

1 **DISCLAIMER:** This transcript was auto-generated with the assistance of Microsoft AI technology
2 and may contain errors, omissions, or not reflect the original audio's nuances. Its intended
3 purpose is to provide general information only. The official record is the video recording of the
4 hearing, which is posted to the OCD's YouTube channel, which can be viewed here: [OCD Pecos](#)
5 [Hall - YouTube](#)

6

7 **OCD Regular Hearing January 22, 2026-** 8 **20260122_153715UTC-Meeting Recording**

9 January 22, 2026, 3:37PM

10 1h 41m 46s

11

12  **Pecos Hall** 16:18

13 Good morning.

14 My name is Gregory Chikalian.

15 I'm the hearing examiner for the Oil Conservation division.

16 This is the regular second docket of the month scheduling conferences and status
17 conferences. If we do have time at the end of these, I would like to continue our
18 discussion that we started in December about feedback from the parties and and
19 Council regarding any issues they may be.

20 Having with the.

21 New systems put in place November 1st.

22 But only if we have some time.

23 Let's get on the record in case number 257-712-5772. Appearances, please.

24 I'm just still pulling up my stuff.

25 Jackie McClain, on behalf of Permian Resources. Thank you.

26

27  **Paula M. Vance** 17:11

28 Good morning, Mr. Hearingney examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of
29 Holland and Hart, on behalf of Mubaran Oil Company.

30

31  **Pecos Hall** 17:18

32 Good morning, Miss Vancouver. Good.

33

34  **Paula M. Vance** 17:21

35 Yes, we filed an entry of appearance and objection in these.

37  **Pecos Hall** 17:26

38 Right. And and the purpose of the objection?

39

40  **Paula M. Vance** 17:30

41 Well, it's my understanding right now that the parties are in negotiations.

42 And working towards a resolution right now.

43 And that's really where I'm at.

44 I.

45 As of right now, sorry, I was just getting some feedback from my client.

46

47  **Pecos Hall** 17:50

48 OK.

49

50  **Paula M. Vance** 17:50

51 Yeah, but as of right now, my understanding is that the parties are in negotiations,

52 so.

53

54  **Pecos Hall** 17:58

55 Right, OK, Miss McLean.

56 Yes, I think we just like to set this case for a contested hearing at this point so that
57 we can, you know, get it on the books.

58 What's the date?

59 Yeah. We've sent out some dates.

60 Yes, we could do March 10th.

61 OK, March 10 alright. I am going to issue a pre hearing order for March 10.

62 Miss Vance, if your witnesses have a problem with that date, file a motion, please.

63 All right, so pre hearing order will issue anything else, Miss McLean?

64 Nope, that's it on that one.

65 Thank you very much.

66 Let's move on to case number three on our docket.

67 This is Permian Resources 2579.

68 Oh yes, Jackie McLean, on behalf of Permian Resources.

69 Thank you.

7 **BZ** **Bill Zimsky** 18:47

72 And William Zimmeri, on behalf of Alpha Energy Partners to LLC.

7 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 18:53

75 Good morning, Mr. zemski.

7 **BZ** **Bill Zimsky** 18:55

78 Good morning.

8 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 18:57

81 You objected.

8 **BZ** **Bill Zimsky** 18:58

84 Yes, we're gonna withdraw the objection because we because Permian thought we
85 owned in section 12 and we had sold that in 2024 and formed.

86 Opposing counsel this morning we had an exchange of some emails and we'll be
87 filing a motion to withdraw this morning.

8 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 19:19

90 OK.

91 Very good.

92 So Miss McLean, how do you want to proceed?

93 I think that it would be best if we could continue this in the March docket because I
94 think we're going to need a notice, an additional party and then we can present by
95 affidavit at that point. But we'll need the 20 days. Perfect. OK.

96 So you will continue this case. Freya, what is the March hearing by affidavit docket?

97 It's the March 5th.

98 March 5th. OK, so hearing by affidavit.

99 3/5 anything further, Miss McLean?

100 That's it in this.

101 Thank you.

102 We're off the record.

103

104 **BZ** **Bill Zimsky** 19:53

105 Thank you.

106

107 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 19:53

108 Thank you, Mr. Zemski.

109 Moving on to Millstone, Environmental Services 25801.

110

111 **BZ** **Bill Zimsky** 19:55

112 Thank you.

113

114 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 20:01

115 Yes, Jackie McLean on behalf of Milestone. Oh, thank you.

116 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

117 Kerry Hatley, entering her parents on behalf of COG operating and we are objecting
118 to this case.

119 Good. Thank you.

120 M's Hatley, which is not normal for you.

121 So how do you want to proceed?

122 We would like to maintain our objection.

123 We are working with a milestone to enter into an agreement, but we aren't there yet,
124 so we would like to maintain our objection and just follow your direction how the
125 case should proceed.

126 So Miss McLean, when was this case filed?

127 Let me double check on that.

128 2.

129 08/1.

130 This was filed on December 12th. OK, we and just after discussions with Conoco, we
131 were hoping that we could be set for another status conference on February 26th.

132 OK. So that'll give you.

133 Let's see.

134 So proposals went out in November, so I assume, Miss Hatley, your client got the
135 proposals in November.

136 We did.

137 And this one's a little different.

138 This is not like a typical well proposal would be involved.
139 This isn't an increase in an injection rate, so it has to do with the already permitted
140 SWD and as you know, you've become increasingly aware there's always a lot of
141 discussions about those.
142 So that's why at this time, we're hoping if we set the status conference for February
143 26, they'll we'll come to an agreement and then we can proceed by affidavit.
144 After that.
145 So even after you come to an agreement, you'll still wanna proceed with your
146 application.
147 I. Perhaps. Perhaps yes. OK.
148 Alright, that sounds fine.
149 It sounds like a good plan to me.
150 Thank you, miss Miss McLean.
151 We're off the record. In that case, moving on to cases 5 through 7 on the docket.
152 These are XTO holdings to 580-780-8809.
153 Yes, Jackie McLean on behalf of XTO.
154 Thank you.
155

156  **Benjamin Holliday** 22:06

157 Everyone Ben Holiday, on behalf of Riley Permian operating.

158

159  **Pecos Hall** 22:11

160 Thank you, Mr. Holiday, did you object?

161

162  **Benjamin Holliday** 22:13

163 We did object to these cases. Yes, Sir.

164

165  **Pecos Hall** 22:15

166 Why?

167

168  **Benjamin Holliday** 22:17

169 This is an argument about.

170 The sufficiency of notice, and I think it's just a fundamental disagreement among the
171 parties as to whether the notice provided was sufficient, and there are follow on

172 disagreements beyond that. But that's the the primary matter that was addressed in
173 Xeos filings.

174

17  **Pecos Hall** 22:38

176 Thank you, Mr. Holiday.

177 So once you've resolved this disagreement over a notice, let's say that you prevail
178 and and new notices provided that won't resolve your overall concern, will it?

179 It's our our we need notice.

180

18  **Benjamin Holliday** 22:55

182 Umm.

183

18  **Pecos Hall** 22:58

185 I'm sorry, Miss McConnell. It's not they.

186 It's not Riley needing notice. It's we were not noticed.

187 Qo was not noticed, yes.

188 The applicant wasn't noticed.

189 Well, so we have applied to reopened Reilly's cases.

190 There's four of them.

191 There's 258072580825809 and then 25868.

192 And these are the eagle and Marty wells.

193 And when Riley went to pooling, they did not provide notice of the pooling to XTO
194 and Xiao was pooled without receiving notice.

195 So that's what this case is about.

196 We believe that we should be actually given notice, but prior to being pulled into the
197 the spacing unit.

198 And Exu actually wishes to participate in the spacing unit.

199 So it would resolve a lot of matters if the cases were reopened and we were added as
200 a pool party or not treated as a pooled party, but allowed to receive notice and then
201 participate in the spacing unit. In other words, participate through an agreement.

202 Through ajoa guess OK, not being force pooled, correct?

203 Undo the terms of the being treated as a consenting party.

204 Alright, I understand now. Mr. Holiday, I was a little lost, obviously.

205 So.

206 So back to your back to your contention that notice was.

207 A is the first issue here. To reopen these, there is a provision in the rule that allows a
208 party to do what Miss McLean is doing.

209 Let's say that.

210 I mean, if is it your argument that if notice is shown to be correct?

211 By Riley Permian that the case would then go away.

212

213 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 24:54

214 I believe this is directed me.

215 This is Mr. holiday.

216 Yes, if the.

217

218 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 24:56

219 Yes.

220

221 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 25:00

222 I miss McLean characterizes this.

223 Is that no notice was provided.

224 Notice was provided by certified mail.

225 The argument is over.

226 Did it go to the correct place?

227

228 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 25:09

229 Right.

230

231 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 25:10

232 And then again, there's follow on issues related to Xto's acknowledgement of the
233 pooling orders, elections failure to fund in time. There's a, there's a lot of evidentiary
234 matters in here.

235

236 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 25:21

237 OK.

238

239 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 25:22

240 But yes, if we were to have that initial threshold hearing as to whether that notice

241 was sufficient or not, that would be the lead domino that would take care of the rest
242 of the issues.

243

244 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 25:23

245 But but.

246 OK. And then in your perspective, Mr. Holiday, if notice was shown to be given or
247 sufficient or whatever word you want to use?

248 And the matter closed.

249 How would you deal with XTO as a pool party?

250

251 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 25:47

252 Xto would be treated as a non conting pool party under the orders.

253

254 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 25:50

255 I see.

256 Does Qo have the ability to negotiate out from under that?

257

258 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 25:55

259 The I haven't been involved in all the negotiations.

260 My understanding is this has been a long running conversation between the two
261 groups.

262 I I can't speak to what offers XTO is made.

263 I know Riley Permian has made several commercial offers, or at least one as a way to
264 deal with this and provide an off ramp, but that has been so far declined.

265

266 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 26:16

267 OK. How do you before I go to M's McLean, how do you want to?

268 Do you want to set a hearing for this?

269 What do you want to do?

270

271 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 26:23

272 I our preference would be to set a hearing as soon as possible.

273 I think this is a pretty mature issue at this point.

274

275 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 26:30

276 So you have dates that we've, we've we've emailed dates to the parties, which date
277 are you looking at?

278

27 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 26:37

280 Oh gosh, I'm not sure that I received the dates.

281 I know, I know, I know.

282 Dates that are no goes for people because of school spring breaks.

283

28 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 26:45

285 Well, the dates that we sent out were February 10, March 10, March 24.

286

28 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 26:45

288 So.

289 Does.

290

29 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 26:49

292 Freya, while I talked to Mr. Holiday, will you see if he's on that e-mail list that we, you
293 know, it was sent out via the typical listserv, which I believe Mr. Holiday's included
294 on.

295 All right, Mr. Holiday, we do send out dates before we have the status conference
296 dockets so that the parties can negotiate in advance for hearing dates.

297

29 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 27:02

299 OK.

300 OK.

301 Yeah, well, I'll make sure that if I'll find that e-mail that I think the March 24th docket
302 would be the preferred.

303

30 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 27:16

305 Thanks.

306 OK, OK.

307 Thank you, Mr. Holiday, and that should give you plenty of time.

308 That's over two months from now to work out the details on the notice.

309 So these are our applications, right?

310 We would request February 26th hearing date OK.

311 Do we have that as a list?
312 I believe it.
313 What was it?
314 Not miss Freya. February 26th.
315 That's our normal status conference docket.
316 We do have a contested hearing set on that date, but we also have two
317 environmental Nov set days, so we're not scheduling anything else.
318 Oh, may I just saw it on the docket then as those.
319 Then can we do the March 10th date?
320 Sure, Mr. Holiday, March 10th.
321

321  **Benjamin Holliday** 28:06

323 We are currently set for a contestant hearing for Powderhorn V Avant. On that date, I
324 believe.
325

325  **Pecos Hall** 28:14

327 And you believe that's really gonna go forward because so many of these just
328 disappear?
329

329  **Benjamin Holliday** 28:17

331 Good question.
332 That's a good question.
333 It would be fine to put this on the trailing docket for that for that date.
334

334  **Pecos Hall** 28:20

336 OK.
337 Good March 10. We'll set it from March 10th.
338 Great. Yeah. And I do think it's a very narrow issue of just the notice.
339 Yeah. And M's McLean.
340 I know that you're there.
341 Your application. So I your your preference would be.
342 Heavily weighted in the balance.
343 All right.
344 So Mr. Holiday, anything further on these cases?

345

34 BH Benjamin Holliday 28:48

347 No, Sir.

348 Thank you.

349

35 PH Pecos Hall 28:49

351 All right, Mr. here and examiner, may I ask a clarifying question, please?

352 There's a case the last case on the docket 25868.

353 Should this be included on the pre hearing order?

354 OK.

355 Thank you. Thank you.

356 So I didn't understand what for.

357 Asking what?

358 What you asked, can you explain that? When I had mentioned the the all the applications that were affected by this notice issue I had included 25868.

360 Which is another Riley case for the Marty wells. And so I think it would make sense to just do them all, consolidate them all for a hearing because it all involves the same issue of noticed.

363 So, Freya, why were why were three cases of the four consolidated but not the 4th? I didn't have any indication that it should be consolidated, except that it's the same parties and it looks somewhat similar.

366 OK, but Miss McLean, you you there?

367 Your applications you do want them 'cause all.

368 Yes, yes, I think it would be beneficial for the division to just hear the notice altogether on March 10.

370

37 BH Benjamin Holliday 29:52

372 Yeah.

373

37 PH Pecos Hall 29:57

375 Yes, OK. And Mr. Holiday and we have a fourth case which wasn't on our docket.

376

37 BH Benjamin Holliday 29:58

378 But.

379

38  **Pecos Hall** 30:02

381 You're aware of that case?

382

38  **Benjamin Holliday** 30:04

384 The Marty fee case.

385 Yes, Sir. Riley's preference would be that these would be heard separately because
386 they do both involve notice.

387 But the facts are different in in these cases, and so it might not be the cleanest
388 hearing, and I I agree with certainly miss McClain's characterization that these will be
389 focused hearings. You know, these I don't think these will be multi day hearings, but
390 the facts are.

391

39  **Pecos Hall** 30:12

393 Ah, but they do all notice.

394 Hmm.

395

39  **Benjamin Holliday** 30:26

397 Sufficiently different that our preference would be to hear them separately.

398

39  **Pecos Hall** 30:30

400 But but it deals with the same issue which is notice.

401

40  **Benjamin Holliday** 30:35

403 Notices involved in both cases. Yes, Sir.

404

40  **Pecos Hall** 30:37

406 Notices involved in all four cases.

407

40  **Benjamin Holliday** 30:40

409 Yes, I'm sorry.

410 Yes, both buckets of cases. Yes, Sir.

411

41  **Pecos Hall** 30:41

413 I knew what you meant, but I just want to be clear. It's involved in all four cases.

414 So, so make your argument of why the notices is different enough in this fourth case
415 that it shouldn't be heard at the same time.

416

417 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 30:55

418 The initial my understanding and I haven't looked into the Marty fee quite as much
419 as I have the eagle cases.

420 My understanding is the initial question of whether the address to which the certified
421 mail was sent is sufficient is the same in both cases. However, the conduct of the
422 parties following that.

423 Is is different how the parties interacted and elected or failed to elect and fund.

424 Those facts are different.

425

426 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 31:23

427 And those facts go toward notice how they acted after they after the mailing was
428 sent out to this sufficient, in your words, address.

429

430 **BH** **Benjamin Holliday** 31:35

431 Yes, I mean, I think there's issues of actual notice, personal notice involved in here as
432 well.

433

434 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 31:40

435 OK. And M's McLean, what? Why do you feel like that's not an issue?

436 I mean, I think that they're all issues, but to me the the underlying issue is the fact
437 that Riley sent notice to the wrong address.

438 And it's very easily shown that was wrong because in their own hearing exhibits, for
439 example, they put 2 green cards next to each other, one to Xiao, 1 to EOG.

440 It's the same address.

441 I mean, all those people are here regularly before the division.

442 There's really no question that those should be the same addresses, right?

443 So it's it's a very simple issue of. Notice that I think, you know precipitates all of the
444 other issues involved.

445 Riley needs to reopen these cases and actually give notice of the pooling to XTO and
446 I think handling that up front will streamline everything and we can get into the
447 weeds on everything else.

448 That's fine, but I think you know, even just briefing on the notice issue might resolve

449 things.

450 We've done that before, previously before the division in cases.

451 For like there was a Rockwood and Colgate case, there's been many cases where this

452 issue has been handled just by briefing.

453 And you know, we could do that as a precursor and then decide if a hearing is

454 necessary so that we don't have to waste the division's time and resources.

455 And then if we resolve that.

456 Notice issue upfront then that's that. If you think that there needs to be additional

457 facts developed about all these other things that have resulted as a due to the lack of

458 notice, then we can handle that.

459 But I think notice you know, it's just the baseline of everything here.

460 So, Mr. Holiday, there's been a new suggestion made that maybe some of this could

461 be dealt through briefing before a hearing, which we will schedule.

462 March 10th.

463 It's a problem.

464 We'll hold it.

465 I want to pull up the rule.

466 Oh wait, I have the.

467 Mr. Holiday, what part of the rule are we dealing with here?

468

46  **Benjamin Holliday** 33:53

470 I believe we are dealing with, gosh, I have it written down here.

471

47  **Pecos Hall** 33:58

473 I'm guessing it's nineteen 15412 notice requirements OK under a under a compulsory

474 pooling.

475

47  **Benjamin Holliday** 34:00

477 Yes, Sir.

478 Yes, Sir, it's.

479 Right. I and I, you know, I don't.

480 This isn't the evidentiary hearing and I several arguments have been made by Xeo.

481 I'll I'll try to keep mine procedural, but the question is whether the address to which

482 certified mail was sent is the correct address.

483

48  **Pecos Hall** 34:14

485 Sure.

486

48  **Benjamin Holliday** 34:21

488 It is.

489

49  **Pecos Hall** 34:21

491 Sure.

492

49  **Benjamin Holliday** 34:21

494 One of it is a commercially recognized and used address for QDO, and it's one it's an
495 address through which the.

496

49  **Pecos Hall** 34:28

498 Mm-hmm.

499

50  **Benjamin Holliday** 34:31

501 Parties submit jibs and revenue, and the parties regularly transact through this
502 address.

503 And it's just not the preferred address for the land department.

504 And that's the crux of the issue. And and both that's the common issue to both
505 cases.

506

50  **Pecos Hall** 34:41

508 OK.

509 So so I'm looking at the rule. What I'm trying to figure out here is, do we have
510 enough evidence already in the record to make legal briefing effective? Or should we
511 just wait to the hearing to receive the enough, enough evidence to make a decision?

512 And?

513 Miss. Miss McClain, what would you be arguing?

514 What evidence is already in the record?

515 That you would feel everything would be effective on, I think their own hearing
516 exhibits from the underlying cases and then we would submit affidavits with e-mail
517 attachments that show that Riley's land man had been communicating with xios

518 Landman and his signature block.
519 There's, you know, an address he sent stuff via e-mail before. Just no mention of any
520 hearing whatsoever.
521 So, Mr. Holiday, I guess the idea here here is we're basically reopening the original
522 case and and there is a bunch of evidence that you submitted. Was it a, was it a
523 hearing by affidavit?

524

52  **Benjamin Holliday** 35:53

526 Yes, and I wasn't council on the prior case, but this was heard by affidavit. And yes,
527 there were exhibits filed and that's all in the record already.

528

52  **Pecos Hall** 35:57

530 Great.

531 OK, OK. So so I guess, OK, now that I understand what we're doing. So do you feel it
532 would be?

533 Beneficial for the parties and for the division to do some sort of legal briefing and
534 argument, and potentially.

535 Add additional evidence through affidavits.

536 I mean, do you feel like we need to have a hearing at all?

537 Based on on what?

538 Miss McLean is saying or.

539 How do you feel?

540

54  **Benjamin Holliday** 36:28

542 I would prefer to keep the date on the docket.

543 I do think that we could probably handle a lot of this through briefing.

544

54  **Pecos Hall** 36:36

546 OK.

547

54  **Benjamin Holliday** 36:38

549 And then if we needed to have additional testimony and beyond that. But I I think a
550 lot of this, I guess, yes, I do.

551 I do feel like we could probably handle this through briefing.

552

55  **Pecos Hall** 36:49

554 All right. OK.

555 That makes sense to me.

556 You know affidavits.

557 You can't cross examine affidavits and I'm not going to be going back and forth with.

558 Responses and replies will take a legal brief from both parties. If you want to

559 attach additional evidence, Mr. Holiday, I know you do Miss McLean. Feel free and

560 we'll try to winnow the issues down. Or or.

561 In some way reduce the the scope of the hearing.

562 Through your submissions, does that sound fair, Mr. Holiday?

563

56  **Benjamin Holliday** 37:31

565 Yes, Sir.

566

56  **Pecos Hall** 37:32

568 And Miss McLean, does that sound fair to you?

569 Yes, I think that sounds good. When he's setting a deadline for the briefs and then

570 keeping the March 10th hearing to hearing.

571 So why don't you suggest it's your case, Miss McClain?

572 What date?

573 What date do you want to set?

574 For the brief, I would say.

575 To give enough time.

576 So then we can have exhibits, you know, and all of that do.

577 How about February?

578 20th Mr.

579 A holiday.

580

58  **Benjamin Holliday** 38:06

582 I think that's fine.

583 Let me hang on. Let me pull up account.

584 Under.

585

58  **Pecos Hall** 38:10

587 It's about 20.

588 It's about 20 days before the hearing.

589 About 3 weeks.

590

591 **BH Benjamin Holliday** 38:16

592 Yeah, that's the that's naape week.

593 In Houston.

594

595 **PH Pecos Hall** 38:20

596 What week? What week?

597

598 **BH Benjamin Holliday** 38:22

599 The the largest trade show for the industry is in Houston that week.

600 If we could do it on the 24th so close to her date, but give a little pad for that, that
601 would be our preference.

602

603 **PH Pecos Hall** 38:30

604 M's McLean, are you going to attend this?

605 No, you're not going to, OK.

606 What did you call it, Mr. Holiday?

607 What week?

608

609 **BH Benjamin Holliday** 38:40

610 It's nape, no.

611 The North American Prospect Expo.

612

613 **PH Pecos Hall** 38:43

614 Oh, nape.

615 All right.

616 Thank you.

617

618 **BH Benjamin Holliday** 38:45

619 Yes, Sir.

620

621 **PH Pecos Hall** 38:46

622 24th. Yeah, that's you're OK.
623 Guess that's fine, Mr. holiday.
624 That date is is acceptable to the division and to Miss McLean, so we're not gonna
625 have responses to the briefs.
626 We're not gonna have replies to responses.
627 We're just gonna have the brief so that I can take a look at this.
628 Maybe I'll make a decision based on what you what relief you ask for in your briefing.
629 Maybe I won't.
630 Maybe I'll wanna hear more.
631 Evidence. I am gonna involve the technical examiner. Obviously in in looking at the
632 evidence, I won't be making it purely on a legal standpoint.
633 OK.
634 Is there anything further, Mr. Holiday?
635

62  **Benjamin Holliday** 39:30

637 Nothing from Riley. No, Sir.

638

62  **Pecos Hall** 39:32

640 All right, Miss McLean, anything further.
641 Nothing from axia.
642 Thank you. Fantastic. Thank you.
643 All right, walk through record. In those EXCO cases.
644 And Freya, would you please join that fourth case? Yes.
645

64  **Benjamin Holliday** 39:43

647 But I'm sorry, Mr. Examiner.
648 Can I ask a clarifying question?
649 Or did we decide to join?

650

65  **Pecos Hall** 39:46

652 Yes, you ready ahead.

653

65  **Benjamin Holliday** 39:50

655 The 25868 to this we did OK.

656

65  **Pecos Hall** 39:50

658 We did.

659 We did. Yes. Yes, thank you for your argument.

660 It seems to me that it would be more efficient to hear all four cases. If you want to
661 submit a separate brief based on the separate issues that you see in that fourth case,
662 Mr. Holiday, I'll accept it.

663 And so, M's McClain, I don't know.

664

66  **Benjamin Holliday** 40:11

666 Yeah.

667

66  **Pecos Hall** 40:13

669 You guys can work this out between both of you if you want to brief it separately.
670 That fourth case, feel free if if you feel I mean, I mean people always present things.

671

67  **Benjamin Holliday** 40:16

673 Sure.

674

67  **Pecos Hall** 40:25

676 You know, we can consolidate it for hearing and we can say, OK.
677 Now we're going to talk about Eagle and now we're going to talk about Marty.
678 I'm not saying that like everything has to be addressed.
679 At the same time, but just for ease of administrative, you know.
680 Purposes, I think having them on the same day and submitting things together
681 makes the most sense.
682 I completely agree, which is why I'm going to join the 4th case, but I am saying to Mr.
683 Holiday and to you that if you feel like you want to brief that case separately because
684 the facts lead you that way, I'm open to it. OK. OK. All right.

685

68  **Benjamin Holliday** 41:02

687 Yes, Sir.

688 Thank you.

689

69  **Pecos Hall** 41:02

691 Anything. Yes. Thank you, Mr. Holiday.

692 We're off the record in those cases.

693 Let's move on to Permian Resources.

694 258-122-5814.

695 Jackie MacLean, on behalf of Permian Resources. Thank you.

696 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

697 Deena Bennett, on behalf of Kotera Energy operating and Kotera, did file an

698 objection to these cases and is sending out competing proposals.

699 Because the Permian Resources Development plan is going to strand some of

700 KOTERA'S acreage and the proposals are designed are hopefully going to go out this

701 week or early next week.

702 And so.

703 That would mean that kotera could file for the what was previously the April 2nd

704 docket, but now is March 26th.

705 So Kotera could be ready for a contested hearing.

706 In in April on one of the April dockets that are available. OK.

707 Thank you, miss Miss Bennett.

708 That answers all my questions, Miss McLean.

709 Yes, that's what I my understanding is they're filing competing applications we also.

710 Would prefer an April contested hearing date.

711 Fred, did we send?

712 I know we didn't send out any April, but I know you emailed someone some April

713 dates.

714 Do you know what they are?

715 I did send them out and we've since changed them because April 7th is no longer

716 available.

717 So we have April 21st or April 28.

718 Let me write it down April 21st or 28th, yes.

719 M's McLean do your case is I mean, for now that your cases they're not joined yet

720 with koteras cases.

721 Which of those dates do you prefer?

722 I think any I I'm not 100% sure, but it sounded like either of those dates would work.

723 But I would like to confirm with the client OK before we commit to to one of those

724 and probably Miss Bennett needs to do the same.

725 OK.

726 Well.

727 OK, then I'll set a deadline to hear from both of you.

728 What's next week?

729 Sometime that work for both of you.

730 Yes, that's perfect.

731 What day, Miss Bennett? Next week?

732 How about a week from today?

733 Perfect. What is that date?

734 Let me look. Thank you.

735 It is.

736 Well, it's January 29th, January 29th, OK.

737 So January 29, we will either set a pre hearing order for April 21st.

738 28 depending.

739 And Miss Bennett, when you do file your cases, would you please file a motion to join

740 them?

741 I will with the other case OK.

742 Anything else, miss Bennett?

743 Nothing for me.

744 Thank you, miss McLean.

745 Nothing for me. All right, well, off the record in those cases now we have a kotera

746 case 25813.

747 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

748 Deena Bennett, on behalf of Kotera Energy operating.

749 Thank you and good morning, Kaitlyn.

750 Lock on behalf of Energy, LLC.

751 Thank you.

752

753 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 44:08

754 And good morning.

755 This is Jordan Kessler. On behalf of EOG Resources.

756

757 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 44:12

758 Thank you, miss luck.

759 I didn't hear you or Miss Kessler say that you had ejected to these to this case.

760 Yes, my client has objected to this case.

761 You have.
762 Yes, Miss Kessler has not OK.
763 Thank you, Miss Kessler.
764 Miss Luck, you objected. Why?
765 My client sent competing well proposals and so those went out last week and at this
766 point we're looking at filing competing pooling application.
767 So we would prefer an April contested hearing date would would your case make the
768 March 26 notice docket?
769 It would.
770 It would make that docket OK.
771 Miss Kessler, would you be involved in a contested hearing?
772

77 JK **Jordan Kessler** 44:58

774 Only in that we would be monitoring Mr. hearing examiner. So no preference
775 regarding dates or anything like that.

776

77 PH **Pecos Hall** 45:03

778 Perfect. Thank you, Miss Kessler.
779 OK.
780 So miss luck.
781 Same thing with same thing that I discussed with Miss Bennett.
782 Please file a motion to join your case.
783 It will be one or two cases.
784 I can't say quite yet how we're setting up the spacing unit, but at this point I will file a
785 motion to consolidate the cases when we're filed. Thank you.
786 OK, perfect. And Mr. Examiner, yes, if I could just say a couple of things about this.
787 Contested hearing that's coming up, apparently.
788 So we did.
789 I filed this application on behalf of KOTERA in in early December and.
790 Oh, sorry.
791 Thank you. And Ichthis who mislead represents what.
792 Who? Iqthis icsis.
793 Oh, I see it. Yes.
794 They are proposing to pool acreage in which Kotera owns 100% and which Kotara is
795 planning on developing in the near term.

796 Kotera has rigs available.

797 It's on the drilling schedule.

798 They have obligations that are coming up in Q2 of 2026.

799 So Kotera is ready and wants to drill this acreage and wants to not have wants to

800 limit the delay. And I understand that.

801 Kotera, I mean I understand that.

802 This hasn't yet filed its application, so we aren't able to request an earlier setting.

803 If I could, I would.

804 Kotera supports ikkis development of a of laterals that don't interfere or encroach on

805 coteria. So it's not a matter of kotera, you know, wanting to fight tooth and nail with

806 iqthis.

807 They just want to make sure that iqthis is not pulling over kotera's 100% owned

808 acreage. Miss Benin, it seems like we could set.

809 I mean, if, if the proposals went out a week or so ago.

810 So that's fairly January, the month early February.

811 I don't understand why we couldn't set in a March date and just notice Miss Luck's

812 case by itself. I mean, I think that's possible.

813 I don't see why we have to wait till the end of March to notice Miss Luck's case.

814 Well, she under the division's rules.

815 And I guess I'm not understanding your question with the kotara cases go along.

816 30 days from early January. That means early February.

817 Miss Luck could file her application or applications early February and a 20 days

818 notice from early February gives us maybe March 1st would be the earliest and we

819 could notice it by itself.

820 And I definitely don't want to disagree with a plan that could move this forward more

821 quickly.

822 But the divisions rules do require that an applicant file an application 30 days in

823 advance of a hearing.

824 Not so. There's two notice.

825 There's two requirements, one that the division give notice 20 days in advance, but

826 the rules also require that applicant violate application 30 days in advance of a

827 hearing.

828 So I don't think that Miss Luck could meet that deadline.

829 And I'm not trying to make her argument for her, but I do feel, you know, strongly

830 about the rules.

831 I'm fine with the rules and I'm happy to comply with the rules, but if she files her
832 application in early February, 30 days from early February is early March right?
833 You can have a hearing in March is all I'm saying.
834 How would it get noticed on a docket?
835 Well, we would notice it for the for the special docket that we set it for the two
836 special dockets are March 10th and March 24th, right?
837 I mean I.
838 Will let miss love.
839 I mean, it's possible, right?
840 You admit that it's possible.
841 I'm doing the math in my head.
842 Sure, it is theoretically possible, because I think she said the proposal letters were
843 sent out January 15th, which would mean the 30 days would run on February 15th,
844 which means that she could file on February 15th.
845 16th, which would be for March 16th, which would be in time for the March 24th,
846 24th. Yes. So it's possible it's possible.
847 I'm just trying to work with you.
848 Yeah. No, I understand your delay argument.
849 Yes, I totally understand and I hadn't really thought about it in those terms.
850 I'm just trying to move this along. Miss luck.
851 Well, I'm in agreement with what the Examiner has mentioned regarding the timing.
852 It could be filed for the March 24th docket. However, before the hearing started, we
853 did discuss the April 21st and the April 28th date as being the potential contested
854 hearing dates.
855 And it was my understanding that Cotera was in agreement with the April contested
856 hearing dates for this hearing began. OK, Miss Bennett.
857 Yeah, I didn't really appreciate the fact that we could have made the March 24th
858 docket, so.
859 That's right, flexible for you.
860 I totally appreciate that and I did run the April date.
861 I I was under the impression that the April dates were April 21st and 27th and I did
862 run those dates by Kotera and they are available, but I will need to run the 28th by
863 Kotera and just make sure that that works as well. Why?
864 Don't we just do the 21st? That's great.
865 OK, Miss Luck, 21st of April.

866 Yes, that date works for my client. Thank you.

867 Very wonderful.

868 So case number 10 for Kotara will go on the April 10 docket.

869 All right.

870 Anything further, miss Bennett?

871 Nothing further. Thank you.

872 You're welcome.

873 Permian Fe.

874 Permian operating 25815 Sharon Shaheen on behalf of Effie.

875 Permian it is Effie.

876 Yes. What does it stand for?

877 I believe it stands for freedom energy, but I'm not absolutely sure it's an affiliate of

878 Flat Creek. OK, John.

879 Thank you, machine.

880 Jackie MacLean, on behalf of Permian Resources. Thank you.

881 And you objected, Miss McLean.

882 Yes, we did.

883 OK, for what purpose?

884 We are filing competing applications, OK? And have you sent out proposals?

885 We sent them out Tuesday.

886 Excellent. All right. Thank you.

887 Miss McLain. Miss Jeanine. How do you want to proceed?

888 Yes, we'd like to go ahead and get this on the schedule for contested hearing. I

889 believe March 24th might be the first date, but I do need to run that date by my

890 client.

891 That's fine.

892 We can.

893 We can do what we did by saying January 29 could be a deadline for you to notify

894 the division.

895 Does that work for you?

896 Now I'm getting an e-mail from my client says saying he would prefer the April date.

897 So the April 21st or the April 28th.

898 Well, we probably picked the 21st.

899 So would you like to ask your client if April 21st works for them?

900 I've already asked him whether those April dates work, and he did say that either

901 would work alright and Miss McLean. I need to double check with Permian
902 Resources, but I can get back to you all right.
903 When can you get back to us?
904 Probably I.
905 It's I can e-mail them today. OK and I can get back to you probably tomorrow, OK.
906 I'll send it to January 29th.
907 OK, deadline. If we don't hear from you, we'll issue a pre hearing order for April 21st.
908 If we do, we can adjust it to maybe the 28th. If that works for your client as well.
909 OK.
910 That sounds good.
911 It sounds like it both work for machines client machines.
912 Anything further?
913 Not from Effie Permian. Thank you.
914 Thank you, miss McLean.
915 Nothing from Permian resources.
916 Alright. And and and Miss McLean, would you file a motion to consolidate your is it
917 one case or I'm not sure yet and once we figure that out, we will do that and then
918 we'll file a motion to consolidate please.
919 So you're thinking of filing mid February then?
920 Yes, probably for the March docket, OK or for the April docket.
921 Got it.
922 The early April docket, which I guess it's now March 26th.
923 There we go.
924 I think that's why I'm getting confused with that 'cause. I think it was a old contested
925 hearing date. OK, it was April seven, I think was the original. OK, Thursday docket for
926 hearing by affidavits.
927 But I won't be here.
928 And so we moved it to March 26.
929 Anything further, miss McLean?
930 Not from Permian resources.
931 Thank you.
932 Now let's go on to Permian Resources.
933 There are three cases, 258-1819 and two oh.
934 I guess Jackie McLean, on behalf of Permian Resources.
935 Thank you.

936 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
937 Deena Bennett, on behalf of Kotera Energy operating and Kotara, has filed an
938 objection to these cases.
939 Kotera does not need to file competing applications because it has ajoa, so it doesn't
940 need to send out competing proposals or file a competing application.
941 But the reason it objected is because one of the sections that Permian Resources is
942 seeking to pool is 100% committed to kotara under Ajo.
943 And so kotara wants to go to a contested hearing to protect its rights in the JOA
944 acreage. Now, before I go to you, Miss Vance, hold on a second.
945 So let me make sure I understand this because we've had a case like this before.
946 And I'm trying to think, I think Dana Hardy, yes, that represented 1.
947 And who was the other? It was Permian Resources and MRC.
948 But who represents Holland and Hart?

949

950  **Paula M. Vance** 54:35

951 MRC perman.

952

953  **Pecos Hall** 54:37

954 Oh, so it's who's calling you back?

955

956  **Paula M. Vance** 54:38

957 ENT Hart yeah.

958

959  **Pecos Hall** 54:39

960 Yes. And and Adam Rankin.

961 And they had an 80 acre piece.

962 I think that's on appeal to the, to the Commission, right. Right now, isn't it, miss Miss
963 Vance?

964

965  **Paula M. Vance** 54:49

966 That's correct.

967

968  **Pecos Hall** 54:50

969 I thought so.

970 They had a 80 acre piece of land that overlapped with. Did you know about this case?

971 I have been reviewing the materials OK.
972 So then you know all about it.
973 I don't.
974 I don't have to tell you. I thought I'd give you a tip. So you should pay.
975 Well, I I actually.
976 I mean, I was surprised to see how the division ruled in that case, given Commission
977 precedent that Miss Hardy and I were both involved in.
978 That.
979 Came to the opposite conclusion.
980 Then the division on appeal.
981 So I'm interested to see how the MRCPR per meeting sources cases plays out on
982 appeal, sure, but I also know in reviewing the order.
983 For the Fierro and I'm not sure what the other case names were. I did see some
984 factual differences between the cases as well.
985 So even if that decision does not get overturned by the Commission on de Novo
986 review, I think the outcome of this case will depend upon the facts.
987 OK.
988 Yeah. And one of the one of the main facts that went toward the division's decision
989 in that case was that at the time of the hearing, Misbah's client, or Mr. Rankin's client.
990 I remember who it was did not have a competing proposal.
991 I under add a JOA for a vertical well.
992 And they were saying no, you can't compulsory pool this area anyway, so maybe it'll
993 be factually different.
994 If you won't, OK, it is so.
995 There we have it.
996 So anyway, not to say anything to you, Miss Vance, but I know you wanna enter an
997 appearance. So go right ahead.
998

99  **Paula M. Vance** 56:24
1000 Good morning, Mr. hearing examiner Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of Holland
1001 and Hart. We entered an appearance on behalf of MRC Permian Company.
1002 We're just monitoring.
1003

100  **Pecos Hall** 56:36
1005 Perfect. Thank you, miss bass.

1006 So, Miss Bennett, no competing proposal, just objecting, maintaining the objection.
1007 Maybe you're negotiating at the same time.
1008 Well, I should clarify that. There's they.
1009 Are they have submitted proposals for the acreage under the JOA?
1010 It's just not the kind of proposals that you would normally expect to have in a
1011 pooling case because it doesn't trigger the 30 day.
1012 Requirement for notice.
1013 Notice.
1014 And good faith negotiations under pooling cases.
1015 So they have proposed wells under the JOA and they have two wells that are
1016 currently operating under the JOA.
1017 So to say that they don't have competing proposals isn't necessarily accurate.
1018 It's they're just different than the type of proposals that the division is used to seeing
1019 because their proposals under the JOA, thanks for clarifying that, Miss McLean.
1020 How do you want to proceed?
1021 We were hoping to request the April 28th.
1022 Hearing date. That's as soon as you want. April 28th? Yes, because I do believe that
1023 there are negotiations between the parties.
1024 OK. And Mr. hearing examiner, I'll just need to double check that date with kotera,
1025 but I think that will work OK. So we'll issue a pre hearing order for April 28 and and
1026 then miss, Miss Benedik.
1027 For some reason it doesn't work.
1028 Please let me know.
1029 Then then Miss McLean April 21st would work for you. If April 28 doesn't, I would
1030 need to double check.
1031 Yeah. So we'll issue a pre hearing order since you think it may work. Miss Bennett,
1032 we're pretty close, but for not. We'll we'll deal with it on your emotion. Thank you.
1033 All right. Thank you.
1034 So let me write that down.
1035 All right, we're off the record in those Permian cases.
1036 Let's move on.
1037 I have one one thing.
1038 This is not to do with that case.
1039 I was just hoping in the rush of everything.
1040 For case 25790 that alpha is withdrawing their objection. If we could go back to that

1041 really quick, I double checked and we actually did provide notice to everyone that we
1042 needed to. OK, so could we continue it to the February docket for presentation by?
1043 Affidavit.

1044 OK.

1045 Thank you.

1046 So your continue into that docket and Fred, would you give that one priority 'cause,
1047 it's been around for awhile.

1048 Yes.

1049 All right, So what is the date in February, friah?

1050 It's the 5th, 5th.

1051 Thank you. All right.

1052 So Miss McLean the 5th.

1053 Thank you so much. You're welcome.

1054 Let's move on to Avant operating 258272582925831 and 25832.

1055 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

1056 Deena Bennett, on behalf of Avond, operating two LLC. Thank you.

1057 And these cases are actually consolidated with the next.

1058 14 or I'm not sure how many Permian resources cases, but thank you.

1059 Let me call them.

1060 I don't know why they're so treya. Will you consolidate these four with the next
1061 Permian resources cases? Yes. Thank you.

1062 I'm also gonna call 25833 and I'm not gonna read all these numbers, but it goes all
1063 the way to case 25845.

1064 These are cases 19 through 31 on our docket.

1065 And you've entered an appearance on all of them.

1066 I did.

1067 I entered an appearance in all of Miss Mclean's cases and objected, and Miss McLean
1068 Internet appearance in all of my cases and objected perfectly.

1069 Wait. Yes, Jackie McLean, on behalf of Permian Resources for all of those many cases,
1070 think we have some other.

1071 Yes. Yes, Mr. hearing examiner Caitlin Locke for WR Non OP LLC and just appearing
1072 not objecting. Perfect.

1073 OK so but I called Miss Mclean's cases.

1074 No, I called Miss Bennett's case.

1075 It's first, so, Miss Bennett, how do you want to proceed? Thank you.

1076 These the parties are in discussions and as the division learned recently, Avant and
1077 Permian were able to resolve some objections to Avon's triple stamp development
1078 plan, leading to the vacating of a contested hearing next week.
1079 And I think both sets of parties remain hopeful that the same outcome will happen
1080 for these competing cases.
1081 But in the meantime, I do think it makes sense, and I've discussed this with Miss
1082 MacLean, that the cases be set for a contested hearing.
1083 Which one?
1084 What date?
1085 Well, there was some confusion this morning about dates, just in full transparency.
1086 Yeah, but I have confirmed with just now with avant that 428 works for them.
1087 Miss McLean.
1088 Yeah, I'm still waiting to get confirmation.
1089 And then we will OK to finalize back to these cases.
1090 Well.
1091 I think we're still waiting to hear from one person on the like caring availability for
1092 4/21 or 4/28, OK.
1093 So if I can, we can get back to you by the January 29th, that would be helpful.
1094 And you you don't wanna March hearing. You wanna give yourself as much time to
1095 negotiate as possible.
1096 All right.
1097 Does the 21st work for you as well, Miss Bennett?
1098 Your microphone. That's where some of the confusion came in.
1099 I miss McLean and I had coordinated yesterday and she had indicated that the 21st
1100 did not work for her perman.
1101 So I had only asked Avant about the 27th.
1102 Now the 28th, so I have not. I mean, I've asked Avant about the 21st, but what
1103 they've been able to get back to me with is the 28th.
1104 Alright, OK. And Miss McLean, you, you have an e-mail out to your client right now.
1105 Waiting to hear back from them.
1106 Yes. Do you think you might hear by the end of today's hearing?
1107 Let me text and I'll see great.
1108 All right, so.
1109 Florent versus Permian.

1110

111  +15*****12 1:03:00

1112 Define e-mail.

1113

111  **Pecos Hall** 1:03:06

1115 And miss Bennett?

1116 What are the issues here?

1117 These are overlapping or competing development plans and so the issue to be
1118 resolved at the hearing would be who should be designated as operator of the
1119 acreage.

1120 But what are the issues in the in the go? In other words?

1121 In other words, is this a difficult issue to negotiate, or do you think you'll be
1122 successful in negotiating?

1123 I haven't been involved in the negotiations at all, so I'm not sure what the.

1124 Likelihood of successes or what hurdles might remain?

1125 OK.

1126 All right, so Miss McLean will tentatively set this for April 28th. If you hear from your
1127 client before the end of today's hearing, please let me know.

1128 Otherwise, we'll expect to hear from you by the 29th.

1129 Anything further, miss McLean?

1130 Nothing from Permian Resources and Miss Berry, nothing further.

1131 Thank you.

1132 All right, perfect.

1133 Thank you.

1134 Let's move on to mubar oil, it looks like.

1135 It looks like this is a solitary case, although I'm not sure 25851.

1136

1137  +15*****12 1:04:20

1138 Mr. Examiner.

1139

1140  **Pecos Hall** 1:04:22

1141 Yes.

1142

1143  +15*****12 1:04:24

1144 This is Jim Bruce. Entering an appearance on behalf of New Bern.

1145 Sorry I couldn't do it by paper.

1146

1147 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:04:29

1148 OK. Thank.

1149 That's OK.

1150 So, Mr. Bruce, are you?

1151 Is this case a standalone case?

1152 Because we have a mubaran oil 25852 right after.

1153

1154 **+15*****12** 1:04:39

1155 Oh.

1156 I'm sorry, Mr. Examiner.

1157 Yeah, I'm. I'm involved in the other two. Thank you.

1158

1159 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:04:49

1160 Would you like us to consolidate the two cases?

1161

1162 **+15*****12** 1:04:53

1163 Well, what is it 2?

1164 521 or go ahead.

1165

1166 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:04:58

1167 25 It's they're both credence.

1168 The the well name is credence.

1169

1170 **+15*****12** 1:05:04

1171 Yes. Yeah.

1172

1173 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:05:05

1174 225851 and five two are the case numbers.

1175

1176 **+15*****12** 1:05:10

1177 Yes, I'd like to be entered in appearance in both cases for mubar.

1178

117  **Pecos Hall** 1:05:14

1180 And would you like, would you like us to join them?

1181

118  **+15*****12** 1:05:18

1183 Yes.

1184

118  **Pecos Hall** 1:05:19

1186 OK.

1187 So, Freya, would you join them? Yes, thank you.

1188 OK.

1189 Thank you, Mr. Bruce.

1190 Who else do we have?

1191 Good morning, Mr. Examiner.

1192 Keri Hatley, entering her appearance on behalf of COG operating and Contra Oil and
1193 gas, and we are objecting to this case.

1194 You are objecting.

1195 We will be filing competing applications in this case.

1196 And we plan to send our well proposals out next week.

1197 Will you be representing?

1198 I would like to hire outside counsel for this, but haven't done so yet.

1199 But good, good question, because this is an interesting post.

1200 For us to be taking, yes, I agree and and I think it would be.

1201 I think it would be refreshing to have you present the competing kid, so I'm sure it
1202 will happen at some point at some point.

1203 Thank you, miss Hadley. You. Did you say you did send out competing proposals
1204 already?

1205 We'll be sending them next week.

1206 We'll be sending next week, OK?

1207 OK, Mr. Bruce.

1208 How do you want to proceed?

1209

121  **+15*****12** 1:06:16

1211 Well, mubar would like to proceed as quickly as possible, but from what?

1212 Miss Hatley said.

1213 She probably can't get on the docket until April.

1214 Is that correct?

1215

1216 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:06:28

1217 Yes, but we have the April 21st docket open and we have the 28th as well.

1218 I would prefer the April 21st docket for contested hearing if.

1219

1220 **+15*****12** 1:06:34

1221 OK.

1222 Then I would.

1223 I would prefer the April 21st socket.

1224

1225 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:06:41

1226 OK, Miss Hatley, April 21st.

1227 Mr. Bruce just entered his appearance this morning and we haven't filed our wealth
1228 competing our wealth proposals yet.

1229 We would like to push it out a little bit further if that's possible. I don't think we have
1230 dates yet, so why don't we do this?

1231 Why don't we set this for another status conference?

1232 Mr. Bruce, do you think negotiations will be fruitful in this situation?

1233

1234 **+15*****12** 1:07:06

1235 Well, I hope I do know. They spoke last Friday together, so we shall see and I will be
1236 talking with the client.

1237

1238 **PH Pecos Hall** 1:07:14

1239 And M's Hatley, do you have any other information?

1240 I don't.

1241 I always hope for a an agreement if possible, but I think setting it for another status
1242 conference would be really appreciated.

1243 Alright, OK.

1244 So, Mr. Bruce, we we do have April 21 and 28 as possible dates.

1245 Please keep those in mind that these these cases could be put on once we have our
1246 next status conference.

1247

1248 +15*****12 1:07:41

1249 OK.

1250

1251 PH Pecos Hall 1:07:42

1252 So.

1253

1254 +15*****12 1:07:43

1255 What? What? What? What date will the status conference be?

1256

1257 PH Pecos Hall 1:07:46

1258 I think February a month from a month from now basically.

1259

1260 +15*****12 1:07:51

1261 OK, the 26th or something?

1262

1263 PH Pecos Hall 1:07:55

1264 I think that's right.

1265 I think 26 is right, yes.

1266

1267 +15*****12 1:07:59

1268 Thank you.

1269

1270 PH Pecos Hall 1:08:01

1271 Oh, thank you, Miss Hatley.

1272 That works for you.

1273 All right. Excellent.

1274 And by then you'll know when you will be filing etc etc.

1275 We'll have a lot more information by the next status conference. Thank you, Sir.

1276 Exactly. Thank you, Miss Hetley, and Mr. Bruce.

1277 Feel better, Mr. Bruce.

1278 OK, moving on to spur Energy Partners.

1279 This is 25854 and 55 entries please.

1280 Yes, Jackie McLean, on behalf of Spur Energy Partners.

1281 And two 5/8.

1282 5-4 and five five should not be consolidated and yesterday we filed a motion to
1283 dismiss case number 25855. Thank you.

1284 Appreciate the update.

1285

1286 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:08:44

1287 Good morning, Mr. Herring examiner Jacob Everhart with Betty Wozniak, New
1288 Mexico, appearing on behalf of Hillcorp Energy Company.
1289 We are objecting to this case moving forward by affidavit at this time.

1290

1291 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:08:54

1292 And for what purpose are you objecting?

1293

1294 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:08:57

1295 So Spur Energy's application is an overlapping spacing units and overlaps 11 of
1296 HILLCOURSE 11 existing vertical wells.
1297 So Hillcorp has considerable concerns with the design as proposed by spur with
1298 regard to the potential for collision. I know to date both parties have engaged in
1299 promising discussions regarding Spur's proposal with spur.

1300

1301 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:09:15

1302 Hmm.

1303

1304 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:09:23

1305 Potentially offering some areas where they won't kind of frack.
1306 Kind of shield against some of the offset collision, but at this time we would request
1307 that we schedule another status conference for next month, the 26th as things seem
1308 amenable to both parties.

1309

1310 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:09:27

1311 Mm-hmm.

1312 OK.

1313 Yeah. OK.

1314 Thank you, M's Kessler.

1315

1316 **JK** **Jordan Kessler** 1:09:47

1317 Good morning again, Mr. Examiner.

1318 Jordan Kessler, on behalf of EOG, we're monitoring this case, not picking.

1319

1320 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:09:54

1321 Thank you, Miss Kessler.

1322 So, Miss McLean, those kind of issues sound like they're easy to resolve, don't they?

1323 I'm not sure I had reached out to Bidi and Wozniak's council last week and I had
1324 never heard back.

1325 So this is the first time hearing.

1326 And the direction from my client is to set a contested hearing for March 10th or
1327 March 24th.

1328 We can do March 10.

1329 That's not a problem. So Mr. ever, how do you say is Everhart?

1330 Yeah. There we go, Mr. Everhart.

1331

1332 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:10:26

1333 Yes, Sir. Everhart, yeah.

1334

1335 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:10:30

1336 I do give the applicant the.

1337 The discretion and the leeway to get a case heard as soon as they can.

1338 She's asking for May 10 or May 24 March, March. That's what I did.

1339 For the record.

1340 I don't have date on here on my head.

1341 She is asking for March 10th or March 24. Your response?

1342

1343 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:10:53

1344 Yeah, so this information came to us late last night from the client. So I apologize to
1345 Spurs Counsel, but I think as an alternative, we would pursue a competing
1346 application and we have not sent out proposals and things of that nature yet. So I
1347 would ask for CONT.

1348 Hearing to be scheduled after March.

1349

1350 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:11:14

1351 Well, you haven't sent out proposals yet. So what I'm gonna do is I'm gonna set it for

1352 March 24. Based on Miss Mclean's ask. If you do send out competing proposals, then
1353 we'll have to deal with potentially moving that date to a later date. But that.
1354 Be an argument that we hear at a later date. So.
1355 So it's only one case 25854. Is that right?
1356 Ms. McLean, that's correct.
1357 That's #34 on the docket.
1358 OK, Mr. Everhart, good luck with your negotiations.
1359 I mean, you have two months.
1360 No, I guess you only.
1361 Yeah, you have two months to to negotiate this.
1362 Or file a competing application.

1363

1364 **JE** **Jacob Everhart** 1:12:07

1365 Yes, Sir.

1366

1367 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:12:07

1368 Miss McLean any anything further?
1369 Nothing from us will sure prehearing order for March 24th, OK.
1370 We're off the record in those two cases.
1371 Thank you, Mr. Everhart.
1372 We're down to the wire here. Mubarn oil 25857.
1373 68697.
1374 0525858 and five nine.

1375

1376 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:12:35

1377 Good morning, Mr. good morning, Mr. hearing Examiner, Apollo Vance with the
1378 Santa Fe Office of Holland and Heart, on behalf of the applicant in the cases listed on
1379 the worksheet, which are the Buffalo Gap cases.

1380

1381 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:12:50

1382 Thank you.
1383 Why are there more cases than around the docket today?

1384

1385 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:12:54

1386 So these are set for contested hearing with Miss Mclean's Permian Cases, Permian

1387 GTO cases.
1388 They're set for a contested contested hearing on February 10th and we just wanted
1389 to have this status conference just to circle back my understanding and I miss
1390 McLean and I talked earlier this week, but the parties are in negotiations.
1391 And just wanted to provide an update to the the divisions.
1392 So, you know, possibly things could get resolved before before that contested
1393 hearing date so.

1394

1395  **Pecos Hall** 1:13:31

1396 OK.

1397 Thank you M's Vance M's. McLean, do you want to answer your appearance first?
1398 Yes, Jackie McLean on behalf of Permian Resources and case numbers to 576-832-
1399 5770 and 25857 through 25859.
1400 And yes, it's my understanding the parties are negotiating, but I I'm not sure if they
1401 are going to come to a resolution.
1402 And so we're just proceeding forward at this point like we're going to the hearing on
1403 February 10th, OK.
1404 The deadline for pre hearing statements and exhibits would be the week before.
1405 Right, correct.
1406 Next or sorry, it's February 4th at 9:00 AM. Perfect.

1407

1408  **Paula M. Vance** 1:14:16

1409 Correct.

1410

1411  **Pecos Hall** 1:14:22

1412 So you obviously know with deadlines.
1413 Is there anything further Miss Vance, besides your rosy outlook on negotiations?

1414

1415  **Paula M. Vance** 1:14:25

1416 Yeah.

1417 No, but I did want to circle back on the if possible on the 1st 2 cases.

1418

1419  **Pecos Hall** 1:14:31

1420 Yeah.

1421

1422  **Paula M. Vance** 1:14:39

1423 On the worksheet there also some other related to some other mubar cases and I
1424 got a further update. I know you had said that you were going to issue a pre hearing
1425 order.

1426

1427  **Pecos Hall** 1:14:52

1428 Yeah.

1429

1430  **Paula M. Vance** 1:14:54

1431 We are going to file competing applications and that so I will.
1432 Well, I just want to make the division aware that I'll be filing those and that will be
1433 requesting to consolidate those be added to the pre hearing order once we file those
1434 applications.

1435

1436  **Pecos Hall** 1:15:13

1437 When you think you'll be filing them.

1438

1439  **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:16

1440 In the next day or two.

1441

1442  **Pecos Hall** 1:15:17

1443 OK.

1444 Very soon. OK, sounds good.

1445

1446  **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:19

1447 Yes.

1448

1449  **Pecos Hall** 1:15:19

1450 So M's McLean, did you know that?

1451 No, and I'm confused.

1452 So she's they're filing applications for the February 10th contested hearing.

1453 It's. I thought it's March 10 is what I have on my sheet.

1454

1455  **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:31

1456 March 10th, March 10th. Yeah.

1457

1458  **Pecos Hall** 1:15:33

1459 It was March 10, Miss McLean and what cases are we talking? The very first. Sorry.

1460 We're we're recalling.

1461 This is Fast forward class where we.

1462 Following 25771 and seven 2 is OK dream. OK, got it.

1463 I thought we were still on this one. OK, OK.

1464 So then what is? I just wanted to let you know that she's smiling.

1465 Could be the applications the next day or so, OK. And then are we still?

1466

1467  **Paula M. Vance** 1:15:58

1468 Yeah.

1469

1470  **Pecos Hall** 1:16:03

1471 Going to be getting back to you on.

1472 March 10th or 24th.

1473 Is that OK?

1474 We already assume March 10th.

1475 I didn't have anything to eat down that you were gonna get back to us. OK. Thank

1476 you.

1477

1478  **Paula M. Vance** 1:16:12

1479 Yeah.

1480

1481  **Pecos Hall** 1:16:15

1482 I'm like, I don't know what we're talking about right now.

1483 There's been a lot of dates thrown out there today. That's OK.

1484 So Miss Vance, back to your cases.

1485 Is there anything further on your cases?

1486

1487  **Paula M. Vance** 1:16:27

1488 No, we will keep the division updated if anything changes.

1489

1490 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:16:29

1491 Great.

1492 Whoops. OK, wonderful Miss McLean.

1493 Nothing from Permian Resources, we're down to the last case of the day. This is xco
1494 energy 25868.

1495 Yes. And Jackie McLean, on behalf of XTO and this was addressed with the case
1496 numbers 25807 through 25809.

1497 We'll be briefing the notice issue.

1498 This is the one that.

1499 Miss Chance referenced earlier.

1500 OK.

1501 So we've dealt with this case over I and I didn't realize that it's sitting out here at the
1502 end.

1503 OK.

1504 That is it for the docket.

1505 I think we do have some time to talk about any challenges.

1506 Let me get a fresh I have an update on.

1507 What was it the big cases?

1508 The spicy chicken cases.

1509 Yes, and it looks like.

1510 Only April 21st will work at this point, Miss Bennett.

1511 Thank you, Mr. Examiner. As I mentioned yesterday, Miss McLean and I understand
1512 schedules change, but had indicated to me that April 21st did not work. So I have
1513 not.

1514 You know, I have asked Avant about April 21st, but I don't have an answer from them
1515 because they are available on April 28th.

1516 I will ask.

1517 Yeah. Would you? OK, can you?

1518 I mean, I don't know if you're staying for any part of this discussion next, but if you
1519 could ask Yvonne now and and I understand that you may not get an answer, but
1520 maybe we will.

1521 Yep, I will definitely ask.

1522 I know that one person is out in the.

1523 Field today, but I'm asking them right now.

1524 Oh, that's great.

1525 And and Miss Bennett, you know we.

1526 If we start on the 21st, it may go to the 22nd.

1527 And if if one witness isn't available, maybe another expert could review.

1528 I mean so many different options we have here, right?

1529 And I would suggest the same thing to Miss McClain, obviously.

1530 Oh, of course, definitely.

1531 But I am asking about April 21st.

1532 Right now, I just texted and then can we this is also.

1533 Affecting.

1534 Apparent metallic cat, which is. It's another case that Miss Bennett is involved with

1535 25818 through 25820.

1536 What numbers on the docket were they? This was number.

1537 Thank you. Again 1213 and 14.

1538 OK.

1539 So we have Jackie McLean and we have Miss Bennett Prehearing order for the 28th.

1540 We do have yes and that, but we need the 21st.

1541 Now apparently there's a conflict. Yes, with the 28th.

1542 Oh my.

1543 All right.

1544 And then Miss Bennett's representing kotera. And is that the same part?

1545 No, no, it's a different party.

1546 Spending you ask for for.

1547 Dates for that.

1548 So you're asking now to change the hearing from the 28th to the 21st and Miss

1549 Bennett, what about your clients availability on the 21st?

1550 My clotera texted me that they're available on the 28th, so I will ask them.

1551 They're listening to the hearing and the land man from Kotera just texted that they

1552 cannot do the 21st for kotera.

1553 So miss OK.

1554 So hold on a second.

1555 So we can't do the 21st.

1556 What about the 22nd?

1557 Since they're listening, I mean 'cause. We could start on the 21st and continue to the

1558 22nd. We could also Miss Bennett for your for your client if necessary. If it's just the

1559 land manager's not available, we can come back on the 28th and get.
1560 The testimony from your land man at the 28th as well.
1561 Yeah, I'm checking.
1562 And if we're gonna be doing, like, all of these, there's no way they're gonna be done
1563 on one day. Of course.
1564 But yeah, apologies, I'm just getting this information.
1565 The land man who is doing all the negotiations and deals and everything and
1566 interfacing with Koterra's wife, has a trial.
1567 And he cannot travel to Santa Fe or be available as he has to take.
1568 Care of his child.
1569 We know how trial can be alright. Well, OK.
1570 So we're we're gonna wait and hear from Miss Bennett to see what her client can do
1571 while we wait.
1572 I thought we could use this time effectively to to continue our discussions from
1573 December where I asked Council.
1574 What challenges they were having?
1575 With the new systems that we put in place, so the first, the first issue is let's talk
1576 about amendment cases.
1577 Now I'm still seeing amendment cases on our dockets.
1578 We have a bunch right, Freya, for February.
1579 I believe so.
1580 I think we also receive some for the March docket as well. So it sounds like they're
1581 still being filed. The amendment cases and if there's a reason to file them, that's fine.
1582 But I'm wondering why are we still seeing this many amendment cases?
1583 Is coming to hearing Miss McLean? Well, I just.
1584 I'm about to for the first time, submit a letter requesting an extension instead of
1585 coming to hearing.
1586 We just got, I think I emailed everyone responses that we got from John Garcia like
1587 over Christmas break maybe.
1588 So this would be, you know, the first kind of round of applications where we had
1589 received that guidance.
1590 And we're planning to, you know, file the administrative way that he advised us.
1591 OK.
1592 So then you don't have.
1593 You're not having any problems necessarily with. Now the new understanding that

1594 you have, you're fine.

1595 I I'm going to see.

1596 It's TBD at this point.

1597 I am planning on utilizing that mechanism that Mister Garcia set out in his

1598 clarifications to us. But you know, at this point it's very new in the process.

1599 I'm I don't expect there will be any issues.

1600 With it.

1601 But we're giving it a go for with what I'm asking is because we're still seeing

1602 amendment cases. And I wondered why.

1603 So basically what you're saying to me is, well, we're just starting to implement the

1604 new process now, yes. And then it also doesn't resolve amendment cases if we need

1605 to add additional parties.

1606 Or pool additional interest owners.

1607 So you're still gonna see some amendment cases if we need to come back and do

1608 that, this should just resolve the.

1609 Your extensions and then and then and I knew.

1610 And I wasn't really thinking that it we we would stop seeing MMA cases all together

1611 because of what you just said.

1612 But then there's that new language in the orders. Those new paragraphs in the

1613 orders that we just saw last month. I I saw for the first time last month.

1614 Does that change anything for you?

1615 And not that I'm aware of.

1616 Yeah, miss Bennett.

1617 Thank you.

1618 In terms of the reason why you might still see some extension cases is because the

1619 orders were issued before the new language was added to the current orders.

1620 So I'm not entirely sure when that new language was added, but there could be

1621 some orders that predate the. In fact one of the extension cases I filed for the

1622 December docket.

1623 Or this January 8th stock. It did not have that language in it.

1624 But are you saying that you need?

1625 Are you saying that if if you don't have that new language in there that the divisions

1626 direction?

1627 Would somehow not suffice.

1628 Well, the prior form of order said that you had to under the prior form of order. The

1629 practice was to apply for an extension and to show good 'cause and that.
1630 So it's an, it's a.
1631 Between the prior form of order and the new form of order, and so if the division
1632 desires to have only even old forms of order submitted to the new process, that can
1633 be done.
1634 But I would say it's inconsistent with the form of order.
1635 But you could.
1636 But I mean good 'cause is usually in the land man's self affirm statement, which is
1637 evidence. I mean it would seem to me that if you don't have any protests on your on
1638 your extension of time.
1639 Even with the old language.
1640 You could still show good 'cause through that.
1641 I'll call him an affidavit.
1642 I know it's not but.
1643 Right. You could. OK you could.
1644 It's possible, Miss Vance.
1645 I'll get to you in just a moment.
1646 I'm just going around the room. Miss hatley.
1647 Any issues with the? You don't do extensions, do you?
1648 You never do extensions, do you?
1649 We do, but we do.
1650 We haven't filed any recently and I don't know if we have any coming up, so I defer
1651 to other Council's opinions on this matter.
1652 But you knew that there was a change, right?
1653 Yes, you knew there was a change.
1654 You have any issues with the change? No Sir.
1655 Alright, good machine.
1656 OK.
1657 Thank you.
1658 I haven't filed a an application for an extension in quite some time and don't
1659 anticipate any in the in the interim, but I'm paying attention and will take it all into
1660 consideration when I do.
1661 Thank you, machine M's penny.
1662 All right.

1663 So you, in other words, you're fine.
1664 M's Vance, any issues on amendments?

1665

1666 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:26:28

1667 I I'm sorry.
1668 I was on the phone so I missed some of the conversation, so I hopefully I'm not
1669 repeating.
1670 We're getting ready to do a administrative filing for a request for extension.

1671

1672 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:26:38

1673 Good.
1674 Great.

1675

1676 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:26:41

1677 We're gonna test it out, so we'll see how that goes.

1678

1679 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:26:44

1680 OK.
1681 OK.

1682

1683 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:26:46

1684 I don't know if anyone else has, but we we are working on that.

1685

1686 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:26:51

1687 All right. OK.

1688

1689 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:26:53

1690 Yeah.
1691 We'll see how it goes.

1692

1693 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:26:54

1694 Now I'm going to ask about the additional information that's being entered for a
1695 request for a hearing.
1696 So Miss McLean, I know in the past you've had issues with entering information.
1697 We changed our system to not require a pool code, although I think there's

1698 discussion about that.

1699 Somehow coming back? No, really.

1700 I there's discussion.

1701 But it's not now.

1702 So are you having any other issues entering applications for request for a hearing

1703 based on the new guidance?

1704 Yeah, it's just.

1705 I knew it would be.

1706 I think, though, that the full yeah situation.

1707 Yeah, that continues to be a overwhelming problem in so many ways.

1708 Just this week we were told.

1709 We had to go back to a hearing because the division during the hearing told us that

1710 it was a gasp well.

1711 So then we went back to hearing.

1712 We said we're going to file an NSP or, sorry, an NSL for those wells we filed the NSP

1713 and now we're being told we it's not a gas pool and we really need an NSP.

1714 So the requirement that we put.

1715 In a pool or that cases are being pushed out because of the wrong pool.

1716 Just really is creating so much burden on the client.

1717 We're spending thousands of dollars.

1718 I mean, and of course, these are huge companies, but still it's, you know, thousands

1719 of dollars.

1720 What's your solution?

1721 The division needs to just provide the pool with the order themselves.

1722 That is the only solution I can see in this.

1723 Is that when they're reviewing the?

1724 The applications.

1725 And they they make the determinate. The final determination on it. We don't need to

1726 put it in the.

1727 Checklist and if they do decide that it is a gas pool and we've proceeded as it's, you

1728 know, an oil pool, then they just tell us, go administratively, apply for these things

1729 rather than making us come back to a hearing because it's really creating.

1730 And it's almost unworkable in some situations.

1731 That's why I'm asking you that, and I think you know, that could be really helpful for

1732 everyone and you're not reviewing exhibits then you know it's just. And what about

1733 what, Mr. what, miss?

1734 And he's not with us today.

1735 But what about what Mr. McClure said last time, which is send an e-mail to get the

1736 pool code from.

1737 Oh, you do? We've done that.

1738 Now you do that, yes.

1739 And that doesn't work because then it changes somehow between them and the

1740 hearing. OK.

1741 I mean, I think it happened in one case, but are you saying it's been in many cases,

1742 many instances we've been 'cause it's not.

1743 It seems it's not just Mr. McClure who is giving the pools at this point.

1744 There's a lot of people weighing in on this and that's making it more difficult, OK?

1745 This is good because we have a weekly meeting now between the hearing Bureau

1746 and the Technical Bureau.

1747 And this is a main this is one of the this is a main issue and so we have to find a way

1748 that this doesn't keep happening to the applicants. Because you're right, it's a waste

1749 of time and money for everyone or like making them publicly available online.

1750 That could be really like this.

1751 There must be a reason why that's not being done right.

1752 I've no idea why it's not like no one has given us an answer.

1753 I'm it's my understanding people have read them.

1754 And then that was looked down upon.

1755 So no one's doing that anymore. But you know they have well information already

1756 publicly available.

1757 This doesn't seem proprietary in any way. I don't.

1758 I mean, just having it on the website.

1759 Would make things easier and these guys that are looking it up know how to use all

1760 that stuff.

1761 I I would never be able to go and search, but someone could that knows how to use

1762 that system.

1763 But that you know, that could be a very easy solution.

1764 Just make it available so of the so So what I hear from you is it's time consuming to

1765 enter information.

1766 I don't think that can be helped, but the pool code thing is like a flaw that we we

1767 should try to fix here at the.

1768 Vision level.

1769 Thank you, miss Bennett.

1770 Thanks. Yeah, I definitely agree with Miss McLean on the making. The pool codes

1771 publicly available.

1772 It is.

1773 It is very frustrating to think you have the right pool code and then find out that you

1774 don't have the right pool code.

1775 I.

1776 I disagree a little bit with the idea that it would be the division applying it at the

1777 order phase because we do need to know whether it's an oil pool or a gas pool.

1778 As we're preparing the application, but I appreciate her suggestion that if it turned

1779 out to be the wrong type of pool, we could fix that administratively instead of

1780 coming back to hearing.

1781 That would really relieve the burden on the division and the applicant.

1782 To, you know, have multiple hearing packets coming back to the division for just

1783 what is a cleanup that is completely outside of the applicant's control.

1784 I'm not saying it's always outside of their control. I will say that there have been times

1785 when.

1786 You know, we don't know what the pool code is, and so we look at a well that's in an

1787 adjoining unit and say, OK, that's got to be the pool code.

1788 And then it turns out it's not.

1789 So it's, you know, it isn't always that the applicants double check with engineering or

1790 with with Mr. McClure.

1791 There's some information that's publicly available that we use to infer what the pool

1792 code is, and that also has seemed to me to be workable most of the time, because it

1793 does relieve a burden from constantly.

1794 Departing the division with questions about the pool and pool code, but if it was

1795 publicly available, we wouldn't even have to do that. And in terms of the filing, I filed

1796 a few applications and I'm not. I mean, I feel like that it's workable.

1797 Workable. Thank you.

1798 Thanks for asking. Yeah, definitely.

1799 Yeah, M's hatley.

1800 Machine. Thank you.

1801 I think it's workable.

1802 I do have. Well, I understand that we're not going to get penalized if we put

1803 something in there incorrectly and I really appreciate that.

1804 I do have one question about the locations, because I believe there's two entries that

1805 ask about location.

1806 The first one requires a unit letter and for that one we've been putting in the surface

1807 hole location.

1808 The other location does not require.

1809 Oh, I'm sorry.

1810 I'm sorry. Surface hole location.

1811 So for that first request for location information, we use the surface hole location

1812 because it asks for the unit letter.

1813 What do you mean by unit letter?

1814 Well, if you go on the GIS website.

1815 If you go on the GIS website, you'll see.

1816 You know, there's the townships, ranges, sections and then you can see that they've

1817 divided each section.

1818 Into letters. Letters. OK.

1819 I've 16. Maybe. OK. Oh, forty acre for 40 acre, 40 acre tract.

1820 That's right, letters. I see.

1821 OK.

1822 Maybe it's $6 * 424$?

1823 No, no, it's $4 * 4 * 4$.

1824 My math is not great.

1825 Yeah. So it says. Letter unit A, unit B location hold surface hold location is one letter.

1826 OK.

1827 Yes. And so then when it asks for a location and another entry, and I apologize, I

1828 can't tell you which entry it is because my assistant does it.

1829 We've been putting the location of the spacing unit OK as best we can, or at least

1830 part of the spacing unit.

1831 So I guess it would be helpful to have clarification as to what location the

1832 information they want for each of the entries.

1833 Oh, for both of them.

1834 Well, OK, we can assume that.

1835 The first one I guess is the surface all, but is that what they want for the second one?

1836 'Cause it's redundant if it is.

1837 That's why I'm asking the question. I need to know what the issues are.

1838 Alright, I'll, I'll take that.
1839 Back to the technical team.
1840 But does anyone in here know the answer for machine? No. It's a mystery to
1841 everyone.
1842 Yeah. We just use whatever location is the the one in front of us.
1843 Yeah, because it is duplicative.
1844 They're, you know, when I do it and my assistant does it, we end up putting the same
1845 information in each of those fields. I don't think we use the surface hole location for
1846 the first one. We use the location. We probably use the first take point.
1847 The so I would say it's inconsistent.
1848 I do.
1849 What I've done is because.
1850 Sometimes once you put in a lot. So I'll just like choose whatever like fits because
1851 there's no other option, so.
1852 This is interesting that this has been a mystery this long, and I'm just hearing about it
1853 now.
1854 I'm glad I asked Miss bounce.
1855

1856 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:36:16

1857 Yeah, I echo everything that's been said.
1858 I do agree with Miss Bennett on the, you know, getting the pool information up front
1859 so that we know exactly what our building blocks are. It would be nice if the pool
1860 information was publicly available, but my understanding is that sometimes the
1861 pools get reassigned or they change.
1862 And that's why.

1863

1864 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:36:39

1865 Exactly.

1866

1867 **PV** **Paula M. Vance** 1:36:40

1868 That information is not public.

1869 So.

1870

1871 **PH** **Pecos Hall** 1:36:44

1872 That's right.

1873

1874  **Paula M. Vance** 1:36:45

1875 Yeah, I do.

1876 Appreciate if we can keep that, including the pool as a part of the filing process. And
1877 then also it looks like you know we no longer have to include the facility information
1878 which you know that obviously a lot of times we don't know what that is. So yeah.
1879 That's we've we've been putting for you in terms of what we've been putting for the
1880 location.

1881 We just put the surface hole location. That's all we've been using.

1882

1883  **Pecos Hall** 1:37:13

1884 Oh, you do? OK.

1885

1886  **Paula M. Vance** 1:37:14

1887 Yeah.

1888

1889  **Pecos Hall** 1:37:15

1890 All right, Miss Pena, anything.

1891 Nothing from you, miss Pena, OK.

1892 And then there was a third.

1893 There was a third notice and I have forgotten what that was.

1894 Can anyone tell me what the third notice was?

1895 Yes, Mr. Examiner, that was the new notice exhibit that includes the committed
1896 versus uncommitted and how committed.

1897 So I'll start with you, Miss Bennett.

1898 How's that going?

1899 Fine, fine.

1900 OK, Miss Miss McLean, I know you had you had a problem with it last time. I think
1901 we're trying to figure out like a different way to do it.

1902 Oh, OK. And we're hoping. Well, we're gonna try this next. Go round to just add that
1903 information onto one of the already existing exhibits from the land man instead of
1904 like created a whole new one in the hopes that that will.

1905 Take care of that.

1906 We'll find out, yes.

1907 Miss Hatley, no machine.

1908 Nothing for me.

1909 There, we've been successful so far in that front.

1910 Thank you, miss Penion.

1911 Got it, Miss Vance, about the new exhibit with the you know whether it's voluntary or

1912 involuntary or?

1913

1914  **Paula M. Vance** 1:38:24

1915 Yeah, I I I.

1916 We have just worked with our clients to ask them to include the type type of

1917 commitment, whether they're voluntary, involuntary, etc.

1918

1919  **Pecos Hall** 1:38:32

1920 OK.

1921

1922  **Paula M. Vance** 1:38:36

1923 And then I did confirm with Mr. McClure. It's my understanding we don't have to

1924 because he understands that we're the ones doing the notice. We don't have to

1925 include that additional information.

1926

1927  **Pecos Hall** 1:38:45

1928 Right.

1929

1930  **Paula M. Vance** 1:38:48

1931 So just the two columns with the type of commitment, whether they are committed

1932 or not committed.

1933

1934  **Pecos Hall** 1:38:49

1935 Good.

1936 Perfect.

1937

1938  **Paula M. Vance** 1:38:55

1939 We're we're fine with that.

1940 We're working with it.

1941 So no issue.

1942

1943 PH **Pecos Hall** 1:38:57

1944 Good, good.

1945 Yeah. Thanks for sharing, Miss Vance. OK, now, I saw some stuff going.

1946 I saw some stuff going back and forth, so we're gonna go back on the record and
1947 let's talk about.

1948 Cases 12 through 14.

1949 Which are miss Mclean's Permian resources cases that are gonna compete with Miss

1950 Bennett's kotera cases. We had a pre hearing order that was gonna be for the 28th.

1951 Then it's.

1952 Then Miss McLean asked for the 21st where are we now?

1953 Now we are at a status conference in February and a early May hearing date.

1954 I can deal with that.

1955 What are the? What is the May?

1956 Do we know that Fred?

1957 Do we have any early May dates?

1958 What I have right now would be May 12th May 12th. I think that could be that works,
1959 yes.

1960 Alright, Miss Bennett, I think that'll work.

1961 The reason why we weren't able to.

1962 OK, OK, great. And normally I wouldn't set it out that far, but I just really wanna get
1963 this set.

1964 Thank you. At this point wanna get it set?

1965 So we will issue a pre hearing order for May 12 you will.

1966 You will file your continuances for the February 20.

1967 He's 6 docket.

1968 Miss Bennett, will you have case numbers by that point?

1969 I'm not going to be filing a competing application.

1970 This is the one. Yes. Yes, yes, yes, yes. OK, got it.

1971 And then, Mr. Examiner, we could recall the thank you the avant cases with the
1972 premium cases. Yeah, that's numbers 15 all the way to 31. I won't give.

1973 I won't go into numbers right now.

1974 Thank you.

1975 I've coordinated with avant and they are available on April 21st. Well, thank you.

1976 April 21st and Miss McLain, that works for you.

1977 That works for us too. Sounds great.
1978 And then I also have one more.
1979 For it is.
1980 Let me find it here.
1981 I think the Fe Permian 25815. Let me find it.
1982 Hold on without a line number. I have to look through a. OK. That was number 11.
1983 Yes, in April 21st does work for a Permian resources excellent. And and that Miss
1984 Shaheen, did you say that that works for you too?
1985 Yes, I did.
1986 Oh, wonderful.
1987 All right, we'll issue a pre hearing order for April 21st. Then we won't wait for your
1988 January 29 emails.
1989 Thank you. That's sufficient.
1990 All right. Is there anything else?
1991 Nothing. Nothing.
1992 Thank you. Do you want more stuff?
1993 We're off the record. Thank you.
1994
1995  **Pecos Hall** stopped transcription