							ALCULATION		
Location of spill:		NORTH V	ACUUM ABO UNIT	Г #252	((32.83777, -	103.52610)	Date of Spill:	2/9/2022
								Site Soil Type:	PS — Portales-Stegall loams
Estimat	ed Daily Production Loss:	2	BBL Oil	49	BBL Water				
	Total Area	a Calcula	tions						
otal Surface Area	width		length		wet soil dep				
Rectangle Area #1	50.0 ft	Х	165.0 ft	х	0.1 i				
Rectangle Area #2	ft	Х	ft	Х		in 0%			
Rectangle Area #3 Rectangle Area #4	ft ft	X X	ft ft	X X		in 0% in 0%			
Rectangle Area #5	ft	x	ft	x		in 0%			
Rectangle Area #6	ft	x	ft	x		in 07			
Rectangle Area #7	ft	X	ft	x		in 0%			
Rectangle Area #8	ft	X	ft	X		in 0%			
Saturated Soi	I Volume Calculations:								
			<u>H2O</u>		OIL			Soil Type	Porosity
Area #1	8,250 sq. ft.		<u>H2O</u> cu. ft.			cu. ft.		Clay	0.15
					34 0	cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat	0.15 0.40
Area #1	8,250 sq. ft.		cu. ft.		34 0	cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments	0.15 0.40 0.13
Area #1 Area #2	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 0	cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 0	cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 0	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 (cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.25
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 (cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume:	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 (cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.25
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume:	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 (cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume:	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 0 34 0 01L	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume: <u>Estimated Vo</u> Liqu	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft.		cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		34 (34 (34 (1.5)	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft.		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel Medium Gravel	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.25
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume: <u>Estimated Vo</u> Liqu	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft.		Cu. ft. Cu. ft.		34 (34 (34 (1.5)	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. BBL		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel Medium Gravel Coarse Gravel	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.25 0.26
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume: <u>Estimated Vo</u> Liqu	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft. Bumes Spilled uid in Soil: scovered :		Cu. ft. Cu. ft		34 0 0 34 0 <u>0</u> 1.5 1.0	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. BBL BBL		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel Medium Gravel Coarse Gravel Sandstone Siltstone	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume: Estimated Vo Liqu Liquid Re	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft. blumes Spilled uid in Soil: spill Liquid		Cu. ft. Cu. ft.	51 5	34 0 34 0 34 0 1.5 1 1.0 1 2.5 1	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. BBL		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel Medium Gravel Coarse Gravel Sandstone Siltstone Shale	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume: Estimated Vo Liqu Liquid Re	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft. Bumes Spilled uid in Soil: scovered :		Cu. ft. Cu. ft	51.5	34 0 34 0 34 0 1.5 1 1.0 1 2.5 1	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. BBL BBL		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel Medium Gravel Coarse Gravel Sandstone Siltstone Shale Limestone	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.26 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18
Area #1 Area #2 Area #3 Area #4 Area #5 Area #6 Area #7 Area #8 Total Solid/Liquid Volume: <u>Estimated Vo</u> Liqu Liquid Re S Total Sp	8,250 sq. ft. 0 sq. ft. 8,250 sq. ft. blumes Spilled uid in Soil: spill Liquid		Cu. ft. Cu. ft	51.5	34 0 34 0 34 0 1.5 1 1.0 1 2.5 1	cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. cu. ft. BBL BBL		Clay Peat Glacial Sediments Sandy Clay Silt Loess Fine Sand Medium Sand Coarse Sand Gravely Sand Fine Gravel Medium Gravel Coarse Gravel Sandstone Siltstone Shale	0.15 0.40 0.13 0.12 0.16 0.25 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18 0.25 0.18

Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

•



Stephanie Garcia Richard, Commissioner of Public Lands State of New Mexico

NMSLO Cultural Resources Cover Sheet Exhibit

NMCRIS Activity Number:

Exhibit Type (select one)

(if applicable)

ARMS Inspection/Review - Summarize the results (select one):

- (A) The entire area of potential effect or project area has been previously surveyed to current standards and **no cultural properties** were found within the survey area.
- (B) The entire area of potential effect or project area has been previously surveyed to current standards and **cultural properties were found** within the survey area.
- (C) The entire area of potential effect or project area has **not** been previously surveyed or has not been surveyed to current standards. A complete archaeological survey will be conducted and submitted for review.

Archaeological Survey

Findings:

Negative - No further archaeological review is required.

Positive - Have avoidance and protection measures been devised? Select one:

Comments:

Project Details:

NMSLO Lease Number (if available):

Cultural Resources Consultant:

Project Proponent (Applicant):

Project Title/Description:

Project Location:

County(ies): PLSS/Section/Township/Range):

For NMSLO Agency Use Only:

NMSLO Lease Number:

Lease Analyst:

Date Exhibit Routed to Cultural Resources Office:

Acknowledgment-Only:

No person may alter the wording of the questions or layout of the cover sheet. The completion of this cover sheet by itself does not authorize anyone to engage in new surface disturbing activity before the review and approvals required by the Cultural Properties Protections Rule. Form Revised 12 22

Site Assessment/Characterization

Complete this section with the most current information available at the time of the notification. Revisions can be submitted to <u>eco@slo.state.nm.us</u> if new information becomes available.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the spill?		ft bgs
		5-
Did the spill impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	Yes	No
Did this spill impact groundwater or surface water?	Yes	No
Did the spill occur in an area where groundwater is potentially less than 50 ft bgs?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within 1,000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within 300 feet of a wetland?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill overlying a subsurface mine?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	Yes	No
Are the lateral extents of the spill within a 100-year floodplain?	Yes	No
Is the spill within 500 feet of any other sensitive receptor not documented above? If yes, list the receptor:	Yes	No

- If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date with this initial spill notification.
- Submit subsequent workplans and closure reports to <u>eco@slo.state.nm.us</u>

Trinity Oilfield Services & Rentals, LLC



May 16th, 2025

Environmental Compliance Office 310 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe NM 87501

Re: Remediation Plan Request North Vacuum Abo Unit #252 Tracking #: NAPP2204152352

Trinity Oilfield Services (Trinity), on behalf of Cross Timbers Energy, LLC, hereby submits the following Remediation Plan Request in response to a release that occurred at the above-referenced location, and further described below.

Site Information				
Incident ID	NAPP2204152352			
Well API	30-025-28735			
Lease ID	B015200004			
NMSLO Site Name	North Vacuum Abo 120 Battery – Area 1			
NMOCD Site Name	North Vacuum Abo Unit #252			
Company	Cross Timbers Energy, LLC			
Contact Name	Samanntha Avarello			
Contact Email	SAVARELLO@TXOPARTNERS.COM			
Contact Telephone	817-334-7747			
County	Lea			
ULSTR	H-14-17S-34E			
GPS Coordinates (NAD 83)	32.83777, -103.52610			
Landowner	State			

HISTORICAL RELEASE BACKGROUND

Cross Timbers Energy, LLC was initially notified by the New Mexico State Land Office (SLO) of a historical release observed via satellite imagery. At the time, neither Cross Timbers nor Trinity Environmental Group had information on the date, type, or source of these releases. Upon further investigation, we discovered an error in the recorded coordinates within the NMOCD Incident Details, which led to the following clarifications.

The SLO incident North Vacuum Abo 120 Battery – Area 1 is NMOCD incident NAPP2204152352 North Vacuum Abo Unit #252. It appears that the Lat/Long on the NMOCD Incident Details corresponds to the coordinates of Well: 30-025-28735 (32.8296623, -103.5201187) rather than the actual coordinates of the release (32.83777, -103.52610).

A delineation plan was submitted to the SLO on 07/08/2024 and received approval on 07/10/2024. Moving forward, this release will be referred to as NAPP2204152352 North Vacuum Abo Unit #252.

NMOCD RELEASE INFORMATION

On 02/10/2022, Cross Timbers Energy, LLC reported a release at the North Vacuum Abo Unit #252. The release was caused when a 3" steel flowline vic clamp busted. Approximately 8,181 sqft. of the Pasture was found to be affected by the release.

Release Information				
Date of Release	02/09/2022			
Type of Release	Crude Oil & Produced Water			
Source of Release	Equipment Failure			
Volume Released – Produced Water	49 bbl			
Volume Recovered – Produced Water	49 bbl			
Volume Released – Crude Oil	2 bbl			
Volume Recovered – Crude Oil	1 bbl			
Affected Area – Historical	Pasture - Approximately 8,181 sqft.			
Site Location Map	Attached			

CULTURAL AND BIOLOGICAL COMPLIANCE

A comprehensive analysis was conducted to ensure both cultural and biological parameters are fully addressed and appropriate for proposed activities at the site location.

Cultural Properties Protection:

An ARMS inspection and survey request was conducted by a state-permitted third-party archaeological consultant. The subject site has undergone a Class III Archaeological Survey, concluding with negative results. The ARMS inspection report cover sheet is attached for reference.

Biological Compliance:

A desktop review of the site location was conducted using two key environmental assessment tools: the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish Environmental Review Tool (ERT) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC).

The review analyzed environmental factors within the area of interest. The evaluation results indicate that no critical habitats, important plant areas, or important bird areas are located within the site boundaries. This suggests that the site does not contain significant ecological features or sensitive species requiring special consideration or protection under current environmental regulations.

Critical habitats refer to the areas essential for the conservation of species defined in the Endangered Species Act. Important plant areas in New Mexico are designated sites that either harbor a significant variety of vulnerable plant species or represent the last known habitats of the state's most endangered plants. Important bird areas are habitats that provide essential resources or support significant populations of bird species, particularly those of conservation concern. The absence of these critical ecological features in the site location implies that the proposed activities or developments can proceed with a lower risk of negatively impacting important natural resources.

Environmental Assessment				
NM Riparian Habitat Map	Negative			
NMDGF Fish Management Plan Waters	Negative			
Riparian Corridors	Negative			
NM SWAP Conservation Opportunity Areas	Negative			
NM Audubon Important Bird Areas	Negative			
NM Important Plant Areas	Negative			
USFWS Critical Habitat	Negative			
USFWS Refuges	Negative			
NM State Forestry Priority Landscapes	Negative			

The IPaC report identifies the following species as potentially susceptible to impacts from activities proposed at this location.

Species	Status	
Lesser Prairie Chicken	Endangered	
Northern Aplomado Falcon	Experimental Population, Non-essential	
Monarch Butterfly	Proposed Threatened	

The report indicates that no critical habitats for these species are present within the site. The report further highlights that no migratory Bird of Conservation Concern (BBC) in the United States is expected within the area of interest.

Additional analysis utilizing mapping services from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) reinforces that the habitats of the Lesser Prairie-Chicken and the Dunes Sagebrush Lizard are not affected by the release area. This cross-referenced data from BLM serves to validate the initial findings and ensures that significant habitats for these species remain undisturbed by the planned activities.

SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND CLOSURE CRITERIA

Data Source	Well Number	Data Date	Depth (ft.)
NM OSE	NA	NA	NA
USGS	324956103314001	03/06/2023	132'
Soil Bore	NA	NA	NA

Depth to Groundwater/Wellhead Protection:

A search of the groundwater well databases maintained by the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) was conducted to determine if any registered groundwater wells are located within a 1/2 mile of the release site. The search revealed that One (1) well occurred in the databases that meet the NMOCD criteria for the age of data, the distance of the data point well from the release point, and a data point well having a diagram of construction.

On 03/06/2023, Trinity was on-site to gauge USGS 321956103314001 located within a $\frac{1}{2}$ mile radius of the incident location. Groundwater was verified at a depth of 132'. The groundwater gauging log is attached for reference.

General Site Characterization:

Site Assessment			
Karst Potential	Low		
Distance to Watercourse	> 1000 ft.		
Within 100 yr Floodplain	No		
Pasture Impact	Yes		

A risk-based site assessment/characterization was performed following the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Rule (Title 19 Chapter 15 Part 29) for releases on oil and gas development and production in New Mexico (effective August 14, 2018). To summarize the site assessment/characterization evaluation, the affected area has Low potential for cave and karst, and no other receptors (residence, school, hospital, institution, church, mining, municipal, or other ordinance boundaries) were located within the regulatorily promulgated distances from the site.

Soil Assessment				
Soil Series	Kimbrough	Portales-Stegall		
Fragile Soil Interpretive Class	Fragile	Moderately Fragile		
Erodibility Value	0.32	0.28		
Wind Erodibility Group	5	4L		
Badland Soils	No	No		
Gypsum Soils	No	No		
Representative Slope	1%	1%		
Depth to Restrictive Feature	25 cm	> 200 cm		
Depth to Bedrock	> 200 cm	> 200 cm		
Severe Wildland Burn	No	No		

A soil assessment/characterization was performed following the New Mexico State Land Office Environmental Compliance Office (ECO) Spill and Release Reporting Guidelines (Part 2 Letter D). To summarize, the affected area is classified as a sensitive soil.

Closure Criteria:

On-Site & Off-Site 4ft bgs Recommended Remedial Action Levels (RRALs)				
Chlorides	20,000 mg/kg			
TPH (GRO and DRO and MRO)	2,500 mg/kg			
TPH (GRO and DRO)	1,000 mg/kg			
BTEX	50 mg/kg			
Benzene	10 mg/kg			

A reclamation standard of 600 mg/kg chloride and 100 mg/kg TPH was applied to the top four feet of the pasture area if impacted by the release, per NMAC 19.15.29.13.D (1) for the top four feet of areas that will be reclaimed following remediation.

INITIAL ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

Initial Sample Activities:

Delineation Summary			
Delineation Dates	07/23/2024 - 01/16/2025		
Depths Sampled	0' - 4'		
Delineation Map	Attached		
Laboratory Results	Table 1		

All soil samples were placed into laboratory-supplied glassware, labeled, and maintained on ice until delivery to an NMOCD-approved laboratory (Cardinal Laboratories of Hobbs, NM) for the analysis of chloride using Method SM4500 Cl-B, Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX) by EPA Method 8021 B and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) constituents the by EPA 8015M.

Confirmation Activities:

Remediation Summary				
Remediation Dates	Within 90 Days of NMOCD Approval			
Liner Variance Request	None			
Deferral Request	None			
Proposed Area of 5-point Confirmation	400 sqft.			
Samples – Floors and Walls				
Proposed Depths Excavated	3' - 4'			
Estimated Total Volume of Excavated Soil	1,379 yards			

Impacted soil within the release margins will be excavated and temporarily stockpiled on-site on a 6-mil plastic sheeting, pending final disposition. Unless a Variance Request has been approved, all Floors and Walls of the excavated area will be advanced until laboratory analytical results from confirmation soil samples indicate Chloride, Benzene, BTEX, and TPH concentrations meet reclamation standards.

Confirmation soil samples (five-point composites representing no more than 400 of the excavated area) will be collected from the floor and sidewalls.

Upon receiving laboratory analytical data showing that confirmation soil samples from the excavated areas yield results below the selected NMOCD Table 1 Closure Criteria; the impacted soil will be transported under manifest to an NMOCD-approved disposal facility. Upon approval, the excavated area will be backfilled with locally sourced, non-impacted "like" material.

SITE RECLAMATION AND RESTORATION

Areas affected by the release and the associated remediation activities will be restored to a condition which existed prior to the release to the extent practicable. The affected area will be contoured and/or compacted to provide erosion control, stability, and preservation of surface water flow. The area will be fenced off to mitigate grazing and soil compaction by cattle.

Affected areas disturbed by remediation on native land, not on production pads and/or lease roads, will be reseeded with a prescribed NMSLO seed mixture for Coarse (CS) and Loamy (L) soils as defined in SLO Seed Mix Version 1-200808 during the first favorable growing season following the closure of the site. Reclamation on State Trust Land will also be documented and monitored for successful vegetation growth and invasive/noxious weed populations.

Supporting Documentation					
Delineation Map	Attached				
Depth to Groundwater Maps and Source	Attached				
US NWI Map	Attached				
FEMA Flood Hazard Map	Attached				
USDA Soil Survey	Attached				
SLO Seed Mix	Attached				
Site Photography	Attached				
Laboratory Analytics with COCs	Attached				

REQUEST FOR REMEDIATION PLAN APPROVAL

The corrective actions will be completed within 90 days of receipt of approval of this remediation plan proposal by the NMOCD. Upon completion of the proposed tasks, a Remediation Closure Request will be submitted, documenting remediation activities and results of confirmation soil samples.

Trinity Oilfield Services respectfully requests that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division grant approval for the detailed Remediation Plan.

Sincerely,

Josh Halcomb

Josh Halcomb Project Manager

Cynthia Jordan

Cynthia Jordan Project Scientist

TABLE 1 CONCENTRATIONS OF BENZENE, BTEX, TPH & CHLORIDE IN SOIL

CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC NORTH VACUUM ABO UNIT #252 LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO NMOCD REFERENCE #: NAPP2204152352



	1					1				1	1	1	1	т
SAMPLE LOCATION	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft BGS)	SAMPLE DATE	VERTICAL/ HORIZONTAL	OFF-SITE/ ON-SITE	SAMPLE TYPE	SOIL STATUS	CHLORIDE (mg/Kg)	TPH C6-C36 (mg/Kg)	GRO+ DRO (mg/kg)	GRO C6-C10 (mg/Kg)	DRO C10-C28 (mg/Kg)	MRO C28-C36 (mg/Kg)	TOTAL BTEX (mg/Kg)	BENZENE (mg/Kg)
		On-Site, & D	eeper than 4' Pastu	re			20000	2500	1000	NE	NE	NE	50	10
Delineation Special Circumstance, NMOCD Delineation Limits Pasture to 4'					600	100	NE	NE	NE	NE	50	10		
Vertical Delineation														
DV-001.0-00.0-P	0	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	80.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-001.0-01.0-P	1	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	400.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-001.0-02.0-P	2	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	224.00	222.60	165.00	<10.0	165.00	57.60	<10.0	<10.0
DV-001.0-03.0-P	3	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	80.00	37.40	21.80	<10.0	21.80	15.60	<10.0	<10.0
DV-001.0-04.0-P	4	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	48.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-00.0-P	0	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	176.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-01.0-P	1	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	368.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-02.0-P	2	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	208.00	999.00	759.00	<10.0	759.00	240.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-03.0-P	3	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	112.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-002.0-04.0-P	4	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-00.0-P	0	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	608.00	796.00	362.00	<10.0	362.00	434.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-01.0-P	1	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	256.00	1,126.00	556.00	<10.0	556.00	570.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-02.0-P	2	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	160.00	1,003.00	496.00	<10.0	496.00	507.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-03.0-P	3	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	576.00	446.00	293.00	<10.0	293.00	153.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-003.0-04.0-P	4	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	640.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-00.0-P	0	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	160.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-01.0-P	1	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	160.00	286.00	174.00	<10.0	174.00	112.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-02.0-P	2	7/23/2024	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	160.00	202.00	100.00	<10.0	100.00	102.00	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-03.0-P	3	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	128.00	12.10	12.10	<10.0	12.10	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DV-004.0-04.0-P	4	1/16/2025	Vertical	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	112.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
	•					Horizontal	Delineaton			•	•		•	
DH-001.0-01.0-P	1	11/1/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	144.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-002.0-01.0-P	1	11/1/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	400.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0
DH-003.0-01.0-P	1	11/1/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	64.00	76.20	30.60	<10.0	30.60	45.60	<10.0	<10.0
DH-004.0-01.0-P	1	11/1/2024	Horizontal	Off-Site	Grab	In-Situ	48.00	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0

.









Cross Timbers Operating North Vacuum ABO 120 Battery



Photo 1: North Vacuum ABO 120 Battery Well Sign



Photo 2: Sample Area 1

North Vacuum Abo 120 Battery | Area 1



February 11, 2025





North Vacuum Abo 120 Battery | Area 1



2/11/2025

- Dunes Sage Brush Lizard Habitat Lesser Prairie Chicken Habitat Core Management Area Habitat Evaluation Area
- Isolated Population Area Primary Population Area Sparse and Scattered Population Area Lesser Prairie Chicken TR
- World Imagery Low Resolution 15m Imagery High Resolution 60cm Imagery High Resolution 30cm Imagery





Earthstar Geographics, Bureau of Land Management - New Mexico State Office

Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM











IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.



Local office

New Mexico Ecological Services Field Office

६ (505) 346-2525 **६** (505) 346-2542

NOTFORCONSULTATIO

2105 Osuna Road Ne Albuquerque, NM 87113-1001

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species¹ and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries²).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

1. Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ). Received by QCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM

2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

Birds

NAME	STATUS
Lesser Prairie-chicken Tympanuchus pallidicinctus No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1924</u>	Endangered
Northern Aplomado Falcon Falco femoralis septentrionalis No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1923</u>	EXPN
Insects NAME	STATUS
Monarch Butterfly Danaus plexippus Wherever found There is proposed critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743	Proposed Threatened

Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

There are no critical habitats at this location.

You are still required to determine if your project(s) may have effects on all above listed species.



Bald and Golden Eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act 2 and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) 1 . Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to Bald or Golden Eagles, or their nests, should follow appropriate regulations and implement required avoidance and minimization measures, as described in the various links on this page.

The <u>data</u> in this location indicates that no eagles have been observed in this area. This does not mean eagles are not present in your project area, especially if the area is difficult to survey. Please review the 'Steps to Take When No Results Are Returned' section of the <u>Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles document</u> to determine if your project is in a poorly surveyed area. If it is, you may need to rely on other resources to determine if eagles may be present (e.g. your local FWS field office, state surveys, your own surveys).

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds</u>
- Nationwide avoidance and minimization measures for birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf</u>
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action</u>

Bald & Golden Eagles FAQs

What does IPaC use to generate the potential presence of bald and golden eagles in my specified location?

The potential for eagle presence is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are an eagle (<u>Bald</u> <u>and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> requirements may apply).

Proper interpretation and use of your eagle report

On the graphs provided, please look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical line) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal line). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort line or no data line (red horizontal) means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list and associated information help you know what to look for to confirm presence and helps guide you in knowing when to implement avoidance and minimization measures to eliminate or reduce potential impacts from your project activities or get the appropriate permits should presence be confirmed.

How do I know if eagles are breeding, wintering, or migrating in my area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating, or resident), you may query your location using the <u>RAIL Tool</u> and view the range maps provided for birds in your area at the bottom of the profiles provided for each bird in your results. If an eagle on your IPaC migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it (indicated by yellow vertical bars on the phenology graph in your "IPaC PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY" at the top of your results list), there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

Interpreting the Probability of Presence Graphs

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.

To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.

The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

Breeding Season ()

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort ()

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps.

No Data ()

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

Migratory birds

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) ¹ prohibits the take (including killing, capturing, selling, trading, and transport) of protected migratory bird species without prior <u>authorization</u> by the Department of Interior U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). The incidental take of migratory birds is the injury or death of birds that results from, but is not the purpose, of an activity. The FWS interprets the MBTA to prohibit incidental take.

- 1. The Migratory Birds Treaty Act of 1918.
- 2. The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management <u>https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management</u>
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds</u>
- Nationwide avoidance and minimization measures for birds
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action</u>

The <u>data</u> in this location indicates that no migratory birds of concern have been observed in this area. This does not mean <u>birds of concern</u> are not present in your project area, especially if the area is difficult to survey. Please review the 'Steps to Take When No Results Are Returned' section of the <u>Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles</u> <u>document</u> to determine if your project is in a poorly surveyed area. If it is, you may need to rely on other resources to determine what migratory birds of concern may be present (e.g. your local FWS field office, state surveys, your own surveys).

Migratory Bird FAQs

Tell me more about avoidance and minimization measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Avoidance & Minimization Measures for Birds describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year-round. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is one of the most effective ways to minimize impacts. To see when birds are most likely to occur and breed in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the list of migratory birds that potentially occur in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location, such as those listed under the Endangered Species Act or the <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> and those species marked as "Vulnerable". See the FAQ "What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?" for more information on the levels of concern covered in the IPaC migratory bird species list.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge</u> <u>Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science</u> <u>datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) with which your project intersects. These species have been identified as warranting special attention because they are BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, and to verify survey effort when no results present, please visit the <u>Rapid</u> <u>Avian Information Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

Why are subspecies showing up on my list?

Subspecies profiles are included on the list of species present in your project area because observations in the AKN for **the species** are being detected. If the species are present, that means that the subspecies may also be present. If a subspecies shows up on your list, you may need to rely on other resources to determine if that subspecies may be present (e.g. your local FWS field office, state surveys, your own surveys).

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and</u> <u>citizen science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go to the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, or migrating in my area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating, or resident), you may query your location using the <u>RAIL Tool</u> and view the range maps provided for birds in your area at the bottom of the profiles provided for each bird in your results. If a bird on your IPaC migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it (indicated by yellow vertical bars on the phenology graph in your "IPaC PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY" at the top of your results list), there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially BCC species. For more information on avoidance and minimization measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts, please see the FAQ "Tell me more about avoidance and minimization measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds".

Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean Data</u> <u>Portal</u>. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird</u> <u>Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Proper interpretation and use of your migratory bird report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical line) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal line). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list does not represent all birds present in your project area. It is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list and associated information help you know what to look for to confirm presence and helps guide implementation of avoidance and minimization measures to eliminate or reduce potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about avoidance and minimization measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about avoidance and minimization measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds".

Interpreting the Probability of Presence Graphs

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

Received by 30 CD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM

IPaC: Explore Location resources

The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.

To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.

The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

Breeding Season ()

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort ()

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps.

No Data ()

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

There are no refuge lands at this location.

Fish hatcheries

There are no fish hatcheries at this location.

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

This location did not intersect any wetlands mapped by NWI.

NOTE: This initial screening does **not** replace an on-site delineation to determine whether wetlands occur. Additional information on the NWI data is provided below.

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies.

Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate Federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

NOTFORCONSULTATION

Relies / Barro Frankers 106/92/029 tipn / \$67.640 XK2NDG7BQ66WML6ZWSFQ/resources#migratory-birds

NORTH VACUUM ABO 120 BATTERY | AREA 1



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

NORTH VACUUM ABO 120 BATTERY | AREA 1



7/2/2024, 3:15:55 PM

- **USGS Historical GW Wells** .
- ▲ USGS Active Monitoring GW Wells



New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

NM OCD Oil and Gas Map. http://nm-emnrd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4d017f2306164de29fd2fb9f8f35ca75: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division



.

Groundwater Gauging Log

Project Name: NVA 120 BTY **Incident ID**: NAPP2300551151

OILFIELD SERVICES

Latitude: 32.835278 Longitude: -103.531389

Well ID	Date Measured	Top of Casing Elevation	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	PSH Thickness	Corrected Groundwater Elevation
USGS 324956103314001	03/06/2023	-	N/A	132	N/A	-

- = Not measured

N/A = Not applicable

Disclaimer This gauging log is intended to verify depth to groundwater.

NORTH VACUUM ABO 120 BATTERY | AREA 1



7/2/2024, 3:06:08 PM

OSW Water Bodys



OSE Probable Playas

NMED Drinking Water Systems



New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

NM OCD Oil and Gas Map. http://nm-emnrd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4d017f2306164de29fd2fb9f8f35ca75: New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

12025 10.47.42 AM **Received by OCD**

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service



Page 34 of 135



March 7, 2025

Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater
- Estuarine and Marine Wetland
- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
- Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland
- Freshwater Pond

Lake Other Riverine

This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend

Page 35 of 135



Releasea to Imaging: 6/2/2025 1.9.90:04 AM 1,500 2,000

Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

NORTH VACUUM ABO 120 BATTERY | AREA 1



7/2/2024, 3:02:48 PM Karst Occurrence Potential

Low



New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

BLM, OCD, New Mexico Tech, Earthstar Geographics


United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Lea County, New Mexico

NORTH VACUUM ABO 120 BATTERY | AREA 1



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

•

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Lea County, New Mexico	13
KU—Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	13
PS—Portales-Stegall loams	15
Soil Information for All Uses	
Suitabilities and Limitations for Use	18
Soil Health	18
Fragile Soil Index	18
Soil Properties and Qualities	27
Soil Chemical Properties	27
Gypsum	27
Soil Erosion Factors	31
K Factor, Whole Soil	31
Wind Erodibility Group	35
Wind Erodibility Index	
Soil Qualities and Features	43
Depth to Bedrock	43
Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer	48
Representative Slope	
References	57

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic classes has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

.

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



•

Custom Soil Resource Report

	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
	iterest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	6	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
© ⊠ ≫ ≫	Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout Borrow Pit Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit	wwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww	Streams and Canals	 Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
:: ♥ ▲ ♥ ♥	Gravelly Spot Landfill Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water	Background	Major Roads Local Roads 1 Aerial Photography	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
○ ○ > + ☆	Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 6, 2023 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
\$ } Ø	Sinkhole Slide or Slip Sodic Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May 12, 2020 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol Map Unit Name		Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.7	35.7%	
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	1.3	64.3%	
Totals for Area of Interest		2.1	100.0%	

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Lea County, New Mexico

KU—Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tw46 Elevation: 2,500 to 4,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 63 degrees F Frost-free period: 180 to 220 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Kimbrough and similar soils: 45 percent *Lea and similar soils:* 25 percent *Minor components:* 30 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Kimbrough

Setting

Landform: Playa rims, plains *Down-slope shape:* Convex, linear *Across-slope shape:* Concave, linear *Parent material:* Loamy eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 3 inches: gravelly loam Bw - 3 to 10 inches: loam Bkkm1 - 10 to 16 inches: cemented material Bkkm2 - 16 to 80 inches: cemented material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 4 to 18 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 95 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 1.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R077DY049TX - Very Shallow 12-17" PZ Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Lea

Setting

Landform: Plains Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Calcareous, loamy eolian deposits from the blackwater draw formation of pleistocene age over indurated caliche of pliocene age

Typical profile

A - 0 to 10 inches: loam Bk - 10 to 18 inches: loam Bkk - 18 to 26 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam Bkkm - 26 to 80 inches: cemented material

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 22 to 30 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 90 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 3.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R077DY047TX - Sandy Loam 12-17" PZ Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Kenhill

Percent of map unit: 12 percent Landform: Plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R077DY038TX - Clay Loam 12-17" PZ Hydric soil rating: No

Douro

Percent of map unit: 12 percent Landform: Plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R077DY047TX - Sandy Loam 12-17" PZ Other vegetative classification: Unnamed (G077DH000TX) Hydric soil rating: No

Custom Soil Resource Report

Spraberry

Percent of map unit: 6 percent Landform: Playa rims, plains Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R077DY049TX - Very Shallow 12-17" PZ Other vegetative classification: Unnamed (G077DH000TX) Hydric soil rating: No

PS—Portales-Stegall loams

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: dmqn Elevation: 3,600 to 4,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 12 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 58 to 60 degrees F Frost-free period: 190 to 205 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Portales and similar soils: 45 percent Stegall and similar soils: 40 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Portales

Setting

Landform: Plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Calcareous alluvium and/or calcareous eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: loam Bk - 8 to 80 inches: clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None

Custom Soil Resource Report

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 50 percent Gypsum, maximum content: 1 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 2.0 Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 11.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R077DY042TX - Limy Upland 12-17" PZ Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Stegall

Setting

Landform: Plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 9 inches: loam Bt - 9 to 28 inches: clay loam Bkm - 28 to 38 inches: cemented material BCk - 38 to 60 inches: variable

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to petrocalcic
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to moderately high (0.01 to 0.60 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 90 percent
Gypsum, maximum content: 1 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 2.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R077DY042TX - Limy Upland 12-17" PZ Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Lea

Percent of map unit: 8 percent *Ecological site:* R077CY028TX - Limy Upland 16-21" PZ *Hydric soil rating:* No

.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Mansker

Percent of map unit: 7 percent *Ecological site:* R077CY028TX - Limy Upland 16-21" PZ *Hydric soil rating:* No

Soil Information for All Uses

Suitabilities and Limitations for Use

The Suitabilities and Limitations for Use section includes various soil interpretations displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each interpretation.

Soil Health

Soil health interpretations are designed to be used as tools for evaluating and managing a soil's capacity to function as a vital living ecosystem that sustains plants, animals, and humans. Example interpretations include compaction, surface sealing, carbon sequestration, resistance and resilience, management systems and practices, and cover crops.

Fragile Soil Index

SOH - Soil Health

Soils can be rated based on their susceptibility to degradation in the "Fragile Soil Index" interpretation. Fragile soils are those that are most vulnerable to degradation. In other words, they can be easily degraded they have a low resistance to degradation processes. They tend to be highly susceptible to erosion and can have a low capacity to recover after degradation has occurred (low resilience). Fragile soils are generally characterized by a low content of organic matter, low aggregate stability, and weak soil structure. They are generally located on sloping ground, have sparse plant cover, and tend to be in arid or semiarid regions. The index can be used for conservation and watershed planning to assist in identifying soils and areas highly vulnerable to degradation.

Depending on inherent soil characteristics and the climate, soils can vary from highly resistant, or stable, to vulnerable and extremely sensitive to degradation. Under stress, fragile soils can degrade to a new altered state, which may be less favorable or unfavorable for plant growth and less capable of performing soil functions. To assess the fragility of the soil, indicators of vulnerability to degradation processes are used. They include organic matter, soil structure, rooting depth, vegetative cover, slope, and aridity.

The organic matter content indicates the capacity of the soil to resist and/or recover from degradation processes. Organic matter improves the soil pore structure, increases water infiltration, and reduces soil compaction and soil erosion. Soil structure indicates the capacity of the soil to resist degradation from accelerated water erosion (by increasing the amount of infiltration). Pore structure is the most important aspect of soil structure as pores provide habitat for organism. Shallow soils are more vulnerable to degradation processes because they have limited rooting depth and have a reduced amount of material from which to form new soil. As erosion removes the upper soil profile, productivity will decline if the subsoil is limiting for crop growth. Vegetative cover is very important as uncovered soil is most vulnerable to the processes of soil erosion, both by wind and water. Slope (a measure of the steepness or the degree of inclination) indicates the degree of vulnerability to erosion and mass movement. Aridity is defined by the shortage of moisture. Lack of water is a main factor limiting biological processes and the ability of the soil to resist and/or recover from degradation.

Soils are placed into interpretive classes based on their index rating, which ranges from 0 to 1. An index rating of 1 is the most fragile, while a rating of zero is the least fragile. Interpretative classes are as follows:

Not Fragile (index rating less than or equal to 0.009) These soils have a very high potential to resist degradation and be highly resilient. They are highly structured with an organic matter content greater than 5.7%, are nearly level, are deep or very deep, have greater than 85% vegetative cover, and are in a climate that is wet or very wet.

Slightly Fragile (index rating less than 0.009 and less than or equal to 0.209) These soils have a high potential to resist degradation and be resilient. They are:

— Poorly structured to weakly structured soils that have an extremely low to moderate content of organic matter, are very deep, have high vegetative cover, occur on nearly level ground, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very shallow to moderately deep, have high vegetative cover, occur on nearly level ground, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very deep, have low to moderately high vegetative cover, occur on nearly level ground, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very deep, have high vegetative cover; are on slopes greater than 3%, and are in wet or very wet climates; or

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very deep, have high vegetative cover; occur on nearly level ground, and in semi-dry to mildly wet climates;

Moderately Fragile (index rating greater than 0.209 and less than or equal to 0.409) These soils have a moderate potential to resist degradation and be moderately resilient. They are:

— Highly structured soils that have a very high content of organic matter, are very shallow, have high vegetative cover, occur in nearly level to moderately sloping areas, and are in semi-dry climates;

 Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are deep, have low vegetative cover, occur in nearly level areas, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, occur on gentle to very steep slopes, have high vegetative cover, and are in wet or very wet climates;

— Weakly structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are deep, occur in nearly level to gently sloping areas, have high vegetative cover, and are in semi-dry climates; or

— Weakly structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are very shallow to very deep, occur in nearly level to strongly sloping areas, have high vegetative cover, and are in mildly wet climates.

Fragile (index rating greater than 0.409 and less than or equal to 0.609) These soils have a low potential to resist degradation and low resilience. They are:

— Well structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, are shallow to very deep, have moderate to moderately high vegetative cover, occur on steep slopes, and are in dry climates;

— Well structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, are shallow to very deep, have a low vegetative cover, occur in nearly level to gently sloping areas, and are in dry climates;

— Well structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, are deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on nearly level to very steep slopes, and are in a semidry climate;

— Moderately structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are deep, have moderately high vegetative cover, occur on moderately steep to very steep slopes, and are in semi-dry climates; or

— Weakly structured soils that have a low content of organic matter, occur on moderately steep to very steep slopes, have low vegetative cover, and are in wet or very wet climates.

Very Fragile (index rating greater than 0.609 and less than or equal to 0.809) These soils have a very low potential to resist degradation and very low resilience. They are:

— Weakly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on nearly level to very steep slopes, and are in dry climates;

— Weakly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are shallow to very deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on nearly level to very steep slopes, and are in very dry climates; or

— Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are very shallow, have no vegetative cover, occur on steep slopes, and are in mildly wet to wet climates.

Extremely Fragile (index rating greater than 0.809 and less than or equal to 1.0) These soils can have no potential to resist degradation and no resilience. They are:

— Poorly structured soils that have an extremely low content of organic matter, are very shallow, have low vegetative cover, occur on very steep slopes, and are in dry or very dry climates;

— Weakly structured soils that have a very low content of organic matter, are nearly level to very deep, have low vegetative cover, occur on very steep slopes, and are in dry climates; or

- Very shallow soils on steep slopes.

The interpretive rating is based on soils that occur in the dominant land use for the map unit component and may not represent soils that occur in site-specific land uses.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM



.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Tables—Fragile Soil Index

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0	Fragile	Kimbrough (45%)	Poor structure (1.00)	0.7	35.7%
	to 3 percent slopes			Dry (0.70)		
				Low organic matter (0.69)		
				Shallow (0.65)		
				High vegetative cover (0.07)		
			Kenhill (12%)	Poor structure (1.00)		
				Very low organic matter (0.91)		
				Dry (0.70)		
				Moderately deep (0.27)		
				Moderately-high vegetative cover (0.14)		
			Douro (12%)	Extremely low organic matter (0.95)		
				Weakly structured (0.75)		
				Dry (0.70)		
				Moderately deep (0.25)		
				Nearly level (0.02)		
			Spraberry (6%)	Extremely low organic matter (0.97)		
				Weakly structured (0.75)		
				Dry (0.70)		
				Moderately deep (0.45)		
				High vegetative cover (0.07)		
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	Moderately fragile	Portales (45%)	Very low organic matter (0.90)	1.3	64.39

Custom Soil Resource Report

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
				Weakly structured (0.75)		
				Dry (0.73)		
				High vegetative cover (0.08)		
				Nearly level (0.02)		
Totals for Area of	otals for Area of Interest					100.0%

Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
Moderately fragile	1.3	64.3%	
Fragile	0.7	35.7%	
Totals for Area of Interest	2.1	100.0%	

Rating Options—Fragile Soil Index

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Chemical Properties

Soil Chemical Properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil chemical properties include pH, cation exchange capacity, calcium carbonate, gypsum, and electrical conductivity.

Gypsum

The content of gypsum is the percent, by weight, of hydrated calcium sulfates in the fraction of the soil less than 20 millimeters in size. Gypsum is partially soluble in water. Soils high in content of gypsum, such as those with more than 10 percent gypsum, may collapse if the gypsum is removed by percolating water. Gypsum is corrosive to concrete.

For each soil layer, this attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

.

MAP LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils Soil Rating Polygons = 0 Not rated or not available Soil Rating Lines	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
= 0 Not rated or not available Soil Rating Points	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
 = 0 Not rated or not available Water Features Streams and Canals 	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Transportation +++ Rails Interstate Highways US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Major Roads Local Roads Background	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Aerial Photography	Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 6, 2023 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May 12, 2020 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Table—Gypsum

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	0	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Interes	st	2.1	100.0%	

Rating Options—Gypsum

Units of Measure: percent

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: Yes

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Surface Layer (Not applicable)

For an attribute of a soil horizon, a depth qualification must be specified. In most cases it is probably most appropriate to specify a fixed depth range, either in centimeters or inches. The Bottom Depth must be greater than the Top Depth, and the Top Depth can be greater than zero. The choice of "inches" or "centimeters" only applies to the depth of soil to be evaluated. It has no influence on the units of measure the data are presented in.

When "Surface Layer" is specified as the depth qualifier, only the surface layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, but keep in mind that the thickness of the surface layer varies from component to component.

When "All Layers" is specified as the depth qualifier, all layers recorded for a component are considered when deriving the value for that component.

Whenever more than one layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, and the attribute being aggregated is a numeric attribute, a weighted average value is returned, where the weighting factor is the layer or horizon thickness.

Soil Erosion Factors

Soil Erosion Factors are soil properties and interpretations used in evaluating the soil for potential erosion. Example soil erosion factors can include K factor for the whole soil or on a rock free basis, T factor, wind erodibility group and wind erodibility index.

K Factor, Whole Soil

Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat). Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.

"Erosion factor Kw (whole soil)" indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.

Factor K does not apply to organic horizons and is not reported for those layers.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



•

Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND					MAP INFORMATION	
rea of Inte	e rest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	~	.24	~	Streams and Canals	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
oils		· · · ·	.28	Transpor	tation Rails	
	ng Polygons	~~	.32	+++		Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	.02	~	.37	~	Interstate Highways	
	.05	~	.43	~	US Routes	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil
	.10	~	.49	\sim	Major Roads	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
	.15	~	.55	\sim	Local Roads	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
	.17	~	.64	Backgrou	und	
	.20		Not rated or not available	No.	Aerial Photography	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
	.24	Soil Rati	ing Points		การสวนเราการที่เรื่อง	
	.28		.02			Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
	.32		.05			Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
	.37		.10			
	.43		.15			Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
	.49		.17			distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
	.55		.20			Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
	.64		.24			
			.28			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
	Not rated or not available		.32			······································
Soil Ratir	n g Lines .02		.37			Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 6, 2023
~	.05		.43			
~	.10		.49			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
~	.15		.55			
~	.17		.64			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May 12, 2020
~	.20		Not rated or not available			,
		Water Feat	tures			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—K Factor, Whole Soil

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	.32	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	.28	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	2.1	100.0%	

Rating Options—K Factor, Whole Soil

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Surface Layer (Not applicable)

For an attribute of a soil horizon, a depth qualification must be specified. In most cases it is probably most appropriate to specify a fixed depth range, either in centimeters or inches. The Bottom Depth must be greater than the Top Depth, and the Top Depth can be greater than zero. The choice of "inches" or "centimeters" only applies to the depth of soil to be evaluated. It has no influence on the units of measure the data are presented in.

When "Surface Layer" is specified as the depth qualifier, only the surface layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, but keep in mind that the thickness of the surface layer varies from component to component.

When "All Layers" is specified as the depth qualifier, all layers recorded for a component are considered when deriving the value for that component.

Whenever more than one layer or horizon is considered when deriving a value for a component, and the attribute being aggregated is a numeric attribute, a weighted average value is returned, where the weighting factor is the layer or horizon thickness.

Wind Erodibility Group

A wind erodibility group (WEG) consists of soils that have similar properties affecting their susceptibility to wind erosion in cultivated areas. The soils assigned to group 1 are the most susceptible to wind erosion, and those assigned to group 8 are the least susceptible.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM
Custom Soil Resource Report



Table—Wind Erodibility Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
КU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	5	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	4L	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		2.1	100.0%

Rating Options—Wind Erodibility Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Lower

Custom Soil Resource Report

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Wind Erodibility Index

The wind erodibility index is a numerical value indicating the susceptibility of soil to wind erosion, or the tons per acre per year that can be expected to be lost to wind erosion. There is a close correlation between wind erosion and the texture of the surface layer, the size and durability of surface clods, rock fragments, organic matter, and a calcareous reaction. Soil moisture and frozen soil layers also influence wind erosion.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

•

Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LE	GEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI)	~	250	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Area of Interest (AOI)	~	310	1:20,000.
Soils Soil Rating Polygons	100	Not rated or not available	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	Soil Rati	ng Points	
38		0	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil
48		38	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
56		48	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
		56	
86		86	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
134		134	measurements.
160		160	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
180		180	Web Soil Survey URL:
220	_		Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
250		220	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
310		250	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
Not rated or not available		310	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
Soil Rating Lines		Not rated or not available	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
<u>~</u> 0	Water Feat		
~~ 38	\sim	Streams and Canals	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
48	Transporta		
56	• • •	Rails	Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico
86	~	Interstate Highways	Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 6, 2023
	~	US Routes	Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
,≪, / 134	\sim	Major Roads	1:50,000 or larger.
*** 160	\sim	Local Roads	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May
*** 180	Backgrour	nd	12, 2020
220		Aerial Photography	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Wind Erodibility Index

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (tons per acre per year)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	56	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	86	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	2.1	100.0%	

Rating Options—Wind Erodibility Index

Units of Measure: tons per acre per year

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Condition" first groups like attribute values for the components in a map unit. For each group, percent composition is set to the sum of the percent composition of all components participating in that group. These groups now represent "conditions" rather than components. The attribute value associated with the group with the highest cumulative percent composition is returned. If more than one group shares the highest cumulative percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher group value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method represents the dominant condition throughout the map unit only when no tie has occurred.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Depth to Bedrock

The term bedrock in soil survey refers to a continuous root and water restrictive layer of rock that occurs within the soil profile.

There are many types of restrictions that can occur within the soil profile but this theme only includes the three restrictions that use the term bedrock. These are:

- 1) Lithic Bedrock
- 2) Paralithic Bedrock
- 3) Densic Bedrock

Lithic bedrock and paralithic bedrock are comprised of igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks, which are coherent and consolidated into rock through pressure, heat, cementation, or fusion. Lithic bedrock represents the hardest type of bedrock, with a hardness of strongly coherent to indurated. Paralithic bedrock has a hardness of extremely weakly coherent to moderately coherent. It can occur as a thin layer of weathered bedrock above harder lithic bedrock. Paralithic bedrock can also be much thicker, extending well below the soil profile.

Densic bedrock represents a unique kind of bedrock recognized within the soil survey. It is non-coherent and consolidated, dense root restrictive material, formed by pressure, heat, and dewatering of earth materials or sediments. Densic bedrock differs from densic materials, which formed under the compaction of glaciers, mudflows, and or human-caused compaction.

If more than one type of bedrock is described for an individual soil type, the depth to the shallowest one is given. If no bedrock is described in a map unit, it is represented by the "greater than 200" depth class.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Depth to bedrock is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM





Table—Depth to Bedrock

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (centimeters)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	>200	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	>200	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	st	2.1	100.0%	

Rating Options—Depth to Bedrock

Units of Measure: centimeters

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Lower

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

A "restrictive layer" is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers.

This theme presents the depth to any type of restrictive layer that is described for each map unit. If more than one type of restrictive layer is described for an individual soil type, the depth to the shallowest one is presented. If no restrictive layer is described in a map unit, it is represented by the "greater than 200" depth class.

This attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM



Table—Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (centimeters)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	25	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	>200	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		2.1	100.0%

Rating Options—Depth to Any Soil Restrictive Layer

Units of Measure: centimeters

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tie-break" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Lower

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

Representative Slope

Slope gradient is the difference in elevation between two points, expressed as a percentage of the distance between those points.

The slope gradient is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

Received by OCD: 5/16/2025 10:47:42 AM



Released to Imaging: 6/2/2025 11:50:04 AM

•

Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP L	EGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI) \square Area of Interest (AOI)Soils $\mathbf{SoilRating Polygons}$ \square $0 - 5$ \square $5 - 15$ \square $15 - 45$ \square $45 - 60$ \square $60 - 100$ \square Not rated or not availableSoil Rating Lines \blacksquare $0 - 5$ \blacksquare $5 - 15$ \blacksquare $15 - 45$ \blacksquare $45 - 60$ \blacksquare $60 - 100$ \blacksquare Not rated or not availableSoil Rating Points $0 - 5$ \blacksquare $0 - 5$ \blacksquare $5 - 15$ \blacksquare $15 - 45$ \blacksquare $15 - 45$ \blacksquare $15 - 45$ \blacksquare $5 - 15$ \blacksquare $15 - 45$ \blacksquare $45 - 60$	FunctionImage: A line line line line line line line line	 The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000. Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: Lea County, New Mexico Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 6, 2023
60 - 100 Not rated or not available		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
Water Features Streams and Canals		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 7, 2020—May 12, 2020 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Representative Slope

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
KU	Kimbrough-Lea complex, dry, 0 to 3 percent slopes	1.0	0.7	35.7%
PS	Portales-Stegall loams	1.0	1.3	64.3%
Totals for Area of Interes	st	2.1	100.0%	

Rating Options—Representative Slope

Units of Measure: percent

Aggregation Method: Dominant Component

Aggregation is the process by which a set of component attribute values is reduced to a single value that represents the map unit as a whole.

A map unit is typically composed of one or more "components". A component is either some type of soil or some nonsoil entity, e.g., rock outcrop. For the attribute being aggregated, the first step of the aggregation process is to derive one attribute value for each of a map unit's components. From this set of component attributes, the next step of the aggregation process derives a single value that represents the map unit as a whole. Once a single value for each map unit is derived, a thematic map for soil map units can be rendered. Aggregation must be done because, on any soil map, map units are delineated but components are not.

For each of a map unit's components, a corresponding percent composition is recorded. A percent composition of 60 indicates that the corresponding component typically makes up approximately 60% of the map unit. Percent composition is a critical factor in some, but not all, aggregation methods.

The aggregation method "Dominant Component" returns the attribute value associated with the component with the highest percent composition in the map unit. If more than one component shares the highest percent composition, the corresponding "tie-break" rule determines which value should be returned. The "tiebreak" rule indicates whether the lower or higher attribute value should be returned in the case of a percent composition tie. The result returned by this aggregation method may or may not represent the dominant condition throughout the map unit.

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Components whose percent composition is below the cutoff value will not be considered. If no cutoff value is specified, all components in the database will be considered. The data for some contrasting soils of minor extent may not be in the database, and therefore are not considered.

Tie-break Rule: Higher

The tie-break rule indicates which value should be selected from a set of multiple candidate values, or which value should be selected in the event of a percent composition tie.

.

Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

This option indicates if a null value for a component should be converted to zero before aggregation occurs. This will be done only if a map unit has at least one component where this value is not null.

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



July 26, 2024

DAN DUNKELBERG TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS, NM 88241

RE: NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 07/23/24 16:26.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number TX-C24-00112. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-00.0-P (H244381-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifie
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	116 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	80.0	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	199	99.6	200	5.88	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	206	103	200	8.35	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	120	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	139	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-01.0-P (H244381-02)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	114 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	400	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	199	99.6	200	5.88	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	206	103	200	8.35	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	120 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	139 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-02.0-P (H244381-03)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	108 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	224	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	199	99.6	200	5.88	
DRO >C10-C28*	165	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	206	103	200	8.35	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	57.6	10.0	07/25/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	114 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	136 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-00.0-P (H244381-04)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	109 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	176	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	199	99.6	200	5.88	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND	206	103	200	8.35	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	07/25/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	113 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	130 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
DAN DUNK	ELBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	2587						
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-01.0-P (H244381-05)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	111 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	368	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	118 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	114 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
DAN DUNK	ELBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	2587						
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-02.0-P (H244381-06)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	108	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	208	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	759	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	240	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	114 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	120	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
DAN DUNK	ELBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	2587						
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-00.0-P (H244381-07)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	109 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	608	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	362	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	434	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	111 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	121 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
dan dunke	LBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	587						
HOBBS NM,	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-01.0-P (H244381-08)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	106 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	256	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	556	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	570	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	108 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	121 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
DAN DUNK	ELBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	2587						
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-02.0-P (H244381-09)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	107	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	496	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	507	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	119 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	123	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OI	LFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
dan dunke	LBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	587						
HOBBS NM,	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-00.0-P (H244381-10)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	110 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	121 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	126 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC						
DAN DUNK	ELBERG						
P. O. BOX 2	2587						
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241						
Fax To:	NONE						

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-01.0-P (H244381-11)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	107 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	174	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	112	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	127 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	128 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	LFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC	2
DAN DUNK	ELBERG	
P. O. BOX 2	.587	
HOBBS NM	88241	
Fax To:	NONE	

Received:	07/23/2024	Sampling Date:	07/23/2024
Reported:	07/26/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Tamara Oldaker
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-02.0-P (H244381-12)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.42	121	2.00	5.16	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.46	123	2.00	3.91	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	07/24/2024	ND	2.55	127	2.00	6.78	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	07/24/2024	ND	7.62	127	6.00	8.35	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	107	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	07/24/2024	ND	464	116	400	3.51	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	202	101	200	2.28	
DRO >C10-C28*	100	10.0	07/24/2024	ND	203	102	200	3.59	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	102	10.0	07/24/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	117 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	122	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Notes and Definitions

BS-3	Blank spike recovery outside of lab established statistical limits, but still within method limits. Data is not adversely affected.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500CI-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager
Page 15 of 16

.

		01 East Marland 575) 393-2326 F/												<u>C</u> ł	IAIN-	OF-(CUS.	TOD	ΥÅΝ	DAN	NALY	(SIS	REC	UES	Τ	
		575) 555-2520 17	~~ (*	5/5	,		T				BILL TO							ANAL	YSIS F	REQU	EST					
	Trinity Oilfield Services							P.O.	#:	-																
Project Manager:							-		pan	v:	Cross Timbers	Energy														
/luureee	8426 N Dal Paso		7:	0	8241	1	-+	Attn		y.	Kevin Bennett															
City:	Hobbs		Zip:	0	0241		-	-	ress		Rom Donnou															
Phone #:		Fax #:			holou		-	City:																		
Project #:		Project Owne					- F	State			Zip:															
Project Name:	NVA 120 Battery- Area 1 SLC	o dan@trinityoi	Intelo	iser	vices	s.com			e. ne #		zip.															
Project Location:	Lea Co., NM						-+																			
Sampler Name:	XT			-				Fax	#: RES		SAME	NING														
FOR LAB USE ONLY				H	N	IATRI	x	P	RES	ERV.	SAMP	LING														
HZ4 4381	Sample		(G)RAB OR (C)OMP.	# CONTAINERS	GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER	SOIL	SLUDGE	DTHER :	ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL	OTHER :	DATE	TIME	Chloride	трн	втех									v		
Lab I.D.		1.0.		*	0 5	x	11	-		T	7/23/2024		X	X	X											
	DV-001.0-00.0-P		+ +	1	-	x	+	+	+	+-	7/23/2024		X	X	X									_	_	
	DV-001.0-01.0-P			1	-	x	+		+	\vdash	7/23/2024		X	X	X										_	
	DV-001.0-02.0-P			-	+	x	+	+	+	+	7/23/2024		X	x	X											
4	DV-002.0-00.0-P		+ +	1	+	x	+	+	+	+	7/23/2024		X	X	X											
5	DV-002.0-01.0-P		1-1	1	+		+	\vdash	+	+	7/23/2024		X	X	X			3								
6	DV-002.0-02.0-P			1	-	X	+	\vdash	+	+	7/23/2024		X	X	X											
7	DV-003.0-00.0-P			1	+	X	+	\vdash	+	+	7/23/2024		X	x	X	1				-						
	DV-003.0-01.0-P			1	-	X X	+	\vdash	+	+	7/23/2024		X	X	X	1										
9	DV-003.0-02.0-P		G	1	-		+	\vdash	+	+	7/23/2024		X	X	X	+										
0	DV-004.0-00.0-P and Damages. Cardinal's liability and clie	- to evelucive remedy for a	G	1 marisi	ng whet	X her base	d in co	ontract	or tort,	shall b	e limited to the amou	unt paid by the clien	t for the	~		-										
analyses. All claims includi	Ind Damages. Cardinal's liability and cliv ing those for negligence and any other of Cardinal be liable for incidental or conser- sing out of or related to the performance	cause whatsoever shall be d	leemed	i warve	tion but	siness in	terrupt	tions k	oss of	use. or	loss of profits incurre	ed by client, its subs ated reasons or othe	idiaries, erwise.													
Relinquished By		Date:		ceiv		y:				1	211.	verbal Resu	n.	Yes		No		dd'l Ph	one #:							 -
Yodin	HT	T-25-CT			10		a	1	d		list	All Results a	are emailed	. Please p			11622									
Relinquished By	r:	Date:	Re	ceiv	ved B	y:			C			REMARKS:														
Delivered By: (Circ	cle One)	Time: Observed Temp. °C	5.	7	Samp	ple Co	nditi	ion		CHE	CKED BY:	Turnaround	Time:		Stand	ard	x		Bacter	ia (only Intac) Samp		dition	Temp. °(;	
Sampler - UPS - B		Corrected Temp. °(-	ſ	Co	Ves	1		C		Initials)	Thermometer Correction Fa			Rush				ΠY	Yes Vo	Yes		orrected	2		

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please email changes to celey.keene@cardinallabsnm.com

.

												_
			CH	HAIN-(DF-CU	STOD	Y ANI	D ANA	LYSIS	REQU	JEST	
BILL TO						ANAL	YSIS R	EQUES	т			
Cross Timbers												
Kevin Denneu												
Zip:										1		
SAM	PLING				-							
DATE	тіме	Chloride	ТРН	втех								
7/23/2024		X	x	x								
7/23/2024		X	Х	Х								Ĺ
						1						

Yes

No

Yes

No

Corrected Temp. °C

Phone #:		Fax #:						Ade	dres	s:													
Project #:		Project Owne	er:	(see	belo	(wo		Cit	:														
Project Name:	NVA 120 Battery- Area 1 SLO	dan@trinityo	ilfie	Idse	rvice	es.co	m	Sta	te:		Zip:										Sec. 1	1	
Project Location:	Lea Co., NM							Phe	one	# :													
Sampler Name:	XT							Fax	(#:							-							
FOR LAB USE ONLY						MAT	RIX		PRE	SERV	. SAM	PLING											
<i>HD4438</i> 1 Lab I.D.	Sample I.	D	(G)RAB OR (C)OMP.	# CONTAINERS	GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER	SOIL	OIL	OTHER :	ACID/BASE:	OTHER :	DATE	TIME	Chloride	Н	втех								
Lab I.D.		<i>D</i> .	G	#	0 <	X	0 0		7	1	7/23/2024		X	X	X	1							
//	DV-004.0-01.0-P		G	1	+	Î	+	+	+	+	7/23/2024		X	X	x								
12	DV-004.0-02.0-P		G	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	112312024		~		-			1					
			\vdash	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+							1	-	-				
			\vdash	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+							-						
			\vdash	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+									+	1			1
			\vdash	\vdash	-	+	-	+	+	+						+	-			+			-
			\vdash		-	+	+	-	+	+							+	+	+				+
			\vdash		+	+	-	-	-	+	-							+	+	-			-
			\vdash			+	-	-	-	+							-			+		<u> </u>	+
										chall	he limited to the arm	unt paid by the client	t for the				1						_
analyzes All alaims includie	I nd Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's ng those for negligence and any other caus	e whatsoever shall be d	deemed	d waive	d unle	ss mad	e in wri	ting and	i recen	red by (Cardinal within 30 da	ays after completion of	of the applicable										
anning in no quant shall C	ardinal be liable for incidental or conseque ing out of or related to the performance of	ntal damages, including	withou	ut limita	tion, bu	usiness	interru	ptions,	loss of	use, or	r loss of profits incuri	ed by client, its subs	diaries,										
				ceiv		-	101 800		10 000	a oper	111	Verbal Resul		Yes		No	Add'l Pl	hone #:					
Relinquished By:	tl7.	Date: 7-23.24 Time: 76260			1	M	1/2	ta	4		llats	All Results a	re emailed	l. Please p	rovide Em	ail addres	s:						
Relinquished By		Date: Time:	Re	eceiv	red E	By:	u)	REMARKS:											
Delivered By: (Circ	le One) Ol	oserved Temp. °C	-	_	Sam	ple C	ondi	tion		CHE	ECKED BY:	Turnaround	Time:		Standa	rd X		Bacteri	ia (only) Sar	-			
		3	5.	7	Co	ool Ir	tact	1	-	(Initials)				Rush			Cool	Intact	Ob	served Ten	np. °C	

P.O. #:

Attn:

Company:

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please email changes to celey.keene@cardinallabsnm.com

neter ID #140

Correction Factor 0 °C

CARDINAL Laboratories

Company Name: Trinity Oilfield Services

Hobbs

8426 N Dal Paso

Project Manager: Dan Dunkelberg

Address:

City:

101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476

88241

No

Corrected Temp. °C

State: NM Zip:

16

Page 16 of

Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:



November 11, 2024

DAN DUNKELBERG TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS, NM 88241

RE: NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 11/05/24 13:26.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number TX-C24-00112. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	11/05/2024	Sampling Date:	11/01/2024
Reported:	11/11/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DH-001.0-01.0-P (H246733-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.19	110	2.00	4.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	4.03	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	3.63	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/06/2024	ND	6.19	103	6.00	3.82	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	100	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: CT					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	144	16.0	11/07/2024	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	206	103	200	0.813	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	177	88.7	200	6.43	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	94.3	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	88.6	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	11/05/2024	Sampling Date:	11/01/2024
Reported:	11/11/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DH-002.0-01.0-P (H246733-02)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.19	110	2.00	4.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	4.03	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	3.63	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/06/2024	ND	6.19	103	6.00	3.82	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	99.9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: CT					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	400	16.0	11/07/2024	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	206	103	200	0.813	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	177	88.7	200	6.43	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	91.7	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	83.5	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	11/05/2024	Sampling Date:	11/01/2024
Reported:	11/11/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DH-003.0-01.0-P (H246733-03)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.19	110	2.00	4.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	4.03	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	3.63	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/06/2024	ND	6.19	103	6.00	3.82	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	96.5	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: CT					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	11/07/2024	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	206	103	200	0.813	
DRO >C10-C28*	30.6	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	177	88.7	200	6.43	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	45.6	10.0	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	94.2	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	87.7	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC DAN DUNKELBERG P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	11/05/2024	Sampling Date:	11/01/2024
Reported:	11/11/2024	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Shalyn Rodriguez
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DH-004.0-01.0-P (H246733-04)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.19	110	2.00	4.68	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	4.03	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	11/06/2024	ND	2.08	104	2.00	3.63	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	11/06/2024	ND	6.19	103	6.00	3.82	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	97.1	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: CT					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	11/07/2024	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	206	103	200	0.813	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND	177	88.7	200	6.43	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	11/06/2024	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.4	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	82.9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Notes and Definitions

ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C

Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

1

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

CARDINAL Laboratories 101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240

(575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476

Company Name:	: Trinity Oilfield Services						BILL TO									ANA	LYSIS F	REQUES	sт				
Project Manager:	Dan Dunkelberg						P	.0. #:						T			T	1	Ι	T	1		1
Address:	8426 N Dal Paso						C	ompa	iny:	Cross Timbe	ers Energy												
City:	Hobbs	State: NM	Zip	: 8	88241		A	ttn:		Kevin Benne	ett	1											
Phone #:		Fax #:					A	ddres	s:			1					1						
Project #:		Project Own	er:	(see	below)	C	ity:				1 /											
Project Name:	NVA 120 Battery- Area 1 SLO	dan@trinityo	oilfie	ldser	vices.	com	S	tate:	T	Zip:		1 /											
Project Location:	Lea Co., NM						P	hone	#:			1 /						1					
Sampler Name:	XT						F	ax #:				1				1							
FOR LAS USE ONLY			Π	T	M	ATRIX		PRE	SERV.	SAN	MPLING					1							
<i>H344733</i> Lab I.D. Sample I.D.		(G)RAB OR (C)OMP.	# CONTAINERS	WASTEWATER	SOIL	SLUDGE OTHER :	ACID/BASE:	DTHER :	DATE	TIME	Chloride	ТРН		втех									
	DH-001.0-01.0-P		G	1						11/1/2024		Х	Х	T	X				1				1
	DH-002.0-01.0-P		G	1		×				11/1/2024		X	Х	T	X								+
	DH-003.0-01.0-P		G	1)	×				11/1/2024		X	Х	T	X								1
4	DH-004.0-01.0-P		G	1		<				11/1/2024		X	Х	T	X								+
						Π		Π						T									+
						Π		Π						1									+
						T	T	T	T					T									+
						T	T	T	1					T									 +
					T	TT	T	T						+	-								 +
			\square		T	T	T	Ħ	1					+	-								 +
analyses. All claims including service. In no event shall Car affiliates or successors arising	d Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's e g those for negligence and any other cause rdinal be liable for incidental or consequents g out of or related to the performance of ser	whatsoever shall be de al damages, including w rvices hereunder by Ca	eemed v without I ardinal,	waived u jimitation regardle	unless ma n, busine ess of who	ade in wr ss intern	riting an uptions.	nd receive	ed by Ca use, or lo	ardinal within 30 day	ivs after completion of t ed by dient. Its subsidie	the applicable											
Relinquished By:		Date:	Rec	eived	By:						Verbal Result:		Yes	-	_	No	Add'l Pho	ine #:					
X	-44	TIMESZU	-		200	10	ùC	n	U	y	All Results are	emailed. I	Please p	rovid	de Email	address:	:						
Relinquished By:		Date:	Rec	eived	By:					0	REMARKS:												
Time:															-								
Delivered By: (Circle	One) Obs	erved Temp. °C	-	Sa	ample (Condi	tion	(CHEC	KED BY:	Turnaround Tin	me:		St	tandard	X		Bacteria	(only) Sam	ple Condit	ion		
Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other: Corrected Temp. *C -4.8: Cool Intact Vest Yes No No				15	3	(Ini	itials)	Thermometer ID Correction Factor		0.6		ush			Cool Yes No	H		erved Tem					
							£	_		1		0,0	-				1	1 1.00	0011	sates igui	P. V		

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please email changes to celey.keene@cardinallabsnm.com



January 23, 2025

JOSH HALCOMB TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS, NM 88241

RE: NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 01/17/25 14:54.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number TX-C24-00112. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at www.tceq.texas.gov/field/qa/lab_accred_certif.html.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC JOSH HALCOMB P. O. BOX 2587 HOBBS NM, 88241 Fax To: NONE

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-03.0-P (H250302-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	116 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	80.0	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	206	103	200	1.23	
DRO >C10-C28*	21.8	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	198	99.1	200	3.37	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	15.6	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	112 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	115 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC										
Josh Halo	JOSH HALCOMB										
P. O. BOX 2587											
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241										
Fax To:	NONE										

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-001.0-04.0-P (H250302-02)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	119 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	206	103	200	1.23	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	198	99.1	200	3.37	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	99.6	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	101 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	LFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC									
JOSH HALC	JOSH HALCOMB									
P. O. BOX 2587										
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241									
Fax To:	NONE									

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-03.0-P (H250302-03)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	127	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyzed By: HM						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	112	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	206	103	200	1.23	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	198	99.1	200	3.37	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	99.5	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	100	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	TRINITY OILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC										
Josh Halo	JOSH HALCOMB										
P. O. BOX 2587											
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241										
Fax To:	NONE										

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-002.0-04.0-P (H250302-04)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	120 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	206	103	200	1.23	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	198	99.1	200	3.37	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	106 9	48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	110 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	LFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC			
JOSH HALC	ОМВ			
P. O. BOX 2	2587			
HOBBS NM, 88241				
Fax To:	NONE			

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-03.0-P (H250302-05)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	117 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500CI-B	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	576	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	206	103	200	1.23	
DRO >C10-C28*	293	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	198	99.1	200	3.37	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	153	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	109 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	111 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC			
Josh Halo	OMB			
P. O. BOX 2	2587			
HOBBS NM, 88241				
Fax To:	NONE			

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-003.0-04.0-P (H250302-06)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	119 9	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	640	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	175	87.7	200	0.636	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	178	89.1	200	4.93	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	122 9	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	110 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	ILFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC					
JOSH HALC	ОМВ					
P. O. BOX	2587					
HOBBS NM	HOBBS NM, 88241					
Fax To:	NONE					

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-03.0-P (H250302-07)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	122	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	128	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	175	87.7	200	0.636	
DRO >C10-C28*	12.1	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	178	89.1	200	4.93	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	128	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	116 9	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



TRINITY O	LFIELD SERVICES & RENTALS, LLC			
JOSH HALC	ОМВ			
P. O. BOX 2	2587			
HOBBS NM, 88241				
Fax To:	NONE			

Received:	01/17/2025	Sampling Date:	01/16/2025
Reported:	01/23/2025	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	NVA 120 BTTERY- AREA 1 SLO	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Alyssa Parras
Project Location:	CROSS TIMBERS - LEA CO NM		

Sample ID: DV-004.0-04.0-P (H250302-08)

BTEX 8021B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: JH					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.05	102	2.00	0.196	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.15	107	2.00	2.92	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	01/22/2025	ND	2.31	116	2.00	1.05	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	01/22/2025	ND	7.15	119	6.00	0.968	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	01/22/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	125	% 71.5-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	112	16.0	01/21/2025	ND	416	104	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg,	′kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	175	87.7	200	0.636	
DRO >C10-C28*	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND	178	89.1	200	4.93	
EXT DRO >C28-C36	<10.0	10.0	01/21/2025	ND					
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	103	% 48.2-13	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	92.7	% 49.1-14	8						

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whose shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whose site to the services interruptors, loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, afflictes or successor arising out of or related to the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Notes and Definitions

S-04	The surrogate recovery for this sample is outside of established control limits due to a sample matrix effect.
QR-03	The RPD value for the sample duplicate or MS/MSD was outside of QC acceptance limits due to matrix interference. QC batch accepted based on LCS and/or LCSD recovery and/or RPD values.
QM-07	The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on acceptable LCS recovery.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

Laboratories CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST 101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476 ANALYSIS REQUEST BILL TO Company Name: Trinity Oilfield Services Project Manager: Josh Halcomb P.O. #: Address: 8426 N Dal Paso Company: Cross Timbers Energy Attn: Kevin Bennett City: Hobbs State: NM Zip: 88241 Address: Phone #: Fax #: Project Owner: (see below) City: Project #: josh@trinityoilfieldservices.com State: Zip: Project Name: NVA 120 Battery- Area 1 SLO Project Location: Lea Co., NM Phone #: Fax #: Sampler Name: XT MATRIX PRESERV. SAMPLING FOR LAB USE ONLY G)RAB OR (C)OMP. GROUNDWATER Sa NASTEWATER TAINE ACID/BASE ICE / COOI Chloride SLUDGE THER OTHER BTEX SOIL TPH 00 Ы Lab I.D. Sample I.D. DATE TIME 1/16/2025 Х Х DV-001.0-03.0-P G 1 X Х Х G 1 X 1/16/2025 Х Х 2 DV-001.0-04.0-P Х Х Х 3 G 1 X 1/16/2025 DV-002.0-03.0-P 1/16/2025 Х Х Х (|DV-002.0-04.0-P G 1 Х G 1 X 1/16/2025 Х Х Х DV-003.0-03.0-P 0 DV-003.0-04.0-P G 1 1/16/2025 Х Х Х Х 1/16/2025 Х Х Х G 1 X DV-004.0-03.0-P Х DV-004.0-04.0-P G 1 X 1/16/2025 Х Х ----PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount ount paid by the client for the nalyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequental damages, including without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Add'l Phone #: No Verbal Result: Yes Relinquished By: Date: Received By: 1-1725 All Results are emailed. Please provide Email address: Time: 1452 REMARKS: Relinquished By: Received By: Date: Time: Bacteria (only) Sample Condition х Standard Observed Temp. °C Sample Condition CHECKED BY: Turnaround Time: Delivered By: (Circle One) Observed Temp. °C 0.8-Rush Cool Intact Cool Intact (Initials) Lives Vee Yes Yes Corrected Temp. °C Thermometer ID #140 Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other: Corrected Temp. °C

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please email changes to celey.keene@cardinallabsnm.com

-0.4-

No No No

No

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 129 of 135

QUESTIONS

Action 439628

QUESTIONS		
Operator:	OGRID:	
CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC	298299	
400 West 7th Street	Action Number:	
Fort Worth, TX 76102	439628	
	Action Type:	
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)	

QUESTIONS

Prerequisites	
Incident ID (n#)	nAPP2204152352
Incident Name	NAPP2204152352 NORTH VACUUM ABO UNIT #252 @ 30-025-28735
Incident Type	Produced Water Release
Incident Status	Remediation Plan Received
Incident Well	[30-025-28735] NORTH VACUUM ABO UNIT #252

Location of Release Source

Please answer all the questions in this group.		
Site Name	NORTH VACUUM ABO UNIT #252	
Date Release Discovered	02/09/2022	
Surface Owner	Private	

Incident Details

Please answer all the questions in this group.		
Incident Type	Produced Water Release	
Did this release result in a fire or is the result of a fire	No	
Did this release result in any injuries	No	
Has this release reached or does it have a reasonable probability of reaching a watercourse	No	
Has this release endangered or does it have a reasonable probability of endangering public health	No	
Has this release substantially damaged or will it substantially damage property or the environment	No	
Is this release of a volume that is or may with reasonable probability be detrimental to fresh water	No	

Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) released, please answer all that apply below. Any calculations or specific justifications for the volumes provided should be attached to the follow-up C-141 submission.		
Crude Oil Released (bbls) Details	Cause: Equipment Failure Flow Line - Production Crude Oil Released: 2 BBL Recovered: 1 BBL Lost: 1 BBL.	
Produced Water Released (bbls) Details	Cause: Equipment Failure Flow Line - Production Produced Water Released: 49 BBL Recovered: 49 BBL Lost: 0 BBL.	
Is the concentration of chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l	No	
Condensate Released (bbls) Details	Not answered.	
Natural Gas Vented (Mcf) Details	Not answered.	
Natural Gas Flared (Mcf) Details	Not answered.	
Other Released Details	Not answered.	
Are there additional details for the questions above (i.e. any answer containing Other, Specify, Unknown, and/or Fire, or any negative lost amounts)	Not answered.	

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 130 of 135

QUESTIONS, Page 2

Action 439628

QUESTIONS (continued)		
Operator:	OGRID:	
CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC	298299	
400 West 7th Street	Action Number:	
Fort Worth, TX 76102	439628	
	Action Type:	
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)	

QUESTIONS

Nature and Volume of Release (continued)		
Is this a gas only submission (i.e. only significant Mcf values reported)	No, according to supplied volumes this does not appear to be a "gas only" report.	
Was this a major release as defined by Subsection A of 19.15.29.7 NMAC	Yes	
Reasons why this would be considered a submission for a notification of a major release	From paragraph A. "Major release" determine using: (1) an unauthorized release of a volume, excluding gases, of 25 barrels or more.	
With the implementation of the 19.15.27 NMAC (05/25/2021), venting and/or flaring of natural gas (i.e. gas only) are to be submitted on the C-129 form.		

Initial Response		
The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury.		
The source of the release has been stopped True		
The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment	True	
Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices	True	
All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately True		
	Not answered. ation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please prepare and attach a narrative of	
actions to date in the follow-up C-141 submission. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see Subparagraph (a) of Paragraph (5) of		
Subsection A of 19.15.29.11 NMAC), please prepare and attach all information needed for closure evaluation in the follow-up C-141 submission.		
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.		
I hereby agree and sign off to the above statement	Name: Samanntha Avarello Title: EHS Coordinator Email: savarello@txoenergy.com Date: 05/16/2025	

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

QUESTIONS, Page 3

Page 131 of 135

Action 439628

QUESTIONS (continued)

Operator:		UGRID:
CROSS TIMBERS E	NERGY, LLC	298299
400 West 7th Street		Action Number:
Fort Worth, TX 7610	2	439628
		Action Type:
		[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)

QUESTIONS

Site Characterization

Please answer all the questions in this group (only required when seeking remediation plan approval and beyond). This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release in feet below ground surface (ft bgs)	Between 100 and 500 (ft.)	
What method was used to determine the depth to ground water	Direct Measurement	
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water	No	
What is the minimum distance, between the closest lateral extents of the release and the following surface areas:		
A continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)	
Any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)	Between ½ and 1 (mi.)	
An occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church	Greater than 5 (mi.)	
A spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes	Between 1 and 5 (mi.)	
Any other fresh water well or spring	Between 1000 (ft.) and ½ (mi.)	
Incorporated municipal boundaries or a defined municipal fresh water well field	Greater than 5 (mi.)	
A wetland	Between 1000 (ft.) and ½ (mi.)	
A subsurface mine	Greater than 5 (mi.)	
An (non-karst) unstable area	Greater than 5 (mi.)	
Categorize the risk of this well / site being in a karst geology	Low	
A 100-year floodplain	Greater than 5 (mi.)	
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site	Yes	

Remediation Plan

Please answer all the questions that apply or are indicated. This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.			
Requesting a remediation plan	approval with this submission	Yes	
Attach a comprehensive report demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined, pursuant to 19.15.29.11 NMAC and 19.15.29.13 NMAC.			
Have the lateral and vertical ext	tents of contamination been fully delineated	Yes	
Was this release entirely contained within a lined containment area		No	
Soil Contamination Sampling: (P	Soil Contamination Sampling: (Provide the highest observable value for each, in milligrams per kilograms.)		
Chloride	(EPA 300.0 or SM4500 Cl B)	640	
TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO)	(EPA SW-846 Method 8015M)	1126	
GRO+DRO	(EPA SW-846 Method 8015M)	759	
BTEX	(EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B)	0	
Benzene	(EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B)	0	
	C unless the site characterization report includes complete s for beginning and completing the remediation.	d efforts at remediation, the report must include a proposed remediation plan in accordance with 19.15.29.12 NMAC,	
On what estimated date will the	e remediation commence	08/13/2025	
On what date will (or did) the fin	nal sampling or liner inspection occur	08/13/2025	
On what date will (or was) the r	remediation complete(d)	11/11/2025	
What is the estimated surface a	area (in square feet) that will be reclaimed	8181	
What is the estimated volume (in cubic yards) that will be reclaimed		1379	
What is the estimated surface a	area (in square feet) that will be remediated	8181	
What is the estimated volume (in cubic yards) that will be remediated	1379	
These estimated dates and measureme	ents are recognized to be the best guess or calculation at th	e time of submission and may (be) change(d) over time as more remediation efforts are completed.	

The OCD recognizes that proposed remediation measures may have to be minimally adjusted in accordance with the physical realities encountered during remediation. If the responsible party has any need to significantly deviate from the remediation plan proposed, then it should consult with the division to determine if another remediation plan submission is required.

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page	<i>132</i>	of 1.	35
------	------------	-------	----

QUESTIONS, Page 4

Action 439628

QUESTIONS (continued)		
Operator:	OGRID:	
CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC	298299	
400 West 7th Street	Action Number:	
Fort Worth, TX 76102	439628	
	Action Type:	
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)	

QUESTIONS

Remediation Plan (continued)

Remediation Plan (continued)		
Please answer all the questions that apply or are indicated. This information must be provided to the		
This remediation will (or is expected to) utilize the following processes to remediate	/ reduce contaminants:	
(Select all answers below that apply.)		
(Ex Situ) Excavation and off-site disposal (i.e. dig and haul, hydrovac, etc.)	Yes	
Which OCD approved facility will be used for off-site disposal	SUNDANCE SERVICES, INC [fKJ1600527371]	
OR which OCD approved well (API) will be used for off-site disposal	Not answered.	
OR is the off-site disposal site, to be used, out-of-state	Not answered.	
OR is the off-site disposal site, to be used, an NMED facility	Not answered.	
(Ex Situ) Excavation and on-site remediation (i.e. On-Site Land Farms)	Not answered.	
(In Situ) Soil Vapor Extraction	Not answered.	
(In Situ) Chemical processing (i.e. Soil Shredding, Potassium Permanganate, etc.)	Not answered.	
(In Situ) Biological processing (i.e. Microbes / Fertilizer, etc.)	Not answered.	
(In Situ) Physical processing (i.e. Soil Washing, Gypsum, Disking, etc.)	Not answered.	
Ground Water Abatement pursuant to 19.15.30 NMAC	Not answered.	
OTHER (Non-listed remedial process)	Not answered.	
Per Subsection B of 19.15.29.11 NMAC unless the site characterization report includes completed efi which includes the anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation.	forts at remediation, the report must include a proposed remediation plan in accordance with 19.15.29.12 NMAC,	
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.		
I hereby agree and sign off to the above statement	Name: Samanntha Avarello Title: EHS Coordinator Email: savarello@txoenergy.com	

Date: 05/16/2025 The OCD recognizes that proposed remediation measures may have to be minimally adjusted in accordance with the physical realities encountered during remediation. If the responsible party has any need to significantly deviate from the remediation plan proposed, then it should consult with the division to determine if another remediation plan submission is required.

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 13.	3 of	135
----------	------	-----

QUESTIONS, Page 5

Action 439628

QUESTIONS (continued)		
Operator: CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC	OGRID: 298299	
400 West 7th Street Fort Worth, TX 76102	Action Number: 439628	
	Action Type: [C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)	
QUESTIONS		

Deferral Requests	Only

Dnly answer the questions in this group if seeking a deferral upon approval this submission. Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.		
Requesting a deferral of the remediation closure due date with the approval of this submission	Νο	

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

QUESTIONS (continued)			
Operator: CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC	OGRID: 298299		
400 West 7th Street Fort Worth, TX 76102	Action Number: 439628		
	Action Type: [C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)		
QUESTIONS			
Sampling Event Information			
Last sampling notification (C-141N) recorded	{Unavailable.}		
Remediation Closure Request			

Only answer the questions in this group if seeking remediation closure for this release because all remediation steps have been completed.		
Requesting a remediation closure approval with this submission	No	

Action 439628

General Information Phone: (505) 629-6116

Online Phone Directory https://www.emnrd.nm.gov/ocd/contact-us

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Page 135 of 135

CONDITIONS

Action 439628

CONDITIONS

Operator:	OGRID:
CROSS TIMBERS ENERGY, LLC	298299
400 West 7th Street	Action Number:
Fort Worth, TX 76102	439628
	Action Type:
	[C-141] Site Char./Remediation Plan C-141 (C-141-v-Plan)

CONDITIONS

Created By	Condition	Condition Date
nvelez	Remediation plan is approved as written except with the following conditions; 1. Cross Timbers must complete the excavation and removal of impacted soils until confirmation samples collected from the bottom and sidewalls of the excavation report NMOCD Closure Criteria per 19.15.29.12D NMAC has been achieved. 2. OCD approves the sampling frequency of 400 square feet (ft.2) per one (1) 5-point composite sample (5pcs) for the excavation floor samples and 200 ft.2 for the sidewall samples. 3. Prior to backfilling the open excavation per 19.15.29.12D (2) NMAC, EOG must collect a minimum of one (1) 5pcs from the media being used as backfill to verify that it meets non-waste containing, uncontaminated, earthen material with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, or other test methods approved by the division. This is especially important for the material being used within the top four (4) feet from the ground surface.	6/2/2025
nvelez	4. Cross Timbers has 90-days (September 2, 2025) to submit to OCD its appropriate or final remediation closure report.	6/2/2025