District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

Page 1 of 93

| Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 |
| Application ID | |

Release Notification

Responsible Party

| Responsible Party Marathon Oil Permian LLC | OGRID 372098 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Contact Name Melodie Sanjari | Contact Telephone 575-988-8753 |
| Contact email msanjari@marathonoil.com | Incident # (assigned by OCD) |
| Contact mailing address 4111 S. Tidwell Rd., Carlsbad, NM 8220 | · |

Location of Release Source

Latitude 32.3995934

Longitude -103.5867087 (NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

| Site Name CHILI PARLOR 17 FED TB | Site Type Oil & Gas Facility |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Date Release Discovered: 4/22/2023 | API# (if applicable) |

| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | County |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| Р | 08 | 22S | 33E | Lea |

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name: _

Nature and Volume of Release

| Materi | Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below) | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|
| Crude Oil | Volume Released (bbls) ignited/0.03 | Volume Recovered (bbls) ignited | |
| Produced Water | Volume Released (bbls) | Volume Recovered (bbls) | |
| | Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l? | Yes No | |
| Condensate | Volume Released (bbls) | Volume Recovered (bbls) | |
| Natural Gas | Volume Released (Mcf) | Volume Recovered (Mcf) | |
| Other (describe) | Volume/Weight Released (provide units) | Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units) | |

Cause of Release

The bridal to LSHH was plugged, causing fluid in the flare line to not reach the tuning fork, which then sent it to the flare. As a result, a \sim 2200 square foot area around the flare and edge of the location were scorched. Characterization of impact is ongoing.

Page 2

Page 2 of 93

| Was this a major release as defined by | If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release? |
|--|---|
| 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC? | |
| 🛛 Yes 🗌 No | |
| | |
| | |
| If YES, was immediate no | otice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)? |
| NOR submitted 4/22/202 | 3 |
| | |

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 \square The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

| Printed Name: <u>Melodie Sanjari</u> | Title: <u>Environmental Professional</u> |
|--|--|
| Signature: <u>Melodíe Sanjarí</u> | Date: 4/26/2023 |
| email: <u>msanjari@marathonoil.com</u> | Telephone: <u>575-988-8753</u> |
| OCD Only | |
| Received by: | Date: |

.

MRO Spill Calculation Tool

| Standing Liquid Inputs: | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | Length (ft.) | Width (ft.) (Tank Displacement) | Avg. Liquid Depth (in.) | % Oil | Total Volume (bbls) | Water Volume (bbls) | Oil Volume (bbls) |
| Rectangle Area #1 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #2 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #3 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #4 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #5 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #6 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vessel Displacement | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Vessel Displacement | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| - | | | | Liquid Volume: | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Saturated Soil Inputs: | Longth (ft) | Soil Type: | Gravel or Sand Avg. Saturated |) % Oil | Total Volume (bbls) | Water Volume | Oil Volume |
| De stanela Anna #1 | Length (ft.) | | Depth (in.) | % 0/i | . , | (bbls) | (bbls) 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #1 Rectangle Area #2 | | 2200 | 0.0125 | | 0.03 | 0.03 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #2 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #4 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #4 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #6 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #7 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Rectangle Area #8 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | | Saturated Volume | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | Total Volume (bbls) | Water Volume (bbls) | Oil Volume (bbls) |
| | | | | bill Volume (bbls): | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| | | Total Spill Volume (gals): 1.37 1.37 0.00 | | | 0.00 | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Color Key: | Required Input Cells | Supplemental Input Cells | No Input (Calculations) | No Input |
| | | Gro | und/Vegetatio | on Overspray | | | |
| Cover Type | | | Approximate D | | | | |
| Ground | | WICEOUS | | | | | |
| Dull Color | | 10 | 0.00003281 | | | | |
| Dark Color | | | 0.00016404 | | | | |
| | | 50 | 0.00010404 | | | | |

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Page 3

Oil Conservation Division

| Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 |
| Application ID | |

Page 4 of 93

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

| What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release? | <u>>100</u> (ft bgs) |
|---|-------------------------|
| Did this release impact groundwater or surface water? | 🗌 Yes 🗴 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? | Yes X No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? | Yes X No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? | 🗌 Yes 🗶 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? | 🗌 Yes 🗶 No |

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- X Field data
- X Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- \underline{X} Depth to water determination
- X Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ¹/₂-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- X Boring or excavation logs
- X Photographs including date and GIS information
- X Topographic/Aerial maps
- $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

| Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico | | | Page 5 of 93 | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Oil Conservation Division | | Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 | |
| Page 4 | | | District RP | | |
| | | | Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 | |
| | | | Application ID | | |
| regulations all operators are requ public health or the environment. failed to adequately investigate a | | ifications and perform co OCD does not relieve the eat to groundwater, surfa | prrective actions for rele coperator of liability sh ce water, human health liance with any other fe ll Professional | eases which may endanger ould their operations have a or the environment. In | |
| OCD Only Received by: Jocelyn | Harimon | Date:0 | 6/05/2023 | | |

Page 6

Oil Conservation Division

| Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 |
| Application ID | |

Page 6 of 93

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

X A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

 \overline{X} Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

X Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

X Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

| Printed Name: Melodie Sanjari | Title: Environmental Professional |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Signature: | Date:6/5/2023 |
| email: msanjari@marathonoil.com | Telephone: <u>575-988-8753</u> |
| | |
| | |
| OCD Only | |
| Received by: Jocelyn Harimon | Date: 06/05/2023 |
| | of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible or regulations. |
| Closure Approved by: | Date: |
| Printed Name: | Title: |



Incident Number: nAPP2311239328

Release Assessment and Closure

Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB Unit P, Section 08, Township 22 South, Range 33 East County: Lea Vertex File Number: 23E-02431

Prepared for: Marathon Oil Company

Prepared by: Vertex Resource Services Inc.

Date: May 2023 Marathon Oil Company Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB

Release Assessment and Closure Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB Unit P, Section 08, Township 22 South, Range 33 East County: Lea

Prepared for: Marathon Oil Company 990 Town and Country BLVD Houston, Texas, 77024

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division – District 1 Hobbs 1625 North French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Prepared by: Vertex Resource Services Inc. 3101 Boyd Drive Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

Chance Dixon

Chance Dixon B.Sc. PROJECT MANAGER, REPORTING 6/5/2023

Date

| Marathon Oil Company | Release Assessment and Closure |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB | May 2023 |

Table of Contents

| 1.0 | Introduction | . 1 |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----|
| 2.0 | Incident Description | . 1 |
| 3.0 | Site Characteristics | . 1 |
| 4.0 | Closure Criteria Determination | . 2 |
| 5.0 | Remedial Actions Taken | . 4 |
| 6.0 | Closure Request | . 4 |
| 7.0 | References | . 6 |
| 8.0 | Limitations | . 7 |

•

Marathon Oil Company Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB

In-text Tables

Table 1. Closure Criteria Determination

Table 2. Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release

List of Figures

Figure 1. Confirmatory Sampling Site Schematic

List of Tables

Table 3. Confirmatory Sample Laboratory Results – Depth to Groundwater >100 feet bgs

List of Appendices

- Appendix A. NMOCD C 141 Report(s)
- Appendix B. Closure Criteria Research Documentation
- Appendix C. Daily Field and Sampling Report(s)
- Appendix D. Notification(s)
- Appendix E. Laboratory Data Report(s) and Chain of Custody Form(s)

Release Assessment and Closure May 2023

1.0 Introduction

Marathon Oil Company (Marathon) retained Vertex Resource Services Inc. (Vertex) to conduct a Release Assessment and Closure for a crude oil release that occurred on April 22, 2023, at Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB (hereafter referred to as "Chili Parlor"). Marathon submitted an initial C-141 Release Notification (Appendix A) to New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) District 1 on April 26, 2023. Incident ID number nAPP2311239328 was assigned to this incident.

This report provides a description of the release assessment and remediation activities associated with the site. The information presented demonstrates that closure criteria established in Table I of 19.15.29.12 of the *New Mexico Administrative Code* (NMAC; New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, 2018) related to NMOCD has been met and all applicable regulations are being followed. This document is intended to serve as a final report to obtain approval from NMOCD for the closure of this release, with the understanding that restoration of the release site will be deferred until such time as all oil and gas activities are terminated and the site is reclaimed as per NMAC 19.15.29.13.

2.0 Incident Description

The release occurred on April 22, 2023, with fluid in the flare line not reaching the tuning fork, which then sent it to the flare. The ground area around the flare was scorched. The incident was reported on April 26, 2023. The volume of the release is approximately 0.03 bbl, or just over 1 gallon. None of the fluids were recovered after the release. The impact remained inside of the site boundary. Additional details relevant to the release are presented in the C-141 Report. Daily Field Reports (DFRs) and site photographs are included in Appendix C.

3.0 Site Characteristics

The site is located approximately 25.1 miles west of Eunice, New Mexico. The legal location for the site is Unit P, Section 8, Township 22 South, Range 33 East in Lea County, New Mexico. The release area is located on state property. An aerial photograph and site schematic are presented in Figure 1.

The Geological Map of New Mexico (New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, 2023) indicates the site's surface geology primarily comprises Qep – Eolian and Piedmont deposits from the Holocene to middle Pleistocene interlayed with eolian sands and piedmont-slope deposits. The predominant soil texture on the site is loamy fine sand. The soil is excessively drained with a very high runoff. The karst geology potential for the site is low (United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, 2018).

The location is typical of oil and gas exploration and production sites in the Permian Basin and is currently used for oil and gas production and storage. The following sections specifically describe the impact area on the southwest corner of the constructed well pad directly across from the north access road (Figure 1).

The surrounding landscape is associated with ridges and plains with elevations ranging between 3,280 and 4,460 feet. The climate is semiarid with average annual precipitation ranging between 10 and 16 inches. Using information from the United States Department of Agriculture, the dominant vegetation was determined to be black grama. Grasses with shrubs and half-shrubs dominate the historic plant community (United States Department of Agriculture, Natural

1

| Marathon Oil Company | |
|------------------------|--|
| Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB | |

Resources Conservation Service, 2023). Limited to no vegetation is allowed to grow on the compacted production pad, right-of-way, or access road.

4.0 Closure Criteria Determination

The nearest depth to groundwater (DTGW) reference to the site is a New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) borehole that was drilled on the western edge of the location (New Mexico Office of the State Engineer, 2023). Data from 2022 shows the NMOSE borehole was a dry hole 101 feet below ground surface (bgs). Information pertaining to the depth to groundwater determination is included in Appendix B.

There is no surface water present at the site. The nearest significant watercourse, as defined in Subsection P of 19.15.17.7 NMAC, is an intermittent stream located approximately 1.2 miles southeast of the site (National Wetlands Inventory, 2023).

At the site, there are no continuously flowing watercourses or significant watercourses, lakebeds, sinkholes, playa lakes, or other critical water or community features as outlined in Paragraph (4) of Subsection C of 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB

| | Criteria Worksheet | | | - | |
|----|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| | ne: Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB | 22 2005024 | V. 103 F0C7007 | | |
| - | ordinates: | 32.3995934 Value | Y: -103.5867087 Unit | Reference | |
| - | cific Conditions | | | | |
| 1 | Depth to Groundwater | >100 | feet | 1 | |
| 2 | Within 300 feet of any continuously flowing | 6,862 | feet | 2 | |
| | watercourse or any other significant watercourse Within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole or playa lake | | | | |
| 3 | (measured from the ordinary high-water mark) | 8,905 | feet | 3 | |
| | Within 300 feet from an occupied residence, school, | | | | |
| 4 | - | 132,543 | feet | 4 | |
| | hospital, institution or church | | | | |
| | i) Within 500 feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for | >500 | feet | 5 | |
| 5 | - | >500 | Teet | 5 | |
| | domestic or stock watering purposes, or | | faat | | |
| | ii) Within 1000 feet of any fresh water well or spring | | feet | 5 | |
| | Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a | | | | |
| C | defined municipal fresh water field covered under a | Ne | (Y/N) | C | |
| 6 | municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to Section 3-27- | No | | 6 | |
| | 3 NMSA 1978 as amended, unless the municipality | | | | |
| 7 | specifically approves Within 300 feet of a wetland | 21.160 | feet | 7 | |
| 8 | | 31,169 No | | | |
| 8 | Within the area overlying a subsurface mine | NO | (Y/N) | 8 | |
| | | | Critical | | |
| 9 | Within an unstable area (Karst Map) | Low | High | 9 | |
| | | | Medium | | |
| | | | Low | | |
| 10 | Within a 100-year Floodplain | >500 | year | 10 | |
| | | | | | |
| 11 | Soil Type | Loamy Fine Sand | | 11 | |
| | | - | | | |
| 12 | Ecological Classification | Tonuco | | 12 | |
| | - | | | | |
| 13 | Geology | Qep | | 13 | |
| | | | <50' | | |
| | NMAC 19.15.29.12 E (Table 1) Closure Criteria | >100' | 51-100' | | |
| | | | >100' | | |

.

| Marathon Oil Company |
|------------------------|
| Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB |

The closure criteria determined for the site are associated with the following constituent concentration limits as presented in Table 2.

| Minimum depth below any point within the horizontal boundary of the release to groundwater less than | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|
| 10,000 mg/l TDS | Constituent | Limit |
| | Chloride | 20,000 mg/kg |
| | TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO) | 2,500 mg/kg |
| > 100 feet | GRO+DRO | 1,000 mg/kg |
| | BTEX | 50 mg/kg |
| | Benzene | 10 mg/kg |

TDS – total dissolved solides

TPH – total petroleum hydrocarbons, GRO – gas range organics, DRO – diesel range organics, MRO – motor oil range organics BTEX – benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes

5.0 Remedial Actions

Excavation of impacted soils was conducted on May 1, 2023, during initial response. Confirmation sampling was conducted on May 2, 2023. Field screening was completed on a total of 16 sample points and consisted of analysis using a Photo Ionization Detector (volatile hydrocarbons), Dexsil Petroflag using EPA SW-846 Method 9074 (extractable hydrocarbons), and Silver Nitrate (chlorides). Contaminated Soils were removed with a surface scrape across the release area. Impacted soil was transported by a licensed waste hauler and disposed of at an approved waste management facility. The Daily Field Report used to document the sampling event is included in Appendix C.

Notification that confirmatory samples were being collected was provided to the NMOCD on April 26, 2023 and is included in Appendix D. Confirmatory composite samples were collected from the base and walls of the excavation in 200-square-foot increments. A total of 16 samples were collected for laboratory analysis following NMOCD soil sampling procedures. Samples were submitted to Hall Environmental Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico under chain-of-custody protocols and analyzed for BTEX (EPA Method 8021B), total petroleum hydrocarbons (GRO, DRO, MRO – EPA Method 8015D) and total chlorides (EPA Method 300.0). Laboratory results are presented in Table 3, and the laboratory data reports are included in Appendix E. All confirmatory samples collected and analyzed were below the applicable closure criteria for the site.

6.0 Closure Request

Vertex recommends no additional remediation action to address the release at the site. Laboratory analyses of confirmation samples collected at Chili Parlor show final confirmatory values below NMOCD closure criteria for areas where depth to groundwater is more than 100 feet bgs as presented in Table 1. There are no anticipated risks to human, ecological, or hydrological receptors at the release site.

| Marathon Oil Company | |
|------------------------|--|
| Chili Parlor 17 Fed TB | |

Vertex requests that this incident (nAPP2311239328) be closed as all closure requirements set forth in Subsection E of 19.15.29.12 NMAC have been met. Marathon certifies that all information in this report in the appendices is correct and that they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in Division rules and directives to meet NMOCD requirements to obtain closure on the release at Chili Parlor.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact Chance Dixon at 575.988.1472 or cdixon@vertex.ca.

5

7.0 References

Google Inc. (2022). Google Earth Pro (Version 7.3.3) [Software]. Retrieved from https://earth.google.com

- New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources. (2023). *Interactive Geologic Map*. Retrieved from https://maps.nmt.edu/
- New Mexico Department of Surface Water Quality Bureau. (2023). Assessed and Impaired Waters of New Mexico. Retrieved from https://gis.web.env.nm.gov/oem/?map=swqb
- New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department. (2023). OCD Permitting Spill Search. Retrieved from https://wwwapps.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/ocdpermitting/Data/Spills/Spills.aspx
- New Mexico Mining and Minerals Division. (2023). *Coal Mine Resources in New Mexico*. Retrieved from https://nmemnrd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5f80f3b0faa545e58fe747cc7b037a93
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. (2023a). Point of Diversion Location Report New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/wellSurfaceDiversion.html
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. (2023b). Water Column/Average Depth to Water Report New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/waterColumn.html
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. (2023c). Well Log/Meter Information Report New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System. Retrieved from http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/meterReport.html
- New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. (2018). *New Mexico Administrative Code Natural Resources and Wildlife Oil and Gas Releases*. Santa Fe, New Mexico.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. (2023). *Web Soil Survey*. Retrieved from https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx
- United States Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency. (2023). FEMA Flood Map Service: Search by Address. Retrieved from https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=malaga% 20new%20mexico#searchresultsanchor
- United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management. (2018). *New Mexico Cave/Karst*. Retrieved from https://www.nm.blm.gov/shapeFiles/cfo/carlsbad_spatial_data.html
- United States Geological Survey. (2023). National Water Information System: Web Interface. Retrieved from https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. (2023). *National Wetland Inventory Surface Waters and Wetlands*. Retrieved from https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/wetlands/apps/wetlands-mapper/

8.0 Limitations

This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of Marathon Oil Company (Marathon). This document may not be used by any other person or entity, with the exception of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division without the express written consent of Vertex Resource Services Inc. (Vertex) and Marathon. Any use of this report by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, or damages suffered as a result of the use of this report are the sole responsibility of the user.

The information and conclusions contained in this report are based upon work undertaken by trained professional and technical staff in accordance with generally accepted scientific practices current at the time the work was performed. The conclusions and recommendations presented represent the best judgement of Vertex based on the data collected during the assessment. Due to the nature of the assessment and the data available, Vertex cannot warrant against undiscovered environmental liabilities. Conclusions and recommendations presented in this report should not be considered legal advice.

7

FIGURES

Figure 1 - Lease Boundary

Legend

Shili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Flare Fire/Release

Page 19 of 93

- Lease Boundary
- E Point of Release







Refeased to Thing the 1/24/2024 3:01:10 PM

TABLES

| | Sample Description | | | | | Pe | troleum H | ydrocarbo | ns | | | | Inorganic |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Sample ID | Depth (ft) | Date | Benzene (mg/kg) | euene Loineu (mg/kg) | Ethylbenzene (m8/d8 | a) (a) (a) (b) | ଞ୍ଚ) ଅନୁସ୍ଥି BTEX (Total) ଅନ | ସ୍ଥି ସୁସ୍ଥି Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ଉ | a) My/d Biesel Range Organics (DRO) | ක්) ක්රීම් Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) කි | (erc) + Dro) (mg/kg) | a), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) (a) | (mg/kg) (Chloride Concentration |
| | NMOCD - NMAC <5 | 50 ft 19.15.29 (2018) | 10 | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | 100 | 600 |
| Criteria | NMOCD - NMAC 51-2 | 100 ft 19.15.29 (2018) | 10 | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | 1000 | 2500 | 10000 |
| | NMOCD - NMAC >1 | 00 ft 19.15.29 (2018) | 10 | - | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | 1000 | 2500 | 20000 |
| 2023 Excavation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WES23-01 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 36 | ND | 36 | 36 | ND |
| WES23-02 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 38 | ND | 38 | 38 | ND |
| WES23-03 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| WES23-04 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| BES23-01 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 24 | ND | 24 | 24 | ND |
| BES23-02 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| BES23-03 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 11 | ND | 11 | 11 | ND |
| BES23-04 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 320 | 190 | 320 | 510 | ND |
| BES23-05 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 16 | ND | 16 | 16 | ND |
| BES23-06 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 20 | ND | 20 | 20 | ND |
| BES23-07 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 27 | ND | 27 | 27 | ND |
| BES23-08 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 21 | ND | 21 | 21 | ND |
| BES23-09 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 25 | ND | 25 | 25 | ND |
| BES23-10 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 36 | ND | 36 | 36 | ND |
| BES23-11 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 29 | ND | 29 | 29 | ND |
| BES23-12 | 0 | May 2, 2023 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 36 | 52 | 36 | 88 | ND |

NMAC - New Mexico Administrative Code (Title 19, Chapter 15, Part 29; 2022)

ND - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- Denotes no standard/not analyzed

Bold and grey shaded indicates exceedance outside of NMOCD Closure Criteria (on-pad)

.

APPENDIX A - NMOCD C-141 Report

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Page 24 bf 93

Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

| Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 |
| Application ID | |

Release Notification

Responsible Party

| Responsible Party Marathon Oil Permian LLC | OGRID 372098 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Contact Name Melodie Sanjari | Contact Telephone 575-988-8753 |
| Contact email msanjari@marathonoil.com | Incident # (assigned by OCD) |
| Contact mailing address 4111 S. Tidwell Rd., Carlsbad, NM 8220 | · |

Location of Release Source

Latitude 32.3995934

Longitude -103.5867087 (NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

| Site Name CHILI PARLOR 17 FED TB | Site Type Oil & Gas Facility |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Date Release Discovered: 4/22/2023 | API# (if applicable) |

| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | County |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| Р | 08 | 22S | 33E | Lea |

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name: _

Nature and Volume of Release

| Mater | Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below) | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Crude Oil | Volume Released (bbls) 0.03 bbls ignited | Volume Recovered (bbls) ignited | | | | | | | |
| Produced Water | Volume Released (bbls) | Volume Recovered (bbls) | | | | | | | |
| | Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l? | Yes No | | | | | | | |
| Condensate | Volume Released (bbls) | Volume Recovered (bbls) | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas | Volume Released (Mcf) | Volume Recovered (Mcf) | | | | | | | |
| Other (describe) | Volume/Weight Released (provide units) | Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units) | | | | | | | |

Cause of Release

The bridal to LSHH was plugged, causing fluid in the flare line to not reach the tuning fork, which then sent it to the flare. As a result, a \sim 3000 square foot area around the flare and edge of the location were scorched. Characterization of impact is ongoing.

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM Form C-141 State of New Mexico

Page 3

Oil Conservation Division

| Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 |
| Application ID | |

Page 25 of 93

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

| What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release? | <u>>100</u> (ft bgs) |
|---|-------------------------|
| Did this release impact groundwater or surface water? | 🗌 Yes 🗴 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? | Yes X No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? | Yes X No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? | Yes X No |
| Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? | 🗌 Yes 🔀 No |
| Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? | 🗌 Yes 🗶 No |

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- X Field data
- X Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- \underline{X} Depth to water determination
- X Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ¹/₂-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- X Boring or excavation logs
- X Photographs including date and GIS information
- X Topographic/Aerial maps
- X Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

| Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9 | :51:36 AM State of New Mexico | | Page 26 of 9. | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Form C-141 | | | Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 | | | |
| Page 4 | Oil Conservation Division | | District RP | | | | |
| | | | Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 | | | |
| | | | Application ID | | | | |
| regulations all operators are req public health or the environmer failed to adequately investigate addition, OCD acceptance of a and/or regulations. Printed Name: <u>Melodie San</u> | | fications and perform co DCD does not relieve the eat to groundwater, surfa | orrective actions for rele e operator of liability sh uce water, human health liance with any other fe al Professional | eases which may endanger ould their operations have a or the environment. In | | | |
| OCD Only | | | | | | | |
| Received by: | | Date: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Oil Conservation Division

| Incident ID | nAPP2311239328 |
|----------------|----------------|
| District RP | |
| Facility ID | fAPP2126331437 |
| Application ID | |

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

X A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

 \overline{X} Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

X Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

X Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

| Printed Name: Melodie Sanjari | Title: Environmental Professional |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Signature: | Date: |
| email: msanjari@marathonoil.com | Telephone: <u>575-988-8753</u> |
| | |
| | |
| OCD Only | |
| Received by: | Date: |
| | of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible /or regulations. |
| Closure Approved by: | Date: |
| Printed Name: | Title: |

Page 27 of 93

Page 6

APPENDIX B – Closure Criteria Research Documentation

CP-1899 POD 1 0.5-Mile Radius



Stream River

Esri, HERE, iPC, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management, Esri, HERE, Garmin, iPC, Maxar



WELL RECORD & LOG

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

| Z | OSE POD NO POD1 (TW | | J.) | | WELL TAG ID NO. | | | OSE FIL CP-189 | | 8). | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------------|---|
| TIO | WELL OWNE | - |) | | | | | PHONE (OPTIONAL) | | | | | | |
| 1. GENERAL AND WELL LOCATION | Marathon Oil | | | | | | | | PHONE (OP HONAL) | | | | | |
| IT | WELL OWNE | | G ADDRESS | | | | | CITY | | | | STAT | Е | ZIP |
| WEI | 4111 S Tid | well Rd. | | | | | | Carlsbad NM 88220 | | | | | | |
| QN | WELL | | DE | GREES MINUTES SECONDS | | | | | | | | | | |
| AL / | LOCATION | | TITUDE | 32 | 23 | 59. | 07 N | | | | : ONE TEN | TH OF A | A SECOND | |
| VER | (FROM GP | S) LO | NGITUDE | 103 | 35 | 16. | 17 W | * DATU | JM REO | QUIRED: W | GS 84 | | | |
| GEI | DESCRIPTIC | ON RELATI | NG WELL LOCATION TO | STREET ADD | RESS AND COMMON | LANDM | ARKS – PLS | S (SECTIO | ON, TO | WNSHJIP, F | RANGE) WH | ERE A | VAILABLE | |
| 1. | SE SE SE S | Sec. 09 T | 22S R33E, NMPM | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LICENSE NO | | NAME OF LICENSED | DRILLER | | | | | | NAME O | F WELL DR | ILLING | COMPANY | |
| | 124 | 9 | | | Jackie D. Atkins | | | | | | Atkins Eng | ineeri | ng Associates, l | nc. |
| | DRILLING ST | | DRILLING ENDED | | OMPLETED WELL (FT | r) | BORE HOI | | I (FT) | DEPTH V | WATER FIR: | | OUNTERED (FT) | 1 |
| | 2/9/2 | 022 | 2/24/2022 | ten | nporary casing | | £ | ±101 | | | | n | /a | |
| 7 | COMPLETED | WELL IS: | ARTESIAN | ✓ DRY HO | LE SHALLO | W (UNCO | NFINED) | IN | | WATER LE PLETED WI | | /a | DATE STATIC 2/24/22, 1 | |
| TIOL | DRILLING FI | LUID: | AIR | MUD | MUD ADDITIVES – SPECIFY: | | | [t | 1) | | | | | |
| RMA | DRILLING M | ETHOD: | ROTARY HAMM | MER CAB | LE TOOL 🔽 OTHE | ER – SPEC | CIFY: H | Iollow S | Stem | Auger | CHECK | HERE | IF PITLESS ADA | PTER IS |
| 2. DRILLING & CASING INFORMATION | DEPTH (feet bgl) BORE HOLE | | | CASING MATERIAL AND/OR | | | | | | | Т | | | |
| GID | FROM TO DIAM | | BORE HOLE | | GRADE | | | ASING NECTION | N | | SING E DIAM. | | SING WALL HICKNESS | SLOT SIZE |
| NIS | (inches) | | (include each casing string, and note sections of screen) (ad | | Т | TYPE coupling diameter) | | (inches) | | | (inches) | (inches) | | |
| ¢ CA | 0 | 101 | ±8.5 | Boring | | (aud coup | | | | | | | | |
| NG 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DRI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | _09E1 |)II MAR | 11 | 2022 AMB14 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DEPTH (| (feet bgl) | BORE HOLE | | ST ANNULAR SE | | TERIAL A | ND | | | MOUNT | | METHO | |
| AL | FROM | TO | DIAM. (inches) | | VEL PACK SIZE- | | | | | | ubic feet) | | METHO PLACEN | in the second |
| ERI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IUL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ANNULAR MATERIAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Э. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OSE INTERI | | | | | \sim | | | | | | | 6 (Version 01/2 | 8/2022) |
| FILE | NO. (| 2-1 | 899 | | POD NO | .H | DDI | 1 | FRN N | VO. 7 | -127 | -13 | | |

339

WELL TAG ID NO. NA

PAGE 1 OF 2

525252

8

225

LOCATION

| | DEPTH (feet bgl) THICKNESS FROM TO TO (feet) COLOR AND TYPE OF MATERIAL ENCOUNTERED - INCLUDE WATER-BEARING CAVITIES OR FRACTURE ZONES (attach supplemental sheets to fully describe all units) | | | | | | | | ESTIMATED YIELD FOR WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm) | |
|------------------------------|---|-------------|---------------|------------------|---|---|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | 0 | 9 | | ζ √ N | | | | | | |
| | 9 | 19 | 10 | | e-grained, poorly graded with calich ine-grained, poorly graded with calic | | | ζ √N | | |
| | 19 | 34 | 15 | | ained, poorly graded with sub-angula | | | (√N | | |
| | 34 | 44 | 10 | | and, Fine-grained, poorly graded, Ta | | | ζ √N | | |
| | 44 | 49 | 5 | | ned, poorly graded with sub-angular | | | ζ √N | | |
| | 49 | 101 | 52 | | Clay, with sand ,Dry, Brown | Bu (u) b (u) d | | ζ √ N | | |
| /ELI | | | | | Ciuy, wiel Suile ,Diy, Diowi | | | ζ N | | |
| DF W | | | | | | | | ζ N | | |
| 0.96 | | | | | | | | ζ Ν | | |
| CLC | | | | | | | | K N | | |
| DOGI | | | | | | | | K N | | |
| TO | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. HYDROGEOLOGIC LOG OF WELL | | | | | | | | K N | | |
| YDR | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. H | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | K N K N | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | r N | | |
| | | | | | | | | r N | | |
| | | | | | | | | ζ N | | |
| | | | | | | | | ζ N | | |
| | | | | | | | | K N | | |
| | METHOD U | ISED TO ES | TIMATE YIELD | OF WATER-BEARIN | IG STRATA: | | TOTAL ES | | 0.00 | |
| | PUM | | IR LIFT | BAILER 0 | THER – SPECIFY: | | WELL II | ELD (gpm): | 0.00 | |
| TEST; RIG SUPERVISION | WELL TEST TEST RESULTS - ATTACH A COPY OF DATA COLLECTED DURING WELL TESTING, INCLUDING DISCHARGE METHOD, START TIME, END TIME, AND A TABLE SHOWING DISCHARGE AND DRAWDOWN OVER THE TESTING PERIOD. MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION: Temporary well materials removed and he soil boring backfilled using drill cuttings from total depth to ten feet below ground surface, then hydrated bentonite chips ten feet below ground surface to surface. | | | | | | | | | |
| RIG | | | | | | | and the second second | and the second | | |
| ST; | | | | | | | | AR 11 202 | | |
| 5. TH | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE | CORRECT I | RECORD O | F THE ABOVE I | DESCRIBED HOLE A | BEST OF HIS OR HER KNOWLEI ND THAT HE OR SHE WILL FILF IPLETION OF WELL DRILLING: | | | | | |
| | Jack A | tkins | | Ja | Jackie D. Atkins | | | 3/10/2022 | | |
| 9 | SIGNATURE OF DRILLER / PRINT SIGNEE NAME DATE | | | | | | | | | |
| EOT | OFF BUTER | | | | | WP OG NET | L DECORT | ALOC OF | nion 01/00/0000 | |
| | R OSE INTER E NO. (| 12 INAL USE | 899 | | POD NO. POD 1 | TRN NO. | TICKECORE | | rsion 01/28/2022) | |

332 WELL TAG ID NO.

PAGE 2 OF 2

•

NA

SESESE

8

225

LOCATION

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

Mike A. Hamman, P.E. State Engineer



Roswell Office 1900 WEST SECOND STREET ROSWELL, NM 88201

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

Trn Nbr: 717713 File Nbr: CP 01899 Well File Nbr: CP 01899 POD1

Mar. 22, 2022

MELODIE SANJARI MARATHON OIL 4111 S TIDWELL RD CARLSBAD, NM 88220

Greetings:

The above numbered permit was issued in your name on 01/31/2022.

The Well Record was received in this office on 03/11/2022, stating that it had been completed on 02/24/2022, and was a dry well. The well is to be plugged according to 19.27.4.30 NMAC.

Please note that another well can be drilled under this permit if the well is completed and the well log filed on or before 01/31/2023.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Megen Telles (575)622-6521

drywell

Regained by 295 6/5/2023 9:51 36 A. M. us/ReportDispatcher?type=WRHTML&name=WaterRightSummaryHTML.jrxml&basin=CP&nbr=018988 37 293

| | | Ne | | ico Office <mark>er Rig</mark> l | v | | | U | r |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| P | WR File Numbe | er: CP 018 | 99 | Subbasin: (| СР | Cross Re | ference: | - | |
| | Primary Purpos | e: MON | MONITOR | ING WELL | | | | | |
| <u>get image list</u> | Primary Status: | PMT | PERMIT | | | | | | |
| | Total Acres: | | | Subfile: | - | | | Header: - | |
| | Total Diversion: | 0 | | Cause/Case: | - | | | | |
| | Owner | : MARA | THON OIL | | | | | | |
| | Contact | : MELO | DIE SANJAR | T | | | | | |
| Document | s on File | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Status | T (' D | | From/ | | D' ' | |
| A | | 'ile/Act | 1 2 | Transaction Desc. | | То | Acres | | Consumptive |
| images | 717713 EXPL 20 | 22-01-31 | PMT APR | CP 01899 POD1 | | Т | 0 | 0 | |
| Current P | x oints of Diversion | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Number W 899 POD1 N. | 0 | - | (NA Q4Sec Tws Rng 4 08 22S 33E | AD83 UTM X 632811 | I in meters) Y 3585622 | Other I | Location Des | SC . |

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

5/15/23 1:50 PM

WATER RIGHT SUMMARY

.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Page 34 of 93



Intermittent Stream 6,862 Feet



May 15, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Pond

Lake Other Riverine

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)

This page was produced by the NWI mapper

National Wetlands Inventory

Freshwater Pond 8,905 Feet



May 15, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Emergent Wetland Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- **Freshwater Pond**

Lake Other Riverine

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Released to Imaging: 1/24/2024 3:01:10 PM

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper


12022 0.51.26 13 Recei ued by OCD

National Wetlands Inventory

Wetland 31,169 Feet



May 15, 2023

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Pond

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Lake Other Riverine

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Coal Mines in New Mexico





3

2

4 mi

6 km

1

1.5

0

0



National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

Page 40 of 93



Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

Lea County, New Mexico

TF—Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tw3c Elevation: 3,280 to 4,460 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 64 degrees F Frost-free period: 180 to 220 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Tonuco and similar soils: 70 percent Minor components: 30 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Tonuco

Setting

Landform: Ridges, plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Sandy eolian deposits

Typical profile

A - 0 to 12 inches: loamy fine sand Bw - 12 to 17 inches: loamy sand Bkkm - 17 to 39 inches: cemented material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 12 to 20 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 2 percent
Gypsum, maximum content: 1 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 2.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Map Unit Description: Tonuco loamy fine sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes---Lea County, New Mexico

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R077DY048TX - Shallow 12-17" PZ Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Simona

Percent of map unit: 15 percent Landform: Ridges, plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R070BD002NM - Shallow Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

Berino

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Ridges, plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R070BD003NM - Loamy Sand Hydric soil rating: No

Cacique

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ridges, plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R070BD004NM - Sandy Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 8, 2022



Ecological site R077DY048TX Shallow 12-17" PZ

Accessed: 05/15/2023

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 077D-Southern High Plains, Southwestern Part

This MLRA 77D is characterized by nearly level to gently undulating plains with scattered playa depressions. Soil temperature regime is thermic and soil moisture regime is aridic bordering on ustic. Sandy and loamy soils are generally well drained and range from shallow to deep and medium- to coarse-textured. Native vegetation is short-to midgrasses and sandy sites support tallgrasses with sand shin oak and mesquite. Current land use is mainly rangeland, although irrigated cropland is expanding.

Classification relationships

This ecological site is correlated to soil components at the Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) level which is further described in USDA Ag Handbook 296

Ecological site concept

This site occurs on shallow, calcareous soils on uplands. The reference vegetation consists of primarily shortgrasses with midgrasses, few forbs, and very few shrubs. Abusive grazing practices can lead to a shift in the plant community. Removal of fire from the ecosystem can lead to an increase in woody plant cover.

Associated sites

| | Limy Upland 12-17" PZ Shallow sites can be found adjacent to limy upland sites. The limy upland sites will occur as gently undulating soils that occur on broad upland plains. |
|--|--|
| | Sandy Loam 12-17" PZ Sandy loam sites occur adjacent to shallow sites as deeper soils on nearly level plains. |

Similar sites

| R077DY047TX | Sandy Loam 12-17" PZ | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | Sandy loam sites have similar forage plant communities with higher production potential. | |

Table 1. Dominant plant species

| Tree | Not specified | | | |
|------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Shrub | Not specified | | | |
| Herbaceous | (1) Bouteloua eriopoda | | | |

Physiographic features

Soils correlated in the MLRA 77D Shallow ecological site are shallow to a petrocalcic horizon. They were formed in moderately fine textured eolian sediments of the Blackwater Draw Formation of Pleistocene age. These soils are typically on gently sloping plains, narrow ridges, and side slopes along draws. Slope ranges from 0 to 15 percent.

The landforms for the Shallow site include Plain, Ridge, and Side slopes.

| Landforms | (1) Plain (2) Ridge | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Flooding frequency | None | | | |
| Ponding frequency | None | | | |
| Elevation | 2,000–5,000 ft | | | |
| Slope | 0–15% | | | |
| Water table depth | 72 in | | | |
| Aspect | Aspect is not a significant factor | | | |

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Climatic features

Continental Steppe climate is prevalent in MLRA 77D. This climate type is typical of interiors of continents and is characterized by large variations in the magnitude of ranges in daily temperature extremes, low relative humidity, and irregularly spaced rainfall of moderate amounts. This climate regime is also known for being semi-arid with mild winters.

Droughts occur with monotonous frequency although there will be years having excessive precipitation resulting in large accumulations of water that little benefit is obtained from the rainfall events. If good rains occur in the spring and summer months, annual production will be favorable even if the remainder of the year is not favorable. Most of the annual precipitation occurs as a result from spring and early summer thunderstorms. Due to the fact that the area is mainly flat, local flooding may occur but only of short duration. There is very little precipitation and infrequent snowfall amounts in the winter.

During the late winter and early spring months, dust storms occur very frequently. The flat plains of the area contribute very little resistance to the strong winds. Dust in many of these storms remains in the air for several days after the storms have passed.

Daytime temperatures are warm in the summer but there is a large diurnal range and most nights are comfortable. In summers, the normal daily maximum temperatures are in the low to mid 90s and the normal minimum temperatures are in the upper 60s and low 70s. Even though the temperatures may be high, the low humidity and high evaporation rates create a cooling effect during the nighttime hours. Fall months exhibit extremely variable weather. Winters are mild and are characterized by frequent cold fronts accompanied by strong, gusty, northerly winds. Most of the cold fronts are dry as they pass through the area.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

| Frost-free period (average) | 211 days | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Freeze-free period (average) | 233 days | | |
| Precipitation total (average) | 20 in | | |

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soils of this site are very shallow to shallow well drained, calcareous, gravelly soils. Permeability is moderate and runoff is low to medium. Parent material is a thin mantle of medium to moderately coarse textured eolian sediments over an indurated layer.

Major Soil Taxonomic Units correlated to this site include: Blakeney soils, Conger soils, Simona soils, and Slaughter soils.

| - | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Surface texture | (1) Gravelly clay loam (2) Loam (3) Fine sandy loam | | | | |
| Family particle size | (1) Loamy | | | | |
| Drainage class | Well drained | | | | |
| Permeability class | Moderately slow to moderately rapid | | | | |
| Soil depth | 7–20 in | | | | |
| Surface fragment cover <=3" | 0–35% | | | | |
| Surface fragment cover >3" | 0% | | | | |
| Available water capacity (0-40in) | 2–3 in | | | | |
| Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-40in) | 10–60% | | | | |
| Electrical conductivity (0-40in) | 0–2 mmhos/cm | | | | |
| Sodium adsorption ratio (0-40in) | 0-4 | | | | |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-40in) | 6.6–8.4 | | | | |
| Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified) | 5–65% | | | | |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified) | 0–3% | | | | |

Ecological dynamics

The Reference Plant Community of the Shallow Ecological Site was a Shortgrass/Midgrass Community (1.1). Few if any tallgrass species could be found. Grass species accounted for 90 percent of the total site production. A wide variety of forbs are produced on this site with scattered woody shrubs equally accounting for 10 percent of the total annual production. This site occurs on gently to moderately sloping upland areas. Slopes typically range from 1 to 5 percent. The soils of the site vary from shallow fine sandy loams to loams with a depth of 12 to 20 inches over indurated caliche. The soils have good plant-soil-moisture relationships, but moisture-holding capacity is moderate, often limiting productivity.

The dominant shortgrass species is black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*), with lesser amounts of buffalograss (*Bouteloua dactyloides*) and Wright threeawn (Aristida wrightii). Trace amounts of Hall's panicum (*Panicum hallii*), blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*) and hairy grama (*Bouteloua hirsuta*) can be found on the site. The dominant midgrass species is sideoats grama (*Bouteloua curtipendula*) and plains bristlegrass (*Setaria macrostachya*), with lesser amounts of cane bluestem (*Bothriochloa barbinodis*), Arizona cottontop (*Digitaria californica*), sand dropseed (*Sporobolus cryptandrus*), slim tridens (*Tridens muticus*), tobosagrass (*Pleuraphis mutica*), vine mesquite (*Panicum obtusum*), and Reverchon bristlegrass (*Setaria reverchonii*). A good variety of forbs exist but the amount varies greatly from year to year depending on moisture. The more commonly found forbs are trailing ratany (*Krameria lanceolata*), orange zexmania (Zexmania hispida), bush sunflower (*Simsia calva*), dotted gayfeather (*Liatris punctata*), white prairie clover (*Dalea albiflora*), gaura spp. (Gaura spp.), plains blackfoot (Melampodium leucanthus), tansy aster (*Machaeranthera tanacetifolia*), Texas croton (*Croton texensis*), Texas sleepy daisy (*Xanthisma texanum*), western ragweed (Ambrosia psilstachya), Oenothera spp. (Oenothera spp.), yellow spiny daisy (Haplpappus spinulosus), and desert holly (*Atriplex hymenelytra*). The major shrubs are catclaw acacia (*Acacia greggii*), vine ephedra (*Ephedra antisyphilitica*), lotebush (*Ziziphus obtusifolia*), pricklypear spp. (Opuntia spp.), javalina bush (*Condalia ericoides*), and winterfat (*Krascheninnikovia lanata*).

Fire plays a role in the ecology of this site as well as most other high plains sites. The general role of fire was to sustain the natural grassland and suppress shrubby species. Fire helps to keep a balance between the grasses, forbs and shrubs. However, in the shortgrass region, fire was probably secondary to climate in shaping the reference vegetative state. A drier climate (<20 inches annual precipitation) creates a situation where the subsoil is dry more often than it is wet. Plant roots grow in response to moisture and this dryer climate favors short grasses with fibrous root systems or short rhizomatous grasses. Annual forbs are stimulated by fire and diversity is generally increased. Heavy grazing after a fire can have a negative effect if conditions are dry and remain so for an extended period.

Periodic overgrazing and trampling by migrating herds of bison and elk as well as resident herds of pronghorn antelope occurred during drought periods. Bison moved about in large herds over the region somewhat regulated by water sources and fire frequency.

However, long rest periods followed once the large herds of bison moved out of the area, allowing the resilient grassland to re-establish and maintain its structure.

Variations in climatic factors, especially the amount and timing of precipitation, greatly influence the productivity of ecological sites and are largely responsible for the fluctuations in the amount of vegetative growth from one season to the next. It is not unusual for fluctuations of greater than 50% to occur from one year to another. These types of climatic variation are part of the overall environment in which the reference state developed. However, it needs to be pointed out that long-term drought (4 to 6 years of rainfall 50 percent below the mean) can act in concert with other forces to affect changes in plant communities. For instance, extended drought weakens plants and makes them more susceptible to the effects of overgrazing. Drought conditions coupled with fire can be damaging and need long periods of time to fully recover. Extremely dry summers followed by wet winters can favor cool-season annual grasses at the expense of perennial warm-season species. A well-adapted, healthy community could better withstand such rigors of drought. However, even they experience damage that would result in some departure from the former stable state. Usually, the departure would be temporary.

When domestic livestock were brought to the plains in the 1870's, it was largely an open range situation. By 1890, however, most of the area had been fenced and livestock were confined to these areas continually. Not understanding the limits of rangeland productivity, European settlers overstocked the area with domesticated livestock almost universally. As overgrazing occurred on this site, there was a reduction of the less grazing resistant

midgrass species, a decline in mulch and organic matter, and consequently a reduction in intensity and frequency of fires. The shift in plant cover to less palatable shortgrass species and the decline in soil cover, favors woody plant encroachment.

With continuous heavy grazing, no fire, no brush management and/or pest management this site will transition to the Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community (1.2). As livestock and wildlife numbers increase and grazing use exceeds a plants ability to sustain defoliation, the more palatable and generally more productive species decline in stature, productivity and density. The tendency of this site is to become a shortgrass dominant site if long term grazing abuse occurs. This will lead to a decline in the vigor of sideoats grama and other palatable midgrass species. Croton species and western ragweed will increase and hairy tridens (*Erioneuron pilosum*), annual broomweed (Guitierrezia dracunculoides), broom snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*), mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) and numerous annuals will invade the site. The production of vegetation has shifted from mostly herbaceous vegetation to increasing amounts of woody shrubs. Herbaceous vegetation is still the largest production in this state. Nutrient cycling, the water cycle, watershed protection and biological functions have changed somewhat. This state can transition back to reference with good management practices such as prescribed grazing, brush management and pest management. Prescribed burning could be used if the fuel load and conditions allow.

If long-term, heavy grazing continues with no fire or any form of brush and pest management, a major threshold will be crossed to the Shrub/Shortgrass Community (2.1). In this state, mesquite, broom snakeweed and pricklypear will dominate the site. The typical shortgrass species will be perennial three-awns, hairy tridens and other invading low quality short grasses. Bare areas will increase with annuals filling the voids.

The loss of herbaceous cover and increased bare soil encourages accelerated erosion. Nutrient cycling, the water cycle, watershed protection and biological functions have been severely reduced.

The plant community is so degraded that it cannot reverse retrogression without extensive energy and management inputs. Prescribed grazing with rest periods during the growing season, re-seeding with adapted native grass species, chemical and/or mechanical brush management, and some form of pest management will be required to return this state back to the reference state. With the reduced amounts of grass fuel, prescribed burning is usually not an option in this state.

In the early 1930's Lehman lovegrass (Eragrostis lehmanniana), a grass of African origin, was introduced in the southern high plains as a drought tolerant, easy to establish introduced grass species. This grass species was used in many grass mixtures and pasture plantings in an attempt to re-seed poor condition rangeland following mechanical brush management and to return old cropland fields to a perennial vegetative state for livestock grazing purposes. This grass is both invasive and persistent; published evidence indicates that variables such as elevation, summer precipitation, winter temperatures, and soils impact its abundance and distribution. Shallow upland sites in a weakened state near established areas of Lehman lovegrass may become invaded by this grass. Presently, several thousand acres of loam, clay loam and sandy loam sites have been invaded to the point that Lehman lovegrass is the dominant grass species with few if any native species remaining. The resulting plant community is a Lehman Lovegrass/Shrub Dominant Community (3.1). Once this lovegrass has become well established, returning the site to reference would be expensive and generally not very successful or practical. Prescribed burning for seedbed preparation purposes may be necessary to remove excessive amounts of plant biomass. Moderate to heavy mechanical brush management, heavy seedbed preparation and re-seeding to a native grass mixture would be required. The application of herbicides can be effective to reduce competition from this lovegrass species, but there is only a narrow time of treatment opportunity. Since this grass species has become naturalized much like K.R. bluestem has in Central Texas, it is unlikely that it will disappear through any natural processes such as competition from native species.

NOTE: Rangeland Health Reference Worksheets have been posted for this site on the Texas NRCS website (www.tx.nrcs.usda.gov) in Section II of the eFOTG under (F) Ecological Site Descriptions.

STATE AND TRANSITIONAL PATHWAYS: (DIAGRAM)

Narrative:

The following diagram suggests some pathways that the vegetation on this site might take. There may be other states not shown on the diagram. This information is intended to show what might happen in a given set of circumstances; it does not mean that this would happen the same way in every instance. Local professional

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

guidance should always be sought before pursuing a treatment scenario.

State and transition model





LEGEND

1.1A - Heavy Continuous Grazing, No Fire, No Brush Management, No Pest Management

1.2A - Prescribed Grazing, Prescribed Fire, Brush Management, Pest Management

T1A - Heavy Continuous Grazing, No Fire, Long-term Drought, No Brush Management, No Pest Management

R2A - Prescribed Grazing, Growing Season Rests, Brush Management, Range Planting, Pest Management

T1B - Heavy Continuous Grazing, Invasion Introduced Grass, No Fire, No Brush Management, No Pest Management

R3A - Prescribed Fire, Prescribed Grazing, Growing Season Rests, Brush Management, Range Planting, Pest Management

State 1 Grassland State

The Reference Plant Community of the Shallow Ecological Site is a Shortgrass/Midgrass Community (1.1). Few if any tallgrass species can be found. Grass species account for 90 percent of the total site production. A wide variety of forbs are produced on this site with scattered woody shrubs equally accounting for 10 percent of the total annual production. The dominant shortgrass species was black grama, with lesser amounts of buffalograss and Wright threeawn. With continuous heavy grazing, no fire, no brush management and/or pest management this site will

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

transition to the Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community (1.2). As livestock and wildlife numbers increase and grazing use exceeds a plants ability to sustain defoliation, the more palatable and generally more productive species decline in stature, productivity and density. The tendency of this site is to become a shortgrass dominant site if long-term grazing abuse occurs. This will lead to a decline in the vigor of sideoats grama and other palatable midgrass species.

Community 1.1 Shortgrass/Midgrass Community



Figure 4. 1.1 Shortgrass/Midgrass Community

The Reference Plant Community of the Shallow Ecological Site is a Shortgrass/Midgrass Community (1.1). Grass species account for 90 percent of the total site production with black grama dominating and a strong midgrass component. A wide variety of forbs are produced on this site with scattered woody shrubs equally accounting for 10 percent of the total annual production. This site occurs on gently to moderately sloping upland areas. Slopes typically range from 1 to 5 percent. The shallow soils of the site vary from fine sandy loams to loams. The soils have good plant-soil-moisture relationships, but moisture-holding capacity is moderate, often limiting productivity. Most energy and nutrient cycling was contained in the narrow grass/soil interface and evapo-transpiration was minimal. Maintenance of this plant community requires continued proper grazing management as well as occasional brush and pest management.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

| Plant Type | Low (Lb/Acre) | Representative Value (Lb/Acre) | High (Lb/Acre) |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Grass/Grasslike | 450 | 900 | 1350 |
| Shrub/Vine | 25 | 50 | 75 |
| Forb | 25 | 50 | 75 |
| Tree | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Microbiotic Crusts | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 500 | 1000 | 1500 |

Figure 6. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). TX1251, Warm-season bunchgrasses w/ forbs & shrubs. Warm-season bunchgrasses with forbs and shrubs..

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 0 |

Community 1.2 Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community



Figure 7. 1.2 Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community

With continuous heavy grazing, no fire, no brush management and/or pest management this site will transition to the Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community (1.2). As livestock and wildlife numbers increase and grazing use exceeds a plants ability to sustain defoliation, the more palatable and generally more productive species decline in stature, productivity and density. The tendency of this site is to become a shortgrass dominant site if long term grazing abuse occurs. This will lead to a decline in the vigor of sideoats grama and other palatable midgrass species. Croton species and western ragweed will increase and hairy tridens, annual broomweed, broom snakeweed, mesquite and numerous annuals will invade/increase on the site. The production of vegetation has shifted from mostly herbaceous vegetation to increasing amounts of woody shrubs. Herbaceous vegetation is still the largest production in this state. Nutrient cycling, the water cycle, watershed protection and biological functions have changed somewhat. This state can transition back to the reference community with good management practices such as prescribed grazing, brush management and pest management. Prescribed burning could be used if the fuel load and conditions allow.

| Plant Type | Low (Lb/Acre) | Representative Value (Lb/Acre) | High (Lb/Acre) |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Grass/Grasslike | 300 | 600 | 900 |
| Shrub/Vine | 200 | 300 | 400 |
| Forb | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| Tree | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Microbiotic Crusts | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 560 | 980 | 1400 |

Table 6. Annual production by plant type

Figure 9. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). TX1252, Shortgrass Dominant/Invading Shrub Community. Warm-season shortgrasses with increasing shrubs and forbs..

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 0 |

Pathway 1.1A Community 1.1 to 1.2



Shortgrass/Midgrass Community



Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community

With continuous heavy grazing, no fire, no brush management and/or pest management this site will shift to the

Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community (1.2). As livestock and wildlife numbers increase and grazing use exceeds a plants ability to sustain defoliation, the more palatable and generally more productive species decline in stature, productivity and density.

Pathway 1.2A Community 1.2 to 1.1



Shortgrass/Shrub/Annuals Community



Shortgrass/Midgrass Community

This state can transition back to near reference conditions with good management practices such as prescribed grazing, brush management and pest management. Prescribed burning could be used if the fuel load and conditions allow.

Conservation practices

| Brush Management | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Prescribed Burning | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management (IPM) | | | | | | |
| Prescribed Grazing | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

State 2 Shrubland State

If long-term, heavy grazing continues with no fire or any form of brush and pest management, a major threshold will be crossed from the Grassland State (1.0) to the Shrubland State (2.0). In this state, mesquite, broom snakeweed and pricklypear will dominate the site. The typical shortgrass species will be perennial three-awns, hairy tridens and other invading low quality short grasses. Bare areas will increase with annuals filling the voids.

Community 2.1 Shrub/Shortgrass Community



Figure 10. 2.1 Shrub/Shortgrass Community

If long-term, heavy grazing continues with no fire or any form of brush and pest management, a major threshold will be crossed to the Shrub/Shortgrass Community (2.1). In this state, mesquite, broom snakeweed and pricklypear will dominate the site. The typical shortgrass species will be perennial threeawns, hairy tridens and other invading low quality short grasses. Bare areas will increase with annuals filling the voids. The loss of herbaceous cover and increased bare soil encourages accelerated erosion. Nutrient cycling, the water cycle, watershed protection and

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

biological functions have been severely reduced. The plant community is so degraded that it cannot reverse retrogression without extensive energy and management inputs. Prescribed grazing with rest periods during the growing season, re-seeding with adapted native grass species, chemical and/or mechanical brush management, and some form of pest management will be required to return this state back to the reference state. With the reduced amounts of grass fuel, prescribed burning is usually not an option in this state.

Table 7. Annual production by plant type

| Plant Type | Low (Lb/Acre) | Representative Value (Lb/Acre) | High (Lb/Acre) |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Shrub/Vine | 400 | 500 | 600 |
| Grass/Grasslike | 150 | 300 | 450 |
| Forb | 60 | 80 | 100 |
| Microbiotic Crusts | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tree | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 610 | 880 | 1150 |

Figure 12. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). TX1254, Shrub/Shortgrass/Annuals Community. Spring and fall growth of shortgrasses, annuals, and shrubs..

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 0 |

State 3 Introduced Grass/Shrubland State

Lehman lovegrass is the dominant grass species with few if any native species remaining. The resulting plant community is a Lehman Lovegrass/Shrub Dominant Community (3.1). Once this lovegrass has become well established, returning the site to the reference state(1)would be expensive and generally not very successful or practical.

Community 3.1 Lehman Lovegrass/Shrub Community



Figure 13. 3.1 Lehman Lovegrass/Shrub Community

Several thousand acres of loam, clay loam and sandy loam sites in the southern high plains that are in a degraded state have been invaded by Lehman lovegrass to the point that it is the dominant grass species with few if any native species remaining. The resulting plant community is a Lehman Lovegrass/Shrub Dominant Community (3.1). Once this lovegrass has become well established, returning the site to the reference state(1) would be expensive and generally not very successful or practical. Prescribed burning for seedbed preparation purposes may be necessary to remove excessive amounts of plant biomass. Moderate to heavy mechanical brush management,

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

heavy seedbed preparation and re-seeding to a native grass mixture would be required. The application of herbicides can be effective to reduce competition from this lovegrass species, but there is only a narrow time of treatment opportunity. It is unlikely that Lehman loverass will disappear through any natural processes such as competition from native species.

Table 8. Annual production by plant type

| Plant Type | Low (Lb/Acre) | Representative Value (Lb/Acre) | High (Lb/Acre) |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Grass/Grasslike | 1200 | 2100 | 3000 |
| Shrub/Vine | 300 | 550 | 800 |
| Forb | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| Tree | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Microbiotic Crusts | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1505 | 2660 | 3815 |

Figure 15. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). TX1255, Lehman Lovegrass/Shrub Dominant Community. Lehman lovegrass with shrub dominance..

| Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Мау | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 |

Transition T1A State 1 to 2

If long-term, heavy grazing continues with no fire or any form of brush and pest management, a major threshold will be crossed from the Shortgrass/Shrubs/Annuals Community (1.2) to the Shrub/Shortgrass Community (2.1). In this state, mesquite, broom snakeweed and pricklypear will dominate the site.

Transition T1B State 1 to 3

If long-term, heavy grazing continues with no fire or any form of brush and pest management, along with encroachment of introduced grasses such as Lehman lovegrass, a major threshold will be crossed from the Shortgrass/Shrubs/Annuals Community (1.2) to the Lehman lovegrass/ Shrubs Community. Dominant species include Lehman lovegrass and mesquite.

Restoration pathway R2A State 2 to 1

The plant community is so degraded that it cannot reverse retrogression without extensive energy and management inputs. Prescribed grazing with rest periods during the growing season, re-seeding with adapted native grass species, chemical and/or mechanical brush management, and some form of pest management will be required to return this state back to the reference state(1). With the reduced amounts of grass fuel, prescribed burning is usually not an option in this state.

Conservation practices

| Brush Management | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Range Planting | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management (IPM) | | | |
| Prescribed Grazing | | | |

Restoration pathway R3A

State 3 to 1

Returning the site to the reference state would be expensive and generally not very successful or practical. Prescribed burning for seedbed preparation purposes may be necessary to remove excessive amounts of plant biomass. Moderate to heavy mechanical brush management, heavy seedbed preparation and re-seeding to a native grass mixture would be required.

Conservation practices

| Brush Management |
|--------------------|
| Prescribed Burning |
| Range Planting |
| Prescribed Grazing |

Additional community tables

Table 9. Community 1.1 plant community composition

| Group | Common Name | Symbol | Scientific Name | Annual Production (Lb/Acre) | Foliar Cover (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Grass | /Grasslike | | | | |
| 1 | Shortgrass | | | 125–375 | |
| | black grama | BOER4 | Bouteloua eriopoda | 125–375 | _ |
| 2 | Midgrass | | | 100–300 | |
| | sideoats grama | BOCU | Bouteloua curtipendula | 100–300 | _ |
| 3 | Midgrasses | | - | 175–525 | |
| | large-spike bristlegrass | SEMA5 | Setaria macrostachya | 50–150 | _ |
| | sand dropseed | SPCR | Sporobolus cryptandrus | 25–75 | _ |
| | cane bluestem | BOBA3 | Bothriochloa barbinodis | 25–75 | _ |
| | Arizona cottontop | DICA8 | Digitaria californica | 25–75 | _ |
| | tobosagrass | PLMU3 | Pleuraphis mutica | 25–75 | _ |
| | vine mesquite | PAOB | Panicum obtusum | 0–1 | _ |
| | slim tridens | TRMUE | Tridens muticus var. elongatus | 0–1 | _ |
| 4 | Shortgrasses | • | • | 50–150 | |
| | Wright's threeawn | ARPUW | Aristida purpurea var. wrightii | 25–75 | _ |
| | buffalograss | BODA2 | Bouteloua dactyloides | 25–75 | _ |
| | blue grama | BOGR2 | Bouteloua gracilis | 0–5 | _ |
| | hairy grama | BOHI2 | Bouteloua hirsuta | 0–5 | _ |
| | Hall's panicgrass | PAHA | Panicum hallii | 0–5 | _ |
| Forb | | | · | · · | |
| 5 | Forbs | | | 25–75 | |
| | Cuman ragweed | AMPS | Ambrosia psilostachya | 2–5 | _ |
| | desertholly | ATHY | Atriplex hymenelytra | 2–5 | _ |
| | Texas croton | CRTE4 | Croton texensis | 2–5 | _ |
| | whiteflower prairie clover | DAAL | Dalea albiflora | 2–5 | _ |
| | beeblossom | GAURA | Gaura | 2–5 | _ |
| | trailing krameria | KRLA | Krameria lanceolata | 2–5 | _ |
| | dotted blazing star | LIPU | Liatris punctata | 2–5 | _ |

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

| | lacy tansyaster | MAPI | Machaeranthera pinnatifida | 2–5 | - |
|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------|---|
| | tanseyleaf tansyaster | eaf tansyaster MATA2 Machaeranthera | | 2–5 | - |
| | plains blackfoot | MELE2 | Melampodium leucanthum | 2–5 | _ |
| | evening primrose | OENOT | Oenothera | 2–5 | _ |
| | awnless bushsunflower | SICA7 | Simsia calva | 2–5 | _ |
| | Texas sleepydaisy | XATE | Xanthisma texanum | 2–5 | _ |
| Shrub | /Vine | | | | |
| 6 | Shrubs | | | 25–75 | |
| | bigtooth maple | ACGRG | Acer grandidentatum var. grandidentatum | 4–12 | _ |
| | catclaw acacia | ACGRG3 | Acacia greggii var. greggii | 4–12 | _ |
| | javelina bush | COER5 | Condalia ericoides | 4–12 | _ |
| | clapweed | EPAN | Ephedra antisyphilitica | 4–12 | _ |
| | winterfat | KRLA2 | Krascheninnikovia lanata | 4–12 | _ |
| | pricklypear | OPUNT | Opuntia | 4–12 | _ |
| | lotebush | ZIOB | Ziziphus obtusifolia | 4–12 | _ |

Animal community

This site is inhabited by dove, quail, deer and pronghorn. Limited populations of pronghorn antelope frequent the site. The limited amount of woody plants does not provide good cover and food sources for deer.

This rating system provides general guidance as to animal preference for plant species. It also indicates possible competition between kinds of herbivores for various plants. Grazing preference changes from time to time, especially between seasons, and between animal kinds and classes. Grazing preference does not necessarily reflect the ecological status of the plant within the plant community. For wildlife, plant preferences for food and plant suitability for cover are rated.

Preferred (P) - Percentage of plant in animal diet is greater than it occurs on the land

Desirable (D) - Percentage of plant in animal diet is similar to the percentage composition on the land

Undesirable (U) – Percentage of plant in animal diet is less than it occurs on the land

Not Consumed (N) – Plant would not be eaten under normal conditions; only consumed when other forages not available.

Used, but degree of utilization unknown (X) – Percentage of plant in animal diet is unknown

Toxic (T) – Rare occurrence in diet and, if consumed in any tangible amounts results in death or severe illness in animal

Hydrological functions

These shallow soils have moderate to moderately low runoff potential due to slopes which range from 1 to 5 percent. These soils are fertile and absorb water at a moderate rate. Moisture storage is limited by the 12 to 20 inch depth to indurated caliche.

Recreational uses

This site has very little value from an aesthetic standpoint. The site is occupied almost exclusively by native short and midgrass species with few woody shrubs. Recreational activities could include bird hunting, camping, hiking, bird watching, photography, and horseback riding.

Wood products

None.

Other products

None.

Other information

None.

Inventory data references

NRCS FOTG – Section II of the FOTG Range Site Descriptions and numerous historical accounts of vegetative conditions at the time of early settlement in the area were used in the development of this site description. Vegetative inventories were made at several site locations for support documentation.

Inventory Data References (documents): NRCS FOTG – Section II - Range Site Descriptions NRCS Clipping Data summaries over a 20 year period

Other references

Reviewers and Technical Contributors: Mark Moseley, RMS, NRCS, Boerne, Texas Justin Clary, RMS, NRCS, Temple, Texas Kelly Attebury, RSS, NRCS, Lubbock, Texas

Other references: (List other references used in the description or correlation of this site.) J.R. Bell, USDA-NRCS Rangeland Management Specialist (retired) Natural Resources Conservation Service - Range Site Descriptions USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service - Soil Surveys & Website soil database Rathjen, Frederick W., The Texas Panhandle Frontier, Rev. 1998, Univ. of Texas Press Hatch, Brown and Ghandi, Vascular Plants of Texas (An Ecological Checklist) Texas A&M Exp. Station, College Station, Texas Texas Tech University – Department of Natural Resources Management Kingsbury, John M. (1964) Poisonous Plants of the United States and Canada. Soil Science: November 1964 - Volume 98 - Issue 5 - ppg 349. Sosebee, Ronald E. Timing – The Key to Herbicidal Control of Broom Snakeweed. Department of Natural Resources Management, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas.

Contributors

Clint Rollins, RMS, NRCS, Amarillo, Texas

Acknowledgments

Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work, as described in a Project Plan, to validate the information in this Provisional Ecological Site Description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low, medium and high intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of that data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final document.

Annual reviews of the Project Plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem

Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM

condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | Stan Bradbury, Zone RMS, NRCS, Lubbock, Texas |
|---|---|
| Contact for lead author | 806-791-0581 |
| Date | 09/04/2007 |
| Approved by | Mark Moseley, RMS, NRCS, Boerne, Texas |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills: Slight to moderate.
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns: Slight to moderate.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Slight to moderate.
- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 20-25% bare ground.
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Slight to moderate.
- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to slight.
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Slight to moderate.
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values): Water erosion hazards are moderate to severe.
- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Shallow clays and clay loam surfaces; weak fine granular surface; hard; friable; few fine roots; calcareous; moderately alkaline; moderate permeability; well drained; good plant-soil moisture; moderate SOM.
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Low vegetative cover and percent slopes makes this site susceptible to erosion.

This site is a very slowly permeable soil, runoff is medium to high depending on slopes and available water holding capacity is moderate to high.

- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Warm-season midgrasses > Warm-season shortgrasses>>

Sub-dominant:

Other: Forbs = Shrubs/Vines

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Grasses due to their growth habit will exhibit some mortality and decadence though minimal.
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter is dominantly herbaceous.
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annualproduction): 500 to 1500 pounds per acre.
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Mesquite, pricklypear, and broom snakeweed can become invasive.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All plant species should be capable of reproduction except during periods of prolonged drought conditions, heavy natural herbivory or intense wildfires.

 $\overline{}$



| er | List | |
|----|------|--|
| | | |

| ers |
|----------------------------------|
| Geographic_Resources |
| Geologic_Resources |
| Precambrian |
| GEOCHRONOLOGY |
| Geologic Maps at 1:24,000 Scale |
| Geologic Maps at Other Scales |
| State Geologic Map 1:500,000 |
| Quaternary Faults |
| Valles Caldera at 1:50,000 Scale |
| Water_Resources |
| Energy_Resources |
| Mineral_Resources |
| Recreation_Resources |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

App State

Click to restore the map extent and layers visibility where you left off.

APPENDIX C – Daily Field Report



| Client: | Marathon Oil Permian LLC | Inspection Date: | 5/2/2023 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Site Location Name: | Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB | Report Run Date: | 5/4/2023 1:24 PM |
| Client Contact Name: | Isaac Castro | API #: | |
| Client Contact Phone #: | (575) 988-0561 | | |
| Unique Project ID | | Project Owner: | |
| Project Reference # | | Project Manager: | |
| | | Summary of T | limes |
| Arrived at Site | 5/2/2023 9:45 AM | | |
| Departed Site | 5/2/2023 3:00 PM | | |

Field Notes

10:02 On site to collect confirmation samples for the release at the flare. The release has already been scraped and backfilled.

10:02 Samples will be collected from 12 base sample points at 0' and 1' bgs. Four wall samples will be collected around the impacted area.

12:24 All samples have field screened under strictest criteria for chlorides with titration.

14:07 All samples field screened under strictest criteria for TPH with PetroFlag

Next Steps & Recommendations

1 Send samples to lab for confirmation. All surface samples will be sent for analysis while the 1' samples will be on hold



Viewing Direction: Southwest Viewing Direction: Southeast Image: Direction: Southwest Image: Direction: Southeast Image: Direction: Southwest Image: Direction: Southeast Image: Direction: Southwest Image: Direction: Southeast Sample area Sample area Viewing Direction: Northeast Viewing Direction: Northwest





Run on 5/4/2023 1:24 PM UTC





Sample area

Run on 5/4/2023 1:24 PM UTC



Daily Site Visit Signature

Inspector: Chance Dixon

Signature:

•

APPENDIX D – Notification

| From: | Sanjari, Melodie (MRO) |
|----------|--|
| То: | spills@slo.state.nm.us; OCDOnline@state.nm.us |
| Cc: | bgriffin@slo.state.nm.us |
| Subject: | Marathon Oil Company - Sampling Notice - Chili Parlor CTB nAPP2311239328 |

Good Afternoon,

Please let this email serve as the required sampling notification for confirmation sampling to occur alongside remediation next Tuesday at 9am at the Chili Parlor CTB location.

Thank you

Melodie Sanjari

Environmental Professional Permian & Oklahoma 575-988-8753



From: Sanjari, Melodie (MRO)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2023 3:51 PM
To: Mann, Ryan <rmann@slo.state.nm.us>; spills@slo.state.nm.us
Subject: Marathon Oil Company - Initial C141 - Chili Parlor CTB nAPP2311239328

Please find the attached initial C141 on this incident.

Melodie Sanjari

| Environmental Professional |
|----------------------------|
| Permian & Oklahoma |
| 575-988-8753 |



From: Sanjari, Melodie (MRO)
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2023 12:15 PM
To: Mann, Ryan <<u>rmann@slo.state.nm.us</u>>
Subject: Marathon Oil Company - Initial Notification - Chili Parlor CTB nAPP2311239328

Good Morning Sir,

Please let this email serve as the notification for a fire that occurred on the Chili Parlor CTB location

this morning. The fire was immediately extinguished leaving a charred area around the base of the flare. Remediation and initial sampling will commence next week and an Initial C141 will be forwarded on upon submission.

Thank you

Melodie Sanjari

Environmental Professional Permian & Oklahoma 575-988-8753

2

From: <a>OCDOnline@state.nm.us <a>OCDOnline@state.nm.us>

Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2023 11:56 AM

To: Sanjari, Melodie (MRO) <<u>msanjari@marathonoil.com</u>>

Subject: [External] The Oil Conservation Division (OCD) has accepted the application, Application ID: 209838

Beware of links/attachments.

To whom it may concern (c/o Melodie Sanjari for MARATHON OIL PERMIAN LLC),

The OCD has accepted the submitted *Notification of a release* (NOR), for incident ID (n#) nAPP2311239328, with the following conditione:

with the following conditions:

• When submitting future reports regarding this release, please submit the calculations used or specific justification for the volumes reported on the initial C-141.

Please reference nAPP2311239328, on all subsequent C-141 submissions and communications regarding the remediation of this release.

NOTE: As of December 2019, NMOCD has discontinued the use of the "RP" number. If you have any questions regarding this application, or don't know why you have received this email, please contact us.

ocd.enviro@state.nm.us

New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

APPENDIX E – Laboratory Data Report and Chain of Custody Form



May 31, 2023

Chance Dixon Vertex Resources Services, Inc. 3101 Boyd Drive Carlsbad, NM 88220 TEL: (505) 506-0040 FAX:

RE: Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB

OrderNo.: 2305755

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Dear Chance Dixon:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 16 sample(s) on 5/13/2023 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Project:

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc.

Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client Sample ID: BES23-01 0.5' Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:17:00 AM Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM

| Lab ID: 2305755-001 | Matrix: SOIL | Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------|----|-----------------------|--|
| Analyses | Result | RL | Qual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | |
| EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH | | | | | | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/16/2023 5:43:09 PM | |
| Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/16/2023 5:43:09 PM | |
| Surr: DNOP | 73.9 | 69-147 | | %Rec | 1 | 5/16/2023 5:43:09 PM | |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG | E | | | | | Analyst: JJP | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 5.0 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| Surr: BFB | 82.8 | 15-244 | н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | | Analyst: JJP | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.025 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 99.7 | 39.1-146 | н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 1:48:00 PM | |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | | Analyst: JTT | |
| Chloride | ND | 61 | | mg/Kg | 20 | 5/19/2023 10:52:52 PM | |

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range Reporting Limit

RL

Page 1 of 20

*

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-02 0.5 **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:21:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-002 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 9.1 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 6:06:56 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 46 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 6:06:56 PM Surr: DNOP 74.2 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 6:06:56 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM 5.0 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 80.5 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM 0.025 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM 0.099 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 101 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 2:11:25 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/19/2023 11:05:17 PM ND 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 20

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM

5/19/2023 11:17:42 PM

Analyst: JTT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-03 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:25:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-003 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 23 9.6 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 6:30:46 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 48 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 6:30:46 PM Surr: DNOP 75.7 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 6:30:46 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM 4.8 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 76.0 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM 0.024 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.048 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.048 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 2:34:46 PM 0.097 н 1

102

39.1-146

н

%Rec

mg/Kg

1

20

EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS Chloride ND 60

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- POL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 20
Project:

Lab ID:

Analyses

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 5/31/2023 Client Sample ID: BES23-04 0.5' **CLIENT:** Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:31:00 AM 2305755-004 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyst: DGH EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS mg/Kg 5/16/2023 6:54:38 PM Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 280 9.7 1 Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 180 48 ma/Ka 1 5/16/2023 6:54:38 PM Λ Ρ Л Λ Ρ

| | 100 | 40 | | mg/ng | | 5/10/2025 0.54.50 FIVI |
|----------------------------------|------|----------|---|-------|----|------------------------|
| Surr: DNOP | 81.0 | 69-147 | | %Rec | 1 | 5/16/2023 6:54:38 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE | | | | | | Analyst: JJP |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.6 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| Surr: BFB | 97.2 | 15-244 | н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | | Analyst: JJP |
| Benzene | ND | 0.023 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| Toluene | ND | 0.046 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.046 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.092 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 103 | 39.1-146 | Н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 2:58:05 PM |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | | Analyst: JTT |
| Chloride | ND | 60 | | mg/Kg | 20 | 5/19/2023 11:30:07 PM |
| | | | | | | |

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

ND PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 20

*

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-05 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:33:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-005 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses Analyst: DGH EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 33 8.7 mg/Kg 1 5/17/2023 5:10:14 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 43 mg/Kg 1 5/17/2023 5:10:14 PM Surr: DNOP 72.3 69-147 %Rec 1 5/17/2023 5:10:14 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM 5.0 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 66.9 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM 0.025 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM 0.10 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98.9 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 3:21:25 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/19/2023 11:42:32 PM ND 59 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

POL Practical Quanitative Limit S

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range Reporting Limit

RL

Page 5 of 20

Project:

Lab ID:

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc.

2305755-006

Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client Sample ID: BES23-06 0.5' Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:35:00 AM Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM

| Eub ID: 2303755 000 | Multim Boll | | ccciv | cu Dute. | 5/15/2 | 023 7.30.00 7 HVI |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------|----------|--------|-----------------------|
| Analyses | Result | RL | Qual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed |
| EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN | GE ORGANICS | | | | | Analyst: DGH |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 29 | 9.7 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/16/2023 7:42:09 PM |
| Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 49 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/16/2023 7:42:09 PM |
| Surr: DNOP | 74.0 | 69-147 | | %Rec | 1 | 5/16/2023 7:42:09 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN | NGE | | | | | Analyst: JJP |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.9 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| Surr: BFB | 65.2 | 15-244 | Н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | | Analyst: JJP |
| Benzene | ND | 0.024 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| Toluene | ND | 0.049 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.049 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.097 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 97.7 | 39.1-146 | Н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 4:08:13 PM |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | | Analyst: JTT |
| Chloride | ND | 59 | | mg/Kg | 20 | 5/19/2023 11:54:57 PM |
| | | | | | | |

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

ND PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit Page 6 of 20

*

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-07 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:39:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-007 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 28 9.8 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 8:05:50 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 49 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 8:05:50 PM Surr: DNOP 74.5 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 8:05:50 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM 5.0 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 67.2 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM 0.025 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM 0.099 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98.2 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 4:31:43 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/20/2023 12:07:22 AM ND 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

ND POL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 20

EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS

Chloride

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-08 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:43:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-008 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 34 9.4 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 8:29:31 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 47 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 8:29:31 PM Surr: DNOP 74.4 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 8:29:31 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM 4.9 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 75.0 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM 0.025 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM 0.099 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 102 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 4:55:06 PM

ND

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

ND POL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

mg/Kg

20

60

Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

Page 8 of 20

Analyst: JTT

5/20/2023 12:19:47 AM

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-09 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:47:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-009 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 32 9.3 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 10:04:29 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 46 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 10:04:29 PM Surr: DNOP 77.4 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 10:04:29 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM 4.9 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 70.5 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM 0.024 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM 0.097 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 99.6 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 5:18:29 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/20/2023 12:32:11 AM ND 59 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit POL

Practical Quanitative Limit S

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit Page 9 of 20

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

5/22/2023 4:15:40 PM

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-10 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:51:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-010 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 29 10 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 10:28:15 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 50 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 10:28:15 PM Surr: DNOP 86.5 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 10:28:15 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM 4.9 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 68.4 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM 0.024 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM 0.098 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 99.6 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 5:41:44 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: SNS

ND

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Chloride

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit POL

Practical Quanitative Limit S

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range

mg/Kg

20

60

RL Reporting Limit Page 10 of 20

Released to Imaging: 1/24/2024 3:01:10 PM

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-11 0.5 **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:55:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-011 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 50 9.7 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 10:52:01 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 59 49 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 10:52:01 PM Surr: DNOP 86.9 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 10:52:01 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM 4.7 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 62.8 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM 0.024 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.047 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.047 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM 0.094 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 97.5 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 6:05:05 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: SNS Chloride mg/Kg 5/22/2023 4:28:05 PM ND 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

POL

Practical Quanitative Limit S

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range Reporting Limit

RL

Page 11 of 20

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: BES23-12 0.5 **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 10:59:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-012 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 43 9.7 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 11:15:50 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 53 49 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 11:15:50 PM Surr: DNOP 84.5 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 11:15:50 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM 4.9 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 72.0 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM 0.024 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM 0.098 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 100 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 6:28:32 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/19/2023 8:12:17 PM ND 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

POL

Practical Quanitative Limit S

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit Page 12 of 20

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: WES23-01 0.5 **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 11:07:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-013 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: DGH Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 44 9.5 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 11:39:39 PM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 52 47 mg/Kg 1 5/16/2023 11:39:39 PM Surr: DNOP 85.7 69-147 %Rec 1 5/16/2023 11:39:39 PM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM 5.0 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 64.7 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM 0.025 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM 0.10 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98.6 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 6:51:55 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/19/2023 8:49:30 PM 350 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

ND POL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range Reporting Limit

RL

Page 13 of 20

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: WES23-02 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 11:11:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-014 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses Analyst: DGH EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 43 9.3 mg/Kg 1 5/17/2023 12:03:29 AM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 49 47 mg/Kg 1 5/17/2023 12:03:29 AM Surr: DNOP 86.8 69-147 %Rec 1 5/17/2023 12:03:29 AM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM 4.7 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 70.2 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM 0.024 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.047 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.047 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM

Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM 0.095 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 99.5 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 7:15:11 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/19/2023 9:26:45 PM ND 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

RL Rep

Page 14 of 20

Project:

Lab ID:

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc.

2305755-015

Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB

Analytical Report Lab Order 2305755

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client Sample ID: WES23-03 0.5' Collection Date: 5/2/2023 11:15:00 AM Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM -14 DI Qual IInte Data Anala DE . ъ

| Analyses | Result | RL | Qual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|------|-------|----|-----------------------|
| EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE OR | GANICS | | | | | Analyst: DGH |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 9.9 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/17/2023 12:27:18 AM |
| Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 49 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/17/2023 12:27:18 AM |
| Surr: DNOP | 84.6 | 69-147 | | %Rec | 1 | 5/17/2023 12:27:18 AM |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE | | | | | | Analyst: JJP |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.8 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| Surr: BFB | 72.3 | 15-244 | Н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | | Analyst: JJP |
| Benzene | ND | 0.024 | н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| Toluene | ND | 0.048 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.048 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.097 | Н | mg/Kg | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 101 | 39.1-146 | Н | %Rec | 1 | 5/19/2023 7:38:28 PM |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | | Analyst: JTT |
| Chloride | ND | 60 | | mg/Kg | 20 | 5/19/2023 9:39:09 PM |

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D н

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

- Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit Page 15 of 20

Date Reported: 5/31/2023

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Vertex Resources Services, Inc. Client Sample ID: WES23-04 0.5' **Project:** Chili Parlor 2H 3H CTB Collection Date: 5/2/2023 11:19:00 AM Lab ID: 2305755-016 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed** Analyses Analyst: DGH EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 9.3 mg/Kg 1 5/17/2023 12:51:07 AM Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 46 mg/Kg 1 5/17/2023 12:51:07 AM Surr: DNOP 87.4 69-147 %Rec 1 5/17/2023 12:51:07 AM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: JJP Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM 4.9 н mg/Kg 1 Surr: BFB 62.2 15-244 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: JJP Benzene ND 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM 0.025 Н mg/Kg 1 Toluene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM Ethylbenzene ND 0.049 н mg/Kg 1 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM Xylenes, Total ND mg/Kg 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM 0.098 н 1 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 98.6 39.1-146 н %Rec 1 5/19/2023 8:48:40 PM **EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Analyst: JTT Chloride mg/Kg 5/19/2023 10:16:23 PM ND 60 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

D Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit POL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

Page 16 of 20

| Page | 86 | of 93 | |
|------|----|-------|--|
|------|----|-------|--|

2305755

WO#:

| Hall Environme | ntal Analysis Laboratory, Inc | • | 31-May-2 |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | x Resources Services, Inc. Parlor 2H 3H CTB | | |
| Sample ID: MB-75067 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 75067 | RunNo: 96890 | |
| Prep Date: 5/19/2023 | Analysis Date: 5/19/2023 | SeqNo: 3514791 Units: mg/Kg | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref | val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RF | PDLimit Qual |
| Chloride | ND 1.5 | | |
| Sample ID: LCS-75067 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 75067 | RunNo: 96890 | |
| Prep Date: 5/19/2023 | Analysis Date: 5/19/2023 | SeqNo: 3514792 Units: mg/Kg | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref | Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RF | PDLimit Qual |
| Chloride | 14 1.5 15.00 0 | 91.3 90 110 | |
| Sample ID: MB-75068 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 75068 | RunNo: 96891 | |
| Prep Date: 5/19/2023 | Analysis Date: 5/19/2023 | SeqNo: 3514873 Units: mg/Kg | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref | Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RF | PDLimit Qual |
| Chloride | ND 1.5 | | |
| Sample ID: LCS-75068 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 75068 | RunNo: 96891 | |
| Prep Date: 5/19/2023 | Analysis Date: 5/19/2023 | SeqNo: 3514874 Units: mg/Kg | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref | val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RF | PDLimit Qual |
| Chloride | 14 1.5 15.00 0 | 95.5 90 110 | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated.
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 17 of 20

.

| Client: Project: | Vertex Re Chili Parl | | , | Inc. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------|------|
| Sample ID: | MB-74975 | SampT | уре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015M/D: Die | sel Range | Organics | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | n ID: 749 | 975 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6800 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/16/2023 | Analysis D | ate: 5/ | 16/2023 | S | SeqNo: 35 | 510665 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range C | Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Motor Oil Rang | e Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 7.1 | | 10.00 | | 71.0 | 69 | 147 | | | |
| Sample ID: | LCS-74975 | SampT | ype: LC | S | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015M/D: Die | sel Range | Organics | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | n ID: 749 | 975 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6800 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/16/2023 | Analysis D | ate: 5/ | 16/2023 | 5 | SeqNo: 3 | 510666 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range (| Organics (DRO) | 43 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 85.1 | 61.9 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 3.9 | | 5.000 | | 78.7 | 69 | 147 | | | |
| Sample ID: | 2305755-008AMS | SampT | уре: МS | ; | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015M/D: Die | sel Range | Organics | |
| Client ID: | BES23-08 0.5' | Batch | n ID: 749 | 975 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6800 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/16/2023 | Analysis D | ate: 5/ | 16/2023 | S | SeqNo: 35 | 510675 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range (| Organics (DRO) | 74 | 9.4 | 46.82 | 34.12 | 84.7 | 54.2 | 135 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 3.9 | | 4.682 | | 82.8 | 69 | 147 | | | |
| Sample ID: | 2305755-008AMSD | SampT | уре: МS | 5D | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015M/D: Die | sel Range | Organics | |
| Client ID: | BES23-08 0.5' | Batch | n ID: 749 | 975 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6800 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/16/2023 | Analysis D | ate: 5/ | 16/2023 | 5 | SeqNo: 3 | 510676 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Surr: DNOP

% Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S

65

3.9

9.6

48.17

4.817

34.12

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

64.5

80.8

54.2

69

135

147

12.4

0

29.2

0

- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit 2305755

31-May-23

WO#:

| Client: Project: | Vertex Re Chili Parl | | | Inc. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------------|------|
| Sample ID: | lcs-74950 | SampT | ype: LC | S | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Range | • | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | n ID: 749 | 950 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6874 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/15/2023 | Analysis D |)ate: 5/ | 19/2023 | S | SeqNo: 3 | 514220 | Units: mg/K | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | 24 | 5.0 | 25.00 | 0 | 94.7 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 5000 | | 1000 | | 497 | 15 | 244 | | | S |
| Sample ID: | mb-74950 | SampT | уре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Range |) | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | n ID: 749 | 950 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6874 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/15/2023 | Analysis D |)ate: 5/ | 19/2023 | S | SeqNo: 3 | 514221 | Units: mg/K | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | ND | 5.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 720 | | 1000 | | 72.2 | 15 | 244 | | | |
| Sample ID: | 2305755-001ams | SampT | уре: МЗ | 3 | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Range | | |
| Client ID: | BES23-01 0.5' | Batch | n ID: 749 | 950 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6874 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/15/2023 | Analysis D |)ate: 5/ | 19/2023 | S | SeqNo: 3 | 515506 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | 22 | 5.0 | 24.80 | 0 | 89.5 | 70 | 130 | | | Н |
| Surr: BFB | | 4600 | | 992.1 | | 464 | 15 | 244 | | | SH |
| Sample ID: | 2305755-001amsd | SampT | уре: МЗ | SD | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Range | | |
| Client ID: | BES23-01 0.5' | Batch | n ID: 749 | 950 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6874 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 5/15/2023 | Analysis D |)ate: 5/ | 19/2023 | Ş | SeqNo: 3 | 515507 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyta | | Recult | POI | SPK value | SPK Rof Val | %PEC | Low/ imit | Highl imit | %PPD | PDI imit | Qual |

| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----|-----------|-------------|------|----------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 21 | 5.0 | 24.88 | 0 | 85.4 | 70 | 130 | 4.37 | 20 | Н |
| Surr: BFB | 4500 | | 995.0 | | 457 | 15 | 244 | 0 | 0 | SH |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

2305755

31-May-23

WO#:

Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р

| Project: Chili Parl | esources S lor 2H 3H | | Inc. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------|---|
| Sample ID: LCS-74950 | Samp | Туре: LC | s | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batc | h ID: 749 | 950 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6874 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 5/15/2023 | Analysis [| Date: 5/* | 19/2023 | S | SeqNo: 35 | 514224 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | 0.95 | 0.025 | 1.000 | 0 | 94.6 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| oluene | 0.96 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 95.6 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| thylbenzene | 0.97 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 97.4 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| (ylenes, Total | 2.9 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 97.4 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 1.0 | | 1.000 | | 102 | 39.1 | 146 | | | |
| Sample ID: mb-74950 | Samp | Туре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: EF | A Method | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batc | h ID: 749 | 950 | F | RunNo: 96 | 6874 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 5/15/2023 | Analysis I | Date: 5/ * | 19/2023 | S | SeqNo: 35 | 514225 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | ND | 0.025 | | | | | | | | |
| oluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| thylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| (ylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.99 | | 1.000 | | 99.1 | 39.1 | 146 | | | |
| | | | 1.000 | | 33.1 | 53.1 | 140 | | | |
| Sample ID: 2305755-002ams | | Type: MS | | Tes | | | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
| Sample ID: 2305755-002ams Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' | Samp | Type: MS h ID: 74 9 | ; | | | PA Method | | iles | | |
| • | Samp | h ID: 749 | 950 | F | tCode: EF | PA Method 6874 | | | | |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' | Samp ⁻ Batc | h ID: 749 | 950 | F | tCode: EF | PA Method 6874 | 8021B: Volat | | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 | h ID: 74 9 Date: 5/ * | 5 550 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 | F | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 | g | RPDLimit | Н |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene oluene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 | 950 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/k HighLimit 130 130 | g | RPDLimit | H H |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 | 5 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 0.9852 | F SPK Ref Val 0 | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 | g | RPDLimit | Н |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene Foluene thylbenzene Kylenes, Total | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 | 5 550 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 70 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 | g | RPDLimit | H H |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene oluene thylbenzene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 | 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 0.9852 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 | g | RPDLimit | H H H |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene Foluene thylbenzene Kylenes, Total | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 | 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 39.1 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 | g %RPD | RPDLimit | н н н |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene Foluene Sthylbenzene Kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 | 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 30 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 Tes | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 | 24 Method 3874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 70 39.1 24 Method | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 146 | g %RPD | RPDLimit | н н н |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene Foluene Sthylbenzene Kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID: 2305755-002amsd | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 Type: MS h ID: 749 | 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 30 50 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 | 24 Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 39.1 24 Method 5874 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 146 | g %RPD | RPDLimit | н н н |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene Foluene Sthylbenzene Kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID: 2305755-002amsd Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp Batc | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 Type: MS h ID: 749 | 5 550 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 550 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 tCode: EF RunNo: 96 | 24 Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 39.1 24 Method 5874 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 146 8021B: Volat | g %RPD | RPDLimit | н н н |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene foluene Sthylbenzene Kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID: 2305755-002amsd Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp Batc Analysis I | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 Type: MS h ID: 749 Date: 5/ | 5 550 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 550 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 Tes F | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 | 24 Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 39.1 24 Method 5874 515604 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 146 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K | g %RPD iles | | H H H H |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene Foluene Sthylbenzene Kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID: 2305755-002amsd Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp Batc Analysis I Result | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 Type: MS h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL | 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 50 50 19/2023 SPK value | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC | 24 Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 39.1 24 Method 5874 515604 LowLimit | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 146 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit | 9 %RPD iles 69 %RPD | RPDLimit | H H H H |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene oluene thylbenzene kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID: 2305755-002amsd Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.85 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 Type: MS h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 | 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 | F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 | tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 tCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 86.5 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 39.1 PA Method 5874 515604 LowLimit 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 146 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 | 5g %RPD iles 5g %RPD 7.51 | RPDLimit 20 | H H H H H |
| Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene oluene Chylbenzene Kylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID: 2305755-002amsd Client ID: BES23-02 0.5' Prep Date: 5/15/2023 Analyte Benzene oluene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.92 0.94 0.95 2.9 1.0 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.85 0.87 | h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.099 Type: MS h ID: 749 Date: 5/ PQL 0.025 0.049 | 50 19/2023 SPK value 0.9852 0.9852 2.956 0.9852 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 | SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 Tes SPK Ref Val 0 0 | ttCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 92.9 95.3 96.0 96.5 105 ttCode: EF RunNo: 96 SeqNo: 35 %REC 86.5 88.8 | PA Method 5874 515603 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 39.1 PA Method 5874 515604 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 130 130 146 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 130 130 | 5g %RPD iles 5g %RPD 7.51 7.41 | RPDLimit 20 20 | H H H H H Qual H H |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of standard limits. If undiluted results may be estimated. S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Above Quantitation Range/Estimated Value
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

2305755

31-May-23

WO#:

| Client Name: Verk Resources Services, Inc. Work Order Number: 2305755 RcptNr: 1 Received By: Juan Rojas 5/13/2023 7:30:00 AM Juan Rojas Chain of Custody 5/13/2023 8:57:48 AM Juan Rojas Chain of Custody 5/13/2023 8:57:48 AM Juan Rojas Chain of Custody 1: is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No No 1: is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No NA 2: How was the sample delivered? Countert Countert 4: Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° °C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5: Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6: Sufficient sample sequent for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 9: Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/td> Yes No NA 9: Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/td> Yes No NA 10: Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Adjusto? 11: Dees paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Adjusto? 12: Are mathices corechar | | ANAL | ONMENTA (SIS RATORY | \L | TEL: 505 | | 901 Haw erque, NM X: 505-3- | kins NE 4 87109 45-4107 | San | nple Log-In C | Check List |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|----------------------|
| Reviewed By: TMC 5/3/2.3 Chain of Custody Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Loa In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4° for AQ VOA? | Clier | nt Name: | | | Work Orde | r Number: 2 | 305755 | | | RcptNo | : 1 |
| Reviewed By: TMC 5/3/2.3 Chain of Custody Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Loa In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4° for AQ VOA? | Rece | ived By: | Juan Roja | s | 5/13/2023 7: | 30:00 AM | | Gua | reg. | | |
| Chain of Custody 1. is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courter Log In Survey No NA 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0* C to 6.0*C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4* for AQ VOA? | Com | pleted By: | Juan Roja | 5 | 5/13/2023 8: | 57:48 AM | | Gua | ng | | |
| 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courrier 2. How was the sample delivered? Courrier 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No NA 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA? | Revie | ewed By: | TMC | | 5/3/23 | | | - | | | |
| 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 viai with headspace <1/4° for AQ VOA? | <u>Chai</u> | n of Cus | <u>tody</u> | | | | _ | | | | |
| Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temporature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/a* for AQ VOA? | 1. Is | Chain of C | ustody compl | ete? | | Y | es 🔄 | N | 0 🔽 | Not Present | |
| 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4° for AQ VOA? | 2. Ho | ow was the | sample delive | ered? | | <u>C</u> | ourier | | | | |
| 1. Whet all samples received at a temperature of 0 to 0 | | | npt made to c | ool the samp | es? | Y | es 🗹 | N | o 🗌 | NA 🗌 | |
| 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ☑ No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☑ No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☑ No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA? | 4. We | ere all sam | oles received | at a temperat | ure of >0° C to 6.0 | °C Y | es 🗸 | N | o 🗌 | NA 🗌 | |
| 0. Outmatch sample formation of mindade table(): 1 | 5. Sa | ample(s) in | proper contai | ner(s)? | | Y | es 🗹 | N | 0 | | |
| 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA? | 6. Su | ifficient sam | ple volume fo | or indicated te | st(s)? | Ye | es 🔽 | No | b | | |
| 9. Received at least 1 vial with headspace <1/4" for AQ VOA? | 7. Are | e samples (| except VOA | and ONG) pro | perly preserved? | Ye | es 🔽 | No | • | | |
| 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: | 8. Wa | as preserva | tive added to | bottles? | | Ye | es 🗌 | No | | NA 🗌 | |
| 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No bottles checked 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No bottles checked 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Adjusted? 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date | 9. Re | ceived at le | east 1 vial witl | n headspace | <1/4" for AQ VOA? | Ye | es 🗌 | | | NA 🗹 | |
| 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: Justice? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: Justice? 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Via: Date | 10. W | 'ere any sar | nple containe | rs received b | roken? | Y | es 🗀 | N | o 🗹 | | |
| 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: Justified? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: Justified? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) No Na 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Main Person Notified: | | • • | | | • | Ye | es 🔽 | No | b | for pH: (<2 c | or >12 unless noted) |
| 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No CHecked by: _ju51i3l23 (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: | 12. Are | e matrices o | correctly iden | tified on Chai | n of Custody? | Ye | es 🔽 | No | • 🗆 | Adjusted? | |
| Ites were all holding times able to be inter? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Na Person Notified: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: Client missing mailing address, phone number and email address on COC. JR 5/13/223 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By | 13. ls i | it clear wha | t analyses we | ere requested | ? | | | | | | 1.1.202 |
| 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: | | | - | | | Ye | es 🔽 | No | | Chrecked by: | -)05/10/65 |
| Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: Regarding: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: Client missing mailing address, phone number and email address on COC. JR 5/13/223 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date | Speci | ial Handl | ing (if app | licable) | | | | | | | |
| By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: Client missing mailing address, phone number and email address on COC. JR 5/13/223 Signed By 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By | 15.W | las client no | otified of all di | screpancies v | vith this order? | Y | es 🗌 | N | o 🗌 | NA 🔽 | |
| Regarding: | _ | Person | Notified: | | | Date | | | | | |
| Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: Client missing mailing address, phone number and email address on COC. JR 5/13/223 17. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By | | By Who | om: | | | Via: | eMail 🛛 |] Phone [| Fax | In Person | |
| Client missing mailing address, phone number and email address on COC. JR 5/13/223 17. <u>Cooler Information</u> <u>Cooler No</u> Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. <u>Cooler Information</u> Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By | 16. A | dditional re | marks: | 23 | | | | | | | |
| Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By | | Client r | nissing mailir | ig address, pl | one number and e | mail address | on COC | . JR 5/13/2 | 223 | | |
| | 17. <u>c</u> | Cooler Info | | | | | | 1 | | ŧ | |
| | | Cooler No | | | | | l Date | Signe | d By | | |

Released to Imaging: 1/24/2024 3:01:10 PM

Page 90 of 93

| Received by OCD: 6/5/2023 9:51:36 AM | | Page 91 of 93 |
|---|--|---|
| Chain-of-Custody Record | Turn-Around Time: | HALL ENVIRONMENTAL |
| | Mandard L Rush | ANALYSIS LABORATORY |
| 1/0.Larbour Part and | | www.hallenvironmental.com |
| | Chin 29105 24 34 | 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 |
| 00 /2/10 | | Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107 |
| | 23 & -02431 | sis Requi |
| Fax#: / | Project Manager: | 20S S s (ОЯ |
| QA/QC Package | CHANCE DIXON | 8's (80 PCB' PCB' PO₄; |
| | Sampler: CO | 1 DF 1 DF 1 DF 1 DF 1 DF 1 DF 1 DF 1 DF |
| | | 09 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 2 |
| ype) | 1 Marty | D)(G bioid 11 53 11 53 11 50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 |
| | Cooler Temp(Including CF): 44 0 - 44 (- 4) | 015 (Ser (VO (VO (VO |
| | | 081 I 260 260 260 260 |
| Date Time Matrix Sample Name | # Type 2 | |
| 5/2/28 10:17 SO,1 BESZ3-01 0.5' | 402 zee -001 | |
| - | 1 -002 | |
| - RESZ2-03 C | -003 | |
| RESSAU | 200- | |
| RES 28-05 | -002- | |
| R557- 05 | -000 | |
| RES 23-07 | F00- | |
| | -008 | |
| | 1009 | |
| | -010- | |
| 85523-11 | 101 | |
| 2523-12 | 2012 | |
| | Date Í | Remarks: CC, Chance DixON |
| 5/12 10:35 622 | celel GUNNAN | Dirace Rill Merodie Sarvar |
| Date: Time: Relinquished by: | Via: | 2241942 |
| monop abl celel | LICUNE SIGIES 4 | ub-contracted data will |
| if neressary samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be suf | | |

Released to Imaging: 1/24/2024 3:01:10 PM

| 0 | |
|-----------------|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 10 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| No. | |
| | |
| 10 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 0 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 2 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 10 | |
| | |
| 21 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 6 | |
| 6 | |
| 0 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| D: 0 | |
| ä | |
| :D: 6 | |
| ä | |
| ä | |
| ä | |
| ë | |
| ë | |
| ä | |
| ë | |
| OCD: | |
| OCD: | |
| OCD: | |
| v OCD: | |
| v OCD: | |
| v OCD: | |
| y OCD: | |
| by OCD: | |
| I by OCD: | • |
| I by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | |
| I by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | • |
| d by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | • |
| d by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | |
| eived by OCD: | |
| eived by OCD: | |
| d by OCD: | |
| eived by OCD: | |
| eceived by OCD: | |
| eceived by OCD: | |
| eceived by OCD: | |
| eived by OCD: | |

| \mathcal{O} |
|---------------|
| 9 |
| 4 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 0 |
| 6 |
| 0.0 |
| Pa |
| |
| |

| | ANALYSTS LABORATORY | Ę | 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 | 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107 | Analysis | | SMI20 | 10 ^{5,} | or i s (A(| -AC 10 ³ 10 ³ | y 83 8 Me 8r, <i>N</i> (AO) | S) 0728 V) 0928 | | | | | | | | | | CC: Chance Dixon | 0/1/ 1/10 | GIMA ardias 5116. | b-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report. |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|--|------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---------------------|--|
| | | | 4901 H | Tel. 50 | | (0 | PCB's SO / MR | | _ | | | 08:H9T 9 1808 | | | | | | | | | | Remarks: 🗸 | i ć | 1000 | ility. Any su |
| | | | | | | () | .208) s' | 8M1 | L / | BE | ΤM | BTEX | | - | _ | 4 | | | | | | Rem | `````````````````````````````````````` | Ň | s possib |
| ti tita ku ka tangga taken | Rush 6 Naw | | PQNON ZH SH | | 431 | | e dixon | | s 🛛 No | Werty | (0.) 8.120-8.1 (°C) | Preservative HEAL No. | | 519 | -015- | -016 | a first of the second s | A M A LA MALLAND | | M. Contraction of the second se | | Date Time | Date Time | Write 5/12/23 7130 | laboratories. This serves as notice of this |
| lime: | Υ | | 111 | | -02 | jer: | an | 6 | D-Yes | | ncluding | Prese Type | 100 | - | | | | - 1 | | | | Via: | Via | Acc | credited |
| Turn-Around T | Standard | Project Name: | Chill | Project #: | 236-02431 | Project Manager: | Chane | Sampler: | | # of Coolers: | Cooler Temp(including CF): | Container Type and # | | - | | | | | | | 2 | Received by: | Received by: | | contracted to other ac |
| Chain-of-Custody Record | Client: Marat 200 / var av | | Mailing Address: | | Phone #: | email or Fax#: | QA/QC Package: | | | a EDD (Type) | | Date Time Matrix Sample Name | 311:07 5017 | 11:11 20-223-020,51 | W1/5 WESCE-03 0.5' | | | | | | | Date: Time: Relinquished by: S//2 10:35 Contract | Time: Relinquished by: | 3/3/33 / 912 Culumn | Released to Imaging: 124/2024 3:01:15 wippmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report. |

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 Phone: (575) 393-6161 Fax: (575) 393-0720 District II

811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 Phone:(575) 748-1283 Fax:(575) 748-9720

District III

1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Aztec, NM 87410 Phone:(505) 334-6178 Fax:(505) 334-6170

District IV 1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Phone:(505) 476-3470 Fax:(505) 476-3462

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONDITIONS

| Operator: C | OGRID: | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| MARATHON OIL PERMIAN LLC | 372098 | | | | |
| 990 Town & Country Blvd. | Action Number: | | | | |
| Houston, TX 77024 | 223770 | | | | |
| ٩ [| Action Type: | | | | |
| | [C-141] Release Corrective Action (C-141) | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| C | O | ND | IT | Ο | NS | |
|---|---|----|----|---|----|--|
| | | | | | | |

Created By Condition Condition Date 1/24/2024 scwells Remediation Closure approved. All areas not reasonably needed for production or subsequent drilling operations will need to be reclaimed and revegetated as soon as practical. Areas reasonably needed for production or subsequent drilling operations will need to be reclaimed and revegetated as soon as they are no longer reasonably needed. A report for reclamation and revegetation will need to be submitted and approved prior to this incident receiving the final status of "Restoration Complete"

Page 93 of 93

Action 223770