

NM OIL CONSERVATION

ARTESIA DISTRICT

NOV 07 2017

Form C-141
Revised April 3, 2017

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

RECEIVED
Submit a copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

FAB1731254392 Release Notification and Corrective Action

NAB1731254633 OPERATOR Initial Report Final Report

Name of Company: El Paso Natural Gas Company, LLC, a subsidiary of Kinder Morgan Inc. #225231	Contact: Amy Blythe
Address: 2 North Nevada Avenue Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903	Telephone No. (719) 520-4813
Facility Name: EPNG's California Main Line (Line No. 1100)	Facility Type: 26-inch Outside Diameter (O.D.) steel natural gas pipeline

Surface Owner: Private Land	Mineral Owner	API No.
-----------------------------	---------------	---------

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section 8	Township 23 South	Range 17 West	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County: Hidalgo
-------------	-----------	-------------------	---------------	---------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	-----------------

Latitude 32.31527 Longitude -108.61816 NAD83

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release: Hydrostatic test water from an existing natural gas pipeline	Volume of Release: Approximately 50,000 gallons	Volume Recovered
Source of Release: pipeline failure during hydrostatic pressure test	Date and Hour of Occurrence: 10/26/2017 8:30 am	Date and Hour of Discovery: 10/26/2017 8:30 am
Was Immediate Notice Given? X Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom? NMOCD District Office (Hobbs), Env. Specialist - Mike Bratcher NMOCD State Office, Environmental Bureau Chief - Jim Griswold	
By Whom? Amy Blythe	Date and Hour: 10/26/2017	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes X No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully. *Not Applicable

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*
EPNG was in the process of conducting a hydrostatic pressure test of the existing 26" O.D. Line No. 1100 in Segment 2 between Milepost 341+2674 and Milepost 343+1634 when the pipe failed. Approximately 50,000 gallons of hydrostatic test water was released into the ground. EPNG Crews have repaired the pipe by cutting out the defective segment and replacing it with approximately 40 feet of new pipe. See Attachment A - Aerial View Map.
The recovered test water was collected using a hydrovac truck and hauled to EPNG's Lordsburg Station where it was stored in a frac tank. Samples of the test water and impacted soil were collected on 10/28/2017 and shipped to Xenco Laboratories in El Paso Texas. The waste water sample was analyzed for the following tests: Flashpoint, pH, VPC's (Method 8260), TCLP Metals, PCB's, TCLP BTEX, TPH Method 8015. The soil sample was analyzed for the following parameters: VOC's (Method 8260), PCB's, LCLP BTEX, PHG Method 8015 and NORMS. See Attachment B, Certificate of Analysis Summary 566847.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*
Initially, the impacted soil was side cast and kept separate during the pipeline repair. The analytical results have been received and the soil is confirmed to be nonhazardous.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature:  OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Printed Name: Amy Blythe	Signed By <u>Mike Brandon</u>	
Title: Environmental Specialist II	Approved by Environmental Specialist:	
E-mail Address: amy_blythe@kindermorgan.com	Approval Date: 11/8/17	Expiration Date: N/A
Date: 11/7/2017	Conditions of Approval:	Attached <input type="checkbox"/> 2 RP-4476
Phone: (719) 520-4813	See Attached	

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 11/7/2017 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number ARP-4476 has been assigned. **Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.**

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete division-approved corrective action for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. **As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District 2 office in ARTESIA on or before 12/7/2017. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.**

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- **Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions.** Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- **Vertical delineation of soil impacts.** Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- **Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.**
- **Composite sampling is not generally allowed.**
- **Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted**

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
505-476-3465
jim.griswold@state.nm.us

Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD

From: Blythe, Amy M (Amy) <Amy_Blythe@kindermorgan.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD
Subject: El Paso Natural Gas - Line No. 1100 Spike Hydrotest - Failure on Test Section 2 - Initial Report - Form C-141
Attachments: NMOCD Form C-141-EPNG L1100_Hidalgo Co. (Segment 2) - Initial Report (11-7-17).pdf

Dear Mike,

The original email got kicked back, so I'm resending it to your **correct** email this time. The original email went to Jim Griswold as well.

Attached is El Paso Natural Gas Company's (EPNG) **Initial Report** on Form C-141, as NMOCD's required Written Notification, for the unauthorized release of hydrostatic test water in Hidalgo County, New Mexico. The event occurred during a hydrostatic pressure test of EPNG's existing 26-inch O.D. California Main Line (Line No. 1100) while testing **Section 2** on October 26, 2017.

Included in this submittal are maps depicting the Line 1100 Test Section 2, the release site, and Xenco Laboratories analytical summary for the soil and water samples.

Respectfully,

Amy

KINDER MORGAN

Amy Blythe
Environmental Specialist
Two North Nevada Ave
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
719.520.4813 or 575.644.3336
(Child Ambassador - www.worldvision.org)