1		STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MIN	IERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4		
5	IN THE MATTER	OF PROPOSED
6	AMENDMENTS TO	19.15.2, 19.15.5,
7	19.15.8, 19.15	.9, AND 19.15.25
8	NMAC	
9	Case No. 24683	
10		
11		HEARING - DAY 10
12	DATE:	Friday, October 31, 2025
13	TIME:	9:01 a.m.
14	BEFORE:	Hearing Examiner Felicia Orth
15	LOCATION:	Wendell Chino Building
16		Pecos Hall
17		1220 St. Francis Drive
18		Santa Fe, NM 87505
19	REPORTED BY:	Gerald Aragon
20	JOB NO.:	7626651
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 1

```
1
                      APPEARANCES
 2
    ON BEHALF OF OXY USA INC:
 3
    ADAM G. RANKIN, ESQUIRE
    Holland & Hart LLP
 4
    P.O. Box 2208
 5
6
    Santa Fe, NM 87504
 7
    agrankin@hollandhart.com
8
     (505) 954-7294
9
    ON BEHALF OF NEW MEXICO OIL AND GAS ASSOCIATION:
10
11
    MIGUEL A. SUAZO, ESQUIRE
12
    Beatty & Wozniak, P.C.
13
    500 Don Gaspar Avenue
14
    Santa Fe, NM 87505
15
    msuazo@bwenergylaw.com
16
    (505) 983-8545
17
18
    ON BEHALF OF COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC
19
    LANDS AND NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE:
20
    CHRISTOPHER GRAESER, ESQUIRE
21
    New Mexico State Land Office
22
    P.O. Box 1148
23
    Santa Fe, NM 87501
24
    cgraeser@nmslo.gov
25
     (505) 476-4738
                                               Page 2
```

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:
3	JESSE TREMAINE, ESQUIRE
4	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
5	Department
6	1220 South St. Francis Drive
7	Santa Fe, NM 87505
8	jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
9	(505) 231-9312
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:
12	MICHAEL HALL, ESQUIRE
13	New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources
14	Department
15	1220 South St. Francis Drive
16	Santa Fe, NM 87505
17	michael.hall@emnrd.nm.gov
18	(505) 479-1137
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER,
3	CITIZENS CARING FOR THE FUTURE, CONSERVATION VOTERS
4	NEW MEXICO EDUCATION FUND, DINE C.A.R.E., EARTHWORKS,
5	NAEVA, NEW MEXICO INTERFAITH POWER AND LIGHT, SAN JUAN
6	CITIZENS ALLIANCE, SIERRA CLUB, AND WILDEARTH
7	GUARDIANS:
8	KYLE TISDEL, ESQUIRE
9	Western Environmental Law Center
10	208 Paseo del Pueblo Sur, Suite 602
11	Taos, NM 87571
12	tisdel@westernlaw.org
13	(575) 613-8050
14	
15	TANNIS FOX, ESQUIRE
16	Western Environmental Law Center
17	409 East Palace Avenue, Suite 2
18	Santa Fe, NM 87501
19	fox@westernlaw.org
20	(505) 629-0732
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 4
	i age i

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS AND NEW
3	MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE:
4	ARI BIERNOFF, ESQUIRE
5	New Mexico State Land Office
6	P.O. Box 1148
7	Santa Fe, NM 87501
8	abiernoff@nmslo.gov
9	(505) 827-5760
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION OF NEW
12	MEXICO:
13	ANN COX TRIPP, ESQUIRE
14	Hinkle Shanor LLP
15	P.O. Box 10
16	Roswell, NM 88202
17	atripp@hinklelawfirm.com
18	(575) 622-6510
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 5
	raye J

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION OF NEW
3	MEXICO:
4	ANDREW CLOUTIER, ESQUIRE
5	Hinkle Shanor LLP
6	P.O. Box 10
7	Roswell, NM 88202
8	atripp@hinklelawfirm.com
9	(575) 622-6510
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF INDEPENDENT PARTICIPANT:
12	NICHOLAS R. MAXWELL (by videoconference)
13	Sunshine Audit
14	P.O. Box 1064
15	Hobbs, NM 88241
16	inspector@sunshineaudit.com
17	(575) 441-3560
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT:
20	Albert Chang, Chair, New Mexico Oil Conservation
21	Commission
22	Amy Vermersch, Programmatic and System Support,
23	Energy, Mineral and Natural Resources Department
24	Dan Arthur, Founder, President, Chief Engineer,
25	ALL Consulting
	Page б

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	Gilbert Cordero, Staff Manager, South, Energy,
3	Mineral and Natural Resources Department
4	Glenn Wiale, Public Commenter
5	Greg Bloom, Commissioner, New Mexico Oil
6	Conservation Commission
7	Jacob Everhart, Counsel, Beatty & Wozniak, P.C.,
8	on behalf of New Mexico Oil & Gas Association
9	Laureen Pepersack, Public Commenter
10	Melissa Ostroff, Public Commenter, Pennsylvania
11	Policy and Field Advocate, Earthworks (by
12	videoconference)
13	Morgan O'Grady, Staff Attorney, Western
14	Environmental Law Center, on behalf of Applicants
15	Natalie Sommer, Public Commenter (by
16	videoconference)
17	Richard Moore, Associate Counsel, New Mexico
18	State Land Office
19	Rosa Romero, Bureau Chief, Environmental, Energy,
20	Mineral, and Natural Resources Department
21	Sheila Apodaca, Commission Administrator, New
22	Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
23	Susan Homer, Public Commenter
24	William Miller, Public Commenter, Member of the
25	Sierra Club of New Mexico (by videoconference)
	Page 7

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	Tim Davis, Staff Attorney, WildEarth Guardians
3	William Ampomah, Commissioner, New Mexico Oil
4	Conservation Commission
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 8

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	OPENING STATEMENT By Mr. Cloutier	165
4		
5	WITNESS(ES): DX CX R	DX RC
6	CLAYTON SPORICH	
7	By Mr. Graeser 27	
8	By Mr. Suazo 3	7
9	By Mr. Chang 39	
10	ANDREA FELIX	
11	By Mr. Suazo 51	
12	By Ms. Fox 91	
13	By Mr. Biernoff 146	
14	By Mr. Cloutier 157	
15	By Mr. Suazo 1	57
16	By Mr. Ampomah 159	
17	By Mr. Bloom 162	
18	DAVID MITCHELL	
19	By Mr. Cloutier 179	
20	By Mr. Tremaine 193	
21	By Mr. Biernoff 197	
22	By Mr. Ampomah 201	
23	By Mr. Bloom 207	
24		
25		
	_	
		Page 9

1	INDEX (C	ont'd)			
2	WITNESS(ES):	DX	CX	RDX	RCX
3	MIKE HANAGAN				
4	By Ms. Tripp	212			
5	By Mr. Tisdel		222		
6	By Mr. Tremaine		225		
7	By Mr. Biernoff		233		
8	By Ms. Tripp			240	
9	GEORGE SHARPE				
10	By Ms. Tripp	243			
11	By Ms. Fox		263		
12	By Mr. Tremaine		279		
13	By Mr. Biernoff		288		
14	By Ms. Tripp			293	
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
				Page	10

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Case 24683:		
4	IPANM:		
5	Exhibit 1	Felix Direct Testimony	
6		and Appendix A; Felix	
7		Rebuttal Testimony and	
8		Appendices A and B; Felix	
9		Direct and Rebuttal	
10		Demonstrative Slides	90/90
11	Exhibit 2	Mitchell's Direct Testimony	192/192
12	Exhibit 3	Sharpe's Direct Testimony	295/295
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 11
			5

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. My
3	name is Felicia Orth, hearing officer for OCC 24683
4	hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission on well
5	plugging and financial assurance. We're on day 10 of
6	the hearing and have reached another of the public
7	comment sessions.
8	Just a few things about public comment.
9	I'll invite it from those in the room and those on the
LO	platform. I'll ask you to state and spell your first
L1	and last name because we are making a transcript.
L2	Pursuant to the commission rules, I
L3	will ask you if you swear or affirm to tell the truth,
L4	and then I'll ask you to keep your comments your
L5	oral comments to three minutes.
L6	If you have more to say than can be
L7	said in that time, please submit your comments in
L8	writing to the commission administrator, Sheila
L9	Apodaca.
20	So let me start with those in the room.
21	Ma'am, would you like to offer public
22	comment?
23	MS. PEPERSACK: Yes.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, come
25	on up to the witness stand, if you would.

1	MS. PEPERSACK: Can I stand? Because
2	I'm a teacher, and when I teach, I stand.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
4	MS. PEPERSACK: Thank you.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you spell
6	your first and last?
7	MS. PEPERSACK: I'm a retired teacher.
8	I retired twice. My name is Laureen Pepersack. L-A-
9	U-R-E-E-N, Pepersack, P-E-P-E-R I'm full of pep,
10	not the spice S-A-C-K, MST, master's from New
11	Mexico Tech.
12	WHEREUPON,
13	LAUREEN PEPERSACK,
14	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
15	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
16	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
18	start
19	MS. PEPERSACK: I'm an honest person; I
20	always tell the truth. No kidding in my classroom.
21	Now as a teacher, one of the most
22	disturbing things is when students come into the
23	classroom who've never been taught to clean up after
24	themselves.
25	As a teacher, I want my classroom clean
	Page 13

1	because I know that's an environment where my other
2	students can learn. Also, if I have to spend time
3	reminding them to clean up clean up before they
4	leave my classroom. What that means is that it
5	impedes learning time.
6	This is a lesson that should be taught
7	in homes. Unfortunately, it's not. Children don't
8	have to clean up after themselves. Maybe there's
9	maids. Maybe there's other helpers. In my classroom,
LO	you clean up after yourselves. I will stop you at the
L1	door and keep you from going out until you clean up.
L2	Now, as adults, we should know that as
L3	well. We clean up after ourselves.
L4	We don't litter; we don't throw trash
L5	on the ground. And if we do something that's
L6	destructive, we fix it afterwards.
L7	The oil and gas industry, thank them
L8	for the money that they provided to teachers. They
L9	should provide more 'cause it'd be nice if I didn't
20	have to get a second job. But when you make a mess,
21	you clean it up. If you want to drill somewhere, you
22	clean it up.
23	You have to clean it up so that it's in
24	better the water's better for the future. The
25	environment's better for the plants, the animals.

1	That is good not just good citizen, but being a
2	good human being.
3	Profit. Oh, right. Profit. Do you
4	know what? I bet if this state required the oil and
5	gas industries to clean up afterwards, put invest a
6	big bond, they'd figure out ways to not make such a
7	mess.
8	You're smart people. You can figure
9	out ways to make it better. You can figure out ways
LO	how drilling will not impact future issues.
L1	Now, in school, if you don't like the
L2	way I teach, you can go to another school. We have
L3	the oil and gas here. We have the power here. Our
L4	government has our state has the wealth that other
L5	states don't have.
L6	Yeah, you can go to Delaware; you can
L7	go to New Jersey; you can go to Idaho. Our Permian
L8	Basin is rich, but it's only rich if we take care of
L9	it.
20	The greatest riches we have, and if I
21	look around, I see how many people having water with
22	them. The greatest riches we have are our water
23	resources. You contaminate the water; there ain't no
24	going back.
25	We already have to worry about PFAs,

1	the little plastics. But oil and gas industries, the
2	way they use the water, the fracking. I've protested
3	several times or spoken several times in front of
4	government agencies.
5	I was at the one where we where
6	we the Santa Fe County community stopped fracking
7	down my old road, General Goodman Ranch Road. And the
8	public was outraged because our water is necessary for
9	life.
10	Like the native people say, "Water is
11	life." And those of you who don't get that, try to go
12	three days without drinking anything. Oh, right.
13	Yeah. You can't. You'll get sick.
14	So unless money, profits, stops being
15	the motivation instead responsibility, and you take
16	responsibility by putting on bonds that require them
17	to come back and clean up.
18	We already have enough oil wells and
19	get and mines that are wasting and polluting our
20	native communities, polluting a lot of our communities
21	that haven't been cleaned up. We've seen what that
22	behavior's given us. It ain't good.
23	It's not good for our children. It's
24	not good for our future children. And it's something
25	we need to recognize as a society.

1	We are a community. You do something;
2	it just doesn't impact you. We're connected. It
3	impacts every single person in the community, this
4	generation, the next generation. And, sadly to say,
5	many generations after that.
6	So what I'm asking you, please put a
7	serious bonding so that the mines are restored to
8	their pristine conditions as best possible. If you
9	can't do it, don't try to drill there.
10	Yeah, you can go somewhere else and do
11	it. If we're, "Oh, it's too expensive. We have
12	impacts our profits." So what? Your biggest profit
13	is having a beautiful environment, having a place
14	that's safe for your children. That's a really should
15	be the most important thing for you.
16	How is your community? How are you
17	doing something that's leaving a better future for
18	your children? And if you don't have children, I'm
19	sure you have nieces and nephews that matter. How can
20	we do this?
21	And this is this is the
22	responsibility of my government, and I want to thank
23	you for allowing these public hearings because people
24	like me need to speak out.
25	Usually it's the middle schoolers I get
	Page 17

1	to talk to and I make my waggy hand. Because you need
2	to pay attention. And I have changed middle school
3	minds, and I know I can change minds here too.
4	So, again, thank you very much. Please
5	take responsibility. Forget the little money dollar
6	because you ain't going to heaven with a
7	billionaire. The old saying, can't rich people get
8	and go to heaven, like putting a camel through the eye
9	of a needle.
10	So do good things. Your heart will be
11	better for it. Your community will be better for it.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
13	Ms. Pepersack. Who else in the room would like to
14	offer public comment this morning?
15	Ma'am, come on up. If you would state
16	and spell your first and last name please.
17	MS. HOMER: My name is Susan Homer, H-
18	O-M-E-R.
19	WHEREUPON,
20	SUSAN HOMER,
21	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
22	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
23	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go
25	ahead.

1	MS. HOMER: I am commenting in support
2	of the rulemaking to modernize New Mexico's bonding
3	and cleanup rules. I'm one of most New Mexicans who
4	want the oil and gas industry to clean up after
5	itself. As we heard, that's just common sense.
6	If you make a mess, you clean it up.
7	That's what we teach our kids, and it should apply to
8	corporations also.
9	We taxpayers are essentially
10	subsidizing the industry by allowing the oil and gas
11	industry to get away with not paying their own costs.
12	Cleanup should be a regular part of
13	doing business and bonding rules should apply to all
14	wells and all well owners, large and small on all New
15	Mexico lands, public and private, except tribal.
16	State and federal funding for cleanup
17	is taxpayer money. Why should we citizens pay for
18	this? Nearly a hundred million in public funds have
19	already been used to plug orphaned wells and thousands
20	more wells are at risk of being abandoned.
21	I want my taxes to go for schools and
22	healthcare not to bail out wealthy polluters. Bonding
23	is about prevention, about making sure corporations
24	pay upfront so the public isn't left holding the bag.
25	Some in the industry argue that we

1	don't need bonding reform because there's already
2	money in the reclamation fund, but that's not a
3	solution. It's a warning.
4	The reclamation fund exists because
5	bonding is failing and there's not nearly enough in
6	the fund. We're looking it up to more than one and a
7	half billion in cleaning liabilities. And the fund
8	only has around 66 million.
9	These are our lands, our waters, our
10	air, and our communities at risk. Oil and gas
11	corporations don't need more ways to dodge
12	responsibility. They need to step up and pay their
13	fair share just as the rest of us do.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
15	Ms. Homer. Who else in the room would like to offer
16	comment this morning? No? We may have some folks on
17	the platform.
18	If you're on the platform, raise your
19	virtual hand if you have dialed in. Use star five to
20	raise your virtual hand. Let's see we have Melissa
21	Ostroff.
22	Can you unmute yourself?
23	MS. OSTROFF: Yes.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Would you
25	spell your first and last name please?

1	MS. OSTROFF: It's M-E-L-I-S-S-A O-S-T-
2	R-O-F-F.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
4	WHEREUPON,
5	MELISSA OSTROFF,
6	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
7	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
8	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Go ahead.
LO	MS. OSTROFF: Okay.
L1	Good morning, commissioners. My name
L2	is Melissa Ostroff, and I'm the Pennsylvania Policy
L 3	and Field Advocate with Earthworks, a national
L 4	organization working to protect communities and the
L 5	environment from the adverse impacts of oil and gas
L6	development.
L7	In my work, I use optical gas imaging
L8	cameras to document methane and other pollution that
L9	is otherwise invisible, making clear the scale of the
20	oil and gas industry's impacts on our air, water, and
21	climate.
22	In Pennsylvania, I've filmed hundreds
23	of leaking wells, many abandoned for years, even
24	decades, venting methane and carcinogens like benzene
25	into our backyards, parks and school yards.

1	Pennsylvania was the birthplace of the
2	US oil industry, and our wells are among the oldest in
3	the nation. We've been dealing with the orphan well
4	problem longer than almost anyone. And we've seen
5	firsthand how failing to require adequate bonds early
6	on leads to massive lasting cleanup liabilities.
7	I've met families who have lived with
8	abandoned wells in their own yards. One woman I met,
9	Pam, resorted to stuffing home insulation into a
LO	smelly wellhead near her garden because no one
L1	neither the state nor the operator would take
L2	responsibility.
L3	That was four years ago, and the well
L4	still hasn't been plugged. There are real long-term
L5	costs of insufficient bonding, and it's not just a
L6	Pennsylvania problem. Every state that has allowed
L7	operators to post inadequate bonds has ended up with
L8	taxpayers footing the bill for cleanup.
L9	The IIJA's federal well-plugging
20	dollars are already stretched thin and they are
21	temporary. Once they're gone, it will fall back on
22	states and ultimately on communities and taxpayers to
23	clean up this mess.
24	That's why New Mexico's bonding reforms
25	are so important. They represent one of the very few

1	real chances we have to prevent another generation of
2	orphan wells, and they could set a precedent for the
3	nation.
4	Strong bonding ensures that when an
5	operator drills a well, they're also responsible for
6	cleaning it up. It's about accountability, fiscal
7	prudence, and environmental justice, not punishment.
8	We've seen in Pennsylvania what happens
9	when bonding levels are frozen or set too low. The
10	problem multiplies, pollution spreads, and the cleanup
11	bill grows.
12	I hope New Mexico will choose a
13	different path one that puts people to work
14	plugging wells, protects future generations from
15	inheriting this liability, and ensures that polluters
16	not the public pay to clean up the mess they've made.
17	Thank you for your time.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
19	Ms. Ostroff.
20	William Miller.
21	MR. MILLER: Okay, I think I'm unmuted
22	and camera. Let's see here. Let's get me on. There
23	we go.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello, would you
25	spell your first and last name please?

1	MR. MILLER: Sure. W-I-L-L-I-A-M. And
2	my last name, M-I-L-E-R.
3	WHEREUPON,
4	WILLIAM MILLER,
5	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
6	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
7	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. I'll
9	start your time.
LO	MR. MILLER: Okay. Good morning, chair
L1	and commissioners. My name is William Miller. And
L2	I'm speaking on behalf of myself and the Sierra Club
L3	of New Mexico in strong support of the proposed
L4	bonding and cleanup rule changes at OCD.
L5	I live in Albuquerque, New Mexico. I
L6	grew up in Farmington, New Mexico where my father
L7	worked for an oil and gas service company. And I have
L8	worked myself in the San Juan and Delaware basins as
L9	well as in Southeast Asia and near petroleum fields.
20	I have experience in the wireline
21	industry with both open- and cased-hole operations,
22	including the running of cement bond logs. And I have
23	seen firsthand the mess left behind by both major and
24	independent oil companies in New Mexico.
25	And I've also witnessed the mess made

1	in countries where there is little or no oversight or
2	regulation.
3	Well, there are millions of ticking
4	time bombs around the world in the form of orphaned
5	oil and gas wells. And thousands of those happen to
6	be in New Mexico.
7	These wells were not plugged or capped
8	properly to begin with, and many have been
9	deterioration of downhole conditions such as corroded
10	casing and poor cement jobs.
11	Well, this allows for communication of
12	fluids between pay zones and groundwater as well as
13	surface pollution. Not to mention the leaking of
14	poisonous gases such as hydrogen sulfide, which is
15	common in the Hobbs and Barker Dome areas of New
16	Mexico.
17	And we pass the cost of fixing these
18	problem wells onto the taxpayers. And that adage
19	about pay me now or pay me later, reminds us that we
20	are passing this problem onto our children and and
21	grandchildren.
22	And while we have not paid to play as
23	we have, we should be being, you know, paid to play.
24	And we have a chance to change this problem and
25	correct this lapse of judgment.

1	There's no excuse not to hold oil and
2	gas companies responsible for their actions from the
3	very beginning while they're calculating the true cost
4	of drilling and production. The proposed bonding and
5	cleanup rules do just that.
6	So let's not play a game of catch up or
7	finger pointing about who is responsible because we
8	know who is responsible.
9	Now, there's good work being done.
10	I I see this each time I travel up New Mexico, 44,
11	well, otherwise known as US 55, to visit my sister.
12	And I passed Envirotech Land Farm where
13	they're reclaiming land that soil that has been
14	contaminated by oil and gas wells as well as tank
15	farms. So I know that there is some good work out
16	there.
17	So we need to reform the bond and clean
18	up rules and apply them. Now to paraphrase an old
19	Seinfeld TV episode was that about ruining a car.
20	Anybody can make a law. The most important action is
21	to enforce the law.
22	So thank you for your time and
23	consideration about this important matter to me.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
25	Mr. Miller.

1	Is there anyone else on the platform
2	who'd like to offer public comment at this time? Our
3	next opportunity maybe at four o'clock this afternoon
4	if we are still in hearing at four o'clock this
5	afternoon. The next public comment we're sure to have
6	is nine a.m. Monday. No?
7	All right, let's go back to the
8	technical case, Mr. Sporich. Thank you.
9	When we broke last night, it was the
10	state land office's turn to ask their questions of
11	this witness presented by NMOGA.
12	Mr. Graeser, I see you on the screen
13	here. Do have questions of Mr. Sporich?
14	MR. GRAESER: Good morning, Madam
15	Hearing Officer and Commissioners. First, let me
16	confirm that my microphone is now working.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: It is.
18	MR. GRAESER: I apologize for that. It
19	was working earlier in the day yesterday. I don't
20	know what happened. I have a few questions for
21	Mr. Sporich.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
23	CROSS EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. GRAESER:
25	MR. GRAESER: Good morning,

1	Mr. Sporich.
2	MR. SPORICH: Good morning.
3	MR. GRAESER: So on slides 9 and then
4	again on 35, you referenced paying quantities. Do you
5	have a definition of paying quantities that you
6	propose or that you use?
7	MR. SPORICH: Madam Hearing Officer,
8	can we pull up the slides if we're going to talk about
9	the fees?
10	We're glad to help, Mr. Graeser.
11	MR. GRAESER: Thank you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
13	MR. GRAESER: I appreciate it. I
14	wasn't able to locate it as you know, I'm filling in.
15	And, Madam Hearing Officer, when I'm
16	done with cross-examination of this witness, then
17	Mr. Moore will take back over.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. I
19	think Mr. Everhart is going to help out here with the
20	slides.
21	MR. GRAESER: Thank you.
22	MR. SUAZO: Mr. Graeser. Do you mean
23	the direct slides or the rebuttal slides?
24	MR. GRAESER: So I believe it was the
25	direct.

1	MR. SUAZO: Okay. We'll start there,
2	and if we need to switch, we can do that.
3	MR. GRAESER: Thank you.
4	MR. SUAZO: And just to clarify, it's
5	slides 9 and 35?
6	MR. GRAESER: Let's start with 9. Yes,
7	please.
8	MR. SUAZO: Okay.
9	BY MR. GRAESER:
10	MR. GRAESER: So this does, towards the
11	middle of the third paragraph
12	MR. SPORICH: Correct. I see what
13	you're saying.
14	MR. GRAESER: Okay. Fair enough. So
15	my question is, do you propose a definition of paying
16	quantities?
17	MR. SPORICH: As for NMOGA, I can't
18	speak for NMOGA for their definition that they would
19	want. I could tell you what my interpretation of
20	paying quantities would be is revenue exceeding cost
21	on a lease basis not a well basis but over a
22	lease.
23	MR. GRAESER: In
24	MR. SPORICH: And it's over and over a
25	certain period of time.

1	MR. GRAESER: Right. Okay. In what
2	period of time would that be?
3	MR. SPORICH: I don't have a specific
4	number of months that I would propose.
5	MR. GRAESER: Have you been involved in
6	paying quantity disputes?
7	MR. SPORICH: Yes, I have.
8	MR. GRAESER: And what sort of
9	timeframe were you arguing for in those disputes?
10	MR. SPORICH: It's been anywhere from
11	12 to 24 months.
12	MR. GRAESER: And then I should ask
13	what parties you were representing?
14	MR. SPORICH: Chesapeake Energy.
15	MR. GRAESER: Okay. Were you present
16	for Mr. McGowen's testimony yesterday?
17	MR. SPORICH: Yes, sir.
18	MR. GRAESER: And did you hear his
19	ideas and his concepts on paying quantities?
20	MR. SPORICH: I don't recall his
21	commentary on that specific topic.
22	MR. GRAESER: Okay. Do you recall
23	whether you had any particular agreement or
24	disagreement with his testimony?
25	MR. SPORICH: No, nothing at like I
	Page 30

1	say, I don't remember anything. So nothing jumped out
2	one way or the other of either being a striking yes or
3	a striking no.
4	MR. GRAESER: All right. And are there
5	any other factors you would look at? I know we have
6	a, you know, general cost and revenues. Are there any
7	specific factors you would look at in determining pain
8	quantities?
9	MR. SPORICH: Yes. We'd look at the
LO	history operational history as well. Obviously as
L1	we've mentioned previously, shut-in purposes for
L2	offset fracks, well downtime for midstream issues,
L3	things like that. Things of those nature.
L4	Mechanical issues with the well. I
L5	think you have to take all those in under
L6	consideration.
L7	MR. GRAESER: Thank you. I appreciate
L8	that. You, also in your testimony, you spoke about
L9	waste, and I'm going to see if I can share. Yeah.
20	Are you familiar with New Mexico Administrative Code
21	19.15.2.8(B)(3) [sic]?
22	MR. SPORICH: I'm reading it right now.
23	I've read it before.
24	MR. GRAESER: Please take your time.
25	Take your time.

1	MR. SPORICH: Yes, I see it.
2	MR. GRAESER: Okay. So this requires a
3	well that hasn't produced in 12 months and is not
4	placed in temporary abandonment to be plugged within
5	90 days; correct?
6	MR. SPORICH: Yes, it appears so.
7	MR. GRAESER: Would you consider
8	application of this rule to be potentially waste?
9	MR. SPORICH: Not necessarily. You
10	have the ability to place it into there is an
11	option to place it into an approved temporary
12	abandonment status.
13	MR. GRAESER: All right, well, so let's
14	assume a hypothetical in which, for whatever reason,
15	you don't meet the requirements to place a well in
16	temporary abandonment status.
17	And it's a well that still may have
18	some potential or some reserves. If you're required
19	to plug that well, would that potentially result in
20	waste?
21	MR. SPORICH: I guess, if there it
22	doesn't meet the standard for or approval for approved
23	temporary abandonment, and yet there's still
24	production that's available, I would say that that
25	constitutes waste.

1	MR. GRAESER: Okay. So you agree with
2	me that current OCD rules could result in what you
3	would consider waste then; right?
4	MR. SPORICH: Under that hypothetical.
5	MR. GRAESER: Okay. Thank you. Thank
6	you, Mr. Sporich.
7	Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer. I
8	have no further questions.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,
10	Mr. Graeser.
11	Let's see. I don't believe we have
12	Ms. Nanasi with us.
13	Mr. Maxwell, do you have any questions?
14	MR. MAXWELL: Can you hear me?
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
16	MR. MAXWELL: Hey, thank you. No
17	questions.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
19	you.
20	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions of
21	Mr. Sporich?
22	MR. RANKIN: I have no questions for
23	Mr. Sporich. Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I
25	think EOG is monitoring, but no questions.
	Page 33

1	Mr. Cloutier?
2	MR. CLOUTIER: No questions, Madam
3	Hearing Officer.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
5	Mr. Suazo, do you have redirect?
6	MR. SUAZO: I do, Madam Hearing
7	Officer. Give me just a second to get set up here.
8	Due to the topics on cross, we're going to show some
9	demonstratives for purposes of guiding the
10	questioning.
11	MR. HALL: Were these provided
12	previously?
13	MR. SUAZO: We can provide them. I
14	mean, they're just for discussion. It's all public
15	information. Yeah. This is from the New Mexico
16	Supreme Court website.
17	MR. HALL: We object to this as not
18	being provided in compliance with the hearing or the
19	scheduling order and hearing order.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. It was
21	supposed to be uploaded to CentreStack or sent around
22	somehow by e-mail.
23	MR. SUAZO: Okay. I mean this is all
24	public information on the website. Anybody can look
25	at it.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. But the
2	fact that you're going to use it as an exhibit.
3	MR. SUAZO: Well, we're not going to
4	submit it for the record. We're just using it to
5	guide the questioning is all
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Then ask the
7	question. Right?
8	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer,
9	just to preserve the objection. I mean, this is
10	all we've got extensive testimony in this case
11	about legal arguments, which was all flushed out in
12	the motion to dismiss and responses and can be covered
13	in closing.
14	So I just want to preserve our
15	objection that, you know, counsel's trying to
16	rehabilitate the witness through walking through, you
17	know, canons of statutory interpretation. He is
18	allowed to make this argument in closing.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: That'd be a
20	better place, Mr. Suazo.
21	MR. SUAZO: I could make it in closing.
22	Yeah, I'm glad to do that. Well, actually, let me ask
23	this. So when we had our scheduling conference, we
24	were told that there was not going to be a closing.
25	So are we fine that there is going to be a closing?

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: There will be
2	so there's a distinction between an oral closing and a
3	written closing.
4	MR. SUAZO: Okay.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Because on the
6	complex rulemakings, the commission doesn't just sort
7	of gavel into deliberations as soon as we make the
8	record. So I would invite you to make your legal
9	arguments and your written closing argument.
10	MR. SUAZO: You know, I actually prefer
11	to do it that way. Thank you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Thank
13	you. Can I turn then to the do you have questions
14	then?
15	MR. SUAZO: Let me just confirm there's
16	nothing else that I want to ask the witness.
17	I do have a few questions. And we're
18	still going to pull up some documents that are already
19	in the record for purposes of the versions of the
20	rulemaking that Applicants have proposed, if that's
21	okay with any of the parties.
22	MR. CLOUTIER: I won't object until I
23	see it, Mr. Suazo.
24	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Fair enough.
25	//

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SUAZO:
3	Mr. Sporich, do you recall Mr. Tisdel
4	asking you about your direct testimony and discussing
5	a \$200,000 blanket bond?
6	MR. SPORICH: Yes, I do.
7	MR. SUAZO: Have you reviewed WELC's
8	original petition from June of 2024, which is filed on
9	the commission's website?
10	MR. SPORICH: Yes, I went back. It was
11	under the June 2024 proposal.
12	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Let's pull that up.
13	Can you see that on your screen?
14	MR. SPORICH: Yes, I do.
15	MR. SUAZO: In the highlighted portion,
16	what does that say?
17	MR. SPORICH: This is from the original
18	proposal of blanket plugging financial assurance for
19	200,000 for one to five wells.
20	MR. SUAZO: So just to clarify, that's
21	WELC's initial proposal that they submitted in this
22	proceeding?
23	MR. SPORICH: Correct.
24	MR. SUAZO: Thank you. Now, Mr. Tisdel
25	mentioned that there's been a few revisions from

1	Applicants submitted for review. Do you know how many
2	proposed amendments have been filed through this
3	proceeding in total by all the parties?
4	MR. SPORICH: Yes. So far it's been
5	ten proposed amendments. Okay.
6	MR. SUAZO: And so the red line
7	attached to your direct testimony that Mr. Tisdel and
8	Mr. Hall were asking you about yesterday. Do you know
9	what date that was filed?
LO	MR. SPORICH: What'd you say?
L1	MR. SUAZO: Do you know what date that
L2	was filed on?
L3	MR. SPORICH: Filed on August 8th.
L4	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And was there a
L5	version on that that you are aware of?
L6	MR. SPORICH: Yes, it was the third,
L7	this third errata.
L8	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Do you know how many
L9	versions the Applicants have filed in total?
20	MR. SPORICH: I believe it's three
21	additional ones for a grant. Total of six.
22	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Thank you,
23	Mr. Sporich.
24	No further questions, Madam Hearing
25	Officer.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
2	you, Mr. Suazo.
3	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
4	questions of Mr. Sporich?
5	MR. AMPOMAH: Yeah, there are a lot of
6	legal discussions, yes. So I'll leave it to the
7	lawyers. Thank you. No questions.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
9	Commissioner Bloom.
10	MR. BLOOM: Mr. Sporich, thank you for
11	your testimony. I do not have questions either today.
12	Thank you.
13	MR. SPORICH: Awesome, thank you.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: And, Chair Chang.
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. CHANG:
17	MR. CHANG: Most of these issues have
18	been covered, but, so I do want to explore one
19	assertion in your direct. I believe it's starting at
20	paragraph 130, you're welcome to pull that up.
21	Towards the it's a long-ish
22	paragraph but towards the end of that paragraph,
23	you've got a sentence in there that says the OCD may
24	not enforce or condition operator registration on
25	compliance with laws outside of New Mexico.

1	MR. SPORICH: Did you say paragraph
2	130th?
3	MR. CHANG: 130 of your I believe
4	MR. SUAZO: This is your direct. Can
5	we we can pull it up for the witness so you can see
6	if that's fine.
7	BY MR. CHANG:
8	MR. CHANG: Please. It's on page 30
9	the paragraph starts on page 36, but I'm looking at a
10	sentence on page 37.
11	MR. SPORICH: Okay. I'm reading it
12	right now.
13	MR. CHANG: Sure.
14	MR. SPORICH: All right. I have it and
15	I've read it. Could you repeat your question,
16	Commissioner?
17	MR. CHANG: Ah, okay. So let's go down
18	to 131. I had written scribbled over where it
19	says 131, so I
20	MR. SPORICH: Okay.
21	MR. CHANG: lumped paragraphs
22	together. My apologies.
23	MR. SPORICH: Okay. Sorry.
24	MR. CHANG: If you want to scroll up
25	just by a hair so we can see that the top of that page
	Page 40
	- I

1	though anyway. Okay, so let's start the middle of
2	131.
3	There's a sentence that starts "The OCD
4	may not enforce or condition operator registration on
5	compliance with loss outside of New Mexico." Did I
6	read that correctly?
7	MR. SPORICH: Yes.
8	MR. CHANG: Okay. And then the reason
9	that you give is actually in the previous paragraph at
10	the top of this page here, "violates the principles of
11	state sovereignty and federalism." Is that correct?
12	That's the reason for the conclusion is that OCD may
13	not
14	MR. SPORICH: Yes, that's the argument.
15	MR. CHANG: Okay. You're licensed to
16	practice in Texas; correct?
17	MR. SPORICH: Correct.
18	MR. CHANG: Okay. Texas Rules of
19	Professional Conduct and you can take this as a
20	hypothetical not trying to introduce facts not in
21	evidence here.
22	But the Texas Attorney Rules of Conduct
23	requires an attorney who has been disciplined by
24	another jurisdiction or a federal court or a federal
25	agency to notify the disciplinary counsel within 30
	Page 41

1 days. 2 Would those rules of professional 3 conduct for attorneys to report out-of-state violations or disbarment also violate principles of 4 5 state sovereignty and federalism? 6 And if not, how would you distinguish 7 those requirements to maintain a certification, either 8 with a bar or the certification with OCD here? 9 MR. SPORICH: Well, the first part of that is, no, I'd not think that it impedes the bar 10 11 disciplinary. I don't think that affects state 12 sovereignty rights between New Mexico and Texas. think that's been well established throughout the 13 14 country. 15 What we are saying here is -- is from a 16 regulatory standpoint, from what the Act has provided 17 previously, it is my belief that that OCD being a limit -- OCD getting their powers by a limited grant 18 from the New Mexico Oil and Gas Act, I don't think 19 20 that it shows that they have the ability to enforce 2.1 other states regulatory environments. 22 I think we've mentioned also previously, or somebody has mentioned previously, 23 there could be minor infractions that come up. And I 2.4 know that that's not your concern right now, but --25

1	MR. CHANG: Sure.
2	MR. SPORICH: It could be one of those
3	ones where how do you report and how does that work
4	from a a standpoint where it might be a paperwork
5	situation versus an actual violation that I think what
6	the commission is trying to get after.
7	But again, that's dealing with a
8	different state and their rules for enforcement from
9	an environmental standpoint under the New Mexico Oil
LO	and Gas Act.
L1	MR. CHANG: Okay. So your concern is
L2	limited not to constitutional or sovereignty or
L3	federalism concerns. Your concerns is limited to
L4	whether or not the state are particular enabling
L5	statute that's how you would distinguish those
L6	situations. Is that a
L7	MR. SPORICH: No. I think it's all
L8	I think it also has to do with state sovereignty as
L9	well. I just think that there is a distinction, and
20	I'm not articulating properly, but I do think there is
21	a distinction between the bar the bar as you
22	mentioned
23	MR. CHANG: Right.
24	MR. SPORICH: disciplinary bar and
25	enforcing environmental rules.

1	MR. CHANG: Right. So help me
2	understand a distinction or how you would distinguish
3	those things. Because isn't it both situations where
4	we're looking at certifying somebody as being in
5	compliance with their responsibilities in both
6	situations?
7	MR. SPORICH: Yes, I could see that. I
8	could see that.
9	MR. CHANG: Okay. I think most of the
10	other issues have been let me turn to a slightly
11	different issue and hopefully I'm not beating a dead
12	horse here, but. We do certainly while I'm here
13	I certainly want to track our enabling statute as
14	closely as I possibly can.
15	So to, we certainly appreciate your
16	analysis and advice and your contributing to the
17	conversation to make sure that the commission is
18	tracking the statute as closely as we possibly can.
19	I want to explore the word sufficient a
20	little bit in NMSA 72-14-A, you quote it on page 6 of
21	your rebuttal. Or, at least, I think you slightly
22	paraphrase it. OCD must set one well financial
23	assurance "in amounts determined sufficient to
24	reasonably pay the cost of plugging."
25	We've heard testimony here that wells

1	cost 163,000 to plug. So would the applicant's
2	proposal of 150,000 be sufficient? Would it violate
3	the statute for us to adopt a number below 163,000?
4	MR. SPORICH: I think that we if I'm
5	reading it as a whole, sufficient to reasonably pay.
6	And we've also heard testimony too. And in my
7	previous experience at Tap Rock, we plugged wells for
8	much less than that.
9	So nothing in the statute here says
LO	that it's only sufficient reasonably pay for OCD. It
L1	doesn't say anything specific to just OCD. It just
L2	says sufficient to reasonably pay.
L3	And I think that if there's outside
L4	information that says that it's reasonable to pay
L5	\$65,000 to plug wells, I think that has to be taken
L6	into consideration too.
L7	MR. CHANG: So I guess I'm struggling a
L8	little bit here because it seems like we're reading
L9	into the statute a word of average. Because it
20	doesn't say that. It also doesn't say I guess I'm
21	struggling with the word sufficient here.
22	Sufficient on average. And of course
23	it seems like everybody is arguing some sort of
24	average. People just don't seem to agree on what that
25	average should encompass.

1	But it seems like the statute doesn't
2	actually say average. Don't we have to look at the
3	most expensive well to make sure that we are always
4	sufficient to cover the cost of plugging?
5	The statute I mean, I'm looking at
6	this thank you for whoever pulled up this lovely
7	rainbow because I don't see the word average
8	anywhere in here. And I do want to, you know as
9	you cautioned track the statute down to the letter.
10	MR. SPORICH: Right. I still my
11	opinion is it goes together with the reasonably pay.
12	It has to be reasonable.
13	MR. CHANG: In the reasonable word.
14	Fair enough. Okay. I think I will leave it there.
15	Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
16	MR. SPORICH: Thank you, Commissioner.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any reason not to
18	excuse Mr. Sporich?
19	Thank you very much, Mr. Sporich.
20	We're less than 45 minutes into our
21	day.
22	Ms. Felix
23	MR. CHANG: I apologize. Could I ask
24	one more question of the previous witness?
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh. All righty.
	Page 46

1	MR. CHANG: And if I could have that
2	rainbow statute again, that'd be very helpful.
3	MR. SUAZO: More costs.
4	MR. RANKIN: Can we call it Rankin's
5	Rainbow going forward?
6	MR. CHANG: Yes. Yes.
7	You now have a statute named after you,
8	Mr. Rankin.
9	BY MR. CHANG:
10	So I think we all there's been, you
11	know, extensive discussion about this and highlighting
12	of this particular provision that's so crucial to our
13	rulemaking here. And I'm reading it here. "Such
14	categories shall include" and it gives three separate
15	categories.
16	And people have already debated the
17	definition of the word "such" till I think we're all
18	blue in the face.
19	But I guess it seems like and tell
20	me if I'm interpreting a brief wrong it seems like
21	in certain sections of your brief, there is an
22	assumption that it's lest I reopen in different
23	and/or gate that each of these categories are
24	mutually exclusive.
25	I'm trying to figure out whether or
	Page 47

1	not, as a matter of policy, we should go there
2	different, just from this. Trying to figure out my
3	statutory boundaries here.
4	Is there anything in that statute that
5	says that these categories can't be cumulative? I
6	mean, because it says "and" right blanket
7	bonding at the end of the green you've got a comma.
8	MR. SPORICH: Right.
9	MR. CHANG: And end of the blue, you've
LO	got a comma and one well plugging financial assurance.
L1	I'm trying to figure out where in the statute it
L2	requires us to and maybe we should anyway as a
L3	matter of policy, not debating that yet. So don't
L4	light anybody's hair on fire yet.
L 5	But does this statute allow us to
L6	require after creating multiple categories to
L7	potentially have an operator fall into multiple of
L8	those categories?
L9	MR. SPORICH: Well, I think the one
20	thing I'm looking at, Commissioner, is how it is
21	structured. And I think that there is you can see
22	the kind of the green, the blue and the purple. I see
23	that as that last comma that's in there. That's the
24	penultimate comma that's in this provision.
25	MR. CHANG: Sure.

1	MR. SPORICH: And I think that that
2	bookends the financial assurance categories that's
3	there. And I think limiting these categories I
4	don't know I haven't read it necessarily to say
5	that you could combine these all together.
6	However, I think if you do combine them
7	all together, like you're I think you're
8	proposing
9	MR. CHANG: Just try to figure out
10	MR. SPORICH: Yeah.
11	MR. CHANG: what the boundaries of
12	the statute are.
13	MR. SPORICH: Yeah. Yeah. I think if
14	you put them all together, I think it then goes above
15	this blanket \$250,000 that we've talked about
16	previously. But it's a process.
17	I haven't necessarily thought yet of if
18	we are saying that these are the only three limiting
19	financial assurance categories, can they not be
20	stacked on top of one another?
21	I think, plain reading of it, I don't
22	think that provides that, but I can see where someone
23	could make that argument.
24	MR. CHANG: Okay. And that may be
25	terrible policy, but I'm just trying to figure out

1	whether or not the statute is clear about whether or
2	not they're, you know, and/or opening up yet
3	another and/or debate here. But I appreciate your
4	answer. Thank you.
5	MR. SPORICH: Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. We're
7	welcoming Ms. Felix to the witness stand.
8	Would you spell your first and last
9	name, please?
10	MS. FELIX: Yes. Andrea, A-N-D-R-E-A.
11	Last name Felix, just like the cat, F as in Frank, E-
12	L-I-X.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's the male
14	version of my name.
15	WHEREUPON,
16	ANDREA FELIX,
17	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
18	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
19	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
21	much.
22	Mr. Suazo.
23	MR. SUAZO: Thank you, Madam Hearing
24	Officer.
25	//
	Page 50

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SUAZO:
3	Good morning, Ms. Felix. How are you
4	doing today?
5	MS. FELIX: Good morning. I'm much
6	better than the last couple of days. I'm recovering
7	from a head cold.
8	MR. SUAZO: Excellent. Well, glad to
9	hear it. Hope you have a speedy convalescence.
10	We have your slides up there. Yes.
11	Okay. Can you please state your name and your role in
12	this proceeding?
13	MS. FELIX: Yes. My name again is
14	Andrea Felix. I'm the vice president of regulatory
15	affairs for the New Mexico Oil and Gas Association.
16	And my role in the proceeding is to
17	bring the industry perspective from the the trade
18	organization side of things as part of the regulated
19	party in these proceedings.
20	MR. SUAZO: And what is your
21	professional background and experience in the oil and
22	gas industry?
23	MS. FELIX: So I've worked in the
24	industry for over two decades, specifically in New
25	Mexico as a surface landman and specializing in

1	operational regulatory and policy issues throughout my
2	career.
3	Purpose of my testimony today is to
4	highlight the operational and industry-wide
5	consequences of the proposed amendments to provide an
6	integrated industry perspective to the portions of the
7	proposed roles that are unworkable for industry and to
8	recommend practices practical alternatives that
9	maintain protection without undermining New Mexico's
10	oil and gas sector.
11	MR. SUAZO: And did you file rebuttal
12	testimony in this case?
13	MS. FELIX: Yes, I did.
14	MR. SUAZO: And what is the purpose of
15	your rebuttal testimony?
16	MS. FELIX: To respond to the
17	applicant's and OCD's remarks to our submitted direct
18	testimony.
19	MR. SUAZO: Now let's move to your
20	slide 3. Can you explain to the commission NGO's
21	overarching concerns with Applicant's testimony and
22	proposals?
23	MS. FELIX: So the overarching concerns
24	that we have is, as we've learned through the
25	proceedings so there's been a lot of new

1	information learned; right? I think we've all learned
2	a lot over the last two weeks.
3	But as the applicant's original
4	application to industry, there is mischaracterization
5	on the data on orphan marginal and active wells and
6	the reliance on the inflated costs from OCD's
7	procurement practices that were provided.
8	But again, as we've learned through the
9	proceedings, it seems like we've got some corrections
10	that can be made and some discussions to be had.
11	MR. SUAZO: In your rebuttal, what is
12	your response to the way Applicants and OCD address
13	plugging costs?
14	MS. FELIX: In my rebuttal, the
15	plugging costs that Applicants and OCD are relying on
16	are costs that were incurred by the division; right?
16 17	are costs that were incurred by the division; right? Part of what the message that we have
17	Part of what the message that we have
17 18	Part of what the message that we have here, if we can work on helping to enhance and correct
17 18 19	Part of what the message that we have here, if we can work on helping to enhance and correct some of the procurement processes, the way in which
17 18 19 20	Part of what the message that we have here, if we can work on helping to enhance and correct some of the procurement processes, the way in which the division, who I believe is single-handedly one
17 18 19 20 21	Part of what the message that we have here, if we can work on helping to enhance and correct some of the procurement processes, the way in which the division, who I believe is single-handedly one person trying to manage the orphan well program, we'd
17 18 19 20 21	Part of what the message that we have here, if we can work on helping to enhance and correct some of the procurement processes, the way in which the division, who I believe is single-handedly one person trying to manage the orphan well program, we'd be able to better come to an understanding and an
17 18 19 20 21 22	Part of what the message that we have here, if we can work on helping to enhance and correct some of the procurement processes, the way in which the division, who I believe is single-handedly one person trying to manage the orphan well program, we'd be able to better come to an understanding and an executable program.

1	wells; they reclaim wells.
2	So while having the applicants and OCD
3	being open to getting insight on how this is done
4	because I think we've established over the last two
5	weeks, industry can do it a whole heck of a lot
6	cheaper while sharing those experiences and those best
7	practices on how industry puts together a program so
8	that potentially the division could create a
9	systematic program themselves, which would then just
LO	better all of us.
L1	MR. SUAZO: Have you reviewed the LFC
L2	report that Applicants rely on in this proceeding?
L3	MS. FELIX: Yes, I have.
L4	MR. SUAZO: And what is your
L5	interpretation of how Applicants, you know, have
L6	utilized the LFC's report?
L7	MS. FELIX: I I would say that
L8	Applicants have have used it to to and, you
L9	know, how do I want to say this. They've relied on it
20	to state their platform when it comes to costs and
21	execution of the program.
22	I think all of us who have read the
23	report have very many different interpretations of
24	what that report says, but I think at the end of the
25	day, what we can all agree upon that the report

1	tell told us is that there needs to be some
2	changes.
3	And I think those changes could come
4	from a unified front working on the procurement.
5	Going out to to bid to industry-wide vendors so
6	that OCD isn't just constrained to one or two vendors.
7	We OCD could potentially have three
8	or four rigs running, three or four different programs
9	running throughout the state. And that that's a
10	takeaway that I took away from that report.
11	MR. SUAZO: Do you know whether the LFC
12	report proposed statutory or regulatory changes?
13	MS. FELIX: They did. Yes.
14	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Let's move on to
15	your slide number 4 where you discuss a definition for
16	beneficial purposes and beneficial use. Ms. Felix,
17	what is NMOGA's view on WELC's proposal to add a
18	definition for beneficial purposes or beneficial use?
19	MS. FELIX: The the biggest concerns
20	that we have is that it goes against the definition
21	use for decades within industry. And so it ignores
22	what beneficial depends on, in terms of what we heard
23	from Mr. McGowen of evolving technologies, markets,
24	infrastructure, and geology.
25	So the things that, you know, every

1	day, there's technologies in whatever industry that
2	we're in changing and improving and making things
3	better.
4	And so while looking at these wording
5	changes could hamstring what the industry could do to
6	just continue to be a better developer in the State of
7	New Mexico.
8	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Now, as part of that
9	definition, there's a phrase "speculative purposes."
10	Why does NMOGA oppose Applicant's attempt to prohibit
11	speculative purposes?
12	MS. FELIX: I I think again, it goes
13	to the the stifling and the hampering it could put
14	on innovation. As technologies improve, as learnings
15	are learned, as new new wells are drilled, we learn
16	things every day.
17	And while the the new wells
18	technology evolves every day, so does the technology
19	for those wells that have been here for years.
20	We've got operators that are in the
21	business of, you know, taking that old classic car
22	and and making it something new today. And, my
23	goodness, to stifle that innovation would just, I
24	think, do a harm to the state and to the resources.
25	MR. SUAZO: So with respect to this

1	proposed definition, what is NMOGA's final position on
2	this issue for the commission?
3	MS. FELIX: I mean, we we would like
4	if if the commission is inclined to adopt a
5	definition. A definition that is so broad and
6	flexible is not what we're recommending; right?
7	But something to not constrain future
8	beneficial uses to essential to prevent waste and
9	protect correlative rights and give industry and those
10	entrepreneurs the opportunity to bring that old Chevy,
11	you know, 1950 Chevy up to par.
12	MR. SUAZO: All right, let's move on to
13	your next slide where you discussed presumptions of no
14	beneficial use. Can you please explain the proposed
15	presumption regarding beneficial use as you understand
16	it?
17	MS. FELIX: As I understand it,
18	Applicants presume a well incapable of beneficial use
19	if 90-day production thresholds are unmet within a 12-
20	month period. And then that allows for as written a
21	30-day window to rebut that lack specifies as to when
22	the 30-day window begins.
23	MR. SUAZO: What is NMOGA's concern
24	with the 90-day one-year threshold that is proposed?
25	MS. FELIX: It it doesn't it

1	doesn't allow for the let me start again.
2	It doesn't account for all those viable
3	wells. Right? And the and not just a well basis,
4	but also a lease basis, as we heard Mr. Sporich speak
5	to earlier.
6	So while individual wells standalone
7	and operate on their own, wells within a lease, also
8	kind of collaborate with one another. And so that
9	needs to be taken into consideration as well.
10	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And there's a
11	rebuttable presumption framework within that proposal,
12	you know, with a 30-day window. Does NMOGA have any
13	issues with that proposal?
14	MS. FELIX: Yeah, we believe that 30-
14 15	MS. FELIX: Yeah, we believe that 30-day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-
15	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-
15 16	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's
15 16 17	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is
15 16 17 18	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is just not a a long enough window. It also doesn't
15 16 17 18	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is just not a a long enough window. It also doesn't account for many, many viable wells.
15 16 17 18 19	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is just not a a long enough window. It also doesn't account for many, many viable wells. We've got members who have been
15 16 17 18 19 20	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is just not a a long enough window. It also doesn't account for many, many viable wells. We've got members who have been operators in the State of New Mexico. Their great-
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is just not a a long enough window. It also doesn't account for many, many viable wells. We've got members who have been operators in the State of New Mexico. Their greatgrandfather started these companies. They are in the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	day window lacks enough time for folks to, I mean, 30-days to get a plugging rig to make sure there's equipment, crews, plans, get it approved from OCD, is just not a a long enough window. It also doesn't account for many, many viable wells. We've got members who have been operators in the State of New Mexico. Their greatgrandfather started these companies. They are in the business and have been of operating these types of

1	wells will essentially send these very legacy
2	companies out of the state. And that's one of our
3	greatest concerns.
4	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Now you've worked in
5	the oil business yourself. Based on your experience,
6	how do you think this presumption would affect
7	reservoir and field management types of issues?
8	MS. FELIX: I I think it would leave
9	potential for for waste and undeveloped resources,
10	resulting in undeveloped and not and funds that
11	would've went to the state, to the schools, to the
12	children, to the funds that are now helping to provide
13	for a 10-day extension through SNAP.
14	The unified childcare credit that is
15	going around the state would be losing out in that.
16	MR. SUAZO: Did you hear Mr. Arthur and
17	Mr. McGowen's testimony in this proceeding?
18	MS. FELIX: Yes, I did.
19	MR. SUAZO: And do you recall whether
20	they gave any real world operational examples of,
21	well, you know what, let me move on to the next
22	question. I don't like that one. Now that I've said
23	it aloud.
24	Sticking with your slide 5, are there
25	concerns with federal lease terms or other regulatory
	Page 59

1	conflicts that NMOGA foresees?
2	MS. FELIX: Absolutely. And that's
3	why, you know, in my comment earlier that they
4	introduction of the lease parameters and definitely
5	need to be taken into consideration too.
6	Because as operators are bound by their
7	lease terms and planning portfolios and planning
8	projects, they have to be cognizant of those lease
9	terms. And so I think it is our duty through these
10	proceedings to also take that into consideration.
11	MR. SUAZO: Now have you heard
12	Mr. Sporich's testimony and read his testimony?
13	MS. FELIX: Yes.
14	MR. SUAZO: Does NMOGA believe that the
15	commission has the authority to adopt this
16	presumption?
17	MS. FELIX: No.
18	MR. SUAZO: Let's move on to your slide
19	6. Ms. Felix, can you tell the commission what NMOGA
20	is recommending regarding this proposal to create a
21	presumption of no beneficial use?
22	MS. FELIX: Yes, if NMOGA recommends if
23	the commission is inclined to adopt WELC's proposal
24	that it should only apply to extended periods of time
25	of inactivity, such as five years.

1	MR. SUAZO: Okay. So we've finished
2	with the beneficial use, let's move on to the
3	financial assurance portion of your testimony starting
4	with slide 7.
5	Ms. Felix, do you know what WELC
6	proposes with respect to the changes to rule
7	19.15.8.9(A) regarding acquisitions and financial
8	assurance?
9	MS. FELIX: Yes.
10	MR. SUAZO: What are NMOGA's concerns
11	with this proposal?
12	MS. FELIX: Our concerns with this
13	proposal is that this would grant OCD the authority to
14	act as as the gatekeeper over acquisitions and
15	transactions in the state in regards to oil and gas.
16	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And does NMOGA rely
17	on Mr. Sporich's testimony and opinions in that?
18	MS. FELIX: Yes.
19	MR. SUAZO: What does NMOGA recommend
20	that the commission do with this proposal?
21	MS. FELIX: Our recommendation is that
22	the OCC reject this provision.
23	If the commission does explore it,
24	recommended changes would are to limit application
25	strictly to operational approvals, distinguish
	Page 61

1	ownership from operations, to find clear triggers for
2	financial assurance, and engage stakeholders in
3	designing a workable framework.
4	MR. SUAZO: Now it seems like there's a
5	pre-transfer element to this based on the language.
6	Does NMOGA have concerns about the impact of this pre-
7	transfer requirement?
8	MS. FELIX: Yes.
9	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Let's move to slide
10	9. Does NMOGA have any ideas on real world
11	consequences for the industry if this proposal's
12	adopted?
13	MS. FELIX: Yes. So as Mr. Arthur and
14	Mr. McGowen testified earlier, WELC'S proposals cause
15	significant administrative burdens, budgeting
16	complications, impediments to flexible development,
17	which would essentially be a waterfall.
18	Bottom line of, you know, where our
19	concerns are is that OCC should reject the proposals
20	as drafted 'cause they constitute regulatory
21	overreach, create heavy administrative burdens,
22	especially on a well-by-well tracking basis.
23	And again, I'm going to say it again,
24	that goes back to the lease implications as well. So
25	taking the look on a well-by-well doesn't answer and

1	dot the I and cross the T when it comes to lease
2	production.
3	And it would inhibit asset transfers,
4	particularly for depressed marginal assets. NMOGA
5	believes that legislature should make statutory
6	changes before these rules can be adopted.
7	MR. SUAZO: All right, let's move on to
8	your slide 10 where you discuss the proposed changes
9	for active wells. What changes do Applicants propose
10	for financial assurances on active wells?
11	MS. FELIX: So the current proposal is
12	150,000 or a 250 blanket bond regardless of the number
13	of wells in a portfolio.
14	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Have you also
15	Next slide please.
16	Have you reviewed Applicant's proposals
17	for inactive and temporarily abandoned wells?
18	MS. FELIX: Yes, I have.
19	MR. SUAZO: Do you know what those
20	require?
21	MS. FELIX: Yes. WELC's proposing the
22	150,000 bond per active well, 250,000 blanket bond
23	regardless of the well count, and an additional
24	150,000 bond for marginal wells.
25	MR. SUAZO: What is NMOGA's position on
	Page 63

1	these proposals?
2	MS. FELIX: As Mr. Sporich testified,
3	OCD statutory authority only allows financial
4	assurance amounts sufficient to cover reasonable
5	plugging costs.
6	And again, as we've as we've
7	uncovered through the these proceedings, I think
8	there's room for conversations on what these
9	reasonable costs are.
LO	WELC's proposal removes depth as a
L1	factor and sets requirements discounted
L2	disconnected from actual costs, exceeding statutory
L3	limits.
L4	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Now do you think the
L5	existing rules already address non-compliance in this
L6	area?
L7	MS. FELIX: I do. And I think with the
L8	existing rules and with a fully functional orphan well
L9	program with the fully functioning use of the
20	reclamation fund, we may not have as big as a problem
21	as what was originally brought forward to these
22	proceedings.
23	As we as we've, excuse me, as we've
24	learned, numbers are changed, cost estimates are
25	are have changed. What is an orphan well? What

1	does the list look like has changed?
2	So I think there is absolutely room
3	to to show that the mechanisms that are in place
4	and have been for many years, modernizing those and
5	having a fully executable program could 100 percent
6	work.
7	And I I will go back to the here and
8	the now. Right? Deputy Director Powell brought up an
9	incident over the weekend, and he said that two
10	operators raised their hands and helped through that.
11	And that's where operators are today.
12	We're here talking about issues and
13	wells from many, many years ago. While the rules that
14	are in place now in the enforcement the OCD currently
15	has by by utilizing and the enforcements of the
16	regulations and the assurances in place, will help us
17	to ensure we don't get there in 50 years from now.
18	But also help us address the the
19	problem that we're facing today once we're able to
20	quantify what that problem is.
21	MR. SUAZO: Can you please tell the
22	commission what NMOGA recommends, especially if
23	there's any sort of alternatives?
24	MS. FELIX: Yeah, so our recommendation
25	would be to retaining a fixed tiered blanket structure

1	tied to well counts and statutes.
2	If revisions are made, we would
3	recommend recommend preserving the blanket bond
4	options, use a risk-based approach tied to compliance
5	history, apply prospectivity, not retroactivity, and
6	avoid expanding OCD's authority beyond statutes.
7	MR. SUAZO: Now let's move on to your
8	next slide, 13, which is titled "Incomplete Blanket
9	Financial Assurances."
10	Ms. Felix, are you aware under the
11	current rules what happens if a blanket bond does not
12	cover certain wells?
13	MS. FELIX: Yes.
14	MR. SUAZO: Can you please explain what
15	the current rules require?
16	MS. FELIX: The current rule requires
17	operators to post a single well bond or replace it
18	with a blanket bond for their full portfolio.
19	MR. SUAZO: Are you aware what WELC is
20	proposing under this rule change?
21	MS. FELIX: Yes.
22	MR. SUAZO: Briefly, could you explain
23	what that is? Or I guess you already
24	MS. FELIX: I think I've already done
25	that.

1	MR. SUAZO: You already did earlier.
2	Sorry. What's NMOGA's position on this proposal?
3	MS. FELIX: I I think again, you
4	know, based off of the the legal outreach that
5	NMOGA has undertaken with our legal team, it's
6	that it lacks the statutory authority to make those
7	changes.
8	I I think another important item to
9	raise is that it is redundant to the existing
10	regulation that's already in place and provides for
11	cure under coverage there.
12	MR. SUAZO: Okay. What are NMOGA's
13	recommendations to the commission with respect to this
14	proposal?
15	MS. FELIX: If the commission feels so
16	inclined to amend the the rule should expressively
17	allow for replacement blanket bonds to cure under
18	coverage, preserve operator choice between single well
19	and blanket coverage, and include a clear transition
20	period for securing replacement coverage.
21	MR. SUAZO: Ms. Felix, does your
22	testimony address the Consumer Price Index?
23	MS. FELIX: Yes it does.
24	MR. SUAZO: And do you know what
25	Applicants are recommending with respect to the CPI?

1	MS. FELIX: Yes, they're yes they
2	do.
3	MR. SUAZO: Okay. What is NMOGA's
4	position on Applicant's proposal to adjust based on
5	CPI?
6	MS. FELIX: Our concern is that in
7	it introduces volatility and uncertainty into capital
8	planning. All all operators plan in different
9	mechanisms. Smaller operators plan three to five
10	years out, other larger operators plan on different
11	frequencies. Portfolios look very different.
12	And so this type of basing it on as CPI
13	would introduce ambiguity and also could disrupt long-
14	term compliance and financial strategies. It'll also
15	add not only to be a burdensome task on the operator,
16	but also for OCD to administrate it as well.
17	MR. SUAZO: Now what is NMOGA's
18	recommendation to the commission on the proposal to
19	adjust based on CPI?
20	MS. FELIX: So here again, we recommend
21	that the OCC reject WELC's proposal and suggest a
22	five- to ten-year review intervals tied to a tier
23	plugging cost, data risk profiles, and bonding market
24	conditions. Not broad consumer inflation indexes.
25	MR. SUAZO: All right, let's move on to

1	your next slide, slide 15, which deals with marginal
2	wells. Ms. Felix, are you familiar with Applicant's
3	proposed definition for marginal wells?
4	MS. FELIX: Yes.
5	MR. SUAZO: Can you please explain your
6	understanding of what that is?
7	MS. FELIX: WELC proposes a two-pronged
8	test to identify marginal wells less than 180
9	producing days and less than 1000 barrels over 12
10	months.
11	MR. SUAZO: And are you aware that this
12	proposed definition, you know, is related to their
13	financial assurance proposals?
14	MS. FELIX: Yes, I am. I will also add
15	though, as we've gone through the proceedings and
16	we've heard from other parties, we have heard that
17	there is some openness to variable of these numbers.
18	And so NMOGA is very interested in having those
19	conversations and seeing what we can all agree upon as
20	these proceedings unfolded.
21	MR. SUAZO: Okay. So taking all what
22	you just said into account, what is NMOGA's
23	recommendation to the commission for marginal well
24	financial assurance?
25	MS. FELIX: So, again, just adopting a
	Page 69

1	risk-based approach rather than categoricals
2	thresholds.
3	Preserving and improving the blanket
4	bond option, clarifying the administrative mechanisms
5	before pre-well assurances, eliminating the arbitrary
6	15 percent trigger, and convening a stakeholder group
7	to share workable bonding rules.
8	MR. SUAZO: So you mentioned earlier
9	that you have reviewed the LFC report. Do you know
10	whether the LFC report contains a definition for
11	marginal well?
12	MS. FELIX: Yes it does.
13	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And is it different
14	from what Applicants are proposing in this proceeding?
15	MS. FELIX: Yes, it is.
16	MR. SUAZO: Okay. In your view, how
17	are Applicants characterizing marginal and inactive
18	and temporarily abandoned wells?
19	MS. FELIX: In my view, I mean,
20	they're they're characterizing them, you know, at a
21	high risk level. And so that the the reality is
22	marginal is doesn't equal high risk. Right? And I
23	think that's what we're here to try to to try to
24	tell that story.
25	MR. SUAZO: Did any of NMOGA'S
	Page 70

1	
1	witnesses kind of explain that to the commission, to
2	your recollection?
3	MS. FELIX: Yes, I believe, and, again,
4	remember I've had a head cold the last couple of days.
5	But I definitely heard that with Mr. McGowen
6	yesterday.
7	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Does NMOGA think
8	that the commission has the authority to create
9	marginal well financial assurance categories?
10	MS. FELIX: No.
11	MR. SUAZO: Let's move on to your slide
12	18, which discusses wells to be properly abandoned.
13	Are you familiar with what the current rules require
14	for wells to be properly abandoned?
15	MS. FELIX: Yes.
16	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And do you know what
17	changes WELC proposes to this rule?
18	MS. FELIX: Yes, I do.
19	MR. SUAZO: And what are NMOGA's
20	concerns with the proposal?
21	MS. FELIX: Really that 30-day window.
22	I, you know, as I stated earlier, it's very
23	unrealistic to think that an operator can contract out
24	a plugging crew, get the approvals from OCD, ensure
25	that there is a required workforce.

And there's the, you know, so many
different factors whether landowner approvals and
notifications. And I think we've also heard those
same that same struggle from OCD as they're
planning plugging on their side as well.
MR. SUAZO: What is NMOGA's
recommendation to the commission on this proposal?
MS. FELIX: NMOGA urges the commission
to adopt alternative language. In our NMOGA's pre-
hearing statement, Exhibit A, we provide practical
enforceability without sacrificing environmental
protection.
MR. SUAZO: Okay. Let's move on to
your slide 19. Are you familiar with the current
approved temporary abandonment rule?
MS. FELIX: Yes, I am.
MR. SUAZO: Okay. Are you familiar
with what Applicant proposes to the ATA requirements?
MS. FELIX: Yes.
MR. SUAZO: Okay. What are NMOGA's
concerns with their proposal?
MS. FELIX: A concern that we have is
the requirement for disclosure of sensitive
information.
While we do know that there is a

1	markaniam fan ganfidantial information thomas anain
1	mechanism for confidential information, there, again,
2	submittal of confidential information into OCD's
3	house, again, adds another layer of administrative
4	oversight, which as currently staffed could pose an
5	issue for OCD.
6	MR. SUAZO: Did you hear Mr. Sporich's
7	testimony on that statute that is intended to protect
8	confidential information?
9	MS. FELIX: Yes, I did.
10	MR. SUAZO: Do you share his concerns
11	with whether it's stringent or not stringent enough?
12	MS. FELIX: Yes.
13	MR. SUAZO: All right. Let's move on
14	to slide 20, which deals with approved temporary
15	abandonment to 19.15.2.7, .8, .13.
16	Ms. Felix, do you know how temporary
17	abandonment is defined currently?
18	MS. FELIX: Yes.
19	MR. SUAZO: And do you know how WELC
20	proposes to change this definition?
21	MS. FELIX: Yeah, their proposal
22	requires showing of beneficial use.
23	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Does NMOGA oppose
24	this change?
25	MS. FELIX: Yes.

1	MR. SUAZO: Can you explain why?
2	MS. FELIX: Really just to avoid the
3	confusion of I I don't think that we've all
4	aligned on what beneficial use is. And again, going
5	back to my old Chevy car example, it is for that very
6	reason.
7	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And what is NMOGA's
8	recommendation to the commission on this proposal?
9	MS. FELIX: To reject the the
10	proposal as submitted.
11	MR. SUAZO: And if the commission
12	instead decides to proceed with adopting some sort of
13	change here, what is NMOGA's recommendation?
14	MS. FELIX: A a more tiered
15	approach.
16	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Let's move on to
17	your testimony regarding expired temporary
18	abandonment. Are you familiar with WELC's proposal
19	regarding expired temporary abandonment?
20	MS. FELIX: Yes.
21	MR. SUAZO: Did any of NMOGA's
22	witnesses raise any legal concerns with the proposal?
23	MS. FELIX: Yes, Mr. Sporich did.
24	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And based upon
25	Mr. Sporich's testimony and feedback from NMOGA

1	members, what is NMOGA's recommendation to the
2	commission?
3	MS. FELIX: Again, to reject these
4	changes to avoid uncertainty for regulators and
5	operators. So not just for industry, but for
6	regulators and OCD themselves.
7	OCC should rely on existing provisions
8	which already addressed approved temporary abandon
9	expiration and renewal procedures.
10	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Let's move on to
11	your slide 22, changes of operator. Are you familiar
12	with the current change of operator rules and how
13	Applicant proposes to change them?
14	MS. FELIX: Yes, I am.
15	MR. SUAZO: Okay. What are NMOGA's
16	concerns with Applicant's proposal?
17	MS. FELIX: The the current proposal
18	lacks the materiality of thresholds for non-
19	compliance, inviting arbitrary enforcement, and room
20	for interpretation right from the regulator.
21	Creates retroactive liability via a
22	"afflamation-based" disclosures, regardless of the
23	operational role, conflicts with fiduciary and
24	corporate governance duties, as well as OCC, lacking
25	the statutory authority to require compliance with

laws of other states.
MR. SUAZO: Okay. So I guess then,
based upon that feedback from an operation standpoint,
what problems arise from requiring a P&A plan at the
change of operator stage?
MS. FELIX: Well, it's very
predecisional. You know, you're you're putting a
plan together for a well before that well has died,
essentially.
Putting that putting that plan
together at the time when the well is not ready to be
plugged, could be misconstrued by some that if a plan
is there, that that well is ready to be plugged, and
it is is not.
So, I think aligning with the
practicality of having that plugging plan when the
well in the here and the now is more practical, not
only for industry, for OCD, but also for members of
the public.
'Cause one could misconstrue and think
the well is at its end of life if that plugging plan
is submitted in on record at OCD.
MR. SUAZO: Now also part of this
section, Applicants propose conditioning registration
in New Mexico with compliance in other states. What

1	is NMOGA's position on that?
2	MS. FELIX: The to strike that
3	provision mandating the out-of-state compliance in its
4	entirety. We oppose WELC's section B changes and
5	recommend replacing the 25 percent affiliation test
6	with a control base test.
7	And if any showing of P&A capacities
8	required, adopting a very clear objective standards
9	would be our recommendation.
10	MR. SUAZO: Does NMOGA recommend, well,
11	actually, I'll move on to the next question. I think
12	you already covered that.
13	On your next slide, slide 24, dealing
14	with 19.15.9.9(C) and proposed new subsection E. Do
15	you know what the current rule 9(C) allows?
16	MS. FELIX: Yeah, currently the the
17	denial for non-compliance or under ACOI with no
18	compliance schedule.
19	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And what are NMOGA's
20	concerns with Applicant's proposal to change Section
21	C?
22	MS. FELIX: Again, out of compliance in
23	other states, the 25 percent holder is was within
24	five years not properly registered with New Mexico
25	secretary of state, cannot meet the P&A requirements,

1	transfers prohibited, transfers of non-compliant
2	wells, unless made compliant.
3	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Did you hear
4	Mr. Arthur and Mr. McGowen's testimony on this issue?
5	MS. FELIX: I believe I did, yes.
6	MR. SUAZO: And what is NMOGA's
7	position based upon the testimony they've heard in
8	this proceeding?
9	MS. FELIX: Between Mr. Arthur and
10	Mr. McGowen, verifying compliance across multi
11	jurisdictions and legacy entities is often very
12	impossible.
13	Proposal will heighten due diligence
14	burdens, delay delay deals, raise costs, sellers
15	cannot realistically certify a a buyer's multi
16	jurisdiction compliance. And ultimately this is going
17	to add an additional burden onto the division.
18	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And if the
19	commission decides that it does want to proceed with
20	this proposal and adopt this sort of screening, what
21	is NMOGA's recommendation?
22	MS. FELIX: NMOGA would recommend that
23	the that the commission takes a balanced approach
24	to this to this very provisioned so not to deter
25	operate prudent operators coming into New Mexico.

1	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Now let's move on to
2	your next slide. There's been a lot of discussion
3	about NMOGA's involvement in this rulemaking. Do you
4	recall listening to the testimony of WELC's witness,
5	Thomas Alexander?
6	MS. FELIX: Yes.
7	MR. SUAZO: And do you recall him
8	saying that it was regrettable that NMOGA did not sit
9	down with OCD and Applicants to discuss these
10	proposals?
11	MS. FELIX: Yes.
12	MR. SUAZO: What is your response?
13	MS. FELIX: So I've been waiting for
14	this time. I we are not here to throw stones. We
15	are here because a rulemaking petition was filed. But
16	I do want to give some clarity and some understanding
17	as to how and when NMOGA was introduced to the
18	potential petition by the applicants.
19	Our CEO received an e.mail from
20	Ms. Tannis Fox on a Saturday advising that the
21	applicants were considering some rule changes and
22	asked if we would like to sit down and have a
23	discussion.
24	So thank you for that, Ms. Fox.
25	We did respond back. Missi works 24/7.

1	We did reach out as our protocol requires us to.
2	Conferred with our board. Reached back out to
3	Ms. Fox.
4	On Wednesday of that following week,
5	Ms. Fox graciously said, "We can meet with you guys on
6	Friday." So we pulled our resources together. We met
7	with them. At that point, they were prepared verbally
8	to let us know of their plans.
9	They were not prepared to show us
10	anything in writing. We did ask if we could have an
11	opportunity to, before they petitioned. It we were
12	not guaranteed that we'd have that opportunity.
13	That, as planned, they were planning to
14	petition within the next week, but they would be open
15	to conversations. So we were appreciative of that.
16	However, after that meeting, not having
17	anything to take back to our members puts us in a
18	really, you know, peculiar spot because there's
19	nothing no decisions to be made; right?
20	We immediately, as soon as the petition
21	was filed, we sent that out to members. And not
22	representing just one company and representing an
23	entire industry, my boss, Missi, has 240 members who
24	are our bosses; right?
25	And so getting that trade organization

1	membership buy-in and opinions is a huge undertaking.
2	As we've listened over the last few days in
3	understanding now that that the applicants and
4	their witnesses have been working together since 2023.
5	I mean, that just shows right there
6	that it is a huge undertaking, and it does take a
7	great amount of time. We weren't given that amount of
8	time. We weren't given that luxury.
9	Once the petition was filed, all
10	parties that had entered an appearance, including OCD,
11	did have a meeting. OCD communicated that they were
12	going to be providing substantive red lines.
13	So NMOGA's members waited for the OCD
14	red lines so that we were working off the most the
15	most current version of the proposed rules. Right?
16	We understood we were going to get those by November.
17	Ms. Fox graciously reached out to all
18	the parties asking for conversations in October, and
19	while we did have counsel attend one of those
20	discussions without OCD's changes that had been
21	reflected through the parties, we had nothing to take
22	back to add value to those conversations.
23	So fast forward to February of this
24	year, we received OCDs revisions. And then subsequent
25	to that, couple more.

1	Long story short, it's not an excuse,
2	but it is the reality that trade organizations live
3	within. And that is being the voice for many
4	companies.
5	Instead of having 240 intervener
6	interveners in the rulemaking process, we try to bring
7	consensus. Would we have loved to have had the time?
8	Yes. But we would've had to have asked for a delay in
9	the proceedings.
10	And after the delay that had already
11	been, you know, undertaking, we felt it was best to
12	take what we were given and come to hearing.
13	Now, listening to Applicant's
14	witnesses, listening to OCD's witnesses, NMOGA
15	we're very happy to have those conversations now and
16	to have that reflected onto the things that, as I've
17	stated before, have been positioned that there's
18	there's some room for discussions here.
19	I think we can get this right, and we
20	want to. So I I just would like to make sure that,
21	yes, Applicants did reach out, but it it was not in
22	a true manner of truly getting feedback before
23	petitioning before the board.
24	MR. SUAZO: I think you covered
25	everything I was going to ask you on that point, so

1	thank you for that. Let's move on to the next slide.
2	Now this slide covers the usage of
3	guidance documents and forms. What has NMOGA
4	discovered about OCD's use of guidance documents and
5	forms?
6	MS. FELIX: So, as as we were
7	working and and putting together and researching,
8	we did discover that since 2017, the division has
9	already been enforcing the a portion of the
10	proposed amendments to 19.15.9 NMAC and 19.15.5.9
11	NMAC, which inserted the requirements specifically
12	onto the C-145 form without any statutory or
13	regulatory basis for doing so.
14	MR. SUAZO: And why is NMOGA concerned
15	about this?
16	MS. FELIX: It it's really the
17	concerning part for us is that because the division
18	has already been making law through guidance and forms
19	rather than through regulations approved through the
20	commission.
21	MR. SUAZO: Okay. And how does this
22	practice from the division connect to Applicant's
23	proposal in this case?
24	MS. FELIX: Well, Applicant's proposal
25	includes this language almost verbatim. OCD is

1	already requiring it on the C-145 forms. So why is
2	this rulemaking even needed if if it's already been
3	enforceable on industry over the last eight years?
4	MR. SUAZO: So if they've been
5	enforcing it for years, and it's actually in the
6	proposal that's in front of the commission, what does
7	this, you know, underscore in your opinion?
8	MS. FELIX: I mean, it it begs the
9	question to members and to NMOGA of are there other
10	forms that we are that we are already complying
11	with that have not gone through the correct statutory
12	changes for enforcement and authority?
13	MR. SUAZO: And if they've already been
14	doing this, what does that tell you about the proposal
15	before the commission?
16	MS. FELIX: Again, is there a need?
17	MR. SUAZO: What is NMOGA's
18	recommendation to the commission on this issue?
19	MS. FELIX: I NMOGA's recommendation
20	is this item is not needed to reject it.
21	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Now let's move on to
22	your concluding slides here with the time that we have
23	left. Starting with slide 27, what is NMOGA's
24	recommendation?
25	MS. FELIX: NMOGA's recommendation at
	Page 84

1	the time for the commission is to refrain from
2	adopting any of the proposed amendments at this time.
3	And I will preface that with, again, we've learned a
4	lot through the last two weeks.
5	We've I I believe on all parties
6	have come to an understanding of where others where
7	each other is coming from, and there is an opportunity
8	as the commissioners have stated for conversation to
9	try to try to align.
10	MR. SUAZO: Okay. Moving on to slide
11	28. You know, I know these were your recommendations
12	coming into the proceeding and I'm going to give you
13	the opportunity to walk through them and build in any
14	other commentary based upon what we've learned over
15	the last two weeks.
16	So please proceed with your first
17	recommendation.
18	MS. FELIX: Okay, thank you. First
19	recommendation again is to reject the proposals beyond
20	statutory authority.
21	The commission should decline
22	amendments that exceed its authority under the Oil and
23	Gas Act, such as creating new bonding categories for
24	marginal wells, imposing CPI, auto escalators, or
25	conditioning transfers on multi-state compliance.

1	These provisions are legislative
2	matters and not proper for agency rulemaking.
3	Number two is to preserve the risk-
4	based and tiered-bonding structures. Retain the
5	current system of risk-based individual well bonds and
6	tiered bonds tied to depth and risk factors, rather
7	than adopting the flat 150,000 per well requirements.
8	This framework would reflect actual
9	plugging costs, statutory caps, while preserving
10	flexibility.
11	Three would be to provide compliance
12	flexibility. At a minimum, remove unnecessary cross-
13	references, add compliance grace period for newly
14	acquired assets, and recognize exemptions for
15	temporary non-compliance.
16	These refinements keep the framework
17	workable and consistent with market realities.
18	Four would be to temporary abandonment
19	as a conservation tool. Retain the current temporary
20	abandonment program while already provides oversight
21	through mechanical integrity requirements and renewal.
22	Reject arbitrary time cutoffs,
23	adjudicatory extensions or burdensome documentation
24	demands that would make TAs unworkable in practice.
25	Number five would be to reject
	Page 86

1	presumptions on no beneficial use. Production or
2	injection threshold. Should not be used to define
3	beneficial use.
4	The commission should preserve case-by-
5	case discretion, recognizing beneficial purposes such
6	as lease preservation, reservoir management, future
7	recompletions, and field development planning.
8	Number six is a to reject a new
9	marginal well definition. A new definition
LO	misclassifies viable wells and injecting investment
L1	uncertainty.
L2	If the commission considers such a
L3	definition, it must clarify how it would be applied
L4	and whether it would automatically trigger high-end
L5	bonding requirements.
L6	Number seven is to reject proposed
L7	waste prevention changes. The amendments to waste
L8	prevention should be rejected as they are unnecessary,
L9	impractical, and duplicative of existing protections.
20	And number eight, operator registration
21	and change of operator. Again, reject or add a
22	minimum.
23	Substantially amend new requirements
24	that would tie registration or transfers to multi-
25	state compliance affiliations of 25 percent owners or

1	vague standards like substantial risk limit to review
2	material final violations in New Mexico, failures to
3	meet New Mexico bonding requirements, and specific
4	well integrity findings.
5	Nine. And expand use of targeted
6	enforcement tools rather than discarding ACOI, OCD
7	should refine and expand them.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Slow down,
9	Ms. Felix.
10	MS. FELIX: I'm sorry. To prioritize
11	highest risk wells with enforceable milestones while
12	allowing lower risk wells to be managed under face
13	schedules.
14	Ten, recognize and utilize the
15	reclamation fund. The reclamation fund supported by
16	conservation taxes should remain our central part of
17	New Mexico's plugging framework and should be
18	considered alongside bonding changes.
19	Adapt balanced alternatives. If
20	adjustments are deemed necessary, NMOGA supports
21	phase-in, risk-based assurance increases. Light-touch
22	idle well certifications, periodic evidence-based
23	reviews instead of automatic CPI escalators,
24	beneficial use definition.
25	No new definition or presumptions of

1	beneficial use should be added. If the commission
2	considers such a definition, it would substantially
3	amend to recognize beneficial uses beyond production
4	or injection volumes.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is this a good
6	place for a short break?
7	MR. SUAZO: This is the last question
8	and then she's wrapped up.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
10	BY MR. SUAZO:
11	MR. SUAZO: Okay. The wrong slide.
12	It's labeled 31. Should be at 13th.
13	Anyway, I actually, that's it. So
14	yeah, that's the conclusion of our questions, Madam
15	Hearing Officer.
16	NMOGA moves to admit to the record the
17	direction of rebuttal testimony of Ms. Felix and the
18	demonstrative exhibits that summarize her direct and
19	rebuttal testimony.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, I'll
21	pause for a moment in the event there are objections.
22	MR. SUAZO: And I would make Ms. Felix
23	available for cross-examination and just to confirm
24	that since she is a fact witness, those are limited to
25	15 minutes, I believe.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think, let's
2	see here. It said technical, but
3	MR. SUAZO: We changed that.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, you changed
5	it?
6	MR. SUAZO: Yeah.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
8	Ms. Fox.
9	MS. FOX: I think her the whole of
10	her testimony is in the nature of technical testimony,
11	and she adopted the technical testimony of the other
12	four witnesses. So I think we're entitled to an hour.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, 45 minutes.
14	MS. FOX: Or 45 minutes.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I think it
16	is in the nature of technical testimony requiring her
17	professional experience as the director.
18	Okay. So the exhibits are admitted.
19	Let me speak those words. We do need a ten-minute
20	break before we begin with cross-examination. So
21	let's come back at 10:55.
22	(IPANM Exhibit 1 was marked for
23	identification and received into
24	evidence.)
25	(Off the record.)
	Page 90

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: When we broke,
2	Ms. Felix had finished her testimony, and we turn now
3	to cross-examination.
4	Ms. Fox or Mr. Tisdel.
5	MS. FOX: Yes, thank you, Madam Hearing
6	Officer. We're going to start, and Mr. Tisdel is just
7	going to pass out one cross-examination exhibit to
8	everybody.
9	CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. FOX:
11	Good morning, Ms. Felix. I'm Tannis
12	Fox. I'm a lawyer with Western Environmental Law
13	Center, and I represent Applicants in this proceeding.
14	Do you have a copy of your direct and
15	rebuttal testimony with you?
16	MS. FELIX: Yes. I do.
17	MS. FOX: In reading your testimony, I
18	was struck by the extent to which you referred to
19	cited or otherwise, adopted the testimony of other
20	NMOGA witnesses. Do you believe you cited to the
21	testimony of the other NMOGA witnesses approximately
22	280 times in your testimony?
23	MS. FELIX: I don't know the exact
24	count, but if you counted, I'll take your word for it.
25	MS. FOX: So the extent to which any of

1	that testimony upon which you relied has been
2	undermined during the hearing, your testimony is
3	undermined; correct?
4	MS. FELIX: I I wouldn't categorize
5	it that way. I would say that, you know, my my
6	testimony is to take what all of our other expert
7	witnesses have brought together, in addition to the
8	feedback that received from membership and bring that
9	to the hearing platform.
10	MS. FOX: But the extent to which you
11	relied on that testimony, and the extent to which it's
12	been undermined, your testimony is therefore
13	undermined because you relied on that testimony.
14	MR. SUAZO: Objection. That's overly
15	vague. If she wants to talk about specific pieces of
16	testimony, she can.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Ms. Fox,
18	if you want to refer to something specific.
19	MS. FOX: Thank you Madam Hearing
20	Officer.
21	BY MS. FOX:
22	MS. FOX: Ms. Felix, throughout your
23	presentation, you referred in your testimony, and your
24	lawyer referred in your testimony as to the proposals
25	before the commission as WELC's proposals; correct?

1	MS. FELIX: Yes, WELC, the applicant.
2	MS. FOX: Yeah. And you understand
3	don't you that the oil conservation division's
4	proposals in this proceeding effectively mirror
5	Applicant's proposals; correct?
6	MS. FELIX: There is some overlap.
7	Yes.
8	MS. FOX: Some overlap? Or are they
9	are the proposals nearly identical?
10	MS. FELIX: I would say that they are
11	they have become nearly identical from what was
12	originally proposed, yes.
13	MS. FOX: They've been nearly identical
14	since the parties filed their direct testimony;
15	correct?
16	MS. FELIX: Since direct testimony,
17	yes.
18	MS. FOX: And you understand that
19	there's a number of very significant proposals in
20	WELC's petition and OCD's proposals that originated
21	with OCD; correct?
22	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
23	MS. FOX: And those proposals that
24	originated with OCD include the presumptions of no
25	beneficial use proposal at part 25; correct?

1	MS. FELIX: That's my understanding.
2	MS. FOX: And you understand that
3	Applicants and OCD had negotiations with OXY and OXY
4	supports that provision as well; correct?
5	MS. FELIX: That's my understanding.
6	MS. FOX: And you understand that the
7	provisions regarding marginal wells there's two
8	financial provisions proposed regarding financial
9	wells in part 8 originated from OCD; correct?
10	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
11	MS. FOX: And then you also understand
12	that with respect to the transfer provisions in part
13	nine that Applicants and OCD negotiate with OXY and
14	OXY supports those provisions in part nine;
15	correct?
16	MS. FELIX: That's my understanding.
17	MS. FOX: So there's a number of
18	parties here who are proposing amendments consistent
19	with Applicants in this proceeding; correct?
20	MS. FELIX: Yes.
21	MS. FOX: On pages 5 to 8 of your
22	direct testimony, you discuss your opposition to
23	Applicant's and OCD's proposed rebuttable presumptions
24	of no beneficial use; correct?
25	MR. SUAZO: Madam Hearing Officer, can
	Page 94

1	we pull of those pages? We are glad to do it, unless
2	Ms. Fox is able to.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
4	MS. FOX: I'm not going to pull up
5	pages 5 through 8, but I have the quote that I'm going
6	to ask her about on the slide right now.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
8	MS. FOX: I can't pull up pages five
9	through eight.
10	BY MS. FOX:
11	MS. FOX: You state on page 5 of your
12	testimony of your direct testimony "such a presumption
13	not only bypasses individual well evaluations but also
14	risks premature abandonment of wells that may retain
15	economic or operational value"; correct?
16	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
17	MS. FOX: And you understand that the
18	presumptions are rebuttable; correct?
19	MS. FELIX: Yes, that's correct.
20	MS. FOX: And I've pulled up the
21	proposal as modified after negotiations with OXY. And
22	you understand that an operator may rebut the
23	presumption with information that is specific and
24	unique to the individual well; correct?
25	MS. FELIX: That's correct.

1	MR. SUAZO: I'm sorry. Where are you
2	reading from the what's on the screen? Or from her
3	testimony?
4	MS. FOX: No. I'm just generalizing
5	what the provision provides for in part D.
6	BY MS. FOX:
7	MS. FOX: So submission of well-
8	specific information to OCD would result in an
9	individualized well evaluation; correct?
10	MS. FELIX: It would. What is the
11	purpose of what's on the screen though?
12	MS. FOX: Just to remind you what's in
13	the presumption of the beneficial use in case you
14	didn't have it in your mind.
15	MS. FELIX: Oh okay.
16	MS. FOX: You state on page 6 of your
17	direct testimony that "Many viable wells would fall
18	below the threshold in the provision on rebuttable
19	presumptions of no beneficial use"; correct?
20	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
21	MR. SUAZO: Objection. That's not
22	exactly what her testimony says, which is why we would
23	like for it to be on the screen if she is going to
24	reference it.
25	MS. FOX: The testimony's on the
	Page 96

1	screen. At the top.
2	MR. SUAZO: Is it?
3	MS. FELIX: What pages is this?
4	MS. FOX: Page 6.
5	MS. FELIX: Is this rebuttal or direct?
6	BY MS. FOX:
7	MS. FOX: Direct. You state "many
8	viable wells including those used in enhanced oil
9	recovery EOR cyclic operations, pilot testing,
10	or temporarily shut-in from maintenance would fall
11	below this threshold." Speaking to the threshold for
12	presumptions of beneficial use.
13	MS. FELIX: Give me one second. I'm
14	looking for that.
15	MS. FOX: Lines 115 to 16.
16	MS. FELIX: Okay, yes. What I've
17	got what my testimony says is "many viable wells
18	including those used in enhanced recovery oil
19	EORs cyclic operations, pilot testing, or
20	temporarily shut-in for maintenance would fall below
21	this threshold." Correct.
22	MS. FOX: Now neither you nor any NMOGA
23	witness has attempted to quantify the number of wells
24	that would be subject to the rebuttable presumptions
25	of no beneficial use; correct?

1	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
2	MS. FOX: I'm showing you Applicant's
3	Exhibit 40, which shows, among other things, the
4	number of production wells in New Mexico that would
5	fall into that presumption of no beneficial use. Are
6	you familiar with this exhibit?
7	MS. FELIX: Yes, I've seen it.
8	MS. FOX: And this exhibit shows
9	there's approximately 1700 wells that would fall into
10	the presumption. Representing 2.9 percent of all
11	wells, and 0.003 percent of all production. And you
12	didn't provide any basis to dispute this information
13	and your testimony, did you?
14	MS. FELIX: Not in my no, ma'am.
15	MS. FOX: Regarding financial
16	assurance, on page 13 of your direct testimony, and
17	I've got the applicable quotes appear for you
18	MS. FELIX: Thank you.
19	MS. FOX: discussing Applicant's
20	proposed financial assurance requirements for marginal
21	and inactive wells, you state "Mr. Arthur explains
22	that adopting these proposed changes would
23	exponentially increase bonding requirements especially
24	for smaller operators who rely on marginal or stripper
25	wells which make up 54 percent of oil wells and 81

1	percent of gas wells in New Mexico"; correct?
2	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
3	MS. FOX: And when you say that
4	marginal or stripper wells make up 54 percent of oil
5	wells and 81 percent of gas wells in the state, you
6	are referring to marginal wells as defined in
7	Applicant's proposals; correct?
8	MS. FELIX: I am referring to marginal
9	as Mr. Arthur uses it in his testimony.
10	MS. FOX: In the beginning of part of
11	your testimony that we have up on the slide here, you
12	identify you say "WELC's proposed active and
13	marginal well financial assurance requirements
14	imposed," blah blah.
15	So, you are referring in that
16	paragraph as did Mr. Arthur to the marginal well
17	definition in Applicant's proposal; correct?
18	MS. FELIX: Yeah. I referring to his,
19	which, if he's referring to WELC's, then that would be
20	correct. But I'm referring to Mr. Arthur's.
21	MS. FOX: Okay. So when you say WELC's
22	proposed active and marginal well financial assurance,
23	you're not necessarily referring to our proposals with
24	regard to marginal well financial assurance?
25	MS. FELIX: So yes, but my statement

1	the bottom statement that you asked me about first
2	is referred back to Mr. Arthur. Right? And
3	MS. FOX: Okay I'm not going to belabor
4	this. For his part, Mr. Arthur, upon his testimony
5	relies, states on page 28 of his testimony that "these
6	per well financial assurance requirements for marginal
7	wells will exponentially increase the bonding amounts
8	required under the rule.
9	"Because stripper wells," which he says
10	are a subset of marginal wells, "represent 54 percent
11	of oil wells and 81 percent of gas wells in New
12	Mexico"; correct?
13	MS. FELIX: I don't have his testimony
14	up, but I if if that's what you pulled, I will
15	take your word for it.
16	MS. FOX: Okay. Well, you initially
17	said that you were relying on his testimony, and so
18	since you're relying on his testimony, I am asking you
19	about what that testimony is.
20	MS. FELIX: I understand, but I'm just
21	saying that what's on the screen, I don't have myself.
22	I don't have his testimony pulled up.
23	MS. FOX: But you don't have any reason
24	to think that this the testimony that I pulled up
25	for you is not Mr. Arthur's testimony; correct?

1	MS. FELIX: No, I I told you that.
2	Yeah.
3	MS. FOX: Okay. So, in New Mexico,
4	what is the maximum production level for stripper
5	wells and barrels of oil equivalent per day over one
6	year?
7	MS. FELIX: Right now as proposed?
8	MS. FOX: No. I'm talking about
9	stripper wells. What's the definition of stripper
10	well in New Mexico?
11	MS. FELIX: I don't have that off the
12	top of my head right now.
13	MS. FOX: You don't know that it's 10
14	BOE per day over a year?
15	MS. FELIX: I if that's what you're
16	telling me, I have no reason to believe it's not.
17	MS. FOX: And what is the maximum
18	production level for Applicant's definition of
19	marginal well?
20	MS. FELIX: A thousand barrels.
21	MS. FOX: So that's about 2.7 BOE per
22	day over one year; correct?
23	MS. FELIX: I would take your word for
24	it.
25	MS. FOX: So under Applicant and OCD's
	Dago 101

proposed definition of marginal well, the well would
also have to produce less than 180 days per year;
correct?
MS. FELIX: Under the current proposed,
yes, ma'am.
MS. FOX: And so when Mr. Arthur says
that marginal wells, referring to marginal wells in
Applicant's proposals are a subset of stripper wells,
that's just wrong, isn't it?
MS. FELIX: Can you give me a little
bit more color? What? Ask it again. I'm sorry.
MS. FOX: That's okay. Mr. Arthur is
saying here in his testimony the testimony that you
relied upon that stripper wells are a subset of
marginal wells as proposed by Applicant and OCD. And
that's just wrong, isn't it?
MR. SUAZO: Objection. Ms. Fox had the
opportunity to cross Mr. Arthur, and he's an expert.
She's representing industry. Like I don't know how
she could know whether his testimony is wrong, just
because she relied on it.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. She
incorporated it into her own testimony; right? So why
couldn't she speak to it?
MR. SUAZO: Well, I'm not saying she
Page 102

1	can't speak to it. I'm just saying, how is she going
2	to verify her own expert's numbers? I think the
3	question's confusing.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
5	If you want to rephrase, Ms. Fox.
6	BY MS. FOX:
7	MS. FOX: Ms. Felix, stripper wells are
8	not a subset of marginal wells as proposed in
9	Applicant and OCD's proposals; correct?
10	MS. FELIX: So if I'm understanding,
11	you asked stripper wells are not a subset of marginal
12	wells in Applicant's application. That is correct.
13	MS. FOX: And so that both you and
14	Mr. Arthur are wrong when you state that Applicant's
15	marginal well category affects 54 percent of oil wells
16	and 81 percent of gas wells in New Mexico; correct?
17	MS. FELIX: I would not say that. I
18	what I have no reason to believe that what Mr. Arthur
19	put in his testimony that that I accepted as
20	well would be true in his in his opinion in what
21	he's bringing forth, so I really can't truthfully say
22	that.
23	MS. FOX: Okay. You do say in your
24	sworn testimony that marginal wells or stripper wells
25	make up 54 percent of oil wells and 81 percent of gas

1	wells in New Mexico. And all I'm asking you to
2	acknowledge is that's not correct.
3	MR. SUAZO: Madam Hearing Officer, I'm
4	going to object. Because what she's referring to, if
5	you go to Mr. Arthur's testimony, cites to an EIA
6	study.
7	So there's context that is not
8	available in the document that Ms. Fox is showing
9	Ms. Felix that actually sheds light on what Mr. Arthur
10	is referring to, so this whole line
11	MS. FOX: He can redirect on this,
12	Madam Hearing Officer.
13	MR. SUAZO: of questioning is
14	misleading.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on. Let me
16	offer a different instruction for Ms. Felix.
17	Ms. Felix, to the extent the answer to
18	Ms. Fox's question would require some, you know,
19	research on your part into what Mr. Arthur was basing
20	his testimony on. You really can just say, "I can't
21	say. I don't know."
22	MS. FELIX: Okay. I can't say I don't
23	know. So.
24	MS. FOX: Thank you, Madam Hearing
25	Officer.

1	MS. FELIX: I'm a rule follower.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: So, Ms. Felix,
3	you can't say if your testimony is accurate or not?
4	MS. FELIX: I can't say what additional
5	information was used to cite that. I would need to do
6	some further research.
7	BY MS. FOX:
8	MS. FOX: And then going back to
9	Applicant's Exhibit 40, which estimates the number of
10	marginal wells as defined by Applicants and OCD,
11	finding that there is approximately 2200 marginal
12	wells exclusive wells with no presumed beneficial use
13	representing 3.7 percent of all wells and 0.045
14	percent of total production; correct?
15	MS. FELIX: That's what's being shown
16	on this chart.
17	MS. FOX: A chart you said you're
18	familiar with.
19	MS. FELIX: Yes ma'am.
20	MS. FOX: And you don't have any basis
21	to dispute this data; correct?
22	MS. FELIX: Not no, ma'am.
23	MS. FOX: So while you and Mr. Arthur
24	are saying that Applicant's and OCD's marginal well
25	category affects 54 percent of oil wells and 81

1	percent of gas wells in New Mexico, using the correct
2	definition shows that, in fact, Applicant's marginal
3	well category affects 3.7 percent of all wells in the
4	state; correct?
5	MR. SUAZO: Again, objection. It mis-
6	cites Mr. Arthur's data for this proposal. And unless
7	she's going to pull that up and actually show the full
8	testimony he provided, this line of questioning, you
9	know, I'm sorry. I just have to object to it.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Fox, I guess
11	I thought Ms. Fox read what the testimony was.
12	MR. SUAZO: Well, the testimony is
13	citing to the EIA information. And as I understand
14	it
15	MS. FOX: Madam Hearing Officer?
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes?
17	MS. FOX: Mr. Suazo's objections that
18	are not being sustained is eating into my time.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
20	MS. FOX: And he can redirect on any of
21	this, and that's more appropriate.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. All right.
23	So, again, Mr. Suazo, you'll be able to
24	redirect.
25	And, Ms. Felix, to the extent you need
	Page 106

1	more information to answer the question, just say so.
2	MS. FELIX: Okay.
3	MS. FOX: And I'd also like to note
4	that I've given a lot of context for the Arthur
5	testimony here in what I'm showing.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: I agree with you.
7	BY MS. FOX:
8	MS. FOX: Ms. Felix, on pages 6 to 8 of
9	your rebuttal testimony, you state, "Moreover,
10	Applicants and OCD conflate plugging costs with full
11	environmental remediation costs.
12	"As Mr. Emerick and Mr. Arthur
13	explained, industry plugging costs are significantly
14	lower than the inflated figures cited to OCD's
15	procurement processes.
16	"Using these inflated averages to
17	justify \$150,000 per well bonding results and rules
18	that are both unworkable and beyond the scope of OCD's
19	authority.
20	"And as Mr. Sporich has explained, the
21	statutory authority for the division to demand
22	financial assurance only allows consideration of a
23	well's plugging and abandonment costs, not
24	reclamation." Correct?
25	MS. FELIX: That's correct.

1	MS. FOX: And so, what you're
2	essentially contending here is that the \$150,000
3	proposed by Applicants represents a bond for both
4	plugging and remediation and reclamation costs;
5	correct?
6	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
7	MS. FOX: So do you understand from the
8	testimony that the 150 from both Applicants and OCD,
9	that the \$150,000 one-well plugging financial
10	assurance is based on the average fiscal year 2024
11	cost to OCD to plug, and plug only, which according to
12	LFC is \$163,000.
13	MS. FELIX: That's what's showing in
14	the report right here.
15	MS. FOX: What's your understanding?
16	MS. FELIX: Yes, the only thing that I
17	would say as well, on on from the LFC report,
18	the pre-project cost were highest for remediation and
19	reclamation. So that can lead one to interpret that
20	reclamation was also included.
21	MS. FOX: Except
22	MS. FELIX: In the report.
23	MS. FOX: Except, that in the report,
24	you'll note that in table 5 of the LFC report, that
25	shows the FY '24 average cost to "plug" by well type;

1	correct?
2	MS. FELIX: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. FOX: And then on that same page in
4	the LFC report, which is page 4, LFC goes on to say
5	that while OCD spent more overall in well plugging
6	between FY '19 and '24, per project costs were highest
7	for remediation and reclamation of infrastructure
8	associated with oil and gas production, not well
9	plugging itself; correct?
10	That's what you just referred to.
11	MS. FELIX: Yes, that's what it says.
12	MS. FOX: Okay. And so then you see in
13	table 6 of the OCD of the LFC report, LFC has
14	reclamation and remediation costs which are separate
15	from the plugging costs; correct?
16	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
17	MS. FOX: And so the LFC report upon
18	which the \$150,000 average is taken from actually
19	distinguishes between on the one hand costs to plug in
20	on the other hand costs to reclaim and remediate;
21	correct?
22	MS. FELIX: Correct. Based off of what
23	we've just seen on the screen.
24	MS. FOX: And did you hear the
25	testimony both OCD witnesses Lauren Diede who oversees

1	plugging of orphan wells and Rosa Romero who oversees
2	remediation and reclamation?
3	MS. FELIX: I heard portions, yes,
4	ma'am.
5	MS. FOX: And you understand then that
6	within OCD the plugging work and the remediation and
7	reclamation work are separate?
8	MS. FELIX: Yes.
9	MS. FOX: And the cost for each type of
10	work are separate?
11	MS. FELIX: Yes.
12	MS. FOX: So your contention and that
13	of Mr. Emerick and Mr. Arthur that Applicant and OCD
14	conflate plugging costs with full environmental
15	remediation is wrong; correct?
16	MS. FELIX: Based off of what I've
17	learned through this hearing, but at the time of
18	submittal of my direct testimony and rebuttal, no.
19	MS. FOX: So you're correcting that
20	testimony right now?
21	MS. FELIX: What, ma'am?
22	MS. FOX: You're correcting that
23	testimony right now?
24	MS. FELIX: Well, we've learned a lot
25	over the hearing, and I've learned that from listening
	Page 110

1	to those to that testimony.
2	MS. FOX: I want to go to one of
3	NMOGA's proposals. If I could just have a minute
4	here. If I can find it.
5	Hey, Morgan, can I have one minute
6	please? This is not coming up. Sorry about that.
7	Thank you.
8	Ms. Felix, we're looking at NMOGA
9	Exhibit A, which is NMOGA's proposed redline. Are you
10	familiar with that? With NMOGA's Exhibit A?
11	MS. FELIX: Yes, ma'am.
12	MS. FOX: And, in your direct
13	testimony, you referred to Applicants proposals and
14	NMOGA's concerns with their proposals as part five of
15	the commission's regulations in 19.15; correct?
16	MS. FELIX: Can you point me to the
17	portion of my direct that you're talking to
18	MS. FOX: It's on pages 46 to 48.
19	MS. FELIX: And what are we talking
20	about?
21	MS. FOX: So were talking about NMOGA's
22	Exhibit A and its proposals to 19.15.5.9. That I'm
23	showing you on the screen.
24	MS. FELIX: Okay.
25	MS. FOX: Okay. And my question is
	Page 111

1	this, because I was a little bit confused by your
2	redline. And that is if you look at 19.15.5.9(A),
3	NMOGA proposes to strike paragraph A4; correct?
4	MS. FELIX: That is what's on the
5	screen. Yes, ma'am.
6	MS. FOX: And paragraph 4 in the
7	existing regulations requires compliance with part 25.
8	Part 25 is the part that deals with plugging and
9	abandonment. Requires compliance with part 25 and
10	then gives exceptions allows operators not to be in
11	compliance with those provisions for between two and
12	10 wells. Is that correct?
13	MS. FELIX: I believe that's correct.
14	Can we pull of the existing regulations so we can all
15	see?
16	MS. FOX: I'm just going to ask you
17	about this right now.
18	MS. FELIX: Okay.
19	MS. FOX: So if you need it, let's
20	MS. FELIX: Okay.
21	MS. FOX: Let me just ask and see so we
22	can hopefully avoid that; okay?
23	MS. FELIX: Okay.
24	MS. FOX: So my question is this, what
25	NMOGA has done here is strike out paragraph four
	Page 112
	rage 112

1	completely. We don't see the strikeout language,
2	which would strike out not only that compliance with
3	part 25 is required for compliance determination
4	except operators can have it's a little bit
5	MS. FELIX: Yeah.
6	MS. FOX: a bit confusing, except
7	that operators can have a number of wells out of
8	compliance for purposes of the compliance
9	determination. So my question is this, did NMOGA
10	intend to strike out that entire section for purposes
11	of the compliance determination in 19.15.5.9
12	compliance with part 25 is no longer required?
13	MS. FELIX: I don't I am not sure if
14	that's intentional or if there is an inadvertent error
15	in the exhibit that's showing.
16	MR. SUAZO: I can proffer this is an
17	error in that redline.
18	MS. FOX: That's what I was wondering.
19	MR. SUAZO: But her testimony is
20	correct on this point.
21	MS. FOX: Then was the intent,
22	Mr. Suazo, to leave the provision as is in the
23	existing rules?
24	MR. SUAZO: Let me confirm. I'm having
25	one of our associates pull up our filings and I can
	Page 113

1	confirm that in just a little bit. I think that the
2	intent, as I recall, was to leave the small operator
3	exceptions in there.
4	MS. FOX: Okay. To leave part 25 in
5	for purpose of compliance compliance determination
6	to leave the exceptions in so to maintain status quo,
7	essentially?
8	MR. SUAZO: Yes.
9	MS. FOX: Because here, it's completely
10	taken out.
11	MR. SUAZO: Right.
12	MS. FOX: Okay. That was my question.
13	Thank you. If you could clarify that, that would be
14	helpful.
15	MR. SUAZO: Sure.
16	MS. FOX: Okay.
17	BY MS. FOX:
18	MS. FOX: So, Ms. Felix, I really
19	wanted to avoid this discussion.
20	MS. FELIX: But you're giving me that
21	look.
22	MS. FOX: But you opened the door to
23	such a great extent during your direct presentation
24	that I'm going to plow forward. I'm going to forge
25	ahead even though I don't really want to. Okay.

1	Let me. Okay. I am going to put up
2	Applicant's Exhibit 89. Which is correspondence
3	between WELC council and Missi Currier, who is the
4	NMOGA CEO, that occurred between June 15th and June
5	24, 2024.
6	And you referred to some of this
7	correspondence in your written testimony during your
8	presentation today; correct?
9	MS. FELIX: Yes, ma'am.
10	MS. FOX: Okay. So, what I've done
11	here is I've numbered at the bottom of these pages.
12	I've given them page numbers separate from the page
13	numbers in the e-mails. Do you see that?
14	MS. FELIX: Yes. I do.
15	MS. FOX: To easily refer to them.
16	Okay. So looking at page 4, there's a June 15th
17	e.mail from WELC attorney, Ally Beasley.
18	And Ms. Beasley informed Ms. Currier of
19	our petition filing and offers to meet and also states
20	"We hope to work constructively with NMOGA" or
21	"work with NMOGA constructively throughout the
22	process." Correct?
23	MS. FELIX: You said page 4?
24	MS. FOX: Yeah, well, page 4.
25	MS. FELIX: My 4 is not that.

1	MS. FOX: Okay. Page 4 to 5, yes. So
2	if you look on page 5, she says, "Please let me
3	know"
4	MS. FELIX: Mine's not like that.
5	MS. FOX: Page 5?
6	MS. FELIX: I don't have a 5. Okay. I
7	don't need that.
8	MS. FOX: Sorry about that.
9	MS. FELIX: That's okay. Now I don't
10	look like I'm confused. 'Cause I was.
11	MS. FOX: Well, I think we confused
12	you. Okay. So on page 5, Ms. Beasley says to
13	Ms. Currier, "Please let me know if you would like to
14	discuss the proposed amendments and we hope to work
15	with NMOGA constructively throughout the rulemaking
16	process." Correct?
17	MS. FELIX: Yes. That's correct.
18	MS. FOX: And so, Ms. Currier responds
19	on page 4 on June 17th and says, "Thank you again for
20	reaching out to us, exclamation point" And she copies
21	IPANM in the Permian Basin Petroleum Association and
22	says, "We appreciate your willingness to meet,
23	exclamation point," and asks for dates to meet.
24	Correct?
25	MS. FELIX: That is correct.

1	MS. FOX: Okay. And WELC had sent the
2	same e.mail, notifying IPANM about the filing and
3	offering to meet; correct?
4	MS. FELIX: I don't know if WELC sent
5	that to IPANM.
6	MS. FOX: And so the meeting is set up
7	for June 21st; correct?
8	MS. FELIX: Which was the Friday. Yes,
9	ma'am.
10	MS. FOX: Correct. And later in the
11	day that we arranged the meeting, Ms. Currier said she
12	would not be able to attend but that representatives
13	from NMOGA, IPANM, and the Permian Basin Association
14	would be there, including you; correct?
15	MS. FELIX: That is correct.
16	MS. FOX: And then looking on page 1 on
17	June 24th after the meeting, Ms. Currier writes to me
18	thanking us for taking the time to meet; correct?
19	MS. FELIX: That is correct.
20	MS. FOX: And I respond that we
21	appreciated the meeting, that I would send a courtesy
22	copy of the filing and stated "As we expressed to the
23	group, we hope to discuss our proposed changes with
24	you and OCD between now and a hearing in the hope of
25	finding as much common ground as possible." Correct?

1	MS. FELIX: That is correct.
2	MS. FOX: And you attended that June
3	21st meeting; correct?
4	MS. FELIX: That is correct.
5	MS. FOX: And would you call that a
6	cordial and even friendly meeting?
7	MS. FELIX: Yes. It was. It was
8	very dialogue you went you went through
9	verbally what you guys were planning. We asked if you
10	had it in writing. You advised you weren't at that
11	point. We asked if we could see it before you
12	petitioned. There was no promises made. And then you
13	petitioned on Monday as you had planned to do.
14	MS. FOX: So turning to Applicant's
15	Exhibit 6
16	MS. FELIX: Can I have that back?
17	MR. SUAZO: I took it from you. Sorry.
18	MS. FELIX: I'm old school. I like
19	paper. I mean, this is great, but you gave it to me,
20	so.
21	BY MS. FOX:
22	MS. FOX: We all like paper. Okay. So
23	Applicant's Exhibit 6, which is in evidence is e-mail
24	correspondence among WELC, OCD, NMOGA, IPANM, and OXY
25	Council between August 28, 2024, and March 20, 2025.

1	So over the course of seven months.
2	And the e-mail correspondence begins
3	August 28th in which WELC is trying to schedule a
4	meeting with all parties to discuss and negotiate
5	WELC's proposals, and that's on you'll notice that
6	these pages are Bates stamped, Ms. Felix, and that
7	begins on Bates stamp number 0224.
8	MS. FELIX: Yes.
9	MS. FOX: Okay. So, at that point in
LO	time, WELC is trying to schedule a meeting with all
L1	parties to discuss and negotiate WELC's proposals;
L2	correct?
L3	MS. FELIX: That is correct. I would
L4	just add though, I don't have the exact date off the
L5	top of my head, but OCD advised all parties August
L6	24th-ish that they were going to be coming back with a
L7	substantive redline, and so at the time of the
L8	original request from you, Ms. Fox, the end of August.
L9	I can't recall the dates off the top of
20	my head for starting to engage in those conversations.
21	We did not have OCD's redlines.
22	In October when these e-mails and these
23	meetings were set up, NMOGA, because we have multiple-
24	member voices, could not add anything substantive
25	because we didn't have the most current redline that

1	we were expecting from OCD.
2	So I just want to make sure you
3	understand that, yes, we received these, yes, Miguel
4	went to one of the meetings, but he was not able to
5	give any input because the input for the perceived
6	coming redline had not been received by our
7	organization yet.
8	MS. FOX: I understand that you were
9	not at the meeting that occurred but isn't it true
10	that OCD announced its intent to propose redlines at
11	that meeting which was October 16th and not on August
12	24th.
13	MS. FELIX: We I I also recall
14	like like I said, I think it's the 24th, but there
15	was notice to the parties that a redline would be
16	coming.
17	MS. FOX: Okay. In any event, let's
18	tromp through this correspondence.
19	MS. FELIX: That's fine.
20	MS. FOX: And I promise I'll will try
21	to just quickly as possible, but I do really want to
22	set the record straight with regard to the efforts
23	that Applicants made to engage NMOGA and IPANM.
24	So looking at Bates stamp numbers 222
25	and 223, after a lot of work to schedule meetings by

1	September 4th, WELC was able to set up two set up
2	four two-hour meetings in October, beginning October
3	16th to discuss financial assurance and inactive
4	wells; correct?
5	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
6	MS. FOX: And then looking at 222, I
7	asked on September 5th if parties had alternate
8	proposals would they please send them; correct?
9	MS. FELIX: Yes, ma'am. That's what's
10	on here.
11	MS. FOX: And then looking at 230 to
12	231, on October 8th I send a reminder for the meetings
13	for which a calendar invite had been sent. And
14	proposed a rather detailed agendas for the meetings;
15	correct?
16	MS. FELIX: That is correct. Yes.
17	MS. FOX: Let's get those. Pull those
18	up. Okay. And then I reiterated at that time that if
19	any party had proposals or redlines, we'd like to see
20	those; correct?
21	MS. FELIX: Yes. That's correct.
22	MS. FOX: So then the four meetings
23	were to take place in October 2024, essentially one
24	year ago; correct?
25	MS. FELIX: Yes. Flashback. Time
	Page 121

1	flies when you're having fun getting ready for
2	rulemaking.
3	MS. FOX: Yeah. So looking at 229. On
4	October 11th, Mr. Suazo e.mails to say that both NMOGA
5	and IPANM now have conflicts and can only meet the
6	first day October 16th. And can we talk about both FA
7	and inactive wells; correct?
8	MS. FELIX: Correct. Yes. That's
9	what's on here.
10	MS. FOX: And then I write back and
11	say, "Well, we can collapse the four meetings, but
12	please know that Applicants remain Applicants
13	remain open to good faith negotiations after meeting
14	next week"; correct?
15	MS. FELIX: That's what it says. Yes
16	ma'am.
17	MS. FOX: And did you hear
18	Mr. Alexander's testimony that the one meeting that
19	was held was non-substantive in terms of negotiations?
20	MS. FELIX: I did, but I also heard him
21	refer that he's been preparing for this since 2023
22	with you all. So that's why I just stand firm on the
23	good faith efforts.
24	MS. FOX: I am not going to go into the
25	extensive negotiations on oil and gas reform that,

1	well, participated in with industry over the course of
2	five months. So I just were you part of those
3	negotiations at all?
4	MS. FELIX: I was not, but I've I've
5	heard the stories.
6	MS. FOX: Okay.
7	MS. FELIX: And you brought that up.
8	So since you brought that up, I will tell you that
9	when this proposal made prime time.
10	And we announced to members, the
11	overwhelming amount of deja vu, we just went through
12	all these exhaustive discussions through the
13	legislative process, now we're going to have a
14	rulemaking.
15	Members felt like they were being
16	attacked. Like, I will just say that. So that is
17	where we feel that, yes, you did offer these meetings,
18	Ms. Fox, there's no denying that.
19	However, we were waiting on what we had
20	been advised we were going to receive in in the
21	form of a redline. And the division is a responsible
22	authority who would be administering. We felt it was
23	prudent to have that for these discussions to be
24	fruitful.
25	So I'm not arguing that these e.mails

1	exchanged didn't happen. I'm not arguing that you
2	didn't extend. I am just simply bringing clarity
3	to on industry's side of how and when and the
4	timeframe it put us in a corner.
5	MS. FOX: So you were really waiting
6	for OCD's proposals to negotiate.
7	MS. FELIX: Not to negotiate, but to
8	have the discussions because we were advised that OCD
9	was going to provide a maybe I'm not using the
10	right term right now, so I don't want to lie. I'm
11	under oath. Right? But I believe
12	MS. FOX: Thank you for that.
13	MS. FELIX: I believe the word was
14	substantive redline. And so, again, working with a
15	trade organization, you know, if if I were here
16	representing company A, and simply company A, a
17	hundred percent, I could have been at the table with
18	OXY.
19	I could have been at the table with the
20	other parties, but representing over 240 member
21	companies, I have to have that input and the feedback
22	from the overall industry, that's what a trade
23	association is.
24	So I'm not bashing, I'm just how it
25	was laid out simply put us in a bad position.

[
1	MS. FOX: But you were waiting for OCDs
2	proposals to negotiate; correct?
3	MS. FELIX: Not negotiate, but to have
4	the discussions.
5	MS. FOX: Okay. So during the October
6	16th meeting, OCD did either initially or reiterate
7	that they would have a redline proposals for the
8	parties by the end of the year; correct?
9	MS. FELIX: I believe it was by before
10	Thanksgiving.
11	MS. FOX: End of November; correct?
12	MS. FELIX: I believe the
13	correspondence I seen was by Thanksgiving.
14	MS. FOX: And so moving on to 234
15	MR. SUAZO: How much time is left,
16	Madam?
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, I was about
18	to break in, actually.
19	Ms. Fox, you have about five minutes,
20	even adding a few minutes.
21	MS. FOX: Okay.
22	BY MS. FOX:
23	MS. FOX: On December, moving on to
24	234, on December 10th, I write to the parties an offer
25	actually to postpone the hearing schedule. Because
	Page 125

1	OCD has been delayed in getting alternate proposals to
2	the parties.
3	And a postponement will give the
4	parties an opportunity to meet and discuss OCD's
5	proposals; correct? Which are now expected in the new
6	year.
7	MS. FELIX: That's correct. I I
8	believe I thought it was January when OCD filed the
9	request for the extension based off of their redline.
10	I could be wrong, but "ish."
11	MS. FOX: Yeah. So, but what's
12	happening here is that in December, Applicants are
13	actually proposing to postpone the hearing in order to
14	receive OCDs redline; correct?
15	MS. FELIX: That is correct. And then
16	I believe the OCD actually petitioned to postpone the
17	hearing.
18	MS. FOX: That was actually wasn't
19	that actually a negotiated hearing schedule that was
20	initiated by Applicants?
21	MS. FELIX: I I don't know. I know
22	all parties agreed to it, but I believe what what
23	my meant to say if it didn't come out clear, is
24	that OCD filed for the petition for rescheduling based
25	off of their their intention to submit all parties

1	a redline.
2	MS. FOX: Wasn't it actually
3	Applicant's, per this e.mail, that initiated the
4	delay? The rescheduling.
5	MS. FELIX: I will say in the e-mail
6	here, yes. But I was simply saying that it was OCD
7	that filed for the delay.
8	MS. FOX: Okay. Well, the record will
9	reflect what actually happened.
10	MS. FELIX: Yes, ma'am.
11	MS. FOX: And so then OCD comes out
12	with its proposals on February 12th; correct?
13	MS. FELIX: That's the right date. You
14	and I memorized it.
15	MS. FOX: Yep. And looking at 237,
16	isn't it true that Mr. Tremaine proposes to the
17	parties but to hold stakeholder meetings in April
18	after the legislative session ends; correct?
19	MS. FELIX: That is correct.
20	MS. FOX: And then a few days later, I
21	respond agreeing on behalf of Applicants to meet;
22	correct?
23	MS. FELIX: That is what's here. Yes,
24	ma'am.
25	MS. FOX: And then there's no e.mail
	Page 127

1	in this correspondence, there's no e.mail
2	correspondence from NMOGA; correct?
3	MS. FELIX: Not in what I have in front
4	of me. That's correct.
5	MS. FOX: And as far as you know, did
6	NMOGA or IPANM agree to negotiations after OCD
7	proposed to sit down and talk about their redline?
8	MS. FELIX: No, ma'am. NMOGA's
9	membership and our board has not approved for
10	negotiations, discussions. However, I don't know what
11	date and again, "ish," but then we received another
12	redline from WELC, from the applicants.
13	MS. FOX: Would you say that Applicants
14	made good faith efforts to negotiate with industry
15	after filing the petition?
16	MS. FELIX: I would say after filing
17	the petition, yes, ma'am.
18	MS. FOX: And that was about a year and
19	a half ago?
20	MS. FELIX: Yes, ma'am.
21	MS. FOX: That's all I have.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
23	Ms. Fox.
24	Let's see, Mr. Tremaine and Mr. Hall.
25	You would only be able to do 10 or 15 minutes.

1	MR. TREMAINE: I may be able to get
2	through, Hearing Officer, or we can break for lunch.
3	I serve our commission's pleasure.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: It would have to
5	be a long break; right?
6	Mr. Ampomah?
7	MR. AMPOMAH: No, not today.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, not today.
9	Okay. So we can it's oh, it is today.
10	Okay. So yeah, it would be a long
11	break.
12	MR. TREMAINE: So better move forward.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
14	MR. TREMAINE: Great. Okay.
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. TREMAINE:
17	MR. TREMAINE: Good morning, Ms. Felix.
18	MS. FELIX: Good morning, Mr. Tremaine.
19	MR. TREMAINE: Still morning. Barely.
20	Okay. It's good. We've crossed paths before, but as
21	we said, we haven't I don't believe we met in
22	person, so it's great to meet you. Ms. Felix, did you
23	hear my opening statement?
24	MS. FELIX: Yes. I believe I did.
25	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. I sincerely meant
	D= 100
	Page 129

1	what I said in my opening statement. My observation
2	is that typically the NMOGA acts as a willing
3	participant in discussions with OCD on a wide range of
4	issues.
5	And despite the fact that we're
6	litigating some points in this hearing, our hope and
7	expectation is that dynamic continues. So just wanted
8	to preface with that.
9	MS. FELIX: I appreciate that. And
10	I I would just build off that really quickly, if
11	you'll let me.
12	While I I heard through the
13	testimony of OCD, if we expected industry to come to
14	us, we hear you loud and clear, but we were being very
15	respectful to the process and to the applicants as
16	well.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Great. Ms. Felix,
18	Ms. Fox went over in some detail the structure of your
19	testimony and reliance on other witnesses. So I'm,
20	I'm not going to touch on that or duplicate.
21	But I want to ask you, did you observe
22	yesterday when Mr. Sporich on cross conceded that
23	Applicant and OCD's interpretation of 70-2-14(A), the
24	rainbow slide, that their interpretation was credible?
25	MS. FELIX: I believe I did hear that

1	when he had Rankin's rainbow up.
2	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Does that change
3	your view of the proposal in any way?
4	MS. FELIX: Honestly, I would have to
5	put some thought to it, to tell you the truth. And I
6	guess I should have, but I didn't. I'm sorry.
7	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. That's all right.
8	Very general question. Do you believe that if the
9	commission were to promulgate the rules as written
10	or close to as written do you believe that the OCD
11	should incorporate review of any kind of operator
12	financial information when it is assessing transfers?
13	MS. FELIX: Can you repeat it one more
	time?
14	cime:
14 15	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe
15	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe
15 16	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of
15 16 17	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing
15 16 17	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing whether to approve or deny a transfer of operatorship?
15 16 17 18	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing whether to approve or deny a transfer of operatorship? MS. FELIX: So I would just start that
15 16 17 18 19	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing whether to approve or deny a transfer of operatorship? MS. FELIX: So I would just start that out with that I rely on legal counsel's determination
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing whether to approve or deny a transfer of operatorship? MS. FELIX: So I would just start that out with that I rely on legal counsel's determination of authority in those regards. And the division have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing whether to approve or deny a transfer of operatorship? MS. FELIX: So I would just start that out with that I rely on legal counsel's determination of authority in those regards. And the division have that authority per statute.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. Do you believe that OCD should incorporate the review of any form of operator financial information when it is reviewing whether to approve or deny a transfer of operatorship? MS. FELIX: So I would just start that out with that I rely on legal counsel's determination of authority in those regards. And the division have that authority per statute. If the proposed amendments for that

1	it's not burdensome on the division as well as
2	industry.
3	MR. TREMAINE: Are you aware strike
4	that.
5	Would you I don't want to belabor
6	this point, if you're referring to relying on the
7	advice of counsel so would you provide the same
8	answer if I asked you that question regarding OCD
9	review of operator financial information regarding an
10	operator's rebuttal of the presumption of no
11	beneficial use?
12	MS. FELIX: I would say my answer is
13	the same.
14	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Did you hear the
15	testimony, particularly on cross-examination of
16	Mr. McGowen, where he acknowledged that operating in
17	an undercapitalized position or failing to reserve
18	capital for decommissioning costs, represents a
19	greater risk of orphaning wells in that portfolio?
20	MS. FELIX: I believe I did hear that
21	under that context.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. But based on your
23	prior answers, I have to assume that you wouldn't
24	change your position after hearing that testimony
25	because you're relying on a legal analysis?

1	MS. FELIX: That's correct.
2	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. I'll move on. So
3	in your testimony, is it fair to say that you've
4	raised, strike that.
5	I'm going to refer you now specifically
6	to the presumption of no beneficial use as proposed.
7	And is it fair to say that your testimony raises some
8	concerns about how OCD will implement that process?
9	MS. FELIX: Implement, interpret.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Implement and interpret.
11	Okay, great. Will you agree or concede that a
12	determination of no beneficial use is already
13	incorporated in the existing provision of 19.15.25.8?
14	MS. FELIX: Can we pull it up? I don't
15	want to lie, Jesse.
16	MR. TREMAINE: No, certainly not. Let
17	me pull it up here. So, Ms. Felix, no tricks here.
18	The red lines can get kind of hard to read. So what
19	I'm proposing to do is just show you from New Mexico
20	compilation commission the existing rule.
21	I don't believe this should be
22	controversial, but I just wanted to go back to a
23	version that didn't have a red line. So I want to
24	highlight here for 19.15.25.8, if you go down to
25	(B)(2), this is the existing rule it was

1	promulgated in 2008. Can you read (B)(2) for me
2	please?
3	MS. FELIX: "A determination that a
4	well is no longer usable for beneficial purposes; or."
5	MR. TREMAINE: All right, so would you
6	agree that, based on reading this, that determination
7	of no beneficial purpose, use of beneficial purpose is
8	already incorporated in the rules?
9	MS. FELIX: Yes. It's shown on the
10	screen, yes.
11	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And I had some
12	cross for one of the other NMOGA witnesses, but I want
13	to ask you, can we agree that beneficial purposes is
14	not defined in the current rule?
15	MS. FELIX: Yes.
16	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. So if OCD were to
17	utilize this section of rule that already exists,
18	wouldn't you have concerns that elements or criteria
19	for how OCD determined no beneficial use would be
20	problematic for your members?
21	MS. FELIX: Say it again?
22	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. It was a tricky
23	question. So if there's no definition of beneficial
24	use right we're agreeing on that.
25	And if OCD issued a determination of no
	Page 134

1	beneficial use, and someone in your membership with
2	NMOGA received that determination of no beneficial
3	use, wouldn't it be problematic that in your
4	membership size that there's no definition or process
5	associated with that determination?
6	MS. FELIX: I think the potential is
7	there and likely open for interpretation.
8	MR. TREMAINE: So can you agree that
9	the proposal of both the definitions and the process
10	and the rebuttable presumption elements are an attempt
11	by OCD to provide clarity on the existing rule and
12	provide a specific process by which OCD and operators
13	can rely?
14	MS. FELIX: I think that based off what
15	I've heard through the last two weeks from OCD's
16	through OCD's testimony, that that was the intent.
17	MR. TREMAINE: But that wasn't your
18	interpretation on simply reviewing the petition?
19	MS. FELIX: No, sir.
20	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Are you generally
21	familiar with the OCD's notice of violation process?
22	MS. FELIX: Generally, yes, sir.
23	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. It's not I'm
24	not going to trick you.
25	MS. FELIX: Don't trick me.

1	MR. TREMAINE: specific things. Can
2	we agree that there is, in the rule and in
3	application, there's an informal resolution period for
4	notices of violation?
5	MS. FELIX: Yes.
6	MR. TREMAINE: Okay, and those apply to
7	every NOV?
8	MS. FELIX: I believe so.
9	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And those are 30-
10	day informal resolution periods?
11	MS. FELIX: I believe that's correct.
12	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Is it consistent
13	with your understanding that OCD has issued
14	approximately 112 notices of violation?
15	MS. FELIX: Say it again. I'm sorry.
16	MR. TREMAINE: Is it consistent with
17	your understanding that OCD has issued approximately
18	112 notices of violation since the rule was updated?
19	MS. FELIX: I will take your word for
20	it.
21	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Would you take my
22	word for it that 80 of those are resolved?
23	MS. FELIX: Yes, I would.
24	MR. TREMAINE: Would you also take my
25	word for it, that 53 of those resolutions were
	Daga 126
	Page 136

1	settlement agreements?
2	MS. FELIX: Yeah, I
3	MR. TREMAINE: Would you also agree
4	that of the NOVs and this is in Mr. Powell's
5	testimony but approximately 39 of those relate to
6	inactive wells.
7	MS. FELIX: I'll believe you.
8	MR. TREMAINE: And of the 32 resolved,
9	inactive well NOVs, 20 of those went to hearing.
10	MS. FELIX: I believe you. So did I
11	hear did I hear this right? 39 inactive wells, 30
12	resolved?
13	MR. TREMAINE: 39 inactive well NOVS,
14	32 resolved.
15	MS. FELIX: Okay.
16	MR. TREMAINE: Either through hearing
17	or settlement. And 20 of those resolutions came from
18	hearings. So 12 were settlements.
19	MS. FELIX: Okay.
20	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Would you agree
21	with me that outside of inactive well NOVs, OCD really
22	has not needed to take any other subject matter NOVs
23	to contested hearing?
24	MS. FELIX: Not that I've seen, no.
25	MR. TREMAINE: And we've referred an
	Page 137

1	earlier testimony to OCD Exhibit 24, which is an ACOI
2	list. Have you reviewed that at all?
3	MS. FELIX: Yes.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Would you agree
5	that there are over 100 agreed compliance orders for
6	inactive wells since 2017?
7	MS. FELIX: I would agree.
8	MR. TREMAINE: Did you hear
9	Mr. Powell's testimony that the enforcement mechanisms
10	that OCD had available, despite concerted effort to
11	reach settlements and negotiate resolution with
12	operators has proven ineffective in the last five
13	years?
14	MS. FELIX: I did hear him say that.
15	MR. TREMAINE: Would you agree that
16	there's a problem there that needs to be resolved?
17	MS. FELIX: I so on on the
18	inactive list, I have here's a couple of my
19	thoughts.
20	With the it would be interesting to
21	know because the tools in OCD's toolbox to, you know,
22	put the stick to industry, if you will, are issuance
23	of APDs, issuance of authority to transfer gas, all
24	that all that good stuff.
25	So of those of those operators on
	Page 138

1	that ACOI list that make up that non-compliance, I
2	one would have to assume they would be operators that
3	are not active and prudently operating today.
4	I just think there needs to be a little
5	bit of clarity of what's behind there. Is is this
6	operators that are not active and in current day oil
7	and gas world? Or are these operating operators
8	that the tools in the toolbox aren't being utilized
9	per the inactive list? Does that make sense?
10	MR. TREMAINE: I think your distinction
11	does make sense, but responding to it would
12	MS. FELIX: I know. I'm I didn't
13	ask you a question, but
14	MR. TREMAINE: I can't testify. But I
15	would submit in response, and, for the record, that if
16	OCD is settling an NOV or issuing a settlement
17	agreement that the operator is alive and kicking,
18	they're doing something even if they have compliance
19	problems.
20	MS. FELIX: Okay.
21	MR. TREMAINE: Yeah. I think you
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
23	Mr. Tremaine, could you finish your questions after
24	lunch?
25	MR. TREMAINE: I certainly may.
	Page 139

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
2	Let's break till one.
3	(Off the record.)
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's one p.m.
5	We're back after a lunch break.
6	Mr. Tremaine, you still have 30 minutes
7	remaining in your cross exam time if you'd like.
8	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
9	Officer.
10	BY MR. TREMAINE:
11	MR. TREMAINE: Good afternoon,
12	Ms. Felix. I will be quite brief. I think I only
13	have three more questions, so we'll move right along.
14	Ms. Felix, before we recessed, I was
15	asking you about concerns with OCD implementation of
16	the proposed rule and referring you to parts of OCD's
17	enforcement rules, analogous enforcement rules. So I
18	want to follow up on that.
19	Are you aware of any instance where OCD
20	refused to extend for good cause the initial 30-day
21	informal resolution period for an NOV?
22	MS. FELIX: I am not aware.
23	MR. TREMAINE: And are you aware that
24	since the new NOV rule went into effect, informal
25	resolution is routinely extended to much longer than
	Page 140

1	30 days?
2	MS. FELIX: I was not aware of that.
3	MR. TREMAINE: If you were to follow up
4	on this testimony with, like, discussions with perhaps
5	OCD's legal director and such and confirm those
6	statements, would that assuage any of your concerns
7	regarding implementation of the 30-day response period
8	for the presumption of no beneficial use?
9	MS. FELIX: I right now, without
10	knowing and being able to follow up on that, you know,
11	can't answer that I would be persuaded. But I am
12	happy to follow up and dive into that information.
13	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you. Did you hear
14	Mr. Powell's sir rebuttal regarding the OCD form C 1
15	45?
16	MS. FELIX: Yes, I did.
17	MR. TREMAINE: And just to clarify,
18	after hearing that surrebuttal, do you maintain your
19	position regarding the C-145?
20	MS. FELIX: So yes, because the the
21	statement of that 25 percent is still currently on the
22	C-145.
23	MR. TREMAINE: Do you dispute the 25
24	percent threshold that you're referring to is encoded
25	in 19.15.99 for operator registration?

1	MS. FELIX: I without looking at it,
2	I can't answer that and tell you I dispute that right
3	now.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Sure. I don't want to
5	belabor that point. We'll address it in closing. I
6	do want to ask you a couple questions kind of about
7	the process going forward.
8	And before I ask you the questions, I
9	would just want to make very clear that I am not
10	asking you any specific questions about the inner
11	workings of NMOGA or discussions or any confidential
12	information.
13	But I think there have been numerous
14	representations during this hearing that the
15	commission could expect NMOGA to engage in some way
16	going forward. Is that fair?
17	MS. FELIX: That is fair.
18	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And you had
19	testified earlier that during the pendency of this
20	case you had not been authorized to discuss or
21	negotiate certain points about the proposal at the
22	time of those proposed meetings. Is that correct?
23	MS. FELIX: That is correct. And
24	there's I negotiations and sitting down and
25	discussing and talking through is something that I

1	want to make sure that we all know. It could be
2	apples, tomatoes; right?
3	But we are very open like what we've
4	said through the hearing, and we maintain that to
5	having those discussions.
6	MR. TREMAINE: Negotiations and talks
7	can be characterized differently.
8	MS. FELIX: Yeah.
9	MR. TREMAINE: I don't dispute that.
10	And I'm not trying to pin you to any particular thing.
11	And like I said, I'm not asking you to articulate a
12	particular revised position on the stands live.
13	But I do want to clarify just so the
14	commission and OCD has reasonable expectations that as
15	of today, have you achieved a consensus among NMOGA
16	members that you believe is sufficient to allow NMOGA
17	to prepare a redline response to the petition?
18	MS. FELIX: So we do have a redline
19	response already based off consensus of membership
20	that was submitted as Mr. Sporich's testimony.
21	What is that what you were asking?
22	MR. TREMAINE: Well, I'm asking about a
23	redline with different content as implied by the
24	course of the hearing.
25	MS. FELIX: Oh. Based off what we've

1	learned on the hearing.
2	MR. TREMAINE: Absolutely.
3	MS. FELIX: So what we what we know
4	today and I think what would be fair to all of
5	us is to let all parties, witnesses continue to lay
6	out their case.
7	And then yes, I feel very strongly that
8	we could get NMOGA membership in a positioned up.
9	It'll be a lot of work. I've already said that, but
10	so that we can engage in those discussions as the
11	commission desires.
12	MR. TREMAINE: And do you, as of today,
13	have approval to either sit down and meet or negotiate
14	with OCD and the petitioner based on the course of the
15	hearing and the content of the petition?
16	MS. FELIX: As of today, no. Where
17	where we are is finish letting all parties lay out
18	their case so that we have all the facts to consider.
19	But we are willing and able to have those discussions
20	after.
21	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. The reason I'm
22	asking belaboring this point I believe was
23	addressed by a Commissioner Ampomah during earlier
24	during the hearing, which is that typically in a
25	hearing such as this, when we close the record, the
- 1	

1	parties are going to have to submit their final
2	redlines, all of that stuff and closing arguments.
3	And I'm not going to guess how long the
4	commission gives, but that's usually 30, 60 days. So
5	I'm trying to get a sense from you whether NMOGA is
6	going to be at the table during that period of time to
7	allow the parties to submit closings in the normal
8	course.
9	That was kind of all statement and
10	preface, but I'm asking you if you can confirm or deny
11	that.
12	MS. FELIX: No, I confirmed that your
13	assessment of what the process would need to look like
14	is, would be correct, and that timing would be correct
15	based on my understanding from counsel.
16	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And but you
17	believe that we'll be having those discussions with
18	enough time to submit closings?
19	MS. FELIX: I believe that would have
20	to be our goal, yes, sir.
21	MR. TREMAINE: Okay, thank you. Not
22	trying to belabor that.
23	I pass the witness.
24	Very much appreciated.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,

1	Mr. Tremaine.
2	Mr. Biernoff, do you have questions of
3	Ms. Felix?
4	MR. BIERNOFF: Thank you, Madam Hearing
5	Officer. I do.
6	CROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
8	MR. BIERNOFF: And good afternoon,
9	Ms. Felix. Good to see you. So let me start by
10	asking you about a reference in your testimony to the
11	180-day timeframe that's contained in the
12	petitioner's proposal for marginal wells.
13	Do your members, your member companies,
14	receive any special shut-in lease approvals from the
15	State Land Office?
16	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
17	MR. BIERNOFF: And are those shut-ins
18	for reasons like lack of takeaway capacity?
19	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
20	MR. BIERNOFF: Do those shut-in reasons
21	also include, for example, an ongoing nearby frack and
22	the land office wanting to avoid environmental harms
23	from a frack hit?
24	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
25	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And what about

1	joint discussions with the land office for particular
2	development to maximize reservoir depletion? Is that
3	also a reason for land office shut-ins?
4	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir. And and
5	that's to the point that I've made earlier that we
6	have to consider the lease basis when discussing these
7	provisions.
8	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And there are
9	other reasons in addition to the examples that I just
10	mentioned and that you just confirmed where the State
11	Land Office grants shut-ins; right?
12	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
13	MR. BIERNOFF: And the land office also
14	grants statutory extensions to rework wells; is that
15	right?
16	MS. FELIX: That is correct. Yes, sir.
17	MR. BIERNOFF: Ms. Felix, do you think
18	that it would be prudent for any rule to include
19	approvals of extensions that are authorized by the
20	land office, at least with respect to wells that are
21	on state trust land in consideration of any 180-day
22	time limit when considering marginal wells?
23	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir. I think it would
24	be prudent for the commission to consider all owners
25	of minerals, including the State Land Office to ensure

1	that they're not harmed in any way.
2	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Now, Ms. Felix,
3	if a person or a company, an operator, operates wells,
4	let's say, in the state of Texas and leaves all of
5	their wells inactive and unplugged, do you think that
6	the Oil Conservation Division should know that that
7	operator wasn't operating responsibly in Texas?
8	MS. FELIX: So yes, I do think they
9	should know. And I do think that the process to
LO	become a I okay. I'm assuming you're talking
L1	about a new operator coming into the state. That's
L2	correct?
L3	MR. BIERNOFF: We can start with that
L4	for sure.
L5	MS. FELIX: Okay. So the new operator
L6	would have to apply for and become a registered
L7	business, qualified to do business in the state and
L8	successfully secure their OGRID and all all show
L9	demonstration of their bonding and such, which
20	effectively is what the vetting process is today.
21	MR. BIERNOFF: What about if it's an
22	incumbent operator, not a new operator? Somebody
23	who's already operating in New Mexico and is also
24	operating in another state and leaves behind a number
25	of unaddressed compliance problems like unplugged

1	wells that are inactive in that other state?
2	Is that something that the OCD should
3	be able to learn about and know about?
4	MS. FELIX: Yes, that is. And to what
5	degree and framework that that is shaped up to, I I
6	don't have an answer for that.
7	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Okay. Now you
8	mentioned earlier having some concerns regarding the
9	Oil Conservation Division rejecting operator changes
10	based on the 25 percent threshold. Right?
11	MS. FELIX: So not rejecting them, I
12	it was just providing context that the current 25
13	percent provision is already included on the C-145
14	form today and has been since 2019, I believe
15	2019 2017.
16	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. If somebody is
17	affiliated with a company, and let's say the person,
18	the individual, has a 25 percent interest in the
19	company. The company files for bankruptcy or, let's
20	say, has a bunch of noncompliant wells.
21	Do you think it's a liability to the
22	state and the rec fund for that person, the individual
23	to just turn around and start a new company and forget
24	about the wells from the old company?
25	MS. FELIX: Can you restate that? I'm
	Page 149

1	sorry.
2	MR. BIERNOFF: Sure.
3	MS. FELIX: It was long.
4	MR. BIERNOFF: Let's take an example.
5	No, I know it is a little long. We can break it up
6	sort of into parts.
7	If a person is affiliated with a
8	company, let's say that that person has a 25 percent
9	interest in the company. So far so good; right?
10	MS. FELIX: Yes.
11	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And then let's
12	say that the company the entity has a compliance
13	problem, and we can define that here as leaving behind
14	a bunch of inactive wells that the company doesn't
15	plug. So far so good?
16	MS. FELIX: So far so good.
17	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Here's the
18	question. Do you think that it's a liability to the
19	state and to the rec fund for the person the
20	individual to just turn around and start a new
21	company and forget about the wells that are inactive
22	and unplugged from the old company?
23	Is that a concern for the state?
24	MR. SUAZO: I'm going to object to this
25	question in that it calls for speculation.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I assume,
2	Ms. Felix, if you can answer without speculating,
3	that's great. Otherwise just say so.
4	MS. FELIX: I I would be giving a
5	guess if I were to answer.
6	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
7	MR. BIERNOFF: Well, I guess I'm
8	asking, since you're a representative of an industry
9	association that's obviously important to these
LO	proceedings, what is the association's position on
L1	whether it is okay or not okay?
L2	Although, let me phrase it in the most
L3	direct way possible. Is it okay from NMOGA's point of
L4	view for a person who is affiliated with a non-
L5	compliant company to turn around and start a new
L6	company and for that liability to be on the State of
L7	New Mexico? Is NMOGA okay with that?
L8	MS. FELIX: So NMOGA is our mission
L9	is for the safe and responsible development of the
20	resources in the State of New Mexico.
21	If a person who is employed as an
22	authorized agent for a company leaves a company to go
23	start another company, I truly and I'm totally,
24	like, spitballing here but how is the division
25	going to follow and administer that?

1	I I would believe it would be on the
2	company basis and not the person basis.
3	MR. BIERNOFF: Well. Fair enough. And
4	I want to be clear. I'm not asking about line
5	employees. I'm not asking about, you know, a staff
6	representative of a company.
7	I'm talking about an individual who has
8	an ownership interest in a company or who has a
9	management position in a company.
10	And I'm asking for NMOGA's perspective
11	or position on whether it's acceptable for the State
12	of New Mexico to hold liability in an instance where
13	that person basically walks away and starts a new
14	company and starts operating a bunch of new wells.
15	That's my question.
16	MS. FELIX: I got you. And I would
17	just respond in the same. That NMOGA mission and our
18	members' mission is for the safe and responsible
19	operation and development of gas in the state.
20	When you bring in, you know, managers
21	and such our industry, as as great and wide as it
22	is, managers and management staff do go from company
23	to company.
24	So I do think it would be a very hard
25	way to to track, you know, managers at that level,

1	and not at the company level, which I'm not trying
2	to to degrade what you're asking.
3	I do think it's important that yes,
4	the the land office needs to know who's coming in
5	and who's coming out. But at the individual level, I
6	just I don't have in my head a good framework of
7	how that would work other than outside the company.
8	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Let me ask it a
9	different way. Does it enhance or the opposite,
10	detract from, the safe and responsible development of
11	oil and gas resources in New Mexico for individuals to
12	be able to walk away from liabilities and continue
13	operating in the State of New Mexico with impunity?
14	MS. FELIX: I kind of feel like that's
15	a legal question.
16	MR. BIERNOFF: Well, NMOGA has taken a
17	lot of positions in this rulemaking proceeding. And
18	NMOGA has, through you and other witnesses who are not
19	attorneys, offered opinions on a number of policy
20	questions.
21	And I'm asking not for reference to any
22	body of law, but just for NMOGA's perspective or
23	policy views on the question that I posed.
24	Is it a good idea from your members'
25	perspective, from the organization's perspective to
	Page 153

1	allow individuals to create liability, let's say in
2	the form of unplugged inactive wells, walk away from
3	that, and then keep operating under a new corporate
4	name?
5	MS. FELIX: I no. No, it's not.
6	MR. BIERNOFF: Thank you very much. I
7	want to also ask you, Ms. Felix, you're aware from, I
8	think our dealings individually and probably also from
9	your members, that there are a number of NMOGA members
10	who are State Land Office lessees who have plugged
11	inactive wells that they have not themselves operated;
12	right?
13	MS. FELIX: That is correct. Yes, sir.
14	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay, so doesn't the 25
15	percent threshold, doesn't that actually benefit your
16	members by taking liabilities that they are currently
17	incurring on behalf of bad, defunct, disappeared
18	operators and actually doing a better job of making
19	sure that those liabilities go with the companies that
20	are causing the problems?
21	MS. FELIX: Can you restate that? I'm
22	sorry.
23	MR. BIERNOFF: Yeah, I'm asking about
24	the 25 percent threshold in the context of my prior
25	question; right?

1	So you agreed that you're aware that a
2	number of NMOGA member companies, at the State Land
3	Office's behest, plug wells, that those companies are
4	not themselves operating; right?
5	MS. FELIX: Correct.
6	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And then so I was
7	asking, in light of that, doesn't the 25 percent
8	threshold, doesn't that actually benefit NMOGA
9	members
10	In that individuals who are affiliated
11	with or interested in bad operators and then basically
12	are serial bad operators go on and start another
13	company and continue to create compliance problems
14	that this threshold would help reduce that kind of
15	serial bad behavior?
16	Doesn't that benefit NMOGA's
17	membership?
18	MS. FELIX: I honestly am not following
19	the question. So I'm I I can't answer that.
20	I I'm not following it, sir.
21	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Isn't it to
22	NMOGA's members' benefit to have a reduction in the
23	number of non-compliant wells that are out there on,
24	let's say, responsible NMOGA members' leases? Do you
25	agree with that?

1	MS. FELIX: I I think it's a benefit
2	to anybody.
3	MR. BIERNOFF: Including your members?
4	MS. FELIX: Yes.
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay, thank you.
6	I am ready to pass the witness, Madam
7	Hearing Officer.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
9	Mr. Biernoff.
10	Let's see, I don't believe we have
11	Ms. Nanasi [ph].
12	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
13	Ms. Felix?
14	MR. MAXWELL: No questions, your Honor.
15	Thank you.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
17	Mr. Rankin?
18	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing Officer, no
19	questions on my behalf. I appreciate it. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	EOG is monitoring without questions.
22	Mr. Cloutier?
23	MR. CLOUTIER: Yes, Madam Hearing
24	Officer. Just a couple of questions.
25	//
	Page 156

1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
3	Good afternoon, Ms. Felix. I want to
4	follow up on some of Mr. Biernoff's questioning.
5	Are you aware of any concrete example
6	of a major player in a company that abandoned wells in
7	New Mexico or any other state forming a new company
8	and coming into New Mexico to operate?
9	MS. FELIX: No, I'm not.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: And you've been
11	listening to these proceedings?
12	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
13	MR. CLOUTIER: Have you heard any
14	evidence presented of such a person?
15	MS. FELIX: No, sir.
16	MR. CLOUTIER: All right. Thank you.
17	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
19	Mr. Suazo, do you have redirect?
20	MR. SUAZO: Do. I need a second to
21	pull up OCD surrebuttal Exhibit 33.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. SUAZO:
25	MR. SUAZO: Ms. Felix, are you familiar
	Page 157

1	with the document on the screen, which is OCD
2	surrebuttal Exhibit 115?
3	MS. FELIX: Yes.
4	MR. SUAZO: And do you understand that
5	that lists operators who have not filed C-115s?
6	MS. FELIX: Yes.
7	MR. SUAZO: Do you recognize any NMOGA
8	members on that list?
9	MS. FELIX: Can you scroll down the
10	and this is the same list, so it hasn't changed, so
11	no, I do not.
12	MR. SUAZO: Okay. In the hypotheticals
13	presented by Mr. Biernoff, do you have any personal
14	knowledge with respect to the 25 percent interest?
15	MS. FELIX: No.
16	MR. SUAZO: Just a couple more
17	questions. Against my better judgment, I'm not going
18	to ask you about the discussions between NMOGA
19	industry and Applicants.
20	But over the course of these
21	proceedings, have you heard the request from the
22	commissioners that industry provide feedback to this
23	rulemaking?
24	MS. FELIX: Yes. I have.
25	MR. SUAZO: And does NMOGA intend to
	Page 158

1	provide that feedback?
2	MS. FELIX: Yes. We do.
3	MR. SUAZO: No further questions.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
6	questions of Ms. Felix?
7	MR. AMPOMAH: Yeah. Let me follow up
8	from where Mr. Suazo left off.
9	CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. AMPOMAH:
11	MR. AMPOMAH: Thank you, Ms. Felix, for
12	your testimony. I'm not going to go into any
13	technical. just to see if there could be some
14	consensus.
15	So following up from where Mr. Suazo
16	left off, so NMOGA is going to provide the commission
17	with some recommendations, but is it going to be in
18	consultation with the applicant and then with OCD?
19	MS. FELIX: Based off what we've heard
20	over the last two weeks and the urging of the
21	Commission, I believe that would be in the best
22	interest and that's what we intend to do.
23	MR. AMPOMAH: I appreciate that.
24	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
25	MR. AMPOMAH: And Mr. Tremaine touched

1	on that, so it really helped a lot, but with a
2	timeline, do you have a fair idea as to the timeline
3	that NMOGA might need from the commission to be able
4	to fulfill this responsibility?
5	MS. FELIX: So I I want to be able
6	to answer the question, but I would need to to get
7	some legal advice as to, you know, I know the
8	commission can do what you want. Right? We would
9	appreciate that the commission gives see a little
10	head shaking, okay.
11	We would appreciate that the with
12	the Commission's urging, and I think this will just
13	help all parties involved, that there is ample time
14	built into the current scheduling schedule that's
15	already out there.
16	What that is, I I can't even begin
17	to tell you right now, Commissioner, but I think all
18	of our attorneys in the room have have some idea of
19	how that could look for these discussions.
20	MR. AMPOMAH: So it'll be great.
21	This one is to NMOGA's counsel. If you
22	can advise the Commission if their discussions could
23	more or less, you know, go on for us to have a fair
24	idea on that.
25	MR. SUAZO: Sure. Well, I think that
	Page 160

1	at the conclusion of the proceeding, the parties are
2	going to, you know, discuss with the hearing officer
3	what the post-hearing actions are going to be and what
4	timeframe they're going to take place over.
5	I know that we're going to need time to
6	get the transcripts from the proceeding, analyze
7	those, and then, you know, review all of the evidence
8	that's on the record so that we can come forward with
9	reasonable proposals.
10	And that is what we intend to do based
11	upon the parameters and timeframes agreed upon by the
12	parties.
13	MR. AMPOMAH: Thank you. Yeah, and I
14	thought we were very close until I heard from
15	Mr. Sporich yesterday. That threw me off a lot.
16	Thank you so much. I don't have any further
17	questions.
18	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir. Thank you.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
20	Commissioner.
21	Commissioner Bloom, do you have
22	questions?
23	MR. BLOOM: Yes, just a couple
24	questions. Thank you.
25	//

1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BLOOM:
3	MR. BLOOM: Good afternoon, Ms. Felix.
4	Nice to see you.
5	MS. FELIX: Nice to see you.
6	MR. BLOOM: Ms. Felix, you testified
7	that companies make their budgets five to ten years
8	out, so it would be hard for companies to adjust to
9	annual CPI, that is Consumer Price Index updates;
10	correct?
11	MS. FELIX: That's correct. Different
12	portfolios, different timeframes.
13	MR. BLOOM: Okay. Rather than use CPI,
14	and I believe Mr. Sporich spoke against that also, you
15	know, what if the commission set bond amounts at five-
16	year increments as part of this process right now?
17	So, and I haven't looked at a
18	compounding interest calculator, but generally over
19	the past 20 years, inflation's been about 2.5 percent,
20	or the past 30 years has been about 2.5 percent.
21	Over the past ten years, it's been a
22	little higher because of the post pandemic inflation
23	at around 3 percent on average.
24	But if we took a 2.5 percent inflation
25	rate, you know, by 2030, or I don't know if it would

1	be 2033 when the next, you know, five-year period
2	would be up, we'd be at 168,000.
3	That's, you know, 12.5 percent. Not
4	compounded, but, you know, it's my way of saying that
5	the OCC could actually write in what the bonding
6	amounts would be, if that would be beneficial or
7	something we could consider. Any reaction to that?
8	MS. FELIX: I would think that, you
9	know, consideration of a tiered approach in that
10	manner, as you described, would be something that all
11	parties could, you know, consider and see how that
12	works. I do think it would be beneficial for the
13	open-mindedness to that.
14	MR. BLOOM: That way we would have the
15	bonds being updated every five years versus every
16	year; correct?
17	MS. FELIX: Yes, sir.
18	MR. BLOOM: Yeah. Would that be less
19	cumbersome on companies?
20	MS. FELIX: I believe on companies and
21	on OCD as well.
22	MR. BLOOM: Okay, thank you. Something
23	else I've seen we haven't really discussed it here,
24	but could it be a hardship for a company
25	particularly a smaller one to have to put up
	Page 163

1	\$150,000 bond or bonds at nearly the same time during
2	implementation in 2028
3	Have to come up with perhaps a similar
4	amount of money, you know, fifty, a hundred, \$150,000
5	to P&A a well?
6	MS. FELIX: Will you ask I'm sorry.
7	Would you repeat?
8	MR. BLOOM: Yeah. Could it be a
9	hardship for a company, particularly a smaller one to
10	have to put up a bond and at the same time be thinking
11	about plugging that same well?
12	MS. FELIX: At the at the same time,
13	if that's the current status of that well? Yes.
14	MR. BLOOM: Would it make sense, say at
15	OCD discretion for good operators to let a bond, or
16	bonds, be released if a company is in good standing
17	with the OCD within some time period of plugging?
18	MS. FELIX: Yes, I believe that would
19	be helpful.
20	MR. BLOOM: Okay. No further
21	questions. Thank you.
22	MS. FELIX: Thank you, sir.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Chair Chang.
25	MR. CHANG: No questions from me.
	Page 164

1	Thank you very much.
2	MS. FELIX: Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
4	Thank you very much, Ms. Felix.
5	MS. FELIX: Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do we move now to
7	IPANM'S opening statement?
8	MR. CLOUTIER: Sir. Good afternoon,
9	commissioners. IPANM appreciates the opportunity to
10	participate in this rulemaking and appreciates your
11	diligence. IPANM's going last, so we hope we get the
12	same consideration as every other party and I trust we
13	will.
14	IPANM is a trade association, a group
15	of companies that participate exclusively in the
16	upstream or exploration and production portion of the
17	oil and gas business.
18	We have overlap with NMOGA's
19	membership, and we share virtually all the same
20	concerns here. But my association doesn't have anyone
21	participating in the midstream or downstream portions
22	of the business.
23	I do want to make one point on orphan
24	wells very clear from IPANM's perspective. IPANM
25	abhors the fact that there are orphan wells in New

1	Mexico. It acknowledges that there are such wells,
2	and it acknowledges that unfortunately it's going to
3	happen again.
4	I want to address the engagement issue.
5	First off, I do not accuse and do not ever intend to
6	imply any bad faith on Ms. Fox's part. And she did
7	reach out.
8	But there was no scoping on this
9	rulemaking that industry could participate in. It was
10	sprung on us right before it was filed. We didn't
11	have a chance to discuss how we would solve the
12	problems that's the supposed target of this
13	rulemaking. And that in that made it very difficult.
14	Compounding that is, as Ms. Felix
15	testified, it was deja vu all over again. IPANM
16	engaged heavily in the process surrounding House Bill
17	133, which was a very similar bonding proposal.
18	When that type of proposal was the
19	central feature of the rulemaking and the division's
20	rulemaking, it was impossible for IPANM to reach a
21	consensus on any portion of the rulemaking because
22	those provisions are existential to some of our
23	members and crippling to others.
24	And to be clear, Applicant's evidence
25	confirms that the proposed rulemaking is going to be

1	damaging to our small operator members. By way of
2	example, only Mr. Purvis testified in rebuttal that
3	the rulemaking would impose, and I quote, "painful
4	costs for industry."
5	And there were a number of exhibits
6	presented that attempted to minimize basically my
7	association's members' contributions to state
8	production with the message, "You're not going to miss
9	them."
LO	The tenor of Applicant's presentation
L1	here changed on, I think, the first day because they
L2	realized that they were effectively promoting
L3	underground waste by advocating these positions.
L4	But the evidence is there in their case
L5	and it's a difficult thing for our membership. It his
L6	opening, Mr. Tremaine addressed the historic 95-5
L7	split of industry plugging that's in evidence through
L8	Applicants.
L9	I understand that last year that was
20	not the split, and I don't know the rest of
21	Mr. Tremaine's objections, but it is in evidence.
22	What Mr. Tremaine, I think, missed the
23	point on, and that may be our fault too, is that we're
24	not saying that we get an A because we get 95 percent
25	of the wells plugged. That's not the point.

1	The point is that most operators plug
2	all their wells that need plugging, and that is
3	measured in that statistic. They bat a thousand.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Madam Hearing Officer.
5	I wanted to give Mr. Cloutier a moment to finish this
6	thought, but I do have to object because he's making a
7	closing argument, not an opening. He's referencing
8	the proceedings of the hearing and my opening. We'll
9	have that opportunity.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, I
11	wanted to set the tone for what our case is going to
12	be. And Commissioner Ampomah, in particular,
13	Mr. Powell, as well, has been inviting a lot of
14	engagement here, and I feel like I need to explain to
15	the commission where we're coming from.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you
17	for your observation, Mr. Tremaine.
18	Go ahead, Mr. Cloutier.
19	MR. CLOUTIER: It's a group of bad
20	actors, and the question came up, what is a bad actor?
21	Unfortunately, they disappear from
22	plugging and abandoning, and they're not around to
23	answer the questions that all of us probably have on
24	them. But I suspect it includes some people who
25	maliciously plan to walk away and leave the state with

1 it. 2 I suspect it both includes people who took too much money out of their companies and 3 businesses and there wasn't enough left at the end. I 4 5 suspect there's some who never capitalized properly to 6 begin with, and I suspect that there's some who just experienced some misfortune. 8 But what industry objects is to a 9 painful solution imposed on all for the sins of a few. Again, Mr. Tremaine used a traffic 10 11 analogy in his opening, and I concede all analogies 12 start to fail at some point if you pick at them, but I 13 think this analogy fails pretty quickly. In his analogy, industry is a single 14 15 driver that drives every day through the intersection 16 at St. Francis and Cerrillos, and 95 percent of the 17 time doesn't run a red light. 18 Industry is not one driver. Industry 19 is the entire stream of traffic in that analogy. And 20 there are, in that analogy, some people who run red lights. Just like it would be unfair to have everyone 2.1 22 driving through that intersection post a bond that is 23 forfeit if they ever run a red light. 2.4 Industry views this proposal, and my members view this proposal, as similarly unfair. 25

1	Enforcement should be directed at the offenders, and
2	we're going to talk about that.
3	OCD may not be able to predict bad
4	actors either. We're not in the regulator's seat, but
5	there was clear testimony that I can confirm from
6	Mr. Romero and for Mr. Powell that the people who are
7	orphaning out there are repeat offenders of numerous
8	OCD regulations.
9	The OCD exhibit that Mr. Suazo just
10	pulled up shows operators with state and fee wells
11	that haven't been reporting production for 16 years.
12	Applicant submitted Exhibit 8, which
13	identified the wells that they thought were at risk
14	because of no temporary abandonment regulation
15	compliance. IPANM submits, and our witnesses will
16	testify, that we don't need more regulations for these
17	operators not to comply with.
18	If the division wants to talk about how
19	do we streamline enforcement for these problems, we're
20	happy to sit down and talk about it. But the
21	regulations are already there to enforce, and the
22	violations are known.
23	Our witnesses are going to talk about
24	these commission's primary duties. And I don't mean
25	to belabor the obvious, but to prevent waste and to

1 protect correlative rights. 2 This rulemaking and the evidence submitted in favor of this rulemaking is contrary to 3 both duties. It's going to create economic waste by 4 5 having operators who pose no apparent risk to the 6 state to tie up capital and bonding. 7 It will create waste by stranding 8 otherwise recoverable hydrocarbons because some 9 operators are going to choose to plug their otherwise economic wells instead of bonding them. 10 11 We agree with OXY's witnesses that it's 12 going to cause plugging of wells that may have future 13 beneficial uses or used for beneficial purposes. 14 Applicant's witnesses essentially admit 15 the underground waste and justify the rulemaking 16 because in the scheme of things, it's not a 17 significant percentage of annual production because production is going to be impaired as our witnesses 18 will testify, so will correlative rights. 19 20 At the center of IPANM position in this 2.1 rulemaking is we think it's ineffective policy. I can 22 see, and IPANM can see that as commissioners of this 23 commission, it's got to be frustrating to see 2.4 orphaning of wells, and you have to have a desire to

Page 171

address the issue. Don't blame you at all.

25

1	The fundamental problem we have with
2	this proposal is the financial assurances are going to
3	be bad policy because the people who post the
4	financial assurances are going to be the operators who
5	are plugging anyways.
6	I was going to spend more time in my
7	opening talking about the pretty poor history of bond
8	recovery that's shown in Secretary Shelton's testimony
9	to the LFC and the annual reclamation reports that
10	both Applicants and IPANM submitted.
11	However, during the course of these
12	proceedings, we learned that the OCD sent demand
13	letters to three surety companies or surety companies
14	for three different of the biggest offenders or
15	frequent flyers on the orphan well list.
16	I hope this is real change, but past
17	history isn't that great. But this case, and
18	Applicants raise it and OCD cite it, is about in the
19	short and midterm about 4100 wells that the LFC
20	identifies as being orphaned already, about to be
21	orphaned, or at risk of being orphaned.
22	In this rulemaking, we're presented
23	what's supposed to be a data-driven solution, but
24	there's no data-driven evidence, and our witnesses are
25	going to testify that it's probably going to put

1	people out of business that this bonding is going to
2	prevent wells from being orphaned.
3	Mr. Purvis, when asked this question by
4	me, said he didn't have any data, but pointed to
5	Mr. Arscott, my witnesses IPANM's witnesses'
6	exhibits. We'll address that with Mr. Arscott.
7	But interestingly, Mr. Peltz testified
8	about several states that have raised financial
9	assurance requirements in the past 15 years or so.
10	IPANM asks you as commissioners to ask,
11	where's the evidence from these states that these
12	financial assurances protected against orphan wells?
13	Where's the evidence that it helped the state collect
14	money for wells it had to plug?
15	When presenting the at-risk wells, and
16	I can't remember if it was 33 or 32, Mr. Powell
17	conceded that he didn't expect any of those wells to
18	be bonded. Neither do we.
19	We expect some of our members and
20	you'll hear from them will testify that they'll try
21	to bond, and I think others who will struggle.
22	We admire Mr. Powell's optimism and
23	Mr. Purvis's optimism that they hope bonding in the
24	future will defer the cost of orphaned wells in New
25	Mexico. But with the pain that's going to be

1	submitted on IPANM members that we're going to
2	articulate. I suggest to you that hope is not enough.
3	I want to highlight a few things you're
4	going to hear and not hear in IPANM's case. We have
5	been accused of not having a data-driven case. Guilty
6	as charged.
7	There are no databases for the sort of
8	things that IPANM is going to present to you. How
9	increased financial assurances will affect small
10	companies, their transactions, their decisions on
11	investing in existing wells, and their plugging
12	decisions.
13	You're going to have to hear that from
14	the members, and it is anecdotal. We're a trade
15	association. We don't have access to members'
16	proprietary data or financials unless a member agrees
17	to share.
18	And I've dealt with oil companies for
19	almost 40 years. They don't even like complying with
20	subpoenas for that information.
21	You're going to hear operator stories
22	about successes with low producing wells, from the
23	purchases, workovers, and recompletions. And how
24	significantly increased financial assurance
25	requirements are going to create waste by

1 disincentivizing these productive behaviors. 2 You're going to hear from our technical witnesses about the difficulties that will exist in 3 the surety market. We have a legal and industry 4 5 combined expert who's going to talk about various 6 problems that this rulemaking will create and those that it's not going to solve. 8 And we'll present a corporate finance 9 expert, Mr. Arscott, who will discuss the financial repercussions of this rule. 10 11 Finally, as we've been looking at it, 12 while I think the issues are very different and there 13 is no question of motive, you're going to hear from some members that OCD Exhibit 16 doesn't accurately 14 15 reflect data about their company. 16 And I submit that exhibit is probably 17 not ready for prime time. IPANM's been accused in 18 this proceeding about not proposing a solution that 19 isn't true. IPANM has been and still will propose a 20 solution, but it's not one this commission can act 2.1 upon. 22 I'm not talking about the power. We've 23 flogged the Rankin's Rainbow, and we've got motions to 2.4 dismiss, but IPANM believes that the policy in this 25 rulemaking should be the province of the legislature.

1	But decades ago, independent producers
2	worked with the legislatures to create the reclamation
3	fund. That fund was created with an extraordinary
4	matter, a new tax to which industry agreed. A form of
5	severance tax that is imposed on and only on industry
6	participants.
7	In fact, every industry participant
8	except for two, the state government and the federal
9	government on their royalties pay that tax on every
10	molecule of hydrocarbon extracted and sold in the
11	State of New Mexico.
12	Unfortunately, in tougher economics
13	times that reclamation fund has been rated, which is
14	our view, or if you want to use the technocrats verb,
15	swept, to balance the budget and used for other
16	purposes. It's gone down to zero a few times.
17	The tax statute that industry consented
18	to has also changed so that most of that tax the
19	oil and gas conservation tax is being directed to
20	the general fund where it was never intended.
21	IPANM has supported multiple bills over
22	the years to increase funding to the reclamation fund
23	by diverting all or more of that tax to its intended
24	purpose. People probably won't like hearing it, but
25	that's the only way these orphan wells are going to

1	get plugged.
2	In closing, I'm going to say two
3	things, and you're going to hear this from members.
4	We're happy to discuss with the
5	divisions ideas how to address some of the concerns
6	they've expressed in this rulemaking and let them
7	consider those suggestions. But we don't believe that
8	this rulemaking meaningfully addresses those concerns.
9	Second, I'll reiterate, IPANM's case is
10	going to emphasize what Applicants have already told
11	you. This rule is going to create substantial
12	economic pain for industry that's going to be born
13	disproportionately by smaller operators.
14	It's going to create e underground
15	waste; it's going to create economic waste. We don't
16	believe that hope is a sound basis for such policies
17	with those effects, and we believe that the
18	fundamental objects of this rulemaking should be
19	rejected by the Commission.
20	Thank you for your time.
21	And we're ready to call our first
22	witness, Madam Hearing Officer.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Terrific.
24	MR. CLOUTIER: I believe David Mitchell
25	is on the platform.

```
1
                    THE HEARING OFFICER: On the platform.
 2
                    Mr. Mitchell.
 3
                    MS. APODACA: I'm not seeing a David
     Mitchell signed there.
 4
 5
                    MS. TRIPP: There is a Sage Conference
 6
     Room.
 7
                    MS. APODACA: Oh, Sage Conference Room.
 8
     I was just about to ask that. Okay, thank you.
9
                    MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Mr. Tripp.
                    MS. APODACA: I will let them in.
10
11
                    MR. CLOUTIER: Mr. Everhart, if you
12
     could pull up his brief PowerPoint.
13
                    Good afternoon, Mr. Mitchell. Are you
14
     there?
15
                    MR. MITCHELL: All right, there we go.
16
     You hear me?
17
                    THE HEARING OFFICER:
                                           Yes.
                                                 Thank you.
     Mr. Mitchell, would you spell your first and last name
18
     for the transcript please?
19
20
                    MR. MITCHELL: D-A-V-I-D M-I-T-C-H-E-L-
2.1
     L.
22
     //
23
     //
24
     //
25
     //
                                                  Page 178
```

1	WHEREUPON,
2	DAVID MITCHELL,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead,
7	Mr. Cloutier.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Mr. Mitchell, would you
11	introduce yourself to the members of the commission
12	please and tell them about your background and
13	education?
14	MR. MITCHELL: My name's David
15	Mitchell. I'm a mechanical engineer from the
16	University of British Columbia. I've been working in
17	the oil and gas industry for a little over 20 years
18	for or Transatlantic Petroleum and Longfellow
19	Energy and prior to that Talisman Energy.
20	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. You
21	submitted direct testimony in this matter; correct?
22	MR. MITCHELL: I did.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: And are there any
24	changes or corrections to that testimony that you want
25	to make?

1	MR. MITCHELL: There is one. I mean,
2	at the time of my testimony, the definition of a
3	marginal well was a well that would produce 10 barrels
4	of oil equivalent per day and had to produce for 365
5	days a year.
6	At that time, I think I quantified
7	about 50 vertical wells that we have that would fall
8	into that category.
9	Since that time, I think the
LO	redefinition has been, I I think two and a half
L1	barrels of oil equivalent a day in 180 days per
L2	production per year, which would reduce that number to
L3	about 20.
L4	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. Would you
L5	briefly introduce the commission to the company that
L6	now employs you, Longfellow, and to, yeah.
L7	MR. MITCHELL: Sure. So Longfellow
L8	Energy is actually the second generation of a a
L9	company called Riata Energy, which was founded in
20	1985.
21	That company became the company known
22	as Sandbridge today. And the founder of that company
23	started Longfellow Energy in 2008, and we've been
24	operating in majority of Texas, Oklahoma, and New
25	Mexico for that period of time.

1	We entered New Mexico in 2019 through a
2	small acquisition and now operate about a hundred
3	wells in New Mexico.
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. And could
5	you explain to the commission the purpose of your
6	testimony?
7	MR. MITCHELL: I'm here to sort of
8	provide the the impact of these rules on on
9	operators such as ourselves. We're we're a small,
10	small operator in New Mexico producing about 6,000
11	barrels of oil equivalent a day.
12	So just to provide the operational and
13	impacts associated with a with a company our size.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: Are you here to testify
15	about any other aspects of the rulemaking?
16	MR. MITCHELL: No.
17	MR. CLOUTIER: You mentioned in your
18	direct testimony the Loco Hills project in east of
19	Artesia that Longfellow has. Can you tell the
20	commission how long it took to put that together? How
21	expensive, how many wells, those sort of details.
22	MR. MITCHELL: Sure. We we started
23	the project in 2019 through a small acquisition from
24	Clayton Williams that brought in about 45 vertical
25	wells and the acreage associated those wells.

1	Over the next few years, we we put
2	together through also acquisitions of of working
3	interest or or full ownership in in a number of
4	vertical wells across the township that we're we're
5	predominantly operating in and just east of Artesia.
6	Since we started drilling in 2020, we
7	drilled about 40 horizontal wells to date. We took
8	the project from at the time of the initial
9	acquisition about 200 barrels a day to today, a little
10	over 6,000.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. Has
12	Longfellow plugged any wells in New Mexico?
13	MR. MITCHELL: We have. We plugged a
14	well probably in the last three to six months yeah,
15	is the one well we plugged recently.
16	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. Do you have
17	operations in other states?
18	MR. MITCHELL: We do. We have
19	operations in Texas where we operate about 1300 wells,
20	and we have operations in Oklahoma where we have a
21	small position. It's a exploration position.
22	MR. CLOUTIER: How does this bonding
23	proposal compare to the bonding you're required, say
24	in Texas?
25	MR. MITCHELL: So in Texas we have a \$2
	Page 182

1	million blanket bond for our wells that covers all
2	1300 odd wells that we we currently operate. I
3	can't speak exactly to Oklahoma, but it's it's a
4	nominal sized project and the bond there is quite
5	nominal.
6	Between the and and in now in
7	New Mexico, we have a lot of different layers of
8	bonding. We have bonding in our SWDs, excuse me,
9	bonding on our our surface uses on both federal and
10	state lands and fee lands.
11	And we have bonding on certain
12	infrastructure that we that we own. So currently
13	the aggregated bond for the hundred wells we have in
14	New Mexico is about two and a half times the the
15	aggregated Texas bond we have for for the 1300 well
16	operation.
17	MR. CLOUTIER: Could you describe for
18	the commission well, first of all, let me just be
19	clear. When you acquired the Loco Hills project from
20	Clayton Williams, was there existing production that
21	you're still operating?
22	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: Right. And how do
24	you were these some of the wells that you testified
25	as qualify as marginal under the proposed rulemaking

1	as you now understand it?
2	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
3	MR. CLOUTIER: Could you talk to the
4	commission about the issues and how Longfellow goes
5	about managing lower producing wells and the various
6	considerations that go into that?
7	MR. MITCHELL: Okay, so we have we -
8	- like I said, we have about 20 wells that would be
9	considered marginal today. But we probably have 50
10	wells that fall into a a lower producing bucket.
11	You know, with with, when we look at
12	our well set, we look at it as a program. And so when
13	we take a look at our our financials and cash flow
14	on a on a group level and a well level monthly
15	and and quarterly.
16	And what we're trying to understand is
17	both the the breakeven economics associated with
18	each well and how we how we actively manage costs.
19	Obviously, higher producing wells have
20	higher costs, lower producing wells have much lower
21	cost. And really when we think about costs, it's
22	important to understand the difference in types of
23	costs.
24	You know, you have fixed costs, which
25	are more associated with your operation at large, and

1	variable costs, which are much more tied to an
2	individual wells production proportional to an
3	individual well production.
4	An example of a variable cost is the
5	chemical that you would put into a well, or the
6	electricity that you would you would supply that
7	well for operating the pumping unit, for example.
8	Where a fixed cost would be maybe the
9	manpower associated with managing an a part of the
LO	field and all of the infrastructure in place to to
L1	do that.
L2	So we look at really our our
L3	breakeven economics on on both both total and
L4	variable cost basis. And as well as become marginal,
L5	the actual true cost impact to us of of adding or
L6	subtracting one well is is quite nominal.
L7	You can pump one of these vertical
L8	marginal wells for really just a few hundred dollars a
L9	month because you're really if I can say I can take
20	a a field of vertical wells, I can put one one
21	pumping route there associated with that field.
22	And the cost of of managing that
23	operation, if it's 50 or 49 or 48, on a fixed basis,
24	is really the same.
25	It's really the variable cost, which is
	Page 185

1	a very small percentage of the of the total cost
2	that that you're saving through adding or removing
3	those vertical wells. So we look at it both ways, but
4	that's sort of how we look at it in general.
5	MR. CLOUTIER: I believe that in your
6	direct testimony you expressed a concern from
7	Longfellow about the transfer provisions in the
8	proposed rulemaking. Could you tell the commission
9	what your concern is?
10	MR. MITCHELL: The when you say
11	transfer, I'm not sure I understand.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: The transfer of
13	operating operatorship provisions.
14	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Sure, sure. I
15	mean, look, we buy our projects, they all develop
16	in different in different ways. But, for sure, one
17	of the ways we grow our project is through is
18	through what we call bolt-on acquisitions.
19	So we have an an area of operations,
20	and we will acquire assets in and around that area so
21	we can expand our operations without really building a
22	new field operation. So we can roll that into the
23	existing fixed cost structure that I described before.
24	So in in New Mexico and the Loco
25	Hills project, the majority of our position was put

1	together through those types of acquisitions.
2	If these very recently, actually, we
3	were looking at at a package for acquisition that
4	had about 300 vertical wells associated with it as
5	well as but we were predominantly focused on
6	we we buy these vertical wells.
7	We're focused on the horizontal
8	development associated with the lands that these wells
9	are on in and around. There was lots of development
10	opportunity on this package, but it came with
11	something approximating 300 vertical wells.
12	And just the risk associated with these
13	regulations and the cost burden that they would imply
14	that could come into effect was enough for us to
15	really step back from that process because of what we
16	believe is is the significant cost associated with
17	this these measures that are being proposed.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Mr. Mitchell.
19	Madam Hearing Officer, we uploaded an
20	excerpt from OCD Exhibit 16 that was introduced during
21	this rulemaking and would like to conduct surrebuttal
22	on it. Yes, ma'am.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go
24	ahead.
25	BY MR. CLOUTIER:

1	MR. CLOUTIER: Mr. Everhart has pulled
2	up can you see the excerpt from the spreadsheet?
3	MR. MITCHELL: I can.
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. Now did you
5	listen to Mr. Powell's testimony?
6	MR. MITCHELL: No, I did not.
7	MR. CLOUTIER: All right. Have you
8	reviewed the portions of Exhibit 16 that pertain to
9	Longfellow?
10	MR. MITCHELL: I have.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: I want to ask you a
12	couple of questions. First of all
13	And, Mr. Everhart, it's just there at
14	the bottom of your page right above the pink. If you
15	could zoom in just a little bit more.
16	Could you tell us what those three
17	wells just above and pardon me, I'm a little bit
18	colorblind, but I would call that pink in there.
19	Mr. Everhart is highlighting them for us.
20	MR. MITCHELL: Sure.
21	MR. CLOUTIER: What wells are those?
22	MR. MITCHELL: So so I don't see the
23	well names, but but those are salt water disposal
24	wells in our project. They're so, they're used to
25	basically dispose of produced water that we don't have
	D
	Page 188

1	beneficial use for.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay. And what is the
3	BOE reflected in that exhibit for each of those wells?
4	MR. MITCHELL: Zero.
5	MR. CLOUTIER: And what are the
6	production days?
7	MR. MITCHELL: Zero.
8	MR. CLOUTIER: Would you expect
9	those the OCD records to show no BOE and no
10	production days for those wells?
11	MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, those wells are
12	not producers. Those wells are used are injectors.
13	They're used for for essentially disposing of
14	produced water into approved formations.
15	You know, when you produce oil and gas,
16	you produce water along with it. That water has to go
17	somewhere.
18	We recycle a large amount of water for
19	ongoing completions operations. But whatever excess
20	water we have, we put into these three wells, and
21	there's there's two other ones that we have in the
22	project.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you. Have you
24	also looked at Exhibit 16 to try and figure out what
25	the bonding requirements would be under this

1	rulemaking for a Longfellow?
2	MR. MITCHELL: So so I have, and
3	and I'm not a lawyer and and for sure my
4	interpretation is is my own.
5	But my understanding from looking at
6	this list and, again, understanding that that we
7	have about 20, 25 wells that would classify as
8	marginal wells at \$150,000 a well that would be
9	something approximately a three and a half million
10	dollars bond.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: And what percentage of
12	your wells are marginal given your understanding under
13	of this rulemaking?
14	MR. MITCHELL: So on on this list,
15	it would be I think it was 26. So 26 percent
16	and and assuming we have about a hundred wells,
17	that would be about 26 percent.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: Right. And have you
19	attempted to determine what the cost would be to
20	Longfellow if you had to bond all of them?
21	MR. MITCHELL: If we had to to bond
22	all of our wells, we'd be looking at something like a
23	hundred fifteen million dollars.
24	MR. CLOUTIER: Right. And how do you
25	bond right now in New Mexico and at what cost?

1	MR. MITCHELL: So we we have we
2	have, like I said, we have a a bunch of different
3	bonds, but if I speak generally to to them we bond
4	through bonding surety companies and the they
5	charge us
6	Well, it's actually gone up by about 50
7	percent in the last two couple year year or so,
8	from 2 percent to 3 percent.
9	If we had to bond this incremental 15
10	million, the likelihood is that would go up and there
11	would be a cash posting requirement associated with
12	that larger bond that we would have to have to bear.
13	MR. CLOUTIER: What would it mean to
14	Longfellow if it was having to spend that money on
15	bonding?
16	MR. MITCHELL: I mean, it'd be
17	extremely meaningful. We have I mean for for
18	us, we have we're not a big company. We we
19	operate out of cash flow. We try to manage. We have
20	very low debt levels of debt.
21	If we had to put, let's say say \$10
22	million to to bonding, that would be money that we
23	wouldn't be able to to invest in development.
24	MR. CLOUTIER: And does Longfellow
25	intends to continuing developing?

1	MR. MITCHELL: Absolutely. We've
2	we've drilled about 40 horizontals to date. We we
3	have another a hundred approximately in our in our
4	forecast over the next five years.
5	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you for your
6	testimony, Mr. Mitchell.
7	Madam Hearing Officer, I move to admit
8	the direct testimony of Mr. Mitchell and the four
9	pages of demonstrative exhibits that we have used.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
11	Mr. Cloutier. I'll pause for a moment in the event
12	there are objections. Okay. They're admitted. Thank
13	you.
14	(IPANM Exhibit 2 was marked for
15	identification and received into
16	evidence.)
17	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay, you can take it
18	down.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are you ready for
20	questions?
21	MR. CLOUTIER: We passed the witness,
22	Madam Hearing Officer. Sorry.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
24	you.
25	Just a reminder, because Mr. Mitchell
	Page 192

1	was offered as a fact witness and kept testimony
2	within 30 minutes, cross exam by every other party is
3	limited to 15.
4	Ms. Fox or Mr. Tisdel?
5	MS. FOX: No questions, Madam Hearing
6	Officer.
7	All right.
8	Mr. Tremaine or Mr. Hall?
9	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Tremaine this time.
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. TREMAINE:
12	MR. TREMAINE: All right. All right,
13	Mr. Mitchell, thank you for being here this afternoon.
14	My name is Jesse Tremaine. I'm the legal director for
15	the Oil Conservation Division.
16	MR. MITCHELL: Nice to meet you.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Excellent to meet you.
18	I just want to ask a couple clarifying questions. You
19	referred to Exhibit 16 as surrebuttal. Are you aware
20	that Exhibit 16 is an export of production and
21	injection data from OCD permitting?
22	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
23	MR. TREMAINE: And do you agree that
24	production and injection data in OCD permitting is
25	reliant on the C-115 reports which are filed

1	exclusively by operators?
2	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
3	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Do you understand
4	that injection wells fall under part 1(E) of the New
5	Mexico Administrative Code?
6	MR. MITCHELL: I'm not familiar with
7	the code, but
8	MR. TREMAINE: Let me, I'll rephrase.
9	I'm not trying to I that was poorly phrased.
10	Sorry. I'm not trying to ask you to make a legal
11	conclusion.
12	But is it consistent with your
13	understanding that injection wells so specifically
14	produce water, salt water disposal wells require an
15	underground injection control permit from OCD?
16	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And is it your
18	contention in making your testimony that UIC-permitted
19	wells are bonded in the same way as production wells
20	in New Mexico?
21	MR. MITCHELL: I'm not sure. We we
22	do have separate bonds for our our injection wells,
23	then we have we have bonds for our injection
24	wells. So I'm not sure if that's the question.
25	MR. TREMAINE: All right. You have

1	separate bonds for your injection wells because the
2	bonding for injection wells is required under the
3	specific permit for each injection well; correct?
4	MR. MITCHELL: I'm not I'm not sure.
5	I I'd have to talk to our regulatory folks about
6	that.
7	MR. TREMAINE: Would that be something
8	that you would want to check in on before continuing
9	with the assertion that your injection wells are going
10	to require single well financial assurance of
11	\$150,000?
12	MR. MITCHELL: I don't think it
13	meaningfully impacts
14	MR. CLOUTIER: Objection.
15	Mischaracterizes the testimony.
16	We did not count the injection wells in
17	the count of potential marginal wells. The point of
18	mentioning it was different.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
20	MR. TREMAINE: I'm pretty sure he just
21	testified that they were concerned that those would
22	fall into the marginal well category.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: That's what I
24	thought.
25	MR. CLOUTIER: I think what the concern
	Page 195

1	that was expressed was that the exhibit contained zero
2	BOE and zero production days and might have been
3	counted as, for instance, he doesn't know this, but
4	might have been counted by Mr. Powell in the wells
5	that he still considered non-beneficial use.
6	That was the purpose. He testified as
7	to 26 marginal wells, which is separate and apart from
8	the saltwater disposal wells.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: I do remember he
10	said zero BOE.
11	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Well, I'll let
12	the record reflect what the record reflects. I have
13	no further questions for Mr. Mitchell. Thank you very
14	much.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
16	you, Mr. Tremaine.
17	Mr. Moore?
18	MR. BIERNOFF: Madam Hearing Officer,
19	it's actually going to be me, if I
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, thank you.
21	MR. BIERNOFF: If we're next.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Biernoff.
23	Thank you.
24	MR. BIERNOFF: Thank you, Madam Hearing
25	Officer.

1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2. BY MR. BIERNOFF: 3 MR. BIERNOFF: Good afternoon, Mr. Mitchell. I think we've had a little bit of 4 5 e.mail contact, but I don't think we've met before. 6 Do I have this right? In your direct, 7 did you testify that Longfellow would need to bond in 8 the amount of around three and a half million dollars 9 for marginal wells if the proposed rule was implemented, was promulgated? 10 11 MR. MITCHELL: As I understand it, yes. 12 MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And how many 13 wells are in that category? How many Longfellow wells 14 are in that category? 15 MR. MITCHELL: We -- we have about 20 16 wells that would fall into that category. And so the 17 three and a half million is an estimate at 150,000 per well times 20 wells is -- is how I got to that number. 18 19 MR. BIERNOFF: Has Longfellow studied 20 or determined the present day plugging and remediation 2.1 site cleanup costs for those 20 or so marginal wells? 22 MR. MITCHELL: We -- we definitely -well, we recently P&A a well, so we -- our -- our P&A 23 2.4 costs are fairly fresh associated with -- with one of these type of wells. These are shallow wells; they're 25

1	about 4,000 feet true vertical depth.
2	And that cost us, I think, just around
3	\$30,000 to plug maybe a little bit less. Now the
4	remediation aspect, I I don't have an estimate on
5	that.
6	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. So Longfellow
7	doesn't know as of today what it would cost to do
8	surface cleanup at the sites of those 20 or so
9	marginal wells. Is that right?
10	MR. CLOUTIER: Objection. Mr. Mitchell
11	is not being tendered as a representative of
12	Longfellow or all its corporate knowledge.
13	MR. BIERNOFF: Well, that's fair
14	enough, Madam Hearing Officer, I can ask the witness
15	about his own personal knowledge. We can leave it at
16	that.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, go
18	ahead.
19	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
20	MR. BIERNOFF: Mr. Mitchell, do you
21	personally know what it would cost for Longfellow to
22	conduct surface cleanup reclamation/remediation at the
23	site of the 20 or so marginal wells?
24	MR. MITCHELL: We have not remediated
25	any of the vertical wells that we own in in New
	Page 198

1	Mexico.
2	MR. BIERNOFF: And have you studied or
3	are you aware of any study by anyone else at
4	Longfellow of what those costs would be even though
5	you haven't done the work yet?
6	MR. MITCHELL: No.
7	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Do I have this
8	right, Mr. Mitchell? Did you testify that if this
9	proposed rule were promulgated, that Longfellow would
10	need to bond in the amount of around 15 million for
11	all of its wells in New Mexico?
12	MR. MITCHELL: So I think the way the
13	question was asked was if I had to bond a hundred
14	percent of our wells, how much would it be? And if we
15	have approximately a hundred wells producing today,
16	that would be at \$150,000. Well, that's where the 15
17	million came from.
18	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And has
19	Longfellow, to your knowledge, determined what it
20	would cost in today's dollars for Longfellow to plug
21	all of the wells that it operates in the State of New
22	Mexico?
23	MR. MITCHELL: No.
24	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And has
25	Longfellow studied or determined, to your knowledge,

1	what it would cost for Longfellow to conduct surface
2	cleanup at the site of all of its wells in the State
3	of New Mexico?
4	MR. MITCHELL: No.
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Thank you, sir.
6	Pass the witness.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
8	Mr. Biernoff.
9	I don't believe we have Ms. Nanasi.
10	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
11	Mr. Mitchell?
12	MR. MAXWELL: No questions. Thank you.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
14	Mr. Rankin, any questions?
15	MR. RANKIN: No questions to the
16	witness. Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
18	EOG is monitoring.
19	Mr. Suazo, do you have questions?
20	MR. SUAZO: No questions from NMOGA for
21	this witness.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
23	Mr. Cloutier, do you have any redirect?
24	MR. CLOUTIER: I do not, Madam Hearing
25	Officer, and I request that Mr. Mitchell be excused.
	Page 200

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioners
2	might
3	MR. CLOUTIER: Oh, I apologize. I
4	apologize, Commissioners.
5	Mr. Mitchell, the commissioners may
6	have some questions for you. They'll probably be the
7	smartest questions you get.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioner
9	Ampomah.
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. AMPOMAH:
12	MR. AMPOMAH: Thank you, sir, for your
13	testimony today. I do have few questions for you.
14	So you were asked about the bond. And
15	let's say how much you have to put, if, let's say, you
16	have 20 wells in the current definition of the
17	marginal wells.
18	And you said 3.5 million bond that will
19	be required. And you do have about 26 percent of your
20	wells in marginal category based on the current
21	definition, which will mean that you have to bond all
22	your entire wells to be the tune to \$150 million.
23	Now I want to ask, what if the
24	commission decides to go down to about 30 percent?
25	MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry I'm sorry.
	Page 201

1	Your your volume stopped for a moment after you
2	started going to all of our wells. Could you please
3	repeat?
4	MR. AMPOMAH: Sorry. So all your
5	wells, you will need about \$150 million as you've
6	already testified to. So I'm asking what if the
7	commission instead of the 15 percent threshold for
8	operator to put a bond for all the entire wells is
9	reduced to 30 percent.
10	Would that alleviate some of your
11	concerns?
12	MR. MITCHELL: You know, it's difficult
13	to answer that. And and the number I gave was 15
14	million, not 150, just for, for clarification.
15	But it's it's difficult to
16	understand. First of all, your your question was
17	broken up a fair bit, so I apologize if if it's
18	possible. Could you rephrase just the the short
19	version of the question.
20	MR. AMPOMAH: So your \$150 million
21	required bond estimate is based on the fact that you
22	do have 26 percent of your wells in marginal category.
23	So I'm asking if the commission adapt 30 percent
24	threshold, would that alleviate some of the concerns
25	you have?

1	MR. MITCHELL: Not really. The the
2	simple fact of the matter is that as a percentage of
3	total wells, it's it's it challenges operators
4	because to make decisions that they otherwise would
5	not make.
6	So if I have just whatever number it
7	is, let's just call it 30 percent of my wells are
8	marginal, does that mean I no longer can make new
9	acquisitions for for future development of new
10	lands?
11	Because that the wells that would
12	come with it would put me, you know, one well over the
13	line just as a simple example and create 70
14	percent additional bonding requirement. The fact
15	that that there's this lever to some and then all,
16	that's generally a very big challenge for us to to
17	understand.
18	And it will put us in situations where
19	we're either prematurely plugging wells that have
20	that have beneficial that have production that we
21	can harvest economically just to stay below, you know,
22	otherwise arbitrary threshold to prevent that
23	slingshot of all of your wells. And wells have lots
24	of different uses.
25	I mean, we have wells that we like I
	Page 203

1	said, those three wells, and I believe after some
2	some of the the cross-examination those wells were
3	producers that we converted to saltwater disposal
4	wells.
5	And so we're taking something that had
6	nominal value to our to our operation in terms of
7	production. We turned them into some assets that
8	have huge value to sustaining the new wells that we're
9	drilling and the production and water associated
LO	with with those wells' production.
l1	And if groups are forced to make
L2	decisions prematurely to plug in abandoned wells,
L3	the the beneficial uses associated with not just
L 4	the remaining production, but also future conversions
L5	to uphold recompletions or saltwater disposal.
L 6	Or if you think about the operations
L7	in in all of the secondary and tertiary floods in
18	the United States, those are all driven by vertical
L9	wells that were primarily producers in their early
20	life that were converted into either water floods or
21	CO2 floods down the road.
22	So those those thresholds that
23	create an all some or all, those are really the
24	challenge one of the challenges we have with
25	with some of the proposals that are being discussed.

1	MR. AMPOMAH: So was it your testimony
2	that for the surety bond you'll probably be looking at
3	two to three percent of the total cost?
4	MR. MITCHELL: Our current surety rate
5	is 3 percent, but as your total aggregated bonds grow,
6	so does the so does the surety bond requirement.
7	So it's uncertain if if for
8	example, all of our wells need to be bonded, what that
9	rate would look like.
LO	The likelihood is it would be we
L1	would have to post some sort of cash collateral and
L2	there would be interest associated with that plus the
L3	three percent. So you might be looking at something
L4	closer to ten percent.
L5	MR. AMPOMAH: Wow. So if let's say
L6	I zoom in. Based on the current rules, you do have
L7	150 million required bond that you have to acquire for
L8	all the wealth that you have. So how much are we
L9	looking at in terms of the bonding amount that you
20	have to pay for the surety bond?
21	MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. And I do want to
22	bring back just just to answer your question.
23	To to do 150,000 on the a hundred wells would be 15
24	million. So the the amount we'd be paying to the
25	surety, and the example I gave, would be about one and

1	a half million dollars a year.
2	MR. AMPOMAH: And you could use that
3	money to plug the wells?
4	MR. MITCHELL: Well, we could use that
5	money for lots of lots of things. We we use it
6	for for either developing new wells or plugging
7	wells as they as they move through their productive
8	and useful life.
9	But yeah, that that money would be a
10	meaningful reduction in our in our capital
11	availability.
12	MR. AMPOMAH: I mean it is interesting
13	though. So from your estimation, who are we helping
14	here? Is it enriching the surety bond companies or, I
15	mean, solving the problem?
16	MR. MITCHELL: I really think I
17	really think that the surety bonding companies are
18	going to have a challenge meeting these regulations.
19	We've already seen surety companies
20	pulling back from from not only New Mexico
21	specifically, but really the oil and gas space in
22	general as bonding requirements have changed over
23	time.
24	So there's a real possibility that
25	bonds the availability of bonding would be

1	restricted to just the very large companies, which
2	would effectively decimate the the smaller
3	companies and and the mom and pops that are really
4	the local kind of heroes of their of the small
5	communities that we operate.
6	So I don't know who the beneficiary
7	would be here, but it would certainly create a real
8	problem for the industry at large.
9	MR. AMPOMAH: Thank you for your time,
10	sir.
11	MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER:
13	Commissioner Bloom, do you have questions of
14	Mr. Mitchell?
15	MR. BLOOM: I do, Madam Hearing
16	Officer. Thank you.
17	CROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. BLOOM.
19	MR. BLOOM: Mr. Mitchell, good
20	afternoon. Thank you for your time. I represent the
21	land office.
22	Longfellow came by, I think this past
23	spring, and talked to us about some of the things
24	you're doing out in Loco Hills, and I think you told
25	us today that you have taken production out at that
	Page 207
	1496 207

1	development from 200 barrels a day to 6,000 barrels a
2	day now. Is that correct?
3	MR. MITCHELL: Correct. It's probably
4	a little higher than that, but yes.
5	MR. BLOOM: Yeah, so that's I think
6	that's a, you know, a nice achievement, and we like to
7	see that on our state trust lands because that money,
8	the royalty revenue from there, benefits our school
9	kids and other beneficiaries.
10	I'm here for the Commissioner of Public
11	Lands, and so I think almost inextricably I see things
12	through that lens at times. I'm also trying to have
13	and having a wider view also.
14	You know, something I've spoken to the
15	legislature about is the bonding gap we have on state
16	trust lands, and that was identified in a 2021 report
17	by the Center for Advanced Research as being \$6
18	billion with a B. And that, that's sizable.
19	I mean, all the money that we've been
20	able to make from oil and gas off of state trust land
21	since statehood is in the land grant permanent fund,
22	and that's about \$35 billion today. And so that
23	bonding gap that's out there could be one sixth of
24	that.
25	You know, on the other side, I was
	Page 208

1	asked to, you know, calculate this based on some
2	questions we got at the legislature about, you know,
3	what kind of income comes in from independents and how
4	will certain activities affect independents.
5	Independents pay royalties, the land
6	office of \$15 million a year out of 2.5 billion. So
7	that's about half of one percent of our royalty income
8	comes from independents. And we have a bonding gap of
9	\$6 billion.
10	So this is what we're trying to
11	negotiate up here. How do we protect the state and
12	the taxpayers and beneficiaries and try to prevent
13	waste, protect the environment, protect public health,
14	water.
15	You, when you see that delta in terms
16	of income to the bonding gap, you know, what are you
17	hoping that the OCC does here?
18	MR. MITCHELL: You know, I'm I'm an
19	engineer. It's it's not really my place to
20	recommend policy or or regulation, and that's not
21	really why I'm here today.
22	It's it's a challenging position
23	that you're in, and I understand the need for these
24	discussions, but I'm really here to talk about kind of
25	the operator view and and anything that tips into

1	the legal or or regulatory recommendations is
2	really outside of my expertise.
3	MR. BLOOM: Well, sir, thank you so
4	much for your time, Mr. Mitchell. Much appreciated.
5	No further questions.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Chair Chang?
7	MR. CHANG: Thank you for your time,
8	but no. No questions from me. Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Any
10	reason not to excuse Mr. Mitchell? No?
11	Thank you very much, Mr. Mitchell, for
12	your testimony.
13	MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: And, Madam Hearing
15	Officer, Ms. Tripp's prepared to call Mr. Hanagan.
16	And our next witness, Mr. Sharpe, is not available
17	till three. So suggest we take a break either before
18	or after Mr. Hanagan. Whatever your pleasure.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, let's
20	take ten minutes now. I just realized it's 2:25,
21	so
22	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Madam Hearing
23	Officer.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: We'll be back at
25	2:35.

1	(Off the record.)
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, I see
3	Ms. Tripp on the screen.
4	MS. TRIPP: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: And I
6	MS. TRIPP: Ms. Apodaca is in the
7	process of
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Bringing up
9	Mr. Hanagan?
10	MS. TRIPP: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: All righty.
12	Mr. Hanagan, can you unmute yourself?
13	Maybe even turn on your camera?
14	MR. HANAGAN: You got me?
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, yes. Thank
16	you. Loud and clear. Would you spell your first and
17	last name for the transcript, please?
18	MR. HANAGAN: It's Mike, M-I-K-E,
19	Hanagan, H-A-N-A-G-A-N.
20	WHEREUPON,
21	MIKE HANAGAN,
22	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
23	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
	Page 211

1	Go ahead, Ms. Tripp.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. TRIPP:
4	MS. TRIPP: All right now. Thank you,
5	Mr. Hanagan. We've met a couple times. You know who
6	I am, and you've already spelled your name, but can
7	you let us let me restart that.
8	You submitted some rebuttal testimony
9	in this rulemaking. Do you recall that?
10	MR. HANAGAN: Yes, ma'am.
11	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And do you have any
12	changes or adopt it as is?
13	MR. HANAGAN: I believe what will adopt
14	it as is.
15	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And can you
16	introduce yourself to the commission in terms of your
17	personal background, education?
18	MR. HANAGAN: I graduated with a degree
19	in geology from Fort Lewis College in Durango in 1983.
20	I've been in employed either as an employee or an
21	owner in the oil and gas industry in New Mexico since
22	then.
23	MS. TRIPP: And what companies have you
24	worked for over your career?
25	MR. HANAGAN: I started with Marbob
	Page 212
	raye 212

1	Energy with was with them quite a while. Then I
2	I went in with Manzano Oil Corporation and then became
3	an owner of Manzano Oil Corporation.
4	And then then we started Manzano,
5	LLC and then several different Manzano Energy
6	Corporation entities that were private-equity-backed
7	entities.
8	MS. TRIPP: And what is Manzano LLC?
9	How big is it? How many employees? What do y'all do
LO	in New Mexico?
L1	MR. HANAGAN: We're an operating
L2	company. We we have 11 employees that are directly
L3	employed by us. And then, you know, numerous we
L4	utilize third party contractors for for lots of
L5	stuff.
L6	MS. TRIPP: And so in terms of the kind
L7	of drilling and exploration that Manzano's been
L8	involved with, can you elaborate a bit on that?
L9	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, you know, currently
20	for since 2008, we we've drilled almost entirely
21	horizontal wells, excluding a couple of disposal wells
22	and maybe two at the most vertical wells.
23	But almost all horizontal wells drilled
24	in for in in New Mexico and West Texas, San Andres
25	Formation, Penn Shale Canyon, Shale, lots of Bone

1	Spring.
2	MS. TRIPP: And those hundred or some
3	wells that you've drilled as a small company, do you
4	operate those from beginning to end or what's the
5	typical transaction like for y'all?
6	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, we have, you know,
7	especially since 2000 2008, we've we've been
8	backed by private equity capital and and so we
9	we Manzano is the operator of those wells.
10	We'll put those deals together, put
11	land together, then we'll drill some wells to de-risk
12	them, and Manzano will operate all those.
13	Then then we'll we'll sell it to
14	another to a larger company. So so we'll
15	operate from start through completion and production,
16	but we'll we normally do not develop the fields.
17	MS. TRIPP: Because that development of
18	the fields after you de-risk, that occurs with a
L9	larger operator. Is that right?
20	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
21	MS. TRIPP: Okay. So we've heard a
22	lot and your rebuttal testimony was responding some
23	of the points that Mr. Purvis made, and we've heard a
24	lot about how 97 percent of production is in the top
25	10 percent of operators. And so do you have an

1	opinion about that figure?
2	MR. HANAGAN: No, that's true. But a
3	lot of those operators got their production from this
4	10 percent that that found it and then sold it to
5	them.
6	MS. TRIPP: And in addition to drilling
7	wells, has Manzano plugged wells over its 40-year
8	history in New Mexico?
9	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, I I believe we
10	plugged 76 total.
11	MS. TRIPP: And does Manzano have I
12	think you mentioned Texas. Can you talk a little bit
13	about your operations in Texas?
14	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, we're we're
15	operating more and more all the time over in Texas
16	and and we currently operate about 80 wells over
17	there. We'll plug three to five wells a year there.
18	And those are all horizontal wells, either Spraberry
19	or San Andres.
20	MS. TRIPP: And so when Mr. Purvis says
21	there's no such thing as a small company drilling
22	shale wells, well, Manzano is a small company, and you
23	guys are drilling horizontal wells. Is that right?
24	MR. HANAGAN: That that's correct.
25	You don't get much smaller, and that's all we drill.

1	MS. TRIPP: And in your perspective
2	in your experience in New Mexico, the effects of this
3	proposed rule, are you already starting to see that in
4	the industry?
5	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, I see it not only
6	at my company, but I see it at at in a in
7	properties that have been placed up for sale on the
8	market.
9	I know of two within the last two
10	months that have not closed because of the it's not
11	just because of the financial surety.
12	It it's the the lack of of
13	confidence in in what it's going to be. That to
14	assure to to what they're going to have to bond
15	when we if we bought some of these properties.
16	MS. TRIPP: Would you consider, well,
17	let me strike that.
18	Another statement that you res
19	responded to Mr. Purvis offered was that the companies
20	who are affected by this rulemaking will be missed the
21	least. But it's my understanding that small operators
22	have a large role in New Mexico. Is that right?
23	MR. HANAGAN: I believe we do. I think
24	we have have had all during time a a huge
25	role in the state and and I know most people now

1	apparently think that we will be missed the least, but
2	I would say we won't.
3	MS. TRIPP: And I see you've mentioned
4	that Manzano has gone through a couple iterations with
5	private equity.
6	And so to the extent that this rule was
7	in effect back then when you were taking on those
8	projects, would it have changed the calculus or
9	whether you decided to invest and develop those
10	fields?
11	MR. HANAGAN: Well, it's definitely
12	changed the private equity outlook, although it's
13	coming back now.
14	But but since 2008 to 2022, we had
15	four iterations of of our private equity company.
16	And they were no longer interested in investing in New
17	Mexico because of the uncertainty the regulatory
18	uncertainty.
19	So I I mean that was a we've
20	moved on and drilled projects since then and all it
21	didn't put an end to us, but it it put an end to
22	them investing in this state.
23	MS. TRIPP: And I, you know, we've
2.4	
24	heard a fair bit of testimony about "speculativeness"
24 25	heard a fair bit of testimony about "speculativeness" and about risk during the rulemaking. Mr. Purvis

1	offered the opinion that that small operators are
2	just they're gambling. And does Manzano gamble
3	when you decide to develop a project?
4	MR. HANAGAN: Of course we do. That's
5	something that's been the history of the oil business.
6	MS. TRIPP: But that gamble's not based
7	on guesswork. You're relying on your experience and
8	on information.
9	MR. HANAGAN: Oh yeah, it's a it's
10	an educated guess with the and we put it 3D science
11	in there 3D seismic. I mean, we we put a lot
12	into it. But anybody tells you that this business
13	isn't a gamble, it's it's a it is.
14	MS. TRIPP: That's fair. And I for
15	a second to talk a little bit more about the bonding.
16	How is Manzano currently bonded within the state?
17	MR. HANAGAN: With cash bonds.
18	MS. TRIPP: And, you know, how many
19	bonds are you currently required to carry and are
20	there any changes that are also affecting your
21	operations?
22	MR. HANAGAN: Well, we we have the
23	state bond, OCD plugging bond, then we have a a
24	bond with the State Land Office, and then we have a
25	bond with the the BLM.

1	And all of these, you know, of course
2	there's this rulemaking and the State Land Office sent
3	out proposals last week or week before last about
4	increasing the bond to \$150,000 per lease. I can't
5	remember the exact specifics of it.
6	And then we also got notice within the
7	last month that the our BLM bond would go up to
8	\$500,000.
9	MS. TRIPP: And so from a larger
10	perspective, based on your experience in New Mexico,
11	and as a resident of New Mexico, what do you predict
12	to be the ultimate outcome of adopting these rules?
13	MR. HANAGAN: Well, I know they're
14	going to achieve what it is that they're trying to
15	achieve, but they're going to destroy a lot in the
16	in the process of doing it. And I'm not even sure
17	it's going to achieve what they're trying to do.
18	MR. BIERNOFF: I'm sorry to interrupt,
19	Madam Hearing Officer, I apologize for the delay. I
20	was having trouble with my buttons here.
21	I'm going to object to the question and
22	ask that the answer be stricken because it clearly
23	calls for speculation on the part of the witness,
24	which is something that the industry advocates have
25	made a big deal about in this proceeding.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Tripp, there
2	have been numerous objections about inviting
3	speculation.
4	MS. TRIPP: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer,
5	but I'm asking him on, based on his experience in
6	terms of operations within New Mexico, if single-well
7	bonding is applied at \$150,000, how will that affect
8	Manzano's operations. And I can restate the question
9	to follow that.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Or
11	perhaps lay a better foundation for his ability to
12	answer that question without speculating.
13	BY MS. TRIPP:
14	MS. TRIPP: Absolutely. So,
15	Mr. Hanagan, I think we covered that you've worked in
16	the oil and gas industry for the last 40 years; is
17	that right?
18	MR. HANAGAN: Yes, ma'am.
19	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And then through
20	those 40 years, have you seen changes in regulations?
21	MR. HANAGAN: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And have you
23	experienced the effects of those changes of
24	regulations?
25	MR. HANAGAN: Yes, ma'am.
	Daga 220
	Page 220

1	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And to the extent
2	that Manzano would need to bond either marginal wells
3	at 150,000 or plug wells because they're categorized
4	as no beneficial use, how would that affect your
5	operations?
6	MR. HANAGAN: Under our current well
7	count, it wouldn't. It it because I don't have
8	any marginal wells, so I I have a minimal number of
9	wells right now.
10	MS. TRIPP: I understand that. At a
11	different time when Manzano was operating and you had
12	more wells, would it change your analysis?
13	MR. HANAGAN: Yes, ma'am.
14	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And how would it
15	change?
16	MR. HANAGAN: It in all likelihood
17	would drive me it it depends on which property
18	it was, but it it has the potential of driving me
19	out of the state.
20	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And I think the last
21	piece with your testimony that responded to the Oil
22	and Conservation Division, Mr. Justin Wrinkle, but I
23	don't believe we need to revisit that based on the way
24	the rulemaking's developed.
25	But, you know, is it still your opinion
	Page 221

1	that operators categorize expenses differently,
2	whether it's CapEx or OpEx?
3	MR. HANAGAN: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. TRIPP: Okay. I have no further
5	questions.
6	I'll turn the witness over.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
8	you, Ms. Tripp.
9	Ms. Fox or Mr. Tisdel?
10	MR. TISDEL: Yeah, just a few
11	questions, Madam Hearing Officer.
12	CROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. TISDEL:
14	MR. TISDEL: Hello, Mr. Hanagan. My
15	name's Kyle Tisdel. I'm an attorney with the Western
16	Environmental Law Center and represent the applicants
17	in this proceeding. How are you this afternoon?
18	MR. HANAGAN: I'm good, thank you.
19	MR. TISDEL: Thanks. Just a few very
20	short questions. Ms. Tripp was asking you or
21	referencing your 40-year history as an operator in the
22	state.
23	And she also recognized that over those
24	four years you have experienced several instances or
25	many instances of new rules or regulations that have

1	taken place over the course of that 40 years; correct?
2	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
3	MR. TISDEL: And in your experience as
4	an operator, have you always been able to adjust to
5	those changes in rules?
6	MR. HANAGAN: For the most part.
7	MR. TISDEL: So you would characterize
8	your operations as being in compliance with OCD rules
9	as they have adjusted over the last 40 years?
10	MS. TRIPP: Madam Hearing Officer, I'll
11	object. I I did not ask whether Manzano was able to
12	comply with regulations
13	MR. TISDEL: No, that was my question,
14	Ms. Tripp. Yeah, that was my question, Ms. Tripp.
15	MS. TRIPP: It's outside the scope of
16	the rebuttal testimony that was offered. That's my
17	objection.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right,
19	Mr. Tisdel, how is it related?
20	MR. TISDEL: He's testified that over
21	the course of his 40 years of operating in New Mexico,
22	he's experienced many rule changes. And I'm asking
23	whether he has been able to comply with those rule
24	changes.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Go
	Page 223

1	ahead.
2	BY MR. TISDEL:
3	MR. TISDEL: Would you like me to
4	restate the question, Mr. Hanagan?
5	MR. HANAGAN: No, no. I I believe
6	I've come into compliance with all of them. Some were
7	harder than others.
8	MR. TISDEL: Okay. And you also said
9	that you have currently no wells in marginal status.
10	Is that correct?
11	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
12	MR. TISDEL: And so therefore you have
13	no wells also that would be presumed not to be in
14	beneficial use under the proposed rules?
15	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
16	MR. TISDEL: Okay. Those are all the
17	questions I have.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you,
19	Mr. Tisdel.
20	Mr. Tremaine or Mr. Hall?
21	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Tremaine. Thank
22	you, Madam Hearing Officer.
23	//
24	//
25	CROSS EXAMINATION
	Page 224
	1 430 221

1	BY MR. TREMAINE:
2	MR. TREMAINE: Good afternoon,
3	Mr. Hanagan. My name is Jesse Tremaine. I'm the
4	legal director for the Oil Conservation Division, and
5	we very much appreciate your time today.
6	During your testimony, I believe you
7	had indicated that Manzano has been backed by private
8	equity since 2008; correct?
9	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. When I look at
11	your operator file, it looks like you have about five
12	wells now, and those wells are either in new status or
13	they've been more recently drilled and brought into
14	production. Is that a fair characterization of your
15	portfolio?
16	MR. HANAGAN: As of now in New Mexico,
17	I have two wells. I have three wells total on record.
18	One of them is a marginal well, and two of them are
19	new wells.
20	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. In your testimony
21	you indicated that you were operating the Vince BGH
22	number 1, and during that period of time you brought
23	two new horizontal wells online, the Rag Mama 30-19
24	Fee 1, and then Sodbuster 21 Fee number 4. Is that
25	accurate?

1	MR. HANAGAN: I am no longer operating
2	those, but, at the time, I operated those.
3	MR. TREMAINE: Right. Okay. You
4	drilled them, and you spud and completed those wells;
5	correct?
6	MR. HANAGAN: Yes. The the Rag Mama
7	and the Sodbuster. The I did not drill the vents.
8	MR. TREMAINE: Right. Thank you.
9	Thank you. Are you able to recall how long the
10	horizontals on the Rag Mama and the Sodbuster are?
11	MR. HANAGAN: They're both one mile
12	laterals. One one might be a mile and a quarter.
13	MR. TREMAINE: Mile or mile and a
14	quarter. Okay. Do you recall what the drilling and
15	completion costs were for the Rag Mama?
16	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, the Rag Mama was a
17	little over 4 million. It was a little more than the
18	Sodbuster.
19	MR. TREMAINE: So the Sodbuster was a
20	little less than 4 million? Or how would you
21	characterize that?
22	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
23	MR. TREMAINE: Okay.
24	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, I'm I'm
25	that's just roughly on both of them within a million
	Page 226

1	dollars.
2	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. The couple
3	questions on the Vince BGH number 1. I pulled up the
4	well file just to kind of clarify here, and I'm going
5	to looks like we've gotten kicked out. One moment.
6	I'm going to join, and then when that works, okay, I'm
7	going to share my screen.
8	All right, Mr. Hanagan, I'm going to
9	allow me to scroll up here. Don't want to start in
LO	the middle. All right.
L1	This is the OCD permitting well file
L2	for the Vince BGH number 1. So just for the record,
L3	did you transfer this well from Manzano to Ad Astra
L4	Resources?
	Resources? MR. HANAGAN: I did.
L4	
L4 L5	MR. HANAGAN: I did.
L4 L5 L6	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just
L4 L5 L6 L7	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to
L4 L5 L6 L7	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to jump down to I'm clicking on the production here.
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to jump down to I'm clicking on the production here. And when we look at the production
14 15 16 17 18	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to jump down to I'm clicking on the production here. And when we look at the production history for this well, if you look in the production
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to jump down to I'm clicking on the production here. And when we look at the production history for this well, if you look in the production section, we see oil, gas, water, and days reported;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to jump down to I'm clicking on the production here. And when we look at the production history for this well, if you look in the production section, we see oil, gas, water, and days reported; right?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. HANAGAN: I did. MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Really just making sure we're on the same page here. I want to jump down to I'm clicking on the production here. And when we look at the production history for this well, if you look in the production section, we see oil, gas, water, and days reported; right? And you had indicated in your testimony

1	you agree?
2	MR. HANAGAN: I did testify to that.
3	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And then I just
4	want to draw your attention to 2011 and 2012.
5	So in 2011, it looks like you produced
6	297 barrels of oil. I'll just skip over gas. And you
7	produced for 80 days. But in 2012 you produced 2,999
8	barrels of oil and produced for 252 days.
9	So from 2011 to 2012, you saw a
10	significant bump in production on that well. What
11	happened with the well? Or what did you do to the
12	well to increase the production in that time period?
13	MS. TRIPP: Madam Hearing Officer, I'll
14	object to the extent that Mr. Hanagan can remember
15	what one well did 13 years ago. I'm happy to have him
16	answer, but certainly we didn't examine him or proffer
17	this in our rebuttal testimony.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right, but he
19	testified about its status.
20	And, Mr. Hanagan, if you don't
21	remember, you can say you don't remember, but if you
22	remember, please answer.
23	MR. HANAGAN: I don't remember, but I
24	didn't even own the well at that time. It was
25	operated by Yates Petroleum till late in the 2000

1 teens, if not the twenties. So I -- I wasn't even the 2 operator then. So I have no knowledge of that. BY MR. TREMAINE: 3 4 MR. TREMAINE: Okay. So, Mr. Hanagan, 5 I'll ask a different question. So, to clarify, are 6 you saying that the well that you operated at a later date would've been in marginal status while it was 8 operated by a different operator? 9 MR. HANAGAN: I think so. 10 MR. TREMAINE: Okay. In your 11 experience, operating, drilling, completing and 12 producing numerous wells, would you expect a well to 13 go from 297 barrels of oil in a year to almost 3000 14 barrels in a year without performing some sort of work 15 on that well? 16 MR. HANAGAN: I would not. 17 MS. TRIPP: Madam Hearing Officer, 18 that's the same objection for speculation that's already been raised. 19 20 MR. TREMAINE: I think there's ample 21 foundation for this question, but I'm moving on. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Tremaine. 23 2.4 // 25 BY MR. TREMAINE: Page 229

1	MR. TREMAINE: All right. So,
2	Mr. Hanagan, later on, we see that in 2022, this well
3	fell down to less than a thousand BOE actually, in
4	2021, sorry fell down to less than 2,000 1,000
5	BOE. And you reported zero days.
6	And it remained below that threshold
7	for the remainder of that well's active life; right?
8	Did you own or operate the well during this period of
9	time in 2021 through 2025?
10	MR. HANAGAN: Yes.
11	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Did you
12	ultimately perform the plugging on that well or did Ad
13	Astra plug that well?
14	MR. HANAGAN: That well hasn't been
15	plugged. That was the whole purpose of that
16	testimony. That well was converted to an injection
17	well and is a pressure maintenance well at this time.
18	The whole purpose
19	MR. TREMAINE: I see.
20	MR. HANAGAN: was to show as a
21	use of a beneficial use of a well that had no
22	value.
23	MR. TREMAINE: I see. Thank you very
24	much for that clarification. So in 2021, that was
25	okay that's the fifth year you were referring to.

1	So in 2021 that well would've fallen into the marginal
2	well category; correct?
3	MR. HANAGAN: Correct.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. And then it was
5	converted to injection. So do you agree that when it
6	was converted to injection, it would no longer fall
7	into the marginal well category?
8	MR. HANAGAN: I don't know.
9	MR. TREMAINE: Okay.
10	MR. HANAGAN: I don't know. I don't
11	know what category it falls into.
12	MR. TREMAINE: Do you agree that this
13	well if you have a well in this type of posture or,
14	you know, production situation, and that you as a, you
15	know, oil and gas developer, if you're going to do
16	some sort of you have a plan for that well, that
17	you can apply to the division to put that well into
18	approved temporary abandonment?
19	MR. HANAGAN: Do I know that you can do
20	that?
21	MR. TREMAINE: Yes.
22	MR. HANAGAN: Yes.
23	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. So if you as an
24	operator are looking at a well that's dropping off
25	production, and you want to do something with the well

1	or you want to convert it to injection, you have
2	viable options available to you to keep that well out
3	of marginal status, don't you?
4	MR. HANAGAN: You may. I you don't
5	always.
6	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. I did.
7	MR. HANAGAN: In this case.
8	MR. TREMAINE: So, Mr. Hanagan, you
9	just testified that you operated this well in 2022 at
10	the time it was converted. Was there something
11	mechanically going on with that well that would've
12	prevented you in 2022 from simply producing that well
13	for more than 180 days?
14	MR. HANAGAN: Well, I I wasn't
15	making any money producing whatever I was making at
16	that time.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. So you decided
18	for business reasons to produce that well for less
19	than 180 days during 2022?
20	MS. TRIPP: Objection. I believe that
21	misstates his testimony so far.
22	MR. TREMAINE: All right, I'll move on
23	and pass the witness.
24	Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
	Page 232

1	you, Mr. Tremaine.
2	Mr. Biernoff, do you have questions of
3	Mr. Hanagan?
4	MR. BIERNOFF: Yes, I do, Your Honor
5	Madam Hearing Officer. Thank you. I'm just trying to
6	get the camera back on, so thanks for bearing with me.
7	CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
9	MR. BIERNOFF: Good afternoon,
10	Mr. Hanagan. I'm Ari Biernoff. I'm an attorney for
11	the State Land Office and Commissioner of Public
12	Lands. I have a few questions for you.
13	You established with Mr. Tremaine, the
14	prior attorney who was asking you questions, that
15	Manzano operates I think it's five wells in the
16	state of New Mexico. Is that right?
17	MR. HANAGAN: No, I stated it's three.
18	MR. BIERNOFF: Oh, it's three. Okay.
19	I inflated it by accident. So you're operating three
20	wells now, and I think you testified in response to
21	one of Ms. Tripp's questions that Manzano has plugged
22	something around 70 wells, maybe more. Is that right?
23	MR. HANAGAN: I I believe we
24	identified 76. Somewhere.
25	MR. BIERNOFF: Seventy-six wells.

1	Okay. And so does Manzano is it fair to say that
2	Manzano has a smaller operational footprint in New
3	Mexico than it did in the past?
4	MR. HANAGAN: Definitely.
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And you are not
6	taking the position that this proposed rule which
7	hasn't been adopted, is just being considered
8	you're not taking the position that this rule is what
9	has shrunk Manzano's business, are you?
10	MR. HANAGAN: It is a large this
11	rule's been talked about for well over a year. And it
12	is a a big reason that I'm
13	MR. BIERNOFF: It is?
14	MR. HANAGAN: It it is.
15	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Well, let's
16	explore that a little bit. A year ago when this rule
17	was first being talked about, how many wells was
18	Manzano operating in New Mexico?
19	MR. HANAGAN: Probably 25.
20	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And is it your
21	testimony that because of the possibility of this rule
22	being introduced, being petitioned, possibly being
23	promulgated in some form, that that is why Manzano got
24	rid of 22 of the wells that it used to operate a year
25	ago?

1	MR. HANAGAN: That's not my testimony.
2	My testimony is that the regulatory environment in New
3	Mexico has not has made it conducive to do business
4	elsewhere.
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Did you profit from the
6	transfer of any of those approximately 22 or 23 wells
7	that you operated a year ago and don't operate today?
8	MR. HANAGAN: I I do not profit from
9	them. I sold them for less than they were making.
10	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. So you took a
11	loss to get rid of 20 plus wells simply because this
12	rule was being talked about? Is that your testimony?
13	MR. HANAGAN: Again, it was not my
14	testimony. And it was not just this rule. It's the
15	overall regulatory environment in New Mexico towards
16	the oil and gas industry that's just made it more
17	conducive and profitable to go elsewhere.
18	MR. BIERNOFF: Mr. Hanagan, you
19	mentioned in your direct testimony that I think you
20	said there were two transactions that you knew about.
21	And I wasn't clear on whether those
22	were Manzano involved or not, that there were two
23	transactions that didn't close because of the specter
24	of this rule. Did I get that right?
25	MR. HANAGAN: It it was because of
	Page 235

1	the uncertainty of total financial assurance and
2	and the cost of transferring what it was going to cost
3	to transfer a lot of those wells in there.
4	And then how many of those were going
5	to would fall under this rule. I I I'm aware
6	of two transactions that did not close.
7	MR. BIERNOFF: And were those
8	transactions that involved Manzano as a party?
9	MR. HANAGAN: They were not.
10	MR. BIERNOFF: Who did they involve?
11	What transactions were they?
12	MR. HANAGAN: I can't. I I was
13	under a confidentiality agreement when I reviewed.
14	MR. BIERNOFF: Madam Hearing Officer.
15	I'm going to ask that Mr. Hanagan's testimony about
16	undisclosed, unspecified transactions be disregarded.
17	There's no reliability here if we don't get details on
18	what these supposed transactions were.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
20	you, Mr. Biernoff. I believe the commission can
21	certainly consider Mr. Hanagan's testimony and give it
22	the weight that is appropriate to give it. So I'm not
23	going to dismiss it, but they'll certainly be advised
24	as to weight.
25	BY MR. BIERNOFF:

1	MR. BIERNOFF: Mr. Hanagan, are you
2	involved in an ownership or management capacity, an
3	entity called Hanagan and Hanagan?
4	MR. HANAGAN: I well, indirectly.
5	It it was dissolved in back in the sixties or
6	seventies.
7	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay, so Hanagan and
8	Hanagan, that entity that was dissolved in the sixties
9	or seventies, that doesn't have any ongoing commercial
10	interest as far as you know?
11	MR. HANAGAN: No, it was owned by my
12	dad and my uncle. And they never did business, and
13	they're both dead, and they did not do any business
14	under Hanagan and Hanagan since, I think, the late
15	sixties, but without a doubt the last the late
16	seventies.
17	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And what about
18	Hanagan Petroleum Corporation? Is that an entity that
19	you're involved with in an ownership or management
20	capacity?
21	MS. TRIPP: Madam Hearing Officer, I'm
22	going to object to this line of questioning.
23	Mr. Hanagan's here to testify about his role with
24	Manzano LLC and his personal knowledge and
25	experiencing industry.

1	We have haven't examined or attached
2	credibility of witnesses with any other witness that's
3	appeared in this rulemaking. I think it's improper in
4	this venue.
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Madam Hearing Officer,
6	there's nothing improper about determining whether
7	this witness is involved, not only in the business
8	affairs of the company that Ms. Tripp cherry-picked to
9	have him talk about, but also in other entities that
10	he may or may not be involved in.
11	If he's not involved with them, we can
12	move on. But if he is involved with them, the
13	compliance problems, including failure to bond that
14	those companies have experienced is a suitable line of
15	inquiry.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: I agree,
17	Ms. Tripp.
18	Go ahead, Mr. Biernoff.
19	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
20	MR. BIERNOFF: Mr. Hanagan, I was
21	asking do you have any ownership or management
22	involvement in Hanagan Petroleum Corporation or
23	Hanagan Petroleum Company?
24	MR. HANAGAN: Hanagan Petroleum
25	Corporation, I'm the president of.

1	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Okay. And does
2	Hanagan Petroleum operate any wells in New Mexico?
3	MR. HANAGAN: They do not.
4	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And so therefore,
5	Hanagan Petroleum has no reason at this point in time
6	to post a bond with the Oil Conservation Division; is
7	that right?
8	MR. HANAGAN: They do not, but I
9	believe they have one.
10	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Okay. Thank you
11	very much, Mr. Hanagan.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
13	you, Mr. Biernoff.
14	Let's see, don't think we have
15	Ms. Nanasi.
16	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions?
17	May have stepped away.
18	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions?
19	MR. MAXWELL: No questions, thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thank you,
21	Mr. Maxwell.
22	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions?
23	MR. RANKIN: Madam Hearing Officer, I
24	don't have any questions of Mr. Hanagan. Thank you.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
	D 220
	Page 239

1	EOG is monitoring.
2	Mr. Suazo, do you have questions?
3	MR. SUAZO: NMOGA has no questions for
4	this witness, Madam Hearing Officer.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right,
6	Ms. Tripp. Do you have redirect?
7	MS. TRIPP: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer.
8	Just one real briefly.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. TRIPP:
11	So, Mr. Hanagan, Mr. Tremaine from the
12	Oil Conservation Division asked you some questions
13	about the Vents Pressure Maintenance project, and I
14	just thought it was interesting because obviously we
15	cited that as an example of repurposing an old
16	vertical well.
17	But what was the reason to repurpose,
18	and how are you accomplishing that? Because it's not
19	your typical pressure maintenance, water flooding,
20	anything like that.
21	MR. HANAGAN: Yeah, but so that that
22	well was definitely uneconomic. The two wells that
23	were associated with it the Rag Mama and the
24	Sodbuster were both high-water producing wells,
25	high-water cut wells. And their production was

Τ	decreasing.
2	So it was a way to utilize the the
3	vents to both inject the water and and maintain the
4	reservoir pressure, which which at the hearing
5	before the State OCD on on the project, we ended up
6	showing that that it did that indeed.
7	MS. TRIPP: And so you've seen that
8	project bear results, and in terms of increased
9	production, better quality for those wells. And in
10	other areas within New Mexico, some companies are
11	using that same approach; is that right?
12	MR. HANAGAN: You know, I I can't
13	speak to whether other companies are. I know other
14	companies are using a similar approach to do inject
15	their gas when when they have a plant go down or
16	something under the new methane rules.
17	You you know, you have to you'd
18	have to shut in within eight hours. So they have gone
19	to the trouble of converting some wells into gas
20	injection wells where they can put that gas straight
21	into the ground as opposed to shut them in.
22	MS. TRIPP: Okay. All right. Thank
23	you. That's all the questions I have. I really
24	appreciate your time today.
25	MR. HANAGAN: All right. Thanks.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
2	Thank you, Ms. Tripp.
3	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
4	questions of Mr. Hanagan?
5	MR. AMPOMAH: No. No, I do not.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioner
7	Bloom?
8	MR. BLOOM: Mr. Hanagan, thank you so
9	much for your time today. I do not have any questions
10	for you. Thanks again.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Chair
12	Chang.
13	MR. CHANG: I don't have questions
14	either. Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. If
16	there's no reason not to excuse Mr. Hanagan.
17	Thank you very much, Mr. Hanagan.
18	MR. HANAGAN: Okey doke. Thank you.
19	MS. TRIPP: And, Madam Hearing Officer,
20	IPANM's next witness will be Mr. George Sharpe. And I
21	believe he may be on the platform.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right, thank
23	you.
24	MR. SHARPE: And indeed, I am here.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Let's
	D = 0.40
	Page 242

1	see.
2	MR. SHARPE: How do you like my little
3	Christmas tree over there?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, we're going
5	to pin you here. Mr. Sharpe, would you spell your
6	first and last name please?
7	MR. SHARPE: G-E-O-R-G-E S-H-A-R-P-E.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
9	WHEREUPON,
10	GEORGE SHARPE,
11	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
12	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
13	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go
15	ahead, Ms. Tripp.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. TRIPP:
18	MS. TRIPP: Thank you, Mr. Sharpe. So
19	you've already spelled your name for the commission.
20	You submitted some direct testimony in this
21	rulemaking. Do you recall that?
22	MR. SHARPE: I do.
23	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And do you adopt
24	that testimony today?
25	MR. SHARPE: There is a change. When I
	Page 243

1	initially made that testimony, I made the comment that
2	the majority of Merrion's wells are marginal wells.
3	And the marginal status I was using was
4	kind of an industry standard IRS standard. Was not
5	using the a thousand BOE in 180 days. We actually
6	only have two wells that are in that category.
7	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And so to the extent
8	that you have there's some testimony you will be
9	correcting it today while you testify in terms of
10	there's two marginal wells, there's
11	MR. SHARPE: Two wells that are that
12	are would require the \$150,000 bonding.
13	MS. TRIPP: Thank you. And so can you
14	tell the introduce yourself to the commission in
15	terms of of your education and experience?
16	MR. SHARPE: I born and raised in
17	Farmington, New Mexico. Went to Colorado School of
18	Mines, had a master's in petroleum engineering, worked
19	for Chevron for close to ten years, and in 1990 came
20	to work for Merrion Oil and Gas in Farmington and have
21	worked for Merrion for the last 35 years.
22	I'm the investment manager for Merrion,
23	and I'm an expert in economic analysis and valuations,
24	primarily.
25	MS. TRIPP: And then can thank you.
	Page 244

1	Can you explain a bit about Merrion Oil and Gas and
2	their history with the state?
3	MR. SHARPE: Sure. J. Greg Merrion
4	started actually moved from Midland to Farmington
5	in 1960 and mortgaged his house and drilled drilled
6	a well on some family owned minerals and luckily it
7	hit.
8	Through the years he teamed up with
9	Robert Bayless. Robert L. Bayless. They were Merrion
10	and Bayless for many, many years. And and
11	eventually grew into Merrion Oil and Gas.
12	Merrion Oil and Gas, Merrion and
13	Bayless, Merrion Oil and Gas grew primarily through
14	the acquisition of marginal wells for major companies.
15	They did some drilling, but they would they would
16	buy marginal wells, create an acreage position, and
17	then maybe try to enhance that position.
18	The reality is is that Merrion Oil
19	and Gas would not be here today if the current rules
20	were in place through our history.
21	They may have been able to drill that
22	first well, but they wouldn't have been able to make
23	any of those acquisitions from the larger companies
24	wouldn't able to have been able to grow.
25	And in fact, we're still a very, very

1	small company within New Mexico. We have when the
2	shale plays came into vogue, you know, our annual
3	budget won't drill more than one or two shale wells.
4	And so we we started buying minerals
5	and other shale basins and started most recently
6	investing in non-operated drilling opportunities,
7	also, in other basins.
8	There are opponents oil and gas
9	opponents who will argue that if Merrion Oil and
10	Gas had never been created or were gone today, the
11	State of New Mexico wouldn't even notice. Wouldn't
12	affect the production, you know, more than a fraction
13	of a percent.
14	And it wouldn't wouldn't matter
15	whatsoever. That is that is true. It would not
16	make a difference necessarily to the state. But I
17	wanted I want to talk about the the kind of a
18	citizen that Merrion Oil and Gas is and is there
19	am I allowed to share my screen?
20	MS. TRIPP: I believe.
21	Ms. Apodaca, does he have that ability?
22	The only caveat, Mr. Sharpe, is that we haven't
23	circulated any exhibits, but if there's no
24	objections
25	MR. SHARPE: I just want when T.
	Page 246

1	Greg so T. Greg passed away, I don't know, 10, 15
2	years ago. T. Greg Merrion, who's my peer, retired in
3	2022.
4	And when he retired, I kind of put a
5	summary of all the impact that Merrion Oil and Gas has
6	had within the city of Farmington over the over the
7	last 20 to 30 years, and it's tens of millions of
8	dollars.
9	T. Greg himself has been involved in,
10	you know, dozens of boards. And so while the well,
11	the State of New Mexico might not not notice if
12	Merrion Oil and Gas went away, I guarantee you the
13	city of Farmington would notice if Merrion Oil and Gas
14	went away.
15	Our 20 employees would notice if
16	Merrion Oil and Gas was not here. And the the
17	dozens and dozens of contractors that we use to work
18	on our wells would notice if Merrion Oil and Gas
19	wasn't here.
20	And so, you know, that that is one of
21	the comments that I've seen from the proponents of the
22	rule, you know, is that it's really, you know, not
23	going to have much in effect on the state.
24	Well, I beg to differ. There are
25	there are lots of people that it's going to affect.

1	And so if I don't need to show those slides
2	necessarily, but I'd like to I wouldn't mind
3	submitting them as evidence.
4	MS. TRIPP: Yes, Mr. Sharpe, I believe,
5	I believe even witnesses are allowed to submit public
6	comment. And so we can accomplish that in that
7	format.
8	In terms of the wells that Merrion Oil
9	and Gas operates currently and I'm sorry if I
10	missed it, and you already said it about how many
11	wells and what kind of depth wells are you operating?
12	MR. SHARPE: So Merrion Oil and Gas
13	operates just over a hundred wells in Wyoming,
14	Colorado, and New Mexico 66 of which are in New
15	Mexico. We were in several hundred non-operated
16	wells, and again, have minerals and and overrides
17	and others.
18	But of the 66 wells that are in New
19	Mexico, they are primarily marginal, by my definition,
20	marginal gas wells. Pictured Cliffs, Fruitland Coal,
21	Mesa Verde, and Dakota Sands.
22	The average our average well in New
23	Mexico makes less than 20 MCF a day. Of our of our
24	60 wells, 65 percent of our wells made less than
25	\$15,000 in net profit in 2024.

1	And if those wells were required to
2	post the \$150,000 bond, which at this point not all of
3	them will be required to do that, but they didn't have
4	to be, I mean, they couldn't afford they couldn't
5	afford to pay a surety. You know, ten ten
6	percent a surety bond's going to cost you ten
7	percent per year. You know, they wouldn't afford it.
8	And again, you can say, "Well, you
9	aren't making much money on those wells anyway; it's
10	not going to make a difference." But those wells have
11	operating expenses of close to a million dollars a
12	year. They have pipeline expenses of \$300,000 a year.
13	They pay royalties of \$150,000 a year.
14	And so even though Merrion's not making
14 15	And so even though Merrion's not making much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs
15	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs
15 16	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells
15 16 17	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are
15 16 17 18	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are important to Merrion, and they're certainly important
15 16 17 18	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are important to Merrion, and they're certainly important to the people that work on those wells.
15 16 17 18 19 20	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are important to Merrion, and they're certainly important to the people that work on those wells. MS. TRIPP: And then in addition to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are important to Merrion, and they're certainly important to the people that work on those wells. MS. TRIPP: And then in addition to operating wells, does Merrion have a history of
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are important to Merrion, and they're certainly important to the people that work on those wells. MS. TRIPP: And then in addition to operating wells, does Merrion have a history of plugging wells or any experience with that?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	much money, there are a lot of people in a lot of jobs and a lot of things that are supported by those wells that are barely getting by. And those wells are important to Merrion, and they're certainly important to the people that work on those wells. MS. TRIPP: And then in addition to operating wells, does Merrion have a history of plugging wells or any experience with that? MR. SHARPE: Merrion has plugged a 144

1	wells every year in various states. And again, we try
2	not to let our wells languish and try to either keep
3	them producing or plug them out.
4	MS. TRIPP: And I'm not sure if you've
5	been attending any of the testimony of the rulemaking.
6	We did see Merrion cited by Deputy Director Powell as
7	an example of a great operator in New Mexico.
8	But he highlighted one well for the
9	commission to look at, which was the Farmington C Com,
10	which reported a really low production last year.
11	Have you had a chance to review that
12	well specifically? Do you know anything about its
13	background?
14	MR. SHARPE: I do. The Farmington C
15	Com well was initially a Dakota well. It was plugged
16	back and completed in the Pictured Cliffs and
17	Fruitland Coal.
18	The Pictured Cliffs and Fruitland Coal
19	zones have been depleted, and it is on our list to go
20	in and pull out or drill out the bridge plug and try
21	to go back to the Dakota, which was making 60 MCF a
22	day at the time it was it was recompleted to the
23	Pictured Cliffs.
24	And so that's better that's better
25	than our average well, you know. So now we definitely

1	have plans to return that well to production and
2	through, you know, it hasn't happened over the last
3	several years.
4	You know, prices look like they're
5	going to be up for a little bit and then, you know,
6	just like this last year they've been below three
7	again. So it's been very difficult in the price
8	environment.
9	We're hopeful that 2026 will have
LO	sustained prices high enough to, to justify a workover
L1	on that well to get it back on.
L2	MS. TRIPP: And when you talk about the
L3	factors that you're considering in terms of how to
L4	work over a well and when to do it, just, certainly,
L5	Merrion's the operator for a well. But does Merrion
L6	own a hundred percent of the interest in every Well it
L 7	operates.
L8	MR. SHARPE: We we own an average of
L9	50 percent of the interest working interest
20	virtual connectivity interruption The Farmington C,
21	I believe we own a larger piece of that. I don't know
22	off the top of my head. We do have some some
23	partners in it, but we own 80 or 90 percent of the
24	Farmington C.
25	MS. TRIPP: And so the decisions about

1	whether and when to work over is that decision subject
2	to any outside agreements or contracts?
3	MR. SHARPE: Not not necessarily
4	MS. TRIPP: Or at 20 oh, go ahead.
5	MR. SHARPE: Well, they're they're
6	all under joint operating agreements where we've got
7	to get approval from from partners to do the work,
8	but if the partners don't approve it, we can take them
9	non-consent and do it ourselves.
10	And so no, there's there's no
11	really, you know, there's it hadn't been the
12	partners that have kept us from from getting the
13	Farmington C back on production. It's just been
14	economics.
15	MS. TRIPP: Okay.
16	MR. SHARPE: Economics and budget and
17	timing.
18	MS. TRIPP: And then in terms of you
19	mentioned how many wells Merrion had plugged over its
20	career. Does the presence or the existence of a
21	plugging bond, does that motivate or affect your
22	decision whether to plug a well?
23	MR. SHARPE: No, at least it hadn't
24	before. I mean, we we have right now, we've got
25	statewide bonds, you know, and so whether we plug a

1	well or don't plug a well or statewide bond, you know,
2	really doesn't change much.
3	It it is my understanding I'm
4	not I'm not an expert on our bonds. I am aware of
5	our bonds.
6	MS. TRIPP: And to the extent, are you
7	familiar with the term "force plugging" or where a
8	company would plug a well that it is not operating?
9	MR. SHARPE: Yeah, well, we are
10	thank you for bringing that up. We're in the middle
11	of plugging a well right now.
12	Actually I think it it has been
13	plugged; we still have the surface rehabilitation to
14	do. But it was one of the M&M wells that was
15	orphaned, and we were in record title it's a
16	federal well and we were the 50 percent record
17	title holder.
18	And so they've come to Merrion and
19	and required us to plug it even though the other 50
20	percent record title holders is like 20 other
21	individuals small individuals. And and many of
22	those aren't paying the bill.
23	So Merrion's paying the full bill to
24	plug the well for M&M. We don't have access to their
25	bonding that they had to cover their wells. And so

1	yes, we have this is the first well that we've been
2	forced to plug. But we're we're very concerned
3	about being forced on other situations to do so.
4	MS. TRIPP: So, you know, we've heard a
5	lot about orphan wells in New Mexico. Would you
6	consider there to be an orphan well problem or a
7	crisis affecting the industry at this moment?
8	MR. SHARPE: No, I don't consider it.
9	I've looked at the I've looked at the list of the
10	1800 wells that are on the the state's orphan well
11	list, and the vast majority of those are legacy wells
12	that occurred, you know, well before the the
13	current regulatory environment that has been, you
14	know.
15	Again to the to the states, you
16	know, the state has really stepped up its compliance
17	on wells that are shut in, either requiring them to be
18	T&A or be produced or whatever. And so they've stayed
19	on top of that.
20	And that is that is so the the
21	problem is I say the problem. You know, I don't
22	think the problem is growing at any kind of a rate
23	that cannot be managed with the current bonding,
24	bonding setup.
25	And that the the industry, you know,
	Page 254

1	the, again, what the opponents of oil and gas, you
2	know, want to make sure that that the state doesn't
3	hold the bag, so to speak, for the cost of, you know,
4	plugging these orphan wells.
5	And that the industry ultimately
6	would would, you know, pay for the wells, and the
7	industry is paying for their wells. The industry is
8	the state.
9	The industry contributes more than \$15
10	billion in 2024 to the State of New Mexico. And so to
11	the extent that the state, you know, has some wells
12	that they're going to have to find money to to
13	plug, it's coming from the oil and gas industry.
14	The second point is that the the oil
15	and gas conservation tax that was put in place
16	specifically to create money
17	MR. TREMAINE: Objection, Madam Hearing
18	Officer. I've been letting Mr. Sharpe go on. But
19	this is well outside the scope of the proffered
20	testimony. I'm trying not to object, but we're
21	getting far afield.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
23	Sorry, Ms. Tripp, I think it is far
24	afield.
25	BY MS. TRIPP:

1	MS. TRIPP: So, Mr. Sharpe, to bring
2	you back to the proposed rule and the surety
3	requirements are you familiar with the increase in
4	financial assurance?
5	MR. SHARPE: I am.
6	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And in looking at
7	how is Merrion currently bonded? What kind of form do
8	you use?
9	MR. SHARPE: I I guess I'd like to
10	address the question as to whether that additional
11	surety is needed or not. And if if they use the
12	oil and gas conservation tax for its intended
13	purposes
14	MR. TREMAINE: Objection.
15	MR. SHARPE: Then use
16	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. Witness is
17	trying to answer the question that I objected to and
18	which was sustained.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
20	Ms. Tripp, you really
21	MR. SHARPE: Okay.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: He needs to stick
23	with his pre-trial testimony.
24	MS. TRIPP: Thank you, ma'am.
25	BY MS. TRIPP:
	Page 256

1	MS. TRIPP: So how is Merrion currently
2	bonded? Is it a cash bond or a surety bond that
3	Merrion uses?
4	MR. SHARPE: So Merrion has \$1.5
5	million in cash bonds in place in Colorado, Wyoming,
6	and New Mexico. We have \$150,000 nationwide bond
7	that's due to be released because the the 1.5
8	million is a recent we've recently been required to
9	do that.
10	We have a small right of way damage
11	bond in New Mexico, and we have blanket New Mexico
12	plugging bond, as well also a cash bond and a cd.
13	MS. TRIPP: And so if this rule is
14	adopted and financial assurance is increased for
15	marginal wells, do you anticipate an effect on
16	Merrion?
17	MR. SHARPE: I do anticipate an effect
18	on Merrion. You know, I, the first effect is that
19	we're going to have a hard time doing what we've done
20	through our life, and that is to, you know, buy wells
21	that are uneconomic to major operators.
22	And and you know, that those
23	those operators are are going to be either the
24	rules are going to prohibit it or because of the
25	threat of the rules, those operators are going to be

1	reticent to to sell to us. So that's going to be a
2	major effect.
3	To the fact that we've got to, you
4	know, post \$150,000 for our first two wells. Again,
5	that's a that's a cashflow impact, and, you know,
6	negatively affects our ability to use that money for,
7	you know, helping to grow our company.
8	MS. TRIPP: And then you've also
9	offered some testimony about plugging costs. And
10	we've already referred to the fact that Merrion has
11	plugged a lot of its wells and that Merrion's wells
12	are generally in the shallower formations. And so
13	what kinds of plugging costs does Merrion see?
14	MR. SHARPE: I just went through we
15	see plugging costs from the mid-thirties to, I mean,
16	we have some wells that we estimate are going to
17	are are going to cost over a hundred thousand
18	dollars to plug.
19	But I just analyzed our average, let
20	me I'm sorry let me find that. So we have,
21	in in New Mexico, we have a 153 zones. So that
22	that's a completed interval. So we have a lot of
23	commingled wells, Gallup, Dakota Wells, or whatever.
24	And we we track each zone on its own.
25	The average plugging costs, we we
	Page 258

1	account for the plugging costs and our internal
2	valuation reserves every year we plan on having to
3	plug those wells. Our average estimated cost is
4	\$52,000 for each of those zones.
5	And again, it ranges from \$30,000
6	ishkadish for shallow Pictured Cliffs, Mesa Verde
7	wells to, you know, 75, \$80,000 for Mesa Verde, Dakota
8	Wells.
9	MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you. Now, let
10	me see. So you presented direct testimony, and then
11	there was an opportunity for other witnesses to
12	provide rebuttal. Have you reviewed any of that
13	rebuttal testimony as it pertains to your own?
14	MR. SHARPE: Remind me. I I did the
15	one, but I can't it's not on the top of my head
16	right now. I think it was just the you talked
	right now. I think it was just the you talked about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed
16 17 18	_
17 18	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed
17	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed it, but I don't it's not coming in off the top of
17 18 19	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed it, but I don't it's not coming in off the top of my head.
17 18 19 20 21	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed it, but I don't it's not coming in off the top of my head. MS. TRIPP: Sure. So Mr. Purvis took
17 18 19 20	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed it, but I don't it's not coming in off the top of my head. MS. TRIPP: Sure. So Mr. Purvis took issue with your testimony that responsible operators
17 18 19 20 21	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed it, but I don't it's not coming in off the top of my head. MS. TRIPP: Sure. So Mr. Purvis took issue with your testimony that responsible operators plug wells and that the proposed rule changes were
17 18 19 20 21 22	about the Farmington C Com well. And I have reviewed it, but I don't it's not coming in off the top of my head. MS. TRIPP: Sure. So Mr. Purvis took issue with your testimony that responsible operators plug wells and that the proposed rule changes were unnecessary.

1	subjective, and anecdotal, and it's not a suitable
2	basis to deal with a multi-billion dollar risk."
3	Now, Mr. Sharpe, we've met several
4	times, and you strike me as somebody who's interested
5	in the data.
6	And so, since you submitted your direct
7	testimony in response to the allegation that it was
8	pure opinion, subjective, and anecdotal, have you made
9	any analysis of the data that's available in this
10	rulemaking?
11	MR. SHARPE: Thank you. I have. I
12	have I've looked at the the plugging list.
13	MS. FOX: Objection, Madam Hearing
14	Officer.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on,
16	Mr. Sharpe.
17	Ms. Fox?
18	MS. FOX: Mr. Sharpe didn't submit
19	rebuttal. And if this is surrebuttal, then the
20	specific rebuttal testimony needs to be identified and
21	then responded to.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Tripp, would
23	you please identify the rebuttal testimony he's
24	responding to?
25	MS. TRIPP: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer,

1	I'll repeat again the citation to Mr. Purvis
2	testimony. That's Exhibit 74 Applicant's Exhibit
3	74, page 7, line 20.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5	MS. TRIPP: Where Mr. Purvis states
6	that Mr. Sharpe's argument is pure opinion subjective
7	and anecdotal and not a suitable basis to deal with a
8	multi-billion dollar risk.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
10	MS. TRIPP: And so I think is that
11	sufficient?
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. If
13	that's what Mr. Sharpe is referring, yes.
14	MS. TRIPP: Yes.
15	BY MS. TRIPP:
16	MS. TRIPP: Go ahead, Mr. Sharpe.
17	You're referring to the master orphan, the spreadsheet
18	provided by OCD.
19	MR. SHARPE: Yeah, the master orphan
20	spreadsheet and whether you know who the bad actors
21	have been and whether most operators are doing what
22	they need to do.
23	Of the of the operators identified
24	on the master list, most of those have been out of
25	business for years. They don't show up in Enverus.

1	They aren't they don't exist. You know, the the
2	most of the wells are legacy wells that again have
3	been on the orphan list for years.
4	You know, haven't been plugged by the
5	state even though they have, you know, they're the
6	ones that have been negligent in in addressing that
7	issue and using the funds that are already available
8	to address that issue.
9	And less than a hundred, as far as I
10	can tell, of the wells actually have have been
11	added to the list in the last few years, indicating
12	that, you know, most operators are not orphaning their
13	wells.
- 4	Most operators are are operating
14	nobe operators are operating
14	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when
15	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when
15 16	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged.
15 16 17	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you,
15 16 17 18	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sharpe. I don't have any further questions.
15 16 17 18	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sharpe. I don't have any further questions. So I turn the witness over.
15 16 17 18 19	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sharpe. I don't have any further questions. So I turn the witness over. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
15 16 17 18 19 20	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sharpe. I don't have any further questions. So I turn the witness over. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you very much.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sharpe. I don't have any further questions. So I turn the witness over. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you very much. Ms. Fox, do you have questions?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their wells prudently and plugging their wells when they need to be plugged. MS. TRIPP: Okay, thank you, Mr. Sharpe. I don't have any further questions. So I turn the witness over. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you very much. Ms. Fox, do you have questions? MS. FOX: Thank you Madam Hearing

1	BY MS. FOX:
2	MS. FOX: Hello, Mr. Sharpe. My name
3	is Tannis Fox, and I'm a lawyer with Western
4	Environmental Law Center, and I represent Applicants
5	in this proceeding.
6	MR. SHARPE: Hello?
7	MS. FOX: And I just have a couple
8	can you hear me?
9	MR. SHARPE: I can.
10	MS. FOX: Oh, great. You began your
11	testimony stating that you had a correction to your
12	testimony based on the fact that you had misunderstood
13	the definition of marginal well in this proceeding;
14	correct?
15	MR. SHARPE: That is correct.
16	MS. FOX: And I'm looking at page 4 of
17	your written direct testimony. And what was the
18	marginal well definition that you were relying upon
19	for your direct testimony?
20	MR. SHARPE: The IRS definition.
21	MS. FOX: And I'm sorry, go ahead.
22	MR. SHARPE: The IRS definition.
23	MS. FOX: And how does the IRS define
24	marginal well?
25	MR. SHARPE: I believe it's less than
	Page 263

15 BOE or I it's off the top of my head, I I
don't know. Like, I don't remember exactly what I
used. And and I don't think I put a number of how
many wells are marginal.
I just said the vast majority are. And
at 20 MCF a day, whatever the IRS definition is, our
average well is definitely less than that marginal
amount status.
MS. FOX: So the federal definition
IRS definition is 15 BOE and the marginal
definition, marginal well definition, for purposes of
this proceeding, is on average 2.7 BOE. And there's a
day operation, a day limitation too, as well.
The marginal well definition being used
in this proceeding is significantly lower than the
marginal well definition you were originally using;
correct?
MR. SHARPE: I understand that.
MS. FOX: Yeah. But I'm correct in
what I just asked you; right? It's significantly
what I just asked you; right? It's significantly lower.
lower.
lower. MR. SHARPE: Significantly.

1	BY MS. FOX:
2	MS. FOX: Because you estimated that
3	Merrion would need to finance an additional \$9.45
4	million in bonding; correct?
5	MR. SHARPE: Had I been accurate, yes.
6	MS. FOX: No, but that is what your
7	incorrect estimate was.
8	MR. SHARPE: That is that is my
9	incorrect estimate.
10	MS. FOX: And what was the basis of
11	your misunderstanding of what the definition of
12	marginal well was for purposes of this proceeding?
13	MR. SHARPE: Just again, my own fault
14	being a lack of digging in and and trying to
15	clarify with the New Mexico Oil and Gas attorneys
16	before, you know, and and not a full understanding
17	of the rule.
18	I'd read summaries of the rule. I had
19	not read I I honestly still haven't read the
20	full rule word for word. I've read analyses and
21	summaries of it.
22	MS. FOX: Sure. Now you said that
23	understanding now what the definition is for marginal
24	well, you said that Merrion has two marginal wells in
25	its portfolio; correct?

1	MR. SHARPE: Yes.
2	MS. FOX: So that would mean an
3	additional \$300,000 in financial assurance that
4	Merrion would need to put up for those two marginal
5	wells; correct?
6	MR. SHARPE: At this point in time,
7	you're right.
8	MS. FOX: And then there's been
9	testimony from industry witnesses in this proceeding
10	that the amount of money that you would actually
11	that a company an operator would actually have to
12	put up for a particular bond is in the range of two to
13	three percent.
14	And so if let's just use three percent.
15	So if you had to put up a premium of three percent for
16	the \$300,000, that would be an additional \$9,000 per
17	year that Merrion would need to put up as a result of
18	if the rules as proposed were adopted by this
19	commission; correct?
20	MR. SHARPE: That is not correct.
21	Surety bonds cost ten percent. Or at least they,
22	that's what was quoted to Merrion. So it would be
23	\$30,000 a year.
24	MS. FOX: Okay.
25	MR. SHARPE: For for the surety
	Page 266

1	bond. But the the biggest deal is it'd be \$15,000
2	per year on top of those wells. That's just going to
3	make it that much harder to justify spending money on
4	those wells and return them into production.
5	MS. FOX: These wells are in
6	production.
7	MR. SHARPE: Return
8	MS. FOX: Marginal wells, they're in
9	production; correct?
10	MR. SHARPE: Well, we produce a little
11	bit out the we still squeak a little bit of gas out
12	of the PC and the Fruitland Coal, but, I mean, it's
13	uneconomic. It needs to be recompleted into the
14	the we need to get the Dakota back on on the
15	on the Farmington C Com, in any case.
16	And the other well the other well
17	that is marginal has a pump unit that and I'm not
18	sure operations told me today it's either a pumping
19	unit or downhole problem, but basically it's it's
20	producing by flowing a little bit of gas up the
21	casing.
22	MS. FOX: On one of your slides, I
23	believe that you said Merrion started in 1981; is that
24	correct?
25	MR. SHARPE: Merrion

1	MS. FOX: Or maybe it was in your
2	testimony.
3	MR. SHARPE: Oil and Gas was formed
4	in 1981 through Merrion and Bayless that had been
5	operating in Merrion Bayless. But basically
6	Merrion Merrion has been in business since 1960.
7	MS. FOX: And you said that if the
8	rules as proposed here would've been an operation when
9	Merrion started, Merrion wouldn't have been able to
10	start; correct?
11	MR. SHARPE: We'd have, I mean, I think
12	J. Greg still would've been able to drill that first
13	well, but the their their method of growth,
14	their their niche, if you will.
15	As is the niche of many small operators
16	has been to take the wells that are no longer economic
17	for majors to operate and to take those over and to
18	cut costs and to and to make those work again
19	with virtual connectivity interruption
20	MS. FOX: Whoops, he cut out.
21	Convenient.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Sharpe,
23	you're frozen.
24	MR. SHARPE: Are you back?
25	BY MS. FOX:

1	MS. FOX: Oh yeah, yes. Yeah, you cut
2	out for a minute.
3	So let me so you're changing your
4	testimony then with respect to your testimony that
5	said that if the rules as proposed were in effect when
6	Merrion came into business, Merrion wouldn't have come
7	into business. You're changing that testimony;
8	correct?
9	MS. TRIPP: Objection. I think that
10	misstates the answer.
11	MR. SHARPE: I mean, that I don't
12	whether J. Greg would've I think he probably still
13	would've come up and drill that well, but would they,
14	you know, would we be here today? I don't think we'd
15	be here today. I guess that was my point. I think
16	I think we'd had to go away long before this.
17	MS. FOX: And when you say you're
18	referring to the rules as proposed, are you saying
19	that the rules as proposed with today's dollars a
20	hundred
21	If rules requiring bonding at \$150,000
22	in the 1960s would've been effect, that would've
23	affected either the business initiation or ongoing
24	operations or? Is that what you're saying? Is that
25	your testimony?

1	MR. SHARPE: Yes, it is.
2	MS. FOX: Is he cutting out again?
3	BY MS. FOX:
4	MR. SHARPE: No, no. That is my
5	testimony.
6	MS. FOX: Okay. Oh, so your hypothesis
7	is that if the rules as proposed in the 1960s or 1981
8	proposed \$150,000 bond, Merrion wouldn't have come
9	into effect or it would've changed its business
10	operations? Is that what you're saying?
11	MR. SHARPE: It's not just the \$150,000
12	bond. It's the limitation on being able to transfer
13	wells or or convey wells or assign wells from large
14	operators to small independents.
15	MS. FOX: Okay. Thank you.
16	And then just one other point, Madam
17	Hearing Officer. Ms. Tripp said that she that they
18	would submit documents from Mr. Sharpe as part of
19	public comment, but I think as a witness, if he is
20	going to present written documentation, he needed to
21	have done that through his testimony.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I think,
23	Ms. Tripp, that there were deadlines even for fact
24	witnesses here.
25	MS. TRIPP: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Madam
	Page 270

1	Hearing Officer, I wasn't not him as a witness.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thank you.
3	Let's see. We
4	MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Sharpe.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, Ms. Fox.
6	Thank you, Mr. Sharpe.
7	There will be other questions I believe
8	from other parties, but we need a ten-minute break
9	before the four o'clock public comment.
10	So, Mr. Sharpe, can you stay on the
11	platform for a little bit longer?
12	MR. SHARPE: I sure can. How long
13	like till 4:30? Or I guess how long do public
14	comments go?
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't believe
16	we're going to have a lot of public comment today. I
17	had only one person actually sign up. There might be
18	someone else, but it won't be very long. The
19	interruption.
20	MR. SHARPE: Okay.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
22	(Off the record.)
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's come back
24	from the break please. It is four o'clock. We
25	reached another public comment session. I believe I
	Page 271
	rage 2/1

1	see someone in the room who might be wanting to offer
2	public comment.
3	Yes, sir. Great. Come on up to the
4	witness stand, if you would. And just a couple of
5	things. I'm going to ask you to spell your first and
6	last name for the transcript, ask you to swear or
7	affirm to tell the truth and then ask you to keep your
8	comments to about three minutes.
9	So if you would spell your first and
10	last name.
11	GLENN WIALE: Glenn, G-L-E-N-N, Wiale,
12	W-I-A-L-E.
13	WHEREUPON,
	GLENN WIALE,
14	
14 15	GLENN WIALE,
14 15 16	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
14 15 16 17	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
14 15 16 17	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
14 15 16 17 18	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll
14 15 16 17	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll start your time.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll start your time. GLENN WIALE: Good afternoon,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll start your time. GLENN WIALE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Oil and gas is a boom and bust
14 15 16 17 18 19	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll start your time. GLENN WIALE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Oil and gas is a boom and bust industry. Bust times are devastating for the industry
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	GLENN WIALE, called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I'll start your time. GLENN WIALE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Oil and gas is a boom and bust industry. Bust times are devastating for the industry and even worse for New Mexicans who rely on the

1	eventually. Either the hydrocarbon reserves will be
2	depleted or new energy sources like renewables or
3	fusion power will make hydrocarbons obsolete. The
4	devastation of that big final bust will drive many oil
5	and gas companies out of business.
6	Operators will walk away from their
7	leases and mass without cleaning up. We already have
8	a case study from the big bust in the uranium
9	industry. Our state is struggling to fund the
10	billions of dollars required to remediate the damage
11	caused by that industry.
12	And that industry was much, much
13	smaller than oil and gas. Today the state's
14	Legislative Finance Committee believes that the
15	state's exposure for environmental cleanup of oil and
16	gas wells is \$1 billion.
17	By the time industry finally pulls out,
18	it could grow to tens of billions. Building oil pads
19	and their access roads as well as extracting and
20	emitting toxic chemicals from the earth for profit is
21	a privilege that we, the people, grant to the oil and
22	gas operators.
23	In exchange for this right, they must
24	clean up their messes. They must restore our land,
25	water, and air to its natural state when they're done.

1	Adults in this world are responsible for cleaning up
2	their messes, period.
3	If the industry policed its own members
4	to do their part, then there would be no need for
5	assurance bonds. But industry has failed to act
6	responsibly. This leaves the state to finish the job.
7	Industry points out that they are taxed
8	to pay for reclamation. The legislature should direct
9	all money in the reclamation fund to be used for oil
10	and gas reclamation.
11	However, the argument that this would
12	provide sufficient funds for plugging wells is a red
13	herring. And the oil and gas industry knows that.
14	They know that reclamation funds also cover the
15	cleanup of environmental damage caused by operators at
16	facilities that have no wells.
17	The taxes which go into the reclamation
18	fund are also insufficient to cover those other
19	environmental messes caused by the industry. The
20	state needs sufficient funding to clean up both well
21	sites and non-well sites. We need sufficient
22	assurance, bonding, and the reclamation fund.
23	I do feel that one point made by
24	industry is fair. I hope your rules will ensure that
25	plugged wells are inspected promptly and bonds are

1	released promptly after the inspection passes.
2	But I implore you to pass responsible
3	energy policy, protect our land, health, and future,
4	and financial stability. Increase the bonding
5	requirements, especially the egregiously small blanket
6	bonding requirement, so New Mexico has the resources
7	to clean up abandoned oil and gas sites. Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
9	Mr. Wiale.
10	I don't see anyone else in the room at
11	this time. Let's turn to the platform. Let's see.
12	We have Natalie Sommer, I believe.
13	Ms. Sommer, can you unmute yourself?
14	MS. SOMMER: Yes, I'm here.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hello. Would you
16	spell your first and last name please?
17	MS. SOMMER: Yes. Natalie, N-A-T-A-L-
18	I-E, Sommer, S-O-M-M-E-R.
19	WHEREUPON,
20	NATALIE SOMMER,
21	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
22	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
23	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
24	Thank you. I'll start your time.
25	MS. SOMMER: Thank you.
	D 000

1	Good afternoon, commissioners. Thank
2	you for the opportunity to speak today and share my
3	perspective.
4	My name is Natalie, and I'm a lifelong
5	resident of New Mexico. I've spent a significant
6	portion of my life recreating in the diverse
7	landscapes of southeastern New Mexico where much of
8	our state's oil and gas production takes place. I
9	know how special this region is.
10	The Permian Basin's desert trails,
11	grassland habitats, and vast network of limestone
12	caves form a place where geology, history, and human
13	experience meet. And it deserves protection equal to
14	its value.
15	That's why I support stronger oil and
16	gas bonding rules to ensure corporations, not
17	taxpayers, bear the cost of cleaning up after
18	drilling.
19	Right now, New Mexico faces an
20	estimated 700 million to \$1.6 billion in potential
21	well cleanup costs because current bonding levels are
22	far too low. Covering only a small fraction of real
23	cleanup expenses.
24	Wells left unplugged make our
25	communities vulnerable to pollution, degrade the lands
	Page 276

1	and waters that define our identity as New Mexicans,
2	and leave our taxpayers responsible for the bill.
3	The Permian Basin isn't just an oil
4	field. It's also home to Carlsbad Caverns National
5	Park, Sitting Bull Falls, and Pecos River Valley, all
6	of which draw hundreds of thousands of visitors each
7	year.
8	When wells leak or landscapes are
9	scarred by neglect, it doesn't just harm wildlife and
10	water quality. It harms local economies, built on
11	clean air, clear skies, and natural beauty.
12	New Mexico has already shown innovative
13	leadership through the taxation and revenue
14	departments award-winning oil and gas compliance tool,
15	which has been recognized nationally for improving
16	data transparency and accountability.
17	Strengthening bonding rules complements
18	this investment. It ensures our commitment to
19	responsibility, extends beyond production tracking to
20	full cleanup, aligning with the state's values of
21	fairness and fiscal prudence.
22	If you drill, you clean it. That seems
23	like common sense and basic fairness. Every
24	responsible business pays the true cost of operating
25	from insurance to safety compliance. Oil and gas

1	shouldn't be different.
2	Bonding for clean up isn't a burden.
3	It's accountability. It's simply part of doing
4	business responsibility responsibly. I urge the
5	Oil Conservation Commission to adopt strong modern
6	bonding rules that protect our public lands, our
7	health and the future of New Mexico's people and
8	economy. Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
10	Ms. Sommer.
11	Is there anyone else on the platform
12	who'd like to offer public comment in this session?
13	Our next public comment session will be nine a.m. on
14	Monday.
15	If you can, raise your virtual hand.
16	If you have dialed in on a phone, you can raise your
17	virtual hand by pressing star five.
18	All right. In that case, thank you.
19	We will return to Mr. Sharpe and his questioning.
20	There you are.
21	MR. SHARPE: I'm here.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
23	much, Mr. Sharpe.
24	Let's see. Where did I I believe
25	it's Mr. Tremaine? Yes?

1	MR. TREMAINE: I believe it's where
2	we're at Madam Hearing Officer. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
4	CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. TREMAINE:
6	MR. TREMAINE: Good afternoon,
7	Mr. Sharpe. My name is Jesse Tremaine. I am the
8	legal director for the Oil Conservation Division. I
9	do have a couple questions for you today.
10	MR. SHARPE: Thank you or sure.
11	MR. TREMAINE: All right, Mr. Sharpe,
12	did you hear Mr. Powell's testimony and rebuttal and
13	surrebuttal testimony the other day?
14	MR. SHARPE: I did not.
15	MR. TREMAINE: You did not. Okay. At
16	the beginning of your testimony, you addressed the
17	confusing or conflicting definitions of marginal
18	wells, which you used in preparing your direct and
19	appropriately adjusted your testimony and your
20	assessment of marginal wells; correct?
21	MR. SHARPE: Yes.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. So by your
23	assessment and your revised testimony today, Merrion
24	has two wells which would fall into the proposed
25	definition of marginal well category; correct?

1	MR. SHARPE: Yes.
2	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. I just want to be
3	clear here because yet, after that revision, when
4	offering your conclusions, you showed a slide and
5	reiterated a \$945,000 annual impact to Merrion;
6	correct?
7	MR. SHARPE: On the for the bonding,
8	no. I did I didn't show the slide. And Ms. Tripp
9	may have had Ms. Tripp may have had
10	MS. TRIPP: I believe we can a amend
11	those demonstratives or strike them. We're not
12	MR. TREMAINE: I'll just ask a
13	clarifying question.
14	BY MR. TREMAINE
15	So, Mr. Sharpe, if with your revised
16	understanding of the marginal well category and
17	determining that you have two wells in marginal well
18	status, would you agree that Merrion, if at the time
19	that that rule went into effect, Merrion would have to
20	provide \$300,000 in financial assurance?
21	MR. SHARPE: That is my understanding.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Okay.
23	MR. SHARPE: Based on the latest
24	conversations.
25	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. But that won't
	Page 280

1	happen until 2028; correct?
2	MR. SHARPE: That is also my
3	understanding.
4	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. I want to ask you
5	just a couple questions because you responded to some
6	surrebuttal comments and testimony about the Farm C
7	Com, and I believe you had indicated that that well
8	had been plugged back to the Fruitland Coal; correct?
9	MR. SHARPE: Yeah, I believe both the
10	Fruitland and the PC are have been re have been
11	completed in it.
12	MR. TREMAINE: Okay.
13	MR. SHARPE: Commingled right now.
14	MR. TREMAINE: And then you indicated
15	that Merrion's plan was to go in and drill out and do
16	a workover on that well; is that correct?
17	MR. SHARPE: That is the plan.
18	MR. TREMAINE: And how much do you
19	expect that drilling out and working over that well to
20	cost?
21	MR. SHARPE: I don't have a I don't
22	have a an analysis of that. If I were to make a
23	guess, I would think we could get it done for less
24	than a hundred thousand.
25	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. So to plan for
	Page 281

1	drilling out the plug and work over, you reasonably
2	have to expect the well to make money after performing
3	that work; correct?
4	MR. SHARPE: Yes.
5	MR. TREMAINE: So is it your testimony
6	today that after drilling out that plug and performing
7	a workover, that you would reasonably expect that well
8	would be unable to produce for more than 180 days per
9	year?
10	MR. SHARPE: No. I think it'll be on
11	production virtually all the time once we once we
12	drill out and get it on. The Dakota, when we
13	abandoned that zone and for the uphold recompletion
14	was making 50 to 60 MCF a day. And that is that is
15	economic.
16	MR. TREMAINE: So based on your
17	workover plans and reasonable projections, once that's
18	completed, that well would no longer fall into the
19	marginal well proposed definition, would it?
20	MR. SHARPE: That's our hope.
21	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you. So you
22	talked about the impacts of financial assurance. And
23	we just clarified the \$300,000 financial assurance
24	impact that would hit Merrion in 2028. Are you
25	testifying today that the premiums on \$300,000 in

1	additional FA in 2028 will be a hardship for Merrion?
2	MR. SHARPE: It'll be a hardship for
3	those wells. And the the owners and those wells.
4	I mean, the the wells have to pay the cost of it.
5	And it's going to be a significant increase in the
6	operating expense of those wells over a thousand
7	dollars a month.
8	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. But so that's a
9	no as to Merrion as a whole?
10	MR. SHARPE: As a whole, we can afford
11	the the \$300,000. Merrion Oil and Gas is not in
12	debt. And and so we have, you know, some
13	horsepower left and and can deal with that that
14	obligation.
15	It was my direct testimony that there
16	are a number of operators who won't be able to do
17	that. That are either carrying debt. And the only
18	way they're going to come up with more money is to
19	borrow it.
20	And that those other many of those
21	operators are going to walk away. And this rule is
22	going to backfire, and it's going to dump
23	MR. TREMAINE: Well, Mr. Sharpe, I'm
24	asking you about my question to you is about
25	Merrion. So I'll let those other operators testify on

1	their own.
2	You talked about the force plugged M&M
3	well. I just want to ask you, do you dispute that if
4	Merrion is "force plugging" another operator's well,
5	that that means that at least one regulatory entity
6	had to pursue an enforcement action related to the
7	well?
8	MR. SHARPE: I would say yes. They
9	the BLM pursued an enforcement action.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Another question
11	on that. I believe you had indicated that Merrion was
12	a 50-percent record title holder in that well. Is it
13	common in record title arrangements that record title
14	holders receive benefits from production and
15	development of wells in which they hold record title?
16	MR. SHARPE: No. Not in a no.
17	Operating rights own the actually own the wells and
18	drilled the wells. We, you know, on that lease, we
19	never did own the PC rights, which is, I believe
20	that's a PC well.
21	Our operating rights are only as to
22	the from the base of the PC to the base of the
23	Dakota. And on that lease. And so but record
24	title is not depth-segregated, at least with the BLM.
25	And so we had no economic benefit in that well.

1	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you for that,
2	Mr. Sharpe. Do you agree that, you know, as an
3	operator, Merrion, that production reporting is an
4	important requirement for operators in New Mexico?
5	MR. SHARPE: Sure.
6	MR. TREMAINE: And a failure to report
7	production is a violation of OCD rules; correct?
8	MR. SHARPE: I would assume.
9	MR. TREMAINE: And a lack of production
10	reporting occurring for over a year means a well is
11	inactive; correct?
12	MR. SHARPE: I would assume.
13	MR. TREMAINE: Would you dispute that
14	according to the, you know, most liberal
15	interpretation of Exhibit 16, there are 599 separate
16	OGRIDS that have at least one registered well
17	associated with them?
18	MR. SHARPE: I can't speak to that.
19	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Would you dispute
20	from OCD Surrebuttal Exhibit Number 3, that there are
21	113 operators who have not reported any production
22	since August of 2024?
23	MR. SHARPE: Again, I I cannot speak
24	to that. I I really didn't listen to the, you
25	know, that testimony or see that see those

1	exhibits.
2	MR. TREMAINE: Okay, thank you. Would
3	you dispute my math that 113 of 599 is a little shy of
4	19 percent?
5	MR. SHARPE: Sounds sounds like good
6	math to me.
7	MR. TREMAINE: All right. You made a
8	statement during your testimony that orphan wells and
9	non-compliance of is concentrated in a small number of
10	bad actors. Is that an accurate statement?
11	MR. SHARPE: That is an accurate
12	statement.
13	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. If 113 operators,
14	or approaching 19 percent of operators have portfolios
15	which have failed to produce or failed to report
16	production in well over a year and are out of
17	compliance is inactive wells, would you maintain that
18	statement that orphan wells are a problem of a few
19	select bad actors?
20	MR. SHARPE: Well, the those wells
21	have not been orphaned yet. They have not been left
22	to the state. You know, those those operators are
23	still, I'm assuming that the operator the operators
24	on the orphan well list that you that OCD provided.
25	I couldn't find most of those operators in Enverus.

1	I mean, and most of them I've never
2	heard of. And you know, they they went away so
3	long ago that they don't even show up in the in the
4	public databases.
5	And so in terms of the, you know,
6	the the orphaned wells, and just because wells, you
7	know, production hadn't been reported, doesn't mean
8	that well has been the orphaned.
9	You know, again, I I maintained that
10	most operators, as your statistics demonstrated, are
11	prudent operators.
12	And even the ones that that may not
13	have reported production, I think many of those still
14	have, you know, they haven't they haven't
15	abandoned, they haven't walked away from, you know,
16	from all their wells.
17	Now, again, in my direct, one of my
18	contention is as soon as you put this bonding
19	requirement on those 130 some
20	MR. TREMAINE: Well, Mr. Sharpe, I'm
21	not asking you about that. I'm asking you about your
22	assertions that the orphan well problem is attributed
23	to a very small percentage of bad actors.
24	So are you testifying to the commission
25	today that the almost 19 percent of operators who have

1	not reported production in over a year, which means
2	their portfolios are 100 percent inactive and have to
3	be plugged pursuant to 19.15.25.8, are not of a
4	concern of becoming orphaned?
5	MR. SHARPE: Well, hit them of \$150,000
6	bond, and they're darn sure going to come become
7	orphaned.
8	MR. TREMAINE: I'll pass the witness.
9	Thank you.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank
11	you, Mr. Tremaine.
12	Mr. Biernoff.
13	MR. BIERNOFF: Thank you, Madam Hearing
14	Officer.
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
17	MR. BIERNOFF: Good afternoon,
18	Mr. Sharpe. Ari Biernoff. I'm a lawyer for the New
19	Mexico State Land Office and the Commissioner of
20	Public Lands.
21	Let's talk a little bit more about the
22	plugging that Merrion undertook that you described in
23	your direct testimony and reviewed a little bit with
24	Mr. Tremaine just now.
25	Do I have this right? Merrion was one
	Page 288

1	of the record title holders of a lease, and there was
2	a well on that acreage operated by M&M. So far so
3	good?
4	MR. SHARPE: Yep. Yes, sir.
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. And why was it
6	that Merrion was called upon to plug that well?
7	MR. SHARPE: Because once the once
8	the operator goes away and M&M went bankrupt, then
9	the the BLM goes to record title to require them
10	to to address that issue. We're still we're
11	still in the food chain in terms of liability.
12	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. M&M went
13	bankrupt. Do you have any idea of how many wells M&M
14	operated at the time that it went bankrupt?
15	MR. SHARPE: I don't, but they are in
16	your their M&M is in the OCD list of orphaned
17	wells.
18	MR. BIERNOFF: Was M&M
19	MR. SHARPE: Actually, I can I can
20	give you a number on that. Hang on a sec.
21	MR. BIERNOFF: Oh, okay. Yeah, if you
22	have it accessible, I I'd be happy to hear it.
23	MR. SHARPE: Well, I all I know is
24	that I say I'm sorry, this is taking just a sec.
25	Why don't you go on with your questions, and I'll try
	Page 289

1	to I'll put that down while I'm doing this.
2	MR. BIERNOFF: That's fine. Thank you,
3	Mr. Sharpe. Did Merrion plug any other wells that
4	were operated by M&M that were on Merrion lease
5	acreage other than the one that you've talked about?
6	MR. SHARPE: That is the only one that
7	we that is the only one that Merrion has been
8	affected by. We have been we we don't we
9	don't own any we did own the deep rights, but I
10	think those have been sold.
11	We own no operating rights in that
12	lease, yet we own the record title. So one of the, I
13	mean, one of our practices when we convey wells from
14	now on is to try to make sure that record title goes
15	with it so we're not left holding the bag.
16	MR. BIERNOFF: Understood. And the
17	state system is similar in that regard to BLM. Has
18	Merrion plugged other wells that were operated by
19	other companies aside from M&M?
20	MR. SHARPE: This is the first I'm
21	aware of.
22	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Would you
23	consider M&M to be a bad operator or a non-compliant
24	operator?
25	MR. SHARPE: They're gone. They must
	Page 290

1	be. They they couldn't have been a very good					
2	operator.					
3	MR. BIERNOFF: Do you know what					
4	happened to the owner of M&M?					
5	MR. SHARPE: I I have no idea.					
6	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay.					
7	MR. SHARPE: We actually tried to buy a					
8	bunch of their production a while back or 20 years ago					
9	and and were not successful in being able to do					
10	that. But I've never really dealt with them directly.					
11	I dealt through an intermediary.					
12	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Would it be					
13	useful for your companyfor Merrion in instances					
14	where you're called upon as the record title holder to					
15	plug a non-compliant operator's wells to have access					
16	to any bonds that that bad operator had on file with					
17	the relevant regulatory agency?					
18	MR. SHARPE: It would absolutely be					
19	helpful to have access to the bonds, but we do not.					
20	And under the current rule, or or at least the					
21	the way the current bonds are being done, we wouldn't					
22	have					
23	Even if they had \$150,000 bond on					
24	there, you don't get access to that money until you					
25	plug the well. And so you've got to plug and fan the					

1	well, which is a two-, three-year process, you know,
2	to get a fan before that bond is released.
3	And so that's the other irony here, is
4	that the operator's got to come up with a money twice,
5	they got to bond it, then they got to plug the well
6	and then wait for their bond to be released. And
7	MR. BIERNOFF: Well, I appreciate the
8	additional detail, but my question was pretty narrow.
9	It was whether it would be beneficial for Merrion to
10	have access in this example to M&M's bonds if there
11	MR. SHARPE: If the rules could
12	could allow that allow access to the bond money to
13	plug the wells while you're plugging the wells, that
14	would be awesome.
15	MR. BIERNOFF: Okay. Thank you very
16	much, Mr. Sharpe.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
18	Mr. Biernoff.
19	Let's see, we don't have Ms. Nanasi.
20	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
21	Mr. Sharpe?
22	MR. MAXWELL: I have no questions.
23	Thank you.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	Mr. Rankin?
	D==== 000
	Page 292

1	MR. RANKIN: No questions.			
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.			
3	MR. RANKIN: Thank you very much.			
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.			
5	EOG is monitoring.			
6	Mr. Suazo?			
7	MR. SUAZO: No questions for this			
8	witness.			
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.			
10	And Ms. Tripp, do you have any			
11	redirect?			
12	MS. TRIPP: Very briefly, Madam Hearing			
13	Officer. Just because there were a couple numbers			
14	thrown around and I want to make sure that the			
15	record's clear.			
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
17	BY MS. TRIPP:			
18	MS. TRIPP: So, Mr. Sharpe, I think			
19	we've established that Merrion has two marginal wells			
20	that qualify as marginal under the proposed rules. Is			
21	that right?			
22	MR. SHARPE: Yes.			
23	MS. TRIPP: Okay. And the part			
24	which I'm showing you showing that most of the wells			
25	we operate make only 10,000 to 20,000 per year in			
	Page 293			
	rage 293			

1	profit. Any changes to that part of your testimony?
2	MR. SHARPE: No, 65 percent of our
3	wells make less than \$15,000 a year.
4	MS. TRIPP: And so to the extent, we
5	also talked about, so that's \$300,000 in financial
6	assurance for those two wells.
7	Merrion is cash-bonded. So even if
8	there was a 10 percent premium, you're not paying
9	\$15,000 a year on those wells. What does Merrion have
10	to do to put a cash bond down?
11	MR. SHARPE: Take money out of one
12	account and put it in one you can't touch. And so
13	we've chose to do that. You know, we're, luckily
14	we're Merrion is lucky enough to have the cash to
15	be able to do that, let me say that.
16	And, but nonetheless, that money is
17	is out of circulation. The cost of that money and
18	there is a cost of not not having access to your
19	money, you know, that is affecting the will affect
20	the economics of those wells.
21	MS. TRIPP: And the amount of that
22	money we're talking about is not 15,000 per well.
23	We're talking about \$150,000 in cash that's set aside.
24	So total effect if this rule was
25	implemented, would be that Merrion would need to post

1	two separate \$150,000 bonds, which would move 300,000
2	of capital that you would otherwise allocate. Is that
3	correct?
4	MR. SHARPE: That's my understanding.
5	MS. TRIPP: Okay. Thank you. And then
6	you were asked a little bit about the percentage of
7	operators and the way you understand the rules, even
8	if there are 20 percent we'll call them "bad
9	actors" in quotes.
10	Does the rule only target that 20
11	percent, or does the rule also affect the 80 percent
12	of responsible operators who plug their wells?
13	MR. SHARPE: It affects everybody.
14	I'll a hundred percent of the operators.
15	MS. TRIPP: Thank you.
16	No further questions, Madam Hearing
17	Officer. And actually
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	MS. TRIPP: we will proffer I
20	forgot to proffer Mr. Hanagan's rebuttal testimony and
21	then Mr. Sharpe's direct testimony.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll pause in the
23	event there are objections. All right, thank you.
24	They're admitted. Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
25	questions?

1	(IPANM Exhibit 3 was marked for			
2	identification and received into			
3	evidence.)			
4	MR. AMPOMAH: No, I do not. Thank you.			
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Commissioner			
6	Bloom?			
7	MR. BLOOM: No questions, Madam Hearing			
8	Officer.			
9	Mr. Sharpe, thank you for your time			
10	today.			
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Chair Chang.			
12	MR. SHARPE: Thank you all for the			
13	opportunity.			
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on one			
15	second.			
16	GLENN WIALE: No questions for me			
17	either, so thank you.			
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay, thank you,			
19	Mr. Chair.			
20	If there's no reason not to excuse			
21	Mr. Sharpe.			
22	Thank you very much, Mr. Sharpe.			
23	MR. SHARPE: My wife says there are			
24	several reasons not to excuse me, but thank you. I			
25	will take my			
	Page 296			

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All righty, so
2	we're going to recess here for the weekend, and see
3	you back
4	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer,
5	just one thing. I'm not able to keep up with the
6	e-mails. Mr. Gilstrap may be unavailable first thing
7	Monday morning.
8	And if that's the case, we'll switch
9	him and Mr. Winchester in order, but I'll let
10	everybody know over the weekend when I get it sorted
11	out.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very
13	much for that, Mr. Cloutier.
14	So thank you all. Have a great
15	weekend. Happy Halloween, and we'll see you Monday at
16	nine a.m.
17	(Whereupon, at 6:31 p.m., the
18	proceeding was concluded.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 297

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, GERALD ARAGON, the officer before whom 3 the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing 4 5 proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; 6 that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified 8 transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of 9 said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am 10 11 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any 12 of the parties to the action in which this was taken; 13 and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties 14 15 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the 16 outcome of this action. 17 November 14, 2025 GERALD ARAGON 18 Notary Public in and for the 19 20 State of New Mexico 2.1 22 23 2.4 25

1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, PAMELA AHRENS, do hereby certify that 3 this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said 4 5 transcript is a true and accurate record of the 6 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in 8 9 which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a 10 relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or 12 otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. Haml of alnum 13 November 14, 2025 14 PAMELA AHRENS 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 2.4 2.5

[& - 19.15.8]

&	1148 2:22 5:6	202:13 205:23	163,000 45:1,3
& 2:4,12 7:7,8	115 97:15	209:6 247:1	108:12
0	158:2 193:25	255:9 264:1,10	165 9:3
	115s 158:5	15,000 248:25	168,000 163:2
0.003 98:11	11th 122:4	267:1 294:3,9	16th 120:11
0.045 105:13	12 30:11 32:3	294:22	121:3 122:6
0224 119:7	57:19 69:9	150 108:8	125:6
1	137:18	201:22 202:5	1700 98:9
1 11:5 90:22	12.5 163:3	202:14,20	179 9:19
117:16 141:14	1220 1:17 3:6	205:17	17th 116:19
194:4 225:22	3:15	150,000 45:2	18 71:12
225:24 227:3	12th 127:12	63:12,22,24	180 69:8 102:2
227:12 273:16	13 66:8 73:15	86:7 107:17	146:11 147:21
1,000 230:4	98:16 228:15	108:2,9 109:18	180:11 232:13
1.5 257:4,7	130 39:20 40:3	164:1,4 190:8	232:19 244:5
1.6 276:20	287:19	195:11 197:17	282:8
10 1:11 5:15	1300 182:19	199:16 205:23	1800 254:10
6:6 12:5 59:13	183:2,15	219:4 220:7	19 72:14 109:6
63:8 101:13	130th 40:2	221:3 244:12	286:4,14
112:12 128:25	131 40:18,19	249:2,13 257:6	287:25
180:3 191:21	41:2	258:4 269:21	19.15 111:15
214:25 215:4	133 166:17	270:8,11 288:5	19.15.2 1:6
247:1 294:8	13th 89:12	291:23 294:23	19.15.2.7 73:15
10,000 293:25	14 298:17	295:1	19.15.2.8 31:21
100 65:5 138:5	299:13	153 258:21	19.15.25 1:7
288:2	144 249:23	157 9:14,15	19.15.25.8
1000 69:9	145 83:12 84:1	159 9:16	133:13,24
1064 6:14	141:19,22	15th 115:4,16	288:3
10:55 90:21	149:13	16 97:15	19.15.5 1:6
10th 125:24	146 9:13	170:11 175:14	19.15.5.9 83:10
11 213:12	15 69:1 70:6	187:20 188:8	112:2 113:11
112 136:14,18	89:25 128:25	189:24 193:19	19.15.5.9.
113 285:21	173:9 191:9	193:20 285:15	111:22
286:3,13	193:3 199:10	162 9:17	19.15.8 1:7
,	199:16 202:7		

[19.15.8.9 - 279]

19.15.8.9 61:7	197:15,18,21	2024 37:8,11	234 125:14,24
19.15.9 1:7	198:8,23	108:10 115:5	237 127:15
83:10	201:16 235:11	118:25 121:23	24 30:11 77:13
19.15.9.9 77:14	247:7,15	248:25 255:10	108:25 109:6
19.15.99 141:25	248:23 252:4	285:22	115:5 138:1
192/192 11:11	253:20 259:25	2025 1:12	24/7 79:25
193 9:20	261:3 264:6	118:25 230:9	240 10:8 80:23
1950 57:11	291:8 295:8,10	298:17 299:13	82:5 124:20
1960 245:5	20,000 293:25	2026 251:9	243 10:10
268:6	200 182:9	2028 164:2	24683 1:9 11:3
1960s 269:22	208:1	281:1 282:24	12:3
270:7	200,000 37:5,19	283:1	24th 117:17
197 9:21	2000 214:7	2030 162:25	119:16 120:12
1981 267:23	228:25	2033 163:1	120:14
268:4 270:7	2008 134:1	207 9:23	25 77:5,23
1983 212:19	180:23 213:20	208 4:10	87:25 93:25
1985 180:20	214:7 217:14	21 225:24	112:7,8,9
1990 244:19	225:8	212 10:4	113:3,12 114:4
2	201 9:22	21st 117:7	141:21,23
2 4:17 11:11	2011 228:4,5,9	118:3	149:10,12,18
133:25 134:1	2012 228:4,7,9	22 75:11	150:8 154:14
182:25 191:8	2017 83:8	234:24 235:6	154:24 155:7
192:14	138:6 149:15	2200 105:11	158:14 190:7
2,000 230:4	2019 149:14,15	2208 2:5	234:19
2,999 228:7	181:1,23	222 10:5	250 63:12
2.5 162:19,20	2020 182:6	120:24 121:6	250,000 49:15
162:24 209:6	2021 208:16	223 120:25	63:22
2.7 101:21	230:4,9,24	225 10:6	252 228:8
264:12	231:1	229 122:3	26 190:15,15,17
2.9 98:10	2022 217:14	23 235:6	196:7 201:19
20 73:14	230:2 232:9,12	230 121:11	202:22
118:25 137:9	232:19 247:3	231 121:12	263 10:11
137:17 162:19	2023 81:4	231-9312 3:9	27 9:7 84:23
	122:21	233 10:7	279 10:12
179:17 180:13			
184:8 190:7			

	1	1	1
28 85:11 100:5	280:20 282:23	409 4:17	55 26:11
118:25	282:25 283:11	4100 172:19	575 4:13 5:18
280 91:22	294:5 295:1	44 26:10	6:9,17
288 10:13	3000 229:13	441-3560 6:17	599 285:15
28th 119:3	31 1:12 89:12	45 46:20 90:13	286:3
293 10:14	32 137:8,14	90:14 141:15	5th 121:7
295/295 11:12	173:16	181:24	6
297 228:6	33 157:21	46 111:18	6 44:20 60:19
229:13	173:16	476-4738 2:25	96:16 97:4
2:25 210:20	34799 299:14	479-1137 3:18	107:8 109:13
2:35 210:25	34945 298:17	48 111:18	118:15,23
3	35 28:4 29:5	185:23	208:17 209:9
3 11:12 31:21	208:22 244:21	49 185:23	6,000 181:10
52:20 162:23	36 40:9	4:30 271:13	182:10 208:1
191:8 205:5	365 180:4	4th 121:1	60 145:4
285:20 296:1	37 9:8 40:10	5	248:24 250:21
3.5 201:18	39 9:9 137:5,11	5 59:24 94:21	282:14
3.7 105:13	137:13	95:5,11 108:24	602 4:10
106:3	3d 218:10,11	116:1,2,5,6,12	613-8050 4:13
30 40:8 41:25	4	264:24	622-6510 5:18
57:21,22 58:12	4 55:15 109:4	50 65:17 180:7	6:9
58:14,15 71:21	112:6 115:16	184:9 185:23	629-0732 4:20
136:9 137:11	115:23,24,25	191:6 251:19	65 248:24
140:6,20 141:1	116:1,19	253:16,19	294:2
141:7 145:4	225:24 226:17	282:14 284:12	65,000 45:15
162:20 193:2	226:20 263:16	500 2:13	66 20:8 248:14
201:24 202:9	264:24	500,000 219:8	248:18
202:23 203:7	4,000 198:1	505 2:8,16,25	6:31 297:17
247:7	40 98:3 105:9	3:9,18 4:20 5:9	7
30,000 198:3	174:19 182:7	51 9:11	_
259:5 266:23	192:2 215:7	52,000 259:4	7 61:4 259:24
30-19 225:23	220:16,20	53 136:25	261:3
300 187:4,11	222:21 223:1,9	54 98:25 99:4	70 203:13
300,000 249:12	223:21	100:10 103:15	233:22
266:3,16		103:25 105:25	
	I	100.20	

[70-2-14 - achieved]

70-2-14 130:23	•	86:20 95:14	aggented
700 276:20	9	107:23 112:9	accepted 103:19
	9 28:3 29:5,6		
72-14 44:20	62:10 77:15	170:14 231:18	access 174:15
74 259:24	9,000 266:16	abhors 165:25	253:24 273:19
261:2,3	9.45 265:3	abiernoff 5:8	291:15,19,24
75 259:7	90 32:5 57:19	ability 32:10	292:10,12
76 215:10	57:24 251:23	42:20 220:11	294:18
233:24	90/90 11:10	246:21 258:6	accessible
7626651 1:20	91 9:12	298:10 299:7	289:22
8	945,000 280:5	able 28:14	accident
8 73:15 94:9,21	95 167:24	53:22 65:19	233:19
95:5 107:8	169:16	95:2 106:23	accomplish
170:12	95-5 167:16	117:12 120:4	248:6
80 136:22	954-7294 2:8	121:1 128:25	accomplishing
215:16 228:7	97 214:24	129:1 141:10	240:18
251:23 295:11	983-8545 2:16	144:19 149:3	account 58:2
80,000 259:7	9:01 1:13	153:12 160:3,5	58:19 69:22
81 98:25 99:5		170:3 191:23	259:1 294:12
100:11 103:16	a	208:20 223:4	accountability
103:25 105:25	a.m. 1:13 27:6	223:11,23	23:6 277:16
827-5760 5:9	278:13 297:16	226:9 245:21	278:3
87501 2:23	a4 112:3	245:22,24,24	accurate 105:3
	abandon 75:8	268:9,12	225:25 265:5
4:18 5:7 97504 2:6	abandoned	270:12 283:16	286:10,11
87504 2:6	19:20 21:23	291:9 294:15	298:9 299:5
87505 1:18	22:8 63:17	297:5	accurately
2:14 3:7,16	70:18 71:12,14	above 49:14	175:14
87571 4:11	157:6 204:12	188:14,17	accuse 166:5
88202 5:16 6:7	275:7 282:13	absolutely 60:2	accused 174:5
88241 6:15	287:15	65:2 144:2	175:17
89 115:2	abandoning	192:1 220:14	achieve 219:14
8th 38:13	168:22	291:18	219:15,17
121:12	abandonment	acceptable	achieved
	32:4,12,16,23	152:11	143:15
	72:15 73:15,17	1,52,11	173.13
	74:18,19 86:18		
	, 1,10,17 00,10	1	1

[achievement - adopt]

achievement	active 53:5 63:9	ad 227:13	addresses
208:6	63:10,22 99:12	230:12	177:8
acknowledge	99:22 139:3,6	adage 25:18	addressing
104:2	230:7	adam 2:3	262:6
acknowledged	actively 184:18	adapt 88:19	adds 73:3
132:16	activities 209:4	202:23	adequate 22:5
acknowledges	actor 168:20	add 55:17	adjudicatory
166:1,2	actors 168:20	68:15 69:14	86:23
acoi 77:17 88:6	170:4 261:20	78:17 81:22	adjust 68:4,19
138:1 139:1	286:10,19	86:13 87:21	162:8 223:4
acquire 186:20	287:23 295:9	119:14,24	adjusted 223:9
205:17	acts 130:2	added 89:1	279:19
acquired 86:14	actual 43:5	262:11	adjustments
183:19	64:12 86:8	adding 125:20	88:20
acquisition	185:15	185:15 186:2	administer
181:2,23 182:9	actually 35:22	addition 92:7	151:25
187:3 245:14	36:10 41:9	147:9 215:6	administering
acquisitions	46:2 77:11	249:20	123:22
61:7,14 182:2	84:5 89:13	additional	administrate
186:18 187:1	104:9 106:7	38:21 63:23	68:16
203:9 245:23	109:18 125:18	78:17 105:4	administrative
acreage 181:25	125:25 126:13	203:14 256:10	31:20 62:15,21
245:16 289:2	126:16,18,19	265:3 266:3,16	70:4 73:3
290:5	127:2,9 154:15	283:1 292:8	194:5
act 42:16,19	154:18 155:8	address 53:12	administrator
43:10 61:14	163:5 180:18	64:15 65:18	7:21 12:18
85:23 175:20	187:2 191:6	67:22 142:5	admire 173:22
274:5	196:19 230:3	166:4 171:25	admit 89:16
action 26:20	244:5 245:4	173:6 177:5	171:14 192:7
284:6,9 298:12	253:12 262:10	256:10 262:8	admitted 90:18
298:16 299:8	266:10,11	289:10	192:12 295:24
299:12	271:17 284:17	addressed 75:8	adopt 45:3 57:4
actions 26:2	289:19 291:7	144:23 167:16	60:15,23 72:9
161:3	295:17	279:16	78:20 212:12
			212:13 243:23

[adopt - alliance]

278:5	affected 216:20	agency 41:25	agreements
adopted 62:12	269:23 290:8	86:2 291:17	137:1 252:2,6
63:6 90:11	affecting	agendas 121:14	agrees 174:16
91:19 234:7	218:20 254:7	agent 151:22	ah 40:17
257:14 266:18	294:19	aggregated	ahead 18:25
adopting 69:25	affects 42:11	183:13,15	21:9 27:22
74:12 77:8	103:15 105:25	205:5	114:25 168:18
85:2 86:7	106:3 258:6	ago 22:13	179:6 187:24
98:22 219:12	295:13	65:13 121:24	198:18 212:1
adults 14:12	affiliated	128:19 176:1	224:1 238:18
274:1	149:17 150:7	228:15 234:16	243:15 252:4
advanced	151:14 155:10	234:25 235:7	261:16 263:21
208:17	affiliation 77:5	247:2 287:3	ahrens 299:2
adverse 21:15	affiliations	291:8	299:15
advice 44:16	87:25	agrankin 2:7	ain't 15:23
132:7 160:7	affirm 12:13	agree 33:1	16:22 18:6
advise 160:22	272:7	45:24 54:25	air 20:10 21:20
advised 118:10	afflamation	69:19 107:6	273:25 277:11
119:15 123:20	75:22	128:6 133:11	albert 6:20
124:8 236:23	afford 249:4,5	134:6,13 135:8	albuquerque
advising 79:20	249:7 283:10	136:2 137:3,20	24:15
advocate 7:11	afield 255:21	138:4,7,15	alexander 79:5
21:13	255:24	155:25 171:11	alexander's
advocates	afternoon 27:3	193:23 228:1	122:18
219:24	27:5 140:11	231:5,12	align 85:9
advocating	146:8 157:3	238:16 280:18	aligned 74:4
167:13	162:3 165:8	285:2	aligning 76:15
affairs 51:15	178:13 193:13	agreed 126:22	277:20
238:8	197:3 207:20	138:5 155:1	alive 139:17
affect 59:6	222:17 225:2	161:11 176:4	allegation
174:9 209:4	233:9 272:20	agreeing	260:7
220:7 221:4	276:1 279:6	127:21 134:24	alleviate
246:12 247:25	288:17	agreement	202:10,24
252:21 294:19	agencies 16:4	30:23 139:17	alliance 4:6
295:11		236:13	

[allocate - apples]

allocate 295:2	amount 81:7,7	analysis 44:16	anticipate
allow 48:15	123:11 164:4	132:25 221:12	257:15,17
58:1 67:17	189:18 197:8	244:23 260:9	anybody 26:20
143:16 145:7	199:10 205:19	281:22	34:24 156:2
154:1 227:9	205:24 264:8	analyze 161:6	218:12
292:12,12	266:10 294:21	analyzed	anybody's
allowed 22:16	amounts 44:23	258:19	48:14
35:18 246:19	64:4 100:7	andrea 9:10	anyway 41:1
248:5	162:15 163:6	50:10,16 51:14	48:12 89:13
allowing 17:23	ample 160:13	andres 213:24	249:9
19:10 88:12	229:20	215:19	anyways 172:5
allows 25:11	ampomah 8:3	andrew 6:4	apart 196:7
57:20 64:3	9:16,22 39:3,5	anecdotal	apds 138:23
77:15 107:22	129:6,7 144:23	174:14 260:1,8	apodaca 7:21
112:10	159:5,7,10,11	261:7	12:19 178:3,7
ally 115:17	159:23,25	animals 14:25	178:10 211:6
alongside 88:18	160:20 161:13	ann 5:13	246:21
aloud 59:23	168:12 201:9	announced	apologies 40:22
alternate 121:7	201:11,12	120:10 123:10	apologize 27:18
126:1	202:4,20 205:1	annual 162:9	46:23 201:3,4
alternative	205:15 206:2	171:17 172:9	202:17 219:19
72:9	206:12 207:9	246:2 280:5	apparent 171:5
alternatives	242:3,5 295:24	answer 50:4	apparently
52:8 65:23	296:4	62:25 104:17	217:1
88:19	amy 6:22	107:1 132:8,12	appear 98:17
ambiguity	analogies	141:11 142:2	appearance
68:13	169:11	149:6 151:2,5	81:10
amend 67:16	analogous	155:19 160:6	appeared 238:3
87:23 89:3	140:17	168:23 202:13	appears 32:6
280:10	analogy 169:11	205:22 219:22	264:24
amendments	169:13,14,19	220:12 228:16	appendices
1:6 38:2,5 52:5	169:20	228:22 256:17	11:8
83:10 85:2,22	analyses	269:10	appendix 11:6
87:17 94:18	265:20	answers 132:23	apples 143:2
116:14 131:23			

[applicable - arthur]

applicable	108:3,8 111:13	approach 66:4	april 127:17
98:17	120:23 122:12	70:1 74:15	aragon 1:19
applicant 72:18	122:12 126:12	78:23 131:25	298:2,18
75:13 93:1	126:20 127:21	163:9 241:11	arbitrary 70:5
101:25 102:15	128:12,13	241:14	75:19 86:22
103:9 110:13	130:15 158:19	approaching	203:22
130:23 159:18	167:18 172:10	286:14	area 64:16
170:12	172:18 177:10	appropriate	186:19,20
applicant's	222:16 263:4	106:21 236:22	areas 25:15
45:1 52:17,21	application	appropriately	241:10
53:3 56:10	32:8 53:4	279:19	argue 19:25
63:16 68:4	61:24 103:12	approval 32:22	246:9
69:2 75:16	136:3	144:13 252:7	arguing 30:9
77:20 82:13	applied 87:13	approvals	45:23 123:25
83:22,24 93:5	220:7	61:25 71:24	124:1
94:23 98:2,19	apply 19:7,13	72:2 146:14	argument
99:7,17 101:18	26:18 60:24	147:19	35:18 36:9
102:8 103:12	66:5 136:6	approve 131:18	41:14 49:23
103:14 105:9	148:16 231:17	252:8	168:7 259:25
105:24 106:2	appreciate	approved	261:6 274:11
115:2 118:14	28:13 31:17	32:11,22 58:17	arguments
118:23 127:3	44:15 46:15	72:15 73:14	35:11 36:9
166:24 167:10	50:3 116:22	75:8 83:19	145:2
171:14 261:2	130:9 156:19	128:9 189:14	ari 5:4 233:10
applicants 7:14	159:23 160:9	231:18	288:18
36:20 38:1,19	160:11 225:5	approximately	arranged
53:12,15 54:2	241:24 292:7	91:21 98:9	117:11
54:12,15,18	appreciated	105:11 136:14	arrangements
57:18 63:9	117:21 145:24	136:17 137:5	284:13
67:25 70:14,17	210:4	190:9 192:3	arscott 173:5,6
76:24 79:9,18	appreciates	199:15 227:24	175:9
79:21 81:3	165:9,10	235:6	artesia 181:19
82:21 91:13	appreciative	approximating	182:5
94:3,13,19	80:15	187:11	arthur 6:24
105:10 107:10			59:16 62:13

[arthur - attorneys]

78:4,9 98:21	153:2,21	285:17	280:20 282:22
99:9,16 100:2	154:23 155:7	associates	282:23 294:6
100:4 102:6,12	202:6,23 220:5	113:25	assurances
102:18 103:14	222:20 223:22	association	63:10 65:16
103:18 104:9	233:14 238:21	2:10 5:11 6:2	66:9 70:5
104:19 105:23	283:24 287:21	7:8 51:15	172:2,4 173:12
107:4,12	287:21	116:21 117:13	174:9
110:13	asks 116:23	124:23 151:9	assure 216:14
arthur's 99:20	173:10	165:14,20	astra 227:13
100:25 104:5	aspect 198:4	174:15	230:13
106:6	aspects 181:15	association's	ata 72:18
articulate	assertion 39:19	151:10 167:7	atripp 5:17 6:8
143:11 174:2	195:9	assuage 141:6	attached 38:7
articulating	assertions	assume 32:14	238:1
43:20	287:22	132:23 139:2	attacked
asia 24:19	assessing	151:1 285:8,12	123:16
aside 290:19	131:12	assuming	attempt 56:10
294:23	assessment	148:10 190:16	135:10
asked 79:22	145:13 279:20	286:23	attempted
82:8 100:1	279:23	assumption	97:23 167:6
103:11 118:9	asset 63:3	47:22	190:19
118:11 121:7	assets 63:4	assurance 12:5	attend 81:19
132:8 173:3	86:14 186:20	37:18 44:23	117:12
199:13 201:14	204:7	48:10 49:2,19	attended 118:2
209:1 240:12	assign 270:13	61:3,8 62:2	attending
264:20 295:6	associate 7:17	64:4 69:13,24	250:5
asking 17:6	associated	71:9 88:21	attention 18:2
37:4 38:8	109:8 135:5	98:16,20 99:13	228:4
81:18 100:18	181:13,25	99:22,24 100:6	attorney 7:13
104:1 140:15	184:17,25	107:22 108:10	8:2 41:22,23
142:10 143:11	185:9,21 187:4	121:3 173:9	115:17 222:15
143:21,22	187:8,12,16	174:24 195:10	233:10,14
144:22 145:10	191:11 197:24	236:1 256:4	298:14 299:10
146:10 151:8	204:9,13	257:14 266:3	attorneys 42:3
152:4,5,10	205:12 240:23	274:5,22	153:19 160:18

[attorneys - based]

265.15	overege 45.10	70.25 90.2 17	bail 19:22
265:15	average 45:19	79:25 80:2,17	
attributed	45:22,24,25	81:22 90:21	balance 176:15
287:22	46:2,7 108:10	100:2 105:8	balanced 78:23
audio 298:8	108:25 109:18	118:16 119:16	88:19
299:3	162:23 248:22	122:10 133:22	bankrupt
audit 6:13	248:22 250:25	140:5 187:15	289:8,13,14
august 38:13	251:18 258:19	205:22 206:20	bankruptcy
118:25 119:3	258:25 259:3	210:24 217:7	149:19
119:15,18	264:7,12	217:13 233:6	bar 42:8,10
120:11 285:22	averages	237:5 250:16	43:21,21,24
authority 60:15	107:16	250:21 251:11	barely 129:19
61:13 64:3	avoid 66:6 74:2	252:13 256:2	249:17
66:6 67:6 71:8	75:4 112:22	267:14 268:24	barker 25:15
75:25 84:12	114:19 146:22	271:23 281:8	barrels 69:9
85:20,22	award 277:14	291:8 297:3	101:5,20 180:3
107:19,21	aware 38:15	backed 213:6	180:11 181:11
123:22 131:21	66:10,19 69:11	214:8 225:7	182:9 208:1,1
131:22 138:23	132:3 140:19	backfire	228:6,8 229:13
authorized	140:22,23	283:22	229:14
142:20 147:19	141:2 154:7	background	base 77:6
151:22	155:1 157:5	51:21 179:12	284:22,22
auto 85:24	193:19 199:3	212:17 250:13	based 59:5 62:5
automatic	236:5 253:4	backyards	66:4 67:4 68:4
88:23	290:21	21:25	68:19 70:1
automatically	awesome 39:13	bad 124:25	74:24 75:22
87:14	292:14	154:17 155:11	76:3 78:7
availability	b	155:12,15	85:14 86:4,5
206:11,25	b 11:1,8 31:21	166:6 168:19	88:21,22
available 32:24	77:4 133:25	168:20 170:3	108:10 109:22
89:23 104:8	134:1 208:18	172:3 261:20	110:16 126:9
138:10 210:16	back 15:24	286:10,19	126:24 132:22
232:2 260:9	16:17 22:21	287:23 290:23	134:6 135:14
262:7	27:7 28:17	291:16 295:8	143:19,25
avenue 2:13		bag 19:24	144:14 145:15
4:17	37:10 62:24 65:7 74:5	255:3 290:15	149:10 159:19

[based - benefit]

			1
161:10 201:20	bear 191:12	belaboring	248:4,5 251:21
202:21 205:16	241:8 276:17	144:22	263:25 267:23
209:1 218:6	bearing 233:6	belief 42:17	271:7,15,25
219:10 220:5	beasley 115:17	believe 28:24	275:12 278:24
221:23 263:12	115:18 116:12	33:11 38:20	279:1 280:10
280:23 282:16	beating 44:11	39:19 40:3	281:7,9 284:11
bashing 124:24	beatty 2:12 7:7	53:20 58:14	284:19
basic 277:23	beautiful 17:13	60:14 71:3	believes 63:5
basically	beauty 277:11	78:5 85:5	175:24 273:14
152:13 155:11	becoming	89:25 91:20	beneficial
167:6 188:25	288:4	101:16 103:18	55:16,16,18,18
267:19 268:5	beg 247:24	112:13 124:11	55:22 57:8,14
basin 15:18	began 263:10	124:13 125:9	57:15,18 60:21
116:21 117:13	beginning 26:3	125:12 126:8	61:2 73:22
277:3	99:10 121:2	126:16,22	74:4 87:1,3,5
basin's 276:10	214:4 279:16	129:21,24	88:24 89:1,3
basing 68:12	begins 57:22	130:25 131:8	93:25 94:24
104:19	119:2,7	131:10,15,24	96:13,19 97:12
basins 24:18	begs 84:8	132:20 133:21	97:25 98:5
246:5,7	behalf 2:2,10	136:8,11 137:7	105:12 132:11
basis 29:21,21	2:18 3:2,11 4:2	137:10 143:16	133:6,12 134:4
58:3,4 62:22	5:2,11 6:2,11	144:22 145:17	134:7,7,13,19
83:13 98:12	7:8,14 24:12	145:19 149:14	134:23 135:1,2
105:20 147:6	127:21 154:17	152:1 156:10	141:8 163:6,12
152:2,2 177:16	156:19	159:21 162:14	171:13,13
185:14,23	behavior	163:20 164:18	189:1 196:5
260:2 261:7	155:15	177:7,16,17,24	203:20 204:13
265:10	behavior's	186:5 187:16	221:4 224:14
bat 168:3	16:22	200:9 204:1	230:21 292:9
bates 119:6,7	behaviors	212:13 215:9	beneficiaries
120:24	175:1	216:23 221:23	208:9 209:12
bayless 245:9,9	behest 155:3	224:5 225:6	beneficiary
245:10,13	belabor 100:3	232:20 233:23	207:6
268:4,5	132:5 142:5	236:20 239:9	benefit 154:15
	145:22 170:25	242:21 246:20	155:8,16,22

[benefit - bond]

	I	1	I
156:1 284:25	155:6,21 156:3	253:23 277:2	blm 218:25
benefits 208:8	156:5,9 158:13	billion 20:7	219:7 284:9,24
284:14	196:18,21,22	208:18,22	289:9 290:17
benzene 21:24	196:24 197:2,3	209:6,9 255:10	bloom 7:5 9:17
best 17:8 54:6	197:12,19	260:2 261:8	9:23 39:9,10
82:11 159:21	198:6,13,19,20	273:16 276:20	161:21,23
298:10 299:6	199:2,7,18,24	billionaire 18:7	162:2,3,6,13
bet 15:4	200:5,8 219:18	billions 273:10	163:14,18,22
better 14:24,24	233:2,4,8,9,10	273:18	164:8,14,20
14:25 15:9	233:18,25	bills 176:21	207:13,15,18
17:17 18:11,11	234:5,13,15,20	birthplace 22:1	207:19 208:5
35:20 51:6	235:5,10,18	bit 44:20 45:18	210:3 242:7,8
53:22 54:10	236:7,10,14,20	102:11 112:1	296:6,7
56:3,6 129:12	236:25 237:1,7	113:4,6 114:1	blue 47:18 48:9
154:18 158:17	237:17 238:5	139:5 188:15	48:22
220:11 241:9	238:18,19,20	188:17 197:4	board 80:2
250:24,24	239:1,4,10,13	198:3 202:17	82:23 128:9
beyond 66:6	288:12,13,16	213:18 215:12	boards 247:10
85:19 89:3	288:17,18	217:24 218:15	body 153:22
107:18 277:19	289:5,12,18,21	234:16 245:1	boe 101:14,21
bgh 225:21	290:2,16,22	251:5 267:11	189:3,9 196:2
227:3,12	291:3,6,12	267:11,20	196:10 230:3,5
bid 55:5	292:7,15,18	271:11 288:21	244:5 264:1,10
biernoff 5:4	biernoff's	288:23 295:6	264:12
9:13,21 10:7	157:4	blah 99:14,14	bolt 186:18
10:13 146:2,4	big 15:6 64:20	99:14	bombs 25:4
146:7,8,17,20	191:18 203:16	blame 171:25	bond 15:6
146:25 147:8	213:9 219:25	blanket 37:5,18	24:22 26:17
147:13,17	234:12 272:25	48:6 49:15	37:5 63:12,22
148:2,13,21	273:4,8	63:12,22 65:25	63:22,24 66:3
149:7,16 150:2	biggest 17:12	66:3,8,11,18	66:11,17,18
150:4,11,17	55:19 172:14	67:17,19 70:3	70:4 108:3
151:6,7 152:3	267:1	183:1 257:11	162:15 164:1
153:8,16 154:6	bill 22:18 23:11	275:5	164:10,15
154:14,23	166:16 253:22		169:22 172:7

[bond - burdensome]

	I	T _	T
173:21 183:1,4	100:7 107:17	bone 213:25	bringing
183:13,15	148:19 163:5	bookends 49:2	103:21 124:2
190:10,20,21	166:17 171:6	boom 272:21	211:8 253:10
190:25 191:3,9	171:10 173:1	born 177:12	british 179:16
191:12 197:7	173:23 182:22	244:16	broad 57:5
199:10,13	182:23 183:8,8	borrow 283:19	68:24
201:14,18,21	183:9,11	boss 80:23	broke 27:9 91:1
202:8,21 205:2	189:25 191:4	bosses 80:24	broken 202:17
205:6,17,20	191:15,22	bottom 62:18	brought 64:21
206:14 216:14	195:2 203:14	100:1 115:11	65:8 92:7
218:23,23,24	205:19 206:17	188:14	123:7,8 181:24
218:25 219:4,7	206:22,25	bought 216:15	225:13,22
221:2 238:13	208:15,23	bound 60:6	bucket 184:10
239:6 249:2	209:8,16	boundaries	budget 176:15
252:21 253:1	218:15 220:7	48:3 49:11	246:3 252:16
257:2,2,6,11,12	244:12 253:25	box 2:5,22 5:6	budgeting
257:12 266:12	254:23,24	5:15 6:6,14	62:15
267:1 270:8,12	265:4 269:21	break 89:6	budgets 162:7
288:6 291:23	274:22 275:4,6	90:20 125:18	build 85:13
292:2,5,6,12	276:16,21	129:2,5,11	130:10
294:10	277:17 278:2,6	140:2,5 150:5	building 1:15
bond's 249:6	280:7 287:18	210:17 271:8	186:21 273:18
bonded 173:18	bonds 16:16	271:24	built 160:14
194:19 205:8	22:5,17 67:17	breakeven	277:10
218:16 256:7	86:5,6 163:15	184:17 185:13	bull 277:5
257:2 294:7	164:1,16 191:3	bridge 250:20	bump 228:10
bonding 17:7	194:22,23	brief 47:20,21	bunch 149:20
19:2,13,22	195:1 205:5	140:12 178:12	150:14 152:14
20:1,5 22:15	206:25 218:17	briefly 66:22	191:2 291:8
22:24 23:4,9	218:19 252:25	180:15 240:8	burden 78:17
24:14 26:4	253:4,5 257:5	293:12	187:13 278:2
48:7 68:23	266:21 274:5	bring 51:17	burdens 62:15
70:7 85:23	274:25 291:16	57:10 82:6	62:21 78:14
86:4 87:15	291:19,21	92:8 152:20	burdensome
88:3,18 98:23	292:10 295:1	205:22 256:1	68:15 86:23

[burdensome - cause]

120.1	140 12 150 5	212.05	272 0 270 10
132:1	149:13 158:5	canyon 213:25	273:8 278:18
bureau 7:19	178:20 193:25	capacities 77:7	297:8
business 19:13	250:9,14	capacity	cased 24:21
56:21 58:23	251:20,24	146:18 237:2	cash 184:13
59:5 148:17,17	252:13 259:17	237:20	191:11,19
165:17,22	267:15 281:6	capex 222:2	205:11 218:17
173:1 218:5,12	c.a.r.e. 4:4	capital 68:7	257:2,5,12
232:18 234:9	calculate 209:1	132:18 171:6	294:7,10,14,23
235:3 237:12	calculating	206:10 214:8	cashflow 258:5
237:13 238:7	26:3	295:2	casing 25:10
261:25 268:6	calculator	capitalized	267:21
269:6,7,23	162:18	169:5	cat 50:11
270:9 273:5	calculus 217:8	capped 25:7	catch 26:6
277:24 278:4	calendar	caps 86:9	categoricals
businesses	121:13	car 26:19 56:21	70:1
169:4	call 47:4 118:5	74:5	categories
bust 272:21,22	177:21 186:18	carcinogens	47:14,15,23
272:25 273:4,8	188:18 203:7	21:24	48:5,16,18
buttons 219:20	210:15 295:8	care 15:18	49:2,3,19 71:9
buy 81:1	called 13:14	career 52:2	85:23
186:15 187:6	18:21 21:6	212:24 252:20	categorize 92:4
245:16 257:20	24:5 50:17	caring 4:3	222:1
291:7	179:3 180:19	carlsbad 277:4	categorized
buyer's 78:15	211:22 237:3	carry 218:19	221:3
buying 246:4	243:11 272:15	carrying	category
bwenergylaw	275:21 289:6	283:17	103:15 105:25
2:15	291:14	case 1:9 11:3	106:3 180:8
bypasses 95:13	calls 150:25	27:8 35:10	195:22 197:13
С	219:23	52:12 83:23	197:14,16
c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	camel 18:8	87:4,5 96:13	201:20 202:22
6:1 7:1 8:1	camera 23:22	142:20 144:6	231:2,7,11
12:1 13:10	211:13 233:6	144:18 167:14	244:6 279:25
77:14,15,21	cameras 21:18	168:11 172:17	280:16
83:12 84:1	canons 35:17	174:4,5 177:9	cause 14:19
141:14,19,22		232:7 267:15	62:14,20 76:20
141.14,17,22			

[cause - christopher]

116:10 140:20	certification	210:6,7 242:12	characterize
171:12	42:7,8	242:13 296:11	223:7 226:21
caused 273:11	certifications	change 18:3	characterized
274:15,19	88:22	25:24 66:20	143:7
causing 154:20	certify 78:15	73:20,24 74:13	characterizing
cautioned 46:9	298:4 299:2	75:12,13 76:5	70:17,20
caveat 246:22	certifying 44:4	77:20 87:21	charge 191:5
cavear 240.22 caverns 277:4	certifying 44.4 cgraeser 2:24	131:2 132:24	charged 174:6
caverns 277.4	chain 289:11	172:16 221:12	chart 105:16,17
cd 257:12	chair 6:20	221:15 243:25	cheaper 54:6
cement 24:22	24:10 39:14	253:2	check 195:8
25:10	164:24 210:6	changed 18:2	chemical 185:5
center 4:2,9,16	242:11 296:11	64:24,25 65:1	chemicals
7:14 91:13	296:19	90:3,4 158:10	273:20
171:20 208:17	challenge	167:11 176:18	cherry 238:8
222:16 263:4	203:16 204:24	206:22 217:8	chesapeake
central 88:16	205.16 204.24	217:12 270:9	30:14
166:19	challenges	changes 24:14	chevron 244:19
centrestack	203:3 204:24	55:2,3,12 56:5	chevy 57:10,11
34:21	challenging	61:6,24 63:6,8	74:5
ceo 79:19 115:4	209:22	63:9 67:7	chief 6:24 7:19
cerrillos 169:16	chance 25:24	71:17 75:4,11	childcare 59:14
certain 29:25	166:11 250:11	77:4 79:21	children 14:7
47:21 66:12	chances 23:1	81:20 84:12	16:23,24 17:14
142:21 183:11	chang 6:20 9:9	87:17 88:18	17:18,18 25:20
209:4	39:14,16,17	98:22 117:23	59:12
certainly 44:12	40:3,7,8,13,17	149:9 179:24	chino 1:15
44:13,15	40:21,24 41:8	212:12 218:20	choice 67:18
133:16 139:25	41:15,18 43:1	220:20,23	choose 23:12
207:7 228:16	43:11,23 44:1	223:5,22,24	171:9
236:21,23	44:9 45:17	259:22 294:1	chose 294:13
249:18 251:14	46:13,23 47:1	changing 56:2	christmas
certificate	47:6,9 48:9,25	269:3,7	243:3
298:1 299:1	49:9,11,24	characterizati	christopher
270.1 277.1	164:24,25	225:14	2:20
	107.27,23	223.17	2.20
	I .		

[circulated - colorado]

circulated	classroom	277:11 280:3	182:11,16,22
246:23	13:20,23,25	293:15	183:17,23
circulation	14:4,9	clearly 219:22	184:3 186:5,12
294:17	clayton 9:6	clicking 227:18	187:18,25
citation 261:1	181:24 183:20	cliffs 248:20	188:1,4,7,11,21
cite 105:5	clean 13:23,25	250:16,18,23	189:2,5,8,23
172:18	14:3,3,8,10,11	259:6	190:11,18,24
cited 91:19,20	14:13,21,22,23	climate 21:21	191:13,24
107:14 240:15	15:5 16:17	close 131:10	192:5,11,17,21
250:6	19:4,6 22:23	144:25 161:14	195:14,25
cites 104:5	23:16 26:17	235:23 236:6	198:10 200:23
106:6	273:24 274:20	244:19 249:11	200:24 201:3
citing 106:13	275:7 277:11	closed 216:10	210:14,22
citizen 15:1	277:22 278:2	closely 44:14	297:4,13
246:18	cleaned 16:21	44:18	club 4:6 7:25
citizens 4:3,6	cleaning 20:7	closer 205:14	24:12
19:17	23:6 273:7	closing 35:13	co2 204:21
city 247:6,13	274:1 276:17	35:18,21,24,25	coal 248:20
clarification	cleanup 19:3	36:2,3,9 142:5	250:17,18
202:14 230:24	19:12,16 22:6	145:2 168:7	267:12 281:8
clarified	22:18 23:10	177:2	code 31:20
282:23	24:14 26:5	closings 145:7	194:5,7
clarify 29:4	197:21 198:8	145:18	cognizant 60:8
37:20 87:13	198:22 200:2	cloutier 6:4 9:3	cold 51:7 71:4
114:13 141:17	273:15 274:15	9:14,19 34:1,2	collaborate
143:13 227:4	276:21,23	36:22 156:22	58:8
229:5 265:15	277:20	156:23 157:2	collapse 122:11
clarifying 70:4	clear 21:19	157:10,13,16	collateral
193:18 280:13	50:1 62:1	165:8 168:5,10	205:11
clarity 79:16	67:19 77:8	168:18,19	collect 173:13
124:2 135:11	126:23 130:14	177:24 178:9	college 212:19
139:5	142:9 152:4	178:11 179:7,9	color 102:11
classic 56:21	165:24 166:24	179:10,20,23	colorado
classify 190:7	170:5 183:19	180:14 181:4	244:17 248:14
	211:16 235:21	181:14,17	257:5

[colorblind - companies]

colorblind	comma 48:7,10	75:2 78:19,23	233:11 242:3,6
188:18	48:23,24	83:20 84:6,15	288:19 295:24
columbia	comment 12:7	84:18 85:1,21	296:5
179:16	12:8,22 18:14	87:4,12 89:1	commissioners
com 250:9,15	20:16 27:2,5	92:25 131:9	21:11 24:11
259:17 267:15	60:3 244:1	133:20 142:15	27:15 85:8
281:7	248:6 270:19	143:14 144:11	158:22 165:9
combine 49:5,6	271:9,16,25	145:4 147:24	171:22 173:10
combined	272:2 278:12	159:16,21	201:1,4,5
175:5	278:13	160:3,8,9,22	272:21 276:1
come 12:24	commentary	162:15 168:15	commitment
13:22 16:17	30:21 85:14	171:23 175:20	277:18
18:15 42:24	commenter 7:4	177:19 179:11	committee
53:22 55:3	7:9,10,15,23,24	180:15 181:5	273:14
82:12 85:6	commenting	181:20 183:18	common 19:5
90:21 126:23	19:1	184:4 186:8	25:15 117:25
130:13 161:8	comments	201:24 202:7	277:23 284:13
164:3 187:14	12:14,15,17	202:23 212:16	communicated
203:12 224:6	247:21 271:14	236:20 243:19	81:11
253:18 269:6	272:8 281:6	244:14 250:9	communication
269:13 270:8	commercial	266:19 278:5	25:11
271:23 272:3	237:9	287:24	communities
283:18 288:6	commingled	commission's	16:20,20 20:10
292:4	258:23 281:13	37:9 111:15	21:14 22:22
comes 54:20	commission	129:3 160:12	207:5 276:25
63:1 127:11	6:21 7:6,21,22	170:24	community
209:3,8	8:4 12:4,12,18	commissioner	16:6 17:1,3,16
coming 78:25	36:6 43:6	2:18 5:2 7:5	18:11
85:7,12 111:6	44:17 52:20	8:3 39:3,9	companies
119:16 120:6	57:2,4 60:15	40:16 46:16	24:24 26:2
120:16 148:11	60:19,23 61:20	48:20 144:23	58:22 59:2
153:4,5 157:8	61:23 65:22	159:5 160:17	82:4 124:21
168:15 217:13	67:13,15 68:18	161:20,21	146:13 154:19
255:13 259:18	69:23 71:1,8	168:12 201:8	155:2,3 162:7
272:25	72:7,8 74:8,11	207:13 208:10	162:8 163:19

[companies - conduct]

	1		
163:20 165:15	compare	223:8 224:6	concerns 43:13
169:3 172:13	182:23	238:13 254:16	43:13 52:21,23
172:13 174:10	compilation	277:14,25	55:19 59:3,25
174:18 191:4	133:20	286:9,17	61:10,12 62:6
206:14,17,19	complements	compliant 78:1	62:19 71:20
207:1,3 212:23	277:17	78:2 151:15	72:21 73:10
216:19 238:14	completed	155:23 290:23	74:22 75:16
241:10,13,14	226:4 250:16	291:15	77:20 111:14
245:14,23	258:22 281:11	complications	133:8 134:18
273:5 290:19	282:18	62:16	140:15 141:6
company 24:17	completely	comply 170:17	149:8 165:20
80:22 124:16	113:1 114:9	223:12,23	177:5,8 202:11
124:16 148:3	completing	complying	202:24
149:17,19,19	229:11	84:10 174:19	concerted
149:23,24	completion	compounded	138:10
150:8,9,12,14	214:15 226:15	163:4	concluded
150:21,22	completions	compounding	297:18
151:15,16,22	189:19	162:18 166:14	concluding
151:22,23	complex 36:6	concede 133:11	84:22
152:2,6,8,9,14	compliance	169:11	conclusion
152:22,23	34:18 39:25	conceded	41:12 89:14
153:1,7 155:13	41:5 44:5	130:22 173:17	161:1 194:11
157:6,7 163:24	64:15 66:4	concentrated	conclusions
164:9,16	68:14 75:19,25	286:9	280:4
175:15 180:15	76:25 77:3,17	concepts 30:19	concrete 157:5
180:19,21,21	77:18,22 78:10	concern 42:25	condition 39:24
180:22 181:13	78:16 85:25	43:11 57:23	41:4
191:18 213:12	86:11,13,15	68:6 72:22	conditioning
214:3,14	87:25 112:7,9	150:23 186:6,9	76:24 85:25
215:21,22	112:11 113:2,3	195:25 288:4	conditions 17:8
216:6 217:15	113:8,8,11,12	concerned	25:9 68:24
238:8,23 246:1	114:5,5 138:5	83:14 195:21	conducive
253:8 258:7	139:1,18	254:2	235:3,17
266:11 291:13	148:25 150:12	concerning	conduct 41:19
	155:13 170:15	83:17	41:22 42:3

[conduct - contributes]

187:21 198:22	connected 17:2	considerations	contact 197:5
200:1	connectivity	184:6	contained
conference	251:20 268:19	considered	146:11 196:1
35:23 178:5,7	consensus 82:7	88:18 184:9	contains 70:10
conferred 80:2	143:15,19	196:5 234:7	contaminate
confidence	159:14 166:21	considering	15:23
216:13	consent 252:9	79:21 147:22	contaminated
confidential	consented	251:13	26:14
73:1,2,8	176:17	considers 87:12	contending
142:11	consequences	89:2	108:2
confidentiality	52:5 62:11	consistent	content 143:23
236:13	conservation	86:17 94:18	144:15
confirm 27:16	1:3 3:2,11 4:3	136:12,16	contention
36:15 89:23	6:20 7:6,22 8:4	194:12	110:12 194:18
113:24 114:1	12:4 86:19	constitute	287:18
141:5 145:10	88:16 93:3	62:20	contested
170:5	148:6 149:9	constitutes	137:23
confirmed	176:19 193:15	32:25	context 104:7
145:12 147:10	221:22 225:4	constitutional	107:4 132:21
confirms	239:6 240:12	43:12	149:12 154:24
166:25	255:15 256:12	constrain 57:7	continue 56:6
conflate 107:10	278:5 279:8	constrained	144:5 153:12
110:14	consider 32:7	55:6	155:13
conflicting	33:3 144:18	constructively	continues
279:17	147:6,24 163:7	115:20,21	130:7
conflicts 60:1	163:11 177:7	116:15	continuing
75:23 122:5	216:16 236:21	consultation	191:25 195:8
confused 112:1	254:6,8 290:23	159:18	contract 71:23
116:10,11	consideration	consulting 6:25	contractors
confusing	26:23 31:16	consumer	213:14 247:17
103:3 113:6	45:16 58:9	67:22 68:24	contracts 252:2
279:17	60:5,10 107:22	162:9	contrary 171:3
confusion 74:3	147:21 163:9	cont'd 3:1 4:1	contributes
connect 83:22	165:12	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	255:9
		10:1	

[contributing - cost]

contributing	cordero 7:2	111:15 112:3	268:10 269:8
44:16	cordial 118:6	112:12,13	279:20,25
contributions	corner 124:4	113:20 115:8	280:6 281:1,8
167:7	corporate	115:22 116:16	281:16 282:3
control 77:6	75:24 154:3	116:17,24,25	285:7,11 295:3
194:15	175:8 198:12	117:3,7,10,14	correcting
controversial	corporation	117:15,18,19	110:19,22
133:22	213:2,3,6	117:25 118:1,3	244:9
convalescence	237:18 238:22	118:4 119:12	correction
51:9	238:25	119:13 121:4,5	263:11
convenient	corporations	121:8,15,16,20	corrections
268:21	19:8,23 20:11	121:21,24	53:9 179:24
convening 70:6	276:16	122:7,8,14	correctly 41:6
conversation	correct 25:25	125:2,8,11	correlative
44:17 85:8	29:12 32:5	126:5,7,14,15	57:9 171:1,19
conversations	37:23 41:11,16	127:12,18,19	corresponden
64:8 69:19	41:17 53:18	127:22 128:2,4	115:2,7 118:24
80:15 81:18,22	84:11 92:3,25	133:1 136:11	119:2 120:18
82:15 119:20	93:5,15,21,22	142:22,23	125:13 128:1,2
280:24	93:25 94:4,9	145:14,14	corroded 25:9
conversions	94:10,15,19,24	147:16 148:12	cost 25:17 26:3
204:14	95:15,16,18,19	154:13 155:5	29:20 31:6
convert 232:1	95:24,25 96:9	162:10,11	44:24 45:1
converted	96:19,20 97:21	163:16 179:21	46:4 64:24
204:3,20	97:25 98:1	195:3 208:2,3	68:23 108:11
230:16 231:5,6	99:1,2,7,17,20	214:20 215:24	108:18,25
232:10	100:12,25	223:1,2 224:10	110:9 173:24
converting	101:22 102:3	224:11,15	184:21 185:4,8
241:19	103:9,12,16	225:8,9 226:5	185:14,15,22
convey 270:13	104:2 105:14	226:22 231:2,3	185:25 186:1
290:13	105:21 106:1,4	263:14,15	186:23 187:13
copies 116:20	107:24,25	264:17,19	187:16 190:19
copy 91:14	108:5,6 109:1	265:4,25 266:5	190:25 198:2,7
117:22	109:9,15,16,21	266:19,20	198:21 199:20
	109:22 110:15	267:9,24	200:1 205:3

[cost - current]

	I	I	1
236:2,2 249:6	count 63:23	covered 35:12	crisis 254:7
255:3 258:17	91:24 195:16	39:18 77:12	criteria 134:18
259:3 266:21	195:17 221:7	82:24 220:15	cross 27:23
276:17 277:24	counted 91:24	covering	28:16 34:8
281:20 283:4	196:3,4	276:22	39:15 63:1
294:17,18	countries 25:1	covers 83:2	86:12 89:23
costs 19:11	country 42:14	183:1	90:20 91:3,7,9
22:15 47:3	counts 66:1	cox 5:13	102:18 129:15
53:6,13,15,16	county 16:6	cpi 67:25 68:5	130:22 132:15
54:20 64:5,9	couple 51:6	68:12,19 85:24	134:12 140:7
64:12 78:14	71:4 81:25	88:23 162:9,13	146:6 157:1
86:9 107:10,11	138:18 142:6	create 54:8	159:9 162:1
107:13,23	156:24 158:16	60:20 62:21	193:2,10 197:1
108:4 109:6,14	161:23 188:12	71:8 154:1	201:10 204:2
109:15,19,20	191:7 193:18	155:13 171:4,7	207:17 222:12
110:14 132:18	212:5 213:21	174:25 175:6	224:25 233:7
167:4 184:18	217:4 227:2	176:2 177:11	262:25 279:4
184:20,21,23	262:24 263:7	177:14,15	288:15
184:24 185:1	272:4 279:9	203:13 204:23	crossed 129:20
197:21,24	281:5 293:13	207:7 245:16	crucial 47:12
199:4 226:15	course 45:22	255:16	cumbersome
258:9,13,15,25	119:1 123:1	created 176:3	163:19
259:1 268:18	143:24 144:14	246:10	cumulative
276:21	145:8 158:20	creates 75:21	48:5
council 115:3	172:11 218:4	creating 48:16	cure 67:11,17
118:25	219:1 223:1,21	85:23	current 33:2
counsel 7:7,17	court 34:16	credibility	63:11 66:11,15
41:25 81:19	41:24	238:2	66:16 71:13
132:7 145:15	courtesy	credible 130:24	72:14 75:12,17
160:21 298:11	117:21	credit 59:14	77:15 81:15
298:14 299:7	cover 46:4 64:4	crew 71:24	86:5,19 102:4
299:10	66:12 253:25	crews 58:17	119:25 134:14
counsel's 35:15	274:14,18	crippling	139:6 149:12
131:20	coverage 67:11	166:23	160:14 164:13
	67:18,19,20		201:16,20

[current - defer]

205:4,16 221:6	damage 257:10	139:6 140:20	112:8 214:10
245:19 254:13	273:10 274:15	141:7 146:11	dealt 174:18
254:23 276:21	damaging	147:21 167:11	291:10,11
291:20,21	167:1	169:15 180:4	debate 50:3
currently 65:14	dan 6:24	180:11 181:11	debated 47:16
73:4,17 77:16	darn 288:6	182:9 197:20	debating 48:13
141:21 154:16	data 53:5 68:23	208:1,2 248:23	debt 191:20,20
183:2,12	105:21 106:6	250:22 264:6	283:12,17
213:19 215:16	172:23,24	264:13,13	decades 21:24
218:16,19	173:4 174:5,16	279:13 282:14	51:24 55:21
224:9 248:9	175:15 193:21	days 16:12 32:5	176:1
249:25 256:7	193:24 260:5,9	42:1 51:6	december
257:1	277:16	58:16 69:9	125:23,24
currier 115:3	databases	71:4 81:2	126:12
115:18 116:13	174:7 287:4	102:2 127:20	decide 218:3
116:18 117:11	date 1:12 38:9	141:1 145:4	decided 217:9
117:17	38:11 119:14	180:5,11 189:6	232:17
cut 240:25	127:13 128:11	189:10 196:2	decides 74:12
268:18,20	182:7 192:2	227:21 228:7,8	78:19 201:24
269:1	229:7	230:5 232:13	decimate 207:2
cutoffs 86:22	dates 116:23	232:19 244:5	decision 252:1
cutting 270:2	119:19	282:8	252:22
cx 9:5 10:2	david 9:18	de 214:11,18	decisions 80:19
cyclic 97:9,19	177:24 178:3	dead 44:11	174:10,12
d	179:2,14	237:13	203:4 204:12
d 9:1 10:1 12:1	davis 8:2	deadlines	251:25
50:10 96:5	day 1:11 12:5	270:23	decline 85:21
178:20,20	27:19 46:21	deal 219:25	decommissio
dad 237:12	54:25 56:1,16	260:2 261:7	132:18
dakota 248:21	56:18 57:19,21	267:1 283:13	decreasing
250:15,21	57:22,24 58:12	dealing 22:3	241:1
258:23 259:7	58:15 59:13	43:7 77:13	deemed 88:20
267:14 282:12	71:21 101:5,14	dealings 154:8	deep 290:9
284:23	101:22 117:11	deals 69:1	defer 173:24
2020	122:6 136:10	73:14 78:14	

[define - development]

define 87:2	degree 149:5	depends 55:22	deter 78:24
150:13 263:23	212:18	221:17	deterioration
277:1	deja 123:11		25:9
defined 73:17	166:15	depleted 250:19 273:2	determination
99:6 105:10	del 4:10		113:3,9,11
134:14		depletion 147:2	113.5,9,11
	delaware 15:16 24:18	depressed 63:4	
definitely 60:4		depth 64:10	133:12 134:3,6
71:5 197:22	delay 78:14,14	86:6 198:1	134:25 135:2,5
217:11 234:4	82:8,10 127:4	248:11 284:24	determine
240:22 250:25	127:7 219:19	deputy 65:8	190:19
264:7	delayed 126:1	250:6	determined
definition 28:5	deliberations	describe	44:23 134:19
29:15,18 47:17	36:7	183:17	197:20 199:19
55:15,18,20	delta 209:15	described	199:25
56:9 57:1,5,5	demand 107:21	163:10 186:23	determining
69:3,12 70:10	172:12	288:22	31:7 238:6
73:20 87:9,9	demands 86:24	description	280:17
87:13 88:24,25	demonstrated	11:2	detract 153:10
89:2 99:17	287:10	desert 276:10	devastating
101:9,18 102:1	demonstration	deserves	272:22
106:2 134:23	148:19	276:13	devastation
135:4 180:2	demonstrative	designing 62:3	273:4
201:16,21	11:10 89:18	desire 171:24	develop 186:15
248:19 263:13	192:9	desires 144:11	214:16 217:9
263:18,20,22	demonstratives	despite 130:5	218:3
264:6,9,10,11	34:9 280:11	138:10	developed
264:11,14,16	denial 77:17	destroy 219:15	221:24
265:11,23	deny 131:18	destructive	developer 56:6
279:25 282:19	145:10	14:16	231:15
definitions	denying 123:18	detail 130:18	developing
135:9 279:17	department 1:2	292:8	191:25 206:6
defunct 154:17	3:5,14 6:23 7:3	detailed 121:14	development
degrade 153:2	7:20	details 181:21	21:16 62:16
276:25	departments	236:17	87:7 147:2
	277:14		151:19 152:19

[development - disposing]

172 10 107 0 0		10011001	
153:10 187:8,9	digging 265:14	193:14 225:4	161:2 166:11
191:23 203:9	digital 298:8	250:6 279:8	175:9 177:4
208:1 214:17	299:3	disagreement	discussed 57:13
284:15	diligence 78:13	30:24	163:23 204:25
dialed 20:19	165:11	disappear	discusses 71:12
278:16	dine 4:4	168:21	discussing 37:4
dialogue 118:8	direct 11:5,9,11	disappeared	98:19 142:25
died 76:8	11:12 28:23,25	154:17	147:6
diede 109:25	37:4 38:7	disbarment	discussion
differ 247:24	39:19 40:4	42:4	34:14 47:11
difference	51:1 52:17	discarding 88:6	79:2,23 114:19
184:22 246:16	89:18 91:14	disciplinary	discussions
249:10	93:14,16 94:22	41:25 42:11	39:6 53:10
different 23:13	95:12 96:17	43:24	81:20 82:18
43:8 44:11	97:5,7 98:16	disciplined	123:12,23
47:22 48:2	110:18 111:12	41:23	124:8 125:4
54:23 55:8	111:17 114:23	disclosure	128:10 130:3
68:8,10,11	151:13 179:8	72:23	141:4 142:11
70:13 72:2	179:21 181:18	disclosures	143:5 144:10
104:16 143:23	186:6 192:8	75:22	144:19 145:17
153:9 162:11	197:6 212:2	disconnected	147:1 158:18
162:12 172:14	235:19 243:16	64:12	160:19,22
175:12 183:7	243:20 259:10	discounted	209:24
186:16,16	260:6 263:17	64:11	disincentivizi
191:2 195:18	263:19 274:8	discover 83:8	175:1
203:24 213:5	279:18 283:15	discovered	dismiss 35:12
221:11 229:5,8	287:17 288:23	83:4	175:24 236:23
278:1	295:21	discretion 87:5	disposal 188:23
differently	directed 170:1	164:15	194:14 196:8
143:7 222:1	176:19	discuss 55:15	204:3,15
difficult 166:13	direction 89:17	63:8 79:9	213:21
167:15 202:12	directly 213:12	94:22 116:14	dispose 188:25
202:15 251:7	291:10	117:23 119:4	disposing
difficulties	director 65:8	119:11 121:3	189:13
175:3	90:17 141:5	126:4 142:20	

[disproportionately - duties]

disproportion	193:15 221:22	dome 25:15	245:15 246:6
177:13	225:4 231:17	don 2:13	276:18 281:19
dispute 98:12	239:6 240:12	door 14:11	282:1,6
105:21 141:23	279:8	114:22	drills 23:5
142:2 143:9	division's 93:3	dot 63:1	drinking 16:12
284:3 285:13	166:19	doubt 237:15	drive 1:17 3:6
285:19 286:3	divisions 177:5	downhole 25:9	3:15 221:17
disputes 30:6,9	document	267:19	273:4
disregarded	21:18 104:8	downstream	driven 172:23
236:16	158:1	165:21	172:24 174:5
disrupt 68:13	documentation	downtime	204:18
dissolved 237:5	86:23 270:20	31:12	driver 169:15
237:8	documents	dozens 247:10	169:18
distinction 36:2	36:18 83:3,4	247:17,17	drives 169:15
43:19,21 44:2	270:18	drafted 62:20	driving 169:22
139:10	dodge 20:11	draw 228:4	221:18
distinguish	doing 17:17	277:6	dropping
42:6 43:15	19:13 51:4	drill 14:21 17:9	231:24
44:2 61:25	83:13 84:14	53:25 214:11	due 34:8 78:13
distinguishes	139:18 154:18	215:25 226:7	257:7
109:19	207:24 219:16	245:21 246:3	duly 13:14
disturbing	257:19 261:21	250:20 268:12	18:21 21:6
13:22	278:3 290:1	269:13 277:22	24:5 50:17
dive 141:12	doke 242:18	281:15 282:12	179:3 211:22
diverse 276:6	dollar 18:5	drilled 56:15	243:11 272:15
diverting	260:2 261:8	182:7 192:2	275:21 298:5
176:23	dollars 22:20	213:20,23	dump 283:22
division 1:3 3:2	185:18 190:10	214:3 217:20	duplicate
3:11 53:16,20	190:23 197:8	225:13 226:4	130:20
54:8 78:17	199:20 206:1	245:5,5 284:18	duplicative
83:8,17,22	227:1 247:8	drilling 15:10	87:19
107:21 123:21	249:11 258:18	26:4 182:6	durango
131:21 132:1	269:19 273:10	204:9 213:17	212:19
148:6 149:9	283:7	215:6,21,23	duties 75:24
151:24 170:18		226:14 229:11	170:24 171:4

[duty - energy]

J-4 (0.0	272.20	207.2	
duty 60:9	earth 273:20	207:2	emerick 107:12
dx 9:5 10:2	earthworks 4:4	effects 177:17	110:13
dynamic 130:7	7:11 21:13	216:2 220:23	emitting
e	easily 115:15	effort 138:10	273:20
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	east 4:17	efforts 120:22	emnrd.nm.gov
4:1 5:1,1 6:1,1	181:18 182:5	122:23 128:14	3:8,17
7:1,1 8:1,1 9:1	eating 106:18	egregiously	emphasize
10:1 11:1 12:1	economic 95:15	275:5	177:10
12:1 13:9,9,9,9	171:4,10	eia 104:5	employed
18:18 21:1	177:12,15	106:13	151:21 212:20
24:2 34:22	244:23 268:16	eight 84:3	213:13 298:11
50:10,11 77:14	282:15 284:25	87:20 95:9	298:14 299:8
115:13 118:23	economically	241:18	299:11
119:2,22 127:5	203:21	either 31:2	employee
177:14 178:20	economics	39:11 42:7	212:20 298:13
194:4 211:18	176:12 184:17	125:6 137:16	299:10
243:7,7,7	185:13 252:14	144:13 170:4	employees
272:11,12	252:16 294:20	203:19 204:20	152:5 213:9,12
275:18,18	economies	206:6 210:17	247:15
297:6	277:10	212:20 215:18	employs 180:16
e.mail 79:19	economy 278:8	221:2 225:12	enabling 43:14
115:17 117:2	educated	242:14 250:2	44:13
	218:10	254:17 257:23	encoded 141:24
127:3,25 128:1 197:5	education 4:4	267:18 269:23	encompass
	179:13 212:17	273:1 283:17	45:25
e.mails 122:4	244:15	296:17	ended 22:17
123:25	effect 140:24	elaborate	241:5
earlier 27:19	187:14 217:7	213:18	ends 127:18
58:5 60:3	247:23 257:15	electricity	energy 1:2 3:4
62:14 67:1	257:17,18	185:6	3:13 6:23 7:2
70:8 71:22	258:2 269:5,22	element 62:5	7:19 30:14
138:1 142:19	270:9 280:19	elements	179:19,19
144:23 147:5	294:24	134:18 135:10	180:18,19,23
149:8	effectively 93:4	eliminating	213:1,5 273:2
early 22:5	148:20 167:12	70:5	275:3
204:19			

[enforce - everybody]

enforce 26:21	onguno 65.17	222.16 262.4	1.15 5.1 12 6.1
39:24 41:4	ensure 65:17 71:24 147:25	222:16 263:4 273:15 274:15	4:15 5:4,13 6:4 essential 57:8
42:20 170:21	274:24 276:16	274:19	essentially 19:9
enforceability 72.11	ensures 23:4,15	environments	59:1 62:17
72:11	277:18	42:21	76:9 108:2
enforceable	entered 81:10	envirotech	114:7 121:23
84:3 88:11	181:1	26:12	171:14 189:13
enforcement	entire 80:23	eog 33:25	established
43:8 65:14	113:10 169:19	156:21 200:18	42:13 54:4
75:19 84:12	201:22 202:8	240:1 293:5	233:13 293:19
88:6 138:9	entirely 213:20	eor 97:9	estimate
140:17,17	entirety 77:4	eors 97:19	197:17 198:4
170:1,19 284:6	entities 78:11	episode 26:19	202:21 258:16
284:9	213:6,7 238:9	equal 70:22	265:7,9
enforcements	entitled 90:12	276:13	estimated
65:15	entity 150:12	equipment	259:3 265:2
enforcing	237:3,8,18	58:17	276:20
43:25 83:9	284:5	equity 213:6	estimates 64:24
84:5	entrepreneurs	214:8 217:5,12	105:9
engage 62:2	57:10	217:15 225:8	estimation
119:20 120:23	enverus 261:25	equivalent	206:13
142:15 144:10	286:25	101:5 180:4,11	evaluation 96:9
engaged 166:16	environment	181:11	evaluations
engagement	14:1 17:13	errata 38:17	95:13
166:4 168:14	21:15 209:13	error 113:14,17	evd 11:2
engineer 6:24	235:2,15 251:8	es 9:5 10:2	event 89:21
179:15 209:19	254:13	298:4	120:17 192:11
engineering	environment's	escalators	295:23
244:18	14:25	85:24 88:23	eventually
enhance 53:18	environmental	especially	245:11 273:1
153:9 245:17	4:2,9,16 7:14	62:22 65:22	everhart 7:7
enhanced 97:8	7:19 23:7 43:9	98:23 214:7	28:19 178:11
97:18	43:25 72:11	275:5	188:1,13,19
enriching	91:12 107:11	esquire 2:3,11	everybody
206:14	110:14 146:22	2:20 3:3,12 4:8	45:23 91:8

[everybody - expect]

295:13 297:10	examine	exchanged	187:20 188:8
evidence 41:21	228:16	124:1	189:3,24
88:22 90:24	examined	exclamation	192:14 193:19
118:23 157:14	13:16 18:23	116:20,23	193:20 196:1
161:7 166:24	21:8 24:7	excluding	259:24 261:2,2
167:14,17,21	50:19 179:5	213:21	285:15,20
171:2 172:24	211:24 238:1	exclusive 47:24	296:1
173:11,13	243:13 272:17	105:12	exhibits 89:18
192:16 248:3	275:23	exclusively	90:18 167:5
296:3	examiner 1:14	165:15 194:1	173:6 192:9
evolves 56:18	example 74:5	excuse 26:1	246:23 286:1
evolving 55:23	146:21 150:4	46:18 64:23	exist 175:3
exact 91:23	157:5 167:2	82:1 183:8	262:1
119:14 219:5	185:4,7 203:13	210:10 242:16	existence
exactly 96:22	205:8,25	296:20,24	252:20
183:3 264:2	240:15 250:7	excused 200:25	existential
exam 140:7	292:10	executable	166:22
193:2	examples 59:20	53:23 65:5	existing 64:15
examination	147:9	execution	64:18 67:9
27:23 28:16	exceed 85:22	54:21	75:7 87:19
37:1 39:15	exceeding	exemptions	112:7,14
51:1 89:23	29:20 64:12	86:14	113:23 133:13
90:20 91:3,7,9	excellent 51:8	exhaustive	133:20,25
129:15 132:15	193:17	123:12	135:11 174:11
146:6 157:1,23	except 19:15	exhibit 11:5,11	183:20 186:23
159:9 162:1	108:21,23	11:12 35:2	exists 20:4
179:8 193:10	113:4,6 176:8	72:10 90:22	134:17
197:1 201:10	exceptions	91:7 98:3,6,8	expand 88:5,7
204:2 207:17	112:10 114:3,6	105:9 111:9,10	186:21
212:2 222:12	excerpt 187:20	111:22 113:15	expanding 66:6
224:25 233:7	188:2	115:2 118:15	expect 142:15
240:9 243:16	excess 189:19	118:23 138:1	173:17,19
262:25 279:4	exchange	157:21 158:2	189:8 229:12
288:15 293:16	273:23	170:9,12	281:19 282:2,7
		175:14,16	

[expectation - faith]

4.4	41 102.0	4 • 50.12	102 1 202 21
expectation	expert's 103:2	extension 59:13	193:1 202:21
130:7	expertise 210:2	126:9	203:2,14
expectations	expiration 75:9	extensions	245:25 258:3
143:14	expired 74:17	86:23 147:14	258:10 263:12
expected 126:5	74:19	147:19	270:23
130:13	explain 52:20	extensive 35:10	factor 64:11
expecting	57:14 66:14,22	47:11 122:25	factors 31:5,7
120:1	69:5 71:1 74:1	extent 91:18,25	72:2 86:6
expense 283:6	168:14 181:5	92:10,11	251:13
expenses 222:1	245:1	104:17 106:25	facts 41:20
249:11,12	explained	114:23 217:6	144:18
276:23	107:13,20	221:1 228:14	fail 169:12
expensive	explains 98:21	244:7 253:6	failed 274:5
17:11 46:3	exploration	255:11 294:4	286:15,15
181:21	165:16 182:21	extracted	failing 20:5
experience	213:17	176:10	22:5 132:17
24:20 45:7	explore 39:18	extracting	fails 169:13
51:21 59:5	44:19 61:23	273:19	failure 238:13
90:17 216:2	234:16	extraordinary	285:6
218:7 219:10	exponentially	176:3	failures 88:2
220:5 223:3	98:23 100:7	extremely	fair 20:13
229:11 244:15	export 193:20	191:17	29:14 36:24
249:22 276:13	exposure	eye 18:8	46:14 133:3,7
experienced	273:15	f	142:16,17
169:7 220:23	expressed	f 21:2,2 50:11	144:4 152:3
222:24 223:22	117:22 177:6	fa 122:6 283:1	160:2,23
238:14	186:6 196:1	face 47:18	198:13 202:17
experiences	expressively	88:12	217:24 218:14
54:6	67:16	faces 276:19	225:14 234:1
experiencing	extend 124:2	facilities	274:24
237:25	140:20		fairly 197:24
expert 92:6	extended 60:24	274:16	fairness 277:21
102:18 175:5,9	140:25	facing 65:19	277:23
244:23 253:4	extends 277:19	fact 35:2 89:24	faith 122:13,23
		106:2 130:5 165:25 176:7	128:14 166:6

[fall - felix]

fall 22:21 48:17	250:14 251:20	fees 28:9	79:13 83:6,16
96:17 97:10,20	251:24 252:13	feet 198:1	83:24 84:8,16
98:5,9 180:7	259:17 267:15	felicia 1:14	84:19,25 85:18
184:10 194:4	farms 26:15	12:3	88:9,10 89:17
195:22 197:16	fast 81:23	felix 9:10 11:5	89:22 91:2,11
231:6 236:5	father 24:16	11:6,8 46:22	91:16,23 92:4
279:24 282:18	fault 167:23	50:7,10,11,16	92:22 93:1,6
fallen 227:25	265:13	51:3,5,13,14,23	93:10,16,22
231:1	favor 171:3	52:13,16,23	94:1,5,10,16,20
falls 231:11	fe 1:18 2:6,14	53:14 54:13,17	95:16,19,25
277:5	2:23 3:7,16	55:13,16,19	96:10,15,20
familiar 31:20	4:18 5:7 16:6	56:12 57:3,17	97:3,5,13,16
69:2 71:13	feature 166:19	57:25 58:14	98:1,7,14,18
72:14,17 74:18	february 81:23	59:8,18 60:2	99:2,8,18,25
75:11 98:6	127:12	60:13,17,19,22	100:13,20
105:18 111:10	federal 19:16	61:5,9,12,18,21	101:1,7,11,15
135:21 157:25	22:19 41:24,24	62:8,13 63:11	101:20,23
194:6 253:7	59:25 176:8	63:18,21 64:2	102:4,10 103:7
256:3	183:9 253:16	64:17 65:24	103:10,17
families 22:7	264:9	66:10,13,16,21	104:9,16,17,22
family 245:6	federalism	66:24 67:3,15	105:1,2,4,15,19
fan 291:25	41:11 42:5	67:21,23 68:1	105:22 106:25
292:2	43:13	68:6,20 69:2,4	107:2,8,25
far 38:4 128:5	fee 170:10	69:7,14,25	108:6,13,16,22
150:9,15,16	183:10 225:24	70:12,15,19	109:2,11,16,22
232:21 237:10	225:24	71:3,10,15,18	110:3,8,11,16
255:21,23	feedback 74:25	71:21 72:8,16	110:21,24
262:9 276:22	76:3 82:22	72:19,22 73:9	111:8,11,16,19
289:2	92:8 124:21	73:12,16,18,21	111:24 112:4
farm 26:12	158:22 159:1	73:25 74:2,9	112:13,18,20
281:6	feel 123:17	74:14,20,23	112:23 113:5
farmington	144:7 153:14	75:3,14,17	113:13 114:18
24:16 244:17	168:14 274:23	76:6 77:2,16	114:20 115:9
244:20 245:4	feels 67:15	77:22 78:5,9	115:14,23,25
247:6,13 250:9		78:22 79:6,11	116:4,6,9,17,25

[felix - finish]

117:4,8,15,19	146:3,9,16,19	fifteen 190:23	63:10 64:3
118:1,4,7,16,18	146:24 147:4	fifth 230:25	66:9 68:14
119:6,8,13	147:12,16,17	fifty 164:4	69:13,24 71:9
120:13,19	147:23 148:2,8	figure 15:6,8,9	94:8,8 98:15
121:5,9,16,21	148:15 149:4	47:25 48:2,11	98:20 99:13,22
121:25 122:8	149:11,25	49:9,25 189:24	99:24 100:6
122:15,20	150:3,10,16	215:1	107:22 108:9
123:4,7 124:7	151:2,4,18	figures 107:14	121:3 131:12
124:13 125:3,9	152:16 153:14	file 52:11	131:17 132:9
125:12 126:7	154:5,7,13,21	225:11 227:4	172:2,4 173:8
126:15,21	155:5,18 156:1	227:11 291:16	173:12 174:9
127:5,10,13,19	156:4,13 157:3	filed 37:8 38:2	174:24 175:9
127:23 128:3,8	157:9,12,15,17	38:9,12,13,19	195:10 216:11
128:16,20	157:25 158:3,6	79:15 80:21	236:1 256:4
129:17,18,22	158:9,15,24	81:9 93:14	257:14 266:3
129:24 130:9	159:2,6,11,19	126:8,24 127:7	275:4 280:20
130:17,25	159:24 160:5	158:5 166:10	282:22,23
131:4,13,19	161:18 162:3,5	193:25	294:5
132:12,20	162:6,11 163:8	files 149:19	financially
133:1,9,14,17	163:17,20	filing 115:19	298:15 299:11
134:3,9,15,21	164:6,12,18,22	117:2,22	financials
135:6,14,19,22	165:2,4,5	128:15,16	174:16 184:13
135:25 136:5,8	166:14	filings 113:25	find 62:1 111:4
136:11,15,19	fell 230:3,4	filling 28:14	255:12 258:20
136:23 137:2,7	felt 82:11	filmed 21:22	286:25
137:10,15,19	123:15,22	final 57:1 88:2	finding 105:11
137:24 138:3,7	fiduciary 75:23	145:1 273:4	117:25
138:14,17	field 7:11 21:13	finally 175:11	findings 88:4
139:12,20	59:7 87:7	273:17	fine 28:18
140:12,14,22	185:10,20,21	finance 175:8	35:25 40:6
141:2,9,16,20	186:22 277:4	265:3 273:14	120:19 290:2
142:1,17,23	fields 24:19	financial 12:5	finger 26:7
143:8,18,25	214:16,18	37:18 44:22	finish 139:23
144:3,16	217:10	48:10 49:2,19	144:17 168:5
145:12,19		61:3,7 62:2	274:6

[finished - fox]

91:2 2 fire 48:14 2	63:15 192:4 15:17 225:11 27:24 233:15 78:17	follower 105:1 following 80:4 155:18,20	formations 189:14 258:12 formed 268:3
fire 48:14	27:24 233:15 78:17	155:18,20	
	78:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
111111 122.22		159:15	forming 157:7
first 12:10 13:6 fix	14:16	follows 13:16	forms 83:3,5,18
	ed 65:25	18:23 21:8	84:1,10
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	84:24 185:8	24:7 50:19	fort 212:19
	85:23 186:23	179:5 211:24	forth 103:21
	ing 25:17	243:13 272:17	forward 47:5
	shback	275:23	64:21 81:23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21:25	food 289:11	114:24 129:12
	t 86:7	footing 22:18	142:7,16 161:8
167:11 177:21 fle x	kibility	footprint 234:2	found 215:4
	6:10,12	force 253:7	foundation
183:18 188:12 fle x	xible 57:6	284:2,4	220:11 229:21
202:16 211:16 6	2:16	forced 204:11	founded 180:19
211:22 234:17 flie	s 122:1	254:2,3	founder 6:24
243:6,11 flo g	gged 175:23	forecast 192:4	180:22
245:22 254:1 flo e	oding 240:19	foregoing	four 22:13 27:3
257:18 258:4 flo e	ods 204:17	298:3,4 299:4	27:4 55:8,8
268:12 272:5,9 2	04:20,21	foresees 60:1	86:18 90:12
272:15 275:16 flo v	w 184:13	forfeit 169:23	112:25 121:2
275:21 290:20 1	91:19	forge 114:24	121:22 122:11
297:6 flo v	wing 267:20	forget 18:5	192:8 217:15
firsthand 22:5 flui	ids 25:12	149:23 150:21	222:24 271:9
24:23 flu s	shed 35:11	forgot 295:20	271:24
fiscal 23:6 flye	ers 172:15	form 25:4	fox 4:15,19
108:10 277:21 foc	used 187:5,7	83:12 123:21	9:12 10:11
five 20:19 foll	ks 20:16	131:16 141:14	79:20,24 80:3
37:19 60:25 5	8:15 195:5	149:14 154:2	80:5 81:17
/	low 140:18	176:4 234:23	90:8,9,14 91:4
86:25 95:8	41:3,10,12	256:7 276:12	91:5,10,12,17
	51:25 157:4	format 248:7	91:25 92:10,17
125:19 138:12 1	59:7 220:9	formation	92:19,21,22
162:7,15 163:1		213:25	93:2,8,13,18,23

[fox - fy]

94:2,6,11,17,21	120:17,20	149:5 153:6	functioning
95:2,4,8,10,11	121:6,11,17,22	francis 1:17 3:6	64:19
95:17,20 96:4	122:3,10,17,24	3:15 169:16	fund 4:4 20:2,4
96:6,7,12,16,25	123:6,18 124:5	frank 50:11	20:6,7 64:20
97:4,6,7,15,22	124:12 125:1,5	frequencies	88:15,15
98:2,8,15,19	125:11,14,19	68:11	149:22 150:19
99:3,10,21	125:21,22,23	frequent	176:3,3,13,20
100:3,16,23	126:11,18	172:15	176:22 208:21
101:3,8,13,17	127:2,8,11,15	fresh 197:24	273:9 274:9,18
101:21,25	127:20,25	friday 1:12	274:22
102:6,12,17	128:5,13,18,21	80:6 117:8	fundamental
103:5,6,7,13,23	128:23 130:18	friendly 118:6	172:1 177:18
104:8,11,24	193:4,5 222:9	front 16:3 55:4	funding 19:16
105:7,8,17,20	260:13,17,18	84:6 128:3	176:22 274:20
105:23 106:10	262:22,23	frozen 23:9	funds 19:18
106:11,15,17	263:1,2,3,7,10	268:23	59:10,12 262:7
106:20 107:3,7	263:16,21,23	fruitful 123:24	274:12,14
107:8 108:1,7	264:9,19,23	fruitland	further 33:8
108:15,21,23	265:1,2,6,10,22	248:20 250:17	38:24 105:6
109:3,12,17,24	266:2,8,24	250:18 267:12	159:3 161:16
110:5,9,12,19	267:5,8,22	281:8,10	164:20 196:13
110:22 111:2	268:1,7,20,25	frustrating	210:5 222:4
111:12,18,21	269:1,17 270:2	171:23	262:18 295:16
111:25 112:6	270:3,6,15	fulfill 160:4	298:13 299:9
112:16,19,21	271:4,5	full 13:9 66:18	fusion 273:3
112:24 113:6	fox's 104:18	106:7 107:10	future 4:3
113:18,21	166:6	110:14 182:3	14:24 15:10
114:4,9,12,16	frack 146:21,23	253:23 265:16	16:24 17:17
114:17,18,22	fracking 16:2,6	265:20 277:20	23:14 57:7
115:10,15,24	fracks 31:12	fully 64:18,19	87:6 171:12
116:1,5,8,11,18	fraction 246:12	65:5	173:24 203:9
117:1,6,10,16	276:22	fun 122:1	204:14 275:3
117:20 118:2,5	framework	functional	278:7
118:14,21,22	58:11 62:3	64:18	fy 108:25 109:6
119:9,18 120:8	86:8,16 88:17		

[g - goes]

σ	244:20 245:1	generations	glenn 7:4
g 2.2.12.1	245:11,12,13	17:5 23:14	272:11,11,14
g 2:3 12:1	245:19 246:8	58:24	272:20 296:16
211:19 243:7,7	246:10,18	geology 55:24	go 15:12,16,17
272:11	247:5,12,13,16	212:19 276:12	15:17 16:11
gallup 258:23	247:18 248:9	george 10:9	17:10 18:8,24
gamble 218:2	248:12,20	242:20 243:10	19:21 21:9
218:13	255:1,13,15	gerald 1:19	23:23 27:7,22
gamble's 218:6	256:12 265:15	298:2,18	40:17 48:1
gambling 218:2	267:11,20	getting 42:18	65:7 104:5
game 26:6	268:3 272:21	54:3 80:25	111:2 122:24
gap 208:15,23	273:5,13,16,22	82:22 122:1	133:22,24
209:8,16	274:10,13	126:1 249:17	151:22 152:22
garden 22:10	275:7 276:8,16	252:12 255:21	154:19 155:12
gas 2:10 7:8	277:14,25	gilbert 7:2	159:12 160:23
14:17 15:5,13 16:1 19:4,10	283:11	gilstrap 297:6	168:18 178:15
20:10 21:15,17	gases 25:14	give 34:7 41:9	179:6 184:6
21:20 24:17	gaspar 2:13	57:9 79:16	187:23 189:16
25:5 26:2,14	gate 47:23	85:12 97:13	191:10 198:17
42:19 43:10	gatekeeper	102:10 120:5	201:24 212:1
51:15,22 52:10	61:14	126:3 168:5	219:7 223:25
53:25 61:15	gavel 36:7	236:21,22	229:13 235:17
85:23 99:1,5	general 16:7	289:20	238:18 241:15
100:11 103:16	31:6 131:8	given 16:22	243:14 250:19
103:25 106:1	176:20 186:4	81:7,8 82:12	250:21 252:4
109:8 122:25	206:22	107:4 115:12	255:18 261:16
138:23 139:7	generalizing	190:12	263:21 269:16
152:19 153:11	96:4	gives 47:14	271:14 274:17
165:17 176:19	generally	112:10 145:4	281:15 289:25
179:17 189:15	135:20,22	160:9	goal 145:20
206:21 208:20	162:18 191:3	giving 114:20	goes 46:11
212:21 220:16	203:16 258:12	151:4	49:14 55:20
227:21 228:6	generation	glad 28:10	56:12 62:24
231:15 235:16	17:4,4 23:1	35:22 51:8	109:4 184:4
241:15,19,20	180:18	95:1	289:8,9 290:14

[going - groundwater]

going 14:11	176:25 177:2,3	153:6,24 157:3	grandchildren
15:24 18:6	177:10,11,12	162:3 164:15	25:21
28:8,19 31:19	177:10,11,12	164:16 165:8	grandfather
34:8 35:2,3,24	195:9 196:19	178:13 197:3	58:22
35:25 36:18	202:2 206:18	207:19 222:18	grant 38:21
47:5 55:5	216:13,14	225:2 233:9	42:18 61:13
59:15 62:23	219:14,15,17	272:20 276:1	208:21 273:21
74:4 78:16	219:21 227:4,6	279:6 286:5	grants 147:11
81:12,16 82:25	227:7,8 231:15	288:17 289:3	147:14
85:12 91:6,7	232:11 236:2,4	291:1	grassland
95:4,5 96:23	236:15,23	goodman 16:7	276:11
100:3 103:1	237:22 243:4	goodness 56:23	great 21:9 24:8
104:4 105:8	247:23,25	gotten 227:5	36:12 58:21
106:7 112:16	249:6,10 251:5	governance	81:7 114:23
114:24,24	255:12 257:19	75:24	118:19 129:14
115:1 119:16	257:23,24,25	government	129:22 130:17
122:24 123:13	258:1,16,17	15:14 16:4	133:11 151:3
123:20 124:9	264:24 267:2	17:22 176:8,9	152:21 160:20
130:20 133:5	270:20 271:16	grace 86:13	172:17 242:25
135:24 142:7	272:5 283:5,18	graciously 80:5	250:7 263:10
142:16 145:1,3	283:21,22,22	81:17	272:3 297:14
145:6 150:24	288:6 297:2	graduated	greater 132:19
151:25 158:17	good 12:2 15:1	212:18	greatest 15:20
159:12,16,17	15:1,2 16:22	graeser 2:20	15:22 59:3
161:2,3,4,5	16:23,24 18:10	9:7 27:12,14	green 48:7,22
165:11 166:2	21:11 24:10	27:18,24,25	greg 7:5 245:3
166:25 167:8	26:9,15 27:14	28:3,10,11,13	247:1,1,2,9
168:11 170:2	27:25 28:2	28:21,22,24	268:12 269:12
170:23 171:4,9	51:3,5 89:5	29:3,6,9,10,14	grew 24:16
171:12,18	91:11 122:13	29:23 30:1,5,8	245:11,13
172:2,4,6,25,25	122:23 128:14	30:12,15,18,22	ground 14:15
173:1,25 174:1	129:17,18,20	31:4,17,24	117:25 241:21
174:4,8,13,21	138:24 140:11	32:2,7,13 33:1	groundwater
174:25 175:2,5	140:20 146:8,9	33:5,10	25:12
175:7,13	150:9,15,16		

[group - harvest]

70.6	_	001 (10 1 (1 1 11 52.20
group 70:6	h	221:6,13,16	handedly 53:20
117:23 165:14	h 11:1 18:17	222:3,14,18	handful 249:25
168:19 184:14	178:20 211:19	223:2,6 224:4	hands 65:10
groups 204:11	243:7	224:5,11,15	hang 289:20
grow 186:17	habitats 276:11	225:3,9,16	happen 25:5
205:5 245:24	hair 40:25	226:1,6,11,16	124:1 166:3
258:7 273:18	48:14	226:22,24	281:1
growing 254:22	half 20:7	227:8,15 228:2	happened
grows 23:11	128:19 180:10	228:14,20,23	27:20 127:9
growth 268:13	183:14 190:9	229:4,9,16	228:11 251:2
guarantee	197:8,17 206:1	230:2,10,14,20	291:4
247:12	209:7	231:3,8,10,19	happening
guaranteed	hall 1:16 3:12	231:22 232:4,7	126:12
80:12	34:11,17 38:8	232:8,14 233:3	happens 23:8
guardians 4:7	128:24 193:8	233:10,17,23	66:11
8:2	224:20	234:4,10,14,19	happy 82:15
guess 32:21	halloween	235:1,8,13,18	141:12 170:20
45:17,20 47:19	297:15	235:25 236:9	177:4 228:15
66:23 76:2	hampering	236:12 237:1,3	289:22 297:15
106:10 131:6	56:13	237:3,4,7,8,11	hard 133:18
145:3 151:5,7	hamstring 56:5	237:14,14,18	152:24 162:8
218:10 256:9	hanagan 10:3	238:20,22,23	257:19
269:15 271:13	210:15,18	238:24,24	harder 224:7
281:23	210.13,18	239:2,3,5,8,11	267:3
guesswork	211:19,12,14,18	239:24 240:11	hardship
218:7	211.19,21 212:5,10,13,18	240:21 241:12	163:24 164:9
guidance 83:3	212:3,10,13,18	241:25 242:4,8	283:1,2
83:4,18	212:23 213:11	242:16,17,18	harm 56:24
guide 35:5		hanagan's	277:9
guiding 34:9	214:20 215:2,9	236:15,21	harmed 148:1
guilty 174:5	215:14,24	237:23 295:20	harms 146:22
guys 80:5 118:9	216:5,23	hand 18:1	277:10
215:23	217:11 218:4,9	20:19,20	hart 2:4
	218:17,22	109:19,20	harvest 203:21
	219:13 220:15	278:15,17	
	220:18,21,25		

[head - hearing]

	I I		
head 51:7 71:4	254:4 287:2	129:8,13 130:6	222:7,11
101:12 119:15	hearing 1:11,14	132:24 137:9	223:10,18,25
119:20 153:6	12:2,3,4,6,24	137:16,23	224:18,22
160:10 251:22	13:3,5,17	139:22 140:1,4	228:13,18
259:15,19	18:12,24 20:14	140:8 141:18	229:17,22
264:1	20:24 21:3,9	142:14 143:4	232:24,25
health 209:13	23:18,24 24:8	143:24 144:1	233:5 236:14
275:3 278:7	26:24 27:4,15	144:15,24,25	236:19 237:21
healthcare	27:17,22 28:7	145:25 146:4	238:5,16
19:22	28:12,15,18	151:1 156:7,8	239:12,20,23
hear 30:18	33:7,9,15,18,23	156:16,18,20	239:25 240:4,5
33:14 51:9	33:24 34:3,4,6	156:23 157:18	240:7 241:4
59:16 73:6	34:18,19,20	157:22 159:4	242:1,6,11,15
78:3 109:24	35:1,6,8,19	161:2,3,19	242:19,22,25
122:17 129:23	36:1,5,12	164:23 165:3,6	243:4,8,14
130:14,25	38:24 39:1,8	168:4,8,10,16	255:17,22
132:14,20	39:14 46:17,25	176:24 177:22	256:19,22
137:11,11	50:6,13,20,23	177:23 178:1	260:13,15,22
138:8,14	72:10 82:12	178:17 179:6	260:25 261:4,9
141:13 173:20	88:8 89:5,9,15	187:19,23	261:12 262:20
174:4,4,13,21	89:20 90:1,4,7	192:7,10,19,22	262:23 264:24
175:2,13 177:3	90:13,15 91:1	192:23 193:5	268:22 270:17
178:16 263:8	91:5 92:2,9,17	195:19,23	270:22 271:1,2
279:12 289:22	92:19 94:25	196:9,15,18,20	271:5,15,21,23
heard 19:5	95:3,7 102:22	196:22,24	272:18 275:8
44:25 45:6	103:4 104:3,12	198:14,17	275:15 278:9
55:22 58:4	104:15,24	200:7,13,16,17	278:22 279:2,3
60:11 69:16,16	105:2 106:10	200:22,24	288:10,13
71:5 72:3 78:7	106:15,16,19	201:1,8 207:12	292:17,24
110:3 122:20	106:22 107:6	207:15 210:6,9	293:2,4,9,12
123:5 130:12	110:17,25	210:14,19,22	295:16,18,22
135:15 157:13	117:24 125:17	210:24 211:2,4	296:5,7,11,14
158:21 159:19	125:25 126:13	211:5,8,11,15	296:18 297:1,4
161:14 214:21	126:17,19	211:25 219:19	297:12
214:23 217:24	128:22 129:2,4	220:1,4,10	

[hearings - hydrogen]

hearings 17:23	208:4	291:14	215:18,23
137:18	highest 88:11	holders 253:20	225:23
heart 18:10	108:18 109:6	284:14 289:1	horizontals
heaven 18:6,8	highlight 52:4	holding 19:24	192:2 226:10
heavily 166:16	133:24 174:3	290:15	horse 44:12
heavy 62:21	highlighted	hole 24:21	horsepower
heck 54:5	37:15 250:8	holland 2:4	283:13
heighten 78:13	highlighting	hollandhart.c	hour 90:12
held 122:19	47:11 188:19	2:7	121:2
hello 23:24	hills 181:18	home 22:9	hours 241:18
222:14 263:2,6	183:19 186:25	277:4	hours 241.18 house 73:3
275:15	207:24	homer 7:23	166:16 245:5
help 28:10,19	hinkle 5:14 6:5	18:17,17,20	huge 81:1,6
44:1 65:16,18	hinklelawfir	19:1 20:15	204:8 216:24
155:14 160:13	5:17 6:8	homes 14:7	human 15:2
	historic 167:16	honest 13:19	276:12
helped 65:10 160:1 173:13			hundred 19:18
	history 31:10	honestly 131:4	
helpers 14:9	31:10 66:5	155:18 265:19	124:17 164:4
helpful 47:2	172:7,17 215:8	honor 156:14	181:2 183:13
114:14 164:19	218:5 222:21	233:4	185:18 190:16
291:19	227:20 245:2	hope 23:12	190:23 192:3
helping 53:18	245:20 249:21	51:9 115:20	199:13,15
59:12 206:13	276:12	116:14 117:23	205:23 214:2
258:7	hit 146:23	117:24 130:6	248:13,15
hereto 298:15	245:7 282:24	165:11 172:16	251:16 258:17
299:11	288:5	173:23 174:2	262:9 269:20
heroes 207:4	hobbs 6:15	177:16 274:24	281:24 295:14
herring 274:13	25:15	282:20	hundreds
hey 33:16	hold 26:1	hopeful 251:9	21:22 277:6
111:5	104:15 127:17	hopefully 44:11	hydrocarbon
high 70:21,22	152:12 255:3	112:22	176:10 273:1
87:14 240:24	260:15 284:15	hoping 209:17	hydrocarbons
240:25 251:10	296:14	horizontal	171:8 273:3
higher 162:22	holder 77:23	182:7 187:7	hydrogen
184:19,20	253:17 284:12	213:21,23	25:14

[hypothesis - increasing]

hypothesis	impact 15:10	impose 167:3	inclined 57:4
270:6	17:2 62:6	imposed 99:14	60:23 67:16
hypothetical	181:8 185:15	169:9 176:5	include 47:14
32:14 33:4	247:5 258:5	imposing 85:24	67:19 93:24
41:20	280:5 282:24	impossible	146:21 147:18
hypotheticals	impacts 17:3	78:12 166:20	included
158:12	17:12 21:15,20	impractical	108:20 149:13
i	181:13 195:13	87:19	includes 83:25
_	282:22	improper 238:3	168:24 169:2
idaho 15:17	impaired	238:6	including 24:22
idea 153:24	171:18	improve 56:14	81:10 97:8,18
160:2,18,24	impedes 14:5	improving 56:2	117:14 147:25
289:13 291:5	42:10	70:3 277:15	156:3 238:13
ideas 30:19	impediments	impunity	income 209:3,7
62:10 177:5	62:16	153:13	209:16
identical 93:9	implement	inactive 63:17	incomplete
93:11,13	133:8,9,10	70:17 98:21	66:8
identification	implementati	121:3 122:7	incorporate
90:23 192:15	140:15 141:7	137:6,9,11,13	131:11,16
296:2	164:2	137:21 138:6	incorporated
identified	implemented	138:18 139:9	102:23 133:13
170:13 208:16	197:10 294:25	148:5 149:1	134:8
233:24 260:20	implications	150:14,21	incorrect 265:7
261:23	62:24	154:2,11	265:9
identifies	implied 143:23	285:11 286:17	increase 98:23
172:20	implore 275:2	288:2	100:7 176:22
identify 69:8	imply 166:6	inactivity 60:25	228:12 256:3
99:12 260:23	187:13	inadequate	275:4 283:5
identity 277:1	important	22:17	increased
idle 88:22	17:15 22:25	inadvertent	174:9,24 241:8
ignores 55:21	26:20,23 67:8	113:14	257:14
iija's 22:19	151:9 153:3	incapable	increases 88:21
imaging 21:17	184:22 249:18	57:18	increasing
immediately	249:18 285:4	incident 65:9	219:4
80:20			

[incremental - ins]

incremental	individuals	273:9,11,12,17	183:12 185:10
191:9	153:11 154:1	274:3,5,7,13,19	inheriting
increments	155:10 253:21	274:24	23:15
162:16	253:21	industry's	inhibit 63:3
incumbent	industries 15:5	21:20 124:3	initial 37:21
148:22	16:1	ineffective	140:20 182:8
incurred 53:16	industry 14:17	138:12 171:21	initially 100:16
incurring	19:4,10,11,25	inextricably	125:6 244:1
154:17	22:2 24:21	208:11	250:15
independent	51:17,22,24	inflated 53:6	initiated
5:11 6:2,11	52:4,6,7 53:4	107:14,16	126:20 127:3
24:24 176:1	53:25 54:5,7	233:19	initiation
independents	55:5,21 56:1,5	inflation 68:24	269:23
209:3,4,5,8	57:9 62:11	162:22,24	inject 241:3,14
270:14	75:5 76:18	inflation's	injecting 87:10
index 67:22	80:23 84:3	162:19	injection 87:2
162:9	102:19 107:13	informal 136:3	89:4 193:21,24
indexes 68:24	123:1 124:22	136:10 140:21	194:4,13,15,22
indicated 225:7	128:14 130:13	140:24	194:23 195:1,2
225:21 227:23	132:2 138:22	information	195:3,9,16
281:7,14	151:8 152:21	34:15,24 45:14	230:16 231:5,6
284:11	158:19,22	53:1 72:24	232:1 241:20
indicating	166:9 167:4,17	73:1,2,8 95:23	injectors
262:11	169:8,14,18,18	96:8 98:12	189:12
indirectly	169:24 175:4	105:5 106:13	inner 142:10
237:4	176:4,5,7,17	107:1 131:12	innovation
individual 58:6	177:12 179:17	131:17 132:9	56:14,23
86:5 95:13,24	207:8 212:21	141:12 142:12	innovative
149:18,22	216:4 219:24	174:20 218:8	277:12
150:20 152:7	220:16 235:16	informed	input 120:5,5
153:5 185:2,3	237:25 244:4	115:18	124:21
individualized	254:7,25 255:5	infractions	inquiry 238:15
96:9	255:7,7,9,13	42:24	ins 146:17
individually	266:9 272:22	infrastructure	147:3,11
154:8	272:22,24	55:24 109:7	

[inserted - ish]

inserted 83:11	intentional	interrupt	involve 236:10
insight 54:3	113:14	219:18	involved 30:5
inspected	interest 149:18	interruption	160:13 213:18
274:25	150:9 152:8	251:20 268:19	235:22 236:8
inspection	158:14 159:22	271:19	237:2,19 238:7
275:1	162:18 182:3	intersection	238:10,11,12
inspector 6:16	205:12 237:10	169:15,22	247:9
instance 140:19	251:16,19,19	interval 258:22	involvement
152:12 196:3	interested	intervals 68:22	79:3 238:22
instances	69:18 155:11	intervener 82:5	ipanm 11:4
222:24,25	217:16 260:4	interveners	90:22 116:21
291:13	298:15 299:12	82:6	117:2,5,13
instruction	interesting	introduce	118:24 120:23
104:16	138:20 206:12	41:20 68:13	122:5 128:6
insufficient	240:14	179:11 180:15	165:9,14,24
22:15 274:18	interestingly	212:16 244:14	166:15,20
insulation 22:9	173:7	introduced	170:15 171:20
insurance	interfaith 4:5	79:17 187:20	171:22 172:10
277:25	intermediary	234:22	173:10 174:1,8
integrated 52:6	291:11	introduces 68:7	175:19,24
integrity 86:21	internal 259:1	introduction	176:21 192:14
88:4	interpret	60:4	296:1
intend 113:10	108:19 133:9	invest 15:5	ipanm's 165:7
158:25 159:22	133:10	191:23 217:9	165:11,24
161:10 166:5	interpretation	investing	173:5 174:4
intended 73:7	29:19 35:17	174:11 217:16	175:17 177:9
176:20,23	54:15 75:20	217:22 246:6	242:20
256:12	130:23,24	investment	irony 292:3
intends 191:25	135:7,18 190:4	87:10 244:22	irs 244:4
intent 113:21	285:15	277:18	263:20,22,23
114:2 120:10	interpretations	invisible 21:19	264:6,10
135:16	54:23	invite 12:9 36:8	ish 39:21
intention	interpreting	121:13	119:16 126:10
126:25	47:20	inviting 75:19 168:13 220:2	128:11

[ishkadish - know]

ishkadish	jessek.tremaine	kept 193:1	72:25 73:16,19
259:6	3:8	252:12	76:7 77:15
issuance	job 1:20 14:20	kicked 227:5	80:8,18 82:11
138:22,23	154:18 274:6	kicking 139:17	84:7 85:11,11
issue 44:11	jobs 25:10	kidding 13:20	91:23 92:5
57:2 73:5 78:4	249:15	kids 19:7 208:9	101:13 102:19
84:18 166:4	join 227:6	kind 48:22 58:8	102:20 104:18
171:25 259:21	joint 147:1	71:1 131:11	104:21,23
262:7,8 289:10	252:6	133:18 142:6	106:9 116:3,13
issued 134:25	juan 4:5 24:18	145:9 153:14	117:4 122:12
136:13,17	judgment	155:14 207:4	124:15 126:21
issues 15:10	25:25 158:17	209:3,24	126:21 128:5
31:12,14 39:17	jump 227:18	213:16 227:4	128:10 138:21
44:10 52:1	jumped 31:1	244:4 246:17	138:21 139:12
58:13 59:7	june 37:8,11	247:4 248:11	141:10 143:1
65:12 130:4	115:4,4,16	254:22 256:7	144:3 148:6,9
175:12 184:4	116:19 117:7	kinds 258:13	149:3 150:5
issuing 139:16	117:17 118:2	knew 235:20	152:5,20,25
it'd 14:19	jurisdiction	know 14:1,12	153:4 160:7,7
191:16 267:1	41:24 78:16	15:4 18:3	160:23 161:2,5
it'll 68:14 144:9	jurisdictions	25:23 26:8,15	161:7 162:15
160:20 282:10	78:11	27:20 28:14	162:25,25
283:2	justice 23:7	31:5,6 35:15	163:1,3,4,9,11
item 67:8 84:20	justify 107:17	35:17 36:10	164:4 167:20
iterations	171:15 251:10	38:1,8,11,18	184:11,24
217:4,15	267:3	42:25 46:8	189:15 196:3
j	justin 221:22	47:11 49:4	198:7,21
j 245:3 268:12	k	50:2 54:15,19	202:12 203:12
269:12	k 13:10 211:18	55:11,25 56:21	203:21 207:6
jacob 7:7	keep 12:14	57:11 58:12	208:6,14,25
january 126:8	14:11 86:16	59:21 60:3	209:1,2,16,18
jersey 15:17	154:3 232:2	61:5 62:18	212:5 213:13
jesse 3:3 133:15	250:2 272:7	63:19 67:4,24	213:19 214:6
193:14 225:3	297:5	69:12 70:9,20	216:9,25
279:7	271.5	71:16,22 72:1	217:23 218:18

[know - lease]

	ı	I	1
219:1,13	199:25 229:2	landman 51:25	83:18 91:12
221:25 231:8	237:24 298:10	landowner	153:22 222:16
231:10,11,14	299:6	72:2	263:4
231:15,19	known 26:11	lands 2:19 5:2	laws 39:25 76:1
237:10 241:12	170:22 180:21	19:15 20:9	lawyer 91:12
241:13,17	knows 274:13	183:10,10	92:24 190:3
246:2,12 247:1	kyle 4:8 222:15	187:8 203:10	263:3 288:18
247:10,20,22	1	208:7,11,16	lawyers 39:7
247:22 249:5,7	l 13:8 21:1 24:1	233:12 276:25	lay 144:5,17
250:12,25		278:6 288:20	220:11
251:2,4,5,21	24:1,2,2 50:12	landscapes	layer 73:3
252:11,25	178:20,21	276:7 277:8	layers 183:7
253:1 254:4,12	245:9 272:11	language 62:5	lead 108:19
254:14,16,21	272:12 275:17	72:9 83:25	leadership
254:25 255:2,3	labeled 89:12	113:1	277:13
255:6,11	lack 57:21	languish 250:2	leads 22:6
257:18,20,22	146:18 216:12	lapse 25:25	leak 277:8
258:4,5,7	265:14 285:9	large 19:14	leaking 21:23
259:7 261:20	lacking 75:24	184:25 189:18	25:13
262:1,4,5,12	lacks 58:15	207:1,8 216:22	learn 14:2
264:2 265:16	67:6 75:18	234:10 270:13	56:15 149:3
269:14 272:25	laid 124:25	larger 68:10	learned 52:24
274:14 276:9	land 2:19,21	191:12 214:14	53:1,1,8 56:15
283:12 284:18	5:3,5 7:18	214:19 219:9	64:24 85:3,14
285:2,14,25	26:12,13 27:10	245:23 251:21	110:17,24,25
286:22 287:2,5	146:15,22	lasting 22:6	144:1 172:12
287:7,9,14,15	147:1,3,11,13	late 228:25	learning 14:5
289:23 291:3	147:20,21,25	237:14,15	learnings 56:14
292:1 294:13	153:4 154:10	laterals 226:12	lease 29:21,22
294:19 297:10	155:2 207:21	latest 280:23	58:4,7 59:25
knowing	208:20,21	laureen 7:9	60:4,7,8 62:24
141:10	209:5 214:11	13:8,13	63:1 87:6
knowledge	218:24 219:2	lauren 109:25	146:14 147:6
158:14 198:12	233:11 273:24	law 4:2,9,16	219:4 284:18
198:15 199:19	275:3 288:19	7:14 26:20,21	284:23 289:1
		•	•

[lease - little]

290:4,12	legislatures	licensed 41:15	261:3
leases 155:24	176:2	lie 124:10	lines 81:12,14
273:7	lens 208:12	133:15	97:15 133:18
leave 14:4 39:6	lessees 154:10	life 16:9,11	list 65:1 138:2
46:14 59:8	lesson 14:6	76:21 204:20	138:18 139:1,9
113:22 114:2,4	lest 47:22	206:8 230:7	158:8,10
114:6 168:25	letter 46:9	257:20 276:6	172:15 190:6
198:15 277:2	letters 172:13	lifelong 276:4	190:14 250:19
leaves 148:4,24	letting 144:17	light 4:5 48:14	254:9,11
151:22 274:6	255:18	88:21 104:9	260:12 261:24
leaving 17:17	level 70:21	155:7 169:17	262:3,11
150:13	101:4,18	169:23	286:24 289:16
left 19:24 24:23	152:25 153:1,5		listen 188:5
84:23 125:15	184:14,14	lights 169:21	285:24
159:8,16 169:4	levels 23:9	191:10 205:10	listened 81:2
276:24 283:13		221:16	
	191:20 276:21		listening 79:4
286:21 290:15	lever 203:15	likely 135:7	82:13,14
legacy 59:1	lewis 212:19	limestone	110:25 157:11
78:11 254:11	lfc 54:11 55:11	276:11	lists 158:5
262:2	70:9,10 108:12	limit 42:18	litigating 130:6
legal 35:11	108:17,24	61:24 88:1	litter 14:14
36:8 39:6 67:4	109:4,4,13,13	147:22	little 16:1 18:5
67:5 74:22	109:17 172:9	limitation	25:1 44:20
131:20 132:25	172:19	264:13 270:12	45:18 102:10
141:5 153:15	lfc's 54:16	limited 42:18	112:1 113:4
160:7 175:4	liabilities 20:7	43:12,13 89:24	114:1 139:4
193:14 194:10	22:6 153:12	193:3	150:5 160:9
210:1 225:4	154:16,19	limiting 49:3	162:22 179:17
279:8	liability 23:15	49:18	182:9 188:15
legislative 86:1	75:21 149:21	limits 64:13	188:17 197:4
123:13 127:18	150:18 151:16	line 38:6 62:18	198:3 208:4
273:14	152:12 154:1	104:10 106:8	215:12 218:15
legislature 63:5	289:11	133:23 152:4	226:17,17,20
175:25 208:15	liberal 285:14	203:13 237:22	234:16 243:2
209:2 274:8		238:14 259:25	251:5 267:10

[little - ma'am]

	1	1	1
267:11,20	longfellow	127:15 142:1	250:10 276:22
271:11 286:3	179:18 180:16	175:11 187:3	lower 88:12
288:21,23	180:17,23	190:5,22 205:2	107:14 184:5
295:6	181:19 182:12	205:13,19	184:10,20,20
live 24:15 82:2	184:4 186:7	231:24 256:6	264:15,21
143:12	188:9 190:1,20	263:16	luckily 245:6
lived 22:7	191:14,24	looks 225:11	294:13
livelihood	197:7,13,19	227:5 228:5	lucky 294:14
272:24	198:6,12,21	losing 59:15	lumped 40:21
llc 213:5,8	199:4,9,19,20	loss 41:5	lunch 129:2
237:24	199:25 200:1	235:11	139:24 140:5
llp 2:4 5:14 6:5	207:22	lot 16:20 39:5	luxury 81:8
local 207:4	look 15:21 31:5	52:25 53:2	m
277:10	31:7,9 34:24	54:5 79:2 85:4	m 18:18 21:1
locate 28:14	46:2 62:25	107:4 110:24	24:1,2 178:20
location 1:15	65:1 68:11	120:25 144:9	211:18 275:18
loco 181:18	112:2 114:21	153:17 160:1	275:18
183:19 186:24	116:2,10	161:15 168:13	m&m 253:14
207:24	145:13 160:19	183:7 214:22	253:24 284:2
logs 24:22	184:11,12,13	214:24 215:3	289:2,8,12,13
long 22:14	185:12 186:3,4	218:11 219:15	289:16,18
39:21 58:18	186:15 205:9	236:3 249:15	290:4,19,23
68:13 82:1	225:10 227:19	249:15,16	291:4
129:5,10 145:3	227:20 250:9	254:5 258:11	m&m's 292:10
150:3,5 181:20	251:4	258:22 271:16	ma'am 12:21
226:9 269:16	looked 162:17	lots 187:9	18:15 98:14
271:12,13,18	189:24 254:9,9	203:23 206:5,5	
287:3	260:12	213:14,25	
longer 22:4	looking 20:6	247:25	
113:12 134:4	40:9 44:4 46:5	loud 130:14	,
140:25 203:8	48:20 56:4	211:16	
217:16 226:1	97:14 111:8	loved 82:7	
231:6 268:16	115:16 117:16	lovely 46:6	
271:11 282:18	120:24 121:6	low 23:9	· ·
	121:11 122:3	174:22 191:20	187:22 211:10
287:3 longer 22:4 113:12 134:4 140:25 203:8 217:16 226:1 231:6 268:16	260:12 looking 20:6 40:9 44:4 46:5 48:20 56:4 97:14 111:8 115:16 117:16 120:24 121:6	213:14,25 247:25 loud 130:14 211:16 loved 82:7 lovely 46:6 low 23:9	102:5 105:19 105:22 109:2 110:4,21 111:11 112:5 115:9 117:9 121:9 122:16 127:10,24 128:8,17,20

[ma'am - manzano]

212:10 220:18	295:16 296:7	264:5	250:21 282:14
220:21,25	297:4	majors 268:17	male 50:13
220.21,23	made 23:16	make 14:20	maliciously
256:24 270:25	24:25 53:10	15:6,9 18:1	168:25
madam 27:14	66:2 78:2	19:6 26:20	mama 225:23
28:7,15 33:7	80:19 118:12	35:18,21 36:7	
33:23 34:2,6	120:23 123:9	36:8 44:17	226:6,10,15,16 240:23
35:8 38:24	128:14 147:5	46:3 49:23	manage 53:21
50:23 89:14	166:13 214:23	58:16 63:5	184:18 191:19
91:5 92:19	219:25 235:3	67:6 82:20	managed 88:12
94:25 104:3,12	235:16 244:1,1	86:24 89:22	254:23
104:24 106:15	248:24 260:8	98:25 99:4	
125:16 140:8	274:23 286:7	103:25 120:2	management 59:7 87:6
146:4 156:6,18	maids 14:9	139:1,9,11	152:9,22 237:2
156:23 168:4	mail 34:22	142:9 143:1	237:19 238:21
168:10 177:22	118:23 119:2	162:7 164:14	manager 7:2
187:19 192:7	127:5	165:23 179:25	244:22
192:22 193:5	mails 115:13	194:10 203:4,5	
196:18,24	119:22 297:6	203:8 204:11	managers 152:20,22,25
198:14 200:16	maintain 42:7	208:20 245:22	managing
200:24 207:15	52:9 114:6	246:16 249:10	184:5 185:9,22
210:14,22	141:18 143:4	255:2 267:3	mandating
211:4 219:19	241:3 286:17	268:18 273:3	77:3
220:4 222:11	maintained	276:24 281:22	manner 82:22
223:10 224:22	287:9	282:2 290:14	163:10
228:13 229:17	maintenance	293:14,25	manpower
232:24 233:5	97:10,20	294:3	185:9
236:14 237:21	230:17 240:13	makes 248:23	manzano 213:2
238:5 239:23	240:19	making 12:11	213:3,4,5,8
240:4,7 242:19	major 24:23	19:23 21:19	214:9,12 215:7
255:17 260:13	157:6 245:14	56:2,22 83:18	215:11,22
260:25 262:23	257:21 258:2	154:18 168:6	217:4 218:2,16
264:23 270:16	majority	194:18 227:17	221:2,11
270:25 279:2	180:24 186:25	232:15,15	223:11 225:7
288:13 293:12	244:2 254:11	235:9 249:9,14	227:13 233:15

[manzano - meeting]

233:21 234:1,2	244:10 245:14	maximize	287:1,7 290:13
234:18,23	245:16 248:19	147:2	meaningful
235:22 236:8	248:20 257:15	maximum	191:17 206:10
237:24	263:13,18,24	101:4,17	meaningfully
manzano's	264:4,7,10,11	maxwell 6:12	177:8 195:13
213:17 220:8	264:14,16	33:13,14,16	means 14:4
234:9	265:12,23,24	156:12,14	284:5 285:10
marbob 212:25	266:4 267:8,17	200:10,12	288:1
march 118:25	279:17,20,25	239:16,19,21	meant 126:23
marginal 53:5	280:16,17	292:20,22	129:25
63:4,24 69:1,3	282:19 293:19	mcf 248:23	measured
69:8,23 70:11	293:20	250:21 264:6	168:3
70:17,22 71:9	marked 90:22	282:14	measures
85:24 87:9	192:14 296:1	mcgowen 55:23	187:17
94:7 98:20,24	market 68:23	62:14 71:5	mechanical
99:4,6,8,13,16	86:17 175:4	78:10 132:16	31:14 86:21
99:22,24 100:6	216:8	mcgowen's	179:15
100:10 101:19	markets 55:23	30:16 59:17	mechanically
102:1,7,7,15	mass 273:7	78:4	232:11
103:8,11,15,24	massive 22:6	mean 28:22	mechanism
105:10,11,24	master 261:17	34:14,23 35:9	73:1
106:2 146:12	261:19,24	46:5 48:6 57:3	mechanisms
147:22 180:3	master's 13:10	58:15 70:19	65:3 68:9 70:4
183:25 184:9	244:18	81:5 84:8	138:9
185:14,18	material 88:2	118:19 170:24	meet 32:15,22
190:8,12	materiality	180:1 186:15	77:25 80:5
195:17,22	75:18	191:13,16,17	88:3 115:19
196:7 197:9,21	math 286:3,6	201:21 203:8	116:22,23
198:9,23	matter 1:5	203:25 206:12	117:3,18 122:5
201:17,20	17:19 26:23	206:15 208:19	126:4 127:21
202:22 203:8	48:1,13 137:22	217:19 218:11	129:22 144:13
221:2,8 224:9	176:4 179:21	249:4 252:24	193:16,17
225:18 227:25	203:2 246:14	258:15 266:2	276:13
229:7 231:1,7	matters 86:2	267:12 268:11	meeting 80:16
232:3 244:2,3		269:11 283:4	81:11 117:6,11

[meeting - mexico]

117:17,21	membership	268:4,5,6,6,9,9	mexico 1:1 2:10
118:3,6 119:4	81:1 92:8	269:6,6 270:8	2:19,21 3:2,4
119:10 120:9		279:23 280:5	· · · · · ·
	128:9 135:1,4		3:11,13 4:4,5
120:11 122:13	143:19 144:8	280:18,19	5:3,5,12 6:3,20
122:18 125:6	155:17 165:19	282:24 283:1,9	7:5,8,17,22,25
206:18	167:15	283:11,25	8:3 13:11
meetings	memorized	284:4,11 285:3	19:15 23:12
119:23 120:4	127:14	288:22,25	24:13,15,16,24
120:25 121:2	mention 25:13	289:6 290:3,4	25:6,16 26:10
121:12,14,22	mentioned	290:7,18	31:20 34:15
122:11 123:17	31:11 37:25	291:13 292:9	39:25 41:5
127:17 142:22	42:22,23 43:22	293:19 294:7,9	42:12,19 43:9
melissa 7:10	70:8 147:10	294:14,25	51:15,25 56:7
20:20 21:5,12	149:8 181:17	merrion's	58:21 76:25
member 7:24	215:12 217:3	244:2 249:14	77:24 78:25
119:24 124:20	235:19 252:19	251:15 253:23	88:2,3 98:4
146:13 155:2	mentioning	258:11 281:15	99:1 100:12
174:16	195:18	mesa 248:21	101:3,10
members 58:20	merrion 244:20	259:6,7	103:16 104:1
75:1 76:18	244:21,22	mess 14:20	106:1 133:19
80:17,21,23	245:1,3,9,11,12	15:7 19:6	148:23 151:17
81:13 84:9	245:12,13,18	22:23 23:16	151:20 152:12
123:10,15	246:9,18 247:2	24:23,25	153:11,13
134:20 143:16	247:5,12,13,16	message 53:17	157:7,8 166:1
146:13 152:18	247:18 248:8	167:8	173:25 176:11
153:24 154:9,9	248:12 249:18	messes 273:24	180:25 181:1,3
154:16 155:9	249:21,23	274:2,19	181:10 182:12
155:22,24	250:6 251:15	met 22:7,8 80:6	183:7,14
156:3 158:8	252:19 253:18	129:21 197:5	186:24 190:25
166:23 167:1,7	256:7 257:1,3	212:5 260:3	194:5,20 199:1
169:25 173:19	257:4,16,18	methane 21:18	199:11,22
174:1,14,15	258:10,13	21:24 241:16	200:3 206:20
175:14 177:3	265:3,24 266:4	method 268:13	212:21 213:10
179:11 274:3	266:17,22	mexicans 19:3	213:24 215:8
	267:23,25	272:23 277:1	216:2,22

[mexico - mitchell]

217:17 219:10	midterm	mine's 116:4	misconstrued
219:11 220:6	172:19	mineral 6:23	76:12
223:21 225:16	miguel 2:11	7:3,20	misfortune
233:16 234:3	120:3	minerals 1:2	169:7
234:18 235:3	mike 10:3	3:4,13 147:25	misleading
235:15 239:2	211:18,21	245:6 246:4	104:14
241:10 244:17	mile 226:11,12	248:16	missed 167:22
246:1,11	226:13,13	mines 16:19	216:20 217:1
247:11 248:14	milestones	17:7 244:18	248:10
248:15,19,23	88:11	minimal 221:8	missi 79:25
250:7 254:5	miller 7:24	minimize 167:6	80:23 115:3
255:10 257:6	23:20,21 24:1	minimum	mission 151:18
257:11,11	24:4,10,11	86:12 87:22	152:17,18
258:21 265:15	26:25	minor 42:24	misstates
275:6 276:5,7	million 19:18	minute 90:19	232:21 269:10
276:19 277:12	20:8 183:1	111:3,5 269:2	misunderstan
285:4 288:19	190:9,23	271:8	265:11
298:20	191:10,22	minutes 12:15	misunderstood
mexico's 19:2	197:8,17	46:20 89:25	263:12
22:24 52:9	199:10,17	90:13,14	mitchell 9:18
88:17 278:7	201:18,22	125:19,20	177:24 178:2,4
michael 3:12	202:5,14,20	128:25 140:6	178:13,15,18
michael.hall	205:17,24	193:2 210:20	178:20 179:2
3:17	206:1 209:6	272:8	179:10,14,15
microphone	226:17,20,25	mirror 93:4	179:22 180:1
27:16	249:11 257:5,8	mis 106:5	180:17 181:7
mid 258:15	265:4 276:20	mischaracteri	181:16,22
middle 17:25	millions 25:3	53:4	182:13,18,25
18:2 29:11	247:7	mischaracteri	183:22 184:2,7
41:1 227:10	mind 96:14	195:15	186:10,14
253:10	248:2	misclassifies	187:18 188:3,6
midland 245:4	mindedness	87:10	188:10,20,22
midstream	163:13	misconstrue	189:4,7,11
31:12 165:21	minds 18:3,3	76:20	190:2,14,21
			191:1,16 192:1

[mitchell - names]

	I	1	1
192:6,8,25	19:17 20:2	51:3,5 91:11	multi 78:10,15
193:13,16,22	164:4 169:3	129:17,18,19	85:25 87:24
194:2,6,16,21	173:14 191:14	297:7	260:2 261:8
195:4,12	191:22 206:3,5	mortgaged	multiple 48:16
196:13 197:4	206:9 208:7,19	245:5	48:17 119:23
197:11,15,22	232:15 249:9	motion 35:12	176:21
198:10,20,24	249:15 255:12	motions 175:23	multiplies
199:6,8,12,23	255:16 258:6	motivate	23:10
200:4,11,25	266:10 267:3	252:21	mutually 47:24
201:5,25	274:9 282:2	motivation	n
202:12 203:1	283:18 291:24	16:15	n 2:1 3:1 4:1
205:4,21 206:4	292:4,12	motive 175:13	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1
206:16 207:11	294:11,16,17	move 52:19	9:1 10:1 12:1
207:14,19	294:19,22	55:14 57:12	13:9 50:10
208:3 209:18	monitoring	59:21 60:18	211:19,19
210:4,10,11,13	33:25 156:21	61:2 62:9 63:7	272:11,11
mitchell's	200:18 240:1	66:7 68:25	275:17
11:11	293:5	71:11 72:13	naeva 4:5
modern 278:5	month 57:20	73:13 74:16	name 12:3,11
modernize 19:2	185:19 219:7	75:10 77:11	13:8 18:16,17
modernizing	283:7	79:1 83:1	20:25 21:11
65:4	monthly	84:21 129:12	23:25 24:2,11
modified 95:21	184:14	133:2 140:13	50:9,11,14
molecule	months 30:4,11	165:6 192:7	51:11,13 154:4
176:10	32:3 69:10	206:7 232:22	178:18 193:14
mom 207:3	119:1 123:2	238:12 295:1	211:17 212:6
moment 89:21	182:14 216:10	moved 217:20	225:3 243:6,19
168:5 192:11	moore 7:17	245:4	263:2 272:6,10
202:1 227:5	28:17 196:17	moves 89:16	275:16 276:4
254:7	morgan 7:13	moving 85:10	279:7
monday 27:6	111:5	125:14,23	name's 179:14
118:13 278:14	morning 12:2	229:21	222:15
297:7,15	18:14 20:16	mst 13:10	named 47:7
money 14:18	21:11 24:10	msuazo 2:15	names 188:23
16:14 18:5	27:14,25 28:2		100.23

[nanasi - new]

	I		
nanasi 33:12	need 16:25	124:7 125:2,3	39:25 41:5
156:11 200:9	17:24 18:1	128:14 138:11	42:12,19 43:9
239:15 292:19	20:1,11,12	142:21 144:13	51:15,24 52:9
narrow 292:8	26:17 29:2	209:11	52:25 56:7,15
natalie 7:15	60:5 84:16	negotiated	56:15,17,22
275:12,17,20	90:19 105:5	126:19	58:21 76:25
276:4	106:25 112:19	negotiations	77:14,24 78:25
nation 22:3	116:7 131:24	94:3 95:21	85:23 87:8,9
23:3	145:13 157:20	122:13,19,25	87:23 88:2,3
national 21:13	160:3,6 161:5	123:3 128:6,10	88:17,25 98:4
277:4	168:2,14	142:24 143:6	99:1 100:11
nationally	170:16 197:7	neither 22:11	101:3,10
277:15	199:10 202:5	97:22 173:18	103:16 104:1
nationwide	205:8 209:23	298:11 299:7	106:1 126:5
257:6	221:2,23 248:1	nephews 17:19	133:19 140:24
native 16:10,20	261:22 262:16	net 248:25	148:11,15,22
natural 1:2 3:4	265:3 266:4,17	network	148:23 149:23
3:13 6:23 7:3	267:14 271:8	276:11	150:20 151:15
7:20 273:25	274:4,21	never 13:23	151:17,20
277:11	294:25	169:5 176:20	152:12,13,14
nature 31:13	needed 84:2,20	237:12 246:10	153:11,13
90:10,16	137:22 256:11	284:19 287:1	154:3 157:7,7
near 22:10	270:20	291:10	157:8 165:25
24:19	needle 18:9	new 1:1 2:10,19	173:24 176:4
nearby 146:21	needs 55:1 58:9	2:21 3:2,4,11	176:11 180:24
nearly 19:18	138:16 139:4	3:13 4:4,5 5:2	181:1,3,10
20:5 93:9,11	153:4 256:22	5:5,11 6:2,20	182:12 183:7
93:13 164:1	260:20 267:13	7:5,8,17,21,25	183:14 186:22
necessarily	274:20	8:3 13:10	186:24 190:25
32:9 49:4,17	negatively	15:17 19:2,3	194:4,20
99:23 246:16	258:6	19:14 22:24	198:25 199:11
248:2 252:3	neglect 277:9	23:12 24:13,15	199:21 200:3
necessary 16:8	negligent 262:6	24:16,24 25:6	203:8,9 204:8
88:20	negotiate 94:13	25:15 26:10	206:6,20
	119:4,11 124:6	31:20 34:15	212:21 213:10

[new - number]

213:24 215:8	nm 1:18 2:6,14	158:18,25	noncompliant
216:2,22	2:23 3:7,16	159:16 160:3	149:20
217:16 219:10	4:11,18 5:7,16	200:20 240:3	normal 145:7
219:11 220:6	6:7,15	nmoga's 55:17	normally
222:25 223:21	nmac 1:8 83:10	57:1,23 61:10	214:16
225:12,16,19	83:11	63:25 67:2,12	notary 298:19
225:23 233:16	nmoga 27:11	68:3,17 69:22	note 107:3
234:2,18 235:2	29:17,18 56:10	70:25 71:19	108:24
235:15 239:2	58:12 60:1,14	72:6,9,20 74:7	notice 119:5
241:10,16	60:19,22 61:16	74:13,21 75:1	120:15 135:21
244:17 246:1	61:19 62:6,10	75:15 77:1,19	219:6 246:11
246:11 247:11	63:4 65:22	78:6,21 79:3	247:11,13,15
248:14,14,18	67:5 69:18	81:13 84:17,19	247:18
248:22 250:7	71:7 72:8	84:23,25 111:3	notices 136:4
254:5 255:10	73:23 74:25	111:9,10,14,21	136:14,18
257:6,11,11	77:10 78:22	128:8 151:13	notifications
258:21 265:15	79:8,17 82:14	152:10 153:22	72:3
272:23 273:2	83:3,14 84:9	155:16,22	notify 41:25
275:6 276:5,7	88:20 89:16	160:21 165:18	notifying 117:2
276:19 277:1	91:20,21 97:22	nmsa 44:20	nov 136:7
277:12 278:7	111:8 112:3,25	nmslo.gov 2:24	139:16 140:21
285:4 288:18	113:9 115:4,20	5:8	140:24
298:20	115:21 116:15	nominal 183:4	november
newly 86:13	117:13 118:24	183:5 185:16	81:16 125:11
ngo's 52:20	119:23 120:23	204:6	298:17 299:13
nice 14:19	122:4 128:2,6	non 64:15	novs 137:4,9,13
162:4,5 193:16	130:2 134:12	75:18 77:17	137:21,22
208:6	135:2 142:11	78:1 86:15	number 30:4
niche 268:14,15	142:15 143:15	122:19 139:1	45:3 55:15
nicholas 6:12	143:16 144:8	151:14 155:23	63:12 86:3,25
nieces 17:19	145:5 151:17	196:5 246:6	87:8,16,20
night 27:9	151:18 152:17	248:15 252:9	93:19 94:17
nine 27:6 88:5	153:16,18	274:21 286:9	97:23 98:4
94:13,14	154:9 155:2,8	290:23 291:15	105:9 113:7
278:13 297:16	155:24 158:7		119:7 148:24

[number - october]

	I	1	1
153:19 154:9	objection 35:9	occurs 214:18	140:15,19
155:2,23 167:5	35:15 92:14	ocd 24:14 33:2	141:14 143:14
180:12 182:3	96:21 102:17	39:23 41:3,12	144:14 149:2
197:18 202:13	106:5 195:14	42:8,17,18	157:21 158:1
203:6 221:8	198:10 223:17	44:22 45:10,11	159:18 163:21
225:22,24	229:18 232:20	53:12,15 54:2	164:15,17
227:3,12 264:3	255:17 256:14	55:6,7 58:17	170:3,8,9
283:16 285:20	256:16 260:13	61:13 64:3	172:12,18
286:9 289:20	269:9	65:14 68:16	175:14 187:20
numbered	objections	71:24 72:4	189:9 193:21
115:11	89:21 106:17	73:5 75:6	193:24 194:15
numbers 64:24	167:21 192:12	76:18,22 79:9	218:23 223:8
69:17 103:2	220:2 246:24	81:10,11,13	227:11 241:5
115:12,13	295:23	83:25 88:6	261:18 285:7
120:24 293:13	objective 77:8	93:21,24 94:3	285:20 286:24
numerous	objects 169:8	94:9,13 96:8	289:16
142:13 170:7	177:18	102:15 105:10	ocd's 52:17
213:13 220:2	obligation	107:10 108:8	53:6 66:6 73:2
229:12	283:14	108:11 109:5	81:20 82:14
0	observation	109:13,25	83:4 93:20
o 12:1 18:18	130:1 168:17	110:6,13	94:23 101:25
21:1,2 243:7	observe 130:21	117:24 118:24	103:9 105:24
275:18	obsolete 273:3	119:15 120:1	107:14,18
o'clock 27:3,4	obvious 170:25	120:10 124:8	119:21 124:6
271:9,24	obviously	125:6 126:1,8	126:4 130:23
o'grady 7:13	31:10 151:9	126:16,24	135:15,16,21
oath 124:11	184:19 240:14	127:6,11 128:6	138:21 140:16
object 34:17	occ 12:3 61:22	130:3,13	141:5
36:22 104:4	62:19 68:21	131:10,16	ocds 81:24
106:9 150:24	75:7,24 163:5	132:8 133:8	125:1 126:14
168:6 219:21	209:17	134:16,19,25	october 1:12
223:11 228:14	occurred 115:4	135:11,12	81:18 119:22
237:22 255:20	120:9 254:12	136:13,17	120:11 121:2,2
objected	occurring	137:21 138:1	121:12,23
256:17	285:10	138:10 139:16	122:4,6 125:5

[odd - oil]

odd 183:2	33:24 34:3,4,7	196:22,25	272:18 275:8
offenders 170:1	34:20 35:1,6,8	198:14,17	275:15 278:9
170:7 172:14	35:19 36:1,5	200:7,13,16,17	278:22 279:2,3
offer 12:21	36:12 38:25	200:22,25	288:10,14
18:14 20:15	39:1,8,14	201:1,8 207:12	292:17,24
27:2 104:16	46:17,25 50:6	207:16 210:6,9	293:2,4,9,13
123:17 125:24	50:13,20,24	210:15,19,23	295:17,18,22
272:1 278:12	88:8 89:5,9,15	210:24 211:2,4	296:5,8,11,14
offered 153:19	89:20 90:1,4,7	211:5,8,11,15	296:18 297:1,4
193:1 216:19	90:13,15 91:1	211:25 219:19	297:12 298:2
218:1 223:16	91:6 92:17,20	220:1,4,10	offset 31:12
258:9	94:25 95:3,7	222:7,11	ogrid 148:18
offering 117:3	102:22 103:4	223:10,18,25	ogrids 285:16
280:4	104:3,12,15,25	224:18,22	oh 15:3 16:12
offers 115:19	105:2 106:10	228:13,18	17:11 46:25
office 2:19,21	106:15,16,19	229:17,22	90:4 96:15
5:3,5 7:18	106:22 107:6	232:24,25	125:17 129:8,9
146:15,22	125:17 128:22	233:5 236:14	143:25 178:7
147:1,3,11,13	129:2,4,8,13	236:19 237:21	196:20 201:3
147:20,25	139:22 140:1,4	238:5,16	218:9 233:18
153:4 154:10	140:9 145:25	239:12,20,23	252:4 263:10
207:21 209:6	146:5 151:1	239:25 240:4,5	269:1 270:6
218:24 219:2	156:7,8,16,18	240:7 242:1,6	289:21
233:11 288:19	156:20,24	242:11,15,19	oil 1:3 2:10 3:2
office's 27:10	157:18,22	242:22,25	3:11 6:20 7:5,8
155:3	159:4 161:2,19	243:4,8,14	7:22 8:3 12:4
officer 12:2,3	164:23 165:3,6	255:18,22	14:17 15:4,13
12:24 13:3,5	168:4,10,16	256:19,22	16:1,18 19:4
13:17 18:12,24	177:22,23	260:14,15,22	19:10 20:10
20:14,24 21:3	178:1,17 179:6	260:25 261:4,9	21:15,20 22:2
21:9 23:18,24	187:19,23	261:12 262:20	24:17,24 25:5
24:8 26:24	192:7,10,19,22	262:24 264:24	26:1,14 42:19
27:15,17,22	192:23 193:6	268:22 270:17	43:9 51:15,21
28:7,12,15,18	195:19,23	270:22 271:1,2	52:10 53:25
33:7,9,15,18,23	196:9,15,18,20	271:5,15,21,23	59:5 61:15

[oil - okay]

85:22 93:3	278:5 279:8	104:22 107:2	192:12,17
97:8,18 98:25	283:11	109:12 111:24	194:3,17
99:4 100:11	okay 20:24	111:25 112:18	196:11 197:12
101:5 103:15	21:10 23:21	112:20,22,23	198:6 199:7,18
103:25 105:25	24:10 29:1,8	114:4,12,16,25	199:24 211:2
109:8 122:25	29:14 30:1,15	115:1,10,16	212:11,15
139:6 148:6	30:22 32:2	116:1,6,9,12	214:21 220:19
149:9 153:11	33:1,5,9 34:23	117:1 118:22	220:22 221:1
165:17 174:18	36:4,21,24	119:9 120:17	221:14,20
176:19 179:17	37:12 38:5,14	121:18 123:6	222:4 224:8,16
180:4,11	38:18,22 40:11	125:5,21 127:8	224:18 225:10
181:11 189:15	40:17,20,23	129:9,10,14,20	225:20 226:3
193:15 206:21	41:1,8,15,18	129:25 131:2,7	226:14,23
208:20 212:21	43:11 44:9	132:14,22	227:2,6,16
213:2,3 218:5	46:14 49:24	132:14,22	228:3 229:4,10
220:16 221:21	51:11 55:14	134:11,16	230:11,25
225:4 227:21	56:8 58:10	135:20,23	231:4,9,23
228:6,8 229:13	59:4 61:1,16	136:6,9,12,21	232:6,17
231:15 235:16	62:9 63:14	137:15,19,20	233:18 234:1,5
239:6 240:12	64:14 67:12	138:4 139:20	234:15,20
244:20 245:1	68:3 69:21	142:18 144:21	235:10 237:7
245:11,12,13	70:13,16 71:7	145:16,21	237:17 239:1,1
245:18 246:8,9	71:16 72:13,17	146:25 147:8	239:4,10,10,20
246:18 247:5	72:20 73:23	148:2,10,15	239:25 241:22
247:12,13,16	74:7,16,24	149:7,7,16	242:11 243:23
247:12,13,10	75:10,15 76:2	150:11,17	244:7 252:15
248:12 255:1	77:19 78:3,18	151:11,11,13	256:6,21 259:9
255:13,14	79:1 83:21	151:17 153:8	262:17 266:24
256:12 265:15	84:21 85:10,18	154:14 155:6	270:6,15 271:2
268:3 272:21	89:9,11 90:7	155:21 156:5	271:20 279:15
273:4,13,15,18	90:18 92:17	158:12 160:10	279:22 280:2
273:1,13,13,16	95:7 96:15	162:13 163:22	280:22,25
274:13 275:7	97:16 99:21	164:20 168:16	281:4,12,25
276:8,15 277:3	100:3,16 101:3	178:8 184:7	283:8 284:10
277:14,25	102:12 103:23	186:14 189:2	285:19 286:2
,—-			

[okay - operators]

286:13 289:5	167:16 168:7,8	226:1 229:11	131:11,17
289:12,21	169:11 172:7	233:19 234:18	132:9 139:17
290:22 291:6	openness 69:17	248:11 249:11	141:25 148:3,7
291:12 292:15	operate 58:7	249:21 252:6	148:11,15,22
293:23 295:5	78:25 157:8	253:8 262:14	148:22 149:9
296:18	181:2 182:19	268:5 277:24	167:1 174:21
okey 242:18	183:2 191:19	283:6 284:17	181:10 202:8
oklahoma	207:5 214:4,12	284:21 290:11	209:25 214:9
180:24 182:20	214:15 215:16	operation 76:3	214:19 222:21
183:3	230:8 234:24	152:19 183:16	223:4 225:11
old 16:7 18:7	235:7 239:2	184:25 185:23	229:2,8 231:24
26:18 56:21	249:25 268:17	186:22 204:6	250:7 251:15
57:10 74:5	293:25	264:13 268:8	266:11 285:3
118:18 149:24	operated	operational	286:23 289:8
150:22 240:15	154:11 226:2	31:10 52:1,4	290:23,24
oldest 22:2	228:25 229:6,8	59:20 61:25	291:2,16
once 22:21	232:9 235:7	75:23 95:15	operator's
65:19 81:9	246:6 248:15	181:12 234:2	132:10 284:4
282:11,11,17	289:2,14 290:4	operations	291:15 292:4
289:7,7	290:18	24:21 62:1	operators
ones 38:21 43:3	operates 148:3	97:9,19 182:17	22:17 56:20
189:21 262:6	199:21 233:15	182:19,20	58:21 60:6
287:12	248:9,13	186:19,21	65:10,11 66:17
ongoing 146:21	251:17	189:19 204:16	68:8,9,10 75:5
189:19 237:9	operating	215:13 218:21	78:25 98:24
269:23	58:23 132:16	220:6,8 221:5	112:10 113:4,7
online 225:23	139:3,7 148:7	223:8 267:18	135:12 138:12
open 24:21	148:23,24	269:24 270:10	138:25 139:2,6
54:3 80:14	152:14 153:13	operator 22:11	139:7 154:18
122:13 135:7	154:3 155:4	23:5 39:24	155:11,12
143:3 163:13	180:24 182:5	41:4 48:17	158:5 164:15
opened 114:22	183:21 185:7	67:18 68:15	168:1 170:10
opening 9:3	186:13 213:11	71:23 75:11,12	170:17 171:5,9
50:2 129:23	215:15 221:11	76:5 87:20,21	172:4 177:13
130:1 165:7	222.21 225.21	95:22 114:2	101.0 104.1
130.1 103.7	223:21 225:21	93.22 114.2	181:9 194:1

[operators - owners]

	I	I	
203:3 214:25	259:11 276:2	orphan 22:3	252:2 255:19
215:3 216:21	296:13	23:2 53:5,21	overall 109:5
218:1 222:1	oppose 56:10	64:18,25 110:1	124:22 235:15
257:21,23,25	73:23 77:4	165:23,25	overarching
259:21 261:21	opposed 241:21	172:15 173:12	52:21,23
261:23 262:12	opposite 153:9	176:25 254:5,6	overlap 93:6,8
262:14 268:15	opposition	254:10 255:4	165:18
270:14 273:6	94:22	261:17,19	overly 92:14
273:22 274:15	optical 21:17	262:3 286:8,18	overreach
283:16,21,25	optimism	286:24 287:22	62:21
285:4,21	173:22,23	orphaned	overrides
286:13,14,22	option 32:11	19:19 25:4	248:16
286:23,25	70:4	172:20,21,21	oversees
287:10,11,25	options 66:4	173:2,24	109:25 110:1
295:7,12,14	232:2	253:15 286:21	oversight 25:1
operatorship	oral 12:15 36:2	287:6,8 288:4	73:4 86:20
131:18 186:13	order 34:19,19	288:7 289:16	overwhelming
opex 222:2	126:13 297:9	orphaning	123:11
opinion 46:11	orders 138:5	132:19 170:7	own 19:11 22:8
84:7 103:20	organization	171:24 262:12	58:7 102:23
215:1 218:1	21:14 51:18	orth 1:14 12:3	103:2 183:12
221:25 259:25	80:25 120:7	ostroff 7:10	190:4 198:15
260:8 261:6	124:15	20:21,23 21:1	198:25 228:24
opinions 61:17	organization's	21:5,10,12	230:8 251:16
81:1 153:19	153:25	23:19	251:18,21,23
opponents	organizations	outcome	258:24 259:13
246:8,9 255:1	82:2	219:12 298:16	265:13 274:3
opportunities	original 37:8	299:12	284:1,17,17,19
246:6	37:17 53:3	outlook 217:12	290:9,9,11,12
opportunity	119:18	outraged 16:8	owned 237:11
27:3 57:10	originally	outreach 67:4	245:6
80:11,12 85:7	64:21 93:12	outside 39:25	owner 212:21
85:13 102:18	264:16	41:5 45:13	213:3 291:4
126:4 165:9	originated	137:21 153:7	owners 19:14
168:9 187:10	93:20,24 94:9	210:2 223:15	87:25 147:24

[owners - pass]

283:3	117:16 188:14	paraphrase	47:12 143:10
ownership 62:1	227:17 259:24	26:18 44:22	143:12 147:1
152:8 182:3	261:3 263:16	pardon 188:17	168:12 266:12
237:2,19	264:24,24	park 277:5	particularly
238:21	pages 94:21	parks 21:25	63:4 132:15
oxy 2:2 94:3,3	95:1,5,8 97:3	part 19:12 42:9	163:25 164:9
94:13,14 95:21	107:8 111:18	51:18 53:17	parties 30:13
118:24 124:18	115:11 119:6	56:8 76:23	36:21 38:3
oxy's 171:11	192:9	83:17 88:16	69:16 81:10,18
р	paid 25:22,23	93:25 94:9,12	81:21 85:5
_	pain 31:7	94:14 96:5	93:14 94:18
p 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1,1 6:1	173:25 177:12	99:10 100:4	119:4,11,15
, ,	painful 167:3	104:19 111:14	120:15 121:7
6:1 7:1,1 8:1,1 12:1 13:9,9	169:9	112:7,8,8,9	124:20 125:8
243:7	palace 4:17	113:3,12 114:4	125:24 126:2,4
p&a 76:4 77:7	pam 22:9	123:2 162:16	126:22,25
77:25 164:5	pamela 299:2	166:6 185:9	127:17 144:5
197:23,23	299:15	194:4 219:23	144:17 145:1,7
p.c. 2:12 7:7	pandemic	223:6 270:18	160:13 161:1
p.m. 140:4	162:22	274:4 278:3	161:12 163:11
297:17	paper 118:19	293:23 294:1	271:8 298:12
p.o. 2:5,22 5:6	118:22	participant	298:14 299:8
5:15 6:6,14	paperwork	6:11 130:3	299:11
package 187:3	43:4	176:7	partners
187:10	par 57:11	participants	251:23 252:7,8
pads 273:18	paragraph	176:6	252:12
page 9:2 40:8,9	29:11 39:20,22	participate	parts 140:16
40:10,25 41:10	39:22 40:1,9	165:10,15	150:6
44:20 95:11	41:9 99:16	166:9	party 51:19
96:16 97:4	112:3,6,25	participated	121:19 165:12
98:16 100:5	paragraphs	123:1	193:2 213:14
109:3,4 115:12	40:21	participating	236:8
115:12,16,23	parameters	165:21	paseo 4:10
115:12,10,23	60:4 161:11	particular	pass 25:17 91:7
116:5,12,19		30:23 43:14	145:23 156:6
110.5,12,17			

[pass - person]

200.6 222.22	manulian 00.10	102.25 105.12	manfannina
200:6 232:23	peculiar 80:18	103:25 105:13	performing
275:2 288:8	peer 247:2	105:14,25	229:14 282:2,6
passed 26:12	peltz 173:7	106:1,3 124:17	period 29:25
192:21 247:1	pendency	141:21,24	30:2 57:20
passes 275:1	142:19	149:10,13,18	67:20 86:13
passing 25:20	penn 213:25	150:8 154:15	136:3 140:21
past 162:19,20	pennsylvania	154:24 155:7	141:7 145:6
162:21 172:16	7:10 21:12,22	158:14 162:19	163:1 164:17
173:9 207:22	22:1,16 23:8	162:20,23,24	180:25 225:22
234:3	penultimate	163:3 167:24	228:12 230:8
path 23:13	48:24	169:16 190:15	274:2
paths 129:20	people 15:8,21	190:17 191:7,8	periodic 88:22
pause 89:21	16:10 17:23	191:8 199:14	periods 60:24
192:11 295:22	18:7 23:13	201:19,24	136:10
pay 18:2 19:17	45:24 47:16	202:7,9,22,23	permanent
19:24 20:12	168:24 169:2	203:7,14 205:3	208:21
23:16 25:12,19	169:20 170:6	205:5,13,14	permian 15:17
25:19 44:24	172:3 173:1	209:7 214:24	116:21 117:13
45:5,10,12,14	176:24 216:25	214:25 215:4	276:10 277:3
46:11 176:9	247:25 249:15	246:13 248:24	permit 194:15
205:20 209:5	249:19 273:21	249:6,7 251:16	195:3
249:5,13 255:6	278:7	251:19,23	permitted
274:8 283:4	pep 13:9	253:16,20	194:18
paying 19:11	pepersack 7:9	266:13,14,15	permitting
28:4,5 29:15	12:23 13:1,4,7	266:21 284:12	193:21,24
29:20 30:6,19	13:8,9,13,19	286:4,14	227:11
205:24 253:22	18:13	287:25 288:2	person 13:19
253:23 255:7	perceived	294:2,8 295:8	17:3 53:21
294:8	120:5	295:11,11,14	129:22 148:3
pays 277:24	percent 65:5	percentage	149:17,22
pc 267:12	70:6 77:5,23	171:17 186:1	150:7,8,19
281:10 284:19	87:25 98:10,11	190:11 203:2	151:14,21
284:20,22	98:25 99:1,4,5	287:23 295:6	152:2,13
pecos 1:16	100:10,11	perform 230:12	157:14 271:17
277:5	103:15,16,25		
	, -, -		

[personal - plug]

	220.25.227.10	1-: 40.21	CC.14.CO.5
personal	228:25 237:18	plain 49:21	66:14 69:5
158:13 198:15	238:22,23,24	plan 68:8,9,10	85:16 111:6
212:17 237:24	239:2,5 244:18	76:4,8,10,12,16	116:2,13 121:8
personally	pfas 15:25	76:21 168:25	122:12 134:2
198:21	ph 156:11	231:16 259:2	178:19 179:12
perspective	phase 88:21	281:15,17,25	202:2 211:17
51:17 52:6	phone 278:16	planned 80:13	228:22 243:6
152:10 153:22	phrase 56:9	118:13	260:23 271:24
153:25,25	151:12	planning 60:7	275:16
165:24 216:1	phrased 194:9	60:7 68:8 72:5	pleasure 129:3
219:10 276:3	pick 169:12	80:13 87:7	210:18
persuaded	picked 238:8	118:9	plow 114:24
141:11	pictured	plans 58:17	plug 19:19
pertain 188:8	248:20 250:16	80:8 251:1	32:19 45:1,15
pertains 259:13	250:18,23	282:17	53:25 108:11
petition 37:8	259:6	plant 241:15	108:11,25
79:15,18 80:14	piece 221:21	plants 14:25	109:19 150:15
80:20 81:9	251:21	plastics 16:1	155:3 168:1
93:20 115:19	pieces 92:15	platform 12:10	171:9 173:14
126:24 128:15	pilot 97:9,19	20:17,18 27:1	198:3 199:20
128:17 135:18	pin 143:10	54:20 92:9	204:12 206:3
143:17 144:15	243:5	177:25 178:1	215:17 221:3
petitioned	pink 188:14,18	242:21 271:11	230:13 249:24
80:11 118:12	pipeline 249:12	275:11 278:11	249:25 250:3
118:13 126:16	place 17:13	play 25:22,23	250:20 252:22
234:22	32:10,11,15	26:6	252:25 253:1,8
petitioner	35:20 65:3,14	player 157:6	253:19,24
144:14	65:16 67:10	plays 246:2	254:2 255:13
petitioner's	89:6 121:23	please 12:17	258:18 259:3
146:12	161:4 185:10	17:6 18:4,16	259:22 282:1,6
petitioning	209:19 223:1	20:25 23:25	289:6 290:3
82:23	245:20 255:15	29:7 31:24	291:15,25,25
petroleum 5:11	257:5 276:8,12	40:8 50:9	292:5,13
6:2 24:19	placed 32:4	51:11 57:14	295:12
116:21 179:18	216:7	63:15 65:21	

[plugged - potential]

	т.	т.	т.
plugged 22:14	253:11 255:4	153:19,23	143:12 151:10
25:7 32:4 45:7	257:12 258:9	171:21 172:3	152:9,11
76:12,13	258:13,15,25	175:24 209:20	171:20 182:21
154:10 167:25	259:1 260:12	275:3	182:21 186:25
177:1 182:12	262:15 274:12	polluters 19:22	209:22 234:6,8
182:13,15	284:4 288:22	23:15	245:16,17
215:7,10	292:13	polluting 16:19	positioned
230:15 233:21	plus 205:12	16:20	82:17 144:8
249:23 250:15	235:11	pollution 21:18	positions
252:19 253:13	point 80:7	23:10 25:13	153:17 167:13
258:11 262:4	82:25 111:16	276:25	possibility
262:16 274:25	113:20 116:20	poor 25:10	206:24 234:21
281:8 284:2	116:23 118:11	172:7	possible 17:8
288:3 290:18	119:9 132:6	poorly 194:9	117:25 120:21
plugging 12:5	142:5 144:22	pops 207:3	151:13 202:18
22:19 23:14	147:5 151:13	portfolio 63:13	possibly 44:14
37:18 44:24	165:23 167:23	66:18 132:19	44:18 234:22
46:4 48:10	167:25 168:1	225:15 265:25	post 22:17
53:13,15 58:16	169:12 195:17	portfolios 60:7	66:17 161:3
64:5 68:23	239:5 249:2	68:11 162:12	162:22 169:22
71:24 72:5	255:14 266:6	286:14 288:2	172:3 205:11
76:16,21 86:9	269:15 270:16	portion 37:15	239:6 249:2
88:17 107:10	274:23	61:3 83:9	258:4 294:25
107:13,23	pointed 173:4	111:17 165:16	posting 191:11
108:4,9 109:5	pointing 26:7	166:21 276:6	postpone
109:9,15 110:1	points 130:6	portions 52:6	125:25 126:13
110:6,14 112:8	142:21 214:23	110:3 165:21	126:16
164:11,17	274:7	188:8	postponement
167:17 168:2	poisonous	pose 73:4 171:5	126:3
168:22 171:12	25:14	posed 153:23	posture 231:13
172:5 174:11	policed 274:3	position 57:1	potential 32:18
197:20 203:19	policies 177:16	63:25 67:2	59:9 79:18
206:6 218:23	policy 7:11	68:4 77:1 78:7	135:6 195:17
230:12 249:22	21:12 48:1,13	124:25 132:17	221:18 276:20
252:21 253:7	49:25 52:1	132:24 141:19	

[potentially - privilege]

potentially	predominantly	preservation	209:12
32:8,19 48:17	182:5 187:5	87:6	prevented
54:8 55:7	preface 85:3	preserve 35:9	232:12
powell 65:8	130:8 145:10	35:14 67:18	prevention
168:13 170:6	prefer 36:10	86:3 87:4	19:23 87:17,18
173:16 196:4	premature	preserving	previous 41:9
250:6	95:14	66:3 70:3 86:9	45:7 46:24
powell's 137:4	prematurely	president 6:24	previously
138:9 141:14	203:19 204:12	51:14 238:25	31:11 34:12
173:22 188:5	premium	pressing	42:17,23,23
279:12	266:15 294:8	278:17	49:16
power 4:5	premiums	pressure	price 67:22
15:13 175:22	282:25	230:17 240:13	162:9 251:7
273:3	prepare 143:17	240:19 241:4	prices 251:4,10
powerpoint	prepared 80:7	presume 57:18	primarily
178:12	80:9 210:15	presumed	204:19 244:24
powers 42:18	299:3	105:12 224:13	245:13 248:19
practical 52:8	preparing	presumption	primary
72:10 76:17	122:21 279:18	57:15 58:11	170:24
practicality	presence	59:6 60:16,21	prime 123:9
76:16	252:20	95:12,23 96:13	175:17
practice 41:16	present 6:19	98:5,10 132:10	principles
83:22 86:24	30:15 174:8	133:6 135:10	41:10 42:4
practices 52:8	175:8 197:20	141:8	prior 132:23
53:7 54:7	270:20	presumptions	154:24 179:19
290:13	presentation	57:13 87:1	233:14 298:5
pre 62:5,6 70:5	92:23 114:23	88:25 93:24	prioritize 88:10
72:9 108:18	115:8 167:10	94:23 95:18	pristine 17:8
256:23	presented	96:19 97:12,24	private 19:15
precedent 23:2	27:11 157:14	pretty 169:13	213:6 214:8
predecisional	158:13 167:6	172:7 195:20	217:5,12,15
76:7	172:22 259:10	292:8	225:7
predict 170:3	presenting	prevent 23:1	privilege
219:11	173:15	57:8 170:25	273:21
		173:2 203:22	

[probably - program]

	I	I	I
probably 154:8	161:1,6 175:18	produced 32:3	240:25 241:9
168:23 172:25	219:25 222:17	188:25 189:14	246:12 250:10
175:16 176:24	263:5,13	228:5,7,7,8	251:1 252:13
182:14 184:9	264:12,15	254:18	267:4,6,9
201:6 205:2	265:12 266:9	producers	276:8 277:19
208:3 234:19	297:18 299:4	176:1 189:12	282:11 284:14
269:12	proceedings	204:3,19	285:3,7,9,21
problem 22:4	51:19 52:25	producing 69:9	286:16 287:7
22:16 23:10	53:9 60:10	174:22 181:10	287:13 288:1
25:18,20,24	64:7,22 69:15	184:5,10,19,20	291:8
64:20 65:19,20	69:20 82:9	199:15 229:12	productive
138:16 150:13	151:10 157:11	232:12,15	175:1 206:7
172:1 206:15	158:21 168:8	240:24 250:3	professional
207:8 254:6,21	172:12 298:3,5	267:20	41:19 42:2
254:21,22	298:6,9 299:6	production	51:21 90:17
267:19 286:18	process 49:16	26:4 32:24	proffer 113:16
287:22	82:6 115:22	57:19 63:2	228:16 295:19
problematic	116:16 123:13	87:1 89:3 98:4	295:20
134:20 135:3	130:15 133:8	98:11 101:4,18	proffered
problems 76:4	135:4,9,12,21	105:14 109:8	255:19
139:19 148:25	142:7 145:13	165:16 167:8	profiles 68:23
154:20 155:13	148:9,20	170:11 171:17	profit 15:3,3
166:12 170:19	162:16 166:16	171:18 180:12	17:12 235:5,8
175:6 238:13	187:15 211:7	183:20 185:2,3	248:25 273:20
procedures	219:16 292:1	189:6,10	294:1
75:9	processes 53:19	193:20,24	profitable
proceed 74:12	107:15	194:19 196:2	235:17
78:19 85:16	procurement	203:20 204:7,9	profits 16:14
proceeding	53:7,19 55:4	204:10,14	17:12
37:22 38:3	107:15	207:25 214:15	program 53:21
51:12,16 54:12	produce 102:2	214:24 215:3	53:23 54:7,9
59:17 70:14	180:3,4 189:15	225:14 227:18	54:21 64:19
78:8 85:12	189:16 194:14	227:19,20	65:5 86:20
91:13 93:4	232:18 267:10	228:10,12	184:12
94:19 153:17	282:8 286:15	231:14,25	

[programmatic - protections]

	I	I	
programmatic	77:24 169:5	69:13 79:10	186:8 187:17
6:22	properties	85:19 92:24,25	197:9 199:9
programs 55:8	216:7,15	93:4,5,9,19,20	216:3 224:14
prohibit 56:10	property	93:23 99:7,23	234:6 256:2
257:24	221:17	102:8 103:9	259:22 266:18
prohibited 78:1	proponents	111:3,13,14,22	268:8 269:5,18
project 108:18	247:21	119:5,11 121:8	269:19 270:7,8
109:6 181:18	proportional	121:19 124:6	279:24 282:19
181:23 182:8	185:2	125:2,7 126:1	293:20
183:4,19	proposal 37:11	126:5 127:12	proposes 61:6
186:17,25	37:18,21 45:2	161:9 204:25	69:7 71:17
188:24 189:22	55:17 58:11,13	219:3	72:18 73:20
218:3 240:13	60:20,23 61:11	propose 28:6	75:13 112:3
241:5,8	61:13,20 63:11	29:15 30:4	127:16
projections	64:10 67:2,14	63:9 76:24	proposing 49:8
282:17	68:4,18,21	120:10 175:19	63:21 66:20
projects 60:8	71:20 72:7,21	proposed 1:5	70:14 94:18
186:15 217:8	73:21 74:8,10	24:13 26:4	126:13 133:19
217:20	74:18,22 75:16	36:20 38:2,5	175:18
promise 120:20	75:17 77:20	52:5,7 55:12	proprietary
promises	78:13,20 83:23	57:1,14,24	174:16
118:12	83:24 84:6,14	63:8 69:3,12	prospectivity
promoting	93:25 95:21	77:14 81:15	66:5
167:12	99:17 106:6	83:10 85:2	protect 21:14
promptly	123:9 131:3	87:16 93:12	57:9 73:7
274:25 275:1	135:9 142:21	94:8,23 98:20	171:1 209:11
promulgate	146:12 166:17	98:22 99:12,22	209:13,13
131:9	166:18 169:24	101:7 102:1,4	275:3 278:6
promulgated	169:25 172:2	102:15 103:8	protected
134:1 197:10	182:23	108:3 111:9	173:12
199:9 234:23	proposal's	116:14 117:23	protection 52:9
pronged 69:7	62:11	121:14 128:7	72:12 276:13
proper 86:2	proposals	131:23 133:6	protections
properly 25:8	52:22 62:14,19	140:16 142:22	87:19
43:20 71:12,14	63:16 64:1	166:25 183:25	

[protects - qualified]

	147.7 166.00	100.14.22.24	1.7.0
protects 23:14	147:7 166:22	100:14,22,24	purvis 167:2
protested 16:2	186:7,13	170:10 188:1	173:3 214:23
protocol 80:1	prudence 23:7	227:3	215:20 216:19
proven 138:12	277:21	pulling 206:20	217:25 259:20
provide 14:19	prudent 78:25	pulls 273:17	261:1,5
34:13 52:5	123:23 147:18	pump 185:17	purvis's 173:23
59:12 72:10	147:24 287:11	267:17	put 15:5 17:6
86:11 98:12	prudently	pumping 185:7	49:14 56:13
124:9 132:7	139:3 262:15	185:21 267:18	103:19 115:1
135:11,12	public 2:18 5:2	punishment	124:4,25 131:5
158:22 159:1	7:4,9,10,15,23	23:7	138:22 163:25
159:16 181:8	7:24 12:6,8,21	purchases	164:10 172:25
181:12 259:12	16:8 17:23	174:23	181:20 182:1
274:12 280:20	18:14 19:15,18	pure 259:25	185:5,20
provided 14:18	19:24 23:16	260:8 261:6	186:25 189:20
34:11,18 42:16	27:2,5 34:14	purple 48:22	191:21 201:15
53:7 106:8	34:24 76:19	purpose 52:3	202:8 203:12
261:18 286:24	208:10 209:13	52:14 96:11	203:18 214:10
provides 49:22	233:11 248:5	114:5 134:7,7	214:10 217:21
67:10 86:20	270:19 271:9	176:24 181:5	217:21 218:10
96:5	271:13,16,25	196:6 230:15	218:11 231:17
providing	272:2 278:6,12	230:18	241:20 247:4
81:12 149:12	278:13 287:4	purposes 31:11	255:15 264:3
province	288:20 298:19	34:9 36:19	266:4,12,15,17
175:25	pueblo 4:10	55:16,18 56:9	287:18 290:1
provision 47:12	pull 28:8 36:18	56:11 87:5	294:10,12
48:24 61:22	37:12 39:20	113:8,10 134:4	puts 23:13 54:7
77:3 94:4 96:5	40:5 95:1,4,8	134:13 171:13	80:17
96:18 113:22	106:7 112:14	176:16 256:13	putting 16:16
133:13 149:13	113:25 121:17	264:11 265:12	18:8 76:7,10
provisioned	133:14,17	pursuant 12:12	76:10 83:7
78:24	157:21 178:12	288:3	q
provisions 75:7	250:20	pursue 284:6	qualified
86:1 94:7,8,12	pulled 46:6	pursued 284:9	148:17 298:7
94:14 112:11	80:6 95:20		140.1/ 490./

[qualify - reach]

qualify 183:25	223:13,14	222:11,20	rainbow 46:7
293:20	224:4 229:5,21	224:17 227:3	47:2,5 130:24
quality 241:9	256:10,17	233:2,12,14,21	131:1 175:23
277:10	280:13 283:24	239:16,18,19	raise 20:18,20
quantified	284:10 292:8	239:22,24	67:9 74:22
180:6	question's	240:2,3,12	78:14 172:18
quantify 65:20	103:3	241:23 242:4,9	278:15,16
97:23	questioning	242:13 262:18	raised 65:10
quantities 28:4	34:10 35:5	262:22 271:7	133:4 173:8
28:5 29:16,20	104:13 106:8	279:9 281:5	229:19 244:16
30:19 31:8	157:4 237:22	289:25 292:20	raises 133:7
quantity 30:6	278:19	292:22 293:1,7	ranch 16:7
quarter 226:12	questions 27:10	295:16,25	range 130:3
226:14	27:13,20 33:8	296:7,16	266:12
quarterly	33:13,17,20,22	quickly 120:21	ranges 259:5
184:15	33:25 34:2	130:10 169:13	rankin 2:3
question 29:15	36:13,17 38:24	quite 140:12	33:20,22 47:4
35:7 40:15	39:4,7,11	183:4 185:16	47:8 156:17,18
46:24 59:22	89:14 139:23	213:1	200:14,15
77:11 84:9	140:13 142:6,8	quo 114:6	239:18,22,23
89:7 104:18	142:10 146:2	quote 44:20	292:25 293:1,3
107:1 111:25	153:20 156:12	95:5 167:3	rankin's 47:4
112:24 113:9	156:14,19,21	quoted 266:22	131:1 175:23
114:12 131:8	156:24 158:17	quotes 98:17	rate 162:25
132:8 134:23	159:3,6 161:17	295:9	205:4,9 254:22
139:13 150:18	161:22,24	r	rated 176:13
150:25 152:15	164:21,25	r 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	rather 70:1
153:15,23	168:23 188:12	6:1,12 7:1 8:1	83:19 86:6
154:25 155:19	192:20 193:5	12:1 13:9,9	88:6 121:14
160:6 168:20	193:18 196:13	18:18 21:2	162:13
173:3 175:13	200:10,12,14	24:2 50:10	rc 9:5
194:24 199:13	200:15,19,20	243:7,7 275:18	rex 10:2
202:16,19	201:6,7,13	rag 225:23	rdx 9:5 10:2
205:22 219:21	207:13 209:2	226:6,10,15,16	reach 80:1
220:8,12	210:5,8 222:5	240:23	82:21 138:11

[reach - recognize]

166:7,20	really 17:14	reasonably	receive 123:20
reached 12:6	71:21 74:2	44:24 45:5,10	126:14 146:14
80:2 81:17	80:18 83:16	45:12 46:11	284:14
271:25	103:21 104:20	282:1,7	received 79:19
reaching	114:18,25	reasons 146:18	81:24 90:23
116:20	120:21 124:5	146:20 147:9	92:8 120:3,6
reaction 163:7	130:10 137:21	232:18 296:24	128:11 135:2
read 31:23	160:1 163:23	rebut 57:21	192:15 296:2
40:15 41:6	184:21 185:12	95:22	recent 257:8
49:4 54:22	185:18,19,24	rebuttable	recently 182:15
60:12 106:11	185:25 186:21	58:11 94:23	187:2 197:23
133:18 134:1	187:15 203:1	95:18 96:18	225:13 246:5
265:18,19,19	204:23 206:16	97:24 135:10	257:8
265:20	206:17,21	rebuttal 11:7,9	recess 297:2
reading 31:22	207:3 209:19	28:23 44:21	recessed
40:11 45:5,18	209:21,24	52:11,15 53:11	140:14
47:13 49:21	210:2 227:16	53:14,24 89:17	reclaim 54:1
91:17 96:2	241:23 247:22	89:19 91:15	109:20
134:6	250:10 252:11	97:5 107:9	reclaiming
ready 76:11,13	253:2 254:16	110:18 132:10	26:13
122:1 156:6	256:20 285:24	141:14 167:2	reclamation
175:17 177:21	291:10	212:8 214:22	20:2,4 64:20
192:19	reason 32:14	223:16 228:17	88:15,15
real 22:14 23:1	41:8,12 46:17	259:12,13	107:24 108:4
59:20 62:10	74:6 100:23	260:19,20,23	108:19,20
172:16 206:24	101:16 103:18	279:12 295:20	109:7,14 110:2
207:7 240:8	144:21 147:3	rec 149:22	110:7 172:9
276:22	210:10 234:12	150:19	176:2,13,22
realistically	239:5 240:17	recall 30:20,22	198:22 274:8,9
78:15	242:16 296:20	37:3 59:19	274:10,14,17
realities 86:17	reasonable	79:4,7 114:2	274:22
reality 70:21	45:14 46:12,13	119:19 120:13	reclassifying
82:2 245:18	64:4,9 143:14	212:9 226:9,14	58:25
realized 167:12	161:9 282:17	243:21	recognize
210:20			16:25 86:14

[recognize - regarding]

88:14 89:3	recompletions	133:23 169:17	referenced 28:4
158:7	87:7 174:23	169:20,23	references
	204:15	274:12	86:13
recognized 222:23 277:15	record 35:4	redefinition	referencing
		180:10	168:7 222:21
recognizing 87:5	36:8,19 76:22 89:16 90:25	redirect 34:5	referred 91:18
recollection	120:22 127:8	37:1 104:11	92:23,24 100:2
71:2	139:15 140:3	106:20,24	109:10 111:13
recommend	144:25 161:8	157:19,23	115:6 137:25
52:8 61:19	196:12,12	200:23 240:6,9	193:19 258:10
	211:1 225:17	293:11,16	referring 99:6
66:3,3 68:20	227:12 253:15	redline 111:9	
77:5,10 78:22 209:20	253:16,20	112:2 113:17	99:8,15,18,19 99:20,23 102:7
recommendat	264:25 271:22		104:4,10 132:6
61:21 65:24	284:12,13,13	119:17,25 120:6,15	140:16 141:24
68:18 69:23	284:15,23	120.0,13	230:25 261:13
72:7 74:8,13	289:1,9 290:12	125:7 126:9,14	261:17 269:18
75:1 77:9	290:14 291:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	refine 88:7
78:21 84:18,19	298:9 299:5	127:1 128:7,12 143:17,18,23	refinements
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	record's 293:15	redlines 119:21	86:16
84:24,25 85:17 85:19	recorded 298:6	120:10 121:19	reflect 86:8
		145:2	127:9 175:15
recommendat 67:13 85:11	recording	reduce 155:14	196:12
	298:8 299:4		
159:17 210:1	records 189:9	180:12	reflected 81:21
recommended 61:24	recoverable	reduced 202:9	82:16 189:3
	171:8	298:7	reflects 196:12
recommending	recovering 51:6	reduction 155:22 206:10	reform 20:1 26:17 122:25
57:6 60:20 67:25		redundant 67:9	reforms 22:24
	recovery 97:9	refer 92:18	
recommends	97:18 172:8		refrain 85:1
60:22 65:22	recreating	115:15 122:21	refused 140:20
recompleted	276:6	133:5	regard 99:24
250:22 267:13	recycle 189:18	reference 96:24	120:22 290:17
recompletion	red 38:6 81:12	146:10 153:21	regarding
282:13	81:14 133:18		57:15 60:20

[regarding - rephrase]

61:7 74:17,19	regulator's	relative 298:13	remediation
94:7,8 98:15	170:4	299:10	107:11 108:4
132:8,9 141:7	regulators 75:4	released 164:16	108:18 109:7
141:14,19	75:6	257:7 275:1	109:14 110:2,6
149:8	regulatory	292:2,6	110:15 197:20
regardless	42:16,21 51:14	relevant 291:17	198:4,22
63:12,23 75:22	52:1 55:12	reliability	remember 31:1
regards 61:15	59:25 62:20	236:17	71:4 173:16
131:21	83:13 195:5	reliance 53:6	196:9 219:5
region 276:9	210:1 217:17	130:19	228:14,21,21
registered	235:2,15	reliant 193:25	228:22,23
77:24 148:16	254:13 284:5	relied 54:19	264:2
285:16	291:17	92:1,11,13	remind 96:12
registration	rehabilitate	102:14,21	259:14
39:24 41:4	35:16	relies 100:5	reminder
76:24 87:20,24	rehabilitation	rely 54:12	121:12 192:25
141:25	253:13	61:16 75:7	reminding 14:3
	reiterate 125:6	98:24 131:20	reminds 25:19
regrettable 79:8	177:9	135:13 272:23	remove 86:12
regular 19:12	reiterated	relying 53:15	remove 60.12
regulated	121:18 280:5	100:17,18	removing
51:18	reject 61:22	132:6,25 218:7	186:2
regulation 25:2	62:19 68:21	263:18	renewables
67:10 170:14	74:9 75:3	remain 88:16	273:2
209:20	84:20 85:19	122:12,13	renewal 75:9
regulations	86:22,25 87:8	remainder	86:21
65:16 83:19	87:16,21	230:7	reopen 47:22
111:15 112:7	rejected 87:18	remained 230:6	repeat 40:15
112:14 170:8	177:19	remaining	131:13 164:7
170:16,21	rejecting 149:9	140:7 204:14	170:7 202:3
187:13 206:18	149:11	remarks 52:17	261:1
220:20,24	relate 137:5	remediate	repercussions
222:25 223:12	related 69:12	109:20 273:10	175:10
regulator 75:20	223:19 284:6	remediated	rephrase 103:5
15.20	298:11 299:7	198:24	194:8 202:18
	270.11 277.1	170.21	171.0 202.10

[replace - responds]

replace 66:17	representing	requirements	resolution
replacement	30:13 80:22,22	32:15 42:7	136:3,10
67:17,20	98:10 102:19	64:11 72:18	138:11 140:21
replacing 77:5	105:13 124:16	77:25 83:11	140:25
report 42:3	124:20	86:7,21 87:15	resolutions
43:3 54:12,16	represents	87:23 88:3	136:25 137:17
54:23,24,25	108:3 132:18	98:20,23 99:13	resolved
55:10,12 70:9	repurpose	100:6 173:9	136:22 137:8
70:10 108:14	240:17	174:25 189:25	137:12,14
108:17,22,23	repurposing	206:22 256:3	138:16
108:24 109:4	240:15	275:5	resorted 22:9
109:13,17	request 119:18	requires 32:2	resources 1:2
208:16 285:6	126:9 158:21	41:23 48:12	3:4,13 6:23 7:3
286:15	200:25	66:16 73:22	7:20 15:23
reported 1:19	require 16:16	80:1 112:7,9	56:24 59:9
227:21 230:5	22:5 48:16	requiring 76:4	80:6 151:20
250:10 285:21	63:20 66:15	84:1 90:16	153:11 227:14
287:7,13 288:1	71:13 75:25	254:17 269:21	275:6
reporting	104:18 194:14	res 216:18	respect 56:25
170:11 285:3	195:10 244:12	rescheduling	61:6 67:13,25
285:10	289:9	126:24 127:4	94:12 147:20
reports 172:9	required 15:4	research	158:14 269:4
193:25	32:18 71:25	104:19 105:6	respectful
represent	77:8 100:8	208:17	130:15
22:25 91:13	113:3,12	researching	respond 52:16
100:10 207:20	182:23 195:2	83:7	79:25 117:20
222:16 263:4	201:19 202:21	reserve 132:17	127:21 152:17
representations	205:17 218:19	reserves 32:18	responded
142:14	249:1,3 253:19	259:2 273:1	216:19 221:21
representative	257:8 273:10	reservoir 59:7	260:21 281:5
151:8 152:6	requirement	87:6 147:2	responding
198:11	62:7 72:23	241:4	139:11 214:22
representatives	191:11 203:14	resident 219:11	260:24
117:12	205:6 275:6	276:5	responds
	285:4 287:19		116:18

[response - right]

72.12	14 22 10		102 22 22
response 53:12	result 32:19	reviews 88:23	102:22,23
79:12 139:15	33:2 96:8	revised 143:12	103:4 106:19
141:7 143:17	266:17	279:23 280:15	106:22 108:14
143:19 233:20	resulting 59:10	revision 280:3	110:20,23
260:7	results 107:17	revisions 37:25	112:17 114:11
responses	241:8	66:2 81:24	124:10,10,11
35:12	retain 86:4,19	revisit 221:23	127:13 129:5
responsibilities	95:14	rework 147:14	131:7 134:5,24
44:5	retaining 65:25	riata 180:19	137:11 140:13
responsibility	reticent 258:1	rich 15:18,18	141:9 142:2
16:15,16 17:22	retired 13:7,8	18:7	143:2 147:11
18:5 20:12	247:2,4	richard 7:17	147:15 149:10
22:12 160:4	retroactive	riches 15:20,22	150:9 154:12
277:19 278:4	75:21	rid 234:24	154:25 155:4
responsible	retroactivity	235:11	157:16,18,22
23:5 26:2,7,8	66:5	rig 58:16	160:8,17
123:21 151:19	return 251:1	right 12:24	162:16 165:3
152:18 153:10	267:4,7 278:19	13:3 15:3	166:10 178:15
155:24 259:21	revenue 29:20	16:12 27:7	183:23 188:7
274:1 275:2	208:8 277:13	30:1 31:4,22	188:14 190:18
277:2,24	revenues 31:6	32:13 33:3,18	190:24,25
295:12	review 38:1	34:4 35:1,7	192:23 193:7
responsibly	68:22 88:1	39:1,8 40:12	193:12,12
148:7 274:6	131:11,16,24	40:14 42:25	194:25 195:19
278:4	132:9 161:7	43:23 44:1	196:15 197:6
rest 20:13	250:11	46:10 48:6,8	198:9,17 199:8
167:20	reviewed 37:7	50:6 53:1,16	200:17,22
restart 212:7	54:11 63:16	57:6,12 58:3	210:9,19 212:4
restate 149:25	70:9 138:2	63:7 65:8	214:19 215:23
154:21 220:8	188:8 236:13	68:25 70:22	216:22 220:10
224:4	259:12,17	73:13 75:20	220:17 221:9
restore 273:24	288:23	80:19,24 81:5	222:7 223:18
restored 17:7	reviewing	81:15 82:19	223:25 226:3,8
restricted	131:17 135:18	89:20 95:3,6	227:8,10,22
207:1		100:2 101:7,12	228:18 229:22

[right - rules]

230:1,7 232:22	risks 95:14	72:15 77:15	177:18 181:15
232:25 233:16	river 277:5	79:21 100:8	183:25 186:8
233:22 235:24	road 16:7,7	105:1 133:20	187:21 190:1
236:19 239:7	204:21	133:25 134:14	190:13 212:9
239:12 240:5	roads 273:19	134:17 135:11	216:20 217:25
241:11,22,25	robert 245:9,9	136:2,18	219:2 238:3
242:15,22	rock 45:7	140:16,24	243:21 250:5
252:24 253:11	role 51:11,16	147:18 175:10	260:10
256:19 257:10	75:23 216:22	177:11 197:9	rulemaking's
259:16 261:9	216:25 237:23	199:9 216:3	221:24
262:20 264:20	roles 52:7	217:6 223:22	rulemakings
266:7 273:23	roll 186:22	223:23 234:6,8	36:6
276:19 278:18	romero 7:19	234:16,21	rules 12:12
279:11 281:13	110:1 170:6	235:12,14,24	19:3,13 26:5
286:7 288:10	room 12:9,20	236:5 247:22	26:18 33:2
288:25 293:2,9	18:13 20:15	256:2 257:13	41:18,22 42:2
293:21 295:23	64:8 65:2	259:22 265:17	43:8,25 63:6
rights 42:12	75:19 82:18	265:18,20	64:15,18 65:13
57:9 171:1,19	160:18 178:6,7	280:19 283:21	66:11,15 70:7
284:17,19,21	272:1 275:10	291:20 294:24	71:13 75:12
290:9,11	rosa 7:19 110:1	295:10,11	81:15 107:17
righty 46:25	roswell 5:16	rule's 234:11	113:23 131:9
211:11 297:1	6:7	rulemaking	134:8 140:17
rigs 55:8	roughly 226:25	19:2 36:20	140:17 181:8
risk 19:20	route 185:21	47:13 79:3,15	205:16 219:12
20:10 66:4	routinely	82:6 84:2 86:2	222:25 223:5,8
68:23 70:1,21	140:25	116:15 122:2	224:14 241:16
70:22 86:3,5,6	royalties 176:9	123:14 153:17	245:19 257:24
88:1,11,12,21	209:5 249:13	158:23 165:10	257:25 266:18
132:19 170:13	royalty 208:8	166:9,13,19,20	268:8 269:5,18
171:5 172:21	209:7	166:21,25	269:19,21
173:15 187:12	ruining 26:19	167:3 171:2,3	270:7 274:24
214:11,18	rule 24:14 32:8	171:15,21	276:16 277:17
217:25 260:2	61:6 66:16,20	172:22 175:6	278:6 285:7
261:8	67:16 71:17	175:25 177:6,8	292:11 293:20

[rules - see]

295:7	saw 228:9	schoolers 17:25	sections 47:21
run 169:17,20	saying 18:7	schools 19:21	sector 52:10
169:23	29:13 42:15	59:11	secure 148:18
running 24:22	49:18 79:8	science 218:10	securing 67:20
55:8,9	100:21 102:13	scope 107:18	see 15:21 20:20
S	102:25 103:1	223:15 255:19	23:22 26:10
s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	105:24 127:6	scoping 166:8	27:12 29:12
	163:4 167:24	screen 27:12	31:19 32:1
6:1 7:1 8:1 11:1 12:1	229:6 269:18	37:13 96:2,11	33:11 36:23
	269:24 270:10	96:23 97:1	37:13 40:5,25
13:10 21:1,1,1 243:7 275:18	says 39:23	100:21 109:23	44:7,8 46:7
	40:19 45:9,12	111:23 112:5	48:21,22 49:22
sacrificing 72:11	45:14 48:5,6	134:10 158:1	90:2 109:12
	54:24 96:22	211:3 227:7	112:15,21
sadly 17:4 safe 17:14	97:17 100:9	246:19	113:1 115:13
151:19 152:18	102:6 109:11	screening	118:11 121:19
153:10	116:2,12,19,22	78:20	128:24 146:9
safety 277:25	122:15 215:20	scribbled 40:18	156:10 159:13
safety 277.23 sage 178:5,7	296:23	scroll 40:24	160:9 162:4,5
sale 216:7	scale 21:19	158:9 227:9	163:11 171:22
salt 188:23	scarred 277:9	seat 170:4	171:22,23
194:14	schedule 77:18	sec 289:20,24	188:2,22 208:7
saltwater 196:8	119:3,10	second 14:20	208:11 209:15
204:3,15	120:25 125:25	34:7 97:13	211:2 216:3,5
san 4:5 24:18	126:19 160:14	157:20 177:9	216:6 217:3
213:24 215:19	schedules	180:18 218:15	227:21 230:2
sandbridge	88:13	255:14 296:15	230:19,23
180:22	scheduling	secondary	239:14 243:1
sands 248:21	34:19 35:23	204:17	250:6 258:13
santa 1:18 2:6	160:14	secretary 77:25	258:15 259:10
2:14,23 3:7,16	scheme 171:16	172:8	271:3 272:1
4:18 5:7 16:6	school 15:11,12	section 76:24	275:10,11
saturday 79:20	18:2 21:25	77:4,20 113:10	278:24 285:25
saturday 73.20 saving 186:2	118:18 208:8	134:17 227:21	285:25 292:19
Saving 100.2	244:17		297:2,15

[seeing - sharpe]

seeing 69:19	separate 47:14	seventy 233:25	253:9 254:8
178:3	109:14 110:7	several 16:3,3	255:18 256:1,5
seem 45:24	110:10 115:12	173:8 213:5	256:9,15,21
seems 45:18,23	194:22 195:1	222:24 248:15	257:4,17
46:1 47:19,20	196:7 285:15	251:3 260:3	258:14 259:14
53:9 62:4	295:1	296:24	260:3,11,16,18
277:22	september	severance	261:13,16,19
seen 16:21 22:4	121:1,7	176:5	262:18 263:2,6
23:8 24:23	serial 155:12	shaking 160:10	263:9,15,20,22
98:7 109:23	155:15	shale 213:25,25	263:25 264:18
125:13 137:24	serious 17:7	215:22 246:2,3	264:22 265:5,8
163:23 206:19	serve 129:3	246:5	265:13 266:1,6
220:20 241:7	service 24:17	shallow 197:25	266:20,25
247:21	service 24.17 session 127:18	259:6	267:7,10,25
segregated	271:25 278:12	shallower	268:3,11,22,24
284:24	278:13	258:12	269:11 270:1,4
seinfeld 26:19	sessions 12:7	shanor 5:14 6:5	270:11,18
seismic 218:11	set 23:2,9 34:7	shaped 149:5	271:4,6,10,12
select 286:19	44:22 117:6	share 20:13	271:20 278:19
sell 214:13	119:23 120:22	31:19 70:7	278:21,23
258:1	121:1,1 162:15	73:10 165:19	279:7,10,11,14
sellers 78:14	168:11 184:12	174:17 227:7	279:21 280:1,7
send 59:1	294:23	246:19 276:2	280:15,21,23
117:21 121:8	sets 64:11	sharing 54:6	281:2,9,13,17
121:12	settlement	sharpe 10:9	281:21 282:4
sense 19:5	137:1,17	210:16 242:20	282:10,20
139:9,11 145:5	139:16	242:24 243:2,5	283:2,10,23
164:14 277:23	settlements	243:7,10,18,22	284:8,16 285:2
sensitive 72:23	137:18 138:11	243:25 244:11	285:5,8,12,18
sent 34:21	settling 139:16	244:16 245:3	285:23 286:5
80:21 117:1,4	setup 254:24	246:22,25	286:11,20
121:13 172:12	seven 87:16	248:4,12	287:20 288:5
219:2	119:1	249:23 250:14	288:18 289:4,7
sentence 39:23	seventies 237:6	251:18 252:3,5	289:15,19,23
40:10 41:3	237:9,16	252:16,23	290:3,6,20,25

[sharpe - slides]

291:5,7,18	170:10	127:6 135:18	situation 43:5
292:11,16,21	shrunk 234:9	232:12 235:11	231:14
293:18,22	shut 31:11	278:3	situations
294:2,11 295:4	97:10,20	sincerely	43:16 44:3,6
295:13 296:9	146:14,17,20	129:25	203:18 254:3
296:12,21,22	147:3,11	single 17:3	six 38:21 87:8
296:23	241:18,21	53:20 66:17	182:14 233:25
sharpe's 11:12	254:17	67:18 169:14	sixth 208:23
261:6 295:21	shy 286:3	195:10 220:6	sixties 237:5,8
sheds 104:9	sic 31:21	sins 169:9	237:15
sheila 7:21	sick 16:13	sir 30:17	sizable 208:18
12:18	side 51:18 72:5	135:19,22	size 135:4
shelton's 172:8	124:3 208:25	141:14 145:20	181:13
short 82:1 89:6	sierra 4:6 7:25	146:16,19,24	sized 183:4
172:19 202:18	24:12	147:4,12,16,23	skies 277:11
222:20	sign 271:17	154:13 155:20	skills 298:10
show 34:8 65:3	signature	157:12,15,17	299:6
80:9 106:7	298:17 299:14	159:24 161:18	skip 228:6
133:19 148:18	signed 178:4	163:17 164:22	slide 52:20
189:9 230:20	significant	165:8 200:5	55:15 57:13
248:1 261:25	62:15 93:19	201:12 207:10	59:24 60:18
280:8 287:3	171:17 187:16	210:3 272:3	61:4 62:9 63:8
showed 280:4	228:10 276:5	289:4	63:15 66:8
showing 73:22	283:5	sister 26:11	69:1,1 71:11
77:7 98:2	significantly	sit 79:8,22	72:14 73:14
104:8 107:5	107:13 174:24	128:7 144:13	75:11 77:13,13
108:13 111:23	264:15,20,22	170:20	79:2 83:1,2
113:15 241:6	similar 164:3	site 197:21	84:23 85:10
293:24,24	166:17 241:14	198:23 200:2	89:11 95:6
shown 105:15	290:17	sites 198:8	99:11 130:24
134:9 172:8	similarly	274:21,21	280:4,8
277:12	169:25	275:7	slides 11:10
shows 42:20	simple 203:2,13	sitting 142:24	28:3,8,20,23,23
81:5 98:3,8	simply 124:2	277:5	29:5 51:10
106:2 108:25	124:16,25		84:22 248:1

[slides - spent]

267:22	sold 176:10	74:12 78:20	135:12 136:1
slightly 44:10	215:4 235:9	150:6 174:7	142:10 195:3
44:21	290:10	181:7,21 186:4	260:20
slingshot	solution 20:3	205:11 229:14	specifically
203:23	169:9 172:23	231:16	51:24 83:11
slow 88:8	175:18,20	sorted 297:10	133:5 194:13
small 19:14	solve 166:11	sound 177:16	206:21 250:12
114:2 167:1	175:7	sounds 286:5,5	255:16
174:9 181:2,9	solving 206:15	sources 273:2	specifics 219:5
181:10,23	somebody	south 3:6,15	specifies 57:21
182:21 186:1	42:23 44:4	7:2	specter 235:23
207:4 214:3	148:22 149:16	southeast 24:19	speculating
215:21,22	260:4	southeastern	151:2 220:12
216:21 218:1	sommer 7:15	276:7	speculation
246:1 253:21	275:12,13,14	sovereignty	150:25 219:23
257:10 268:15	275:17,18,20	41:11 42:5,12	220:3 229:18
270:14 275:5	275:25 278:10	43:12,18	speculative
276:22 286:9	soon 36:7 80:20	space 206:21	56:9,11
287:23	287:18	speak 17:24	speculativene
smaller 68:9	sorry 40:23	29:18 58:4	217:24
98:24 163:25	67:2 88:10	90:19 102:24	speedy 51:9
164:9 177:13	96:1 102:11	103:1 183:3	spell 12:10 13:5
207:2 215:25	106:9 111:6	191:3 241:13	18:16 20:25
234:2 273:13	116:8 118:17	255:3 276:2	23:25 50:8
smart 15:8	131:6 136:15	285:18,23	178:18 211:16
smartest 201:7	150:1 154:22	speaking 24:12	243:5 272:5,9
smelly 22:10	164:6 192:22	97:11	275:16
snap 59:13	194:10 201:25	special 146:14	spelled 212:6
society 16:25	201:25 202:4	276:9	243:19
sodbuster	219:18 230:4	specializing	spend 14:2
225:24 226:7	248:9 255:23	51:25	172:6 191:14
226:10,18,19	258:20 263:21	specific 30:3,21	spending 267:3
240:24	289:24	31:7 45:11	spent 109:5
soil 26:13	sort 30:8 36:6	88:3 92:15,18	276:5
	45:23 65:23	95:23 96:8	

[spice - state]

spice 13:10	74:25 143:20	standards 77:8	18:15 19:16
spitballing	spot 80:18	88:1	22:11,16 27:10
151:24	spraberry	standing	41:11 42:3,5
split 167:17,20	215:18	164:16	42:11 43:8,14
spoke 31:18	spreads 23:10	standpoint	43:18 51:11
162:14	spreadsheet	42:16 43:4,9	54:20 55:9
spoken 16:3	188:2 261:17	76:3	56:6,24 58:21
208:14	261:20	stands 143:12	59:2,11,15
sporich 9:6	spring 207:23	star 20:19	61:15 77:3,25
27:8,13,21	214:1	278:17	85:25 87:25
28:1,2,7 29:12	sprung 166:10	start 12:20	95:11 96:16
29:17,24 30:3	spud 226:4	13:18 24:9	97:7 98:21
30:7,10,14,17	squeak 267:11	29:1,6 41:1	99:5 103:14
30:20,25 31:9	st 1:17 3:6,15	58:1 91:6	106:4 107:9
31:22 32:1,6,9	169:16	131:19 146:9	146:15 147:10
32:21 33:4,6	stability 275:4	148:13 149:23	147:21,25
33:21,23 37:3	stacked 49:20	150:20 151:15	148:4,11,17,24
37:6,10,14,17	staff 7:2,13 8:2	151:23 155:12	149:1,22
37:23 38:4,10	152:5,22	169:12 214:15	150:19,23
38:13,16,20,23	staffed 73:4	227:9 268:10	151:16,20
39:4,10,13	stage 76:5	272:19 275:24	152:11,19
40:1,11,14,20	stakeholder	started 58:22	153:13 154:10
40:23 41:7,14	70:6 127:17	180:23 181:22	155:2 157:7
41:17 42:9	stakeholders	182:6 202:2	167:7 168:25
43:2,17,24	62:2	212:25 213:4	170:10 171:6
44:7 45:4	stamp 119:7	245:4 246:4,5	173:13 176:8
46:10,16,18,19	120:24	267:23 268:9	176:11 183:10
48:8,19 49:1	stamped 119:6	starting 39:19	199:21 200:2
49:10,13 50:5	stand 12:25	61:3 84:23	208:7,15,20
58:4 64:2	13:1,2 50:7	119:20 216:3	209:11 216:25
74:23 107:20	122:22 272:4	starts 40:9 41:3	217:22 218:16
130:22 161:15	standalone	152:13,14	218:23,24
162:14	58:6	state 1:1 2:19	219:2 221:19
sporich's 60:12	standard 32:22	2:21 5:3,5 7:18	222:22 233:11
61:17 73:6	244:4,4	12:10 15:4,14	233:16 241:5

[state - suazo]

0.45.0.046.11		4 1 220 17	4 97 4 110 1
245:2 246:11	statewide	stepped 239:17	strikeout 113:1
246:16 247:11	252:25 253:1	254:16	striking 31:2,3
247:23 254:16	stating 263:11	stick 138:22	stringent 73:11
255:2,8,10,11	statistic 168:3	256:22	73:11
262:5 273:9,25	statistics	sticking 59:24	stripper 98:24
274:6,20	287:10	stifle 56:23	99:4 100:9
286:22 288:19	status 32:12,16	stifling 56:13	101:4,9,9
290:17 298:20	114:6 164:13	stones 79:14	102:8,14 103:7
state's 254:10	224:9 225:12	stop 14:10	103:11,24
273:13,15	227:25 228:19	stopped 16:6	strong 23:4
276:8 277:20	229:7 232:3	202:1	24:13 278:5
stated 71:22	244:3 264:8	stops 16:14	stronger
82:17 85:8	280:18	stories 123:5	276:15
117:22 233:17	statute 43:15	174:21	strongly 144:7
259:24	44:13,18 45:3	story 70:24	struck 91:18
statehood	45:9,19 46:1,5	82:1	structure 65:25
208:21	46:9 47:2,7	straight 120:22	130:18 186:23
statement 9:3	48:4,11,15	241:20	structured
72:10 99:25	49:12 50:1	stranding	48:21
100:1 129:23	73:7 131:22	171:7	structures 86:4
130:1 141:21	176:17	strategies	struggle 72:4
145:9 165:7	statutes 66:1,6	68:14	173:21
216:18 286:8	statutory 35:17	stream 169:19	struggling
286:10,12,18	48:3 55:12	streamline	45:17,21 273:9
statements	63:5 64:3,12	170:19	students 13:22
141:6	67:6 75:25	strengthening	14:2
states 15:15	83:12 84:11	277:17	studied 197:19
22:22 42:21	85:20 86:9	stretched 22:20	199:2,25
76:1,25 77:23	107:21 147:14	stricken 219:22	study 104:6
100:5 115:19	stay 203:21	strictly 61:25	199:3 273:8
173:8,11	271:10	strike 77:2	stuff 138:24
182:17 204:18	stayed 254:18	112:3,25 113:2	145:2 213:15
249:24 250:1	step 20:12	113:10 132:3	stuffing 22:9
254:15 261:5	187:15	133:4 216:17	suazo 2:11 9:8
		260:4 280:11	9:11,15 28:22

[suazo - supported]

			T I
29:1,4,8 34:5,6	84:13,17,21	submits 170:15	successes
34:13,23 35:3	85:10 89:7,10	submittal 73:2	174:22
35:20,21 36:4	89:11,22 90:3	110:18	successful
36:10,15,23,24	90:6 92:14	submitted	291:9
37:2,7,12,15,20	94:25 96:1,21	37:21 38:1	successfully
37:24 38:6,11	97:2 102:17,25	52:17 74:10	148:18
38:14,18,22	104:3,13 106:5	76:22 143:20	sufficient 44:19
39:2 40:4 47:3	106:12,23	170:12 171:3	44:23 45:2,5
50:22,23 51:2	113:16,19,22	172:10 174:1	45:10,12,21,22
51:8,20 52:11	113:24 114:8	179:21 212:8	46:4 64:4
52:14,19 53:11	114:11,15	243:20 260:6	143:16 261:11
54:11,14 55:11	118:17 122:4	submitting	274:12,20,21
55:14 56:8,25	125:15 150:24	248:3	suggest 68:21
57:12,23 58:10	157:19,20,24	subpoenas	174:2 210:17
59:4,16,19	157:25 158:4,7	174:20	suggestions
60:11,14,18	158:12,16,25	subsection	177:7
61:1,10,16,19	159:3,8,15	77:14	suitable 238:14
62:4,9 63:7,14	160:25 170:9	subsequent	260:1 261:7
63:19,25 64:14	200:19,20	81:24	suite 4:10,17
65:21 66:7,14	240:2,3 293:6	subset 100:10	sulfide 25:14
66:19,22 67:1	293:7	102:8,14 103:8	summaries
67:12,21,24	suazo's 106:17	103:11	265:18,21
68:3,17,25	subject 97:24	subsidizing	summarize
69:5,11,21	137:22 252:1	19:10	89:18
70:8,13,16,25	subjective	substantial	summary 247:5
71:7,11,16,19	260:1,8 261:6	88:1 177:11	sunshine 6:13
72:6,13,17,20	submission	substantially	sunshineaudi
73:6,10,13,19	96:7	87:23 89:2	6:16
73:23 74:1,7	submit 12:17	substantive	supply 185:6
74:11,16,21,24	35:4 126:25	81:12 119:17	support 6:22
75:10,15 76:2	139:15 145:1,7	119:24 122:19	19:1 24:13
76:23 77:10,19	145:18 175:16	124:14	276:15
78:3,6,18 79:1	248:5 260:18	subtracting	supported
79:7,12 82:24	270:18	185:16	88:15 176:21
83:14,21 84:4			249:16

[supports - tannis]

supports 88:20	216:11 249:5,6	272:15 275:21	109:18 114:10
94:4,14	256:2,11 257:2	298:5	153:16 207:25
supposed 34:21	266:21,25	system 6:22	223:1 298:3,12
166:12 172:23	surface 25:13	86:5 290:17	299:9
236:18	51:25 183:9	systematic 54:9	takes 78:23
supreme 34:16	198:8,22 200:1	t	276:8
sur 4:10	253:13	t 11:1 21:1 63:1	talisman
sure 17:19	surrebuttal	178:20 246:25	179:19
19:23 24:1	141:18 157:21	247:1,2,9	talk 18:1 28:8
27:5 40:13	158:2 187:21	275:17	92:15 122:6
43:1 44:17	193:19 260:19	t&a 254:18	128:7 170:2,18
46:3 48:25	279:13 281:6	table 108:24	170:20,23
58:16 82:20	285:20	109:13 124:17	175:5 184:3
113:13 114:15	surrounding	124:19 145:6	195:5 209:24
120:2 142:4	166:16	take 15:18	215:12 218:15
143:1 148:14	susan 7:23	16:15 18:5	238:9 246:17
150:2 154:19	18:17,20	22:11 28:17	251:12 288:21
160:25 180:17	suspect 168:24	31:15,24,25	talked 49:15
181:22 186:11	169:2,5,6	41:19 60:10	207:23 234:11
186:14,14,16	sustained	80:17 81:6,21	234:17 235:12
188:20 190:3	106:18 251:10	82:12 91:24	259:16 282:22
194:21,24	256:18	92:6 100:15	284:2 290:5
195:4,20	sustaining	101:23 121:23	294:5
219:16 227:17	204:8	136:19,21,24	talking 65:12
245:3 250:4	swds 183:8	137:22 150:4	101:8 111:17
255:2 259:20	swear 12:13	161:4 184:13	111:19,21
265:22 267:18	272:6	185:19 192:17	142:25 148:10
271:12 279:10	swept 176:15	210:17,20	152:7 172:7
285:5 288:6	switch 29:2	252:8 268:16	175:22 294:22
290:14 293:14	297:8	268:17 294:11	294:23
surety 172:13	sworn 13:14	296:25	talks 143:6
172:13 175:4	18:21 21:6	takeaway	tank 26:14
191:4 205:2,4	24:5 50:17	55:10 146:18	tannis 4:15
205:6,20,25	103:24 179:3	taken 45:15	79:20 91:11
206:14,17,19	211:22 243:11	58:9 60:5	263:3
		20.7 00.5	

[taos - testimony]

400g A.11	toohnologica	205.14.210.20	220.10 222.0
taos 4:11	technologies	205:14 210:20	228:19 232:9
tap 45:7	55:23 56:1,14	244:19 249:5,5	233:20 243:13
target 166:12	technology	249:6 266:21	272:17 275:23
295:10	56:18,18	271:8	testify 139:14
targeted 88:5	teens 229:1	tendered	170:16 171:19
tas 86:24	tell 12:13 13:15	198:11	172:25 173:20
task 68:15	13:20 18:22	tenor 167:10	181:14 197:7
taught 13:23	21:7 24:6	tens 247:7	199:8 228:2
14:6	29:19 47:19	273:18	237:23 244:9
tax 176:4,5,9	50:18 55:1	term 22:14	283:25
176:17,18,19	60:19 65:21	68:14 124:10	testifying
176:23 255:15	70:24 84:14	253:7	282:25 287:24
256:12	123:8 131:5	terms 55:22	298:5
taxation 277:13	142:2 160:17	59:25 60:7,9	testimony 11:5
taxed 274:7	179:4,12	122:19 204:6	11:7,11,12
taxes 19:21	181:19 186:8	205:19 209:15	30:16,24 31:18
88:16 274:17	188:16 211:23	212:16 213:16	35:10 37:4
taxpayer 19:17	243:12 244:14	220:6 241:8	38:7 39:11
taxpayers 19:9	262:10 272:7	244:9,15 248:8	44:25 45:6
22:18,22 25:18	272:16 275:22	251:13 252:18	52:3,12,15,18
209:12 276:17	telling 101:16	287:5 289:11	52:21 59:17
277:2	tells 218:12	terrible 49:25	60:12,12 61:3
teach 13:2	temporarily	terrific 177:23	61:17 67:22
15:12 19:7	63:17 70:18	tertiary 204:17	73:7 74:17,25
teacher 13:2,7	97:10,20	test 69:8 77:5,6	78:4,7 79:4
13:21,25	temporary	testified 13:16	89:17,19 90:10
teachers 14:18	22:21 32:4,11	18:23 21:8	90:10,11,16
team 67:5	32:16,23 72:15	24:7 50:19	91:2,15,17,19
teamed 245:8	73:14,16 74:17	62:14 64:2	91:21,22 92:1
tech 13:11	74:19 75:8	142:19 162:6	92:2,6,11,12,13
technical 27:8	86:15,18,19	166:15 167:2	92:16,23,24
90:2,10,11,16	170:14 231:18	173:7 179:5	93:14,16 94:22
159:13 175:2	ten 38:5 68:22	183:24 195:21	95:12,12 96:3
technocrats	88:14 90:19	196:6 202:6	96:17,22 97:17
176:14	162:7,21	211:24 223:20	98:13,16 99:9

[testimony - thank]

99:11 100:4,5	236:21 243:20	31:17 33:5,5,7	196:24 200:5,7
100:13,17,18	243:24 244:1,8	33:9,16,18,23	200:12,13,16
100:19,22,24	250:5 255:20	33:24 36:11,12	201:12 207:9
100:25 102:13	256:23 258:9	37:24 38:22	207:11,16,20
102:13,20,23	259:10,13,21	39:1,7,10,12,13	210:3,7,8,11,13
103:19,24	260:7,20,23	46:6,15,16,19	210:22 211:15
104:5,20 105:3	261:2 263:11	50:4,5,20,23	211:25 212:4
106:8,11,12	263:12,17,19	79:24 83:1	222:7,18
107:5,9 108:8	264:23,25	85:18 91:5	224:18,21
109:25 110:18	266:9 268:2	92:19 98:18	226:8,9 229:22
110:20,23	269:4,4,7,25	104:24 111:7	230:23 232:24
111:1,13	270:5,21	114:13 116:19	232:25 233:5
113:19 115:7	279:12,13,16	124:12 128:22	236:19 239:10
122:18 130:13	279:19,23	139:22 140:1,8	239:12,19,20
130:19 132:15	281:6 282:5	141:13 145:21	239:24 241:22
132:24 133:3,7	283:15 285:25	145:25 146:4	242:1,2,8,14,17
135:16 137:5	286:8 288:23	154:6 156:5,8	242:18,22
138:1,9 141:4	294:1 295:20	156:15,16,19	243:8,14,18
143:20 146:10	295:21	156:20 157:16	244:13,25
159:12 170:5	testimony's	159:4,11	253:10 256:24
172:8 179:21	96:25	161:13,16,18	259:9 260:11
179:24 180:2	testing 97:9,19	161:19,24	261:4,12
181:6,18 186:6	texas 41:16,18	163:22 164:21	262:17,20,23
188:5 192:6,8	41:22 42:12	164:22,23	270:15 271:2,4
193:1 194:18	148:4,7 180:24	165:1,2,4,5	271:6,21
195:15 201:13	182:19,24,25	168:16 177:20	272:18 275:7,8
205:1 210:12	183:15 213:24	178:8,9,17	275:24,25
212:8 214:22	215:12,13,15	179:20 180:14	276:1 278:8,9
217:24 221:21	thank 13:4,17	181:4 182:11	278:18,22
223:16 225:6	14:17 17:22	182:16 187:18	279:2,3,10
225:20 227:23	18:4,12,24	187:23 188:4	282:21 285:1
228:17 230:16	20:14 21:3	189:23 192:5	286:2 288:9,10
232:21 234:21	23:17,18 26:22	192:10,12,23	288:13 290:2
235:1,2,12,14	26:24 27:8	193:13 196:13	292:15,17,23
235:19 236:15	28:11,21 29:3	196:15,20,23	292:24 293:3,4

[thank - thresholds]

295:5,15,18,23	46:14 47:10,17	199:12 204:16	230:3 244:5
296:4,9,12,17	48:19,21 49:1	206:16,17	258:17 281:24
296:18,22,24	49:3,6,7,13,14	207:22,24	283:6
297:12,14	49:21,22 53:1	208:5,11	thousands
thanking	54:4,22,24	215:12 216:23	19:19 25:5
117:18	55:3 56:12,24	217:1 220:15	277:6
thanks 222:19	59:6,8 60:9	221:20 229:9	threat 257:25
233:6 241:25	64:7,14,17	229:20 233:15	three 12:15
242:10	65:2 66:24	233:20 235:19	16:12 38:20
thanksgiving	67:3,8 70:23	237:14 238:3	47:14 49:18
125:10,13	71:7,23 72:3	239:14 253:12	55:7,8 68:9
that'd 35:19	74:3 76:15,20	254:22 255:23	86:11 140:13
47:2	77:11 82:19,24	259:16 261:10	172:13,14
thin 22:20	90:1,9,12,15	264:3 268:11	182:14 188:16
thing 17:15	100:24 103:2	269:9,12,14,15	189:20 190:9
48:20 108:16	114:1 116:11	269:16 270:19	197:8,17 204:1
143:10 167:15	120:14 135:6	270:22 281:23	205:3,13
215:21 297:5,6	135:14 139:4	282:10 287:13	210:17 215:17
things 12:8	139:10,21	290:10 293:18	225:17 233:17
13:22 18:10	140:12 142:13	thinking	233:18,19
31:13,13 44:3	144:4 147:17	164:10	251:6 266:13
51:18 55:25	147:23 148:5,8	third 29:11	266:14,15
56:2,16 82:16	148:9 149:21	38:16,17	272:8 292:1
98:3 136:1	150:18 152:24	213:14	threshold
171:16 174:3,8	153:3 154:8	thirties 258:15	57:24 87:2
177:3 206:5	156:1 160:12	thomas 79:5	96:18 97:11,11
207:23 208:11	160:17,25	thought 49:17	97:21 141:24
249:16 272:5	163:8,12	106:11 126:8	149:10 154:15
think 23:21	167:11,22	131:5 161:14	154:24 155:8
28:19 31:15	169:13 171:21	168:6 170:13	155:14 202:7
33:25 42:10,11	173:21 175:12	195:24 240:14	202:24 203:22
42:13,19,22	180:6,9,10	thoughts	230:6
43:5,17,18,19	184:21 190:15	138:19	thresholds
43:20 44:9,21	195:12,25	thousand	57:19 70:2
45:4,13,15	197:4,5 198:2	101:20 168:3	75:18 204:22

[threw - top]

		I	
threw 161:15	161:5 164:1,10	timing 145:14	246:10 267:18
throw 14:14	164:12,17	252:17	269:14,15
79:14	169:17 172:6	tips 209:25	271:16 273:13
thrown 293:14	175:17 177:20	tisdel 4:8,12	276:2 279:9,23
ticking 25:3	180:2,6,9,25	10:5 37:3,24	282:6,25
tie 87:24 171:6	182:8 193:9	38:7 91:4,6	287:25 296:10
tied 66:1,4	206:23 207:9	193:4 222:9,10	today's 199:20
68:22 86:6	207:20 210:4,7	222:13,14,15	269:19
185:1	215:15 216:24	222:19 223:3,7	together 40:22
tier 68:22	221:11 225:5	223:13,19,20	46:11 49:5,7
tiered 65:25	225:22 226:2	224:2,3,8,12,16	49:14 54:7
74:14 86:4,6	228:12,24	224:19	76:8,11 80:6
131:25 163:9	230:9,17	title 253:15,17	81:4 83:7 92:7
till 47:17 140:2	232:10,16	253:20 284:12	181:20 182:2
210:17 228:25	239:5 241:24	284:13,13,15	187:1 214:10
271:13	242:9 250:22	284:24 289:1,9	214:11
tim 8:2	257:19 266:6	290:12,14	told 35:24 55:1
time 1:13 12:17	272:19 273:17	291:14	101:1 177:10
14:2,5 23:17	275:11,24	titled 66:8	207:24 267:18
24:9 25:4	280:18 282:11	today 39:11	tomatoes 143:2
26:10,22 27:2	289:14 296:9	51:4 52:3	tone 168:11
29:25 30:2	timeframe 30:9	56:22 65:11,19	took 55:10
31:24,25 58:15	124:4 146:11	115:8 129:7,8	118:17 162:24
60:24 76:11	161:4	129:9 139:3	169:3 181:20
79:14 81:7,8	timeframes	143:15 144:4	182:7 235:10
82:7 84:22	161:11 162:12	144:12,16	259:20
85:1,2 86:22	timeline 160:2	148:20 149:14	tool 86:19
106:18 110:17	160:2	180:22 182:9	277:14
117:18 119:10	times 16:3,3	184:9 198:7	toolbox 138:21
119:17 121:18	91:22 176:13	199:15 201:13	139:8
121:25 123:9	176:16 183:14	207:25 208:22	tools 88:6
125:15 131:14	197:18 208:12	209:21 225:5	138:21 139:8
140:7 142:22	212:5 260:4	235:7 241:24	top 40:25 41:10
145:6,18	272:22	242:9 243:24	49:20 97:1
147:22 160:13		244:9 245:19	101:12 119:15

[top - tremaine's]

119:19 214:24	transaction	transparent	193:9,11,12,14
251:22 254:19	214:5	131:25	193:17,23
259:15,18	transactions	trash 14:14	194:3,8,17,25
264:1 267:2	61:15 174:10	travel 26:10	195:7,20
topic 30:21	235:20,23	tree 243:3	196:11,16
topics 34:8	236:6,8,11,16	tremaine 3:3	224:20,21,21
total 38:3,19,21	236:18	9:20 10:6,12	225:1,2,3,10,20
105:14 185:13	transatlantic	35:8 127:16	226:3,8,13,19
186:1 203:3	179:18	128:24 129:1	226:23 227:2
205:3,5 215:10	transcriber	129:12,14,16	227:16 228:3
225:17 236:1	299:1	129:17,18,19	229:3,4,10,20
294:24	transcript	129:25 130:17	229:23,25
totally 151:23	12:11 178:19	131:2,7,15	230:1,11,19,23
touch 88:21	211:17 272:6	132:3,14,22	231:4,9,12,21
130:20 294:12	299:3,5	133:2,10,16	231:23 232:6,8
touched 159:25	transcriptionist	134:5,11,16,22	232:17,22
tougher 176:12	298:8	135:8,17,20,23	233:1,13
towards 29:10	transcripts	136:1,6,9,12,16	240:11 255:17
39:21,22	161:6	136:21,24	256:14,16
235:15	transfer 62:5,7	137:3,8,13,16	278:25 279:1,5
township 182:4	94:12 131:18	137:20,25	279:6,7,11,15
toxic 273:20	138:23 186:7	138:4,8,15	279:22 280:2
track 44:13	186:11,12	139:10,14,21	280:12,14,22
46:9 152:25	227:13 235:6	139:23,25	280:25 281:4
258:24	236:3 270:12	140:6,8,10,11	281:12,14,18
tracking 44:18	transferring	140:23 141:3	281:25 282:5
62:22 277:19	236:2	141:13,17,23	282:16,21
trade 51:17	transfers 63:3	142:4,18 143:6	283:8,23
80:25 82:2	78:1,1 85:25	143:9,22 144:2	284:10 285:1,6
124:15,22	87:24 131:12	144:12,21	285:9,13,19
165:14 174:14	transition	145:16,21	286:2,7,13
traffic 169:10	67:19	146:1 159:25	287:20 288:8
169:19	transparency	167:16,22	288:11,24
trails 276:10	277:16	168:4,17	tremaine's
		169:10 193:8,9	167:21

[trial - two]

		I	
trial 256:23	244:7,13,25	trust 147:21	255:20 256:17
tribal 19:15	246:20 248:4	165:12 208:7	265:14
trick 135:24,25	249:20 250:4	208:16,20	tune 201:22
tricks 133:17	251:12,25	truth 12:13	turn 27:10
tricky 134:22	252:4,15,18	13:15,15,16,20	36:13 44:10
tried 291:7	253:6 254:4	18:22,22,23	91:2 149:23
trigger 70:6	255:23,25	21:7,7,8 24:6,6	150:20 151:15
87:14	256:1,6,20,24	24:7 50:18,18	211:13 222:6
triggers 62:1	256:25 257:1	50:19 131:5	262:19 275:11
tripp 5:13 10:4	257:13 258:8	179:4,4,5	turned 204:7
10:8,10,14	259:9,20	211:23,23,24	turning 118:14
178:5,9 211:3	260:22,25	243:12,12,13	tv 26:19
211:4,6,10	261:5,10,14,15	272:7,16,16,17	twenties 229:1
212:1,3,4,11,15	261:16 262:17	275:22,22,23	twice 13:8
212:23 213:8	269:9 270:17	truthfully	292:4
213:16 214:2	270:23,25	103:21	two 51:24 53:2
214:17,21	280:8,9,10	try 16:11 17:9	54:4 55:6 65:9
215:6,11,20	293:10,12,17	49:9 70:23,23	69:7 85:4,15
216:1,16 217:3	293:18,23	82:6 85:9,9	86:3 94:7
217:23 218:6	294:4,21 295:5	120:20 173:20	112:11 121:1,2
218:14,18	295:15,19	189:24 191:19	135:15 159:20
219:9 220:1,4	tripp's 210:15	209:12 245:17	176:8 177:2
220:13,14,19	233:21	250:1,2,20	180:10 183:14
220:22 221:1	tromp 120:18	289:25 290:14	189:21 191:7
221:10,14,20	trouble 219:20	trying 35:15	205:3 213:22
222:4,8,20	241:19	41:20 43:6	216:9,9 225:17
223:10,14,14	true 26:3 82:22	47:25 48:2,11	225:18,23
223:15 228:13	103:20 120:9	49:25 53:21	235:20,22
229:17 232:20	127:16 175:19	119:3,10	236:6 240:22
237:21 238:8	185:15 198:1	143:10 145:5	244:6,10,11
238:17 240:6,7	215:2 246:15	145:22 153:1	246:3 258:4
240:10 241:7	277:24 298:9	184:16 194:9	265:24 266:4
241:22 242:2	299:5	194:10 208:12	266:12 279:24
242:19 243:15	truly 82:22	209:10 219:14	280:17 292:1
243:17,18,23	151:23	219:17 233:5	293:19 294:6

[two - upfront]

		T	T
295:1	uncovered 64:7	184:16,22	unfolded 69:20
type 68:12	under 31:15	186:11 194:3	unfortunately
108:25 110:9	33:4 37:11	197:11 202:16	14:7 166:2
166:18 197:25	43:9 66:10,20	203:17 209:23	168:21 176:12
231:13	67:11,17 77:17	221:10 264:18	unified 55:4
types 58:23	85:22 88:12	295:7	59:14
59:7 184:22	100:8 101:25	understanding	unique 95:24
187:1	102:4 124:11	53:22 69:6	unit 185:7
typewriting	132:21 154:3	79:16 81:3	267:17,19
298:7	183:25 189:25	85:6 94:1,5,16	united 204:18
typical 214:5	190:12 194:4	103:10 108:15	university
240:19	195:2 221:6	136:13,17	179:16
typically 130:2	224:14 236:5	145:15 190:5,6	unmet 57:19
144:24	236:13 237:14	190:12 194:13	unmute 20:22
u	241:16 252:6	216:21 253:3	211:12 275:13
u 13:9	291:20 293:20	265:16,23	unmuted 23:21
u 13.9 uic 194:18	undercapitali	280:16,21	unnecessary
	132:17	281:3 295:4	86:12 87:18
ultimate	underground	understood	259:23
219:12	167:13 171:15	81:16 290:16	unplugged
ultimately	177:14 194:15	undertaken	148:5,25
22:22 78:16	undermined	67:5	150:22 154:2
230:12 255:5	92:2,3,12,13	undertaking	276:24
unable 282:8	undermining	81:1,6 82:11	unrealistic
unaddressed	52:9	undertook	71:23
148:25	underscore	288:22	unspecified
unavailable	84:7	undeveloped	236:16
297:6	understand	59:9,10	unworkable
uncertain	44:2 57:15,17	undisclosed	52:7 86:24
205:7	93:2,18 94:2,6	236:16	107:18
uncertainty	94:11 95:17,22	uneconomic	updated 136:18
68:7 75:4	100:20 106:13	240:22 257:21	163:15
87:11 217:17	108:7 110:5	267:13	updates 162:9
217:18 236:1	120:3,8 158:4	unfair 169:21	upfront 19:24
uncle 237:12	167:19 184:1	169:25	F 33

[uphold - virtual]

uphold 204:15	247:17 256:8	valley 277:5	vertical 180:7
282:13	256:11,15	valuation 259:2	181:24 182:4
uploaded 34:21	258:6 266:14	valuations	185:17,20
187:19	used 19:19	244:23	186:3 187:4,6
upstream	54:18 87:2	value 81:22	187:11 198:1
165:16	97:8,18 105:5	95:15 204:6,8	198:25 204:18
uranium 273:8	169:10 171:13	230:22 276:14	213:22 240:16
urge 278:4	176:15 188:24	values 277:20	vetting 148:20
urges 72:8	189:12,13	variable 69:17	viable 58:2,19
urging 159:20	192:9 234:24	185:1,4,14,25	87:10 96:17
160:12	264:3,14 274:9	various 175:5	97:8,17 232:2
usa 2:2	279:18	184:5 250:1	vice 51:14
usable 134:4	useful 206:8	vast 254:11	videoconfere
usage 83:2	291:13	264:5 276:11	6:12 7:12,16
use 16:2 20:19	uses 57:8 89:3	vendors 55:5,6	7:25
21:17 28:6	99:9 171:13	venting 21:24	view 55:17
35:2 55:16,18	183:9 203:24	vents 226:7	70:16,19 131:3
55:21 57:14,15	204:13 257:3	240:13 241:3	151:14 169:25
57:18 60:21	using 35:4	venue 238:4	176:14 208:13
61:2 64:19	106:1 107:16	verb 176:14	209:25
66:4 73:22	124:9 241:11	verbally 80:7	views 153:23
74:4 83:4 87:1	241:14 244:3,5	118:9	169:24
87:3 88:5,24	262:7 264:16	verbatim 83:25	vince 225:21
89:1 93:25	usually 17:25	verde 248:21	227:3,12
94:24 96:13,19	145:4	259:6,7	violate 42:4
97:12,25 98:5	utilize 88:14	verify 103:2	45:2
105:12 132:11	134:17 213:14	verifying 78:10	violates 41:10
133:6,12 134:7	241:2	vermersch 6:22	violation 43:5
134:19,24	utilized 54:16	version 38:15	135:21 136:4
135:1,3 141:8	139:8	50:14 81:15	136:14,18
162:13 176:14	utilizing 65:15	133:23 202:19	285:7
189:1 196:5	V	versions 36:19	violations 42:4
206:2,4,5	v 178:20	38:19	88:2 170:22
221:4 224:14	vague 88:1	versus 43:5	virtual 20:19
230:21,21	92:15	163:15	20:20 251:20

[virtual - wealth]

			,
268:19 278:15	35:14 36:16	warning 20:3	241:2 257:10
278:17	39:18 40:24	waste 31:19	283:18 291:21
virtually	44:13,19 46:8	32:8,20,25	295:7
165:19 282:11	54:19 78:19	33:3 57:8 59:9	ways 15:6,9,9
visit 26:11	79:16 82:20	87:17,17	20:11 186:3,16
visitors 277:6	92:18 103:5	167:13 170:25	186:17
vogue 246:2	111:2 114:25	171:4,7,15	we've 16:21
voice 82:3	120:2,21	174:25 177:15	22:3,4 23:8
voices 119:24	124:10 130:21	177:15 209:13	31:11 35:10
volatility 68:7	132:5 133:15	wasting 16:19	42:22 44:25
volume 202:1	133:23 134:12	water 15:21,22	45:6 49:15
volumes 89:4	140:18 142:4,6	15:23 16:2,8	52:24 53:1,8,9
voters 4:3	142:9 143:1,13	16:10 21:20	54:4 56:20
vu 123:11	152:4 154:7	188:23,25	58:20 61:1
166:15	157:3 160:5,8	189:14,16,16	64:6,6,23,23
vulnerable	165:23 166:4	189:18,20	69:15,16 72:3
276:25	174:3 176:14	194:14,14	74:3 81:2 85:3
W	179:24 188:11	204:9,20	85:5,14 109:23
w 24:1 272:12	193:18 195:8	209:14 227:21	110:24 129:20
waggy 18:1	201:23 205:21	240:19,24,25	137:25 143:3
wait 292:6	227:9,17 228:4	241:3 273:25	143:25 159:19
waited 81:13	231:25 232:1	277:10	175:11,22,23
waiting 79:13	246:17,25	water's 14:24	180:23 192:1,2
123:19 124:5	255:2 280:2	waterfall 62:17	197:4,5 206:19
125:17 124:3	281:4 284:3	waters 20:9	208:19 212:5
walk 85:13	293:14	277:1	213:20 214:7,7
153:12 154:2	wanted 114:19	way 15:12 16:2	214:21,23
168:25 273:6	130:7 133:22	31:2 36:11	217:19,23
283:21	168:5,11	53:12,19 92:5	227:5 252:6,24
walked 287:15	246:17	131:3 142:15	254:1,4 257:8
walking 35:16	wanting 146:22	148:1 151:13	257:19 258:3
walks 152:13	272:1	152:25 153:9	258:10 260:3
want 13:25	wants 92:15	163:4,14 167:1	293:19 294:13
14:21 17:22	170:18	176:25 194:19	wealth 15:14
19:4,21 29:19		199:12 221:23	205:18

[wealthy - wells]

wealthy 19:22	wellhead 22:10	137:6,11 138:6	197:9,13,13,16
website 34:16	wells 16:18	146:12 147:14	197:18,21,25
34:24 37:9	19:14,19,20	147:20,22	197:25 198:9
wednesday	21:23 22:2,8	148:3,5 149:1	198:23,25
80:4	23:2,14 25:5,7	149:20,24	199:11,14,15
week 80:4,14	25:18 26:14	150:14,21	199:21 200:2
122:14 219:3,3	37:19 44:25	152:14 154:2	201:16,17,20
weekend 65:9	45:7,15 53:5	154:11 155:3	201:22 202:2,5
297:2,10,15	53:25 54:1,1	155:23 157:6	202:8,22 203:3
weeks 53:2	56:15,17,19	165:24,25	203:7,11,19,23
54:5 85:4,15	58:3,6,7,19,24	166:1 167:25	203:23,25
135:15 159:20	59:1 63:9,10	168:2 170:10	204:1,2,4,8,10
weight 236:22	63:13,17,24	170:13 171:10	204:12,19
236:24	65:13 66:12	171:12,24	205:8,23 206:3
welc 61:5 66:19	69:2,3,8 70:18	172:19 173:2	206:6,7 213:21
69:7 71:17	71:12,14 78:2	173:12,14,15	213:21,22,23
73:19 93:1	85:24 87:10	173:17,24	214:3,9,11
115:3,17 117:1	88:11,12 94:7	174:11,22	215:7,7,16,17
117:4 118:24	94:9 95:14	176:25 180:7	215:18,22,23
119:3,10 121:1	96:17 97:8,17	181:3,21,25,25	221:2,3,8,9,12
128:12	97:23 98:4,9	182:4,7,12,19	224:9,13
welc's 37:7,21	98:11,21,25,25	183:1,2,13,24	225:12,12,17
55:17 60:23	99:1,4,5,5,6	184:5,8,10,19	225:17,19,23
62:14 63:21	100:7,9,10,11	184:20 185:2	226:4 229:12
64:10 68:21	100:11 101:5,9	185:18,20	233:15,20,22
74:18 77:4	102:7,7,8,14,15	186:3 187:4,6	233:25 234:17
79:4 92:25	103:7,8,11,12	187:8,11	234:24 235:6
93:20 99:12,19	103:15,16,24	188:17,21,24	235:11 236:3
99:21 119:5,11	103:24,25	189:3,10,11,12	239:2 240:22
welcome 39:20	104:1 105:10	189:20 190:7,8	240:24,25
welcoming	105:12,12,13	190:12,16,22	241:9,19,20
50:7	105:25 106:1,3	194:4,13,14,19	244:2,2,6,10,11
well's 107:23	110:1 112:12	194:19,22,24	245:14,16
230:7	113:7 121:4	195:1,2,9,16,17	246:3 247:18
	122:7 132:19	196:4,7,8	248:8,11,11,13

[wells - work]

248:16,18,20	wendell 1:15	24:11	275:21 288:8
248:24,24	went 37:10	williams	293:8 298:4
249:1,9,10,16	59:11 118:8,8	181:24 183:20	witnessed
249:17,19,21	120:4 123:11	willing 130:2	24:25
249:22,24,24	130:18 137:9	144:19	witnesses 71:1
250:1,2 252:19	140:24 213:2	willingness	74:22 81:4
253:14,25	244:17 247:12	116:22	82:14,14 90:12
254:5,10,11,17	247:14 258:14	winchester	91:20,21 92:7
255:4,6,7,11	280:19 287:2	297:9	109:25 130:19
257:15,20	289:8,12,14	window 57:21	134:12 144:5
258:4,11,11,16	west 213:24	57:22 58:12,15	153:18 170:15
258:23,23	western 4:2,9	58:18 71:21	170:23 171:11
259:3,7,8,22	4:16 7:13	winning 277:14	171:14,18
262:2,2,10,13	91:12 222:15	wireline 24:20	172:24 173:5,5
262:15,15	263:3	witness 9:5	175:3 238:2
264:4 265:24	westernlaw.org	10:2 12:25	248:5 259:11
266:5 267:2,4	4:12,19	13:14 18:21	266:9 270:24
267:5,8 268:16	what'd 38:10	21:6 24:5	woman 22:8
270:13,13,13	whatsoever	27:11 28:16	wondering
273:16 274:12	246:15	35:16 36:16	113:18
274:16,25	who've 13:23	40:5 46:24	word 44:19
276:24 277:8	whoops 268:20	50:7,17 79:4	45:19,21 46:7
279:18,20,24	wiale 7:4	89:24 97:23	46:13 47:17
280:17 283:3,3	272:11,11,14	145:23 156:6	91:24 100:15
283:4,6 284:15	272:20 275:9	177:22 179:3	101:23 124:13
284:17,18	296:16	192:21 193:1	136:19,22,25
286:8,17,18,20	wide 52:4 55:5	198:14 200:6	265:20,20
287:6,6,16	130:3 152:21	200:16,21	wording 56:4
289:13,17	wider 208:13	210:16 211:22	words 90:19
290:3,13,18	wife 296:23	219:23 222:6	work 21:17
291:15 292:13	wildearth 4:6	232:23 238:2,7	23:13 26:9,15
292:13 293:19	8:2	240:4 242:20	43:3 53:18
293:24 294:3,6	wildlife 277:9	243:11 256:16	65:6 110:6,7
294:9,20	william 7:24	262:19 270:19	110:10 115:20
295:12	8:3 23:20 24:4	271:1 272:4,15	115:21 116:14

[work - years]

100 05 144 0	272.22	1 01 05	57.04
120:25 144:9	worse 272:23	yards 21:25	year 57:24
153:7 199:5	would've 59:11	22:8	68:22 81:24
229:14 244:20	82:8 227:25	yates 228:25	101:6,14,22
247:17 249:19	229:7 231:1	yeah 15:16	102:2 108:10
251:14 252:1,7	232:11 268:8	16:13 17:10	121:24 125:8
268:18 282:1,3	268:12 269:12	31:19 34:15,20	126:6 128:18
workable 62:3	269:13,22,22	35:22 39:5	162:16 163:1
70:7 86:17	270:9	49:10,13,13	163:16 167:19
worked 24:17	wow 205:15	58:14 65:24	180:5,12 191:7
24:18 51:23	wozniak 2:12	73:21 77:16	191:7 206:1
59:4 176:2	7:7	89:14 90:6,13	209:6 215:7,17
212:24 220:15	wrapped 89:8	90:15 93:2	222:21 229:13
244:18,21	wrinkle 221:22	99:18 101:2	229:14 230:25
workforce	write 122:10	106:22 113:5	234:11,16,24
71:25	125:24 163:5	115:24 122:3	235:7 249:7,12
working 21:14	writes 117:17	126:11 129:10	249:12,13
27:16,19 55:4	writing 12:18	129:13 131:15	250:1,10 251:6
81:4,14 83:7	80:10 118:10	134:22 137:2	259:2 266:17
124:14 179:16	written 36:3,9	139:21 143:8	266:23 267:2
182:2 251:19	40:18 57:20	154:23 159:7	277:7 282:9
281:19	115:7 131:9,10	161:13 163:18	285:10 286:16
workings	263:17 270:20	164:8 180:16	288:1 292:1
142:11	wrong 47:20	182:14 189:11	293:25 294:3,9
workover	89:11 102:9,16	205:21 206:9	years 21:23
251:10 281:16	102:20 103:14	208:5 213:19	22:13 56:19
282:7,17	110:15 126:10	214:6 215:9,14	60:25 65:4,13
workovers	wyoming	216:5 218:9	65:17 68:10
174:23	248:13 257:5	222:10 223:14	77:24 84:3,5
works 79:25	X	226:16,24	138:13 162:7
163:12 227:6	x 9:1 10:1 11:1	240:21 253:9	162:19,20,21
world 25:4	50:12	255:22 261:19	163:15 170:11
59:20 62:10		264:19 269:1,1	173:9 174:19
139:7 274:1	y	270:22 281:9	176:22 179:17
worry 15:25	y'all 213:9	289:21	182:1 192:4
	214:5		220:16,20

[years - zoom]

222:24 223:1,9
223:21 227:24
228:15 244:19
244:21 245:8
245:10 247:2,7
251:3 261:25
262:3,11 291:8
yep 127:15
289:4
yesterday
27:19 30:16
38:8 71:6
130:22 161:15

zero 176:16 189:4,7 196:1 196:2,10 230:5 zone 258:24 282:13 zones 25:12 250:19 258:21 259:4 zoom 188:15 205:16