:17 17:14
231:16,18	breaking	69:8	27:18 28:4
232:14 236:7	235:18	bucks 149:10	30:8 31:10
251:21	breathe 13:8	build 73:21	33:18 34:10
borders 90:10	19:3 250:17	building 1:5	56:16 98:24
bore 26:18 68:3	bridge 84:17	53:10 165:2	129:14,25
bottom 32:16	brine 254:19	built 119:9	130:3 131:1
48:14 49:1	255:25	156:14	130.3 131.1
66:14 131:6	bring 19:6 82:1	bullet 98:7	143:11 144:1
176:5 250:10	82:5 88:22	bumped 122:13	144:10,11,12
256:14 269:19	136:15 137:14	122:14,20	144:16,17
bought 111:10	138:2,4 183:23	burden 244:12	153:22 187:12
box 2:16,21	196:5 263:11	244:18 272:17	251:8 257:4
3:11 176:5	brings 87:13	burdens 138:20	271:6
179:21	206:6	burned 14:18	calculate
boy 13:18	broad 72:6	burning 249:10	139:25
15:13 76:8	79:21 88:8,17	burrus 265:2,3	calculated
80:24 81:7	261:7	265:4,7,8,11,14	113:5 149:9
88:11 90:13	broader 27:6	265:15 267:19	calculation
99:11 157:15	71:6	business 9:14	149:6,16,18
bradfute 3:11	broadly 89:9	39:14,16 148:4	150:14,15,18
3:12 121:12	brooks 259:10	253:12 258:25	calendar
bradfutelaw.c	259:12,15,15	264:1,7 269:9	135:19
3:13,14	259:19,22	269:10,15	california
bradley 20:23	262:4	272:5,7	
22:10,11			253:2,3

[call - category]

	I	T .	
call 19:16	260:19	care 38:24	272:23
42:17 53:19	canyon's 176:6	264:2 271:19	cases 20:20
86:8 88:15	cap 145:1,2,5	career 8:19	71:24 170:19
123:8 125:17	238:2,7,24	careful 85:11	222:20
199:25 200:5,9	239:6,19,20,24	119:22 253:16	cash 251:20
200:11 230:19	242:6	carefully	casing 53:5
232:14,18	capabilities	125:20 165:23	68:1 71:16,22
252:5	136:3	caring 254:13	72:2,4 79:22
called 78:4	capable 30:17	carlsbad 9:4	80:13,17 85:16
227:8 230:25	79:1 135:20	254:15,18	107:12,22,22
calling 14:6	136:6,10	255:19 266:12	107:24 108:22
20:22 53:14	152:17,18	266:15	109:10,11
camila 13:16	167:2 196:17	carpenter	136:13 203:23
camilla 13:17	258:3	253:3	241:21
camp 14:11	capacities	carried 14:20	catalyst 263:20
camping	31:18	267:9	catch 198:17
268:16	capacity	carries 44:17	205:13
canceled	156:18 182:15	carrying 24:4	catches 225:15
120:22 201:21	244:11,25	cascading	categories 65:9
cancer 11:14	269:1	129:10	65:12,16 66:2
candid 115:2	capital 8:25	case 5:10 12:2	66:5,10,10,12
115:16	127:19 251:20	20:15 30:23	66:15 113:19
cannon 260:8	251:21	48:4 53:4,19	227:1,10 243:9
cano 42:1,24	capped 7:23	55:11 59:18	category 50:25
71:20 73:3,8,9	236:5	61:16 63:11	65:21 70:4
75:17 96:8,17	capping 7:19	76:4 83:4 85:9	92:20 95:10,20
96:23 102:22	7:21 9:5	116:20 133:20	123:14 124:13
105:13,19,23	caps 65:20,21	135:12 146:1	125:9 126:10
cantrell 20:23	capture 39:11	158:14 165:7	127:17,18
22:12,13	129:18,19	196:6,13	128:10,21
canyon 31:13	154:16 155:2	218:19 222:17	129:4 140:15
31:25 42:6,7	155:11	227:19 228:20	142:16 143:21
71:20 73:9	captured	230:1 233:4	166:18 227:3,4
75:17 175:16	100:22	234:21 245:19	227:6,21
175:23 176:7,8		265:19 271:14	236:13,23,24

[category - chino]

237:6,23,25	center 2:3	81:25	characterize
239:2,18,21	162:6 221:4	chance 19:6,8	226:14
240:8	centric 89:20	chances 235:22	charge 102:21
caught 115:9	certain 45:3	chang 1:21	charged 102:19
cause 11:24	64:21 78:12,17	5:11 205:19,20	102:20
137:10 148:1	78:18,21,22	233:22,24	charges 111:25
153:7 163:16	103:23 122:10	234:19	charities 252:4
164:11 211:3	166:19 220:17	change 14:14	chart 92:11
263:24	222:9 226:16	35:5,5,6 45:12	chaves 102:23
caused 254:19	228:1,2,3,4,4	51:18 64:4	cheap 71:24
255:21 260:9	certainly	86:4 92:13	cheaper 69:14
causes 11:23	192:20 198:6	136:16 162:23	check 34:5,7
causing 18:8	198:15 199:3	197:17 199:4	35:22 130:14
71:21 178:4	263:3	204:16 215:25	checked 36:15
192:16 246:23	certificate 4:21	226:18 241:10	checking 35:11
268:23	certification	242:17 252:12	133:6 249:12
caution 103:14	45:7 89:7	263:10 269:18	chemical 11:19
caveat 21:10,18	91:12,13,14	changed 49:21	chemicals
115:15 153:16	127:24 191:19	119:23 174:2	250:1 260:5
ccs 85:9,11	242:8,18	191:5,7 231:6	266:24
cease 261:18	cetera 169:3	232:9	chevron 226:15
ceasing 98:11	177:16	changes 6:18	260:21
ceiling 151:19	chair 1:21 5:11	17:24 50:4	child 11:6
151:23	17:20 205:19	60:7 136:17	272:13
cement 107:2,9	205:20 233:22	175:21 204:17	child's 249:15
107:12,23	233:24 248:19	204:18,21,23	250:16
109:10,12	265:14	228:22 232:23	childhood
110:4,10,11,15	challenged	241:25 245:16	249:12
110:16,17,21	235:20 250:25	246:12 250:9	children 13:1,7
111:15 241:22	challenges	254:14	248:23 257:17
cemented	71:10,17 72:12	changing 228:1	266:18
108:22	79:18	chaparral	children's
cementers	challenging	14:11	250:13
103:19,25	64:9 67:11,19	chapter 13:25	chino 1:5
	67:22 68:11		

[choice - co2]

choice 19:8	clarify 31:8	cleans 12:25	close 14:1
168:4	48:19 56:7,13	259:1	25:24 114:13
choose 146:15	172:7 198:5		121:4 267:11
148:4 150:2	214:21	cleanup 6:17	closer 77:5
		7:19,21 8:17	
249:14	clarifying	15:20 18:22	closing 195:7
chooses 163:8	207:12	249:18 250:7	195:11,13
chris 2:12	clarity 116:4	250:15 257:13	233:2
chris.moander	185:9 188:22	258:13,14	clouds 266:3,6
2:13	classes 202:25	265:21,25	266:8
church 260:17	classification	267:14 269:2	cloutier 2:17
ciba 11:8,18	184:7	269:20 272:12	4:9,14 20:16
circulated	classified 24:1	272:19	20:18 21:4,8
107:23	24:21 92:13	clear 36:16	21:21 22:1,6,8
circumstance	clause 191:18	49:17 76:23	22:10,12,14,17
200:9 219:5	clean 9:12 10:8	88:20 93:13	56:23 57:4,5
243:10	10:9 11:19,25	108:16,17	57:25 58:2
circumstances	12:10 16:3,3	114:4 157:18	94:11,12,15
77:19 163:8	16:22 18:6	157:19 158:17	98:1,6 99:14
217:21 218:2	25:14 98:20	158:19 175:18	99:16 100:4,7
citizen 10:5	222:19 248:21	180:4 191:1	102:6,8,11,18
citizenry 7:24	248:22,24	193:4 199:11	103:4,6 104:11
citizens 254:12	250:11,17	229:17 264:3	104:14,15
claimed 42:4	256:7,15	cleared 62:18	105:3,7,10,12
clara 17:9,14	258:11 261:14	clearer 113:20	112:11,14,16
17:21	262:2 264:19	113:22 190:11	120:24 121:4
clarification	269:6,13 270:2	clearly 194:11	212:14,16,19
21:20 31:6	271:21 272:16	195:5 266:25	212:21 214:13
56:22 209:11	cleaned 11:5	267:23	214:22
215:13	223:14,18	clerk 55:18	cloutier's 172:1
clarifications	257:15	248:2	club 14:3 16:1
208:24 209:3	cleaning 18:24	clicking 174:13	16:18 268:19
211:16,21	19:13 249:23	climate 14:14	club's 13:25
212:11	257:23 258:6	15:21 18:2	clue 69:1
clarified 52:25	267:5 268:24	263:10 266:9	co2 93:25 165:1
	272:6	267:13	

[code - committee]

code 47:11,23	269:21 271:2	commission 1:3	261:20 273:7
226:2	comes 62:8	1:20,23 2:9 5:5	273:10 274:5
cognizant	81:25 145:11	5:24 6:18	commission's
215:14	184:18 188:1	20:25 22:25	5:19 114:21
collapse 256:2	249:9	31:9 34:1,14	195:7 261:25
collateral 261:1	comfortable	38:21 41:7	commissioner
collected 73:1,3	177:3	45:3,9 48:20	4:11,12,16
96:9	coming 38:14	51:10 60:13	5:11,12 85:6
collections	54:23 58:20	63:16 64:11	86:21 160:4
95:24 97:18	86:10 116:5	71:8 82:20	181:2,4,6,9,14
collective 19:9	132:21 198:20	87:1 90:14	181:18,21
19:9	230:12,24	99:8,22 114:22	190:22 192:21
college 265:16	commend	117:22 134:1	192:25 199:9
column 29:16	263:5	135:3 138:7,8	199:14,15,17
35:1 173:15	comment 5:8,8	138:22 145:22	199:21 205:15
174:11 175:1	5:14,16 6:1 8:3	146:14 155:6	205:17 206:16
columns	13:11 20:5,8	157:20 158:12	206:21 207:2,4
141:18	20:10 100:12	158:16 162:18	207:6 208:20
com 220:1,2,4,4	118:20 213:19	178:9 179:15	211:25 213:9
220:9	234:9,10,15	184:12,24	213:23 214:2
combination	247:12,19	185:11 187:23	221:7,15
107:2 239:10	248:11 255:3,6	188:10,13	228:12 229:3,5
246:22	255:15 259:23	192:19 194:14	229:7,8,11
combining	270:19	194:25 195:11	231:7,8 232:9
192:9	commented	195:14 199:3	232:10,19
come 6:2 10:21	94:19	206:15 213:2	233:6,9,21
11:12 13:3,9	commenters	215:13 216:2	234:19
16:10,25 20:20	20:14 269:23	216:16 231:6	commissioner's
43:17,23 54:11	commenting	231:21 232:8	212:2
57:23 61:23	257:11	234:8 235:11	commissioners
108:4 110:18	comments 5:21	239:4,20,23,25	6:13 17:21
120:6 131:2	5:23 8:14 14:1	240:7 241:18	159:12 234:19
171:4 179:3	20:25 181:15	243:16 244:24	248:20 265:14
198:22 204:10	201:19 247:24	246:4 247:22	committee 15:4
233:13 250:16	248:1,7	248:2 252:11	249:19

[committee's - conditions]

committee's	38:18,19 46:9	complex 233:8	compound
66:21	53:14 103:18	compliance	78:20
common 9:8	119:11 120:15	29:5 31:5,23	compounds
250:13 257:21	138:13,16,18	32:14,20 33:7	266:16
264:4	140:19 143:16	34:5 41:11	comprehensive
commonsense	145:10 187:17	80:22 81:11,12	138:10
14:24	200:3 224:23	82:5 89:7,21	computer
communities	247:7 251:15	89:22 90:3,15	267:25
13:7 14:18	254:23 255:21	98:24 127:7,19	concept 10:7
15:16 16:6	256:16	130:22 146:6	82:8 119:2
18:1,7,11	company's	164:4 169:9	concern 80:7
250:2 252:3	35:11 137:15	171:2,4,15	90:12 127:2,22
265:22 266:9	137:20 175:24	174:20 182:17	concerned
266:14 272:10	compare	198:22,24	78:16,25 85:8
community	202:15	202:4 219:8	91:4 192:13
8:25 35:16	compared	225:24	concerning
271:20	183:6 261:2	compliances	21:5,11 90:8
commutes 23:3	comparing	91:16,17	213:24 259:24
companies	71:5 181:15	compliant 75:6	concerns 33:20
35:13,18 45:22	comparison	89:14 90:23	51:5 179:10
63:20 90:18	50:2 77:11	98:8	216:15 228:20
101:14,19	176:21 181:10	complicated	240:14 241:5
127:18 134:19	competition	100:17	251:16
143:18,19	9:2	complies	conclude 117:9
194:3 202:18	complaint	241:13	conclusions
202:21 226:16	269:24 270:3	comply 46:12	139:20
227:24 228:5	complete 65:6	99:9 163:21	concrete 87:5,9
251:20 252:3	85:20 203:15	169:24 170:2	87:12,13
256:7,12	203:16 269:1	170:14 189:1	condemn
257:22 258:1,9	completely	241:11	261:17
267:2 268:24	21:21 184:13	complying	condition 25:4
268:25	completing	28:16 81:8	168:6 241:21
company 25:12	167:5	component	conditions 7:2
31:13 34:7	completion	51:11,12,13	70:15 85:5
35:5,11,14,19	274:1		203:6

[conduct - control]

conduct 5:5	conservation	consistently	contingent
161:3	1:3 2:9 5:5	80:14,16	45:18
conducted 70:9	45:12 192:3	constant 36:9	continuation
150:13 172:9	213:1 217:19	180:14	198:2
conference	231:4,6,11	constitutes	continue 39:10
274:6	232:8 235:10	242:4	76:14 79:5
confines 106:22	250:8 261:20	constrained	129:11 143:3
235:21	274:5	119:2	157:8 189:24
confirm 133:22	conservative	constructed	251:21 267:15
185:20 189:9	83:21,22	85:13	269:21,22
214:24 215:12	consider 61:10	constructively	272:17
conflicting	146:7 182:23	64:9	continued
114:24 179:11	184:17 252:12	consult 189:17	37:15
confronted	considerably	consultant	continuing
172:2,13,20	82:16	144:15,19	54:1 136:6
confused 184:6	consideration	consumer	202:5
confusion	50:18 64:11	189:25 253:13	contract 70:24
211:4	90:1 122:1	cont'd 3:1	101:16 103:22
connect 184:6	140:17 195:7	contact 263:14	120:22 172:3
connecting	234:21,22	contacting	224:8,9
46:24	243:3	247:7	contractor
connection	considerations	contain 43:11	70:25 104:7
120:8	243:2	53:11 209:22	contractors
conoco 54:13	considered	210:3,5,10	100:15 101:8
226:16	92:18,23	contaminate	101:12 111:25
cons 136:19	180:15 239:9	257:16	115:20
conscience	considering	contaminated	contrary 183:3
18:13	114:23 138:8	260:10	237:17
consecutive	152:24 253:19	contamination	contribute
240:19	consistent	161:2,8 177:11	67:20 68:18
consensus 62:6	37:25 39:4	257:15	control 53:5,7
62:7 194:15	108:10 113:5	contents 213:2	53:8,12,13
consequences	114:18 115:6	contextualize	54:6 69:12
235:23	182:15	58:21	148:3

[conversation - costs]

conversation	65:13,14,18	162:25 163:1,5	corroded 53:24
95:14 97:2	67:2,9,13	163:6 169:7,14	corrosion
108:19 111:13	68:14 69:22	169:15,19,20	119:11 203:20
263:12	70:2 72:18,24	170:11,12	203:20
conversations	73:12,13 74:12	172:10,19	coss 8:4,6,6,10
60:20 263:14	74:13,16,20,21	173:13,21,22	8:13 9:18
converted	76:1,7 77:1,17	174:16 185:22	cost 7:6,7 9:9
246:16	78:12 79:12,20	186:16 204:7,8	13:4 15:11,11
coordinate	83:19 84:8	211:17 213:4,5	15:12,18 18:22
102:16	87:19 88:3,4	213:8,14 215:1	25:11 68:7,23
coordinated	88:18 92:15	215:9 218:18	68:24 69:13
212:22	93:3,5,17	218:21 219:3	70:20 72:17
coordination	102:23,24	221:8,9,17,22	111:7 120:9
160:14 201:9	103:13 104:23	224:4 225:17	126:7 149:25
copy 60:7	105:15,20,21	226:8,13	172:8 189:18
62:25 63:4,5	105:24 106:24	227:17 228:23	189:23 190:9
65:5 173:25	107:4 108:12	228:24 229:21	249:18,21
174:4,8	108:20,24,25	230:13,14	250:7 255:17
core 174:2	109:20 112:25	274:9	256:11 258:14
corp 29:22,23	113:1,6,7	correction	258:15,23,25
29:25 85:18	114:2 116:14	144:17 192:24	265:24 267:3
corporate	118:23 123:15	corrections	269:20 272:5
194:2 263:18	123:23,24	208:23 209:2	costing 68:22
corporation's	124:2,7,8	211:16,21	costs 7:14 8:17
250:16	125:14,15	212:11	15:20 26:17
corporations	126:16,25	correctly 6:22	67:8,13,18,20
18:6,20 19:11	127:8,9,20	41:9 42:8 57:7	68:18,20,21
194:4 249:17	128:22 130:9	80:22 89:22	69:3,4,21
250:6 258:6,20	131:8 135:5	123:8 141:2	70:10,13,18,21
259:4 264:18	137:12 140:8	154:9 209:13	71:4,5,6,9 93:8
correct 13:5	141:10,24,25	corrects 112:23	116:8 120:11
40:25 49:18,19	142:6,13 144:7	correlative	168:10 172:7
49:22 50:8,12	148:16,20,21	117:22,25	172:18 189:21
57:10 58:23,24	150:18,23	118:1,4 139:11	189:24 190:1,3
59:4,5,10	154:20 155:5	177:2 246:24	190:6 200:1,4
1			

[costs - data]

249:20 261:22 ci	ovid 70:18 rafted 165:23	58:9 94:14	200:23 231:15
	rafted 165·23		
267 14 260 15	103.23	107:14 109:13	232:18 233:12
267:14 269:15 cı	reate 19:17	121:10,17	245:1 246:7
coughing	46:3,17 65:12	130:14 159:13	curve 37:24
249:10	66:9,15 110:17	160:1 162:2	curves 37:22
council 258:18	111:14,16	169:1 172:1	180:12 186:4
counsel 1:23	236:13,22	201:18 212:12	cusp 80:12
62:21 156:13	237:5 255:23	212:18 214:17	custom 36:18
212:23 230:12 cı	reated 66:2	217:16 220:25	cut 105:14
count 130:12	110:20 111:23	230:18 268:16	cutoff 77:9
country 268:16	222:18 237:23	crowded	cycle 126:6
_	239:16	244:19	d
couple 23:14 ci	reates 110:25	crucial 73:25	d 4:1 6:5 8:7,7
_	269:14	cure 110:4	259:15 262:18
134:5 168:21 cı	reating 111:21	curing 111:2,12	268:5
169:4 171:25	116:17 127:6	current 7:7	
214:15 229:12 cı	reation 124:12	18:23,25 33:1	dadgumnit 263:20
course 12:7 ci	redit 137:15	33:1 38:8 50:7	dais 5:12
108:23 121:25	137:21	70:15 76:5,16	dale 6:14
191:10,11 cı	rew 54:19	79:9 87:20	damage 261:1
269:10	111:1	88:3 130:18	266:8
court 170:8 c 1	riteria 28:17	156:18 169:25	
230:9	30:7 36:4,24	174:15 209:12	dangerous 19:3
courtesy 81:23	66:1 85:12	217:24 218:8	dangers 261:22
82:3	126:12 157:2,7	231:21 241:12	data 35:2 37:7
cover 7:14	178:10	244:10 267:9	38:17 42:16
143:2 197:19 cı	ritical 12:24	currently 26:11	49:18 51:25
200:6 215:20	74:8 198:2	36:10,14 48:16	
231:4 232:6	257:13	51:7,18 53:4	77:5 91:5
	riticism	73:7 74:19	98:16 112:17
covered 7:6,10	100:18	79:14 80:2,4,6	112:24 113:9 114:5 130:11
7:11 214:23 cı	ross 4:8,9,9,10	80:7 87:24	
	4:10,14,14,15	92:10 107:6,15	130:14 134:19 136:24 137:13
	4:15 28:18	116:21 138:21	
216:14 246:15	52:14 57:23	176:4,12 184:1	137:19 138:2,5
			139:4,21,23

[data - demonstrate]

		I	
140:2,3,6,24	92:20 113:19	decide 78:9	78:11 86:4,22
146:15 174:2	113:20,21	decides 202:6	87:10 88:17,19
196:10,11,12	114:16,18	decision 189:19	92:3,14 115:3
196:15 205:7	119:18,23	decisions 72:13	115:14 124:5
database 96:12	135:18 136:7	88:24 137:3	135:4 154:5
140:7	139:3 144:21	decline 37:22	155:8 166:6
date 20:21	147:15 162:25	37:24,25	179:24 182:2
28:10 54:25	163:3,10,13,15	180:12 186:4	182:11 183:6
104:17 135:1	164:3,8,11	decrease	184:21 185:4
191:7 222:2	178:16,19	148:18 189:15	185:19 210:19
dated 101:25	179:2,11,18,24	decrepit 256:11	210:21,23
dates 28:10	180:4,7,19	deemed 174:20	237:12 245:21
172:13 190:23	185:22 186:2,9	deems 236:14	definitions
213:21	186:21,23	deep 11:21	113:6 114:6
dating 104:5	194:9,9,12,16	54:21 153:6	115:6
daughter 249:9	194:19 195:1	216:8	definitive
260:2	195:22,25	defective 79:22	224:15
david 8:6	196:2 202:6,8	80:13	defunct 131:1
day 5:6 41:10	202:12 220:8,9	defend 265:21	261:22
64:20 77:6,15	240:20 249:7	defer 120:11	degrade 7:1
81:10 104:4	249:11	159:8	degrades
105:17 106:11	dead 264:6	define 171:2	257:18
128:12 134:3	deadlines 165:6	239:4	degrading
135:9 171:12	deal 11:23	defined 80:6	157:4
171:23 178:22	100:13 118:13	88:3 239:13	degree 269:9
196:20 220:3	dealing 53:3,23	defines 236:23	del 14:11
days 30:2,14	108:12 116:21	defining 87:24	delaware 245:8
32:12 36:5,6	deals 52:20	definite 204:18	delay 76:20
36:12,25 38:15	dealt 95:15	definitely 59:20	delayed 126:24
48:6,11,14	dear 265:14	84:3 114:24	delaying 76:19
51:6,11,12,13	debt 222:9	187:20 195:14	deliver 14:1
51:20,24 52:2	decade 256:2	201:13 203:4	demand 227:25
52:7 77:1,3,3	decades 6:19	204:24	230:22
78:24 79:1,12	203:18	definition 24:2	demonstrate
79:13,23 80:11		24:11 25:23	183:2 244:16

[demonstrates - directly]

demonstrates	desktop 174:9	detweiler 17:9	differently
47:20	despite 45:4	19:25	59:24 162:10
demonstrating	171:16	develop 85:24	difficult 219:17
195:17 245:22	destruction	251:22	difficulty
demonstration	10:11	developed	242:24
39:3 196:23	details 95:5	86:19	dig 216:8
demonstrative	103:17 166:21	development	digest 153:19
27:20,22 32:5	detected 266:6	157:6 177:16	dignity 19:7
47:14,15 55:16	deteriorate	198:1 245:7	diligence 16:5
55:23 56:4,5,6	109:10	devon 54:13,17	38:24 79:24
56:14 112:21	deteriorated	dial 14:8	267:7
deny 251:25	107:12	dialogue 40:14	direct 4:13,20
department	deteriorates	62:14 83:24	23:21 44:15
73:9	109:12	124:25 129:7	58:18 67:7
depend 50:24	deterioration	diede 52:14	71:12 80:9
168:5	109:14	diem 102:20	113:11 122:9
depending	deteriorations	103:1,13	123:2 124:19
91:17	109:14	difference	125:3,25 128:7
depends 61:6,8	determination	63:11 71:3	128:12,16
229:23	164:9,15	77:14 154:11	129:5 135:17
deposit 258:10	167:10 183:19	differences	186:7 191:11
dept 2:10	183:21,22	202:13	203:3 206:18
deputy 62:24	195:23 196:4	different 35:6	208:11,19,25
91:9 97:21	218:23 219:15	35:21,21 40:13	209:6 210:18
177:2 212:25	determinations	61:19 63:21	211:15,20
244:25	183:4	69:2 90:19	212:7 221:6
describe	determine	106:11 115:1	228:19 244:22
221:23	132:17 133:1	122:10 133:4,5	245:14 246:11
desecrate 11:25	136:22 153:7	150:15 162:17	direction
designed	157:20 166:4	177:14 185:1	113:18
127:11 242:10	242:10	194:2 202:25	directive 242:4
242:18 243:7	determined	203:7,9,25	directly 62:11
261:21	99:19	218:22 233:11	72:11 82:24
desire 17:8	determining	233:12 247:8	104:5 160:14
258:23	182:21	263:2	160:23 161:1

[directly - documentation]

			T
171:11 207:4	discussion	60:6,14 61:1,3	207:11 208:17
director 13:24	33:19 39:4	61:16,19 63:2	213:1 217:19
62:25 91:4,8,9	64:2 142:15	63:15,16,19	223:8 230:13
94:25 95:10	146:16,22	64:7 65:5 66:9	231:4,12 235:3
177:2 207:10	178:18 179:23	68:13 69:15,17	235:10 237:16
208:16 212:25	181:23,25	69:19,24 70:9	240:23 241:1
244:25 245:7	184:2 188:6	70:11 73:5	241:20 242:23
director's	189:6 202:10	74:15,23 75:9	243:2,17,24
16:20	discussions	75:22 76:6,17	244:4,6 245:1
disagree	132:12,13	76:23 78:9,16	245:5,6 250:8
151:24	179:25 193:2	81:14,16 82:9	division's 45:8
discern 147:24	193:12	82:21 85:14	45:12 51:10
disconnected	dismissing	86:3 87:17	66:4,15,17
53:15	252:12	89:14 90:9	72:16 80:2
discovered	disposal 81:14	91:6,13 93:7	82:8 91:3
254:17 256:1	disposition	100:13 101:7	102:20 122:10
discovery	274:14	101:21 103:3	123:13 131:10
254:24 255:8	disproportion	107:3,6,15	131:21 134:11
discrete 105:23	252:1	110:2 112:5	135:1 142:17
243:18	dispute 95:17	115:5 121:24	150:22 152:9
discretion	distinction	124:2,11	156:18 177:3,9
163:19 189:7	191:6	125:23 127:23	180:2 244:10
189:14 194:24	distributed	128:25 129:2	244:19 246:6
243:11,17	27:21	132:23,25	docket 156:18
273:7	disused 259:25	134:6 136:1,5	244:13,19
discuss 20:17	261:4	136:21 137:12	dockets 244:11
29:11 100:21	dive 27:5 101:2	138:9 139:20	doctor 249:11
122:1 204:11	diverse 242:19	141:8 144:6	doctors 11:10
discussed 29:22	diving 91:15	146:10 155:16	document
39:18 66:20	division 21:9	156:17,21,25	95:21 169:17
88:12 129:17	25:22 40:24	158:12 182:23	232:22
195:3 243:25	45:2,6,13	183:1 184:15	documentation
discussing	50:11 51:14,23	184:16,24	183:1 195:16
149:5 189:8	52:21 53:3	189:11 191:21	196:6
204:2	57:16 59:3,17	192:3 198:20	

[documents - earth]

documents	double 81:19	264:5,15	duty 117:22
87:18,21	213:25 216:2	driller 264:16	264:18
243:12	230:16		
		drilling 105:18 256:18 264:5	dv 107:25
doing 14:24	downhole 68:2		e
26:7 37:10	68:18,20,21	272:1	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1
60:4 67:6,16	69:2,4 84:17	drills 7:17	6:5,6,6 10:23
68:10,12 73:23	136:12 168:9	drink 13:8 19:4	10:23,23,23
74:6,7 83:11	196:17,18	257:18	13:18,18 21:4
85:19 86:14	downside 263:8	drinking 260:9	21:5 134:5
97:7,10 110:12	downtime	drive 1:6 2:10	230:25 252:21
111:1,4,21	111:1	106:3,8	254:5,6 257:5
112:2,11	downward	driven 98:16	257:5 259:15
115:23 118:11	231:9 232:11	105:15 111:6	265:7 268:5,5
119:18 121:21	downwell	driver 103:17	268:5,5,5
134:23 150:11	111:5	drivers 103:25	271:6
165:1,3 175:21	downwinders	dropped 15:13	e&p 31:13
183:12 194:6	260:15	202:1	175:23
198:17 209:15	dozens 18:20	dry 269:24	e.3 232:4
214:20 225:20	dr 1:22 202:9	due 32:11	earlier 24:24
258:25 262:25	draft 204:5	38:24 86:17	26:6 33:3 35:9
272:5,7	231:22	144:21 267:7	39:18 48:23
dollar 18:15	drafted 59:24	dueweke 262:8	51:4 116:11
151:15	80:3,5	264:24 267:20	127:4 143:8
dollars 7:14	drake 101:24	267:21,24	144:9 153:23
41:21 54:8,9	172:3	268:4,5,8,11,12	166:13 173:19
76:8 97:23	draw 41:17	270:16	175:20 202:14
119:14 249:25	44:24 139:20	duff 262:5,5,6	224:1 226:24
270:6	140:6 160:9,13	262:10,12,13	227:24 261:9
donna 17:9	160:17,22	262:17,17,21	early 21:2
19:25	drawn 140:21	262:24 264:23	110:13,14
doors 39:15	drew 102:5	duly 23:8	111:21 112:15
138:4	212:24	208:10	240:25
dorado 6:15	drill 18:20	duplicate 46:19	earnest 43:3
dots 46:25	249:17 250:10	duties 199:1	earth 13:2
	256:14 262:1		

[ease - enforcement]

ease 125:8	efficient 75:12	eleanor 10:22	103:20,23
232:21	234:25 242:22	elect 236:4	245:10
	242:22 243:15		
easier 123:18		238:13	employment 252:9
126:2 181:11	efficiently	elected 271:24	
east 2:4 105:22	33:13 168:4	electronically	empty 54:1
262:25 263:15	effort 6:23	194:13	enable 81:16
easy 34:4 35:10	51:14 171:2	elects 209:25	enabled 267:25
37:1	229:20 230:11	elementary	enables 21:2
economic 77:17	231:23 238:25	266:19	enact 261:21
78:5,5 165:21	efforts 64:15	elements 18:16	enchanted
166:20 196:10	192:9 229:19	eligible 198:10	268:14
196:11,12,15	236:17	198:13	enchantment
214:6	eight 53:16	eliminate	261:1
economics	74:23 77:9	210:24 244:15	encompassing
115:13 246:19	156:4	ellen 262:8	86:5
economy 270:5	eisenmenger	264:24 268:4	encourage
ecosystem	10:22,23 11:1	268:11	147:19 198:19
14:13	11:3	eloquently	252:11
ed 14:5	either 33:10	143:25	endangers
edits 207:12	45:8 52:7	emanating	257:17
education	59:22 63:4	266:16	endorse 128:9
265:16	65:7 71:19	emergency	128:11,14
educator	79:11 98:21	55:5	129:3
265:15	110:15 118:2	emerick 201:20	endorses
effect 35:17	120:22 147:5	emissions	128:25
129:10 130:1	159:6 162:20	178:1	energy 2:10
136:20 137:9	165:8 167:4,9	emitted 261:5	176:18
141:3 142:24	203:11 220:16	emnrd 15:7	enforce 81:5,16
217:23 263:9	223:24 272:15	60:19	263:17,17
effective 96:24	el 6:15	emnrd.nm.gov	enforcement
191:7 234:25	elaborate	2:12,13	24:18,19 27:11
effectively	100:23 101:1	employed	27:13 28:1
155:16	195:19 263:11	274:12	29:12,13 30:5
effects 214:7	elaborating	employees	30:7 31:2
	197:23	103:12,13,15	32:25 49:3,24

[enforcement - events]

74:1,5,7	ensure 12:25	environment	established
134:19,23	19:1,11 64:23	268:18	238:25
161:16 163:22	64:24 157:3	eog 3:10,15	establishing
175:14 179:7	243:17 258:24	eogresources	190:9
218:25 221:20	ensures 270:2	3:17	estate 24:24
222:1 223:10	enter 37:9	eor 85:9,14,16	estimate 70:12
223:12,19	164:14	85:18,25 165:2	258:16
224:3,14	entered 173:10	165:18 167:5	estimated
225:13	207:7	201:6 246:18	149:21
engage 62:23	entire 44:20	equal 36:2	estimates
64:9	80:11 120:3	106:7	258:18
engaged 61:21	137:5,5 139:2	equipment	et 169:3 177:16
202:18	139:3 157:1,6	25:8 26:14	ethical 19:8
engagement	186:19 221:13	256:11 266:25	258:21 269:13
61:21 62:9	entirety 221:16	equipped	ethics 269:10
engaging 11:23	226:20	100:13	evaluate 64:14
61:17 121:24	entities 24:15	equivalent	91:7 116:7,8
204:3	entitled 260:4	154:6,6 195:21	132:24 134:7
engineer 246:1	261:13	240:21	135:11
246:9	entrepreneur	erase 210:16	evaluated 72:1
engineering	262:24	error 209:4	119:13 137:12
139:7 165:20	entry 102:3	escaping 267:1	143:16
245:3,11,12	environment	especially 7:15	evaluating 30:6
246:1,9	7:24 10:11	9:4 10:10	136:19 153:15
engineers	187:1,11 188:3	18:11 70:18	evaluation 50:1
166:17	261:12 266:9	134:15 186:2	70:19 133:23
english 20:11	266:14	201:5,14 250:2	138:3 166:4
20:12 247:17	environmental	esq 1:24	189:21
247:17	2:3 9:11 15:2	essentially 59:3	event 21:7,17
enhanced	18:2 26:9,12	64:8 85:8	55:22 212:4
246:5,14	26:16 53:7	123:4 133:16	231:2 232:5
enjoying	101:13 162:6	194:22 199:1	235:5
268:15	177:11 221:4	establish 65:16	events 111:8
enormous 11:9	222:18 225:20	66:12	252:4
216:10 261:4			

[everybody's - expensive]

everybody's	examiners	excluding 35:1	56:19 57:16,19
34:25	159:4	40:18	59:1
evidence 96:4	examining	excuse 199:18	exist 7:14
112:22,22	213:11	206:3 234:3	existing 32:7
157:21 173:11	example 25:9	272:13	46:19,20,21
180:11	42:7 67:23	executive 16:19	82:4 119:10
evident 129:25	85:19 109:4	exhaustively	219:4 242:12
exact 78:15	122:11 165:19	205:12	expanded
80:24	200:2 220:1	exhibit 4:19,20	246:4
exactly 125:6	222:7,17	23:24,24 24:8	expect 7:22
145:7	examples 34:13	26:25 27:15	50:19 68:23
examination	52:17,20,22,24	29:15,16,24	99:8 108:8
4:8,8,9,9,10,10	66:11 68:22	30:9,21 32:3	109:18 153:11
4:11,11,12,13	101:23 172:20	33:22 34:13,24	258:16 271:23
4:14,14,15,15	exceed 156:4	34:25 47:14	expectation
4:16,16 23:10	197:12 238:1,4	48:23 49:2,17	99:7
52:14 58:9	238:7,14,16	55:20,24 56:13	expected 13:3
94:14 121:10	239:18,20	56:17,20 57:1	40:14 83:23
121:17 159:13	exceeding 46:8	57:17,20 62:12	129:6 132:11
160:1 162:2	exceeds 236:15	74:3 93:1	132:19 137:14
168:23 172:1	excel 34:19	96:15 98:4,23	143:8,12
181:5 199:20	41:15 174:4	100:5,9 101:23	153:13 182:13
208:11 212:12	175:21	115:4 124:2	183:10
212:18 214:17	excellent	128:18 130:11	expecting
217:16 220:25	214:21	131:17 133:4	37:25 62:21
228:17 229:10	exception 69:7	133:12 135:16	72:2 124:25
230:18	119:16 165:17	137:4 138:25	183:11
examinations	165:18	139:23 141:9	expedited
201:18	exceptions 7:20	141:10 152:9,9	126:25
examiner	189:7 195:3,8	152:11 173:6	expend 119:13
156:17 159:24	197:20	212:6	expending
206:14,14	exclude 49:6,14	exhibits 4:17	98:19,20
208:8 211:25	49:15 56:25	4:18 40:7,11	expensive 8:23
229:1 251:4	57:6,9 115:8	40:12 43:10	25:3
		55:16,17 56:4	

[experience - fair]

experience	exposures	external 245:2	119:4 146:9
35:11 54:14	261:18	extra 45:8	154:12 215:14
71:15 98:15,16	expound 26:5	130:21	219:9 241:19
144:14	express 65:22	extraction 13:6	261:15
experiences	expressly 65:25	269:2	factor 153:12
53:1 71:11	124:11 125:21	extraordinarily	238:11
expert 18:19	128:8 239:17	260:7	factors 220:5
expertise 9:10	extend 64:18	extreme 14:19	220:11 225:25
59:16 118:21	81:24 165:4	14:22 52:17,22	fail 80:3 129:11
experts 37:23	extended 120:1	53:4,8,19	155:16
expired 210:21	197:11,21	116:20 144:24	failed 143:19
211:2	extends 119:25	251:19	144:3,9 160:11
explain 27:24	191:14	extremely	failing 129:10
38:4 60:12	extension 64:13	178:21 217:22	143:18 144:24
113:10 152:7	65:1,1 156:6	219:6	145:9
197:1	156:16 157:14	extremist 14:7	fails 169:24
explained	158:4,14 159:1	extremists 14:7	170:1 203:10
100:24 129:5	163:17,18	16:2	failure 71:14
153:11 194:11	164:12,22	eye 266:6	72:2,3 80:17
243:6	165:10,17	eyes 249:10	187:16,18
explains 246:18	244:2,4,5	ezzell 201:19	188:4 203:14
explanation	extensions	\mathbf{f}	203:15,16
31:8 196:11	156:20 197:25	f 13:18 210:8	failures 71:16
explanatory	200:21 201:12	262:18,18	fair 8:16 18:8
40:8	244:13 246:13	fa 76:1 83:7	19:18 58:22
explicit 115:11	extensive	172:25 190:23	64:5 66:3
explore 39:23	139:12	facilitate 46:25	69:24 78:19
192:20	extensively	facilities 45:21	80:3 86:2
export 41:15	137:6 203:2	45:25 46:4,20	88:10 100:12
exported 41:6	extent 97:4	46:22 47:1	115:15 118:20
expose 7:1	120:11 142:2	facility 45:13	143:23 146:13
exposed 260:14	159:12	46:17 266:17	147:23 152:3
exposure	extenuating	fact 31:12	216:4 267:4
260:15	77:19	60:23 61:15	270:13
		100:19 114:21	

[fairly - finally]

	I	T	T
fairly 37:16,24	31:17 33:7,15	116:18,21	204:15 222:16
52:25 78:14	34:9 36:1	117:3,5	222:20,21
88:17 203:2	37:21 43:19	fee 99:2 235:4	228:3 240:13
216:13 265:24	45:16 51:25	235:13	files 38:3 55:11
268:22	62:1 67:15	feedback 63:12	filing 144:15,20
fairness 19:7	71:16,17 72:5	194:10	222:22
262:1 264:3	73:23 75:2	feel 11:22 12:1	filings 182:24
faith 17:25	87:2,20 92:17	12:8 159:4	194:2
18:13 263:16	96:22 100:23	193:20 196:7	filled 97:7
264:1	120:12 138:13	209:14	261:24
fall 18:22,23,25	143:13,16	feelings 14:4	filling 179:20
30:11 52:4	152:10 155:25	feels 69:25	filter 35:4,19
154:15,16	171:14 176:15	171:7	35:25 36:16,19
218:16 272:7	192:13	feet 54:22 68:2	48:1 141:9
falling 218:7	farm 224:25	266:19	174:5 175:15
falls 147:14	farmington	feibelman	175:17
falsely 219:21	102:22 106:4	13:16,17,18,22	filterability
falsifying 225:2	106:13	13:24	173:23
familiar 8:20	farther 106:3	feldewert 2:23	filterable
89:5 106:17	fashion 126:25	felicia 1:18 5:4	173:20 174:11
110:1,6 119:1	fast 22:5	fell 130:11	filtered 47:23
119:4,7 154:19	faster 111:12	field 9:3,11	filters 34:3,4,6
162:21 166:10	favor 14:1	71:23 106:2	35:5,5,6,7
167:22 197:8	259:23 265:20	fifth 148:9	48:12,22 92:24
246:5	fe 1:7 2:4,11,22	fight 255:19	94:2 174:12
families 16:5	3:16 6:16 8:24	figure 130:14	175:19
250:3 252:5,8	9:12 10:5	154:25	final 164:14
family 251:15	feature 250:25	figures 151:15	169:14 171:3
252:10 264:17	fed 104:21	file 174:7 231:3	233:1,13
265:18 271:18	federal 29:6	231:11 232:6	274:14
famous 11:7	43:12 44:2,4,4	filed 30:23	finalizes 25:22
far 10:15 18:22	49:4,6,9,14	60:15,24 63:6	finally 19:6
18:23,25 28:9	50:18,22 51:1	63:18 65:6	80:15 167:19
28:15 29:4,19	76:11 89:15	133:19 144:17	204:2
30:12,15 31:4	116:12,14,16	194:13 204:15	

[finance - foam]

finance 15:4	239:6,11,16,18	86:18 87:7	105:18 132:20
66:21 249:19	239:22 240:1,4	88:21 91:8,11	154:21 156:5
258:17	240:7,9,10	94:20 98:7	156:22 157:3
financial 12:7	241:9,11,11,12	100:11 115:23	166:2,3 187:25
14:25 15:23	241:14 242:1	117:10 126:3,8	187:25 197:24
24:6 25:6,10	242:13,25	133:18 150:3,7	200:4 201:15
25:13 41:21	254:21 259:24	153:1 156:22	223:24 224:21
42:12,15,18	268:22 269:1	166:2 169:16	238:11 243:19
50:14,15 59:13	financially	176:20 181:13	fix 8:23 9:2
65:12,16 70:1	267:2	182:20 183:9	45:1 255:20,22
73:16 74:11	find 9:2 28:4	186:13 189:10	263:25 264:5,9
82:10 96:16	45:5 51:24	197:24 200:9	fixed 57:1
99:18 116:11	67:15 80:15	201:15 204:5	254:22
123:5,14,22	91:21 104:7,13	207:23 208:10	fixes 60:18
124:6,13,16	252:9 269:5	229:15 236:2	fixing 250:5
125:13 126:10	fine 38:16 58:5	241:24 242:6	255:17
126:11 129:21	100:20 234:14	244:6,16,23	flash 14:20
135:13 137:9	234:16 248:9	247:14,20	fleshed 74:10
137:16 138:20	finish 21:2	248:11 251:6	flexibility
142:25 143:2,4	270:7	252:17,19	84:10,13 85:8
153:5 160:7,10	firefighting	254:4 255:25	197:16 198:14
160:18 161:1,4	260:7	256:18 257:3	199:7
169:3 173:1,6	fires 14:13	259:14 262:15	flip 81:7
173:11,16	firewall 229:13	265:6,7 268:2	floods 14:20
174:19 189:12	firm 156:13	268:4 271:3,5	floor 1:5
189:15 213:2	first 5:15,17	271:17 273:2,4	flow 53:11,23
231:3,8,10	6:3 8:5,6 9:22	firsthand	107:14 109:13
232:5,10 235:1	12:16 13:14	253:10	flowing 53:25
235:12 236:4,5	16:11 17:13	fiscal 172:9,17	69:9
236:7,11,13,21	23:8 32:16	fit 243:10	flu 199:19
236:23 237:1,3	36:1 43:15	247:25	fluid 53:11
237:9,24 238:1	45:20 46:10	five 7:21 21:1	69:8,12 71:21
238:3,6,10,14	49:1 56:5 57:6	41:20,25 84:4	107:1,5,7,10
238:15,17,21	58:3 59:20,21	84:4 86:18,19	foam 260:8
238:22 239:2,5	60:6 63:9 69:6	87:7 95:18	

[focus - function]

focus 97:10,12	forest 14:13	193:3 194:18	framework
122:5 235:6	forever 260:4	240:11 274:8	235:16,22
focused 59:13	forfeit 170:19	fortune 269:17	francis 1:6 2:10
229:21 246:11	forfeiting	forum 15:7,7	frank 13:18
focusing 185:3	172:25	forward 43:23	frankly 111:9
192:23	forfeiture	82:18 125:17	free 263:9
folks 17:7	42:24 169:3	153:19	freeze 54:4
37:23 202:22	forfeitures	found 29:23	fremont 253:2
234:15 272:22	41:20 43:6	45:24 62:5	253:4
272:24	83:7 95:11	67:3 106:23	fresh 249:14
follow 7:10	242:14	249:19 259:5	273:8
61:8 63:8	forgive 242:7	foundation	friday 21:10,24
64:22 81:9	forgot 228:13	48:20	27:21 53:2,20
109:23 118:24	form 41:6,7	four 6:19 39:1	53:20 163:2
202:19	45:13,17 46:17	71:1 91:19	205:24
following 34:19	46:18,18,19	103:13,20	friend 11:11
144:24 202:18	173:1	104:4 105:14	front 16:24
follows 23:9	formal 134:10	105:18 110:4	18:12 61:22
27:1 208:10	formation	110:10 223:24	63:17 64:10
foot 272:11	107:19,21	234:15 247:18	91:7 123:3,10
force 170:3	108:3,4,24	272:24	216:16
227:24 248:21	109:5,15	fourth 274:18	fuel 260:19
248:24 251:20	110:14 225:9	fox 2:5,5 4:10	fulfill 66:13
252:8 260:8	formations	56:1 151:17	full 13:4 27:10
forced 91:5	108:10 109:22	157:21 161:23	97:4 221:10
161:15 227:8	225:9	161:25 162:3,5	250:7 267:3
227:13	formed 209:23	168:16,19	269:1
forcing 129:20	former 9:12	220:22	fullest 167:14
153:4	25:25	frack 54:1,1	fully 19:12
foregoing	forms 45:7	fraction 249:18	87:23 149:25
274:9	252:9	frame 171:10	151:10 192:6
foreign 210:9	forth 63:13	183:13 188:21	192:11
foreknowledge	125:1 129:7	195:8	function
45:2	153:12 164:14	frames 171:6	155:11
	184:4 188:6	171:14	

[fund - giving]

0 7 40 00	2501125112	221 7 222 7	T .
fund 42:22	250:14 254:13	231:5 232:7	geographic
76:20 82:15	267:10,12	235:2,9 245:4	105:20,23
94:21 95:11,19	fy24 33:24	245:8 249:3,8	261:3
96:21,22,25	47:24 70:13	249:16 250:6	geology 108:7
115:22 116:22	g	253:8,20	108:11,13,14
140:18,19	g 2:22 9:24	257:14 259:25	geoscience
177:4,7	10:23 173:15	260:24 261:4,6	245:10
fundamental	174:11 175:25	261:15,17,23	germane 232:2
145:10 199:24	248:14 252:21	265:17,25	getting 11:14
fundamentally	gallegos 274:3	266:13 267:11	39:21 94:2
25:15	274:17	271:24 272:1	109:13 118:2
funded 258:9	gallup 257:10	gate 47:7,9	134:21 171:15
funding 43:24	gals 263:7	56:5	giant 9:4
76:13 255:20	gaming 258:7	gathered 6:14	giovanna 248:4
funds 25:13	gamut 93:9	gathering	248:13,20
76:11,20 235:3	garcia 35:9	119:5,11	girl 14:11
270:11	47:4,11 92:2	gauge 242:23	give 25:12 65:1
funny 159:5	93:21 113:8,12	geigy 11:8,18	65:25 71:8
further 24:17	114:1,5	general 60:20	95:5 117:4
31:7 40:5 45:5	garcia's 92:6	105:20 124:24	122:17 141:3
65:4 179:21	112:18 113:2	129:3 138:12	157:1 159:1
205:15 212:9	114:9	138:17 167:25	197:18 198:14
220:20 233:17	gas 3:2 14:15	215:19 230:12	200:2 244:20
236:17 244:12	15:16,18 18:20	238:7,10 264:1	248:7
244:18 257:19	35:14,20,23	generally 81:1	given 5:9 59:12
274:7,12	47:25 58:14	95:8 107:17	66:11 91:2
future 10:10	67:23,25 93:19	126:6 128:13	113:18 118:6
13:2,9 18:18	93:25 94:3	156:9 215:18	146:15 157:13
19:2,10,17,18	106:22 119:6,6	227:9	204:9 216:13
24:18 51:19	119:20 129:20	generational	gives 47:21
52:9 77:23	177:15,22,23	14:17	63:19 66:10
86:10 99:12	, ,	generations	149:14 189:13
108:2 166:5	178:1,5 206:24 206:25 207:1	13:2,9 16:25	giving 164:2
192:18 198:1		19:2 267:12	189:6 191:19
246:21 248:23	207:11 208:17 215:23 218:14		193:18 196:21

[giving - good]

243:10	195:2,15	47:13,24 48:8	182:8 185:13
glad 78:6	196:24 198:8	51:23,25 52:8	189:19 190:15
111:22 112:3	208:6 209:2	54:6,7 56:12	190:19,20,20
global 15:19	226:15 234:9	62:7 65:8	191:6 193:3,5
go 6:12 9:19	234:14 244:3,4	67:24 68:1,21	193:8,8,14
10:20 11:2	244:6 248:18	69:1,10 70:5,6	195:20,22
12:22 16:17	251:13 252:25	70:18 73:17,25	198:17 199:12
17:6,11,19	253:24 254:10	82:15,18 85:22	202:8 203:21
24:11 25:2,13	255:7,16	86:11 89:2	203:25 224:3
28:10 30:6	256:24 262:5	92:23 93:20	226:9,21
31:1 32:9 33:6	262:14 264:24	100:5,17	229:15 234:13
35:4,12,18,20	265:2 267:20	104:18 109:23	244:2 249:13
35:24 37:4,6,7	goal 33:8	110:21 112:14	256:24 260:25
37:7,8,9,13	111:14 132:6	122:5,12 125:3	263:10 264:10
38:22 39:23	goals 180:18	125:8,16,17,17	good 5:3 9:17
41:4 58:3 60:9	god 257:9	125:24 130:18	9:20,21 12:15
73:18,20 75:13	goes 47:18	130:21 131:2,8	13:16 17:20
76:12 84:19	86:24 136:1,5	131:12 136:25	23:12,13 37:11
98:5 101:22	142:24 189:25	138:5 140:16	58:11,12 85:1
102:12 103:4	190:3 201:14	140:18,20	85:2 86:13,19
104:6 105:1	210:11 222:9	141:8 142:2,14	94:16 110:23
115:19 123:19	260:23 264:6	142:23 146:4	112:14 119:21
125:6,25 127:7	going 9:9 20:19	146:11,18	121:19,22
128:15 146:10	21:16 23:21	147:6,9,25	122:21 125:19
147:12,17	24:3 25:17	148:1 149:25	152:8 160:3
149:3,12 151:2	26:7,20 27:11	151:11 152:11	162:4 163:16
154:12 155:7	28:20,25 30:19	153:17,18	164:11 171:22
156:16,20	35:7,12,19,20	154:3 155:22	179:16 180:19
162:20 170:3	35:22,24,25,25	155:23 157:8	197:23 198:4
170:14,16	36:2,6,16,17,17	159:13 163:4,9	205:2,4 206:14
176:20 185:1	36:18,21 37:2	164:13 168:2	211:6 212:20
186:6 188:18	37:3,3,6,7,7,8,9	168:10,11,12	214:19 217:18
189:2,5,24	37:9,12,13	173:5 174:7	221:2 222:23
190:2,3,13	38:1,8,10 39:9	176:25 178:7	222:25,25
193:1 194:8	41:12 44:15,18	178:11 180:16	225:19 226:1

[good - hate]

			1
248:19 250:4	great 11:23	132:1 152:13	248:3,7 270:23
251:3 253:4	42:7 57:11	190:17 214:24	270:24
254:11 263:24	82:19 85:19	216:1 230:5	handedly
263:25 270:14	190:8 211:3	258:17	251:24
gorman 9:20	223:3 225:8	guessing 30:3	handful 160:7
9:23,23 10:2,4	228:5,7 230:10	227:14,16	handle 156:21
10:19	263:18 266:23	guesswork	hands 226:18
gosh 15:1	271:18 273:11	165:22	happen 43:18
gotten 164:15	greater 28:15	guidance 87:18	43:19 132:13
governed	33:15 34:9	87:21 88:8,14	138:13 168:15
209:25	36:2,21 48:3	107:6,20 110:2	179:1
governing	84:10,13	111:22,23	happened 9:3,7
235:1 243:15	green 126:19	112:1,4 167:10	53:19 163:23
government	gregory 1:21	195:6	253:16
116:23 263:19	grew 11:6 14:9	gut 153:22	happening
264:7	ground 14:18	guys 263:7	11:14
governor 94:25	266:23	264:10	happens
gr 248:3	groundwater	h	144:14 170:13
grades 15:16	109:19,20,21	h 2:23 6:6 9:24	happy 83:7
graduated 14:9	109:22	12:18,18 175:1	159:9 248:10
grande 13:25	group 42:3	175:25 251:8	hard 104:9
grandmother	53:7,7,8,12	252:20,21	178:23 202:22
271:16,17,18	61:17 194:1	254:5 257:5	227:7 253:10
grandparents	groups 14:6	259:15	harm 13:1
14:2	15:2 26:12	haley 254:3,5	18:10 268:23
grant 116:25	245:11	254:12	harmed 269:8
117:1 163:17	grow 250:17	half 50:21 51:2	harmful 249:5
163:18 164:12	251:22	51:3 52:22,25	harrington
235:8	growth 143:16	112:5 129:19	252:15,16,19
1 45400	I .	114.5 147.17	252:20,24
graph 154:20	guess 10:7 59:3	130.2	232.20,24
graph 154:20 graphic 50:5	guess 10:7 59:3 68:8,12 79:18	130:2 hall 1:5	252:20,24
		hall 1:5	· ·
graphic 50:5	68:8,12 79:18	hall 1:5 hand 20:2,6,7	253:1,2,23
graphic 50:5 grateful 243:3	68:8,12 79:18 80:1,1 81:13	hall 1:5 hand 20:2,6,7 68:10,11	253:1,2,23 hart 2:21
graphic 50:5 grateful 243:3 gravity 61:9	68:8,12 79:18 80:1,1 81:13 88:16 89:5	hall 1:5 hand 20:2,6,7	253:1,2,23 hart 2:21 hate 82:7

[headaches - hiding]

headaches	13:23 15:4,24	206:10,13,14	273:6,11 274:4
249:10	16:8,14,17	207:15,18,22	hearings 32:9
heading 143:5	17:2,12,16,19	208:2,5,7	156:15 244:11
health 11:9	19:23 20:3,18	211:24 212:3	heat 249:9
18:17 249:15	21:3,16,25	212:13,17	heavily 59:13
250:13 256:10	22:3,7,9,11,13	214:12,16	heavy 89:9
257:17 260:9	22:15,18,22	216:21 217:5	267:13
263:9 265:22	23:17,19 24:10	217:10 220:21	heed 256:4
267:13	27:8,20 31:25	220:23 226:6	heidi 259:10,15
healthy 248:23	34:11,15 39:25	228:10,15,16	held 57:24
250:17	48:24 55:13,13	229:1,2,7,14	121:6 138:16
hear 6:25 57:15	55:21 56:1,21	230:24 232:20	191:16 206:9
60:12 62:4,22	57:9,11,21,25	232:24 233:7	225:8 236:8
62:22 85:6	58:4,5,7 62:20	233:10,20,25	238:18 240:5
86:21 114:12	82:20 83:5,9	234:3,6,12,18	241:15
165:8,13 233:4	83:12,13 84:19	234:19 244:3,6	hello 17:12
250:22 255:4	87:5 91:19	244:17,19	94:17 207:18
255:10,13	94:5,10,12	247:2,10 248:9	251:5 254:3
257:1 265:1	112:12,13	248:15,18	265:5
267:21	121:3,8,15	250:19 251:5	help 15:8 19:14
heard 1:17 6:24	122:5,15,18	251:10,13	19:17 54:16
10:14 11:18	148:10 151:20	252:13,22,25	67:18 82:4,15
77:16 111:9	154:21 156:17	253:22 254:7	83:6 107:14
139:6 141:13	156:20,24,25	254:10 255:2,5	227:20 255:22
143:7 166:22	157:5,9,11,24	255:11,14	262:9
179:23 226:24	158:21 159:3	256:21 257:2,6	helpful 131:16
230:17 247:9	159:11,18,24	257:9 259:8,13	159:9 200:17
266:17 269:23	161:22,25	259:17,20	233:10,15
hearing 1:1,18	168:19,22	262:3,12,14,19	helping 54:20
5:3,4,5,6 6:7,12	173:4,8,13	262:22 264:23	helps 62:9
8:1,8,11,14	178:7,8,15	265:5,9,12	253:20
9:18,21,25	179:10 180:23	267:18,22	hi 259:12
10:3,18,24	180:25 191:11	268:1,6,9	hiding 193:17
11:2 12:12,19	199:15 205:18	270:15 271:7	193:20,24
12:22 13:13,20	205:21 206:2,7	271:10 272:20	

[high - ignore]

high 14:10	hiking 268:16	holland 2:21	266:19
35:15 36:1	hill 29:22,23,25	hollandhart.c	hundreds
53:23 70:2	85:18	2:23,24,25	18:21 45:24
78:17 83:16	hills 266:20	home 18:3	54:8 63:20
90:6 93:6	hinkle 2:15	19:16 249:10	71:13 97:22
96:11 120:5	212:21	252:5 271:20	249:4 261:6
133:15 141:2	hinklelawfir	homes 14:17	266:21
146:9 186:24	2:17,18	honest 54:17	hurt 11:24 14:5
187:10,14	hiring 97:4	133:24	hypothetical
188:2,2 196:21	267:7	honestly	109:4
196:23 256:5	historical 37:22	132:11	hypothetically
258:8 260:7	historically	honor 217:8	109:7
higher 31:20	25:6 38:19	hook 258:12	hypotheticals
48:8 51:2	93:6 191:16,21	hope 21:1	185:16
67:13 68:13	history 10:9	52:23 63:16	i
77:9 108:1	11:4,4 37:15	76:4 117:12	idea 38:9 71:8
126:7 127:17	127:19 182:24	141:5 253:20	ideas 64:7
127:18	184:19 237:19	264:10,20	identified 75:9
highest 15:11	242:13	272:2	75:21 85:23
260:4	hit 54:18	hoping 62:22	91:18 125:23
highlight 176:6	113:11 145:2	99:12 124:25	126:9,19
highlighted	203:2	141:1 232:13	131:23 143:19
196:25	hj 254:3	hot 249:7	155:3,12
highlighting	hmm 98:13	hours 105:14	157:11 186:16
100:8,10,12	133:17	105:18,18	identifies 75:3
125:10	hold 84:24	110:4,9,10	154:18
highlights	118:20 159:9	house 11:12	identify 69:5
246:22	220:2,2,15,18	huge 222:18	71:23 116:5
highly 9:13	245:18,19	258:4,12 266:3	125:21 127:4
104:20 269:12	holders 192:1	human 264:8	128:8 153:19
highway 10:6	holding 9:6	humans 7:2	155:17 158:8
105:22 256:2	39:22 220:13	hunches 165:22	174:19,23
highways	holistically	hundred 7:11	idle 260:24
254:18	225:21	53:9 76:8	ignore 256:5
		154:19 250:11	

[ignored - including]

ignored 236:1	131:8 142:3,5	253:9,15	218:8,10,16
illness 11:24	147:1 148:19	257:20	225:23 236:12
illuminating	149:14	imposed	237:8 239:10
58:18	impacts 18:10	138:20	241:7,9,10
illustration	133:23 134:12	imposing	inactivity 33:21
179:16	135:12 147:9	236:24	inadequacies
image 38:5	177:15 246:13	impression	102:17
image 38:5	267:13	11:17,21	inappropriate
imagine 64:22	impairing	improper	244:18
200:2 226:19	246:24	236:21	incapable 7:5
immeasurable	impatience	improve	incentive
19:18	263:18	127:16	147:18,23
immediately	imperative	improved	incentivizes
242:2 268:14	153:8	242:2 245:22	183:22
imminent	implement	impunity	incentivizing
155:12	167:18 185:7	263:19,19,22	147:12
impact 15:20	193:5 195:4,20	264:14	include 29:6
68:17,17 130:8	195:22 197:18	inaccurate	40:19 49:4,6
131:18,22	199:7	114:22	56:4,25 57:8
132:17 133:1	implementati	inaccurately	78:24 93:9,10
134:7 136:22	147:2 190:23	73:5	93:11 101:6
137:8 138:18	implemented	inactive 33:18	115:8 141:18
140:16 141:14	142:10 188:16	36:20 39:19	141:20 166:10
146:18 147:25	implementing	40:18,19,20	196:14 210:12
148:15,22	136:25	41:11 50:23	245:9
149:19,21	implication	53:16 79:7,10	included 28:15
151:2,7,16,23	78:3	79:19,24 80:6	31:12 103:19
151:23 152:1	implications	93:12 97:5	103:20 115:15
152:21,24	169:2	124:15,17	includes 27:2
153:10 154:2,4	importance	130:4 132:9,9	139:2,3 182:16
187:4 190:14	16:23	141:18 150:4,7	210:22 225:19
190:19 216:3	important	162:19 169:10	260:23
219:9 266:13	11:21 12:8,24	169:18 174:21	including 40:20
impacted 56:14	13:5 63:6	198:9 210:23	51:11,13 114:9
93:2 130:19	184:20 191:6	211:1,8 217:23	134:12 135:2

[including - information's]

		I	I
139:18 142:17	increases	176:10,22	253:21 261:15
211:16,21	235:22	187:9,16 202:3	269:12,25
239:13 241:21	increasing	215:8,16	270:5,8 271:24
245:3,12	145:23 151:4,5	individually	272:4,7,15
252:10 272:6	152:20	97:24	industry's 71:6
272:25	incredibly	indoors 249:11	ineligible 199:3
inclusion	268:17	industrial	inevitable
125:12 128:19	increment	266:24	257:24
inclusive	189:18	industries 13:3	inflated 72:17
209:16 210:15	increments	70:17 72:9	influx 203:24
210:16 212:10	243:19	industry 7:17	203:24
income 15:17	incur 130:23	12:25 18:8	information
95:10	168:10	30:24 33:24	23:23 34:8
inconsistencies	incurred	35:16 40:15	37:13 41:13
179:11	102:21	54:12,16,19	42:18 43:2
inconsistent	independent	58:19 59:16	44:11 46:9
114:6	2:14 251:17	60:2 61:5,13	63:25 83:2
incorporate	264:16	61:14,15,20,25	88:22,23,25
142:10	index 189:25	62:2,4,22	95:15 96:8,20
incorporated	190:3	63:14 64:9	105:2 114:24
131:18	indicated 17:8	69:14,25 70:5	114:25 115:1
incorporates	173:20	71:13 72:8	135:15 137:16
154:13	indicates 23:25	78:12 83:23	137:18,20
incorrect	25:19 106:25	84:19 88:15	138:14,15,16
114:19	indicating	90:9 96:23	159:13 164:15
increase 126:4	110:2	97:1 100:3	165:21 174:3
135:21 141:6	indication	110:9 111:11	183:20 193:13
147:10 148:1	25:18	112:10 114:14	193:15,16,17
189:14 190:1	indicator 143:6	129:7 137:14	193:19,20,22
236:11 241:9	individual 7:3	138:20,23	193:24 213:20
271:25	14:1 32:25	166:6 167:20	227:20 242:23
increased 99:9	34:7 42:9	190:10 194:10	243:9,20
150:6 236:6	50:14 107:21	194:16,19	information's
238:9 241:14	108:4 137:20	202:16 212:23	140:9
242:1 264:21	155:17 176:2	245:4 252:7	

[infrared - ipanm]

infrared 266:7	install 119:15	237:18	interrupted
infrastructure	instance 31:13	intention	273:8
9:1 13:5 119:9	96:15 98:22	131:10 226:11	interruptions
165:3 182:19	118:10,21	intentionally	256:22
inherently 88:8	119:17	39:7 131:4	intersection
inherit 19:2	instances 51:17	intentions	254:18
inhibitor	institution	171:23	interval 157:3
203:20	25:13 42:13,15	interacting	intervene
initial 61:7,8,24	73:16	62:11	158:14 196:25
120:18 156:5	institutions	interaction	197:5 244:7,17
216:14 243:23	263:16 264:2	191:20	inventory
244:2	instruct 114:5	interest 46:8	246:19
initialed 46:16	instructed	118:6,10,13,16	investigated
initially 60:2	113:8	190:15,21	52:8
60:10	instructions	191:15,22	invisible 266:5
injection	34:19	192:1,4,7,15,18	invite 232:25
182:16,24	instructs	197:6 219:10	247:16
246:17	238:12	274:14	invoice 101:24
injectors	insufficient	interested	103:9 104:14
246:20	127:19 200:16	196:25 244:7	104:17,17
input 51:9 61:4	intact 185:22	244:16	invoices 42:19
inquire 45:1	integral 59:14	interfaith	101:21 103:8
inquiry 98:16	integrity 18:17	17:22 266:12	172:3,12,14,17
insert 210:24	84:16 85:16	interject 191:9	involve 10:10
inside 107:22	120:22	internally 75:9	involved
107:24 108:21	intend 195:20	interpret 66:7	145:25 170:23
110:17	intended 33:25	interpretation	191:22
insolvent	39:11 113:24	66:4,16,18	involvement
222:16 235:5	114:11 182:15	88:18 247:16	62:11
inspection 26:8	202:19 235:17	253:19	involves 246:15
26:16	235:18	interpreter	ipanm 20:19,22
inspectors	intends 93:16	20:10 247:4,11	21:12 22:1
267:8	intent 39:5	247:15 248:8	29:11 114:20
inspects 25:22	114:8 131:21	248:10	135:7 204:3
	164:2 198:15		212:22

[ipanm's - kind]

ipanm's 201:18	194:20 204:11	john 47:4,11	kansas 101:9
205:1	219:24 244:24	joined 245:5	101:11
irresponsibility	260:9	joining 245:6	kcl 110:11
9:6	issuing 115:19	joint 118:22	keep 5:20 48:16
irresponsible	it'd 191:19	jones 254:3,5,5	51:22 62:20
269:8	it'll 119:24	254:9,11,12	88:6 157:8
ish 94:23	168:5 176:6	255:7,8,16,17	185:22 247:23
isolated 109:15	item 186:14	256:21	249:11
issue 15:4	items 66:12	jordan 3:16,17	keeping 84:16
37:17 38:4	194:10 197:23	jparrot 3:7	134:2 151:3
55:5 74:9	iveness 263:5	juan 101:9,17	196:2
80:17 112:17	i	112:7,8 155:1	kelli 274:3,17
119:25 120:9	j 2:17 254:6	judgment 45:8	kelly 245:24
120:22,23	james 3:6,7	juice 97:19	kept 21:14
152:13 170:9	january 110:1	july 41:19	202:20
172:16 179:19	189:10,11	jump 82:7	kessler 3:16,17
196:18,18	191:8	june 101:25	kick 120:14
200:25 207:9	jennifer 3:12	104:14,16,19	kids 14:20 16:5
219:22 222:7	3:13	jurisdiction	kind 30:18 32:1
228:20 246:12	jersey 11:7,11	43:12,16,17	35:12 37:2
255:22 264:8	jesse 2:11 56:24	justice 18:2	40:15 42:20
272:14	217:18	262:1 264:4	43:20 44:2
issued 88:14	jessek.tremaine	justification	58:19,20 61:3
110:2 112:1,3	2:12	52:10	67:16 72:5,7
222:5	jet 260:19	justify 67:8	72:13 78:20
issues 8:21	jim 14:5	179:4	80:17 82:2,8
30:17 38:8	jmartin 3:6	justifying	87:5 89:10
53:5,24 60:5	job 61:4 116:5	103:9	91:25 103:25
69:21 80:13	116:6,7,9	k	104:21 108:1
81:3 84:18	134:3 170:22	k 2:11 6:6	119:12 129:10
90:25 100:14	177:4 190:8	208:1 251:9,9	130:11 132:4
119:11 122:1	197:23 269:1	251:9 259:16	133:22 134:6
127:19 133:8,9	270:7	265:15 268:5	135:11 136:13
136:13 168:10	jobs 68:4	kaitlyn 3:8	136:21 137:23
192:10,16		_	142:18 165:24

[kind - lands]

178:19 184:19	123:3 125:5	269:19	132:11 160:5,9
186:4 189:7	126:24 128:11	knowing	160:12,13,15
192:10 197:7	130:17 134:4,4	158:15	160:15,17,20
205:14 216:2	137:7,16,17	knowledge	160:22,25
223:10 233:1	140:13 142:23	77:4 160:16	161:3,7,9,11,15
kinds 25:8	142:25,25	176:11 211:18	191:25 200:19
69:21 91:5	144:5,6,8,12	213:7 230:22	200:21 201:1
191:15 192:2	145:7 146:9,11	known 35:15	201:10,11
203:21	147:8 148:13	38:19 119:25	206:11,17,21
kluck 3:8	149:24 151:9	krakauskas	206:22,23,25
know 8:13,21	151:10 152:5	251:2,7,8,12,14	207:2,3,4,6,12
11:13,15 12:5	152:16 154:1	252:14	208:15,17
18:19 32:3	158:2,10,23	kraskauskas	211:5 213:9,11
52:23 54:22,23	159:7,9,19	251:3	214:4,5,25
56:8 59:17	163:13,18	kyle 2:6 221:2	215:7,19,21
60:9,10,17	178:17 183:19	l	216:8 218:21
61:20,24 62:12	184:4 187:3,5	1 3:12 6:5,6	218:24 219:23
62:23,24 63:4	189:6 190:14	12:18 13:17,17	220:6,6 221:19
63:21 64:13,14	190:19 191:4	13:18 17:14	222:1 223:25
64:18,20,22,25	191:25 192:9	207:25,25	227:14 228:22
65:5 66:17	192:12 193:2,5	254:5 257:5	229:13,15,19
67:24 68:12	193:24 194:1	262:17,17	229:20 230:18
69:9 74:14,24	194:15 199:11	265:7,7 268:5	230:21,25
75:1,1 77:8	200:20 201:10	268:5 271:5,6	231:13,15,17
81:5,14,22	204:3 205:7	1.1.1.p. 210:4	231:22 232:14
83:3 85:15,17	208:13 212:20	l.p. 210:4	232:18,22
85:25 86:9,11	213:15,17	label 55:17	233:17 250:7
87:20 89:12,14	215:24,25	lacking 25:24	257:16,20
94:20,24 95:7	216:6 219:12	laden 107:1,5,7	259:5 260:25
97:21 101:11	224:21,23,25	107:10	261:1,14
103:17,18,19	226:16 227:15	laid 157:6	265:18
104:20,23,25	227:22 228:2	land 8:22 10:11	lands 19:12
106:9,13	230:16 232:20	13:1,9 14:12	117:3,5 160:5
118:15 119:7	237:19 254:16	15:6 18:11,14	188:10 206:16
119:10 120:10	263:7,7,8	49:6 118:14	208:21 235:4
		I .	

[lands - liability]

227.12	1 1 107.04	222 6 222 4	1 44 4 1
235:13	leading 165:24	222:6 223:4	legitimately
landscape	leak 203:23	226:17,18	180:8,22
256:10	250:1 256:8	leave 87:1	185:23
language 59:7	leaker 205:22	90:13 98:10	lessee 222:8,13
59:9 63:11	leaking 67:23	109:11 136:16	222:19 224:3,5
65:22 66:18	108:2 178:2	187:23 194:24	224:7,9,24
142:9 165:23	266:23	203:15 259:4	225:6,18 226:6
191:5 235:17	leaks 272:11	leaves 258:12	226:6,13
237:17	learn 266:2,13	leaving 254:24	lessees 201:1,9
laptop 34:18	learned 14:12	255:9 264:6	215:21 222:3
large 23:2 61:9	14:14	led 225:3	223:1,2 228:4
61:10 242:19	learns 272:15	left 9:6 89:2	lesson 256:4
246:19	lease 39:22	97:5 136:18	letter 219:20
larger 176:12	192:1 201:7	156:1 167:1,6	letters 219:16
laughter	211:6 214:25	202:23 222:15	227:25
266:17	215:15 216:7	legal 42:3 73:2	letting 122:21
lauren 52:14	218:24 219:7	73:9 89:9	122:23
law 2:3 162:6	219:13 220:9	194:1 274:17	level 14:18 15:9
202:19,19	220:10,13,15	legalese 90:5	72:11 90:6
210:17 221:4	220:16,16,18	legislative 15:3	96:11 109:20
laws 89:7,15	222:7,12 223:8	60:18 66:20	132:13 133:15
263:17 267:10	223:16 224:8	235:8 242:4	137:13 180:6
lawsuit 222:22	224:11 225:1,7	249:19 255:19	196:21,23
lawsuits 118:15	225:7,14,18,19	258:17	levels 231:1
lawyer 66:6	225:21,25	legislature 8:25	260:4,7
90:7 162:5	226:4,5,12,15	15:5 95:1	lfc 67:1 72:14
lawyer's	229:21,24	235:18 237:21	72:15 77:5
111:19	231:5 232:7	238:9,24	93:14 97:16
lawyers 87:2	245:19	239:15,19,22	100:6,22 116:2
269:17	leases 206:24	240:3	124:1,2 154:9
lay 157:2	207:1,3 213:24	legislature's	180:16 189:22
lead 121:25	214:3,4 215:8	237:18	liability 15:23
leaders 19:15	215:20,23	legitimate	209:17 210:1,2
leadership	217:21 218:3	270:10	210:3,10,13
19:22 245:4	219:13 222:4,4		224:10 258:18

[life - look]

	Т.		1
life 37:24 38:13	154:9 175:9,18	listen 19:15	Illp 210:4
126:6,16 186:3	189:10 192:23	216:18	llp 2:15,21
194:25 254:16	192:23 195:12	listened 15:3	local 12:2
lifelong 11:4	198:8 205:6	listening 58:25	173:25 174:8
lifetime 12:9	232:23 233:1	166:22 178:8	252:4
180:13	250:10 256:14	213:12 259:6	located 265:17
light 17:23	262:11 269:19	listing 104:3	location 266:20
20:20 227:20	lined 155:24	lists 28:1 32:1,5	locked 115:25
266:12	lines 18:12	40:6,17 41:1	loco 266:20
likely 7:6 54:7	52:16 143:10	209:15	log 122:16
98:10 117:8	164:20 170:5	little 15:13	logarithmic
143:15 145:2	197:11 205:14	28:20 37:19	154:14
224:17	list 20:20 21:1	40:5,7 51:21	logically 7:10
limit 85:17	24:11,12 27:2	61:18 95:25	logs 84:14
238:4	27:9,16,18,25	99:5 101:2	long 8:15,19
limited 81:15	28:2,3,6,8,11	153:23 184:5	37:15 57:15
91:3 165:18,19	28:22 31:16	185:9 195:19	60:8 82:16
169:1 182:16	32:2,22 33:25	197:1 202:14	84:20,20,20
207:10,12	33:25 39:2,19	210:14 221:24	85:4 120:6,20
209:15,17,17	40:19,20 41:11	229:18 245:18	137:17 156:13
209:18,22,24	41:13 66:12	live 13:8 37:10	157:15 164:13
210:1,1,2,2,3,3	79:7 129:14	134:1 253:14	186:8,16 201:4
210:9,9,10,11	130:3,25 132:9	253:17 261:14	261:18
210:13,13	139:24 143:11	262:25	longer 23:25
228:15 240:3	144:10,11,12	lived 253:2	71:7 88:5 89:1
limits 156:3	147:15 150:4	254:15 257:10	136:10 147:21
235:14 241:6	164:4 174:18	livelihoods	163:12 164:3
lincoln 3:15	187:12 209:16	260:11 261:10	167:2 201:15
line 23:22	218:17 260:23	lives 18:16	222:14 257:25
25:17 26:1,22	260:23	19:19 260:3	266:1
40:5 41:18	listed 24:3	261:10	longtime 10:5
43:9 44:16,25	26:25 27:15	living 10:5	look 15:9,14
47:16 66:14	47:25 53:16	18:12 253:4,5	28:1 29:14,20
110:15 123:2	98:23 173:17	260:5 267:11	31:16 32:15
131:6 143:14			35:8 36:17

[look - ma'am]

	1011010	1-0 1- 0-1	• 10.15
37:2 42:8	134:18,18	179:15 271:1	love 19:16
44:13 46:24	137:7 139:1,1	loophole	81:19 249:7
49:12 51:23	150:9 154:10	165:24	low 39:8 77:10
52:11 62:3	157:15 182:1	loopholes 258:7	77:11 97:18
67:14 70:4	looking 24:18	lora 271:5	162:12 178:21
71:20 75:2,3	26:10 27:14	los 253:6	179:17 180:13
76:14 79:2	28:17 29:12	260:19	217:22 218:3,6
84:4 85:15	30:9,13,19	lose 14:19	219:6 249:21
87:3 90:10	31:4 33:15	loss 263:16	258:5,16
91:16 92:23	38:18 40:4	270:8	lower 50:20
102:12 103:22	49:20 50:25	losses 260:10	145:15 153:9
104:6,24,25	52:3 55:11	lost 90:6	154:3 155:7
113:14 132:7	60:3 62:13	146:22 147:1	225:8 245:2
133:24 137:6	77:24 79:3	lot 8:20 26:9	lowest 15:11
140:1 149:12	84:6 86:8 87:6	31:19,21 37:23	30:3
151:2 154:14	90:3 91:13	42:7 62:18	lp 210:5
154:16,25	92:21 95:3	67:19 68:22,23	lucero 271:1,5
171:8 174:12	103:16 110:13	73:13 75:5,7	271:6,9,12
174:23 175:12	116:16 117:24	84:22 91:1	272:20,25
175:24,25	133:13 134:24	118:14 134:22	luck 3:8
184:24,25	146:16 153:21	136:8 167:13	lucrative
189:25 191:23	153:24,25	167:19 178:17	150:19
191:25 192:13	165:14 166:24	178:18 179:23	ludicrous 46:11
194:23 200:13	175:6 179:21	181:22,24,24	lump 75:13
200:14 202:2	180:18,18	183:8,25 189:6	97:3
204:10 211:7	186:2 194:18	193:2 195:3	lunas 253:6
220:15	201:15 202:16	203:13,25	lunch 121:5,6,9
looked 25:1	203:5 204:23	205:11 209:13	lung 15:15
29:20 54:24	211:6 213:20	220:11 222:22	m
55:8 63:12	214:2 215:7,16	222:23,24	
67:4 86:20	215:19 216:10	223:1,5 224:17	m 2:24 9:24,24 10:23 13:17,19
87:3 96:1	225:20 242:12	228:5 258:3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
114:25 116:2	looks 6:22 29:4	lots 5:22 35:6	17:15 208:1
128:12 133:6,7	30:6 37:16,19	162:17 171:22	251:8
133:11,12	85:14 122:22	185:1	ma'am 12:13
·			252:24

[machinations - marginally]

machinations	maintain 180:3	257:17 264:4	manual 166:17
264:7	maintenance	272:2	mar 256:10
madam 20:18	182:18	making 5:17	marathon
22:22 23:17	major 133:8	10:9,15,16	245:7
27:20 34:11	145:9 254:18	30:12 39:17	marginal 76:23
39:25 55:12,13	majority 7:15	41:20 51:15	78:2,10 92:3
56:1 58:3,7	make 10:8 19:8	64:24 70:4	92:14,17 113:3
83:5,11 94:12	39:16 46:7	84:6 85:3	115:3,13
112:11 121:15	52:11 57:2	86:16 88:24	123:14,22
122:15 159:24	59:1 63:7,8	91:12 132:18	124:5,14,17
168:22 173:4	67:21 71:24	167:10 183:3	125:17 126:10
180:23 206:13	72:13 73:18	183:21 196:13	126:12 128:9
206:14 208:7	75:18 85:24	205:4	128:21 129:3
211:24 212:16	88:21 91:25	man 60:8	129:17,21
214:16 220:23	97:12,25	manage 177:4	134:8,16,16
228:16 229:1	102:16 110:22	management	135:3,4 140:14
232:20 233:10	118:12 137:2	8:20 161:10	140:15,21
234:6,18,18	150:25 151:1,1	182:18 184:4	141:22,24
251:3 273:6	156:19 159:7	232:22	142:12,15,16
made 6:23	166:4 178:23	manager 246:2	142:21 143:20
11:17,19,21	190:25 191:10	manages	145:23 146:11
59:21 60:24	196:6,22 201:6	206:22 245:1	151:4 154:1,5
63:6,20 64:1	208:24 209:3	managing	155:8,9 162:10
65:2 124:1	209:10 214:3	233:11	162:16 178:12
138:2 144:17	222:3,5,5,8	mandated	179:23 185:19
145:8 164:20	225:18,22,25	153:3	186:17 187:2,6
165:6 171:1	228:4 230:23	mandates	201:21,25
183:19,21	231:18 235:3	235:25	236:14,15,24
194:8 204:23	258:23 264:8	mandatory	237:6,7,10,13
204:25 212:11	267:8 270:11	243:8	239:3,4,8,9,12
214:5 253:4	makes 7:8	manner 106:21	239:14,21
mail 21:5	25:15 42:11	113:4,5 114:5	240:15 241:5
mails 21:4	73:14,22 74:18	114:10 196:8	245:18,20
134:5	78:3 80:19	258:22	marginally
	84:21 167:13		258:8

[mark - mexico]

mark 56:14	maxwell	29:13 40:14	201:17 227:24
230:15	159:15,17	medium 15:9	229:20
mark's 212:1	ĺ ,	meet 66:1	merrion 35:14
	217:6,8		
market 70:15	mayor 9:11,12	86:24 119:17	35:20 38:13,22
marks 4:13,20	mcf 30:4	126:12 127:20	38:23 39:4
206:8,11,19	218:14 219:25	197:22 237:12	48:4
207:10,14,16	220:1	meeting 60:2,3	mess 10:8,16
208:1,9,13,16	mchugh 20:23	61:24 62:2	11:19 12:10
209:7 212:7,8	22:8,9	157:7 165:3	222:18 259:1
212:15,20	mean 25:5	213:22 242:24	264:8,19
214:10,19	87:10,12 90:8	meetings 61:8	269:14
216:17,23,25	140:5 151:22	213:13,16	messes 10:10
217:2,3,7,14,18	227:12 249:12	meets 85:5	12:25 222:19
217:20 220:19	meaning	mega 264:17	271:22 272:6
221:2 228:8,19	240:12	member 1:21	272:16
229:4,9,17	means 36:6	1:22 16:18	met 242:19
233:16,23	89:18 136:8	41:4 63:20	meter 120:9
234:1	182:12 197:13	268:19	methane 14:15
martin 3:6	219:1 227:13	members 1:20	45:19 101:13
16:13,13,16,18	meant 66:5	14:3 16:1 45:1	178:1,2 249:4
17:3	87:2	45:16 197:4	250:1 263:9
math 130:23	measures	206:15 248:24	266:3,6,16
152:4	218:22	248:25	267:1
matt 2:7	mechanical	memorized	mexican
matter 1:9 9:8	30:17 80:18	108:13,15	267:14
12:3 20:17	84:16,18	158:6	mexicans 18:5
60:23 208:21	245:25 246:8	memory 11:4	19:7 250:4
212:2 221:5	mechanically	12:9 37:11	261:13 270:11
274:13,15	79:1 147:21	42:8 60:9	272:8
matters 22:19	mechanism	164:23	mexico 1:2,7
224:3 249:15	30:5 31:3	mention 194:9	2:4,9,11,16,22
matthias 3:13	170:3 193:7,18	mentioned 26:5	3:4,12,16 6:16
3:14	193:23,25	28:19 83:17	6:21 7:13,17
maximum	mechanisms	111:9 120:12	7:24 9:8 14:16
50:17 156:5	27:13 28:2	128:15 162:13	17:22 18:1,21

[mexico - monies]

19:15 24:5	midstream	minor 21:20	modifications
41:2 58:14	120:15	minus 29:15	156:10 234:22
89:18,20 90:17	miguel 3:5	minute 146:19	242:16,21
90:21 101:18	58:13	206:6	243:7,23
107:18 117:15	mileage 105:11	minutes 5:21	245:15
209:21 226:2	106:6,8,13	83:13 91:19	modified
231:3,5,11	miles 105:14	112:13 122:17	237:20
232:6,8 235:11	106:4,12	154:22 156:1	modify 127:3
242:13 245:3,8	milestones	159:2 234:13	204:17
248:22,25	64:25	234:14 247:24	mom 14:10
249:2 251:16	mill 68:3	273:4	249:6
252:2 253:6	million 15:10	mischaracteri	moment 21:7
254:15,24	76:8 94:23	213:11	21:17 34:4,22
255:9 257:11	117:1 140:23	missed 96:11	43:8 44:14
258:4 259:2	146:21 149:9	190:17	
	149:14 150:11	missing 193:16	55:12,22 125:7 181:17 212:4
260:5,13,16 261:8,23	152:2,2 174:14	missing 193.10 misspoke	
265:16,22,23	248:25 249:23	194:17	255:2,3,15 262:15
	258:15,19	misstate 215:3	
266:4,10,11,12 267:6 268:13	millions 90:16	misstates 213.3	momentarily 255:6
269:21,25	206:22 249:4	157:21	moms 248:21
270:1,14 274:4	270:6	misstating	248:24
274:18	mind 27:17	151:17	
mexico's 19:12	132:6 151:3	misunderstan	monday 5:7 22:24
90:10 257:12	209:20 266:7	230:24	monetary
265:20	mine 12:9 14:6	mitch 251:7	18:24 231:9
mfeldewert	34:18 260:21	mitchell 251:1	232:11
2:24	mineral 39:16	mixes 249:9	money 12:3
michael 2:23	39:17,20,24	mm 98:13	42:21 98:19,20
microphone	117:25 201:2,4	133:17	116:22 150:25
267:24	251:23	modander 2:12	151:1,1 252:3
mid 213:14,16	minerals 2:10	modernize	256:16 258:11
253:5	39:20,22 84:24	257:12	259:4 269:7,22
middle 99:17	207:11 208:17	modification	monies 115:22
	219:11 251:22	235:24	11011165 113.22
	217.11 231.22	233,27	

[monitored - nature]

monitored	12:15 13:16	moved 53:6	16:13 17:13,21
177:25	16:9 17:8,20	68:20,24 69:10	78:13 160:3
monitoring	20:14 22:23,24	116:5 253:5	162:4 175:16
101:13 182:17	23:12,13 58:11	268:13	206:15 207:23
246:17,20	58:12 94:16	moves 80:15	208:1,13,14
montgomery	162:13 205:25	moving 23:14	209:22,22
245:24	270:21 273:5	40:4 41:17	210:1,8 221:2
month 32:12,14	273:12	116:22 140:12	247:8,20
32:19 79:19	moss 27:3,4,6	msuazo 3:5	248:12,20
119:18 144:22	28:2,16,19	mud 107:1,5,7	251:6,7,8
240:19	31:10,12,15	107:10,13	252:17,19,20
monthly 32:11	33:6,16 34:10	multiple 34:21	253:1 254:4,11
months 79:15	42:9 43:22	71:22 73:16	257:3 259:14
79:25 119:24	44:1 75:3,3	75:13 109:21	262:16 265:6,7
119:24 120:13	170:15,16	109:22 199:25	265:8,15 268:2
162:19 163:3	171:20 175:7	218:1 242:20	268:4,5,11
194:23 204:7	mother 271:17	multiplied	271:4,5,6
218:15 224:21	motivated	149:7	names 22:5
225:4	258:22	multiply	247:18
moore 4:5,10	mountains	150:10	nanasi 159:19
4:13,16 159:21	263:1,15	muster 12:6	217:11
159:23 160:2,4	move 23:5	mute 255:12	narrow 128:2
161:18,22	25:17,20 31:7	n	240:22 246:12
200:18 206:11	40:1 48:3	n 2:1 3:1 4:1	narrowly
206:12,13,15	55:19 65:8	9:24 10:23,23	131:24 235:6
206:20 208:6,7	67:24 68:16	13:19 207:25	nation 43:18
208:12 211:14	72:20 76:22	248:14,14	national 16:3
211:24 212:8	79:8 83:6	252:21 254:6	nationwide
212:13 214:10	118:3 148:11	265:7 268:5	249:1
228:13,15,18	153:19 171:25	naked 266:5	natural 2:10
228:25 229:2	176:25 179:6	name 5:4,17	8:19 177:22,23
moral 18:25	185:18 211:25	6:4,5,14 8:5,6,7	178:1,5 263:20
19:8 264:8	230:6 250:20	8:7 9:22 10:21	265:17 268:14
morning 5:3,10	252:8,15	10:22 12:16,17	nature 59:12
8:14 9:20,21		13:15,17 16:12	171:16

[navigate - noble]

novigato 31:5	167:5 188:23	nevertheless	251.16 252.2 0
navigate 34:5 near 11:6 47:11	188:25 200:13	142:8 145:6	251:16 252:2,9 253:6 254:15
126:5,15	225:10	148:6	254:24 255:9
167:15 180:12	needing 69:11	new 1:2,7 2:4,9	257:11,12
260:5,19	92:19 162:20	2:11,16,22 3:4	258:4 259:2
261:11	190:1 194:18	3:12,16 6:16	260:5,13,16
nearby 249:8	needs 86:1	6:21 7:13,17	261:8,13,23
nearest 26:24	167:4 241:1	7:24 9:8 10:15	263:12 265:16
nearly 250:5	259:3 267:6	11:7,11 14:16	265:20,22,23
254:16	270:10	17:22 18:1,5	266:4,10,11,12
neat 110:10	nefarious 45:16	18:21 19:7,12	267:6,14
necessarily	negatively	19:15 24:5	268:13 269:21
68:18 78:5	39:10	35:8 41:2	269:25 270:1
81:4 115:14	neglect 136:12	58:14 65:9,12	270:11,14
190:10 192:8	neglected 71:19	66:12 74:3	272:8 274:4,18
226:14	202:23 204:1	82:4 89:18,20	news 250:4
necessary 68:9	negotiated 89:6	90:10,17,21	260:13
195:9 250:22	170:23 171:6	98:23 101:18	newspaper
258:24	171:10,16	107:18 119:8	14:6
need 9:12 20:2	negotiating	119:14,15	nine 250:5
34:17 50:6	153:12 192:15	120:8 123:13	ninety 7:21
59:20 117:6	negotiation	124:12 126:9	nitrogen 54:5
146:10 147:20	170:23	127:15 139:24	nm 2:10,14 3:2
153:14 159:12	neighborhoods	140:15 153:1	4:18,20 212:6
161:4,13	261:23	209:21 215:20	nmac 1:10
164:16 186:10	neighbors	216:13 225:18	nmoga 55:25
188:21 189:3,4	271:20 272:10	226:2 231:3,5	62:10,12,25
193:23 258:17	neither 274:12	231:11 232:6,8	63:18 64:13,18
273:2	nell 265:2,7,15	235:11 236:13	65:5 204:3
needed 7:15	net 155:11	236:22,24,24	nmoga's
25:15 29:24	never 24:4	237:5,25 239:2	156:12 182:2
38:25 91:17	69:12 72:4,4	242:10,12,13	183:18
97:13 110:4	72:25 120:1,4	245:3,8 246:4	nmsa 209:19
137:25 161:11	132:19	248:22,25	noble 51:14
163:15 164:10		249:2 250:4	

[non - occurring]

	T	I	
non 28:11	nov 127:7	196:24 198:8	objected 166:6
33:11 75:6,15	144:25	205:2 216:7	objection 21:12
78:5 81:11,12	november	223:10 229:24	22:2 151:17
89:21,22 90:23	213:14,16	231:2 258:4	157:21 166:11
91:16,17 98:19	nuance 129:24	271:14	objections 21:6
116:13 195:24	number 21:6	numbers 33:5	55:22,25 57:15
196:4 198:24	26:24 28:15	35:8 47:19	212:5 233:7
201:22 210:25	31:20 32:25	49:20 53:15	objective
261:6	34:9 37:10	81:2 92:7,9	188:15
noon 121:4	40:22,23 43:11	93:23 94:2	obligated 264:9
normal 132:5	47:21 48:2,7	95:2,4,6,13	obligation 52:3
normally 108:8	48:14 49:12	113:2,25	177:9
north 105:22	56:15 80:24	114:17,23	obligations
northwest 6:21	82:22 84:2	131:16 133:14	127:20 242:25
8:18 85:19	88:9 93:5,9	134:18,20,23	observation
108:14 266:4	95:19 97:11	143:13 144:1,1	98:17
northwestern	100:5 103:11	144:9 149:4	observe 24:2
261:8	103:15,23	150:15 151:3	111:24
note 43:22	112:23 113:13	151:18 152:10	observed 110:5
noted 210:19	132:1,22	154:8,10	obvious 62:16
219:12 240:13	139:24 140:19	numerous 59:1	obviously
242:14 244:9	142:2,4,10	177:1 227:25	122:3 156:22
notes 22:5	143:10 144:3,8	nw 3:4 274:18	200:12 224:8
128:23	145:15,16	nykiel 2:7,7	227:18
notice 157:13	148:19 158:20	0	occ 5:6 64:23
157:19 158:4,9	168:25 172:23		occur 26:17
158:18 200:25	173:6 181:19	o 8:7 9:24,24 29:15 35:1	104:19 111:2
204:5 240:18	185:18 186:6	29:13 33:1	134:14 135:14
241:4	186:14 187:15		136:24 147:11
notices 169:13	187:21,24	248:14 252:21	259:1
200:21	188:19,19	254:6 259:16	occurrence
notification	189:5 190:13	259:16 271:5,6	52:17 105:1
201:11 202:12	190:14 193:1,2	o'clock 20:9	occurring
notorious	194:8 195:2,15	oath 23:13	189:20
96:22	195:16 196:9	object 166:8 236:10 241:8	

[occurs - officer]

100.5	167.20 160.22	andla 22.10	101.25 200.10
occurs 109:5	167:20 169:23	ocd's 33:19	191:25 200:19
272:11	170:2,16,17,20	36:20 39:5	200:21 201:1
ocd 4:18 23:1	171:1,21 172:9	41:4,11 62:11	201:10,12
24:9,12,15	172:18,24	67:8 71:5,18	206:11,17,22
25:6 26:25	173:6,17	79:17 81:5	207:3,4,7,12
27:6,10,14	174:16,21	114:25 127:16	208:15,18
28:4 29:12	175:13 176:3,8	161:12 169:1	211:5 213:9,11
30:6 31:22	176:9,12,15	171:17 172:3	214:4,5,25
32:23,24 37:6	178:20 179:7	181:15 185:20	215:7,19,21
38:6,9 39:7,11	180:18 183:6	191:8 217:24	216:9 218:22
40:6,8 41:19	183:20,21,23	218:8 219:3	218:24 219:23
41:24 42:25	183:23 184:12	ocotillo 266:19	220:6 221:19
43:2,10 44:1,3	185:5 187:20	october 1:14	222:1 224:1
52:11,19 54:25	188:3,14 189:7	274:4	227:14 228:22
55:5 57:18	189:14,17,19	odorless 266:5	229:13,15,20
58:22 59:7,15	189:20,25	offended	230:12,18,21
60:3 62:21	190:4,10	264:13	231:15,18,22
65:7,15 67:11	191:24 192:13	offender 96:22	232:14,18,22
70:6 71:11,14	193:5,21 195:3	offer 6:1 8:3	233:17 250:8
72:10,21 74:4	195:4,6 196:6	13:11 20:4	office's 229:19
77:9 81:23	197:16 198:4	35:8 47:13	230:25
82:3,12,17	199:6,24 200:5	159:3 234:15	officer 1:18 5:3
93:15 98:4	200:9,20,23,25	270:19 273:3	5:4 6:7,12 8:1
100:3 101:14	201:11 202:15	offered 85:2	8:8,11 9:18,21
115:19 116:21	202:19 203:4	112:21 167:9	9:25 10:3,18
116:25 117:1	203:17 204:4	offering 159:5	10:24 11:2
118:19 123:4	204:13,16,18	offhand 64:23	12:12,19,22
124:22 133:22	204:22,24	75:1 87:22	13:13,20,23
150:3,3 156:9	210:25 211:1,7	164:24 176:14	16:8,14,17
156:25 160:8	218:12 219:9	182:4	17:2,12,16,19
160:10,18	225:3,24 227:3	office 8:22 15:6	19:23 20:3,18
161:5,7,10	227:4 230:19	118:15 160:5,9	21:3,16,25
162:23 163:16	230:22 231:16	160:13,15,15	22:3,7,9,11,13
164:11,18	232:6,14,18	160:17,22,25	22:15,18,23
165:19 166:7	243:10 268:21	161:7,11,15	23:17,19 27:20
		·	

[officer - one's]

34:11,15 39:25	251:10,13	213:1 215:23	137:7 141:13
55:13,13,21	252:13,22,25	217:19 224:20	141:20 142:1
56:1,21 57:9	253:22 254:7	224:22 231:4,5	142:14 146:24
57:11,21,25	254:10 255:2,5	231:6,11 232:7	148:18 149:3
58:4,5,8 83:5,9	255:11,14	232:8 235:9,10	149:10 153:20
83:12,13 91:19	256:21 257:2,6	240:21 245:4,7	155:15 158:7
94:5,10,13	257:9 259:8,13	246:14 249:3,8	158:11 169:16
112:12,13	259:17,20	249:16 250:6,8	169:21 170:1
121:3,8,16	262:3,12,14,19	253:8,20	172:6,12,20,23
122:15,18	262:22 264:23	257:14 260:24	177:18 186:13
148:10 151:20	265:5,9,12	261:4,6,15,16	190:18 195:16
154:21 157:24	267:18,22	261:20,23	197:9,17 198:7
158:21,23	268:1,6,9	265:25 266:13	199:9 200:17
159:3,11,18	270:15 271:7	266:21 267:8	207:15,20
161:22,25	271:10 272:20	267:11 269:11	215:2,22 218:6
168:19,22	273:7,11	269:25,25	220:19,21
173:4,8 180:23	officials 271:24	270:1,5,13	221:18 226:19
180:25 199:15	oh 15:1 94:22	271:24 272:1	226:23 230:11
205:18,21	122:13 141:16	274:4	232:19 247:14
206:2,10	228:12 262:12	okay 6:13 8:13	250:24 253:1,8
207:15,18,22	ohio 89:25 90:2	10:17 15:17	257:1 259:22
208:2,5 212:3	oil 1:3 2:9 3:2	34:24 43:5	265:2 268:11
212:13,17	5:5 6:20 8:17	49:20 50:9	273:9,11
214:12,16	9:3 14:15	65:15,19 68:8	oklahoma
216:21 217:5	15:16,17 18:20	72:7,20 76:15	265:18
217:10 220:21	35:14,20,22	79:17 86:2	old 256:10
220:24 228:10	45:12 47:25	87:16 92:11	older 54:24
228:16 229:2,7	58:14 93:19	95:9 100:19	once 11:13
232:20,24	94:3 106:22	102:2,7,11	25:21 46:12
233:10,20,25	129:20 146:21	108:6 109:1,8	64:6 123:5
234:3,6,12,18	149:6 154:6,6	112:14 116:10	129:13 194:20
234:19 247:2	177:15 178:22	122:20 125:5	201:21,24
247:10 248:9	192:3 206:24	125:18,25	204:15,24
248:15,18	206:25 207:1	126:2,2,3	one's 40:18,20
250:19 251:5	207:11 208:17	127:10 134:25	126:3

[ones - operators]

amag 26.12	amawa t awa	142.12 16	229.20 220.10
ones 26:12	operations	142:12,16	238:20 239:10
128:14 169:25	98:11 193:6	143:21 145:11	242:8,16,17,24
181:14 233:13	231:4 232:6	147:22 151:11	251:17
267:3	235:7 245:12	156:24 160:10	operator's
online 73:6	249:3,9 266:13	163:3,7,9,11,15	27:18 120:3
oops 145:5,6	267:8	163:20,20,24	148:3 186:19
op 14:5	operator 30:14	164:10,20,24	236:20 239:9
open 89:22	31:4,23 37:7	167:4 168:7	operators
90:1 138:4	39:8 40:24	169:18,24	26:23 27:1,3
156:10,13	44:7 45:7,13	170:1,4,13,18	28:4,6,11,16,19
164:21	46:1,2,4,6,10	170:18,20	28:21,22 29:18
opening 4:4	46:14,18 51:19	171:3,7,7,11,14	30:18 31:11,15
80:20 206:17	52:9 55:9 72:5	174:20 175:16	31:19,21 32:23
206:20 234:4	78:18,21,23	178:23 179:3,6	33:4,5,6,9
234:17	79:3,22 80:15	179:7,12,20	39:12,23 43:17
operate 39:10	81:25 83:16	180:8,21	46:3 47:1
125:13 142:20	84:7 86:19	182:25 183:2	50:24 51:6,16
143:3 147:21	88:25 89:12,24	183:10,15,23	52:4 72:8,9
150:2 153:5	90:14,17 91:12	183:24 184:18	74:2,3,20 75:5
242:11	98:18 102:22	185:23 186:8	79:10 81:9,10
operated 27:1	103:8 106:25	190:16 191:14	81:11,24 82:4
operating	107:23 108:22	191:17,18,23	84:14 86:13
29:19 39:8,12	110:12 111:20	192:14,14	88:22 90:24
51:16,19	117:6 118:1,3	193:9,11,12,15	91:1,4 98:8,23
117:16 118:22	118:6,16,18	194:6 196:5,13	99:1,9,11,12
186:24 187:1,9	119:15,17	196:22 197:25	117:13 118:11
187:10,14,25	120:3,7,10,11	199:2 201:3	118:17 120:1
188:1,3 218:3	120:14 124:12	202:3,5 203:3	123:6 124:14
251:15	125:11,18	203:14 207:5	129:9,20 130:4
operation	128:9,20 129:4	218:14 219:6,8	130:6,12,15,18
223:22 254:19	129:13,17	222:12,15,24	131:1,7,12,19
254:20 267:11	133:9 134:8,16	222:25 224:6	132:19 134:9
operational	135:4,8 137:2	224:24,25	134:13 135:24
182:14,23	140:15,21	225:2 226:9,10	136:14 137:10
	141:22,23	235:5 238:13	138:2,4 140:16

[operators - outdated]

	I	I	I
140:20 141:4	177:21 253:8	orphan 24:12	161:22 168:19
142:6,19 143:1	opportunity	67:8 77:23	173:8 180:25
143:10,22	183:2 250:12	155:4 177:6	199:15 205:18
144:13,15,22	271:13	orphaned	205:21 206:2
145:14,16,18	oppose 269:17	15:10 24:1	206:10 207:15
147:6,12,19	opposed 34:18	25:25 127:12	207:18,22
148:16,19	269:23	143:23 145:17	208:2,5 212:3
150:1,17,19	opposition 45:4	155:13,18	212:13 214:12
151:5 152:11	optimization	178:2,4 202:24	216:21 217:5
152:21 153:2	182:19	240:25 258:4	217:10 220:21
153:14 164:2,7	option 236:3	orphaning	228:10 229:2,7
166:3 168:3,3	237:2 238:20	142:20 202:21	232:24 233:20
171:18 175:6,7	oral 5:21 212:9	235:7	233:25 234:3
179:12 186:24	orange 260:22	orth 1:18 5:3,4	234:12 247:2
187:9,14,15	oranges 202:17	6:7,12 8:1,8,11	247:10 248:9
188:6 190:20	203:5	9:18,21,25	248:15,18
192:17 193:6	order 55:3,5	10:3,18,24	250:19 251:5
194:20 198:16	169:17 170:2,7	11:2 12:12,19	251:10,13
199:12 201:9	170:8 202:21	12:22 13:13,20	252:13,22,25
202:17 203:10	orders 27:8	13:23 16:8,14	253:22 254:7
217:21 218:3	32:9 169:2,4,9	16:17 17:2,12	254:10 255:2,5
219:1,16,18,19	169:14,22	17:16,19 19:23	255:11,14
222:3,5,6,23,25	171:3	20:3 21:3,16	256:21 257:2,6
223:1,3 224:4	ordinary 12:5	21:25 22:3,7,9	257:9 259:8,13
227:5 228:2	263:14	22:11,13,15,18	259:17,20
235:2,6,11	organic 266:16	23:19 34:15	262:3,12,14,19
236:3,15 237:6	organization	55:21 56:21	262:22 264:23
237:7,16	17:25	57:9,11,21,25	265:5,9,12
240:23 242:11	orientated	58:5 83:9,13	267:18,22
242:12,19	100:2 101:15	91:19 94:5,10	268:9 271:7,10
272:2,11	original 60:21	112:13 121:3,8	273:11
opine 157:16	269:4	122:18 148:10	outcome
180:16	originally 12:4	151:20 154:21	136:18
opinion 152:5	29:17 49:3	157:24 158:21	outdated
156:19 171:1	106:23 124:21	159:3,11,18	249:16

[outdoors - part]

outdoors 249:7	overturned	oxy 2:20 62:5	pad 6:22
outgrowth	235:20	89:6 121:23,24	padgett 20:23
45:19	overview 49:3	165:1 204:21	22:6,7
outlay 8:25 9:2	124:24 244:21	217:4 234:24	padgett's 21:11
outlet 119:19	245:15	236:10 240:14	page 4:2 23:22
outset 121:23	overwhelm	241:8 242:9,15	24:4 25:17
outside 43:12	135:13	243:5,6,14,21	26:21 40:4,5
107:22,24	overwhelmin	244:3,21 245:2	41:14,18 43:9
161:12 235:21	18:5 250:5	245:21	44:15,16,17,25
249:13 263:1	owed 90:15	oxy's 122:2	46:7 100:5
outstanding	own 9:13 15:7	234:4,21	123:2 125:25
224:10	18:9 28:24	242:15 243:2,6	182:8 209:6
overall 48:1	34:7 66:4,15	244:9 245:15	211:2
136:18,22	66:17 67:1	246:2,7,14,25	paid 256:6,12
138:21 190:5	90:16 118:12	ozone 249:8	265:16
239:13	128:13 132:25		palace 2:4
overlap 31:9	147:7 161:4,13	р	paperwork
161:6	220:5 230:7	p 2:1,1 3:1,1	25:23,24 103:9
overlapping	231:23 250:7	257:4	paragraph
231:17	owned 46:2	p&a 72:18 93:8	182:20,21
overnight	206:22 251:15	163:8,9,16,25	197:10
102:20 103:1	265:18	200:1 202:15 202:18 222:14	pared 28:6
overreach	owner 7:5		parent 249:13
237:15 251:23	39:21 191:15	p&a'd 162:24 p.m. 20:12	parents 11:11
overregulation	192:15 197:6,7	121:5,7 206:9	14:2 16:21
252:7 253:11	201:2,4 269:5	234:16 273:13	248:22
override	owners 7:16	p.o. 2:16,21	parks 16:3
222:11	39:16 118:6,10	3:11	parrot 3:7
oversee 127:16	118:13,16	pa 200:4	parsed 222:9
161:11	190:15,21	package 73:14	part 44:3 45:19
overseeing	191:22 192:1,4	73:23 74:18	46:14,16 47:2
112:7 245:7,9	192:18	75:18 76:13	60:21 69:18
overseen 71:12	ownership	97:24,24	72:16 76:12
oversees	117:25 267:5	packages 42:12	80:5 88:4
161:10		97:14	101:18 103:8

[part - percent]

	1	1	I
119:18 133:20	partner 209:23	paused 57:14	104:9 159:8
137:22 147:18	253:12	pay 18:6 25:14	227:7,22
169:22 170:22	partnership	70:5,6 99:20	253:13 258:20
175:5 178:1	209:22,23,24	100:1 124:16	260:9 261:11
183:9 184:22	210:1,2,4,9,10	129:20 130:21	261:21 263:8
192:5 195:13	210:11,13	131:2,7 140:18	263:15 264:1
216:6 260:20	partnerships	140:18 153:4	264:15
269:14	209:16,17,18	168:11 199:25	people's 53:1
participant	parts 177:18	237:8 250:6	perceive 61:15
229:16	232:3	255:22 267:3	percent 7:21,22
participate	partway 273:9	267:15	19:14 28:20
244:8	party 144:19	payable 100:14	39:13,15,19
participation	244:7,16	100:21 101:1	46:9 50:22,24
182:17	pass 159:10	174:15 175:13	78:5 83:18
particular	180:23	176:12	84:2 110:10
23:23 41:1	passed 253:12	paying 7:5,16	117:16 124:14
122:13 187:2	past 119:25	15:12 103:13	124:21 125:1
190:21 197:22	150:17 163:23	136:14 195:18	125:11 128:20
211:2 231:5	178:21 194:2	196:16 218:23	129:8,8 130:3
232:7 243:10	201:14	219:14,14,15	130:6,6,17,20
particularly	paste 174:4	pays 258:25	130:21,23
70:1 100:13	patch 8:17	pc 3:3,11	131:7,12,13,17
172:25 232:2	patches 6:20	pdf 41:6	131:19,19,20
263:8,10	path 126:22	peaceful	132:2,2,3,8,12
264:14	pathway 127:6	268:17	132:14,15,22
parties 20:21	patience 263:6	pecos 1:5 53:10	133:10 134:20
21:13,14 22:25	patients 11:14	54:18 205:23	134:21,22
27:21 41:8	patricia 250:20	peltz 124:13	135:9 136:7
55:18 61:17	257:4	pending 43:6	140:14,16,20
83:8 145:25	pattern 219:9	people 8:21	140:22 141:23
158:13 174:3	paula 2:24	9:12 10:9	142:19,23
175:12 204:9	pause 21:7,17	11:18,24 12:4	143:1,5,9,9,13
212:12 232:25	55:22 212:4	12:9 16:2,24	143:14,20
233:14 274:13	233:4	16:24 18:13	145:13,14,18
		19:16 102:25	145:20,24,24

[percent - placing]

	T _	I	T
146:2,3,5,17,20	perform 27:7	218:12	44:14 143:17
148:14,15,15	116:9	person 46:8	146:15 175:16
148:19,22,23	performed	196:25 197:5	175:16
149:7,8,13,13	46:15	213:18 222:11	picked 116:9
149:25 150:13	performing	255:12	pie 86:9 87:15
150:16 151:4,5	223:11 258:21	personal 88:22	185:10
151:6 152:2,3	period 61:3	249:6 263:19	piece 230:8
152:20 153:13	71:8 79:20	264:12	piles 266:23
153:21,22,23	119:19 120:16	personally 25:2	pinned 207:19
153:24 154:19	156:4,5 162:24	persons 6:14	207:21
155:2,8 167:15	164:17 203:8	perspective	pinning 227:7
178:3,6 180:9	204:1 210:5,25	51:10 80:2	pipeline 38:4
180:20,21	211:13 224:13	110:24	119:13,14,25
185:24,25	236:18 240:19	perspectives	120:1,8,8,10
186:20,22,23	244:2	63:21	pipelines
187:4,13,22,23	periodic 165:19	pertinent 101:5	119:15
188:8,11,15	231:1	157:1	place 13:6 18:3
191:18 237:7	periodically	petitioners	19:16 33:9,11
239:8 250:11	237:20	233:5	61:23 79:11
256:15 258:2,3	periods 120:20	petroleum 2:14	108:22 144:25
percentage	243:18,25	166:17 184:3	150:7 153:17
46:6 51:2	permanently	266:22	167:1,7,16
124:23 133:3	106:22	pfas 260:7,14	193:7 226:25
171:19 177:25	permian 101:8	261:9	238:20 239:24
187:10 188:2	154:15 246:3	phone 255:9	240:9 241:19
percentages	266:4	270:22	253:9 254:21
188:12	permissible	phoned 20:6	255:24 256:18
percentile	107:5	phrase 21:23	261:14
154:17 155:2	permission	210:3,10	placed 64:2
perfect 272:2	250:23 264:25	phrasing	107:16 171:20
perforated	permitted	210:24	placement
109:9	261:16	physically	243:15
perforating	permitting	170:3	places 134:23
85:20	37:6 41:12	pick 32:16	placing 108:23
	42:25 211:2,7	36:21 39:7	

[plain - plugging]

plain 40.12	ploy 00.7 120.6	90.2 92.16	pluggon 172.2
plain 40:13	play 90:7 120:6	80:3 82:16	plugger 172:3
66:18 237:17	155:6	84:17 97:12,23	plugging 24:13
plan 82:13	playground	101:9 107:21	25:7,11,19
84:20,21,23,24	266:18	109:5,19 110:3	32:9,24 38:24
85:1,2,21,23	playing 266:18	110:5,14,24	42:19 53:7
86:1,10,13,14	please 5:22	111:15 118:2	54:7 55:3
86:14,17,19	6:12 12:22	123:6 147:19	59:14 67:8
87:7,13 97:2	13:14 16:12	147:20 160:11	69:16 70:10,13
117:5,7 120:7	17:13 19:11,14	161:2 169:19	70:24,25 71:4
156:25 157:1,6	19:20 20:5	169:23 170:4,8	71:11,18 72:10
163:4 164:21	27:17,24 94:5	170:9,10 171:9	75:17 78:4
165:5,5 166:23	99:15 100:6	177:6 190:6	93:8 97:11
167:4,7,18	101:6,6 102:13	203:11 219:1	99:18,20 100:1
183:12 185:14	103:5,14	227:15,22	100:2,14
196:19 198:1	105:11 148:11	249:20 256:11	101:14,19
201:3,5,16	158:21 207:24	258:11	102:22 106:15
233:7	221:23 247:12	plugged 24:15	106:21 107:5
planet 271:21	248:1 250:14	24:22,25 25:21	107:20 111:1,7
planned 104:21	251:6 252:18	26:2,18 32:6	111:25 112:7
planning 58:3	254:4 255:12	38:23 42:6,17	115:21 117:1
85:3 165:1	256:18 257:3	44:1 50:7	126:7 127:20
183:16 194:21	259:13 262:16	70:14 71:12,13	137:11 162:14
245:11	268:3 270:12	77:5 97:13	163:11 164:3
plans 82:17	270:24 271:2,3	126:24 127:6	167:21 168:5,7
137:18 156:13	272:17	147:20 161:15	172:6,8,9,18
176:4 182:25	pleases 34:14	162:20 164:18	176:19,21
plants 7:25	pleasure	168:13 171:20	189:21,23
platform 5:13	216:18	174:21 218:20	190:2 202:13
5:15 17:5,7,9	plenty 204:9	222:2 223:2,11	203:4,4,9
19:25 20:4	plug 26:23	223:15,17,21	217:24 221:21
121:12 159:20	33:12 38:25	223:23 224:22	226:24 227:3,4
207:16 217:12	43:24 67:17	225:11 227:1	227:5,8,13
247:5,12 254:2	69:6,14,20	228:5,6 257:14	235:4,12
270:17,18,23	70:7,20 71:2	258:2	238:14,15,17
	75:17 79:11		239:6 257:24

[plugging - powell]

259:25	policy 19:6	portion 28:9	151:16,22
plugs 67:11	245:1 250:12	44:4 129:6	152:1 153:9
70:11 107:2,9	poll 18:4 21:15	146:20,25	154:2 163:12
107:13,16,24	polling 32:1	154:4	197:15,19
108:23 109:22	pollutants	portions 45:3	200:13 214:6
136:5 170:16	249:5	221:14	215:14 219:9
plume 260:5,19	polluted 11:5,8	pose 261:9	228:21
plus 51:20	11:20,23 19:2	posed 172:24	potentially
92:20 119:23	19:3	poses 246:23	30:10 32:8
139:24	polluters 269:8	position 69:20	35:22 38:2
plutonium	polluting	97:7 99:13	40:15 45:25
260:18	269:12	161:1 180:2	48:15,18 50:10
pmvance 2:25	pollution 11:4	185:6,21	52:7 59:23
pocketbooks	18:9 249:2,8	208:14 232:15	62:7 69:7
265:22	258:4 260:13	261:25 264:12	77:23 108:2
pockets 269:21	261:2	positions 245:4	136:4 145:4
point 32:4 42:6	pool 29:18	positive 191:20	149:22 154:1
53:24 65:3	42:16 176:17	possibility	188:4 246:14
73:22 75:10,12	176:24	126:22	pounds 249:4
75:21,24,25	pooled 29:17	possible 71:24	powell 4:7 23:7
76:25 86:23	pooling 97:3	158:25 181:9	23:12,21 26:20
97:6 98:7	pools 176:24	195:6 213:23	27:22 31:6
119:21 126:6	poor 223:11	post 70:18	32:5 33:17
128:18 129:11	224:18 270:12	234:22	34:12 39:3
129:13 153:14	poorly 258:9	posting 18:21	40:4,10 41:3
166:3 170:21	pop 36:4 52:22	249:18	42:23 44:15
183:11 194:22	popped 52:24	potential 27:10	45:14 47:3,14
203:12 210:13	portfolio 83:16	29:9 37:17	47:15 48:19
211:24 213:18	120:4 124:15	77:18 80:13	49:16 52:13
226:3,21 231:2	129:23 186:19	90:11 108:1	55:2 57:3,7,10
231:17	187:19 203:16	109:13,14	58:1,11 65:4
pointing	237:8 239:9	133:1,23 134:7	79:9 83:15
192:22	portfolios	134:12 137:8	94:7,16 96:5
points 64:3	242:19	137:11 138:18	101:4 104:2
209:11		147:8 151:2,7	120:24 121:14

[powell - proactive]

121:19 155:25	prefer 162:12	procopyog	prevents
158:1 159:16	194:12	preserves 19:18	117:12 218:7
159:17,22	preference	president 246:7	268:25
160:3 161:19	273:6,10	_	
161:24 162:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	press 20:6 270:23	previous 218:15
	prehearing 208:21 212:2		
168:25 173:5		pressing 256:22	previously 23:8 35:2 82:12
173:10 175:22	preliminary		
176:25 181:7	20:17 22:19	pressure 54:22	166:25 202:7
181:22 199:16	164:9 183:22	182:18	244:23 245:1
199:22 205:8	184:17 195:23	pressures	price 75:10,11
205:15 206:4,5	196:4	53:23 156:18	75:21,24,25
230:17	premature	presumption	115:24 116:1
powell's 21:21	137:10 167:21	28:25 29:9	189:25 190:3
21:23 22:19	preparation	30:16 38:11,15	256:5 269:3
23:6 34:19	173:12 215:4	43:20 44:5,8	prices 115:25
55:14 121:9	prepare 47:9	48:9 50:10	primary 136:3
181:13	158:20 208:19	52:5 76:24	principle 30:12
power 14:15	prepared 23:5	77:2 116:17	principles
17:22 99:23	27:22 47:15,16	117:4 138:1,3	165:9,10,12,25
266:12	139:9,19	155:10 178:13	printable 41:6
powerful 30:22	preparing	179:5 240:15	prior 37:21
33:22	229:14	241:3 243:4	46:7 122:4
powerpoint	prepped 83:4	presumptions	132:18,21,24
98:3 128:15	present 19:1,9	116:13 164:6	150:3,4 183:3
181:12	112:18 113:9	pretty 8:20	189:18 226:13
practice 107:6	114:5,9 126:7	108:14 134:2	245:6 267:5
233:8	166:14 258:10	209:14	priority 68:13
practices 72:17	presentation	prevent 117:10	private 28:24
179:13	234:21	127:11 135:5	29:6,7 32:17
precise 213:21	presented 15:4	139:10,15	39:19,20 99:6
predominantly	113:3 130:8	145:24 146:4	255:21 256:6
107:7	138:9 139:23	146:12	257:21
preexisting	140:4,8,9	prevention	prms 184:6,13
55:3	145:22 166:2	177:1	proactive
	243:5 244:22		198:20

[proactively - production]

proactively	proceeding	79:6 80:10,14	30:12,21 32:11
76:12	43:13 58:21	113:21 133:7	32:15,19 33:7
probably 49:12	74:9 160:5	135:18 139:3	33:23 34:1,2
51:23 54:18	162:7 207:7	240:20,21	36:5,9 37:13
56:13 60:9,23	215:16	producers	37:15,15,17,19
61:20 71:7	proceedings	119:6 246:20	37:20,20 39:6
74:15 78:15	1:13 4:3 5:2	252:1 269:11	39:13 49:18,21
92:18,22 98:20	273:13 274:8	270:1,14	50:5 51:6,24
104:24 106:3	274:10	produces 30:14	52:8 55:9 74:4
114:18 130:21	proceeds	producing	82:1 112:24
132:8 145:19	265:17	15:16 30:13	119:20 120:20
164:14 179:2	process 27:11	36:8 38:14	123:6 130:5
179:20 180:11	45:17,18 46:3	40:13,23 41:2	131:5,20
184:9 186:9	46:20 62:19	51:20 77:11,11	134:13 135:20
188:23 192:10	70:22 73:8	80:16 84:7	136:3,6,7,8
213:18 263:11	85:20 116:4	109:1 117:14	137:5 138:19
probe 194:15	127:5,7 171:13	124:18 129:23	138:24 139:2
problem 14:16	183:25 204:20	132:3,5,15,20	140:23,24
67:3 80:1,18	223:15,16	133:9 135:8,20	143:15 144:13
129:15 136:11	226:4 240:25	136:9,10	144:20,21,23
175:5 187:3	246:6	141:21 144:18	145:8,12 146:8
237:16 249:2	processes 56:10	162:12 167:2	146:20,21
254:22 255:18	100:23 171:6	168:4 179:4	147:1,7,10,15
255:21 260:8	procurement	180:13 186:8	147:16 148:1
261:3 268:23	70:22 72:16	186:23 196:16	148:23 149:4,7
problematic	produce 79:1	211:10 222:15	149:11,13,15
78:11 93:7	80:19 84:25	224:13,16	149:21 150:6,9
problems 8:22	119:6 147:14	225:1 236:25	151:7 152:17
11:9 125:22	147:16,22,23	237:11,12	152:18,22
129:15 194:5	150:19 168:7	239:23 240:2	153:15 154:4
259:7	180:9,20,22	246:17 257:25	154:17 155:3
procedure	184:10 185:24	258:5,8 261:6	168:14,14
56:11	186:21 195:18	production	171:10 177:16
proceed 206:18	produced	28:5,7,11,12,23	178:10,10,16
	36:24 48:14	29:2,19 30:1,2	178:19 179:12

[production - proposed]

	I	1	
179:17,19,24	profits 7:18	proper 114:10	123:13,15,21
180:4,14	program 90:16	144:3	123:22 124:12
182:16,24	161:16 178:2	properly 7:23	125:22 126:4
194:22 196:17	218:25 221:20	47:2 83:24	126:20 127:15
201:24 203:9	221:24 222:1	84:7 96:11	128:3,4,14
210:25 217:22	223:10,13,19	117:14 118:1	130:19 132:22
218:4,7 219:7	223:22 225:14	143:15 145:11	134:14,15
219:19,20,21	225:15 246:14	153:18 160:11	135:3 136:20
219:21,22	programs	257:14 258:2	137:1,24 138:8
220:3,8,18	182:19 246:8	property	138:11 139:10
225:2,8 245:12	259:3	260:10	139:15 140:14
245:22	prohibit 153:2	proposal 58:23	141:14 142:9
productive	prohibiting	69:25 86:17	142:21 147:3
33:11 38:12	166:9	88:3 93:16	148:20 156:3
40:22 82:6	projected	127:3 132:18	156:10 157:12
84:5 98:19	195:17	162:11 164:25	158:8,18
126:6,15,22	projects 116:25	181:15 191:8	162:23 164:7
141:6 182:14	246:5,18	205:6 214:24	164:18 167:21
184:19 196:19	proliferating	215:15 216:6,9	182:2 183:7
226:12 261:19	235:23	230:25 236:13	195:12 198:2
professional	prolific 225:7	241:8 243:3,5	200:3 207:8
246:1,9	prolonged	proposals 78:8	209:12 213:3
professionals	120:18	93:2 115:20	214:7 215:5
14:3 15:5	promising	118:12 216:3	221:7,11,16
90:19	108:6	216:14 243:22	232:23 233:1
proffer 55:15	prompted	propose 60:3	235:16,19,21
83:6	260:1	166:7 244:5	236:2,11
proffered	promptly 262:2	proposed 1:10	238:22 239:2
34:12	promulgate	6:17 17:23	240:16,18
profit 250:16	66:25 67:5	33:1 39:5 45:9	241:10,25
256:13 258:23	promulgated	50:15 51:5	242:16,21
profitable 9:13	45:13 50:16	59:2,3,7 60:7	243:7 245:21
profited 257:22	promulgating	61:25 64:8	246:23 250:9
profiting 19:12	45:6	74:11 86:4	251:23 254:14
		92:3,14 117:21	255:24 256:17

[proposed - purpose]

259:23 268:21	124:4,5 132:9	141:22 142:12	208:20 213:13
271:25 272:9	155:16 169:22	155:15 156:9	213:19,22
272:18	183:1 195:6	163:24 164:6,7	214:11 234:8
proposes	197:16 198:4	169:17 179:2	234:10 247:11
156:15 243:21	207:7,9 212:9	180:8,10 185:6	247:19 248:7
243:23 244:3	235:12 239:11	186:25 188:21	256:9 257:22
proposing 60:4	242:18,23	188:22,24	263:14 264:9
60:5 153:2	258:11	189:1,22 190:4	270:11,19
188:7	provided 29:13	190:14,19,24	publicly 37:4
proposition	29:16 30:25	195:16 197:2,3	96:21
50:5	35:2 41:7 63:8	240:16,22	published
pros 136:19	93:19 101:22	241:5	204:6
protect 96:24	132:10 139:24	provisions	puerco 260:18
139:10,16	140:7 160:8,10	10:15 45:9	pull 27:13,17
177:14 250:13	160:18 161:5	59:2 89:3	37:3,12 38:2,5
256:19 261:21	182:11 193:8	122:11 127:24	80:25 92:8
267:10,12	193:10 236:18	128:3,5 141:2	95:13,15
protected	237:2	157:16 177:13	122:12 125:5
174:8 272:10	provides 88:25	210:8 242:17	131:14 138:24
protecting	126:20 127:15	proximity	141:16 173:24
117:22 118:4	128:19 158:2,3	267:11	173:25 176:6
protection	193:15 199:6	proxy 39:5	230:22
71:25	236:3 245:14	prudent 91:16	pulled 29:24
protective	245:16	152:25 153:9	35:8 49:2,24
67:15	providing	153:13 201:16	92:7 95:2
proud 268:18	117:15,17	203:11	133:4 141:9,21
prove 193:18	245:19	prudently 39:9	142:11 173:12
proven 93:7	provision 80:5	39:13 51:16	pulling 174:1
provide 7:24	123:20 124:20	psi 53:5	pulls 41:13
10:15 50:14	124:24 125:14	public 1:1 5:7,8	purchasing
51:9 54:15	125:16,18	5:14,16 6:1 9:6	269:2
71:25 82:21	127:15,22	20:4,8,9,13	purpose 40:11
83:1 93:22	128:18 129:16	41:4 95:21	87:18 88:5,7
105:2 116:7	129:17 134:16	96:2,7 160:4	110:7 128:9
117:7 120:15	135:4 140:22	197:4 206:16	177:24 182:15

[purpose - quote]

	T		
185:10	148:14 149:1,5	quantify 140:1	100:18 101:4,5
purposes 61:18	149:6,20,23	quantities	106:1 117:9
86:6,7,12,24	150:9,12	136:9 195:18	121:1,14 143:8
87:4,10 166:8	151:12,14,18	196:16 218:23	158:24 159:2
181:23 182:2	152:4 154:12	219:14,14,15	159:16,17,21
182:12,22	166:15 167:9	quarter 57:21	159:23 160:7
184:3,19 185:4	179:14 184:5	151:7	161:19,23
185:8	188:12,14	quarters 26:25	168:17,22
pursuant 5:18	pushing 110:16	quest 260:21	169:1,4 172:1
209:24 247:21	put 8:14 27:9	question 9:9	172:23 175:9
pursue 31:23	42:21 44:18	12:7 26:3	177:1 178:18
42:14 74:16	54:9 57:2	44:20 56:2	181:3,24
75:10,19,22	63:17 70:24	75:20 76:15	193:11 199:11
76:2,6,17 82:9	75:11 84:11	78:20 79:14	199:16 200:18
82:10,17	92:11,24	102:14 105:25	205:4,16,19
163:22 176:9	111:16 113:15	106:1 109:17	212:14,16,23
176:22 222:13	119:14 133:13	109:24 114:4	214:15,23
222:18	181:16 186:10	145:13 152:14	216:22,24
pursued 42:1,2	198:13 211:13	157:11 164:5	217:1,4,6,8,13
74:23 75:1	226:12 229:13	179:22 180:1	218:1 220:20
82:23 90:23	242:3 256:16	182:1,10	220:22,24
191:21 204:25	puts 235:19	186:13 189:17	228:8 229:4,12
pursuing 42:13	putting 76:20	190:12,17,18	230:16 233:17
73:21 76:3,19	250:2 251:20	191:13 193:22	233:22
80:7 82:13,13	q	193:25 199:24	quick 241:8
82:14,17 97:24	qualification	202:11 213:15	244:20
201:5	21:9	214:5,22 215:9	quickly 131:15
pursuit 79:23	qualifies	219:5 232:1	quite 23:3 45:6
purview 36:21	141:24 142:21	questioning	111:7 115:16
purvis 129:18	qualify 245:20	111:18 159:8	230:4,6,8
130:8,13,14	quality 52:1	202:15	quote 6:20
131:14 132:24	249:13	questions 23:14	44:25 46:7
133:19 139:7	quantification	40:1 47:6,17	48:25 65:16
140:12,13,25	82:22	52:16 58:1,16	97:18 106:21
146:16 147:5	02.22	72:15 94:18	106:25 114:13

[quote - rebuttal]

114:13 186:7	123:1 148:10	read 14:5 44:19	realm 88:7
187:5 218:16	148:12 151:20	44:19 63:7	89:10 139:13
219:8 225:25	151:21,25	123:8 125:20	264:9
r	154:21,23,24	165:10 166:14	reaps 7:18
r 2:1 3:1 6:6	157:25 158:22	182:6,8,9	rearing 272:14
9:24 10:23	158:23 159:6	187:5 188:19	reason 68:13
17:14 208:1	200:19 216:24	189:9 205:1	69:18 76:13
248:14 251:9	217:1 234:6,17	230:4,5,9	79:2 107:11
252:20,20,21	247:3 273:6	231:14 232:3	119:18 120:21
252:20,20,21	ranking 67:16	260:3	122:22 137:22
259:16 265:8,8	68:6 69:5	reading 123:11	145:7 206:3
271:5,6	rankings 15:15	165:12 189:10	215:12 233:3
radiation	rarely 52:20	231:20 260:12	244:12 269:18
260:14	rate 52:17	reads 232:17	reasonable
radical 14:6,7	71:14	ready 102:9	99:7,23,25
14:23	rather 44:18	105:3	126:21 171:2
rain 14:19	187:19 212:8	real 153:6	183:2 234:24
rainbow 126:1	224:3 235:15	241:7	243:14,19
raise 20:2,5,7	251:21	realize 122:19	reasonably
247:13 270:23	rational 24:1	really 15:8,22	99:19 182:13
270:24	reach 18:6	25:12 30:22	183:9 195:17
raised 179:10	33:19 62:5	31:4 34:8	reasons 84:21
271:18	63:25 64:2,15	47:20 51:14	245:16
raises 74:8	122:3 123:5	54:15 59:14	reassigned
raising 248:3,6	224:5,6,7,9	66:4 74:8	226:5
ran 84:14	235:14	85:11 89:9	rebut 164:8
92:10 94:2	reached 5:7	90:5 96:7	rebuttal 23:15
149:4	29:11 30:24	153:24 178:24	26:21 43:9
rancho 14:11	62:12 90:24	188:5 190:9	44:16,22 65:10
range 188:8	97:1 100:24	192:5,6 193:18	114:17 124:10
ranging 131:22	122:6 194:4	194:10 196:21	128:8 165:10
rankin 2:22 4:5	reaches 129:13	198:5 199:10	165:13 178:25
4:9 121:13,15	203:16	216:18 253:7	182:7 190:25
121:18,22	reaction 153:22	264:9,10	191:12 203:3
121:16,22	205:10		205:2 244:22
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

[rebuttal - reflect]

	I	I	
245:17 246:11	116:22 161:8,9	178:15 190:16	redirect 4:11
recalculation	177:4,7	191:24 222:8	4:16 168:20,23
91:25	recognized	222:12,14,19	185:20 228:14
recall 47:6 51:4	241:18	224:6,7,10,24	228:17 229:1
52:13,16 81:2	recognizes	225:6 228:4	reduce 110:23
88:1 140:25	238:23 241:13	260:16 268:3	129:12 135:8
146:22 157:23	272:9	records 182:23	151:6,16 154:2
158:1 172:4,12	recollection	225:2	154:4 269:19
173:2 177:18	84:8 108:10	recover 73:16	270:4
186:11	229:25	recovered	reduces 48:6
receive 27:8	recommend	41:19,24 72:22	reducing 154:1
50:10 60:6	158:11,16	72:23 73:6,12	reduction
received 27:7	recommendat	recoveries	136:4
64:2 230:15	124:4	95:12	reed 24:24
receives 270:4	recommendat	recovering	refer 26:20
receiving	66:21,22 124:1	73:8	43:8 44:20
132:24	178:9,14 205:3	recovery 136:5	47:6 86:9
recent 18:4	205:5,8	246:5,14	125:9,16
83:7 176:17,18	recommended	recreation	reference 125:8
237:21 252:7	179:1 180:16	257:20	209:5 265:19
recently 213:10	195:25 204:19	rectify 96:12	referenced 43:6
260:2 266:11	205:13 245:15	red 71:21 72:3	55:15 93:14
receptive	recommending	195:12 205:6	170:24 176:1
204:17	196:1	205:13 232:23	209:8 261:9
recess 57:24	reconcile 97:14	233:1	references 59:2
121:6 206:9	reconsider	redeem 176:4	209:7
reclaim 6:24	230:2	176:16	referencing
reclaimed 6:22	reconsolidate	redeemed	128:17
174:24	110:22	96:18 174:25	referred 48:23
reclamation	record 5:1	175:4,6 176:4	169:5,12,13
7 4 1 6 40 01	21:12 30:23	176:8	referring 23:24
7:4,16 42:21	21.12 30.23		
7:4,16 42:21 76:20 82:15	46:5 63:23	redemption	26:2 45:12
,			26:2 45:12 141:8 227:23
76:20 82:15	46:5 63:23	redemption	
76:20 82:15 94:21 95:11,19	46:5 63:23 64:7 94:17	redemption 175:1	141:8 227:23

[reflected - report]

reflected	234:25 235:6	relief 14:17	reminder 45:11
172:17	243:15 258:24	rely 65:11	remotely
reform 272:18	regulator 88:11	193:14	102:16
refresh 227:16	regulatory 45:8	relying 66:4	remove 32:22
refunded 269:7	59:16 67:2	114:22	36:12 114:16
regard 163:24	124:1 182:14	remain 21:11	236:17 239:5
164:5 195:8	182:17,24	218:12 236:20	239:23
regarding 8:25	237:14 245:13	241:22 242:5	removed 48:14
24:21 44:2	246:2,6	remaining	52:2 175:3
51:5 52:16	rehabilitated	136:3 258:3	removes 237:1
55:6 161:7	7:23	remains 219:8	removing
169:2 172:24	reimburse	238:23 260:18	26:12,13,13,14
178:9 202:13	42:20	remarks 16:20	179:1
215:3 230:16	reimbursement	remediate	rendered
268:21	39:21 95:12	256:11	240:12
register 46:12	related 9:5,5	remediated	repairing 167:4
registered	23:15 81:4,5	13:7	196:19
45:21 46:1	98:9 128:5	remediating	repeat 6:24
118:18 246:1,9	169:18 220:5	18:10 40:25	22:4
registers 46:10	221:14 274:13	remediation	repetitive
191:18	relationship	13:4 25:3,3,7	243:9
registration	223:25 224:2	98:9 126:7	rephrase
45:22 89:13	relative 139:16	160:19 177:10	218:13
127:23 242:9	relatively 128:2	265:25	rephrasing
242:17	relayed 112:9	remember	197:21
regular 202:23	release 202:4,7	11:10,13 41:9	replace 35:9
regularly 52:22	released 24:22	60:16 80:22	54:5 209:9
133:7 170:22	25:21 26:3,7	85:7 87:23	replacement
regulate 177:10	206:1	89:19,21 92:6	4:19 34:13
192:17 207:3,5	releases 177:10	141:2 154:9	48:22 55:19,23
regulation	releasing 249:4	164:24 165:12	56:19 57:17,19
106:15,18	relegated 12:2	166:21 167:14	replug 111:17
regulations	relevant 77:1	182:4 204:14	report 31:10
98:8 202:19	243:11,20	remind 16:20	32:11 41:5,5
207:9 228:22			47:2 51:6

[report - respond]

	1	I	1
72:14,16 79:23	represents 93:6	124:16 126:24	reserves 166:18
93:14 94:21,24	115:5 149:8	156:16 157:19	166:18,24
95:7 96:2	reputable	158:8,18 160:8	167:1,2,3,6,8
100:6,22 116:2	38:19	160:19 179:8	reservoir 136:2
124:2 173:7,12	reputation	200:23 201:21	182:18 245:10
217:22 218:3	35:15	231:9 232:12	reservoirs
219:21 274:7	request 47:1	236:11 239:11	136:9
reported 28:7	104:7 158:4	241:4,4 243:22	resident 268:12
30:4 32:18	159:7 183:24	269:10	residents 7:17
38:3 41:19	212:12 243:11	requirement	253:13
45:21 49:21	243:20 244:15	75:7 76:1	resides 173:1
50:4 55:9 74:4	requested	112:8,9 120:13	residing 6:15
92:2 144:23	101:21 186:10	123:4 167:10	residue 11:24
179:12 218:7	196:11 197:4	200:25 236:25	11:25
260:6	204:16 245:16	244:15	resistance
reporter 230:9	requesting	requirements	110:18
reporting 24:9	84:15 198:20	24:6 49:7,14	resolved 32:23
28:5,12,17,23	247:16	82:11 86:25	89:25 222:21
29:2 45:20	requests 64:25	99:10 213:12	222:22
130:5 131:4	115:20 122:2	235:1 244:1	resolves 55:14
144:13 145:12	196:22,23	251:19 259:24	resource
219:6,25	244:4,5,13	264:21 272:1	167:22 184:3
reports 95:19	require 16:6	requires 14:22	251:23
144:20,21	29:8 36:7,10	76:6,11,16	resources 2:10
145:8 218:14	36:13,15 80:3	103:23 106:20	3:10,15 8:20
represent	107:15 109:15	123:21 161:7	18:15 81:14,16
58:14 160:4	156:19 161:4	236:2 237:3	81:18 82:10
162:6 221:4	177:10 228:3	242:1	91:3 169:1,2
representative	235:11 237:6	requiring 18:5	177:15 257:23
70:10 71:7	239:6,25 240:1	45:7 117:13	respect 84:9
93:15	240:7 248:10	126:23 244:3	134:15 166:7
representing	required 32:6	reread 216:6,8	166:15 271:20
121:22 206:16	32:11 45:21	231:24	respond 24:7,8
212:21 217:19	46:10 50:14	reserve 56:15	26:4 27:3
	74:20 79:11	184:9	40:10 41:23

[respond - right]

43:14 45:14,15	240:9 241:18	reviewed 44:21	57:13,14,23
52:4,19 116:23	restrictions	194:1 205:9,11	58:3 65:17
152:12 168:3	236:15	221:14	68:19 69:15,18
180:5	rests 241:19	reviewing	70:8 77:13
responded	resubmit 55:18	27:14 54:3	79:8 80:25
53:20	result 102:21	59:1 72:11	86:23 88:1
responding	128:1 135:12	175:11 178:7	89:19 91:21
53:18	136:4,25	237:19	92:5 111:5
responds 55:1	138:11,19	reviews 27:7	120:24 121:13
245:17	147:2 167:21	29:11 223:8	122:21 127:24
response 21:5	213:25 216:1	revised 59:24	128:21,25
47:9,16 143:7	resulted 171:20	93:1 141:10	129:22 130:15
167:25 241:4	results 86:15	209:24	130:19 132:18
responsibilities	87:6 185:14	revision 64:1	134:16 135:10
245:9	retain 243:21	revisions 242:9	138:21 140:12
responsibility	retains 243:17	revitalize	141:11 144:7
19:1 258:6	retired 6:15	135:25	144:14 146:3
264:19 269:13	253:5 262:24	revocable	147:8 148:2,7
271:19 272:4	return 20:14	75:15	148:13 149:1
responsible	121:9 171:9	rich 259:2	149:23 151:8
7:19 16:22	245:22	270:12	151:13,15,20
18:9 19:13	returning 5:9	richard 160:4	152:10,13
26:23 72:17	revamp 135:25	206:15	155:4 157:24
191:17 257:23	revenue 135:21	rid 258:7	163:7 169:6
268:24 272:16	revenues 270:4	rig 54:9 103:16	174:10 179:9
responsive	reverend 17:21	103:21,23,24	185:25 197:14
101:6	19:23	right 6:7 10:4	204:12 206:3
rest 272:16	review 72:5,6,8	11:3 12:11	207:22 212:3
restored	104:5 130:10	17:6,16 21:25	213:17 214:9
201:24	165:20 174:18	22:3,20 26:20	216:23 219:4
restrict 174:2	214:6 221:10	30:10 32:7	228:25 232:17
restricted	221:13 223:15	33:14,17 34:15	233:6,25
173:23	223:16 225:14	37:14 38:17	247:10 248:24
restriction	225:20 226:4	44:14 46:8	250:17 254:1
238:10 239:1	231:1 241:21	55:12 57:4,11	256:23 262:15

[right - rulemaking]

	1		1
263:21 268:1	rock 260:17	33:1,1,2,8 39:9	177:18,21
270:25 271:3	role 14:13	45:20,20,23	184:22 187:21
rights 39:17,24	38:20	47:19 50:7,15	195:5,9 199:7
117:23,25	romero 52:14	59:2 60:7,17	201:23 202:5
118:2,4 139:11	98:7 115:2,11	60:21,24 63:6	204:14 211:12
139:16 153:8	romero's 98:3	63:22 64:6,12	213:18 215:3,4
177:2 246:24	room 5:14,25	70:4 78:14	215:5,20 221:7
righty 122:18	16:10 17:4,5	79:14 81:23	221:10,13,16
rio 13:25	64:24 189:14	82:3 83:17	228:20 230:8
260:18	197:18 271:1	87:20 88:20	231:10,21
rise 70:21	272:21,22	122:11 123:15	232:12,17
risk 39:5 69:5	rosa 24:24	123:21 125:4	233:12 235:16
77:22,24 78:4	52:14	125:10,22	235:19,21
78:17 79:4,5	rossi 248:4,5,6	126:4,20	236:2 241:13
83:16 93:6	248:13,13,17	127:15,24	241:17 242:9
110:23 120:5	248:19,20	128:4,6 130:19	245:21 246:23
127:17,18	250:19	131:8,11,18,22	249:15 250:9
129:13 142:19	roswell 2:16	131:25 132:21	251:17,24
142:24 143:22	105:22	135:3 136:16	252:6,12
144:2 145:17	rough 25:9	136:21 137:1	254:14 259:23
154:18 155:4	roughly 33:4,6	137:24 138:8	271:25 272:9
155:13,17	80:10 139:25	138:11 139:10	rulemaking
162:13 186:25	154:20 198:23	139:15 140:15	5:19 12:24
187:1,11,15	226:25	141:3,14 142:3	14:2 24:4
188:2 192:6	rounding 26:24	142:9,22	29:18 39:12
235:7,19	routes 200:13	146:11 147:2	43:13,16 45:2
240:24 250:3	routinely	147:18,25	45:4,9,18
258:8,13 272:6	194:21	148:20 150:6,7	46:24 48:18
risks 246:23	royalties	152:12,25	49:4,25 58:23
261:10	117:15,17,18	153:1,7 155:9	59:12 61:2,4,6
river 11:7,11	rubric 166:15	156:3,15	61:11,18 62:8
53:10,11 54:18	167:9	157:12,16,18	63:5,17 64:14
260:22	rubrics 184:13	157:22 158:2,3	64:17,21 65:6
roads 9:1	rule 17:24 19:5	158:7,15,19	81:20 87:16
	30:15 32:7	162:18,21	93:17 99:23

[rulemaking - screen]

111:10 112:25	256:19 257:13	sample 70:10	scale 154:14
113:6 114:7,23	258:24 261:21	71:7	203:21
115:6 116:12	263:17 265:21	san 101:9,17	scatterplot
116:18 117:10	265:23 268:21	105:19,24	47:20 113:23
117:21 119:16	272:18	108:8 112:7,8	114:2 179:15
121:25 122:5	run 28:4 33:5	155:1	scenario 88:12
134:15 204:4	34:12 41:5,10	sand 203:25	200:12
204:20 213:3	71:14 93:22	santa 1:7 2:4	scenarios 51:24
213:25 214:7	113:12 154:8	2:11,22 3:16	54:25
221:12 232:25	199:19 225:14	6:16 8:24 9:12	scene 9:4
233:8 244:24	251:17	10:5	schedule 82:1
257:12 271:14	running 241:6	satellites 266:7	scheduled
rulemakings	rural 250:2	satisfied 118:11	213:13
61:9	S	saw 8:22 15:5,7	schedules
rules 5:19 6:17	s 1:6 2:1 3:1 6:6	21:4,5 133:18	26:11
14:25 18:23,25	8:7,7 9:24	134:1 205:2	school 14:10
19:21 60:14	10:23 12:18,18	229:15 253:12	15:14 266:19
63:1 64:10,23	17:15,15 105:3	sayer 3:11,13	270:6
66:25 67:5	105:6,9 207:25	121:11 216:22	science 15:23
74:11 76:5,16	208:1 248:14	saying 11:13	245:25
78:18 79:9	248:14 251:9,9	68:10 87:22	scope 27:6,10
80:2,4 81:4	254:6 257:5	130:25 131:1	43:13 52:3
88:2 99:3	259:16 262:17	140:6 182:21	116:7,8 140:10
127:3,11 158:5	265:8	187:6 218:10	140:21 161:12
158:18 163:7	sacred 18:3,16	263:20	165:18 235:15
167:21 181:10	sacrifice	says 49:5 51:19	scoping 61:4
197:1 200:3	261:24	65:15 66:9	133:15 134:25
213:24 217:24	sad 253:17	85:21 88:4	scout 14:11
218:8 219:3,4	safe 7:24 155:6	99:18 113:18	screen 23:18
225:24 228:20	155:16 248:23	170:7,8,10	27:19 31:24
231:6 232:8	261:14	173:16 209:21	34:17,23 40:1
234:22 237:12	safety 155:11	210:7 231:2,14	44:18 47:13
246:12 247:22	saint 1:6	232:4 237:16	56:8 98:2
249:16 250:15	salvage 95:11	241:1	102:17 105:9
255:24 256:17	sarvage 93.11		122:12,24

[screen - set]

125:11 149:12	105:1,9 121:11	seeking 242:11	sensitive
173:5	122:9,21	seem 209:18	246:18
screens 34:21	123:17 124:9	260:25	sent 227:25
scroll 28:8 46:7	125:21 128:7	seems 104:20	260:2
	129:9 130:11	106:1 154:20	
102:2 seal 80:17			sentence 198:4 248:12
	131:15,16	seen 6:21,23	
searches 37:8	133:6,8 136:11	60:11 61:7	separate
194:3	142:16 143:9	67:4,21 70:17	106:23 161:5
second 46:14	155:21,25	71:2,13,17	223:12
56:23 102:4,7	157:8 159:15	72:4 80:14	separated
104:13 122:13	168:6 175:6,12	113:16 183:14	108:4
126:18 198:8	175:17 176:6,7	187:14 193:10	separately
209:20 236:6	188:20 189:20	210:20 233:12	109:16
245:24	190:4 194:5	253:10,16,20	separators
secretary 97:16	200:8 202:4	sees 27:10	26:13
97:21	205:6,7,13	188:3	series 172:2
section 44:21	209:5 211:6	selected 132:7	serious 251:16
45:11 125:4,4	219:18 247:7	self 192:17	261:10
210:8 230:25	247:14 248:3	selling 258:8	serves 42:8
235:9 237:20	251:1 252:15	semi 6:15	164:23 246:2
sections 191:1	254:2 262:8,8	send 14:10	service 15:25
see 9:17 17:7	264:13 266:15	42:15 116:6	16:7 19:21
21:18 28:9	266:22 270:25	134:4 219:15	214:11 263:4
29:18 31:19,21	272:21 273:12	219:19	266:1
34:1,6,8 35:16	seeing 14:16,17	sense 7:8 9:8	session 5:8 6:1
36:5 37:2,14	20:1 60:25	25:15 42:11	20:5,8 255:20
38:3,3 47:22	67:20 69:4	73:14,18,22	270:20,20
54:3,14 55:8	70:21 105:5,7	74:18 75:18	272:24
64:16 66:7	133:7 143:25	84:22 85:24	sessions 20:10
71:15 72:11,19	144:1 203:14	97:25 156:19	213:13
77:7 83:24	204:14 231:16	165:6 167:13	set 64:21 72:1
86:7 87:14	247:6	231:18 250:13	83:25 109:19
90:25 98:12	seek 61:4	257:21 264:4,4	110:16 137:19
101:24 102:19	135:24 236:22	270:11 272:2	145:3 146:10
103:2 104:3	237:5		157:6 184:16

[set - similar]

		I	
219:23 220:5	123:18 173:5,5	shorthand	184:18,19
238:3 240:11	sharing 27:19	274:8	186:24 256:5
263:25 274:8	102:17 105:8	shortly 135:7	shut 53:25
sets 66:1	122:23,25	shot 114:13	119:5,12
235:14,25	123:18	shoulder 8:16	152:15,15
setting 110:3	shaw 12:17,17	272:17	254:20 269:5
110:21 260:16	12:21,23 13:13	show 19:14	shutdown
settlement	shear 68:1	24:12 31:3	116:23
24:10 27:8	sheared 71:22	34:3 35:10	shutter 39:15
55:3 169:17	72:3	37:1 40:21	side 26:16
170:9,24	shed 227:20	44:9 47:22	117:8 118:14
171:17,19	sheely 250:20	85:2 113:13	219:23 220:6
settlements	250:21 253:24	129:19 138:5	sierra 13:25
169:12 228:3	253:25 255:1,4	180:8 196:15	14:3 16:1,18
seven 41:21,25	255:10,13	260:4	268:19
53:15 95:18,24	256:24,25	showed 24:24	sign 18:15
several 191:23	257:1,4,5,8,10	35:9 41:12	272:24
245:3	259:9	129:14 130:3	signature
severances	sheet 174:5	143:11 144:9	274:16
222:10	175:11 247:18	146:6 153:22	signed 247:8
severe 15:14	sheets 173:20	187:12 266:25	significant
136:12	sheila 5:23 17:6	showing 33:23	26:17 63:11
severely 90:15	23:19 247:4	34:9 44:11	70:16 71:3
sfos 169:13	248:2,4 250:20	84:15,16,18	72:2 106:14
shallow 225:9	255:11	96:23 142:18	significantly
shandler 1:24	shelton's 97:16	144:2,2 145:22	49:13 198:21
shanor 2:15	ship 207:5	146:3 164:20	203:6,9 269:20
212:21	shocked 132:14	175:4 179:18	similar 39:14
shape 64:10	132:16 266:2	196:15,16	41:5 53:22
share 19:1	shocking 132:4	shown 31:24	98:16,17
23:18 34:17,20	short 18:22,23	54:17 263:22	117:24 147:4
34:22 40:1	18:25 57:22	shows 18:4	160:21 164:25
47:13 56:8	75:20,23 159:1	27:13 77:5	165:14 194:5
83:7 98:2,3	206:17 245:23	96:16 151:14	201:4 244:14
122:22,23		175:25 176:3	

[similarly - source]

similarly	267:5	129:19 154:12	something's
177:13	sites 98:10,21	slightly 109:19	38:1
simms 17:9,10	223:17 256:12	132:1 263:2	somewhat
simple 26:7	267:1	slo 4:20 201:4	224:2
40:13 78:14	sits 33:14 38:9	212:6 215:15	soon 242:3
174:4 272:15	38:17	216:2,6,15	sooner 136:17
simplistic	sitting 53:3,18	slo's 219:10	187:19
272:13	120:2 203:17	slow 155:7	sorely 7:14
simply 41:1	226:20	small 73:14	sorry 37:8
61:21 80:20	situation 54:23	174:12 176:20	94:24 95:6
117:6 120:14	108:21 267:15	251:14,17	102:15 105:8
126:23 135:15	six 5:6 36:4,5	252:1 264:16	191:9 215:6,10
168:13	36:12 104:9	269:17	217:25 222:6
sims 17:11,14	110:4,9 220:7	smell 266:21	222:24 223:7
17:14,18,20,21	225:4	smog 15:15	228:13 231:20
19:24	sixty 178:3,6	smoother	231:24 232:2
single 31:12	skiing 268:16	183:25	262:13
37:12 50:15	skip 202:11	snubbing 69:11	sort 45:1
75:25 92:4	skips 175:18	social 259:3	133:15 164:5
99:22 123:5	sky 86:9 87:15	society 166:17	166:15 202:20
200:1,7,9,15,16	185:10	soil 257:18	210:16 263:20
251:24	slant 263:2	sole 184:25	sorts 90:11
singularly	slide 98:3,4	solely 79:24	sound 81:7
107:2	128:17,17	193:14	165:20
sinkhole	131:14,16	solid 37:25	sounds 65:18
254:17,24	132:8 181:19	86:14,17 87:7	78:9,10,16
255:9,25 256:5	185:18 186:6	110:14,24	80:25 93:5
sir 6:2 43:8	188:12,18,19	198:1 201:2	108:12,20,25
199:12	189:5 190:13	solutions	125:19 167:13
sit 138:7 266:3	190:14 193:1,1	274:17	185:20 188:7
site 7:23 11:7	194:8 195:2,15	somebody	194:11 195:4
12:4 25:8,20	196:9,24 198:8	28:18 38:21	199:5 204:8
25:21 26:2,10	slides 65:10	139:4 150:5	213:16 266:18
54:19 98:9	92:6 113:14,16	222:8 227:15	source 35:2
160:20 163:11	125:3 128:16		46:17

[south - stands]

41 0 10 10 5	240 10 22 24	251 6 252 17	1 070 7
south 2:10 10:5	240:10,23,24	251:6 252:17	squarely 272:7
254:18	245:17,19	254:3 257:3	squeeze 97:19
southeast 6:21	263:24	259:14 262:15	234:11,13
101:18 107:18	specifically	265:6 268:2	st 2:10
108:13 251:15	31:11 44:24	271:3	stabilized
266:4	60:17 81:22	spend 252:3	180:12
southeastern	89:18 96:1	270:6	staff 23:1 72:12
261:8	99:6 103:15	spending 97:9	81:19 90:19
southern	107:17 110:15	259:3 269:16	stahlecker 6:5
260:16,20	125:2 128:11	spent 6:19	6:6,8,11,13,14
261:7	155:12 166:8	20:19 111:15	8:2
southwest	176:7 177:11	204:2 216:10	staining 26:11
32:18	238:5	249:22 256:1	stakeholders
spanish 20:11	specifies 158:3	spill 260:17	189:18
20:11 247:17	spectrum 29:10	spillage 272:11	stance 117:2
247:17	speculate	spilled 260:22	204:13
speak 6:16 17:8	103:24 104:24	spills 223:14	stand 6:3
17:11 21:18	227:13	257:24	162:16 265:20
43:6 203:1	speculating	split 106:6,6	271:3
260:1 271:13	94:22 104:9	spoils 257:19	standard 66:11
speaker 259:7	speculation	spoke 224:1	88:20 110:9
speaking 17:22	165:22 166:10	272:25	111:11,11,12
81:1 84:13	166:16 167:17	sportsmanly	112:10 137:19
227:9 248:21	speculative	23:4	standardized
254:12 271:16	86:5,7,12,15,24	spot 107:24,25	110:8
specific 65:21	87:4,6,10	133:5	standing 141:6
92:7 101:3	88:10 166:19	spread 23:1	142:25 143:2,4
103:16 104:6	167:11 181:23	106:7	158:13 211:6
105:1 122:11	184:3 185:4,8	spreadsheet	225:19 226:1
124:1 127:3,10	185:10,14	24:9 33:16	244:16
131:24 132:6	spell 5:16 6:3	47:24 75:3	standpoint
137:4 138:13	8:4 9:22 12:16	96:16	61:14
138:18 139:13	13:14 16:11	spud 36:23	stands 50:5
165:3,5,6	17:13 207:23	54:24	151:21 169:9
170:2 194:6	247:20 248:11		

[star - statute]

			1
star 20:7 49:1	44:12,12 46:11	235:13 242:11	242:20,25
270:23	46:15 49:8	249:17 250:7	245:2
stars 49:1	50:23 51:1	251:18,22,24	statewide
start 6:2 8:12	67:14 70:23	252:5,9 255:22	115:24 116:1
10:3 14:24	73:5 75:5	256:6 258:12	131:20 138:19
22:23 33:10	77:20 79:4	259:2 261:3,22	138:24 148:23
43:15 58:16	84:19 89:15,18	261:24 267:6	153:3
94:18 109:13	89:18 90:15,20	268:15 270:4,9	stating 52:5
129:10 153:9	99:2,6 101:20	state's 129:20	166:9 177:3
178:13 181:19	117:15,17,19	140:20,22	statistic 132:4
194:21 259:21	118:14,19	142:19 250:14	statistical 70:9
262:23 265:13	123:4 130:4,18	258:18 261:16	statistics 71:15
268:10 271:11	132:14 134:9	stated 62:1,3	133:14
273:5,8	134:13 135:21	68:15 73:1	status 25:21
started 49:25	137:5 143:1	74:2,18 77:20	26:1 35:11
49:25 62:19	149:25 150:6	77:22,25 78:2	82:6 84:11
97:7 112:6	152:22 160:5,9	82:12 87:3	156:2,4 162:21
134:1 135:20	160:12,13,15	166:24 189:23	164:19 198:11
166:23 229:14	160:15,17,20	195:5	210:23 211:1,8
starting 32:4	160:22,25	statement 4:4	211:8 218:8,12
54:7 56:12	161:3,7,9,11,15	79:21 123:12	236:8,19
112:6 130:2	177:15 183:15	206:18,20	237:25 238:7
150:4 153:1	186:1,5 191:25	208:21 212:2	238:16,19
starts 110:16	206:11,17,21	234:5,17	239:17 240:5
110:21 176:15	206:22,23,23	240:13 242:15	241:16,20
state 1:2 7:4,7	206:24 207:1,2	statements	242:2,3,5
8:4,22 9:22	207:3,4,6,11	122:9 193:19	243:13,16,18
10:21 12:15	208:14,15,17	states 23:22	244:14 246:13
13:1,7,14 15:6	213:9,11,24	25:18 26:22	statute 64:21
15:18 23:2	214:3 215:9	40:6 41:18	65:11,15,20,24
24:5 28:12,23	217:21 218:3	45:5 66:11	66:9,16 99:14
28:24 29:6,7	219:7,10 221:6	90:11,20,25	153:3 190:5
29:19 30:22	221:19 222:15	91:5 98:7	210:14 232:17
32:10,16,21	223:25 228:22	127:15 193:7	235:13,17,25
33:24 34:1,2	231:12 235:2,4	194:4 242:14	236:2,16,18

[statute - subsidize]

227.2.10.220.2	4.1.262.5	4 1 260 12	1
237:2,18 238:3	stick 263:5	struck 260:12	submissions
238:12 240:11	stimulate	structure	243:8
241:12,13	147:12	126:20 127:16	submit 5:23
statute's	stipulate 171:8	stuck 136:13	42:18 44:11
237:19	stipulated	studies 245:19	57:1 72:9
statutes 66:7	169:14 171:3	study 70:9	212:10 232:23
144:25 209:21	stopped 67:25	133:20 135:11	233:1,14 248:1
statutory 65:8	86:23	136:22,23	259:22
65:22 235:22	storage 266:17	137:4 139:5,9	submits 94:25
236:15 238:7	stores 261:16	139:12 148:5,6	103:9
238:10,24	stories 261:2	260:6	submittal
239:1,19 241:6	straight 234:10	stuff 100:9	209:6
241:18,23	strains 235:17	215:25	submitted
stay 90:6	strapped 31:17	stumbling	27:18 38:6
164:19 190:2	strata 106:23	230:5	40:6 59:25
235:8,15	straw 212:24	suazo 3:5 4:8	63:1,3 64:6
stayed 89:10	street 3:4	4:14 55:25	98:4 100:10
staying 72:14	274:18	58:2,6,7,10,13	115:5 182:25
97:10 126:22	strictly 190:15	83:11,14 91:20	184:1 234:23
steadily 37:16	strike 185:11	91:21,23 94:6	submitting
steady 145:22	185:11 186:15	94:7 214:14,15	210:18 225:3
stench 266:21	230:7,10	214:18 216:17	subpart 123:21
stenographic	striking 51:12	216:20,21	125:10 210:7
274:8	stripper 224:18	subject 43:11	subpoena
step 88:21	strong 10:14	55:2 70:22	193:21
169:23	17:23 85:20	88:18 92:4	subsection
stepdaughter	185:5,6 254:13	99:2 165:19	210:8 235:13
15:14	stronger 19:20	175:13 183:13	subsequent
stepped 223:2	250:15 256:19	187:8 210:7	241:3
250:24	264:11	215:25 221:11	subset 31:11
stepping 54:16	strongly 12:8	subjected	44:19 93:18
54:20	221:7,15	187:7	99:4
steps 74:4	243:16 252:11	submission	subsidize
235:21 239:20	264:20	63:10 191:4	270:12
		233:13 243:11	

[subsidizing - swear]

subsidizing	suite 3:4,15	263:16 264:2	surprised
270:7	274:18	supposedly	35:13 111:10
substantial	suits 228:2	104:18	134:20 143:14
32:24 88:18	sum 97:3 175:1	sure 46:7 51:16	surprises 132:1
171:18 172:25	summary 212:9	52:11 56:10	surrebuttal 4:8
subsurface	summer 97:17	57:3 63:7,8,24	4:18 21:22,24
245:10	249:7	74:9 82:20	22:20 23:6,10
subtypes 49:10	sundries 72:10	84:6 86:16	23:15 27:22
success 221:20	superfluous	90:16 91:25	40:2 51:5
successful	240:12	95:2 97:12	55:14,16,17
167:17 171:24	supersize 14:15	98:22 110:22	56:10,18 57:16
185:25	support 6:17	135:23 142:8	57:18 58:18
successive	13:12 17:23,25	152:10 158:19	92:1,8 94:3
189:11	18:5 66:21	166:22 186:9	113:25 115:9
sue 170:18	76:24 84:9	190:25 191:10	116:20 141:7
sued 170:20	86:3 122:10	201:6 207:25	245:23
sufficient 7:22	123:13 124:20	208:16 214:3	survival 55:23
88:6 99:19	166:18 167:12	215:11 217:11	susan 16:13
156:23 179:3	188:9 207:8	221:25 222:4,5	suspected
184:18 200:10	243:20 245:11	222:5,8 225:18	79:22
200:12 235:3	250:5,8 252:4	225:22,25	sustain 18:16
254:21	252:4 254:13	227:12 228:4	sustains 19:19
sufficiently	257:11 268:20	229:24 230:3	swaths 261:7
57:15 74:10	272:18	230:23 267:8	swd 93:20
suggest 112:24	supported 64:7	surely 269:11	swear 5:19 6:9
240:6	supporting	surface 67:21	8:8 9:25 10:25
suggested	42:15 182:25	68:17,17 69:6	12:19 13:20
114:16	270:5	69:9 160:19	16:14 17:16
suggesting	supports 58:22	161:3,8,10	208:2 247:22
172:24	123:4 124:11	surficial 68:5	248:15 251:10
suggestion	156:9 221:7,15	surprise 65:4	252:22 254:8
59:24 210:12	234:24 242:9	95:14 96:13,18	257:6 259:17
suggestions	243:5,14	104:16 134:22	262:19 265:9
60:24,25	supposed 75:14	158:7	268:6 271:7
205:14	79:23 190:6		

[sweden - temporary]

sweden 260:3	take 5:8 32:1	112:17 114:2	267:14 269:21
swell 71:21	32:22 33:24	241:7	team 269:17
swelled 72:3	50:18 57:22	talked 191:5	teams 90:19,23
swerred 72.3 sworn 23:8	79:18 84:3	197:15 202:14	technical 5:10
208:10	89:4 95:21	talking 33:17	15:22 20:15
symbol 266:8	96:2 118:16	49:16 56:9	59:15 88:23,25
system 53:16	121:5 122:1	79:24 115:13	165:20 272:23
122:16 173:17	141:3 153:18	115:13 120:19	technique 12:5
184:4 258:7,10	174:3 176:13	124:23 125:6	technology
systems 119:5	206:6 218:22	128:10 129:16	266:7
t	230:19 231:18	140:10 145:3	teenagers
t 6:6 9:24 105:3	232:14 234:8	190:23	249:7
251:8 252:20	240:23 248:10	tally 21:14	tell 5:20 6:9 8:9
252:21 257:4	255:3,5,14	tangent 229:19	10:1,25 12:20
ta 40:18,20,22	264:2 269:10	tank 26:12 54:1	13:20 16:15
85:22 156:2,3	271:18 272:4	54:2	17:17 68:1
156:5,16 158:4	takeaway	tannis 2:5	71:19 99:8
158:14 162:21	119:2 120:8,15	162:5	100:8 104:2
162:25 164:19	120:18,18,21	tap 261:16	112:5 128:2
197:5 198:3,21	120:23	target 93:16	147:5 201:8
200:21 201:12	taken 24:9,16	targeted	208:3 247:23
241:20 242:5	24:19 27:7,17	127:11 128:3	248:16 251:11
243:13,16,18	31:20 90:1	131:24 143:21	252:23 254:8
243:23,24	117:2 163:13	155:20 234:24	257:7 259:18
· ·	224:14 226:24	237:15 240:22	262:20 265:10
244:4,5 246:12 ta'd 183:14	239:19 274:5	tas 165:4 244:2	268:7 271:8
	takes 13:6	tasked 7:4	telling 129:2
ta'ing 84:24	31:22,25 73:24	tax 7:14 117:15	temporarily
table 204:10	97:22 119:8	117:17 135:21	126:20 236:8
tackle 60:5	163:10,12	270:6,12	236:19 237:24
tag 110:14,23	203:14,17	taxes 117:18	238:6,16,19
tagging 110:13	talk 11:12	taxpayers 7:13	239:16 240:5
111:21	74:25 83:15	8:16 249:22	241:15 254:25
tailings 260:17	97:4 100:25	255:9,21 256:6	temporary
tailored 128:3	106:15 108:14	256:13 265:23	79:11 84:9,13
			,

[temporary - thank]

85:9 127:4	testified 23:9	98:14 112:18	230:17 244:10
156:3 198:10	26:22 67:7	113:16 114:2	244:22 245:14
210:20,22	91:2 94:19	115:4 116:10	245:17 246:11
211:3 242:2	97:15,17 98:14	118:25 122:8,9	246:22,25
244:14	98:23 112:19	123:2,9 124:9	273:4
ten 7:10 70:20	113:8 114:12	124:10,10,20	testing 157:2
102:25 103:13	124:13 126:14	125:20,24	tests 260:4,15
103:24 135:18	129:18 134:12	128:13,16	texas 90:14,15
135:18 183:15	135:2 138:14	129:6 132:25	246:2,10
211:9,11 246:3	139:8 146:17	133:19 135:7	thank 6:3,8
250:6 272:25	150:5 162:9	135:17 139:6	7:25 8:1,11
tend 123:6	164:19 165:16	140:13,13,25	9:16,17,18
tens 54:8	208:10 213:1	141:7 142:17	10:18,24 11:2
206:25	244:24 246:4	151:18 152:6	12:11,12,23
term 24:2	testify 73:11	156:11 158:20	13:10,13,23,24
82:16 84:20,20	92:9 139:15	160:6 161:20	16:6,8,17 17:1
120:6 137:17	216:18	162:8,13 163:2	17:2,19 19:21
162:10,11,14	testifying 35:13	165:9,11,13	19:23 20:15
162:15 197:11	53:3 114:13	166:11,15,22	21:3,19 22:3
197:21 201:5	227:18	167:12,19,23	22:16,20,22,25
201:16 227:13	testimonies	168:1,16 169:5	23:19 34:15,20
termed 162:10	133:25	173:2,19 176:2	55:21 56:21
terminate	testimony 4:20	178:8 179:11	57:13 58:7
220:4,9,10	18:19 20:24	181:7 182:7	83:9 94:7,9,10
terming 86:5	21:10,11 23:15	190:25 191:12	94:12 102:8
terminology	25:1 26:21	205:2,9 206:18	109:17,24
166:9	31:3 33:3 40:8	207:10,14	115:19 120:25
terms 88:6 93:7	43:9 44:17,22	208:19,25	121:2,3,15,23
96:17 136:19	47:3 51:4,5	209:6 210:19	154:23 159:11
140:5 170:23	52:13 58:25	211:15,20	159:18,24
171:2 189:14	62:17 67:12	212:1,7,9,10	160:6,24
193:6 220:15	77:16 78:1	213:6 221:5,6	161:19,21,22
terrible 225:10	80:10,23 82:19	225:12 226:7	162:1,7 168:16
test 66:1	84:12 95:18	226:20,23	168:18,19
107:19 180:19	96:7 97:16	227:2 228:19	170:1 173:8
			-

[thank - think]

174:6 175:22	259:8,20 262:2	60:4 62:2	67:3,14 68:15
180:24,25	262:3,22 263:3	63:21 67:16,21	68:21 69:24
181:7,19,20	263:4 264:21	80:18 82:2	70:3,12,17,20
186:6 188:18	264:23 265:12	85:10 87:6	71:10 72:22,25
188:18 192:21	267:17,18	88:9 91:22	74:8 75:2,11
192:23 198:7	268:9 270:14	93:10 94:1	75:12,23,23
199:9,10,12,14	270:15 271:10	100:22 104:1	76:9,23,25
199:17,22	271:12,13	106:20 108:1	77:10,18,20,22
200:17 202:10	272:19,20	110:3 113:17	77:25 78:1,13
205:16,17,18	thanks 16:6	118:24 119:12	78:13 80:4,21
205:20 206:2,5	229:12 233:17	124:24 136:13	81:1 82:12,15
206:13 207:15	that'd 157:1	137:23 145:11	82:19 84:1,3,5
208:5,7 211:15	233:10	170:14 171:9	84:12,14,21
212:5,13	the0 80:6	178:19 179:1	85:18,19 86:1
214:10,12,20	theirs 269:12	184:20 186:4	86:7,13 87:22
216:20,21	269:22	191:15 192:2	87:23 88:1,1
217:3,5,9,10	theoretically	194:2 197:7	88:11,12,15,19
219:4 220:19	75:14 111:4	201:5 203:21	89:11 90:3,8
220:21,23	148:25	203:25 204:15	91:11,16 92:2
221:5,18 223:5	thermographic	205:14 229:18	92:12 93:9,18
227:21 228:7,9	266:15,25	247:19 264:13	93:19 94:22
228:10,16	thing 12:11	think 9:7,14	96:23 97:1,9
229:1,2,5,8,9	21:8 91:8,14	10:11 14:23	98:14 99:1,5
229:16 232:19	91:24 130:13	23:3 27:4,6,15	99:11,25
233:9,16,19,20	146:2 151:15	28:18 30:2	100:11,20,21
233:24,25	155:1 176:9,20	45:15,16 46:11	101:1,5 112:15
234:2,6,18,20	184:25 197:10	47:20 49:5	112:22 113:15
247:1,2 248:6	198:7 253:11	51:13,14,17,22	113:17 114:15
250:18,19	things 5:16 9:3	52:24,25 57:6	114:15,17
251:13 252:12	10:13 24:23	57:14 58:2,17	115:11,12
252:13,25	25:8 30:18	59:16 60:2,19	119:21,22
253:18,22	31:16 32:1	61:6,13,14	124:21,22,25
254:7,10	42:20 43:21	62:6,9,13,17,18	128:17 129:24
256:20,21,22	49:21 54:11	63:14,25 65:7	129:25 132:7
257:2,9 259:6	58:17 59:22	66:14,18,24	135:6,15

[think - time]

136:15,16,17	188:10 190:8	thousand 36:3	178:10,21,23
136:24 138:12	190:11,24	77:12	179:6,7,8
138:14,23	191:11,13	thousands	thresholds 39:6
139:4,22,23,25	192:13,14	30:10 45:25	83:16 133:4,5
140:2,6,17	195:10 197:23	54:8,9 71:12	165:4,6
141:8,9,10,13	197:24 200:19	97:23 206:25	ticket 102:12
142:4 143:7,22	201:3,13,14,16	248:22 252:5	103:5 104:3
143:25 144:15	202:2 203:2	261:6	tickets 103:7
146:5,13	204:4 210:15	threaten 256:9	tie 82:7 184:12
147:11,22	211:11 216:12	threatened	tied 25:16
148:4,8 150:19	216:14 224:1	260:11	46:20,22
150:25 151:9	228:25 229:18	three 5:21	103:15,21,23
151:22 152:4	229:23 232:1	26:24 31:22	184:3
153:8,11	233:10,11	32:20 39:1	tiffany 240:14
155:25 156:12	234:16 246:10	40:6,11,12,17	242:15 243:6
156:22,23	247:14 253:15	41:1 42:14	244:9,23
157:1,2,5,13	255:1 263:23	44:14 71:1	till 37:16
159:8,12 161:6	264:3 269:18	83:7 106:11	138:15
162:16 163:11	thinking 14:23	125:21 128:1	time 6:20 8:12
163:14,19	15:2,17 130:20	131:24 141:3,4	8:15 9:16 10:3
165:14 166:25	198:12	141:5 153:17	19:14,21 20:19
167:3,4,15,16	thinks 170:17	189:1 197:11	21:2 31:22,25
168:2,3,5	third 127:14	198:9,23,25	39:17 41:1
170:5,19,21	144:19 227:6	199:2 226:25	49:22 54:12,12
175:5,17	thirteen 83:13	227:10 247:24	60:8 61:3 63:6
178:17,22,25	thomas 9:23	threshold	63:22 65:1
179:1,14,15,19	thorough 61:4	83:18 84:6	69:19 73:17,23
180:6,6,11,17	thought 8:15	123:6 140:14	73:24,24,25
180:19 183:8	14:7 15:1	143:20 145:23	83:11 89:2
183:10,16,18	59:23 86:1	146:2,4,5,10,17	91:22 94:8
183:21,25	106:5 111:11	148:14,22	104:9 109:11
184:15,20,23	112:2 171:13	149:8 150:13	110:4 111:5,15
184:25 185:8	185:16 204:11	150:16 151:4,5	112:11 116:5
185:12,14,23	thoughtful	152:2,3,20	117:16 118:25
186:1,2 187:24	15:23	154:1 155:9	119:8 120:16

[time - treating]

120:20,25	73:13 77:9	tomorrow	town 10:5
122:14 132:4	85:15 91:1	130:2 270:20	townspeople
132:15 133:10	97:21 106:11	273:5,12	12:6
133:18 135:9	119:8,10	toms 11:6,11	toxic 250:1
142:24 146:19	120:10 134:5	took 87:5 106:5	260:21 261:17
148:9 153:2,14	136:8 166:25	150:4 204:13	toxins 256:9
153:18 155:23	167:16 201:8	204:19	261:4,9
156:6 157:15	201:17 202:22	tool 24:17	track 126:2
162:24 164:10	203:13 219:16	30:22 31:12	tracked 209:12
164:17 165:19	226:16	107:25 179:7	tracking
171:5,10,14	timing 64:18	tools 266:15	178:19
183:12 188:21	tisdel 2:6,6	top 54:4 94:2	trade 63:19
199:10,13,22	4:15 220:23	108:23 109:6	trades 226:17
203:8,19 204:2	221:1,3 228:7	110:14 134:3	traditionally
204:10 205:16	228:11	194:19 252:6	61:1
209:15 210:21	title 91:10	tops 108:3	transcript 1:13
210:25 211:13	today 12:24	topsoil 14:19	4:3,21 5:2,18
213:3,7 214:11	20:12 41:2	total 7:6 36:13	207:23 247:21
216:10,19	48:16 49:20	141:17 142:2	248:12 252:17
217:3 224:13	70:21 96:7	149:6 186:22	254:4 262:16
227:7 229:15	98:22 121:20	258:15 264:4	265:6 274:1,10
230:4 233:18	122:6 130:22	totally 29:5	transfer 14:25
234:4 238:1	138:7 142:10	142:1 202:25	46:3,15,18
246:17 248:1	181:8 199:18	touch 21:22	47:1 118:17
250:12 253:10	199:22 205:16	78:7 89:3	127:17 141:5
256:15 259:21	212:22 221:5	91:24	189:2 207:5
262:23 265:13	230:17 238:12	touched 72:21	251:25 267:4
268:10 271:11	246:25 247:12	184:8 188:5	transfers 46:16
timed 234:7	260:1 265:19	200:20	89:12 137:23
timeline 188:20	267:12 271:14	tough 88:11	221:14
188:22	together 75:13	tourism 257:20	treacherous
timelines 198:2	75:19 116:19	towards 38:13	23:3
timely 80:3	133:14	143:21 183:18	treated 20:24
times 9:1 16:22	told 16:21	186:3 237:15	treating 50:25
26:9 42:14,14	37:23 249:11		51:1

[tremaine - typically]

tremaine 2:11	triggering	271:8	two 17:7 22:24
4:8,11,15	217:23	truthful 213:6	31:22 42:14
21:20 22:21,22	triggers 125:12	try 39:11 64:3	44:3 46:25
23:11,17,20	tripp 2:18 98:1	64:19 66:6,7	56:18 68:23
27:19,23 34:11	99:15 100:6	67:24 78:24	77:6,10 84:4
34:17 39:25	102:4,7,9,13,16	81:7 90:6,7	95:23 106:6
40:3 55:12	103:5 104:12	97:3 122:24	113:19 117:9
56:3,7 57:2	104:13 105:4,5	219:18	122:17 127:10
83:5,10 92:12	105:8,10	trying 46:2,19	154:11 156:6
148:8 168:20	trouble 76:10	46:25 51:15	176:23 187:25
168:21,24	troubling 45:6	53:12 60:8,19	191:1 197:12
173:4,9 180:23	truck 103:17	61:22 62:1	198:23 202:25
181:1,12,16	103:25	68:3,3,8,15	209:11 216:15
190:22 192:22	true 24:11 31:9	81:13 96:4	217:15 235:25
217:13,15,17	33:4 51:24	102:15,16	236:9,18
217:19 220:19	193:19 211:17	108:17,18	238:19 240:6
224:19	221:21 249:18	143:24 147:6	241:16,24
tremaine's	265:24 274:9	152:6 163:21	242:6,7 244:21
21:18	truly 101:6	176:16 180:9	249:6 254:18
tremendous	240:24	180:22 185:15	254:23
221:19	truncated 28:3	185:24 215:11	type 54:25
tribal 43:12,15	trust 160:12,20	tubes 266:23	78:17,18,21,22
43:17,18,23,25	161:3 206:23	tubing 53:6	93:15 165:17
49:11,15 50:19	206:24 207:3	110:18 136:13	185:10 187:20
250:3	231:12	turn 5:15 17:4	191:20 200:11
tribe 43:23	truth 5:20 6:10	22:19 100:4	245:11
trick 108:18	8:9 10:1,25	150:22 174:11	types 35:21
215:11	12:20 13:21	206:10 207:13	49:6 85:22
tricks 37:5	16:15 17:17	270:17 272:23	177:14 187:10
tried 14:8 39:7	208:3 247:23	turned 260:22	201:6 215:16
47:22 53:14	248:16 251:11	turning 164:17	typically 39:20
64:18 92:24	252:23 254:8	168:13	42:2 69:13,16
tries 81:23 82:3	257:7 258:22	turnip 170:6	70:11 103:21
triggered	259:18 262:20	turnover 97:6	118:18 168:12
240:19	265:10 268:7		171:5 174:1

[typically - universally]

176:14 192:3	36:17,20 42:9	underperfor	201:23 215:18
232:24	47:2 50:7	225:16	230:20
typo 49:5 56:24	74:10 79:9	underproduci	understood
57:1	83:17 92:3,14	269:6	57:7 63:7
typographical	95:25 107:6	underscores	81:21 116:3
209:4	123:20 125:9	232:13	216:12,12
	125:13 140:15	undersigned	undertaking
u	141:22 142:11	46:9	12:23 13:10
u 105:3 251:9	142:21 153:4	understaffed	63:24 263:25
252:20 262:18	158:4 161:15	209:14	uneconomic
265:8,8 268:5	163:7,8 164:7	understand	26:23 77:22
271:6	175:13,16	18:7,14 58:19	78:1
ultimate 136:4	177:21 197:1	64:3 68:9	unfair 261:22
147:25	199:18 200:3	81:13 96:20	unfortunate
ultimately 63:1	206:1 209:6,11	100:16 103:7	96:13 114:10
63:10,18	210:14,16,17	110:25 111:6	unfortunately
umbrella	217:24 218:8	113:2 114:20	113:14 198:18
200:11	218:10,18,20	116:10 150:21	unfunded
un 176:6	218:21,24,24	215:24 218:11	249:24
unable 139:14	219:2,3 221:25	243:1 269:11	unhealthy 7:2
269:5	223:9,15 226:1	understandable	uniform 209:24
unacceptable	230:24,25	216:13	unintended
10:12 257:15	231:9 232:12	understanding	235:23
unaffected 49:7	236:16,18,20	41:24 43:1,7	union 253:3
unaffiliated	237:2 238:21	47:19 48:20	unique 224:2
272:22 unattended	240:11 241:22	61:22 62:10,15	unit 69:11
263:22	242:5 245:20	62:17 63:15	102:22 105:19
uncapped 6:25	247:8 254:17	64:19 65:2	105:19,24
uncertain 0.23	underground	73:2 78:8,12	223:15 226:9,9
154:2	117:11,12	85:13 89:16,17	226:10,12
unclear 113:18	underlying	95:9 96:10	228:1
157:22	220:10,18	110:9 118:5,9	units 226:8
under 23:13	underneath	123:12 138:12	universally
24:1 25:23	260:20	150:2 156:7	32:6
30:11 32:7,25		185:5 199:11	
30.11 32.1,23			

[unlabeled - values]

unlabeled	upcoming	166:8,10,17	149:19,21
266:24	228:21	167:11 178:11	150:10,12,15
unm 269:9	updated 42:25	178:14 179:5	153:2 155:7
unmute 248:5	191:2,3,12	182:3,12 183:3	174:8 177:6
250:21,25	updates 19:5	183:11 189:13	183:16 190:4
252:16 253:25	47:18	193:8 194:21	200:14 203:20
256:25 259:11	updating 14:24	195:24 196:4	251:21 258:7
262:6,7 264:25	43:2 96:11	197:13,19	266:7
265:1,3	265:20,23	202:6 219:10	usual 233:8
unmuted	upkeep 202:23	226:12 240:16	usually 37:24
262:10	uproot 263:23	240:19 243:4	61:9 73:15
unnecessary	upset 264:13	246:20	163:20 176:19
243:8 244:17	uranium	used 11:12 25:6	utilize 117:13
261:17 270:8	260:16	27:5 31:2	118:2,3
unplugged 26:6	urge 265:21	34:21 45:22	utilized 48:21
98:10 203:18	urges 243:16	46:17 66:8	120:4
256:8	usa 2:20	78:2 88:5	utilizes 78:23
unplugs 136:1	use 13:9 18:7	92:15,25 93:25	utilizing 101:15
unquote 6:20	25:13 28:1	107:8,8 114:15	118:1 178:24
218:16 219:8	29:1,9 30:16	133:11 135:22	v
unreclaimed	34:3,6 35:10	146:19 162:17	v 8:7 248:14
98:10	38:11,16 43:20	166:16 182:13	vacation 14:21
unresolved	44:6,8,10,12	182:14,22	vague 88:8
203:19	47:10 48:9	183:10 184:21	vaguely 146:23
unsafe 257:18	50:11 52:5,10	189:9 196:7,14	vagueness
unsecured 76:9	66:7 69:3 70:7	253:17 260:8	183:9,17
unspent 269:7	76:22,24 77:2	useful 178:20	valley 253:6
unstable 186:3	85:4 86:4,11	uses 52:9	value 18:24
unsubmitted	86:23 87:17,24	using 27:4	19:18 149:10
28:3 30:8	88:2,7 113:4	43:24 46:23	150:9,10 155:7
31:10 33:18	116:13 117:5	49:18,25 52:6	231:9 232:11
34:10 56:16	127:6 138:1,3	69:5 71:2	245:18,23
unusual 104:20	155:10 162:12	86:10 101:8	260:10
upcharge 70:16	163:19 164:6	107:1 115:21	values 18:15
	164:10,21	116:22 148:14	10.12

[valve - wants]

115:24,25 viewable 37:4 violated 236:1 vaiting 111:2 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 231:24 234:9 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 263:4 269:20 270:1 27	1 00.60	202.12	1 (17 0	(0.00.04.50.4.5
variability 220:13 37:20 39:8 90:17 91:10,22 variables 185:1 vet 63:22 62:1 217:22 91:24 100:16 variety 21:4 viability 51:12 volumetric 108:16 110:12 various 89:3,7 viable 84:21 volumetric 108:16 110:12 vast 266:17 vicinity 67:17 250:14 256:18 159:8 169:3 vast 63:24 266:14 video 274:5 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 185:19,22 185:19,22 vendor 101:19 242:4,10 243:8 244:18 263:13 247:15 254:22 189:8 190:25 verbing 177:22 violated 236:1 withrough 211:2 213:10 215:2,9 verbally 247:24 verbiage violations 31:2 walkthrough 31:2 walkthrough 31:2 walkthrough 35:16 58:16 63:8 78:7 verge 33:11 vocs 267:1				· ·
183:8 variables 185:1 vetted 241:20 218:4 volumetric 102:4 108:14 various 89:3,7 viable 84:21 volumetric 108:16 110:12 various 89:3,7 viable 84:21 volumetric 108:16 110:12 vast 63:24 266:14 vice 246:7 vote 19:20 121:23 158:15 vast 63:24 266:14 266:14 264:11,20 171:25 172:7 vastly 106:3 video 274:5 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:25 153:4 236:1 237:14 242:4,10 243:8 244:18 263:13 247:15 254:22 mait 185:19,22 vendors 71:3 244:18 263:13 247:15 254:22 wait 228:13 213:10 215:2,9 verbally 247:24 violations 89:24 90:2 wait 13:2 walked 13:23 walked 13:23 walked 23:24 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 226:3 23:1<				·
variables 185:1 vetted 241:20 218:4 102:4 108:14 variety 21:4 viability 51:12 volumetric 108:16 110:12 various 89:3,7 viable 84:21 vote 142:5 112:17 114:4 226:17 vicinity 67:17 250:14 256:18 159:8 169:3 vast 63:24 266:14 video 274:5 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 185:19,22 vendor 101:19 236:1 237:14 242:4,10 243:8 with 228:5 193:3 194:14 vendors 71:3 244:18 263:13 viewable 37:4 violated 236:1 violated 236:1 violation 89:24 90:2 walk 125:24 123:10 215:2,9 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4				1
variety 21:4 various viability 51:12 viable volumetric 108:16 110:12 91:3 177:14 vice viable 84:21 vice vote 19:20 vote 121:23 158:15 vast 63:24 261:16 video video 274:5 view 150:21,22 votes voters 250:14 256:18 vote 159:8 169:3 voters vastly 106:3 view view 150:21,22 voters voters 250:6 voters 175:20 181:19 voters 175:20 181:19 voters 175:20 181:19 voters 185:19,22 voters 189:8 190:25 voters 193:3 194:14 voters 195:11 197:16 voters <		vet 63:22	62:1 217:22	91:24 100:16
various 89:3,7 viable 84:21 142:5 112:17 114:4 91:3 177:14 vice 246:7 vote 19:20 121:23 158:15 vast 63:24 266:14 250:14 256:18 159:8 169:3 vast 63:24 video 274:5 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:25 153:4 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:25 153:4 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:25 153:4 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vendor 101:19 236:1 237:14 248:2 28:13 247:15 254:22 189:8 190:25 vendors 71:3 244:18 263:13 violated 236:1 violated 236:1 violation 247:15 254:22 vailing 111:2 vailing 211:2 226:3 230:1 226:3 230:1 23:124 234:9 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 263:4 269:20 270:1 270:1 vailing<	variables 185:1	vetted 241:20	218:4	102:4 108:14
91:3 177:14 vice 246:7 vicinity 67:17 vote 19:20 121:23 158:15 vast 63:24 266:14 vicinity 67:17 video 274:5 vote 19:20 250:14 256:18 159:8 169:3 vastly 106:3 vastly 106:3 vastly 106:3 vast 266:24 vendor 101:19 116:9 view 150:21,22 vast 266:24 vendor 274:14 236:1 237:14 242:4,10 243:8 vendors 71:3 115:24,25 116:6 w 12:18 262:17 268:5 vast 228:13 247:15 254:22 vasting 111:2 violation 89:23 115:24 27:15 254:22 vasting 111:2 verbiage 114:15 verge 33:20 188:4 violations 270:24 verbiage 114:15 version 28:3 60:25 versions 190:24 versions 190:24 233:11 vocs 267:1 vocs 267:1 version 28:3 visual 181:12 version 190:24 233:11 version 27:4 vote 19:20 250:14 256:18 159:8 169:3 179:25 172:7 250:14 256:18 264:11,20 voters 250:6 voters 250:6 voters 250:6 v	variety 21:4	viability 51:12	volumetric	108:16 110:12
226:17 vicinity 67:17 250:14 256:18 159:8 169:3 vast 63:24 266:14 264:11,20 171:25 172:7 vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 185:19,22 vandor 101:19 242:4,10 243:8 w 12:18 262:17 189:8 190:25 vendors 71:3 244:18 263:13 wiewable 37:4 wiolated 236:1 237:14 268:5 wait 228:13 247:15 254:22 133:3 194:14 195:11 197:16 213:10 215:2,9 216:1 224:15 226:3 230:1 231:24 234:9 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 242:15 24:15 242:15 24:15 242:15 24:15 242:15 24:15 242:15 24:15 243:24 243:24 242:15 24:15 243:24 242:15 24:15 243:24 243:24 242:15 24:15 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23	various 89:3,7	viable 84:21	142:5	112:17 114:4
vast 63:24 266:14 video 274:5 voters 250:6 171:25 172:7 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 185:19,22 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 193:3 194:14 195:11 197:16 247:15 254:22 247:15 254:22 247:15 254:22 247:15 254:22 247:15 254:22 247:15 254:22 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23	91:3 177:14	vice 246:7	vote 19:20	121:23 158:15
261:16 video 274:5 voters 250:6 175:20 181:19 vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 185:19,22 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 189:8 190:25 193:3 194:14 195:11 197:16 247:15 254:22 246:15 254:22 246:15 254:22 246:15 254:22 248:22 259:4 244:10,23,23 244:10,23,23 <th< td=""><td>226:17</td><td>vicinity 67:17</td><td>250:14 256:18</td><td>159:8 169:3</td></th<>	226:17	vicinity 67:17	250:14 256:18	159:8 169:3
vastly 106:3 view 150:21,22 w 185:19,22 vats 266:24 vendor 101:19 150:25 153:4 w 12:18 262:17 189:8 190:25 193:3 18:	vast 63:24	266:14	264:11,20	171:25 172:7
vats 266:24 150:25 153:4 w 12:18 262:17 189:8 190:25 vendor 101:19 236:1 237:14 268:5 wait 228:13 193:3 194:14 vendors 71:3 242:4,10 243:8 244:18 263:13 vait 228:13 247:15 254:22 193:3 194:14 vendors 71:3 viewable 37:4 violated 236:1 vaiting 111:2 213:10 215:2,9 216:1 224:15 226:3 230:1 231:24 234:9 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 268:5 waikt 125:24 231:24 234:9 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 263:4 269:20 270:1 270:1 263:4 269:20 270:1 270:1 270:1 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 270:1 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 270:1 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6	261:16	video 274:5	voters 250:6	175:20 181:19
vendor 101:19 236:1 237:14 268:5 193:3 194:14 vendors 71:3 242:4,10 243:8 vait 228:13 247:15 254:22 213:10 215:2,9 venting 177:22 violated 236:1 violated 236:1 veriting 111:2 violating violations violations </td <td>vastly 106:3</td> <td>view 150:21,22</td> <td>W</td> <td>185:19,22</td>	vastly 106:3	view 150:21,22	W	185:19,22
vendor 101:19 236:1 237:14 268:5 193:3 194:14 vendors 71:3 242:4,10 243:8 wait 228:13 195:11 197:16 vendors 71:3 viewable 37:4 voilated 236:1 wait 228:13 247:15 254:22 213:10 215:2,9 216:1 224:15 226:3 230:1 226:3 24:22 226:3 24:22 226:3 25:4 226:3 25:4 226:3 25:4 226:3 25:4 <t< td=""><td>vats 266:24</td><td>150:25 153:4</td><td>w 12·18 262·17</td><td>189:8 190:25</td></t<>	vats 266:24	150:25 153:4	w 12·18 262·17	189:8 190:25
116:9 242:4,10 243:8 wait 228:13 195:11 197:16 242:4,10 243:8 244:18 263:13 247:15 254:22 213:10 215:2,9 116:6 violated 236:1 violation 89:23 walk 125:24 226:3 230:1 177:23 178:1,5 89:24 90:2 walked 131:23 248:22 259:4 verbally 247:24 violations 90:11 145:3 walkthrough 270:1 verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 20:6 247:13 walked 240:14 242:15 243:6 243:16 58:16 verifying 193:6 viscas 268:18 viscas 268:18 vistas 268:18 visual 181:12 wallets 256:20 179:3 188:5 versions 190:24 visual 181:12 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14 215:12 224:15 versions 27:4 vocs 267:1 void 110:17 20 22:23,25 23:14 215:12 229:17	vendor 101:19	236:1 237:14		193:3 194:14
vendors 71:3 244:18 263:13 viewable 37:4 viewable 37:4 violated 236:1 vaiting 111:2 vaiting 112:2 vaitin	116:9	242:4,10 243:8		195:11 197:16
115:24,25 viewable 37:4 violated 236:1 waiting 111:2 226:3 230:1 venting 177:22 violation 89:23 89:24 90:2 135:24 158:24 231:24 234:9 verbally 247:24 145:1 169:13 walked 131:23 248:22 259:4 verbiage 114:15 violations 90:11 145:3 walkthrough 31:2 263:4 269:20 verige 33:20 virtual 1:21 20:6 247:13 20:6 247:13 242:15 243:6 31:7 verifying 193:6 veritext 274:17 version 28:3 vis 223:18,18 vistas 268:18 visual 181:12 181:13 visual 181:12 181:13 16:20 19:17 20:23,25 23:14 174:3 175:15 191:9 194:10 196:15 214:21 21:2	vendors 71:3	244:18 263:13		213:10 215:2,9
venting 177:22 violated 236:1 walk 125:24 226:3 230:1 231:24 234:9 177:23 178:1,5 89:24 90:2 walked 135:24 158:24 248:22 259:4 248:22 259:4 verbally 247:24 violations 31:2 walkthrough 270:1 wanted 21:17 verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 20:6 247:13 walkthrough 31:7 wanted 21:17 verifying 193:6 vis 223:18,18 vis 223:18,18 242:15 243:6 63:8 78:7 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 visual 181:12 181:13 16:20 19:17 19:9 194:10 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 22:23,25 23:14 215:12 239:17	115:24,25	viewable 37:4		216:1 224:15
venting 177:22 violation 89:23 177:23 178:1,5 89:24 90:2 135:24 158:24 248:22 259:4 verbally 247:24 violations 263:4 269:20 verbiage violations 31:2 valkthrough 270:1 188:4 20:6 247:13 270:24 valkthroughs 35:16 58:16 verifying 193:6 vis 223:18,18 242:15 243:6 242:15 243:6 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 244:10,23,23 174:3 175:15 versions 190:24 181:13 vocs 267:1 22:23,25 23:14 196:15 214:21 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 22:23,25 23:14 214:24 215:12	116:6	violated 236:1		226:3 230:1
177:23 178:1,5 89:24 90:2 verbally 247:24 145:1 169:13 verbiage violations 114:15 90:11 145:3 verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 188:4 20:6 247:13 verifying 193:6 270:24 veritext 274:17 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 233:11 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 walked 131:23 walkthrough 31:7 wallace 240:14 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 wallets 256:20 want 13:11 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14 215:12 229:17	venting 177:22	violation 89:23		231:24 234:9
verbally 247:24 145:1 169:13 walkthrough 263:4 269:20 verbiage violations 31:2 wanted 21:17 verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 walkthrough 35:16 58:16 188:4 20:6 247:13 wallace 240:14 242:15 243:6 63:8 78:7 verifying 193:6 vis 223:18,18 vistas 268:18 244:10,23,23 80:24 140:1 174:3 175:15 179:3 188:5 179:3 188:5 191:9 194:10 196:15 214:21 196:15 214:21 22:23,25 23:14 22:23,25 23:14 23:17 22:23,25 23:14 23:17 <t< td=""><td>177:23 178:1,5</td><td>89:24 90:2</td><td></td><td>248:22 259:4</td></t<>	177:23 178:1,5	89:24 90:2		248:22 259:4
verbiage violations 114:15 90:11 145:3 verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 188:4 20:6 247:13 verifying 193:6 270:24 veritext 274:17 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 233:11 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 31:2 walkthroughs 35:16 58:16 63:8 78:7 80:24 140:1 174:3 175:15 179:3 188:5 191:9 194:10 196:15 214:21 215:12 229:17 22:23,25 23:14	verbally 247:24	145:1 169:13		263:4 269:20
114:15 90:11 145:3 verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 188:4 20:6 247:13 verifying 193:6 270:24 veritext 274:17 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 versus 27:4 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 walkthroughs 31:7 wallace 240:14 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 wallets 256:20 want 13:11 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14	verbiage	violations		270:1
verge 33:20 virtual 1:21 188:4 20:6 247:13 31:7 verifying 193:6 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 31:7 wallace 240:14 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 wallets 256:20 want 13:11 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14 23:14:24 215:12 214:24 215:12 215:12 229:17	114:15	90:11 145:3		wanted 21:17
188:4 20:6 247:13 verifying 193:6 270:24 veritext 274:17 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 versus 27:4 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 wallace 240:14 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 wallets 256:20 want 13:11 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14 196:15 214:21 215:12 229:17	verge 33:20	virtual 1:21		35:16 58:16
verifying 193:6 270:24 veritext 274:17 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 versus 27:4 void 110:17.20 242:15 243:6 244:10,23,23 179:3 188:5 191:9 196:15 214:21 22:23,25 23:14	188:4	20:6 247:13		63:8 78:7
veritext 274:17 vis 223:18,18 version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 versus 27:4 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 void 110:17.20		270:24		80:24 140:1
version 28:3 vistas 268:18 60:25 visual 181:12 versions 190:24 181:13 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 versus 27:4 void 110:17.20 wallets 256:20 want 13:11 16:20 19:17 22:23,25 23:14 214:24 215:12 215:12 229:17	veritext 274:17	vis 223:18,18		174:3 175:15
60:25 versions 190:24 visual 181:12 233:11 vocs 267:1 versus 27:4 void 110:17.20 void 110:17.20	version 28:3	vistas 268:18	, ,	179:3 188:5
versions 190:24 181:13 16:20 19:17 196:15 214:21 versus 27:4 void 110:17 20 22:23,25 23:14 215:12 229:17	60:25	visual 181:12		191:9 194:10
233:11 vocs 267:1 22:23,25 23:14 214:24 215:12 void 110:17 20	versions 190:24	181:13		196:15 214:21
$ \mathbf{vorcus} 27 \cdot A \mathbf{void} 110 \cdot 17 20 $	233:11	vocs 267:1		214:24 215:12
versus 27.4 void 110.17,20 31.8 34.18 213.12 227.17	versus 27:4	void 110:17,20	31:8 34:18	215:12 229:17
73:23 78:8 111:15,16 35:10 36:1 wants 138:23	73:23 78:8	111:15,16		wants 138:23
82:14 97:9 voids 111:22 33:10 30:1 39:5 234:8	82:14 97:9	voids 111:22		139:5 234:8
115:8 117:16 44:19,24 46:7	115:8 117:16			

[warming - wells]

17.10	150 10 154 0	1 260.25	111 1 50 10
warming 15:19	152:19 154:3	weak 268:25	wellhead 53:13
warning	158:2 170:17	weather 199:19	53:24 54:5
114:13	175:21 184:15	website 37:3,4	67:24 80:17
waste 9:13	189:20 196:14	41:4,12	104:21
117:11,12	200:8 226:15	week 22:24	wells 6:25 7:10
135:5,8 138:11	232:16,16	23:1 24:25	7:11,22 15:10
139:10,15	264:15,17	33:3 62:19	18:6,21 23:25
145:24 146:4,7	ways 35:21	63:2,18 78:2	24:1,3,11,12,14
146:12 148:2	54:20 61:7	113:11 124:22	24:15,22 25:4
153:7 167:22	127:11 162:17	134:5 269:23	25:24,25 26:24
177:2,18,22	261:11	weekend 20:19	26:25 27:9,15
178:4 246:24	we've 27:12	53:21 205:25	27:16 28:24
251:20 260:21	28:22 31:20	welc 58:22 59:6	29:1,4,6,7,8,20
266:24	33:6 35:8 42:1	59:9,19,20,21	29:20,23,25
wastewater	53:4,5 60:11	59:25 60:2,13	30:10 31:20,22
260:21	67:21 68:1,20	61:16 62:10	31:25 32:6,10
watch 47:3	73:3 74:5,5	89:6 197:23	32:13,13,20
water 7:1 13:2	75:1,11 80:13	welc's 58:25	33:10,12,13,18
13:8 15:20	85:23 87:21	60:12 62:20	33:20 35:23
16:4 18:11,14	90:23,24 97:6	85:7 86:21	36:4,6,8,9,12
19:3 54:18	97:22 105:13	113:23 114:25	36:13,15 37:9
106:22 177:14	105:13,17	181:15	37:22 38:25
203:24 248:23	116:24 160:14	welcome 21:15	39:4,19 40:6
250:2 256:9,19	163:14 164:15	22:17	40:12,17,18,18
257:16,17	179:22,25	welcoming	40:19,19,20,21
260:10 261:5	186:16 187:14	22:24	40:22,22,23,25
261:14	189:8 191:5	welder 103:20	41:2,13 42:6
way 15:3 20:20	192:5,12	105:10,14,17	42:13,14,19
51:6 52:7	193:10 195:2	106:10	43:11,19,19,23
64:21 66:8	197:15 199:23	welders 103:25	43:24 44:1,4,5
78:14 80:4	218:25 222:2	well's 37:13	46:21,23 48:15
88:19 89:11	222:20,21	38:3 80:14	48:17 49:4,8
100:18,19	226:20 233:12	180:13 198:1	49:15 50:6,10
111:18 115:23	234:12 243:25	200:1	50:13,18,19,22
117:12 143:3			50:23,23 51:1

[wells - william]

51:16 53:16	129:12,14,15	186:3,17,17,20	245:18,20
56:15 59:14	129:22 130:11	186:22,25	246:16,17,18
67:11,15,17,18	131:13,17,20	187:2,4,15,16	246:20,21
68:11,12,16,20	132:2,5,10,14	187:18,19,25	249:17,23
68:23 70:10,13	132:20 133:6	187:25 188:2	250:1 251:25
71:7,12,13,19	133:10 134:8	189:2,3 192:9	256:8 257:14
71:20,22 72:1	134:13,24	194:21 196:3	257:24 258:2,5
72:5 73:3	135:17,19,22	198:9 200:4	258:8,12,13,19
75:17 76:23	135:25 136:5	202:20,22,24	259:25 260:24
77:5 79:25	136:12,12,15	202:25 203:5,6	261:4,7,18,23
80:7,10,12	137:18 138:6	203:13,17	263:23 264:15
81:25 82:5,16	139:24 140:1	206:25 207:5,6	265:25 266:21
84:4,5,7,10	141:5,15,21,23	216:7 217:21	269:2,6
85:12,22 92:3	142:3,4,6,11,20	217:23 218:4,7	wendell 1:5
92:13,17 93:2	142:20,21	219:1 221:21	went 92:12
93:6,11,13,15	143:2,13,15,22	222:2 223:3,5	111:25 125:4
93:20,24 94:3	145:1,4,17	223:11,14,17	130:1 133:5
97:12 98:10,20	146:11 147:13	223:21,22	150:7 157:5
99:2,5 100:1,3	147:16,19,20	224:12,12,18	184:4 189:22
101:10 105:19	150:2 152:14	225:7,15,21,23	202:9 211:17
106:6 107:8	152:17 153:15	227:1,3,5,5,10	211:22 254:23
112:23 113:3,4	154:17 155:3,4	227:22 228:4,6	western 2:3
113:24 116:12	155:11,17	229:24 231:12	162:5 221:3
116:15,17,18	157:3,7 161:2	235:2,4,7,12	westernlaw.org
117:13 119:6,8	161:14 162:13	236:12,14,19	2:5,6,7
119:10 120:19	162:19 163:12	236:24,25	whatever's
120:19 123:5	164:1 168:9,13	237:8,11,12,15	177:6
123:23 124:5,6	169:10,18,19	237:25 238:7	wide 131:22
124:15,15,17	169:23 170:4	238:16,21,23	214:25 215:15
124:17,18	170:14,16	239:3,5,8,13,13	widespread
125:12,13	171:20 174:21	239:17,21,24	72:13
126:5,11,15,21	174:22 175:13	240:2,15,24	wildlife 6:15
126:21 127:4,5	177:6,25 178:4	241:5,7,10	7:1,25
127:12,17	178:12 179:17	242:1,5,6	william 1:22
128:20 129:10	183:14 185:19	243:14,16	

[willingness - wrote]

willingnoog	233:19 234:2	141:4 160:14	worth 74:15,17
willingness 171:17	240:14 242:15	160:19 161:3	97:19 105:14
	243:6 244:9		139:2,3
willis 262:5,6 262:17	245:24 263:13	163:10 164:3	worthiness
	271:2 273:3	168:12 171:17 192:17 194:6	
winchester	witnesses 4:6		137:15,21 wozniak 3:3
23:16,22 24:23		198:25 209:10	
25:18 26:2	20:23 21:1,22	209:15 222:23	58:14
40:5 41:18	22:5 40:9	222:25 228:6	wrap 94:5
45:5 72:25	60:12 62:21	239:1 251:14	158:21 180:1
88:13 94:19	85:7 125:23	workable 84:1	wrapped
97:15	134:11 135:2	worked 8:21	112:15
winchester's	139:7,18	12:4 90:22	wrinkle 77:16
14:5 26:21	167:20 205:3	116:24 204:12	115:16 168:11
31:3 43:9	244:21,21	worker 9:10	wrinkle's
44:16,21 45:15	woman 268:14	working 32:2	115:12
62:16 95:17	wonderful	34:21 42:12	write 47:11
wire 110:15	221:18	60:13,16,18	197:7
wisdom 111:18	wondering	73:7,15 96:12	writing 5:23
wish 21:15 78:2	167:12 227:18	97:5,13 117:3	47:23 60:21
162:9 233:14	227:19 256:2	118:10,13,16	248:1
wishes 185:11	word 92:14	150:5,17	written 20:25
withdraw	95:22 96:2	163:20 190:15	36:10,14 48:16
232:1	146:19	190:21 191:15	51:22 58:24
withdrawn	words 210:22	191:21 192:1,4	66:9 81:23
21:23	work 11:23	192:7,15,18	82:3 87:25
witness 6:3	25:19,20 26:15	197:6 201:3	122:8 124:10
20:20 21:1,11	59:15 61:7	202:20	188:25 197:3
86:22 94:9	69:11 102:12	workover	199:6 200:23
121:2 159:10	103:5,7,12	203:12	208:19 233:2
161:21 168:18	104:3,18	works 14:12	wrong 104:23
180:24 199:14	105:14 111:3	104:22 183:25	264:6 267:24
201:18 205:1	115:21,21	world 33:14	wrote 113:24
205:17,24	116:7,8,19	182:22	
207:17,20,25	118:18 119:14	worsen 267:16	
208:4 216:20	120:7 122:24		

[x - zones]

x 4:1 40:22,23	172:9,17 180:20,21	262:25 266:2 268:13,15
182:15	185:24,25 189:11 194:20	yellow 100:8,11 126:3 260:23
y 12:18 254:5 257:5 yards 53:9 yates 108:9 yeah 11:1 66:24 81:1 92:16 102:6 103:2 106:10 108:20 110:6 127:21 128:23 129:5 132:19 155:21 164:23 171:22 173:24 175:9 181:14 187:3 192:4,21 196:12 200:24 202:11 203:2 204:8 205:1 221:23 225:17 226:1 227:21 229:23 234:12 year 14:11 28:13 29:3 30:1,4 39:14 39:15 52:24 60:14,17 70:14 75:18 79:2,13 80:11 95:10 119:23 131:5 135:9,19 137:5 156:24 157:3	213:17 220:16 236:18 243:19 249:5 year's 139:2,3 years 9:11 10:6 11:18 16:19 28:10 39:1 41:20,25 46:15 52:22 69:17 70:17,20 74:23 79:6 82:23 86:18,20 87:8 95:23,24 112:5 141:3,4,6 153:17 156:4,5 156:6,22 166:3 166:4 183:15 189:1 197:12 197:24 198:10 198:23,23,25 199:2 201:15 202:24 203:18 211:9,11 223:20,21,24 236:9 238:20 240:6 241:16 241:24 242:7 245:5 246:3 252:8 254:16 254:17,22,23 255:20 257:11	yep 50:3 106:24 yeso 107:18 108:7,23 young 268:13 youth 14:8 z zachary 1:24 zen 268:17 zero 36:19,21 41:21 45:17 46:23 47:23 48:1,3 95:20 96:17,23 zeros 175:3 zone 85:23 zones 85:21 261:24