

deferral request DEVON ENERGY COMPANY

Created for submission to New Mexico Oil Conservation Division on 03/08/2022

ASHLEY GIOVENGO Environmental Manager - Permian

ENERGIZING AMERICA

March 08, 2022

Bradford Billings, Robert Hamlet, Jennifer Nobui, Nelson Velez and/or Chad Hensley

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 811 South First Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210

RE: DEFERRAL REQUEST

| COMPANY | Devon Energy |
|-------------|------------------------------------|
| LOCATION | North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H |
| ΑΡΙ | 30-015-40006 |
| PLSS | Unit A Sec 35 T25S R29E |
| GPS | 32.0926247, -103.9486084 |
| INCIDENT ID | nAPP2134850486 |

BACKGROUND

Wescom, Inc., hereafter referred to as Wescom, has prepared this Deferral Request on behalf of Devon Energy Company, hereafter referred to as Devon, regarding the release at the North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H (Site) located in Unit A, Section 35, Township 25 South and Range 29 East in Eddy County, New Mexico. The GPS coordinates are as follows: North 32.0926247 and West -103.9486084. Surface owner of the Site is Bureau of Land Management. The Site falls within New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD), District 2 Artesia.

On December 11, 2021, a water line from that runs from the produced water tanks to the transfer pump developed a leak which caused the release of approximately 20 barrels (bbls) to lined secondary containment. A total of 20 bbls of produced water was recovered from the containment. On January 16, 2022, Wescom inspected the lined secondary containment, and found eleven potential points of release. Wescom personnel returned to the Site on January 28, January 31, and March 04, 2022 to conduct delineation sampling.

SURFACE & GROUND WATER

The New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (OSE) records indicates the nearest depth to groundwater measurement is greater than 105 feet below ground surface (bgs) and is 0.89 miles Southwest of the Site.



Energizing America wescominc.com | info@wescominc.com | 218-724-1322 Additional wells in the area support the data in the nearest water measurement. No playas or lakes are located within a one-mile radius of this Site (Attachment C).

KARST POTENTIAL

According to data from the Bureau of Land Management, this Site is located within low karst potential as shown in Attachment D. There are no indicators of karst around the Site surface.

TARGET REMEDIAL LEVELS

The target cleanup levels are determined using the NMOCD Closure Criteria (19.15.29.12.B(4) and Table 1 NMAC, inserted below) including karst guidelines from the Bureau of Land Management. The applicable Recommended Remediation Action Levels (RRALs) are 10 parts per million (ppm) Benzene, 50 ppm combined benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylene (BTEX) and 100 ppm Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH). Characterization of the vertical and horizontal extent of chloride concentration in the soil to a level of 600 mg/kg (ppm) is also required.

| Closure Crite | ria (19.15.: | 29.12.B(4) and Tab | le 1 NMA | C) | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|------|---------|
| North Brushy Dr | aw Federal | 35 #002H — 32.09262 | 5, -103.948 | 508 | | |
| Depth to Groundwater | | Clo | osure Criteria | (unites in mg | /kg) | |
| | | Chloride * numberical | | | | |
| | | limit or background, | | | | |
| | _ | whichever is greater | TPH | GRO+DRO | BTEX | Benzene |
| Based on high karst potential | | 600 | 100 | | 50 | 10 |
| less than 50 ft bgs | | 600 | 100 | | 50 | 10 |
| 51 ft to 100 ft bgs | | 10000 | 2500 | 1000 | 50 | 10 |
| greater than 100 ft bgs | >105 | 20000 | 2500 | 1000 | 50 | 10 |
| Surface Water | Yes or No | | lf ye | s, then | | |
| < 300 feet from continuously flowing watercourse or other | No | | | | | |
| significant watercourse? | NO | | | | | |
| < 200 feet from lakebed, sinkhole or playa lake | No | | | | | |
| Water Well or Water Source | | | | | | |
| < 500 feet from spring or a private, domestic fresh water | | | | | | |
| well used by less than 5 households for domestic or stock | No | | | | | |
| watering purposes? | | | | | | |
| < 1000 feet from fresh water well or spring? | No | | | | | |
| Human and Other Areas | | | | | | |
| < 300 feet from an occupied permanent residence, school, | Nia | | | | | |
| hospital, institution or church? | No | | | | | |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a | Nia | | | | | |
| defined municipal fresh water well field? | No | | | | | |
| < 100 feet from wetland? | No | | | | | |
| Within area overlying a subsurface mine? | No | | | | | |
| Within an unstable area? | No | | | | | |
| Within a 100-year floodplan? | Yes | 600 | 100 | | 50 | 10 |

Table: Closure Criteria Statistics



Energizing America wescominc.com | info@wescominc.com | 218-724-1322

SITE ASSESSMENT AND DELINEATION

Wescom personnel conducted a liner inspection on January 16, 2022, and eleven potential release points were identified within containment; three holes were found in the Southeast corner, two holes were found to the West of the produced water tank, one hole was found to the West of the stairs on the East side of the containment, one hole was found to the East of the separator, the liner had rotted and separated in the Northwest corner, one hole was found on the West side of the separator and one hole was found on the South side of the separator. Photo documentation of potential liner compromise are shown in Attachment B. The results of the inspection determined that delineation activities would be required.

Wescom personnel conducted horizontal and vertical delineation sampling on January 28, January 31, and March 04, 2022. All sample laboratory data analysis results are presented in Table 1 and samples locations are shown on Figure 1. Samples collected from outside the containment wall were all below the applicable RRAL for the Site. Sample CONF05 was collected from the three holes in the Southeast corner and sample CONF06 was collected from the West side of the produced water tank. Sample CONF07 was collected from the West side of the stairs on the East side of containment. Sample CONF08 was collected from the hole on the East side of the separator. Sample CONF09 was collected from the separator. The CONF11 sample was collected from the hole on the South side of the separator.

Laboratory analysis results for samples CONF06, CONF08, CONF10 and CONF 11 were below the Site RRALs at two-feet bgs, CONF05 results were below RRALs at five-feet bgs. Results for CONF09 were below the RRALs for the Site at three-foot bgs. Laboratory analysis results for CONF07 exceeded the RRALs for the Site at six feet bgs which required additional delineation sampling. Results for CONF07 were below the RRAL for the Site at ten-foot bgs. Liner holes were patched by Rose Gold Oil Field Services immediately following sampling as was the separated liner in the Northwest corner of the containment.

A background sampled BG01, was collected 50 ft to the South of the Site. Confirmation composite samples were obtained from the Site on January 28, 31, and on March 04, 2022. All soil samples were properly packaged, preserved, and transported to Envirotech Inc. by chain of custody, and analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons, or TPH—Method 8015M/D, BTEX—Method 8021B, and Chlorides—Method 300.0.

The required 48-hour liner inspection and confirmation sampling notifications were sent on January 10, January 26, January 31, and March 02, 2022, to Victoria Venegas, Robert Hamlet, Bradford Billings, Jennifer Nobui, Chad Hensley, and Mike Bratcher with the NMOCD in Santa Fe, New Mexico (see Attachments F and G).



REQUEST FOR DEFERRAL

On behalf of Devon, Wescom requests the deferral of approximately 600 cubic yards of contaminated soil until the North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H well is plugged and abandoned and reclamation activities commence based on the logic below. Area requested for deferral is shown in Figure 1.

- All confirmation areas meet the RRALs, except CONF05, CONF06, CONF07, CONF08, CONF09, CONF10 and CONF11 (see Table 1 and Figure 1) which are located within the area of requested deferral.
- Due to the location of the contaminated soil, it is not practical to remove for remediation. Production equipment inside the containment is currently active and would require shutting in the well for an extended period in order to remove substrate beneath the containment.
- The existing containment is intact as gaps in the liner have been patched by Rose Gold Oil Field Services. The liner will act as a barrier for potential releases inside the secondary containment.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call Mrs. Ashley Giovengo at (505) 382 - 1211.

Sincerely,

Wescom, Inc.

Ashley Giovengo

Environmental Manager-Permian

cc: Jim Raley, Devon Energy

Bradford Billings, NMOCD

Robert Hamlet, NMOCD

Chad Hensley, NMOCD

Jennifer Nobui, NMOCD

Nelson Velez, NMOCD



REFERENCE MATERIALS

FIGURES

FIGURE 1. Confirmation Samples

TABLES

TABLE 1. Laboratory Analysis Results: Confirmation Samples

ATTACHMENTS

| C-141 |
|---|
| Site Photos |
| Closure Criteria Supporting Documents |
| Karst Map |
| Envirotech Inc. Laboratory Analysis Reports |
| 48-hour Liner Inspection Notification Email |
| 48-hour Confirmation Sampling Notification Emails |
| |



FIGURE 1

Confirmation Samples



Received by OCD: 3/10/2022 2:45:17 PM



TABLE 1

Laboratory Analysis Results: Confirmation Samples



| North | Brushy | Draw Fee | leral 35 | #002H I | nAPP21348 | 50486 |
|---|----------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | Devon | Energy | 03.08.2022 | | |
| | Table 1. | Confirmat | ion Labo | ratory Analy | sis Results | |
| Sar | mple Descri | ption | Pet | troleum Hydro | carbons | Inorganic |
| | | | V | ′olatile | Extractable | |
| | | | Benzene | Total BTEX | TPH | Chloride |
| Sample ID | Depth (ft.) | Date | (mk/kg) | (mk/kg) | (mk/kg) | (mk/kg) |
| Closure Cri | teria | | 10 | 50 | 100 | 600 |
| BG01 | 0 | 1/31/2022 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| BG01 | 1 | 1/31/2022 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| CONF01C | 0 | 1/31/2022 | ND | ND | 30.2 | 382 |
| CONF02 | 0 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 363 |
| CONF03E | 0 | 1/31/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 489 |
| CONF04B | 0 | 1/31/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 20.3 |
| CONF05 | 5 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 402 |
| CONF06 | 2 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | 36.2 | 75.6 |
| CONF07 | 6 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 1020 |
| CONF07 | 10 | 3/4/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 330 |
| CONF08 | 2 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 30.9 |
| CONF09 | 3 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 248 |
| CONF09 | 4 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 184 |
| CONF10 | 2 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 195 |
| CONF11 | 2 | 1/28/2022 | ND | ND | ND | 107 |
| ABBREVIATIONS | | | | | | |
| BTEX — Benzene, Toluene, Ethylene, Xylene GRO — Gasoline Range Organics | | | | | | |
| DRO — Diesel Range Organics ND — Non-detect | | | | | | |
| ft. — Feet mg/kg — Milligrams per Kilogram | | | | | | |
| TPH — Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| Bold Red - Results are above closure criteria | | | | | | |
| Gray Highlight | - Background S | amples | | | | |



ATTACHMENT A

C-141



District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

| Incident ID | nAPP2134850486 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | |
| Application ID | |

Release Notification

Responsible Party

| Responsible Party: WPX Energy Permian, LLC | OGRID: 246289 |
|--|---|
| Contact Name: Jim Raley | Contact Telephone: 575-689-7597 |
| Contact email: jim.raley@dvn.com | Incident # (assigned by OCD) nAPP2134850486 |
| Contact mailing address: 5315 Buena Vista Dr., Carlsbad NM 88220 | |

Location of Release Source

Latitude 32.0926247_

Longitude -103.9486084 (NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

| Site Name: NORTH BRUSHY DRAW FEDERAL 35 #002H | Site Type: Oil Production Site |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Date Release Discovered: December 11th, 2021 | API# (if applicable) 30-015-40006 |

| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | County |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| А | 35 | 258 | 29E | Eddy |

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)

| Crude Oil | Volume Released (bbls) 0 | Volume Recovered (bbls) 0 |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Produced Water | Volume Released (bbls) 20 | Volume Recovered (bbls) 20 |
| | Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l? | Yes No |
| Condensate | Volume Released (bbls) | Volume Recovered (bbls) |
| Natural Gas | Volume Released (Mcf) | Volume Recovered (Mcf) |
| Other (describe) | Volume/Weight Released (provide units) | Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units) |
| Cause of Release: Wate | r line from tanks to transfer pump developed leak, allow | ing for release of approx. 20 bbls to lined secondary |

Cause of Release: Water line from tanks to transfer pump developed leak, allowing for release of approx. 20 bbls to lined secondary containment. Fluids recovered with Vac Truck.

Spill Volume = Recovered Volume

Page 2

nAPP2134850486

| | Application ID |
|--|--|
| | Application in |
| Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC? □ Yes ⊠ No | If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release? |
| If YES, was immediate n | otice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc) |
| | |

Incident ID

District RP

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 \square The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

| Printed Name:James Raley | Title: Environmental Specialist |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Signature: | Date:12/14/2021 |
| email:jim.raley@dvn.com | Telephone:575-689-7597 |
| | |
| OCD Only | |
| Received by: | Date: |

Received by OCD: 3/10/2022 2:45:17 PM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Oil Conservation Division

| | Page 14 of 9 |
|----------------|----------------|
| Incident ID | nAPP2134850486 |
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | |
| Application ID | |

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

| What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release? | <u>>105 (</u> ft bgs) | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Did this release impact groundwater or surface water? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse? | | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No | | | | | |

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- Field data

Page 3

- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- \square Depth to water determination
- Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ¹/₂-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

| Received by OCD: 3/10/2022 | 2:45:17 PM State of New Mexico | | Page 15 of 90 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | Incident ID | nAPP2134850486 |
| Page 4 | Oil Conservation Division | | District RP | |
| | | | Facility ID | |
| | | | Application ID | |
| regulations all operators are req public health or the environment failed to adequately investigate | E | ations and perform co D does not relieve the to groundwater, surfa | prrective actions for rele e operator of liability sho ce water, human health liance with any other feo <u>1 Specialist</u> | ases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In |
| OCD Only | | | | |
| Received by: | | Date: | | |
| | | | | |

Received by OCD: 3/10/2022 2:45:17 PM State of New Mexico

Oil Conservation Division

| Incident ID | nAPP2134850486 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | |
| Application ID | |

Remediation Plan

<u>Remediation Plan Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.

Detailed description of proposed remediation technique

Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points

Estimated volume of material to be remediated

Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC

Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required)

<u>Deferral Requests Only</u>: *Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.* ⊠ Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.

Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.

Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or groundwater.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

| Printed Name: Jim Raley | Title: Environmental Specialist | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Signature: | Date: <u>3/10/2022</u> | | | | |
| email: jim.raley@dvn.com | Telephone: <u>575-689-7597</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| OCD Only | | | | | |
| Received by: | Date: | | | | |
| Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of A | Approval Denied Deferral Approved | | | | |
| Signature: Jennifer Nobui | Date: 03/22/2022 | | | | |

Page 5

ATTACHMENT B

Site Photos







3 Holes in Liner - Southeast Corner



3 Holes in Liner - Nothwest Corner

North Brushy Draw 35 Fed 2H Incident ID: nAPP2134850486





2 Holes in Liner - Northeast Corner



1 Hole in Liner - Northeast Corner

North Brushy Draw 35 Fed 2H Incident ID: nAPP2134850486





1 Hole in Liner - South of Separator



Liner Rotting in Northwest Corner





Southeast Corner - Delineation (CONF05)



Northeast Stairs - Delineation (CONF06 and CONF07)





South of Separator - Delineation (CONF11)



Northwest Corner - Delineation (CONF08, CONF09 and CONF10)





Delineation Sampling (Outside Containment)



Liner Repair





Liner Repair



Delineation Sampling (CONF07)





Delineation Sampling (CONF07)



Delineation Sampling (CONF07)





Delineation Sampling (CONF07)

ATTACHMENT C

Closure Criteria Supporting Documents





Site Activities

Earth Systems Response and Restoration (ESRR) field activities were conducted December 8th through the 10th in Eddy county, New Mexico. ESRR oversaw the advancement of one soil boring at the eight abovementioned locations to an approximate depth of 105 feet (ft.) below grade surface utilizing an air-rotary drilling rig operated by a State of New Mexico licensed driller. Additionally, HRL Compliance Solutions (HRL) conducted on-site soil logging activities during the advancement of the soil borings. Please see the detailed lithologic descriptions attached.

Upon completion of the soil borings, a PVC casing fitted with 5 ft. of machine-slotted well screen at the bottom was inserted into each soil boring. The PVC casing was left in place for a minimum of 72 hours prior to being gauged by HRL Consulting on December 12th with a water level meter to determine the presence or absence of groundwater. Subsequent to gauging activities, each soil boring had the PVC casing removed and was then backfilled with its associated native soil cuttings to grade surface.

Conclusions

Groundwater was not detected in any of the eight soil borings as determined by utilizing a water level meter after 72 hours of development. It can be reasonably determined groundwater is deeper than 105 ft. bgs in the vicinity of the advanced soil borings.

Respectfully,

K. Williams

Kris Williams, CHMM, REM Operations Manager

Attached: Drilling Locations Maps Soil Boring Logs

| HRL COMPLIANCE SOLUTIONS | | | | | | | Boring/Wel | ll Number: M 12/8 | Location: North Brushy Fede Client: WPX End | North Brushy Federal 35 # 010H | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--------|
| Drilling Me | ethod: Air Rotar | | | | Logged By: J. Linn, PG | | | Drilled By: Talon LPE | | | | |
| Gravel Pacl | | у | Gravel Pac | k Depth Inte | one erval: | | Seal Type: | J. LII | Seal Depth Interval: | Latitude: | ГĽ | |
| | 0/20 Sar | | | | lags | | | lone | None | 32.0799 | 09 | |
| Casing Typ PVC | be: | Diameter: 2-inch | | Depth Inter 0-100 fe | | | Boring Tota | al Depth (ft. BC | 38): 05 | Longitude: -103.951 | 386 | |
| Screen Typ | e: | Slot: | | Diameter: | | Interval: | | | Depth to Water (ft. BTOC): | DTW Date: | | |
| PVC | 1 | 0.010-ii | nch | 2-inch | 100 - | 105 ft | | 10 | 05 | > 105 | 12/1 | 6/2020 |
| Depth Interval (ft) | Recovery (ft) | Plasticity | Moisture | Odor | Staining | PID (ppm) | NSCS | Sample ID | Lithology/Remarks | | Well Completion | |
| 0 5 10 15 | NM | L | D | N | N | NM | CE | NS | Buff to pale pink caliche | | + | |
| 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 | NM | L | D | Ν | Ν | NM | SM | NS | Tan to pale | red silty sand | - - - - | |
| 55 60 | NM | М | М | N | N | NM | ML | NS | Tan to pale red sandy silt with minor medium sand | | Ī | |
| 65 | NM | Н | М | N | Ν | NM | CL | NS | Tan clay with minor gravel | | [| |
| 70 75 80 | NM | L | D | N | N | NM | SP | NS | | raded fine sand with or silt | - | |
| 85 | NM | Н | D/SLM | N | N | NM | CL | NS | | n clay with minor minor angular gravel | Ī | |
| 90 95 100 | NM | M/H | М | N | N | NM | CL | NS | with minor mediu | nge sandy lean clay im sand and angular Boring: 105' | - | |

•

NBD Federal 35-2H

Distance to Nearest Depth to Water = 0.89 miles

Legend

North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H

- Distance = 0.89 miles
- North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H
- North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #010H DTW = >105 ft

Page 30 of 90

North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #010H - DTW = >105 ft

AFTRAL

 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

National Wetlands Inventory

NBD Federal 35-2H - Riverine 121ft



Riverine

Freshwater Pond

Released to Imaging: 3/22/2022 3:13:17 PM

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper

Page 31 of 90

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

National Wetlands Inventory

NBD Federal 35-2H - FW Pond 607ft



Riverine

Freshwater Pond

Released to Imaging: 3/22/2022 3:13:17 PM

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**

National Wetlands Inventory

NBD Federal 35-2H - Wetland 9,181ft

Page 33 of 90



Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

 - Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Pond

Lake Other Riverine Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Released to Imaging: 3/22/2022 3:13:17 PM

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper



Received by OCD: 3(10/2022 2:45:17,PM National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette

103°57'14"W 32°5'49"N



Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

Page 35 of 90



Releas2a90 Imaging: 3/22/2022 3993:17 PM 1,500

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

Active Mines Near NBD Federal 35-2H


ATTACHMENT D

Karst Map





ATTACHMENT E

Envirotech Inc. Laboratory Analysis Reports







5796 U.S. Hwy 64 Farmington, NM 87401

Phone: (505) 632-1881 Envirotech-inc.com





envirotech

Practical Solutions for a Better Tomorrow

Analytical Report

Devon Energy - Carlsbad

Project Name:

North Brushy Draw Fed 35 #002H

Work Order: E202004

Job Number: 01058-0007

Received: 2/2/2022

Revision: 1

Report Reviewed By:

Walter Hinchman Laboratory Director 2/8/22

Envirotech Inc. certifies the test results meet all requirements of TNI unless noted otherwise. Statement of Data Authenticity: Envirotech Inc, attests the data reported has not been altered in any way. Partial or incomplete reproduction of this report is prohibited, unless approved by Envirotech Inc. Envirotech Inc, holds the Utah TNI certification NM00979 for data reported. Envirotech Inc, holds the Texas TNI certification T104704557 for data reported. Envirotech Inc, holds the NM SDWA certification for data reported. (Lab #NM00979) Date Reported: 2/8/22

Ashley Giovengo 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia, NM 88210



Page 41 of 90

Project Name: North Brushy Draw Fed 35 #002H Workorder: E202004 Date Received: 2/2/2022 11:34:00AM

Ashley Giovengo,

Thank you for choosing Envirotech, Inc. as your analytical testing laboratory for the sample(s) received on, 2/2/2022 11:34:00AM, under the Project Name: North Brushy Draw Fed 35 #002H.

The analytical test results summarized in this report with the Project Name: North Brushy Draw Fed 35 #002H apply to the individual samples collected, identified and submitted bearing the project name on the enclosed chain-of-custody. Subcontracted sample analyses not conducted by Envirotech, Inc., are attached in full as issued by the subcontract laboratory.

Please review the Chain-of-Custody (COC) and Sample Receipt Checklist (SRC) for any issues reguarding sample receipt temperature, containers, preservation etc. To best understand your test results, review the entire report summarizing your sample data and the associated quality control batch data.

All reported data in this analytical report were analyzed according to the referenced method(s) and are in compliance with the latest NELAC/TNI standards, unless otherwise noted. Samples or analytical quality control parameters not meeting specific QC criteria are qualified with a data flag. Data flag definitions are located in the Notes and Definitions section of this analytical report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact Envirotech, Inc.

Respectfully,

Walter Hinchman Laboratory Director Office: 505-632-1881 Cell: 775-287-1762 whinchman@envirotech-inc.com

Field Offices:

Southern New Mexico Area Lynn Jarboe Technical Representative/Client Services Office: 505-421-LABS(5227) Cell: 505-320-4759

ljarboe@envirotech-inc.com

Raina Schwanz Laboratory Administrator Office: 505-632-1881 rainaschwanz@envirotech-inc.com Alexa Michaels Sample Custody Officer Office: 505-632-1881 labadmin@envirotech-inc.com

West Texas Midland/Odessa Area Rayny Hagan Technical Representative Office: 505-421-LABS(5227)

Envirotech Web Address: www.envirotech-inc.com

•

Table of Contents

| Title Page | 1 |
|---|----|
| Cover Page | 2 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Sample Summary | 5 |
| Sample Data | 6 |
| CONF01C-0' | 6 |
| CONF02-0' | 7 |
| CONF03E-0' | 8 |
| CONF04B-0' | 9 |
| CONF05-5' | 10 |
| CONF06-2' | 11 |
| CONF07-6' | 12 |
| CONF08-2' | 13 |
| CONF09-3' | 14 |
| CONF09-4' | 15 |
| CONF10-1' | 16 |
| CONF11-2' | 17 |
| BG01-0' | 18 |
| BG01-1' | 19 |
| QC Summary Data | 20 |
| QC - Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | 20 |
| QC - Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | 21 |
| QC - Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | 22 |
| QC - Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | 23 |
| Definitions and Notes | 24 |

•

Table of Contents (continued)

Chain of Custody etc.

25

Sample Summary

| | | Sample Sum | mary | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | | Project Name: | North Brushy Draw | 7 Fed 35 #002H | Reported: |
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | | Project Number: | 01058-0007 | | • |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Manager: | Ashley Giovengo | | 02/08/22 15:49 |
| lient Sample ID | Lab Sample ID | Matrix | Sampled | Received | Container |
| ONF01C-0' | E202004-01A | Soil | 01/31/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF02-0' | E202004-02A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF03E-0' | E202004-03A | Soil | 01/31/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF04B-0' | E202004-04A | Soil | 01/31/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF05-5' | E202004-05A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF06-2' | E202004-06A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF07-6' | E202004-07A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF08-2' | E202004-08A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF09-3' | E202004-09A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF09-4' | E202004-10A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF10-1' | E202004-11A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| ONF11-2' | E202004-12A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| 501-0' | E202004-13A | Soil | 01/31/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| 601-1' | E202004-14A | Soil | 01/31/22 | 02/02/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |
| | | | | | |



| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name | : Nor | th Brushy Draw | Fed 35 #002H | | |
|--|---------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Numb | er: 010: | 58-0007 | Reported: | | |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manag | 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM | | | | |
| | (| CONF01C-0' | | | | |
| | | E202004-01 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Anal | yst: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| oluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Fotal Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 91.0 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Anal | Batch: 2206038 | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 102 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Anal | Batch: 2207008 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | 30.2 | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 76.8 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Anal | yst: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 382 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | D | ampic D | ala | | | |
|---|--|------------|---|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name: Project Numb Project Manag | er: 010: | th Brushy Draw F 58-0007 ley Giovengo | ed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | CONF02-0' | | | | |
| | | E202004-02 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 92.9 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | | Batch: 2206038 | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 103 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | | Batch: 2207008 | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Oil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 77.9 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 363 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | 0 | ampie D | ala | | | |
|---|---|------------|---|----------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name Project Numb Project Manaş | oer: 010 | th Brushy Draw I 58-0007 ley Giovengo | Fed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | (| CONF03E-0' | | | | |
| | | E202004-03 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | st: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 92.9 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | Batch: 2206038 | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 103 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | Batch: 2207008 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Oil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| urrogate: n-Nonane | | 76.0 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | st: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 489 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | D | ampie D | ata | | | |
|--|---|------------|--|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Name Project Numb Project Manaş | er: 0103 | h Brushy Draw 58-0007 ley Giovengo | Fed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | (| CONF04B-0' | | | | |
| | | E202004-04 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | vst: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 89.8 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | Batch: 2206038 | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 104 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | | Batch: 2207008 | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Oil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 77.4 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | /st: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 20.3 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |



| | 5 | ampic D | ala | | | |
|---|---|------------|---|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name: Project Numbo Project Manag | er: 010: | th Brushy Draw F 58-0007 ley Giovengo | ed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | (| CONF05-5' | | | | |
| | | E202004-05 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Fotal Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 89.3 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | | Batch: 2206038 | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 104 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | Batch: 2207008 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 79.7 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 402 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | | imple D | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Name: | | h Brushy Draw I | Fed 35 #002H | | Reported: | |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Number: 01058-0007 Project Manager: Ashley Giovengo | | | | | |
| · | | CONF06-2' | | | | | |
| | | E202004-06 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes | |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | st: IY | | Batch: 2206038 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Foluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 88.8 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | Batch: 2206038 | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 102 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | | Batch: 2207008 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | 36.2 | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 80.0 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | st: IY | | Batch: 2207005 | |
| Chloride | 75.6 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | D. | ampie D | ala | | | |
|---|--|------------|--|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name: Project Numb Project Manag | er: 0103 | h Brushy Draw I 58-0007 ley Giovengo | Fed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | CONF07-6' | | | | |
| | | E202004-07 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | st: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 89.2 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | | Batch: 2206038 | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 103 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | | Batch: 2207008 | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 79.5 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analy | st: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 1020 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |

| | | imple D | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name: | Nor | th Brushy Draw I | Fed 35 #002H | | | |
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Numbe | er: 010: | 58-0007 | | Reported: | | |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manag | Project Manager: Ashley Giovengo | | | | | |
| | (| CONF08-2' | | | | | |
| | - | E202004-08 | | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes | |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | st: IY | | Batch: 2206038 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Fotal Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 90.8 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | Batch: 2206038 | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| urrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 104 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | Batch: 2207008 | | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 80.6 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | st: IY | | Batch: 2207005 | |
| Chloride | 30.9 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | | |
| | | | | | | | |



| | ~ | ampic D | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name | : Nor | th Brushy Draw F | ed 35 #002H | | |
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Numb | er: 010 | 58-0007 | | | Reported: |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manag | ger: Ash | 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM | | | |
| | | CONF09-3' | | | | |
| | | E202004-09 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 90.8 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 103 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | Batch: 2207008 | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 81.5 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 248 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |



| | D. | ampic D | uta | | | |
|---|---|------------|--|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name Project Numb Project Manag | er: 0103 | h Brushy Draw F 58-0007 ley Giovengo | Fed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | CONF09-4' | | | | |
| | | E202004-10 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | st: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Foluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Fotal Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 90.9 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | | Batch: 2206038 | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 101 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | | Batch: 2207008 | |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 78.1 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | st: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 184 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |



| | D | ampic D | ata | | | |
|---|---|------------|---|----------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name Project Numb Project Manag | oer: 010 | th Brushy Draw 58-0007 ley Giovengo | 7 Fed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | CONF10-1' | | | | |
| | | E202004-11 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Ana | lyst: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 91.7 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Ana | lyst: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 104 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Ana | lyst: AK | | Batch: 2207008 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Oil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 73.5 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Ana | lyst: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 664 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | D. | ampic D | ala | | | |
|---|---|------------|---|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name: Project Numbe Project Manag | er: 010: | th Brushy Draw F 58-0007 ley Giovengo | ed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | CONF11-2' | | | | |
| | | E202004-12 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 90.2 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 102 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: AK | | Batch: 2207008 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Oil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 78.0 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | 107 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | N N | | ata | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Name Project Num | | th Brushy Draw F 58-0007 | ed 35 #002H | | Reported: |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Mana | | ley Giovengo | | | 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | BG01-0' | | | | |
| | | E202004-13 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 90.2 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| urrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 103 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: AK | | Batch: 2207008 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 80.1 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



| | D | ampic D | ala | | | |
|---|--|------------|---|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name Project Numl Project Mana | ber: 010 | th Brushy Draw F 58-0007 ley Giovengo | ed 35 #002H | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | BG01-1' | | | | |
| | | E202004-14 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 90.3 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2206038 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 102 % | 70-130 | 02/03/22 | 02/05/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: AK | | Batch: 2207008 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 81.3 % | 50-200 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: IY | | Batch: 2207005 |
| Chloride | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 02/07/22 | 02/08/22 | |
| | | | | | | |



QC Summary Data

| Deven Energy Contained | | D : ()] | NT. | antle Danale P | marry Ead 2 | 5 #00211 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | | Project Name: | | orth Brushy D | raw red 3 | 3 #002H | | | Reported: |
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | | Project Number: | | 058-0007 | | | | | |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Manager: | As | shley Gioveng | go | | | | 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | Volatile O | rganics b | oy EPA 802 | 21B | | | | Analyst: IY |
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Spike Level | Source Result | Rec | Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | |
| | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | % | % | % | % | Notes |
| Blank (2206038-BLK1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | nalyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | | | | | 1 | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | | | | | | | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | | | | | | | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | | | | | | | |
| Total Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | 7.38 | | 8.00 | | 92.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| LCS (2206038-BS1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | nalyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Benzene | 4.46 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | | 89.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.65 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | | 93.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 4.81 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | | 96.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| p-Xylene | 4.62 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | | 92.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| o,m-Xylene | 9.44 | 0.0500 | 10.0 | | 94.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Total Xylenes | 14.1 | 0.0250 | 15.0 | | 93.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | 7.33 | | 8.00 | | 91.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Matrix Spike (2206038-MS1) | | | | Source: | E202004- | 03 | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | nalyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Benzene | 4.46 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 89.2 | 54-133 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.67 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 93.3 | 61-133 | | | |
| Toluene | 4.85 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 97.0 | 61-130 | | | |
| p-Xylene | 4.64 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 92.8 | 63-131 | | | |
| o,m-Xylene | 9.49 | 0.0500 | 10.0 | ND | 94.9 | 63-131 | | | |
| Total Xylenes | 14.1 | 0.0250 | 15.0 | ND | 94.2 | 63-131 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | 7.32 | | 8.00 | | 91.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Matrix Spike Dup (2206038-MSD1) | | | | Source: | E202004- | 03 | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | nalyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Benzene | 4.33 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 86.6 | 54-133 | 3.02 | 20 | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.48 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 89.6 | 61-133 | 4.03 | 20 | |
| Toluene | 4.68 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 93.6 | 61-130 | 3.53 | 20 | |
| o-Xylene | 4.48 | 0.0250 | 5.00 | ND | 89.6 | 63-131 | 3.47 | 20 | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| o,m-Xylene | 9.11 | 0.0500 | 10.0 | ND | 91.1 | 63-131 | 4.16 | 20 | |



QC Summary Data

| | | QU N | u | ary Date | - | | | | |
|--|--------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | | Project Name: Project Number: | (| North Brushy D 11058-0007 | | 5 #002H | | | Reported: |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Manager: | I | Ashley Gioveng | <u>j</u> 0 | | | | 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | Noi | nhalogenated C | Organics | by EPA 801 | 15D - G | RO | | | Analyst: IY |
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Spike Level | Source Result | Rec | Rec Limits | RPD | RPD Limit | |
| | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | % | % | % | % | Notes |
| Blank (2206038-BLK1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | nalyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | 8.14 | | 8.00 | | 102 | 70-130 | | | |
| LCS (2206038-BS2) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | analyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | 45.3 | 20.0 | 50.0 | | 90.6 | 70-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | 8.12 | | 8.00 | | 101 | 70-130 | | | |
| Matrix Spike (2206038-MS2) | | | | Source: | E202004- | 03 | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | analyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | 49.1 | 20.0 | 50.0 | ND | 98.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | 8.17 | | 8.00 | | 102 | 70-130 | | | |
| Matrix Spike Dup (2206038-MSD2) | | | | Source: | E202004- | 03 | Prepared: 0 | 2/03/22 A | analyzed: 02/05/22 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | 48.4 | 20.0 | 50.0 | ND | 96.8 | 70-130 | 1.34 | 20 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | 8.20 | | 8.00 | | 102 | 70-130 | | | |



QC Summary Data

| | | VC B | u 111111 | aly Data | l | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--|-------------------------|---|----------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager: | (| North Brushy Di 01058-0007 Ashley Gioveng | | 5 #002H | | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | Nonh | alogenated Orga | anics by | y EPA 8015D | - DRO | /ORO | | | Analyst: KL |
| Analyte | Result mg/kg | Reporting Limit mg/kg | Spike Level mg/kg | Source Result mg/kg | Rec % | Rec Limits % | RPD % | RPD Limit % | Notes |
| Blank (2207008-BLK1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/07/22 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) Oil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND ND | 25.0 50.0 | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | 35.1 | | 50.0 | | 70.2 | 50-200 | | | |
| LCS (2207008-BS1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/07/22 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | 486 | 25.0 | 500 | | 97.2 | 38-132 | | | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | 39.1 | | 50.0 | | 78.1 | 50-200 | | | |
| Matrix Spike (2207008-MS1) | | | | Source: 1 | E202004- | 10 | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/07/22 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | 474 | 25.0 | 500 | ND | 94.8 | 38-132 | | | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | 37.7 | | 50.0 | | 75.5 | 50-200 | | | |
| Matrix Spike Dup (2207008-MSD1) | | | | Source: 1 | E202004- | 10 | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/07/22 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | 499 | 25.0 | 500 | ND | 99.7 | 38-132 | 5.07 | 20 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | 39.6 | | 50.0 | | 79.2 | 50-200 | | | |



QC Summary Data

| | | $\chi \sim \sim$ | | , <u> </u> | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-------------------------|--|----------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager | : | North Brushy D 01058-0007 Ashley Gioveng | | 5 #002H | | | Reported: 2/8/2022 3:49:58PM |
| | | Anions | by EPA | 300.0/9056 | 4 | | | | Analyst: IY |
| Analyte | Result mg/kg | Reporting Limit mg/kg | Spike Level mg/kg | Source Result mg/kg | Rec % | Rec Limits % | RPD % | RPD Limit % | Notes |
| Blank (2207005-BLK1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/08/22 |
| Chloride | ND | 20.0 | | | | | | | |
| LCS (2207005-BS1) | | | | | | | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/08/22 |
| Chloride | 243 | 20.0 | 250 | | 97.2 | 90-110 | | | |
| Matrix Spike (2207005-MS1) | | | | Source: | E202004- | 02 | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/08/22 |
| Chloride | 576 | 20.0 | 250 | 363 | 85.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Matrix Spike Dup (2207005-MSD1) | | | | Source: | E202004- | 02 | Prepared: 0 | 2/07/22 A | nalyzed: 02/08/22 |
| Chloride | 581 | 20.0 | 250 | 363 | 87.0 | 80-120 | 0.762 | 20 | |

QC Summary Report Comment:

Calculations are based off of the raw (non-rounded) data. However, for reporting purposes all QC data is rounded to three significant figures. Therefore, hand calculated values may differ slightly.



Definitions and Notes

| Γ | Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name: | North Brushy Draw Fed 35 #002H | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| I | 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Number: | 01058-0007 | Reported: |
| | Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manager: | Ashley Giovengo | 02/08/22 15:49 |

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit

NR Not Reported

RPD Relative Percent Difference

DNI Did Not Ignite

Note (1): Methods marked with ** are non-accredited methods.

Note (2): Soil data is reported on an "as received" weight basis, unless reported otherwise.



| Project Ir | formation | ı | | | | C | hain of Cust | ody | | | | | | | | | | | Page | of |
|------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------|------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|--|--|----------------|
| Client: | Devon | | | | | Bill To | 5.5 | 100 | | L | ab Us | se Or | nlv | | | | TA | Г | EPA P | rogram |
| Project: | North Bru | shy Drav | v Fed 35 # | #002H | Atter | ntion: Jim Raley | | 1.a | b W | | | - | Numb | er | 1D | 2D | 3D | Standard | CWA | SDWA |
| | Aanager: | | | | Addr | ess: 5315 Buena Vista Dr | | F | 20 | 200 | 4 | | | 0007 | | 1 | | x | | |
| | 1224 St | | | | and the second sec | State, Zip: Calsbad, NM 88 | 3220 | | a | | | | | d Metho | d | | | | - | RCRA |
| | e, Zip: Ca | | | | Land and the second sec | ne: 575-689-7597 | | | T | | 1 | | ÍΤ | 1 | T | 1 | | | | |
| | 505-382 | | | | | l: jim.raley@dvn.com | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | State | |
| | ashley.gio | | wescomir | nc.com | Lind | <u></u> jdie ye u thiotoni | 1757 | 108 | | 1 | | | o. | | | | | NM CO | UT AZ | TX |
| Report d | | 1 | | | | | | er er sole | | ыко/ико ву BTEX by 8021 | VOC by 8260 | Metals 6010 | Chloride 300.0 | | MN | ТX | | × | | |
| Time | Date | | No. of | | Transfer II. | | Lab | a0, | | /uk | pλ 8 | als 6 | ride | | В | N | | | | L |
| Sampled | Sampled | Matrix | Containers | Sample ID | | | Numt | er | | BTE) La |) V | Met | Chlo | | BGDOC | BGDOC | | | Remarks | |
| 11:17 | 1/31/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | CC | DNF01C - 0' | | | | | - | | | | x | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 9:40 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF02 - 0' | 2 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 13:15 | 1/31/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | CC | DNF03E - 0' | 3 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 10:40 | 1/31/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | 5 | CC | DNF04B - 0' | 4 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 14:54 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF05 - 5' | 5 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 11:01 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF06 - 2' | 6 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 16:02 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF07 - 6' | 7 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 12:15 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF08 - 2' | 8 | - | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 13:00 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF09 - 3' | 9 | | | | | | | 1 | x | | | See | additional instruc | tions |
| 16:47 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | C | ONF09 - 4' | 10 | | | | | | | | x | | | | l instructions; Onl eeded on CONF05 | |
| 3' exceed | ds 100ppn | TPH ru | CONF09 |) - 4' | | n@wescominc.com, shar.h | | | | .com, j | jim.ra | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | at tampering with or intentionally mis | slabelling the sar | ple loca | ation, | | | 1000000 | | | | | | ved on ice the day t C on subsequent da | | ed or received |
| | | and hyperature and server | | nay be grounds for lega | | Sampled by: | | | | | | pocket | a in ice dt | an avg tem | | | | | y3. | |
| relinquish | ed by: (Signa | ture) | Date | | | Received by: (Signature) | Date | | Tin | | | | | | and the second second | | e Only | 1 | | |
| ~ (| K | 5 | 50 | 10//27 135 | | ant | | 22 | - | 1350 |) | Rec | eived | on ice: | CX | / N | | | | |
| Relinquish | ed by: (Signa | (ure) | Date | I 77 Time | 25 | Received by: (Signature) | L Date | 120 | Tin | NEW COLUMN | | | | | | | | | | |
| - A | - H | ~~ | - 2 | | 35 | auten Churt | n deld | 122 | - | :34 | | <u> T1</u> | | | <u>T2</u> | | | <u>T3</u> | | |
| Relinquish | ed by: (Signa | iture) | Date | Time | | Received by: (Signature) | Date | 1 | Tin | ne | | AVG | i Temp | °c_ | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | queous, O - Other | | | | | | | | | | ng - amb | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | arrangements are made. Hazar this COC. The liability of the labo | | | | | | | | at the clie | nt exp | ense. | The rep | port for the ana | lysis of the a | above |
| | | | | | | Pa | age 25 of 2 | 7 | | | (| 2 | 3 (| e | n | V | Î | rot | e | ch |

| | <u> </u> | | | | 2.000 million | 0.111 7 | | - addressed | | | | ~ | 1 | 77.000 | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|--------|---|--|---------------|
| | Devon | chy Dray | . Fodoral | 35 #002H | A++ | Bill To ention: Jim Raley | | 1.1 | | | ib Use | | Number | | 1D | | TAT | Standard | | rogram |
| | Aanager: | | | 55 #002H | | Iress: 5315 Buena Vista Dr | ALC: NO. | F | wo# | m | | | ST-00 | | TD | 20 3 | | X | CWA | SDW |
| | 1224 St | | | | A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O | , State, Zip: Calsbad, NM 882 | 20 | La | Va | <u> </u> | | Analy | sis and Me | thod | | | | | | RCRA |
| | e, Zip: Ca | | | | the second se | one: 575-689-7597 | | | | | | | | | | | T | - | | |
| one: | | | | | Em | ail: jim.raley@dvn.com | | 15 | 15 | | | | | | | | | | State | |
| | ashley.gio | vengo@v | wescomin | c.com | | | | oy 80 | oy 80 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 300.0 | | MN | 555-00 | | NM CO | UT AZ | TX |
| port d | | | | | | | | SRO I | RO I | y 80 | y 826 | 601 | de 3(| | | ř | | × | | |
| Time Impled | Date Sampled | Matrix | No. of Containers | Sample ID | | | Lab Number | DRO/ORO by 8015 | GRO/DRO by 8015 | BTEX by 8021 | VOC by 8260 | Metals 6010 | Chloride | | BGDOC | BGDOC | | | Remarks | |
| 3:17 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | (| CONF10 - 1' | 11 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 3:39 | 1/28/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | | CONF11 - 2' | 12 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 14:21 | 1/31/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | | BG01 - 0' | 13 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| 14:24 | 1/31/22 | Soil | 1 Jar | | | BG01 - 1' | 14 | | | | | | | | x | | | | | |
| | | | | | e. | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | an a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| dition | al Instruc | tions: K | ept on ice | e, Please C | C: cole.burto | on@wescominc.com, shar.har | rvester@wes | comi | nc.co | om, ji | m.ral | ey@ | odvn.com | , ashl | ley.g | ioveng | o@w | escominc. | om | |
| | | | | | nple. I am aware t s for legal action. | hat tampering with or intentionally misla Sampled by: | belling the sample | locatio | on, | | | a constant | | Cheschiges and | | | | d on ice the day t on subsequent day | | ed or receive |
| | by: (Signa | | Date | 1 | Time 1350 | Received by: (Signature) | Date 2.1.2 | 22 | Time | 350 | 2 | Rece | eived on ic | e: | La | b Use (/ N | Only | | | |
| - A | ed by: (Sign | t | Date 2 | .1.22 | Time 1635 | Received by: (Signature) | 5 2/2/2 | 22 | Time | 34 | 4 | Г1 | | 1 | Г2 | | | <u>T3</u> | | |
| linquish | ed by: (Signa | iture) | Date | | Time | Received by: (Signature) | Date | | Time | | | AVG | Temp °C_ | 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | | queous, O - Ot | | | | | | | | | astic, ag - a | | | | | | | and the set |
| | | | | | | er arrangements are made. Hazardo h this COC. The liability of the labora | | | | | | | | client | expe | nse. Th | e repo | rt for the ana | ysis of the | above |

Envirotech Analytical Laboratory

Sample Receipt Checklist (SRC)

| | Devon Energy - Carlsbad D | ate Received: | 02/02/22 | 11:34 | • | Work Order ID: | E202004 |
|---|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| Phone: | (505) 382-1211 D | ate Logged In: | 02/01/22 | 15:24 |] | Logged In By: | Caitlin Christian |
| Email: | ashley.giovengo@wescominc.com E | ue Date: | 02/08/22 | 17:00 (4 day TAT) | | | |
| <u>Chain of</u> | Custody (COC) | | | | | | |
| | he sample ID match the COC? | | Yes | | | | |
| 2. Does th | he number of samples per sampling site location match | the COC | Yes | | | | |
| 3. Were s | amples dropped off by client or carrier? | | Yes | Carrier: UP | <u>'S</u> | | |
| 4. Was th | e COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/times, requester | d analyses? | Yes | | | | |
| 5. Were a | Il samples received within holding time? Note: Analysis, such as pH which should be conducted in the i.e, 15 minute hold time, are not included in this disucssion. | e field, | Yes | _ | | <u>Commen</u> | ts/Resolution |
| <u>Sample T</u> | <u>Furn Around Time (TAT)</u> | | | | | | |
| 6. Did the | e COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? | | Yes | | | | |
| Sample (| <u>Cooler</u> | | | | | | |
| 7. Was a s | sample cooler received? | | Yes | | | | |
| 8. If yes, | was cooler received in good condition? | | Yes | | | | |
| 9. Was th | e sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? | | Yes | | | | |
| 10. Were | custody/security seals present? | | No | | | | |
| 11. If yes | , were custody/security seals intact? | | NA | | | | |
| 12. Was th | e sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are re- minutes of sampling | | Yes | | | | |
| 13. If no - | visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample te | mperature: 4° | С | | | | |
| Sample (| Container_ | · _ | | | | | |
| | queous VOC samples present? | | No | | | | |
| 15. Are V | OC samples collected in VOA Vials? | | NA | | | | |
| 16. Is the | head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? | | NA | | | | |
| 17. Was a | a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? | | NA | | | | |
| 18. Are n | on-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? | | Yes | | | | |
| 19. Is the | appropriate volume/weight or number of sample container | s collected? | Yes | | | | |
| Field Lal | bel | | | | | | |
| 20. Were | field sample labels filled out with the minimum inform | nation: | | | | | |
| | ample ID? | | Yes | | | | |
| | Date/Time Collected? | | Yes | | | | |
| D | allastara nama? | | | | | | |
| D C | Collectors name? | | No | | | | |
| D C <u>Sample F</u> | Preservation | erved? | | | | | |
| D C <u>Sample F</u> 21. Does | Preservation_ the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres | erved? | No | | | | |
| D C <u>Sample F</u> 21. Does 22. Are sa | Preservation_ the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? | | No NA | | | | |
| D C <u>Sample F</u> 21. Does 22. Are sa 24. Is lab | Preservation_ the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? filteration required and/or requested for dissolved met | | No | | | | |
| D C Sample F 21. Does 22. Are sa 24. Is lab <u>Multipha</u> | Preservation_ the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? filteration required and/or requested for dissolved met ase Sample Matrix_ | als? | No NA No | | | | |
| D C Sample F 21. Does 22. Are sa 24. Is lab <u>Multipha</u> 26. Does | Preservation the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? filteration required and/or requested for dissolved met ase Sample Matrix_ the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? | als? | No NA No No | | | | |
| D C Sample F 21. Does 22. Are sa 24. Is lab Multipha 26. Does 27. If yes | Preservation the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? filteration required and/or requested for dissolved met ase Sample Matrix the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? , does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed | als? | No NA No | | | | |
| D CC Sample F 21. Does 22. Are sa 24. Is lab Multipha 26. Does 27. If yes Subcontr | Preservation the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? filteration required and/or requested for dissolved met ase Sample Matrix the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? , does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyze ract Laboratory. | als? , d? | No NA No NA | | | | |
| D CC Sample F 21. Does 22. Are sa 24. Is lab Multipha 26. Does 27. If yes Subcontr 28. Are sa | Preservation the COC or field labels indicate the samples were pres ample(s) correctly preserved? filteration required and/or requested for dissolved met ase Sample Matrix the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? , does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed | als? , d? | No NA No No | Subcontract Lab: | | | |

- (



Signature of client authorizing changes to the COC or sample disposition.

•





5796 U.S. Hwy 64 Farmington, NM 87401

Phone: (505) 632-1881 Envirotech-inc.com





envirotech

Practical Solutions for a Better Tomorrow

Analytical Report

Devon Energy - Carlsbad

| Project Name: | North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Work Order: | E202113 |
| Job Number: | 01058-0007 |
| Received: | 2/22/2022 |

Revision: 0

Report Reviewed By:

Draft Walter Hinchman Laboratory Director 2/22/22

Envirotech Inc. certifies the test results meet all requirements of TNI unless noted otherwise. Statement of Data Authenticity: Envirotech Inc, attests the data reported has not been altered in any way. Partial or incomplete reproduction of this report is prohibited, unless approved by Envirotech Inc. Envirotech Inc, holds the Utah TNI certification NM00979 for data reported. Envirotech Inc, holds the Texas TNI certification T104704557 for data reported. Envirotech Inc, holds the NM SDWA certification for data reported. (Lab #NM00979) Date Reported: 2/22/22

Ashley Giovengo 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia, NM 88210



Page 68 of 90

Project Name: North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H Workorder: E202113 Date Received: 2/22/2022 11:15:00AM

Ashley Giovengo,

Thank you for choosing Envirotech, Inc. as your analytical testing laboratory for the sample(s) received on, 2/22/2022 11:15:00AM, under the Project Name: North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H.

The analytical test results summarized in this report with the Project Name: North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H apply to the individual samples collected, identified and submitted bearing the project name on the enclosed chain-of-custody. Subcontracted sample analyses not conducted by Envirotech, Inc., are attached in full as issued by the subcontract laboratory.

Please review the Chain-of-Custody (COC) and Sample Receipt Checklist (SRC) for any issues reguarding sample receipt temperature, containers, preservation etc. To best understand your test results, review the entire report summarizing your sample data and the associated quality control batch data.

All reported data in this analytical report were analyzed according to the referenced method(s) and are in compliance with the latest NELAC/TNI standards, unless otherwise noted. Samples or analytical quality control parameters not meeting specific QC criteria are qualified with a data flag. Data flag definitions are located in the Notes and Definitions section of this analytical report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact Envirotech, Inc.

Respectfully,

Walter Hinchman Laboratory Director Office: 505-632-1881 Cell: 775-287-1762 whinchman@envirotech-inc.com

Field Offices:

Southern New Mexico Area Lynn Jarboe

Technical Representative/Client Services Office: 505-421-LABS(5227) Cell: 505-320-4759 ljarboe@envirotech-inc.com

Raina Schwanz Laboratory Administrator Office: 505-632-1881 rainaschwanz@envirotech-inc.com Alexa Michaels Sample Custody Officer Office: 505-632-1881 labadmin@envirotech-inc.com

West Texas Midland/Odessa Area Rayny Hagan Technical Representative Office: 505-421-LABS(5227)

Envirotech Web Address: www.envirotech-inc.com

•

Table of Contents

| Title Page | 1 |
|-----------------------|---|
| Cover Page | 2 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Sample Summary | 4 |
| Sample Data | 5 |
| CONF10 - 2' | 5 |
| Definitions and Notes | 6 |
| Chain of Custody etc. | 7 |

Devon Energy - Carlsbad

6488 7 Rivers Hwy

| Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Manager: | Ashley Giovengo | | 02/22/22 15:21 | |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|--|
| Client Sample ID | Lab Sample ID | Matrix | Sampled | Received | Container | |
| CONF10 - 2' | E202113-01A | Soil | 01/28/22 | 02/22/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. | |



| | Dam | | <i>i</i> a | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name: | North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H | | | | |
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Number: | 01058- | 01058-0007 | | | Reported: |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manager: | Ashley Giovengo | | | 2/22/2022 3:21:53PM | |
| | CON | F10 - 2' | | | | |
| | E202 | 2113-01 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg Analyst: KL | | | Batch: 2209015 |
| Chloride | 195 | 20.0 | 1 | 02/22/22 | 02/22/22 | |



Definitions and Notes

| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name: | North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Number: | 01058-0007 | Reported: |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manager: | Ashley Giovengo | 02/22/22 15:21 |

- NR Not Reported
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- DNI Did Not Ignite

Note (1): Methods marked with ** are non-accredited methods.

Note (2): Soil data is reported on an "as received" weight basis, unless reported otherwise.


Refroject Information

| Page | |
|-------|---|
| rage_ | 0 |

| Project Manager: Ashley Giovengo Address: 5315 Buena Vista Dr E202113 X Image: Comparison of the second sec | | of |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| Project: North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002HAttention: Jim RaleyLab WO#Job NumberID2D3DStandardProject Manager: Ashley GiovengoAddress: 5315 Buena Vista DrAddress: 5315 Buena Vista DrID2D3DStandardAddress: 1224 Standpipe RdCity, State, Zip: Calsbad, NM 88220Phone: 575-689-7597Phone: 575-689-7597ID <td>CWA SI</td> <td>DWA</td> | CWA SI | DWA |
| Project Manager: Ashley Giovengo Address: 5315 Buena Vista Dr E202113 X Address: 1224 Standpipe Rd City, State, Zip: Calsbad, NM 88220 Analysis and Method Analysis and Method City, State, Zip: Carlsbad, NM 88220 Phone: 575-689-7597 Image: Carlsbad, NM 88220 Image: Carlsbad, NM 88220 | R | 0 |
| Address: 1224 Standpipe Rd City, State, Zip: Calsbad, NM 88220 Analysis and Method City, State, Zip: Carlsbad, NM 88220 Phone: 575-689-7597 Image: State Stat | State | |
| City, State, Zip: Carlsbad, NM 88220 Phone: 575-689-7597 | State | RCRA |
| | | (CITA |
| | | |
| | JI AZ IZ | <u>v</u> |
| Phone: 505-382-1211 505-382-1211 Imail: I | | |
| And Matrix No. of Containers Sampled Matrix No. of Containers Sample ID | | - |
| Time Date Matrix No. of Containers Sample ID Sampled Sampled Matrix No. of Containers Sample ID | lemarks | |
| | | |
| 13:22 1/28/22 Soil 1 Jar CONF10 - 2' | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Additional Instructions: Kept on ice, Please CC: cole.burton@wescominc.com, shar.harvester@wescominc.com, jim.raley@dvn.com, ashley.giovengo@wescominc.co | m | |
| I, (field sampler), attest to the validity and authenticity of this sample. I am aware that tampering with or intentionally mislabelling the sample location, | | |
| | are sampled or | received |
| date of time of conection is considered made and may be grounds for regaraction. <u>Sampled by</u> | | |
| | | |
| 24 0200 2-21-22 8:45 And Alt 2.21.22 845 Received on ice: 1/ N | | - All Court |
| Relinquished by: (Signature) Date Time Received by: (Signature) Date Time | | |
| In 11 2.21.22 1130 Carthin Christin 2/22/22 11:15 T1 T2 T3 | | |
| Relingdished by: (Signature) Date Time Received by: (Signature) Date Time | | |
| AVG Temp °C | | The second |
| Sample Matrix: S - Soil, Sd - Solid, Sg - Sludge, A - Aqueous, O - Other Container Type: g - glass, p - poly/plastic, ag - amber glass, v - VOA | | |
| Note: Samples are discarded 30 days after results are reported unless other arrangements are made. Hazardous samples will be returned to client or disposed of at the client expense. The report for the analys | is of the abov | ve |
| samples is applicable only to those samples received by the laboratory with this COC. The liability of the laboratory is limited to the amount paid for on the report. | | |
| | | |
| | Or | > h |
| | | |
| Page 7 of 8 | | |

Envirotech Analytical Laboratory

Sample Receipt Checklist (SRC)

| Client: | Devon Energy - Carlsbad Da | ate Received: | 02/22/22 | 11:15 | Work Order ID: | E202113 |
|----------------|--|----------------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Phone: | (505) 382-1211 Da | ate Logged In: | 02/21/22 | 10:21 | Logged In By: | Caitlin Christian |
| Email: | | ue Date: | | 17:00 (0 day TAT) | | |
| Chain o | of Custody (COC) | | | | | |
| 1. Does | the sample ID match the COC? | | Yes | | | |
| 2. Does | the number of samples per sampling site location match | the COC | Yes | | | |
| 3. Were | samples dropped off by client or carrier? | | Yes | Carrier: UPS | | |
| 4. Was th | he COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/times, requested | l analyses? | Yes | | | |
| 5. Were | all samples received within holding time? Note: Analysis, such as pH which should be conducted in the i.e, 15 minute hold time, are not included in this disucssion. | e field, | Yes | | Comment | ts/Resolution |
| Sample | Turn Around Time (TAT) | | | | | |
| 6. Did th | he COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? | | Yes | | | |
| Sample | Cooler | | | | | |
| | a sample cooler received? | | Yes | | | |
| | , was cooler received in good condition? | | Yes | | | |
| 9. Was th | he sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? | | Yes | | | |
| | e custody/security seals present? | | No | | | |
| | es, were custody/security seals intact? | | NA | | | |
| - | the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e. Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are re- | · | Yes | | | |
| 13 Ifno | minutes of sampling o visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample ter | | 'C | | | |
| | Container | <u></u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| | aqueous VOC samples present? | | No | | | |
| | VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? | | NA | | | |
| | e head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? | | NA | | | |
| | a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? | | NA | | | |
| | non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? | | Yes | | | |
| | e appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers | collected? | Yes | | | |
| Field La | | , concetted. | 105 | | | |
| | e field sample labels filled out with the minimum inform | ation | | | | |
| | Sample ID? | unon | Yes | | | |
| J | Date/Time Collected? | | Yes | | | |
| (| Collectors name? | | Yes | | | |
| | <u>Preservation</u> | | | | | |
| | s the COC or field labels indicate the samples were prese | erved? | No | | | |
| | sample(s) correctly preserved? | | NA | | | |
| 24. Is lal | b filteration required and/or requested for dissolved meta | ıls? | No | | | |
| <u>Multiph</u> | nase Sample Matrix | | | | | |
| 26. Does | s the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? | | No | | | |
| 27. If ye | es, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed | d? | NA | | | |
| | tract Laboratory | | | | | |
| | samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? | | No | | | |
| 20 Was | a subcontract laboratory specified by the client and if so | who? | NA | Subcontract Lab: na | | |



Date

envirotech Inc.

Released to Imaging: 3/22/2022 3:13:17 PM





5796 U.S. Hwy 64 Farmington, NM 87401

Phone: (505) 632-1881 Envirotech-inc.com





envirotech

Practical Solutions for a Better Tomorrow

Analytical Report

Devon Energy - Carlsbad

| Project Name: | North Brushy Draw Federal 35#002H - 12.11.21 Spill |
|---------------|---|
| Work Order: | E203034 |
| Job Number: | 01058-0007 |
| Received: | 3/7/2022 |

Revision: 0

Report Reviewed By:

Draft Walter Hinchman Laboratory Director 3/7/22

Envirotech Inc. certifies the test results meet all requirements of TNI unless noted otherwise. Statement of Data Authenticity: Envirotech Inc, attests the data reported has not been altered in any way. Partial or incomplete reproduction of this report is prohibited, unless approved by Envirotech Inc. Envirotech Inc, holds the Utah TNI certification NM00979 for data reported. Envirotech Inc, holds the Texas TNI certification T104704557 for data reported. Envirotech Inc, holds the NM SDWA certification for data reported. (Lab #NM00979) Date Reported: 3/7/22

Ashley Giovengo 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia, NM 88210



Project Name: North Brushy Draw Federal 35#002H - 12.11.21 Spill Workorder: E203034 Date Received: 3/7/2022 8:00:00AM

Ashley Giovengo,

Thank you for choosing Envirotech, Inc. as your analytical testing laboratory for the sample(s) received on, 3/7/2022 8:00:00AM, under the Project Name: North Brushy Draw Federal 35#002H - 12.11.21 Spill.

The analytical test results summarized in this report with the Project Name: North Brushy Draw Federal 35#002H - 12.11.21 Spill apply to the individual samples collected, identified and submitted bearing the project name on the enclosed chain-of-custody. Subcontracted sample analyses not conducted by Envirotech, Inc., are attached in full as issued by the subcontract laboratory.

Please review the Chain-of-Custody (COC) and Sample Receipt Checklist (SRC) for any issues reguarding sample receipt temperature, containers, preservation etc. To best understand your test results, review the entire report summarizing your sample data and the associated quality control batch data.

All reported data in this analytical report were analyzed according to the referenced method(s) and are in compliance with the latest NELAC/TNI standards, unless otherwise noted. Samples or analytical quality control parameters not meeting specific QC criteria are qualified with a data flag. Data flag definitions are located in the Notes and Definitions section of this analytical report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact Envirotech, Inc.

Respectfully,

Walter Hinchman Laboratory Director Office: 505-632-1881 Cell: 775-287-1762 whinchman@envirotech-inc.com

Field Offices:

Southern New Mexico Area Lynn Jarboe Technical Representative/Client Services Office: 505-421-LABS(5227) Cell: 505-320-4759

ljarboe@envirotech-inc.com

Raina Schwanz Laboratory Administrator Office: 505-632-1881 rainaschwanz@envirotech-inc.com Alexa Michaels Sample Custody Officer Office: 505-632-1881 labadmin@envirotech-inc.com

West Texas Midland/Odessa Area Rayny Hagan Technical Representative Office: 505-421-LABS(5227)

Envirotech Web Address: www.envirotech-inc.com

•

Table of Contents

| Title Page | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Cover Page | 2 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Sample Summary | 4 |
| Sample Data | 5 |
| CONF07 - 10' | 5 |
| QC Summary Data | 6 |
| QC - Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | 6 |
| Definitions and Notes | 7 |
| Chain of Custody etc. | 8 |

Received by OCD: 3/10/2022 2:45:17 PM

| | | Sample Sum | mary | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | | Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager: | North Brushy Draw 01058-0007 Ashley Giovengo | 7 Federal 35#00 | 2H - 12.11.21 Spill Reported: 03/07/22 16:07 |
| Client Sample ID | Lab Sample ID | Matrix | Sampled | Received | Container |
| CONF07 - 10' | E203034-01A | Soil | 03/04/22 | 03/07/22 | Glass Jar, 4 oz. |



.

| | | T | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name | e: Nor | th Brushy Draw F | ederal 35#002H | - 12.11.21 Spill | |
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Num | ber: 010 | 58-0007 | Reported: | | |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Mana | ager: Ash | ley Giovengo | | | 3/7/2022 4:07:20PM |
| | | CONF07 - 10 | , | | | |
| | | E203034-01 | | | | |
| | | Reporting | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | Limit | Dilution | Prepared | Analyzed | Notes |
| Volatile Organics by EPA 8021B | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: RKS | | Batch: 2211003 |
| Benzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| p-Xylene | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| o,m-Xylene | ND | 0.0500 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Fotal Xylenes | ND | 0.0250 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromochlorobenzene-PID | | 95.9 % | 70-130 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - GRO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: RKS | | Batch: 2211003 |
| Gasoline Range Organics (C6-C10) | ND | 20.0 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: 1-Chloro-4-fluorobenzene-FID | | 109 % | 70-130 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Nonhalogenated Organics by EPA 8015D - DRO/ORO | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: JL | | Batch: 2211009 |
| Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28) | ND | 25.0 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Dil Range Organics (C28-C36) | ND | 50.0 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Surrogate: n-Nonane | | 105 % | 50-200 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| Anions by EPA 300.0/9056A | mg/kg | mg/kg | Analys | t: RAS | | Batch: 2211004 |
| Chloride | 330 | 20.0 | 1 | 03/07/22 | 03/07/22 | |
| | | | | | | |

Sample Data



.

Received by OCD: 3/10/2022 2:45:17 PM

QC Summary Data

| Devon Energy - Carlsbad 6488 7 Rivers Hwy Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Name: Project Number Project Manager | : 0 | North Brushy D 1058-0007 Ashley Gioveng | Spill | Reported: 3/7/2022 4:07:20PM | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | | Anions | by EPA | 300.0/9056A | ۱. | | | | Analyst: RAS |
| Analyte | Result mg/kg | Reporting Limit mg/kg | Spike Level mg/kg | Source Result mg/kg | Rec % | Rec Limits % | RPD % | RPD Limit % | Notes |
| Blank (2211004-BLK1) | | | | | |] | Prepared: 0 | 3/07/22 A | Analyzed: 03/07/22 |
| Chloride | ND | 20.0 | | | | | | | |
| LCS (2211004-BS1) | | | | | |] | Prepared: 0 | 3/07/22 A | Analyzed: 03/07/22 |
| Chloride | 248 | 20.0 | 250 | | 99.0 | 90-110 | | | |

QC Summary Report Comment:

Calculations are based off of the raw (non-rounded) data. However, for reporting purposes all QC data is rounded to three significant figures. Therefore, hand calculated values may differ slightly.



| Devon Energy - Carlsbad | Project Name: | North Brushy Draw Federal 35#002H - 12.11.21 Spill | |
|-------------------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| 6488 7 Rivers Hwy | Project Number: | 01058-0007 | Reported: |
| Artesia NM, 88210 | Project Manager: | Ashley Giovengo | 03/07/22 16:07 |

- NR Not Reported
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- DNI Did Not Ignite

Note (1): Methods marked with ** are non-accredited methods.

Note (2): Soil data is reported on an "as received" weight basis, unless reported otherwise.



| y, State | , Zip Co | irleb | | INA CODA | Atte Add City Pho | ention: ress: 53 , State, Zip ne: 57 iil: | Bill To n fal 15 Bu artsbac | eng engvi I Nm - 75 | 15ta Dr. 66220 97 | Lab E | wo# | La | b Use | | Jumb | er 007 Metho | | 2D | T/ 3D | | andard | EPA | of Program SDWA RCRA |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| ailas port du fime | hley. Ie by: | gione | No. of | Wescomin Com | າເ າ | ill: jim. | raley. | odva | com_ | by 8015 | GRO/DRO by 8015 | e en | 8260 | 0105 | . 300.0 | | MN | Ĕ | | | | State | |
| npled | Sampled | Matrix | Containers - | Sample ID | | | · · · | | Lab Number | рко/око | GRO/DI | BTEX by 8021 | VOC by I | Metals 6010 | Chlaride | | BGDOC | BGDOC | | | | Remarl | <s< td=""></s<> |
| 52 | 03104 | 5 | ljar | CONFO | 7-1 | 0' | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | X | | Ĩ | | | | |
| | | | | | 3 . 5. | | | <u>į.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| | | - | а. С | | | | | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| | | | | 1 ··· · · | | <u> </u> | | <u>.</u> | | • | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | <u> </u> | 7 | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | . , | | | | | ý. | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | *** | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | 1 | * | |
| L. | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| dition | al Instruc | tions: | reser | ved on i | ce; | Pleas. | ecco | whiley | · 9:00. | ens | 0, | sho | LY . | har | Ves | ter | an | de | 010 | | into | 002.11 | 20.00 |
| or time | of collection | n is considere | | ticity of this sample. may be grounds for I | | anac campering | with or intenti ampled by: | onally mislabe | elling the samp | ole loca | ation, | | | Sample | s requir | ng thermal | preserva | tion mu | ist be re | ceived | | au thou are | npled or received |
| nquish | ed by: (Sign | ature) | Date | 102/12201:1 Time | 34p 30 | Received by: Received by: | 2. VerX | l L | $\begin{array}{c c} Date \\ \hline \Delta 3 & 4 \\ \hline Date \\ \hline 3 & 7 & 2 \end{array}$ | 22 | Time 12 Time | | 1 | | | on ice: | L | | se Or | | subsequent | : days. | |
| | ed by: (Sign | 1000000 0 | Date | 2 Time | ~ | Received by: | (Signature) | un . | Date | × | 8:1 Time | V | | <u>T1</u> | | | <u>T2</u> | × | | | <u>T3</u> | | |
| e: Sam | ples are dis | carded 30 | dave after r | Aqueous, O - Other esults are reported received by the lab | unleșs ot | ner arrangeme | ents are made | e. Hazardou | Containe s samples wi | r Type II be r | eturne | glass, | p - pc | lv/nl | astic | ag - am | per gla | ss, v - | VOA | | | | |
| -1-1-0-10 | - HAUCGDIG | | se samples | received by the lab | oratory w | ith this COC. T | he liability of | the laborate | ory is limited | to the | amou | int pai | id for a | on the | repo | | | | | | rt for the | | |

Envirotech Analytical Laboratory

Sample Receipt Checklist (SRC)

| Instrument of the sample of sample or sampling site location match the COC Yes 2. Does the number of sample or sampling site location match the COC Yes 3. West bias ample 1D match the COC? Yes 4. West bias COC complete, i.e., signatures, datase/inter, requested analyses? Yes 5. West bias ample of samples preserved within holding time? Yes 5. West bias ample of complete, i.e., signatures, datase/inter, requested analyses? Yes 5. West bias ample of complete, i.e., signatures, datase/inter, requested analyses? Yes 5. West bias ample of the trap or included in this discussion. Comments/Resolution 8. West bias ample color received? Yes 9. West bias ample or complex i.e., signatures, datase/inter, requested analyses? Yes 9. West bias ample or converted and this discussion. Comments/Resolution 8. Hysts, was coold received? Yes 9. West bias ample/or convert inter, is the first in th | Client: | Devon Energy - Carlsbad Da | te Received: | 03/07/22 | 08:00 | | Work Order ID: | E203034 |
|---|----------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Email: ashley givengogivescomins: com Due Date: 0.307/22 17.00 (0 day TAT) I. Does the ample ID match the COC? Yes Does the ample of samples persempting site location match the COC Yes S. Were samples dropped off by client or carrier? Yes S. Were samples dropped off by client or carrier? Yes S. Were all samples coview divith holding time? Yes Net: Analysis, and a get which should be conduced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are on induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are on induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are on induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are on induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 15 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 16 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 16 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 16 monte hold time; are induced in the field, i.e. 17 was a sample coler received in good condition? Yes 11. Hyes, were custody/security seals intact? Na Na 12. Wea to sample need the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°CC Na 13. Hore visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°CC Na 14. Are aque | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Does the number of samples per sampling site location match the COC Yes Yes 3. Were samples dropped off by client or carrier? Yes Carrier: UPS 4. Was the COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/simes, requested analyses? Yes 5. Were all samples received within holding time? Yes Some/Turn Around Time (TAR) Kes 6. Did the COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? Yes 8. If yes, was cooler received? Yes 9. Was the sample (s) received in thorker? Yes 9. Was the sample (s) received intact, i.e., not broker? Yes 10. Were custody/security seals intact? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? No 12. Was the sample received in iso'r first, the repertature. 4*02* Yes Yes Note: Yes Note: Yes Note: Yes Note: Yes Sample Container Attual sample temperature: 14. Are aqueous VOC samples collected in the orimet on size of reasive? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in the orimet on size of reasive? Yes Date/Time Collecter? Yes Date/Time Collecter | Email: | . , | 00 | | |) | Lögged in Dy. | Cartini Christian |
| 1. Does the sample ID match the COC? Ves 2. Does the number of samples per sampling site location match the COC Ves Were samples for examplies, exite symmetres, requested analyses? Ves Were all samples per excival within holding ime? Were samples per excival within holding ime? Nere samples received within holding ime? Sample Confer received? Not inter holding ime? Ves Sample Confer received? Not inter the per inter holding ime? Ves Sample Confer received? Not inter the per inter holding ime? Ves Sample Confer received? Not inter inter holding ime? Ves Sample Confer received? Not inter inter holding ime? Ves Sample Confer received? Not inter inter inter inter holding ime? Not inter in | Chain a | | | | | | | |
| 2. Does the number of samples per sampling site location match the COC Yes Yes 3. Ware samples dropped off by client or carrier? Yes Carrier: UPS 4. Was the COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/simes, requested analyses? Yes 5. Were all samples received within holding time? Yes Some/CircuArcMod Time (TAN) Kes 6. Did the COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? Yes 8. Myse, was cooler received? Yes 9. Was the sample received in task i.e., not broken? Yes 9. Was the sample received on iter fif yes, the recorded terms is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Note: Standard for Actual sample temperature Yes Sample Conthiner Actual sample temperature Yes Sample Container Actual sample temperature Yes Sample collected in the correct containers? Yes Sample Container Yes Yes Sample collected? NA 16. Is the head space less time 6-8 mm (pea sized | | | | ¥ | | | | |
| 3. Were samples dropped off by client or carrier? 4. Was the COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/times, requested analyses? 4. Was the COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/times, requested analyses? 4. Were all samples received with holding time? 4. Were all samples received with holding time if sidenession. 5. Did the COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedieted TAT? 5. Birble Coder 7. Was a sample coder received in good condition? 4. Yes 9. Was the sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? 9. Was the sample coder received? 9. Was the sample coder received? 9. Was the sample coder received? 10. Were custody/security seals intact? 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? 12. Was the sample coder received? 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: <u>42C</u> 5. Sample Coder for Hard the difference of the sample containers? 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? 14. Are aqueous VOC samples collected in VOA Vals? 15. Are VOC samples collected in the fragmete containers? 16. Is the head space less than 6-3 manple containers? 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 18. Are on-VOC samples collected in the orrect containers? 19. Server Control for Hard heads inflate the sample were preserved? 10. Bard Frime Collected? 10. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 10. Sample Low of the sample were preserved? 10. Were control and requested for dissolved metals? 10. Bard Frime Collected? 10. Sub control preserve? 10. Bard Frime Collected? 10. The orgen sample sample sample were preserved? 10. The orgen sample sample sample were preserve? 10. The orgen sample sample sample were preserve? 10. Disc the Coco field habe indicate the sampl | | | the COC | | | | | |
| 4. Was the COC complete, i.e., signatures, dates/times, requested analyses? Yes 5. Wore all samples received within holding time? Yes More Carl Analysis, such as pluy thich should be conducted in the field, t.e. 15 minute hold time, are not included in this discession. Comments/Resolution 6. Did the COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? Yes 8. If yes, was cooler received? Yes 8. If yes, was cooler received? Yes 9. Was the sample (s) received intact, i.e., not broken? Yes 9. Was the sample (s) received intact, i.e., not broken? Yes 9. Was the sample (s) received intact, i.e., not broken? Yes Note: custody/security seals intact? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? No 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6*±2°C Yes Note: custody/security seals intact? No 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C Sample Collect Sample Colliner No 14. Arc aqueous VOC samples collected in the ortex containers? No 15. supple classingle in Oux Mult? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? Na 17. Was a sample lables filled | | | | | <u> </u> | LIDC | | |
| 5. Were field sample base less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 15. Use a trybus sub or loss of the minimum information: 16. Is the head species less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 15. Are sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 26. Sample Core frequence in the sample containers collected? Yes 16. So the sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 27. High server 28. So the sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 28. Sample Core frequence in the sample containers collected? Yes 29. Sa the filled sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 29. Sample Core frequence in the sample containers collected? Yes 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 29. Sample Core frequence in the sample containers? Yes 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: 20. Sample Core frequence in the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of refield habels indicate the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of field labels indicate the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of field habels indicate the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of field habels indicate the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of field habels indicate the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of field habels indicate the sample containers? Yes 21. Does the Core of field habels indicate the sample same preserved? No 22. Are sample (a) correctly preserved? No 23. Are sample habels field out with the minimum information? Yes 24. Is habel fitted out with the minimum information? Yes 25. Does the sample habels filled out with the minimum information? Yes 26. Does the sample habels filled out with the minimum information? Yes 27. Hyes, does the Core Specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? Na 27. Are sample Sample Mark TX 28. Are sample required und or equested for dissolved metals? No 29. Are sample thape required und yes thap thap thap the phase (s) is to be analyze | | | analyses? | | Carrier: | <u>UPS</u> | | |
| The formation of the first standard TAT. 5. Did the COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? 5. Was a sample cooler received? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? 5. Was antipe blank (TB) include the sample set to a subcontiner solected? 5. Was anample blank (TB) includ | | all samples received within holding time? Note: Analysis, such as pH which should be conducted in the | • | | | | Commer | nts/Resolution |
| 6. Did the COC indicate standard TAT, or Expedited TAT? Yes Sample Cooler Yes 9. Was ke sample cooler received in good condition? Yes 9. Was the sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? Yes 10. Were custody/security seals presen? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? Na 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received wit 15 minutes of sampling 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: $\frac{4°C}{2°C}$ No 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was ari blank (TB) include for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample ID? 21. Joses the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? Na 23. Are sample(s) correct | Sample | | | | | | | |
| 7. Was a sample cooler received? Yes 8. If yes, was cooler received in good condition? Yes 9. Was the sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? No 10. Were custody/security seals present? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? NA 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°=2°C Yes Not: Themal preservation is not required, if samples are received win 15 minutes of sampling The visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C 3. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C Sample Container 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6.5 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected? Yes 0. Jes the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes 0. Bute filed sample labels filed out with the minimum information: Sample Collected? 19. Dos the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 20. Are sample(s) correcity preserved? No 21. Is hab filtention required or requested for dissolved metal | - | | | Yes | | | | |
| 8. If yes, was cooler received in good condition? Yes 9. Was the sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? Yes 10. Were custody/security seals present? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? No 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received wii 15 minutes of sampling No 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C Yes Sample Container No 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample 10? Sample 10? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes 21. Does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? No Are sample (so) | | | | | | 1 | | |
| 9. Was the sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? Yes 10. Were custody/security seals present? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? NA 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Not: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received w/i 15 minutes of sampling The preservation is not required, if samples are received w/i 15 minutes of samples collected in VOA Vials? 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: $\frac{4^{\circ}C}{2^{\circ}C}$ Sample Container 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was at tip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Collectors name? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 24. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? NA 24. Is lab filt | | 1 | | Yes | | 1 | | |
| 10. Were custody/security seals present? No 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? NA 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Not: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received wij 15 minutes of sampling 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C Sample Container 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip bank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes Field Label 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample ID? Sample COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 20. Were field sample labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(b) correcity preserved? No 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? N | 8. If yes | , was cooler received in good condition? | | Yes | | 1 | | |
| 11. If yes, were custody/security seals intact? NA 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received wi 15 Yes Sample Container 4°C 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 5. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Diale Time Collected? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? NA 21. Loes the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample (s) correctly preserved? NA 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? | 9. Was t | he sample(s) received intact, i.e., not broken? | | Yes | | | | |
| 12. Was the sample received on ice? If yes, the recorded temp is 4°C, i.e., 6°±2°C Yes Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received wi 15 minutus of sampling 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C Sample Container 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes Pield Label 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample ID? Sample ID? Yes Oldectors name? No 21. Does the COC of field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 21. Does the COC of receive and/or requested for dissolved metals? No 22. Are sample flop: Ore 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? Na 26. Are sample tay or the none phase, i.e., multiphase? No Subcontract Laboratory No <td>10. Were</td> <td>e custody/security seals present?</td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | 10. Were | e custody/security seals present? | | No | | | | |
| Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are received wi 15 minutes of sampling 13. If no visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample temperature: 4°C Sample Container 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? No 23. Los the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No 25. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, is to be analyzed? Na 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? | 11. If ye | s, were custody/security seals intact? | | NA | | | | |
| Sample Container 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample TD? Sample Collected? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? No 23. Are sample Matrix Ko 26. Does the sample Matrix So 26. Does the sample Matrix Xo 26. Does the sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample Matrix No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? Na Subcontract Laboratory No 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laborator? No | 12. Was t | Note: Thermal preservation is not required, if samples are rec | | Yes | | | | |
| 14. Are aqueous VOC samples present? No 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Field Label Yes 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Yes Sample ID? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No Sample Preservation No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? No 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? Na 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | 13. If no | visible ice, record the temperature. Actual sample ten | nperature: <u>4</u> ° | <u>'C</u> | | | | |
| 15. Are VOC samples collected in VOA Vials? NA 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Field Label Yes 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Yes Sample ID? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? No 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No 25. Does the SCOC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? No 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | | | | | | | |
| 16. Is the head space less than 6-8 mm (pea sized or less)? NA 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Field Label | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Was a trip blank (TB) included for VOC analyses? NA 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Field Label 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Yes Sample ID? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No Sample ID No Sample Scorrectly preserved? No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? No 23. Are sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? Na 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? Na Subcontract Laboratory No 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | - | | | | | | |
| 18. Are non-VOC samples collected in the correct containers? Yes 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Field Label 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample ID? Sample ID? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No Sample Preservation No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? No 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 71. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory No 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | | | | | | | |
| 19. Is the appropriate volume/weight or number of sample containers collected? Yes Field Label 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample ID? Sample ID? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No Sample Preservation 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 21. Does the COC or field addrest indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? NA 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 70. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory No 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | | | | | | | |
| Field Label 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Sample ID? Date/Time Collected? Collectors name? No Sample Preservation 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? Na 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 7. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? | | - | | | | | | |
| 20. Were field sample labels filled out with the minimum information: Yes Sample ID? Yes Date/Time Collected? Yes Collectors name? No Sample Preservation No 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? NA 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory No Subcontract Laboratory No | | | collected? | Yes | | | | |
| Sample ID?YesDate/Time Collected?YesCollectors name?NoSample PreservationNo21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved?No22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved?NA24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals?NoMultiphase Sample MatrixNo26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase?No27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed?NASubcontract LaboratoryNA28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory?No | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Date/Time Collected? Collectors name?Yes NoSample PreservationNo21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved?No22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved?NA24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals?NoMultiphase Sample MatrixNo26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase?No27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed?NASubcontract LaboratoryNA28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory?No | | - | auoii: | Ves | | 1 | | |
| Collectors name?NoSample PreservationNo21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved?No22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved?NA24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals?NoMultiphase Sample MatrixNo26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase?No27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed?NaSubcontract LaboratoryNa28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory?No | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 21. Does the COC or field labels indicate the samples were preserved? No 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? NA 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory NA 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | | | | | | | |
| 22. Are sample(s) correctly preserved? NA 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory NA 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | <u>Sample</u> | Preservation | | | | | | |
| 24. Is lab filteration required and/or requested for dissolved metals? No Multiphase Sample Matrix 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory NA 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | | rved? | No | | | | |
| Multiphase Sample Matrix No 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory NA 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | | | | NA | | | | |
| 26. Does the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? No 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory NA 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | 24. Is la | b filteration required and/or requested for dissolved meta | ls? | No | | | | |
| 27. If yes, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed? NA Subcontract Laboratory 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | <u>Multiph</u> | ase Sample Matrix | | | | | | |
| Subcontract Laboratory 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | 26. Doe | s the sample have more than one phase, i.e., multiphase? | | No | | | | |
| 28. Are samples required to get sent to a subcontract laboratory? No | 27. If ye | es, does the COC specify which phase(s) is to be analyzed | 1? | NA | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 29. Was a subcontract laboratory specified by the client and if so who? NA Subcontract Lab: na | | | | | | | | |
| | 29. Was | a subcontract laboratory specified by the client and if so | who? | NA | Subcontract La | ab: na | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Signature of client authorizing changes to the COC or sample disposition.



envirotech Inc.

•

ATTACHMENT F

48-Hour Liner Inspection Notification Email





48-hour Liner Inspection Notification - North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H 1 message

Ashley Giovengo <ashley.giovengo@wescominc.com>

Mon, Jan 10, 2022 at 2:20 PM

To: "Hamlet, Robert, EMNRD" <Robert.hamlet@state.nm.us>, "Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD" <mike.bratcher@state.nm.us>, "Hensley, Chad, EMNRD" <Chad.Hensley@state.nm.us>, "Venegas, Victoria, EMNRD" <Victoria.venegas@state.nm.us> Cc: Shar Harvester <shar.harvester@wescominc.com>, "Raley, Jim" <Jim.Raley@dvn.com>, Cole Burton <cole.burton@wescominc.com>, Daniel Davis <daniel.davis@wescominc.com>, Joey Croce <joey.croce@wescominc.com>, Cody York <cody.york@wescominc.com>

Hello All,

This email is to notify the NMOCD that Wescom, Inc. will be on the North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H to perform a liner inspection. Inspection will be conducted on Friday, January 14, 2022 (01/14/2022) at 0800 hours. Please let me know if you have any guestions.

Thank you,

Ashley Giovengo, Environmental Manager - Permian O (218) 724-1322 | C (505) 382-1211 WescomInc.com | ashley.giovengo@WescomInc.com "I am in charge of my own safety."



ATTACHMENT G

48-Hour Confirmation Sampling Notification Emails

Energizing America wescominc.com | info@wescominc.com | 218-724-1322



48-Hour Confirmation Sample Notice - nAPP2134850486

1 message

Ashley Giovengo <ashley.giovengo@wescominc.com>

Wed, Jan 26, 2022 at 10:34 AM

To: "Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD" <mike.bratcher@state.nm.us>, "Hensley, Chad, EMNRD" <Chad.Hensley@state.nm.us>, "Hamlet, Robert, EMNRD" <Robert.hamlet@state.nm.us>, "Venegas, Victoria, EMNRD" <Victoria.venegas@state.nm.us> Cc: "Raley, Jim" <Jim.Raley@dvn.com>, Cole Burton <cole.burton@wescominc.com>, Shar Harvester <shar.harvester@wescominc.com>, Joey Croce <joey.croce@wescominc.com>, Cody York <cody.vork@wescominc.com>

Hello All,

We intend to take confirmation samples at the North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H - nAPP2134850486 starting on (01/28/22).

Please let us know if you plan to be onsite to oversee this sampling event.

Thanks,

Ashley Giovengo, Environmental Manager - Permian O (218) 724-1322 | C (505) 382-1211 WescomInc.com | ashley.giovengo@WescomInc.com "I am in charge of my own safety."





48-Hour Confirmation Sample Notice - nAPP2134850486

1 message

Ashley Giovengo <ashley.giovengo@wescominc.com>

Mon, Jan 31, 2022 at 5:24 AM

To: "Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD" <mike.bratcher@state.nm.us>, "Hensley, Chad, EMNRD" <Chad.Hensley@state.nm.us>, "Venegas, Victoria, EMNRD" <Victoria.venegas@state.nm.us>, "Hamlet, Robert, EMNRD" <Robert.hamlet@state.nm.us> Cc: Shar Harvester <shar.harvester@wescominc.com>, Cole Burton <cole.burton@wescominc.com>, Daniel Davis <daniel.davis@wescominc.com>, "Raley, Jim" <Jim.Raley@dvn.com>. Joey Croce <ioey.croce@wescominc.com>. Cody York <cody.vork@wescominc.com>

Hello,

Please extend the confirmation sampling event at the North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H - nAPP2134850486 until 01/31/2022.

Thanks,

Ashley Giovengo, Environmental Manager - Permian O (218) 724-1322 | C (505) 382-1211 WescomInc.com | ashley.giovengo@WescomInc.com "I am in charge of my own safety."





48-hour Confirmation Sampling Notification - North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H (nAPP2134850486) 1 message

Ashley Giovengo <ashley.giovengo@wescominc.com>

Wed, Mar 2, 2022 at 2:45 PM

To: "Velez, Nelson, EMNRD" <nelson.velez@state.nm.us>, "Nobui, Jennifer, EMNRD" <jennifer.nobui@state.nm.us>, "Billings, Bradford, EMNRD" <bradford.billings@state.nm.us>, "Hamlet, Robert, EMNRD" <Robert.hamlet@state.nm.us>, "Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD" <mike.bratcher@state.nm.us>, "Hensley, Chad, EMNRD" <Chad.Hensley@state.nm.us>

Cc: "Raley, Jim" <Jim.Raley@dvn.com>, Cole Burton <cole.burton@wescominc.com>, Joey Croce <joey.croce@wescominc.com>, Cody York <cody.york@wescominc.com>

Hello All,

We intend to take confirmation samples at the North Brushy Draw Federal 35 #002H - nAPP2134850486 starting on (03/04/22).

Please let us know if you plan to be onsite to oversee this sampling event.

Thanks,

Ashley Giovengo, Environmental Manager - Permian O (218) 724-1322 | C (505) 382-1211 WescomInc.com | ashley.giovengo@WescomInc.com "I am in charge of my own safety."

💓 | f 🞯 in

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone:(575) 393-6161 Fax:(575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV

1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone: (505) 476-3470 Fax: (505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

| Operator: | OGRID: |
|---------------------------|---|
| WPX Energy Permian, LLC | 246289 |
| Devon Energy - Regulatory | Action Number: |
| Oklahoma City, OK 73102 | 89332 |
| | Action Type: |
| | [C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141) |
| | |

CONDITIONS

| Created By | | Condition Date |
|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| jnobui | Deferral Request Approved. | 3/22/2022 |

Page 90 of 90

Action 89332