Additional

Information

Correspondence from XTO

Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD

From: Cherry, Tracie < Tracie_Cherry@xtoenergy.com>

Sent: Monday, December 23, 2019 10:18 AM

To: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD

Subject: [EXT] RE: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Hello Baylen. Looking back over my application, I did not show a water well within the 1-mile radius. When I pulled the information from the OSE database, an earthen stock tank did show in one of the sections but it was outside the 1-mile radius. I only mentioned it because it appears on one of the printouts I included.

From: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD [mailto:Baylen.Lamkin@state.nm.us]

Sent: Monday, December 23, 2019 9:07 AM

To: Cherry, Tracie < Tracie_Cherry@xtoenergy.com>

Subject: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Tracie,

I hope that you had a good weekend. I know you said that you weren't going to be in the office this week but I have one last question with regards to the application for the subject well. Do you happen to know if an attempt was made to sample the freshwater POD that is located in the 1 mile AOR and if not what the reasoning was? Please let me know whenever you can.

Kind regards,

Baylen Lamkin

Petroleum Specialist
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
505-476-3401



From: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2019 3:29 PM

To: 'Cherry, Tracie' < Tracie Cherry@xtoenergy.com Subject: RE: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Tracie,

Thank you, I will update the technical review and move forward with drafting an approval order.

Kind regards,

Baylen Lamkin

Petroleum Specialist
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
505-476-3401



From: Cherry, Tracie < Tracie cherry@xtoenergy.com>

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2019 2:59 PM

To: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD < Baylen.Lamkin@state.nm.us > Subject: [EXT] RE: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Hello Baylen.

So sorry I am getting back to you at the "last minute". This well will be part of a multi-well, closed disposal system. The analysis I provided is a composite analysis taken from one of the existing disposal wells on this SWD system. The water will be coming mainly from Delaware, Wolfcamp and Bone Spring formations with minor amounts from other formations.

If you need any additional information, don't hesitate to get in touch. After today I will be out of the office for the Christmas holidays but will be checking in from time to time.

Have a Merry Christmas.

Tracie

From: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD [mailto:Baylen.Lamkin@state.nm.us]

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 3:56 PM **To:** Cherry, Tracie < <u>Tracie Cherry@xtoenergy.com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Tracie,

It looks like the compliance issue was cleared up on our end. The only other information that I will need before writing the order is what formation the source analysis is from and whether the system will be open or closed.

Kind regards, **Baylen Lamkin**Petroleum Specialist

Oil Conservation Division

1220 South St. Francis Drive

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505



From: Cherry, Tracie < <u>Tracie Cherry@xtoenergy.com</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 2:15 PM

To: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD < Baylen.Lamkin@state.nm.us > Subject: [EXT] RE: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Baylen – so sorry I haven't gotten back to you. It has been a bit nuts since I returned to the office. I will look into this further and get back to you tomorrow (Friday)

From: Lamkin, Baylen, EMNRD [mailto:Baylen.Lamkin@state.nm.us]

Sent: Monday, December 16, 2019 4:23 PM

To: Cherry, Tracie < Cherry@xtoenergy.com>

Subject: Poker Lake Unit 26 Bridge SWD #1

Tracie,

I am currently going over the C-108 application for the subject well and came across an issue that I need clarification on. According to our records we are showing that XTO Permian Operating, LLC currently has 813 wells with 15 inactive. This number of inactive wells puts XTO Permian out of compliance with the requirements for the OCD. I spoke with our head of compliance and he told me that XTO Permian has an ACOI currently, but it shows different numbers for total and inactive wells than those that I previously stated. My question is were there any recent acquisitions that would have put the inactive well count over the allowable threshold? We will continue to review the application, but we will be unable to issue any approval orders until the compliance issue has been straightened out. Please feel free to contact me will any questions or concerns.

Kind regards,

Baylen Lamkin

Petroleum Specialist
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive