

505-564-  
8656

TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
1220 S. ST. FRANCIS DRIVE  
SANTA FE, NM 87505  
(505) 476-3440  
(505) 476-3462 (Fax)

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FAX:

TO: Mike Pippin

FROM: Michael E. Stogner

DATE: Sept. 17, 2004

PAGES: 3

SUBJECT: M&G Drilg Co's proposed Schlosser 34 #102-5 (L) Sec. 34-

T 28 N - R 11 W, Basin Froid land (gas) Pool.

IF YOU HAVE TROUBLE RECEIVING THIS FAX, PLEASE CALL THE OFFICE NUMBER ABOVE.

*I attempted to e-mail the attached messages, but received "unable to deliver" messages.*

**Stogner, Michael**

---

**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2004 9:16 AM  
**To:** Mike Pippin (E-mail)  
**Cc:** Hayden, Steven  
**Subject:** pSEM0-425936778

**RE:** Administrative Application for an Unorthodox Coal gas well location for:  
M & G Drilling Company  
Schlosser 34 #102  
1630' FSL & 495' FWL (L)  
34-T28N-R11W

The Basin-Fruitland Coal (Gas) Pool is spaced on 320-acres, the proposed spacing unit for this well is the W/2 of Sec. 34. You stated in the application that "a suitable standard location for this well could not be found in the southwest quarter of Section 34". Why can't this well be drilled at a standard coal gas well location in the northwest quarter of Section 34?

## Stogner, Michael

---

**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2004 10:44 AM  
**To:** 'Mike Pippin (E-mail)'  
**Cc:** Hayden, Steven  
**Subject:** RE: pSEM0-425936778

In addition to my previous question. There are two other wells in the SW/4 of Section 34: (i) ConocoPhillips Company's Schosser WN Fed. #5 (30-045-06960) located 790' FSL & 1150' FWL (Unit M) and completed ; and (ii) M&G Drilling Co's Schlosser #97 (30-045-29482) located 623' FSL & 1347' FWL (Unit N) and completed in the Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool. Why can't the proposed M&G coal gas well be twined on either of these existing pads.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2004 9:16 AM  
**To:** Mike Pippin (E-mail)  
**Cc:** Hayden, Steven  
**Subject:** pSEM0-425936778

RE: Administrative Application for an Unorthodox Coal gas well location for:  
M & G Drilling Company  
Schlosser 34 #102  
1630' FSL & 495' FWL (L)  
34-T28N-R11W

The Basin-Fruitland Coal (Gas) Pool is spaced on 320-acres, the proposed spacing unit for this well is the W/2 of Sec. 34. You stated in the application that "a suitable standard location for this well could not be found in the southwest quarter of Section 34". Why can't this well be drilled at a standard coal gas well location in the northwest quarter of Section 34?


TRANSACTION REPORT

P.01

SEP-17-2004 FRI 12:53 PM

FOR:

DATE	START	RECEIVER	TX TIME	PAGES	TYPE	NOTE	M#	DP
SEP-17	12:53 PM	915055648656	44"	3	SEND	OK	703	
TOTAL :						44S PAGES:	3	



505-564-  
8656

TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
 1220 S. ST. FRANCIS DRIVE  
 SANTA FE, NM 87505  
 (505) 476-3440  
 (505)476-3462 (Fax)

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FAX:

TO: Mike Pippin

FROM: Michael E. Stogner

## Stogner, Michael

---

**From:** MAILER-DAEMON@messagelabs.com  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2004 9:17 AM  
**To:** MSTOGNER@state.nm.us  
**Subject:** failure notice

This is the mail delivery agent at messagelabs.com.  
I was not able to deliver your message to the following addresses.

<mpippin@ddroadband.net>:  
Sorry, I couldn't find any host named ddroadband.net. (#5.1.2)

--- Below this line is a copy of the message.

Return-Path: <MSTOGNER@state.nm.us>  
X-VirusChecked: Checked  
X-Env-Sender: MSTOGNER@state.nm.us  
X-Msg-Ref: server-8.tower-54.messagelabs.com!1095434188!14088997  
X-StarScan-Version: 5.2.10; banners=state.nm.us,-,-  
X-Originating-IP: [164.64.5.6]  
Received: (qmail 3397 invoked from network); 17 Sep 2004 15:16:29 -0000  
Received: from xconnect.state.nm.us (HELO xconnect.gsd.nm.gov) (164.64.5.6)  
by server-8.tower-54.messagelabs.com with SMTP; 17 Sep 2004 15:16:29 -0000  
Received: by xconnect.gsd.nm.gov with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)  
id <S93R8BVR>; Fri, 17 Sep 2004 09:16:28 -0600  
Message-ID: <DB33FA3F0FC6584E882D0CE6297AF0F94F7D00@nmemnrdept.prod.emnrd.nm.gov>  
From: "Stogner, Michael" <MSTOGNER@state.nm.us>  
To: "Mike Pippin (E-mail)" <mpippin@ddroadband.net>  
Cc: "Hayden, Steven" <SHAYDEN@state.nm.us>  
Subject: pSEM0-425936778  
Date: Fri, 17 Sep 2004 09:16:27 -0600  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="ISO-8859-1"

RE: Administrative Application for an Unorthodox Coal gas well location  
for:  
M & G Drilling Company  
Schlosser 34 #102  
1630' FSL & 495' FWL (L)  
34-T28N-R11W

The Basin-Fruitland Coal (Gas) Pool is spaced on 320-acres, the proposed spacing unit for this well is the W/2 of Sec. 34. You stated in the application that "a suitable standard location for this well could not be found in the southwest quarter of Section 34". Why can't this well be drilled at a standard coal gas well location in the northwest quarter of Section 34?

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. --  
This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

---

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.  
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

---

## Stogner, Michael

---

**From:** MAILER-DAEMON@messagelabs.com  
**Sent:** Friday, September 17, 2004 10:44 AM  
**To:** MSTOGNER@state.nm.us  
**Subject:** failure notice

This is the mail delivery agent at messagelabs.com.  
I was not able to deliver your message to the following addresses.

<mpippin@ddroadband.net>:  
Sorry, I couldn't find any host named ddroadband.net. (#5.1.2)

--- Below this line is a copy of the message.

Return-Path: <MSTOGNER@state.nm.us>  
X-VirusChecked: Checked  
X-Env-Sender: MSTOGNER@state.nm.us  
X-Msg-Ref: server-11.tower-54.messagelabs.com!1095439455!14078952  
X-StarScan-Version: 5.2.10; banners=state.nm.us,-,-  
X-Originating-IP: [164.64.5.6]  
Received: (qmail 32215 invoked from network); 17 Sep 2004 16:44:16 -0000  
Received: from xconnect.state.nm.us (HELO xconnect.gsd.nm.gov) (164.64.5.6)  
by server-11.tower-54.messagelabs.com with SMTP; 17 Sep 2004 16:44:16 -0000  
Received: by xconnect.gsd.nm.gov with Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)  
id <S93R8197>; Fri, 17 Sep 2004 10:44:14 -0600  
Message-ID: <DB33FA3F0FC6584E882D0CE6297AF0F94F7D07@nmemnrdept.prod.emnrd.nm.gov>  
From: "Stogner, Michael" <MSTOGNER@state.nm.us>  
To: "'Mike Pippin (E-mail)'" <mpippin@ddroadband.net>  
Cc: "Hayden, Steven" <SHAYDEN@state.nm.us>  
Subject: RE: pSEM0-425936778  
Date: Fri, 17 Sep 2004 10:44:13 -0600  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="ISO-8859-1"

In addition to my previous question. There are two other wells in the SW/4 of Section 34: (i) ConocoPhillips Company's Schosser WN Fed. #5 (30-045-06960) located 790' FSL & 1150' FWL (Unit M) and completed ; and (ii) M&G Drilling Co's Schlosser #97 (30-045-29482) located 623' FSL & 1347' FWL (Unit N) and completed in the Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool. Why can't the proposed M&G coal gas well be twined on either of these existing pads.

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Stogner, Michael  
> Sent: Friday, September 17, 2004 9:16 AM  
> To: Mike Pippin (E-mail)  
> Cc: Hayden, Steven  
> Subject: pSEM0-425936778  
>  
> RE: Administrative Application for an Unorthodox Coal gas well location  
> for:  
> M & G Drilling Company  
> Schlosser 34 #102  
> 1630' FSL & 495' FWL (L)  
> 34-T28N-R11W  
>  
> The Basin-Fruitland Coal (Gas) Pool is spaced on 320-acres, the proposed  
> spacing unit for this well is the W/2 of Sec. 34. You stated in the  
> application that "a suitable standard location for this well could not be  
> found in the southwest quarter of Section 34". Why can't this well be  
> drilled at a standard coal gas well location in the northwest quarter of  
> Section 34?

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

---

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.  
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

---