1	PUBLIC HEARING
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
4	
5	Pecos Hall, 1st Floor, Wendell Chino Building
6	1220 S. Saint Francis Drive
7	Santa Fe, New Mexico
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 19.15.2, 19.15.5
	19.15.8, 19.15.9 and 19.15.25 NMAC
11	
12	
13	
1 4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	Marrambara 2 2025
15	November 3, 2025
16	
17	
	HEARD BEFORE:
18	
	HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH
19	
20	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
21	ALBERT CHANG, Chair
	GREGORY BLOOM, Member (virtual)
22	DR. WILLIAM AMPOMAH, Member
23	
	COUNSEL TO THE COMMISSION:
24	
	MR. ZACHARY SHANDLER, ESQ.
25	
	Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	
_	FOR THE APPLICANTS:
3	WESTERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER
4	409 East Palace Ave., #2
4	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
5	BY: Tannis Fox
3	fox@westernlaw.org
6	Kyle Tisdel
	tisdel@westernlaw.org
7	Matt Nykiel
	nykiel@westernlaw.org
8	
9	FOR NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION:
10	NM ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPT.
1 1	1220 South St. Francis Drive
11	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 BY: Jesse K. Tremaine
12	jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
	Chris Modander
13	chris.moander@emnrd.nm.gov
14	
	FOR INDEPENDENT PETROLEUM ASSOC. OF NM:
15	
	HINKLE SHANOR, LLP
16	P.O. Box 10
4 -	Roswell, New Mexico 88202
17	BY: Andrew J. Cloutier
18	acloutier@hinklelawfirm.com Ann Tripp
т о	atripp@hinklelawfirm.com
19	acrippeninticiawrirm.com
20	FOR OXY USA, INC.:
21	HOLLAND & HART, LLP
	P.O. Box 2208
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
	BY: Adam G. Rankin
23	agrankin@hollandhart.com
	Aaron Tucker
24	Atucker@hollandhart.com
25	
	Page 2

```
1
                APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2
3
    FOR NM OIL & GAS ASSOCIATION:
4
      BEATTY & WOZNIAK, PC
      500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1,000
5
      Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
           Miguel A. Suazo
6
           msuazo@bwenergylaw.com
           Jacob Everhart
           ieverhart@bwenergylaw.com
7
8
    FOR EOG RESOURCES, INC.:
9
      BRADFUTE SAYER, PC
10
      P.O. Box 90233
      Albuquerque, New Mexico 87199
11
      BY: Jennifer L. Bradfute
           jennifer@bradfutelaw.com
12
           Matthias Sayer
           matthias@bradfutelaw.com
13
      EOG RESOURCES, INC.
14
      125 Lincoln Ave., Suite 213
      Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
15
      BY: Jordan Kessler
           jordan_kessler@eogresources.com
16
17
    FOR THE NM COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS:
18
      NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE
      P.O. Box 1148
19
      Santa Fe, New Mexico
                             87504
      BY: Richard H. Moore
20
           rmoore@nmslo.gov
           Arni Biernoff
21
           abiernoff@nmslo.gov
2.2
23
24
2.5
                                           Page 3
```

1	I N D E X
2	PAGE
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS5
4	THE WITNESSES
5	JIM WINCHESTER
	Direct Examination by Mr. Cloutier17
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Nykiel46
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tremaine60
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moore
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Suazo81
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Cloutier82
	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah83
9	Examination by Commissioner Bloom87
	Examination by Chair Chang90
10	
	KYLE ARMSTRONG
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Tripp97
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tisdel123
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tremaine131
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Biernoff142
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Suazo149
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Tripp152
14	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah155
	Examination by Commissioner Bloom161
15	
	TREVOR Gilstrap
16	Direct Examination by Ms. Tripp164
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Tremaine192
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Suazo202
	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah205
18	Examination by Commissioner Bloom208
	Examination by Chair Chang211
19	
	CALDER EZELL
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Cloutier213
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 4
	- a.g.c - 1

1	I N D E X (Cont'd)
2	
	PAGE
3	
	ADMITTED EXHIBITS
4	
5	IPANM Winchester Direct and Rebuttal
5	Exhibits 10 through 13, 27, 28, 40, 43, 44 and 46 through 5320
6	44 and 40 chilough 55
O	IPANM Armstrong Direct, Surrebuttal Testimony
7	and Demonstrative Slides
8	IPANM Gilstrap Direct and Rebuttal Testimony,
	Demonstrative and Surrebuttal Slides191
9	
	IPANM Ezzell direct, rebuttal testimony,
10	demonstratives, surrebuttal, and Exhibits 14
	through 19, 20, 26, and 41219
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATE279
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 5

1	(On the record at 9:00 a.m.)
2	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Good morning. My
4	name is Felicia Orth, hearing officer appointed by
5	the Oil Conservation Commission to conduct a hearing
6	on OCC 24683 relating to well plugging and financial
7	assurance.
8	We are on day 11 of the hearing and have
9	reached another public comment session. I don't
10	believe I see anyone in the room here to offer public
11	comment, but I believe we have several folks online.
12	Here in the room, we have Commissioner
13	Ampomah and Commissioner Bloom. The Chair is online,
14	Chair Chang is online.
15	So let's see, Sheila, who are we going
16	to pull up first? This is Karen Kilpatrick.
17	Just a couple of things about public
18	comment. I will ask you to spell your first and last
19	name for the transcript. I will ask you, pursuant to
20	Commission rules, to swear or affirm to tell the
21	truth.
22	And I will ask you to keep your comments
23	to three minutes. In the event you have a lot more
24	to say, please submit your public comment and rating
25	to the Commission administrator, Sheila Apodaca.

1	Ms. Kilpatrick, can you unmute
2	yourself.
3	MS. KILPATRICK: My name is Karen
4	Kilpatrick. It's K-A-R-E-N, K-I-L-P-A-T-R-I-C-K.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do you
6	swear or affirm to tell the truth?
7	MS. KILPATRICK: Yes, ma'am, I do.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start your time.
9	MS. KILPATRICK: Thank you for your time
10	today. I was born in Gallup while my father was
11	working at the Prewitt refinery in 1954. In 1956, my
12	father took a job at the Conoco refinery in Artesia
13	just before I turned two years old. He worked there
14	in the lab for 25 years before his health forced him
15	to retire.
16	My older brother went to work there
17	shortly after my father's retirement and worked in
18	the lab for 40 years before he retired. This brother
19	also worked in a jewelry store or owned one from 1966
20	until he closed it and retired in 2011.
21	My younger brother graduated high school
22	in 1977 and started at the refinery a year afterwards
23	as a pot mechanic. He worked his way up to managing
24	the asphalt plant. He was laid off after 32 years of
25	work when he was 61 or 62 years old.

1	I have spent 60 years in Artesia with
2	ten years in Texas and Virginia at different times.
3	Most of the time, Artesia is self-sustainable, but
4	there is a lot that would make it better. Our
5	biggest and oldest oil drilling company, Yates
6	Drilling and Production Company, sold out to EOG a
7	few years back. EOG went through a reorganization
8	and moved their offices from here to Midland, Texas.
9	We have the largest oil pool under our
10	county that we cannot access due to drilling
11	regulations already on the books. Why try to place
12	more on companies that would leave the state? There
13	are too many regulations on the state and federal
14	lands where drilling could be done and was done in
15	the past.
16	The new proposed regulations may cause
17	the companies currently doing business in Eddy and
18	Lea County to withdraw. This could lessen the influx
19	of oil and gas money. This would mean less funds for
20	schools.
21	I would really recommend the Commission
22	to vote these new proposals down. Thank you very
23	much for your time and listening to me.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Sheila, who do we
25	have next?

1	Andrew Klooster, can you unmute
2	yourself?
3	MR. KLOOSTER: Can you hear me all right?
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. Thank you. If
5	you would spell your first and last name.
6	MR. KLOOSTER: Perfect. Thank you. My name
7	is Andrew Klooster. It's A-N-D-R-E-W,
8	K-L-O-O-S-T-E-R.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
10	tell the truth?
11	MR. KLOOSTER: I do.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start your time.
13	MR. KLOOSTER: Thank you. I am the Colorado
14	field advocate and an optical gas imaging
15	thermographer with Earthworks, and I'm thankful for
16	the opportunity today to lend my voice in support of
17	stronger bonding and cleanup rules.
18	For over ten years, Earthworks has
19	deployed optical gas imaging cameras here in
20	New Mexico and in other states, both nationally and
21	internationally, to document emissions from
22	facilities throughout the oil and gas supply chain
23	and at each stage in the lifecycle of an oil and gas
24	well path.
25	We have used this experience to advocate
	Page 9

1	for new rules and regulations to mitigate emissions
2	from facilities that pose a risk to public health and
3	the environment. I personally served as a
4	thermographer for Earthworks for the past five years,
5	and in those five years I have conducted over 2,000
6	surveys of roughly a thousand different oil and gas
7	facilities throughout the Mountain West, including
8	Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Utah, and here in
9	New Mexico in the San Juan Basin.
10	I've made multiple trips to San Juan and
11	Rio Arriba counties, and what I've observed at
12	marginal wells in New Mexico is not surprisingly, but
13	unfortunately, no different than what I've observed
14	at similar wells in Colorado or elsewhere.
15	Let me elaborate on that point. When
16	you spend as much time as I have looking at
17	low-producing and abandoned wells with an optical gas
18	imaging camera, you will likely observe over and over
19	again emissions from loose fittings and valves,
20	cracked or unsealed stuffing boxes, broken gauges and
21	controllers, improperly maintained storage tanks,
22	malfunctioning flares and separators, and even as in
23	the case of a facility in San Juan County a few years
24	back, emissions wafting directly out of the ground
25	itself due to a cracked flowline on a well pad.

1	These emissions are not just a sign of
2	aging and perhaps in some instances poorly maintained
3	equipment, they pose a threat to public health and
4	the environment. I worked with a rural community
5	here in Colorado where the emissions from just a
6	single leaking tank battery on a low-producing well
7	pad made multiple people from various households sick
8	with nausea and headaches and potentially exposed
9	them to a particularly dangerous pollutant, hydrogen
LO	sulfide.
L1	Now that well pad is shut down, but the
L2	public will be footing the bill to clean up the mess
L3	because the operator was, for all intents and
L4	purposes, financially insolvent long before their
L5	equipment started leaking and impacting public
L6	health.
L7	This should never happen. The public,
L8	particularly those who may have already suffered
L9	negative consequences from nearby oil and gas
20	facilities, should never have to pay to clean up a
21	mess they did not create, a mess that they may have
22	already had to pay for in the form of bills for
23	doctor's visits, laboratory tests, or air purifiers.
24	This really is a very common-sense
25	proposition. The operators that drill the wells and

1	make a profit from the wells, should pay the cost to
2	clean up those wells after their productive life
3	cycle has ended.
4	New Mexicans have lived on and cared for
5	this land for centuries. To honor that legacy, the
6	state must adopt rules that ensure that New Mexico's
7	people and land do not pay the price for a mess they
8	did not create. Thank you.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
10	Mr. Klooster.
11	Next we have Matt Strickler.
12	Mr. Strickler, can you unmute yourself?
13	MR. STRICKLER: Yes.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. Would you
15	spell your first and last name, please?
16	MR. STRICKLER: Yes. My name is Matt
17	Strickler. Matt, M-A-T-T, Strickler,
18	S-T-R-I-C-K-L-E-R.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
20	affirm to tell the truth?
21	MR. STRICKLER: Yes.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
23	start your time.
24	MR. STRICKLER: Hello. My name is Matt
25	Strickler. I'm a native New Mexican and a graduate
	Page 12
	1.5

1	of Farmington High School. I chose a degree and
2	career in the energy sector and have over 20-plus
3	years experience in the industry.
4	The start of my energy career began in
5	Texas and expanded to work in Colorado, Oklahoma,
6	New Mexico, and internationally. I chose to return
7	to the state in 2011 and hope to remain in
8	New Mexico. My family and I love the climate and the
9	people here in Northwest New Mexico. I would very
LO	much like to remain optimistic about our family and
L1	future generations having a life here.
L2	Unfortunately, over the last 14 years,
L3	I've seen my focus shift from 100 percent progress in
L4	investments based in New Mexico to a de minimis
L5	amount of consideration in new investments in
L6	New Mexico. Aside from legacy assets in New Mexico,
L7	we have turned almost all of our efforts to
L8	investments in Oklahoma and Texas. The regulatory
L9	and energy policy environment in New Mexico has been
20	the primary reason for our shift outside of the state
21	as risk and returns are rarely competitive.
22	I do not believe my experience is
23	unique, as policymakers have taken an increasingly
24	hostile approach to small independent producers in
25	favor of large corporate interests. I am concerned

1	that as the shale production, particularly in the
2	southeastern New Mexico peaks, the budget level of
3	Santa Fe has increased since the pandemic and will
4	eventually lead to a cascade of insolvencies for the
5	small producers in the state in favor of the larger
6	oil and gas interests.
7	Policy measures like the proposed
8	marginal well bonding will lead to a cascade of
9	insolvencies if energy prices ever take a downturn.
LO	And I strongly urge the rulemaking bodies to stress
L1	test these measures before triggering a cascade of
L2	liabilities to the State of New Mexico as they take
L3	solvent companies and drive them into insolvency.
L4	I thank you for your time and
L 5	consideration and I hope this committee makes the
L6	right decision.
L7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
L8	Mr. Strickler.
L9	If you're on the platform and would like
20	to offer comment, please raise your virtual hand. If
21	you have dialed in using a telephone, you can press
22	star 5 to raise your hand. Is there anyone else at
23	all who would like to offer comment at this time?
24	The next opportunity for public comment
25	will be this afternoon at 4:00 p.m. And during that
	Page 14

1	comment session, there will be available an
2	interpreter between English and Spanish, Spanish and
3	English.
4	All right. If there's no one else, we
5	will return to the technical case.
6	Thank you, Mr. Cloutier, for sending an
7	updated lineup this weekend. Are we still on that
8	path?
9	MR. CLOUTIER: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer.
10	I were uncertain when Mr. Gilstrap is available this
11	morning before noon. If he is, and we're done, we
12	can put him on. If not, he's ready to go at 1:00.
13	Mr. Ezzell will be here to follow up on
14	that. And we are well on our way unless,
15	Mr. Tremaine and Mr. Hall try and ask more questions
16	than they're entitled to, to finish on Tuesday.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: I am obviously just teasing,
19	since Mr. Tremaine's smiling. And Mr. Hall may be
20	glaring.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
22	Mr. Cloutier.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: And then we will have the two
24	witnesses available on Thursday, as outlined in my
25	e-mail to you and all counsel.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. So we may
2	have Commissioner Ampomah and others we may have a
3	break, if you will, between today and Thursday or
4	tomorrow and Thursday due to witness availability.
5	MR. CLOUTIER: I think we're just going to
6	convene versus Microsoft Teams. Commissioner
7	Ampomah's, the hearing officer's reference. But I
8	have one witness who is out of the country until
9	sometime tomorrow afternoon; another witness who's
10	not available today, but is available tomorrow.
11	Since they're both available Thursday
12	morning after conferring with the hearing officer and
13	counsel, we thought it might be best if we just
14	reconvene for those two witnesses virtually on
15	Thursday morning.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: It's something that's
17	in discussion, but we don't have that discussion
18	official yet.
19	MR. CLOUTIER: Yes.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Commissioner Ampomah.
21	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah, so if I can
22	know the time, because I've already booked my hotel
23	and I need to know that, and if I need to go back
24	home or not.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Thank you.
	Page 16

1	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Commissioner Bloom.
3	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: That would certainly
4	work for me. I'm glad you're discussing this with
5	respect to Thursday. And just to note, tomorrow I
6	will not be able to be in Santa Fe, but I will
7	participate virtually. I don't think I'll miss any
8	portion of the meeting, but I'll be virtual tomorrow.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
10	Mr. Winchester, would you join us up
11	here at the front, please. Thank you. If you would
12	spell your first and last name, please.
13	THE WITNESS: All right. Is that better?
14	Jim Winchester, last name is W-I-N-C-H-E-S-T-E-R.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do you
16	swear or affirm to tell the truth?
17	THE WITNESS: I do.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead,
19	Mr. Cloutier.
20	JIM WINCHESTER,
21	having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
24	Q. Mr. Winchester, good morning. Would you
25	please introduce yourself to the Commission?
	Page 17
	raye 17

1	A. Certainly. Good morning. Jim Winchester,
2	director of the Independent Petroleum Association of
3	New Mexico. We're a volunteer trade association, oil
4	and gas producers who explore and produce E&P oil and
5	gas in New Mexico. And we're made up of predominantly
6	those independent producers, along with businesses and
7	professions who support those producers.
8	Q. Thank you. Have you been in attendance in
9	person or virtually throughout these proceedings?
10	A. On and off. I've been in the room for some
11	parts of the proceeding. I've also been listening on
12	the virtual platform. And at times I've been able to
13	catch up a little bit on the department's YouTube
14	channel. I have certainly not caught up on
15	everything. And sometimes when I was listening, I was
16	multitasking. So I'm not sure that I've caught
17	everything.
18	Q. Okay, thank you. And you submitted direct
19	and rebuttal testimony in these proceedings,
20	Mr. Winchester?
21	A. Yes, that's correct.
22	Q. Do you have any corrections or
23	clarifications to the testimony you submitted?
24	A. Yes. Thank you. Based on what occurred in
25	the proceeding, I do have a couple of clarifications.

1	First, in my rebuttal, I testified
2	concerning reaction from multiple members of my
3	association to a form that was issued by the Division
4	in August or September that interpreted as
5	implementing as though this rulemaking had been
6	adapted.
7	My testimony from the members at the
8	time was truthful. My clarification is that after
9	Mr. Hall questioned an earlier witness, and I
LO	apologize, I don't remember who that was, I discussed
L1	Mr. Hall's questions with members, and I wish to
L2	clarify that I think the initial form's reactions was
L3	misplaced.
L <b>4</b>	Q. Anything else?
L 5	A. Yes. I spent a lot of time in my direct
L6	testimony talking about what was and what was not
L7	represented by the master orphaned well spreadsheet.
L8	Again, I do not think what I testified to in direct is
L9	inaccurate, however, in listening to the applicants
20	and to the Division, I do not think that they are
21	trying to use each entry on that spreadsheet as the
22	scope of the current orphan well issue in New Mexico,
23	which is something that I heard prior to the hearing.
24	Since both the Division and the
25	applicants seem to be using the number of roughly 700

1	for the number of orphaned wells that the Division has
2	the responsibility to plug, I think it's a number that
3	is roughly accurate. So I don't think that my
4	testimony about the spreadsheet has much relevance.
5	IPANM agrees with the applicants and the
6	Division about the scope of the current orphan well
7	problem. And to be clear, IPANM agrees that it is a
8	problem that does need a solution.
9	MR. CLOUTIER: With that, Madam Hearing
10	Officer, I'D move the admission of Mr. Winchester's
11	direct and rebuttal testimony as demonstrative IPANM
12	Exhibits 10 through 13, 27, 28, 40, 43, 44 and 46
13	through 53.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll pause for a
15	moment in the event there are objections. Those
16	exhibits are admitted.
17	(Admitted: IPANM Winchester Direct
18	and Rebuttal Exhibits 10 through 13,
19	27, 28, 40, 43, 44 and 46 through 53.)
20	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
21	Q. You've already touched on this,
22	Mr. Winchester, but would you describe your role in
23	the oil and gas industry?
24	A. Yes, certainly. I am, as I mentioned,
25	executive director of IPANM, again, a trade

1	association. And as a trade association, I do a
2	number of administrative functions, everything from
3	preparing a budget, membership trainings, industry
4	events, communications, general operations, staff,
5	including myself, and a part-time operations manager.
6	My primary duty, though, in this role,
7	is to really listen to my board and the membership and
8	communicate their collective views to the legislature,
9	to the general public, and today to this Commission.
LO	Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert in
L1	things like day-to-day oil and gas operations, running
L2	an oil and gas company, or implementing the
L3	regulations that affect oil and gas companies.
L4	A. Not at all. I did work here at EMNRD and
L5	NMED from 2011 to 2015. In my role with those
L6	departments, I learned about some of the issues at the
L7	time from the regulatory perspective. But safe to say
L8	I'm not an expert in those regulatory issues.
L9	I'm also not a lawyer. I know that
20	non-lawyers can contribute to drafting and
21	interpreting regulations, but I don't hold myself as
22	having a skill in that area.
23	In my role at IPANM, I learn things
24	every day from members about the industry and their
25	companies. But I've never been active or employed

Т	directly for an oil and gas company.
2	So I guess that's a long way of saying
3	that I have some knowledge about a number of industry
4	matters, but not always in any great depth.
5	Q. Please explain to the Commission the purpose
6	of your testimony here today.
7	A. Yeah, there's three things. First, we have
8	a number of members who volunteered and even asked to
9	tell the Commission about their company and how they
10	would be affected by the rulemaking. In fact, some of
11	those members initially submitted written testimony,
12	but we have now submitted their comments in writing,
13	as you and Ms. Tripp thought it best to pare down our
14	presentation. I do think that those individual
15	members have and will provide valuable insight.
16	However, there are other members that we
17	represent that don't want to be in the limelight,
18	which is certainly understandable. So in my capacity
19	as their representative, where I heard some basic
20	concerns multiple times, I'm here to tell the
21	Commission about those concerns. So that's really my
22	first purpose for being here and testifying.
23	Second, there were occasions in the
24	rulemaking where we thought the Commission needed more
25	information from industry than the testifying
	Page 22

1	operators could provide. So we did survey our
2	members, and I'm presenting the results of those
3	surveys.
4	And then the third thing is, we have
5	been criticized for not engaging on this rulemaking.
6	And I'm here to tell the Commission as to why that is.
7	Q. Let's move to specific areas of your direct
8	testimony.
9	MR. CLOUTIER: If we could move to slide 3,
10	please, Mr. Everhart.
11	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
12	Q. And there are changes to 19.15.5.9 proposed
13	by the applicant. The first is a change to $A(4)$ .
14	What's the purpose of your testimony today regarding
15	that issue?
16	A. Okay. Certainly, I think in general IPANM
17	members are concerned about two rule changes sort of
18	related to what we're considering an operator in good
19	standing. So 19.15.5.9A(4), so based on the current
20	rule, the member feedback that I've received is that
21	I'm told that the current regulations allow an
22	operator to be maybe 1 or 2 percent out of compliance
23	with the existing rule to plug. In other words, the
24	rule, as it's written now, forces operators to plug,
25	but it's a rule that recognizes the reality that there

1	may be some lag time between the need to plug in an
2	actual plugging.
3	My understanding is that this has to do
4	with any number of reasons, including but not limited,
5	for example, like the availability of plugging crews,
6	service companies to plug within a certain time frame.
7	I think the concern with the new
8	19.15.5.4 A(4), and I think that starts at slide 3 as
9	you mentioned, is what referring to as "the death
10	penalty," that the new proposal seems to eliminate any
11	tolerance for even being one well out of compliance.
12	Now my members read that as such, that
13	if you are one day out of compliance with plugging one
14	well, you're not eligible to operate any wells.
15	Q. And there is a new provision suggested at
16	A(5) of that same rule. Can you tell the Commission
17	what members' concerns are?
18	A. Okay. So just to clarify, this is
19	19.15.5.9A(5), correct?
20	Q. Correct.
21	A. So this, to my understanding, adds that one
22	violation of the venting and flaring rule and the
23	operator is deemed not in good standing. So I think
24	it's the same deal where you're no longer eligible to
25	operate any of your wells.

1	And in addition to that, my members have
2	brought to me further concerns that by referencing
3	Part A of the venting and flaring rule, even
4	permissible venting and flaring under Subparts B, C,
5	and D of that rule is not allowed. And again, I want
6	to emphasize this is how it reads to our operators.
7	Q. And could you articulate the concerns that
8	you've been told about that operators have expressed
9	to you about these two changes?
LO	A. Okay, sure. There's three things. First,
L1	if we go back, you and I, Mr. Cloutier, participated
L2	for IPANM in a civil penalties rulemaking a couple
L3	years ago. And we heard a number of times that the
L4	OCD has a toolbox, various tools at its disposal, when
L5	it finds an operator in non-compliance. This includes
L6	the civil penalty matrix, that waste factors and
L7	circumstances to come up with appropriate penalty.
L8	But here, there's no such discretion or penalty matrix
L9	available. I think that's why it's been dubbed the
20	death penalty, one strike and you're out.
21	Second, and I think it needs to be asked
22	both for the stated mission of the Oil Conservation
23	Commission and the Division in terms of preventing
24	resource waste and for the public, how is it good for
25	the state to withdraw an operator's ability to
	Page 25

1	operate, say, you know, an additional hundred wells
2	for one violation of one well.
3	Third, and this is really a central
4	theme here throughout the rule, and this is the
5	thinking, if the collective goal of these rules is to
6	prevent orphan wells under this one strike and you're
7	out provision, the proposed rule effectively orphans a
8	lot of wells.
9	Q. Thank you, Mr. Winchester.
10	The next area of your testimony I want
11	to talk about is concerns you expressed on the issue
12	of bonding. Can you tell us, on an overall basis,
13	what the concern is that IPANM had with it?
14	A. Okay. Thanks. And I think this is a slide
15	7. Adding the new bonding requirements is effectively
16	a sledgehammer. And that gets to what I'm bringing up
17	here and showing here on the slides moving forward.
18	In my direct written testimony, I
19	testified that how bonding has historically been
20	ineffective in New Mexico. And this is supported in
21	slide 7 by the most recent seven annual reclamation
22	reports showing that bonds were only drawn on to
23	total two in the past seven years, amounting to less
24	than \$250,000 after plugging 193 wells.
25	Now, this is upsetting to IPANM members

1	first because the Division is asking for essentially a
2	600 percent increase for their individual bond, with
3	no blanket option. And I'm not sure that any industry
4	could survive a sudden 600 percent cost in its
5	holdings.
6	And the industry is being asked this,
7	when the state collects virtually none or very little
8	of his existing bonds. It's just not good policy or
9	practice, as it's been relayed to me.
10	And I think to sort of put a circle on
11	this one, this was asked back in June at the LFC
12	meeting, legislative finance committee meeting, in
13	Taos. Assistant Deputy Secretary Sheldon testified in
14	front of the legislative finance committee that this
15	is the equivalent of that "The juice is, is not worth
16	the squeeze." Should I go on?
17	I mean, I've noticed since then that
18	there's been a slight change of approach, again, at
19	the June LFC hearing, when that reference was made.
20	You know, there was bipartisan pushback. And I know
21	that I brought that up as a concern in my written
22	testimony submitted on August 8th.
23	Now, in this rulemaking, I found out for
24	the first time that in the last half of August, the
25	OCD made bond demands of three of the largest

1 offenders on its orphan well list. And whether the 2 timing suggests OCD got the message, it really doesn't matter. We just want to be on the record now as 3 saying that we're glad to see that the Division is 4 5 doing this. But the argument remains, the bonding 6 7 system right now offers us no evidence that it 8 protects the taxpayer. And our members who are coming 9 forward in this hearing are demonstrating that bonds only succeed in creating hardships for the good 10 11 operators and, therefore, really risk increasing 12 orphaned wells. 13 Q. Thank you, Mr. Winchester. 14 I'd like to move to your testimony 15 concerning Exhibits 17 and 23. I think that's around

I'd like to move to your testimony concerning Exhibits 17 and 23. I think that's around slide 18 or so. These are two of the Division's exhibits. They're Exhibits 17 and 23. Tell the Commission what you did at a high level.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

A. Sure. So I want to first give some context related to this. It's come up before in this rulemaking but most operators plug their wells. The Division or somebody else may wish that some of these wells get plugged sooner and there may be disagreements about when wells need plugging, but I want to make it clear good operators take care of

1	business, including the plugging of wells and
2	reclaiming of property.
3	Now Exhibit 17, it's been clarified as
4	I've been told, and it seems like the Division and the
5	applicants agree, that there are a number of wells on
6	the spreadsheet that have been plugged.
7	Exhibit 23 is one of the TA well
8	exhibits submitted by the Division.
9	Q. So in addition to having talked about the
10	number of wells that have already been plugged, does
11	your testimony on these exhibits have any other
12	relevance to the Commission in your mind?
13	A. Okay, sure. A couple of things. First, on
14	Exhibit 17, when we analyze it, as we do on slide 8, I
15	believe that's our slide, but correct me if I'm
16	wrong
17	Q. It's not on there?
18	A it demonstrates that the population of
19	those orphan wells is concentrated into a smaller
20	number of operators; ten, to be exact.
21	And again, on that slide 8, this shows
22	that the problem in scope is involved in ten operators
23	that account for 75 percent of the total orphan wells.
24	Second, and most important, if we look
25	at Exhibit 23, that is the basis, to my understanding,

1	of at least a good chunk of legislative finance
2	committee's concerns about another 4,300 wells being
3	orphaned in addition to the 700 unplugged. I think
4	there ought to be real doubt as to whether these wells
5	unfortunately will ever get bonded.
6	Q. Let's look at the next slide, please, and
7	then the next one after that.
8	A. That's slide 22, I think.
9	Q. Tell us what's depicted here. This is taken
10	from your testimony?
11	A. Okay, this is the number that we did and ran
12	based on Exhibit 23, and you can see it again on page
13	22 of the slides. As you can see there, it indicates
14	that almost 40 percent of the wells in Exhibit 23, the
15	TA list, are concentrated into ten operators.
16	Based on the well count of those
17	operators in Exhibit 23, we've calculated the amount
18	of their extra bond under the new rule proposals. And
19	under notes, there is publicly available information
20	about those operators which we've compiled.
21	And if I may, I want to briefly walk
22	through that list.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: If we could go to the next
24	slide, please, slide 22.
25	A. Yeah, back to slide 22. I'm going to
	Page 30

1	briefly walk through this list just to illustrate
2	something.
3	First you know we look at the top.
4	There's Ridgeway in Arizona. It has 287 wells, it's
5	under a compliance order. And the question I ask is
6	and what members have asked me: Do we think that the
7	state will come up with \$43 million in extra bonding.
8	The next one, Acacia Operating, I
9	believe it's pronounced, it has 231 wells in
10	Exhibit 23. Only one well shows up on the orphaned
11	well spreadsheet, Exhibit 17. But essentially, under
12	the new bonding, they will owe an extra \$34 million.
13	And I think we are pretty uncertain regarding their
14	bonding capacity.
15	The next one LLJ Ventures shows 149
16	orphaned, and I think we can conclude it's very
17	unlikely that they will be able to post \$40 million in
18	bonds.
19	LH Operating has 131 wells, but recently
20	sold to EON Resources, and they are planning secondary
21	recovery through waterflooding. So that's
22	substantial.
23	Q. Excuse me. Let me interrupt. Do you know
24	that personally or was that the result of some other
25	information that was provided to you?

1	A. No, I do not know that personally. But that
2	is information that is publicly available, to my
3	understanding.
4	Okay. Dominion Production holds various
5	compliance orders, but with those regarding
6	compliance orders on financial assurance violations, I
7	think it's obvious that they will not meet the new
8	bonding requirements. So that's another \$18 million.
9	Cano Petro we know very well filed for
10	bankruptcy, they're gone. So we know there's an
11	additional 17 million in bonding coming there.
12	Hilcorp is on this list. And as we
13	know, they have submitted information to the
14	Commission. They have a very robust well program.
15	They are successfully reworking wells, and that's
16	transformed old wells into individual success stories.
17	So they're responsible. They're actively plugging
18	wells. They should be considered low risk and yet,
19	this rule would cost them \$15 million of bonding,
20	which doesn't make sense because their plugging and
21	repurposing track record is there.
22	They are concerned, however, that, you
23	know, their ability to continue to grow through
24	acquisitions in their business model is under
25	tremendous threat with the uncertainty of the OCD

1	authority to reject acquisitions. So they're out
2	there like that and they're not alone.
3	I'm moving on. The smaller operators,
4	like OEM, they'll be asked to increase bonding, \$13
5	million. I think it's unlikely given their portfolio.
6	Empire, Maverick, they're each being
7	asked to increase 12, \$11 million respectively.
8	They're less known, but with uncertain bonding
9	capacity.
LO	And then at the bottom, Northern Pacific
L1	has 65 wells on the inactive list and 83 wells on the
L2	orphaned well spreadsheet.
L3	So overall, I think the pattern we see
L4	here is that most of these operators, outside of
L5	Hilcorp, on the orphaned well list lack the financial
L6	ability to provide bonds.
L7	Q. Thank you. Did members and you notice
L8	anything else about the population of Exhibit 23?
L9	MR. CLOUTIER: Next slide, please.
20	A. Yeah, we noticed that there were other
21	operators on 23, Exhibit 23, who we would describe as
22	problem operators, again, publicly available
23	information, that suggests they are no longer capable
24	of plugging or bonding.
25	I think this is slide 25, is that

1	correct?
2	Q. That's one.
3	A. Okay. Slide 25, that is a list that we've
4	compiled of those operators.
5	Q. And what's over there in the notes, just for
6	a summary? I don't think we need to walk through it.
7	A. Yeah, I think what we're showing here is
8	we're identifying the operators, we're showing how
9	many wells we counted in Exhibit 23 for that operator.
10	Then we multiplied that number by 150,000, and then
11	that summarizes the information based on what we knew
12	about that operator.
13	Now, this is a technicality I want to
14	make clear. Exhibit 23 itself was presented as a PDF.
15	It was not in Excel format. So you can't do a direct
16	conversion of the data we're sorting through on a PDF
17	as you could on an Excel. So we manually counted
18	entries for each operator. And it's possible that our
19	counts were a little off.
20	But they are as close to be expected,
21	you know, when you're looking down at a PDF document
22	like that. So point being is that they may be
23	slightly off, but they're represented to make the same
24	point.
25	Q. Could you summarize for the Commission what

1	you and membership would like the Commission to
2	understand about the analysis done on 17 and 23, OCD
3	Exhibits 17 and 23?
4	A. So on 26, that shows the summary, same as,
5	you know, our previous analysis. You know, we've
6	identified a total of 19 companies that are going to
7	be asked to come up with a combined quarter billion
8	dollars of bonding. And the information is clear that
9	the capacity is simply not there.
LO	But here's what we do know. The
L1	companies not showing up on this list, they're overall
L2	responsible. Their track record is such that they are
L3	not in a risky category because they plug their wells.
L4	And yet in this rule, it will saddle them, the good
L5	operators, with excessive bonding costs. And I think
L6	this is the fundamental argument with this bonding
L7	rule proposal.
L8	I mean, in the minds of the members who
L9	I've talked to, it's clearly and unfairly putting an
20	enormous burden on good actors. And that is going to
21	be, you know, essentially 600 percent bonding
22	increases per well thrust upon these less risky
23	operators.
24	I think the conclusion based on the
25	perspective of our members, is that with this rule,

the Division risks creating more orphan wells now from
good companies. But the problem that this rule is
supposed to be fixing is orphan wells.
Q. You've mentioned that 600 percent figure a
couple of times. Is that going to be the cost for
every operator?
A. Well, depending on the scope of the rule.
And I believe there's a threshold analysis related to
marginal wells that's being talked about or proposed.
If that threshold is what falls under those marginal
wells, then all those marginal wells will be bonded at
150,000, which is a 600 percent increase from the
25,000 that is on the rule now. And I hope I state
that correctly, but it's a little confusing.
Q. It's a number that you've derived from
listening to members overall, correct?
A. Yes, correct.
MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, that
concludes the direct testimony of Mr. Winchester, and
we'd like to move to his rebuttal.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
BY MR. CLOUTIER:
Q. First slide of the rebuttal will be
A. 27?
Q. Yes, sir. So OCD employee Mr. Justin
Page 36

1	Wrinkle submitted written testimony about lease
2	operating expenses. Did you see that testimony?
3	A. Yes. And as a result of that, that was one
4	of the surveys we ran internally with our association
5	to get information, on some of which our members are
6	testifying.
7	Q. And you ran a survey to get even more
8	information than just the members who are testifying,
9	as I appreciate it?
10	A. Yes, of course. Exactly. Some of the
11	members are testifying.
12	Q. Okay. And what would you like to tell the
13	Commission on an overall basis about what you found
14	out from members?
15	A. Okay. As I understand Mr. Wrinkle's
16	testimony, we may not have much disagreement.
17	Q. You're talking about his live testing to the
18	Commission, correct?
19	A. Correct. And I'm talking about what we are
20	presenting and finding in slide 23 or excuse me,
21	28. So this is slide 28, and Mr. Wrinkle identified
22	cost of equipment that members in that first column
23	generally referred to as "camp X." But what needs to
24	be clear here, coming from my members, is that these
25	expenses are almost always incurred at the beginning

1	of the life the well itself, not when it gets into
2	so-called marginal status.
3	The second thing I want to point out
4	here is that Mr. Wrinkle seem to agree that every well
5	is different cost-wise. For the costs he identified
6	and my members agreed with were true lease operating
7	expenses. Many of them, my members report, are not
8	common to every well. And those are the ones denoted
9	in red in that second column on slide 28.
LO	Q. We could go to the next slide. And could
L1	you briefly tell the Commission, on those items in
L2	that second column what your summary of member
L3	feedback revealed about those particular costs?
L4	A. Okay, certainly. I'll be brief. Slide 29.
L5	The point here is to show the Commission that our
L6	members disagree with the high-cost assessments that
L7	Mr. Wrinkle is presenting, depending upon how members
L8	in the real world come up with those cost numbers.
L9	So I just want to point out these in
20	particular. Compression in itself as a cost becomes
21	less prevalent the lower the capacity of the well in
22	terms of production.
23	Road/access, most just blade roads every
24	one or two years. It's often that these roads are
25	spread across multiple wells and sometimes multiple

1	operators. Nobody that we had talked to within the
2	Independent Petroleum Association had heard of numbers
3	related to high figures related to road/access like
4	that.
5	The field operator, every well, you
6	know, they use contractors and cost is one-third or
7	less than what Mr. Wrinkle experienced at Marathon.
8	Hot oil chem treatments, you know, no
9	more than once per year; often less or none.
10	Marketing is taken out of the price
11	paid, runs about 2 percent. The marketer is getting
12	better prices than the operator.
13	And then water removal is common for oil
14	wells only. A well would only use, you know, that one
15	way.
16	So again, just to reemphasize that there
17	is disagreement on those costs and we believe, based
18	on what was presented by Mr. Wrinkle and then what was
19	discussed within the membership, it's high.
20	Q. And we've got a couple of surrebuttals.
21	Let's walk through your conclusions about the lease
22	operating expenses that you and the members were able
23	to come to. Tell the Commission kind of bullet point
24	fashion.
25	A. So these were some just quick number

1	comparisons that members had discussed and come up
2	with. So when the capital expenditures are eliminated
3	from Mr. Wrinkle's testimony, his cost figures are in
4	the range of about \$1,925 per month.
5	Our members are reporting that the
6	marginal well falls in the range of \$600 to \$300
7	or, excuse me, \$1,300 per month.
8	The difference, perhaps, Mr. Wrinkle is
9	coming from a big company versus our members, who
10	testify that they can operate wells more efficiently
11	than those larger companies.
12	And then even if you run a calculation
13	accepting Mr. Wrinkle's figure at the price of \$65 per
14	barrel, less \$1.35 marketing fee, essentially one
15	barrel per day is a break-even point at 63.65 times 1
16	times 30 is \$1,928.60. So I think that shows what
17	we're talking about.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: That concludes
19	Mr. Winchester's rebuttal testimony, Madam Hearing
20	Officer. We have a couple of items in surrebuttal.
21	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
22	Q. First, Mr. Winchester, some new
23	information's come to you and membership. And would
24	you raise that?
25	MR. CLOUTIER: I think next slide, please.

1	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
2	Q. All right. Tell us about the new
3	information and what you would request the Commission
4	do with it.
5	A. Okay, sure. This one caught us by surprise.
6	And by "us," I mean membership. I think it late on a
7	Friday in early October that the State Land
8	commissioner announced that rulemaking will be
9	forthcoming to substantially increase bondings on
10	leases.
11	And they are in the early stages of
12	that. They have announced a number of discussions,
13	open discussions regionally, to gather and talk a
14	little bit more and share information about that. So
15	that is on top of obviously what we're talking about
16	here.
17	Q. And the concern is what, double bonding or
18	excess bonding?
19	A. Yeah, I think that's a
20	MR. NYKIEL: Madam Hearing Officer.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes.
22	MR. NYKIEL: I'm going to object. This is
23	Matt Nykiel with applicants. I'm not clear what he's
24	surrebutting. He hasn't identified rebuttal
25	testimony or page number, so I'm not sure what he's

1	surrebutting here.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: This is a concern, Madam
3	Hearing Officer, that came up after all rebuttal
4	testimony was submitted and public information. This
5	is my last question on the point and just wanted to
6	express the industry's concern. But if you deem fit,
7	we'll move on.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Yeah,
9	let's move on.
LO	Thank you, Mr. Nykiel.
L1	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
L2	Q. Mr. Winchester, have you heard that in these
L3	proceedings, IPANM has been accused of not having a
L4	solution?
L5	A. Yes, I've heard that and I disagree. And I
L6	think for some context, my observation from memory
L7	personally is that industry expressed major concerns
L8	about what turned in to be HB133 and that was a
L9	significant stakeholder discussion that occurred in
20	2023 before the 2024 session.
21	And we met up to about ten exhaustive
22	meetings with industry participants that included
23	NMOGA, it included the Division, it included the
24	applicants. And the concerns that we had then are the
25	same basic concerns that we have about this proposal.

1	And there was never any material movement on the
2	issues about how to address those concerns in that
3	stakeholder process in the first go-around.
4	Independent operators in those meetings
5	and I felt that the smaller operator concerns
6	specifically were never given were not addressed.
7	And in many instances, the proposals came back a week
8	later even worse for industry as the weeks progressed.
9	So I viewed the entire process as very
10	frustrating. It was a waste of time. And my members
11	who invested time expressed a feeling of futility,
12	especially when HB133 was filed and it did not address
13	our fundamental concerns.
14	So HB133 died in the legislature back in
15	2024 as we brought our valid concerns to them.
16	Now, as far as this hearing, it was
17	within months after that bill died that the Western
18	Environmental Law Center gave industry notice that it
19	was proposing rulemaking and that was forthcoming.
20	Now, I'll be honest, I can't remember
21	the sequence of e-mails, I can't remember necessarily
22	if NMOGA brought this to our attention or if Ms. Fox
23	reached out to us directly. Regardless, we were on
24	those e-mails.
25	But when that happened, the question

1	from my members immediately to me were, "Well, the
2	bill died, right?" The second question was, "Has
3	there been any discussion since that session a couple
4	months ago on how to best address orphaned wells, how
5	to best anticipate orphaned wells or any of the big
6	issues that the applicants in the Division say that
7	they're now seeking to address?" The answer is no.
8	And days later, just like that, the new
9	proposals were filed for rulemaking. Now, after the
10	filing our members took time to digest the rulemaking
11	proposals. We were aware that overtures were being
12	made to further discuss this. But it was after the
13	fact, without prior scoping to see if anything had
14	changed in the views of the Division or the
15	applicants.
16	Thus, after reviewing those rules, the
17	consensus with my members was these already filed
18	rules had shifted even further away from workability
19	for small operators. And IPANM members simply can't
20	invest time, people, resources and money on another
21	long stakeholder process based on what had happened
22	the first time around.
23	And I just want to illustrate this for
24	everybody involved. The undertaking that took place
25	for all of those months, those ten sessions in '23,

1	was massive. Keep in mind, everyone is in the same
2	position. But smaller operators don't have government
3	affairs people. Our owners and operators' staffs had
4	and would have to again sacrifice time from the field
5	to attend to these meetings, and they already proved
6	fruitless and a waste of their time and their
7	resources.
8	And filed rules, in its entirety,
9	represents, in the minds of my members, an existential
10	threat to their operations.
11	Now, I want to be clear, we do feel that
12	there are solutions that are out there. And we want
13	to and can and would be willing to continue to explore
14	those. But in the paradigm and in terms under which
15	this rule is proposed, membership from IPANM got the
16	message that real changes in the rulemaking proposal
17	were not going to come. So here we are today here
18	making our case.
19	Industry did not ask for this rule.
20	Members have varying opinions about the extent of the
21	orphaned well problem. But I think all acknowledge
22	there are orphaned wells that need to be attended to
23	and there are ways to plug them. But my members have
24	expressed to me, bonding is not that answer. It's
25	punishing the good members, the ones, as far as we

1	know, who are plugging wells that need plugging.
2	The real question to IPANM is how to pay
3	and plug the remaining orphan wells and those that
4	might get into that situation. So that's why we've
5	been proposing and pushing for a fix of the oil and
6	gas conservation tax to go to the reclamation fund to
7	get this done.
8	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Mr Winchester.
9	That concludes Mr Winchester's
10	surrebuttal testimony, Madam Hearing Officer.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
12	Mr. Cloutier.
13	Mr. Nykiel, will you be doing the
14	cross-examination?
15	MR. NYKIEL: Yes, that's right. It sounds
16	like you can hear me loud and clear.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. Thank you.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. NYKIEL:
20	Q. Good morning, Mr. Winchester. My name is
21	Matt Nykiel. I'm an attorney with the Western
22	Environmental Law Center, and I represent applicants.
23	Thank you for your testimony.
24	Would you let me know, as we go on, if
25	there's any trouble understanding me through the
	Page 46
	1490 10

1	virtual platform?
2	A. Certainly.
3	Q. Okay. Thank you. And it looks like you
4	have a copy of your direct and rebuttal testimony in
5	front of you?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. NYKIEL: And, Madam Hearing Officer, may
8	I have permission to share my screen? It looks like
9	I do. Okay. Is that presenting?
10	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes. It could be a
11	little bigger for ease of reading. There we go. All
12	right. Thank you.
13	BY MR. NYKIEL:
14	Q. All right. Mr. Winchester, I'm pulling up
15	your direct testimony at page 6. At line 14, you
16	testified that virtually all of applicant's proposal
17	comes from parties that have little to zero
18	understanding or experience, be it in government or
19	industry; is that right?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. And you're aware, though, that Oxy USA, Inc.
22	supports or doesn't object to several of the
23	applicant's proposed rules; is that right?
24	A. Yes. With the clarifier that Oxy is in a
25	different position than the membership of IPANM.

1	BY MR. NYKIEL:
2	Q. And among those proposed rules that Oxy has
3	supported or not objected to, those include
4	applicant's proposal to increase financial assurance
5	for active and inactive wells?
6	A. If they said so, is that what you're saying?
7	Oxy did? Mm-hmm. Okay, sure.
8	Q. And Oxy has also not objected to applicant's
9	proposal to establish a presumption of no beneficial
10	use?
11	A. Sure.
12	Q. Would you like me to bring up their
13	demonstrative that they used in their slides to
14	confirm that, or would you accept that as true?
15	A. Oh, no, I accept that.
16	Q. Okay. And lastly, just that Oxy is not
17	objected to applicant's proposal to require that
18	operators certify on registration or transfer that
19	they are not subject to any forfeiture demands in any
20	domestic jurisdiction; is that right? Or is that your
21	understanding?
22	A. I will take that as your understanding,
23	which I believe I'm sure I have no reason to
24	believe why that's not the case.
25	Q. Okay. And you're also aware that the
	Page 48

1	New Mexico State Land Office strongly supports
2	applicant's proposed rulemaking?
3	A. I just want to backtrack on that last line
4	of questioning.
5	I think I've raised the point, but Oxy
6	is not representative of small producers and is not a
7	member of IPANM. So I just want to make that clear.
8	Q. Yeah, but they haven't objected to
9	applicant's proposed rules that operators certify that
10	they're not subject to any forfeiture demands in any
11	other jurisdiction, though?
12	A. Yeah, that's I mean, that's from their
13	position, certainly.
14	Q. And so, you're also aware that the
15	New Mexico State Land Office strongly supports
16	applicant's proposed rulemaking. I think I heard you
17	testify that you're aware of that. Yes, very much so?
18	Okay. And you're also aware that the
19	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division supports
20	applicant's proposed rulemaking?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. And, in fact, some of the rules that
23	applicants have adopted and proposed were first
24	recommended by the Division? Is that your
25	understanding?

1	A. I can't speak to that. In fact, we're
2	starting to go back into the talks that took place for
3	HB133, and there were so many regulation proposals
4	that were bouncing around. I don't know if it came
5	from the applicants or if it came from OCD.
6	Q. Okay. I'm just going to pull up here just
7	very quickly, this is applicants Exhibit 15?
8	This is the testimony of Mr. Alexander.
9	Would you please read lines 18 through 20 that are
10	highlighted here.
11	A. "OCD initially proposed a definition for
12	'marginal well' in its February 12, 2025, Redline
13	proposals to all parties in the proceeding and
14	proposed increased financial assurance for these wells
15	in 19.15.8 NMAC. Applicants adopted OCD proposals."
16	But again, that doesn't give context to
17	what was going on before then. But regardless, I had
18	no problem with this.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. As I said, they were being bounced around
21	between the two parties, the regulations and the
22	proposals.
23	Q. In your preparation for your testimony, you
24	reviewed the testimony of OCD's witnesses; is that
25	correct?
	Page 50

1	A. Not line by line. I was more paying
2	attention specifically to what was being said in oral
3	testimony, and when I could. So I can speak to
4	whatever questions you have, and if I have knowledge,
5	I certainly will answer. If not, I definitely will
6	say that I am not aware of that.
7	Q. Sure. Are you aware that both Mr. Wrinkle
8	and Mr. Diede worked in the oil and gas industry prior
9	to their employment with the OCD?
10	A. Yes. With larger companies.
11	Q. And are you aware that between the two of
12	them, they have nearly 40 years of combined industry
13	experience?
14	A. Sure. Certainly.
15	Q. And did you review the testimony of
16	applicant's witnesses as well in your preparation for
17	this hearing?
18	A. Same question. Hit or miss.
19	Q. Okay. Are you aware that
20	MR. CLOUTIER: Sorry, Mr. Nykiel.
21	Mr. Winchester, because of the virtual
22	platform, please try not to step on Mr. Nykiel's
23	question when you answer. You're answering very
24	quickly.
25	Apologize, Madam Hearing Officer.

1	MR. NYKIEL: Thanks, Mr. Cloutier.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: Apologies.
3	MR. NYKIEL: Oh, no worries.
4	BY MR. NYKIEL:
5	Q. So, are you aware that Mr. Alexander,
6	applicant's first witness, worked in the oil and gas
7	industry?
8	A. Yes. I saw his testimony and listened to
9	it.
10	Q. Okay. And he had worked in the industry for
11	over three decades, correct?
12	A. Correct. But again, to be clear, industry
13	is a broad definition, representative of a wide
14	spectre of companies in different positions
15	financially, in terms of their place, in terms of
16	their various producing basins that they're working
17	in.
18	Q. And you're aware that Mr. Purvis, also
19	applicant's second witness, also worked in the oil and
20	gas industry and works in the oil and gas industry?
21	A. Yes, yes. For sure.
22	Q. And he has over three decades of experience
23	at this point?
24	A. That, I can't attest to. But I take it as
25	your word, as no reason to believe it's not true.

1	Q. Okay. I'm going to pull up your direct
2	testimony again and bring us to page 1. At line 14,
3	you testified that all the matters to which you
4	testify were also raised by IPANM members, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And on line 5, you testified that IPANM,
7	quote, solicited and got a lot of member comments
8	about applicant's proposed rulemaking, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Now, neither you nor IPANM provided written
11	copies of those comments that you received from your
12	members?
13	A. Correct. That's information that is within
14	the confines of private operating companies who are
15	disclosing that internally, but who did not wish to be
16	in the limelight.
17	Q. And your testimony doesn't provide the
18	identity of the members you received comments from,
19	correct?
20	A. Correct, with the same stated reason.
21	Q. And how many members does IPANM have?
22	A. Last count, 107 different companies; total
23	members represented over those, in the range of 350.
24	Q. Okay. And I'm back at your direct testimony
25	on the same page, line 7. You testified that of the
	Page 53

1	member comments you received, different members
2	commented on different parts of the proposed rule,
3	correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. I'm going to jump to your rebuttal
6	testimony. And just as an example, there on page 11,
7	at line 12, you state that after you circulated the
8	testimony of OCD expert Mr. Wrinkle, you received
9	comments from seven companies, correct?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. And at line 13, I'm looking at the statement
12	you made here when you state, "All those responses
13	indicate that even if Mr. Wrinkle's testimony reflects
14	his experience at Marathon, no member believed those
15	costs were accurate for low-producing wells," correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Now when you say "no member," you're
18	referring to the seven companies you received
19	responses from, correct?
20	A. Correct. In that particular survey.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. There were additional discussion with
23	members who, you know, would make same comments.
24	Q. Okay. And
25	A. But I didn't I didn't chart those or
	Page 54

1	I'm sorry, I didn't mean to walk over you. I didn't
2	chart those or log those.
3	Q. Okay. And I just scrolled to page 12 of
4	your rebuttal testimony. At line 2, you state that
5	members thought that Mr. Wrinkle's testimony was not
6	accurate.
7	And the same question here. When you
8	refer to "members," you're referring to the seven
9	companies you received responses from?
10	A. I think it's the same response, the seven,
11	and then those based on conversations anecdotally.
12	Q. And approximately, how many oil and gas
13	companies would you estimate operate in New Mexico
14	offhand?
15	A. Well, I think if you look at the number of
16	entities that are currently on OCD's reporting list, I
17	think it's in the neighborhood of 450, something like
18	that.
19	Q. Now, Mr. Winchester, I want to ask about
20	your personal testimony in this. And just to
21	reiterate, your testimony is as a fact witness today,
22	correct?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And not as an expert?
25	A. Correct.
	Page 55

1	Q. And as you said, the summary of your
2	experience indicates that you're the executive
3	director of IPANM, right?
4	A. Right.
5	Q. And the summary of your experience also
6	states that you're a former EMNRD employee, right?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. What was your job title at EMNRD?
9	A. Communications director for both EMNRD and
LO	the New Mexico Environment Department. So I split
L1	time between those two divisions.
L 2	Q. So you haven't been qualified as a corporate
L3	finance or risk expert, right?
L4	A. Certainly not.
L5	Q. I'm going to direct us to your direct
L6	testimony again at page 4. At lines 6 through 22, you
L 7	discuss applicant's proposed rules for operator
L8	transfer at 19.15.9.9B NMAC; is that right?
L9	A. I apologize. Can you please reference again
20	the page of the testimony in which one, if it's direct
21	or the rebuttal?
22	Q. Yes. Direct testimony and page 4.
23	A. Okay, which lines?
24	Q. Lines 6 through 22. It's this paragraph
25	that begins with the question, "What's your next

1	comment about the change of operator proposal?"
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. So, as you understand it, among other
4	things, the proposed rule here would require a new
5	operator to provide OCD a plugging and abandonment
6	plan, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And specifically at line 20, you opine that
9	reviewing an operator's plugging and abandonment plan
LO	would do little to nothing to assure the state that an
L1	operator will have the funds available in the future
L2	to plug and abandon; is that right?
L3	A. Correct.
L4	Q. Okay. I'm going to pull up applicant's
L5	Exhibit 72-D, which is that rule we're talking about.
L6	MR. CLOUTIER: And I'm going object, Madam
L7	Hearing Officer. This exhibit was admitted after the
L8	direct testimony that Mr. Nykiel is referencing and
L9	represents a change to the rulemaking that
20	Mr. Winchester did not have available to him at the
21	time of his direct.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Nykiel.
23	MR. NYKIEL: Yeah, my line of questions
24	isn't it doesn't go to the changes that were made
25	here. It goes to what was already existing in the

1	proposed rule at the time of his direct.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Go ahead.
3	BY MR. NYKIEL:
4	Q. So I was trying not to introduce too many
5	additional colors, to avoid a rainbow here. But there
6	are colors, and I'd like you to focus on the light
7	blue, please. Would you please read the first two
8	sections that are highlighted in light blue, just
9	to I just want to confirm that this is the plugging
10	and abandonment plan you were talking about here.
11	A. What am I reading again?
12	Q. Just in light blue at the top, that one, and
13	the second one. And then we'll follow up with the
14	third light blue paragraph below.
15	A. Okay. Well, first of all, it's blocked
16	because there's a thing that's in front of it. Okay.
17	Good:
18	A new operator shall make such
19	applications in writing and providea plugging and
20	abandonment plan.
21	Q. Okay. So that's what you were talking about
22	in your direct. Would you please read the final
23	paragraph highlighted in blue.
24	A. The plugging and abandonment plan shall be
25	certified by an authorized representative of the new
	Page 58

1	operator and shall demonstrate that the new operator
2	has and will have financial ability to meet the
3	plugging and abandonment requirements of 19.15.25 for
4	the well or wells to be transferred in light of all
5	operator's assets and liabilities. The Division may
6	request the operator to provide additional
7	information, including corporate credit rating,
8	corporate financial statements, long-term liabilities,
9	reserves and economics reports, records and historical
10	records for documentation, decommissioning activities,
11	estimated operators, Commission applications, and
12	history of inactive wells.
13	So I read this, but I'm not sure if this
14	was added or if this is initial, and really where
15	we're going. I know that we have members who have
16	concerns about this.
17	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, I
18	think we're a little past 15 minutes, even accounting
19	for the couple times I interrupted.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: No, it just hit 15
21	16 minutes.
22	Mr. Nykiel, are you done with your
23	examination?
24	MR. NYKIEL: Have one final line of
25	questions.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: Line?
3	MR. NYKIEL: I'll conclude on this line.
4	BY MR. NYKIEL:
5	Q. So, Mr. Winchester, when you testified that
6	the plugging and abandonment plan would serve no
7	utility to the Division, that was not based on any
8	expert experience you have in corporate finance
9	analysis or risk assessment, was it?
10	A. I think I've made it clear that it's based
11	on what we hear collectively from operators.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yeah, I think he did
13	make that clear, Mr. Nykiel.
14	All right. Thank you very much,
15	Mr. Nykiel.
16	Mr. Tremaine or Mr. Hall.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Tremaine. Thank you,
18	Madam Hearing Officer.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. TREMAINE:
21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Winchester. We've had the
22	opportunity to meet virtually before. I think this is
23	maybe the first time in person, or online now. So, if
24	that's the case, nice to meet you officially.
25	I'm Jesse Tremaine, I'm the legal
	Page 60

1	director for the Oil Conservation Division. I have a
2	couple kind of general questions.
3	A lot of your testimony we've really
4	kind of gone over quite a bit in hearings, so I'm
5	going to try to be quick.
6	So, I just want to reiterate, you've
7	already answered this, make sure you agree for
8	foundation, that your testimony summarizes the
9	concerns expressed by some of IPANM's membership,
10	correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. At the beginning of your testimony, which I
13	very much appreciate, I believe that you had
14	identified that some or two specifically of those
15	concerns raised in your direct were alleviated after
16	you clarified some of the information and discussed
17	those issues with your membership. Is that fair?
18	A. Sure. Yes. Correct.
19	Q. Thank you for that. And you are a fact
20	witness here, so you did not perform or provide any of
21	your own analysis or expertise?
22	A. Correct. As a fact witness, to my
23	understanding, yes, of course.
24	Q. So all of your testimony is presenting
25	undocumented hearsay to the Commission?

1	A. I don't agree with that at all. I think
2	that what we are presenting is the positions of
3	operators who do not want to seek the limelight. And
4	I think they're very justified in having concerns if
5	they do step forward. So I think we're providing a
6	summation, and I am representative of what those
7	concerns are.
8	Q. Well, I'm not going to ask you to testify to
9	the definition of hearsay, so I'll be move on.
10	I want to ask you some questions about
11	financial assurance timing and forfeiture. So
12	specifically and not to get into are you familiar
13	with the provision of 19.15.9.8, operator registration
14	provisions?
15	A. Um, no, if you bring it up, I could review
16	it and maybe it will ring a bell. I just don't know
17	the provisions by number.
18	Q. I still have share authorization. All
19	right. So, Mr. Winchester, I want to point you to
20	so this is again, this New Mexico OneSource. It's
21	Compilation Commission, official legal publisher of
22	the State of New Mexico. And I like to use these
23	because there's no there's no reading rainbow.
24	So in 9.8A, operator registration, would
25	you be so kind as to read that please, A.

1	A. "Prior to commencing operations, an operator
2	of a well or wells in New Mexico shall register with
3	the Division as an operator. Applicants shall provide
4	the following to the financial assurance administrator
5	in the division's Santa Fe office."
6	Q. All right. So before you begin operations
7	as a new operator, you have to provide these three
8	things listed under A. And do you agree with me that
9	the third thing that you have to provide before
10	beginning operations is the financial assurance that's
11	required?
12	A. As it says here. Again, I'm not sure
13	referring to 19.15.8 in particular is something that I
14	have knowledge of by name.
15	Q. Right. But, I mean, you would agree it says
16	the "financial assurance" and then provides another
17	section of the rule?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And I'll represent and actually I'll show
20	you here, just to make sure there's no trickery here,
21	19 Part A is the financial assurance requirements.
22	So 9.8 stands for the proposition that
23	when you register as a new operator, you have to
24	provide the financial assurance before you begin
25	Operating. That's that reference.

1	So with that in mind, I want to ask you
2	about your awareness of OCD's current practices in
3	this regard. Are you aware that OCD currently
4	requires financial assurance before well transfers?
5	A. I'm not sure of what the procedure is in the
6	particulars.
7	Q. Okay. So you don't know?
8	A. I don't know off the top of my head.
9	Q. Well, I thought it was implied from your
LO	testimony that you were suggesting that the
L1	requirement to that OCD require and review
L2	financial assurance prior to a transfer was a new
L3	thing.
L4	A. So, I'm confused I guess. Can you restate
L5	the question?
L6	Q. Well, was it your testimony that it was
L7	going to be a problem for OCD to require financial
L8	assurance prior to approving a transfer of a well?
L9	MR. CLOUTIER: Mr. Winchester did not
20	testify to that here today, Madam Hearing Officer.
21	Can I ask Mr. Winchester Mr. Tremaine to pull
22	up
23	MR. TREMAINE: I will rephrase.
24	BY MR. TREMAINE:
25	Q. Let me direct you to your testimony. So, on
	Page 64

1	page 2, line 6, you're referring to
2	A. I'm sorry, which document?
3	Q. I have it up on the screen.
4	A. Rebuttal or direct?
5	Q. This is your direct.
6	A. Okay. Thank you.
7	Q. This is your direct?
8	A. Page 6.
9	Q. So, page 2, line 6, you stated the proposal
10	for amending rule 19.15.8.9, which is financial
11	assurance provision, is vague and very broad, it would
12	not allow an operator to proceed with any proposed
13	acquisition until the operator has furnished the
14	required financial assurance.
15	You later state, starting on line 10,
16	that obviously this is absurd and will not happen.
17	So, I'm just asking you, you seem to
18	directly state or imply there that that's not already
19	the practice. I'm just trying to clarify that that's
20	your testimony.
21	A. That, I'm still a little confused in terms
22	of I think we're getting bogged down in procedural
23	order.
24	Q. Well, sir, your testimony is, which is on
25	the screen, that it would be absurd and impractical

1	for an operator to provide financial assurance for a
2	well that they do not yet have registered with the OCD
3	and operate. Is that your testimony?
4	A. I think I'm pointing out the problems that
5	operators would face if, upon acquisition, they had to
6	take upon, under this rule, new financial assurance
7	individually for each well, as opposed to what's
8	currently in rule with a blanket fund.
9	And if I'm confused, it's because I am
10	confused.
11	Q. So just to put a bow on this, it was not
12	your understanding that OCD already requires financial
13	assurance prior to transfer of wells.
14	A. As we sit here today, I will just stand by
15	what I've put down in the document, because I'm
16	actually not quite certain what your question is.
17	Q. Are you aware that it's OCD's practice that
18	if a well transfer would cause the receiving operator
19	to fall out of compliance with the financial assurance
20	for the well plugging and inactive well requirements
21	in OCD's rules, that OCD requires, on a case-by-case
22	basis, agreed compliance orders for inactive wells
23	prior to approving a transfer?
24	A. Are you asking me about an existing rule or
25	are you asking me about information submitted in my

1	testimony?
2	Q. I'm asking you if you are aware of OCD's
3	practice. Because you are stating in your testimony
4	that this is impractical, it doesn't work, it won't
5	happen. And I'm challenging that because OCD already
6	does it.
7	A. Okay. So what's the question again, please?
8	Q. The question was, were you aware of the fact
9	that OCD requires agreed compliance orders for
10	inactive wells if the transfer would cause the
11	receiving operator to fall out of compliance with
12	existing OCD rules?
13	A. Again, we're getting into procedure and
14	process and timing.
15	Q. Well, Mr. Winchester, we're getting into
16	your knowledge of OCD's rules and practices, which is
17	what you are testifying about and the implications of
18	the new rules.
19	A. In regards to what I've been informed by
20	members.
21	Q. So those statements are not based on any
22	personal experience?
23	A. I think there's a combination, but based on
24	predominantly the input from members.
25	Q. Okay. Thank you. I want to ask you about a
	Page 67

1	few things here with share screen again. You spent
2	some time on this slide 22, just a demonstrative aid,
3	and talked about some of these operators and the
4	financial assurance implications for each of those.
5	You walk through here. Ridgway,
6	Arizona, that's been the source of some discussion at
7	the hearing. If OCD had not entered into the
8	settlement agreement with Ridgway, Arizona, to your
9	knowledge, what would have been OCD's other
10	enforcement options regarding those 287 wells?
11	A. I can't answer that. I don't know
12	Q. Okay.
13	A the regs number by number.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Tremaine, five
15	minutes.
16	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Thank you.
17	BY MR. TREMAINE:
18	Q. So when we talk about this slide well,
19	first of all, are you aware that Acacia Operating is
20	one of the remaining interests from Remnant?
21	A. I am not aware beyond what is presented
22	here.
23	Q. Okay. And are you aware that OCD brought at
24	least two separate enforcement actions related to the
25	LLJ Ventures wells?

1	A. Are we talking about Acacia or are we
2	talking about the previous
3	Q. No. I just referred to LLJ Ventures.
4	A. Oh, LLJ. Okay, I'm sorry. Could you
5	restate the question? I thought we were still on
6	Acacia.
7	Q. So, LLJ Ventures, there were two inactive
8	well NOVs related to that. Were you aware?
9	A. No, sir.
10	Q. Okay. Were you aware that there is an NOV
11	pending against LH Operating for inactive wells?
12	A. There is an NOV, is that what you're saying?
13	Q. Notice of violation, yes, for inactive
14	wells.
15	A. My information here, you know, indicates
16	that they've been acquired by Ian, so I'm not sure
17	semantically. No, I'm not aware.
18	Q. Okay. Were you aware that OCD is engaged in
19	extensive discussions with energy related to Dominion
20	Production?
21	A. Three agreed compliance orders, correct.
22	Two regarding financial assurance violations.
23	Q. Aside from the agreed compliance orders.
24	I'll move on.
25	So, Mr. Winchester, do you agree I
	Page 69

1	mean, because the point of this slide is that we're
2	not going to recover additional financial assurance
3	from the operators that are already out of compliance,
4	and I would very much agree with that.
5	Is it your testimony that there is not a
6	concern that other currently solvent operators could
7	end up in a similar posture?
8	A. I think the demonstration with this
9	exhibit
10	Q. That's not what I'm asking you about. I'm
11	asking you if you are testifying as to the potential
12	for other operators to end up in a situation.
13	A. No, sir. I'm testifying from the standpoint
14	that the good operators that are out there are going
15	to be saddled with excessive extra bonding that jumps
16	in scale, that is unreasonable for a good operator to
17	continue their operations.
18	Q. According to your own testimony, Cano Petro
19	was a good operator until they were not, right?
20	A. I don't know if I ever said Cano Petro was a
21	good operator.
22	MR. CLOUTIER: Objection. He never said
23	that.
24	BY MR. TREMAINE:
25	Q. You said that they were solvent and they
	Page 70

1	were compliant with OCD rule until they filed
2	bankruptcy.
3	A. I don't think I even said that either.
4	Q. Okay. I'll let the record stand.
5	I want to point out Mr. Winchester,
6	there's been a lot of talk about the 66 million in the
7	reclamation fund. And in your testimony on page 8,
8	direct testimony on page 8, line 14, you're stating
9	that the state is now under pressure to spend initial
10	and follow-on federal sums awarded under the IIJA?
11	So first of all, under the IIJA funds,
12	are you able to articulate for the Commission which
13	phase of the federal grant? We are currently
14	operating under?
15	A. No, sir.
16	Q. Okay. So you don't really know the terms or
17	the conditions of the federal grant?
18	A. Based on conversations that were brought up
19	specifically by former OCD Director Dylan Fuge, in
20	which we were in those conversations in those
21	extensive stakeholder meetings, it was made very
22	clear, even with numbers that I don't recall, 25
23	million, 50 million, whatever, that there was pressure
24	in statements that he had said that we need to spend
25	that money first.

1	Q. Is it consistent with your understanding
2	that
3	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, we're
4	well beyond 15 minutes. I didn't start my clock
5	until after Mr. Tremaine's pleasantries to Mr.
6	Winchester.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All righty. So I had
8	that we started at 10:16 and it's just now turning
9	10:32, so this is 15 minutes.
10	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
11	Officer.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
13	Mr. Tremaine.
14	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Let's see. Mr. Moore
16	or Mr. Biernoff or Mr. Grazer? Who do we have here?
17	MR. MOORE: Good morning, Madam Hearing
18	Officer. I'll be representing the Commissioner this
19	morning.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Terrific, thank you.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. MOORE:
23	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Winchester. My name is
24	Richard Moore. I represent the Commissioner of Public
25	Lands and the New Mexico State Land Office. Thank you

1 for your testimony this morning. 2 I just had a few questions concerning 3 some of the things you testified about this morning. In your testimony, and I will share my screen here, 4 5 you testified to what you referred to as the death 6 penalty proposal. 7 In testifying to that, you referenced 8 the current rule 19.15.5.9A(4), and I believe 9 testified that the current rule allows an operator to be out of compliance with plugging obligations for 1 10 11 to 2 percent of its wells; is that correct? 12 A. I did. And I won't purport to be an expert 13 on the specifics of that rule, but I will say that 14 that was as, you know, indicated to me. And that's 15 why I sort of used the approximation when describing 16 that existing rule. 17 Q. Okay. And I'm just going to look and bring 18 that rule up quickly. As I believe Mr. Tremaine 19 already explained, this is the New Mexico OneSource 20 and the administrative code as reflected on New Mexico 2.1 This is the current rule. OneSource. 22 If you could read 19.15.5.9A(4) and how many wells that allows an operator to be out of 23 2.4 compliance with. 25 A. So are you talking about A, B, C, D

1	specifically, two wells or 50 percent
2	Q. Yes. 19.15.5.9A(4)(a), under that
3	regulation as currently written, if a company operates
4	four wells, how many wells under this regulation, as
5	currently written, may it be out of compliance with?
6	A. Well, I mean, that's interpreting the rule.
7	I can only read the rule. Two wells or 50 percent of
8	the wells that the operator operates, whichever is
9	less, if the operator operates 100 wells or less.
LO	Five wells if the operator operates between 101 and
L1	500 wells. Seven wells if the operator operates
L2	between 501 and 1,000 wells. And then ten wells if
L3	the operator operates more than 1,000 wells.
L <b>4</b>	Q. And so would you agree that under (4)(a), as
L5	written, an operator can be out of compliance for more
L6	than 1-2 percent of wells? Is that correct?
L7	A. They can be out, as it reads, two wells or
L8	50 percent of the wells the operator operates,
L9	whichever is less, if the operator operates 100 or
20	less.
21	Q. And looking at subpart (b), five wells, if
22	an operator operates between 105 and 500 wells. If an
23	operator has 101 wells and five are out of compliance,
24	that's more than 1 to 2 percent. Would you agree?
25	A. Five wells if the operator operates between
	Page 74

1	101 and 500 miles. That's how it reads.
2	Q. So, would you agree that it's only the
3	larger operators that, as the rule currently reads,
4	may be out of compliance with only 1 or 2 percent of
5	their wells?
6	A. I think you are getting into semantics and
7	interpretation of the rule. So I would say when
8	you're talking about large operators, that's a very
9	vague term. So I guess I can't answer that with the
10	context in which the question is coming from.
11	I'm not trying to be difficult, Richard.
12	I'm trying to further understand and not necessarily
13	agree with your conclusion. Because I think large
14	operators is a different definition to different
15	people.
16	Q. I suppose, just to reframe the question,
17	it's only if an operator is operating if they have
18	ten wells that are inactive and they operate 1,000,
19	that's in the 1 to 2 percent range. But if an
20	operator is operating with fewer than 500 wells, has 5
21	wells out of compliance, that is a larger percentage
22	than 1 to 2 percent?
23	A. If that is the correct math, then yes.
24	Q. All right. And then I'm going to turn to,
25	you also testified to the operation of 19.15.25.8. So
	Page 75

1	I turn to that. This is also the rule as currently
2	written and reflected on New Mexico OneSource.
3	So under 19.15.25.8B, the rule requires
4	that an operator shell either properly plug and
5	abandon a well or place that well into approved
6	temporary abandonment, in accordance with 19.15.25,
7	within 90 days after first a 60-day period following
8	suspension of drilling operations, correct?
9	A. Correct. As the rule reads, yes.
10	Q. And then $B(2)$ , a determination that the well
11	is no longer useful for beneficial purposes, or B(3),
12	a period of one year in which the well has been
13	continuously inactive; is that correct?
14	A. That is the rule, correct.
15	Q. So just to be clear, under 19.15.25.8, as
16	currently written, the rule does not allow an operator
17	to be out the rule doesn't allow 1 to 2 percent of
18	an operator's well or really any percentage of an
19	operator's wells to be out of compliance with this
20	rule?
21	A. I don't know what you're stating
22	essentially. I think the rule speaks for itself.
23	Q. And you don't see any language I guess,
24	just to be clear, you don't see any language in
25	19.15.25.8, as currently written, that provides leeway

1	for when a well has to be plugged under $B(1)$ , $(2)$ , or
2	(3)?
3	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer,
4	Mr. Winchester stated clearly at the beginning he's
5	not an expert on rule interpretations, and we're
6	asking him to interpret rules that he's never held
7	himself out to the Commission as having any expertise
8	on that.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yeah, Mr. Moore. I
10	think he has offered up his limits.
11	MR. MOORE: Fair enough. I'll move on from
12	this specific language.
13	BY MR. MOORE:
14	Q. But given the two rules we just discussed,
15	and what you did discuss in your direct testimony,
16	would you say that there is currently a conflict
17	between 19.15.25.8 and 19.15.5.9, as currently
18	written?
19	MR. CLOUTIER: Same objection.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Winchester, if
21	you can answer the question.
22	A. I don't know.
23	Q. And leaving the specific language of the
24	four members, would you agree that clarity in the
25	regulations that apply to your members is beneficial?

1	A. I'm sorry, Mr. Moore, you were cutting out.
2	Can you repeat the question, please.
3	Q. I apologize. I was just wondering if you
4	would agree that for your members, that clarity in the
5	in the regulations that apply is useful?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And same with regulators, clarity in the
8	rules concerning when a well must be plugged is
9	beneficial for that regulators of your industry?
10	A. Yes, certainly.
11	Q. And now you mentioned briefly in discussing
12	upcoming state land office bonding rule changes, that
13	it would be best for these rulemaking proceedings to
14	wait for the State Land Office proceedings to continue
15	or even conclude; is that correct?
16	A. I don't know if I stated that. I think the
17	discussion point there is the introduction of a State
18	Land Office rule proposal, and the timing of that
19	coming in the midst of this. And the process, again,
20	by which prior to, there was rumors that something was
21	forthcoming, but that there was no prior input. And,
22	again, the rule just was posted.
23	Q. And just one question on that. Are you
24	aware that the State Land Office does not require
25	bonds for wells on any private land?

1	A. I am not an expert on State Land Office
2	rules and regulations.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Moore, five
4	minutes.
5	MR. MOORE: Thank you, Madam Hearing
6	Officer. I just have one final line of questioning.
7	BY MR. MOORE:
8	Q. So I'll share my screen again with the
9	slides from your direct testimony. In that testimony,
10	you discussed a number of companies seen on the screen
11	that account for around 1,300 wells on the inactive
12	list, roughly 74 percent of the total list; is that
13	correct?
	A. As presented, correct.
14	II. IIB presenced, correct.
14 15	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro
15	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro
15 16	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to
15 16 17	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and
15 16 17 18	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and some of their wells were still producing, would you
15 16 17 18	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and some of their wells were still producing, would you agree that the OCD would be in a better position to
15 16 17 18 19	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and some of their wells were still producing, would you agree that the OCD would be in a better position to address the plumbing expenses for these companies?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and some of their wells were still producing, would you agree that the OCD would be in a better position to address the plumbing expenses for these companies?  A. But I can't agree, only because I think
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and some of their wells were still producing, would you agree that the OCD would be in a better position to address the plumbing expenses for these companies?  A. But I can't agree, only because I think you're asking a speculative question. So I just don't
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, if these companies, such as Cano Petro or Ridgeway Arizona, if they had been required to provide higher bonding while they still had assets and some of their wells were still producing, would you agree that the OCD would be in a better position to address the plumbing expenses for these companies?  A. But I can't agree, only because I think you're asking a speculative question. So I just don't know. I don't think anyone really knows if so and so

1	those are all the questions that I have. Thank you
2	for your testimony today.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Moore
4	and Mr. Winchester. Let's take a break at this point
5	and come back at 11:00.
6	THE WITNESS: I have a quick question. Was
7	there any redirect, or are we done?
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: No, there's still
9	more people to come along.
10	MR. CLOUTIER: She's giving you a break
11	before Miguel breaks you over the coals.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. I wasn't
13	sure if I was dismissed or not.
14	(Recess held from 10:44 to 11:00 a.m.)
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: We have Ms. Nanasi
16	with us. Who would be next?
17	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions?
18	MR. MAXWELL: No questions. Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
20	Mr. Rankin, do you have questions?
21	MR. RANKIN: None. Thank you, Madam Hearing
22	Officer.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
24	EOG is monitoring.
25	Mr. Suazo, do you have questions?
	Page 80

1	MR. SUAZO: Just a few questions, Madam
2	Hearing Officer.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. SUAZO:
5	Q. Mr. Winchester, good morning?
6	A. Good morning.
7	Q. I'm Miguel Suazo. I'm representing NMOGA in
8	these proceedings?
9	And Mr. Tremaine asked you about
10	financial assurances the operators must have in place
11	before they're operating, under the current rules, and
12	what the changes would be under the proposed rules.
13	Is it your understanding of the current
14	rules that they require operators to have financial
15	assurance in place before they operate and produce
16	wells?
17	A. I think we're getting back into the timing
18	of when that procedure takes place. But based on what
19	you are presenting, yes.
20	Q. Okay. And so is it your understanding that
21	applicants are essentially proposing requiring
22	operators to have financial assurance in place before
23	they even acquire the rights to operate or own those
24	wells?
25	A. Yes. And I think, to my understanding, the
	Page 81

1	analogy is you're buying a car and you have to put the
2	payment down before you even kick the tires or check
3	it out. Is that the correct analogy?
4	Q. Well, I mean, I think it's one analogy. I
5	think, really, to your point, though, what I'm just
6	trying to understand is that IPANM opposes these
7	proposed changes primarily because of the timing
8	associated with the acquisition of financial
9	assurances in relation to the actual acquisition of
10	the wells themselves, correct?
11	A. Yes. And I hope that that was what I was
12	trying to illustrate with the car acquisition analogy.
13	MR. SUAZO: Thank you, Mr. Winchester. No
14	further questions.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
16	Mr. Cloutier, do you have redirect?
17	MR. CLOUTIER: Just one question, if I could
18	have Mr. Everhart share a slide, please.
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
21	Q. Mr. Winchester, I don't remember exactly
22	this table, but Mr. Tremaine put up a table like this,
23	and there are a few of them in your testimony. A
24	couple of questions?
25	Were you intending by presenting these
	Page 82

1	tables for the information in them to imply or
2	actually make any criticism of the Division for their
3	enforcement actions against any of these operators?
4	A. Absolutely not.
5	Q. And do you intend, do you purport that on
6	your notes column that every single enforcement action
7	that the Division has taken is described there and
8	that there can't be any others?
9	A. No. This is all, as far as I know, in terms
10	of the knowledge that we have.
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Okay. That's all.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
13	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have
14	question?
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
17	Q. Good morning, sir. I'm William Ampomah, a
18	professional engineer from New Mexico Tech. Nice to
19	meeting you, sir?
20	
	A. Nice to meet you, sir.
21	A. Nice to meet you, sir. Q. So I do have just probably two or three
21 22	-
	Q. So I do have just probably two or three
22	Q. So I do have just probably two or three questions for you. So if we can bring up your
22 23	Q. So I do have just probably two or three questions for you. So if we can bring up your testimony, direct testimony. I want to start with

1	Q. So you were asked a question about can you
2	go into more detail why increasing the FA levels
3	impact small operators. And you said you answered:
4	The surety market is not just tighter or more
5	difficult for small operators. It is unavailable?
б	Can you explain a little bit on that to
7	the Commission?
8	A. Certainly. And I will do this to the best
9	of my understanding, based on education that I've
10	received from my membership.
11	The surety market, again, to my
12	understanding, is essentially not available for the
13	smaller operators because they have made concerted
14	decisions not to get involved in those companies for
15	whatever reasons of their analysis.
16	And from that standpoint, what I hear
17	from operators is that our options are cash. And to
18	expound upon that a little bit more, it's daunting
19	when a \$25,000 bond for one well is to be increased
20	600 percent.
21	We're doing a paradigm shift to
22	essentially a very high number bonding level, which I
23	believe our members don't agree with in terms of that
24	number. I think it's been clear and we wanted to be
25	clear from the get-go, even in our prior negotiations,

1	that we don't agree with the \$150,000 amount.
2	Q. Thank you, sir. Now, so based on your
3	testimony, you did a lot of surveying, you know, to
4	get your members' thoughts about these proposed rules?
5	I want to know, is there any bonding
6	options that your members really recommend to the
7	Commission for a consideration?
8	A. I'm sorry, you're asking for are there any
9	other options, is what you're saying?
LO	Q. Yeah. So you said that your members totally
L1	disagree with the \$150,000?
L2	A. Yeah.
L3	Q. So based on the survey, did you ask your
L4	members the type of bonding levels that they will be
L5	comfortable with? Especially we all agree that this
L6	is a problem.
L7	A. I think that I would have to defer back to
L8	my board in order to get direction to put out any sort
L9	of a number. But that being said, I don't think we in
20	any way want to close the door about talking about it.
21	Does that make sense?
22	Q. Yeah, that makes sense. So, you know, the
23	commissioners have tried very hard to more or less
24	push for some consensus between all the parties. And
25	definitely NMOGA is open to more or less discuss more
	Page 85

1	with the Division and also with the applicant?
2	I want to ask if IPANM is also on board
3	to discuss and see if there could be any consensus
4	between all the parties.
5	A. Certainly. But I want to be very clear and
6	nuanced because of what we are talking about in our
7	direct testimony. I think the willingness is to
8	definitely talk, but there are still some fundamental
9	differences. And there's also still the question
10	looming out there of whether or not the Commission has
11	the authority.
12	So I don't want to say that we're going
13	to come into it and be able to necessarily reach a
14	number. However, I think that discussion is helpful
15	for everyone. I don't know what the outcome would be,
16	but I certainly want to make sure that that is
17	something that we are involved with, but also, you
18	know, not in any way making clear that our
19	fundamental arguments still are in play. Does that
20	make sense?
21	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah, that makes
22	sense. Thank you so much for your time. No further
23	question.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.

1	Commissioner Bloom, do you have a
2	question?
3	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Yes. Thank you, Madam
4	Hearing Officer.
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
7	Q. Mr. Winchester, good morning?
8	A. Good morning, sir.
9	Q. Just a couple questions for you. At the
10	outset here today, did Mr. Cloutier state that you
11	would reply to OCC criticism that IPANM hasn't engaged
12	with applicants?
13	A. Would I agree that we haven't engaged in
14	conversation with the applicants?
15	Q. I can repeat that. So at the outset here
16	today, did Mr. Cloutier state that you would reply to
17	OCC criticism that IPANM hasn't engaged with
18	applicants?
19	A. Yes. I believe we talked about that.
20	Q. Okay. Do you know who made that criticism?
21	MR. CLOUTIER: Criticizing the Commission?
22	I said that before the OCC I meant to say that
23	IPANM had been criticized before this Commission.
24	And if I misspoke, that is my sincere apology.
25	Because I have not heard any criticism from the

1	Commission. And if anybody deserves the wrath for my
2	misstatement, it's me, not Mr. Winchester.
3	But I wish to be excruciatingly clear,
4	there has been criticism in these proceedings, but it
5	has not come from any of the three commissioners.
6	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Okay. Thank you,
7	Mr. Cloutier. And I may have misheard, too, so the
8	record would certainly clear that up.
9	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
10	Q. I think if anything, I expressed
11	frustrations, and I think it was that NMOGA's
12	witnesses made recommendations that weren't backed
13	with implementable solutions or there was no redline
14	for at least some of them, and that witnesses did not
15	provide, in some cases, data or evidence to back their
16	recommendations. Does that sound right to you?
17	A. I think the question is whether or not
18	financial assurance works. And I think what we are
19	saying is that, based on the history and base that we
20	know who predominantly are the bad actors, the only
21	outcome going down this particular road is going to be
22	punishing good actors, and we're not going to be able
23	to collect on the bad actors.
24	It's, I think, the foundation of what we
25	are representing, you know, from my IPANM's

1	perspective.
2	Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying your
3	position. Yeah, just in general, and I won't speak
4	for the other commissioners, but I've been through a
5	number of rulemakings, and I think sometimes we're put
6	in an uncomfortable position to have to draft new
7	material from things like a loose recommendation,
8	without suggested language, and perhaps more
9	importantly, without the data or other material to
10	back up those provisions. Does that make sense?
11	A. Correct. And I will say that the
12	discussions since the beginning of this rulemaking
13	have been clear from the Commission to focus on some
14	of those potential changes or recommendations. And I
15	think we're very much open to that discussion, which
16	gets us into more specifics.
17	That being said, if there is agreement,
18	I still think that fundamentally, you know, IPANM
19	reserves some serious questions about the entire
20	process. Does that make sense?
21	Q. Yeah, absolutely. Thank you. And just
22	lastly, my position at least is that parties don't
23	have to engage prior to coming here. I mean, there
24	might be times when that makes things easier for us if
25	there's some commonalities that you've found. I

1	understand that sometimes it makes sense for parties
2	to talk, but not always. Ultimately, that's up to
3	you. Is that clear?
4	A. It's up to the applicant. It's up to
5	whoever is submitting the rulemaking under those
6	rules. But certainly, given the extensive potential
7	impacts of this rule, you know, coming out with
8	essentially something that's this drastic, it's
9	probably better, I don't know, to talk about paradigm
LO	shifts to the rule itself before we even present a
L1	proposal that is just, you know, devastating to our
L2	members.
L3	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Okay. I certainly
L4	understand that as your position as well.
L5	Mr. Winchester, thank you for your time. No further
L6	questions.
L7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Chair
L9	Chang, do you have questions?
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY CHAIR CHANG:
22	Q. Forgive me. I think I just missed this.
23	But were you saying that you had said something
24	about members needing to put up cash instead of bonds;
25	is that correct? Or something

1	A. Yes, sir. I think, you know, when the
2	surety market is not there, the requirement is a cash
3	bond, to my understanding. So, yeah, \$150,000 per
4	well marginal.
5	Q. And I can certainly understand how that
6	would be an alarming or at least a concerning change
7	for your members?
8	We explored the rule there that said
9	insurance was also available as an option. Have
LO	members considered or explored what insurance policies
L1	may look like?
L2	A. I can't speak for the members directly, but
L3	I know that that is an avenue that anecdotally is
L4	something that some are considering or looking into if
L5	it's permissible.
L6	I also do think there is confusion
L7	whether or not the bond in this case is essentially
L8	insurance. I think there is a difference, but I think
L9	the members would agree with that. I'm sorry if I
20	created more confusion in answering that question.
21	Q. No, that's okay. Well, I certainly
22	understand that there is some concern about whether or
23	not the surety market and/or the insurance market will
24	be robust enough to facilitate the type of bonding
25	that we're asking for here. And I certainly

1	understand that if you had to put this amount of
2	financial insurance up in cash up front, that that
3	would be a recognizable burden?
4	But presuming that the financial
5	markets, whether through insurance policies or whether
6	through bonds, come up with a way to service this
7	market that will now exist if the rules are adopted,
8	typically the cost to bond and/or the cost to insure
9	the premiums are only a fraction, right, of the
10	overall cost?
11	And so this is where I'm struggling a
12	little bit. Because if you're telling me that an
13	operator can't afford the fractional premiums, isn't
14	that operator also by definition then going to
15	struggle to find the cash to actually plug the well
16	when the well is ready to be plugged?
17	A. I think, Mr. Commissioner, the and,
18	again, I'm not an operator, so I can't speak for them.
19	I think the financials based on cash flow for
20	companies vary. And I don't know if some of those are
21	based off of future returns. I don't know if some of
22	that money otherwise would be used in operations as
23	opposed to be hanging out there. You know, that's
24	I think therein lies the question.
25	Q. So let me explore a different idea, and this
	Page 92

1	is going to be less sort of more directed at
2	something hopefully the attorneys can address at some
3	point. But I wanted to sort of use this format to try
4	to get a thought out there?
5	It seems to me that there has been a lot
6	of discussion around who you know, whether there
7	are good actors or bad actors. And I wanted to
8	explore whether or not there are perfectly good faith
9	operators, who, for misfortunes outside of their
10	control, whether it's a serious personal health
11	crisis, whether it's something else aren't there
12	good operators who, with absolutely good faith
13	intention, who end up, for some misfortune outside of
14	their control, being unable to plug a well, whether
15	it's through let me just start with, isn't that a
16	possibility, that there are good actors out there who
17	intended to do the right thing, but for reasons
18	outside of their control just simply couldn't?
19	A. Again, speaking on behalf of the members, I
20	don't know the scenarios that are out there that
21	impact all of this. And I'm not trying to in any way
22	be disrespectful or not answer the question. I
23	just I can't speak again when it comes to that.
24	Q. Sure. And I'm going to just raise a
25	hypothetical that you probably won't be able to

answer, but hopefully we'll get the attorneys to think a little bit about how to address some of at least where I'm coming from?

2.1

2.4

I certainly don't think that the intent of any of this bonding is to punish anybody, but to protect from misfortunes that can happen to both good and bad actors. We've talked a little bit earlier in the proceedings around drivers, how regardless of how careful or not, or skillful a driver is, the state requires everybody to bond to protect against -- or not bond, but insure, against liabilities and risks, regardless of how -- I mean, I don't think the state is making any sort of judgment that drivers are driving in either good or bad faith.

And similarly, in my capacity, and you're welcome to take this as a hypothetical, so I'm not introducing facts, not in evidence here. But in my experience as the director of the Mining and Minerals Division, I knew of an operator who, I'm sure, there's absolutely no reason for me to believe that he didn't intend to do the absolute right thing of reclaiming his mine, but the man had a heart attack, and so left the state with no choice but to go after a bond as a way to reclaim that mine. Not because he was -- I'm sure not because he was a bad

actor, but because life happens to all of us.

2.1

2.4

So, you know, I'm not even going to ask you to answer -- well, you're more than welcome to comment back. But I just want to leave that as a thought that hopefully attorneys can address. I certainly don't want the -- or I think it's -- from my perspective, I don't know that the good actor/bad actor helps me in making this decision. Because I do think -- because it seems like what is important here is how do we protect from risk that sometimes befalls all of us even when we're doing absolutely all the all the best. Right?

And so all I'm asking here to try to form this into the form of a question is, isn't it plausible that there are perfectly good actors acting in perfectly good faith, but who, in the oil and gas context, but for some misfortune outside of their control, end up being unable to plug a well?

A. Mr. Commissioner, thank you. I appreciate that insight. The only response I will say is that as we move forward, as IPANM moves forward, I think some of the witnesses will get further into the role that the reclamation fund pays -- plays in this, both pays and plays in this. And I think that that's something just to draw attention to. And it's a piece of this,

1	whether we want to acknowledge that or not.
	<del>-</del>
2	CHAIR CHANG: No, fair enough, fair enough.
3	That's okay. Thank you, sir. Very, very valid
4	point. That's all I have.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank
6	you, Chair Chang.
7	Any reason not to excuse Mr. Winchester?
8	MR. CLOUTIER: No, Madam Hearing Officer.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
10	Mr. Winchester.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you all.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer,
13	Ms. Tripp will handle the examination, but IPANM
14	calls Kyle Armstrong next. We've set aside 30
15	minutes for his direct. I believe he'll have some
16	surrebuttal that will probably take us right up to
17	the lunch hour.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Terrific. Thank you.
19	Would you spell your first and last
20	name, please.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes. Kyle Armstrong, K-Y-L-E,
22	A-R-M-S-T-R-O-N-G.
23	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Do you swear on affirm
24	to tell the truth?
25	THE WITNESS: I do.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
2	Go ahead, Ms. Tripp.
3	MS. TRIPP? All right. Thank you, Madam
4	Hearing Officer.
5	KYLE ARMSTRONG,
6	having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. TRIPP:
9	Q. Mr. Armstrong, can you hear me okay?
10	A. Yes, I can.
11	Q. Okay. Great. And we have a couple slides
12	that we've prepared to kind of guide your direct
13	testimony here. So I'll share those this for the
14	COMMISSION'S benefit?
15	But can you introduce yourself to the
16	Commission in terms of your education, your employment
17	experience.
18	A. Sure. I'm the president and CEO of
19	Armstrong Energy Corporation, based in Roswell,
20	New Mexico. It's a small, primarily family-owned
21	company. I hold a JD. I practiced law for a very
22	brief period of time, but decided that was not the
23	direction I wanted to continue in my life. So I
24	certainly can't speak as a legal expert or should not
25	be relied on for that.

1	I have been in the industry, exposed to
2	it my entire life; been with Armstrong Energy directly
3	for about 12 years. I took over as president and CEO
4	in 2020. I've held various functions within the
5	industry. I'm the past president of IPANM. I'm on
6	the board of directors for NMOGA. I served on
7	Governor Lujan Grisham's energy transition team, as
8	well as very briefly on the State Land Office energy
9	advisory council.
10	And over the last six years, I think I
11	have been a participant in every single negotiation
12	rulemaking task force, however you want to phrase it,
13	up until this one. So I've had some experience with
14	these.
15	Q. Thank you. And can you tell us a little bit
16	more about Armstrong Energy?
17	A. Sure. As I said, we're a small, independent
18	operator, primarily family-owned, based in Roswell.
19	All of our employees, geologists, engineers, pumpers,
20	are based in or around Roswell.
21	We operate currently about 70 wells.
22	And principally, those have been in southeast
23	New Mexico. We have operated in Texas for brief
24	periods of time in the past.
25	Q. And then in addition to operating wells,

1	does Armstrong Energy have any experience in plugging
2	wells?
3	A. Yes, we do.
4	Q. Can you expand on that a little bit?
5	A. Certainly. So we have, in the last
6	trying to find a number here real quick. In the past
7	six years, we've plugged and abandoned about 16 wells,
8	and in the last two years, we've plugged four wells.
9	And I believe the cost of those four wells was
10	approximately \$120,000 each, inclusive of remediation
11	costs.
12	Q. So you mentioned Armstrong is a small
13	operator. If you had to describe sort of your
14	business model or how you've grown or acquired wells,
15	what process do you all follow?
16	A. Certainly. So I guess I should establish
17	the company was founded by my father in 1976, and we
18	have operated wells Since approximately 1981. So we
19	have quite a bit of experience.
20	We currently employ about 23 full-time
21	employees, a handful of part-time employees. We
22	engage with service companies throughout southeast
23	New Mexico, primarily local; some of the larger
24	national companies as well. But we primarily utilize
25	local law firms, local CPAs, local tech folks. So we
	Page 99

1 are pretty ingrained within our communities. 2 In terms of what we do, historically, we have drilled vertical wells. We have drilled and 3 operated a waterflood. About seven, eight years ago, 4 5 we acquired a couple of packages of wells from much larger operators and undertook various operations to 6 maximize the productivity of those wells, in addition 8 to drilling some new wells there. 9 We hold interest in about 350 non-op 10 well, which is certainly another big part of our 11 business. And in the last 18, 24 months, we have been 12 actively pursuing opportunities outside of New Mexico. 13 We're participating in a non-op fashion in Louisiana, 14 Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, are looking for prospects 15 that we can drill and/or operate outside of 16 New Mexico. 17 Q. And so the wells that you acquired in packages, do those typically come from larger 18 19 operators? 20 A. Typically. An operator will put a package 2.1 of wells up for either a private sale or on various 22 online auction houses. And we have successfully bid on at least two of those packages, two larger packages 23 in the last seven years. That was a major component 2.4 of our business for several years. 25

1	Q. And are those wells that are in the package,
2	are they typically are they large producers, or
3	would you consider them marginal, under the
4	definitions of these rules? Why would you want to
5	take on those packages?
6	A. Sure. Typically, it's a mix of both
7	productive wells and others that are, however you want
8	to define it, say lower-producing wells. And we
9	typically look at these for the upside potential in
10	them. Certainly the cash flow and production from the
11	larger-producing wells are what allow us to sustain
12	it.
13	The smaller wells is where the
14	opportunity lies, so it's going to be the smaller
15	producers. We've re-completed a number of wells and
16	dramatically increased production. We are able to
17	typically operate them more efficiently. So that's
18	sort of where the meat on the bone of those particular
19	transactions are, is taking existing wells and
20	improving upon them in some form or fashion.
21	Q. And you referenced earlier that you've been
22	involved in many different iterations of rulemaking or
23	legislation between the state and the oil and gas
24	industry. Specific to the proposed rules of this

proceeding, have you reviewed those?

25

1	A. I have.
2	Q. Okay. And do you have any concerns with
3	them on behalf of Armstrong Energy or from your own
4	personal experience?
5	A. Well, I have many concerns; if I can say,
6	four primary ones. First being, I don't see this as
7	being the vehicle for accomplishing the most good with
8	the least amount of harm.
9	More specifically, if I could point to
LO	three different things, the definitions of beneficial
L1	use do not capture or would leave out wells that we
L2	operate that are productive and economic.
L3	The definition of marginal wells under
L4	this, again, requires greater flexibility. We have
L5	wells that we have not been able to produce for a
L6	variety of reasons that would cause a well to be
L7	marginal. And I would say I object to the thought
L8	that these are high risk in general.
L9	As I said, we've operated for about 44
20	years. We've never orphaned a well. So the marginal
21	wells that I hold now, I don't think under any logical
22	or reasonable approach should be considered high risk.
23	And the third and my primary concern is
24	with the threshold that would require full bonding or
25	single well bonds for the entirety of our portfolio.

1	Q. And so what are some of the if these
2	rules were adopted, increasing financial assurance for
3	marginal wells or potentially for an entire portfolio
4	for an operator, what effect do you foresee?
5	A. Well, first, and with regard to individual
6	bonds, we currently bond through a surety company.
7	We've had a long-standing relationship, and we pay a
8	premium on those bonds every year.
9	I actually, just this morning, had
10	inquired of our company what the effect or what the
11	cost of putting these new bonds into place would be.
12	And if I focus solely on just the marginal well
13	component of it, we calculate that to be about an
14	additional \$1.5 million.
15	And their response was, certainly, there
16	will be additional collateral required. And I would
17	imagine, just from a business standpoint, given that
18	it exposes them to additional risk, to say nothing of
19	the cost of, perhaps, changing the price of these
20	bonds every year, that transactional cost of them will
21	be higher, and that will certainly be passed along to
22	us.
23	Q. And when you say "additional collateral,"
24	how would Armstrong fulfill that? Is that obtaining a
25	letter of credit? Is it designating property during a

-							_
- 1	_	en	_	$\sim$ $\tau$	$\tau \triangle$	$\sim$	٠.
	- 1	C 1 1		( ) 1	v C		- :

2.1

2.4

A. As it currently stands, we don't actually have to provide collateral on the basis of our balance sheet. But we are told if we have to put this into place -- and again, that solely pertains to the marginal wells, not the entirety of our portfolio -- we would be required to do that. We have not had discussions as to how that would look. I imagine it would probably involve cash to a certain degree.

Q. So if you have to take on an additional 1.5 million in bonding just for your marginal wells, not for your entire portfolio, have you looked at what kind of effect that might have on those marginal wells in terms of how you're going to operate them, or whether it makes economic sense to continue operating them?

A. Well, certainly in certain cases it would no longer make sense to operate them. You know, every additional cost that's placed on it, a low-volume well, makes it uneconomic that much quicker.

So we would certainly have to look at those wells to determine which ones we could continue to do economically, which ones -- particularly if they're put at risk of exceeding that threshold, we would need to plug, thereby creating waste, thereby

1	taking away some of our revenue stream, taking away
2	revenue streams from the State of New Mexico, from
3	private mineral owners, from our working interest
4	partners who are in this well, who we owe certain
5	contractual obligations to.
6	Q. And then, have you had a chance to think
7	about if Armstrong Energy were to exceed that
8	15 percent threshold, what kind of impact you would
9	have?
10	A. Substantial. This is the part of this that
11	I really am unclear as to the intent or how they think
12	it's going to, candidly, protect against orphaning
13	wells.
14	You know, in my case, we operate roughly
15	66 oil and gas wells, a handful of disposal and
16	injection wells. So if I had to go get single-well
17	bonds for all those and under my current reading of
18	this, we would exceed the 15 percent threshold I
19	essentially need to have bonding of getting close to
20	\$12 million inclusive of other existing bonds.
21	We pay currently about 3 percent of the
22	face value of a bond, so we're going from under a
23	hundred thousand dollars into the hundreds of
	indificated chousand dollars finco the numbers of
24	thousands of dollars. And as I indicated previously,

1	on that much risk, they're going to charge me more for
2	it. So we could be looking into the 500-, 600,000,
3	perhaps more. There's really no way of knowing, which
4	is a concern.
5	However, the bigger there are two
6	things at play there. One is the premiums, the bond,
7	which, if we had to, we could fund that. The bigger
8	issue is, what collateral is going to be required. If
9	we're looking at \$12 million in bonds, do we have to
10	provide 25 percent, 50 percent?
11	We would have to take a very long, hard
12	look at what we operate, what our opportunities are to
13	divest some of those wells, which I think once this is
14	in place would be nonexistent. And we have to look at
15	where else we're going to operate. There is a certain
16	threshold where I can no longer justify operating
17	within New Mexico. If this isn't it, it's pretty dang
18	close to it.
19	Q. And so, you know, that at least 360,000,
20	maybe up to 600-, 700,000 in premium, that's an annual
21	cost for the life of those wells, as long as Armstrong
22	is the operator; is that right?
23	A. That is my understanding of. There appears
24	to not be some clarity within the rule as to when a
25	bond can be released, what takes us out of the

1 threshold. What is the timing for that. 2 If I perhaps re-complete a well that 3 would take me out of the marginal status or above 15 percent, do I have to operate that well for an 4 initial 180 days, 360 days before I can apply to have 6 it removed? And during that time, do I have to maintain that fully bonded level at quite an extensive 8 cost? 9 You know, putting down that amount of cash for an operator of our size, candidly, it will 10 11 cost jobs. There's no question in my mind about that, 12 unfortunately. And I think most of my employees are 13 concerned and aware that that could be the case. 14 Q. And so your concern is that even if you 15 improve production for those marginal wells, there's 16 really no guarantee under the rules that that bond 17 comes back; is that right? A. As I understand, and from listening to a 18 19 little bit of the prior testimony, these are 20 irrevocable bonds from the standpoint of it sets certain obligations that they shall not be released 2.1 22 until -- and I don't have the exact language in front 23 of me, but until they have essentially been P&A'd and 2.4 fully reclaimed. That's a process that can take months and in certain cases, from a reclamation 25

1 standpoint, years.

2.1

2.4

At what point will they then release that bond is not clear to me. Certainly there's going to have to be some interaction between the OCD and the surety companies. And if we're at a point now where the OCD is having to oversee hundreds if not thousands of additional bonds -- and I've been told that they are understaffed on a number of levels, and I think that is generally understood -- good luck with being able to process those requests in a timely manner.

We're talking about setting it to annual increases. I assume the OCD will have to then undertake every year an attempt to determine if everyone is in compliance. So, it seems to me it creates a huge bureaucratic headache from the OCD's standpoint. There are much more efficient, less harmful ways of going about this.

- Q. And just to be clear, aside from the hundreds of thousands in premiums that's out of pocket, you're never going to see any of that back, does an operator have access to the sums that are secured by the bond when it comes time to actually plug the well?
- A. If we're having to put up cash as collateral, then no.

could affect the ability to process gas?

25

1	A. I'm sorry. Just to clarify, you're talking
2	about on the midstream side, not on my operational
3	side.
4	Q. Yes?
5	A. There are any number of reasons why a
6	midstream company might curtail production from a
7	given operator or given area.
8	Plants have to regularly go down for
9	maintenance. Pipelines are in need of repair. It
10	sometimes happens that two midstream companies
11	contract to take one gas to the other and sometimes
12	those contracts fall apart or are not renewed, and so
13	that particular gas company may have to find a new
14	location to go with the gas.
15	Q. And so your concern as an operator is that
16	those are circumstances that are completely outside
17	your control, which could have potentially devastating
18	effects on the financial assurance that's required for
19	the wells that are affected?
20	A. Absolutely.
21	Q. Do you have some other concerns regarding
22	the effects of the proposed rule and some of the
23	market-based decisions that operators make?
24	A. Sure. So, again, going back to this
25	threshold, we, over a period of time, built the
	Page 110

company, made additional hires on the basis of these acquisitions.

2.1

2.4

If I am somebody who is below that 15 to 30 percent threshold, and I want to go acquire wells from a larger operator, who is not going to put in place the time, capital that we do, because it's simply not something that's within their area of interest for whatever reason at that moment, if I look at a package of wells that includes, and inevitably does include, marginal wells, and that's going to take me over that threshold where I have to fully bond every single well, there's not a chance I'm going to do that.

I have to pay the money up front to purchase the wells. I need the money to go and work them over. And now, if I'm having to put up potentially millions of dollars in order to bond those, it's not going to happen.

And so what will eventually occur is because those are not wells that that operator -- by definition, they're trying to sell them -- they're not particularly interested in, they're not going to take the activities, the uphole re-completions that we do, it will create waste. And again, that is the detriment of the state.

put bonding into place, that is a cost to me every year to not be able to utilize that wellbore until such time as the regulatory environment will allow for it, the technology. All those sorts of things would prevent us from doing that.

2.1

2.4

You know, carbon capture is certainly another thing that's been discussed recently. And utilizing an existing wellbore for that purpose is certainly much less potentially harmful to the environment than drilling a new well. So there are multiple different reasons. We have utilized existing wellbores for SWD or injection purposes. To put those in place tends to take a fair bit of time from a permitting standpoint, from an evaluation standpoint. And, candidly, there are times where it's not needed yet that we wouldn't pursue putting in an injection well at that particular moment.

Q. And so it sounds like -- and we've heard in other parts of this proceeding about the timing and the way operators manage their wells. Would there be a reason, so in addition to preventing waste, when it's advantageous for a well to be idle rather than immediately temporary abandoned or plugged, especially considering your recent experiences with market prices for gas?

1	A. Sure. Absolutely. Now, one, it's a need to
2	get regulatory certainty on how some of these
3	wellbores could be used. But to your question
4	about I think goes more to development of full
5	potential and whether or not why an operator would
6	not go in and immediately do that.
7	Just by way of example, I have a gas
8	well which is not a large it does not produce a
9	large volume of gas. We've identified uphole an
10	interval that we think could be productive for gas.
11	But as one of my geologists would say, it's a hole and
12	it is dark down there.
13	It's not as simple as going in and
14	saying we're in a complete uphole. There's never a
15	guarantee that that's going to work, so I'll have to
16	go spend it might be anywhere from 50,000 to a
17	quarter million dollars to re-complete uphole.
18	There's always the potential that something could,
19	candidly, go wrong, and we lose that wellbore
20	entirely.
21	And then the third part of that that you
22	got to is commodity prices. I don't think any
23	prudent it's sort of common sense. I think a
24	prudent or reasonable businessman is not going to say,
25	"I want to go get this additional production. I'm

1	making a little bit of money, maybe it's not right
2	now, but I want to put additional capital at risk to
3	get this additional production and not receive what I
4	view as a reasonable price for it."
5	And, in fact, we have run into
6	situations where there's such a glut of gas, we're
7	having to pay to have gas taken away. So under those
8	circumstances, why would I possibly consider going
9	uphole until it is necessary?
10	And again, if I go uphole, it doesn't
11	work, it's likely that well is going to be plugged and
12	we've created waste in the form of those downhole
13	reserves that we have abandoned.
14	Q. And so you talked a little bit about
15	reasonable prudent operator, and you mentioned earlier
16	that Armstrong owns some non-operating interests, so
17	do the proposed rules create a conflict for an
18	operator who has a responsibility to other working
19	interest owners?
20	A. Absolutely. So as the operator, we are
21	responsible for fully funding the cost of bonding a
22	well or a blanket bond. And we have, in our case,
23	working interest owners and most close to all our
24	wells, but most of our wells and, in fact, we
25	typically own anywhere from 25 to 40 percent, we own

1	100 percent in a few. Those costs, those bonding
2	costs, are not passed along to the working interest
3	owners.
4	What costs we can pass along are defined
5	by JOAs, joint operating agreements, contractual
6	obligations between the operator and the working
7	interest owners that have been in place for, in some
8	cases, decades.
9	So we're not even though we would not
LO	be fully responsible for the cost of plugging, those
L1	costs get posted on to the working interest owners.
L2	The cost of and the collateral that would be
L3	required falls solely on Armstrong as the operator.
L4	You know, the other issue I see there,
L5	again, going back to this certain threshold, if I have
L6	working interest owners in a well that produces, let's
L7	say, 200 barrels a day and by no means considered high
L8	risk or marginal, but because other wells a
L9	sufficient number of wells are marginal to put me over
20	that threshold, and I now have to put a "150,000 bond
21	on that particular well, I'm just eating that cost.
22	And there seems to be no reason to
23	impose that entirely on me. It seems that and,
24	again, this is the issue with the well. It, in fact,
25	puts another 85 percent of wells at risk of being

1	orphaned, and I'm one of those working interest owners
2	that, well, it's created waste, it's going to put them
3	in a position where they have lost their economic
4	benefit, and I could imagine a situation where it
5	would make us potentially liable to those working
6	interest owners. It's a problem I don't see a
7	solution to in this.
8	Q. And so it sounds like from your perspective
9	as an operator, not only would the proposed rules
10	increase waste, but they'd also potentially put more
11	wells at risk of being orphaned with that 15 percent
12	threshold?
13	A. And, again, I struggle to figure out if
14	the intent is to do the most good with the least
15	amount of harm, this does not fit within that box.
16	Because if you had an operator I'm not going to
17	speak as to myself. But an operator that had 100
18	wells, 15 of which were marginal, and they therefore
19	have to bond the additional, 85 wells, it seems to me,
20	if the concern is they're going to have to put a
21	lot more capital. If the concern is they don't have
22	the ability to financially plug those 15 wells, pretty
23	good chance they're not going to have the financial

So instead of minimizing the amount of

ability to provide collateral on those additional 85.

24

25

1	risk and amount of harm, we've expanded it. It's
2	going in the complete opposite direction, in my view.
3	The only logical reason I can see this is to try and
4	force operators to plug wells so that they get below
5	that 15 percent. So, in fact, it's an incentive to
6	create waste, not to lower risk.
7	MS. TRIPP: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Armstrong.
8	This concludes the portion of
9	Mr. Armstrong's direct testimony. I'll be moving to
LO	some surrebuttal.
L1	BY MS. TRIPP:
L2	Q. And so, Mr. Armstrong, another witness in
L3	this matter, Mr. Purvis, at page 41, lines 4 through
L4	14, he argued you had opined, you'd offer some
L5	testimony in your direct about uphole development, and
L6	I think we covered that just now?
L7	But Mr. Purvis argues that increasing
L8	financial assurance works in the opposite direction to
L9	encourage uphole development, but setting aside the
20	frequency of the scenarios and the relative cost in
21	competition. And this was in reference to your
22	explanation that there are wells and there are good
23	reasons why a well might remain idle.
24	And since then, you've gone back and
25	you've looked at those marginal wells that you were

1	concerned about, and this is represented on this slide
2	before you. Can you explain a little bit about the
3	circumstances for these wells? And I'll go to the
4	next slide for you.
5	A. Certainly. So the Hoover 27 Number 1 was a
6	part of a group of wells called the Hoover wells that
7	has some good producers in it, and it has some smaller
8	volume producers among it.
9	One of those wells, the Hoover 27 Number
10	1, was shut in for a period of time because we didn't
11	have a gas connection that we could utilize. So that
12	wound up being used for those purposes.
13	If you go back to the prior slide for
14	just a moment. The Chaparral 14 Fed Com Number 1 was
15	the well I indicated had uphole potential.
16	I believe Mr. Purvis, in his testimony
17	stated, if I can read from it please, that this
18	suggested policy works in just the opposite direction
19	and has motivations to develop more promptly and thus
20	serves the purposes of the OCD.
21	My understanding is one of the purposes
22	of the OCD is to prevent waste, which I hope I've
23	pretty well established this would have the opposite
24	effect.
25	So I don't think it would be the purpose

1 of the OCD to force an operator to go uphole and not 2 get the full economic benefit of those of that uphole potential. And there's several of these wells I think 3 I could point to that, for a variety of reasons, are 4 still economic, that we don't produce every single 6 day. 7 For instance, the Ruth 20 Number 1 is 8 another well that we attempted a re-completion on. 9 didn't particularly work, as is sometimes the case. 10 We tried pumping it and found it was uneconomic based 11 on the water production and some other factors. 12 We had it shut in for a period of time 13 14 15 16 but it's a very low maintenance well.

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

and found that it was building up pressure and would periodically flow on its own. So it's one that we don't produce every single day or even close to that, It produces produces oil. It is a state well so provides royalties to the state. But if I have to go and place those bonds on that and have that additional cost, there's a certain point where that's not going to be productive any longer.

Q. Thank you. And then I just wanted to make sure that when you mentioned about commodity prices or gas prices, I mean, to pay people to take away, does this graph sort of a capture the current situation or

Page 120

Ιt

Τ	circumstances in terms of gas prices, which were
2	negative in 2024 for 109 days?
3	A. Sure. Certainly. We are a price taker, not
4	a maker. We have little to no ability to influence
5	the price that we receive for our products. And so,
6	in the current environment, we, in many cases, receive
7	pennies for each MCF of gas produced.
8	If not, in certain instances, we have
9	had instances where you have had to pay to have the
10	gas taken away to the tune of hundreds of thousands of
11	dollars in a couple cases.
12	Q. And then I wanted to allow you one chance
13	before we break for lunch. Mr. Purvis, pages 49 to
14	50, responded to your argument that the proposed rules
15	is going to disrupt and affect transfers. And you
16	touched on that a little bit. But one thing that he
17	adds is that he calls these transfers of packages of
18	wells from large operators to small operators to be
19	high-risk transfers. And so do you have a response?
20	A. Well, I've got 44 years of history that
21	indicates that's not the case. You know, I believe
22	Mr. Purvis has also previously stated that these are
23	the operators that will be missed the least.
24	That certainly is not the case within
25	our communities. That's the sort of comment, frankly,
	Page 121
	$\sim$

1	that can only be made by somebody that doesn't live
2	here and is only looking at spreadsheets, that doesn't
3	see the larger picture.
4	I find his comments, just thinking in
5	terms of my employees, highly offensive, borderline
6	reckless. It will create waste, it will cost jobs and
7	to minimize the impact on those communities is beyond
8	ridiculous. I apologize, but I can't put it any other
9	way. It's something that angers me quite a bit.
10	MS. TRIPP: Well, thank you, Mr. Armstrong,
11	for your time today and for being there in person.
12	That concludes Mr. Armstrong's direct
13	and surrebuttal examination. I'll proffer the
14	demonstrative slides for surrebuttal and
15	Mr. Armstrong's direct testimony, for the record.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll paul for a
17	moment in the event someone has an objection.
18	They're admitted.
19	(Admitted: IPANM Armstrong Direct,
20	Surrebuttal Testimony and Demonstrative
21	Slides.)
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Ms. Tripp.
23	Thank you, Mr. Armstrong.
24	We're going to break for lunch, return
25	at 1:00, and we'll begin your questioning then.
	Page 122

1	(Lunch recess from 11:57 a.m. to.
2	1:00 p.m.)
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Armstrong, would
4	you join us again.
5	Ms. Fox or Mr. Tisdel.
6	MR. TISDEL: Yes, Madam Hearing Examiner.
7	Just a few questions for us.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. TISDEL:
10	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Armstrong. My name is
11	Kyle Tisdel. I'm an attorney with the Western
12	Environmental Law Center, representing the applicants
13	in this matter. Really just a few questions for you?
14	Do have your direct testimony with you;
15	is that correct?
16	A. I do not.
17	Q. Okay. We can probably pull it up for you
18	then. Really, the point of the questions is just to
19	clarify some of the things that I heard in the summary
20	of your testimony, and then some of the things in your
21	written testimony as well?
22	And I know some of the well numbers are
23	moving targets, right, so I recognize that. I'm not
24	trying to pin you down on any exact number. I'm just
25	trying to understand a little bit more about those

1	wells relative to just the testimony to try to
2	understand what some of those thresholds are for
3	purposes of the rule.
4	So I think you had a demonstrative slide
5	in your testimony that identified 75 active wells, but
6	I think I heard you say that you had 70 currently
7	active; is that right?
8	A. That's correct. Going back and looking at
9	it, it was fewer than the initial number that I had
10	provided. And that's approximately 66 active
11	producing wells and then a handful of injectors and
12	SWDs.
13	Q. Oh, so 70 total wells, maybe 66 currently
14	producing at some level?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay, great. And then your testimony as
17	well, both your written testimony and then orally, you
18	know, you talked about the marginal well status. And
19	so, is it your understanding there's been some
20	confusion from prior witnesses around what the actual
21	threshold was for their testimony, what they were
22	applying, right? I heard from you that you were
23	available to hear some of that testimony, but maybe
24	not all from prior witnesses, but there has been some
25	confusion.

1	So I just want to understand, when
2	you're speaking of marginal wells, are you speaking
3	about the threshold as defined in the proposed rules?
4	A. That would be 1,000 BOE and 180 days of
5	production.
6	Q. Yes?
7	A. And 180 days, yes.
8	Q. Okay. Wonderful. And then I think Ms. Fox
9	is going to pull up a portion of your direct
10	testimony. And this is specifically at the bottom of
11	page 5 going into page 6, I believe. Yeah, and you
12	can see that testimony there. Looks like actually the
13	bottom of 6 going into the top of 7?
14	But that testimony essentially says that
15	you have five currently wells in marginal status; is
16	that correct? I think that five well count is on the
17	top of Page 7.
18	A. That states seven wells on the Hoover at
19	least produce into com battery. Five of those wells
20	would be considered marginal as a result. Not five in
21	total as to those Hoover wells.
22	Q. Okay. So five of the seven would be in
23	marginal status; is that what I'm hearing your
24	testimony to be?
25	A. I believe that to be the case. I think, as
	Page 125

1	you have indicated, there was some confusion around
2	what was being calculated on what basis. Without
3	being able to go back and look at each specific well
4	as the number of days produced or volumes. I imagine
5	some portion of that would still be considered five
6	excuse me, still be considered marginal.
7	The five, I think at the point that was
8	drafted, I understood the rule a little bit
9	differently than has been discussed since.
10	Q. And then on the sort of first highlighted
11	sentence there, discusses, I think, maybe a subset of
12	those wells, right? Or maybe just the seven that
13	you're talking about, subsequently, but those wells
14	were shut in for 117 days out of the first 180 days of
15	the year? Do you see that?
16	A. I do.
17	Q. Then you're aware that the day's threshold
18	for marginal status is over the course of a full 12
19	months? And so this would represent half a year of
20	production?
21	A. It is not clear to me if we're talking about
22	a calendar year as to that 180-day production or if
23	it's a constant look-back. That has not been clear to
24	me from my reading of the rule.
25	So if what you're trying to get is we

1	produce the rest of that year, would we be able to
2	over the 180 days, I think that perhaps could be true.
3	But if it's a look-back, then we would not be in
4	compliance. Actually, that would be I'm sorry. We
5	would not reach we would not reach the 180 days.
6	Q. Okay. And you had stated you are paying
7	surety currently on the wells that you have, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And I think you discussed your premium right
10	now on surety is at 3 percent?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Okay. So if we took those five wells that
13	you're defining as marginal here, assuming that each
14	of those would need \$150,000 single-well financial
15	assurance, that would be \$750,000. Does that sound
16	right?
17	A. In my understanding of math, I think that
18	would be the case, which is always questionable. But
19	again, that five-well only pertains to that group of
20	wells.
21	Q. All right. I also heard in your testimony
22	that since 2019, you had plugged 16 wells; is that
23	correct?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Okay. And your average cost of plugging
	Page 127

1	those 16 wells was \$120,000; is that correct?
2	A. That is the four wells that we have plugged
3	over the last two years. And the onces prior to that,
4	I couldn't speak to what the cost of those were. We
5	didn't look that far back, because we thought the more
6	current information was better. And that's the figure
7	we're using for some wells that we're planning on
8	plugging.
9	Q. Okay. And then for the 16 wells, just
10	assuming that that \$120,000 figure is roughly
11	equivalent across for those four wells would apply to
12	those 16, that would be about \$1.9 million that you
13	expended in plugging costs. Does that sound about
14	right?
15	A. I'm not going to be able to do the mental
16	math on that, but I assume you have and that's
17	correct.
18	Q. I'm not going to get into a math contest
19	with you, certainly, because I think I probably would
20	lose that one. But I think my simple lawyer math
21	suggests that that sounds about like the right
22	average?
23	And then I also heard from your
24	testimony, just relative to across your operations, is
25	that you have several wells that are sort of higher
	Page 128

1	producing wells and that the higher production from
2	those wells sort of sustains your operations; is that
3	fair?
4	A. If I used the word "sustain," that's
5	probably not the most accurate word to have used. I
6	mean, they certainly create more of the revenues, more
7	of the net revenues than do the marginal ones.
8	But yeah, many of the marginal, at such
9	point that we can't make money on them, we tend to
10	plug them. So the marginal wells that are still in
11	production contribute to our bottom line.
12	Q. Okay. But is it a fair characterization to
13	say that the higher-producing wells sort of carries
14	the bulk of the water for the wells that are maybe
15	more marginally producing or lower producing?
16	A. That's probably fair.
17	Q. Okay. And then so for the wells that you're
18	plugging, I want to understand a little bit more how
19	you are paying for those wells. Are you paying for
20	those wells out of do you have a special fund that
21	is set aside to pay for those plugging expenses?
22	A. No. We pay for plugging out of cash flows
23	and existing cash on hand. But we have not designated
24	funds for plugging purposes.
25	Q. Okay. And that's fairly typical across
	Page 129

1	industry, right?
2	A. As to my understanding, yes, others may
3	handle it differently.
4	Q. And so the higher producing wells that you
5	have a really meaningful impact on your ability to
6	plug those wells, right?
7	A. They certainly contribute. Again, we try to
8	maintain a good cash balance so that we can plug out
9	as necessary or take advantage as those come up.
10	Or we do have a line of credit that we
11	can utilize if the existing cash on hand was not
12	sufficient. But over 44 years, that hasn't happened
13	yet.
14	Q. That's great. And you wouldn't be able
15	to if you only had a fleet of marginal or
16	low-producing wells, you wouldn't be able to plug
17	those wells in the absence of some of the higher
18	producing wells that you have; is that fair to say?
19	MS. TRIPP: Objection. That's speculative.
20	Madam Hearing Officer, that's going into the
21	economics of an individual well analysis and pulling
22	numbers out of the air.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Armstrong, if you
24	can answer it without speculating, go ahead.
25	Otherwise just say so.

1	A. I would say we've never been in a situation
2	where we suddenly have to plug every single marginal
3	well at the same time. And I'm generally unaware of
4	situations where that's occurred with others. I'm
5	sure there have been some.
6	But we would have sufficient cash flows
7	to continue operations until such time as additional
8	wells are required to be plugged.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Tisdel, five
10	minutes.
11	MR. TISDEL: I actually don't think I have
12	any further questions. Thank you, Madam Hearing
13	Officer.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank
15	you, Mr. Tisdel.
16	Mr. Tremaine or Mr. Hall.
17	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Tremaine. Thank you,
18	Madam Hearing Officer.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. TREMAINE:
21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Armstrong. We've been
22	in passing in the past, but I'm Jesse Tremaine, OCD's
23	legal director. I want to share screen here and ask
24	you a couple questions.
25	I'm going to start out right on your
	Page 131

1	rebuttal slide Number 4. So there's 14 wells listed
2	on this slide, right?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. And this is wells that you pulled that you
5	felt would be, at some point in time in the year 2024,
6	would have fallen into the marginal well category as
7	defined, correct?
8	A. Potentially, yes.
9	Q. Potentially. Okay. So two of these wells
10	were plugged sometime in 2024. Did I understand that
11	right?
12	A. That is correct, the two Hilburn wells.
13	Q. Okay. Thank you for that. Down here it
14	says, "Wells with less than 1,000 BOE during 2024."
15	Did you use the and for the 180 days in generating
16	this list of 14 wells?
17	A. There were a couple other slides I think
18	indicated the 100 and so it would 180 days. I
19	think it is possible that some of these produced in
20	excess of 180 days.
21	Part of the challenge in identifying
22	those is, and we followed up with the OCD last week to
23	confirm this, we have been told, when we report
24	production, if we have a well that produces a certain
25	number of our barrels in a month, we report that as a

1	30-day production, not two days of production, not
2	three days.
3	So I think that would certainly be a
4	challenge, for me to go out and pull out which ones
5	actually, in fact, produced at 180 days versus it's
6	certainly not information that the OCD has requested
7	till this point.
8	Q. Okay. So this refers to 2024. Is this
9	based on production data for calendar year 2024 or
10	New Mexico Fiscal Year 2024?
11	A. Calendar year.
12	Q. Calendar year. Okay. Did you prepare as an
13	exhibit or provide the calendar year 2024 data that is
14	the basis of this slide?
15	A. No. I had a one of our engineers compile
16	this, so I don't believe I saw the raw data from it.
17	Q. So the record is not going to reflect that
18	that data to double-check. But we have the Fiscal
19	Year 2024 data, so I'm going to point you to that in a
20	second?
21	But first, I want to ask you about
22	applicant's Exhibit 1-A. This is page 15 out of 955.
23	If you could just please read the definition of
24	marginal well for the Division?
25	A. Certainly. Marginal well means an oil or

1	gas well that produces less than 180 days and less
2	than 1,000 barrels of oil equivalent within a
3	consecutive 12-month period.
4	Q. Okay. So, Mr. Armstrong, as you read that,
5	that refers to oil and gas wells, correct?
6	A. Correct. The BOE would indicate that
7	there's some conversion from gas to oil.
8	Q. Does that definition include injection
9	wells?
10	A. It does not. As I read that now, no, it
11	does not.
12	Q. Okay. And does that definition include
13	saltwater disposal wells?
14	A. No, it does not.
15	Q. All right. So I'm going to refer you to
16	page 32, and nope, sorry. It is page 39 of this
17	same exhibit. And I just want to point out and
18	confirm here, in the proposed definition under
19	presumption and no beneficial use, would you agree
20	with me that the 25.9A refers to production wells?
21	MS. TRIPP: Objection. Madam Hearing
22	Officer. Mr. Armstrong has not offered direct
23	testimony on the presumption of no beneficial use
24	provision in the proposed rules.
25	MR. TREMAINE: I'm just asking him to walk
	Page 134

1	through and clarify the various sections. He's
2	making a claim that there are 14 wells that fall
3	under marginal definition, and pointing out a
4	distinction in the rules. I'm not quizzing his legal
5	knowledge.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. So,
7	again, Mr. Armstrong, if you can answer the question.
8	A. Could you repeat the question?
9	Q. When you look at 25.9A here, does that
10	section, as you read it, refer to production wells,
11	oil and gas wells?
12	A. As I read it, yes.
13	Q. Okay. And 25.9B, does that refer to
14	injection or saltwater disposal wells?
15	A. It does.
16	Q. Okay. So the previous section that we
17	talked about, the definition of marginal wells does
18	not include any definition does not include
19	injection or saltwater disposal wells. But the
20	presumption of no beneficial use does include a
21	separate section related to injection or saltwater
22	disposal wells, correct?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. Okay. Bear with me here. So, I'm going to
25	go and look at OCD replaced Exhibit Number 16. And

1	this was distributed, so I'm opening it from the
2	distribution e-mail here. I'm going to click enable
3	editing so I can unlock it. And we're going to go to
4	review?
5	MR. TREMAINE: And I'll make a proffer for
6	the record that I shared the password with all the
7	parties here.
8	BY MR. TREMAINE:
9	Q. So, I've unlocked the sheet, so now we can
10	filter it. Okay? So, I'm going to do control all,
11	and we're going to go up to the top so we can see
12	these. And then I'm going to click on data, and I'm
13	going to click on this button filter. So, I've done
14	no Excel magic. This is lawyer Excel use, which is
15	always questionable?
16	And I'm going to go in here and filter
17	under the OGRID name Armstrong. Okay, so here, would
18	you agree that we have one Armstrong Energy
19	Corporation that pops up?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Okay. All right. So, I'm going to go over
22	to BOE, and we're going to do less than 1,000. And
23	now we have 21 hits. But let's look at days in
24	production. And I'm going to click everything here,
25	clicking zero and 155s. The others are 304, 360, and

1	366. All right.
2	So, based on this, I get seven wells.
3	And I want to walk through here, because now, on your
4	slide 4, you have 14 wells. And you have them by well
5	name. This sheet has wells by API. So, I'm not going
6	to be able to do a direct comparison of which well is
7	which well.
8	But I just wanted to confirm here that
9	three of these wells are injection wells; is that
LO	accurate?
L1	A. That's what it indicates here, yes.
L2	Q. And two of these wells are saltwater
L3	disposal wells; is that accurate?
L4	A. According to what it says here, yes.
L5	Q. So, according to OCD's FY24 production data,
L6	Armstrong Energy has two wells that would fall within
L7	the marginal well definition. Would you agree?
L8	A. Without going back and looking at I
L9	understand that there are certain thresholds under
20	which saltwater disposal wells and injection wells can
21	fall under that. If my understanding was correct,
22	based on that Subparagraph B that you had me read.
23	And perhaps I read that wrong.
24	Q. Well, that was the basis of my question, was
25	that that section that we referred to was the

1	presumption of no beneficial use?
2	So, would you agree that under the
3	marginal well definition, which is what is relevant to
4	additional financial assurance, injection and
5	saltwater disposal wells do not fall under the
6	category?
7	A. If I were to give a more comfortable
8	answer I'm confident, I would need to review that a
9	little bit more in depth to make sure my analysis of
10	it is correct.
11	Q. Okay?
12	A. I'm not I'd need to sit down and study it
13	a little bit. I'm a slow learner.
14	Q. Okay. Well, we've already covered that
15	part. So, let's assume that my filtering job here,
16	very simplistic filtering job here, was right and
17	we've got two wells that would fall in the marginal
18	well category?
19	At \$150,000, that would mean that
20	Armstrong Energy Corporation had to provide financial
21	assurance in the amount of \$300,000 in excess of what
22	you currently provide. Would you agree?
23	A. If that were correct.
24	Q. And do you agree that you would have, if the
25	current rule was promulgated, until May 1st, 2028, to

1	either provide that financial assurance or otherwise
2	plan for how you were going to manage or plug those
3	wells?
4	A. I believe that's the case as it is currently
5	written, yes.
6	Q. Okay. We walked through I did it the
7	long way. I wanted to avoid any foundation concerns
8	here?
9	Do you have any reason to dispute what
LO	we just walked through to determine how many marginal
L1	wells Armstrong Energy Corporation would have based on
L2	the Fiscal Year 2024 data from OCD permitting.
L3	A. Again, that prior slide that was shown was
L4	analysis that was performed in house to look at those
L5	particular thresholds. Only looking at the API
L6	numbers, I couldn't tell you well, I could tell you
L7	what the injection of SWDs are. But the other two
L8	wells, I'd have to guess at what those are.
L9	And, again, this comes back to a
20	calendar year 2024. My understanding of reading the
21	rule is in the prior 12 months. It does not say
22	calendar. So some of those wells well, I take that
23	back, because I was basing it off the 24 months.
24	My recollection as to the Hoover well is
25	it was shut in for 117 days the first half of the

1	year. It was shut in a number of times after that for
2	ongoing issues. I would have to look at that.
3	And again, as yours here shows it, as I
4	indicated there are a couple of wells, the Salbar, the
5	Chaparral, the Ruth 20 Number 1 are wells that don't
6	produce 180 days a year. The Sundance, I believe, is
7	another such well. But they are reported on a 30-day
8	production because they do produce something within
9	that month. So I'm not sure that this data captures
10	that.
11	Q. Well, let's examine a little bit here for a
12	minute?
13	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You have four minutes,
14	Mr. Tremaine.
15	MR. TREMAINE: I got it. Hopefully I'll be
16	two.
17	BY MR. TREMAINE:
18	Q. Mr. Armstrong, do you agree that OCD's
19	production data, which is exported in this sheet, is
20	recorded to OCD by Armstrong Energy Corporation?
21	A. In the manner that the OCD has directed us
22	to do it, yes.
23	Q. Okay. And using that data and filtering
24	that data, we've just came up with two wells. So my
25	question is, do you have any reason to dispute that

1	based off of this data, Armstrong Energy Corporation
2	only has two wells that fall within the definition of
3	marginal?
4	A. Yes. As indicated, there are certain wells
5	that don't, in fact, produce 180 days per year. But
6	there has to be clarity as to what timeframe we're
7	reporting that as. And, again, yours falls under
8	calendar here. And some of them don't, in fact,
9	produce 180 days a year.
10	We report it according to how OCD has
11	directed us, and we confirmed that again last week.
12	Q. We just went through this exercise. So if
13	that was captured, if those wells were producing less
14	than 180 days per year, but produced more than 1,000
15	BOE, they wouldn't be present on the filter that we
16	just did, right?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. So I'm asking you about the definition of
19	marginal well in its totality, and your response was
20	that there are wells that produce less than 180 days.
21	So are you saying that those wells also produce less
22	than 1,000 BOE?
23	A. Yes, yes.
24	Q. Okay. But you're saying that's not
25	reflected in the production data that was reported to

1	OCD?
2	A. I am saying we reported production in the
3	manner which we were told to report it. Some of those
4	wells do not, in fact, produce every day. So they
5	would fall under the 1,000 BOE threshold and the
6	180-day threshold, to the best of my knowledge.
7	MR. TREMAINE: No further questions. Thank
8	you.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
LO	Mr. Tremaine.
L1	Mr. Moore, do you have questions for
L2	Mr. Armstrong? Oh, Mr. Biernoff, it appears.
L3	MR. BIERNOFF: Yes. Good afternoon, Madam
L4	Hearing Officer. I'm in for Mr. Moore. I do have
L5	some questions. Thank you for calling on me.
L6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L7	BY MR. BIERNOFF:
L8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Armstrong?
L9	A. Good afternoon.
20	Q. You mentioned in your direct testimony that
21	since 2019, you've plugged four wells at an average
22	cost of 120,000 per well; is that right?
23	A. No. And I apologize if I was not clear. We
24	have plugged I believe it was 16 wells since 2019.
25	Not having my piece of paper in front of me, I
	Page 142
	1 age 142

1	couldn't tell you it was 14 or 16. We have plugged
2	four wells in the last two years at an average cost of
3	\$120,000.
4	I do not have the data on those prior
5	wells. We didn't consider it to be applicable given
6	cost structures have changed.
7	Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you about that.
8	Cost structures have changed in what way, since those
9	early days, 2019 or so?
10	A. Well, cost in general, I think, as we all
11	know, have gone up, and that includes within the oil
12	field. The state has applied new plugging parameters
13	which require additional plugs and cement to be placed
14	into a wellbore.
15	So where previously we might be able to
16	plug a well in, and I'm just going to throw general
17	numbers out there, two or three days, because of these
18	new intervals, we are required to wait for cement to
19	set before we can go up to the next interval, place a
20	plug and set it. So additional rig days, additional
21	cement, number of different factors.
22	Q. Okay. So you've described costs going up.
23	So let's focus on the four wells that you've plugged
24	in the last few years. I think you said just now
25	average cost of 120,000 per well; is that right?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Okay. Do you remember the approximate
3	actual cost of each of the four jobs?
4	A. I do not. I don't know that there were any
5	major outliers than that; I don't believe so. To the
6	best of my recollection, I don't remember any large
7	reclamation costs associated with those wells. There
8	may have been, but does not come to mind.
9	Q. Okay. So each one of the four wells was
10	around 120,000?
11	A. Well, they average \$120,000, give or take.
12	I could not tell you how much precisely.
13	Q. Okay. You don't remember the range
14	approximately?
15	A. I do not. I will say, to the best my
16	recollection, most of those were deep wells, so we
17	were not dealing with shallow wells that may have been
18	dramatically less from a plugging standpoint.
19	Q. Okay. And that was actually my next
20	question. I was going to ask you if you remembered
21	approximately how deep those four wells were or the
22	range of depth?
23	A. I would say, on average, 9- to 12,000 feet,
24	which within the vertical well world is fairly deep.
25	Q. Okay. And did you testify, did I hear this
	Page 144

1	right, that you have a non-operated interest in around
2	150 wells?
3	A. No. I apologize. I was not speaking very
4	clear. It's roughly 350 wells, and those interests
5	vary in size.
6	Q. Okay. And for those wells in which you have
7	those 350 or so wells where you have a non-operated
8	interest, are you responsible for paying the OCD
9	bonds?
LO	A. No.
L1	Q. It's the operator who does that?
L2	A. Who pays is determined by a joint operating
L3	agreement, which may go back some period of time. My
L4	understanding of the wells that we're in, we would not
L5	be able to we're not able to charge that additional
L6	cost to the working interest owners.
L7	At the time that those would have been
L8	set, there would have been essentially a blanket
L9	amount and we could charge for overhead, those sorts
20	of costs. Well, one of those costs would have been
21	bonding. That cost of bonding would increase
22	significantly.
23	But under the JOA, that amount that we
24	can charge does not it wasn't tied to a changing
25	number, a variable number, if that's making sense.

1	Q. I think so. And so for the 70 or so wells
2	that Armstrong Energy operates, per your testimony,
3	any other interest holders like, like non-operated
4	working interest owners? They're not paying you or
5	contributing to you for bond costs, are they?
6	A. No, they're not. Other than what is kind of
7	defined as a dollar figure within our JOAs, they do
8	not contribute on top of that.
9	Q. Okay. And I think you mentioned,
LO	Mr. Armstrong, that your cost estimate, the 120,00
L1	cost estimate for the most recent four wells, that
L2	that also includes remediation and reclamation costs;
L3	is that right?
L4	A. That's my understanding, yes.
L5	Q. Okay. And is it fair to characterize
L6	Armstrong as a good operator?
L7	A. I would certainly hope so. We try to meet
L8	our obligations and we try and operate in the, first
L9	of all, safest way possible. We try to be good
20	stewards of the land that we are allowed to access.
21	So yes, I would say we are a good operator. Not
22	perfect, but
23	Q. Okay. Fair enough. And I'm certainly not
24	aware of any active compliance violations against
25	Armstrong at the moment. Are you?

1	A. I am not. Or if any are still open, they
2	should have been closed out some time ago, by which I
3	mean they may have not been entered into the system
4	correctly. But we have no ongoing issues that I am
5	aware of, no.
6	Q. Okay. Yeah, me neither. Would it be fair
7	to say, Mr. Armstrong
8	A. As to our own wells, might be the important
9	clarification there.
10	Q. It's a good one, especially now. So thank
11	you for explaining that further?
12	Do other operators who do have spills at
13	their well sites and who do have open compliance
14	incidents and who do have violations and who are not
15	as good of operators as Armstrong is, would those
16	operators have higher reclamation and remediation
17	costs on average?
18	A. I don't know that I could say on average.
19	Again, we try to be thorough on what we do. So
20	frankly, our costs may, in fact, be a little bit
21	higher than others. But I certainly would recognize
22	that there's the potential for that, yes.
23	Q. Okay. So I think you said that the four
24	wells that Armstrong plugged in the last few years
25	were all those sites were all pretty clean, right?

1	A. To the best of my recollection, there was no
2	major remediation costs. I mean, there is always some
3	cleanup that needs to be done. Obviously, the caliche
4	pad has to be picked up, has to be reseeded. But
5	nothing that I would consider a major cost that would
6	have significantly inflated the cost of that \$120,000.
7	Q. Okay. And so if a different operator, not
8	Armstrong, whose site did have a bunch of spills and
9	did have significant remediation to do, you expect the
10	cost of that work to be higher, right?
11	A. I certainly think that is possible.
12	Q. Okay?
13	A. There are operators who, for instance, own
14	their own equipment that may be able to remediate or
15	plug at a lower cost basis, even if the extent of the
16	reclamation is larger. But I'll certainly concede
17	that it could be higher.
18	MR. BIERNOFF: All right. Okay. Well,
19	thank you so much, Mr. Armstrong.
20	Madam Hearing Officer, I'll pass the
21	witness.
22	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
23	Mr. Biernoff.
24	I don't believe we have Ms. Nanasi.
25	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions of
	Page 148

1	Mr. Armstrong?
2	MR. MAXWELL: No questions. Thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
4	Mr. Rankin?
5	MR. RANKIN: No questions.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Okay. Let's see.
7	EOG is monitoring.
8	Mr. Suazo.
9	MR. SUAZO: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer, just
10	a few questions.
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. SUAZO:
13	Q. Mr. Armstrong, good afternoon?
14	A. Good afternoon.
15	Q. I have to admit most of my motivation for
16	asking you questions is because I'm not sure when I'm
17	going to have the chance to cross-examine another
18	fellow Georgetown Hoya, so that's part of it?
19	A. There seems to be a microphone malfunction
20	at this moment, so we'll see.
21	Q. But the other part of the motivation is that
22	I think, you know, some of your testimony was
23	enlightening, at least to me, in terms of
24	understanding how these proposed rules will operate
25	where the rubber meets the road, or I guess where the

1	proposed rule meets the operator, shall we say.
2	In your testimony, you said that
3	Armstrong has been operating for, I think, 44 years,
4	correct?
5	A. Company was founded in 1977, and I believe
6	the first well we drilled was in 1981. So it had
7	non-operated interests prior to that.
8	Q. And you said that in that time, you have
9	never orphaned a well; is that right?
10	A. That is my understanding. No such incident
11	where we had orphaned a well, don't think that's the
12	case.
13	Q. And despite not ever having orphaned a well,
14	if these bonding rules and thresholds for marginal
15	wells are passed, that would increase your costs,
16	correct?
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. And those costs would be ongoing annual
19	costs?
20	A. They would be. Operationally, in terms of
21	operational cash flows, it would represent a premium
22	on those bonds, whatever that premium winds up being,
23	in addition to the collateral that we would have to
24	put up and otherwise not be able to access.
25	Q. And have you heard throughout this

1	proceeding the applicant's reference to an LFC report?
2	A. Yes, I have.
3	Q. Okay. And have you heard them discuss what
4	they've dub as the "orphan well problem" facing the
5	State of New Mexico?
6	A. Yes, I have.
7	Q. Okay. And so, with respect to that problem
8	and these increased costs, these increased costs that
9	you would incur, that Armstrong Energy would incur,
10	would have absolutely no effect on the orphan well
11	problem on a going-forward basis, correct?
12	A. Yes, that would be the case, as I understand
13	it.
14	Q. And so, the only way that these increase in
15	bonding amounts would have an effect on orphan wells
16	was it would be if Armstrong itself orphaned a well in
17	the future and did not fulfill its obligations; is
18	that right?
19	A. That would be my understanding, yes.
20	Q. And is it your understanding that this
21	orphan well problem is primarily as the result of
22	legacy wells?
23	A. I would imagine that's accurate to say, is
24	that the vast majority are older, legacy wells. There
25	may be some that are newer, that were drilled and, for
	Page 151

1	whatever reason, not plugged. But I'd imagine that's
2	a smaller portion.
3	MR. SUAZO: Okay. No further questions.
4	Madam Hearing Officer.
5	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank
6	you, Mr. Suazo.
7	Ms. Tripp, do you have any redirect?
8	MS. TRIPP: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer. But
9	very, very briefly.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. TRIPP:
12	Q. So, Mr. Armstrong, I want to give you the
13	chance access to the OCD database. This is the OCD
14	permitting website. And hopefully I'm sharing what
15	you see is a website?
16	A. Yes, I can see that.
17	Q. And it's really tiny, but I have to go to
18	expanded well search results. So these are all of
19	Armstrong's plugged site released wells. That was the
20	filter I used to get here?
21	But I just wanted to get this on the
22	record because you mentioned, you know, it was your
23	memory that these were deep wells, the last four wells
24	that you plugged with \$120,000 average cost, all in,
25	including reclamation.

1	And you can see that the measured depth
2	and vertical depth for those last four wells are all
3	greater than \$11,000; is that right?
4	A. That would be my understanding, yes, based
5	on what I see here, yes.
6	Q. Okay. All right. So, deep wells, plugged
7	at you know, it's a fraction of the cost, including
8	reclamation work, here on that exhibit?
9	So the last thing I want to do is to
10	kind of put us all in your shoes, as an operator.
11	Because what we're missing, I think, is the
12	practicality of how this rule will work.
13	So with respect to that group of Hoover
14	wells that was shut in for 117 days out of the first
15	180 days of the year. So there you are in June 2025.
16	You're looking at five wells and they've only had the
17	opportunity to produce two months, 60 days. And you
18	only have at that point, you would have another 180
19	days to make up the difference. Is that right?
20	A. Yes. That'd be my understanding.
21	Q. Okay. And those wells were shut in because
22	there was a problem with the gathering system; is that
23	also right?
24	A. That is generally correct. There may be
25	instances where a well gets shut in for a workover.

1	We perform routine maintenance on them, things of that
2	nature. But the pipeline shut-in certainly was a
3	major factor on those.
4	Q. Okay. So with five wells and the potential
5	that you'd have to bond them at \$150,000 each, being
6	\$750,000, is there any way that you, as an operator,
7	sitting there on day 181 of the year, with another 184
8	days to go, will know when that pipeline system is
9	going to be available?
10	A. No. We get told when we have to shut them
11	in and we're told when we can open them back up again.
12	But we typically don't receive much the information
13	we receive tends to come through the oil field gossip
14	network more than any verifiable information from
15	those midstream companies.
16	Q. Understood. So as an operator who's trying
17	to manage your wells and trying to plan, the fact that
18	there could be a \$750,000 price tag is a very real
19	impact on your operations?
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Ms. Tripp, would you
21	stop leading him, please.
22	A. I'm not sure that I have a question to
23	answer now then.
24	Q. Okay. Let me re-ask that?
25	So from your position as an operator,

you can't predict whether you will be able to produce
a well necessarily for 180 days out of a remaining 180
days in a year?
A. That's correct. So from a budgeting
standpoint, that does create some challenges.
MS. TRIPP: Okay. All right. Thank you for
walking through that exercise with me. I don't have
any further questions for you, but the Commission may
have some.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Ms
MS. TRIPP: But I believe I already
submitted I'm sorry, Madam Hearing Officer. If
not, I'm submitting Mr. Armstrong's direct testimony
and his sort of rebuttal demonstratives. I think I
did.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I believe you did
that.
MS. TRIPP: All right. Commissioner
Armstrong, do you have questions of Mr. Armstrong?
EXAMINATION
BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
Q. Hello, Mr. Armstrong. William Ampomah,
professor from the New Mexico Tech. Thank you for
your testimony today.
So my first question to you, there was
Page 155

1	discussion with Mr. Tremaine about the days, the
2	production number of days, for a particular well.
3	Can you tell the Commission how the
4	current production base are documented per OCD's
5	instructions?
6	A. If I'm understanding the question correctly,
7	how are we calculating the number of days we are
8	reporting.
9	Q. The number of days that a well is active or
L O	produced, you know, as reported to OCD?
L1	A. In consultation with the individual that
L2	handles our reporting, I inquired as to how we report
L 3	a well that may not produce 30 days a month, why is it
L <b>4</b>	showing us 30 days a month.
L 5	She indicated that's how she has been
L6	told and trains with the OCD to do it. She followed
L7	up last week to inquire if that was still the case, or
L8	if we had been doing it incorrectly, and we were told
L9	no. If it produces within that 30-day period, report
20	it as 30 days.
21	MR. TREMAINE: Objection. So, Commissioner
22	Ampomah, to clarify, I'm not objecting to your
23	question. It's a good question. I am objecting to
24	the foundation.
25	
	So, Mr. Armstrong so, okay, hearsay

1	comes into these hearings. But Mr. Armstrong is
2	testifying that he heard from somebody who works for
3	him, who talked to somebody at OCD, who told them a
4	particular thing. This is not in the record anywhere
5	else, and I'm getting chatted concerns as to whether
6	this is accurate or not.
7	So, I think this is something that we
8	can try and proffer and answer and something that, if
9	true, would need to be clarified in the future.
10	Right? But I have significant concerns with basing
11	the record on what is based on Mr. Armstrong's
12	testimony here, like three layers of hearsay. Like,
13	we are well down the path on the post office game
14	here, and I've seen this play out before. I'm not
15	going to provide any further commentary on that.
16	But I have some significant concerns
17	with that. So, I would proffer that we would I
18	will follow up on this and we can try to provide some
19	answer to the Commission.
20	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah, but you know
21	why I'm asking that, right? Because the deputy
22	director talked about we need to be more concerned
23	and then provide more clarity as to how the days will
24	be documented.
25	So based on what you are saying, I'm

1	like, then there's a huge uncertainty associated with
2	the number of days.
3	MR. TREMAINE: Without testifying on behalf
4	of my client, I don't think I can answer that any
5	further. But I do feel that the record reflects an
6	inconsistency among the opponents to the rule.
7	We've heard in other testimony and
8	rebuttal testimony that operators inaccurately
9	represent that. No one else has said OCD is telling
10	us to report 30 days for every month in which there's
11	production. This is deeply a concerning
12	representation.
13	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you for
14	clarifying, because it was a concern based on the
15	testimony. So I will not ask any further questions
16	on that. So I'll move on. Thank you.
17	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
18	Q. Yeah, so, Mr. Armstrong, you've talked about
19	the impact that these rules would have on small
20	pretests, especially you highlighted the 15 percent
21	threshold that has been imposed if this current rule
22	was going to be passed. Are you with me on that one?
23	A. That's correct, based on how I am
24	calculating the 180 days, yes.
25	Q. Yeah. So there has been a lot of

1	discussions, and from where I sit, I want to see some
2	compromise here. So there has been a lot of
3	discussions where there is a little bit of agreement
	_
4	on 30 days threshold 30 percent threshold instead
5	of the 50 percent. Would that be something that would
6	be more appealing to prudent operators?
7	A. It would certainly be a move in the right
8	direction. I could certainly see situations where
9	that would be problematic.
10	I believe, as I testified this morning,
11	you know, some of the packages that we have acquired
12	inevitably include a good number of what we consider
13	marginal wells that might take us over to 30 percent.
14	So that would, therefore, prevent us from acquiring
15	that package, reworking those wells if we decided the
16	economics did not work because the additional bonding
17	level or the amount of cash we would have to put up
18	instead. But 30 percent would be an improvement.
19	It still seems to me that applying that
20	single-well bond to the remaining 70 percent will
21	have I will speak for myself, but I can see
22	scenarios in which that additional 70 percent is put
23	at risk, which seems to me the opposite of what we're
24	trying to do. In protecting against the most
25	high-risk wells, we're now making productive wells

1	potentially at risk.
2	Q. So there has been a little bit of discussion
3	where Commissioner Bloom was suggesting, based on the
4	discussion about providing some exceptions to the
5	definition of the marginal wells as pertaining to the
6	bond, the 150,000. So some of the exceptions are some
7	of the things that you've listed here?
8	Did you listen to that discussion?
9	A. I don't recall, if I heard that specific
-0	discussion. I am aware of creating some discussion
1	around creating exemptions for some of the issues that
L2	I discussed regarding midstream takeaway capacity.
_3	I'm aware those discussions have gone on, yes.
L4	Q. So would you be in a position to provide
_5	some of these to the Commission for our consideration?
L6	A. Some exemptions.
-7	Q. Yeah?
-8	A. Beyond the ones that I've heard, midstream
_9	wells that are part of an enhanced oil recovery
20	project, those certainly make sense to me and seem to
21	be fair. That would prevent additional waste and
22	allow us to maximize the production we can get out of
23	those wells.
24	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you, sir, for
25	your time. I do not have any further questions.
	Page 160

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
2	Commissioner Bloom, do you have
3	questions.
4	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Yes. Thank you, Madam
5	Hearing Officer.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
8	Q. Mr. Armstrong, thank you for your time
9	today. Just back to what Dr. Ampomah mentioned,
10	regarding the issue of midstream shut-ins. So,
11	Mr. Armstrong, your concern is that a well that would
12	not otherwise be deemed marginal could become marginal
13	if the midstream was shut in and pipelines were not
14	accessible over a certain period of time. Did I get
15	that right?
16	A. Yes. I believe that's true.
17	Q. And last week I offered an initial attempt
18	to get around this. And I swear I had some better
19	language this morning in my head when I woke up, and
20	it's just gone now?
21	But currently we're defining the
22	proposed definition of marginal well is, quote,
23	marginal well means an oil or gas well that produced
24	less than 180 days and less than 1,000 barrels of oil
25	equivalent within a consecutive 12-month period, end

1	quote.
2	And what I might add to that would be
3	the following. After it says within a consecutive
4	12-month period, not including in this period
5	production days lost to midstream shutdowns. So I
6	would hope that that would extend that period out for
7	any period of midstream shutdown and take that out of
8	the count. Would something like that be potentially
9	helpful?
10	A. It certainly would be helpful. And I think
11	it would be a reasonable accommodation for a situation
12	where a well should not otherwise be considered
13	marginal. It doesn't seem to me that that's what
14	the problem we're trying to solve here.
15	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Armstrong, thank
16	you for your time. No further questions.
17	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
18	Chair Chang, do you have questions?
19	CHAIR CHANG: I do not. Thank you for your
20	time. I appreciate it.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Any
22	reason not to excuse Mr. Armstrong? No.
23	Thank you very much, Mr. Armstrong.
24	MS. TRIPP: Madam Hearing Officer, IPANM's
25	next witness is Mr. Gilstrap and he is on the

platform. He's a technical witness so we anticipate
going about an hour for his direct and rebuttal.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. So
perhaps, then, we should take a break before we hear
from him. Let's come back at 2:00.
MS. TRIPP: Thank you.
(Recess held from 1:51 to 2:00 p.m.)
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I believe Ms. Tripp
is calling IPANM's next witness.
MS. TRIPP: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer. His
name is Trevor Gilstrap. If Ms. Apodaca can give him
camera and audio permission. All right.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank
you.
Mr. Gilstrap, if you'll unmute yourself.
There you go. Spell your first and last name,
please.
The WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Trevor,
T-R-E-V-O-R. Last name, Gilstrap. G-I-L-S-T-R-A-P,
P as in Paul.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do swear
or affirm to tell the truth?
The WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
Page 163

1	Go ahead, Ms. Tripp.
2	TREVOR Gilstrap,
3	having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. TRIPP:
6	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gilstrap. We have
7	spoken before. I want to thank you for coming to
8	testify today remotely. If you could introduce
9	yourself to the Commission?
10	A. Yep. My name is Trevor Gilstrap. I'm
11	currently a senior vice president and the national
12	energy practice leader within Assured Partners.
13	Assured Partners is an international insurance broker.
14	Q. And can you tell us a little bit more about
15	your education and your experience?
16	A. Sure. I graduated with a Bachelor of Arts
17	in Psychology. Started my career as a claims adjuster
18	with Farmer's Insurance. Initially worked as a
19	liability claims adjuster and then transitioned to
20	Farmers as dedicated catastrophe team, where I handled
21	large-scale natural disaster claims to insured autos
22	and property holders. Have been with Assured Partners
23	for about 15 years now.
24	Q. And what is it that you do with Assured
25	Partners in the energy practice section?

1	A. So my team provides insurance brokerage for
2	oil and gas companies with operations spread
3	throughout all of the oil and gas-producing basins
4	within the U.S. We are largely focused on domestic
5	operations. We don't really touch much
6	internationally.
7	Q. And so do you have experience in New Mexico,
8	for example?
9	A. Yes, ma'am.
LO	Q. And then surrounding states, Colorado or
L1	Texas, Oklahoma?
L2	A. Correct.
L3	Q. Okay. Thank you. And then we're here today
L4	on a proposed rulemaking which addresses financial
L5	assurance. Have you had a chance to review any of the
L6	statutes or regulations in New Mexico?
L7	A. Some, yes.
L8	Q. Okay. And so I'm going to share my screen
L9	here, which I forgot to do in the beginning. And so
20	this statute which is 70-2-14, what is your
21	understanding of the forms of financial assurance that
22	are allowed?
23	A. That there's four of them: irrevocable
24	letter of credit, cash bond, surety bonds, and a
25	plugging insurance policy.

1	Q. Okay. Thank you. And so in terms of kind
2	of your credentials and in your experience, how long
3	have you been with Assured Partners and what kinds of
4	clients do you work with?
5	A. Yeah, so we were acquired I've been on
6	this side of insurance for close to 15 years now. We
7	were gosh, when did we get acquired? Sorry, I
8	wasn't ready for that. I think we got acquired in
9	2015-ish.
10	So we do property and casualty insurance
11	for oil and gas service contractors, operators,
12	non-operators throughout the U.S. Property and
13	casualty being anything from their liabilities. So
14	general liability control well to the bonding needs
15	they have. The bonding needs vary for contractors and
16	operators, but we're handling start to finish those
17	property and casualty needs for domestic upstream oil
18	and gas contractors and operators in the U.S.
19	Q. And so then with respect to the rules that
20	are at issue here, the regulations, have you reviewed
21	the proposed change by applicants to the financial
22	assurance requirements in New Mexico?
23	A. Yes, ma'am.
24	Q. Okay. What's your understanding of the
25	existing bonding?

1	A. Sorry. Were you scrolling or am I
2	answering.
3	Q. Yeah, I'll let you answer. Go ahead?
4	A. Okay. That it's a blanket bond that's
5	applicable to operators in the state.
6	Q. And that go ahead?
7	A. I didn't say anything. I'm sorry.
8	Q. Okay. And the blanket bond, is there
9	another option for a single-well bond or a one-well
10	plugging financial assurance?
11	A. Yes. So once you hit a certain threshold,
12	then it can take over and you can take advantage of
13	the blanket bond as a more cost-effective option, I
14	guess, for your bonding needs.
15	Q. And your understanding of blanket bond is
16	that it covers all wells; is that right?
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	Q. Okay. And so what are some of your concerns
19	with the proposed rule change?
20	A. I have a lot. You know, having gone through
21	this in Colorado, bonds are it's an incredibly hard
22	market right now for bonds. These changes have the
23	propensity to have substantial ramifications on, I
24	think, operators that are currently active in the
25	state.

1	Some of these proposed changes are going
2	to lead to, as proposed, significant increases in the
3	bond amounts, which is going to lead to really two
4	meaningful changes. One, a pretty precipitous
5	increase in the bond premiums that are being charged
6	to these operators. So the annual premiums they're
7	paying, to have the bond in place, this promise to
8	pay.
9	And I also think that there's going to
10	be some really big ramifications on the collateral
11	tied to those bonds. The surety market is again,
12	it's extremely hard. It has been for several years.
13	We're seeing collateral on the rise. So oftentimes
14	you're looking at collateral requirements that are
15	anywhere from 50 to 100 percent of that bond amount.
16	So not only do you have these increased premium
17	charges taking place, you're also getting capex

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tied up with those bonds. Q. Okay. And I think in your direct, you provided a few hypotheticals in terms of what would be the effect of the proposed rule for an operator. Let me get there. So in this first scenario, you walk us through this.

constrained with all the collateral that is also being

A. Yeah, so you're looking at an operator that

Page 168

1	has 150 wells that are above the 15 percent threshold
2	and they need a \$22.5 million bond.
3	So, again, typically we're seeing these
4	increased collateral charges. So oftentimes it's
5	going to be anywhere from 50 percent to 100 percent of
6	that bond amount. Bond amount in this particular
7	example being \$22.5 million. So you take the
8	conservative approach and you say that the surety is
9	going to charge a 50 percent collateral, that's \$11.2
10	million in funds that are tied up.
11	So either the operator is relinquishing
12	those funds that are being held in the form of cash,
13	or they're drawing down a revolver that they might
14	have in place, which they'll get charged for that as
15	well.
16	And then in addition to the collateral,
17	the surety, the bond carrier is going to charge an
18	annual premium. So the way that those annual premiums
19	are calculated is it's based on a percentage of the
20	total bond amount that is being put up.
21	So again, in this situation, if we're
22	looking at a \$22.5 million bond, typical bond ranges
23	in terms of premium for P&A bonds is between two and a
24	half and three and a half percent of that bond amount.
25	So in this particular scenario, we're looking at a

1	collateral of probably north of \$11.2 million, with
2	annual premiums on top of that ranging between 562,500
3	to 787,500.
4	Q. And I think you just testified earlier, but
5	those premium ranges, those aren't set in stone. It's
6	up to the surety to determine them and so they could
7	certainly increase?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. And in your opinion, could the effect of
10	these rules drive premium rates up?
11	A. Yeah, 100 percent. You know, this is a
12	larger exposure for bond underwriters. I think we'll
13	probably get into this a bit later on, but bond, it's
14	not a transference of risk. All it is is a promise to
15	pay.
16	So as you're asking sureties to increase
17	the promise that they're making, they are more
18	exposed. They're not taking on that risk; they're
19	simply promising to pay it in the event that bond is
20	called upon.
21	So yes, I think that we could see a
22	fluctuation in these rates, either through
23	uncomfortability within underwriters or a lack of
24	capacity within the existing markets. So yes, they're
25	subject to change.

1	Q. Okay. And in your direct testimony, you
2	provided a second scenario, which is just sort of a
3	larger scale than an operator with 1,000 wells if they
4	were required to single-well bond?
5	Can you just explain, is it the same
6	math or would you change it?
7	A. Yeah, same math. You're still looking at a
8	50 percent collateral. And honestly, you know,
9	sometimes we'll see that the larger amount being
LO	required to bond sometimes leads to even higher
L1	collateral. Because, again, it's a larger amount that
L2	is being exposed.
L3	So I would anticipate that, again, it's
L4	an absolute minimum of 50 percent collateral that
L5	you're going to see in a scenario like this, with,
L6	again, the premiums likely being the two and a half to
L7	three and a half percent range. Although, given the
L8	amount, it is certainly possible that that rate range
L9	is subject to change.
20	Q. And when a surety company requires
21	collateral, are you familiar with how an operator or a
22	company satisfies that? Is it typically through a
23	letter of credit or is it a deposit of cash?
24	A. Yeah, it's either of the two. So typically,
25	most sureties will provide the option for cash.

1	Oftentimes when you do, they'll allow you to put those
2	into an investable fund so it can at least grow
3	slightly. But that cash is still tied up.
4	Or it is a letter of credit that is
5	going to or drawing down revolver with your banker,
6	whomever your lender is. But they are tying up funds.
7	I have not come across a surety that's
8	willing to take into account collateral, say, on
9	wells. They're looking for the cash to offset that
10	collateral.
11	Q. So an operator couldn't rely on their
12	portfolio or their assets as wells, necessarily, to
13	satisfy any of those collateral requirements?
14	A. To the best of my knowledge that is correct
15	and. I will give you one little asterisk there. Some
16	underwriters will look at and take into account
17	third-party well-reserve reports when evaluating the
18	financial comfortability that they have with any given
19	operator. But no they will not use that to offset
20	collateral in the traditional surety market.
21	Q. So that third-party reserve report, maybe it
22	could be considered in setting of premium rates, but
23	it's not going to be available to demonstrate
24	collateral?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. Okay. And I'm sorry to jump around, but I
2	neglected to ask you this when we were looking at this
3	statute. One of the forms provided for in the statute
4	is a well-specific plugging insurance policy, pursuant
5	to the provision of the section I'm going to scroll
6	a little bit because at Part F, our statute goes into
7	some details?
8	Are you familiar with well-plugging
9	insurance policies?
LO	A. Yeah. So, several years ago, a company
L1	called OneNexus was created with, really, an intent to
L2	provide an alternate and more effective solution to
L3	the traditional means of complying with P&A bonding
L <b>4</b>	requirements.
L5	OneNexus was able to successfully
L6	develop an insurance product that's able to comply
L7	with the plugging requirements mandated by regulators,
L8	but that also allows the operator to transfer some of
L9	that cost of those plugging and abandonment expenses
20	to an insurance provider.
21	It's got a very similar arrangement to a
22	traditional surety bond. OneNexus uses what they call
23	an asset retirement agreement, ARA. As there's a
24	three-party agreement between the operator if you
25	were on a bond, that would be you as the principal,

1	OneNexus, who would be kind of deemed the guarantor,
2	and the regulator, going to a traditional bond, you'd
3	label the obligee.
4	Payments under that asset retirement
5	agreement are managed by OneNexus' Oklahoma captive
6	corp. It's a protected sale captive insurance company
7	that's regulated by the Oklahoma Insurance Department,
8	OID. And each asset retirement agreement is backed by
9	a CLIP, contractual liability insurance policy, that's
10	issued by the captive with funds that are legally
11	ring-fenced and reserved exclusively for
12	decommissioning obligations.
13	The captive's financial capacity is
14	underwritten by a global insurance carrier called
15	Munich Re. Munich Re is providing capital support to
16	underwrite up to 3 billion in gross decommissioning
17	liabilities.
18	Part of the reason that this product is
19	so similar to a bond itself is the CLIP. That
20	contractual liability insurance policy provides the
21	same non-cancelable insurance backing that is
22	similarly present in surety bonds. So it is an
23	uncancelable policy.
24	When a claim is made for plugging
25	expense, those payments are made to the principal or

1	operator, with the regulator as beneficiary; thus
2	ensuring that the funds are used as intended.
3	Currently working with Colorado and OneNexus to
4	incorporate this option for Colorado-based operators
5	as well.
6	Actually, I think New Mexico might have
7	met with OneNexus at one point in time. But I'm not
8	sure if that's I'm not sure where that conversation
9	went.
10	Q. All right. Thank you. So you're familiar
11	with this OneNexus product. And did you mention that
12	you're already in the process and working in Colorado?
13	A. Yes, ma'am. So ECMC is the group that
14	oversees oil and gas in Colorado. We're working in
15	partnership with ECMC and the attorney general's
16	office to get formal approval here. We are, it seems
17	like, very close to the finish line. We are awaiting
18	the formal thumbs up from the AG's office, at which
19	point in time we'll sit in a formal hearing with ECMC
20	to see if we can get the final layer of approval to
21	implement this here in the state.
22	Q. And will that implementation be available in
23	Colorado for all operators or is it on kind of a
24	one-by-one basis to each operator?
25	A. That is a great question. Our intent is to

1	make it available to all. If we can get the state
2	ultimately comfortable with the product itself, which
3	I wholeheartedly believe, if we can just continue
4	spending time on this, they will be. It is just as
5	broad as a bond, but it will actually transfer the
6	risk. But our intent is certainly to make it
7	available for all and not just to some.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you for diving into that?
9	And so we just talked about insurance,
10	but I believe in your direct testimony you explain
11	kind of at length that bonds are not insurance. So if
12	you could just compare the two for us today.
13	A. Sure. So unlike an insurance policy, a bond
14	is a financial guarantee rather than a risk transfer
15	mechanism. So a bond represents a promise to pay.
16	It's typically backed by either cash or an irrevocable
17	letter of credit from the operator or by a contractual
18	obligation from a third-party guarantor, like a
19	surety.
20	In contrast, insurance involves the
21	transfer of risk. So the policyholder pays a premium
22	to an insurance carrier, which in turn issues a policy
23	outlining the specific terms, conditions and coverage
24	limits under which they'll indemnify the policyholder

for covered losses, subject to any deductibles.

25

1	I guess the key distinction is that with
2	insurance, the financial risk is transferred from the
3	operator to the insurer.
4	With a bond, the risk remains with the
5	operator. The surety only steps in if the operator
6	fails to meet its obligations. And even then, the
7	operator is ultimately responsible for reimbursing
8	that surety.
9	Q. And so the insurance product, like OneNexus,
LO	there's actually a shifting of risk there, to where it
L1	would cover the entire plugging and reclamation
L2	liability for the well, rather than a set amount; is
L3	that right?
L4	A. Yes and no. So yes, that is right. It is a
L5	transfer of risk. You are spot on with that.
L6	I guess the delineation is that the
L7	insurance amount, the payable amount, is set by the
L8	operator. And typically how we envision this
L9	happening is it's in partnership between the operator
20	and regulatory body. Right?
21	So if the regulatory agency says that
22	and I'm going to make up a number you need to
23	insure each of these wells for a penal sum of \$10,000,
24	the operator may choose to insure those wells at
25	10,000. They also, however, have the flexibility

1	to say the operator perceives their actual
2	plugging costs are 150,000. They could insure each of
3	those wells at 150,000, or 400,000, et cetera.
4	So you get the ability to dictate the
5	amount in which you want to insure them for. If you
6	want to meet regulator compliance and use that amount,
7	you can. If you would like to buy a larger limit of
8	insurance, you could also do that.
9	Q. Thank you for providing that clarification.
10	You mentioned earlier so I know you're active in
11	Colorado. Were you also involved in the Colorado
12	rulemaking procedure that changed their financial
13	assurance?
14	A. Yes, ma'am.
15	Q. Can you tell us a little bit about your
16	experience and what you've seen in Colorado?
17	A. Yeah. I mean, it seems like it was a very
18	similar situation. You know, a lot of discussions
19	that were taking place.
20	I can tell you from my perspective, the
21	reform in Colorado wasn't really successful. In fact,
22	I think, if anything, it might have created some
23	unintended consequences that should maybe serve as
24	somewhat of a cautionary tale for New Mexico.
25	You know, ECMC touted its 2022 bonding

1	overhaul as the strongest in the nation. The reality
2	is that the rules have increased financial pressure on
3	operators without meaningfully reducing the orphan
4	well problem. I think there's several key outcomes
5	that sort of highlight the failure of that reform.
6	The new bonding requirements have
7	contributed to operators becoming financially unable
8	to comply. There is an example in Colorado, a group
9	called KT Resources, a small operator here that failed
10	to meet its financial assurance obligations. They
11	received a couple dozen violation notices. As a
12	result, 107 wells they had acquired in 2022 are now
13	expected to be orphaned and transferred to the state
14	for plugging and remediation.
15	Here, at least, the rules allow large
16	operators to use blanket bonds and discretionary
17	financial assurance plans, while small and midsize
18	operators lack the production volumes to fund their
19	own decommissioning, making them more vulnerable to
20	insolvency and orphaning itself.
21	With more wells being orphaned or
22	potentially orphaned, the financial burden is really
23	shifting to the state and taxpayers.
24	Q. Okay. Thanks. And you mentioned earlier,
25	and I think I skipped the slide, but that the surety

1 market is really difficult and that it might -- is it 2. true that it may not be available to every operator? A. Yeah. So we've touched on this a little 3 4 bit. But most operators are required to post 5 collateral to secure their bonds. In my experience, the collateral 6 requirements can be upwards of 50 to 100 percent of 7 8 that bond amount that is being required. And it's 9 largely dependent on the operator's financial strength and the size of the bond itself. Generally, the 10 11 larger the bond, the higher the collateral 12 requirement. 13 In addition to the collateral, sureties 14 do charge an annual bond premium for issuing that 15 So again, in this sector, typical premiums 16 range between two and a half and three and a half 17 percent of the bond amount. That is an annual 18 premium, so it is charged on an annual basis. And the combination of substantial collateral and recurring 19 20 premium costs can significantly increase the overall 2.1 operating costs. 22 So for your small to mid-size operators, 23 absorbing these costs is often neither feasible nor sustainable, especially in your more 2.4 25 capital-constrained environments.

1	Q. And so for an operator who's not either
2	eligible or it just doesn't make financial sense to
3	get a surety bond, what are the other forms that would
4	be available to them?
5	A. Cash, letter of credit. And it's pretty
6	minimal. Well, I guess now you also have the
7	OneNexus, assuming that we could get a approval of
8	them as an alternate to this.
9	Q. Okay. Thanks. So to summarize, it would be
LO	the surety bond, a cash bond, a letter of credit, or
L1	possibly a well-plugging insurance policy. Is that
L2	the four that you're thinking of?
L3	A. Yes, ma'am.
L4	Q. And our statute provides for all four of
L5	those?
L6	A. Yes, ma'am.
L7	Q. So you ran through your direct pretty fast
L8	here. I do want to give you the chance you
L9	submitted some rebuttal testimony in this rulemaking.
20	And I know maybe we're beating this over the head, but
21	does a bond insulate or ensure the state in any form?
22	A. No. I would say that it does not insulate
23	or insure the state at all. A bond is a financial
24	guarantee. It's not a risk transfer mechanism. It
25	does not shift financial liability or financial

1 responsibility away from the operator. 2 Instead, it ensures that if the operator fails to meet its obligations, such as plugging and 3 abandoning a well, the state has a mechanism to 4 5 recover those costs, either through the bond's collateral or by pursuing the surety. 6 7 However, the recovery is not automatic 8 or comprehensive. The state often engages in a claims 9 process, which can be time-consuming and uncertain. 10 In contrast, insurance is designed to 11 transfer risk from the insured to the insurer. When a 12 covered loss occurs, the insurer is contractually 13 obligated to pay, subject to the policy terms. Bonds don't offer that kind of 14 15 They are contingent liabilities, not protection. 16 indemnity instruments. So while a bond may provide 17 some financial recourse, it does not insulate or insure the state against the full cost or risk of 18 19 nonperformance. I think the distinction is critical when 20 evaluating the effectiveness of bonding requirements 2.1 22 as a tool for environmental protection and financial 23 assurance. 2.4 O. Another argument that's made is that a 25 surety market is just like any other market, you know,

1	just like the car insurance market, and so obviously
2	it will respond. If there's a demand, they'll make
3	that product available?
4	Do you have a response to that position?
5	A. I think it's an unequivocal no. The surety
6	market operates very differently from the traditional
7	insurance market, and especially something like auto
8	insurance.
9	Surety's don't pool risk in the same way
10	that insurers do. They underwrite each bond largely
11	based on the financial strength and credit worthiness
12	of a particular operator. So this means that access
13	to bonding is selective and constrained, especially
14	for your small and mid-size operators or those with
15	limited liquidity.
16	Unlike car insurance, which is broadly
17	available and priced on actuarial risk models, surety
18	bonds often require significant collateral; again,
19	sometimes up to 100 percent of the bond amount, and
20	they're subject to strict underwriting criteria. If
21	an operator doesn't meet those criteria, they could be
22	denied bonding altogether, regardless of their
23	operational track record.
24	Additionally, the surety market is
25	capacity driven. If regulatory changes dramatically
	Page 183

1	increase the demand for bonding, as we've seen in
2	Colorado, sureties may not have the appetite or
3	bandwidth to respond quickly or at scale. That can
4	lead to market bottlenecks, delayed approvals, and
5	even operators being forced out of compliance due to
6	lack of access.
7	Q. I had one more question. Just in terms of
8	the practical effects, the way a bond works, even if
9	there's financial assurance posted for a well, when it
10	comes time to plug that well, is that money available?
11	A. No. And actually, will you will you just
12	repeat that again, because I want to make sure that I
13	answer directly what you're asking.
14	Q. Yes. Okay. So, if an operator posts a
15	bond, a single-well bond for a well, and that operator
16	is then ready to plug that well, can the operator
17	access that, the sums that are
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q for the financial assurance?
20	A. Thank you. Yeah, absolutely not. So,
21	again, there's no transference of risk. This is
22	singularly a promise to pay. So the surety is saying
23	that in the event, operator defaults, these funds are
24	being held in reserve for that scenario.
25	However, as Armstrong, or whomever it

1	is, goes to plug any of their given wells, they're
2	using existing capital for those costs. They do not
3	have the ability to access the penal sum being
4	guaranteed by the surety, any of the collateral that's
5	being used to guarantee that. It is a totally
б	separate bucket that is drawn upon.
7	Q. And so if the state is the beneficiary under
8	the bond or arrangement, can the beneficiary access
9	those funds if the well is orphaned and it falls to
10	them?
11	A. Yes. So in the event that a well is
12	orphaned, the state does have the ability to go after
13	the bucket of money that's available.
14	So in the case of a surety bond, the
15	state must first declare the operator in default and
16	then initiate a formal claims process with the surety.
17	The process can involve documentation of
18	non-compliance, negotiation or dispute resolution,
19	legal proceedings if the surety contests the claim.
20	It can take months, it can take years, during which
21	the wells may remain unplugged.
22	For collateral-backed bonds or letters
23	of credit, the state may still face delays due to bank
24	processing timelines, legal review of drawdown rights.
25	And, again, importantly, these funds are not available

1 for the operator to perform the work themselves. 2 So once the state steps in, the operator is typically out of the picture. The state must 3 manage the plugging and remediation. Often it seems 4 5 at a higher cost and with limited flexibility than what operators can perform on their own. 6 7 The financial assurance mechanism does 8 not function like a liquid reserve. They're 9 contingent, reactive tools, not proactive funding 10 sources. 11 Q. Okay. And so one of the things that we've 12 heard in this rulemaking proceeding is that these 13 rules are intended to address risky wells and risky operators. Would increasing financial assurance 14 15 levels accomplish that objective? 16 A. Not really. I mean, I think that just 17 raising the financial assurance requirements doesn't automatically solve the problem of risky wells or 18 19 risky operators. It's a blunt tool being used to 20 address a nuanced issue. 2.1 Higher bonding doesn't prevent risk, it 22 just prices it. It doesn't improve well integrity, it doesn't ensure better operational practices, and it 23 doesn't guarantee the state will be made whole if 2.4 something goes wrong. In fact, if the bonding 25

1	requirements are too aggressive, they can lead to more
2	orphaning, not less, because operators who can't meet
3	the new thresholds may walk or go under.
4	Q. And have you seen that occur in Colorado
5	following the Colorado increase to financial
6	assurance?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. So, two of WELC's witnesses,
9	Mr. Morgan and Mr. Peltz, both propose that those who
LO	benefit from the production of wells should pay for
L1	the decommissioning and the reclamation costs. Do you
L2	agree with that position?
L3	A. I do. I think it makes sense I think it
L4	makes the most sense for operators who benefit from
L5	the entire life of the well to share in costs
L6	associated with the decommissioning. But I don't
L7	think the proposed rules accomplish this by limiting
L8	the additional financial assurance burden to
L9	low-producing wells.
20	On the other hand, from what I
21	understand of it, is that the New Mexico oil and gas
22	conservation tax would do that by carving out revenue
23	over the entire production, productive life of that
24	well.
25	Q. And I apologize for jumping around, but I
	Page 187

1	remember the question I needed to ask you?
2	So we've heard a lot of discussion about
3	whether the bonds required by our statute, 70-2-14,
4	are cancelable or whether they're conditioned on the
5	plugging of the well. I'll share that statute again
6	for you. Do you have an opinion as to whether surety
7	can cancel or release a bond prior to a well-being
8	plug?
9	A. Cannot.
L O	MS. TRIPP: Okay. Thank you.
L1	And so that concludes Mr. Gilstrap's
L2	direct and rebuttal testimony. We have some brief
L3	surrebuttal testimony to offer.
L <b>4</b>	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
L 5	BY MS TRIPP:
L6	Q. So, WELC's expert witness, Mr. Peter Morgan,
L7	at page 6, lines 3 through 15, and also at page 8,
L8	lines 5 through 11, he asserts that the surety market
L9	will respond to the demand that's created by these
20	regulations?
21	Do you have the same opinion?
22	A. No. I think that the surety market is
23	capacity driven. So a sudden surge in demand can very
24	possibly not be met quickly or at scale.
25	I mean, we saw this in Colorado. It's
	Page 188

1	sent absolute ripples through the surety market, had
2	people scrambling to figure out what they were going
3	to do to secure that capacity. So, no.
4	Q. And then Mr. Peltz, which is Exhibit 82 at
5	page 13, he provided this table, Exhibit 84. And the
6	note 3 states that these premium rates are based on
7	surety premiums from the Bureau of Ocean and Energy
8	Management. Is that an accurate would you consider
9	that an accurate source for estimating premium rates
10	today in New Mexico?
11	A. No, I would not. I think that they are
12	likely wildly skewed from what we would see for
13	onshore wells. I believe that there was a more recent
14	study that even showed those had increased to nearly
15	\$2 million. It's just a we're talking about apples
16	and fruit flies, right? I mean, these are just two
17	totally wildly different scenarios. So, no.
18	Q. Okay. Thank you. And finally, what we've
19	heard a lot, Mr. Morgan, Exhibit 81, Page 26, lines 17
20	through page 27, line 1, that these proposed rules
21	take a risk-based approach to address those wells at
22	the greatest risk of orphaning using the surety
23	company's analysis of risk?
24	Is that your understanding of how a
25	surety evaluates an operator before offering a bond?
	Page 189

1	A. No. So, sureties, it's just not how they
2	work. Because again, there's not a transference of
3	risk. Underwriters, typically within the surety
4	world, look to underwrite to a zero-loss scenario, and
5	that is largely dependent on the cash liquidity and
6	financial stability of that company. So, they're not
7	deep diving into the operational wherewithal.
8	I suppose maybe if you have someone that
9	is consistently showing up in newspaper headlines,
10	that they could be referenced maybe as part of that
11	underwriting process. But no, it is largely driven to
12	underwrite to a zero-loss scenario that is almost
13	exclusively driven by the underwriters comfortability
14	with the financial wherewithal.
15	Q. Okay. And in this slide of your surrebuttal
16	demonstrative, are you familiar with the master orphan
17	well list that the oil conservation Division produced
18	in this matter?
19	A. Somewhat.
20	Q. Somewhat. And then the Oil Conservation
21	Division also produced what's labeled as Exhibit 29,
22	which is a list of all the financial assurance that's
23	on file with the state currently?
24	And so, is there anything you can
25	explain to us about this slide in terms of whether a
	Page 190

1	surety evaluates risk?
2	A. Yeah. So it seems like a good example to
3	emphasize the point that they don't. Eight out of the
4	top ten on here who have orphaned wells in New Mexico
5	were all able to attain surety bonds. So I think that
6	that helps to, if anything, prove the point that
7	that's not what they're in the business of reviewing
8	for underwriting.
9	MS. TRIPP: Okay. The other attorneys will
10	have questions for you as well as the Commission.
11	But this concludes our surrebuttal testimony.
12	And I'll proffer Mr. Gilstrap's direct
13	and rebuttal testimony and the demonstrative
14	surrebuttal slides.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll pause for a
16	moment in the event there are objections.
17	Okay. They're admitted. Thank you.
18	(Admitted: IPANM Gilstrap Direct
19	and Rebuttal Testimony and
20	Demonstrative and Surrebuttal Slides.)
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Ms. Fox or
22	Mr. Tisdel?
23	MS. FOX: No questions today. Thank you for
24	your testimony.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Tremaine. Do you
	Page 191

1	have questions?
2	MR. TREMAINE: Thank you. Ms. Fox has
3	thrown me a curve ball there.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. TREMAINE:
6	Q. All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Gilstrap.
7	My name is Jesse Terrain. I'm the legal director for
8	the Oil Conservation Division. I just have a couple
9	questions for you?
LO	On your recent slide that you were going
L1	over with Ms. Tripp, you were talking about some of
L2	the operators on the mass orphan spreadsheet and
L3	indicating that the I believe you indicated that
L4	the existence or the fact that they were able to
L5	obtain financial assurance or sureties indicated that
L6	they were not assessed according to their relative
L7	risk. Is that a fair statement?
L8	A. I believe that they weren't assessed based
L9	on their operational risk.
20	Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of when
21	the operators on that list that you showed provided or
22	acquired their respective financial assurance?
23	A. I do not. But I can elaborate on that some,
24	if you'd like to just talk about generally what
25	happens with the underwriting process for existing

1 bonds. 2. Q. Okay? 3 A. So typically when a bond is first in place, put in place, there is a robust review of the 4 5 financial stability of that organization. And 6 different sureties vary. Some of them review those financials on a quarterly basis to ensure continued 8 financial wherewithal. Some of them do it on an 9 annual basis. That can fluctuate largely based on commodity price. 10 11 So, for example, during COVID, we saw a 12 much higher scrutiny over those bonds. Because we saw 13 such a precipitous decrease in the commodity prices, underwriters, surety underwriters, were really getting 14 15 more into the weeds of the finances of the companies 16 that they were providing those bonds for. So it really does vary. Sureties do 17 have the ability to change collateral throughout the 18 course of that bond as well, because these are 19 20 un-cancelable. So, for example, a surety could put a 2.1 bond in place, and I'll use Armstrong because I caught 22 the tail end of Armstrong's presentation. Ιf Armstrong has a bond in place right now that has a 23 2.4 50 percent collateral tied to them and for some

Page 193

reason, they had a slip in their financial

25

1	wherewithal, the surety company, upon review of those
2	finances, either in a quarterly, semiannual or annual
3	basis, would have the ability to increase the
4	collateral they're requiring of Armstrong.
5	Q. Mr. Gilstrap, thank you for that. Do you
6	have any personal knowledge of the terms or conditions
7	of the financial assurance associated with any of
8	those companies that you referenced?
9	A. Do not.
10	Q. Okay. A couple of questions here. You
11	know, a lot of hay is being made in this hearing
12	distinguishing sureties from insurance. And I think
13	that my understanding is that the real primary
14	distinction is that sureties don't shift risk?
15	In the event that OCD plugs and orphaned
16	well, and OCD then calls the bond on that well, and
17	the surety pays out the bond, the reason tell me if
18	you agree with this. The reason you're saying that a
19	financial assurance instrument does not shift risk is
20	because, according to the agreement, the surety can go
21	after the operator for that money.
22	A. Not can, but will.
23	Q. Will. Okay. What happens when, once you go
24	after the operator, they don't have any money left?
25	A. So it's part of the reason that we see such

1	high collateral requirements from these sureties, is
2	there's not a ton of recourse.
3	So the surety can certainly try and sue
4	them for the assets that they have. They will
5	certainly take the collateral that has been made on
6	hand. But sureties underwrite to a zero-loss
7	scenario.
8	So when a surety is sitting here
9	evaluating whether or not they want to provide terms
10	to any given operator, they're going to do so if they
11	feel comfortable that in the event this operator did
12	default, that they, surety, would be able to collect
13	100 percent of the funds being promised.
14	Does that answer your question.
15	Q. It does. Thank you. You testified to an
16	increase in collateral, and this has come up a couple
17	times in discussing Colorado and the increased
18	proposed increase in FA. Mr. Gilstrap, why
19	specifically have sureties increased their collateral
20	or premium demands of operators in recent years?
21	A. So I think as penal sums continue to
22	increase, so it is the exposure for the surety.
23	Again, a surety is not a transfer of risk; it is
24	simply a promise to pay. And sureties, like all other
25	companies, are in the business of making money.

1	So as these exposures continue to
2	increase, they look to offset their exposure as well,
3	which is why we're continuing to see those rise.
4	Q. So, Mr. Gilstrap, I'm going to paraphrase.
5	I understood that to be insurance speak for sureties
6	have had to pay out bonds. So you're starting to
7	charge more for bonds. Is that right?
8	A. I think it's twofold. Sureties like yes,
9	sureties have paid bonds before. Absolutely.
10	Companies have gone under, sureties have had to pay
11	those bonds, as the intent of putting them in place.
12	But, again, they're not actually
13	transferring risk. Their intent is to never have a
14	paid and unclaimed balance of that amount paid. So as
15	we continue to see rising penal requirements, penal
16	sum requirements or bonding requirements throughout
17	states, underwriters are looking to offset their
18	exposure by requiring more collateral from companies
19	to ensure that in the event of a default, they are not
20	left out to dry.
21	Q. Okay. And in your understanding, observing
22	this trend in the insurance and surety industry, those
23	change in terms have resulted from sureties having to
24	pay out on a significant number of surety instruments,
25	correct?

1	A. Again, I think it's largely due to rising
2	requirements in this space. But I think that there's
3	a larger exposure for these surety companies.
4	Is the increase being driven singularly
5	due to losses, I think is what you're asking. I don't
6	think that's accurate. I think it's being driven due
7	to a higher increase or exposure for losses due to
8	rising increases to these bond amounts.
9	Q. I'm not asking you if it was singularly
10	driven by losses. I'm asking you if losses are
11	contributing to those changes of terms?
12	A. Very possible. Admittedly, I'm not a surety
13	underwriter, so I don't know that I can answer that
14	any better than I have. Sorry.
15	Q. I'll move on. Thank you?
16	Mr. Gilstrap, you made two statements
17	that I want to follow up on at your direct and
18	rebuttal today. First, you indicated that the terms
19	or that sureties will look at the financial strength
20	and credit worthiness of individual operators.
21	And then you made a statement that
22	higher bonding doesn't address risk. It just prices
23	it.
24	So am I understanding your testimony
25	correctly that sureties will require more collateral
	Page 197

1	and/or higher premiums for operators who the surety
2	deems have less financial strength or lower credit
3	worthiness?
4	A. Will you, without repeating everything, just
5	repeat that last question, the last sentence? I'm
6	sorry.
7	Q. Would you agree that sureties require more
8	collateral or higher premiums if they are looking at
9	an operator that the surety deems has either less
LO	financial strength or lower credit worthiness?
L1	A. Yes.
L2	Q. Okay. Does it not stand to reason that an
L3	operator with less financial strength and lower credit
L4	worthiness represents a greater risk of orphaning
L5	their wells?
L6	A. I'm not sure that I'm the right person to
L7	respond to that. You know, I'm just an insurance guy.
L8	I'm not an operator.
L9	But my mind goes to companies that are
20	operate stripper wells or some of those marginal
21	wells. You know, I think that they've got much
22	tighter financial constraints and cash flows, and I
23	don't know that that necessarily makes them a greater
24	risk.
25	If anything, I think that those folks
	Page 198

1	can be beneficial to the state. As you see some of
2	the super majors looking to diverse some of those,
3	from their perception, crummier your assets, I think
4	it's great you have companies out there that that is
5	largely their focus. And I don't know that just
6	because their revenues are lesser than some of their
7	peers that are drilling newer, bigger, better wells, I
8	don't know that it makes them a worse risk in terms of
9	their operational efficiencies.
LO	Q. So in that hypothetical, a surety is looking
L1	at an operator that they deem has less financial
L2	strength and that's the reason why the surety is going
L3	to require either additional collateral or higher
L <b>4</b>	premiums. But you're stating that that does not mean
L5	those operators are higher risk? I just want to be
L6	clear?
L7	A. Yeah, I don't think that just because they
L8	don't have the same sort of margins means that they're
L9	more exposed or at risk for going under.
20	But I think from the sureties
21	perspective, it means that those folks, should they go
22	under, holistically, have much less access to cash
23	flows to pay out the penal sum that is being promised.
24	As such they're required to put up more
25	collateral and/or potentially pay a higher premium,

1	because in the event they go under, they might not
2	have the same sort of cash flow as one of their larger
3	peers with those higher revenue efficiencies.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Five minutes,
5	Mr. Tremaine.
6	MR. TREMAINE: I think I have one more.
7	BY MR. TREMAINE:
8	Q. Mr. Gilstrap, in your direct and in your
9	rebuttal, you talk at some length about sureties, the
LO	process by which any given surety's reluctance to
L1	pay out or potentially their opposition to that. So
L2	on your rebuttal testimony, page 3, line 7, you state,
L3	"Under most commercial surety or indemnity agreements
L4	underlying a bond, the surety also retains the power
L5	to negotiate or settle calls on bonds, which all
L6	sureties enforce zealously?"
L7	You then state that OCD is familiar with
L8	this dynamic, with over \$700,000 in bonding by Cano
L9	Petro and having collected just over 161,000 four
20	years later.
21	Mr. Gilstrap, are you implying that the
22	bond payout related to the Cano Petro was a negotiated
23	sum?
24	A. I admittedly don't know definitively. My
25	assumption is yes, it was.

1	Q. Okay. If I told you it absolutely was not,
2	would that cause you to alter your testimony at all?
3	A. Is that an opinion or is that fact that it
4	was not a negotiated amount.
5	Q. I don't get to testify, but I'm telling you
6	if you accepted the premise that that was not a
7	negotiated amount, would that change your testimony?
8	A. In terms of surety carriers having the
9	ability to negotiate those final payouts, no, it would
10	not change the testimony.
11	Q. Have you looked into or been advised by any
12	legal counsel as to the State of New Mexico's ability
13	to negotiate
14	A. I have not.
15	Q bond payouts?
16	Okay. Thank you. And just to wrap, I
17	just want to make sure it's quick for the record, so
18	you don't have any personal knowledge of the Cano
19	Petro bond forfeiture or any specific forfeitures that
20	have been pursued by the Oil Conservation Division,
21	correct?
22	A. That is correct.
23	MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Mr. Gilstrap, thank
24	you very much for your time. I had no further
25	questions.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
2	Mr. Tremaine.
3	Mr. Moore, do you have questions of
4	Mr. Gilstrap?
5	MR. BIERNOFF: Madam Hearing Officer, it's
6	Ari Biernoff. No questions for Mr. Gilstrap.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
8	Let's see, Mr. Nanasi I don't believe is
9	with us.
10	Mr. Maxwell, do you have questions?
11	MR. MAXWELL: No questions. Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
13	Mr. Rankin, any questions?
14	MR. RANKIN: None from me. Thank you.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All righty. EOG is
16	monitoring. Mr. Suazo, any questions?
17	MR. SUAZO: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer.
18	Just a couple of questions.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. SUAZO:
21	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gilstrap?
22	A. Good afternoon.
23	Q. I want to ask you a couple of questions
24	about this OneNexus insurance policy that you
25	explained to the Commission. Is that the only

1	one-well plugging insurance policy on the market that
2	you're aware of?
3	A. It is the only one that I am aware of,
4	correct.
5	Q. And do you know what states it's available
6	in?
7	A. I believe that it just was put in place in
8	West Virginia. I believe I saw an article from the
9	governor touting I think Diversified Energy just
10	did a deal in West Virginia. I believe it is now
11	available in California. And I believe that OneNexus
12	is nearing the finish line of a product for Wyoming as
13	well.
14	Admittedly, it's very possible they're
	Admittedly, it's very possible they're in other states, but I do not know definitively.
14	
14 15	in other states, but I do not know definitively.
14 15 16	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not
14 15 16 17	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?
14 15 16 17	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?  A. I'm not sure that I agree with that. I
14 15 16 17 18	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?  A. I'm not sure that I agree with that. I don't know if it has been used in New Mexico, but
14 15 16 17 18 19	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?  A. I'm not sure that I agree with that. I don't know if it has been used in New Mexico, but based on the way that the rules are written, it looks
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?  A. I'm not sure that I agree with that. I don't know if it has been used in New Mexico, but based on the way that the rules are written, it looks as though New Mexico currently allows for an insurance
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?  A. I'm not sure that I agree with that. I don't know if it has been used in New Mexico, but based on the way that the rules are written, it looks as though New Mexico currently allows for an insurance product to meet the bonding obligations.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in other states, but I do not know definitively.  Q. So then it's safe to say that that is not available as an option in New Mexico; is that correct?  A. I'm not sure that I agree with that. I don't know if it has been used in New Mexico, but based on the way that the rules are written, it looks as though New Mexico currently allows for an insurance product to meet the bonding obligations.  So without being an expert on the

1	and/or proposed new requirements.
2	Q. Now, in these other states where you are
3	sure that it is available, did that type of insurance
4	policy have to obtain approval from whoever
5	administers their insurance overstate?
6	A. I do not know the answer to that. I can
7	tell you in Colorado, the answer is yes. And the
8	reason being, it seems like one of the biggest
9	differences, at least from my knowledge between how
10	compliance with these requirements are written, is
11	that Colorado's wording does not include an insurance
12	policy as a viable alternative. Therefore, it's
13	required approval from ECMC.
14	Q. So then in order for an insurance policy for
15	the, the plugging of wells to be available in
16	New Mexico, is it your understanding that it would
17	have to be approved by, I guess, the New Mexico
18	Superintendent of Insurance?
19	A. If it wasn't I guess I'm not sure how to
20	answer that. As is, I don't believe so. Because
21	based on at least the wording that I've seen, it seems
22	to the rules seem to already allow for an insurance
23	product to be utilized.
24	If the regulatory agency took the
25	position that that wasn't the intended wording, then
	Page 204

1	I'm sure it would require additional clarification.
2	But my assumption is it would be accepted.
3	MR. SUAZO: No further questions.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
5	Ms. Tripp, do you have any redirect?
6	MS. TRIPP: No, I do not.
7	Thank you, Mr. Gilstrap. The
8	commissioners may have questions for you.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
10	Commissioner Ampomah, do you have questions of
11	Mr. Gilstrap?
12	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes, I do.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
15	Q. So I'm looking at the numbers that you put
16	up as part of your testimony discussing, let's say,
17	scenario 1 and scenario 2. So with your scenario 1,
18	you're looking at operators with 150 wells and also
19	the 15 percent threshold needs. And they are required
20	to pay, if the premium that you are providing here,
21	let's say, 2.5 to 3.5, you know, to the high of about
22	\$787,500 a year?
23	I mean, so I'm just still thinking about
24	for smaller for small operators, is there a way
25	where we can have, let's say, financial assurance that

1	would test the states and also does not necessarily
2	cripple these companies?
3	A. That's a great question. And honestly, I
4	don't know that I'm in a position to help find a
5	solution. I was brought in to comment on what was
6	being proposed.
7	What I will say, Commissioner, is I do
8	think that acceptance of a OneNexus product will help
9	in this. It's at least a transference of risk. To be
10	clear, though, the OneNexus, it comes with a premium
11	as well, right? We're going to see similar premiums
12	with an insurance product.
13	I guess the biggest difference is that
14	you're essentially using those premiums to pre-fund
15	future costs, which is a huge differentiator between
16	an insurance product and a bond. Because those
17	premiums that you're referencing, that 562,000 to
18	787,000, those are sunk unrecoverable costs that
19	aren't going towards future plugging expenses.
20	I'm sorry, that probably wasn't as
21	direct a response as you wanted.
22	Q. Yeah, so maybe this might be similar to that
23	of the insurance that you are discussing. But don't
24	you also believe that there could be a way where we
25	can pass a minimum FA requirement for these wells, and

1	then also probably something in there like a cost per
2	barrel basis to build up the cost to plug the wells,
3	where OCD can have access to that amount?
4	So instead of a company, the small
5	operator putting up \$150,000 per well, but they can
6	put up some and then also more or less charge on a per
7	barrel basis to really build up the cost to that
8	amount to plug the well, just in case that operator
9	defaults. But if the operator plugs their well, then
10	they have access back to their money. Can you comment
11	on that?
12	A. I am very in favor of alternatives to just
13	singularly increasing the financial assurance
14	obligations. I think that the idea of some sort of
15	pre-funding pool is fantastic. You know, again,
16	haven't certainly seen anything, an outline, to be
17	able to comment on it specifically. But yes, I love
18	the idea of creative options outside of singularly
19	just increasing financial assurance levels.
20	Q. So the pre-funded pool you just mentioned,
21	has it been implemented in any other states?
22	A. I'd have to go through and look to tell you
23	that. I'm not sure off the top of my head.
24	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you, sir, for
25	your testimony. No further questions.

1	The WITNESS: Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
3	Commissioner Bloom, do you have
4	questions?
5	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Thank you, Madam
6	Hearing Officer. Yes.
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY COMMISSIONER BLOOM:
9	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gilstrap. Just a couple
10	questions?
11	We've heard contradictory testimony
12	during this hearing about whether bonds can be
13	cancelled if a well were to leave this newly created
14	marginal status. The OCD says yes. You said no,
15	correct?
16	A. Yes, correct. Bonds are a non-cancellable
17	product. The only time the bond is canceled is when
18	they are released by the regulatory body or called
19	upon.
20	Q. So, if a well were to be bonded because it
21	was marginal and that it increases production,
22	couldn't the state be obligee, then release that bond?
23	A. So the I guess the state could release a
24	bond whenever they want to. My assumption is that in
25	that particular situation, the state wouldn't release

1	the bond. If anything, they might change the amount
2	of the bond.
3	So if there's a higher amount required
4	for a marginal well, then there is a high-producing
5	well, presumably it would be a stair step down in the
6	bond requirement, but not a release thereof, because
7	it's still a well that has a, at some point in time,
8	end of life expected and will need to be reclaimed.
9	Q. Okay. Thank you. I mean, this is an
LO	essential matter here. Because one of the things that
11	this proposed rulemaking would do is really push
12	operators to either plug their wells or increase their
L3	production so that they don't have to be bonded, so
L4	they don't go into marginal status, so they come out
15	of marginal status. And we have a disagreement among
L6	the parties as to whether bonds are cancellable or if
17	there's a way to drop a bond on a well that was once
18	marginal. I'm not quite sure how we're going to work
19	this out. Anything else you can add?
20	A. I can say that plugging and abandonment
21	bonds are non-cancelable. It's part of the reason
22	that you see such high collateral and premium
23	requirements tied to them in such scrutiny over the
24	financial liquidity of that particular operator.
25	Because once put in place, the surety cannot cancel

1	questions.
2	The WITNESS: Thank you.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
4	Chair Chang, do you have questions?
5	CHAIR CHANG: Sure. Just a couple.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY CHAIR CHANG:
8	Q. Is it your understanding, Mr. Gilstrap, that
9	sureties are a more common and preferred financial
10	assurance instruments in the oil and gas context than
11	insurance policies?
12	A. I would say common definitively. But I
13	think that's largely driven by the fact that an
14	insurance product to potentially displace the need for
15	a bond hasn't existed until recently.
16	Q. I see. Okay. Because the follow-up
17	question that I had was whether you could help me
18	understand why insurance policies aren't as popular or
19	may not be popular. But it sounds like it's just not
20	been on the market for as long?
21	A. Yes.
22	CHAIR CHANG: That's all I have. Thank you.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right. Thank you
24	Mr. Chair.
25	Any reason not to excuse Mr. Gilstrap?
	Page 211

1	Thank you very much, Mr. Gilstrap, for
2	your testimony.
3	The WITNESS: Thank you.
4	MS. TRIPP: Madam Hearing Officer, I believe
5	Mr. Cloutier will be calling our next witness.
6	MR. CLOUTIER: IPANM calls Calder Ezzell to
7	the stand. And for housekeeping purposes, Madam
8	Hearing Officer, I think it's very likely that his
9	direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal will take longer
10	than the hour we have until public comments.
11	So if you want to flag for me where a
12	decent stopping point is, I'll try and wrap up a
13	topic before public comment.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Is it to disruptive
15	to ask that you find a breaking point around 3:30,
16	3:40, somewhere in there?
17	MR. CLOUTIER: No. I'll look for one.
18	Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
20	Mr. Ezzell, would you spell your first
21	and last name, please, for the transcript.
22	The WITNESS: Calder, C-A-L-D-E-R,
23	E-Z-Z-E-L-L.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. And do
25	swear or affirm to tell the truth?

1	The WITNESS: I do.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
3	Go ahead, Mr. Cloutier.
4	CALDER EZZELL,
5	having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
8	Q. Would you please introduce yourself to the
9	Commission?
10	A. My name is Calder Ezzell. I'm an attorney
11	with the Hinkle Shanor firm in Roswell, New Mexico.
12	MR. CLOUTIER: Move to slide 2, please,
13	Mr. Everhart.
14	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
15	Q. If you'd describe your legal background in a
16	little more detail, please, Mr. Ezzell?
17	A. Well, I went to undergraduate and law school
18	at Washington Valley University in Lexington,
19	Virginia, graduated with my JD in 1977, and joined the
20	Hinkle firm that summer, and have hung on this long.
21	I'm a transactional lawyer. I do title
22	opinions, JOAs, farm-outs, contract negotiations. I
23	did have an OCD practice years ago, but that was
24	before the firm had a Santa Fe office, and once we
25	opened a Santa Fe office, we transitioned that work up
	Page 213

1	here because it wasn't economical for them to pay me
2	to drive to Santa Fe. And I miss it. I really liked
3	my OCD practice.
4	Q. And all of the transactions that you
5	described, those are oil and gas industry specific,
6	Mr. Ezzell?
7	A. Yeah. You can throw in two or three solar
8	contracts and things like that. I'm also a rancher,
9	and I have lots of ranching friends that have need for
10	free attorneys a lot.
11	Q. Yes sir?
12	A. I seem to remember an auto mechanic, too,
13	one time. But that's true, we won't bring up firm
14	management issues here in the Commission.
15	Q. Would you describe to the Commission your
16	background in the oil and gas industry, in addition to
17	what you've described in your legal career?
18	A. Well, I have been an individual non-op
19	investor in oil and gas wells for over 40 years. As a
20	result of one of those investments, actually a couple,
21	an operator who was a friend of mine and a client got
22	in over his head because he also had a trucking
23	company and a drilling company and that didn't work
24	out very well in the early '80s, and when he was
25	forced into bankruptcy and resigned as operator of all

1	the wells his company had, I was in three of those
2	wells and so I assumed operations at the company,
3	called Polo Oil and Gas.
4	And I operated those wells, operated in
5	air quotes. I didn't do any of the field work. All
6	of the physical stuff was done by two of the former
7	operator's employees. One was a geologist and one was
8	his engineer. And so they took care of all the field
9	stuff. And I got bonded and sent out the bills and
10	paid the checks, wrote the checks, and kept those
11	three little wells going until my friends, the
12	geologist and engineer, got enough backing to where
13	they could start their own company, and I turned the
14	operations back over to them.
15	I take it back. I did get frisky once
16	as an operator and tried a re-entry, which was an
17	abysmal failure. I was advised never to name an oil
18	well after your dog.
19	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ezzell. You submitted direct
20	and rebuttal testimony to the Commission in these
21	proceedings, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Any changes or corrections? And if
24	you could pull the mic a little closer to you. I
25	don't mind you leaning back, but you're just a little

1	bit
2	A. I am embarrassed to say that I have
3	corrections. On my direct testimony, page 11, line
4	23, the first word "of" should be "or."
5	Page 13, line 21, out in the middle, the
6	word "reservation" should be "resignation." I don't
7	know how that happened.
8	Page 28, second word in line 18 should
9	be "joint" account instead of "direct" account.
LO	This one is a little more material, page
L1	29, line 6, I am of the opinion that the operator can,
L2	the word "not" was omitted. So I am of the opinion
L3	that the operator cannot charge for that bond.
L4	Page 30, line 10, second to the last
L5	word is "pass," and it should be "post." I can't
L6	imagine that painful it would be to pass a cash bond.
L7	Page 54, line 15, the word "negotiating"
L8	should be "negotiated," past tense.
L9	Page 57, Line 2, third the last word,
20	"payor" should be "prior."
21	Now to the big one. In my rebuttal
22	testimony, page 4, line 6, incorrectly quotes the
23	statute by saying that the \$50,000 bond amount was the
24	maximum, when, in fact, it is the minimum. And I do
25	not know so the words "not to exceed" should be

1	"greater than." I do not know how that happened. I
2	wish I had an associate or a paralegal or ChatGTP to
3	blame, but I don't. So since I'm under oath, that one
4	is on me. I do not know how I missed that mistake.
5	So that would mean that page 7, line 19,
6	sixth word, "highest" should be "base." Conversely,
7	on the next page, 8 in 6 and 12, the word "maximum"
8	should be "minimum."
9	End of mea culpa, except for the fact
10	that I appreciate having been advised of that prior to
11	getting in this chair. So whoever did that, my faith
12	in trial lawyers is partially restored.
13	Q. Thank you. You still have to live with me?
14	Any other changes or corrections that
15	you wish to make? And that's just to be sure that
16	A. I see one on the slide.
17	Q. All right. Thank you?
18	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, with
19	those changes, we offer the direct and rebuttal
20	testimony of Mr. Ezzell, the slides. And I'm sorry,
21	I had the exhibit numbers pulled up and that
22	Mr. Ezzell is sponsoring, and then closed out of
23	them. Here we go, just a second. Mr. Ezzell is
24	sponsoring IPANM Exhibit Numbers 14 through 19, 20
25	through 26, and 41.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll pause for a
2	moment in the event there are objections.
3	MR. HALL: We do object, or at least want to
4	bring the Commission's attention to the fact that the
5	analysis, based upon the statute that doesn't exist
6	that Mr. Ezzell spoke of, that entire line of
7	analysis that follows that is based upon that reading
8	of the statute.
9	And so he pointed out a couple of words,
LO	but we object to really all that testimony as
L1	extremely misleading and fails to accurately cite the
L2	statute that he claims to be analyzing.
L3	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, that's
L4	fair enough. So why don't I ask Mr. Ezzell how the
L5	changes would affect his analysis and I'll reoffer
L6	after Mr. Ezzell testifies.
L7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
L8	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
L9	Q. Could you explain to the Commission how it
20	changes your analysis in the rebuttal testimony,
21	Mr. Ezzell?
22	A. Well, given the changes of maximum to
23	minimum and highest to base, it does not change my
24	analysis at all. It is the fact that you would
25	have a \$150,000 bond as opposed to a bond in some

1	amount greater than \$50,000 for a well that, at least
2	the applicants say, is much more likely to be a
3	problem or an orphan doesn't make sense.
4	Well, we're going to talk about the
5	Commission's authority later, but the statute is what
6	the statute is and it's been corrected and we're going
7	from the actual language of the statute.
8	MR. CLOUTIER: So, Madam Hearing Officer, I
9	reoffer Mr. Ezzell's direct and rebuttal testimony,
10	the demonstrative exhibits supporting that and his
11	surrebuttal, Exhibits 14 through 19, 20, 26, and 41.
12	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
13	Mr. Hall, I'm going to invite you to
14	offer your arguments in the closing argument.
15	MR. HALL: Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer.
16	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Are there other
17	objections? No? Their admitted.
18	(Admitted: IPANM Ezzell direct,
19	rebuttal testimony, demonstratives,
20	surrebuttal, and Exhibits 14 through
21	19, 20, 26, and 41.)
22	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
23	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
24	Q. Could you move to slide 3. We have some
25	slides about the Commission's hearing authority. How
	Page 219

1	would you like to handle your testimony on that
2	authority, Mr. Ezzell? This is your testimony, I
3	would say. You tell me, what would you like to do
4	with slides 3 through 9?
5	A. Well, I can't tell what
6	Q. Let's go ahead and talk about it?
7	A. All right, go ahead.
8	Q. We'll talk about it briefly?
9	MR. CLOUTIER: Go ahead, Mr. Everhart.
10	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
11	Q. So slide 4, any testimony for the
12	Commission?
13	A. Oh, they're enumerated. Okay. I didn't see
14	a number on the
15	Q. Sorry. When I ask you a question about the
16	slide number, I've already verified that Mr. Everhart
17	has the right slide up?
18	A. Oh, okay. Just the quoted language is the
19	quoted language. The Oil Conservation Commission is a
20	creature of statute and expressly defined, limited,
21	and empowered by the laws creating it?
22	And that's been recognized by the
23	New Mexico Supreme Court in the Continental case?
24	Q. All right. Thank you. And next slide,
25	please. We've spoken about this power at some length,

1 and I think you've -- let me ask you this question 2 before we get to this? 3 Have you listened in on these proceedings, Mr. Ezzell? 4 A. Not in their entirety, but often. 5 6 Q. All right. And we've had some legal argument and testimony about this. And if I could, 7 8 I'd like to spare the Commission more testimony about 9 So if you see something on one of these slides that you don't think the Commission has heard or you 10 11 don't know that the Commission has heard, please call it to the Commission's attention. Otherwise we can 12 13 just move to the next slide? A. I would point out -- yeah, I apologize if 14 15 this has been already discussed, but a lot of the 16 legal arguments have been is there express language in 17 70-2-14 that grants the Commission the authority to do this. And there's been arguments back and forth. 18 I know -- and this is kind of backdoor, 19 but 70-2-14G deals with operators who magically have 20 2.1 one of those illusory insurance policies. And it 22 says -- and it's a single-well bond. In the event the 23 one-well financial assurance requirement is increased, 2.4 either because the well is deepened or because the rules of the OCD are amended, that it provides what 25

1	they need to do with their insurance policy.
2	And that tells me two things. One, that
3	the depth of a well is paramount in considering its
4	cost, because you can't increase the bond unless you
5	do what? Deepen the well. And then the OCD can come
6	increase the bond.
7	The other thing it tells me is that the
8	OCD's ability to increase a bond is limited to the
9	single-well bond. That dash G makes reference to it,
10	and there is no reference made to increasing any other
11	bond.
12	I would also argue that that reference,
13	and I think everybody's already argued this, that the
14	statutory maximum on the blanket bond would be the
15	maximum on any single bond as well. And I hope that
16	hasn't been brought out before. Thanks.
17	Q. That's perfect?
18	MR. CLOUTIER: And why don't we go ahead and
19	skip to slide 10, Mr. Everhart.
20	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
21	Q. All right. Your next area of opinions that
22	you'd like to talk about is what?
23	A. Waste.
24	Q. Okay. What is waste?
25	A. The prevention of waste is why we have the
	Page 222

1	Commission. And this is statutory again. Waste
2	includes underground waste which occurs when operating
3	are producing whoops.
4	Q. Sorry about that. I just realized I skipped
5	slide 9. So what was the point you want to make to
6	the Commission on slide 9. And that's my fault?
7	A. Well, again, the Continental Oil case says
8	that the prevention of waste is the paramount power of
9	the OCC because the protection of correlative rights
10	is such an integral part of the prevention of waste.
11	Q. Okay. And now we'll cease pulling the rug
12	out from under you, Mr. Ezzell, and put up slide 10
13	again. And you were discussing waste with the
14	Commission?
15	A. And as the quoted language says, that's what
16	constitutes underground waste, operating or producing
17	of any well or wells in a manner to reduce or tend to
18	reduce the total quality of crude petroleum oil or
19	natural gas ultimately recovered from any pool.
20	What the applicant's proposed amendments
21	do is exactly the opposite of the Commission's
22	mandate. It, by their own definitions, will promote
23	waste.
24	Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether there's
25	a legally permissible amount of waste that the
	Page 223

1	Commission is allowed to permit under its rulemaking
2	authority?
3	A. Zero.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	A. And I know there was a lot of testimony
б	that, you know, given this set of wells or that set of
7	wells, it's going to be less than 3 percent or
8	.2 percent or whatever of the state's production. But
9	that's not the issue. The issue is the prevention of
10	waste.
11	The other issue, in my opinion, is that
12	3 percent or less than 2 percent, or whatever it is,
13	could also be 100 percent of the business of many of
14	our small businesses.
15	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ezzell. And at the next
16	slide, would you explain to the Commission, based on
17	your legal and industry experience, how the adoption
18	of the proposed rulemaking would affect the protection
19	of waste?
20	A. Well, I think Mr. Armstrong covered that
21	pretty well. But even if you if just only have one
22	well and it's making three barrels a day, you're
23	feeding your family and probably making a living. And
24	a \$150,000 bond will make you sell it, plug it or walk
25	away from it. And any time that there is recoverable

1	oil left in the ground, that constitutes waste or gas.
2	Q. How does the rulemaking, in your view,
3	affect correlative rights and the protection thereof?
4	A. Well, the premature plugging of wells will
5	affect the correlative rights of all of the owners and
6	working interest owners and royalty interest owners of
7	the wells that are prematurely abandoned.
8	And that takes me back to my old OCD
9	practice days, where we would the last question we
10	would ask our expert is, "In your opinion, does the
11	application protect correlative rights, avoid the
12	issue of waste, and avoid the drilling of unnecessary
13	wells?" And that was in the OCD bible back then.
14	Because one of the main purposes of the
15	OCD to protect correlative rights was to prevent the
16	economic waste caused by drilling of unnecessary
17	wells. That's where we ended up getting pooling
18	agreements, communitization agreements, units, because
19	that gave all of the owners an equal opportunity to
20	produce their resource.
21	Q. Thank you. Moving to the next slide,
22	please. The next area of your testimony, would you
23	just get the headline to the Commission?
24	A. Large-scale single well bonding does not
25	work with standard industry contracts.
	D 20F
	Page 225

1	Q. And have you detected sort of an underlying
2	assumption in some of the testimony about operators
3	that you've been hearing in this perceiving that
4	A. Yeah. Everybody assumes that the operator
5	owns 100 percent of the well. I think Mr. Armstrong
6	made it clear earlier this afternoon, that that is
7	rarely the case, especially with members of the
8	Independent Petroleum Association of New Mexico.
9	Q. How much can an operator own in a well?
10	A. He can own it all.
11	Q. And how little?
12	A. Anything above zero.
13	Q. Why do you think this assumption is
14	important, or challenging this assumption?
15	A. Well, having been an investor in oil and gas
16	projects, being proposed by small independents in
17	southeastern New Mexico, the standard deal that you
18	would see 40 years ago was a geologist had an idea, he
19	sold his idea to somebody that could operate it. The
20	operator hit the street to either existing partners or
21	new partners. New partners were affectionately called
22	"shoe clerks," because I was one.
23	And the deal would be on a third per
24	quarter basis, meaning the investors would pay all of
25	the costs, and the operator would be carried for a

1	25 percent interest. So if I took a third interest,
2	then the operator would get a quarter.
3	And so that's how most of these wells
4	and when we're talking about older wells, that's how,
5	I'm sure, a large, large number of them were drilled.
6	And so those operators would never have had as much as
7	half.
8	Q. Thank you. And because the Commission and
9	people in this room may not be so familiar with the
LO	term "carried" or "carried to the tanks," how long
L1	would the investors carry the operator in a typical
L2	deal?
L3	A. Until payout of whatever event was in the
L4	agreement that was made before going into it. And the
L5	two most likely would be carried through the tanks.
L6	That means the operator never put a dime of his own
L7	money into it until the well was completed and
L8	producing into the newly acquired tank.
L9	The other one would be the carrying
20	interest would go until the casing point election.
21	The casing point election is a creature of a joint
22	operating agreement, which is well, get those
23	later. But at any rate, when a well is drilled to the
24	casing point, then a joint operating agreement makes
25	the operator notify all of the non-ops that total

1 depth has been reached and he is electing to run 2 casing and make a completion attempt. 3 And each of the non-operators has the ability at that point to say, "Nope, I don't want to 4 5 do it, I don't like the looks of the logs, " whatever, and they don't have to participate in those costs. 6 Then it gets a little more complicated if somebody 8 doesn't want to do that. Then his interest gets 9 spread to everybody else, unless somebody else doesn't Then it tumbles down. At any rate, then the 10 want it. 11 well gets completed. 12 Q. So, if we can move to the next slide, what 13 are the, what are the form contracts or agreements that you wanted to describe to the Commission? 14 15 A. Well, the most common one was the one we 16 just mentioned, and that would be a joint operating 17 agreement. When I first came to work, most of the 18 older wells in New Mexico had been drilled under a 19 20 form that was developed after years and years of production. But the American Association of Petroleum 21 22 Landmen realized that every state had their own quote, unquote, standard kind of deal, and they thought it 23 24 would be a good idea to bring uniformity to it. 25 And so they came up with a form joint

Τ	operating agreement in 1956, and that was very
2	prominently used in New Mexico until later.
3	Q. And we'll get to the developments of that in
4	a minute. But that'll say on this slide, the AAPL
5	Form 610 Operating Agreement Form?
6	A. Yes. 610 has been left. Yes, there it is.
7	Q. I believe next you wanted to testify about a
8	common attachment to that form?
9	A. Once there was a joint operating agreement
10	in place, in order to compensate the operator for the
11	costs that he would incur, there was an agreed-upon
12	overhead rate. And years and years ago, it was common
13	to see a \$5,000 per month drilling overhead rate and a
14	\$500 a month producing overhead rate. And that
15	overhead charge would be what was supposed to cover
16	the operator's costs in getting the well drilled and
17	going.
18	Obviously, they it used to be on
19	shallow wells in southeast New Mexico. You could
20	almost bet on 500 and 5,000 the overhead rates.
21	Q. And where is this overhead rate found in the
22	contracts between the operators and the non-operators?
23	A. It is found in an agreement called a COPAS,
24	C-O-P-A-S, which is the Council on Petroleum, what,
25	C-O-P, Accounting Societies.
	Page 229
	rage 229

1	Q. Let's go to the next slide. In the COPAS
2	form, where would you find the COPAS form agreement
3	between parties, typically?
4	A. It'd be attached as Exhibit B or C to the
5	JOA.
6	Q. So you had mentioned the '56 form agreement.
7	And you expand on that for the Commission?
8	A. Well, as technology improved and people had
9	better ideas, the AAPL ended up revising its form JOA
10	several times. The 1956, 1977. There's a typo, 1981
11	should be a 1982. 1989 and then a couple in 2016. I
12	don't really know that we need to spend a lot of time
13	on any of them except maybe the '56 and the '77
14	because all of these, all of the wells that we're
15	talking about, in my opinion, will have been drilled
16	long before the publication of these other JOAs.
17	Q. And tell the Commission if once a new JOA
18	form came out from the American Association of
19	Petroleum Landmen, would you expect that no more joint
20	operating agreements would be used under the old form
21	and the new form would be adopted?
22	A. You would use the old form until you ran out
23	of copies so you wouldn't have to buy any of the new
24	ones. The forms provided I mean, if there were
25	if there was something specific to a particular

operator, he'd just plug it in even by hand in Article
15, which is other provisions. And so if there was
something somebody didn't like about a '77 form,
they'd just fix it themselves.
Q. Let's cover the evolution of the COPAS forms
on the slide and then let the hearing officer know
that we're ready for a break?
A. Okay. As with the JOAs, the COPAS the
accounting people kept improving theirs, '62, '72,
'84, '95, 2005 and then a new one in to 2021. They
are better marketers of their product than the AAPL.
So when they came out with a subsequent COPAS form
they stopped selling the earlier ones and they forced
people to buy the new ones.
Q. And is there a form or draft that you would
expect to see more commonly in New Mexico of the COPAS
in vertical well production that
A. Again, I would say the '74 form would be the
most in my experience, the most commonly used
COPAS.
MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you.
Madam Hearing Officer, we're at a
breaking point. It's 3:35, according to my computer.
HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Great. Thank you so
much. We'll come back at ten of 4:00 and then we'll
Page 231

1	take public comment.
2	(Recess held from 3:36 to 3:50 p.m.)
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Cloutier, if you
4	would lead Mr. Ezzell through approximately another
5	10 minutes.
6	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Madam Hearing
7	Officer. Happy to do so. We're still on the same
8	slide.
9	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
LO	Q. Mr. Ezzell, on the COPAS agreement, which do
L1	you find to be most common in vertical wells in
L2	New Mexico in your experience? And explain to the
L3	Commission why that is?
L4	A. I would say the 1974 form, as I stated,
L5	because of the age. It's also getting to the point
L6	where people started using computers and they would
L7	put the form on their computer and not have to buy
L8	anymore. And then they could incorporate all of that
L9	company's little pet changes in Article 15.
20	There was actually, years ago, a famous
21	story about an operator that got good enough with
22	their computer that they actually changed the wording
23	of the forms, but did it in the same size font and
24	with the same type to lead their non-ops into thinking
25	that it was just a printed form. But I'm sure that's

1	just apocryphal.
2	Q. Other than that particular change, would you
3	see changes to these types of forms, and how would
4	they be done?
5	A. Well, by negotiation.
6	Q. Right?
7	A. Anything from take it or leave it if you
8	want to be in this well, or between two larger
9	companies that are working on a joint development and
10	sharing, you know, "you operate the east half, I'll
11	operate the west half, and we'll do it on the same
12	form."
13	Q. I know we can't be absolute, but you're
14	going to testify to the Commission about certain
15	provisions under each of these forms. Would it be
16	common to see material changes in the provisions that
17	we're going to be talking with the Commission about?
18	A. Some, yes, and some, no.
19	Q. Okay. Good, if you'd highlight those when
20	you could?
21	Moving to the next slide, please. How
22	does the plugging and abandonment of a well work under
23	a standard form joint operating agreement? And I know
24	I'm inviting a lengthy answer, but please explain.
25	A. There are two principal ways. One, it's

1	abandonment of a well that is not produced, and the
2	other is abandonment of the one that has produced.
3	Q. Why don't we focus on one that has produced
4	here for our purposes, since I don't think
5	non-production ever is particularly relevant to this
б	proceeding?
7	A. On a well that is produced, as I think I
8	said earlier, it cannot be plugged without the consent
9	of 100 percent of the parties. A 1 percenter can say,
10	"No, I don't want to plug it," and then they will be
11	required to take over operations.
12	Q. Assuming everybody does consent, what is the
13	operator typically allowed to do with respect to the
14	costs of plugging and abandonment with the
15	non-operators?
16	A. There is a provision in the JOA that puts a
17	limitation on the operator's right to spend joint
18	account money with a dollar amount. And so if the
19	plugging operation is going to exceed that,
20	technically the operator would have to circulate an
21	AFE showing the costs of the plugging job and have all
22	of his non-ops, the consenting non-ops to the
23	plugging, sign off on it. Then he could bill them in
24	advance, or he could bill them as the plugging was
25	happening, or he could bill them 30 days later.

1	Q. Okay. And I think we've heard the term
2	"AFE" once in this proceeding. I'm not sure it was
3	clearly defined?
4	A. Authorization for expenditure.
5	Q. All right. What is your opinion as to an
6	operator's ability to bill the non-ops under the
7	plugging and abandonment provisions of the joint
8	operating agreement for a bond to cover the cost of
9	plugging and abandoning a well?
10	A. There is absolutely no precedent for it.
11	Q. Traditionally as a working interest investor
12	in oil and gas wells, how have you seen the cost of
13	bonding handled in billings to you as a non-operating
14	working interest owner, as you're referring to it, a
15	non-op?
16	A. I have never seen any bond cost passed on to
17	me.
18	Q. Next slide. What is your opinion about an
19	operator's ability to charge for single-well bonding
20	to its non-ops under the standard form COPAS, Exhibit
21	B's or C's, to operating agreements?
22	A. I do not think they can. Fixed overhead.
23	We touched on it earlier. Fixed overhead basically is
24	the income that the operator is going to get to
25	conduct his business. And so that's why operators

1	like to have lots of wells, because they generate an
2	income stream that will hopefully help pay staff and
3	office rent and things like that.
4	The only things you get to bill under
5	the standard forms are direct expenses for the
6	operations conducted under the agreement.
7	Q. And I believe in your direct testimony that
8	you testified that well, strike that?
9	Are you aware of any case law or other
10	legal authority that would support the idea that
11	single-well bonding could be billed to non-operating
12	working interest owners under a JOA or COPAS
13	agreement?
14	A. No. The only thing I could possibly think
15	of would be a dispute that arose over someone's
16	attempt to put it to add it to the agreement. But
17	as far as the printed forms are concerned, it's just
18	never been an issue.
19	Q. Next slide, please. So would you explain
20	the purpose to the Commission the reasons for
21	testifying to the Commission about these standard
22	forms of oil and gas agreements, the JOA forms and the
23	COPAS forms?
24	A. Well, it's because that's what governs the
25	operations of all these wells that this proposed
	Page 236

1	rulemaking will affect. Everybody agrees that the
2	cost of bonding, if this rule is adopted, will
3	increase dramatically. And the question is, who's
4	going to pay it.
5	MR. HALL: Objection. That mischaracterizes
	_
6	the evidence. Not everyone agrees it will increase
7	it dramatically.
8	The WITNESS: My bad.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Cloutier.
10	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
11	Q. Without adjectives or adverbs, Mr. Ezzell,
12	would you explain to the Commission the purpose of
13	your testimony?
14	A. As we touched on earlier, there seems to
15	it's just one size doesn't fit all. There are
16	operators that will not operate unless they have 75,
17	80 bump, huge percentage of the well. There are
18	operators that operate with much less.
19	If it, in fact, is under the COPAS
20	agreement to be a cost of doing business that you're
21	bonded, then operators that have lower working
22	interests in the well will be incentivized to
23	prematurely abandon or to resign as operator.
24	And then the resignation of operator,
25	that's governed under the JOAs. And under the

1	standard language there, the operator could resign
2	without permission from anybody, and the non-ops have
3	to select another operator. But if they don't, they
4	can require, not ask but require, that the resigned
5	operator stay on and conduct the operations.
6	So if that well in that hypothetical
7	becomes subject to \$150,000 bond, who's going to pay
8	it? The operator, that's not the operator, but who is
9	being forced to act as the operator? I don't have an
10	answer for that?
11	MR. CLOUTIER: Madam Hearing Officer, I
12	believe we're at public comment time and so a good
13	place to stop.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you so much,
15	Mr. Cloutier.
16	I don't see anyone in the room,
17	Mr. Ezzell, so you can stay where you are. I believe
18	all of our commenters are on the platform.
19	This is a comment period in which we
20	have an interpreter. Amelia, if you would unmute
21	yourself and say something about your ability to
22	provide interpretation for this comment period in
23	Spanish, please.
24	THE INTERPRETER: Yes. Hearing Officer, can
25	everybody hear me okay?

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Yes, thank you.
2	THE INTERPRETER: Perfect. My name is
3	Amelia Cardena. I'm a Spanish interpreter and I will
4	be available to interpret for anybody who wants to
5	provide their comment in Spanish.
6	(The Interpreter repeats
7	statement in Spanish.)
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
9	Ms. Cardena.
10	Let's see. Sheila has pulled up Marc
11	Nonnenkamp.
12	There are just a few things about public
13	comment. I will ask you to spell your first and last
14	name for the transcript. I will ask you to swear or
15	affirm to tell the truth, pursuant to the
16	Commission's requirement.
17	And I'll ask you to keep your comments
18	to three minutes. In the event you have more to say,
19	please submit those comments in writing to Sheila
20	Apodaca, the Commission administrator.
21	So, Mr. Nonnenkamp, can you unmute
22	yourself? Hello? It appears you're on mute.
23	MR. NONNENKAMP: Okay. Yeah, my name is
24	Mark Nonnenkamp. It's M-A-R-C, first name. Last
25	name is N-O-N-N-E-N-K-A-M-P.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
2	affirm to tell the truth?
3	MR. NONNENKAMP: I do.
4	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Go ahead.
5	I'll start your time.
6	MR. NONNENKAMP: Hello, my name is Marc
7	Nonnenkamp. I've lived in New Mexico for 23 years.
8	I have an undergraduate degree from the University of
9	California at Berkeley and a master's degree in
10	business administration from the College of William &
11	Mary in Virginia.
12	I'm retired from the State of
13	New Mexico, where I worked for 20 years; the majority
14	of which was with the tax and revenue department,
15	audit and compliance Division. I first worked with
16	oil and gas compliance and then with the federal
17	royalty audit bureau as an auditor.
18	I know that a financially strong oil and
19	gas industry is very important to the State of
20	New Mexico as it provides such a major portion of our
21	government's revenue, which, in turn, flows down to
22	local governments on the county and city level,
23	funding education, health care, fire departments,
24	police departments and public libraries.
25	New Mexico is a relatively less-affluent

,	
1	state, with a smaller population. And a strong local
2	oil and gas industry also provides many good-paying
3	jobs, which, in turn, supports the local economy and
4	our tax base. The success of the oil and gas
5	industry contributes to the success of New Mexico.
6	I thank you for all of your hard work in
7	state government in helping to make New Mexico work
8	and function well for all New Mexicans.
9	I hope that the agencies of state
LO	government do not impose regulations on the industry
L1	which will in any way diminish what the industry has
L2	provided and continues to provide to the State of
L3	New Mexico and all of its stakeholders.
L4	I encourage you to continue to help keep
L5	the oil and gas industry strong in New Mexico so that
L6	it will continue to benefit all New Mexicans. And I
L7	do thank you for your time.
L8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
L9	Mr. Nonnenkamp:
20	We'll move next to Aurea Kasberg.
21	Ms. Kasberg, if you would unmute yourself.
22	MS. KASBERG: I am unmuted.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Hello. Would you
24	spell your first and last name for the transcript,
25	please.

1	A. Yes, it's A-U-R-E-A, then Kasberg,
2	K-A-S-B-E-R-G.
3	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear of
4	affirm to tell the truth?
5	MS. KASBERG: I do.
6	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
7	start your time.
8	MS. KASBERG: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
9	My name is Aurea Kasberg and I serve as the deputy
10	energy team director at Earthworks where I oversee
11	our team of field advocates and certified
12	thermographers across the country who document oil
13	and gas pollution using optical gas imaging
14	technology.
15	Since I joined Earthworks in 2022, I've
16	been on field trips to the New Mexico Permian Basin
17	and to the Four Corners region to document otherwise
18	invisible pollutants coming from the oil fields.
19	Each visit leaves me deeply unsettled.
20	You don't just see the problem, you smell it, you
21	feel it. The air burns your nose, the gas clings to
22	your clothes, and the headaches hit within minutes.
23	I wish I could give you those headaches
24	just for a moment so you'd understand what residents
25	near these declining oil fields live with every day.

producing region of the country. Aging wells, leaking tanks, neglected sites, but it's especially severe here in New Mexico, where tens of thousands of wells are nearing the end of their productive life. Many of them have little or no financial assurance for cleanup.  This isn't just a New Mexico problem, but New Mexico has an opportunity to lead on this
severe here in New Mexico, where tens of thousands of wells are nearing the end of their productive life.  Many of them have little or no financial assurance for cleanup.  This isn't just a New Mexico problem,
wells are nearing the end of their productive life.  Many of them have little or no financial assurance for cleanup.  This isn't just a New Mexico problem,
Many of them have little or no financial assurance for cleanup.  This isn't just a New Mexico problem,
for cleanup.  This isn't just a New Mexico problem,
This isn't just a New Mexico problem,
but New Mexico has an opportunity to lead on this
issue. The state can show what responsible modern
oil and gas regulation looks like by requiring
companies to post realistic cleanup bonds before they
drill. This isn't radical, it's common sense.
Right now, the system doesn't come close
to covering the true cost of plugging and restoring
wells, which means the burden falls to the taxpayers
and communities already living with pollution. We
need holistic solutions that reflect the full
lifecycle of oil and gas, from drilling to cleanup,
and that protect people, water and air along with it.
When I return to the Permian in 2026, I
hope to see a region where companies are finally held
accountable for the mess they've made.
Thank you for your time and your
consideration in these critical rule updates.

1	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
2	Ms. Kaasper0.
3	Next, we'll hear from Katherine Harris.
4	Ms. Harris, can you unmute yourself?
5	MS. HARRIS: Yes. Hi. Catherine,
6	C-A-T-H-E-R-I-N-E. Harris, H-A-R-R-I-S.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you square our
8	firm to tell the truth?
9	MS. HARRIS: Yes, I do.
L O	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
L1	start your time.
L2	MS. HARRIS: Thank you for allowing me the
L3	time to speak before the New Mexico Oil Conservation
L4	Committee today. My name is Katherine Harris and I
L5	have lived in New Mexico for over 65 years.
L6	My husband and I have raised our family
L7	here in San Juan County where we've built our lives
L8	and contribute to our community. My husband has
L9	worked in the oil and gas industry for more than 50
20	years. We also have family members who continue to
21	live and work in this area and like so many others in
22	this area are directly tied to and rely on the energy
23	industry.
24	Oil and gas has long been the backbone
25	for the New Mexico economy. The state receives over

1	35 to 40 percent of its revenue direct from the
2	industry and additional revenue from adjacent
3	businesses that support the oil and gas industry. So
4	that takes the revenue over 50 percent.
5	These funds are essential to New Mexico
6	public services, such as education, health care,
7	emergency response systems, streets and roads, et
8	cetera.
9	Our schools, in particular, rely heavily
10	on this funding. As a lifetime New Mexican and a
11	grandmother who care deeply about the future of our
12	children, I am concerned about what reducing revenue
13	by imposing more restricted policies and imposing
14	more regulation, what that would mean to our schools
15	and our community.
16	The oil and gas industry has not only
17	provided jobs and opportunity, but also helps support
18	the various system that makes our state thrive. As
19	you consider future energy policies, I urge you not
20	to impose more restrictions and regulation, but
21	remember the families and schools in the community
22	who depend on the stability of this industry.
23	Again, thank you for your time and for
24	listening to me, a voice from San Juan County.
25	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,

1	Ms. Harris.
2	Let's see, is this Nancy Martinez? Can
3	you unmute yourself? The only Nancy I had sign up.
4	Let's see, is that you, Ms. Martinez? Hello.
5	MS. MARTINEZ: I'm here. I see if I can get
6	my camera on.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: All right.
8	MS. MARTINEZ: Okay. There I am. So my
9	name is Nancy Martinez. It's N-A-N-C-Y,
10	M-A-R-T-I-N-E-Z.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. Do you
12	swear or affirm to tell the truth?
13	MS. MARTINEZ: I do.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: I'll start your time.
15	MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Good afternoon.
16	I live with my husband in Farmington, San Juan
17	County. Years ago, my father Merle Williams, who was
18	a World War II veteran and was at Pearl Harbor the
19	morning that it was bombed, moved back to Abilene,
20	Texas, after the war, and he began working in the oil
21	and gas industry.
22	In 1956, when I was five years old, he
23	brought his growing family of seven children to
24	Farmington during the New Mexico oil and gas boom.
25	His employment provided a lucrative job with a good

1	living wage, enabling him to provide a home and all
2	the necessities of raising his large family.
3	In time, I married my husband, Larry
4	Martinez, who began his career in the oil and gas
5	industry at the age of 18. His employment in the
6	exploration of oil and gas took him all over the
7	United States and two different countries. But his
8	home and his home base was always here in Farmington.
9	We raised our eight children here. We
10	bought our home here. We sent our children to school
11	here, supported our community, and paid our taxes
12	here. He retired after 50 years in the oil and gas
13	industry at the age of 70.
14	We have seen firsthand how much the oil
15	and gas industry in New Mexico provides for our
16	families here in this state. It provides employment
17	with livable wages and economic security for our
18	community. It supports our educational system
19	through tax dollars.
20	Farmington has a community college that
21	we've witness grow over the years: San Juan College.
22	And many of the oil and gas companies in our region
23	have provided grants to our area students who are
24	either not able to afford to go away to college or
25	don't desire to leave their families in this area.

1	It also has provided jobs for the outlying
2	reservations, the Navajo Reservation, the Ute
3	Reservation, the Apache Reservation. These people
4	have worked in the oil and gas industry alongside of
5	us.
6	These companies have also donated their
7	time and dollars to our nonprofit organizations, such
8	as Child Haven and the Boys and Girls Club, both
9	organizations who provide resources for the families
10	and children in our community.
11	Last year I had to travel six and a half
12	hours away to Colorado Springs for a medical
13	procedure that two years ago I could have had done
14	here in Farmington. We're losing our medical
15	personnel in this area. The tax dollars from this
16	industry go to support Medicare and Medicaid.
17	Recently a law passed providing for
18	childcare in the state. The funds from our oil and
19	gas industry are contributing to this. If these new
20	regulations pass, where will New Mexico and our
21	families be? How will we survive without the funds
22	from this industry?
23	We need a stronger, not weaker oil and
24	gas industry going forward. Not only for the local
25	families here in Farmington, but for our whole state.

1	I'm urgently asking this Commission to
2	consider these issues, the economy and the welfare of
3	the people of the State of New Mexico. I truly
4	appreciate your attention to these details and for
5	listening to me this afternoon. And the attention
6	that you give to these concerns. Thank you.
7	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you,
8	Ms. Martinez.
9	Let's see, Dudley Jones.
10	MR. JONES: Yes, I'm here. Hello.
11	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Would you spell your
12	first and last name, please.
13	MR. JONES: First name Dudley, D-U-D-L-E-Y.
14	Last name Jones, J-O-N-E-S.
15	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do you swear or
16	affirm to tell the truth?
17	MR. JONES: I do.
18	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
19	start your time.
20	MR. JONES: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
21	Thank you for taking the time to listen to our
22	comments today.
23	As I said, my name is Dudley Jones. I
24	am a 35-year resident of Carlsbad, New Mexico. While
25	I've lived here, I've had the privilege of working in

1	various industries during my time. From 2008 to
2	2014, I was the manager of the Carlsbad Irrigation
3	District, one of the senior surface water right
4	holders on the Pecos River.
5	During that time, we, as a district,
6	were highly aware and seriously concerned with the
7	safety and timely mitigation of the brine well
8	located very near the south line of Carlsbad and very
9	near our main canal, which provided water to the
10	majority of the district.
11	As things often go when dealing with
12	corporations, corporate responsibility often
13	evaporates in a crisis and the state is left to clean
14	it up and to pay the bill, which, in this case, was
15	millions of dollars. The fact is, what you don't
16	know can hurt you and will cost a lot of money to
17	remedy.
18	Situations are far too common in the oil
19	in gas industry, and that is why I believe it is
20	prudent to hold the industry accountable. It is
21	unjust to allow state and local resources to be put
22	at risk so that the oil and gas industry can profit.
23	They are stockholders in a corporation with a primary
24	goal of making money. But the citizens of New Mexico
25	are the stakeholders. We are the ones left holding

1	the bag after the corporation has already left town
2	with their money.
3	This is why we need your help to
4	represent us, the citizens, the real stakeholders of
5	New Mexico. I want to go on record in support of the
6	increased bonding requirements, which really, in the
7	cost of doing business for the oil industry is a very
8	small portion, in spite of what you may hear from
9	other testimony.
10	Current and future generations deserve
11	to have the environment that is preserved and
12	protected for them. Thank you again for your time
13	and attention to this critical matter.
14	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Jones.
15	Next, Sandra Jones. Can you unmute
16	yourself, Ms. Jones?
17	MS. JONES: Yes. Hello. I believe I'm
18	unmuted.
19	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Great. Thank you.
20	Would you spell your first and last name for the
21	transcript.
22	MS. JONES: Yes. First name is Sandra,
23	S-A-N-D-R-A. Last name is Jones, J-O-N-E-S.
24	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Do swear or affirm to
25	tell the truth?

1	MS. JONES: I do.
2	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you. I'll
3	start your time.
4	MS. JONES: Thank you. Good afternoon. My
5	name is Sandra Jones, and I'm speaking in strong
6	support of the proposed bonding role changes. I grew
7	up at Carlsbad and I've lived here almost 55 years.
8	I am a retired employee of the waste isolation pilot
9	program.
LO	As a lifelong resident of southeast
L1	New Mexico, I've seen the changes in the environment
L2	and air quality with increased oil and gas drilling.
L3	Also, I was living here when the brine well sinkhole
L4	in Carlsbad had to be remediated at taxpayer expense
L5	because the business owner at the site went bankrupt,
L6	and state and taxpayers were left paying the bill.
L7	The current bond of \$250,000 required of
L8	oil and gas companies to clean up and plug abandoned
L9	wells does not come close to the actual cost of
20	cleanup, which was found by the legislative finance
21	committee to average \$163,000 per well with some
22	costing up to \$700,000. This leaves the public to
23	pay for plugging wells to prevent them from releasing
24	toxins into the air and water.
25	These proposed rule updates are an
	Page 252

1	opportunity to ensure that the corporations profiting
2	from New Mexico lands are also fully responsible for
3	plugging their wells. If bonding is not set high
4	enough now, there may not be enough money left for
5	cleanup at the end of the boom.
6	Please vote to adopt stronger bonding
7	rules. Thank you for your time and for the
8	opportunity to express my concerns.
9	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
10	Ms. Jones.
11	Is there anyone else on the platform who
12	would like to offer comment during this comment
13	session? We will have another one tomorrow morning
14	at 9:00 a.m. If you have dialed in using your
15	telephone, you can raise your hand by pressing star
16	5. Anyone at all? All right. Thank you.
17	Let's return to the technical case.
18	Mr. Cloutier, by my count, you're 43 minutes into the
19	hour.
20	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Madam Hearing
21	Officer.
22	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
23	Q. Moving to the next area, what's the next
24	area that you wish to talk to the Commission about?
25	Okay. We're going to skip is your microphone on

1	there? The middle button.
2	A. My mic went away.
3	Q. We've gotten some clarity on an issue that
4	you testified regarding to?
5	MR. CLOUTIER: Skip the next two slides
6	please, Mr. Everhart. And next one. Okay. And next
7	one. All right.
8	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
9	Q. You've got a number of areas you want to
10	talk about, the definition slide, I believe. It's the
11	headlines, so if we can move to the next slide and
12	start talking about your first topic, which is
13	Mr. Ezzell?
14	A. Secondary recovery unit.
14 15	A. Secondary recovery unit.  MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.
15	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.
15 16	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:
15 16 17	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.
15 16 17 18	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.  They've heard some testimony from witnesses from Oxy,
15 16 17 18	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.  They've heard some testimony from witnesses from Oxy, but can you describe your experience briefly in
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.  They've heard some testimony from witnesses from Oxy, but can you describe your experience briefly in  secondary and tertiary recovery units in New Mexico
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.  They've heard some testimony from witnesses from Oxy, but can you describe your experience briefly in secondary and tertiary recovery units in New Mexico and their significance?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.  They've heard some testimony from witnesses from Oxy, but can you describe your experience briefly in secondary and tertiary recovery units in New Mexico and their significance?  A. Well, I got a client that was putting
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. CLOUTIER: Slide please.  BY MR. CLOUTIER:  Q. So if you could explain to the Commission.  They've heard some testimony from witnesses from Oxy, but can you describe your experience briefly in secondary and tertiary recovery units in New Mexico and their significance?  A. Well, I got a client that was putting together three different San Andres secondary recovery

1 research center at New Mexico Tech. They were 2 wonderful. But they were consulting on the deal. But it's a huge task, and one of the 3 things that I learned from the PRCC engineers is 4 5 primary recovery, especially as to the San Andres 6 Formation, is likely to get 30 percent of the original oil in place. An effective waterflood is likely to 8 get 20 or 25 percent of the 70 that's left. 9 So if you are interested in putting 10 capital into a project, especially one involving 11 hydrocarbons, why wouldn't you want to go where you 12 know that there is porosity, permeability and oil in 13 Those are the three things you have to have. place? And you know that with a secondary 14 15 recovery unit because the science tells you that the 16 wells have not been depleted. What the problem is, is 17 the technology hadn't caught up. And that's why it is such an interesting subject, though. 18 19 In order to do one, even if you've got a 20 4,000-acre unit put together that may have taken you 2.1 two or three years to put together, you don't have a 22 unit operator until all of the working interest owners 23 and all the operators that have contributed wells to 2.4 the unit agree to who is going to be the unit operator. Then you have to decide on the formula for 25

1	allocation of production, which on some waterfloods
2	can get really wild.
3	But the real problem is you think it's
4	going to work, but you don't know it's going to work.
5	And so you might put together an 80- or a 160-acre
6	pilot program, and your engineers are going to tell
7	you, "Okay. Let's try a five-spot pattern," and a
8	five-spot pattern is like the dots on a dice. There
9	is one dot in the middle; that would be the production
10	well, and the four dots on the corner would be the
11	injection wells, and then there'd be another five spot
12	next to it so that the dots on the corner you can't
13	make the water just go to the center of the 40, so you
14	do this.
15	If you get a response, then you can go
16	ahead and institute full field development. But the
17	bottom line is, it's incredibly expensive to do, it
18	takes a lot of time, and without the existing
19	wellbores, it's not economic.
20	Q. Describe your thoughts next slide,
21	please on the likely consequences of the adoption
22	of the proposed rules on the formation of the
23	secondary recovery units?
24	A. I think it will be an economic barrier to
25	anybody ever wanting to try a secondary recovery unit

1 again. 2 Q. And why is that? A. Just because of the cost of bonding all of 3 those wells until they are converted to injection. 4 5 Obviously, if they stay a production well, they would have the bond, but it takes a lot of wellbores, all of 6 which are -- none of which are already injection wells 8 Because the unit hadn't been formed. So you're going 9 to have to bond every one of those wells in order to go forward with a plan. 10 11 Q. And when you're talking about this testing 12 of the hypothesis essentially, with a single set of 13 injector wells in a production well, what's happening with the other wells in the unit at that point? 14 15 A. If they can make anything, they're making 16 anything, they're making it. But otherwise, they're 17 probably TA. 18 Q. And in your experience with those three, the 19 secondary recovery units that you had so much 20 involvement in, I take it you probably would not be able to rattle off the production figures of every 21 22 well in that unit sitting here today? 23 That was a long time ago. A. No. 2.4 Q. Do you have a sense of where they would fall in the definition of marginal or not marginal under 25 Page 257

1	these rules and beneficial use
2	A. Prior to utilization?
3	Q. Yes, sir?
4	A. I would say the vast majority would qualify
5	as marginal wells.
6	MR. CLOUTIER: Next slide, please
7	Mr. Everhart.
8	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
9	Q. So we have to take a quick step back. I
10	realize that this is moving fast. But In a typical
11	oil and gas lease in New Mexico, what's the term and
12	how is it different if you establish a gas well
13	production versus an oil well production?
14	A. Well, most oil and gas leases allow you to
15	to are for oil and/or gas. They have a term of
16	years. And after a discovery is made, then the lease
17	is perpetuated for as long as the oil or gas is
18	capable of being produced in paying quantities.
19	The distinction between oils wells and
20	gas wells lies in the fact primarily that you can't
21	load up a tanker truck with gas; you have to have a
22	pipeline to take it away. And if you have drilled in
23	a new area of a basin and you make a highly prolific
24	gas well and then there's no pipeline to take away the
25	gas, you have to avail yourself of what's called the

"shut-in royalty clause."

2.1

2.4

The shut-in royalty clause is applicable to gas production only. And if gas is capable of being produced but can't be for any of those reasons, lack of a market, that would apply to constraint take away as well if you did have a pipeline, then you can shut the well in and pay the lessor the agreed-upon shut-in royalty payment that will keep the lease in effect.

Q. Thank you. And moving to the next slide, you talked about constrained takeaway. What are the reasons why an operator might take advantage of the shut-in royalty clause and refuse to produce a well that's productive and hooked up to a midstream gathering system?

A. Because it might -- in a low price situation -- because the price of gas fluctuates vastly more than the price of oil. It used to be -- before the stepping away from coal and using natural gas for electricity generation, it used to be that you could almost plot the price of gas as to what the natural gas -- what it was going to be during the winter heating months, as compared to what it's going to be in the summer, when it's hot. Because the bulk of the gas was used for heating.

1	In that low-production environment, it
2	would be economic to shut in the well, pay the shut-in
3	royalty and wait for prices to improve, and that would
4	benefit not only you and your working interest, owners
5	but also the royalty owners, whether they be federal,
6	state or fee?
7	Q. You mentioned historically. Have we seen
8	periods of low prices in New Mexico gas productions
9	for reasons other than the seasonal fluctuation more
10	recently?
11	A. Yes. The takeaway capacity. That was a
12	huge issue a couple of years ago. In many cases, you
13	had to pay the gathering system to take your gas. It
14	had a negative value, but if you had been drilling
15	horizontals, Bone Springs, Wolfcamp, whatever wells
16	that made oil and gas, you had to do something with
17	the gas, because otherwise you'd have to shut in a
18	highly productive oil well.
19	So you would pay the freight to have one
20	of the gathering systems or midstreams take your gas
21	off your hands so you could produce your oil.
22	Q. So what would a prudent operator of a gas
23	well, historically producing gas well, do in those
24	low-price environments.
25	A. Especially when it's negative, the

1 prevention of waste would dictate that you shut it in. 2 O. And what effect would this rulemaking, in 3 your view, have on those sorts of decisions? 4 A. I think, as Mr. Armstrong touched on, you 5 don't know -- when you shut a well in, you don't know 6 how long it's going to be shut in. 7 And the whole proposed amendments focus 8 on lines in the sand, X number of barrels of equivalent, X number of days. And that just doesn't 9 work unless, unless you -- well, it needs to be on a 10 11 case-by-case basis because it doesn't work even just 12 comparing marginal oil wells to marginal gas wells, 13 even though you've got the conversion rate, because they are different animals. 14 15 And I think Mr. Armstrong touched on 16 this, too. Gas wells rely on the pressure from the 17 formation to get the gas out of the wellbore. And in a low-production gas well, many operators have found 18 19 that if they shut it in for a day and then come back a 20 week later and open it back up, the pressure has built 2.1 up enough to deliver as much or more gas than it would 22 have made if you just left it on for the whole week. 23 And so that is another area where the prevention of waste would dictate that a prudent 2.4 operator shut in a gas well. 25 Page 261

1	Q. And you were here for Mr. Armstrong's
2	testimony about constraint takeaway resulting from
3	maintenance or problems with the midstream company?
4	A. Exactly. Because if midstream goes down,
5	you don't know how long it's going to be down.
6	Q. And how much control does an operator have
7	over the midstream company?
8	A. Zero.
9	MR. CLOUTIER: If we can move ahead. It's
10	going to slide 31 to reworking and re-completion.
11	One more. There we got.
12	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
13	Q. Would you explain to the Commission the
14	difference between reworking and re-completion?
15	A. Well, they always find themselves in a
16	sentence together with an ampersand, but they're
17	totally different animals.
18	Reworking is going back into the well to
19	the productive zone that you have already established
20	and doing something, either mechanical or chemical or
21	something, in order to increase the production from
22	that zone. It can be a newly designed frac job, a
23	refrac, or just a hot oil job, or a chemical
24	stimulation.
25	Q. Let's move on to

1	A. On the other hand, a re-completion is when
2	you go out of the zone that you have been producing
3	into another zone, generally uphole, but it doesn't
4	have to be, and read it as though it's an initial
5	completion. You perforate it, you acidize it, you
6	frac it if you want to. And if you're lucky, it
7	produces as well as the zone you've left, and so you
8	pull your bridge plug and produce them both together.
9	Q. How do you accomplish these activities under
10	standard JOA that we talked about?
11	A. It is a well again, depending on the
12	cost. Because, as we've established, the JOAs have
13	that cost ceiling beyond which the operator can't
14	spend the non-ops' money without their consent. But
15	if it is an expensive one, it would circulate AFEs,
16	and the JOA would give each of the parties 30 or 60
17	days, 30 in most cases, to elect whether to consent to
18	the operation or not. And then you would go out and
19	find the service companies to do whatever the proposed
20	operation was.
21	MS. JONES: Mr. Cloutier, you've got about
22	four minutes.
23	MR. CLOUTIER: I've got this one and one
24	more issue on direct, and then I've got a very short
25	rebuttal and some surrebuttal.

Т	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
2	Q. Tell the Commission briefly how a prudent
3	operator might approach reworking or re-completion
4	without this rulemaking and how the rulemaking would
5	affect it?
6	A. Well, reworking and re-completing,
7	especially wells that are toward the end of their
8	apparent initial life, is a gamble. Because if it
9	doesn't work, then you're going to have to either plug
10	the well or bond the well.
11	If the proposed rules are adopted, that
12	decision is going to be taken out of the operator's
13	hands in most cases, because he won't be able to
14	afford the bond in order to keep the well, to try to
15	do a re-completion and rework.
16	Mr. HALL: Objection, Madam Hearing Officer.
17	I think that the financial assurance and who can
18	afford what is way outside of the scope of any
19	provided direct or rebuttal testimony.
20	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Mr. Cloutier.
21	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
22	Q. You can certainly reword the last bit in
23	light of that objection, Mr. Ezzell? What is the risk
24	to the State of New Mexico, not for all operators, but
25	for some.

1	A. Premature abandonment of wells, which is
2	waste?
3	Q. All right. We've heard in this Commission
4	hearing, and you've testified a little bit about the
5	production in paying quantities doctrine. And this is
6	the last issue I want you to talk about?
7	What is it and how does this rulemaking
8	affect it?
9	A. Basically, the rulemaking, if adopted, would
LO	conflict with long-established common law principles
L1	on the validity of oil and gas leases. The test is
L2	whether a well is capable of production.
L3	And there's a famous case, the Clifton
L4	case, in Texas, that has been adopted in New Mexico
L5	more than once, that says the test of production in
L6	paying quantities is, is the well capable of
L7	production in paying quantities over a given period of
L8	time.
L9	The Clifton court said at least 12
20	months, but possibly up to many, many times that. And
21	that is because between price fluctuations and
22	technological advances, the production is not a
23	straight line. Here's how many dollars you're going
24	to get for a 12-month period. It fluctuates based on
25	production and the price of the product.

1	Q. And so this reasonable period of time could
2	be longer than 12 months under that?
3	A. Yes. I think the court said up to dozens of
4	years, tens of years.
5	MR. CLOUTIER: That concludes Mr. Ezzell's
6	direct testimony, Madam Hearing Officer. We'll move
7	to some brief rebuttal and then surrebuttal.
8	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
9	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
LO	Q. All right. Mr. Ezzell, you testified in
L1	rebuttal. I think there's been some changes to the
L2	rulemaking, but you testified concerning your concerns
L3	about the approval of new operators or acquisitions?
L4	Can you indicate to the Commission what
L5	your remaining concerns are after reading applicant's
L6	37? 72, I mean.
L7	A. The quoted language says it all, "Unresolved
L8	adjudicated orders and unresolved settlements for any
L9	state or federal violation."
20	Well, first of all, I don't know that we
21	have the authority to make other states divulge their
22	violation history. Or some states might consider that
23	to be an invasion of individual or corporate privacy
24	laws. But what does unresolved first of all, what
25	does adjudicated mean? Is it a hearing? Does it have

1	to go to a state director appeal? What does it take?
2	And I can enter into a settlement for a violation with
3	or without it being deemed adjudicated.
4	And if I am performing under that
5	settlement, the violation is still unresolved, but
6	it's like getting a deferred sentence on a speeding
7	ticket, if you don't do it again in six months, you're
8	good. And so what would we do if another state had an
9	operator that wanted to come here that was in that
10	situation, had made a mistake, had had a violation and
11	was in the process of fixing it and wanted to come
12	here?
13	MR. CLOUTIER: We could move two more
14	slides, please, Mr. Everhart.
15	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
16	Q. We focused on this this morning. I don't
17	think you were here with Mr. Winchester. But there's
18	a proposal to amend Rule 19.15.8.9A and got the quoted
19	language up there. What are your concerns with this
20	language?
21	A. Well, first of all, "proceed with any
22	proposed acquisition," that's probably going to be
23	activity that isn't occurring in New Mexico anyway, or
24	at least one of the parties isn't.
25	And it also causes a problem for me

1	because a big part of the business right now is
2	mergers and acquisitions. And if this proposed rule
3	gets enacted, I think we've heard testimony that it's
4	likely to have a lot of operators want to quit being
5	operators, and so they're going to want to sell their
6	inventory.
7	And that is done in a number of ways,
8	either a private party transaction or the operator
9	that has assets for sale or the entirety of his
10	assets, gets a broker to come in, digitize all of his
11	records, set up a virtual data room and then open it
12	to bids, which needed to be submitted by such and such
13	date.
14	Now, a strict interpretation of the
15	proposed language would mean every prospective bidder
16	would have to establish financial assurance before
17	they could bid on that package. And nobody would do
18	that. Again, promoting waste.
19	MR. CLOUTIER: Thank you, Mr. Ezzell.
20	Madam Hearing Officer, now we'll move to
21	some surrebuttal slides that were uploaded and served
22	this morning.
23	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you.
24	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
25	Q. Mr. Ezzell, I'm going to run through these
	Page 268

1	with you. First of all, concerning Mr. Alexander's
2	definition of the term "speculative?"
3	A. I was actually listening on the Teams
4	meeting to that, and when I heard that and the
5	definition was something like, "Done based on a guess
6	instead of information," and he had said, you know,
7	"We just went to the straight dictionary definition."
8	I went, what dictionary would have used that
9	definition for speculative? And so I started Googling
LO	it.
L1	I quit watching the team's meeting and
L2	started Googling dictionary.com and found Merriam
L3	Webster dictionary and his definition as the first
L4	definition. And the second definition was bought or
L5	done to make a profit in the future.
L6	And I'm going, okay, there is absolutely
L7	no profit margin in one definition of motive in one
L8	definition. And it is only a profit motive in the
L9	other definition of speculative. And yet speculative
20	plays a pretty big part in this proposed rulemaking.
21	Q. All right. Next slide, please. Next
22	concerning Mr. Alexander's testimony regarding
23	inactive wells generally being put back in use within
24	eight years. What comments do you have regarding that
25	testimony?

1	A. Well, if I think he focused on high
2	demand or decline curves on horizontal wells. And
3	this kind of goes back to my testimony on secondary
4	and tertiary recovery, is when all the shale horizons
5	have done their best, producers and operators are
6	going to want to go back to the old vertical fields
7	and go back to recovering the oil that they know is
8	there.
9	And hopefully the technology will
10	advance that will make it easier to get greater
11	ultimate recoveries out of these old vertical
12	formations.
13	Q. And what effect do you think that has on the
14	testimony that Mr. Alexander Crawford?
15	A. I don't know what he based that on. But I
16	think it could go much longer, in my view.
17	Q. That's the one I was looking for. Thank
18	you, Mr. Ezzell?
19	Next slide, please. Mr. Morgan
20	commented regarding the collateral requirements for
21	financial assurances, and I think that Mr. Gilstrap
22	today testified concerning basically sureties wanting
23	either cash or letters of credit.
24	How would a standard operator, in your
25	experience, be able to obtain a letter of credit and
	Page 270

what effects would that have on the operations?
A. If the operator had to increase the cost of
bonding drastically, he would have to either access
his line of credit, which, by the way, is almost
guaranteed to have been already pledged to securitize
that line of credit, and then go try to get a surety
that would either agree to be in second position on
the same collateral or that would top his bank in
being in the second position on the same collateral.
And that's not going to happen.
Q. And what would happen to the operator's line
of credit if the bank issued a, say, irrevocable
letter of credit on that line of credit? What would
happen? What effect would that have on an operator?
Why do they have a line of credit and what would
happen reducing it with a
A. Well, then you wouldn't have the cash on
hand to do any development that he would be required
to do in order to keep the wells that he just bonded
from becoming more marginal.
Q. Thank you. Next slide, please. This is a
two-part slide, but we're showing the portions of
applicant's Exhibit 72 concerning the changes to
19.15.8.9E. And in particular, the strikeout language

1	understand the effect of that strikeout to be on the
2	inactive wells and approved and expired temporary
3	abandoned status wells?
4	A. Well, it looks like, just by reading it,
5	that the federal wells would no longer be excluded
6	from the proposed rulemaking.
7	MR. CLOUTIER: And if you'd show the next
8	slide.
9	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
10	Q. Explain to the Commission why you believe
11	that. And call your attention to the language just
12	before the red in Subparagraph A of the same
13	rulemaking?
14	A. And that is if it is covered by a federally
15	required bond. But they are not allowed to proceed
16	with any proposed drilling until it's furnished the
17	financial assurance. And that looks like it's asking
18	for it twice.
19	Mr. HALL: Objection. I know we're at the
20	end here, but what is he rebutting?
21	MR. CLOUTIER: This is the testimony that
22	federal wells are exempt from the rulemaking.
23	Mr. HALL: Well, then optional completeness.
24	I would ask the part that says "unless the well is
25	covered by federally required financial assurance" be

1	read into the record.
2	MR. CLOUTIER: And that's the language we're
3	focusing on.
4	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
5	Q. And what is the effect of striking the
6	reference to this subsection and the prior section,
7	Mr. Ezzell?
8	A. If that subsection is stricken, it's not in
9	the regulation anymore.
10	Q. As to those wells covered by Subsection 8?
11	MR. CLOUTIER: If we can move to slide
12	number 7 here.
13	BY MR. CLOUTIER:
14	Q. Mr. Purvis and Mr. Morgan offered testimony
15	about single-well bonds or using a single instrument
16	if the operator's portfolio increased to this 15
17	percent threshold that you heard Mr. Armstrong
18	testifying about. What observation do you have about
19	that testimony?
20	A. Well, if you are required to have
21	single-well bonds and you find a surety that will do
22	it for you and put it all under one instrument, that
23	makes it a blanket bond.
24	Q. Thank you. There was a question, next
25	slide, posed by Commissioner Bloom to a witness about

1	the use of the permanent fund to plug in abandoned
2	wells. Do you have any additional color to add to
3	that?
4	A. It can't be.
5	Q. Why not?
6	A. Well, the permanent fund is constitutionally
7	created, and the beneficiaries and the amounts that
8	they get are constitutionally dictated. And it would
9	take a constitutional amendment. And I know we're
10	happy to do those every once in a while, but it would
11	take another constitutional amendment to raid the
12	permanent fund again for this purpose.
13	Q. Thank you. Next slide. Mr. Powell offered
14	commentary that the rulemaking would prevent waste by
15	forcing operators to plug low-producing wells to
16	either they or a different operator develop other
17	horizons. What do you think about that theory of the
18	rulemaking preventing waste?
19	A. I think they're looking pretty hard for a
20	pig to hang. We prevent waste, too. If the
21	low-producing well can be produced economically, it
22	needs to be produced until it can't. And it may be a
23	part of the plan that those wells are holding lease
24	for the same operator to come in and do that
25	development. But it's that operator's property right.

1	And if they're using that well to hold the lease,
2	that's their contractual right to do.
3	Q. What happens to the oil and gas in place in
4	a different horizon if there is any, while the
5	operator is producing the low-producing well from
6	another horizon?
7	A. It's still there.
8	Q. Does it disappear over the course of time?
9	A. No. If the other well gets plugged, it
LO	might get left in the ground. And I know that's a
L1	term we don't like to use.
L2	Q. Next slide, please. Mr. Powell offered a
L3	very similar theory about protecting correlative
L4	rights by allowing the other owners of the interests
L5	in the minerals to have their other minerals
L6	developed. Do you have any comments on that?
L7	A. Well, the non-op can propose operations just
L8	like the operator can. And if it's drilling a new
L9	well, a non-op can propose the drilling of a new well.
20	If the operator doesn't want to drill it, then the
21	consenting non-ops can make him drill it for him.
22	Much like a plugging, they can force
23	additional development, and especially if they've got
24	a mineral owner saying he might not be producing them
25	in quantities anymore.

1	Q. And let's say we've got a fee mineral owner
2	and there's other horizons that are developable. Is
3	there a market mechanism in the oil and gas industry
4	in which an operator who may be holding on to a well
5	too long and producing it uneconomically can be forced
6	out?
7	A. Yes. It's called a top lease. A top lease
8	is a lease that is taken from the same lessor covering
9	the same lands, with a date that is before the
10	expiration date of the base lease, the bottom lease,
11	that provides for the top lease to go into effect only
12	upon the expiration of the bottom lease.
13	So if I top lease somebody that's
14	producing a marginal well, I will either call up the
15	royalty owners and say, "You need to start writing
16	demand letters to this guy to increase production, or
17	if you want me to, I'll take care of it and demand
18	that they either produce more or release the lease or
19	at least release the lease as to part of the lands for
20	failure to reasonably develop." That is the most
21	likely time that top leases are used.
22	Q. Thank you, Mr. Ezzell. Last bit of
23	surrebuttal, and it came up again with Mr. Gilstrap?
24	But Mr. Morgan testified and there's been other

testimony about the OCD releasing a single-well

25

1	marginal bond if the production is brought up so that
2	it's no longer in marginal status. What is your view
3	of that as a New Mexico lawyer?
4	A. Well, the way I am reading it, it can't be
5	done. I agree with Mr. Gilstrap that those
6	instruments are un-cancelable.
7	Q. Thank you. And you're talking about the OCD
8	form of surety bond that's on their website?
9	A. Yes. Looking at it, it does not look like
10	either party can get out of it absent the fulfillment
11	of the act that is being bonded.
12	Q. And what is the act that's being bonded?
13	A. The acceptance by the OCD of the plugging
14	and abandonment of the well. It's not just the
15	plugging and abandonment of the well, because, like a
16	baseball umpire with balls and strikes, a well isn't
17	plugged until the OCD says it is.
18	MR. CLOUTIER: All right. That concludes
19	Mr. Ezzell's surrebuttal testimony, Madam Hearing
20	Officer.
21	HEARING OFFICER ORTH: Thank you very much,
22	Mr. Ezzell and Mr. Cloutier. You got us exactly to
23	5:00 p.m. So we'll begin with your questions
24	immediately following public comment in the morning.
25	By the way, speaking of public comment,

1	just one thing. It appears to me that we may not
2	have a 4:00 o'clock public comment period tomorrow or
3	any time on Wednesday, if we're going to take a break
4	between the witnesses. So if you are in contact with
5	people whom you know plan to give public comment,
6	encourage them to speak either tomorrow morning or
7	Thursday morning. And I did ask Sheila to reach out
8	directly to everyone who indicated an interest to her
9	to let them know that. Thank you all.
10	(Proceedings adjourned at 5:00 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 278

1	AFFIRMATION OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, Kelli Gallegos, DO HEREBY AFFIRM on
4	November 3, 2025, a hearing of the New Mexico Oil
5	Conservation Commission was taken before me via video
6	conference.
7	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I did report in
8	stenographic shorthand the proceedings as set forth
9	herein, and the foregoing is a true and correct
10	transcript of the proceedings to the best of my
11	ability.
12	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I am neither employed
13	by nor related to any of the parties in this matter
14	and that I have no interest in the final disposition
15	of this matter.
16	Bell Gallon.
17	Kelli Gallegos
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
18	500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 279

&	<b>10,000</b> 177:23	126:18 134:3	117:11,18,22
	177:25	139:21 161:25	118:5 133:22
<b>&amp;</b> 2:21 3:3,4	<b>100</b> 13:13 74:9	162:4 217:7	158:20 164:23
240:10	74:19 116:1	265:19,24	166:6 169:1
1	117:17 132:18	266:2	188:17 205:19
1 23:22 40:15	168:15 169:5	<b>12,000</b> 144:23	216:17 231:2
53:2 73:10	170:11 180:7	<b>120,00</b> 146:10	232:19 273:16
74:24 75:4,19	183:19 195:13	<b>120,000</b> 99:10	<b>150</b> 145:2
75:22 76:17	224:13 226:5	128:1,10	169:1 205:18
77:1 119:5,10	234:9	142:22 143:3	<b>150,000</b> 34:10
119:14 120:7	<b>101</b> 74:10,23	143:25 144:10	36:12 85:1,11
133:22 140:5	75:1	144:11 148:6	91:3 116:20
189:20 205:17	<b>105</b> 74:22	152:24	127:14 138:19
205:17 234:9	279:18	<b>122</b> 5:7	154:5 160:6
<b>1,000</b> 3:4 74:12	<b>107</b> 53:22	<b>1220</b> 1:6 2:10	178:2,3 207:5
74:13 75:18	179:12	<b>123</b> 4:11	218:25 224:24
125:4 132:14	<b>109</b> 121:2	<b>125</b> 3:14	238:7
134:2 136:22	<b>10:16</b> 72:8	<b>13</b> 5:5 20:12,18	<b>152</b> 4:13
141:14,22	<b>10:32</b> 72:9	33:4 54:11	<b>155</b> 4:14
142:5 161:24	<b>10:44</b> 80:14	189:5 216:5	<b>155s</b> 136:25
171:3	<b>11</b> 6:8 33:7	<b>131</b> 4:12 31:19	<b>16</b> 59:21 99:7
<b>1,300</b> 40:7	54:6 188:18	<b>14</b> 5:10 13:12	127:22 128:1,9
79:11	216:3	47:15 53:2	128:12 135:25
<b>1,925</b> 40:4	<b>11,000</b> 153:3	71:8 118:14	142:24 143:1
<b>1,928.60.</b> 40:16	<b>11.2</b> 169:9	119:14 132:1	<b>160</b> 256:5
<b>1-2</b> 74:16	170:1	132:16 135:2	<b>161</b> 4:14
<b>1.35</b> 40:14	<b>1148</b> 3:18	137:4 143:1	<b>161,000</b> 200:19
<b>1.5</b> 103:14	<b>117</b> 109:16	217:24 219:11	<b>163,000</b> 252:21
104:10	126:14 139:25	219:20	<b>164</b> 4:16
<b>1.9</b> 128:12	153:14	<b>142</b> 4:12	<b>17</b> 4:5 28:15,17
<b>10</b> 2:16 5:5	<b>11:00</b> 80:5,14	<b>149</b> 4:13 31:15	29:3,14 31:11
20:12,18 65:15	<b>11:57</b> 123:1	<b>15</b> 32:19 50:7	32:11 35:2,3
83:24 216:14	<b>12</b> 33:7 50:12	59:18,20 72:4	189:19
222:19 223:12	54:7 55:3 98:3	72:9 105:8,18	<b>18</b> 28:16 32:8
232:5	105:20 106:9	107:4 111:3	50:9 100:11

# [18 - 25]

216:8 247:5	<b>19.15.8.9</b> 65:10	75:22 76:10,17	132:5,10,14
<b>180</b> 107:5	19.15.8.9a	77:1 189:15	133:8,9,10,13
109:16 125:4,7	267:18	205:17 213:12	133:19 139:12
126:14,22	19.15.8.9e.	216:19 224:8	139:20
127:2,5 132:15	271:24	224:12	<b>2025</b> 1:14
132:18,20	<b>19.15.9</b> 1:10	<b>2,000</b> 10:5	50:12 153:15
133:5 134:1	<b>19.15.9.8</b> 62:13	<b>2,600</b> 210:9,13	279:4
140:6 141:5,9	19.15.9.9b	<b>2.5</b> 205:21	<b>2026</b> 243:21
141:14,20	56:18	<b>20</b> 5:5,10 13:2	<b>2028</b> 138:25
142:6 153:15	<b>191</b> 5:8	50:9 57:8	<b>205</b> 4:17
153:18 155:2,2	<b>192</b> 4:16	120:7 140:5	<b>208</b> 4:18
158:24 161:24	<b>193</b> 26:24	217:24 219:11	<b>21</b> 136:23
<b>181</b> 154:7	<b>1954</b> 7:11	219:21 240:13	216:5
<b>184</b> 154:7	<b>1956</b> 7:11	255:8	<b>211</b> 4:18
<b>19</b> 5:10 35:6	229:1 230:10	<b>20,000</b> 210:7,9	<b>213</b> 3:14 4:20
63:21 217:5,24	246:22	<b>200</b> 116:17	<b>219</b> 5:10
219:11,21	<b>1966</b> 7:19	<b>2005</b> 231:10	<b>22</b> 30:8,13,24
<b>19.15.2</b> 1:10	<b>1974</b> 232:14	<b>2008</b> 250:1	30:25 56:16,24
<b>19.15.25</b> 1:10	<b>1976</b> 99:17	<b>2011</b> 7:20 13:7	68:2
59:3 76:6	<b>1977</b> 7:22	21:15	<b>22.5</b> 169:2,7,22
19.15.25.8	150:5 213:19	<b>2014</b> 250:2	<b>2208</b> 2:21
76:15,25 77:17	230:10	<b>2015</b> 21:15	<b>23</b> 28:15,17
19.15.25.8.	<b>1981</b> 99:18	166:9	29:7,25 30:12
75:25	150:6 230:10	<b>2016</b> 230:11	30:14,17 31:10
19.15.25.8b	<b>1982</b> 230:11	<b>2019</b> 127:22	33:18,21,21
76:3	<b>1989</b> 230:11	142:21,24	34:9,14 35:2,3
<b>19.15.5</b> 1:10	<b>1:00</b> 15:12	143:9	37:20 44:25
<b>19.15.5.4a</b> 24:8	122:25 123:2	<b>202</b> 4:17	99:20 216:4
<b>19.15.5.9</b> 23:12	<b>1:51</b> 163:7	<b>2020</b> 98:4	240:7
77:17	<b>1st</b> 1:5 138:25	<b>2021</b> 231:10	<b>231</b> 31:9
19.15.5.9a	2	<b>2022</b> 178:25	<b>24</b> 100:11
23:19 24:19	<b>2</b> 2:4 23:22	179:12 242:15	139:23
73:8,22 74:2	39:11 55:4	<b>2023</b> 42:20	<b>24683</b> 6:6
<b>19.15.8</b> 1:10	65:1,9 73:11	<b>2024</b> 42:20	<b>25</b> 7:14 33:25
50:15 63:13	74:24 75:4,19	43:15 121:2	34:3 71:22

## [25 - 600]

106:10 115:25	<b>30</b> 40:16 96:14	137:4 216:22	121:14 159:5
227:1 255:8	111:4 133:1	220:11	168:15 169:5,9
<b>25,000</b> 36:13	140:7 156:13	<b>4,000</b> 255:20	171:8,14 180:7
84:19	156:14,19,20	<b>4,300</b> 30:2	193:24 244:19
<b>25.9a</b> 134:20	158:10 159:4,4	<b>40</b> 5:5 7:18	245:4 247:12
135:9	159:13,18	20:12,19 30:14	<b>50,000</b> 114:16
<b>25.9b</b> 135:13	216:14 234:25	31:17 51:12	216:23 219:1
<b>250,000</b> 26:24	255:6 263:16	115:25 214:19	<b>500</b> 3:4 74:11
252:17	263:17	226:18 245:1	74:22 75:1,20
<b>26</b> 5:10 35:4	<b>300</b> 40:6	256:13	106:2 229:14
189:19 217:25	<b>300,000</b> 138:21	<b>400,000</b> 178:3	229:20 279:18
219:11,21	<b>304</b> 136:25	<b>409</b> 2:4	<b>501</b> 74:12
<b>27</b> 5:5 20:12,19	<b>31</b> 262:10	<b>41</b> 5:10 118:13	<b>53</b> 5:5 20:13,19
36:24 119:5,9	<b>32</b> 7:24 134:16	217:25 219:11	<b>54</b> 216:17
189:20	<b>34</b> 31:12	219:21	<b>55</b> 252:7
<b>279</b> 5:12	<b>35</b> 245:1	<b>43</b> 5:5 20:12,19	<b>56</b> 230:6,13
<b>28</b> 5:5 20:12,19	249:24	31:7 253:18	<b>562,000</b> 206:17
37:21,21 38:9	<b>350</b> 53:23	<b>44</b> 5:5 20:12,19	<b>562,500</b> 170:2
216:8	100:9 145:4,7	102:19 121:20	<b>57</b> 216:19
<b>287</b> 31:4 68:10	<b>360</b> 107:5	130:12 150:3	<b>580</b> 210:11
<b>28943</b> 279:16	136:25	<b>450</b> 55:17	<b>5:00</b> 277:23
<b>29</b> 38:14	<b>360,000</b> 106:19	<b>46</b> 4:6 5:5	278:10
190:21 216:11	<b>366</b> 137:1	20:12,19	6
<b>2:00</b> 163:5,7	<b>37</b> 266:16	<b>49</b> 121:13	<b>6</b> 47:15 56:16
3	<b>39</b> 134:16	<b>4:00</b> 14:25	56:24 65:1,8,9
<b>3</b> 1:14 23:9	<b>3:30</b> 212:15	231:25 278:2	125:11,13
24:8 76:11	<b>3:35</b> 231:23	<b>4th</b> 3:4	188:17 216:11
77:2 105:21	<b>3:36</b> 232:2	5	216:22 217:7
127:10 174:16	<b>3:40</b> 212:16	<b>5</b> 4:3 14:22	<b>60</b> 4:6 8:1 76:7
188:17 189:6	<b>3:50</b> 232:2	24:16,19 53:6	153:17 263:16
200:12 219:24	4	75:20 125:11	600 27:2,4
220:4 224:7,12	<b>4</b> 23:13,19 24:8	188:18 253:16	35:21 36:4,12
279:4	56:16,22 73:8	<b>5,000</b> 229:13,20	40:6 84:20
<b>3.5</b> 205:21	73:22 74:2,14	<b>50</b> 71:23 74:1,7	106:20
	118:13 132:1	74:18 106:10	

## [600,000 - absolute]

<b>600,000</b> 106:2 <b>61</b> 7:25	<b>77</b> 230:13 231:3	<b>9.8a</b> 62:24 <b>90</b> 4:9 76:7	<b>ability</b> 25:25 32:23 33:16
<b>610</b> 229:5,6	<b>787,000</b> 206:18	<b>90233</b> 3:10	59:2 109:25
<b>62</b> 7:25 231:9	<b>787,500</b> 170:3	<b>95</b> 231:10	117:22,24
<b>63.65</b> 40:15	205:22	<b>955</b> 133:22	121:4 130:5
<b>65</b> 33:11 40:13	8	<b>97</b> 4:11	178:4 185:3,12
244:15	8 29:14,21 71:7	<b>9:00</b> 6:1 253:14	193:18 194:3
<b>66</b> 71:6 105:15	71:8 188:17	a	201:9,12 222:8
124:10,13	217:7 273:10	<b>a.m.</b> 6:1 80:14	228:4 235:6,19
7	<b>80</b> 237:17	123:1 253:14	238:21 279:11
<b>7</b> 26:15,21	256:5	aapl 229:4	able 17:6 18:12
53:25 125:13	<b>80s</b> 214:24	230:9 231:11	31:17 39:22
125:17 200:12	<b>81</b> 4:7 189:19	aaron 2:23	71:12 86:13
217:5 273:12	<b>82</b> 4:8 189:4	abandon 57:12	88:22 93:25
<b>70</b> 98:21 124:6	<b>83</b> 4:8 33:11	76:5 237:23	101:16 102:15
124:13 146:1	<b>84</b> 189:5	abandoned	108:10 109:16
159:20,22	231:10	10:17 99:7	112:25 113:2
247:13 255:8	<b>85</b> 116:25	113:23 115:13	126:3 127:1
<b>70-2-14</b> 165:20	117:19,24	210:10 225:7	128:15 130:14 130:16 137:6
188:3 221:17	<b>87</b> 4:9	252:18 272:3	143:15 145:15
70-2-14g	<b>87102</b> 3:5	274:1	145:15 148:14
221:20	279:18	abandoning	150:24 155:1
<b>700</b> 19:25 30:3	<b>87199</b> 3:10	182:4 235:9	173:15,16
<b>700,000</b> 106:20	<b>87501</b> 2:4 3:14	abandonment	191:5 192:14
200:18 252:22	<b>87504</b> 2:22	57:5,9 58:10	195:12 207:17
<b>72</b> 4:7 57:15	3:19	58:20,24 59:3	247:24 257:21
231:9 266:16	<b>87505</b> 2:11	60:6 76:6	264:13 270:25
271:23	<b>88202</b> 2:16	173:19 209:20	<b>above</b> 107:3
<b>74</b> 79:12	8th 27:22	233:22 234:1,2	169:1 226:12
231:18	9	234:14 235:7	<b>absence</b> 130:17
<b>75</b> 29:23 124:5	9 144:23 220:4	265:1 277:14	<b>absent</b> 277:10
237:16	223:5,6	277:15	absolute 94:21
<b>750,000</b> 127:15	<b>9.8</b> 63:22	abiernoff 3:21	171:14 189:1
154:6,18		abilene 246:19	233:13

# [absolutely - additional]

	<b>-</b>	155015010	
absolutely 83:4	accommodati	166:8 179:12	actual 24:2
89:21 93:12	162:11	192:22 227:18	82:9 124:20
94:20 95:11	accomplish	acquiring	144:3 178:1
110:20 114:1	186:15 187:17	159:14	219:7 252:19
115:20 151:10	263:9	acquisition	actually 63:19
184:20 196:9	accomplishing	65:13 66:5	66:16 83:2
201:1 235:10	102:7	82:8,9,12	92:15 103:9
269:16	accordance	267:22	104:2 108:22
absorbing	76:6	acquisitions	125:12 127:4
180:23	account 29:23	32:24 33:1	131:11 133:5
<b>absurd</b> 65:16	79:11 172:8,16	111:2 266:13	144:19 175:6
65:25	216:9,9 234:18	268:2	176:5 177:10
abysmal	accountable	acre 255:20	184:11 196:12
215:17	243:23 250:20	256:5	214:20 232:20
acacia 31:8	accounting	act 238:9	232:22 269:3
68:19 69:1,6	59:18 229:25	277:11,12	actuarial
accept 48:14,15	231:9	<b>acting</b> 95:15	183:17
acceptable	accurate 20:3	action 83:6	<b>adam</b> 2:22
203:25	54:15 55:6	actions 68:24	adapted 19:6
acceptance	129:5 137:10	83:3	<b>add</b> 162:2
206:8 277:13	137:13 151:23	active 21:25	209:19 236:16
accepted 201:6	157:6 189:8,9	48:5 124:5,7	274:2
205:2	197:6	124:10 146:24	<b>added</b> 59:14
accepting	accurately	156:9 167:24	adding 26:15
40:13	218:11	178:10	addition 25:1
access 8:10	accused 42:13	actively 32:17	29:9 30:3
38:23 39:3	acidize 263:5	100:12	98:25 100:7
108:21 146:20	acknowledge	activities 59:10	113:21 150:23
150:24 152:13	45:21 96:1	111:23 263:9	169:16 180:13
183:12 184:6	acloutier 2:17	activity 267:23	214:16
184:17 185:3,8	acquire 81:23	actor 95:1,7,8	additional 26:1
199:22 207:3	111:4 112:12	<b>actors</b> 35:20	32:11 54:22
207:10 271:3	acquired 69:16	88:20,22,23	58:5 59:6 70:2
accessible	99:14 100:5,17	93:7,7,16 94:7	103:14,16,18
161:14	159:11 166:5,7	95:15	103:23 104:10

## [additional - ago]

104:19 108:7	administers	259:12	affirmation
111:1 114:25	204:5	advantageous	279:1
115:2,3 117:19	administration	113:22	affluent 240:25
117:24 120:19	240:10	adverbs 237:11	<b>afford</b> 92:13
131:7 138:4	administrative	adversely	247:24 264:14
143:13,20,20	21:2 73:20	112:18	264:18
145:15 159:16	administrator	advised 201:11	afternoon
159:22 160:21	6:25 63:4	215:17 217:10	14:25 16:9
187:18 199:13	239:20	advisory 98:9	72:23 123:10
205:1 245:2	admission	advocate 9:14	131:21 142:13
274:2 275:23	20:10	9:25	142:18,19
additionally	<b>admit</b> 149:15	advocates	149:13,14
183:24	admitted 5:3	242:11	164:6 192:6
<b>address</b> 43:2,12	20:16,17 57:17	<b>afe</b> 234:21	202:21,22
44:4,7 79:20	122:18,19	235:2	208:9 226:6
93:2 94:2 95:5	191:17,18	afes 263:15	242:8 246:15
109:20 186:13	219:17,18	affairs 45:3	249:5,20 252:4
186:20 189:21	admittedly	<b>affect</b> 21:13	ag's 175:18
197:22	197:12 200:24	109:25 112:18	age 232:15
addressed 43:6	203:14	121:15 218:15	247:5,13
addresses	<b>adopt</b> 12:6	224:18 225:3,5	agencies 241:9
165:14	253:6	237:1 264:5	<b>agency</b> 177:21
adds 24:21	adopted 49:23	265:8	204:24 210:2
121:17	50:15 92:7	affected 22:10	aggressive
adjacent 245:2	103:2 230:21	110:19	187:1
adjectives	237:2 264:11	affectionately	aging 11:2
237:11	265:9,14	226:21	243:2
adjourned	adoption	<b>affirm</b> 6:20 7:6	<b>ago</b> 25:13 44:4
278:10	224:17 256:21	9:9 12:20	100:4 147:2
adjudicated	<b>advance</b> 234:24	17:16 96:23	173:10 213:23
266:18,25	270:10	163:23 212:25	226:18 229:12
267:3	advances	239:15 240:2	232:20 246:17
adjuster	265:22	242:4 246:12	248:13 257:23
164:17,19	advantage	249:16 251:24	260:12
	130:9 167:12	279:3,7,12	

# [agrankin - ampomah]

1 2.22	225.10.10	250.14	02.1.102.0
<b>agrankin</b> 2:23	225:18,18	258:14	92:1 102:8
<b>agree</b> 29:5 38:4	228:13 230:20	allowed 25:5	107:9 117:15
61:7 62:1 63:8	235:21 236:22	146:20 165:22	117:25 118:1
63:15 69:25	<b>agrees</b> 20:5,7	224:1 234:13	138:21 145:19
70:4 74:14,24	237:1,6	272:15	145:23 159:17
75:2,13 77:24	<b>ahead</b> 17:18	allowing	168:15 169:6,6
78:4 79:19,21	58:2 97:2	244:12 275:14	169:20,24
84:23 85:1,15	130:24 164:1	<b>allows</b> 73:9,23	171:9,11,18
87:13 91:19	167:3,6 213:3	173:18 203:21	177:12,17,17
134:19 136:18	220:6,7,9	alongside 248:4	178:5,6 180:8
137:17 138:2	222:18 240:4	<b>alter</b> 201:2	180:17 183:19
138:22,24	256:16 262:9	alternate	196:14 201:4,7
140:18 187:12	<b>aid</b> 68:2	173:12 181:8	207:3,8 209:1
194:18 198:7	<b>air</b> 11:23	alternative	209:3 216:23
203:18 255:24	130:22 215:5	204:12	219:1 223:25
271:7 277:5	242:21 243:20	alternatives	234:18
agreed 38:6	252:12,24	207:12	amounting
66:22 67:9	alarming 91:6	altogether	26:23
69:21,23	albert 1:21	183:22	amounts
210:12 229:11	albuquerque	<b>amelia</b> 238:20	151:15 168:3
259:7	3:5,10 279:18	239:3	197:8 274:7
agreement 68:8	alexander 50:8	<b>amend</b> 267:18	ampersand
89:17 145:13	52:5 270:14	amended	262:16
159:3 173:23	alexander's	221:25	ampomah 1:22
173:24 174:5,8	269:1,22	amending	4:8,14,17 6:13
194:20 227:14	alleviated	65:10	16:2,20,21
227:22,24	61:15	amendment	83:13,16,17
228:17 229:1,5	allocation	274:9,11	86:21 155:21
229:9,23 230:2	256:1	amendments	155:22 156:22
230:6 232:10	allow 23:21	1:10 223:20	157:20 158:13
233:23 235:8	65:12 76:16,17	261:7	158:17 160:24
236:6,13,16	101:11 113:3	american	161:9 205:10
237:20	121:12 160:22	228:21 230:18	205:12,14
agreements	172:1 179:15	<b>amount</b> 13:15	207:24
116:5 200:13	204:22 250:21	30:17 85:1	

## [ampomah's - approving]

ampomah's	68:11 75:9	78:3 122:8	166:21 219:2
16:7	77:21 93:22	142:23 145:3	application
analogy 82:1,3	94:1 95:3	187:25 221:14	225:11
82:4,12	130:24 135:7		
<u>'</u>	138:8 154:23	apology 87:24	applications 58:19 59:11
<b>analysis</b> 35:2,5 36:8 60:9	157:8,19 158:4	apparent 264:8 appeal 267:1	
61:21 84:15	167:3 184:13		<b>applied</b> 143:12
130:21 138:9	195:14 197:13	appealing 159:6	<b>apply</b> 77:25 78:5 107:5
139:14 189:23	204:6,7,20		128:11 259:5
	233:24 238:10	<b>appears</b> 106:23 142:12 239:22	
218:5,7,15,20 218:24		278:1	<b>applying</b> 124:22 159:19
	answered 61:7		
analyze 29:14	84:3	appetite 184:2	appointed 6:4
analyzing 218:12	answering	apples 189:15	<b>appreciate</b> 37:9
	51:23 91:20	applicable	61:13 95:19
andres 254:23	167:2	143:5 167:5	162:20 217:10
255:5	<b>anticipate</b> 44:5	259:2	249:4
andrew 2:17	163:1 171:13	<b>applicant</b> 23:13	approach
9:1,7	anybody 88:1	86:1 90:4	13:24 27:18
anecdotally	94:5 238:2	applicant's	102:22 169:8
55:11 91:13	239:4 256:25	47:16,23 48:4	189:21 264:3
angers 122:9	<b>anymore</b> 232:18 273:9	48:8,17 49:2,9	approached 112:19
animals 261:14		49:16,20 51:16	
262:17	275:25	52:6,19 53:8	appropriate
ann 2:18	anyway 267:23	56:17 57:14	25:17
announced	apache 248:3	133:22 151:1	approval
41:8,12	apart 110:12	223:20 266:15	175:16,20
annual 26:21	<b>api</b> 137:5	271:23	181:7 204:4,13
106:20 108:11	139:15	applicants 2:2	266:13
150:18 168:6	apocryphal	19:19,25 20:5	approvals
169:18,18	233:1	29:5 41:23	184:4
170:2 180:14	apodaca 6:25	42:24 44:6,15	<b>approved</b> 76:5
180:17,18	163:12 239:20	46:22 49:23	204:17 272:2
193:9 194:2	apologies 52:2	50:5,7,15 63:3	approving
answer 44:7	<b>apologize</b> 19:10	81:21 87:12,14	64:18 66:23
45:24 51:5,23	51:25 56:19	87:18 123:12	

### [approximate - assumption]

approximate	armstrong 4:10	122:15 152:19	asphalt 7:24
144:2	5:6 96:14,21	155:13 157:11	asserts 188:18
approximately	97:5,9,19 98:2	193:22 262:1	assessed 192:16
55:12 99:10,18	98:16 99:1,12	<b>arni</b> 3:20	192:18
124:10 144:14	102:3 103:24	<b>arose</b> 236:15	assessment
144:21 232:4	105:7 106:21	arrangement	60:9
approximation	115:16 116:13	173:21 185:8	assessments
73:15	118:7,12	<b>arriba</b> 10:11	38:16
<b>ara</b> 173:23	122:10,19,23	<b>artesia</b> 7:12 8:1	<b>asset</b> 173:23
area 21:22	123:3,10	8:3	174:4,8
26:10 110:7	130:23 131:21	article 203:8	assets 13:16
111:7 112:8	134:4,22 135:7	231:1 232:19	59:5 79:17
210:9 222:21	136:17,18	articulate 25:7	172:12 195:4
225:22 244:21	137:16 138:20	71:12	199:3 268:9,10
244:22 247:23	139:11 140:18	<b>arts</b> 164:16	assistant 27:13
247:25 248:15	140:20 141:1	<b>aside</b> 13:16	assoc 2:14
253:23,24	142:12,18	69:23 96:14	associate 217:2
258:23 261:23	146:2,10,16,25	108:18 118:19	associated 82:8
areas 23:7	147:7,15,24	129:21	144:7 158:1
109:7,9 254:9	148:8,19 149:1	asked 22:8	187:16 194:7
<b>argue</b> 222:12	149:13 150:3	25:21 27:6,11	association 3:3
<b>argued</b> 118:14	151:9,16	31:6 33:4,7	18:2,3 19:3
222:13	152:12 155:19	35:7 81:9 84:1	21:1,1 37:4
<b>argues</b> 118:17	155:19,22	asking 27:1	39:2 226:8
argument 28:6	156:25 157:1	65:17 66:24,25	228:21 230:18
35:16 121:14	158:18 161:8	67:2 70:10,11	<b>assume</b> 108:12
182:24 219:14	161:11 162:15	77:6 79:22	128:16 138:15
221:7	162:22,23	85:8 91:25	assumed 215:2
arguments	184:25 193:21	95:13 134:25	assumes 226:4
86:19 219:14	193:23 194:4	141:18 149:16	assuming
221:16,18	224:20 226:5	157:21 170:16	127:13 128:10
<b>ari</b> 202:6	261:4,15	184:13 197:5,9	181:7 234:12
arizona 31:4	273:17	197:10 249:1	assumption
68:6,8 79:16	armstrong's	272:17	200:25 205:2
	118:9 122:12		208:24 226:2

## [assumption - b]

226:13,14	166:3	august 19:4	203:11,17
assurance 6:7	<b>asterisk</b> 172:15	27:22,24	204:3,15 239:4
32:6 48:4	atripp 2:18	<b>aurea</b> 241:20	ave 2:4 3:14
50:14 62:11	attached 230:4	242:9	avenue 91:13
63:4,10,16,21	attachment	authority 33:1	average 127:25
63:24 64:4,12	229:8	86:11 219:5,25	128:22 142:21
64:18 65:11,14	attack 94:23	220:2 221:17	143:2,25
66:1,6,13,19	attain 191:5	224:2 236:10	144:11,23
68:4 69:22	attempt 108:13	266:21	147:17,18
70:2 81:15,22	161:17 228:2	authorization	152:24 252:21
88:18 103:2	236:16	62:18 235:4	avoid 58:5
110:18 118:18	attempted	authorized	139:7 225:11
127:15 138:4	120:8	58:25	225:12
138:21 139:1	<b>attend</b> 45:5	<b>auto</b> 183:7	awaiting
165:15,21	attendance	214:12	175:17
166:22 167:10	18:8	automatic	awarded 71:10
178:13 179:10	attended 45:22	182:7	aware 44:11
179:17 182:23	attention 43:22	automatically	47:21 48:25
184:9,19 186:7	51:2 95:25	186:18	49:14,17,18
186:14,17	218:4 221:12	<b>autos</b> 164:21	51:6,7,11,19
187:6,18	249:4,5 251:13	avail 258:25	52:5,18 64:3
190:22 192:15	272:11	availability	66:17 67:2,8
192:22 194:7	attest 52:24	16:4 24:5	68:19,21,23
194:19 205:25	attorney 46:21	available 15:1	69:8,10,17,18
207:13,19	123:11 175:15	15:10,24 16:10	78:24 107:13
211:10 221:23	213:10	16:10,11 25:19	126:17 146:24
243:6 264:17	attorneys 93:2	30:19 32:2	147:5 160:10
268:16 272:17	94:1 95:5	33:22 57:11,20	160:13 203:2,3
272:25	191:9 214:10	84:12 91:9	236:9 250:6
assurances	atucker 2:24	124:23 154:9	awareness 64:2
81:10 82:9	auction 100:22	172:23 175:22	b
270:21	<b>audio</b> 163:13	176:1,7 180:2	<b>b</b> 25:4 73:25
<b>assure</b> 57:10	audit 240:15,17	181:4 183:3,17	74:21 76:10,11
assured 164:12	auditor 240:17	184:10 185:13	77:1 137:22
164:13,22,24		185:25 203:5	230:4 242:2

### [b's - believe]

<b>b's</b> 235:21	background	baseball 277:16	<b>basins</b> 52:16
bachelor	213:15 214:16	<b>based</b> 13:14	165:3
164:16	backing 174:21	18:24 23:19	<b>basis</b> 26:12
<b>back</b> 8:7 10:24	215:12	30:12,16 34:11	29:25 37:13
16:23 25:11	backtrack 49:3	35:24 39:17	66:22 104:3
27:11 30:25	<b>bad</b> 88:20,23	44:21 55:11	111:1 126:2
43:7,14 50:2	93:7 94:7,14	60:7,10 67:21	133:14 137:24
53:24 80:5	94:25 95:7	67:23 71:18	148:15 151:11
81:17 85:17	237:8	81:18 84:9	175:24 180:18
88:15 89:10	<b>bag</b> 251:1	85:2,13 88:19	193:7,9 194:3
95:4 107:17	balance 104:3	92:19,21 97:19	207:2,7 226:24
108:20 110:24	130:8 196:14	98:18,20	261:11
116:15 118:24	<b>ball</b> 192:3	110:23 120:10	battery 11:6
119:13 124:8	<b>balls</b> 277:16	133:9 137:2,22	125:19
126:3,23 127:3	bandwidth	139:11 141:1	bear 135:24
128:5 137:18	184:3	153:4 157:11	beating 181:20
139:19,23	<b>bank</b> 185:23	157:25 158:14	beatty 3:4
145:13 154:11	271:8,12	158:23 160:3	becoming
161:9 163:5	banker 172:5	169:19 175:4	179:7 271:20
207:10 215:14	bankrupt	183:11 189:6	befalls 95:10
215:15,25	252:15	189:21 192:18	began 13:4
221:18 225:8	bankruptcy	193:9 203:20	246:20 247:4
225:13 231:25	32:10 71:2	204:21 218:5,7	beginning
246:19 258:9	214:25	224:16 265:24	37:25 61:12
261:19,20	<b>barrel</b> 40:14,15	269:5 270:15	63:10 77:4
262:18 269:23	207:2,7	<b>basic</b> 22:19	89:12 165:19
270:3,6,7	barrels 116:17	42:25	271:25
backbone	132:25 134:2	basically	<b>begins</b> 56:25
244:24	161:24 224:22	235:23 265:9	<b>behalf</b> 93:19
backdoor	261:8	270:22	102:3 158:3
221:19	barrier 256:24	basin 10:9	<b>believe</b> 6:10,11
backed 88:12	<b>base</b> 88:19	109:8 242:16	13:22 29:15
174:8 176:16	156:4 217:6	258:23	31:9 36:8
185:22	218:23 241:4	<b>basing</b> 139:23	39:17 48:23,24
	247:8 276:10	157:10	52:25 61:13

### [believe - bombed]

	I		I
73:8,18 79:25	<b>benefit</b> 97:14	216:21 268:1	216:1 264:22
84:23 87:19	117:4 120:2	269:20	265:4 276:22
94:20 96:15	187:10,14	<b>bigger</b> 47:11	<b>blade</b> 38:23
99:9 109:15	241:16 260:4	106:5,7 199:7	<b>blame</b> 217:3
119:16 121:21	berkeley 240:9	biggest 8:5	blanket 27:3
125:11,25	<b>best</b> 16:13	204:8 206:13	66:8 115:22
133:16 139:4	22:13 44:4,5	210:15	145:18 167:4,8
140:6 142:24	78:13 84:8	<b>bill</b> 11:12 43:17	167:13,15
144:5 148:24	95:12 142:6	44:2 234:23,24	179:16 222:14
150:5 155:11	144:6,15 148:1	234:25 235:6	273:23
155:16 159:10	172:14 270:5	236:4 250:14	blocked 58:15
161:16 163:9	279:10	252:16	<b>bloom</b> 1:21 4:9
176:3,10	<b>bet</b> 229:20	<b>billed</b> 236:11	4:14,18 6:13
189:13 192:13	better 8:4	<b>billings</b> 235:13	17:2,3 87:1,3,6
192:18 202:8	17:13 39:12	billion 35:7	88:6,9 90:13
203:7,8,10,11	79:19 90:9	174:16	160:3 161:2,4
204:20 206:24	128:6 161:18	<b>bills</b> 11:22	161:7 162:15
212:4 229:7	186:23 197:14	215:9	208:3,5,8
236:7 238:12	199:7 210:22	bipartisan	210:24 273:25
238:17 250:19	230:9 231:11	27:20	<b>blue</b> 58:7,8,12
251:17 254:10	<b>beyond</b> 68:21	<b>bit</b> 18:13 41:14	58:14,23
272:10	72:4 122:7	61:4 84:6,18	<b>blunt</b> 186:19
believed 54:14	160:18 263:13	92:12 94:2,7	<b>board</b> 21:7
<b>bell</b> 62:16	<b>bible</b> 225:13	98:15 99:4,19	85:18 86:2
beneficial 48:9	<b>bid</b> 100:22	107:19 112:1	98:6
76:11 77:25	268:17	113:13 115:1	<b>bodies</b> 14:10
78:9 102:10	<b>bidder</b> 268:15	115:14 119:2	<b>body</b> 177:20
134:19,23	<b>bids</b> 268:12	121:16 122:9	208:18
135:20 138:1	biernoff 3:20	123:25 126:8	<b>boe</b> 125:4
199:1 258:1	4:12 72:16	129:18 138:9	132:14 134:6
beneficiaries	142:12,13,17	138:13 140:11	136:22 141:15
274:7	148:18,23	147:20 159:3	141:22 142:5
beneficiary	202:5,6	160:2 164:14	<b>bogged</b> 65:22
175:1 185:7,8	<b>big</b> 40:9 44:5	170:13 173:6	<b>bombed</b> 246:19
	100:10 168:10	178:15 180:4	

### [bond - bradfutelaw.com]

<b>bond</b> 27:2,25	201:19 206:16	113:1 115:21	191:5 193:1,12
30:18 84:19	208:17,22,24	116:1 145:21	193:16 196:6,7
91:3,17 92:8	209:1,2,6,17	145:21 150:14	196:9,11
94:10,11,24	210:1,1,3	151:15 159:16	200:15 208:12
103:6 105:22	211:15 216:13	166:14,15,25	208:16 209:16
106:6,25	216:16,23	167:14 173:13	209:21 243:12
107:16 108:3	218:25,25	178:25 179:6	273:15,21
108:22 111:11	221:22 222:4,6	182:21 183:13	<b>bone</b> 101:18
111:17 115:22	222:8,9,11,14	183:22 184:1	260:15
116:20 117:19	222:15 224:24	186:21,25	<b>booked</b> 16:22
146:5 154:5	235:8,16 238:7	196:16 197:22	<b>books</b> 8:11
159:20 160:6	252:17 257:6,9	200:18 203:22	<b>boom</b> 246:24
165:24 167:4,8	264:10,14	225:24 235:13	253:5
167:9,13,15	272:15 273:23	235:19 236:11	borderline
168:3,5,7,15	277:1,8	237:2 251:6	122:5
169:2,6,6,17,20	<b>bond's</b> 182:5	252:6 253:3,6	<b>born</b> 7:10
169:22,22,24	<b>bonded</b> 30:5	257:3 271:3	bottlenecks
170:12,13,19	36:11 107:7	<b>bondings</b> 41:9	184:4
171:4,10	208:20 209:13	<b>bonds</b> 26:22	<b>bottom</b> 33:10
173:22,25	215:9 237:21	27:8 28:9	125:10,13
174:2,19 176:5	271:19 277:11	31:18 33:16	129:11 256:17
176:13,15	277:12	78:25 90:24	276:10,12
177:4 180:8,10	<b>bonding</b> 9:17	92:6 102:25	<b>bought</b> 247:10
180:11,14,15	14:8 26:12,15	103:6,8,11,20	269:14
180:17 181:3	26:19 28:6	105:17,20	<b>bounced</b> 50:20
181:10,10,21	31:7,12,14	106:9 107:20	<b>bouncing</b> 50:4
181:23 182:16	32:8,11,19	108:7 120:19	<b>bow</b> 66:11
183:10,19	33:4,8,24 35:8	145:9 150:22	<b>box</b> 2:16,21
184:8,15,15	35:15,16,21	165:24 167:21	3:10,18 117:15
185:8,14 188:7	41:17,18 45:24	167:22 168:11	<b>boxes</b> 10:20
189:25 193:3	70:15 78:12	168:19 169:23	<b>boys</b> 248:8
193:19,21,23	79:17 84:22	174:22 176:11	<b>bradfute</b> 3:9,11
194:16,17	85:5,14 91:24	179:16 180:5	bradfutelaw.c
197:8 200:14	94:5 102:24	182:14 183:18	3:11,12
200:22 201:15	104:11 105:19	185:22 188:3	

## [break - cancelable]

break 16:3	hrought 25:2	237:20 240:10	213:10
	brought 25:2	251:7 252:15	calendar
40:15 80:4,10	27:21 43:15,22		
121:13 122:24	68:23 71:18	268:1	126:22 133:9
163:4 231:7	206:5 222:16	businesses 18:6	133:11,12,13
278:3	246:23 277:1	224:14 245:3	139:20,22
breaking	bucket 185:6	businessman	141:8
212:15 231:23	185:13	114:24	caliche 148:3
breaks 80:11	<b>budget</b> 14:2	<b>button</b> 136:13	california
bridge 263:8	21:3	254:1	203:11 240:9
<b>brief</b> 38:14	budgeting	<b>buy</b> 178:7	<b>call</b> 173:22
97:22 98:23	155:4	230:23 231:14	221:11 272:11
188:12 266:7	<b>build</b> 207:2,7	232:17	276:14
<b>briefly</b> 30:21	<b>building</b> 1:5	buying 82:1	called 38:2
31:1 38:11	120:13	bwenergylaw	119:6 170:20
78:11 98:8	<b>built</b> 110:25	3:6,7	173:11 174:14
152:9 220:8	244:17 261:20	c	179:9 208:18
254:19 264:2	<b>bulk</b> 129:14		215:3 226:21
<b>brine</b> 250:7	259:24	<b>c</b> 2:1 3:1 7:4	229:23 258:25
252:13	<b>bullet</b> 39:23	12:18 17:14 25:4 73:25	276:7
<b>bring</b> 48:12	<b>bump</b> 237:17	212:22 229:24	calling 142:15
53:2 62:15	<b>bunch</b> 148:8	229:25 230:4	163:10 212:5
73:17 83:22	<b>burden</b> 35:20	239:24 244:6	<b>calls</b> 96:14
214:13 218:4	92:3 179:22		121:17 194:16
228:24	187:18 243:16	246:9	200:15 212:6
bringing 26:16	bureau 189:7	c's 235:21	camera 10:18
<b>broad</b> 52:13	240:17	calculate	163:13 246:6
65:11 176:5	bureaucratic	103:13	cameras 9:19
<b>broadly</b> 183:16	108:15	calculated	<b>camp</b> 37:23
broken 10:20	burns 242:21	30:17 126:2	canal 250:9
<b>broker</b> 164:13	business 8:17	169:19	cancel 188:7
268:10	29:1 32:24	calculating	209:25
brokerage	99:14 100:11	156:7 158:24	cancelable
165:1	100:25 103:17	calculation	174:21 188:4
<b>brother</b> 7:16,18	191:7 195:25	40:12	193:20 209:21
7:21	224:13 235:25	calder 4:19	277:6
1.21	221,13 233,23	212:6,22 213:4	277.0

### [canceled - certain]

canceled	capture 102:11	case 10:23 15:5	270:23 271:17
208:17	113:6 120:25	45:18 48:24	casing 227:20
cancellable	captured	60:24 66:21,21	227:21,24
208:16 209:16	141:13	91:17 105:14	228:2
cancelled	captures 140:9	107:13 115:22	casualty 166:10
208:13	captures 140.5 car 82:1,12	120:9 121:21	166:13,17
candidly	183:1,16	121:24 125:25	catastrophe
105:12 107:10	<b>carbon</b> 113:6	127:18 139:4	164:20
113:15 114:19	cardena 239:3	150:12 151:12	catch 18:13
cano 32:9	239:9	156:17 185:14	category 35:13
70:18,20 79:15	care 28:25	207:8 220:23	132:6 138:6,18
200:18,22	215:8 240:23	223:7 226:7	catherine 244:5
200:18,22	245:6,11	236:9 250:14	caught 18:14
capable 33:23	276:17	253:17 261:11	18:16 41:5
109:18 258:18	cared 12:4	261:11 265:13	193:21 255:17
259:3 265:12	career 13:2,4	265:14	cause 8:16
265:16	164:17 214:17	cases 88:15	66:18 67:10
capacity 22:18	247:4	104:17 107:25	102:16 201:2
31:14 33:9	careful 94:9	116:8 121:6,11	caused 225:16
35:9 38:21	carlsbad	260:12 263:17	causes 267:25
94:15 160:12	249:24 250:2,8	264:13	cautionary
170:24 174:13	252:7,14	cash 84:17	178:24
183:25 188:23	carried 226:25	90:24 91:2	cease 223:11
189:3 260:11	227:10,10,15	92:2,15,19	<b>ceiling</b> 263:13
capex 168:17	carrier 169:17	101:10 104:9	cement 143:13
capital 40:2	174:14 176:22	107:10 108:24	143:18,21
111:6 115:2	carriers 201:8	129:22,23	center 2:3
117:21 174:15	carries 129:13	130:8,11 131:6	43:18 46:22
180:25 185:2	<b>carry</b> 227:11	150:21 159:17	123:12 255:1
255:10	carrying	165:24 169:12	256:13
<b>captive</b> 174:5,6	227:19	171:23,25	central 26:3
174:10	carving 187:22	172:3,9 176:16	centuries 12:5
captive's	cascade 14:4,8	181:5,10 190:5	<b>ceo</b> 97:18 98:3
174:13	14:11	198:22 199:22	certain 24:6
		200:2 216:16	66:16 104:9,17

### [certain - circulate]

	I	I	1
105:4 106:15	certainty 114:2	171:6,19	229:15 235:19
107:21,25	certificate 5:12	193:18 196:23	charged 168:5
116:15 120:20	certified 58:25	201:7,10 209:1	169:14 180:18
121:8 132:24	242:11	218:23 233:2	charges 168:17
137:19 141:4	certify 48:18	changed 44:14	169:4
161:14 167:11	49:9	143:6,8 178:12	<b>chart</b> 54:25
233:14	cetera 178:3	232:22	55:2
certainly 17:3	245:8	changes 23:12	chatgtp 217:2
18:1,14 20:24	<b>chain</b> 9:22	23:17 25:9	chatted 157:5
22:18 23:16	<b>chair</b> 1:21 4:9	45:16 57:24	check 82:2
38:14 47:2	4:18 6:13,14	78:12 81:12	133:18
49:13 51:5,14	90:18,21 96:2	82:7 89:14	<b>checks</b> 215:10
56:14 78:10	96:6 162:18,19	167:22 168:1,4	215:10
84:8 86:5,16	211:4,5,7,22,24	183:25 197:11	<b>chem</b> 39:8
88:8 90:6,13	217:11	215:23 217:14	chemical
91:5,21,25	challenge	217:19 218:15	262:20,23
94:4 95:6	132:21 133:4	218:20,22	<b>child</b> 248:8
97:24 99:5,16	challenges	232:19 233:3	childcare
100:10 101:10	155:5	233:16 252:6	248:18
103:15,21	challenging	252:11 266:11	children
104:17,21	67:5 226:14	271:23	245:12 246:23
108:3 109:3,6	<b>chance</b> 105:6	changing	247:9,10
109:19 112:19	111:12 117:23	103:19 145:24	248:10
112:21 113:6,9	121:12 149:17	channel 18:14	chino 1:5
119:5 121:3,24	152:13 165:15	chaparral	<b>choice</b> 94:23
128:19 129:6	181:18	119:14 140:5	<b>choose</b> 177:24
130:7 133:3,6	<b>chang</b> 1:21 4:9	characterizati	<b>chose</b> 13:1,6
133:25 146:17	4:18 6:14	129:12	<b>chris</b> 2:12
146:23 147:21	90:19,21 96:2	characterize	chris.moander
148:11,16	96:6 162:18,19	146:15	2:13
154:2 159:7,8	211:4,5,7,22	charge 106:1	<b>chunk</b> 30:1
160:20 162:10	change 23:13	145:15,19,24	<b>circle</b> 27:10
170:7 171:18	27:18 57:1,19	169:9,17	circulate
176:6 195:3,5	91:6 166:21	180:14 196:7	234:20 263:15
207:16 264:22	167:19 170:25	207:6 216:13	

### [circulated - collateral]

circulated 54:7	clause 259:1,2	climate 13:8	212:6,17 213:3
circumstances	259:13	clings 242:21	213:7,12,14
25:17 110:16	clean 11:12,20	clip 174:9,19	217:18 218:13
115:8 119:3	12:2 147:25	clock 72:4	218:18 219:8
121:1	250:13 252:18	close 34:20	219:22,23
cite 218:11	cleanup 9:17	85:20 105:19	220:9,10
citizens 250:24	148:3 243:7,12	106:18 115:23	222:18,20
251:4	243:19 252:20	120:15 166:6	231:21 232:3,6
city 240:22	253:5	175:17 243:14	231:21 232:3,0
civil 25:12,16	clear 20:7	252:19	238:11,15
claim 135:2	28:25 34:14	closed 7:20	253:18,20,22
174:24 185:19	35:8 37:24	147:2 217:22	, ,
claims 164:17	41:23 45:11	closer 215:24	254:5,8,15,16 258:6,8 262:9
	46:16 49:7	closing 219:14	262:12 263:21
164:19,21 182:8 185:16		clothes 242:22	263:23 264:1
218:12	52:12 60:10,13 71:22 76:15,24	cloutier 2:17	264:20,21
clarification	84:24,25 86:5	4:5,8,20 15:6,9	266:5,9 267:13
19:8 147:9	86:18 88:3,8	15:18,22,23	267:15 268:19
178:9 205:1	89:13 90:3	16:5,19 17:1	268:24 272:7,9
clarifications		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	108:3,18 126:21,23	17:19,23 20:9	272:21 273:2,4
18:23,25 <b>clarified</b> 29:3	142:23 145:4	20:20 23:9,11 25:11 30:23	273:11,13
61:16 157:9	199:16 206:10	33:19 36:18,22	277:18,22 <b>club</b> 248:8
clarifier 47:24	226:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	coal 259:19
		40:18,21,25	coals 80:11
<b>clarify</b> 19:12	clearly 35:19	41:1 42:2,11	
24:18 65:19 110:1 123:19	77:4 235:3	46:8,12 51:20	code 73:20
	clerks 226:22 click 136:2,12	52:1,2 57:16 59:17 60:2	collateral
135:1 156:22	<b>'</b>	64:19 70:22	103:16,23 104:3 106:8
<b>clarifying</b> 89:2	136:13,24		104.3 100.8
	<b>clicking</b> 136:25 <b>client</b> 158:4	72:3,14 77:3 77:19 80:10	117:24 150:23
clarity 77:24 78:4,7 106:24	214:21 254:22	82:16,17,20	168:10,13,14
141:6 157:23	clients 166:4	83:11 87:10,16	168:18 169:4,9
254:3	clifton 265:13	87:21 88:7	169:16 170:1
454.5	265:19	96:8,12 212:5	
	203.19	90.0,12 212.3	171:8,11,14,21
	I .	1	

### [collateral - commission]

172:8,10,13,20	colorado's	176:2 195:11	commercial
172:24 180:5,6	204:11	coming 28:8	200:13
180:11,13,19	<b>colors</b> 58:5,6	32:11 37:24	commission 1:3
182:6 183:18	<b>column</b> 37:22	40:9 75:10	1:20,23 2:9 6:5
185:4,22	38:9,12 83:6	78:19 89:23	6:20,25 8:21
193:18,24	<b>com</b> 119:14	90:7 94:3	17:25 21:9
194:4 195:1,5	125:19	164:7 242:18	22:5,9,21,24
195:16,19	combination	commencing	23:6 24:16
196:18 197:25	67:23 180:19	63:1	25:23 28:18
198:8 199:13	combined 35:7	comment 6:9	29:12 32:14
199:25 209:22	51:12	6:11,18,24	34:25 35:1
270:20 271:8,9	<b>come</b> 25:17	14:20,23,24	37:13,18 38:11
collect 88:23	28:20 31:7	15:1 57:1 95:4	38:15 39:23
195:12	35:7 38:18	121:25 206:5	41:3 59:11
collected	39:23 40:1,23	207:10,17	61:25 62:21
200:19	45:17 80:5,9	212:13 232:1	71:12 77:7
collective 21:8	86:13 88:5	238:12,19,22	84:7 85:7
26:5	92:6 100:18	239:5,13	86:10 87:21,23
collectively	130:9 144:8	253:12,12	88:1 89:13
60:11	154:13 163:5	277:24,25	97:16 155:8
collects 27:7	172:7 195:16	278:2,5	156:3 157:19
college 240:10	209:14 222:5	commentary	160:15 164:9
247:20,21,24	231:25 243:14	157:15 274:14	191:10 202:25
<b>color</b> 274:2	252:19 261:19	commented	213:9 214:14
colorado 9:13	267:9,11	54:2 270:20	214:15 215:20
10:8,14 11:5	268:10 274:24	commenters	218:19 220:12
13:5 165:10	<b>comes</b> 47:17	238:18	220:19 221:8
167:21 175:3,4	93:23 107:17	comments 6:22	221:10,11,17
175:12,14,23	108:22 139:19	22:12 53:7,11	223:1,6,14
178:11,11,16	157:1 184:10	53:18 54:1,9	224:1,16
178:21 179:8	206:10	54:23 122:4	225:23 227:8
184:2 187:4,5	comfortability	212:10 239:17	228:14 230:7
188:25 195:17	172:18 190:13	239:19 249:22	230:17 232:13
204:7 248:12	comfortable	269:24 275:16	233:14,17
	85:15 138:7		236:20,21

### [commission - completeness]

237:12 239:20	committee	companies 8:12	215:13 262:3,7
249:1 253:24	14:15 27:12,14	8:17 14:13	company's
254:17 262:13	244:14 252:21	21:13,25 24:6	189:23 232:19
264:2 265:3	committee's	35:6,11 36:2	compare
266:14 272:10	30:2	40:11 51:10	176:12
279:5	commodity	52:14 53:14,22	compared
commission's	114:22 120:23	54:9,18 55:9	259:23
97:14 218:4	193:10,13	55:13 79:10,15	comparing
219:5,25	<b>common</b> 11:24	79:20 84:14	261:12
221:12 223:21	38:8 39:13	92:20 99:22,24	comparison
239:16	114:23 211:9	108:5 110:10	137:6
commissioner	211:12 228:15	112:12 154:15	comparisons
3:17 4:8,9,14	229:8,12	165:2 193:15	40:1
4:14,17,18	232:11 233:16	194:8 195:25	compensate
6:12,13 16:2,6	243:13 250:18	196:10,18	229:10
16:20,21 17:2	265:10	197:3 198:19	competition
17:3 41:8	commonalities	199:4 206:2	118:21
72:18,24 83:13	89:25	233:9 243:12	competitive
83:16 86:21	commonly	243:22 247:22	13:21
87:1,3,6 88:6,9	231:16,19	248:6 252:18	compilation
90:13 92:17	communicate	263:19	62:21
95:19 155:18	21:8	company 8:5,6	<b>compile</b> 133:15
155:21 156:21	communicati	21:12 22:1,9	compiled 30:20
157:20 158:13	21:4 56:9	40:9 74:3	34:4
158:17 160:3	communities	97:21 99:17	complete 107:2
160:24 161:2,4	100:1 121:25	103:6,10	112:10 114:14
161:7 162:15	122:7 243:17	109:11,13	114:17 118:2
205:10,12,14	communitiza	110:6,13 111:1	completed
206:7 207:24	225:18	112:20,22	101:15 112:15
208:3,5,8	community	150:5 171:20	227:17 228:11
210:24 273:25	11:4 244:18	171:22 173:10	completely
commissioners	245:15,21	174:6 190:6	110:16
85:23 88:5	247:11,18,20	194:1 207:4	completeness
89:4 205:8	248:10	210:7 214:23	272:23
242:8 249:20		214:23 215:1,2	

### [completing - consenting]

completing	compression	25:2,7 26:11	conference
264:6	38:20	30:2 42:17,24	279:6
completion	compromise	42:25 43:2,5	conferring
120:8 228:2	159:2	43:13,15 59:16	16:12
262:10,14	computer	61:9,15 62:4,7	confident 138:8
263:1,5 264:3	231:23 232:17	102:2,5 110:21	confines 53:14
264:15 279:1	232:22	139:7 157:5,10	<b>confirm</b> 48:14
completions	computers	157:16 167:18	58:9 132:23
111:23	232:16	249:6 253:8	134:18 137:8
compliance	<b>concede</b> 148:16	266:12,15	confirmed
23:22 24:11,13	concentrated	267:19	141:11
25:15 31:5	29:19 30:15	concerted	conflict 77:16
32:5,6 66:19	concern 24:7	84:13	115:17 265:10
66:22 67:9,11	26:13 27:21	conclude 31:16	confused 64:14
69:21,23 70:3	41:17 42:2,6	60:3 78:15	65:21 66:9,10
73:10,24 74:5	70:6 91:22	concludes	confusing
74:15,23 75:4	102:23 106:4	36:19 40:18	36:14
75:21 76:19	107:14 109:3	46:9 118:8	confusion
108:14 127:4	110:15 117:20	122:12 188:11	91:16,20
146:24 147:13	117:21 158:14	191:11 266:5	124:20,25
178:6 184:5	161:11	277:18	126:1
185:18 204:10	concerned	conclusion	connection
240:15,16	13:25 23:17	35:24 75:13	119:11
compliant 71:1	32:22 107:13	112:13	<b>conoco</b> 7:12
complicated	119:1 157:22	conclusions	consecutive
228:7	236:17 245:12	39:21	134:3 161:25
<b>comply</b> 173:16	250:6	conditioned	162:3
179:8	concerning	188:4	consensus
complying	19:2 28:15	conditions	44:17 85:24
173:13	73:2 78:8 91:6	71:17 176:23	86:3
component	158:11 266:12	194:6	consent 234:8
100:24 103:13	269:1,22	conduct 6:5	234:12 263:14
109:23	270:22 271:23	235:25 238:5	263:17
comprehensive	concerns 22:20	conducted 10:5	consenting
182:8	22:21 24:17	236:6	234:22 275:21

### [consequences - copas]

consequences	constant	continue 32:23	contribute
11:19 178:23	126:23	45:13 70:17	21:20 129:11
256:21	constitutes	78:14 97:23	130:7 146:8
conservation	223:16 225:1	104:15,22	244:18
1:3 2:9 6:5	constitutional	131:7 176:3	contributed
25:22 46:6	274:9,11	195:21 196:1	179:7 255:23
49:19 61:1	constitutional	196:15 241:14	contributes
187:22 190:17	274:6,8	241:16 244:20	241:5
190:20 192:8	constrain 109:4	continued	contributing
201:20 220:19	constrained	193:7	146:5 197:11
244:13 279:5	168:18 180:25	continues	248:19
conservative	183:13 259:11	241:12	<b>control</b> 93:10
169:8	constraint	continuing	93:14,18 95:18
consider 101:3	259:5 262:2	196:3	110:17 136:10
115:8 143:5	constraints	continuously	166:14 262:6
148:5 159:12	198:22	76:13	controllers
189:8 245:19	consultation	contract	10:21
249:2 266:22	156:11	110:11 213:22	convene 16:6
consideration	consulting	contractors	conversation
13:15 14:15	255:2	39:6 166:11,15	87:14 175:8
85:7 160:15	consuming	166:18	conversations
243:25	182:9	contracts	55:11 71:18,20
considered	<b>cont'd</b> 3:1 5:1	110:12 214:8	conversely
32:18 91:10	contact 278:4	225:25 228:13	217:6
102:22 109:8	contest 128:18	229:22	conversion
116:17 125:20	contests 185:19	contractual	34:16 134:7
126:5,6 162:12	context 28:19	105:5 116:5	261:13
172:22 210:10	42:16 50:16	174:9,20	converted
considering	75:10 95:17	176:17 275:2	257:4
23:18 91:14	211:10	contractually	<b>copas</b> 229:23
113:24 222:3	continental	182:12	230:1,2 231:5
consistent 72:1	220:23 223:7	contradictory	231:8,12,16,20
consistently	contingent	208:11	232:10 235:20
190:9	182:15 186:9	contrast 176:20	236:12,23
		182:10	237:19

### [copies - couple]

<b>copies</b> 53:11	82:10 89:11	38:18,20 39:6	146:12 147:17
230:23	90:25 112:5	40:3 92:8,8,10	147:20 148:2
<b>copy</b> 47:4	123:15 124:8	99:9 103:11,19	150:15,18,19
<b>core</b> 109:8	124:15 125:16	103:20 104:19	151:8,8 178:2
<b>corner</b> 256:10	127:7,8,11,23	106:21 107:8	180:20,21,23
256:12	128:1,17 132:3	107:11 113:1	182:5 185:2
<b>corners</b> 242:17	132:7,12 134:5	115:21 116:10	187:11,15
<b>corp</b> 174:6	134:6 135:22	116:12,21	206:15,18
corporate	135:23 136:20	118:20 120:19	226:25 228:6
13:25 56:12	137:21 138:10	122:6 127:25	229:11,16
59:7,8 60:8	138:23 141:17	128:4 142:22	234:14,21
250:12 266:23	144:1 150:4,16	143:2,6,8,10,25	council 98:9
corporation	150:17 151:11	144:3 145:16	229:24
97:19 136:19	153:24 155:4	145:21 146:10	counsel 1:23
138:20 139:11	158:23 165:12	146:11 148:5,6	15:25 16:13
140:20 141:1	170:8 172:14	148:10,15	201:12
250:23 251:1	172:25 196:25	152:24 153:7	<b>count</b> 30:16
corporations	201:21,22	167:13 173:19	53:22 125:16
250:12 253:1	203:4,17	182:18 186:5	162:8 253:18
correct 18:21	208:15,16	207:1,2,7	counted 34:9
24:19,20 29:15	215:21 279:9	222:4 235:8,12	34:17
34:1 36:16,17	corrected 219:6	235:16 237:2	counties 10:11
37:18,19 50:25	corrections	237:20 243:15	countries 247:7
52:11,12 53:4	18:22 215:23	250:16 251:7	country 16:8
53:5,8,9,13,19	216:3 217:14	252:19 257:3	242:12 243:2
53:20 54:3,4,9	correctly 36:14	263:12,13	<b>counts</b> 34:19
54:15,16,19,20	147:4 156:6	271:2	<b>county</b> 8:10,18
55:22,25 56:7	197:25	<b>costing</b> 252:22	10:23 240:22
57:2,6,13	correlative	<b>costs</b> 35:15	244:17 245:24
61:10,11,18,22	223:9 225:3,5	38:5,13 39:17	246:17
63:18 69:21	225:11,15	54:15 99:11	couple 6:17
73:11 74:16	275:13	116:1,2,4,11	18:25 25:12
75:23 76:8,9	<b>cost</b> 12:1 27:4	128:13 143:22	29:13 36:5
76:13,14 78:15	32:19 36:5	144:7 145:20	39:20 40:20
79:13,14 82:3	37:22 38:5,16	145:20 146:5	44:3 59:19

## [couple - data]

61:2 82:24	create 11:21	criteria 183:20	66:8 70:6
87:9 97:11	12:8 111:24	183:21	71:13 74:3,5
100:5 121:11	112:2 115:17	critical 182:20	75:3 76:1,16
131:24 132:17	118:6 122:6	243:25 251:13	76:25 77:16,17
140:4 179:11	129:6 155:5	criticism 83:2	98:21 99:20
192:8 194:10	created 91:20	87:11,17,20,25	103:6 104:2
195:16 202:18	115:12 117:2	88:4	105:21 109:20
202:23 208:9	173:11 178:22	criticized 23:5	124:6,13
211:5 214:20	188:19 208:13	87:23	125:15 127:7
218:9 230:11	274:7	criticizing	138:22 139:4
260:12	creates 108:15	87:21	161:21 164:11
<b>course</b> 37:10	creating 28:10	<b>cross</b> 4:6,6,7,7	167:24 175:3
61:23 126:18	36:1 104:25	4:11,12,12,13	190:23 203:21
193:19 275:8	160:10,11	4:16,17 46:14	curtail 110:6
<b>court</b> 220:23	220:21	46:18 60:19	<b>curve</b> 192:3
265:19 266:3	creative 207:18	72:21 81:3	curves 270:2
<b>cover</b> 177:11	creature	123:8 131:19	<b>cut</b> 112:11
229:15 231:5	220:20 227:21	142:16 149:11	cutting 78:1
235:8	credentials	149:17 192:4	cycle 12:3
coverage	166:2	202:19	d
176:23	credit 59:7	<b>crude</b> 223:18	<b>d</b> 4:1 5:1 9:7
covered 118:16	103:25 130:10	crummier	25:5 57:15
138:14 176:25	165:24 171:23	199:3	73:25 212:22
182:12 224:20	172:4 176:17	<b>culpa</b> 217:9	249:13,13
272:14,25	181:5,10	current 19:22	251:23
273:10	183:11 185:23	20:6 23:19,21	dang 106:17
covering	197:20 198:2	64:2 73:8,9,21	dangerous 11:9
243:15 276:8	198:10,13	81:11,13	dark 114:12
<b>covers</b> 167:16	270:23,25	105:17 120:25	dash 222:9
<b>covid</b> 193:11	271:4,6,12,13	121:6 128:6	data 34:16
<b>cpas</b> 99:25	271:13,15	138:25 156:4	88:15 89:9
cracked 10:20	crews 24:5	158:21 251:10	133:9,13,16,18
10:25	cripple 206:2	252:17	133:19 136:12
crawford	<b>crisis</b> 93:11	currently 8:17	
crawioru	C11818 93.11	cultury 0.17	137.15 139.12
270:14	250:13	55:16 64:3	137:15 139:12 140:9,19,23,24

### [data - demonstrate]

141:1,25 143:4	159:4 161:24	dedicated	62:9 75:14
268:11	162:5 225:9	164:20	92:14 102:13
database	234:25 261:9	deductibles	111:21 133:23
152:13	263:17	176:25	134:8,12,18
<b>date</b> 268:13	<b>de</b> 13:14	<b>deem</b> 42:6	135:3,17,18
276:9,10	<b>deal</b> 24:24	199:11	137:17 138:3
daunting 84:18	203:10 226:17	deemed 24:23	141:2,18 160:5
day 6:8 21:11	226:23 227:12	161:12 174:1	161:22 254:10
21:11,24 24:13	228:23 255:2	267:3	257:25 269:2,5
40:15 76:7	dealing 144:17	deems 198:2,9	269:7,9,13,14
116:17 120:6	250:11	<b>deep</b> 144:16,21	269:14,17,18
120:15 126:22	<b>deals</b> 221:20	144:24 152:23	269:19
133:1 140:7	death 24:9	153:6 190:7	definitions
142:4,6 154:7	25:20 73:5	deepen 222:5	101:4 102:10
156:19 224:22	decades 52:11	deepened	223:22
242:25 261:19	52:22 116:8	221:24	definitively
day's 126:17	<b>decent</b> 212:12	<b>deeply</b> 158:11	200:24 203:15
days 44:8 76:7	<b>decide</b> 255:25	242:19 245:11	210:21 211:12
107:5,5 109:4	decided 97:22	default 185:15	degree 13:1
109:15,16	159:15	195:12 196:19	104:9 240:8,9
121:2 125:4,7	decision 14:16	defaults 184:23	delayed 184:4
126:4,14,14	95:8 264:12	207:9	<b>delays</b> 185:23
127:2,5 132:15	decisions 84:14	<b>defer</b> 85:17	delineation
132:18,20	110:23 261:3	deferred 267:6	177:16
133:1,2,5	declare 185:15	<b>define</b> 101:8	<b>deliver</b> 261:21
134:1 136:23	decline 270:2	defined 116:4	demand 183:2
139:25 140:6	declining	125:3 132:7	184:1 188:19
141:5,9,14,20	242:25	146:7 220:20	188:23 270:2
143:9,17,20	decommissio	235:3	276:16,17
153:14,15,17	59:10 174:12	defining 127:13	demands 27:25
153:19 154:8	174:16 179:19	161:21	48:19 49:10
155:2,3 156:1	187:11,16	definitely 51:5	195:20
156:2,7,9,13,14	decrease	85:25 86:8	demonstrate
156:20 157:23	193:13	definition	59:1 172:23
158:2,10,24		50:11 52:13	

### [demonstrates - diminish]

demonstrates	deployed 9:19	detected 226:1	dictionary.com
29:18	<b>deposit</b> 171:23	determination	269:12
demonstrating	<b>dept</b> 2:10	76:10	<b>died</b> 43:14,17
28:9	<b>depth</b> 22:4	determine	44:2
demonstration	138:9 144:22	104:22 108:13	diede 51:8
70:8	153:1,2 222:3	139:10 170:6	difference 40:8
demonstrative	228:1	determined	91:18 153:19
5:7,8 20:11	<b>deputy</b> 27:13	145:12	206:13 262:14
48:13 68:2	157:21 242:9	detriment	differences
122:14,20	derived 36:15	111:25	86:9 204:9
124:4 190:16	describe 20:22	devastating	different 8:2
191:13,20	33:21 99:13	90:11 110:17	10:6,13 38:5
219:10	213:15 214:15	<b>develop</b> 119:19	47:25 52:14
demonstratives	228:14 254:19	173:16 274:16	53:22 54:1,2
5:10 155:14	256:20	276:20	75:14,14 92:25
219:19	described 83:7	developable	101:22 102:10
<b>denied</b> 183:22	109:3 143:22	276:2	113:11 143:21
denoted 38:8	214:5,17	developed	148:7 189:17
department	describing	228:20 275:16	193:6 247:7
56:10 174:7	73:15	development	254:23 258:12
240:14	<b>deserve</b> 251:10	114:4 118:15	261:14 262:17
department's	deserves 88:1	118:19 233:9	274:16 275:4
18:13	designated	256:16 271:18	differentiator
departments	129:23	274:25 275:23	206:15
21:16 240:23	designating	developments	differently
240:24	103:25	229:3	126:9 130:3
<b>depend</b> 245:22	designed	<b>dialed</b> 14:21	183:6
dependent	182:10 262:22	253:14	difficult 75:11
180:9 190:5	<b>desire</b> 247:25	dice 256:8	84:5 180:1
depending 36:7	despite 150:13	dictate 178:4	<b>digest</b> 44:10
38:17 263:11	detail 84:2	261:1,24	digitize 268:10
depicted 30:9	213:16	dictated 274:8	dime 227:16
depleted	details 173:7	dictionary	diminish
255:16	249:4	269:7,8,13	241:11

### [direct - division]

<b>direct</b> 4:5,11,16	direction 85:18	85:25 86:3	disposition
4:20 5:4,6,8,9	97:23 118:2,18	151:3	279:14
17:22 18:18	119:18 159:8	discussed 19:10	<b>dispute</b> 139:9
19:15,18 20:11	directly 10:24	39:19 40:1	140:25 185:18
20:17 23:7	22:1 43:23	61:16 77:14	236:15
26:18 34:15	65:18 91:12	79:10 113:7	disrespectful
36:19 47:4,15	98:2 184:13	126:9 127:9	93:22
53:1,24 56:15	244:22 278:8	160:12 221:15	<b>disrupt</b> 121:15
56:15,20,22	director 18:2	discusses	disruption
57:18,21 58:1	20:25 56:3,9	126:11	109:24
58:22 61:15	61:1 71:19	discussing 17:4	disruptive
64:25 65:4,5,7	94:18 131:23	78:11 195:17	212:14
71:8 77:15	157:22 192:7	205:16 206:23	distinction
79:9 83:23,24	242:10 267:1	223:13	135:4 177:1
86:7 96:15	directors 98:6	discussion	182:20 194:14
97:7,12 109:2	disagree 38:16	16:17,17 42:19	258:19
118:9,15	42:15 85:11	44:3 54:22	distinguishing
122:12,15,19	disagreement	68:6 78:17	194:12
123:14 125:9	37:16 39:17	86:14 89:15	distributed
134:22 137:6	209:15	93:6 156:1	136:1
142:20 155:13	disagreements	160:2,4,8,10,10	distribution
163:2 164:4	28:24	188:2	136:2
168:20 171:1	disappear	discussions	<b>district</b> 250:3,5
176:10 181:17	275:8	41:12,13 69:19	250:10
188:12 191:12	disaster 164:21	89:12 104:8	diverse 199:2
191:18 197:17	disclosing	159:1,3 160:13	diversified
200:8 206:21	53:15	178:18	203:9 210:5
212:9 213:6	discovery	dismissed	<b>divest</b> 106:13
215:19 216:3,9	258:16	80:13	diving 176:8
217:19 219:9	discretion	displace 211:14	190:7
219:18 236:5,7	25:18	disposal 25:14	division 19:3
245:1 263:24	discretionary	105:15 134:13	19:20,24 20:1
264:19 266:6	179:16	135:14,19,22	20:6 25:23
directed 93:1	discuss 44:12	137:13,20	27:1 28:4,22
140:21 141:11	56:17 77:15	138:5	29:4,8 36:1

### [division - earthworks]

	I	I	
42:23 44:6,14	250:15 265:23	<b>drawn</b> 26:22	<b>due</b> 8:10 10:25
49:19,24 59:5	domestic 48:20	185:6	16:4 184:5
60:7 61:1 63:3	165:4 166:17	<b>drill</b> 11:25	185:23 197:1,5
83:2,7 86:1	dominion 32:4	100:15 243:13	197:6,7
94:19 133:24	69:19	275:20,21	<b>duly</b> 17:21 97:6
190:17,21	donated 248:6	<b>drilled</b> 100:3,3	164:3 213:5
192:8 201:20	<b>door</b> 85:20	150:6 151:25	<b>duty</b> 21:6
240:15	<b>dot</b> 256:9	227:5,23	<b>dylan</b> 71:19
division's 28:16	<b>dots</b> 256:8,10	228:19 229:16	dynamic
63:5	256:12	230:15 258:22	200:18
divisions 56:11	<b>double</b> 41:17	drilling 8:5,6	e
<b>divulge</b> 266:21	133:18	8:10,14 76:8	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1
doctor's 11:23	<b>doubt</b> 30:4	100:8 113:10	5:1 7:4 9:7,8
doctrine 265:5	downhole	199:7 214:23	12:18 15:25
document 9:21	115:12	225:12,16	17:14,14 43:21
34:21 65:2	downturn 14:9	229:13 243:19	43:24 96:21
66:15 242:12	<b>dozen</b> 179:11	252:12 260:14	136:2 163:20
242:17	<b>dozens</b> 266:3	272:16 275:18	212:22,23,23
documentation	<b>dr</b> 1:22 161:9	275:19	239:25 242:1,2
59:10 185:17	draft 89:6	<b>drive</b> 1:6 2:10	244:6,6 246:10
documented	231:15	14:13 170:10	249:13,14
156:4 157:24	drafted 126:8	214:2	251:23 271:25
<b>dog</b> 215:18	drafting 21:20	<b>driven</b> 183:25	<b>e&amp;p</b> 18:4
<b>doing</b> 8:17 28:5	dramatically	188:23 190:11	earlier 19:9
46:13 84:21	101:16 144:18	190:13 197:4,6	94:7 101:21
95:11 113:5	183:25 237:3,7	197:10 211:13	109:1 115:15
156:18 237:20	drastic 90:8	driver 94:9	170:4 178:10
251:7 262:20	drastically	<b>drivers</b> 94:8,13	179:24 226:6
<b>dollar</b> 146:7	271:3	driving 94:14	
234:18	draw 95:25	<b>drop</b> 209:17	231:13 234:8
dollars 35:8	drawdown	<b>dry</b> 196:20	235:23 237:14
105:23,24	185:24	<b>dub</b> 151:4	early 41:7,11
111:17 114:17	drawing	<b>dubbed</b> 25:19	143:9 214:24
121:11 247:19	169:13 172:5	<b>dudley</b> 249:9	earthworks
248:7,15		249:13,23	9:15,18 10:4
·		·	242:10,15

#### [ease - engage]

ease 47:11	270:13 271:14	277:10 278:6	215:7
easier 89:24	272:1 273:5	elaborate 10:15	employment
270:10	276:11	192:23	51:9 97:16
east 2:4 233:10	effective	<b>elect</b> 263:17	246:25 247:5
<b>eating</b> 116:21	167:13 173:12	electing 228:1	247:16
ecmc 175:13,15	255:7	election 227:20	empowered
175:19 178:25	effectively 26:7	227:21	220:21
204:13	26:15	electricity	enable 136:2
economic	effectiveness	259:20	enabling 247:1
102:12 104:15	182:21	eligible 24:14	enacted 268:3
117:3 120:2,5	effects 110:18	24:24 181:2	encourage
225:16 247:17	110:22 184:8	eliminate 24:10	118:19 241:14
256:19,24	271:1	eliminated 40:2	278:6
260:2	efficiencies	embarrassed	ended 12:3
economical	199:9 200:3	216:2	225:17 230:9
214:1	efficient 108:16	emergency	energy 2:10
economically	efficiently	245:7	13:2,4,19 14:9
104:23 274:21	40:10 101:17	emissions 9:21	69:19 97:19
economics 59:9	<b>efforts</b> 13:17	10:1,19,24	98:2,7,8,16
130:21 159:16	<b>eight</b> 100:4	11:1,5	99:1 102:3
economy 241:3	191:3 247:9	<b>emnrd</b> 21:14	105:7 112:24
244:25 249:2	269:24	56:6,8,9	136:18 137:16
<b>eddy</b> 8:17	<b>either</b> 71:3 76:4	emnrd.nm.gov	138:20 139:11
editing 136:3	94:14 100:21	2:12,13	140:20 141:1
education 84:9	139:1 169:11	emphasize 25:6	146:2 151:9
97:16 164:15	170:22 171:24	191:3	164:12,25
240:23 245:6	176:16 181:1	empire 33:6	189:7 203:9
254:24	182:5 194:2	<b>employ</b> 99:20	210:5 242:10
educational	198:9 199:13	employed	244:22 245:19
247:18	209:12 221:24	21:25 279:12	<b>enforce</b> 200:16
<b>effect</b> 103:4,10	226:20 247:24	employee 36:25	enforcement
104:13 119:24	262:20 264:9	56:6 252:8	68:10,24 83:3
151:10,15	268:8 270:23	employees	83:6
168:22 170:9	271:3,7 274:16	98:19 99:21,21	engage 89:23
259:9 261:2	276:14,18	107:12 122:5	99:22

## [engaged - everybody's]

engaged 69:18	entirety 45:8	equivalent	et 178:3 245:7
87:11,13,17	102:25 104:6	27:15 128:11	evaluates
engages 182:8	221:5 268:9	134:2 161:25	189:25 191:1
engaging 23:5	entities 55:16	261:9	evaluating
engineer 83:18	entitled 15:16	especially	172:17 182:21
215:8,12	entries 34:18	43:12 85:15	195:9
engineering	<b>entry</b> 19:21	113:23 147:10	evaluation
112:7,21	215:16	158:20 180:24	113:14
engineers	enumerated	183:7,13 226:7	evaporates
98:19 133:15	220:13	243:3 255:5,10	250:13
254:25 255:4	environment	260:25 264:7	event 6:23
256:6	10:3 11:4	275:23	20:15 122:17
<b>english</b> 15:2,3	13:19 56:10	<b>esq</b> 1:24	170:19 184:23
enhanced	113:3,10 121:6	essential	185:11 191:16
160:19	251:11 252:11	209:10 245:5	194:15 195:11
enlightening	260:1	essentially 27:1	196:19 200:1
149:23	environmental	31:11 35:21	218:2 221:22
enormous	2:3 43:18	40:14 76:22	227:13 239:18
35:20	46:22 123:12	81:21 84:12,22	events 21:4
ensure 12:6	182:22	90:8 91:17	eventually 14:4
181:21 186:23	environments	105:19 107:23	111:19
193:7 196:19	180:25 260:24	125:14 145:18	everhart 3:6
253:1	envision 177:18	206:14 257:12	23:10 82:18
ensures 182:2	<b>eog</b> 3:8,13 8:6,7	establish 48:9	213:13 220:9
ensuring 175:2	80:24 149:7	99:16 258:12	220:16 222:19
<b>enter</b> 267:2	202:15	268:16	254:6 258:7
entered 68:7	eogresources	established	267:14
147:3	3:15	119:23 262:19	everybody
entire 43:9	<b>eon</b> 31:20	263:12 265:10	44:24 94:10
89:19 98:2	<b>equal</b> 225:19	estimate 55:13	226:4 228:9
103:3 104:12	equipment	146:10,11	234:12 237:1
177:11 187:15	11:3,15 37:22	estimated	238:25
187:23 218:6	109:23,24	59:11	everybody's
entirely 114:20	148:14	estimating	222:13
116:23		189:9	

## [evidence - experience]

20.7	150 0 101 0	1.1.1. 20.2.7	1 00 4
evidence 28:7	179:8 191:2	<b>exhibit</b> 29:3,7	expand 99:4
88:15 94:17	193:11,20	29:14,25 30:12	109:5 230:7
237:6	exceed 105:7	30:14,17 31:10	expanded 13:5
evolution 231:5	105:18 216:25	31:11 33:18,21	118:1 152:18
<b>exact</b> 29:20	234:19	34:9,14 50:7	<b>expect</b> 148:9
107:22 123:24	exceeding	57:15,17 70:9	230:19 231:16
exactly 37:10	104:24	133:13,22	expected 34:20
82:21 223:21	<b>excel</b> 34:15,17	134:17 135:25	179:13 209:8
262:4 277:22	136:14,14	153:8 189:4,5	expended
examination	<b>except</b> 217:9	189:19 190:21	128:13
4:5,6,6,7,7,8,8	230:13	217:21,24	expenditure
4:9,9,11,11,12	exceptions	230:4 235:20	235:4
4:12,13,13,14	160:4,6	271:23	expenditures
4:14,16,16,17	excess 41:18	exhibits 5:3,5	40:2
4:17,18,18,20	132:20 138:21	5:10 20:12,16	expense 174:25
17:22 46:14,18	excessive 35:15	20:18 28:15,17	252:14
59:23 60:19	70:15	28:17 29:8,11	expenses 37:2
72:21 81:3	excluded 272:5	35:3 219:10,11	37:25 38:7
82:19 83:15	exclusively	219:20	39:22 79:20
87:5 90:20	174:11 190:13	<b>exist</b> 92:7 218:5	129:21 173:19
96:13 97:7	excruciatingly	existed 211:15	206:19 236:5
122:13 123:8	88:3	existence	expensive
131:19 142:16	excuse 31:23	192:14	256:17 263:15
149:11 152:10	37:20 40:7	existential 45:9	experience 9:25
155:20 161:6	96:7 126:6	existing 23:23	13:3,22 47:18
164:4 192:4	162:22 211:25	27:8 57:25	51:13 52:22
202:19 205:13	executive 20:25	66:24 67:12	54:14 56:2,5
208:7 211:6	56:2	73:16 101:19	60:8 67:22
213:6	<b>exempt</b> 272:22	105:20 113:8	94:18 97:17
examine	exemptions	113:11 129:23	98:13 99:1,19
140:11 149:17	160:11,16	130:11 166:25	102:4 164:15
examiner 123:6	exercise 141:12	170:24 185:2	165:7 166:2
example 24:5	155:7	192:25 203:25	178:16 180:6
54:6 114:7	exhaustive	226:20 256:18	224:17 231:19
165:8 169:7	42:21		232:12 254:19

## [experience - fall]

257.19 270.25	ovnlore 10.4	oxtromoly	115.5 24
257:18 270:25	<b>explore</b> 18:4	extremely	115:5,24
experienced	45:13 92:25	168:12 218:11	116:24 118:5
39:7	93:8	ezell 4:19	133:5 141:5,8
experiences	explored 91:8	<b>ezzell</b> 5:9 15:13	142:4 147:20
113:24	91:10	212:6,20 213:4	154:17 178:21
<b>expert</b> 21:10,18	exported	213:10,16	186:25 192:14
54:8 55:24	140:19	214:6 215:19	201:3 211:13
56:13 60:8	exposed 11:8	217:20,22,23	216:24 217:9
73:12 77:5	98:1 170:18	218:6,14,16,21	218:4,24
79:1 97:24	171:12 199:19	219:18 220:2	237:19 250:15
188:16 203:23	<b>exposes</b> 103:18	221:4 223:12	258:20
225:10	exposure	224:15 232:4	<b>factor</b> 154:3
expertise 61:21	170:12 195:22	232:10 237:11	factors 25:16
77:7	196:2,18 197:3	238:17 254:13	120:11 143:21
expiration	197:7 210:19	264:23 266:10	<b>facts</b> 94:17
276:10,12	210:23	268:19,25	<b>failed</b> 179:9
expired 272:2	exposures	270:18 273:7	<b>fails</b> 177:6
explain 22:5	196:1	276:22 277:22	182:3 218:11
84:6 112:1,21	expound 84:18	ezzell's 219:9	failure 179:5
119:2 171:5	express 42:6	266:5 277:19	215:17 276:20
176:10 190:25	221:16 253:8	f	<b>fair</b> 61:17
218:19 224:16	expressed 25:8	<b>f</b> 173:6	77:11 79:25
232:12 233:24	26:11 42:17	<b>fa</b> 84:2 195:18	96:2,2 113:13
236:19 237:12	43:11 45:24	206:25	129:3,12,16
254:17 262:13	61:9 88:10	<b>face</b> 66:5	130:18 146:15
272:10	expressly	105:22 185:23	146:23 147:6
explained	220:20	facilitate 91:24	160:21 192:17
73:19 202:25	extend 162:6	facilities 9:22	218:14
explaining	extensive 69:19		<b>fairly</b> 129:25
147:11	71:21 90:6	10:2,7 11:20	144:24
explanation	107:7	facility 10:23	<b>faith</b> 93:8,12
118:22	extent 45:20	<b>facing</b> 151:4	94:14 95:16
exploration	148:15	fact 22:10	217:11
247:6	extra 30:18	44:13 49:22	<b>fall</b> 66:19 67:11
	31:7,12 70:15	50:1 55:21	110:12 135:2
	,	61:19,22 67:8	

## [fall - financial]

	ı	I	
137:16,21	fashion 39:24	<b>felicia</b> 1:18 6:4	175:20 201:9
138:5,17 141:2	100:13 101:20	<b>fellow</b> 149:18	279:14
142:5 257:24	<b>fast</b> 181:17	<b>felt</b> 43:5 132:5	<b>finally</b> 189:18
<b>fallen</b> 132:6	258:10	210:20,21	243:22
<b>falls</b> 36:10 40:6	<b>father</b> 7:10,12	254:24	finance 27:12
116:13 141:7	99:17 246:17	<b>female</b> 96:23	27:14 30:1
185:9 243:16	father's 7:17	140:13	56:13 60:8
familiar 62:12	<b>fault</b> 223:6	<b>fenced</b> 174:11	252:20
171:21 173:8	<b>favor</b> 13:25	<b>fewer</b> 75:20	<b>finances</b> 193:15
175:10 190:16	14:5 207:12	124:9	194:2
200:17 227:9	<b>fe</b> 1:7 2:4,11,22	<b>field</b> 9:14 39:5	financial 6:6
families 245:21	3:14,19 14:3	45:4 143:12	32:6 33:15
247:16,25	17:6 63:5	154:13 215:5,8	48:4 50:14
248:9,21,25	213:24,25	242:11,16	59:2,8 62:11
<b>family</b> 13:8,10	214:2	243:1 256:16	63:4,10,16,21
97:20 98:18	feasible 180:23	<b>fields</b> 242:18	63:24 64:4,12
224:23 244:16	<b>february</b> 50:12	242:25 270:6	64:17 65:10,14
244:20 246:23	<b>fed</b> 119:14	<b>figure</b> 36:4	66:1,6,12,19
247:2	federal 8:13	40:13 117:13	68:4 69:22
<b>famous</b> 232:20	71:10,13,17	128:6,10 146:7	70:2 81:10,14
265:13	240:16 260:5	189:2	81:22 82:8
fantastic	266:19 272:5	figures 39:3	88:18 92:2,4
207:15	272:22	40:3 257:21	103:2 110:18
<b>far</b> 43:16 45:25	federally	<b>file</b> 190:23	117:23 118:18
83:9 128:5	272:14,25	<b>filed</b> 32:9 43:12	127:14 138:4
236:17 250:18	<b>fee</b> 40:14 260:6	44:9,17 45:8	138:20 139:1
<b>farm</b> 213:22	276:1	71:1	165:14,21
farmer's	feedback 23:20	<b>filing</b> 44:10	166:21 167:10
164:18	38:13	<b>filter</b> 136:10,13	172:18 174:13
<b>farmers</b> 164:20	<b>feeding</b> 224:23	136:16 141:15	176:14 177:2
farmington	<b>feel</b> 45:11 158:5	152:20	178:12 179:2
13:1 246:16,24	195:11 242:21	filtering 138:15	179:10,17,22
247:8,20	<b>feeling</b> 43:11	138:16 140:23	180:9 181:2,23
248:14,25	<b>feet</b> 144:23	<b>final</b> 58:22	181:25,25
		59:24 79:6	182:17,22

## [financial - follows]

102 11 104 0	<b>6</b> 240.22	260.12	200.2
183:11 184:9	fire 240:23	269:13	200:2
184:19 186:7	<b>firm</b> 213:11,20	firsthand	flowline 10:25
186:14,17	213:24 214:13	247:14	flows 129:22
187:5,18 190:6	244:8	<b>fiscal</b> 133:10,18	131:6 150:21
190:14,22	<b>firms</b> 99:25	139:12	198:22 199:23
192:15,22	<b>first</b> 6:16,18	<b>fit</b> 42:6 117:15	240:21
193:5,8,25	9:5 12:15	237:15	fluctuate 193:9
194:7,19	17:12,21 19:1	<b>fittings</b> 10:19	fluctuates
197:19 198:2	22:7,22 23:13	<b>five</b> 10:4,5	259:17 265:24
198:10,13,22	25:10 27:1,24	68:14 74:10,21	fluctuation
199:11 205:25	28:19 29:13	74:23,25 79:3	170:22 260:9
207:13,19	31:3 36:23	125:15,16,19	fluctuations
209:24 211:9	37:22 40:22	125:20,22	265:21
221:23 243:6	43:3 44:22	126:5,7 127:12	<b>focus</b> 13:13
264:17 268:16	49:23 52:6	127:19 131:9	58:6 89:13
270:21 272:17	58:7,15 60:23	153:16 154:4	103:12 143:23
272:25	68:19 71:11,25	200:4 210:12	199:5 234:3
financially	76:7 96:19	246:22 256:7,8	261:7
11:14 52:15	97:6 102:6	256:11	focused 165:4
117:22 179:7	103:5 126:10	<b>fix</b> 46:5 231:4	267:16 270:1
240:18	126:14 133:21	<b>fixed</b> 235:22,23	focusing 273:3
financials	139:25 146:18	<b>fixing</b> 36:3	<b>folks</b> 6:11
92:19 193:7	150:6 153:14	267:11	99:25 198:25
<b>find</b> 92:15 99:6	155:25 163:17	<b>flag</b> 212:11	199:21
110:13 122:4	164:3 168:23	<b>flares</b> 10:22	<b>follow</b> 15:13
206:4 212:15	185:15 193:3	flaring 24:22	58:13 71:10
230:2 232:11	197:18 212:20	25:3,4	99:15 157:18
262:15 263:19	213:5 216:4	<b>fleet</b> 130:15	197:17 211:16
273:21	228:18 239:13	flexibility	followed
<b>finding</b> 37:20	239:24 240:15	102:14 109:19	132:22 156:16
<b>finds</b> 25:15	241:24 249:12	177:25 186:5	following 63:4
<b>finish</b> 15:16	249:13 251:20	<b>flies</b> 189:16	76:7 162:3
166:16 175:17	251:22 254:12	<b>floor</b> 1:5	187:5 277:24
203:12	266:20,24	<b>flow</b> 92:19	<b>follows</b> 17:21
	267:21 269:1	101:10 120:14	97:6 164:3

## [follows - function]

212.5 210.7	form!a 10.12	210.17 220.21	<b>free</b> 214:10
213:5 218:7	<b>form's</b> 19:12 <b>formal</b> 175:16	210:17 229:21	
font 232:23		229:23 252:20	<b>freight</b> 260:19
<b>footing</b> 11:12	175:18,19	261:18 269:12	frequency
force 98:12	185:16	foundation	118:20
118:4 120:1	<b>format</b> 34:15	61:8 88:24	friday 41:7
275:22	93:3	139:7 156:24	<b>friend</b> 214:21
<b>forced</b> 7:14	formation	<b>founded</b> 99:17	friends 214:9
184:5 214:25	255:6 256:22	150:5	215:11
231:13 238:9	261:17	<b>four</b> 74:4 77:24	<b>frisky</b> 215:15
276:5	formations	99:8,9 102:6	<b>front</b> 17:11
<b>forces</b> 23:24	270:12	128:2,11	27:14 47:5
<b>forcing</b> 274:15	<b>formed</b> 257:8	140:13 142:21	58:16 92:2
foregoing	former 56:6	143:2,23 144:3	107:22 111:14
279:9	71:19 215:6	144:9,21	142:25
foresee 103:4	<b>forms</b> 165:21	146:11 147:23	<b>fruit</b> 189:16
forfeiture	173:3 181:3	152:23 153:2	fruitless 45:6
48:19 49:10	230:24 231:5	165:23 181:12	frustrating
62:11 201:19	232:23 233:3	181:14 200:19	43:10
forfeitures	233:15 236:5	242:17 256:10	frustrations
201:19	236:17,22,22	263:22	88:11
forgive 90:22	236:23	<b>fourth</b> 279:18	<b>fuge</b> 71:19
<b>forgot</b> 165:19	<b>formula</b> 255:25	<b>fox</b> 2:5,5 43:22	<b>fulfill</b> 103:24
<b>form</b> 11:22	<b>forth</b> 221:18	123:5 125:8	151:17
19:3 95:14,14	279:8	191:21,23	fulfillment
101:20 115:12	forthcoming	192:2	277:10
169:12 181:21	41:9 43:19	<b>frac</b> 262:22	<b>full</b> 99:20
228:13,20,25	78:21	263:6	102:24 114:4
229:5,5,8	forward 26:17	fraction 92:9	120:2 126:18
230:2,2,6,9,18	28:9 62:5	153:7	182:18 243:18
230:20,21,22	95:21,21	fractional	256:16
231:3,12,15,18	151:11 248:24	92:13	<b>fully</b> 107:7,24
232:14,17,25	257:10	<b>frame</b> 24:6	111:11 115:21
233:12,23	<b>found</b> 27:23	<b>francis</b> 1:6 2:10	116:10 253:2
235:20 277:8	37:13 89:25	<b>frankly</b> 121:25	function 186:8
	120:10,13	147:20	241:8

# [functioning - georgetown]

functioning 109:24 functions 21:2	147:11 152:3	110:11,13,14	265:11 275:3
	155:8 157:15	113:25 114:7,9	276:3
	158:5,15	114:10 115:6,7	gather 41:13
98:4	160:25 162:16	119:11 120:24	gathering 109:22 153:22 259:15 260:13 260:20 gauges 10:20 general 21:4,9 23:16 61:2 89:3 102:18
<b>fund</b> 46:6 66:8	201:24 205:3	121:1,7,10	
71:7 95:23	207:25 210:25	134:1,5,7	
106:7 129:20	279:7,12	135:11 161:23	
172:2 179:18	<b>futility</b> 43:11	165:2,3 166:11	
206:14 274:1,6	<b>future</b> 13:11	166:18 175:14	
274:12	57:11 92:21	187:21 211:10	
<b>fundamental</b>	151:17 157:9	214:5,16,19	
35:16 43:13	206:15,19	215:3 223:19	143:10,16
86:8,19	245:11,19	225:1 226:15	166:14
<b>fundamentally</b>	251:10 269:15	235:12 236:22	general's
89:18	<b>fy24</b> 137:15	240:16,19	175:15
<b>funded</b> 207:20	<b>g</b>	241:2,4,15	generally 37:23
funding 115:21	g 2:22 96:22	242:13,13,21	108:9 131:3
186:9 207:15	163:20 222:9	243:11,19	153:24 180:10
240:23 245:10	242:2	244:19,24	192:24 263:3
funds 8:19	gallegos 279:3	245:3,16	269:23
57:11 71:11	279:17	246:21,24	generate
129:24 169:10	gallup 7:10	247:4,6,12,15	112:24 236:1
169:12 172:6	gamble 264:8	247:22 248:4	generating
174:10 175:2	game 157:13	248:19,24	132:15
184:23 185:9	gas 3:3 8:19	250:19,22	generation
185:25 195:13	9:14,19,22,23	252:12,18	259:20
245:5 248:18 248:21 <b>furnished</b> 65:13 272:16 <b>further</b> 25:2 44:12,18 75:12	10:6,17 11:19 14:6 18:4,5 20:23 21:11,12 21:13 22:1 46:6 51:8 52:6	258:11,12,14 258:15,17,20 258:21,24,25 259:3,3,17,20 259:21,22,25 260:8,13,16,17	generations 13:11 251:10 geologist 215:7 215:12 226:18 geologists 98:19 114:11
82:14 86:22 90:15 95:22 131:12 142:7	52:20,20 55:12 95:16 101:23 105:15 109:9 109:11,14,25	260:20,22,23 261:12,16,17 261:18,21,25	geology 112:7 georgetown 149:18

### [getting - going]

<b>getting</b> 39:11	<b>given</b> 33:5 43:6	187:3 194:20	104:14 105:12
65:22 67:13,15	77:14 90:6	194:23 199:21	105:22,25
75:6 81:17	103:17 110:7,7	200:1 207:22	106:1,8,15
105:19 157:5	143:5 171:17	209:14 213:3	108:3,17,20
168:17 193:14	172:18 185:1	217:23 220:6,7	110:24 111:5
210:1 217:11	195:10 200:10	220:9 222:18	111:10,12,18
225:17 229:16	218:22 224:6	227:20 230:1	111:22 112:9
232:15 267:6	265:17	240:4 247:24	112:14 114:13
gilstrap 4:15	<b>giving</b> 80:10	248:16 250:11	114:15,24
5:8 15:10	<b>glad</b> 17:4 28:4	251:5 255:11	115:8,11
162:25 163:12	glaring 15:20	256:13,15	116:15 117:2
163:16,20	<b>global</b> 174:14	257:10 263:2	117:16,20,23
164:2,6,10	<b>glut</b> 115:6	263:18 267:1	118:2 120:20
191:18 192:6	<b>go</b> 15:12 16:23	270:6,7,16	121:15 122:24
194:5 195:18	17:18 25:11	271:6 276:11	124:8 125:9,11
196:4 197:16	27:16 30:23	<b>goal</b> 26:5	125:13 128:15
200:8,21	38:10 43:3	250:24	128:18 130:20
201:23 202:4,6	46:6,24 47:11	goes 57:25	131:25 133:17
202:21 205:7	50:2 57:24	114:4 173:6	133:19 134:15
205:11 208:9	58:2 84:2,25	185:1 186:25	135:24 136:2,3
210:24 211:8	94:23 97:2	198:19 262:4	136:10,11,12
211:25 212:1	105:16 110:8	270:3	136:13,16,21
270:21 276:23	110:14 111:4	<b>going</b> 6:15 16:5	136:22,24
277:5	111:15 112:3,9	30:25 35:6,20	137:5,18 139:2
gilstrap's	114:6,16,19,25	36:5 41:22	143:16,22
188:11 191:12	115:10 119:3	45:17 50:6,17	144:20 149:17
<b>girls</b> 248:8	119:13 120:1	53:1 54:5	151:11 154:9
<b>give</b> 28:19	120:18 126:3	56:15 57:14,16	157:15 158:22
50:16 138:7	130:24 133:4	59:15 61:5	163:2 165:18
144:11 152:12	135:25 136:3	62:8 64:17	168:1,3,9
163:12 172:15	136:11,16,21	70:2,14 73:17	169:5,9,17
181:18 242:23	143:19 145:13	75:24 86:12	171:15 172:5
249:6 263:16	152:17 154:8	88:21,21,22	172:23 173:5
278:5	163:17 164:1	92:14 93:1,24	174:2 177:22
	167:3,6 185:12	95:2 101:14	189:2 192:10

## [going - hall]

	I	I	1
195:10 196:4	95:15,16 102:7	<b>governs</b> 236:24	<b>growing</b> 246:23
199:12,19	108:9 117:14	graduate 12:25	<b>grown</b> 99:14
206:11,19	117:23 118:22	graduated 7:21	guarantee
209:18 210:11	119:7 123:10	164:16 213:19	107:16 114:15
215:11 219:4,6	130:8 131:21	grandmother	176:14 181:24
219:13 224:7	142:13,18,19	245:11	185:5 186:24
227:14 229:17	146:16,19,21	<b>grant</b> 71:13,17	guaranteed
233:14,17	147:10,15	<b>grants</b> 221:17	185:4 271:5
234:19 235:24	149:13,14	247:23	guarantor
237:4 238:7	156:23 159:12	<b>graph</b> 120:25	174:1 176:18
248:24 253:25	164:6 191:2	<b>gravity</b> 112:23	guess 22:2
255:24 256:4,4	192:6 202:21	112:23	64:14 75:9
256:6 257:8	202:22 208:9	<b>grazer</b> 72:16	76:23 99:16
259:22,23	228:24 232:21	great 22:4	112:2 139:18
261:6 262:5,10	233:19 238:12	97:11 124:16	149:25 167:14
262:18 264:9	241:2 242:8	130:14 175:25	177:1,16 181:6
264:12 265:23	246:15,25	199:4 206:3	204:17,19
267:22 268:5	249:20 252:4	231:24 251:19	206:13 208:23
268:25 269:16	267:8	<b>greater</b> 102:14	210:15 269:5
270:6 271:10	<b>googling</b> 269:9	153:3 198:14	<b>guide</b> 97:12
278:3	269:12	198:23 217:1	<b>guy</b> 198:17
<b>good</b> 6:3 17:24	<b>gosh</b> 166:7	219:1 270:10	276:16
18:1 23:18	<b>gossip</b> 154:13	greatest 189:22	h
24:23 25:24	<b>gotten</b> 254:3	gregory 1:21	<b>h</b> 3:19 17:14
27:8 28:10,25	governed	grew 252:6	244:6,6
30:1 35:14,20	237:25	grisham's 98:7	half 27:24
36:2 45:25	government	gross 174:16	126:19 139:25
46:20 58:17	45:2 47:18	<b>ground</b> 10:24	169:24,24
60:21 70:14,16	241:7,10	225:1 275:10	171:16,17
70:19,21 72:17	government's	<b>group</b> 119:6	180:16,16
72:23 81:5,6	240:21	127:19 153:13	227:7 233:10
83:17 87:7,8	governments	175:13 179:8	233:11 248:11
88:22 93:7,8	240:22	<b>grow</b> 32:23	hall 1:5 15:15
93:12,12,16	governor 98:7	172:2 247:21	15:19 19:9
94:6,14 95:7	203:9		60:16 131:16
			00.10 131.10

## [hall - hearing]

218:3 219:13	happens 95:1	headlines 190:9	17:2,9,15,18
219:15 237:5	110:10 192:25	254:11	19:23 20:9,14
264:16 272:19	194:23 275:3	health 7:14	27:19 28:9
272:23	<b>happy</b> 232:7	10:2 11:3,16	36:18,21 40:19
<b>hall's</b> 19:11	274:10	93:10 240:23	41:20,21 42:3
<b>hand</b> 14:20,22	<b>harbor</b> 246:18	245:6	42:8 43:16
129:23 130:11	<b>hard</b> 85:23	<b>hear</b> 9:3 46:16	46:10,11,17
187:20 195:6	106:11 167:21	60:11 84:16	47:7,10 51:17
231:1 253:15	168:12 241:6	97:9 124:23	51:25 57:17,22
263:1 271:18	274:19	144:25 163:4	58:2 59:17,20
handful 99:21	hardships	238:25 244:3	60:1,12,18
105:15 124:11	28:10	251:8	64:20 68:7,14
<b>handle</b> 96:13	<b>harm</b> 102:8	heard 1:17	72:3,7,10,12,15
130:3 220:1	117:15 118:1	19:23 22:19	72:17,20 77:3
<b>handled</b> 164:20	<b>harmful</b> 108:17	25:13 39:2	77:9,20 79:3,5
235:13	113:9	42:12,15 49:16	80:3,8,15,19,21
<b>handles</b> 156:12	harris 244:3,4	87:25 113:18	80:23 81:2
handling	244:5,6,9,12,14	123:19 124:6	82:15 83:12
166:16	246:1	124:22 127:21	86:25 87:4
<b>hands</b> 260:21	<b>hart</b> 2:21	128:23 150:25	90:18 96:5,8,9
264:13	<b>haven</b> 248:8	151:3 157:2	96:12,18 97:1
hang 274:20	<b>hay</b> 194:11	158:7 160:9,18	97:4 122:16,22
hanging 92:23	<b>hb133</b> 42:18	186:12 188:2	123:3,6 125:23
<b>happen</b> 11:17	43:12,14 50:3	189:19 208:11	130:20,23
65:16 67:5	<b>he'll</b> 96:15	221:10,11	131:9,12,14,18
94:6 111:18	<b>head</b> 64:8	235:1 254:18	134:21 135:6
271:10,11,14	161:19 181:20	265:3 268:3	142:9,14
271:16	207:23 214:22	269:4 273:17	148:20,22
happened	headache	hearing 1:1,18	149:3,6,9
43:25 44:21	108:15	6:3,4,5,8 7:5,8	152:4,5,8
130:12 216:7	headaches 11:8	8:24 9:4,9,12	154:20 155:10
217:1	242:22,23	12:9,14,19,22	155:12,16
happening	headline	14:17 15:9,17	161:1,5 162:17
177:19 234:25	225:23	15:21 16:1,7	162:21,24
257:13		16:12,16,20,25	163:3,9,11,14

## [hearing - hope]

	T		
163:22,25	<b>heart</b> 94:22	130:17 147:16	<b>hit</b> 51:18 59:20
175:19 188:14	heating 259:23	147:21 148:10	167:11 226:20
191:15,21,25	259:25	148:17 171:10	242:22
194:11 200:4	heavily 245:9	180:11 186:5	hits 136:23
202:1,5,7,12,15	<b>held</b> 77:6 80:14	186:21 193:12	<b>hmm</b> 48:7
202:17 205:4,9	98:4 163:7	197:7,22 198:1	<b>hold</b> 21:10,21
208:2,6,12	169:12 184:24	198:8 199:13	97:21 100:9
211:3,23 212:4	232:2 243:22	199:15,25	102:21 250:20
212:8,14,19,24	<b>hello</b> 12:14,24	200:3 209:3	275:1
213:2 217:18	155:22 239:22	highest 217:6	holders 146:3
218:1,13,17	240:6 241:23	218:23	164:22 250:4
219:8,12,15,16	246:4 249:10	highlight 179:5	<b>holding</b> 250:25
219:25 226:3	251:17	233:19	274:23 276:4
231:6,22,24	<b>help</b> 206:4,8	highlighted	holdings 27:5
232:3,6 237:9	210:18,22	50:10 58:8,23	<b>holds</b> 32:4
238:11,14,24	211:17 236:2	126:10 158:20	<b>hole</b> 114:11
239:1,8 240:1	241:14 251:3	<b>highly</b> 122:5	holistic 243:18
240:4 241:18	helpful 86:14	250:6 258:23	holistically
241:23 242:3,6	162:9,10	260:18	199:22
244:1,7,10	helping 241:7	<b>hilburn</b> 132:12	holland 2:21
245:25 246:7	<b>helps</b> 95:8	hilcorp 32:12	hollandhart.c
246:11,14	191:6 245:17	33:15	2:23,24
249:7,11,15,18	<b>hi</b> 244:5	hinkle 2:15	home 16:24
251:14,19,24	<b>high</b> 7:21 13:1	213:11,20	247:1,8,8,10
252:2 253:9,20	28:18 38:16	hinklelawfir	<b>honest</b> 43:20
264:16,20	39:3,19 84:22	2:17,18	honestly 171:8
265:4 266:6,8	102:18,22	<b>hires</b> 111:1	206:3
266:25 268:20	116:17 121:19	historical 59:9	<b>honor</b> 12:5
268:23 277:19	159:25 195:1	historically	<b>hooked</b> 259:14
277:21 279:4	205:21 209:4	26:19 100:2	<b>hoover</b> 119:5,6
hearings 61:4	209:22 253:3	260:7,23	119:9 125:18
157:1	270:1	history 59:12	125:21 139:24
hearsay 61:25	<b>higher</b> 79:17	88:19 121:20	153:13
62:9 156:25	103:21 128:25	266:22	<b>hope</b> 13:7
157:12	129:1,13 130:4		14:15 36:13

## [hope - inaccurate]

92.11.110.22	hdd 26.1	:Ja4:4 52.10	:1: 4:
82:11 119:22	hundred 26:1	identity 53:18	implications
146:17 162:6	105:23	idle 113:22	67:17 68:4
222:15 241:9	hundreds	118:23	implied 64:9
243:22	105:23 108:6	ii 246:18	imply 65:18
hopefully 93:2	108:19 121:10	iija 71:10,11	83:1
94:1 95:5	<b>hung</b> 213:20	illusory 221:21	implying
140:15 152:14	<b>hurt</b> 250:16	illustrate 31:1	200:21
236:2 270:9	husband	44:23 82:12	important
horizon 275:4	244:16,18	<b>imagine</b> 103:17	29:24 95:9
275:6	246:16 247:3	104:8 117:4	147:8 226:14
horizons 270:4	hydrocarbons	126:4 151:23	240:19
274:17 276:2	255:11	152:1 216:16	importantly
horizontal	<b>hydrogen</b> 11:9	imaging 9:14	89:9 185:25
270:2	hypothesis	9:19 10:18	<b>impose</b> 116:23
horizontals	257:12	242:13	241:10 245:20
260:15	hypothetical	immediately	imposed 112:4
hostile 13:24	93:25 94:16	44:1 113:23	158:21
<b>hot</b> 39:8 259:24	199:10 238:6	114:6 277:24	imposing
262:23	hypotheticals	impact 84:3	245:13,13
<b>hotel</b> 16:22	168:21	93:21 105:8	impractical
<b>hour</b> 96:17	i	122:7 130:5	65:25 67:4
163:2 212:10	ian 69:16	154:19 158:19	improperly
253:19	idaho 10:8	impacting	10:21
hours 248:12	idea 92:25	11:15	improve
<b>house</b> 139:14	207:14,18	impacts 90:7	107:15 186:22
households	226:18,19	implement	260:3
11:7	228:24 236:10	175:21	improved
housekeeping	ideas 230:9	implementable	230:8
212:7	identified 35:6	88:13	improvement
houses 100:22	37:21 38:5	implementati	159:18
<b>hoya</b> 149:18	41:24 61:14	175:22	improving
<b>huge</b> 108:15	114:9 124:5	implemented	101:20 231:9
158:1 206:15	identifying	207:21	inaccurate
237:17 255:3	34:8 132:21	implementing	19:19
260:12	J <del>1</del> .0 134.41	19:5 21:12	

## [inaccurately - inevitably]

inaccurately	incorporate	increasingly	130:21 156:11
158:8	175:4 232:18	13:23	197:20 214:18
inactive 33:11	incorrectly	incredibly	266:23
48:5 59:12	156:18 216:22	167:21 256:17	individually
66:20,22 67:10	increase 27:2	incur 151:9,9	66:7
69:7,11,13	33:4,7 36:12	229:11	industries
75:18 76:13	41:9 48:4	incurred 37:25	250:1
79:11 269:23	117:10 145:21	indemnify	industry 13:3
272:2	150:15 151:14	176:24	20:23 21:3,24
incentive 118:5	168:5 170:7,16	indemnity	22:3,25 27:3,6
incentivized	180:20 184:1	182:16 200:13	42:17,22 43:8
237:22	187:5 194:3	independent	43:18 45:19
incident 150:10	195:16,18,22	2:14 13:24	47:19 51:8,12
incidents	196:2 197:4,7	18:2,6 39:2	52:7,10,12,20
147:14	209:12 222:4,6	43:4 98:17	52:20 78:9
include 48:3	222:8 237:3,6	226:8	98:1,5 101:24
111:10 134:8	262:21 271:2	independents	130:1 196:22
134:12 135:18	276:16	226:16	214:5,16
135:18,20	increased 14:3	indicate 54:13	224:17 225:25
159:12 204:11	50:14 84:19	134:6 266:14	240:19 241:2,5
included 42:22	101:16 151:8,8	indicated 73:14	241:10,11,15
42:23,23	168:16 169:4	105:24 119:15	244:19,23
includes 25:15	179:2 189:14	126:1 132:18	245:2,3,16,22
111:9 143:11	195:17,19	140:4 141:4	246:21 247:5
146:12 223:2	221:23 251:6	156:15 192:13	247:13,15
including 10:7	252:12 273:16	192:15 197:18	248:4,16,19,22
21:5 24:4 29:1	increases 35:22	278:8	248:24 250:19
59:7 152:25	108:12 168:2	indicates 30:13	250:20,22
153:7 162:4	197:8 208:21	56:2 69:15	251:7 276:3
inclusive 99:10	increasing	121:21 137:11	industry's 42:6
105:20	28:11 84:2	indicating	ineffective
income 235:24	103:2 118:17	192:13	26:20
236:2	186:14 207:13	individual	inevitably
inconsistency	207:19 222:10	22:14 27:2	111:9 159:12
158:6		32:16 103:5	

### [inflated - interested]

inflated 148:6	137:9,20 138:4	insulate 181:21	insurers 183:10
influence 121:4	139:17 256:11	181:22 182:17	integral 223:10
influx 8:18	257:4,7	210:18,22	integrity
information	<b>injector</b> 257:13	insurance 91:9	186:22
22:25 30:19	injectors	91:10,18,23	intend 83:5
31:25 32:2,13	124:11	92:2,5 164:13	94:21
33:23 34:11	<b>input</b> 67:24	164:18 165:1	intended 93:17
35:8 37:5,8	78:21	165:25 166:6	175:2 186:13
41:3,14 42:4	inquire 156:17	166:10 173:4,9	204:25
53:13 59:7	inquired	173:16,20	intending
61:16 66:25	103:10 156:12	174:6,7,9,14,20	82:25
69:15 83:1	<b>ins</b> 161:10	174:21 176:9	<b>intent</b> 94:4
128:6 133:6	insight 22:15	176:11,13,20	105:11 117:14
154:12,14	95:20	176:22 177:2,9	173:11 175:25
269:6	insolvencies	177:17 178:8	176:6 196:11
information's	14:4,9	181:11 182:10	196:13
40:23	insolvency	183:1,7,8,16	intention 93:13
informed 67:19	14:13 179:20	194:12 196:5	intents 11:13
109:13	insolvent 11:14	196:22 198:17	interaction
infrastructure	instance 120:7	202:24 203:1	108:4
109:8,9	148:13	203:21 204:3,5	interest 100:9
ingrained	instances 11:2	204:11,14,18	105:3 111:8
100:1	43:7 109:12	204:22 206:12	112:9 115:19
initial 19:12	121:8,9 153:25	206:16,23	115:23 116:2,7
59:14 71:9	institute 256:16	211:11,14,18	116:11,16
107:5 124:9	instructions	221:21 222:1	117:1,6 145:1
161:17 263:4	156:5	insure 92:8	145:8,16 146:3
264:8	instructive	94:11 177:23	146:4 225:6,6
initially 22:11	210:6	177:24 178:2,5	227:1,1,20
50:11 164:18	instrument	181:23 182:18	228:8 235:11
initiate 185:16	194:19 273:15	insured 164:21	235:14 236:12
injection	273:22	182:11	255:22 260:4
105:16 113:12	instruments	insurer 177:3	278:8 279:14
113:16 134:8	182:16 196:24	182:11,12	interested
135:14,19,21	211:10 277:6		111:22 112:22

## [interested - jeverhart]

	<b>.</b>	0.4.7.404.00	1111111
255:9	intervals	86:17 101:22	116:24 161:10
interesting	143:18	178:11	166:20 186:20
255:18	introduce	involvement	224:9,9,11
interests 13:25	17:25 58:4	257:20	225:12 236:18
14:6 68:20	97:15 164:8	involves 176:20	243:10 254:3
115:16 145:4	213:8	involving	260:12 263:24
150:7 237:22	introducing	255:10	265:6
275:14	94:17	<b>ipanm</b> 5:4,6,8,9	issued 19:3
internally 37:4	introduction	20:5,7,11,17,25	174:10 271:12
53:15	78:17	21:23 23:16	issues 21:16,18
international	invasion	25:12 26:13,25	43:2 44:6
164:13	266:23	42:13 44:19	61:17 140:2
internationally	inventory	45:15 46:2	147:4 160:11
9:21 13:6	268:6	47:25 49:7	176:22 214:14
165:6	<b>invest</b> 44:20	53:4,6,10,21	249:2
interpret 77:6	investable	56:3 82:6 86:2	<b>issuing</b> 180:14
239:4	172:2	87:11,17,23	it'd 230:4
interpretation	invested 43:11	89:18 95:21	it'll 112:2
75:7 238:22	investment	96:13 98:5	items 38:11
268:14	112:8	122:19 191:18	40:20
interpretations	investments	212:6 217:24	iterations
77:5	13:14,15,18	219:18	101:22
interpreted	214:20	<b>ipanm's</b> 61:9	j
19:4	investor 214:19	88:25 162:24	<b>j</b> 2:17 249:14
interpreter	226:15 235:11	163:10	251:23
15:2 238:20,24	investors	irrevocable	<b>jacob</b> 3:6
239:2,3,6	226:24 227:11	107:20 165:23	jd 97:21 213:19
interpreting	invisible	176:16 271:12	jennifer 3:11
21:21 74:6	242:18	irrigation	3:11
109:2	<b>invite</b> 219:13	250:2	jesse 2:11 60:25
interrupt 31:23	inviting 233:24	<b>ish</b> 166:9	131:22 192:7
interrupted	involve 104:9	isolation 252:8	
59:19	185:17	<b>issue</b> 19:22	jessek.tremaine 2:12
interval 114:10	involved 29:22	23:15 26:11	
143:19	44:24 84:14	106:8 116:14	jeverhart 3:7

## [jewelry - know]

jewelry 7:19	251:17,22,23	<b>keep</b> 6:22 45:1	39:8,14 46:1
<b>jim</b> 4:5 17:14	252:1,4,5	239:17 241:14	46:24 50:4
17:20 18:1	253:10 263:21	259:8 264:14	54:23 59:15
<b>joa</b> 145:23	<b>jordan</b> 3:15,15	271:19	62:16 64:7,8
230:5,9,17	<b>juan</b> 10:9,10,23	<b>kelli</b> 279:3,17	68:11 69:15
234:16 236:12	244:17 245:24	kentucky 210:8	70:20 71:16
236:22 263:10	246:16 247:21	kept 215:10	73:14 76:21
263:16	judgment	231:9	77:22 78:16
<b>joas</b> 116:5	94:13	kessler 3:15,15	79:23 83:9
146:7 213:22	<b>juice</b> 27:15	<b>key</b> 177:1 179:4	85:3,5,22
230:16 231:8	<b>jump</b> 54:5	kick 82:2	86:15,18 87:20
237:25 263:12	173:1	kilpatrick 6:16	88:20,25 89:18
<b>job</b> 7:12 56:8	jumping	7:1,3,4,7,9	90:7,9,11 91:1
138:15,16	187:25	<b>kind</b> 39:23 61:2	91:13 92:20,21
234:21 246:25	<b>jumps</b> 70:15	61:4 62:25	92:23 93:6,20
262:22,23	<b>june</b> 27:11,19	97:12 104:13	95:2,7 104:18
<b>jobs</b> 107:11	153:15	105:8 146:6	105:14 106:19
122:6 144:3	jurisdiction	153:10 166:1	107:9 109:6
241:3 245:17	48:20 49:11	174:1 175:23	113:6 116:14
248:1	justified 62:4	176:11 182:14	121:21 123:22
<b>join</b> 17:10	<b>justify</b> 106:16	221:19 228:23	124:18 143:11
123:4	<b>justin</b> 36:25	270:3	144:4 147:18
<b>joined</b> 213:19	k	<b>kinds</b> 166:3	149:22 152:22
242:15	<b>k</b> 2:11 7:4,4,4	<b>klooster</b> 9:1,3,6	153:7 154:8
<b>joint</b> 116:5	9:8 12:18	9:7,11,13	156:10 157:20
145:12 216:9	96:21 239:25	12:10	159:11 167:20
227:21,24	242:2	<b>knew</b> 34:11	170:11 171:8
228:16,25	kaasper0 244:2	94:19	178:10,18,25
229:9 230:19	kansas 100:14	know 16:22,23	181:20 182:25
233:9,23	karen 6:16 7:3	21:19 26:1	194:11 197:13
234:17 235:7	kasberg 241:20	27:20,20 31:3	198:17,21,23
<b>jones</b> 249:9,10	241:21,22	31:23 32:1,9	199:5,8 200:24
249:13,14,17	241.21,22 242:1,5,8,9	32:10,13,23	203:5,15,19
249:20,23	katherine	34:21 35:5,5	204:6 205:21
251:14,15,16	244:3,14	35:10,21 39:6	206:4 207:15

### [know - leaves]

210:6,20,21	<b>lab</b> 7:14,18	179:15 225:24	lawyers 21:20
216:7,25 217:1	<b>label</b> 174:3	227:5,5 247:2	217:12
217:4 221:11	labeled 190:21	largely 165:4	layer 175:20
221:19 224:5,6	laboratory	180:9 183:10	layers 157:12
230:12 231:6	11:23	190:5,11 193:9	lea 8:18
233:10,13,23	lack 33:15	197:1 199:5	<b>lead</b> 14:4,8
240:18 250:16	170:23 179:18	211:13	168:2,3 184:4
255:12,14	184:6 259:5	larger 14:5	187:1 232:4,24
256:4 261:5,5	<b>lag</b> 24:1	40:11 51:10	243:9
262:5 266:20	laid 7:24	75:3,21 99:23	<b>leader</b> 164:12
269:6 270:7,15	<b>land</b> 3:18 12:5	100:6,18,23	leading 154:21
272:19 274:9	12:7 41:7 49:1	101:11 111:5	leads 171:10
275:10 278:5,9	49:15 72:25	122:3 148:16	<b>leaking</b> 11:6,15
<b>knowing</b> 106:3	78:12,14,18,24	170:12 171:3,9	243:3
knowledge	78:25 79:1	171:11 178:7	leaning 215:25
22:3 51:4	98:8 146:20	180:11 197:3	learn 21:23
63:14 67:16	landmen	200:2 233:8	learned 21:16
68:9 83:10	228:22 230:19	largest 8:9	254:24 255:4
135:5 142:6	<b>lands</b> 3:17 8:14	27:25	<b>learner</b> 138:13
172:14 192:20	72:25 253:2	<b>larry</b> 247:3	lease 37:1 38:6
194:6 201:18	276:9,19	<b>lastly</b> 48:16	39:21 258:11
204:9	language 76:23	89:22	258:16 259:8
<b>known</b> 33:8	76:24 77:12,23	<b>late</b> 41:6	274:23 275:1
knows 79:23	89:8 107:22	<b>law</b> 2:3 43:18	276:7,7,8,10,10
<b>kt</b> 179:9	161:19 219:7	46:22 97:21	276:11,12,13
<b>kyle</b> 2:6 4:10	220:18,19	99:25 123:12	276:18,19
96:14,21 97:5	221:16 223:15	213:17 236:9	<b>leases</b> 41:10
123:11	238:1 266:17	248:17 265:10	258:14 265:11
l	267:19,20	laws 203:24	276:21
<b>1</b> 3:11 7:4 9:8	268:15 271:24	220:21 266:24	leave 8:12 95:4
12:18 96:21	272:11 273:2	<b>lawyer</b> 21:19	102:11 208:13
163:20 212:22	large 13:25	112:20 128:20	233:7 247:25
212:23,23	75:8,13 101:2	136:14 213:21	leaves 242:19
249:13	114:8,9 121:18	277:3	252:22
Δ <b>T</b> <i>J</i> .1 <i>J</i>	144:6 164:21		

## [leaving - list]

leaving 77:23	<b>lengthy</b> 233:24	<b>lien</b> 104:1	<b>limits</b> 77:10
leeway 76:25	lessen 8:18	lies 92:24	176:24
left 94:23	lesser 199:6	101:14 258:20	lincoln 3:14
194:24 196:20	lessor 259:7	life 12:2 13:11	line 47:15 49:3
225:1 229:6	276:8	38:1 95:1	51:1,1 53:2,6
250:13,25	<b>letter</b> 103:25	97:23 98:2	53:25 54:7,11
251:1 252:16	165:24 171:23	106:21 187:15	55:4 57:8,23
253:4 255:8	172:4 176:17	187:23 209:8	59:24 60:2,3
261:22 263:7	181:5,10	243:5 264:8	65:1,9,15 71:8
275:10	270:25 271:13	lifecycle 9:23	79:6 129:11
legacy 12:5	<b>letters</b> 185:22	243:19	130:10 175:17
13:16 151:22	270:23 276:16	lifelong 252:10	189:20 200:12
151:24	level 14:2 28:18	lifetime 245:10	203:12 216:3,5
legal 60:25	84:22 107:7	<b>light</b> 58:6,8,12	216:8,11,14,17
62:21 97:24	124:14 159:17	58:14 59:4	216:19,22
131:23 135:4	240:22	264:23	217:5 218:6
185:19,24	levels 84:2	liked 214:2	250:8 256:17
192:7 201:12	85:14 108:8	<b>likely</b> 10:18	265:23 271:4,6
213:15 214:17	186:15 207:19	105:25 115:11	271:11,13,15
221:6,16	lexington	171:16 189:12	271:25
224:17 236:10	213:18	212:8 219:2	<b>lines</b> 50:9 56:16
279:17	<b>lfc</b> 27:11,19	227:15 255:6,7	56:23,24
<b>legally</b> 174:10	151:1	256:21 268:4	118:13 188:17
223:25	<b>lh</b> 31:19 69:11	276:21	188:18 189:19
legislation	liabilities 14:12	limelight 22:17	261:8
101:23	59:5,8 94:11	53:16 62:3	<b>lineup</b> 15:7
legislative	166:13 174:17	<b>limit</b> 178:7	liquid 186:8
27:12,14 30:1	182:15	limitation	liquidity
252:20	liability 164:19	234:17	183:15 190:5
legislature 21:8	166:14 174:9	limited 24:4	209:24
43:14	174:20 177:12	109:10 183:15	<b>list</b> 28:1 30:15
<b>lend</b> 9:16	181:25	186:5 220:20	30:22 31:1
<b>lender</b> 172:6	liable 117:5	222:8	32:12 33:11,15
<b>length</b> 176:11	libraries	<b>limiting</b> 187:17	34:3 35:11
200:9 220:25	240:24		55:16 79:12,12

## [list - loud]

132:16 190:17	livable 247:17	261:6 262:5	205:15,18
190:22 192:21	<b>live</b> 37:17	265:10 276:5	270:17 274:19
listed 63:8	122:1 217:13	longer 24:24	277:9
132:1 160:7	242:25 244:21	33:23 76:11	looks 47:3,8
<b>listen</b> 21:7	246:16	104:18 106:16	125:12 203:20
160:8 249:21	lived 12:4	120:21 212:9	228:5 243:11
listened 52:8	240:7 244:15	266:2 270:16	272:4,17
221:3	249:25 252:7	272:5 277:2	<b>looming</b> 86:10
listening 8:23	<b>lives</b> 244:17	look 29:24 30:6	<b>loose</b> 10:19
18:11,15 19:19	<b>living</b> 224:23	31:3 55:15	89:7
36:16 107:18	243:17 247:1	73:17 91:11	<b>lose</b> 114:19
245:24 249:5	252:13	101:9 104:8,21	128:20
269:3	<b>llj</b> 31:15 68:25	106:12,14	<b>losing</b> 248:14
<b>little</b> 18:13 27:7	69:3,4,7	111:8 112:7	loss 182:12
34:19 36:14	<b>llp</b> 2:15,21	126:3,23 127:3	190:4,12 195:6
41:14 47:11,17	<b>load</b> 258:21	128:5 135:9,25	losses 176:25
57:10 59:18	local 99:23,25	136:23 139:14	197:5,7,10,10
65:21 84:6,18	99:25,25	140:2 172:16	<b>lost</b> 117:3
92:12 94:2,7	240:22 241:1,3	190:4 196:2	162:5
98:15 99:4	248:24 250:21	197:19 207:22	lot 6:23 8:4
107:19 112:1	located 250:8	212:17 277:9	19:15 26:8
115:1,14 119:2	location 110:14	<b>looked</b> 104:12	53:7 61:3 71:6
121:4,16	locations 109:9	118:25 201:11	85:3 93:5
123:25 126:8	log 55:2	looking 10:16	117:21 158:25
129:18 138:9	logical 102:21	34:21 54:11	159:2 167:20
138:13 140:11	112:13 118:3	74:21 91:14	178:18 188:2
147:20 159:3	logs 228:5	100:14 106:2,9	189:19 194:11
160:2 164:14	long 11:14 22:2	122:2 124:8	214:10 221:15
172:15 173:6	44:21 59:8	137:18 139:15	224:5 230:12
178:15 180:3	103:7 106:11	153:16 168:14	250:16 256:18
213:16 215:11	106:21 139:7	168:25 169:22	257:6 268:4
215:24,25	166:2 211:20	169:25 171:7	<b>lots</b> 214:9
216:10 226:11	213:20 227:10	172:9 173:2	236:1
228:7 232:19	230:16 244:24	196:17 198:8	<b>loud</b> 46:16
243:6 265:4	257:23 258:17	199:2,10	

## [louisiana - making]

louisiana	<b>madam</b> 15:9	186:24 194:11	63:20 83:2
100:13	20:9 36:18	195:5 197:16	85:21 86:16,20
love 13:8	40:19 41:20	197:21 222:10	89:10,20
207:17	42:2 46:10	226:6 227:14	104:18 110:23
low 10:17 11:6	47:7 51:25	243:23 258:16	117:5 120:22
32:18 54:15	57:16 59:17	260:16 261:22	129:9 136:5
104:19 120:16	60:18 64:20	267:10	138:9 153:19
130:16 187:19	72:3,10,17	<b>magic</b> 136:14	160:20 176:1,6
259:16 260:1,8	77:3 79:5	magically	177:22 181:2
260:24 261:18	80:21 81:1	221:20	183:2 184:12
274:15,21	87:3 96:8,12	mail 15:25	201:17 217:15
275:5	97:3 123:6	136:2	219:3 223:5
lower 38:21	130:20 131:12	mails 43:21,24	224:24 228:2
101:8 118:6	131:18 134:21	main 225:14	241:7 256:13
129:15 148:15	142:13 148:20	250:9	257:15 258:23
198:2,10,13	149:9 152:4,8	maintain 107:7	266:21 269:15
237:21	155:12 161:4	130:8	270:10 275:21
luck 108:9	162:24 163:11	maintained	maker 121:4
lucky 263:6	202:5,17 208:5	10:21 11:2	<b>makes</b> 14:15
lucrative	212:4,7 217:18	maintenance	85:22 86:21
246:25	218:13 219:8	110:9 120:16	89:24 90:1
<b>lujan</b> 98:7	219:15 231:22	154:1 262:3	104:15,20
<b>lunch</b> 96:17	232:6 238:11	<b>major</b> 42:17	187:13,14
121:13 122:24	253:20 264:16	100:24 144:5	198:23 199:8
123:1	266:6 268:20	148:2,5 154:3	222:9 227:24
m	277:19	240:20	245:18 273:23
<b>m</b> 12:17 96:22	<b>made</b> 10:10	majority	making 45:18
239:24,25	11:7 18:5	151:24 240:13	86:18 94:13
246:10	27:19,25 44:12	250:10 258:4	95:8 115:1
ma'am 7:7	54:12 57:24	<b>majors</b> 199:2	135:2 145:25
163:19,24	60:10 71:21	<b>make</b> 8:4 12:1	159:25 170:17
165:9 166:23	84:13 87:20	28:25 32:20	179:19 195:25
167:17 175:13	88:12 111:1	34:14,23 49:7	224:22,23
178:14 181:13	122:1 174:24	54:23 58:18	250:24 257:15
181:16	174:25 182:24	60:13 61:7	257:16

# [malfunction - mean]

malfunction	107:3,15 109:2	182:25 183:1,6	41:23 46:21
149:19	111:10 112:3	183:7,24 184:4	matter 1:9 28:3
malfunctioning	116:18,19	188:18,22	118:13 123:13
10:22	117:18 118:25	189:1 203:1	190:18 209:10
man 94:22	124:18 125:2	211:20 259:5	251:13 279:13
<b>manage</b> 113:20	125:15,20,23	276:3	279:15
139:2 154:17	126:6,18	marketer 39:11	matters 22:4
186:4	127:13 129:7,8	marketers	53:3
managed 174:5	129:10 130:15	231:11	matthias 3:12
management	131:2 132:6	marketing	3:12
189:8 214:14	133:24,25	39:10 40:14	maverick 33:6
manager 21:5	135:3,17	markets 92:5	maximize
250:2	137:17 138:3	170:24	100:7 160:22
managing 7:23	138:17 139:10	married 247:3	maximum
mandate	141:3,19	martinez 246:2	216:24 217:7
223:22	150:14 159:13	246:4,5,8,9,13	218:22 222:14
mandated	160:5 161:12	246:15 247:4	222:15
173:17	161:12,22,23	249:8	maxwell 80:17
<b>manner</b> 108:10	162:13 198:20	<b>mary</b> 240:11	80:18 148:25
140:21 142:3	208:14,21	mass 192:12	149:2 202:10
223:17	209:4,14,15,18	massive 45:1	202:11
manually 34:17	257:25,25	<b>master</b> 19:17	mcf 121:7
marathon 39:7	258:5 261:12	190:16	<b>mea</b> 217:9
54:14	261:12 271:20	<b>master's</b> 240:9	<b>mean</b> 8:19
marc 239:10	276:14 277:1,2	material 43:1	27:17 35:18
240:6	marginally	89:7,9 216:10	41:6 49:12
<b>margin</b> 269:17	129:15	233:16	55:1 63:15
marginal 10:12	<b>margins</b> 199:18	math 75:23	70:1 74:6 82:4
14:8 36:9,10	mark 239:24	127:17 128:16	89:23 94:12
36:11 38:2	<b>market</b> 84:4,11	128:18,20	120:24 129:6
40:6 50:12	91:2,23,23	171:6,7	138:19 147:3
91:4 101:3	92:7 110:23	<b>matrix</b> 25:16	148:2 178:17
102:13,17,20	113:24 167:22	25:18	186:16 188:25
103:3,12 104:6	168:11 172:20	<b>matt</b> 2:7 12:11	189:16 199:14
104:11,13	180:1 182:25	12:16,17,24	205:23 209:9
	1		

## [mean - mexico]

	I	I	
217:5 230:24	meet 32:7 59:2	45:20,23,25	merriam
245:14 266:16	60:22,24 83:20	53:4,12,18,21	269:12
266:25 268:15	112:7 146:17	53:23 54:1,23	mess 11:12,21
meaning	177:6 178:6	55:5,8 59:15	11:21 12:7
226:24	179:10 182:3	67:20,24 77:24	243:23
meaningful	183:21 187:2	77:25 78:4	message 28:2
130:5 168:4	203:22,25	84:23 85:4,6	45:16
meaningfully	meeting 17:8	85:10,14 90:12	met 42:21
179:3	27:12,12 83:19	90:24 91:7,10	175:7 188:24
means 116:17	109:18 269:4	91:12,19 93:19	mexican 12:25
133:25 161:23	269:11	226:7 244:20	245:10
173:13 183:12	meetings 42:22	membership	mexicans 12:4
199:18,21	43:4 45:5	21:3,7 35:1	241:8,16
227:16 243:16	71:21	39:19 40:23	<b>mexico</b> 1:2,7
meant 87:22	meets 149:25	41:6 45:15	2:4,9,11,16,22
measured	150:1	47:25 61:9,17	3:5,10,14,18,19
153:1	member 1:21	84:10	9:20 10:9,12
measures 14:7	1:22 23:20	<b>memory</b> 42:16	13:6,8,9,14,16
14:11	38:12 49:7	152:23	13:16,19 14:2
meat 101:18	53:7 54:1,14	mental 128:15	14:12 18:3,5
mechanic 7:23	54:17	<b>mention</b> 175:11	19:22 26:20
214:12	members 1:20	mentioned	49:1,15,19
mechanical	19:2,7,11	20:24 24:9	55:13 56:10
262:20	21:24 22:8,11	36:4 78:11	62:20,22 63:2
mechanism	22:15,16 23:2	99:12 109:1	72:25 73:19,20
176:15 181:24	23:17 24:12,17	115:15 120:23	76:2 83:18
182:4 186:7	25:1 26:25	142:20 146:9	97:20 98:23
276:3	28:8 31:6	152:22 161:9	99:23 100:12
medicaid	33:17 35:18,25	178:10 179:24	100:16 105:2
248:16	36:16 37:5,8	207:20 210:5	106:17 133:10
medical 248:12	37:11,14,22,24	228:16 230:6	151:5 155:23
248:14	38:6,7,16,17	260:7	165:7,16
medicare	39:22 40:1,5,9	mergers 268:2	166:22 175:6
248:16	43:10 44:1,10	merle 246:17	178:24 187:21
	44:17,19 45:9		189:10 191:4

## [mexico - money]

202 17 10 21	170 17	1 107.2	• 7 7
203:17,19,21	<b>midsize</b> 179:17	mineral 105:3	misplaced
203:24 204:16	<b>midst</b> 78:19	275:24 276:1	19:13
204:17 213:11	midstream	minerals 2:10	missed 90:22
220:23 226:8	109:10,13	94:19 275:15	121:23 217:4
226:17 228:19	110:2,6,10	275:15	<b>missing</b> 153:11
229:2,19	154:15 160:12	minimal 181:6	mission 25:22
231:16 232:12	160:18 161:10	<b>minimis</b> 13:14	misspoke 87:24
240:7,13,20,25	161:13 162:5,7	minimize 122:7	misstatement
241:5,7,13,15	259:14 262:3,4	minimizing	88:2
242:16 243:4,8	262:7	117:25	mistake 217:4
243:9 244:13	midstreams	minimum	267:10
244:15,25	260:20	109:18 171:14	mitigate 10:1
245:5 246:24	miguel 3:5	206:25 216:24	mitigation
247:15 248:20	80:11 81:7	217:8 218:23	250:7
249:3,24	<b>miles</b> 75:1	<b>mining</b> 94:18	<b>mix</b> 101:6
250:24 251:5	<b>million</b> 31:7,12	<b>minute</b> 140:12	<b>mm</b> 48:7
252:11 253:2	31:17 32:8,11	229:4	modander 2:12
254:20 255:1	32:19 33:5,7	minutes 6:23	<b>model</b> 32:24
258:11 260:8	71:6,23,23	59:18,21 68:15	99:14
264:24 265:14	103:14 104:11	72:4,9 79:4	<b>models</b> 183:17
267:23 277:3	105:20 106:9	96:15 131:10	<b>modern</b> 243:10
279:4,18	114:17 128:12	140:13 200:4	<b>moment</b> 20:15
mexico's 12:6	169:2,7,10,22	232:5 239:18	111:8 113:17
201:12	170:1 189:15	242:22 253:18	119:14 122:17
mic 215:24	<b>millions</b> 111:17	263:22	146:25 149:20
254:2	250:15	mischaracteri	191:16 218:2
microphone	<b>mind</b> 29:12	237:5	242:24
149:19 253:25	45:1 64:1	misfortune	<b>money</b> 8:19
microsoft 16:6	107:11 144:8	93:13 95:17	44:20 71:25
<b>mid</b> 180:22	198:19 215:25	misfortunes	92:22 111:14
183:14	<b>minds</b> 35:18	93:9 94:6	111:15 115:1
<b>middle</b> 216:5	45:9	misheard 88:7	129:9 184:10
254:1 256:9	mine 94:22,24	misleading	185:13 194:21
midland 8:8	112:12 214:21	218:11	194:24 195:25
			207:10 227:17

## [money - necessarily]

234:18 250:16	46:20 60:21	123:23 225:21	239:14,23,24
250:24 251:2	72:17,19 73:1	233:21 253:23	239:25 240:6
253:4 263:14	73:3 81:5,6	258:10 259:10	241:24 242:9
monitoring	83:17 87:7,8	msuazo 3:6	244:14 246:9
80:24 149:7	103:9 159:10	multiple 10:10	249:12,13,14
202:16	161:19 246:19	11:7 19:2	249:23 251:20
montana 10:8	253:13 267:16	22:20 38:25,25	251:22,23
<b>month</b> 40:4,7	268:22 277:24	113:11	252:5
132:25 134:3	278:6,7	multiplied	nanasi 80:15
140:9 156:13	motivation	34:10	148:24 202:8
156:14 158:10	149:15,21	multitasking	nancy 246:2,3
161:25 162:4	motivations	18:16	246:9
229:13,14	119:19	<b>munich</b> 174:15	<b>nation</b> 179:1
265:24	<b>motive</b> 269:17	174:15	national 99:24
<b>months</b> 43:17	269:18	<b>mute</b> 239:22	164:11
44:4,25 100:11	mountain 10:7	n	nationally 9:20
107:25 126:19	<b>move</b> 20:10	<b>n</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1	native 12:25
139:21,23	23:7,9 28:14	5:1 7:4 9:7	natural 2:10
153:17 185:20	36:20 42:7,9	17:14 96:22	164:21 223:19
259:23 265:20	62:9 69:24	239:25,25,25	259:19,22
266:2 267:7	77:11 95:21	239:25,23,23	nature 154:2
<b>moore</b> 3:19 4:7	158:16 159:7	246:9,9,10	nausea 11:8
72:15,17,22,24	197:15 213:12	249:14 251:23	navajo 248:2
77:9,11,13	219:24 221:13	251:23	near 242:25
78:1 79:3,5,7	228:12 241:20	name 6:4,19	250:8,9
79:25 80:3	254:11 262:9	7:3 9:5,6 12:15	nearby 11:19
142:11,14	262:25 266:6	12:16,24 17:12	nearing 203:12
202:3	267:13 268:20	17:14 46:20	243:5
<b>morgan</b> 187:9	273:11	63:14 72:23	nearly 51:12
188:16 189:19	moved 8:8	96:20 123:10	189:14
270:19 273:14	246:19	136:17 137:5	necessarily
276:24	movement 43:1	163:12,17,20	43:21 75:12
morning 6:3	moves 95:21	164:10 192:7	86:13 155:2
15:11 16:12,15	<b>moving</b> 26:17	212:21 213:10	172:12 198:23
17:24 18:1	33:3 118:9	215:17 239:2	206:1
	i .	I .	I .

## [necessary - nmac]

	1		I
necessary	201:13	13:16,19 14:2	230:21,23
115:9 130:9	negotiated	14:12 18:3,5	231:10,14,16
necessities	200:22 201:4,7	19:22 24:7,10	232:12 240:7
247:2	216:18	24:15 26:15,20	240:13,20,25
<b>need</b> 16:23,23	negotiating	30:18 31:12	241:5,7,8,13,15
20:8 24:1	216:17	32:7 40:22	241:16 242:16
28:24 34:6	negotiation	41:2 44:8 49:1	243:4,8,9
45:22 46:1	98:11 185:18	49:15,19 55:13	244:13,15,25
71:24 104:25	233:5	56:10 57:4	245:5,10
105:19 110:9	negotiations	58:18,25 59:1	246:24 247:15
111:15 114:1	84:25 213:22	62:20,22 63:2	248:19,20
127:14 138:8	neighborhood	63:7,23 64:12	249:3,24
138:12 157:9	55:17	66:6 67:18	250:24 251:5
157:22 169:2	neither 53:10	72:25 73:19,20	252:11 253:2
177:22 209:8	147:6 180:23	76:2 83:18	254:20 255:1
211:14 214:9	279:12	89:6 97:20	258:11,23
222:1 230:12	<b>net</b> 129:7	98:23 99:23	260:8 264:24
243:18 248:23	network	100:8,12,16	265:14 266:13
251:3 276:15	154:14	103:11 105:2	267:23 275:18
needed 22:24	never 11:17,20	106:17 110:13	275:19 277:3
113:15 188:1	21:25 43:1,6	113:10 133:10	279:4,18
268:12	70:22 77:6	143:12,18	newer 151:25
needing 90:24	102:20 108:20	151:5 155:23	199:7
<b>needs</b> 25:21	114:14 131:1	165:7,16	newly 208:13
37:23 148:3	150:9 196:13	166:22 175:6	227:18 262:22
166:14,15,17	215:17 227:6	178:24 179:6	newspaper
167:14 205:19	227:16 235:16	187:3,21	190:9
261:10 274:22	236:18	189:10 191:4	nice 60:24
negative 11:19	<b>new</b> 1:2,7 2:4,9	201:12 203:17	83:18,20
121:2 260:14	2:11,16,22 3:5	203:19,21,24	<b>nine</b> 210:11
260:25	3:10,14,18,19	204:1,16,17	<b>nm</b> 2:10,14 3:3
neglected 173:2	8:16,22 9:20	213:11 220:23	3:17
243:3	10:1,9,12 12:4	226:8,17,21,21	<b>nmac</b> 1:10
negotiate	12:6,25 13:6,8	228:19 229:2	50:15 56:18
200:15 201:9	13:9,14,15,16	229:19 230:17	

### [nmed - observation]

nmod 21.15	nouth 170.1	124.0 126.4	ooth 217.2
nmed 21:15	north 170:1	124:9 126:4	oath 217:3
nmoga 42:23	northern 33:10	132:1,25	object 41:22
43:22 81:7	northwest 13:9	135:25 140:1,5	47:22 57:16
85:25 98:6	nose 242:21	143:21 145:25	102:17 218:3
210:5	<b>note</b> 17:5 189:6	145:25 156:2,7	218:10
<b>nmoga's</b> 88:11	<b>notes</b> 30:19	156:9 158:2	objected 48:3,8
nmslo.gov 3:20	34:5 83:6	159:12 177:22	48:17 49:8
3:21	<b>notice</b> 33:17	196:24 220:14	objecting
<b>non</b> 21:20	43:18 69:13	220:16 227:5	156:22,23
25:15 100:9,13	noticed 27:17	254:9 261:8,9	<b>objection</b> 70:22
115:16 145:1,7	33:20	268:7 273:12	77:19 122:17
146:3 150:7	<b>notices</b> 179:11	numbers 38:18	130:19 134:21
166:12 174:21	<b>notify</b> 227:25	39:2 71:22	156:21 237:5
185:18 208:16	<b>nov</b> 69:10,12	123:22 130:22	264:16,23
209:21 214:18	november 1:14	139:16 143:17	272:19
227:25 228:3	279:4	205:15 217:21	objections
229:22 232:24	novs 69:8	217:24	20:15 191:16
234:5,15,22,22	nuanced 86:6	<b>nw</b> 3:4 279:18	218:2 219:17
235:6,13,15,20	186:20	<b>nykiel</b> 2:7,7 4:6	objective
236:11 238:2	<b>number</b> 19:25	41:20,22,23	186:15
263:14 275:17	20:1,2 21:2	42:10 46:13,15	obligated
275:19,21	22:3,8 24:4	46:19,21 47:7	182:13
nonexistent	25:13 29:5,10	47:13 48:1	obligation
106:14	29:20 30:11	51:20 52:1,3,4	176:18
nonnenkamp	34:10 36:15	57:18,22,23	obligations
239:11,21,23	39:25 41:12,25	58:3 59:22,24	73:10 105:5
239:24 240:3,6	55:15 62:17	60:3,4,13,15	107:21 116:6
240:7 241:19	68:13,13 79:10	nykiel's 51:22	146:18 151:17
nonperforma	84:22,24 85:19	0	174:12 177:6
182:19	86:14 89:5	o 9:8,8 96:22	179:10 182:3
nonprofit	99:6 101:15	163:20 229:24	203:22 207:14
248:7	108:8 109:6	229:25 239:25	obligee 174:3
<b>noon</b> 15:11	110:5 116:19	249:14 251:23	208:22
<b>nope</b> 134:16	119:5,9,14		observation
228:4	120:7 123:24	o'clock 278:2	42:16 273:18

## [observe - officer]

	I		
observe 10:18	68:23 69:18	219:14 253:12	77:9,20 79:3,6
observed 10:11	71:1,19 79:19	offered 77:10	80:3,8,15,19,22
10:13	108:4,6,12	134:22 161:17	80:23 81:2
observing	119:20,22	273:14 274:13	82:15 83:12
196:21	120:1 132:22	275:12	86:25 87:4
<b>obtain</b> 192:15	133:6 135:25	offering 189:25	90:18 96:5,8,9
204:4 270:25	139:12 140:20	offers 28:7	96:12,18 97:1
obtaining	140:21 141:10	offhand 55:14	97:4 122:16,22
103:24	142:1 145:8	<b>office</b> 3:18 49:1	123:3 130:20
obvious 32:7	152:13,13	49:15 63:5	130:23 131:9
obviously	156:10,16	72:25 78:12,14	131:13,14,18
15:18 41:15	157:3 158:9	78:18,24 79:1	134:22 135:6
65:16 148:3	194:15,16	98:8 157:13	142:9,14
183:1 210:21	200:17 207:3	175:16,18	148:20,22
229:18 257:5	208:14 213:23	213:24,25	149:3,6,9
occ 6:6 87:11	214:3 221:25	236:3	152:4,5,8
87:17,22 223:9	222:5 225:8,13	<b>officer</b> 1:18 6:3	154:20 155:10
occasions 22:23	225:15 276:25	6:4 7:5,8 8:24	155:12,16
occur 111:19	277:7,13,17	9:4,9,12 12:9	161:1,5 162:17
187:4	ocd's 50:24	12:14,19,22	162:21,24
occurred 18:24	55:16 64:2	14:17 15:9,17	163:3,9,11,14
42:19 131:4	66:17,21 67:2	15:21 16:1,12	163:22,25
occurring	67:16 68:9	16:16,20,25	188:14 191:15
267:23	108:15 131:22	17:2,9,15,18	191:21,25
occurs 182:12	137:15 140:18	20:10,14 36:18	200:4 202:1,5
223:2	156:4 222:8	36:21 40:20	202:7,12,15,17
ocd 25:14	ocean 189:7	41:20,21 42:3	205:4,9 208:2
27:25 28:2	october 41:7	42:8 46:10,11	208:6 211:3,23
32:25 35:2	oem 33:4	46:17 47:7,10	212:4,8,14,19
36:25 50:5,11	offenders 28:1	51:25 57:17,22	212:24 213:2
50:15 51:9	offensive 122:5	58:2 59:17,20	217:18 218:1
54:8 57:5 64:3	<b>offer</b> 6:10	60:1,12,18	218:13,17
64:11,17 66:2	14:20,23	64:20 68:14	219:8,12,15,16
66:12,21 67:5	118:14 182:14	72:3,7,11,12,15	231:6,22,24
67:9,12 68:7	188:13 217:19	72:18,20 77:3	232:3,7 237:9

## [officer - okay]

238:11,14,24	18:3,4 20:23	250:18,22	89:2 90:13
239:1,8 240:1	21:11,12,13	251:7 252:12	91:21 96:3
240:4 241:18	22:1 25:22	252:18 255:7	97:9,11 102:2
241:23 242:3,6	39:8,13 46:5	255:12 258:11	118:7 123:17
244:1,7,10	49:19 51:8	258:13,14,15	124:16 125:8
245:25 246:7	52:6,19,20	258:17 259:18	125:22 127:6
246:11,14	55:12 61:1	260:16,18,21	127:12,25
249:7,11,15,18	95:16 101:23	261:12 262:23	128:9 129:12
251:14,19,24	105:15 120:17	265:11 270:7	129:17,25
252:2 253:9,21	133:25 134:2,5	275:3 276:3	132:9,13 133:8
264:16,20	134:7 135:11	279:4	133:12 134:4
266:6,8 268:20	143:11 154:13	oils 258:19	134:12 135:13
268:23 277:20	160:19 161:23	<b>okay</b> 15:17	135:16,24
277:21	161:24 165:2,3	16:25 18:18	136:10,17,21
officer's 16:7	166:11,17	23:16 24:18	138:11,14
offices 8:8	175:14 187:21	25:10 26:14	139:6 140:23
official 16:18	190:17,20	29:13 30:11	141:24 143:7
62:21	192:8 201:20	32:4 34:3	143:22 144:2,9
officially 60:24	211:10 214:5	37:12,15 38:14	144:13,19,25
<b>offset</b> 172:9,19	214:16,19	41:5 47:3,9	145:6 146:9,15
196:2,17	215:3,17	48:7,16,25	146:23 147:6
oftentimes	220:19 223:7	49:18 50:6,19	147:23 148:7
168:13 169:4	223:18 225:1	51:19 52:10	148:12,18
172:1	226:15 235:12	53:1,24 54:21	149:6 151:3,7
<b>ogrid</b> 136:17	236:22 240:16	54:24 55:3	152:3 153:6,21
<b>oh</b> 48:15 52:3	240:18 241:2,4	56:23 57:3,14	154:4,24 155:6
69:4 124:13	241:15 242:12	58:15,16,21	156:25 165:13
142:12 220:13	242:18,25	60:1 64:7 65:6	165:18 166:1
220:18	243:1,11,19	67:7,25 68:12	166:24 167:4,8
<b>ohio</b> 210:9	244:13,19,24	68:16,23 69:4	167:18 168:20
<b>oid</b> 174:8	245:3,16	69:10,18 71:4	171:1 173:1
oil 1:3 2:9 3:3	246:20,24	71:16 73:17	176:8 179:24
6:5 8:5,9,19	247:4,6,12,14	80:12 81:20	181:9 184:14
9:22,23 10:6	247:22 248:4	83:11 86:24	186:11 187:8
11:19 14:6	248:18,23	87:20 88:6	188:10 189:18

## [okay - operator]

190:15 191:9	274:10	operate 24:14	227:24 228:16
191:17 192:20	onces 128:3	24:25 26:1	229:1,5,9
193:2 194:10	onenexus	40:10 55:13	230:20 233:23
194:23 196:21	173:11,15,22	66:3 75:18	235:8,13,21
198:12 201:1	174:1,5 175:3	81:15,23 98:21	236:11
201:16,23	175:7,11 177:9	100:15 101:17	operation
209:9 210:4,24	181:7 202:24	102:12 104:14	75:25 234:19
211:16 215:23	203:11 206:8	104:18 105:14	263:18,20
220:13,18	206:10 210:17	106:12,15	operational
222:24 223:11	ones 38:8 45:25	107:4 109:7	110:2 150:21
231:8 233:19	102:6 104:22	146:18 149:24	183:23 186:23
235:1 238:25	104:23 129:7	198:20 226:19	190:7 192:19
239:23 246:8	133:4 160:18	233:10,11	199:9
253:25 254:6	230:24 231:13	237:16,18	operationally
256:7 269:16	231:14 250:25	operated 98:23	150:20
oklahoma 13:5	onesource	99:18 100:4	operations 21:4
13:18 100:14	62:20 73:19,21	102:19 145:1,7	21:5,11 45:10
165:11 174:5,7	76:2	146:3 150:7	63:1,6,10
<b>old</b> 7:13,25	ongoing 140:2	215:4,4	70:17 76:8
32:16 225:8	147:4 150:18	operates 74:3,8	92:22 100:6
230:20,22	<b>online</b> 6:11,13	74:9,10,11,13	128:24 129:2
246:22 270:6	6:14 60:23	74:18,19,22,25	131:7 154:19
270:11	100:22	146:2 183:6	165:2,5 215:2
<b>older</b> 7:16	<b>onshore</b> 189:13	operating 31:8	215:14 234:11
151:24 227:4	<b>op</b> 100:9,13	31:19 37:2	236:6,25 238:5
228:19	214:18 235:15	38:6 39:22	271:1 275:17
oldest 8:5	275:17,19	53:14 63:25	operator 11:13
<b>omitted</b> 216:12	<b>open</b> 41:13	68:19 69:11	23:18,22 24:23
<b>once</b> 39:9	85:25 89:15	71:14 75:17,20	25:15 34:9,12
106:13 167:11	147:1,13	81:11 98:25	34:18 36:6
186:2 194:23	154:11 261:20	104:15 106:16	39:5,12 43:5
209:17,25	268:11	115:16 116:5	56:17 57:1,5
213:24 215:15	<b>opened</b> 213:25	145:12 150:3	57:11 58:18
229:9 230:17	opening 136:1	180:21 223:2	59:1,1,6 62:13
235:2 265:15		223:16 227:22	62:24 63:1,3,7

## [operator - opportunity]

63:23 65:12,13	184:15,16,23	273:16 274:25	195:20 197:20
66:1,18 67:11	185:15 186:1,2	operators	198:1 199:15
70:16,19,21	189:25 194:21	11:25 23:1,24	205:18,24
73:9,23 74:8,9	194:24 195:10	25:6,8 28:11	209:12 221:20
74:10,11,13,15	195:11 198:9	28:21,25 29:20	226:2 227:6
74:18,19,22,23	198:13,18	29:22 30:15,17	228:3 229:22
74:25 75:17,20	199:11 207:5,8	30:20 33:3,14	229:22 234:15
76:4,16 92:13	207:9 209:24	33:21,22 34:4	235:25 237:16
92:14,18 94:19	214:21,25	34:8 35:15,23	237:18,21
98:18 99:13	215:16 216:11	39:1 43:4	255:23 261:18
100:20 103:4	216:13 226:4,9	44:19 45:2,3	264:24 266:13
106:22 107:10	226:20,25	48:18 49:9	268:4,5 270:5
108:21 110:7	227:2,11,16,25	59:11 60:11	274:15
110:15 111:5	229:10 231:1	62:3 66:5 68:3	<b>opine</b> 57:8
111:20 114:5	232:21 234:13	70:3,6,12,14	<b>opined</b> 118:14
115:15,18,20	234:20 235:24	75:3,8,14	<b>opinion</b> 170:9
116:6,13 117:9	237:23,24	81:10,14,22	188:6,21 201:3
117:16,17	238:1,3,5,8,8,9	83:3 84:3,5,13	210:20 216:11
120:1 145:11	255:22,25	84:17 93:9,12	216:12 223:24
146:16,21	259:12 260:22	100:6,19	224:11 225:10
148:7 150:1	261:25 262:6	110:23 113:20	230:15 235:5
153:10 154:6	263:13 264:3	118:4 121:18	235:18
154:16,25	267:9 268:8	121:18,23	opinions 45:20
168:22,25	270:24 271:2	147:12,15,16	213:22 222:21
169:11 171:3	271:14 274:16	148:13 158:8	opponents
171:21 172:11	274:24 275:5	159:6 166:11	158:6
172:19 173:18	275:18,20	166:12,16,18	opportunities
173:24 175:1	276:4	167:5,24 168:6	100:12 106:12
175:24 176:17	operator's	175:4,23 179:3	opportunity
177:3,5,5,7,18	25:25 57:9	179:7,16,18	9:16 14:24
177:19,24	59:5 76:18,19	180:4,22	60:22 101:14
178:1 179:9	180:9 215:7	183:14 184:5	153:17 225:19
180:2 181:1	229:16 234:17	186:6,14,19	243:9 245:17
182:1,2 183:12	235:6,19	187:2,14	253:1,8
183:21 184:14	264:12 271:11	192:12,21	

# [opposed - outside]

	I	I	
opposed 66:7	<b>orders</b> 32:5,6	orphans 26:7	212:14,19,24
92:23 210:23	66:22 67:9	<b>orth</b> 1:18 6:3,4	213:2 218:1,17
218:25	69:21,23	7:5,8 8:24 9:4	219:12,16
opposes 82:6	266:18	9:9,12 12:9,14	231:24 232:3
opposite 118:2	organization	12:19,22 14:17	237:9 238:14
118:18 119:18	193:5	15:17,21 16:1	239:1,8 240:1
119:23 159:23	organizations	16:16,20,25	240:4 241:18
223:21	248:7,9	17:2,9,15,18	241:23 242:3,6
opposition	original 255:6	20:14 36:21	244:1,7,10
200:11	originally	41:21 42:8	245:25 246:7
<b>ops</b> 227:25	210:10	46:11,17 47:10	246:11,14
232:24 234:22	<b>orphan</b> 19:22	57:22 58:2	249:7,11,15,18
234:22 235:6	20:6 26:6 28:1	59:20 60:1,12	251:14,19,24
235:20 238:2	29:19,23 36:1	68:14 72:7,12	252:2 253:9
263:14 275:21	36:3 46:3	72:15,20 77:9	264:20 266:8
<b>optical</b> 9:14,19	151:4,10,15,21	77:20 79:3	268:23 277:21
10:17 242:13	179:3 190:16	80:3,8,15,19,23	ought 30:4
optimistic	192:12 219:3	82:15 83:12	outcome 86:15
13:10	orphaned	86:25 90:18	88:21
option 27:3	19:17 20:1	96:5,9,18 97:1	outcomes 179:4
91:9 167:9,13	28:12 30:3	122:16,22	outliers 144:5
171:25 175:4	31:10,16 33:12	123:3 130:23	<b>outline</b> 207:16
203:17	33:15 44:4,5	131:9,14 135:6	outlined 15:24
optional 272:23	45:21,22	142:9 148:22	outlining
<b>options</b> 68:10	102:20 117:1	149:3,6 152:5	176:23
84:17 85:6,9	117:11 150:9	154:20 155:10	outlying 248:1
207:18	150:11,13	155:16 161:1	outs 213:22
<b>oral</b> 51:2	151:16 179:13	162:17,21	<b>outset</b> 87:10,15
<b>orally</b> 124:17	179:21,22	163:3,9,14,22	outside 13:20
order 31:5	185:9,12 191:4	163:25 188:14	33:14 93:9,13
65:23 85:18	194:15	191:15,21,25	93:18 95:17
111:17 204:14	orphaning	200:4 202:1,7	100:12,15
229:10 255:19	105:12 179:20	202:12,15	110:16 207:18
257:9 262:21	187:2 189:22	205:4,9 208:2	264:18
264:14 271:19	198:14	211:3,23	

# [overall - particular]

overall 26:12	owners 45:3	packages 100:5	paralegal 217:2
33:13 35:11	105:3 115:19	100:18,23,23	parameters
36:16 37:13	115:23 116:3,7	101:5 112:13	143:12
92:10 180:20	116:11,16	121:17 159:11	paramount
overhaul 179:1	117:1,6 145:16	<b>pad</b> 10:25 11:7	222:3 223:8
overhead	146:4 225:5,6	11:11 148:4	paraphrase
145:19 229:12	225:6,19	page 4:2 5:2	196:4
229:13,14,15	236:12 255:22	30:12 41:25	<b>pare</b> 22:13
229:20,21	260:4,5 275:14	47:15 53:2,25	part 21:5 25:3
235:22,23	276:15	54:6 55:3	63:21 99:21
oversee 108:6	owns 115:16	56:16,20,22	100:10 105:10
242:10	226:5	65:1,8,9 71:7,8	114:21 119:6
oversees	<b>oxy</b> 2:20 47:21	83:24 118:13	132:21 138:15
175:14	47:24 48:2,7,8	125:11,11,17	149:18,21
overstate 204:5	48:16 49:5	133:22 134:16	160:19 173:6
overtures	254:18	134:16 188:17	174:18 190:10
44:11	p	188:17 189:5	194:25 205:16
<b>owe</b> 31:12	<b>p</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1	189:19,20	209:21 223:10
105:4	7:4 163:20,21	200:12 216:3,5	268:1 269:20
<b>own</b> 61:21	229:24,25	216:8,10,14,17	271:22 272:24
70:18 81:23	239:25	216:19,22	274:23 276:19
102:3 115:25	<b>p&amp;a</b> 169:23	217:5,7	partially
115:25 120:14	173:13 210:11	<b>pages</b> 121:13	217:12
147:8 148:13	<b>p&amp;a'd</b> 107:23	<b>paid</b> 39:11	participant
148:14 179:19	<b>p.m.</b> 14:25	196:9,14,14	98:11
186:6 215:13	123:2 163:7	215:10 247:11	participants
223:22 226:9	232:2 277:23	painful 216:16	42:22
226:10 227:16	278:10	palace 2:4	participate
228:22	<b>p.o.</b> 2:16,21	pandemic 14:3	17:7 228:6
<b>owned</b> 7:19	3:10,18	<b>paper</b> 142:25	participated
97:20 98:18	pacific 33:10	paradigm	25:11
<b>owner</b> 235:14	package 100:20	45:14 84:21	participating
252:15 275:24	101:1 111:9	90:9	100:13
276:1	159:15 268:17	paragraph	particular
	200.17	56:24 58:14,23	38:13,20 54:20

# [particular - percent]

	1	1	
63:13 88:21	<b>pass</b> 116:4	200:11 205:20	<b>penal</b> 177:23
101:18 110:13	148:20 206:25	214:1 226:24	185:3 195:21
113:17 116:21	216:15,16	236:2 237:4	196:15,15
139:15 156:2	248:20	238:7 250:14	199:23
157:4 169:6,25	<b>passed</b> 103:21	252:23 259:7	penalties 25:12
183:12 208:25	116:2 150:15	260:2,13,19	penalty 24:10
209:24 230:25	158:22 235:16	payable 177:17	25:16,17,18,20
233:2 245:9	248:17	paying 51:1	73:6
271:24	<b>passing</b> 131:22	127:6 129:19	<b>pending</b> 69:11
particularly	password	129:19 145:8	pennies 121:7
11:9,18 14:1	136:6	146:4 168:7	pennsylvania
104:23 111:22	<b>past</b> 8:15 10:4	241:2 252:16	210:8
120:9 234:5	26:23 59:18	258:18 265:5	people 11:7
particulars	98:5,24 99:6	265:16,17	12:7 13:9
64:6	131:22 216:18	payment 82:2	44:20 45:3
parties 47:17	<b>path</b> 9:24 15:8	259:8	75:15 80:9
50:13,21 85:24	157:13	payments	120:24 189:2
86:4 89:22	pattern 33:13	174:4,25	227:9 230:8
90:1 136:7	256:7,8	<b>payor</b> 216:20	231:9,14
209:16 230:3	<b>paul</b> 122:16	<b>payout</b> 200:22	232:16 243:20
234:9 263:16	163:21	227:13	248:3 249:3
267:24 279:13	<b>pause</b> 20:14	payouts 201:9	278:5
partners 105:4	191:15 218:1	201:15	perceives 178:1
164:12,13,22	<b>pay</b> 11:20,22	pays 95:23,23	perceiving
164:25 166:3	12:1,7 46:2	145:12 176:21	226:3
226:20,21,21	103:7 105:21	194:17	percent 13:13
partnership	111:14 115:7	<b>pc</b> 3:4,9	23:22 27:2,4
175:15 177:19	120:24 121:9	<b>pdf</b> 34:14,16,21	29:23 30:14
<b>parts</b> 18:11	129:21,22	peaks 14:2	35:21 36:4,12
54:2 113:19	168:8 170:15	<b>pearl</b> 246:18	39:11 73:11
<b>party</b> 172:17	170:19 176:15	<b>pecos</b> 1:5 250:4	74:1,7,16,18,24
172:21 173:24	182:13 184:22	<b>peers</b> 199:7	75:4,19,22
176:18 268:8	187:10 195:24	200:3	76:17 79:12
277:10	196:6,10,24	<b>peltz</b> 187:9	84:20 105:8,18
	199:23,25	189:4	105:21 106:10

## [percent - placed]

106:10 107:4	performed	perpetuated	<b>phase</b> 71:13
111:4 115:25	139:14	258:17	phrase 98:12
116:1,25	performing	person 18:9	physical 215:6
117:11 118:5	267:4	60:23 122:11	picked 148:4
127:10 158:20	<b>period</b> 76:7,12	198:16	picture 122:3
159:4,5,13,18	97:22 109:14	personal 55:20	186:3
159:20,22	110:25 119:10	67:22 93:10	<b>piece</b> 95:25
168:15 169:1,5	120:12 134:3	102:4 194:6	142:25
169:5,9,24	145:13 156:19	201:18	<b>pig</b> 274:20
170:11 171:8	161:14,25	personally 10:3	<b>pilot</b> 252:8
171:14,17	162:4,4,6,7	31:24 32:1	256:6
180:7,17	238:19,22	42:17	<b>pin</b> 123:24
183:19 193:24	265:17,24	personnel	pipeline 154:2
195:13 205:19	266:1 278:2	248:15	154:8 258:22
224:7,8,12,12	periodically	perspective	258:24 259:6
224:13 226:5	120:14	21:17 35:25	pipelines 110:9
227:1 234:9	periods 98:24	89:1 95:7	161:13
245:1,4 255:6	260:8	117:8 178:20	place 8:11
255:8 273:17	permanent	199:21	44:24 50:2
percentage	274:1,6,12	pertaining	52:15 76:5
75:21 76:18	permeability	160:5	81:10,15,18,22
169:19 237:17	255:12	pertains 104:5	103:11 104:5
percenter	permian	127:19	106:14 111:6
234:9	242:16 243:21	<b>pet</b> 232:19	113:1,13 116:7
perception	permissible	<b>peter</b> 188:16	120:18 143:19
199:3 203:24	25:4 91:15	<b>petro</b> 32:9	168:7,17
perfect 9:6	223:25	70:18,20 79:15	169:14 178:19
146:22 222:17	permission	200:19,22	193:3,4,21,23
239:2	47:8 163:13	201:19	196:11 203:7
perfectly 93:8	238:2	petroleum 2:14	209:25 229:10
95:15,16	<b>permit</b> 224:1	18:2 39:2	238:13 255:7
perforate 263:5	permitting	223:18 226:8	255:13 275:3
perform 61:20	113:14 139:12	228:21 229:24	<b>placed</b> 104:19
154:1 186:1,6	152:14	230:19 254:25	143:13

## [plan - pointing]

<b>plan</b> 57:6,9	220:25 221:11	99:7,8 112:14	235:7,9 243:15
58:10,20,24	225:22 233:21	113:23 115:11	252:23 253:3
60:6 139:2	233:24 236:19	127:22 128:2	275:22 277:13
154:17 257:10	238:23 239:19	131:8 132:10	277:15
274:23 278:5	241:25 249:12	142:21,24	<b>plugs</b> 143:13
planning 31:20	253:6 254:6,15	143:1,23	194:15 207:9
128:7	256:21 258:6	147:24 152:1	plumbing
<b>plans</b> 179:17	267:14 269:21	152:19,24	79:20
<b>plant</b> 7:24	270:19 271:21	153:6 234:8	<b>plus</b> 13:2
<b>plants</b> 110:8	275:12	275:9 277:17	<b>pocket</b> 108:20
<b>platform</b> 14:19	pledged 271:5	plugging 6:6	<b>point</b> 10:15
18:12 47:1	<b>plot</b> 259:21	24:2,5,13	34:22,24 38:3
51:22 163:1	<b>plug</b> 20:2 23:23	26:24 28:24	38:15,19 39:23
238:18 253:11	23:24 24:1,6	29:1 32:17,20	40:15 42:5
plausible 95:15	28:21 35:13	33:24 46:1,1	49:5 52:23
<b>play</b> 86:19	45:23 46:3	57:5,9 58:9,19	62:19 70:1
106:6 157:14	57:12 76:4	58:24 59:3	71:5 78:17
plays 95:23,24	92:15 93:14	60:6 66:20	80:4 82:5 93:3
269:20	95:18 104:25	73:10 99:1	96:4 102:9
pleasantries	108:23 117:22	116:10 127:25	108:2,5 120:4
72:5	118:4 129:10	128:8,13	120:20 123:18
please 6:24	130:6,8,16	129:18,21,22	126:7 129:9
12:15 14:20	131:2 139:2	129:24 143:12	132:5 133:7,19
17:11,12,25	143:16,20	144:18 165:25	134:17 153:18
22:5 23:10	148:15 184:10	167:10 173:4,8	175:7,19 191:3
30:6,24 33:19	184:16 185:1	173:17,19	191:6 209:7
40:25 50:9	188:8 207:2,8	174:24 177:11	212:12,15
51:22 56:19	209:12 210:11	178:2 179:14	221:14 223:5
58:7,7,22	224:24 231:1	181:11 182:3	227:20,21,24
62:25 67:7	234:10 252:18	186:4 188:5	228:4 231:23
78:2 82:18	263:8 264:9	203:1 204:15	232:15 257:14
96:20 119:17	274:1,15	206:19 209:20	pointed 218:9
133:23 154:21	plugged 28:23	225:4 233:22	pointing 66:4
163:18 212:21	29:6,10 77:1	234:14,19,21	135:3
213:8,12,16	78:8 92:16	234:23,24	

# [police - premiums]

<b>police</b> 240:24	241:1	216:15 243:12	practicing
policies 91:10	<b>porosity</b> 255:12	posted 78:22	112:20
92:5 173:9	portfolio 33:5	116:11 184:9	prcc 255:4
211:11,18	102:25 103:3	posts 184:14	pre 206:14
221:21 245:13	104:6,12	posture 70:7	207:15,20
245:19	172:12 273:16	pot 7:23	precedent
<b>policy</b> 13:19	portion 17:8	potential 70:11	235:10
14:7 27:8	118:8 125:9	89:14 90:6	precipitous
119:18 165:25	126:5 152:2	101:9 112:6	168:4 193:13
173:4 174:9,20	240:20 251:8	114:5,18	precisely
174:23 176:13	portions	119:15 120:3	144:12
176:22 181:11	271:22	147:22 154:4	predict 155:1
182:13 202:24	<b>pose</b> 10:2 11:3	potentially	predominantly
203:1 204:4,12	<b>posed</b> 273:25	11:8 103:3	18:5 67:24
204:14 222:1	position 45:2	110:17 111:17	88:20
policyholder	47:25 49:13	113:9 117:5,10	preferred
176:21,24	79:19 89:3,6	132:8,9 160:1	211:9
policymakers	89:22 90:14	162:8 179:22	premature
13:23	117:3 154:25	199:25 200:11	225:4 265:1
pollutant 11:9	160:14 183:4	211:14	prematurely
pollutants	187:12 204:25	<b>powell</b> 274:13	225:7 237:23
242:18	206:4 271:7,9	275:12	premise 201:6
pollution	positions 52:14	<b>power</b> 200:14	premium 103:8
242:13 243:17	62:2	220:25 223:8	106:20 127:9
<b>polo</b> 215:3	possibility	practical 184:8	150:21,22
<b>pool</b> 8:9 183:9	93:16	practicality	168:16 169:18
207:15,20	possible 34:18	153:12	169:23 170:5
223:19	132:19 146:19	practice 27:9	170:10 172:22
<b>pooling</b> 225:17	148:11 171:18	65:19 66:17	176:21 180:14
poorly 11:2	197:12 203:14	67:3 164:12,25	180:18,20
<b>pops</b> 136:19	possibly 115:8	213:23 214:3	189:6,9 195:20
<b>popular</b> 211:18	181:11 188:24	225:9	199:25 205:20
211:19	236:14 265:20	practiced 97:21	206:10 209:22
population	<b>post</b> 31:17	practices 64:2	premiums 92:9
29:18 33:18	157:13 180:4	67:16 186:23	92:13 106:6

## [premiums - problem]

		I	I
108:19 168:5,6	presumably	prewitt 7:11	63:1 64:12,18
169:18 170:2	209:5	<b>price</b> 12:7	66:13,23 78:20
171:16 180:15	presuming	39:10 40:13	78:21 84:25
189:7 198:1,8	92:4	103:19 115:4	89:23 107:19
199:14 206:11	presumption	121:3,5 154:18	119:13 124:20
206:14,17	48:9 134:19,23	193:10 259:16	124:24 128:3
preparation	135:20 138:1	259:17,18,21	139:13,21
50:23 51:16	pretests 158:20	260:24 265:21	143:4 150:7
<b>prepare</b> 133:12	<b>pretty</b> 31:13	265:25	188:7 216:20
prepared 97:12	100:1 106:17	<b>priced</b> 183:17	217:10 258:2
preparing 21:3	117:22 119:23	prices 14:9	273:6
present 90:10	147:25 168:4	39:12 113:24	<b>privacy</b> 266:23
141:15 174:22	181:5,17	114:22 120:23	private 53:14
presentation	224:21 269:20	120:24 121:1	78:25 100:21
22:14 193:22	274:19	186:22 193:13	105:3 268:8
presented	prevalent	197:22 260:3,8	privilege
34:14 39:18	38:21	primarily 82:7	249:25
68:21 79:14	prevent 26:6	97:20 98:18	proactive 186:9
presenting 23:2	113:5 119:22	99:23,24	probably 83:21
37:20 38:17	159:14 160:21	151:21 258:20	90:9 93:25
47:9 61:24	186:21 225:15	primary 13:20	96:16 104:9
62:2 81:19	252:23 274:14	21:6 102:6,23	123:17 128:19
82:25	274:20	194:13 250:23	129:5,16 170:1
preserved	preventing	255:5	170:13 206:20
251:11	25:23 113:21	principal	207:1 224:23
president 97:18	274:18	173:25 174:25	257:17,20
98:3,5 164:11	prevention	233:25	267:22
<b>press</b> 14:21	222:25 223:8	principally	<b>problem</b> 20:7,8
pressing	223:10 224:9	98:22	29:22 33:22
253:15	261:1,24	principles	36:2 45:21
pressure 71:9	previous 35:5	265:10	50:18 64:17
71:23 120:13	69:2 135:16	<b>printed</b> 232:25	85:16 112:2
179:2 261:16	previously	236:17	117:6 151:4,7
261:20	105:24 121:22	<b>prior</b> 19:23	151:11,21
	143:15	44:13 51:8	153:22 162:14

# [problem - professional]

	1	1	1
179:4 186:18	190:11 192:25	producing	126:22 129:1
219:3 242:20	200:10 267:11	10:17 11:6	129:11 132:24
243:8 255:16	processing	52:16 54:15	133:1,1,9
256:3 267:25	185:24	79:18 101:8,11	134:20 135:10
problematic	produce 18:4	124:11,14	136:24 137:15
159:9	81:15 102:15	129:1,13,15,15	140:8,19
problems 66:4	109:17 112:25	130:4,16,18	141:25 142:2
262:3	114:8 120:5,15	141:13 165:3	156:2,4 158:11
procedural	125:19 127:1	187:19 209:4	160:22 162:5
65:22	140:6,8 141:5	223:3,16	179:18 187:10
procedure 64:5	141:9,20,21	227:18 229:14	187:23 208:21
67:13 81:18	142:4 153:17	243:2 260:23	209:13 224:8
178:12 248:13	155:1 156:13	263:2 274:15	228:21 231:17
proceed 65:12	225:20 259:13	274:21 275:5,5	234:5 256:1,9
267:21 272:15	260:21 263:8	275:24 276:5	257:5,13,21
proceeding	276:18	276:14	258:13,13
18:11,25 50:13	produced	<b>product</b> 173:16	259:3 260:1
101:25 113:19	121:7 126:4	174:18 175:11	261:18 262:21
151:1 186:12	132:19 133:5	176:2 177:9	265:5,12,15,17
234:6 235:2	141:14 156:10	183:3 203:12	265:22,25
proceedings	161:23 190:17	203:22,25	276:16 277:1
1:13 4:3 6:2	190:21 234:1,2	204:23 206:8	productions
18:9,19 42:13	234:3,7 258:18	206:12,16	260:8
78:13,14 81:8	259:4 274:21	208:17 210:17	productive
88:4 94:8	274:22	211:14 231:11	12:2 101:7
185:19 215:21	producers	265:25	102:12 114:10
221:4 278:10	13:24 14:5	<b>production</b> 8:6	120:21 159:25
279:8,10	18:4,6,7 49:6	14:1 32:4	187:23 243:5
<b>process</b> 43:3,9	101:2,15 119:7	38:22 69:20	259:14 260:18
44:21 67:14	119:8 270:5	101:10,16	262:19
78:19 89:20	produces	107:15 109:4	productivity
99:15 107:24	116:16 120:16	109:18,18	100:7
108:10 109:25	120:17 132:24	110:6 114:25	products 121:5
175:12 182:9	134:1 156:19	115:3 120:11	professional
185:16,17	263:7	125:5 126:20	83:18

# [professions - provided]

professions	promptly	65:12 81:12	protected
18:7	119:19	82:7 85:4	174:6 251:12
professor	promulgated	101:24 110:22	protecting
155:23	138:25	115:17 117:9	159:24 275:13
<b>proffer</b> 122:13	pronounced	121:14 125:3	protection
136:5 157:8,17	31:9	134:18,24	182:15,22
191:12	propensity	149:24 150:1	223:9 224:18
profit 12:1	167:23	161:22 165:14	225:3
250:22 269:15	properly 76:4	166:21 167:19	protects 28:8
269:17,18	property 29:2	168:1,2,22	<b>prove</b> 191:6
profiting 253:1	103:25 164:22	187:17 189:20	proved 45:5
program 32:14	166:10,12,17	195:18 204:1	provide 22:15
252:9 256:6	274:25	206:6 209:11	23:1 33:16
progress 13:13	proposal 24:10	223:20 224:18	53:17 57:5
progressed	35:17 42:25	226:16 236:25	58:19 59:6
43:8	45:16 47:16	252:6,25	61:20 63:3,7,9
<b>project</b> 160:20	48:4,9,17 57:1	256:22 261:7	63:24 66:1
255:10	65:9 73:6	263:19 264:11	79:17 88:15
projects 226:16	78:18 90:11	267:22 268:2	104:3 106:10
prolific 258:23	267:18	268:15 269:20	109:11 117:24
prominently	proposals 8:22	272:6,16	133:13 138:20
229:2	30:18 43:7	proposing	138:22 139:1
promise 168:7	44:9,11 50:3	43:19 46:5	157:15,18,23
170:14,17	50:13,15,22	81:21	160:14 171:25
176:15 184:22	propose 187:9	proposition	173:12 182:16
195:24	275:17,19	11:25 63:22	195:9 238:22
promised	<b>proposed</b> 1:10	prospective	239:5 241:12
195:13 199:23	8:16 14:7	268:15	247:1 248:9
promising	23:12 26:7	prospects	provided 31:25
170:19	36:9 45:15	100:14	53:10 124:10
promote	47:23 48:2	<b>protect</b> 94:6,10	168:21 171:2
223:22	49:2,9,16,20,23	95:10 105:12	173:3 189:5
promoting	50:11,14 53:8	225:11,15	192:21 230:24
268:18	54:2 56:17	243:20	241:12 245:17
	57:4 58:1		246:25 247:23

# [provided - quarterly]

		T.	
248:1 250:9	42:4 72:24	113:8 119:25	117:2,10,20
264:19	212:10,13	236:20 237:12	122:8 150:24
provider	232:1 238:12	274:12	153:10 159:17
173:20	239:12 240:24	purposes 11:14	159:22 169:20
<b>provides</b> 63:16	245:6 252:22	76:11 112:16	172:1 193:4,20
76:25 120:17	277:24,25	113:12 119:12	199:24 203:7
165:1 174:20	278:2,5	119:20,21	205:15 207:6
181:14 221:25	publication	124:3 129:24	209:25 223:12
240:20 241:2	230:16	212:7 225:14	227:16 232:17
247:15,16	<b>publicly</b> 30:19	234:4	236:16 250:21
276:11	32:2 33:22	pursuant 6:19	255:20,21
providing 62:5	publisher	173:4 239:15	256:5 269:23
160:4 174:15	62:21	<b>pursue</b> 113:16	273:22
178:9 193:16	<b>pull</b> 6:16 50:6	pursued 201:20	<b>puts</b> 116:25
205:20 248:17	53:1 57:14	pursuing	234:16
<b>provision</b> 24:15	64:21 123:17	100:12 182:6	<b>putting</b> 35:19
26:7 62:13	125:9 133:4	<b>purvis</b> 52:18	103:11 107:9
65:11 134:24	215:24 263:8	118:13,17	113:16 196:11
173:5 234:16	<b>pulled</b> 132:4	119:16 121:13	207:5 254:22
provisions	217:21 239:10	121:22 273:14	255:9
62:14,17 89:10	pulling 47:14	<b>push</b> 85:24	$\mathbf{q}$
231:2 233:15	130:21 223:11	209:11	qualified 56:12
233:16 235:7	pumpers 98:19	pushback	qualify 258:4
<b>prudent</b> 114:23	pumping	27:20	quality 223:18
114:24 115:15	120:10	pushing 46:5	252:12
159:6 250:20	punish 94:5	<b>put</b> 15:12 27:10	quantities
260:22 261:24	punishing	66:11,15 82:1	258:18 265:5
264:2	45:25 88:22	82:22 85:18	265:16,17
psychology	purchase	89:5 90:24	275:25
164:17	111:15	92:1 100:20	quarter 35:7
<b>public</b> 1:1 3:17	purifiers 11:23	104:4,24	114:17 226:24
6:9,10,17,24	purport 73:12	108:24 111:5	227:2
10:2 11:3,12	83:5	111:16 113:1	quarterly
11:15,17 14:24	purpose 22:5	113:12 115:2	193:7 194:2
21:9 25:24	22:22 23:14	116:19,20	1,0., 1,1,2

# [question - reach]

		T	1
question 31:5	57:23 59:25	107:7 122:9	ran 30:11 37:4
42:5 43:25	61:2 62:10	209:18	37:7 181:17
44:2 46:2	73:2 80:1,17	quizzing 135:4	230:22
51:18,23 55:7	80:18,20,25	quote 53:7	rancher 214:8
56:25 64:15	81:1 82:14,24	161:22 162:1	ranching 214:9
66:16 67:7,8	83:22 87:9	228:22	<b>range</b> 40:4,6
69:5 75:10,16	89:19 90:16,19	<b>quoted</b> 220:18	53:23 75:19
77:21 78:2,23	123:7,13,18	220:19 223:15	109:14 144:13
79:22 80:6	131:12,24	266:17 267:18	144:22 171:17
82:17 83:14	142:7,11,15	quotes 215:5	171:18 180:16
84:1 86:9,23	148:25 149:2,5	216:22	ranges 169:22
87:2 88:17	149:10,16	r	170:5
91:20 92:24	152:3 155:8,19	<b>r</b> 2:1 3:1 7:4,4	ranging 170:2
93:22 95:14	158:15 160:25	9:7,8 12:18,18	rankin 2:22
107:11 114:3	161:3 162:16	17:14 96:22,22	80:20,21 149:4
135:7,8 137:24	162:18 191:10	163:20,20,20	149:5 202:13
140:25 144:20	191:23 192:1,9	212:22 239:24	202:14
154:22 155:25	194:10 201:25	242:1,2 244:6	rarely 13:21
156:6,23,23	202:3,6,10,11	244:6,6 246:10	226:7
175:25 184:7	202:13,16,18	251:23	rate 171:18
188:1 195:14	202:23 205:3,8	radical 243:13	227:23 228:10
198:5 206:3	205:10 207:25	radical 243:13	229:12,13,14
210:4 211:17	208:4,10 211:1	rain 2/4:11 rainbow 58:5	229:21 261:13
220:15 221:1	211:4 277:23	62:23	rates 170:10,22
225:9 237:3	<b>quick</b> 39:25		172:22 189:6,9
273:24	61:5 80:6 99:6	raise 14:20,22 40:24 93:24	229:20
questionable	201:17 258:9	253:15	<b>rather</b> 113:22
127:18 136:15	quicker 104:20	raised 49:5	176:14 177:12
questioned	quickly 50:7	53:4 61:15	rating 6:24
19:9	51:24 73:18	244:16 247:9	59:7
questioning	184:3 188:24		rattle 257:21
49:4 79:6	<b>quit</b> 268:4	raising 186:17	raw 133:16
122:25	269:11		<b>reach</b> 86:13
questions 15:15	quite 61:4	ramifications	127:5,5 278:7
19:11 51:4	66:16 99:19	167:23 168:10	

# [reached - reclamation]

reached 6:9	realize 258:10	reasonable	receive 115:3
43:23 228:1	realized 223:4	102:22 114:24	121:5,6 154:12
reaction 19:2	228:22	115:4,15	154:13
reactions 19:12	really 8:21	162:11 266:1	received 23:20
reactive 186:9	11:24 21:7	reasonably	53:11,18 54:1
read 24:12 50:9	22:21 26:3	276:20	54:8,18 55:9
58:7,22 59:13	28:2,11 59:14	reasons 24:4	84:10 179:11
62:25 73:22	61:3 71:16	84:15 93:17	receives 244:25
74:7 119:17	76:18 79:23	102:16 110:5	receiving 66:18
133:23 134:4	82:5 85:6	113:11 118:23	67:11
134:10 135:10	105:11 106:3	120:4 236:20	recent 26:21
135:12 137:22	107:16 123:13	259:4,12 260:9	113:24 146:11
137:23 263:4	123:18 130:5	rebuttal 5:4,8,9	189:13 192:10
273:1	152:17 165:5	18:19 19:1	195:20
reading 47:11	168:3,10	20:11,18 36:20	recently 31:19
58:11 62:23	173:11 178:21	36:23 40:19	113:7 211:15
105:17 126:24	179:22 180:1	41:24 42:3	248:17 260:10
139:20 218:7	186:16 193:14	47:4 54:5 55:4	recess 80:14
266:15 272:4	193:17 207:7	56:21 65:4	123:1 163:7
277:4	209:11 214:2	132:1 155:14	232:2
reads 25:6	218:10 230:12	158:8 163:2	reckless 122:6
74:17 75:1,3	251:6 256:2	181:19 188:12	reclaim 94:24
76:9	reason 13:20	191:13,19	reclaimed
<b>ready</b> 15:12	48:23 52:25	197:18 200:9	107:24 209:8
92:16 166:8	53:20 94:20	200:12 212:9	reclaiming 29:2
184:16 231:7	96:7 111:8	215:20 216:21	94:22
<b>real</b> 30:4 38:18	113:21 116:22	217:19 218:20	reclamation
45:16 46:2	118:3 139:9	219:9,19	26:21 46:6
99:6 154:18	140:25 152:1	263:25 264:19	71:7 95:23
194:13 251:4	162:22 174:18	266:7,11	107:25 144:7
256:3	193:25 194:17	rebutting	146:12 147:16
realistic 243:12	194:18,25	272:20	148:16 152:25
reality 23:25	198:12 199:12	<b>recall</b> 71:22	153:8 177:11
179:1	204:8 209:21	160:9	187:11
	211:25		

## [recognizable - regulations]

recognizable	recover 70:2	reference 16:7	regarding
92:3	182:5	27:19 56:19	23:14 31:13
recognize	recoverable	63:25 118:21	32:5 68:10
123:23 147:21	224:25	151:1 222:9,10	69:22 110:21
recognized	recovered	222:12 273:6	160:12 161:10
220:22	223:19	referenced 73:7	254:4 269:22
recognizes	recoveries	101:21 190:10	269:24 270:20
23:25	270:11	194:8	regardless
recollection	recovering	referencing	43:23 50:17
139:24 144:6	270:7	25:2 57:18	94:8,12 183:22
144:16 148:1	recovery 31:21	206:17	regards 67:19
recommend	160:19 182:7	referred 37:23	<b>region</b> 242:17
8:21 85:6	254:14,20,23	69:3 73:5	243:2,22
recommendat	254:25 255:5	137:25	247:22
89:7	255:15 256:23	referring 24:9	regionally
recommendat	256:25 257:19	54:18 55:8	41:13
88:12,16 89:14	270:4	63:13 65:1	<b>register</b> 63:2,23
recommended	recurring	235:14	registered 66:2
49:24	180:19	refers 133:8	registration
reconvene	<b>red</b> 38:9 272:12	134:5,20	48:18 62:13,24
16:14	redirect 4:8,13	refinery 7:11	<b>regs</b> 68:13
<b>record</b> 6:1 28:3	80:7 82:16,19	7:12,22	regularly 110:8
32:21 35:12	152:7,10 205:5	<b>reflect</b> 133:17	regulated
71:4 88:8	redline 50:12	243:18	174:7
122:15 133:17	88:13	reflected 73:20	regulation 50:3
136:6 152:22	<b>reduce</b> 223:17	76:2 141:25	74:3,4 243:11
157:4,11 158:5	223:18	reflects 54:13	245:14,20
183:23 201:17	reducing 179:3	158:5	273:9
251:5 273:1	245:12 271:16	<b>reform</b> 178:21	regulations
recorded	reemphasize	179:5	8:11,13,16
140:20	39:16	<b>refrac</b> 262:23	10:1 21:13,21
records 59:9,10	refer 55:8	reframe 75:16	23:21 50:21
268:11	134:15 135:10	<b>refuse</b> 259:13	77:25 78:5
recourse	135:13	regard 64:3	79:2 165:16
182:17 195:2		103:5	166:20 188:20

## [regulations - request]

241:10 248:20	209:6 276:18	remedy 250:17	151:1 156:12
	276:19	remember	156:19 158:10
regulator 174:2			
175:1 178:6	released 106:25	19:10 43:20,21	172:21 279:7
regulators 78:7	107:21 152:19	82:21 144:2,6	reported 140:7
78:9 173:17	208:18 210:2	144:13 188:1	141:25 142:2
210:16	releasing	214:12 245:21	156:10
regulatory	252:23 276:25	remembered	reporting 40:5
13:18 21:17,18	relevance 20:4	144:20	55:16 141:7
113:3 114:2	29:12	remnant 68:20	156:8,12
177:20,21	relevant 138:3	remotely 164:8	reports 26:22
183:25 204:24	234:5	removal 39:13	59:9 172:17
208:18 210:2	relied 97:25	removed 107:6	represent
reimbursing	relinquishing	renewables	22:17 46:22
177:7	169:11	112:24	63:19 72:24
reiterate 55:21	reluctance	renewed	126:19 150:21
61:6	200:10	110:12	158:9 251:4
reject 33:1	<b>rely</b> 172:11	rent 236:3	representation
related 23:18	244:22 245:9	reoffer 218:15	158:12
28:20 36:8	261:16	219:9	representative
39:3,3 68:24	<b>remain</b> 13:7,10	reorganization	22:19 49:6
69:8,19 135:21	118:23 185:21	8:7	52:13 58:25
200:22 279:13	remaining 46:3	repair 110:9	62:6
relating 6:6	68:20 155:2	repeat 78:2	represented
relation 82:9	159:20 266:15	87:15 135:8	19:17 34:23
relationship	remains 28:6	184:12 198:5	53:23 119:1
103:7	177:4	repeating	representing
relative 118:20	remediate	198:4	72:18 81:7
124:1 128:24	148:14	repeats 239:6	88:25 123:12
192:16	remediated	rephrase 64:23	represents 45:9
relatively	252:14	replaced	57:19 176:15
240:25	remediation	135:25	198:14
relayed 27:9	99:10 146:12	<b>reply</b> 87:11,16	repurposing
release 108:2	147:16 148:2,9	report 38:7	32:21
188:7 208:22	179:14 186:4	132:23,25	request 41:3
208:23,25		141:10 142:3	59:6

## [requested - retired]

requested	179:6 180:7	resign 237:23	responses
133:6	182:21 186:17	238:1	54:12,19 55:9
requests	187:1 195:1	resignation	responsibility
108:10	196:15,16,16	216:6 237:24	20:2 115:18
require 48:17	197:2 203:25	resigned	182:1 250:12
57:4 64:11,17	204:1,10	214:25 238:4	responsible
78:24 81:14	209:23 251:6	resolution	32:17 35:12
102:24 143:13	270:20	185:18	115:21 116:10
183:18 197:25	requires 64:4	resonated	145:8 177:7
198:7 199:13	66:12,21 67:9	210:17	243:10 253:2
205:1 238:4,4	76:3 94:10	resource 25:24	rest 127:1
required 63:11	102:14 171:20	225:20	restate 64:14
65:14 79:16	requiring	resources 2:10	69:5
103:16 104:7	81:21 194:4	3:8,13 31:20	restored
106:8 110:18	196:18 210:3	44:20 45:7	217:12
116:13 131:8	243:11	179:9 248:9	restoring
143:18 171:4	research 255:1	250:21	243:15
171:10 180:4,8	reseeded 148:4	respect 17:5	restricted
188:3 199:24	reservation	151:7 153:13	245:13
204:13 205:19	216:6 248:2,3	166:19 234:13	restrictions
209:3 234:11	248:3	respective	245:20
252:17 271:18	reservations	192:22	result 31:24
272:15,25	248:2	respectively	37:3 125:20
273:20	<b>reserve</b> 172:17	33:7	151:21 179:12
requirement	172:21 184:24	respond 183:2	214:20
64:11 91:2	186:8	184:3 188:19	resulted 196:23
109:4 180:12	reserved	198:17	resulting 262:2
206:25 209:6	174:11	responded	results 23:2
221:23 239:16	reserves 59:9	121:14	152:18
requirements	89:19 115:13	response 55:10	<b>retains</b> 200:14
26:15 32:8	resident 249:24	95:20 103:15	retire 7:15
59:3 63:21	252:10	121:19 141:19	<b>retired</b> 7:18,20
66:20 166:22	residents	183:4 206:21	240:12 247:12
168:14 172:13	242:24	210:4 245:7	252:8
173:14,17		256:15	

## [retirement - risk]

4i		141.16 140.00	
retirement 7:17	richard 3:19	141:16 142:22	righty 72:7
173:23 174:4,8	72:24 75:11	143:25 145:1	202:15
return 13:6	ridgeway 31:4	146:13 147:25	ring 62:16
15:5 122:24	79:16	148:10,18	174:11
243:21 253:17	ridgway 68:5,8	150:9 151:18	<b>rio</b> 10:11
returns 13:21	ridiculous	152:5 153:3,6	ripples 189:1
92:21	122:8	153:19,23	<b>rise</b> 168:13
revealed 38:13	<b>rig</b> 143:20	155:6,18	196:3
revenue 105:1	<b>right</b> 9:3 14:16	157:10,21	<b>rising</b> 196:15
105:2 187:22	15:4 16:1	159:7 161:15	197:1,8
200:3 240:14	17:13 28:7	162:21 163:3	<b>risk</b> 10:2 13:21
240:21 245:1,2	41:2 42:8 44:2	163:13,14	28:11 32:18
245:4,12	46:15 47:12,14	167:16,22	56:13 60:9
revenues 129:6	47:19,20,23	175:10 177:13	95:10 102:18
129:7 199:6	48:20 49:21	177:14,20	102:22 103:18
review 51:15	54:10 56:3,4,6	189:16 192:6	104:24 106:1
62:15 64:11	56:13,18 57:12	193:23 196:7	115:2 116:18
136:4 138:8	58:2 60:14	198:16 205:9	116:25 117:11
165:15 185:24	62:19 63:6,15	206:11 211:23	118:1,6 121:19
193:4,6 194:1	70:19 75:24	217:17 219:12	159:23,25
reviewed 50:24	88:16 92:9	220:7,17,24	160:1 170:14
101:25 166:20	93:17 94:21	221:6 222:21	170:18 176:6
reviewing	95:12 96:5,16	233:6 234:17	176:14,21
44:16 57:9	97:3 106:22	235:5 243:14	177:2,4,10,15
191:7	107:17 112:4	246:7 250:3	181:24 182:11
revising 230:9	115:1 123:23	253:16 254:7	182:18 183:9
revolver	124:7,22	265:3 266:10	183:17 184:21
169:13 172:5	126:12 127:9	268:1 269:21	186:21 189:21
<b>reword</b> 264:22	127:16,21	274:25 275:2	189:22,23
rework 264:15	128:14,21	277:18	190:3 191:1
reworking	130:1,6 131:14	<b>rights</b> 81:23	192:17,19
32:15 159:15	131:25 132:2	185:24 223:9	194:14,19
262:10,14,18	132:11 134:15	225:3,5,11,15	195:23 196:13
264:3,6	135:6 136:21	275:14	197:22 198:14
	137:1 138:16		198:24 199:8

## [risk - salbar]

199:15,19	259:8,13 260:3	25:12 27:23	135:4 149:24
206:9 250:22	260:5 276:15	28:21 41:8	150:14 158:19
264:23	<b>rubber</b> 149:25	43:19 44:9,10	166:19 170:10
<b>risks</b> 36:1	<b>rug</b> 223:11	45:16 49:2,16	179:2,15
94:11	rule 23:17,20	49:20 53:8	186:13 187:17
risky 35:13,22	23:23,24,25	57:19 78:13	189:20 203:20
186:13,13,18	24:16,22 25:3	89:12 90:5	204:22 221:25
186:19	25:5 26:4,7	98:12 101:22	253:7 256:22
river 250:4	30:18 32:19	165:14 178:12	258:1 264:11
rmoore 3:20	35:14,17,25	181:19 186:12	<b>rumors</b> 78:20
<b>road</b> 38:23	36:2,7,13	209:11 224:1	<b>run</b> 40:12
39:3 88:21	45:15,19 54:2	224:18 225:2	115:5 228:1
149:25	57:4,15 58:1	237:1 261:2	268:25
roads 38:23,24	63:17 65:10	264:4,4 265:7	running 21:11
245:7	66:6,8,24 71:1	265:9 266:12	<b>runs</b> 39:11
<b>robust</b> 32:14	73:8,9,13,16,18	269:20 272:6	rural 11:4
91:24 193:4	73:21 74:6,7	272:13,22	<b>ruth</b> 120:7
<b>role</b> 20:22 21:6	75:3,7 76:1,3,9	274:14,18	140:5
21:15,23 95:22	76:14,16,17,20	rulemakings	S
252:6	76:22 77:5	89:5	s 1:6 2:1 3:1 9:8
<b>room</b> 6:10,12	78:12,18,22	<b>rules</b> 6:20 9:17	12:18 17:14
18:10 227:9	90:7,10 91:8	10:1 12:6 26:5	96:22 163:20
238:16 268:11	106:24 110:22	44:16,18 45:8	229:24 242:2
roswell 2:16	112:17 124:3	47:23 48:2	244:6 249:14
97:19 98:18,20	126:8,24	49:9,22 56:17	251:23,23
213:11	138:25 139:21	66:21 67:12,16	sacrifice 45:4
roughly 10:6	150:1 153:12	67:18 77:6,14	saddle 35:14
19:25 20:3	158:6,21	78:8 79:2	saddled 70:15
79:12 105:14	167:19 168:22	81:11,12,14	safe 21:17
128:10 145:4	237:2 243:25	85:4 90:6 92:7	203:16
routine 154:1	252:25 267:18	101:4,24 103:2	<b>safest</b> 146:19
royalties	268:2	107:16 109:20	safety 250:7
120:18	rulemaking	112:4 115:17	saint 1:6
royalty 225:6	14:10 19:5	117:9 121:14	salbar 140:4
1 240.17 250.1 2	1 22.10 24 22.5	125:3 134:24	
240:17 259:1,2	22:10,24 23:5	123.3 134.24	

## [sale - seek]

zala 100.21	~~~~ (2.12.15	72.4.70.0.10	100.22
sale 100:21	says 63:12,15	73:4 79:8,10	secured 108:22
174:6 268:9	125:14 132:14	131:23 165:18	securitize
saltwater	137:14 162:3	scroll 173:5	271:5
134:13 135:14	177:21 208:14	scrolled 55:3	security 247:17
135:19,21	221:22 223:7	scrolling 167:1	see 6:10,15
137:12,20	223:15 265:15	<b>scrutiny</b> 193:12	28:4 30:12,13
138:5	266:17 272:24	209:23	33:13 37:2
san 10:9,10,23	277:17	<b>search</b> 152:18	44:13 72:15
244:17 245:24	<b>scale</b> 70:16	seasonal 260:9	76:23,24 86:3
246:16 247:21	164:21 171:3	second 22:23	102:6 108:20
254:23 255:5	184:3 188:24	25:21 29:24	116:14 117:6
<b>sand</b> 261:8	225:24	38:3,9,12 44:2	118:3 122:3
<b>sandra</b> 251:15	scenario	52:19 58:13	125:12 126:15
251:22 252:5	168:23 169:25	133:20 171:2	136:11 149:6
santa 1:7 2:4	171:2,15	216:8,14	149:20 152:15
2:11,22 3:14	184:24 190:4	217:23 269:14	152:16 153:1,5
3:19 14:3 17:6	190:12 195:7	271:7,9	159:1,8,21
63:5 213:24,25	205:17,17,17	secondary	170:21 171:9
214:2	scenarios 93:20	31:20 254:14	171:15 175:20
satisfies 171:22	118:20 159:22	254:20,23	189:12 194:25
<b>satisfy</b> 172:13	189:17	255:14 256:23	196:3,15 199:1
saw 52:8	school 7:21	256:25 257:19	202:8 206:11
133:16 188:25	13:1 213:17	270:3	209:22 211:16
193:11,12	247:10	secretary 27:13	217:16 220:13
203:8	schools 8:20	section 63:17	221:9 226:18
sayer 3:9,12	245:9,14,21	135:10,16,21	229:13 231:16
saying 22:2	science 255:15	137:25 164:25	233:3,16
28:4 48:6	<b>scope</b> 19:22	173:5 273:6	238:16 239:10
69:12 85:9	20:6 29:22	sections 58:8	242:20 243:22
88:19 90:23	36:7 264:18	135:1	246:2,4,5
114:14 141:21	<b>scoping</b> 44:13	sector 13:2	249:9
141:24 142:2	scrambling	180:15	<b>seeing</b> 168:13
157:25 184:22	189:2	<b>secure</b> 180:5	169:3
194:18 216:23	screen 47:8	189:3	seek 62:3
275:24	65:3,25 68:1		

## [seeking - shoes]

seeking 44:7	sending 15:6	166:11 263:19	severely 112:11
seem 19:25	senior 164:11	services 245:6	shale 14:1
38:4 65:17	250:3	session 6:9 15:1	270:4
160:20 162:13	sense 11:24	42:20 44:3	<b>shallow</b> 144:17
204:22 214:12	32:20 85:21,22	253:13	229:19
seems 24:10	86:20,22 89:10	sessions 44:25	shandler 1:24
29:4 93:5 95:9	89:20 90:1	<b>set</b> 96:14	shanor 2:15
105:25 108:14	104:15,18	129:21 143:19	213:11
116:22,23	114:23 145:25	143:20 145:18	<b>share</b> 41:14
117:19 149:19	160:20 181:2	170:5 177:12	47:8 62:18
159:19,23	187:13,14	177:17 224:6,6	68:1 73:4 79:8
175:16 178:17	219:3 243:13	253:3 257:12	82:18 97:13
186:4 191:2	257:24	268:11 279:8	131:23 165:18
204:8,21	sent 189:1	sets 107:20	187:15 188:5
237:14	215:9 247:10	<b>setting</b> 108:11	shared 136:6
seen 13:13	sentence	118:19 172:22	<b>sharing</b> 152:14
79:10 157:14	126:11 198:5	<b>settle</b> 200:15	233:10
178:16 184:1	262:16 267:6	settlement 68:8	<b>sheet</b> 104:4
187:4 204:21	separate 68:24	267:2,5	136:9 137:5
207:16 235:12	135:21 185:6	settlements	140:19
235:16 247:14	separators	266:18	<b>sheila</b> 6:15,25
252:11 260:7	10:22	seven 26:21,23	8:24 239:10,19
sees 243:1	september 19:4	54:9,18 55:8	278:7
select 238:3	sequence 43:21	55:10 74:11	sheldon 27:13
selective	<b>series</b> 109:23	100:4,24	<b>shell</b> 76:4
183:13	serious 89:19	125:18,22	<b>shift</b> 13:13,20
self 8:3	93:10	126:12 137:2	84:21 181:25
sell 111:21	seriously 250:6	246:23	194:14,19
224:24 268:5	serve 60:6	several 6:11	shifted 44:18
<b>selling</b> 231:13	178:23 242:9	47:22 100:25	<b>shifting</b> 177:10
semantically	served 10:3	120:3 128:25	179:23
69:17	98:6 268:21	168:12 173:10	<b>shifts</b> 90:10
semantics 75:6	<b>serves</b> 119:20	179:4 230:10	<b>shoe</b> 226:22
semiannual	service 24:6	severe 243:4	<b>shoes</b> 153:10
194:2	92:6 99:22		

## [short - slide]

1 4 262.24	11000	120 5 15	1.60 11 1.60 01
<b>short</b> 263:24	side 110:2,3	120:5,15	162:11 169:21
shorthand	166:6	127:14 131:2	178:18 208:25
279:8	<b>sign</b> 11:1	159:20 167:9	259:17 267:10
shortly 7:17	234:23 246:3	171:4 184:15	situations
<b>show</b> 38:15	signature	221:22 222:9	115:6 131:4
63:19 243:10	279:16	222:15 225:24	159:8 250:18
272:7	significance	235:19 236:11	<b>six</b> 98:10 99:7
<b>showed</b> 189:14	254:21	257:12 273:15	248:11 267:7
192:21	significant	273:15,21	<b>sixth</b> 217:6
showing 26:17	42:19 148:9	276:25	<b>size</b> 107:10
26:22 34:7,8	157:10,16	singularly	145:5 180:10
35:11 156:14	168:2 183:18	184:22 197:4,9	180:22 183:14
190:9 234:21	196:24	207:13,18	232:23 237:15
271:22	significantly	sinkhole	<b>skewed</b> 189:12
<b>shown</b> 139:13	145:22 148:6	252:13	<b>skill</b> 21:22
shows 29:21	180:20	sir 36:25 55:23	skillful 94:9
31:10,15 35:4	similar 10:14	65:24 69:9	<b>skip</b> 222:19
40:16 140:3	70:7 173:21	70:13 71:15	253:25 254:5
<b>shut</b> 11:11	174:19 178:18	83:17,19,20,25	<b>skipped</b> 179:25
119:10 120:12	206:11,22	85:2 87:8 91:1	223:4
126:14 139:25	275:13	96:3 127:24	sledgehammer
140:1 153:14	similarly 94:15	160:24 207:24	26:16
153:21,25	174:22	214:11 258:3	<b>slide</b> 23:9 24:8
154:2,10	<b>simple</b> 114:13	<b>sit</b> 66:14	26:14,21 28:16
161:10,13	128:20	138:12 159:1	29:14,15,21
259:1,2,7,8,13	simplistic	175:19	30:6,8,24,24,25
260:2,2,17	138:16	<b>site</b> 148:8	33:19,25 34:3
261:1,5,6,19,25	simply 35:9	152:19 252:15	36:23 37:20,21
shutdown	44:19 93:18	<b>sites</b> 147:13,25	38:9,10,14
162:7	111:7 170:19	243:3	40:25 68:2,18
shutdowns	195:24	sitting 154:7	70:1 82:18
162:5	sincere 87:24	195:8 257:22	119:1,4,13
<b>si</b> 210:2	<b>single</b> 11:6 83:6	situation 46:4	124:4 132:1,2
<b>sick</b> 11:7	98:11 102:25	70:12 117:4	133:14 137:4
	105:16 111:12	120:25 131:1	139:13 179:25

## [slide - speaks]

100 15 25	120.12	1 4 14 10	
190:15,25	slow 138:13	<b>solvent</b> 14:13	sorting 34:16
192:10 213:12	<b>small</b> 13:24	70:6,25	sorts 113:4
217:16 219:24	14:5 44:19	somebody	145:19 261:3
220:11,16,17	49:6 84:3,5	28:22 111:3	<b>sound</b> 88:16
220:24 221:13	97:20 98:17	122:1 157:2,3	127:15 128:13
222:19 223:5,6	99:12 121:18	226:19 228:7,9	<b>sounds</b> 46:15
223:12 224:16	158:19 179:9	231:3 276:13	113:18 117:8
225:21 228:12	179:17 180:22	someone's	128:21 211:19
229:4 230:1	183:14 205:24	236:15	source 68:6
231:6 232:8	207:4 224:14	somewhat	189:9
233:21 235:18	226:16 251:8	178:24 190:19	<b>sources</b> 186:10
236:19 254:10	smaller 29:19	190:20	<b>south</b> 2:10
254:11,15	33:3 43:5 45:2	<b>sooner</b> 28:23	250:8
256:20 258:6	84:13 101:13	<b>sorry</b> 51:20	southeast 98:22
259:10 262:10	101:14 119:7	55:1 65:2 69:4	99:22 229:19
269:21 270:19	152:2 205:24	78:1 85:8	252:10
271:21,22	241:1	91:19 110:1	southeastern
272:8 273:11	<b>smell</b> 242:20	127:4 134:16	14:2 226:17
273:25 274:13	<b>smiling</b> 15:19	155:12 166:7	<b>space</b> 197:2
275:12	societies 229:25	167:1,7 173:1	<b>spanish</b> 15:2,2
<b>slides</b> 5:7,8	<b>solar</b> 214:7	197:14 198:6	238:23 239:3,5
26:17 30:13	<b>sold</b> 8:6 31:20	206:20 217:20	239:7
48:13 79:9	226:19	220:15 223:4	<b>spare</b> 221:8
97:11 122:14	<b>solely</b> 103:12	<b>sort</b> 23:17	<b>speak</b> 50:1 51:3
122:21 132:17	104:5 116:13	27:10 73:15	89:3 91:12
191:14,20	solicited 53:7	85:18 93:1,3	92:18 93:23
217:20 219:25	solution 20:8	94:13 99:13	97:24 117:17
220:4 221:9	42:14 117:7	101:18 114:23	128:4 159:21
254:5 267:14	173:12 206:5	120:25 121:25	196:5 244:13
268:21	solutions 45:12	126:10 128:25	278:6
<b>slight</b> 27:18	88:13 243:18	129:2,13	speaking 93:19
slightly 34:23	279:17	155:14 171:2	125:2,2 145:3
172:3	<b>solve</b> 162:14	179:5 199:18	252:5 277:25
<b>slip</b> 193:25	186:18	200:2 207:14	speaks 76:22
_		226:1	_

# [special - state]

. 1 120 20	·III 147 10	4 1 1 11	164 17 000 16
special 129:20	<b>spills</b> 147:12	stakeholders	164:17 232:16
specific 23:7	148:8	241:13 250:25	269:9,12
77:12,23	<b>spite</b> 251:8	251:4	starting 50:2
101:24 126:3	<b>split</b> 56:10	<b>stand</b> 66:14	65:15 196:6
160:9 173:4	<b>spoke</b> 218:6	71:4 198:12	starts 24:8
176:23 201:19	spoken 164:7	212:7	<b>state</b> 1:2 3:18
214:5 230:25	220:25	standard	8:12,13 12:6
specifically	sponsoring	225:25 226:17	13:7,20 14:5
43:6 51:2 57:8	217:22,24	228:23 233:23	14:12 25:25
61:14 62:12	<b>spot</b> 177:15	235:20 236:5	27:7 31:7
71:19 74:1	256:7,8,11	236:21 238:1	36:13 41:7
102:9 125:10	spread 38:25	263:10 270:24	49:1,15 54:7
195:19 207:17	165:2 228:9	standing 23:19	54:12 55:4
specifics 73:13	spreadsheet	24:23 103:7	57:10 62:22
89:16	19:17,21 20:4	standpoint	65:15,18 71:9
spectre 52:14	29:6 31:11	70:13 84:16	72:25 78:12,14
speculating	33:12 192:12	103:17 107:20	78:17,24 79:1
130:24	spreadsheets	108:1,16	87:10,16 94:9
speculative	122:2	113:14,14	94:12,23 98:8
79:22 130:19	<b>springs</b> 248:12	144:18 155:5	101:23 105:2
269:2,9,19,19	260:15	<b>stands</b> 63:22	111:25 120:17
speeding 267:6	square 244:7	104:2	120:18 143:12
<b>spell</b> 6:18 9:5	squeeze 27:16	star 14:22	151:5 167:5,25
12:15 17:12	<b>st</b> 2:10	253:15	175:21 176:1
96:19 163:17	stability 190:6	start 7:8 9:12	179:13,23
212:20 239:13	193:5 245:22	12:23 13:4	181:21,23
241:24 249:11	<b>staff</b> 21:4 236:2	72:4 83:23	182:4,8,18
251:20	staffs 45:3	93:15 131:25	185:7,12,15,23
<b>spend</b> 10:16	<b>stage</b> 9:23	166:16 215:13	186:2,3,24
71:9,24 114:16	stages 41:11	240:5 242:7	190:23 199:1
230:12 234:17	<b>stair</b> 209:5	244:11 246:14	200:12,17
263:14	stakeholder	249:19 252:3	201:12 208:22
spending 176:4	42:19 43:3	254:12 276:15	208:23,25
spent 8:1 19:15	44:21 71:21	started 7:22	210:16 228:22
68:1		11:15 72:8	240:12,19

## [state - submitted]

241.1 7 0 12	272.2 277.2	storios 22:16	strongly 14.10
241:1,7,9,12 243:10 244:25	272:3 277:2	stories 32:16	<b>strongly</b> 14:10
	statute 165:20	story 232:21	49:1,15
245:18 247:16	173:3,3,6	straight 265:23	structures
248:18,25	181:14 188:3,5	269:7	143:6,8
249:3 250:13	216:23 218:5,8	<b>stream</b> 105:1	struggle 92:15
250:21 252:16	218:12 219:5,6	236:2	117:13
260:6 264:24	219:7 220:20	streams 105:2	struggling
266:19 267:1,8	statutes 165:16	street 3:4	92:11
state's 224:8	statutory	226:20 279:18	students
<b>stated</b> 25:22	222:14 223:1	streets 245:7	247:23
53:20 65:9	stay 238:5,17	strength 180:9	<b>study</b> 138:12
77:4 78:16	257:5	183:11 197:19	189:14
119:17 121:22	stenographic	198:2,10,13	<b>stuff</b> 215:6,9
127:6 232:14	279:8	199:12	stuffing 10:20
statement	<b>step</b> 51:22 62:5	<b>stress</b> 14:10	<b>suazo</b> 3:5 4:7
54:11 192:17	209:5 258:9	stricken 273:8	4:13,17 80:25
197:21 239:7	stepping	strickler 12:11	81:1,4,7 82:13
statements	259:19	12:12,13,16,17	149:8,9,12
59:8 67:21	<b>steps</b> 177:5	12:17,21,24,25	152:3,6 202:16
71:24 197:16	186:2	14:18	202:17,20
states 9:20 56:6	stewards	<b>strict</b> 183:20	205:3
125:18 165:10	146:20	268:14	subject 48:19
189:6 196:17	stimulation	<b>strike</b> 25:20	49:10 170:25
203:5,15 204:2	262:24	26:6 236:8	171:19 176:25
206:1 207:21	stockholders	strikeout	182:13 183:20
247:7 266:21	250:23	271:24 272:1	238:7 255:18
266:22	<b>stone</b> 170:5	strikes 277:16	submit 6:24
stating 67:3	<b>stop</b> 154:21	striking 273:5	239:19
71:8 76:21	238:13	stripper 198:20	submitted
199:14	<b>stopped</b> 231:13	<b>strong</b> 240:18	18:18,23 22:11
status 38:2	stopping	241:1,15 252:5	22:12 27:22
107:3 124:18	212:12	stronger 9:17	29:8 32:13
125:15,23	storage 10:21	248:23 253:6	37:1 42:4
126:18 208:14	<b>store</b> 7:19	strongest 179:1	66:25 155:12
209:14,15			181:19 215:19

# [submitted - surety]

268:12	suggested	236:10 245:3	184:12 198:16
submitting	24:15 89:8	245:17 248:16	201:17 203:18
90:5 155:13	119:18	251:5 252:6	204:3,19 205:1
subparagraph	suggesting	supported	207:23 209:18
137:22 272:12	64:10 160:3	26:20 48:3	211:5 217:15
subpart 74:21	suggests 28:2	247:11	227:5 232:25
subparts 74.21 subparts 25:4	33:23 128:21	supporting	235:2
subsection	suite 3:4,14	219:10	sureties 170:16
273:6,8,10	279:18	supports 47:22	171:25 180:13
subsequent	sulfide 11:10	49:1,15,19	184:2 190:1
231:12	sum 177:23	241:3 247:18	192:15 193:6
	185:3 196:16		193:17 194:12
subsequently 126:13	199:23 200:23	<b>suppose</b> 75:16 190:8	193:17 194:12
subset 126:11	summarize		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
substantial	34:25 181:9	<b>supposed</b> 36:3 229:15	195:19,24 196:5,8,9,10,23
31:22 105:10	summarizes		190.3,8,9,10,23
167:23 180:19	34:11 61:8	<b>supreme</b> 220:23	197.19,23
		sure 18:16	
substantially	summary 34:6		200:9,16 211:9
41:9	35:4 38:12	25:10 27:3	270:22
succeed 28:10	56:1,5 123:19	28:19 29:13	surety 84:4,11 91:2,23 103:6
success 32:16	summation 62:6	41:5,25 48:7	108:5 127:7,10
241:4,5		48:11,23 51:7 51:14 52:21	165:24 168:11
successful 178:21	<b>summer</b> 213:20 259:24		
	sums 71:10	59:13 61:7,18	169:8,17 170:6 171:20 172:7
successfully		63:12,20 64:5 69:16 80:13	171:20 172:7
32:15 100:22 173:15	108:21 184:17	86:16 93:24	
	195:21 <b>sundance</b> 140:6		174:22 176:19
sudden 27:4		94:20,25 97:18 98:17 101:6	177:5,8 179:25
188:23	sunk 206:18		181:3,10 182:6
<b>suddenly</b> 131:2 <b>sue</b> 195:3	super 199:2	110:24 114:1	182:25 183:5
	superintendent	120:23 121:3	183:17,24 184:22 185:4
suffered 11:18	204:18	131:5 138:9	
sufficient	supply 9:22	140:9 149:16	185:14,16,19
116:19 130:12	<b>support</b> 9:16	154:22 164:16	188:6,18,22
131:6	18:7 174:15	175:8,8 176:13	189:1,7,22,25

# [surety - talk]

		ı	I
190:3 191:1,5	surrounding	259:15 260:13	232:1 233:7
193:14,20	165:10	<b>systems</b> 109:22	234:11 257:20
194:1,17,20	survey 23:1	245:7 260:20	258:9,22,24
195:3,8,12,22	37:7 54:20	t	259:5,12
195:23 196:22	85:13	t 7:4 9:8 12:17	260:13,20
196:24 197:3	surveying 85:3	12:17,18 17:14	267:1 274:9,11
197:12 198:1,9	surveys 10:6	96:22 163:20	276:17 278:3
199:10,12	23:3 37:4	163:20 244:6	takeaway
200:13,14	survive 27:4	246:10	109:9,11
201:8 209:25	248:21	ta 29:7 30:15	160:12 210:15
210:23 271:6	suspension	257:17	259:11 260:11
273:21 277:8	76:8	table 82:22,22	262:2
<b>surety's</b> 183:9	<b>sustain</b> 101:11	189:5	takeaways
200:10	129:4	<b>tables</b> 83:1	210:14
surface 250:3	sustainable 8:3	tag 154:18	taken 13:23
<b>surge</b> 188:23	180:24	tail 193:22	30:9 39:10
surprise 41:5	sustains 129:2	take 14:9,12	83:7 115:7
surprisingly	<b>swd</b> 113:12	28:25 48:22	121:10 255:20
10:12	<b>swds</b> 124:12	52:24 66:6	264:12 276:8
surrebuttal 5:6	139:17	80:4 94:16	279:5
5:8,10 40:20	swear 6:20 7:6	96:16 101:5	taker 121:3
46:10 96:16	9:9 12:19	104:10 105:25	takes 81:18
118:10 122:13	17:16 96:23	106:11 107:3	106:25 225:8
122:14,20	161:18 163:22	107:24 109:14	245:4 256:18
188:13 190:15	212:25 239:14	110:11 111:10	257:6
191:11,14,20	240:1 242:3	111:22 113:13	tale 178:24
212:9 219:11	246:12 249:15	120:24 130:9	talk 26:11
219:20 263:25	251:24	139:22 144:11	41:13 68:18
266:7 268:21	<b>sworn</b> 17:21	159:13 162:7	71:6 86:8 90:2
276:23 277:19	97:6 164:3	163:4 167:12	90:9 192:24
surrebuttals	213:5	167:12 169:7	200:9 219:4
39:20	system 28:7	172:8,16	220:6,8 222:22
surrebutting	147:3 153:22	185:20,20	253:24 254:10
41:24 42:1	154:8 243:14	189:21 195:5	265:6
	245:18 247:18	212:9 215:15	
		212.7 213.13	

# [talked - testified]

4 11 1 20 0	466107.00	4 11 6 20 7 6	110.10
talked 29:9	tax 46:6 187:22	tell 6:20 7:6	tends 113:13
35:19 36:9	240:14 241:4	9:10 12:20	154:13
39:1 68:3	247:19 248:15	17:16 22:9,20	tens 243:4
87:19 94:7	taxes 247:11	23:6 24:16	266:4
115:14 124:18	taxpayer 28:8	26:12 28:17	tense 216:18
135:17 157:3	252:14	30:9 37:12	term 59:8 75:9
157:22 158:18	taxpayers	38:11 39:23	227:10 235:1
176:9 259:11	179:23 210:18	41:2 96:24	258:11,15
263:10	243:16 252:16	98:15 139:16	269:2 275:11
talking 19:16	team 98:7	139:16 143:1	terms 25:23
37:17,19 40:17	164:20 165:1	144:12 156:3	38:22 45:14
41:15 57:15	242:10,11	163:23 164:14	52:15,15 65:21
58:10,21 69:1	243:1	178:15,20	71:16 83:9
69:2 73:25	<b>team's</b> 269:11	194:17 204:7	84:23 97:16
75:8 85:20	<b>teams</b> 16:6	207:22 212:25	100:2 104:14
86:6 108:11	269:3	220:3,5 230:17	109:22 121:1
110:1 126:13	teasing 15:18	239:15 240:2	122:5 149:23
126:21 189:15	tech 83:18	242:4 244:8	150:20 166:1
192:11 227:4	99:25 155:23	246:12 249:16	168:21 169:23
230:15 233:17	255:1	251:25 256:6	176:23 182:13
254:12 257:11	technical 15:5	264:2	184:7 190:25
277:7	163:1 253:17	telling 92:12	194:6 195:9
talks 50:2	technicality	158:9 201:5	196:23 197:11
<b>tank</b> 11:6	34:13	tells 222:2,7	197:18 199:8
227:18	technically	255:15	201:8
tanker 258:21	234:20	temporary 76:6	terrain 192:7
tanks 10:21	technological	113:23 272:2	terrific 72:20
227:10,15	265:22	ten 8:2 9:18	96:18
243:3	technology	29:20,22 30:15	tertiary 254:20
tannis 2:5	113:4 230:8	42:21 44:25	270:4
taos 27:13	242:14 255:17	74:12 75:18	test 14:11
targets 123:23	270:9	191:4 210:12	206:1 265:11
task 98:12	telephone	231:25	265:15
255:3	14:21 253:15	<b>tend</b> 129:9	testified 17:21
		223:17	19:1,18 26:19

# [testified - thank]

27:13 47:16	50:23,24 51:3	200:12 201:2,7	42:10 46:8,11
53:3,6,25 60:5	51:15 52:8	201:10 205:16	46:17,23 47:3
73:3,5,9 75:25	53:2,17,24	207:25 208:11	47:12 60:14,17
97:6 159:10	54:6,8,13 55:4	212:2 215:20	61:19 65:6
164:3 170:4	55:5,20,21	216:3,22	67:25 68:16
195:15 213:5	56:16,20,22	217:20 218:10	72:10,12,14,20
236:8 254:4	57:18 61:3,8	218:20 219:9	72:25 79:5
265:4 266:10	61:12,24 64:10	219:19 220:1,2	80:1,3,12,18,19
266:12 270:22	64:16,25 65:20	220:11 221:7,8	80:21,23 82:13
276:24	65:24 66:3	224:5 225:22	82:15 83:12
testifies 218:16	67:1,3 70:5,18	226:2 236:7	85:2 86:22,24
<b>testify</b> 40:10	71:7,8 73:1,4	237:13 251:9	86:25 87:3
49:17 53:4	77:15 79:9,9	254:18 262:2	88:6 89:2,21
62:8 64:20	80:2 82:23	264:19 266:6	90:15,17,18
144:25 164:8	83:23,23,24	268:3 269:22	95:19 96:3,5,9
201:5 229:7	85:3 86:7	269:25 270:3	96:11,18 97:1
233:14	97:13 107:19	270:14 272:21	97:3 98:15
testifying 22:22	109:3 118:9,15	273:14,19	118:7 120:22
22:25 37:6,8	119:16 122:15	276:25 277:19	122:10,22,23
37:11 67:17	122:20 123:14	testing 37:17	131:12,14,17
70:11,13 73:7	123:20,21	257:11	132:13 142:7,9
157:2 158:3	124:1,5,16,17	tests 11:23	142:15 147:10
236:21 273:18	124:21,23	texas 8:2,8 13:5	148:19,22
testimony 5:6,8	125:10,12,14	13:18 98:23	149:2,3 152:5
5:9 18:19,23	125:24 127:21	100:14 165:11	155:6,10,23
19:7,16 20:4	128:24 134:23	246:20 265:14	158:13,16
20:11 22:6,11	142:20 146:2	thank 7:5,9	160:24 161:1,4
23:8,14 26:10	149:22 150:2	8:22 9:4,6,13	161:8 162:15
26:18 27:22	155:13,24	12:8,9,22	162:17,19,23
28:14 29:11	157:12 158:7,8	14:14,17 15:6	163:6,14,22,25
30:10 36:19	158:15 171:1	15:21 16:25	164:7 165:13
37:1,2,16 40:3	176:10 181:19	17:1,9,11,15,18	166:1 175:10
40:19 41:25	188:12,13	18:8,18,24	176:8 178:9
42:4 46:10,23	191:11,13,19	26:9 28:13	184:20 188:10
47:4,15 50:8	191:24 197:24	33:17 36:21	188:14 189:18

# [thank - think]

	I	,	
191:17,23	277:21 278:9	255:4,13	107:12 108:8
192:2 194:5	thankful 9:15	<b>think</b> 16:5 17:7	109:1 112:2,17
195:15 197:15	<b>thanks</b> 26:14	19:12,18,20	114:4,10,22,23
201:16,23	52:1 179:24	20:2,3 22:14	118:16 119:25
202:1,7,11,12	181:9 222:16	23:16 24:7,8	120:3 124:4,6
202:14 205:4,7	<b>that'd</b> 153:20	24:23 25:19,21	125:8,16,25
207:24 208:1,2	<b>theirs</b> 231:9	26:14 27:10	126:7,11 127:2
208:5 209:9	theme 26:4	28:15 30:3,8	127:9,17
210:25 211:2,3	theory 274:17	31:6,13,16	128:19,20
211:22,23	275:13	32:7 33:5,13	131:11 132:17
212:1,3,18,19	thereof 209:6	33:25 34:6,7	132:19 133:3
212:24 213:2	225:3	35:15,24 40:16	143:10,24
215:19 217:13	thermographer	40:25 41:6,19	146:1,9 147:23
217:17 218:17	9:15 10:4	42:16 45:21	148:11 149:22
219:15,22	thermograph	49:5,16 55:10	150:3,11
220:24 224:4	242:12	55:15,17 59:18	153:11 155:14
224:15 225:21	thing 23:4 38:3	60:10,12,22	157:7 158:4
227:8 231:21	58:16 63:9	62:1,4,5 65:22	162:10 166:8
231:24 232:6	64:13 93:17	66:4 67:23	167:24 168:9
238:14 239:1,8	94:21 113:7	70:8 71:3 75:6	168:20 170:4
240:4 241:6,17	121:16 153:9	75:13 76:22	170:12,21
241:18 242:6	157:4 222:7	77:10 78:16	175:6 178:22
243:24 244:1	236:14 278:1	79:21,23 81:17	179:4,25
244:10,12	<b>things</b> 6:17	81:25 82:4,5	182:20 183:5
245:23,25	21:11,23 22:7	84:24 85:17,19	186:16 187:13
246:11,15	25:10 29:13	86:7,14 88:10	187:13,17
249:6,7,18,21	57:4 63:8 68:1	88:11,17,18,24	188:22 189:11
251:12,14,19	73:3 89:7,24	89:5,15,18	191:5 194:12
252:2,4 253:7	102:10 106:6	90:22 91:1,16	195:21 196:8
253:9,16,20	113:4 123:19	91:18,18 92:17	197:1,2,5,6,6
259:10 266:8	123:20 154:1	92:19,24 94:1	198:21,25
268:19,23	160:7 186:11	94:4,12 95:6,9	199:3,17,20
270:17 271:21	209:10 214:8	95:21,24 98:10	200:6 203:9
273:24 274:13	222:2 236:3,4	102:21 105:6	206:8 207:14
276:22 277:7	239:12 250:11	105:11 106:13	210:10,15

# [think - times]

	I	I	I
211:13 212:8	thousands	150:14 187:3	110:25 111:6
221:1,10	105:24 108:6	thrive 245:18	112:7 113:3,13
222:13 224:20	108:19 121:10	<b>throw</b> 143:16	119:10 120:12
226:5,13 234:4	243:4	214:7	122:11 131:3,7
234:7 235:1,22	threat 11:3	thrown 192:3	132:5 145:13
236:14 256:3	32:25 45:10	thrust 35:22	145:17 147:2
256:24 261:4	three 6:23 22:7	<b>thumbs</b> 175:18	150:8 160:25
261:15 264:17	25:10 27:25	210:19	161:8,14
266:3,11	52:11,22 63:7	thursday 15:24	162:16,20
267:17 268:3	69:21 83:21	16:3,4,11,15	175:7,19 176:4
270:1,13,16,21	88:5 102:10	17:5 278:7	182:9 184:10
274:17,19	133:2 137:9	<b>ticket</b> 267:7	201:24 208:17
thinking 26:5	143:17 157:12	<b>tied</b> 145:24	209:7 210:25
122:4 181:12	169:24 171:17	168:11,19	214:13 224:25
205:23 210:8	173:24 180:16	169:10 172:3	230:12 238:12
232:24	214:7 215:1,11	193:24 209:23	240:5 241:17
<b>third</b> 23:4 26:3	224:22 239:18	244:22	242:7 243:24
39:6 58:14	254:23 255:13	tighter 84:4	244:11,13
63:9 102:23	255:21 257:18	198:22	245:23 246:14
114:21 172:17	threshold 36:8	<b>till</b> 133:7	247:3 248:7
172:21 176:18	36:10 102:24	time 7:8,9 8:3	249:19,21
216:19 226:23	104:24 105:8	8:23 9:12	250:1,5 251:12
227:1 271:25	105:18 106:16	10:16 12:23	252:3 253:7
thorough	107:1 109:19	14:14,23 16:22	256:18 257:23
147:19	110:25 111:4	19:8,15 21:5	265:18 266:1
<b>thought</b> 16:13	111:11 116:15	21:17 24:1,6	275:8 276:21
22:13,24 55:5	116:20 117:12	27:24 43:10,11	278:3
64:9 69:5 93:4	124:21 125:3	44:10,20,22	timeframe
95:5 102:17	126:17 142:5,6	45:4,6 56:11	141:6
128:5 228:23	158:21 159:4,4	57:21 58:1	timelines
thoughts 85:4	167:11 169:1	60:23 68:2	185:24
256:20	205:19 273:17	86:22 90:15	<b>timely</b> 108:10
thousand 10:6	thresholds	97:22 98:24	250:7
105:23	112:8 124:2	99:20,21 107:6	times 8:2 18:12
	137:19 139:15	108:22 109:14	22:20 25:13

# [times - treatments]

26.5 40.15 16	262:16 263:8	total 26:23	transactional
36:5 40:15,16 59:19 89:24	told 23:21 25:8	29:23 35:6	103:20 213:21
113:15 140:1	29:4 104:4	53:22 79:12	transactions
195:17 210:12	108:7 132:23	124:13 125:21	101:19 214:4
230:10 265:20	142:3 154:10	169:20 223:18	transcript 1:13
timing 28:2	154:11 156:16	227:25	4:3 5:12 6:2,19
62:11 67:14	156:18 157:3	totality 141:19	212:21 239:14
78:18 81:17	201:1	<b>totally</b> 85:10	241:24 251:21
82:7 107:1	tolerance 24:11	185:5 189:17	279:1,10
113:19	tomorrow 16:4	262:17	transfer 48:18
tiny 152:17	16:9,10 17:5,8	<b>touch</b> 165:5	56:18 64:12,18
<b>tires</b> 82:2	253:13 278:2,6	touched 20:21	66:13,18,23
<b>tisdel</b> 2:6,6	ton 195:2	121:16 180:3	67:10 173:18
4:11 123:5,6,9	took 7:12 44:10	235:23 237:14	176:5,14,21
123:11 131:9	44:24 50:2	261:4,15	177:15 181:24
131:11,15	98:3 127:12	<b>touted</b> 178:25	182:11 195:23
191:22	204:24 215:8	<b>touting</b> 203:9	transference
<b>title</b> 56:8	227:1 247:6	<b>toward</b> 264:7	170:14 184:21
213:21	tool 182:22	<b>towards</b> 206:19	190:2 206:9
<b>today</b> 7:10 9:16	186:19 210:18	town 251:1	transferred
16:3,10 21:9	210:22	toxins 252:24	59:4 177:2
22:6 23:14	toolbox 25:14	track 32:21	179:13
45:17 55:21	tools 25:14	35:12 183:23	transferring
64:20 66:14	186:9	trade 18:3	196:13
80:2 87:10,16	<b>top</b> 31:3 41:15	20:25 21:1	transfers 64:4
122:11 155:24	58:12 64:8	traditional	121:15,17,19
161:9 164:8	125:13,17	172:20 173:13	transformed
165:13 176:12	136:11 146:8	173:22 174:2	32:16
189:10 191:23	170:2 191:4	183:6 210:23	transition 98:7
197:18 244:14	207:23 271:8	traditionally	transitioned
249:22 257:22	276:7,7,11,13	235:11	164:19 213:25
270:22	276:21	trainings 21:3	<b>travel</b> 248:11
together	<b>topic</b> 212:13	<b>trains</b> 156:16	treatments
254:23 255:20	254:12	transaction	39:8
255:21 256:5		268:8	

# [tremaine - typically]

	I	1	I
tremaine 2:11	118:7,11	118:3 124:1	61:14 68:24
4:6,12,16	122:10,22	130:7 146:17	69:7,22 74:1,7
15:15 60:16,17	130:19 134:21	146:18,19	74:17 77:14
60:17,20,25	152:7,8,11	147:19 157:8	83:21 99:8
64:21,23,24	154:20 155:6	157:18 195:3	100:23,23
68:14,16,17	155:11,18	212:12 256:7	106:5 110:10
70:24 72:10,13	162:24 163:6,9	256:25 264:14	128:3 132:9,12
73:18 81:9	163:11 164:1,5	271:6	133:1 137:12
82:22 131:16	188:10,15	<b>trying</b> 19:21	137:16 138:17
131:17,17,20	191:9 192:11	58:4 65:19	139:17 140:16
131:22 134:25	205:5,6 212:4	75:11,12 82:6	140:24 141:2
136:5,8 140:14	<b>trips</b> 10:10	82:12 93:21	143:2,17
140:15,17	242:16	99:6 111:21	153:17 168:3
142:7,10 156:1	trouble 46:25	123:24,25	169:23 171:16
156:21 158:3	truck 258:21	126:25 154:16	171:24 176:12
191:25 192:2,5	trucking	154:17 159:24	180:16 187:8
200:5,6,7	214:22	162:14	189:16 197:16
201:23 202:2	<b>true</b> 38:6 48:14	tucker 2:23	214:7 215:6
tremaine's	52:25 127:2	tuesday 15:16	222:2 227:15
15:19 72:5	157:9 161:16	<b>tumbles</b> 228:10	233:8,25 247:7
tremendous	180:2 214:13	tune 121:10	248:13 254:5
32:25	243:15 279:9	turn 75:24 76:1	255:21 267:13
<b>trend</b> 196:22	<b>truly</b> 249:3	176:22 240:21	271:22
trevor 4:15	<b>truth</b> 6:21 7:6	241:3	twofold 196:8
163:12,19	9:10 12:20	turned 7:13	<b>tying</b> 172:6
164:2,10	17:16 96:24	13:17 42:18	<b>type</b> 85:14
<b>trial</b> 217:12	163:23 212:25	215:13	91:24 204:3
trickery 63:20	239:15 240:2	turning 72:8	232:24
<b>tried</b> 85:23	242:4 244:8	twice 272:18	<b>types</b> 233:3
120:10 215:16	246:12 249:16	two 7:13 15:23	<b>typical</b> 129:25
triggering	251:25	16:14 23:17	169:22 180:15
14:11	truthful 19:8	25:9 26:23	227:11 258:10
<b>tripp</b> 2:18 4:11	<b>try</b> 8:11 15:15	28:16 38:24	typically 92:8
4:13,16 22:13	51:22 61:5	50:21 51:11	100:18,20
96:13 97:2,3,8	93:3 95:13	56:11 58:7	101:2,6,9,17

# [typically - undocumented]

100 = 10			1111111111
109:7,10	unclear 105:11	273:22	146:14 149:24
115:25 154:12	uncomfortabi	undergraduate	150:10 151:19
169:3 171:22	170:23	213:17 240:8	151:20 153:4
171:24 176:16	uncomfortable	underground	153:20 156:6
177:18 186:3	89:6	223:2,16	165:21 166:24
190:3 193:3	<b>under</b> 8:9 25:4	underlying	167:15 189:24
230:3 234:13	26:6 30:18,19	200:14 226:1	194:13 196:21
<b>typo</b> 230:10	31:5,11 32:24	understaffed	197:24 204:16
u	36:10 45:14	108:8	211:8
<b>u</b> 242:1 249:13	63:8 66:6 71:9	understand	understood
<b>u.s.</b> 165:4	71:10,11,14	35:2 37:15	108:9 126:8
166:12,18	74:2,4,14 76:3	57:3 75:12	154:16 196:5
ultimate	76:15 77:1	82:6 90:1,14	undertake
270:11	81:11,12 90:5	91:5,22 92:1	108:13
ultimately 90:2	101:3 102:13	107:18 112:24	undertaking
176:2 177:7	102:21 105:17	123:25 124:2	44:24
223:19	105:22 107:16	125:1 129:18	undertook
um 62:15	115:7 134:18	132:10 137:19	100:6
umpire 277:16	135:3 136:17	151:12 187:21	underwrite
un 193:20	137:19,21	211:18 242:24	174:16 183:10
277:6	138:2,5 141:7	272:1	190:4,12 195:6
unable 93:14	142:5 145:23	understandable	underwriter
95:18 179:7	174:4 176:24	22:18	197:13
	185:7 187:3	understanding	underwriters
unavailable	196:10 199:19	24:3,21 29:25	170:12,23
84:5	199:22 200:1	32:3 46:25	172:16 190:3
unaware 131:3	200:13 217:3	47:18 48:21,22	190:13 193:14
uncancelable	223:12 224:1	49:25 61:23	193:14 196:17
174:23	228:19 230:20	66:12 72:1	underwriting
uncertain	233:15,22	81:13,20,25	183:20 190:11
15:10 31:13	235:6,20 236:4	84:9,12 91:3	191:8 192:25
33:8 182:9	236:6,12	106:23 119:21	underwritten
uncertainty	237:19,25,25	124:19 127:17	174:14
32:25 158:1	257:25 263:9	130:2 137:21	undocumented
unclaimed	266:2 267:4	139:20 145:14	61:25
196:14			

# [uneconomic - various]

uneconomic	246:3 251:15	upwards 180:7	232:16 242:13
104:20 120:10	unmuted	urge 14:10	253:14 259:19
uneconomica	241:22 251:18	245:19	273:15 275:1
276:5			
	unnecessary	urgently 249:1	utah 10:8
unequivocal	225:12,16	usa 2:20 47:21	ute 248:2
183:5	unplugged 30:3	use 19:21 39:6	utility 60:7
unfairly 35:19	185:21	39:14 48:10	utilization
unfortunately	unquote 228:23	62:22 93:3	258:2
10:13 13:12	unreasonable	102:11 112:23	utilize 99:24
30:5 107:12	70:16	132:15 134:19	113:2 119:11
unidentified	unrecoverable	134:23 135:20	130:11
96:23 140:13	206:18	136:14 138:1	utilized 113:11
uniformity	unresolved	172:19 178:6	204:23
228:24	266:17,18,24	179:16 193:21	utilizing 112:22
unintended	267:5	230:22 258:1	113:8
178:23	unsealed 10:20	269:23 274:1	v
<b>unique</b> 13:23	unsettled	275:11	v 163:20
unit 254:14	242:19	<b>used</b> 9:25 48:13	<b>vague</b> 65:11
255:15,20,22	upcoming	73:15 92:22	75:9
255:24,24	78:12	114:3 119:12	<b>valid</b> 43:15
256:25 257:8	updated 15:7	129:4,5 152:20	96:3
257:14,22	updates 243:25	175:2 185:5	validity 265:11
united 247:7	252:25	186:19 203:19	valley 213:18
<b>units</b> 225:18	<b>uphole</b> 111:23	229:2,18	valuable 22:15
254:20,24	112:6 114:9,14	230:20 231:19	value 105:22
256:23 257:19	114:17 115:9	259:18,20,25	260:14
university	115:10 118:15	269:8 276:21	valves 10:19
213:18 240:8	118:19 119:15	<b>useful</b> 76:11	variable 145:25
<b>unjust</b> 250:21	120:1,2 263:3	78:5	variety 102:16
<b>unlock</b> 136:3	uploaded	uses 112:16	120:4
unlocked 136:9	268:21	173:22	
<b>unmute</b> 7:1 9:1	upsetting 26:25	<b>using</b> 14:21	various 11:7
12:12 163:16	upside 101:9	19:25 128:7	25:14 32:4
238:20 239:21	upstream	140:23 185:2	52:16 98:4
241:21 244:4	166:17	189:22 206:14	100:6,21 135:1 245:18 250:1
			∠¬J.10 ∠JU.1

# [vary - waste]

	• • •	I	1500150
vary 92:20	views 21:8	W	153:9 159:1
145:5 166:15	44:14	w 9:7 17:14	164:7 178:5,6
193:6,17	violation 24:22	wafting 10:24	181:18 184:12
varying 45:20	26:2 69:13	wage 247:1	195:9 197:17
vast 151:24	179:11 266:19	wages 247:17	199:15 201:17
258:4	266:22 267:2,5	wait 78:14	202:23 208:24
vastly 259:18	267:10	143:18 260:3	212:11 218:3
vehicle 102:7	violations 32:6	walk 30:21	223:5 228:4,8
venting 24:22	69:22 146:24	31:1 34:6	228:10 233:8
25:3,4	147:14	39:21 55:1	234:10 251:5
ventures 31:15	virginia 8:2	68:5 134:25	254:9 255:11
68:25 69:3,7	203:8,10 210:8	137:3 168:23	263:6 265:6
verifiable	210:16 213:19	187:3 224:24	268:4,5 270:6
154:14	240:11	walked 139:6	275:20 276:17
verified 220:16	virtual 1:21	139:10	wanted 42:5
veritext 279:17	14:20 17:8	walking 155:7	84:24 93:3,7
versus 16:6	18:12 47:1	want 22:17	97:23 120:22
40:9 133:5	51:21 268:11	25:5 26:10	121:12 137:8
258:13	virtually 16:14	28:3,19,25	139:7 152:21
vertical 100:3	17:7 18:9 27:7	30:21 34:13	206:21 228:14
112:17 144:24	47:16 60:22	38:3,19 44:23	229:7 267:9,11
153:2 231:17	<b>visit</b> 242:19	45:11,12 49:3	<b>wanting</b> 256:25
232:11 270:6	<b>visits</b> 11:23	49:7 55:19	270:22
270:11	<b>voice</b> 9:16	58:9 61:6 62:3	wants 239:4
<b>veteran</b> 246:18	245:24	62:10,19 64:1	war 246:18,20
<b>viable</b> 204:12	<b>volume</b> 104:19	67:25 71:5	washington
210:18	114:9 119:8	83:23 85:5,20	213:18
<b>vice</b> 164:11	volumes 126:4	86:2,5,12,16	waste 25:16,24
<b>video</b> 279:5	179:18	95:4,6 96:1	43:10 45:6
<b>view</b> 115:4	volunteer 18:3	98:12 101:4,7	104:25 111:24
118:2 225:2	volunteered	111:4 114:25	112:2 113:21
261:3 270:16	22:8	115:2 125:1	115:12 117:2
277:2	vote 8:22 253:6	129:18 131:23	117:10 118:6
viewed 43:9	vulnerable	133:21 134:17	119:22 122:6
	179:19	137:3 152:12	160:21 222:23
		131.3 132.12	

# [waste - wells]

	I	T T	
222:24,25	ways 45:23	weekend 15:7	69:14 73:11,23
223:1,2,8,10,13	108:17 210:6	weeks 43:8	74:1,4,4,7,8,9
223:16,23,25	233:25 268:7	weights 112:23	74:10,11,11,12
224:10,19	<b>we've</b> 30:17,20	<b>welc's</b> 187:8	74:12,13,16,17
225:1,12,16	34:3 35:5	188:16	74:18,21,22,23
252:8 261:1,24	39:20 46:4	welcome 94:16	74:25 75:5,18
265:2 268:18	60:21 61:3	95:3	75:20,21 76:19
274:14,18,20	94:7 96:14	welfare 249:2	78:25 79:11,18
watching	97:12 99:7,8	wellbore	81:16,24 82:10
269:11	101:15 102:19	112:23 113:2,8	98:21,25 99:2
water 39:13	102:20 103:7	114:19 143:14	99:7,8,9,14,18
120:11 129:14	109:12 113:18	261:17	100:3,5,7,8,17
243:20 250:3,9	114:9 115:12	wellbores	100:21 101:1,7
252:24 256:13	118:1 131:1,21	113:12 114:3	101:8,11,13,15
waterflood	138:14,17	256:19 257:6	101:19 102:11
100:4 255:7	140:24 158:7	wells 10:12,14	102:13,15,21
waterflooding	180:3 184:1	10:17 11:25	103:3 104:6,11
31:21	186:11 188:2	12:1,2 20:1	104:13,22
waterfloods	189:18 208:11	24:14,25 26:1	105:13,15,16
256:1	220:25 221:6	26:6,8,24	106:13,21
way 7:23 15:14	235:1 244:17	28:12,21,23,24	107:15 109:2,7
22:2 39:15	247:21 254:3	29:1,5,10,19,23	109:17 110:19
85:20 86:18	263:12 265:3	30:2,4,14 31:4	111:4,9,10,15
92:6 93:21	268:3 276:1	31:9,19 32:15	111:20 112:3,5
94:24 106:3	weaker 248:23	32:16,18 33:11	112:17 113:20
113:20 114:7	website 152:14	33:11 34:9	115:24,24
122:9 139:7	152:15 277:8	35:13 36:1,3,9	116:18,19,25
143:8 146:19	<b>webster</b> 269:13	36:11,11 38:25	117:11,18,19
151:14 154:6	wednesday	39:14 40:10	117:22 118:4
169:18 183:9	278:3	44:4,5 45:22	118:22,25
184:8 203:20	<b>weeds</b> 193:15	46:1,3 48:5	119:3,6,6,9
205:24 206:24	<b>week</b> 43:7	50:14 54:15	120:3 121:18
209:17 210:1	132:22 141:11	59:4,12 63:2	124:1,5,11,13
241:11 264:18	156:17 161:17	66:13,22 67:10	125:2,15,18,19
271:4 277:4,25	261:20,22	68:10,25 69:11	125:21 126:12

# [wells - witness]

126:13 127:7	167:16 169:1	274:15,23	winchester 4:5
120.13 127.7	171:3 172:9,12	wendell 1:5	5:4 17:10,14
128:1,2,7,9,11	177:23,24	went 7:16 8:7	17:20,24 18:1
128:25 129:1,2	177.23,24	141:12 175:9	18:20 20:17,22
128.23 129.1,2	178.3 179.12	213:17 252:15	26:9 28:13
129:17,19,20	185:21 186:13	254:2 269:7,8	36:19 40:22
/ / /	186:18 187:10	·	
130:4,6,16,17		west 10:7 203:8	42:12 46:8,20
130:18 131:8	187:19 189:13	203:10 210:8	47:14 51:21
132:1,4,9,12,14	189:21 191:4	210:16 233:11	55:19 57:20
132:16 134:5,9	198:15,20,21	western 2:3	60:5,21 62:19
134:13,20	199:7 204:15	43:17 46:21	64:19,21 67:15
135:2,10,11,14	205:18 206:25	123:11	69:25 71:5
135:17,19,22	207:2 209:12	westernlaw.org	72:6,23 77:4
137:2,4,5,9,9	210:7,9,13	2:5,6,7	77:20 80:4
137:12,13,16	214:19 215:1,2	wherewithal	81:5 82:13,21
137:20,20	215:4,11	190:7,14 193:8	87:7 88:2
138:5,17 139:3	223:17 224:6,7	194:1	90:15 96:7,10
139:11,18,22	225:4,7,13,17	whichever 74:8	267:17
140:4,5,24	227:3,4 228:19	74:19	winchester's
141:2,4,13,20	229:19 230:14	wholeheartedly	20:10 40:19
141:21 142:4	232:11 235:12	176:3	46:9
142:21,24	236:1,25 243:2	whoops 223:3	<b>winds</b> 150:22
143:2,5,23	243:5,16	wide 52:13	winter 259:23
144:7,9,16,17	252:19,23	wild 256:2	wise 38:5
144:21 145:2,4	253:3 255:16	<b>wildly</b> 189:12	<b>wish</b> 19:11
145:6,7,14	255:23 256:11	189:17	28:22 53:15
146:1,11 147:8	257:4,7,9,13,14	william 1:22	88:3 217:2,15
147:24 150:15	258:5,19,20	83:17 155:22	242:23 253:24
151:15,22,24	260:15 261:12	240:10	withdraw 8:18
152:19,23,23	261:12,16	williams	25:25
153:2,6,14,16	264:7 265:1	246:17	<b>witness</b> 16:4,8
153:21 154:4	269:23 270:2	<b>willing</b> 45:13	16:9 17:13,17
154:17 159:13	271:19 272:2,3	172:8	19:9 52:6,19
159:15,25,25	272:5,22	willingness	55:21 61:20,22
160:5,19,23	273:10 274:2	86:7	80:6,12 86:24

# [witness - yeah]

			·
90:17 96:11,21	21:14 67:4	works 52:20	76:25 77:18
96:25 118:12	111:15 114:15	88:18 118:18	109:21 123:21
148:21 162:25	115:11 120:9	119:18 157:2	124:17 139:5
163:1,10,19,24	148:10 153:8	184:8	203:20 204:10
188:16 208:1	153:12 159:16	<b>world</b> 38:18	<b>wrong</b> 29:16
211:2 212:3,5	166:4 186:1	144:24 190:4	114:19 137:23
212:22 213:1	190:2 209:18	246:18	186:25
237:8 247:21	213:25 214:23	worries 52:3	wrote 215:10
273:25	215:5 225:25	<b>worse</b> 43:8	wyoming
witnesses 4:4	228:18 233:22	199:8	203:12
15:24 16:14	241:6,7 244:21	worth 27:15	X
50:24 51:16	256:4,4 261:10	112:6	<b>x</b> 4:1 5:1 37:23
88:12,14 95:22	261:11 264:9	worthiness	261:8,9
124:20,24	workability	183:11 197:20	
187:8 254:18	44:18	198:3,10,14	y
278:4	<b>worked</b> 7:13,17	<b>wound</b> 119:12	<b>y</b> 96:21 246:9
<b>woke</b> 161:19	7:19,23 11:4	wozniak 3:4	249:13
wolfcamp	51:8 52:6,10	<b>wrap</b> 201:16	yates 8:5
260:15	52:19 164:18	212:12	<b>yeah</b> 16:21
wonderful	240:13,15	wrath 88:1	22:7 30:25
125:8 255:2	244:19 248:4	wrinkle 37:1,21	33:20 34:7
wondering	working 7:11	38:4,17 39:7	41:19 42:8
78:3	52:16 105:3	39:18 40:8	49:8,12 57:23
<b>word</b> 52:25	115:18,23	51:7 54:8	60:12 77:9
129:4,5 216:4	116:2,6,11,16	wrinkle's 37:15	85:10,12,22
216:6,8,12,15	117:1,5 145:16	40:3,13 54:13	86:21 89:3,21
216:17,19	146:4 175:3,12	55:5	91:3 125:11
217:6,7	175:14 225:6	writing 22:12	129:8 147:6
wording	233:9 235:11	58:19 239:19	157:20 158:18
204:11,21,25	235:14 236:12	276:15	158:25 160:17
232:22	237:21 246:20	written 22:11	166:5 167:3
words 23:23	249:25 255:22	23:24 26:18	168:25 170:11
216:25 218:9	260:4	27:21 37:1	171:7,24
work 7:16,25	workover	53:10 74:3,5	173:10 178:17
13:5 17:4	153:25	74:15 76:2,16	180:3 184:18

# [yeah - zone]

184:20 191:2	214:19 226:18	
199:17 206:22	228:20,20	
214:7 221:14	229:12,12	
226:4 239:23	232:20 240:7	
year 7:22 39:9	240:13 244:15	
76:12 103:8,20	244:20 246:17	
108:13 113:2	246:22 247:12	
126:15,19,22	247:21 248:13	
127:1 132:5	252:7 255:21 258:16 260:12	
133:9,10,11,12		
133:13,19	266:4,4 269:24	
139:12,20	<b>yep</b> 164:10	
140:1,6 141:5	younger 7:21	
141:9,14	youtube 18:13	
153:15 154:7	Z	
155:3 205:22	<b>z</b> 212:23,23	
248:11 249:24	246:10	
years 7:13,14 7:18,24,25 8:1	zachary 1:24	
	zealously	
8:2,7 9:18 10:4	200:16	
10:5,23 13:3	<b>zero</b> 47:17	
13:12 25:13	136:25 190:4	
26:23 38:24	190:12 195:6	
51:12 98:3,10	224:3 226:12	
99:7,8 100:4	262:8	
100:24,25	<b>zone</b> 262:19,22	
102:20 108:1	263:2,3,7	
121:20 128:3		
130:12 143:2		
143:24 147:24		
150:3 164:23		
166:6 168:12		
173:10 185:20		
195:20 200:20		
210:12 213:23		
	·	